


THE HIDDEN HISTORY OF MONEY & FEUDAL ORDER USURY SECRETS

Compiled by Alexander James
Front Page Cover Front-Side



Above left, a genuine United States Note **red seal**; Above right, private Federal Reserve Notes **green seal**




THE HIDDEN HISTORY OF MONEY & NEW WORLD ORDER USURY SECRETS

Compiled by Alexander James
Front Page Cover Back-Side




"When the government fears the people, there is liberty. When the people fear the government, there is tyranny." -Thomas Jefferson



NAZI cloning experiment: Evil Twins born 50 years apart



General **Maximilian Freiherr von Weichs** Secretary of Defense **Donald Rumsfeld**



Dedication 9

Preface, Writing Style & Summary of This Book 12

Introduction to The History of Money 17

VIDEO DOCUMENTARIES & BOOKS EXPLAIN THE FRAUDULENT MONETARY SYSTEM (WIZARDS OF OZ) 21

OVERVIEW OF MONEY 22

Commodity Money 22

Token/Fiat Credit Money 22

Origins of the Word "MONEY" 23

First Instances of Money 23

Credit/Floating/Fiat Money 25

BANKING SYSTEM FRAUD EXPLAINED WITH SIMPLE CARTOONS 27

OVERVIEW OF THE FEUDAL BANKERS' (BANKSTERS/BANKLORDS) CONSPIRACY 34

The History of Money, Part I 45

BEFORE MONEY, THERE WAS CREDIT & ENSLAVEMENT; WORLD MOSTLY RULED BY CRIMINAL FEUDALS 45

HOW IS WEALTH CREATED? CAN IT BE STORED & AT WHAT COST? DOES IT MAINTAIN ITS VALUE WHILE IN STORAGE? 45

WHAT WAS/IS MONEY? THE FORMS OF MONEY & THE DAHLER (DOLLAR) 46

THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM IS THE BANKLORDS' BANK, IT IS A PRIVATE CARTEL (QUIT BONO WHO BENEFITS?) 48

LET'S CALL THE FEDERAL RESERVE: WHERE DOES MONEY COME FROM? WHO GETS TO CREATE THE CREDIT FIAT MONEY! 50

WHAT RESERVES? PRIVATE FED/COMMERCIAL BANKS CREATE MONEY FROM NOTHING & LEND "I-O-U"s 52

WHO OWNS THE FED BANKS? SAME PEOPLE WHO OWN THE COMMERCIAL BANKS=CREDIT MONOPOLY! 53

THE BIGGEST PROBLEM ON EARTH: THE PRIVATELY OWNED/CONTROLLED FEUDAL CREDIT MONEY SYSTEM 54

WHAT IS WRONG WITH THIS SYSTEM? A HELL OF A LOT INCLUDING WARS AND CURRENCY HEGEMONY! 56

STRUCTURE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FAMILIES OWNING THE MAJOR BANKS 68

WHERE DID THE WORD 'BANK' COME FROM? 74

PRIVATE CENTRAL BANKS HAVE NOT ALWAYS EXISTED (FRACTIONAL RESERVE BANKING WAS DECENTRALIZED) 75

BANKS LICENSED TO CREATE (COUNTERFEIT) MONEY (MAKE A KILLING) FROM NOTHING! 78

THE BIGGEST PROBLEM ON EARTH IS ORGANIZED USURY PARASITISM AS EXPLAINED IN ASSORTED SCRIPTURES: 84

What was the messiah Yeshua-Joshua (Yeshua/Issa) talking about? 88

Ancient Rome/Babyon World's Usury Capitals (Bank Of Rome circa 47 AC) 89

More on Usury: Everything We Need to Know About Usury But Were Afraid to Find Out 91

Biblical Injunctions Against Usury (sample): 93

Quranic Injunctions Against Usury (sample): 93

Why are usury and giving false measure forbidden (productive and unproductive)? 94

Major wars/financial panic/depression/famine traced to insidious influence of usury banking 98

INTERVIEW WITH FORMER BELGIUM CENTRAL BANK ECONOMIST 99

INFLATION (FED IS THE ROOT OF IT), INTEREST AND GOODS & SERVICES PRODUCTION 106

I (BANKLORD) PROMISE TO PAY (NOTHING TO) THE BEARER ON DEMAND 111

BILDERBERGERS CONSPIRE SECRETLY 112

EXPERTS, ECONOMISTS, MEDIA (THE NEO-PRIESTS) MISLEAD US ON THE GLOBALIZED ECONOMY 114

BRIEF HISTORY OF WARS & CRIMES OVER THE CONTROL OF THE PRIVATE ISSUE OF MONEY 116

What are some of the forerunners to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank? 116

INCOME (SLAVE) TAX SYSTEM TO GET OUR PRIVATE INFO & PAY INTEREST BANKSTERS' FEUDAL TITHE 121

WHO CONTROLS OUR POLITICIANS? JINSA? AIPAC? ADL? B'NAI BRITH? ARE THEY WORKING FOR THE BANKSTERS? ..122

MANIPULATIONS OF THE MASSES: WARS, TERRORISMS AND MYTHS (HITLER-BUSH EXAMPLE) 124

PLANNED SCARCITY – GOING WITHOUT IN THE MIDST OF PLENTY 125

MORE ON FIAT MONEY FRAUD AND THE MECHANICS OF MONEY 135

ROTHSCHILD REALIZES THE IMMENSE POWER OF BEING THE ISSUER OF MONEY 137

Harvard Economic Research Project 1948-xxxx) World War II Operations Research 139

MONOPOLY-CAPITALISM (=COMMUNISM=FEUDALISM): EVERYONE WORKS FOR THE BANKLORDS 140

FRACTIONAL RESERVE BANKING & THE MAGIC MULTIPLIER: FOLLOW THE MONEY 141

OIL DOLLARS FUND US TRADE DEFICIT: US DEBT VERSUS CURRENCY ISSUED 142

UNDERSTANDING THE MEANING OF GDP GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT 143

HOW DID THE ILLUMINATI GET US INTO THIS MESS? 144

WE CAN BUY BACK AND ABOLISH THE FED BY LAW AND GET OUT OF THIS MESS! 146

HOW THE BANKSTERS TRANSFER THEIR LIABILITIES TO TAXPAYERS! 148

Yeshua-Joshua-Issa and the Pharisees Priest-BankLords 148

It's 2000 Years Later and We're Still Voting for the Criminals!!! 149

Who Will Push the Red Button to Free Us? 150

Who's Who in Stealing Our Money 150

Dirty Dozen Major Banksters At the Top of My List 152

When You Steal From Me, It's Personal 153

Care to Join Me in Switching Banks? 153

Your and Your Children's Birth certificate has been Sold to the International BankLords 155

How Could A DOLLAR MELTDOWN Occur?.....	155
HOW THE BANKLORDS ENABLE DRUG MONEY LAUNDERING	156
THE CITY OF LONDON (LONDONIUM SQUARE MILE) MODELED ON BABYLONIAN/ROMAN TIMES	157
SUMMARY.....	163
SUMMARY EXPLANATORY DIAGRAMS ON FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM DECEPTION	167
IRS & CONGRESS, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED & PUT ON NOTICE THAT:.....	172
THE HISTORY OF MONEY: PART II - EXPANDED DETAILS	182
LEARNING FINANCIAL CONTROL THROUGH WARS AND UPHEAVAL FROM ANCIENT GREEKS.....	182
THE BIGGEST PROBLEM ON EARTH (ONCE MORE).....	186
WHY DO WE NEED "MONEY"?	188
UNDERSTANDING FIAT MONEY (CREATED FROM NOTHING; THUS AN I OWE U)	189
THE US DOLLAR AND WORLD ENSLAVEMENT.....	191
USURY IN THE BABYLONIAN & ROMAN EMPIRES	192
MORE DETAILS ON USURY	194
8000 B.C. ONWARDS.....	200
<i>Ancient Rome "Usury Capital of World" along with Babylon (Bank Of Rome established in 47 AC).....</i>	<i>201</i>
THE BABYLONIAN WOE: SLAVERY, USURY, ECONOMIC DARWINISM.....	202
BABYLON (NIMRODIAN)/SUMERIA 3,000 YEARS AGO: CITY OF GOLD	208
BABYLONIAN BROTHERHOOD AND THE COMMERCIAL MARITIME LAWS.....	209
ABRAHAM TANGLES WITH NIMROD AT BABYLON CIRCA 2000 BCE.....	211
MOSES, THE ISRAELITES AND THEIR GOLDEN CALF APOSTASY CIRCA 1446 BCE	212
JUDAISM CORRUPTED INTO BABYLONIAN TALMUDISM CIRCA 586 BCE.....	212
GREECE 2,500 YEARS AGO	212
ANCIENT GREECE AND ROME 700 B.C.....	212
JULIUS CAESAR STRIPS MONEY CHANGERS OF THEIR POWERS & IS MURDERED BY THEM (48BC)	213
YESHUA-JOSHUA (YESHUA) FLIPS (MANY COINS) 33 A.C. OR SO	214
THE BANK OF ROME.....	215
ARABIA 7 TH CENTURY A.C.	217
KHAZARIA 8 TH CENTURY A.C. (PART PHALIC & PART CONVERTED TO TALMUDISM)	217
FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE.....	218
CHINA 10 TH CENTURY	219
MEDIEVAL ENGLAND (1000 - 1100 A.C.)	219
THE MASONIC KNIGHTS TEMPLARS BECOME THE INTERNATIONAL BANKLORDS (BANKSTERS-GANGSTERS).....	221
REASONS BEHIND "CRUSADES": MONEY, MONEY, MONEY.....	222
THE TALLY STICKS (1100 - 1854) AND THE BANK OF ENGLAND 1694.....	226
ORIGIN OF THE U.S. GOLD SUPPLY.....	229
ROME HAS INSATIABLE LUST FOR GOLD ESPECIALLY FROM THE AMERICAS	229
STOLEN GOLD FINANCED THE SPANISH INQUISITION!	230
THE IMPERIALISTS UNDER CHARLES V SACK ROME AND THE JESUITS RISE.....	232
KING HENRY VIII (RELAXES USURY LAWS IN THE EARLY 1500's)	232
USURY IS OUTLAWED BY ENGLISH PARLIAMENT (1550's) !!	233
"BANK" OF ROME OPENS A BRANCH OFFICE IN ENGLAND 1694 AND THE STUARTS KILLED	233
THE ENGLISH CIVIL WAR CAUSED BY THE BANK OF ROME IN ENGLAND!!.....	235
THE KING AND QUEEN OF USURY — THE INGLORIOUS REVOLUTION!!	235
KING WILLIAM OF ORANGE (1650-1702) & QUEEN MARY (1662-1694), WIFE OF WILLIAM OF ORANGE.	236
GENOCIDE & ENSLAVEMENT OF NATIVE AMERICANS IN THE EARLY 18TH CENTURY BY DANIEL STIREWALT	236
THE BANK OF ENGLAND 1694 HISTORY OF £ANKING	237
ENTER THE DRAGON ROTHSCHILDS (1743)	239
THE ROTHSCHILD'S COLONIZATION OF INDIA AND LOOTING ITS GOLD	241
THE OPIUM WARS WITH CHINA	243
THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION (1764 - 1781)	245
MORE ON THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION, THE "BANK" OF ROME AND BENJAMIN FRANKLIN	246
THE BANK OF NORTH AMERICA (1781-1785).....	247
FIRST BANK OF THE UNITED STATES (1791-1811)	247
1790: AMSHAL (AMSCHEL) MAYER ROTHSCHILD "LET ME ISSUE & CONTROL A NATION'S MONEY..."	248
NAPOLEON CANCELS DEBTS AND USURY (1803 - 1825)	249
DEMISE OF THE FIRST BANK OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE WAR OF 1812	250
THE BATTLE OF WATERLOO, 1815.....	251
THE IRISH POTATO FAMINE (1845)	252
PRESIDENT ANDREW JACKSON'S VETO OF THE BANK OF THE UNITED STATES; JULY 10, 1832	253
PRESIDENT ABRAHAM LINCOLN AND THE CIVIL WAR (1861 - 1865)	262
MORE ON THE AMERICAN CIVIL WAR, THE "BANK" OF ROME AND ABRAHAM LINCOLN	263
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ACT 1871: ENSLAVED BY BANKLORDS "DIVINE RIGHTS OF KINGS" ROMAN MARITIME LAWS.....	264
HOW THE "BANK" OF ROME ASSASSINATED SILVER IN 1873	266
THE RETURN OF THE GOLD STANDARD (1866 - 1881).....	267
INTERNATIONAL BANKERS' MANIFESTO EXPOSED IN 1892 BY LINDBERGH.....	267
FREE SILVER AND THE PANIC OF 1893 (1891 - 1912)	268

BANKLORDS USE US TO CAPTURE MORE FOREIGN LANDS AND COMMIT WAR CRIMES IN PHILIPPINES (1900's)	269
J.P.MORGAN AND THE CRASH OF 1907	270
LINCOLN' STATUE WATCHES THE FED	271
THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACT OF 1913	272
<i>Don't Try to do a Full Audit on the Fed or else.....</i>	278
HOW THE PRIVATE FED CREATES MONEY FROM THIN AIR (G. EDWARD GRIFFIN)	279
<i>More Details on Who Owns the Federal Reserve Banks (THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT)</i>	280
<i>ISSUING MONEY FROM NOTHING</i>	281
<i>The Power of Monetary and Credit Creation</i>	281
<i>THE NATIONAL BANKING ACT OF 1863</i>	282
<i>LINCOLN DEFIES THE BANKING PREDATORS & VULTURES!</i>	283
<i>The Prosperity Of Un-Borrowed Currency and Credit</i>	283
NOW, THE WORLD IS A CORPORATION RUN BY THE BANKLORDS	284
WHERE THERE'S WAR THERE'S MONEY, DEBT & DEPENDENCY	287
THE DON-MEH SABBATEAN JEWISH IMPOSTERS AND THE ARMENIAN MASSACRE	289
FINANCIAL ELITES DESTRUCTION OF THE TSAR OF RUSSIA	289
SINKING OF THE LUSITANIA	290
WORLD WAR I (1914-1918)	291
WORLD DOMINATION & COLONIZATION	292
MASONRY AND THE HOAX OF COMMUNISM (SHADES OF 1960S MANCHURIAN CANDIDATE!)	292
DELIVERING AN INDEPENDENT INDIA TO THE SOVIETS	294
1920 HENRY FORD IN "THE DEARBORN INDEPENDENT" MAGAZINES EXPOSES FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL SYSTEM	295
1921 INDEPENDENT TREASURY ACT ABOLISHES PUBLIC TREASURY IN FAVOR OF BANKLORDS	295
DEPRESSION IN 1929: THE NEO-SLAVERY DEBT-USURY CYCLE CRASH	297
<i>Repeat of 1929? Probability Of Worldwide Economic Collapse Under Insoluble Debt</i>	300
<i>WORKING MAN TURNED STREET PERSON</i>	302
<i>THE MOST EXTREME MEASURES</i>	302
<i>DIFFICULTY IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLOIT LABOR</i>	303
<i>MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE TO MEET DEBT OBLIGATIONS</i>	304
<i>HUMAN ALLIANCES FORMED AT THE BRINK OF DISPOSSESSION</i>	304
<i>THE PRESIDENT WHO PROMISED PERMANENT PROSPERITY</i>	306
<i>FELLOW NEWSMEN REFLECT ON THE TIMES</i>	307
1930: THE BANKRUPTCY OF THE SOVEREIGN UNITED STATES & RECEIVERSHIP BY THE UNITED STATES	307
<i>UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE EMERGED AS LAW OF THE LAND. Ah, Ha, are you beginning to get the picture?</i>	308
<i>THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS' CORPORATE PLANTATION OF THE U.S.A.</i>	311
<i>James Traficant Explains About the Bankruptcy, Hypothecation , Canon & Maritime Law</i>	313
CONGRESSMAN LOUIS MCFADDEN'S SPEECH 1933	314
<i>The Federal Reserve-A Corrupt Institution</i>	315
<i>President Jackson's Time</i>	315
<i>The Great Depression (1929)</i>	316
<i>The Scheme of the Fed</i>	316
<i>Money for the Scottish Distillers</i>	317
<i>The United States Has Been Ransacked</i>	317
<i>The BankLords' Acceptance Racket</i>	318
<i>The John Law Swindle</i>	319
<i>Ivar Kreuger, the Match King!</i>	319
<i>Thieves Go Scot Free</i>	319
<i>Fiat Money</i>	319
<i>World Enslavement & Dictatorship Planned</i>	320
<i>Great Britain, Partner in Blackmail</i>	321
<i>Roosevelt and the International BankLords</i>	321
<i>Roosevelt Seizes the Gold</i>	322
<i>Enemies of the People They Rob</i>	323
<i>Conspiracy of War Debts</i>	323
<i>Federal Reserve Pays No Taxes & Preferred Treatment for Foreigners BankLords</i>	323
<i>Charging the Criminals with the Crimes</i>	324
<i>Stealing America's Gold</i>	326
<i>The US is Still a British Colony</i>	330
<i>"Attacks on McFadden's Life Reported"</i>	331
FORT KNOX 1933 & FDR STEALS US CITIZENS' GOLD ON BEHALF OF THE BANKLORDS WHO BANKRUPTED US!	331
GENERAL SMEDLEY BUTLER REVEALS BUSH & BANKLORDS' PLOT TO OVERTHROW US GOV 1933	333
1933 HEADLINES WERE "JUDEA DECLARES WAR ON GERMANY"	335
CANADA NATIONALIZES BANKING; US PLANS TO INVAD CANADA WITH POISON GAS	352
AMBASSADOR WILLIAM E. TODD 1938 REVEALS HIGH-CABAL FEUDAL CONSPIRACY	354
HITLER'S SECRET ZIONIST BANKERS & BACKERS (GRANDPA PRESCOTT BUSH & ZIONIST CONNECTION)	354
WORLD WAR II (1939-1945) AND THE VATICAN-JESUIT-ZIONIST HITLER CONNECTION	356
<i>Zionists Offer a Military Alliance with Hitler</i>	358
THE MARSHALL (MORGANTHAU) PLAN DECEPTION-BILLIONS FOR THE BANKLORDS & ETERNAL ENSLAVEMENT TO THE US \$	359

THE WORLD CENTRAL BANK (1948 - PRESENT).....	363
JOHN F. KENNEDY, LYNDON JOHNSON, ISRAELI NUKES & THE OILY VIETNAM WAR.....	363
CONGRESS SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCE 1964 EXPOSES THE FEDERAL RESERVE!.....	369
CONGRESSMAN WRIGHT PATMAN EXPOSES THE FED 1964.....	370
CIA SPONSORED MASSACRE TO PLUNDER INDONESIA'S RESOURCES 1965.....	373
DAY OF INFAMY, AUGUST 15, 1971, ROME STEALS US LANDS.....	376
1984 GRACE COMMISSION REPORT UNDER RONALD REAGAN EXPOSES ALL TAXES GO TO BANKLORDS.....	376
THE SECOND RISE OF THE PRIVATE CENTRAL BANKS ACTUALLY BEGAN IN 1668.....	377
THE GROUP OF EIGHT.....	378
<i>Perpetual Boom-Bust Cycles to Enrich to BankLords per Mike Montagne.....</i>	<i>378</i>
THE DEPOSITORY TRUST CORPORATION.....	381
BANK OF INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS (B.I.S.) THE MOTHER OF ALL CENTRAL BANKS.....	381
HIGHLIGHTS OF U.S. FINANCIAL HISTORY (REVIEW).....	383
REAL UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NOTES (NOT MASONIC PRIVATE FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES).....	386
PRIVATE FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES FRNs (JUST LOOK AT THE \$ BILL WITH MASONIC SYMBOLISM IN YOUR WALLET).....	386
THE COINAGE ACT OF 1792 AND THE DEBASING OF THE CURRENCY TODAY!!.....	387
US CONSTITUTION ON THE COINAGE OF MONEY.....	389
THE FED IS SLOWLY DESTROYING AMERICA.....	392
WHY IS THE FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE NOT WORTH A DOLLAR?.....	393
CONGRESSMAN JAMES TRAFICANT'S SPEECH TO CONGRESS 1993 ON THE FRAUD OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE.....	397
CONGRESSMAN RON PAUL INTRODUCES BILL TO ABOLISH THE FED SEP 10, 2002.....	400
THE TAXPAYER BAILOUT OF CENTRAL AND LOCAL BANKS CONTINUES.....	401
THE NEXT BANKLORDS' RUSE IS TO GET RID OF CASH.....	402
THE CONNECTION BETWEEN MONEY, ISRAEL & THE OIL COLLECTIVISTS.....	402
<i>WHAT THE US MEDIA STILL HIDES ABOUT THE 1973 "OIL CRISIS".....</i>	<i>404</i>
THE REAL CROWN IS NOT THE QUEEN BUT THE BANKING DYNASTIES.....	405
HOW THE TRILLIONAIRES HIDE THEIR WEALTH.....	406
IRAN'S PLANNED EURO-OIL BOURSE COULD CAUSE COLLAPSE OF THE EMPIRE OF DEBT.....	409
FURTHER EVIDENCE OF BANLORDS' APPROACH TO WORLD POWER.....	411
AFFIDAVIT THAT THE BANKERS WON'T SIGN (THEY WON'T SIGN IT!).....	413
ELEMENTS OF MONETARY REFORM (WHO CONTROL THE CREATION OF CREDIT MONEY CONTROL WORLD).....	413
WHAT CAN WE DO BY TIBOR S. FRIEDMAN.....	419
More on the History of the Privately owned Federal Reserve System Mega Dynasties.....	422
A TALK BY EDWARD GRIFFIN AUTHOR OF THE CREATURE FROM JEKYLL ISLAND.....	422
THE MANDRAKE MECHANISM: AN OVERVIEW.....	434
<i>THE DISCOUNT WINDOW.....</i>	<i>435</i>
<i>FEDERAL RESERVE CHECK (CHEQUE).....</i>	<i>435</i>
<i>BOOMS, BUSTS, AND DEPRESSIONS.....</i>	<i>437</i>
<i>EXPANSION LEADS TO CONTRACTION.....</i>	<i>438</i>
<i>More on How Money is Created by The Private Banks.....</i>	<i>439</i>
<i>The Switch From Wealth to Debt.....</i>	<i>441</i>
WHY THE BANKLORDS LOVE THE LEFT BY HENRY MAKOW PH.D. NOVEMBER 23, 2003.....	444
CHART OF WHO "OWNS" THE FEDERAL RESERVE.....	445
<i>Chart 1: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence Published 1976.....</i>	<i>446</i>
<i>Chart 2: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence.....</i>	<i>448</i>
<i>Chart 3: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence.....</i>	<i>449</i>
<i>Chart 4: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence.....</i>	<i>450</i>
<i>Chart 5: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence.....</i>	<i>451</i>
THE FEDERAL RESERVE WAS THE 3RD ATTEMPT AT CREATING A PRIVATE CENTRAL BANK BY THE BANKLORDS.....	451
AMERICA'S MOLOCH: THE FEDERAL RESERVE.....	458
<i>J. P. Morgan & Co. Are British Agents.....</i>	<i>464</i>
<i>British Duplicity.....</i>	<i>464</i>
<i>League Of Nations (Forerunner to the United Nations).....</i>	<i>464</i>
<i>President Wilson's Peculiarities.....</i>	<i>465</i>
<i>Propaganda Curtain.....</i>	<i>466</i>
<i>British-American Union Urged.....</i>	<i>466</i>
<i>Illuminati Banking Dynasties and Their Usury System are the Cause of Humanity's Woes.....</i>	<i>468</i>
WORLD BANK SECRET DOCUMENTS TO CONSUME ARGENTINA.....	473
<i>The Enron Connection.....</i>	<i>479</i>
THE ECONOMIC COLONIZATION OF IRAQ.....	484
<i>Changing Iraq's Laws.....</i>	<i>485</i>
<i>Bremer Order #39: Foreign Investment.....</i>	<i>486</i>
<i>Failure to Meet International Obligations to Provide for Iraqi Basic Services.....</i>	<i>487</i>
<i>Bremer Order #40: Banking.....</i>	<i>489</i>
<i>Bremer Order #37: Taxes.....</i>	<i>489</i>
<i>Bremer Order #12: Trade Liberalization.....</i>	<i>490</i>
<i>How to Bring the U.S. in to Accord with International Law and Morality.....</i>	<i>490</i>

MORE ON WHY IRAQ WAS INVADED? MONEY, MONEY, MONEY FOR THE ILLUMINATIS AND ZIONISTS!	499
ECONOMIC PREDATORS OR HIT-MEN ON BEHALF OF FEUDAL CORPORATE ELITE	502
CURRENT STATE OF AFFAIRS (AS OF MAY 2006)	507
Advanced Warning about Money & The New [Under]World Order/Illuminati Mafia Cabal!.....	516
THE NEW WORLD ORDER (N.W.O.) EXPLAINED!.....	516
THE REIGN OF EMPEROR GEORGE W. BUSH.....	523
ILLUMINIST BANKING DYNASTIES TRAPPED BY THEIR WEALTH	523
LAYERS OF DARKNESS AND THE CULTURE OF OBSCENE EXTREMISM.....	524
THE WAR ON TERRORISM IS TO HIDE THE REAL AGENDA: TOTAL WORLD TYRANNY BY THE BANKLORDS	524
ILLUSION OF DEMOCRACY	526
THE FOUR MAJOR NWO INTERLOCKED MAFIAS (NEW WORLD ORDER/DISORDER).....	526
<i>The Demonic Sabbatean [OC]Cult Rules the World.....</i>	<i>527</i>
<i>The 9-11 Conspirators & Their Zionist Judges Controlling the 9-11 Litigation.....</i>	<i>531</i>
THE REAL CONSPIRACY THEORISTS EXPOSED BY THE CONSPIRACY FACTUALISTS	534
POEM ABOUT MONEY	540
SOCIETAL AND MIND CONTROL (H.G. WELLS STYLE)	540
RADIO, TELEVISION AND THE HIVE MIND BY MACK WHITE.....	542
THE SUBVERSION OF CULTURE	545
THERE EXISTS IN OUR WORLD TODAY A POWERFUL AND DANGEROUS SECRET CULT	546
<i>Creating Fake Terror (Illuminati agents stage terror attacks).....</i>	<i>547</i>
<i>The Zionist Protection (Extortion) Racket; Surviving New World Order Tyranny.....</i>	<i>550</i>
ITS ALL IN THE FAMILY TREE OF NOBLES	552
<i>Stock Market Money-pulation by them 300 families.....</i>	<i>552</i>
The Grand Deception Into Feudal Slavery	555
RELATIONSHIP OF THE VARIOUS ILLUMINATI INFILTRATED FRONTS (DIAGRAM ABOVE)	560
THE PYRAMIDS OF SLAVERY.....	563
<i>Israel is the Rothschilds' Hexagram State.....</i>	<i>565</i>
<i>We are Slaves! Who owns us? Who gets to choose our slave masters?.....</i>	<i>567</i>
<i>Slavery Systems.....</i>	<i>572</i>
THE "ZIONIST" CONSPIRACY IS BRITISH IMPERIALISM	575
<i>Banksters Use Judaism as a Shield.....</i>	<i>577</i>
NOTABLE QUOTES ON THE IMMORALITY AND CRIME OF ILLUMINATI WARS.....	579
SKULL & BONES, MASONRY, THE KKK AND A FASCINATION WITH DEATH AND PIRATE SYMBOLISM	588
THE ANTI-CHRIST DAJJAL SATANISTS' SYSTEM	592
ILLUMINATI TACTICS OF DECEPTIONS.....	593
OUR OCCULTIST ELITES	594
WHO OWNS THE NEO-PRIESTS OF THE MAJOR MEDIA & THE VOTING MACHINES PROGRAMS? (CHECK OUT TVNEWSLIES.ORG).....	597
THE ROCKEFELLERS INVITE US TO DIE FOR STANDARD OIL MONOPOLY!.....	598
THE TEMPLE OF SATAN.....	600
PRISONERS OF THEIR WEALTH	601
MASONIC GREED IS A CONSTANT IN HUMAN HISTORY	602
Real Special Note to Skeptics & Non-skeptics.....	606
RESUME OF GEORGE W. BUSH 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE WASHINGTON, DC 20520	606
DOCUMENTED CONSPIRACIES.....	607
THE PROTOCOLS.....	608
MORE DOCUMENTED CONSPIRACIES: HERE IS SOMETHING MORE FOR THE SKEPTICS (AND ALSO NON-SKEPTICS).....	612
NOW, MORE PROOF OF THE BANKING DYNASTIES' POWER	612
<i>Rockefeller Dynasty Oil Crimes From 1911 to 9-11: The Institutions of Conspiracy.....</i>	<i>615</i>
A HIGHER POWER, THE INTERNATIONAL BANKLORDS.....	631
THE ROUND TABLE FINANCIAL TAKEOVER OF AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND	633
SEVEN DAYS IN MAY ON APRIL 17, 1995.....	633
NEXT A FOOTNOTE ABOUT DONALD RUMSFELD MEETING WITH SADDAM: BAIT & SWITCH.....	634
RUMSFELD, SEARLE AND ASPARTAME: KEEPING YOU IN POOR HEALTH	635
IMPORTANT NOTE: Babylonian Synagogue of Satan Confusion on Zionism & Anti-Semitism?	638
CONFLICTING MEANINGS OF THE WORD "JEW" (IEW – EDM) AND CONFUSION ON WHAT IS JUDAISM?.....	640
<i>Adopted Christian Name.....Real Jewish Name While Promoting Noahide Laws.....</i>	<i>640</i>
THE CORRUPTION OF TRUE-TORAH MONOTHEISM BY THE BABYLONIAN KABBALA AND TALMUD	648
THE ACTUAL ANTI-SEMITES ARE THE ZIONISTS (JEWS HAVE A RIGHT TO PROTECT THEMSELVES AGAINST ZIONISM)	649
NON-ISRAELITE JEWS: KHAZAR & ASHKENAZI YAPHITES (THE NON-CHOSEN PEOPLE)	649
MASONIC MAP OF THE ILLUMINATI STATE OF GREATER ISRAEL (ON PREVIOUS BELOW)	651
HOW THE ZIONISTS CHANGED BIBLICAL INTERPRETATION USING SCOFIELD & OXFORD UNIV. IN 1908	651
DECEPTIVE SYMBOLISMS	657
THE PHARISEES (PRIEST-BANKERS) ARE STILL IN CHARGE TODAY.....	659
THE LUCIFERIANS ILLUMINATIS	662

THE BABYLONIAN TALMUD IS THE PHARISEES' SECRET AGENDA	663
BACKGROUND ON THE DISTORTION OF JUDAISM INTO BABYLONIAN TALMUDIC ZIONISM BY THE PHARISEES	665
LUCIFER'S SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN AND THE CULT OF FREEDOM BY DAVID LIVINGSTONE	671
<i>Tribulation and Rapture.....</i>	<i>672</i>
ZIONIST COLLABORATION WITH THE NAZIS	674
ZIONISM: A CONSPIRACY AGAINST JEWS BY HENRY MAKOW PH.D. JUNE 27, 2004	675
<i>Nazis Made-To-Measure For Zionism.....</i>	<i>675</i>
<i>WHO REALLY WAS HITLER (Schicklgruber)? An illegitimate son of Baron Rothschild.....</i>	<i>676</i>
<i>The Banksters' (BankLords) Agenda.....</i>	<i>678</i>
ZIONISM AND ILLUMINISM AND THE BLACK NOBILITY	679
ILLUMINATIS FOOLING THE MASSES (ALLIANCE BETWEEN ROTHSCHILD ZIONISM AND ROME JESUITS).....	684
DO SABBATEAN/FRANKIST JEWS RULE THE WORLD? ARE THE ILLUMINATI BANKING DYNASTIES JEWISH?	688

Executive Summary of the Situation as Corroborated by Tremendous Research..... 692

Section Titles on CD (entire book with illustrations posted at <http://bb.domainlx.com/alexjames9999/> and <http://bb.domainlx.com/alexjames999/>)

Download the book part for free from: http://www.lulu.com/browse/book_view.php?fCID=165077&fBuyItem=5 (about 30 MB) **On The CD:**

[Historical Quotes About Money by The Founding Fathers & Others with Deep Meanings](#)
[Russbacher Expose on the Federal Reserve and New World Order](#)
[Congressman Larry McDonald Exposed the New World Order Tyranny](#)
[Congressman Ron Paul Exposes the Fed and the NWO Neocons](#)
[More on the Rothschild Dynasty](#) [More on the Rockefeller Dynasty](#)
[Leaders behind the New Fascist World Order/Control](#) --- [The New World Order Exposed](#)
[From The Holy Grail to The Oily Grail: A Slimy Trail!](#) --- [Lubavitcher Zionists Control the White House](#)
[History of the 13 Major Illuminati Bloodlines & Illuminism](#)
[Bloodlines of the US Presidents \(its all in the family!\) --- History of CIA War Crimes in Brief](#)
[Victor Ostrovsky & Others Expose History of Israeli/Mossad War Crimes in Brief](#)
[Islam Not Behind The Terror in Brief](#) --- [History of News Media Control in Brief](#)
[Elections Frauds by Owners of the Voting Machines in Brief](#)
[Jack Bernstein Exposes the evils of Zionism/Marxism](#) --- [Benjamin Freedman Exposes the evils of Zionism](#)
[Harold Wallace Rosenthal Exposes the evils of Zionism](#) --- [Stanley Hilton Exposes 9-11 Deception](#)
[Excerpts from The Propaganda for the Next War](#) --- [Excerpts from Noam Chomsky](#)
[The History of Fake Terror](#) --- [Some Very Timely Deaths Help The Illuminati Plans](#)
[The MENA Affair](#) [More on The Waco Massacres](#) --- [The Protocols of The Elders of Zion \(Sion\)](#)
[Zionist Support of the Nazis](#) --- [The Fabian Philosophy of the Illuminatis](#)
[World Leaders Flashing The Satanic Hand Sign of The Horned God](#)
[The Masonic Zionist Plans to Destroy the Al-Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem](#)
[History of Illuminati Idolatry & The Occult during the past 5,000 years](#)
[The Masonic Seal of The United States](#) --- [Masonic Occult Symbols & US Presidency](#)
[Masonic Sun god Occult Symbols & The Vatican](#) --- [Masonic Infiltration of the Catholic Church in Brief](#)
[History of Masonic Covert Activities Since Crusades1](#)
[History of Masonic Covert Activities Since Crusades2](#) --- [Obelisks of the Occultists](#)
[The Occult War for World Tyranny](#) --- [Historical Quotes with Deep Meanings](#)
[President John F. Kennedy's Executive Order 11110, Friend or Foe or Red Herring?](#)
[Important Documents on the 2nd CD](#) --- [References \(check the CD references video/audio/documents\)](#)

There are video and audio documentaries and text documents on the CD.

Publisher's Note: The theories are presented in this book and on the CD as a free public service. They are opinions for educational & further research purposes only, which may or may not be true or correct, inferring relationships between facts, which may in fact have no relationship, and drawing conclusions without any other proof. The material is presented for educational and research purposes only. The owners and publishers of these pages wish to state that the material presented here is offered with the caveat that the reader ought always to research on their own with the understanding that this book and CD are not rendering legal, financial, political, accounting, medical, religious, marriage or any other professional advice or services. You are encouraged to do your complete due diligence and research before applying any of the principles covered in this educational material. External references are supplied to aid this research. We invite the reader to share in our seeking of Truth by reading with an open but skeptical mind. We encourage the seeking of Knowledge and Awareness in all fields of endeavor as the best way to be able to discern lies from truth. We constantly seek to validate and/or refine what we understand to be either possible or probable or both. We do this with the sincere hope that all of humankind will benefit. *** CAVEAT LECTOR ***

Fair Use Note: This free-of-royalty educational book and CD contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We make such material available in an effort to advance awareness and understanding of issues relating to economics, politics, legislation, religion, Satanism, the terrorism of wars, drug trafficking, corporate crimes, murders, alleged suicides, child abduction & abuse, declining moral values, slavery, freedom, liberty, education and propaganda, civil rights, individual rights, international affairs, science & technology, consumer products, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material in this book and CD are distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information please visit: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this book and CD for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission directly from the copyright owners.

Dedication

This book and CD are dedicated to PRO-TRUTH and ANTI-LIES seekers. It is a product of my desire to understand the invisible forces controlling the World, and to share this knowledge of truth. Without knowing how the World situation got to where it is today, it's not possible to understand the thinking of the current behind-the-scenes ruling dynasties. This book and CD is dedicated to all those great human beings who have worked or are working for freedom, real free enterprise, liberty, truth, peace, justice and towards all good. It is dedicated to uncovering the truth and nothing but the truth, to look beyond the grand deceptions, distortions, lies, red-herrings, diversions, distractions, trojan horses, illusions, frauds, propaganda, half-truths or truths associated with lies, cover-ups, conspiracies and strategies, confusing overt mixed signals, fake-terror and the campaigns to dismiss or ridicule the truth as irrelevant by those who wish to hide the veritas and lead the masses into tunneled visions. This book and CD are also dedicated to the following books: The Torah (not the adulterated version by the Pharisees), The Bible (not the new distorted interpretations by the Zionists) and The Quran (The Read, when interpreted properly). After reading this book and CD, one will obtain a much greater understanding of these Books' verses related to money, usury and false measure, conspiracies & cover-ups, secret counsels and devious plots, occultism and idolatry (and its associated blood sacrifice ancient rituals), and prophecy. This book and CD are a coordinated collection of a lot of good peoples' works and the author cannot claim any credit for it other than for arranging it into an easily understandable sequence with relative simplicity and clarity. The compilation of this enormous information was possible due to the support of family and friends World-wide.

Special thanks to all the researchers listed in the References section, especially the courageous Jewish folks who have exposed many lies and deceptions, including but not limited to: <http://www.themoneymasters.com> (Patrick S. J. Carmack and Bill Still)-- <http://www.justiceplus.org/bankers.htm> (Sheldon Emry) -- <http://www.monetary.org> -- <http://www.lulu.com/content/137150> (The Money Trail by Estanislaio Carter) <http://www.xat.org/xat/alternative.html> (Alternative Trading Network and Specialized Direct Democracy XAT4) -- <http://www.norfed.org> (National Org. for the Repeal of the Federal Reserve Act and the Internal Revenue Code) -- <http://www.dal.ca/~rislam/book.htm> (Prof.Dr. Rafiqul Islam Dalhousie University, Canada) -- -- <http://www.yellowtimes.org> -- <http://www.sfgroup.org> (Richard Benson explains the Federal Reserve System Fraud) -- <http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/PartyInfo/Policies.asp?A=9&B=2&C=0&D=0&Language=English> -- <http://www.cqfreestate.com/> -- <http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/MainPages/Comic.asp?Page=4&Language=English> (Canada Action Party) -- <http://www.altpr.org> -- <http://www.harunyahya.net> -- <http://www.reformation.org> -- http://www.newmediaexplorer.org/sepp/2005/04/19/canada_class_action_accuses_banks_of_illegal_creation_of_money.htm -- <http://www.globalresearch.ca> (Michael Chossudovsky, University of Ottawa, Prof. Economics) -- <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com> (Michael Rivero) <http://www.antiwar.com> (Justin Raimondo) -- <http://www.infowars.com> (Alex Jones) -- <http://www.whtt.org> (Chuck Carlson) -- <http://www.fromthewilderness.com> (Michael Ruppert) -- <http://www.copvicia.com> <http://www.savethemales.ca> (Dr. Henry Makow) -- <http://www.johnkaminski.com> -- <http://www.williamcooper.com> (killed Nov 2001) -- <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com/> (True-Torah Jews) -- <http://www.public-action.com> (Carol Valentine) -- <http://www.addictedtoward.com> (Joel Andreas) -- <http://www.thedyinggod.com> (David Livingstone) -- <http://www.skolnicksreport.com> (Sherman Skolnick) -- <http://www.realityzone.com> (G. Edward Griffin) -- <http://www.commondreams.org> -- <http://www.prosperityuk.com/prosperity/prosperity.html> -- <http://www.perfecteconomy.com/pg-quotes.html> (Mike Montagne) -- <http://www.wfhummel.cnchost.com> -- <http://www.uhuh.com/> Forest Glen Durland -- The Lost Science of Money: The Mythology of Money-The Story of Power <http://www.sustecweb.co.uk/past/sustec13-2/The%20Lost%20Science.htm> -- <http://www.sfgroup.org> (Richard Benson explains the Federal Reserve System Fraud) -- <http://www.investmentrarities.com/bestofmyers06-11-03.htm> John Myers -- <http://www.financialsense.com/series4/part1.html> James Puplava -- <http://www.maxexchange.com/ybj> -- http://www.the7thfire.com/new_world_order/final_warning/federal_reserve_act.htm -- <http://www.libertydollar.org> -- <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm> -- <http://www.americanrevolutionaryparty.us> -- <http://www.economichitman.com> -- Chicago Fed <http://www.Worldnewsstand.net/money/mmm2.html#MODERN> -- <http://www.barefootsWorld.net/usfraud.html> -- <http://www.wtv-zone.com/Mary/FEDERALRESERVE.HTML> -- <http://www.comer.org/BoC/BoCtut.htm> -- <http://www.apfn.org/APFN/MONEY.HTM> -- <http://www.wealth4freedom.com/truth/2/fed.htm> -- <http://www.michaeljournal.org/myth.htm> -- www.richardhoskins.com -- <http://www.fdrs.org/> -- <http://www.moneyasdebt.net/> -- http://eldoradogold.net/pdf/October_2006/Patman_PrimerOnMoney.pdf -- <http://www.mecfilms.com/fiat/> -- <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm> -- http://www.tysknews.com/Depts/Taxes/fed_banking_fraud.htm -- <http://www.seanscreenplays.com/BeteNoireCD/Articles1/HIDDEN%20POWER.htm> -- <http://www.womensgroup.org/> -- http://www.freedomforceinternational.org/printerfriendly.cfm?pffile=meetflaherty_content.cfm (debunking skeptics) -- Ellen Brown <http://www.webofdebt.com> -- Pastor Rod Parsley , Fritz Springmeier, Eustace Mullins, Jeff Rense, David Icke, Uri Dowbenko, Gillian Armstrong, Robert at "Etherzone", Murray at "Push Hamburger", Nelson Thall, Rixon Steward, Paul Watson, Lisa Guliani, Victor Thorn, David Pidcock, Michael Nield, Charley Reese, Robert Fisk, John Pilger, Greg Palast, Laura Knight-Jadczyk, Noam Chomsky, Alfred M. Lilienthal, Fred Eggerton, M. McInnes, Jared Israel, Joe Vialls, Michael Moore, Abdelmenem Jamil Addas, Janet Bush, Arundhati Roy, Anthony Hilder, David Allen Rivera, Eric J. Rainbolt, Paul Hein, Henry K. Liu, Monus Aron, Aron Russo, David Astle, Jim Kirwan, Paul Grignon, etc. etc. **Apologies to those I've missed listing here or in the References section.** Some more links: <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info> <http://blogs.albawaba.com/alexanderjames> <http://www.thepowerhour.com> <http://www.whtt.org> (Chuck Carlson) <http://www.commondreams.org> <http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/> <http://www.arcticbeacon.com/page/page/1518131.htm> <http://www.theinsider.org/mailling/archive.asp> <http://www.globalresearch.ca> (Michael Chossudovsky, University of Ottawa, Professor of Economics) <http://www.antiwar.com> (Justin Raimondo) <http://www.counterpunch.org> <http://www.thelawparty.org/> <http://cloakanddagger.ca> <http://www.cloakanddagger.de/> <http://www.almartinraw.com> <http://www.red-ice.net/> <http://thehandstand.org/> <http://www.corpwatch.org/index.php> <http://www.robert-fisk.com> <http://www.911-strike.com/> <http://www.innWorldreport.net/> <http://www.insider-magazine.com/contact.htm> <http://www.911fraud.blogspot.com/> <http://www.newsnow.co.uk/newsfeed/?name=Behind+the+News> <http://question911.com> <http://reopen911.org/> <http://www.physics911.net/nuclearfalseflagdraft.htm> <http://www.physics911.org> <http://www.physics911.net> <http://www.911truth.org> <http://911research.wtc7.net/> <http://911exposed.com/> Eric Rainbolt <http://www.tvnewslies.org> <http://www.letsroll911.org> <http://www.plaquepuppy.net/> <http://loosechange911.com/> <http://www.israelshamir.net> <http://www.freedomunderground.org/memory/pentagon.php#Main> <http://www.moveon.org> <http://www.johnpilger.com/> <http://erichufschmid.net/911.swf> <http://69.28.73.17/planesite.html> <http://100777.com/> <http://source.gnn.tv/> <http://www.serendipity.li/> <http://69.28.73.17/> <http://www.wingtv.net> <http://www.rudemacedon.ca/kaminski/kam-index.html>

http://www.Worldnewsstand.net/John_Kaminski_Archives.htm <http://www.truthout.org/multimedia.htm>
<http://www.rense.com/Datapages/kaminski.htm> <http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/columnist.asp?ID=11>
<http://www.lewisnews.com/section.asp?ID=104&Name=Greg+Szymanski++of+%22The+Arctic+Beacon%22>
<http://www.threeWorldwars.com> <http://illuminati-news.com/> <http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/>
<http://www.theamericanvoice.com/> (radio show) <http://www.twf.org/> <http://www.yellowtimes.org> <http://www.globalnewsmatrix.com/>
<http://www.waynemadsenreport.com/> (Wayne Madsen) <http://www.fearorlove.com/catalog.htm>
<http://www.nologo.org/> (Naomi Klein) <http://joevialls.altermedia.info/> (died July 2005) <http://www.rumormillnews.com/>
<http://www.altpr.org> <http://www.americanfreepress.net> <http://www.todaysalternativenews.com/>
<http://www.freedomforceinternational.org> <http://www.realityzone.com> (G. Edward Griffin) <http://www.fame.org/Publications.asp>
<http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/> www.jackblood.com <http://www.viewfromthewall.com>
<http://www.breakfornews.com/> <http://www.web-tracks.org> www.rbnlive.com <http://www.solariactionnetwork.com/phpBB2/>
<http://www.karlschwarz.com/> <http://www.michaelmoore.com> <http://www.bilderberg.org>
<http://www.thedyinggod.com> (David Livingstone) <http://198.62.75.1/www2/koestler/> The 13th Tribe
<http://www.mideastfacts.com/resolutions.html> <http://www.informationtimes.com/> <http://blogs.albawaba.com/alexanderjames>
<http://groups.msn.com/TotalTruthSciences/messages.mswn> <http://signs-of-the-times.org/signs/signs.htm>
<http://www.assassinationcience.com> <http://www.larouchepub.com> http://www.spingola.com/my_articles.htm
<http://www.vaticanassassins.org/> <http://altport.org/altport/index.php> <http://charlesgoyette.com/>
<http://control-alt-delete.ca/v-web/bulletin/bb/index.php?> <http://www.noqw.com/movies.html>
<http://www.linkcrusader.com/anti-bush.htm> <http://www.toostupidtobepresident.com/> <http://zeitgeistmovie.com/>
<http://www.albasrah.net/media/multimedia.htm> <http://www.dailykos.com/> <http://www.supportthetruth.com/>
<http://www.mediamonitors.net/> <http://litek.ws/k0nsl/detox/> <http://www.revisionisthistory.org/palestine.html>
<http://www.cremationofcare.com/contributions/1000linkstruthpage.html> <http://www.linktoit.com/>
<http://www.whilimena.blogspot.com/> http://www.whilimena.blogspot.com/2004_11_01_whilimena_archive.html
http://www.911busters.com/911_new_video_productions/MOV/Painful_Deceptions.html
http://www.apfn.net/Doc-100_bankruptcy.htm <http://www.matthes.freeservers.com/custom3.html>
<http://www.liferepatterning.com/SECRETFinancialHistoryoftheUnitedStates.htm> <http://eldoradoqgold.net/>
<http://www.hiddenmysteries.org/conspiracy/facts/runningamerica.html> http://www.v72.org/illuminati_articles.html
<http://www.wealth4freedom.com/truth/banks.htm> <http://www.ojczyzna.pl/BOOKS/the-money-changers-ch1.htm>
http://www.time-bomb.org/%20Pages%20Left%20Nav%20Bar/Food_For_Thought.htm
The Theory of Money and Credit (<http://www.econlib.org/library/Mises/msTContents.html>) The Mystery of Banking (<http://www.mises.org/mysteryofbanking/mysteryofbanking.pdf>) History of Money from Ancient Times to the Present Day (<http://www.ex.ac.uk/~RDavies/arian/llyfr.html>) by Glyn Davies Shelling Out: The Origins of Money (<http://szabo.best.vwh.net/shell.html>) Shell Beads from South African Cave Show Modern Human Behavior 75,000 Years Ago (http://www.nsf.gov/news/news_summ.jsp?cntn_id=100362&org=NSF) Money Museum at the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (<http://www.rich.frb.org/econed/museum/>) A large collection of links (<http://www.ex.ac.uk/~RDavies/arian/money.html>) Money Management (http://www.dmoz.org/Home/Personal_Finance/Money_Management/) Philosophy of Money (<http://www.bu.edu/wcp/Papers/Econ/EconShep.htm>) by Alla Sheptun Money is a Failed System (<http://metamind.us/members/s/stevemoyer/email/1075695203.htm>) by Steve Moyer SALT and the Evolution of money (<http://salt.org.il/money.html>) Community Exchange Systems in Asia, Africa and Latin America (<http://www.appropriate-economics.org>) Rethinking and Transforming Money (<http://www.seek2know.net/money.html>) How much is that worth today? (<http://www.eh.net/ehresources/howmuch/poundq.php>) - Comparing the purchasing power of money in Britain from 1600 to any other year up to 2002. Monetary Systems and Managed Economies (<http://www.benbest.com/polecon/monetary.html>) Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago: Modern Money Mechanics - A Workbook on Bank Reserves and Deposit Expansion (<http://landru.i-link-2.net/monques/mmm2.html>) Understanding Capitalism Part II: Personal Property, Money and Finance (http://www.rationalrevolution.net/articles/capitalism_property.htm) UnitedDiversity Money Page (<http://ud.lir.be/money>) The History of Money (<http://www.xat.org/xat/moneyhistory.html>) Banking Without Regulation (<http://www.fee.org/vnews.php?nid=2794>) e-hamburgers (<http://www.kuro5hin.org/story/2003/8/2/203846/0944>) From legal tender to virtual vouchers. Past, present and possible future of money (<http://www.polyarchy.org/paradigm/english/money.html>) [4] (<http://www.banknotes.com>) Popular History of Money FAQs (<http://www.galmarley.com>) The official money fanlisting (<http://money.fanatique.net/>) Retrieved from "<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Money>" Categories: Money | Numismatics <http://www.loveforlife.com.au/flier> <http://www.freedomdomain.com/linkpage.htm> <http://www.usagold.com/allworkandnopay.html> http://yamaguchy.net/firms.com/7897401/astle/astle_index.html <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article18205.htm> <http://www.geocities.com/cmcofer/interest.html> <http://www.agoracosmopolitan.com/home/News1/2006/03/06/01146.html> <http://www.geocities.com/cmcofer/quotes.html>

"Our society is run by insane people for insane objectives. I think we are being run by maniacs for maniacal ends and I think I am liable to be put away as insane for expressing that. That's what's insane about it"
--- John Lennon

"We are apt to shut our eyes against a painful truth...for my part, I am willing to know the whole truth; to know the worst; and to provide for it."
Patrick Henry

"Fear can only prevail when victims are ignorant of the facts."
Thomas Jefferson

"No one man can terrorize a whole nation unless we are all his accomplices."
Edward R Murrow

"The U.S. dollar is a 'faith-based currency' dependent on the credibility of a central bank"
--- Dallas Federal Reserve Bank President Richard Fisher



"Let me explainify the war against Iraq a little bit in Texas terminology."


We  not  Saddam Hussein for his actions.

We will **Mobilize** to meet this threat in the Persian  until an  ble solution is reached.

Our plan is to .

Failing that, we  ming to kick his ass.

DemocracyMeansYou.com



And they still hesitate to change the wheel!



Preface, Writing Style & Summary of This Book

Everyone has the right...to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers. - Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 19

I have placed the above reminder for those self-anointed alleged guardians of human rights who like to ensure that only the Ruling Elites' voice is heard and everyone else's voice is drowned. In a World ruled and controlled by corrupt people also known as criminals, the truth can be found in what is forbidden to say. Follow the money and **make no mistake about it, we are all owned by the masters of the banking system who have always criminally ruled humans since ancient times. "None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free."** Otherwise, if serfs were made cognisant of their condition of servitude, they would be moved to do something about it which would be problematic to their masters who want eternal power over them with the least trouble as possible, i.e. the ideal tyranny is that which is unknowingly self-administrated by its victims and so the most perfect slaves are those who blissfully and unwittingly enslave themselves. Similarly, those who are hopelessly ignorant of the pernicious -and precarious- nature of the modern financial system are, by their blind obedience to it, slaves to the money-masters who control it. **We are the serfs/knaves of the modern banking feudal gangsters (shades of the Sheriff of Nottingham).**

Since very ancient times, the ruling elite have kept themselves above the laws and systems they have devised for the common folks, i.e. what is criminal for the average person is not for the World's Ruling Elite, i.e. the World is ruled by criminals who have given themselves "the divine right of kings" and who have legislated loopholes for themselves to remain above the common law.

The veteran FBI director **J. Edgar Hoover** said: "The individual is handicapped by coming face-to-face with a **"conspiracy so monstrous** (<http://www.savethemales.ca/000166.html>) that he cannot believe it exists." You will understand why as you read how unimaginably monstrous the feudal bankers' conspiracy really is! Note that 6 or 7 US Presidents (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if FDR's poisoning is counted) have been murdered over the issue of private banking dynasties having control over the creation of money, alongwith many others including Julius Caesar.

Cherep-Spiritovitch concludes: "According to Bismarck the awful Civil War in America was fomented by a Jewish [Zionist Bankers] Conspiracy, and Abraham Lincoln the hero and national saint of the United States was killed by the same hidden hand which killed six Romanov Czars, ten kings and scores of Ministers only to easier bleed their nations." [and now 6 more US Presidents after Lincoln]. More on this later.

First let me summarize the major points that will be discussed as you explore the Hidden History of Money & Usury:

- Money is needed by everyone to facilitate exchange of goods and services as bartering is not always practical
- In the past, Paper money issued by a money changer used to represent a receipt for some commodity that exists, e.g. gold, silver, etc.
- Today's money is a token **"promise to pay"** which is **"created from nothing"** by the issuer at no liability to himself using the people's productivity as collateral without their permission, i.e. the "promise to pay" is a collective liability against the entire country and this token is given value by the people who produce goods and services and not by its issuer or creator
- An **infinite amount of credit** can be created by those Banksters who have usurped the power to issue credit, thus they are the Neo-Feudal Lords of the World and can buy-out anything/anyone to tighten their power
- This fiat money then acts like shares who value gets diluted as new shares are issued
- The ones who are **"the creators of money"** control the issue of money, can then control governments, corporations, individuals, i.e. they can create oversupplies and shortages of money, buy-out assets, etc.
- Any new credit created causes a devaluation of the existing currency, thus inflation takes away your current currency based wealth and your earning power as well as your power to pay interest on any existing debt and your power to buy future goods
- All money in circulation represents a debt that is being paid with interest by someone (a government, a corporation, a person). The associated interest is not created into the system thus assuring a shortfall unless more debt is assumed to create additional money
- Private Banking Dynastic Families have always had control over the issue of money since ancient times and have usurped our birthright to be free, transferred all liabilities to us as eternal debt and bail-outs for their cronies
- **Private Banking Dynasties have used lies, propaganda, education, media control, religious cults, stooges, blackmail, threats, suicidal murders, assassinations, terrorism, wars and currency manipulations to maintain their hegemony over a World currency, control over the issue of money and increase their wealth and power over governments and legislations**
- Criminal Private Banking Dynasties/Cabals are the rulers of the World and are the force behind governments, thrones, major corporations, tax-exempt foundations, secretive societies, and legislations
- Currently, the privately owned Federal Reserve Banks' Note (FRNs) US Dollar is the World's currency as it is demanded by all exporting countries in exchange for goods/services they trade internationally
- This allows the Banking Dynasties to use the United States as their muscle around the World to maintain their power and wage currency wars for their hegemony, while they can keep buying anything they want by merely

issuing FRNs, giving nothing in return, and forcing the trade deficit into Treasury Bill paper at the point of their big guns

- If there were no debt, there would be no money supply, i.e. we are eternally indebted to the Banksters into an eternally growing production and debt until the system collapses leading us into destitution and slavery at the mercy of the BankLords
- As the Banksters create more and more money, previously issued money becomes increasingly worth less. Please note that inflation is a hidden tax on money itself. Taxes go to enrich the BankLords to pay them their usury tribute
- Democracy and freedom are actually a front for the BankLords' economic dictatorship
- This money system loved by the BankLords is dependent on perpetually accelerating growth and therefore is not sustainable. **THUS THIS SYSTEM MUST BE REPLACED WITH A NON-PROFIT SUSTAINABLE SOCIAL CREDIT SYSTEM eventually or with total slavery.**

"Ill fares the land, To hastening ills a prey, Where wealth accumulates And men decay. -- **Oliver Goldsmith**

First there is money. Then someone gets the idea, **"Why not turn the money itself into a commodity?"** From its normal use as a medium of exchange, the very money becomes something to be trafficked in and profitable in itself (it's a bit like the Football ticket scalpers).

Aristotle in "On Politics": **"Money, being naturally barren, to make it breed money is preposterous and a perversion from the end of its institution, which was only to serve the purpose of exchange and not of increase."**

Usury is most reasonably detested as the increase arises from the money itself, and not by employing it to the purpose for which it was intended. In Rome, 48 B.C., the issuing of money was done privately by a few wealthy Jewish families. "Julius **Caesar took this privilege from them** and restored it to the government to whom it belonged." For this, Julius Caesar was assassinated.

"I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that banks can and do create money.....And they who control the credit of the nation [and its citizens and corporations] direct the policy of Governments and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people"...- **Reginald McKenna, a past Chairman of the Board, Midlands Bank of England.**

"Thus our national circulating medium [collectivized debt liability] is now at the mercy of loan transactions of banks, which lend not money, but [false] promises to supply money which they do not possess".....- **Irving Fisher, economist & author.**

"One thing to realize about our fractional reserve banking system is that like a child's game of musical chairs [i.e. a pyramid ponzi scheme], as long as the music is playing, there are no losers"...- **Andrew Gause, Monetary historian.**

The Hidden History of Money is the tip of the iceberg of truth with many angles of viewing in an attempt to "follow the money" down to "qui bono who benefits?" Please note that some of the material presented in this book is illustrated in the 4-hour video documentary <http://www.themoneymasters.com> (about **money-pulation** by the money-monsters). These masters of the modern world are the men of unique wealth and influence who control, by a complete and complex matrix of evil, the banking and financial systems of the world and by that the economic and political spheres in human affairs. These men of fabulously unique wealth and influence are not known to the masses since they conduct their affairs in great secrecy -in dark unions and secret meetings- far away from public scrutiny. Yet their influence in human affairs is massive as we will conclude from the material presented later. The information presented herein will also increase understanding of some of these verses Bible and the Quran.

James Madison, 4th President of the United States: "Knowledge will forever govern ignorance, and a people who mean to be their own governors must arm themselves with the power that knowledge gives. A popular government without [truthful] popular information or the means of acquiring it [thank God for the Internet] is but a prologue to a farce [Bush & the Neocons] or tragedy [financial slavery of the masses, Iraq, Afghanistan] or perhaps both."

Mahatma Gandhi: "Just look at us. Everything is backwards; everything is upside down. Doctors destroy health, lawyers destroy justice, universities destroy knowledge, governments destroy freedom, the major media destroy information and [institutionalized, evangelicals] religions destroy spirituality, etc."

"Our lives begin to end, the day we become silent about things that matter." **Martin Luther King**

"You don't have to burn books to destroy a culture, just get people to stop reading them." **Ray Bradbury**

"Who controls the past controls the future: who controls the present controls the past." **George Orwell.** The winners write falsified history. In this book we aim to dig out the truth from the existing cover-up.

"Name me an emperor who was ever struck by a cannon ball" - **Charles V**

"These are the days when men of all social disciplines and all political faiths seek the comfortable and the accepted; when the man of controversy is looked upon as a disturbing influence; when originality is taken to be a mark of instability; and

when, in minor modification of the original parable, the bland lead the bland.": **John Kenneth Galbraith - (1908-) Canadian-born economist, Harvard professor. Source: The Affluent Society, 1976**

"Freedom... refer[s] to a social relationship among people -- namely, the absence of force as a prospective instrument of decision making. Freedom is reduced whenever a decision is made under threat of force, whether or not force actually materializes or is evident in retrospect." **Thomas Sowell - (1930-) Writer and economist**

"You can't hold a man down without staying down with him." - **Booker T. Washington - (1856-1915) Author**

The Hidden History of Money is an adventure in the true history of World control. I have to warn you. The flood of naked truth in this book is intense, blistering and shocking for the novice and it may take a novice reader several read-throughs to obtain a good level of understanding of the material being presented. Don't expect to catch on during your first read-through. To assist comprehension, stimulate thinking and remove mental blind-spots and tunnels, the information is presented in several different ways and from many varying angles and from hundreds of distinctly diverse sources (all listed in the References section and most are available from Amazon.com and on the Internet).


This book is a **big journey into truth!** It took over 6 years to collect, comprehend and compile this material. First, as I discovered this material, I couldn't believe it, I was shocked and went into denial (couldn't believe politicians and some other folks were lying even if they told me that they were lying! Guess that's what they call the "dumming down" effect). However, after studying further and deeper, the big picture behind major World events started to emerge. It is a bit like the structure of the universe not making sense without envisioning an ORGANIZER behind it. If you've come across this book by a stroke of good luck, please read it with a wide open mind (**ignorance is having a closed mind**) because the mainstream media and the education system have not exposed you to this truth and have in fact presented you with a distorted view of the World resulting in skewed preconceptions and prejudices that this will take time to unravel (also known as de-briefing or de-programming the cognitive dissonance or the Paradigm Effect which blinds/filters us from acknowledging information contrary to the existing Paradigms in our minds). This baggage of misconceptions and distortions will make it a challenge to comprehend the facts stated in this book. You may find revelations in this book shocking and go into denial because of the thinkings inertia to the illusionary World that has been created by the Illuminati owned/controlled mass media. So please read with patience as all stunning statements will be circumstantially factualized as we will expose the private Illuminati Banking Dynasties who get to create money from nothing and that have immense resources to make lies appear deceptively like "truth".!

Its likely that you've picked up this book because instinctively you're thinking that something isn't quite making sense about the horrible conditions of the World, about why the system we live in forces people to hurt each other, about why certain events are happening, their coordination and sequencing, about the mainstream news media's strange explanations of these events, about the insane direction these events are taking us, about why politicians are speaking with forked tongues (**politics: 'poli' in Latin means 'many' and 'tics' means 'bloodsucking creatures'**), about why we can't get any good people (politicians or union leaders) elected or why good people get assassinated, about why the news media loves war-mongering politicians, about why certain groups are being demonized, about why there are so many child kidnappings and that pedophilia rings lead to top politicians, about why truth leakers are arrested or killed, about why sometimes we see mixed signals that keep us in confusion and directionless (by the way, the tactic of confusion was practiced since ancient Babylon, the word **"Babylon" itself means confusion; in Hebrew & Arabic its Babel; i.e. rule the masses by keeping them busy in the paralysis of confusion, keep them barefoot and distracted!**), etc.!, i.e. you're feeling that there is a big disturbance in "the force" (Star Wars terminology). The elite news media is a weapon of mass distraction and will keep you confused!

Many of the deceptions will be exposed and explained in this research (the mainstream media floods the masses with useless info, or half of the information, or mixes truth with lies to either discredit the truth or to promote lies). Your time will not be spent thinking in the wrong direction. **A lot of quotes from famous leaders have and will be given to substantiate the explanations of events which are leading towards a Financial & Military Babylonian/Roman style "Fourth Reich" that will further concentrate financial and political power into the hands of a few self-anointed nobility or Illuminatis a.k.a. Illuminati Bankers, and force the enslaved people to fight with each other.** This book will help you understand the history of money & the Illuminatis since ancient times to this day; you will get an insight into where these insane geniuses of the New World Order (NWO) are coming from, what they are controlling, how they create CHAOS to bring the "Order of Slavery out of Chaos" that they are trying to trap the masses into and the Occult culture they secretly practice along with the front organizations they have created. You will understand why **USURY and giving false measure** is forbidden in the true Torah, Bible and the Quran (and other books). Also, **the real anti-Semites will be exposed in this book; they are today's Illuminati Zionists!** (Quotes from Zionists and ex-Zionists are presented later).

I highly recommend this book as a must for all universities in their studies of all the major components of human activities such as World history, political science, business, journalism, economics, legislation, religion, Satanism, the crime and terrorism of wars, drug trafficking, corporate crimes, murders, alleged suicides, child abuse, declining moral values, slavery, freedom, liberty, education and propaganda, civil rights, individual rights, international affairs, science & technology, consumer products, mafias and

The Hidden History Of Money & New World Order Usury Secrets I



secret societies, and sociology. These are interlinked, like the pieces of a complex puzzle, around the controllers of money. This book is about REALITY. It could just as well have been named any of the following possible titles as being "right on the money" about the history of **"money-pulation"**: "The Web of Grand Deception" or "The Web of World Control" or "The Web of The New World Order", "The Matrix of Mass Deception" or "Reality Uncovered" or "The Mother of all Deceptions!", "The Hidden or Secret History" or "How Mankind is being Controlled" or "Descent into Slavery", "How The Masses are Enslaved?" or "The Illusion of Democracy and Freedom!", "Where there's Money there's War!" or "From the Holy Grail to the Oily Grail: a Slimy Trail!", "How the Masses are being Fooled by the Elites!" or "The Matrix of Evil", "Return to Tyranny, Serfdom & Slavery" or "Hidden Pyramids of Tyranny" or "The Pyramid of Manipulation", "From The Synagogue of Satan to The Bank of Satan" or "The New World Order Cultists", "Why Usury is Forbidden?" or "The Forbidden History of Money" or "The Horrible Consequences of Usury", "Everything you wanted to know about Money & Usury but were afraid to find out!", "From the Old World to the New World to the New World Order" or "Illuminati Studies".

Once you have moved past the stunning effects of what you will discover in this book, you may feel a sense of mental freedom and you will realize that you have been a victim of mass-media and educational system brainwashing from which you have been released after reading this book. **Many things and people are not what they appear to be; rather, they really are what they appear not to be!** You'll also be surprised to learn that the real anti-Semites are the Illuminati Zionists. Many photographs and illustrations and references are provided to satisfy the desire for truth and knowledge. Give yourself a lot of time to read this book in case you are unable to stop reading once you get into it! **You may find that some information is repeated in several sections; this is for emphasis and to facilitate our recollections, and also just in case you skipped reading some sections.** There are a great variety of topics covered in this book, i.e. from **mega-banking dynasties** to **economic frauds** to **monopoly-capitalism (= communism = fascism)** to **secretive societies** to **power politics** to **election frauds** to **Occultism and its associated symbolism** to **intelligence agencies** to **war crimes** and to the **oil-soaked, spy-riddled monopoly press**. Why? Because, just like our natural eco-systems, these topics are an **interconnected web** (that's right, this web was there before Al Gore invented the World Wide Web!). This web is used to control, deceive and fool the masses while holding them in economic slavery so that the mega-rich can keep on toying with & cheating the masses and so that they can go on amassing more and more of the World's resources, i.e. this is a real web or matrix of mass-deception (this web does have an effect on the web formed by our eco-system in terms of massive pollution)!

"There is something behind the Throne greater than the King himself." **Sir William Pitt**, House of Lords, 1770.

"The World is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes." **Benjamin Disraeli**, Jewish English Statesman, 1844.

"The real truth of the matter is that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government since the days of Andrew Jackson." **Franklin D. Roosevelt**, US President, 1933, who was part of the cabal as he ordered all gold confiscated at a low price.

"Under the Federal Reserve Act, panics are scientifically created. The present panic is the first scientifically created one, worked out as we figure a mathematical equation." **Charles Lindbergh**, Congressman, 1929, as US society was reduced to squalor.

"It was a carefully contrived occurrence. International Banker sought to bring about a condition of despair, so that they might emerge the Rulers of us all." **Louis McFadden**, Congressman, 1935.

There Was A Time When Man Was Free, When There Was No Money


Before gangsters started monopolizing ownership of the Earth's land and resources, man would be born free and live free. When feudal gangsters monopolized land, they also enslaved the peasants. Then, these feudal gangsters created the only thing that man ever created, i.e. money. Everything other than money is built with labour, ingenuity and materials, but money credits are just created out of thin air by the self-appointed banking gangsters or banksters. When the serfs and knaves were "emancipated", they remained in servitude to these feudal gangsters through usury, taxes and inflation. **Now, everyone is born indebted to the banksters.** This will be explained in detail throughout the Hidden History of Money and New World Order Usury Secrets.

"I place economy among the first and most important virtues, and public debt as the greatest of dangers. To preserve our independence, we must not let our rulers load us with perpetual debt." -- **Thomas Jefferson, 1743-1826**

"Until the National Debt is paid off, the other problems facing our country will remain unsolved. Compound Interest is the 'Eighth Wonder Of The World' and it can bring a nation to its knees." -- -- **C. Morgan Cofer, 1926-?**

Note that most bankruptcies are due to usury and that most frauds are due to the limited liability laws which protect wrongdoers. Taxpayers always bail out the Elite's banks. The Elite criminals are well protected.

"There are none so enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free [due to the Elite's mass propaganda]" - **Goethe**



SLEEPER CELLS IN OUR MIDST



Introduction to The History of Money

In our exploration of the "Hidden History of Money", we will be exposing the biggest problem facing mankind, i.e. the creeping slavery of the feudal elite-imposed financial system or order (or disorder!) since Ancient Babylon and Roman times in which the BankLords have the power to create money by merely issuing paper credits, using us the people as their collateral or chattel, at no liability to themselves thus transferring their liabilities onto us all while they enjoy the mega-profits, through the central banking cartels and the local banks which they own. The related history of land & natural resources usury/distribution and other fraudulent/dishonest/immoral legislations is not covered in this book and you may refer to <http://www.satansgovernment.com/book.php?book=1&chapter=toc> on Satan's Government and The New World Order <http://www.satansgovernment.com/book.php?book=2&chapter=toc> .

We will show how seemingly respectable institutions that are bleeding the World dry have been set up, and how they maintain an air of respectability through clever use of dishonest legislation (legalized organized crime), hence the political dimension, and through clever use of distractions, hence the propaganda and news control dimension. The best distraction and the best way to reorganize for an empire is a war; wars are the largest form of premeditated organized crime. Most politicians and major news outlets work for the same Illuminati bosses, i.e. they don't work in the interest of the people! We will also be looking at how the privatization of the production and issuance of currency from nothing at no liability to the issuer, i.e the masses bear the liability and then the charging of interest on it has been turned into a means of eternally making huge profits, ownership and control for a given parasitical unproductive few at the expense of the rest of us who are thrown into a rat race for the pleasure of the Banksters. We will explain later that issuing money from nothing at interest creates a perpetual debt-loop from generation to generation with the Banking legalized counterfeiting gangsters getting eternally richer and the masses winding up working for the neo-feudal robber baron Banksters.

Let's learn the hidden history of how the current enslaving economic system was created by the secretive forces of the banking dynasties working to bring about a tyrannical New World Order. **Well known leaders**, Presidents, Senators, Congressman, Supreme Court Justices, journalist, economists, politicians, spiritual prophets, and many others, throughout the World's history, **have condemned this bondage**. We will see who are behind every Presidential & other assassinations, who are behind the depressions and boom market cycles, behind wars and terrorism, why we have to pay enslaving taxes and why we have to work harder and harder to make ends meet in an imposed cutthroat competitive environment (a bit like where the gladiators have to kill each other for the pleasure of the emperor) while the BankLords and their collaborators get richer and more powerful as the centralized control of their fascist New World Order takes shape.

Who is implementing what Machiavelli described as "rulers who preach might makes right", "order comes from chaos", "good comes from evil", and "the end justifies the means"? Ever wonder why some many interesting and key people get assassinated, disappear or meet mysterious accidental deaths, or supposedly commit suicide in a gruesome way (about 20,000 US murders annually; notice how the witnesses to Ariel Sharon's war crimes at Sabra and Shatila were killed after war crimes tribunal were initiated against Sharon)? Or why some many witnesses have been intimidated by officials? Or why so many criminals are let loose or pardoned? Or why so many innocents are framed? Or why is there so much false testimony? Or why so many children are kidnapped? Why did the British Royal family want to hide their German Zionist bloodline by changing their name from The House of Battenberg to the House of Windsor during WW1? Why have some officials been promoted instead of getting fired after the 1st WTC attack in 1993, after the Waco attack, after the Oklahoma City bombing, after the 2001 WTC attack? Why are so many government documents kept from the public? Why do certain officials admit to doing things that don't add up (have they been threatened into admission? Shades of inquisition where people were tortured into admitting blasphemy!) What are these guys hiding???

Why are lies and deceptions the method of operations for so many politicians and company executives? It serves to confuse the masses, i.e. the rulers pretend to do and support one thing and implement the opposite! Of course, one could be a skeptic, but let's be skeptic about liars such as Bush, Cheney, Powell, Rumsfeld, Wolfowitz, Perle, Bolton, Ashcroft, Rice, Myers, Blair, Sharon, CIA, Mossad, Hitler, Mussolini, Franco, Stalin, Lenin, Karl Mordecai Marx, Engels, Mao, Rothschilds, Rockefellers, etc., and their bosses, the Illuminati dark clan aristocrats and nobility, through whose orders and ideas millions of people have been killed, tortured and rendered refugees during the past two centuries.

Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

"Give me control over a man's economic actions, and hence over his means of survival, and except for a few occasional heroes, I'll promise to deliver to you men who think and write and behave as I want them to." - Benjamin A. Rooge

A lot of people have already exposed the Rothschild family as the power behind the thrones, and they continue to exert phenomenal influence over the monetary systems of Europe and America. Here is [a timeline about the Rothschilds](http://www.iamthewitness.com/DarylBradfordSmith_Rothschild.htm). It is time for Americans and Europeans to stop behaving like **frightened children**. http://www.iamthewitness.com/DarylBradfordSmith_Rothschild.htm

As explained in the 1800s by German Chancellor Otto Von Bismarck, the problem is the control of the nation's credit by a small private international group, which has used this advantage to build a monopoly (hence the term "monopoly capitalism" = communism = fascism = imperialism) in every key industry. This international group is behind World imperialism. Its totalitarian occult agenda (a.k.a, globalism) is now corporate policy. The victim paradigm typically purveyed by some is to create divisions and divert attention from the Illuminati Bankers and their cartels. It teaches each new generation to be alienated from their country, its culture and economic system, and to become dysfunctional and impotent. The Bankers want Big Government that they centrally control through debt and credit issues. They need the global police state to ensure that no nation defaults on paying homage to them or rebels against their enslavement. The invasion of Iraq is another step in creating the Bankers' "1984" tyranny as predicted by George Orwell in 1948 (note that the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily, another boom for the Banksters).

US Marine General Smedley Butler wrote in his 1935 classic, "War Is a Racket": "I helped make Mexico safe for American oil interests in 1914," "I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefit of Wall Street. I helped purify Nicaragua for the International Banking House of Brown Brothers in 1902-1912. I brought light to the Dominican Republic for the American sugar interests in 1916. I helped make Honduras right for American fruit companies in 1903. In China in 1927 I helped see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested." Butler acknowledged that he'd spent most of his thirty-three years in the Marines as "a high class muscle man for Big Business, Wall Street and the bankers. **In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for [monopoly] capitalism[ts].**"

Have fun and enjoy this book thoroughly (like an action movie, however, this one is not fiction) even though its contents are very serious!!! I'm sure you will feel a sense of enlightenment and raise your understand of & spirit for freedom after reading it. Enjoy this book thoroughly, learn the history that the Illuminati Elite dynasties don't want you to know and become free from the mental juggernaut of the Illuminati oil-soaked monopoly-capitalism press! Whether you are a common person or an Illuminati agent, liberal or conservative, religious or atheist or Occultist, consider yourself de-briefed after reading this book!!!



"The shepherd always tries to persuade the sheep that their interests and his own are the same." – **Stendhal**

"Fear them not, therefore; for there is nothing covered that shall not be revealed; and hidden, that shall not be known...What I tell you in darkness, that espeak in light; and what ye hear in the ear, that proclaim upon the housetops." **Matthew**

In summary, the current banking and credit system which evolved from the Babylonian Nimrodic and Pharisaic setup is enslaving the masses under the servitude of the Illuminati banking dynasties (Rothschild, Rockefeller, etc.) who have usurped the power of issuing/withholding credit at no liability to themselves by using the born and unborn masses' productivity as collateral and plunging all into eternal debt and tribute/homage payments in the form of usury and inflation losses. Total enslavement is coming soon unless these modern power, control and wealth predators and Pharaohs with their Zionist Luciferian Masonic collaborators in the military-industrial-government complex are stopped.

"Men fight for liberty and win it with hard knocks. Their children, brought up easy, let it slip away again; poor fools. And their grand-children are once more slaves." D. H. Lawrence

Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England: "Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back."

"There exists a shadowy government with its own Air Force, its own Navy, its own fundraising mechanism, and the ability to pursue its own ideas of national interest, free from all checks and balances, and free from the law itself." — Senator Daniel K. Inouye at the Iran Contra Hearings.

"Beneath the broad tide of human history there flow the stealthy undercurrents of the secret societies, which frequently determine in the depths the changes that take place upon the surface. These societies have existed in all ages and among all nations..." -- Arthur Edward Waite, The Real History Of The Rosicrucians, 1887.

"The study of money, above all other fields in economics, is one in which complexity is used to disguise truth or to evade truth, not to reveal it." - John Kenneth Galbraith, in his book "Money: Whence It Came, Where It Went", 1975

"The most successful tyranny is not the one that uses force to assure uniformity but the one that removes the awareness of other possibilities that makes it seem inconceivable that other ways are viable, that removes the sense that there is an outside." - **Allan Bloom** "The Closing of the American Mind"

"It was depressing to think mankind was naturally messed up. I find it liberating to know that we are being deliberately sabotaged." Dr. Henry Makow <http://www.savethemales.ca/180602.html>

Once Voltaire noted: "So long as the people do not care to exercise their freedom, those who wish to tyrannize will do so; for tyrants are active and ardent, and will devote themselves in the name of any number of gods, religious and otherwise, to put shackles upon sleeping men."

President Harry Truman noted: "Once a government is committed to the principle of silencing the voice of opposition, it has only one way to go, and that is down the path of increasingly repressive measures, until it becomes a source of terror to all its citizens and creates a country where everyone lives in fear."

"There was one only good, namely, knowledge; and one only evil, namely, ignorance." - **Socrates**

"At a time of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act" - **George Orwell**

"There is a principle which is a bar against all information, which is proof against all arguments and which cannot fail to keep a man in everlasting ignorance – that principle is contempt prior to investigation." – **Herbert Spencer**

"The biggest part of reporting the truth is the news agenda itself. What we choose to put on the air, what we think is a page one story, what our priorities are. I would not be fooled by the old myth that reporting is about objectivity. Deciding what is news is the most subjective of acts and it is probably the most important thing that we do." – **Carl Bernstein**

"To do evil a human being must first of all believe that what he's doing is good... Ideology - that is what gives devildoing its long-sought justification and gives the evildoer the necessary steadfastness and determination. That is the social theory which helps to make his acts seem good instead of bad in his own and others' eyes, so that he won't hear reproaches and curses but will receive praise and honors." – **Alexander Solzhenitsyn**

"That there are men in all countries who get their living by war, and by keeping up the quarrels of nations, is as shocking as it is true; but when those who are concerned in the government of a country, make it their study to sow discord, and cultivate prejudices between nations, it becomes the more unpardonable." – **Thomas Paine, "The Rights of Man", circa 1792**

"Now I understood for the first time that all these problems are caused by a race asleep and thrashing about in its panicked nightmares. There will be wars and holocausts and genocides as long as God is portrayed and thought of as a tight-minded legislator, a feudal lord, an offended King, a hypersensitive Artisan – even if church managers condescendingly tack onto that ridiculous list the not-very-convincing footnote that He is also loving. As long as people dream that they are insecure and needy in some sort of eternal jeopardy, there will be atrocities. But as the human race grows up spiritually, and as individuals gain a personal experience of the God they have been worshipping in fear, they will recognize that much of their theology and philosophy is built on nightmares. That will be the day of peace. I suddenly found myself unwilling to sit it out in the mountains of Utah. I wanted to play an active role in the process of the World's awakening." – **George Fowler, "Dance of a Fallen Monk"**

I Timothy 6:10, "But they that will be rich, fall into temptation, and a snare, and into many foolish and hurtful lusts, which drown men in destruction and perdition. For the love of money is the root of all evil...". Money in and of itself is not evil, rather, it is the unrestrained lust for money that is driving people to unimaginable evils and Satanism. Currency that is representative of some commodity has intrinsic value and acts as an impediment or a natural restraint on the temptation to create money from nothing and therefore manipulate value. Greed and absolute power is the underlying motivation of the owners of the privately held central banks that control the economies of the nations of the World. John 8:32 (Bible): "And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall set you free. "

After reading this book, you'll understand why we are economic slaves of the Illuminati Banking Dynasties or BankLords! You will learn about the privately owned central/local banks who have the power to create money from nothing and that the elites who own the major central banks/local banks are behind the wars, depression, booms and distractions, i.e. misery for the masses. Debt emasculates the borrower and empowers the lender. "Ignorance is the mother of all ills." **This book will free us from ignorance.**

Winston Churchill: "Men occasionally stumble on the truth, but most of them pick themselves up and hurry off as if nothing had happened". This book is about truth.

"Whoever controls the money, controls the flow of wealth." **Fr. Charles Coughlin** (1930's populist)

"The spirit of war has been procured by money lenders." --**Thomas Jefferson**

"War was[is] largely a matter of money." -- **Bernard Baruch** (leading war profiteer and power broker of both world wars)

Benjamin Disraeli stood up in the UK House of Commons, on July the 14th, in 1856 and made the following pronouncement on the nature and designs of these secret societies and their subversive anti-religious intentions. He said: 'There is in Italy a power which we seldom mention in this House... I mean the secret societies... It is useless to deny, because it is impossible to conceal that a great part of Europe, the whole of Italy and France, and a great part of Germany, to say nothing of other countries, is covered with a network of these secret societies, just as the superficies of the earth are covered with railroads. And what are their objects? They do not attempt to conceal them. They do not want constitutional government, they do not want ameliorated institutions... they want to change the tenure of the land, to drive out the present owners of the soil [e.g. Palestine] and put an end to ecclesiastical establishments. Some may even go further.'

"Why of course the people don't want war ... But after all it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy, and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship ...Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is to tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger."- **Hermann Goering**, at the Nuremberg Trials after World War II.

Israel's first prime minister, **David Ben-Gurion**, was fond of declaring that there is a "collective obligation of all national Zionist Organizations to aid the Jewish state under all circumstances and conditions even if such an attitude clashes with their respective national authorities."

In 1954, U.S. Sen. William Jenner spoke of a well-orchestrated, carefully timed conspiracy to undermine the American government. Although the Senator alluded to communism and socialism, these red herrings have for decades served as calculated diversions from a larger conspiracy: the Illuminati and its Secret Brotherhood of New World Order planners. Senator Jenner may have suspected this when he spoke of an "established timetable" with "precisely measured parts":

"We have a well organized political action group in this country, determined to destroy our Constitution and establish a one party state... (It has a) foothold within our Government, and its own propaganda apparatus...One may call this group by many names. Some people call it socialism, some communism, some collectivism. I prefer to call it 'democratic centralism.' ... The important point to remember is not its ideology but its organization. It is a dynamic, aggressive, elite corps, forcing its way through every opening, to make a breach for a collectivist one-party state. It operates secretly, silently, continuously to transform our Government without our suspecting the change is under way... This secret revolutionary corps understands well the power to influence the people...by an elegant form of brainwashing... We see this, for example, in the innocent use of words like 'democracy' in place of 'representative government'.... It conducts tactical retreats but only the more surely to advance its own secret goal...I know of the Alger Hisses who planned it that way... Dr. Wirt, of my State, told us in 1934 that the plans were all drawn, The timetable established... the revolutionary cabal and its allies... designated the overall strategy. They broke the whole up into precisely measured parts and carefully timed moves, which appear to be wholly unrelated... They will use every ally, to prevent the American people from guessing how far the transformation had gone..." (20)

On July 14, 1992, during his acceptance speech at the Democratic convention, then candidate **Bill Clinton** told the American people that he knew there was an "international network" at work in the World, and that "he had no aversion to it." He said that he owed his start in politics to a professor that he had at Georgetown University named **Carroll Quigley**. **Professor Quigley** wrote a 1348 page book entitled TRAGEDY AND HOPE while Clinton was a student there (1966) in which he said: "There does exist an international Anglophile [Illuminatis] network which operates in the way the radical Right believes the Communists act. In fact, this network has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists and frequently does so. I HAVE NO AVERSION TO IT." **We'll explain why we need to have aversion to it because this international network usurps our credit, is looting us and steadily reducing our freedoms.**

When a populist country conquers many other countries, its political system usually becomes very stable and oligarchic. The reason is that any instability or political upheaval could give the country's colonies opportunity to win independence. Great income from colonies makes other citizens of the empire (who participate in that income) more agreeable to a "frozen" political system, and the rule of a very narrow elite of elders (gerontocracy, dark clan/black nobility/feudals, illuminati).

"The individual is handicapped by coming face-to-face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists."-J. Edgar Hoover, one of the past FBI directors.

The Illuminati conspiracy can be summed up in one sentence: the manipulation of humanity's imagination of itself.

So let's cast off the mental chains from the lifelong propaganda we have been subject to since birth and let's start an unrestrained and free journey into the real history and find the real varmints. This book is only the tip of the iceberg of information and should help you get the picture, but there is a lot more to discover!

[Video Documentaries & Books Explain The Fraudulent Monetary System \(Wizards of Oz\)](#)

I highly recommend viewing the following video documentaries to help you understand The Hidden History of Money:

- <http://video.google.com/videosearch?q=9050474362583451279> Money as Debt
- <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article18205.htm> Explaining the banking money fraud
- <http://video.google.com/videosearch?q=money+masters&hl=en> The Money Masters
- <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4991544789166784731&q=money+masters&hl=en>
- <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-2641342891593561909&pl=true> Masters of the Universe - The Secret Birth of the Federal Reserve
- <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RHmfIEATK4E&NR=1> "Cash (Money)" by Prince Charles and the City Beat Band
- <http://video.google.com/videosearch?q=%22monopoly+men%22> Monopoly Men
- <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-329290799725919064> Collapse of the Federal Reserve: The Second American Revolution
- http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1dp6PuT_eH4 Ring of power"
- <http://www.notjustnotes.ws/howbanksrobyou.htm> Money as debt, how the Banking Dynasties Rob YOU
- <http://www.mecfilms.com/update.htm> <http://www.mecfilms.com/flat/> FIAT EMPIRE - A Closer Look at the Federal Reserve
- <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=5232639329002339531&hl=en> FIAT EMPIRE - Why the Federal Reserve Violates the U.S. Constitution
- <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-1649840529243379722&q=cheney+%2B+rockefeller> Plans of the international bankers to dominate the entire world both economically and politically
- <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=449294564876413449&q=genre:documentary&total=256924&start=660&num=10&so=0&type=search> The Capitalist Conspiracy: An Inside View ... CFR AND BANKS ... The history.
- <http://www.zeitgeistmovie.com/> THE ANCIENT CONNEXIONS ... WATCH THEM ... THEN CONNECT THE DOTS.
- <http://video.google.ca/videoplay?docid=8667011121551944391&q=eustace+mullins> Eustache Mullins on the Federal Reserve System
- <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=30-WtZTH06o&feature=related> Pastor Rod Parsley – MUST WATCH!
- Edward Griffin interviews 83 year old Norman Dodds in the year 1982 in a rare interview that exposes the New World Orders infiltration by large corporations that are merging the USA into a world government(New World Order). He explains the infiltration of banking and the infiltration of the public education system. Dodd served as an investigator for Congressman Reece's Special Committee on Tax Exempt Foundations (commonly referred to as the Reece Committee). Part 1 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C8cC21jB9EE>

Books:

- "Currency Wars" is a book by Song Hongbing released recently. http://shanghaiist.com/2007/08/19/books_douban_us.php <http://www.gata.org/node/5562> A new Chinese best-seller, "Currency Wars", claims that disparate events spanning two centuries – from the deaths of six US presidents and the rise of Adolf Hitler to the deflation of Japan's bubble economy and the 1997-98 Asian financial crisis - have a single root cause: the control of money issuance by the Rothschild banking dynasty. Even today, claims author Song Hongbing, the US Fed remains a "puppet" of private banks, which also ultimately owe their allegiance to the ubiquitous Rothschilds. The book is estimated to have sold about 600,000, including 200,000 from the publisher, a unit of state-owned CITIC group, and 400,000 pirated copies.
- An Indictment of The Federal Reserve System and Its BankLords MoneyMasters is the complete document in "Ms Word" format of "Billions for the Bankers, Debts for the People" by American Pastor Sheldon Emry. It can be downloaded by or viewed at: <http://www.justiceplus.org/bankers.htm> . This document on banking is very similar to the one written by J. A. Thauberger of Regina in the mid 90s. J.A. Thauberger's version examines corruption at the core of the Canadian monetary system. <http://www.justiceplus.org/conundrum.htm> Billions for the Banker, Debts for the People Americans and Canadians have lost control of their money. How can we get it back?
- The Money Masters explains how international bankers gained control of America <http://users.cyberone.com.au/myers/money-masters.html>
- The Money Trail by Estanislao Carter <http://www.lulu.com/content/137150>
- The Creature of Jekyll Island by G. Edward Griffin : A Second Look at the Federal Reserve: www.amazon.com/Creature-Jekyll-Island-Federal-Reserve/dp/0912986212 <http://www.financialsense.com/transcriptions/2006/1018griffin.html> <http://www.realityzone.com/>
- A FULL Explanation of the Federal Reserve and IRS SCAMS <http://www.wtv-zone.com/Mary/FEDERALRESERVE.HTML>
- Eustache Mullins on the Secrets of the Federal Reserve System and control by operatives of the BankLords military industrial complex <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm>
- David Astle's THE BABYLONIAN WOE, www.omnicbc.com
- <http://www.cyberclass.net/usuryfree.htm> Usury Free Network
- <http://www.devvy.com/> Devvy Kidd's website
- Congressman Dwight Patman's Primer on Money http://www.devvy.com/pdf/2006_October/Patman_Primer_on_Money.pdf
- 1833 William Gouge (advisor to President Andrew Jackson) Principles of the Banking System http://www.devvy.com/pdf/2006_October/GougeOnPaperMoney.pdf
- Ellen Brown's superb book "WEB OF DEBT" <http://www.webofdebt.com>

Note **Ellen Brown's** explanation on how the Wizard of Oz was an allegory about defeating the Banking Cartel: "According to later commentators, the [Wizard of Oz] tale was actually written as a monetary allegory, at a time when the "money question" was a key issue in American politics. In the 1890s, politicians were still hotly debating who should create the nation's money and what it should consist of. Should it be created by the government, with full accountability to the people? Or should it be created by private banks behind closed doors, for the banks' own private ends?" Unfortunately, upto now, we are losing our freedoms to the Banking Dynasties.

Overview of Money

Today's dollar is undefined unlike before, where it was defined as being 1/20th of an ounce of gold. Thus, the measure we use to trade goods and services is elastic and not stable. Keep this in mind as you read further.

Money is an essential element or object required to simplify, facilitate and expedite the exchange or trade of goods and services we produce. Money can be any marketable good or token used by a society as a store of value or credit, a medium of exchange, or a unit of account. It could be gold, silver, a piece of paper, a promissory note, a certificate, etc., i.e. anything as long as it is readily accepted by most people in exchange of goods and services they deliver. Since the need arises to exchange goods and services with ease and simplicity, societies create a money object when none exists. In some cases, a central authority creates a money object; this is more frequently the case in modern societies with paper money. However, that central authority has always been under the control of criminal mafias behind the scene who are known as the Money Changers or Banking Dynasties.

Commodity Money

Commodity money bearing intrinsic value was the first form of money to emerge. Under a commodity money system, the object used as money has inherent value. It is usually adopted to simplify transactions in a barter economy; thus it functions first as a medium of exchange. It quickly begins functioning as a store of value, since holders of perishable goods can easily convert them into durable money. In modern economies, commodity money has also been used as a unit of account. Gold-backed currency notes are a common form of commodity money. The supply of the commodity money object, e.g. gold, silver, etc. must be readily available or major issues can arise. Paper receipts can be issued for stored commodity money.

Commodity Money is usually a scarce good that is in continuous demand. Many items have been used as money, from naturally scarce precious metals, shells, etc. through cigarettes to entirely artificial money such as banknotes. Modern money (and most ancient money too) is essentially a token -- an abstraction. Paper currency is perhaps the most common type of physical money abstraction today. On the other hand, goods such as gold or silver retain many of the essential properties of money.

Token/Fiat Credit Money

The alternative to Commodity Money is Fiat money which is a credit money financial instrument created from "a promise to pay". A central authority creates a new money object that has no intrinsic value, i.e. a piece of paper or ledger/computer account. The public's use of the money exists only because the central authority mandates the money's acceptance under penalty of law. In cases where the public loses faith in the fiat money, the adoption of other substitute money objects will arise.

Today, most money is actually credit money and is referred to as money. Credit money or fiat money is a money substitute and not money proper because it doesn't represent an existing store of value and its value in terms of purchasing power keeps declining. This distinction between money and credit causes much confusion in discussions of monetary theory. In lay terms, credit and money are frequently used interchangeably. Even in economics, credit is often referred to as money. For example, bank deposits are generally included in summations of the national broad money supply. Any detailed study of monetary theory needs to recognize the proper distinction between money and credit.

To function as money in a modern economy, a good or token should possess a number of features, i.e. it must be cheap to transact with, it must have a stable value, it must be difficult to counterfeit, it must be easily storable in a small space without deteriorating, divisible and transportable, and it must be fungible (one artifact of the token or good must be equivalent to another). When using money anonymously, the most common methods are cash (either coin or banknotes tokens) and stored-value cards. When using money substitutes in such a way as to leave a financial record of the transaction, the most common methods are checks, debit cards, credit cards, and digital cash.

If money is kept in a safe and goes unspent, it reduces economic activity and loses its value due to inflation. If money is kept in a bank account, it allows the bank to create credit money as much as 9 to 10 times the deposit amount. The amount of money in an economy directly affects inflation and interest rates and hence has profound effects. A monetary crisis can have very significant economic effects, particularly if it leads to devaluation of a currency or total monetary failure and the adoption of a much less efficient barter economy. Modern economics also faces a difficulty in deciding what exactly 'is' money or money supply. There have been many historical arguments regarding the combination of money's functions.

Note that a dollar in 2006 is not equivalent to a dollar in 2007 due to inflation which we will explain later. Thus, not all dollars are equal although they are alleged to be by the fraudulent banking system. Note that inflation is not "income".

Origins of the Word "MONEY"

The origin of the word "money" comes from the Latin word "moneta", which comes from the temple of Hera Moneta where the Roman money came from in the early days of Rome. In Greek language, "Hera Mone tas" means the lonely Hera ("Mone tas" in Doric Greek, "Mone tes" in Ionic dialect). In ancient mythology, Zeus punished Hera and tied her with a golden chain between earth and sky. Hera, being alone between sky and earth tied with gold, was called moneres or mone (μόνη) which means lonely, and this is where the word money comes from. Hera, with the help of Hephaestus, broke the golden chain and released herself. It is said that all gold found on earth (which forms approximately a single cube 20 m a side) originates from the fragments of this golden chain, which fell from the sky and became human's mone(y).

Maybe due to this fable, gold was used in ancient Greece only in temples, graves and jewels, and there was not any ancient Greek golden coin until around 390 BC, when the Greek king Philip II of Macedon coined golden coins. The first golden coins in history were coined by Lydian king Croesus around 560 BC. The first Greek coins were made initially of copper, then of iron and this is because copper and iron were powerful materials used to make weapons. Pheidon king of Argos, around 700 BC, changed the coins from iron to a rather useless and ornamental metal, silver, and, according to Aristotle, dedicated some of the remaining iron coins (which were actually iron sticks) to the temple of Hera[1] (<http://www.metrum.org/money/heraion.htm>). King Pheidon coined the silver coins at Aegina, at the temple of the goddess of wisdom and war Athena the Aphaia (the vanisher), and engraved the coins with a Chelone, which is used until nowadays as a symbol of capitalism. **Chelone coins[2]** (<http://www.snible.org/coins/hn/aeqina.html>) **were the first medium of exchange that was not backed by a real value good.** They were widely accepted and used as the international medium of exchange until the days of Peloponnesian_War when the Athenian Drachma replaced them. According to other fables, inventors of money were Demodike (or Hermodike) of Kyme (the wife of Midas), Lykos (son of Pandion II and ancestor of the Lycians) and Erichthonius, the Lydians or the Naxians.

The word money in Greek language is not μόνη (money), it is νόμισμα (nomisma or numisma) which derives from the word νομιζω (nomizo=putative, I think so, I suppose so) and from the word νόμος (nomos=law). So numisma gives the exact meaning and definition of mone(y). **It is something we think has value, or something that someone convinced us it has, but in reality it has not.** Also, in case we are not convinced that mone(y) has value and we do not recognize the mone(y) making authority, mone(y) is enforced by law for use as the unique medium of exchange in trades. In case an individual or a community refuses to accept mone(y) as the unique medium of exchange, then the powerful mone(y) maker authority, using its monopoly on violence and enforced taxation, steals the real value goods (home, food, transport, energy, labor) that the individual or the community owns. That's why many individuals or communities hide their goods from mone(y)-making authorities. **The crime of hiding goods from a mone(y)-making authority is called tax evasion.**

One of the words for money in the Hebrew language is mammon. Mammon does have more than one meaning depending on its linguistic and etymological contexts. **The Bible gives the word "mammon" a broader context in terms of its socioeconomic, cultural, and theological usages. Mammon, a word of Aramaic origin, means "riches",** but has an unclear etymology; scholars have suggested connections with a word meaning "entrusted", or with the Hebrew word "matmon", meaning "treasure". It is also used in Hebrew as a word for "money" - מַמּוֹן . The Greek word for "Mammon", mamonas, occurs in the Sermon on the Mount (Matthew vi 24) and in the parable of the Unjust Steward (Luke xvi 9-13). The Authorised Version keeps the Syriac word. Wycliffe uses "richessis". Other scholars derive Mammon from Phoenician "mommon", benefit. Notice that if you consider the word mammon(as) (μαμωνας) as a Greek word and as a composite one (the majority of Greek words are composites), then the two parts "mam-mon(as)" could be explained (in Greek doric) as "lonely mother", which reminds Hera's myth mentioned above. Other explanations could be mamm (means "mother" or "food") -onas (means "a place where you can find mamm"), also -m (means "with") -on (means "being") -as (with Circumflex, means "owner or seller").

"He who has an ear, let him hear what the Spirit says to the churches. To him who overcomes, I will give some of the hidden manna. I will also give him a white vote with a new name written on it, known only to him who receives it." (Book of Revelation 2:17). According to the Book of Revelation, the mark of the beast seems to be a form of money. "And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads: And that no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark, or the name of the beast, or the number of his name. Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding vote the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his (its) number is Six hundred threescore and six." (Book of Revelation 13:16-13:18)

First Instances of Money

The use of proto-money may date back to at least 75,000 B.C., when shell necklaces were made in Blombos Cave in South Africa. These necklaces would have provided the basic attributes needed of early money. In cultures where metal working was unknown, shell or ivory jewelry were the most divisible, easily storable and transportable, scarce, and hard

to counterfeit objects that could be made. It is highly unlikely that there were formal markets in 75,000 B.C. (any more than there are in recently observed hunter-gatherer cultures). Nevertheless, proto-money would have been useful in reducing the costs of less frequent transactions that were crucial to hunter-gatherer cultures, especially bride purchase, splitting property upon death, tribute, and intertribal trade in hunting ground rights ("starvation insurance") and implements. In the absence of a medium of exchange, all of these transactions suffer from the basic problem of barter -- they require an improbable coincidence of wants or events. Shells of the pea-sized snail *Nassarius kraussianus*. Blombos Cave, South Africa, 75,000 B.C. wear marks indicate the shells were strung on a necklace.

In cultures of any era that lack money, barter and some system of in-kind "credit" or "gift exchange" would be the only ways to exchange goods. **Bartering has several problems** on small transactions, most notably timing constraints. If you wish to trade fruit for wheat, you can only do this when the fruit and wheat are both available at the same time and place. That may be a very brief time, or it may be never. With an intermediate commodity (whether it be shells, rum, gold, etc.) you can sell your fruit when it is ripe and take the intermediate commodity. You can then use the intermediate commodity to buy wheat when the wheat harvest comes in. Thus the use of money makes all commodities become more liquid. Where trade is common, barter systems usually lead quite rapidly to the emergence of several key goods with monetary properties. In the early British colony of New South Wales in Australia, rum emerged quite soon after settlement as the most monetary of goods. When a nation is without a fiat currency system, it is quite common for the fiat currency of a neighboring nation to emerge as the dominant monetary good. In some prisons where conventional money is prohibited it is quite common for goods such as cigarettes to take on a monetary quality. Gold has emerged naturally from the world of barter again and again to take on a monetary function. **It should be noted that the emergence of monetary goods is not dependent on central authority or government. It is a quite natural market phenomenon.**

The first instances of money were objects which were useful for their intrinsic value. This was known as commodity money and included any commonly-available commodity that has intrinsic value; historical examples include pigs, rare seashells, whale's teeth, and (often) cattle. In medieval Iraq, bread was used as an early form of currency. Spices have been used as commodity money for long. Definite indications are available that both black and white pepper have been used as commodity money for hundreds of years before Yeshuah-Jesus-Issa, as also several centuries thereafter. Being a valuable commodity, pepper has naturally been used as payment. Attila the Hun reportedly demanded 3,000 pounds in weight of pepper in 408 AD as part of a ransom for the city of Rome. In the Middle Ages, there was a French saying, 'As dear as pepper'. In England, rent could be paid in pounds of pepper, and so a symbolic minimal amount is known as a "peppercorn rent". Even in the industrialized world, in the absence of other types of money, people have occasionally used commodities such as tobacco as money. This last happened on a wide scale after World War II when cigarettes became used unofficially in Europe, in parallel with other currencies, for a short time. Precious metals have been a common form of money, such as this gold from Sveriges Riksbank.

Another example of "commodity money" is shell money in the Solomon Islands. Shells are painstakingly chipped into rough circles, filed down, and threaded onto large necklaces, which are then used during marriage proposals; for instance, a father may charge twenty shell money necklaces for his daughter's hand in marriage. One interesting example of commodity money is the huge limestone coins from the Micronesian island of Yap, quarried at great peril from a source several hundred miles away. The value of the coin was determined by its size — the largest of which could range from nine to twelve feet in diameter and weigh several tons. Displaying a large coin, often outside one's home, was a considerable status symbol and source of prestige in that society. (Due to the great inconvenience, islanders would often trade only promises of ownership of an individual coin instead of actually moving it. In some cases, coins which had been lost at sea were still used for exchange in this way. These agreements could be thought of as a kind of representative money, described below.) An example is the 8-foot "coin" from the village of Gachpar, on Yap.

Once a commodity becomes used as money, it takes on a value that is often a bit different from what the commodity is intrinsically worth or useful for. Being able to use something as money in a society adds an extra use to it, and so adds value to it. This extra use is a convention of society, and how extensive the use of money is within the society will affect the value of the monetary commodity. So although commodity money is real, it should not be seen as having a fixed value in absolute terms. Its value is still socially determined to a large extent. A prime example is gold, which has been valued differently by many different societies, but perhaps none valued it more than those who used it as money. **Fluctuations in the value of commodity money can be strongly influenced by supply and demand whether current or predicted (if a local gold mine is about to run out of ore, the relative market value of gold may go up in anticipation of a shortage).**

Money can be anything that the parties agree is tradable, but the usability of a particular sort of money varies widely. Desirable features of a good basis for money include being able to be stored for long periods of time, dense so it can be carried around easily, and difficult to find on its own so that it is actually worth something. Again, supply and demand play a key role in determining value. Metals like gold and silver have been used as commodity money for thousands of years, being in the form of metal dust, nuggets, rings, bracelets and assorted pieces. **Eventually the Lydians began coining gold and silver around 560 BC. Gold and silver are both quite soft metals, and coins minted from the pure metals suffer from wear or deformation in daily use.** Fortunately these metals are also easily alloyed with a less expensive metal, frequently copper, in order to improve the durability of the resulting coins. Typically alloys of coinage metals, such as sterling silver or 22 carat (92%) gold, are used to make coins more durable. These are alloys of 90% or more precious metal as alloys of less than 90% do not improve hardness or durability very much, and so are typically considered to be on the slippery slope into monetary debasement.

It was the discovery of the touchstone that paved the way for metal-based commodity money and coinage.

Any soft metal can be tested for purity on a touchstone, allowing one to quickly calculate the total content of a particular metal in a lump. Gold is a soft metal, which is also hard to come by, dense, and storable. For these reasons gold as a money spread very quickly from Asia Minor where it first gained wide use, to the entire world. Using such a system still required several steps and some math. The touchstone allowed you to estimate the amount of gold in an alloy, which was then multiplied by the weight to find the amount of gold alone in a lump. To make this process easier, the concept of standard coinage was introduced. Coins were typically minted by governments in a carefully protected process, and then stamped with an emblem that guaranteed the weight and value of the metal. It was however extremely common for governments to assert that the value of such money lay in its emblem and to subsequently debase the currency by lowering the content of valuable metal. Although gold and silver were commonly used to mint coins, other metals could be used. Ancient Sparta minted coins from iron to discourage its citizens from engaging in foreign trade. In the early seventeenth century Sweden lacked more precious metal and so produced "plate money," which were large slabs of copper approximately 50cm or more in length and width, appropriately stamped with indications of their value.

Metal based coins had the advantage of carrying their value within the coins themselves — they induced on the other hand manipulations: the clipping of coins in attempts to get and recycle the precious metal. The bigger problem was the simple co-existence of gold, silver and copper coins in Europe's nations. English and Spanish traders valued gold coins at a higher rate of silver coins than their neighbors would do, with the effect that the English gold-based guinea coin began to rise against the English silver based crown in the 1670s and 1680s and with the consequence that silver was ultimately pulled out of England for dubious amounts of gold coming into the country at a rate no other European nation would share. The effect was worsened with Asian traders not sharing the European appreciation of gold altogether — gold left Asia and silver left Europe in quantities European observers like [<http://www.pierre-marteau.com/currency/ed/newton-1717-09-25.html>] Isaac Newton, Master of the Royal Mint observed with uneasiness. Stability came into the system with privately owned disguised as national banks guaranteeing to change money into gold at a promised rate. **The privately owned Bank of England (whose owners also owned the colonial East-India Company) risked a national financial catastrophe in the 1730s when customers demanded their money to be changed into gold in a moment of crisis.** Eventually London's merchants saved the bank and the nation with financial guarantees. See also: Roman currency, coinage metal, for conversions of the European coins before the introduction of paper money: The Marteau Early 18th-Century Currency Converter (<http://www.pierre-marteau.com/currency/convert.html>) .

The system of commodity money in many instances evolved into a system of representative money. In this system, the material that constitutes the money itself had very little intrinsic value, but none the less such money achieves significant market value through being scarce as an artifact. **Representative money such as paper currency and non-precious coinage was backed by a government or private bank's promise to redeem it for a given weight of precious metal, such as silver. This is the origin of the term "British Pound" for instance; it was a unit of money backed by a Tower pound of sterling silver - hence the currency Pound Sterling.** For much of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, many currencies were based on representative money through the use of the gold standard.

Because money is the fruit of power and can be used for wielding or gaining more power, the one who accepts gold as legitimate money gives power to the people who own gold's stocks. Gold has been stable over thousands of years and has survived the test of time. All the other materials have become less important as gold has proved itself the superior unit of account. **Basically, price fluctuations of gold are not because the value of gold has changed, but because the value of the currency has changed.** The same happens with some other materials, like food or energy or transport or accommodation. **A plate of food has always the same value, whatever its price is.** It is possible for privately issued money to be backed by any other material, although some people argue about perishables materials. After all, gold, or platinum, or silver, have in some regards less utility than previously (their electrical properties notwithstanding), while currency backed by energy (measured in joules) or by transport (measured in kilogramme*kilometre/hour) or by food [3] (http://www.economist.com/markets/bigmac/displayStory.cfm?story_id=3503641) is also possible and may be accepted by the people, if legalised. It is important to understand though that as long as money is above all an agreement to use something as a medium of exchange, its up to the community (or to the minority elite who hold the power and politicians in their grip) to decide whether money should be backed by whatever material or should be totally virtual.

Credit/Floating/Fiat Money

Fiat money refers to money that is not backed by reserves of another commodity. The money itself is given value by government fiat (Latin for "let it be done") or decree, enforcing legal tender laws, previously known as "forced tender", whereby debtors are legally relieved of the debt if they (offer to) pay it off in the government's money. Note that the Government is controlled by the private Banking Dynasties who actually control the issue of fiat money. By law, the refusal of "legal tender" money in favor of some other form of payment is illegal, and has at times in history (Rome under Diocletian, and post-revolutionary France during the collapse of the assignats) invoked the death penalty.

Governments and private bankers through history have often switched to forms of fiat money in times of need such as war, sometimes by suspending the service they provided of exchanging their money for gold, and other times by simply printing the money that they needed. When governments produce money more rapidly than economic growth, the money

supply overtakes economic value. Therefore, the excess money eventually dilutes the market value of all money issued. This is called inflation. **In 1971 the US Federal Reserve System private bank finally switched to total fiat money indefinitely.** At this point in time many of the economically developed countries' currencies were fixed to the US dollar (see Bretton Woods Conference), and so this single step meant that much of the western world's currencies became fiat money based. [Following the first Gulf War the president of Iraq, Saddam Hussein, repealed the existing Iraqi fiat currency and replaced it with a new currency. However, the old currency continued to be used in the politically isolated Kurdish regions of Iraq. Despite having no backing by a commodity and with no central authority mandating its use or defending its value, it continued to circulate within this Kurdish region. It became known as the Swiss Dinar. This currency remained relatively strong and stable for over a decade. It was formally replaced following the second Gulf War.]

Credit money (created in bank accounts by commercial banks and the Federal Reserve Banks who are owned by the major commercial banks) often exists in parallel with other money such as commodity money, and from the user's point of view is indistinguishable from it. Most of the world's money is credit money derived from national fiat money currencies and represents a **collective debt liability**. Strictly speaking, a debt is not money, primarily because debt can not act as a unit of account. All debts are denominated in units of something external to the debt. Hence credit money is not strictly money at all. However, credit money certainly acts as a money substitute when it comes to the other functions of money (medium of exchange and store of value). As such, the existence of credit money may dampen demand for the real money and in so doing alter the dynamics of money's market value. Paper money is an IOU and a convenient medium of exchange. Under a rigid gold-standard with convertibility, paper currency is a fixed debt instrument. However, when paper money floats, it becomes known as fiat money and its value is not defined by reference to an external unit of account. It is no longer a debt instrument but rather it becomes purely monetary and its value is a product of the dynamics of supply and demand. Typically, banks force supply and other sectors force demand.

Credit money tends to arise as a byproduct of lending and borrowing. The following example illustrates this. Imagine you have deposited some gold coins in a bank vault. The bank might lend the coins to a second person based on a promise to pay equivalent coins back with a few extra at a time in the future. The second person can in the meantime use the coins normally as money. But you still own the coins, and you also could still use them - you could transfer their ownership to another person to pay for something you have bought by telling the bank to transfer them from your account to the other person's account. You might do this by writing a check. So, in this simple example there are two people using the same coins as money at the same time. It's as if new money has been created by the act of lending. Taking it another step, if the second person spends the coins at a shop, and they end up being deposited back into the bank by the shopkeeper, the bank can lend them again. Now you and the shopkeeper can use the coins in the same way, by writing checks or the equivalent in this example, and whoever borrows the coins a second time can use the coins directly as money. So there are three people with financial use of the coins. This can go on with many people ending up simultaneously using the same coins financially, but for each extra user there is a promise to pay equivalent coins back. These arrangements where many people use the same money simultaneously are in many respects the same as if there was extra money. The extra money that there appears to be is known as credit money. It is in regulating the amount of money that a bank can lend that the controlling authority can set the money supply and change monetary policy.

The credible promises to repay in a reasonable time give the extra money its value. In today's economy, all money is created when someone such as a person, a corporation, a government, etc. issue a promise to pay and the banks monetize this promise to pay into dollars or national currency, i.e. **the bankers create currency from nothing and collectivize the debt liability against all citizens of the country.** Banks allegedly evaluate the risk involved in each loan, however, they actually transfer all liability to the tax payers. During the Crusades in Europe, precious goods would be entrusted to the Catholic Church's Masonic Knight Templars who effectively created a system of modern credit accounts. Over time this system grew into the credit money that we know today, where banks create money by approving loans - although the risk and reserve policies of each national central bank sets a limit on this, requiring banks to keep reserves of fiat money to back their deposits. Sometimes, as in the U.S. during the Great Depression or the Savings and Loan crisis, trust in bank policies drops very low and government must intervene to keep the industry of credit in operation by bailing the private bankers with taxpayers' earnings.

In many countries, the issue of private paper currencies has been severely restricted by law. In the United States, the Free Banking Era lasted between 1837 and 1866, during which almost anyone could issue their own paper money. States, municipalities, private banks, railroad and construction companies, stores, restaurants, churches and individuals printed an estimated 8,000 different monies by 1860. If the issuer went bankrupt, closed, left town, or otherwise went out of business, the paper money note would be worthless. Such organizations earned the nickname of "wildcat banks" for a reputation of unreliability and that they were often situated in far-off places (e.g a private \$1 note, issued by the "Delaware Bridge unpopulated locales that were said to be more apt to wildcats than Company" of New Jersey 1836-1841). On the other hand, according to Lawrence H. White's article in FEE (<http://www.fee.org/vnews.php?nid=2794>) "it turns out that "wildcat" banking is largely a myth. Although stories about crooked banking practices are entertaining—and for that reason have been repeated endlessly by textbooks—modern economic historians have found that there were in fact very few banks that fit any reasonable definition of wildcat bank." The National Bank Act of 1863 ended the "wildcat bank" period. In Australia, the Notes Act of 1910 basically shut down the circulation of private currencies by imposing a prohibitive tax on the practice. Many other nations have similar such policies that eliminate private sector competition. In Scotland and Northern Ireland private sector banks are licensed to print their own paper money by the government. Today there are several privately issued digital currencies in circulation that function as money. Transactions in these currencies represent an annual turnover value in billions of US dollars. Many of these private currencies are backed by older forms of money such as gold (digital gold currencies).

The money supply is the amount of money available within a specific economy available for purchasing goods or services. The supply is usually considered as four escalating categories M0, M1, M2 and M3. The categories grow in size with M3 representing all forms of money (including credit) and M0 being just base money (coins, bills, and central bank deposits). M0 is also money that can satisfy private banks' reserve requirements. In the United States, the privately owned Federal Reserve is responsible for controlling the money supply (monetary policy). **For every dollar in circulation, someone is paying interest on it to the bankers, i.e. bankers get a tithe from everyone.**

Historically money was a metal (gold, silver, etc.) or other object that was difficult to duplicate, but easy to transport and divide. Later it consisted of paper notes, now issued by all modern governments. **With the rise of modern industrial capitalism it has gone through several phases including but not limited to:** 1. Bank notes - paper issued by banks as an interest-bearing loan. (These were common in the 19th century but not seen anymore.) 2. Paper notes, coins with varying amounts of precious metal (usually called legal tender) issued by various governments. There is also a near-money in the form of interest bearing bonds issued by governments with solid credit ratings. 3. Bank credit through the creation of chequable deposits in the granting of various loans to business, government and individuals. It is critical that we understand that when a bank makes a loan, that is new money and when a loan is paid off that money is destroyed. Only the interest paid on it remains. Thus, all debt denominated in dollars -- mortgages, money markets, credit card debt, travelers checks -- causes the creation of money when a financial institution is granting the debt.


Perhaps the most obvious way money can be destroyed is if paper bills are burned or taken out of circulation by the central bank. But, **it should be remembered that legal tender FRNs (Federal Reserve Dollar Notes) usually constitutes less than 4% of the broad money supply.** Another way money can be destroyed is when any bank loan is paid off or defaulted upon or any government bond is redeemed the money value of the contract or bond is destroyed -- taken out of circulation. Money can be destroyed if savers withdraw funds from a bank, in which case that money can no longer be used as the 10% reserve required for creating new money to lend. Bank savings are actually a kind of loans -- savers loan their money to a bank at a low interest rate or merely in exchange for the benefit of convenience or its security (accepting that they lose a small amount of value to inflation). **The bank then uses this loan to create (from nothing) upto ten times the amount in loans to other people, at a higher rate of interest.** When this happens the money exists in two (or more) places at once, and so the money supply increases. When a saver withdraws money, the loan is "paid off" and it can no longer exist in more than one place at once, and this "tenfold money" disappears. In extreme forms, a bank run or panic may drive a bank into insolvency and, if uninsured, the savings of all its depositors are lost but the borrowers and bankruptcy lawyers gain it.

[Banking System Fraud Explained with Simple Cartoons](#)

<http://www.michaeljournal.org/myth.htm> "The Money Myth Exploded" was one of the first articles of Louis Even, and remains one of the most popular to explain how money is created as a debt by private banks. It is available in the form of an 8-page leaflet (tabloid format) that you can order from the "Michael" office, in several languages.


1. Shipwreck survivors

An explosion had blown their ship apart. Suddenly a cry rang out: "Land! Look! Over there, in the direction the waves are carrying us!" And as the vague silhouette proved itself to be, in fact, the outline of a shore, the figures on the raft danced with joy. They were five. There was Frank, the carpenter, big and energetic. It was he who had first cried, "Land!". Then Paul, a farmer. You can see him, front and left in the picture, on his knees, one hand against the floor, the other gripping the mast of the raft. Next is Jim, an animal breeder; he's the one in the striped pants, kneeling and gazing in the direction of land. Then there is Harry, an agriculturalist, a little on the stout side, seated on a trunk salvaged from the wreck. And finally Tom, a prospector and a mineralogist; he is the merry fellow standing in the rear of the picture with his hand on the carpenter's shoulder.



2. A providential island


To our five men, setting foot on land was like returning to life from the grave. When they had dried and warmed themselves their first impulse was to explore this little island on to which they had been cast, far from civilization. A quick survey was sufficient to raise their spirit. The island was not a barren rock. True enough, they were the only men on it at the moment. But judging from the herds of semi-domesticated animals they encountered, there must have been men here at some time before them. Jim, the animal breeder, was sure he could completely domesticate them and put them to good service. Paul found the island's soil, for the most part, to be quite suitable for cultivation. Harry discovered some fruit trees which, if properly tended, would give good harvests. Most important were the large stands of timber embracing many types of wood. Frank, without too much difficulty, would be able to build houses for the little community. As for Tom, the prospector, well, the rock formations of the island showed signs of rich mineral deposits.



Lacking the tools, Tom still felt his ingenuity and initiative could produce metals from the ores. So each could serve the common good with his special talent. All agreed to call the place Salvation Island. All gave thanks to Providence for the reasonably happy ending to what could have been stark tragedy.

3. True wealth

Here are the men at work. The carpenter builds houses and makes furniture. At first they find their food where they can. But soon the fields are tilled and seeded, and the farmer has his crops. As season followed season this island, this heritage of the five men, Salvation Island, became richer and richer. Its wealth was not that of gold or of paper bank notes, but one of true value; a wealth of food and clothing and shelter, of all the things to meet human needs. Each man worked at his own trade. Whatever surpluses he might have of his own produce, he exchanged for the surplus products of the others. Life wasn't always as smooth and complete as they could have wished it to be. They lacked many of the things to which they had been accustomed in civilization. But their lot could have been a great deal worse. Besides, all had experienced the depression in Canada. They still remembered the empty bellies side by side with stores crammed with food. At least, on Salvation Island, they weren't forced to see the things they needed rot before their eyes. Taxes were unknown here. Nor did they go in constant fear of seizure by the bailiff. They worked hard but at least they could enjoy the fruits of their toil. So they developed the island, thanking God and hoping for the day of reunion with their families, still in possession of life and health, those two greatest of blessings.



4. A serious inconvenience

Our men often got together to talk over their affairs. Under the simple economic system which had developed, one thing was beginning to bother them more and more; they had no form of money. Barter, the direct exchange of goods for goods, had its drawbacks. The products to be exchanged were not always at hand when a trade was discussed. For example, wood delivered to the farmer in winter could not be paid for in potatoes until six months later. Sometimes one man might have an article of considerable size which he wished to exchange for a number of smaller articles produced by different men at different times. All this complicated business laid a heavy burden on the memory. With a monetary system, however, each one could sell his products to the others for money. With this money he could buy from the others the things he wanted, when he wished and when they were available. It was agreed that a system of money would indeed be very convenient. But none of them knew how to set up such a system. They knew how to produce true wealth - goods. But how to produce money, the symbol of this wealth, was something quite beyond them. They were ignorant of the origin of money, and needing it they didn't know how to produce it. Certainly, many men of education would have been in the same boat; all our governments were in that predicament during the ten years prior to the war. The only thing the country lacked at that time was money and the governments didn't know what to do to get it.




5. Arrival of a refugee

One evening, when our boys were sitting on the beach going over their problem for the hundredth time, they suddenly saw approaching a small boat with a solitary man at the oars. They learned that he was the only survivor of a wreck. His name: Oliver. Delighted to have a new companion, they provided him with the best that they had, and they took him on an inspection tour of the colony. "Even though we're lost and cut off from the rest of the World," they told him, "we haven't too much to complain about. The earth and the forest are good to us. We lack only one thing — money. That would make it easier for us to exchange our products." "Well, you can thank Providence," replied Oliver, "because I am a banker, and in no time at all, I'll set up a system of money guaranteed to satisfy you. Then you'll have everything that people in civilization have." A banker!... A BANKER!... An angel coming down out of the clouds couldn't have inspired more reverence and respect in our men. For, after all, are we not accustomed, we people in civilization, to genuflect before bankers, those men who control the lifeblood of finance?



6. Civilization's god

"Mr. Oliver, as our banker, your only occupation on this island will be to look after our money; no manual labour." "I shall, like every other banker, carry out to complete satisfaction my task of forging the community's prosperity." "Mr. Oliver, we're going to build you a house that will be in keeping with your dignity as a banker. But in the meantime, do you mind if we lodge you in the building that we use for our get-



together?" "That will suit me, my friends. But first of all, unload the boat. There's paper and a printing press, complete with ink and type, and there's a little barrel which I exhort you to treat with the greatest care." They unloaded everything. The small barrel aroused intense curiosity in our good fellows. "This barrel," Oliver announced, "contains a treasure beyond dreams. It is full of... gold!" Full of gold! The five all but swooned. The god of civilization here on Salvation Island! The yellow god, always hidden, yet terrible in its power, whose presence or absence or slightest caprice could decide the very fate of all the civilized nations! "Gold! Mr. Oliver, you are indeed a great banker!" "Oh august majesty! Oh honorable Oliver! Great high priest of the god, gold! Accept our humble homage, and receive our oaths of fidelity!" "Yes, my friends, gold enough for a continent. But gold is not for circulation. Gold must be hidden. Gold is the soul of healthy money, and the soul is always invisible. But I'll explain all that when you receive your first supply of money."

7. The secret burial

Before they went their separate ways for the night, Oliver asked them one last question. "How much money will you need to begin with in order to facilitate trading?" They looked at one another, then deferentially towards the banker. After a bit of calculation, and with the advice of the kindly financier, they decided that \$200 each would do. The men parted, exchanging enthusiastic comments. And in spite of the late hour, they spent most of the night lying awake, their imaginations excited by the picture of gold. It was morning before they slept. As for Oliver, he wasted not a moment. Fatigue was forgotten in the interests of his future as a banker. By dawn's first light, he dug a pit into which he rolled the barrel. He then filled it in, transplanting a small shrub to the spot about which he carefully arranged sod. It was well hidden. Then he went to work with his little press to turn out a thousand \$1 bills. Watching the clean new banknotes come from his press, the refugee turned banker thought to himself: "My! How simple it is to make money. All its value comes from the products it will buy. Without produce, these bills are worthless. My five naive customers don't realize that. They actually think that this new money derives its value from gold! Their very ignorance makes me their master." **Thus, Oliver collectivized the debt liability against all island inhabitants for the money that he is printing.** And as evening drew on, the five came to Oliver — on the run.



8. Who owns the new money?

Five bundles of new banknotes were sitting on the table. "Before distributing the money," said the banker, "I would like your attention. "Now, the basis of all money is gold. And the gold stored away in the vault of my bank is my gold. Consequently, the money is my money. Oh! Don't look so discouraged. I'm going to lend you this money, and you're going to use it as you see fit. However, you'll have to pay interest. Considering that money is scarce here, I don't think 8% is unreasonable." "Oh, that's quite reasonable, Mr. Oliver." "One last point, my friends. Business is business, even between pals. Before you get the money, each of you is going to sign a paper. By it you will bind yourselves to pay both interest and capital under penalty of confiscation of property by me. Oh! This is a mere formality. Your property is of no interest to me. I'm satisfied with money. And I feel sure that I'll get my money, and that you'll keep your property." "That makes sense, Mr. Oliver. We're going to work harder than ever in order to pay you back." "That's the spirit. And any time you have a problem, you come and see me. Your banker is your best friend. Now here's two hundred dollars for each one of you." And our five brave fellows went away, their hands full of dollar bills, their heads swimming with the ecstasy of having money.

9. A problem in arithmetic

And so Oliver's money went into circulation on the island. Trade, simplified by money, doubled. Everybody was happy. And the banker was always greeted with unfailing respect and gratitude. But now, let's see... Why does Tom, the prospector, look so grave as he sits busily figuring with a pencil and paper? It is because Tom, like the others, has signed an agreement to repay Oliver, in one year's time, the \$200 plus \$16 interest. But Tom has only a few dollars in his pocket, and the date of payment is near. For a long time he had wrestled with this problem from his own personal point of view, without success. Finally, he looked at it from the angle of the little community as a whole. "Taking into consideration everyone on the island as a whole," he mused, "are we capable of meeting our obligations? Oliver turned out a total of \$1000. He's asking in return \$1080. But even if we bring him every dollar bill on the island, we'll still be \$80 short. Nobody made the extra \$80. We turn out produce, not dollar bills. So Oliver can take over the entire island, since all the inhabitants together can't pay him back the total amount of the capital and the interest. "Even if a few, without any thought for the others, were able to do so, those others would fall. And the turn of the first spared would come eventually. The banker will have everything. We'd better hold a meeting right away and decide what to do about it." Tom, with his figures in his hand, had no difficulty in proving the situation. All agreed that they had been duped by the kindly banker. They decided upon a meeting at Oliver's.




10. The benevolent banker

Oliver guessed what was on their minds, but he put on his best front. While he listened, the impetuous Frank stated the case for the group. "How can we pay you \$1080 when there is only \$1000 on the entire island?" "That's the interest, my friends. Has not your rate of production increased?" "Sure, but the money hasn't. And it's money you're asking for, not our products. You are the only one who can make money. You've made only \$1000, and yet you ask \$1080. That's an impossibility!" "Now listen, fellows. Bankers, for the greater good of the community, always adapt themselves to the conditions of the times. I'm going to require only the interest. Only \$80. You will go on holding the capital." "Bless you, Mr. Oliver! Are you going to cancel the \$200 each of us owes you?" "Oh no! I'm sorry, but a banker never cancels a debt. You still owe me all the money you borrowed. But you'll pay me, each year, only the interest. If you meet the interest payments faithfully each year, I won't push you for the capital. Maybe some won't be able to repay even the interest because of the money changing hands among you. Well, organize yourselves like a nation. Set up a system of money contributions, what we call taxes. Those who have more money will be taxed more; the poor will pay less. See to it that you bring me, in one lump sum, the total of the amount of interest, and I'll be satisfied. And your little nation will thrive." So our boys left, somewhat pacified, but still dubious.


11. Oliver exults

Oliver is alone. He is deep in reflection. His thoughts run thus: "Business is good. These boys are good workers, but stupid. Their ignorance and naivety is my strength. They ask for money, and I give them the chains of bondage. They give me flowers, and I pick their pockets. "True enough, they could mutiny and throw me into the sea. But pshaw! I have their signatures. They're honest. They'll honor their pledges. Honest, hardworking people were put into this World to serve the Financiers. "Oh great Mammon! I feel your banking genius coursing through my entire being! Oh, illustrious master! How right you were when you said: 'Give me control of a nation's money, and I won't mind who makes its laws.' I am the master of Salvation Island because I control its money. "My soul is drunk with enthusiasm and ambition. I feel I could rule the universe. What I, Oliver, have done here, I can do throughout the entire World. Oh! If only I could get off this island! I know how I could govern the World without wearing a crown. "My supreme delight would be to instill my philosophy in the minds of those who lead society: bankers, industrialists, politicians, reformers, teachers, journalists — all would be my servants. The masses are content to live in slavery when the elite from among them are constituted to be their overseers."




12. The cost of living unbearable

Meanwhile, things went from bad to worse on Salvation Island. Production was up, and bartering had dropped to a minimum. Oliver collected his interest regularly. The others had to think of setting money aside for him. Thus, money tended to clot instead of circulating freely. Those who paid the most in taxes complained against those who paid less. They raised the prices of their goods to compensate for this loss. The unfortunate poor who paid no taxes lamented the high cost of living, and bought less. If one took a salaried job with another, he was continually demanding increases in salary in order to meet the mounting cost of living. Morale was low. The joy went out of living. No one took an interest in his work. Why should he? Produce sold poorly. When they would make a sale, they had to pay taxes to Oliver. They went without things. It was a real crisis. And they accused one another of wanting in charity, and of being the cause of the high cost of living. One day, Harry, sitting in his orchard, pondered over the situation. He finally arrived at the conclusion that this "progress", born of a refugee's monetary system, had spoiled everything on the island. Unquestionably, all five had their faults, but Oliver's system seemed to have been specifically designed to bring out the worst in human nature. Harry decided to demonstrate this to his friends and to unite them for action. He started with Jim, who was not hard to convince. "I'm no genius," he said, "but for a long time now there's been a bad smell about this banker's system." One by one they came to the same conclusion, and they ended up by deciding to have another conference with Oliver.



13. Enslaved by Oliver

A veritable tempest burst about the ears of the banker. "Money's scarce on the island, fellow, because you take it away from us! We pay you and pay you, and still we owe you as much as at the beginning. We work our heads off! We've the finest land possible, and yet we're worse off than before the day of your arrival. Debts! Debts! Up to our necks in debts!" "Oh! Now boys, be reasonable! Your affairs are booming, and it's thanks to me. A good banking system is a country's best asset. But if it is to work beneficially, you must have faith in the banker. Come to me as you would to a father... Is it more money that you want? Very well. My barrel of gold is good for many thousands of dollars more. See, I'm going to mortgage your latest acquisitions, and lend you another thousand dollars right now." "So! Now our debt goes up to \$2000! We are going to have twice as much interest to pay for the rest of our lives!" "Well, yes — but I'll lend you more whenever the value of your property increases. And you'll never pay anything but the interest. You'll lump all your debts into one — what we call a consolidated debt. And you can add to the debt, year after year." "And raise the taxes, year after year?" "Obviously. But your revenues also increase every year." "So



then, the more the country develops each year because of our labor, the more the public debt increases!" "Why, of course! Just as in your country – or in any other part of the civilized World for that matter. The degree of a country's civilization is always gauged by the size of its debt to the bankers."


14. The wolf devours the lambs

"And that's a healthy monetary system, Mr. Oliver?" "Gentlemen, all sound money is based on gold, and it comes from the banks in the form of debts. The national debt is a good thing. It keeps men from becoming too satisfied. It subjugates governments to the supreme and ultimate wisdom, that which is incarnate in bankers. As a banker, I am the torch of civilization here on your little island. I will dictate your politics and regulate your standard of living." "Mr. Oliver, we're simply uneducated folks, but we don't want that kind of civilization here. We'll not borrow another cent off of you. Sound money or not, we don't want any further transactions with you." "Gentlemen, I deeply regret this very ill-advised decision of yours. But if you break with me, remember, I have your signatures. Repay me everything at once – capital and interest." "But that's impossible, sir. Even if we give you all the money on the island, we still won't be square with you." "I can't help that. Did you or did you not sign? Yes? Very well. "By virtue of the sanctity of contracts, I hereby seize your mortgaged property which was what you agreed to at the time you were so happy to have my help. If you don't want to serve willingly the supreme authority of money, then you'll obey by force. You'll continue to exploit the island, but in my interests and under my conditions. Now, get out! You'll get your orders from me tomorrow."



15. Control of the press

Oliver knew that whoever controlled the nation's money, controlled the nation. But he knew also that to maintain that control, it was necessary to keep the people in a state of ignorance, and to distract them by a variety of means. Oliver had observed that of the five islanders, two were conservatives and three were liberals. That much had evolved from their evening conversations, especially after they had fallen into slavery. And between the conservatives and those who were liberals, there was a constant friction. On occasions, Harry, the most neutral of the five, considering that all had the same needs and aspirations, had suggested the union of the people to put pressure on the authorities. Such a union, Oliver could not tolerate; it would mean the end of his rule. No dictator, financial or otherwise, could stand before a people united and educated. Consequently, Oliver set himself to foment, as much as possible, political strife between them. The refugee put his press to work, turning out two weekly newspapers, "The Sun", for the Liberals, and "The Star", for the Conservatives. The general tenor of "The Sun" was: "If you are no longer master, it is because of those traitorous Conservatives who have sold out to big business." That of "The Star": "The ruinous state of business and the national debt can be traced directly to the political responsibility of those unmentionable Liberals."




16. A priceless bit of floatsam

One day, Tom, the prospector, found on a small beach, hidden by tall grass at one end of the island, a lifeboat, empty except for a trunk in good condition lying in the bottom of it. He opened the trunk. Among the articles within, a sort of album caught his eye: "The First Year of Social Credit". Between the covers he found the first of a Social Credit publication. Curious, Tom sat down and began to read the volume. His interest grew; his face lit up. "Well, just look at this!" he cried out loud. "This is something we should have known a long time ago." "Money gets its value, not from gold, but from the products which that money buys. "Simply put, money should be a sort of accountancy, credits passing from one account to another according to purchases and sales. The sum total of money will depend upon the sum total of production. "Each time production increases, there is a corresponding increase in the amount of money. Never at any time should interest be paid on new money. Progress is marked, not by an increase in the public debt, but by the issuance of an equal dividend to each individual... Prices are adjusted to the general purchasing power by a coefficient of prices. Social Credit..." But Tom could no longer contain himself. He got up and set off at a run, the book in his hands, to share this glorious discovery with his four comrades.

17. Money – elementary accounting


So Tom became the teacher. He taught the others what he had learned from that God-sent Social Credit publication. "This," he said, "is what we can do without waiting for a banker and his keg of gold, nor without underwriting a debt." "I open an account in the name of each one of you. In the right hand column are the credits which increase your account; to the left are the debits which subtract from your account. "Each wants \$200 to begin with. Very well. We write \$200 to the credit of each. Each immediately has \$200. "Frank buys some goods from Paul for \$10. I deduct \$10 from Frank, leaving him \$190. I add \$10 to Paul, and he now has \$210. "Jim buys from Paul to the amount of \$8. I deduct from Jim \$8, leaving him \$192. Paul now has \$218. "Paul buys wood from Frank for \$15. I deduct \$15 from Paul, leaving \$203. I add \$15 to Frank's account, and it goes back to \$205. "And so we continue; from one account to another, in the same fashion that paper banknotes go from one man's pocket to another's. "If someone needs money to expand production, we issue him the necessary



amount of new credit. Once he has sold his products, he repays the sum to the credit fund. The same with public works; paid for by new credits. "Likewise, each one's account is periodically increased, but without taking credits from anyone, in order that all may benefit from the progress society makes. That's the national dividend. In this fashion, money becomes an instrument of service."


18. The banker's despair

Everyone understood. The members of this little community became Social Crediters. The following day, Oliver, the banker, received a letter signed by the five: "Dear sir! Without the slightest necessity you have plunged us into debt and exploited us. We don't need you anymore to run our money system. From now on, we'll have all the money we need without gold, debts, nor thieves. We are establishing, at once, the system of Social Credit on the island. The national dividend is going to replace the national debt. "If you insist on being repaid, we can repay you all the money you gave us. But not a cent more. You cannot lay claim to that which you have not made." Oliver was in despair. His empire was crumbling. His dreams shattered. What could he do? Arguments would be futile. The five were now Social Crediters: money and credit were now not more mysterious to them than they were to Oliver. "Oh!" said Oliver. "These men have been won to Social Credit! Their doctrine will spread far more quickly than mine. Should I beg forgiveness? Become one of them? I, a financier and a banker? Never! Rather, I shall try and put as much distance between them and me as I can!"



19. The fraud unmasked

To protect themselves against any future claim by Oliver, our five men decided to make him sign a document attesting that he again possessed all he had when he first arrived on the island. An inventory was taken; the boat, the oars, the little press, and the famous barrel of gold. Oliver had to reveal where he had hidden the gold. Our boys hoisted it from the hole with considerably less respect than the day they had unloaded it from the boat. Social Credit had taught them to despise gold. The prospector, who was helping to lift the barrel, found it surprisingly light for gold. If the barrel was full, he told the others, there was something in it besides gold. The impetuous Frank didn't waste a moment; a blow of the axe, and the contents of the barrel was exposed. Gold? Not so much as a grain of it! Just rocks — plain, worthless rocks! Our men couldn't get over the shock. "Don't tell us that he could bamboozle us to this extent!" "Were we such muttonheads as to go into raptures over the mere mention of gold?" "Did we mortgage all of our possessions for a few pieces of paper based on a few pounds of rocks? It's a robbery, compounded with lies!" "To think that we sulked and almost hated one another all because of such a fraud! That devil!" Furious, Frank raised his axe. In great haste, the banker has already taken flight towards the forest.



20. Summary

The debt-money system introduced by Oliver into the Salvation Island made the little community sink into financial debt in proportion as it developed and enriched the island by its own work. This is exactly what happens in our civilized countries, is it not? Canada of today is certainly richer, in real wealth, than it was 50, 100 years ago, or in the pioneers' age. But compare the national debt, the sum of all public debts of Canada today with this sum 50, 100 years, three centuries ago! Yet the Canadians themselves produced this enrichment by their labour and their know-how. Then why should they be collectively indebted for the result of their own activities? For example, consider the schools, the municipal aqueducts, the bridges, roads and other fabrics of public character. Who build them all? Builders of the country. Who supply them with the needed materials? Manufacturers of the country. And how come they can be employed in public works? Because there are other kinds of workers who produce food, clothes, shoes, who supply all the things and services required for the wants of the constructors and manufacturers. Thus the whole population of Canada by its work of different kinds, produce all those developments. If we must obtain goods from abroad, we send other goods abroad in counterpart of them. Now, what do you see? Everywhere the citizens are taxed to pay those schools, those hospitals, those bridges, roads and other public works. The Canadians, as a collectivity, are thus compelled to pay what they produce as a collectivity.

21. You pay much more than the double price

And this is not all. The population is made to pay more than the price of what it produced. Their own production — a real enrichment — has become for the Canadians a debt burdened with interest. When years add to years, the sum of the interests can equal or even exceed the amount of the debt imposed by the system. It happens that the population may have to pay two, three times the cost of what its members produced. In addition to the public debts, there are industrial debts, also loaded with interests. They compel the manufacturers and contractors to increase their prices beyond the cost of production, in order to reimburse the capital and the interests; otherwise they would become insolvent, bankrupt. Both public and industrial debts are paid, plus interest, by the Canadian population, to the financial system. We pay taxes for the public debts, and a surplus of price for the industrial debts. Prices are swelling while the purse is flattened by taxes.

22. A tyrannical system

These and many other facts are indicative of a money system, a financial system which controls instead of being a servant; a system to dominate the people — as Oliver dominated the fellows of the Island before they rebelled. And if the money masters refuse to lend, or if they make their conditions unbearable for the public bodies or for the manufacturers, what happens? It happens that the public bodies give up many projects, no matter how urgent; and the manufacturers give up development or production plans that would answer to real needs of Canadians. This is a cause of unemployment. And those who still have something, or who earn a salary, must be taxed to prevent the unemployed from starving completely. Can you imagine a more tyrannical system, with so baneful effects on every Canadian?

23. A bar to distribution

And this is not all. Not only the money system indebts the producers, or paralyzes the production it refuses to finance, but it is a wretched financial tool for the distribution of the goods. Notwithstanding the fact that stores, shops and warehouses are full, and that everything is at hand for an even greater production, the distribution of the goods already produced is stunted. You can obtain only what you can pay. In face of an abundant production, there should be an abundance of purchasing power, of money in the wallets of the people. Such is not the fact. The price of the finished goods is always higher than the amount of money distributed as purchasing power in the course of their production. This is inherent to the accountancy of the present system of finance which has no mechanism to fill the gap. The capacity to pay is not made to equal the capacity to produce. Finance and reality do not work at the same rate. Reality means an abundance of goods easy to produce. Finance means a lacking money hard to obtain.

24. To correct what is wicked

Thus the present money system is truly an oppressive one, when it should be a system of service. This does not mean that we must do away with it, but we must correct it. The application of the financial principles known as Social Credit would make this correction magnificently. (Do not confound Social Credit with the political party which usurps that name while pursuing other ends and practising an adverse policy.) The principles of Social Credit, when applied, would make the money system a servant instead of a master. They were discovered and enunciated by a genius, C. H. Douglas (deceased in 1952). His first writings on this subject were published in 1918. The first idea that comes to the mind of too many people living in Canada, when they hear the words Social Credit, is the idea of a political party. But no. Social Credit is not a party, although there was a party by that name. Social Credit is no more a party than Christianity is a party, even if, in some countries you find political parties with such names as Christian Democrats, Christian Party, Christian Center, etc. A political party exists purposely to seek power, to be or strive to be the group that rules the country. Social Credit works in the very opposite way. Social Credit will set the individual free; it will place the individual in a situation where he can himself be the ruler of his own life. Social Credit will thus distribute power to individuals not the power to boss their neighbours, but the power to order the goods they want from the potential production of their country.

25. Social Credit. Exact. Logical. Humane

Social Credit considers realities. It refuses to be hypnotized by the halo with which finance has been surrounded. The economic realities are, on one hand, the production; not only the existing production, but the production immediately possible, the production capacity; and they are, on the other hand, the human needs. Social Credit gives priority to the realities over the financial signs that are not realities, that must simply represent, and faithfully represent, the realities.

26. Real credit and financial credit

This is why Social Credit makes a distinction between real credit (a reality) and financial credit (a representation). The word "credit" comes from the Latin word "credere" and bears the idea of confidence. Even in everyday language, to give credit to someone, is it not to indicate that we have confidence in him? Social Credit calls real credit of a country what really gives confidence in that country, confidence that one can live there without too much difficulty. The real credit of a country is its production capacity. It is its degree of possibility to produce and deliver the goods to the needs. And Social Credit affirms that financial credit must be the exact representation of the real credit. It is therefore the production capacity that must determine the movement of finance. It is absolutely not for finance to command, paralyze or limit the production capacity. This is why Social Credit demands the establishment of a credit office that would keep an account of national (or provincial) credit. Any production, those of consumption goods and those of capital goods, would then be entered as an increase of wealth. And all consumptions (or destruction, or depreciation) would then be entered as a decrease of wealth. The net increase in wealth would be production minus consumption. With very few and passing exceptions where a country would live at the expense of another, the production of a country surpasses its consumption. The country is becoming richer. It is therefore absurd to say that it is going into debt. The public debt is an absurdity. And when a country is getting richer, its citizens must certainly draw advantage of it. This is what Social Credit recognizes, when speaking of a dividend to all, instead of debts and taxes on everyone.

27. Money without inflation

The present system is subject to inflation. Inflation means rising prices. When money cannot begin without, as today, creating a debt, it is necessary that ways be found to draw from the public more money than there was put into circulation, so as to refund the debt plus the interest of the debt. Whence taxes, that are added to prices or that diminish the purchasing power before the prices. Whence also increases of prices by industrials, who must draw from the public only the money to pay for the products, but also for the financial charges, the interests on the industrial loans. Social Credit would suppress this cancer, this tumor upon the prices, since the production would be an increase of wealth, and not an indebtedness. And, Social Credit would lower the prices to be paid by the buyers, since it would have the community pay only what it consumes, and not all what it produces. If, as an example, in the whole country, the consumption was only equal to three quarters of the production, the buyers would only pay, on any article bought by them, only three quarters of the accounting price. The Credit Office would take care of compensating the retailer so that he may recuperate all of his accounting price. This means that the amounts of money included in the prices, but not having reached the hands of the public, or directed towards saving or investment, are not applied to the purchase of the production, would be by the organism of credit replaced to the benefit of those who are in need of the products. This would prevent the accumulation of products in the face of needs. And the mechanism to do it would have the advantage of operating with a decrease in prices, therefore in eliminating all possible inflation.

28. A dividend to everyone

The periodic dividend to everyone, recommended by Social Credit, is also in conformity with the economic realities. The modern production, in fact, is more and more the result of applied science, of inventions, of improvements in production techniques, and of all these things that constitute a common good: an heritage transmitted and increased from one generation to the other. The modern production is less and less the result of individual labour. Hoping to distribute the production only through the reward of human labour, is therefore contrary to the facts. It is at the same time impossible, for the money distributed as recompense for work can never buy the production that contains other elements in its prices. Seeking salary increases with decreases in human labour, is also to change the meaning of the word salary. It is no more a recompense for work; it is the inclusion in the salary of the hired persons of what should be a dividend for all, since it is the fruit of progress and not of labour. This deviation is a hindrance to the desired goal, since in becoming a salary instead of remaining a dividend, these additional amounts go into the prices. Social Credit would distribute the dividend to everyone, directly, without charging it to industry. It would truly raise everyone's purchasing power. Besides being the recognition of a very productive community capital, this social dividend would at the same time be an excellent way of satisfying the primitive destination of the earthly goods. "Earth and its riches were created for all men" (Pius XII). This is totally ignored by the present economic regime in its financial technique of distribution. Social Credit would thus directly establish an adequate repartition of the goods of nature and of industry, instead of leaving the task to the surgery of taxation, that amputates and grafts continually, without ever healing the disease. A share to each and everyone, guaranteed by the dividend to each and everyone from birth till death; and this share should be sufficient to at least insure what is necessary for life.

Overview of the Feudal Bankers' (Banksters/BankLords) Conspiracy

United States Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter is reported to have received a copy of Eva Frank's portrait from his mother, a descendent of the Prague Sabbatean Frankist family. Here is a quote from Frankfurter: **"The real rulers in Washington are invisible and exercise their power from behind the scenes."** -- **Justice Felix Frankfurter, U.S. Supreme Court.**

The following is expanded on Louis Even & Murry Gaureraux. In the old days in the barter system, 10 families could be supported by 10 men working. The wives could stay at home and raise the children properly with morals and values. The children were happy in a secure environment and learned from their parents as well as helping them with their work. If a crime was committed, the community could decide the most appropriate punishment depending on the circumstances and loss involved. Children were educated concentrating on reading (phonetics) writing & arithmetic with practical and worthwhile examples. Guns were used for hunting, defense and also to keep any person from declaring himself as dictator or king. If someone invented new technology, the other 9 could exchange their goods & services for devices that would reduce their work week 5 to 10 hours per week for example. If an expert gave advice, that advice would be bartered for enabling others to learn. If a new place of worship or school needed to be built, the 10 could donate or give their 10% of goods & services and the building would be fully paid for with that community gaining the benefits and/or revenue in perpetuity.

Now an International Banker arrives and sells them the idea that he will lend each family \$100 to speed up the transfer of goods and services. It will save time haggling and he will not charge for bookkeeping services. It is all covered in the 10% interest charge each year. The first year seems to be ok but at the end of the first year, the banker can collect his \$10 interest from each family and have \$100 in his pocket leaving only \$900 in circulation unless the banker finds someone to lend the \$10 or the banker spends it himself. If the banker chooses to call in all the loans at that time, at a minimum one family is forced into bankruptcy due to only \$900 left in the community to pay the total principle outstanding of \$1,000 in the community. These family/families are forced into bankruptcy no matter how hard they work or how smart they operate.

The question at this time is "who has the money to hire new technology to replace the workers to drive down the wages?" Who has the money to pass legislation to bring in cheap foreign labor despite the unrest and problems it causes driving down the already low wages. The question at this time is, who has the money to hire the "experts" to confuse everyone else in order to change black into white and white into black? Like we have to raise interest rates and slow down the growth of the money supply in order to fight inflation as the economy is getting overheated. Contrast that with President Thomas Jefferson: "If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issuance of their currency, first by inflation and then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them will deprive the people of all their property until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered." <http://www.sonic.net/sentinel/naij2.html>)

"I consider the foundation of the Constitution as laid on this ground that "all powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are preserved to the states or to the people. " ... To take a single step beyond the boundaries thus specially drawn around the powers of Congress is to take possession of a boundless field of power, no longer susceptible of any definition. The incorporation of a bank, and the powers assumed by this bill (chartering the first Bank of the United States), have not, been delegated to the United States by the Constitution." - **Thomas Jefferson - in opposition to the chartering of the first Bank of the United States (1791).**

With now at least \$60 Trillion in debt world wide, who loses from 10% inflation and gains from buying companies and assets on 10 cents on the dollar in recessions or collapses like Asia, Brazil, Argentina, etc. Who has the money to finance new public projects to gain the benefits and/or revenue in perpetuity? Who has the money to alter the educational system so students reading, math & real practical knowledge has dropped dramatically yet they are studying so many facts, knowledge and formulas that they are sick of school and can't wait for the week end to party? Who has the money to alter the legal system so those that go against the system get years in jail and the large corporate robbers & polluters get off with minimal time or fines? The banker chooses to inflate the money supply for several years or a generation as it gives him time to lay his spider web more strongly and so the people forget how good it was in the past. He introduces programs like unemployment which extracts money from the middle class to pay for the squeezed out worker. Social and mental programs are introduced to sugar coat the real problems arising from the simple change of barter (each community issuing its money) to the international banker issuing debt created money for the community.

In the old days, you had 10 men supporting 10 families. Now with banker created debt money, you have 10 men and their wives working to support 20 to 30 families. The children are in day care or on the streets with no guidance. The parents are stressed when they do get time with family and don't know how to explain the constant pressure to make money. Now whereas instead of 10 families struggling with a bankruptcy rate each year approximately equal to the interest rate, there are several hundred million people in the same situation as the families above. The mentality of cooperation and helping others has changed from 100 years ago to an almost dog eat dog due not daring to help due to the debt load, having to make interest payments, not being able to relax because if a person does, someone else will get that job, contract or asset if a person backs off and doesn't keep pushing. Companies and countries are in the same situation of competition or survival of the economic fittest in order to survive from such a simple created book keeping banking problem but it has such horrendous nightmarish results for the world. **The Banker is taking much over 30% annually of the peoples' wealth for computer entries and through manipulation of the money supply, wars, takeovers, monopolies and other frauds such the use of exotic financial derivatives. The CEO's, experts, politicians, military and those supporting the economic slavery along with the unemployed and those that have chosen crime as an alternative to making money to survive need to live on real goods and services that the 10 families are providing. Now you know why you have to work harder and longer for less even though the technology is there to provide for everyone in a bountiful fashion that God has provided for us if we choose to use it wisely and fairly.**

It is important to improve the educational system as then it keeps the students so busy learning facts, formulas and figures that they do not learn the real important knowledge. Those students coming out as PH.Ds (piled higher and drier or those that learn more and more about less and less until they know everything about nothing) and Masters will then be the strongest supporters of the system as they will not believe it is the smartest that is the easiest to brainwash if you give them the "right" information (i.e. propaganda). For a simple test who is most and easiest to brain wash, send this email to various educated friends and ask for their response. Generally I have found, the most educated will listen the least & fight the most about the simple logic of this information. They are the least likely to research documentation for themselves. It is important to inform the people about the fraud of the mass media which only gives the sheep/cattle what the banker wants them to read and hear. The papers like Spotlight that will not toe the bankers' line through pulled advertising, threats or bribes, are shut down through crooked judges and their court orders.

The "cold wars" are setup to extract military spending for black projects like the CIA controlling the drug trade covered in the book Dope Inc.. It is the independents or those on the inside that do not want their share decreased like Noriega of the Panama that get caught and their drugs go back into the pipeline after a suitable time has passed. The intelligence communities work for the Fortune 100 corporations and monitor the people for any possible threats to the Bankers power in order to neutralize them ahead of time. Wars are the fastest way of destroying the independent wealth of the communities and making them dependant on the bankers for loans to rebuild their countries like Germany in WW1 & 2, Japan that were rebuilt by the Rothschilds and Rockefellers using new manufacturing technologies (some say SONY is short for Standard OIL of New York) which is why they are a leader in those areas, or other countries are still left desolate like Kosovo or Iraq or Afghanistan, etc..

Walmart didn't really get going strong until the Rockefellers joined forces using their connections to cheap manufacturing overseas like China where competitors were blocked under the supposed trading & restrictions and now with bureaucratic "red communist" tape. Of course as a condition of the loans, certain leaders beholden to the bankers will have to be put into leadership positions. Is that what happened when Conoco lost their oil pipeline bid with Afghanistan when the Taliban made a better deal with an Argentinian Oil Company Bidas? Were there too many drug independents that needed to be squeezed out at the same time of the Afghan poppy trade?

One branch of the Rothschilds financed the south and another branch financed the north in the US civil war and slavery was chosen as the issue to divide the growing prosperous country as Mexico & Canada were too weak to build up and it was too expensive to send ships, arms and men from Europe. Abraham Lincoln was given the opportunity to borrow at 28% from the Rothschilds. He chose to issue 450 million interest free in Greenbacks incurring the Bankers wrath <http://www.prolognet.qc.ca/clyde/pres.htm> and was promptly assassinated. **Shortly after that happened, "The London Times" printed the following:** "if that mischievous financial policy, which had its origin in the North American Republic, should become indurated down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without a debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous beyond precedent in the history of the civilized governments of the world. The brains and the wealth of all countries will go to North America. That government must be destroyed, or it will destroy every monarchy (misleading as the bankers owned the monarchies by that time through loans and the agents they had inserted around them by that time) on the globe."

As Lincoln said in one speech after he was reelected, he had two enemies of which one was the south/war and the other the money power. Of the two he feared the money power more and after the war was settled, he would work on the money power. It was just a short time later that the hired agent Booth killed him. A coded key was found linking him to Judah Benjamin Strong an agent of the Rothschilds in the United States. For a simple math question, how much interest did the 450 million printed directly save the American people since 1865 at 28% interest amortized over 30 years? After you have done this calculation, do you have a motive for the killing of Lincoln and why he said he feared the money power more? Why does a bank robber with under \$10,000 theft get on average 6 to 7 years in jail yet those in the Savings & Loans scandal in the US get only on average 1 to 2 years if that when they mismanaged and stole millions for themselves and those pensioners and others lost their life savings after years of work? Or they only received partial payouts after years of waiting? **Why did Henry Ford say it would only require 60 to 70 bankers being put into jail to stop all wars when plans were told to him for World War II and how various people would profit from it when riding on a ship to Europe". The Czar of Russia was targeted for his helping Lincoln and the United States as he sent a fleet of ships to New York and San Francisco to block fleets from Britain and France and for not having a central bank controlled by the Rothschilds or the other 12 illuminati elite families.**

By the early 1900's, the Russia was producing 12 million barrels of oil per day compared to the US with only 9 million barrels per day. If they had been allowed to industrialize with their own money, they could have been more technologically advanced than the US contrary to the myth that it was so bad there which is why the people rebelled. Thus the Bolshevik Revolution was financed to throw him out of power with the aim to take out the competition in banking and oil. Standard Oil provided the training facilities for a number of the revolutionaries, Jacob Schiff supplied 20 million in gold, the Rothschilds' intelligence and other support. Rothschild (Red Shield) matches with the Red Armies of Russia & China and they like to have front governments with politicians controlled by them assuring that their central banks can easily lend large volumes of money secured by the people's earning power. Interest rates and loans can fluctuate as they determine for maximum profits to themselves and their insiders. Now, 13 Khazar Jewish Oligarchic families control Russian wealth.

The French Revolution in France removed the King and the Roman Catholic Church as competitors. When Rothschild-backed Napoleon sent his general in to the Vatican in 1798, it would be interesting to know what terms and deals that were made afterwards. While Napoleon seemingly was such a power according to the school history text books, who had enough influence to have him exiled to an island and later poisoned with arsenic according to hair samples when he didn't follow orders close enough?

Some say that John F. Kennedy was working with others to issue constitutional US Treasury dollars with no interest owing <http://www.prolognet.qc.ca/clyde/pres.htm> . They had 4.3 billion printed distributed in several banks and as the State of Texas had the easiest legal method of issuing their money due to having to annually renew their United States Federal Treaty Agreement, Governor O'Connell was also helping. That is why O'Connell was also shot at and it was not a random bullet. Other reasons for JFK's assassination are his insistence that the Zionist state be non-nuclear, his plan to dismantle the CIA's terrorist activities, his plan to pull out of Vietnam, etc. all of which affect the bankers' income. John Kennedy Jr. was killed by a bomb set off on his airplane in the tail section before he could raise much public awareness about his running for US presidency as he might become a real threat like his father. His supposed flight inexperience was a cover story for the gullible public to swallow.

Benjamin Franklin printed the State of Philadelphia's dollars on his printing press in proportion to the projects the state of Philadelphia needed to be built like roads, schools and other buildings. The people were happy, there was very little crime, drinking or other social problems. When asked on his Europe trip as to what the United States owed its prosperity and happiness to as there was so much unemployment and starvation there, he said we print money as needed for beneficial projects. The international bankers realized they needed to do something and in essence, the Rothschilds told the King of

England to issue an order that the US dollars were not to be accepted any more and their British money had to be used. By issuing only 1 British dollar for 2 US dollars, they cut the amount in circulation to 1/2 per person and then through high interest rates and calling in loans, cut that amount in circulation to 1/4 from the previous amount. In a short 2 or 3 years there was 25 to 30% unemployment, drinking, crime, divorces, debtors prison, forced child work etc. Benjamin Franklin said we would have gladly borne the tax on the tea if we could have kept our own money which very few people know about as it would not do to have the people learn anything valuable about the real history in school of the 1776 revolution. There is a reason that the Rockefellers related to the Rothschilds and originally borrowers of in the past bought up controlling interest in the five major text book companies and Encyclopedia Britannica. There is a reason for the constant change in text books often times for the worse in ease of real understanding as there is not much profit in text books that are used for 50 years like the McGuffey readers.

US and Canada by having partial control and issuing their own money built up their countries until 1913 with roads, bridges, buildings etc. with very little debt until the Federal Reserve Act in the US (the name carefully chosen to deceive the people from knowing that it is a privately owned corporation with most of the ownership European) and a similar Banking Act creating the Bank of Canada in Canada. Despite parliamentary hearings in England in the 1930's, they still don't know who the owners of the Bank of England are, i.e. the Rothschilds.

A Democracy is easiest for the International Bankers to run as then the people believe they are free but it only takes about 200 out of 300 people bribed, blackmailed or brain washed congressmen to make laws and legislation for 300 million people. USSR was too inefficient as too many people were rebelling or just putting in time against the elite dictatorship. A plan was conceived wherein there was a resemblance of a democratic revolution to overthrow communism. National industries were privatized and sold for cents on the dollar to Rothschild front men. Medical, pensions and other programs to help people were cut back or out and now there is approximately 50% unemployment.

In countries like Africa and South America it was less costly to finance terrorist movements to overthrow semi-corrupt governments that were not handing enough mines, assets or interests in the countries wealth to the bankers and/or banker controlled transnational corporations. The war for Kosovo was planned & told to others in the 1991 Bilderberger meeting as the not compliant enough president Slobodan Milosevic needed to be removed so the oil and mineral wealth could be extracted for outside companies, a pipeline be built to carry the oil from the Caspian Sea through to Afghanistan and then from there to China to supply the growing energy demands of 1.1 billion people. **A destroyed country is always easier to negotiate with. It is also a good example to show other obstinate countries to bring them back in line.**

There are people that remember the supposed gas oil shortage when prices spiked up in 1973 in the US. An oil executive in Lybia said there were oil tankers loaded with oil sitting offshore but the 30 year oil leases had expired with the middle east countries. The terms of the new agreement were such that with the increased oil royalties to 20% minus capital costs and with greater tax right offs from the US government, the oil companies were actually making more money than before. For a simple math question, if OPEC countries get 20% royalty from every barrel of oil sold from their country, who gets the other 80% of each dollar from oil sold? Who benefits more from oil going to \$60 dollars per barrel from \$20 per barrel? What is the amount of government taxes on each gallon of gas sold? What percentage of the government budget goes to pay just interest on the debt owing and why is that the richest countries have the highest debt load? Who gets most of the blame for the high prices in the mass media? Why is it that OPEC is blamed first, the oil companies next and never a word about the bankers benefiting? Did the banks/government get even with the oil companies on the Alaska pipeline through red tape and delays so that the price of the pipeline went from 2 Billion to over 12 Billion nearly bankrupting the oil companies and forcing them to borrow their net worth. They also blocked them from tapping enough oil reserves and enough natural gas for 200 years supply. Did they not want the US to be self sufficient and able to pay all their debt off? <http://www.reformation.org/energy-non-crisis.html> How easy is it to bamboozle the average citizen? If there are 30 year contracts with the middle east countries, what is 30 years plus 1973? When did the war break out with Iraq? 30 years ago, Iraq did not have the knowledge and skilled work force to operate and maintain the oil fields and equipment. Now they do and have the money to maybe operate & receive 100% of the revenue. What kind of terms are Iran & Saudia Arabia going to agree to after seeing what happened to Iraq? Which companies transported and received the first ship loads of oil from Iraq after the last war was over? Which oil pipeline was started up again that had been shut down after the 1967 Israeli war? Those answers lead to who was behind the war and who are benefiting from it. Saddam Hussein was a pussy cat compared to Bush & company and those against him directing the counter revolution attempting to get more of a cut on oil revenues.

Most people think that a bank lends money out that it has set aside from savings or some ratio of that. Banks actually create money. A person signing a note or mortgage of \$100,000 creates paper wealth that the bank can then set up as an asset in a numbered account to create a loan of \$100,000 from which that person can write checks to disburse money (actually debt backed fiction money) to other people or stores. For the bank, it was just a matter of electronic digits to create & keep track of, but for the person to repay the interest on top of the principle, he has to repay with blood, sweat & tears. For a 30 year mortgage on his house, he can repay twice the principle in interest. That is why third and fourth generation farms are foreclosed on by bank managers. The only work the bankers do is type some numbers on the keyboard in air conditioned offices. There is a misconception that governments need to pay down the debt as a solution to the problem. When 10% of the debt is paid down, that reduces the money in circulation by approximately 10% as **nearly all money in circulation is debt created money except a small amount of coinage.** When the average dollar is exchanged 5 to 6 times a year, a \$100 dollar debt payment dollar reduction can mean \$550 loss of goods and services

exchanged in one year. For a billion dollar debt pay down, that can mean \$5.5 billion in loss of goods and services that would normally be exchanged.

That ratio is why economies can fluctuate so fast from growth to recession due to just interest rates and bankers control over lending, and has a no correlation to the willingness of people to work, the education of the people and the other spins the mass media puts out such as when the 1929 crash was connected somehow to the farm economy going bad due to drought which led to escalating failures. The drought was in the money supply because the bankers conspired to call in loans. If a person takes the 30 year loan rate and subtracts the short term 1 year loan rate, that will give almost exactly the growth rate of the economy in 1.5 years. For example, if the 30 year rate is 7%, and the 1 year loan rate is 5% then in 1.5 years, the economy will be growing at about 2%. If the 1 year loan rate is 9%, then in about 1.5 years, the economy will be contracting at about 2%. Now the economists' figures give the gross numbers but don't include the shift and concentration of wealth from the poor to the wealthy. A paper company can't be producing more with 10,000 employees that have been laid off while a 10 million dollar bonus goes to the CEO who did the hatchet job. With increased profits, the stock goes up and you tell me how much stock the average unemployed person owns and who owns the majority of the stock?

Germany after WWI had about 30% unemployment due to the war reparations payable in gold to the bankers. If there is only a 2% increase in the gold supply each year, a factory that can increase production of shoes 25% each year but sells the production to get gold will have to keep dropping their price in order to sell the shoes as there isn't enough gold in circulation, and the banker who owns the gold is only going to buy a few shoes. The people that need the shoes and are willing to work for them, don't have gold so there is no medium of exchange. Hitler when campaigning promised to pay every pfennig (penny) back in loans as he was planted by the bankers. Once he got in power, the people that backed & chose him, quit paying the loans in gold to the banks in England and France as they realized the problem as described in the above example of shoes. They created their own money and made agreements with companies that they could build factories in Germany but they could only take out part of the production and not gold which was draining the country.

The real Germans (not Hitler who was a grandson of Baron Rothschild of Austria http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_rothschild04.htm) realized that any country that went against the bankers would have military force used against them, from another country that was under the bankers' control, if the bankers could not get their way so they started building up the economy including the military and the infrastructure to support it. Within 2 or 3 years, there was virtually no unemployment and within 10 years, by careful choices, money creation with the industry of the German people, they were able to have a prosperous country that was producing planes, ships and other wealth. It is unfortunate the average person did not understand and could have said no to the created staged WWII that made ruins of all that wealth with Christians from the Allies fighting Christians from Germany and Italy whilst the bankers were laughing all the way to their banks.

It is a misconception that money needs to be backed by gold in order to bring stability to the dollar. When the Rothschilds control and own enough gold that they set the price in London each day, just who benefits from that party line? Which would you rather have, yen backed by the productive manufacturing might of the Japanese or the rand from a country torn apart by created conflicts so more gold diamonds and other wealth can flow to the Oppenheims and their cohorts? What is most important, like Benjamin Franklin said, is to issue money as needed for beneficial projects. Canada could issue 1 billion for a computer chip factory. They could issue another billion for other factories to produce robots to make life easier for workers. They could build factories and replace what they are importing in manufactured goods. The people of Canada would own those factories and get the revenue from them. The flow of money outwards would go to contractors and laborers who could then buy other goods & services. <http://www.michaeljournal.org/appenD.htm>. Why is it that a country can produce all kinds of ships, airplanes and hardware during WWII and yet 50 years later, have high unemployment in several provinces and manufacturing be virtually shut down in those industries?

Thomas Edison: "If our nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good, makes the bill good also. The difference between the bond and the bill is that the bond lets the money brokers collect twice the amount of the bond and an additional 20 percent, whereas the currency pays nobody but those who contribute directly to Muscle Shoals in some useful way... "It is absurd to say that our country can issue \$30 million in bonds and not \$30 million in currency. Both are promises to pay, but one fattens the usurers and the other helps the people. If the currency issued by the Government was no good, then the bonds would be no good either. It is a terrible situation when the Government, to increase the national wealth, must go into debt and submit to ruinous interest charges at the hands of men who control the fictitious value of gold."

Why did Mayer Rothschild say "Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes her laws"?

For example, Rockefellers' Chase Manhattan bank spends \$1 million for a bank officer to go to Brazil, set up an office and make a 1 billion dollar loan to the Brazilian government payable back in US dollars. It is preferable the 1 billion goes into politician payoffs, large construction contracts that Chase Manhattan connected construction companies get, the project has costs over runs so it never gets completed or if it does, never operates as promised. At first, the billion dollars stimulates the economy but then, repayments when the Brazilian dollar drops in 1/2 means that 2X as much cattle, grain, iron ore etc have to be sent out of the country in order to meet payments. When that isn't enough, social services have to be cut to the people and national companies need to be privatized or sold to foreign companies which causes more problems for the average person and more revenue leaving the country.

Chase Manhattan can use the paper loss on performing debt to reduce real taxes owing to the US government or as in the case of Royal Bank of Canada, they reduced their payable taxes enough that a window washer for them paid more taxes. (Back about 40 years ago, corporate taxes were about 80% of the revenue and the personal taxes about 20%. Now it is reversed.) Because it is easier to foreclose and resell on Canadian farms and businesses, Royal Bank choose that option for loans secured in Canada. The Canadian Royal Bank realize that with 30 year old helicopters, a few old ships and the Canadian military force isn't worth enough to even bring up in passing conversation to the Brazilians. But an updated military will sure be helpful in Canada to control a rebellion if the planned economic collapse is triggered. The Chase Manhattan bank is putting pressure on the South American countries to swap real assets for paper debt but it is a matter of how much bribes and how much threat of force they can use in order to get the deals they want. Rothschilds are countering them using their influence so it isn't always an easy road fighting for the top position.

Google search such as <http://www.think-aboutit.com/conspiracy/TheOpalFile.htm> gives a condensed history how the Rockefellers took control of the Australian mines & oil rights along with various national companies listing names of various politicians, how much they received along with numbers of their Swiss bank accounts that the money was paid into. CIA agents bought a used Cray super computer at government auction for \$25,000 and used the Promis software <http://users.cyberone.com.au/myers/bugs.html> that could access various computer data bases & use the data to find out peoples records such as tax, bank accounts, assets owned etc. to find out the bank account and payments that a number of US & even some Canadian politicians were getting. A brown paper bag with the information was sent with the information notifying those politicians that they needed to resign or the information would be publicised as well as the IRS being notified. There was a rash of politicians saying their families needed them so they would not be running again despite the work they wanted to do for the country.

Two of the CIA agents were eliminated right away and the third was thought to be flying on a plane to Florida so a bomb was set off on it. When 300 or 400 people are killed, it sure makes it more difficult to figure out the target than when one person is killed after when he has done certain actions and the more importance for getting that person, the less important other peoples lives are. The Spotlight paper was shut down shortly afterwards so I didn't find out what happened to the third CIA agent and it was another reason why The Spotlight was shut down, the bankers don't have any problems with copy cat killings when satanic ritual or mind controlled serial murders are done to scare people into supporting legislation for gun control and more police and surveillance equipment but they sure don't want copy cat rogue intelligence agents copying the tactics like the 3 CIA patriots. And you know why the word "rogue" was applied to them too. **The question is why do people put up with all the increased surveillance monitoring through cameras, telephone taps, and internet logging? Why are they not asking for 24 hour surveillance of their bankers, politicians and CEO's in order to protect us all from the real terrorists?**

Thus legislation is passed and Brazilian companies are encouraged to burn 1000s of acres of the Amazon jungle each hour to have land to grow grass for 3 to 5 years before the land starts blowing away the thin topsoil for cattle to export to pay off debt because like the Shakespearian play about the Jewish lender, whether it is the Rothschilds or the Rockefellers, they each demand their pound of flesh or more. Companies pollute because they make more money and the directors that put ecological and people caring before profits are fired and replaced in order to keep the insane money system going.

Computerized robots could do most of the work people do now. People are useless eaters so from the Illuminati Luciferian perspective, it is a matter of reducing the population through various means outlined in the Global 2000 population reduction program. Famines, wars, genetically engineered viruses like AIDS & SARS, chemtrails, chemicals to reduce the life expectancy, fertility and reasoning ability like fluoride, chlorine and aspartame were added to the water and food supply, vaccinations that produce long term health problems are some of the creative solutions the experts come up with and are using. An implanted microchip that can keep track of each economic slave biological unit with no chance of rebelling from prison planet earth is one of the Illuminati Luciferian goals. There are experimental projects already where welfare mothers are implanted to save loss of vouchers, high risk people like soldiers, politicians, children at risk for kidnapping are implanted for monitoring etc. It could be most of the ID theft is aided by the NWO and not much effort is made to stop it until the people cry out for a easy simple solution like the implanted microchip. Passports and drivers licenses are heading for required biometric information and then it will be easy to go to the next step of implants. The latest world cup soccer match in Britain required all ticket purchasers to show ID and all tickets had a RFID tracking chip attached.

While, it seems overwhelming and scary at first, the better a person understands the problem, the more solutions start appearing such as the Community issued money &/or barter.

<http://www.michaeljournal.org/localmoney.htm> How to apply Social Credit locally using simple ledger keeping. Used in a number of villages around the world already. <http://www.michaeljournal.org/myth.htm> "The Money Myth Exploded" Louis Even - Go through the rest of the site inspired by one of the pioneers of the Social Credit community money movement. <http://www.ithacahours.com/> Ithica Hours units by New York printed equal to 1 hour of labor. The Chinese government sent representatives to visit them.

Wal-Mart & a number of other large companies have chosen not to enter the community as they had too much competition from local businesses accepting Ithica hours. There are a number of barter clubs using web, email or paper transactions. \$1,000 of extra goods & services each year adds to \$10,000 additional wealth to a person and his local community in 10 years. In the deliberate 1929 crash when the elite sold out their stock at the peak, about 80% of the

people had access to rural living with some food, water and shelter. Now it is the opposite so any crash now causes that much more misery, suffering & hard ship. By studying & making contacts now, a person can be more prepared.

<http://www.nesara.us> has information about the legislation that has been passed under secrecy from the Farms Claims suits that would switch the Federal Reserve debt created interest bearing money back to the US treasury dollars. It is a continuation of what John F. Kennedy had started attempting to do until his public murder. It would cancel debts including mortgage & credit cards and switch income taxes to a sales tax on new non-essential items. There would be a return to constitutional law with peace declared bringing troops back from foreign wars. Dove's information stated the day after the World Trade Center bombing, one tower had housed the computers for transferring the software updating programming for the switch over, and the Pentagon section that was hit was the fraction in the military supporting the switch and the Pennsylvania plane was a cover story for going after the backup fiber optic command center controlled by the patriotic constitutional fraction.

The bankers benefit the most from wars. In order to extract that much more wealth from people, it is necessary to pass tax legislation so more profits can flow to them and their companies from the people in war time in cost plus contracts. Do you know of any average person knowing ahead to set up a munitions factory or a chemical company prior to a war or having the funds to do so after the war starts? How many small private companies have their firms taken over under the necessities of war? Was the seizure of land, buildings and assets of the Japanese citizens necessary or another theft by the bankers? Originally, the income tax legislation in the US & Canada was started before their entry into World War 1. It was promoted as voluntary on very high income people to benefit the poorer people in order to get people to vote for it. For most people it sounded good, thus the legislation passed. In Canada there was an additional requirement in order for it to pass that the tax legislation would be nullified within 4 years of the ending of the war or when the war debt was paid, whichever ever came first.

The lawyers for the Rockefellers set up trusts & foundations behind the scenes so they were exempt from the taxes. Even in 1978, Nelson Rockefeller paid no income tax as reported when he was running for governor of New York. Can you imagine how much more money you would have if you were able to keep all that you spent in income tax over the last 10 to 50 years? What ended up happening since then, is that the "voluntary" tax became almost compulsory for the brain washed unquestioning masses and the tax burden shifted down from very high income down to the high to middle income. That income gives subsidence support for the unemployed or near impoverished so they don't rebel and start asking too many questions. There are a number of people fighting the IRS & CCRA with varying degrees of success depending primarily on their knowledge and crookedness of the judges deciding their cases. .

American Tax Consultants: 3910 Northdale Blvd., Suite 210, Tampa, Florida 33624, Phone (813) 908-8422; Fax (813) 908-6882 quietly revoking the contract citizens signed with the IRS when they completed their first 1040. The method is perfectly legal and once complete the IRS leaves you alone. From their literature, none of their clients were ever investigated. They simply become "not required to file" by the IRS' own laws. Best I have found for the US. about 1300 clients and haven't lost one yet as I understand it.

<http://www.detaxcanada.org/> Eldon Warman hasn't paid any taxes since 1978 in Canada. Free information for what he suggests. Donations welcomed.

Joe Banister was a special criminal investigator with the IRS until he discovered the truth and switched sides. He was doing consulting and has won several cases including his own. A number of people are fighting loans, mortgages and credit card debt again with varying degrees of success depending on their knowledge & the crookedness of the judge.

<http://www.arcticbeacon.com/23-Mar-2006.html> "The above Judgment was entered by the Court on December 9, 1968. The issue there was simple - Nothing in the law gave the Banks the right to create money on their books

"Justice Mahoney (One of the few honest patriotic constitutional judges) denied the use of Federal Reserve Notes, since they represent debt instruments, not true money, from being used to pay for the appeal process itself. In order to get this overturned, since the bank's appeal without the payment being recognized was out of time, it would have required that the Bank of Montgomery, Minnesota bring a Title 42, Section 1983 action against the judicial act of Justice Mahoney for a violation of the Constitution of the United States under color of law or authority, and if successful, have the case remanded back to him to either retry the case or allow the appeal to go through. **Justice Mahoney was murdered about 6 months later as his payment from the bankers.**

It is important to study those that have proven track records against the IRS, Banks & courts before fighting the system as even then, there are no guarantees even in a jury trial, as the judge can give recommendations that the jury perceives as virtual orders contrary to the jury deciding what is the best decision in the circumstances on the evidence presented for themselves for each particular case. Some people transfer any assets that they do have out of their possession before fighting the system. Others like corporations, just fudge with the books so that the IRS finds it almost impossible to uncover the real income. It is easier to go after the people in order to get a higher quota of taxes owing for each hour of time spent at the office.

<http://www.CAFR1.com> Walter Burien has uncovered in the CAFR (Comprehensive Annual Financial Report), that the states are hiding so much assets and income from the people that right now, there is almost no necessity for state or income taxes.

The Kings of France, Spain and England needed income to pay debts to the bankers such as the Rothschilds. They were desperate for gold & silver as that is what the debts were repayable in. That Spain used torture as part of the methods to get their hands on gold from the Incas and other Indians, is a sign of their desperation. The colonies in the US were originally financed by the monarchies and the monarchies allowed the colonies to use most any assets they found or built except gold and silver. The banker's scheme was to get the colonies into debt and out of the King of England's hands so they helped finance and support the revolution behind the scenes using Masonry. They had already gotten the colonies off of the different US states social credit money through orders issued using the King of England as their front man which was a supreme threat to their debt issued money. They needed a new entity that would borrow money and would be responsible for the repayment of debts using the citizens as collateral and a revenue source. The United States of America was promoted to the people as a constitutional republic government of the people, by the people, for the people but through clever legalese, bribing and misrepresentation, what actually happened was that the United States of America corporation was instituted under the guise of being a constitutional republic.

When people think they are voting their government in, they think it is their government representing them but it is actually for people to govern them for the bankers or more like officers & directors for the United States of America Corporation. The voting is to make the peasants think one thing when in actuality it really government of the rich, by the rich, for the rich. Another example of created illusions is when people hear word the word "person" in court, they think of a flesh and blood person but to a lawyer, person can include artificial paper entities such as corporations as being a person under their crafty use of words and definitions.

<http://www.atgpress.com/kifap/indexjm.htm> has information on how the US states are still colonies of the British. <http://www.atgpress.com/kifap/britcol/b1.htm> The Revolutionary War was fought and concluded when Cornwallis surrendered to Washington at Yorktown. As Americans we have been taught that we defeated the king and won our freedom. The next document I will use is the Treaty of 1783, which will totally contradict our having won the Revolutionary War. (footnote 2). George Washington, Benjamin Franklin and others had a choice of to keep fighting the British with continued loss of trade and impoverishment of the people or to sign a treaty promising repayment of debts incurred & setting up the United States Corporation as guarantee and surety for that debt. They chose what they thought were the lesser of two evils and for their work, Benjamin Franklin was knighted and George Washington has been promoted as the father of the country in the history books. That furtherance included as a start paying back all debts incurred to the banks and of breaking virtually every treaty with the Indians down through history so the Indians lost control of their land to the immigrant Europeans who then lost control to the European bankers. The Indians woke up what was happening over 250 years ago as to what is going on but it seems the whites are still mostly sleeping.

The crash of 1929 behind the scenes led to the bankruptcy of different government corporations around the world leading to Roosevelt shutting down banks and calling in the peoples gold under threat of \$10,000 fines and 6 months in jail. Thousands of smaller banks got closed down and rolled into the large 13 illuminati controlled banks along with other concentrations of wealth. The US Government has been actually operating under bankruptcy trusteeship since then behind the scenes.

James Trafficant, US senator, for his exposing the Federal Reserve Scam in public record was framed on bogus charges and put in jail.

People think by paying 50% of their gross income, they are helping others somehow. It would be one thing if the money was simply wasted but the military money is used to prepare troops for controlling a rebellion or steal other countries wealth such as Iraq, the educational money is used to dumb down the kids, the health system uses costly drugs, radiation & hitech care and good alternative health is blocked or taken out using different methods, black projects for furtherance of free energy devices and antigravity vehicles and blocking other competitive technologies from getting to the public. So essentially, people are paying with their taxes for the chains, jails and jailors to bind them.

A person is trying to find something that will be worth more in the future. A banker is trying to get people into debt and squeeze them out of paper wealth and their real assets especially. A good rule of thumb is the more the mass media is promoting something and the more your neighbors are following along, the more a person should not do it but do the opposite. The mass media is used to move the masses to buy high and to sell low. A good example now is how many people are buying homes and real estate for investment now despite about 1/4 of the homes are being bought on interest only payments. With the interest rates moving higher, how many people are going to be able to keep making payments and who are they going to sell the houses too? The tax legislation that allowed interest deductions on home loans was a sucker trap for people as the bankers know that people will work that much harder before loosing their home when interest rates go up and/or when their wages drop due to corporation layoffs and down turns.

Another good rule of thumb is to try to find out what the super rich are doing. A good example is Warren Buffet, Bill Gates, George Soros, etc., buying silver and gold approximately 2 years ago at their near all time lows and publically announced that they were bailing out of the dollar. Gold & silver in one's possession is also safer than digits in a bankers computer that can be zeroed out if it is a free energy inventor, 10 billion that gets stolen by the CIA from Iraq's central bank the night before the attack or accounts of wicked people like Saddam Hussein that persists in trying to set up a oil bourse selling in European dollars and working for a Moslem dinar dollar backed in gold for more independence from the European or US bankers.

Supposedly there are laws against insider trading on stocks. Contrary to that public myth, there are specialists that specifically trade and are given knowledge in specific stocks such as Ford, GM, GE, etc prior to the public. Supposedly they are to smooth out the market, sell when there is a demand and buy when prices drop. Does that remind you of a fairy tale you were told when small? Richard Ney thought he was a pretty good stock broker until 1 person came in and told him when to buy and when to sell certain stocks. What was amazing was that he seemed to be right most of the time and to get the peaks and lows. He further found and publicized information on the specialists. He also was tracking big block transactions from insiders. When they were buying, that was an indicator that they believed that stock, commodities or options would be worth more. When they are selling large block transactions, that was the opposite indicator. With computerized tracking of trades, the Bankers & their inside corps have such an advantage over the public, that it is no wonder it is hard to find the average person that has made money in the stock market. Ask someone knowledgeable if they could make money knowing what the interest rates were going to be and what stories were going to be publicized in the mass media the next day or the next week?

Another myth is to listen to your stock broker. There are times, he is a sales man for whatever issue or stock his firm is trying to push. It is difficult to find the really good analysts and newsletters that have the expertise and software and contacts to out maneuver the bankers and their sudden switches. An example of that is the 1987 crash that caught so many by surprise. Supposedly it was computerized trading that fell through resistance points and kept falling. Actually what happened was that there was too many people and smaller independent companies making too much money that needed to be weeded out & taken down. The blue chip companies came back within 3 to 6 months but many of the smaller companies did not regain their highs for several years if ever. A number of the better smaller firms were bought out and merged into insider blue chip NWO firms.

By 1890, John Rockefeller, had through the predatory and rapacious practices of Standard Oil gained control of approximately 90% of the oil market in the US. He needed more markets & income if he was going to grow and the health industry had not been monopolized yet by anyone. Through grants, government influence, crooked reports like the Fixner Reports, alternative health colleges were shut down and new colleges promoting drugs, surgery and new technologies back then like radiation were set up. Government regulations and accreditation boards were set up to make sure colleges and doctors were taught minimally about diet, nutrition, natural low cost therapies and never about alternatives such as radionics, Rife microscopes, ozone therapy, chelation therapy. etc. In fact, it was necessary to have regulating boards to take any doctor or even any other practitioner to court for practicing as a doctor. How anyone could be charged for practicing as a doctor when they don't prescribe drugs, do surgery or radiation is beyond me? If a natural substance such as laetrile could not be synthesized effectively, it would be promoted as quackery. Kirlian photography, live blood analyses, radionics, muscle testing will show more of the damage of drugs and common allergens such as foods, poor quality of water.

Grey Court Britain swear oath to queen in Canada subsidiary and there is no public accountability, try getting a crooked lawyer disbarred with connections to Masonry or another secret society but a number of lawyers that have fought the system are very quickly disbarred or worse killed. Examples Like guy debt. 2 Indian Lawyers

<http://www.thememoryhole.org/edu/school-mission.htm> Bankers have realized that education is very important for the control of people. http://deliberatedumbingdown.com/pages/articles/article_page.html If there is real knowledge & information taught, people might actually figure out what is going and make changes. So simple changes were made starting in the 1890's that on the surface didn't seem like much yet decades later, children read less, have less comprehension, hate school more and are less likely to actually do something to make real positive changes in the world. Instead of time primarily spent really learning reading, arithmetic and writing, more time is spent on trivia and fluff subjects. Reading has been changed from phonetics to sight reading. The McGuffey readers that were straight forward and fit each grade level have changed to readers that have words up to 2 or 3 grades levels higher causing frustration and incomprehension amongst the children. Math is in a spiral approach so less time is spent on each area but moving faster through more problems with less explanation so kids end up punching numbers in a calculator without understanding why except the teacher did it this way. Writing on stories of interest to children has been changed to drudgery projects with various do it this way by different teachers and again, not learning the real basics of sentence structures and forming them.

From older children being responsible, encouraging and helping younger children in a multigrade school, it has changed along with removing the strap to an attitude of both teachers & students of just putting in time and trying to suffer as little as possible or cause as much trouble as possible as the teachers can't do anything. Those that don't break down from the 2 or 3 hours of extra school work assigned every day, will fit into the robotized job life that they will enter after school. Those that break down and can't keep up feel like failures and can end up juvenile delinquents, drinking, drugs &/or sex believing they are failures rather than realizing the system was designed to break them down and spit them out like boot camp in the army. Schools that provided nourishing meals along with removing soda pop & junk food have found that the children's attitudes, grades and interest have risen quite dramatically. Yet when parents try to talk and ask for changes such as these, they are made to feel like outdated fools compared to the experts that put the system together.

Home schoolers often spend only 2 or 3 hours per day and if in districts where they can choose what subjects, interests and time to spend on each are even more motivated and excited about learning in general. Pushing children ahead of their development causes more frustration for both them and parents and often in just waiting a year or two, the children will pick up something that much easier when their brain has developed sufficiently for processing that information. They can be learning practical things like cooking, cleaning, helping parents or having part time jobs. With more balance in

physical, emotional and spiritual life instead of mostly mentally, they will be calmer and more likely to succeed in life. They can be learning more practical subjects like starting your own business, saving & investing money, choices for products that produce versus being consumers of the latest gadget. They can learn the effort and time involved in raising and taking care of children versus the mass media of doing it any time any where and somehow it magically ends up all right. It is important for bankers to remove children from the troubling influence of knowledgeable parents so government money is poured into child care centers and detention centers rather than giving tax credits and support for parents or local controlled community schools and home schooling projects. It is paradoxical that public schools have government money tied up in carpentry, metal working and other trades that are barely used yet when parents try to get permission to use the facilities to work with their children, they are denied access.

The elite send their children to schools where the real information is taught on how to choose, manage and control people as the future leaders. Monopolies, connections for beneficial government laws and the use of media to monitor and shift people's thinking are just some of the information they pick up along the way.

Mark Twain is more right than most people realize when he says, "I try to not let schooling interfere with my education". Knowledge of what the bankers have done in the past gives fore warning and examples of what they can try doing in the future. As an example is the Prohibition Movement that seemingly sprang up spontaneously. What really happened was that people like Henry Ford were including plans for simple alcohol stills with his Model T so that farmers and others could be self sufficient and would be enabled to buy more of his vehicles. The lower the cost of energy, the more independence people have and the more freedom they have from bankers and their control. That could not be allowed to continue so thus the blue blood families in the east were encouraged to finance advertising and other monies for leaders in the prohibition movement to take out the small independents that were a threat to the oil market controlled by the seven sister Rockefeller oil companies.

Large alcohol factories were set up in Germany and other places to supply the illicit demand created and moved through connected mafia members so that more money was made by them. After prohibition was declared a failure, red tape and bureaucracy was created so that there was another monopoly created supplying continuous large profits for a few super rich.

Hemp used to be grown on nearly every quarter in the 1800's for fiber and oil that could be used in clothing, rope cooking and even the first diesel engines. There is four times as much fiber per acre from hemp as from forests. New machinery processing was being developed that would have dropped the costs tremendously and cut into Dow Chemicals 80% supply for chemicals for processing trees into paper, synthetic fibers being developed etc. Again, the small farmer would have benefited but independent farmers don't borrow from banks so the marijuana threat was hatched up so that legislation was passed to outlaw hemp. For a short while at the end of WW2, hemp was needed to keep up with the demand of rope etc. so a temporary exemption was granted and then removed after the war. Now forests that supply orgonne energies, shade and a balancing effect are cut down while farmers are being subsidized to not grow crops when hemp would be a very good alternative.

Dow Chemicals patent was going to expire after 17 years on Freon so the threat to the ozone layer was promoted for three reasons:

1 One to block other countries from producing a low cost generic Freon. 2. Guaranteed profits on new more expensive patented substitutes that are often times more damaging to the environment and people's health, 3. Cover up the ecological catastrophe from all the incredibly stupid nuclear testing underground, above ground and atmospheric testing and leaks from nuclear reactors and the polluted side products. Also petrochemical products with the toxic after burning pollutants are another major reason in acid rain killing off the fish and oxygen producing algae in the waters and oceans.

Stalin and Hitler said something like it is easier to get people to believe the big lie than the little lie. A person can see that when there are still people that believe that the Moslems were responsible for the world trade tower collapse and that Iraq was a threat to the world. There are still people that believe Bush is a good president doing the best he can in a difficult situation. There are even people that believe that by voting in another president, they will get someone better and will somehow will be actually be working for the people instead of the bankers.

People think that the internet will stay free and low cost. Take a look at the legislation being passed and being promoted and they will find that something that used be low cost available is going to be controlled and costly just like alcohol, hemp and other targeted products.

Free energy devices were invented by Keely, Stubblefield, Tesla and others before 1900. Since then, other inventors have been stopped or bought off including such inventions as antigravity and invisibility shields. Black projects using tax money are used to study cloning along with more sophisticated mind control and implants.

The bankers realize the bigger the church or any other organization, the more important it is to infiltrate it and or take it over if they haven't originally set it up them selves through front men. The Church of Scientology somehow had papers showing Hubbard the founder had sold the copyrights to his materials to some Jewish businessmen that is very difficult to find the names of. The wife is contesting a will drawn up just before his death wherein she is left out of an inheritance. The son was likely murdered with the cover story as a suicide to remove that potential threat prior to Hubbard's death.

Do a study and search on the Roman Catholic Church and especially the Jesuits as an enforcement arm of the bankers especially after Napoleon backed by the Rothschilds sent his general to conquer the Vatican in 1798. Find out which bankers handled the investments of the Roman Catholic Church before and after that general took control of the Vatican and after the Pope again sat on his chair. Do a search on the donations to Mother Teresa and find out if all the donations that were given to her actually went to the projects that they were raised for. Do a search on the amount each diocese raises and how much remains in that diocese to help the poor people there.

Most people don't know this but Hitler and all his top cabinet were Half or Full Sabbatean-Jews or Jesuits. Was the Roman Catholic Church supporting Germany against the Rothschilds to regain more control that they had lost 150 years prior. Who ended up with the wealth that the Germans and Japanese seized from conquered countries during WWII?

The Red Cross is also another corrupt organization that raises millions such as during the World Trade Center collapse, yet those that should get those donations are not getting them. The Red Cross also knowingly took likely contaminated blood from high risk donators and allowed others to be infected as part of the Global 2000 population reduction program.


The cancer societies along with government grants and aid raise enough aid so that there is almost as many researchers and other workers as there is cancer patients. Those proven herbal formulas that help and other helpful remedies are black listed and known cofactors such as candida yeast, chemicals especially from automobile exhausts are covered up.

Don't expect your churches & religious leaders to tell you what is going on. John Todd high up in the illuminati was responsible for multi million payments and knew of other multi million plus payments to various Christian leaders. Those that don't go along and or don't give enough of cut or are an interference are taken out with publicized dirt like Swaggert & Baker. Simple math, 1 billion in donations with 100 million less to 4 or 5 transgressors means that much more for those left behind.

Your money can be used for real good in donations to those that really need it if they get it or wasted in corrupt or semi corrupt organizations. Please study before you just hand money to those that call, knock on the door or give TV appeals. Check what percentage is used in management and expenses versus actually given to the people or project. Spirituality can be a powerful force for good or if misused, a powerful force for the dark side.

"It is also in the interests of a tyrant to keep his people poor, so that they may not be able to afford the cost of protecting themselves by arms and be so occupied with their daily tasks that they have no time for rebellion." - **Aristotle**

Visit <http://www.williamcooper.com> to find out about William Cooper (ex US Navy) who was murdered in a supposed "shoot out" Nov 2001 after exposing a lot about the Masonic World Control conspiracy in the US Navy.



The History of Money, Part I

What is MONEY? How is it created? Where does it come from? Who gets to create it? How does it become debased? How did it get turned from an asset into a debt? What powers does it give to its creator or issuer? Who holds these powers? What future plans do the issuers of money have for us slaves? Let's discover!

Before money, there was CREDIT & enslavement; World mostly ruled by Criminal Feudals

In ancient times, babies were born free, free, free. The system they were born into did not enslave them. People were free individuals and everyone had to hunt/cultivate for their own food and shelter. As some lazy feudal (i.e. criminal) minded people organized themselves to control others with their collaborating thugs in a form of protection racket covering a certain geographical territory, the concept of "my fiefdom" and "my serfs" (slaves and vassals) evolved. The Feudal Lords (and associated hierarchies of kings, queens, emperors, barons, bishops, counts, knights, princes, etc.) criminally claimed all the land in an area and everyone else had to rent it from them, and in addition, they claimed a part of the peoples' labor, i.e. this is enslavement. Slaves of a particular fiefdom were hunted down if they escaped to a neighboring free land. As feudal lords developed their self-serving fiefdoms along with their self-serving priests, gods and scriptures, people were born as slaves. Today, instead of being born owning a piece of the Earth as their birthright, children are born as financial slaves into a system that loads them with tremendous debt, interest payments, tax payments, inflation, a wage slave with no place to escape to. The propaganda since birth makes people think they are free. Rulers have almost always been criminals and con-artists who are masters at tricking and fooling the masses. The Banking Dynastic Families have usurped our birthrights.

Within a fiefdom, money-changers were appointed to encourage production, transactions and collection of taxes/tithes. It is the actual production of the required goods and services that produces wealth in a community. As it is difficult and time consuming to barter the multitude of goods that are produced, and in order to facilitate trade and to encourage investment of labor into the production of goods and delivery of services in anticipation of sales, an agreed upon exchange mechanism such as tokens or "money" was required which can then be traded further. Internal agreements (e.g. chips issued by the authorized entities) were required for trade within the fiefdom and external agreements (e.g. silver pieces) were required for trade across fiefdoms. Thus, the money-changers or issuers of tokens became the real power behind the thrones and they decided how wealth will be shared, keeping the largest share for themselves.

Since the times of the Babylonian Nimrod and Prophet Abraham four thousand years ago, the times of ancient Rome and Greece, the times of the Pharaohs and other Dynasties, the self-anointed illuminated ones, wealthy nobles, rulers and controllers have been devising and evolving systems to enslave the peasants mentally and physically for their own financial benefits and comforts. All the Prophets of God and other men of conscience have exposed them and their usury/slavery systems; thus these Illuminatis have a special aversion to the Torah, the Bible and the Quran. Although God's prophets were often the only ones speaking out against tyranny, let's keep in mind the following quote from Mahatma Gandhi "If only one person knows the truth, it is still the truth".

Due to the discoveries of various technologies, we have been able to free most people from the basic chores of hunting/cultivating for food and shelter. With such major technological breakthroughs, the sharing of wealth should have elevated standards of living throughout the World but due to the greed of the money-changers who are now the Banking Dynasties, the World's finances are in miserable condition with the wealth derived from productivity gains being shifted to the Feudal criminals. The ancient feudal money-changer elites have managed to keep us in the same ancient fiefdom of financial enslavement where they get a major cut of the serf's productive work so that they reap the benefits of the peoples' productivity gains. They have further refined their control systems with technology and there are no more free lands to escape to, i.e. we are trapped in a World-wide system. Total enslavement is in the works as more and more of the World is coming under the Banking Dynasties' centralized communist-style New World Order (Alex Jones of <http://www.infowars.com> explains it brilliantly in his video documentaries). Let's discover more...

How is Wealth Created? Can it be stored & at what cost? Does it maintain its value while in storage?

The Banklords love money because they have the monopoly to create it from nothing (will be explained in detail later), it lets them buy most anything, it lets them make more money without working via interest, and it gives them POWER and prestige over the lives of men. For example, how much extra work is needed to create \$900 billion as opposed to just \$9 billion? One has only to press the zero key twice!

Initial wealth exists in the form of Earth's natural resources of land, minerals, air and water which in theory should be shared equally among all residents of the planet. Additional wealth is created when people work and produce something of value to themselves and/or to others. Work typically involves activities that move things about, combine things, rearrange things, etc. If the activities are productive, the end product or service is more valuable than the sum of the individual parts to someone who is willing to buy it in exchange for something else. This then generates income and wealth for the individual and society. How this wealth is distributed is another question. As we note, the wealth always seems to trickle upwards to the ruling Feudal Elites.

Can surplus wealth or income be stored? Well, if wealth is in the form that does not deteriorate and a form that is always in demand, then yes, it can be stored. For example, if someone's wealth is in the form of gold, and if someone can always

be found to accept the gold in exchange for something else, then yes, wealth is stored. However, if the amount of gold is large, then there will be storage and carrying costs associated with it and note that its value changes with the times and the circumstances at the time the stored wealth needs to be exchanged. If the wealth was in the form of produce such as say bananas, well then it would be quite costly to store. If the wealth is in the form of a building, there are maintenance and tax costs, etc. If the wealth is in the form of oil stored in the ground, then there is no storage cost, however, there is a cost of anywhere from \$6 per barrel to \$30 or more per barrel to get it out of the ground.

Another type of stored wealth is you, i.e., your ability to perform labor. As we will see later, if the wealth is in the form of alleged "national fiat currency", then its value changes due to many factors. For example, although its storage cost is zero, the Federal Reserve Dollar Note today is worth 2% of what it was worth back in 1913.

Today's money's value is not created by the private banking corporations that now control it. Money has the value of the skilled peoples, resources, and infrastructure, working together in a supportive social and legal framework within the geographical area of where the money is accepted to facilitate trade. Money is the indispensable lubricant that lets them "run." It is not tangible wealth in itself, but a power to obtain wealth or a claim to wealth. Money is an abstract social power based in law; and whatever government accepts in payment of taxes will be money.

How is wealth destroyed? Mostly through wars and crises orchestrated by the Elite Banking Dynasties and their associates in the military-industrial-political complex. What if paper money is burnt or sunk at the bottom of an ocean? Well, no actual wealth was destroyed however the liquidity has disappeared from the economy and thus potential transfer or production of goods and services has been affected.

How is wealth transferred? Through taxes, inflation, bail-out transactions, theft and fraud.

What was/is Money? The Forms of Money & the Dahler (Dollar)

Broadly speaking, money is any good or service we trade. In a narrower sense, money is a commodity such as gold or silver that can easily and readily be traded in exchange for other goods and services and can serve as a liquid store of one's wealth. In the past, paper money issued by a money changer represented a commodity that existed, e.g. gold, silver, etc. **The "DOLLAR"** (from daalder, dahler, thaler, an abbreviation of Joachimsthaler) was a piece of money first coined, about the year 1518, in the valley of St. Joachim, in Bohemia, Germany. In the US, its definition **used to be:**

- (a) A silver coin of the United States containing 371.25 grains of silver and 41.25 grains of alloy having a total weight of 412.5 grains.
- (b) A gold coin of the United States containing 23.22 grains of gold and 2.58 grains of alloy having a total weight of 25.8 grains, nine-tenths fine (one ounce is 480 grains, so this is **about \$20 per ounce**).

Gold's value in terms of the basic goods it can buy has been steady for over 2000 years. However, the value of the dollar has always been dropping steadily; it's elastic and no longer fixed to a real commodity. Let's explore further. The dollar is no longer worth 1/20th of an ounce of gold and is no longer coined in gold. Today's paper or account dollars no longer represent a fixed commodity as per the above definition (even today's coins are not worth anywhere near their face value although lately due to the rising prices for metals, the material in these coins is worth more if its melted and sold), but they are still referred to as "money", or more precisely, they are **fiat money, i.e. token money created from nothing** (a bit like "THE CREATOR"; someone's promise to pay is treated as an asset against which currency is created by the Banks) which actually represents a debt (a debt record), a bit like you creating paper tokens or rainchecks or coupons or promissory notes with no ties to an existing commodity that you own but rather using the productivity of others as collateral! i.e. fiat money has no bank-owned commodity backing it except that most people accept it in exchange for goods through the force of bad/fraudulent/dishonest legislation or military might. Note that the US\$ Federal Reserve Note (FRN) no longer says that it is redeemable or that the Federal Reserve Bank will pay the bearer on demand the sum of the face value of the note in real commodity Dollars. The US\$ states only that the FRN is legal tender for all debt payments (history later on how Roosevelt forced all Americans to turn in their gold for a big loss).

Whereas a dollar represented 1/20th of an ounce of gold at the turn of the 20th century, it is no longer measurable against a fixed asset and actually is a promissory note and represents a claim collectable against the citizens and properties of the United States. The dollar represents an elastic (not absolute or fixed) measure that changes with time, especially through **the built-in inflation that is inherent in such debt or credit/fiat money economies.** Furthermore, issuance of the dollar is actually a "private credit monopoly" based on a promise-to-pay represented by "paper notes" or ledger/computer entries. Today's money represents a debt owed by a country's citizens as a whole and the value of this debt changes due to inflation and fluctuating interest & exchange rates. Dollars are issued with no liability to the owners of the Federal Reserve private banks who are the issuers of this credit money which is created as a debt using the masses as collateral, a.k.a. **fiat money created from nothing using the citizens as collateral, therefore, the banking system owners own you! You are no longer born free owning your share of the planet's natural resources!!**

Today, money is no longer commodity-based and represents a collective debt, i.e. money no longer represents an asset and due to inflation, it is not a good store of one's savings. Today's dollar comes into existence out of nothing when someone wants to borrow it from a bank and the BankLords get an enormous cut of our productivity for merely issuing this piece of paper against the collective credit of the people as collateral. This dollar which was created by the stroke of a pen is a promissory note that must be accepted by all within the United States jurisdiction in exchange for goods or services that they provide. Meanwhile, the

BankLords collect interest on this note that passes from person to person in exchange for goods and services, i.e. a perpetual cash cow for having done next to nothing and for not doing anything after issuing it. Thus, the banking masters create claims against the entire country and will eventually take ownership of it all, as explained in several quotes from past US presidents and founding fathers.

Let's explain further with a review some of the forms or types of money:

1) Actual Goods and Services: if we trade 1 ton of wheat for 1 barrel of oil, the wheat or the oil are considered the "money". Barter is kind of awkward and inconvenient to trade since not everyone wants these particular goods and services at the same time, although it does have its place in both huge and small transactions.

2) Gold & Silver Coins: if we trade a ton of wheat for a real gold coin, then the money is the gold coin. Trading with gold or silver coins greatly facilitates trading for the persons who have the gold or silver coins because they don't rot with time, maintain their value and are globally accepted. Hence, to expand their trading powers, colonial armies would steal the gold and silver of their victims. Later, you will see how the IMF/World Bank is attempting to monopolize gold. He who holds the gold (or gets to use gold or oil as a security) makes the rules!

3) Gold & Silver Certificates: a paper certificate can also be issued in lieu of real gold or silver coins as long as the actual gold or silver is in the issuer's possession in a safe vault. Certificates are easier to trade but if someone steals the gold & silver from the issuer's vault, they become worthless.

4) Tokens or Rainchecks or Promissory Notes: these coins actually contain nothing valuable if compared to their face value. If we issue a token or a raincheck (paper, metal, plastic) to allow the bearer to receive some goods and services from our company, the token is the money. The token represents a debt or an "I owe U". This token is limited in its trading range since it is only accepted by a few people in a particular relatively small geographical area.

5) National Currency Coins (Fiat Coins Currency): these coins are created by the Government and actually contain nothing valuable if compared to their face value; the metals contained in a one dollar coin are hardly worth a penny. However, with inflation and rising commodity prices, some of these coins which did contain some metals are worth more than their face value are being melted and sold for paper currencies. The acceptability of these coins is due to legislation which forces a seller to accept them in exchange for goods and services to settle a debt. Thus, "fiat coins" are tokens that are acceptable in a country by the power of legislation although they don't have an actual intrinsic value. The coins represent a debt against the country's citizens as a whole or an "I owe U" but are issued debt-free by the state. They represent a tiny insignificant amount of the money in circulation.

There were always two distinct and incompatible ways of appraising the precious metals, i.e. one way as plain commodities, and the other way as money or proto-money where the value was what the monarch stamped on a sample of the metal. Treating money as a simple commodity thus requires a high degree of obfuscation. The introduction of smaller silver coins into ancient Greece introduced money to the small farmers. Previously if they borrowed commodities such as grain before harvest was in, they repaid it in kind. But with the introduction of small silver coins they might borrow the grain when its market value was high and then by the time repayment was due, find the value of the currency in terms of grain had risen ruinously. Or they may have received the loan in money at the Athens rate but were required to pay interest at the Corinthian or Aeginian rate which could be 50% higher. Where you have several different coining authorities – there were three in ancient Greece – the repertory of possible tricks multiplies. That is why in Sparta, Lycurgus outlawed the use of gold and silver as money and introduced iron "cakes," whose usefulness as a commodity was deliberately destroyed by being dipped in vinegar while still hot. Thereby it became unambiguously a nomisma, worthless except as a medium for trading.

6) National Currency Paper (Fiat Paper Currency): these paper notes are created from nothing by the BankLords (the process is called "monetizing an individual promise to pay into a national promise to pay") and actually contain nothing valuable if compared to their face value; the paper contained in a one dollar bill is hardly worth a penny. "Fiat paper notes" are an "I owe U" promissory notes, like tokens or claim tickets or shares or stock certificates, and through the power of law they must be accepted anywhere in the U.S.A. in exchange for goods or services. In the case of foreign governments, the power of military might and threats from the US government who is acting on behalf of the BankLords forces foreign governments into accepting the Federal Reserve Paper Notes and holding it as a reserve currency (why else does the US have around 1,000 bases around the World? Its merely doing the bidding of the City of London/Rome square mile BankLords who have a new military power at their disposal after British imperial power was found to be insufficient to control the entire World for them).

When required, the privately owned Fed gets the Treasury's Bureau of Engraving & Printing (BEP) to print Federal Reserve Notes for the cost of printing.

7) National Currency Account (Fiat Currency Account): these bank accounts actually contain nothing valuable if compared to their face value; the cyber computer database bits/bytes storing the value of your bank account are hardly worth a penny. "Fiat currency" is like cyber or computer tokens or claim tickets or shares or stock certificates. It's the same situation as in 6) above.

8) World Currency SDRs (Special Drawing Rights): these are issued by the IMF/World Bank to debtor 3rd World countries and again it is fiat money. IMF/World Bank is in the process of stockpiling the World's gold bullion supply (already has about 2/3rds of it) as part of the operations of the New World Order to force a new World currency in the future. These SDRs represent a debt or an "I owe U" owed by the citizens of the World to the BankLords who own the privately owned Federal Reserve banks and commercial banks which created this currency from nothing and at no liability to themselves. The liability of providing a service or product in exchange of these notes is always passed on to the World's taxpayers.

9) Commodities: Certain commodities can be considered money. For example, oil is always in demand around the World. Oil reserves can be considered like money in the bank.

10) Etc.: There were and are other forms of money since ancient Babylonian times and the chapter on The History of Money will elaborate on this, e.g. seashells, bricks, whale's teeth, boar's tusks, stones, feathers, coconuts, cocoa beans, iron rings, salt, beaver pelts, blankets, bronze axes, wheels,.....

Money takes the form of whatever people agree on or whatever governments/feudal lords force on the people to use for trade. The monetary system works best when money is used as a medium of exchange and not as a store for value waiting to be exchanged for a product/service. Some forms of money are universally accepted and some are locally accepted. Some represent an existing asset such as gold or silver, i.e. **real money**. Some represent private debt and some in addition represent a national debt such as paper currency money, i.e. a token or fiat money or a credit "point" system. This type of money is actually stock whose value keeps decreasing due to dilution, corrupt credit issues, balance of trade, speculative attacks, accumulated debt and liabilities, etc. The dollar now is only a share counter with no fixed commodity value, i.e. it's not really money, it is credit money or fiat money! Money records the intermediary step during a trade, e.g. I sell my labor to someone and I get pieces of paper in return as a record. I haven't yet gotten a service or product in exchange for my labor, i.e. this is an incomplete trade represented by the paper credit notes that are waiting to be spent at some future date (**your money is not your money until the day you spend it**). Therefore, there is always an amount of liquid money in circulation waiting to be spent.

Money created from nothing, i.e. without any existing asset in escrow to back it, is called **FIAT money**. Fiat money is thus an "I owe U" and note that due to inflation money loses its value over time. Inflation is caused by dilution in the value of the fiat money (just like diluting a stock by issuing more at no cost or if the demand for the stock goes down or the company performance degrades, or speculative attacks, accumulated debt and liabilities, etc.) due to the non-productive use of the fiat money issued and due to corrupt issues of the currency. **And even inflation is taxed! We will explain later but to summarize INFLATION = INEFFICIENCY + WASTE + DISHONESTY + CORRUPTION.** This gap between the "commodity value" of the material substance of the monetary piece of paper or cyber book entry and its purchasing power is a bottomless well of temptation for the private BankLords who get to issue it using our and the future generation's production and wealth as collateral and at no risk to themselves. Thus, fiat money must be protected by strict control on its issue. In little-known and hidden chapters of history, this has accounted for the purloining of the wealth of empires. Unless an honest public body retains the power of creating money and keeps alive the distinction between the legal tender that can take the form of paper bills and the worth of the material, inked paper or whatever, society is flying blind, and inviting robbery by the descendents of the ancient "priest-bankers" from Babylon, Jerusalem and Rome.

The Federal Reserve System is the BankLords' Bank, IT IS A PRIVATE CARTEL (Quit Bono Who Benefits?)

The FED is the Bankers' bank. A non-bank goes to the commercial banks to borrow money. The commercial banks go to the Federal Reserve banks to borrow money when they have a temporary shortfall as people shift money between banks. The FED banks cannot fail because they can always print money at a very low charge from the Treasury's Bureau of Engraving & Printing (BEP) to cover whatever payments it needs to make.

The same people who own the major commercial banks also own the Federal Reserve Banks. The privately owned Federal Reserve (FED) banks and commercial banks actually issue (create) the "money" we use. In 1964, the House Committee on Banking and Currency, Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, at the second session of the 88th Congress, put out a study entitled Money Facts under the sponsorship of Congress Wright Patman (http://eldoradogold.net/pdf/October_2006/Patman_PrimerOnMoney.pdf) which contains a good description of what the FED is: "The Federal Reserve is a total money-making machine. It can issue money or checks. And it never has a problem of making its checks good because it can obtain the \$5 and \$10 bills necessary to cover its check simply by asking the Treasury Department's Bureau of Engraving & Printing (BEP) to print them." Any one person or any closely knit group who has a lot of money has a lot of power. **Now imagine a group of people who have the power to create credit and print money to cover it.** Imagine the power these people would have. This is exactly what the privately owned FED is!

Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England: "Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back."

By the power of fraudulent legislation, these credits are created by the private BankLords at no liability to themselves via the privately owned Federal Reserve central banks and commercial banks, yet the interest and the debt is repayable to them in the form of the same paper that they issued from nothing or actual goods and services. The currency notes that they issue are an "I owe U" representing a national debt owed by the citizens of the country to whoever holds the currency in the form of dollar accounts or Treasury Bonds or Bills or other types of "Promises to Pay" against which these

notes were issued. **The BankLords who own the privately owned Federal Reserve banks and Commercial Banks and issue the notes for the cost of printing them have no liability because they have passed it on to the taxpayers by issuing this national currency.** Although the liability has been passed onto the taxpayers and citizens, the BankLords reap the benefits and the debt is still owed to the BankLords and not to the taxpayers.

In the past, US Banks who had escaped the clutches of the Bank of England issued their own private currencies; however, with the creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve System banking cartel, the American relatives of the European Banklords regained control of America. The Bank of England has been issuing national currency since its start in 1694 and has thus been enslaving people since a longer time. People escaped the European slavery and came to the US to be free. We can note how the European standard of living is lower than the US. But now, these international BankLords have been sabotaging the US since its independence from Europe and are trying to bring ours freedoms further down.

Whenever money is created by the BankLords, the liability is collectivized and passed on to the taxpayers as a whole. A VERY BIG FRAUD! If the currency had been issued by the government on behalf of the citizens as it should be, it would have been debt free and interest free while circulating within the country. The BankLords have usurped our lives by using us as collateral for the national currency which they issue. The ancient word for this is slavery.

The problem is about who controls the issue of this "Fiat money" or "credit money". This type of money is a claim against the earnings and wealth of the living, the dead and the unborn as **the fiat money debt is passed from generation to generation.** The fiat money is created from nothing by private BankLords who monetize individual promises to pay and issue this "money" at no liability to themselves using (us) the masses' current and future wealth as collateral yet the interest and the debt is repayable to them in the form of paper or actual goods and services which they can repossess against a debt which they created from nothing. There is no way for governments to repay it ever.

Thus, the BankLords have enslaved us and our future generations and will take over all governments and wealth gradually by demanding real assets against the phony paper money which they printed or by demanding that the phony paper money not be spent and actually be given back to them in exchange for bonds. The take-over of the economy by the BankLords achieved by usurping the right to create credit money from the people has thus required the suppression of much of our history and literature, beginning with Aristotle and Plato who were explicit on the distinction between the two aspects of money.

In the case of the US\$ fiat currency, **it is issued by a private central bank known fraudulently as the Federal Reserve Banks.** The Fed banks are a banking cartel owned by the same people who own the major commercial banks. These major BankLords can slowly squeeze out the smaller banks in addition to fleecing the World. The private Federal Reserve, with no assets, creates & issues this credit money currency from nothing for the cost of printing, or the cost of a computer database entry, and it expects this to be paid with eternal interest, and sometimes with gold or other real commodities and it expects this paper money credit to be guaranteed by our past, present and future labor (insult to injury) i.e. **a gigantic fraud** (see quotes from the Founding Fathers later). The BankLords create money by merely creating paper credits in the central banking cartels which they own and in the local banks which they also own. The Dollars created by the Fed represent a national debt owed to the private BankLords who own the Fed and issue the currency at no cost and liability to themselves because Dollars are non-redeemable by the FED and can be redeemed for goods and services from others' labor. The rest of the World mostly accepts this system of fiat currency due to the power of the fraudulent Federal Reserve Act backed by the US military and propaganda machines. **The money the BankLords create at no liability to themselves is redeemable for any goods or services produced by anyone willing to accept the private Federal Reserve dollar currency notes and cheques.**

Notice how Iraq was invaded after it decided to use the Euro€ instead of the US Dollar\$ for its oil trade. Under occupation, Iraq was forced to resume trading oil for US\$ (as for Afghanistan, it's about controlling China, energy pipelines and reviving the multi-billion dollar drug trade which the Taliban had eradicated) and the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily. Now, Iran is under threat after having switched to the Euro for its oil trade.

TODAY'S MONEY IS NOT WHAT IT USED TO BE. OUR FIAT MONEY IS A PROMISE TO PAY, i.e. A RAINCHECK TOKEN ISSUED BY PRIVATE BANKSTERS AT NO LIABILITY TO THEMSELVES FOR ANYTHING THAT CAN BE PURCHASED IN AMERICA (and many other places in the World) WHOSE REDEEMABLE VALUE CHANGES WITH TIME. IT IS A FRAUDY LEGISLATED WAY TO FACILITATE & COMPARITELY MEASURE AND TRACK PRODUCTION TRANSACTIONS BASED ON A PROMISE TO PAY!!!! Fiat money is workable as long as the government of the people, for the people and by the people issues fiat money for the people's benefit only. But through bloody historical events which we will describe in this book, private banking dynasties have monopolized the issuance of money and have usurped this power from governments which they now control. In the US, the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank has the power to issue the fiat currency. If a private party issues the national currency, it has the power to create currency that can be redeemed for anyone else's goods and services even though it was created from nothing by the issuer without the issuer being liable for any redemption, i.e. the private money is issued using us as collateral, a de-facto legalized privatized counterfeiting monopoly given by fraudulent legislation which enslaves us. The Fed owners make the rules for their benefit! And the rest of the World is accepting to hold this fiat currency as their reserve under US military threat thus providing the BankLords something for nothing (since the dollar notes are merely paper which they got printed)!! **A BIG FRAUD ON ALL!!! REMEMBER, FIAT MONEY DOES NOT CREATE GOODS &**

SERVICES. WORK CREATES GOODS & SERVICES!!! IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THIS WHEN WE DISCUSS INTEREST AND USURY LATER.

The Federal Reserve Bank, a.k.a Federal Reserve System, is a Private Corporation. **Black's Law Dictionary** defines the "Federal Reserve System" as: "Network of twelve central banks to which most national banks belong and to which state chartered banks may belong. Membership rules require investment of stock and minimum reserves." Privately-owned banks own the stock of the FED. This was explained in more detail in the case of Lewis v. United States, Federal Reporter, 2nd Series, Vol. 680, Pages 1239, 1241 (1982), where the Court said: "Each Federal Reserve Bank is a separate corporation owned by commercial banks in its region. The stock-holding commercial banks elect two thirds of each Bank's nine member board of directors." The Federal Reserve Banks are locally controlled by their member banks. Once again, according to **Black's Law Dictionary**, we find that these privately owned banks actually issue money: "Federal Reserve Act Law which created Federal Reserve banks which act as agents in maintaining money reserves, issuing money in the form of bank notes, lending money to banks, and supervising banks. Administered by Federal Reserve Board (q.v.)".

Let's Call The Federal Reserve: Where does money come from? Who gets to Create the Credit Fiat Money!

The following is a conversation with Mr. Ron Supinski (415) 974-3231 of the Public Information Department of the San Francisco Federal Reserve Bank. This is an account of that conversation by Dan Benham (Oct 8, 1992, http://www.maxexchange.com/ybj/chapter_1.htm):

CALLER - Mr. Supinski, does my country own the Federal Reserve System? MR. SUPINSKI - We are an agency of the government.

CALLER - That's not my question. Is it owned by my country? MR. SUPINSKI - It is an agency of the government created by congress.

CALLER - Is the Federal Reserve a Corporation? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Does my government own any of the stock in the Federal Reserve? MR. SUPINSKI - No, it is owned by the member banks.

CALLER - Are the member banks private corporations? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Are Federal Reserve Notes backed by anything? MR. SUPINSKI-Yes, by the assets of the Federal Reserve but, primarily by the power of congress to lay tax on the people.

CALLER - Did you say, by the power to collect taxes is what backs Federal Reserve Notes? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - What are the total assets of the Federal Reserve? MR. SUPINSKI - The San Francisco Bank has \$36 Billion in assets.

CALLER - What are these assets composed of? MR. SUPINSKI - Gold, the Federal Reserve Bank itself and government securities.

CALLER - What value does the Federal Reserve Bank carry gold per oz. on their books? MR. SUPINSKI - I don't have that information but the San Francisco Bank has \$1.6 billion in gold.

CALLER - Are you saying the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco has \$1.6 billion in gold, the bank itself and the balance of the assets is government securities? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes.

CALLER - Where does the Federal Reserve get Federal Reserve Notes from? MR. SUPINSKI - They are authorized by the Treasury.

CALLER - How much does the Federal Reserve pay for a \$10 Federal Reserve Note? MR. SUPINSKI - Fifty to seventy cents.

CALLER - How much do they pay for a \$100.00 Federal Reserve Note? MR. SUPINSKI - The same fifty to seventy cents.

CALLER - To pay only fifty cents for a \$100.00 is a tremendous gain, isn't it? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - According to the US Treasury, the Federal Reserve pays \$20.60 per 1,000 denomination or a little over two cents for a \$100.00 bill, is that correct? MR. SUPINSKI - That is probably close.

CALLER - Doesn't the Federal Reserve use the Federal Reserve Notes that cost about two cents each to purchase US Bonds from the government? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes, but there is more to it than that.

CALLER - Basically, that is what happens? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes, basically you are correct.

CALLER - How many Federal Reserve Notes are in circulation? MR. SUPINSKI - \$263 billion and we can only account for a small percentage.

CALLER - Where did they go? MR. SUPINSKI - Peoples mattress, buried in their back yards and illegal drug money.

CALLER - Since the debt is payable in Federal Reserve Notes, how can the \$4 trillion national debt be paid-off with the total Federal Reserve Notes in circulation? MR. SUPINSKI - I don't know.

CALLER - If the Federal Government would collect every Federal Reserve Note in circulation would it be mathematically possible to pay the \$4 trillion national debt? MR. SUPINSKI - No

CALLER - Am I correct when I say, \$1 deposited in a member bank \$8 can be lent out through Fractional Reserve Policy? MR. SUPINSKI - About \$7.

CALLER - Correct me if I am wrong but, \$7 of additional Federal Reserve Notes were never put in circulation. But, for lack of better words were "created out of thin air " in the form of credits and the two cents per denomination were not paid either. In other words, the Federal Reserve Notes were not physically printed but, in reality were created by a journal entry and lent at interest. Is that correct? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Is that the reason there are only \$263 billion Federal Reserve Notes in circulation? MR. SUPINSKI - That is part of the reason.

CALLER - Am I mistaking that when the Federal Reserve Act was passed (on Christmas Eve) in 1913, it transferred the power to coin and issue our nation's money and to regulate the value thereof from Congress to a Private corporation. And my country now borrows what should be our own money from the Federal Reserve (a private corporation) plus interest. Is

that correct and the debt can never be paid off under the current money system of country? MR. SUPINSKI - Basically, yes.

CALLER - I smell a rat, do you? MR. SUPINSKI - I am sorry, I can't answer that, I work here.

CALLER - Has the Federal Reserve ever been independently fully audited? MR. SUPINSKI - We are audited.

CALLER - Why is there a current House Resolution 1486 calling for a complete audit of the Federal Reserve by the GAO and why is the Federal Reserve resisting? MR. SUPINSKI - I don't know.

CALLER - Does the Federal Reserve regulate the value of Federal Reserve Notes and interest rates? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Explain how the Federal Reserve System can be Constitutional if, only the Congress of the US, which comprises of the Senate and the House of representatives has the power to coin and issue our money supply and regulate the value thereof? [Article 1 Section 1 and Section 8] Nowhere, in the Constitution does it give Congress the power or authority to transfer any powers granted under the Constitution to a private corporation or, does it? MR. SUPINSKI - I am not an expert on constitutional law. I can refer you to our legal department.

CALLER - I can tell you I have read the Constitution. It does NOT provide that any power granted can be transferred to a private corporation. Doesn't it specifically state, all other powers not granted are reserved to the States and to the citizens? Does that mean to a private corporation? MR. SUPINSKI - I don't think so, but we were created by Congress.

CALLER - Would you agree it is our country and it should be our money as provided by our Constitution? MR. SUPINSKI - I understand what you are saying.

CALLER - Why should we borrow our own money from a private consortium of bankers? Isn't this why we had a revolution, created a separate sovereign nation and a Bill of Rights? MR. SUPINSKI - (Declined to answer).

CALLER - Has the Federal Reserve ever been declared constitutional by the Supreme Court? MR. SUPINSKI - I believe there have been court cases on the matter.

CALLER - Have there been Supreme Court Cases? MR. SUPINSKI - I think so, but I am not sure.

CALLER - Didn't the Supreme Court declare unanimously in A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. vs. US and Carter vs. Carter Coal Co. the corporative-state arrangement an unconstitutional delegation of legislative power? ["The power conferred is the power to regulate. This is legislative delegation in its most obnoxious form; for it is not even delegation to an official or an official body, presumptively disinterested, but to private persons." Carter vs. Carter Coal Co...] MR. SUPINSKI - I don't know, I can refer you to our legal department.

CALLER - Isn't the current money system a house of cards that must fall because, the debt can mathematically never be paid-off? MR. SUPINSKI - It appears that way. I can tell you have been looking into this matter and are very knowledgeable. However, we do have a solution.

CALLER - What is the solution? MR. SUPINSKI - The Debit Card.

CALLER - Do you mean under the EFT Act (Electronic Funds Transfer)? Isn't that very frightening, when one considers the capabilities of computers? It would provide the government and all it's agencies, including the Federal Reserve such information as: You went to the gas station @ 2:30 and bought \$10.00 of unleaded gas @ \$1.41 per gallon and then you went to the grocery store @ 2:58 and bought bread, lunch meat and milk for \$12.32 and then went to the drug store @ 3:30 and bought cold medicine for \$5.62. In other words, they would know where we go, when we went, how much we paid, how much the merchant paid and how much profit he made. Under the EFT they will literally know everything about us. Isn't that kind of scary? MR. SUPINSKI - Yes, it makes you wonder.

CALLER - I smell a GIANT RAT that has overthrown my constitution. Aren't we paying tribute in the form of income taxes to a consortium of private bankers? MR. SUPINSKI - I can't call it tribute, it is interest.

CALLER - Haven't all elected officials taken an oath of office to preserve and defend the Constitution from enemies both foreign and domestic? Isn't the Federal Reserve a domestic enemy? MR. SUPINSKI - I can't say that.

CALLER - Our elected officials and members of the Federal Reserve are guilty of aiding and abetting the overthrowing of my Constitution and that is treason. Isn't the punishment of treason death? MR. SUPINSKI - I believe so.

CALLER - Thank you for your time and information and if I may say so, I think you should take the necessary steps to protect you and your family and withdraw your money from the banks before the collapse, I am. MR. SUPINSKI - It doesn't look good.

CALLER - May God have mercy on the souls who are behind this unconstitutional and criminal act called the Federal Reserve. When the ALMIGHTY MASS awakens to this giant hoax, they will not take it with a grain of salt. It has been a pleasure talking to you and I thank you for your time. I hope you will take my advice before it does collapse. MR. SUPINSKI - Unfortunately, it does not look good.

CALLER - Have a good day and thanks for your time. MR. SUPINSKI - Thanks for calling. --- end - of - call ---

This interview is the work of Daniel Benham d.benham@Worldnet.att.net. Benham wrote: If the reader has any doubts to the validity of this conversation, call your nearest Federal Reserve Bank, YOU KNOW THE QUESTIONS TO ASK! You won't find them listed under the Federal Government. They are in the white pages, along with Federal Express, Federal Deposit Insurance Corp. (FDIC), and any other business. Find out for yourself if all this is true. **The owners of the major banks depend on peoples' lack of understanding to maintain their stranglehold on our pockets.** And then, go to your local law library and look up the case of Lewis vs. US, case #80-5905, 9th Circuit, June 24, 1982. It reads in part: "Examining the organization and function of the Federal Reserve Banks and applying the relevant factors, we conclude that the federal reserve are NOT federal instrumentality's... but are independent and privately owned and controlled corporations - federal reserve banks are listed neither as 'wholly-owned' government corporations [under 31 USC Section 846] nor as 'mixed ownership' corporations [under 31 USC Section 856] . . . 28 USC Sections 1346(b), 2671.' Federal agency' is defined as: the executive departments, the military departments, independent establishments of the United States, and corporations acting primarily as instrumentality's of the United States, but does not include any contractors with the United States . . . There are no sharp criteria for determining whether an entity is a federal agency within the meaning of the Act, but the critical factor is the existence of the federal government control over the 'detailed physical performance' and 'day to day operations' of that entity. Other factors courts have considered include whether the entity is an independent corporation .

. . . whether the government is involved in the entity's finances, . . . and whether the mission of the entity furthers the policy of the United States . . . Examining the organization and function of the Federal Reserve Banks, and applying the relevant factors, we conclude that the Reserve Banks are not federal instrumentalities ... It is evident from the legislative history of the Federal Reserve Act that Congress did not intend to give the federal government direction over the daily operation of the Reserve Banks . . . The fact that the Federal Reserve Board regulates the Reserve Banks does not make them federal agencies under the Act . . . Unlike typical federal agencies, each bank is empowered to hire and fire employees at will. Bank employees do not participate in the Civil Service Retirement System. They are covered by worker's compensation insurance, purchased by the Bank, rather than the Federal Employees Compensation Act.

Employees traveling on Bank business are not subject to federal travel regulations and do not receive government employee discounts on lodging and services . . . Finally, the Banks are empowered to sue and be sued in their own name. 12 USC Section 341. They carry their own liability insurance and typically process and handle their own claims. . ." According to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, "When the Federal Reserve was created, its stock was sold to the member banks." ("The Hats The Federal Reserve Wears," published by the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia). The Fed is a BankLords' monopoly cartel: Monopoly - "A privilege or peculiar advantage vested in one or more persons or companies, consisting in the exclusive right [or power] to carry on a particular business or trade, manufacture a particular article, or control the sale of the whole supply of a particular commodity, A form of market structure in which only a few firms dominate the total sales of a product or service. 'Monopoly' as prohibited by Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, has two elements: possession of a monopoly power in relevant market and willful acquisition or maintenance of that power, as distinguished from growth or development as a consequence of a superior power, business acumen, or historical product. A monopoly condemned by the Sherman Act is the power to fix prices, or exclude competition, coupled with policies designed to use and preserve that power." (Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition) The Federal Reserve Act goes one step farther, "No Senator or Representative in Congress shall be a member of the Federal Reserve Board or an officer or director of a Federal Reserve Bank." They didn't want We The People to have any say in the operation of their monopoly through our elected officials.

"The Constitution is not an instrument for the government to restrain the people, it is an instrument for the people to restrain the government - lest it come to dominate our lives and interests." [through being controlled by Feudals] -- Patrick Henry

Today's private central and local banks create this fiat money and still call it dollars. There is no gold or silver backing the money in your bank account. The reason your account money or paper money have purchasing power is because others accept it in exchange for their goods and services and you accept it as well, through The Force Of bad/fraudulent/dishonest/immoral Legislation domestically and through the force of the military abroad! **We need to have a mutually agreed upon and acceptable point system or money to facilitate our transactions of goods and services. That is essential for the on-going distribution of produced goods and services. But, control over the forms and issuance of fiat money yields IMMENSE powers to its private controllers who can create depressions, recessions, booms and busts, all the while accumulating wealth and land from the common people, as we will show later. Examples of the immense powers are issuing loans to self and fellow operatives or their corporations or tax-exempt foundations at zero interest and then writing them off and withholding or calling-in loans to bankrupt others, etc.**

William Findley (1785) on the private issue of national fiat money: "This institution [private central bank], having no principle but that of avarice, will never be varied in its object... to engross all the wealth, power and influence of the state."

Money is also used to measure and store a value for our production efforts. If this effort is stored as a commodity such as real gold and silver coins or certificates of ownership of gold and silver which are on deposit in a safe, then its value is stable. If the effort is stored as fiat paper money (money not backed directly by a commodity but rather just printed or issued into an account as a future promise to pay or give something in return), then its value is variable and based on the conditions prevailing at the time of trying to use this money. You can be sure that today's dollar is definitely not worth the dollar definition which was \$20 per ounce of gold in 1518. Today's "Promise to Pay the Bearer on Demand" is phony because you will not get anything except a fresh crispy note if you go to the issuer to cash your dollar. You certainly will not get the 25.8 grains of gold! The ancient elites understood money mechanics. So, long ago in the dark unwritten pages of human history, powerful kings discovered how they could control and enslave other men by a combination of money, tithing, interest, torture, magical practices, wars, politics, and idolatrous religions (self-worship or worship of one's unrestrained desires). These elite families designed strategies and tactics to monopolize power and wealth, limit their competition, and perpetuate their occult practices. Layers upon layers of secrecy have hidden these families from the masses but many wise authors have touched upon their existence.

What Reserves? Private Fed/Commercial Banks CREATE MONEY FROM NOTHING & LEND "I-O-U"s

There is a huge misconception among people that the private banks lend money which is on deposit with them. In actual fact, the privately owned Federal Reserve and Commercial Banks lend out which they create from nothing. W. Hadley Robinson, Fellow of the Institute of Bankers, (F.I.B.) in his 'Money and the Citizen': "They [the Bankers] manufacture credit by a mere stroke of the pen." A.L.G. MacKay, Professor of Economics, University of Rangoon, stated "By means of a loan, overdraft, or by cashing of bills, the banks are able to increase the volume of deposits in the community, and because of this process it is not correct to say that a bank loans out deposits

which people make with it. It is clear that it creates the deposit by the issue of the loan; the loan travels back to the bank or another bank and assumes the form of a deposit".

So what/where are the reserves? The reserves are our collective future products and services, i.e. WE ARE THE RESERVES, not the FED nor the commercial banks. The FED and commercial banks actually lend "I-O-U"s or Federal Reserve Dollar Notes with the "promise" that anyone in the US will accept these notes in exchange for their goods or services, i.e. the FED is using us as credit collateral. The FED can print or issue as many dollars (notes or ledger/computer entries) as it wants against our collective credit; the history of inflation and the M3 measure of money are proofs of this. So, the "reserve" is the FED's ability to create as many dollars as its owners require, using us all as the collateral against which to collect on those dollars, i.e. the Banklords issue, we "pay with our labor or assets", they collect the interest and foreclose on assets, A GIGANTIC FRAUD.

"I have never yet had anyone who could, through the use of logic and reason, justify the Federal Government borrowing the use of its own money....I believe the time will come when people will demand that this be changed. I believe the time will come in this country when they will actually blame you and me and everyone else connected with the Congress for sitting idly by and permitting such an idiotic system to continue." - The late Congressman Wright Patman, Chairman of the House Committee on Banking and Currency for over 16 years.

See House of Representatives, Banking and Currency Committee hearing of September 30, 1941:

1. Congress says, "We need \$10 Billion."
2. So they go over to the Treasury and say, "We need \$10 Billion."
3. The U.S. Treasury prints up \$10 Billion in government bonds.
4. They take those bonds over to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank (Fed) down the road from the Treasury.
5. They walk into the Fed with these \$10 Billion in bonds and say, "We need to borrow \$10 Billion."
6. The purchase of bonds by the Fed from the Treasury is approved by the Federal Open Market Committee.
7. The Fed takes that \$10 Billion in Bonds and agrees to then loan the U.S. Government \$10 Billion by either cheque, electronic transfers or by printing Federal Reserve Notes (the US\$ notes or paper currency).
8. The Fed writes into their little ledger with a stubby pencil, "US Government owes us \$10 Billion." (Actually, it's done by computer nowadays.)
9. The Fed then creates money out of thin air by authorizing some currency to be printed as well as electronic transfers to the Government's account. These credits are based on nothing and created out of thin air. Note that the legislated legal tender in the US is the private Federal Reserve Note (not a United States Government Note). The Federal Reserve notes are printed by the Treasury upon a request from the Fed and given for the cost of printing (about 3 cents per note and not the face value) to the Fed. The Fed is using the Treasury BEP as a print shop and to add insult to injury, the Treasury guarantees the private bank's Federal Reserve Notes as legal tender in the United States backed by the taxpayers' wealth!!!
10. Now the U.S. Government's account has been credited with \$10 Billion and it owes another \$10 Billion to the Federal Reserve Bank.
11. The receiving commercial bank then uses these credits as reserves from which they can loan out ten times the amount by again creating money out of thin air using ledger entries. This is known as fractional reserve banking. The books are balanced by treating your promise to pay as the asset against which the currency is created, just like in the case of the Government loan where the Fed used the Government Bonds as the asset against which Federal Reserve Notes and credits were issued.
12. The commercial banks must borrow from the Fed or their depositors $1/10^{\text{th}}$ the amount of money they create from nothing when making loans. A bank's lending is ultimately limited by the amount of its own capital (assets minus liabilities). The capital adequacy rule requires that the ratio of its capital to risk-weighted assets be at least 8%. For example, mortgage loans have a risk weighting of 0.5 in contrast to ordinary loans which have a risk weighting of 1.0. Since the bank plans to expand its balance sheet by \$5,000,000, it must have excess capital of at least $\$5,000,000 \times 0.5 \times .08 = \$200,000$.

The above process injects currency into the economy. To reduce the amount of money in the economy, they simply reverse the process. The Fed sells the government bonds to the public and money is drawn from the purchasers' bank to pay for them. Each million withdrawn lowers the banks ability to loan by 10 million. The Federal bank in this way has overall control of the US money supply. The Banksters through the magic of fractional reserve banking have been delegated the right to create 90% of the money supply. This control makes a mockery of any elected government. It places so called leaders behind a toy steering wheel, like the plastic ones, set up to amuse small children.

Who Owns The FED Banks? Same People who own the Commercial Banks=CREDIT MONOPOLY!

The original Stockholders of the Federal Reserve Banks in 1913 were the Rockefeller's, JP Morgan, Rothschild's, Lazard Freres, Schoellkopf, Kuhn-Loeb, Warburgs, Lehman Brothers and Goldman Sachs (all with roots in Germany's Zionists just like the British Royal family, J.P. Morgan, Carnegie, Bush, Rumsfeld, Clintons, the Nazis that were brought into the CIA, etc.). http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml

The federal government does not own any stock in the Federal Reserve System. In that sense, the Fed is privately owned. In the case of the Fed System, the stock carries no proprietary interest, cannot be sold or pledged as collateral, and does not carry ordinary voting rights. The function of the twelve regional Fed Reserve Banks is to hold cash reserves of the system, supply currency to member banks, clear checks, and act as a fiscal agent for the government. These 12 are corporations with stock held by the commercial banks which are members of the system. The national Board of Governors, together with the Open Market Committee, with the New York regional Bank being more powerful than the other eleven, meet frequently. Decisions are made at secret meetings. A brief report of some of the proceedings is issued 6 weeks later, but transcripts of the deliberations are destroyed. [This has been policy since 1970 when the Freedom of Information Act was passed. Not even the CIA enjoys such secrecy]. Each bank in the system is entitled to one vote, regardless of the stock held. Stock Certificates are not evidence of "ownership" but of how much operating capital each shareholder bank has contributed to the system. **The Fed is not a government agency and it is not a private corporation in the normal sense of the words. It is subject to political control yet, because of its enormous power over politicians and the elective process, it has managed to remain independent from political oversight. Simply put, it is a cartel, and its organizational structure is uniquely structured to serve that end.**

Private Monopoly - "A privilege or peculiar advantage vested in one or more persons or companies, consisting in the exclusive right [or power] to carry on a particular business or trade, manufacture a particular article, or control the sale of the whole supply of a particular commodity, A form of market structure in which only a few firms dominate the total sales of a product or service. 'Monopoly,' as prohibited by Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, has two elements: possession of a monopoly power in relevant market and willful acquisition or maintenance of that power, as distinguished from growth or development as a consequence of a superior power, business acumen, or historical product. A monopoly condemned by the Sherman Act is the power to fix prices, or exclude competition, coupled with policies designed to use and preserve that power." (Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition) The Federal Reserve Act goes one step farther, "No Senator or Representative in Congress shall be a member of the Federal Reserve Board or an officer or director of a Federal Reserve Bank." They didn't want We The People to have any say in the operation of their monopoly through our elected officials. If the Federal Reserve Banking System is completely lawful then it is both a legalized fraud and a legalized monopoly on fraud! ("monopoly capitalism" = communism = fascism = imperialism)
<http://www.truthusa.org/articles/fed/calltofed.htm> <http://www.rense.com/general29/ringring.htm>
http://www.infowars.com/articles/economy/phone_call_to_def.htm <http://www.nogw.com/>

The Biggest Problem on Earth: The Privately Owned/Controlled Feudal Credit Money System

Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England: "Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back."

"Modern Banking" was actually devised over 4,000 years ago in the ancient Babylonian and Roman days of slavery of the masses [of course, without the electronic information systems that are now available]. The Pharaohs ruled by dividing their subjects into casts and degrees like their predecessor Nimrod, the builder of the infamous tower of Babel and initiator of Masonry. These ancient rulers would enslave many of their subjects, and their supporters, enforcers and stooges would also benefit from the slavery of the masses. **These ancient rulers imposed collections (taxes with no services) to expand their own wealth. Interestingly enough, these ancient rulers would not get involved in the business of private "money lenders or changers" who would also be imposing a form of collection known as "interest" and "inflation" and "depression" and "recession" and "boom", and if they tried, they would wind up assassinated as we will show later.** (note that inflation is also taxed!) Remember that it's not until the 1850s that the serfs were freed in Europe and then later that the Africans slaves were freed in America. Let's go forward and review the history that revolves around MONEY & USURY (and giving false measure).

The biggest problem facing all human beings today is the creeping slavery created by the private monopoly on the issuance and creation of "fiat" money at no liability to themselves (money created from nothing by the stroke of a pen or keyboard) that the Illuminati Bankers who own the central and local banks have managed to usurp from the people at least since the creation of the private central Bank of Rome in 45 AC, the private central Bank of England in 1694, the private US Federal Reserve Banks in 1913 and so on! These Illuminati Banking Dynasties lend this "fiat" money to the people's Governments, corporations and individuals charging interest on this money which they created from nothing and also make gains by manipulating the issuance of money; the debt and the associated interest payments are inherited and grow from generation to generation forever and have enslaved past, present and future generations eternally!! The only way to momentarily stop this pyramid scheme from collapsing is for governments to keep borrowing more and more!!! Whenever this pyramid collapses, the Illuminati Banksters go on a buying spree for bargains while others suffer!!!! Another way to keep this pyramid going is to create wars & terror so that all sides have to borrow money from the Banksters!!!! Meanwhile, the number of enslaved peoples World-wide keeps growing begging for handouts at the mercy of the Illuminati Banksters whose wealth and power keep skyrocketing!!!! In order to keep their mafia cash cow or Golden Calf system going, the Illuminati Banksters use some of their immense wealth to buy influence and propaganda fronts to blame the Earth's problems on all other systems and religions thus diverting attention from themselves and the fact that they have usurped the power to create money from the people's sovereign governments. The money the BankLords create at no liability to themselves is redeemable for any goods or services produced by anyone willing to accept the private Federal Reserve dollar currency notes and cheques. This theme will be illustrated in details throughout this book.

Thomas Jefferson: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance... If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered... The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the government to whom it properly belongs." "The modern theory of the perpetuation of debt has drenched the earth with blood, and crushed its inhabitants under burdens ever accumulating".



Thomas Jefferson: "The modern theory for the perpetuation of debt has drenched the earth with blood and crushed its inhabitants with burdens ever accumulating."

Jefferson saw it coming 150 years ago. Can you now see what is in store for us if we allow the FED to continue controlling our country?

Of the first bank Jefferson said: "The Bank of the United States is one of the most deadly hostilities existing against the principles and form of our Constitution. I deem no government safe which is under the vassalage of any self-constituted authorities, or any other authority than that of the nation or its regular functionaries. What an obstruction could not this bank of the United States, with all its branch banks, be in time of war? It might dictate to us the peace we should accept, or withdraw its aid. Ought we then to give further growth to an institution so powerful, so hostile?"




"Whenever the people are well-informed, they can be trusted with their own government. Whenever things get so far wrong as to attract their notice, they may be relied on to set them to rights." - **Thomas Jefferson**



James Madison (author Bill of Rights): "History records that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance."

Andrew Jackson: "If congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given them to use themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations".

Abraham Lincoln: "The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity...I am a firm believer in the people. If given the truth, they can be depended upon to meet any national crisis. The great point is to bring them the real facts."



As Lincoln Warned: "I see in the future a crisis which unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. Corporations have been enthroned, an era of corruption will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong it's reign by working upon the prejudices of the people, until wealth is aggregated into a few hands and the Republic destroyed." He had also said - 3 days before they shot him in 1865 that - "Money will no longer be master but become the servant of humanity"

As Napoleon pointed out: "Terrorism, War & Bankruptcy are caused by the privatization of money, issued as a debt and compounded by interest "- he cancelled debt and interest in France - hence the Battle of Waterloo waged against him by the BankLords.

In the past, World events were related towards creating this financial monopoly throughout the World. Now, everything happening on Earth is related to either maintaining this monopoly where it exists or expanding it to the rest of the World. (See the amazing interview with former European Currency Economist Bernard Lietaer in a later section later which sheds a lot of light on this fraud). How did the banking cartel usurp the right to issue money from the people's sovereign government? By the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 passed under the supervision of President Woodrow Wilson. Listen to his words a few years after he signed the Federal Reserve Act: **Woodrow Wilson 1919:** "I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized World no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men. "I have involuntarily ruined my government". "Some of the biggest men in the United States... are afraid of something. They know there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it". Woodrow Wilson gave 3 radio broadcasts in 1921 warning of growing Illuminati control of the US banking system.



Representative Wright Patman, former Chairman of a House Banking Committee said: "The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government bonds... The Federal Reserve Bank is a total money making machine." [[They have monopoly on counterfeiting money](#)]

Former Federal Reserve Bank Chairman Eccles was asked by Patman, "Mr. Eccles, how did you get the money to buy these two billion dollars of government bonds." Mr. Eccles replied, "We create it." "Out of what?" Patman asked. "Out of the right to issue credit money", i.e. out of nothing. This right to create money out of thin air was given to the Private Federal Reserve Bank by a fraudulent act of Congress in 1913 known as the Federal Reserve Act, same fraud has been happening since the 17th century with the Private Bank of England and other banks. The FED issues Federal Reserve cheques redeemable as Federal Reserve Notes only with no liability to itself. The Fed is not subject to taxes and in-depth audits. The local banks create credit money as well but not currency notes. Read later about how most central banks are privately owned/controlled outright or de-facto.

Congressman Wright Patman (May 5, 1975): "In its sixty-year history, the Federal Reserve System has never been subjected to a complete, independent audit, and it is the only important agency that refuses to consent to an audit by the Congressional agency, the General Accounting Office."

Money is (was?) a Commodity Substitute. A commodity substitute is required to immensely facilitate and speed up the exchange of goods and services. Money that is backed by an existing asset is real money. However, today's paper notes and credit balances are like IOUs or promissory notes, i.e. they are a promise to provide a commodity at some point in the future and are not real money but rather promises to pay. We are living in a privately controlled credit monopoly system that is producing "fiat" or illusionary money. (<http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/MainPages/Comic.asp?Page=4&Language=English>). Real money is debt free and whether it is a coin or a real money note, it represents real value. Fiat money is a debt and whether it is a private Federal Reserve Dollar Note (FRN) or a credit in a bank account, it represents an IOU from the Federal Reserve or local bank against which no asset exist that are owned by the issuer. The private issuer gets to place a demand against everyone else's assets or products and services to fulfill this debt whilst the issuer is without any liability, i.e. a big legalized fraud. Lets see who is this "invisible hand" controlling the issue of money.

Trilateralist strategy: monopolisation of the World's resources, production facilities, labour technology, markets, transport and finance. These aims backed up by the US military and Congressional and industrial complexes that are already controlled and backed up by the NWO dictatorial elite.

[What is wrong with this system? A hell of a lot including Wars and Currency Hegemony!](#)

"I believe there are more instances of the abridgement of freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments by those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations. ... The means of defense against foreign danger historically have become the instruments of tyranny at home....If tyranny and oppression come to this land, it will be under the guise of fighting a foreign enemy." **President James Madison**

Imperialism according to the conception of Che: the highest form of development of capitalism, with the purpose to conquer economical and political power world wide. Sometimes by setting up a puppet government in a developing country, sometimes through deals laid down by the International Monetary Fund or the World Bank. That is the favourite way capitalist governments subdue developing countries to open up their economies to the interests of western multinational concerns. In case such countries won't keep in line, the ultimate trick is to launch a military intervention under the flag of the United Nations to restore "democracy" or "human rights". -**Ernesto Che Guevara before his death in 1967 (helped by the CIA)**

"End the philosophy of plunder and the philosophy of war will be ended as well" -**Campanero Fidel Castro**

"The so-called Left-Right political spectrum is our creation. In fact, it accurately reflects our careful, artificial polarization of the population on phony issues that prevents the issue of our power from arising in their minds" - **author of The Occult Technocracy of Power**

Why do we need money as a commodity substitute? From the energy of our bodies, we produce goods and services for trade. Well, when we sell or buy some goods or services, in exchange, we can be given: <http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/MainPages/Comic.asp?Page=4&Language=English>

- a commodity (barter)
- real money (gold, silver or certificates of gold, silver)
- commodity substitute such as fiat money (a promise to pay such as Federal Reserve Notes known as US dollar notes) which could be debt-bearing if issued by private Banksters or debt-free if issued by THE PEOPLE
- other arrangements

This commodity substitute greatly facilitates and simplifies transactions which otherwise would take a very long time to arrange and thus facilitates production and consumption. It also allows one to assign a common value and store one's production efforts for trading at some point in the future. This enhances and encourages productivity and human activity.

Now, in the case of fiat money, this not a problem as long as it's issued by the sovereign government and not by a private monopoly who have usurped this power from the people and taken it for themselves. There is no reason why we should be paying interest and be in debt to the Banksters for FIAT money created from nothing using THE PEOPLE as collateral. We can do this ourselves. And there is no reason that people should pay interest on the promises to pay that are called Federal Reserve Notes, and this eternally. IT IS A TOTAL FRAUD since ancient times.

We need credit issuing offices just like we need driving license issuing offices or fishing license issuing offices and a bureaucracy is required to be fed for this administration. But we cannot allow this bureaucracy to eat much from our productivity and be the monster that it is today or to play tribal favorites. In addition to all the quotes from prominent politicians and bankers, listen to Irving Fisher about the latter situation.

1. It is the people who give value to the instrument or currency that is issued by the creators of money.
2. He who controls the issue of money controls the governments, corporations and individuals. the bankers could control the media, by "withholding patronage from all...who are not willing to oppose the Government issue of money". This same method has been used to control the media, the schools (both public and private) and the government, itself.
3. "Man is born free, but everywhere he is in chains." ~ Jean-Jacques Rousseau. The Fed creates the need for being in eternal debt and the need to tax people.
4. Every dollar created requires someone willing to pay interest to the Banksters.
5. The "dollar" measuring stick does not represent a fixable value.
6. The "dollar" is constantly losing value and thus cannot be used to store wealth.
7. The falling value of the dollar makes it preferable to be in perpetual debt as the value of the debt keeps decreasing due to inflation, i.e. in perpetual risk and at the mercy of the BankLords who control and manipulate the issue of debt and thus boom and bust cycles locally and nationally.
8. The dollar is not redeemable by the issuer just like shares are not redeemable by the company that issues them, i.e. you have to find someone else than the issuer who is willing to buy it or to trade it.
9. The Federal Reserve System is the BankLords' bank that is a private monopoly credit issuing cartel and is incapable of accomplishing its stated objectives already proven a failure by its past performance. It benefits the BankLords who wind up controlling everything and take in about 80% on each dollar issued.
10. It is a private money issuing cartel operating against the public interest. "Power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely." - Lord Acton.
11. The BankLords in-fact own you because they issue claims against the entire country's assets and labors as if they owned it, and instead of you being borne free owning your share of the planet's resources, you are born indebted to the Banksters.
12. It's the supreme instrument of usury and slavery (see later the sections on usury and slavery).
13. It consumes most of our tax dollars and after-tax dollars in hidden interest charges.
14. It generates our most unfair tax through inflation and bail outs.
15. It encourages war and creates massive war debts. The BankLords fund all sides in conflicts.
16. It encourages currency wars to maintain hegemony over the World Currency (currently the US Dollar)
17. It destabilizes the economy. The BankLords create the boom and bust cycles, ever so profiting and accumulating land and other assets leaving less and less for the growing populations.
18. It discourages private capital formation.
19. It generates enormous profits which the cartel owners then channel into controlling governments.
20. Governments keep passing laws and executive orders favoring the BankLords at the expense of the masses.
21. When a bank fails because it cannot meet the interest payments to the FED banks because it has issued too many bad or fraudulent loans or its funds have been embezzled or it's spending lavishly on salaries and bonuses, etc., all of the liability is transferred to the taxpayers.
22. There is a major conflict of interest: those who create money get to give themselves loans to buy out other businesses.
23. Monopolies and cartels must not be allowed, whether it's banking cartels or oil cartels.
24. Banks create money out of thin air and distribute it, unevenly throughout the economy, at interest.
25. The Fed is expected to combat inflation; instead it has allowed the dollar to lose over 75% of its value in the last 30 years alone.
26. Using the accounting rules that apply to others, every money center bank has been hopelessly insolvent for over 20 years.
27. Financial bailouts --- by both the IMF and the U.S. government--- involve massive wealth transfers from ordinary taxpayers to the financial sector (mostly banks).
28. FDIC insurance coverage encourages money to flow into banks--- particularly risky banks--- that otherwise would have flowed elsewhere in a free market.
29. While money creation always increases prices, some methods and patterns of distribution produce dramatic wealth transfers while others have no such effect.
30. The Fed was formed in 1913 to be a lender of last resort; yet, throughout the 1920s, banks failed at unprecedented rates and in 1933, 1/3 of all banks failed.
31. Democracy and free enterprise both suffer when government permits an elite group to create money and forces the rest of us to honor that money through legal tender laws.
32. Since the money creation privilege is always abused, the government must grant additional special privileges to cover-up the effects of those abuses.

33. Special privileges cover-up reality and temporarily restore confidence but do not actually rectify the resulting distortions.
34. A massive financial collapse is the only viable result. Special privileges make the ultimate crisis inevitable but also make the timing unpredictable.
35. The special privileges for the BankLords make financial collapse inevitable: unlimited money creation, allowed accounting irregularities, protective bank secrecy rules, insured bank deposits, Fed-protected bank assets taxpayer bailouts of bank losses.
36. BankLords keep increasing their wealth while the rest keep accumulating the debt.

Lets quote from **Ellen Brown's** introduction:

- The "Federal" Reserve is not actually federal. It is a private corporation owned by a consortium of very large multinational banks. (Chapter 13)
- Except for coins, the government does not create money. Dollar bills (Federal Reserve Notes) are created by the private Federal Reserve, which lends them to the government. (Chapter 2)
- Tangible currency (coins and dollar bills) together make up less than 3 percent of the U.S. money supply. The other 97 percent exists only as data entries on computer screens, and all of this money was created by banks in the form of loans. (Chapters 2 and 17)
- The money that banks lend is not recycled from pre-existing deposits. It is new money, which did not exist until it was lent. (Chapters 17 and 18)
- Thirty percent of the money created by banks with accounting entries is invested for their own accounts. (Chapter 18)
- The American banking system, which at one time extended productive loans to agriculture and industry, has today become a giant betting machine. An estimated \$370 trillion are now riding on complex high-risk bets known as derivatives – 28 times the \$13 trillion annual output of the entire U.S. economy. These bets are funded by big U.S. banks and are made largely with borrowed money created on a computer screen. Derivatives can be and have been used to manipulate markets, loot businesses, and destroy competitor economies. (Chapters 20 and 32)
- The U.S. federal debt has not been paid off since the days of Andrew Jackson. Only the interest gets paid, while the principal portion continues to grow. (Chapter 2)
- The federal income tax was instituted specifically to coerce taxpayers to pay the interest due to the banks on the federal debt. If the money supply had been created by the government rather than borrowed from banks that created it, the income tax would have been unnecessary. (Chapters 13 and 43)
- The interest alone on the federal debt will soon be more than the taxpayers can afford to pay. When we can't pay, the Federal Reserve's debt-based dollar system must collapse. (Chapter 29)
- Contrary to popular belief, creeping inflation is not caused by the government irresponsibly printing dollars. It is caused by banks expanding the money supply with loans. (Chapter 10)
- Most of the runaway inflation seen in "banana republics" has been caused, not by national governments overprinting money, but by global institutional speculators attacking local currencies and devaluing them on international markets. (Chapter 25)
- The same sort of speculative devaluation could happen to the U.S. dollar if international investors were to abandon it as a global "reserve" currency, something they are now threatening to do in retaliation for what they perceive to be American economic imperialism. (Chapters 29 and 37)
- There is a way out of this morass. The early American colonists found it, and so did Abraham Lincoln and some other national leaders: the government can take back the money-issuing power from the banks. (Chapters 8 and 24)

Robert B. Anderson, Secretary of the Treasury under Eisenhower, said in an interview reported in the August 31, 1959 issue of U.S. News and World Report: "[W]hen a bank makes a loan, it simply adds to the borrower's deposit account in the bank by the amount of the loan. The money is not taken from anyone else's deposit; it was not previously paid in to the bank by anyone. It's new money, created by the bank for the use of the borrower."

Michel Chossudovsky, Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa, wrote during the Asian currency crisis of 1998: "[P]rivately held money reserves in the hands of "institutional speculators" far exceed the limited capabilities of the World's central banks. The latter acting individually or collectively are no longer able to fight the tide of speculative activity. Monetary policy is in the hands of private creditors who have the ability to freeze State budgets, paralyse the payments process, thwart the regular disbursement of wages to millions of workers (as in the former Soviet Union) and precipitate the collapse of production and social programmes."

In a February 2005 article called "The Death of Banking," **financial commentator Hans Schicht** wrote: "The fact that the Banker is allowed to extend credit several times his own capital base and that the Banking Cartels, the Central Banks, are licensed to issue fresh paper money in exchange for treasury paper, [has] provided them with free lunch for eternity. . . . Through a network of anonymous financial spider webbing only a handful of global King Bankers own and control it all. . . . Everybody, people, enterprise, State and foreign countries, all have become slaves chained to the Banker's credit ropes." Schicht writes that he had an opportunity in his career to observe the wizards of finance as an insider at close range. The game has gotten so centralized and concentrated, he says, that the greater part of U.S. banking and enterprise is now under the control of a small inner circle of men. He calls the game "spider webbing." Its rules include:

- Making any concentration of wealth invisible.
- Exercising control through "leverage" – mergers, takeovers, chain share holdings where one company holds shares of other companies, conditions annexed to loans, and so forth.

- Exercising tight personal management and control, with a minimum of insiders and front-men who themselves have only partial knowledge of the game.

"I see in the near future a crisis approaching. It unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspires against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. It denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me & the financial institutions at the rear, the latter is my greatest foe. Corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until the wealth is aggregated in the hands of a few, and the Republic is destroyed." -**Abraham Lincoln, letter to William Elkins**, Nov 21, 1864 (after the passage of the debt causing National Bank Act [June 3, 1864])

"The Important Thing is, Not to Stop Questioning" – **Albert Einstein**

"**President Andrew Jackson** called the banking cartel a "hydra-headed monster eating the flesh of the common man."

New York Mayor John Hylan, writing in the 1920s, called it a "giant octopus" that "seizes in its long and powerful tentacles our executive officers, our legislative bodies, our schools, our courts, our newspapers, and every agency created for the public protection." The debt spider has devoured farms, homes and whole countries that have become trapped in its web.

"No one will enter the New World Order unless he or she will make a pledge to worship Lucifer." **David Spangler of U.N.**

"It is in everybody's interest to seek those [actions] that lead to happiness and avoid those which lead to suffering. And because our interests are inextricably linked, we are compelled to accept ethics as the indispensable interface between my desire to be happy and yours." - **Dalai Lama**

"Thou Shalt Not Bear False Witness Against Thy Neighbor" (**Bible Exodus 16**).

"So Then, Putting Away Falsehood, Let All of Us Speak the Truth to our Neighbors, for We Are All Members of One Another." (**Bible Ephesians 4:25**).


"They Try to Deceive God and Those Who Believe, But They Only Deceive Themselves, and Realize it Not." (**Qur'aan 2:9**).

Your birthright is to be born owning your share of the Planet Earth. Instead, you are born owning a share of the debt and its associated interest payments owed to the BankLords who created it from nothing with the stroke of a pen, the debt being owed by governments (World, national, state/provincial, regional/municipal/township/city/town) and corporations whose products you must buy to survive. A government for/of/by the people would issue money debt-free and interest-free. But, the Banklords have usurped this power from the people and are enslaving us, taking a tithe from us and our children, eternally, getting wealthier at our expense. This has been well explained by the previous quotes, especially from Thomas Jefferson. Here are some more:




"History records that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance." **James Madison** [Learn more http://www.fdrs.org/banking_history.html](http://www.fdrs.org/banking_history.html)

"The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest." **Abraham Lincoln** [Learn more http://www.fdrs.org/money_creation.html](http://www.fdrs.org/money_creation.html)



"You are a den of vipers and thieves. I intend to rout you out, and by the Eternal God, I will rout you out." (Speaking to the banking system) **Andrew Jackson** [Learn more http://www.fdrs.org/debt_termination.html](http://www.fdrs.org/debt_termination.html)



One of the most remarkable statements comes from British Lord Sir Josiah (John) Stamp, former director of the Bank of England and 2nd richest man in England in the 1920s said this speaking at the University of Texas in 1927 and repeated it at an address at Central Hall, London 1937, said: "The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was every invented. Banking was started in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the Earth; take it away from them but leave them with the power to create credit, and, with a stroke of the pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again. Take this power away from them and all great fortunes, like mine, would disappear, for then this World would be a happier and better World to live in. But, if you want to be the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then let the Bankers create money and control credit."

Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

"I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that banks can and do create money.....And they who control the credit of the nation [and its citizens and corporations] direct the policy of Governments and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people"...- **Reginald McKenna, a past Chairman of the Board, Midlands Bank of England.**

"Thus our national circulating medium is now at the mercy of loan transactions of banks, which lend not money, but [false] promises to supply money which they do not possess".....- **Irving Fisher, economist & author.**

"One thing to realize about our fractional reserve banking system is that like a child's game of musical chairs [i.e. a pyramid ponzi scheme], as long as the music is playing, there are no losers"...- **Andrew Gause, Monetary historian.**

This system has been set up since the ancient feudal times of Nimrod who was a master enslaver and controlled his people with a cult of idolatry. This system causes the masses to keep incurring debt perpetually in enslavement to the BankLords who create the money from nothing and take a piece of our labor through taxes, inflation and interest tithes? The currency notes, issued with no liability to the BankLords, are an "I owe U" representing a national debt owed by the citizens of the country to whoever holds the currency or Treasury Bonds or Bills or other types of "Promises to Pay" against which these notes were issued. The BankLords who own the privately owned Federal Reserve banks and Commercial Banks and issue the notes for the cost of printing them have no liability because they have passed it on to the taxpayers by issuing the currency in the nations' name holding all tax payers, past and future, liable for it (unless the country decides to default on its promise to pay by devaluating the currency or refusing to honor it when submitted by foreigners, at which point the BankLords get to grab more assets, etc.). In the past, US Banks issued their own private currencies; however, the Bank of England issued national currency since its start in 1694 and has thus been enslaving people since a longer time. Thus, whenever money is created by the BankLords, the debt is collectivized and passed on to the taxpayers as a whole. A VERY BIG FRAUD! If the currency had been issued by the government on behalf of the citizens as it should be, it would have been debt free and interest free while circulating within the country. The BankLords have usurped our lives by using us as collateral for the national currency which they issue. The ancient word for this is perpetual slavery and fiefdoms, including future generations.

The Entire system is based upon fraudulent transactions. A magic wand creates money (credit) out of thin air. The entire system is unconstitutional as the power to create money cannot be delegated to the private BankLords. Inflation is a hidden tax which hurts both the holders of the currency and the wage earners as the value of their labor is decreased. Devaluation is another hidden tax which also hurts foreign holders of the currency. Our income tax dollars go directly into the pockets of the BankLords (private shareholders of the Fed and major commercial banks). Meanwhile, the income earned by these shareholders is funneled through tax-free institutions such as the Ford Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, etc. which is then used for propaganda in favor of the BankLords, and they do not pay any income tax on it. The money in our pockets is a debt to the Federal Reserve who created it without any liability to itself. The money is not backed by any hard asset such as gold. The FED was taken off the gold standard totally in the 1960s when it could no longer meet payments in gold and thus starting issuing bonds in payments for exchanges of US currency. The history of all fiat currencies (i.e. not backed by a hard asset) is that 100% of the time these currencies have devalued down to the paper with which they were created, i.e. to nothing. The peoples' credit has been usurped by the feudal BankLords. The government politicians are now owned by the BankLords who use them to tax the American people any amount they wish and more through a process which the people do not understand called inflation. They don't realize they're being additionally taxed which makes it real handy when you're going for re-election. On the banking side they're able to earn perpetual interest on nothing ("perpetual" because remember when the loan is paid back it's turned around and loaned out to somebody else.) for the members of the banking cartel which created that money. We are not living as well as we could but people of this banking cartel system are undoubtedly living very well. Generally those are the ones who are up at the nozzle where this new money is coming into the system or they're involved in the government or they have government subsidies. For most people, away from the nozzle, it's not going so well, we're not living that well. Finally, when you have a money supply based upon thin air, the BankLords can expand it and contract it a will, amassing massive wealth in the process (see the later section on the booms and bust cycles).

Americas corrupt fiat money system is condemning the Citizenry by bidding the best and brightest talent away from main street free markets to the speculative and confiscatory activities that falsely promise enrichment without productively working. The "Carry trade" is a near limitless cash machine for banks and hedge funds. These speculators borrow OPM (other peoples' money) from one nation and invest it in another. These funds create "bubbles". When these "bubbles" burst and assets depreciate, guess who holds the bag? The Bank of International Settlements last year estimated the turnover in exchange and interest rates derivatives markets at \$2 .4 trillion a day!! In five days' time the sum of this gambling equals America's gross domestic product yearly. J.P. Morgan Chase is by far the biggest broker of this highly

risky game that endangers most Americans. They unknowingly and/or forcibly fund this scam either through pension plans, stock ownership, taxes, insurance, and a whole host of other schemes. All businesses and economies need credit. However, when that power of credit is controlled by a small elite of international banksters, this will eventually spell disaster as most people can and will lose everything. After all, it is the genuine market oriented economic forces who always will foot the bill. In order to dissolve the "Banker" monopoly, the Law Bar Monopoly must also be dismantled. The "Color of money" issue can only be resolved once the "color of law" phenomenon is eliminated. The private printing of currency is ultimately due to the private control of government. No checks mean many imbalances.

<http://www.house.gov/paul/congrec/congrec2006/cr042506.htm> Gold was \$20/ounce 1913-1934, thus today's dollar is equivalent to 4 cents in 1913]. Since 2001 however, interest in gold has soared along with its price with the price now over \$600 an ounce. By holding paper money one loses purchasing power. The purchasing power of commodity money, i.e. gold, however, goes up if the government or FED or commercial banks through creation of fiat dollars which results in continuous inflation and other factors devalues the circulating fiat currency. Holding gold is protection or insurance against the BankLords' proclivity to debase its currency. One of the characteristics of commodity money-- one that originated naturally in the marketplace-- is that it must serve as a store of value. Gold and silver meet that test-- paper does not. Because of this profound difference, the incentive and wisdom of holding emergency funds in the form of gold becomes attractive when the official currency is being devalued. It's more attractive than trying to save wealth in the form of a fiat currency, even when earning some nominal interest. The lack of earned interest on gold is not a problem once people realize the purchasing power of their currency is declining faster than the interest rates they might earn. The purchasing power of gold can rise even faster than increases in the cost of living. Though our inflation-- i.e. the depreciation of the U.S. dollar-- has been insidious, average Americans are unaware of how this occurs. For instance, few Americans know nor seem concerned that the 1913 pre-Federal Reserve dollar is now worth only four cents. Officially, our central bankers and our politicians express no fear that the course on which we are set is fraught with great danger to our economy and our political system. The belief that money created out of thin air can work economic miracles, if only properly "managed," is pervasive in D.C. In many ways we shouldn't be surprised about this trust in such an unsound system. For at least four generations our government/BankLord-run universities have systematically preached a monetary doctrine justifying the so-called wisdom of paper money over the "foolishness" of sound money. Not only that, paper money has worked surprisingly well in the past 35 years-- the years the world has accepted pure paper money as currency. Alan Greenspan bragged that central bankers in these several decades have gained the knowledge necessary to make paper money respond as if it were gold. This removes the problem of obtaining gold to back currency, and hence frees politicians from the rigid discipline a gold standard imposes.

Many central bankers in the last 15 years became so confident they had achieved this milestone that they sold off large hoards of their gold reserves [thus artificially depressing gold prices]. At other times they tried to prove that paper works better than gold by artificially propping up the dollar by suppressing market gold prices. This recent deception failed just as it did in the 1960s, when our government tried to hold gold artificially low at \$35 an ounce. But since they could not truly repeal the economic laws regarding money, just as many central bankers sold, others bought. It's fascinating that the European central banks sold gold while Asian central banks bought it over the last several years. Since commodities have proven to be the real money of the ages, we see once again a shift in wealth from the West to the East, just as we saw a loss of our industrial base in the same direction. Though Treasury officials deny any U.S. sales or loans of our official gold holdings, no audits are permitted so no one can be certain. The special nature of the dollar as the reserve currency of the world has allowed this game to last longer than it would have otherwise, especially now with the extremely high oil prices, oil buyers have to acquire even more dollars, as long as oil keeps trading in dollars rather than some other currency. But the fact that gold has gone from \$252 per ounce to over \$600 means there is concern about the future of the dollar. The higher the price for gold, the greater the concern for the dollar. The number of dollars created by the Federal Reserve, and through the fractional reserve banking system, is crucial in determining how the market assesses the relationship of the dollar and gold. The incentive for central [and commercial] bankers to create new money out of thin air is twofold. One is to practice central economic planning through the manipulation of interest rates. The second is to monetize the escalating federal debt politicians create and thrive on.

Today no one in Washington believes for a minute that runaway deficits are going to be curtailed. In March alone, the federal government created an historic \$85 billion deficit. The current supplemental bill going through Congress has grown from \$92 billion to over \$106 billion, and everyone knows it will not draw President Bush's first veto. Most knowledgeable people therefore assume that inflation of the money supply is not only going to continue, but accelerate. There's no single measurement that reveals what the Fed has done in the recent past or tells us exactly what it's about to do in the future. Forget about the lip service given to transparency by new Fed Chairman Bernanke. Not only is this administration one of the most secretive across the board in our history, the current Fed firmly supports denying the most important measurement of current monetary policy to Congress, the financial community, and the American public. Because of a lack of interest and poor understanding of monetary policy, Congress has expressed essentially no concern about the significant change in reporting statistics on the money supply. Beginning in March, though planned before Bernanke arrived at the Fed, the central bank discontinued compiling and reporting the monetary aggregate known as M3. M3 is the best description of how quickly the Fed is creating new money and credit. Common sense tells us that a government central bank creating new money out of thin air depreciates the value of each dollar in circulation. Yet this report is no longer available to us and Congress makes no demands to receive it. Though M3 is the most helpful statistic to track Fed activity, it by no means tells us everything we need to know about trends in monetary policy. Total bank credit, still available to us, gives us indirect information reflecting the Fed's inflationary policies. But ultimately the markets will figure out exactly what the Fed is up to, and then individuals, financial institutions, governments, and other central bankers will act accordingly. The fact that our money supply is rising significantly cannot be hidden from the markets.

The response in time will drive the dollar down, while driving interest rates and commodity prices up. Already we see this trend developing, which surely will accelerate in the not too distant future. Part of this reaction will be from those who seek a haven to protect their wealth-- not invest-- by treating gold and silver as universal and historic money. This means holding fewer dollars that are decreasing in value while holding gold as it increases in value. A soaring gold price is a vote of "no confidence" in the central bank and the dollar. This certainly was the case in 1979 and 1980. Today, gold prices reflect a growing restlessness with the increasing money supply, our budgetary and trade deficits, our unfunded liabilities, and the inability of Congress and the administration to reign in runaway spending. And in the meantime, other market imbalances develop. Likewise, a fiat monetary system encourages speculation and unsound borrowing. As problems develop, scapegoats are sought and frequently found in foreign nations. This prompts many to demand altering exchange rates and protectionist measures. Though everyone decries inflation, trade imbalances, economic downturns, and federal deficits, few attempt a closer study of our monetary system and how these events are interrelated. Even if it were recognized that a gold standard without monetary inflation would be advantageous, the BankLords would not allow their bribed politicians to accept it. After all, they bribed the politicians and press in 1913 to get their private Federal Reserve banking cartel legislated! The political disadvantages of living with the discipline of gold are that it serves as a check on government size and power. Special interest groups, who vigorously compete for federal dollars, want to perpetuate the system rather than admit to a dangerous addiction. Those who champion welfare for the poor, entitlements for the middle class, or war contracts for the military industrial corporations, all agree on the so-called benefits bestowed by the Fed's power to counterfeit fiat money. Bankers, who benefit from our fractional reserve system, likewise never criticize the Fed, especially since it's the lender of last resort that bails out financial institutions when crises arise. And it's true, special interests and bankers do benefit from the Fed, and may well get bailed out-- just as we saw with the Long-Term Capital Management fund crisis a few years ago. In the past, companies like Lockheed and Chrysler benefited as well.

Foreign policy plays a significant role in the economy and the value of the dollar. A foreign policy of militarism and empire building cannot be supported through direct taxation. The American people would never tolerate the taxes required to pay immediately for overseas wars, under the discipline of a gold standard. Borrowing and creating new fiat money from nothing is much more politically palatable. It hides and delays the real costs of war, and the people are lulled into complacency-- especially since the wars we fight are couched in terms of patriotism, spreading the ideas of freedom, and stamping out terrorism. Unnecessary wars and fiat currencies go hand-in-hand, while a gold standard encourages a sensible foreign policy. The cost of war is enormously detrimental; it significantly contributes to the economic instability of the nation by boosting spending, deficits, and inflation. Funds used for war are funds that could have remained in the productive economy to raise the standard of living of Americans now unemployed, underemployed, or barely living on the margin. Yet even these costs may be preferable to paying for war with huge tax increases. This is because although fiat dollars are theoretically worthless, value is imbued by the trust placed in them by the world's financial community. Subjective trust in a currency can override objective knowledge about government policies, but only for a limited time. Economic strength and military power contribute to the trust in a currency; in today's world trust in the U.S. dollar is not earned and therefore fragile. The history of the dollar, being as good as gold up until 1971 [actually 1934], is helpful in maintaining an artificially higher value for the dollar than deserved. Foreign policy contributes to the crisis when the spending to maintain our worldwide military commitments becomes prohibitive, and inflationary pressures accelerate. But the real crisis hits when the world realizes the king has no clothes, in that the dollar has no backing, and we face a military setback even greater than we already are experiencing in Iraq. Our token friends may quickly transform into vocal enemies once the attack on the dollar begins.

False trust placed in the dollar once was helpful to us, but panic and rejection of the dollar will develop into a real financial crisis. Then we will have no other option but to tighten our belts, go back to work, stop borrowing, start saving, and rebuild our industrial base, while adjusting to a lower standard of living for most Americans. Counterfeiting the nation's money is a serious offense. The founders were especially adamant about avoiding the chaos, inflation, and destruction associated with the Continental dollar. That's why the Constitution is clear that only gold and silver should be legal tender in the United States. In 1792 the Coinage Act authorized the death penalty for any private citizen who counterfeited the currency. Too bad they weren't explicit that counterfeiting by government officials is just as detrimental to the economy and the value of the dollar. In wartime, many nations actually operated counterfeiting programs to undermine our dollar, but never to a disastrous level. The enemy knew how harmful excessive creation of new money could be to the dollar and our economy. But it seems we never learned the dangers of creating new money out of thin air. We don't need an Arab nation or the Chinese to undermine our system with a counterfeiting operation. We do it ourselves, with all the disadvantages that would occur if others did it to us. Today we hear threats from some Arab, Muslim, and far Eastern countries about undermining the dollar system- not by dishonest counterfeiting, but by initiating an alternative monetary system based on gold. Wouldn't that be ironic? Such an event theoretically could do great harm to us. This day may well come, not so much as a direct political attack on the dollar system but out of necessity to restore confidence in money once again.

Historically, privately issued paper money never has lasted for long periods of time, while gold has survived thousands of years of attacks by political interests and big government. In time, the world once again will restore trust in the monetary system by making some currency as good as gold. Gold, or any acceptable market commodity money, is required to preserve liberty. Monopoly control by government [actually by the banking cartel composed of the major owners of the Fed and largest commercial banks] of a system that creates fiat money out of thin air guarantees the loss of liberty. No matter how well-intended our militarism is portrayed, or how happily the promises of wonderful programs for the poor are promoted, inflating the money supply to pay these bills makes government bigger. Empires always fail, and expenses

always exceed projections. Harmful unintended consequences are the rule, not the exception. Welfare for the poor is inefficient and wasteful. The beneficiaries are rarely the poor themselves, but instead the politicians, bureaucrats, or the wealthy. The same is true of all foreign aid-- it's nothing more than a program that steals from the poor in a rich country and gives to the rich leaders of a poor country. Whether it's war or welfare payments, it always means higher taxes, inflation, and debt. Whether it's the extraction of wealth from the productive economy, the distortion of the market by interest rate manipulation, or spending for war and welfare, it can't happen without infringing upon personal liberty. At home the war on poverty, terrorism, drugs, or foreign rulers provides an opportunity for authoritarians to rise to power, individuals who think nothing of violating the people's rights to privacy and freedom of speech. They believe their role is to protect the secrecy of government, rather than protect the privacy of citizens. Unfortunately, that is the atmosphere under which we live today, with essentially no respect for the Bill of Rights. Though great economic harm comes from a BankLords' cartel monopoly fiat monetary system, the loss of liberty associated with it is equally troubling. Just as empires are self-limiting in terms of money and manpower, so too is a monetary system based on illusion and fraud. When the end comes we will be given an opportunity to choose once again between honest money and liberty on one hand; chaos, poverty, and authoritarianism on the other.

The economic harm done by a fiat monetary system is pervasive, dangerous, and unfair. Though runaway inflation is injurious to almost everyone, it is more insidious for certain groups. Once inflation is recognized as a tax, it becomes clear the tax is regressive: penalizing the poor and middle class more than the rich and politically privileged. Price inflation, a consequence of inflating the money supply by the central bank, hits poor and marginal workers first and foremost. It especially penalizes savers, retirees, those on fixed incomes, and anyone who trusts government promises. Small businesses and individual enterprises suffer more than the financial elite, who borrow large sums before the money loses value. Those who are on the receiving end of government contracts--especially in the military industrial complex during wartime-- receive undeserved benefits. It's a mistake to blame high gasoline and oil prices on price gouging. If we impose new taxes or fix prices, while ignoring monetary inflation, corporate subsidies, and excessive regulations, shortages will result. The market is the only way to determine the best price for any commodity. The law of supply and demand cannot be repealed. The real problems arise when government planners give subsidies to energy companies and favor one form of energy over another. Energy prices are rising for many reasons: Inflation; increased demand from China and India; decreased supply resulting from our invasion of Iraq; anticipated disruption of supply as we push regime change in Iran; regulatory restrictions on gasoline production; government interference in the free market development of alternative fuels; and subsidies to big oil such as free leases and grants for research and development.

Interestingly, the cost of oil and gas is actually much higher than we pay at the retail level. Much of the DOD budget is spent protecting "our" oil supplies, and if such spending is factored in gasoline probably costs us more than \$5 a gallon. The sad irony is that this military effort to secure cheap oil supplies inevitably backfires, and actually curtails supplies and boosts prices at the pump. The waste and fraud in issuing contracts to large corporations for work in Iraq only add to price increases. When problems arise under conditions that exist today, it's a serious error to blame the little bit of the free market that still functions. Last summer the market worked efficiently after Katrina-- gas hit \$3 a gallon, but soon supplies increased, usage went down, and the price returned to \$2. In the 1980s, market forces took oil from \$40 per barrel to \$10 per barrel, and no one cried for the oil companies that went bankrupt. Today's increases are for the reasons mentioned above. It's natural for labor to seek its highest wage, and businesses to strive for the greatest profit. That's the way the market works. When the free market is allowed to work, it's the consumer who ultimately determines price and quality, with labor and business accommodating consumer choices. Once this process is distorted by government, prices rise excessively, labor costs and profits are negatively affected, and problems emerge. Instead of fixing the problem, politicians and demagogues respond by demanding windfall profits taxes and price controls, while never questioning how previous government interference caused the whole mess in the first place. Never let it be said that higher oil prices and profits cause inflation; inflation of the money supply causes higher prices! Since keeping interest rates below market levels is synonymous with new money creation by the Fed, the resulting business cycle, higher cost of living, and job losses all can be laid at the doorstep of the Fed. This burden hits the poor the most, making Fed taxation by inflation the worst of all regressive taxes. Statistics about revenues generated by the income tax are grossly misleading; in reality much harm is done by our welfare/warfare system supposedly designed to help the poor and tax the rich. Only sound money can rectify the blatant injustice of this destructive system. The Founders understood this great danger, and voted overwhelmingly to reject "emitting bills of credit," the term they used for paper or fiat money. It's too bad the knowledge and advice of our founders, and their mandate in the Constitution, are ignored today at our great peril. The current surge in gold prices-- which reflects our dollar's devaluation-- is warning us to pay closer attention to our fiscal, monetary, entitlement, and foreign policy.

If one endorses small government and maximum liberty, one must support commodity money. One of the strongest restraints against unnecessary war is a gold standard. Deficit financing by government is severely restricted by sound money. The harmful effects of the business cycle are virtually eliminated with an honest gold standard. Saving and thrift are encouraged by a gold standard; and discouraged by paper money. Price inflation, with generally rising price levels, is characteristic of paper money. Reports that the consumer price index and the producer price index are rising are distractions: the real cause of inflation is the Fed's creation of new money. Interest rate manipulation by central bank helps the rich, the banks, the government, and the politicians. Paper money permits the regressive inflation tax to be passed off on the poor and the middle class. Speculative financial bubbles are characteristic of paper money-- not gold. Paper money encourages economic and political chaos, which subsequently causes a search for scapegoats rather than blaming the central bank. Dangerous protectionist measures frequently are implemented to compensate for the dislocations caused by fiat money. Paper money, inflation, and the conditions they create contribute to the problems of

illegal immigration. The value of gold is remarkably stable. The dollar price of gold reflects dollar depreciation. Holding gold helps preserve and store wealth, but technically gold is not a true investment.

Since 2001 the dollar has been devalued by 60%. In 1934 FDR devalued the dollar by 41%. In 1971 Nixon devalued the dollar by 7.9%. In 1973 Nixon devalued the dollar by 10%. These were momentous monetary events, and every knowledgeable person worldwide paid close attention. Major changes were endured in 1979 and 1980 to save the dollar from disintegration. This involved a severe recession, interest rates over 21%, and general price inflation of 15%. Today we face a 60% devaluation and counting, yet no one seems to care. It's of greater significance than the three events mentioned above. And yet the one measurement that best reflects the degree of inflation, the Fed and our government deny us. Since March, M3 reporting has been discontinued. For starters, I'd like to see Congress demand that this report be resumed. I fully believe the American people and Congress are entitled to this information. Will we one day complain about false intelligence, as we have with the Iraq war? Will we complain about not having enough information to address monetary policy after it's too late? If ever there was a time to get a handle on what sound money is and what it means, that time is today. **Inflation, as exposed by high gold prices, transfers wealth from the middle class to the rich, as real wages decline while the salaries of CEOs, movie stars, and athletes skyrocket-- along with the profits of the military industrial complex, the oil industry, and other special interests keep going up.** A sharply rising gold price is a vote of "no confidence" in Congress' ability to control the budget, the Fed's ability to control the money supply, and the administration's ability to bring stability to the Middle East. Ultimately, the gold price is a measurement of trust in the currency and the politicians who run the country. It's been that way for a long time, and is not about to change. If we care about the financial system, the tax system, and the monumental debt we're accumulating, we must start talking about the benefits and discipline that come only with a commodity standard of money-- money the BankLords absolutely cannot create out of thin air. Economic law dictates reform at some point. But should we wait until the dollar is 1/1,000 of an ounce of gold or 1/2,000 of an ounce of gold? The longer we wait, the more people suffer and the more difficult reforms become. Runaway inflation inevitably leads to political chaos, something numerous countries have suffered throughout the 20th century. The worst example of course was the German inflation of the 1920s that led to the rise of Hitler. Even the communist takeover of China was associated with runaway inflation brought on by Chinese Nationalists. The time for action is now, and it is up to the American people and the U.S. Congress to demand it. When the hyperinflationary process ends we will enter a new economic and financial dark age that could last for many years, not unlike the collapse of the Roman Empire, the collapse of the Venetian banking system in 1348, the collapse of the Lombard League in the 1500s and that of the Hanseatic League of the 1600s and Weimer Germany 1923. As you can see this is nothing new. All you have to do is read history.

Let's talk briefly about what the objectives of the Federal Reserve System are. We've been told over and over again that the purpose of the Fed is to stabilize the economy. Right now with the interest rates going up, up, up what are we told? why are they doing that? Well, that's to stabilize the economy so we won't have massive inflation right? It's being done for us folks! Don't you feel just warm all over knowing that they're looking out for you? That's always the answer; the purpose of the Fed is to look out for us and stabilize the economy, put an end to banking anarchy and all that sort of thing. Right now the textbook that is most commonly used in our school systems in economics is a book written by Paul Samuelson and in that book here's what he says regarding the purpose of the Fed: "The Federal Reserve sprang from the panic of 1907 with its alarming epidemic of bank failures. The country was fed-up once and for all with the anarchy of unstable private banking." That's what the students are learning. Let's let that go for the moment and say ok if that is the purpose of the Fed, let's give it a report card and see how well it has done in stabilizing the economy. Since it was created in 1913 the Federal Reserve System has presided over the crashes of 1921 and 1929, the Great Depression of 1929-1939, recessions in the years 1953, 1957, 1969, 1975 and 1981, and a stock market Black Monday in 1987. We all know that corporate debt is soaring, personal debt is greater than ever before, both business and personal bankruptcies are at an all-time high, banks and savings and loan associations have failed in greater numbers than ever before in our history, interest on the national debt now consumes half of all of our tax dollars, heavy industry has all but been replaced by overseas competition, we're facing an international trade deficit for the first time in our history, 75% of downtown Los Angeles and other metropolitan areas are now owned by foreigners and over half of the nation now officially is in a state of recession.

Agricultural Panic of 1921: So successful was this deliberately induced panic by the Federal Reserve Board that commodity prices fell 50% and industrial production 32%. Thousands of farms were foreclosed in 1920-1921. This contrived policy of the Fed broke over 5400 banks. The giant banks picked up the assets of the broken ones, in many cases at 5 to 7 cents on the dollar, and many of the larger bankrupt businesses were acquired by corporations owned or controlled by the same "Insiders"

That is the report card for the Federal Reserve System after 80 years of stabilizing our economy. I don't even think it's controversial to say that it has failed to meet its stated objectives. The only controversial part is why has it failed? My answer is because those have never been its real objectives at all. What are its objectives? What are the objectives of any cartel? To make money for the members of the cartel, to improve the profit margins of the members of the cartel and to stabilize themselves in the marketplace. That is the true objective of the Federal Reserve System. Now if we hold that up as our guiding principle and give the Federal Reserve a report card it gets a different grade. In particular I'd like to have you look with me at three particular objectives which were very well discussed in that period in which the Federal Reserve System was created. We always have to go back to that because we can learn so much from that period of history. There were three things that the Bankers, particularly the ones on Jekyll Island, wanted the Federal Reserve Act to accomplish. What are they? The first one was to stop the erosion of their power away from New York. Just the opposite of what the Federal Reserve Act was sold to us as to accomplish, to keep the power of New York. They were concerned that as the

nation was expanding westward and southward new banks were springing up all along the frontier and every year a little bit more of the nation's capital would drift away from New York. They still had the lion's share, of course, but they could see the chart and they knew that they had to put a stop to that now while they still had the power to do so. Competition is a sin said John D. Rockefeller I and that includes competition from these upstart banks.

It's a good point to mention that when I'm talking about the banking cartel I'm talking primarily about the big New York banks and not the local bank down the street that's struggling under the system. One of the purposes of the Federal Reserve System was to keep the lid on those new competitive banks so they could never grow and become large like the ones on Wall Street. The small banks have always been the target in this system and needed to be kept in line, to be regulated out of existence, a process which you've noticed has been going on for many, many years. There is objective number one, to keep control over the money markets in New York. Objective number two was to reverse the trend of what is called private capital formation. That's banker language for a process in which an individual or a corporation uses their own savings to pay for something instead of going to the bank and borrowing it, if you can imagine that happening. It was happening at the turn of the century. The trend was that businesses in particular were withholding some of their dividends each quarter and putting that money into a sinking fund and then as the money accumulated or as the capital formed, then they finally had enough that they could use their own money to build that new factory or to launch a research & development project or whatever instead of going to the banks and borrowing for it. The banks were very concerned over this trend because this is their life-blood. Loaning money is what they do so how do you loan money when people don't want to borrow it? The answer they knew, and they talked a lot about this, was to lower interest rates, get those rates down so that they were so attractive that people would be crazy not to come to the banks and borrow money at those good interest rates.

How do you lower interest rates? Today it's easy when you've got the lever at the Federal Reserve you just throw it up or down and interest rates go up or down; you have total control over it. In 1913 there was no lever. The money in those days was backed by gold and silver and they couldn't control it. They hated that. These guys hate gold and silver behind money because under those conditions interest rates are the result of the natural forces of supply and demand; they couldn't just create money out of nothing. It was the result of the interaction of millions of people bidding for products and services and digging money out of the ground, literally gold and silver and converting into money. They were looking for a way to artificially push the interest rates down. How do you do that? They said the only way you can do that is with a flexible currency. That was the cry that they put up in those days. What the nation needs, they said, is a flexible currency to meet the demands of industry and agriculture. You still hear that phrase today--"flexible currency." What does that mean? You need a dictionary sometimes to look these phrases up. Flexible currency does not mean the paper stuff in our pockets that bends, it means money created out of nothing. The trick here is not hard to figure out. If you can create money out of nothing, you don't have to charge an awful lot of interest on it to show a profit. It's that simple. If you have a flexible currency you can in fact lower interest rates and still do pretty well, can't you? They wanted a flexible currency so they could lower interest rates and entice people back into the banks to borrow money and to reverse the trend toward private capital formation. Objective number two.

The third objective was to pass on the inevitable losses within the banking system on to the taxpayer in the name of protecting the people. Those were three of the major objectives at the time the Federal Reserve System was created. I say those are the true objectives of the Fed. On that basis, let's give it a report card. Did it keep control in New York in the hands of the larger banks? The answer is a resounding yes. Anyone who knows about the financial markets knows that this is definitely what's happened. Yes we have big banks in the west and in the south but they're nothing compared to those banks in New York which are astride the World with offices in Peking and Moscow and Africa and everywhere; these are the giants and they have remained that way from the very beginning because of the Federal Reserve System. A few years ago there was a book that was published by Simon & Schuster and it was called "Secrets of the Temple" written by William Grider(?). It was a best-seller and it was advertised as a scathing attack against the Federal Reserve System. When I heard that I couldn't believe my ears. A scathing attack against the Federal Reserve System published by Simon & Schuster? one of the big publishing houses? I thought, I don't have to finish my own book, they've done it. So I ran down and got a copy of the book and devoured it and read it in one day and I was totally amazed on two points. First of all, much to my surprise, I did not expect this, Grider's history was, I thought, excellent. I thought it would be a whitewash but his history was right-on. He had all the gory details and I couldn't believe it but I knew these things were true because I was right then in the middle of researching them. On the subject of the concentration of power in New York, I'd like to read to you an excerpt from Grider's book. He said: "At the time [he's talking about 1913] the conventional wisdom in Congress was that the government institution would finally harness the money trust, disarm its powers and establish broad democratic control over money and credit. The results were nearly the opposite. The money reforms enacted in 1913 in fact helped to preserve the status quo, to stabilize the old order. Money center Bankers would not only gain dominance over the new central bank but would also enjoy new insulation against instability and their own decline. Once the Fed was in operation the steady diffusion of financial power halted. Wall Street maintained its dominant position and even enhanced it."

The other thing that amazed me was Grider's conclusion. He proved that the Federal Reserve had always acted against the public interest. He proved that it was designed to do that from the very beginning so what do you suppose his conclusion was regarding a solution? that we abolish the Fed? No, nothing that extreme. How about a major overhaul? No, not necessary. What then? Grider said, you see it's all so complicated, we're learning as we go, we've made a lot of mistakes but don't worry folks we're on it now, relax, it's under control, all we need now is wiser men. That is the kind of powder-puff criticism it takes to be published by Simon & Schuster or any of the other major publishing houses which are firmly interlocked in the investment web on Wall Street. It doesn't make any difference how accurate your history is; it

doesn't make any difference how much you point with alarm or how righteous you may sound if you have no realistic solution to the problem then who cares? They like that because it gives the people the impression that something's being done, somebody is really calling attention to the problem. But they have no solution or they're carefully selected so that the ones with the real solutions do not get the media, do not get the major publishing houses. This is a tactic which we have to better understand especially in these critical days ahead. A tactic of controlled opposition. It makes no difference how accurate you are when you're pointing to the problems in America. If you don't have a solution what difference does it make? If your solution is put wiser men in there or if your solution is vote Republican and don't ask questions about what kind of Republican then you are controlled opposition and this is something we have to be very, very alert to in these critical days ahead.

Back to the topic. The Federal Reserve System gets an A on its report card for maintaining control over the financial markets in New York. What about reversing the trend toward private capital formation. Boy, did they ever. Periodically they get those interest rates down so low and everybody is lured into the banks. Borrow like crazy and then the economy crunches down and they're all stuck with this overhead and they can't make their interest payments. We've seen businesses go out of existence because they cannot service their debt. You've seen people lose their homes and their cars because they cannot service their debt. There are many giant corporations today that are just hanging in there by the skin of their teeth because of their debt overhead. The fact is that many of these companies now send more money to the banks every quarter in the form of interest payments on their loans than they send to their stockholders as dividends on their stock. Think about that for a minute. The banks which had no part in the operation of the company whatsoever, the banks which made this money out of nothing are making more money from these industries than the people who work for the money, save the money, invested the money and risked the money to own those corporations. This is because they quite successfully reversed the trend toward private capital formation and they did it with a flexible currency. The Federal Reserve System gets an A+ on its report card for objective number two.

Finally, did they pass along their inevitable losses to the taxpayer in the name of protecting the people? This is what I call "Operation Bail-Out." Every time one of the big banks gets into trouble, not the small banks remember, they're the competition, the big banks get into trouble and they are bailed out at taxpayers' expense. Always in the name of protecting the people. If a large corporation is in trouble because it can't make its interest payments to the bank anymore, they go to Congress and say "we can't let this corporation fold; look at the thousands of jobs that would be lost; look how the people would suffer." When a third World country can no longer make its interest payments to a large bank in New York, what happens? The bank goes to Congress and says "you know, you'd better do something about this because if we have to write that loan off of our books we may be bankrupt, we could fold. And look at all of the depositors, good Americans, who have their accounts with us who would lose their deposit. Maybe the FDIC won't be able to cover; we could have a crisis on our hands. If our bank falls maybe the other banks will fall too and we'll have a national recession. Look how the people will suffer." So Congress dutifully steps forward, remember it's a partner in this, and votes the funds to guarantee the loans or in some way to pass the payments on directly or indirectly in some very ingenious methods to the taxpayer. That money is raised primarily through the Federal Reserve System and we pay it through the Mandrake Mechanism. So the Federal Reserve System has done pretty well on that. In case you have missed a few of the more memorable games, I'd like to review them for you. Penn Central Railroad was bailed out in 1970. That was a good year because Lockheed Corporation was bailed out the same year. Commonwealth Bank of Detroit was bailed in 1972; New York City in 1975; Chrysler in 1978; First Pennsylvania Bank in 1980; Continental Illinois, the largest of the banks so far, in 1982. And look at all of these third World countries which cannot pay their interest payments. They are paying their interest payments and you're doing it for them because the Federal Reserve System creates the money that we send to the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank and then they give it to those countries so that they can pay the interest to the banks. Maybe you've missed that little trail but that's how it works. The Federal Reserve System gets an A+++ on all of these points and it has surely been a huge success in terms of the people who created it.

Actions have consequences and one of the consequences of this scam is what we call a "national debt." It's rapidly approaching 5 trillion dollars that we know about, it's much higher than that if you include the unfunded debt and all of the things that are off-budget and all of the funny stuff that they do with the accounting in Washington. With all honest accounting you'd find it was much, much higher than that. But even at 5 trillion dollars it's a staggering figure. I'm told if we had a stack of \$100 bills about 40 inches high we'd be a millionaire. A stack of \$100 bills equaling 5 trillion dollars would rise into space 3,350 miles. That's a lot of money and it all came from us and it's earning perpetual interest. Another way of measuring that is that we've had a known inflation of 1,000% since the Federal Reserve System was created. Another way of phrasing that is that a dollar in 1913 today buys about nine cents worth of goods. That's how much money has been taken from us, taxed from us, through this hidden process.

I say 1,000% inflation that is known because it's much more than that. Have you ever wondered, as I used to, why don't we have more inflation than we have had? I knew they were creating this money like crazy, why only this inflation? And then I found out. Have you ever heard the expression that we're "exporting our inflation." Every once in a while you find that phrase in the financial section of the newspaper. It used to drive me crazy--how can you export inflation? It's one of those phrases that people use and I'm not sure most of the people who use the phrases know what they mean. Like the other day I read that the Federal Reserve System bought dollars today to bolster up the dollar. How can you buy dollars? What do you buy it with? They buy it with other currencies; the Federal Reserve holds a lot of different currencies, yens and Deutch marks and that kind of thing so they just swap currencies around. This expression of exporting inflation--what does that mean? It means 70% of the American currency that has been created by our Federal Reserve System is no longer in America, it's overseas. Other nations use American dollars as their unofficial money supply. Especially those countries which have no realistic money of their own. These countries that undergo inflation rates of 5,000 and 10,000%

a year, you can't work with money like that. Women have to take wheelbarrows full of paper money to the grocery store to buy a bottle of milk. You can't carry on any serious economic transaction with money like that and they don't, they use American dollars. All the banks in those systems have dual types of money. American dollars are the mainstay of economic transactions in most of those countries. That's where a lot of our money went. We have been spared the inflationary impact of all that money because had it stayed here, it would've bid against the existing money here and would have diluted our pot even more and we would've known what the inflation should've been. What happens when the day comes when for whatever reason these countries can no longer, or no longer wish to, use American dollars? What are they going to do with those dollars? They'll send them back. They'll buy something with them while they can. It'll be a big rush. It'll be our refrigerators, our automobiles, our real estate, our high-rise buildings, our corporate stock, our politicians, whatever's for sale. All of this money will come in and then we'll find out in a very short period of time what the true inflation rate really should have been all of these years.

Incidentally, if you've followed in the newspapers the talk about the new money that they're going to release, they're talking about two-tiered money, one for overseas and one for here. It will probably be a different color. Frankly I think they're recognizing this fact that the money would return and they're going to make it illegal for all of this overseas money to come back by making it a different color so that they won't be able to bring it here or if you do bring it here you won't be able to spend it here, it won't be legal here. Those are some of the consequences of the actions of the Federal Reserve Scam. I have one last topic that I want to talk to you about and then I'll get to the conclusion. This is an extremely important topic and it has to do with usury. In ancient times usury was defined as interest on a loan, any interest on any loan. In modern times that has been redefined to mean excessive interest on a loan. Moderate interest seems logical to us in recognition of the fact that if we work hard for our money, we save it and surrender its use for a period of time being a sacrifice on our part and then loan it to somebody else for their venture, we're entitled to a reasonable return on that sacrifice. A reasonable interest rate is a concept that very few people have problems with, it seems logical and fair.

But what is this thing called excessive interest? Thomas Edison said, "People who will not turn a shovel-full of dirt on the project nor contribute a pound of materials will collect more money than will the people who will supply all the materials and do all the work." I wondered when I read that if Tom was exaggerating so I got my calculator out. I assumed that there was going to be a \$100,000 house built. I assumed that \$30,000 would have to go for land, architect's fees and permits and that kind of thing. \$70,000 would go for the actual construction of the house, building materials and labor. I assumed that the buyer would go to the bank and put 20% down and then borrow the balance at 10% over 30 years. I punched in the numbers and discovered that the borrower will pay to the bank in interest \$172,741 compared to \$70,000 paid for the construction of the house. In other words, about 2.5 times as much money will be paid to the bank in interest than will be paid to those who provide all the labor and all the materials. And you may say to yourself, yes but that's fair, after all a 30 year loan is a long loan and people work for their money and sacrifice its use and loan it and so forth and deserve to be compensated. No. Not this money. Nobody worked for this money, nobody saved this money. There was no sacrifice of any kind for this money. This money was created out of nothing and I suggest that \$172,741 interest on nothing is excessive!

I think it's time for a new definition of usury as follows: any interest on any loan of fiat money (meaning money made out of nothing). This example of a \$100,000 home, as shocking as it is, producing \$172,741 unearned interest, this is just a grain of sand in the Sahara. You have to multiply that by all the homes in America, by all of these hotels in America, all the high-rise buildings, all the factories, all the airplanes, automobiles, farm equipment, schools, everything, all the physical assets of America. You apply this same ratio and can you see it in your mind? We're talking about a river of unearned wealth that is so wide you can't even think of crossing it, flowing perpetually into the banking cartel. A dead short across the productive element of society. Money being taken from people who are working hard providing the material and the labor. They don't even know that this is being taken from them and it's in this huge river of wealth flowing into the banking cartel. It's a staggering thought. You are led to the question of where is this river flowing? Where's it going? Get a picture of this that it's all going into a lake somewhere and maybe there's a dam and the wealth is building up and somewhere they're getting it all. Getting it no, they're spending it. They're not accumulating it at all. What are they spending it for? The answer may surprise you. They're not buying more yachts and mansions with this money, they've already got all of those they possibly want. In fact they got rid of the mansions on Jekyll Island a long time ago because they were bored with that. That's not it. When a person has all the wealth that you could possibly want for the material pleasures of life, what is left? Power. They are using this river of wealth to acquire power over you and me and our children.

They are spending it to acquire control over the power centers of society. The power centers are those groups and institutions through which individuals live and act and rely on for their information. They are literally buying up the World but not the real estate and the hardware, they're buying control over the organizations, the groups and institutions that control people. In other words, to be specific, they are buying control over politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it. Make your own list of organizations and you will find that this is where those people have been for many decades spending this river of wealth to acquire operational control particularly over those institutions and individuals, those organizations that represent opposition to themselves. That's a critical area for expenditure on their part. This process has gone on not only to a marked degree in America and in the other industrialized nations of the World, but it has gone on in the so-called third World or underdeveloped nations to such a degree that I would say the process is now complete. They own these countries already. Have you ever wondered what's going on there at the

International Monetary Fund and the World Bank? Kind of an obscure operation isn't it? you don't read much about it except once in a while on the back page of the newspaper you find out that Congress at the insistence of the President authorized another \$100 billion for the International Monetary Fund. And then the article tells you that this money will be used to make loans to underdeveloped nations or grants to them to raise their standard of living. Do you believe that? That's one of those appearances of the fourth kind if you ever saw one. If the money is to be used to raise the standard of living of these countries they're not doing a very good job of it because after all of these decades, after all of these hundreds of billions of dollars, you cannot point to one country that has had its standard of living raised one iota by that. In fact in most cases it's the other way around and that's not an accident because the money has not been used to raise the standard of living. The money does not go to the people in those countries. It goes to the politicians of those countries, to their governments and the money is designed and spent to strengthen their power structures, their ability to control their populations. They usually start off as inefficient dictatorships but by the time they get all this money from the IMF, they are now efficient dictatorships. They have a well-equipped army, a better bureaucracy, for total control of their subjects. That's where the money's being spent.

These countries have been purchased because the politicians in those countries are now totally addicted to this money. We talk about welfare families in America that are third and fourth generation welfare, they're on the dole forever, they cannot dream of anything else. The politicians in these countries are the same way and it's now second, third and in some cases fourth generation international welfare from the United Nations funding. They have no ideology--communism, socialism, capitalism, fascism, what difference does it make? where's the money? As long as they live well, they have their mansions, their yachts, their limousines, they go to New York to the UN and have their suites at the Waldorf-Asoria and that's all they care about. These countries have been purchased through this means and are now owned by this group at the UN and they're firmly in place in the new World order where they're just waiting for you and me to show up. That's the other side of this coin. Not only does this transfer of wealth from America to these countries not raise their standard of living but it does lower ours. That too, believe it or not, is part of the plan. Just waste, get rid of money, get rid of productive power to reduce our standard of living. A strong nation is not a candidate to surrender its sovereignty but a weak nation is. If America can be brought to her knees where she is struggling for survival, if people are hungry, if we have riots in our streets, then Americans could possibly be grateful for any assistance we could get from the UN. Those wonderful blue-helmeted peace-keeping forces could bring order back to our streets or international money, a new World money with purchasing power again might be welcomed by the unthinking, unknowing American public. That is what we're dealing with. What I'm trying to say is that the name of the game out there is not wealth, it is power. --- end of speech.



John D. Rockefeller: "Control everything, own



nothing."

Structure and Characteristics of The Families Owning the Major Banks


Illuminati (Globalists & Zionists Lords): "The Illuminati is a group that practices a form of faith known as "enlightenment". It is Luciferian (Satanic Idolatry or the Synagogue of Satan as referred to in the Bible), and teaches followers that their roots go back to the ancient mystery religions of Babylon, Egypt, and Celtic druidism. They have taken what they consider the "best" of each, the foundational practices, and joined them together into a strongly Occult discipline. Many groups at the local level worship a trinity of ancient deities such as "El", "Baal", and "Ashtarte", as well as "Isis and Osiris" and "Set".... "I do know that these people teach and practice evil." In the "The Dying God, The Hidden History of Western Civilization", Montreal historian David Livingstone shows that modern secular culture is really the product of an Occult tradition that can be traced back to ancient Babylon through Masons, Rosicrucians, Templars, Plato and the Cabalists". The Prophets of God invite us to be freed from this slavery of the Pharisees. Note that the ancient elites created and perpetrated the Occult and Idolatry traditions to



keep the masses occupied while they reap their wealth. The elites are always busy refining the techniques of mass control.

Background sign in picture: "Israeli government: stop oppressing religious Jewry in the State of Israel." **Jews Against Zionism** protesting in New York Feb 12, 2002.

Power, Money, Idolatry, Luciferian Satanism & The Kabbala Relationship: The Pilgrims, City of London 1902, New York 1903, Fabianism, Marxism, Monopoly Capitalism, Communism, Fascism, Nazism



The Vatican, the Zionist MOSSAD, Rothschild dynasty's original mafia, Pilgrims and the Jesuits should be added above. The CIA is the Rockefeller's original mafia for external affairs while the FBI is for internal affairs (remember Cointelpro). The CIA and Masons also utilize charities and the Rotary Club as infiltrated fronts.

Please see "The Four Major NWO Interlocked Mafias (New World Order/Disorder)" later in this book.

"Zionism is but an incident of a far reaching plan," said leading American Zionist Louis Marshall, counsel for bankers Kuhn Loeb in 1917. "It is merely a convenient peg on which to hang a powerful weapon." The far-reaching plan is Illuminati world dictatorship. The Illuminati is the highest echelon of Freemasonry, an occult secret society dedicated to Lucifer. The world's central bankers have intermarried with the richest dynastic families of Europe and America to bring about world hegemony. They instigated wars and depressions to degrade and destroy humanity. The American people have been financing their wars since 1914.

Pharisee: "One of the members of a school or party among the ancient Jews noted for the strict formal observance of the rites and validity of traditions of the elders. Pharisee interpretation provided the standard of observation and belief for the great majority of the Jews from the 1st century A.C. Pharisaid, hypocritical, self righteous and censorious of others" -- Webster's New International Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd edition, 1950. **PHARISEES ARE A SECRET ORDER WHO PRETEND TO BE ISRAELITES JUDEANS! Yeshua-Joshua-Isa referred to the Pharisees as 'hypocrites,' a 'den of vipers,' and 'children of an adulterous generation.'** You get the picture. Portrait of The Neo-Pharisees Rothschild Dynasty's big 5 brothers on the right.

Cabal. Cabbala, CABALA, as small group of persons joined in secret, often political intrigue, to join in a cabal; plot —Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition.

Cabal: (1) Powerful group of Ministers in the court of Charles II of England, known by their acronym (Clifford, Ashley, Buckingham, Arlington, Lauderdale), who were corrupt and endlessly plotting; (2) by analogy, any

political intrigue group. This latter term was also influenced by the word "Cabala" with its implications of secrecy and magic. —Ultimate Science Fiction Web Guide.

Cabalism. [Satanic] occult doctrine based on the Cabala; any occult doctrine —Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition.

Cabala. Cabbala, [Babylonian] kabala, received lore, tradition; an occult philosophy of certain [apostate Pharisee] "Jewish" rabbis [developed in Babylon], & further in the Middle Ages, based on a mystical interpretation of the Scriptures; any esoteric or secret doctrine.

Yeshua-Joshua-Issa-Iyesus-Iesous-Joshua-Jesu-YeZeus-JaZeus had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews in Matthew 23. Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men. Looking at "God - the Ultimate Paradox", David Ash tells us (p.69:) that in ancient times, Jews "had a governing body ... known as the 'Council of Elders" dominated by the Pharisees. In the 19th century this council "described itself as the learned Elders of Zion". We learn that there is a group within the Elders which infiltrates and creates secret societies - such as the Masons - known as 'The Brotherhood of the Snake ... In modern times this brotherhood has adopted the title of Illuminati" (p.74) and created Zionism. A lot more info on this is available in other sections of this book.



What did Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, (The Synagogue of Satan or Lucifer or Holder of The Light hence Satanic Luciferian ILLUMINATI) mean 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

David Spangler, Director of Planetary Initiative, United Nations: "No one will enter the New World Order unless he or she will make a pledge to worship Lucifer. No one will enter the New Age unless he will take a LUCIFERIAN Initiation."

http://www.antichrisconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold_Wallace_Rosenthal_Interview_1976.htm. **1976 Harold Wallace Rosenthal**, a Zionist administrative assistant to Senator Jacob K. Javits of New York, who like most Zionists and Illuminatis, pretends to be Jewish, when asked "Do you have knowledge of WHEN and WHY the story began about the Jews being God's chosen people?" said in part: "Most [Zionist Ashkenazi/Khazar European and not Middle-Eastern] Jews do not like to admit it, but our god is Lucifer -- so I wasn't lying -- and we are his chosen people. Lucifer is very much alive."

Thomas Jefferson Notes on the State of Virginia: "Our rulers can have authority over such natural rights only as we have submitted to them. The rights of conscious we never submitted, we could never submit. We are answerable for them to our God. The legitimate powers of government extend to such acts only as are injurious to others. But it does me no injury for my neighbor to say there are twenty gods or no god. It neither picks my pocket nor breaks my leg." **Well, these Illuminati Banking Dynasties are both picking our pockets and creating wars that are breaking many peoples' legs!**

Dr. R.E. Search, "Lincoln Money Martyred", Omni Publications Hawthorne, California. 1935 (Reprinted 1977): Consider this: "One penny loaned January 1st, AC 1, drawing interest at the rate of 6% compounded annually, on January 1st, 1895, would amount to: £8,498,840,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000.00. To pay this in gold at the rate of 1.5 grams of gold to the pound sterling, using it in spheres of pure gold the size of the planet earth, would take: 610,070,000,000,000,000 such spheres to pay the debt.

The Illuminati Banking Dynasties create the money for the loan out of nothing because they were granted this power by fraudulent legislation for which they bribed and maneuvered Kings and politicians into passing.

Major L.L.B. Angas: "The modern Banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banks can in fact inflate, mint, and un-mint the modern ledger-empty currency."

They don't create the money to pay the interest though. When the banks put your interest payments back into circulation by acquiring goods and services, then debtors are able to repay the interest, i.e. we have to work for the bank to repay the interest! The only way to keep this system going is to have governments and people borrow more and more to create the money to pay the interest. A great way to stop this pyramid scheme from collapsing temporarily is to have wars which

cause all sides in the conflict to borrow. The 1913 Federal Reserve Act stipulated that the interest on the debt was to be paid in gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal Reserve Act for ever paying the principle.

In 1910, US government debt stood at about \$1 billion or about \$12 per citizen. Now, its about \$15 trillion (all levels of government) or about \$50,000 per citizen owed to the private owners of the Federal Reserve Bank. Government and corporate debt is inherited. **Therefore, whenever we pay taxes or buy corporate products, we pay homage to the Illuminati owners of the private central banks because part of our money goes to pay interest to them on money which they created from nothing, through the power that was given to them by fraudulent/dishonest legislation.**

"Like it or not, you are a slave. You admit you are a slave every April 15th! That's when you sign forms that "voluntarily" lay bare to the government the most private details of your life! And few people realize the income tax is a slave tax. It can never be compatible with the life of a free people". ALAN KEYES - Republican Nominee for President, unfortunately, the only Republican willing to speak out along with Ron Paul.

Why did Haig say: "Let them march all they want, as long as they continue to pay their taxes." — General Alexander Haig former Secretary of State June 12, 1982? Because he was supporting his masters, the Illuminati New World Order, who collect at least half of our taxes as the interest "owed" to the owners of the Federal Reserve System on money which they created from nothing!

The total amount owed – by consumers, businesses, governments and financial institutions – totaled \$34.4 trillion at the end of 2003, according to the Federal Reserve. The economy produced \$11.3 trillion of output. That makes the nation's debt triple its gross domestic product. In 1933, debt was about 2 1/2 times GDP, according to a study by the Gabelli Mathers mutual fund. In contrast, the estimated wealth of the top Illuminati dynasties is \$300 Trillion according to Russian researcher Dr. Tatyana Koryagina who like Alex Jones predicted the 9-11 attacks back in July 2001. In 1694, the English government's debt to the private owned Bank of England was £1.25 million. In 1993, (11 years ago) the British taxpayers paid £24.5 billion alone in interest, more than twice the education budget! And who knows what the real figure is, since many things are kept secret. **When this pyramid collapses,** we would suddenly be in a very different World. In 1929, the stock market crashed, but the gold standard held. The monetary system held. Now, we are dealing with something that's more fundamental. The only known precedent is the Roman Empire's collapse, which ended Roman currency. That was, of course, at a time when it took about a century and a half for the breakdown to spread through the empire; now it would take a few hours.

Richard Maybury: "Washington...has become an alien city-state that rules America, and much of the rest of the World, in the way that Rome ruled the Roman Empire." There are many similarities between what happened in the Roman Empire including the erosion of rights like we have today with the Patriot Act I & II (and soon to come III).

Jewish author David Ash in "God - the Ultimate Paradox" Dec. 1997, describes the two basic competing banking systems (page III):

- **"The first system involved the bank investing money ... as a joint, profit-sharing enterprise or on the basis of charging fees for services rendered For example, ... Bank of Amsterdam ..."**
- **"The second banking system involved the bank lending money at interest and holding the property of the borrower as collateral. This system is called usury."**
- **"The first system brought prosperity...The second... ruin."**

Retired US Army Colonel De Grandpre: "The trigger for the 9-11 activity was the imminent and unstoppable Worldwide financial collapse which can only be prevented temporarily by a major war, perhaps to become known as World War III. To bring it off one more time, martial law will probably be imposed in the United States." (see transcript of his interview on the Feb 2004 Alex Jones Show Austin TX in the section about Fake Terror & 9-11)

Most people don't realize that now-a-days the **issuing of money is essentially a private business,** and that the privilege of issuing money has been a major bone of contention throughout history over which **major wars** have been and are being fought, over which **major crimes** have been and are being committed, and over which many nations were destroyed, Kings, Queens, Monarchs, Emperors (including Julius Caesar), Tsars and families like some members of the Kennedys and the Gandhis (in addition to not allowing foreign BankLords, **Mahatma Gandhi said Nov. 26, 1938,** "Let the Jews, who claim to be the chosen race, prove their title by choosing the way of non-violence for vindicating their position on earth" and "Oh, I don't reject your Christ. I love your Christ. It's just that so many of you Christians are so unlike your Christ." <http://jmm.aaa.net.au/articles/552.htm>), Popes, judges, lawyers, politicians and at least **six US Presidents were assassinated** (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; **7 if you also count FDR as suspected of being poisoned!**) In this book, we will learn that the Illuminati Dynasties practice Orwellian speech (**Native Americans used to say "...man speaks with forked tongue"**), division of the masses, demonization (propaganda) against the ones to be sacrificed, and that the end game is totalitarian tyranny.

Senator George W. Malone (to Congress in 1962): "I believe that if the people of this nation fully understood what Congress has done to them over the past 49 years, they would move on Washington, they would not wait for an election ... It adds up to a preconceived plan to destroy the economic and social independence of the United States."

Congressman Wright Patman (Chairman, House Banking & Currency Committee): "In the United States we have, in effect, two governments ... We have the duly Constitutional government ... then we have an independent, uncontrolled and uncoordinated government in the Federal Reserve System operating the money powers which are reserved to Congress by the Constitution."

Congressman William E. Simon (in a speech to the House of Representatives on the problems of the Federal Reserve System, April 30, 1976): "I hear no one addressing this danger. Congress does not discuss it. The press does not discuss it. Look around us – the press isn't even here! The people do not discuss it – they are unaware of it. No counter-force in America is being mobilized to fight this danger. The battle is being lost, and not a shot is being fired."

Richard Walbaun wrote in 1992's "The Poverty Trap": "Our monetary system guarantees that debt must increase regardless of what people, business or government do or do not do, whether or not they balance their budgets."

Peter Kershaw tells us: "What we operate on today is not the 'intellect' of confidence based upon tangible wealth and substance, but the 'religion' of 'conviction' based upon blind faith. Without religious conviction, the system collapses! And what is that religion? – a pagan occultic money system." This system has been around since the ancient times of Nimrod (son of Cush, initiator of Masonry) and Rome and Jerusalem.

Larry Burkett, author of "The Coming Economic Earthquake" takes it one step further by saying: "The actual deficits are almost twice as large as those admitted by the government. So why hasn't our economy collapsed? Because the American people still have confidence in the System."

Eustace Mullins: "...the increase in the assets of the Federal Reserve banks from 143 million dollars in 1913 to 45 BILLION dollars in 1949 went directly to the private stockholders of the [federal reserve] banks."

This book is revealing only one of the tips of the giant icebergs of deception; only a few examples out of the multitudes of revealing events are mentioned in this book. You will learn that there is an ocean of Illuminati corruption that goes on to build their **monopoly-capitalism institutions**. To the Illuminatis "competition is a sin"; that's why they like large centralized private banks and businesses, in other words, the Illuminatis while pretending to promote freedom like a communist centrally controlled social environment in which they are the monopoly owners and controllers! And this is their **New World Order (NWO)**. This ambition and hatred of the Global Elite was summarized by **John D. Rockefeller (1839-1937)** patriarch of the Rockefeller clan, when he said: "The combination is here to stay ... Individualism has gone, never to return ... I want to own nothing and control everything.... Competition is a sin." This intention of the immensely wealthy is to destroy capitalism, the very thing that made them so uniquely moneyed appears strange to most people. The "almost hidden" conspirators in politics, religion, education, entertainment, and the news media are working for the Illuminati Bankers or Banksters owned United States, in a banker-owned World under a banker-owned World Dictatorship Government! This is what all the talk of a New World Order promoted by Presidents Bush and Clinton is all about. Well, many events on Earth don't make sense without understanding the role of the "Illuminati". We cannot see beyond the ORGANIZER, however, we will be able to see beyond the "Illuminati" from the knowledge described and depicted in this book.

You must have heard about the scientific theory on "dark matter" called "the missing mass of the universe". Well, this book fills the void about the **"missing history"** which we were never taught, the history of the "dark clan" or "black nobility". This book is not a theory; it's about a real conspiratorial or secretive plan that is being executed and unfolding behind a huge propaganda curtain. This book is not a tale of fiction like the "Lord of the Rings" or "The Matrix". However, from the movie "The Matrix" we are reminded that our consciousness interprets reality from the various types of electro-chemical signals induced by the "external World" on our receptors which then reach certain areas of our brain, i.e. we are what we read in newspapers or see and hear on TV news. Unfortunately, the mainstream media is at the service of the Illuminati controllers. Fortunately though, this book is the **axis of logic** which exposes **the real axis of evil and the coalition of the willingly evil!!!** So that no one suspects them, Bush, Sharon & company keep pointing fingers at others or declaring others as "enemies of the state" whilst they and their Illuminati bosses are the real danger; an old diversion technique (a good movie to illustrate this is "Enemy of The State").

Read on and discover the **shocking reality of how the World is controlled by the Illuminati Banking Dynasties in coordination with the Zionist-Masonic-Jesuit Occult brotherhoods** and learn the meanings of **Illuminati and Masonic symbolisms**. The uncovered, uncensored, unexpected, surprising and shocking truth will be revealed in this book. This book gets straight to the point and does not mince words. Some people will find it very shocking during their first read through. This book shows you the smoke. Where there's smoke, there has been a fire, or there is a fire, or there will be a fire! The word-smithing in this book is sharp and to the point. Stay cool and learn calmly. Don't react negatively. Be patient when working for freedom.

Mohandas Gandhi: "However much we may detest admitting it, the fact remains that there would be no exploitation if people refused to obey the exploiter. But self comes in and we hug the chains that bind us. This must cease."

Why must we understand how this feudal financial system was developed and how it operates today? Because there is something terribly different between what is reported in the major news outlets and what is really happening! (In Star Wars terminology, there is a monstrous disturbance in the force!!!) This book is for everyone, controller and controlled.

The controller must know that he/she is working evil for "The Emperors" and the controlled must know that he/she is being fooled no matter what their religion is or is not. This book will enable common folks to see, listen, think and navigate through the propaganda of "The Illuminated Ones". This book is to unite humankind against the attempted descent into ancient feudal slavery or tyranny being perpetrated by the operatives of the New World Order. This book is not a debate over whose religious beliefs are correct or not.

Alexander Hamilton or James Madison, Federalist No. 62: "It will be of little avail to the people, that the laws are made by men of their own choice, if the laws be so voluminous that they cannot be read, or so incoherent that they cannot be understood; if they be repealed or revised before they are promulgated, or undergo such incessant changes that no man, who knows what the law is to-day, can guess what it will be to-morrow." Both the legal system and the financial system are complicated beyond comprehension. Centralizing control of everything makes it easier for the banking dynasties to control the World. This private debt-usury money system must be scrapped and replaced with a debt-free currency issued by sovereign governments for the benefit of the people. Early philosophers like Aristotle, believed that usury was bad for society because the purpose of money was to move goods in society from person to person. The interest on money slowed all of that down, and hence, slowed down the progression of society. It put an unnecessary burden on money. Later, the church had outlawed usury as well, but as the usurers got their way again, they passed laws to allow certain "taxes" on money.

Milton Friedman, economist: "The stock of money, prices and output was decidedly more unstable after the establishment of the [private] Federal Reserve System than before. The most dramatic period of instability in output was, of course, the period between the two wars, which include the severe [monetary] contractions of 1920-21, 1929-33, and 1937-38. No other 20-year period in American history contains as many as three such severe contractions. This evidence persuades me that at least a third of the price rise during and just after World War I is attributable to the establishment of the Federal Reserve System... and that the severity of each of the major contractions is directly attributable to acts of commission and omission by the Reserve authorities. And this system which gives so much power and so much discretion to a few men, [so] that mistakes can have such far reaching effects is a bad system. It is a bad system to believers in freedom just because it gives a few men such power without any effective check by the body politic - this is the key argument against an independent central bank.... To paraphrase Clemenceau, money is too serious a matter to be left to the central bankers."

Pope Leo XIII (1878): "On the one side there is the party which holds the power because it holds the wealth; which has in its grasp all labor and all trade; which manipulates for its own benefit and its own purposes all the sources of supply, and which is powerfully represented in the councils of State itself. On the other side there is the needy and powerless multitude, sore and suffering. Rapacious usury, which, although more than ounce condemned by the Church, is nevertheless under a different form but with the same guilt, still practised by avaricious and grasping men... so that a small number of very rich men have been able to lay upon the masses of the poor a yoke little better than slavery itself."

Pope Pius XI (1857-1939): "In our days, not alone is wealth accumulated, but immense power and despotic economic domination is concentrated in the hands of a few.... This power becomes particularly irresistible when exercised by those who, because they hold and control money, are able to also govern credit and determine its allotment, for this reason supplying, so to speak, the life-blood to the entire economic body, and grasping as it were in their hands the very soul of the economy so that no one dare breathe against their will.... For what will it profit men that a more prudent distribution and use of riches make it possible for them to gain even the whole World, if thereby they suffer the loss of their own souls? What will it profit to teach them sound principles in economics, if they permit themselves to be swept away by selfishness, by unbridled and sordid greed, that hearing the Commandments of the Lord, they do all things contrary?"

MARTIN LUTHER was strong in condemning interest on money. He said in part: "Meanwhile, we hand the small thieves ...Little thieves are put in the stocks, great thieves go flaunting in gold and silk...Therefore is there, on this earth, no greater enemy of man (after the devil) than a gripe-money, and usurer, for he wants to God over all men. Turks, soldiers and tyrants are also bad men, yet must they let the people live, and confess that they are bad, and enemies, and do, nay, must, now and then show pity to some. But the usurer and the money-glutton, such a one would have the whole world perish of hunger and thirst, misery and want, so far as in him lies, so that he may have all to himself, and everyone may receive from him as from a God, and be his serf forever."

POPE PIUS XII: said in his encyclical letter THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE SOCIAL ORDER: "This power becomes particularly irresistible when exercised by those who, because they hold and control money, are able also to govern credit and determine its allotment, for that reason supplying so to speak, the life-blood to the entire economic body, and grasping, as it were, in their hands the very soul of production, so that no one dare breathe against their will."

ENCYCLOPEDIA BRITANNICA: "For many people this is a difficult idea to accept. In essence the process is simple, but the common tendency to identify "money" solely with coin or currency stands in the way of either understanding or ready acceptance of the idea. It is worthwhile, therefore, to examine the process in more detail and to anticipate and reply to some of the questions commonly raised." "The great contrast is with lending of the third type, where banks furnish neither their own money nor the money received from others; instead they establish deposit credit against which the bank's customer can draw checks. These deposits are created as part of the lending operation. New circulating medium thereby comes into existence."

Never be under any illusions about the meaning of 'government'. Pierre J. Proudhon, General Idea of the Revolution in the 19th Century Pierre Proudhon says....:

"To be GOVERNED is to be watched, inspected, spied upon, directed, law-driven, numbered, regulated, enrolled, indoctrinated, preached at, controlled, checked, estimated, valued, censured, commanded, by creatures who have neither the right nor the wisdom nor the virtue to do so. To be GOVERNED is to be at every operation, at every transaction noted, registered, counted, taxed, stamped, measured, numbered, assessed, licensed, authorized, admonished, prevented, forbidden, reformed, corrected, punished. It is, under pretext of public utility, and in the name of the general interest, to be placed under contribution, drilled, fleeced, exploited, monopolized, extorted from, squeezed, hoaxed, robbed then, at the slightest resistance, the first word of complaint, to be repressed, fined, vilified, harassed, hunted down, abused, clubbed, disarmed, bound, choked, imprisoned, judged, condemned, shot, deported, sacrificed, sold, betrayed; and to crown all, mocked, ridiculed, derided, outraged, dishonored. That is government; that is its justice, that is its morality."



It is, under pretext of public utility, and in the name of the general interest, to be placed under contribution, drilled, fleeced, exploited, monopolized, extorted from, squeezed, hoaxed, robbed then, at the slightest resistance, the first word of complaint, to be repressed, fined, vilified, harassed, hunted down, abused, clubbed, disarmed, bound, choked, imprisoned, judged, condemned, shot, deported, sacrificed, sold, betrayed; and to crown all, mocked, ridiculed, derided, outraged, dishonored. That is government; that is its justice, that is its morality."

Where did the word 'BANK' come from?

Real Money: A real ten dollar gold certificate is shown below. The note states on it's face "THIS CERTIFIES THAT THERE HAS BEEN DEPOSITED IN THE TREASURY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TEN DOLLARS IN GOLD COIN PAYABLE TO THE BEARER ON DEMAND"

Tell someone you're going to a convention of accountants and you might get a few yawns, yet money and how it works and how it is used to control the masses is probably one of the most ancient and interesting systems developed and perfected by the elites who rule the Earth. The elite agenda has always been to grab the wealth of the whole World by playing with the masses and playing the masses against each other. Bank could be an old German word for bench, referring to the bench on which one sat while discussing loan arrangements and interest charges. Some say the word 'bank' originated from Chartres in 11th century France where money-changers stood at benches which their place of business; 'Bench' in French is 'banc', and so the money people became known as 'banquiers', or as we call them now, 'Bankers'. Yet, some others say that Italians were involved in the transfer of papal taxes from Scotland and the origin of the word bank is the 'banco' or bench used by the Italian merchants and money lenders. The money changers of Lombardia used to sit behind their wooden desks and, therefore, the place became known as Banco. And some others say that it was originated by released Crusaders who while being POWs under Muslim custody saw the Arabs conducting loan, exchange and issue of money on wooden tables or banco in Italian. All great republics throughout history cherished sound money. This meant that the monetary unit was a commodity of honest weight and purity. When money was sound, civilizations were found to be more prosperous and freedom thrived. The less free a society becomes, the greater the likelihood its **money is being debased** and the economic well-being of its citizens diminished unless it was temporarily boosted by empire building and exploitation of weaker nations. The Founding Fathers were well aware of the Biblical admonitions against dishonest weights and measures, debased silver, and watered-down wine (these admonitions can also be found in the Quran and some other religious and non-religious books). The issue of sound money throughout history has been as much a moral issue as an economic and political issue. Since the monetary unit measures every economic transaction, from wages to prices, taxes, and interest rates, it is vitally important that its value is honestly established without Illuminati Bankers, government, politicians, or the privately owned central banks manipulating its value to serve special interests.

Money, money, money, it's always just been there, right? Wrong. (See later sections on the interesting history of the various forms of money from ancient times). **Obviously it's issued by the government to make it easy for us to exchange things, right? Wrong again!** In the United States of America, it's the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank (System) chartered in 1913 that **creates money from nothing (FIAT money) and lends it at interest to the Government!!!** In the U.K., it's the Bank of England (although it was given a face of government ownership in 1947, the former owners from the Rothschilds' gang were given an undisclosed amount of Fiduciary bonds bearing 12% interest tax-free which can never be paid off because they never mature and give the owners a say in the affairs of the Bank, and they have first claim on England ahead of the shareholders, i.e. it amounts to the same as continuing private ownership of the bank). In Hong Kong, it's the HSBC bank, in Germany it's the Reichsbank or Bundesbank, in France it's the Bank of France (same as the Bank of England but was so called sold to the government 1 year earlier), Bank of Japan, Bank of Belgium, etc., all known as the Satanic Purses masqueraded with a front or appearance of a shared-governance with the government! Guess who owns our politicians?

Our current system of exchange was created with a profit motive aimed at capitalizing on people's need for currency and borrowing. The Central Banking System (which produces the actual currency and loans it to governments at interest and sells it to high street banks at face value) was set up as a network of private businesses with official-sounding names, engineered to make a profit for their shareholders. These include the Bank of England (Graham Bannoc R.E. Baxter and Evan Davis, 'The Penguin Dictionary of Economics' page 34, see also The Economic Organisation of Europe, 'Cambridge Economic History of Europe V' page 352), The Federal Reserve (Graham Bannoc R.E. Baxter and Evan Davis, 'The Penguin Dictionary of Economics' page 155) and all the other Central Banks throughout the World. Not one of them is democratic!

All of them are masters of disguise. Even nationalization has not stopped Bank of England stock holders from receiving their dividends, nor stopped the bank from keeping 75% of its profit, while writing off the 25% it pays to the Treasury as corporation tax (Bank of England Act 1946).

Irving Fisher: "Thus, our national circulating medium is now at the mercy of loan transactions of banks, which lend, not money, but promises to supply money they do not possess." And charge us interest on it!

In fact, when governments borrow, the privately owned Federal Reserve now promises to tax you and uses you as the collateral against which it issues the Federal Reserve Notes and cyber money!!!

My taxes go to pay for government services, right? Wrong once more! You thought you were paying taxes to get Government services but most of the taxes go to pay the interest on the government debt owed to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank who were allowed to create the money from NOTHING and lent it to governments!!! (Some have been dreaming of turning "lead into gold", well, these banking dynasties have turned "paper" into gold!). In other words, the IRS is a collection agency working for the private owners of the Federal Reserve System who have the power to create money out of nothing and lend it to the Government at interest. More details on this later. **And YES, the FED is privately owned but camouflaged behind a public government appearance! And the private Fed cannot be fully audited by anyone and pays no taxes. A lot more details on this later and also in the other sections and appendixes and references listed in this book.**

Note: These comments were presented at the **Close of the Citizens' Truth-In-Taxation Hearing**. Washington D.C., February 27-28, 2002: [IRS is a Trust Headquartered in Puerto Rico Not a Federal Agency](#) The IRS is technically not an "agency" of the federal government, as that term is defined in the [Freedom of Information Act](#) and in the [Administrative Procedures Act](#). The governments of the federal territories are expressly excluded from the definition of "agency" in those Acts of Congress. See [5 U.S.C. 551\(1\)\(C\)](#). All evidence indicates that they are a money laundry, extortion racket, and conspiracy to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in violation of [18 U.S.C. 1951](#) and [1961](#) et seq. They appear to be laundering huge sums of money into foreign banks, mostly in Europe, and quite possibly into the Vatican. See the national policy on money laundering at [31 U.S.C. 5341](#). Do federal income tax revenues pay for any government services and, if so, which government services are funded by federal income taxes? Answer: No. The money trail is very difficult to follow, in this instance, because the IRS is technically a trust with a domicile in [Puerto Rico](#). See [31 U.S.C. 1321\(a\)\(62\)](#). As such, their records are protected by laws which guarantee the privacy of trust records within that territorial jurisdiction, provided that the trust is not also violating the [Sherman Antitrust Act](#). **The final report of the 1984 Grace Commission, convened under President Ronald Reagan, quietly admitted that none of the funds they collect from federal income taxes goes to pay for any federal government services. The Grace Commission found that those funds were being used to pay for interest on the federal debt, and income transfer payments to beneficiaries of entitlement programs like federal pension plans.**

"100% of what is collected is absorbed solely by interest on the Federal Debt ... all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the services taxpayers expect from government."
-Grace Commission report submitted to President Ronald Reagan - January 15, 1984

Note that the money that is used to pay the interest in addition to the capital is only created when more borrowing takes place or when the banks acquire services with the interest that you paid, i.e. we are working for the bank owners who issued the money from nothing! How did the banking cartel usurp the right to issue money from the people's sovereign government? By the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 passed under the supervision of Woodrow Wilson. Listen to his words a few years after he signed the Federal Reserve Act: **Woodrow Wilson:** "I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized World no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men."

[Private Central banks have not always existed \(Fractional Reserve Banking was decentralized\)](#)

In early economies, governments would supply currency by minting precious metals with their stamp. No matter what the creditworthiness of the government, the worth of the currency depended on the value of its underlying precious metal. A coin was worth its gold or silver content, as it could always be melted down. A country's worth and economic clout was largely to its holdings of gold and silver in the national treasury. Monarchs, despots and even democrats tried to skirt this inviolate law by filing down their coinage or mixing in other substances to make more coins out of the same amount of gold or silver. They were inevitably found out by the traders, money lenders and others who depended on the worth of that currency. This is the reason that movies show pirates and



thieves biting Spanish doubloons to ascertain the value of their booty and loot. The advent of paper money during the industrial revolution meant that it wasn't too difficult for a bank to alter its amount of money in circulation. Instead of gold, all that was needed to produce more banknotes was paper, ink and a printing press. Because of the skepticism of all concerned, paper money was backed by a "promise to pay" upon demand. A holder of a "pound sterling" note of the United Kingdom could actually demand his pound of silver! When gold became the de facto backing of the World's currency a "gold standard" was developed where nations kept sufficient gold to back their "promises to pay" in their national treasuries. The problem with this standard was that a nation's economic health depended on its holdings of gold. When the treasury was bare, the currency was worthless.

In the 1800s, even commercial banks in Canada and the United States issued their own banknotes, backed by their promises to pay in gold. Since they could lend more than they had to hold in reserves to meet their depositors' demands, they actually could create money. This inevitably led to "runs" on banks when they could not meet their depositors' demands and were bankrupt. The same happened to smaller countries. In the late 1800s and early 1900s, many countries legislated for private central banks the exclusive monopoly to issue banknotes or as I would call it to counterfeit money. In the US, this meant that all legal tender paper notes were controlled by the Federal Reserve. Commercial banks still could create money by lending more than their depositors had placed with the bank, but they no longer had the right to issue banknotes as the country's legal tender.

John B. Rarick: "Mr. Speaker, the current efforts by our Government to hold down price increases have served to focus the attention of thoughtful students on a little discussed facet of our money system, this system, because of a long procedure of miseducation and studied silence is not now understood as it was prior to the adoption of the Federal Reserve system more than half a century ago. It is based upon debt; has serious implications for the future of our country, and invites what may be the greatest war in history. ... Every debt Dollar demands an interest tribute from our economy for every year that Dollar remains in circulation. These interest costs force up the price of every commodity and service and contribute greatly to inflation. ..."

Well, lets fix this mis-education and silence now and explain the truth about the debt-based economic system that the Illuminati Banking Dynasties have imposed on us as the neo-slavery! Such history of money does not appear in the textbooks of public government schools today. So-called "economic experts" write syndicated columns in hundreds of newspapers, craftily designed to prevent the people from learning the simple truth about our money system. Sometimes commentators, educators, and politicians blame our financial conundrum on the workers for being wasteful, lazy, or stingy. Other times, they blame workers and consumers for the increase in debts and the inflation of prices, when they know the cause is the debt-money system itself. People are literally drowned in charges and counter-charges designed to confuse them and keep them from understanding the unconstitutional and evil money system that is so efficiently and silently robbing the farmers, the workers, and the businessmen of the fruits of their labor and of their freedoms. Some, who are especially vocal in their exposure of the treason against the people, are harassed by government agencies such as the EPA, OSHA, the IRS, and others, forcing them into financial strain or bankruptcy. They have been completely successful in preventing most Americans from learning the things you have/will read in this book. Among the steps taken to prevent armed resistance to their plunder of America, they plan to register all firearms and eventually to disarm all citizens, in violation of the 2nd Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. A people armed cannot be enslaved. Therefore, they only want guns in the hands of their gestapo or military forces -- hands that are already stained with blood from countless acts of gross negligence and overt homicide, both at home and abroad.

Murray N. Rothbard (1926-1995), the founder of modern libertarianism and the dean of the Austrian School of economics, was the author of *The Ethics of Liberty* and *For a New Liberty* and many other books and articles. He was also academic vice president of the Ludwig von Mises Institute and the Center for Libertarian Studies, and the editor – with Lew Rockwell – of *The Rothbard-Rockwell Report*. <http://www.lewrockwell.com/rothbard/frb.html> . He explains that the contemporary flight from sound, free market money to statized and inflated money: the abolition of the gold standard by Franklin Roosevelt in 1933 [when the United States went into bankruptcy and actual receivership by the Banklords], and the substitution of fiat paper tickets by the Federal Reserve as our "monetary standard." Another crucial part of this process was the federal cartelization of the nation's banks through the creation of the Federal Reserve System in 1913 [plotted by agents of the Rothschild, Rockefeller, Carnegie, Morgan, etc. dynasties see the *Creature of Jekyll Island* by G. Edward Griffin for an explanation <http://www.realityzone.com>]. Banking is a particularly arcane part of the economic system; one of the problems is that the word "bank" covers many different activities, with very different implications. The problem with the past investment bankers is that one of their major fields of investment was the underwriting of government bonds, which plunged them hip-deep into politics, giving them a powerful incentive for pressuring and manipulating governments, so that taxes would be levied to pay off their and their clients' government bonds [and wars created to further deepen their pockets]. Hence, the powerful and baleful political influence of investment bankers in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries: in particular, the Rothschilds in Western Europe, and Jay Cooke and the House of Morgan in the United States. [The US Banklords now are linked to oil as the oil trade of 50 million barrels per day is carried by printing fiat dollars]

By the late nineteenth century, the Morgans took the lead in trying to pressure the U.S. government to cartelize industries they were interested in – first railroads and then manufacturing: to protect these industries from the winds of free competition, and to use the power of government to enable these industries to restrict production and raise prices. In particular, the investment bankers acted as a ginger group to work for the cartelization of commercial banks. Most commercial banking is "deposit banking" based on a gigantic scam: the idea, which most depositors believe, that their money is down at the bank, ready to be redeemed in cash at any time. If Jim has a checking account of \$1,000 at a local

bank, Jim knows that this is a "demand deposit," that is, that the bank pledges to pay him \$1,000 in cash, on demand, anytime he wishes to "get his money out." Naturally, the Jims of this world are convinced that their money is safely there, in the bank, for them to take out at any time. Hence, they think of their checking account as equivalent to a warehouse receipt. If they put a chair in a warehouse before going on a trip, they expect to get the chair back whenever they present the receipt. Unfortunately, while banks depend on the warehouse analogy, the depositors are systematically deluded. Their money ain't there. An honest warehouse makes sure that the goods entrusted to its care are there, in its storeroom or vault. But banks operate very differently, at least since the days of such deposit banks as the Banks of Amsterdam and Hamburg in the seventeenth century [actually, this scam has been going on since ancient Babylon and Rome], which indeed acted as warehouses and backed all of their receipts fully by the assets deposited, e.g., gold and silver. This honest deposit or "giro" banking is called "100 percent reserve" banking. Ever since, banks have habitually created warehouse receipts (originally bank notes and now deposits) out of thin air. Essentially, they are counterfeiters of fake warehouse-receipts to cash or standard money, which circulate as if they were genuine, fully-backed notes or checking accounts. Banks make money by literally creating money out of thin air, nowadays exclusively deposits rather than bank notes. This sort of swindling or counterfeiting is dignified by the term "fractional-reserve banking," which means that bank deposits are backed by only a small fraction of the cash they promise to have at hand and redeem. (Right now, in the United States, this minimum fraction is fixed by the Federal Reserve System at 10 percent.)

Let's see how the fractional reserve process works, in the absence of a central bank. I set up a Rothbard Bank, and invest \$1,000 of cash (whether gold or government paper does not matter here). Then I "lend out" \$10,000 to someone, either for consumer spending or to invest in his business. How can I "lend out" far more than I have? Ahh, that's the magic of the "fraction" in the fractional reserve. I simply open up a checking account of \$10,000 which I am happy to lend to Mr. Jones. Why does Jones borrow from me? Well, for one thing, I can charge a lower rate of interest than savers would. I don't have to save up the money myself, but simply can counterfeit it out of thin air. (In the nineteenth century, I would have been able to issue bank notes, but the Federal Reserve now monopolizes note issues.) Since demand deposits at the Rothbard Bank function as equivalent to cash, the nation's money supply has just, by magic, increased by \$10,000. The inflationary, counterfeiting process is under way. The nineteenth-century English economist Thomas Tooke correctly stated that "free trade in banking is tantamount to free trade in swindling." But under freedom, and without government support, there are some severe hitches in this counterfeiting process, or in what has been termed "free banking." First: why should anyone trust me? Why should anyone accept the checking deposits of the Rothbard Bank? But second, even if I were trusted, and I were able to con my way into the trust of the gullible, there is another severe problem, caused by the fact that the banking system is competitive, with free entry into the field. After all, the Rothbard Bank is limited in its clientele. After Jones borrows checking deposits from me, he is going to spend it. Why else pay money for a loan? Sooner or later, the money he spends, whether for a vacation, or for expanding his business, will be spent on the goods or services of clients of some other bank, say the Rockwell Bank. The Rockwell Bank is not particularly interested in holding checking accounts on my bank; it wants reserves so that it can pyramid its own counterfeiting on top of cash reserves. And so if, to make the case simple, the Rockwell Bank gets a \$10,000 check on the Rothbard Bank, it is going to demand cash so that it can do some inflationary counterfeit-pyramiding of its own. But, I, of course, can't pay the \$10,000, so I'm finished. Bankrupt. Found out. By rights, I should be in jail as an embezzler, but at least my phony checking deposits and I are out of the game, and out of the money supply.

Hence, under free competition, and without government support and enforcement, there will only be limited scope for fractional-reserve counterfeiting. Banks could form cartels to prop each other up, but generally cartels on the market don't work well without government enforcement, without the government cracking down on competitors who insist on busting the cartel, in this case, forcing competing banks to pay up. Hence the drive by the bankers themselves to get the government to cartelize their industry by means of a central bank. Central Banking began with the Bank of England in the 1690s [associated with William Patterson's colonial East-India Company], spread to the rest of the Western world in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, and finally was imposed upon the United States by banking cartelists via the Federal Reserve System of 1913. Particularly enthusiastic about the Central Bank were the investment bankers, such as the Morgans, who pioneered the cartel idea, and who by this time had expanded into commercial banking. In modern central banking, the Central Bank is granted the monopoly of the issue of bank notes (originally written or printed warehouse receipts as opposed to the intangible receipts of bank deposits), which are now identical to the government's paper money and therefore the monetary "standard" in the country. People want to use physical cash as well as bank deposits. If, therefore, I wish to redeem \$1,000 in cash from my checking bank, the bank has to go to the Federal Reserve, and draw down its own checking account with the Fed, "buying" \$1,000 of Federal Reserve Notes (the cash in the United States today) from the Fed. The Fed, in other words, acts as a bankers' bank. Banks keep checking deposits at the Fed and these deposits constitute their reserves, on which they can and do pyramid ten times the amount in checkbook money. [this is extended on the World scale with the World Bank and the Bank of International Settlements]

Here's how the [legalized Banklords'] counterfeiting process works in today's world. Let's say that the Federal Reserve, as usual, decides that it wants to expand (i.e., inflate) the money supply. The Federal Reserve decides to go into the market (called the "open market") and purchase an asset. It doesn't really matter what asset it buys; the important point is that it writes out a check. The Fed could, if it wanted to, buy any asset it wished, including corporate stocks, buildings, or foreign currency. In practice, it almost always buys U.S. government securities. Let's assume that the Fed buys \$10,000,000 of U.S. Treasury bills from some "approved" government bond dealer (a small group), say Shearson, Lehman on Wall Street. The Fed writes out a check for \$10,000,000, which it gives to Shearson, Lehman in exchange for \$10,000,000 in U.S. securities. Where does the Fed get the \$10,000,000 to pay Shearson, Lehman? It creates the money out of thin air. Shearson, Lehman can do only one thing with the check: deposit it in its checking account at a commercial bank, say Chase Manhattan. The "money supply" of the country has already increased by \$10,000,000; no one else's

checking account has decreased at all. There has been a net increase of \$10,000,000. But this is only the beginning of the inflationary, counterfeiting process. For Chase Manhattan is delighted to get a check on the Fed, and rushes down to deposit it in its own checking account at the Fed, which now increases by \$10,000,000. But this checking account constitutes the "reserves" of the banks, which have now increased across the nation by \$10,000,000. But this means that Chase Manhattan can create deposits based on these reserves, and that, as checks and reserves seep out to other banks (much as the Rothbard Bank deposits did), each one can add its inflationary mite, until the banking system as a whole has increased its demand deposits by \$100,000,000, ten times the original purchase of assets by the Fed. The banking system is allowed to keep reserves amounting to 10 percent of its deposits, which means that the "money multiplier" – the amount of deposits the banks can expand on top of reserves – is 10. A purchase of assets of \$10 million by the Fed has generated very quickly a tenfold, \$100,000,000 increase in the money supply of the banking system as a whole.

Interestingly, all economists agree on the mechanics of this process even though they of course disagree sharply on the moral or economic evaluation of that process. But unfortunately, the general public, not inducted into the mysteries of banking, still persists in thinking that their money remains "in the bank." Thus, the Federal Reserve and other central banking systems act as giant government creators and enforcers of a banking cartel; the Fed bails out banks in trouble, and it centralizes and coordinates the banking system so that all the banks, whether the Chase Manhattan, or the Rothbard or Rockwell banks, can inflate together. Under free banking, one bank expanding beyond its fellows was in danger of imminent bankruptcy. Now, under the Fed, all banks can expand together and proportionately. But even with the backing of the Fed, fractional reserve banking proved shaky, and so the New Deal, in 1933, added the lie of "bank deposit insurance," using the benign word "insurance" to mask an arrant hoax. When the savings and loan system went down the tubes in the late 1980s, the "deposit insurance" of the federal FSLIC [Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation] was unmasked as sheer fraud. The "insurance" was simply the smoke-and-mirrors term for the un-backed name of the federal government. The poor taxpayers finally bailed out the S&Ls, but now we are left with the formerly sainted FDIC [Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation], for commercial banks, which is now increasingly seen to be shaky, since the FDIC itself has less than one percent of the huge number of deposits it "insures." The very idea of "deposit insurance" is a swindle; how does one insure an institution (fractional reserve banking) that is inherently insolvent, and which will fall apart whenever the public finally understands the swindle? Suppose that, tomorrow, the American public suddenly became aware of the banking swindle, and went to the banks tomorrow morning, and, in unison, demanded cash. What would happen? The banks would be instantly insolvent, since they could only muster 10 percent of the cash they owe their befuddled customers. Neither would the enormous tax increase needed to bail everyone out be at all palatable. No: the only thing the Fed could do, and this would be in their power, would be to print enough money to pay off all the bank depositors. Unfortunately, in the present state of the banking system, the result would be an immediate plunge into the horrors of hyperinflation. Let us suppose that total insured bank deposits are \$1,600 billion. Technically, in the case of a run on the banks, the Fed could exercise emergency powers and print \$1,600 billion in cash to give to the FDIC to pay off the bank depositors. The problem is that, emboldened at this massive bailout, the depositors would promptly redeposit the new \$1,600 billion into the banks, increasing the total bank reserves by \$1,600 billion, thus permitting an immediate expansion of the money supply by the banks by tenfold, increasing the total stock of bank money by \$16 trillion. Runaway inflation and total destruction of the currency would quickly follow.

Banks Licensed to Create (counterfeit) Money (make a killing) from Nothing!

"The fact is that there is a serious danger of this country becoming a pluto-democracy; that is, a sham republic with the real government in the hands of a small clique of enormously wealthy men, who speak through their money, and whose influence, even today, radiates to every corner of the United States." **William McAdoo, President Wilson's national campaign vice-chairman, wrote in Crowded Years (1974)**

John Maynard Keynes (1838-1946), a well known English economist: "Lenin was certainly right. There is no subtler, no surer way to overturn existing society than to debauch the currency. The process engages all the economic forces on the side of destruction which not one man in a million is able to diagnose."

Money is needed to facilitate production. Ninety per cent of money is not cash, but private bank credit loans, which are simply zeroes preceded by a number greater than zero in a bank computer. Banks do not just lend out other peoples' savings, they create credit out of thin air via the **Fractional Reserve System**. This means a trading bank could multiply any deposit 13 or more times and loan out the 13 times expanded amount. There are almost no more limits now as to how much money banks can create and charge interest on this money created from nothing. Because of the bribery and mafia tactics of the private non-governmental banks, the **United States Government's National Bank established in 1791 by Congress** was shut down in 1832. Throughout the nineteenth century, larger banks worked to get laws passed that would consolidate all paper money issuance under the control of just a few private owners. They did so under the guise of standardized national money. They were successful in 1863 with the passage of the **National Banking Act**. It allowed newly chartered national banks to create a uniform national bank currency. A few years later the federal government taxed state bank notes out of existence. In 1873, the government stopped all free coinage of metals. They began to use United States Certificates of indebtedness -- United States Bonds -- as security for the new national currency distributed by the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. The private banking dynasties finally managed to usurp the people's power to create money into their own hands. When one borrows from a private bank, one merely exchanges his IOU (I owe you) note with the Bank's IOU known as the Federal Reserve Note or a credit in one's bank account. The notes used to state on its face "THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANK (a private banking corporation) WILL PAY TO THE BEARER ON DEMAND ONE DOLLAR". However, it no longer says a dollar of what. It also says "SECURED BY UNITED STATES

CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS". So, we, the taxpayer, are guaranteeing a private bank's note! You can now clearly understand why our government and private sector are so deeply in debt. All we use for money is (monetized) DEBTS.

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Modern Money Mechanics: "Commercial banks create checkbook money whenever they grant a loan, simply by adding new deposit dollars in accounts on their books in exchange for a borrower's IOU.", p. 19. "The 12 regional reserve banks aren't government institutions, but corporations nominally 'owned' by member commercial banks.", p. 27. **The Rothschilds' favorite saying** who along with the Rockefellers are the major Illuminati Banking Dynasties: "Who controls the issuance of money controls the government!"

Thomas Jefferson in 1802 in a letter to then Secretary of the Treasury, Albert Gallatin: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance... If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered. . .The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the government to whom it properly belongs."

Thomas Jefferson to Richard Henry Lee, 1779. ME 4:298, Papers 2:298: "It is a cruel thought, that, when we feel ourselves standing on the firmest ground in every respect, the cursed arts of our secret enemies, combining with other causes, should effect, by depreciating our money, what the open arms of a powerful enemy could not." Later, **Jefferson** used stronger language and denounced the institution as "one of the most deadly hostilities against the principles and form of our Constitution." Some have said that Jefferson did not favor a strong central bank. What he did not favor was the delivery of our monetary system into private hands to be run for private profit.

Kenneth Gerbino, former chairman of the American Economic Council: "Historically, the United States has been a hard money country. Only [since 1913] has the United States operated on a fiat money system. During this period, paper money has depreciated over 87%. During the preceding 140 year period, the hard currency of the United States had actually maintained its value. Wholesale prices in 1913 ... were the same as in 1787."

Art Rolnick, former Chief Economist, Minneapolis Federal Reserve Bank: "We make money the old fashioned way. We print it."

Daniel Webster: "Of all contrivances for cheating the laboring classes of mankind, none has been more effective than that which deludes them with paper money."

Abraham Lincoln, just after the passage of the National Banking Act of 1863: "I see in the near future a crisis approaching. It unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country ... the Money Power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people, until the wealth is aggregated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed."

John Adams, in a letter to Thomas Jefferson in 1787: "All the perplexities, confusion and distress in America rise, not from defects in their Constitution or Confederation, not from want of honor or virtue, so much as from downright ignorance of the nature of coin, credit and circulation."

Voltaire (1694-1778): "Paper money eventually returns to its intrinsic value - zero."

Sir William Rees-Mogg: "The value of paper money is precisely the value of a politician's promise, as high or low as you put that; the value of gold is protected by the inability of politicians to manufacture it."

Henry Hazlitt: "The monetary managers are fond of telling us that they have substituted 'responsible money management' for the gold standard. But there is no historic record of responsible paper money management ... The record taken as a whole is one of hyperinflation, devaluation and monetary chaos."

Theodore R. Thoren explains The Truth In Money Book: "The creation of money exclusively as debt is the critical, destabilizing flaw in the American Economy".

St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank in "Review", Nov. 1975: "The decrease in purchasing power incurred by holders of money due to inflation imparts gains to the issuers of money...."

George Bernard Shaw: "You have to choose [as a voter] between trusting to the natural stability of gold and the natural stability of the honesty and intelligence of the members of the Government. And, with due respect for these gentlemen, I advise you, as long as the Capitalist system lasts, to vote for gold."

Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia in "Gold": "Without the confidence factor, many believe a paper money system is liable to collapse eventually."

President James A. Garfield 1831-1881: "Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce."

Rt. Hon. Reginald McKenna, former Chancellor of Exchequer & former President of the Midland Bank of England: "Those who create and issue money and credit direct the policies of government and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people."

Andrew Jackson: "If Congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given to be used by themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations."

Cicero, 63 B.C.: "The budget should be balanced, the treasury should be refilled and the public debt should be reduced. The arrogance of public officialdom should be tempered and controlled. And the assistance to foreign lands should be curtailed, lest we become bankrupt."

G. Edward Griffin, historian and author of "The Creature From Jekyll Island": "Inflation has now been institutionalized at a fairly constant 5% per year. This has been scientifically determined to be the optimum level for generating the most revenue without causing public alarm. A 5% devaluation applies, not only to the money earned this year, but to all that is left over from previous years. At the end of the first year, a dollar is worth 95 cents. At the end of the second year, the 95 cents is reduced again by 5%, leaving its worth at 90 cents, and so on. By the time a person has worked 20 years, the government will have confiscated 64% of every dollar he saved over those years. By the time he has worked 45 years, the hidden tax will be 90%. The government will take virtually everything a person saves over a lifetime."

John Maynard Keynes, economist and author of "The Economic Consequences Of The Peace" (1920): "By a continuing process of inflation, governments can confiscate, secretly and unobserved, an important part of the wealth of their citizens. There is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch the currency. The process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in a manner which not one man in a million is able to diagnose ... If, however, a government refrains from regulations and allows matters to take their course, essential commodities soon attain a level of price out of the reach of all but the rich, the worthlessness of the money becomes apparent, and the fraud upon the public can be concealed no longer."

Tupper Saucy, author of "The Miracle On Main Street": "About all a Federal Reserve note can legally do is wipe out one debt and replace it with itself, another debt, a note that promises nothing. If anything's been paid, the payment occurs only in the minds of the parties"

Greenspan, Alan 1966: "In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation. There is no safe store of value. If there were, the government would have to make its holding illegal, as was done in the case of gold. . . . The financial policy of the welfare state requires that there be no way for the owners of wealth to protect themselves. This is the shabby secret of the welfare statists' tirades against gold. Deficit spending is simply a scheme for the confiscation of wealth." "...the gold standard is incompatible with chronic deficit spending [the hallmark of the welfare state]." --; "Gold and Economic Freedom", Rand, Ayn; Capitalism: the Unknown Ideal; Signet Books, 1967; pp96-101. See full text in FAME's FedWatch section <http://www.fame.org/> .

Ralph M. Hawtrey, former Secretary of Treasury, England: "Banks lend by creating credit. They create the means of payment out of nothing."

Thomas Jefferson to John Taylor, 1816: "I sincerely believe ... that banking establishments are more dangerous than standing armies, and that the principle of spending money to be paid by posterity under the name of funding is but swindling futurity on a large scale."

Robert H. Hemphill, former credit manager, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta: "Money is the most important subject intellectual persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it is widely understood and its defects remedied very soon."

Peter Kershaw, author of the 1994 booklet "Economic Solutions": "The Founding Fathers of this great land had no difficulty whatsoever understanding the agenda of bankers, and they frequently referred to them and their kind as, quote, "friends of paper money. They hated the Bank of England, in particular, and felt that even were we successful in winning our independence from England and King George, we could never truly be a nation of freemen, unless we had an honest money system. Through ignorance, but moreover, because of apathy, a small, but wealthy, clique of power brokers have robbed us of our Rights and Liberties, and we are being raped of our wealth. We are paying the price for the near-comatose levels of complacency by our parents, and only God knows what might become of our children, should we not work diligently to shake this country from its slumber! Many a nation has lost its freedom at the end of a gun barrel, but here in America, we just decided to hand it over voluntarily. Worse yet, we paid for the tyranny and usurpation out of our own pockets with "voluntary" tax contributions and the use of a debt-laden fiat currency!"

President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, November 23, 1933 in a letter to Colonel Edward Mandell House: "The real truth of the matter is, and you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the U.S. since the days of Andrew Jackson. History depicts Andrew Jackson as the last truly honorable and incorruptible American president."

Pringle, Robert; and Deane, Marjorie: The Central Banks; Viking, 1994, page viii: "The truly unique power of a central bank, after all, is the power to create money, and ultimately the power to create is the power to destroy."

Boston Federal Reserve Bank in a publication titled "Putting It Simply": "When you or I write a check there must be sufficient funds in our account to cover that check, but when the Federal Reserve writes a check, it is creating money."

Congressman McFadden, Chairman, House Banking and Currency Committee, June 10, 1932: "Some people think the Federal Reserve Banks are U.S. government institutions. They are not ... they are private credit monopolies which prey upon the people of the U.S. for the benefit of themselves and their foreign and domestic swindlers, and rich and predatory money lenders. The sack of the United States by the Fed is the greatest crime in history. Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers, but the truth is the Fed has usurped the government. It controls everything here and it controls all our foreign relations. It makes and breaks governments at will."

9th Circuit Court in Lewis vs. United States, June 24, 1982: "...we conclude that the [Federal] Reserve Banks are not federal ... but are independent, privately owned and locally controlled corporations ... without day to day direction from the federal government..."

President Andrew Jackson, upon evicting a delegation of international bankers from the Oval Office: "... You are a den of vipers and thieves. I intend to rout you out, and by the grace of the Eternal God, I will rout you out."

Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

"Give me control over a man's economic actions, and hence over his means of survival, and except for a few occasional heroes, I'll promise to deliver to you men who think and write and behave as I want them to." - Benjamin A. Rooge

President George Washington, in a letter to J. Bowen, Rhode Island, Jan. 9, 1787: "Paper money has had the effect in your state that it will ever have, to ruin commerce, oppress the honest, and open the door to every species of fraud and injustice."

President James A. Garfield: "Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce."

John C. Calhoun (1837): "A power has risen up in the government greater than the people themselves, consisting of many and various powerful interests combined in one mass, and held together by the cohesive power of the vast surplus in the banks."

The private banks' license to print money is a scam! No wonder students don't learn about banking at school. Interest works to concentrate wealth towards an elite group of multinational investors, especially in finance. This has been happening by design. Trade treaties, the IMF and World Bank were aimed at defrauding Third World countries so that the markets and banks of the First World countries could survive via economic expansion and debt transference. Most of the World's countries have a lower standard of living than 20 years ago! **My taxes go to pay for government services, right? Wrong once more! You thought you were paying taxes to get Government services but most of the taxes go to pay the interest on the government debt owed to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank who created the money from NOTHING and lent it to governments!!!** (Some have been dreaming of turning "lead into gold", well, these banking dynasties have turned "paper" into gold!). In other words, the IRS is a collection agency working for the private owners of the Federal Reserve System who have the power to create money out of nothing and lend it to the Government at interest. More details on this later.

William Patterson: "The bank hath benefit of interest on all moneys which it creates out of nothing."

Ralph M. Hawtrey, Former Secretary of the British Treasury: "Banks lend by creating credit. They create the means of payment, out of nothing."

Congress consistently defeats balanced budget amendments. In the past 30 years, Congress has raised our taxes 56 times and balanced the budget only once. We need the sound banking system our forefathers wanted us to have. History proves that banking systems like the FED don't work. Major World powers have been destroyed over similar banking systems. If we don't change this system NOW, in five years the only thing our taxes will pay is the interest on the national debt. **Section 7 of the Federal Reserve Act, passed December 23, 1913, states that much of the profit of the**



FED should flow into the U.S. Treasury. In 1959, new legislation allowed the FED to transfer bonds to commercial banks at no cost to the bank. Now the FED receives less interest income and less profit for the U.S. Treasury because the money is diverted to other banks through an accounting entry. Congress and the IRS do not have access to the financial records of the FED. Every year Congress introduces legislation to fully audit the FED, and every year it is defeated. The FED banking system could easily be netting 100s of billions in profit each year. Through "creative accounting" profit can easily

be reclassified as expense. Within the first few years, the shareholders of the FED received their initial investment back with no risk. All the income is tax-free, except for property tax, according to the Federal Reserve Act. When are the profits of the FED going to start flowing into the Treasury so that average Americans are no longer burdened with excessive, unnecessary taxes? Clearly, Congress cannot or will not control the FED. **IT IS TIME TO ABOLISH IT! And YES, the FED is privately owned but camouflaged behind a public government appearance! And the private Fed cannot be deeply audited by anyone and pays no taxes. A lot more details on this later and in the other sections and appendixes and references listed in this book.**

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, MAY 11, 1972: "Some people think the Federal Reserve Banks are United States government institutions, they are not government institutions, they are private credit monopolies." **CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, JUNE 10, 1932, p. 12595:** "The Federal Reserve Board, and the Federal Reserve Banks are private Corporations."

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, JUNE 10, 1932, p. 12595: "The Federal Reserve Board, and the Federal Reserve Banks are private Corporations."

JOHN MAYNARD KEYNES, chief architect of our current fiat-paper money system: "By a continuing process of inflation, governments can confiscate, secretly and unobserved, an important part of the wealth of their citizens".

Re. CHARLES LINDBERGH (R. MN): "Ever since the Civil War, Congress has allowed the Bankers to control financial legislation. The membership of the Finance Committee in the Senate (now the Banking and Currency Committee) and the Committee on Banking and Currency in the House have been made up chiefly of Bankers, their agents, and their attorneys. ...In this way the committees have been able to control legislation in the interests of the few." "The financial system... has been turned over to... the Federal Reserve Board. That board administers the finance system by authority of... a purely profiteering group. The system is private, conducted for the sole purpose of greatest possible profits [by any method they can get a way with] from the use of other people's money."

Here are two actual United States Government Notes which used to be issued by the United States Government and were both debt-free and interest-free. They make up only less than 0.1% of US originated currency. Notice the **red seal**. The privately issued Federal Reserve Note that's in our pockets has a **green seal**. The private Federal Reserve System notes make up 99.9% of US originated currency. Shown in the picture below, it has a **green seal**. When it's issued, the government is indebted to the FED's private owners for both the capital (which the FED created out of nothing) and on-going interest which is paid from taxes on money which the FED created out of nothing! **Highway robbery I say!!! To add insult to injury, the taxpayers guarantee the private Federal Reserve Bank's note with a signature from the United States Treasurer!!!**

Russell L. Munk, former Assistant General Counsel, Department of the Treasury: "**Federal Reserve Notes are not dollars.**"

The Federal Reserve Note is only a private promissory note or IOU that is made legal tender by the power of law. Guess whose friends make the laws? Guess who made the laws institutionalizing UK Empire slavery, US slavery, Apartheid, and the Federal Reserve Act of 1913??? (See later for other significant related 1913 events) To put it simply, when you or the government or a corporation give a "promise to pay" to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank, it issues you "Federal Reserve Notes" which are merely the FED's "promise to pay" note. You cannot redeem the FED's notes at the FED by law and in any case, the FED has nothing to give you, i.e. it created these notes known as the Federal Reserve dollars without any commodity in stock. You have to find someone willing to take the FED notes in exchange for something you want. The FED notes are legal tender by the power of fraudulent legislation.

What does "legal tender" mean? If you owe someone \$20 and you give him a \$20 bill he is considered to have been paid, and if he refuses payment in this form you are absolved of the debt. By contrast, he does not have to accept your check drawn on a private bank, or even a certified check of a private bank. Money issued by a central bank (private or government) is sometimes called "Right of Purchase" money to distinguish it from "Promise to Pay" money created by private non-central banks.

What is "Promise to Pay" money? When you take a bank loan by signing a "promise to pay" the bank, essentially, the bank is substituting its "promise to pay" which is accepted as money by others for your "promise to pay" which is not accepted by others.

Alexander Hamilton: "To emit an unfunded paper as the sign of value ought not to continue a formal part of the Constitution, nor even hereafter to be employed; being, in its nature, pregnant with abuses, and liable to be made the engine of imposition and fraud; holding out temptations equally pernicious to the integrity of government and to the morals of the people."

Abraham Lincoln: "The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity... No man is good enough to govern another man without that other's consent ... These United States of America can never be destroyed from forces outside its borders. If America falls, it will fall from within. Brought down by apathy [indifference]. When good people do nothing, Anarchy reigns... We the people are the rightful masters of Congress and the courts, not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow men who pervert the Constitution."

London Times 1865: "If this mischievous financial policy [of creating a debt-free currency by the Government], which has its origin in the American Republic, shall become permanent, then that government will furnish its own money without cost! It will pay off its debts and be without debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the World. The brains and the wealth of all countries will go to America. That government must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe!"

President Andrew Jackson: "If congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given them to use themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations... The bold efforts that the present bank has made to control the government and the distress it has wantonly caused, are but premonitions of the fate which awaits the American people should they be deluded into a perpetuation of this institution or the establishment of another like it...If the people only understood the rank injustice of our money and banking system there would be a revolution before morning." "It is not our own citizens only who are to receive the bounty of our government. More than eight millions of the stock of this bank are held by foreigners... is there no danger to our liberty and independence in a bank that in its nature has so little to bind it to our country?... Controlling our currency, receiving our public moneys, and holding thousands of our citizens in dependence... would be more formidable and dangerous than a military power of the enemy. If government would confine itself to equal protection, and, as Heaven does its rains, shower its favour alike on the high and the low, the rich and the poor, it would be an unqualified blessing. In the act before me there seems to be a wide and unnecessary departure from these just principles."



And from a secret agent in 1862: "Slavery is likely to be abolished by the war, power and all chattel slavery abolished. This I and my European friends are in favor of, for slavery is but the owning of labor and carries with it the care of the laborers, while the European plan, led on by England, is that capital shall control labor by controlling wages. The great debt, that the capitalists will see to it is made out of the war, must be used as a means to control the volume of money. To accomplish this, the bonds must be used as a banking basis. We are now waiting for the Secretary of the Treasury to make this recommendation to Congress. It will not do to allow the greenback, as it is called, to circulate as money any length of time, as we can not control that. But we can control the bonds and through them the bank issues."

Darryl R. Francis, former President of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis: "Since the direct method of printing money to finance government expenditures is prohibited in the United states, the monetization of government deficits has occurred indirectly . . . government debt is ultimately being financed by the creation of new money . . . I doubt that monetization of debt has a conscious act . . . I can find no benefits accruing to the whole of society from debt monetization, but the risks are very serious and can be expressed in one word, **inflation**".

President Thomas Jefferson: "... we must not let our rulers load us with perpetual debt...If we run into such debts as that we must be taxed in our meat and in our drink, in our necessities and comforts, in our labors and in our amusements, for our callings and our creeds...our people...must come to labor 16 hours in the 24, give the earnings of 15 of these to the government for their debts and daily expenses; and the 16th being insufficient to afford us bread,...We have no time to think, no means of calling the mis-managers to account; but be glad to obtain subsistence by hiring ourselves, to rivet their chains on the necks of our fellow sufferers. Our land holders, too...retaining indeed the title and stewardship of estates called theirs, but held really in trust for the treasury,. . .this is the tendency of all human governments. A departure from principle becomes a precedent for a second; that



second for a third; and so on, till the bulk of society is reduced to mere automatons of misery, to have no sensibilities left but for sinning and suffering...And the fore horse of this frightful team is public debt. Taxation follows that, and in its train, wretchedness and oppression."

And note that the money that is used to pay the interest in addition to the capital is only created when more borrowing takes place or when the bank acquires services with the interest. Therefore, the capital and interest can never be repaid with money and borrowing governments have to pay with their natural resources which means that in due time, the banking dynasties will wind up owning everything! We have a sort of musical chair scenario where there is no money left for the last borrower to pay the interest, but new borrowers are always being born and debt is being shifted to the yet to be born! **In fact, money represents bank debt, not national wealth. All new money that comes into circulation now does so as a debt to a bank. The bank kind of 'loan credit' only represents an extension of a debt (on the other hand, if a shop keeper gives you goods on credit, it's an extension of goods and services; big difference).** The only way new money (which is not true money, but is "credit" representing a debt), goes into circulation is when it is borrowed from Illuminati Bankers. When the State and people borrow large sums, we seem to prosper. However, the Illuminati Bankers "create" only the amount of the principal of each loan, never the extra amount needed to pay the interest. **Therefore, the new money never equals the new added debt added.**

President Woodrow Wilson: "A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the Nation and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated governments in the World--no longer a government of free opinion, no longer a government of conviction, and vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress, of small groups of dominant men." Just before President Woodrow Wilson died, he is reported to have stated to friends that he had been "deceived" and that "I have betrayed my Country" referring to the Federal Reserve Act, passed during his Presidency in 1913.

The Biggest Problem on Earth is Organized Usury Parasitism as explained in Assorted Scriptures:

As Napoleon pointed out: "Terrorism, War & Bankruptcy are caused by the privatization of money, issued as a debt and compounded by interest "- he cancelled debt and interest in France - hence the Battle of Waterloo waged against him by the BankLords.

As Canadian **Prime Minister Mackenzie King** said in 1935 prior to nationalization of the Bank of Canada: "Once a nation parts with control of its currency and credit, it matters not who makes the nation's laws. Usury, once in control, will wreck any nation. Until the control of the issue of currency and credit is restored to government and recognized as its most sacred responsibility, all talk of the sovereignty of parliament and of democracy is idle and futile". (Nationalization of the Bank did not help as the Bank is not fulfilling its role of creating debt/usury free currency, instead, it lets the private commercial banks create money from nothing and then borrows from them as debt/interest, see Bank of Canada website "Commercial banks and other financial institutions provide the greater part of assets used as money through loans made to individuals and businesses. In that sense, financial institutions are creating money" <http://www.bankofcanada.ca/en/backgrounders/bg-m2.htm> .)

The word Usury in Hebrew is "nesek" and it means "BITING". It is condemned in Jewish books ONLY if usury is performed upon other Jews. No wonder usury is called nashak or the bite of a serpent in the Bible and as "devouring" in the Quran!

THE BIBLE: "The love of money is the root of all evil": (1 Timothy 6:10); "If thou lend money to any of my people that is poor by thee, thou shalt not be to him a usurer, neither shalt thou lay upon him usury." Exodus 22:25; "Take no usury of him, or increase ... thou shalt not give him thy money upon usury." Leviticus 25:36-37; "Unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury: That the Lord thy God bless thee." Deuteronomy 23:20; "The rich rule over the poor, and the borrower is servant to the lender." Proverbs 22:7; "The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high, and thou shalt come down very low. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him; he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail." Deut. 28:44-45; "The rich ruleth over the poor, and the borrower is servant to the lender "(Prophet Solomon's words in Proverbs 22:7); "The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high; and thou shalt come down very low. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him; he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail" Deut. 28:44-45; "It's the same fatal bite of that old Serpent the Devil and Satan which deceiveth the whole World" (Rev. 12:9); "The rich [usurers] rule over the poor, and the borrower is servant to the lender." Proverbs 22.7;

(For a Bible example of cancellation of debts to money lenders and restoration of property and money to the people, read Nehemiah 5:1-13. For a Quranic example, read the history of what happened when verses related to forbidding usury were revealed)

Yeshua-Joshua-Isa had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews in Matthew 23. He exposed them for the sort of people they were: "Hypocrites," "sons of hell," "blind guides," "fools," "full of robbery and self-indulgence," "whitewashed tombs...full of dead men's bones and all uncleanness," "full of hypocrisy and lawlessness," "partners with them in shedding the blood of the prophets", "serpents and brood of vipers", "den of thieves", "Synagogue of Satan", Rev. 2:9 "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the Synagogue of Satan", "Ye are of your father, the devil ... He was a murderer from the beginning ... he is a liar and the father of it."

Pharisees: At the ancient temple in Jerusalem during Yeshua-Joshua-Issa's time two thousand years ago, the Pharisees money-changers used the Jewish and Sun-god temples to collect interest. Yeshua-Joshua was so upset by the sight of the money changers in the temple (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews, he waded in and started to tip over the tables and drive them out with a whip, this being the one and only time we ever hear of him using force during his entire ministry. So what caused the ultimate pacifist to become so aggressive? For a long time the Jews had been called upon to pay their temple tax with a special coin called the half shekle. It was a measured half ounce of pure silver with no image of a pagan emperor on it. It was to them the only coin acceptable to God (actually to the Pharisees). But because there was only a limited number of these coins in circulation, the money changers were in a buyers market and like with anything else in short supply, they were able to raise the price to what the market would bear. They made huge profits with their monopoly on these coins and turned this time of devotion into a mockery for profit. Yeshua-Joshua saw this as stealing from the people and proclaimed the whole setup to be "A den of thieves". Yeshua-Joshua' exposing of the money changers made him a target for them and their leader Caiaphas.

THE QURAN (THE READ): Surah/Chapter 4, ayah/verses 153-161: **That they took usury though they were forbidden it, and through it devoured men's substance wrongfully.**" "You who believe, devour not usury, doubled and multiplied; but fear God that you may prosper" C3-V130. Chapter II verse 275: Those who devour usury will not stand except as stands one whom the evil one by his touch has driven to madness. That is because they say "Trade is like usury" but GOD has permitted trade and forbidden usury. Those who after receiving direction from their LORD desist shall be pardoned. For the past, their case is with GOD. But those who repeat the offence are companions of the fire (misery), they will abide therein forever. Chapter II verse 278: O you who believe! Fear GOD and give up what remains of your demand for usury if you are indeed believers." "If the debtor is in a difficulty, grant him time till it is easy for him to repay. But if you remit it by way of charity, that is best for you if you only realized it" C2-V280;

The Prophet Mohammad said around the beginning of the 7th century: ..."A time will come upon people when almost everyone will eat from usury, to the extent that those who refrain from it will be exposed to its 'dust'" (I. Ma'ja, Tijara, 58; I. Hanbal, 2.494; Nasa'i, Buyu, 2). The Prophet Mohammed's last sermon: "...Return the goods entrusted to you to their rightful owners. Hurt no one so that no one may hurt you. Remember that you will indeed meet your LORD, and that HE will indeed reckon your deeds. GOD has forbidden you to take usury; therefore all interest obligations shall henceforth be waived. Your capital, however, is yours to keep. You will neither inflict nor suffer any inequity..."

Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England: "Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back."

"No State shall...make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." Article I, Section 10, United States' Constitution

"The Congress shall have power...to coin money (and) regulate the value thereof." Article I, Section 8, United States' Constitution.

Representative Wright Patman, former Chairman of a House Banking Committee said: "The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government bonds... The Federal Reserve Bank is a total money making machine." [they have the monopoly on counterfeiting money]

In 1913, before the Senate Banking and Currency Committee, Mr. Alexander stated: "But the whole scheme of a Federal Reserve Bank with its commercial-paper basis is an impractical, cumbersome machinery; is simply a cover, to find a way to secure the privilege of issuing money and to evade payment of as much tax upon circulation as possible, and then control the issue and maintain, instead of reduce, interest rates. It is a system that, if inaugurated, will prove to the advantage of the few and the detriment of the people of the United States. It will mean continued shortage of actual money and further extension of credits; for when there is a lack of real money people have to borrow credit to their cost."

Senator Nelson Aldrich (one of the co-conspirators of the 1913 Federal Reserve Act): "Before passage of this Act, the New York Bankers could only dominate the reserves of New York. Now, we are able to dominate the bank reserves of the entire country."

Former Federal Reserve Bank Chairman Eccles was asked by Patman, "Mr. Eccles, how did you get the money to buy these two billion dollars of government bonds." Mr. Eccles replied, "We create it." "Out of what?" Patman asked. "Out of the right to issue credit money", i.e. out of nothing. This right to create money out of thin air was given to the Private Federal Reserve Bank by a fraudulent act of Congress in 1913 known as the Federal Reserve Act, same fraud has been happening since the 17th century with the Private Bank of England and other banks. The FED issues Federal Reserve cheques redeemable as Federal Reserve Notes only with no liability to itself. The Fed is not subject to taxes and full audits (remember what happened to Ronald Reagan when he tried to get a full audit). The local banks create credit money as well but not private currency notes any more. Read later about how the most central banks are privately owned outright or de-facto. Mr. Marriner Eccles, who was Chairman of the board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System longer than any other man, testified before the Joint Economic Committee in August 1962. When **Chairman Rep. Wright Patman** asked whether it was not a fact that the Federal Reserve System has more power than either the Congress or the President, **Eccles replied:** "In the field of money and credit, yes."

A quote from a 1924 edition of the American Banker's Association Digest sums up what is currently happening. Keep this in mind when you vote: "When, through the process of law, the common people lose their homes, they will become more docile and more easily governed through the strong arm of government applied by a central power of wealth under leading financiers. These truths are well known among our principal men who are now engaged in forming imperialism to govern the World. By dividing the voter through the political party system, we can get them to expend their energies in fighting for questions of no importance."

The creation of US dollars from nothing by the Federal Reserve is mirrored by the World/Bank IMF creation of SDRs (special drawing rights). It is estimated that the IMF has produced \$30 billion dollars worth of SDR's so far. In the United States SDR's are already accepted as legal money, and all other member nations are being pressured to follow suit. With SDR's being partially backed by gold, a World gold standard is sneaking its way in through the back door, which comes with no objection from the money changers who now hold two-thirds of the Worlds gold and can use this to structure the Worlds economy to their further advantage.

Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

His relative Nathan Rothschild said (1777-1836): "I care not what puppet is placed on the throne of England to rule the Empire. The man who controls Britain's money supply controls the British Empire and I control the British money supply."

David Spangler, Director of Planetary Initiative, United Nations: "No one will enter the New World Order unless he or she will make a pledge to worship Lucifer. No one will enter the New Age unless he will take a LUCIFERIAN Initiation."

Harold Wallace Rosenthal in 1976, a Zionist administrative assistant to Senator Jacob K. Javits of New York, who like most Zionists and Illuminatis, pretends to be Jewish, when asked "Do you have knowledge of WHEN and WHY the story began about the Jews being God's chosen people?" said in part: "Most Jews do not like to admit it, but our god is Lucifer - so I wasn't lying -- and we are his chosen people. Lucifer is very much alive." http://www.anticchristconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold_Wallace_Rosenthal_Interview_1976.htm.

Dr. R.E. Search, "Lincoln Money Martyred", Omni Publications Hawthorne, California. 1935 (Reprinted 1977): Consider this: "One penny loaned January 1st, AC 1, drawing interest at the rate of 6% compounded annually, on January 1st, 1895, would amount to: £8,498,840,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000. To pay this in gold at the rate of 1.5 grams of gold to the pound sterling, using it in spheres of pure gold the size of the planet earth, would take: 610,070,000,000,000,000 such spheres to pay the debt.

The Illuminati Banking dynasties create the money for the loan out of nothing, i.e. just because they were granted this power by fraudy legislation which they bribed and maneuvered Kings and politicians into doing. They don't create the money to pay the interest though. When the banks put your interest payments back into circulation by acquiring good and services, then debtors are able to repay the interest, i.e. we have to work for the bank to repay the interest! The only way to keep this system going is to have governments and people borrow more and more to create the money to pay the interest. A great way to stop this pyramid scheme from collapsing temporarily is to have wars which cause all sides in the conflict to borrow.

Retired US Army Colonel De Grandpre: "The trigger for the 9-11 activity was the imminent and unstoppable Worldwide financial collapse which can only be prevented temporarily by a major war, perhaps to become known as World War III. To bring it off one more time, martial law will probably be imposed in the United States." (see transcript of his interview on the Feb 2004 Alex Jones Show Austin TX in the section about Fake Terror & 9-11)

George Mason of Virginia: "I have a mortal hatred of paper money."

John Langdon of New Hampshire: "I would rather reject the whole [Constitution] than grant the new government the right to issue fiat money."

George Reed of Delaware: "The right to issue fiat money would be as alarming as the mark of the beast in Revelation."

Thomas Paine: "The punishment of a member of Congress who should move for such a law ought to be death."

In 1910 US government debt stood at about \$1 billion or about \$12 per citizen. Now, its about \$15 trillion (all levels of government) or about \$50,000 per citizen owed to the private owners of the Federal Reserve Bank. Government and corporate debt is inherited. **Therefore, whenever we pay taxes or buy corporate products, we pay homage to the Illuminati owners of the private central banks because part of our money goes to pay interest to them on money which they created from nothing, through the power that was given to them by fraudulent legislation.** The total amount owed – by consumers, businesses, governments and financial institutions – totaled \$34.4 trillion at the end of 2003, according to the Federal Reserve. The economy produced \$11.3 trillion of output. That makes the nation's debt triple its gross domestic product. In 1933, debt was about 2 1/2 times GDP, according to a study by the Gabelli Mathers mutual fund. In 1694, the English government's debt to the private owned Bank of England was £1.25 million. In 1993, (11 years ago) the British taxpayers paid £24.5 billion alone in interest, more than twice that in the education budget! And who knows what the real figure is, since many things are kept secret. **When this pyramid collapses**, we would suddenly be in a very different World. In 1929, the stock market crashed, but the gold standard held. The monetary system held. Now, we are dealing with something that's more fundamental. The only precedent I know of is the Roman Empire collapse, which ended Roman currency. That was, of course, at a time when it took about a century and a half for the breakdown to spread through the empire; now it would take a few hours.

Buckminster Fuller: "To expose a 15 Trillion dollar rip-off of the American people by the stockholders of the 1000 largest corporations over the last 100 years will be a tall order of business."

Lewis vs. United States, 680 F. 2d 1239 9th Circuit 1982: "The regional Federal Reserve banks are not government agencies. ...but are independent, privately owned and locally controlled corporations."

The 'Federal Reserve' is not federal and has unproven reserves. It is a private, for Profit Corporation. The majority owners are the trans-Atlantic Illuminati BankLords. Look in the phone book of any city that has a 'Reserve Bank' such as San Francisco and you find the 'Federal Reserve' is listed not in the Blue government pages, but in the White pages, usually opposite the listing for Federal Express. The name is a deception to lull the public into believing that it is actually run by their government, while the reality is that a group of European Trans-Atlantic nationals led by the Rothschild and Rockefeller dynasties has complete control of America's money supply. **Also of note is the fact that America's money was taken off the Gold Standard in 1933, on April 19th making America's money completely FIAT, backed only by the government's promise to tax you at WHATEVER rate is necessary to repay the loans on the bonds that it issues** (April 19 was also the date of the failed TREASURY Dept. raid in Waco, Texas in 1993; The federal takedown of the organization known as the Covenant Sword and Arm of the Lord occurred on April 19, 1985. This was exactly 8 years prior to the infamous Massacre at Mount Carmel Waco).

Lewis vs. United States, 680 F. 2d 1239, 9th Circuit 1982: "The regional Federal Reserve banks are not government agencies. ...but are independent, privately owned and locally controlled corporations."

Sen. Barry Goldwater (Rep. AR): "Most Americans have no real understanding of the operation of the international money lenders. The accounts of the Federal Reserve System have never been fully audited. It operates outside the control of Congress and manipulates the credit of the United States"

Congressman Louis T. McFadden (Rep. Pa): "The Federal Reserve banks are one of the most corrupt institutions the World has ever seen. There is not a man within the sound of my voice who does not know that this nation is run by the International bankers."

Face this fact: The Fourth Estate is morally bankrupt just like the corrupt politicians and financial leaders for which they incessantly praise. Society is then trained to like those the media promotes and to dislike those that are demonized. Look at Oklahoma City bomber Timothy McVeigh; the media made great efforts to tie him with local militias, at the same time downplaying the fact that he was an ex-soldier that participated in the first Iraq Genocide. On the subject of patriots, the Battle of Lexington, which was the start of the War of Independence, commenced on April 19, 1775. The war was NOT fought due to high taxes on tea as you have been taught. It was fought for the reason of England passing a law preventing the Colonies from printing their own money (Continental Colonial Scrip) which was interest & debt free and forcing the colonists to accept the English banker's 'debt money' system through armed force backed by fraudulent legislation. Sounds familiar? **Now, let's go into some details on these startling discoveries!**

As explained in the chart below (<http://www.cyberclass.net/usuryfree.htm>), the Banking gangsters frustrate the people's desire for freedom:

Life Compass	Intellection Group	What People Desire Most	What People Desire Least	Powers that Advance or Frustrate Desires
Moral	Autonomy	Freedom	Slavery	
	Ethics	Good	Evil	
	Logic	Truth	Deceit	
Economic	Money	Steady Wealth	Debt	Bankers "Monopoly Capitalism & Trade" Usury, Eternal Debt to Money Creation Powers
	Justice	Justice	Corruption	Law
	Science & Technology	Un-biased science	Junk science	Arithmetic Science Technology
Spiritual	Theology			Cults & Cabals

What was the messiah Yeshua-Joshua (Yeshua/Issa) talking about?

When he said around 30 BC or so ...Yeshua-Joshua-Issa had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews in Matthew 23. He exposed them for the sort of people they were: "Hypocrites," "sons of hell," "blind guides," "fools," "full of robbery and self-indulgence," "whitewashed tombs...full of dead men's bones and all uncleanness," "full of hypocrisy and lawlessness," "partners with them in shedding the blood of the prophets," and "serpents and brood of vipers."

Ancient Egyptian crescent & solar disk. Note below the Pope holding a sister symbol crescent(lunette) and solar disk (Ψ Satanic mark). Note the Satanic dragon on the papal crest at the Vatican.



Notice that today's churches are infiltrated by the Bankers' agents and the Bible's injunction against usury and giving false measure is rarely preached and the Vatican Bank of Rome itself earns tons from usury; The Vatican is full of ancient Sun god worship symbols. Ancient Catholic Kings used to be buried facing east towards the rising sun in Pharaonic style. For example, halos like much of Vatican symbology, were borrowed from the ancient Egyptian religion of sun worship. December 25th was the ancient pagan holiday of sol Invictus "Unconquered Sun" coinciding with the winter solstice whereas the Bible points towards March as being close to the birthday of Yeshua (Yeshua-Joshua). The ritual of Christian canonization is taken from the ancient 'god-making' rite of Euhemerism. The practice of 'god-eating' or Holy Communion was borrowed from the Aztecs. The concept of Yeshua dying for mankind's sins appears in the earliest tradition of the Quetzalcoatl. The image of Yeshua portrayed as an old man with a white beard is taken from the Roman, Greek, sun, Mithraic pagan gods based on Zeus (hence some that that Ja-Zeus translated into English as Jesus whereas the real name from Aramaic is Yeshua). The practice that papal sarcophagi were above ground and never cemented shut and facing east is a throwback to the days of the pharaohs when sealing and burying a casket was believed to trap the deceased's soul inside. There are more currently 13 obelisks in Rome at least and here are some of the many ancient pagan symbols in the Vatican.

Bible Mat. 19:21-24: A rich man asked Yeshua-Joshua (Yeshua), "What is required for eternal life?" Yeshua-Joshua replied, "Follow the commandments." The man told Yeshua-Joshua that he had always kept the commandments and wanted to know what else was required. Yeshua-Joshua then him, "If thou wilt be perfect, go and sell that thou hast, and



told give



to the poor, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come and follow me". The man walked away very sad because he was wealthy. **Yeshua-Joshua told His disciples**, "And again I say unto you, it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle, than for a rich man to enter into the kingdom of God (Yahweh)". **In other words, money can tempt a person so much that it becomes his god!**

"And the Jews' passover was at hand, and Jesus went up to Jerusalem, And found in the temple those that sold oxen and sheep and doves, and the changers of money sitting: And when he had made a scourge of small cords, he drove them all out of the temple, and the sheep, and the oxen; and poured out the changers' money, and overthrew the tables." John 2:13-15 (KJV)

Secret Societies and Subversive Movements, page 260-264: "The 'Illumines' line of march is more prudent, more skillful, and consequently, more dangerous; instead of revolting the imagination by ideas of regicide, they affect the most generous sentiments: declamations on the unhappy state of the people, on the selfishness of courtiers, on measures of administration, on all acts of authority that may offer a pretext to declamations as a contrast to the seductive pictures of the felicity that awaits the nations under the system they (themselves) wish to establish, such is their manner of procedure, particularly in private. More circumspect in their writings, they usually disguise the poison they dare not proffer openly, under obscure metaphysics or more or less ingenious allegories. Often indeed texts from Holy Writ serve as an envelope and vehicle for these baneful insinuations..."

Ancient Rome/Babylon World's Usury Capitals (Bank Of Rome circa 47 AC)

Since Ancient Roman and Babylonian times usury – the lending of wealth at interest – has been one of the main causes of war and empire building. Nations such as Persia and Rome became great due to their massive debts incurred by borrowing money from wealthy nations. Later, unable to return the wealth, but rich and with great armies funded by this borrowed wealth, they soon realised a need to conquer these lending nations in order to nullify their debts. This was also the reason for the introduction of taxes, a global system which is in use right up to today. Today, most of the taxes go to pay the interest to the privately owned central banks whose owners created money out of nothing to lend to the governments. Another city that would play a major part in being a financial choke point for the World was established as The city of Londinium (The Crown) by the Romans on the north bank of the River Thames in around 50 AC. To this day, the financial square mile is run by the Bank of England (remember Bank Street).

Baron de Montesquieu, The Laws of Nations: "As lending upon interest was forbidden by the Gabinian law between provincials and Roman citizens, and the latter at that time had all the money of the globe in their hands, there was a necessity for tempting them with the bait of extravagant interest, to the end that the avaricious might thus lose sight of the danger of losing their money. And as they were men of great power in Rome, who awed the magistrates and overruled the laws, they were emboldened to lend, and to extort great usury. Hence the provinces were successively ravaged by every one who had any credit in Rome: and as each governor, at entering upon his province, published his edict wherein he fixed the rate of interest in what manner he pleased, the legislature played into the hands of avarice, and the latter served the mean purposes of the legislator"... "As soon as lending upon interest was forbidden at Rome, they contrived all sort of means to elude the law; and as their allies, and the Latins, were not subject to the civil laws of the Romans, they employed a Latin, or an ally, to lend his name, and personate the creditor. The law, therefore, had only subjected the creditors to a matter of form, and the public were not relieved". Some of the Samaritans were fake "Jews." The met in synagogues, observed the Passover and the other Jewish feasts etc., etc., but they were really Babylonians and money lending, USURY and loan sharking was no problem for them. They disappear from history about 600 A.C. but by that time they had completely supplanted the real true Torah Jews. Note that Jews are not Israelites but are non-Semitic Yaphites (to be explained later). Arabs and Israelites are Semites.

England's King Edward VI (1547-1553) outlawed usury by Parliamentary decree. His reign was cut short because he opposed the moneylenders. Later King James was murdered and King Charles I was beheaded and finally, the private Bank of England was chartered in 1694. After the Battle of Waterloo, the Rothschilds managed to take ownership of the Bank of England from its former private owners. The Rothschilds took over the bank after the Battle of Waterloo. It was a private venture under the House of Rothschild which has also maintained its influence since nationalization in 1947 when the Rothschilds received an immense amount of Fiduciary Bonds on which the nationalized Bank of England pays 12% forever and ever, so this is even better than owning it! Same thing for the Bank of France which was so called "nationalized" in 1946.

Thomas Jefferson: "... we must not let our rulers load us with perpetual debt...If we run into such debts as that we must be taxed in our meat and in our drink, in our necessities and comforts, in our labors and in our amusements, for our callings and our creeds...our people...must come to labor 16 hours in the 24, give the earnings of 15 of these to the government for their debts and daily expenses; and the 16th being insufficient to afford us bread,...We have no time to think, no means of calling the mis-managers to account; but be glad to obtain subsistence by hiring ourselves, to rivet their chains on the necks of our fellow sufferers. Our land holders, too...retaining indeed the title and stewardship of estates called theirs, but held really in trust for the treasury, . . .this is the tendency of all human governments. A departure from principle becomes a precedent for a second; that second for a third; and so on, till the bulk of society is reduced to mere automatons of misery, to have no sensibilities left but for sinning and suffering...And the fore horse of this frightful team is public debt. Taxation follows that, and in it's train, wretchedness and oppression."

Benjamin Franklin said that the American war for Independence was fought over money and currency (like every war) and the right of the Colonies to issue their own usury free currency apart from the Bank of England: "That is simple. In the Colonies, we issue our own paper money. It is called 'Continental Colonial Scrip.' We issue it in proper proportion to make the goods and pass easily from the producers to the consumers. In this manner, creating ourselves our own paper money, we control its purchasing power and we have no interest to pay to no one." "The refusal of King George to operate an honest colonial money system which freed the ordinary man from the clutches of the manipulators was probably the prime cause of the Revolution." "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters, had it not been that England took away from the Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dis-satisfaction." (In a conciliatory letter to a friend after the American Revolution, "Bulletin", February 1989 & November 1991 issues, P.O. Box 986, Ft. Collins, CO 80522). Instead of the interest free notes they issued as evidence of debt to each other, and which they used as a currency which will never multiply debt in proportion to the circulation, the colonists were required to use notes issued by the Bank of England on which they were required to pay interest. Franklin reported, "Within a year, the poor houses were filled. The hungry and homeless walked the streets everywhere."

The private owners of the Bank of England in 1765 demanded that the American Colonies pay taxes in British specie or coins which the people did not possess (this is quite similar to the situation at the time of Yeshua-Joshua-Issa where the Pharisees sitting in the Synagogues demanded that the Jews pay their temple tax with a special coin called the half shekle which was in short supply). If they had borrowed from the Bank of England to pay the tax, the end result would have been the same: foreclosure and bankruptcy with the Bank owning everything!! It's the same fatal bite of that old Serpent the Devil and Satan which deceiveth the whole World (Rev. 12:9). No wonder that usury is called nashak or the bite of a serpent in the Bible.

General Andrew Jackson said this about the private central bank called 2nd Bank of the U.S. which was re-chartered in 1816: "YOU ARE A DEN OF VIPERS AND THIEVES. I INTEND TO ROUT YOU OUT, AND BY THE ETERNAL GOD I WILL ROUT YOU OUT." And our HERO did exactly as he promised. He refused to renew the charter of the 2nd Bank and it died an unnatural death in 1836. The people were finally free of the Bank of England alias the "Bank" of Rome until the Civil War began in 1861 and the people were further enslaved when the privately owned Federal Reserve was established in 1913 (G. Edward Griffin said about the Fed that it is a cartel operating against the public interest, it's the supreme instrument of usury with the IRS acting as the collector, it generates our most unfair tax through inflation and bail outs, it encourages war, it destabilizes the economy, it discourages private capital formation, it cannot be fully audited, it does not pay taxes and it generates enormous profits for its owners.)

President Abraham Lincoln approached the banksters in order to finance the war. They wanted usury of 28% which Lincoln refused to pay. In order to circumvent the banksters he issued \$450,000,000 in GREENBACKS or U.S. Notes. These GREENBACKS were non usury paying notes and were backed by the credit of the nation. Of course the banksters were furious. Had President Lincoln lived and finished out his 2nd term he would have forever closed the door to the malicious influence of the "Bank" of Rome. On April 15, 1865, he fell a victim to the leaden bullet of the assassin John Wilkes Booth — another tool of the moneylenders!! In fact, 6 US presidents (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if we count FDR's poisoning) were murdered because of their opposition to private central banks who can create interest-bearing money from nothing.

William Cobbett, the author of "Rural Rides" and MP in the reformed Parliament of 1832, wrote: "I set to work to read the Act of Parliament by which the Bank of England was created, and all the Acts about loans, and funds, and dividends, and payings, and sinking funds and I soon began to perceive that the fate of the Kingdom must finally turn upon what should be done with that accursed thing called the National Debt. The sum at first borrowed was a mere trifle. The inventors knew well what they were about. Their design was to mortgage by degrees the whole of the country... to those who would lend money to the State... the deep scheme has from its ominous birth been breeding usurers of every description, feeding and fattening on the vitals of the country, till it has produced what the World never saw before - starvation in the midst of abundance!"

John D. Rockefeller (Mr. Usury) in 1872 and later one of the owners of the Federal Reserve Bank in 1913: "Among the early experiences that were helpful to me that I recollect with pleasure was one in working a few days for a neighbour in digging potatoes—a very enterprising, thrifty farmer, who could dig a great many potatoes. I was a boy of perhaps thirteen or fourteen years of age, and it kept me very busy from morning until night. It was a ten-hour day. And as I was saving these little sums I soon learned that I could get as much interest for fifty dollars loaned at seven per cent. — the legal rate in the state of New York at that time for a year—as I could earn by digging potatoes for 100 days. The impression was gaining ground with me that it was a good thing to let the money be my slave and not make myself a slave to money" (Ida Tarbell, History of the Standard Oil Co., p.41).

Napoleon Bonaparte: "I was compelled to proclaim laws against them for their usury, and the peasants of Elace have rendered me their thanks."

Dr. R.E. Search, "Lincoln Money Martyred", Omni Publications Hawthorne, California. 1935 (Reprinted 1977): Consider this: "One penny loaned January 1st, AC 1, drawing interest at the rate of 6% compounded annually, on January 1st, 1895, would amount to: £8,498,840,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000.00. To pay this in gold at the rate of 1.5 grams of gold to the pound sterling, using it in spheres of pure gold the size of the planet earth, would take: 610,070,000,000,000,000 such spheres to pay the debt.

The Illuminati Banking dynasties don't create the money to pay the interest. The only way to keep this system going is to have governments and people borrow more and more to create the money to pay the interest. A great way to stop this pyramid scheme from collapsing temporarily is to have wars which cause all sides in the conflict to borrow.

Retired US Army Colonel De Grandpre: "The trigger for the 9-11 activity was the imminent and unstoppable Worldwide financial collapse which can only be prevented temporarily by a major war, perhaps to become known as World War III. To bring it off one more time, martial law will probably be imposed in the United States." (see transcript of his interview on the Feb 2004 Alex Jones Show Austin TX in the section about Fake Terror & 9-11)

Usury by Wilfrid Price (deceased): I hope that I have proved beyond all possible doubt that there is a fraudulent usury operating throughout the World, that the people produce the real wealth through their farms, workshops, factories, offices, transport, etc., i.e., the goods and services in the shops and warehouses, yet we have a parasitic group of banks and financial houses that create money based on all this real wealth and load the communities with huge cheating debts created out of empty air. Does it require much imagination to see that this usury cripples the economy of the World as a whole? Technically advanced nations are continually held up from carrying out worthwhile projects though there is plenty of man-power, materials and equipment to do so. Can anyone argue that, if a thing is physically possible then it automatically should be financially possible? However, the environment is being destroyed, especially in the Third World. Does anybody doubt that being able to create money out of nothing, as well as knowing everyone's financial state gives the big banks tremendous power? I hope the evidence I have given in this paper added to natural deduction should make every reader certain about it. There is evidence that the banks are heading towards a World totalitarian state which is probably why they helped the political totalitarians, Lenin and Hitler, to succeed. The Bankers have had some hundreds of years to learn how to hold on to power. They do it by their ability to keep in the background -to be the 'power behind the throne' in fact, though it has to be demonstrated to people in high places at times. They back opposing political factions and they get their agents into businesses, political parties, religious bodies, organisations like the Bilderberg Club, CFR, PNAC, Masons, trade unions, in fact in any sizeable body. In the British Parliamentary system the three parties have been well penetrated. Nobody who thinks would deny that the Tories are controlled by the City, but there is reason to believe that the same has happened with the Liberal Democrats and the Labour Party. The banks hold 'Bilderberger' meetings, so-called because they first took place at the Bilderberger Hotel in Holland. Tony Blair, Gordon Brown, and others in the Labour Party have attended them. There is also the 'Trilateral' association between Bankers and governments, and one or two others. In short, in the Western states there is not real democracy, but plutocracies disguised as democracies and all going towards a World totalitarian system. There is a policy to combat this evil. It is called Social Credit; this contends that every country should have full control over its own money system with the creation of credit solely exercised by public bodies responsible to the elected governments of each country.

In 1910 US government debt stood at about \$1 billion or about \$12 per citizen. Now, its about \$15 trillion (all levels of government) or about \$50,000 per citizen owed to the private owners of the Federal Reserve Bank. Government and corporate debt is inherited.

Therefore, whenever we pay taxes or buy corporate products, we pay homage to the owners of the private central banks because part of the money goes to pay interest to them.

The total amount owed – by consumers, businesses, governments and financial institutions – totaled \$34.4 trillion at the end of 2003, according to the Federal Reserve. The economy produced \$11.3 trillion of output. That makes the nation's debt triple its gross domestic product. In 1933, debt was about 2 1/2 times GDP, according to a study by the Gabelli Mathers mutual fund. When this pyramid collapses, we would suddenly be in a very different World. In 1929, the stock market crashed, but the gold standard held. The monetary system held. Now, we are dealing with something that's more fundamental. The only precedent I know of is the Roman Empire collapse, which ended Roman currency. That was, of course, at a time when it took about a century and a half for the breakdown to spread through the empire; now it would take a few hours.

Richard Maybury: "Washington...has become an alien city-state that rules America, and much of the rest of the World, in the way that Rome ruled the Roman Empire."

More on Usury: Everything We Need to Know About Usury But Were Afraid to Find Out

REMEMBER, REAL OR FIAT MONEY DOES NOT CREATE GOODS & SERVICES. WORK CREATES GOODS & SERVICES!!!

Usury is forbidden to Christianity. The Islamic equivalent is "Riba." Riba is forbidden to both the giver and taker. Judaism forbids usury among the Semitic, but preaches usury against the non-Semitic. Mayer Amschel Rothschild was prepared by his father to become a Rabbi. Ferociously, he deployed the teachings of unearned profit instead to multiply an embezzled fortune into the House of Rothschild — precursor to the modern, World-wide network of central banks. The money changers of Christ's famous episode at the over-run Temple, practiced this ethic of unearned profit, and unfair trade. Fittingly, usury has been called, an abomination that makes desolation. Hence the great historic, social, and religious significance of usury. The Jews forbade the practice of usury within their own community, while they permitted it to be practiced against outsiders. This is one of the many instances of the Pharisees having altered the revelations in open rebellion against God.

Under today's usury system, new debt always exceeds the new money no matter how much or how little is borrowed, the total debt increasingly outstrips the amount of money available to pay the debt. The people can never, ever get out of debt! An example will show the viciousness of this usury-debt system with its "built in" shortage of money. If \$60,000 IS BORROWED at 14% for 30 years, \$255,931.20 MUST BE PAID BACK. However, and this is the fatal flaw in a usury system, the only new money created and put into circulation is the amount of the loan, \$60,000. The money to pay the interest is NOT created, and therefore was NOT added to "money in circulation." There is therefore no way all debtors can pay off the money lenders. As they pay the principle and interest, the money in circulation disappears. All they can do is struggle against each other, borrowing more and more from the money lenders each generation. The money lenders (Illuminati Bankers), who produce nothing of value, slowly, then more rapidly, gain a death grip on the land, building, and present and future earnings of the whole working population.

Thomas A. Edison (New York Times, 6th December 1921): "People who will not turn a shovel of dirt on the project, nor contribute a pound of material, will collect more money, from the United States, than will the people, who supply all the material and do all the work. This is the terrible thing about interest (usury) ... But here is the point: If the nation can issue a dollar bond, it can also issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good, makes the bill good, also. The difference, between the bond and the bill, is that the bond lets the money-broker collect twice the amount of the bond, and an additional 20%. Whereas the currency, the honest sort provided by the Constitution, pays nobody but those who contribute in some useful way. It is absurd, to say that our country can issue bonds, and cannot issue currency. Both are promises to pay, but one fattens the usurer and the other helps the people. If the currency issued by the people were no good, then the bonds would be no good, either. It is a terrible situation, when the Government, to insure the national wealth, must go in debt and submit to ruinous interest charges, at the hands of men, who control the fictitious value of gold. Interest is the invention of Satan." "If our nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good makes the bill good... It is absurd to say that our country can issue \$30,000,000 in bonds and not \$30,000,000 in currency. Both are promises to pay; but one fattens the usurer, and the other helps the people."

US Constitution: "Congress shall have the power to coin money and regulate the value thereof." This was written in the hope that it would prevent "love of money" from destroying the Republic. "No State shall enter into any treaty, alliance, or confederation; grant letters of marque and reprisal; coin money; emit letters of credit; make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts; pass any bill of attainder, ex post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts, or grant any title of nobility." (Article I, Section 10)

We shall see how subversion of Article I has brought on us the Bible Proverbs 22:7, i.e. the borrowers have become the servants to the lenders. **Note that throughout history, people with hidden agendas have always tried to confuse (Babylon means confusion) usury with trading and slavery with business.**

T. David Horton, Chairman of the Executive Council of the Defenders of the American Constitution, able Lawyer and keen student of basic American history: "Under the Constitution, the Congress has responsibility of issuing the nation's money and regulating its value Art. 1, Sec 8, Cl. 5... enable the Congress to resume its Constitutional responsibilities to regulate our nation's money by liberating our economy from the swindle of the debt-money manipulators by the issuance of national currency in debt fee form ... We have a certain amount of non-interest bearing money in circulation, all of our fractional currency, pennies, nickels, dimes, quarters, and half dollars. They are manufactured in our mints, and are paid into circulation, circulate freely, and provide the government with a valuable source of revenue. From 1966 through 1970 the amount of seignorage paid into the treasury by the mints amounted to in excess of 4 billion dollars; the profit ratio on this type of currency is 6 to 1, or currency 6 times the cost of production. The cost ratio for the private Federal Reserve Notes is 600 to 1; however, during these same four years, 1986 through 1970, 50 billion dollars in Federal Reserve Notes were manufactured by the bureau of printing and engraving and turned over to the banks; not one cent in seignorage was paid over to the Treasury. ... Our Debt money system compels the government to spend more than it takes in, because this is the only way we can keep the economy going..."

Why is USURY FORBIDDEN? (Latin usus meaning "used" and the word usuria which means demanding in return for a loan a greater amount than was borrowed) There have been many leading figures in history who have condemned usury and the power that goes with it. Besides those quoted, there were Benjamin Franklyn, Lord Acton, Ruskin, Dr Temple, (former Archbishop of Canterbury), Augustus John, (the painter), Dr M.A. Phillips, (creator of M&B 693), Professor Soddy, (the atomic physicist), and others. It often helps us to understand something if we examine origins. Throughout recorded history the practice of usury, along with the practice of giving false measure, has been condemned. Aristotle and Plato in ancient Greece denounced it as well as prophets in the Old Testament and early Christians. Yeshua-Joshua, it should be remembered, drove usurers out of the Temple in Jerusalem and this played a part in bringing about his apparent crucifixion by the Roman Pharisee King Pailatoos (Pilates). Buddhists have condemned it and the Quran does so as well (and probably other religious and non-religious books). While the old usurers at least lent their own money, the modern ones, the Bankers, are able to create it out of nothing.

St. Thomas Aquinas, the leading theologian of the Catholic Church, argued charging of interest is wrong because it applies to "double charging", charging for both the thing and the use of the thing. Aquinas said that a lender charges for the loan by requiring the loan to be paid back, in other words, the payback for the loan is the charge for the loan. Any further charge is a charge for using the loan. Aquinas said this would morally wrong in the same way as if one sold a bottle of wine, charged for the bottle of wine, and then charged for the person using the wine to actually drink it (which is the de-facto transaction when you take the loan and buy something with it).

Usury (in the original sense of any interest) is scripturally and doctrinally forbidden in many religions. [Judaism](#) forbids a Jew to lend at interest to another Jew. It's forbidden in [Islam](#). The most recent [Catholic](#) teaching on usury is by Pope Benedict XIV in his [Vix Pervenit](http://papal-library.saint-mike.org/BenedictXIV/Encyclicals/Vix_Pervenit.html) from 1745 which strictly forbids the practice, though given the modern day Catholic Church's own bank and investments in the banking industry, the prohibition has to be regarded as a dead letter. While Jewish law forbids the charging of interest to another Jew, Jews are not forbidden to charge interest on transactions to non-Jews. Throughout history, the interest attached to loans by Jews to non-Jews is widely considered to have been a central issue in causing a perception of usury, and contributing to a climate of anti-Semitism. Allegations of usury have been one factor leading to forceful confiscations of property and discrimination against Jews in business practice. Ethnic-based distinctions surrounding the application of interest charges are often perceived as pronounced, discriminatory and unjust, and can inflame existing ethnic divisions. Usury and giving false measure has been denounced by almost every major spiritual leader and philosopher of the past three thousand years. [Plato](#), [Aristotle](#), [Cato](#) (De Re Rustica), [Cicero](#), [Seneca](#), [Plutarch](#), [Aquinas](#), [Yeshua-Joshua](#), [Moses](#) and [Muhammad](#) are just a few.

Biblical Injunctions Against Usury (sample):

Timothy 6:10: "The love of money is the root of all evil"

Exodus 22:25: If thou lend money to any of my people that is poor by thee, thou shalt not be to him as an usurer, neither shalt thou lay upon him usury.

Leviticus 25:36: Take thou no usury of him, or increase: but fear thy God; that thy brother may live with thee.

Leviticus 25:3:7 Thou shalt not give him thy money upon usury, nor lend him thy victuals for increase.

Deuteronomy 23:19: Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother; usury of money, usury of victuals, usury of any thing that is lent upon usury.

Deut. 23:20: Unto a stranger thou mayest lend upon usury; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury: that the LORD thy God may bless thee in all that thou settest thine hand to in the land whither thou goest to possess it.

Deut. 28:44-45: "The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high, and thou shalt come down very low. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him; he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail."

Proverbs 22:7: "The rich [usurers] rule over the poor, and the borrower is servant to the lender." Prophet Solomon's words

Rev. 12:9: "It's the same fatal bite of that old Serpent the Devil and Satan which deceiveth the whole World"

Matthew 25:27 Thou oughtest therefore to have put my money to the exchanges, and then at my coming I should have received mine own with usury.

Nehemiah 5:7 Then I consulted with myself, and I rebuked the nobles, and the rulers, and said unto them, Ye exact usury, every one of his brother. And I set a great assembly against them.

Nehemiah 5:10 I likewise, and my brethren, and my servants, might exact of them money and corn: I pray you, let us leave off this usury.

Psalms 15:5 He that putteth not out his money to usury, nor taketh reward against the innocent. He that doeth these things shall never be moved.

Proverbs 28:8 He that by usury and unjust gain increaseth his substance, he shall gather it for him that will pity the poor.

Isaiah 24:2 And it shall be, as with the people, so with the priest; as with the servant, so with his master; as with the maid, so with her mistress; as with the buyer, so with the seller; as with the lender, so with the borrower; as with the taker of usury, so with the giver of usury to him.

Jeremiah 15:10 Woe is me, my mother, that thou hast borne me a man of strife and a man of contention to the whole earth! I have neither lent on usury, nor men have lent to me on usury; yet every one of them doth curse me.

Ezekiel 18:8 He that hath not given forth upon usury, neither hath taken any increase, that hath withdrawn his hand from iniquity, hath executed true judgment between man and man,

Ezekiel 18:13 Hath given forth upon usury, and hath taken increase: shall he then live? he shall not live: he hath done all these abominations; he shall surely die; his blood shall be upon him.

Ezekiel 18:17 That hath taken off his hand from the poor, that hath not received usury nor increase, hath executed my judgments, hath walked in my statutes; he shall not die for the iniquity of his father, he shall surely live.

Ezekiel 22:12 In thee have they taken gifts to shed blood; thou hast taken usury and increase, and thou hast greedily gained of thy neighbors by extortion, and hast forgotten me, saith the Lord GOD.

Quranic Injunctions Against Usury (sample):

Al-Baqarah 2:275 Those who charge usury are in the same position as those controlled by the devil's influence. This is because they claim that usury is the same as commerce. However, God permits commerce, and prohibits usury. Thus, whoever heeds this commandment from his Lord, and refrains from usury, he may keep his past earnings, and his judgment rests with God. As for those who persist in usury, they incur Hell, wherein they abide forever

Al-Baqarah 2:276-280 God condemns usury, and blesses charities. God dislikes every disbeliever, guilty. O you who believe, you shall observe God and refrain from all kinds of usury, if you are believers. If you do not, then expect a war from God and His messenger. But if you repent, you may keep your capitals, without inflicting injustice, or incurring injustice. If the debtor is unable to pay, wait for a better time. If you give up the loan as a charity, it would be better for you, if you only knew.

Al-Imran 3:130 O you who believe, you shall not take usury, compounded over and over. Observe God, that you may succeed.

Al-Nisa 4:161 And for practicing usury, which was forbidden, and for consuming the people's money illicitly. We have prepared for the disbelievers among them painful retribution.

Al-Rum 30:39 The usury that is practiced to increase some people's wealth, does not gain anything at God. But if you give to charity, seeking God's pleasure, these are the ones who receive their reward many fold.

Why are usury and giving false measure forbidden (productive and unproductive)?

- It causes the never ending spiral of inflation created when fiat money is created for non-productive use. It causes people to fight to catch up to inflation. This "inflation" benefits the private money-lenders since it wipes out savings of one generation so they can not finance or help the next generation who must then borrow from the money-lenders and pay a large part of their life's labor to the usurer.
- It makes people & companies compete for money instead of competing for markets and resources.
- It encourages greed and fear of scarcity (in fact, the job of the Illuminati central banks is to create and maintain that currency scarcity) and the direct consequence is that we have to fight with each other in order to survive. Money is created when banks lend it into existence. When a bank provides you with a \$100,000 mortgage, it creates only the principal, which you spend and which then circulates in the economy. The bank expects you to pay back \$200,000 over the next 20 years, but it doesn't create the second \$100,000 - the interest. Instead, the bank sends you out into the tough World to battle against everybody else to bring back the second \$100,000.
- It creates losers and winners, just like gambling and lotteries. Some have to default on their loan in order for others to get the money needed to pay off that interest. Increased interest costs automatically determine a larger proportion of necessary bankruptcies. So when the bank verifies your "creditworthiness," it is really checking whether you are capable of competing and winning against other players - able to extract the second \$100,000 that was never created. And if you fail in that game, you lose your house or whatever other collateral you had to put up.
- It influences the unemployment rate and creates unemployment.
- It encourages hoarding and creates Tyrants who want to control the issue of money on which they can demand interest for ever. Today's official monetary system has almost nothing to do with the real economy. Just to give you an idea, 1995 statistics indicate that the volume of currency exchanged on the global level is \$1.3 trillion per day. This is 30 times more than the daily gross domestic product (GDP) of all of the developed countries (OECD) together. The annual GDP of the United States is turned in the market every three days! Of that volume, only 2 or 3 percent has to do with real trade or investment; the remainder takes place in the speculative global cyber-casino. This means that the real economy has become relegated to a mere frosting on the speculative cake, an exact reversal of how it was just two decades ago.
- It creates monetary crises. For one thing, power has shifted irrevocably away from governments toward the financial markets. When a government does something not to the liking of the market - like the British in '91, the French in '94 or the Mexicans in '95 - nobody sits down at the table and says "you shouldn't do this." A monetary crisis simply manifests in that currency. So a few hundred people, who are not elected by anybody and have no collective responsibility whatsoever, decide what your pension fund is worth - among other things.
- It creates crashes. George Soros, who's made part of his living doing what I used to do - speculating in currencies - concluded, "Instability is cumulative, so that eventual breakdown of freely floating exchanges is virtually assured." Joel Kurtzman, ex-editor at the Harvard Business Review, entitles his latest book: The Death of Money and forecasts an imminent collapse due to speculative frenzy. Just to see how this could happen: all the OECD Central Banks' reserves together represent about \$640 billion. So in a crisis situation, if all the Central Banks were to agree to work together (which they never do) and if they were to use all their reserves (which is another thing that never happens) they have the funds to control only half the volume of a normal day of trading. In a crisis day, that volume could easily double or triple, and the total Central Bank reserves would last two or three hours. In 1929, the stock market crashed, but the gold standard held. The monetary system held. Here, we are dealing with something that's more fundamental. The only precedent I know of is the Roman Empire collapse, which ended Roman currency. That was, of course, at a time when it took about a century and a half for the breakdown to spread through the empire; now it would take a few hours.
- It encourages people to store value in money and let the money grow instead of working, and it concentrates the wealth into the hands of the issuer of money, i.e. the Illuminati Banking Dynasties. In a non-interest money system where money would be created and issued by the sovereign government debt-free and interest-free, people would only use money as a medium of exchange and not as a store for value. That would create work, because it would encourage circulation, and it would invert the short-term incentive system. Instead of cutting trees down to put the money in the bank and make the money grow with interest, you would want to invest your money in living trees or in installing insulation in your house.
- It encourages harmful economic activities with discounted cash flows. That means that under our current system it makes sense to cut down trees and put the money in the bank; the money in the bank will grow faster than trees. It makes sense to "save" money by building poorly insulated houses because the discounted cost of the extra energy over the lifetime of the house is cheaper than insulating. We can, however, design a monetary system that does the opposite; it actually creates long-term thinking through what is called a "demurrage charge." In other words, we create a negative rather than a positive interest rate. What would that do? If I gave you a \$100 bill and told you that a month from now you're going to have to pay \$1 to keep the money valid, what would you do? I suppose I would try to invest it in something else. You got it. You know the expression, "Money is like manure; it's only good when it's spread out." In the Gesell system, people would only use money as a medium of exchange, but not as a store for value. That would create work, because it would encourage circulation, and it would invert the short-term incentive system. Instead of cutting trees down to put the money in the bank, you would want to invest your money in living trees or installing insulation in your house.
- It reduces the quality of life. There are many examples in the past of interest-free societies in ancient Egypt, Mandarin China, Saxon Europe, Saracen Islamic empire, 1935 Germany, etc. Recent studies have revealed that

the quality of life for the common laborer in Europe was the highest in the 12th to 13th centuries; perhaps even higher than today. When you can't keep savings in the form of money, you invest them in something that will produce value in the future. So this form of money created an extraordinary boom.

- It creates inequalities and breakdown in communities, which create tensions that result in violence and wars.
- It encourages wars. The private owners of the central banks provide loans for wars and collect huge amounts of interest.
- It works to concentrate wealth towards an elite group of multinational investors. Trade treaties, the IMF and World Bank were aimed at defrauding Third World countries so that the markets and banks of the First World countries could survive via economic expansion and debt transference. Most of the World's countries have a lower standard of living than 20 years ago!
- **It's a giant pyramid scheme with the Illuminati Banking dynasties at the top, then the United States and the Third World Masses at the bottom! Nations borrow Special Drawing Right (SDR) from the International Monetary Fund in order to pay interest on their mounting debts. With these SDR's produced at no cost, the IMF charges more interest. This, contrary to bold claims, does not alleviate poverty or further any development. It just creates a steady flow of wealth from borrowing nations to the money changers who now control the IMF and the World Bank. The permanent debt of Third World Countries is constantly being increased to provide temporary relief from the poverty being caused by previous borrowing. These repayments already exceed the amount of new loans. By 1992 Africa's debt had reached \$290 billion dollars, which is two and a half times greater than it was in 1980. A noble attempt to repay it has caused increased infant mortality and unemployment, plus deteriorating schools, and general health and welfare problems. If a country cannot pay, its natural resources and other assets are sold off the pay the interest to the Illuminati Bankers. As World resources continue to be sucked into this insatiable black hole of greed, if allowed to continue the entire World will face a similar fate. **As one Prominent Brazilian Politician put it. "The Third World War has already started. It is a silent war. Not, for that reason, any less sinister. The war is tearing down Brazil, Latin America, and practically all the Third World. Instead of soldiers dying, there are children. It is a war over the Third World debt, one which has as its main weapon, interest, a weapon more deadly than the atom bomb, more shattering than a laser beam." It is estimated the IMF has produced \$30 billion dollars worth of SDR's so far. In the United States SDR's are already accepted as legal money, and all other member nations are being pressured to follow suit. With SDR's being partially backed by gold, a World gold standard is sneaking its way in through the back door, which comes with no objection from the money changers who now hold two-thirds of the Worlds gold and can use this to structure the Worlds economy to their further advantage.****
- It encourages lies, deceptions, fake-terror and propaganda by the Illuminati Bankers who want to protect their cash cow. To maintain and move forward with their cash cow, they have to project deceptive fronts of capitalism, democracy, religion and anti-Semitism behind which they carry out their plans (Orwellian tactics from the book "1984"). G. Edward Griffin explains (details later in several sections of this book) how the owners of the privately controlled central bank known as the US Federal Reserve use their power to create money tax-free and use their unauditible profits to acquire control over the power centers of society, i.e. those groups and institutions through which individuals live and act and rely on for their information. They are buying control over the organizations, groups and institutions that control people, politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it, and particularly over those organizations that represent opposition to themselves. This process has gone on not only to a marked degree in America and in the other industrialized nations of the World, but it has gone on in the underdeveloped nations to such a degree that we would say the process is now complete. They own these countries already. The money goes to the politicians of those countries, to their governments and the money is spent to strengthen their power structures, their ability to control their populations. They create a well-equipped army (note the \$30 billion for hiring mercenaries to train the new armies in Afghanistan and Iraq), a better bureaucracy, for total control of their subjects. That's where the money's being spent.

Horace Greely 1811-1872: "While boasting of our noble deeds, we are careful to control the ugly fact that by an iniquitous money system, we have nationalized a system of oppression which, though more refined, is not less cruel than the old system of chattel slavery."

If the money is issued by a privately owned corporation, its owners benefit by causing the money amount and its value to fluctuate and causing the people to go into debt and pay interest permanently through taxes. Under the present debt-usury system, the extra burden of usury forces workers and businesses to demand more money for the work and goods to pay their ever increasing debts and taxes. This increase, in prices and wages and taxes, is called "inflation". Illuminati Bankers, politicians and "economists" blame it on everything, but the real cause is the usury levied on money and debt by the Illuminati Bankers. This "inflation" benefits the money-lenders since it wipes out savings of one generation so they can not finance or help the next generation who must then borrow from the money-lenders and pay a large part of their life's labor to the usurer. With an adequate supply of interest-free money created by the sovereign Government, little borrowing would be required, and prices would be established by people and goods, and not by debts and usury.

The financial system that the World has evolved on is the privately owned Bank of England USURY model and it is not sustainable. It creates nearly all money as debt. Such money only exists as long as someone is willing and able to pay interest on it. It disappears, wholly or partially, in recurring financial crises. Such a system requires that new debt must be created faster than principal and interest payments fall due on old debt. A sustainable financial system would enable

the real economy to be maintained decade after decade and century after century at its full employment potential without recurring inflation and recession. By this standard, a financial system that creates money only through the creation of debt is inherently unsustainable. When a bank makes a loan, the principal amount of the loan is added to the borrower's bank balance. The borrower, however, has promised to repay the loan plus interest even though the loan has created only the amount of money required to repay the principal-but not the amount of the interest. Therefore unless indebtedness continually grows it is impossible for all loans to be repaid as they come due. Furthermore, during the life of a loan some of the money will be saved and re-lent by individual bond purchasers, by savings banks, insurance companies etc. These loans do not create new money, but they do create debt. While we use only one mechanism-bank loans-to create money, we use several mechanisms to create debt, thus making it inevitable that debt will grow faster than the money with which to pay it. Recurring cycles of inflation, recession, and depression are a nearly inevitable consequence. If, in the attempt to arrest the price inflation resulting from an excessive rate of debt formation, the monetary authorities raise the rate of interest, the result is likely to be a financial panic. This in turn may result in a sharp cutback in borrowing. Monetary authorities respond to bail out the system by increasing bank reserves. Governments may also respond by increasing the public debt-risking both inflation and growing government deficits. Let's keep something in mind while reading this folks, increasing the money supply is not in and of itself a bad thing. However, the way the Fed does it is ridiculous. First of all every dollar introduced into the economy is based on debt! New money cannot be created unless new debt is created. Second of all, the Fed year after year increases the money supply greater than the output of the economy. Let's say the economy grows by 3% in one year. The money supply should then grow by 3% in order to not have deflation. Does the government increase the money supply by 3%? Nope, it increases the money supply by 4-6%. That extra 1-3% is money that comes right out of your monetary assets' purchasing power, a hidden tax. **This is why there has been a total of 1500% inflation since the Federal Reserve was established in 1913.** Keep in mind this is LONG TERM inflation I am talking about. Not short term inflation, which can be caused by market forces. I think short term inflation is a smokescreen for the cause of long term inflation as they can easily be confused by the common man.

Laurence Ball, assistant professor of economics at Princeton University and a visiting scholar in the Research Department of the Philadelphia Fed: "While economists disagree about many issues, there is near unanimity about this one: continuing inflation occurs when the rate of growth of the money supply consistently exceeds the rate of growth of output (of the economy)". From: What causes inflation? (<http://www.econ.ohio-state.edu/hineline/econ520/ball.pdf>). Money loses its value when it is created and put into circulation (spent) faster than the growth in productivity in the local economy (versus just being created and held as a reserve).

Churchill to Lord Robert Boothby: "Germany's unforgivable crime before the second World war," Churchill said, " was her attempt to extricate her economic power from the World's trading system and to create her own exchange mechanism which would deny World finance its opportunity to profit.", quoted in the Foreword, 2nd Ed. Sydney Rogerson, *Propaganda in the Next War 2001, orig. 1938.* [A bit like what Iran will be doing soon with its bourse]

A few pence lent out at usury some twenty centuries ago would amount now, at compound interest, to more wealth than there is in the whole World, which is a sufficient proof that usury is unjust and, as a permanent trade method, impossible. The large proportion of usurious payments which are now being made on account of the impersonal and indirect character of nearly all transactions, is beginning to lay such a burden upon the World as a whole that there is danger of a breakdown as the loans were made for unproductive purposes (such as wars) and created no new wealth. If you keep on taking wealth as though from an increase, when really there is no increase out of which that wealth can come, the process must sooner or later, come to an end. It is as though you were to claim a hundred bushels of apples every year from an orchard after the orchard had ceased to bear, or as though you were to claim a daily supply of water from a spring which had dried up. The man who would have to pay the apples would have to get them as best he could, but by the time the claim was being made on all the orchards of the World, by the time that usury was asking a million bushels of apples a year, though only half a million were being produced, there would be a jam. The interest would not be forthcoming, and the machinery for collecting it would stop working. Long before it actually stopped of course, people would find increasing difficulty in getting their interest and increasing trouble would appear in all the commercial World.

Now that is exactly what is beginning to happen after about two centuries of usury and one century of unrestricted usury. So far we have got out of it by all manner of makeshifts. Those who have borrowed the money and have promised to pay, say, 5 per cent., are allowed to change and to pay only 2.5 per cent. Or, by the process of debasing currency, which I described earlier in this book, the value of the money is changed, so that a man who has been set down to pay, say, a hundred sheep a year, is really only paying 30 or 50 sheep a year. A more drastic method is the method of writing off loans altogether simply saying: "I simply cannot get my interest, so I must stop asking for it." That is what happens when a Government goes bankrupt, as the Government of Germany had done. If you look at the usury created by the Great War (1914), you will see this kind of thing going on all sides. The Governments that were fighting borrowed money from individuals and promised to pay interest upon it. Most of that money was not used productively: It was used for buying wheat and metal, and machinery and the rest, but the wheat was not used to feed workmen who were producing more wealth. It was used to feed soldiers who were producing no wealth, and so were the ships and the metal and the machinery, etc. Therefore when the individuals who had lent the money began collecting from the Government interest upon what they had lent they were asking every year for wealth which simply was not there, and the Governments have got out of their promise to pay a usurious interest in all sorts of ways - some by repudiating, that is, saying that they would not pay (the Russians have done that), others by debasing currency in various degrees. The English Government has cut down what it promised to pay to about half, and by taxing this it has further reduced it to rather less than a third. The French Government, by inflation and by taxation have reduced it much more - to less than a fourth, or perhaps more

like a sixth or an eighth. The Germans have reduced it by inflation to pretty well nothing, which is the same really as repudiating the debt altogether. So what we see in a general survey is this:

1. Usury is both wrong morally and bad for society, because it is the claim for an increase of wealth which is not really present at all. It is trying to get something where there is nothing out of which that something can be paid.
2. This action must therefore progressively and increasingly soak up the wealth which men produce into the hands of those who lend money, until at last all the wealth is so soaked up and the process comes to an end.
3. That is what has happened in the case of the modern World, largely through unproductive expenditure on war, which expenditure has been met by borrowing money and promising interest upon it although the money was not producing any further wealth.
4. The modern World has therefore reached a limit in this process and the future of usurious investment is in doubt.

Though these conclusions are perfectly clear, it is unfortunately not possible to say that this or that is a way out of our difficulties; that by this or that law we can stop usury in the future and can go back to healthier conditions. Trade is still spread all over the World. It is still impersonal and money continues to be lent out at interest unproductively, with the recurring necessity of repaying the debt and failing to keep up payments which have been promised. Things will not get right again in this respect until society becomes as simple as it used be, and we shall have to go through a pretty bad time before we get back to that. What about that "holiday of a lifetime, thanks to a bank loan", or "those presents, bought with a credit card", or "your new car, bought on installments", or "your nice home, with the 25 year mortgage"? All Usury. Shocked? Think about this: that it was calculated that as recently as 1989, the average person was spending nearly 80% of his income, directly or indirectly, on usurious payments. In other words our society is in the grip of an evil that has been condemned from the earliest recorded times. Today it is in a form so refined that most people do not even know it. Does being anti-usury mean, therefore, that we cannot own houses, or buy toys for children, or go on holiday or do the 1001 things that all do regularly? Not at all. It is quite the reverse, because in a system free of usury, prosperity grows and expands to the benefit of all - except bankers, and Stock Exchange swindlers, and loan sharks, and speculators. In other words, a usury-free society is one in which 99% of the people gain at the expense of the 1% who exploit; while a usury-dominated society means working for the interests of the 1%, and suffering all kinds of hardships to make ends meet.

What is this "national debt" of which we often hear, but know little about? Has it ever occurred to you that it is found to be unusual to have a national debt when you study history? Did you know that from 1931 until 1974 Portugal had no national debt at all because it followed, between those dates, a usury-free system? The National Debt is largely government debt built up over the centuries because of usury. At any given time some 90% or more of the debt is usurious. Take, for example, the War loans raised by the British government during the First World War to finance those battleships which were lost in the Battle of Jutland in 1916 - eighty years on we are still paying interest to the Rothschild Dynasty bankers who supplied most of the 'money'. Or what about the fact that our taxes are still paying a European banking family interest on a loan of a few thousand pounds to the government for a war fought in the 18th century? So if you have ever wondered why services are being cut back, or why taxes, direct and indirect, are constantly taking money out of your purse, or why there is no investment capital available for small and medium sized businesses, look no further. The answer lies in the fact of usury. But no political figure, and no journalist, and no "truth-seeker" is prepared to say the word, because he knows it would be the end of his career. Why? Because when you grasp the essential message of usury - which involves the creation of money from nothing - and how it works in the modern World, you will understand that booms and depressions, and inflation and deflation, are not freak events, but events that can be socially engineered and scientifically predicted, caused by a handful of mega-financiers.

Why will no one speak out? Quite simply, fear. Ask any of your friendly bank managers over the age of 60, who remember the times when the true meaning of usury was still known in certain quarters, about the subject of usury, and you will see fear enter the face of a man who only seconds earlier looked so powerful across his leather-topped desk. Fear for career, for his family and their future. Yes, the truth is known, but who is going to be David against the Goliath that usury has become? Or do we have to wait until Goliath falls over once his weight has become too much to bear? In that case we will have to put society together again - and how much pain, suffering, misery, and death will that necessitate? And will it even be possible? Maybe all this is exaggerated? Maybe the figures show differently? All right, let's look at the figures. In 1980, according to Nobel Prize Winner for Economics, Maurice Allais, the Foreign Exchange Market registered \$94 trillion. That means in simple terms - money making money, financial speculation, usury. By 1986 this had risen to \$193 trillion. So in just six years it has almost doubled in volume. But then look in 1989, just three years later - it has become \$420 trillion. In other words, in just nine years - and you remember the 1980's, don't you, when it was "time to get tough", and institute "austerity programs" to curb public spending, and mortgage interest rates went up to 20% - by that time the money markets, the focus of international usury, had grown by well over 400%. Note, too, that the rate of increase of speculation is growing ever more rapidly. Between 1980 and 1986 it grew by nearly 100%, yet in half that time - 1986-1989 - it has grown by over 200%.

Yet when we look at international trade during the same nine-year period - that is, the distribution and exchange of real goods and services - we find that they have grown from \$7.6 billion to just \$12.4 billion - not even doubled. So usury is growing both in volume and proportion, while real trade is declining in proportion. The explanation is simple. Why bother going to the trouble to have a good idea, plan it, test it, bring it to production, market it, sell and transport it, when you can make vast sums, beyond your wildest dreams, sitting in front of a computer screen and playing the casino known as the Stock Exchange? [Home Rule for BC adds that speculation in money is becoming known as "stratospheric money", for a couple of reasons - it moves around the World in a flash, and it is high up out of the reach of the ordinary person.] So

who is responsible The governments? Yes, because they should protect their people. But in today's system the Bank of England, The Bundesbank, Banca Italia, and the Federal Reserve, are no more under the control of their respective governments than is the weather on Jupiter. What proof? Well, here are three examples. **First, this in one of France's largest newspapers, Le Figaro, 10 Feb 90, about the German Bundesbank: "The mission of the German Central Bank is a classical one, but its organisation is unique. Its governing body, all-powerful, is not answerable to Parliament or to the government." Secondly, this, published by the Federal Reserve system: "Congress set up the Federal Reserve in 1913. But Congress does not control it, and neither does the President of the United States." Thirdly, this, from Italian television: "When the Bank of Italy raised the interest rate in the country by 0.5%, the President of Italy went on television to tell the people that the hike had been against his wishes and those of his government, and that the Bank was seeking its own good, not that of Italy." [Home Rule for BC adds a fourth example. The Canadian government is allowed no voting member on the Board of the Bank of Canada.]**

Clearly, the men who control the banks effectively control the World - private individuals "creating" or "uncreating" money as they see fit, following whatever suits their interests, and without a thought for society as a whole. Heads they win, and tails you lose. Well, we've seen now several ways that the central banks are just acting in the interest of the Illuminati Banking dynasties, regardless of whether they are fully private, semi-private or government owned.

Major wars/financial panic/depression/famine traced to insidious influence of usury banking

"History shows that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit and violent means possible to maintain control over governments by controlling the money and the issuance of it."---President James Madison

www.richardhoskins.com War Cycles, Peace Cycles.: Richard Hoskins dropped a bomb on the literary world in 1985 with the publication of his book, "War Cycles, Peace Cycles." The book was so poignant and controversial that references to it were made in nearly every major newspaper from coast to coast at the time, and its message was vilified especially by the banking community. Efforts at first lambasting, then later suppressing this work (when it became evident that the public was intrigued by its message) were the order of the day when the book first shocked readers in the mid 1980s. Since that time the book has undergone numerous printings, with the last print run in 1991 being snapped up by a large, unidentified consortium which took the books off the market. Repeated attempts by this writer at locating a copy of this seminal work came up short every time until recently. Much to my surprise and delight I discovered that another print run was made of Hoskins' classic book in 2000, the first re-publication in nearly a decade. I read every page voraciously and loved every morsel. I felt compelled to share this information with Gold-Eagle readers since no other book I've encountered comes close to isolating the root of our modern-day economic problems than this one, and none offer more practical and effective solutions than Hoskins.

According to Hoskins, every major war, financial panic, economic depression, and famine in recorded history can be traced to the insidious influence of usury banking. Moreover, these devastating events recur with cyclical regularity that can be charted and predicted. While natural, geo-cosmic influences may account in part for these cyclical occurrences, Hoskins maintains that they are mostly attributable to central banking influences. Starting with this premise, Hoskins takes the reader on a journey that winds its way through nearly 4,000 years of history to our present day all within the short space of 300 pages. All along the way the presence of usury banking makes its appearance. For instance, Hoskins points out that history records the first known use of credit-or IOUs-is created from nothing is found in the ancient ruins of Babylon and perfected by the priests of Baal. The whole concept of usury, or of lending money with interest, invented at that time remains unchanged today. Hoskins boils it down to lending 10 talents of money and demanding payment of 11 talents when there are only 10 talents in circulation. Usury contracts drawn up then stated that the borrower was to pay his debt in "talents" only, but the problem is and always has been that the central bank-created money supply is always finite while compound interest is theoretically infinite. Little has changed since then, Hoskins says, as today's high priests of finance are the world's central banks sitting in their temples of mammon, the modern progeny of the money-lending priests of Baal.

Hoskins refers continually to the ancient common law prohibition against usury while painting his sordid picture of the history of the effects of usury upon any given nation. He catalogs an extensive list of biblical references that strictly condemn the lending (or borrowing) of money at interest: "Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother." (Deuteronomy 23:19); "He that by usury and unjust gain increaseth his substance, he shall gather it for him that will pity the poor." (Proverbs 28:8); "The borrower is servant to the lender." (Proverbs 22:7); "Owe no man anything but to love one another." (Romans 13:8). Had people throughout history heeded these warnings about avoiding taking on debt with interest attached to it, untold wars, revolutions, conquests, famines, and financial panics could have been averted, Hoskins contends. One of the first consequences of a usury-based financial system, according to Hoskins, is heavy taxation. Hoskins contends that "The only thing that has kept the usury system operating through the ages is taxation." The only time heavy taxation is not needed is when there is no usury system." This is done to keep the money supply moving and to prevent severe inflations and deflations due to hoarding or over-printing. The next consequence of having a usury system is war, says Hoskins. This is the first phase of the "War Cycle, Peace Cycle" which the book is titled after. According to Hoskins, "hot" wars are typically fought near the trough of the War/Peace Cycle and are waged only as a desperation measure to stimulate an economy suffering from the effects of deflation (a consequence of usury). They tend to have the effect of providing a short-term stimulus to the economy since new money has to be borrowed into existence in order to fight the expensive battles and industry is provided an excuse to begin operating at full capacity again. This is followed by a "peace" phase of non-aggression, completing an idealized cycle of approximately 50 years from trough to

trough. Hoskins identifies his War/Peace Cycle with the 50-year "Jubilee Cycle" mentioned in the biblical book of Leviticus. Without the plague of debt with compound interest attached, there could be no "War/Peace Cycle," says Hoskins.

Another consequence of having a debt/usury-based monetary system according to Hoskins is a declining birth rate. When typical 6% interest rates begin compounding debt over time, due to the lack of enough money to pay the existing debts, the debt-plagued native population of a given country stops reproducing due to the heavy cost of living. This in turn leads to the influx of immigration of foreign peoples. Hoskins states that mass immigration is an absolute necessity for any usury-based system since new money must always be borrowed into existence and the money system was continue to operate at all costs. Immigrants represent new, debt-free borrowers and bank customers. Yet another result of a usury system is the complete slavery at some point when the knaves cannot pay their debts. Hoskins maintains that every instance of slavery abolition in recorded history can be traced to the influence of a banking systems which must keep the money system circulating at all costs. Hence, slaves are abolished whenever the economy begins to contract and fresh new borrowers are needed to get the wheels of commerce rolling again. Writes Hoskins, "I have never encountered a case in history where slaves were freed en masse for humanitarian reasons. First usury causes high prices (inflation), then heavy debts, a landless people, lower birth rates and declining population, and finally immigration of new peoples needed to borrow money into existence and pay taxes, or slaves are emancipated to achieve the same object." As Hoskins relates, a debt-free potential borrower is of far greater value than a heavily indebted native citizen.

Hoskins' grasp of economic history is astounding. The reader is treated to many nuggets of his wisdom and he presents amazingly simple, yet profound, solutions to modern economic problems based on his learning. Perhaps the most profound chapter in his book is the chapter entitled "Tallies & T-Bills." Hoskins takes the reader back to the year 1100 A.D. when Henry I, fourth son of William the Conqueror, ascended the throne of England. Finding the treasury empty and his needs great, he cast about for a source of income. Having wise advisors he soon hit on a plan. The plan, with a few refinements, remained in effect for the next 726 years and is so simple and workable that it can be reinstated tomorrow. He issued "tallies." Quoting Hoskins at length, he writes: "A tally was a stock about nine inches or so long with each of the four sides about 1/2 inch wide. On two of the sides, the value of the "tally" was carved into the wood. On the other two sides, the amount was printed in ink. "The tally was then split in half lengthwise. One half remained in the treasury and the other half was given to soldiers for their pay, to farmers for wheat, to armorers for armor, and to laborers for their labor. "At tax time, taxpayers were required to bring in one half of a tally to pay their taxes. Woe unto the man who did not have the required number of tally sticks. As a consequence, these intrinsically worthless sticks of wood were in great demand. Gold and silver coins were fine if you traveled abroad for a crusade or something, but at home if you did not have your tax-tally at tax time-you were done. "Upon receipt of a tally the treasurer would immediately match the presented half with the half stored in the treasury. They had to tally-which is what gave it the name. Counterfeiters lost their heads! Actually, it was practically impossible to counterfeit a tally. The wood grain had to match-the notches had to match-and the ink inscriptions had to match. This could only come about if both pieces came from the same split tally stick. "There you have it! An inexhaustible source of revenue for the government. The means were available to make tallies as long as there were trees. There was a demand as long as the government required the tallies for taxes. The system flourished as long as tax-evaders and counterfeiters were punished, and they always were. For 726 years the system flourished."

Hoskins points out that government "tally" money and "usury" money cannot exist side by side. Tally-money makes usury-money look bad because it stays constant, while usury-money expands and contracts. The advent of usury-money spelled the death of the tally. The process began with the Bank of England being chartered in 1694 and continues today with the Federal Reserve banking system. Writes Hoskins, "The government has the right to make money. It can do so whenever it chooses. In the United States the government has authorized the Treasury to create Treasury Bills. These bills are created out of thin air, but they are no less real than the wooden tallies of our ancestors. The government doesn't need to borrow money from the banks of the Federal Reserve and have a debt of over a trillion dollars. It can make money instead. All it has to do is MAKE T-Bill tallies in denominations of \$1, \$5, \$10, \$20 \$50, \$100, and \$1000. Then it can spend them for needed government services, and tax them out of circulation again. Our ancestors did it for almost three-fourths of a thousand years." Hoskins presents a modified system of the tally system in his book as a model to help relieve beleaguered towns and municipalities during times of economic depression when no money is available in the Fed-controlled economic system. He advises the printing of localized "scrip" for use as a monetary medium for payments of goods and services and taxes. With careful planning and organization, he maintains this plan can be put into effect with only minimal costs. He documents several instances in recent history when such systems were used effectively. He also provides excellent words of advice for the individual or family suffering under the burden of crushing debts. His advice on this subject is worth the price of the book alone.

In 1985, Hoskins' book announced that South Africa, at that time the warmest friend the U.S. had in Africa, would be turned into an enemy. This occurred one year later. The book projected that the Arab oil-producing world would be the next war target-for different economic reasons. This prediction, too, has come to pass. Massive bank consolidations were predicted, reducing the total number worldwide. Open immigration was envisioned as a practical economic necessity. Now, every locality has its immigration problems. Other things have not yet come to pass-things like widespread municipal bond failures, a massive stock market collapse, widespread famine, a crackdown on dissidents, rampant civil disobedience, a religious revival, and an invasion of America by foreign armies. Logically, these things should also come in time. If they do, America will be very, very different from what it is today.

[Interview with Former Belgium Central Bank Economist](#)

Also read the expose by several ex-CIA officers and US army officers stating that the CIA and Pentagon are the World's largest terrorist organization working for the Wall Street Feudal Lords (Military-Congressional-Industrial Complex as explained by President Dwight Eisenhower) and discussing about the various CIA murders of World leaders and bribing of others, the CIA death squads, etc. Economic Hit Man John Perkins described "We Have Created the World's First Truly Global Empire" Wednesday, February 15th, 2006, on the US radio show "Democracy Now" <http://www.democracynow.org/article.pl?sid=06/02/15/1436221&mode=thread&tid=25> . Perkins explains the facts behind the US and other Governments being controlled by the international corporate barons and why no changes ever come about, even when the Party in power changes...There is a common thread that is woven into the fabric of the governments so tightly that no changes in the bureaucratic structure can penetrate its weave! It has one goal...One World fascist government under the aegis of the Feudal Elites behind the Military-Industrial-Congressional Complex as President Eisenhower had warned everyone on public television in 1961. The interview reveals the proverbial offer politicians cannot refuse and if they refuse, they will be terminated by the CIA, etc...if you've seen George Clooney's movie Syriana, and Hotel Rwanda and Good night and Good luck, you'll get the picture John Perkins is describing, hear it from the horse's mouth! John Perkins, author of "Confessions of an Economic Hit Man," reveals about his former work going into various countries to try to threaten leaders into creating a policy favorable to the U.S Corporate Lords. Perkins formerly worked for an international consulting firm and describes himself as an "economic hitman" for the World's banking and corporate dynasties. However, in spite of their control of information, they realize many citizens are learning the truth. There are several millions of Americans who now know the truth including former congressmen, former revenue agents, ministers, businessmen, economists and many others.

As an example, here is an interview with **Bernard Lietaer** by **Sarah Van Gelder**, editor of YES!: A journal of positive future <http://www.futurenet.org/> . Few people have worked in and on the money system in as many different capacities as Bernard Lietaer. He spent five years at the Central Bank in Belgium, where his first project was the design and implementation of the single European currency system. He was president of Belgium's Electronic Payment System, and has developed technologies for multinational corporations to use in managing multiple currency environments. He has helped developing countries improve their hard currency earnings and taught international finance at the University of Louvain, in his native Belgium. Bernard Lietaer was also the general manager and currency trader for one of the largest and most successful offshore currency funds. He is currently a fellow at the Center for Sustainable Resources at the University of California at Berkeley and is writing his seventh book: The Future of Money: Beyond Greed and Scarcity. YES! editor Sarah van Gelder talked to Bernard about the possibilities for a new kind of currency better suited to building community and sustainability. He can be reached to discuss this topic via an Internet conference at: <http://www.transaction.net/money/>. --- Start of Interview – edited - (**Sarah in bold**)

Sarah van Gelder of YES!: Why do you put so much hope into the development of alternative currencies?

Bernard Lietaer: Money is like an iron ring we've put through our noses. We've forgotten that we designed it, and it's now leading us around. I think it's time to figure out where we want to go--in my opinion toward sustainability and community--and then design a money system that gets us there.

So you would say that the design of money is actually at the root of much else that happens, or doesn't happen, in society?

That's right. While economic textbooks claim that people and corporations are competing for markets and resources, I claim that in reality they are competing for money - using markets and resources to do so. So designing new money systems really amounts to redesigning the target that orients much human effort. Furthermore, I believe that greed and competition are not a result of immutable human temperament; I have come to the conclusion that greed and fear of scarcity are in fact being continuously created and amplified as a direct result of the kind of money we are using. For example, we can produce more than enough food to feed everybody, and there is definitely enough work for everybody in the World, but there is clearly not enough money to pay for it all. The scarcity is in our national currencies. **In fact, the job of central banks is to create and maintain that currency scarcity.** The direct consequence is that we have to fight with each other in order to survive. Money is created when [private] banks lend it into existence [see article by Thomas Greco on page 19 of this issue]. When a bank provides you with a \$100,000 mortgage, it creates only the principal, which you spend and which then circulates in the economy. The bank expects you to pay back \$200,000 over the next 20 years, but it doesn't create the second \$100,000 - the interest. Instead, the bank sends you out into the tough World to battle against everybody else to bring back the second \$100,000.

So some people have to lose in order for others to win? Some have to default on their loan in order for others to get the money needed to pay off that interest?

That's right. All the banks are doing the same thing when they lend money into existence. That is why the decisions made by central banks, like the Federal Reserve in the US, are so important --increased interest costs automatically determine a larger proportion of necessary bankruptcies. **So when the bank verifies your "creditworthiness," it is really checking whether you are capable of competing and winning against other players - able to extract the second \$100,000 that was never created.** And if you fail in that game, you lose your house or whatever other collateral you had to put up.

That also influences the unemployment rate?

It's certainly a major factor, but there's more to it. Information technologies increasingly allow us to attain very good economic growth without increases in employment. I believe we're seeing one of the last job-driven affluent periods in the US right now. As Jeremy Rifkin argues in his book, The End of Work, jobs are basically not going to be there anymore, even in "good times." A study done by The International Metalworkers Federation in Geneva predicts that within the next 30 years, 2 or 3 percent of the World's population will be able to produce everything we need on the planet. Even if

they're off by a factor of 10, we'd still have a question of what 80 percent of humanity will do. My forecast is that local currencies will be a major tool for social design in the 21st century, if for no other reasons than employment. I don't claim that these local currencies will or should replace national currencies; that is why I call them "complementary" currencies. The national, competition-generating currencies will still have a role in the competitive global market. I believe, however, that complementary local currencies are a lot better suited to developing cooperative, local economies.

And these local economies will provide a form of employment that won't be threatened with extinction?

As a first step, that is correct. For example, in France, there are now 300 local exchange networks, called Grain de Sel, literally "Grain of Salt." These systems - which arose exactly when and where the unemployment levels reached about 12 percent*- facilitate exchanges of everything from rent to organic produce, but they do something else as well. Every fortnight in the Ariège, in southwestern France, there is a big party. People come to trade not only cheeses, fruits, and cakes as in the normal market days, but also hours of plumbing, haircuts, sailing or English lessons. Only local currencies accepted! Local currency creates work, and I make a distinction between work and jobs. A job is what you do for a living; work is what you do because you like to do it. I expect jobs to increasingly become obsolete, but there is still an almost infinite amount of fascinating work to be done. For example, in France you find people offering guitar lessons and requesting lessons in German. Neither would pay in French francs. What's nice about local currency is that when people create their own money, they don't need to build in a scarcity factor. And they don't need to get currency from elsewhere in order to have a means of making an exchange with a neighbor. Edgar Cahn's Time Dollars are a classical example [see page 24 of this issue]. As soon as you have an agreement between two people about a transaction using Time Dollars, they literally create the necessary "money" in the process; there's no scarcity of money. That does not mean there's an infinite amount of this currency, either; you cannot give me 500,000 hours - nobody has 500,000 hours to give. So there's a ceiling on it, yes, but there's no artificial scarcity. Instead of pitting people against each other, the system actually helps them cooperate.

So you're suggesting that scarcity needn't be a guiding principle of our economic system. But isn't scarcity absolutely fundamental to economics, especially in a World of limited resources?

My analysis of this question is based on the work of Carl Gustav Jung because he is the only one with a theoretical framework for collective psychology, and money is fundamentally a phenomenon of collective psychology. A key concept Jung uses is the archetype, which can be described as an emotional field that mobilizes people, individually or collectively, in a particular direction. Jung showed that whenever a particular archetype is repressed, two types of shadows emerge, which are polarities of each other. For example, if my higher self - corresponding to the archetype of the King or the Queen - is repressed, I will behave either as a Tyrant or as a Weakling. These two shadows are connected to each other by fear. A Tyrant is tyrannical because he's afraid of appearing weak; a Weakling is afraid of being tyrannical. Only someone with no fear of either one of these shadows can embody the archetype of the King.....The question I have been asking is very simple: What are the shadows of the Great Mother archetype? I'm proposing that these shadows are greed and fear of scarcity. So it should come as no surprise that in Victorian times - at the apex of the repression of the Great Mother - a Scottish schoolmaster named Adam Smith noticed a lot of greed and scarcity around him and assumed that was how all "civilized" societies worked. Smith, as you know, created modern economics, which can be defined as a way of allocating scarce resources through the mechanism of individual, personal greed.

Wow! So if greed and scarcity are the shadows, what does the Great Mother archetype herself represent in terms of economics?

Someone who has assimilated the Great Mother archetype trusts in the abundance of the universe. It's when you lack trust that you want a big bank account. The first guy who accumulated a lot of stuff as protection against future uncertainty automatically had to start defending his pile against everybody else's envy and needs. If a society is afraid of scarcity, it will actually create an environment in which it manifests well-grounded reasons to live in fear of scarcity. It is a self-fulfilling prophecy! Also, we have been living for a long time under the belief that we need to create scarcity to create value. Although that is valid in some material domains, we extrapolate it to other domains where it may not be valid. For example, there's nothing to prevent us from freely distributing information. The marginal cost of information today is practically nil. Nevertheless, we invent copyrights and patents in an attempt to keep it scarce.

So fear of scarcity creates greed and hoarding, which in turn creates the scarcity that was feared. Whereas cultures that embody the Great Mother are based on abundance and generosity. Those ideas are implicit in the way you've defined community, are they not?

Actually it's not my definition, it's etymological. The origin of the word "community" comes from the Latin munus, which means the gift, and cum, which means together, among each other. So community literally means to give among each other. Therefore I define my community as a group of people who welcome and honor my gifts, and from whom I can reasonably expect to receive gifts in return.

And local currencies can facilitate that exchange of gifts.

The majority of the local currencies I know about have been started for the purpose of creating employment, but there is a growing group of people who are starting local currencies specifically to create community. For example, I would feel funny calling my neighbor in the valley and saying, "I notice you have a lot of pears on your tree. Can I have them?" I would feel I needed to offer something in return. But if I'm going to offer scarce dollars, I might just as well go to the supermarket, so we end up not using the pears. If I have local currency, there's no scarcity in the medium of exchange, so buying the pears becomes an excuse to interact. In Takoma Park, Maryland, Olaf Egeberg started a local currency to facilitate these kinds of exchanges within his community. And the participants agree that is exactly what has been happening.

That raises the question of whether local currencies can also be a means for people to meet their basic needs for food and housing, or would those sectors remain part of the competitive economy?

There are lots of people who love gardening, but who can't make a living from it in the competitive World. If a gardener is unemployed, and I'm unemployed, in the normal economy we might both starve. However with complementary currencies, he can grow my salads, which I pay for in local currency earned by providing another service to someone else. In Ithaca, "HOURS" are accepted at the farmer's market; the farmers can use the local currency to hire someone to help with the harvest or to do some repairs. Some landlords accept Hours for rent, particularly if they don't have a mortgage that must be paid in scarce dollars. When you have local currency, it quickly becomes clear what's local and what's not. K-Mart will accept dollars only; their suppliers are in Hong Kong or Singapore or Kansas City. But Ithaca's local supermarket accepts Hours as well as dollars. By using local currencies, you create a bias toward local sustainability.

Local currencies also provide communities with some buffering from the ups and downs of the global economy. You've been in the business of monitoring, dealing in, and even helping to design the global finance system. Why would communities want to be insulated from it?

First of all, today's official monetary system has almost nothing to do with the real economy. Just to give you an idea, 1995 statistics indicate that the volume of currency exchanged on the global level is \$1.3 trillion per day. This is 30 times more than the daily gross domestic product (GDP) of all of the developed countries (OECD) together. The annual GDP of the United States is turned in the market every three days! Of that volume, only 2 or 3 percent has to do with real trade or investment; the remainder takes place in the speculative global cyber-casino. This means that the real economy has become relegated to a mere frosting on the speculative cake, an exact reversal of how it was just two decades ago.

What are the implications of this? What does it mean for those of us who aren't transacting deals across international boundaries?

For one thing, power has shifted irrevocably away from governments toward the financial markets. When a government does something not to the liking of the market - like the British in '91, the French in '94 or the Mexicans in '95 - nobody sits down at the table and says "you shouldn't do this." A monetary crisis simply manifests in that currency. So a few hundred people, who are not elected by anybody and have no collective responsibility whatsoever, decide what your pension fund is worth - among other things.

You've also talked about the possibility of a crash in this system...

Yes, I see it now as about a 50/50 chance over the next five or 10 years. Many people say it's 100 percent, and with a much shorter time horizon. George Soros, who's made part of his living doing what I used to do - speculating in currencies - concluded, "Instability is cumulative, so that eventual breakdown of freely floating exchanges is virtually assured." Joel Kurtzman, ex-editor at the Harvard Business Review, entitles his latest book: The Death of Money and forecasts an imminent collapse due to speculative frenzy. Just to see how this could happen: all the OECD Central Banks' reserves together represent about \$640 billion. So in a crisis situation, if all the Central Banks were to agree to work together (which they never do) and if they were to use all their reserves (which is another thing that never happens) they have the funds to control only half the volume of a normal day of trading. In a crisis day, that volume could easily double or triple, and the total Central Bank reserves would last two or three hours.

And the outcome would be?

If that happens, we would suddenly be in a very different World. In 1929, the stock market crashed, but the gold standard held. The monetary system held. Here, we are dealing with something that's more fundamental. The only precedent I know of is the Roman Empire collapse, which ended Roman currency. That was, of course, at a time when it took about a century and a half for the breakdown to spread through the empire; now it would take a few hours.

So local currencies could provide some resilience for a community that could help it survive a currency melt-down or some other international breakdown. You've also mentioned that local currencies help promote sustainability. What's the connection?

To understand that, we need to see the relationship between interest rates and the ways we discount the future. If I ask, "Do you want \$100 now or \$100 a year from now," most people would want the money now simply because one can deposit money risk-free in a bank account and get about \$110 a year later. Another way of putting it is that if I were to offer you \$100 a year from now that would be about equal to offering you \$90 today. This discounting of the future is referred to as 'discounted cash flow'. That means that under our current system it makes sense to cut down trees and put the money in the bank; the money in the bank will grow faster than trees. It makes sense to "save" money by building poorly insulated houses because the discounted cost of the extra energy over the lifetime of the house is cheaper than insulating. We can, however, design a monetary system that does the opposite; it actually creates long-term thinking through what is called a "demurrage charge." The demurrage charge is a concept developed by Silvio Gesell about a century ago. His idea was that money is a public good - like the telephone or bus transport - and that we should charge a small fee for using it. In other words, we create a negative rather than a positive interest rate. What would that do? If I gave you a \$100 bill and told you that a month from now you're going to have to pay \$1 to keep the money valid, what would you do?

I suppose I would try to invest it in something else.

You got it. You know the expression, "Money is like manure; it's only good when it's spread out." In the Gesell system, people would only use money as a medium of exchange, but not as a store for value. That would create work, because it

would encourage circulation, and it would invert the short-term incentive system. Instead of cutting trees down to put the money in the bank, you would want to invest your money in living trees or installing insulation in your house.

Has this ever been tried?

There are only three periods I have found: classical Egypt; about three centuries in the European Middle Ages, and a few years in the 1930s [Germany; now you know why Hitler was created as an excuse to bring down Germany; by the way, Napoleon was put away because he too wanted to take away the power to create money from the Banking Dynasties and put it in the hands of the state]. In ancient Egypt, when you stored grain, you would receive a token, which was exchangeable and became a type of currency. If you returned a year later with 10 tokens, you would only get nine tokens worth of grain, because rats and spoilage would have reduced the quantities, and because the guards at the storage facility had to be paid. So that amounted to a demurrage charge. Egypt was the breadbasket for the ancient World, the gift of the Nile. Why? Because instead of keeping value in money, everybody invested in productive assets that would last forever - things like land improvements and irrigation systems. Proof that the monetary system had something to do with this wealth is that it all ended abruptly as soon as the Romans replaced the Egyptian 'grain standard' currency with their own money system, with positive interest rates. After that, Egypt ceased being the grain-basket, and became a "developing country" as it is called today. In Europe during the Middle Ages - the 10th to 13th centuries - local currencies were issued by local lords, and then periodically recalled and reissued with a tax collected in the process. Again, this was a form of demurrage that made money undesirable as a store of value. The result was the blossoming of culture and widespread well-being, corresponding exactly to the time period when these local currencies were used. Practically all the cathedrals were built during this time period. If you think about what is required as investment for a small town to build a cathedral, it's extraordinary.

Because cathedrals take generations to build?

Well, not only that. Besides the obvious symbolic and religious roles - which I don't want to belittle - one should remember that cathedrals had an important economic function; they attracted pilgrims, who, from a business perspective, played a similar role to tourists today. These cathedrals were built to last forever and create a long-term cash flow for the community. This was a way of creating abundance for you and your descendants for 13 generations! The proof is that it still works today; in Chartres, for instance, the bulk of the city's businesses still live from the tourists who visit the cathedral 800 years after it was finished! When the introduction of gunpowder technology enabled the kings to centralize power in the early 14th century, the first thing they did was to monopolize the money system. What happened? **No more cathedrals were built. The population was just as devoutly Christian in the 14th or 15th century, but the economic incentive for collective long-term investments was gone.** I use the cathedral simply as an example. Accounts from 12th century estates show that mills and other productive assets were maintained at an extraordinary level of quality, with parts replaced even before they wore out. Recent studies have revealed that the quality of life for the common laborer in Europe was the highest in the 12th to 13th centuries; perhaps even higher than today. When you can't keep savings in the form of money, you invest them in something that will produce value in the future. So this form of money created an extraordinary boom.

How interesting! What potential do you see for local currencies to bring this Great Mother archetype of abundance and generosity into our economic system today?

The biggest issues that I believe humanity faces today are sustainability and the inequalities and breakdown in community, which create tensions that result in violence and wars. We can address both these issues with the same tool, by consciously creating currency systems that will enhance community and sustainability. Significantly, we have witnessed in the past decades a clear re-awakening of the feminine archetype. It is reflected not only in the women's movement, in the dramatic increase in ecological concerns, or in new epistemologies reintegrating spirit and matter, but also in the technologies that enable us to replace hierarchies with networks (such as the Internet). Add to these trends the fact that for the first time in human history we have available the production technologies to create unprecedented abundance. All this converges into an extraordinary opportunity to combine the hardware of our technologies of abundance and the software of archetypal shifts. Such a combination has never been available at this scale or at this speed: it enables us to consciously design money to work for us, instead of us for it. I propose that we choose to develop money systems that will enable us to attain sustainability and community healing on a local and global scale. These objectives are in our grasp within less than one generation's time. Whether we materialize them or not will depend on our capacity to cooperate with each other to consciously reinvent our money. --- **End-of-interview with Bernard Lietaer by Sarah Van Galder**

No debt-free, or interest-free, money has been issued in America since Abraham Lincoln did it in 1863 to help finance the Civil War. He was later assassinated, by an agent of the Rothschild Bank. We will show later that most of the owners of the large banks and the US Presidents are/have descended from the European Nobility and are connected with the Rothschild European banks. The Saracen Islamic Empire forbade interest on money, for 1,000 years and its wealth outshone even Saxon Europe. Mandarin China issued its own money, interest-free, and debt-free, and historians and collectors of art, today, consider those centuries to be China's time of greatest wealth, culture and peace. Germany issued debt-free and interest-free money, from 1935 accounting for its startling rise from the depression to a World power in 5 years (Hitler was created for the purpose of providing an excuse to attack Germany and get its banking system back into the hands of the Illuminatis). Germany financed its entire government, and war operations, from 1935 to 1945, without gold and without debt, and it took the whole Capitalist and Communist World to destroy the German power and bring it back under the heel of the Illuminati Bankers (by the way, Napoleon was put away because he too wanted to take away the power to create money from the Banking Dynasties and put it in the hands of the state; Communism was launched to get control of the Tsar's bank for the Illuminatis). Now you have a better understanding of what World War II was all about. Hitler was created to give an excuse for the Illuminati Bankers to wage war just like Terrorism and Al-Qaeda are

created today for the Illuminati Bankers to wage war against whom they wish to exploit. Several Arab nations issue interest-free loans to their citizens, today, and their money can be easily backed by OIL. Now you can have another reason for all the commotion in the Mid-East and why the Illuminati Bankers-owned press is propagandizing American citizens to think of the Arabs as terrorists.

A government issuing money debt & interest-free which does not have to be paid back in interest leaves the money available to use in the exchange of goods and services and its only continuing cost is replacement as the paper wears out. Money is the paper ticket by which transfers are made and should always be in sufficient quantity to transfer all possible production of the nation to the ultimate consumers. It is as ridiculous for a nation to say to its citizens "You must consume less, because we are short of money" as it would be for an airline to say "Our planes are flying, but we cannot take you because we are short of tickets" (this actually happens sometimes when we fly in a half-empty plane because some travel agency hoarded seats without liability). The private Federal Reserve Note is accepted by power of fraudulent legislation. However, the currency is issued not by the Government but by the descendants of the European Nobility who own the Federal Reserve Bank and have usurped the right to create money from the people's Government since after the Civil War; and these folks are collecting interest on the money they created from nothing and the citizens of the United States owe them about \$35 Trillion on what they created from nothing, i.e. **A GIGANTIC RIP OFF!!!**

If the money is issued by a privately owned corporation, its owners benefit by causing the money amount and its value to fluctuate and causing the people to go into debt and pay interest permanently through taxes. Under the present debt-usury system, the extra burden of usury forces workers and businesses to demand more money for the work and goods to pay their ever increasing debts and taxes. This increase, in prices and wages and taxes, is called "inflation". Illuminati Bankers, politicians and "economists" blame it on everything, but the real cause is the usury levied on money and debt by the Illuminati Bankers. This "inflation" benefits the money-lenders since it wipes out savings of one generation so they can not finance or help the next generation who must then borrow from the money-lenders and pay a large part of their life's labor to the usurer. With an adequate supply of interest-free money created by the sovereign Government, little borrowing would be required, and prices would be established by people and goods, and not by debts and usury. Various cultures throughout history have used different things for money, such as cattle, salt, gold, tobacco, seashells, or... corn and wheat, coins, etc... The first money, what I will call REAL Money, to be clear, is commodities: goods & services, including labor. When Smith gives Jones a bushel of wheat for a bushel of corn, we have a barter transaction or two sales and two purchases, in one concise, efficient, transparent, transaction. But where is the money? The money is the corn and the wheat. Smith is using wheat as money; Jones is using corn as money and both are acceptable to the other. Since the term "money" has come to mean a "coin" or "note" or "gold" or "credit card" or "check" and has even become synonymous with "currency", the point has to be made very clear that the original money; the first money; the fundamental; the very root of all monies, as a "means of exchange," is/are the commodities themselves. **Real Money is commodities. All commodities. I must emphasize this because if that is incorrect then it remains to be shown which commodities are, and which are not, acceptable in trade or barter, and why.** Instead of trading real goods & services or commodities with each other, we use an intermediate called money and trade commodities for money and vice versa. Money is a mutually agreed upon means of exchange using a simple way to document value that can be used to trade goods and services. For example, a mechanic repairs a baker's car. Now, the mechanic will be inconvenienced if the customer who is a baker could only pay him with bread. However, if everyone accepts small items of value such as real gold or silver coins or promissory notes or electronic credits issued by a **trusted party (i.e. sovereign nation's government)** that can be redeemed with goods and services, this documents the value of the transaction and we have a convenient way of trading in addition to the traditional bartering process.
<http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/MainPages/Comic.asp?Page=4&Language=English>

If the money is also a real commodity with intrinsic value like gold and silver, we don't have a problem. But if the money is paper, who gets to issue the money? It must be the sovereign government without debt and interest, but unfortunately, the paper money now is issued from nothing by private central banks as a debt owed by the Government and then interest is charged on it, **so we have a humongous problem.** Traditional economists on the payroll of the Illuminatis don't like this train of thought; Thomas Greco, one of today's more modern monetary theorists, has rejected the notion. But the fact is that it is true & real, and it is important. What are worse though, are the horrible effects of the FIAT money system. We will explain this in detail soon. Don't believe all of the information put out by the Fed. It's obfuscatory and lies by omission. But it's more than what other central banks put out. Try to get any info from the Bank of Switzerland and they will tell you that it's not for public use.

Mr. Crozier of Cincinnati, before Senate Banking and Currency Committee – 1913: "These 12 [Federal Reserve] Corporations together cover the whole country and monopolize and use for private gain every dollar of the public currency..."

Modern Money Mechanics Workbook Donald J. Winn, Assistant to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve system: "The Federal Reserve system pays the U.S. Treasury 020.60 per thousand notes --a little over 2 cents each-- without regard to the face value of the note. Federal Reserve Notes, incidentally, are the only type of currency now produced for circulation. They are printed exclusively by the Treasury's Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP), and the 20.60 per thousand price reflects the Bureau's full cost of production. Federal Reserve Notes are printed in 01, 02, 05, 10, 20, 50, and 100 dollar denominations only; notes of 500, 1000, 5000, and 10,000 denominations were last printed in 1945."

In Canada, although the Bank of Canada was bought by the government in 1938, we don't really know what agreement transpired between the former owners and the government. If it's a similar arrangement to that of the Bank of England, then Canadians will be forking billions in interest payments to the former owners forever. Today only about 4 percent of the money in circulation in Canada is Bank of Canada legal tender. In other words, 96 percent of Canadian money is created by the private banks. In 1945 the Bank of Canada accounted for 27 percent of the country's money. At that time the bank rate of interest was only 1.5 percent and the Canadian economy boomed. Also, the Bank of Canada is not keen on giving loans in Canadian dollars which forces many Canadian businesses to borrow in the US. Thus, the Bank of Canada is not fulfilling its role which is to create debt and interest free money; thus the Illuminati Bankers of the US can get to fill that role and collect lots of interest. In this sense, the Bank of Canada has become an agent of the Illuminati Bankers. Note that the reserve ratio requirement is almost zero now for Canadian banks. The banks had been bailed out by enabling them to quadruple their holdings of federal government bonds by being able to acquire them without putting up any of their own money. The statutory reserves - were some 8% to 10% of the deposits the banks received in their chequing accounts that had to be redeposited on an interest-free basis with the Bank of Canada and such reserves have been abolished in a bill sneaked through parliament in 1991 without debate or press release. From a "lender of the last resort", the government had simply moved into the position of "the donor of the first resort". Throughout the 1980s it had bailed out bankrupt banks. And since the government of Canada is the sole shareholder of the Bank of Canada, when it switched its borrowing from its own bank to the distressed banks, they lent back to the government some of the money it had bestowed on them as a gift. The taxpayers bailed out the banks but the details have been withheld from the public. Even more scandalous, after being bailed out so sumptuously, the banks were further deregulated and allowed to take over stock market brokerages in Canada and abroad, and underwriting and merchant banking establishments, derivative boutiques - such a jumble of businesses incompatible with banking that the mighty banks could not begin to keep track of the clashing relationships that resulted. Thus as part of the World-wide bail-out of banks in trouble, the Bank for International Settlements, had declared the debt of developed countries to be risk-free, hence requiring no further capital for banks to acquire. But at the same time governments throughout the World had put an end to the statutory reserves that had served as an alternative to higher interest rates to lick perceived "inflation". But if you raise interest rates, the huge bond hoard with lower coupons held by the banks fall below market value. And the banks thus lose much of their remaining capital from their very rescue package. There has been a massive redistribution of the national income, and with the increasing break-down of our infrastructure and the ongoing voracity of our banks, it continues, day and night. **The last bailout of our banks was no one-shot affair, but an ongoing entitlement. Remember, most of our taxes and expenditures go to pay the interest (homage, tithe, whatever you want to call it) to the ruling banking dynasties, and in addition, inflation also serves to reduce the value of money and is an additional hidden tax, i.e. we are their slaves!**

CANADA'S TOTAL DEBT BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE FOR 1980:

Federal 1979	98,461,000,000
Provincial & Local Government 1978	46,875,000,000
Corporation 1978	421,293,000,000
Consumer's Credit 1979	37,661,000,000
SUBTOTAL	604,290,000,000
Residential 1980	57,950,000,000
Total for 1980	662,240,000,000

CANADA'S TOTAL DEBT BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE FOR 1992:

Federal 1992	429,618,000,000
Provincial & Local Government 1992	209,230,000,000
Corporation 1989	1,536,133,000,000
Consumer's Credit 1991	99,634,000,000
SUBTOTAL	2,274,615,000,000
Residential 1991	200,673,000,000
TOTAL for 1992	2,475,288,000,000

In 1968, when Pierre Trudeau came to office, our total accumulated national debt stood at \$16.7 billion. We had fought two world wars, been through the depression of the 30s and all we owed was \$16.7 billion. After 16 years of Pierre Trudeau and the short blip that was Joe Clark, the national debt had risen to \$200 billion. What is especially significant about these years is not only that Trudeau left us with this huge unpaid bill, but that he racked up the debt during a time when the government had access to more tax revenue than any other government to that point. Under the Trudeau Liberals, from 1977 to 1984 alone, they piled up more than \$150 billion in government debt and increased spending from \$43 billion a year to \$109 billion. It doesn't take a Chartered Financial Analyst to figure out that moving out of controlled spending of \$43 billion a year to \$109 billion in seven years does not constitute getting control of things. Add to this fact that they increased borrowing from just over \$10 billion a year to \$38 billion and one gets an accurate picture of just how disastrous the Trudeau administration was at financial management. After nine years of Brian Mulroney as Prime Minister, federal spending went from \$109 billion a year to \$160 billion a year, government borrowing averaged an annual \$30 billion and the national debt had risen to \$429 billion.

By 1992-1993 the federal government was at the point where the interest on the accumulated borrowing was controlling the federal budget and the lives of Canadians. It had become the largest single federal expenditure, eating up huge chunks of tax revenue. Annual interest was in the area of \$42 billion, which was an equivalent of nearly \$200 a month for every person in the country who filed an income tax. It took all the money collected in corporate income tax from the GST, all the money collected in sales taxes, all the money collected in excise duties, plus a few billion more, just to pay

the interest. What do these figures mean to you? You may have your house, farm, or business paid for and have no consumer loan. But, your share of the federal, provincial and local government debt, plus the huge corporation debt (on which you pay the interest when you buy their product) came to 95,194\$ on the 2,475,288,000,000\$ principal. **At an annual rate of 10% this is \$9,519 per person or \$38,076 for a family of four in interest payments alone (1993).**

Fr. Denis Fahey, ca. 1944: "...Under the World's present financial system the money, except for a now trifling portion, is originally created by the issue of a loan at interest by the "bankers", who lend nothing of themselves but in effect make a forced levy in kind on the Nation by conferring on the borrower the power to purchase a corresponding amount of wealth on the market, which wealth does not belong to them, or those who borrow from them, but to the community. The proceeds of the issue of new money - whether of paper or any other form of credit money - belong to the Nation in which it is, or is accepted as, legal tender, and not to the issuer. Herein lies the basic flaw of the existing monetary system."

History demonstrates that bypassing the Central Banks and producing interest-free money according to need does not have to cause inflation. This is because it's not the source of needed money which is inflation's cause, but 'the way in' which it is produced and used and the interest that is paid on it to the private Central Bankers.


Here is an example of a working alternative currency which existed in Austria, in the 1930s, as described by **David Weston in his book, 'The Living Economy' p.196 ff:** "The burghermeister of Worgl issued local currency in the form of 'tickets for services rendered'. They were used for paying wages to men employed on public works, who would otherwise have been unemployed. During the first month of issue, these tickets are said to have circulated twenty times as a form of local currency. Taxes were paid, unemployment was reduced, and local shopkeepers prospered. Mayors of two hundred other Austrian towns decided to follow suit. But the Austrian National Bank took legal action against the experiment. The Austrian Supreme Court decided in favor of the Bank, and the innovation was squashed."

The banking system has always been opposed to governments printing money, and is always in favor of governments borrowing money from them instead. This is not because responsible production of money doesn't work or causes massive inflation; it's because the money changers can't take their pound of flesh from this sort of money. Inflation itself seems, by bankers own admission, to be the recurring problem which all traditional currencies face.

The Bank of Canada's website: "Commercial banks and other financial institutions provide the greater part of assets used as money through loans made to individuals and businesses. In that sense, financial institutions are creating money"

<http://www.bankofcanada.ca/en/backgrounders/bg-m2.htm>. Since 1913 the FED owners have "created" tens of billions of dollars in money and credit, which, as their own personal property, they can lend to our government and our people at interest (usury). "The rich get richer and the poor get poorer" had become the secret policy of the Federal government. An example of the process of "creation" and its conversion to peoples "debt" will aid our understanding. Who owns our money? Think about it! The privately owned Central banks actually own and control 'national' currency. All the money now brought into existence is borrowed by an individual, an industry or a government from a central bank somewhere. It was not created by being given nor earned anywhere, it was loaned and it must be given back one day. Thus, whoever holds this borrowed money, now only holds it in trust and as a promise to deliver some goods or services. Nobody really 'owns' money as they used to when holding a gold coin. The bank that created the money against a debt is the ultimate owner of it, because a contract says the money must eventually be given back to that bank, which only 'loaned' it in the first place. Ironically, the banks only 'own' money while others hold it, because once the bank gets 'their' money back it vanishes, except for the interest, which the bank gains and owns.

Inflation (Fed is the root of it), Interest and Goods & Services Production



The real gold and silver coins have intrinsic value, but the promissory note doesn't if it's not backed by a commodity for which it can be redeemed. In this case, the bank or issuer of the promissory note is **creating money from nothing** and is being allowed to charge interest and ask for the return of this **"fake capital"** from someone wanting to borrow some of these notes or credits.

Creating money from nothing and charging interest on it is unimaginably profitable! It's not the source of needed money which is inflation's cause, but 'the way in' which it is produced and used and the interest that is paid on it to the private Central Bankers. This "inflation" benefits the money-lenders since it wipes out savings of one generation so they can not finance or help the next generation who must then borrow from the money-lenders and pay a large part of their life's labor to the usurer. Usury and fiat money issued for non-productive use cause inflation.


Robert H. Hemphill, Credit Manager of Federal Reserve Bank, Atlanta, Georgia: "This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent, on the Commercial Banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar, we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the Banks create ample synthetic money, we are prosperous; if not, we starve. We are, absolutely, without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture, the tragic absurdity, of our hopeless position, is almost incredible, but there it is. It is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon...It is so important that our present civilization may collapse, unless it becomes widely understood, and the defects remedied very soon."

DENNIS KARNOFSKY, Chief economic adviser St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank: "...what is a dollar? It's just something artificial we throw out there....what you're doing is you're fooling people...."

Daniel Webster: "Of all the contrivances devised for cheating the laboring classes of mankind, none has been more effective than that which deludes him with paper money."

The bank who created the money and credit does not have the equivalent net assets which represent the money and credit it issued. **The bank uses your or the government's promise to pay as the asset against which it issues the money and credit. Note that the money it issued is also a promise to pay or promissory note.** Let's illustrate this with an example of some stores who issue coupons. Well, we can trade these coupons with whosoever agrees to accept them. Eventually, one day, the store which issued the coupons will have to redeem them for merchandise on demand, unless the store goes bankrupt or shuts down. One way for the store to go bankrupt is to issue coupons worth more than the value of its redeemable merchandise. In the case of the central bank (a monopoly) owners who issued the bank money notes or credit, we must remember that they created this money out of nothing, i.e. never owned an asset against which they issued their money and credit, and they never have to exchange their notes for a product or service, i.e. they are **non-redeemable**. So, what can we do with this non-redeemable money? We can purchase goods, services, lands & mineral resources as long as the seller is willing to accept it. **The central bank keeps collecting interest on this money as long as it's in circulation; a real rip off!** When a bank has too many bad debts or not enough outstanding loans on which it is collecting interest, or if it's invaded like in the case of the Bank of Iraq, it becomes unable to meet its own interest & payment obligations and goes bankrupt and its issued currency becomes worthless.

Carroll Quigley, Professor, Georgetown University, in his 1966 book entitled Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World in Our Time, President Clinton's mentor writes: "The powers of financial capitalism had [a] far-reaching [plan], nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. **...Their secret is that they have annexed from governments, monarchies, and republics the power to create the World's money...** This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the World's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank... Sought to dominate its government by its ability to control treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World."



Before, all governments and banks could issue notes and credits. Today, only a chartered bank is allowed to create credit on behalf of the owners of the bank, and **only the privately owned central banks can issue non-redeemable notes**, in addition to creating credit. However, through the power of fraudulent legislation, you are **legally obliged** to accept these private bank notes or credit in fulfillment of a debt owed to you. This will be explained in details later. The bank never wants the government or you to repay the loan. They just want to keep collecting interest on the money they created from nothing, i.e. that's their cash cow or river of money! We saw that the bank created money from nothing when the government or you went to get a loan. **But where is the money going to come from to pay the interest?** Well, looks we have a shortfall and it will also have to be created from nothing through more loans and voila, we are in an ever increasing total debt spiral! The money that was used to pay the interest is no longer in circulation and will not return into circulation until the bank either gives it away in charity or someone else borrows it. **It's a giant pyramid scheme in many ways as we will see later when the people behind this are described, with the Illuminati Banking dynasties at the top, then the United States and the Third World Masses at the bottom!** There will never be enough money in circulation for consumers to buy all the goods whilst inflated interest monies keep disappearing into the pockets of the Illuminatis, who use it for money marketeering, real estate hikes and inflating shares. People are forced to compete by grasping at scarce dollars. Citizens live in ongoing fear of not having enough personally.

Governments regularly make up the inevitable shortfall of money with infrastructure gifts, subsidies and tax breaks, mainly to big business, giving corporations the appearance of efficiency. For example, multinationals pay almost no tax in Australia, and hold the government to ransom. No wonder we pay a multitude of taxes and can't afford decent hospitals or environmental repair! The taxes go to pay interest to the Illuminatis. We are told to produce more stuff (this is called economic growth) and export to get ourselves out of scarcity. But this is unsustainable. At a growth rate of just 4% per year, in 100 years the global economy would be 50 times larger than today. Moreover, much growth is at the expense of the environment, and its distribution is such that the rich are getting richer at the expense of everyone's children.

1910: U. S. Federal debt was \$1 billion or \$12.40 per citizen. State and local debts were practically non-existent.
1920: Federal debt jumped to \$24 billion or \$228 per person after only six years of Federal Reserve shenanigans.
1960: Federal debt reached \$284 billion, or \$1,575 per citizen and state and local debts were mushrooming.
1997: Federal debt passed \$5.5 trillion and is growing exponentially.
2004: Federal and State debt passed \$15 trillion, total debt is over \$30 trillion (half the taxes go to pay interest)

State and local debts are increasing as fast Federal debts. However, they are too cunning to take the title to everything at once. They instead leave us with some "illusion of ownership" so you and your children will continue to work and pay the Illuminati Bankers more of your earnings on ever increasing debts. The "establishment" has captured our people with their debt-money system as certainly as if they had marched in with a uniformed army. From the 1 billion dollar government debt in 1910 before the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank was created, we are now at over 15 trillion total government debt or \$50,000 per American and growing and most of the taxes go to pay the interest; and we definitely cannot repay the debt because the taxes we collect barely pay the interest on that debt!! There will be mass misery and slavery when this pyramid collapses!!! Most businesses will, in time, fail or be forced to restructure. Or a war or exploitation will be required to impoverish another nation to keep this economy going! The total amount owed – by consumers, businesses, governments and financial institutions – totaled \$34.4 trillion at the end of 2003, according to the Federal Reserve. The economy produced \$11.3 trillion of output. That makes the nation's debt triple its gross domestic product. In 1933, debt was about 2 1/2 times GDP, according to a study by the Gabelli Mathers mutual fund. Lets look at it another way. The debt interest costs must be added to the costs of goods and services. As this unpayable cost (the interest) is transferred from one party to another, it compounds and the prices (the cost-of-living) constantly rise. However, simply increasing prices does not mean that the money to pay the higher prices automatically exists in the economy. This is evidenced by our year-end Total Public and Private debt of \$35 Trillion and our Total Money Supply-the total amount of available money to pay these debts is only \$5 trillion. This difference of debt/supply is why we are having more and more economic stress. When prices are marked up to cover the cost of debt interest, volume purchasing must drop if the available money supply does not increase by the same amount.

For example: Your 100 dollar paycheck buys 10 items at \$10. When the price of those items increases to \$11 you can only buy 9 items. Your standard of living has dropped. Demand and sales drop. Demand for workers drop. The economy jumps up and down. Over the long haul the debt constantly grows. Employment at livable wages declines. The purchasing power of the credit money declines. This causes more and more people to have a difficult time making ends meet. Growing money shortages increase the demand for new, costly social programs. These are paid for by taxing the ever shrinking numbers of 'haves' to pay for the growing number of 'have-nots'. This fact is expressed daily in our growing concern over two questions: Why is everything getting so expensive? Where will we get the money to pay for all the things needed and the programs demanded? **Working harder, faster, smarter, leaner, more efficiently and 'creating lower paying jobs' only shuffles existing money, it does not increase the money supply.**

Horace Greely 1811-1872: "While boasting of our noble deeds, we are careful to control the ugly fact that by an iniquitous money system, we have nationalized a system of oppression which, though more refined, is not less cruel than the old system of chattel slavery."

If the money is issued by a privately owned corporation, its owners benefit by causing the money amount and its value to fluctuate and causing the people to go into debt and pay interest permanently through taxes. Under the present debt-usury system, the extra burden of usury forces workers and businesses to demand more money for the work and goods to

pay their ever increasing debts and taxes. This increase, in prices and wages and taxes, is called "inflation". Illuminati Bankers, politicians and "economists" blame it on everything, but the real cause is the usury levied on money and debt by the Illuminati Bankers. This "inflation" benefits the money-lenders since it wipes out savings of one generation so they can not finance or help the next generation who must then borrow from the money-lenders and pay a large part of their life's labor to the usurer. With an adequate supply of interest-free money created by the sovereign Government, little borrowing would be required, and prices would be established by people and goods, and not by debts and usury.

The financial system that the World has evolved on is the privately owned Bank of England USURY model and it is not sustainable. It creates nearly all money as debt. Such money only exists as long as someone is willing and able to pay interest on it. It disappears, wholly or partially, in recurring financial crises. Such a system requires that new debt must be created faster than principal and interest payments fall due on old debt. A sustainable financial system would enable the real economy to be maintained decade after decade and century after century at its full employment potential without recurring inflation and recession. By this standard, a financial system that creates money only through the creation of debt is inherently unsustainable. When a bank makes a loan, the principal amount of the loan is added to the borrower's bank balance. The borrower, however, has promised to repay the loan plus interest even though the loan has created only the amount of money required to repay the principal-but not the amount of the interest. Therefore unless indebtedness continually grows it is impossible for all loans to be repaid as they come due. Furthermore, during the life of a loan some of the money will be saved and re-lent by individual bond purchasers, by savings banks, insurance companies etc. These loans do not create new money, but they do create debt. While we use only one mechanism-bank loans-to create money, we use several mechanisms to create debt, thus making it inevitable that debt will grow faster than the money with which to pay it. Recurring cycles of inflation, recession, and depression are a nearly inevitable consequence. If, in the attempt to arrest the price inflation resulting from an excessive rate of debt formation, the monetary authorities raise the rate of interest, the result is likely to be a financial panic. This in turn may result in a sharp cutback in borrowing. Monetary authorities respond to bail out the system by increasing bank reserves. Governments may also respond by increasing the public debt-risking both inflation and growing government deficits. Let's keep something in mind while reading this folks, increasing the money supply is not in and of itself a bad thing. However, the way the Fed does it is ridiculous. First of all every dollar introduced into the economy is based on debt! New money cannot be created unless new debt is created. Second of all, the Fed year after year increases the money supply greater than the output of the economy. Let's say the economy grows by 3% in one year. The money supply should then grow by 3% in order to not have deflation. Does the government increase the money supply by 3%? Nope, it increases the money supply by 4-6%. That extra 1-3% is money that comes right out of your monetary assets' purchasing power, a hidden tax. **This is why there has been a total of 1500% inflation since the Federal Reserve was established in 1913.** Keep in mind this is LONG TERM inflation I am talking about. Not short term inflation, which can be caused by market forces. I think short term inflation is a smokescreen for the cause of long term inflation as they can easily be confused by the common man.

Laurence Ball, assistant professor of economics at Princeton University and a visiting scholar in the Research Department of the Philadelphia Fed: "While economists disagree about many issues, there is near unanimity about this one: continuing inflation occurs when the rate of growth of the money supply consistently exceeds the rate of growth of output (of the economy)". From: What causes inflation? (<http://www.econ.ohio-state.edu/hineline/econ520/ball.pdf>). Money loses its value when it is created and put into circulation (spent) faster than the growth in productivity in the local economy (versus just being created and held as a reserve).

This tells us that long term inflation can only be caused by one thing and one thing alone: increase of the money supply greater than the output of the economy. Who controls the money supply? The Federal Reserve of course, and therefore the Federal Reserve deliberately causes inflation every single year. Why? Simple, to insure that the banking industry makes billions of dollars a year off of money that they create from nothing. Laurence Ball of course goes on to say in his article that ending inflation would be bad because it would cause a recession. This is to be expected since he is in bed with the Fed so to speak as he is a "visiting scholar".

Alan Greenspan: "The abandonment of the gold standard made it possible for the welfare statists to use the banking system as a means to an unlimited expansion of credit.... In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation. There is no safe store of value.... Deficit spending is simply a scheme for the "hidden" confiscation of wealth.... [Gold] stands as a protector of property rights."

The central bank scam is really a hidden tax, but one that benefits private banks more than the government. The government sells bonds to pay for things for which the government does not have the political wisdom or will to raise taxes to pay. But about 10% of the bonds are purchased with money the central bank creates out of nothing. The government then spends this new money. Once deposited, private banks use these new deposits to create ten times as much in new fractional reserve loans. This provides the economy with the additional money needed to purchase the other 90% of the new bonds, without drying up capital markets and forcing up interest rates. By borrowing the money (i.e. selling new bonds), the government spreads the inflationary effects out over the term of the bonds. Thus there is little to no immediate inflation. More money in circulation makes your money worth less. The politicians get as much money as they want, and the people pay for it in inflation, which erodes the purchasing power of their savings, fixed income and wages. The perverse beauty of the plan is that not one person in a thousand can figure it out because it's deliberately hidden behind complex-sounding economics gibberish. The full effects of the inflation are only experienced much later - too late to stop.

With the formation of the Bank of England in 1694 (same thing happened with the formation of the Fed in 1913), the nation was soon awash in money. Prices throughout the country doubled. Massive loans were granted for just about any wild scheme. One venture proposed draining the Red Sea to recover gold supposedly lost when the Egyptian army drowned pursuing Moses and the Israelites. By 1698, just four years later, government debt had grown from the initial 1-1/4 million pounds to 16 million. Naturally, taxes were increased and then increased again to pay for all this. With the British money supply firmly in their grip, the British economy began a wild roller coaster series of booms and depressions exactly the sort of thing a central bank claims it is designed to prevent. The private Federal Reserve pretends to fight inflation whilst it is itself the cause of inflation! Even after this subtle change in currencies, the illusion still remained in society that hard work and productivity create money. This illusion is there because workers receive money in exchange for their labour or productivity. Money comes into our existence through our productivity, and thus it is easy to assume that is how money is created in the first place. Nothing is further from the truth. Hard work and productivity no longer have any direct link at all to creating money. They only move money around. Because of the way money is now created, money can no longer reflect the overall productivity of industries or countries. History demonstrates that bypassing the Central Banks and producing interest-free money according to need does not have to cause inflation. This is because it's not the source of needed money which is inflation's cause, but 'the way in' which it is produced and used and the interest that is paid on it to the private Central Bankers.

"In his book, "Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower," **William Blum** warns of how the media will make anything that smacks of "conspiracy theory" an immediate "object of ridicule." This prevents the media from ever having to investigate the many strange interconnections among the ruling class -- for example, the relationship between the boards of directors of media giants, and the energy, banking and defense industries. These unmentionable topics are usually treated with what Blum calls "the media's most effective tool -- silence." But in case somebody's asking questions, all you have to do is say, "conspiracy theory," and any allegation instantly becomes too frivolous to merit serious attention."

Congressional records prove that FED bankers routinely hold secret meetings to profit by manipulating the stock market via interest rates and the amount of money they create. FED bankers also profit greatly from economic disasters like the Depression. The bankers create inflation, sell their stocks before the market crashes, then buy up stocks at cheaper prices. Bankers admitted this to Congress. This violates the law, yet Congress does not act because these bankers are large political contributors. Thomas Jefferson predicted this scenario if we ever allowed a private bank, like the FED, to create our currency. In order to clearly establish that this is not a conspiracy theory, but is actually how things are controlled, we further quote **Charles Lindbergh (R-MN)**. From the house of representatives, Lindbergh was well placed to see exactly what was happening back then and continues to happen today: "To cause high prices all the Federal Reserve board will do will be to lower the re-discount rate..., producing an expansion of credit and a rising stock market; then when... business men are adjusted to these conditions, it can check... prosperity in mid-career by arbitrarily raising the rate of interest It can cause the pendulum of a rising and falling market to swing gently back and forth by slight changes in the discount rate, or cause violent fluctuations by greater rate variation, and in either case it will possess inside information as to financial conditions and advance knowledge of the coming change, either up or down. This is the strangest, most dangerous advantage ever placed in the hands of a special privilege class by any Government that ever existed. The system is private, conducted for the sole purpose of obtaining the greatest possible profits from the use of other people's money. They know in advance when to create panics to their advantage. They also know when to stop panic. Inflation and deflation work equally well for them when they control finance..."

"The major cause of inflation and the economic collapse was the deliberately created credit inflation by the 'privately-owned' Federal Reserve." [Equally deliberately used here by **Nigel Lawson** and the still privately owned and privately controlled Bank of England]

James Garfield became President in 1881 with a firm grasp of where the problem lay. "Whosoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce... And when you realise that the entire system is very easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate." Within weeks of releasing this statement President Garfield was assassinated.

Inflation is a hidden tax on financial assets. Under inflation, our government is the biggest winner. Not only is the Treasury's debt burden reduced, but inflation automatically raises taxes! This tax is paid by those unlucky investors, corporations, and foreign central banks that hold financial assets denominated in the currency that is inflating. After taxes on non-inflation adjusted capital gains because the cost basis of assets in the U.S. Tax Code is not indexed upwards for inflation, the investor actually lost money! Inflation is a silent, and extremely efficient, robber of value. If you own stocks, bonds, mutual funds, REIT's, or even cash, you'll pay an inflation tax. This tax is the result of the United States' Treasury spending far more than they collect in traditional taxes and issuing debt, which is then bought by the Federal Reserve. The Fed then prints up brand new fresh dollars, out of thin air, to finance the government spending that is not paid for by direct taxes. Since someone owns the existing financial assets, someone will have to pay the tax. The only way to avoid the inflation tax is to hold as much of one's wealth in non-financial assets, but this may be easier said than done. Unbeknownst to most investors, inflation also taxes financial instruments. Consider the poor soul who wants to save enough to buy bonds that will generate enough income for a comfortable retirement. When inflation really kicks in, this imaginary interest on bonds is simply compensation for the falling value of the dollar. On closer examination, to preserve one's capital in an inflationary environment, most of the interest earned must be reinvested or it will be inflated away. But don't forget that the IRS taxes the interest that is paid for the use of the money, as well as the interest that is paid to

compensate for the principal that is being eaten up by inflation. Another example of principal being taxed is when you own an asset, for cash, that keeps increasing in value with inflation. After a number of years when that asset is sold, technically its real value has stayed the same. However, for tax purposes, the tax basis is on the original number of dollars paid. As an example, take a house. Years ago, the house cost \$100,000. Inflation comes along and the general price level doubles, the dollar falls in half, and now it takes \$200,000 to buy the same house. When the house is sold, there is a "phony gain" of \$100,000 upon which a tax is owed. **It is fractional reserve banking which is the real cause of over 90% of all inflation. This "inflation" benefits the money-lenders since it wipes out savings of one generation so they can not finance or help the next generation who must then borrow from the money-lenders and pay a large part of their life's labor to the usurer.** The bottom line is that large sums of money should not be kept in FRN (Federal Reserve Notes commonly known as US dollars) accounts, e.g. if one keeps his wealth in real-estate or gold, this will be a hedge against inflation. Note that the Banksters once in a while dump small amounts of gold into the market in order to bring down its value and reverse the migration from "fiat" currency to gold.

CCW explains that THE "DOLLAR" CANNOT BE 'BACKED' BY THE G.N.P.! If a farmer sold a Watermelon for one "dollar," the "dollar" the farmer holds would be 'backed' by the Watermelon he sold. If the buyer was to EAT the Watermelon, there would no longer be any 'backing' for THAT "dollar." Paper "Dollars" which are "claims" on future production and services of others EXPROPRIATE THAT PRODUCTION upon ENTERING the economy. Since they are not 'warehouse receipts' there is NOTHING that can be brought INTO the economy by "dollar" redemption. The "dollars" accumulate and as the volume increases the exchange value of each unit decreases requiring more units per unit of production in exchanges. The volume forever increasing causes additional units to be bid per -unit of production which is referred to as "prices" RISING. "Prices" "rising" (INFLATION!) are proof that the increase in the volume of "money" is proceeding at a faster rate than the increase in production. The increase in the "money" volume is regulated by a 'reserve requirement' expressed as a percentage. A portion of that which was CREATED, BEFORE returning as "DEPOSITS" in the system is called "reserves." In order to prevent "prices" from "rising" too fast, the rules of the system specify that the creation of NEW "dollars" be in proportion to the "reserves."

The "reserves" being the portion of previous 'creations' that have not been used to bid up "prices" as yet, the difference between the VOLUME CREATED and the volume 'NOT YET USED' is the amount USED SO FAR! By basing NEW CREATION on the portion of old creation 'NOT USED YET' (reserves) the new creation can be kept PROPORTIONAL to the amount of previous creation BEING USED. That is how the 'reserve requirement' QUALIFIES as a regulating device. When the system was young, (1913--1930) the reserve requirement was high because the volume of accumulated "dollars" was low and the "interest" burden did not require large 'roll-over' borrowings. As the system progressed, large volumes of newly created "dollars" were needed to feed the "interest" burden of the accumulating mass, and the 'reserve requirements' were adjusted accordingly. Some "dollars" created on one day, as a multiple of the 'reserves' return on a subsequent day to be 'reserves' themselves, upon which the multiplier will be applied to justify creation of many more "dollars." The system generates its own procreative force "interest" and the 'reserve requirement' is simply adjusted downward to allow the creation of NEW "dollars" to accelerate. If the 'reserve requirement' were kept at a CONSTANT percentage the volume would still INCREASE at an ever INCREASING rate. The volume of "dollars" increasing over the many years has reached an accumulation with an "interest" burden that is greater than the total productive capacity of this nation is able to support. With income from production unable to support the "interest" burden, borrowers are forced to 'roll-over' (establish a new line of credit to pay off the old one). Rolling over debt does not eliminate debt; it is IMPOSSIBLE for anyone to BORROW themselves OUT of debt.

Creating more "money" faster to facilitate the 'rolling-over' of debt for some big borrowers like the Treasury, Ginnie May, Reits, etc. simply 'buys time' by postponing the immediate collapse by default, at the expense of shortening the time to the day, which will arrive, when the system cannot create "money" fast enough to accommodate ALL borrowers 'rolling-over.' As some borrowers are unable to 'roll-over' (restructure their debt) they default and go bankrupt. Businesses in operation for over one hundred years, collapse and CEASE operations. As more businesses fail and production drops further, the gap between "money" volume and production increases. As the volume of "money" increases and the volume of production falls, it causes PRESSURE on "prices" to "rise" ("dollars" to depreciate) and the 'reserve requirement' is powerless to prevent their "RISING" ("dollars" depreciating). As time passes the "prices" "rise" at a faster rate, businesses collapse at a faster rate, unemployment rises at a faster rate. "Prices" "rising" IS the purchasing power of the "money" falling, and when it falls far enough it becomes impractical to use. The numbers become too large for adding machines and calculators. "Prices" change so rapidly that Life Insurance becomes impractical. The 'face value' of a policy isn't enough to mail a letter just weeks after the policy is placed in force. Catalog "prices" must change so rapidly that a system of 'base prices' are used with a rapidly changing 'multiplier' which is adjusted daily. Eventually "prices" "rise" ("money" depreciates) so rapidly that it becomes totally impractical to use "money." People are forced to exchange things directly. A Baker refuses to sell bread, but will readily trade bread for flour, eggs, butter, or milk. Shortages and "prices" CHANGING too rapidly makes obtaining materials with "money" an almost impossibility and whether people believe it or not the collapse is REAL and they MUST acknowledge it by refusing to accept "money." THE PAPER "DOLLAR" WILL HAVE COLLAPSED!

I (Banklord) Promise To Pay (Nothing To) The Bearer On Demand

The following is stated on the private Federal Reserve Bank's note: **"I promise to pay the bearer on demand the sum of....."**. What that means is, the bank has pledged to the holder of that note, that on demand, they will give to the holder, the value stated on the note in gold or coinage. A bank note is merely an IOU. Therefore you are perfectly entitled to ask for your bank account's total value to be paid to you in gold or coinage - the only problem is, there is nowhere near

enough gold or coinage in circulation to honor these pledges, and legislation now does not entitle you to do this anymore, which means in effect, the paper money is worthless (a lot more on this later). **Here's what the Bank of England states** about "I promise to pay the bearer on demand the sum of....."

Legal Tender and the Promise to Pay Legal Tender: The concept of legal tender is often misunderstood. Contrary to popular opinion, legal tender is not a means of payment that must be accepted by the parties to a transaction, but rather a legally defined means of payment that should not be refused by a creditor in satisfaction of a debt. The current series of Bank of England notes are legal tender in England and Wales, although not in Scotland or Northern Ireland, where the only currency carrying legal tender status for unlimited amounts is the one pound and two pound coins.

Promise to pay: The "...Promise to pay the bearer the sum of ..." on Bank of England notes has nothing to do with legal tender status. The promise to pay stands good for all time and means that the Bank will pay out the face value of any genuine Bank of England note no matter how old. The promise to pay also holds good for damaged notes, as long as enough of the note survives to prove that it was genuine and no previous claim for it has been received. The Bank's mutilated notes department receives some 25,000 claims a year for anything from fire or water damage to notes eaten by all manner of household pets.

Irving Fisher: "Thus, our national circulating medium is now at the mercy of loan transactions of banks, which lend, not money, but promises to supply money they do not possess." **In fact, the Federal Reserve now promises to tax you and uses you as the collateral against which it issues the Federal Reserve Notes and cyber money which circulate in our economy like a hot potato!!!**

There have been currency crises in 87 countries since 1975. Never in the history of the World have so many countries had such unstable banking systems. Fiat money has no intrinsic value; that indeed, is the definition of fiat money (and to be painfully clear here, we are talking about all money that is lent into existence or simply created by a "lender of last resort," without a sound commodity backing, which includes the Federal Reserve style fiat note and other banks' cyber money). Fiat money is a valueless medium of exchange, not a commodity. While a gold backed note can be redeemed for gold, a fiat currency can be redeemed for only more fiat money. To say then, that this fiat currency shall trade for another fiat currency, based on it's "market value" which is zero may raise the eyebrows of certain skeptics. Money is now a commodity by edict or legislation. It was by this same strategy that Peter Pan was able to fly. The gold backed dollar was an example of a commodity backed currency. A gold note was redeemable with a certain specific amount of gold, so the note itself might be seen as a commodity. A hat check is a good analogy of a commodity backed currency; when you check your hat, the commodity, you get a hat-check ticket which is essentially a commodity backed note. You can trade the hat check (this analogy would work better if everyone wore identical hats) to someone else, thus spending it... or you can redeem it for a hat. When the government withdrew the backing for silver and gold notes it essentially said "you can't have your hat back; you can only spend your note now." Since most people never redeemed their notes for gold anyway, they didn't give it much thought but in the hat check analogy we can see that what the government has done by claiming that this newly irredeemable money is a commodity, is to say "the hat check, which earlier merely represented a hat, is now IN FACT a hat itself. You can wear the ticket on your head, hang it in your closet, or spend it as you please." Money is not a commodity, exchange rates are humbug, and the consequences for 87 countries have been severe.

Note that other banks can fail, but the privately owned Federal Reserve System bank cannot fail because a "run on the Fed" is not allowed, i.e. holders of the Federal Reserve Notes cannot come to the Fed and ask to have it exchanged for gold. However, the Fed can cause other banks, companies, business and governments to fail by refusing to issue credit or raising interest rates. The power of the private owners of the Federal Reserve System is projected onto the World scale with "The World Bank" (established in 1944).

Adventures of the Dollar, by Howard M. Wachtel, professor of economics at the American University, Washington, DC: "By the late 1970s, there was a huge increase in the dollars floating around the World economy - the rate of growth in dollars between 1973 and 1980 was 20 times the growth in volume of trade." **Note that when countries and people decide not to hold the FRNs (Federal Reserve Notes US Dollars) and exchange them for US goods and services, a terrible inflation will ensue.**

[Bilderbergers Conspire Secretly](#)

David Rockefeller: In Sand, Baden Baden, Germany, in June 1991, addressed a re-union of these three elites with largely overlapping membership: "We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our union and have respected their promises of discretion for almost four decades... it would not have been possible to develop our World project if we had been subjected to the full fire of publicity all these years. **The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and of World Bankers is surely preferable to the self-determination which has been practised for centuries past.**" This was at the association of the Bilderberg Group (where politicians get their orders from the Illuminati Banksters), The Council on Foreign Relations, and the Trilateral Commission, (on which Banks and governments meet).



Dr. Henry Kissinger, Bilderberger Conference, Evians, France, 1991: "Today, America would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order [referring to the 1991 LA Riot]. Tomorrow they will be grateful! This is especially true if they were told that there were an outside threat from beyond [i.e., an "extraterrestrial" invasion], whether real or *promulgated*, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the World will plead to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this *scenario*, individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well-being granted to them by the World Government."

The Palace inside at the First Bilderberg Conference, Couterboek, 1954



Above: First Bilderberg Club Meeting in Holland; Left: Bilderberg conference 2003: Versailles, Paris, France, 15th - 18th May.

Här sitter några av Bilderberggruppens deltagare

Ordförande

Henry Kissinger
F.d. utrikesminister
USA

Percy Barnevik
Styrelseordförande
Swedish American
USA

Conrad Black
Styrelseordförande
för The Telegraph
Storbritannien

Christopher Dodd
Demokratisk
senator USA

Stanley Fisher
F.d. utrikesminister
USA

Chuck Hagel
Republikansk
senator USA

Vernon Jordan
Leder för
USA

Karl Otto POHL
Chef för tyska
reserverbankens
styrelse

Giovanni Agnelli
F.d. chef
Italien

Paul Altieri
Styrelseordförande
USA

Michel Combes
F.d. utrikesminister
Frankrike

Peter Carrington
F.d. utrikesminister,
generalsekreterare Nato
Storbritannien

Kenneth Clarke
F.d. finansminister
Storbritannien

Bertrand Collomb
Utrikesminister
Frankrike

Katherine Graham
Ägare av Washington
Post USA

John Kerry
Demokratisk
senator USA

Peter Mandelsohn
Ehuru för Tony Blair
Näringsminister
Storbritannien

Jürgen Schmupp
Styrelseordförande
Daimler-Chrysler
Tyskland

Leif Pagrotsky
Handelsminister
Sverige

James Wolfensohn
Chef för Världsbanken
USA

Jean-Claude Trichet
Chef för Europeiska
reserverbanken
Frankrike

Mötesrutiner
Varje "Bilderberg" möte är medlemsstaternas ledare samt en eller två paneler för ca 1-2 timmar i antalet. Efter en lunch (ca 15 minuter) följer sedan de olika deltagarna på de olika mötena i en eller två dagar för lika många timmar, vilket är en utmaning för deltagarna. Enligt uppgifter har mötet varit...

Chatham House-regeln
Ordet är tillämpligt enligt denna regel som skapades 1927 av det brittiska kungliga utrikespolitiska institutet, vars säte är Chatham House. Ingen får säga vem som sagt vad. Meningen är att alla ska kunna tala fritt utan risk att uttalandet är uttryckt, parera eller meddelat.

NATO, PETER BRATT, JOHN JARNESSON, GÖRAN JÄNSSON, JOHN JARNESSON, STEFAN RICHMAN, JOHN ÖBERG

Below are some famous Bilderbergers (the Bilderberger agenda reflected first and foremost the interests of the one-World control of the usury, oil, industrial-military complex industries). You can listen to the BBC documentary on the Bilderberg (Tyrants') Club meeting in Versailles, France in 2003, although the reporter avoids digging too deep. Below is a picture of the First Bilderberg Club Meeting Hosted by the Monarchs of Holland in 1954. By the way, it was at the Bilderbergers 1996 meeting at a resort near Toronto (King City), that the fate of Kosovo was sealed, according to John Whitley, a British-born political analyst and Bilderberger watcher who now makes his home in Toronto (S99-23, Day 10 Update 2 Item 3, Apr. 2). Apparently, Hillary Clinton attended the June 2006 Bilderberg meeting in Ottawa, Canada.

Myron Fagan (1960s): "The idea was that those who direct the overall conspiracy could use the differences in those two so-called ideologies [Marxism/fascism/socialism v. democracy/capitalism/Fabianism] to enable them [the Illuminati] to divide larger and larger portions of the human race into opposing camps so that they could be armed and then brainwashed into fighting and destroying each other."

U.S. Congressman Oscar Callaway, 1917: "In March, 1915, the J.P. Morgan interests, the steel, shipbuilding, and powder interest, and their subsidiary organizations, got together 12 men high up in the newspaper World and employed them to select the most influential newspapers in the United States and sufficient number of them to control generally the policy of the daily press....They found it was only necessary to purchase the control of 25 of the greatest papers... An agreement was reached; the policy of the papers was bought, to be paid for by the month; an editor was furnished for each paper to properly supervise and edit information regarding the questions of preparedness, militarism, financial policies, and other things of national and international nature considered vital to the interests of the purchasers."

Strobe Talbot, President Clinton's Deputy Secretary of State, as quoted in Time, July 20th, 1992: "In the next century, nations as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority. National sovereignty wasn't such a great idea after all."

[Experts, Economists, Media \(THE NEO-PRIESTS\) Mislead Us On The Globalized Economy](#)

"The great struggle of history has been for the control over money. It is almost tautological to affirm that to control the production and distribution of money is to control the wealth, resources, and people of the world." — **Jack Weatherford**, anthropologist and author.[2]

"The control of money and credit strikes at the very heart of national sovereignty." — **A.W. Clausen**, President of Bank of America, in a response to the suggestion of a global central bank. [Clausen later became the President of the World Bank].[3]

"Once a nation parts with control of its currency and credit, it matters not who makes that nation's laws." — **W.L. Mackenzie King**, [former Prime Minister of Canada].

All of this brings up an interesting question: Does the world need a global central bank? If you want a single world currency, it requires an international banking structure armed with a monetary policy on a planetary scale. Essentially, the requirement for a single global currency is a bank that has power over all countries, kindred, and tongues. Former Canadian Member of Parliament, **Paul Hellyer**, criticized this development in 1994, saying that under such a global currency/banking system "the interests of citizens, of individual countries must be subordinate...to the interests of international finance." "...[countries] would no longer be able to pursue any kind of independent policy. Sovereignty over the most powerful of all economic tools would be turned to an international monster...A world bank run by a world kingship of international appointees collectively not accountable to anyone? Heavenly days!"

Richard Stimson (Playing with the Numbers: How So-called Experts Mislead us about the Economy" Westchester Press, 1999): "The trade deficits started modestly in 1975," and here are some late figures on the trade deficits: 1995 \$180 Billion, 1996 \$184 B, 1997 \$198 B, 1998 \$298 B, 1999 \$372 B, 2000 \$409 B, ...

This is financed by printing Federal Reserve dollars or issuing US Dollar accounts which other countries are willing to hold in their reserves. To date the US has now bought a modest 15 trillion dollars worth of the World's finest commodities with money conjured up with the help of a magic wand, i.e. created from nothing by the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank.

Nowadays the US is buying the globe at the rate of over a billion dollars a day. And the best part is that you can conjure up and lend US dollars from nothing, but you get paid back in uranium, gold, diamonds, copper, oil, grains, vegetables... all sorts of nice things. The question remains unanswered: why do other countries fall for this stuff? This link may help explain things: In Focus: Multilateral Debt Burden by Soren Ambrose "Key Point: The IMF and the World Bank are 'preferred creditors' who gain power over impoverished countries as the amounts owed to them increase." (Also, see: Predatory Lending above) And what will happen when the poor suckers figure it out? Well, then we go to Plan B: Star Wars. "The U.S. Space Command, set up by the Pentagon in 1985, describes itself in 'Vision for 2020' this way: 'US Space Command dominating the space dimension of military operations to protect US interests and investment'." You gotta love that word "investments." From Karl Grossman, professor of journalism at the State University of New York College In the past 30 years the US has bombed or attacked Syria, Lebanon, Nicaragua, Sudan, Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos, Iraq, Guatemala, Japan, East Timor, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Somalia, Haiti, Yugoslavia, Panama, Afghanistan, etc.. What do these countries have in common? They are all non-members of the World Trade Organization. Since the invention of the WTO only Japan has joined willingly; the South American countries have been "persuaded" by friends such as Mr. Pinochet. As Gore Vidal observed: "The United States is always at war; perpetual war for perpetual peace." And it looks like the wars of the future will be fought against non-WTO members. Poor countries producing commodities cannot possibly compete against rich countries producing credit money.

Alan Greenspan, 17 February 2000 Congressional testimony: "... We have a problem trying to define exactly what money is...the current definition of money is not sufficient to give us a good means for controlling the money supply..."

At the CREATION level, where money first comes into existence, there are two main types of currencies:

- COMMODITY BACKED MONEY (e.g. gold note)
- CREDIT BACKED MONEY (i.e. home loan)
- There is also the CIRCULATION level, checks, coins, notes, digital transfers etc. This paper does not deal with them because they are less relevant forms for moving money born at the CREATION level.

Commodity money is backed by, guess what?, commodities; it is redeemable, by the issuer for commodities. The model for commodity money is the IOU which is also redeemable by the issuer. Credit Backed money or debt-base money is created from nothing and is a commodity substitute, an IOU but more like a prepaid-rain-check redeemable sometime in the more distant future with something that doesn't exist yet, won't exist for quite some time, is less well defined and has more loopholes. As you get up to speed here you will come to realize that virtually all of our money today is credit money, and commodity money has been abandoned. Here's how. When Smith lends a bushel of corn to Jones, Jones gives an IOU to Smith. However, when the Bank lends money to Jones for a house, the Bank gives an IOU to Jones because the bank creates the money out of nothing, i.e. the Bank's note does not represent a commodity but if Smith is not willing to accept Jones' IOU, then Jones has to go to the Bank because Smith must accept the Bank's IOU Federal Reserve Note by the power of fraudulent legislation, i.e. "THIS NOTE IS LEGAL TENDER FOR ALL DEBTS, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE." Is written on the privately issued Federal Reserve Note that you have in your pocket, a.k.a. US paper currency. When Jones pays off his loan, the Bank un-creates the money. The bank's money is an IOU that is not redeemable by the Bank, i.e. the Bank's IOU or Federal Reserve Note must be passed from person to person like a hot potato or returned to the Bank to satisfy the debt.

If Alan Greenspan doesn't know what money is, this is a likely cause: his money never had a definition to start with. People are local, communities are local, crops are local, rivers are local, lakes are local, the climate is local, mineral deposits are local, forests are local, and even the oceans are local, as you might find if you compare swimming in the Caribbean to swimming in the Antarctic. Only corporations, it seems, are global. Commodities vary from one region to the next. In the tropics they grow bananas and coconuts. In the mountains, coffee. Some regions have mineral deposits or fish and others do not. Each region has its own set of commodities. It should be clear then that, if commodities are local, and if Real Money is commodities, then Real Money is obviously local.

By extension then all legitimate currencies have to be local. Talk of an international currency is subversive imperialist talk.

Globalization may have its homogenizing effects and you may find Nike-wearing computer hackers from Argentina to the Netherlands, but climate is local and crops and mineral deposits will always be local. And money is local. If the present system is left in place, the US will end up with one region and that will look like New York City. Already the east coast of the US has merged into one large city and the west coast is going the same way. When the Local quality of money is not respected, large metropolitan areas will inevitably colonize and degrade or destroy outlying territories. When you see a flock of Wal-Mart's in Britain or a Red Lobster in Zimbabwe, that's the first sign of infection. That is because areas like New York who produce nothing but money and consume everything else, create more (credit) money than the surrounding areas, so colonization such as they have done in Atlantic City, Miami, and Key West to mention just a few, becomes irresistible. When New York investors focused on Atlantic City for example, they created a boom which Americans are inclined to believe is good, but when the investors pulled out they left the town to decay, leaving hundreds of acres of rotting housing and hotels for the locals to deal with. They did not return the property to its pristine state before they left. For more on this, see "Money is Local". **A national mutual credit system could prevent the economic colonization and destruction of communities, as well as the economic subjugation mentioned above, where outsiders such as Mr. Dubya, decide school policies for areas where he does not live and will never have to send his kids. All money is not equal.** The money has its highest value at the time it's created by the Federal Reserve Bank and lent to the Government. As the Government starts spending this money, its value slowly decreases. A one dollar Federal Reserve Note issued in 1913 could buy a lot more than what it can buy today. On the other hand, a 1913 gold coin can buy about the same commodities now as in 1913 or as in ancient Rome! In 1913, Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act creating our central bank. Most Americans don't know that this organization is a private corporation established to control America's monetary system through the banking industry.

In the last several years, our privately owned central bank has helped to push through two major pieces of legislation expanding its power over not only the banking system, but the stock market, insurance and real estate industries as well. Let me explain.

When the stock market crashed in 1929, Congress passed the Glass-Steagall Act separating the commercial activities of banks (savings, checking, deposits and loans) from that of investment banks that bring new stocks and bonds to market, offering them to investor through their in-house stockbrokers. The Federal Reserve worked very hard with the Clinton Administration to pass the Banking Modernization Act in 1999 which erased the Glass-Steagall Act of 1929. Furthermore this law expanded the functions of commercial banks to not only syndicate securities but to also sell both personal and commercial insurance as well as real estate, thus creating what is termed, "financial conglomerates." Now let's understand what really took place. When Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act in 1913, this private group of bankers only got control of our monetary system via the banking system. They did not have control over the insurance industry and stock markets. By passing the Banking Modernization Act 86 years later, they now have control over ALL of these areas worth trillions of dollars. That same year, Congress also passed the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act with very little fanfare. Former Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin, now a co-Chairman at Citigroup which is a financial conglomerate, praised this bill as being necessary and critical. What it really did was amend key banking laws such as the Banking Act of 1933, the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, the Federal Deposit Institutions Act, the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 and the International Banking Act of 1978 to substitute the Federal Reserve as being

responsible for our financial system instead of Congress! The permanent financial revolution that has been waged in America has been without opposition because with very little or misleading explanation from the main stream press.

Brief History of Wars & Crimes Over the Control of The Private Issue Of Money

Most people don't realize that now-a-days the **issuing of money is essentially a private business**, and that the privilege of issuing money has been a major bone of contention throughout history over which **major wars** have been



and are being fought, over which **major crimes** have been and are being committed, and over which many nations were destroyed, Kings, Queens, Monarchs, Emperors, Tsars and families like the Kennedys and the Gandhis (in addition to not allowing foreign banks, Mahatma Gandhi said Nov. 26, 1938 "Let the Jews, who claim to be the chosen race, prove their title by choosing the way of non-violence for vindicating their position on earth"), Popes, judges, lawyers, politicians and **six or seven US Presidents were assassinated** (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if you count FDR another suspicious poisoning)! And remember, the best way to control a population is through continuous wars.

What are some of the forerunners to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank?

Reserve Bank?

"Bank" of Rome Headquarters in Vatican City right in front of an Occult obelisk!



Well, the ancient Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews, Babylonians, Romans and Greeks had similar banking activities. At that time, the sacred temples, whether Jewish or Pagan Sun-god, were the most popular place of banking operations but did not monopolize it totally. The financial activities like accepting deposits giving loans, checking and exchanging money and making remittances between different cities, to minimize the risk of carrying money were being carried out during 4th Century B.C. The Romans served their apprenticeship in the art of banking under the Greeks and altogether changed the banking procedure in most of the ancient World



along with the expansion of their influence.

Although Nimrod was the first Priest-Banker, the first Mother of All Banks was founded (the second one being the B.I.S. created in 1930, Basel, Switzerland as mentioned previously) in 45 A.C. as The "Bank" of Rome in Vatican City by Simon Magnus "the (Pharisaic) Sorcerer" when he emigrated from Jerusalem to Rome after his rebuke by Peter whom Simon tried to bribe!! When he arrived in Rome during the reign of Emperor Claudius, that city was the USURY capital of the World. A few rich families controlled all the wealth, and just like the U.S. today, these super-rich monopoly capitalists (to the Illuminatis, competition is a sin, that's why they like large centralized private banks and their other businesses) owned and controlled the government. Let's not forget that THEY implemented the law of tithing and it was not Yeshua-Joshua or anyone else... the love of money is the root of all evil, and the Roman Elites have always loved their money. Simon beguiled the Romans as he beguiled the Samaritans. He was honoured as a god in Rome and a statue was erected in his honor. In other words, it is true that all the roads of money lead to Rome!!! More details later.

Another city that would play a major part in being a financial choke point for the World was established as The city of Londinium (The Crown) by the Romans on the north bank of the River Thames in around 50 AC. To this day, the financial square mile is run by the Bank of England (remember Bank Street), Vatican City type status. In a much delayed revenge, the Imperialists under Charles V, sacked the city of Rome in 1527 and stole most of the gold from the Bank of Rome. The city was defended by Swiss mercenaries and as a result they were commissioned to guard the "Bank of Rome". After the sacking of Rome, the "Bank of Rome" decided to store their gold and silver in Switzerland as a precaution against another repeat of the sack of 1527 (since then, Switzerland has become a neutral zone for the World's dirty business). After the establishment of the Jesuits by Pope Paul III in 1527, all the Roman Catholic universities throughout Europe were thrown open and they were given carte blanche to recruit the sharpest brains in order to stop Rome's financial hemorrhage. The newly formed Jesuits were particularly ruthless in the field of "education" and the Counter-Reformation led by Liola quickly moved to dominate all the schools and colleges in Europe. This "new learning" soon discovered that aside from **direct warfare and brutal conquest** (sounds like what Bush, Sharon & Company are doing now) nothing could replace the millennia old USURY as a destroyer of freedom-loving nations. This is subsequently referred to as "the conspiracy theory" whose recent roots are in Europe and whose ancient roots are in Babylon, Egypt, Jerusalem and Rome. Remember Rome's conquests, B.C. & A.C., were up to England, Egypt, Jerusalem, Babylon and Arabia, Western Europe, Turkey, Persia; Rome was the center of usury banking, wealth and



empire! It was at that time that the Jesuits started a comeback under Ignatius LIEola who reorganized the Bank of Rome before Pope Paul III in 1540. See the Jesuits' Insignia HIS (Isis-Horus-Seb, the ancient occult trinity).

England's King Edward VI (1547-1553) outlawed usury by Parliamentary decree. You can be sure that his reign was cut short because he opposed the moneylenders. The moneylenders persevered and the anti-usury law was eventually repealed. The clear teaching of Scripture on usury was undermined among the Christians, just like Yeshua-Joshua' teaching about the evils of the Pharisees. The reorganized Bank of Rome soon began to start branch offices in various cities: Venice in 1587, the Wisselbank in Amsterdam in 1609, Hamburg 1619, Nuremberg 1621, Rotterdam 1635 and last but not least, this opened the door to establish the privately owned Bank of England which was incorporated on July 27th, 1694, as a private joint-stock association, with a capital of £1.2 million. In return for the loan of its entire capital to the government it received the right to issue notes and a monopoly on corporate banking in England. Of course they only lent the principal so the interest would keep accumulating as compound interest. Money to pay the interest is not created. Since the loans can NEVER be repayed with money, the moneylenders have complete control of the government and people, and in due time, will obtain ownership of all natural resources, i.e. a return to serfdom and slavery.

Leon N. Tolstoy: "Money is a new form of slavery, and distinguishable from the old simply by the fact that it is impersonal -- that there is no human relation between master and slave." More details later in this book.

WILLIAM CORBETT: (In Advice to Young Men, 1, 1829): "The power which money gives is that of brute force; it is the power of the bludgeon and the bayonet."

SOPHOCLES (Atigone, c. 450 B.C.): "Money lays waste cities; It sets men to roaming from home. It seduces and corrupts honest men and turns virtue to baseness; It teaches villainy and impiety."

Many are not aware of the permanent financial revolution that is being fought over the World's monetary system since 1694 when the Bank of England was created and almost immediately started to issue notes in return for deposits. The crucial feature that made Bank of England notes a means of exchange was the promise to pay the bearer the sum of the note on demand. This meant that the note could be redeemed at the Bank for gold or coinage by anyone presenting it for payment. These notes were handwritten on Bank paper and signed by one of the Bank's cashiers. They were made out for the precise sum deposited in pounds, shillings and pence.

It's interesting to note that during the 119 year period from the founding of the Bank of England to Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo, England had been at war for 56 years, while the rest of the time preparing for it. In the process the owners of the Bank of England had been getting immensely rich. After the Battle of Waterloo, the Rothschilds managed to take ownership of the Bank of England from its former private owners. During the 18th century there was a gradual move toward fixed denomination notes which by 1745 were being part printed in denominations ranging from £20 to £1,000. In the latter half of the century gold shortages caused by war and revolution led to the production of £10, £5, £2 and £1 notes. The first fully printed notes appeared in 1855 relieving the cashiers of the task of filling in the name of the payee and signing each note individually. The phrasing "I promise to pay the bearer on demand the sum of ..." was introduced at this time and remains to this day. In 1833 the Bank's notes were made legal tender for all sums above £5 in England and Wales.

Remember the British Opium wars against China so that the Brit elites would get a piece of the opium pie? Now you can understand why the CIA facilitates the drug trade (code name Octopus), why Noriega was nearly "put on ice" because he wanted too big a piece of the drug pie (by the way, over 400 civilians were mass graved and several city blocks were destroyed by the Bush armed forces to arrest Noriega!, and guess what, drug trafficking has increased since his arrest, a bit like drug trafficking has increased since the slaughter of the Taliban, get the picture?), the history of the Bush family crimes, Clinton's Mena Affair, the role of the Venice Florida Airport, and LAPD officer Michael Ruppert's 1996 accusations against CIA Director John Deutch about drug trafficking, etc. (full details on the CD and in later sections). Europe, towards the end of the eighteenth century or at the time of the American Revolution, was very different from what we know today. It was composed of a combination of large and small kingdoms, duchies and states which were constantly engaged in squabbles among themselves (a good movie to understand the ugliness of those days is "Timeline"). **Most people were reduced to the level of serfs** - with no political rights (since ancient times, the elites have developed and evolved systems for controlling and ripping-off the masses whom they view as slaves, serfs, knaves, peasants, etc. in order to live like gods on Mount Olympus! Remember, its only recently that the European, Russian, American serfs were freed from bondage and that slavery was outlawed!!). The meager 'privileges' that were granted to them by their 'owners' could be withdrawn at a moment's notice. **Americans wanted to escape from the European serfdom structure.** As we will see, Americans succeeded for a while although Natives and Blacks were enslaved; however the Illuminatis now have regained control of America, from the land of the free and the brave to the land of the greedy and their slaves.

Benjamin Franklin: "The refusal of King George to operate an honest colonial money system which freed the ordinary man from the clutches of the manipulators was probably the prime cause of the Revolution." "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters, had it not been that England took away from the Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dissatisfaction." "Bulletin", February 1989-November 1991 issues, Box 986, Ft. Collins, CO 80522.



It was during the late 1700s that a young man appeared on the European scene who was to have a tremendous impact on the future course of World history; his name was Mayer

Amschel Bauer later changed to Rothschild, the banking power to be feared in Europe as we will see later.

Mayer Amschel Bauer was born in Frankfurt-On-The-Main in Germany in 1743. He was the son of Moses Amschel Bauer, an itinerant money lender and goldsmith who, tiring of his wanderings in Eastern Europe, decided to settle down in the city where his first son was born. He opened a shop, or counting house, on Judenstrasse (or Jew Street in English). Over the door leading into the shop he placed a large Red Shield (Roth Schild in German) with a Roman Eagle and Hexagram (symbol of Masonry as on the Israeli flag). In later years his name, which he had changed to Rothschild (red shield), became synonymous with wealth, power and influence. He was the first of the Rothschilds - the first truly international banker! **The Rothschild grew to dominate trans-Atlantic banking and now with their surrogates such as the Rockefeller Dynasty, the Bush Dynasty, the House of Windsor Dynasty, and others, control World Finances and thus World affairs, wars, monopolies, etc.**

Prior to the American Revolution, most nations were ruled by a single Power Group composed of those with money enough to buy war lords and religious and political ideologues and with knowledge of how to manipulate the people. There were no countervailing power groups to fight against the monolithic cabals. The American Revolution was supposed to result in the creation of a republican form of democracy, with the various branches of government providing countervailing powers. However, the leaders of the clandestine 1787 Constitutional Congress concocted a flawed Constitution which made it possible for a plutocratic minority to seize all the reins of power.

John C. Miller. (In a 1943 book). Origins of the American Revolution: "[The Framers of the Constitution] . . . had no wish to usher in democracy in the United States. They were not making war upon the principle of aristocracy and they had no more intention than had the Tories of destroying the tradition of upper-class leadership in the colonies. Although they hoped to turn the Tories out of office, they did not propose to open these lush pastures to the common herd. They did believe, however, that the common people, if properly bridled and reined, might be made allies in the work of freeing the colonies from British rule and that they--the gentry--might reap the benefits without interference. **They expected, in other words, to achieve a 'safe and sane' revolution of gentlemen, by gentlemen, and for gentlemen.**" (Forget about government of the people, by the people and for the people!)

President George Washington, 1785: in a letter written to the Reverend G. W. Snyder: "Reverend, Sir, it was not my intention to doubt that the doctrine of the Illuminati -- the principles [masqueraded as] of Jacobinism [Israelinism; Israel is the other name of Prophet Jacob] -- had not spread in the United States. On the contrary, no one is more satisfied of this fact than I am." Shortly before he died, President Washington was well aware that the Adam Weishaupt radical brand of Masonry (contraction of the French words "frere mason"; in secret societies, members ascend to higher degrees by proving they can keep secrets, by performing rituals and by various tests of merits which become progressively harder until a Mason reaches the 33rd degree grand-master), the Illuminati, had spread its poison to America. "They work more effectively against us, than the enemy's armies. They are a hundred times more dangerous to our liberties and the great cause we are engaged in ... It is much to be lamented that each state, long ago, has not hunted them down as pests to society and the greatest enemies we have to the happiness of America." -- George Washington, America's first President, Maxims of George Washington by A. A. Appleton & Co.

Shortly before his death, John Marshall, the great Chief justice of the United States Supreme Court, wrote: "The institution of Masonry ought to be abandoned, as one capable of producing much evil, and incapable of producing any good which might not be affected by open means." Marshall was himself a famous Mason and had assisted in the establishment of two Lodges in Virginia.

Rev. Charles G. Finney, the great nineteenth century evangelist and longtime president of Oberlin College writes a book exposing Masonry: Pg.1 "I know something about it, for I have been a Mason myself." Pg. 8 "I came to the deliberate conclusion, and could not avoid doing so, that my oaths had been procured by fraud and misrepresentations, and that the institution was in no respect what I had been previously informed it was. And, as I have had the means of examining it more thoroughly, it has become more and more irresistibly plain to my convictions that the institution is highly dangerous to the State, and in every way injurious to the Church of Christ."

After years of agitation and maneuvering and manipulation by the trans-Atlantic Rothschild cabal, finally in 1791, Congress was induced to pass a law, after long debate and strong opposition, chartering the private bank modeled on the private Bank of England called the **"First Bank of the United States."** This marked the beginning of our money being "switched" from wealth of the State & People to debt owed to private banks. The first United States Bank was strongly opposed by both Edmund Randolph, the Attorney General of the United States, and the Secretary of State, Thomas Jefferson. Note here that the identical tactics that were used with the establishing and naming of the Bank of England were again used, namely - the naming of the new bank the "Bank of the United States;" making it appear to be, without saying so, a bank owned by the government, that, of course, giving it the advantage of the prestige of the government, and the belief of the people of its consequent safety for the money they were to deposit in it. More deception and make believe? Certainly, and as before mentioned, these are two of the main "stocks in trade" of the "money changers" or usurers. It is most unbelievable, even at this date, to find the vast number of people here in the United States actually believing, and who will argue for hours that the federal Reserve Banks are "owned" by the "Government." Such, of course, is not true, as they are owned by private interests as was the Bank of the United States in 1791.

Thomas Jefferson gave the following warning in 1799, which seems to have been ignored: "Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day, but a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, unalterable through every change of ministers, too plainly prove a deliberate, systematic plan of reducing us to slavery."

Note that in 1810, the US Senate passed the proposed 13th Amendment barring "Titles of Nobility" and prohibiting serfdom and slavery. The Amendment was sent to the States for ratification in 1811, but the Nobility managed to launch the War of 1812 and the proposed 13th Amendment became a casualty of the war. It was finally passed in 1865. <http://www.amendment-13.org/>

President Andrew Jackson stated in reference to the trans-Atlantic Illuminati Bankers at the state of his administration in 1828: "You are a den of vipers and thieves. I intend to rout you out, and by the Eternal God, I will rout you out." (**Yeshua-Joshua** had similar words for the Pharisee Temple money changers as we will see later). "It is not our own citizens only who are to receive the bounty of our government. More than eight millions of the stock of this bank are held by foreigners... is there no danger to our liberty and independence in a bank that in its nature has so little to bind it to our country?... Controlling our currency, receiving our public moneys, and holding thousands of our citizens in dependence... would be more formidable and dangerous than a military power of the enemy. If government would confine itself to equal protection, and, as Heaven does its rains, shower its favour alike on the high and the low, the rich and the poor, it would be an unqualified blessing. In the act before me there seems to be a wide and unnecessary departure from these just principles."

Grand Duke Alexander was the nephew of Russian Emperor Alexander II. This was the Emperor that **freed the Russian serfs (slaves) in 1861**. He sent the Russian Navy to New York, Virginia and San Francisco in 1863 and their presence was a warning to France and Great Britain to stay out of the American Civil War. In 1867, he sold Alaska to the U.S. for the measly sum of \$7.2 million dollars. For freeing the serfs and saving the American Union, Emperor Alexander was killed by a European Rothschild Jesuit assassin in 1881. The Illuminati Rockefeller (Roggenfelders in German) dynasty built its first oil refinery in 1863, President Lincoln was assassinated in 1865, and their Standard Oil Company (monopoly) was formed in 1870. The European Illuminatis kept plotting to get a stranglehold on America. The foundations of the **Round Table Society**, eventually to be funded by **Cecil Rhodes** (Rhodesia was named after Rhodes, a land monopolizer enslaver) and the Rothschilds, were laid at an 1891 meeting of representatives from US, Great Britain, Canada, Australia, India, South Africa, and New Zealand.

American Bankers Association as printed in the Congressional Record of April 29, 1913 for 1891: "On Sept 1st, 1894, we will not renew our loans under any consideration. On Sept 1st we will demand our money. We will foreclose and become mortgagees in possession. We can take two-thirds of the farms west of the Mississippi and thousands of them east of the Mississippi as well, at our own price... Then the farmers will become tenants as in England..."

In 1899, a trans-Atlantic meeting was held in England between **the Rothschilds, J.P. Morgan, and the Warburgs** (all immigrants from Germany's Zionist families like Bush-Bosch and the House of Windsor Mountbatten-Battenburg & Saxe-Coburg-Gothas and future owners of the private Federal Reserve Bank, just like the Rockefellers, etc. the 4th Reich Team, Bush, Rumsfeld, Clintons, the Nazis that were brought into the CIA, etc.). Along with the Rockefeller interests, we have the Morgan, Brown, Rothschild, Du Pont, Harriman (railroads monopolizer along with Vanderbilt), Kuhn-Loeb interests. Max Warburg, manager of the powerful Warburg Bank, Hamburg, Germany, assigned his brother Paul Moritz Warburg to the United States, c.1900, to supervise the establishment of a Rothschild central-bank. He was successful. The unconstitutional Federal Reserve Act (1913) was passed by an inept and corrupt Congress. Rothschild previously had created the ILLUMINATI, a secret organization whose top management is composed of Zionist Bankers. Its Goal is to create One World ZIONIST Government (see: <http://www.holywesternempire.org>). Placing Europe and America in economic slavery was one means toward that end (and now the rest of the World). Periodic wars kept the population lean and Zionist purses fat.

"Let me issue and control a Nation's money and I care not who makes its laws": Meyer Amschel Rothschild.

Following the devastating losses of about 90 million gentiles in WW1, Communist purges, and WW2, and the triumph of International Zionism, James Warburg, Paul Warburg's son, was called upon to testify, 1950, before a Senate Hearing. Congress was aware finally that America had been duped into WW2; that Zionism was the lone victor. Senators' questions elicited this response from James Warburg:

"WE shall have World Government whether or not we like it. The question is only whether World Government will be achieved by consent or by conquest": James Warburg.

In 2006 ALL is painfully clear. Warburg's threats are now reality. America is no longer a sovereign nation. Her real-estate is secured by International Bankers who hold the paper on America's incredible debt. The machinery of government is run by the Zionist Cabal. This tragedy would never have occurred had not traitors within the United States government allowed the gradual dismemberment of the elements that compose America's freedom. Today, even the most ignorant can discern the Illuminati's historic modus operandi: Destroy the host-country's Culture through deception, treason, and war. Divide-and-conquer. Now we see how neatly 9-11, an inside job, became the 21st c. Lusitania, whereby a Zionist Cabal inspired war was created in the mid-East to absorb our military. Exactly what Warburg had in mind when he threatened "by consent or by conquest." Many traitors who created this tragedy continue to hold sensitive positions within the United States government and within the international business community. They intend to achieve the Illuminati goal.

Back in 1900, **Adolf Lanz founded the Masonic Order of the New Templars** (note that if one studies the murders of many important politicians and journalists, a trace of Masonic ritual principles such as sacrifice, time, place, numerology, style, etc. can be detected). President McKinley was assassinated by Leon Czolgosz in 1901. The Illuminati created the Panic of 1907 with the collapse of the U.S. stock market. Financial panic and depression were caused by **J.P. Morgan** to gain support for the centralized banking concept and to pave the way for the creation of the private central bank known fraudulently as "The Federal Reserve". The **Round Table Group** was formed in 1909 along with the revival of the **ancient Rosicrucians and the Masonic "mountaintop revelations" to Allister Crowley**. A secret meeting of Illuminati Bankers and Politicians was held at **Jekyll Island, Georgia** in 1910 to plot the final series of events that would facilitate the passage of the Federal Reserve Act and creation of the private Federal Reserve central bank. The not-so-bright **Woodrow Wilson** was inaugurated as the 28th President of The United States in 1913. Wilson had been indoctrinated by Baruch, a representative of the banking dynasties. **James Perloff** said: "Baruch brought Wilson to the Democratic Party headquarters in New York in 1912, leading him like one would a poodle on a string. Wilson received an indoctrination course from the leaders convened there..."

Paul Warburg on the Aldrich Bill and the Glass-Owen Bill for the Federal Reserve Act: "Brushing aside the external differences affecting the shells, we find the kernels of the two systems very closely resembling and related to one another".

Frank Vanderlip: "Although the Aldrich Federal Reserve plan was defeated when it bore the name Aldrich, nevertheless, its essential points were all contained in the plan that finally was adopted."

Alfred Crozier, Ohio Attorney: "The... bill grants just what Wall Street and the big banks for twenty-five years have been striving for – private instead of public control of currency. It [the Glass-Owen bill] does this as completely as the Aldrich Bill. Both measures rob the government and the people of all effective control over the public's money, and vest in the banks exclusively the dangerous power to make money among the people scarce or plenty."

Rep. Louis McFadden (D- PA 1933): "The Aldrich Bill was condemned in the platform... when Woodrow Wilson was nominated.... The men who ruled the Democratic party promised the people that if they were returned to power, there would be no central bank established here while they held the reigns of government. Thirteen months later, this promise was broken, and the Wilson administration, under the tutelage of those sinister Wall Street figures who stood behind [the fake] Colonel House, established here in our free country the worm-eaten monarchical institution of the king's bank to control us from the top downward, and to shackle us from the cradle to the grave".

The Illuminati scheming finally culminated in 1913 with the passing of the **Federal Reserve Act** (learn the details about how this came to pass in a later section where G. Edward Griffin explains its history in great detail in a lecture; the audio of the 1995 lecture is available on the CD) which gave these Illuminati dynasties a banking monopoly over the issuance of money in the United States. **Congressman Charles Lindbergh** put his finger firmly on the truth when he stated, just after the 'Federal' Reserve Act was passed by a depleted Congress on December 23rd 1913: "**The Act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth. When the President [Wilson] signs this Bill, the invisible government of the monetary power will be legalized....The worst legislative crime of the ages is perpetrated by this banking [and currency bill]. Just before the Federal Reserve Act was passed in December 1913, the 16th Amendment legalizing income tax was passed but according to some it was not ratified by the required number of states to become law. This bill was required to pay the bankers the interest they would charge under the Federal Reserve Act.**"

President Woodrow Wilson, repenting, following the fraudulent establishment of the privately owned Federal Reserve System with the 1913 Federal Reserve Act said: "We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the World - no longer a Government of free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominant men." The three people who passed the **Federal Reserve Act in 1913**, knew exactly what they were doing when they set up this private bank, modeled on the Bank of England and the fact that **THE BANK OF ENGLAND** had been operating independently unopposed since 1694 must have given them a great deal of confidence indeed. After the Battle of Waterloo, the Rothschilds managed to take ownership of the Bank of England from its former private owners.

With this fraudulent ACT, it was necessary for the Illuminatis to intensify and further develop their continuing control over people's money, politicians, judges, the major news media, education, and ensure that there is an appearance of a slightly superior standard of living in the United States compared to the rest of the World by ensuring that the third World nations are controlled via the CIA into a corrupt state of exploitation, to flood people with diversions, etc. This has been done with the immense wealth they generate from the Federal Reserve System and the World Bank's activities. The FED owners have become immensely wealthy by creating money out of nothing and lending it to the tax payers World-wide. Certain elements in the FBI are tasked to ensure that any local patriot militias are managed and certain elements in the CIA are tasked to ensure that the wealth of the rest of the World flows into Wall Street. If these measures fail in their roles of making the World safe for the growing wealth of the Illuminati banking dynasties, then the National Guard and the Armed Forces are called into action.

FED Chairman Burns stated" "Killing can be made simply by knowing the next few months newspapers ahead of time."

Congressman Patman said: "The FED officials own more than 100 million dollars (of stocks) while making decisions influencing these stock prices..." (Reference 24, P. 123).

[Income \(SLAVE\) Tax System to Get Our Private Info & Pay Interest Banksters' Feudal Tithe](#)

The Income Tax is one of the Feudal Banking monopolists' frauds. So, in 1913, the 16th Amendment was introduced to force income taxes onto Americans to pay the interest on the credit money (created from nothing by the Banksters using our person and future persons as collateral without our consent) borrowed from the newly created Federal Reserve Banks. From **John Locke**, "A Treatise Concerning Civil Government: "every Man has a Property in his own Person. This no Body has any Right to but himself. The Labour of his Body, and the Work of his Hands, we may say, are properly his. The great and chief end therefore, of Mens uniting into Commonwealths, and putting themselves under Government, is the Preservation of their Property."

The [IRS is a Trust Headquartered in Puerto Rico Not a Federal Agency](#) The IRS is technically not an "agency" of the federal government, as that term is defined in the [Freedom of Information Act](#) and in the [Administrative Procedures Act](#). The governments of the federal territories are expressly excluded from the definition of "agency" in those Acts of Congress. See [5 U.S.C. 551\(1\)\(C\)](#). All evidence indicates that they are a money laundry, extortion racket, and conspiracy to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in violation of [18 U.S.C. 1951](#) and [1961](#) et seq. They appear to be laundering huge sums of money into foreign banks, mostly in Europe, and quite possibly into the Vatican. See the national policy on money laundering at [31 U.S.C. 5341](#)

Do federal income tax revenues pay for any government services and, if so, which government services are funded by federal income taxes? Answer: No. The money trail is very difficult to follow, in this instance, because the IRS is technically a trust with a domicile in [Puerto Rico](#). See [31 U.S.C. 1321\(a\)\(62\)](#). As such, their records are protected by laws which guarantee the privacy of trust records within that territorial jurisdiction, provided that the trust is not also violating the [Sherman Antitrust Act](#). The final report of the Grace Commission, convened under President Ronald Reagan, quietly admitted that none of the funds they collect from federal income taxes goes to pay for any federal government services. The Grace Commission found that those funds were being used to pay for interest on the federal debt, and income transfer payments to beneficiaries of entitlement programs like federal pension plans.

In 1913, U.S. Government debt stood at \$1 billion. Today, it is over \$15 trillion. The 16th Amendment was not legally ratified and this is why people are challenging the legality of income taxes that go to pay the interest on the money created from nothing by the private Federal Reserve System. When a point is reached that there is no money to pay the interest, then the banking dynasties will take control of all natural resources as payment for the debt, i.e. a return to serfdom and slavery (just look at what they've done to Argentina!). Check this link for the current Federal Debt only http://www.brilliq.com/debt_clock/. The total amount owed – by consumers, businesses, governments and financial institutions – totaled \$34.4 trillion at the end of 2003, according to the Federal Reserve. The economy produced \$11.3



trillion of output. That makes the nation's debt triple its gross domestic product. In 1933, debt was about 2 1/2 times GDP, according to a study by the Gabelli Mathers mutual fund. **Also in 1913**, the **Schiff group** (who also runs the New York Times among other media outlets) organized the "Anti-defamation League of the **B'nai B'rith**" commonly known as the "**ADL**" to serve as the gestapo and hatchet-man outfit for the entire great conspiracy. Today the sinister "ADL" maintains over 2,000 agencies in all parts of our country and they advise and completely control every action of the "NAACP" or of the "Urban League" of all the other civil-rights organizations throughout the nation. In addition, the "ADL" acquired absolute control of the advertising-budgets of many department-stores, hotel-chains, and TV and Radio industrialist sponsors, and advertising-agencies in order to control practically all the mass-communications media and force every loyal newspaper to slant and falsify the news. In short, the B'nai Braith was established in 1913 to ensure that anyone who criticizes the Federal Reserve owners is labeled as an anti-Semite. Jacob Schiff in picture at left.

Another diversion created in 1913, to keep the World masses busy fighting, under the banner of religion with fervor, while conquering their oil, was the intention to create a Zionist state in Palestine. This global control of oil - through the power of money enforced by an army of military bailiffs - began in the late 1880's with the decision made by Admiral Jack Fisher that the British Fleet would convert to oil as its primary fuel which, under the policy of "strategic denial", would then belong to Britain and be denied, where ever possible, to all others.

Edward Bernays, the "Father of Propaganda": "If we understand the mechanisms and motives of the group mind, it is now possible to control and regiment the masses according to our will without their knowing it ... The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country ... In almost every act of our daily lives, whether in the sphere of politics or business, in our social conduct or our ethical thinking, we are dominated by the relatively small number of persons ... who understand the mental processes and social patterns of the masses. It is they who pull the wires which control the public mind."

Winston Churchill openly and unashamedly declared in 1913: "We must become the owners, or at any rate the controllers at the source, of at least a proportion of the oil which we require...."

Since their establishment, **the Rockefellers' Standard Oil and British Petroleum invite you to die for them!** See the details on the Rockefeller Empire in a later section. This policy, regarding the **oilfields of Mesopotamia** (present day Iraq) proposed by **Churchill in 1913** was fully endorsed by a British Royal Commission, which completely agreed with his policies. We should not forget the admission of T.E. Lawrence (miscast as "of Arabia" and was rather "of Illuminatia") in his 'Seven Pillars of Wisdom' that his "betrayal", of the Arabs, was a "regrettable" but necessary device in arriving at a "cheap and speedy victory" in order to protect Britain's "petroleum" interests in "Mesopotamia." This resulted in the 'Red Line Agreement' and the official carving up of the oil wealth of the Islamic World following permanent dismemberment of the Turkish Ottomans and the ushering into existence (through terrorism and deception) the two "Secular: Zionist States of "Modern" Turkey and Israel." Remember the Zionist non-Israelite non-Semitic European Yaphite/Ashkenazi/Khazar Jews originated in Russia/Turkey in the 8th century; see the next section for full details. **The Illuminatis left a similar trap in Kashmir.**

If you still didn't understand the Illuminati plan in the Middle East, Sir Campbell Bannerman, Prime Minister of Britain [1905-08] explained it to us in 1902 very clearly: "There are people who control spacious territories teeming with manifest and hidden resources. They dominate the intersections of World routes. Their lands were the cradles of human civilizations and religions. These people have one faith, one language, one history and the same aspirations. No natural barriers can isolate these people from one another ... if, per chance, this nation were to be unified into one state, it would then take the fate of the World into its hands and would separate Europe from the rest of the World. Taking these considerations seriously, a foreign body should be planted in the heart of this nation to prevent the convergence of its wings in such a way that it could exhaust its powers in never-ending wars. It could also serve as a springboard for the West to gain its coveted objects."

Woodrow Wilson, Congress Jan. 8th, 1918, said in the 12th of his Fourteen Points through which he offered a rationale to justify the entry of the United States into the first World War (responding to the collapse of the four-century-old Ottoman empire): "The Turkish portions of the present Ottoman Empire should be assured a secure sovereignty, but the other nationalities which are now under Turkish rule should be assured an undoubted security of life and an absolute unmolested opportunity of autonomous development ...". This, however, was not done. Instead, at the peace conference that marked the end of World War I, the stage was set for the current crisis in the Middle East. Since the Ottoman Empire had sided with Germany, representatives of its many nationalities were not invited to the peace conference. The British and the French, with American acquiescence, carved up much of the Middle East in pursuit of their own imperial designs; the French in Syria and Lebanon, the British in Iraq and Palestine. The semi-nomadic people of the Arabian Peninsula were left to themselves, to be united later by the Saud family.

February 8th Statement by Winston Churchill in London's Sunday Illustrated Herald: "From the days of Spartacus-Weishaupt, to those of Karl Marx, to those of Trotsky...this Worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilization and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development and envious malevolence, and impossible equality has been steadily growing. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the nineteenth century; and now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underWorld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads and have become the undisputed masters of that enormous empire." He was later to say: "Still, if you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not so costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance for survival. There may be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no chance of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves."

Who Controls Our Politicians? JINSA? AIPAC? ADL? B'Nai Brith? Are they working for THE BANKSTERS?

John Swinton New York journalist at a banquet (1880's): "What folly is this, toasting an independent press? There is no such thing, at this date of the World's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it. There is not one of you who dares to write your honest opinions, and if you did, you know beforehand that it would never appear in print. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinion out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish as to write honest opinions would be out on the streets looking for another job. **If I allowed my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before twenty-four hours my occupation would be gone. The business of the journalists is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of Mammon [Biblical ref.], and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread.** You know it and I know it, and what folly is this toasting an independent press? **We are the tools and vassals of "rich men" [Biblical ref.] behind the scenes.** We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes."

If politicians were really being controlled, you would think some more would break ranks and cry out against it. Many dared and their words are quoted in mass later.

Theodore Roosevelt, President of the United States, 1919, speaking before his death: **"These International Bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of newspapers** and the columns of these papers to club into submission or drive out of public office officials who refuse to do the bidding of the powerful corrupt cliques which compose the invisible government."

John Hylan Mayor of New York expanding on Roosevelt's statement in 1922: **"The warning of Theodore Roosevelt has much timeliness today, for the real menace of our republic is this invisible government which like a giant octopus**

sprawls its slimy length over City, State, and nation... It seizes in its long and powerful tentacles our executive officers, our legislative bodies, our schools, our courts, our newspapers, and every agency created for the public protection... To depart from mere generalisations, let me say that at the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller-Standard Oil interest and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as the international Bankers. The little coterie of powerful international Bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes. They practically control both parties, write political platforms, make catspaws of party leaders, use the leading men of private organisations, and resort to every device to place in nomination for high public office only such candidates as will be amenable to the dictates of corrupt big business... These international Bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of newspapers and magazines in this country."

"The American people have never picked a president, and never will." – President **Harry Truman**.

These warnings fell on deaf ears drowned out by the music and excitement of the roaring early 20's (people didn't realize what the banksters or BankLords were up to as 1929 approached whence the great depression was staged!). People don't tend to complain much in times of prosperity, so the money changers used this boom time they had created to defuse any complaints about their growing control. In 1930 America did not lack industrial capacity, fertile farmland, skilled and willing workers or industrious farm families. It had an extensive and efficient transportation system in railroads, road networks, and inland and ocean waterways. Communications between regions and localities were the best in the World, utilizing telephone, teletype, radio, and a well-operated government mail system.

No war had ravaged the cities or the countryside, no pestilence weakened the population, nor had famine stalked the land. The United States of America in 1930 lacked only one thing: an adequate supply of money to carry on trade and commerce because the Bankers who owned the Federal Reserve System, the only source of new money and credit, deliberately refused loans to industries, stores and farms on the pretext that they expected a bad economy (of course they did, since they are the ones who planned it!). Since payments on existing loans were required, money rapidly disappeared from circulation. Goods were available to be purchased, jobs waiting to be done, but the lack of money brought the nation to a standstill. By this simple ploy America was put in a "depression" and the greedy Bankers took possession of hundreds of thousands of farms, homes, and business properties at bottom prices (like they did in Argentina recently). The people were told, "times are hard" and "money is short." Not understanding the system, they were cruelly robbed of their earnings, their savings, and their property. But, there was plenty of money for World War II! The same Bankers who in the early 30's had no loans for peacetime houses, food and clothing, suddenly had unlimited billions to lend for Army barracks, K-rations and uniforms! A nation that in 1934 couldn't produce food for sale, suddenly could produce bombs to send free to Germany and Japan! With the sudden increase in money, people were hired, farms sold their produce, factories went to two shifts, mines re-opened, and "The Great Depression" was over! Some politicians were blamed for it and others took credit for ending it. The truth is the lack of money caused by the Bankers brought on the depression, and adequate money ended it. The people were never told the simple truth and we will endeavor to show how these same Bankers who issue our money and credit have used their control to plunder America and place us in bondage. The same is true across the Atlantic. Check out these important and revealing quotes.

British Prime Minister David Lloyd George, in New Britain magazine of London magazine 20 June 1934 issue, cited a devastating assertion by former that: "Britain is the slave of an international financial bloc".

It also quoted these words written by Lord Bryce: "Democracy has no more persistent and insidious foe than money powers" and pointed out that "questions regarding Bank of England, its conduct and its objects, are not allowed by the Speaker" (of the House of Commons).

We see that way back in 1877, the bankers could control the media, by "withholding patronage from all...who are not willing to oppose the Government issue of money". This same method has been used to control the media, the schools (both public and private) and the government, itself. How much more refined has the methods become for controlling the flow of information going to the public? Have the American people been completely brainwashed into serving the private interests of artful and cunning men? Continuing on, **Congressman Charles Lindbergh**, in his book "Banking, Currency and the Money Trust", written in 1913, tells us about some of the changes that took place in our money system after the death of Lincoln. In his preface to this book, he says: "The market prices of commodities vary from day to day. This occurs when there is no radical difference in the proportion to the supply and the natural demand. This FACT is conclusive proof that our system is controlled by manipulators and fundamentally wrong. Act No. 1 was the manufacture, between 1896 and 1907, through stock gambling, speculation and other devious methods and devices, of tens of billions of watered stocks, bonds, and securities. Act No. 2 was the panic of 1907, by which those not favorable to the Money Trust could be squeezed out of business and the people frightened into demanding changes in the banking and currency laws which the Money Trust would frame.... see how these bankers have impoverished us by selling to us, - at usury prices, - the credit that is supported by our own toil,...The king bankers put in motion, in 1907, a great scheme. They had gambled and speculated on Wall Street, until so many watered stocks and bonds had been manufactured on speculation, that numberless speculators, big and small, sprang up all over the country, and stocks, bonds, and credits were pyramided, and re-pyramided, and re-re-pyramided. Of course such a condition could not last and a crash was inevitable, because it was not natural for such gambling to continue." Charles Lindbergh, Sr., "The Money Trust".


Let's continue on and see what other testimony Lindbergh offered in his HOUSE RESOLUTION 80 in the House of Representatives on April 29, 1913, he said: "Ever since the Civil War, Congress has allowed the bankers to control financial legislation. The membership of the Finance Committee in the Senate (now the Banking and Currency Committee)

and the Committee on Banking and Currency in the House have been made up chiefly of bankers, their agents, and their attorneys. ...In this way the committees have been able to control legislation in the interests of the few." Charles Lindbergh, In the House of Representatives, April 29, 1913. The men who have appointed the committees in the last fifty years have not had the clear and earnest viewpoint of our forefathers. On Tuesday, January fourteenth, seventeen hundred and ninety-four (1794), the following resolution was introduced in the United States Senate: "Nor shall any person holding any office or stock in any institution in the nature of a bank, for issuing or discounting bills or notes payable to bearer or order, under the authority of the United States, be a member of either house whilst he holds such office or stock." "It passed the Senate two days later, after being fought by the bankers, and amended at their instigation in order that they might be allowed to sit in Congress, but it still remained a protest to bankers controlling legislation in which they were personally interested. Our finances, including the actual control of legislation in Congress, have been surrendered to the bankers, their agents, and attorneys." Charles Lindbergh, Sr. "The Money Trust".

Manipulations of the Masses: Wars, Terrorisms and Myths (Hitler-Bush example)

Hitler was an out-of-wedlock grandson of Baron Rothschild of Austria. Hitler's statement further below was made when announcing the formation of the Gestapo. History Repeats itself! Remember, Prescott Bush was exposed for trading with Hitler and the CIA was filled with ex-Nazis when WWII ended! Hitler also passed a patriot act and created a department of homeland security.

Wars: Organized terrorism for profits that are never meant to be won, they are meant to be sustained whilst the war mongerers keep making massive profits. The Straussian Philosophy crafted at Harvard by Hitler's lawyer calls for endless wars. Hitler's lawyer was Carl Schmitt, and he arranged for a young Leo Strauss to get a Rockefeller Foundation grant to begin his studies. Leo Strauss later became U.S. National Security advisor, greatly influencing violent foreign policy. His ideas godfathered the neo-conservative movement, and jerks like Cheney and Wolfowitz. Bill Maher interviewed a nutcase neo-con Professor who wrote Dick Cheney's favorite book which argues that man's "natural state" is to be at war. It's not yet, but the TV is full of violent men and beautiful women, and we're being turned into soldiers and whores. These are neo-feudalist madmen who need to be understood to understand what their dreams of endless war are, and why they must be voted out ("driven from public life" Webster Tarpley) as soon as possible. The elite get power by keeping secrets, we the people get power by exposing them.



Terrorism: A technique used by the behind-the-scenes ruling elite criminals who control governments to manipulate public opinion in order to further a self-serving agenda at the expense of the masses (serfs).

Myth: A myth is a power rallying story that is used by the Cabal to mobilize public opinion into supporting it and ignore the truth that the Cabal are looting everyone on Earth.

Zeitgeist - The Movie, 2007 <http://zeitgeistmovie.com/> video documentary explains how the income tax is illegal and how war is an instrument of the Elite to enrich themselves at taxpayers' expense.

"When the power of love overcomes the love of power, the World will know peace." Jimi Hendrix.

Nelson Rockefeller to Aaron Russo:

N. ROCKEFELLER:
 [11 MONTHS BEFORE SEPT. 11TH 2001]
 "THERE'S GOING TO BE AN EVENT AARON...
 WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO AFGHANISTAN...
 WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO IRAQ..."

Add

"and



"AN EVIL EXISTS THAT THREATENS EVERY MAN, WOMAN
 AND CHILD OF THIS GREAT NATION. WE MUST TAKE
 STEPS TO ENSURE OUR DOMESTIC SECURITY
 AND PROTECT OUR HOMELAND."
 -ADOLF HITLER



"AN EVIL EXISTS THAT THREATENS EVERY MAN, WOMAN
 AND CHILD OF THIS GREAT NATION. WE MUST TAKE
 STEPS TO ENSURE OUR DOMESTIC SECURITY
 AND PROTECT OUR HOMELAND."
 -GEORGE W. BUSH

into

Venezuela."

N. ROCKEFELLER:
 "BY HAVING THIS WAR ON TERROR, YOU CAN
 NEVER WIN IT...
 ...SO YOU CAN ALWAYS KEEP TAKING PEOPLE'S
 LIBERTIES AWAY
 ...THE MEDIA CAN CONVINCING EVERYBODY THAT
 IT'S REAL"

AARON RUSSO:
 "WHAT ARE YOU DOING THIS FOR?"
N. ROCKEFELLER:
 "THE GOAL IS TO GET EVERYBODY IN THIS WORLD
 CHIPPED WITH AN RFID CHIP"

Finally, with the RFID chip, everyone will be under the total control of the feudal world government which is run by the World's Elite. Divide the masses and conquer their wealth.

In 1776 Meyer Rothschild financed the Illuminati, a Masonic secret society that in turn spawned the major revolutions of the modern era including the Bolshevik Revolution in 1917. The ultimate aim is to establish the banker World dictatorship, which is at an advanced stage today. In the 1930's their purpose was to incite a two-front war that would leave the great nation states (England, Germany and Russia) prostrate. Like all wars, the purpose was to kill millions of people, traumatize humanity, increase public debt and private profit, and make "World government" (the future UN) seem essential for "peace." The 1930's British Policy of Appeasement was probably designed to encourage Hitler's expansionist tendencies and to provoke war. Douglas Reed, the (London) Times Correspondent in Berlin, was first tipped off to something fishy when his newspaper suppressed his warnings of the Hitler menace. (See his Controversy of Zion).

Kilzer describes how British Intelligence (an arm of the Illuminati) took advantage of Hitler's racist ideology to divert his energies against Russia and trap him in a two-front war. They convinced him that a large pro Nazi (anti Communist) "Peace Party" was prepared to unseat the "war monger" Churchill. This party consisted of the Duke of Windsor (the former King Edward VIII) and appeasement-minded elitists known as the "Cliveden Set." The Nazis had longstanding social ties with this group and confided in them. Hitler seemed to overlook the fact that Windsor went to stay at the Rothschild castle in Austria after he abdicated. Rudolph Hess, the Deputy Leader of Nazi Germany, was in contact with the Cliveden group and flew to England May 10, 1941 to negotiate peace. According to Kilzer, Hess had Hitler's blessings. Coincidentally this was the worst night of the Blitz. Afterward, there was a long lull in both Nazi and British bombing raids. It appears the Nazis thought they had an understanding with the British and turned their attention to the invasion of Russia the following month (June 22, 1941.) The Nazis didn't understand that the Anglo American elite was (and still is) intimately connected with international (i.e. Rothschild) finance and always double-crosses others with forked tongue. Anglo American imperialism is in fact a front for the families that own the Bank of England and the Federal Reserve. These Jewish and non-Jewish families are connected by money, marriage and Lucifer worship (i.e. Masonry). Both Roosevelt and Churchill were their flunkies. (All our "leaders" are.)

Planned Scarcity – Going Without In The Midst Of Plenty


At the end of each cycle of production, our present economy guarantees that there will always be more goods produced than can ever be sold. Governments regularly make up the inevitable shortfall of income to multinational industries as grants, bribes, subsidies, tax breaks and free infrastructure. This extra funding for multinational industries artificially gives them the appearance of being profitable because they can afford to sell their goods for less than the goods really cost to produce. Nationally owned businesses cannot compete with multinationals on such a non-level playing field. If multinational industries worked to the same rules as the national businesses that are taxed to support them, the multinationals would go bankrupt. Global fishing and forestry industries illustrate this point well. It usually comes as a surprise to realize that prosperity causes recession in this Illuminati banker controlled system. In 'prosperous' times when society reduces its debt by paying off its loans, the amount of money in circulation dries up as a result. Shops may be over stocked with goods and people may want to buy them but they do not have money to do so. There may be many who want to employ people or become employed but without enough currency in circulation - nothing moves. The only way out of recession is to borrow more debt-dollars to circulate, which increases national debt further.

President Franklin Roosevelt wrote in a letter to an associate dated November 21st 1933: "The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government ever since the days of Andrew Jackson." This is amazing considering that he is the one who gave the banks a holiday so that they didn't have to repay their depositors! Maybe he was trying to appear innocent!! In 1933, Franklin D. Roosevelt cancelled (repudiated) the Redemption of Federal Reserve Notes in gold within these United States of America and forbade the private ownership of gold coins or bullion by Citizens, upon penalty of fine and/or imprisonment. So the ignorant "responsible", "law-abiding" Citizens turned in their lawful money to the Treasury, which probably used that money to pay off debts of the United States. However, Federal Reserve Notes were still Redeemable in gold outside these United States of America and the same Federal Reserve Notes were still Redeemable in silver upon demand within these United States of America.

"It doesn't matter who the people voted for; they always vote for us". - **Illuminati Statement** - (darn right, even so more now since the voting machine systems companies are owned by the Rockefeller and Rothschild companies).

In fact, you will see how the United States has been hijacked by the trans-Atlantic agents of the New World Order in this book. The third party politicians who brought an end to slavery and introduced "quality of life" legislations have been made extinct by the Illuminati who control both parties!

President Franklin Delano Roosevelt speech in Philadelphia on June 27, 1936: "Out of this modern civilization, economic royalists carved new dynasties. New kingdoms were built upon concentration of control over material things. Through new uses of corporations, banks and securities, new machinery of industry and agriculture, of labor and capital - all undreamed of by the Fathers - the whole structure of modern life was impressed into this royal service....These economic royalists complain that we seek to overthrow the institutions of America. What they really complain of is that we seek to take away their power. Our allegiance to American institutions requires the overthrow of this kind of power. In vain they seek to hide behind the flag and the Constitution. In their blindness they forget what the flag and the Constitution stand for."



U.S. State Department Policy Planning Study #23, 1948: "Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity [U.S. military-economic supremacy]... To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming... We should cease to talk about vague and...unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of the living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better." — **George Kennan Director of Policy Planning U.S. State Department.**

After WW2, experienced **Nazi propagandists** and mind control scientists were brought into the CIA (shades of The original **1962 Manchurian Candidate** [s]). **James Yeshua-Joshua Angleton** said that the founders of the Cold War national security state were only interested in "absolute power". Now, the descendant of **Prescott Grandpa Bush**, a very good friend of Allen Dulles, holds power in the White House. **Prescott Bush**, the late, aristocratic senator from Connecticut, and grandfather of George W. Bush, was not only a good friend of **Allen Dulles, CIA director**, president of the Council on Foreign Relations, and international business lawyer. He was also a client of Dulles' law firm. As such, he was the beneficiary of Dulles' miraculous ability to scrub the story of Bush's treasonous investments in the Third Reich out of the news media, where it might have interfered with Bush's political career . . . not to mention the presidential careers of his son and grandson. <http://www.onlinejournal.com/Commentary/011004Hasty/011004hasty.html>

Dr. Ewen Cameron 1960s: "It is not simply against future conspiracies of evil men which we have to guard ourselves, but the weaknesses and faults in our own social order, in our own ways of living against which we have to be on continual guard."

In 1971 the 'New World Order' began a new phase, when **President Nixon** severed the link between the Federal Reserve dollar and gold. Since then, US currency effectively became unregulated and banks could create as much as they wanted and Americans were allowed to own gold again. But, Fort Knox's gold has been sold at bargain basement prices since 1933 to London bankers and any left-over gold was no longer owned by The Treasury but rather by the owners of the Federal Reserve. Gold prices soared as Americans started buying gold again. The new, less regulated US dollar began destabilizing other national currencies, which had gauged themselves by the US dollar. Other countries were forced to 'float' their currencies, which effectively became unregulated also. Global currencies were no longer regulated by a stable

entity like gold. Now they were 'regulated' by market forces, which are unstable and subject to manipulation by the Illuminati Bankers.

William Colby former Director of the CIA braggingly said (...and the Zionist corporate/banking plutocracy owns everyone of any significance in the Central Intelligence Agency): "The Central Intelligence Agency owns everyone of any significance in the major media." When asked in a 1976 interview whether the CIA had ever told its media agents what to write, William Colby replied, "Oh, sure, all the time." William Colby (76) was found dead in April 1996 Rocky Pt. MD, supposedly drowned in a canoeing accident. Guess he talked too much and was planning to talk more!

Alan Greenspan: "The abandonment of the gold standard made it possible for the welfare statist to use the banking system as a means to an unlimited expansion of credit.... In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation. There is no safe store of value.... Deficit spending is simply a scheme for the "hidden" confiscation of wealth.... [Gold] stands as a protector of property rights."

[More Quotes on the Private Central Banking Fraud](#)

US Governor Morris 1785: "The rich will strive to establish their dominion and enslave the rest. They always did. They always will... They will have the same effect here as elsewhere, if we do not, by [the power of] government, keep them in their proper spheres."

Thomas Jefferson gave the following warning in 1799, which has been ignored, along with other good advice right up to the present day: "Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day, but a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, unalterable through every change of ministers, too plainly prove a deliberate, systematic plan of reducing us to slavery." **Subsequently referred to as: "the conspiracy theory".**

President Thomas Jefferson also forewarned the American people against the Money Power stating: "If the American people ever allow the banks to control the issuance of their currency, first by inflation and then by deflation, the banks and corporations that grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers occupied. The issuing power of Money should be taken from the banks and restored to Congress and the people to whom it belongs. I sincerely believe the banking institutions having the power of Money are more dangerous to liberty than standing armies." **Or when he said to Gideon Granger in 1800:** "I do verily believe that a single, consolidated government would become the most corrupt government on earth. **Or when he said** "What has destroyed liberty and the rights of man in every government which ever existed under the sun? The generalization and concentrating all cares and powers under one body... In questions of power, let no more be heard of confidence in man but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the constitution..." **Or when he said** in these solemn words: "When it shall be said in any country in the World: 'My poor are happy; neither ignorance nor distress is to be found among them; My jails are empty of prisoners; My streets of beggars; The aged are not in want; The taxes not oppressive; The rational World is my friend because I am a friend of its happiness.' When these things can be said, then may that country boast of its Constitution and of its Government."

President Thomas Jefferson, on a more logical, debt-free solution: "If our Nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good also makes the bill good. The difference between the bond and the bill is that the bond lets money brokers [Bankers] collect twice the amount of the bond plus interest. Whereas the bill [currency] pays nobody but those who contribute directly in some useful way. The People are the basis for government credit. Why then cannot the people have the benefit of their own credit by receiving non-interest bearing currency, instead of Bankers receiving the benefit of the people's credit in interest bearing bonds? It is absurd to say that our country can issue \$30 million in bonds and not \$30 million in currency! Both are promises to pay: but one promise fattens the usurers and the other helps the people."

President James Madison 1809-1817: "History records that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance."

Why did William Pitt say in a speech to the House of Commons in 1804? That "Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human freedom. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed of slaves."

A British Member of Parliament said this about the Bank of England in 1810: "There is something so consummately ridiculous in the idea of a nation's getting money by paying interest to itself upon its own stock, that the mind of every rational man naturally rejects it. It is, really, something little short of madness to suppose, that a nation can increase its wealth; increase its means of paying others; that it can do this by paying interest to itself. When time is taken to reflect, no rational man will attempt to maintain a proposition so shockingly absurd" (William Cobbett, M.P., Paper Against Gold, p.83).

What did the Rothschild Brothers of London (one of the private trans-Atlantic families that are owners of the Federal Reserve Bank) mean when he said? Letter written from London by the Rothschilds to their New York agents introducing their banking method into America: "The few who can understand the system will be either so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favours, that there will be no opposition from that class, while, on the other hand, that great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that Capital derives from the

system, will bear its burden without complaint and, perhaps, without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests."

Andrew Jackson (1828) upon vetoing the Second Bank of the United States Charter, addressed Congress: "The bold efforts that the present bank has made to control the government and the distress it has wantonly caused, are but premonitions of the fate which awaits the American People should they be deluded into a perpetuation of this institution or the establishment of another like it...If the People only understood the rank injustice of our money and banking system there would be a revolution before morning!" By eliminating the Second Bank of the United States, Andrew Jackson was able to completely eliminate the National Debt! The Union originally looked to European Bankers for loans to finance the Civil War. **Thinking they had Lincoln at their mercy, they overstepped themselves by demanding 24% - 36% interest!**

When the 1816 charter expired in 1836, Andrew Jackson vetoed its renewal. It was then that he made two famous statements: "The Bank is trying to kill me - but I will kill it!" Later he said "If the American people only understood the rank injustice of our money and banking system - there would be a revolution before morning..."

Here is what the author of "Rural Rides" and MP in the reformed Parliament of 1832, William Cobbett, wrote: "I set to work to read the Act of Parliament by which the Bank of England was created, and all the Acts about loans, and funds, and dividends, and payings, and sinking funds and I soon began to perceive that the fate of the Kingdom must finally turn upon what should be done with that accursed thing called the National Debt. The sum at first borrowed was a mere trifle. The inventors knew well what they were about. Their design was to mortgage by degrees the whole of the country... to those who would lend money to the State... the deep scheme has from its ominous birth been breeding usurers of every description, feeding and fattening on the vitals of the country, till it has produced what the World never saw before - starvation in the midst of abundance!"

Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

"Give me control over a man's economic actions, and hence over his means of survival, and except for a few occasional heroes, I'll promise to deliver to you men who think and write and behave as I want them to." - Benjamin A. Rooge

Today Rothschild descendents meet twice daily in London to dictate to the World what the World price of gold will be. They and their brethren and collaborators also dictate what the "Federal Reserve System" will do with America's finances. **They have the power to issue currency and loans without any collateral of their own, i.e. by using the taxpayers' wealth as a guarantee!** An 18th century French commentator said that there was only one power in Europe and it is the Rothschilds. We will find out a lot more in this book.

Abraham Lincoln, on these Bankers in Nov 1864: "The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspire against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. It denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the Bankers in the rear. Of the two, the one at my rear is my greatest foe." Angered at the Bankers, in Acts of February 25 and March 4, 1862, Abraham Lincoln persuaded Congress to authorize the U.S. Treasury to issue \$450 million in United States Currency to finance the Civil War. This currency was debt-free and interest-free full legal tender.

After Congress passed this law, Lincoln said in 1867: "We have given the People of this Republic the greatest blessing they have ever had, their own currency to pay their own debts." (free from central bankers)

Abraham Lincoln, just days before his assassination in 1865 made reference to "the Money Power": "Money will cease to be the master and become the servant of humanity. Democracy will rise superior to the Money power." (Senate document 23, p. 91, 1865). Unfortunately, he was killed before he could put his plan into action.

Abraham Lincoln's remarkable monetary policy statement to the U.S. Senate in 1865 reveals what is possible given the will of those in power to reform a monetary system to serve the needs of humanity, the households of the nation: "Money is the creature of law, and the creation of the original issue of money should be maintained as the exclusive monopoly of national government. ...The monetary needs of increasing numbers of people advancing towards higher standards of living can and should be met by the government. Such needs can be met by the issue of national currency and credit through the operation of a national banking system. The circulation of a medium of exchange issued

and backed by the government can be properly regulated and redundancy of issue avoided by withdrawing from circulation such amounts as may be necessary by taxation, re-deposit and otherwise. Government, possessing the power to create and issue currency and credit as money...need not and should not borrow capital at interest...The financing of all public enterprises, the maintenance of stable government and ordered progress [my emphasis], and the conduct of the Treasury will become matters of practical administration...Money will cease to be the master and become the servant of humanity. Democracy will rise superior to the money power." (Abraham Lincoln, Senate document 23, p. 91, 1865) Little did Lincoln realize that the BankLords have subverted "Democracy" by buying out the politicians and media.

The World Bankers fought back furiously with incredible amounts of money. The editorial below appeared in the London Times at the time Lincoln was taking action to create an unborrowed currency: "If this mischievous financial policy [of creating a debt-free currency], which has its origin in the American Republic, shall become permanent, then that government will furnish its own money without cost! It will pay off its debts and be without debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the World...That government must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe!"

Why did the first UK Prime Minister Benjamin Disraeli say in 1876?: "The governments of the present day have to deal not merely with other governments, with emperors, kings and ministers, but also with the secret societies which have everywhere their unscrupulous agents, and can at the last moment upset all the governments' plans." In a novel he published in 1844 called *Coningsby, the New Generation*: "The World is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes."

The American Bankers Association secretary James Buel expressed the Bankers attitude well in a letter to fellow members of the association wrote in 1877: "It is advisable to do all in your power to sustain such prominent daily and weekly newspapers, especially the Agricultural and Religious Press, as will oppose the greenback issue of paper money and that you will also withhold patronage from all applicants who are not willing to oppose the government issue of money. To repeal the Act creating bank notes, or to restore to circulation the government issue of money will be to provide the people with money and will therefore seriously affect our individual profits as Bankers and lenders. See your congressman at once and engage him to support our interest that we may control legislation."

Within weeks of releasing this statement President Garfield was assassinated in 1881: "Whosoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce... And when you realise that the entire system is very easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate." President James Garfield

John Swinton New York journalist at a banquet (1880's): "What folly is this, toasting an independent press? There is no such thing, at this date of the World's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it. There is not one of you who dares to write your honest opinions, and if you did, you know beforehand that it would never appear in print. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinion out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish as to write honest opinions would be out on the streets looking for another job. **If I allowed my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before twenty-four hours my occupation would be gone. The business of the journalists is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of Mammon [Biblical ref.], and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread.** You know it and I know it, and what folly is this toasting an independent press? **We are the tools and vassals of "rich men" [Biblical ref.] behind the scenes.** We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes."

From the United States Bankers' Magazine of 1892: "We must proceed with caution, and guard well every move made, for the lower orders of people are already showing signs of restless commotions. Prudence will, therefore, dictate a policy of apparently yielding to the popular will until all of our plans are so far consummated that we can declare our designs without fear of any organised resistance. The Farmers' Alliance and the Knights of Labour organisations in the United States should be carefully watched by our trusted men, and we must take immediate steps to control these organisations in our interests or disrupt them. The coming Omaha Convention, to be held July 4th, our men must attend and direct its movements, or else there will be set on foot such antagonism to our designs as may require force to overcome. This, at the present time, would be premature. We are not yet ready for such a crisis. Capital must protect itself in every possible manner through combination and legislation. The courts must be called to our aid. Debts must be collected, bonds and mortgages foreclosed as rapidly as possible. Where, through a process of law, the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and easily governed through the influence of the strong arm of government, applied by central power of imperial wealth, under the control of leading financiers. The truth is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming an imperialism of capital to govern the World. While they are doing this the people must be kept in a condition of political antagonism. The question of tariff reform must be urged through the organisation known as the Democratic Party, and the question of protection and reciprocity must be forced to view through the Republican Party. By thus dividing the voters we can get them to expend their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us, except as teachers to lead the common herd. Thus by discreet actions we can secure all that has so generously planned and successfully accomplished."

Sir Campbell Bannerman, Prime Minister of Britain 1902[1905-08]: "There are people who control spacious territories teeming with manifest and hidden resources. They dominate the intersections of World routes. Their lands were the cradles of human civilizations and religions. These people have one faith, one language, one history and the same

aspirations. No natural barriers can isolate these people from one another ... if, per chance, this nation were to be unified into one state, it would then take the fate of the World into its hands and would separate Europe from the rest of the World. Taking these considerations seriously, a foreign body should be planted in the heart of this nation to prevent the convergence of its wings in such a way that it could exhaust its powers in never-ending wars. It could also serve as a springboard for the West to gain its coveted objects."

What did Henry Ford mean? when he said in 1903..."It is well that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning."

The Private Federal Reserve Bank HQ (right next to the US Treasury, established in 1913 for the 3rd time by Act of Congress)

What did Thomas Edison mean? When he said "People who will not turn a shovel-full of dirt on the project nor contribute a pound of materials will collect more money than will the people who will supply all the materials and do all the work."

What was US President Theodore Roosevelt alluding to? when he uttered in 1906 and it is relevant today because as long as cartels rule, the human race is stalled "Behind the ostensible government sits enthroned an invisible government owing no allegiance and acknowledging no responsibility to the people. To destroy this invisible government, to befoul the unholy alliance between corrupt business and corrupt politics is the first task of the statesmanship of today."

Why did President Woodrow Wilson say in 1914?, after being pressured into signing the Federal Reserve Act and to declare war on Germany, "I have involuntarily ruined my government". "Some of the biggest men in the United States...are afraid of something. They know there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it." Just before he died, Woodrow Wilson is reported to have stated to friends that he had been "deceived", referring to the Federal Reserve Act that had been passed during his Presidency. He said, "I am a most unhappy man. I have betrayed my country. A great industrial Nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the Nation and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the World - no longer a Government of free opinion no longer a Government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of small group of dominant men".

Why did Randolph Bourne say in 1917?: "War is the health of the state".

Randolph Hearst, "the original image manipulator" of the 1920s?: "We don't report the news - we make it".

Mussolini rendered a service to the Vatican in 1923: The Bank of Rome to which the Vatican's High Prelates and the Holy See itself had entrusted their funds, was on the brink of bankruptcy. Mussolini saved it---at the cost, it is believed, of approximately 1,500,000,000 liras, which the Italian State had to pay. Shortly afterwards, the first voices of the Vatican Hierarchy in praise of the leader of Fascism could be heard. On February 21, 1923, Cardinal Vannutelli, Head of the Sacred College of Cardinals, paid public homage to the evil dictator Mussolini "for his energetic devotion to his country," adding that the Duce "had been chosen (by God) to save the nation and to restore her fortune." Why?

In January 1924, Reginald McKenna said: who was then chairman of the board of the Midland Bank and had been Britain's Chancellor of the Exchequer in 1915-16, confirmed that the British system was completely dominated by the conspiratorial monied aristocracy. "I am afraid the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can, and do, create money... Those who create and issue money and credit direct the policies of government and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people."

THE PRIZE: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power, by Daniel Yergin (Simon and Schuster, 1991): "Roosevelt received him [British ambassador Lord Halifax] that very evening at the White House. Their discussion focused on the Middle East. Trying to allay Halifax's apprehension and irritation, Roosevelt showed the ambassador a rough sketch he had made of the Middle East. 'Persian oil,' he told the ambassador, 'is yours. We share the oil of Iraq and Kuwait. As for Saudi Arabian oil, it is ours.'"

On January 24, 1939, Robert H. Hemphill, credit Manager of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta stated: "If all the bank loans were paid no one would have a bank deposit and there would not be a dollar of coin or currency in circulation. This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the banks create ample synthetic money we are prosperous: if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is. It (the banking problem) is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood and the defects remedied very soon."

Graham Towers, former Governor of the Bank of Canada noted: in the 1940s that "Each and every time a bank makes a loan, new bank credit is created – new deposits – brand new Money."

Hermann Goering, at the Nuremberg Trials after World War II: "Why of course the people don't want war ... But after all it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy, and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament [a democracy], or a communist dictatorship ... Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is to tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. **"Does the above sound like what Mr. Bush & company have done? Remember, Grandpa Bush traded with the Nazis and was caught.**"

General MacArthur saw what was coming and gave us this warning: "I am concerned for the security of our great nation; not so much because of any threat from without, but because of the insidious forces working within . . . end invisible government based on propaganda, and restore government based upon truth."

Why did former FDR aide, James Warburg CFR/TC, say? in testimony before the US Senate Foreign Relations Committee, 17 Feb 1950. "You shall have one World government, whether or not you like it, by consent or by conquest... The amount of Money in existence varies only with the action of the banks in increasing and decreasing deposits and bank purchases. Every loan, overdraft or bank purchase creates a deposit and every repayment of a loan, overdraft or bank sale destroys a deposit."



The California Senate Investigating Committee on Education, 1953 Report pgs 169-170: "So-called modern Communism is apparently the same hypocritical and deadly World conspiracy to destroy civilization that was founded by the secret order of the Illuminati in Bavaria on May 1, 1776, and that raised its hoary head in our colonies here at the critical period before the adoption of our Federal Constitution."

Why did U.S. Sen. William Jenner say in 1954? He spoke of a well-orchestrated, carefully timed conspiracy to undermine the American government. Although the Senator alluded to communism and socialism, these red herrings organized by the Illuminati central mega-banking dynasties have for decades served as calculated diversions from a larger conspiracy: the Illuminati and its Secret Brotherhood of New World Order planners. Senator Jenner may have suspected this when he spoke of an "established timetable" with "precisely measured parts": "We have a well organized political action group in this country, determined to destroy our Constitution and establish a one party state... (It has a) foothold within our Government, and its own propaganda apparatus... One may call this group by many names. Some people call it socialism, some communism, some collectivism. I prefer to call it 'democratic centralism.'... The important point to remember is not its ideology but its organization. It is a dynamic, aggressive, elite corps, forcing its way through every opening, to make a breach for a collectivist one-party state. It operates secretly, silently, continuously to transform our Government without our suspecting the change is under way... This secret revolutionary corps understands well the power to influence the people... by an elegant form of brainwashing... We see this, for example, in the innocent use of words like 'democracy' in place of 'representative government'.... It conducts tactical retreats but only the more surely to advance its own secret goal... I know of the Alger Hisses who planned it that way... Dr. Wirt, of my State, told us in 1934 that the plans were all drawn, the timetable established... the revolutionary cabal and its allies... designated the overall strategy. They broke the whole up into precisely measured parts and carefully timed moves, which appear to be wholly unrelated... They will use every ally, to prevent the American people from guessing how far the transformation had gone..."

George W. Malone, U.S. Senator (Nevada), speaking before Congress in 1957, alluded to the families that secretly own the "Federal" Reserve Bank and control the finances of the U.S. He stated: "I believe that if the people of this nation fully understood what Congress has done to them over the last 49 years, they would move on Washington; they would not wait for an election... It adds up to a preconceived plan to destroy the economic and social independence of the United States!"

American Mercury Magazine, December 1957, pg. 92: "The invisible Money Power is working to control and enslave mankind. It financed Communism, Fascism, Marxism, Zionism and Socialism. All of these are directed to making the United States a member of a World Government..."

What did Eisenhower mean? when he said in 1961... Thoroughly managed by members of the CFR who dominated his cabinet, his policies shaped by their goals, Eisenhower left office in January of 1961 with a dire warning for the American people, "Beware the military industrial complex." (Dwight Eisenhower's view on using the Atomic Bomb "In 1945..., Secretary of War Stimson visited my headquarters in Germany, [and] informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act.... During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and second because I thought that our country should avoid shocking World opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face.' The

Secretary was deeply perturbed by my attitude, almost angrily refuting the reasons I gave for my quick conclusions." Source: The White House Years: Mandate for Change: 1953-1956: A Personal Account, New York: Doubleday, 1963, pp. 312-313.)

What is the hidden history behind the former Bank of England director Lord Stamp's statement?: "Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them with the power to create credit, and with a flick of the pen they will create enough money to buy it all back again. Take this power away from them, and all the great fortunes like mine would disappear, and they ought to disappear, for then this World would be a happier and better World to live in. But if you wish to remain the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then continue to let Bankers control money and control credit."

President Kennedy Nov. 12, 1963 said: About 2 weeks prior his assassination on Nov 22, Kennedy had a meeting in New York with the International Bankers. There is evidence that he learned that he had been lied to about Cuba and many other issues. In fact, there is substantial evidence that Kennedy was beginning to uncover an "international effort" to use the office of the President of the United States against America, and had threatened to expose this movement. "The great free nations of the World must take control of our monetary problems if these problems are not to take control of us." "The high office of the President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the American's freedom and before I leave office, I must inform the citizen of this plight." There is a debate on the exact date JFK said this. On Nov. 22nd, he was assassinated. On June 4, 1963, a virtually unknown Presidential decree, Executive Order 11110, had been signed with the authority given to the Treasury to directly issue silver certificates without going through the private Federal Reserve, however others claim he merely gave away the nation's silver reserves amending a Truman Executive Order 10289 with his EO-11110. Some claim that those Silver Certificates were debt free money that by-passed control by the Federal Reserve System. Others call it a silver give-a-way.

<http://www.freedomforceinternational.org/freedomcontent.cfm?fuseaction=ifkmyth&refpage=issuesby>

The Federal Reserve System threatened to "veto" President Kennedy. Controlled by the NY Fed Bank, the Fed keeps the public misinformed and uninformed. The banks have a stranglehold on America. This very informative document is reprinted in Money Is Unreal. The original can be found in the Congressional Record, Vol 110 - Part 13, August 3, 1964, pages 17837 through 17846 (8 pages.) The veto threat is on page 17846 (the last page) near the bottom of the first column. It is much easier to order the ABCs in booklet form from Peter Cook, Monetary Science Publishing, Box 86, Wickliffe, OH 44092. You will find the veto statement on page 19 of that edition.

President Kennedy also threatened to reveal the CIA's Majestic MJ-12/Jason Society drug running scheme (later known as the 50 Committee and then as PI-40 Committee). It seems that Kennedy's tendency to end the Vietnam War, to curtail the CIA, to bypass the Federal Reserve, and reign in Israel's nuclear program were the major reasons for his assassination. In Al-Wassat, recently released Israeli imprisoned citizen Mr. Vanunu said according to "near-certain indications", Kennedy was assassinated due to "pressure he exerted on then head of government, David Ben-Gurion, to shed light on the Dimona nuclear reactor". **Kennedy is quoted as having said:** "We do not know which irresponsible Israeli Prime Minister will take office and decide to use nuclear weapons in the struggle against neighboring Arab countries," adding "what has already been exposed about the weapons Israel is holding can destroy the region and kill millions". Kennedy's comptroller of the currency, James J. Saxon, had been at odds with the powerful Federal Reserve Board for some time, encouraging broader investment and lending powers for banks that were not part of the Federal Reserve System. Saxon also had decided that non-Reserve banks could underwrite state and local general obligation bonds, again weakening the dominant Federal Reserve banks. JFK was moving towards weakening the Fed's stranglehold on money. When these United States of America "went off the Silver Standard" in 1964 after John F. Kennedy's assassination, the Government again repudiated the Redemption of Federal Reserve Notes in silver coin (the last lawful money) and replaced the lawful money with cupro-nickel tokens. In a very real sense, the United States became insolvent. We then had Federal Reserve Notes which were "redeemable" only in other Federal Reserve Notes and "token" coinage having little intrinsic value, and also not redeemable in anything of value.



We now have a "Federal Reserve Note" which does not Promise anything, is not Redeemable on Demand in anything of intrinsic value, and which has been deemed (unilaterally proclaimed) to be "legal tender", but which is not and cannot ever be lawful money of the United States. While the current "Federal Reserve Notes" are not valid promissory notes; they may be "bills of credit". Legally, such currency is called "scrip" or "fiat money". In slang, such currency is called "worthless", "bogus", "fake", "funny money", etc. What "obligation" could possibly attach to such a "Note" or to the use of such a "Note"? I believe that, since no obligation [of Redemption in Value] can attach to the purported Issuer [the Federal Reserve Banks] or to the purported co-signers [the Treasurer of the United States and the Secretary of the Treasury] of such a "Note", no obligation could possibly attach to the first, nor to any subsequent, holder or user of such a pseudo-"Note". And if it costs only 3-4 cents to make such a "Note" [no matter what the actual "face" denomination], isn't that production cost [paper, ink, and "security" strip] the only intrinsic value of the "Note"?

Congressional Report on Money (1964): "In other words, every the Federal Reserve System purporting to issue these "Federal Reserve Notes" as money to its member Banks and every member Bank issuing them to its customers is legally insolvent, because they cannot ever redeem such a "Federal Reserve Note" in lawful money of these United States of America. Further, they are also willfully committing Fraud upon their customers, because they know (even if their customers do not know) that they cannot redeem those "Federal Reserve Notes".

"War will exist until that distant day when the conscientious objector enjoys the same reputation and prestige that the warrior does today. – **John F. Kennedy**

Why was Lyndon Johnson revealing when he told his mistress that the CIA and the Mafia were "two sides of the same coin"?

In Tragedy and Hope (1966,) Quigley writes: "The powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the World's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World."

Why was Justice Mahony killed after giving a "Landmark Decision" in December 1968 and declaring the Federal Reserve unconstitutional? and Justice Mahoney is quoted more often than any Supreme Court justice ever was. The money boys that run the "private Federal Reserve Bank" soon got back at Mahoney by poisoning him in what appeared to have been a fishing boat accident with his body pumped full of poison in June of 1969.

J.K. Galbraith, 1975, Economist, in "Money, Whence It Came, Where It Went", Penguin Books, London, p.29: "The process by which banks create money is so simple that the mind is repelled. Where something so important is involved, a deeper mystery seems only decent."

The U.S. State Security Apparatus And Its Victims: A United States Senate Committee (Church committee) reported in 1976 that the CIA was able to sway public opinion by secretly employing at least fifty American journalists who could be expected to publish reports consistent with agency goals. (Church Comm.) The New York Times (12-25-26-27-1977) reported that the C.I.A. owns outright over 200 newspapers, magazines, wire services, and 26 publishing houses that operate in countries throughout the World.

David Rockefeller, described Mao's reign of terror as "one of the most important and successful in human history" (The New York Times, August 10, 1973). Obviously, Rockefellers are insane Luciferian Illuminatis! Whatever happened to the Geneva convention, or the World court? Well, they don't apply to the chosen ones who are above the law it seems, i.e. US & Israel! David Rockefeller recently advocated torture to get out the truth! Really? Who is not telling the truth????!!

What did David Rockefeller, President of the Chase Manhattan Bank, son of the great John David Rockefeller who was one of the founders of the CFR which he presides over today, being the founder and current president of the Trilateral Commission, mean in his June 1991 inaugural speech? at the meeting of the Bilderberg Group in Baden Baden, to the senior figures who had come from all around the World to participate in the sessions: "We thank the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time Magazine and the other mainstream publications whose editors have taken part in our meetings and have kept their promise to be discreet for at least forty years... It would have been impossible for us to achieve our place in the World if we had been exposed to any kind of publicity during those years. But the World today is far more sophisticated and more ready to proceed towards a World government. Supranational sovereignty exercised by an intellectual elite and by World Bankers is certainly preferable to the national decisions taken over the centuries...". (by the way, the innocents are being tortured to distract attention from the real culprits; just remember when the African slaves used to be tarred and feathered, or whipped and lynched to detract people's attention from the tyranny of slavery? Sounds like a familiar tactic? Yes, keep accusing the Palestinians of not doing enough while Sharon steals their lands, and yes, keep creating fake terror while stealing just about everything that belongs to others!)



Dr. John Coleman in his 1992 book states the goal of the Illuminati Bankers: "A One World Government and one-unit monetary system, under permanent non-elected hereditary oligarchists who self-select from among their numbers in the form of a feudal system as it was in the Middle Ages. In this One World entity, population will be limited by restrictions on the number of children per family, diseases, wars, famines, until 1 billion people who are useful to the ruling class, in areas which will be strictly and clearly defined, remain as the total World population. There will be no middle class, only rulers and the servants. All laws will be uniform under a legal system of World courts practicing the same unified code of laws, backed up by a One World Government police force and a One World unified military to enforce laws in all former countries where no national

boundaries shall exist. The system will be on the basis of a welfare state; those who are obedient and subservient to the One World Government will be rewarded with the means to live; those who are rebellious will simple be starved to death or be declared outlaws, thus a target for anyone who wishes to kill them. Privately owned firearms or weapons of any kind will be prohibited."

Why did New York Times columnist Thomas Friedman write that?: "For globalization to work, America can't be afraid to act like the almighty superpower that it is...The hidden hand of the market will never work without the hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the designer of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the World safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the US Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps."

Why did President Reagan threaten to replace Federal Reserve Bank chairman Paul Volcker? Reagan said: "That the Federal Reserve Bank was answerable to no one - not even the president..." Shortly after Reagan's comment in 1981 he was shot by a family friend of George Bush Sr. After Reagan recovered he publicly stated Federal Reserve Bank chairman Volcker was doing a "good job."

Why did Amnesty International state in 1996?: "Throughout the World, on any given day, a man, woman or child is likely to be displaced, tortured, killed or "disappeared", at the hands of governments or armed political groups. More often than not, the United States shares the blame."

Former Harvard Business professor and author David Korten (1999) provides a more contemporary perspective on the "institutions of money: "The money World consists of Money and the institutions of Money – primarily corporations, financial institutions, and those aspects of government that deal with the regulation, budgeting, and expenditure of Money. This World is purely a creation of the human mind and has no meaningful existence beyond the confines of our consciousness. Yet it too has its own logic, values, and imperatives for healthy function. Its institutions are designed to collapse unless there is sustained growth in profits, stock prices, output, consumption, trade, investment, and tax receipts. Its appetites are insatiable and it acknowledges no physical limits. Whatever exists today, more is required tomorrow. Everything—even life—has its price. An absence of growth is a sign of stagnation and even decline. Its song calls us with promises of ease, personal power, and material prosperity; in return we must accept Money as the mediator of all values and dedicate our lives to its reproduction."

(2000) -- Project for a New American Century??? "The process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event -- like a new Pearl Harbor".

William Cooper, Host, Hour Of The Time, killed Nov 2001: "Listen to everyone, read everything, believe absolutely nothing unless you can prove it in your own research." (Milton William Cooper revealed the secrets that he'd learned while in the Navy and eventually he submitted a "Petition to Indict" the members of Majestic MJ-12, and especially George Bush)

The British organization the Social Credit Secretariat (1998) note: "Much misunderstanding surrounds the "Money Mechanism": how and by whom the Money supply is created; what conditions are attached to its creation; how it is injected into the economy; why the total Money supply is periodically expanded so that the economy may grow, and why it is periodically contracted with a corresponding contraction of economic activity. Yet it is the common critical factor in virtually every major socio-economic problem that afflicts the World's peoples today. The Money mechanism is the major factor in the "economic cycle" and periodic unemployment. It drives the underlying rising trend in technological unemployment. It ensures a continuing commitment to long-term exponential "economic" growth with its related damage to the global environment. And it leads inevitably to escalating, and eventually unrepayable, international debt. It is increasingly important therefore, that the operation of the Money system and its socio-economic implications be much more widely understood, not least by those in the voluntary sector who are attempting to ameliorate one or more of the problems it causes."



Recently, Sept. 5, 2003, Congressman Rep. Ron Paul of Texas: who is on the Financial Services Committee, which oversees the entire financial services industry, including the securities,

insurance, banking, and housing industries, serves as the vice-chairman of the Oversight and Investigations subcommittee that oversees the work of the Federal Reserve, the Treasury, the SEC, and other financial services regulators said before the U.S. House of Representatives on Sept. 5th: "We own the printing press and create as many dollars as we please. These dollars are used to buy federal debt. This allows our debt to be monetized and the spendthrift Congress, of course, finds this a delightful convenience and never complains. As the dollars circulate through our fractional reserve banking system, they expand many times over. With our excess dollars at home, our trading partners are only too happy to accept these dollars in order to sell us their products. Because our dollar is relatively strong compared to other currencies, we can buy foreign products at discounted prices. In other words, we get to create the World's reserve currency at no cost, spend it overseas, and receive manufactured goods in return.... All great republics throughout history cherished sound money. This meant that the monetary unit was a commodity of honest weight and purity. When money was sound, civilizations were found to be more prosperous and freedom thrived. The less free a society becomes, the greater the likelihood its money is being debased and the economic well-being of its citizens diminished.... Yet here we are today with a purely fiat monetary system, managed almost exclusively by Alan Greenspan. The Founders were well aware of the biblical admonitions against dishonest weights and measures, debased silver, and watered-down wine. The issue of sound money throughout history has been as much a moral issue as an economic or political issue."

Norman Mailer, 2001: "The right wing benefited so much from September 11 that, if I were still a conspiratorialist, I would believe they'd done it."

[More on Fiat Money Fraud and the Mechanics of Money](#)

It often helps us to understand something if we examine its origins. Throughout recorded history the practice of usury (**Latin usus meaning "used" and the word usuria which means demanding in return for a loan a greater amount than was borrowed**), along with the practice of giving false measure, has been condemned. Aristotle and Plato in ancient Greece denounced it as well as prophets in the Old Testament and early Christians. Yeshua-Joshua (actual name is Yeshua or Esa or in Gaelic Isa Mac Mariam Esa son of Mary, and should really be translated as Joshua and not as Jesus which may have come from the Greco-Roman Ja-Zeus or Sun-God), it should be remembered, drove usurers out of the Temple in Jerusalem and this played a part in bringing about his apparent crucifixion by the Roman Pharisee King Pailatoos (Pilates). Buddhists have condemned usury and the Quran does so as well (and probably many other religious and non-religious books). The very old usurers lent their own real money, and this has grown into the modern ones, the Illuminati Bankers, who lend money created from nothing at interest, i.e. FIAT money as explained before.

THE VALUE OF FIAT MONEY DEPENDS on the confidence of those who use it and on the confidence of those who accept it as one means by which they exchange goods and services. **Today, money is a commodity substitute with no intrinsic value.** This type of money is actually stock whose value keeps decreasing due to dilution and corrupt issues. The dollar now is only a share counter with no fixed commodity value. **It is created from nothing by the Illuminati Banking Dynasties and they charge the taxpayers interest on something they create from nothing! Mega-highway robbery as explained in the previous sections.** Historically, value has been vested in certain objects and these have taken many forms: **cattle (source of the word "capital")**, iron, gold, silver, diamonds, shells, and numerous other things. These forms of "money" had intrinsic value and were readily accepted in exchange of goods and services. In fact, the goods and services are the real money! Other forms of money such as merely paper notes or computer entries in a data bank have no intrinsic value unless these notes or entries are backed by assets such as gold or silver that are owned by the issuer; if there is no owned actual asset behind it, this form of money is called "**fiat**" money. If it is backed by real assets, it is called "**real**" money. Whether a tangible object or a computer entry, money is based on a social agreement or legislation to recognize value. This allows the computer entry or the object to be accepted in exchange for commodities or products or goods and services or for the settling of debts. Today, the average person uses a mixture of traditional as well as newer forms of money — public and private currencies such as coins and paper notes, cheques, and debit cards — and several means of storing money such as accounts, savings bonds, and certificates of investment. These various forms of money, and the institutions and markets that help people borrow, save, and invest, are part of the World's financial system.

Money was meant to play three principal roles our lives:


- Means of exchange — Without money, we would have to exchange goods and services directly — what is known as barter. Money simplifies these exchanges and acts as an intermediary in transactions. Today, it is an I OWE U rather than a commodity such as gold or silver.
- Unit of measurement — As a unit of measurement, money allows us to compare the value of goods and services. It is both the standard for pricing goods and services and the means of buying and selling them. Money also allows us to compare costs, income, and profit across time. As such, money is the foundation of the accounting system that allows us to plan and make economic decisions. However, it is constantly losing its value and therefore is a moving target.
- Means of storing purchasing power for future use — As a reserve, money allows us to accumulate savings over time and to lend those savings to someone else. It makes it much simpler for us to make contracts — promising to do something now for payment in the future. However, its constantly losing its value and therefore is a moving target.

As recently as the 1930s, many countries did not have a central bank. Each bank would issue its own notes or money. When a central bank is established in a country, it usually becomes the only authority for issuing bank notes, whether it is

owned by the people or is private. There are basically two types of FIAT money which float in the economy, waiting to be spent:

- Government created, debt-free and interest-free notes (currency and coins on which no interest is paid to anyone)
- Private Bank cyber account, i.e. US dollar Deposits in banks, created through debt and loans. **Over 98% of money in the US and Canada is now in the form of debt on which interest is paid to the private banks.**

The bank notes issued by the central banks represent only a small portion of all the money circulating in the economy at any one time like a hot potato, the other one being money sitting in various types of bank accounts. The amount of money in circulation can be measured in a number of different ways. Some of these different measures, which are called monetary aggregates, are described below.



"M1": The currency bank notes & coins in circulation + personal chequing accounts + current accounts at banks, i.e. **demand deposits**, the highest form of liquidity.


"M2": A broader measure which includes "M1" + personal savings accounts + other chequing accounts + term deposits + non-personal **deposits requiring notice before withdrawal**.

"M2+" or "M3": An even broader measure of money which includes "M2" + **all deposits at non-bank deposit-taking institutions + money-market mutual funds + individual annuities at life insurance companies**.

"M2++" or "M3+": An even broader measure still which includes "M2+" + all types of mutual funds + CSBs. **As of March 1, 2006, M3 is no longer disclosed by the FED because it is trying to hide the fact that it's creating huge amounts of money from nothing which will cause hyperinflation in the future.**

Liquidity means the ability to meet all contractual obligations such as interest or capital payments when they are due. Money is, by legislation, the thing that legally discharges all contractual obligations. Money is, therefore, the liquid asset par excellence (i.e. available cash or the capacity to obtain it on demand, e.g. a bank increasing its liquidity by shortening the average term of its loans). If money is to do all the things we want it to do, its value must remain reasonably stable over time. However, **fiat** money (money not backed or represented by real collateral) loses its value or purchasing power and this loss is referred to as the inflation rate. This leads to the term "real interest rate" which is the difference between the inflation rate and borrowing interest rate. **How and when is money created?** Money is created at the central bank and local bank levels (and other lending institutions) in the following way:

- The value is first provided to the bank through the borrower's promissory note such as bonds that the government prints and mortgage papers that we sign, i.e. **the borrower's promise to pay in writing** (the borrower being the government or other mega-borrowers in the case of the central bank, and you & I in the case of a local bank)
- The bank deposits the note as an "asset" in their accounting system and the borrower's own note is used as the value to fund the "loan" by issuing a cheque to the borrower! That's what I call a "rip-off" in street language, from the borrower's point of view!!!
- **MONEY IS CREATED WHEN THE GOVERNMENTS OR YOU & I BORROW IT!**
- **We pay interest on "cyber money" created without collateral, i.e. "fiat" money.**
- To illustrate interest, the word mort-gage word is derived from Latin 'mort' - death, and the Old Norman 'gage' - grip, i.e. "death-grip".
- **MONEY IN CIRCULATION IS MONETIZED DEBT, i.e. I OWE U or promises to pay!**
- **NOTE THAT MONEY TO PAY THE INTEREST IS NOT CREATED, i.e. SOMEONE ELSE NEEDS TO BORROW THE MONEY WITH WHICH YOU PAY YOUR INTEREST** (starting to sound like a Pyramid Scheme or a Tower of Babel which ends up with depressions, bail-outs, bankruptcies, failures or countries and individuals selling their assets to the mega-banking dynasties at bargain basement prices, i.e. economic slavery and after that, the next step is bondage!) **or the bank must use collected interest to acquire services from the borrower, i.e. we are working for the bank!!!**
- **SO THESE GUYS HAVE TO KEEP ATTRACTING GOVERNMENTS AND PEOPLE INTO DEBT OR FORCE THEM INTO DEBT TO KEEP THIS MONEY MAKING MACHINE GOING!!!**
- At the same time each time a loan is repaid or written-off, money is destroyed or taken out of circulation in this debt-based money system thus reducing the liquidity flow into the economy.



- Banks have the ability of destroying money (remember the great depression?) and creating money (boom)
- While reserve requirements had been put in place after World War II to limit the amount of new money private banks could create ex-nihilo, these requirements were eliminated in Canada in 1991 by an amendment to the Bank of Canada Act and have been reduced to 0% on savings accounts in the US and 3% on chequing accounts (with a proposal before Congress to eliminate even the chequing account reserve requirements). This means that the power of private banks over money creation is now virtually unlimited.
- The US debt has grown from \$425 billion in 1950 to an astounding \$25.6 trillion by the end of 1999 (Federal Reserve System, 1999). The mountain of US debt is in fact greater than the entire Third World nations (\$2.5 trillion) combined. The privately own Federal Reserve central Bank is collecting "rivers and oceans" of interest on "fiat" money which they created out of nothing!
- Debt-write-offs have no real capital affect on banks overall since the money was created out of nothing, although some individual banks may fail when unable to do inter-bank transfers which is equivalent to a run-on-the-bank. The real affect is that they don't get to collect interest anymore on the written-off debt. Since all debt is borrowed money, in

order to write off a debt, it is necessary to destroy part of the money supply. Actual and impending losses give rise to a desire for additional liquidity in the financial system in the form of more "fiat" money. Until that liquidity is obtained, bankruptcies and price deflation will set in. The losers are always the employees, shareholders, tax payers and the masses when public assets have to be sold off to the banking dynasties for a penny on the \$.

- Money loses its value when it is created and put into circulation (spent) faster than the growth in productivity in the local economy.

As we have noted a critical element of the fractional reserve debt-money system - interest on bank-created debt - ensures that without continuous growth the system cannot survive. For, when banks create money to lend they do not create any money with which the related interest can be paid. Only further borrowing for further production or the Banksters release of their collected interest can offer even the potential that interest might be paid. If the private owners of the central banks decide not to extend anymore credit, there will be no money to service the interest payments, causing bankruptcies and foreclosures that these owners will then purchase for a penny on the dollar just like they did during the great depression of 1929! Then, they will continue to collect interest on money issued from nothing and will lend more. Thus, they live in an evergreen World and keep amassing wealth while the rest of the masses keep going deeper and deeper into debt or works for the Banksters! We have already explained in a previous section why Usury is forbidden.

Both the privately owned Fed banks and the commercial banks create money from nothing and their major owners are the same 300 families head by the 13 Illuminati families. 1. Astor, 2. Bundy, 3. Collins, 4. DuPont, 5. Freeman, 6. Kennedy, 7. Li (Chinese), 8. Onassis, 9. Rockefeller, 10. Rothschild, 11. Russell, 12. van Duyn, 13. Merovingian (European Royal Families). The following families are also interconnected with those above: 1. Reynolds, 2. Disney, 3. Krupp, 4. McDonald. Also, in addition to those four families, there are hundreds of others that are connected more distantly to the main 13 Illuminati bloodlines. Although significant, they are not mentioned here; they are considered less powerful and less pure by the 13 Elite Bloodlines. A total of about 300 interconnected families control the show. All the families above can be studied in much more detail in Fritz Springmeier's excellent book: "Bloodlines of the Illuminati".

[Rothschild Realizes The Immense Power of Being the Issuer of Money](#)

The power of one who gets to issue money by creating it from nothing as in paper notes or accounting entry with no liability one self because the money is merely passed from people to people and not redeemed is IMMENSE. Thus everyone else pays to make the issuer wealthy through inflation. The Rothschild in the 1700s had discovered the basic principle of power, influence, and control over people as applied to economics. That principle is "when you assume the appearance of power, people soon give it to you". Rothschild had discovered that currency or deposit loan accounts had the required appearance of power that could be used to induce people into surrendering their real wealth in exchange for a promise of greater wealth instead of real compensation. They would put up real collateral in exchange for a loan of promissory notes. Rothschild found that he could issue more notes than he had backing for, so long as he had someone's stock of



gold as a persuader to show to his customers. Rothschild loaned his promissory notes to individuals and to governments. These would create over-confidence. Then he would make money scarce, tighten control of the system, and collect the collateral through the obligation of contracts. The cycle was then repeated. These pressures could be used to ignite war. Then he would control the availability of currency to determine who would win the war. That government which agreed to give him control of its economic system got his support. Collection of debts was guaranteed by the enemy of the debtor. The profit derived from this economic methodology made the Rothschilds' dynasties and their relatives all the more wealthy and all the more able to extend his wealth. He found that the public greed would allow currency to be printed by government order beyond the limits of backing in precious metal or the production of goods and services (gross national product, GNP) thus causing continuous inflation.

What did Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, (The Synagogue of Satan or Lucifer or Holder of The Light hence Satanic Luciferian ILLUMINATI) mean 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**



The Rothschilds' favorite saying who along with the Rockefellers are the major Illuminati Banking Dynasties: "Who controls the issuance of money controls the government!"

His relative Nathan Rothschild said (1777-1836): "I care not what puppet is placed on the throne of England to rule the Empire. The man who controls Britain's money supply controls the British Empire and I control the British money supply."

In this structure, credit, presented as "currency", has the appearance of capital. Hence, it has the appearance of service, but is, in fact, indebtedness or debt. It is therefore an economic inductance instead of an economic capacitance, and if balanced in no other way, will be balanced by the negation of population (war, genocide). The total goods and services represents real capital called the gross national product, and currency may be printed up to this level and still represent economic capacitance; but currency printed beyond this level is subtractive, represents the introduction economic inductance, and constitutes notes of indebtedness. War is therefore the balancing of the system by killing the true creditors (the public which we have thought to exchange true value for inflated currency) and falling back on whatever is left of the resources of nature and the regeneration of those resources. Rothschild had discovered that currency gave him the power to rearrange the economic structure to his own advantage, to shift economic inductance to those economic positions which would encourage the greatest economic instability and oscillation.

The Circular Flow of Money

Relationship of Money Creation, Money Stocks, and Credit Flows To the Circular Flow of Income and Spending



The final key to economic slavery control had to wait until there was sufficient data and high speed computing equipment to keep close watch on the economic oscillations created by price shocking and excess paper energy credits -- paper inductance/inflation). To do shock testing in economic engineering, the prices of commodities are shocked, and the public consumer reaction is monitored. The resulting echoes of the economic shock are interpreted theoretically by computers and this psycho economic structure of the economy is thus discovered. It is by this process that the partial differential and difference matrices are discovered that define the family household and make possible its evaluation as an economic industry (dissipative consumer structure). Then the response of the household to future shocks can be predicted and manipulated, and society becomes a well regulated animal with its reins under the control of a sophisticated computer regulated social energy bookkeeping system. Eventually every individual element of the structure comes under computer control through a knowledge of personal preferences, such knowledge guaranteed computer association of consumer preferences (universal product codes on packages using 6-6-6 as the spacer code) with identified consumers identified via association with the use of a credit card and later a permanent 'tattooed' body number or RFID invisible under normal ambient illumination.

Economics is only a social extension of a natural energy system. It also has its three passive components. Because of the distribution of wealth and the lack of communication and consequent lack of data, this field has been the last energy field for which knowledge of these three passive components have been developed. Since energy is the key to all activity on the face of the earth, it follows that in order to attain a monopoly of energy, raw materials, goods, and services and to establish a World system of slave labor, it is necessary to have a first strike capability in the field of economics. In order to maintain our position, it is necessary that we have absolute first knowledge of the science of control over all economic factors and the first experience at engineering the World economy. In order to achieve such sovereignty, we must at least achieve this one end: **that the public will not make both the logical or mathematical connection between economics and the other energy sciences or learn to apply such knowledge.** This is becoming increasingly difficult to control because more and more businesses are making demands upon their computer programmers to create and apply mathematical models for the management of those businesses. **It is only a matter of time before the new breed of private programmer/economists will catch on to the far reaching implications of the work begun at Harvard in 1948.** The speed with which they can communicate their warning to the public will largely depend upon how effective we have been at controlling the media, subverting education, and keeping the public distracted with matters of no real importance.

Harvard Economic Research Project 1948-xxxx) World War II Operations Research

Economics as a social energy science has as a first objective the description of the complex way in which any given unit of resources is used to satisfy some economic want (Leontief Matrix). This first objective, when it is extended to get the most product from the least or limited resources, comprises that objective of general military and industrial logistics known as Operations Research (See simplex method of linear programming). The Harvard Economic research Project (1948-) was an extension of World War II Operations Research. Its purpose was to discover the science of controlling an economy: at first the American economy, and then the World economy. It was felt that with sufficient mathematical foundation and data, it would be nearly as easy to predict and control the trend of an economy as to predict and control the trajectory of a projectile. Such has proven to be the case. Moreover, the economy has been transformed into a guided missile on target. The immediate aim of the Harvard project was to discover the economic structure, what forces change that structure, how it can be manipulated. What was needed was a well organized knowledge of the mathematical structures and interrelationships of investment, production, distribution, and consumption. To make a short story of it all, it was discovered that an economy obeyed the same laws as electricity and that all of the mathematical theory and practical computer know-how developed for the electronic field could be directly applied in the study of economics. This discovery was not openly declared, and its more subtle implications were and are kept a closely guarded secret, for example that in an economic model, human life is measured in dollars, and that the electric spark generated when opening a switch connected to an active inductor is mathematically analogous to the initiation of a war.

The greatest hurdle which theoretical economists faced was the accurate description of the household as an industry. This is a challenge, because consumer purchases are a matter of choice which in turn is influenced by income, price, and other economic factors. This hurdle was cleared in an indirect and statistically approximate way by an application of shock testing the current characteristics, called current technical coefficients, of household industry. Finally, because problems in theoretical economics can be translated very easily into problems in theoretical electronics, and the solution translated back again, it follows that only a book of language translation and concept definition needed to be written for economics. The remainder could be gotten from standard works on mathematics and electronics. This makes the publication of books on advanced economics unnecessary, and greatly simplifies project security. An ideal industry is defined as a device which receives value from other industries in several forms and converts it into one specific product for sales and distribution to other industries. It has several inputs and one output. What the public normally thinks of as one industry is really an industrial complex where several under one roof produce one or more products. A pure (single output) industry can be represented over simply by a circuit block. Industries fall into three categories or classes by type of output. Class #1 - Capital (resources), Class #2 - Goods (commodities or use - dissipative), Class #3 - Services (action of population).

- Class #1 industries exist at three levels; (1) Nature - sources of energy and raw materials. (2) Governmental - printing of currency equal to the gross national product (GNP), and extension* of currency in excess of GNP. (3) Banking - loaning of money for interest, and extension*(counterfeiting) of economic value through deposit loan accounts. *- inflation.

- Class #2 industries exist as producers of tangible or consumer (dissipated) products. This sort of activity is usually recognized and labeled by the public as an 'industry'.
- Class #3 industries are those which have service rather than a tangible product as their output. These industries are called (1) households, and (2) governments. Their output is human activity of a mechanical sort, and their basis is population.


Monopoly-capitalism (=communism=feudalism): Everyone Works for the BankLords

Central Banks, commercial banks and other financial institutions provide the greater part of assets used as money through loans made to individuals and businesses with "fiat" or cyber money they are allowed to create. In that sense, financial institutions are creating money from debt. If you think about it, the Bankers' scheme is really quite brilliant. They have usurped the power to issue currency from the people. I mean, what other business in the whole World allows you to create money based on the value that someone else gives you, then charge that person again plus interest? Well, it gets even worse. **With the fractional banking ratio of 1 to 10, Bankers can make loans of 9 times the "asset" amount on deposit!** If the central bank belongs to the government (with no strings attached such as the fiduciary bonds scam owed to the Rothschilds' gang with the Bank of England and France), then the public benefits. If it's in the hands of private "banksters", then it has usurped the power from the people, hence the term **"monopoly-capitalism"**. Although Communism, like other isms, had originated with Marx's association with the House of Rothschild banking dynasty, it also enlisted the reverent support of John D. Rockefeller because he saw Communism for what it is, the ultimate monopoly-capitalism, not only controlling the government, the monetary system and all property, but also a monopoly which, like the corporations it emulates, is self-perpetuating and eternal, and it was the logical progression from his Standard Oil monopoly.

Rockefeller said: "Competition is a sin". "Own nothing. Control everything". Because he wants to centralize control of everything and enslave us all, i.e. the modern Nimrod or Pharaoh.

Follow the Money:

Your Deposits: The U. S. Monetary System




Fractional Reserve Banking & The Magic Multiplier: Follow the Money

To understand Fractional Reserve Banking it's necessary to understand where bank notes came from: In the olden times, people deposited their silver and gold money at goldsmiths (where it was safely deposited in the goldsmiths' strongboxes). In return they received a note. Over time those notes got used in trade, as they were much more convenient to carry around than heavy metal money - thus paper money was born. As soon as bank notes were accepted as a means of payment, the goldsmiths/money lenders recognized that all the people who had their gold and silver money deposited at their place would never want it back at the same time (unless there is a "run on the bank"). Therefore they could lend "virtual" (and you thought cyber space was a recent invention!) money in the form of notes, keeping only a fraction of the "real" gold and silver money in reserve (usually around 10% of the amount lent out in note form, i.e. maintaining a fractional reserve of 10%). Today, Central/National/Federal Reserve Banks usually have a nation/union-wide monopoly on issuing bank notes.

In this sense, a bank is nothing but a fraud (shall we call them "banksters" as in "gangsters"?). It is a giant loan kiting scheme. If I had \$10,000 in my account and I wrote a check for \$100,000 I might be in big trouble. But banks are legally allowed to "loan" seven to 10 times more than they have on deposit. Where does this "money" come from? It is actually nothing but ledger entries... They are creating money from NOTHING. The scheme actually began around the middle ages. The first Bankers said: Here, deposit your gold in our vault and we will give you a receipt for it, that way you will not be robbed when you carry that sack of gold on horseback. The charge is only a small fee. After a while, people found it convenient to "buy" goods and services using these receipts. After all, you can go redeem the gold any time you want, right? Well, the Bankers soon realized that most of the people never came back and redeemed the gold so they started printing receipts for which there were no deposits, and spending them into the economy. Today we call this fractional reserve banking. So you see, that 100,000 are really worth 700,000 in fraudulent loans, plus interest!

Bankers took control of the U.S. in 1913 by bribing a few congressmen to pass the Federal Reserve Act after which President Woodrow Wilson lamented about his mistake. "We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the World - no longer a Government of free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominant men." President Woodrow Wilson, following the establishment of the privately owned Federal Reserve System in 1913. The Federal Reserve Act gave the power to issue currency and loan fiat money, i.e. money create out of nothing and without being backed by gold, to a private owned cartel known as the Federal Reserve Banks. A lot more on the details of this gigantic fraud later in several sections of this book.

US Total Debt vs. Government Currency



Typical Exponential Curve
 resulting from debt money systems such as that used by the Federal Reserve System


Actually, the route is UP and over the top. America is so far up the curve that the crash off the end appears imminent. What goes up must come down. Ouch!

The national debt is in the \$Trillions up here. Inflation is a severe problem. Buying power is lousy. Money is scarce.

In 1967 and 1980 our government changed the base of the CPI to make it look less precarious to us people. The curve shown here disregards those dishonest political alterations. This curve is what our economy actually looks like.

EconoPeople Path. The economy went that way.

There was **very little public debt and inflation down here**. Money was plentiful and had good buying power. The economy was prosperous and people were happy.




Oil Dollars Fund US Trade Deficit: US Debt Versus Currency Issued

A chart of US Debt vs Government Currency in circulation, i.e. most of the currency issued floats in cyber space and very little is actually circulated as paper notes. This debt has been issued by the private Federal Reserve and other banks by creating "money" from nothing, i.e. printing it or via a computer entry. This "money" is redeemable for anything that anyone produces in the US and in some cases beyond and is acceptable for discharging debts.


[edited slightly for clarity] Copyright By **Richard Benson**, founder of Specialty Finance Group. He can be reached at AssetBond@aol.com. The Asians remain shocked and in disbelief. Just when Japan, China, Taiwan and Hong Kong had accumulated enough dollars to buy oil to keep them warm for many winters, it's all over. In broad daylight, the Americans cheered as the price of oil popped up from US\$30 a barrel to more than \$50. Indeed, this jump in the price of oil increases the World's daily oil consumption bill of 84 million barrels a day to \$4.2 billion, from \$2.5 billion (or \$1.5 trillion a year from \$900 billion). The World now has to shell out an additional \$600 billion a year of "lucky bucks" to oil-producing countries just to stay in motion. The bigger shock, however, is in the devaluation of dollar holdings of US Treasury debt. The rise in oil prices guarantees that the value of the US dollar will be pushed down even further, and stay down. Now that China is the No 2 oil importer and Japan is No 3 - with the rest of Asia very thirsty for oil as well - you can understand why the Asians must find a way to protect themselves. The US strategy for using oil to finance its deficit is, of course, brilliant. America's elected officials knew that at some point those independent foreign central banks would start getting edgy about buying more dollars to pay for the United States' war and deficits. The \$650 billion trade deficit is breathing down the dollar's neck. So which central banks can the US continue to use as the fall guys to buy the dollar? Why not the Persian Gulf oil states - but where would they get the dollars to buy US Treasuries? Well, with the Chinese piling up dollars and growing like crazy, at some point the oil market had to tighten. It was only a matter of time before the Chinese would start bidding up the price of oil. The Asians, therefore, are hung out to dry when the price of oil rises because they have to spend more of their dollars on oil.

Under the agreement which allowed the setup of OPEC, all income above a limited amount must be recycled into the Federal Reserve System by acquired US Treasury Bonds and the interest earned on those bonds must be spent on buying products and services from the Military-Industrial-Congressional Complex. This is defacto funding part of the US deficit and imperial wars (China, Japan and others are funding the rest with the Treasury bills that they are holding). Basically, OPEC is acting as the collector of the IMPERIAL TAX! How you ask? Because inflation is a hidden tax and the Treasury Bonds keep depreciating in buying power; the large bond holders will never get



- US running large c/a deficit, while rest of world runs c/a surpluses
- Emerging market oil deficits offset by exports to US
- World central banks lending to the US by buying US Treasuries

Current Account Balances (2005e)



to spend them; and all taxes reach the coffers of the Federal Reserve System Bank owners! The same Rothschild/Rockefeller banking/oil dynasties who set up the private owned central banking cartel known as the Federal Reserve which actually serves their large commercial banks also setup OPEC to ensure that the Dollars they print are recycled into Treasury Bills/Bonds! As the price of oil goes up, extra money floods into the Gulf kingdoms. With the US secretary of defense putting troops all over the ground in the Middle East, and those nimble aircraft carriers nearby and ready to deliver the "shock and awe of sudden democracy" to the Gulf monarchs, it's a sure bet that OPEC will stash their newly found Asian lucky bucks into good old American Treasury notes where they are in suspension, waiting to be spent but never spent and thus on a permanent

loan basis with minimal interest payments that are taxed at 30% anyways. With such a simple policy to fund its deficit for another year, it's no wonder the United States can get by without any brain power at the Treasury Department. In effect, the US and its Gulf Arab allies just pulled off the biggest central-bank heist in the history of the World. The price of oil just went up 60% or more, which really cuts down to size that \$3.4 trillion of net foreign holdings of US financial assets. As a loyal American, one would like to cheer one's government's debt move to pick the pockets of our trading and financing partners. Moreover, the US gets the Arabs to fund a large share of our deficit, subsidize our interest rates, and help keep our taxes low for another year. Surely I can afford to buy another gas-guzzling sport-utv, get a rifle, and wave a flag.

The United States is extracting tribute on oil from the World. If the World wants Middle Eastern oil, it must pay for it through the US dollar. Why do the heads of Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Abu Dhabi, Bahrain, Qatar, etc, hold dollars? If they don't buy dollars, why would the US keep them in power? It would simply not be in our interests to do so. Remember when Saddam Hussein talked about pricing Iraq's oil in euros? "Shock and awe" quietly followed. This program of oil for dollars and dollars for the US Treasury deficit is the simple tribute that we, as the superpower, can expect. Unlike the Vietnam era - when the US was trying to finance guns and butter - getting others to pay now for our guns allows us to milk the oil out of the sand and turn it into butter. The next question will be how the Asians respond to a 60% hike in the price of oil. Notice in the chart below there are some big, smart, anonymous dollar holders (such as hedge funds) located in the Caribbean. No one knows who they really are. **Major known foreign holders of US Treasury securities (figures are much higher now after the Bush regime increased the debt from \$3 Trillion to \$9 Trillion, e.g. Saudi Arabia now holds nearly \$4 Trillion of Treasury bills which they are not allowed to spend):**

Japan (in billions of dollars)	702
Mainland China	194
England	163
Caribbean	93
Korea	68
Taiwan	59
Hong Kong	59
Total (including other countries with fewer holdings)	1,960

The public debt is already projected to grow from a level of 34 percent of the Gross Domestic Product (the basic measure of the size of the U.S. economy) in 2000 to nearly 70 percent of GDP by 2030. The borrowing called for under the plan advanced by the President's Social Security Commission would raise the debt to nearly 100 percent of GDP by 2030. Under some other individual account plans, the debt would be raised to even higher levels. These elevated levels of debt would increase the risk of a crisis in which the government faces difficulty paying the interest on this debt or issuing new debt in the bond market. The borrowing that would create such a fiscal situation could be obscured or omitted from the federal budget.

[Understanding The Meaning of GDP Gross Domestic Product](#)

This is an aside note about GDP or gross domestic product, but I might as well bring it up since we are talking about money. Simon Küznets (1965) pointed out that "the welfare of a nation can scarcely be inferred from a measurement of national income as defined by the GDP...goals for 'more' growth should specify of what and for what." As a gauge of economic performance and well-being, the GDP embodies at least seven major fallacies:

First, the GDP regards every expenditure as an addition to well-being, regardless what that expenditure is for and the effects. By this reasoning the nation's economic hero is the terminal cancer patient going through an expensive divorce, whose car is totaled in a twenty-car pile-up. The economic villain is the healthy person in a solid marriage that cooks at home, walks to work and doesn't smoke, gamble or spend the evening surfing Web porn. The hero borrows and spends; the villain pays cash and saves for the kids' education. What economists call "growth", in other words, is not always the same as what most Americans would consider good.

Second, the GDP ignores the crucial economic functions that lie outside the realm of monetary exchange. GDP excludes the value of unpaid housework, child care, volunteer work, and leisure. Parents do real work. So do neighbors, communities, open spaces, rivers and oceans, the atmosphere, and trees. Anyone who doubts this might try getting along without them. Such things contribute more to well being than does much that we buy from the market. Yet the GDP regards these life-sustaining functions as worthless - until the economy destroys them, and we have to buy substitutes from the market or from government. Then the GDP says that the economy has "grown." When parents default and kids need counseling or foster care, the GDP goes up because money has changed hands. When a parent cares for kids at home the GDP stagnates; when that same parent takes care of other peoples' kids at day care the GDP goes up. When the city cuts down shade trees to widen a street, and homeowners have to buy air conditioners for cooling, the GDP goes up again. It looks like economic growth; but in reality no increase has occurred. Instead, something that used to be free now costs money; social and environmental decay has been transmogrified into "growth" through the myopic lens of the GDP.

Third, the GDP does not account for natural resources that are required to sustain current and future economic development implying that the future has no value. The GDP excludes natural resource capital, environmental resources-services, human resources and research and development. All that matters is the present. The implications of current economic activity for our kids and grandkids do not enter the calculation. For example, the GDP counts the depletion of

natural resources as current income rather than as the liquidation of an asset. This violates both basic accounting principles and common sense. Similarly, saving doesn't add much to the GDP; economists actually chide Japan for its high savings rate. But maxing out on credit cards makes it soar.

Fourth, the GDP ignores totally the distribution of income, the social costs of inequality and poverty. Changes in GDP are insensitive to income inequality, poverty and the distribution of personal consumption and wealth. Even assuming that the GDP represents a rising tide of beneficence, it can't have that effect unless all share. If the economy is getting bigger, but the benefits are going mainly to those who need it least, the result is material accretion but not economic advance. This is true even in conventional economic terms. For a Mark McGuire or a Michael Jordan, another thousand dollars is merely tip money. For a family struggling on the minimum wage, a tenth that amount can mean the difference between macaroni and chicken for many nights.

Fifth, the GDP contains intermediate and regrettable expenditures that do not contribute to economic welfare. These include elements of government spending such as defense spending. It also includes personal spending such as cost of commuting to work, costs related to crime, environmental protection and automobile accidents.

Sixth, GDP includes expenditures on education, health care, social services and environmental protection that do not necessarily reflect the outcomes or returns on investment from such expenditures. Such outcomes might include physical well-being e.g. life expectancy, intellectual and labor market skills, educational attainment, and the quality of the environment.

Seventh, GDP does not directly measure investment in social capital.

Rowbotham (1998) mentions an ecological monetary reform as follows: "Money is capable of doing what we want it to do, rather than (as at present) making us do what it wants us to do. Money is capable of reflecting reality and conveying the policy we want. The true worth of money as an invention, frankly, has never been fully explored. The range of reform facing us, once we decide to correct the overbearing mathematical defect of debt, are as rich as the diverse opportunities and material benefits our economies can possible offer. In fact, in a sense they are the one and the same thing."

How Did The Illuminati Get Us Into This Mess?

Governments got us into this mess by violating four common sense rules regarding their fiscal and monetary policies. These rules are:

- 1. No sovereign government should ever, under any circumstances, give over democratic control of its money supply to bankers. It is the job of the people's Treasury to create money interest-free and debt-free.**
- 2. No sovereign government should ever, under any circumstances, borrow any money from any private bank.**
- 3. No national, provincial, or local government should borrow foreign money to increase purchases abroad when there is excessive domestic unemployment.**
- 4. Governments, like businesses, should distinguish between "capital" and "current" expenditures, and when it is prudent to do so, finance capital improvements with money the government has created for itself.**
- 5. People must be educated on these issues.**
- 6. Political donations must be controlled to avoid politicians selling their souls to the devils.**

There is an infinite difference between a person who has the power to create money from nothing and lends it to you at interest, and the one who doesn't have this power and who would be thrown in jail if he tried to do it, and the one who issues a bonded note in lieu of commodity that is kept in safe keeping. A few words about the first three of these rules, as the fourth rule has been discussed extensively elsewhere.

1. There is persistent pressure from central bankers and academic economists to free central banks from the obligation to consider the effects of their actions upon employment and output levels so that they can concentrate on price stability. This is a very bad idea indeed. Dominated by bankers and economists, central banks are entirely too prone to give exclusive attention to creditor interests to the exclusion of worker interests. Amending central bank charters to give them independence from democratic oversight, or to set up "price stability" as their only goal would complete their subjection to banker interests. Canada's **WILLIAM LYON MACKENZIE KING 1935 prior to the "nationalization" of the Bank of Canada**: "Once a nation parts with control of its currency and credit, it matters not who makes the nation's laws. Usury, once in control, will wreck any nation. Until the control of the issue of currency and credit is restored to government and recognized as its most sacred responsibility, all talk of the sovereignty of parliament and of democracy is idle and futile".

2. Anyone who understands that banks create the money they lend can see that it makes no sense for a sovereign government, which can create money at near zero cost, to borrow money at high cost from a private bank. The fact that most governments do borrow from private banks is one of the greatest errors of our times. If a government needs money created to pay for public spending it should create the money itself through its own bank; or spend the money debt and interest free as the United States did during the Revolution and again during the Civil War. If a government does not wish to "monetize" its deficits during periods of unusual need such as wartime, it should either make up the deficit with higher taxes or borrow only from the non-bank public-which cannot create the money it lends to the government.

3. One of the most mistaken ideas, with which Canadians especially are cursed, is the idea that a country should maintain its interest rates higher than those of its main trading partners "to attract foreign investment." To begin with, high interest rates inhibit real investment spending on new buildings, machinery and equipment by diverting funds to finance government deficits. Furthermore, the foreign funds attracted to Canada by high interest rates cannot be spent on Canadian employees and products. They are only useful for importing foreign goods and making payments on foreign debts. Moreover, these funds bid up the value of the Canadian dollar in foreign exchange markets, giving foreign goods a domestic price advantage over similar goods produced in Canada, while making it harder for Canada to export. Thus the inflow of foreign funds actually contributes to a "current account deficit" and depresses the Canadian economy. Those who argue that Canada must borrow on "capital account" because she has a "current account deficit" have cause and effect totally reversed. Canada has a current account deficit because she is borrowing on capital account. What she needs to do is to stop borrowing, lower interest rates until she stops attracting foreign funds, and let the Canadian dollar find its own level in the foreign currency markets.

When the Bank of Canada encourages the Canadian government, provinces, and municipalities to borrow in New York and Tokyo it is a betrayal of Canada. Where should they borrow when new money is needed for government spending? They should borrow at the government owned Bank of Canada, paying near zero interest rates-just sufficient to cover the Bank's running expenses.

John H. Hotson was professor emeritus of economics University of Waterloo and executive director of the Committee on Monetary and Economic Reform (COMER), a Canadian based network of economists working for economic and monetary reform. The above section is based on a series he published in the October 1994, November 1994, and January 1995 issues of Economic Reform, the COMER newsletter, Comer Publications, 3284 Yonge St., Suite 500, Toronto, Ontario, M4N 3M7, fax (416) 486-4674. He gave the PCD Forum permission to use this material only five days before his untimely death on January 21, 1996 following heart surgery.

History reveals that the masses can be conquered by the use of one of three main methods:

- The most common is conquest by war. In time, though, this method usually fails, because the captives hate the captors and rise up and drive them out if they can. Much force is needed to maintain control, making it expensive for the conquering nation.
- A second method is by propaganda or ideology, where the captives are convinced they must give their captors part of their earnings as "obedience to God." Such a captivity is vulnerable to philosophical exposure or overthrow by armed force, since propaganda or ideology by its nature lacks military force to regain control, once its captives become "disillusioned."
- The third method can be called economic conquest. It takes place when nations are placed under "tribute" without the use of visible force or coercion, so that the victims do not realize they have been conquered. "Tribute" is collected from them in the form of "legal" debts and taxes, and they believe they are paying it for their own good, for the good of others, or to protect all from some enemy. Their captors become their "benefactors" and "protectors". Although this is the slowest to impose, it is often quite long lasting, as the captives do not see any military force arrayed against them, their religion is left more or less intact, they have freedom to speak and travel, and they participate in "elections" for their rulers. Without realizing it, they are conquered, and the instruments of their own society are used to transfer their wealth to their captors and make the conquest complete.

In 1900 the average American worker paid few taxes and had little debt. Last year payments on debts and taxes took more than half of what he earned. We have been financially conquered and are still being conquered! **Simply put, a few people who own the central banks have usurped and monopolized the power to create money from the people's government and are ever-growing in wealth and power because of this and will wind up owning all of the World's resources in the future.**

George Washington 1787: "Every lover of his country will therefore be solicitous to find out some speedy remedy for this alarming evil. There is no possible substitute for the loss of commerce. Our first grand object, therefore, is its restoration. I presume not to dictate or direct. It is a subject that will require the deepest deliberations and researches of the wisest and more experienced men in America to fully comprehend. It probably belongs to no one man existing to possess all the qualifications required to trace the course of American commerce through all intricate paths and to those and only those that shall lead the United States to future glory and prosperity I am sanguine in the belief of the possibility that we may one day become a great commercial and flourishing nation. But if in the pursuit of the means we should unfortunately stumble again on unfunded paper money or any similar species of fraud, we shall assuredly give a fatal stab to our national credit in its infancy. Paper money will invariably operate in the body of politics as spirit liquors on the human body. They prey on the vitals and ultimately destroy them." "Paper money has had the effect in your state that it will ever have, to ruin commerce, oppress the honest, and open the door to every species of fraud and injustice." (letter to J. Bowen, Rhode Island, Jan. 9, 1787)

President George Washington, Farewell Address, 1796: "Let me now warn you in the most solemn manner. Observe good faith and justice toward all nations. Cultivate peace and harmony with all. **The Nation which indulges toward another an habitual hatred or an habitual fondness is in some degree a slave.** It is a slave to its animosity or to its affection, either of which is sufficient to lead it astray from its duty and its interest."

"Money is Power", or shall we say, "The Monopoly to Create Credit Money and charge interest is Absolute Power". In addition to the vast wealth drawn to them through this almost unlimited usury, the Illuminati Bankers who control the money at the top are able to approve or disapprove large loans to large and successful corporations to the extent that refusal of a loan will bring about a reduction in the price that that Corporation's stock sells for on the market. After depressing the price, the Illuminati Bankers' agents buy large blocks of the company's stock, after which the sometimes multi-million dollar loan is approved, the stock rises, and is then sold for a profit. In this manner billions of dollars are made with which to buy more stock. This practice is so refined today that the Federal Reserve Board need only announce to the newspapers an increase or decrease in their "discount rate" to send stocks up and down as they wish. Using this method since 1913, the Illuminati Bankers and their agents have purchased secret or open control of almost every large corporation in America. Using that control, they then force the corporations to borrow huge sums from their banks so that corporate earnings are siphoned off in the form of interest to the banks. This leaves little as actual "profits" which can be paid as dividends and explains why stock prices are so depressed, while the banks reap billions in interest from corporate loans. In effect, the Illuminati Bankers get almost all of the profits, while individual stockholders are left holding the bag. The millions of working families and their future generations are now indebted to the few Illuminati Banking families. And these Banking families obtained that debt against the masses for the cost of paper, ink, and bookkeeping!

From the very outset, the Illuminati Zionists' purpose was to confiscate all the gold and silver, replacing them with worthless non-redeemable paper notes. "This we have done!" said Harold Wallace Rosenthal in 1976 during an interview he gave to Walter White Jr; After this interview, Mr. Rosenthal, age 29, the administrative assistant to Senator Jacob K. Javits of New York was conveniently killed in an alleged sky-jacking attempt on an Israeli airliner in Istanbul, Turkey, August 12, 1976; I guess because he talked too much. The full text of the interview is available at http://www.anticristconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold_Wallace_Rosenthal_Interview_1976.htm. Don't forget to substitute the word Zionist for Jew. Zionists are not true-Torah Jews and like to masquerade as real-Torah Jews to shift the blame onto ordinary Jews. They hide behind Judaism. We will explain this in detail later.

When asked about the term 'non-redeemable notes,' Mr. Rosenthal replied that up until 1933, one could have redeemed the Federal Reserve note for a coin of 25 4/5ths grains of 90 per cent gold. Remember when Roosevelt confiscated the American people's gold? And, prior to 1968, people could take a one dollar Federal Reserve note into any bank in America and redeem it for a dollar which was by law a coin containing 412 1/2 grains of 90 per cent silver. Not anymore; these notes are now non-redeemable, and in any case, there isn't any gold or silver in the Federal Reserve System banks left. More on this later.

[We Can Buy Back and Abolish The FED by Law and Get Out of This Mess!](#)

By law (check the Congressional record), we can buy back the FED for the original investment of the FED's 300 shareholders, which is \$450 million. If each taxpayer paid \$25, we could buy back the FED and all the profit would flow into the U.S. Treasury. In other words, by Congress allowing the constitutionally illegal FED to continue, much of your taxes go to the shareholders of the FED and their bankers. Note: The people who enacted the FED started the IRS, within months of the FED's inception. The FED buys U.S. debt with money they printed from nothing, then charges the U.S. taxpayers interest. The government had to create income tax to pay the interest expense to the FED's shareholders, but the income tax was never legally passed (Reference 20 shows details, state-by-state why it was not legally passed). The FED is illegal, per Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution. Not one state legally ratified the 16th Amendment making income tax legal. Currently, fewer and fewer Americans are being convicted for refusal to pay income taxes. In IRS jury trials, the jury, by law, must decide if the law is just. If taxpayers do not believe the law is just, the jury may declare the accused innocent. Judges are legally bound to inform juries of their right to determine the fairness of a law. Judges often do not disclose this information so they can control the court outcome. Luckily, more and more citizens are becoming informed. If one juror feels the law is unfair, they can find the defendant innocent. In Utah, the IRS quit prosecuting taxpayers because jurors verdict is not guilty. Please tell your friends and sit in the next jury.

If we eliminate the FED and uphold the Constitution, we could balance the budget and cut personal income tax to almost nothing. In Congressional hearings on September 30, 1941, **FED Chairman Eccles** admitted that the FED creates new money from thin air (printing press), and loans it back to us at interest. On June 6, 1960, FED President Mr. Allen admitted essentially the same thing. If you or I did this we would go to jail. It is time to abolish the FED! Tell your friends the truth and win America back. We don't even need to buy back the FED. We only need to print money the way the Constitution requires, not the new proposed international money. We want to keep our sovereignty and print real U.S. money. Why has Congress allowed the FED to continue? If a Congressperson tries to abolish the FED, the banks fund the Congressperson's opponent in the next election. The new Congressperson will obviously support the FED. When Congresspeople retire, political campaign funds are not taxed. Get elected and be a millionaire if you vote right. By the way, the profit of the FED is not taxed either (Reference 1, 9). Once America understands, and takes action, Congresspeople will then gladly abolish the FED. In 1992, Illinois Congressman Crane introduced a bill, co-sponsored by 40 other Congressmen, to fully audit the FED. This is a step in the right direction. America is a great nation. As "We the People" become informed, the media and Congress will be forced to buy back the FED, balance the budget, significantly cut taxes, and stop allowing bribes to determine voting strategies. The FED hopes you will be passive and not act on this information. We believe in grass roots America - we are waking up America. Ultimately, the battle plan is to inform all Americans and demand change in the media and Congress. True Americans should run for office and throw out the politicians who allow this fraud to continue. Congress may refuse to deal with this issue. That's why each person needs to

go to their local county/state government with the proper paperwork and ask them to abolish the FED. With the proper documents, they are legally obligated to do it. WE NEED LEADERS TO BEGIN THIS ACTION.

Consider this fact. Most of the given sources in this booklet show how the blood line of family bankers who own the FED funded both sides of all major wars. They created fake colonial money to destroy the Americans during the Revolutionary War and tried to finance both sides in the American Civil War. Abraham Lincoln refused and the South accepted. Many publications show that these bankers financed World War I, World War II, and the Russian Revolutionary War, which helped Napoleon, Lenin, and Hitler come to power. They financed both sides from money created from nothing and profited greatly. These same bankers created a number of American depressions to change the U.S. legislation and seize our wealth. Read the sources for details. This is why our forefathers wrote in the Constitution that only Congress can issue money - not private banks (Reference 18). More wars create more debt which means more profit to the bankers (Reference 1, 21). These bankers planned three World wars so people would welcome United Nations intervention to govern the World in peace, not war. (Reference 22 gives specific details on World War I and World War II, showing exactly how the bankers were responsible for the beginning and continuation of these wars for their profit).

The US Congress has the option to buy back the FED at \$450 millions (per Congressional Records). When the Congress does this, it will own back the billions of US Government Bonds held by the FED. The US Government will actually PROFIT by buying back the FED! Also, the US government no longer has to pay interests to the FED owners on those bonds. Through their ownerships in the FED, FOREIGN POWERS CAN and WILL influence the US economy. By controlling our interest rates and money supply, they can actually create economic disaster in the US, should the US disagree with them. Although the FED directors must be confirmed by the Senate, the awesome lobbying power of the FED owners makes this process meaningless. The owners of the FED can and will put whoever they wish in the position. Abolishing the FED will lead to lower inflation. At this moment, the FED prints as much money as needed to buy the US Government Bonds. Since the FED prints this MONEY out of THIN AIR, this leads to an INCREASE of MONEY SUPPLY, WITHOUT increase in GOODS/SERVICES. This, as all of us know it, leads to INFLATION. If the general public buy those bonds with money that they EARNED by providing GOODS/SERVICES, the money supply level is constant in relation to the goods/services level. Thus, there is no inflationary pressure from selling these bonds. Abolishing the FED will reduce the national debt level. By buying back the FED at \$450 millions, the US Government will buy back the billions of dollars of bonds held by the FED. Thus, the net effect is a reduction in national debt. After buying back the FED, the US Government does not have to pay interest on those bonds it buys back, further reducing the national debt. Abolishing the FED will lead to eventual balance budget.

Today, even if the US Economy only grows by a meager 2%/yr, the US Government should be able to put 2% of US-GDP dollars into circulation WITHOUT INFLATION. Consider, if the goods/services grow by 2% and the money supply grows by 2%, the ratio of goods/services vs. money supply remains constant. Thus, no inflation is created. The government can use this extra money supply to fund its project without raising taxes. As long as the government does not print money more than the goods and services available in the US, there will be no inflationary pressures. The sad fact is, the US Government does not do this since Lincoln. Instead, the US Government sell bonds to the FED, which buys those bonds using money they don't earn. Thus, the US Government must now pay interest on those money that it "borrows" from the FED. The US Government can actually reduce taxes on everybody since it has more interest free money to spent in the amount equal to the growth of the US GDP. KEEP IN MIND, THIS MONEY WILL NOT CAUSE INFLATION, since the money is printed along with the growth of the goods and services.

The FED should either be fully AUDITED every year, or be abolished. I have done my part providing this information. It is up to you to decide the future of the US economy. Please consult the followings: Encyclopaedia Britannica, Congressional Record, "The Federal Reserve Bank" by H.S. Kenan, "Repeal the Federal Reserve Bank" by Rev. Casimir Frank Gierut, "The Secrets of the Federal Reserve" by Mullins, Call your Congressman and tell him to support the legislation to FULLY AUDIT the FED, Call your representatives and ask them to support legislation introduced by Congressman Henry Gonzales to repeal the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, Push for your home states to introduce and pass a legislation to end the FED scam. The following states have already done so: Arizona, Washington, Arkansas, Idaho, Oregon, Indiana, and Texas, Even if you live in these states, contact your representatives and tell them to support the legislation. THEY WILL LISTEN if you care to TELL THEM!!! Ask your STATE and COUNTY government to abolish the FED. Since the FED is CONSTITUTIONALLY ILLEGAL, it MUST be abolished. Ask your state/county governments for the proper paperworks. If the US Congress refuses to abolish the FED, your STATE/COUNTY governments can do it. Collect signature on petitions calling for the end of the FED. Tell friends and family about this fraud, and ask them for supports. Secrecy is the FED's main strength. Since the media has been quiet, no one even notice this FRAUD that goes on for decades. Now it is up to the tax payer to be informed. Inform everyone you know about this, and be organized!!! Contact "America Betrayed", Center for Action, 652 N. Glenview, Nesa, AZ 85213, Contact "National Committee to Repeal the Federal Reserve Act", P.O. Box 1205, Middleburg, IL60599.

Banksters Will Force Cashless Society to Usher in New World Order Tyranny

They plan to create a one World government through the United Nations headed by the FED, Trilaterals, and the Council on Foreign Relations (Reference 3). By the definition of treason, they have committed treason! This means you lose your rights under the Constitution and Bill of Rights. Does this sound far fetched? Twenty-four U.S. Senators (two of them presidential candidates, Harkin & Tsongas) and 80 Representatives have signed a "Declaration of Interdependence." This Declaration, designed to make a one World government, is treason to the oath of office they took. The media remained silent. The FED announced publicly that their first objective was to get nationalism out of the American people's heads


because patriotism to a country would not be of value in the future. The media makes us think the U.N. has all the answers, and to "think globally." Congress passed a law stopping certain individuals from being tried for this treason (Reference 6, Reference 1, P. 191-198). Why pass this law if no treason was committed? State Department document 7277 calls for the disarming of America, thus turning our sovereignty over to a one-World government. Again, the media is pushing to eliminate guns. Our forefathers believed that the right to bear arms would prevent a takeover of our government. History shows that before any government took over, they disarmed the citizens. Hitler did it, and before our Revolutionary War, King George told us to disarm - good thing we didn't! Under the Federal Reserve Bank Act, the bankers control our economy. The FED controls interest rates and the amount of money in the economy. These factors determine either economic prosperity or the lack thereof. Bankers are now pushing for a one World government and a cashless society. Why cashless? No cash means no money for drugs, no theft, and the ability to collect taxes on the underground economy. Anyone who wouldn't support a cashless society must be a drug dealer, thief, or tax evader, right? What a cashless society really means is the banks can now control you. Today you fear the IRS. In a cashless society, if you disagree with the bankers' political goals, you'll find your money gone via computer error. If you could accurately predict future interest rates, inflation and deflation, you would know when to buy or sell stocks and make a bundle of money. The FED has secret meetings (per Congressional Record) to determine future interest rates and the amount of money to be printed. The Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) by law, stops insiders from profiting by privileged information. Congressional records prove that FED bankers routinely hold secret meetings to profit by manipulating the stock market via interest rates and the amount of money they create. FED bankers also profit greatly from economic disasters like the Depression. The bankers create inflation, sell their stocks before the market crashes, then buy up stocks at cheaper prices. Bankers admitted this to Congress. This violates the law, yet Congress does not act because these bankers are large political contributors. Thomas Jefferson predicted this scenario if we ever allowed a private bank, like the FED, to create our currency.

[How the Banksters Transfer Their Liabilities to TaxPayers!](#)

Increasingly, our government assets are being transferred or outsourced to private interests. **Meantime, those private interests are transferring their liabilities back to government.** Our currency is managed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System of twelve privately owned Federal Reserve Banks, including the Federal Reserve Bank of New York which in turn serves as depository for the US government bank accounts. The member banks who own and control the individual Federal Reserve Banks have received extraordinary credit subsidies through the governmental apparatus. In addition, there is **over \$3.3 trillion missing from our government accounts (we recently learned about the mega-billions missing from the Pentagon).** In short, our government is being manipulated to serve a private agenda. Hence, we are supporting in the marketplace with our choices as consumers and investors the very banks who are orchestrating the corruption of our government.

What can we do? Our financial system is highly leveraged. That means that a tiny shift in customers from big corporate banks to local credit union banks can cause a dramatic shift in political and economic power and behavior. We estimate that 600,000 is 1% of 1% of our Worldwide population <http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0407/S00040.htm> WHERE

WOULD YESHUA-JOSHUA BANK? By Catherine Austin Fitts, former partner and member of the board of directors at a major Wall Street investment bank and former Assistant Secretary of Housing in the first Bush Administration, **July 4th, 2004.** Catherine Austin Fitts is the President of Solari, Inc., a member of the board of directors of 911Truth.org and of the advisory board of Sanders Research Associates. Ms. Fitts is the former Assistant Secretary of Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner during the first Bush Administration, a former managing director and member of the board of directors of Dillon Read & Co. Inc. and President of The Hamilton Securities Group, Inc.



"And Yeshua-Joshua went into the temple and began to cast out them that sold and bought in the temple, and overthrew the tables of the money changers" – Bible, Book of Mark 11:15. I think of Yeshua-Joshua as a real deal, straight up kind of guy who always cared deeply about his fellow man. That's why we often ask "What would Yeshua-Joshua do?" when looking for the action of highest integrity.


[Yeshua-Joshua-Issa and the Pharisees Priest-BankLords](#)

Yeshua-Joshua acted with ferocious and bold integrity when he threw the money changers out of the temple in Jerusalem. Needless to say, he hiccuped their cash flows on what otherwise would have been a big grossing day. Their business model threatened, the priests who managed the money changers insisted that the Romans crucify Yeshua-Joshua. The Romans tried to pawn the problem off on the local king, Herod, who ducked and sent Yeshua-Joshua back to the Romans. The Romans, still looking for a way out, tried a flogging. That did not work. The priests meanwhile had succeeded in persuading the crowd to support them and scapegoat Yeshua-Joshua. Thirsting for a crucifixion, the crowd voted to set

the criminal Barabbas free instead of Yeshua-Joshua. The crowd voted for the criminal enterprise. The crowd voted for the priests and their rich endowments and their alliance with the money changers. The crowd did not ask "Cui Bono?" which is Latin for "who benefits?" If they had, they would have seen the real deal on who was making money on the death of Yeshua-Joshua and voted with their conscience, and for their own best interests instead.

It's 2000 Years Later and We're Still Voting for the Criminals!!!

Looking around today, it would appear that more than two thousand years later our popular vote is still backing an unholy alliance of "priests" and their rich endowments,[2] "money changers" (now called central bankers), criminal enterprises[3] and the continuous growth of dirty money, market manipulation and warfare [actually, there is a lot of vote fraud as we have seen]. While the financial networks in and around the Vatican and related networks and societies such as the Jesuits and Opus Dei, are not known for financial transparency, starting points include stories related to Vatican banking scandals, including the laundering of Nazi monies and the Banco Ambrosiano scandals. See, Rupert Cornwell, "Sex, Fraud, Money Laundering: All Roads lead to Rome," and God's Banker: The Life and Death of Roberto Calvi (New York: HarperCollins Publishers, July 6, 1984). The role of tax exempt endowments in the corruption of our markets and government has become increasingly illuminated with revelations about the role of the Harvard Endowment and its involvement in the Enron scandals, Russian money laundering, Harken-related insider trading and HUD fraud. See, "Negative ROI Economy, Articles and Documentation," http://www.solari.com/gideon/articles_risk.html and, generally, articles published on the Harvard Watch website, available at <http://www.harvardwatch.org>.



The 1990s was a period in which the illumination of the dirty money in American politics and business really came out of the closet. The stories of the 1980s Iran Contra period financial fraud and of government conspiring with private industry in illegal weapons deals and drug trafficking to fund a secret government became widely known, starting with Senate hearings that were broadcast World wide. National Security Council involvement during the 1980s exploded onto the Internet with the "Dark Alliance" series published in the San Jose Mercury News in 1996 and tales of Bush and Clinton involvement in the illegal arms-trafficking and cocaine transshipment operation in Mena, Arkansas.[4] Stories proliferated about dirty dealings by member banks of the New York Federal Reserve (the US Treasury depository) with the Arkansas state agency that distributed housing subsidies and issued housing bonds and that was alleged to have been laundering the local share of the profits. Although the mainstream media, led by The New York Times and The Los Angeles Times, attempted to discredit them, several fearless news sources kept the Dark Alliance and Mena stories alive. Two CIA Inspector General reports essentially confirmed the credibility of the Internet press which continued to cover the story.[5]

These Inspector General reports included a memorandum of understanding between the CIA and the **US Department of Justice ("DOJ") that relieved the CIA of any obligation to report illegal activities, including narcotics trafficking by non-employee agents and contractors of the CIA.** Since that time, I have had the opportunity -- as an investment banker and former Assistant Secretary of Housing responsible under both Bush I and Clinton Administration for the **cleanup of some of the S&L, mortgage and real estate related fraud and money-laundering schemes -- to learn more about the black budget business model used in these criminal enterprises.**[6] Simply put, we are living in a negative return on investment economy. This means that from the point of view of most people on the planet, the dolphins, the trees and all living things, the World is worse off for our centralized human economic activity, at the crux of which are the central banks and the military-industrial complex they finance. The good news is that we have the power to transform this economy. This power comes in the form of each and every dime we spend in the marketplace.

Who Will Push the Red Button to Free Us?

In 2000, I made a presentation on the subject of "dirty money" to a wonderful group of about 100 people gathered outside of Philadelphia to affirm and explore their commitment to the spiritual evolution of our culture. During my talk, after walking the group through an analysis of the enormous profits generated by narcotics trafficking, financial fraud and other types of organized crime, as well as the reinvestment of this money in the stock market and campaign war chests, I asked the members what would happen to the stock market if we decriminalized or legalized drugs and thus seriously adversely affected the narco profiteering business. The stock market would crash, they responded. What would happen to the government's ability to borrow more money to finance the deficit if we enforced all money-laundering laws and, as a result, \$500 billion to \$1 trillion of annual laundered funds no longer moved through the US banking system?

If the government could not finance its operations by borrowing money at low cost, their taxes might go up. Worse yet, their government checks might stop as government program expenditures were cut, they said. I then asked them to imagine a big red button at the front of the lectern. By the power of their imaginations, if they pushed that button they could stop all organized crime and money laundering in the United States. Who would push the button? Only one person in that audience of 100 people committed to spiritually evolve our society said they would push the button. Upon reflection, 99 would not. I asked why. They said that if they pushed the button, the value of their mutual funds would go down, their taxes would go up and their government checks might stop. I commented that what they were proposing was that an entire infrastructure of people continue to market hard narcotics to their children and grandchildren to maintain the value of their mutual and pension funds. They said, yes, that was right. Such popular support for cheap financing from dirty money goes well beyond this candid audience. Indeed, most of us are party to maintaining this negative ROI economy. The future of our democratic freedoms depends on our adopting financially responsible and profitable strategies to collectively "push the red button" in a way that makes our World better instead of worse. Such strategies do exist. These are actions we can take immediately both individually and collectively at the grassroots. Are you ready to push the red button?

The process for individuals starts with adopting a personal intention to transform our World. We have the power to take personal responsibility to learn how the money works in our neighborhoods and our lives and workplaces, and then take steps to cleanse the money in our control. In short, it's time to electrify the power of our intention with the power of our transactions. Each dime we spend is a "vote" in the marketplace. We can vote with the temple priests or we can vote with our consciences for leaders and businesses that demonstrate through their daily transactions and decisions that they truly care. What an enormous opportunity we have, if each one of us will switch our votes. After several thousand years, there is no time like the present to start voting for ourselves and our planet instead of with the money changers and temple priests that feed at their monetary and lending troughs.

Who's Who in Stealing Our Money

As privatization has increased, so has debt and corporate fiefdoms have been created for the Illuminati's henchmen. The taxpayer gets the liabilities, and Bob & Co. get the assets (Bob Rubin is former Treasury Secretary, now co-head of CitiGroup). Great work if you can get it! Before we choose which banking and other financial institutions to vote for, let's take a quick look at the candidates. Increasingly, our US government assets are being transferred or outsourced to global private interests -- typically at below market values that constitute windfall profits for undisclosed private investors.[7] For example, HUD routinely resolves defaulted mortgages using methods that generate significantly lower recovery rates than is standard in the mortgage industry.[8] This effectively amounts to a back door transfer of billions of dollars to special interests from all homeowners who bought Federal Housing Administration mortgage insurance to finance their homes.[9] As government mortgage guarantees encourage financial institutions to stop caring about the health of a place, the real estate values and community safety in those neighborhoods decline; with more neighborhoods experiencing deterioration of services such as schools, along with a rise in criminal activities such as narcotics trafficking. As neighborhoods experience more foreclosures and longer periods when foreclosed homes are empty, the value of surrounding homes and properties suffer further. Examples abound on the international playing field as well. BBC reporter Greg Palast's revelations about the reasons for the resignation of World Bank executive Joe Stiglitz have exploded the myths about international privatization, describing the IMF and World Bank roles in forcing below market transfers to Enron, such as the water system of Buenos Aires and the pipeline that runs between Argentina and Chile.[10] Harvard University, funded as a financial advisory contractor under contracts between Russia and the US Agency for International Development, arranged auctions of Russian government-owned companies to international investors, including members of the Harvard

network and its endowment, at below market prices.[11] After this process of "privatization," the number of people living in poverty in the former Soviet republics rose from 14 million in the early 1990s to 147 million.[12] In the meantime, Harvard's endowment -- compounding at tax exempt rates -- exploded in value from \$4 billion to \$18 billion.[13] Meanwhile, these same global private interests are transferring their liabilities back to government.

When DynCorp employees engage in sex slave trading with local mafia in Eastern Europe or use the War on Drugs as a pretext to grab land for rich investors and local elites in Latin America while being paid from government contracts, the reputation, pocketbook, and spiritual health of America suffer along with the intended victims.[14] While the likes of Long Term Capital Management and the unnamed private holders of their derivative positions are bailed out, US federal debt and credit are skyrocketing, along with consumer debt and the US trade deficit. And, there is over \$3.8 trillion missing from our government accounts.[15] This translates to approximately \$14,000 per American resident. To date, under four Treasury Secretaries – Rubin, Summers, O'Neill and Snow – the federal government has failed to comply with the laws requiring audited financial statements, and failed to make any significant effort to find or get back the missing money.[16] Where is all this money going, and who/what is being hurt in the process? What does this mean to the environment, current citizens and future generations who are footing the bill? **The answer is that innocent people around the World are assuming those liabilities.** And the leadership within the banking system is in charge day-to-day of implementing the majority of these transfers.

The Banking System is at the Crux

Frequency of usage of terms "transparency" and "privatization" in web-stored documents in Google universe. Contrast this with the growth of US net foreign debt in the above chart... The US currency is managed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, itself comprised of twelve privately owned Federal Reserve Banks, including the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, which in turn serves as depository for the US government bank accounts. The member banks that own and control the individual Federal Reserve Banks have received extraordinary credit and information subsidies through the governmental apparatus. If you review a list of the leading banks in the Federal Reserve System that are involved as depositories, trustees, servicers or securities dealers in the US agencies missing more than \$3.8 trillion.


- **It's the same banks whose names appear in allegations of gold market manipulations.[17]**
 - **It's the same banks whose engineered Enron offshore deals.[18]**
 - **It's the same banks implicated in 9-11 profiteering.[19]**
 - **It's the same banks implicated by the big narcotics and arms trafficking and money laundering allegations.[20]**
 - **It's the same banks implicated directly or indirectly in the "pump and dump" and naked short selling stock market schemes.[21]**
 - **It's the same banks implicated in black budget government contracting and collateral schemes and the fraudulent asset stripping of our great manufacturing enterprises.[22]**
 - **It's the same banks that are managing the huge derivative positions that are increasingly used to manipulate markets and drive monetary and fiscal policy.[23]**
 - **It's the same banks whose senior management, attorneys and accountants cycle in and out of the top government jobs at the agencies missing \$3.8 trillion.[24]**
- It's the same banks that tell you that small business loans are not good business.**

Banks Not Interested in Loaning to the Little Guy

In 1993, in an attempt to obtain a small business loan for my business, I had lunch with the head of corporate lending in the DC area from a large multinational bank. He explained that his bank had no plans to make small business loans of any meaningful volume in the District of Columbia. I had checked the bank's latest SEC filings that morning. It had approximately \$110 billion in long Treasury bonds on its balance sheet. Essentially, the American taxpayers were providing this bank with the mechanism to borrow short term at a low price using the credit of the American people through federal deposit insurance, and then use those funds together with our deposits to lend those very same funds to our government long term at a 550 basis point spread.[25] The bank had a recourse guarantee of our taxpayer credit by investing in US Treasury securities which are guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the US government, and refused to lend to my small business because it was not good enough business for the bank. I estimated that this particular bank would reap \$6 billion in risk free profit that year alone by inserting itself as an unnecessary intermediary -- between businesses and individuals as depositors and guarantors, and the very same businesses and individuals in their capacities as US taxpayers financing the US Treasury "deficit." The net result was that my taxes were financing my government "funneling" billions of dollars in back door profits to large banks, while I and my fellow citizens who were backstopping the FDIC and US Treasury credit that made it all possible were forced to go elsewhere to finance our small businesses.

To add insult to injury, government leaders such as Bob Rubin (former Treasury Secretary now co-head of CitiGroup) and Franklin Raines (former Director of the Office of Management and Budget and now Chairman of Fannie Mae) have explained that the government could not afford to finance parks, roads and schools because, instead we needed to pay the interest on the growing national debt. In fact, the "national debt" argument was a ruse. The actual intended use for American taxpayers' hard earned money dedicated, nominally, to "reducing the national debt" was the financing of billions dollars of back-door, rigged subsidies to banks and mortgage market players who have made Rubin and Raines so rich. And why should your children have to sign up for military service just so they can pay off their student loans, while at the

same time \$3.8 trillion is missing from the US government? Why can't your children's educations be financed by our tax dollars? Investing in education is the best thing we can do for our economy. Indeed, Peter Drucker notes that the GI Bill was perhaps the most profitable taxpayer investment of the 20th century. Why should you lose your home or small farm to foreclosure by the banks that are complicit in \$3.8 trillion missing from the federal government? Are you earning 2% on your bank certificate of deposit while your neighbors are paying interest at 18% to Citibank or the IRS?



Sketch Of A Syndicate - Image SandersResearch.Com

Dirty Dozen Major Banksters At the Top of My List

Here is the "Dirty Dozen Plus" list of primarily US-based banks and financial institutions that I would immediately withdraw my support from based on personal knowledge and experience: - American Insurance Group - Bank of America - Bank of New York - BBT - Citibank/Citigroup- Credit Suisse First Boston- Deutsche Bank - FleetBoston - General Electric - Goldman Sachs - HSBC Bank- JP Morgan Chase - Mellon Financial Corporation - Merrill Lynch - PNC - SunTrust Banks - Wachovia Bank. I would also check to see who the lead member banks are in my Federal Reserve District and include them on your list.[26] If you have been complaining about corporate America overpowering our democracy, check and see if you are also doing business with one or more of these banks. I would also look at withdrawing support from those banks involved in outsourcing jobs to other countries while withdrawing financing for jobs in our communities. Enticed by significantly lower tax burdens and labor costs, as well as a free reign in labor exploitation, more and more companies are joining the bandwagon of job exports while supporting policies that prevent the creation of new jobs domestically.

On one hand, many Americans are losing their jobs and either have no means of income or support, or they settle for reemployment at wages insufficient to make ends meet. Their communities are suffering diminished quality of life from lower tax collections, higher crime rates, decreased health, low morale and the family and community problems that unemployment and underemployment cause. On the other hand, their lucky neighbors who still enjoy nice incomes – often coming directly or indirectly from the profits of outsourcing -- promote the notion that such outsourcing is the natural flow of free markets optimizing economic performance. Alas, I wish it were true. Analysis of the financial institutions that are the power behind the throne at the New York Federal Reserve and the US Treasury reveals a pattern of dirty tricks to: (1) stop policies that would permit the US work force to reengineer their skills;[27] (2) prevent the flow of equity capital to small business;[28] (3) subsidize themselves with insider trading and risk free federal credit profits; (4) maintain complicity in the trillions of dollars missing from the federal government;[29] and (5) prevent place based transparency of government budgets and credit activity that would reveal their complicity. This is not free markets at

work – this is the use of insider dealings, cronyism, undue influence, political favoritism based upon a corrupt campaign finance system, and misuse of the federal credit, not to mention black budget and covert operation activities, to profit from the destruction of free markets.[30]

Lou Dobbs at CNN has been keeping a list called "Exporting America" of companies that outsource US jobs abroad, including the following banks.[31] Here are the banks on the Dobbs' list: - Bank of America - Bank of New York- Bank One- Citigroup - JP Morgan Chase- Mellon Bank- SunTrust Banks- State Street- Sovereign Bank- Wachovia Bank- Washington Mutual. If you have been complaining about outsourcing of US jobs and you are banking at one of these banks, you need to go into your bathroom and look in the mirror. That is the person who is financing the outsourcing of US jobs.

When You Steal From Me, It's Personal

Someday someone will calculate the true cost to our families, our communities, our collective spirit and our environment Worldwide, inflicted by this negative ROI economy. In the meantime, we can assume that the cost is somewhere between significant and staggering. In other words, the cost to you personally, to me personally, to each of our families, is significant. **This first hit me several years ago when I was working in an official capacity for HUD (the US Department of Housing and Urban Development) and had experienced first hand the affects of fraud and corruption. A Congressional staff member told me, "HUD is being run as a criminal enterprise." Indeed, in fiscal 1998 and 1999, \$17 billion and \$59 billion respectively were officially reported as missing from HUD.[32]** I realized then that I was banking at the same banks I believed to be intimately involved in running HUD's extra curricular activities. I realized that my own banks and others that I had done business with were directly or indirectly costing me and my family a small fortune when I took into account my relationship with them as a citizen and taxpayer and their role as depositories and servicers for my government's financial dealings.[33] It seemed to me that the least I could do was to try to clean up my own money. I decided I would learn how to "vote" with my money in the marketplace with all my transactions, including purchases, investments and banking relationships. I would start by withdrawing my money from the banks that were running my government's bank account and securities operations in a corrupt manner at great expense to my family and me. I would shift my deposits to banks and companies that were trying to do something beneficial on Main Street. **I closed my accounts at Citibank and JP Morgan Chase and moved my bank deposits to a community bank in Tennessee.**

Since that time I have traveled around this and other countries speaking and networking with thousands of people. What I hear wherever I go is that we are voting in the marketplace as consumers for the very banks whose actions we say we detest. How many times have I heard activists tell me that they cannot switch their bank accounts to well-managed local banks because their ATM fees would increase or their interest on savings would decrease? **Apparently, we are prepared to finance and support a group of banks complicit in stealing \$14,000 per American resident from the federal government so long as they kick back \$25 a year to us in the form of saved ATM fees and incrementally higher interest rates. It's one thing to be apathetic and go with the convenient flow of dirty money. It's another thing entirely to fail to price your kickback at anything close to a free market level. If someone steals \$14,000 from you and then kicks back \$25, do you continue to support them? Apparently, the answer is "Yes."** The day we understand the power of our opportunity to decentralize and "re-price" our banking business by taking it away from the Wall Street banks listed above, and banks like them, something magical can start on Main Street.

Care to Join Me in Switching Banks?

On July 4th, 2004, my colleagues and I are launching the Solari Circles Campaign. Initially, we are calling for 600,000 people Worldwide to join us in closing our checking accounts, certificates of deposit, credit cards and other business out of the banks complicit in dirty money scandals and moving them to local, well-managed, community-friendly banks, savings and loans and credit unions. Why 600,000? We estimate that 600,000 is 1% of 1% of our Worldwide population. Since our financial system is highly leveraged, a relatively small shift (on the order of 1% of 1%) in customers from big banks to local financial institutions can cause a dramatic decentralization in political and economic power. As a former partner and member of the board of directors at a major Wall Street investment bank and then Assistant Secretary of Housing in the first Bush Administration, I am amazed when people tell me that they feel helpless or that they have no power. I know from personal experience that we have significant power as consumers to impact the policies of financial institutions that exercise so much control over our government and communities. I know what can happen the minute even a small group of consumers starts "voting with our money" in the marketplace. I also know that we can actually make money by "pushing the red button." In financial terms, if we finance communities with equity while we bring transparency to government investment by place, and reengineer that investment to ensure optimal human and financial performance both by place and by function, we have the potential to bring the US economy back to a significantly more productive level.[34] In layman's terms, if we shift our purchases, banking and investing to the local level, change how small businesses and farms get financed, and at the same time transform how government money is invested at the local level, we can transform from a negative to a positive return on investment economy. That means we can pay off, convert to equity and eliminate or forgive significant amounts of debt and cleanse our system of governance of the dirty money that is pushing us towards warfare, environmental damage and a significant reduction in our Constitutional freedoms. Whatever your spiritual, moral or ethical practice, my colleagues in the Solari Action Network and I invite you to make a

commitment to start now to "vote" with your bank deposits for the values that you wish to govern our World and to do so in a manner that enhances your personal financial security.



If you are a Buddhist, ask yourself, "Where would the Buddha bank?" If you are a Muslim, ask yourself, "Where would Mohammad bank?" If you are a Confucian, ask yourself, "Where would Confucius bank?" Ask yourself, "Where would Moses bank?" If you are a Christian, ask yourself, "Where would Yeshua-Joshua bank?" Whatever your spiritual or ethical tradition, ask yourself where the person of the highest integrity in history would bank if he or she were alive today. Then look in your wallet and in your checkbook and ask yourself if you have an opportunity to vote with your banking business to build a better World. A World that is in alignment with people, dolphins, trees, all other living things and the true best interests of small and large investors. A World that is safer and more prosperous for you and your family and your retirement savings. Imagine the magical things that can happen when we take the time to understand and cleanse our own money. Imagine how magical things could be when enough of us tip the scale to the point that shareholders of JP Morgan Chase and Citibank start to insist that these banks should cleanse their money and operations to win back our business or the business of the companies we also pressure to switch? Indeed, feel free to buy a few shares of their stock so that you can go to their annual meetings and express yourself on these matters of vital interest to all of us. At Solari, we welcome any financial leader to join us in devising ways to generate new profits and capital gains with clean money and honest values. We invite JP Morgan Chase and Citibank to participate in a new way of doing business. We will continue to encourage global depositors to withdraw their accounts until we have created rich incentives for them to do so.

Until that time, we look forward to the energy that can be created on Main Street when the honest bankers and credit union leadership and their investors and supporters who have been struggling to attract our business can start to enjoy the fresh new resources that come their way as we shift our deposits and our attention. Imagine their delight at having the resources they need to revitalize struggling small businesses and incubate new startups.[35] Can you imagine what happens as we start to create a new generation of banking leaders who can make money from bringing some good old fashioned transparency, accountability and integrity to our financial system? All those times that I have sat in the board room or at the highest levels of government arguing for the ethical solution, what I would have given to be able to say that the customers would back me up in the marketplace! Is switching our banking business enough to fix all our problems? No. Are their many additional things we need to do? Yes. However, it all starts by incrementally switching our financial and market flows back into the hands of those we can trust. This will pave the way for migrating to digital gold or community currencies and other profitable initiatives in our area. Let's switch resources now to prime the pump and begin an honest conversation about all the opportunities that could be next. If you believe that you have an opportunity to better reflect your values in how you transact your banking business, please join the Solari Circles Campaign at <http://solari.com> for some financial fireworks on July 4th and beyond as we embark on cleansing our economy, and our World, together! For more on the Solari Circles Campaign <http://www.solari.com/campaign/july4th.htm>

FOOTNOTES: 1. This article originates from the Christian tradition. We invite you to ask this question for Buddha, Mohammed, Moses or the spiritual presence most in your heart and to transform the intent into words that speak to you in your own traditions. 2. While the financial networks in and around the Vatican and related networks and societies such as the Jesuits and Opus Dei, are not known for financial transparency, starting points include stories related to Vatican banking scandals, including the laundering of Nazi monies and the Banco Ambrosiano scandals. See, Rupert Cornwell, "Sex, Fraud, Money Laundering: All Roads lead to Rome," . and God's Banker: The Life and Death of Roberto Calvi (New York: HarperCollins Publishers, July 6, 1984). The role of tax exempt endowments in the corruption of our markets and government has become increasingly illuminated with revelations about the role of the Harvard Endowment and its involvement in the Enron scandals, Russian money laundering, Harken-related insider trading and HUD fraud. See, "Negative ROI Economy, Articles and Documentation," http://www.solari.com/gideon/articles_risk.html and, generally, articles published on the Harvard Watch website, available at <http://www.harvardwatch.org>. 3. For excellent examples describing organized crime spanning corporate, government and mafia networks, see European Union lawsuit against RJR Nabisco at <http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0211/S00167.htm>, The Real Deal on Enron by Daniel Armstrong, <http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0304/S00031.htm> and Kevin Phillip's book, American Dynasty 4. See, links on Dark Alliance and Mena allegations regarding government sponsored narcotics trafficking at the "Stanley Sporkin, Bio & Selected CIA Iran Contra Background," <http://www.solari.com/media/SporkinBio.html> and bibliography at Catherine Austin Fitts, "Narco Dollars for Beginners," The Narco News Bulletin,, available online at <http://www.narconews.com/narcodollars1.html>. 5. See, links at the "Stanley Sporkin, Bio & Selected CIA Iran Contra Background," <http://www.solari.com/media/SporkinBio.html> and bibliography at Catherine Austin Fitts, "Narco Dollars for Beginners," available at <http://www.narconews.com/narcodollars1.html>. 6. See, "A Negative Return on Investment Economy - Articles & Documents," at http://www.solari.com/gideon/articles_risk.html. 7. See, "Transcript of Interview of Greg Palast, Journalist for BBC and Observer, London, "Alex Jones Radio Show (March 4, 2002), available at <http://www.solari.com/gideon/privatization030402.html>, and Catherine Austin Fitts, "The Myth of the Rule of Law," available online at <http://www.solari.com/gideon/articles/q301.pdf>. 8. See, Chris Sanders, "Where is the Collateral?" republished from Scoop Media (October 28, 2003), available at http://www.whereisthemoney.org/S00223_collateral.htm. 9. See, Chris Sanders "Independence Day Special -- So, Where is the Collateral?"(July 5, 2004) available online at <http://www.sandersresearch.com>. 10. See, "Transcript of Interview of Greg Palast, Journalist for BBC and Observer, London, "Alex Jones Radio Show (March 4, 2002), available at <http://www.solari.com/gideon/privatization030402.html>

Your and Your Children's Birth certificate has been Sold to the International BankLords

How? Well, your birth certificate has been sold for nothing to the International bankers as collateral on the loaning of money into the economy (that's where the expression "collateral damage" comes from, the name of a Schwarzznegger movie). Since the money is put in circulation on OUR credit (our and our children's future labors are what make the money worth something) guaranteed by our rulers' ability to tax us which is why our government's signature is required on everything. As it stands, the private Federal Reserve System is built on the complicit cooperation of the people (ignorance), i.e. consensual **slavery in bondage to the BankLords family dynasties!** Remember, the credit money that the BankLords create from nothing and without liability to themselves is actually a stock issued using the country's or the World's peoples future productivity as collateral and this stock can be redeemed for future goods and services but at a fraction of its value compared to the date it was created because of inflation caused by this corrupt system. Do you know of any other company that issues stock using others' future goods and services as collateral? Do you know of any company that charges you interest on the stock it issues?

How Could A DOLLAR MELTDOWN Occur?

"The U.S. dollar is a 'faith-based currency' dependent on the credibility of a central bank" --- **Dallas Federal Reserve Bank President Richard Fisher**

In short? 4 of every US dollar belongs to a foreign country. If the world decides to cash it in, also known as "sell it" - every dollar you have will be worth 25 cents. But the US is in debt for trillions. So we just really don't want to think about that. It doesn't, of course, just rest on faith, it rests on fear. When the federal reserve says it "will do what it takes to maintain its credibility" it means it will bomb the hell out of anyone who tries to stop them doing business FED Style. One reason to not have "faith" in the US dollar is the limits to growth, not to mention Nuke 'Em All Diplomacy. Also known as, "which one are we going to fear most?" Argentina got IMFed and their currency went through the floor. So a buck will go a loooooooooooooong way there.

Chris Laird (<http://www.financialsense.com/fsu/editorials/2005/1004.html>) reminded us that it wasn't that long ago that Argentina in 2002 imposed something similar to "you are only allowed to take out 5k per month from your bank account, it's a new law" because the Argentinean peso collapsed and people wanted to take their pesos and sell for dollars. The Argentinean government just told the banks, don't let them pull out their money. Freeze the bank accounts. The people with money in the bank... just had to watch... and starve. There was rioting in the streets for months. Sound far fetched for the US? In the early 30's the US declared a bank holiday amidst having bank runs and bank collapses, after the crash of 1929... and subsequent ensuing depression.....and the US outlawed gold holdings then.... ordered people to turn it in, and gave them the US exchange rate 20 bucks or so, then soon after raised the gold price in USD to 35 bucks.....

Suppose the US entered a dollar crisis where, say the dollar is collapsing via foreign currencies.... Say just for example, against the YEN. Then millions of people would want to buy Yen, and the US govt would probably just say, "you cannot take out more than 5K per month out of your accounts. IE they freeze your bank accounts, so you cannot go and buy Yen. People worry about hyperinflation. But currency crises also come and have come to the US even, and in Dec 2004 we were flirting with pre stage events that could have boiled into a dollar crisis, when the USD was dropping fast late 2004. So whether it's hyperinflation with a resultant mad rush to buy anything real, or a currency crisis where there is a mad rush to buy any currency other than the USD, people with large amounts of cash are asking for big trouble. All the government has to do is freeze or semi freeze your bank accounts, and they have already done this in the past. People will think, well gold etf's will do well. Perhaps. But don't you think the US government would be kinda ahead of that curve??? All they have to do is suspend trading, or use a bank freeze to prevent you from getting any money over into that etf anyway, and later when things really get nasty, after the etfs have scarfed up enough gold.....you get my drift? after that then say, "for national security" we are taking "custody" of the etf gold vaults.



They will say it's for the protection of the gold, but all it really is is confiscation. All an etf is to me is a vehicle where the public buys all the gold, then the govt seizes it later. Happened before. And it will probably happen again. The govt might say" here is x dollars, but we're taking the gold". A week later gold sells for three times as much on the black market, and the dollar buys exactly one third as much food, gas and so on.....you have the dollars but all of a sudden they just don't buy much. "They would never do that" you say. But didn't I just tell you that that is PRECISELY what happened in the 1930s??? The US govt outlawed gold ownership for the public, took the gold coins out of circulation, and issued all fiat dollar notes.....and took all bank deposit boxes of gold as well, and if you went into a bank to open your deposit box, you had to do that IN VIEW of a federal marshal.... If he saw any gold he forced the owner to hand it over for a specified number of fiat bank notes.... If that happened to gold and gold currency in the 1930s why can't that happen today??? What would keep a gold ETF out of that picture? Of course, if you have that gold stashed here and there, not in a bank.... maybe you'll be ok. There is nothing that equates to 100 pct security. But if you have lots of bank accounts, I wouldn't bet on them keeping you safely out of financial harm's way in such a scenario.

There are many ways a currency crisis could unfold for the US. One main one would be selling of UST bonds. That effectively would be dollar flight, first out of TBonds into dollar cash, then out of dollar cash into say, Yen. Or Yuan, or

Euros....Now at the moment I don't see this happening but it definitely can. In such a scenario, if other foreign central banks did not all join to support the USD, it would be very possible that the USD could collapse not in weeks, but possibly in a day or even if things got hot, in an hour. Electronic money is so fluid that markets could be flooded in only an hour. Then the US would have to halt trading here, but of course there are foreign markets. I doubt the US could get the international forex markets to close too... in which case, the collapse would continue. One of the things that concerns me is that the World really has geared their finance on the US consumer, and the US housing boom. Of course they now have their own property booms, but a lot of that started here. Actually, if you want to really trace the present finance boom Worldwide we can thank the Japanese, who started this latest mess in the 1990's following twin stock and property boom/collapses and countered that with zero interest rates, but not only for a year or two, but for a decade. Now the World is infected with the imbalances of that ill advised strategy, and the YEN carry trade was the conduit for massively low interest rates World wide. The fact that the US initiated its own virtually zero interest rate environment, post the tech crash and 9-11, was only a second phase of an already well under way World liquidity boom started by Japan.

At that point, when the US started its own version of ZERO interest rates (zero at least in real rates, if a 1 pct Fed target rate isn't low enough), the World got entrained in the Japanese low interest finance disease via the USD conduit. Now we are really in a mess. REALLY IN A MESS. The US housing boom is peaking. We still have statistics indicating that its going strong, but also clear indications that it is slowing. It is my view that should the US consumer slow decidedly, there will be little incentive for the Chinese, say, to keep supporting the USD. They may decide at some point to go ahead and try to focus on their Asian markets, as might Japan, figuring that the US is toast anyway for a decade. Should that happen, I am sure we will be looking at a very serious possibility of a real bonafide USD crisis. Basically they would be saying, the US consumer market is maxed out, and not worth saving. Back in Dec 2004, I wrote at Gold-Eagle that I believed the Chinese would not unpeg the Yuan until late 2005 because they would not mess with the US consumer since that was their biggest customer. It would only be when the housing boom was slowing that they would even consider meaningful Yuan revaluation. They have made their first move in July 2005, albeit a very small one. Probably more than anything else that move was due to pressure from the US with all the threats then of anti China bills in Congress. Now I believe we need to look at the view that, should the US housing boom slow and the US consumer pull back decidedly, the USD gravy train for Asia has no more gravy left.

I believe that the US housing boom is peaking. Therefore I believe there is a risk now of a real USD crisis, or semi crisis. There is one major caveat though. The USD is still so strong vis-a-vis as the World reserve currency, that that might make all parties involved not even think of doing anything to harm the USD. But the possibility of major pullbacks of USD holders remains anyway. And, as I said, the scenario where they dump US treasuries is only ONE scenario. There are many such scenarios. Another would be the derivatives mess collapsing. That is so fraught with liabilities that it is, as I said before, the single greatest black box risk to the US and World economy..... and could result in a USD crisis as well, as the Fed might find itself having to infuse trillions (yes I said trillions) to stem the next major derivatives crisis. That would probably lead to a currency crisis. As a matter of fact, would you believe that China and Russia and other nations right now are making bold moves into all sorts of derivatives markets themselves??? WHEW! The World is speculation happy! Most definitely. In any case, there are many scenarios that can lead to a USD crisis. I don't think those scenarios are all voluntary ones either. It is possible a USD crisis could ensue, not as a result of some definite decision of foreigners to pull out of the USD, but could ensue as an accident, possibly of derivatives.....Now recently, I wrote the article "something is wrong, something is up, possibly a crisis" In that I stated that gold's recent rise was too fast for the recent behavior to be only due to some usual economic news, but rather looks to me like there is a hidden crisis....I pegged derivatives as suspect number one. That argument has now showed itself in many financial publications. (not necessarily the derivatives component). We still are not clear on what crisis is at hand, but I suspect there is one. The problem is that if it remains hidden then by the time you know about it, it will be too late for you to make any moves.....This is why I keep saying be in PROTECTIVE MODE.

[How the BankLords Enable Drug Money Laundering](#)


Remember how ex-LAPD officer Michael Ruppert exposed John Deutch, the CIA head at that time, during a 1997 LA town hall meeting after which Deutch had to resign to cool down the news of the revelations by Michael (view the video of the Town Hall meeting <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=416734229712600729> <http://www.copvicia.com> <http://www.fromthewilderness.com/>). As long as the drug lords recycle their drug dollars into the Federal Reserve Banking system (and as long as the oil exporters to the same), they are protected by the CIA. Notice how big name South-American drug traffickers have met with high-ranking US politicians including the Bush's and the Clinton's (see recently deceased Sherman Skolnick's exposes <http://www.skolnicksreport.com>). Notice how Noriega was snatched when he asked too much of a cut from Bush for the drug trade. Notice how the Taliban were removed after stamping out the Afghan drug trade and how now under US occupation, the Afghan drug trade is at its peak again. Now from <http://www.apfn.org/APFN/MONEY.HTM>

The World's biggest banks and multinational corporations have set up a shadowy system to secretly move trillions of dollars—a system that can be exploited by tax evaders, drug runners and even terrorists. Ernest Backes, circa 1981. Ernest Backes exposed this dubious system and has launched a personal crusade for international oversight—earning him some high-powered and dangerous enemies. Explosive Revelation\$, http://web.archive.org/web/20050306054746/http://www.inthesetimes.com/issue/26/10/feature1_1.shtml



Ernest Backes, Explosive Revelation\$, part 2 http://web.archive.org/web/20050306054746/http://www.inthesetimes.com/issue/26/10/feature1_2.shtml

CLEARSTREAM: "THE RIVER THAT WASHES" HOW DOES THE SYSTEM WORK?



[The CITY OF LONDON \(Londonium Square Mile\) modeled on Babylonian/Roman times](#)

Although the modern system of local and regional government can be directly traced back to Babylon, when in King Nebuchadnezzar's time (605-562 B.C.), the city was divided up into ten distinct regions or districts ruled by princes, under whom were mayoral governors, captains, judges, treasurers, councilors and sheriffs – in modern times the system of local government that we have throughout the World is derived exclusively from the City of London Corporation. The City of London Corporation is a Masonic, private, independent, sovereign state occupying approximately one square mile within the heart of the greater London area inside the old Roman walls of Londonium. It either directly or indirectly, controls all mayors, councils, regional councils, multi-national and trans-national banks, corporations, judicial systems (through Old Bailey, Temple Bar and the Royal Courts of Justice in London), the IMF, World Bank, Vatican Bank (through N. M. Rothschild & Sons London Italian subsidiary Torlonia), European Central Bank, United States Federal Reserve (which is privately owned and secretly controlled by eight British-controlled shareholding banks), the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland (which is also British-controlled and oversees all of the Reserve Banks around the World including our own) and last but not least, the communist European Union and communist United Nations Organization.

The Temple Church was built by the Knights Templar in two parts: the Round and the Chancel. The Round Church was consecrated in 1185 and modeled after the circular Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem. The Chancel was built in 1240. The Temple Church serves both the Inner and Middle Temples (see below) and is located between Fleet Street and Victoria Embankment at the Thames River. Its grounds also house the Crown Offices at Crown Office Row. This Temple "Church" is outside any Canonical jurisdiction. The Master of the Temple is appointed and takes his place by sealed (non-public) patent, without induction or institution. The supreme ruler of the City is the Lord Mayor who is elected once a year and lives in the Mansion House. The City has a resident population of about 5,000 that rises to about two million during the week when people surge in and out each day to work. The financial centre of the World, it is often termed the 'wealthiest square mile on earth.' The full title of the Square Mile's governing body is the 'Mayor, Aldermen and Commons of the City of London in Common Council Assembled.' The Court of leadership consists of the Lord Mayor, 25 Aldermen and 130 Common Councilmen. All of the giant, largely European Ashkenazi/Khazarian (masquerading as Jewish) international banks and corporations in the City of London that control the World are members of one or another of the Twelve Great Livery Companies domiciled in Guildhall (or the Hall of the City of London Corporation). As the result of a 'gentleman's agreement' between the sovereign and the City merchants and bankers made many hundreds of years ago, the Lord Mayor is officially head of the Corporation and is allowed to operate independently of the sovereign. However, the wealth of the World held in the Corporation ultimately is the sovereign's, because, should the gentleman's agreement break down, the sovereign has the power to "rescind" the Corporation's independence. The Queen sometimes refers to the Corporation as "The Firm."

While ostensibly the power of the monarchy appears to be diminishing as the Queen voluntarily gives her Commonwealth countries their independence and they become republics chartered to the United Nations, and she actively works toward abolishing the sovereignty of Britain as the UK is broken up and divided into regions of the European Union – her City of London Corporation multi-national banks and corporations are quietly taking over the World (e.g. all of the big banks and companies in both New Zealand and Australia are either directly or indirectly City of London-controlled). In ancient times the City marshals and sheriffs were employed to ensure that all the "council" rates and taxes were paid to the City on behalf of the king. After the conquest of William the Conqueror in 1066, who first brought the European Babylonian Jewish bankers to London from France, the Jews developed written credit agreements for the King, (in French called "mort-gages" – mort 'death' gage 'bond') and it was the marshal's and sheriff's jobs to ensure that all the interest payments of these "death-bonds" were paid to the Jews on behalf of the King. During the reign of Richard I (the Lionheart) after the serious downturn in the economy as the result of the cost and tax impositions of the Crusades, many farmers, business people and peasants had defaulted on their "mort-gages" throughout England. As the result, the Jews promptly commenced seizing the commoner's property for not paying the interest, rates and taxes to the City and King. Subsequently, a rapid increase in hate against the "King's Jews" was initiated. This led to the massacre of common Jews at York in 1190. However, the BankLords posing as "Jews" always survived with increased wealth. New York in America was later named by British Jewish immigrant bankers in memory of the event.

For 100 years the commoner's hate against the "King's Jews" fermented until 1290, when, under pressure from the people, Edward III [Longshanks] finally suspended the Mayoralty and reluctantly banished all Jews from his kingdom when 16,000 left England – and didn't begin to return until around the reign of Elizabeth I (1558-1603) when the enormous power of the City really began to accelerate with the opening of the World's first stock exchange in London – and has continued unabated to the present day. While there have been rare occasions when the Lord Mayor and Commalty of the City, as a result of their colossal wealth and power have been able to subtly out-manuever the monarch, as to their cost, Richard II, Charles I and James II were to learn to their fate – generally speaking this has been the exception rather than the rule. Ultimately, whoever successfully rules must have the "will" of the people. Historically, in the City many although certainly not all monarchs, have ruled with the "will" of their subjects. But rarely, if ever, have the bankers, rich barons or knights been respected in this position. More often than not they've been consistently hated. Even when monarchs have done a poor job, provided they have still had the "will" of the people, the wealthy bankers' position has been extremely "perilous" to say the least. After forty years of misrule by Henry III, the Lord Mayor, Thomas Fitzthomas (1261-1264) and the Aldermen defied the king. On this particular occasion the Lord Mayor ended up being thrown into the Tower where he died. Henry III vetoed nine Mayors in his long reign, and jailed another who died in prison.

On one occasion the threat to the Lord Mayor's massive wealth and power has not come directly from the monarch, but from the people themselves. Mayor Nicholas Brembre (1383-1385) had been a king's man during the peasant's revolt, and was knighted by Richard II (similar to Sir Barry Curtis, Mayor of Manukau City in New Zealand today) for curbing the ambitions of his uncle, John of Gaunt. But Brembre had few friends among the common people having deposed the popular Mayor Adam Stable, and when he re-imposed the hated Poll Tax which had caused the revolt, the mob turned on him. He was given a mockery of a trial and was then hanged, drawn and quartered. Understandably, therefore, from the time of Richard II most of the "Lord Mayors" in the City of London and others in the realm became very "cautious" and "hesitant" about any proposal which could be seen as an "unreasonable demand" to levy rates or taxes for the City and king. However, today these events have all but been forgotten. From the time of William the Conqueror in 1066 up to the time of the Reformation the City of London Corporation was Roman Catholic. (The modern global "company" and "corporate" business system that we know today grew out of the old Roman Catholic dioceses in England which were the World's first "corporations"). During the reigns of Henry VIII (1491-1547) and Elizabeth I (1558-1603) when the Church of England, knights and barons took over the assets of the Roman Catholic Church in England, the City then became Protestant. Gradually, as the people of England apostatized and turned away from the Protestant King James Bible and Christianity in general, both Roman Catholic and Protestant, in the late 1800's the City and Monarchy became rabidly Synarchist =Socialism =Monopoly Capitalism =Fascism =Communism =Fabianism =Nazism =Marxism.

The religion of Synarchism is based primarily on the teachings of the pagan Greek philosopher and writer Plato, and especially his book The Republic, in which 400 years before the time of Christ he dreamed of a "World Republic" headed not by a president, but by a royal "World philosopher king" or "prince" (like himself of course!). Both Karl Marx and Hitler were great students of Plato. It is only inevitable that the planned reformed United Nations and EU will one day be headed by this "Philosopher Prince." Synarchism officially first began in 1880 in London when H. M. Hyndman founded the Rose Street Club which was dedicated to the destruction of Christianity in England. In 1884 the group changed its name and came to be called the Social Democratic Federation. Its early members deceptively called themselves "Christian Socialists." Later the group's membership included the European Jews, Karl Marx's daughter, Eleanor Marx and her husband Professor Aveling. Behind the scenes the group was largely controlled by Engels, Karl Marx's partner. Because Hyndman would not obey the orders of Engels, Eleanor Marx and her husband split off with William Morris the poet and others and started an opposition group which they called the Socialist League.

On January 4, 1884, members and past members of the Social Democratic Federation, the Socialist League and others founded the Fabian Society. The first meeting of the Fabian Society was held at the home of Mr E. R. Pease, a member of the London Stock Exchange. Two of the leading members were George Bernard Shaw and Sidney Webb. Other early members were Eleanor Marx, theosophist and occultist Annie Besant, and author H. G. Wells. The name of the society was suggested by the Spiritualist, Frank Podmore, who named it after the brilliant, elderly, third century Roman general, censor and consul, Quintus Fabius (Maximus Verrucosus) (303-203 BC) who was made a dictator in 221-217 BC and, with

his small band of fighting guerillas and superior cunning, successfully defended Rome by defeating Hannibal's much bigger and mighty Carthaginian army through "gradualism" and "terrorism" during the time of the second Punic War. Initially he kept to the hills and cunningly hampered the enemy's progress by cutting off their food and supply lines with "delaying tactics" until Rome could assemble enough men to defend the city successfully. During the war, his slow, "gradual," delaying tactics were greatly disapproved of by his soldiers and the civilians and earned him the name of 'Cunctator' – the 'Delayer.' But later, after the triumph, his skill and wisdom was highly appreciated. He died in his 100th year in 203 BC.

Fabian Socialism is a "mixture" of Fascism, Nazism, Marxism and Communism all bundled together. However, it is much more deadly because it is much more clever and subtle. The only difference between Fabian Socialism and Communism is that Communists take your house by directly sending in the "secret police" to knock your front door down – Fabian Socialists do it much more subtly and cleverly – by "gradually" taking your individual rights away, by "gradually" increasing property taxes and rates, and finally, when you can't pay them, they send in their regional "council tax inspectors" to take your house away – but the end result is the same. British PM Tony Blair and President George Bush Junior's globalist "war on terror" is a classic Fabian Socialist strategy. The philosophy of the Fabian Society was written in 1887 and included the statement: "The Fabian Society acknowledges the principal tenet of Marxism ... the abolition of private property ... etc." (of course this does not apply to the elect oligarchy at the top who end up owning the lot!).

Sidney and Beatrice Webb published a book of 1143 pages in defense of Bolshevism. It was entitled Soviet Communism: A New Civilization. In April 1952 the Webbs were exposed before a US Senate Committee on the Judiciary when Soviet Colonel I. M. Bogolepov, a former Red Army officer, stated that the entire text had been prepared by himself in the Soviet Foreign Office. Appropriately, the defiant coat of arms of the Fabian Society (commissioned by author/playwright co-founder George Bernard Shaw) today (now archived) is a "wolf in sheep's clothing." Until recently it also appeared on the Fabian glass window (now removed) in the Beatrice Webb House at Dorking, Surrey. Today the Fabian Society is among other things the intellectual wing of the British Labour Party. Before Tony Blair became British Prime Minister in May 1997, he was Chairman of the Fabian Society. Since the 1997 British general election there have been around 200 Fabian MP's in the House of Commons, some of whom have formed almost entire Labour Cabinets including Gordon Brown, Robin Cook, Jack Straw, David Blunkett,, Peter Hain, Patricia Hewitt, John Reid, Ruth Kelly, Alan Milburn, and Clare Short. Headed by Tony Blair, Fabians now dominate the entire British government. They are resident in all parties and sit on all important select committees, commissions and organizations allied to the government. A good web-site on the subject is: www.lindsayjenkins.com .

The Fabian Society literally controls the European Union. German-born Gisela Stuart, the Labour MP for Birmingham Edgbaston since 1997, and member of the House of Commons Foreign Affairs Select Committee, was one of two House of Commons' Representatives on the European Convention and a member of the Presidium of the Convention on the Future of Europe. The Presidium was the drafting body that created the draft Constitution for Europe. In her book, The Making of Europe's Constitution, published in December 2003 by the Fabian Society, p. 20-21, Gisela writes: "In the early months, the Presidium members would meet in a small room in the Justus Lipsius Building some fifteen minute walk from the European Parliament. Attendance was limited to the thirteen members, the Secretary General Sir John Kerr, his deputy and the press officer. Sir John Kerr, a former Permanent Secretary of the British Foreign Office, conducted the proceedings inside the Presidium and in the plenary sessions of the Convention with deft diplomatic skill as might be expected from someone who John Major called 'Machiavelli' in his autobiography. The best description of his talents I heard was: 'When Kerr comes up to you and asks for the time, you wonder why me and why now?' ...On several occasions, we would retreat to the Val Duchess – a small palace used by the Belgian foreign minister. It was at one of the dinners at Val Duchess that the skeleton of the draft constitution was given to members of the presidium in sealed brown envelopes the weekend before the public presentation. We were not allowed to take the documents away with us. Just precisely who drafted the skeleton, and when, is still unclear to me, but I gather much of the work was done by Valery Giscard d'Estaing and Sir John Kerr over the summer. There was little time for informed discussion, and even less scope for changes to be made."

Today the Labour Parties in both New Zealand and Australia are closely affiliated to the Fabian Society in London. When Australian Labour Party Prime Minister Bob Hawke (a Rhodes Scholar), was guest of honour at the Fabian Society's Centenary Commemoration Dinner in Melbourne on May 18, 1984, he said: "... I gladly acknowledge the debt of my own government to Fabianism. Earlier I dealt at some length with the principle of the inevitability of 'gradualness.' There is another important idea, a method more than a principle – which becomes closely associated with Fabianism. Sydney Webb called it 'permeation.' Today it would be called 'consensus.' Webb put it this way: "Most reformers think that all they have to do in a political democracy is to obtain a majority. This is a profound mistake. What has to be changed is not only the vote that is cast, but also the mental climate in which Parliament and Government both live and work. That I find to be an accurate description of the approach I and my colleagues have tried to bring to the affairs of the nation in our first term of office." In June 2001, at the Federal Government joint standing committee on treaties inquiry into whether Australia should support a statute of the UN International Criminal Court which would affect the "sovereignty" of all Australians, when criticized by an Australian patriotic group, Labour Party Senator Chris Schacht, sarcastically exclaimed he had been a member of the Fabian Society for 20 years – and further, said; "You probably were not aware that us Fabians have taken over the CIA, KGB, M15, ASIO (Australian Security Intelligence Organization), IMF, the World Bank and many other organizations."

In the last century, members of the British Fabian Society dynastic banking families in the City of London financed the Communist takeover of Russia. Trotsky in his biography refers to some of the loans from these British financiers going back as far as 1907. By 1917 the major subsidies and funding for the Bolshevik Revolution were co-ordinated and

arranged by Sir George Buchanan and Lord Alfred Milner. [no doubt using money from Cecil Rhodes' South African gold and diamond legacy - Ed] The Communist system in Russia was a "British experiment" designed ultimately to become the Fabian Socialist model for the British takeover of the World through the UN and EU. The British plan to takeover the World and bring in a "New World Order" began with the teachings of John Ruskin and Cecil Rhodes at Oxford University. Rhodes in one of his wills in 1877 left his vast fortune to Lord Nathan Rothschild as trustee to set up the Rhodes Scholarship Program at Oxford to indoctrinate promising young graduates for the purpose, and also establish a secret society [Royal Institute of International Affairs RIIA, which branched into the Round Table, the Bilderbergers, the CFR, the Trilateral, etc -- Ed] for leading business and banking leaders around the World who would work for the City to bring in their Socialist World government.

Rothschild appointed Lord Alfred Milner to implement the plan. At first the society was called Milner's Kindergarten, then in 1909 it came to be called the Round table. It was to work closely with the London School of Economics founded in 1894 by Fabian Socialist leader Sidney Webb (Lord Passfield). Today former Rhodes Scholars (such as Bill Clinton), Fabian Business Round Table members, and graduates from the London School of Economics (the primary Fabian Socialist training school in the World) dominate the global banking, business and political systems in every country. The British Fabian Society plan to takeover the World by the City of London financial community was first published in a book entitled All These Things by a New Zealand author and journalist, A. N. Field. The book was first published in 1936 by Omni Publications in the United States (and censored in New Zealand). The document, called "Freedom and Planning" was secretly circulated in 1932 by the inner councils of the members of the Political Economic Plan, otherwise known as "P.E.P." in London. The then chairman of the organization was a City of London European Jew, Israel Moses Sieff (one of the founders and owners of the Federal Reserve), who was the reputed author of the plan. The headquarters of P.E.P. were at 16 Queen Anne's Gate, London. Mr Sieff was also chairman and financier of Marks and Spencer's chain stores and vice-president of the British Zionist Society.

Centered around City of London Jewry's international financiers in the Bank of England subsidiary, the Bankers Industrial Development Company, the essence of the document "Freedom and Planning" was (and still is) to gradually "Sovietize" the World based on their "Five Year Plan" inaugurated in Moscow in 1927-28 in the Soviet Union. Basically the plan involved the subtle transfer of the entire productive capacity of each country throughout the World into a series of great "State-owned" departments, which would then be "corporatized", then "privatized" to City of London Corporation International banks and corporations which they control. Individual property ownership would be severely restricted, with most of the land, sea, fisheries, rivers, lakes, ports, railways, communications, media, roads, electricity, energy, food, water, waste management, housing, farms, commercial property, schools, hospitals, police, social welfare, Inland Revenue etc. transferred into statutory corporations, companies or land trusts which indirectly would be owned by City of London banks. The "peasants" would still be allowed to own their own clothes, and small assets like furniture, cars and boats etc., but the main assets of each country would be owned by their multi-national corporations and banks. In essence the City of London Corporation would become the "One World Earth Corporation" and would privately own the World. Similar to the experiment carried out in the USSR, the whole World would eventually be transferred into a Communist "United Nations" World Soviet Socialist Republic, where each country would be "regionalized" and ruled through "Regional Councils" through a United Nations dictatorship called a "Parliamentary Assembly" which would be just another name for a Soviet "Central Committee" - and all independent, sovereign, national governments would be totally abolished.

As the result of the P.E.P. Plan originally formulated in 1932, right now every country's "State assets" (owned in trust by the State on behalf of the people) are being frantically "privatized" by City of London-controlled banks and corporations primarily under the directions of two leading Fabian Socialist writers - Sir Roger Douglas and John Redwood. Sir Roger Douglas's book Unfinished Business and John Redwood's book Public Enterprise in Crisis are the primary handbooks being used by central and local government finance ministers and officers all around the World to sell off each nation's "family silver" and State assets - with the more "sensitive" public assets being transferred into Fascist-type Public-Private Partnerships (PPP's) which are designed to make the public masses and peasantry "think" that they have some degree of control - when in reality they have none as the real ownership of the assets are held by the City of London banks and corporations who fund them. Until relatively recently, John Redwood was head of N. M. Rothschild & Sons London global Overseas Privatization Unit that is coordinating the entire global privatization process. Sir Roger has been contracted as a consultant by City of London Banks, the World Bank and others to advise on national privatization programs as well.

All of the countries in the World currently are being "regionalized." Presently, for example, the whole of the United States is being "regionalized" and the EU Committee of the Regions, based in Brussels, is "regionalizing" every country in the European Union. As the result of this radical "regionalization" process, Britain has now already been effectively abolished, having been divided up into 9 separate regions of the EU, plus Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. This cunning process, which is being "gradually" implemented to destroy the power of the central national government in each country, is commonly referred to as "Devolution" by the Queen and Fabian Society. Unlike the rest of the autonomous regions in the UK which, like most of the other regions in the EU that have become virtually powerless through their representation in the European Parliament which is now only a "talking shop", the City of London Corporation as a separate region by itself within the Union now rules it. This is because all of the Commissioners are appointed (not elected) to the European Commission by City of London-controlled business leaders and bankers in their respective countries. Right now throughout the UK all city councils and regional councils are dramatically increasing their rate demand on their constituent's properties, while at the same time they are quickly expanding their debt levels for unaffordable capital works programs via loans from City of London banks - which policies are deliberately intended to prepare for the councils' "privatization"

whilst transferring the local government in each country to "regional councils" – which ultimately will become or be controlled by "Regional Parliamentary Assemblies," identical to the old structure in the former Soviet Union – which first regionalized then abolished the national governments before they set up their republican socialist police state.

In New Zealand, the blatant UN "Sovietization" of the entire country was implemented by the Hon. Dr. Michael Bassett, Minister of Local Government, through the Local Government Amendment Act (No.2) in 1989. This radical act reduced more than 600 different local authorities throughout the country to 94: 13 regional councils, 74 district or city councils and seven special authorities. This new structure of local government deftly created by the act divided the whole country up into 14 "Soviet-styled" regions governed by 13 regional councils and a district council (with the Airborne Region being unique in that its district council was also to become the regional council for that area.). Under the 1989 Act, the district and city councils were designed to continue to provide essential services such as water supply, roads, sewage disposal and rubbish collection (which all ultimately would be "privatized" or transferred into "Public-Private-Partnerships (PPP's)" which would finally end up being foreign-owned) and the regional councils would take over many of the responsibilities performed in the past by many special purpose authorities formerly carried out by such agencies as catchment boards, harbor boards, pest and noxious plant authorities etc. Ultimately, this devious plan is to transfer many of the Central Government tasks and responsibilities to regional councils as well, which will be further subtly rationalized to become or be controlled by "Sovietized" Regional Development Agencies and Regional Parliamentary Assemblies – to rule their unwary serfs and peasants under strict Communist United Nations and Marxist EU socialist law. These processes are now well advanced and are almost complete in Britain, and are accelerating in all other countries, including the United States. One could say that the Fabian Socialist global "cake is about 95% baked!"

In 1992 at the "communist" United Nations Conference on the Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, co-chaired by former Soviet Union president Mikhail Gorbachev and N. M. Rothschild-London agent Canadian billionaire Maurice Strong, the UN unveiled a radical environmental philosophical agenda which "inverted" the traditional values reflected in the Bible, Magna Carta and US Constitution (which put man under God at the head of his creation and dominion i.e. a man's rights were to have superiority over those of animals, fish, plants, trees and forests etc.). At the Earth Summit in Rio, an old pagan concept was introduced which "inverted" all of our existing, constitutional, democratic, personal and property rights and values espoused by Christianity – and transferred them to the environment and the religion of mother-earth Gaia worship. In this religion, a tree becomes more valuable than a human being. A rare bird more valuable than a hospital. This United Nations program of action unveiled in Rio was called Agenda 21. It is 300 pages long and is very complex. Primarily it is designed to be implemented with other radical UN documents such as the Global Biodiversity Assessment (1100 pages), promoted by the UN Conference on Human Settlements, Habitat II. The first Habitat conference was held in 1974 and specifically identified private property ownership as a threat to the peace and equality of the environment. It proposed to revolutionize the development of the land and cities of each country under strict "Soviet-style" environmental guidelines, called "Sustainable Development." The UN's communist secret agenda through "environmentalism" and "sustainable development" is very cunning and has deceived a lot of well-meaning people. Most people genuinely want to protect the environment and ensure that the earth's resources are "sustainable" for future generations there is no doubt. But the communist goal of "sustainable development" and "environmentalism" has absolutely nothing to do with protecting the environment or sustainability – it is all about abolition of property rights, and ultimately, collectivization of housing and farms under corporate State control.

Under this system, farmers and property owner's rights would be effectively extinguished and overridden by strict Environmental and Sustainable Development resource consents and laws. They would be told where they could farm, what "sustainable" land they could "develop," (sustainable development) what trees they could plant or cut down, what fertilizer if any they could apply, and they would need "consents" and licenses for everything under the sun. City dwellers would be in the same dire predicament, and have their homes confiscated, or they'd be severely fined, if they cut down a heritage tree, washed their car, boat or dishes using detergent, or used the privatized corporation's water when they shouldn't, especially if they were nabbed under their friendly "Neighbourhood Watch Scheme," which scheme, incidentally, was first implemented in the Soviet Union. Socialism is very subtle. The penalty for cutting a tree down without the appropriate government consent would become worse than murder. Not only would you have to license your dog, to own a dog you would have to be licensed too. Farmers would need to be licensed to operate their collectivized farms, spray weeds, care for cattle and drive their tractors under new Soviet-styled "health and safety" laws. All tradesmen and professional workers would have to be accredited and licensed, as would all Christian pastors and churches, and any other persons or institutions that could be likely to criticize their Soviet bosses. All potential young parents would need to have a license to have children, and if there was any family genetic weakness of some sort in their state-controlled doctor's medical records, no license would be given. In the end you would need a license or permit to take your boat on a lake, take your kid fishing off a wharf, or travel between towns or cities. In other words – full-blown Marxism.

The United Nations policy of "Sustainable Development" introduced in 1992 at the UNCED at Rio de Janeiro, and implemented through Habitat II and the UN World Commission on Environment and Development – is taken directly from the USSR Constitution, chapter 2, article 18, which reads: "In the interests of the present and future generations, the necessary steps are taken in the USSR to protect and make scientific, rational use of the land and its mineral and water resources, and the plant and animal kingdoms to preserve the purity of air and water, ensure reproduction of natural wealth, and improve the human environment." Not only was N. M. Rothschild agent, Maurice Strong, Secretary-General of the UN 1992 Rio Earth Summit, he personally worked with UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan to appoint three of his own Earth Charter Commissioners to the 12-man advisory panel of the Johannesburg Summit. In September 1987, the 4th World Wilderness Congress was held in Denver, Colorado, USA, which established the World Conservation Bank. The congress was setup by none other than London's (late) Baron Edmond de Rothschild, chairman of Banque Privée

Edmond de Rothschild, Geneva, Switzerland, and one of the trustees of the International Wilderness Foundation that sponsored the conference. Approximately 1500 of the World's most powerful bankers and leaders attended the congress, which was chaired by Rothschild agent and Canadian multibillionaire, Maurice Strong. At the congress, Edmond de Rothschild designated eminent financier I. Michael Sweatman to be the first president of the World Conservation Bank. Sweatman wrote the forward of the banks charter.

Leading insiders of the biggest banks and UN agencies in the World were present, including Maurice Strong "Mr Sustainable," David Rockefeller head of the Chase Manhattan Bank "Mr Development" and Mr David Ruckleshaus head of the UN Environmental Protection Agency "Mr Environment" – of course! The World Conservation Bank is destined to become the final World Bank and the "de-coupling mechanism" for City of London parent banks to take over the assets of every country of the World. The essence of their secret plan is this: After an orchestrated period of global financial chaos triggered by a major war in the Middle East or man-made state of emergency or natural disaster, in which most of the World's banks will be deliberately collapsed in the process, (wiping everybody's savings out in the crash), key City of London banking parent creditors, are going to takeover all the "mort-gages" (death-bonds) and assets of the World, and transfer them to the World Conservation Bank. The plan is very esoteric and cunning, and very difficult for most to understand. Already most government treasury departments are preparing for such an event. As part of the preparation for this momentous event, all of the World's individual currencies are to be merged into two or three major currency groups, two of which are the euro and US dollar. Finally, these currencies are to be replaced with the World Conservation Bank's new electronic global currency, the "Earth Dollar." This new currency is deceptively to be issued against the collateral of 34 per cent of the Earth's surface that is presently being transferred into huge UN Heritage Parks and Conservation areas in every country across the globe, under the crafty deception "Sustainable Development." In short, the biggest banking conspiracy and deception ever to face mankind!

George W. Hunt, (95 Camino Basque, Boulder, Colorado 80302, U.S.A.), a US businessman, attended the congress and produced a video about it exposing their wicked and incredibly deceptive plans. On his video he plays excerpts of key speeches recorded at the congress. One such speech was made by David Lang, a leading US financier and close personal friend and business partner of Maurice Strong, who said: "When the auditor finally gets his hands into the balance sheet, I suggest therefore that this be sold not through a democratic process. That would take too long and devour far too much of the funds to educate the cannon fodder unfortunately which populates the earth. We have to take an almost elitist program that we can see beyond our swollen bellies and look to the future in time frames and in results – which are not easily understood or which can be, with intellectual honesty, be reduced down to some sort of simplistic definition." "CANNON-FODDER!" – this is what these leading, arrogant, banking conspirators of the UN "Sustainable Development," "SmartGrowth," and World Conservation policies think of the World general population – including all New Zealanders. THESE are the wicked men that all the millions and millions of naïve local/ central government politicians and business leaders throughout the World are now following. The New Zealand government has already met its commitment and transferred over 34 per cent of the entire country's land area into UN Heritage Areas and Conservation Parks under this deceptive plan. New Zealanders need to wake up and wake up fast. The main business facilitators and organizations of the UN Sustainable Development policies in the Asia-Pacific Region are the Pacific Rim Institute of Sustainable Management, the NZ Business Council for Sustainable Development and the Melbourne-based Sustainable Investment Research Group (SIRIS). Equity in this group, SIRIS, coincidentally, is held by IOOF Funds Management and broking house JBWere – that provide research for N.M. Rothschild & Sons' Ethical Share Trust based in London.

The 1995 session the United Nations General Assembly passed a number of rules. Rule 61, 62 and 63 gave local government, civil organizations and private citizens the right to participate directly in the development and implementation of these documents. Directed by the IMF, World Bank, UN, and Prince of Wales International Business Leaders Forum, the philosophy of "sustainable development" basically says that there are too many people on planet earth and there are not enough resources to go around. What we need to do is urgently reduce the population, preserve, conserve, and "ration" the remaining resources – and that the United Nations is the only body that can do it. The World Bank already has a huge statistical database on countries and individuals – what they produce and what resources they consume, water, energy, food, raw materials, heat, waste, health, social services etc. If the net figure is a plus, they are considered to be good productive World citizens. If it is a negative, they are in line for liquidation. These are all basically the same old Socialist/Communist ideas as the "Marxist/Leninist" philosophy and "planned economy" that permeated the old Soviet Union. In 1992 at Rio, another key "Soviet-styled" strategy was proposed by the Agenda 21. Programme of Action from the United Nations Conference on the Environment and Development (UNCED) was "SmartGrowth." This agenda can be found in a UN companion book called Global Biodiversity Assessment published by Cambridge University Press. It proposes to model all the cities of the World on the Israel Moses Seif P.E.P plan, and the "planned" economic system of development used by Lenin and Stalin under the old Communist Soviet system of local government. The UN "SmartGrowth" legislation in the United States was first passed in the State of Maryland in March 1997. Since then, it has been gradually introduced by city and district councils all around the World – including our own here in New Zealand. Of course, rarely if ever will you hear of the policy coming from a foul brood of UN international bankers. While virtually all of the general public are oblivious to this fact, usually individual councils will be happy to credit themselves as authors of the plans.

Based on a City of London corporate banking formula, a policy to provide for "more appropriate rates of remuneration for council members" was planned for in the Local Government Act (No.2) 1989. This was essentially recommended to effectively "bribe" City Council, District Council, Regional Council and Community Board members throughout New Zealand, particularly in the bigger councils, to implement the Fabian Socialist policies from the Central Government and Treasury which aimed to dramatically increase property rates and taxes in the future, whether ratepayers or constituents

agreed with them or not. Subsequently, these sweeping remuneration changes were proposed by the politically appointed Higher Salaries Commission on April 2002 increasing Auckland Mayor John Banks annual salary from \$47,007 to \$146,107, a pay rise of \$99,100, Manukau Mayor Sir Barry Curtis a pay rise of \$35,111, Hamilton Mayor David Braithwaite a pay rise of \$27,381, Auckland Regional Council Chairwoman Gwen Bull a pay rise \$37,872 and Tauranga Mayor Jan Beange a pay rise of \$9461. Since April 2002, it is not just a coincidence that these are precisely the councils that have been amongst the biggest culprits who have demanded the most onerous rates rise from their struggling citizens. For example, Tauranga City's proposed average rate rise for the 2006-2007 year was leveled at 16.3 per cent (when inflation, wage rises, benefits, pensions etc. and the change in the consumer price index was running at about 3 per cent). Even a five year old kindergarten child knows that this huge disparity is entirely unfair and "unsustainable" for the majority of their citizens, yet these highly paid Lord Mayors, Councilors and UN vassals of the realm have the cheek and audacity to call their plans "SmartGrowth" and "Sustainable Development."

Just as there is a "close relationship" between the remuneration rises of leading local body politicians with the overall level of council rate-rise demand, so there is a "close relationship" between the level of council rate-rise impositions and the financial status of people living in each council ward or constituency. The Fabian bankers already "own" the properties held by ratepayers with a "mort-gage" on them. This includes all private homes, farms, businesses and commercial property, local and central government debt. All young people with student loans and welfare beneficiary groups also come under this category. By and large central bankers believe this group is not a worry as they are already under their strict control and firmly in their grip through welfare dependency or mort-gage "death-bond" fealty. But the one group that Fabian Socialists hate the most are the "freehold" property-owners. Hence, this group, more often than not, is the "middle class" that is predominantly comprised of middle-aged citizens and more particularly pensioners who are generally the most asset-rich. As a result of this phenomenon, all global residential property taxation and ratepayer tax policies are now being subtly targeted against these particular groups to confiscate all their properties. Essentially the Fabian City of London banks envisage this to be achieved through a variety of measures including onerous council rate-rises, capital gains taxes on more expensive residential properties, stamp duties, the introduction of Home Equity Release Loans (usually for over-60's), aged health care, social welfare and superannuation programs that debit the cost from the value of recipient property estates, the introduction of Residential Equity Taxes and attacks against Family Trusts. Since council taxes were introduced in Britain in 1993, the average council bill has increased by 121 per cent – but it has soared by 149 per cent in areas which have a lot of older residents. Chichester is the worst offender with a 173 per cent increase. The average bill in the West Sussex town, where 23 per cent of residents are retired, has jumped from £456 in 1993-1994 to £1,244 for 2005-2006. In the Dorset coastal town of Christchurch, where pensioners make up 30 per cent of the population, the bills have soared by 171 per cent from £441 to £1,193. Of the 20 local councils in England with the highest numbers of pensioners, 18 have seen their bills rise faster than average over the past 12 years, according to the research by Halifax Bank. (Daily Mail, Saturday, November 26, 2005). The targeting and planning of these exorbitant rate rises comes not primarily from local councils, but from Central Government and Treasury officials through the Minister of Local Government and the Department of Internal Affairs acting under various OECD or UN agency guidelines.

Early in 1994 submissions were called for on Department of Internal Affairs plans to repeal the 1956 Local Authority Loans Act and for new borrowing powers to be placed in the 1974 Local Government Act. Up to 1994, most local authority borrowing had to be approved by the Local Authorities Loans Board, which ensured that all long-term borrowing by councils for a capital work or asset had to be secured by future rates revenue. Since some loans were exempted from the control and monitoring of the Board, such as redemption loans, loans up to \$50 million for local authorities with populations more than 20,000, and loans up to \$15 million for local authorities with populations of less than 20,000, it was proposed that the Local Authorities Loans Board should effectively become redundant – and new laws should be implemented which would allow all local authorities to manage their financial costs and risks themselves with details of their proposed borrowing programs included in their annual plans. This conspiracy got its start or rather vital shot in the arm in South Africa through the financial empire of Cecil Rhodes and his secret society, administered by Lord Alfred Milner, the dean of the concentration camps.

This article provides proof that the present genocidal attack on the Boers is but a continuation of the Anglo-Boer War of 1899-1902. The Boers have become the first Western nation to succumb to this system. Hopefully, we will be the first to come out too. This article was written specifically for New Zealand, but it is clear that this exact process is going on in South Africa, from the "Freedom Charter" which declares that Whites will not be allowed to own any property, the exorbitant taxation of whites, to the Blacks in Government being bribed with large salaries, mismanaging municipalities, taking out of large loans, privatization of the Railways, Posts and Telegraphs, ESCOM, SASOL, ISCOR, etc, the "Public-Private-Partnerships (PPP's) being created by Oppenheimer's De Beers and blacks like Cyril Ramaphosa, the Ministers of Minerals of Botswana and Namibia etc.; and of course the Johannesburg Summit.

Summary

In summary, the current banking and credit system which evolved from the Babylonian Nimrodic and Pharisaic setup is enslaving the masses under the servitude of the Illuminati banking dynasties (Rothschild, Rockefeller, etc.) who have usurped the power of issuing/withholding credit at no liability to themselves by using the born and unborn masses' productivity as collateral and plunging all into eternal debt and tribute/homage payments in the form of usury. Total enslavement is coming soon unless these modern power, control and wealth predators and Pharaohs with their Zionist Luciferian Masonic collaborators in the military-industrial-government complex are stopped.

The elite BankLords dynasties have usurped the power of issuing/withholding credit from the people. They issue/withhold credit at no liability to themselves by using the born and unborn masses' productivity as collateral. **The innocent people around the World are assuming these liabilities. The fiat money debt is passed from generation to generation. The money the BankLords create at no liability to themselves is redeemable for any goods or services produced by anyone willing to accept the private Federal Reserve dollar currency notes and cheques. I (Banklord) Promise To Pay (Nothing To) The Bearer On Demand.** Token or fiat money is a credit "point" system. This type of money is actually stock whose value keeps decreasing due to dilution and corrupt credit issues. The dollar now is only a share counter with no fixed commodity value. Even inflation is taxed! INFLATION = INEFFICIENCY + WASTE + DISHONESTY + CORRUPTION. The masses pay tribute/homage payments in the form of usury where an estimated 80% of production goes to the BankLords in visible funds (taxes, mortgage, etc.) and invisible funds (built-in to the price of goods and services). With this immense power, BankLords buy whatever is required to keep this cash cow parasitic system growing for them (buy out think tanks, media, politicians, mafias, tax exempt foundations, plant infiltrators, stage acts of terror, fund immorality, divide the masses and conquer, etc.) and further their monopoly-capitalism system model = communism = fascism. Only a handful of nations are not under the financial control of the BankLords.

One of the most remarkable statements comes from British Lord Sir Josiah (John) Stamp, former director of the Bank of England and 2nd richest man in England at that time: "The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of slight of hand that has ever been invented. Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the earth; take it away from them, but leave them with the power to create credit, and with the stroke of a pen they will create enough money to buy it back again. ... If you want to be slaves of the Bankers, and pay the costs of your own slavery, then let the banks create money."

Fr. Denis Fahey, ca. 1944: "...Under the World's present financial system the money, except for a now trifling portion, is originally created by the issue of a loan at interest by the "bankers", who lend nothing of themselves but in effect make a forced levy in kind on the Nation by conferring on the borrower the power to purchase a corresponding amount of wealth on the market, which wealth does not belong to them, or those who borrow from them, but to the community. The proceeds of the issue of new money - whether of paper or any other form of credit money - belong to the Nation in which it is, or is accepted as, legal tender, and not to the issuer. Herein lies the basic flaw of the existing monetary system."

What did Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, (The Synagogue of Satan or Lucifer or Holder of The Light hence Satanic Luciferian ILLUMINATI) mean 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.**

"Give me control over a man's economic actions, and hence over his means of survival, and except for a few occasional heroes, I'll promise to deliver to you men who think and write and behave as I want them to." - Benjamin A. Roope

"Money is Power", or shall we say, "The Monopoly to Create Credit Money and charge interest is Absolute Power".

In order to maintain & expand their stranglehold on the issuance of credit money and keep the World safe for their amassed power and wealth (the natural animal instinct of guarding one's territory I guess), the Illuminists and their key-positioned enforcers or stooges have always worked (since the days of Babylon when Nimrod tried to subvert the Prophet Abraham) towards tyranny:

- **Keep the masses distracted and busy while amassing control behind the scenes.**
- **Central Bank domination of national economies: Bank of England, Bank of France, Bundesbank, Federal Reserve, etc.**
- **Centralization of regional economies: European Monetary Union, NAFTA, etc.**
- **Centralization of the World economy: IMF, World Bank, B.I.S. (Bank of International Settlements), GATT, etc.**
- **Centralized World Governments: UN, EU, etc., i.e. total enslavement and fascist tyranny by them.**
- **Monopolization of the World's gold: IMF controls two-thirds of the supply about 700 million ounces (he who controls the gold makes the rules). They control the money supply.**
- **To destroy all independent banks, nation states, the family and all religions and will not allow any other system than their slavery based fiat financial system to prosper.**
- **To replace them with their World monopoly central bank(s), their one-World totalitarian tyrannical government, their central military (a.k.a. NATO) and their enforced Illuminati Luciferian Pharisaiic Satanic Occult worship. The seat of this N.W.O. government is to be Jerusalem.**

- **To implement this plan or conspiracy, they have to project deceptive fronts of capitalism, democracy, religion and anti-Semitism behind which they carry out their plans (Orwellian tactics from the book "1984").**
- **They have to keep the people enslaved and fighting with each other in their financial system of privately created interest-bearing money.**
- **They have to keep the people distracted and fighting with each other over nations and religion in a final effort for the masses to hate all nation states and religions and accept the Satanic Occult Illuminism. The Illuminists especially don't like the true Torah, Bible and the Quran because these books forbid USURY, Idolatry, Slavery, adultery, etc.**
- **They will create divisions among a unified mass of people, attach labels to groups of people by creating stereotype and then to demonize entire groups of populations, and to keep groups distracted from the real problems and keep them fighting among each other.**
- **To subvert, undermine, distort and corrupt the Guidance from God such as in the books of the Torah, the Bible, the Quran, etc.**
- **They also have to trigger successions of wars and societal problems after which people will be so demoralized that they will become very submissive to the idea of a one-World totalitarian government.**
- **They also have to reduce World population (down to 1 billion according to some researchers) which can be done via targeted micro-biological warfare (note that since September 11, 2001, 44 World-class micro-biologists, who could both create and cure killer viruses and bacteria, in the United States, Canada, Israel, Russia, etc. have met early deaths strange accidents or supposed suicides).**
- **Where there's war, there's also mega-money for the Illuminati Bankers.**

The elite agenda has always been to grab the wealth of the whole World by playing with the masses. Remember, European Elites not so long ago, i.e. up to the 19th century, were still running a master-serf-slave system! And remember the days of slavery in America not so long ago!

The US Dollar is created from nothing by the privately owned Federal Reserve with no liability to its owners. The dollar's value is elastic and not fixed. The amount of paper private Federal Reserve notes (US \$) in circulation is about \$600 billion (US Government notes Greenbacks in circulation left over from the good old days is about \$300 million). The amount of annual US\$ trade deficit is about \$700 billion (5%). The amount of US Government federal/state/local annual deficit is about \$1.5 trillion. The amount of US Government federal/state/local debt is about \$15 trillion and increasing annually at the rate of \$1.5 trillion (about \$2 trillion is municipal bonds). The total amount of debt to the Federal Reserve and local banks is about \$50 trillion and growing (\$1.3 Trillion in US mortgages, \$2.5 trillion in Federal government bonds). The amount of US\$ currency asset holdings (cash and US\$ accounts and T-bills) by foreigners is about \$3.5 trillion (\$2 trillion in Treasury Bills-Bonds out of which \$1.3 trillion is by foreign central banks) and growing at the rate of \$700 billion annually. These are waiting to spent in the US at some future time. The US\$ currency asset that circulates in the US is \$1.8 trillion. What the United States owes the rest of the World minus what the rest of the World owes the United States amounts to more than \$3 trillion, and it's still growing. The oil trade results in the annual consumption of \$1.5 trillion (oil @ \$50 per barrel @ 84 million barrels/day trade) and much of this is recycled into US Treasury bills (at gunpoint, shock and awe). M3 (currency bank notes & coins in circulation + personal chequing accounts + current accounts at banks + personal savings accounts + other chequing accounts + term deposits + non-personal deposits requiring notice before withdrawal + all deposits at non-bank deposit-taking institutions + money-market mutual funds + individual annuities at life insurance companies but excluding other types of mutual funds & CSBs) is around \$10 trillion and growing at 11% annually. If the float of US dollars decreases because no one wants to buy more US treasuries as the Fed creates more fiat money (aka helicopter money), inflation will increase and interest rates will be forced up. This will increase taxes, increase the cost of basics, hurt the owners of old low-coupon bonds, hurt stocks, hurt housing prices and other bubble markets. Rising inflation and falling asset prices will turn the World of investing upside down! Inflation works in favor of the owners of the Federal Reserve as the value of debt owed to dollar holders decreases. By 2001 foreigners owned a whopping 43% of all outstanding Treasury debt. That amounts to a \$1.2 trillion overhang that can be called at a moment's notice. This leaves the United States vulnerable to a foreign vote of no-confidence. America's debt load is 414% greater than the national income, or three times what the debt ratio was in 1957. The nation's debt has soared in the past decade. More than two-thirds of today's domestic financial sector debt was created in the 1990s. In the last decade debt was growing more than three times faster than the economy. It is currently over 34% of GDP.

Dr. R.E. Search, "Lincoln Money Martyred", Omni Publications Hawthorne, California. 1935 (Reprinted 1977): Consider this: "One penny loaned January 1st, AC 1, drawing interest at the rate of 6% compounded annually, on January 1st, 1895, would amount to: £8,498,840,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000. To pay this in gold at the rate of 1.5 grams of gold to the pound sterling, using it in spheres of pure gold the size of the planet earth, would take: 610,070,000,000,000,000 such spheres to pay the debt.


The money in circulation today, i.e. paper currency or bank accounts, is all debt-usury-based, and created from nothing; it is actually a futures based system where the banks create a futures contract known as "currency" and charge interest on this contract. You and I are the ones against whom the future's contract is issued because we will have to provide the goods or services to be redeemed upon presentation of the currency! People, government and business' trade these futures contract stocks for goods and services within a certain jurisdiction. In the case of the US\$ currency, the US banks have convinced other banks, either via the use of military force, military threats or by bribing third World politicians who are willing to betray their people, to use the printed US\$ currency as a reserve, never to be spent. Should these 3rd World countries including China decide not to hold the US\$ currency, and decide to spend it in the US, massive inflation would

ensue and these countries would hardly get their "money's worth" in any case. The entire system from the bottom up must be changed because the currency today represents an I-owe-U, i.e. a promise-to-give some service at some future time should someone be willing to accept this currency in exchange for some goods or services that he/she can provide. This currency is "fiat", i.e. not representing an existing secured collateral such as gold or silver, but a future collateral, indebting the present generation to future labor and future generations as well, and the value of this futures stock is continuously going down because of corruption when the currency stock is issued for unproductive projects or gifts to operatives of the BankLords and when outsiders finally start to cash-in the currency stock they have been holding for real goods and services on the promise to pay. **Basically, the BankLords will keep getting richer and meaner, and the rest of us will keep getting poorer and leaner and further enslaved.**

The economic system under the guidance from ancient philosophers and religious scripture does not allow the charging of interest on the exchange of identical commodities and calls it usury. Due to the usury based system practiced during the past 4,000 years, wealth has been centralized in a few hands and life has been made miserable for all through this concentration of wealth and land ownership into a few hands. Wars are instigated to save the usury based system and to keep its owners' wealth and power. In a usury-free system:

- Governments represent the interests of the masses and not the infinite interests of the usurers' Banking Dynasties and massive corruption is reduced
- Governments issue debt-free and usury free currency
- Children are born debt-free (a child born today inherits a Federal/State debt of \$50,000 at least)
- taxes don't exist
- inflation doesn't exist
- banks don't exist for the purpose of lending money but rather for the purpose of safekeeping valuables and managing share issues
- wealth is proportional to work performed and not concentrated into a few hands
- people are better off

Shocked? Think about this: **it was calculated that as recently as 1989, that the average person was spending nearly 80% of his income, directly or indirectly, on usurious payments.** In other words our society is in the grip of an evil that has been condemned from the earliest recorded times. Today it is in a form so refined that most people do not even know it. Does being anti-usury mean, therefore, that we cannot own houses, or buy toys for children, or go on holiday or do the 1001 things that all do regularly? Not at all. It is quite the reverse, because in a system free of usury, prosperity grows and expands to the benefit of all - except bankers, and Stock Exchange swindlers, and loan sharks, and speculators. **In other words, a usury-free society is one in which 99% of the people gain at the expense of the 1% who exploit; while a usury-dominated society means working for the interests of the 1%, and suffering all kinds of hardships to make ends meet.**




However, the neo-Nimrods and Pharaohs Banksters will keep on trying to convince the masses otherwise, as they have been doing for the past 4,000 years. In addition to monetary reforms, we will have to confiscate the \$300 Trillion worth of properties that have been illicitly acquired by the Banking Dynasties throughout the 4,000 years of deception. They have managed to again enslave the World financially, and mentally through propaganda and fear tactics in the major news media which they own (or control of politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it), misleading or conflicting statements (half-the-truth or the truth-mixed-with-lies is a full lie!) which really confuses people and puts them in doubt; once in doubt, a person accepts the status quo and the New World Order propagandists have won over the person's mind for all practical purposes! It seems that people oscillate between the idolatry of occultism and the worship of God.

To get a complete picture and to get rid of the propaganda baggage and really understand in depth the current World situation and the subversion/distortion/corruption of the masses and Godly religions, one must also study the history of the activities of the secretive organizations and clubs sponsored by the Illuminati dynasties such as the Mason/ Zionist/ Chabad - Lubavitchers/ AshkeNAZI/ Jesuit/ Bolshevik Brotherhoods, the CIA, Mossad, MI5 & MI6, RAW, French/ German/ Spanish Intelligence units, infiltration into the major religions by these groups' operatives, the various mafias (especially Russian, Japanese Yakuza, US, etc.), the Fabians, the CFR (Council on Foreign Relations), the Trilateral Commission (TC), PNAC (project for the new American century), the NSA (National Security Agency), the Bilderberg Club, the Bohemian Club, the Knights of Malta, the Knights Templar, the Vatican, the Rosicrucians, the Kaiser Foundation (German Americans who planned to make Nixon a Dictator), etc., the history of US/CIA/Zionist/Sevak war crimes, the history of the Occult from ancient Kabbala, Sumerian, Babylonian (Nimrodian Babylonian slavery Masonry) and Egyptian (Pharaonic slavery) times, the history of the Crusades, and the relevant verses from the Torah, Bible and Quran, about the People of Israel (Jacob) and The Temple of Solomon and the Tower of Babel, history of money and the financial systems especially the private Bank of England/HSBC and the private Federal Reserve System, the history of assassinations such as JFK and other Kennedys, Martin Luther King, Malcolm X, Princess Diana, bloodlines of US Presidents and European nobility, History

of Fake Terror & the Burning of Rome /Lusitania /Reichstag/Pearl Harbor/Vietnam Gulf of Tonkin/9-11/etc. staged deceptions/fake terror, the history of the control of the news media, World-wide corporate frauds, and the history of election frauds and Fascist laws.

In this expose, we are showing how the Brotherhoods of the Masonic/Zionist Illuminati Mega-Banking/Financial Dynasties/Empires have usurped the power of the people's government to create money from nothing and how they have been behind the wars/genocides during the past centuries. Along with the "G.O.L.D." (Gold, Oil, Land, Drugs and Arms) Barons, they profit before, during and after the wars (see Michael Ruppert's lectures/upcoming book <http://www.copvica.com>). International Zionism=Terrorism is turning Iraq into another one of the Israeli/US Occupied/Controlled Territories on its path to New World Order Fascist Control for the purpose of serving these Illuminatis, i.e. we are seeing a return to ancient Pharaonic/Nimrodic Tyranny (see Alex Jones's The Road To Tyranny video/book <http://www.infowars.com>). We hope that the Internet communications remain free for us to inform the masses otherwise these evil Dynasties/Empires will once more have a total monopoly on news (unfortunately, the elites are already working on plans to control our freedom over the Internet <http://msnbc.msn.com/Default.aspx?id=3606168&p1=0> .A Net of Control Unthinkable: How the Internet could become a tool of corporate and government power, based on updates now in the works By Steven Levy Newsweek International).

Summary Explanatory Diagrams on Federal Reserve System Deception



From http://www.vaticanassassins.org/pope_fed.htm .The chart explains "the magic" (i.e. the fraud) as to how the Jesuit Order's Federal Reserve Bank ("Christ the King's Bank") creates trillions in assets for itself and trillions in debt for the "leveled" American population. Enslaved Americans (White, Black, Oriental and Latin, male and female, "rich and poor," from all ethnic and religious backgrounds), more accurately called "Federal Reserve people," compose the Papacy's "Holy Roman" Fourteenth Amendment American Empire. The purpose of the "Christ the King's Bank" is to build a "New World Order" with a "New Age" occult religion as envisioned by Satan ("Lucifer" before his fall prior to the Genesis creation week) in fulfilling the risen Son of God's permitted "Mystery of Iniquity." To this end New York City Archbishop Edward Cardinal Egan directs his Council on Foreign Relations to use its "Roman Government," seated in Washington,

D.C., ("Rome on the Potomac") to finance every policy decided upon within the "Think Tanks" of the Empire. These "Think Tanks" include Opus Dei's Heritage Foundation, formerly chaired by another accomplice to the Kennedy Assassination, Knight of Malta Frank Shakespeare.

DEVASTATING RESULTS OF FRACTIONAL-RESERVE BANKING

THE CURRENCY OF THE UNITED STATES IS NOT BACKED BY GOLD OR ANY OTHER "REAL ASSET". THEREFORE, IT IS A FIAT CURRENCY. THE GOVERNMENT MADE IT A LAW THAT THIS FIAT CURRENCY IS "LEGAL TENDER" FOR ALL DEBTS PUBLIC AND PRIVATE.

THE \$20, \$10, \$5 AND \$1 BILLS IN OUR WALLETS ARE NOT MONEY. **THEY ARE DEBT NOTES.** IF YOU WERE TO DEMAND LEGAL MONEY FOR THEM FROM THE UNITED STATES TREASURY, YOU WOULD GET SOME STRANGE LOOKS.

EVERY FIAT CURRENCY THROUGHOUT HISTORY HAS DEVALUED TO THE WORTH OF THE INK AND PAPER ON WHICH IT IS PRINTED, OR THE METAL ON WHICH IT IS COINED.

EXPANSION OF THE MONEY SUPPLY IS ACCOMPLISHED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE IN THREE WAYS:

1. BY MAKING LOANS TO MEMBER BANKS THROUGH THE "DISCOUNT WINDOW"
2. THROUGH THE "OPEN MARKET" MECHANISM (DESCRIBED ABOVE)
3. CHANGING THE "RESERVE RATIO" THE MEMBER BANKS ARE REQUIRED TO HOLD

AS THE MONEY SUPPLY IS EXPANDED, TWO THINGS RESULT:
1. THE PRICES OF GOODS INCREASE - INFLATION
2. THE VALUE OF THE FIAT CURRENCY DECREASES


INFLATION IS A HIDDEN TAX ON THE POPULOUS AT LARGE, AS THE PRICES GOODS RISE BUT THE QUALITY OF THE GOODS IS NOT SUFFICIENTLY ENHANCED TO JUSTIFY AN INCREASE.

THE LAWS OF SUPPLY AND DEMAND DICTATE THAT WHEN THE SUPPLY OF ANY GOOD (U.S. DOLLARS IN THIS CASE) INCREASES WITHOUT AN EQUAL OR GREATER CORRESPONDING INCREASE IN DEMAND, THE VALUE OF THE GOOD DECREASES.



Each numbered point on [the Federal Open Market System flowchart](#), such as , correspond with the numbers in the Narrative below. The phrase "Mandrake Mechanism" for the deception of the Federal Reserve banking system was coined by G. Edward Griffin in his landmark book "[The Creature From Jekyll Island: A Second Look at the Federal Reserve](#)". It refers to a comic strip character from the 1940s called Mandrake the Magician, whose specialty was creating things out of nothing, then making them disappear back into nothing.

1. The **federal government** decides it needs some money, so it adds ink to a piece of paper and creates designs on the paper.
2. The **federal government** calls the paper a Treasury Bond or Treasury Note. These are IOU's to **The Federal Reserve** ("the Fed").
3. To convert the IOU's into paper bills, the bond or note is given by the **federal government** to **the Fed** where it is classified as a Securities Asset.
4. To the **federal government** (in other words, you and me), the Treasury Note is debt. To **the Fed**, the Treasury Note is an asset because it is assumed the government will repay its debt. This assumption is based on the government's ability to fleece income tax payers. This Securities Asset can now be used to offset a liability, which **the Fed** accomplishes by turning on their printing press (actually, they use the Treasury BEP as a print shop), putting ink and designs on another piece of paper, and calling it a Federal Reserve Check. There is NO REAL ASSET MONEY in ANY ACCOUNT to cover this Federal Reserve Check. The Fed shareholders and governors avoid prison because Congress wants the money and this is the easiest way to create it.
5. The Federal Reserve Check is given by **the Fed** to the **federal government**.
6. The **federal government** endorses the Federal Reserve Check.
7. The **federal government** deposits the Federal Reserve Check into their bank account at one of the **12 Federal Reserve Banks** where it becomes a Government Deposit.
8. The Government Deposit is used to pay federal government expenses.
9. The federal government expenses are paid to many different **recipients**, such as businesses, entrepreneurs, etc, accomplished by writing Government Checks.
10. These Government Checks are deposited by the various **recipients** into their individual Commercial Bank accounts.
11. These deposits are called Commercial Bank Deposits, and are treated as assets by the thousands of **commercial banks**.
12. The Commercial Bank Deposits are reclassified by the **commercial banks** as Reserves. These Reserves are liabilities offset by the Commercial Bank Deposits on their accounting books.
13. Dependent on the "reserve ratio" determined by **the Fed** (for the flowchart, a 10% reserve ratio is used), the **commercial banks** are required to keep only 10% of their Commercial Bank Deposits on hand in case of withdrawal by account holders. The other 90% is considered "available for lending".
14. The Reserves that are available for lending are termed Excess Reserves. They generate a myriad of different Loans, which GREATLY EXPAND the money supply.
15. When the **recipients** of the loans deposit the loan proceeds into their bank accounts, the deposits are treated like new Commercial Bank Deposits, and the entire process repeats over and over and over again. The total fiat money generated by this mechanism is approximately 10 times the size of the original debt created by the **federal government** due to the 90% excess reserves. This is what the international bankers and economists call the beauty of their operation, but in reality it what our founding fathers warned would be our downfall
16. Will we ever get a president and representatives into our government who will realize and do something about this, or will we meet our fate as a nation? Only time will tell.




\$\$\$ THE MAJICK OF FRACTIONAL-RESERVE BANKING \$\$\$

The thousands of commercial banks in the United States are required to keep a certain percentage, for example, **10% of their deposits in "reserve"**. So, if a bank were to receive \$1,000,000 from the initial money created by "The Fed", they must keep a minimum of **ONLY \$100,000** on hand as reserves in case customers desire to make a withdrawal. **This leaves \$900,000 that is termed "excess reserves"**.

Excess reserves are **THE KEY** for you to understand the fiat currency deception. These excess reserves are considered to be **"available for lending"**. As a result, the excess \$900,000, which are really the property of the person(s) who initially deposited in their account, are **converted into "bank loans"**.


These bank loans are made to you and me, entrepreneurs, businesses - any entity that can convince that particular bank they have the collateral and financial stability to pay back the bank, with interest of course. A loan made to an entity is considered a **NEW ASSET** to the bank, and the 10% reserve rule applies to these newly deposited funds.

This process repeats over and over and over, with the money supply ever increasing with each check the government receives from the Federal Reserve. Keep in mind that the 10% reserve rule can be changed to 5%, 2% or eliminated altogether, further increasing money supply. Who makes the decision to alter the percentage of reserves required to be held in banks? The Federal Reserve, of course.




THE FEDERAL RESERVE OPEN MARKET OPERATION

Follow the ARROWS



Commercial Banks Throughout the United States



IRS & CONGRESS, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED & PUT ON NOTICE THAT:

The private FED and their associated Commercial Banks **create credit currency from nothing** by monetizing promises to pay made by government/private/public corporations and individuals. This transfers the liability to current and future taxpayers while all profits are routed to the feudal BankLords. Many documentaries and books are available on this swindle which originated in ancient times in Babylon, Jerusalem and Rome (see The Money Masters video documentary <http://www.themoneymasters.com/> 2-volume, 3.5 hours, fast-paced, historical documentary explains how international bankers gained control of America, <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-2641342891593561909&pl=true> Masters of the Universe - The Secret Birth of the Federal Reserve by Daniel Hopsicker 43 min, and the Hidden History of Money free PDF download <http://www.lulu.com/content/165077> more links: <http://bb.domaindix.com/alexjames999>).

Henry Ford warns: "It is well enough that people of the nation do not understand our Banking and Monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a Revolution before tomorrow morning."

Carroll Quigley, Professor, Georgetown University, in his 1966 book entitled **Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World in Our Time** (President Clinton's mentor) writes: "The powers of financial capitalism had [a] far-reaching [plan], nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. ...Their secret is that they have annexed from governments, monarchies, and republics the power to create the world's money... This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the World's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank... Sought to dominate its government by its ability to control treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World." - Prof. Carroll Quigley, renowned, late Georgetown macro-historian (mentioned by former President Clinton in his first nomination acceptance speech), author of **Tragedy & Hope: A History of the World in Our Time**.

Strobe Talbot, President Clinton's Deputy Secretary of State, as quoted in **Time**, July 20th, 1992: "In the next century, nations as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority. National sovereignty wasn't such a great idea after all."

"Give me the control of the credit of a nation, and I care not who makes the laws." The famous boastful statement of **Nathaniel Meyer Rothschild**, speaking to a group of international bankers, 1912: "The few who could understand the system (cheque, money, credits) will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favours, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests." The boastful statement by Rothschild Bros. of London:

Lord Rothschild (Rockefellers are Rothschilds' relatives) in his book **The Shadow of a Great Man** quotes a letter sent from Davidson on June 24, 1814 to Nathan Rothschild, "As long as a house is like yours, and as long as you work together with your brothers, not a house in the world will be able to compete with you, to cause you harm or to take advantage of you, for together you can undertake and perform more than any house in the world." The closeness of the Rothschild brothers is seen in a letter from Soloman (Salmon) Rothschild to his brother Nathan on Feb. 28, 1815, "We are like the mechanism of a watch: each part is essential". (2) This closeness is further seen in that of the 18 marriages made by Mayer Amschel Rothschild's grandchildren - 16 were contracted between first cousins.

"Centralisation of credit in the hands of the state, by means of a national bank with state capital and an exclusive monopoly." **The Communist Manifesto**. In the case of the Bolshevik revolution, Rothschilds/Rockefellers' Chase Bank owned the state. In the US, the FED owners "own" the state.

IRS & CONGRESS, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT:

On December 23, 1913 the United States Congress passed the FEDERAL RESERVE ACT and by that committed the greatest act of TREASON in U.S. history. It surrendered the nation's sovereignty and sold the American people into slavery to a cabal of arch-charlatan bankers who proceeded to plunder, bankrupt, and conquer this nation with a MONEY SWINDLE. The "money" the banks issue is merely bookkeeping entries. **It costs them nothing and is not backed by their wealth, efforts, property, or risk. It is not redeemable except in more DEBT paper.** The Federal Reserve Act forced us to pay compound interest on thin air. We now use worthless "NOTES" backed by our own credit that we cannot own and are made subject to compelled performance for the "PRIVILEGE." From 1913 until 1933 the U.S. paid "interest" with more and more gold. The structured inevitability soon transpired - the Treasury of the United States' government was empty, the debt was greater than ever, and the U.S. declared bankruptcy. Thus, FDR issued orders to make gold ownership illegal and confiscated all American Citizens' gold who were forced to turn it over to the owners of the Federal Reserve System Banks at \$20FRN/ounce. The FRN\$ (Federal Reserve Note Dollars a.k.a. US Dollars, read your dollar bill) to buy this gold were created from nothing. Once all the gold had been collected, FDR made it again available to the public at FRN\$33/ounce. **In exchange for using notes belonging to bankers who create them out of NOTHING on our credit, we are forced to repay in substance (labor, property, land, businesses, resources - life) in ever-increasing amounts. This IS the GREATEST HEIST AND FRAUD of all time.**

When a government goes bankrupt, it loses its sovereignty. In 1933 the U.S. declared bankruptcy, as expressed in Roosevelt's Executive Order 6073, 6102, 6111, and 6260, House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, confirmed in *Perry v. U.S.*, (1935) 294 U.S. 330, 381; 79 L.ED. 912, also 31 USC 5112, 5119 and 12 USC 95a. The bankrupt U.S. went into receivership reorganized in favor of 115 creditors and new owners. In 1913, congress turned over America lock, stock and barrel to a handful of criminals whose avowed intent from the beginning was to plunder, bankrupt, conquer and enslave the people of the United States of America and eliminate the nation from the face of the earth. The goal was, and is, to absorb America into a one-world privately owned commercial government. A "NEW WORLD ORDER." With the *Erie R.R. v. Thompkins* case of 1938 the Supreme Court confirmed their success. We are now in an international private commercial jurisdiction in colorable admiralty-maritime under the Law Merchant. We have been conned and betrayed out of our sovereignty, rights, property, freedom, common law, Article III courts, and our REPUBLIC. The Bill of Rights has been statutized into "civil rights" in commerce. America has been stolen. We have been made slaves, i.e., permanent debtors, bankrupt, in legal incapacity, rendered commercial "person", residents and corporate franchisees know as "citizens of the United States" under the so-called "14th Amendment," which was never ratified - see Congressional Record, June 12, 1967; *Dvett v. Turner* (1968) 439 P.2d 266; *State v. Phillips*, (1975)(affirmed) and created a citizenship for corporations (abstract) statutory entities, which are the products and definitions of the legislature and are fully taxable and regulatable thereby. (You may also want to study the Organic Act of 1871)

Thomas Jefferson's prophecy came to pass: "If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of currency ... the banks ... will deprive the people of all property until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered." Since 1933 what is called the "Unite States' Government" is a privately owned corporation of the Federal Reserve System/IMF. It is merely an instrument by which the banksters administer their ongoing rape of human freedom. All "public servants," officials, congressmen, politicians, judges, attorneys, law enforcement, States and their various agencies, teachers, etc., are the express agents of these "Foreign Principals*" who have stolen the country by clever, intentional, and unrelenting fraud, trickery, treachery, non-disclosure, misrepresentation, intrigue, coercion, conspiracy, murder, etc. * See Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938; 22 USC 286 et seq. 263a, 185g, 267j; 611(c)(ii) & (iii); Treasury Delegation Order #91. An insidious aspect of this is that "officials" like you may think you are "public servants," or upholding the "law," or other hoaxes.

In truth you are conscientiously and assiduously serving the archenemies of yourselves, your rights, your fellow citizens, continued human rights and life and freedom in general. YOU are seditiously administering the plunder, bankruptcy, impoverishment and injury to human life based upon crimes and lies of such magnitude, depth, and proportions as to be beyond human comprehension. By so doing, you are committing TREASON AND PERFDY so immense as "to make the angels weep." If you and your fellow "officials" do not understand the real situation you are ignorant, naive, deceived and conned. You are sheer dupes. If you do know and are parties to it you are guilty of evil and heinous "betrayal." You are in such case TRAITORS AND CRIMINALS. This invalidates your "authority" and renders NULL AND VOID absolutely, all moral obligation to pay allegiance or to obey the TREASONOUS SYSTEM you enforce with such mechanical avariciousness, viciousness and malice aforethought. If, You, "public servants" have any shred of humanity, awe, heart, clarity, sanity, access to your true being and conscience left, you would instantly resign and do everything possible to inform the American people of their plight and help us retrieve our rights and our country. Only by such means can you even begin to atone for your endless crimes against humanity and the lives you so arrogantly and mindlessly butcher with the "meat-grinder of the law."

You DID NOT CREATE the lives you "legally" assault. They DO NOT belong to you. Ignorance of the law (moral and natural law) is no excuse. You CANNOT engage in bringing harm to life, and claim that you were simply doing your duty and following orders. Moral and natural law are NOT obviated by ignorance, hubris and self-righteous militancy. Your entire system - from ground up - is DECEIT AND FRAUD. It is illicit in essence and ab initio. As Broom's *Maxims* 297, 729 put it: "A right of action cannot arise out of fraud." Honor is earned by honesty and integrity, not under false and fraudulent pretenses. The color of the cloth one wears cannot cover up the usurpations, lies and treachery. "When black is fraudulently declared to be white, not all will live in darkness." **More people are awakening to the truth.** What do you think the American people will do as they discover that they have no more country, that they are slaves to mortal enemies, that they have been tricked and betrayed by their "leaders" who sold them out? What do you think they will do when they realize that all their so-called "public servants" are willing or stupidly compliant parties to the plunder, subjugation and ruin of their lives and country?

Thomas Jefferson wrote: "An honest man can feel no pleasure in the exercise of power over his fellow citizens."

Abraham Lincoln said: "Just as I would not be a slave, neither would I be a master."

I will NOT participate in your corrupt, arrant and cruel FRAUD, either as perpetrator nor victim. The great Indian poet Tagore wrote: "Power takes as ingratitude the writhing of its victim."

I will no longer sit here and writhe. The TYRANNY over this nation MUST END! If you continue with this course, you will have natural and moral law and higher powers to answer to, not to mention all those you have wronged under the color of law. You also, will have your own laws turned against you, as you have turned the law against us. To transform the shield of protection into a sword of exploitation, subjugation and plunder is PERFDY. You have now been NOTICED. All further actions on your part will be willful.

DATED this _____ day of _____, _____

An American who demands his country back

Parting Quotes

When one who has been honestly mistaken is shown or told the truth, they will either stop being mistaken or cease being HONEST. Which will you do? If you have to bow down and let WRONG continue, then what is the purpose of your life? Never utter these words "I do not know this, therefore it is false." One must study to know, know to understand, and understand to judge..."No people will tamely surrender their Liberties, nor can any be easily subdued, when knowledge is diffused and Virtue is preserved. On the Contrary, when People are universally ignorant and debauched in their Manners, they will sink under their own weight without the Aid of foreign Invaders."

"When a bank lends, it creates money out of nothing." Statement of R.G. Hawtrey, former Assistant Under-Secretary to the British Treasury; in his book, "Trade Depression and the Way out".

"Banks create credit. It is a mistake to suppose that bank credit is created to any important extent by the payment of money into the banks. The bank's debit is a means of payment, it is credit money. It is a clear addition to the amount of the means of payment in the community." Statement in Encyclopedia Britannica, 14th Edition, under the Heading of Banking and Credit (Vol. 3, Page 48).

"If a nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The elements that make the bond good makes the bill good also. The difference between the bond and the bill is that the bond lets the money broker collect twice the amount of the bond and an additional 20%. Whereas the currency, the honest sort provided by the constitution, pays nobody but those who contribute in some useful way. It is absurd to say that our Country can issue bonds and cannot issue currency. Both are promises to pay, but one fattens the usurer, while the other helps the People." Statement by Thomas Edison.

"Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce. And when you realize that the entire system is very easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate." Statement by USA President James A. Garfield.

"The hand that gives is above the hand that takes. Money has no motherland; financiers are without patriotism and without decency; their sole object is gain." Statement of Napoleon Bonaparte.

The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banks can inflate, mint and un-mint the modern ledger-entry currency." Statement by Major L. L. B. Angu

"The banks can create and destroy money. Bank credit is money. It's the money we do most of our business with, not with that currency which we usually think of as money." Statement by Governor Eccles, former head of the Federal Reserve Bank Board of the United States, made in evidence before a Congressional Committee.

"There can be no doubt that all deposits are created by the banks." Statement of Lord Keynes, economist and former board member of the Bank of England.

"The percentage of cash to credit necessary for a bank to hold, demonstrated over a period of years, is 2 1/2%, with 7 1/2% as a reserve with other banks." Statement by Professor H. Kniffer, in his "American Banking Practice":

"Banking is little more than book-keeping. It is a transfer of credit from one person to another. The transfer is by cheque. Cheques are currency (not legal tender). Currency is money." Statement of Sir Edward Holden, an eminent British banker:

MINDFUL of the fact that the above situation has in fact been accomplished, due to a consistent, progressive and continuous programme of deceit, subterfuge, cunning and make-believe on the part of the banks, and by successive, subservient Fabian socialist masonic "governments", both state and federal, in violation of their oaths of office, and to the gradual detriment and destruction of the assets of the people.

"It was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence . . . The international bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here, so that they might emerge as rulers of us all." Statement by Rep. Louis T. McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee, regarding the 1929 Wall Street crash.

"After World War 1, Germany fell into the hands of German international bankers. Those bankers bought her, and now they own her, lock, stock, and barrel. They have purchased her industries, they have mortgages on her soil, they control her production, they control all her public utilities. The international German bankers have subsidised the present government of Germany, and they have also supplied every dollar of the money Adolph Hitler has used in his lavish campaign to build up a threat to the government of Bruening. When Bruening fails to obey the orders of German international bankers, Hitler is brought forth to scare the Germans into submission . . . Through the Federal Reserve Board

. . . over \$30 Billions of American money has been paid into Germany . . . You have all heard of the spending that has taken place in Germany. . . modernistic dwellings, her great planetariums, her gymnasiums, her swimming pools, her fine public highways, her perfect factories. All this was done on our money. All this was given to Germany through the Federal Reserve Board.

"The Federal Reserve has pumped so many billions of dollars into Germany, that they dare not name the total." Further warning by the same Louis T. McFadden, eight years before Hitler invaded Poland, regarding the rise to power of Adolph Hitler.

"Practices of the unscrupulous money changers stand indicted in the court of public opinion, rejected by the hearts and minds of men . . . The money changers have fled from their high seats in the temple of our civilization." Statement by President of Franklin D. Roosevelt, March 4th, 1933 (before he did a back-flip and became their subservient tool).

"The powers of financial capitalism has (a) far reaching (plan), nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands, able to dominate the political system of each country, and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. "The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements, in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks, which were themselves private corporations. "Each central bank . . . sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence co-operative politicians by subsequent rewards in the business world." Statement of Professor Carroll Quigley, Georgetown University, former "insider," and author of the book, "Tragedy and Hope".

"The Federal Reserve definitely caused the Great Depression by contracting the amount of currency in circulation by one third from 1929 to 1933." Statement by Milton Freedman, Nobel Prize winning economist, in 1996.

"The issue which has swept down the centuries and which will have to be fought sooner or later, is the people versus the banks." Statement by Lord Acton.

"This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the banks create ample synthetic money, we are prosperous; if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture, the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is. It is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood, and the defects remedied very soon." Warning by Robert H. Hemphill (former Credit Manager of the Federal Reserve Bank, Atlanta GA.).

"History records that the money-changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance." Warning by U.S. President James Madison, shortly before he was assassinated.

"The Federal Reserve is one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever seen. There is not a man within the sound of my voice who does not know that this Nation is run by the International Banks." Warning by Congressman Louis T. McFadden.

"Most Americans and Australians, and for that matter, most people of the world have no real understandings of the operation of the international money lenders. The accounts of the Federal Reserve System have never been audited. It operates outside the control of Congress, and manipulates the credit of the United States, and for that matter, the credit of the entire world." Warning by Senator Barry Goldwater.

"Virtually all "money" which comes into existence, does so as debt to the banking system, and that the banks do not create the interest, so that there is never enough money in existence, anywhere in the world, to repay both the debt and the interest, resulting in a situation where there is a deliberate, world-wide, artificial scarcity of money, and therefore an ever-increasing debt to that banking system.

MINDFUL that such a debt-based money system (where the capital is created out of thin air by the banks, at the stroke of a pen, and then loaned out by the banks, to the borrowers, whereby the borrowers are expected to pay back the capital, plus interest, which interest does not exist in the first place) is not only mathematically an inherently flawed, impossible system, but deliberately fraudulent." Statement by Brian McDermott, Administrator, Central Queensland Free State, 2004.

MINDFUL that where money speaks, there all law is silent.

"He who takes usury for a loan of money acts unjustly, for he sells what does not exist. It is wrong in itself to take a price (usury) for the use of money lent, and as in the case of other offences against justice, one is bound to make restitution of his unjustly acquired money." Statement by Saint Thomas Aquinas.

"Because of this power (of credit creation), the Commonwealth Bank (now the Reserve Bank of Australia) is able to increase the cash of the trading banks in the ways we have pointed out above.

"Because of this power too, the Commonwealth Bank can increase the cash reserves of the trading banks; for example, it can buy securities and other property, it can lend to the government or to others in a variety of ways, and it can even make money available to the Governments and to others free of any charge."

Report of the Australian Royal Commission into the Monetary and Banking system of Australia in (1937), Section 504, "Creation of Credit".

Asked to interpret this last clause, Mr. Justice Napier, Chairman of the Commission, replied through the Secretary of the Commission, Mr. Harris, as follows.

"This statement means that the Commonwealth Bank can make money available to Governments or to others on such terms as it chooses, even by way of a loan without interest, OR EVEN WITHOUT REQUIRING EITHER INTEREST OR REPAYMENT OF PRINCIPAL."

The following are just a few of the statements and comments made by Popes over the last century.

"On the one side there is the party which holds power because it holds the wealth; which holds in its grasp all labour and trade; which manipulates for its own benefit and its own purposes all the sources of supply, and which is powerfully represented in the councils of State itself. On the other side there is the needy and powerless multitude, sore and suffering. "Rapacious usury, which, although more than once condemned by the Church, is nevertheless under a different form, but with the same guilt, is still practiced by avaricious and grasping men . . . so that a small number of very rich men have been able to lay upon the masses of the poor, a yoke little better than slavery itself." **Statement of Pope Leo XIII.**

"In our days not alone is wealth accumulated, but immense power and despotic domination is concentrated in the hands of a few . . . "This power becomes particularly irresistible when exercised by those who, because they hold and control money, are able also to govern credit and determine its allotment, for this reason supplying so to speak, the life-blood to the entire economic body, and grasping as it were, in their hands, the very soul of the economy, so that no one dare breathe against their will." Warning given by Pope Pius XI during the great depression. "Every man, as a reason-gifted being, has from nature the fundamental right to make use of the material goods of the earth . . ." "Such an individual right can in no way be suppressed, not even by the exercise of other certain and recognized rights over material goods . . . " "It is left to human will and to juridical forms of peoples to regulate more in detail the practical realization of this right." **Statement by Pope Pius XII**, in his broadcast, June 1st, 1941.

In fact, the enslaving monetary system is actually Communist/Marxist as explained below:

Writings of Karl Marx and Fredrich Engels: "The proletariat will see to its political supremacy to wrest, by degrees, all capital from the bourgeoisie, to centralise all instruments of production in the hands of the state in the beginning this cannot be effected except by means of despotic inroads on the rights of property and on the conditions of the bourgeoisie production..... in the most advanced countries the following will be pretty generally applicable:

1. Abolition of private property in land and application of rents of land to public purposes. (EMINENT DOMAIN)
2. A heavy and progressive or graduated income tax.
3. Abolition of all religion.
4. Centralisation of credit in the hands of the state, by means of a national bank with state capital and an exclusive monopoly." The Communist Manifesto.

"We must create a climate of anti-nationalism and anti-racialism amongst the whites. We must reduce patriotism and pride of race to meaningless abstractions, and WE MUST MAKE RACIALISM (RACISM) A DIRTY WORD." Statement by the Communist leader Selenkov in Moscow, 1922.

MINDFUL that the Australian Labour Party is controlled by Fabians, whose secret aim is the global socialization of Australia, by a combination of stealth, deceit, and gradualism: "I gladly acknowledge the debt of my own government to Fabianism. . . . The Fabian Society acknowledges the principle tenet of Marxism, the abolition of private property, in this case to own land. Then they align themselves with the non-violent arm of Marxism by accepting the non-violent road of patient gradualism to total government."

Statement by former Prime Minister Bob Hawke, in his speech to the Fabian Society (of which he is a member), in Melbourne, May 8th, 1984: "We must realize that our party's most powerful weapon is racial tension...."By propounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the whites, we can mold them to the program of the Communist Party...."In America we will aim for subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro minority against the whites, we will endeavor to instill in the whites a guilt complex for their exploitation of the Negroes...."We will aid the Negroes to rise to prominence in every walk of life, in the professions, and in the world of sports and entertainment. With this prestige, the Negro will be able to intermarry with the whites, and begin a process which will deliver America to our cause."

Statement by Israel Cohen, in his book, "A Racial Programme for the Twentieth Century," 1912, which is also in the Congressional Record, Vol. 103, p. 8559, June 7th, 1957: "The governments of the peoples included in this world republic, with the aid of the victorious proletariat, all will fall without difficulty into Jewish hands. Private property will then be strangled by the Jewish directors, who will administer the state patrimony everywhere...."Thus the promise of the Talmud will be fulfilled, that is, the promise that the Jews, at the arrival of the Messiah, will possess the key to the wealth of all the peoples of the earth."

Statement of Baruch Levy, in a letter to Karl Marx, published in La Revue de Paris, June 1st, 1928: "Give me just one generation of youth, and I will change the whole world." Statement by mass murderer Lenin. Bush/Cheney/Chertoff/Rumsfeld/etc. Neocon Zionist Cabalists are following in Stalin's footsteps as well.

"No state shall emit bills of credit, make any thing but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts, coin money---." Article One, Section Ten, United States Constitution.

"Congress shall have power to pay the debts of the United States, coin money, regulate the value thereof, and fix the standard of weights and measures." Article One, Section Eight, U.S. Constitution.

"Madison, agreeing with the journal of the convention, records that the grant of power to emit bills of credit was refused by a majority of more than four to one. The evidence is perfect; no power to emit paper money was granted to the legislature of the United States." George Bancroft, A Plea for the Constitution (1886)

"Paper money may be deemed an aggression on the rights of the other states." James Madison

"To emit an unfunded paper as the sign of value ought not to continue a formal part of the Constitution, nor even hereafter to be employed; being, in its nature, pregnant with abuses, and liable to be made the engine of imposition and fraud; holding out temptations equally pernicious to the integrity of government and to the morals of the people." Alexander Hamilton, June 1783.

"Paper money has had the effect in your state that it will ever have, to ruin commerce, oppress the honest, and open the door to every species of fraud and injustice." George Washington, in letter to J. Bowen, Rhode Island, Jan. 9, 1787

"I believe there are more instances of the abridgment of freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachment of those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations." James Madison

"Of all the contrivances devised for cheating the laboring classes of mankind, none has been more effective than that which deludes him with paper money." Daniel Webster

"Money power denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes." Wm. Jennings Bryan

"All the perplexities, confusion and distresses in America arise not from defects in the constitution or confederation, nor from want of honor or virtue, as much from downright ignorance of the nature of coin, credit, and circulation." John Adams, letter to Thomas Jefferson

"--and be it further enacted that if any of the gold or silver coins which shall be struck or coined at the said mint shall be debased---every such officer or person who shall commit any or either of the said offenses shall be deemed guilty of felony, and shall suffer death." Coinage Act, 1792, Sec. 19 (in present U.S. code at title 31)

"The world is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes." Benjamin Disraeli

"Those who create and issue money and credit direct the policies of government and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people." Rt. Hon. Reginald McKenna, former Chancellor of Exchequer, England

"Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back," Sir Josiah Stamp, former president, Bank of England

"Money is the most important subject intellectual persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it is widely understood and its defects remedied very soon." Robert H. Hemphill, former credit manager, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta

"It sounds harsh, but it is a fact, that in the last analysis the only way to impose state control of prices--is to reintroduce the death penalty." Dr. Heinz Wuffli, The Monetary Crisis in the Light of Contemporary History, p. 13

"Banks lend by creating credit. They create the means of payment out of nothing." Ralph M. Hawtrey, former Secretary of Treasury, England

"Lenin is said to have declared that the best way to destroy the capitalistic system was to debauch the currency. Lenin was certainly right. There is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society. the process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in a manner which not one man in a million can diagnose." John Maynard Keynes, *The Economic Consequences of the Peace*, 1920.

"If, however, a government refrains from regulations and allows matters to take their own course, the worthlessness of the money becomes apparent and the fraud upon the public can be concealed no longer." Keynes, *ibid.*

"Thus, our national circulating medium is now at the mercy of loan transactions of banks, which lend, not money, but promises to supply money they do not possess." Irving Fisher, *100% Money*.

"When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men living together in society, they create for themselves in the course of time a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it." Frederic Bastiat, *The Law*.

"Give me the power to issue a nation's money; then I do not care who makes the law." Anselm Rothschild.

"Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce." James A. Garfield.

"Emitting bills of credit, or the creation of money by private corporations, is what is expressly forbidden by Article 1, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution." U.S. Supreme Court, *Craig v. Missouri*, 4 Peters 410.

"The actual process of money creation takes place in commercial banks. As noted earlier, demand liabilities of commercial banks are money." Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, *Modern Money Mechanics*, p.3.

"Confidence in these forms of money also seems to be tied in some way to the fact that assets exist on the books of the government and the banks equal to the amount of money outstanding, even though most of the assets themselves are no more than pieces of paper--." *ibid.*, p.3.

"The Bureau of Engraving and Printing in Washington, D.C., a unit of the treasury, is responsible for printing the nation's currency. But its orders to print come from the 12 Federal Reserve Banks, not the President or Congress. The reserve banks, not the treasury, determine how much currency is printed. Under this arrangement, the government can't print more Federal Reserve Notes to pay its bills or debts." Federal Reserve Bank of New York, *I Bet You Thought*, p.13.

"Commercial banks create checkbook money whenever they grant a loan, simply by adding new deposit dollars in accounts on their books in exchange for a borrower's IOU." *ibid.*, p. 19.

"The 12 regional reserve banks aren't government institutions, but corporations nominally 'owned' by member commercial banks." *Ibid.*, p. 27.

"Without the confidence factor, many believe a paper money system is liable to collapse eventually." Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, *Gold*, p. 10.

"Government is the only agency which can take a useful commodity like paper, slap some ink on it and make it totally worthless." Ludwig von Mises.

"Inflation, even if correctly anticipated, reduces the wealth of money holders in proportion to their holdings of money." St. Louis Federal Reserve Review, Feb. 1975, p.19.

"In 1945, non farm families owed \$5.7 billion, consisting primarily of installment debt. By 1974 the debts had risen to \$190.1 billion--a leap of 32235 percent." Wm. E. Simon, *A Time for Truth*.

"Because of 'fractional' reserve system, banks, as a whole, can expand our money supply several times, by making loans and investments." Federal Reserve Bank, New York *The Story of Banks*, p.5.

"Vault cash held by banks is not considered a part of the stock of money available for spending by the non bank public." *Modern Money Mechanics*, p.2.

"The decrease in purchasing power incurred by holders of money due to inflation imparts gains to the issuers of money--." St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank, *Review*, Nov. 1975, p.22

"The individual is handicapped by coming face to face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists". -- J. Edgar Hoover, FBI Director 1924-1972, quoted in *The Elks Magazine* (August 1956).

"It is the duty of the patriot to protect his country from its [corrupt feudal] government." -- Thomas Paine.

"Each of you, for himself, by himself and on his own responsibility, must speak". -- Mark Twain.

"The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspire against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, and more selfish than bureaucracy. It denounces as public enemies all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the bankers in the rear. Of the two, the one at my rear is my greatest foe." -- President Abraham Lincoln.

"In a time of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act." -- George Orwell.

In 1938, William E. Dodd, U.S. Ambassador to Germany, sent President Franklin Delano Roosevelt the following message: (as reported in George Seldes. Facts and Fascism, p. 122): "A clique of U.S. industrialists is hell-bent to bring a fascist state to supplant our democratic government and is working closely with the fascist regime in Germany and Italy. I have had plenty of opportunity in my post in Berlin to witness how close some of our American ruling families are to the Nazi regime.... A prominent executive of one of the largest corporations, told me point blank that he would be ready to take definite action to bring fascism into America if President Roosevelt continued his progressive policies. Certain American industrialists had a great deal to do with bringing fascist regimes into being in both Germany and Italy. They extended aid to help Fascism occupy the seat of power, and they are helping to keep it there. Propagandists for fascist groups try to dismiss the fascist scare. We should be aware of the symptoms. When industrialists ignore laws designed for social and economic progress they will seek recourse to a fascist state when the institutions of our government compel them to comply with the provisions."

In 1933, Marine Corp Major General Smedley Butler was approached by a cabal of influential American bankers and industrialists working under the umbrella of the front group, American Liberty League. Their request of Butler: that he lead a 500,000 strong force of rogue veterans in a coup against FDR and the legal American government. The intent of this cabal, already supporting the efforts of Hitler and Mussolini in Europe, was to instill a government not unlike that of Hitler and Mussolini in the United States. Butler went along with the scheme until he was able to ascertain who the participants were. He then blew the whistle on this group before the House Committee on un-American Activities. For his efforts, Butler was ostracized and black-balled by the mainstream media; his testimony before the House Committee on un-American Activities was omitted from the record. The cabal was never brought to justice, but the coup was foiled. Who were the American bankers and industrialists involved in this plot to overthrow the legal government of the United States? According to [Wikipedia](#), while most of the funding came from the Du Pont family, participants included U.S. Steel, General Motors, General Foods, Standard Oil, Birdseye, Colgate, Heinz Foods, Chase National Bank, and Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company. And among the participants in this coup attempt was one Prescott Bush (who is the father of George Herbert Walker Bush, 41st president of the United States, and thus is the grandfather of George W Bush, current president of the United States). Prescott Bush was not only instrumental in bringing Hitler to power in Germany, Mussolini to power in Italy, but was also plotting to overthrow the legal government of the United States and establish a fascist state here. Prescott Bush served as a Senator from Connecticut and was a partner in the prominent investment banking firm Brown Brothers Harriman.

US General Smedley Butler wrote in his 1935 classic, "War Is a Racket": "I helped make Mexico safe for American oil interests in 1914," "I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefit of Wall Street. I helped purify Nicaragua for the International Banking House of Brown Brothers in 1902-1912. I brought light to the Dominican Republic for the American sugar interests in 1916. I helped make Honduras right for American fruit companies in 1903. In China in 1927 I helped see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested." Butler acknowledged that he'd spent most of his thirty-three years in the Marines as "a high class muscle man for Big Business, Wall Street and the bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism[ts]."

Wars are really a continuous stream of coordinated acts of terrorism, related to money and power instigated by the people who will benefit from the war and its expected end result. War is an instrument to expand economic power by destroying the national institutions and intelligent people of the victimized nation.

"In the counsels of Government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the Military Industrial Complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists, and will persist. We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals so that security and liberty may prosper together."
President Dwight Eisenhower, Feb 1961

"For we are opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence--on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day. It is a system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations. Its preparations are concealed, not published. Its mistakes are buried, not headlined. Its dissenters are silenced, not praised. No expenditure is questioned, no rumor is printed, no secret is revealed. It conducts the Cold War, in short, with a war-time discipline no democracy would ever hope or wish to match."
President John F. Kennedy Waldorf-Astoria Hotel New York City, April 27, 1961. The US/UK/Zionist governments are deeply infiltrated by the Conspiracy.

"The interests behind the Bush Administration, such as the CFR, The Trilateral Commission - founded by Brzezinski for David Rockefeller - and the Bilderberger Group, have prepared for and are now moving to implement **open world dictatorship** within the next five years. **They are not fighting against terrorists. They are fighting against citizens.**" -- Dr. Johannes B. Koepl, Ph.D., former German defense ministry official and advisor to former NATO Secretary General Manfred Woerner

"The high office of President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the Americans freedom, and before I leave office I must inform the citizen of his plight." -- JFK

"Military men are dumb, stupid animals to be used as pawns for foreign policy." Henry Kissinger, quoted by Bob Woodward in The Final Days, 1976

The illegal we do immediately. The unconstitutional takes a little longer. "[The New World Order] cannot happen without U.S. participation, as we are the most significant single component. Yes, there will be a New World Order, and it will force the United States to change it's perceptions." -- Henry Kissinger, World Affairs Council Press Conference, Regent Beverly Wilshire Hotel , April 19th 1994

"I don't know where bin Laden is. I have no idea and I really don't care. It's not that important. It's not our priority." - GW Bush, March 13, 2002

It is in everybody's interest to seek those [actions] that lead to happiness and avoid those which lead to suffering. And because our interests are inextricably linked, we are compelled to accept ethics as the indispensable interface between my desire to be happy and yours.- Dalai Lama

V for Vendetta says: "If you want to see who is responsible for the terror you feel, for who is responsible for the loss of your freedoms, simply look in the mirror" **WATCH THE DVD! When EVIL men make bad laws, righteous men disobey them!** This nation does **NOT** have a legal government **any more.** We're an occupied nation; by criminal traitor's from BOTH parties, and other agencies, who're out to destroy not only our precious freedoms but America & the world too! Our troops or others **MUST & CAN LEGALLY REMOVE BUSH/CHENEY & OTHERS FOR TREASON AND need to IMMEDIATELY!** IN LEG IRONS & CUFFS TOO! 9-11 **WAS** in Inside Job & **their planning it again!**

Bush burning our Constitution!
www.stophenau.org , Stop NAU & SPP!

"No one will enter the New World Order unless he or she will make a pledge to worship Lucifer." David Scangler of U.N.



NO MORE WARS FOR ZIONIST ISRAEL, Rockefellers, Rothchilds, or New World Order! "A nation of sheep will beget a government of wolves." AND HAS!

End the Occupation of Palestine & AMERICA by Israel & America's occupation of Iraq NOW!

"You can fool some of the people all of the time, and those are the ones you want to concentrate on." --- George W. Bush ..."Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth about what we Bushs have done to this nation, we would be chased down in the streets and lynched." George Bush Sr. 1992



Number Of Iraqi Civilians Slaughtered In Neocon's Rape Of Iraq - over 1 million+ + <http://tinyurl.com/usq4x>

Number of U.S. Military Personnel Sacrificed (Officially acknowledged) In America's Rape Of Iraq 3,017 <http://icasualties.org/oif>

The Rape of Iraq Costs and America lost its soul too besides going bankrupt, financially and morally! ! \$357,490,957,418

See the cost in your community http://nationalpriorities.org/index.php?option=com_wrapper&Itemid=182 .

End the Occupation of Palestine & AMERICA by Israel & America's occupation of Iraq NOW!



No. 729 "The Important Thing is, Not to Stop Questioning" – Albert Einstein

"Thou Shalt Not Bear False Witness Against Thy Neighbor" (Exodus 16).

"So Then, Putting Away Falsehood, Let All of Us Speak the Truth to our Neighbors, for We Are All Members of One Another."

AM I FREE YET? UR tax dollars @work!
(Ephesians 4:25).

"They Try to Deceive Allah and Those Who Believe, But They Only Deceive Themselves, and Realize it Not." (Qur'aan 2:9).

I am only one, but I am one. I cannot do everything, but I can do something. And because I cannot do everything, I will not refuse to do the something that I can do. What I can do, I should do. And what I should do, by the grace of God, I will do ~ Edward Everett Hale



THE HISTORY OF MONEY: PART II - EXPANDED DETAILS

Learning Financial Control Through Wars and Upheaval from Ancient Greeks


The ancient Greeks and Romans had a deep knowledge of the tragedies of financial control, war and social upheaval. Not to put too fine a point on it, the heart of American constitutional ideals – and that's what America was supposed to be all about, ideals based on the ideas of liberty and justice for all – were nurtured by literature and philosophy of the ancient World. Carl J. Richard in his book "The Founders and the Classics: Greece, Rome, and the American Enlightenment," makes it clear that much of the classical canon, from Homer to Virgil and Plato to Zeno, was staple reading for most of the authors of the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence. Historian Henry Steele Commager contends that "intellectually, the founding fathers knew the ancient World better perhaps than they knew the European or even the British World, better, in all likelihood, than they knew the American World outside their own section." Homer, was Thomas Jefferson's favorite ancient author. Carl Richard further observes that Stoic theory of natural law and the optimistic view of human nature from which it derived gave birth to the modern doctrines of natural and inalienable rights and social progress which undergird our way of life.

He continues, "since of one of the chief elements of classical virtue was an independent cast of mind . . . the founders knew that intellectual independence had been a defining characteristic of their Greek and Roman heroes, who had revolutionary theories of popular sovereignty, natural law, mixed government, and who had defended them against the rampant absolutism of the ancient World." The first concept has to do with the essential and liberating mental and moral activity of asking questions. In questioning, you are opening yourself up to new knowledge by the healthy admission of your own ignorance along with the self-respect it takes to follow your own curiosity no matter what. This is a lesson we can learn from Socrates. The second concept concerns the recognition that knowledge is fundamental to understanding the World and understanding the World is fundamental to being productive in it and at peace with it. One must learn how to question, how to get in the habit of questioning, and how to seek information and understand or at least experience other perspectives and points of view. These are lessons we can learn from pre-Socratic philosophers and from others. A third concept has to do with the critical difference between acceptance and resignation, between calmly acknowledging the reality of conditions and then doing something with them, versus giving in to situations that you can do nothing about, allowing them to defeat you, and demoralize you, so that you won't do anything at all. These are lessons we can learn from Stoic philosophers and others. And the fourth concept recognizes that being able to tell differences and make distinctions is often the key to survival. Here Homer is our guide.

Indeed, mental and spiritual health are intertwined with political health – the maintenance of tolerance, freedom of expression, and the free marketplace of ideas, in which it is assumed that the truth will always win, if given a fair chance. **However, the dark controllers of the World don't want to give truth a fair chance and this is why this book was compiled. It is my hope and prayer that you will both enjoy, learn and that you will be enthused through this effort.** In the first century BC in the tiny kingdom of Phrygia, a philosopher (former slave of the Romans according to some) by the name of Epictetus said "Appearances are of four kinds: things either are as they appear to be; or they neither are nor appear to be; or they are but do not appear to be; or they are not and yet appear to be." In simple words, "appearances can sometimes be deceiving". If Epictetus were alive today he would probably be a Harvard professor of money and banking; it sounds like so many official explanations about various aspects of the Federal Reserve System. What Epictetus did was that he took a fairly simple concept but by the time he was through explaining it, we didn't have any idea what he was talking about.. Well, if there's anything in the World that is deceiving, it is the Federal Reserve System. In fact, it is one of those appearances of the fourth kind which are those appearances which are not and yet appear to be.

It is fascinating and almost magical how money appeared on our planet. Unlike most developments we enjoy, which can be traced back to a source, civilisation or inventor, money appeared in places then unconnected all over the World in a remarkably similar way (it seems that all elites and feudal lords think the same way!). "Modern Banking" was actually created over 4,000 years ago in Babylon, Mesopotamia (now occupied Iraq, the World Babylon means confusion). However, the neo-Nimrods and Pharaohs have managed to again enslave the World financially, and mentally through propaganda in the major news media which they own (or control of politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it), misleading or conflicting statements, half-the-truth or the truth-mixed-with-lies is a full lie!; however this really confuses people and puts them in doubt; once in doubt, a person accepts the status quo and the New World Order propagandists have won over the person's mind for all practical purposes! It seems that people oscillate between the idolatry of occultism and the worship of God. An example of this oscillation can be found when the Israelites were led back into worshipping of the golden calf and other idols by the Saamiri (follower of Nimrod and his wife Semiremis) even though they had just recently been saved from the slavery of the Pharaohs by Moses (see the Bible, Quran and the movie The Ten Commandments). Pharaoh ruled by dividing his subjects into casts and degrees like his predecessor Nimrod, the builder of the infamous tower of Babel. This book "The Hidden History of Money" unravels the truth of World domination by a dark clan/gang/mafia/club/cult/occult of elitists. George Orwell (1903-1950) alluded to this situation in his book "1984" which published back in 1948. **This is only a grain in the pyramid or the tip of the iceberg in the unraveling of the truth.**

A sefiroth is one of the most blatant expressions of the pagan teachings of the Kabbalah. The figure composed of circles on the Kabbalistic engraving on the right is a sefiroth. Kabbalists attempt to explain the process of creation by means of the sefiroth. The scenario they propose is really a pagan myth totally at odds with the facts revealed in holy books.



Henry Ford (founder of the Ford Motor Company in 1903) stated: "It is well that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning." (see The Foundation Economy by Fred Eggerton). In this expose, we present numerous quotes from past US Presidents and politicians and demonstrate how control and profits of the private Central Banks has been the catalyst behind many wars. Note that all six presidents who tried to end the private central banking monopolies were assassinated (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if you count FDR's poisoning) and anyone who tries to expose these mega-banking dynasties is demonized like Louis McFadden 1936 or Rep. Congressman James Traficant in 1993 and many others.

The head of the House of Rothschild (may as well say the House of Satan), who was also head of the Illuminati, said in 1838: "Allow me to issue and control the money of a nation and I care not who writes its laws." This is exactly what has happened. Banker John D. Rockefeller said in early 1900 "Competition is a sin". These trans-Atlantic Rothschild/Rockefeller banking families also own the medical profession, the news media, Encyclopedia Britannica, and the voting machine software companies, among other things.

British Secret Service SS MI5 Insignia (Masonic Symbolism)



To get a complete picture and to get rid of the propoganda baggage and really understand in depth the current World situation and the subversion/distortion/corruption of the masses and Godly religions, one must also study the history of the activities of the secretive organizations and clubs sponsored by the Illuminati dynasties such as the Mason/ Zionist/ Chabad - Lubavitchers/ AshkeNAZI/ Jesuit/ Bolshevik Brotherhoods, the CIA, Mossad, MI5 & MI6, RAW, French/ German/ Spanish Intelligence units, infiltration into the major religions by these groups' operatives, the various mafias (especially Russian, Japanese Yakuza, US, etc.), the Fabians, the CFR (Council on Foreign Relations), the Trilateral Commission (TC), PNAC (project for the new American century), the NSA (National Security Agency), the Bilderberg Club, the Bohemian Club, the Knights of Malta, the Knights Templar, the Vatican, the Rosicrucians, the Kaiser Foundation (German Americans who planned to make Nixon a Dictator), etc., the history of US/CIA/Zionist/Sevak war crimes, the history of the Occult from ancient Kabbala, Sumerian, Babylonian (Nimrodian Babylonian slavery Masonry) and Egyptian (Pharaonic slavery) times, the history of the Crusades, and the relevant verses from the Torah, Bible and Quran, about the People of Israel (Jacob) and The Temple of Solomon and the Tower of Babel, history of money and the financial systems especially the private Bank of England/HSBC and the private Federal Reserve System, the history of assassinations such as JFK and other Kennedys, Martin Luther King, Malcolm X, Princess Diana, bloodlines of US Presidents and European nobility, History of Fake Terror & the Burning of Rome /Lusitania /Reichstag/Pearl Harbor/Vietnam Gulf of Tonkin/9-11/etc. staged deceptions/fake terror, the history of the control of the news media, World-wide corporate frauds, and the history of election frauds and Fascist laws.

In this expose, we are showing how the Brotherhoods of the Masonic/Zionist Illuminati Mega-Banking/Financial Dynasties/Empires have usurped the power of the people's government to create money from nothing and how they have been behind the wars/genocides during the past centuries. Along with the "G.O.L.D." (Gold, Oil, Land, Drugs and Arms) Barons, they profit before, during and after the wars (see Michael Ruppert's lectures/upcoming book <http://www.copvica.com>). International Zionism=Terrorism is turning Iraq into another one of the Israeli/US Occupied/Controlled Territories on its path to New World Order Fascist Control for the purpose of serving these Illuminatis, i.e. we are seeing a return to ancient Pharaonic/Nimrodic Tyranny (see Alex Jones's The Road To Tyranny video/book <http://www.infowars.com>).

We hope that the Internet communications remain free for us to inform the masses otherwise these evil Dynasties/Empires will once more have a total monopoly on news (unfortunately, the elites are already working on plans to control our freedom over the Internet <http://msnbc.msn.com/Default.aspx?id=3606168&p1=0> A Net of Control Unthinkable: How the Internet could become a tool of corporate and government power, based on updates now in the works By Steven Levy Newsweek International). A relationship diagram is presented here to illustrate this web of deceit.



Copyright 2003, The Halifax Herald Limited



Like the Scottish constitution, the US constitution starts with "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America."

Edmund Burke 1729-1797 stated: "The only thing necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing." This is exactly what has happened and brought us to the sad situation today.

Julius Caesar (Shakespeare): "Beware the leader who bangs the drums of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double-edged sword. It both emboldens the blood, just as it narrows the mind. And when the drums of war reached a fever pitch and the blood boils with hate and the mind has 'closed', the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather, the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by patriotism, will offer up all their rights unto the leader and gladly so. How do I know? For this is

what I have done. AND I AM CAESAR." Note that Bush pulled Caesar, i.e. as per O'Neill, Bush & company had already decided to steal Iraqi assets and just created a WMD pretext to attack and expand their empire (the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily). This is exactly what all empires do and this is what Caesar did when selling the invasion of England to the Roman populace. Caesar had also considered using the pretext that the English were barbarians.

Hermann Goering, at the Nuremberg Trials after World War II: "Why of course the people don't want war ... But after all it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy, and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is (a democracy, or) a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship ... Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is to tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger." Sounds like what Mr. Bush & company have done.


Statement by the former Bank of England director Lord Sir John Stamp: "Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them with the power to create credit, and with a flick of the pen they will create enough money to buy it all back again. Take this power away from them, and all the great fortunes like mine would disappear, and they ought to disappear, for then this World would be a happier and better World to live in. But if you wish to remain the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then continue to let Bankers control money and control credit."

Please note the term "fiat money" refers to money for which there is no actual gold or silver equivalent stored at the privately owned Central Banks, i.e. it is money created out of nothing! To add insult to injury, we are charged interest on it and pay an additional charge due to the loss of value by inflation. It often helps us to understand something if we examine origins. Throughout recorded history the practice of usury, along with the practice of giving false measure, has been condemned, along with the practice of giving false measure. Aristotle and Plato in ancient Greece denounced it as well as prophets in the Old Testament and early Christians. Yeshua-Joshua, it should be remembered, drove usurers out of the Temple in Jerusalem and this played a part in bringing about his apparent crucifixion by the Roman Pharisee King Pailatoos (Pilates). Buddhists have condemned it and the Quran does so as well (and probably many other religious and non-religious books). While the old usurers at least lent their own money, the modern ones, the Bankers, are able to create it out of nothing. So far, you have read about the false concepts of wealth, principally that fiat (paper) currencies and government bonds are wealth. A massive credit bubble has been created with this concept. If there is a collapse of the credit bubble, it will destroy government bonds and currencies and the cumulative effect will be the greatest destruction of wealth in World history. **Could these events happen by chance, or are these events part of a well thought out plan?** Understanding the answer to this is critical for comprehending what is and will happen in the World.

The deflationary collapse, if there is one, will destroy the profitability of highly indebted companies, bringing down banks, government bond markets, and government currencies. This will diminish the demand for commodities, thus decreasing their value. Owners of any asset class that rise substantially in value during the deflationary collapse, will gain and wield tremendous economic and political power. This begs the question. In the event a powerful interest group sought to control the World economy, such as the Illuminati banking dynasties, would they not create a situation, whereby all assets collapse in value - except for a particular asset class that they alone own or control? Should ALL assets collapse in value, there would be no major economic advantage to this powerful interest group. Therefore, it logically follows that one particular asset class must rise substantially in value for this interest group to obtain control of the World economy. In a hypothetical World of falling stock markets, accompanied by government currencies and bond markets being wiped out, the only asset class that could rise substantially in value would be gold, silver, and diamonds -- which have traditionally been a store of value in times of war or severe economic upheaval. If the current deflationary deterioration - now spreading through World economies - was indeed planned by a powerful interest group, then as they are causing World economic collapse, they will be simultaneously be driving up the value of gold, silver, and diamonds. We are all well aware that gold, silver, and diamonds are not essential for the survival of mankind. Nevertheless, should this asset class rise substantially while all other asset classes are falling, it will be due to the promotion of a powerful interest group - which has altered our perception of value of the asset group that they control. Is the methodical maneuver occurring now? Will the large gold demand/supply imbalance and a short position estimated at 8,000 tons (which physically cannot ever be covered) be the eventual springboard to much higher gold prices?

Should we view the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) policies in Indonesia, Korea, Thailand and Russia as unintentional blunders, or are they deliberate and premeditated attempts to destroy the economies of these countries? To help understand some of the potential problems, consider the Indonesian situation. In Indonesia it is expected that more than 100 million people - half the population - were below the poverty line by the end of 1998. Specifically, this means family income per person will fall below U.S.\$3.60 per month. YES, you read correctly, Three Dollars and Sixty Cents. If a person's monthly income is more than the cost of one cheese-burger in America, he is considered above the poverty line in Indonesia. The Indonesian stock market is irrelevant because there is negative equity overall. Soon, the banking system may be irrelevant as well. Early estimates put the cost of recapitalizing the banking system at U.S. \$20 billion. While no one knows the true cost, some are now speculating that costs could reach U.S. \$70 billion. In addition to bad loans that are on the books of Indonesian banks, off balance sheet multi-currency derivative instruments and large amounts of loan repurchase agreements represent huge losses. (Loan repurchase agreements are used by banks to raise cash and hide questionable loans.) For example: In these transactions, Bank A sells a loan to Bank B, but agrees to repurchase the loan from Bank B in the future, paying Bank B a profit. However, if Bank A is unable to repurchase the loan, Bank B ends up with a questionable loan that it may have to write off. When Bank A represents an Indonesian bank and Bank B represents a Foreign bank, unless someone gives Bank A the money to buy back the questionable loan, then Bank B is stuck with the loss. With the Indonesian government and people having no or little money, the only source to recapitalize the banking system must come from foreign investors, which they may not be willing to provide **or from selling out Indonesian lands, minerals and other assets or another military invasion!**

We are now witnessing World financial markets and currency movements that have been disconnected from and are inconsistent with economic fundamentals. This is because currencies are an imaginary creation of governments and banks. As governments and banks move closer to insolvency, the value of currencies must fall. In viewing the U.S., we must remember that its strong economy is the direct result of trillions of dollars coming in from Europe and Asia since 1995. Adding fuel to the U.S. economy has been the 50% increase in consumer debt over the last four years. Consequently, the seemingly strong U.S. economy is not about robust fundamentals. Just the contrary. Americans do not save (savings rate is less than 3.5%). And the U.S. habitually runs a huge trade deficit - and owes a large percentage of debt to foreigners. The Asian deflationary virus will soon be hitting U.S. shores. American corporations will lose markets and profits, which will result in job losses. Consumers faced with job losses, and falling stock markets will pull back spending, which will send the U.S. economy into a tail spin. This will then lead to a vast outflow of funds from the U.S. - thus accelerating economic contraction within the 50 States and a drop in the value of the US Federal Reserve Note compared to other currencies. There is a tremendous adverse interplay of forces now acting on World economies. Currency movements that have been disconnected from economic fundamentals are now



accelerating the economic collapse, and spreading its effects globally. Ultimately, this will lead to the absolute necessity to establish a currency that is NOT dependent on governments or banks, but one based on gold.

At this point it will be imperative to understand the true value of a currency... indeed the very essence of it. A currency is valuable because it allows for the division of labour in the economy. It provides a measuring stick to help determine the viability of a business. A currency assists in developing the most productive use of resources in the economy. It is not wealth, but only a means to assist in creating wealth - which is society's ability to produce food, goods, and services. Remember this when powerful interest groups push the value of gold, silver and diamonds to unrealistic levels in the future. It has been said that only a small group of people understand the process of reasoning, and has the ability to see to the core of the problem as opposed to passing an opinion on it. In this manner, irrational thinking is elevated to a high level of public consciousness. Manipulators can then play on this to undermine and distract the grasp of reality governing any situation. What we end up with is the absolutely inconsequential opinions of masses of people, molded by skilled manipulators that assume the position of scientific fact. Since the Babylonian times of Nimrod (Masonry) and Prophet Abraham (monotheism) four thousand years ago, the times of ancient Rome and Greece, the times of the Pharaohs and other Dynasties, the rulers and controllers have been devising and evolving systems to enslave the peasants mentally and physically for their financial benefits and elite comforts. Long ago in the dark unwritten pages of human history, powerful kings discovered how they could control other men by torture, magical practices, wars, politics, idolatrous religions and interest taking. These elite families designed strategies and tactics to perpetuate their occult practices. Layers upon layers of secrecy have hidden these families from the profane masses, but many an author has touched upon their existence. ", over 4,000 years ago in the ancient Babylonian days of slavery for the masses by Nimrod. [Of course, without the electronic information.] Pharaoh ruled by dividing his subjects into casts and degrees like his predecessor Nimrod, the builder of the infamous tower of Babel. These ancient rulers would enslave some of their subjects and their supporters or enforcers or stooges would also benefit from the slavery. These ancient rulers would "enslave" their other subjects by imposing collections (taxes with no services) to expand their own wealth. Interestingly enough, these ancient rulers would not get involved in the business of "money lenders or changers" who would also be imposing a form of collection known as "interest". We have already discussed the meaning of money and the evils of interest in the previous sections. Remember that it's not until the 1850s that the serfs were freed in Europe and then later that the Africans slaves were freed in America. Let's go forward and review this history up to date.

[The Biggest Problem on Earth \(once more\)](#)

Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England: "Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back."

Money takes the form of whatever people or governments agree on to use for trade. Some forms of money are universally accepted and some are locally accepted. Some represent an existing asset such as gold or silver, some represent private debt and some in addition represent a national debt such as paper currency money. Money records the intermediary step during a trade, e.g. I sell my labor to someone and I get pieces of paper in return as a record. I haven't yet gotten a service or product in exchange for my labor, i.e. this is an incomplete trade represented by the paper notes that are waiting to be spent at some future date. Therefore, there is always an amount of liquid money in circulation waiting to be spent. Money created from nothing, i.e. without any asset in escrow to backup it, is called FIAT money. Fiat money is thus an "I owe U" and note that due to inflation (and taxes on inflation) money loses its value over time. We will explain about inflation later. In the case of the US\$ fiat currency, it is issued by a private central bank, The private Federal Reserve, with no assets, issues this currency for the cost of printing, or the cost of a computer database entry, and it expects this to be repaid with interest, i.e. **a gigantic fraud** (see quotes from the Founding Fathers later). The Dollars created by the Fed represent a national debt owed to the private BankLords who own the Fed and issue the currency at no cost to themselves and with no liability to themselves because the Dollars are non-redeemable by the FED and must be redeemed for goods and services elsewhere. The rest of the World mostly accepts this system of fiat currency due to the power of the fraudy Federal Reserve Act and the US military. Notice how Iraq was invaded after it decided to use the Euro€ instead of the US Dollar\$ for their oil trade. Under occupation, Iraq was forced to resume trading oil for US\$ (the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily).

Gold's value in terms of the basic goods it can buy has been steady for over 2000 years. However, the value of the dollar has always been dropping steadily; it's elastic and no longer fixed to a real commodity. Let's explore further. The dollar is no longer worth 1/20th of an ounce of gold and is no longer coined in gold. Today's paper or account dollars no longer represent a fixed commodity as per the above definition (even today's coins are not worth anywhere near their face value), but they are still referred to as "money", or more precisely, they are **fiat money, i.e. money created from nothing** which actually represents a debt, a bit like you creating paper tokens or rainchecks or coupons or promissory notes with no ties to an existing commodity that you own! i.e. fiat money has no commodity backing it except that most people accept it in exchange of goods through the force of legislation or military might. Note that the US\$ Federal Reserve Note (FRN) no longer says that the Federal Reserve Bank will pay the bearer on demand the sum of the face value of the note in Dollars (the Bank of England notes still say this but it is meaningless). The US\$ states only that the FRN is legal tender for all debt payments (see the history later on how Roosevelt forced all Americans to turn in their gold for a big loss). **TODAY'S MONEY IS NOT WHAT IT USED TO BE. OUR FIAT MONEY IS A PROMISE TO PAY, i.e. A RAINCHECK TOKEN ISSUED BY PRIVATE BANKSTERS FOR ANYTHING IN AMERICA WHOSE REDEEMABLE VALUE CHANGES WITH TIME. IT IS A FRAUDY LEGISLATED WAY TO COMPARITELY MEASURE AND TRACK PRODUCTION BASED ON A PROMISE TO PAY!!!!** Fiat money is workable as long as the government of the people, for the people and by the people issues fiat money for the benefit of the people

only. But through bloody historical events which we will describe in this book, private banking dynasties have monopolized the issuance of money and have usurped this power from governments which they now control. In the US, the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank has the power to issue the fiat currency. If a private party issues the national currency, it has the power to create currency that can be redeemed for anyone's goods and services even though it was created from nothing by the issuer without the issuer being liable for any redemption, i.e. the private money is issued using us as collateral, a de-facto legalized privatized counterfeiting monopoly given by fraudy legislation. The Fed owners make the rules for their benefit! And the rest of the World is accepting this fiat currency as their reserve under US military threat!! A BIG FRAUD ON ALL!!! REMEMBER, FIAT MONEY DOES NOT CREATE GOODS & SERVICES. WORK CREATES GOODS & SERVICES!!! IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THIS WHEN WE DISCUSS INTEREST AND USURY LATER.

The biggest problem facing all human beings today is the creeping slavery created by the private monopoly on the issuance and creation of "fiat" money at no liability to themselves (money created from nothing by the stroke of a pen or keyboard) that the Illuminati Bankers who own the central and local banks have managed to usurp from the people at least since the creation of the private central Bank of Rome in 45 AC, the private central Bank of England in 1694, the private US Federal Reserve Banks in 1913 and so on! These Illuminati Banking Dynasties lend this "fiat" money to the people's Governments, corporations and individuals charging interest on this money which they created from nothing and also make gains by manipulating the issuance of money; the debt and the associated interest payments are inherited and grow from generation to generation forever and have enslaved past, present and future generations eternally!! The only way to momentarily stop this pyramid scheme from collapsing is for governments to keep borrowing more and more!!! Whenever this pyramid collapses, the Illuminati Banksters go on a buying spree for bargains while others suffer!!!! Another way to keep this pyramid going is to create wars & terror so that all sides have to borrow money from the Banksters!!!! Meanwhile, the number of enslaved peoples World-wide keeps growing begging for handouts at the mercy of the Illuminati Banksters whose wealth and power keep skyrocketing!!!! In order to keep their mafia cash cow or Golden Calf system going, the Illuminati Banksters use some of their immense wealth to buy influence and propaganda fronts to blame the Earth's problems on all other systems and religions thus diverting attention from themselves and the fact that they have usurped the power to create money from the people's sovereign governments. The money the BankLords create at no liability to themselves is redeemable for any goods or services produced by anyone willing to accept the private Federal Reserve dollar currency notes and cheques.

Thomas Jefferson: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance... If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered... The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the government to whom it properly belongs."

Illuminati (Globalists): "The Illuminati is a group that practices a form of faith known as "enlightenment". It is Luciferian (Satanic Idolatry or the Synagogue of Satan as referred to in the Bible), and teaches followers that their roots go back to the ancient mystery religions of Babylon, Egypt, and Celtic druidism. They have taken what they consider the "best" of each, the foundational practices, and joined them together into a strongly Occult discipline. Many groups at the local level worship a trinity of ancient deities such as "El", "Baal", and "Ashtarte", as well as "Isis and Osiris" and "Set".... "I do know that these people teach and practice evil." In the "The Dying God, The Hidden History of Western Civilization" Montreal historian David Livingstone shows that modern secular culture is really the product of an Occult tradition that can be traced back to ancient Babylon through Masons, Rosicrucians, Templars, Plato and the Cabalists". The Prophets of God invite us to be freed from this slavery of the Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews. Note that the ancient elites created and perpetrated the Occult and Idolatry traditions to keep the masses occupied while they reap their wealth.

Background sign: "Israeli government: stop oppressing religious Jewry in the State of Israel." Jews Against Zionism protesting against Zionism in New York Feb 12, 2002.



Pharisee: "One of the members of a school or party among the ancient Jews noted for the strict formal observance of the rites and validity of traditions of the elders. Pharisee interpretation provided the standard of observation and belief for the great majority of the Jews from the 1st century A.C. Pharisaic, hypocritical, self righteous and censorious of others" -- Webster's New International Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd edition, 1950. **PHARISEES ARE A SECRET ORDER WHO PRETEND TO BE ISRAELITES JUDEANS! Yeshua-Joshua-Issa had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees (the usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) in Matthew 23. Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men. Looking at "God - the Ultimate Paradox", David Ash tells us (p.69:) that in ancient times, Jews "had a governing body ... known as the 'Council of Elders"**

dominated by the Pharisees. In the 19th century this council "described itself as the learned Elders of Zion". We learn that there is a group within the Elders which infiltrates and creates secret societies - such as the Masons - known as 'The Brotherhood of the Snake ... In modern times this brotherhood has adopted the title of Illuminati" (p.74) and created Zionism. A lot more on this later.

Representative Wright Patman, former Chairman of a House Banking Committee said: "The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government bonds... The Federal Reserve Bank is a total money making machine." [Monopoly on counterfeiting money]

In 1913, before the Senate Banking and Currency Committee, Mr. Alexander stated:

"But the whole scheme of a Federal Reserve Bank with its commercial-paper basis is an impractical, cumbersome machinery, is simply a cover, to find a way to secure the privilege of issuing money and to evade payment of as much tax upon circulation as possible, and then control the issue and maintain, instead of reduce, interest rates. It is a system that, if inaugurated, will prove to the advantage of the few and the detriment of the people of the United States. It will mean continued shortage of actual money and further extension of credits; for when there is a lack of real money people have to borrow credit to their cost."



Former Federal Reserve Bank Chairman Eccles was asked by Patman, "Mr. Eccles, how did you get the money to buy these two billion dollars of government bonds." Mr. Eccles replied, "We create it." "Out of what?" Patman asked. "Out of the right to issue credit money", i.e. out of nothing. This right to create money out of thin air was given to the Private Federal Reserve Bank by a fraudulent act of Congress in 1913 known as the Federal Reserve Act, same fraud has been happening since the 17th century with the Private Bank of England and other banks. The FED issues Federal Reserve cheques redeemable as Federal Reserve Notes only with no liability to itself. The Fed is not subject to taxes and full audits. The local banks create credit money as well but not currency notes.

Money as detailed in the Introduction, if it's legitimate, is a Commodity Substitute. A commodity substitute is required to facilitate and speed up the exchange of goods and services. Money that is backed by an existing asset is real money. However, today's paper notes and credit balances are like IOUs or promissory notes, i.e. they are a promise to provide a commodity at some point in the future and are not really money. We are living in a system that is producing "fiat" or illusionary money. (<http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/MainPages/Comic.asp?Page=4&Language=English>). Real money is debt free and whether it is a coin or a real money note, it represents real value. Fiat money is a debt and whether it is a Federal Reserve Dollar Note (FRN) or a credit in a bank account, it represents an IOU from the Federal Reserve or local bank against (which no asset exist) to the holder of that account. The private issuer gets to place a demand against everyone else's assets or products and services without any liability to the private issuer, i.e. a big legalized fraud.

[Why do We Need "Money"?](#)

Money is a commodity substitute that facilitates trade. Why do we need money? Well, when we sell or buy some goods or services, in exchange, we can be given:

- a commodity (barter)
- real money (gold, silver or certificates of gold, silver)
- fiat money (a promise to pay such as Federal Reserve Notes known as US dollar notes) or
- other arrangements

The first Roman mint was built in or adjacent to the temple of a goddess named Juno Moneta in 289 B.C. originally producing bronze and later silver coins. Many of these coins were struck with the head of Juno Moneta on the face. Whether this was done in tribute or to identify the mint is not known, but moneta came to be the word for both coin and mint, and eventually the word money. But now we have paper notes:

Irving Fisher: "Thus, our national circulating medium is now at the mercy of loan transactions of banks, which lend, not money, but promises to supply money they do not possess."

Major L.L.B. Angas: "The modern Banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banks can in fact inflate, mint, and un-mint the modern ledger-empty currency."

A quote from a 1924 edition of the American Banker's Association Digest sums up what is currently happening. Keep this in mind when you vote: "When, through the process of law, the common people lose their homes, they will become more docile and more easily governed through the strong arm of government applied by a central power of wealth under leading financiers. These truths are well known among our principal men who are now engaged in forming

imperialism to govern the World. By dividing the voter through the political party system, we can get them to expend their energies in fighting for questions of no importance."

"No State shall...make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." Article I, Section 10, United States' Constitution

"The Congress shall have power...to coin money (and) regulate the value thereof." Article I, Section 8, United States' Constitution.

Most people don't realize that now-a-days the **issuing of money is essentially a private business**, and that the privilege of issuing money has been a major bone of contention throughout history over which **major wars** have been and are being fought, over which **major crimes** have been and are being committed, and over which many nations were destroyed, Kings, Queens, Monarchs, Emperors, Tsars and families like the Kennedys and the Gandhis (in addition to not allowing foreign banks, Mahatma Gandhi said Nov. 26, 1938 "Let the Jews, who claim to be the chosen race, prove their title by choosing the way of non-violence for vindicating their position on earth"), Popes, judges, lawyers, politicians and **six US Presidents were assassinated** (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if you count FDR's poisoning)! Our current system of exchange was created with a profit motive aimed at capitalizing on people's need for currency. The Central Banking System (which produces the actual currency and loans it to governments at interest and sells it to high street banks at face value) was set up as a network of private businesses with official-sounding names, engineered to make a profit for their shareholders. These include the Bank of England (Graham Bannoc R.E. Baxter and Evan Davis, 'The Penguin Dictionary of Economics' page 34 also The Economic Organisation of Europe, 'Cambridge Economic History of Europe V' page 352), The Federal Reserve (Graham Bannoc R.E. Baxter and Evan Davis, 'The Penguin Dictionary of Economics' page 155) and all the other Central Banks throughout the World. Not one of them is democratic! All of them are masters of disguise. Even nationalization has not stopped Bank of England stock holders from receiving their dividends, nor stopped the bank from keeping 75% of its profit, while writing off the 25% it pays to the Treasury as corporation tax (Bank of England Act 1946).

Let's Go FORWARD and understand how the power to create money interest-free was usurped from the people by the "money changers" in the past and how now the Private Central Bankers' dynasties have grown so immensely that they are in almost full control of the people (they use names like Federal Reserve or Bank of England to hide the fact that these banks are really privately owned). In our exploration of the "Hidden History of Money" we will be exposing how seemingly respectable institutions that are bleeding the World dry have been set up, and how they maintain their air of respectability through clever use of legislation, hence the political dimension, and through clever use of distractions, hence the propaganda dimension. We will also be looking at how the privatization of the **production of currency from nothing and then the charging of interest on this "fake" or "fiat" money** has been turned into a means to make huge profits for a given few Illuminati Bankers at the expense of the rest of us. History will disclose how more positive economic plans were proven to work too well, and how they were forced to stop by the institutions their success threatened, sending prospering communities into ruin. Here we will look at what we inherited (and we will explore how we could change it to make it fairer for all in XAT3 link at the end of this section). Because money affects almost every aspect of our lives, how it works is something we should all be interested, however we are mostly discouraged from doing this by experts, who assure us the topic is much too complicated for most of us to grasp. Our accepting this leaves the whole subject largely unexplored, and allows those who do know to carry on unquestioned. As a result it is estimated that only one person in a thousand actually understands how money is created. Reading this will not make you a financial wizard, but it will make you a 'one in a thousand' and hopefully in time help to raise the odds in favor of a better World.

The use of money evolved out of deeply rooted customs as is shown by the study of primitive forms of money, e.g. cattle, cowrie shells, whales' teeth and manilas (ornamental jewellery). The clumsiness of barter was merely one factor in the development of money. Banking was invented before coins and reached a high level of sophistication in the Egypt of the Ptolomies. Military conquests, such as those of Alexander the Great, spread the use of coins which became the most convenient means of payment. From blood money payments in primitive societies to the military-industrial complex of the present day developments in warfare and finance have, unfortunately, been closely connected. Even the word to pay comes from a Latin word meaning to pacify. Warfare played an important part in the spread of the use of coinage and the invention of the national debt, while the adoption of debt-free paper money by the Colonies was both a cause of the American Revolution and a means of financing it against the private Bank of England's tyranny debt-based paper money. The British colonies in North America were chronically short of coins and were forced to use various substitutes including wampum, like the native inhabitants, and tobacco. The enthusiastic adoption of paper money and its suppression by the British was a factor in provoking the American Revolution. Ever since independence, banking has been the subject of political controversy and the US financial system may be in relative decline due to its take over by the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank issued debt-based money by creating it from nothing.

[Understanding FIAT Money \(created from nothing; thus an I OWE U\)](#)

Daniel Webster: "Of all the contrivances for cheating the laboring classes of mankind, none has been more effective than that which deludes them with paper money."

Commodity money is a physical thing, such as gold or silver. It takes work to create it. There are compelling reasons why gold has been the preferred commodity money since antiquity.

Fiat (arbitrary [paper]) money is money that is created out of nothing by banks or central banks and without any work at the cost of a penny per note. Because of material misrepresentations and nondisclosure regarding the fiat "dollar," it is prima facie fraudulent. Fiat money keeps losing its purchasing power. This type of money is actually stock whose value keeps decreasing due to dilution and corrupt issues. The dollar now is only a share counter with no fixed commodity value.

We don't realize until years later, when we attempt to exchange fiat money "savings" for real wealth (shelter, automobiles, food, clothing, etc.), that it takes many more "dollars" to pay for these things than the savers could possibly have anticipated at the start. They find that their money has "melted." A mysterious villain called "inflation" is cited as the cause of the loss of purchasing power. In fact, while people are saving potential claims on wealth, those who receive the interest and transaction fees for creating fiat "dollars" are spending them and consuming the real wealth those claims represent. It takes work to create wealth. "Dollars" are created without any work — how much more work is involved in printing a \$100 bill as compared to a \$1 bill? Not only are ordinary people in the United States being deceived, but foreigners who accept and save those "dollars" in exchange for their goods and services are also being cheated. And these are privately issued and controlled by the banksters! (and inflation is taxed!) If there were full disclosure about fiat "dollars", what elements would need to be included? At a minimum, the disclosure statement on each bill would have to state:

- "Dollars" are not redeemable into anything;
- "Dollars" have value because people believe that other people, both at home and abroad, will continue to accept them for their goods and services;
- In the US, people are forced by law to accept "dollars" for all debts public and private;
- "Dollars" are created out of nothing by the US banking system—mostly by commercial banks;
- If, in the judgment of the Federal Reserve, there needs to be additional "liquidity" in the system, then the Federal Reserve may create additional "dollars" in unlimited quantities. Generation of additional "dollars" will dilute the purchasing power of "dollars" that have been saved or promised for future payment, such as pensions;
- Creation of new "dollars" out of thin air has depreciated "dollar" purchasing power by more than 90 percent since 1950;
- "Dollars" are in no way obligations of the US government (the signatures of the Secretary of the Treasury and the Treasurer are gratuitous);
- "Dollars" are tokens, i.e., a paper tickets;

Janet Bush: "since the end of the Second World War, it has had the unique privilege of "owning" the World's reserve currency - the notes and coins used for trade and investment more than any other currency for 60 years. This confers unimaginable advantages. America is able to ignore the discipline of having to balance its books because, if it runs out of money and can't find anyone to bail it out, it can simply print dollars, inflating away the value of its debt and destroying the value of the assets held by its creditors. In other words, it can threaten financial blackmail."

Without the misrepresentation just described and with full disclosure about the nature of the US dollar, it may very well be that some US citizens might continue to use and save fiat "dollars,". But surely foreigners, who cannot be compelled by US legal tender laws to accept our fiat "dollar," would not save it, nor securities denominated in it, as they have been doing - to the tune of approximately \$2 trillion. This non-disclosure of material facts contributes to the fiat money fraud. Why we are in danger from the fraudulent fiat monetary system: The problem with fiat money, the kind we have now, is that the temptation for its creators — bankers, central bankers and/or politicians — to manipulate it for their own benefit, fraudulently transferring the wealth of society to themselves by employing coercion, misrepresentation and nondisclosure—has been so overwhelming that they have never been able to resist that temptation. Fiat money is not wealth; it is merely a potential claim on wealth. As people realize that the real wealth on which the fiat money has a potential claim does not exist, the fiat money is said to "melt." When fiat money melts, interest rates increase, the purchasing power of savings, pensions, and all forms of future payments denominated in the fiat money are greatly reduced, and people lose their jobs — all through no fault of their own. The suffering of ordinary people becomes palpable. In the US, the massive creation of nearly \$6 trillion in new "dollars" has already depreciated the purchasing power of the fiat "dollar" by more than ninety percent since 1950. Gold-as-money — honest monetary weights and measures — has competition: fiat money. The creators of fiat money, banks and central banks, despite their vastly inferior product, have succeeded because of coercion, misrepresentation and nondisclosure. It is significant that, historically, gold did not become money because some potentate or government designated it so. Gold (and silver) have been the choice of the people in open markets from antiquity.

The word "dollar" was used by Shakespeare and derives from "thaler" the name of a European German coin (central European thalers, Scandinavian dalers, the Spanish peso, the American dollar, and dollars used in Britain and the British Empire, and in China). Bank could be an old German word for bench, referring to the bench on which one sat while discussing loan arrangements and interest charges. Some say the word 'bank' originated from Chartres in 11th century France where money-changers stood at benches which their place of business; 'Bench' in French is 'banc', and so the money people became known as 'banquiers', or as we call them now, 'Bankers'. Yet, some others say that Italians were involved in the transfer of papal taxes from Scotland and the origin of the word bank is the 'banco' or bench used by the Italian merchants and money lenders. The money changers of Lombardia used to sit behind their wooden desks and, therefore, the place became known as Banco. And some others say that it was originated by released Crusaders who while being POWs under Muslim custody saw the Arabs conducting loan, exchange and issue of money on wooden tables or banko in Italian. All great republics throughout history cherished sound money. This meant that the monetary unit was a

commodity of honest weight and purity. When money was sound, civilizations were found to be more prosperous and freedom thrived. The less free a society becomes, the greater the likelihood its **money is being debased** and the economic well-being of its citizens diminished unless it was temporarily boosted by empire building and exploitation of weaker nations. The Founding Fathers were well aware of the Biblical admonitions against dishonest weights and measures, debased silver, and watered-down wine (these admonitions can also be found in the Quran and some other religious and non-religious books). The issue of sound money throughout history has been as much a moral issue as an economic and political issue. Since the monetary unit measures every economic transaction, from wages to prices, taxes, and interest rates, it is vitally important that its value is honestly established without Illuminati Bankers, government, politicians, or the privately owned central banks manipulating its value to serve special interests.

President James Madison: "History records that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance."

Money, money, money, it's always just been there, right? **Wrong.** Obviously it's issued by the government to make it easy for us to exchange things. **Wrong again!**

Truth is most people don't realise that the issuing of money is essentially a private business, and that the privilege of issuing money has been a major bone of contention throughout history. Wars have been fought and depressions have been caused in the battle over who issues the money; however the majority of us are not aware of this, and this is largely due to the fact that the winning side became and increasingly continues to be a vital and respected member of our global society, having an influence over large aspects of our lives including our education, our media and our governments. While we might feel powerless in trying to stop the manipulation of money for private profit at our expense, it is easy to forget that we collectively give money its value. We have been taught to believe printed pieces of paper have special value, and because we know others believe this too, we are willing to work all our lives to get what we are convinced others will want. An honest look at history will show us how our innocent trust has been misused and the power to create money has been usurped from the people. Remember that "money" is a commodity substitute that facilitates and speeds up the exchange of goods and services. Consider the American Indians using Wampum, West Africans trading in decorative metallic objects called Manillas and the Fijians economy based on whales teeth, some of which are still legal tender; add to that shells, amber, ivory, decorative feathers, cattle including oxen & pigs, a large number of stones including jade and quartz which have all been used for trade across the World, and we get a taste of the variety of accepted currency. There is something charming and dreamlike, imagining primitive societies, our ancestors, using all these colourful forms of money. As long as everyone concerned can agree on a value, this is a sensible thing for a community to do. After all, the person who has what you need might not need what you have to trade. Also, physical money made of gold or silver gets heavy and presents a logistics problem. Paper Money with real gold or silver backing solves these problems neatly. **Real value with each exchange, and everyone gaining from the convenience.** The idea is really intuitively inspired which might explain why so many diverse minds came up with it.

[The US Dollar and World Enslavement](#)

Edited and extracted from an article by Janet Bush in The New Statesman, Monday 4th October 2004. America's huge, fiat-dollar-based all-conquering economy is genuinely vulnerable and its fragility coincides with an expanding and expensive military exposure around the World. The US has bought the World's production and resources with a supply of fiat-dollars, i.e. a promise to pay or debt because all US dollars in cash on in bank accounts represent a debt. Although this debt is against the privately owned Federal Reserve, it is not redeemable from the FED (the outlook is always rosy for the owners of the FED), i.e. it is only redeemable by acquiring US products and services. As long as the holders of these fiat-dollars keep accepting them, the US gets away with buying for nothing. When these international holders of fiat-dollars decide to spend them to acquire US goods and services, a massive inflation will occur as the US will finally have to deliver on its promises to pay. The holders of these fiat-dollars will receive a lot less value than they expect, if any. The US can always change its mind about the foreign US dollar holdings and this has already been discussed in some government circles. To survive with a high standard of living, the US will have to conquer its lenders (before they dispose of their dollars or switch to the Euro) just like the Roman Empire did, thus avoiding repayment of its debt. US bestseller lists are stuffed with books agonizing about whether the American empire is finally coming to a close; uncanny parallels are drawn between the military and financial overstretch of imperial Rome and imperial Washington. George W Bush's pre-emptive doctrine in foreign policy is enormously costly; on top of billions of dollars' worth of tax cuts, largely for the rich, it is prohibitive. It is predicted that the federal budget deficit will reach \$2.4 trillion over the next ten years. To finance that deficit, the US needs to persuade investors - more often than not from overseas - to lend it up to \$4bn net every day.

Even without America's apparently infinite capacity for foreign military adventurism (how much would tackling Iran or North Korea cost?), its fiscal outlook seems dire. Two experts on the US budget position, Niall Ferguson and Laurence Kotlikoff, estimate that the country faces a \$45 trillion gap between the money it has and the money it needs to finance existing social security, Medicare and pensions commitments ahead. It is not just the federal government that is in trouble. When adjusted for inflation, the wage for the average American male working full-time has fallen over the past 30 years; and, because of that, five times as many mothers - even those with young children - must now work to make a decent living wage. Not since the Depression have Americans saved so little and, at the same time as running down their rainy-day money, been so neck-deep in debt. By 2003, total debt had soared to \$37 trillion; that's \$128,560 for every man, woman and child in the country. Some of that debt has been racked up on mass retail therapy (Americans love to

say "when the going gets tough, the tough go shopping"), but a lot of it has been the inevitable result of the Average American Joe struggling to make ends meet.

The richest nation on earth is living way beyond its means, and yet the Bush administration blithely continues to spend. It takes no steps to rein in the deficit - sometimes, indeed, it seems that the deeper the deficit, the more reckless the administration becomes. No other nation on earth can behave this way - in every other case, governments know that if their deficits run out of control, they will be "punished" by the market: investors will lose confidence, interest rates will have to be raised to attract capital, financing the deficit will become more expensive, and a vicious spiral will be in place. Why, then, can the US run a deficit of more than 5 per cent of its gross domestic product and still manage to attract \$4bn a day at interest rates of only 1.75 per cent? The US has been running a bubble economy for decades; the recent dotcom boom and bust was a minor episode in a history of deficit-financing since the 1960s. Then, as now, the US borrowed with impunity; whereas other countries have always had to choose between guns and butter - foreign policy expansionism or domestic prosperity - the United States has spent profligately on both; George W Bush has emptied the coffers on tax cuts for the wealthy and Afghanistan and Iraq. There has never been a shortage of people willing to lend to the US; after all, as the richest nation on earth, it has to be good for the money, doesn't it? Even when investors have become nervous about its profligacy, there has been plenty of credit because the US economy is a crucial market for the World's producers. In living memory, the United States has been the sole engine powering the World economy: there has been no alternative to continually injecting it with fuel. But the US has a stick to add to the carrot: since the end of the Second World War, it has had the unique privilege of "owning" the World's reserve currency - the notes and coins used for trade and investment more than any other currency for 60 years. This confers unimaginable advantages. America is able to ignore the discipline of having to balance its books because, if it runs out of money and can't find anyone to bail it out, it can simply print dollars, inflating away the value of its debt and destroying the value of the assets held by its creditors. In other words, it can threaten financial blackmail.

In the 1970s, European and Asian governments started complaining about lending America the money to finance the Vietnam war; the US simply responded by engineering a catastrophic fall in the dollar, which erased its deficit over time but also crucified the economies of its trading partners in the process. Now, with the deficit soaring again to finance foreign wars, the US is repeating the trick. It has encouraged the dollar to fall; exporters to the US such as China and Japan have had no choice but to try to arrest that decline to protect their trade. How? By buying dollars, which they invest in US treasury bonds, thus financing the deficit. How very neat. But the United States cannot count in perpetuity on winning this game of financial chicken, based on the pre-eminence of the dollar. Its angriest political enemies have worked out the game and have been mulling over their counter-moves. A plan being pushed in particular by Mahathir Mohamed, former prime minister of Malaysia, for a new gold-backed Islamic currency - the dinar - is a rallying point. Rejection of the dollar is increasingly being used as an act of political aggression, and nowhere more acutely than in oil-producing countries. The trailblazer was none other than Saddam Hussein who, in 2000, announced that Iraq would henceforth make all its oil trades in euros, a decision that conspiracy theorists - and not a few eminent Middle Eastern experts - say triggered the US invasion. The United States derives substantial benefits from the dollar being the established currency of the oil industry. Because most countries import oil, they must maintain reserves in dollars to pay for it - two-thirds of the World's currency reserves are kept in dollars. This is a major factor upholding the dollar's position as the World's reserve currency; a switch out of dollars in the oil industry would be a major assault on the currency's pre-eminence (the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily).

In April 2003, Indonesia's state oil company, PERTAMINA, said it was considering using the Euro for its oil and gas trades. Even more significantly, in October last year President Vladimir Putin hinted that Russia, the World's second-largest oil exporter, might switch to euros. A Russian move would be enough to tip the balance for other major oil producers. As Arab disapproval of the US war in Iraq has mounted, so a consensus for switching out of dollars has been building; Opec has openly discussed the option and even Saudi Arabia, once America's staunchest Middle Eastern ally, is reported to be considering rejecting the dollar. For now, the Euro is the most viable alternative; in future, it could be the Islamic dinar or, far more likely, a new Asian currency. Western storytellers would relate the tale of the emperor, his new clothes and the little boy who saw his nakedness; perhaps Arab fablers might talk about desert mirages. America's economic dominance was once real; it is now a receding reality, a confidence trick. Washington might ponder that before scattering its dollars and daisy-cutter bombs next time around. Janet Bush is writing a book about the unraveling of the dollar hegemony. This article first appeared in the New Statesman www.newstatesman.com .

Usury in the Babylonian & Roman Empires

Sadly, perhaps in the depth of the forest within earshot of people naturally involved in fair trade, a leech of an idea was being hatched which would prey on every individual needing trade to survive. This idea and the enslaving process which it spawned was called usury (this is a precursor to the power of issuing currency without any asset to back it!); and despite being condemned Worldwide by great thinkers, major religious institutions, and many social reformers on moral, ethical, spiritual and legal grounds, the process continues World wide, today better known as the charging of interest on both tangible real and spontaneously created "fiat" money from nothing. It often helps us to understand something if we examine origins. Throughout recorded history the practice of usury, along with the practice of giving false measure, has been condemned. Aristotle and Plato in ancient Greece denounced it as well as prophets in the Old Testament and early Christians. Yeshua-Joshua, it should be remembered, drove usurers out of the Temple in Jerusalem and this played a part in bringing about his apparent crucifixion by the Roman Pharisee King Pailatoos (Pilates). Buddhists have condemned it and the Quran does so as well (and probably other religious and non-religious books). While the old usurers at least lent

their own money, the modern ones, the Bankers, are able to create it out of nothing. The moneylender, conceived in Babylon and Rome . . . gave birth to usury. **The word usury comes from** the Latin *usus* meaning "used" and the word *usuria* which means demanding in return for a loan a greater amount than was borrowed. Usury started in ancient Rome and is practiced by the Vatican. Here are some unexpected remarks by the Pope on usury (by the way, why did the Pope get shot in May 1981? Did he deviate from the Illuminati line or was there some internal disagreement among themselves? More on the Bank of Rome soon):

St. [Thomas Aquinas](#), the leading theologian of the [Catholic](#) Church, argued charging of interest is wrong because it applies to "double charging", charging for both the thing and the use of the thing. Aquinas said that a lender charges for the loan by requiring the loan to be paid back, in other words, the payback for the loan is the charge for the loan. Any further charge is a charge for using the loan. Aquinas said this would morally wrong in the same way as if one sold a bottle of wine, charged for the bottle of wine, and then charged for the person using the wine to actually drink it (which is the de-facto transaction when you take the loan and buy something with it).

VATICAN CITY, NOV. 22, 2000 (ZENIT.org).- John Paul II made an urgent appeal this morning against the "worrying phenomenon of usury," and called for help for victims of "this spreading plague." At the end of the general audience, attended by 40,000 faithful, the Pope raised his voice and appealed for "generous commitment in the battle against this merciless abuse of others' need." Among the faithful were members of the Italian Association of Foundations Against Usury and delegates of several regional foundations. Addressing them, the Pope said: "Usury is a social plague that is spreading and it is totally necessary to go to the aid of all those who are trapped in this net of injustice and grave sufferings." "I sincerely hope that, in the context of the Jubilee Year, thanks to the contribution of all, concrete steps may be taken to eliminate this grave scourge," the Pope said. John Paul II has spoken on several occasions against this abuse and has exhorted banks to be inspired in the principles of cooperation and solidarity to combat this phenomenon. On Nov. 11, when he met with directors and employees of Bank of Rome, the Pontiff asked the credit institutions to commit themselves even more to the struggle against usury. He exhorted them to resolutely support people with financial problems, and not just seek the highest profit.

Usury is extensive in Italy, due, in part, to its banking system. A few days ago, the Supreme Court condemned some Italian banks for imposing interest rates on clients with overdrawn accounts that were "higher" than those of "usury." [Ed: In fact, usury is wrong, REGARDLESS of the interest charged. Usury is the imposition of any interest on an UNPRODUCTIVE loan. Belloc explains it very well -- and the WHOLE banking system is based on it]. **Just why usury (and giving false measure) is a negative force was explained in a previous section in great detail.** Lets again explain using a new analogy imagining our primitive tribe trading among themselves in an isolated community. As they trade using their accepted beads or stones, with each transaction both the buyer and seller benefit. Along comes an individual with a bit more of an **acceptable currency** which he offers to lend to the one who has less or to the one who cannot barter or who does not have the **acceptable currency!**, so long as the poorer member agrees to pay back all plus a bit more in a given time. Immediately the poorer member is now even poorer because has to pay back what he now holds plus a percentage of what he will hold and has yet to find. This transaction in itself will not have increased the overall produce of the community, yet now the lender owns a bit more of the overall wealth without having added anything to it. This action, far from having helped the poorer member has actually deprived everyone. One such transaction might not appear to make a huge difference, but multiply the effects and soon our lender will have a growing pile of currency which he has acquired while adding absolutely nothing to the community. **If the community we imagine once consisted of individuals trading fairly to survive, now everyone who owes is working for the lender (see the Thomas Edison quote on usury).** The lender might seem like the good guy and the borrower might be grateful for the loan, but in fact, the contract entered into is no favor and the lender is really only preying on the poor and giving nothing. One may argue that if the borrower succeeds in surviving another day or in producing something and selling it for a profit, then the borrower benefited. But he had to give away a part of his labor to pay interest to the lender and will be fighting with others to acquire the money to pay the interest. The lender earned another piece of gold by lending a piece of gold and making no apparent effort. This is known as the parasitic effect and cannot be considered fair trade. **To illustrate, the word mort-gage word is derived from Latin 'mort' - death, and the Old Norman 'gage' - grip, i.e. "death-grip".**

But what is this thing called excessive interest? **(Latin *usus* meaning "used" and the word *usuria* which means demanding in return for a loan a greater amount than was borrowed)**

Thomas Edison said: "People who will not turn a shovel-full of dirt on the project nor contribute a pound of materials will collect more money than will the people who will supply all the materials and do all the work."

Usury leaves a bad taste because it allows the rich to get richer while not actually producing anything new for the community and while taking advantage of those less fortunate by making them even poorer. Let's take this one step further into fractional banking. To understand Fractional Reserve Banking it's necessary to understand where bank notes came from: In the olden times, people deposited their silver and gold money at goldsmiths (where it was safely deposited in the goldsmiths' strongboxes). In return they received a note. Over time those notes got used in trade, as they were much more convenient to carry around than heavy metal money - thus paper money was born. As soon as bank notes were accepted as a means of payment, the goldsmiths/money lenders recognized that all the people who had their gold and silver money deposited at their place would never want it back at the same time (unless there is a "run on the bank"). Therefore they could lend "virtual" (and you thought cyber space was a recent invention!) money in the form of notes, keeping only a fraction of the "real" gold and silver money in reserve (usually around 10% of the amount lent)

out in note form, fractional reserve of 10%). Today, privately owned Central/National/Federal Reserve Banks usually have a nation/union-wide monopoly of issuing bank notes.

On January 24, 1939, Robert H. Hemphill, credit manager of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta stated: "If all the bank loans were paid no one would have a bank deposit and there would not be a dollar of coin or currency in circulation. This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the banks create ample synthetic money we are prosperous: if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is. It (the banking problem) is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood and the defects remedied very soon." This usually ends up with depressions, bail-outs, bankruptcies, failures or countries and individuals selling their assets to the mega-banking dynasties at bargain basement prices, i.e. slavery. At first glance, fractional banking looks like a good deal for everyone. The banks get more profit. The people can get quicker and easier loans. More capital is available to engage in commerce. Production picks up. But, sooner or later, more and more people can not make their loan payments. An unseen by-product of fractional banking is: it makes some people rich, (about 250,000) and leaves many more people very poor (about 150 million). All the while, fractional banking creates compounding, unpayable public and private debt, which causes the cost of living to constantly go up for all Americans and others throughout the World.

[More Details on Usury](#)

In its broadest historic connotation, usury has meant unearned profit of any kind. This would apply to government profit above the true costs of government service. It would apply to government charges for unassented service. It would apply to charges attached to a currency which truly only represents the people's debts to each other. It would apply to any prejudice of trade which intervenes upon receipt of wealth equal to the production delivered in every attempt at equal trade. Usury exists then, wherever any party is deprived of receiving for their production, production of equal value. Usury exists wherever any person fails to realize prosperity equal to their doings. A narrower category of usury applies to charging interest for money. Usury is forbidden to Christianity. The Islamic equivalent is "Riba." Riba is forbidden to both the giver and taker. Judaism forbids usury among the Semitic, but preaches usury against the non-Semitic. Mayer Amschel Rothschild was prepared by his father to become a Rabbi. Ferociously, he deployed the teachings of unearned profit instead to multiply an embezzled fortune into the House of Rothschild — precursor to the modern, World-wide network of central banks. The money changers of Christ's famous episode at the over-run Temple practiced this ethic of unearned profit, and unfair trade. Fittingly, usury has been called, an abomination that makes desolation. Hence the great historic, social, and religious significance of usury. The economic instability, mal-distribution of production, and uncertainty of modern times, are solely the product of usury.

Without qualification, advocates of unearned profit suggest that "interest" is somehow not usury — that while "usurious" interest rates are indeed harmful, there are lower magnitudes of usury which are not. Whether interest is truly distinguishable from usury therefore, can be understood by examining the possible magnitudes of "interest." Unless our purpose is deception necessary itself to unearned profit, why and how establish an ostensibly "legal," ostensibly serviceable, ostensibly conducive, ostensibly undamaging rate of usury, called "interest"? Unless we can distinguish the consequences of some ostensible rate of "interest" from the consequences of usury, then introduction of the more recent term would serve no purpose whatsoever but to perpetrate usury upon an unapprehending public. Unearned profit is championed by modern "economics" — in every possible instance, solely at the cost of true producers. But is there a magnitude of interest which is not usurious? While this too is the preaching of the modern "economist," no "economist" in eternity has shown how. Where any part of the circulation whatsoever is subject to any magnitude of "interest" whatsoever, the circulation can only be maintained by re-borrowing payments against principal and interest, as subsequent debts, increased so much as periodic interest. No matter the magnitude of interest or usury, debt is multiplied in proportion to the circulation. The magnitude of interest or usury therefore only regulates the rate at which debt is multiplied in proportion to the commerce. As debt is multiplied in proportion to given commerce, ever less of a given circulation is available to sustain commerce, because ever more of the given circulation is subverted to delivering unearned profit in the form of interest on the multiplied debt. Eventually then, the costs of servicing debt exceed the capacity to sustain commerce; and thus, regardless of the ostensible magnitude of interest, any possible rate of interest likewise multiples debt into unconscionable, unearned profit, in the identical form of the maximum possible, affordable sum of interest, on some insoluble sum of debt. Just the same, interest ultimately renders collapse under insoluble debt. Interest is usury. Interest is usurious. Interest Multiplies Debt Into Insoluble Debt Any 'economy' subject to interest-bearing debt, ultimately terminates itself under insoluble debt. <http://www.perfecteconomy.com/pg-interest-multiplies-debt.html>

We hold these truths to be self-evident: That all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness; that, to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed... Thomas Jefferson, United States Declaration of Independence. Why however, would any society forego a currency consistent with free enterprise, for a currency subject to profit? As money is not given to circulation, it can only come into circulation as evidence of debt. Ideally, in order to accommodate commerce, new circulation is provided proportionate to new production, and withdrawn with the expiration of that production. The quantity of circulation required to sustain the respective commerce, is the value of the production. A debtor certifies the value, by assuming a debt of so much. The debtor thus assumes responsibility to pay for the production with an equal measure of their own work, if and only if, fulfilling the obligation of the debt requires an equal measure of production. Where the currency is solely an immutable

medium of exchange, to repay the debt is to deliver so much production. If the rate of payment equals the rate of consumption, then in every such case, total circulation equals the current value of production, both as necessary to sustain trade, and as necessary to fulfill the obligations of debt. Where currency is introduced to circulation as a debt subject to interest however, then across the breadth of the system, and in every such case, not enough circulation exists to pay the full obligations of debt — which include interest.

In the payment of complete debt obligations, the circulation is necessarily depleted more so than exists. Merely to maintain a circulation, it is necessary to re-borrow payments against principal and interest as subsequent debts, increased so much as periodic interest. Where the circulation is subject to interest, debt perpetually increases then in proportion to the circulation or commerce which can be sustained by it. As the sum of debt grows beyond the circulation, a given rate of interest predicates ever greater periodic interest on debt. Thus, the further debt grows, and the further we progress through the potential lifespan of such a system, then until the brink of system-wide insoluble debt is reached, the greater the increments by which debt grows further. Given any such rate of growth of debt in proportion to commerce then, ultimately the costs of servicing debt exceed soluble continuation of commerce, and, under the ever more disproportionate profits of usury, the system collapses under insoluble debt. Any 'economy' subject to interest-bearing debt, ultimately terminates itself under insoluble debt. Mike Montagne — PEOPLE For Mathematically Perfected Economy™. To find the players in all the corruption of the World, "Follow the money." To find the captains of World corruption, "Follow the money all the way."

Fed monetary policy in the late 1970's led to double digit inflation and a prime rate that eventually reached 21.5% in 1981. This caused the collapse of the Savings and Loan Industry. Congress, accommodating the banking lobby, passed the Garn-St Germain Act to bail out the Savings and Loans. Stimulated by a rush of new money created by the Fed, attractive real estate tax laws, and the authority to directly invest in real estate deals, the Savings and Loans quickly created a speculative bubble of overvalued real estate. By 1990 the massive amount of bad real estate loans caused a banking crisis. The Resolution Trust Corp. was formed to market foreclosed real estate, and the biggest write down of real estate assets since the Great Depression began. Thus, in a period of 12 years, the Fed was obliged to bail out both the Savings and Loan and the banking industries as a direct result of its own monetary policy. Incredibly, the losses were absorbed, not by the Fed, but by the taxpayers and the shareholders of the local institutions that collapsed. Millions of Americans went bankrupt in the early 1990's and to this day don't understand what happened.

A vital respect of freedom itself, is freedom from USURY. <http://www.perfecteconomy.com/pg-quotes.html>

One of the most incredible marks of our time is the fact so few realize there is a vital death struggle between the proponents of the World's "central bank" "economies" and we ourselves — those to whom these systems comprise such incredible cost. The rectification of economy has been an objective of human development for millenia. The manifestations of systems imposed to multiply unearned profits to the modern usurer are many. But as all detriments manifest from "interest" multiplying debt, payment of debt so as production cannot be procured by trade of equal production, and restriction of the circulation, economy is perfected by the most basic mathematic processes. And so, a fact of mathematically perfected economy is inevitable.

Biblical: In you, men accept bribes to shed blood. You take usury, and make unjust gain from your neighbors by extortion. You have forgotten me. I will surely strike my hands together, disapproving the unjust gain you have made and the blood you have shed in the midst of it. Will your courage endure or your hands be strong in the day I deal with you? Take no usury (unearned/unjust profit) or interest; and fear your God, that your brother may live at peace with you. "It is from numberless diverse acts of courage and belief that human history is shaped. Each time a person stands up for an ideal, or acts to improve the lot of others, or strikes out against injustice, s/he sends forth a tiny ripple of hope, and crossing each other from a million different centers of energy and daring, those ripples build a current which can sweep down the mightiest walls of oppression."

The Jews forbade the practice of usury within their own community, while they permitted it to be practiced against outsiders. This is one of the many instances of the Pharisees having altered the revelations in open rebellion against God.

Robert F. Kennedy: "Are we disposed to be of the numbers of those who, having eyes, see not, and, having ears, hear not, the things which so nearly concern their temporal salvation? For my part, whatever anguish of spirit it may cost, I am willing to know the whole truth, to know the worst, and to provide for it."

Patriot Leader, Patrick Henry: "Those who would trade freedom for security deserve neither."

Benjamin Franklin: Representing the colonists to England, Benjamin Franklin explained the relatively perfected form of economy we had devised here. After elaborating on the full prosperity intrinsic to an economy sustained by an interest-free currency, and how to regulate such a currency, he then answers a challenge as to how government and taxation could be implemented in such a system. His arrogant foes had assumed he could not answer: "One day, as each township needs the services of the other township's mills, it is proposed to build a bridge across the river. A group of men agree to build the bridge to agreed standards, and when we agree on a fee to pay them for this service, likewise we simply create the necessary money on paper, and pay them." "As the increased commerce requires the increased circulation to sustain that much commerce, there likewise is no inflation; and we have provided in all ways to sustain the desired commerce." "To fund the proper services of government, such as the creation of this bridge, we have no need to levy taxes or subject our people to eternal multiplication of debt." The colonial economy of course, catapulted by freedom from the inherently and irreversibly multiplying costs of usury, flourished far beyond that of the mother country — suffering at the hand of the

vast political corruption necessary to and never missing from the imposition of usury. The reaction of this corrupt government was immediate. British Parliament sent Franklin back to America with an order to give up their new economy. They ordered the colonists to give up their "Continental Colonial Scrip", and forced them instead to use as currency, notes issued by the Bank "Of England." For this imposed currency, they were charged near thirty-percent annual interest. The economy crashed. A revolution broke out. Due to the destruction of history since, few now realize this was the principal reason for the revolution.

Prior to the war with England, many colonists had strong ties with friends in Europe. The governments of Europe however were threatened by the colonial uprising, particularly as the principles and objectives of the revolution could have inspired similar challenges at home. Their reaction to those sympathetic to the American cause was persecution much like we would now endure in resisting unjust taxation, usury, and taking up every such still compelling cause. Almost no European citizens dared openly support the colonial efforts... and in many cases, association with the colonists, even former associations, substantially endangered European citizens to their authoritarian governments. Most associations with Americans therefore were broken at the onset of the Revolution. In repairing such a break, Franklin later explained the real cause of the American Revolution to friends in Europe: "We would have gladly borne the little tax on tea, and other matters, had it not been that they took from us our money, which created great unemployment and dissatisfaction. Within a year, the poor houses were filled. The hungry and homeless walked the streets everywhere." Mistake not the downplay of the role of "unjust taxation" — which itself in many instances indeed referred to usury itself. The effects of imposed taxations, just as today, were minuscule in comparison to the effects of a currency subject to interest. By revolution, the colonists set themselves free from the imposition of a usury "economy." But the struggle was not over. Immediately, these same bankers attempted to subvert the formative processes of the fledgling nation. Thomas Jefferson headed much of the resistance to these efforts, which nonetheless succeeded in establishing the first "national bank(s)" here. Each was terminated after a tumultuous history of instability and corruption which pales in contrast to that of the present "Federal Reserve." SEE also: PARABLE of Perfect Economy "It's quite simple. We have created our own currency."

Thomas Jefferson was a champion of the people: "If the American People EVER allow the banks to issue the currency, their children will wake up homeless on the continent their forefathers established." "A democracy cannot be ignorant and free." "I sincerely believe that banking establishments are more dangerous than standing armies, and that the principle of spending money to be paid by posterity under the name of funding is but swindling futurity on a large scale." "In questions of power, let no more be heard of confidence in man, but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the Constitution." "I place economy among the first and most important virtues, and public debt as the greatest of dangers. To preserve our independence, we must not let our rulers load us with perpetual debt." "Only lay down true principles, and adhere to them inflexibly. Do not be frightened into their surrender by the alarms of the timid, or the croakings of wealthy against the ascendancy of the people. The true foundation of republican government is the equal right of every citizen, in his person and property, and in their management. Try by this, as a tally, every provision of our Constitution, and see if it hangs directly on the will of the people." "The incorporation of a bank and the powers assumed, have not, in my opinion, been delegated to the United States by the Constitution. They are not among the powers specially enumerated." "It has always been denied by the republican party in this country, that the Constitution had given the power of incorporation to Congress. On the establishment of the Bank of the United States, this was the great ground on which that establishment was combated; and the party prevailing supported it only on the argument of its being an incident to the power given them for raising money." "Instead of funding issues of paper on the hypothecation of specific redeeming taxes, we are trusting to tricks of jugglers on the cards, to the illusions of banking schemes for the resources of the war, and for the cure of colic, to inflations of more wind." "The Bank of the United States is one of the most deadly hostilities existing against the principles and form of our Constitution. An institution like this, penetrating by its branches every part of the Union, acting by command and in phalanx, may, in a critical moment, upset the government. I deem no government safe which is under the vassalage of any self-constituted authorities, or any other authority than that of the nation, or its regular functionaries. What an obstruction could not this bank of the United States, with all its branch banks, be in time of war? It might dictate to us the peace we should accept, or withdraw its aid. Ought we then to give further growth to an institution so powerful, so hostile?" "The system of banking is a blot left in all our Constitutions, which, if not covered, will end in their destruction. I sincerely believe that banking institutions are more dangerous than standing armies; and that the principle of spending money to be paid by posterity is but swindling futurity on a large scale." "The end of democracy and the defeat of the American Revolution will occur when government falls into the hands of the lending institutions and moneyed incorporations." "If we run into such debts as that we must be taxed in our meat and in our drink, in our necessaries and our comforts, in our labors and our amusements, for our callings and our creeds, as the people of England are, our people, like them, must come to labor sixteen hours in the twenty-four, and give the earnings of fifteen of these to the government for their debts and daily expenses. "And the sixteenth being insufficient to afford us bread, we must live, as they do now, on oatmeal and potatoes, have no time to think, no means of calling the mismanagers to account; but be glad to obtain subsistence by hiring ourselves to rivet their chains around the necks of our fellow sufferers. "And this is the tendency of all human governments. A departure from principle in one instance becomes a precedent for a second, that second for a third, and so on 'til the bulk of the society is reduced to be mere automatons of misery, to have no sensibilities left but for sinning and suffering. "And the forehorse of this frightful team is public debt. Taxation follows that, and in its train wretchedness and oppression." "Leave no authority existing not responsible to the people." "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great Legislator of the Universe."

Tenacious Patriot President, John Adams: Pulitzer Prize winning author David McCullough, about his exemplary book, "John Adams" says: The American Revolution was made by British subjects, individual men and women who, by our modern sense of proportions, were amazingly few in number. The war they fought was the most important in our history,

and as too few today seem to understand, it very quickly became a World war. But the revolution began well before the war. As John Adams famously observed, 'The Revolution was in the minds and hearts of the people.' And it changed the World. There was no American nation, no army at the start, no sweeping popular support for rebellion, nor much promise of success. No rebelling people had ever broken free from the grip of colonial empire, and those we call patriots were also clearly traitors to the King. And so, as we must never forget, when they pledged 'their lives, their fortunes, their sacred honor,' it was not in a manner of speaking. We call them Founding Fathers, in tribute, but tend to see them as distant and a bit unreal, like figures in a costume pageant. Yet very real they were, real as all that stirred their 'hearts and minds,' and it has meaning in our time as never before. With change accelerating all around, more and more we need understanding and appreciation of those principles upon which the republic was founded. What were those 'self-evident' truths that so many risked all for, fought for, suffered and died for? What was the source of their courage? Who were those people? I don't think we can ever know enough about them. "History records that the money changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and violent means possible, to maintain their control over governments, by controlling money and its issuance."

President James Madison: "It is proper to take alarm at the first experiment on our liberties. We hold this prudent jealousy to be the first duty of citizens and one of the noblest characteristics of the late Revolution. The free men of America did not wait until usurped power had strengthened itself by exercise and entangled the question in precedents. They saw all the consequences in the principle, and they avoided the consequences by denying the principle." Popular and courageous war-hero of the Battle of New Orleans, President Andrew Jackson toppled yet another instrument of Congressional corruption, the second "Bank of the United States" — which, incredibly, was soon established without qualification, despite the consistently adverse affairs of every such bank in history. "If congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given them to use themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations."

President Andrew Jackson: "I am one of those who do not believe that a national debt is a national blessing, but rather a curse to a republic; inasmuch as it is calculated to raise around the administration a monied aristocracy dangerous to the liberties of the country." Not long after Thomas Jefferson stood against the central bankers at the founding of the United States, Jackson waged a heroic battle to cast away the Second Bank of the United States — a central bank styled in the very same lines as the later, so-called 'Federal Reserve' — likewise adorned with a purposed name, certainly intended to deceive the people. Jackson told the bankers, "You are a den of vipers and thieves. I intend to rout you out. And by eternal God, I WILL rout you out." And he did. But banking and contemporary "financing" as we know it today were not extinguished entirely; and they continued to seek to multiply their wealth at the ever greater expense of the nation. A great clash would soon follow in the midst of the Civil War. The whole history of progress of human liberty shows that all concessions yet made to her august claims have been born of earnest struggle. If there is no struggle, there is no progress. Those who profess to favor freedom, and yet deprecate agitation, are men who want crops without plowing up the ground, they want rain without thunder and lightning, they want the ocean without the awful roar of its many waters. This struggle may be a moral one; or it may be a physical one; or it may be both moral and physical; but it must be a struggle. Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will. Find out just what any people will quietly submit to and you have found the exact measure of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them, and these will continue until they are resisted."

Frederick Douglass: "Find out just what the people will submit to and you have found out the exact amount of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them; and these will continue until they are resisted with either words or blows, or both. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress." "The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspire against it in times of adversity. The banking powers are more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. They denounce as public enemies all who question their methods or throw light upon their crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the bankers in the rear. Of the two, the one at my rear is my greatest foe. [As a most undesirable consequence of the war...] Corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow. The money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until the wealth is aggregated in the hands of a few, and the Republic is destroyed."

President Abraham Lincoln: "The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity." "No duty is more imperative on the Government than the duty it owes the people to furnish them with a sound and uniform currency, and of regulating the circulation of the medium of exchange so that labor will be protected from a vicious currency, and commerce will be facilitated by cheap and safe exchanges." "The available supply of gold and silver being wholly inadequate to permit the issuance of coins of intrinsic value or paper currency convertible into coin in the volume required to serve the needs of the people, some other basis for the issue of currency must be developed, and some means other than that of convertibility into coin must be developed to prevent undue fluctuations in the value of paper currency or any other substitute for money of intrinsic value that may come into use. "The monetary needs of increasing numbers of people advancing toward higher standards of living can and should be met by the Government. Government has the power to regulate the currency and credit of the nation. "Government possessing the power to create and issue currency and credit as money and enjoying the right to withdraw both currency and credit from circulation by taxation and otherwise, need not and should not borrow capital at interest as the means of financing governmental work and public enterprise. "The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity." To my thinking, Abraham Lincoln was perhaps the most

illuminated, comprehensive theorist to his time on the proper nature of currency, and proper role of government in sustaining commerce. Mathematically perfected economy can be directly deduced from his prescriptions. Lincoln is strikingly clear on the proper and practical form and role of currency. Andrew Jackson certainly also deserves tremendous credit for his courageous stance against the imposition of usury. Abraham Lincoln prepared for his presidency by studying mathematics. Bankers harassed Lincoln to accept an unlimited loan to finance the North against the South in the Civil War. Pondering the costs of mere evidence of debt the country could have issued to itself without interest, Abraham Lincoln too ventured the rectification of economics. Lincoln avoided the usurious national debt the country would have been subject to ever afterward had he instead accepted the mere paper or records of debt of the bankers. He printed the money himself. Bankers and "economists" have since denounced the currency he issued as "inflationary". It was of course no more inflationary than the currency the bankers would have created at virtually no cost whatever. Lincoln's currency lacked only the "interest" by which the bankers would have profited perpetually, until and if, ever the day arrived that debt was repaid. All so much ever-multiplying profit would have been delivered in units of real production of the people, while the bankers provided no real service whatever. Lincoln was soon assassinated — from the rear.

President Andrew Johnson would now, after the vital loss of Lincoln, have to address the national debt accumulated in the misbegotten "financing" of the war beyond the prescriptions of Lincoln: "We should look at the national debt just as it is — not as a national blessing, but as a heavy burden on the industry of the country, to be discharged without unnecessary delay." Andrew Johnson, Dec. 4, 1865: An astute comment from Dick Eastman, who posted a number of these quotes on the Internet: "The only President to be impeached in the first 200 years of U.S. history — now you have a clue to the real motive."

President Woodrow Wilson: "I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation therefore, and all our activities, are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized World — no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men." "Every effort has been made by the Federal Reserve Board to conceal its power. But the truth is, the Federal Reserve Board has usurped the government of the United States. It controls everything here; and it controls our foreign relations. It makes or breaks governments at will. No man, and no body of men, is more entrenched in power than the arrogant credit monopoly which operates the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. These evil-doers have robbed this country of more than enough money to pay the national debt. What the National Government has permitted the Federal Reserve Board to steal from the people should now be restored to the people. The people have a valid claim against the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. If that claim is enforced, Americans will not need to stand in bread lines. Homes will be saved. Families will be kept. What is needed here is a return to the Constitution of the United States. The old struggle that was fought out here in Jackson's day must be fought over again. The Federal Reserve Act should be repealed; and the Federal Reserve Banks — having violated their charters — should be liquidated immediately. Faithless government officers who have violated their oaths of office should be impeached and brought to trial. Unless this is done by us, I predict the American people — outraged, robbed, pillaged, insulted, and betrayed as they are in their own land — will rise in their wrath and send a President here who WILL sweep the money changers from the temple."

Congressman Louis T. McFadden before the House of Representatives, Congressional Record, June 15, 1934, in the midst of the Great Depression: At the present time the Soviet Union is in debt. From the date of Trotsky's return to Russia the course of Russian history has, indeed, been greatly affected by the operations of international bankers. They have acted through German and English institutions and have kept Russia in bondage to themselves. Their relatives in Germany have drawn immense sums of money from the United States and have in turn financed their agents in Russia at a handsome profit. The Soviet Government has been given United States Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve banks acting through the Chase Bank and the Guaranty Trust Co. and other banks in New York City. England, no less than Germany, has drawn money from us through the Federal Reserve banks and has re-lent it at high rates of interest to the Soviet Government or has used it to finance her sales to Soviet Russia and her engineering works within the Russian boundaries. The Dnieperstroy Dam was built with funds unlawfully taken from the United States Treasury by the corrupt and dishonest Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks. Mr. Speaker, an immense amount of United States money has been used abroad in preparations for war and in the acquisition and the manufacture of war supplies. Germany is said to be part owner of a large poison-gas factory at Troitsk on Russian soil. China is almost completely Sovietized, and in the Asiatic interior huge stocks of munitions are said to be stored awaiting the day when the war lords of the United States will ship United States troops to Asia. Mr. Speaker, the United States should look before it leaps into another war, especially a war in Asia. It should decide whether it is worthwhile to join hands with Russia and China in a war against Japan. For myself, I say and I have said it often that the United States should remember George Washington's advice. It should mind its own business and stay home. It should not permit the Jewish international bankers to drive it into another war so that they and their Gentile fronts and sycophants by way of Louis McHenry Howe, the graftmaster, may reap rich profits on everything an army needs from toilet kits to airplanes, submarines, tanks gas masks, poison gas, ammunition, bayonets, guns, and other paraphernalia and instruments of destruction.

"It is well enough that the people of this nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning." **Automaker Henry Ford, during the Great Depression.**

"Let me issue and control a nation's money and I care not who writes its laws." **Mayer Amschel Rothschild, European founder of one of the most powerful networks of international banks of all time.** This usurers' tenet eventually

manifested in the descendant, so-called Federal Reserve System — and by that system, the Great Depression and the disappearance of our monetary gold to the munitions makers of Germany — even to pay the debts of the Japanese military buildup to Germany. So many such events eventually culminate in the present securities decline and World-wide brink of insolvent indebtedness. Every "economy" of the World is verging on collapse under debt — perpetually, inherently, and irreversibly multiplied by every such system, in proportion to commerce. NO such system has ever been authorized by the people. Indeed, no such system has ever even been explained in meaningful terms, to the people. Every such system can only multiply debt in proportion to commerce, as, merely to maintain a vital circulation, we are constantly forced to re-borrow payments against principal and interest as a new sum of debt, increased so much as periodic interest. Because every such system can only multiply debt in proportion to commerce, every such system perpetually and irreversibly increases the costs to us, of our own production, at the ever greater profit of the banking establishment — until the system ultimately renders system-wide insoluble debt. No intelligent society ever has approved, or ever will approve, such a system.

"When force is the standard, the murderer wins over the pickpocket, and then that society vanishes, in a spread of ruins and slaughter. Do you wish to know whether that day is coming? Watch Money. Money is a barometer of a society's virtue. When you see that trading is done, not by consent, but by compulsion — when you see that in order to produce, you need permission from men who produce nothing — when you see that money is flowing to those who deal, not in goods, but in favors — when you see men get richer by graft and pull than by work, and your laws don't protect you against them, but protect them against you — when you see corruption being rewarded and honesty becoming a self-sacrifice — you may know that our society is doomed. Money is so noble a medium that it does not compete with guns and it does not make terms with brutality. It will not permit a country to survive as half-property, half-loot. Whenever destroyers appear among men, they start by destroying money, for money is men's protection, and the base of a moral existence." **Ayn Rand**

"I care not what puppet is placed upon the throne of England to rule the Empire on which the sun never sets. The man that controls Britain's money supply controls the British Empire, and I control the British money supply." **Baron Nathan Mayer Rothschild**

"There is wisdom from which the human mind cannot hide and to which the mind must submit. That to which the thoughtless cling breeds ignorance and disdain for truth." **Plato**

"Beware of the leader who bangs of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double edged sword. It emboldens the blood, just as it narrows the mind. "When the drums of war have reached a fervor pitch, and the blood boils with hate and the mind is closed, the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather, the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by patriotism, will offer up all of their rights unto the leader, and do it gladly so. "How do I know? I know, for this is what I have done." **Julius Caesar**

"It is sad comment that the public is so uneducated, unconcerned and blinded to the TRUTH by the media, and that the Judiciary of our once great Nation has been allowed to sink to these depths. And while I say that the conditions that exist today can be laid at one doorstep, that of the Judiciary, I must ultimately say that the fault really lies at our feet, We the People, for it is We the People who have allowed the foxes to guard the henhouse." **Supreme Court Justice nominee, Robert H. Bork**

"All that it takes for the triumph of evil is that good men and women do nothing." **Edmund Burke**

"We are idiots, babe. It's a wonder we can even feed ourselves." **Bob Dylan**. California Senators Barbara Boxer and Dianne Feinstein denounced him for being a gun owner and Rock Star.

After telling the senators about his contributions to children's charities and scholarship foundations which have totaled more than \$13.7 million in the previous 5 years, he answered... "I'm a Bad American? This pretty much sums it up for me. I like big trucks, big boats, big houses, and naturally, pretty women. I believe the money I make belongs to me and my family, not some midlevel governmental functionary with a bad comb-over who wants to give it away to crack addicts squirting out babies. I don't care about appearing compassionate. I think playing with toy guns doesn't make you a killer. I believe ignoring your kids and giving them Prozac might. Our soldiers did not go to some foreign country and risk their lives in vain defending our Constitution so decades later you can tell me it's a living document, ever changing and open to interpretation. The guys who wrote it were light years ahead of anyone today, and they meant what they said. Now leave the document alone, or there's going to be trouble." **Ted Nugent**

"Do not fear the enemy, for your enemy can only take your life. It is far better that you fear the media, for they will steal your HONOR. That awful power, the public opinion of a nation, is created in America by a horde of ignorant, self-complacent simpletons who failed at ditching and shoe making and fetched up in journalism on their way to the poor house." **Mark Twain**

During times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act. **George Orwell**

"It's amazing how much panic one honest man can spread among a multitude of hypocrites." **Thomas Sowell**
"It does not require a majority to prevail, but rather an irate, tireless minority keen to set brush fires in people's minds." **Samuel Adams**

"There are a thousand hacking at the branches of evil to one who is striking at the root." **Henry David Thoreau**

Today, we need a nation of Minutemen, who are not only prepared to take arms, but citizens who regard the preservation of freedom as the basic purpose of their daily lives, and who are willing to consciously work and sacrifice for that freedom.
John Fitzgerald Kennedy

Answer to 1996 MSNBC question: Are political reporters politically biased? Unless there is an editor or reporter with a perfectly neutral work-focus and style of presentation, then ALL reporters and editors are politically biased. Bias however, is no sensitive issue where a mature audience is apt to detect bias and account for it. The damaging aspect of 'news' coverage is its non-focus on imperative issues and qualifiable solution; and that so many citizens of all countries of the World hold to so many irrelevant snippets as if they can be served by them. For some 20 years for instance, the prospect of a 'balanced budget' occasionally draws some focus. But, in the news, and in politics, never have we seen an exhaustive proof of whether it is even mathematically possible to balance the budget under current and ever-worsening conditions. If however, World politics will ever see the solution of ever-mounting debt, and a solution of the ever greater costs of ever mounting debt, inevitably this will be through the eyes of a mathematic expression equivalent to conclusive, mathematic proof. Do the people even want to see or hear this, or administer to issues in conclusive terms? Only their focus on such a scope will tell. But certainly no news-service offers the inevitable argument. In any event, the impertinence of the audience befits the impertinence of submitted material. If they had no equally impertinent audience... all impertinent material, and all impertinent politicians, would be equally vanished. Just something to consider, as we plunge ever deeper into insoluble debt. **Mike Montagne**

What does Forbes know about money? Good question. Forbes is constantly putting a positive spin on EVERY consequence of what they, too, falsely call "economy."

They are an exemplary propaganda machine. Rising prices (which are costs — and especially rising financed costs, a multiple of price) are presented as profit. All you can get for your \$900,000 home built in 1963 for \$35,000 is another \$900,000 home built in 1963 for \$35,000. What you've paid in some 40 years for that stupid asses' \$35K home is something like \$2,000,000. And this is to your advantage? THEN, when the "housing market" collapses, they spin it as "a buyer's market." AGAIN, they paint the OPPOSITE as an ostensible advantage. Like you can get on a crashing train for less than the guy in the front car, who was just killed by what hasn't reached you yet. **Mike Montagne**

It is vital to understand, as the World of the capitalist untouchables crushes ours, that it is not the collapse of a thousand Enrons which brought us down. It is the nature of this adverse system which your capitalist untouchables and the money whores in politics and the media, which they own, would never have you understand. **Mike Montagne**

Imposition of a central banking system owned by the local banks' owners and issuing debt-usury-based money created from nothing on peoples' true free enterprise runs quite the opposite course. It takes a free running engine, and irreversibly multiplies its debt until it can run no more. That it ran in the first place, that it ran for some time, and that it improved its production at times even faster than usury imposed ever greater oppression is no testament the subject commerce can sustain itself against the multiplying costs of debt forever. In fact commerce is finite; And a system which can only multiply debt in proportion to commerce certainly is bound at some time to exceed the capacity of finite commerce to support infinite, irreversible multiplication of debt. **Mike Montagne**

8000 B.C. Onwards

- c. 8000s: Three dimensional clay tokens (Lat. calculi) were used as counters depicting objects and abstract numbers from present day Sudan to Iran.
- c. 3500: In Sumer, pictographs of accounts written on clay tablets.
- c. 3000-> 2000: Development of Banking in Mesopotamia Banking originates in Babylonia out of the activities of temples and palaces which provided safe places for the storage of valuables. Initially deposits of grain are accepted and later other goods including cattle, agricultural implements, and precious metals.
- c. 2250-> 2150: Cappadocian rulers guarantee quality of silver ingots The state guarantee, probably of both the weight and the purity of her silver ingots, helps their wider acceptance as money.
- c. 1792-1750: Priest King Hammurabi united all of Mesopotamia under his forty-three year reign of Babylon. Although Hammurabi's Code is not the first code of laws (the first records date four centuries earlier), it is the best preserved legal document reflecting the social structure of Babylon during Hammurabi's rule.
- c. 1200: Cowries used as money in China The Chinese character for "money" originally represented a cowrie shell. Cowries have been used as money in many different places at different periods. In parts of Africa they were used for this purpose as recently as the middle of the 20th century.
- c. 640 -> 630: The first true coins produced in Lydia The earliest coins made in Lydia, Asia Minor, consisted of electrum, a naturally occurring amalgam of gold and silver.
- c. 600s: Coinage began to be circulated in the Aegean; according to Herodotus it was the Kingdom of Lydia which minted the first coins. Pythius operates as a merchant banker in Asia Minor Pythius, who operates throughout western Asia Minor; first banker in the area of Greece and Asia Minor of whom we have records.
- c. 550: During the reign of Croesus the Lydians began to produce coins of pure metal (gold and silver coins) instead of electrum. This is the World's first bimetallic coinage.
- c. 546: Athenian Owls produced; these coins are first produced by the tyrant Peisistratus, using silver from the Laurion mines 25 miles south of Athens.

c. 406 – 405: Athens issues bronze coins with a silver coating The Athenian public hoards silver coins which, as a result, quickly disappear from circulation, leaving only the inferior bronze ones.

c. 400-300s: The earliest Chinese coins are small bronze hoes and knives, copies of the tools that previously had been used for barter. The knife coins (Tao) were about six inches (15 centimeters) long and some bore inscriptions naming the issuer and giving the value. Hoe coins bore similar inscriptions.

c. 390: The Gauls attack Rome The cackling of geese in the capitol, where the city's reserves of money are kept, alerts the defenders. The grateful Romans build a shrine to Moneta, the goddess of warning, and from Moneta the words money and mint are derived.

c. 330 – 323: Empire of the Ptolemies in Egypt. For long before Egypt came under Greek control grain had been used as a form of money in addition to precious metals, and state granaries functioned as banks. The Ptolemies transform the local warehouse deposit system into a fully integrated giro system with a central bank in Alexandria. Payments are made by transfer from one account to another without moneychanging hands.

c. 221 : China; Round money with a hole in the center was issued about the mid-3rd century, but it was not until 221 BC that the reforming emperor Shih Huang-ti (221-210/209 BC) superseded all other currencies by the issue of round coins (pan-liang) of half an ounce.

c. 200: Delos becomes a prominent banking centre Delos, a barren Greek island, capitalises on its magnificent harbour and famous temple of Apollo to become a financial centre. Its rise is aided by the defeat of Carthage, one of its main rivals, by the Romans. Transactions are carried out by giro or credit transfer.

c. 118: Leather money issued in China This consists of pieces of white deerskin, about one foot square, with a value of 40,000 cash. (The cash was the name of a base metal coin).

30 BC - 14 ACE: Reign of Augustus Caesar who reforms the Roman monetary and taxation systems issuing new, almost pure gold and silver coins, and new brass and copper ones, also introduces three new taxes: a general sales tax, a land tax, and a flat-rate poll tax. The Greek bankers or trapezitai derived their name from their tables just as the English word bank comes from the Italian banca for bench or counter.

Well, the ancient Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews, Babylonians, Romans and Greeks had similar banking activities. At that time, the sacred temples, whether Jewish or Pagan Sun-god, were the most popular place of banking operations but did not monopolize it totally. The financial activities like accepting deposits giving loans, checking and exchanging money and making remittances between different cities, to minimize the risk of carrying money were being carried out during 4th Century B.C. The Romans served their apprenticeship in the art of banking under the Greeks and altogether changed the banking procedure in most of the ancient World along with the expansion of their influence. The earliest evidence of banking is found in Mesopotamia between 3000 and 2000 B.C. when temples were used to store grain and other valuables used in trade. Babylon is credited with the birth of banking and finance at a level of sophistication that rivals our own, with the exception of the timing advantages that modern communication has allowed. "In the centuries since the era of the Mesopotamian and Greek financiers, the financial World has become increasingly complex, but the fundamental principles and tools they discovered several millennia ago have remained the root of all investment contracts." (The Ancient Financial World Financing Civilization by William N. Goetzmann History of Interest). The first evidence of interest is linked to the city of Uruk and is probably tied to the development of writing. Most of the written records from this period are contracts and financial records. The word for interest in the Sumerian language (also ancient Greek) was the same as the word for calf and explains how the concept was "born". If a herd of cattle was lent to someone for a year, there was an expectation that there would be more cattle when the year had ended. It was understood that the surplus cattle should be shared between the owner and the person who had taken care of the herd during the time period. Our knowledge of the regulation of interest rates dates back to 1800 BC, when Hammurabi established the maximum rates or ceilings that could be charged for in-kind exchanges of grain (33 1/3% per annum), silver (20%), and other commodities. The penalty for charging more than the legal rate was cancellation of the debt. It's reasonable to conclude that a practice does in fact exist before there's a need to regulate it, so the charging of interest likely predates Hammurabi's Code, sparking the centuries-long debate on lending and the charging of interest.

Ancient Rome "Usury Capital of World" along with Babylon (Bank Of Rome established in 47 AC)

Since Ancient Roman and Babylonian times usury – the lending of wealth at interest – has been one of the main causes of war and empire building. Nations such as Persia and Rome became great due to their massive debts incurred by borrowing money from wealthy nations. Later, unable to return the wealth, but rich and with great armies funded by this borrowed wealth, they soon realised a need to conquer these lending nations in order to nullify their debts. This was also the reason for the introduction of taxes, a global system which is in use right up to today. Today, most of the taxes go to pay the interest to the privately owned central banks whose owners created money out of nothing to lend to the governments. The money-changing scam did not originate in Yeshua-Joshua' day although Yeshua-Joshua' altercation with the Temple money-changers is the most famous single event (described in the next section). Two hundred years before Yeshua-Joshua, Rome was having trouble with its Money Changers. Two early Roman emperors had tried to diminish the power of the Money Changers by reforming usury laws and limiting land ownership to 500 acres. Both were assassinated. **In 48 BC, Julius Caesar took back from the Money Changers the power to coin money and then minted coins for the benefit of all.** With this new, plentiful supply of money, he built great public works. By making money plentiful, Caesar won the love of the common people. But the Money Changers hated him. Some believe this was an important factor in Caesar's assassination. One thing is for sure: with the death of Caesar came the demise of plentiful money in Rome. Taxes increased, as did corruption. Eventually the Roman money supply was reduced by 90 per cent. As a result, the common people lost their lands and homes-just as has happened and will happen again in America to the few who still own their own land and homes.

Baron de Montesquieu, The Laws of Nations: "As lending upon interest was forbidden by the Gabinian law between provincials and Roman citizens, and the latter at that time had all the money of the globe in their hands, there was a necessity for tempting them with the bait of extravagant interest, to the end that the avaricious might thus lose sight of the danger of losing their money. And as they were men of great power in Rome, who awed the magistrates and overruled the laws, they were emboldened to lend, and to extort great usury. Hence the provinces were successively ravaged by every one who had any credit in Rome: and as each governor, at entering upon his province, published his edict wherein he fixed the rate of interest in what manner he pleased, the legislature played into the hands of avarice, and the latter served the mean purposes of the legislator"... "As soon as lending upon interest was forbidden at Rome, they contrived all sort of means to elude the law; and as their allies, and the Latins, were not subject to the civil laws of the Romans, they employed a Latin, or an ally, to lend his name, and personate the creditor. The law, therefore, had only subjected the creditors to a matter of form, and the public were not relieved".

The "Bank" of Rome in Vatican City was founded in 45 A.C. by Simon Magnus "the (Pharisaic) Sorcerer" when he emigrated from Jerusalem to Rome after his rebuke by Peter whom Simon tried to bribe!! When he arrived in Rome during the reign of Emperor Claudius, that city was the USURY capital of the World. A few rich families controlled all the wealth, and just like the U.S. today, these super-rich monopoly capitalists (to the Illuminatis, competition is a sin, that's why they like large centralized private banks and their other businesses) owned and controlled the government. Let's not forget that THEY implemented the law of tithing and it was not Yeshua-Joshua or anyone else... the love of money is the root of all evil, and the Roman Elites have always loved their money. Simon beguiled the Romans as he beguiled the Samaritans. He was honoured as a god in Rome and a statue was erected in his honor. In other words, it is true that all the roads of money lead to Rome!!! Some of the Samaritans were fake "Jews." The met in synagogues, observed the Passover and the other Jewish feasts etc., etc., but they were really Babylonians and money lending, USURY and loan sharking was no problem for them. They disappear from history about 600 A.C. but by that time they had completely supplanted the real true Torah Jews. Going back to very ancient Rome, there emperors always had a problem with the money changers. Two early Roman Emperors tried to put a stop to their practices by passing usury laws, and limiting land ownership to 500 acres. They were both killed. Later, Julius Caesar returned to Rome the ability to coin it's own money. This made Rome prosperous and also led to his death, by the "Money-Changers".

The Babylonian Woe: Slavery, Usury, Economic Darwinism

THE BABYLONIAN WOE, A Study of the Origin of Certain Banking Practices, and of their effect on the events of Ancient History, written in the light of the Present Day is a book by DAVID ASTLE. The entire 200 page book can be downloaded from here http://download.cxs2.info/the_babylonian_woe.pdf One of the most important points found in The Babylonian Woe, by David Astle, is that MONEY was originally a very sacred object, associated with the god or goddess of a city. THAT MONEY became mixed with profane, or secular, use. The loss of that money in foreign trade for goods engendered economic hardship locally.

Slavery existed far before usury, then usury came along to fulfill its function without the need to physically enslave people. Usury is certainly not the sole introductory drug to slavery. But the modern [wage slave](#) is the product of usury insofar as the means of production has been acquired with the air money or the semblance of non tangible cash the usury generates _ entries on a spreadsheet not paper money. The prophetic definition of usury is any interest on money and morphs to interest on bonds. This cash is like the artificial ramping up of stock prices or the value of real estate to establish artificial wealth or gaming the life support system through debt and trickery. Gaming life support needs like the electric rates in California by Enron et.al. The artificial wealth is used to acquire the means of production, the privatization of the commons, and the resources of the planet like water and minerals oil etc. and the citizens are then forced into artificial of forced labor situations _ wage slavery with constantly diminishing wages. This is the engine for destiny depravation and the poverty exacerbated by migrations.

Seinorage (usury) was NOT a privilege of private individuals. The very word "money" comes from Moneta -- the goddess: The European root of the word "money" is mens, the same root from which menses , or monthly cycle, is derived. The word "money" is also derived from the Latin moneta meaning mint or money. Moneta was originally the name of the goddess in whose temple in Rome money was coined. Helen Luke, author of The Way of Woman (1972), has observed: It is significant indeed that the goddess from whose temple, from whose womb, so to speak, sprang the coinage of our civilization has sunk into obscurity and is forgotten, while the money dedicated to her, [the goddess Moneta] has acquired an ever-increasing autonomous power and is worshipped unashamedly as an end in itself. It was certainly not by chance that the Romans set their mint in the temple of a goddess and not a god—for money is a symbolic means of exchange and therefore belongs to the feminine principle of relatedness. If, therefore, the 'goddess' is missing—that third transpersonal factor which gives meaning to every exchange between human beings, (whether physical, emotional, spiritual or financial)—then [we] are in acute danger. . . .

Ultimately, regarding a national monetary system, he seems to agree with the conclusions of Stephen Zarlenga. Though I haven't read Zarlenga's book, The Lost Science of Money (which incidentally is much longer), his extensive historical survey concludes that it is an error to believe that precious metal money is more "sound" (based on the idea of a commodity store of value). The key problem for all money is almost simply whether the native, national government is in control versus "private money creative power" as Astle puts it. A good national money is sovereign-issued/debt free, but not a commodity itself.

Extracts:

"No especially deep thought is required to see that everything we describe as civilization and indeed all of its works, is the derivative of Money Power and its creation as indeed by Sovereign Power or by the activities of an International criminal caste... Law No. 7 of Hammurabai that I quote on page 9 is evidence enough. Also the proscription by Manu of the Goldsmiths who used their trade to deceive ... "The most pernicious of all deceivers is a Goldsmith who commits frauds. The Maharajah shall order him to be cut to pieces with razors..." The principal fraud being that which has appeared and reappeared throughout history, the issuance of fraudulent receipts as against Gold supposedly on deposit ... This knowledge is written deep into the memory of your people for there cannot be much doubt that it was the destroyer of Sumer. If not, why then Hammurabai's Law, a repetition of similar Laws out of much more ancient codes yet again?"

"... the foundations of the power given to them from on High towards the maintenance of the right living and tranquil procession through life, of their peoples, were the laws of distribution of surpluses..."

"... the money accumulation mania ... will surely and speedily drag any people down to degeneracy and decay."

"... this madness concealed within the much talked about conception known as progress"

"History over these last three thousand years particularly, has largely been the interweaving of both a witting, and an unwitting distortion of the truth, with all the inevitable consequences which have been expected and now [[we]] are but a little way ahead."

"Through stealthy issue of precious metal commodity money into circulation amongst the peoples, replacing that money which represented the fiat or will of the god of the city and which was merely an order on the state warehouses through his scribes, this internationally minded group from the secrecy of their chambers were able to make a mockery of the faith and belief of simple people."

"The whole notion of the institution of precious metals by weight as common denominator of exchanges, internationally and nationally, cannot but have been disseminated by a conspiratorial organization fully aware of the extent of the power to which it would accede, could it but maintain control over bullion supplies and the mining which brought them into being in the first place. Clearly such notion had originally come into being during that historically distant period when first of all free silver began to be extensively used as a convenient and highly portable commodity in settlement of balances outstanding in foreign trade"

"... long before the time of the great Hammurabai once money had come to be more of an abstract unit of account based for its value in desirable goods and services, on the barter power of a certain weight of silver bullion related to the constant value of barley, it was no major advance for those who benefited most from this conception, namely the bullion brokers and their satellites, the money changers or barkers, to find a weak ..."

"Thus in the course of the 3rd millennium there grew up in Mesopotamia a regular money economy based on precious metals as standards of exchange, which stimulated private wealth and enterprise and led to real capitalist development ."

"During the Old Kingdom in Egypt and during the earliest years of the cities of Babylonia, when 'numberings' of all accepted as wealth and possession, were taken every two years, and therefore books kept, a most refined system of distribution of surpluses and therefore creation of exchanges, must have existed..."

"... the first and most important [[undermining step]] was the establishment of internal values in the exchanges within any state to the same standard as the value of silver in the international exchanges"

"There were two ways alone by which new supplies of precious metals became available to rejuvenate a monetary circulation withering, and even disappearing from wear and tear, exportation or hoarding, with the economic collapse that such condition could bring about: one was through mining using slave labour as mining with free labour was rarely profitable, and the other was through sack and plunder."

"... in a world where treasure had become totally equated in the peoples minds with 'Wealth,' as expressing relatively large sums of the monetary unit, no sooner had one power gathered all such treasure in a given area into its store houses and safe deposits, by conquest, plunder, and sack, than such treasure, temporarily creating boom, moved on again, as likely as not to form the base of those "credits" granted by international money power towards the purchase of arms and the best of mercenary soldiers by that next power destined to arise and be the new 'conqueror'."

"Cruel private monopolization of wealth and capital grew... "

"The very fact of the stress on weight shows that [[Solonian monetary]] reforms were designed for, and perhaps only really understood by, a group that was only concerned with silver by weight; in other words, large scale movements of

bullion From henceforward it is clear, the issue was to be loans to industrial workers on the security of their wages. No longer would the banks or money lenders lend to the peasantry being that now they were forbidden to bind their persons as collateral security, and sell them into slavery across the seas, in the event of non-payment; or to alienate their lands."

"... the real significance of the monetary reforms of Solon was the separation of Athens from the financial hegemony of Babylonia and its nearer agencies From now on it was going to be forbidden to Athenian merchants to settle unfavourable trade balances with slaves, and almost profitless to settle such balances with silver, either as coin or bullion. Henceforth the bankers would have to serve Athenian interests and would have to derive their profits from local business, I.E., there would be much more money circulating in Athens, and therefore a healthier industry ; which history records as being exactly what transpired."

"The Laws of the Archon Solon, by making no provision for employment for the freed debt slaves, nor providing for redistribution of the land, gave the Athenian manufacturer that labour, which he most of all needed . The Solonian ordinance offering Athenian citizenship to any free man from the countryside who came to Athens and took up a trade, further improved the labour market... The monetary reforms of Solon reducing the export of coin or bullion, gave the Athenian manufacturers the money they also needed, for they remained the only market for the 'funds' of the bankers, native or Peloponnesian; the latter having had no option but to find new lands to 'conquer, as it were, after their virtual ejection by the laws enacted under the patronage of Lycurgus of Sparta.

"Finally, it might be said that the laws of the Archon Solon were the manifestation of the growth of the Athenian principle and the rejection, compulsory or otherwise, by all classes, of the Babylonian Money Power; including that growing class, who for the time being, seeing which way the wind lay, might now be called National Money Power..."

"The tyrants were often compelled to introduce the coin economy pattern into the area over which they ruled, or at least to promote its development officially, in order to gain the upper hand over their enemies... To stabilize the position of the peasantry on the land, and to expand and rebuild state economy, a central distribution of money and goods in kind partly directed towards mercenaries, bodyguards and various political friends, and partly indirectly to the masses of poor people in the form of wages paid for extensive building operations and improvements, is characteristic of tyrant economy ... "

"The opportunities clearly were for those who assisted in the monetization of the city, and all its activities and possessions, and its population, man, woman, and child, and their possessions too, and thereby assisted in the firm establishment of the rule of bankers, trade, and traders...."

"No sooner did these precious metals [[from The New World]] arrive in Spain or Portugal than almost immediately they moved on to other parts in settlement of trade debit balances created largely by the Spanish wars in Europe, particularly in Italy.

"This superfluity of the precious metals in Northern Europe certainly was one of the instigative factors, in the growth of 'Banking'...."

"Cromwell's 'Bills of Public Faith', of which very little record remains, a true currency being intrinsically valueless, state issued, and inconvertible, must have been cause for grave misgivings on the part of the goldsmiths, and all concerned, as to whether they had done right in supporting the enemies of the king! It was not long after the return to the throne of England of the Stuart Line in the person of the amenable Charles II, in 1660, that these 'Bills of Public Faith,' the real key to sovereignty, were repudiated"

"Some writers dismiss the idea of a capitalism in antiquity, but accepting definition of capitalism as the condition of the unrestricted promotion of human activity through the instrument of the driving force of that power of creation, and loan against collateral, and at interest, of the unit of exchange, or of promises of the unit of exchange as denoted by Ledger Credit Page Entry, and which function as the same thing in exchanges between persons dealing with the same banker or interlocked system of banks, very little analysis of the circumstances that gave rise to the tyrants will show that a form of 'capitalism' did exist, even if more local in character, and restricted to the individual city, or state, as a rule. The tyrant was front man towards the total monetization of the state, the land and its labour, and towards the transfer of that independent labour formerly firmly placed in the Natural Order of God-Life, to a condition of dependence on a wage of money, directed towards being able to keep on living as with the notion of being a free man."

"[[Great land-holding families]] forgot that in the absolute analysis they themselves were but stewards of a higher power. Lacking understanding, above all, of the true nature of this money as being above all their own law towards the facilitation of the exchanges amongst themselves and their people, they had been lead astray from their duty. By conniving with the bankers and their protégées the new manufacturers, to drive their own people off the land into the cities, and into the industries rapidly speeding up from the new money economy, they forgot that in their capacity as rulers, the whole land was theirs in trust to their people, and that the people therefore were expectant of them to be their guides and shepherds.... [[and thus tyranny arose]]

"The folly of these rulers in equating possession with the master moneyers trifling pieces of gold and silver dated back to those grim Kings of the Homeric Sagas or before, who, being lain in their graves at Mycenae with all their riches, thus set off on their eternal journey with that small store of gold that the crafty Babylonian money-men had trained them to

regard as wealth, as opposed to the real wealth of an organized state whose money was the benevolent law of the ruler in relation to surpluses, and directed towards the good and continuing life of the people and no more.. ."

"The evil about money derives in consequence from lack of understanding of its true nature, and particularly from the confusing of money and treasure. It is the persistent failure of mankind to realize that money is but the result of agreement being arrived at amongst a sovereign people through their ruler, to provide themselves with a system of numbers by which their exchanges might be facilitated, and so help them to live a better life..."

"During the first Millennium B.C., the ratio of silver to gold never went below 10:1, being usually 13:1 in Europe and the so-called Middle East. In farther Bactria, India and China, it was rarely more than 6:1 and in some parts as low as 1:1. Therefore, once precious metal coinage was spent, particularly silver coinage, and passed into the hands of merchants, contractors, etc., finally returning to the bankers or money changers, with that field for assured profit by settlement of oriental trade balances with coined silver or silver bullion such as clearly existed, as according to Gresham's law, its local circulating volume [[VR: and velocity]] might be assumed to decrease rapidly, and without a doubt did so decrease."

"... the agents of that money power centered in Mesopotamia, heir to the secrets, not only of the Sumerian priesthood, but of the priesthood of much more ancient times, selling as they went along the idea of the use of precious metal money."

"...undoubtedly [[Alexander]] appreciated the capacity of the Jews to absorb foreign culture, while rigidly maintaining their national identity thus making them an ideal vehicle for his civilizing enterprise."

"The origin of buying and selling began with exchange . Anciently money was unknown and there existed no terms by which merchandise could be precisely valued but everyone according to the times and circumstances, exchanged things useless to him against things which were useful; for it commonly happens that one is in need of what another has in excess. But as it seldom coincided in time that what one possessed, the other one wanted, or conversely, a device was chosen whose legal and permanent value remedied by its homogeneity the difficulties of barter. This device being officially promulgated, circulated, and maintained its purchasing power, not so much from its substance as from its quantity. Since that time only one consideration in an exchange was called merchandise, the other was called price."

"Whether those devices such as governed the exchanges of early Rome and Etruria were clay or leather or wood does not really matter. As such they were true money being intrinsically valueless, and only of value because of that law which ordered their acceptance in the exchanges and that they be of value as according to their scarcity or otherwise relative to the goods and services for sale ... What would above all matter would be the ease with which they could be counterfeited, no doubt the source of their ultimate failure, and whether they were loaned into circulation by private persons against so-called collateral, or paid into circulation as against government expenses, as were the Aes Grave at a later date."

"... the opinions of all the philosophers-scholars of antiquity, at least, those of whom record exists. Almost all of them wrote of numerical or fiduciary systems of money as being the only natural systems." [[which will seem inconsistent with the next quote, but is true as "natural" here approximates most closely the proper use of money power]]

"Numisma (Money) by itself is a mere device which has value only by law (Nomos) ... By virtue of voluntary convention, Nomisma has become the media of exchange. We call it Nomisma because its efficacy is due, not to nature but to Nomos (Law) and because it is always in our power to control it."

"Thus despite at least four hundred years of control of trade by the masters of precious metal bullion, the scholars still clearly understood the actuality of money and that it was an evincement of the law. They still understood it was but so many numbers injected into a circulation amongst the people relating value to value, and not in any way influenced by the material on which these numbers as laws were recorded."

"History has proven over and over again that a precious metal coinage will move one way or another to where it might realize the most profit either as coin or bullion."

" Those states previously mentioned, understanding therefore the evils of private emission of precious metal money, and that precious metal money must always be private money emission, except the state owns the mines, and takes absolutely total precaution to prevent the export of its metal except by its own decision as against its own needs, clearly, when all other states and princes were succumbing to the world drive of the international bankers, rejected banking as such, and the bullion brokers its founders. It was not until the 4th Century B.C. that they finally gave in,"

"... right from the start it is safe to say that silver functioned as a balancing factor in oral and written agreements of merchandise exchange in Greece, actually functioning as a circulating medium itself only after King Pheidon of Argos ."

" Once the power of monetary emission is yielded by a ruler or state to private or external interests, it is rare that it can be recovered except as the result of all consuming cataclysm . Immense monopolies and vastly unequal money fortunes are neither gained nor saved by lawful labour or trade . Of necessity they are the natural outcome of the exercise of the power to discriminate, the power to reject or prefer that follows as inevitable consequence, when, in any state, private persons are permitted to create and issue the unit of exchange, whether tangible or abstract ; and by whatever device of law such as may be needed to create appearance of legality."

"...it is clear that what Athens exported, and possible some other cities in Greece where such as Pasion had branches, was, after the 'Great' Peloponnesian war, privately created capital. (43) Perhaps an internationally functioning unit like the Euro-Dollar, originating from that form of ledger entry money known as the "swap" deposit.

While doing the world's business, relative to the work it does, it bears little relationship to the workings of the originating financial system. Such "swap" deposit money, although it will buy a loaf of bread or, at the other end of the scale, a ship, and therefore is money as much as any other kind of money, originates free of control of governments or central banks. Such Euro-currencies "can expand by the process of money creation without infusions from oil nations or payments deficits."

[[The above footnote barely explains the euro-currency market and is not an adequate or clear explanation. Note, also euro-dollars and euro-currencies (may be denominated in yen, DM, etc.) are totally unrelated to the more recent EU common currency denoted "euro". An important topic.]]

"Precious metal coinage was currency whose total circulation the state could in no way control because of the desirability of its material internationally. In the common money market of the silver bullion brokers it was material, which, whether minted into money by state authority or otherwise, produced a money always of value regardless of local convention. Its value was dictated by the arbitrary decision of that international fraternity who controlled its mining, and the slaves that mined it, and out of manipulation of that pyramid of abstract money they created thereon, controlled the political affairs of states...

"The money that had been established in Sparta was of value to Spartans alone.... The use of this national money was the force that gave Sparta the leadership of Hellas until the end of the Peloponnesian War, even if decline had commenced with the execution of the great General Pausanias"

"The Spartan, whether poor or whether rich (in land), in the days of the national currency had been the social equal of any other Spartan; however, as much as anything, the slow decay of the Spartan principle derived from a most outstanding omission in the constitution which was total lack of provision for the redistribution of wealth at certain definite intervals, and the cancellation of debt as in the Hebrew custom of the 49th year."

"[[The]] disappearance of the precious metals from the circulation Eastward seems to have been a factor inspiring the vehemence of Cicero in his Oration: Pro Flaccus. The indignation of Cicero as recorded in this Oration may be traced to the indifference of certain persons who lived close to the Aurelian steps, to the good of the Roman State wherein they lived and whose solidarity enabled them to arrange to have mobs intimidate the proceedings of the court which heard a person, probably a member of the banking family known as the Lollii, attempt to smear the reputation of Lucius Flaccus, who, as Praetor of Syria, had issued edict forbidding this movement of precious metals Eastward for deposit at the Temple in Jerusalem."

"Thus the principles of the total hegemony of private money creative power were as clearly understood by its masters yesterday, as much as they are today."

"... just as in today 95% of all money in circulation, is cheques and assignments in transit, often written against credits granted by bankers where no actual funds previously existed, but however without which the drive and turmoil of this civilization could not have come to be, so it was in Rome and in Greece."

"... it was not until the time of Cicero that evidence appears of private persons bringing bullion to the mints, significantly coincidental with the general collapse of the ancient manners, and the essential forces that had guided Rome, as is described by Sallust."

"Such matters of state finance seem to have been well understood during the middle commonwealth. However, as a result of the second Punic war and Trasimeno and Cannae and the desperate need to rearm quickly that followed these unfortunate battles, Rome clearly had been obliged to allow the whole currency system to become based on the international valuation of silver as common denominator of values. She also had been obliged to permit the reduction of the value of the aes coinage to the value of its weight as bronze bullion relative to the arbitrary value internationally of silver
bullion.

"It follows that it was only after Rome had thus surrendered much of her sovereign prerogative in money matters to the international silver bullion brokers, reluctantly, as was shown by subsequent events, that growth of liberalism, and consequent undermining of the morale of the people and their government, finally gave rise to the warlords known as the Triumvirate and the beginning of rule which might best be described as complete negation of that which had been government by decree of the senate."

"That which seems to be clear out of the fragments of information existing, is that there was no such thing as a permanent interest bearing state indebtedness until the period which may mark the beginning of the decline of imperial Rome; the significance of which is that no Roman Government ever entirely lost control of that power so essential to the maintenance of its sovereignty, the power to directly inject the unit of exchange into circulation as according to its own needs."

"Of this period until the 3rd Century A.D. the most learned Professor Heichelheim wrote : '...There were regular lending associations while usury constituted quite an important item in the legal provisions of the Corpus Iuris and the Talmud. Only State Usury was rare, for the Roman State was still in a supreme position. At the most, autonomous areas were the only exceptions here. Large interest free loans advanced to the state by individual citizens or chance patrons for reward in the form of honours or other more indirect advantage, were quite frequent up to the 3rd Century A.D...'

"However, it may reasonably be assumed that even during the period of the Commonwealth and the true greatness of the Roman people, though Roman Government had endeavored to monopolize all sources of the material of its tangible currency, and had prevented as much as possible the circulation of precious metal, which clearly would undermine the integrity of the state issued unit of exchange, the grandiose aes, it still could not prevent counterfeits from entering the circulation. It could not prevent the corrupt practices of oriental banking after the extensive reentry of silver into the circulation as a result, clearly, of concessions made to the international bullion traders during the 2nd Punic war, nor thereafter the functioning of Gresham's so-called law which such entailed. . . 'Bad money drives out the good'; which, of course, depends on what is bad and what is good! Nor, therefore, could it control the extent in absolute, right across the Roman Empire, of the activities of that underground that garnered the precious metal from the circulation for more profitable use elsewhere."

"As a consequence of the rejection by growing and powerful states such as Rome of the early and middle commonwealth of the claim of silver bullion interests that all tangible money should be founded on their product as base and common denominator of values, and the creation and paying into circulation of their own tangible money, with value deriving from its scarcity or otherwise, using largely copper or bronze as the material on which its numbers were recorded, as previously pointed out, much copper or bronze that came the way of the international bullion brokers would, undoubtedly have been used in what must have been an extensive industry devoted to counterfeiting of these fiduciary currencies. The product of this industry which would have been carried on abroad no doubt, while yielding handsome profit, through disturbance of that mass of abstract money based on the tangible currencies into which such products would have been injected, would also create instability of price in the states concerned."

Analysis of the remarks of Demosthenes: "...'In ancient days' says Demosthenes 'everything that belonged to the state was costly and splendid, and no individual distinguished himself from the multitude; and the proof of it is, that if any of you know the houses of Themistocles and Miltiades, and the famous men of that time, he will see that they are not more magnificent than those of other people; but the buildings and construction of the State were of such size and number, that it is not in the power of succeeding generations to surpass them--the Propylaea, the Docks, the Porticoes, the Piraeus, and other works with which you see the city adorned ! But now all who are concerned in the management of public affairs have a superfluity of riches, that some have built private houses more magnificent than many public edifices and some of them have purchased more land than all of you who are sitting in the court are together possessed of; but your public buildings and works, it is disgraceful to tell how scanty and contemptible they are. What indeed can be said of your works? What of the parapets we throw up? of the roads we construct and the fountains and trifles at which we labour?' ...Thus speaks the ardent enthusiast for the happiness and fame of his country; his speeches of admonition might with a few alterations be adapted to the present age, in which such vast sums have been squandered away without producing anything useful or durable."

"... via the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, otherwise designated 'The World Bank', knowing no master on this earth other than God or the Devil, as the case might be, and with advent of the settlement of international trade balances paper gold, as the final deceit, these secret classes behind it all, well might believe that the total of human activity, whether as towards War or Peace, depended on their instigation alone."

<http://raenergy.igc.org/slavery.html> From slavery to migratory labor to destiny deprivation...
<http://raenergy.igc.org/migation.html>

Usury, as the tool of the money changers, is where they literally print money via debt and usury and call it banking. There is no intrinsic value in air money any more than trade beads. This system is propped up by the privately owned and operated central banks like the Federal Reserve. The usury or interest and dividends on invested currency is the down fall of civilization when the whole thing comes to Ponzi _ humanity is now left holding the bag and the core investor group has the means of production and the ownership of the planet simply because they were able to game the system better than others. Los Vegas for life support or even arm wrestling for each others possessions. When we switch to TIME, humanity is the winner _ win win for all on earth and the human race ceases to be a contest. We all have aright to be here _ getting a life only if we can afford it is a moot point. <http://raenergy.igc.org/codices.html>

Economic Darwinism lends itself to the Economy of the money changers which is the agenda of wanabe slave owners. "The Economy" is the scoring system on the gaming of life support. <http://raenergy.igc.org/cachsl.html>

The Middle East Wars have a hidden agenda. The Muslims have a huge portion of the Earth's population under anti USURY laws. The Muslims are the last hold out against usury and the target of organized usury-money etc.. <http://raenergy.igc.org/Itstheinvestorstupid.html> . The ongoing 4000 year exercise in the Middle East began with the Abrahamic experiment. The idea of a Text documenting the trials and tribulations of the descendants of the Abraham brothers Ishmel and Isaac _ Abraham and Isaac a [command performance](#). It shows the fallacy of veering from the universal cosmic sense of [coexistence](#) _ transcending from what is to what ought. The experiment of curtailing usury via

Jubilee are MEME's placed in the Tests or Bible to facilitate..... <http://raenergy.igc.org/globalpalistine.html> The redistribution of TIME becomes the universal currency for the children of the universe who like the trees and the stars have a right to be here. <http://raenergy.igc.org/universalcurrency.html> .The paradigm shift is from spirituality to how to build-retrofit cities.... <http://raenergy.igc.org/index2.html>

Babylon (Nimrodian)/Sumeria 3,000 Years Ago: City of Gold

Some may have read about Nimrod's (son of Cush) immense wealth and Prophet Abraham's encounters with Nimrod (Namrood) in the Bible and the Qur'aan. The concept of money and credit creation was developed in ancient Babylon. **Before there was money, there was credit.** Credit preceded the coining of money. Historical documents dating back to the Sumerian civilization, circa 3000 B.C., reveal that the ancient World had developed a formalized system of credit based on two major commodities, grain and silver. Before there were coins, metal loans were based on weight. Archaeologists have uncovered pieces of metal that were used in trade in Troy, Minoan and Mycenaean civilizations, Babylonia, Assyria, Egypt and Persia. Before money loans came into existence loans of grain and silver served to facilitate trade. Silver was used in town economies, while grain was used in the country. For nearly 2,500 years throughout Sumerian and Babylonian history, the rate of interest on grain and silver loans remained constant. The customary rate of interest on a barley loan was 33 1/3% and for a loan of silver it was 20%. Although interest rates would occasionally vary, the legal maxima embodied in the Code of Hammurabi, established 33 1/3% per annum on loans of grain and 20% on loans of silver. These rates lasted for more than 2,500 years.

SUMMARY OF MESOPOTAMIAN INTEREST RATES 3000 - 400 B.C.

Dates B.C.	Normal Rates %		Legal Maxima %	
	On grain	On silver	On grain	On silver
Sumer				
3000-1900	33 1/3	20 - 25		
Babylonia				
1900-732	20 - 33 1/3	10 - 25	33 1/3	20
732-625	20 - 33 1/3	10 - 20	33 1/3	20
625-539	? - 20	10 - 20	20	20
Fifth-fourth centuries		40 (?)		
Assyria				
Ninth-seventh centuries	30 - 50	20 - 40		
Persia				
Sixth century	40	40		

Homer, Sidney & Sylla, Richard, A History of Interest Rates 3rd Ed. Revised, Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, 1996, p31; Jim Puplava www.financialsense.com

Once relative values were placed on commodities (like cattle, grains or craft), silver and gold became established as a store of values and a convenient means of exchange. In order to guarantee the safety of the wealth and treasure of his Babylonian citizens, Nimrod, the priest-king, offered the temple vaults and protection of the gods as security. Observing that the bulk of deposits remained in the vaults at any one time, he developed the lucrative concept of Fractional Reserve Banking. This device was the key to unparalleled prosperity and unbounded influence. Nimrod explained and franchised his scheme to certain illuminated Adepts, (today's bankers) who were licensed to take deposits and lend gold from the temple vaults at around 20% interest. Nimrod's next innovation (in addition to Masonry) was to issue clay tablet receipts in the value of the gold, and sealed with the high priest's signet as legal tender - precursor of today's paper money. Safer and easier to carry than bullion, it quickly gained acceptance and still has ancient Babylon's mark upon it.

Money was used by the ancient Babylonians (modern day Iraq). With the implementation of hard money and budding trade and commerce, Babylon became the center of World power and wealth – a city of gold. It's interesting that while Babylon attained prominence with a stable currency, it attained grandeur after it began to debase its money. King Nebuchadnezzar devised a scheme where he leveraged the kingdom's gold to create much greater wealth. He issued IOUs – loaning out at interest the great wealth from Babylon's treasury. The monetary stimulation doubled and then tripled the empire's wealth, producing the World's first economic boom. **But as the debt swelled, so did the claims on Babylon's wealth.** Foreign claims from imports rose as well as domestic claims, exceeding the treasury's gold. Still the IOUs circulated. After a time, the swollen volume of debt caused people to demand more currency for their goods and labor. Inflation was in full swing. Even as it took more money to buy the same goods, Babylon seemed to be economically stable. The treasury had lots of silver, and adroitly King Merodach-baladan extracted himself from the situation by declaring the value of silver equal to gold. For a period, Babylon advanced on a currency of silver equivalent to gold. But an uneasy feeling that this situation was not quite right caused people to demand silver faster than they had demanded gold. Soon there was no silver in the currency. So copper was proclaimed to have value equal to silver. This didn't work because copper was in far greater supply than silver. **Money began losing its value, and confidence began to fall.** Babylon's wealth had been the foundation for its society. The economic crisis led to a civil war which further debased the currency since war is unproductive unless it leads to the capture of more wealth which can then be used to uphold the value of the currency. The empire drowned beneath a tidal wave of debt.

Babylonian Brotherhood and The Commercial Maritime Laws

In chapters 17 & 18 of Revelation in the Bible it is revealed that a particular Religious Jurisdiction is recognizable as a "Great Prostitute" who "Rules over the Kings of the Earth" through the use of "Deception", "Magic", & "Merchants". This "Great Prostitute" who uses Deceptive Magic is clearly labeled therein as "BABYLON" and referred to as "The Synagogue of Satan" by Yeshuah-Jesus-Issa. The word Babylon translates as "confusion". With reference to such reputable modern textbooks as "Historical Jurisprudence" by Guy Carlton, Lee; of Johns Hopkins University in 1922; Babylon's Religious Priesthood is commonly recognized as the source of the modern so called "Laws of Commerce". These "Laws of Commerce" are shown to be a specific body of Codes which authorize the Administration of Compelling Force in Efforts to uphold Contracts, mostly for Payments of Debts. The ancient Babylonian Priests were involved because Contracts were deemed to be a form of "Oath" entered into by the contracting parties; & the approval of the ancient gods of idolatry were invoked so as to more effectively legitimize the entire process in the minds & the consciences of the contracting parties & all public witnesses.

These Babylonian Religious Codes recognized the ability to buy & sell contracts between merchants in "Commerce". They bought & sold "slaves & the souls of men". Under this system of Babylonian Codes, contracted-debtor-people were forcibly compelled to perform the contract regardless of conscientiousness, or who was the contract-creditor. This Babylonian Religious Commercial Code embodied a sub-codification which is modernly recognizable as "Master-Servant Relationships", which are also the source of modern Employer-Employee Codes. It is under the Babylonian Religious Code that "Temporary Slavery" is facilitated. People were not recognized as people thereunder were "items in Commerce". The Slave could be arrested for not showing up for work on time. Textbooks say that "The slave is not regarded or spoken of as a man, but as a thing, and is reckoned in the same way as cattle". ... "Of ... these Rome was ... possessed from the earliest period..." ("Historical Jurisprudence" - Lee). We can relate this to the Babylonian Talmudic philosophy, wherein the Talmudists are pictured as the masters and the gentiles as the slaves or cattle. History seems to tell that many Israelites had been captured into Slavery in Babylon, but by the time of Yeshuah-Jesus-Issa, many had returned. The Babylonian Commercial Merchant Codes seemed to infect Israelite society led by the Pharisees whom Yeshuah-Jesus-Issa denounced at the same time, and the Pharisees undermined greatly the Godly Principles of the Mosaic Law. Hence we have the True-Torah Jews today who denounce the Pharisaic Zionists who pretend to be Israelites Judeans. The Pharisees had schools in Babylon "from soon after 586 before the Christian era to the year 1040 after the Christian era - 1626 years."

Here J.H. Hertz (Chief Rabbi - 1934) reveals the source of the material which is Codified within their "Babylonian Talmud" <http://www.come-and-hear.com/talmud/>. This is that source-repository from which the modern Talmud draws its historical roots. J.H. Hertz (Chief Rabbi) & others of the same belief are all comfortable referring to it amongst themselves as the "Babylonian Talmud". He states: "The style of the Babylonian Talmud ... is at no time "easy reading"." As the name signifies, the "Babylonian Talmud" contains much of the Commercial Slave-Trading Mercantile Codifications of "Master-Servant Relationships" which originated in Babylon, and also known as Maritime laws. The "Babylonian Talmud" refers to those not of the Pharisaic Faith as "Goim" or "Gois", which translates to be "Human Cattle". This is just as the textbooks refer to the Babylonians considering their Slaves. Though direct citations are thin in eluding to Slavery itself, the "Babylonian Talmud" does set forth some such evidence showing clearly that non-Pharisees are to be treated with all of the "Contempt" of Slaves. "All things pertaining to the Goim are like desert, the first person to come along & take them can claim them for his own." Babha Bathra 45. It is permitted to deceive a goi." Babha Kama 113b. And though citations are not clear that this is directly from the Talmud, Pharisaic Literature at A. Rohl. Die Polem. P.20 states "TP.20 states "The Life of a Goi & all his physical powers belong to a Jew." And articles published by Henry Ford's newspaper, the Dearborn Independent in 1920 - 1922 discuss the "Kol Nidre" as a Pharisaic: "prayer, named from its opening words, "All vows", (kol nidre). It is based on the declaration of the Talmud: "He who wishes that his vows & oaths shall have no value, stand up at the beginning of the year & say: 'All vows which I shall make during the year shall be of no value.'

The list goes on & on. Coke & others have defined these People who pretend to be Israelites Judeans as "Infidels", precisely because History has clearly shown to the more reputable men that the "Oath" of such others means nothing to them. They cannot be "Bound" by "Conscience", & they habitually "Lie" at every turn which may serve their own self-serving interests or those of their "Synagogue of Satan" as referred to by Yeshua-Jesus-Issa at Revelation 2:9 & 3:9. This is the nature of their "Law", among these would-be Slave Masters. They cannot be expected to be bound to tell the truth to those other people whom they feel entitled by the Authority of their Evil god to control such unsuspecting other men as Slaves. Such "Infidels" were expelled from almost every country in Europe between the 13th & 15th centuries for this precise reason of their tenacious adherence to this Babylonian Master-Slave system of Human Conduct Codes, & their relentless lying & deceit. The Sadducees apparently stood strong against this corrupting influence, but their days were numbered, for after the destruction of the Temple by Rome in 70 AC, the religious belief system of the Sadducees had met genocide & extinction. The Pharisees (on the other hand) were conspicuously left in the position of authority over all Jews who did not profess Christianity. And you will note that the Pharisees have survived every war, amassing more and more wealth.

The Jewish Encyclopedia: (1905 Page 665) shows that the ancient Religion of the Pharisees have been in continuous total control of what is fashionably recognized as the "Jewish Religion", ever since the destruction of Solomon's Temple. "With the destruction of their Temple ...Henceforth, Jewish life was regulated by the teachings of the Pharisees ... Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism & the life & thought of the Jew for all the future." In other words, the Pharisaic Babylonian Talmudists hijacked True-Torah Judaism. With the extinction of the Sadducees, the only Jewish entity which thereafter stood in opposition to the Pharisees & their Babylonian Codified Talmud were the Christians. The powers in Rome were Compromised by this influence. As revealed elsewhere, this Religion of the Pharisees continues to this day

under their self-proclaimed banner of "Judaism" or "Jews". They are not true "Jews" but rather they are of the "Synagogue of Satan", precisely as Revelation 2:9 & 3:9 state. They merely proclaim such out of strategy to effect their purpose. Approximately 95% of them are not even of the "Semitic" bloodline, but rather are of European Russian/Northern Turkic Ashkenazi/Khazar background/race. They adopted the Pharisaical-Babylonian Talmudian Religion in about the year 350 or so, out of convenience. They know this but they scream "Anti-Semite" with spine chilling fervor whenever it suits their evil agenda. Modern descendants of these same Pharisees look to the same "Babylonian Talmud" code of Master/Slave Laws as the principle source for written guidance as a Code of Conduct for their lives, and in fact are working to impose these laws completely throughout the World.

Textbooks show plainly that Rome is the source of English & American Civil Law. Civil Law is recognized in Black's Law Dictionary as synonymous with "Municipal Law". Rome is well recognizable as an Aggressively Warring & Conquering nation. Textbooks say that the conquered cities "were compelled to pay the war-tax" ... "a town thus treated was known as a muni-cipum or "burden-holder". Many of the conquered peoples were reduced to Slaves. The Roman merchants engaged in much Slave Trade. They established Slave-markets. Contracts exchanged hands among merchants for the delivery of Slaves. The Codes which governed these contracts for Slaves was based on the same Codes which the Babylonians had developed. "Of ... these Rome was ... possessed from the earliest period ... ". ("Historical Jurisprudence" - Lee). Such Babylonian Master/Slave so-called "Law" is modernly still recognizable under either term of "Civil" or "Municipal" Law. The majority of reputable Biblical Scholars recognize Rome to be the 6th head of the 7 headed "Beast" described in Revelation upon which the "Great Prostitute" sat & which was in existence at that time of Yeshuah-Jesus-Isa, the Civil/Military government of Rome. The 7th head is similarly recognized as then prophesying of our modern Anglo-American Civil/Military government. The Norman Conquest over the Anglo-Saxon/Celtic people of England in 1066 is vastly under-rated in its significance to understanding modern considerations of good government. From Rome, it had the "Solemn Blessings of the Pope". The Pharisaical system of "Babylonian Talmudian" based "Commercial Law" was at that time Forcibly Imposed over the Christian-Common Law English People. An article in "The Georgetown Law Journal" V. 71, P 1179 - 1200) "The Shetar's Effect on English Law" by Judith A. Shapiro sheds much light:

"The Pharisaic Jews, whom the Normans brought to England ...brought a refined system of commercial law: their own form of commerce & a system of rules to facilitate and govern it. ... Several elements of historical Jewish legal practice have been integrated into the English legal system. Notable among these is the written credit agreement - shetar, or starr, as it appears in English documents. The basis of the shetar, or "Jewish Gage," was a lien on all property (including realty) that has been traced as a source of the modern mortgage. Under Jewish law, the shetar permitted a creditor to proceed against all the goods and land of the defaulting debtor. ... Jewish law that debts could be recovered against a loan secured by "all property, movable and immovable" was a weapon of socio-economic change that tore the fabric of feudal society and established the power of liquid wealth in place of land holding. ... Jewish Law, wherein personal debt superseded rights in real property had become the law of the land." "Footnote 11: H.C. Richardson, The English Jewry Under Angevin Kings 94 (1960) (Jews liquidation of land obligations broke down rigidity of feudal land tenure and facilitated transfer of land to new capitalist class.). Footnote 15: CF. 1 F. Pollock & F.W. Maitland, supra note 3 at 469... (alien to English law for creditor not in possession of land to have rights in it)." The Pharisees worked with the Normans under the "Blessing of the Pope" of Rome & its Roman Civil Municipal Codes, all so as to establish this "weapon of socio-economic change that tore the fabric of the society of the Anglo-Saxon/Celtic peoples. These are words of Ms Shapirro as they appear in the Georgetown Law Journal. The aggressively warring nature of that Babylonian-Talmudian based Code of Pharisaical Conduct is not in question among that circle of scholars. It is a body of Slave/Master Codes. It "tears at the fabric" of that society which it targets.

The "Shetar" is a corruption of the word "Star" & refers to the famous "Star Chamber Courts". "The name star chamber ... has been thought to be ... because the roof was originally studded with stars, because the Jewish covenants (called starrs or stars ...) were originally kept there." Boviers 1860. "Starr or starra. The old term for contract or obligation among the Jews, being a corruption from the Hebrew word "shetar", a covenant, ... & Blackstone conjectures that the room in which the chests were kept was thence called the "Star-Chamber"." "Star Chamber: A court which originally had jurisdiction in cases where the ordinary course of justice was so much obstructed by one party... that no inferior court would find its process obeyed. ... In the reign of Henry the 8th, & his successors, the jurisdiction of the court was illegally extended to such a degree (especially in punishing the kings' arbitrary proclamations) that it became odious to the nation, & was abolished." Blacks 5th: These courts of Pharisaical Commercial Master/Slave Codes became heinous in part for their "Secret Proceedings" & their infliction of "Cruel & Unusual Punishments" that they were abolished. They were the essence of the so called Equity Jurisdiction. It was all run by Chancery Priests, & referred to in deceptively as "Courively as "Courts of Equity", the only thing being "Equal" about them is that all Conquered "Slaves" thereunder are treated more or less Equally. "Courts of Chancery" is a more honest name, as it was great "Chance" taken to go before such. "The whole of equity jurisprudence prevailing in England & the United States is mainly based on the civil law", so says Boviers Law Dictionary of 1868. "Civil Law" is from Rome. There was no "Equity Jurisprudence" in England prior to the Norman Conquest. The Norman Conquest had the "solemn approval of the Pope" of Rome according to the Encyclopedia Britannica. Other sources conform the Roman Popes support & blessing.

The conclusion to Reasonable Men is that the Norman's "War of Aggression" was jointly backed by the Pharisees & the Pope of Rome, so as to Forcibly Impose the Roman Civil/Municipal Codes of Babylonian- -Talmudian based Master/Slave relations. These were mere Tools for Slave Control which was early imposed by Evil Men with great influence within the Pharisaical & Catholic religious communities. Any Truly Godly Spirituality which may have existed within either of those religious organizations was most assuredly bound & gagged by the more powerful forces of Evil therein at the times of the Norman Conquest, and As at the Crusades, and As at the Inquisition, and as in all European wars, and as in today's wars.

These entities have very bad track records. Evil men aggressively made Religious War against the Christian/Common-Law - Anglo-Saxon/Celtic Peoples of England in 1066. The "Babylonian-Talmud" was completed well before the Norman Conquest of 1066. It's all the same basic Master/Slave Commerce form of Code of Human Conduct. It all treats living breathing People as "Merchandise" in Commerce to be bought & sold as those "Slaves & the Souls of Men" as referred to in Revelation 18:13. This entire body of Codified Human Conduct is all so amorally lacking in fidelity to the Supreme Laws of "Love of Neighbor" from God-YHVH-Eloa-Allaha-Allah as taught by Yeshuah-Jesus-Issa as to be clearly a policy of the "Synagogue of Satan" as referred to at Revelation 2:9 & 3:9. It is easy to summarize that this is that precise same Code of Human Conduct of which the Pharisee "Money-Changers" were using to corrupt the Temple in Jerusalem, & of which Yeshua-Jesus-Issa overturned their tables & drove them out. It is easy to summarize that this is the Code of Conduct upon which the Pharisees moved to whip up the mob into such a fervent state of Anarchy as to abort "Due Process of Law" & to have attempted to have Yeshua-Jesus-Issa Lawlessly nailed to a cross.

This Code of Conduct embodied within the "Babylonian Talmud" is very large, but it contains specific portions which are designed to "Tear at the Fabric" of the society which is its target. These are the words of Ms Shapiro, as set forth in the Georgetown Law Journal. This is not the wording of "Anti-Semitic Right Wing Extremists". Ms Shapiro's term "Tear" is specifically used to denote that process which obliterated the "Fabric of ... Society", as it had existed prior to that War of Aggression. It would seem Reasonable to conclude that this is a Code of Human Conduct based on "Terrorism". Certainly the word "Tear" seems related to "Terrorism". Certainly the Anglo-Saxon/Celtic Christian People were greatly fear-traumatized by the forcible imposition of this "Babylonian Talmud" based Code of Human Conduct. The wording of Ms Shapiro reasonably seems to be an acknowledgment that "Terrorism" was used by the Normans & the Pharisees "who call themselves Jews" as a matter of policy under that Code of Human Conduct known as the "Babylonian Talmud". This entire body of Roman Civil Law is based upon "Contracts", & it was early incorporated into what was known as English "Law Merchant", which many fine scholars have confused as being a true part of the English "Common-Law". Such happened only after corrupting influence of the Norman Conquest. Contracts are only enforceable in Courts of so-called "Equity". "Equity" jurisdiction was allowed to enter into American Jurisprudence by way of Article 3 Section 2-1 of the U.S. Constitution. Much Evil has worked its purpose in this land by way of that compromise of Godly Principles. However, Equity is purged from all of its authority to adjudicate anything if proper "Due Process of Law" is invoked; as such process is set forth in *Beacon Theaters v Westover*. This modern essence of "Law" allows Americans to free themselves by "Due Process of Law" from the Babylonian Master/Slave jurisdiction of so-called "Equity".

[Abraham Tangles with Nimrod at Babylon circa 2000 BCE](#)

Prophet Abraham confronted King and enslaver Nimrod at Babylon (near modern day Baghdad). Babylon is the place where secret societies arose and where the money-masters Pharisees later corrupted true-Torah Judaism into Babylonian Talmudism/Kabbalism.

Cabal. cabbella, CABALA, as small group of persons joined in secret, often political intrigue, to join in a cabal; plot — Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition

Cabal: (1) Powerful group of Ministers in the court of Charles II of England, known by their acronym (Clifford, Ashley, Buckingham, Arlington, Lauderdale), who were corrupt and endlessly plotting; (2) by analogy, any political intrigue group. This latter term was also influenced by the word "Cabala" with its implications of secrecy and magic. —Ultimate Science Fiction Web Guide

Cabalism. [Satanic] occult doctrine based on the Cabala; any occult doctrine —Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition

Cabala. caballa, [Babylonian] kabala, received lore, tradition; an occult philosophy of certain [apostate Pharisee] Jewish rabbis [developed in Babylon], esp, in the Middle Ages, based on a mystical interpretation of the Scriptures; any esoteric or secret doctrine.

Prophet Abraham (Ibrahim) means "father of many". A descendant of Prophet Noah, Abraham is the ancestor to a number of Semetic (descendants of Shem-Sem Noah's first son, thus Sem-ites) Middle-Eastern and Eurasian ([Arabs](#) and [Israelites](#), [Hebrews and Judeans](#), and several others, **but not to be confused with the European Khazars who converted to Talmudism/Cabbalism/Phariseism or Fake-Judaism**) and has religious significance for three major World religions ([Judaism](#), [Christianity](#), and [Islam](#)). After Prophet Noah's Flood, secret societies arose in Babylon through the knowledge transplanted by Ham (Noah's second son; other three sons were Sem, Yafith, and Yam-Kanaan who perished in the flood), whose son, Cush (also known as Hermes), claimed to be the interpreter of the gods. Cush was the original ring leader who led the people away from the one true God and as the "interpreter of the gods" enticed them to take another form of religion. Cush, was the father of the idolatrous-polytheistic and trinitarian systems, so when men were deified by men, he became Bel-Baal-Sun god whom the Romans called Janus and Chaos, the father of the gods. He is pictured as having two faces and he carried a club by which he confounded and "scattered" the people. Thus, four millennia ago, in Sumerian times after Noah's ancient flood in Mesopotamia, humanity again was stooped to polytheism and moral degeneration in the helm of material progress. Cush encouraged the masses to build the tower of Babel which his son Nimrod (Namrood) actually built later. This encouragement brought the confusion and division of men, so that he was both, "interpreter and confuser". The word "Babylon" translates as "confusion" in English.



[Moses, the Israelites and their golden calf Apostasy circa 1446 BCE](#)




Hathor: Apis bull depicted in this Egyptian statuette (right) is likely to be the pattern used for the golden calf the Israelites made at Mount Sinai (Exo. 32), since they were undoubtedly quite familiar with it as a result of their long captivity in Egypt. In front of the sun disk and horns, which look virtually identical to some Catholic monstrances, there is the serpent poised to strike. In Scripture the serpent is symbolic of Satan. (Gen 3, Rev. 12:9)

The Prophet Moses confronted the Pharaoh of the time who had enslaved the Israelites (descendants of the Prophet Jacob, son of Isaac, son of Abraham). However, after the Israelites escaped their captivity, they were again swayed by the Babylonian Pharisees and reverted to worshipping idols and the golden calf after the Pharisees collected all the Israelites' gold. This event is known as the Apostasy of Israel and the Cherubim. "Thou shalt have no other gods before me. Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth." "Ye shall not make with me gods of silver, neither shall ye make unto you gods of gold." (Ex.20: 2, 3, and 23).

[Judaism Corrupted into Babylonian Talmudism circa 586 BCE](#)

True-Torah Judaism was originally based on Moses' vision of God as a universal moral force. The Sadducees upheld this view but the Pharisees overthrew them in a civil war in 79 BC. The remnants of the Sadducees, "Torah Jews" still cling to Moses and the Old Testament but they are a minority (5%). Phariseism is based on the Babylonian Talmud, which consists of the interpretations of "sages" during the Babylonian exile 586 BC to 1040 AC. Generally speaking, the Babylonian Talmudists contradict the spirit of Moses and take precedence over the Torah. They have been using the name of Judaism as a shield against their banking/money monopoly since ancient times. And as you will see later, the majority of Jews today are not Israelites, i.e. 90% are from Southern Russia/Northern Turkey and known as Ashkenazi/Khazar whose elite symbolically converted to Babylonian Talmudism in the 8th Century AC. More on this later.



[Greece 2,500 Years Ago](#)

Five hundred years later, the city-states of Greece were issuing metallic coins, the silver obol. After Sparta captured the Athenian silver mines around 400 B.C., Athens was faced with a grave shortage of coins. Over the next couple of decades, Athens issued bronze coins with a thin plating of silver. The shortage was made even worse as citizens hoarded the old coins and spent the new. It was the World's first experience of what has become known as **Gresham's Law: Bad money drives out good money**. Among the earliest and most popular of the Persian coins was a series known as archers because on the obverse they depicted the emperor armed with spear, bow and arrows. The mainland route from Asia to Greece lay through Thrace and Macedon, kingdoms of such minor importance that they were simply bought off by the Persian archers. Hence the boast of the Persian emperor "I will conquer Greece with my archers" was something of a pun - intentional or otherwise. The Persian boast was not fulfilled and part of the reason for this is that around 490 BC a particularly rich seam of silver was struck in the Laurion mines some 25 miles south of Athens and some of the proceeds from this were saved by the Athenians, after powerful persuasion from Themistocles, and used to build the fleet which destroyed the Persians under Xerxes at the battle of Salamis in 480 BC. Thus Greek civilization was saved from being strangled on the eve of its greatest triumphs. Later the Macedonians opened up a number of new mines and began minting coins on a large scale. Some of these commemorated the triumphs of their king, Philip, in the Olympics (an example of how coins were used in the ancient World as instruments of propaganda). The quantity of coins minted by Philip was far in excess of the normal requirements of the Greeks and Macedonians. Therefore, when Alexander the Great inherited the throne he had a large financial reserve to pay for the initial stages of his campaign against the Persians. By the time Alexander's army was fully engaged in Asia the cost was about 20 talents or half a ton of silver a day! This shows how important his father's preparations were to the success of his campaign. Later on Alexander captured immense quantities of Persian gold and silver, much of which was then turned into coins by the mints he also captured, and so his war became self-financing.

[Ancient Greece and Rome 700 B.C.](#)

The Romans made a point of keeping the commonly used money strictly worthless intrinsically - coins of bronze - a mixture of copper, tin, with a little lead. This was introduced by Rome's second king, suggestively called Numa (718-672 BC). In this way they were insulated from the influence of the Middle Eastern powers who coined gold and silver as their money.... Gold and silver could be traded in Rome as merchandise, but the ability of the eastern temples or merchants to control and disrupt Rome's money was greatly reduced by the Numa innovation. The fate of Greece was partly determined by the orientation of its money. Greece faced east; Rome faced west. It was only during the Punic Wars that the powerful defence thrown up by its bronze currency against foreign monetary intervention was sapped. J.W. Frazer's Golden Bough tells how in 204 BC, after enduring 16 years of Hannibal's rampage and 70 to 100 thousand dead, the war-weary and desperate Romans were seduced by a prophecy concocted from the Sibylline books: 'The foreign invader would be driven from Italy if the Oriental Goddess, the Phrygian "Mother of the Gods" were brought to Rome. The small black stone which

embodied that mighty divinity (Kybele) was conveyed to Rome. In the very next year Hannibal embarked for Africa. The Phrygian Goddess' sanctuary was established on Palatine Hill. Some have argued that the cultic success in ridding Italy of Hannibal threw open the gates of Rome to a variety of Eastern cults. But the warfare had already helped bring down the money system and Roman justice with it.

"The 'commodization' of Rome's money system dramatically accelerated the emergence of a plutocracy – a ruling order based on wealth. 'By the time of the 2nd Punic War there were already significant accumulations of capital in the hands not only of the Roman Establishment, but of a non-Senatorial business class. This capital may have been the fruits of the profits made during the 1st Punic War. Contractors commanded sufficient reserves to make deliveries to the Government on credit from 215 BC onwards.

"Our hypothesis that silver was provided to the mint at a six-fold overvaluation can help explain where the money came from. And the timing also works. "For the loans were being repaid even before the war was over. Then immediately upon defeating Hannibal, there was a political thrust to start a new war with Macedon. Apparently those benefiting from warfare didn't want any interruption to their profiteering."

This eventually brought on a revolt on behalf of the small farmers led in turn by two aristocratic brothers, the Gracchi, inspired by Stoic philosophy. Both were martyred, and the era of Julius Caesar and his dictatorial successors took over. Each of these, while still striving for power, minted his own gold coins. This had a double purpose – financing their attempt to seize supreme power and advertising the extent of power already attained. Under Augustus the ratio of gold to silver was raised to 12:1, and an end was put to coining by patrician families. Julius Caesar had directed his conquest to gold sources, that amongst much else would allow him to handle his huge personal debt. With every new colony founded, a new coining was launched under the Roman stamp to take care of its monetary needs. Despite Rome's Eastern conquests gold and silver drained off to the east, largely because of the luxury imports by the high-living new rich of Rome. Slaves replaced free labourers on the vast latifundia that took over as usury ruined the small farmers. All this echoes strangely familiar in the claim of central banks to be independent of their government, no matter what their charters may say. That is notably the case even in Canada and the United Kingdom, where the central governments are in fact the sole shareholder of its central bank with the explicit ultimate responsibility for its basic policy. Moreover, the official goal of "zero inflation" is unrealizable in a pluralistic urbanized high-tech society, in which ever more indispensable infrastructures are provided by the state. The coining of gold was considered the ultimate sign of sovereignty. **Strip government of that prerogative, and what is left is no longer a truly sovereign power.**

For a later period, after the Roman Empire had declined, it worked like this: The gold/silver ratio in the West was kept high, ranging over millennia, between 9 and 16 to 1. However, the ratio in India and Asia was kept low – usually around 6 or 7 to 1. This meant that silver taken from Europe to India was exchanged for nearly twice as much gold in India as in Europe. The nexus of this trade was the land bridge above the Middle East. Whoever controlled that area usually controlled the trade.

"If it was controlled from the West, they got 100% more gold for their silver than the local value. It worked just as well from the East. If they controlled the trade they received 100% more silver for their gold. If control was shared, trade would probably have been at a 9 to 1 ratio, giving each establishment a profit on exchange. "The existence of this dichotomy and its significance is almost unknown (Jacobs, William, *The Precious Metals*, 1831, reprinted NY, A.M. Kelley, 1968). Alexander Del Mar also discovered it. While the mechanism is still [generally] unrecognized, we can identify its traces in the work of modern historians such as M. Rostovtzev's *Social and Economic History of the Hellenistic World*: 'The Ptolemies [Macedonian successors to Alexander the Great in Egypt] 'for reasons unknown to us but probably dictated by economics...separated themselves and their Kingdom from the rest of the Hellenized World. It seems to have been an accepted fact that they derived an enormous reserve of gold from the Arabian caravan trade. "Pliny [the Elder] wrote that 100 million Sesterces of silver, equivalent to one million gold Aurei, was annually exported to India and China from Rome. He had been appointed Procurator in Spain and entrusted with managing the Revenue. But the secrecy of the mechanism is underscored by the fact that its workings were not known to him, for he couldn't understand why his countrymen always demanded silver and not gold from conquered races."

[Banking in the Ancient Roman World 318 BC](#)

Banking and Business in the Roman World Series: Key Themes in Ancient History by Jean Andreau Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris Translated by Janet Lloyd Hardback (ISBN-13: 9780521380317 | ISBN-10: 0521380316) DOI: 10.2277/0521380316 Published October 1999 . In the first century BC lending and borrowing by the senators was the talk of Rome and even provoked political crises. During this same period, the state tax-farmers were handling enormous sums and exploiting the provinces of the Empire. Until now no book has presented a synthetic view of Roman banking and financial life as a whole, from the time of the appearance of the first bankers' shops in the Forum between 318 and 310 BC down to the end of the Principate in AD 284. Professor Andreau writes of the business deals of the elite and the professional bankers and also of the interventions of the state. To what extent did the spirit of profit and enterprise predominate over the traditional values of the city of Rome? And what economic role did these financiers play?

[Julius Caesar Strips Money Changers of Their Powers & is Murdered by Them \(48BC\)](#)

In 48 BC, Julius Caesar took back from the Money Changers the power to coin money and then minted coins for the benefit of all. With this new, plentiful supply of money, he built great public works. By making money plentiful, Caesar won the love of the common people. But the Money Changers hated him. Some believe this was an important factor in Caesar's assassination. One thing is for sure: with the death of Caesar came the demise of plentiful money in Rome. Taxes increased, as did corruption. Eventually the Roman money supply was reduced by 90 per cent. As a result, the common people lost their lands and homes—just as has happened and will happen again in America to the few who still own their own land and homes.

[Yeshua-Joshua \(Yeshua\) Flips \(Many Coins\) 33 A.C. Or So](#)

"And Yeshua-Joshua went into the temple and began to cast out them that sold and bought in the temple, and overthrew the tables of the money changers" – Bible, Book of Mark 11:15.

Let's continue our exploration of money at the ancient temple in Jerusalem during Yeshua-Joshua-Issa's time two thousand years ago when the Pharisees money-changers used the Jewish and Sun-god temples to collect interest. Yeshua-Joshua was so upset by the sight of the money changers Pharisees in the temple, he waded in and started to tip over the tables and drive them out with a whip, this being the only time we ever hear of him using force during his entire ministry. Just who are these Money Changers to whom James Madison referred? The Bible tells us that, 2,000 years ago, Yeshua-Joshua Christ twice drove the Money Changers from the Temple in Jerusalem. Apart from when the Temple Guards were forced to the ground in the Garden of Gethsemane, these were the only times Yeshua-Joshua used physical violence. What were Money Changers doing in the Temple? What caused the ultimate pacifist to become so aggressive? When Jews came to Jerusalem to pay their Temple tax, they could only pay it with a special coin, the half-shekel. This was a half-ounce of pure silver, about the size of a quarter. It was the only coin at that time which was pure silver and of assured weight, without the image of a pagan Emperor. It was to them the only coin acceptable to God (actually to the Pharisees). But these coins were not plentiful. The Money Changers had cornered the market on them; then they raised the price – just as with any other monopolized commodity—to whatever the market would bear. Because there was only a limited numbers of these coins in circulation, the money changers were in a buyers market and like with anything else in short supply, they were able to raise the price to what the market would bear. In other words, the Money Changers were making exorbitant profits because they held a virtual monopoly on money. The Jews had to pay whatever they demanded. To Yeshua-Joshua, this injustice violated the sanctity of God's house. They made huge profits with their monopoly on these coins and turned this time of devotion into a mockery for profit. Yeshua-Joshua saw this as stealing from the people and proclaimed the whole setup to be "A den of thieves". Yeshua-Joshua's exposing of the money changers made him a target for them and their leader Caiaphas.

Once money is accepted as a form of exchange, those who **produce**, loan out and manipulate the quantity of money are obviously in a very strong position. They are the "Money Changers", modern day privately owned "central banks" and local banks to a lesser extent. **REMEMBER, REAL OR FIAT MONEY DOES NOT CREATE GOODS & SERVICES. WORK CREATES GOODS & SERVICES!!!** Yeshua-Joshua always warned people about the Pharisees. Yeshua-Joshua said (to the Pharisees / Priest-Rabbi Bankers), "Ye are of your father, the devil ... He was a murderer from the beginning ... he is a liar and the father of it." The central book in apostatic Judaism is the Babylonian Talmud (written after Yeshua-Joshua), which contains the teachings of the apostate Pharisees (note the resemblance to Pharaohs; Pharaoh ruled by dividing his subjects into casts and degrees like his predecessor Nimrod, the builder of the infamous tower of Babel and initiator of Masonry) -- those people on whom the New Testament spends so many words. The Babylonian Talmud teaches Rabbis to oppose and discredit the teachings of Yeshua-Joshua because he criticized the Pharisees. As previously stated, Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men," an abomination, and he condemned them; for doing so, Yeshua-Joshua was "crucified" or so it seemed crossed by the Roman Pharisee King Pailatoos (Pilates) under the urgings of the Pharisees.

Pharisee: "One of the members of a school or party among the ancient Jews noted for the strict formal observance of the rites and validity of traditions of the elders. Pharisee interpretation provided the standard of observation and belief for the great majority of the Jews from the 1st century A.C. Pharisaic, hypocritical, self righteous and censorious of others" -- Webster's New International Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd edition, 1950. **PHARISEES ARE A SECRET ORDER WHO PRETEND TO BE ISRAELITES JUDEANS! Yeshua-Joshua-Issa had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees (the usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) in Matthew 23. Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men. Looking at "God - the Ultimate Paradox", David Ash tells us (p.69:) that in ancient times, Jews "had a governing body ... known as the 'Council of Elders" dominated by the Pharisees. In the 19th century this council "described itself as the learned Elders of Zion". We learn that there is a group within the Elders which infiltrates and creates secret societies - such as the Masons - known as 'The Brotherhood of the Snake ... In modern times this brotherhood has adopted the title of Illuminati" (p.74) and created Zionism. A lot more on this later.**

In "The Pharisees--The Sociological Background of Their Faith," Rabbi Louis Finkelstein describes these self-styled "Jews" and their origins: "Phariseeism became Babylonian Talmudism, Babylonian Talmudism became Medieval Rabbinism, and Medieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism. But throughout these changes in name...the spirit of the ancient Pharisee survives unaltered." "...rabbinic Judaism, the first-born child of Phariseeism, remains a unit until this day." (p.XXI of Forward to 1st Edition, "The Pharisees," Vol. 1, Philadelphia: The Jewish Publication Society of America, 1938 & Vol. 2, p.

622. Yeshua-Joshua had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees in Matthew 23. He exposed them for the sort of people they were: "Hypocrites," "sons of hell," "blind guides," "fools," "full of robbery and self-indulgence," "whitewashed tombs...full of dead men's bones and all uncleanness," "full of hypocrisy and lawlessness," "partners with them in shedding the blood of the prophets," and "serpents and brood of vipers." The noun Pharisee occurs at least 87 times in the New Testament, often said by Yeshua-Joshua. Yet any use of the word has all but been eliminated from the teachings and pulpits of America. WHY? Yeshua-Joshua confronted the Pharisees. No longer an exclusive Jewish term, Pharisaic influence is found in every church and Synagogue in America, busily reshaping Christianity in its image. Efforts are made to reshapes all religions into the image the mega-banking dynasties find acceptable in order to maintain and expand their wealth.

The Pharisees were a secret order who outwardly appeared to be orthodox Jews but inwardly were of the mysteries of Babylon (Babylon means confusion). That is why Yeshua-Joshua exposed them as hypocrites; that is having two faces. For in those days a hypocrite was an actor who played different roles by putting on different clothes to cover up his true identity. It would have been totally out of character and wrong of Yeshua-Joshua to call them "serpents" and their father "the devil", if behind those religious robes they were not secret members of the Mystery Babylon kingdom of darkness also known as the dark clan. Now, it is one thing to be a sinner and another to be an actual sworn member to a satanic cult that makes members take a blood oath and adopt pagan satanic symbols to wear. These Pharisees sell their soul to the devil for *wages*, employment, business opportunity, political power, financial security, and lastly as a fraternity to cloak these schemes behind the tiled door, which in the secret mysteries is a substitute for the temples at Babylon and Jerusalem. What does the Masonry cult say about their ancestors the Pharisees? "The character of their organization is interesting to the Masonic student. They held a secret doctrine, ...they met in sodalities or societies, the members of which called themselves chabirim, fellows or associates; and they styled all who were outside of their mystical association, yum hararetz, or people of the land" (Encyclopedia of Masonry, Albert G. Mackey, 1921, pp 560,561).

[The Bank Of Rome](#)

The "Bank" of Rome Headquarters in Vatican City. It was founded in 45 A.C. by Simon the Sorcerer.



The "Bank" of Rome in Vatican City was founded in 45 A.C. by Simon Magnus the Sorcerer (distinct from the Church of Rome which was founded by the PAUL around 60 A.C.). Simon Magus (Simon the Sorcerer) tried to buy spiritual powers or the office of Apostle from Peter (Acts 8:9-24) and this led to the term "Simoney" which means the buying or selling of ecclesiastical pardons, offices, or emoluments. Peter said that Judas had a PART in the apostolic ministry and his successor Matthias was chosen by LOT. Peter told Simon that he had neither PART nor LOT in the Apostolic office. After his rebuke by Peter, Simon went to Rome!! When he arrived in Rome during the reign of Emperor Claudius, that city was the USURY capital of the World. A few rich families controlled all the wealth, and just like the U.S. today, these super-rich capitalists owned and controlled the government. Let's not forget that THEY implemented the law of tithing and it was not Yeshua-Joshua, Paul, or anyone else... the love of money is the root of all evil, and the Roman Elites have always loved their money. Simon beguiled the Romans as he beguiled the Samaritans. He was honoured as a god in Rome and a statue was erected in his honor: "Such was his procedure in the reign of Claudius Cæsar, by whom also he is said to have been honoured with a statue, on account of his magical power. This man, then, was glorified by many as if he were a god; and he taught that it was himself who appeared among the Jews as the Son, but descended in Samaria as the Father while he came to other nations in the character of the Holy Spirit. He represented himself, in a word, as being the loftiest of all powers, that is, the Being who is the Father over all, and he allowed himself to be called by whatsoever title men were pleased to address him"(Justin Martyr, The Apostolic Fathers). According to church historian Justin Martyr, Simon was the father of ALL heresies: "Now this Simon of Samaria, from whom all sorts of heresies derive their origin, formed his sect out of the following materials . . ."(Justin Martyr, The Apostolic Fathers). Acts 8:

9 Now for some time a man named Simon had practiced sorcery in the city and amazed all the people of Samaria. He boasted that he was someone great,

10 and all the people, both high and low, gave him their attention and exclaimed, "This man is the divine power known as the Great Power."

11 They followed him because he had amazed them for a long time with his magic.

12 But when they believed Philip as he preached the good news of the kingdom of God and the name of Yeshua-Joshua Christ, they were baptized, both men and women.

13 Simon himself believed and was baptized. And he followed Philip everywhere, astonished by the great signs and miracles he saw.

14 When the apostles in Jerusalem heard that Samaria had accepted the word of God, they sent Peter and John to them.

15 When they arrived, they prayed for them that they might receive the Holy Spirit,

16 because the Holy Spirit had not yet come upon any of them; they had simply been baptized into[3] the name of the Lord Yeshua-Joshua.

17 Then Peter and John placed their hands on them, and they received the Holy Spirit.

18 When Simon saw that the Spirit was given at the laying on of the apostles' hands, he offered them money

19 and said, "Give me also this ability so that everyone on whom I lay my hands may receive the Holy Spirit."

20 Peter answered: "May your money perish with you, because you thought you could buy the gift of God with money!

21 You have no part or share in this ministry, because your heart is not right before God.


22 Repent of this wickedness and pray to the Lord. Perhaps he will forgive you for having such a thought in your heart.

23 For I see that you are full of bitterness and captive to sin."

24 Then Simon answered, "Pray to the Lord for me so that nothing you have said may happen to me."

25 When they had testified and proclaimed the word of the Lord, Peter and John returned to Jerusalem, preaching the gospel in many Samaritan villages. Josephus, the great Jewish historian mentions the Samaritans.

"When the Jews are in adversity they [the Samaritans] deny that they are kin to them, and THEN THEY CONFESS THE TRUTH; but when they perceive that some good fortune hath befallen them, they immediately PRETEND to have communion with them, saying, that they belong to them, and desire their genealogy from the posterity of Joseph, Ephraim, and Manasseh And when they see the Jews in prosperity, they PRETEND they are changed and allied to them, and call them kinsmen, as though they were derived from Joseph, and had by that means an original alliance with them; but when they see them falling into a low condition, they say that they are no way related to them, and that the Jews have no right to expect any kindness or marks of KINDRED from them, but they declare that they are sojourners, that come from OTHER countries" (Josephus, Antiquities, IX, 14, 3). When the Jewish wars began around 66 A.C., these Samaritans denied kinship with the real Jews and thus escaped from the Roman sword. The World did come to an end in 70 A.C. — for the Jewish nation. Roman General Titus besieged the city and over 1 million people were killed in the siege. The full account is given by the Jewish historian Josephus in his Wars of the Jews. The Jewish nation, beginning with Abraham, had its 2,000 year existence. To be a Jew you had to prove ancestry from Abraham or be married to someone who was thus descended. All the genealogical records were kept in the Temple which was completely destroyed. The Jews were scattered to the four winds. After the Fall of Rome, they and all the civilizations that comprised the Empire were swept away forever. Not all Samaritans were bad. Yeshua-Joshua spoke about a GOOD Samaritan and many of the Samaritans did become real Jews by believing in Yeshua-Joshua....Justin Martyr the famous church historian who wrote about Simon the Sorcerer was also a Samaritan. The moneylender was conceived in Rome . . . and gave birth to usury. The word usury comes from the Latin *usus* meaning "used" and the word *usura* which means demanding in return for a loan a greater amount than was borrowed.



"As lending upon interest was forbidden by the Gabinian law between provincials and Roman citizens, and the latter at that time had all the money of the globe in their hands, there was a necessity for tempting them with the bait of extravagant interest, to the end that the avaricious might thus lose sight of the danger of losing their money. And as they were men of great power in Rome, who awed the magistrates and overruled the laws, they were emboldened to lend, and to extort great usury. Hence the provinces were successively ravaged by every one who had any credit in Rome: and as each governor, at entering upon his province, published his edict wherein he fixed the rate of interest in what manner he pleased, the legislature played into the hands of avarice, and the latter served the mean purposes of the legislator" (Baron de Montesquieu, The Laws of Nations:)

Right: Arch of Titus in Rome commemorating his victory over the Jewish nation.

Usury was outlawed by the Roman Republic but the rich Romans found a way around it as usual. The used surrogates or front men just like they used the fake "Jews" during the Dark Ages to lend at usury: "As soon as lending upon interest was forbidden at Rome, they contrived all sort of means to elude the law; and as their allies, and the Latins, were not subject to the civil laws of the Romans, they employed a Latin, or an ally, to lend his name, and personate the creditor. The law, therefore, had only subjected the creditors to a matter of form, and the public were not relieved" (Baron de Montesquieu, The Laws of Nations). Some of the Samaritans were fake "Jews." The met in synagogues, observed the Passover and the other Jewish feasts etc., etc., but they were really Babylonians and money lending, USURY and loan sharking was no problem for them. They disappear from history about 600 A.C. but by that time they had completely supplanted the real Torah Jews. Simon the Sorcerer failed to buy an Apostleship, but his successor Pope Constantine considered himself the 13th Apostle and was buried in Constantinople in a crypt surrounded by 12 coffins to represent the 12 apostles: "He (Constantine) accordingly caused twelve coffins to be set up in this church, like sacred pillars in honor and memory of the apostolic number, in the center of which his own was placed, having six of theirs on either side of it. Thus, as I said, he had provided with prudent foresight an honorable resting-place for his body after death, and, having long before secretly formed this resolution, he now consecrated this church to the apostles, believing that this tribute to their memory would be of no small advantage to his own soul" (Eusebius, Life of Constantine).

AC 301: Diocletian attempted to stop inflation by imperial order, the so-called Edict on Prices. Taxes followed: The annona was a land-tax determined by the quality to the land and the manpower available to work it (*capitatio-iugatio*). b. The tax base was calculated periodically, eventually every fifteen years (the *indictio*). c. The tax was originally collected in kind (normally grain), but money payments were soon substituted. d. The new system allowed the preparation of annual budgets and put the financial system of the state on a relatively secure footing.

AC320s: Coinage reformed: Constantine's gold solidus (struck at 72 to the pound) became the World's standard coin for the next thousand years. Constantine adopts Christianity and following his conversion, he confiscates the enormous treasures amassed over the centuries in the pagan temples throughout the empire. Consequently, unlike Diocletian, he has easily enough bullion to replace the earlier debased gold coinage. However he continues to produce debased silver and copper coins. Thus the poor, unlike the rich, are left with an inflation-ridden currency.

AC 435: Coins cease to be used in Britain: As a result of the Anglo-Saxon invasions Britain, uniquely among the former Roman provinces, ceases to use coins as money for nearly 200 years. When they are re-introduced from the Continent they are used initially for ornament.

AC 561: Coins are minted again in England by Bishop Liudard

Arabia 7th Century A.C.

The gradual revelations of the Quran forbid usury and slavery. Many of the people who converted to Islam during the life of the Prophet Mohammed forgave the interest on outstanding loans (and in some cases forgave the debts) and emancipated slaves. Also, the Muslims repulsed attacks from the Roman Empire aimed at crushing the revival of the monotheistic faith and finally defeated the Romans by evicting them from Jerusalem in the 7th Century after the death of the Prophet Mohammed and under the caliphate of Umar Ibn Khattab. There is a lot of misinformation about the Prophet Muhammad (Praiseworthy) posted on the Internet or propagated through some news media or through calumnizing cartoons, etc. Actually, false information about the ancient prophets was circulated by some elements since the time of Prophet Noah and many prophets including Abraham, Moses, Solomon, Yeshuah (Issa), etc. had to endure being subjected to propaganda against them by the elite of their times. Some prophets like Ezekiel (Zakaria) and John (Yahya) were murdered and some like the Prophet Muhammad were subject to assassination attempts. From Karen Armstrong, "Muhammad A Biography of The Prophet", Harper Collins Publisher, USA, 1992, p.199 "... the Muslims were horrified to see the way Western Christians treated their women in the Crusader states, and Christian scholars denounced Islam for giving too much power to menials like slaves and women".



Khazaria 8th Century A.C. (Part Phallic & Part Converted to Talmudism)

Some writers refer to themselves as being "New Jews", or European Ashkenazi (title taken from the biblical tribe of Ashkenaz). Well, they really are of more recent origin than the older Sephardic Jews ('Sepher' in Hebrew means 'book', descendants of Isaac), whose ancestors were dispersed from ancient Palestine by the Romans in about 70 A.C., and moved to Spain via North Africa. These "New Jews" or "non-Israelite non-Semite European Jews" comprise about 95% of people who claim to be Jewish Worldwide, however their ancestors did not come from Palestine as had the southern European Jews ("The Thirteenth Stone", p.504). "The Jewish Encyclopedia" 1925, Vol.5, p.41 says "they are actually Edomites" and "Edom means Red." (Emphasis added) These Edomites lived in ancient Byzantium near the Khazar Kingdom, which lay in the region between the Black Sea and the Caspian (Khazarian) Sea. "This Khazar empire was infiltrated by large numbers of Byzantine Jews", who then began a "...process of inter-marriage..." with the locals. ("Behind Marxism" by Frank L. Britton, page 14).

As outlined in "The Thirteenth Tribe", by a Jewish scholar Arthur Koestler, the Khazars were "...people of Turkish stock..." (p.13) a fierce tribe of wily traders, whose "... main source of income was foreign trade ...slaves from the north" (p.47). They also relied on military power, tax collection (a 10% GST!), customs tariffs, arts and crafts, and skilled goldsmiths. In about 740 AD the King of Khazaria "...embraced the Talmudic Babylonian version of the Jewish faith which is not true-Torah Judaism, and Talmudic Judaism became the state religion" (p.15). His subjects quickly saw the benefit of this new religion. Around 965 AC, Khazaria was taken over by the Russians and then finally destroyed by Genghis Khan in the 1200s, with the remaining Khazar-Jews dispersing into Eastern Europe. Having been settling in Europe over the early part of the second millennium, the Khazar-Jews quickly set themselves up into "...Jew's streets or Jewries...- (autonomous ghettos) (Valentine's Jewish Encyclopedia, 1938, p.589). The natural Khazar ability in trade (both legal and illegal) gave them easy entry into every country's commerce until "... every European country's economy was ... in their hands" ("Pawns in the Game" by William Guy Carr, 1958, p15).

This point in time (the Middle Ages) ushered in the birth of modern banking. ("God - the Ultimate Paradox" Dec. 1997), by Jewish author David Ash, describes the two basic competing banking systems (p.III):

- "The first system involved the bank investing money ... as a joint, profit-sharing enterprise or on the basis of charging fees for services rendered For example,.. Bank of Amsterdam ...
- "The second banking system involved the bank lending money at interest and holding the property of the borrower as collateral This system is called usury.
- "The first system brought prosperity...The second... ruin."

Promissory notes (receipts), a feature of early banking, were issued by a banker (moneylender) to his depositors for the safekeeping of the silver or gold lodged with him. Over time these became banknotes promising to pay the bearer a certain amount in a precious metal. However, not all depositors will withdraw all their holdings at once, so the bankers only kept a fraction of their receipts [promissory notes] as deposits. Modern banking uses nothing to back the banknotes issued. This deposit system, when combined with usury, allowed the bankers to create loans "out of thin air", enabling

them to "...strip real wealth from the people, through interest paid on 'phantom money,. This has been an effective way for the bankers to rob nations and centralise their wealth." ("God - The Ultimate Paradox", p. 113) It was this second system which gave birth to the modern banking system - adopted by the "Jews" - which eliminated the first system described above. Jewish bankers began to loan large sums to royalty for wars - which became difficult to repay - with the victor usually being the king to whom they loaned the most and the vanquished saddled with huge debts of reparation. As bankers and tax collectors, these **Ashkenazi European "Jews"** became despised by the citizenry of various countries because of the debt which burdened them with taxes; so much so that even the placid Sephards were persecuted, especially by the harsh Spanish Inquisition. **England was the last country to come under Khazar-Jewish influence and first to expel all Jews in 1290. "The Great Eviction", as it became known, occurred thus: France 1306, Saxony 1349, Hungary 1360, Belgium 1370, Slovakia 1380, Austria 1420, Netherlands 1444, Spain 1492, Lithuania 1495, Portugal 1498, Prussia 1510, Italy 1540, Bavaria 1551, Hungary 1582 ("Behind Marxism" pages 4-7). This period also marked the transition of Europe into the Renaissance - a rebirth of culture and learning which coincided with the wresting of commercial control from the money-lending Khazar descendants. These Jews had since retreated to a "Pale" area stretching from Poland to Turkey, regrouping into Kahats (or ghettos) in which they even had their own government and infrastructure. Such conditions put these mostly-Khazar Jewish people under the control of their wealthy money barons via the Rabbinic Elders (hence the phrase, "beyond the pale"). Looking at "God - the Ultimate Paradox", David Ash tells us (p.69:) that in ancient times, Jews "had a governing body ... known as the 'Council of Elders'". The Hebrew word for 'Elder' means "... 'in touch with God'. In the 19th century this council "described itself as the learned Elders of Zion". We learn that there is a group within the Elders which infiltrates and creates secret societies - such as the Masons - known as 'The Brotherhood of the Snake ... In modern times this brotherhood has adopted the title of Illuminati" (p.74).**

AC 752: Pepin the Short of France starts minting the Denier This new silver coin serves as a model for the English penny

Fall of The Roman Empire

The Punic Wars between Rome and Carthage proved to be very expensive and at one stage Rome seems to have run out of money altogether and been forced to rely on credit. The expense of supporting the Roman army may be judged by the fact that the cost of maintaining just one legion (after the last Punic War) was about 1,500,000 denari a year. Therefore the main use of the annual production of silver coins was simply to pay the army. Because of this expense emperors were often tempted to try and make savings by debasing the coinage - a technique used in many countries for as long as coins were made from precious metals. (One typical method of debasement was to use metal with a lower grade of purity). However, debasement resulted in inflation which got steadily worse when in addition to their army the Romans had to maintain a large bureaucracy and also spent huge amounts on welfare payments. Various emperors introduced financial reforms to try and halt inflation but some of their attempts actually made matters worse. Aurelian simply raised the nominal value of his coins by two and a half times the value of similar ones with the result that the pace of inflation was no longer constrained by the rate at which hand-struck coins could be minted. Glyn Davies suggests that those who believe in the disinflationary magic of a gold currency should note that Aurelian proved conclusively that a "reformed" currency is perfectly compatible with an increase rather than a decrease in inflation. The most successful was Diocletian who introduced a whole series of measures including reforms of the currency, a system of annual budgets, and a prices and incomes policy. Thus there was a shift from a market economy in the direction of a controlled one. Diocletian's successor Constantine continued his reforms and also introduced new gold coins and ensured that there was a plentiful supply for the influential sections of Roman society. The measures undertaken by these two emperors did not eradicate inflation but they did enable Rome to live with it, ensuring the survival of the western empire until the 5th century and laying the foundations of the eastern or Byzantine empire. The army and the bureaucracy were kept happy while welfare payments helped to keep the poorest sections of society from causing trouble. Nevertheless Rome was seriously weakened by inflation before it fell.

As the small farming class which was the backbone of Rome fell into financial difficulties due to rising taxes and inflation, the rich began to buy up the land and create huge plantations for themselves. Slavery was already an institution but as the plantations grew in size, the need for a huge labor pool to work the plantations grew. Thus, slavery as an institution was gradually expanded. You could now become a slave four ways. If you were in debt, you could be seized as a slave to pay off your debts, if you broke laws, they would save money on prisons and police by simply sentencing you to slavery, if people somewhere in the empire rebelled, they would be crushed and enslaved, and finally, Romans occupied North Africa all the way down to Nubia in sub-Saharan Africa. African tribes kept slaves too. They would sell surplus slaves to the Romans. How did this hurt Rome? Eventually 20% of the populations were slaves. This meant that more slaves were doing the work of free men. Thus, jobs became scarcer and more unemployment resulted. The tax base shrank and Rome had to raise taxes on the people who were free to make up the difference and this led to more debt and more bankruptcies and more slaves and the problem grew.

It was the emperors of Rome who used hard money to build the greatest political and military dynasty the World had ever seen. Rome's wealth rose to glorious heights through conquest and little real commerce. **In his book The History of Money, Jack Weatherford explains, "Rome's fame and glory came from the military and from conquest, and their riches, too, derived much more from the achievements of the army than from those of the merchants." As long as Rome's legions conquered new lands, the empire thrived. But each new occupation required ever-greater resources.** In 130 B.C. Rome conquered the kingdom of Pergamum. In a few years, Rome's spending doubled from 25 million denari (a Roman silver coin) to 50 million. By 63 B.C., the budget grew to 75 million denari, and spending was beginning to spin out of control. Vast strategic ambitions and pork-barrel spending were beginning to sap the economic vitality from the Empire. By the time of Augustus, with Rome at its apex, spending rose to an astonishing 250 million denari, or 10 times what it had

been 60 years earlier. There were ever-growing ambitions and debt. But even Rome could not surmount the Law of Diminishing Returns. By the time the Empire reached the British Isles, the cost of its army vastly exceeded the booty it was repatriating. Yet spending continued to climb even as revenues declined. A string of emperors in succession pursued similar policies of currency debasement: collect coins in circulation and re-mint new coins with less silver content. During his reign, Nero reduced the silver content in the denarii by 90%! Two hundred years later, there was no longer silver in the coin at all. **Rome almost spent its entire reserves to prop up the government. Confidence in the money began to disintegrate. The Roman Empire imploded, crushed beneath its weighty ambitions and a mountain of debt. In the book *The Outline of History*, H.G. Wells wrote,** "Money was young in the human experience and wild. It fluctuated greatly. It was now abundant and now scarce. Men made sly and crude schemes to corner it, to hoard it, to send up prices by releasing hoarded metals." Wells wrote this in 1920, at a time when the World was enjoying remarkable monetary stability. The gold standard was proving to be an outstanding regulator of monetary expansion and inflation. Since money was backed by gold, money could only grow at a rate equaling new gold reserves. Typically this was less than 1% a year (although there were some booms in gold production that greatly exceeded this number). Gold was an instrument that took away the great powers' ability to devalue their currencies for political expediency. As the 20th century progressed, big governments with bold ambitions decided that the gold standard was unacceptable. That, of course, included the United States. After freeing itself from the gold "shackel" in 1972, the U.S. government was free to create as much money – and therefore debt – as it wanted. It is the modern "Roman Empire".

The effect of the fall of Rome was particularly marked in Britain where money virtually disappeared from use for a couple of centuries, the island reverting to barter. Later, after the Anglo-Saxon invaders started to mint coins (originally by copying those made in France) they had in their turn to face invaders from the east - the Vikings. This led to a immense increase in the minting of coins in England because of the demand for Danegeld to pay the invaders to go home, or heregeld, a tax to pay for the armies of those monarchs who chose to fight the Vikings. Huge quantities of English coins from this period have been found in Scandinavia. After Athelstan reconquered the Danelaw he passed the Statute of Greatley in 928 which established a single national currency for England and marked the start of the unbroken 1,000 year history of the pound sterling. Later monarchs relied more on their mints than their armies to defend the realm. Aethelred's 75 mints coined nearly 40 million silver pennies for the payment of Danegeld. One linguistic legacy of the Viking era is the phrase "to pay through the nose". This expression comes from the unfortunate habit of the Danes in Ireland in the 9th century who slit the noses of those unwilling or unable to pay the Danish poll tax. William the Conqueror financed the Norman invasion and conquest of England partly by debasing Norman currency. However, he resisted the temptation to do the same to the English coinage and instead raised revenue through the introduction of new taxes. The very detailed survey of the resources of his new kingdom, recorded in the Domesday Book, facilitated the imposition of these taxes. Furthermore, the tax collectors would naturally reject below-weight or impure coins and thus the system of taxation not only provided an alternative to debasement but also gave the rulers an incentive to maintain the quality of the coinage and so the value of English money remained remarkably stable for several centuries, in marked contrast to the situation on the Continent. In 395 AC, the former Roman World was formally divided into an Empire of the East and an Empire of the West. The eastern empire survived until the capture of Constantinople by the Turks in 1453 AC. In the west, Visigoths, Ostrogoths, Vandals, Franks, and Huns burst over the frontiers and the Jutes, Angles, and Saxons planted themselves in Britain. In 410 AC Alaric and his Goths sacked Rome. Then, in 476 AC, the last of the Caesars, Romulus Augustulus, was dethroned. The Germanic kingdoms took the place of the Imperium Romanum.

[China 10th Century](#)

[AC 806-821: Reign of Emperor Hien Tsung and the development of paper money In China a severe shortage of copper for making coins causes the emperor to issue paper money notes](#)

In China the issue of paper money became common from about AD 960 onwards but there had been occasional issues long before that. A motive for one such early issue, in the reign of Emperor Hien Tsung 806-821, was a shortage of copper for making coins. A drain of currency from China, partly to buy off potential invaders from the north, led to greater reliance on paper money with the result that by 1020 the quantity issued was excessive, causing inflation. In subsequent centuries there were several episodes of hyperinflation and after about 1455, after well over 500 years of using paper money, China abandoned it.

[Medieval England \(1000 - 1100 A.C.\)](#)

[AC 978-1016: Reign of Aethelred II, the Unready Aethelred adopts a policy of trying to buy off the Vikings. His 75 mints produce nearly 40 million pennies to pay Danegeld.](#)

[AC 1086: Domesday Book survey of land ownership in England](#)

[AC 1095-1270: The need to transfer large sums of money to finance the Crusades provides a stimulus to the re-emergence of banking in Western Europe.](#)

There were a few times in history that nations used the power of creating an un-borrowed currency to eliminate their debts and bring their country into prosperity. However, when the nations stopped borrowing money from the World bankers, a furor was always created, not among the People but among the banking vultures. The bankers would use their vast sources of money and power to artificially engineer problems that they could blame on the un-borrowed currency! When Henry I became King of England in 1100 A.C., he found the treasury completely empty. England's entire supply of gold and silver had gone with the Crusaders to Palestine. Rather than borrow money from World banks, he issued into

circulation England's own un-borrowed currency called "tallies". That was the richest time in England's history. There was no debt, no interest, and no inflation. For almost 700 years, England used this un-borrowed money created and issued by the King. This caused a prosperous utopia for the working people of England. This is the unprecedented period in the history of creative genius known as the Renaissance.

The death toll for the prosperous Renaissance era came in 1694 when King William of Orange granted a charter to William Paterson and his World banker associates to establish the Bank of England as a fractional reserve central bank. The Bank of England has had a direct bearing on banking in America. With the revival of banking in Western Europe, stimulated by the Crusades, written instructions in the form of bills of exchange, came to be used as a means of transferring large sums of money and the Masonic Knights Templars and Hospitallers functioned as bankers. Here we find goldsmith's offering to keep other people's gold and silver safe in their vaults, and in return people walking away with a receipt for what they have left there. We also find French Masonic Templars providing safe deposits in their reinforced Temples for the European Nobility, since traveling with gold was perilous. The Templars allowed its customers to deposit gold in their nearest Temple and then draw it from any other Temple Church across Europe with proper documentation and for a fee. You might say they were the original ATMs. The Temple Masters some claim held more influence than the 13th century Kings themselves. These paper receipts soon became popular for trade as they were less heavy to carry around than gold and silver coins. After a while, the goldsmith's must have noticed that only a small percentage of their depositor's ever came in to demand their gold at any one time. So cleverly the goldsmith's made out some receipts for gold which didn't even exist, and then they loaned it out to earn interest. A nod and a wink amongst themselves, they incorporated this practice into the banking system. They even gave it a name to make it seem more acceptable, christening the practice 'Fractional Reserve Banking' which translates to mean, lending out many times more money than you have assets on deposit. The Chinese were the first to use paper money, known as 'flying money' (a kind of banker's draft), in AC 618--907. In about AC 1000, private Chinese merchants in Sichuan province issued paper money known as jiao zi. Due to fraud, the right to issue paper money was taken over in 1024 by the Song dynasty, which then issued the first government paper money. About that same time, Money Changers-those who exchange, cumulate and manipulate the quantity of money-were active in medieval England. In fact, they were so active that, acting together, they could manipulate the English economy. These were not bankers per se. The Money Changers generally were the goldsmiths. They were the first bankers because they started to keep other people gold for safekeeping in their safe rooms, or vaults. The first 'paper' money in Western Europe was merely a receipt for gold left with the goldsmith, made from rag paper. As the ditty goes:

"Rags make paper; paper makes money; money makes banks; Banks make loans; loans make beggars; beggars make rags".

Paper money caught on because it was more convenient and safer to carry, than a lot of heavy gold and silver coins. As a convenience, to avoid unnecessary trips to the goldsmiths, depositors began endorsing these gold deposit receipts to others, by their signature. Over time, to simplify the process, the receipts were made to the bearer, rather than to the individual depositor, making it readily transferable without the need for a signature. This, however, broke the tie to any identifiable deposit of gold. Eventually, goldsmiths noticed that only a small fraction of depositors or bearers ever came in and demanded their gold at one time. Goldsmiths started cheating on the system. They begun secretly lending out some of the gold that had been given to them for safekeeping, and keeping the interest earned on lending. Then the goldsmiths discovered that they could issue more money (Ie. paper gold-deposit certificates) than they had gold, and usually no one would be any the wiser. Next, they discovered they could lend out this extra paper money and keep interest on it. This was the birth of fractional reserve lending - that is, lending out more money than you have reserves deposit. Obviously, it was fraud, often specifically outlawed when understood. The goldsmiths began with relatively modest cheating, lending out in gold deposit certificates only two or three times the amount of gold than they actually had in their safe rooms. But they soon grew more confident and greedy, lending out four, five and even ten times more gold certificates than they had gold on deposit.

So, for example, if \$1,000 in gold were deposited with them, they could lend out about \$10,000 in paper money and charge interest on it, and no one would discover the deception. By this means, goldsmiths gradually accumulated more and more wealth and used this wealth to accumulate more and more gold. It was this abuse of trust-a fraud-which, after being accepted as standard practice, evolved into modern deposit banking. It is still a fraud, coupled with an unjust and unreasonable delegation of sovereign government function money creation-to private banks. Today, this practice of lending out more money than there are reserves is known as 'fractional reserve banking'. In other words, banks have on hand only a small fraction of the reserves needed to honour their obligations. Should all their account holders come in and demand cash, the banks would run out before even three percent had been paid. That is why banks always live in dreadful fear of 'bank runs'. This is the fundamental cause of the inherent instability in banking, stock markets and national economics. The banks in the United States are allowed to lend out at least ten times more money than they actually have. That's why they do so well on charging, let's say, 8 per cent interest. But it's not really 8 per cent per year that is their interest income on money the government issues; it's 80 per cent. **That's why bank buildings are always the largest in town. Every bank is, de facto, a private mint (over 10,000 in the US), issuing money as loans, for nothing, at no cost to them except whatever interest they pay depositors. Rather than issue more gold certificates than they have gold, modern bankers simply make more loans than they have currency (cash). They do this by making book entries, creating loans to borrowers out of thin air (or, rather, ink).**

To give a modern example, a \$10,000 bond purchase by the Fed on the open market results in a \$10,000 deposit to the bond-seller's bank account. Under a 10 per cent (Ie. fractional) reserve requirement, the bank need keep only \$1,000 in

reserve and may lend out \$9,000. This \$9,000 is ordinarily deposited by the borrower in either the same bank or in other banks, which then must keep 10 per cent (\$900) in reserve but may lend out the other \$8,100. This \$8,100 is in turn deposited in banks, which must keep 10 per cent (\$810) in reserve but then may lend out \$7,290, and so on. Carried to the theoretical limits, the initial \$10,000 created by the Fed is deposited in numerous banks in the banking system, giving rise (in roughly 20 repeated stages) to an expansion of \$90,000 in new loans in addition to the \$10,000 in reserves. In other words, the banking system, collectively, multiplies the \$10,000 created by the Fed by a factor of ten. However, less than one per cent of the banks create over 75 per cent of this money. In other words, a handful of the largest Wall Street banks create money as loans, literally by the hundred billion, charging interest on these loans and leaving crumbs for the rest of the banks to create. But because those crumbs represent billions, too, the lesser bankers rarely grumble. Rather, with rare exceptions, they, too, support this corrupt system. In actual practice, due to numerous exceptions to the 10 per cent reserve requirement, the banking system multiplies the Fed's money creation by several magnitudes over ten times (e.g., the Fed requires only three per cent reserves on deposits under approx. \$50 million, and no reserves on Eurodollars and non-personal time deposits). To return to the goldsmiths... They also discovered that 'rowing' the economy between easy money and tight money could make extra profits. When they made money easier to borrow, then the amount of money in circulation expanded. Money was plentiful, and people took out more loans to expand their businesses. But then the goldsmiths would tighten the money supply and make loans more difficult to obtain. What would happen? Just what happens today. A certain percentage of people could not repay their previous loans and could not take out new loans to repay the old ones; therefore they went bankrupt and had to sell their assets to the goldsmiths or at auction for 'pennies on the dollar'. The same thing is still going on today, only now we call this up-and-down rowing of the economy, the 'business cycle', or, more recently in the stock markets, 'corrections' Today banks are allowed to loan out at least ten times the amount they actually are holding, so while you wonder how they get rich charging you 11% interest, it's not 11% a year they make on that amount but actually 110% because they created the money from nothing!

The Masonic Knights Templars Become the International BankLords (Banksters-Gangsters)

The entire International Banking crowd roots are from the ancient pagan Temple system. The priests of Apollo, the priests of Diana, the priests of Zeus, The priests of Artemis, The Pharisees, etc. each individual priesthood controlled the finances. They in turn, in the years 400-1400 AD as Rome "fell", changed their names and became the old face with a new name. The priesthood of the Apollo Mysteries changed their name to Templars. KEEP THAT IN MIND the Templars pre-existed prior to them renaming themselves; their prior ORDER WAS THAT OF THE APOLLO MYSTERIES. The network of the Apollo Temples and private FRATERNITY club had branches throughout all Europe. The complete network preexisted; the only thing that happened was a corporate take over and a name change. For more info, see the book "SHEKINAH PROPHECY". <http://www.terravista.pt/PortoSanto/1086/fighters.html>
<http://www.solomonstemple.com/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1032>

Within a dozen years of the foundation of the Order, the Masonic order of the Templars had been given extensive plots of European land. They quickly had to establish an administrative structure to cope with it all. Accordingly, every region of Europe was divided into 'provinces', each with its own master, and a province was further divided into 'baillies'. The job of the Templar houses of Europe was to provide money and goods for the war in the east. One third of all income — in cash — was paid by these European houses to support the war effort. By the end of the 13th century there were several hundreds of Templar houses in Europe. The vast majority was in what is now modern France, but there were also strong clusters in Portugal and western Spain, and a fair sprinkling in England and Italy. As many as 9,000 Templar holdings stretched from the Atlantic coast to eastern Poland and from Scandinavia to Sicily. Before long the Templars found themselves in an unexpected role. They became the World's first international bankers. Money in these days was gold or silver and was simply worth its own weight, whether it be the Arab dinars or Italian solidi. Let's suppose you planned a journey from England to Italy. You would be reluctant to take hard cash with you. This would have been far too risky. But with their network of houses and castles, the Templars could give you a 'note' (the original bank note) as proof that you had deposited a certain amount of money at one of their centres in England. And on presenting this same note at a Templar house in Italy, you could draw that amount of money from there in exchange. Originally the Templars were less concerned with financial transactions within Europe than Europe and the Holy Land. They collected taxes in Outremer and ensured such taxes safely reached their destinations. In the 12th century they loaned money to both crusaders and kings. They also acted as agents for ransom payments and the safer transfer of funds to the Holy Land for wars. By the thirteenth century, the Templars owned a fleet in the Mediterranean. Originally, this was for the transport of pilgrims from Marseilles or La Rochelle to the Holy Land, but they also transported goods for sale or resale in the Middle East. And on the return journey they might bring slaves or other exotic eastern specialties back to Europe. The movement of money and the arranging of credit facilities must have grown out of this transport of pilgrims. It was precisely these pilgrims who needed their money safeguarded, and who would have taken advantage of credit arrangements in the Holy Land itself. They were much happier using the Templars for this than any of the rival banking houses that quickly sprang up in competition. The Templars could offer a better all around service. They could protect you as well as your money. And their vows of poverty made them totally trustworthy.

Kings and nobles all over Europe quickly took advantage of the Templar guarantee of safety and honesty. King Henry II of England deposited many of his valuable with the London Temple — the Templar convent in London, founded 1185 which still stands today, just off The Strand. In 1204-5 King John even left the Crown Jewels in their safekeeping, as did his successor Henry III in 1261 during the Barons' Revolt. They provided loans — for which interest was charged — to the royal houses. In England, the Crown Jewels themselves were used as collateral to secure one particularly large loan to King Henry. They were also the Pope's bankers in the Holy Land, and collected taxes on his behalf. In Spain the Order had a virtual monopoly on lending money. In France the Templars were the bankers to the royal family for well over a century,

and in England they played a similar role during the reigns of John and Henry III. As England was particularly rich source of income Templars, it is no exaggeration to say that they laid the foundations of London to become the leading international money market that it is today. In many parts of Europe they were granted exemption from both local and national taxation, from tolls, from arbitrary demands by the local baron or king. It is impossible to put an exact figure on how rich the Templars actually were. But consider the fact that in the middle of the 13th century their income from their English estates alone was £5,200 — worth some £8-12 millions in today's terms. And remember, that's just from England. The vast majority of their estates were in France and elsewhere on the Continent. Their involvement in politics was a natural extension of their involvement in the financial affairs of the noble and royal houses of Europe. Since Templar knights tended to come from upper strata of society, they had a ready-made network of friends and relatives in high places. There are many examples of the influential roles they played in politics events. When King John died in 1216, his son Henry was nine years old. So for several years England was run by a committee. This committee included the Master of the Temple, and was chaired by his close personal friend. In 1259, the English Parliament used the London Temple as their meeting-place. And earlier, in 1164, the Templars' Master of England, Richard of Hastings, had attempted to use his influence to reconcile Henry II with his 'turbulent priest' Thomas Becket. Similar stories could be told from elsewhere in Europe. In Aragon (part of the modern Spain), when the child king James I came to the throne in 1213, the Aragonese nobles chose the local Master of the Temple to bring the child up in the safety of the Templar castle at Monzón. They remained his advisers throughout his reign. As well as providing financial and political services in Europe, members of the Order were also always available for the crusaders. Though the Holy War in the east had been lost, they played a major part in the on-going wars against the Moors in Spain. But role of the Templars in the west was always quite different from that in the east. In the west they were farmers, financiers and travel agents. In the east they were battle-scarred warriors.

Reasons Behind "Crusades": Money, Money, Money

AC 1095-1270: The need to transfer large sums of money to finance the Crusades provides a stimulus to the re-emergence of banking in Western Europe.

The Masonic Khazars were behind the instigations that led to the ancient Crusades (see the section on fake terror) and are behind the renewed empire building events. The Illuminist or Satanic conspiracy reorganized after Prophet Noah's Flood with the secret societies that arose in Babylon through the knowledge transplanted by Ham, whose son, Cush (also known as Hermes), claimed to be the interpreter of the gods. We may call these Luciferians Illuminati (meaning "illuminated ones"), a name that describes the followers of Lucifer, who was "the sum of wisdom and beauty" (Ezekiel 28:11). Satanists believe that Lucifer's rebellion against God had its first manifestation in the Garden of Eden where he "illuminated" Eve, causing the fall. **The Bible** (Torah & New Testament) and the Babylonian Talmud (the book of fake-Jews, Pharisees) portray Rome and the "Pharisees" as mortal enemies. What the protagonists do not realize is that they are each ruled by the same masters under Satan-Lucifer-Illuminatis, and are brought into planned conflict in order to produce a predetermined outcome ultimately intended to destroy and demoralize God-worshipping groups and their beliefs. **Pharisees** were behind the murders and attempted murders of some of the descendants of Abraham who were Prophets and Messengers of God, were behind turning the Israelites towards pagan (nature or self-) worship of the calf after collecting their gold, were behind the drive to crucify Yeshua-Joshua when he started opposing their evils of usury and occultism, were behind the creation of the hate literature known as the Babylonian Talmud, were behind establishing the Bank of Rome's tight control over Europe, were behind the mediaeval Crusades, the private central banks such as the Federal Reserve, and are behind today's barbaric Crusades!

In *The Lost Science of Money* by Stephen Zarlenga, American Monetary Institute, NY, we find the following: "The advantage to society of having enough rule of law [slavery] to create fiat money is enormous and not just a matter of degree.' Before the crusades, the breakdown of law and of money continued to operate negatively, the one upon the other for centuries, in a slow downward spiral of societal decay, as the Pope amassed Europe's wealth. "Creating such commodity moneys requires expending a great deal of work in prospecting, mining, refining and minting. Maintaining that money against the attacks of coin clippers, metal exporters and normal wear and tear over the decades also requires great energy. "These heavy burdens tend to neutralize the benefits eventually derived from such money systems, leaving mankind trapped on an economic treadmill. This is especially true considering the low state of resources that societies had available to deploy in those darkest ages of Europe. At first the precious metals systems continued well into the 17th, 18th, and 19th centuries. Modern 19th and 20th century money systems, which claimed to be precious metals systems, generally depended on an element of fraud rather than force, as we shall see. "An early attempt to rebuild the money system was made by Charlemagne (742-814), often credited with establishing a new monetary standard and with re-instituting weights and measures in the West, from which the pound, shilling and pence notations evolved. More accurately, Charlemagne's system was a revival of some Roman coining traditions. Charlemagne's system of Livres, Sols and Deniers had existed from at least 418 AC as seen in the Roman Code of Theodosius. This 'money of account' system had served to unify different Roman Coinages issued over time. The alternative would have been an expensive re-minting and the loss to the melting pot of the numerous historical commemorations in the old coins.

"The basis of Charlemagne's Empire was military conquest and the enslavement of subjugated people, mostly Saxons. Using this extensive slave labor he re-started or intensified precious metal mining at Chemnitz, Kremnitz, and Rauthenberg, mostly of silver, working the slaves to death in the mines. Those slaves not needed for mining were sold through Jewish and Venetian intermediaries. To mint Charlemagne's silver into pennies, many new mints were opened at Dorestad, Aachen, Bonn, Cologne, Maastricht and Namur. At one point he considered producing all the coinages at his Palace mint at Aachen." That, of course, indicates how much wealth and effort was dedicated to provide the semblance of

a commodity currency. "However, the coinage was still scarce as indicated by taxes being collected mainly in services and produce, rather than coinage." And in the well-known fact that for centuries later, royal courts moved around from royal domain to domain as they consumed the resources grown locally. All this indicated the crushing cost of trying to maintain a commodity money system when there were neither the precious metals nor skills and institutions available for that or the alternate fiat currency." Zarlenga traces the different ways in which successive regimes exploited the discrepancy between the gold-silver ratio in India and Europe. The Venetians learned to live with the Muslim conquest of the Eastern Mediterranean, by adapting their trading to the Muslim injunctions against the taking of interest. The Venetians replaced it with profits by the Venetian financiers who shared with the active entrepreneurs the actual risks. But those profits included the huge discrepancy in the gold-silver ratio between Europe and Asia. The basis for this collaboration was in Egypt which was kept isolated from the rest of the Muslim World. The Portuguese by discovering the route around the Cape of Good Hope cut into Venice's monopoly which had brought Italy the Renaissance and the European basis for the collection of the ratio bonus shifted from Pavia to Antwerp. The European Jews came to play an increasing part in communications across the ratio frontier. From Portugal it shifted to Holland, largely because of its prowess in shipbuilding. And from there to England. Then with the discovery of America, far cheaper sources of gold became available.

Byzantium (Eastern Roman Empire) was the original name of the modern city of Istanbul. Byzantium was originally settled by Greek colonists from Megara in 667 BC and named after their king Byzas. The name "Byzantium" is a Latinization of the original Thracian-Greek name Byzantion (Βυζάντιον). After siding with Pescennius Niger against the victorious Septimius Severus the city was besieged by Rome and suffered extensive damage in AD 196. Byzantium was rebuilt by the now Roman Emperor Septimius Severus and quickly regained its previous prosperity. The location of Byzantium attracted Constantine the Great who, in AD 330, refounded it as Nova Roma (New Rome) or Constantinopolis (Constantinople, Greek Κωνσταντινούπολις) after a prophetic dream was said to have identified the location of the city. The East Roman Empire which had its capital in Constantinople from then until 1453 has often been called the Byzantine Empire or Byzantium by modern scholars. By extension, the name Byzantium is often used to refer to the Byzantine Empire, its territory, and its customs. The Byzantine Empire is the term conventionally used to describe the Roman Empire during the Middle Ages, centered at its capital in Constantinople. In certain specific contexts, usually referring to the centuries that marked the fall of the Western Roman Empire, it is also often referred to as the Eastern Roman Empire. There is no consensus on the starting date of the Byzantine period. Some place it during the reign of Diocletian (284-305) due to the administrative reforms he introduced, dividing the empire into a pars Orientis and a pars Occidentis. Others place it during the reign of Theodosius I (379-395) and "Christendom's triumph over paganism", or, following his death in 395, with the division of the empire into Western and Eastern halves. Others place it yet further in 476, when the last western emperor, Romulus Augustulus, was forced to abdicate, thus leaving to the emperor in the Greek East sole imperial authority. In any case, the changeover was gradual and by 330, when Constantine the Great inaugurated his new capital, the process of Hellenization and Christianization was well underway. There evolved a rift caused by the 9th century dispute between Romans (East Byzantines as we render them today) and Franks, who, under Charlemagne's newly formed empire, and in concert with the Pope (West), attempted to legitimize their conquests by claiming inheritance of Roman rights in Italy thereby renouncing their eastern neighbors as true Romans. The Donation of Constantine, one of the most famous forged documents in history, played a crucial role in this. Henceforth, it was fixed policy in the West to refer to the emperor in Constantinople not by the usual "Imperator Romanorum" (Emperor of the Romans) which was now reserved for the Frankish monarch, but as "Imperator Graecorum" (Emperor of the Greeks) and the land as "Imperium Graecorum", "Graecia", "Terra Graecorum" or even "Imperium Constantinopolitanus".



Map of the Byzantine Empire around 550. Green indicates the conquests during the reign of Justinian I.

In 1095 Pope Urban II passionately called for an expedition to take Jerusalem from the Muslims. 'It is the will of God' chanted the multitude, and every village in Europe was affected by this greatest undertaking of any kind since the time of Imperial Rome. "But after all Moslems had ruled Palestine for over 400 years. The Emperor asked for help in retrieving the holy lands to remove the military pressure

the Seljuk Turks placed on Byzantium. At the time the larger part of the Jewish [actually Khazars] population had moved from Asia to Europe. The occupation of Spain by Islam had brought a wave of Jews with it. Much of the East-West trade was in their hands through Spain. The massacre of Jews in Europe by Crusaders as they left on the first Crusade may indicate that constricting the Jews was a motivation on more than one level. **"But the Papacy also wanted to end the dominance of the Basileus Emperor in Constantinople which was apparently still recognized as the supreme religious authority by most of the Western leadership.** Or if a large Western force could be moved near Constantinople without raising alarm over the many years it would take to assemble, that force could ultimately be used to topple the Emperor." All these high level objectives and more were in fact achieved during the Crusades. The Basileus succeeded in dislodging the Moslems from Jerusalem for almost 100 years and forever from Spain. The Mediterranean was reopened to general Papal traffic. The significance of the Jews in international trade was 'drastically reduced' after the Crusades broke the Moslem-Christian barrier, breaking the trading links of the Jews in Europe with the Radanites of Egypt, who held long-standing trade relations with India. Many Crusaders were responsible for the massacres of the Jews. The attacks were said to be religiously motivated in that anyone who accepted baptism was not harmed - for example all the Jews of Trier. However, many massacres occurred elsewhere: in the Church at Rouen; at Speyer 800 Jews killed each other to avoid baptism In Mainz, 1014 Jews similarly died together, and massacres occurred at Altenauhr, Xanten, Mors, Kerpen, Gelden and Cologne (James Parkes, The Jew in the Medieval Community, Hermon Press, New York, 1976).

"When the Crusaders reached Constantinople they were awe-struck by the sight of the great walled city. Nothing like it existed in the West. The various leaders took oaths of loyalty to the Emperor and agreed to give him all conquered territories. The Army went on to Jerusalem, remaining orderly in spite of poorly planned logistics. There was no fodder for the animals. Sheep, dogs, and even pigs were used as pack animals. Desertions were heavy. The Emperor had been advancing behind them to take possession of his new lands, but heard of their problems and turned back to Constantinople. Somehow on July 15, 1099, they took Jerusalem, and an ecclesiastical Kingdom was set up there with Godfred de Bouillon as king. They then took one city after another, perpetrating many horrors in the name of Christianity. Christian behaviour contrasted poorly with that of the Muslims, especially Saladin the Great. A Kurd born at Takrit, Saladin had united the Muslims to fight the Crusaders. In 1183 he retook Jerusalem, granting merciful terms. Saladin's guards kept such order that no Christian suffered any ill usage. Over time the Crusaders, always a small minority in the cities they conquered, became orientalized. They inter-married with the 'infidels,' and didn't think much of home. Christians came to boast of Moslem descent. The Moslems had preserved elements of Greek learning and Roman law in a better form than the West. **Despite the Clergy's falsified reports on conditions in the Holy Land, the fame of Saladin the Great spread throughout the West and members of the educated classes desired to convert to Islam.** The Crusaders also came into closer contact with the origins of Judaism and Christianity and some found reason to question their faith. This led to a new freedom of mind in some individuals.



Map of the Byzantine Empire around year 1180.

One important result of the 1st Crusade was the formation of the Masonic Knights Templar, originally called the Poor Knights of the Temple of Solomon as a front. They were formed in 1114-18 to supposedly protect pilgrims in the Holy Land. Questions have arisen as to their humble origin and goal. **"The evidence suggests that this avowed goal was a facade and that the Knights were engaged in a much more ambitious, grandiose geopolitical enterprise (The Temple and the Lodge, Arcade, Little Brown, 1989).** The Templars' original membership simply appears too high-powered and their growth too rapid. They were involved with the Cistercian order, which became a primary promoter of the 4th Crusade. The nine Knight members in 1128 included Fulk, Comte d'Anjou (father of Geoffrey Plantagenet and grandfather of Henry II, King of England), Comte de

Champagne; and Hughes de Payens, who became the order's first Grand Master. Within one year they owned lands in France, England, Scotland, Spain and Portugal. Within a decade their possessions would extend to Italy, Austria, Germany, Hungary and Constantinople. By 1150 the Temple had begun to establish itself as the single most wealthy and powerful institution in Christendom, with the sole exception of the Papacy (Dana Carlton Monroe, *The Kingdom of the Crusades*, Port Washington, Kennikat, 1966).

And here the plot thickens to father a thousand forgotten novels. "Byzantium had obstructed the 3rd Crusade and formed an alliance with Salahuddin, who held Jerusalem. Elements in the West, including the leadership of Cluny, France's greatest religious house, and especially members of the Cistercian Order, plotted Byzantium's downfall. The plan was to divert the 4th Crusade into an attack on Constantinople under the pretext of re-instating Emperor Isaac and his son Alexis, who had been deposed in a palace coup. Venice's fleet would be crucial. 95-year-old Enrico Dandolo readily agreed to lead the expedition. He had been partly blinded by Byzantine officials, a favorite method of torture. The appearance of the Venetian fleet in the harbour of Constantinople was enough to make the acting emperor flee and have the deposed Emperor Isaac reinstated, but when his son told him of the agreement made to cede religious sovereignty to Rome, he objected. When the Byzantines learned of the agreement in January 1204, they murdered both father and son and installed Canabus as Emperor." With sovereignty, Rome and countless principalities in Europe would revert to do their own coining of gold and silver."On April 9th, the Crusaders attacked and defeated the Byzantines. From April 13 to 15 the Christian invaders were turned loose on the greatest Christian city in the World. They went wild. They preserved the foolish relics – the bones of the Saints, pieces of the Cross, milk from the Mother of God, and destroyed the great artworks: the bronze charioteers of the Hippodrome; the She Wolf suckling Romus and Romulus; Paris presenting the apple to Venus; an exquisite statue of Helen of Troy; statues commissioned by Augustus; all the great works taken by Constantinople over nine centuries from the ancient temples. All were melted down into bullion or coin. Thousands of manuscripts and parchments from many personal libraries were now burned and from that time on the works of many ancient authors disappeared altogether. "Baldwin of Flanders was elected Emperor and Venice took control of the Patriarchy and Churches. The loot was gathered up and divided. **The Pope ratified these decisions.**

"The fall of Constantinople in 1204 formally ended the Empire's monetary powers, which had held sway in Europe from the time of the Caesars. "The 'secret' dynamic behind this 'sacred' monetary system was that the Basileus would be ready to exchange centrally minted gold Bezants for locally minted silver coinage at a 12 to 1 ratio, when it could exchange that same silver for up to twice as much gold bullion in India and points east. When Byzantium fell, control of money slipped from sacred hands into secular hands. While the Lateran Council would soon (1211) declare the Papacy's supremacy over all earthly sovereigns, they couldn't make it stick. Frederick 2nd assumed the sacred prerogative of the Basileus and minted gold coins at Naples in 1225. Local rulers all over Europe began minting gold coinage. "Vast amounts of spoil were brought back to Europe from Constantinople, more than the official figures, because the marauders cheated their fellow Christians and did not put all their loot into the official pool, which totaled about 400,000 marks weight worth of silver.

Forty barrels of gold were found beneath the altar of St. Sophia alone. The plunder of Constantinople by the Venetians and other of the Crusaders probably transferred more metallic wealth to Western Europe than all the commerce of the centuries that preceded it (William Jacobs, *The Precious Metals*). The return of this metallic plunder to Europe gave a crucial monetary boost to European life and was probably the main factor in Europe finally reaching the magic threshold, the critical monetary mass where a truer, more advanced monetary system could function. Nomisma could be introduced. The hoarded coinage and bullion had been less than useless in Constantinople, where it required heavy storage expenses and served as a magnet attracting conquest. In Europe it would be put to much better use: by Venice in her commercial activities, by the Princes in their realms, by the Church in helping to finance construction of the great cathedrals of Europe by individual crusaders and by the Knights Templar in their growing financial activities."

The schisms between the Latin and Orthodox churches became irrevocable. Michael 8th recovered Constantinople from the Latins in 1261. It fell to the Ottoman Turks in 1453. "The fall of Constantinople in 1204 formally ended the Empire's monetary powers, which had held sway in Europe from the time of the Caesars." "The 'secret' dynamic behind this 'sacred' monetary system was that the Basileus would be ready to exchange centrally minted gold Bezants for locally minted silver coinage at a 12 to 1 ratio, when it could exchange that same silver for up to twice as much gold bullion in India and points east. When Byzantium fell, control of money slipped from sacred hands into Masonic hands. While the Lateran Council would soon (1211) declare the Papacy's supremacy over all earthly sovereigns, they couldn't make it stick. Frederick 2nd assumed the sacred prerogative of the Basileus and minted gold coins at Naples in 1225. Local rulers all over Europe began minting gold coinage. "Vast amounts of spoil were brought back to Europe from Constantinople, more than the official figures, because the marauders cheated their fellow Christians and did not put all their loot into the official pool, which totaled about 400,000 marks weight worth of silver. Forty barrels of gold were found beneath the altar of St. Sophia alone. The plunder of Constantinople by the Venetians and other of the Crusaders probably transferred more metallic wealth to Western Europe than all the commerce of the centuries that preceded it (William Jacobs, *The Precious Metals*). The return of this metallic plunder to Europe gave a crucial monetary boost to European life and was probably the main factor in Europe finally reaching the magic threshold, the critical monetary mass where a truer, more advanced monetary system could function. Nomisma could be introduced. The hoarded coinage and bullion had been less than useless in Constantinople, where it required heavy storage expenses and served as a magnet attracting conquest. In Europe it would be put to much better use: by Venice in her commercial activities, by the Princes in their realms, by the Church in helping to finance construction of the great cathedrals of Europe by individual crusaders and by the Knights Templar in their growing financial activities."

Before the time of Henry II it was normal in England, as in other feudal countries, for the king's tenants-in-chief and their retainers to owe him a period of military service, usually 40 days annually. Henry replaced this obligation with cash payments known as scutage and used the money to pay for a permanent professional army of mercenaries or soldiers as they commonly became known after this time from the solidus or king's shilling that they earned. England's participation in the Crusades required additional expenditure which Henry II financed by levying heavy taxes on all movable property and all incomes. But, although huge sums accumulated in Henry's eastern account he refused to let anyone spend them until after the disastrous battle of Hattin in 1187. Henry's successor, Richard I (the "Lion Heart") raised money for the 3rd Crusade partly by the sale of as many publicly owned assets as possible (a measure which the author compares to Margaret Thatcher's "privatization" policy in the 1980s which has been copied by governments around the World). On his return journey he was captured in Vienna and imprisoned by Emperor Henry VI. The ransom demanded far exceeded the average revenue of the Kingdom of England but nevertheless a high proportion of it was raised quite quickly (through special taxes and gifts) and he was released. Payments for supplies, equipment, allies, ransoms etc. required safe and speedy means of transferring vast resources of cash. Consequently the Masonic Knights of the Temple (Templars) and the Hospitallers began to provide some banking services such as those already being developed in some of the Italian city states where the need to transfer sums of money for trading purposes led to the development of financial services including bills of exchange. Banking had been invented in the Middle East long before the invention of coins but was abandoned and forgotten after the collapse of the Roman empire. The Crusades gave a great stimulus to its re-emergence. **Davies, Glyn. A history of money: "The military ratchet was the most important single influence in raising prices and reducing the value of money in the past 1,000 years, and for most of that time debasement was the most common, but not the only, way of strengthening the 'sinews of war'."**

Medieval England was primarily an agricultural society; hence investment in capital did not come readily to them. 1W.J. Ashley, *An Introduction to English Economic History and Theory* (New York, 1905). Close Yet, because they could not own land in England the only profession in which Jews could participate was money-lending. Israel Abrahams, *Jewish Life in the Middle Ages* (London, 1896), 241. The kings of England would use the Jews as a way of indirectly taxing their servants. The king could tax the Jews, which in turn would cause the Jews to demand payment on their loans from their debtors. If the Jews and their debtors could amass the necessary funds, then the king had his revenue. If the Jews could not secure the tax, then the king could imprison them and seize their property. This property was in many cases the deeds to land, which debtors had used as collateral. Therefore, the king, through the taxation of the Jews, was able to enhance his absolute power. In 1230, Henry III requested £6000 for army pay. Michael Adler, *Jews of Medieval England* (London, 1939), 147. In 1236, ten of the richest Jews were used as a security deposit to force their brethren to pay £10,000. In 1240, the Jews were called upon to pay a tax of £20,000 or about one-third of their property. When the Jews refused to pay, the crown took their property as payment for the tax and arrested them, along with their wives and children. In 1251, a new tax of £10,000 was issued. Between 1227 and 1259, Henry III taxed the Jews of England £250,000. The historian Cecil Roth claimed "The King [Henry III] was like a spendthrift with a cheque-book, drawing one amount after another in utter indifference to the dwindling of his resource." Cecil Roth, *A History of the Jews in England*, 2nd ed. (Oxford, 1949), 44-6, 51. In partial defense of Henry, the Jewish exchequer—the department of the royal

government that dealt with keeping track of the finances of Jews—was not very efficient, and so it was difficult for Henry to get a good assessment of what he could tax his Jewish servants. Moreover, the prevailing stereotype that the word Jew was synonymous with wealth may have blinded Henry. The Jews continued as moneylenders until 1274 when King Edward returned from a crusade. The crusades had ironically allowed the Jews to make a great deal of money. W. Cunningham, *The Growth of English Industry and Commerce*: during the early and middle ages, 4th ed. (Cambridge, 1905), 205. The Jews did this by lending money to the English knights who wanted to wage war against the Muslims in the East. Moreover, monasteries borrowed money as well to create new churches. Ashley, *English Economic History and Theory*, 155. In one instance, "27 pounds were borrowed from a Jew and 4 years later 880 pounds were owed." Ibid.

In ancient times the City marshals and sheriffs were employed to ensure that all the "council" rates and taxes were paid to the City on behalf of the king. After the conquest of William the Conqueror in 1066, who first brought the European Babylonian Jewish bankers to London from France, the Jews developed written credit agreements for the king, (in French called "mort-gages" – mort 'death' gage 'bond') and it was the marshal's and sheriff's jobs to ensure that all the interest payments of these "death-bonds" were paid to the Jews on behalf of the king. During the reign of Richard I (the Lionheart) after the serious downturn in the economy as the result of the cost and tax impositions of the Crusades, many farmers, business people and peasants had defaulted on their "mort-gages" throughout England. As the result, the Jews promptly commenced seizing the commoner's property for not paying the interest, rates and taxes to the City and King. Subsequently, a rapid increase in hate against the "King's Jews" was initiated. This led to the massacre of common Jews at York in 1190. However, the Banklord "Jews" always survived with increased wealth. New York in America was later named by British Jewish immigrant bankers in memory of the event.

When Edward returned from the East, he created The Statute of the Jewry. In the statute, Edward dictated, "from henceforth no Jew shall lend anything at usury, either upon land, or upon rent, or upon other thing." The Statute of the Jewry; quoted in Robin R. Mundill, *England's Jewish Solution: Experiment and Expulsion, 1262-1290* (Cambridge, 1998), 291-3. This was a severe blow to the Jews of England. The statute further attacked the Jews, proclaiming "that each one after he should be twelve years old, pay Three pence yearly at Easter of tax to the king of whose bond man he is." Ibid. England's improved foreign relations allowed relations with Italian moneylenders, who maneuvered their way around the usury laws. They would offer loans with grace periods. When these grace periods elapsed, normal interest would accrue. This payment of interest could be written off as an expense for the sending of the money. Ibid., 208. In addition, as long as Italian merchants allowed these grace periods, they were allowed to loan money at 60% annual interest, 17% higher than Jewish moneylenders. Ashley, *English Economic History and Theory*, 200. The Statute of the Merchants, or Acton Burnell (1283), gave foreign merchants avenues of relief to which Jewish moneylenders never had access. The statute stated that merchants arriving in ports could take up their claim of debt with the mayor. The first trip to the mayor would result in a date by which the debtor had to repay the mayor. If the merchant was not paid by this date, the mayor had the power to sell the property of the debtor to repay the merchant. 16Statutes Of The Realm; quoted in Ashley, *English Economic History and Theory*, 200. The factors which had the greatest impact that led to the "expulsion of European Jews" from England were religious persecution and economics, insofar as after the Jews had ceased to be able to lend money, the Crown no longer had reason to keep the Jews around. Economic obsolescence and bigotry forced the Jewish population from England for some time (Exile from England: The Expulsion of the Jews in 1290 by Gregg Delgadillo).

The Tally Sticks (1100 - 1854) And The Bank Of England 1694

AC 1100s-1300: Rise of European merchant class; a social and economic group whose function in the World is to move merchandise from one locale to another - who make their living buying and selling goods, instead of making things or growing food. A rising merchant class and a new rise of cities, also meant a rising interest in the outside World.

AC 1156: Earliest known foreign exchange contract Two brothers borrow 115 Genoese pounds and agree to reimburse the bank's agents in Constantinople the sum of 460 bezants one month after their arrival in that city. In the following century the use of such contracts grows rapidly particularly when profits from time differences are seen as not infringing canon laws against usury.

AC 1160 - 1200: English wooden tallies evolve into instruments of credit Tallies were wooden sticks originally used as receipts. Notches whose sizes represented a particular sum of money would be cut into the stick which would then be split down the middle. One part would be kept by the creditor, the other by the debtor. Sometimes the loans were fictitiously swollen to get round the prohibition of usury. During the 12th century the English Exchequer starts issuing tallies as a form of credit. Tallies were not finally abolished until 1826.

AC 1166 : Hyperinflation in China The nominal value of the official paper note issues reaches 43,600,000 ounces of silver. In addition there are various local note issues.

AC 1215: The Magna Carta signed. Among its provisions were restrictions on the right of the king to raise taxes without the consent of the Barons

AC 1232-1253: Gold coins are issued by several Italian states Under the influence of Byzantine and Arab coinage Messina and Brindisi (1232), Florence (1252) and Genoa (1253) issue gold coins. The type minted in Florence, the florin, becomes widely copied in other parts of Europe.

AC 1275: Edward I forbids the Jews to exact usury The resulting shortage of money leads to an increase in clipping and a decline in the quality of the coinage. The Jews get blamed and suffer wholesale arrests in 1278 and expulsion from England in 1290.

AC 1275-1292: Marco Polo lives in China From his subsequent account of his Travels, Europe learns of paper money.

AC 1397: Founding of the Medici Bank

AC 1403: Charging interest on loans is ruled legal in Florence Despite the traditional Christian prohibition of usury, Italian banks such as the Lombards, who have agents in the main economic centres of Europe, have been making charges for

loans. The lawyer and theologian Lorenzo di Antonio Ridolfi wins a case which legalises interest payments by the Florentine government

AC 1450: Portuguese start voyages along the coast of Africa In the 14th and 15th centuries Europe suffers from a "Great Bullion Famine." This is partially alleviated as the Portuguese open up a new route for sub-Saharan gold via Ghana and Mali.

AC 1452-1519: Life of Leonardo da Vinci Among Leonardo's drawings are designs for a press to produce more uniform coins quickly using a water driven mill. This innovation is widely adopted and the new money is termed milled money.

AC 1455: China abandons paper money There are no known references to paper money being in circulation after this date. Thus after well over 500 years of experience with paper currencies, during which there have been repeated episodes of inflation and currency reform, China ceases to use paper money.

AC 1494: First book on double entry bookkeeping is published in Italy Double entry bookkeeping has been in use for probably well over a century in Italy before the publication of the Summa de Arithmetica, Geometrica, Proportioni et Proportionalita by Friar Luca Pacioli.

King Henry I of England decided to try to wrestle the power away from the Goldsmiths around 1100 A.D. He produced sticks of polished wood, with notches cut along one edge to signify the denominations. The stick was then split full length so each piece still had a record of the notches. The King kept one half for proof against counterfeiting, and then spent the other half into the market place where it would continue to circulate as money. Because only Tally Sticks were accepted by Henry for payment of taxes, there was a built in demand for them, which gave people confidence to accept these as money. He could have used anything really, so long as the people agreed it had value, and his willingness to accept these sticks as legal tender made it easy for the people to agree. Money is only as valuable as peoples faith in it, and without that faith even today's money is just paper. The tally stick system worked really well for 726 years. It was the most successful form of currency in recent history and the British Empire was actually built under the Tally Stick system, but how is it that most of us are not aware of its existence?

The gold that flooded Europe after the Spanish conquest of America was not mined by the Spaniards but stolen – once by the Spaniards from the Amerindian civilizations that they destroyed for the purpose, and then much of it by English pirates from the Spaniards. Two radically different social systems reigned on either side of the equality symbols. Moreover the same scenario had been reenacted three hundred years earlier fuelled by the same famine for an exchange medium in Europe that had been bleeding its scant silver into Asia. In the 16th century as the gold and silver the Spanish had stolen from the American Indians poured into Europe, coins grew larger, more plentiful and heavy. Merchants needed a safe place to keep them when they weren't needed. The goldsmiths had large safes and fierce dogs and it became customary to leave coins on "safe deposit" with them. Next people saw that a "gold certificate" or warehouse receipt signed by the goldsmith was more convenient to circulate than those heavy coins made of soft metals that quickly wore out if they passed hand to hand. So the smiths printed up receipts in convenient denominations promising payment in gold to whoever presented the receipt. Some people took to writing notes to the smith ordering him to transfer the ownership of some of their coins to someone else. Thus the personal check was born. During the English Civil War, 1642-1651, the goldsmith's safes were secure places for the deposit of jewels, bullion and coins. Instructions to goldsmiths to pay money to another customer subsequently developed into the cheque (or check in American spelling). Similarly goldsmiths' receipts were used not only for withdrawing deposits but also as evidence of ability to pay and by about 1660 these had developed into the banknote. Then one day one of the smiths had a brilliant, and wholly dishonest, idea. He noticed that people so much preferred his paper money to its "gold backing" that the gold in his vault hardly circulated-some of it hadn't moved in years. So he thought, "I could print up some extra gold certificates and lend them out to gain the interest." The idea was irresistible, and thus banking was born!

Many are not aware of the permanent financial revolution that is being fought over the World's monetary system since 1694 when the Bank of England was created. At that time, a group of private individuals decided that they could make a great deal of money if they changed the laws of the land to shift control of the country's finances from the government to them. Just 300 years ago, in 1694, William Patterson talked King William III into chartering a private bank with the official sounding title of "The Bank of England." The King had another war to fight with France's King Louis XIV and not much money to pay for it. Being a Dutchman, he was unpopular with the British Parliament and it balked at voting the needed taxes. The royal credit was zilch because of his predecessors' extravagance. What to do? He jumped at Patterson's promise to lend him lots of "Bank of England Notes"-which had little or no gold "backing"-at a reasonable sounding 3 percent interest. Thus national debt was born. King William seems never to have asked His Royal Self the obvious question, "Why the hell should I pay William Patterson interest to print money for me? Why don't I get a printing press and print some money myself?" Nor did he notice that his humble subjects in the Massachusetts Bay Colony, in what would one day become the United States, had already come to just this solution to solve a similar problem. The Bank of England was a private corporation which earns a continuous stream of income when the British government borrows from it. The owners of The Bank that recognized they could run the World's finances if they established private corporations in all the countries of the World. The combined debt of all the World's countries would create an income stream of unbelievable amounts.

In 1690, the Massachusetts Bay Colony decided to do its bit in King William's War by invading Canada. The soldiers were told, "We can't pay you, but the French have lots of silver. So beat them out of it and we will pay you with the spoils." But the French won and the soldiers came back to Boston sore, mean and unpaid. Necessity being the mother of invention, a bright Yankee named Benjamin Franklin thought of printing up government "promissory notes," declaring them "legal tender" and using them to pay the soldiers. That worked so well that the other colonies copied the idea. From that day until the American Revolution (1775-1782) there were no banks in the 13 British North American colonies. By the time of

the Revolution, Pennsylvania was the richest place on earth. Franklin liked to boast that part of the credit was due to the government money he printed. As he pointed out, the government could spend the money into circulation for a new bridge or school, then tax the cost back over the useful life of the project. It could also lend the money to businessmen at 5 percent interest instead of the 10 percent the British banks charged. Or it could transfer the money into circulation to take care of widows, orphans and other unfortunates. Pennsylvania made so much money out of creating money-and selling off lands stolen from the Indians-that it hardly had to levy any taxes. When word of this reached Great Britain, the Bank of England decided to destroy the competition of the colonial money. It got Parliament to forbid the colonies to produce any more of the stuff and the fat was on the fire. The Continental Congress met and defied Parliament and the King by issuing its own currency-the Continental. As Franklin saw it, the attempt of Britain to restrict the colonies from issuing paper money was one of the main causes of the Revolution. The Continentals paid for most of the cost of the revolution. Since they had to be overissued, prices rose greatly. Much of the inflation, however, was caused by massive British counterfeiting of the Continentals. "You revolting Yankees like paper money? Here! Have lots of it!" So Americans still have a saying. "Not worth a Continental." After the war banking came to America.

Some historians have much criticized this method of financing the American Revolution and held up British practice as a model of "sound finance." However, as William Hixson shows in his book, *Triumph of the Bankers*, those historians have it backwards. According to Hixson, the total cost of the war to the Americans was about \$250 million and much of this was financed by the "Continentals" and other paper monies. An additional war debt of \$56.7 million accumulated some \$70 million in interest before it was all paid off in 1836. The direct war costs to the British government came to about \$500 million. However, the British financed their side of the war almost entirely with borrowed money. Since they have never since reduced their national debt below \$500 million, they still owe this money! Assuming a modest average interest rate of 4 percent, the British taxpayer has by this time paid the British bondholder over \$4 billion in interest on the initial \$500 million loan-and is still paying! Sound finance? What a pity that King William did not have a Benjamin Franklin to advise him! What a pity that the wisdom of Franklin was lost and Alexander Hamilton was able subsequently to charter the Bank of The United States modeled directly on the Bank of England! What a pity that many historians, like many non-historians, so badly misunderstand money and banking! Perhaps the fact that in 1694 the Bank of England at its formation attacked the Tally Stick System gives us a clue as to why most of us have never heard of them. They realised it was money outside the power of the money changers, (the very thing King Henry had intended). What better way to eliminate the vital faith people had in this rival currency than to pretend it simply never existed and to not discuss it? That seems to be what happened when the first shareholder's in the Bank of England bought their original shares with notched pieces of wood and retired the system. You heard correctly, they bought shares. **The Bank of England is a privately owned bank which was actually set up by investors buying shares.**

These investors, whose names were kept secret, were meant to invest one and a quarter million pounds, but only three quarters of a million was received when it was chartered in 1694. It then began to lend out many times more than it had in reserve, collecting interest on the lot. King Henry I, son of William the Conqueror, ascended the English throne in AD 1100. At that time, long before the invention of the printing press, taxes were generally paid in kind, i.e., in goods, based on the productive capacity of the land under the care of the taxpaying serf or lesser noble (Since ancient times, the elites have developed and evolved systems for controlling and ripping-off the masses whom they view as slaves, serfs, knaves, peasants, etc. in order to live like gods on Mount Olympus! Remember, its only recently that the European, Russian, American serfs were freed from bondage and that slavery was outlawed!!). To record production, medieval European scribes used a crude accounting device: notches on sticks, or 'tallies' (from the Latin talea, meaning 'twig' or 'stake'). Tally sticks worked better than faulty memory or notches on barn doors, as were sometimes used. To prevent alteration or counterfeiting, the sticks were cut in half lengthwise, leaving one half of the notches on each piece-one of which was given to the taxpayer, and could be compared for accuracy by reuniting the pieces. Henry adopted this method of tax-record-keeping in England. Over time, the role of tally sticks evolved and expanded. By the time of Henry II, taxes were paid twice a year. Giving the taxpayer a tally stick notched to indicate partial payment received, with the same lengthwise split to record, for both parties, the payment made evidenced the first payment, made at Easter. These were presented at Michaelmas with the balance of taxes then due. It takes only a little imagination to arrive at the next step: for tallies to be issued by the government in advance of taxes being paid, in order to raise funds in emergencies or financial straits. The recipients would accept such tallies for goods sold at a profit or for coin at a discount, and then would use them later, at Easter or Michaelmas, for payment of the taxes. Thus, tallies took on some of the same functions as coin: they served as money for the payment of taxes. . .After 1694, the government issued 'paper tallies' as paper evidence of debt (Ie. government borrowing) in anticipation of the collection of future taxes. Paper could be made easily negotiable, which made paper tallies the full equivalent of the paper banknote money issued by the Bank of England beginning in 1694. By 1697, tallies, banknotes and bank bills all began to circulate freely as interchangeable forms of money. Wooden-stick tallies continued to be used until 1826. Doubtless, ways were found to make them circulate at discounts, too, like the paper tallies.

One particular tally stick was quite valuable. It represented £25,000. One of the original stockholders in the Bank of England purchased his original shares with such a stick. In other words, he bought shares in the World's richest and most powerful corporation, with a stick of wood. It's ironic that after its formation in 1694, the Bank of England attacked the tally stick system because it was money issued outside the control of the Money Changers. Why would people accept sticks of wood for money? That's a great question. Throughout history, people have traded anything they thought had value and used that for money. You see, the secret is that money is only what people agree on to use as money. What's our paper money today? Its really just paper. But hem's the wick. King Henry VIII ordered that tally sticks he used to evidence tax payments received by the government. This built in the demand for tallies and eventually made them circulate and be accepted as money. And they worked well. In fact, no other money worked for so long as in the British

Empire. In the 1500s, King Henry VIII relaxed the laws concerning usury, and the Money Changers wasted no time reasserting themselves (in fact, King Henry the Eighth, founder of the Church of England, actually had a so-called "counterfeiter" horribly executed in public while the acceptable counterfeiters, i.e. the money changers, were issuing paper receipts for non-existent gold deposits). They made their gold and silver money plentiful for a few decades. But when Queen Mary took the throne and tightened the usury laws again, the Money Changers renewed the hoarding of gold and silver coin, forcing the economy to plummet. When Queen Elizabeth I, Mary's half-sister, took the throne in 1558, she was determined to regain control over English money. Her solution was to issue gold and silver coins from the public treasury and thus take away control over the money supply from the Money Changers. Although control over money was not the only cause of the English Revolution in 1642 (religious differences also fuelled the conflict), monetary policy played a major role. Financed by the Money Changers, Oliver Cromwell finally overthrew King Charles I (Stuart), purged Parliament and put the King to death. The Money Changers were immediately allowed to consolidate their financial power. The result was that for the next fifty years the Money Changers plunged Great Britain into a series of costly wars. In the centre of London they took over a square mile of property, known as 'the City'. Today, this semi-sovereign area is still one of the two pre-dominant financial centres of the World (with Wall Street, New York City).

Conflicts with the Stuart Kings led the Money Changers in England to combine with those in the Netherlands (which already had a central bank established by the Money Changers in Amsterdam in 1609) to finance the invasion of William of Orange who overthrew the legitimate Stuarts in 1688. England was to trade masters: an unpopular King James II for a hidden cabal of Money Changers pulling the strings of their usurper, King William III ('King Billy'), from behind the scenes. This symbiotic relationship between the Money Changers and the higher British aristocracy continues to this day. The monarch has no real power but serves as a useful shield for the Money Changers who rule the City-dominated by the banking House of Rothschild. In its 20 June 1934 issue, New Britain magazine of London cited a devastating assertion by former British Prime Minister David Lloyd George, that "Britain is the slave of an international financial bloc". It also quoted these words written by Lord Bryce: "Democracy has no more persistent and insidious foe than money powers" and pointed out that "questions regarding Bank of England, its conduct and its objects, are not allowed by the Speaker" (of the House of Commons). This is not something you could just impose on people without preparation. The money changers needed to create the climate to make the formation of this private concern seem acceptable. Later, we explain **how they did it.**

[Origin Of The U.S. Gold Supply](#)

AC 1500-1540: Huge supplies of New World gold reach Spain On average between 1,000 and 1,500 kg. of gold reach Spain each year during this period. Initially these supplies are obtained by plunder, especially from the Aztecs and Incas, and later by applying new mining methods to the New World gold deposits. **AC 1519-1521:** Cortés conquers Mexico Before the arrival of the Spaniards the Aztecs and Mayas used gold dust (kept in transparent quills) and cocoa beans (kept for large payments in sacks of 24,000) as money. In the year of our Lord 1579, Sir Francis Drake, sailing in his ship The Golden Hind, landed on the Western shore of North America and claimed that land for Queen Elizabeth I. Drake called the land Nova Albion. Sir Francis Drake was a fearless soldier/sailor and a devout Protestant Christian. There were NO Incas or Mexicans there at that time. 269 years later the heirs of Protestant England finally took possession of the land

Gold was discovered in California the very year the Mexican-American war of 1846-1848 ended. California was annexed to the United States following that war. For centuries before its annexation, the Vatican had missions all over the State and they knew all about the location of the gold by torturing the Indians to make them reveal the locations of the mother lode. Monks tortured the Indians to make them reveal the location of the gold. Cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco, San Diego, Santa Barbara, San Jose, etc., etc., were begun as "missions" — not to tell the Indians the "good news" of the Gospel — but as slaving centers to collect the gold and ship it to the Vatican. The monks used the Indians as slave laborers to mine the gold and ship it back to the Vatican. At that time, the Papal States were besieged on all sides and they desperately needed the gold to hire mercenaries to fight [General Garibaldi](#).

[Rome Has Insatiable Lust For Gold especially from the Americas](#)

Go all the way back to the time of Julius Caesar and you will find the same unchanging feature of Rome namely a LUST FOR GOLD. The gold-rape of the New World began with Christopher Columbus, Francisco Pizarro, and Hernando Cortez. Together these men killed millions of peaceful Indians to gratify their lust for gold. These three men had ONE thing in common: They all had an insatiable lust for gold and they all had the EVIL EYE: "He that hasteneth to be rich hath an evil eye, and considereth not that poverty shall come upon him" (**Proverbs 28:22**). Despite the vast quantity of gold stolen from the Indians by the Conquistadors, Spain became a very poor country within a century of the theft. This is the one unchanging characteristic of the 4th wild beast. **St. Paul describes her in vivid terms:** "For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows" (1 Timothy 6:10).

"Gold is most excellent; gold constitutes treasure; and he who has it does all he wants in the World, and can even lift souls up to Paradise." -- **Christopher Columbus**, 1503 letter to the king and queen of Spain.

The Illuminati controlled UNITED STATES government policies, including the European ancestors who created its basic ideas, values and forms, were born in arrogance and a racism that manifested in behavior nothing short of barbarism and

terrorism. It was expressed brutally at gunpoint against the Hemisphere's original Indigenous inhabitants, enabling early settlers to obtain virtually "free" land upon which to live and work. Multiple millions were killed. Then "free" labor was acquired at gunpoint through participation in the African slave trade. Again, multiple millions were killed. Holocaust number three took place from the late 1890s to the present, what some call "The American Century." During this period the United States, through over 300 overt military actions and an estimated 10,000 covert interventions, acquired its expanding resource base at gunpoint from "Third World" countries, killing and maiming dozens of millions, assuring impoverishment of billions. This helps explain "American" exceptionalism. It has murdered, pillaged, and plundered its way to having what is claimed the highest standard of living in the World. After all, "there is no way like the American Way." Over the last several decades, a number of individuals, organizations, and written reports have increasingly exposed this fraudulent, make-believe version of exceptionalism, such that larger numbers of U.S. citizens either know of the lie, or are having to work much harder to remain in denial about it. Indeed, facing the truth--a huge shadow that hangs over us like a dark thundercloud--takes a bit of courage, maybe even an epiphany here and there. The fantasy that Bush II is masterfully attempting to carry out--the final stage in which the Illuminati civilization model is carried to every nook and cranny on the globe--if successful, will likely provoke the cataclysmic collapse of life as we have known it for thousands of years...' <http://www.brianwillson.com/shadow.html>

George Kennan, former head of the US State Department Policy Planning Staff, exposed: "We have about 60 per cent of the World's wealth but only 6.3 per cent of its population... Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and World benefaction. We should cease to talk about such vague and unreal objectives as human rights, the raising of living standards and democratisation. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better." (Document PPS23, 24 February 1948). The Illuminati who control many governments have implemented this disparity as well between themselves (trillionaires) and the masses (penny-pinchers).

"We Think the Price Is Worth It": Lesley Stahl on U.S. sanctions against Iraq: We have heard that a half million children have died. I mean, that's more children than died in Hiroshima. And, you know, is the price worth it? Secretary of State Madeleine Albright: I think this is a very hard choice, but the price--we think the price is worth it <http://informationclearinghouse.info/article12701.htm>

"As fake as his codpiece was, so was his "cakewalk" of an invasion. Over 2000 thousand dead soldiers, billions of wasted dollars, thousands of maimed young people, innocent Iraqis dead by the hundreds of thousands, still no consistent electricity or clean water in their country, later, and this swaggering imbecile of a "leaker in chief" has the nerve to be trying to sell all of us on a new war in Iran": Cindy Sheehan <http://informationclearinghouse.info/article12709.htm>

Stolen Gold Financed The Spanish Inquisition!

The vast hoard of gold stolen from the Indians of the New World was used by the Spanish Inquisition to fight the Reformation in the Old World. This included the [St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre](#), the Invincible Spanish Armada, the 30 Years' War, the Gunpowder Plot etc., etc. In the year 1588, the invincible Spanish Armada, financed by stolen gold, set sail to conquer Protestant England. Raging storms helped Sir Francis Drake send it to the bottom of the sea. The annexation of California stopped this gold train dead in its tracks. Rome never forgave the United States and was determined to get the gold back. Here is how she pulled it off: Rome began her 100 Years' War on the United States with the assassination of President McKinley by a Jesuit assassin in 1901. Theodore Roosevelt succeeded President McKinley and was the first of what we call the Imperial Presidents. His Attorney General, Charles Bonaparte, founded the Bureau of Inquisition later called the Federal Bureau of Inquisition.

President Taft was assured of re-election in 1912 but Roosevelt "threw his hat into the ring" and ran against him thus guaranteeing the election of Democrat Woodrow Wilson. Woodrow Wilson succeeded President Taft. This man was a real tool of the Jesuits. He signed the Federal Reserve Act on Dec. 23, 1913. This Act was the brainchild of Paul Warburg, a German immigrant (all with roots in Germany's Zionist families just like the British Royal family, Bush, Rumsfeld, Clintons, the Nazis that were brought into the CIA, etc.). It created a private central bank independent of Congress. Even though it was called FEDERAL Reserve it had nothing to do with the Federal Government being a tool of Wall St. and the New York Bankers. In 1917, Wilson vetoed legislation that would have lifted the ceiling on the Postal Savings Bank. In the same year, he got the U.S. involved in World War I. Paul Warburg (WARbucks) emigrated from Germany in 1902. He is known as the "Father of the Federal Reserve Bank." Wilson appointed him a member of the Federal Reserve Board. His brother, Max Warburg, was chief of the secret police in Germany during World War I.

The Act establishing the Federal Reserve Banks says: "To provide for the establishment of Federal reserve banks.....and for other purposes". The other purposes include: financing wars, creating revolutions, devaluing currencies, creating depressions, causing inflation andstealing the gold reserves of the United States!! This man was the 5th cousin of Theodore Roosevelt and Assistant Secretary of the Navy during the Wilson Administration. He knew that the surest way to destroy a Republic was through entangling alliances and foreign wars. President Roosevelt confiscated the people's gold supply in 1934 under the Gold Reserve Act. In other words, it was illegal for the citizens of the land of the free to own GOLD. All the gold that was collected at that time was stored in Fort Knox. Now that Rome's boys had stolen all the gold from the people, the next task was to get it out of the country. Since 1971, when the U.S. Government

refused to back the dollar with gold, vast quantities of gold have been taken from Fort Knox and deposited in European banks. Nobody is allowed access to the gold supply and they refuse to submit to a full AUDIT by an INDEPENDENT Auditing Company.

Here is a quote from a gold market watch website called [Zeal.com](http://www.zeal.com): "In a gold lease transaction, a central bank loans gold out to a high-quality borrower, usually called a "bullion bank." The central bank physically delivers real physical gold from its vaults to the bullion bank, creating a gold loan. The central bank then gets to keep the gold on its books as an asset, because the loan is contractually supposed to be paid back in the future, and fee simple title never technically changes on the fungible gold. **The end result is the central banks can dump gold and depress the gold price while at the same time maintaining the fiction that the gold is on hand in their vaults to back their fiat currency experiments.**"

"Official" U.S. gold reserves as of May 2001 are about 8000 tonnes. Total amount of gold leased out is 15,000 tonnes. Where did all the gold come from?? Quoting again from the Zeal website: "Estimates of the total amount of gold leased run from 5,000 tonnes from the anti-gold partisans to 16,000 tonnes from pro-gold factions. After all of our research, we certainly believe that number is on the high end of the scale. If, for example, 15,000 tonnes of central bank gold has been flooding the global gold market in the last decade that would explain much of the drop in the gold price. This is the equivalent of six YEARS worth of TOTAL World gold production being dumped on the open gold markets. Natural economics laws dictate that when a wave of artificial marginal supply temporarily overwhelms natural demand, prices plummet."

<http://www.gata.org/cnn042301.html> **Gold Anti-Trust Action Committee: Exchange Stabilization Fund/Bundesbank Gold Swap Operation Exposed** Source: Business Wire Publication date: 2001-04-23

DALLAS--(BUSINESS WIRE)--April 23, 2001--The Gold Anti-Trust Action Committee will reveal proof of the suppression of the gold price by the U.S. and German governments and bullion banks at the GATA African Gold Summit on May 10, 2001, in Durban, South Africa. Attending will be government officials from South Africa and other African gold-producing countries, representatives of South Africa's National Union of Mineworkers, major gold producers, and the World press. For more than two years GATA has claimed that the gold market has been manipulated lower by a faction of the U.S. government and a cartel of bullion banks to the detriment of mostly poor gold-producing nations. One of the speakers at the Durban conference, GATA consultant Reginald H. Howe, has brought suit in U.S. District Court in Boston, against participants in the scheme. The defendants are: the Bank for International Settlements; Alan Greenspan, chairman of the Board of Governors of the U.S. Federal Reserve System and a director of the BIS; William J. McDonough, president of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and a director of the BIS; five major bullion banks, [J.P. Morgan & Co.](http://www.jpmorgan.com), [Chase Manhattan Corp.](http://www.chase.com), [Citigroup Inc.](http://www.citigroup.com), [Goldman Sachs Group Inc.](http://www.goldmansachs.com), and [Deutsche Bank](http://www.deutschebank.com); and Lawrence H. Summers, former secretary of the treasury, who by law exercised control over the U.S. Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF), subject only to approval by the president.

On April 19, 2001, Reg Howe presented the following to the court. It is posted at <http://www.gata.org/lawsuit.html> . "The Department of Justice's memorandum on behalf of the Secretary of the Treasury's motion to dismiss asserts and re-emphasizes the secretary's contention 'that in fact the ESF has no holdings of gold and has not traded in gold or gold derivatives since 1978.' "The plaintiff has recently discovered a highly relevant statement in the transcript of the Federal Open Market Committee's meeting on January 31, 1995. Responding to a question by then Fed Governor Lawrence Lindsey about the ESF's legal authority to engage in a financial rescue package for Mexico, J. Virgil Mattingly, the Fed's general counsel, stated: "'It's pretty clear that these ESF operations are authorized. I don't think there is a legal problem in terms of the authority. The statute (31 U.S.C. s. 5302) is very broadly worded in terms of words like 'credit' -- it has covered things like the gold swaps -- and it confers broad authority.'" James Turk, who also will speak at the Durban conference, revealed the following in a recent commentary, "Behind Closed Doors," which also can be read at <http://www.gata.org/lawsuit.html>.: "The Treasury Department has changed the designation of nearly 1,700 tonnes of inventoried gold at the U.S. Mint's facility in West Point, N.Y., which is approximately 21 percent of the total U.S. gold reserve, from 'Gold Bullion Reserve' to 'Custodial Gold.' "The August 2000 Status Report on U.S. Treasury-Owned gold stored at West Point has a designation of 'Gold Bullion Reserve.' But the September 2000 and subsequent status reports inexplicably designate this same gold that is stored at the U.S. Mint at West Point as 'Custodial Gold.' "This change in the descriptive label for nearly 1,700 tonnes of gold at West Point from 'Gold Bullion Reserve' to 'Custodial Gold' was purposeful. It happened for a reason. This conclusion is all the more plausible because the Treasury did not change the classification from 'Gold Bullion Reserve' to 'Custodial Gold' to describe the gold stored in [Fort Knox](http://www.fortknnox.com) or at the U.S. Mint at Denver."

Turk goes on to establish "that the ESF has 'gold swaps' with the Bundesbank. According to Turk, "It therefore does not require much conjecture to add one supposition to the equation by concluding that the gold at West Point has been swapped with gold owned by the Bundesbank, thereby necessitating its reclassification from 'Gold Bullion Reserve' to 'Custodial Gold.' The Treasury Department wanted to make gold available to some bullion banks." "We now know what has happened. The Bundesbank has loaned 1,700 tonnes, half its 3,400 tonnes reserve; the other 1,700 tonnes were swapped for gold in the U.S. reserves, requiring the change in the West Point vault from 'Gold Bullion Reserve' to 'Custodial Gold.' "In other words, the Bundesbank's vault is empty because half its gold is stored at West Point, not Europe, and the other half has been loaned out." Further evidence of the validity of the GATA/Turk claims come from the Bundesbank itself at <http://www.bundesbank.de/ezb/de/publications/pdf/statintreserves.pdf> .On Page 37 on the PDF file,

some numerical examples of how the accounting for gold reserves is done are given. Example 3 states: "3. 20 Dec. 1999: 'A' undertakes a gold swap with the United States Federal Reserve in which 'A' provides the Federal Reserve with 1,000 ounces of gold in exchange for USD 300,000, in currency. The transaction will be reversed on 20 January 1999, at the spot price of the gold prevailing in the market at that moment."

GATA chairman Bill Murphy says: "The New York Federal Reserve is an agent for the Exchange Stabilization Fund, and while these numbers are small, it is clearly more evidence of a substantial ESF/Bundesbank operation that James Turk refers to." This is most troubling, since both Mr. Greenspan directly and Mr. Summers indirectly have asserted that neither the Federal Reserve nor the secretary of the treasury acting through the ESF has authority to manipulate dollar gold prices. In a letter to Sen. Joseph I. Lieberman dated January 19, 2000, Greenspan stated that transactions by the Federal Reserve "aimed at manipulating the price of gold or otherwise interfering in the free trade of gold, would be wholly inappropriate." Similarly, officials who worked under Secretary Summers, though not Summers himself, denied any interventions in the gold market by the ESF and have made those assertions in dozens of letters to congressmen and to inquiring individuals all over the World." Murphy continues: "What is so disturbing is that a few bullion banks and the ESF have made a State Department decision that a privileged few in the financial World count, while the economies and hundreds of millions of citizens of the poor gold-producing countries in Africa do not. The ESF operation has suppressed the price of gold hundreds of dollars below its natural equilibrium price and deprived the natural resource-rich sub-Saharan African countries of desperately needed money to fight crime, disease, and unemployment. "In the end this gold market collusion will make Watergate look like child's play, as it impugns the proposal by the Clinton administration to sell the gold of the [International Monetary Fund](#) in the name of helping poor countries. It also may affect the governments of Britain, Germany, and Switzerland in regard to the motives of their recent gold sales and lending." Publication date: 2001-04-23 ©2001, <http://www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/presiden/veto/ajveto01.htm>

The Imperialists under Charles V Sack Rome and The Jesuits Rise



Ignatius Loyola before Pope Paul III 1540. Jesuits' Insignia.



The Imperialists under Charles V sacked the city of Rome in 1527 and stole most of the gold. The city was defended by Swiss mercenaries and as a result they were commissioned to guard the "Bank". The "Bank" also decided to store the gold and silver in

Switzerland as a precaution against another repeat of the sack of 1527. After the establishment of the Jesuits by Pope Paul III, all the Roman Catholic universities throughout Europe were thrown open and they were given carte blanche to recruit the sharpest brains in order to stop the financial hemorrhage. The newly formed Jesuits were particularly ruthless in the field of "education" and the Counter-Reformation led by Loyola quickly moved to dominate all the schools and colleges. This "new learning" soon discovered that aside from direct warfare and brutal conquest nothing could replace the millennia old USURY as a destroyer of freedom-loving nations.

According to some researchers, it is the Jesuits who are at the top of the control pyramid. Ignatius Loyola was the founder of the reorganized "Bank" of Rome. The Jesuits were founded on August 15, 1534, and Loyola was officially commissioned to reorganize the almost bankrupt "Bank" of Rome in 1540. At the time that Loyola appeared in Rome, the "Bank" of Rome was on the verge of BANKRUPTCY due to the withdrawal of millions of accounts. The Imperialists under Charles V., actually invaded and sacked the city of Rome in 1527. They stole most of the wealth, destroyed priceless art treasures and even tortured Cardinals to make them divulge the secret hiding places of their riches: "The Spaniards were the most brutal, it was generally agreed. In the destruction of Rome the Germans were bad enough, the Italians were worse, but worse of all were the Spaniards. They practiced unheard of tortures to compel their victims to disclose where they had hidden their treasures" (Rome, the Biography of a City, p. 159).



Emperor Charles V; The Sack of Rome by Dutch artist Martin Van Heemskerck.



Imperial troops parody a papal procession and blessing during the Sack of Rome in 1527.

King Henry VIII (Relaxes Usury Laws in the early 1500's)

With King Henry VIII relaxing the Usury Laws in the 1500's, the money changers flooded the market with their gold and silver coins becoming richer by the minute. The English Revolution of 1642 was financed by the money changers backing Oliver Cromwell's successful attempt to purge the parliament and kill King Charles. What followed was 50 years of costly wars. Costly to those fighting them and profitable to those financing them. But King Henry VIII's legitimate son from Jane Seymour, King Edward VI outlawed usury. This was short lived though as the banksters gangsters devised plans to grab their cash cow again.



[Usury is outlawed by English Parliament \(1550's\) !!](#)

During the reign of King Edward VI (1547-1553) the door was closed and locked against the "Bank" of Rome setting up a branch office in England. Parliament outlawed USURY and made it a criminal offense: "(Act relating to Usury.) Another bill was brought in against usury, which passed both houses, and was made a statute. By it, an act passed in the 37th of the late king (Henry VIII), that none might take above 20 per cent on money lent, was repealed; which they said was not intended for the allowing of Usury, but for preventing farther inconveniences. And since Usury was by the word of God forbidden, and set out in diverse places of Scripture as a most odious and detestable vice, which yet many continue to practise, for the filthy gain they make by it; therefore, from the 1st of May, all usury or gain from money lent was to cease; and whosoever continued to practise to the contrary, was to forfeit both principal and interest, to suffer imprisonment, and to be fined at the king's pleasure." (Cobbett's Parliamentary History of England, vol. I, p.596). **King Edward VI (1547-1553) outlawed usury by Parliamentary decree.** You can be sure that his reign was cut short because he opposed the moneylenders. The moneylenders persevered however and the anti-usury law was eventually repealed. The clear teaching of Scripture on usury was undermined among the Christians. During the reign of the womanizing, gambling drunkard Charles II, the Crown went bankrupt, due to his habits, so he approached the East India Company for a loan; they gave him the loan but demanded in return (William Patterson was the President) that the King grant them a National and exclusive charter as the Bank of England. This opened the door to the establishing of the Bank of England in 1694. The Bank of England was incorporated on July 27, 1694, as a private joint-stock association, with a capital of £1.2 million. In return for the loan of its entire capital to the government it received the right to issue notes and a monopoly on corporate banking in England. Of course they only lent the principal so the interest would keep accumulating by compound interest. Since the loans could NEVER be repayed, the moneylenders had complete control of the government and people.

["Bank" of Rome opens a branch office in England 1694 and the Stuarts killed](#)



AC 1603: The first auction sale (as we would understand it) held at Leiden.

William Patterson (1658-1719) founder of the Bank of England.

Bank of England headquarters in London on Threadneedle St. is also the British Government's Headquarters since 1694.



This reorganized Bank of Rome soon began to start branch offices in various cities. Venice in 1587, the Wisselbank in Amsterdam in 1609, Hamburg 1619, Nuremberg 1621, Rotterdam 1635 **and last but not least the Bank of England in 1694.** Shortly after it started up in business there was a run on the banks gold (and of course in a fractional reserve system, public or private, there is never enough gold to cover outstanding issues of bank notes). To stave off the run, they took the unusual step of hiring the foremost alchemist of the realm, Isaac Newton, as the first warden of the Mint, that clever PR move, did the job, the crowds backed off because Isaac could of course transmute base metals into gold, so they thought. He then got into an affair with a real slut name of Barbara Villiers, whose demands, coupled with his habits, soon bankrupted him again, so he went back to the East India Company. This time they demanded that he put up as collateral the symbols of sovereignty, the globe, scepter and crown. Now symbols are more than just symbols in the sovereignty business, whoever holds the symbols is actually the sovereign. Charles II of course defaulted on the loan and thus the sovereignty of England passed to the Bank of England. It's interesting to note that during the 119 year period from the founding of the Bank of England to Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo, England had been at war for 56 years, while the rest of the time preparing for it. In the process the money changers had been getting rich. After the Battle of Waterloo, the Rothschilds managed to take ownership of the Bank of England from its former private owners. Its shares were privately held, eventually by the Rothschild family (after Napoleons defeat at Waterloo), until 1947. Although it was given a face of government ownership in 1947, the former owners from the Rothschilds' gang were given an undisclosed amount of Fiduciary bonds bearing 12% interest tax-free which can never be paid off because they never mature and give the owners a say in the affairs of the Bank and have first claim on England ahead of the shareholders, i.e. it amounts to the same as private ownership.

So profitable that it allowed the money changers to take over a square mile of property still known as the City of London, which remains one of the three main financial centres in the World today. The 50 years of war left England in financial ruin. The government officials went begging for loans from guess who, and the deal proposed resulted in a government sanctioned, privately owned bank which could produce money from nothing, essentially legally counterfeiting a national currency for private gain. This privately owned bank was and still is known as The Bank of



England. Now the politicians had a source from which to borrow all the money they wanted to borrow, and the debt created was secured against public taxes. You would think someone would have seen through this, and realised they could produce their own money and owe no interest, but instead the Bank of England has been used as a model and now nearly every nation has a Central Bank which is privately controlled. These central banks have the power to take over a nation's economy and become that nation's real governing force. What we have here is a scam of mammoth proportions covering what is actually a hidden tax, being collected by private concerns.

The country sells bonds to the bank in return for money it cannot raise in taxes. The bonds are paid for by money produced from thin air. The government pays interest on the money it borrowed by borrowing more money in the same way. There is no way this debt can ever be paid, it has and will continue to increase. If the government did find a way to pay off the debt, the result would be that there would be no bonds to back the currency, so to pay the debt would be to kill the currency. With its formation the Bank of England soon flooded Britain with money. With no quality control and no insistence on value for money, prices doubled with money being thrown in every direction. One company was even offering to drain the Red Sea to find Egyptian gold lost when the sea closed in on their pursuit of Moses. By 1698 the UK national debt expanded from £1,250,000 to £16,000,000 and up went the taxes the debt was secured on. As hard as it might be to believe, in times of economic upheaval, wealth is rarely destroyed and instead is often only transferred. And who benefits the most when money is scarce? You may have guessed. It's those controlling what everyone else wants, the money changers. When the majority of people are suffering through economic depression, you can be sure that a minority of people are continuing to get rich. Even today the Bank of England expresses its determination to prevent the ups and downs of booms and depressions, yet there have been nothing but ups and downs since its formation with the British pound rarely being stable.


A British Member of Parliament said this about the Bank of England in 1810: "There is something so consummately ridiculous in the idea of a nation's getting money by paying interest to itself upon its own stock, that the mind of every rational man naturally rejects it. It is, really, **something little short of madness** to suppose, that a nation can increase its wealth; increase its means of paying others; that it can do this by paying interest to itself. When time is taken to reflect, **no rational man will attempt to maintain a proposition so shockingly absurd**" (William Cobbett, M.P., Paper Against Gold, p.83). This monetized debt scam that the Bank of England started in 1694 was copied exactly by the "Federal" Reserve Bank system in the U.S. It seems that the usurers have absolutely no originality or creativity even with all the brains their ill-gotten gains enable them to buy.



The first banks were moneychangers' benches. "The term BANK is derived from banco, the Italian word for bench, as the Lombard Jews in Italy kept benches in the market place, where they exchanged money and bills, When a banker failed, his bench was broken up by the populace; and from this circumstance sprang the term bankrupt "(Francis, History of the Bank of England, p.15). However, the private owners of the Bank of England kept the hangman working day and night. **The philosophy of the Bank or any bank is: LET THE MONEY DO THE WORK.** However, the banksters worked the hangman to death because forging the counterfeit money was punishable with death. Men and women by the hundreds were hanged and even after the repeal of the law, the punishment was exile for life to Australia. If the banksters had lived at the time of Edward VI, they would have been the ones behind bars because they are the biggest counterfeiters of money!!

Picture of an evil spirit or demon directing the banker from The English Usurer or Usury Condemned, 1634 John Blaxton preacher of God's Word London.

James Charles Stuart was born on June 19, 1566 at Edinburgh Castle in Scotland. His father, Lord Darnley, was murdered in early 1567 before young James was 1 year old. His mother, Mary Queen of Scots, subsequently ascended to the Scottish throne. Her reign however was short lived and she was forced to abdicate in favor of her son on July 24, 1567. Little James was crowned King James VI of Scotland five days later at the tender age of 13 months. Reformation leader John Knox preached the sermon at his coronation. A close alliance has ALWAYS existed between the Scots and the French. The mother of Queen Mary and grandmother of King James was French by birth. In 1620, the Pilgrim Fathers landed at Plymouth Rock, Massachusetts, They were Protestant Christians sent out by that great Scot -- King James VI & I. They were the CHOSEN PEOPLE and God gave them the best land in the World because He always saves the best for last and as a heritage because they came to seek GOD . . . not GOLD. Most of the Pilgrims were poor and brought very little money with them from the Old World. The Pilgrim Fathers faced a great howling wilderness when they reached North America.





Above is a copy of the famous [Bank Restriction Note](#) that helped end the death penalty for forging the "counterfeit" money since only the moneychangers were allowed to counterfeit money, i.e. create it from nothing!.

Bank Underground station is named after the Bank of England. **All** roads and rails lead to THE BANK.

King James I & VI

James VI of Scotia Minor, and I of England, founder of the British Empire. He sent the Pilgrim Fathers to America. The [King James Bible](#) is named after him. Prince Henry (1594 -1612) was the oldest son of King James and first in line to the throne. Like his father, he was a devout Protestant Christian and a very unlikely candidate to charter a bank. For that reason, he was given the poison cup at the young age of 18 years. Henry Frederick Stuart, Prince of Wales. [Cape Henry in Virginia](#) is named after him.



The English Civil War caused by the Bank of Rome in England!!

"England is not a free people, till the poor that have no land, have a free allowance to dig and labour the commons..."
Gerrard Winstanley, 1649



Gerrard Winstanley: "The New Law of Righteousness", 1649 "In the beginning of time God made the earth. Not one word was spoken at the beginning that one branch of mankind should rule over another, but selfish imaginations did set up one man to teach and rule over another."

Charles Stuart (1600-1649) was the second son of King James and succeeded his murdered brother. During his reign, civil war broke out in England between Parliament and the king. Oliver Cromwell led the Parliamentary army (Roundheads) against the king's army (Cavaliers) and soundly defeated the king's forces. The king was arrested and beheaded in 1649, the only king of England to be beheaded.

Wars always incur huge debts and of course the moneylenders were just waiting for their Bank to be officially chartered before they would lend at usury to repay the debts. None of the Stuart monarchs would grant a charter to the Bank of England!! None of the Stuarts would grant the fervent desire of the "Bank" of Rome and charter an English national bank. That is why murder, civil war, and religious conflicts plagued their reigns. The Jesuit worked behind the scenes to get them to charter the Bank.

Nothing succeeded however and finally King James II was deposed and Dutchman William of Orange was invited to take the throne. William was a tool of the powerful Wisselbank of Amsterdam: "Central to the success of the Dutch was the Amsterdam Wisselbank, which had been founded in 1609. It provided the motive power for the Dutch economy by lending to the City of Amsterdam, the State in the form of the Province of Holland and trade in the shape of the



Dutch East India Company as well as being responsible for coinage and, of course, exchange. Much later, in 1683, it was empowered to lend to private customers. Payments over a certain amount had to pass through it and it therefore was convenient for the important finance houses to hold accounts with it. Thus not only was it in a position to oversee the Dutch financial scene, it was also able to act as a stabilising influence on it" ([The Bank of England](#) pictured top right).



King Charles II (1660-1685) was the second son of the beheaded Charles I. Left: King James II (1633-1688), was the third son of King Charles I. He was forced to abdicate in 1688. He was the last male Stuart to sit on the throne of England.



The King and Queen of Usury – the inglorious Revolution!!

The Charter of the Bank of England (1694) with the Great Seal of William and Mary. The first **usury** central bank to be incorporated in England. On the right is the Threadneedle Street




front of the Bank of England in 1797. The First Bank of the U.S., 2nd Bank of the U.S. and the "Federal" Reserve Bank are all copied from this Bank. (Copy of Charter at right).



King William of Orange (1650-1702) & Queen Mary (1662-1694), wife of William of Orange.



After the incorporation of the Bank, peace and quiet returned to merry old England while the owners took timeout to plot their future schemes for enriching themselves more and strengthen their monopoly on the creation and issuing of money. No more royal heads rolled and no more civil wars. After that it didn't matter what was the religious beliefs of the monarchs. The Jesuits preferred that they were "Protestants" as they could take the blame for the wars, poverty and pauperism which inevitably followed usury and money lending. Since the king was also head of the "Church" of England, this gave legitimacy to USURY and silenced most of the sermons against that diabolical practise. A Catholic monarch would not have been able to charter the Bank because officially the Vatican was still against usury.



Before the American Revolution, the Bank financed 4 wars with France known in America as the French and Indian wars and others around the World including: 1689-1697 King William's War of the League of Augsburg, 1702-1713 Queen Anne's War of Spanish Succession, 1739-1742 The War of Jenkin's Ear, 1744-1748 King George's War of Austrian Succession, 1754-1763 The French and Indian War 1793-1801, The War against Revolutionary France 1803-1815, The Napoleonic Wars, Wars in India, Opium Wars with China, and wars with America: the War for Independence and the War of 1812. In the 126 years between 1689 and 1815, England was at war 63 of them. That is one out of every two years in combat. The others were spent preparing for combat. The mark of the Rothschild Formula is unmistakable in these conflicts. The monetary scientists often were seen financing both sides. Whether ending in victory or defeat, the outcome merely preserved or restored the European "balance of power." **And the most permanent result of any of these wars was expanded government debt for all parties and the acquisition of lands at rock bottom prices.**

As was previously stated, the colonists were very poor and took little money with them from England. The settlers bartered their goods and services with each other. Later, Indian Wampum, black and white shells, tobacco etc., etc were used as currency. Eventually a circulating medium called Continental Colonial Scrip was printed by the Governors of the various Colonies. With this release of purchasing power, great prosperity came to the Colonies since they had an abundance of produce and goods available. **The greedy banksters of the Bank of England soon took steps to destroy the U.S. even before it was born.**

Genocide & Enslavement of Native Americans in the Early 18th Century By Daniel Stirewalt

The estimate of Native Americans that occupied the continental United States and Canada ranged from 1.8 to over 18 million. The number of Native Americans dropped to around 237,000 after the massive genocide of the native people. It is also said that the region of Mexico had 30 million Native Americans pre-contacts; and was reduced to 3 million after contact. European persecution of Native Americans started with Christopher Columbus in 1492. While some were exterminated directly by the Europeans, other Native Americans died from disease such as: smallpox, influenza, and measles. Later European invaders systematically killed the aboriginal people because they felt that their beliefs were "ungodly." The invaders started the genocide of the Native Americans by using warfare, death marches, destruction of their food supply, and poisoning. The genocide against the Native Americans was one of the most lucrative, destructive, longest lasting genocides in the history of the Earth. Paul Harvey on his radio talk show boasted that it was the first case of BIOLOGICAL GERM WARFARE! In the early 18th century states such as: Massachusetts, Connecticut, and New Jersey promoted vigilantism of the settlers. The government feared the Native people and felt that they were a threat to society so they began "scalp bounty" on dead Indians. In many areas the killing of Indians became a business to the point where a person would be paid 100 pounds for killing a Native American. In the 18th century George Washington called the Native Americans "beasts of prey" and called for their total annihilation. In 1814, Andrew Jackson watched as his men brutally murdered 800 or more Creek Indians. Extermination of all surviving Indians was encouraged publicly by the Governor of California in 1851. In 1867, General William Tecumseh Sherman said, "We must act with vindictive earnestness against the Sioux even to their extermination: men, women and children."

From 1848 to 1870 over 120,000 Native Americans in California died, over 60 percent of those died from disease. However, the diseases were brought by the "49ers" so in a way the settlers were still killing the Indians, just in a different way. Most of the massacre happened while the government was making "treaties" with the Native Americans. For example, the Wintu people of the South went to a "truce talking" meeting with the United States government and the soldiers fired at the Indians killing 45 of them. Also, in the northwest the Modoc were tricked into a cold blooded ambush after accepting an invitation to a peace-making feast. Ben Wright, an infamous hunter of Native communities, invited them to a truce at Tule Lake in 1852 and tried to feed them strychnine-laced food. When they grew suspicious and refused to eat, he and his men whipped out pistols and massacred 41 out of the 46 that attended. Some communities

were wiped out completely by the English settlers, because they believed that the Indians were a "lesser" race and did not deserve to live on the land that they had occupied for generations. The massive killing of an entire race is said to be genocide, the pure hatred that spewed from the English settlers hearts will never be forgotten by the Native American people. <http://eee.uci.edu/clients/tcthorne/anthro/indiantimes/index.html> .

Currency Act of 1764

"III. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid, That if any governor or commander in chief for the time being, in all or any of the said colonies or plantations, shall, from and after the said first day of September, one thousand seven hundred and sixty four, give his assent to any act or order of assembly contrary to the true intent and meaning of this act, every such governor or commander in chief shall, for every such offence, forfeit and pay the sum of one thousand pounds, and shall be immediately dismissed from his government, and for ever after rendered incapable of any public office or place of trust."

Many of the powerful Roman Catholic lords in the English Parliament had money in the Wisselbank which was used to finance the inglorious (usury) Revolution of 1688. "Amongst those thought to have "provided for a retreat" in this way were members of the English Commonwealth Parliament and the Danish court, the Prince Palatine and the Republic of Venice. The Wisselbank was also used by the Spanish crown to pay subsidies to Sweden in the 1660's. To this extent the Wisselbank was not only a public bank for Amsterdam and its citizens but also a secure haven for other European governments and political interests. Its success continued well into the eighteenth century, even after Amsterdam's ascendancy was coming to an end, and it survived until 1820." (Edwin Green, Banking An Illustrated History, p.33). **The Bank of England financed Jenner and vaccination, ape-man Charles Darwin and Jesuit futurism etc., etc.** The Bank of England circa 1890

The Bank of England 1694 History of Banking

Further to the information presented in the previous section on how the creation of this private bank was concocted in 1694, here is some additional history on the Bank of England. In the old days there was no paper money. The accepted token of exchange was precious metal minted into coins by the Church and the Crown. Because there was only a limited amount of gold and silver available, the economic life of the nation had a certain regularity. An even greater restriction existed throughout Christendom. This was a prohibition against usury, or charging interest. The Church held it to be a grave sin and the code was upheld by the civil powers. There were harsh penalties for those who broke the law. The regulation of usury was to prevent the separation of money from reality. Money is not "goods", it is a measure. It is fraud to pretend otherwise, and constitutes theft. Usury is making money from lending money; it is making money from nothing. This is exactly what is happening today on a colossal scale.

Several important things arose from the prohibition of usury in medieval Christendom. Firstly European Jews, who had taken to wandering around Europe in the Middle Ages, began to specialize in money-lending and other practices which were forbidden to Christians. Exploited Christians, both peasants and aristocracy, found themselves being bled dry by usurers, which is why there were sporadic uprisings, imprisonments and expulsions of Jews throughout Europe. It is one reason why King Edward I expelled these perfidious people from England in 1290. Oliver Cromwell allowed them back when the moral authority of the Church was undermined and the King was beheaded in 1649. Secondly, gold coins, jewels and other valuables were deposited with people who held strongboxes. This was usually with goldsmiths and money-lenders who, more often than not, were one and the same. These loan-sharks and scribes realized that, without much chance of being found out, they could charge people for looking after their deposits and then use those deposits - which did not belong to them - to make loans to other people at interest. They soon became rich and powerful. Gold coins are heavy and awkward to carry around so the custom arose whereby the money-lenders would issue credit notes to depositors who began to trade these notes between themselves in commercial transactions. Paper money had come into existence.

A new form of usury developed as the swindling money-lenders realized the immoral advantages that could be made from such a situation. It became apparent to these thieves that they could go one step further than dishonestly using other people's money for financial advantage at no cost to themselves. They could invent money from absolutely nothing. They could issue credit notes with nothing to back them up and put them into circulation as interest-bearing debts. No-one would be any the wiser. They calculated that they could safely issue notes for up to 10 times more than the gold deposits they held, because all the depositors would never ask for their deposits back all at the same time. The principle of modern banking was formed: invent money from nothing, put it into circulation as "running cash notes" that have to be paid back with real wealth that is produced from our labour, sit back and become unbelievably wealthy and powerful men: hidden rulers of nations. In England this deceitful system was officially sanctioned in 1694. The usurper of the throne, William of Orange, had overthrown the legitimate King James II with the financial backing and plotting of powerful Jewish financiers in Amsterdam. In return he gave the sovereignty of England to a group of financiers by means of a Charter allowing them to call themselves the Bank of England. The Charter made no mention of issuing the nation's money but within minutes of signing the new Bank officials were discussing the form of their "running cash notes." The same system was adopted in every country by a process of Masonic revolution and manipulation.

By the end of the 1600s, England was in financial ruin. Fifty years of more or less continuous wars with France, and sometimes the Netherlands had exhausted her. Frantic government officials met with the Money Changers to beg for the loans necessary to pursue their political purposes. The price was high: a government-sanctioned, privately owned central bank, which could issue money----created out of nothing-as loans. The Bank of England was to be the modern World's first privately owned, national central bank in a powerful country, though earlier deposit banks had existed in Venice from 1361, in Amsterdam from 1609 and in Sweden from 1661-where the first banknotes in Europe were issued that same year. Although it was deceptively called the Bank of England to make the general population think it was part of the government, it was not. Like other private corporation, the Bank of England sold shares to get started. The investors, whose names were never revealed, were supposed to put up one and a quarter million, (British pounds) in gold coin to buy their shares in the Bank. But only £750,000 pounds was ever received.

Despite that, the Bank of England was duly chartered in 1694 and started out in the business of lending out several times the money it supposedly had in reserves, all at interest. In exchange the new bank would lend British politicians as much as they wanted. The debt was secured by direct taxation of the British people. So, legalization of the Bank of England amounted to nothing less than legalised counterfeiting of a national currency for private gain. Unfortunately, nearly every nation now has a privately controlled central bank, the local Money Changers using the Bank of England as the basic model. Such is the power of these central banks that they soon take total control over a nation's economy. It soon amounts to nothing but a plutocracy, rule by the rich, and the bankers soon come to be the dominant super-rich class. It is like putting control of Army in the hands of the Mafia. The danger of tyranny is extreme. Yes, we need a central monetary authority-but one owned and controlled by the government, not by bankers for their private profit.

In 1770, Sir William Pitt, speaking to the House of Lords, said: "There is something behind the throne greater than the king himself."

This reference to the Money Changers behind the Bank of England gave birth to the expression, 'the power behind the throne'.

In 1844, Benjamin Disraeli, in a veiled allusion to this same power, wrote: "The World is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes."

On 21 November 1933, US President Franklin D. Roosevelt wrote in a letter to a confidant: "The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned government ever since the days of Andrew Jackson..."

The central bank scam is really a hidden tax, but one that benefits private banks more than the government. The government sells bonds to pay for things for which the government does not have the political wisdom or will to rise tax to pay. But about 10 per cent of the bonds are purchased with money the central bank creates out of nothing. The government then spends this new money. Once deposited, private banks use these new deposits to create ten times as much in new fractional reserve loans. This provides the economy with the additional money needed to purchase the other 90 per cent of the new bonds without drying up capital markets and forcing up interest rates. By borrowing the money (I.e., selling new bonds), the government spreads out the inflationary effects over the term of the bonds. Thus, there is little or no immediate inflation. More money in circulation makes your money worth less. The politicians get as much money as they do want, and the people pay for it in inflation-which erodes the purchasing power of their savings, fixed income and wages. The perverse beauty of the plan is that not one person in a thousand can figure it out because it's deliberately hidden behind complex-sounding economics gibberish. The full effects of the inflation are only experienced much later-too late to stop. With the formation of the Bank of England, the nation was soon awash in money. Prices throughout the country doubled. Massive loans were granted for just about any wild scheme. One venture proposed draining the Red Sea to recover gold supposedly lost when the Egyptian Army drowned pursuing Moses and the Israelites. By 1698, just four years later, government debt had grown from the initial one-and-a-quarter-million pounds to £16 million. Naturally, taxes were increased and then increased again to pay for all this. With the British money supply firmly in the grip of the Money Changers, the British economy began a wild roller-coaster series of booms and depressions-exactly the sort of thing a central bank claims it is designed to prevent.

Richard Greaves explains from Prosperity, January 2005, that there is no great mystery today surrounding the Bank of England - its annual report, which anyone can obtain, contains full sets of accounts and is quite clear and explicit about its composition and functions which, incidentally, have changed substantially since its formation back in 1694. In its early days, it was owned by William Patterson (who was also the owner of the imperial colonizing East India Company), and it lent to the King and to British overseas enterprises such as the East India Company and the Hudson's Bay Company - as well as slave traders, all of which brought in big profits to both the bank and the entrepreneurs concerned as the money was created from nothing by merely issuing paper notes. As the government's banker it also profited by lending to government to finance a series of costly wars during the eighteenth century. National debt increased dramatically as a result, and taxation went up to pay the interest. However by the mid-nineteenth century, as well as being the government's sole banker, the Bank of England had become the bankers' bank. It only made loans to government and to commercial banks. It held the nation's gold reserves and regulated the flow of money in the form of the bank notes - still theoretically redeemable for gold at this time. Today, operating as it does as the bankers' bank, it is to the commercial banks (i.e. the High Street banks) what the commercial banks are to the public. The owners of the Bank of England now

own the major commercial banks. Just as we may deposit money with commercial banks, so commercial banks in turn keep deposits with the Bank of England. The amount of cash that a commercial bank can buy up from the Bank of England to meet its customers' cash withdrawals is limited to the amount of deposits it has in its account at the Bank of England and/or what it can borrow from the Bank of England or from other banks. Both the Bank of England and commercial banks created money from nothing. Commercial banks borrow from the Bank of England in exactly the same way that individuals and businesses borrow from commercial banks. The reason they borrow from the Bank of England is that they must have some reserve ratio to match the money they created from nothing. The Bank of England can create as much money from nothing as it deems necessary.

Nationalising the Bank of England in 1946, which might seem at first sight to be a far reaching measure, made little difference in practice? Yet, the state did acquire all the shares in the Bank of England -- they now belong to the Treasury - - and are held in trust by the Treasury Solicitor. However, the government had no money to pay for the shares, so instead of receiving money for their shares, the shareholders were issued with government stocks. Although the state now received the operating profits of the bank, this was offset by the fact that the government now had to pay interest on the new stocks it had issued to pay for the shares. It is much more significant to note that whilst the Bank of England is now state-owned the fact is that our money supply is once again almost entirely in private hands, with 97% of it being in the form of interest bearing loans of one sort or another, created by private commercial banks. **Indeed this is now where the real power resides: with commercial banking.** The Bank of England is now essentially a regulatory body that supports and oversees the existing system. It is sometimes referred to as "the lender of last resort" in so far as one of its functions as the bankers' bank is to support or maybe not to support any bank or financial institution that gets into difficulties and suffers a run on its liquid assets. In these circumstances, it is not obliged to disclose details of any such measures, the reason being so as to avoid a crisis in confidence -- confidence being something on which the current system is very dependent. However beyond that, it is no longer a major player in the lending/money creation market which is where the immense profits, influence and control powers are exercised. Its annual accounts reveal that its loans and profits are only a fraction of those of a major commercial bank such as Barclays, and it only holds a very small amount of government stocks, so it is no longer really lending to government either -- that function has largely passed to the merchant banks. Most of its profits come from what is known as the "issue department" --the department of the bank which is responsible for printing and distributing bank notes and coins. These are purchased by the high street banks to meet their customers' demands for cash and the various banks have their accounts at the Bank of England debited accordingly. Basically, the profits from this operation belong to the state and are transferred to the Treasury, thus being added to the public purse.

Nevertheless, although owned by the state, the bank is largely controlled and run by those from the World of commercial banking and conventional economics. The members of the Court of Directors, who set policy and oversee its functions, are drawn almost entirely from the World of banks, insurance, economists and big business. They will keep squeezing out the minor banks. On the other hand, the responsibility for setting interest rates and controlling money supply has always remained with bankers and economists through the Monetary Policy Committee headed by the Governor and the two Deputy Governors. The day to day management and running of the bank is in the hands of a team of professional managers headed by the Governor, the Deputy Governors and four Executive Directors. From 1946 to 1997 many decisions, especially those relating to interest rates, were made jointly by the Treasury and the Bank, but of course Treasury officials and the Chancellor of the Exchequer and other treasury ministers frequently have close ties with the World of commercial banking. Since May 1997, the Bank's Monetary Policy Committee has had exclusive control over setting interest rates -- although it still takes into account government inflation targets in reaching its decisions. It can be noted that the formal removal of the Treasury from this decision making process was an essential step prior to incorporating the Bank of England into the European System of Central Banks under the control of the European Central Bank -- which is what would happen should Britain enter Economic and Monetary Union and replace the Pound with the Euro.

Since the creation of the Bank of England in 1694, one thing however has been stable and that is the growing fortune of:

Enter The Dragon Rothschilds (1743)

This is Frankfurt, Germany. Fifty years after the Bank of England opened its doors, a goldsmith named Amschel Moses Bauer opened a coin shop-a counting house-in 1743, and over the door, he placed a sign depicting a Roman eagle on a red shield. The shop became known as the Red Shield firm or, in German, Rothschild. When his son, Mayer Amschel Bauer, inherited the business, he decided to change his name to Rothschild.' Mayer Rothschild soon learned that lending money to governments and kings was more profitable than lending to private individuals. Not only were the loans bigger, but they were secured by the nation's taxes. Amschel Moses Bauer, a Jewish goldsmith, settled in the Judenstrasse district of Frankfurt in Germany and opened a Counting House, hanging a red shield (with witchcraft's hexagram on it, symbol of Masonry as on the Israeli flag) up as his shop sign. Twenty-two years after his death in 1754, his son Mayer Amschel (at the age of 33) took control of the business and then changed his name to reflect the red shield, which is "in German ... roth schild and thus The House of Rothschild" was born in 1776• "... European Jews in Eastern Europe... also adopted The Red Flag as their emblem because it represented Blood." ("Pawns In The Game", page 25,26). Bavaria (in Germany) spawned the main branch of modern Illuminism, which was begun May 1st 1776 (May Day) by "Adam Weishaupt, a student of the Jewish philosopher Mendelssohn, and funded by the Jewish banking family of Rothschild" ("God - the Ultimate Paradox", p.75). Members included Emmanuel Kant, Voltaire, Moses Mendelssohn and the bankers

Iztig, Freilander and Rothschild. Weishaupt, an ex-Jesuit who embraced Satanism pre-1770, helped to formulate the plans that would allow the Illuminati to have eventual World control.

The basic principles involved "...dividing the masses into opposing camps..." on various issues, arming both sides and contriving to have an "...incident' provided which would cause them to fight and weaken themselves..." ("Pawns In the Game" pX). To achieve this Weishaupt needed influential people in all countries and devised the following selection process for his Illuminists to use:

- Bribe those already in key positions
- Award special scholarships to selected tertiary students with which they could be brainwashed for the coming One World Government
- Those bribed and the indoctrinated students are moved into place as government and business advisors
- News agencies are controlled to limit flow of information and brainwash the public.

Mayer's marriage to Gutele Schnaper produced at least 5 children who, once they had matured, were married by arrangement to noble families (**Rothschild symbol below represents these 5 sons**). He then moved his entire family (1785) to a larger house, known as the Green Shield house, a five storey dwelling he shared with the Schiff family. Schiff's grandson, Jacob, later moved to New York to act as a Rothschild banking agent and provided John D. Rockefeller the money with which to monopolise the oil refinery business via Standard Oil. He trained his sons all in the secret techniques of money creation and manipulation, then sent them out to the major capitals of Europe to open branch offices of the family banking business. His will directed that one son in each generation was to rule the family business; women were excluded. Mayer's first son, Amschel, stayed in Frankfurt to mind the home town bank. His second son, Salomon, was sent to Vienna. His third son, Nathan, was clearly the most clever; he was sent to London at age 21 in 1798, a hundred years after the founding of the Bank of England. His fourth son, Karl, went to Naples. His fifth son, Jakob (James), went to Paris. In 1785, Mayer moved his entire family to a larger house, a five-storey dwelling he shared with the Schiff family. This house was known as the Green Shield house. The Rothschilds and the Schiffs would play a central role in the rest of European financial history and in that of the United States and the World. The Schiffs' grandson moved to New York and helped fund the Bolshevik coup d'etat in 1917 in Russia. The Rothschilds broke into dealings with European royalty, in fact, the wealthiest monarch in all of Europe-Prince William of Hesse. At first, the Rothschilds were only helping William speculate in precious coins. However, when Napoleon chased Prince William into exile, William sent £550,000 (a gigantic sum at that time, equivalent to many millions of today's US dollars) to Nathan Rothschild in London with instructions to buy consols-British Government bonds or government stock-but Rothschild used the money for his own purposes. With Napoleon on the loose, the opportunities for highly profitable wartime investments were nearly limitless. William returned some time prior to the Battle of Waterloo in 1815. He summoned the Rothschilds and demanded his money back. The Rothschilds returned William's money, with the eight per cent interest the British consols would have paid him had the investment actually been made. But the Rothschilds kept all the vast wartime profits they had made using Wilhelm's money-shady practice in any century. Partly by such practices, Nathan Rothschild was able to brag later that in the 17 years he had been in England he had increased his original £20,000 stake given to him by his father by 2,500 times, i.e., to £50,000,000-a truly vast sum at that time, comparable in purchasing power to billions of US dollars today.

The director of the Prussian Treasury wrote on a visit to London that Nathan Rothschild had as early as 1817: "..., incredible influence upon all financial affairs here in London. It is widely stated.., that he entirely regulates the rate of exchange in the City. His power as a banker is enormous".

Austrian Prince Mettemich's secretary wrote of the Rothschilds, as early as 1818, that: "... they are the richest people in Europe."

By cooperating within the family, using fractional reserve banking techniques, the Rothschilds' banks soon grew unbelievably wealthy. By the mid-1800s, they dominated all European banking and were certainly the wealthiest family in the World. A large part of the profligate nobility of Europe became deeply indebted to them. By virtue of their presence in five nations as bankers, the Rothschilds were effectively autonomous, an entity independent from the nations in which they operated. If one nation's policies were displeasing to them or their interests, they could simply do no further lending there, or lend to those nations or groups opposed to such policies. Only, they knew where their gold and other reserves were located, thus they were shielded from government seizure, penalty, pressure or taxation, effectively making any national investigation or audit meaningless. Only, they knew the extent (or paucity) of their fractional reserves, scattered in five nations-a tremendous advantage over purely national banks engaging in fractional reserve banking. It was precisely their international character that gave the Rothschild banks unique advantages over national banks and governments, and that was precisely what rulers and national parliaments should have prohibited, but did not. This remains true of international or multinational banks to this very day, and is the driving force of globalisation-the push for one-World government. The Rothschilds provided huge loans to establish monopolies in various industries, thereby guaranteeing the borrowers' ability to repay the loans by raising prices without fear of price competition, while increasing the Rothschilds' economic and political power. They financed Cecil Rhodes, making it possible for him to establish a monopoly over the goldfields of South Africa and DeBeers diamonds. In America, they financed the monopolisation of railroads. The National City Bank of Cleveland, which was identified in congressional hearings as one of three Rothschild banks in the United States, provided John D. Rockefeller with the money to begin his monopolisation of the oil refinery business, resulting in the formation of Standard Oil. **Jacob Schiff**, who had been born in the Rothschild Green Shield house in Frankfurt and who was then the principal Rothschild agent in the US, advised Rockefeller and



developed the infamous rebate deal which Rockefeller secretly demanded from railroads shipping competitors' oil. These same railroads were already monopolized by Rothschild control through agents and allies J. P. Morgan and Kuhn, Loeb & Company (Schiff was on the Board) which, together, controlled 95 per cent of all US railroad mileage. By 1850, James Rothschild, the heir of the French branch of the family, was said to be worth 600 million French francs-150 million more than all the other bankers in France put together. Mayer Amschel had established James in Paris in 1812, with capital of \$200,000. At the time of his death in 1868, fifty-six years later, his annual income was \$40,000,000. No fortune in America at that time equaled even one year of James' income.

Referring to James Rothschild, the poet Heinrich Heine said: "Money is the god of our times, and Rothschild is his prophet."

James Rothschild built his fabulous mansion, called Ferrilres, 19 miles north-east of Paris. Wilhelm I, on first seeing it, exclaimed: "Kings couldn't afford this. It could only belong to a Rothschild!"

There is no evidence that the Rothschilds' predominant standing in European or World finance has changed. To the contrary, as their wealth has increased, they have simply increased their passion for anonymity. Their vast holdings rarely bear their name.

Author Frederic Morton wrote that the Rothschilds had: "...conquered the World more thoroughly, more cunningly, and much more lastingly than all the Caesars before..."

So, to recap, a goldsmith named Amshall Moses Bower opened a counting house in Frankfurt Germany in 1743. He placed a Roman eagle on a red shield over the door prompting people to call his shop the Red Shield Firm pronounced in German as "Rothschild". His son later changed his name to Rothschild when he inherited the business. Loaning money to individuals was all well and good but he soon found it much more profitable loaning money to governments and Kings. It always involved much bigger amounts, always secured from public taxes. Once he got the hang of things he set his sights on the World by training his five sons in the art of money creation, before sending them out to the major financial centres of the World to create and dominate the central banking systems. J.P. Morgan was thought by many to be the richest man in the World during the second World war, but upon his death it was discovered he was merely a lieutenant within the Rothschild empire owning only 19% of the J.P. Morgan Companies.

"There is but one power in Europe and that is Rothschild." 19th century French commentator.

We will explore a little more about the richest family a little later, after we've had a look at the American Revolution of 1764-1781.

AC 1791: June: King's portrait engraved on paper money enables citizens of Varennes to recognize and halt the fleeing monarch, King Louis XVI

AC 1816: the first savings bank in the United States, the Philadelphia Savings Fund Society, opened for business.

AC 1836: Coin Press was invented by Franklin Beale, produced first batch of coins for the U.S. Mint.

AC 1862: in U.S., paper money.

The Rothschild's Colonization of India and Looting its Gold

To start with, it's no coincidence that British imperialism expanded further after the Bank of England's Illuminati bankers (who conveniently claim to be Jewish but are actually Luciferians) became established in Britain and realised that they could not easily exploit the rest of the World in the same way they did in England since the usual tactic of infiltration into corridors of power would not work in foreign lands. They therefore simply appropriated the British flag as theirs and used the British as their extensions in non-Western lands (the Rothschilds banking dynasty is the real Crown and now also using the United States for their purpose). The British were dosed with pride in the "empire", "race", "Christianity" and "Queen" whereas it was really Rothschild's empire they were making. The greatest evidence of collusion between Illuminati and early Imperialists is the great friendship between racist colonizer Cecil Rhodes and the house of Rothschild. This has been detailed in Fritz Springmeier's "Bloodlines of the Illuminati". Let's zoom in on the colonization of the Indian subcontinent (India, Pakistan and Bangladesh). The British East India Company who snuck into India under the guise of traders first initiated colonization (and then intensified with the chartering of the Bank of England in 1694 which was owned by William Patterson who also owned the East-India Company). You can read about the Illuminati **Chapter Five: The Council on Foreign Relations** which says that "They were closely related to the Levant Company, and the Anglo-Muscovy Company, and spawned the London Company, which was chartered in 1606 by King James I, to establish the Virginia Plantation on a communistic basis, and the Plymouth Colony in 1621." And again "Every year, 24 Directors were elected by the Court of Proprietors (or shareholders, a majority of which were English Masons)."

They (East India Company) arrived claiming to be traders, but constantly plotted and eventually, colonized the entire continent and plundered its resources; proving to be pirates disguised as businessmen. At that time, India was a fairly prosperous nation, as testified by Lord McCauley, by the time the occupiers people left in 1947/48 it was one of the poorest. Unlike the Mogul rulers that they replaced, they never settled into the country, but pillaged its abundant raw materials, following the footsteps of their Dutch (Dutch East India Company) rivals in Indonesia. The building of roads and railways in India leading to the ports had one central purpose, transporting India's rich raw materials, which gave

employment to your populated cities. The goods manufactured in England were sold back into the Indian market. It made everyone wealthy, except the Indian population who owned those resources in the first place.

Likewise, in South Africa, all the Gold and Diamond mines has effectively been stolen, this naturally made your ancestors very rich but left the native Africans very poor. Then the missionaries tried to sugar-coat the grand theft by selling them the Bible. Those who resisted British Imperialism physically, like the Zulus were no doubt the 'terrorists' of that time, in their own lands, and those who opposed using political means must been the preachers of 'hate'!

Many of the indigenous members who personally benefited from your rule, naturally argued in favour of British Imperialism, there are always profiteers selling their loyalties in every society. However, the Muslim power was still seething, and in 1857, an all-out war of independence broke out. Few know that the British beheaded the sons of the Last Mughal emperor Bahadur Shah Zafar and presented their heads to him on platters! Bahadur Shah was later exiled to Burma where he spent the remainder of his life in a 4'x4' windowless jail cell. Afterwards, there was a grand ball held at the Red Fort.

The Rothschilds ensured that the original solid gold coated spires of the Taj Mahal were shipped off to Britain and replaced with brass ones. Instrumental in the success of the British were rail, telegraph and certain princely states. However, the Muslim power was still seething, and in 1857, an all-out war of independence broke out. Instrumental in the success of the British were rail, telegraph and princely states like the Nizam of Hyderabad. India serves as a great example of how literature and language can be exploited and created for furthering Illuminati interests. Wilkie Collins of the Illuminati Collins bloodline started publishing imaginary tales of Muslim atrocities against Hindus and temple trashing by Muslim rulers in his famous novel, the Moonstone. Hindus who were a non-cohesive group living peacefully with Muslims for years were suddenly pitted against Muslims. An Englishman (from the Jesuit secret society) started the original controversy of the Babri mosque being built on the birthplace of Hindu god Ram. To further distance Muslims from their Persian language and therefore Islamic roots, the British popularized the Urdu language as a mass language for Muslims. The reason was that Urdu while sharing the script of Persian happened to be littered with native Indian words, making it more localized in its nature; and inheriting all the class bias of Indian language as well. Then the British created the Hindi language out of thin air as a national language for Hindus. Hindi was spoken like Urdu but had the script of Sanskrit, a Hindu script which had gone extinct centuries ago. Hindi would make Hindus antagonist to Muslims' and Muslims would be encouraged to abandon Urdu for Hindi, breaking complete contact with the Islamic script. A certain John Gilchrist of Fort William College, Calcutta, directed these language politics. Mr. Gilchrist can be aptly called the father of Hindi language.

For example today, the likes of Khalid Mahmood (Birmingham MP) who passionately supports 'your' (or the US) foreign policies; he supports the actions of your armies that are helping the larger US armed forces to invade nations that have not attacked or threatened the UK or US, consequently, men, women and children in Iraq and Afghanistan have been murdered in their tens of thousands. The latest reports from Iraq, confirmed by humanitarian organisations, [1] highlight that even children are being imprisoned, raped and tortured at the hands of foreign forces, including at Abu-Ghraib, the latest new pictures of which the Pentagon has refused to release. So now you are 'liberating' Iraqi children to deliver them into the clutches of sadists and paedophiles that fill that ranks in your armies, something you can't deny, as the world has seen the Abu Ghraib evidence. From Abu-Ghraib to children, surely you have now excelled Saddam Hussein.

The self-serving individuals in our midst are like the coolies that served your forefathers in the British Raj. They argue in collusion with some of the Muslim "moderates" in favour of deportation, desperately trying to preserve their self-interest. How is it that immigrants are now behaving like those on the far right, by using a language that is racist? Too often, I get asked the same question by those so-called 'free' thinkers with a coolie mindset - why do you live here if you oppose the policies of the British government. Am I obliged to agree with the government on every issue or leave? I thought all citizens were equal and had right to disagree, the right to speak freely against wrongs, which is also an evidence of a free democratic society? In reality questions of "what are you doing here then?" are posed in desperation when they are unable to intellectually answer the arguments posed to them. It would be far more accurate to call such people non-thinkers, the neo-coolies of today who believe the only legitimate view, is that of "their masters."

The so-called 'golden' Dutch 17th century was after Jews expelled from present Portugal and Spain arrived in the present Netherlands. Jews had money and knowledge of trade to the far East and the Americas, the Dutch had the best ships, that is fast, they were built in a short time, and they needed the lowest crew number. For ordinary Dutch the century was not very golden, ships leaving for what now is Indonesia left with some 350 men aboard, IF (not when) they returned they were lucky if 100 had survived. But pepper was so precious at the time when meat rotted soon that it was sold per grain. Overseas trade from W Europe to present Indonesia, India, Sri Lanka and such regions made the overland trade through the Middle East superfluous. The decline of the Ottoman empire thus began.

The second factor in the relative decline of the ME is the absence of coal and iron ore, one needs these substances to make cannon. Churchill in his first book, on the British genocide in 1897 in what now is NE Afghanistan, defined 'superior civilisation' as 'having the most effective weapons. A book on the history of the British empire states that after the British robber trade company had beaten Indian princes in the 17th century 'the local population paid for their own occupation through taxes'. It is not that the British were worse than other European robber nations, they just were more effective, being an island at the time made it possible not to have an army, the navy could be used anywhere, close to home, and far away. Transport over the sea at the time was ten times as cheap as transport overland. The British also were more ruthless, as far as I know there never was a British Multatuli, a man who in a famous novel written at the end of the 19th century that described Dutch colonial brutality. Multatuli, his real name was Eduard Douwes Dekker, is buried in Venice,

we Dutch did not like what he wrote. We even around 1947 fought a bloody colonial war in present Indonesia, we lost while the US was busy with subjugating the whole world.

What exactly was the lure of India which set Columbus sailing? The answer is that the Mughal (muslim) rulers had achieved a sophisticated urban society with a degree of opulence in gold and spices never before seen in history. According to [European Crusades, Christianisation, and Colonisation What happened to India when the British arrived?](#) "A report on Indian Industrial Commission published in 1919 said that the industrial development of India was at any rate not inferior to that of the most advanced European nations. India was not only a great agricultural country but also a great manufacturing country. "It was the lure of Gold which attracted the Illuminati. The British traders were not allowed to own property in India but one of them managed to gain the favors of Mughal emperor Aurangzeb by curing his ill wife. In return, he asked for land. This piece of land became the Madras Headquarters of the East India Company. After that, the East India company used the policy of divide and rule, creating divisions and pitting one group against the other. Robert Clive was an ambitious Englishman. Though not Illuminati, his ambitions made him a pawn in the hands of the Illuminati and his efforts are single handedly credited with establishing a British empire in India. Yet, once he succeeded in doing that, The Illuminati had him removed like a hair in the butter; even had him court-martialed and replaced with one of their operatives! 'The vacuum created by the contrived ruin of the Indian handicraft industries, a process virtually completed by 1880, was filled with British manufactured goods. Britain's industrial revolution, with its explosive increase in productivity made it essential for British capitalists to find new markets. India turned from exporter of textile to importer.'

As Indian Hindus still didn't have much of a concept of idealism or nationhood and the masses generally considered the new rulers not much different from the previous rulers for the last 1000 years, the British recognised their greatest enemy as Muslims and Mughal rulers. The Mughals still existed but their power had waned to within Delhi. A new threat arose in the South where a pious Muslim ruler, Tipu Sultan bearing the ancestry of the Prophet Muhammad, decided to unite all local powers against the British. He fought bravely but, due to not receiving the expected support from the Nizam of Hyderabad, had to sign a humiliating treaty under which the Illuminati took his children as a security deposit! Lord Cornwallis (Illuminati) was involved in signing of the treaty. (Yes, he's the same Cornwallis we later saw colonizing America). Despite these hardships, Tipu fought back and died with a sword in clutched in his hand surrounded by the bodies of dozens of Englishmen he had overpowered. After Tipu's murder in 1799, it was open season on India. The Rothschilds ordered the British to snatch and ship out every piece of gold they could lay their hands on. They started annexing princely states left and right. Lord Dalhousie (Illuminati) was appointed Governor General in 1848. Though there is a University built in Halifax in his name, few know that he started a policy by which any princely state devoid of official heirs would be annexed by the Rothschild British agents. This policy proved very successful.

Although there is no solid evidence that Lord McCauley said the following in a speech Feb 2, 1835, to the British Parliament, however, it embodies the diabolical Rothschild plan for India: "I have traveled across the length and breadth of India and I have not seen one person who is a beggar or who is a thief. Such wealth I have seen in this country, such high moral values & people of such calibre, that do not think we would ever conquer this country, unless we break the very backbone of this nation, which is her spiritual and cultural heritage."

"Every civil building connected with Mahomedan tradition should be levelled to the ground without regard to antiquarian veneration or artistic predilection." -**British Prime Minister Palmerston's** Letter No. 9 dated 9 October 1857, to Lord Canning, Viceroy of India, Canning Papers.

Languages were not the only tools the Illuminati created. Realising the danger posed by the call for working for their freedom from British occupation during Muslim Friday sermons, the British created a several bogus versions of Islam complete with their very own false prophets! This new cult would preach a "Colonial Occupation friendly version of Islam" and at the same time engage in assassinations of real Muslim intellectuals. Illuminati Masonist/racist Rudyard Kipling would settle in India to further provoke the English to further colonialism by penning racist epithets like 'White Man's burden' (should be called "Rothschild's burden"). Kipling's seemingly innocent novels contain subtle anti-Islamism and his epic "Jungle Boy" carries the Disney- Illuminati theme of man and civilisation being shunned for animals. Kipling would even attempt to justify colonialism by pointing towards the British instruments of plunder such as railroads, telegraphs and the ports of Bombay, Calcutta and Madras as some landmarks of civilisation which the Indians could not construct themselves. Such a claim is dubious since the India on the eve of British arrival was a prosperous one; and there is no way to tell how things would have been in the absence of the British. What can be said is that the Indians failed to offer the illuminati effective resistance; and were no match to their cold-blooded calculations. A very negative legacy left behind by the British was the culture of bribery and corruption in the administration lower levels. Since this corruption translated into very little when compared to the Illuminati stakes in India, The British preferred to keep an administration of bribe taking yes men rather than focus on clean administration. We find this culture continues to thrive in all areas of British imperialism; India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Egypt and Nigeria.

[The Opium Wars With China](#)

Hidden Holocausts: The Opium War – Today's hidden Holocaust is the war against Arabs and Muslims.
http://www.fiba-filmbank.org/dev/fiba_2003/opium_wr/fiba_04_opium_war.html

India became the focal point of the Opium Wars waged by The Crown for money and power. There are reports that opium, which was bringing the decay of Oriental society and brought the downfall of the Chinese empire was actually being

cultivated by the British in Patna and Afghanistan. The British did not sell it to Indians however. Instead they started giving away free tea at railway stations. Once the Indian population was hooked, they put a price on it. Realizing the need for local Indian Illuminati operatives, Masonry made its entry into India. The first Lodge was established at Fort William, Calcutta in 1730. (The same fort William from which Hindi arose). Interestingly, its coat of arms was the lion on the seal of the British East India Company! Masonry was gradually established in all major Indian urban focal points. The Opium War, also called the Anglo-Chinese War, was the most humiliating defeat China ever suffered. In European history, it is perhaps the most sordid, base, and vicious event in European history, possibly, just possibly, overshadowed by the excesses of the Third Reich and Lenin/Stalin and The Allies in the twentieth century. By the 1830's, the English had become the major drug-trafficking (in addition to slave trading) criminal organization in the World; very few drug cartels of the twentieth century can even touch the England of the early nineteenth century in sheer size of criminality. One wonders what is going on today in Afghanistan, another opium war?

The British wanted Emperor Tao Kwong to legalize opium and collect a tariff, but he replied, "It is true I can not prevent the introduction of the flowing poison; gain-seeking and corrupt men will for profit and sensuality defeat my wishes, but nothing will induce me to derive a revenue from the vice and misery of my people." According to Boothe, three of the Emperor's sons became addicts and the drug killed each one. Queen Victoria was The Opium Queen -- read on... <http://www.the7thfire.com/Victoria/DrugTrade.html> "Justice, in my opinion is with them; and whilst they, the Pagans, the semi-civilized barbarians, have it on their side, we, the enlightened and civilized Christians, are pursuing objects at variance both with justice and with religion...a war more unjust in its origin, a war calculated in its progress to cover this country with a permanent disgrace, I do not know and I have not read of." said William Gladstone, then a member of the Tory opposition -- regarding the Opium Wars on China <http://www.pekingduck.org/archives/003057.php> . Note the statement by a British MP: "Pagans, semi-civilized barbarians". Such a statement is actually a Freudian self-projection by the self-proclaimed "enlightened and civilized British"! "There is not in the history of any country, nor in criminal annals anywhere a record of crimes so shameful, so callous, so vile as England's opium war or England's present opium trade, or the rape of the Boer Republics, of the crimes in India and in Persia and in Ireland and in Egypt, of Amritsar and of Congo." O'Connell Fenian -- an Irish-American attorney and director of the American Friends of Irish Freedom http://gothamimage.blogspot.com/2005_08_28_gothamimage_archive.html


"These crimes against humanity were the real foundation of the British Empire which is really the owners of the Bank of England who print paper money from nothing thus usurping the credit from the people. For the Chinese, the Opium Wars of 1840 and again in 1856 were catastrophic. This 2000 year old civilisation, remarkable for its rich culture and relative equanimity, was unable to match the military technology of its oppressor, a warring nation that had, in contrast, acquired an empire overnight, as a result of its ruthlessness, exploitation and conquest...." By the 1830's, the English had become the major drug-trafficking criminal organization in the World; very few drug cartels of the twentieth century can even touch the England of the early nineteenth century in sheer size of criminality. Growing opium in India, the East India Company shipped tons of opium into Canton which it traded for Chinese manufactured goods and for tea. This trade had produced, quite literally, a country filled with drug addicts, as opium parlors proliferated all throughout China in the early part of the nineteenth century. This trafficking, it should be stressed, was a criminal activity after 1836, but the British traders generously bribed Canton officials in order to keep the opium traffic flowing. The effects on Chinese society were devastating. In fact, there are few periods in Chinese history that approach the early nineteenth century in terms of pure human misery and tragedy. In an effort to stem the tragedy, the imperial government made opium illegal in 1836 and began to aggressively close down the opium dens.

The key player in the prelude to war was a brilliant and highly moral official named Lin Tse-hsü. Deeply concerned about the opium menace, he maneuvered himself into being appointed Imperial Commissioner at Canton. His express purpose was to cut off the opium trade at its source by rooting out corrupt officials and cracking down on British trade in the drug. He took over in March of 1839 and within two months, absolutely invulnerable to bribery and corruption, he had taken action against Chinese merchants and Western traders and shut down all the traffic in opium. He destroyed all the existing stores of opium and, victorious in his war against opium, he composed a letter to Queen Victoria of England requesting that the British cease all opium trade. His letter included the argument that, since Britain had made opium trade and consumption illegal in England because of its harmful effects, it should not export that harm to other countries. Trade, according to Lin, should only be in beneficial objects. The British, however, had been nursing several grievances against China, and Lin's take-no-prisoners enforcement of Chinese laws combined to outrage the British against his decapitation of the opium trade. The most serious bone of contention involved treaty relations; because the British refused to submit to the emperor, there were no formal treaty relations between the two countries. The most serious problem precipitated by this lack of treaty relations involved the relationship between foreigners and Chinese law. The British, on principle, refused to hand over British citizens to a Chinese legal system that they felt was vicious and barbaric. The Chinese, equally principled, demanded that all foreigners who were accused of committing crimes on Chinese soil were to be dealt with solely by Chinese officials. In addition to enforcing the opium laws, Lin aggressively pursued foreign nationals accused of crimes and rightly so.

The English, despite Lin's eloquent letter, refused to back down from the opium trade. In response, Lin threatened to cut off all trade with England and expel all English from China. Thus began the Opium War. War broke out when Chinese junks attempted to turn back English merchant vessels in November of 1839; although this was a low-level conflict, it inspired the English to send warships in June of 1840. The Chinese, with old-style weapons and artillery, were no match for the British gunships, which ranged up and down the coast shooting at forts and fighting on land. The Chinese were equally unprepared for the technological superiority of the British land armies, and suffered continual defeats. Finally, in 1842, the Chinese were forced to agree to an ignominious peace under the Treaty of Nanking. The treaty imposed on the

Chinese was weighted entirely to the British side. Its first and fundamental demand was for British "extraterritoriality"; all British citizens would be subjected to British, not Chinese, law if they committed any crime on Chinese soil. The British would no longer have to pay tribute to the imperial administration in order to trade with China, and they gained five open ports for British trade: Canton, Shanghai, Foochow, Ningpo, and Amoy. No restrictions were placed on British trade, and, as a consequence, opium trade more than doubled in the three decades following the Treaty of Nanking. The treaty also established England as the "most favored nation" trading with China; this clause granted to Britain any trading rights granted to other countries. Two years later, China, against its will, signed similar treaties with France and the United States.

Lin Tse-hsü was officially disgraced for his actions in Canton and was sent to a remote appointment in Turkestan. Of all the imperial officials, however, Lin was the first to realize the momentous lesson of the Opium War. In a series of letters he began to agitate the imperial government to adopt Western technology, arms, and methods of warfare. He was first to see that the war was about technological superiority; his influence, however, had dwindled to nothing, so his admonitions fell on deaf ears. It wasn't until a second conflict with England that Chinese officials began to take seriously the adoption of Western technologies. Even with the Treaty of Nanking, trade in Canton and other ports remained fairly restricted; the British were incensed by what they felt was clear treaty violations. The Chinese, for their part, were angered at the wholesale export of Chinese nationals to America and the Caribbean to work at what was no better than slave labor. These conflicts came to a head in 1856 in a series of skirmishes that ended in 1860. A second set of treaties further humiliated and weakened the imperial government. The most ignominious of the provisions in these treaties was the complete legalization of opium and the humiliating provision that allowed for the free and unrestricted propagation of Christianity in all regions of China.



China's defeat at the hands of England led to the publication of the Illustrated Gazetteer of Maritime Countries by Wei Yüan (1794-1856). The Gazetteer marks the first landmark event in the modernization of China. Wei Yüan, a distinguished but minor official, argued in the Gazetteer that the Europeans had developed technologies and methods of warfare in their ceaseless and barbaric quest for power, profit, and material wealth. Civilization, represented by China, was in danger of falling to the technological superiority of the Western powers. Because China is a peaceful and civilized nation, it can overcome the West only if it learns and matches the technology and techniques of the West. The purpose of the Gazetteer was to disseminate knowledge about the Europeans, their technologies, their methods of warfare, and their selfish anarchy to learned officials. It is a landmark event in Chinese history, for it was the first systematic attempt to educate the Chinese in Western technologies and culture. This drive for modernization, begun by Lin Tse-hsü and perpetuated by Wei Yüan would gain momentum and emerge as the basis for the "Self-Strengthening" from 1874 to 1895. <http://www.wsu.edu/~dee/CHING/OPIUM.HTM>

[The American Revolution \(1764 - 1781\)](#)

By the mid 1700's Britain was at its height of power, but was also heavily in debt. Since the creation of the Bank of England, they had suffered four costly wars and the total debt now stood at £140,000,000, (which in those days was a lot of money). In order to make their interest payments to the bank, the British government set about a programme to try to raise revenues from their American colonies, largely through an extensive program of taxation. There was a shortage of material for minting coins in the colonies, so they began to print their own paper money, which they called Continental Colonial Scrip. This provided a very successful means of exchange and also gave the colonies a sense of identity. Colonial Scrip was money provided to help the exchange of goods. It was debt free paper money not backed by gold or silver. The Bank of England asked **Benjamin Franklin** how he would account for the new found prosperity in the colonies. **Franklin replied**: "That is simple. In the colonies we issue our own money. It is called Colonial Scrip. We issue it in proper proportion to the demands of trade and industry to make the products pass easily from the producers to the consumers. In this manner, creating for ourselves our own paper money, we control its purchasing power, and we have no interest to pay to no one."

America had learned that the people's confidence in the currency was all they needed, and they could be free of borrowing debts. That would mean being free of the Bank of England. In response, the World's most powerful independent bank used its influence on the British Parliament to press for the passing of the Currency Act of 1764. This act made it illegal for the colonies to print their own money, and forced them to pay all future taxes to Britain in silver or gold. Here is **what Benjamin Franklin said** after that: "In one year, the conditions were so reversed that the era of prosperity ended, and a depression set in, to such an extent that the streets of the Colonies were filled with unemployed." "The colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters had it not been that England took away from the colonies their money, which created unemployment and dissatisfaction. The inability of the colonists to get power to issue their own money permanently out of the hands of George III and the international Bankers was the PRIME reason for the Revolutionary War." **Benjamin Franklin's autobiography**

By the time the war began on 19th April 1775 much of the gold and silver had been taken by British taxation. They were left with no other choice but to print money to finance the war. What is interesting here is that Colonial Scrip was actually working so well, it became a threat to the established economic system of the time. The idea of issuing money as Franklin put it "in proper proportion to the demands of trade and industry" and not charging any interest, was not causing any problems or inflation. This unfortunately was alien to the Bank of England which only issued money for the sake of making a profit for it's shareholder's.

[More on The American Revolution, the "Bank" of Rome and Benjamin Franklin](#)

Now let's take a look again at the results the Bank of England produced on the British economy and how, later, this was the root cause of the American Revolution. By the mid-1700s, the British Empire was approaching its height of power around the World. Britain had fought four wars in Europe since the creation of its privately owned central bank, the Bank of England. The cost had been high. To finance these wars the British Parliament, rather than issuing its own debt-free currency, had borrowed heavily from the bank. By the mid-1700s, the British Government's debt amounted to £140,000,000—a staggering sum for those days. Consequently, the government embarked on a program of trying to raise revenues from its American colonies in order to make the interest payments to the bank. But in America it was a different story. The scourge of a privately owned central bank had not yet landed in America, though the Bank of England exerted its baneful influence over the American colonies after 1694. Four years earlier, in 1690, the Massachusetts Bay colony had printed its own paper money—the first in America—and was followed in 1703 by South Carolina and then by other colonies. In the mid-1700s, pre-revolutionary America was still relatively poor. There was a severe shortage of precious metal coins to trade for goods, so the early colonists were increasingly forced to experiment with printing their own home-grown paper money. Some of these experiments were successful. Tobacco was used as money in some colonies, with success. In 1720, every colonial Royal Governor was instructed to curtail the issue of colonial money, but this was largely unsuccessful. In 1742, the British Resumption Act required that taxes and other debts be paid in gold. This caused a depression in the colonies, and the rich for one-tenth its value seized property on foreclosure.

Benjamin Franklin was a big supporter of the colonies printing their own money. In 1757, Franklin was sent to London to fight for colonial paper money. He ended up staying for the next 18 years—nearly until the start of the American Revolution. During this period, more American colonies ignored Parliament and began to issue their own money, called 'Continental colonial scrip'. The endeavor was successful, with notable exceptions. Colonial scrip provided a reliable medium of exchange and it also helped provide a feeling of unity between the colonies. Remember, most Colonial Scrip was just paper money, debt-free money, printed in the public interest and not really backed by gold or silver coin. In other words, it was a fiat currency. Officials of the Bank of England asked **Franklin** how he would account for the newfound prosperity of the colonies. Without hesitation he replied: "That is simple. In the colonies, we issue our own money. It is called Colonial Scrip. We issue it in proper proportion to the demands of trade and industry to make the products pass easily from the producers to the consumers... In this manner, creating for ourselves our own paper money, we control its purchasing power, and we have no interest to pay to no one."

This was just common sense to Franklin, but you can imagine the impact it had at the Bank of England. America had learned the secret of money, and that genie had to be returned to its bottle as soon as possible. Therefore, Parliament hurriedly passed the Currency Act of 1764. This prohibited colonial officials from issuing their own money, and ordered them to pay all future taxes in gold or silver coins. In other words, it forced the colonies onto a gold and silver standard. This initiated the first intense phase of the First Bank War in America, which ended in defeat for the Money Changers, beginning with the Declaration of Independence and concluding with the subsequent peace deal, the Treaty of Paris, in 1783. For those who believe that a gold standard is the answer for America's current monetary problems, look what happened to America after the Currency Act of 1764 was passed. In his autobiography, **Franklin wrote**: "In one year the conditions were so reversed that the era of prosperity ended and a depression set in, to such an extent that the streets of the Colonies were filled with unemployed." **Benjamin Franklin claims** that this was even the basic cause of the American Revolution. As Franklin put it in his autobiography: "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters had it not been that England took away from the Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dissatisfaction."

In 1774, Parliament passed the Stamp Act which required that a stamp be placed on every instrument of commerce, indicating payment of tax in gold—which again threatened the colonial paper money. Less than two weeks later, the Massachusetts Committee of Safety passed a resolution directing the issuance of more colonial currency and honoring the currency of other Colonies. On 10 and 22 June 1775, the Congress of the Colonies resolved to issue \$2 million in paper money based on the credit and faith of the "United Colonies". This flew in the face of the Bank of England and Parliament. It constituted an act of defiance, a refusal to accept a monetary system unjust to the people of the colonies. Thus the bills of credit [i.e., paper money] which historians with ignorance or prejudice have belittled as instruments of reckless financial policy were really the standards of the Revolution. They were more than this: they were the Revolution itself.

Alexander Del Mar, historian: By the time the first shots were fired in Concord and Lexington, Massachusetts, on 19 April 1775, the colonies had been drained of gold and silver coin by British taxation. Consequently, the continental government had no choice but to print its own paper money to finance the war. At the start of the Revolution, the American colonial money supply stood at \$12 million. By the end of the war, it was nearly \$500 million. This was partly a result of massive British counterfeiting. Consequently, the currency was virtually worthless. Shoes sold for 55,000 a pair.

As George Washington lamented: "A wagon load of money will scarcely purchase a wagon load of provisions."

Earlier, colonial scrip had worked because just enough was issued to facilitate trade, and counterfeiting was minimal. Today, those who support a gold-backed currency point to this period during the Revolution to demonstrate the evils of a fiat currency. But remember, the same currency had worked so well 20 years earlier during times of peace that the Bank of England had Parliament outlaw it, and during the war the British deliberately sought to -undermine it by counterfeiting it in England and shipping it 'by the bale' to the colonies. England possessed the fairest portion of the earth in the American Colonies but lost them all because of the greed of the moneylenders. The early colonists were Protestant

Christians who hated usury and money lending. They were mostly poor and brought little ready money with them from Europe. There were no gold or silver mines so each Colony issued non interest bearing paper notes. The blessing of God and their hard work soon enabled them to overtake the mother country in industry, commerce and wealth. The greedy moneylenders of the Bank of England soon cast covetous eyes on the Colonies. Their first attempt to destroy the Americans with usury was made in 1765. It was called the [Stamp Act](#). Payment of various taxes was required in specie or coin. Since they had no English coins to pay the tax that meant that they would have to borrow at usury from the Bank. Soon they too would be reduced to penury, pauperism, and destitution like their cousins in England.

Benjamin Franklin said that the American war for Independence was fought over money and currency (like every war) and the right of the Colonies to issue their own usury free currency apart from the Bank of England, i.e. the Revolution was caused by the Bank of England: "That is simple. In the Colonies, we issue our own paper money. It is called 'Colonial Scrip.' We issue it in proper proportion to make the goods and pass easily from the producers to the consumers. In this manner, creating ourselves our own paper money, we control its purchasing power and we have no interest to pay to no one." ([Benjamin Franklin on Colonial Scrip](#)). "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters had it not been the poverty caused by the bad influence of the English Bankers on the Parliament, which has caused in the Colonies hatred of England and the Revolutionary War." ([Benjamin Franklin on Colonial Scrip](#)) "9th. That the duties imposed by several late acts of Parliament, from the peculiar circumstances of these colonies, will be extremely burthensome and grievous, and, from the scarcity of specie (coins), the payment of them absolutely impracticable." ([The Declaration of Rights of the Stamp Act Congress](#))



After the Revolution, the Bank of England still retained a stranglehold on the country by the chartering of the First Bank of the U.S. in 1791. The charter was to last for 20 years and expire in 1811. Congress refused to renew the charter and war was declared by the Bank of England in 1812. **General Jackson said** this about the [2nd Bank of the U.S.](#) which was re-chartered in 1816: "YOU ARE A DEN OF VIPERS AND THIEVES. I INTEND TO ROUT YOU OUT, AND BY THE ETERNAL GOD I WILL ROUT YOU OUT." And our HERO did exactly as he promised. He refused to renew the charter of the 2nd Bank and it died an unnatural death in 1836. The people were finally free of the Bank of England alias the "Bank" of Rome until the Civil War began in 1861. General Andrew Jackson was the hero of the war of 1812. He also won the war against the crooked banksters.

[The Bank Of North America \(1781-1785\)](#)

If you can't beat them, join them, might well have been his argument when arms dealer, Robert Morris suggested he be allowed to set up a Bank of England style central bank in the USA in 1781. Desperate for money, the \$400,000 he proposed to deposit, to allow him to loan out many times that through fractional reserve banking, must have looked really attractive to the impoverished American Government. Already spending the money they would be loaned, no one made a fuss when Robert Morris couldn't raise the deposit, and instead suggested he might use some gold, which had been loaned to America from France. Once in, he simply used fractional reserve banking, and with the banks growing fortune he loaned to himself, and his friends the money to buy up all the remaining shares. The bank then began to loan out money multiplied by this new amount to eager politicians, who were probably too drunk with the new 'power cash' to notice or care how it was done. The scam lasted five years until in 1785, with the value of American money dropping like a lead balloon. The banks charter did not get renewed. The shareholder's walking off with the interest did not go unnoticed by the governor.

Governor Morris: "The rich will strive to establish their dominion and enslave the rest. They always did. They always will... They will have the same effect here as elsewhere, if we do not, by (the power of) government, keep them in their proper spheres."

[First Bank Of The United States \(1791-1811\)](#)

Towards the end of the Revolution, the continental Congress, meeting at Independence Hall in Philadelphia, grew desperate for money. In 1781, they allowed Robert Morris, their Financial Superintendent, to open a privately owned central bank in the hope that this would help. Incidentally, Morris was a wealthy man who had grown wealthier during the Revolution by trading in war materials. The new bank, the Bank of North America, was closely modeled on the Bank of England. It was allowed to practise (or rather, it was not prohibited from practicing) fractional reserve banking; that is, it could lend out money it didn't have, then charge interest on it. If you or I were to do that, we would be charged with fraud-a felony. Few understood this practice at the time, and, of course, it was concealed from the public and politicians as much as possible. Further, the bank was given a monopoly on issuing banknotes, acceptable in payment of taxes. The bank's charter called for private investors to put up \$400,000 worth of initial capital. But when Morris was unable to raise the money, he brazenly used his political influence to have gold deposited in the bank-gold, which had been lent to America by France. He then lent this money to himself and his friends to reinvest in shares of the bank. The Second American Bank War was on and the Rothschilds were behind it as was commonly known in Europe. Soon, the dangers became clear. The value of American currency continued to plummet. Four years later, in 1785, the bank's charter was not renewed, effectively ending the threat of the bank's power. Thus, the Second American Bank War quickly ended in defeat for the Money Changers. The leader of the successful effort to kill the bank was a patriot named William Findley, from Pennsylvania.

William Findley explained the problem this way: "This institution, having no principle but that of avarice, will never be varied in its object... to engross all the wealth, power and influence of the state." Plutocracy, once established, will corrupt the legislature so that laws will be made in its favour, and the administration of justice will favour the rich only."

The men behind the Bank of North America-Alexander Hamilton, Robert Morris, and the Bank's President, Thomas Willing-did not give up. Only six years later, Hamilton, then Secretary of the Treasury, and his mentor, Morris, rammed a new privately owned central bank, the First Bank of the United States, through the new Congress. Thomas Willing again served as the bank's president. The players were the same, only the name of the bank was changed. It worked once, it will work again. It's been six years. There are a lot of new hungry politicians. Let's give it a try. And so there it was, in 1791, the First Bank of the United States (BUS). Not only deceptively named to sound official, but also to take attention away from the real first bank which had been shut down. Its initials however gave a clear indication that Americans were once again being taken for a ride. And true to its British model, the name of the investors was never revealed. Although it was called the First Bank of the U.S., it was not the first attempt at a privately-owned central bank in this country. As with the first two, the Bank of England and the Bank of North America, the government put up the cash to get this private bank going, then the bankers loaned that money to each other to buy the remaining stock in the bank, i.e. the stockholders never paid the full amount for their shares. The U.S. government put up their initial \$2,000,000 in cash, then the Bank through the old magic of fractional reserve lending, made loans to its charter investors so they could come up with the remaining \$8,000,000 in capital needed for this risk-free investment. Like the Bank of England, the name of the Bank of the United States was deliberately chosen to hide the fact that it was privately controlled. And like the Bank of England, the names of the investors in the Bank were never revealed.

1790: Amshal (Amschel) Mayer Rothschild "Let me issue & control a nation's money..."

"Under the surface, the Rothschilds long had a powerful influence in dictating American financial laws. The law records show that they were the power in the old Bank of the United States" - **Myers, History of the Great American Fortunes**. Having gotten away with it a second time, some of them probably wished **Amshel Rothschild** had picked a different time to make his pronouncement from his private central bank in Frankfurt. "Let me issue and control a nation's money and I care not who writes the laws." Not to worry, no one was listening, the American government borrowed 8.2 million dollars from the bank in the first 5 years and prices rose by 72%. This time round the money changers had learned their lesson they had guaranteed a twenty year charter. The president **Thomas Jefferson**, who could see an ever increasing debt, with no chance of ever paying back, had this to say: "I wish it were possible to obtain a single amendment to our Constitution - taking from the federal government their power of borrowing." We should remember the words of **Thomas Jefferson**: "If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency...the banks and the corporations which grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered." While the independent press, who had not been bought off yet, called the scam "a great swindle, a vulture, a viper, and a cobra." As with the real first bank, the government had been the only depositor to put up any real money, with the remainder being raised from loans the investors made to each other, using the magic of fractional reserve banking. When time came for renewal of the charter, the Bankers were warning of bad times ahead if they didn't get what they wanted. The charter was not renewed. Five months later Britain had attacked America and started the war of 1812. In 1787, colonial leaders assembled in Philadelphia to replace the ailing Articles of Confederation. As we saw earlier, both Thomas Jefferson and James Madison were unalterably opposed to a privately owned central bank. They had seen the problems caused by the Bank of England. They wanted nothing of it.

Many believed that the Tenth Amendment, which reserved powers to the states which were not delegated to the federal government by the Constitution, made the issuance of paper money by the federal government unconstitutional, since the power to issue paper money was not specifically delegated to the federal Government in the Constitution. The Constitution is silent on this point. However, the Constitution specifically forbade the individual States to "emit bills of credit" (paper money). Most of the framers intended the Constitution's silence to keep the new federal government from having the power to authorize paper money creation. **Indeed, the Journal of the Convention for 16 August reads as follows:** "It was moved and seconded to strike out the words 'and emit bills of credit and the motion... passed in the affirmative."

But Hamilton and his banker friends saw this silence as an opportunity for keeping the government out of paper money creation which they hoped to monopolise privately. So both bankers and anti-banking delegates, for opposing motives, supported leaving any federal government authority for paper money creation out of the Constitution, by a four-to-one margin. This ambiguity left the door open for the Money Changers-just as they had planned. Of course, paper money was not itself the main problem. **Fractional reserve lending was the greater problem**, since it multiplied any inflation caused by excessive paper currency issuance by several times. But this was not understood by many, whereas the evils of excessive paper currency issuance were. In their belief that prohibiting paper currency was a good end, the framers were well advised. Prohibiting all paper currency would have severely limited the fractional reserve banking then practised, since the use of checks was minimal and arguably would have been prohibited as well. But bank loans, created as book entries, were not addressed and so were not prohibited. As it happened, the federal and state governments were widely regarded as prohibited from paper money creation, whereas private banks were not-it being argued that this power, by not being specifically prohibited, was reserved for the people (including legal persons, such as incorporated banks). In 1790, less than three years after the Constitution had been signed, the Money Changers struck again. The newly appointed first Secretary of the Treasury, Alexander Hamilton, proposed a bill to the Congress, calling for a new privately owned central bank. **Coincidentally, 1790 was the very year that Mayer Rothschild made his pronouncement from his flagship bank in Frankfurt:** "Let me issue and control a nation's money and I care not who writes its laws."

Alexander Hamilton was a tool of the international bankers. He wanted to create another private central bank, the Bank of the United States, and did so. He convinced Washington to sign the bill, despite Washington's reservations and Jefferson's and Madison's opposition. To win over Washington, Hamilton developed the "implied powers" argument used so often since to eviscerate the Constitution. Jefferson correctly predicted the dire consequences of opening such a Pandora's box, which would allow judges to "imply" whatever they wished. Interestingly, one of Hamilton's first jobs after graduating from law school in 1782 was as an aide to Robert Morris, the head of the Bank of North America. **In fact, Hamilton had written a letter, saying:** "A national debt, if it is not excessive, will be to us a national blessing." A "blessing" to whom? Who is us? The owners of the private central bank of course!

After a year of intense debate, in 1791 Congress passed Hamilton's bank bill and gave it a 20 year charter. The new bank was to be called the First Bank of the United States, or FBUS. Thus the Third American Bank War began. The First Bank of the United States was headquartered in Philadelphia. The bank was given authority to print currency and make loans based on fractional reserves, although private investors would hold 80 per cent of its stock. The other 20 per cent would be purchased by the US Government, but the reason was not to give the government a piece of the action: it was to provide the initial capital for the other 80 per cent owners. As with the old Bank of North America and the Bank of England before that, the stockholders never paid the full amount for their shares. The US Government put up its initial \$2,000,000 in cash; then the bank, through the old magic of fractional reserve lending, made loans to its charter investors so they could come up with the remaining \$8,000,000 in capital needed for this risk-free investment. As with the Bank of England, the name of bank-the Bank of the United States-was deliberately chosen to hide the fact that it was privately controlled. And, as in the case of the Bank of England, the names of the investors in the bank were never revealed. The bank was promoted to Congress as a way to bring stability to the banking system and to eliminate inflation. So what happened? Over the first five years, the US Government borrowed \$8.2 million from the First Bank of the United States. In that period, prices rose by 72 per cent.

Jefferson, the new Secretary of State, watched the borrowing with sadness and frustration, unable to stop it: "I wish it were possible to obtain a single amendment to our Constitution, taking from the federal government the power of borrowing."

President Adams denounced the issuance of private banknotes as a fraud upon the public. He was supported in this view by all conservative opinion of his time. Why continue to farm out to private banks, for nothing, a prerogative of government?

Millions of Americans feel the same way today. They watch in helpless frustration as the federal government borrows the American taxpayer into oblivion, borrowing from private banks and the rich, the money the government has the authority to issue itself, without debt. (now we know why liberals and globalists always scream: "we don't want government control, we don't want governments to be involved") So, although it was called the First Bank of the United States, was not the first attempt at a privately owned central bank in the US. As with the first two, the Bank of England and the Bank of North America, the government put up the cash to get this private bank going, then the bankers lent that money to each other to buy the remaining stock in the bank. It was a scam, plain and simple---and they wouldn't be able to get away with it for long. Meanwhile a short time earlier, an independent Rothschild business, the Bank of France, was being looked upon with suspicion by none other than Napoleon.

[Napoleon Cancels Debts and Usury \(1803 - 1825\)](#)

Napoleon, a sympathizer for the international bankers, turned against them in the last years of his rule. He said: "When a government is dependent upon bankers for money, they and not the leaders of the government control the situation, since the hand that gives is above the hand that takes... Money has no motherland; financiers are without patriotism and without decency; their sole object is gain."

Napoleon Bonaparte didn't trust the bank saying: "The hand that gives is above the hand that takes. Money has no motherland; financiers are without patriotism and without decency: their sole object is gain."

Next, we have to travel back to Europe to see how a single man was able to manipulate the entire British economy by obtaining the first news of Napoleon's final defeat. In Paris in 1800, the Bank of France was organised, along similar lines to the Bank of England. But Napoleon decided France had to break free of debt. He never trusted the Bank of France, even when he put some of his own relatives on the governing board.

Napoleon declared that when a government is dependent upon bankers for money, the bankers-not the leaders of the government-are in control: "The hand that gives is above the hand that takes. Money has no motherland; financiers are without patriotism and without decency: their sole object is gain." He clearly saw the dangers, but did not see the proper safeguards or solution.

Back in America, unexpected help was about to arrive. In 1800, Thomas Jefferson narrowly defeated John Adams to become the third President of the United States. By 1803, Jefferson and Napoleon had struck a deal. The US would give Napoleon \$3,000,000 in gold, in exchange for a huge chunk of territory west of the Mississippi River: the Louisiana Purchase. With that three million dollars in gold, Napoleon quickly forged an army and set off across Europe, conquering everything in his path. But England and the Bank of England quickly rose to oppose him. They financed every nation in his

path, reaping the enormous profits of war. Prussia, Austria and finally Russia all went heavily into debt in a futile attempt to stop Napoleon.

In 1804, Napoleon declared himself Emperor and appointed his three brothers as Kings of Naples, Holland, and Westphalia. Mayer Amschel's five sons were simultaneously sent to various European capitals: Amschel (first-born), at home - in Frankfurt; Salomon, to Vienna; Nathan (smartest) to London; Karl, to Naples; James (last-born), to Paris. The Rothschilds became linchpins in a giant financial octopus, naming Switzerland to be kept neutral and Geneva to be the capital of their empire" of industrial cartels including "munition plants, mining industry, chemical plants, etc. (Pawns In The Game" p.42). Four years later, with the main French Army in Russia, thirty years old Nathan Rothschild-the head of the London office of the Rothschild family-personally took charge of a bold plan to smuggle a much-needed shipment of gold right through France to finance an attack from Spain by Britain's Duke of Wellington. Nathan later bragged at a dinner party in London that it was the best business he'd ever done. He made money on each step of the shipment. Little did he know then that he would do much better business in the near future.

More importantly, the Rothschild family manipulated the stock market and thus gained control over the main Central Banks of Europe, the prime example being that of the Bank of England. By means of cutting Napoleon's supplies (but increasing his opponent Wellington's funding) and triggering an English stock market crash, Nathan got rid of the problematic Napoleon and made a financial "killing"! And thus, "Through their dealings, by 1820 the Rothschilds had amassed a fortune exceeding 5 billion pounds' ("God - the Ultimate Paradox", page 115; Sir W. Scott's "Life of Napoleon", in State Library, on microfiche) The Rothschild family even had an intelligence network (later becoming the Israeli Mossad) which used Hebrew letters as a code and was fast! In 1829, a New York Illuminati meeting was addressed by a British Illuminist named Wright. He informed those present that Atheist groups were to be united with Russian Nihilist and other subversive groups into an international movement called "Communism" which would be used to foment wars. "Clinton Roosevelt (a direct ancestor of FDR),..." and others were appointed to raise funds which "... financed Karl Marx and Engels when they wrote 'Das Capital, and the 'Communist Manifesto, in Soho, England.'" ("Pawns In The Game", page XIV) Wellington's attacks from the south, and other defeats, eventually forced Napoleon to abdicate. Louis XVIII was crowned King and Napoleon was exiled from France to Elba, a tiny island off the coast of Italy, supposedly for ever.

For both sides of a war to be loaned money from the same privately owned Central Bank is not unusual. Nothing generates debt like war. A Nation will borrow any amount to win. So naturally if the loser is kept going to the last straw in a vain hope of winning, then the more resources will be used up by the winning side before their victory is obtained more resources used, more loans taken out, more money made by the Bankers; and even more amazing, the loans are usually given on condition that the victor pays the debts left by the loser. In 1803, instead of borrowing from the bank, Napoleon sold territory west of the Mississippi to the 3rd President of the United States, Thomas Jefferson for 3 million dollars in gold; a deal known as the Louisiana Purchase. Three million dollars richer, Napoleon quickly gathered together an army and set about conquering much of Europe. Each place he went to, Napoleon found his opposition being financed by the Bank of England, making huge profits as Prussia, Austria and finally Russia all went heavily into debt trying to stop him. Four years later, with the main French army in Russia, Nathan Rothschild took charge of a bold plan to smuggle a shipment of gold through France to finance an attack by the Duke of Wellington from Spain. Wellington's attack from the south and other defeats eventually forced Napoleon to abdicate. He was exiled to Elba, an Island off the coast of Italy. However in 1815 he escaped from exile and returned to Paris. The French soldiers sent out to capture him instead, rallied round their old leader. By March of that year Napoleon had equipped an army with the help of borrowed money from the Eubard Banking House of Paris. With 74,000 French troops led by Napoleon, sizing up to meet 67,000 British and other European Troops 200 miles NE of Paris on June 18th 1815, it was a difficult one to call. Back in London, the real potential winner, Nathan Rothschild, was poised to strike in a bold plan to take control of the British stock market, the bond market, and possibly even the Bank of England.

Nathan, knowing that information is power, stationed his trusted agent named Rothworth near the battle field. As soon as the battle was over Rothworth quickly returned to London, delivering the news to Rothschild 24 hours ahead of Wellington's courier. A victory by Napoleon would have devastated Britain's financial system. Nathan stationed himself in his usual place next to an ancient pillar in the stock market. This powerful man was not without observers as he hung his head, and began openly to sell huge numbers of British Government Bonds. Reading this to mean that Napoleon must have won, everyone started to sell their British Bonds as well. The bottom fell out of the market until you could hardly give them away. Meanwhile Rothschild began to secretly buy up all the hugely devalued bonds at a fraction of what they were worth a few hours before. In this way Nathan Rothschild captured more in one afternoon than the combined forces of Napoleon and Wellington had captured in their entire lifetime. The 19th century became known as the age of the Rothschild's when it was estimated they controlled half of the World's wealth. While their wealth continues to increase today, they have managed to blend into the background, giving an impression that their power has waned. They only apply the Rothschild name to a small fraction of the companies they actually control. Some authors claim that the Rothschild's had not only taken over the Bank of England but they had also in 1816 backed a new privately owned Central Bank in America called The Second Bank of The United States, causing huge problems to the American president.

As Napoleon pointed out: "Terrorism, War & Bankruptcy are caused by the privatization of money, issued as a debt and compounded by interest "- he cancelled debt and interest in France - hence the Battle of Waterloo.

[Demise Of The First Bank Of The United States And The War Of 1812](#)

While Napoleon was in exile, temporarily defeated by England with the financial help of the Rothschilds, America was trying to break free of its central bank as well. In 1811, a bill was put before Congress to renew the charter of the Bank of the United States. The debate grew very heated and the legislature of both Pennsylvania and Virginia passed resolutions asking Congress to kill the bank. The press corps of the day attacked the bank openly, calling it "a great swindle", a "vulture", a "viper", and a "cobra". Oh, to have an independent press again in America. Prospects didn't look good for the bank. Some writers have claimed that Nathan Rothschild "warned that the United States would find itself involved in a most disastrous war if the bank's charter were not renewed." (do you see the similarities here? If you don't play the game an economic disaster will fall on you and you will be destroyed. Well, the brave, little Malaysia proved them wrong.) But it wasn't enough. When the smoke had cleared, the renewal bill was defeated by a single vote in the House and was deadlocked in the Senate. By now, America's fourth President, James Madison, was in the White House. Remember that Madison was a staunch opponent of the bank. His Vice President, George Clinton, broke a tie in the Senate and sent the First Bank of the United States-the second privately owned central bank based in America-into oblivion. Thus, the Third American Bank War, lasting 20 years, ended in defeat for the Money Changers. Within five months, as Rothschild was said to have predicated, England attacked the United States and the War of 1812 was on. But the British were still busy fighting Napoleon, and so the War of 1812 ended in a draw in 1814. It is interesting to note that, during this war, the US Treasury printed some government paper money, not bearing interest, to fund the war effort-an act not repeated until the Civil War. Though the Money Changers were temporarily down, they were far from Out. It would take them only another two years to bring in a fourth private central bank, bigger and stronger than before.

[The Battle Of Waterloo, 1815](#)

But now, let's return for a moment to Napoleon. This episode aptly demonstrates the cunning of the Rothschild family in gaining control of the British stock market after Waterloo. In 1815, a year after the end of the War of 1812, Napoleon escaped his exile and resumed to Paris. French troops were sent out to capture him, but such was his charisma that the soldiers rallied around their old leader and hailed him as their Emperor once again. Napoleon returned to Paris a hero. King Louis fled in to exile and Napoleon again ascended the French throne-this time without a shot being fired. In March 1815, Napoleon equipped an army which Britain's Duke of Wellington defeated less than 90 days later at Waterloo. He borrowed five million pounds from the Ouvad banking house in Paris in order to re-arm. Nevertheless, from about this point on, it was not unusual for privately controlled central banks to finance both sides in a war. Why would a central bank finance opposing sides in a war? Because war is the biggest debt-generator of them all. A nation will borrow any amount for victory. The ultimate loser is lent just enough to hold out the vain hope of victory, and the ultimate winner is given enough to win. Besides, such loans are usually conditional upon the guarantee that the victor will honour the debts of the vanquished. Only the bankers cannot lose.

The site of the Waterloo battlefield is about 200 miles north-east of Paris, in what today is Belgium. There, Napoleon suffered his final defeat, but not before thousands of Frenchmen and Englishmen gave their lives on a steamy summer day in June 1815. On that day, 18 June, 74,000 French troops met 67,000 troops from Britain and other European nations. The outcome was certainly in doubt. In fact, had Napoleon attacked a few hours earlier, he would probably have won the battle. But no matter who won or lost, back in London Nathan Rothschild planned to use the opportunity to try to seize control over the British stock-and-bond market. The Rothschilds hotly dispute the following account. Rothschild stationed a trustee agent, a man named Rothworth, on the north side of the battlefield, closer to the English Channel. Once the battle had been decided, Rothworth took off for the Channel. He delivered the news to Nathan Rothschild full 24 hours before Wellington's own courier. Rothschild hurried to the stock market and took up his usual position in front of an ancient pillar. All eyes were on him. The Rothschilds had a legendary communication network.

If Wellington had been defeated and Napoleon were loose on the Continent again, Britain's financial situation would become grave indeed. Rothschild looked saddened. He stood there motionless, eyes downcast. Then, suddenly, he began selling. Other nervous investors saw that Rothschild was selling. It could only mean one thing: Napoleon must have won; Wellington must have been defeated. The market plummeted. Soon, everyone was selling their consols - their British government bonds and other stocks-and prices dropped. Then Rothschild and his financial allies started secretly buying through agents. Myths, legends, you say? One hundred years later, the New York Times ran a story which said that Nathan Rothschild's grandson had attempted to secure a court order to suppress a book containing this stock market story. The Rothschild family claimed the story was untrue and libelous, but the court denied the Rothschilds' request and ordered the family to pay all court costs.

What's even more interesting about this story is that some authors claim that the day after the Battle of Waterloo, in a matter of hours, Nathan Rothschild and allied financial interests came to dominate not only the bond market but the Bank of England as well. (An interesting feature of some consols was that they were convertible to Bank of England stock.) Intermarriage with the Montefiores, Cohens and Goldsmiths-banking families established in England in the century before the Rothschilds-enhanced the Rothschilds' financial control. This control was further consolidated through the passage of Peel's Bank Charter Act of 1844. Whether or not the Rothschild family and their financial allies seized outright control of the Bank of England (the first privately owned central bank in a major European nation, and the wealthiest) in this manner, one thing is certain: by the mid-1800s, the Rothschilds were the richest family in the World, bar none. They dominated the new government bond markets and branched into other banks and industrial concerns Worldwide. They also dominated a constellation of secondary, lesser families, such as the Warburgs, Sachs' and Schiffs, who allied their own vast wealth with that of the Rothschilds. In fact, the rest of the 19th century was known as the "Age of Rothschild". One author, Ignatius Balla, estimated their personal wealth in 1913 at over two billion dollars. Keep in mind, the purchasing power of the dollar was over 1,000 per cent greater then than now. Despite this overwhelming wealth, the

family has generally cultivated an aura of invisibility. Although the family controls scores of banking, industrial, commercial, mining and tourist corporations, only a handful bear the Rothschild name. By the end of the 19th century, one expert estimated that the Rothschild family controlled half the wealth of the World.

Whatever the extent of their vast wealth, it is reasonable to assume that their percentage of the World's wealth has increased dramatically since then, as power begets power and the appetite therefore. But since the turn of the century, the Rothschilds have carefully cultivated the notion that their power has somehow waned, even as their wealth and that of their financial allies increases and hence their control of banks, debt-captive corporations, the media, politicians and nations, all through surrogates, agents, nominees and interlocking directorates, obscuring their role.

The Irish Potato Famine (1845)

David Kynaston: "The City of London, 1815-1890", 1994: William Huskisson was the "key figure" in calling for a return to Cash payments ie gold in 1818, i.e. paper currency notes are "I owe you" and not cash. Ricardo supported him with an attack on the "greed" of the Bank of England which was primarily owned by the Rothschilds.

Nathan Rothschild said to the Commons Secret Committee on the question early in 1819: "In what line of business are you? - Mostly in the foreign banking line. "Have the goodness to state to the Committee in detail, what you conceive would be the consequence of an obligation imposed upon the Bank [of England, which he owned] to resume cash payments at the expiration of a year from the present time? - I do not think it can be done without very great distress to this country; it would do a great deal of mischief; we may not actually know ourselves what mischief it might cause. "Have the goodness to explain the nature of the mischief, and in what way it would be produced? - Money will be so very scarce, every article in this country will fall to such an enormous extent, that many persons will be ruined."

Of course, the Bank of England was running on the fractional reserve system which creates money without any assets, i.e. from nothing, the biggest fraud on Earth from which the Rothschild's were profiting immensely. Robert Owen supported him. However, on 25th May, urged on by Lord Liverpool and Robert Peel, the House of Commons voted unanimously to return to gold. In June it was law that by 1823 the country would be back on the gold standard. Also, since Ireland had no gold, it was condemned to the Potato Famine and "North and South" described its consequences to the North-West. When people speak of "The Irish potato famine", or "an Gorta Mor", (pronounced, 'on gore-ta more') they nearly always mean the one of the 1840s, even though a similar Great Famine in fact hit in the early eighteenth century. Another source of information is Sir John Clapham's "Bank of England", 1944. The Official History.

Captain Wynne, Inspecting Officer, West Clare, 1846: "I ventured through that parish this day, to ascertain the condition of the inhabitants, and although a man not easily moved, I confess myself unmanned by the extent and intensity of suffering I witnessed, more especially among the women and little children, crowds of whom were to be seen scattered over the turnip fields, like a flock of famished crows, devouring the raw turnips, and mostly half naked, shivering in the snow and sleet, uttering exclamations of despair, whilst their children were screaming with hunger. I am a match for anything else I may meet with here, but this I cannot stand."

Note that at this time, Irish were forbidden to speak their language, to practice their faith, to attend school, to hold a public office, to hold certain jobs, to own land, or to. In 1846, the British government repealed the "Corn Laws" to open "free trade" in agriculture. This further increased Ireland's potato famine (Timeline of important dates in the history of Anglo-American oil politics, from A Century of War by F. William Engdahl 1992, Böttiger Verlags-GmbH, pp. 255-264). Also, a fungus that destroyed much of the potato crop hit Ireland making things worse. The 1840s Irish Potato Famine was the culmination of a social, biological, political and economic catastrophe, caused by both Irish and British factors, which would have had sharp and lasting influence on the World. The Famine was at least fifty years in the making, due the disastrous balance between British economic policy, destructive farming methods, and the unfortunate appearance of "the Blight" —the potato fungus that almost instantly destroyed the major food source for the majority population. The net result was that the Irish population on the island was reduced by almost a third. One Million died in Ireland alone....On September 9, 1845, the Irish newspapers first reported the potato blight. When a fungus *Phytophthora infestans* destroyed, the potato crop, a million starved and tow million survivors left the island, many destined for America, Britain, the United States, Canada and Australia (see the Irish Diaspora). By 1850, the Irish made up a quarter of the population in Boston, New York City, Philadelphia, and Baltimore. "Famine fever"--cholera, dysentery, scurvy, typhus, and infestations of lice--soon spread through the Irish countryside. Racial and Religious persecution resulted in a legal system that took away the rights of Irish Catholics to own property creating a de facto slave class. Excessively large tracts of prime land had been granted by Britain to British lords, effectively forcing the indigenous Irish farming community to rent back and work the land their forefathers had worked for generations before them. This act of oppression led to cheap labour and increased revenue for 'absentee' British landlords, some of whom managed their affairs very badly, and cared little for the plight of their 'tenants'. The increased pressure on the native Irish meant long hard toil, and bare subsistence living. Traditional methods of supplementing the diet, such as game hunting and fishing often resulted in imprisonment and deportation to other parts of the British colonies (notably Australia and Tasmania), because the land and the wildlife thereon now belonged to the British landlords. Excessive rents often led to massive evictions and compounded the problems, with many Irish families left homeless.

British residents of the island had no trouble obtaining sufficient food. Thousands of bushels of grain and thousands of head of livestock were forcibly exported by Ireland to England during the famine with the help of British troops. The British "charity" workhouses were predatory attempts to obtain slave labor from people too desperate to seek better

employment. The Irish underclass' dependence on potatoes was a result of not permitting Irish Catholics to obtain gainful employment or invest in any significant storehouse of wealth such as real property or joint stock corporations. Without savings, the Irish were forced to grow the majority of their own food for families that average a size of 6 on a single acre while still producing enough of a cash crop to pay the rent owed to their British landlords. Potatoes were the only crop that could produce sufficient calories on such small plots in the Irish climate. The British were far more concerned with the potential loss of rents to their British subjects on the island than the loss of life among Catholics. Depopulating Ireland improved the financial stature of England by increasing the island's ability to export high value crops and foodstuffs to London. There was no shortage of food on the island during the years of the Famine except that which was created by shipping foodstuffs for the benefit of rich British landowners. A disastrous Gregory Clause of the Poor Law Extension Act was introduced, limiting aid to those who owned less than one quarter of an acre (1,000 m²) of land. This forced poverty-stricken starving tenants either to give up their homes and land, and so become destitute after the famine, or hold on to them and risk starvation. Thousands of Irish were buried without coffins because there was not enough money to pay for the lumber. The landowners who demanded rents from starving people who had no legal rights to own or sell anything except food did not even have the grace to pay for boxes to bury the children of those who starved after being evicted. Irish emigrants to America were now sending money back home by drafts and cash.

If Irish nationalism was dormant for the first half of the nineteenth-century, the Famine convinced Irish citizens and Irish-Americans of the urgent need for political change. Each time the rebellion was put down savagely, and each time further measures were taken to keep the Irish in submission, which merely strengthened Irishmen in their hatred for England, contempt for the law, and willingness to go to any lengths to get revenge or independence. The Irish parliament had been hamstrung since the end of the fifteenth century by Poyning's Law, which gave the English Parliament power to legislate for Ireland, no matter what the Irish parliament had done. Irish linen manufacturing met with the same fate when the Irish were forbidden to export their product to all other countries except England. The time of the Potato Famine coincided with the era of Pax Britannica between the Congress of Vienna (after the defeat of Napoleon) and the Franco-Prussian War. Britain then reaped the benefits of being the World's sole modern, industrial nation. Following the defeat of Napoleon, Britain was the "workshop of the World", meaning that its finished goods were produced so efficiently and cheaply that they could usually undersell comparable, locally manufactured goods in other markets. The notable difference between the Famine and other humanitarian crises was that it occurred so apparently close to the imperial homeland, at a time well into the modern prosperity of the Victorian and Industrial age. Even today, such crises tend to be far away from centers of power such that the subjects of empire, almost by definition, are of distant cultures, languages and religious beliefs. Within the imperial culture, the reportage of a crisis among its subjects more often uses dismissive and dehumanizing terms, and treats otherwise urgent matters with little relevancy or interest. The Great Famine however represents a rare example of "imperial treatment" towards a people so apparently similar, according to the apparent common criteria. Although human suffering during the Great Famine itself was never photographed, it immediately and profoundly altered the course of generations of Irish and Irish Diaspora —for whom history has a rich record.

President Andrew Jackson's Veto of the Bank of the United States; July 10, 1832

It is important to note that until the 1830's, newspapers served the elite - the wealthy and the well educated. Most newspapers cost six cents a copy and emphasized serious articles about business, politics, and foreign affairs. Because the newspapers were so expensive (and dull), they attracted only a few thousand readers. The masses were in the dark. Then, with the advent of cheap newspapers owned by the elite, the masses were manipulated easily. When the American congress voted to renew the charter of The Second Bank of The United States, **Jackson** responded by using his veto to prevent the renewal bill from passing. His response gives us an interesting insight. "It is not our own citizens only who are to receive the bounty of our government. More than eight millions of the stock of this bank are held by foreigners... is there no danger to our liberty and independence in a bank that in its nature has so little to bind it to our country?... Controlling our currency, receiving our public moneys, and holding thousands of our citizens in dependence... would be more formidable and dangerous than a military power of the enemy. If government would confine itself to equal protection, and, as Heaven does its rains, shower its favour alike on the high and the low, the rich and the poor, it would be an unqualified blessing. In the act before me there seems to be a wide and unnecessary departure from these just principles." Andrew Jackson. **In 1832 Jackson** ordered the withdrawal of government deposits from the Second bank and instead had them put into safe banks.

Nicholas Biddle: "This worthy president thinks that because he has scalped Indians imprisoned Judges, he is to have his way with the Bank. He is mistaken."

The Second Banks head, **Nicholas Biddle** was quite candid about the power and intention of the bank when he openly threatened to cause a depression if the bank was not re-chartered, we quote. "Nothing but widespread suffering will produce any effect on Congress... Our only safety is in pursuing a steady course of firm restriction - and I have no doubt that such a course will ultimately lead to restoration of the currency and the re-charter of the bank."

By calling in existing loans and refusing to issue new loans he did cause a massive depression, but in 1836 when the charter ran out, the Second Bank ceased to function. When asked what he felt was the greatest achievement of his career **Andrew Jackson replied without hesitation "I killed the bank!"** However we will see this was not the end of private financial influence passing itself off as official when we look at. William Henry Harrison, was killed by being poisoned, in 1841, a month after being inaugurated as President because he continued the policies of Jackson. U.S. Senator Thomas Benton in his book stated as to President Harrison, "that the deceased President had been closely preceded and was rapidly followed by the deaths of almost all of his numerous family, sons and daughters." Only one of President Harrison's eight children, a

son, was permitted to live. "Thirty Years' View From 1820-1850" by Thomas Benton. When the 1816 charter expired in 1836, Andrew Jackson vetoed its renewal. It was then that he made two famous statements: "The Bank is trying to kill me - but I will kill it!" Later he said "If the American people only understood the rank injustice of our money and banking system - there would be a revolution before morning..."

WASHINGTON, July 10, 1832. To the Senate: The bill "to modify and continue" the act entitled "An act to incorporate the subscribers to the Bank of the United States" was presented to me on the 4th July instant. Having considered it with that solemn regard to the principles of the Constitution which the day was calculated to inspire, and come to the conclusion that it ought not to become a law, I herewith return it to the Senate, in which it originated, with my objections. A bank of the United States is in many respects convenient for the Government and useful to the people. Entertaining this opinion, and deeply impressed with the belief that some of the powers and privileges possessed by the existing bank are unauthorized by the Constitution, subversive of the rights of the States, and dangerous to the liberties of the people, I felt it my duty at an early period of my Administration to call the attention of Congress to the practicability of organizing an institution combining all its advantages and obviating these objections. I sincerely regret that in the act before me I can perceive none of those modifications of the bank charter which are necessary, in my opinion, to make it compatible with justice, with sound policy, or with the Constitution of our country. The present corporate body, denominated the president, directors, and company of the Bank of the United States, will have existed at the time this act is intended to take effect twenty years. It enjoys an exclusive privilege of banking under the authority of the General Government, a monopoly of its favor and support, and, as a necessary consequence, almost a monopoly of the foreign and domestic exchange. The powers, privileges, and favors bestowed upon it in the original charter, by increasing the value of the stock far above its par value, operated as a gratuity of many millions to the stockholders.

An apology may be found for the failure to guard against this result in the consideration that the effect of the original act of incorporation could not be certainly foreseen at the time of its passage. The act before me proposes another gratuity to the holders of the same stock, and in many cases to the same men, of at least seven millions more. This donation finds no apology in any uncertainty as to the effect of the act. On all hands it is conceded that its passage will increase at least so or 30 per cent more the market price of the stock, subject to the payment of the annuity of \$200,000 per year secured by the act, thus adding in a moment one-fourth to its par value. It is not our own citizens only who are to receive the bounty of our Government. More than eight millions of the stock of this bank are held by foreigners. By this act the American Republic proposes virtually to make them a present of some millions of dollars. For these gratuities to foreigners and to some of our own opulent citizens the act secures no equivalent whatever. They are the certain gains of the present stockholders under the operation of this act, after making full allowance for the payment of the bonus. Every monopoly and all exclusive privileges are granted at the expense of the public, which ought to receive a fair equivalent. The many millions which this act proposes to bestow on the stockholders of the existing bank must come directly or indirectly out of the earnings of the American people. It is due to them, therefore, if their Government sells monopolies and exclusive privileges, that they should at least exact for them as much as they are worth in open market. The value of the monopoly in this case may be correctly ascertained. The twenty-eight millions of stock would probably be at an advance of 50 per cent, and command in market at least \$42,000,000, subject to the payment of the present bonus. The present value of the monopoly, therefore, is \$17,000,000, and this the act proposes to sell for three millions, payable in fifteen annual installments of \$200,000 each.

It is not conceivable how the present stockholders can have any claim to the special favor of the Government. The present corporation has enjoyed its monopoly during the period stipulated in the original contract. If we must have such a corporation, why should not the Government sell out the whole stock and thus secure to the people the full market value of the privileges granted? Why should not Congress create and sell twenty-eight millions of stock, incorporating the purchasers with all the powers and privileges secured in this act and putting the premium upon the sales into the Treasury? But this act does not permit competition in the purchase of this monopoly. It seems to be predicated on the erroneous idea that the present stockholders have a prescriptive right not only to the favor but to the bounty of Government. It appears that more than a fourth part of the stock is held by foreigners and the residue is held by a few hundred of our own citizens, chiefly of the richest class. For their benefit does this act exclude the whole American people from competition in the purchase of this monopoly and dispose of it for many millions less than it is worth. This seems the less excusable because some of our citizens not now stockholders petitioned that the door of competition might be opened, and offered to take a charter on terms much more favorable to the Government and country.

But this proposition, although made by men whose aggregate wealth is believed to be equal to all the private stock in the existing bank, has been set aside, and the bounty of our Government is proposed to be again bestowed on the few who have been fortunate enough to secure the stock and at this moment wield the power of the existing institution. I can not perceive the justice or policy of this course. If our Government must sell monopolies, it would seem to be its duty to take nothing less than their full value, and if gratuities must be made once in fifteen or twenty years let them not be bestowed on the subjects of a foreign government nor upon a designated and favored class of men in our own country. It is but justice and good policy, as far as the nature of the case will admit, to confine our favors to our own fellow-citizens, and let each in his turn enjoy an opportunity to profit by our bounty. In the bearings of the act before me upon these points I find ample reasons why it should not become a law. It has been urged as an argument in favor of rechartering the present bank that the calling in its loans will produce great embarrassment and distress. The time allowed to close its concerns is ample, and if it has been well managed its pressure will be light, and heavy only in case its management has been bad. If, therefore, it shall produce distress, the fault will be its own, and it would furnish a reason against renewing a power which has been so obviously abused. But will there ever be a time when this reason will be less powerful? To acknowledge its

force is to admit that the bank ought to be perpetual, and as a consequence the present stockholders and those inheriting their rights as successors be established a privileged order, clothed both with great political power and enjoying immense pecuniary advantages from their connection with the Government. The modifications of the existing charter proposed by this act are not such, in my view, as make it consistent with the rights of the States or the liberties of the people. The qualification of the right of the bank to hold real estate, the limitation of its power to establish branches, and the power reserved to Congress to forbid the circulation of small notes are restrictions comparatively of little value or importance. All the objectionable principles of the existing corporation, and most of its odious features, are retained without alleviation.

The fourth section provides " that the notes or bills of the said corporation, although the same be, on the faces thereof, respectively made payable at one place only, shall nevertheless be received by the said corporation at the bank or at any of the offices of discount and deposit thereof if tendered in liquidation or payment of any balance or balances due to said corporation or to such office of discount and deposit from any other incorporated bank." This provision secures to the State banks a legal privilege in the Bank of the United States which is withheld from all private citizens. If a State bank in Philadelphia owe the Bank of the United States and have notes issued by the St. Louis branch, it can pay the debt with those notes, but if a merchant, mechanic, or other private citizen be in like circumstances he can not by law pay his debt with those notes, but must sell them at a discount or send them to St. Louis to be cashed. This boon conceded to the State banks, though not unjust in itself, is most odious because it does not measure out equal justice to the high and the low, the rich and the poor. To the extent of its practical effect it is a bond of union among the banking establishments of the nation, erecting them into an interest separate from that of the people, and its necessary tendency is to unite the Bank of the United States and the State banks in any measure which may be thought conducive to their common interest.

The ninth section of the act recognizes principles of worse tendency than any provision of the present charter. It enacts that " the cashier of the bank shall annually report to the Secretary of the Treasury the names of all stockholders who are not resident citizens of the United States, and on the application of the treasurer of any State shall make out and transmit to such treasurer a list of stockholders residing in or citizens of such State, with the amount of stock owned by each." Although this provision, taken in connection with a decision of the Supreme Court, surrenders, by its silence, the right of the States to tax the banking institutions created by this corporation under the name of branches throughout the Union, it is evidently intended to be construed as a concession of their right to tax that portion of the stock which may be held by their own citizens and residents. In this light, if the act becomes a law, it will be understood by the States, who will probably proceed to levy a tax equal to that paid upon the stock of banks incorporated by themselves. In some States that tax is now 1 per cent, either on the capital or on the shares, and that may be assumed as the amount which all citizen or resident stockholders would be taxed under the operation of this act. As it is only the stock held in the States and not that employed within them which would be subject to taxation, and as the names of foreign stockholders are not to be reported to the treasurers of the States, it is obvious that the stock held by them will be exempt from this burden. Their annual profits will therefore be 1 per cent more than the citizen stockholders, and as the annual dividends of the bank may be safely estimated at 7 per cent, the stock will be worth 10 or 15 per cent more to foreigners than to citizens of the United States. To appreciate the effects which this state of things will produce, we must take a brief review of the operations and present condition of the Bank of the United States.

By documents submitted to Congress at the present session it appears that on the 1st of January, 1832, of the twenty-eight millions of private stock in the corporation, \$8,405,500 were held by foreigners, mostly of Great Britain. The amount of stock held in the nine Western and Southwestern States is \$140,200, and in the four Southern States is \$5,623,100, and in the Middle and Eastern States is about \$13,522,000. The profits of the bank in 1831, as shown in a statement to Congress, were about \$3,455,598; of this there accrued in the nine western States about \$1,640,048; in the four Southern States about \$352,507, and in the Middle and Eastern States about \$1,463,041. As little stock is held in the West, it is obvious that the debt of the people in that section to the bank is principally a debt to the Eastern and foreign stockholders; that the interest they pay upon it is carried into the Eastern States and into Europe, and that it is a burden upon their industry and a drain of their currency, which no country can bear without inconvenience and occasional distress. To meet this burden and equalize the exchange operations of the bank, the amount of specie drawn from those States through its branches within the last two years, as shown by its official reports, was about \$6,000,000. More than half a million of this amount does not stop in the Eastern States, but passes on to Europe to pay the dividends of the foreign stockholders. In the principle of taxation recognized by this act the Western States find no adequate compensation for this perpetual burden on their industry and drain of their currency. The branch bank at Mobile made last year \$95,140, yet under the provisions of this act the State of Alabama can raise no revenue from these profitable operations, because not a share of the stock is held by any of her citizens. Mississippi and Missouri are in the same condition in relation to the branches at Natchez and St. Louis, and such, in a greater or less degree, is the condition of every Western State. The tendency of the plan of taxation which this act proposes will be to place the whole United States in the same relation to foreign countries which the Western States now bear to the Eastern. When by a tax on resident stockholders the stock of this bank is made worth 10 or 15 per cent more to foreigners than to residents, most of it will inevitably leave the country.

Thus will this provision in its practical effect deprive the Eastern as well as the Southern and Western States of the means of raising a revenue from the extension of business and great profits of this institution. It will make the American people debtors to aliens in nearly the whole amount due to this bank, and send across the Atlantic from two to five millions of specie every year to pay the bank dividends. In another of its bearings this provision is fraught with danger. Of the twenty-five directors of this bank five are chosen by the Government and twenty by the citizen stockholders. From all voice in these elections the foreign stockholders are excluded by the charter. In proportion, therefore, as the stock is

transferred to foreign holders the extent of suffrage in the choice of directors is curtailed. Already is almost a third of the stock in foreign hands and not represented in elections. It is constantly passing out of the country, and this act will accelerate its departure. The entire control of the institution would necessarily fall into the hands of a few citizen stockholders, and the ease with which the object would be accomplished would be a temptation to designing men to secure that control in their own hands by monopolizing the remaining stock. There is danger that a president and directors would then be able to elect themselves from year to year, and without responsibility or control manage the whole concerns of the bank during the existence of its charter. It is easy to conceive that great evils to our country and its institutions might flow from such a concentration of power in the hands of a few men irresponsible to the people.

Is there no danger to our liberty and independence in a bank that in its nature has so little to bind it to our country? The president of the bank has told us that most of the State banks exist by its forbearance. Should its influence become concentrated, as it may under the operation of such an act as this, in the hands of a self-elected directory whose interests are identified with those of the foreign stockholders, will there not be cause to tremble for the purity of our elections in peace and for the independence of our country in war? Their power would be great whenever they might choose to exert it; but if this monopoly were regularly renewed every fifteen or twenty years on terms proposed by themselves, they might seldom in peace put forth their strength to influence elections or control the affairs of the nation. But if any private citizen or public functionary should interpose to curtail its powers or prevent a renewal of its privileges, it can not be doubted that he would be made to feel its influence.

Should the stock of the bank principally pass into the hands of the subjects of a foreign country, and we should unfortunately become involved in a war with that country, what would be our condition? Of the course which would be pursued by a bank almost wholly owned by the subjects of a foreign power, and managed by those whose interests, if not affections, would run in the same direction there can be no doubt. All its operations within would be in aid of the hostile fleets and armies without. Controlling our currency, receiving our public moneys, and holding thousands of our citizens in dependence, it would be more formidable and dangerous than the naval and military power of the enemy. If we must have a bank with private stockholders, every consideration of sound policy and every impulse of American feeling admonishes that it should be purely American. Its stockholders should be composed exclusively of our own citizens, who at least ought to be friendly to our Government and willing to support it in times of difficulty and danger. So abundant is domestic capital that competition in subscribing for the stock of local banks has recently led almost to riots. To a bank exclusively of American stockholders, possessing the powers and privileges granted by this act, subscriptions for \$200,000,000 could be readily obtained. Instead of sending abroad the stock of the bank in which the Government must deposit its funds and on which it must rely to sustain its credit in times of emergency, it would rather seem to be expedient to prohibit its sale to aliens under penalty of absolute forfeiture.

It is maintained by the advocates of the bank that its constitutionality in all its features ought to be considered as settled by precedent and by the decision of the Supreme Court. To this conclusion I can not assent. Mere precedent is a dangerous source of authority, and should not be regarded as deciding questions of constitutional power except where the acquiescence of the people and the States can be considered as well settled. So far from this being the case on this subject, an argument against the bank might be based on precedent. One Congress, in 1791, decided in favor of a bank; another, in 1811, decided against it. One Congress, in 1815, decided against a bank; another, in 1816, decided in its favor. Prior to the present Congress, therefore, the precedents drawn from that source were equal. If we resort to the States, the expressions of legislative, judicial, and executive opinions against the bank have been probably to those in its favor as 4 to 1. There is nothing in precedent, therefore, which, if its authority were admitted, ought to weigh in favor of the act before me. If the opinion of the Supreme Court covered the whole ground of this act, it ought not to control the coordinate authorities of this Government. The Congress, the Executive, and the Court must each for itself be guided by its own opinion of the Constitution. Each public officer who takes an oath to support the Constitution swears that he will support it as he understands it, and not as it is understood by others. It is as much the duty of the House of Representatives, of the Senate, and of the President to decide upon the constitutionality of any bill or resolution which may be presented to them for passage or approval as it is of the supreme judges when it may be brought before them for judicial decision. The opinion of the judges has no more authority over Congress than the opinion of Congress has over the judges, and on that point the President is independent of both. The authority of the Supreme Court must not, therefore, be permitted to control the Congress or the Executive when acting in their legislative capacities, but to have only such influence as the force of their reasoning may deserve.

But in the case relied upon the Supreme Court have not decided that all the features of this corporation are compatible with the Constitution. It is true that the court have said that the law incorporating the bank is a constitutional exercise of power by Congress; but taking into view the whole opinion of the court and the reasoning by which they have come to that conclusion, I understand them to have decided that inasmuch as a bank is an appropriate means for carrying into effect the enumerated powers of the General Government, therefore the law incorporating it is in accordance with that provision of the Constitution which declares that Congress shall have power "to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying those powers into execution." Having satisfied themselves that the word "necessary" in the Constitution means needful, "requisite," "essential," "conducive to," and that "a bank" is a convenient, a useful, and essential instrument in the prosecution of the Government's "fiscal operations," they conclude that to "use one must be within the discretion of Congress" and that "the act to incorporate the Bank of the United States is a law made in pursuance of the Constitution;" "but," say they, "where the law is not prohibited and is really calculated to effect any of the objects entrusted to the Government, to undertake here to inquire into the degree of its necessity would be to pass the line which circumscribes the judicial department and to tread on legislative ground."

The principle here affirmed is that the "degree of its necessity," involving all the details of a banking institution, is a question exclusively for legislative consideration. A bank is constitutional, but it is the province of the Legislature to determine whether this or that particular power, privilege, or exemption is "necessary and proper" to enable the bank to discharge its duties to the Government, and from their decision there is no appeal to the courts of justice. Under the decision of the Supreme Court, therefore, it is the exclusive province of Congress and the President to decide whether the particular features of this act are necessary and proper in order to enable the bank to perform conveniently and efficiently the public duties assigned to it as a fiscal agent, and therefore constitutional, or unnecessary and improper, and therefore unconstitutional. Without commenting on the general principle affirmed by the Supreme Court, let us examine the details of this act in accordance with the rule of legislative action which they have laid down. It will be found that many of the powers and privileges conferred on it can not be supposed necessary for the purpose for which it is proposed to be created, and are not, therefore, means necessary to attain the end in view, and consequently not justified by the Constitution. The original act of incorporation, section 2I, enacts "that no other bank shall be established by any future law of the United States during the continuance of the corporation hereby created, for which the faith of the United States is hereby pledged: Provided, Congress may renew existing charters for banks within the District of Columbia not increasing the capital thereof, and may also establish any other bank or banks in said District with capitals not exceeding in the whole \$6,000,000 if they shall deem it expedient." This provision is continued in force by the act before me fifteen years from the ad of March, 1836.

If Congress possessed the power to establish one bank, they had power to establish more than one if in their opinion two or more banks had been " necessary " to facilitate the execution of the powers delegated to them in the Constitution. If they possessed the power to establish a second bank, it was a power derived from the Constitution to be exercised from time to time, and at any time when the interests of the country or the emergencies of the Government might make it expedient. It was possessed by one Congress as well as another, and by all Congresses alike, and alike at every session. But the Congress of 1816 have taken it away from their successors for twenty years, and the Congress of 1832 proposes to abolish it for fifteen years more. It can not be "necessary" or "proper" for Congress to barter away or divest themselves of any of the powers-vested in them by the Constitution to be exercised for the public good. It is not " necessary " to the efficiency of the bank, nor is it "proper" in relation to themselves and their successors. They may properly use the discretion vested in them, but they may not limit the discretion of their successors. This restriction on themselves and grant of a monopoly to the bank is therefore unconstitutional. In another point of view this provision is a palpable attempt to amend the Constitution by an act of legislation. The Constitution declares that "the Congress shall have power to exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever" over the District of Columbia. Its constitutional power, therefore, to establish banks in the District of Columbia and increase their capital at will is unlimited and uncontrollable by any other power than that which gave authority to the Constitution. Yet this act declares that Congress shall not increase the capital of existing banks, nor create other banks with capitals exceeding in the whole \$6,000,000. The Constitution declares that Congress shall have power to exercise exclusive legislation over this District "in all cases whatsoever," and this act declares they shall not. Which is the supreme law of the land? This provision can not be "necessary" or "proper" or constitutional unless the absurdity be admitted that whenever it be "necessary and proper " in the opinion of Congress they have a right to barter away one portion of the powers vested in them by the Constitution as a means of executing the rest.

On two subjects only does the Constitution recognize in Congress the power to grant exclusive privileges or monopolies. It declares that "Congress shall have power to promote the progress of science and useful arts by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries." Out of this express delegation of power have grown our laws of patents and copyrights. As the Constitution expressly delegates to Congress the power to grant exclusive privileges in these cases as the means of executing the substantive power " to promote the progress of science and useful arts," it is consistent with the fair rules of construction to conclude that such a power was not intended to be granted as a means of accomplishing any other end. On every other subject which comes within the scope of Congressional power there is an ever-living discretion in the use of proper means, which can not be restricted or abolished without an amendment of the Constitution. Every act of Congress, therefore, which attempts by grants of monopolies or sale of exclusive privileges for a limited time, or a time without limit, to restrict or extinguish its own discretion in the choice of means to execute its delegated powers is equivalent to a legislative amendment of the Constitution, and palpably unconstitutional. This act authorizes and encourages transfers of its stock to foreigners and grants them an exemption from all State and national taxation. So far from being "necessary and proper" that the bank should possess this power to make it a safe and efficient agent of the Government in its fiscal operations, it is calculated to convert the Bank of the United States into a foreign bank, to impoverish our people in time of peace, to disseminate a foreign influence through every section of the Republic, and in war to endanger our independence.

The several States reserved the power at the formation of the Constitution to regulate and control titles and transfers of real property, and most, if not all, of them have laws disqualifying aliens from acquiring or holding lands within their limits. But this act, in disregard of the undoubted right of the States to prescribe such disqualifications, gives to aliens stockholders in this bank an interest and title, as members of the corporation, to all the real property it may acquire within any of the States of this Union. This privilege granted to aliens is not "necessary" to enable the bank to perform its public duties, nor in any sense "proper," because it is vitally subversive of the rights of the States. The Government of the United States have no constitutional power to purchase lands within the States except "for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dockyards, and other needful buildings," and even for these objects only "by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be." By making themselves stockholders in the bank and granting to the corporation the power to purchase lands for other purposes they assume a power not granted in the Constitution and

grant to others what they do not themselves possess. It is not necessary to the receiving, safe-keeping, or transmission of the funds of the Government that the bank should possess this power, and it is not proper that Congress should thus enlarge the powers delegated to them in the Constitution.

The old Bank of the United States possessed a capital of only \$11,000,000, which was found fully sufficient to enable it with dispatch and safety to perform all the functions required of it by the Government. The capital of the present bank is \$35,000,000—at least twenty-four more than experience has proved to be necessary to enable a bank to perform its public functions. The public debt which existed during the period of the old bank and on the establishment of the new has been nearly paid off, and our revenue will soon be reduced. This increase of capital is therefore not for public but for private purposes. The Government is the only "proper" judge where its agents should reside and keep their offices, because it best knows where their presence will be "necessary." It can not, therefore, be "necessary" or "proper" to authorize the bank to locate branches where it pleases to perform the public service, without consulting the Government, and contrary to its will. The principle laid down by the Supreme Court concedes that Congress can not establish a bank for purposes of private speculation and gain, but only as a means of executing the delegated powers of the General Government. By the same principle a branch bank can not constitutionally be established for other than public purposes. The power which this act gives to establish two branches in any State, without the injunction or request of the Government and for other than public purposes, is not "necessary" to the due execution of the powers delegated to Congress.

The bonus which is exacted from the bank is a confession upon the face of the act that the powers granted by it are greater than are "necessary" to its character of a fiscal agent. The Government does not tax its officers and agents for the privilege of serving it. The bonus of a million and a half required by the original charter and that of three millions proposed by this act are not exacted for the privilege of giving "the necessary facilities for transferring the public funds from place to place within the United States or the Territories thereof, and for distributing the same in payment of the public creditors without charging commission or claiming allowance on account of the difference of exchange," as required by the act of incorporation, but for something more beneficial to the stockholders. The original act declares that it (the bonus) is granted "in consideration of the exclusive privileges and benefits conferred by this act upon the said bank," and the act before me declares it to be "in consideration of the exclusive benefits and privileges continued by this act to the said corporation for fifteen years, as aforesaid." It is therefore for "exclusive privileges and benefits" conferred for their own use and emolument, and not for the advantage of the Government, that a bonus is exacted. These surplus powers for which the bank is required to pay can not surely be "necessary" to make it the fiscal agent of the Treasury. If they were, the exaction of a bonus for them would not be "proper."

It is maintained by some that the bank is a means of executing the constitutional power "to coin money and regulate the value thereof." Congress have established a mint to coin money and passed laws to regulate the value thereof. The money so coined, with its value so regulated, and such foreign coins as Congress may adopt are the only currency known to the Constitution. But if they have other power to regulate the currency, it was conferred to be exercised by themselves, and not to be transferred to a corporation. If the bank be established for that purpose, with a charter unalterable without its consent, Congress have parted with their power for a term of years, during which the Constitution is a dead letter. It is neither necessary nor proper to transfer its legislative power to such a bank, and therefore unconstitutional.

By its silence, considered in connection with the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of McCulloch against the State of Maryland, this act takes from the States the power to tax a portion of the banking business carried on within their limits, in subversion of one of the strongest barriers which secured them against Federal encroachments. Banking, like farming, manufacturing, or any other occupation or profession, is a business, the right to follow which is not originally derived from the laws. Every citizen and every company of citizens in all of our States possessed the right until the State legislatures deemed it good policy to prohibit private banking by law. If the prohibitory State laws were now repealed, every citizen would again possess the right. The State banks are a qualified restoration of the right which has been taken away by the laws against banking, guarded by such provisions and limitations as in the opinion of the State legislatures the public interest requires. These corporations, unless there be an exemption in their charter, are, like private Bankers and banking companies, subject to State taxation. The manner in which these taxes shall be laid depends wholly on legislative discretion. It may be upon the bank, upon the stock, upon the profits, or in any other mode which the sovereign power shall will. Upon the formation of the Constitution the States guarded their taxing power with peculiar jealousy. They surrendered it only as it regards imports and exports. In relation to every other object within their jurisdiction, whether persons, property, business, or professions, it was secured in as ample a manner as it was before possessed. All persons, though United States officers, are liable to a poll tax by the States within which they reside. The lands of the United States are liable to the usual land tax, except in the new States, from whom agreements that they will not tax unsold lands are exacted when they are admitted into the Union. Horses, wagons, any beasts or vehicles, tools, or property belonging to private citizens, though employed in the service of the United States, are subject to State taxation. Every private business, whether carried on by an officer of the General Government or not, whether it be mixed with public concerns or not, even if it be carried on by the Government of the United States itself, separately or in partnership, falls within the scope of the taxing power of the State. Nothing comes more fully within it than banks and the business of banking, by whomsoever instituted and carried on. Over this whole subject-matter it is just as absolute, unlimited, and uncontrollable as if the Constitution had never been adopted, because in the formation of that instrument it was reserved without qualification.

The principle is conceded that the States can not rightfully tax the operations of the General Government. They can not tax the money of the Government deposited in the State banks, nor the agency of those banks in remitting it; but will any

man maintain that their mere selection to perform this public service for the General Government would exempt the State banks and their ordinary business from State taxation? Had the United States, instead of establishing a bank at Philadelphia, employed a private banker to keep and transmit their funds, would it have deprived Pennsylvania of the right to tax his bank and his usual banking operations? It will not be pretended. Upon what principal, then, are the banking establishments of the Bank of the United States and their usual banking operations to be exempted from taxation? It is not their public agency or the deposits of the Government which the States claim a right to tax, but their banks and their banking powers, instituted and exercised within State jurisdiction for their private emolument-those powers and privileges for which they pay a bonus, and which the States tax in their own banks. The exercise of these powers within a State, no matter by whom or under what authority, whether by private citizens in their original right, by corporate bodies created by the States, by foreigners or the agents of foreign governments located within their limits, forms a legitimate object of State taxation. From this and like sources, from the persons, property, and business that are found residing, located, or carried on under their jurisdiction, must the States, since the surrender of their right to raise a revenue from imports and exports, draw all the money necessary for the support of their governments and the maintenance of their independence. There is no more appropriate subject of taxation than banks, banking, and bank stocks, and none to which the States ought more pertinaciously to cling. It can not be necessary to the character of the bank as a fiscal agent of the Government that its private business should be exempted from that taxation to which all the State banks are liable, nor can I conceive it "proper" that the substantive and most essential powers reserved by the States shall be thus attacked and annihilated as a means of executing the powers delegated to the General Government. It may be safely assumed that none of those sages who had an agency in forming or adopting our Constitution ever imagined that any portion of the taxing power of the States not prohibited to them nor delegated to Congress was to be swept away and annihilated as a means of executing certain powers delegated to Congress.

If our power over means is so absolute that the Supreme Court will not call in question the constitutionality of an act of Congress the subject of which "is not prohibited, and is really calculated to effect any of the objects entrusted to the Government," although, as in the case before me, it takes away powers expressly granted to Congress and rights scrupulously reserved to the States, it becomes us to proceed in our legislation with the utmost caution. Though not directly, our own powers and the rights of the States may be indirectly legislated away in the use of means to execute substantive powers. We may not enact that Congress shall not have the power of exclusive legislation over the District of Columbia, but we may pledge the faith of the United States that as a means of executing other powers it shall not be exercised for twenty years or forever. We may not pass an act prohibiting the States to tax the banking business carried on within their limits, but we may, as a means of executing our powers over other objects, place that business in the hands of our agents and then declare it exempt from State taxation in their hands. Thus may our own powers and the rights of the States, which we can not directly curtail or invade, be frittered away and extinguished in the use of means employed by us to execute other powers. That a bank of the United States, competent to all the duties which may be required by the Government, might be so organized as not to infringe on our own delegated powers or the reserved rights of the States I do not entertain a doubt. Had the Executive been called upon to furnish the project of such an institution, the duty would have been cheerfully performed. In the absence of such a call it was obviously proper that he should confine himself to pointing out those prominent features in the act presented which in his opinion make it incompatible with the Constitution and sound policy. A general discussion will now take place, eliciting new light and settling important principles; and a new Congress, elected in the midst of such discussion, and furnishing an equal representation of the people according to the last census, will bear to the Capitol the verdict of public opinion, and, I doubt not, bring this important question to a satisfactory result.

Under such circumstances the bank comes forward and asks a renewal of its charter for a term of fifteen years upon conditions which not only operate as a gratuity to the stockholders of many millions of dollars, but will sanction any abuses and legalize any encroachments. Suspicions are entertained and charges are made of gross abuse and violation of its charter. An investigation unwillingly conceded and so restricted in time as necessarily to make it incomplete and unsatisfactory discloses enough to excite suspicion and alarm. In the practices of the principal bank partially unveiled, in the absence of important witnesses, and in numerous charges confidently made and as yet wholly uninvestigated there was enough to induce a majority of the committee of investigation-a committee which was selected from the most able and honorable members of the House of Representatives-to recommend a suspension of further action upon the bill and a prosecution of the inquiry. As the charter had yet four years to run, and as a renewal now was not necessary to the successful prosecution of its business, it was to have been expected that the bank itself, conscious of its purity and proud of its character, would have withdrawn its application for the present, and demanded the severest scrutiny into all its transactions. In their declining to do so there seems to be an additional reason why the functionaries of the Government should proceed with less haste and more caution in the renewal of their monopoly. The bank is professedly established as an agent of the executive branch of the Government, and its constitutionality is maintained on that ground. Neither upon the propriety of present action nor upon the provisions of this act was the Executive consulted. It has had no opportunity to say that it neither needs nor wants an agent clothed with such powers and favored by such exemptions. There is nothing in its legitimate functions which makes it necessary or proper. Whatever interest or influence, whether public or private, has given birth to this act, it can not be found either in the wishes or necessities of the executive department, by which present action is deemed premature, and the powers conferred upon its agent not only unnecessary, but dangerous to the Government and country.

It is to be regretted that the rich and powerful too often bend the acts of government to their selfish purposes. Distinctions in society will always exist under every just government. Equality of talents, of education, or of wealth can not be produced by human institutions. In the full enjoyment of the gifts of Heaven and the fruits of superior industry,

economy, and virtue, every man is equally entitled to protection by law; but when the laws undertake to add to these natural and just advantages artificial distinctions, to grant titles, gratuities, and exclusive privileges, to make the rich richer and the potent more powerful, the humble members of society-the farmers, mechanics, and laborers-who have neither the time nor the means of securing like favors to themselves, have a right to complain of the injustice of their Government. There are no necessary evils in government. Its evils exist only in its abuses. If it would confine itself to equal protection, and, as Heaven does its rains, shower its favors alike on the high and the low, the rich and the poor, it would be an unqualified blessing. In the act before me there seems to be a wide and unnecessary departure from these just principles. Nor is our Government to be maintained or our Union preserved by invasions of the rights and powers of the several States. In thus attempting to make our General Government strong we make it weak. Its true strength consists in leaving individuals and States as much as possible to themselves-in making itself felt, not in its power, but in its beneficence; not in its control, but in its protection; not in binding the States more closely to the center, but leaving each to move unobstructed in its proper orbit.

Experience should teach us wisdom. Most of the difficulties our Government now encounters and most of the dangers which impend over our Union have sprung from an abandonment of the legitimate objects of Government by our national legislation, and the adoption of such principles as are embodied in this act. Many of our rich men have not been content with equal protection and equal benefits, but have besought us to make them richer by act of Congress. By attempting to gratify their desires we have in the results of our legislation arrayed section against section, interest against interest, and man against man, in a fearful commotion which threatens to shake the foundations of our Union. It is time to pause in our career to review our principles, and if possible revive that devoted patriotism and spirit of compromise which distinguished the sages of the Revolution and the fathers of our Union. If we can not at once, in justice to interests vested under improvident legislation, make our Government what it ought to be, we can at least take a stand against all new grants of monopolies and exclusive privileges, against any prostitution of our Government to the advancement of the few at the expense of the many, and in favor of compromise and gradual reform in our code of laws and system of political economy. I have now done my duty to my country. If sustained by my fellow citizens, I shall be grateful and happy; if not, I shall find in the motives which impel me ample grounds for contentment and peace. In the difficulties which surround us and the dangers which threaten our institutions there is cause for neither dismay nor alarm. For relief and deliverance let us firmly rely on that kind Providence which I am sure watches with peculiar care over the destinies of our Republic, and on the intelligence and wisdom of our countrymen. Through His abundant goodness and heir patriotic devotion our liberty and Union will be preserved. **ANDREW JACKSON.**

Doc 100.0.5.2.....10 of 37...About Fort Knox Gold: <http://www.fgmr.com/right2know.htm>
In the 1970's a very courageous gentleman named Edward Durrell claimed that substantially all of the US Gold Reserve being stored at Ft. Knox was gone. Only 1,000 tonnes or so of the 8,500 tonnes supposedly being stored there remained. The rest had been secretly taken from Ft. Knox and shipped to London in 1967 and early 1968 for sale by President Johnson in an ill-fated attempt to keep the price of Gold at \$35 per ounce.
<http://hardtruth.topcities.com/nelsonrockefellerandfortknoxscandel.htm>

First, about Fort Knox. You know, the Fort Knox Gold Scandal is just like the Watergate Scandal in one respect: There is a desperate cover-up going on right now just as happened with Watergate. The Fort Knox Gold Scandal cover-up really passed the point of no return last September when the United States Treasury perpetrated the Fort Knox gold inspection hoax in an attempt to discredit my charges that there's no gold in Fort Knox because it had all been illegally removed. Since that time the Government has been getting in deeper and deeper and deeper, involving more and more people in all sorts of maneuvers to try to keep the lid on. For example, when the Congressmen and newsmen visited Fort Knox last September, news stories promised everybody that the visit would be followed up by an audit of the Fort Knox gold by the General Accounting Office, but what they actually did was just a very superficial exercise just to make the record look good, and the group of 15 men that did it had only two (2) General Accounting Office representatives on it. All the rest were from the Treasury itself--in other words, the fox went into the henhouse to count our chickens for us.
<http://www.skolnicksreport.com/hoodwink.html>. It may come as a shock to some, but the U.S. has very little so-called "U.S. government" gold bullion in Fort Knox. A brave outspoken journalist, Tom Valentine, in the 1970s, exposed as a fraud that there was World-trade-quality gold at Fort Knox. All they have left are poor quality, orangish-looking, melted down coin metal from the seizure in 1934, of gold coins from America's common people. [The American aristocracy, warned in advance, shipped THEIR gold out of the U.S.] The U.S. government gold is gone. Why? Because it was shipped, under the supervision of a ply-able U.S. General, to the private central octopus called the Bank of England, in 1968, to stem a run on that bank which had somehow lost all their own gold.][<http://www.freedomdomain.com/News/nnorfed.html>. The organization chaired by Alan Greenspan is a coalition of private international banks, that does not answer to the United States Government. And there is no precious metal warehoused in Fort Knox or elsewhere that backs the money that they issue. <http://www.anomalous-images.com/text/NAZNWO08.TXT>. Large shipment of gold leaves Fort Knox, public doesn't know that their national gold 'reserves' are being secretly depleted by one-World national socialist agents working in U.S. government. Gold at Fort Knox replaced with gold-plated lead bars, making it the biggest heist in history. Rockefellers involved. James MacDonald becomes critical of Air Force and the CIA.
http://hardtruth.topcities.com/treason_new_world_order.htm

Throughout the 20th century this movement toward a one World government has been marching on. This is not new or recent. In his book Critical Path Buckminster Fuller gives a very impressive sweep of the 20th century, about the large corporations and their agents and the lawyers who basically control the country far more than the people understand. He talked about how all the gold was removed from Fort Knox by the 1960's. Where did it go? It went to the banks. They own the country. Fuller called the CIA,

"capitalism's invisible army." We have a Constitution and our Bill of Rights (the first 10 amendments) that makes us free. Right? Then visit:

<http://www.trimonline.org/> <http://www.getusout.org/> <http://www.thenewamerican.com> <http://www.givemeliberty.org/>
<http://www.jbs.org/> <http://www.getawarrant.com/> <http://www.dixierising.com/> <http://www.dixienet.org/>
<http://www.palmetto.org/>
<http://www.southerncaucus.org/> <http://www.spofoa.org/> <http://www.southern-style.com/>
<http://www.nca.mybravenet.com/>

John C. Calhoun's "Speech to Separate Government from the Banks." 1837

Note that in this November 3, 1837 speech, Calhoun is arguing against a motion to replace his "bill" for free banking without a central bank with a "substitution" amendment which would centralize banking power and mingle it with government power which was the BankLords' half-way measure towards restoring their private central banking system which was ended with the famous veto by Andrew Jackson in 1832. Calhoun was the great expositor and elaborator of the thought of Thomas Jefferson. It was against these views that the American Civil War was fought. The speech is as appropriate for our time as it was for Calhoun's -- if only we had men to utter it.

.. but the danger which an excited imagination anticipates hereafter from the [anti-central bank bill Calhoun was proposing] would exist in sober reality under the substitute [a half-way house measure on the way to a full-blown central bank]. There it would require neither fancy nor conjecture to create one. It would exist with all its faculties and endowments complete: discounts, deposits and all. With immense means guided by a central and directing hand and blended and united with the government so as to form one great mass of power... What a contrast to the [Calhoun's separation of government from the banking system] bill. How simple and harmless the one with its four simple receivers, twice as many clerks and five inspectors compared with this complex and might engine of power. And yet there are many, both intelligent and patriotic, who oppose the bill and support the substitute [pro-central bank] on the ground that the former would give more patronage and power than the latter. How strange and wonderful the diversity of the human mind.

So far from being true the very fact of the separation of the government from the banks provided for in the bill would of itself be the most decisive blow that can be given to government patronage. And the union of the two the most decisive in its favor. When their notes are received in the public dues as cash and the public money deposited in their vaults the banks become the allies of the government on all questions connected with its fiscal action. The higher its taxes and duties the greater its revenue and expenditure and the larger its surplus and the more their circulation in business and, of course, the greater their profit. And hence on all questions of taxation and disbursements and the accumulation of funds in the treasury their interest would throw them on the side of the government and against the people.

All this is reversed when separated. The higher the taxation and disbursements and the larger the surplus the less would be their profit. And their interest in that case would throw them with the people and against the government. The reason is obvious. Specie [gold -- Constitutional "Eagle" gold coin etc.] is the basis of banking operations and the greater amount they can command the greater will be their business and profits. But when the government is separated from them and collects and pays always its dues in specie instead of notes it is clear that the higher the taxes and disbursements and the greater the surplus in the treasury the more specie will be drawn from the use of the banks and the less will be as the basis of their operations and consequently the less their profit. Every dollar withdrawn from them would diminish their business fourfold at least [Calhoun understood the money multiplier] and hence a regard to their own interest would inevitably place them on the side of to which I have assigned them.

The effects on the politics of the country would be great and salutary...The weight of the banks would be taken from the side of the tax consumers where it has been from the commencement of the government and placed on the side of the tax payers. This great division of the community necessarily grows out of the fiscal action of the government.

Take taxation and disbursement together and it will always be found that the one portion of the community pays into the treasury in the shape of taxes more than it receives back in that of disbursements, and that another receives back more than it pays. The former are the tax payers and the latter the consumers, making the great essential and controlling division in all civilized communities. If with us the government has been thrown on the side of tax consumers as it has it must be attributed to its alliance with the banks whose influence has been in consequence at all times steadily and powerfully on that side.

It is to this mischievous and unholy alliance that may be traced almost all the disasters that have befallen us and the great political degeneracy of the country. Hence the protective system, hence its associated and monstrous system of disbursements, hence the collection of more money from the people than the government required, hence the vast and corrupting surpluses, hence legislative and executive usurpations and finally hence the prostration of the currency and the disasters which give rise to our present deliberation.

Revive this fatal connection, adopt this substitute and all this train of evils will again follow with redoubled disasters and corruption...Refuse this connection, adopt this bill and all will be reversed and we shall have some prospect of restoring the constitution and country to their primitive simplicity and purity. The effect of the reversal on the patronage of the government would be great and decisive.

Burk has wisely said that the revenue is the state in modern times. Violence and coercion are no longer the instruments of government in civilized communities. Their reign is passed. Everything is now done by money. It is not only the sinew of war, but of politics over which in the form of patronage it exercises almost unlimited control. Just as the revenue increases or diminishes almost in the same proportion is patronage increased or diminished. But admit for for a moment that neither the separation nor the connection would have any sensible effect to increase or diminish the revenue and that it would be of the same amount whether the bill or substitute should be adopted. Yet even on that supposition the patronage of the latter would be an hundred fold greater than the former. In estimating the amount of patronage growing out of any measure three particulars must be taken into calculation: the number of persons who may be affected by it, their influence in the community and the extent of the control exercised over them. It will be found in comparison that the substitute combines all these elements in far greater degree than the bill as I shall now proceed to show. ...

(end of excerpt) johnccalhoun@yahoogroups.com

[President Abraham Lincoln And The Civil War \(1861 - 1865\)](#)

With the Central Bank killed off, fractional reserve banking moved like a virus through numerous state chartered banks instead causing the instability this form of economics thrives on. When people lose their homes someone else wins them for a fraction of their worth. Depression is good news to the lender; but war causes even more debt and dependency than anything else, so if the money changers couldn't have their Central Bank with a license to print money, a war it would have to be. We can see from this quote of the then chancellor of Germany that slavery was not the only cause for the American Civil War. **"The division of the United States into federations of equal force was decided long before the Civil War by the high financial powers of Europe. These Bankers were afraid that the US, if they remained as one block, and as one nation, would attain economic and financial independence, which would upset their financial domination over the World." Otto von Bismarck chancellor of Germany.**

On the 12th of April 1861 this economic war began. Predictably Lincoln, needing money to finance his war effort, went with his secretary of the treasury to New York to apply for the necessary loans. The money changers wishing the Union to fail offered loans at 24% to 36%. Lincoln declined the offer. An old friend of Lincoln's, **Colonel Dick Taylor of Chicago** was put in charge of solving the problem of how to finance the war. His solution is recorded as this: "Just get Congress to pass a bill authorising the printing of full legal tender treasury notes... and pay your soldiers with them and go ahead and win your war with them also." Colonel Dick Taylor

When Lincoln asked if the people of America would accept the notes **Taylor said: "The people or anyone else will not have any choice in the matter, if you make them full legal tender. They will have the full sanction of the government and be just as good as any money; as Congress is given that express right by the Constitution." Colonel Dick Taylor**

Lincoln agreed to try this solution and printed 450 million dollars worth of the new bills using green ink on the back to distinguish them from other notes (green backs). **"The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers.... The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity. By the adoption of these principles... the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity." Abraham Lincoln**

From this we see that the solution worked so well Lincoln was seriously considering adopting this emergency measure as a permanent policy. This would have been great for everyone except the money changers who quickly realised how dangerous this policy would be for them. They wasted no time in expressing their view in the London Times. Oddly enough, while the article seems to have been designed to discourage this creative financial policy, in it's put down we're clearly able to see the policies goodness.

Times of London: "If this mischievous financial policy, which has its origin in North America, shall become endured down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the World. The brains, and wealth of all countries will go to North America. That country must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe."

From this extract its plan to see that it is the advantage provided by the adopting of this policy which poses a threat to those not using it. 1863, nearly there, Lincoln needed just a bit more money to win the war, and seeing him in this vulnerable state, and knowing that the president could not get the congressional authority to issue more greenbacks, the money changers proposed the passing of the National Bank Act. The act went through. From this point on the entire US money supply would be created out of debt by Bankers buying US government bonds and issuing them from reserves for bank notes. The greenbacks continued to be in circulation until 1994, their numbers were not increased but in fact decreased.

John Kenneth Galbraith: "In numerous years following the war, the Federal Government ran a heavy surplus. It could not (however) pay off its debt, retire its securities, because to do so meant there would be no bonds to back the national bank notes. To pay off the debt was to destroy the money supply."

The American economy has been based on government debt since 1864 and it is locked into this system. Talk of paying off the debt without first reforming the banking system is just talk and a complete impossibility. That same year Lincoln had a pleasant surprise. Turns out the Tsar of Russia, Alexander II, was well aware of the money changers scam. The Tsar was refusing to allow them to set up a central bank in Russia. If Lincoln could limit the power of the money changers and win the war, the Bankers would not be able to split America and hand it back to Britain and France as planned. The Tsar knew that this handing back would come at a cost which would eventually need to be paid back by attacking Russia, it being clearly in the money changers sights. The Tsar declared that if France or Britain gave help to the South, Russia would consider this an act of war. Britain and France would instead wait in vain to have the wealth of the colonies returned to them, and while they waited Lincoln won the civil war. With an election coming up the next year, Lincoln himself would wait for renewed public support before reversing the National Bank Act he had been pressured into approving during the war.

Salmon P. Chase (secretary of the Treasury under Lincoln): "My agency's role in promoting the passage of the National Banking Act was the greatest financial mistake in my life. It has built up a monopoly which affects every interest in the country."



Lincoln's opposition to the central banks financial control and a proposed return to the gold standard are well documented. He would certainly have killed off the national banks monopoly had he not been killed himself only 41 days after being re-elected in 1865. **German Chancellor Otto Von Bismarck** said upon Lincoln's assassination: "I fear that foreign bankers with their craftiness tortuous tricks will entirely control the exuberant riches of America and use it systematically to corrupt modern civilization. They will not hesitate to plunge the whole of Christendom into wars and chaos in order that the earth should become their inheritance." The money changers were pressing for a gold standard because gold was scarce and easier to have a monopoly over. Much of this was already waiting in their hands and each gold merchant was well aware that what they really had could be easily made to seem like much much more. Silver would only widen the field and lower the share so they pressed for...

[More on The American Civil War, the "Bank" of Rome and Abraham Lincoln](#)

In order to finance the war, [President Lincoln](#) approached the banksters. They wanted usury of 28% which Lincoln refused to pay. In order to circumvent the banksters he issued \$450,000,000 in GREENBACKS or U.S. Notes. These GREENBACKS were non usury paying notes and were backed by the credit of the nation. Of course the banksters were furious. Had President Lincoln lived and finished out his 2nd term he would have forever closed the door to the malicious influence of the "Bank" of Rome. On April 15, 1865, he fell a victim to the leaden bullet of the assassin John Wilkes Booth — another tool of the moneylenders!! **Canadian Member of Parliament in 1934** said "...Lincoln was assassinated through the machinations of a group representative of the international bankers who feared the United States President's national credit ambitions and the plot was hatched in Toronto and Montreal... There was only one group who had any reason to desire the death of Lincoln. They were the men opposed to his national currency program and who had fought him throughout the whole of the civil war on his policy of greenback currency. They were the men interested in the establishment of the gold standard [as long as they controlled all of the gold] money system and the right of the [private] bankers to manage the currency and credit of every nation in the World. With Lincoln out of the way, they were able to proceed with that plan and did proceed with it in the United States. Within eight years of Lincoln's assassination, silver was demonetized and the gold standard money system setup."



President Andrew Jackson said, and we might remember, "If congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given them to use themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations." And particularly, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations for profit manifested in such a way as multiplies profit in proportion to the circulation until due collapse of the system under insoluble debt.

Abraham Lincoln said, "The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspire against it in times of adversity. The banking powers are more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. They denounce as public enemies all who question their methods or throw light upon their crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the bankers in the rear. Of the two, the one at my rear is my greatest foe. [As a most undesirable consequence of the war...] Corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow. The money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until the wealth is aggregated in the hands of a few, and the Republic is destroyed. The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity." Abraham Lincoln — money martyred. The perfect monetary system of free coinage of silver and gold was destroyed in 1873 by the private bankers. Silver was harder to monopolize than gold. Lincoln's greenbacks were in circulation until 1994 when they were replaced with the debt-based Federal Reserve Notes. John Surratt, a coconspirator in the [assassination of Abraham Lincoln](#), was photographed wearing the uniform of the Papal Zouave while hiding out in Europe after the assassination under the protection of the Roman Catholic Church as a member of the pope's personal guard.

Abraham Lincoln: "The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity...I am a firm believer in the people. If given the truth, they can be depended upon to meet any national crisis. The great point is to bring them the real facts."

As Lincoln Warned: "I see in the future a crisis which unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. Corporations have been enthroned, an era of corruption will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong it's reign by working upon the prejudices of the people, until wealth is aggregated into a few hands and the Republic destroyed." He had also said - 3 days before they shot him in 1865 that - "Money will no longer be master but become the servant of humanity"

[District of Columbia Act 1871: Enslaved by BankLords "Divine Rights of Kings" Roman Maritime Laws](#)

http://www.serendipity.li/jsmill/us_corporation.htm The United States Isn't a Country — It's a Corporation! by Lisa Guliani ... <http://www.teamlaw.org/HistoryOutline.htm> [click on "Follow this link to see the effect of the District of Columbia Act of 1871."] This Act turned the United States into a Private Corporation with the right to sue and be sued. When you consider the historical facts, the only meaning left for the terms given in the opening paragraph of the District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 (and that which follows) is the "municipal corporation" that was created is a private corporation owned by the existent municipality. And the only government created in that Act was the same government any private corporation has within the operation of its own corporate construct. Thus, we call it Corp. U.S. We also note Congress reserved the right, granted them in the Constitution, to complete dictatorial authority over their Corp. U.S. construct, without regard for its internal operations or officers. Thus, Congress can use it within the ten mile square as they see fit to both govern the municipality as if it were the municipal government and to use it to do things the Constitution did not grant them the privilege of doing (similar to the London square mile for the BankLords).

Please note that although the info presented below from NESARA is accurate, some people think that NESARA is using this info to defraud people, so please read with caveat.



NESARA billboards in front of Capitol Building in Washington D.C. <http://www.nesara.us/pages/home.html>
To help NESARA, **Join the NTAT.** NESARA Also called the Reformation Act: PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS WEBSITE Could POTENTIALLY be FRAUDULENT see <http://www.quatloos.com/NESARA.htm> see also <http://www.nesara.ca> . 1871, February 21: Congress Passes an Act to Provide a Government for the District of Columbia, also known as the Act of 1871* With no constitutional authority to do so, Congress creates a separate form of government for the District of Columbia, a ten mile square parcel of land (see, Acts of the Forty-first Congress," Section 34, Session III, chapters 61 and 62) (sounds similar to the one square mile City of London section for the Bank of England). The act -- passed when the country was weakened and financially depleted in the aftermath of the Civil War -- was a strategic move by foreign interests (international bankers) who were intent upon gaining a stranglehold on the coffers and neck of America. Congress cut a deal with the international bankers (specifically Rothschilds of London) to incur a DEBT to said bankers. Because the bankers were not about to lend money to a floundering nation without serious stipulations, they devised a way to get their foot in the door of the United States. The Act of 1871 formed a corporation called THE UNITED STATES. The corporation, OWNED by foreign interests, moved in and shoved the original Constitution into a dustbin. With the Act of 1871, the organic Constitution was defaced -- in effect vandalized and sabotage -- when the title was capitalized and the word "for" was changed to "of" in the title. * Info from yet unpublished book, "Pentimento: Freedom Revisited." As you will see when reading, just as much of my knowledge of the Trading with the Enemy Act came from Gene Schroder, et al. this, too, came from elsewhere -- from Lisa Guilian of Babel Magazine, whom I first "met" by way of an article by Patrick Bellringer. So, we cooperate as we study and learn the truth. C. E.

Proposed Reformation ACT initiates and demands PEACE IMMEDIATELY and to overturn the Admiralty/Roman/Maritime Laws of Commerce enslaving us

1. Provides forgiveness of credit card, mortgage, and other bank debt as remedy for bank and government frauds;
2. Abolishes the IRS; creates flat rate non-essential "new items only" sales tax revenue for government;
3. Initiates U.S. Treasury Bank System, which absorbs the Federal Reserve, and new precious metals backed U.S. Treasury currency;
4. Restores Constitutional Law;
5. Requires resignations of current administration to be replaced by Constitutionally acceptable President and Vice President Designates until new elections within 120 days;

6. *Requires the President Designate to declare "Peace" enabling international banking improvements to proceed smoothly; ends U.S. aggressive military actions immediately, and many more improvements.*

There are actually two instances of the US and the US citizens operating under two different sets of laws, i.e. one is the Republic's Laws (The Republic of the United States) and the other is the Admiralty/Maritime/Divine Right of Kings/Roman/Canon/Corporate commercial laws (THE UNITED STATES). One instance is "The United States" and the other one is the capitalized version "THE UNITED STATES". The same goes for the names of US citizens, one is regular like "John Doe" and the other is "JOHN DOE". The capitalized form is the one used for the CORPORATE FORM OF GOVERNANCE wherein the US citizen is a slave to the BankLords as shown on your birth certificate. "Our Corporate form of governance is based on Roman Civil Law and Admiralty, or Maritime, Law, which is also known as the 'Divine Right of Kings' and the 'Law of the Seas' -- another fact of American history not taught in our schools. Actually, Roman Civil Law was fully established in the colonies before our nation began, and then became managed by private international law. In other words, the government -- the government created for the District of Columbia via the Act of 1871 -- operates solely under Private International Law, not Common Law, which was the foundation of our Constitutional Republic.

"This fact has impacted all Americans in concrete ways. For instance, although Private International Law is technically only applicable within the District of Columbia, and NOT in the other states of the Union, the arms of the Corporation of the UNITED STATES are called 'departments' --i.e., the Justice Department, the Treasury Department. And those departments affect everyone, no matter where (in what state) they live. Guess what? Each department belongs to the Corporation -- to the UNITED STATES. "Refer to any UNITED STATES CODE (USC). Note the capitalization; this is evidence of a corporation, not a Republic. For example, In Title 28 3002 (15) (A) (B) (C), it is unequivocally stated that the UNITED STATES is a corporation. Translation: the corporation is NOT a separate and distinct entity; it is not disconnected from the government; it IS the government -- your government. This is extremely important! I refer to it as the 'corporate EMPIRE of the UNITED STATES,' which operates under Roman Civil Law outside the original Constitution. How do you like being ruled by a corporation? You say you'll ask your Congressperson about this? "Congress is fully aware of this deception. So it's time that you, too, become aware of the deception. What this great deception means is that the members of Congress do NOT work for us, for you and me. They work for the Corporation, for the UNITED STATES. No wonder we can't get them to do anything on our behalf, or meet our demands, or answer our questions.

"Technically, legally, or any other way you want to look at the matter, the corporate government of the UNITED STATES has no jurisdiction or authority in ANY State of the Union (the Republic) beyond the District of Columbia. Let that tidbit sink in, then ask yourself, could this deception have occurred without full knowledge and complicity of the Congress? Do you think it happened by accident? If you do, you're deceiving yourself. Since the Act of 1871 which established the District of Columbia, we have been living under the UNITED STATES CORPORATION which is owned by certain trans-Atlantic international bankers and aristocracy of Europe and Britain. After passage of the Act of 1871, Congress set a series of subtle and overt deceptions into motion, deceptions in the form of decisions that were meant to sell us down the river. With the fleecing of the flock in 1929 and the surrender of the people's gold in 1933, the 'common herd' was handed over to illegitimate law. "It was also a strategic maneuver by British and European interests (international bankers) intent on gaining a stranglehold on the coffers of America. And, because Congress knew our country was in dire financial straits, certain members of Congress cut a deal with the international bankers (in those days, the Rothschilds of London were dipping their fingers into everyone's pie). . . . There you have the WHY, why members of Congress permitted the international bankers to gain further control of America. . . . "Then, by passing the Act of 1871, Congress formed a corporation known as THE UNITED STATES. This corporation, owned by foreign interests, shoved the organic version of the Constitution aside by changing the word 'for' to 'of' in the title. Let me explain: the original Constitution drafted by the Founding Fathers read: 'The Constitution for the united states of America.' [note that neither the words 'united' nor 'states' began with capital letters] But the CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA' is a corporate constitution, which is absolutely NOT the same document you think it is. First of all, it ended all our rights of sovereignty [sui juris]. So you now have the HOW, how the international bankers got their hands on THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA." To fully understand how our rights of sovereignty were ended, you must know the full meaning of sovereign: SOVEREIGN "Chief or highest, supreme power, superior in position to all others; independent of and unlimited by others; possessing or entitled to; original and independent authority or jurisdiction." --Webster--

In short, our government, which was created by and for us as sovereigns -- free citizens deemed to have the highest authority in the land -- was stolen from us, along with our rights. Keep in mind that, according to the original Constitution, only We the People are sovereign. Government is not sovereign. The Declaration of Independence says, "government is subject to the consent of the governed." That's us -- the sovereigns. When did you last feel like a sovereign? As Lisa Guliani explained: "It doesn't take a rocket scientist or a constitutional historian to figure out that the U.S. Government has NOT been subject to the consent of the governed since long before you or I were born. Rather, the governed are subject to the whim and greed of the corporation, which has stretched its tentacles beyond the ten-mile-square parcel of land known as the District of Columbia. In fact, it has invaded every state of the Republic. Mind you, the corporation has NO jurisdiction beyond the District of Columbia. You just think it does.

THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is the constitution of the incorporated UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. It operates in an economic capacity and has been used to fool the People into thinking it governs the Republic. It does is not! Capitalization is NOT insignificant when one is referring to a legal document. This seemingly "minor" alteration has had a major impact on every subsequent generation of Americans. What Congress did by passing the Act of 1871 was create an entirely new document, a constitution for the government of the District of Columbia, an INCORPORATED government. This newly altered Constitution was not intended to benefit the Republic. It benefits only the

corporation of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and operates entirely outside the original (organic) Constitution. Instead of having absolute and unalienable rights guaranteed under the organic Constitution, we the people now have "relative" rights or privileges. One example is the Sovereign's right to travel, which has now been transformed (under corporate government policy) into a "privilege" that requires citizens to be licensed. By passing the Act of 1871, Congress committed TREASON against the People who were Sovereign under the grants and decrees of the Declaration of Independence and the organic Constitution. [Information courtesy of Lisa Guliani, www.babelmagazine.com. The Act of 1871 became the FOUNDATION of all the treason since committed by government officials.] **The UNITED STATES Isn't a Country - It 's a Corporation!**


Prior to 1913, most Americans owned clear, allodial title to property, free and clear of any liens or mortgages until the Federal Reserve Act (1913) "Hypothecated" all property within the Federal United States Corporation to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve in which the Trustees (stockholders, i.e the BankLords) held legal title. The U.S. citizen (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a "beneficiary" of the trust via his/her birth certificate with the name in upper case (aka "strawman"). If you become damaged, they refer to it as collateral damage. The elected and appointed administrators of government United States government have been filing certified copies of all our birth certificates in the United States Department of Commerce as registered securities. These securities, each of which carries an estimated \$1,000,000 value, have been (and still are) circulated around the World as collateral for loans, entries on the asset side of ledgers, etc., just like any other security. **There's just one problem—we didn't consciously authorize it. Now that you know, you can choose to let them use you for collateral and pay interest on the debt or you can take back your power and sovereignty.**

In 1933, the federal United States **hypothecated all** of the present and future properties, assets and labor of their "subjects," the 14th Amendment U.S. citizen, to the Federal Reserve System under the Admiralty/Maritime Law. In return, the Federal Reserve System agreed to extend the Federal United States Corporation all the credit "money substitute" [money created from nothing, i.e. paper fiat money which could only be created by the BankLords under the fraudulent 1913 Federal Reserve Act legislation] it needed. Like any other debtor, the federal United States government had to assign collateral and security to their creditors as a condition of the loan. Since the federal United States didn't have any assets, they assigned the private property of their "economic slaves", the U.S. citizens as collateral against the un-payable federal debt. They also pledged the unincorporated federal territories, national parks forests, birth certificates, and nonprofit organizations, as collateral against the federal debt. All has already been transferred as payment to the international bankers. Unwittingly, America has returned to its pre-American Revolution, European British feudal roots whereby all land is held by a sovereign and the common people had no rights to hold allodial title to property. Once again, We the People are the tenants and sharecroppers renting our own property from a Sovereign in the guise of the Federal Reserve Bank which uses the IRS to collect tithes. We the people have exchanged one master for another. The federal United States is bankrupt. Our children will inherit this un-payable debt, and the tyranny to enforce paying it. See Traficant congressional March 17, 1993 Vol. 33, page H-1303 address.

How the "Bank" of Rome assassinated silver in 1873

By 1872 the American public was beginning to feel the squeeze, so the Bank of England, scheming in the back rooms, sent Ernest Seyd, with lots of money to bribe congress into demonetising silver. Ernest drafted the legislation himself, which came into law with the passing of the Coinage Act, effectively stopping the minting of silver that year. Here's what he said about his trip, obviously pleased with himself. **Ernest Seyd: "I went to America in the winter of 1872-73, authorised to secure, if I could, the passage of a bill demonetising silver. It was in the interest of those I represented the governors of the Bank of England to have it done. By 1873, gold coins were the only form of coin money."**

Before 1873, most nations of the World except Great Britain had free coinage of silver and gold. That meant that anybody could bring bullion into the government mint and have it coined into money for free. Free coinage was like a reservoir connected by a pipe. Both metals always maintained a perfect parity or equilibrium. When silver was demonetized in 1873, it destroyed this monetary system for the entire World and gold became the sole standard. This caused a great depression in 1873, 1893, and the panic of 1907 led to the creation of the Rockefeller/Rothschild "Federal" Reserve paper, debt and usury "money" system.




Senator John Sherman of Ohio led the fight to demonetize silver after receiving bribes!!

Senator John Sherman of Ohio introduced the Bill that demonetized silver after receiving huge bribes from the Bank of England. Senator John Sherman of Ohio (brother of Civil War hero General William Tecumseh Sherman), was the powerful head of the Senate Finance Committee. In 1869 he stopped in London on his way to a monetary conference in Paris, France. While in England he was wined and dined by Baron Rothschild and the very crème de la crème of English society. At a private dinner in the Baron's mansion in London this conversation took place between the Baron and Senator Sherman:

"Five thousand pounds each year," went on the Baron quietly, "placed in your hands, and supplemented by sums which you would consider necessary, I am satisfied would produce the conditions in the public mind desired. No accounting, you understand, would be required, absolute reliance to be placed in your wisdom and ability" (William Harvey, A Tale of Two Nation, p.51).

With the huge sums of money from the Bank of England, Sherman was able to BUY the members of Congress and The Bill to Reform the Coinage Act was passed by stealth in 1873. The dual system of bimetallism was abandoned for the monometallic or gold standard. This put the U.S. on the gold standard and soon all the nations of the World followed the example of the U.S. and abandoned silver. The World economic order was CRIPPLED when silver was demonetized in 1873 and the World was left with a monometallic gold standard. It was like a man with ONE leg; or ONE eye, or ONE arm. Gold was measured in its ratio to silver and silver was measured in its ratio to gold at 15 to 1.



[The Return Of The Gold Standard \(1866 - 1881\)](#)

"Right after the Civil War there was considerable talk about reviving Lincoln's brief experiment with the Constitutional monetary system. Had not the European money-trust intervened, it would have no doubt become an established institution." W.Cleon Skouse. Even after his death, the idea that America might print its own debt free money set off warning bells throughout the entire European banking community. On April 12th in 1866, the American congress passed the Contraction Act, allowing the treasury to call in and retire some of Lincoln's greenbacks. With only the banks standing to gain from this, it's not hard to work out the source of this action. To give the American public the false impression that they would be better off under the monometallic gold standard, the money changers used the control they had to cause economic instability and panic the people. This was fairly easy to do by calling in existing loans and refusing to issue new ones, a tried and proven method of causing depression. They would then spread the word through the media they largely controlled that the lack of a single gold standard was the cause of the hardship which ensued, while all this time using the Contraction Act to lower the amount of money in circulation. It went from:

\$1.8 billion in circulation in 1866 allowing \$50.46 per person,
to \$1.3 billion in 1867 allowing \$44.00 per person,
to \$0.6 billion in 1876 making only \$14.60 per person and down
to \$0.4 billion only ten years later leaving only \$6.67 per person and a continually growing population.


Most people believe the economists when they tell us that recessions and depressions are part of the natural flow, but in truth the money supply is controlled by a small minority who have always done so and will continue to do so if we let them. After silver was demonetized, gold was measured by itself. This reduced the amount of money in the World by half and doubled the wealth of the bond holders. Gold today has absolutely no ratio to silver and the current price of gold is about \$360 an ounce. The gold standard led to the establishment of the private Rockefeller/Rothschild "Federal" Reserve Bank in 1913 based on a monetized debt-usury system. Within three years of 1873, with 30% of the work force unemployed, the American people began to harken back to the days of silver backed money and the greenbacks. **The US Silver Commission was set up to study the problem and responded with telling history:** "The disaster of the Dark Ages was caused by decreasing money and falling prices... Without money, civilisation could not have had a beginning, and with a diminishing supply, it must languish and unless relieved, finally perish. At the Christian era the metallic money of the Roman Empire amounted to \$1,800,million. By the end of the fifteenth century it had shrunk to less than \$200,million. History records no other such disastrous transition as that from the Roman Empire to the Dark Ages..." **United States Silver Commission**

Despite this report, Congress took no action. While they obviously could see the problems being caused by the restricted money supply, this declaration did little to help the problem, and in 1877 riots broke out all over the country. The bank's response was to do nothing except to campaign against the idea that greenbacks should be reissued. **The American Bankers Association secretary James Buel** expressed the Bankers' attitude well in a letter to fellow members of the association. He wrote: "It is advisable to do all in your power to sustain such prominent daily and weekly newspapers, especially the Agricultural and Religious Press, as will oppose the greenback issue of paper money and that you will also withhold patronage from all applicants who are not willing to oppose the government issue of money. To repeal the Act creating bank notes, or to restore to circulation the government issue of money will be to provide the people with money and will therefore seriously affect our individual profits as Bankers and lenders. See your congressman at once and engage him to support our interest that we may control legislation." What this statement exposes is the difference in mentality between your average person and a banker. With a banker 'less really is more' and every need an opportunity to exploit.

James Garfield became President in 1881 with a firm grasp of where the problem lay. "Whosoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce... And when you realise that the entire system is very easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate." Within weeks of releasing this statement President Garfield was assassinated. The cry from the streets was to....

[International Bankers' Manifesto Exposed in 1892 by Lindbergh](#)

<http://www.redicecreations.com/specialreports/2005/07jul/bankersmanifesto.html>



This was revealed by US Congressman Charles A. Lindbergh Sr. from Minnesota before the US Congress sometime during his term of office between the years of 1907 and 1917 to warn the citizens.

"We (the bankers) must proceed with caution and guard every move made, for the lower order of people are already showing signs of restless commotion. Prudence will therefore show a policy of apparently yielding to the popular will until our plans are so far consummated that we can declare our designs without fear of any organized resistance. The Farmers Alliance and Knights of Labor organizations in the United States should be carefully watched by our trusted men, and we must take immediate steps to control these organizations in our interest or disrupt them. At the coming Omaha Convention to be held July 4th (1892), our men must attend and direct its movement, or else there will be set on foot such antagonism to our designs as may require force to overcome. This at the present time would be premature. We are not yet ready for such a crisis. Capital must protect itself in every possible manner through combination (conspiracy) and legislation. The courts must be called to our aid, debts must be collected, bonds and mortgages foreclosed as rapidly as possible.

When through the process of the law, the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and easily governed through the influence of the strong arm of the government applied to a central power of imperial wealth under the control of the leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. History repeats itself in regular cycles. This truth is well known among our principal men who are engaged in forming an imperialism of the world. While they are doing this, the people must be kept in a state of political antagonism. The question of tariff reform must be urged through the organization known as the Democratic Party, and the question of protection with the reciprocity must be forced to view through the Republican Party. By thus dividing voters, we can get them to expand their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us, except as teachers to the common herd. Thus, by discrete action, we can secure all that has been so generously planned and successfully accomplished."

American Bankers Association as printed in the Congressional Record of April 29, 1913 for 1891: "On Sept 1st, 1894, we will not renew our loans under any consideration. On Sept 1st we will demand our money. We will foreclose and become mortgagees in possession. We can take two-thirds of the farms west of the Mississippi and thousands of them east of the Mississippi as well, at our own price... Then the farmers will become tenants as in England..."

THE BANKERS' MANIFESTO OF 1892

Capital must protect itself in every way, through combination and through legislation. Debts must be collected and loans and mortgages foreclosed as soon as possible. When through a process of law, the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and more easily governed by the strong arm of the law applied by the central power of wealth, under control of leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. This is well known among our principle men now engaged in forming an IMPERIALISM of capital to govern the world. By dividing the people we can get them to expend their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us except as teachers of the common herd. Thus by discrete action we can secure for ourselves what has been generally planned and successfully accomplished. from: <http://www.mayanmajix.com/art430.html>



Free Silver and the Panic of 1893 (1891 - 1912)

Fleeing of the flock is the term the money changers use for the process of booms and depressions which make it possible for them to repossess property at a fraction of its worth. In 1891 a major fleece was being planned. "On Sept 1st, 1894, we will not renew our loans under any consideration. On Sept 1st we will demand our money. We will foreclose and become mortgagees in possession. We can take two-thirds of the farms west of the Mississippi, and thousands of them east of the Mississippi as well, at our own price... **Then the farmers will become tenants as in England...**" **1891 American Bankers Association as printed in the Congressional Record of April 29, 1913.** The continued gold standard made this possible. The Panic of 1893, initiated by failure of the Philadelphia and Reading Railroad in February 1893, was followed by a four-year depression. Underlying causes were rapidly decreasing gold reserves, industrial overexpansion, poor crop harvests in the South and West, and an economic slump in Europe. Thousands of business (including a quarter of the railroads) worth hundreds of millions of dollars went bankrupt. Riots broke out in Chicago. Jacob Coxey organized 100 jobless for a march on Washington in Massillon, Ohio. By the time they got there, their numbers had swelled to 500, all of whom unsuccessfully petitioned for a \$500 million public works program. **William Jennings Bryan** was the Democratic candidate for president in 1896, campaigning to bring silver back as a money standard. (free Silver) "We will answer their demand for a gold standard by saying to them: You shall not press down upon the brow of labour this crown of thorns, you shall not crucify mankind upon a cross of gold." **William Jennings Bryan**

Of course the money changers supported his opposition on the Republican side so long as he wanted the gold standard maintained. **The factory bosses were somehow convinced to tell their work force that business would close down if Bryan was elected, and everyone would lose their jobs.** The Republicans won by a small margin. Bryan tried again in 1900 and in 1908 but lost both times. He became secretary of state under Wilson in 1912 but became disenchanted and resigned in 1915 under suspicious circumstances connected with the sinking of the Lusitania which drove America into the First World War. It will come as a big surprise to many to find that the World's leading bankers financed the Russian Revolution and

took an active part in it. But it is true. Modern banking was founded by Meyer Amschel Rothschild in Frankfort, Germany. The Rothschilds, Warburgs, and Schiffs intermarried and spread their banking throughout the World. Paul M. Warburg married Jenney Nina Loeb and Jacob Schiff married Therisa Loeb, thus in combination they gained control of the American banking house of Khun, Loeb and Co. Paul M. Warburg came to the United States in 1906 to oversee the writing of the Federal Reserve Bank Act. He became a citizen in 1911, was decorated by the Kaiser in 1912, and was appointed Vice-Chairman of the new Federal Reserve Board in 1914.

[BankLords use US to Capture More Foreign Lands and Commit War Crimes in Philippines \(1900's\)](#)

The Philippines, rich in resources and gold and oil, is a US neo-colonial state since 1899 when the US invaded and suppressed the Philippino's independence struggle. US aggression in the Philippines has claimed millions of lives. The Filipino-American War a century ago was a witness to the millions of Filipinos slaughtered in an imperial expansionism. During the first two days of the war since its outbreak on Feb. 3, 1899, a total of 3,000 Filipinos were slain. Roughly 1.4 million Filipinos were killed in that war. This figure does not include the 100,000 lives claimed by General Bell's military campaigns from 1899 to 1903. Two-thirds of the entire United States Armed Forces, or roughly 125,000 US soldiers, were deployed in the Philippines at the start of the war. This carnage was highlighted by the infamous Balangiga massacre on Samar Island, in which 50,000 Filipinos were butchered. American soldiers, headed by General Jacob Smith, turned Samar into a "howling wilderness" by burning and killing everything in sight, notwithstanding the age or gender of the individual. Some who did not die in the bloodbath eventually passed away because of malnutrition or infections during hamletting. The population of Samar was reduced to 257,715 from 312,192 before the massacre. http://www.ing7.net/opi/2003/oct/16/letter_3-1.htm .



Filipino casualties on the first day of war. National Archives Photo No. 111-RB-1037. General [Jacob H. Smith's](#) infamous order, "Kill everyone over ten," was the caption in the [New York Journal](#) cartoon on [May 5, 1902](#). The Old Glory draped an American shield on which a vulture replaced the bald eagle. Caption is: "Criminals because they were born ten years before we took the Philippines." The general was court-martialed.

Similarly, records from the Philippine Centennial War Initiative revealed that 100,000 civilian Muslims were killed during the American conquest of Mindanao at the early phase of US occupation. Several statutes that bluntly violated basic human rights, like the 1901 Sedition law and Reconcentration Act of 1903, legitimized the killings and human rights abuses all over the archipelago. After its occupation of the Philippines, the US made sure that it would retain control by the signing of prejudiced military treaties. Among these were the Military Bases Agreement and the Mutual Defense Treaty. These gave way for continued exploitation and other criminal acts. Even after the turnover of US military bases to the Philippines, former employees and nearby residents of the bases continue to suffer from the deformities and other diseases brought about by the presence of toxic wastes inside the bases' facilities. This despite the resolutions of the Philippine Congress for the US Armed Forces to immediately remove and clean their former bases of any toxic material. But the exodus of US soldiers from Philippine soil was short-lived as the Philippine Senate ratified the Visiting Forces Agreement in 1997 and secretly signed the Military Logistics Support Agreement. The two agreements paved the way for waves of joint military exercises with US troops, thus further intensifying the militarization in the countryside as a result of counter-insurgency programs of different administrations. The recent sellout was Foreign Affairs Secretary Blas Ople's, a known "Am-boy," in a non-surrender agreement on US soldiers who committed crimes in Philippine soil to the International Criminal Court. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philippine-American_War

General Jacob H. Smith's infamous order, "Kill everyone over ten," was the caption in the New York Journal cartoon on May 5, 1902. The Old Glory draped an American shield on which a vulture replaced the bald eagle. Caption is: "Criminals because they were born ten years before we took the Philippines." In 1908, Manuel Arellano Remondo, in a book entitled General Geography of the Philippine Islands, wrote: "The population decreased due to the wars, in the five-year period from 1895 to 1900, since, at the start of the first insurrection, the population was estimated at 9,000,000, and at present (1908), the inhabitants of the Archipelago do not exceed 8,000,000 in number." [8] U.S. attacks into the countryside often included scorched earth campaigns where entire villages were burned and destroyed, torture (water cure) and the

concentration of civilians into "protected zones" (concentration camps). Many of the civilian casualties resulted from disease and famine. Reports of the execution of U.S. soldiers taken prisoner by the Filipinos led to savage reprisals by American forces. Many American officers and soldiers called war a "nigger killing business". From almost the beginning of the war, soldiers wrote home describing, and usually bragging about, atrocities committed against Filipinos, soldiers and civilians alike. Increasingly, such personal letters, or portions of them, reached a national audience as anti-imperialist editors across the nation reproduced them. Once these accounts were widely reproduced, the War Department was forced to demand that General Otis investigate their authenticity. For each press clipping, he forwarded it to the writer's commanding officer, who would then convince the soldier to write a retraction.

Private Charles Brenner of the Kansas regiment resisted such pressure. He insisted that Colonel Funston had ordered that all prisoners be shot and that Major Metcalf and Captain Bishop enforced these orders. Otis was obliged to order the Northern Luzon sector commander, General MacArthur, to look into the charge. Brenner confronted MacArthur's aide with a corroborating witness, Private Putman, who confessed to shooting two prisoners after Bishop or Metcalf ordered, "Kill them! Damn it, Kill them!" MacArthur sent his aide's report on to Otis with no comment. Otis ordered Brenner court-martialed "for writing and conniving at the publication of an article which... contains willful falsehoods concerning himself and a false charge against Captain Bishop." The judge advocate in Manila convinced Otis that such a trial could open a Pandora's box, as "facts would develop implicating many others."

General Otis sent the Brenner case to Washington writing: "After mature deliberation, I doubt the wisdom of court-martial in this case, as it would give the insurgent authorities a knowledge of what was taking place and they would assert positively that our troops had practiced inhumanities, whether the charge should be proven or not, as they would use it as an excuse to defend their own barbarities;" and Otis went on, justifying the war crimes, "and it is not thought that his charge is very grievous under the circumstances then existing, as it was very early in the war, and the patience of our men was under great strain." Towards the end of 1899, General Otis attempted to repair his battered image. He began to work to win new friends among the journalists in Manila and bestowed favors on any journalist who gave him favourable press. As one historian wrote about Marinduque, the first island with concentration camps: "The triple press of concentration (camps), devastation, and harassment led Abad (the Marinduque commander) ...to request a truce to negotiate surrender terms... The Army pacified Marinduque not by winning the allegiance of the people, but by imposing coercive measures to control their behavior and separate them from the insurgents in the field. Ultimately, military and security measures proved to be the (essential element) of Philippine pacification." [13] This assessment could probably be applied to all of the Philippines.

During the closing months of 1899, Emilio Aguinaldo attempted to counter General Otis's account by suggesting that neutral parties—foreign journalists or representatives of the International Red Cross inspect his military operations. Otis refused, but Emilio Aguinaldo managed to smuggle in four reporters—two English, one Canadian, and a Japanese into the Philippines. The correspondents returned to Manila to report that American captives were "treated more like guests than prisoners," were "fed the best that the country affords, and everything is done to gain their favor." The story went on to say that American prisoners were offered commissions in the Philippine army and that three had accepted. The four reporters were expelled from the Philippines as soon as their stories were printed. Emilio Aguinaldo also released some American prisoners so they could tell their own stories. In a Boston Globe article entitled "With the Goo Goo's" Paul Spillane described his fair treatment as a prisoner. Emilio Aguinaldo had even invited American captives to the christening of his baby and had given each a present of four dollars, Spillane recounted. Naval Lieutenant J.C. Gilmore, whose release was forced by American cavalry pursuing Aguinaldo into the mountains, insisted that he had received "considerable treatment" and that he was no more starved than were his captors. Otis responded to these two articles by ordering the "capture" of the two authors, and that they be "investigated", therefore questioning their loyalty. When F.A. Blake of the International Red Cross arrived at Emilio Aguinaldo's request, Otis kept him confined to Manila, where Otis's staff explained all of the Filipinos' violations of civilized warfare. Blake managed to slip away from an escort and venture into the field. Blake never made it past American lines, but even within American lines he saw burned out villages and "horribly mutilated bodies, with stomachs slit open and occasionally decapitated." Blake waited to return to San Francisco, where he told one reporter that "American soldiers are determined to kill every Filipino in sight."

[J.P.Morgan And The Crash Of 1907](#)

If you want to work out the cause of the crash of 1907, checking who benefited is where you might like to look first. With the stock market slump causing most of the over extended banks to falter, in steps J.P. Morgan offering to save the day. People will do strange things when in a panic, and this might explain why Morgan was authorised to print \$200 million from nothing, which he then used to prop things up. Some of the troubled banks with less than 1% in reserve had no choice. It was accept this solution or go under. Even if they had worked out that their problems had been caused by the same people now offering the solution, there is not a lot they could have done about it. J.P.Morgan was hailed a hero. "All this trouble could be averted if we appointed a committee of six or seven men like J.P.Morgan to handle the affairs of our country." **Woodrow Wilson (total sellout to the BankLords!)**. The Conspiracy wished to take control of American money, "mobilize its credit" to finance World War I and overthrow the Czar (for Russian money and creation of their private central bank). And it needed America to assure victory over Germany and control of the Bundesbank. **President Woodrow Wilson** was pressured into signing the Federal Reserve Act and to declare war on Germany. Later he was to say, "I have involuntarily ruined my government". Ominously, he warned, "Some of the biggest men in the United States...are afraid of something. They know there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it." (And it has grown enormously from a century of war.) **But not everyone was fooled at that time.**

"Those not favourable to the money trust could be squeezed out of business and the people frightened into demanding changes in the banking and currency laws which the Money Trust would frame." **Rep. Charles A. Lindbergh (R-MN)**

Causing booms and busts is a tried and proven method of raking in massive wealth, and what people often forget when they lose what they have, is that in the process someone else has won it. Apart from making a small number rich at the expense of the many, in this case the instability also served the second purpose of encouraging the public to believe that they would be better off living under a Central Bank and a Gold Standard. Desperate people have little time for logic. In his book *Tragedy and Hope*, **Quigley** says this: "The Rhodes scholarship established by the terms of Cecil Rhodes' seventh will are known to everyone. What is not so widely known is that Rhodes, in five previous wills, left his fortune to form a secret society, which was to devote itself to the preservation and expansion of the British Empire. This secret society continues to exist to this day."

The structure of the secret society was formed along classical, conspiratorial lines. If you study any of the better-known conspiracies of history, you find that they often are structured as rings within rings. Generally there's a leader or a small group of two or three people at the center. They form a ring of supporters around them of perhaps eight or ten or twelve, and those people think they are the total organization. They are not aware that two or three of them are in control. And then the twelve are instructed to create a larger ring around them of perhaps one- or two-hundred people who all think they are the total organization, not realizing there are twelve who are really directing it. These rings extend outward until, finally, they reach into the mainstream community where they enlist the services of innocent people who perform various tasks of the secret society without realizing who is creating the agenda or why. The Rhodes organization was set up exactly along those lines. **Quigley tells us this:** "In the secret society, Rhodes was to be leader. Stead, Brett, and Milner were to form an executive committee. Arthur Balfour, Sir Harry Johnston, Lord Rothschild, Albert Grey, and others were listed as potential members of a Circle of Initiates, while there was to be an outer circle known as the Association of Helpers."

After the death of Cecil Rhodes, the organization fell under the control of Lord Alfred Milner, who was Governor General and High Commissioner of South Africa, also a very powerful person in British banking and politics. He recruited young men from the upper class of society to become part of the Association of Helpers. Unofficially, they were known as "Milner's Kindergarten." They were chosen because of their class origin, their intelligence, and especially because of their dedication to collectivism. They were quickly placed into important positions in government and other power centers to promote the hidden agenda of the secret society. Eventually, this Association of Helpers became the inner rings of much larger groups, which expanded throughout the British Empire and into the United States.

This is what **Quigley says:** "Through Lord Milner's influence, these men were able to win influential posts in government, in international finance, and become the dominant influence in British imperial affairs and foreign affairs up to 1939. In 1909 through 1913, they organized semi-secret groups known as Round Table Groups, in the chief British dependencies and the United States. Once again the task was given to Lionel Curtis who established, in England and each dominion, a front organization to the existing local Round Table Group. This front organization, called the Royal Institute of International Affairs, had as its nucleus in each area the existing, submerged Round Table Group. In New York it was known as the Council on Foreign Relations, and was a front for J.P. Morgan and Company."

Finally, we begin to understand the significance of an obscure organization that plays a decisive roll in contemporary American political life, The Council on Foreign Relations. Now we see where it came from, who controls it, and how its rings within rings fit into the global strategy for ruling class collectivism.

[Lincoln' Statue Watches The Fed](#)

In Washington the statue of Lincoln sitting in his chair is facing a building called the Federal Reserve Headquarters. This institution would not be there if Lincoln had lived to finish his second term in office. It is not Federal and it has doubtful reserves. The name is an open deception designed to give this private bank the appearance that it is operating in the public's interest, when in fact it is run solely to gain private profit for its select stock holders. It came into being as the result of one of the slickest moves in financial history. Here's how. A secret meeting was held on Jekyll Island (owned by J.P. Morgan) in Georgia (around 1910) at which the roadmap towards creating the Federal Reserve was finalized. It included the birth of a banking cartel to protect its members from competition; the strategy of how to convince Congress and the public that this cartel was an agency of the United States government, etc.. At this meeting were seven men who represented an estimated one forth of the total wealth of the entire World:

1. **Nelson W. Aldrich**, Republican "whip" in the Senate, Chairman of the National Monetary Commission, business associate of J.P. Morgan, father-in-law to John D. Rockefeller, Jr.;
2. **Abraham Piatt Andrew**, Assistant Secretary of the United States Treasury;
3. **Frank A. Vanderlip**, president of the National City Bank of New York, the most powerful of the banks at that time, representing William Rockefeller and the international investment banking house of Kuhn, Loeb & Company;
4. **Henry P. Davison**, senior partner of the J.P Morgan Company;
5. **Charles D. Norton**, president of J.P. Morgan's First National Bank of New York;
6. **Benjamin Strong**, head of J.P. Morgan's Bankers Trust Company; and
7. **Paul M. Warburg**, a partner in Kuhn, Loeb & Company, a representative of the Rothschild banking dynasty in England and France, and brother to Max Warburg who was head of the Warburg banking consortium in Germany and the Netherlands.

The Illuminati interests wanted to create a Central Bank in America. They wanted to create the privately owned Federal Reserve modeled on the privately owned Bank of England. First, they needed a bunch of banking crisis' that would push public opinion towards a Federal Reserve system. These were provided by the Illuminati, including J.P. Morgan's Knickerbocker Panic of 1907. Second, they needed a favorable U.S. president in office. Rothschild agent Colonel House provided this by getting Woodrow Wilson elected. The American people were being conditioned. To provide the 'reform of the American banking system" a congressional National Monetary Commission was created and a man related to the Rockefellers, Nelson Aldrich, was put in charge. For two years this Commission traveled around Europe hob-nobbing with the Illuminati and getting directions as to how the central bank should be set up. Then the Commission returned in 1910, and Nelson Aldrich went to a secret meeting at the Jekyll Island Hunt Club in Georgia to write the legislation for an American central bank to be run by the Illuminati. Others at the Jekyll island meeting were these Illuminati men - A. Platt Andrew, Frank Vanderlip (of a Kuhn-Loeb & Company bank), Henry Davidson (of J.P. Morgan), Charles Norton (of a Morgan bank), Paul Warburg (of Kuhn-Loeb & Company and brother-in-law of Schiff), Benjamin Strong (of another Morgan company). Most of these men were connected to Jacob Schiff or J.P. Morgan, who in turn were agents for the House of Rothschild.

Below are the list of people whom many Good Free American Protestant Bible Believing Christians called them as Children Of Lucifer. These people are the ones masterminded and conspired for the creation of illegal FEDERAL RESERVE BANK: Theodore Roosevelt, Paul Warburg - Representative Of Rothschild, Woodrow Wilson - U.S. President Signed FED Into Act, Nelson W. Aldrich - Representative Of Rockefeller, Benjamin Strong - Representative Of Rockefeller, Frank A. Vanderlip - Representative Of Rockefeller, John D. Rockefeller - Rockefeller Himself, Henry Davison - Representative Of J. P. Morgan, Charles Norton - Representative Of J. P. Morgan.

All the wealthy and powerful men who were against forming the centralized banking cartel we now call FEDERAL RESERVE BANK and whom the Jesuits wanted to get rid of were invited to take the 1912 Titanic cruise. Three of the richest and most important of these were Benjamin Guggenheim, Isador Strauss, the head of Macy's Department Stores, and John Jacob Astor. Jesuits made a sinister plan, i.e. "SINKING OF THE TITANIC", where Jesuit Fr. Francis Browne boarded the Titanic with the wealthy Jews who opposed the Fed and was later ordered by the JESUIT GENERAL to disembark as the ship will be sunk intentionally by Capt. Edward Smith - a fanatic follower of the Jesuits.

The Titanic which sank in 1912 was carrying the richest men on earth who were opposed to the BankLords' plans to create their private central bank in the US (some say that the recent videotapes of the sunken ship suggest that an explosion took place aboard, otherwise this was a great stroke of luck for the Jesuit society operative who was supposed to accompany these men on board but did not). These men who went down with the Titanic were wealthy Jews who were resisting the establishment of a private centralized bank by defying Zionist Masons in America, particularly John Jacob Astor, who was a personal friend of Supreme Court Justice Louis Brandeis. Brandeis greatly resisted the establishment of the private Central Bank. Astor, Guggenheim, and Straus were three extremely wealthy Jewish men who went down with the Titanic. Astor was some say the wealthiest man in the World other than the Pope. However, he was NOT using his wealth in accord with the Rothschild Order. After his death in the Titanic, his son, John Jacob Astor IV, took over his money trust (see Eugene Sue's The Wandering Jew). John Jacob was willing to go along with being part of the privately owned central bank system.

The Republicans, dedicated to grafting themselves to these wealthy BankLords, proposed a most incredible solution of The Federal Reserve Act in 1911: concentration of these same bankers' powers under one "central" bank comprised of a collection of the perpetrating banks together. Understandably, the Democrats were elected to power in 1912 on a platform explicitly declaring opposition to the establishment of a central bank. The Republicans were defeated in this election for strong public distrust of their proposal to concentrate powers beyond democracy or any thought of representative government in the central bank advocated by their Aldrich Plan. Just such powers however, were eventually inherited in an incredible chain of events which soon afterward created the Federal Reserve on the eve of December 23, 1913 — circumventing unlikely passage by a contrived, orchestrated emergency session predominantly marked by the Christmas absence of those who opposed the incredibly corrupt proposition. Representative government was usurped; and never again would the public be permitted, by the perpetual servants of the usurers, to contest the rectitude of that oppressive system. Despite the explicit 1912 platform of the Democrats being declared opposition to the creation of a central bank, the "Federal Reserve" nevertheless — virtually the same Aldrich/Republican entity re-dressed under a purposely misleading name — was created only a year later, in 1913, as an energetic project under Democrat President Woodrow Wilson.

Actually, the Republican Party of betrayers proposed consolidating powers in the same 12 private banks as we "know" today as "The Federal Reserve," and the Democrat Party of betrayers promised never to do such a thing. The Federal Reserve System began operation in 1914, and, true to vigilant public anticipation, accomplished both principal ownership and ruin of the economy in 1929 — just 15 years afterward. The careless rode a wave of inflation, straight to the doom of all. The collapsing of the securities market is the same takeover ruse which the proposed Aldrich Central Bank was promised to prevent in the propaganda of the carefully re-named "Federal Reserve" System. As history continues to prove, a huge volume of unrepealed, financial legislative acts were thus based on purposed deception.

[The Federal Reserve Act of 1913](#)

Finally, the BankLords, those who profit by creating and manipulating the amount of money in circulation, had their privately owned central bank installed again in America, the very banking model that the founding fathers wanted to escape from when they left Britain. The major newspapers (which they owned or heavily influenced through their advertising) hailed passage of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, telling the public that "now depressions could be scientifically prevented." The fact of the matter was that now depressions could be scientifically initiated by the BankLords. By bribery, deceitful political manipulation and abuse of their press influence and ownership, they had usurped the monetary function of government. The U.S. government was left with only trivial relics of its sovereign monetary power: the minting of coins (a tiny fraction of the money supply, but a debt-free one); the re-printing of Lincoln's U.S. notes (Greenbacks, but limited to \$300,000,000 total); and issuing a limited number of gold and silver certificates.

Now, the BankLords create money by merely creating paper credits in the central banking cartels which they own or in the local banks which they own. The Federal Reserve Act stipulated that the interest on the debt was to be paid in gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal Reserve Act for ever paying the principle.

As Mr. James Rand, former President of Remington Rand, Inc. well said: "No government should permit such coercive power over its own credit to be held by any one group or class as the privately owned Federal Reserve System holds today. No government should delegate to private interests the control over the purchasing power of money. The issue must be faced and settled. There can be no complete restoration of confidence until the conflict between private and government control over money is ended."



The Private Federal Reserve Bank HQ (right next to the US Treasury, established in 1913 for the 3rd time by Act of Congress)


The 5th American Bank War ended in victory for the Money Changers and the defeat of the American people. In the interim, the Money Changers' grip has gradually tightened, hiding this history, propagandizing our people to support their various nefarious activities through their media control, and choking our liberties by degrees.

Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution states that Congress shall have the power to coin (create) money and regulate the value thereof. Today however, the FED, which is a privately owned company, controls and profits by printing money through the Treasury, and regulating its value. The FED began with approximately 300 people or banks that became owners (stockholders purchasing stock at \$100 per share - the stock is not publicly traded) in the Federal Reserve Banking System. They make up an international banking cartel of wealth beyond comparison. The FED banking system collects billions of dollars in interest annually and distributes the profits to its shareholders. The Congress illegally gave the FED the right to print money (through the Treasury) at no interest to the FED. The FED creates money from nothing, and loans it back to us through banks, and charges interest on our currency. The FED also buys Government debt with money printed on a printing press and charges U.S. taxpayers interest. Many Congressmen and Presidents say this is fraud.

Who actually owns the Federal Reserve Central Banks? The ownership of the 12 Central banks, a very well kept secret, has been revealed: Rothschild Bank of London, Warburg Bank of Hamburg, Rothschild Bank of Berlin, Lehman Brothers of New York, Lazard Brothers of Paris, Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York, Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy, Goldman, Sachs of New York, Warburg Bank of Amsterdam, Chase Manhattan Bank of New York (all interconnected by German Zionist ancestry). These bankers are connected to London Banking Houses which ultimately control the FED. When England lost the Revolutionary War with America (our forefathers were fighting their own government), they planned to control us by controlling our banking system, the printing of our money, and our debt. The individuals listed below owned banks which in turn owned shares in the FED. The banks listed below have significant control over the New York FED District, which controls the other 11 FED Districts. These banks also are partly foreign owned and control the New York FED District Bank. First National Bank of New York, James Stillman, National City Bank, New York, Mary W. Harnman, National Bank of Commerce, New York, A.D. Jullard, Hanover National Bank, New York, Jacob Schiff, Chase National Bank, New York, Thomas F. Ryan, Paul Warburg, William Rockefeller, Levi P. Morton, M.T. Pyne, George F. Baker, Percy Pyne, Mrs. G.F. St. George, J.W. Sterling, Katherine St. George, H.P. Davidson, J.P. Morgan (a lieutenant of the Rothschild dynasty) Equitable Life/Mutual Life), Edith Brevour T. Baker.

The matriarch of the Rothschild banking dynasty is quoted as saying "permit me to control a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws. Those few who can understand the system (check book money and credit) will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be little opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that capital derives from the system, will bear it burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests." Time and again the founding fathers (especially Jefferson) warned of this. He said that if ever we allowed the trans-Atlantic Bankers to control the issuance of currency, our children would wake up homeless (mortgage, not own your home) on the continent their forefathers conquered. We have ignored the fathers of our country and we are paying a dear price in continuous cycles of inflation and depression from their manipulation of the "money" supply. The Federal Reserve Bank is actually not a part of the U.S. government --- it is a corporation owned by foreign trans-Atlantic mega-banking dynasties. http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml

How did this come about? Lets go back in the ancient past (17th century and before) when anyone that people would trust could be a "money changer" or banker, say for example a goldsmith. People would deposit their gold with the trusted



banker and in return would get a token or paper note as a symbol of their asset. The symbol would identify the banker who issued it (**the symbol of the Rothschilds' Bank is the 6 pointed Star of Moloch and you can see it as 13 stars on the Federal Reserve one dollar note**). They would trade with that symbol with others who trusted it. The banker soon realized that he could issue more symbols than he had on deposit and even charge an interest on that symbol. This was fine as long as there wasn't any "rush on his bank" (i.e. everyone coming at once to redeem their symbol for their gold!). So, just like man discovered fire several thousands of years ago, the banker discovered "fiat" money several centuries ago!

John Kutyn (2000), a former Canadian banker explains that government-created money (legal tender, notes, currency): "are not credit money. They are pieces of paper on which the government places its stamp making them legal tender". They are not redeemable, nor do they have to be paid back. They continue to exist and circulate throughout the economy. Again, these can be created in unlimited amounts simply by printing another note, which themselves can have as many zeros at the end as the government may desire. However, because they are created on a piece of paper, they affect the economy in a very different way than money that is created through the creation of a loan.

A government note once created and injected into the economy has a one-time effect when it increases the quantity of money. When a new loan is given and money created through the banking system, it too causes an increase in the money supply. However, since loans require the payment of interest and principle, they also act to contract the money supply. It is important to note that the creation of money, whether through

the printing of government notes or through the creation of a loan is totally outside of the operating economy (though it can have a very significant effect on the economy).

The manufacture of a car or production of wheat does not create money. Today, almost all money is created through the creation of loans (very little of what we call money is in the form of government notes). With similar reasoning, the payment of interest or principle on a loan can only be made with money, and in the process destroys or reduces money by the amount paid. Again it is not the manufacture of a car or production of wheat that repays a loan, only money can do this.

1913 Federal Reserve Act

"Whenever, a new loan is given, new money is created, the result is offsetting paper entries on a banks financial statement. Both deposits and loans increase by equal amounts. Similarly, money is destroyed whenever loans are repaid with deposits and loans decreasing by an equal amount. In theory, there is no limit to the amount of money that can be created or destroyed".

When money is allowed to be created through the banking system, it has various significant consequences. It must be recognized that deposits and loans only represent bookkeeping entries. As such, when a bank charges interest on a new loan, it is receiving income on a bookkeeping entry that it created out of nothing. **Thus over time, it oversees the transfer of wealth to the banking dynasties of the World.** Of special significance, are bank loans to the governments. Money is whatever governments define it to be, and when governments desire to spend more than they receive, the shortfall could be covered by printing notes or by borrowing from the banks. Both methods involve creating money out of nothing. **However, borrowing money through the banks makes the governments dependant on the Bankers while over time transferring wealth from the taxpayers to the Bankers to pay the interest on these loans that were created out of nothing.** Today, this interest represents a large percentage of all taxes collected, with most tax departments now representing a collection agency for World Bankers. In this regard, it must be noted that while we can use mathematics and logical reasoning to show the errors of Keynesian economic theory, it is Keynesian theory that provided the theoretical and moral justification for the massive increase in government debts this century. This has allowed Bankers to exert significant influence over governments, while transferring trillions of dollars from taxpayers to Bankers to pay the interest on these loans. **Has all of this happened because of an innocent error?" or was it planned by the Illuminati banksters?**

We must have a clear understanding of what actually happens when a new loan is created. Many people are under the mistaken belief that a bank is lending some of the money that it holds as deposits. This is not what happens. When a new loan is created, a bank completes two bookkeeping entries. It credits the borrowers account with the amount of the loan,

and it creates a loan account of an equal amount. The effect on the bank's balance sheet is that total deposits have increased by the amount of the loan, and the banks total loans have increased by the amount of the loan. Since we are talking of the creation of two equal and offsetting accounting entries, it does not matter how small or how large these numbers are, hence the concept of an unlimited supply of credit. No matter how large the loan created, the bank's balance sheet will remain balanced. A picture of the fraudulent, horrible, enslaving and tyrannical Federal Reserve Act. Are there any practical limits to credit growth? The fact that banks are allowed to create an unlimited amount of loans and money is an accounting truism. Consider for a moment loans involved in the Yen-carry trade. Here, loans are created in Yen within the Japanese banking system. A borrower's account is credited with Yen, and an equal loan account is created, on which the borrower pays a very low rate of interest. These Yen are then sold for U.S. dollars and invested in the U.S. bond market earning 6% or the U.S. stock market earning 20%. These loans were created in such massive amounts since 1995 that they drove up the value of the U.S. dollar in spite of large trade imbalances. Money from nothing in the truest sense of the word.

David Korten (1999, 0. 34) elaborates further on the nature of mortgages (the word "mort-gage" comes from the Latin meaning "death-pledge" or "death grip" (Rowbotham, 1998): "Say a bank provides me with a \$100,000 mortgage. It opens an account in my name and credits it with the amount of my loan. In so doing it creates \$100,000 that I then spend into circulation. So far, so good. The catch is this: the bank expects to be repaid with interest, which on a long-term mortgage might require repayments of \$200,000 or more above the loan capital. Because all the other money in circulation was also created through lending by banks that also expect to be paid back with interest, there simply isn't enough money in circulation to pay the banks their dues – unless the economy grows fast enough to expand borrowing a rate sufficient to create the money required to repay the principal and interest on previous loans. The banks check of your credit worthiness is in fact your ability of competing and winning against other players and if you fail in that game you lose your house or whatever other collateral you had to put up."

Evidence of this process of money creation through debt is best portrayed in a picture. The graph below shows a huge mountain of debt since 1950 in the US. Notice the small sliver that represents government notes (seignorage) that can be created at no cost to citizens while debt imposes an obligation to repay the principal plus interest on money created out of nothing to finance the purchase or production of something. The total outstanding debt of the US (total credit market debt, domestic non-financial federal government, state and local government, household, and business, and financial, **has grown from \$425 billion in 1950 to an astounding \$25.6 trillion by the end of 1999 (Federal Reserve System, 1999)**). The majority of this credit market debt is owed by financial institutions (\$7,606 billion), households (\$6,466 billion, in mortgages and consumer debt), business (\$5,986 billion), and federal, state and local governments (\$4,932 billion). Foreign debt has also been rising and stands at \$622 billion by end of 1999. Most troubling is the trend is the mounting debt by the financial sector and the soaring amount of margin debt. Margin debt has grown from a mere \$1.4 billion 1950 to \$278 billion by March 2000, an increase of 20,410% or increased 10 fold in the last 10 years of the US stock market bull run! The mountain of US debt is in fact greater than the entire Third World nations (\$2.5 trillion) combined. How can the richest and most economically powerful nation on earth be so indebted and to whom? These are complex issues but demand inquiry. What is immediately apparent from the debt chart is that the mountain of debt never ceases growing reflecting the perpetual motion nature of money creation in parallel with debt and the "magic" of compounding interest. It is important to realize that because of the nature of the debt laying claim to real wealth, in excess of the physical supply of real wealth, means the debt effectively unrepayable. There is only one conclusion to this journey, the repudiation of all debt or the collapse of the entire oikonomia as the debt becomes impossible to repay, and a subsequent deflationary cycle in prices as liquidity falls.

Debt-write-offs have no capital affect on banks overall since the money was created out of nothing. The only affect is that they don't get to collect interest anymore on the written-off debt. Since all debt is borrowed money, in order to write off a debt, it is necessary to destroy part of the money supply. Actual and impending losses give rise to a desire for additional liquidity in the financial system in the form of more "fiat" money. Until that liquidity is obtained, bankruptcies and price deflation will set in. The losers are always the employees, shareholders, tax payers and the masses when public assets have to be sold off for a penny on the \$. With this backgrounder, let's start our journey into the history of money and explore what some interesting people have been saying about money and power! after this aside note. The Federal Reserve bill was sneakily passed through congress in the winter of 1913 and President Woodrow Wilson signed the bill into law. The Illuminati, particularly the Rockefellers and Rothschilds, had usurped the financial power of the United States. The first governor of the New York branch of the Federal Reserve was Benjamin Strong. The first governor of the FED's board of directors was Paul Warburg. Both connected to Schiff, J.P. Morgan, Jr, and the House of Rothschild. The FED has been an effective tool of the Illuminati and the Rothschilds, creating crisis such as the Great Depression (which J.P. Morgan, Jr was very involved in creating). Apparently (according to Congressman Louis McFadden), the Depression helped consolidate financial power over the US., putting it in the hands of the Rothschild banking alliance between J.P. Morgan's First National Bank group and Schiff's Kuhn, Loeb-run National City Bank. The Great Depression also led to Roosevelt's New Deal. On 23rd December 1913 the House of Representatives had past the Federal Reserve Act, but it was still having difficulty getting it out of the senate. Most members of congress had gone home for the holidays, but unfortunately the senate had not adjourn sine dei (without day) so they were technically still in session. There were only three members still present. On a unanimous consent voice vote the 1913 Federal Reserve Act was passed. No objection was made, possibly because there was no one there to object.

Charles Lindbergh would have objected: "The financial system has been turned over to... the federal reserve board. That board administers the finance system by authority of... a purely profiteering group. The system is private, conducted

for the sole purpose of obtaining the greatest possible profits from the use of other people's money." Rep Charles A, Lindbergh (R-MN)

Louis T. McFadden would have objected. (see details of his speech later in this document): "We have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the World has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board... This evil institution has impoverished... the people of the United States... and has practically bankrupted our Government. It has done this through... the corrupt practice of the moneyed vultures who control it."
Rep. Louis T, McFadden (R-PA)

Barry Goldwater would also have objected: "Most Americans have no real understanding of the operation of the international money lenders... The accounts of the Federal Reserve System have never been fully audited. It operates outside the control of Congress and... manipulates the credit of the United States." Sen. Barry Goldwater (R-AZ)

The banking dynasties benefited from the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 as follows:

1. It misdirected banking reforms away from the people's right to issue debt-free money.
2. Prevented the greenbacks from making a comeback.
3. Delegated to the private bankers to create & contract 90% of the money supply.
4. Centralized overall control of the US money supply in the hands of a few men.
5. Established a privately owned central bank with a high degree of independence from effective control by the people and its just gotten worse by amendments passed after 1913. Soon after its creation, the owners of the Fed manage to create a boom by increasing the money supply and then created the great depression by contracting the money supply and then bought properties for a penny on the dollar from bankrupt Americans (they call it fleecing of the flock).

The Board of Governors is politically appointed. This is true and it is supposed to make us feel safe in the thought that the President responds to the will of the people and that he selects only those who have the public interest at heart. The part of the story omitted is that the President does not select these people from his own personal address book, nor does he ask the public to submit nominations. With few exceptions, he makes appointments from lists given to him by the staffs of banking committees of Congress and from private sources that have been influential in his election campaign. The most powerful of all these groups are the financial institutions (including prominent members of the Fed itself) and the media corporations over which they have effective control. One does not have to be a so-called conspiracy theorist to recognize the tremendous influence that these institutions have over the outcome of presidential campaigns, and anyone with knowledge of how our current political system works will understand why the President makes exactly the appointments that the banks want him to make. All one has to do to see the accuracy of this appraisal is to examine the backgrounds and attitudes of the men who receive the appointments. While there is an occasional token individual who appears to come from the consumer sector of society, the majority are bankers deeply committed to the perpetuation of the system that sustains them. Anyone who would seriously challenge the power of the banking cartel would never be appointed. So, the IMPLICATION that the Fed is subject to control of the people through the political process is entirely false.

It is true that some of the money paid by the government for interest on the national debt is returned to the government by the Fed. That is because the Fed's charter requires any interest payments in excess of the Fed's actual operating expenses to be refunded. However, before we jump to the conclusion that this is a wonderful benefit, we must remember that the banking cartel is able to use our tax dollars which go towards interest to pay 100% of its operating expenses with few questions asked about the nature of those expenses. After all of those gigantic and luxurious expenses are paid, what is left over is rebated to the Treasury. Technically, there is no "profit" on this money. However, remember that creating money for the government is only one of the functions of the Fed. The real bonanza comes, not from money created out of nothing for the government, but from money created out of nothing by the commercial banks for loans to private and corporate borrowers. That's where the real action is. This is the famous slight-of-hand trick. Distract attention with one hand while the coin is retrieved by the other. By focusing on the supposed generosity of the Fed by returning unused interest to the Treasury, we are supposed to overlook the much larger river of gold flowing into the member banks in the form of interest on money created from nothing.

The current average ratio (it varies depending on the bank) is about ten-to one and moving higher. In other words, for every one dollar on deposit and held in reserve, the bank can create up to an additional nine dollars out of nothing for the purpose of lending. The statement that the banks must pay a competitive interest rate on those deposits is humorous when one considers the math. For example, let us assume for the sake of illustration that the bank pays 1.5% interest. Then it turns around and charges, let's say 6.5% interest. That's a spread of 5%. Although that's a pretty good brokerage commission, it doesn't sound exorbitant. But, don't forget that the bank uses each deposited dollar as a so-called reserve for creating up to an additional nine dollars in loans. It collects interest on these loans as well. Let us assume that the bank is not fully loaned up, as they call it, and has an average of only eight dollars in magic-money loans for every one dollar on deposit. In that case, it will collect 6.5% interest on all eight of those dollars. That means, based on each dollar placed on deposit, the bank will collect 52% in interest. After paying the original depositor the generous "competitive" amount of 1.5%, the bank actually receives a brokerage fee of approximately 50%. **The Fed resists external full audit; If it were fully audited by an independent party, I suspect there would be nothing illegal found; The problem is not that it steals from the American people illegally but that it does so legally; Therefore, we do not need to audit the Fed, we need to ABOLISH it.**

Established by the 1913 Federal Reserve Act (secretly drawn by the BankLords in 1911 at Jekyll Island) The Federal Reserve System <http://www.federalreserveonline.org/> consists of 12 privately owned central banks (with 25 regional branches). Member banks hold the shares of the district central banks: <http://woodrow.mpls.frb.fed.us/>

Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta	Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis
Federal Reserve Bank of Boston	Federal Reserve Bank of New York
Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago	Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia
Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland	Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond
Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas	Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis
Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City	Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

and a federal government agency with 7 governors called the Federal Reserve Board of Governors [Board of Governors](#) [Fed [overview](#)]. The New York Fed is the largest and most important of the district banks. The New York Fed reports that its eight largest member banks on June 30, 1997 were: Chase Manhattan Bank, Citibank, Morgan Guaranty Trust Company, Fleet Bank, Bankers Trust, Bank of New York, Marine Midland Bank, and Summit Bank.

"The claim that the "advice" of the council members is not binding on the Governors or that it carries no weight is to claim that four times a year, twelve of the most influential bankers in the United States take time from their work to travel to Washington to meet with the Federal Reserve Board merely to drink coffee and exchange pleasantries." (Eustache Mullins in Secrets of the Federal Reserve, p. 45). The presidential appointments, the Congressional hearings etc. are all a joke. Note that 6 or 7 US presidents (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if we count FDR's poisoning) have been assassinated in relation to the issue of the BankLords' control over the issue of credit. The history of the Federal Reserve System in the U.S. is a study of money and power and its ability to determine World events. A small group of elitists, their successors and assigns have been able to influence public opinion through control of the media, elect or discharge Presidents and politicians, make wars and cause economic booms and busts. Neither the President of the U.S., nor the Chairman of The Federal Reserve Board act independently. They both hold office at the discretion of those who control the Federal Reserve System and those wealthy elitists who are intent on establishing a New World order. Alan Greenspan said in 1966 "The abandonment of the gold standard made it possible for the welfare statists to use the banking system as a means to an unlimited expansion of credit." Greenspan's view changed dramatically after he became a director of J.P. Morgan and Co. and later the Fed Chairman.

Member banks receive a fixed 6 percent dividend annually on their stock and elect six of the nine members of the Reserve Bank's [board of directors](#). To carry out the day-to-day operations of the Federal Reserve System—the nation's central bank—the United States has been divided into twelve Federal Reserve Districts, each with a Reserve Bank. Reserve Banks provide many services to depository institutions and to the public, such as processing electronic payments, currency, and checks. They carry out many of the System's responsibilities for supervising banks. They also help in framing monetary policy, in part by reporting on economic developments in their regions.

Section 5 of the Act calls for a member bank to buy and hold stock in a district Federal Reserve Bank equal to 6% of its capital and surplus. For example, as of 1983, ten major New York City banks owned approximately 66% of the outstanding stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. That Bank in turn owns a portion of the stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of the U.S. together with the eleven regional member banks. A review of the major stockholders of the ten New York city banks clearly shows that a few families related by blood, marriage or business interests control those 10 New York city banks, which in turn, hold the controlling stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. In addition, approximately 38% of the stock of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (as of 1983) was held by banks that are subsidiaries of foreign banks, namely the House of Rothschild which controls the Bank of England. The fact that the Federal Reserve System is controlled by private interests is one of the best kept secrets in American history.

As required by the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, each of the Reserve Banks is supervised by a board of nine directors who are familiar with economic and credit conditions in the district. Similarly, each of the twenty-five Reserve Bank Branches has a board of five or seven directors who are familiar with conditions in the area encompassed by the Branch. Selection and Representation Reserve Bank boards of directors are divided into three classes of three persons each. Class A directors represent the member commercial banks in the District, and most are bankers. Class B and class C directors are selected to represent the public, with due consideration to the interests of agriculture, commerce, industry, services, labor, and consumers. Class A and class B directors are elected by member banks in the District, while class C directors are appointed by the System's Board of Governors in Washington. All head office directors serve three-year terms. Two directors of each Bank are designated by the Board of Governors as chairman and deputy chairman of their nine-member board for one-year terms.

Directors cannot be members of Congress, and class B and class C directors cannot be officers, directors, or employees of a bank. Nor can class C directors own stock in a bank. In addition, all class C directors must reside in the District for at least two years before their appointment. Because a Reserve Bank directorship is a form of public service, directors are also expected to avoid participation in partisan political activities. For purposes of electing directors, District member banks are grouped by amount of capital into three categories—small, medium, and large. Each group of banks elects one class A and one class B director. Branches of Federal Reserve Banks also have directors. These directors are not elected; the majority are appointed by the Reserve Bank, and the rest are appointed by the Board of Governors. The chairman of a Branch board is selected from among those appointed by the Board of Governors. Branch directors serve for either two- or three-year terms, depending on the size of the Branch board. Directorships generally are limited to two successive terms, to ensure a diversity of backgrounds and experience among the individuals who serve the Federal Reserve System.

Although directorships are not full-time jobs, the responsibilities of directors are broad, ranging from the supervision of the Reserve Bank—assigned by the Federal Reserve Act—to making recommendations on monetary policy. The directors appoint the Reserve Bank presidents (the chief executive officers) and the first vice presidents (the chief operating officers) to five-year terms, subject to approval by the Board of Governors. The Reserve Bank directors also appoint all officers of the Bank. Annually, the directors appoint the District's representative to the Federal Advisory Council, which confers four times a year with the Board of Governors on business conditions and makes recommendations on issues affecting the System. Directors review their Reserve Bank's budget and expenditures. They are also responsible for the internal audit program of the Bank. The Federal Reserve Act also requires directors to set the Bank's discount rate every two weeks, subject to approval by the Board of Governors in Washington. The discount rate is the interest rate depository institutions pay when borrowing from the Reserve Banks. By raising or lowering the rate, the System can influence the cost and availability of money and credit. Directors bring to the Federal Reserve a regional perspective, an independent assessment of the business outlook, and judgment and advice on the credit conditions of the Districts they represent.

Don't Try to do a Full Audit on the Fed or else....

According to the Board's [1999 Annual Report](#), the System had net income after luxurious "expenses" totaling \$26.2 billion, which would qualify it as one of the most profitable companies in the World. How were these profits distributed? \$342 million, or 1.4% of the profits, were paid to member banks as dividends. Another \$479 million, or 1.8%, was retained by the 12 Reserve Banks. The balance of \$25.4 billion -- or **96.9%** of the profits -- was paid to the Treasury voluntarily although they are not under any obligation to do so but have been rebating the system's profits to the Treasury since 1947. During this process, the Fed issued about \$500 Billion worth of credit with money created out of nothing (either printed at a cost of 3 cents per note or a cyber entry in a computer database) in exchange for Government Bonds. The Fed owners then create further credit through their local commercial banks. A nice banking cartel money making machine for the Fed owners! The Fed owns only about 8.7% of the total national debt, so the vast bulk of the interest payments are going to the other banks or individuals who buy government debt. The private sector must surrender Fed funds in paying Federal taxes. Conversely the government must borrow Fed funds as it spends.

Prior to 1933, the Federal Reserve Act required that a portion of the earnings of the Federal Reserve Banks go to the government, but the banks never complied. The Banking Act of 1933 legislated that all earnings of the Federal Reserve Banks go to the banks themselves. The assets of the Federal Reserve Banks increased from \$143 million dollars in 1913 to \$45 billion dollars in 1949, which enriched all of the shareholders of the banks. There is no evidence that the law or the method of accounting of earnings has changed since 1949. The Fed has no restriction on the amount of money it can create since the U.S. went off the gold standard in the 1930's. As Congressman Wright Patman said in 1964, "The dollar represents a one dollar debt to the Federal Reserve System. The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government Bonds from the U.S. Treasury...and has created out of nothing adebt which the American people are obliged to pay with interest." In 1958 the U.S. owned \$700 million ounces of gold. Today the nations bullion reserves have dwindled to a mere 281,000,000 ounces (\$100 billion dollars) which is minuscule in relationship to the amount of paper currency in circulation and the amount of Treasury debt. The goal of the Fed is to make gold irrelevant as a measure of monetary value so it can continue to print an unlimited amount of paper currency.

The auditors do NOT have complete access to all aspects of the Federal Reserve System. The Federal Banking Agency Audit Act of 1978 stipulates the following areas are to be excluded from GAO inspections:

- (1) transactions for or with a foreign central bank, government of a foreign country, or non-private international financing organization;
- (2) deliberations, decisions, or actions on monetary policy matters, including discount window operations, reserves of member banks, securities credit, interest on deposits, open market operations;
- (3) transactions made under the direction of the Federal Open Market Committee; or
- (4) a part of a discussion or communication among or between members of the Board of Governors and officers and employees of the Federal Reserve System related to items.

The fed has acted directly as bank of "last resort." Normally, loans to other countries would be made by the International Monetary Fund, the Bank of International Settlements or other entities which are primarily funded by the Fed. In the case of Mexico, however, the Fed made a loan directly to that country after the President by-passed Congress and issued an Executive Order. Reliable sources indicate that the Fed has recently delivered approximately \$40 billion newly printed \$100 bills to Russian banks which are controlled by the Russian Mafia. Since 1940 the U.S. dollar has lost 94% of its value. The prolific printing of our currency, the mounting \$5.3 trillion in Federal Debt and the widening trade deficit could soon result in the crash of the U.S. dollar and disastrous ramifications for Americans. Why is there a current House Resolution 1486 calling for a complete audit of the Federal Reserve by the GAO and why is the Federal Reserve resisting? Despite numerous attempts by Congressman Wright Patman and others who have called for a full audit of the books of the Federal Reserve System, no full audit has been made available to the public since the System was founded in 1913. On March 1, 1982, the Arizona State Legislature, as well as a number of other states passed a resolution calling for the abolishment of the Federal Reserve System. All efforts to expose and change the System have been thwarted.

In an e-mail to Mr. Rhalter from Agata Zhang...a "Business Support Analyst" at the Federal Reserve...Zhang had this to say: "The twelve regional Federal Reserve Banks, which were established by Congress as the operating arms of the nation's central banking system, are organized much like private corporations - possibly leading to some confusion about

"ownership." For example, the Reserve Banks issue shares of stock to member banks. However, owning Reserve Bank stock is quite different from owning stock in a private company. The Reserve Banks are not operated for profit, and ownership of a certain amount of stock is, by law, a condition of membership in the System. The stock may not be sold, traded, or pledged as security for a loan; dividends are, by law, six percent per year. Again, the holding of stock in a Federal Reserve Bank does not carry with it the control and financial interest conveyed to holders of common stock in for-profit organizations." In other words, the Federal Reserve System is a banking cartel owned by the BankLords who own the member banks. There is no better expert on this 'banking cartel' than G. Edward Griffin, the author of "[The Creature From Jekyll Island: A Second Look at the Federal Reserve](#)". Congressman Ron Paul from the great state of Texas, and a member of the House Banking Committee, had this to say about Griffin's book... "A superb analysis deserving serious attention by all Americans. Be prepared for one heck of a journey through time and mind."

[How The Private Fed Creates Money From Thin Air \(G. Edward Griffin\)](#)

1. The [banking system](#), including the Federal Reserve, is the source of all dollar-denominated money. The Fed creates the [monetary base](#), also known as Fed money, comprising [notes and coins](#) and [deposits at the Fed](#). Banks expand the money supply by issuing loans, and thereby create [credit money](#).
2. The Fed influences the amount of bank lending through its selection and control of the [Fed funds rate](#), the benchmark for all short term interest rates. However it does not directly control the amount of credit money created by [commercial banks](#) which are private profit-seeking enterprises.
3. The amount of money created is a function of the demand for bank credit at the going [lending rate](#). Banks normally lend to any borrower who is found capable of paying the interest and returning the principal on a date-certain. Bank-issued credit money is the principal part of the money supply.
4. A bank's lending is ultimately limited by the amount of its [equity](#), based on the [capital ratio](#) requirement set by the Fed. Banks must also meet a [reserve ratio](#) requirement, but in fact the Fed normally provides the required reserves in order to maintain control of the interbank lending rate, i.e. the Fed funds rate.
5. The Fed controls the Fed funds rate through its [open market operations](#), buying or selling securities short term for its own portfolio. This adds or drains [banking system reserves](#) as needed to balance supply and demand at its chosen [target rate](#). Banks temporarily short of reserves may borrow directly from the Fed's [discount window](#).
6. To support the increasing demand for currency and bank credit money, the Fed purchases [Treasury securities](#) directly from the public. This is referred to as [monetizing the debt](#), which increases the monetary base in direct proportion to the increase in the value of Treasury securities held by the Fed.

We've been talking about how the privately owned Federal Reserve can produce money from thin air. Here's how it's done. 1. The purchase of bonds is approved by the Federal Open Market Committee. 2. The Fed buys the bonds which it pays for with electronic credits made to the sellers bank. These credits are based on nothing. 3. The receiving banks then use these credits as reserves from which they can loan out ten times the amount. 4. If paper notes are required, the Federal pays the US Treasury to print them for a few cents per note but is slightly more expensive than issuing computer based credits, i.e. the private Fed uses the US Treasury BEP as a print shop! To reduce the amount of money in the economy they simply reverse the process. The Fed sells bonds to the public and money is drawn from the purchasers' bank to pay for them. Each million withdrawn lowers the banks ability to loan by 10 million. The Federal bank in this way has overall control of the US money supply, and each country's central bank does in the same way. The Bankers through the magic of fractional reserve banking have been delegated the right to create 90% of the money supply. This control makes a mockery of any elected government. It places so called leaders behind a toy steering wheel, like the plastic ones, set up to amuse small children.

In summary, the FED buys instruments with printed money or money created from nothing to increase liquidity or decreases interest rates. It does the opposite to decrease liquidity.

Or as Rep.Charles Lindbergh father of famous aviator Lucky Lindy puts it when commenting on the Federal Reserve Act: "This act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth. When the President signs this bill, the invisible government by the Monetary Power will be legalised. The people may not know it immediately, but the day of reckoning is only a few years removed... The worst legislative crime of the ages is perpetrated by this banking bill."

Or as Woodrow Wilson put it: "We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled governments in the civilised World - no longer a government of free opinion, no longer a government by... a vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organised, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

In order to clearly establish that this is not a conspiracy theory, but is actually how things are controlled, we further quote **Charles Lindbergh** (R-MN). From the house of representatives, Lindbergh was well placed to see exactly what was happening back then and continues to happen today: "To cause high prices all the Federal Reserve board will do will be to lower the re-discount rate..., producing an expansion of credit and a rising stock market; then when... business men are adjusted to these conditions, it can check... prosperity in mid-career by arbitrarily raising the rate of interest It can cause the pendulum of a rising and falling market to swing gently back and forth by slight changes in the discount rate, or cause violent fluctuations by greater rate variation, and in either case it will possess inside information as to financial conditions and advance knowledge of the coming change, either up or down. This is the strangest, most dangerous advantage ever placed in the hands of a special privilege class by any Government that ever existed. The system is private, conducted for the sole purpose of obtaining the greatest possible profits from the use of other people's money. They know in advance when to create panics to their advantage. They also know when to stop panic. Inflation and deflation work equally well for them when they control finance..."

More Details on Who Owns the Federal Reserve Banks (THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT)

The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank is not a government agency (The Fed Board of Governors is). The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank (The Fed) is privately owned by a group of transatlantic BankLords. In 1913, Congress sank America into eternal debt by giving the power to issue currency and control the American economic system to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. Who are the owners or chief shareholders of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank? Originally, there were reportedly 203,053 shares of privately owned Federal Reserve Bank stock, of which approximately 65% were owned by foreigners and approximately 35% (72,000 shares) were owned by: Rockefellers' National City Bank = 30,000 shares, Chase National = 6,000 shares (currently Chase Manhattan and owned by David Rockefeller), The National Bank of Commerce = 21,000 shares (now known as Morgan Guaranty Trust), Morgans' First national Bank = 15,000 shares, Interestingly, the total shares owned by Rockefellers interests equal 36,000 shares and the total of Morgan's equals 36,000 shares.

Although the privately owned Federal Reserve Act of 1913 provided the names of the owner banks be kept a secret, R.E. McMaster, publisher of the newsletter "The Reaper" claims to have discovered, through confidential Swiss banking connections, that the following banks have controlling interest in the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank: Rothchild Banks of London and Berlin, Lazard Brothers Bank of Paris, Israel Moses Sieff Banks of Italy, Warburg Bank of Hamburg, Germany and Amsterdam, Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York, Lehman Brothers Bank of New York, Goldman Sachs Bank of New York, Chase Manhattan Bank of New York (Controlled By Rockefellers). In his book "Secrets of the Privately Owned Federal Reserve", Eustace Mullins states: "Because the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank of New York sets interest rates and controls the daily supply of price of currency throughout America, the owners of that bank are the real directors of that whole system. These shareholders have controlled our political and economic destinies since 1913." Those shareholders making up Mullins' list are almost identical to the one compiled by the Swiss banking source: The Rothchilds, Lazard Freres (Eugene Mayer), Israel Sieff, Kuhn Loeb Company, Warburg Company, Lehman Brothers, Goldman Sachs, The Rockefeller family and J.P. Morgan interests. http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml

The day before the privately owned Federal Reserve Act passed, **Congressman Charles Lindbergh Sr.** said: "The money trust deliberately caused the 1907 money panic and thereby forced Congress to create a National Monetary Commission which led to the ultimate creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. The Federal Reserve Act establishes the most gigantic monetary trust on earth. When the President signs the bill, the invisible government of the Monetary Powers will be legalized. The people must make a declaration of independence to relieve themselves from the Monetary Powers, by taking control of Congress!... The worst legislative crime of the ages is perpetrated by this banking bill. The caucus and the party bosses have again operated and prevented the people from getting the benefit of their own government!"

How did the monetary powers manipulate the passage of the Federal Reserve Act? Senator Nelson Aldrich was named as chairman of the Monetary Commission, which was like naming a cat to design the canary cage. Aldrich was the maternal grandfather of Nelson Aldrich Rockefeller of Standard Oil and Chase Manhattan Bank, through the marriage of his daughter, Abby Greene Aldrich to John D. Rockefeller Jr. The Rockefellers have been the largest beneficiaries of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. The chief architect of the plan was Paul Warburg, a Rothchild agent who was salaried at \$500,000.00 a year (equivalent to about 5 million dollars today). Another member of the monetary commission was Jacob Schiff of Kuhn-Loeb and Co., who helped finance the Bolshevik revolution in Russia with a \$20 Million contribution. Schiff was born in a home shared with the Rothchilds in Frankfurt, Germany. On November 22, 1910, Aldrich and the rest of the Monetary Commission met at a private hunting club of J.P. Morgan on Jekyll Island, Georgia, to draft a bill that would put the economic future of the United States into the hands of a few private Money Powers. Read "The Creature From Jekyll Island". The original bill was the highly unpopular Owen-Glass Bill. The name of the bill was later changed to the Federal Reserve Act. The timing of the vote for the Federal Reserve Act was engineered by its promoters. It was passed hastily in effort to break for Christmas on December 23, 1913, while the majority of opposing Congressmen were on Christmas vacation. Then, when elected, the banker-financed Woodrow Wilson immediately signed the Federal Reserve Act. Within months of starting the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank, individual Income Taxes were created to pay for this new bankers' interest expense. The taxes of American Citizens pay the interest on all new "debt certificate" currency and credit issued by the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank.

Where does the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank spend the mass profits it extorts from the labor of our People? Dr. Antony Sutton, author of "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" (Arlington House Publishers, Rochelle, N.Y., 1974), provides some insight. He conducted exhaustive research through American, Canadian and German government archives and discovered solid evidence, which he painstakingly documented, proving that many American Capitalists, primarily the JP Morgan (US Steel) interests provided financial support for the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia. Also, William B. Thompson, Director of the US Federal Reserve Bank of New York, provided the Bolsheviks significant monetary support. Dr. Sutton stated "If Thompson had not been in Russia in 1917, subsequent history might have followed quite a different course. Without the financial, diplomatic and propaganda assistance given by Thompson and his associates to Trotsky and Lenin, The Bolsheviks may well have quickly withered away.

ISSUING MONEY FROM NOTHING

Ask yourself this question, "If you were given a monetary printing press and a legal contract to print and issue all the money you would ever need to run your household at no cost to you, would you, instead, give that printing press and contract to a banker and agree to borrow your money from him, to be repaid in full with interest?" "NEVER". The government has done exactly that. The most awesome power America has is the power to CREATE, using as collateral the future productivity of its Citizens, all of the currency and credit we would ever need to run our government, prosperously! Instead, America has legislated away this priceless power of monetary and credit creation to a group of primarily foreign, self-serving bankers! According to the Supreme Court, this transfer of power is in direct violation of the law.

"Congress may not abdicate or transfer to others its legitimate functions". Schechter Poultry v U.S. 29 U.S. 495, 55 U.S. 837.842 (1935)

Following is a simplified explanation of the inane method in which currency and credit is currently created in the United States. This system benefits a few elitists at an exorbitant cost to We the People! The average American contributes one third of his hard earned dollars to support this corruption! Let's say, for example, that to carry out its legitimate functions, the United States needs \$300 billion in credit and \$100 million in currency: The U.S. Bureau of Printing and Engraving at the U.S. Treasury is instructed to print \$100 million in Federal Reserve Notes, as currency for the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank System pays the U.S. Bureau of Printing and Engraving \$20.60 per 1000 bills it prints! That is approximately two and a half cents for each bill, regardless of their face denomination, ie. \$1, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50, \$100 bill. WHAT A DEAL!! Next, the United States orders the same U.S. Bureau of Printing and Engraving to print \$300 billion, \$100 million worth of U.S. Treasury Bonds. The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank then purchases \$100 million of U.S. Treasury Bonds (redeemable at full face value plus interest) from the United States. To pay for these, the Fed uses the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank Notes that they just purchased for two and a half cents per bill! Next, the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank purchases the other \$300 billion in U.S. Bonds with a simple ten second computer entry that transfers \$300 billion in "credit" into the United States' Treasury account. Where did the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank System get the \$300 billion? It created it from NOTHING.

The People are then obligated to repay the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank, with their tax dollars, at full face value, plus interest (which is converted to gold at par, through the International Monetary Fund). The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank Notes and federal government credits were created for virtually nothing. Conversely, the repayment of just the interest on these bonds requires a Citizens' physical labor from approximately January 1st until May 1st and giving 100% of their substance to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. What does the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank or the federal government give back to We the People in exchange for the sweat of our brow? NOTHING! ZIP! NADA! That constitutes servitude without just compensation.

COST TO WE THE PEOPLE: \$300 Billion, \$100 Million, plus continuously compounding interest. COST TO THE PRIVATELY OWNED FEDERAL RESERVE BANK: About \$26,000

"PERMIT ME TO CONTROL THE CURRENCY OF A NATION AND I CARE NOT WHO MAKES ITS LAWS!" Baron de Rothschild

The Power of Monetary and Credit Creation

Where does the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank get its money? IT CREATES IT FROM THIN AIR! The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank has created a debt based economy. It creates nothing. Every dollar that America spends, it borrows from someone else. Every privately owned Federal Reserve Bank note in your wallet is nothing more than a debt certificate. America has given its greatest power, monetary creation, to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank.

MONEY FACTS: House Banking and Currency Committee, 1964, pp. 9, states: "The privately owned Federal Reserve Banks create Federal Reserve Notes out of thin air to buy government bonds from the United States Treasury by lending into circulation at interest and by bookkeeping entries of checkbook credit to the United States Treasury. The Treasury writes up an interest bearing bond for one billion dollars. The privately owned Federal Reserve gives the Treasury a one billion dollar credit for the bond, it has created from nothing. This is one billion dollar debt which the American people are obligated to pay full, with interest."

A publication called, "PUTTING IT SIMPLY", by the Boston Federal Reserve Bank, sums it up as: "When you or I write a check there must be sufficient funds in our account to cover that check, but when the privately owned Federal Reserve

writes a check, it is CREATING money." The backing or value of the dollar does NOT exist at its creation, but by its redemption. It is not gold or silver that backs our currency but the willingness by the American people to exchange that currency for raw materials, goods, services, manpower and brainpower! In other words, the REAL collateral behind the dollar is the American Peoples productivity. This is a commodity in which America is extremely rich! If you use currency to buy food, it is the food that gives the currency value. The food has value without the currency, but the currency has no value without the food or other valuable substance or service. The creator of the currency merely prints "Notes" that we exchange among each other for goods and services. **Currency is, simply put, barter certificates.** Although gold and silver, because of their intrinsic value, are an excellent hedge against FED engineered inflation. It is the productivity of the American people that gives currency its purchasing value.

If we all refused to use privately owned Federal Reserve Bank notes for our exchanges, the Feds currency would have absolutely NO VALUE. Someone has to create currency if we are to have a medium of exchange other than direct bartering. The biggest mistake in American history was to give this awesome creative power to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank! Because it is the productivity of the people that is collateralizing the currency, it should be the people that benefit by its creation. the Congress should immediately take back the power of legislative monetary and credit creation, with safeguards, for the benefit of prosperity of the American People!

THE LINCOLN ASSASSINATION

John Wilkes Booth, who assassinated Lincoln, was a member of the Knights of the Golden Circle which was controlled by the bankers. **Bismark**, the Chancellor of Germany 1815-1898, made the following comments about the death of Lincoln. "The death of Lincoln was a disaster for Christendom. There was no man in the United States great enough to wear his boots and the bankers went anew to grab the riches. I fear that foreign bankers with their craftiness and tortuous tricks will entirely control the exuberant riches of America and use it systematically to corrupt modern civilization."

THE NATIONAL BANKING ACT OF 1863

After Lincolns' un-borrowed Greenbacks were sufficiently and falsely discredited by inflation creating shenanigans of the bankers, the Greenback Act was revoked and replaced by the National Banking Act of 1863. Americans, once again, became the servants of the monetary powers! The National Banking Act of 1863 was passed after Salmon P. Chase, Secretary of the Treasury, and the Congress succumbed to the pressure of the bankers. Initially, the Greenbacks and Bank Notes were both utilized as currency. However, after President Lincolns' assassination, the end of the Greenback came with an Act passed on April 12, 1866. This banker supported Act authorized the Secretary of the Treasury to sell bonds and use the proceeds to retire all United States currency, including the Greenbacks. At a time when the money supply should have been increased to reconstruct the United States after the civil War, the money powers, instead contracted it over the next ten years, as more and more money was destroyed and not replaced. Bankruptcies and business losses soared! this was because when money is scarce, banks loan fewer and fewer businesses the money they need to stimulate the economy. Then the businesses lay off workers, who lose their homes because they can't make their mortgage payments. The bankers then make a clean sweep with property foreclosures, picking up businesses and citizens property for pennies on the dollar. They have created credits out of thin air and converted them into real property! This is exactly what is happening today, on an even more disastrous scale. Now the bank goes bankrupt, the FDIC (a federal government agency) seizes the bank. Then when the federal government can no longer make its interest payments, the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank and International Monetary Fund will seize the assets of the federal government. Businesses and private homes ultimately end up in the hands of the International Government..... no private ownership, no free enterprise... instant socialism without a shot fired! Like others who sold out their Country to the bankers before him, Secretary of Treasury, Chase, had a stab of conscience before dying stating: "Promoting the National Bank Act, through my agency, was the greatest financial mistake of my life! It has built up a monopoly which effects every interest in the country. It should be repealed." Without a doubt, if America is to be saved, the Federal Reserve Act must also be repealed! It is more corrupt and detrimental to America than any Banking Act in history! How have the monetary powers managed to buffalo the Congress and the People for so long? Following is an example of the smoke-screen propaganda created by the bankers and fed to Congress. This is the type of ridiculous rhetoric that has kept banking vultures in power for over 80 years!

House of Representatives 88th Congress, 32nd Session

".....it has long been one of the political facts of life that private banks must be able to create the lions' share of the money, if not all the money. Thus there is little opposition to the government's printing bonds and then permitting the banks to create the money with which to buy these bonds; but proposals that the government itself create the money instead of the bonds have always set off tremendous political upheavals (among who? Other bankers!) Bankers are politically very powerful. For example, Abraham Lincoln set off a political furor when he insisted upon having the government issue \$346 million dollars instead of issuing interest-bearing bonds and paying interest. A free thinking American might ask, "Why is this ridiculous policy of giving the banks power to create money out of thin air to buy U.S. Treasury Bonds at full face value plus interest a political fact of life!" It is the American workers tax dollars that must pay the interest and eventually the full principal on these bonds! Who was setting off these political upheavals? Certainly not the Americans who would no longer have to pay income taxes... not the Americans who would no longer have their hard earned dollars eaten away by the rampant inflation we have experienced since the FED took over our monetary system in 1913...It is not the American People who have had all their gold and silver stolen by the FED and who would, without a

doubt, be the greatest beneficiaries of a debt free currency. Of course, it was and still is, the ulterior motivated FED banking powers themselves and the corrupt pockets they are filling who are creating this furor.

Why are they so "furious"? The answer appears in this editorial which appeared in the London Times, a paper controlled by the Rothchild banking dynasty. It was written at the time Lincoln was taking action to create an un-borrowed currency. READ THIS MORE THAN ONCE.. Its "arguments" summarize the magnificent benefits of creating America's own debt-free un-borrowed currency! These are the exact reasons that our Country SHOULD create a currency and credit of its own. "If this mischievous financial policy (of creating a debt-free currency), which has its origin in the American Republic, shall become permanent, then that government will furnish its own money without cost! It will pay off its debts and be without debt. It will have all the money to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the World. The brains and the wealth of all countries will go to America. That government must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe!" A debt-free American Currency would be a sad day in the history of the monetary powers. However, it would be the happiest day in the history of America! Logic confirms that America must have its own debt-free, un-borrowed currency. Ultimately, to be lawful money, it must be backed by gold and silver: but even un-backed debt-free American Currency is superior to un-backed privately owned Federal Reserve Bank debt Notes!

LINCOLN DEFIES THE BANKING PREDATORS & VULTURES!

When the civil war was instigated, the Union originally looked to the European Bankers to loan them money to finance the war. Thinking they had Lincoln at their mercy, they overstepped themselves by demanding 24%-36% interest. This was Lincoln's opinion of bankers: "The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspire against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy and more selfish than a bureaucracy. It denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the bankers in the rear. Of the two, the one at the rear is my greatest foe." Angered at the bankers, in Acts of February 25th and March 4th, 1862, Abraham Lincoln persuaded Congress to authorize the U.S. Treasury to issue \$450 million dollars in United States Notes (currency) to finance the Civil War. These issues of currency were full legal tender and debt-free and interest-free. Because of the green ink used on the back of these bills, they were called "greenbacks." Lincoln not only freed Black Americans from human slavery, he temporarily freed all Americans from monetary slavery!

After the Congress passed this powerful law, Lincoln said this: "We have given the People of this Republic the greatest blessing they have ever had, their own currency to pay their own debts." A debt-free currency was a blessing for Americans and the "kiss of death" for the World bankers. The bankers fought back furiously with incredible amounts of money! It is a fallacy to think, as many do, that the greenbacks were inflationary. This was propaganda, again used by the money powers to erroneously convince the people a national currency was destructive. Following is a summary of their tactics. Within four days of the issuance of the greenbacks, outraged bankers met to propose a scheme to lobby Congress to strip the Greenbacks of their full legal tender status. They succeeded by influencing Congress to add an "Exception Clause" to the law. This clause required that duties on imports and interest on the public debt (owed to the bankers) could be paid only in gold. The bank then added a 185% surcharge on the price of gold purchased with Greenbacks! This meant importers had to exchange \$285 dollars in greenbacks for \$100 dollars worth of gold! This exchange, in itself, made the People think that Greenbacks must be inflationary. Of course, the importers then had to take this 185% increase and add it to the cost of their goods. This resulted in dramatic price increases causing People to falsely believe the Greenbacks were inflationary. It was the bankers and their outrageous surcharges on Greenbacks that created the price increases.

The Prosperity Of Un-Borrowed Currency and Credit

There were a few times in history that nations used the power of creating an un-borrowed currency to eliminate their debts and bring their country into prosperity. However, when the nations stopped borrowing money from the World bankers, a furor was always created, not among the People but among the banking vultures. The bankers would use their vast sources of money and power to artificially engineer problems that they could blame on the un-borrowed currency! When Henry I became King of England in 1100 A.C., he found the treasury completely empty. England's entire supply of gold and silver had gone with the Crusaders to Palestine. Rather than borrow money from World banks, he issued into circulation England's own un-borrowed currency called "tallies". That was the richest time in England's history. There was no debt, no interest, and no inflation. For almost 700 years, England used this un-borrowed money created and issued by the King. This caused a prosperous utopia for the working people of England. This is the unprecedented period in the history of creative genius known as the Renaissance. The death toll for the prosperous Renaissance era came in 1694 when King William of Orange granted a charter to William Paterson and his World banker associates to establish the Bank of England as a fractional reserve central bank. The Bank of England has had a direct bearing on banking in America.

The roots of our War of Independence can be traced back to the King's objection to the creation and issuance by the colonies of their own paper money, beginning with 7000 pounds issued by Massachusetts in 1690, and culminating with the issue of 'Colonials' as an un-borrowed currency by the Continental Congress. In 1763, Benjamin Franklin was in England and was asked to explain why the colonies were so prosperous, while England was suffering. He said "That's simple. It's only because in the Colonies we issue our own money called 'Colonial Script'. When this conversation got back to the Bank of England, they forced England's Parliament to pass a Bill prohibiting the Colonies from issuing their own

money. Franklin said "Within one year from the date Parliament passed this Bill, the streets of the Colonies were filled with unemployed Americans." Later he stated that the War of Independence was caused by taking away the Citizens' un-borrowed Colonials. In Franklins' words "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters, had it not been that England took away from the Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dissatisfaction." During the war, a new un-borrowed currency called "Continental" was issued.

The English then debauched (corrupted) the Continentals by bringing in massive shiploads of counterfeit Continentals to the colonies. This caused a significant "overabundance" of money in circulation, which created inflation. Although who creates the currency has no effect on inflation, too much currency in circulation, competing for identical goods and services can competitively increase prices. The People did have 73 years of debt free prosperity before the English bankers and their engineered inflation succeeded in debauching their debt free currency! Thomas Jefferson once stated that if he could change just one part of the Constitution, it would be to prohibit the United States from borrowing! The People did have 73 years of debt free prosperity before the English bankers and their engineered inflation succeeded in debauching their debt free currency! Thomas Jefferson once stated that if he could change just one part of the Constitution, it would be to prohibit the United States from borrowing!

SEE [Chart of who "owns" the Federal Reserve](#) , [AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROBLEM](#) , [Fractional Reserve Banking is a Tontine Policy](#) , [Wisdom and Freedom](#) produced by [WORLD NEWSSTAND](#) , [Criticism of the Federal Reserve](#), Criticism of the federal reserve include what may be general criticism of a [central bank](#) system, for example that it [cartelizes](#) the banking industry and [monopolizes](#) the creation of money. And what may be specific federal reserve criticism, that it profits illegally, and causes [inflation](#). A criticism that is less frequently used is that the [U.S. Congress](#) is given by Article 1, Section 8, Clause 5 of the [U.S. Constitution](#) "To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures." Critics of the system assert that the transfer of this power to a private corporation is unconstitutional. Griffin, Edward G. (1998). "The Creature from Jekyll Island: A second look at the Federal Reserve". American Media. ISBN 0912986212. Greider, William (1987). Secrets of the Temple. Simon & Schuster. ISBN 0671675567; a book intended for lay readers explaining the structures, functions, and history of the Federal Reserve. Epstein, Lita & Martin, Preston (2003). The Complete Idiot's Guide to the Federal Reserve. Alpha Books. ISBN 0028643232. Meyer, Lawrence H (2004). A Term at the Fed : An Insider's View. HarperBusiness. ISBN 0060542705. Rothbard, Murray N. (1994). The Case Against the Fed. Ludwig Von Mises Institute. ISBN 094546617X. Who Owns and Controls the Federal Reserve? <http://www.usagold.com/FederalReserve.html> , [Central bank](#) , [Discount window](#) , [Economic reports](#) , [Federal Reserve Banks](#) , [Government debt](#) , [Money market](#) , [Federal Funds](#) , [Repurchase agreement](#), [Money supply](#), [Open Market Operations](#), <http://www.federalreserveeducation.org/fed101/index.htm>

<http://www.federalreserve.gov>) [Federal Reserve Routing Directory](#) (<http://www.fededirectory.frb.org/>) [Gross Federal Debt History Fact Sheet](#) (<http://www.treas.gov/education/fact-sheets/taxes/fed-debt.html>) [A Foregone Conclusion - St. Louis Fed](#) (http://www.stlouisfed.org/publications/foregone/chapter_two.htm) [Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis - The Region - Paul Warburg's Crusade to Establish a Central Bank in the United States \(May 1989\)](#) (<http://minneapolisfed.org/pubs/region/89-05/reg895d.cfm>) [Know your Fed: A description of the Federal Reserve Board](#) (<http://www.bankrate.com/nltrack/news/fed/20010627d.asp>) [Opposition to the Fed](#) (<http://www.federal-reserve.net>) [Congress should stand up to the Fed](#) (<http://www.nader.org/interest/021500.html>) by [Ralph Nader Billions for bankers, debt for the people By Sheldon Emry](#) (<http://www.tradersclub.com/dollarsign/billionsforbankers.shtml>) [Secrets of the Federal Reserve](#) (<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm>) by [Eustace Mullins](#) (Makes arguments about the New World Order owning stock in the Fed, when it is banks that actually own the stock as mentioned above) Griffin, G. Edward (1998). The Creature from Jekyll Island : A Second Look at the Federal Reserve. American Media. ISBN 0912986212.; specifically, Chapter 10 [Who Owns the Fed?](#) (http://libertyunbound.com/archive/2004_10/woolsey-fed.html) Liberty article by Bill Woolsey. [What Has Government Done to Our Money?](#) (<http://www.mises.org/money.asp>) by [Murray N. Rothbard](#)

[Now, the World is a Corporation Run by the BankLords](#)

The Federal Reserve is the largest single creditor of the United States Government, and they are also the people who decide how much the average persons car payments are going to be, what their house payments are going to be, and whether they have a job or not. The three people who passed the Federal Reserve Act in 1913, knew exactly what they were doing when they set up this private bank, modeled on the Bank of England and the fact that THE BANK OF ENGLAND had been operating independently unopposed since 1694 must have given them a great deal of confidence indeed. The 'private' central banking systems like the United States' Federal Reserve, The Bank of England, and The Bundesbank, even the Bank of Sudan, have been described by me on several occasions as 'The Satanic Purses', a view now shared by many. http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml

Congressman Charles A. Lindbergh Snr., father of the famous aviator, says Garry Allen, was strongly opposed to setting up the Fed. He said that in order to support the fiction that the Federal Reserve Act was a "Peoples Bill," the 'Insider Financiers' put up a smoke screen of opposition to it...Taking advantage of Congress' desire to adjourn for Christmas, the Federal Reserve Act was passed on December 22nd, 1913, by a vote of 298 to 60 in the House, and in the Senate by a majority of 43 to 25. After the vote, Congressman **Lindbergh told Congress**: "This act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth... When the President signs this act, the invisible government by the money power, proven to exist

by the 'Money Trust Investigation' will be legalized... The new law will create inflation whenever the trust wants inflation." [and vise versa]

Lindbergh adds: "The Federal Reserve Act was, and still is, hailed as a victory of Democracy over 'The Money Trust' Nothing could be further from the truth. The whole 'Central Bank' concept was engineered by the very group it was supposed to strip of power." [ibid, page 161]

These are just some of the fruits of this same occult organization re-started by Kolmer and Adam Weishaupt in 1776. John D. Rockefeller, infected with its spirit said: "Competition is a Sin". [ibid, page 19/21]

While 'Annuity Coeptis' means 'Favour our daring undertaking', the lower part of 'The Great seal of The United States of America' bears the inscription 'Novus Ordo Seclorum', meaning 'The New Secretive Order Of Ages / The New World Order' (appears on the US FRN dollar note).

Garry Allen says that the World has been set up for another 1929 style Crash and Depression. "To think that the crash of 1929 was an accident or result of stupidity defies all logic. The international Bankers who promoted the inflationary policies, and pushed the propaganda which pumped up the stock market, represented too many generations of accumulated expertise to have blundered into the great depression." [ibid, page 163]

As Congressman Louis McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee tells us:- "It [the 1926 depression] was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence...The International Bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here, so that they might emerge as rulers of us all." [ibid] "It was the old game of boom and bust, using economic crisis to consolidate political power at the top where it can be most easily controlled." [ibid] In 1913 America's National debt stood at \$1 billion dollars. Since Woodrow Wilson took his vote of office, the National Debt has sky rocketed. By 1976 it had exceeded \$500,000,000,000. The interest alone was \$27 billion. By 1992 the US Debt reached \$3 trillion (in 2003, the combined Federal and State debt owed to the private Federal Reserve Bank of Rockefeller /Rothschild is estimated at \$15 to 20 Trillion, i.e. \$50 thousand for each US citizen). Interest alone takes 52 cents from every tax dollar collected, and soon it will take 102 cents in every dollar. Nobody wants to reveal, however, to whom all these monies and interest are owed, and how the stack got to be so high.

"The major cause of inflation and the economic collapse was the deliberately created credit inflation by the 'privately-owned' Federal Reserve." [Equally deliberately used here by **Nigel Lawson** and the still privately owned and privately controlled Bank of England] "In six years it had inflated the money supply by 62% inducing market speculations and unwise investments by middle Americans who were being set up for a shearing. When the shearing came, the sheep took a realistic look at their economy and panicked. Optimism was replaced by economic despair; despair produced a willingness to accept a major expansion of government controls over the economy." "Now, the Rockefellers are sharpening their shears to give the sheep of the World another trimming. But this time may be the last time. In 1929, America was a long way from total government. The next depression will be used as the excuse for complete Socialist-Fascist controls at home and the creation of a World Super State internationally." [ibid, page 163]

"Years ago", says **Allen**, "Nelson Rockefeller reportedly demanded and received, the privilege of naming his men to top administrative posts on all important Republican committees. The effect on the Republican Party is all too clear. It has been reliably estimated that over the years the Rockefellers have placed at least five thousand persons in important positions at the highest level of the Federal Government. The Rockefeller influence and authority now runs the top Civil Service bureaucracy, thereby transcending the administrations of mere republicans and Democrats. Having a big stake in an internationalist foreign policy, the Rockefellers always make sure that the Secretary of State and the Director of the (CIA) Central Intelligence Agency are " Their boys". Marshall, Acheson, Dulles, Herter, Rusk, and Kissinger [at the time of writing in 1976] have all laboured to turn the backward Soviet Union into a creditable power to force the great merger, while at the same time fighting wars to make the World safe for Standard Oil. The C.I.A. has served as the State Department's and Standard Oil's enforcement arm, destroying genuine anti-communist movements around the World...The CIA was created and staffed by Rockefeller relative Allen Dulles." "American foreign policy has meant billions of dollars for the Rockefellers. It has been paid for in many cases by the blood of our soldiers and in every case by the sweat of our taxpayers."

The basic principle of US oil policy, enunciated by the Wilson administration and then more forcefully during World War II, was that the United States must maintain its "absolute position" in the Western Hemisphere, "coupled with insistence upon the Open Door principle of equal opportunity for United States companies in new areas". In brief, what we have, we keep, closing the door to others; what we do not yet have must be open to free competition. This is the way "free trade" and the "open door" commonly functions in practice. With this doctrine imposed, Latin America was to assume its "role in the new World order": "to sell its raw materials" and "to absorb surplus US capital".

As an executive of the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey phrased the matter in 1946, the United States "must set the pace and assume the responsibility of the majority stockholder in this corporation known as the World."

The **coordinator of the UN humanitarian program in Iraq, Denis Halliday**, a highly respected UN official who resigned under protest because he was being compelled to carry out what he called "genocide" as did his successor Hans von Sponeck. The United States took over the British framework, but the basic principle remained. That is, the West (which means primarily the US) must control events in the Middle East. Furthermore, the wealth of the region must flow

primarily back to the West, to the US and UK; their energy corporations, investors, the US Treasury, which has been heavily dependent on recycled petrodollars, exporters, construction firms, and so on. That is the essential point. The profits have to flow to the West, and the power has to remain in the West, primarily Washington, insofar as possible. The people of the region cannot seem to get it through their heads that the wealth of the region should flow to the West, not to poor and suffering people right there. And it continually takes force to make them understand these simple and obvious principles. The nationalists movements who tried to extricate their countries from this framework were crushed; in Iran 1953 led by Mohammad Mossadegh by restoring the Shah; in Egypt by Gamal Abdel Nasser's assassination; etc. At that point, the US was developing a doctrine that modified and extended the British system of an Arab façade with British force behind it. Namely, it was establishing a cordon of peripheral states that would serve as "local cops on the beat" in the words of the Nixon administration, i.e. Israel, Turkey, and Iran under the Shah.

"In his 'Reminiscences' John D. Rockefeller informs us: 'One of our greatest helpers has been the State Department in Washington. Our ambassadors, ministers and consuls have aided to push our way into new markets to the utmost corners of the World.'"

"Washington reporter Jack Anderson put it this way in 1967: '...the State Department has often taken its policies right out of the executive offices of the oil companies. When Big Oil can't get what it wants in foreign countries, the State Department tries to get it for them. In many countries, the American Embassies function virtually as branch offices of the Oil Combine...The State Department can be found almost always on the side of the 'Seven Sisters', as the oil giants are known... Just as the Rockefellers make sure they are running our perennially disastrous foreign policy, you can bet your last devalued dollar that the Rockefeller Mafia controls the national and international money game. The Rockefellers have made the (US) Treasury Department virtually a branch of the Chase Manhattan Bank.'" [The Rockefeller File, page 159] Now that's what I call Chutzpah! The Cheek of the Devil. The result of Illuminism and its Luciferian doctrine....But even more astonishing is the fact that these darlings of the Zionist/Mason establishment control oil in Arab lands. "The family controls oil holdings worth hundreds of millions in Arab lands, yet Nelson has remained the darling of organised Zionism in New York City. Without such support he could never have been elected governor of New York State four times. Just how the family manages this bit of wizardry boggles the mind..."

In summary, the FED is a private central bank. Central banks are supposed to implement a country's fiscal policies. They monitor commercial banks to ensure that they maintain sufficient assets, like cash, so as to remain solvent and stable. Central banks also do business, such as currency exchanges and gold transactions, with other central banks. In theory, a central bank should be good for a country, and they might be if it wasn't for the fact that they are not owned or controlled by the government of the country they are serving. Private central banks, including our FED, operate not in the interest of the public good but for profit. There have been three central banks in our nation's history. The first two, while deceptive and fraudulent, pale in comparison to the scope and size of the fraud being perpetrated by our current FED. What they all have in common is an insidious practice known as "fractional banking." **Fractional banking or fractional lending** is the ability to create money from nothing, lend it to the government or someone else and charge interest to boot. The practice evolved before banks existed. Goldsmiths rented out space in their vaults to individuals and merchants for storage of their gold or silver. The goldsmiths gave these "depositors" a certificate that showed the amount of gold stored. These certificates were then used to conduct business. In time the goldsmiths noticed that the gold in their vaults was rarely withdrawn. Small amounts would move in and out but the large majority never moved. Sensing a profit opportunity, the goldsmiths issued double receipts for the gold, in effect creating money (certificates) from nothing and then lending those certificates (creating debt) to depositors and charging them interest as well. Since the certificates represented more gold than actually existed, the certificates were "fractionally" backed by gold. Eventually some of these vault operations were transformed into banks and the practice of fractional banking continued.

Keep that fractional banking concept in mind as we examine our first central bank, the First Bank of the United States (BUS). It was created, after bitter dissent in the Congress, in 1791 and chartered for 20 years. A scam not unlike the current FED, the BUS used its control of the currency to defraud the public and establish a legal form of usury. This bank practiced fractional lending at a 10:1 rate, ten dollars of loans for each dollar they had on deposit. This misuse and abuse of their public charter continued for the entire 20 years of their existence. Public outrage over these abuses was such that the charter was not renewed and the bank ceased to exist in 1811. The war of 1812 left the country in economic chaos, seen by Bankers as another opportunity for easy profits. They influenced Congress to charter the second central bank, the Second Bank of the United States (SBUS), in 1816. The new Bank's charter was a copy of the previous Bank's. The U.S. government would own 20% of the shares. Of course, the Federal share was paid by the Treasury up front, into the Bank's coffers. Then, through the magic of fractional reserve lending, it was transformed into loans to private investors who then bought the remaining 80% of the shares. Sound familiar by now?

The SBUS was more expansive than the BUS. The SBUS sold franchises and literally doubled the number of banks in a short period of time. The country began to boom and move westward, which required money. Using fractional lending at the 10:1 rate, the central bank and their franchises created the debt/money for the expansion. Things boomed for a while, then the banks decided to shut off the debt/money, citing the need to control inflation. This action on the part of the SBUS caused bankruptcies and foreclosures. The banks then took control of the assets that were used as security against the loans. Closely examine how the SBUS engineered this cycle of prosperity and depression. The central bank caused inflation by creating debt/money for loans and credit and making these funds readily available. The economy boomed. Then they used the inflation which they created as an excuse to shut off the loans/credit/money. The resulting shortage of cash caused the economy to falter or slow dramatically and large numbers of business and personal bankruptcies resulted. The central bank then seized the assets used as security for the loans. The wealth created by the

borrowers during the boom was then transferred to the central bank during the bust. And you always wondered how the big guys ended up with all the marbles.

Now, who do you think is responsible for all of the ups and downs in our economy over the last 85 years? Think about the depression of the late '20s and all through the '30s. The FED could have pumped lots of debt/money into the market to stimulate the economy and get the country back on track, but did they? No; in fact, they restricted the money supply quite severely. We all know the horrible results that occurred from that action. Why would the FED do this? During that period asset values and stocks were at rock bottom prices. Who do you think was buying everything at 10 cents on the dollar? I believe that it is referred to as consolidating the wealth. How many times have they already done this in the last 85 years? Well, let's move on and see.

Where There's War There's Money, Debt & Dependency

War uses up more materials more quickly than most anything else on earth. In war expensive equipment doesn't wear out slowly, it gets blown up. And the victor gets to buy the best assets of the enslaved defeated at a rock bottom price. It's interesting to note that during the 119 year period from the founding of the Bank of England to Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo, England had been at war for 56 years, while the rest of the time preparing for it. In the process the money changers had been getting rich. **So there it was, the newly formed privately owned Federal Reserve poised to produce any money the U.S. Government might need from thin air with each dollar standing to make a healthy interest. The FED owners have the power to issue currency and loans without any collateral of their own, i.e. by using the taxpayers' wealth as a guarantee!**

Nine days after its formation the Federal Reserve founders were wishing each other a Happy New Year. What good fortune might 1914 bring? In 1829, a New York Illuminati meeting was addressed by a British Illuminist named Wright. He informed those present that Atheist groups were to be united with Russian Nihilist and other subversive groups into an international movement called "Communism" which would be used to foment wars. "Clinton Roosevelt (a direct ancestor of FDR),..." and others were appointed to raise funds which "... financed Karl Marx and Engels when they wrote 'Das Capital, and the 'Communist Manifesto, in Soho, England.'" ("Pawns In The Game", page XIV) Economic power was now centralized to a tremendous extent and it was time for a Rothschild war - a really big war - in fact, the first World War. As the central bankers knew, nothing enriches them and creates debts like warfare. England was the best example up to that time. During the 119-year period between the founding of the Bank of England and Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo, England had been at war for 56 years. And much of the remaining time, she'd been preparing for war. In World War I, the German Rothschilds loaned money to the Germans, the British Rothschilds loaned money to the British, and the French Rothschilds loaned money to the French, again creating it from nothing as credit issues. It was all highly profitable. In America, J.P. Morgan was the sales agent for war materials to both the British and the French. In fact, six months into the war, Morgan became the largest consumer on earth, spending \$10 million a day. His offices at 23 Wall Street were mobbed by brokers and salesmen trying to cut a deal. It got so bad that the bank had to post guards at every door and at the partners' homes as well. Other Rothschild allies in the United States made out as well from the war. President Wilson appointed Bernard Baruch to head the War Industries Board. According to historian James Perloff, both Baruch and the Rockefellers profited by some \$200 million during the war.

But profits were not the only motive. There was also revenge and power. The Money Changers never forgave the Czars and the Germans for their opposition to the Rothschilds and the central bankers nor for supporting Lincoln during the Civil War. Also, Russia was the last major European nation to refuse to give in to the privately-owned central bank scheme. Three years after World War I broke out, the Russian Revolution toppled the Czar. Jacob Schiff of Kuhn, Loeb & Company bragged on his deathbed that he had spent \$20 million towards the defeat of the Czar. But the truth was that much of that money funded the communist coup d'etat replacing the democratically elected Kerensky regime, which had replaced the Czar months earlier. The bankers were not so much enemies of the Czar, as they were intent on seizing power in Russia, through the Bolsheviks. Three gold shipments in 1920 alone, from Lenin to Kuhn, Loeb & Company and Morgan Guaranty Trust repaid the \$20 million to the bankers, and this was just a small down payment. But would some of the richest men in the World financially back communism, the system that was openly vowing to destroy the so-called capitalism that made them wealthy? Communism, like plutocracy, is a product of capitalism.

Researcher Gary Allen explained it was this way: "If one understands that socialism is not a share-the-wealth program, but is in reality a method to consolidate and control the wealth, then the seeming paradox of super-rich men promoting socialism becomes no paradox at all. Instead, it becomes logical, even the perfect tool for power-seeking megalomaniacs. Communism or more accurately, socialism, is not a movement of the downtrodden masses, but of the economic elite."

As W. Cleon Skousen put it in his 1970 book The Naked Capitalist: "Power from any source tends to create an appetite for additional power... It was almost inevitable that the super-rich would one day aspire to control not only their own wealth, but the wealth of the whole World. To achieve this, they were perfectly willing to feed the ambitions of the power-hungry political conspirators who were committed to the overthrow of all existing governments and the establishment of a central World-wide dictatorship."

But what if these revolutionaries get out of control and try to seize power from the Money Changers? it was **Mao Tsetung who in 1938 stated his position concerning power:** "Political power grows out of the barrel of a gun." The London/Wall Street axis elected to take the risk. The master-planners attempted to control revolutionary communist

groups by feeding them vast quantities of money when they obeyed, and contracting their money supply, or even financing their opposition or fascist parties in bordering nations, if they got out of control. Lenin began to understand that although he was the dictator of the new Soviet Union, he was not pulling the financial strings, someone else was silently in control. **Lenin:** "The state does not function as we desired. The car does not obey. A man is at the wheel and seems to lead it, but the car does not drive in the desired direction. It moves as another force wishes." Who was behind the state? **Rep. Louis T. McFadden**, the Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee throughout the 1920s and into the Great Depression years of the 1930s, explained it this way: "The course of Russian history has, indeed, been greatly affected by the operations of international bankers... The Soviet Government has been given United States Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve Board ... acting through the Chase Bank England has drawn money from us through the Federal Reserve banks and has re-lent it at high rates of interest to the Soviet Government... The Dnieperstory Dam was built with funds unlawfully taken from the United States Treasury by the corrupt and dishonest Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks."

In other words, the Fed and the Bank of England, along with their controlling stock-holders, the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Morgans, Schiffs, Warburgs, etc., were creating a monster, one which would fuel seven decades of unprecedented Communist revolution, warfare, and most importantly - debt. The Soviet Union was also a useful counterbalance to Germany, and later to the U.S., until 1989 with its dismemberment into fifteen countries. China then became a new counterbalance to the U.S., and is being built up at the rate of over \$100 million dollars a day by lopsided trade deals, IMF loans and Western investments. **Such balance-of-power arrangements assure that the Money Changers cannot be overthrown Worldwide by a political revolt in any single country.** In that case, they simply shift support to the counter-balanced country. Additionally, the inevitable military rivalry between roughly balanced powers results in massive expenditures and so more national borrowing and debt. **In case one thinks there is some chance that the Money Changers got communism going and then lost control - keep in mind that even in the socialist paradise, Rockefeller's National City Bank (now Citigroup) in St. Petersburg was never nationalized, as were all Russian banks. Numerous Western bankers operated openly in the Soviet Union, and made vast profits.**

However, setbacks, some major, did occur. For instance, it is likely the bankers early on preferred the more compliant Mensheviks to the more independent Bolsheviks, but Lenin got the upper hand. Both groups had the same end and so this was not a fundamental division. However, it did lead to a serious problem when Lenin died, as an even more independent sort - Stalin - squeezed out the bankers' candidate - Leon Trotzky (real name: Bronstein; whose wife was linked to the Warburgs) - and took control of Soviet Communism. Even then Stalin continued to fear Trotzky's powerful connections, and so had him tracked down and eventually assassinated in Mexico. To pressure Stalin back into the ranks, as C.G. Rakovsky explained, the bankers financed Hitler, who was an avowed enemy of communism and openly advocated invading the Soviet Union. Anthony C. Sutton and others have documented the money trail from Wall Street to Hitler, which was mentioned above by Congressman McFadden. But it was only after the covered-up murder of Stalin, with the rise of Khrushchev et seq., that the Soviet Union was fully back in the ranks, securely under the bankers' control. In 1992, The Washington Times reported that Russian President Boris Yeltsin was upset that most of the incoming foreign aid was being siphoned off "straight back into the coffers of Western banks in debt service." Much of that debt was incurred under the prior communist regimes, which were heavily in debt to the Money Changers.

Similarly, once in power, Mao TseTung spread his wings and expelled the Soviets from Red China leading to the Sino-Soviet rift of the 1960's. The U.S. and the U.S.S.R. initiated an encirclement policy of China including: heavy Soviet troop concentrations and border provocations in Manchuria; drawing North Korea and Mongolia tightly into the Soviet camp; placing nuclear weapons in Manchuria; arming Tibetan freedom fighters and Taiwanese troops; and establishing important U.S. (now Soviet) air and naval bases in Vietnam (such as Cam Rahn Bay) while beefing up U.S. forces in Guam, Japan, Laos and Thailand, all under the pretext of the Vietnam War. Under this growing pressure, Mao first responded with internal political purges just as Stalin had done, but with the failure of the Great Leap Forward and with the U.S./U.S.S.R. noose tightening, Mao blinked and Kissinger was sent in to strike the deal. Still, Mao's price for China's cooperation and integration in the bankers' one-World scheme was obviously high, here is the result: the encirclement ended, including U.S. abandonment of South Vietnam and Laos; China got Taiwan's U.N. seat (and doubtless a pledge of eventually getting Taiwan itself); a free hand in Tibet, Hong Kong; and gigantic bribes in the form of Western development of China. This left the Bankers with few obstacles Worldwide: Muslim fundamentalism here and there, India's nuclear development, and the weak remnants of Western nationalism (concentrated in the large [but rapidly shrinking] U.S. middle class and in a minority of the British, French, and Russian aristocracy [e.g. Thatcher and Le Pen]). To overcome these, the Russian Empire was dismembered into fifteen nations; the U.K, France and the U.S.A. are gradually being submerged into regional and global entities (such as NAFTA, WTO, MAI, EEC, EU, etc.) and Desert Storm et seq. is keeping the Muslims on a tight leash while India is being pressured to abandon its nuclear program.

The bankers' three main regional groupings: the European Union, the proposed American Union in the Western hemisphere, and Chinese dominance in Asia, are rapidly bringing to life Orwell's three virtually identical World nations set forth in his 1948 book "1984": Eurasia, Oceania and East Asia - all set to engage in perpetual war (WWIII) with its attendant debt and population reduction and control. {Orwell got this idea from James Burnham's book The Managerial Revolution: burnham.html}

Wars are complex things with many causative factors. But on the other hand, it would also be equally foolish to ignore as a prime cause of World Wars I and II those who would profit the most from war, both financially and politically. Senator Nye of North Dakota raised the possibility that the Wilson administration entered WWI, at a critical juncture for the allies, in order to protect huge Wall Street bank loans to the allies. During the War the U.S. money supply was doubled to pay

for it, halving the dollar's purchasing power and so Americans' savings. It is also interesting to note that the most belligerent pro-war hawk surrounding President Wilson was a man named Colonel Edward Mandell House, the son of a man commonly believed to be a Rothschild agent, who was himself closely associated with Wall Street and European bankers. The role of the Money Changers is no wild conspiracy theory. They had a motive - a short-range, self-serving motive as well as a long-range, political motive of advancing totalitarian government, with the Money Changers maintaining the financial clout to control whatever politicians might emerge as the leaders. We must learn from our history before it is too late. Why can't politicians control the federal debt? Because all our money is created in parallel with an equivalent quantity of debt. Again, it's a debt-money system. Our money is created initially by the sale of U.S. Bonds. The public buys bonds, the banks buy bonds, foreigners buy bonds, and when the Fed wants to create more money in the system, it buys bonds but pays for them with brand new Federal Reserve Notes (or book entries) which it creates out of nothing. Then, whatever new money the Fed creates is multiplied by at least a factor of ten by the private banks, thanks to the fractional reserve principle. Actually, exceptions to the reserve ratios allow a much greater multiplier. So, although the banks don't create currency like the private central Federal Reserve Bank, they do create checkbook money, or deposits, by making new loans. They even invest some of this created money. In fact, over one trillion dollars of this privately-created money has been used to purchase U.S. Bonds on the open market, which provides the banks with roughly 50 billion dollars in interest, risk free, each year, less the interest they pay some depositors. In this way, through fractional reserve lending, banks create far in excess of 90% of the money (approximately 97%), and therefore cause over 90% of our inflation.

[The Don-Meh Sabbatean Jewish Imposters and the Armenian Massacre](#)

Donmeh From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia (see also The Making Of A Sayanim, The Secret Zionist Network <http://www.judicial-inc.biz>) Donmeh (*dönme*) is a [Turkish](#) word for a [religious convert](#). It refers to a group of [Jews](#) of the [Near East](#) who followed [Sabbatai Zevi](#) (also called Shabbatai Zvi) and outwardly converted to [Islam](#) in [1666](#), i.e. fake moslems just like we have the fake Jews from the southern Russian Ashkenazi Khazar tribes who converted from idolatry to Talmudism in the 8th century.. See also the following hyperlinks:

[The Origins Of Modern Zionism - Part 1 French Rothschild Branch Behind the Planned Genocide Of Armenians Financial Wizzards & Wealthy Cults, The Rothschild Bloodline Rothschilds the descendents of the 17th Century "Jewish Messiah" Shabbetai Tzvi?](#) [Rothschild Family Photo Gallery](#) [The Sabbateans Plan To Destroy Israel](#)

While outwardly [Muslim](#), the Donmeh secretly remained [Jews](#), and continued to practice Jewish rituals covertly. They worshipped [Sabbatai Zevi](#) as the [Messiah](#) and an incarnation of God, observe certain Jewish rituals and pray in [Hebrew](#) and [Aramaic](#) and secretly celebrate [Jewish festivals and fasts](#). They also observe [rituals](#) celebrating Zevi. They interpreted Zevi's conversion in a [Kabbalistic](#) way. Zevi had to rescue the bits of [God](#) spread among [religions](#) by converting to different confessions. [Some of the original Donmeh were converts to Sabbatianism](#). There are several branches of the Donmeh. The first was the Ismirli formed in [Izmir](#) in what is now [Turkey](#). The second were the Jakubi founded by [Jacob Querido](#), a successor to Zevi who also made messianic claims. Also were the Othman Baba led by Berechia. This group taught [Jacob Frank](#) who led the *Frankists* in [18th century eastern Europe](#) and the fifth were the Lechli who are of [Polish descent](#) who lived in exile in [Salonika](#) and [Constantinople](#). While being accepted by the Muslim society, they only [married within their own community](#) which resulted in several [recessive](#) genetic traits being typical of Donmeh. [Several Donmeh were among the Young Turks, Turkish intellectuals who subverted the Ottoman Empire](#). At the time of the [interchange](#) of Greek and Turkish populations between Turkey and Greece, the Salonika Donmeh tried to be recognized as not Muslims to avoid forced transport to [Anatolia](#). In the Republican era, they strongly supported the pro-Western and *laïque* reforms of [Mustafa Kemal Atatürk](#), an attitude that bolstered the suspicions of Muslims towards them. Their current existence is unclear both in numbers and geographic distribution.

[Isik University](#) (a private university in Istanbul, Turkey) and the [Feyziye Schools Foundation](#) (Feyziye Mektepleri Vakfi - FMV) under whose umbrella the University is operating, are claimed to be founded by the Donmeh. ^[*citation needed*] See also [Converso Muslim Jew Fall of the Ottoman Empire](#) [Donmeh were behind the Armenian holocaust and internally subverted the Ottoman empire], see also <http://www.public-action.com/christmas.html> on the Lubavitch sect.

[Financial Elites Destruction of the Tsar of Russia](#)

The Elites financed the fall of the Tsar of Russia to punish him for his support of Lincoln. Both the Tsar and Lincoln opposed the establishment of a currency monopoly in the hands of these banking dynasties. Karl Marx was a German of Jewish descent, being the nephew of a Rabbi, and a student of the Satanist Bruno Bauer (Rothschild). Due to his revolutionary activities, Karl was expelled from Germany and "... given asylum in England!" ("Pawns In The Game", p.4). Whilst writing "The Communist Manifesto" in 1848, Marx had included ideas of an English socialist, Prof. John Ruskin, of Oxford University. Ruskin was mentor to Cecil Rhodes who, with the Rothschilds, backing, "... was able to monopolize the diamond mines of South Africa" and put his fortune to work in the plan for World empire. ("Tragedy and Hope", Prof. Quigley) Focusing in on the situation in Russia (from 1772), various Tsars had initially made restrictive laws strict for Jews, but they were later progressively liberalized until Alexander II "... devoted his life to improving the conditions of the poorer classes and the Jews But the Jewish revolutionary leaders were determined to continue their movement for Popular World Revolution". ("Pawns In The Game", p.64). Because of the domination of Russian society by Jews, the remaining restrictions were held in place. The Jews began to be increasingly rebellious and this peaked with the assassination of Alexander II.

With Alexander III came the introduction of the extremely restrictive May Laws in 1882. Jews made up 4.2% of the Russian population and the new laws enforced a limit on Jewish economic and cultural activity. "The International Bankers imposed economic sanctions against the Russian Empire. .. In 1904, after they involved the Russian Empire in a disastrous war with Japan, ... Rothschild ... tried to render the Russian Empire bankrupt... ("Pawns In The Game", page 64). This was the preliminary requirement for a successful revolution and takeover. Financed by **Jacob Schiff** since 1897, the Mensheviks (minority group), were a terrorist cell led by "Lev Davidovitch Bronstein, alias Lvov, alias Yanovsky, alias Nicolai Trotsky, the son of a rich Jewish landowner ... ("World Revolution" by Nesta Webster, 1921, page 277). They successfully assassinated six ministers of government (between 1901 and 1906) and had attempted the failed Revolution of 1905. In the meantime, Vladimir Ulyanov - whose great-grandfather was a Jewish merchant named Srul - assumed the name Lenin and then became the head of the Bolsheviks (or majority group) in 1903. Lenin was an extremely ruthless leader and when compared with Stalin, "Of the two dictators Lenin was more cruel". (Molotov, quoted in Quadrant, July 1999, p.41). The First World War was started by members of the Serbian secret society, the Order of the Black Hand, when they assassinated the Archduke Ferdinand in 1914. Unfortunately for him, the Archduke's death had been planned two years earlier at an Illuminati meeting in Switzerland, "...the neutral Ground upon which all international plots are hatched".

The people who fought this war were literally pawns in a game of death. Russian soldiers were fighting well-armed Germans with sticks as they had only "...ONE rifle available for every six men." Russia had assurances from England that it would receive arms, but Rothschild-affiliated Vickers-Maxim held supplies back in preparation for revolution. ("Pawns In The Game", pp 77,78,89) After Lenin's successful Bolshevik revolution of 1917, the USSR came into being - the Illuminati's experiment, used to fine-tune their ideas of a totalitarian World government. Apart from the massive cost in human lives the Rothschilds had made another "killing", with their initial investment in Lenin of \$70 million reaping them hundreds of millions in return. "Pre-Bolshevik Russia was able for many years to feed half of Europe ..." but Lenin ruthlessly stole ("nationalised") the farmers' land and murdered millions of Russians, crippling the economy and causing widespread famine. (Quadrant, July 1999, p.42)

Perhaps the best reference to the Illuminati's role for the "European Jews" in Communism comes from an article by Winston Churchill where he stated in the newspaper, Illustrated Sunday Herald, February 8, 1920, p.5: "...this movement among Jews is not new. From the days of Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky,... this Worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation... has been steadily growing. It played ... a definitely recognisable part in the ... French Revolution [1789]. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the Nineteenth Century;... "

[Sinking of The Lusitania](#)

The sinking of the Lusitania was the event that, more than any other, motivated the American people to accept the necessity and the appearance of morality of getting into World War I. Prior to that time, there was great reluctance. However, when the Lusitania left New York Harbor on May 1, 1915, with 196 Americans on board and was sunk six days later off the coast of Ireland, it became the cause celeb that moved the American consciousness into a war mood against Germany. Americans were outraged at a nation that could viscously and cold-heartedly attack a peaceful passenger ship. What is not well known is that this was a staged incident by the highest levels in the US government to incite public opinion towards entering the war. Another private banking event related to WW I that is not well known is the role played by J.P. Morgan. As you recall, the CFR was described by Professor Quigley as a front for J.P. Morgan and Company. We must remember that Moran was, not only a founding member of the CFR, he was also a member of the Round Table, the inner group directing it, so how does Morgan fit into this? During World War I, the Morgan Bank was the subscription agent for war loans to England and France. These countries had quickly exhausted their financial resources to raise money for military equipment and supplies to continue the war against Germany. So they came to the United States and asked J.P. Morgan - who was culturally closer to Britain than to America - to be their agent for selling war bonds. The House of Morgan was happy to do that, and it floated approximately \$1.5 billion in war bonds on behalf of England and, to a lesser extent, for France.

At this point in history, Britain and France were very close to defeat. The Germans had unleashed a surprise weapon, the U Boat - the submarine - that was new to warfare in those days, and they were sinking the supply ships that carried food and other necessities to the British Isles. The Germans were literally starving the British into submission who, by their own estimate, said they had only about seven weeks of food left. After that, there would be massive starvation in England, and they would have no choice but to surrender. For the British, there was only one salvation, and that was to have the Americans come into the war to help them. But on the American side, there was a different agenda. What would happen to that \$1.5 billion in war loans if Britain and France lost the war? The only time war loans are repaid is when the nation borrowing the money wins the war. Losers don't pay off their bonds. So Morgan was in a terrible fix. Not only were his friends in England in dire danger, he and all his investors were about to lose \$1.5 billion! A very serious situation, indeed.

The U.S. Ambassador to England at that time was Walter Page. Page was far more than just an ambassador. Among other things, he was a trustee to Rockefeller's General Education Board. It was in that capacity that he played a role in shaping educational policies to promote collectivism in America. However, as Ambassador to England, Page sent a telegram to the State Department, and this is what he said, " I think that the pressure of the approaching crisis has gone beyond the ability of the Morgan financial agency to the British and French governments. The greatest help we could give the allies is such credit. Unless we go to war with Germany, our government of course cannot make such a direct grant of credit."

There are two sides to every story, and in this scenario the Zionists (the main protagonists) are opposed by a group which author W.G. Carr calls the "Aryan War Lords". Using this divide and conquer principle allows the Illuminati to split the bulk of humanity. These Zionists and Aryans are really to blame for allowing themselves to be so easily manipulated, but we are also to blame for allowing the whole situation to continue without so much as a word of protest, let alone action. We must each become personally responsible for our own destiny, while at the same time leading others out of this mess by example. With "Communism" (Bolshevism in place, the Illuminati had to create its opposite so that their plan for World takeover could advance. World War I had served two purposes: (1) it had completely depleted Russian resources, making revolution easy; (2) it had created huge debts in Germany, which was forced to make reparations for losing. To start a Second World War, in which Britain and Germany could be exhausted for takeover, propaganda was used to denounce the Jews and "... strengthened the trend towards National Socialism in Germany ... ("Pawns In The Game", page 99).

This would allow the Illuminati the opportunity to pit the Aryan War Lords against the Zionists, who now pushed forward with their plans for a permanent homeland - "Israel". It was done via the Zionist interests in England where many Rothschild associates, such as Arthur Balfour, had worked their way into the parliament. The Balfour Declaration was simply a letter from Lord Arthur Balfour to Lord Lionel Walter Rothschild stating that Britain "... agreed to the terms dictated by Lord Rothschild and his Zionist confreres." With the Allies committed to a Jewish homeland, Lord Allenby and T.E.Lawrence were both dispatched to occupy the Holy Land in 1917. "Lawrence of Arabia" used the Arabs, who helped to drive out the Turks under the illusion "... that Palestine would be a British Protectorate. ("Pawns In The Game", p 87).

The Zionist Commission, set up in 1918, consisted of the following:

- Major Ormsby-Gore - director of the Midland Bank (in England).
- Major James de Rothschild - owner of the Rothschild Colonies in Palestine, which had introduced early Jewish settlers.
- Lieut. Edwin Samuel - later Chief Censor to the British in WWII.
- Mr Israel Sieff - director of Marks & Spencers stores (England).
- Leon Simon - later knighted, head of British General Post Office.
- Dr Elder, Mr Joseph Cohen, and Dr Chaim Weizmann.

The Commission went to Palestine to prepare the way for Versailles. The end of World War I came in that following year, followed by the Treaty of Versailles in 1919. The Rothschild-dominated conference "confirmed" their support for the "Israel" plan and set up the League of Nations, the birth of the Illuminati's global "Trojan Horse".

[World War I \(1914-1918\)](#)

The Germans borrowed money from the German Rothschilds bank, the British from the British Rothschilds bank, and the French from the French Rothschilds. American super banker J.P. Morgan was amongst other things also a sales agent for war materials. Six months into the war his spending of \$10 million a day made him the largest consumer on the planet. The Rockefeller's and the head of president Wilson's War Industries Board, Bernard Baruch each made some 200 million dollars while families contributed their sons to the bloody front lines, but profit was not the only motive for involvement. Russia had spoiled the money changers plan to split America in two, and remained the last major country not to have its own central bank. Now they would pay for their stubborn independence. Three years after the start of the war the entire Russian Royal Family was killed and Communism began. You might find it strange to learn that the Russian Revolution was also fuelled with British money, i.e. Capitalist businessmen financing Communism?

Author Gary Allen gives his explanation: "If one understands that socialism is not a share-the-wealth program, but is in reality a method to consolidate and control the wealth, then the seeming paradox of super-rich men promoting socialism becomes no paradox at all. Instead, it becomes logical, even the perfect tool of power-seeking megalomaniacs. Communism or more accurately, socialism, is not a movement of the downtrodden masses, but of the economic elite." Gary Allen, Author

W. Cleon Skousen wrote in his book 'The Naked Capitalist'. "Power from any source tends to create an appetite for additional power... It was almost inevitable that the super-rich would one day aspire to control not only their own wealth, but the wealth of the whole World. To achieve this, they were perfectly willing to feed the ambitions of the power-hungry political conspirators who were committed to the overthrow of all existing governments and the establishments of a central World-wide dictatorship." W.Cleon Skousen

Extreme revolutionary groups were controlled by being financed when they complied and cut off, with money sometimes being given to their opposition, when they didn't. If you find this hard to believe, listen to what the so called dictator of the new Soviet Union had to say. "The state does not function as we desired. The car does not obey. A man is at the wheel and seems to lead it, but the car does not drive in the desired direction. It moves as another force wishes."

Vladimir Lenin

Rep. Louis T. McFadden, chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee throughout the 1920-30s explained it this way. "The course of Russian history has, indeed, been greatly affected by the operations of international Bankers... The Soviet Government has been given United States Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve Board... acting through the Chase Bank... England has drawn money from us through the Federal Reserve Banks and has re-lent it at high rates of interest to the Soviet Government... The Dnieperstory Dam was built with funds unlawfully taken from the United States Treasury by the corrupt and dishonest Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks." **Rep. Louis T.McFadden (D-PA)**

Even when Communism collapsed in the Soviet Union, Boris Yeltsin revealed that most of the foreign aid was ending up, we quote. "straight back into the coffers of western banks in debt service."

World Domination & Colonization

With Russia down the money changers now had control of every major national economy. Like a steam roller moving and a wolf gathering its pack, there was only one thing left to do and that was to go global. The first attempt was the proposal at the Paris Peace Conference after WWI to set up the League of Nations. Old habits die hard, and even what they called 'the war to end all wars' was not enough to convince nations to dissolve their boundaries. The League died. If politicians really were being controlled, you would think at least one would break ranks and cry out against it. Many did. One was no less than the president of the United States speaking before his death in 1919.

"These International Bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of newspapers and the columns of these papers to club into submission or drive out of public office officials who refuse to do the bidding of the powerful corrupt cliques which compose the invisible government." **Theodore Roosevelt**

Another was **the Mayor of New York expanding on Roosevelt's statement in 1922:**

"The warning of Theodore Roosevelt has much timeliness today, for the real menace of our republic is this invisible government which like a giant octopus sprawls its slimy length over City, State, and nation... It seizes in its long and powerful tentacles our executive officers, our legislative bodies, our schools, our courts, our newspapers, and every agency created for the public protection... To depart from mere generalizations, let me say that at the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller-Standard Oil interest and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as the international bankers. The little coterie of powerful international bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes. They practically control both political parties, write political platforms, make catspaws of party leaders, use the leading men of private organizations, and resort to every device to place in nomination for high public office only such candidates as will be amenable to the dictates of corrupt big business....These international Bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of newspapers and magazines in this country." **John Hylan, Mayor of New York. March 26th, 1922.**



To depart from mere generalisations, let me say that at the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller-Standard Oil interest and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as the international Bankers. The little coterie of powerful international Bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes. They practically control both parties, write political platforms, make catspaws of party leaders, use the leading men of private organisations, and resort to every device to place in nomination for high public office only such candidates as will be amenable to the dictates of corrupt big business... These warnings fell on deaf ears, drowned out by the music and excitement of the roaring 20's. People don't tend to complain much in times of prosperity, so the money changers used this boom time they had created to defuse any complaints about their growing control.

Masonry And The HOAX of COMMUNISM (shades of 1960s Manchurian Candidate!)

Dr. Henry Makow Ph.D. explained how the Masonic takeover began through Communism. First note that many Nazis were brought into the CIA, FBI, NASA, etc. after WWII. Then, [KGB generals](#) have been given important positions in the Dept. of Homeland Security, run by Lenin look-alike, Zionist Michael Chertoff. This development is the "stealth Communist takeover of the USA. How better do it than when everyone is thinking Muslims, and our elected officials are too corrupt and compromised to protect us?" We don't recognize what has taken place because we think Communism is an idealistic but discredited working class experiment, tried mainly in Russia and China. This misconception has duped millions of unsuspecting socialists and liberals including myself. As recently as five years ago, I was singing the praises of Canadian Maoist dupe Dr. Norman Bethune to my English literature class. The Illuminati bankers created Communism to harness the working class to their program of a comprehensive World dictatorship (now known as "globalization.") The Illuminati and Communists are Masonic secret societies that celebrate the same anniversary, May 1, 1776 and share the same satanic symbols. The program took a giant step in 1913 when these Luciferian London-based bankers gained control over America's finances through the passage of the Federal Reserve Act. This gave them the means and incentive to step up their covert war against humanity. The two World Wars were the immediate result. Communism is a [satanic movement](#) devoted to human degradation and tyranny, not public ownership and social justice. Of course, no one would support it if they knew the truth.

Socialist theorists and ideologues have never attacked the essential mechanism of capitalism. Although the injustices of the capitalist system have been attacked in volume after volume, and rightly so, they have never even hinted at the usury upon which the whole system is built and from which all the other injustices stem. Most of the "Russian Revolutionists" of 1917 were actually European Jews (apostate ones) from the lower east side of New York City. Two hundred and seventy-five of them were conveyed to Russia aboard the S.S. Christiana, led by Trotsky and financed by Kuhns, Loeb's, Schiffs and Warburgs. This cozy circle of Jews and Masons financed both sides of the Great War. Marx and Engels, two more Jews (apostate ones), wrote the [Communist Manifesto \(= Fascism = Monopoly Capitalism = imperialism\)](#) on behalf of a secret society calling themselves "The League of Just Men." This secret society was an arm of the Illuminati, whose power and

influence was the catalyst of the French Revolution [1789]. One of the founding members of the Illuminati was the House of Rothschild, the Jewish banking house which practically invented supra-nationalism for personal profit. Many high-ranking former-Communists have risked their lives to alert their fellow Americans. One is Bella Dodd whose shocking book "School of Darkness" I reviewed two years ago. She describes how Communists morph into liberals, feminists and socialists, and myriad front groups (identifiable by the words "international" and "peace") to divide and subvert society. In "Return to My Father's House" (1972), Maurice Malkin provides more revelations. He was a leader of the American Communist Party (CPUSA) in the 1920's and 30's, and part of the Soviet secret service (GPU). When he left the CPUSA, he testified before Congress and suffered a stabbing attack as a result. Malkin, a Jew, had been involved in the Bolshevik underground in Russia. His older brother Joseph, a devoted Marxist later killed by Stalin, taught him that by overthrowing the Czar, workers could "eliminate all injustices and create a heaven on earth." All problems were due to the "class war:"

"The capitalist bourgeoisie owned everything yet the working class did all the work. The mission of the working class was to take through violence what rightly belonged to them...[Only Marxism] could relieve the human race of brutality, discrimination [i.e. anti-Semitism] and injustice, of hunger, poverty and the drudgery that filled the lives of ordinary working people everywhere." (27-29) Malkin immigrated to New York and took this bogus religion of a workers' heaven on earth with him. Lev Bronstein (Leon Trotsky), a close family friend taught him "bullets, not ballots would liberate the workers." (50) His brother Joseph was one of the 150-175 mostly Jewish radicals who left for Russia with Trotsky in 1917 aboard the S.S. Christiansfjord. Banker Jacob Schiff bankrolled them. The ship was stopped in Halifax and the occupants interned. Despite (or because of) Trotsky's public declaration that they "were going home to Russia to dig the grave of capitalism," Woodrow Wilson intervened on their behalf. Rothschild agent Edward House controlled Wilson. **The Illuminati bankers despise public capitalism because it involves competition and market forces. It allows other people the opportunity to prosper. They favor private monopoly or state capitalism and the abolition of private property because then they own or control everything. Of course, this is disguised as "public ownership."**

Thus, for most of the last century the United States has tolerated the legal existence of a party openly dedicated to the violent overthrow of the US government and the enslavement of its people. This party, the CPUSA was funded and directed by a hostile foreign government. It engaged in industrial and military espionage, trained guerrilla units on American soil, forcibly took over unions, raided their treasuries and controlled whole industries. It slandered, harassed and killed opponents; bribed police and judges and infiltrated the military. Yet all the while our highest elected officials defended it as a harmless idealistic enterprise. "Some of my best friends are Communists," **FDR famously said.** Malkin reports that Adlai Stephenson (as Assistant Navy Secretary) sabotaged efforts to curtail Communist activities. In 1956, Eisenhower "liquidated all anti-subversive sections in the Immigration Dept and halted deportation and prosecution of known alien Communists. [He] stopped prosecutions of Communists under the Smith Act, giving the Party a chance to regroup and organize new mass fronts." The Liberal media marginalized and ridiculed as "right wing fanatics" people who warned of the Communist threat. To this day, people don't accept that the Rosenbergs were indeed Russian spies. The House Un-American Activities Committee is portrayed as a "witch hunt."

Communism is nothing but a sugarcoated goon squad for the Illuminati bankers. Malkin reports that the CPUSA even had a formal alliance with the mafia, another Masonic sect. Moscow provided the Mafia with heroin to sell in the USA. The Mafia "lent" money to the Communist Party, provided muscle for taking over the labor movement, and disposed of enemies and members who woke up. (One Communist leader, Juliet Stuart Poyntz, was kidnapped, killed at sea and thrown overboard.) The Mafia also distributed counterfeit US dollars printed in Moscow. Following Stalin's example, American Communists robbed banks, calling it "expropriation." In his book, "Left Wing Communism" (Vol. 30) Lenin counselled: "Communists are to be ready to cheat, lie, perjure and do everything possible to gain their ends." Thus, when evidence of their skulduggery surfaced, it was little matter to denounce it as a "forgery" and smear the messenger. The Communist Party infiltrated the Civil Rights movement and got Ralph Abernathy to work with their Moscow. "The Reds realized that the only way to weaken our country is by dividing it through anarchy and chaos," Malkin writes.

They had more luck with women. The Communist attitude to women is instructive since second-wave feminism is [Communist in origin](#). Feminism is recycled "class war" adjusted for gender. Young female members were used on the waterfront to recruit sailors and longshoremen and bring them to party functions. "Girls would always be found in the Communist summer camps doing the party's bidding plus offering a little enjoyment on the side. The Party believes that the only laws and morals are Communist morals.Communists do not believe in family institutions or morals so everything is free." (239) The Communists had a department dedicated to "the destruction of the morale of the American people by undermining their faith in their moral and social patterns." (71) You can bet that something like this is behind same-sex marriage. When Hitler and Stalin made a pact in 1939, Malkin realized there was little difference between the two and quit the Party. He devoted the rest of his life to defending American institutions, working for the U.S. Dept. of Justice from 1948-1956. He realized belatedly that his religious father's condemnations of Communism were correct, hence the title of the book. Although this book was published in 1972, the Communist conspiracy is more active than ever. Conscious and unconscious agents abound especially in lesbian, feminist, socialist, Zionist and liberal circles. I have encountered them in academia, journalism, politics and government.

Once, a lesbian running for the head of the English Department gave a long speech saying she believed in "peace." What did this have to do with English literature? Apparently literature today is more concerned with indoctrination. She was elected Chair of the Department. The New World Order is full of empty platitudes about "peace" "tolerance" and "human rights." But in light of the NWO's murderous Communist (and Fascist) pedigree, these platitudes are no more convincing than if Ted Bundy or Jeffrey Dahmer uttered them. Western elites (including the intelligentsia) suffer from a peculiar

death wish. We would be slaves already were it not for the fact that ordinary Americans own firearms. This, the Internet, and the inherent self-destructiveness of evil, are my main reasons for hope. Nowadays banking has become extremely sophisticated but the hidden and usurious mechanism behind it remains the same. After a big enquiry, hushed up as much as possible, the Bank of England was nationalized in 1946. In theory control of the Bank of England should then have passed from a group of private individuals to the British Government, but this is still not the case. Nationalization only added a thin veneer of respectability. Our current system of exchange was created with a profit motive aimed at capitalising on people's need for currency. The Central Banking System (which produces the actual currency and loans it to governments at interest and sells it to high street banks at face value) was set up as a network of private businesses with official-sounding names, engineered to make a profit for their shareholders. These include the Bank of England (Graham Bannoc R.E. Baxter and Evan Davis, 'The Penguin Dictionary of Economics' page 34 also The Economic Organisation of Europe, 'Cambridge Economic History of Europe V' page 352), The Federal Reserve (Graham Bannoc R.E. Baxter and Evan Davis, 'The Penguin Dictionary of Economics' page 155) and all the other Central Banks throughout the World. Not one of them is democratic! All of them are masters of disguise. Even nationalization has not stopped Bank of England stock holders from receiving their dividends, nor stopped the bank from keeping 75% of its profit, while writing off the 25% it pays to the Treasury as corporation tax (Bank of England Act 1946).

The British Treasury, in conjunction with the Bank of England's advisers to the Government, determine how much paper money and coin will be issued each year. This has to accord with the wealth of the nation for that year. But because banknotes and coins only account for a tiny percentage of financial transactions, it makes no difference to the Bankers at all. Most financial transactions are carried out with meaningless and worthless figures on computer records that have no relationship to real wealth. Everything has to be paid for at interest though - even when it doesn't exist! **The Government still has to pay interest on old and new loans from the Bank. Only a few years ago it was announced that the interest debt on a loan taken during the Napoleonic War had just been paid off! This is where much of our tax money goes.**

Delivering An Independent India To The Soviets

As we know, the biggest Illuminati project of the 20th century was Communism. To create an independent India as a proxy for the Soviets, the Illuminati fostered the growth of the Indian National Congress through its operatives, A.O Hume and Wederburn.. For this purpose, Indian Masonry went overtime into grooming local Indian Mason operatives. According to this official Masonic website, <http://www.masonindia.org/index10.html> "Swami Vivekananda (initiated in 1884 under the name of Bro. Narendra Nath Dutt in Lodge Anchor & Hope, Calcutta). Motilal Nehru - Lodge Harmony, Kanpur (Father of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and grand father of Indhira Gandhi), C. Rajagopalachary (Governor General of India), Sir C P Ramaswamy Iyer (Divan of Travancore), Dr. P V Cheriya (Governor of Maharashtra), and Fakruddin Ali Ahmed (President of India)." Since this Illuminati project of delivering an 'independent India' to the Soviet sphere of influence required top priority, Illuminati operative Helena Blavatsky founded the Theosophical Society in India. The purpose was two-fold. First, Blavatsky would dig up the swastika and Aryan theory for the Nazis who were created to attack Russia, which would result in the entire Eastern Europe and Germany being transferred to Communists. Secondly, the Theosophical Society would coordinate the Indian Independence movement through the Indian National Congress. Even back then, rumours began circulating about Blavatsky and the "Russians". A key theosophist activist was Mrs. Annie Besant who despite acting against the British, managed to mysteriously escape being punished by them. If one analyses the Indian independence movement, we notice the British building a crescendo of antagonizing the Indian National Congress and then caving in to their demands' whereas transfer of power to the INC was predetermined. South-African educated lawyer Mahatma Gandhi led the famous "salt tax" revolt to kick off the drive for independence (similar to the Boston Tea Party against taxation without representation) while Mohammed Ali Jinnah, a British educated lawyer managed to get a mandate for an all Muslim Pakistan without going to jail.

The last Governor General of India, Lord Mountbatten (in fact, a German with the real name of Battenburg) is considered to be associated with the Rothschilds (originally Germans as well). He assured that independent India got more than its share of landmass, including islands in the Indian Ocean, many border districts initially marked for Pakistan (which resulted in the Muslims being massacred), 95% Muslim Kashmir which should have gone to Pakistan, The Muslim princely states of Junagadh and Hyderabad, the latter of whom was expecting independent status for its role in 1857 and North-Eastern territories consisting of Orientals! The time India was liberated coincides with a little known private ceremony known as "Hour of the British Empire" which is held in London. **Further, the Rothschild owned British Petroleum was granted unlimited rights to all offshore Indian oil, which is still valid till this day.** There is reason to believe that the internationalists were behind the death/disappearance of Indian freedom fighter Subhash Chandra Bose who would become a popular rival to Theosophist groomed Nehru after independence. Unlike Nehru, Bose was on the frontlines using Indian POW's of the British army captured by the Japanese to fight back against the British. His death remains a mystery and it was conducted by the illuminati to ensure Nehru had all control over India.

The Congress party consisted of numerous Masons and theosophists who ensured that India with its strategic landmass was always a total ally of the Soviet Union. Later, many communist countries including India became part of the "non aligned movement" which enabled them to remain allied to the Soviet Union and yet receive major aid from internationalists and their tax free foundations in America, including components for atomic weapons. Even Canadian Deuterium made its way into Soviet proxy India. All of Indian military hardware consisted of Russian technology and despite the cold war, the Illuminati was very reluctant to back Pakistan in any way. Limited amounts of American small arms made it to Afghanistan through Pakistan (note that the American ambassador supervising it and the Pakistani President, General Zia, were killed in the same plane crash). India had major stakes in the Soviet Union, including a

possible obliteration of Pakistan if the Soviets made it across Afghanistan. It is interesting to note that the UN turned a blind eye to the illegal Indian invasion and annexation of Goa. This proves that the UN was created to foster Illuminati plans.

Of course, the farce of Indian independence will never be known to the casual observer, who is subjected to whitewash such as the BBC movie, "Gandhi" and Larry Collin's (Of Illuminati Collins bloodline) "Freedom at Midnight". As for Indians, despite their population of around 1 billion, they seem to be too hungry, hate obsessed and materialistic to ever figure out. And again, greeting the powerful of the World with folded hands is never looked down upon. In India. And rather represents a 1000 year old tradition. It is interesting to note that the Indian government crushed the real peasant classes who became genuine Communists without the Communist International batting an eye; and Soviet aid to India was never hindered. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, the Illuminati switched horses; abandoning the Congress party for the Hindu fascist Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP). Though never in control of this party, this was the closest the Illuminati could get to exercising influence. The UN and World media would turn a blind eye to the burning alive of 5000+ Muslim civilians by Hindu hoodlums. Israel would become intimately involved with India, to the extent of Israeli fighter planes being detected in the process of launching pre-emptive strikes on Pakistani nuclear reactors after India conducted its recent nuclear tests. The coming to light of this event enraged the Chinese allies of Pakistan to such an extent that they gifted Pakistan a complete fleet of fighter planes.

The recent resurgence of the Congress has upset Illuminati plans. The creation of a one World government under the Illuminati UN will require not just horrendous wars in the Middle East but the rest of the World as well. In South east Asia, we may see a war with Pakistan, North Korea and China against India, Taiwan and south Korea. If it happens to be a nuclear war, the carnage will help pave way for a UN one World government. For that purpose, the Illuminati is working to get the recently dethroned Hindu fascist BJP party back on its feet.

[1920 Henry Ford in "The Dearborn Independent" Magazine Exposes Fraudulent Financial System](#)

Henry Ford: "...It is well that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning." (see The Foundation Economy by Fred Eggerton)

Henry Ford: "Do you want to know the cause of war? It is capitalism, greed, the dirty hunger for dollars. Take away the capitalist and you will sweep war from the earth."

Henry Ford said that it would only require 60 to 70 bankers being put into jail to stop all wars when in plans were told him for World War II and how various people would profit from it when riding on a ship to Europe.

The Prohibition Movement seemingly sprang up spontaneously but what really happened was that people like Henry Ford were including plans for simple alcohol stills with the Model T so that farmers and others could be self sufficient and would be enabled to buy more of these vehicles. The lower the cost of energy, the more independence people have and the more freedom they have from bankers and their control. The banklords could not allow this to continue thus the blue blood families in the east were encouraged to finance advertising and other monies for leaders in the prohibition movement to take out the small independents that were a threat to the oil market controlled by the seven sister Rockefeller oil companies. Large alcohol factories were set up in Germany and other places to supply the illicit demand created and moved through connected mafia members so that more money was made by them. After prohibition was declared a failure, red tape and bureaucracy was created so that there was another monopoly created supplying continuous large profits for a few super rich.

The Dearborn Independent also started publishing the Protocols as a serial in 1920.

[1921 Independent Treasury Act abolishes Public Treasury in favor of BankLords](#)

President Thomas Jefferson once said: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies . . . If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around [the banks] . . . will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered . . . The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs." -- -- The Debate Over The Recharter Of The Bank Bill, (1809). Seems that Jefferson's prophesy has come true unfortunately for us!

The Makers of the Constitution foresaw the need of a national capital. The city of Washington, the District of Columbia, became the capital in 1800. There the work of the government is centered. Congress is given complete charge and control over it. Its residents have no vote. They, alone, of all the people in the United States, must obey laws, with the making of which, they have had nothing to do. They elect no representative to Congress; neither do they elect any city or district officer. This is where the private Federal Reserve System is headquartered, just like the Bank of England is headquartered in the square mile CITY OF LONDON (everything is centered around these banks).

The following is from <http://www.wealth4freedom.com/truth/2/jeffersonprofesy.htm>, Joyce Rosenwald and <http://www.barefootsWorld.net/srwep.html> . **Did you ever hear of the Independent Treasury Act of 1921?** No, you

say.... Hmmmmmmmm....? This Act suspended the de jure (meaning "by right of legal establishment") Treasury Department of the United States government. Our Congress turned its functions to a private corporation, the Federal Reserve and their agents. The ownership of the Federal Reserve System was initially held by these banking interests: Rothschild Bank of London, Rothschild Bank of Berlin, Warburg Bank of Hamburg, Warburg Bank of Amsterdam, Lazard Brothers of Paris, Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy, Chase Manhattan Bank of New York, Goldman, Sachs of New York, Lehman Brothers of New York, Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York. **The Federal Reserve is at the root of most of our present laws, i.e. it basically is the "STATE" of the United States. See "Our Enemy, The STATE" by Albert J. Nock - 1935, his Classic Critique Distinguishing "Government" from the "STATE." See also the Charts in Text Format of Interlocking Directorships and Family Linkages taken from "Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence. Staff Report, Committee on Banking, Currency and Housing, House of Representatives, 94th Congress, 2nd Session, August 1976."** United States money, in the form of private Federal Reserve System Banks notes (FRN) or FRN accounts.

It is interesting to read the printing on several different kinds of bills..... a "green-back " or United States note, a Federal Reserve note (FRN), a gold certificate, and a national bank note, perhaps, given by a bank in your own city. One of these guarantees that the holder will be given the amount of the bill, in gold coin, upon demand; and in fact, gold can be obtained for any of them. In December 23, 1913, the U.S. Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act, placing control of this nation's issuance of money and credit into the hands of a private corporation. In 1920, the 66th Congress passed the Independent Treasury Act. In 1921, the United States abolished the U.S. Treasury. **This allowed all United States money in the private Federal Reserve Banks to be kept separate from Federal Reserve Notes: "That, if any moneys or bullion, constituting part of the trust funds or other special funds heretofore required by law to be kept in Treasury offices, shall be deposited with any Federal reserve bank, then such moneys or bullion shall by such bank be kept separate and distinct from the assets, funds, and securities of the Federal Reserve Bank and be held in the joint custody of the Federal Reserve Agent and the Federal Reserve Bank".** From 1913, until 1933, under the authority of the U.S. Congress, a private corporation held control of this nation's GOLD. The U.S. paid interest on the use of their own gold, with more and more of its gold, ultimately ending in bankruptcy. Inevitably, the bankers foreclosed. On March 9, 1933, the U.S. declared bankruptcy, as expressed in President Franklin Delano Roosevelt's Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111, and 6260. He declared a National Emergency that made it unlawful for any citizen of the United States to own gold (fleecing of the flock!) Our bankrupt nation went into receivership and reorganized in favor of it's creditor and new owners, a private corporation of international bankers. **Since 1933, what is called the "UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT" is a privately owned corporation of the Federal Reserve/IMF.**

Without a word of truth to the American people, all our good faith and credit was pledged as the surety for the debt by the same Congress who created the mechanism that allowed it to occur. Those exercising the offices of the several States, in equal measure, knew such "De Facto Transitions" were unlawful and unauthorized, but sanctioned, implemented, and enforced the complete debauchment and the resulting "governmental, social, industrial economic change" in the "De Jure" States, and in United States of America. Public Law 94-564 Legislative History, pg. 5936, 594531 U.S.C.A. 31431 U.S.C.A. 5112C.R.S. 11-61-101C.R.S. 39-22-103.5 They were and are now under the delusion that they can do, both, directly and indirectly, what they were absolutely prohibited from doing. Federalist Papers No. 44 Craig vs. Missouri, 4 Peters 903.

On June 5, 1933, Congress passed HJR-192. House Joint Resolution 192 was passed to suspend the gold standard and abrogate the gold clause in the national constitution. Since then no one in America has been able to lawfully pay a debt. This resolution declared: ".....Whereas the holding or dealing in gold affect the PUBLIC INTEREST, and are therefore subject to proper regulation and restriction: and whereas the existing emergency has disclosed that provisions of obligations which purport to give the obligee a RIGHT TO REQUIRE PAYMENT in gold or a particular kind of coin or currency....ARE INCONSISTENT WITH THE DECLARED POLICY OF CONGRESS IN THE PAYMENT OF DEBTS.....PAYMENT in gold or a particular kind of coin or currency, or in an amount in money of the united States measured thereby, IS DECLARED TO BE AGAINST PUBLIC POLICY:AND.....EVERY OBLIGATION, HERETOFORE OR HEREAFTER INCURRED, SHALL BE DISCHARGED upon payment, dollar for dollar, in any coin or currency which, at the time of payment, is legal tender for public and private debts....All coins and currencies of the United States (including Federal Reserve Notes and circulating notes of Federal Reserve banks and national banking associations) heretofore, or hereafter, coined or issued, SHALL BE LEGAL TENDER for all debts, public and private, public charges, taxes, duties, and dues,...." House Joint Resolution 192, 73d Congress, Sess.I, Ch. 48, June 5, 1933 (Public Law No. 10). Note: "payment of debt" is now against Congressional and "public policy" and henceforth, "Every obligation...Shall be discharged."

As a result of HJR-192, and from that day forward (June 5, 1933), no one in this nation has been able to lawfully pay a debt or lawfully own anything. The only thing one can do, is tender in transfer of debts, with the debt being perpetual. The suspension of the gold standard, and prohibition against paying debts, removed the substance for our common law to operate on, and created a void as far as the law is concerned. This substance was replaced with a "PUBLIC NATIONAL CREDIT SYSTEM" where debt is "LEGAL TENDER" money. The Federal Reserve calls it "monetized debt." HJR-192 was implemented immediately. The day after President Roosevelt signed the resolution, the treasury offered the public new government securities, minus the traditional "payable in gold" clause. On May 23, 1933, Congressman, Louis T. McFadden, brought formal charges against the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bank system, the Comptroller of the Currency and the Secretary of the United States Treasury for criminal acts. The petition for Articles of Impeachment was, thereafter, referred to the Judiciary Committee, and has yet to be acted upon. **The Judiciary branch of government has the power to correct this fraud upon the people.**

In 1965 Congress passed the "Coinage Act of 1965" completely debasing the Constitutional Coin; (gold & silver, i.e. Dollar). U.S. vs. Marigold, 50 U.S. 560, 13 L. Ed. 257. At the signing of the Coinage Act on July 23, 1965, Lyndon B. Johnson stated, in his Press Release that: "When I have signed this bill before me, we will have made the first fundamental change in our coinage in 173 years. The Coinage Act of 1965 supercedes the Act of 1792. And that Act had the title: An Act Establishing a Mint and Regulating the Coinage of the United States . . ." "Now I will sign this bill to make the first change in our coinage system, since the 18th Century. To those members of Congress, who are here on this historic occasion, I want to assure you that in making this change from the 18th Century we have no idea of returning to it."

In 1967, in a brazenly unconstitutional act, Congress repudiated its obligation to redeem silver certificates in silver coin or bullion. In the book, Pieces of Eight, Dr. Edwin Vieira writes: "On June 24, 1968 the United States, finally, abandoned the silver standard applicable since Queen Anne's proclamation of 1704, and embraced a system of fiat bills of credit (e.g. alleged currency) based on irredeemable, legal tender, Federal Reserve Notes and debased, legal tender, clad coinage, never to be declared as lawful money of the United States. Through misguided trust, our duly elected sworn public officials took our lawful currency and changed it to unconstitutional bills of credit (irredeemable Federal Reserve Notes), which continues to circulate only because of the public's continuing, misplaced confidence in these notes. The word "legal tender" on today's notes are not a magic incantation; they impart NO intrinsic value to money, nor do they entitle the bearer to exchange these notes for lawful specie. They are a throwback to feudal days when the sovereign could, and did, issue a proclamation declaring what was to be used as "money" whenever he wanted to debase the circulating medium."

INSCRIPTIONS ON FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES 1913 TO 1934: "Redeemable in Gold on demand at the United States Treasury or in Lawful money, at any Federal Reserve Bank." "Will pay to the bearer on demand one dollar."

1934TO..... 1968: "This note is legal tender for all debts public and private and is redeemable in lawful money at the United states Treasury, or any Federal Reserve bank." "Will pay to the bearer on demand one dollar."

1968TO..... 1995: "This note is legal tender for all debts, public and private". THERE IS NO PROMISE TO PAY, NOR IS A NOTE A DOLLAR !!

US currency (notes, bills of credit) was always to be redeemable in United States specie currency; first issued 76 years after the ratification of the U.S. Constitution, which only mandates gold and silver coin as currency in substance, not form. Early Federal Reserve Notes were redeemable, but over the years, the wording on these notes regarding the promise and obligation has been gradually changed until 1968. **Since that time our "monetized debt" money offers NO OBLIGATION AND THEY PROMISE NOTHING!!!!**

Since 1913, there has been more than just a gradual and accelerating erosion of the alleged dollar's purchasing power in our society. For the privilege of using these notes of private corporate debt as our "money", we were absolved from the responsibility of paying our debts at law. We were placed in the position of having the "benefit" of limited liability for payment of debt under the jurisdiction of Vice Admiralty/Maritime law (the law merchant/commercial jurisdiction, UCC) in all controversies. For the privilege of using monetized debt, we also lost the rights secured to us by our Organic Constitutions, both National and State. Under the law, merchant, you have no rights. We are now using as "lawful money", worthless notes of private corporate debt, backed by our own credit that we can't own, and for this "privilege" we are held to compelled performance under the statutes.....To make it simple, as long as this nation's lawful currency is notes of private corporate debt, (bills of credit...money backed by no substance) it will remain impossible to ever repay a debt, thereby keeping us and our posterity in debt into perpetuity. **Has Thomas Jefferson's prophecy come to pass?** Under the contrived bankruptcy we have lost the right to challenge the constitutionality of the statutes.....We have lost our law.....We can own nothing.....We have become corporate slaves on the land we once owned.....And.....our children are waking up homeless on the continent their forefathers conquered.

In 1921, the stockholders of the Federal Reserve financed an organization called the "Council on Foreign Relations" (CFR). Harpers magazine called this the most powerful organization in the United States. Ninety percent of the people in the State Department and key positions in the Executive Branch are members of the CFR. The CFR publishes a magazine called "Foreign Affairs." Read it if you want to know what is going to happen in coming years. The CFR is in favor of a New World Order tyranny.

[Agricultural Panic of 1921](#)

So successful was this deliberately induced panic by the Federal Reserve Board that commodity prices fell 50% and industrial production 32%. Thousands of farms were foreclosed in 1920-1921. This contrived policy of the Fed broke over 5400 banks. The giant banks picked up the assets of the broken ones, in many cases at 5 to 7 cents on the dollar, and many of the larger bankrupt businesses were acquired by corporations owned or controlled by the same "Insiders"

[Depression In 1929: The Neo-Slavery Debt-Usury Cycle Crash](#)

One thing multiplying irreversibly in proportion to another, ultimately exceeds it. Given that interest multiplies debt in proportion to commerce, it follows that if a subject commerce cannot grow faster than the ever escalated multiplication of debt inherent to a circulation subject to interest, that in the end of such a system, insoluble debt overcomes the system at

an ever greater rate — as is the present experience. <http://www.perfecteconomy.com/principal---probability-of-World-wide-economic-collapse.html>. The collapsing of the securities market is the same takeover ruse which the proposed Aldrich Central Bank was promised to prevent in the propaganda of the carefully re-named "Federal Reserve" System. As history continues to prove, a huge volume of unrepealed, financial legislative acts were thus based on purposed deception. Competing in acquisition of assets, the same private, international usurers would withdraw or diminish the availability of credit necessary to sustaining a sector of commerce. The trade dependent on that circulation could only collapse as obligations to pay on existent debt deplete the circulation. Without the re-borrowing vital to maintaining the circulation, the sector expires as soon as debt obligations cannot be met. The bankers then foreclose on debt, saying the sector over-extended its credit-worthiness. By this ruse, central bankers had already become the real owners of most of the World's production. Over its first 15 years, up to Black Friday, incredible quantities of securities had been traded on short-term credit provided by the Federal Reserve. With the Federal Reserve's blessing, stocks were typically bought "on margin" — with the purchaser having only some 10% of the funds, and borrowing the remainder from funds provided by the Federal Reserve. Purchases were necessarily turned over on equally short terms, to repay the debt.

If you had \$100, you could buy \$1,000 worth of stock on \$900 of short-term credit made available by the Federal Reserve. On a 15-day promissory note, you sold the stock two weeks later, for perhaps \$1,100. Much as today, the Federal Reserve gladly granted another buyer the credit necessary to re-purchase the stocks. Prices were consistently elevated by the very proportions of credit sanctioned by Federal Reserve policies. In two weeks, after selling your \$1,000 purchase for \$1,100 and paying your \$900 debt, you had doubled your \$100 savings — which of course were immediately re-invested on further short-term credit. With a dramatically inflating circulation, no one could truly afford to leave their money outside of circles which did not directly swallow this stream of credit. The real value of money depreciated against a circulation perpetually inflated in proportion to real production. Obviously, the real value of commerce was not doubling or increasing 10% in the same 15 days. And so there were no savings, as no one could truly afford to save. Spare money rode the "securities" market. Swelled consistently by this steady stream of ever greater credit, the market would re-purchase the stocks. A perpetually inflated circulation alone made this both possible and necessary — to fulfill the obligations of the ever greater debt simultaneously incurred.

As the exchanges closed one Black Friday however, suddenly the very same bankers who seemed to see fit to inflate the costs of securities upon so much debt, now withdrew further credit from the market. Speculators were advised no more short-term credit would be made available to their graft. Stockholders suddenly had the weekend to contemplate the prospect of selling their indebted stocks — by necessity liquidated in the least of time — to a market which would now be deprived of the credit absolutely necessary to buy them as would fulfill the debt incurred in their purchase. Only 10% of the currency necessary to do so existed — and even this was in the hands of the same bankers. Tremendous short-term debt existed — all soon due. Virtually no circulation existed to redeem this debt. Further credit, absolutely necessary to redeem the current debt, would no longer be available. Hence, the following Monday could only see, all at once, the precipitous crash of the market; real ownership of commerce and real property fall into the hands of the very twelve private corporations proposed to stabilize economy by foreclosure and dispossession which ensued; and the initiation of the Great Depression.

It was mathematically impossible for the market not to crash; it crashed due to manipulation by the BankLords. In the immediate wake of the initial crash followed a vast wave of foreclosure — further multiplying the gains of the Federal Reserve and subordinate banks at an incredible expense to the people. The crash of the stock market and the "Great Depression" however were only initial consequences of the bizarre capacities endowed the "Federal Reserve." In the midst of the Great Depression — and while he could not yet know "The Federal Reserve" was financing the military expansions of Germany and Japan for a World War soon to follow — Congressman Louis T. McFadden asked for repeal of the Federal Reserve Act and enforcement of the Constitution. Congressman Louis T. McFadden served twelve years as Chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency. He was perhaps the foremost expert of the time on banking and currency matters, including the subversive developments creating the Federal Reserve. Two attempts were made to take Mr. McFadden's life, including an attempted poisoning at a Congressional cafeteria. He passed away in 1935 under circumstances which many still contend are suspicious. With his passing, "somehow", for the while, so too passed serious opposition to the Federal Reserve.

"The Federal Reserve definitely caused the Great depression by contracting the amount of currency in circulation by one-third from 1929 to 1933." **Milton Friedman, Nobel Prize winning economist**

Curtis Dall, son-in-law of FDR: "It was the calculated shearing of the public by the World Money powers triggered by the sudden shortage of call money in the New York money market".

"It was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence... The international Bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they might emerge as rulers of us all." "I think it can hardly be disputed that the statesmen and financiers of Europe are ready to take almost any means to re-acquire rapidly the gold stock which Europe lost to America as the result of World War I." **Rep. Louis T. McFadden (D-PA)**

And instead of lowering interest rates and increasing the money supply, the FED further tightened money supplies. 40 billion dollars somehow vanished in the crash into the hands of the banking dynasties. It didn't really vanish, it simply shifted into the hands of the money changers. This is how Joe Kennedy went from having 4 million dollars in 1929 to having over 100 million in 1935. During this time the Fed caused a 33% reduction of the money supply, causing deeper depression. The Illuminati's two greatest weapons are secrecy and money. Mayer Amschel Rothschild, head of the House

of Rothschild, and who was also head of the Illuminati, said in 1838, "Allow me to issue and control the money of a nation and I care not who writes its laws." How very true! Even in today's society, if a federally-sponsored charity does not listen to what these groups want, it will be cut off from all governmental funding. **This is "monetary dictatorship."** Down the corridor of time, a political figure emerged in the 1920's who would become President of the United States. During the 1932 presidential campaign, Franklin D. Roosevelt's political platform was based on what he termed "The New Deal," though many rumors were spreading that this platform did not represent his true plans. Fearing a deeper depression, the public began a run on the banks. Many closed their doors by the time Roosevelt was inaugurated. Even the Great Depression, beginning in late 1929, was part of this overall plan. **Congressman Louis T. McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking Committee, said...** "It was no accident. It was a carefully contrived occurrence. The international Bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so they might emerge as rulers of us all." (sounds like a familiar story, i.e. 9-11, famous Masonic words from Bush "out of chaos will emerge order"). So the Roosevelt administration asked the United States Congress to pass legislation which would force the American people to give up their gold in exchange for paper money. When the passing of the appropriate legislation was accomplished, the American people were forced to give up their gold for this paper money called the Federal Reserve Notes. They had received \$20.67 in paper money for an ounce of gold. This sounded fair in the very beginning, but, shortly thereafter, the government raised the price of gold to \$35.00 an ounce. In short, the government (during the great depression) had robbed the American populace of over three billion dollars. Senator Carter Glass was asked his opinion on this new development. He replied, "President Roosevelt, I think that this is worse than anything that Ali Baba's forty thieves could ever have perpetrated." As Roosevelt's "New Deal" was put into motion, every new dollar bill coming off the presses carried with it two new seals that had never been there before; the Two Seals of the Illuminati. They could now openly declare that their conspiracy had finally born fruit, their "NOVUS ORDO SECLORUM" or "New Deal."

So as not to alarm the public, President Hoover chose his words carefully when he discussed the state of the economy in 1929. American economists and politicians had referred to previous economic downturns as "Panics," such as the "Panic of 1873" and the "Panic of 1893." Hoover, however, called this latest downturn a "Depression" rather than a "Panic," and the name stuck. Of course, America was not alone in the Great Depression; it struck all the industrialized nations of the World, including Germany, Britain, and France. Moreover, Germany still had huge reparation payments to make to the Allies in the aftermath of WWI. These reparation payments fueled spiraling inflation in Germany and crippled that nation's economy.

The Allies themselves had borrowed money from the United States during the war, were unable to pay it all back during the 1920s, and were now not only broke, but in debt. These perplexing economic problems in the United States exacerbated a host of social problems, including: Unemployment and poverty, Breakdown of families, Soaring high school dropout rates (2 to 4 million), Homelessness, Organized protests, Around the country, the homeless built settlements of cardboard and tar-paper shacks, called "Hoovervilles" in sardonic reference to President Hoover, Farmers armed with guns and pitchforks marched on the local banks to prevent foreclosures. "The Bonus Expeditionary Force." A group of WWI veterans who had been denied their pensions organized the first march on Washington in protest. In 1932, twenty thousand men set up a tent city, vowing to stay until they got their money. President Hoover overreacted and sent in the army (led by future war heroes Douglas MacArthur and Dwight D. Eisenhower) to break up this peaceful demonstration.

"Bonus Army" WWI Veterans protesting unpaid pensions in Washington D.C. Copyright 1997 State Historical Society of Wisconsin; Hand-painted sign on Bonus Army truck states: "We Done a Good Job in France, Now You Do a Good Job in America". Images of the depression include Bread lines, Hoboes hopping freight trains, College grads becoming gas station attendants (or enrolling in graduate school in record numbers), Skyrocketing rates of suicide and mental illness, Former businessmen selling pencils or apples on street corners, "Okies"--Oklahoma farmers escaping the dust bowl for migrant farm work in California, most vividly portrayed in John Steinbeck's novel *The Grapes of Wrath* (1939). Other experiences were the reality of long-term unemployment, the day-to-day despair, Inability to sell warehoused goods and services because with so much of the work force unemployed, nobody had money to buy things. The Great Depression hit farmers especially hard. Many had gone into debt to buy machinery and land, and now could not make their payments. Low crop prices wiped out potential profits. In addition to the usual challenges of agriculture, a great drought took place in 1931 and 1932 in the Midwest and the South and turned much of the trans-Mississippi West into a dust bowl. Nevertheless, if farmers couldn't make a profit selling their products, at least they could still eat, so most stayed put. In contrast to popular images of farmers leaving the land, the 1930s actually had the lowest rate of migration from farms to cities.

The American public correctly found the "Three B's" responsible for the Crash and the Depression: Central Mega-Bankers, with their allied Mega-Brokers, Mega-Businessmen. On June 10, 1932, in the midst of the Great Depression, he addressed the House of Representatives asking for investigations of criminal conspiracy to establish the privately owned "Federal Reserve System." He requested impeachment of Federal officers who had violated oaths of office both in establishing and directing the Federal Reserve — imploring Congress to investigate an incredible scope of overt criminal acts by the Federal Reserve Board and Federal Reserve Banks. He fingered the Federal Reserve Banks' conspiracy to remove the gold behind our currency to the foreign principals of these banks and their financing of foreign military expansion in Germany and Japan to the degree of sixty billion dollars annually, with the very same gold removed from our public reserves. Mr. McFadden reports sufficient evidence the Federal Reserve understood beforehand the stock market would crash, and that the ramifications of the crash were such as a premeditated event designed to reap incredible gains at the cost of the people. But there is no doubt it is mathematically impossible the securities market could have survived the Federal Reserve's termination of short term credit. Yet to this day, the obligatory investigations courageously requested by Mr. McFadden have never been carried out by the Federal Reserve's partners in government.

Nonetheless there is little doubt that on the one hand, the Federal Reserve planned to crash the market and foreclose upon vast wealth — or on the other, that this very act could otherwise only have demonstrated the complete incompetence or unfitness of such an entity as a "regulatory" body — governing beyond democracy, yet ostensibly on behalf of the people... while, by its very nature, profiting from them to an ever greater degree without providing any qualifiable or quantifiable service whatever. McFadden argues there is no power whatever to delegate control of the economy and currency to 12 private corporations with the inherent and proven interest in profiting from the economy. His efforts however fall short of proposing or qualifying perfected economy, and thus he does not argue against the indefensible position that such an entity as the Federal Reserve can only multiply debt to the ever greater detriment of its subjects. Nonetheless, even if the establishment of the Federal Reserve were not precluded by the Constitution, its very essence is in complete conflict with the inherent interests of productive, self-determined society. No society has ever benefited as the subject of usury. Under the pressures of the time, and despite the threat to his safety, McFadden details the consequences of this incredible, inadvisable, undemocratic, and oppressive Federal Reserve Act. His address is perhaps most important as a detailing of crimes. Measured in terms of human misery, deprivation, injustice, and loss of life, it records to posterity a truly appalling scope of evil.

The majority of his speech is presented next. Less significant areas have been omitted or densified. To avoid confusion, a few In fact the gold standard existed to this time, but would have been impossible to honor while inflating the circulation as required to support greater degrees of production and prosperity. The mere inconsistent quantities of monetary gold and silver... and evolving prosperity... indeed make any precious metal monetary standard impractical to honor while supporting prosperity exceeding the quantity of the supposed standard. This is the critical flaw in the concept of a precious metal monetary standard itself. The only reasonable object constituting the value of money representing the value of production is the production itself . As Mr. McFadden's speech itself attests, and contrary to his sentiments of returning to a gold standard, neither did this standard protect us, nor is it possible now to uphold such a standard. As the Honorable Congressman McFadden concludes, economic rectitude is improbable until the people are ready to assert their dominion over an economy which does serve them. Stack in front of you the biographies of all the Wall Street giants, J.P. Morgan, Joe F. Kennedy, J.D Rockefeller, Bernard Baruch, and you'll find they all marvel at how they got out of the stock market and put their assets in gold just before the crash. None mention a secret directive, since revealed, sent by the father of the Federal Reserve, Paul Warburg, warning of the coming collapse and depression. With control of the press and the education system, few Americans are aware that the Fed caused the depression. It is however a well known fact among leading top economists. This itself would require a formula for proper economy; a formula for converting the present system into proper economy — and vigorous understanding and disposition, commensurate to their vital objective.

"The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with the flick of a pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again. Take this great power away from the Bankers and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear, and they ought to disappear, for this would be a better and happier World to live in. But if you want to continue the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, let them continue to create money and to control credit." - **Sir Josiah (John) Stamp, Director and President of the Bank of England during the 1920's**

Repeat of 1929? Probability Of Worldwide Economic Collapse Under Insoluble Debt

Unemployed Chicagoans during Great Depression.

What virtues of an 'economic' system could render a prospering nation, fully capable of continuous production, jobless? [Given that a circulation subject to interest multiplies debt in proportion to given commerce¹](#), then a system having achieved either desirable production or a possible growth of production under debt service less than the ever-escalating multiplication of debt upon it, ultimately collapses under insoluble debt. Ultimate, system-wide insoluble debt is virtually inescapable, as, particularly in the later stages of the potential lifespan of any such system, it is impractical that production and consumption escalate at the true, perpetually escalating pace of debt multiplication. Not surprisingly, this thesis parallels a principal model, the Kondratieff Long Wave (K Cycle) projection — an observation cycle of rising industry periodically fallen despite non-exhaustion of resources, and persistent capability to produce. The Great Depression was an example of such a cycle conclusion which the BankLords understood and accelerated by withholding credit setting off a chain effect of economic collapse after which the BankLords bought valuable assets for a penny on the dollar.



Typically, BankLords loaned considerable currency to circulation as necessary to sustain new industry. They then withdrew or made too expensive the continual stream of credit necessary to maintain a circulation vital to that industry, and took over the industry bankrupted by the crippling circulation or credit conditions imposed. Debt is irreversibly multiplied in proportion to the commerce which can be sustained by a circulation, regardless of rate of interest. On this ever greater sum of debt, the "central banking system" collects ever greater sums of interest in proportion to a commerce which is crippled to an equally ever greater degree, under the weight of mounting debt. During the perpetual, irreversible multiplication of debt inherent to a circulation subject to interest, the central bank also takes advantage of the artificial conditions it imposes on otherwise viable commerce. It alone is master of the circulation, and the immediate and long-range costs of that circulation. It alone makes credit available, denies credit, or makes credit too costly. It thus

manipulates the circulation without any regard to what prescription would instead provide for full, unimpeded prosperity. In fact it can only deprive prosperity because any inherent function of a central bank takes from prosperity without providing any bona fide service whatever. Thus it can only deprive prosperity to a greater or lesser degree. By manipulating the potential for prosperity, a central banking system imposes yet a further method of taking. Inherent, irreversible multiplication of debt alone will ultimately convert all assets into properties of banks which produce nothing. But in the competition between bankers to acquire greater shares of this profit, a productive society is subjected to yet greater abuse.

As the system ages, debt multiplies at an ever escalating rate. Ever greater sums of periodic interest are necessarily re-borrowed to maintain the circulation, increasing the sum of debt so much as periodic interest. Payments against debt are impotent to reduce the sum of debt, as they too, necessarily re-borrowed to maintain the circulation, comprise a



perpetuated sum of new debt, equal to the previous. Interest, attached to the currency, irreversibly multiplies debt. To the degree that the purported financial system fails to consume production equivalent to periodic interest, debt is multiplied in proportion to commerce. Every such system, therefore has a maximum possible lifespan. The greater the sum of debt, the greater the sums of periodic interest, by which the sum of debt grows. The greater the rate of interest, the greater the sums of periodic interest by which debt grows in proportion to accumulated debt, and the shorter the inherent lifespan of the system. Five-hundred thousand people take to the streets of Barcelona, Spain, March 15, 2002, in protest of the so-called European Union — and a so-called economy, now above the mandate of the people of every nation.

As the costs of servicing debt perpetually and irreversibly increase in proportion to the commerce, the latter stages of the lifespan of such a system are marked by vanished profit margins and escalated borrowing. In its death throes, despite its already marginalizing costs, the system inherently and irreversibly asks more of its subjects. As the system nears the finality of system-wide insoluble debt, one sector failing may jeopardize other dependent sectors, in short order taking them beyond the brink of marginal solubility. While the system may appear to have considerable life left, a precipitous end, even of its healthiest sectors, is potentiated by the collapse of any significant, weakened area. As the systems of the World approach this threshold system-wide insoluble debt together, the critical factor however can be social recognition that the conditions themselves are inconsistent with a system which should and would sustain viable commerce. **World-wide economic collapse then is exactly so probable as mankind is inept to prefer solution.** The perpetually multiplying accumulation of wealth by usury is manifested largely by the nuance of "interest" being withdrawn from circulation, and, that to retain the vital circulation, interest must be borrowed back as perpetually increases subsequent debt so much as periodic interest. Payments against debt must be re-borrowed as new debt. Payments against interest increase the sum of debt, in proportion to the circulation, or commerce which can be sustained by it.

This ever-multiplied, unearned profit is largely responsible for all further maldistribution of wealth from those who produce it. Consequent debt, diminished credit-worthiness, and ever-more-overwhelming costs of debt service under a circulation characteristically far less than production, further preclude, to a huge degree, the much greater prosperity we are otherwise capable of. Mathematically perfected economy is little more than elimination of interest in lieu of a singular charge, equal to the value of actual financial services rendered. How then is prosperity financed so as currency, and the regulation of a circulation, replicate true free enterprise? In the case of a \$100,000 home with a hundred year lifespan, the cost of the home is paid to the producer with a debt assumed by the possessor. The debt is paid off at the rate of consumption — which is \$1,000 per year, or \$83 per month. Across all time, and in every case, the system requires debtors pay against their consumption of production, with an equal measure of production, earned by way of an immutable currency available in exactly the quantity necessary to do so. Everyone pays for their consumption with, and only with, an equal measure of production. Inflation and deflation are impossible, as the circulation is at all times equal to the current value of production. All debt can be paid off with the circulation. All production can be procured and traded without impediment by the circulation. Debt is not multiplied at all by interest.

The cost of new money is only the cost of qualifying credit-worthiness. The money serves as a token of value; and its value is constituted by the very production for which it is issued. Where all unindebted assets and services may be financed to their full value, every respect of true free enterprise is replicated, with the sole additional cost of maintaining a universal circulation being qualification of credit-worthiness, and accounting for payment. Society maintains and enforces the system, and [assures compliance of debtors](#)¹. There is no risk to, nor reliance upon, any private creditor whatever. By funding government likewise, here too, all costs of interest are avoided. True government services, rendered for proper costs, cost the people only production equivalent to what they receive of such services. [By attaching taxes to consumption directly reflecting consumption of government services](#)², tremendous overhead is eliminated. By taxing only for what is rendered, and to whom it is rendered, loopholes to government abuse of taxation are sealed. Taxes such as income tax, capital gains tax, property tax, and inheritance tax are entirely without justification. Extrinsic cost, perpetual multiplication of all costs, homelessness, unemployment, underemployment, instability, preclusion of prosperity, recession, depression, and insoluble debt — imposed by the usury of the present World's central banking systems — are unimposed by mathematically perfected economy. What kind of society should find true free enterprise undesirable is difficult to conceive, as its very pattern is the unimpeded human intention of necessarily fair trade. Please see the award winning

ONE YEAR OF PERMANENT PROSPERITY

In the wake of Woodrow Wilson's vow (lie) that no central bank would be created, Hoover promised permanent prosperity: "We have not yet reached the goal, but given a chance to go forward with the policies of the last eight years, and we shall soon, with the help of God, be within sight of the day when poverty will be banished from the nation." So said Herbert Hoover on August 12, 1928, in his speech accepting the Republican nomination for President.

Hoover spoke for most middle-class people. They thought the American dream of unlimited plenty was close to fulfillment. The huge industrial machine that had begun building up during the Civil War had reached fantastic heights of mass production. Assembly lines poured out products by the millions, while advertising stimulated the consumer to buy them. From privy, ice-box, and buggy the country moved almost overnight into the New Era of bathrooms, electric refrigerators, and automobiles. Washing machines, vacuum cleaners, and telephones promised to make life easier and more convenient. Homemade amusements gave way to radio and the movies. American business and American salesmanship had put the nation aboard an express train rushing toward permanent prosperity.¹



WORKING MAN TURNED STREET PERSON

The writer Louis Adamic, wandering through what he called the "tragic towns," told of the idle men he saw in Lawrence, Massachusetts: I saw men standing on the sidewalks clapping their hands in a queer way, obviously just to be doing something. I saw men talking to themselves, walking around, stopping, looking into shop windows, walking again. For several minutes I watched an elderly man who stood on a deserted corner near the enormous and idle Everett Mills in the posture of an undotted question mark. He did not see me. Every now and then he swung his arms, not because it was cold, but no doubt because he wanted activity other than walking around, which he probably had been doing for years in a vain effort to get a job. He mumbled to himself. Then, suddenly, he stepped off the curb and picked up a long piece of string from a pile of rubbish, and his big, work-eager hands began to work with it, tying and untying feverishly. He worked with the string for several minutes. Then he looked around and, seeing me, dropped the string, his haggard, hollow face coloring a little, as though from a sense of guilt or intense embarrassment. He was shaken and confused and stood there for several seconds, looking down at the rubbish heap, then up at me. His hands finally dropped to his sides. Then his arms swung in a sort of idle reflex motion and he turned, hesitated a while as if he did not know where to go and finally shuffled off, flapping his arms. I noticed that his overcoat was split in the back and that his heels were worn off completely.

THE MOST EXTREME MEASURES

Teachers teach without pay to save the country. Everywhere, people attempt by the most extreme measures to overcome the obstacles placed before them by a false 'economic' system — which itself is the ruin of prosperity. In Chicago a school principal testified in January 1932: "I said to the teachers last fall, 'Whenever you have a discipline case, ask this question first, What has he had for breakfast?' Which usually brings out the fact that he has had nothing at all." Another gave this testimony: "I shall give you one instance. We were practicing for a chorus and a little boy about twelve years old was in the front line. He was clean in his overalls, but didn't have very much on under them. He was standing in the line when all at once he pitched forward in a dead faint. This was two o'clock in the afternoon. When he was revived, I tried to find the cause and he said he was hungry. He had not had anything to eat since the day before". Education was crippled. The funds to pay for it came out of local taxes. As incomes dropped in the early years of the depression, tax revenues shrank. In small towns and big cities budget cutters made the public schools their victims. Plans for new buildings were shelved. The knife cut textbooks, equipment, salaries. Teachers were fired, and fifty pupils were crowded into rooms designed to hold thirty. The school year was clipped short, sometimes down to six or seven months. Departments were dropped and special services slashed.

In some places schools survived only because the teachers made the sacrifice. Salaries were cut as much as 50 percent. In rural areas some teachers were paid as little as \$280 for an eight-month school year. Often teachers would get not money, but promises to pay later; but still they went on teaching. Some teachers and students tried to protest. In Chicago, 14,000 teachers were desperate after almost two years of doing with but a few weeks' pay. In April 1933 the New York Times reported from Chicago: Patience has reached its end. Morale has broken down. discipline has become extremely difficult. The Board of Education last Wednesday considered a resolution to close the schools. Only the fact that the Spring vacation begins April 27 prevented its passage. Only the threat that, by striking, the teachers would forfeit their pension rights and their civil service standing has thus far prevented the closing of the schools by a general walkout. That week 15,000 high school pupils struck to back their teachers' cry for help. (Many teachers, hungry and threadbare themselves, had somehow managed to find bread or a pair of shoes for their students.) Thousands of unpaid

teachers stormed Chicago's City Hall to demand their back pay, and with pupils and parents paraded their picket signs through the Loop. They invaded several banks, disrupting business, to insist that bankers lend the city money to pay teachers' salaries. Regiments of police, on foot and on horse, moved in and, as one reporter said, "in a moment unpaid policemen were cracking their clubs against the heads of unpaid schoolteachers."³

LIES DOCTOR THE PICTURE

At the onset of the depression, Henry Ford, one of the auto's Big Three, had astounded everyone by announcing a seven-dollar day. This one-dollar increase over his previous daily minimum for common labor was his spectacular response to President Hoover's request that industry freeze present wages [from dropping,] to fight off disaster. Ford's gesture was page-one news. But the publicity front of this one-man battle against the depression obscured another fact, reported by the New York Times: Ford was cutting wages severely in the middle and upper brackets of labor. He paid off men in one department and hired them in another at lower wages. Ford mechanic Robert L. Cruden, who has been quoted here before, described what was actually happening inside Ford: "In the fall of that year... Ford stated that thenceforth \$7 a day would be the minimum wage in his plants. Immediately the bosses at the Rouge plant came around saying, "Go like hell, boys. If you're gonna get that raise you gotta increase production!" On our job production was raised from fifteen pans of stock a day to twenty-two, as a result of which one entire shift of our gang was laid off." Down the line from us, one man was given two drill presses to tend instead of one, as formerly. The inspector on our job was taken off and we had to do our own inspecting and still keep up the new production rate. This speed-up took place all over the plant. It is significant that, concomitant with the wage raise, nearly 30,000 men were laid off from the Rouge plant."

The claim that wages are never cut in Ford plants has always been part of the stock in trade of Ford publicity agents. But... Men are "transferred" from department to department, their wages being cut as they moved. I worked (in 1929) with men making \$6.40 who had been making \$7.20 and \$7.60 before their transfer. A lathe operator of my acquaintance was recently transferred to washing, and cut from \$8 to \$7.60 a day. Even workers in the aristocratic Lincoln plant are not immune — last spring all those making more than a dollar an hour were cut to that figure. As a result of this process, very few workers in Ford plants now make more than \$7.60 a day. To the outsider, this may seem high wages — but most Ford workers have lately been working only three days a week. In 1930 the wages of the average Ford worker were less than a thousand dollars. Taking into account the prevailing three-day week, the seven weeks of enforced idleness and a daily wage of \$7.60, the worker made \$959.20 during that year! In 1931 wages were cut and the working week reduced to one or two days a week. Ford claims not only that there are no wage cuts in Ford plants, but there are none in any of the \$3,500 plants which make parts for him... The Kelsey-Hayes Wheel Company, makers of Ford wheels, has cut wages of its tool-makers from \$1.10 an hour to eighty cents, and increased the hours of the night shift to fourteen a night, seven nights a week. The Detroit Gear Company, makers of small Ford parts, took a leaf out of its master's book — it laid off all men getting ninety cents an hour and rehired them at seventy-three cents. At the same time their working hours were increased from nine to eleven and a half...⁴

The newly homeless were evicted directly to the street.

DIFFICULTY IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLOIT LABOR

Miners were supporting their families on as little as five dollars a week. This vivid account of a visit to a mining settlement — a company town in the West Virginia hills — as written by Edmund Wilson: The people who work at Ward, West Virginia, live in little flat yellow houses on stilts that look like chicken-houses. They seem mean and flimsy on the sides of the hills and at the bottom of the hollow, in contrast to the magnificent mountains wooded now with the forests of mid-June. Between those round and rich-foliaged hills, through the middle of the mining settlement, runs a road which has, on one side of it, a long row of obsolete col-cars, turned upside down and, on the other, a trickle of a creek, with bare yellow banks, half-dry yellow stones, yellowing rusty tin cans and the axles on wheels of old coal-cars. There are eight hundred or so families at Ward, two or three in most of the houses, and eight or ten children in most of the families. And these families are just as much prisoners, just as much at the mercy of the owners of their dwellings as if they did live in a chicken-yard with a high wire fence around it.

This settlement is situated in a long narrow valley which runs back among the West Virginia hills. The walls rise steep on either side, and the end of the hollow is a blind alley. The Kelley's Creek Colliery Company owns Ward, and the Paisley interests own Mammoth, another settlement further back in the hollow, where the houses are not even painted yellow and where the standard of living is lower than at Ward. The people who live in those houses mine coal from the surrounding hills. They work from eight to twelve hours a day, and they get from \$2.60 to \$3 for it. They are paid not in United States currency, but in chicken-feed specially coined by the companies — crude aluminum coins, thin and light and some of them with holes in the middle... The company "scrip" is worth, on the average, about sixty cents on the dollar. The company forces the miners to trade at the company store — the only store of course on its property — and goods are sold there at so much higher prices than at the non-company stores only three miles away that the miners never come any nearer than 60 percent to their money's worth....

When times are hard, as they are at present, and the coal business, which never does well, is doing particularly badly, the operators cut their rates and make up the difference to themselves an their stockholders by getting more work for less pay out of the miners. They put in mechanical cutters and loaders, and lay off as many men as they can. According to their practice, the first to go are the men over forty-five and the men who have been crippled in the mines (at Andrew Mellon's mine, they never keep a man who has been injured). Ad a medical examination weeds out the other classes of workmen. If it is found, for example, that you are unable to read the bottom line of type on an oculist's chart — as comparatively few people can — you are likely to be eliminated. And the result is that the children at Ward sometimes go without food for days and that they have so little to wear that they are sometimes more or less naked and cannot even be sent to the union for clothes. Even at the time when their fathers were working, they had no shoes to go to school, had hardly ever eaten fresh meat or vegetables and had never known milk since they were weaned form their mothers. Their dish consists of sow belly, potatoes and pinto beans. If they had been living in certain of the other camps, they would probably already have died from drinking water polluted by the outhouse and so escaped the pains of starvation. ⁵



Thirteen-year-old, Depression share-cropper.

MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE TO MEET DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Actually, for the American farmer the disaster of the 1930s was but a new depression piled on top of an old one. It had started back in 1920, after World War I. American farmers faced strong competition from the reconstructed nations of Europe. They also faced the problem caused by their own efficiency: because they could produce more than they could sell, prices dropped. It was a buyer's market, and farmers could do little to change the picture. The farmer staggered under debts he had piled up to buy land and tools needed to meet the wartime production demands. Now he could not cut production because he hoped to earn the cash to meet the interest and the principal on his mortgage. Farm prices in the 1930s fell lower and lower, but the taxes on his land and the prices he paid for his necessities did not drop. The farmer was caught in a vise between fixed costs and falling prices. Hoover's Federal Farm Board urged farmers to plant less so as to up their prices, but there was no incentive for doing so. [And of course, with financiers controlling altogether the market, the available circulation, and mortgages incurred under different, original circumstances, it was impossible for the farmers to afford to reduce production: Hoover's theoretical remedy was entirely a facade.] From 1920 to 1932 farm production did drop 6 percent, but prices fell ten times as much — by 63 percent. Farmers could only watch in despair as corn hit 15 cents, cotton and wool 5 cents, hogs and sugar 3 cents, and beef 2.5 cents.

With farm prices so low, most farmers, living under the shadow of mortgages, knew that sooner or later they would go under. Many owners of small farms were driven into tenancy. Foreclosures and bankruptcy sales were already frequent. What was being done? nothing that amounted to much, said Will Rogers: I thought we was going to have some Farm Relief to report to you by this Sabbath day. But the commissions are just gathering data. They won't take the farmer's word for it that he is poor. They hire men to find out how poor he is. If they took all the money they spend on finding out how he is, and give it to the farmer he wouldn't need any more relief. But soon as winter comes he will be O.K., soon as snow flies he can kill rabbits, that will be the biggest relief he has had so far.

HUMAN ALLIANCES FORMED AT THE BRINK OF DISPOSSESSION

American Bankers Association as printed in the Congressional Record of April 29, 1913 for 1891: "On Sept 1st, 1894, we will not renew our loans under any consideration. On Sept 1st we will demand our money. We will foreclose and become mortgagees in possession. We can take two-thirds of the farms west of the Mississippi and thousands of them east of the Mississippi as well, at our own price... Then the farmers will become tenants as in England..."

As farms and personal assets are confiscated by the thousands, unity draws the line how far the dispossessors can go. Without a solution the line is only drawn so far back as what we understand and know how to rectify. If, at the time, all the people had understood the system itself to have been at fault, and exactly how to rectify that, perhaps no dispossession whatsoever would have been successfully imposed. There was the story of the Widow Van Bohn whose husband was killed in a cyclone. Word went around her county that she was to be dispossessed. The farmers got together and went, several hundred strong, to the bankers. They assembled in great numbers the day of the sale. Thousands came from many different towns. It took three hours to clear the roads after the would-be sale. They all came to see that Widow Van Bohn kept her farm. She kept it. **There were stories of chattel-mortgage sales where all the goods were bought in for a few dollars, a quit claim was signed and a collection taken up to pay for the sale.**



"In our part of the country, in Minnesota," a farmer said, "when a sale comes on, we warn people that anyone buying a place won't find life worth living there. Won't no one buy from him, sell to him — there won't nobody speak to him." In

another place where a sale was effected, only four people were allowed to bid. Telephone wires were cut to prevent people calling the sheriff... I have never heard speeches which were more to the point, or which seemed the result of more mature deliberation. The farmers were generally conservative in political and social points of view; they had been driven by the relentless sweep of events into a militant position. The farmers themselves talk of this Conference as a historic occasion. They say from the platform and to each other, "We are making our Declaration of Independence as that other glorious declaration was made in Philadelphia, in 1776."

The delegates drafted a program and marched to the Capitol to present it to the Senate and the House. They asked that half a billion dollars be appropriated for immediate cash relief, with local committees of farmers to administer it. They also sought government regulation to cut the profits of food processors and other middlemen, so as to raise the prices farmers received for their crops and livestock. And they wanted no more evictions. These proposals were read on the floors of the Senate and House, and handed to President Hoover. But Congress and the President rejected them. Two months later farm debts were still rising. As one farm after another went on the auction block, Ferner Nuhn sent to the Nation in March 1933 this eyewitness account of a forced sale in Iowa: A raw, chilly day. The yard of the farm, churned black in a previous thaw, is frozen now in ruts and nodes. Where the boots of the farmers press, a little slime of water exudes, black and shiny. Through a fence the weather-bleached stalks of corn, combed and broken by the husking, stand ghostly in the pale air. The farm buildings — machine-shed, chicken-houses, big-houses, corncribs — sprawl and gather again in the big, hip-roofed red barn, and strike a final accent in the thrust of the tiled silo. The farm is kempt and has a going air; there is nothing run-down about it. The fields spread away, picking up other farm clusters sections off — remote, separate, dim under the big gray sky. One feels the courage of the isolate units, each swinging its big segment of earth. Perhaps they call for too much; perhaps the independence is doomed; but something of worth will be gone if it goes.

There are 300 farmers here. It is a Quaker community, long established, conservative. The farmers are mostly middle-aged, very workaday in overalls, sagging sweaters, mud-stained boots. They talk quietly in their slow, concrete manner, move about little. They are neighbors of a farmer who can no longer pay interest on a \$2,000 mortgage. These farmers have known him for years; they know he would pay if he could. They know the debt and the interest are three times as hard to pay off now as when the mortgage was given. Some of them know that soon their own property may be endangered by defaults. They know that this particular mortgage was given on stock, and that the farmer has offered the stock in settlement. And they know that the mortgagee refused the offer, demanded a sale instead — a sale of personal property, as provided by law.... The mortgagee stands off at one side, with his attorney,. They are talking with the auctioneer. The farmers look that way once in a while, and while their glances are not friendly they show no open animosity. The auctioneer comes away. Some farmers surround him; they want to be reassured that no household goods will be put on sale. The auctioneer reassures them. The farmers nod grimly; that much has been accomplished anyway. There are no leaders, no haranguers, no organization. In fact, this is the first affair of the sort in the county.

There is a movement toward the barns. The auctioneer mounts a wagon. The first thing offered is a mare. It is rather strange that live stock is offered first; the usual order is machinery first. The defaulting farmer stand silent holding the mare; he is a man almost elderly, quiet, staid-appearing; and he stands embarrassed, smoothing the mane of the mare. The auctioneer goes through his regular cry. The mare is sixteen years old, sound except for a wire cut and a blue eye. What is he offered, what is he offered, what is he offered, does he hear a bid? He tries to make it sound like an ordinary sale. But the crowd stands silent, grim. At last someone speaks out. Two dollars.. Two dollars! unheard of, unbelievable, why she's worth twenty times that! The silence of the farmers is like a thick wall. The rigamarole of the auctioneer beats against it, and falls back in his face. The farmer holding the mare stands with his head hanging. At last, without raising his eyes, he says, "Fifteen dollars." This is a ne wand distressing business to him, and he is ashamed to make a bid of less than that.

"...do I hear twenty, a twenty, a twenty? Why she's worth twice that much." The auctioneer is still going through the make-believe. He keeps it up for five more minutes. A pause, and a voice speaks out, "Sell her." It is not loud, but there is insistance in it. Like the slice of a plow, with the tractor-pull of the crowd reinforcing it. The auctioneer hesitates, gives in. The silent, waiting crowd is too much. "Sold." After that there is less make-believe. Three more horses are offered. They are knocked down to the farmer, with no other bids, for ten dollars, eight dollars, a dollar and a half. The farmer is learning. The machinery comes next. A hay rack, a wagon, two plows, a binder, rake mower, disc-harrow, cultivator, pulverizer. A dollar, fifty cents, fifty cents, a quarter, a half a dollar. Sold to the farmer. His means of livelihood are saved to him. But the tax and mortgage sales went on. Between 1930 and 1935 750,000 farms were lost through foreclosure and bankruptcy sales.



He keeps it up for five more minutes. A pause, and a voice speaks out, "Sell her." It is US war veterans leave Capitol without answers to grievances placed before the government. The destination of those who defended freedom and the Constitution? Homelessness — while the international banks called the Federal Reserve sent our gold to Germany, building Hitler's military clout for WWII. Irony and abuse of power of the greatest kind. US veterans, black and white together, fight with troops intent on evicting them from the outskirts of the Capitol.

THE PRESIDENT WHO PROMISED PERMANENT PROSPERITY

Hoover shows his disregard for the thousands of homeless and jobless American Veterans who marched across the country to ask for jobs or bonus pay they were otherwise to wait twenty years for — despite a depression produced at the hand of the new 'Federal Reserve' the government had established: Perhaps the most dramatic protest of the period was the Bonus Army march on Washington in the spring of 1932. Veterans of World War 1, starting with only 300 from Oregon and gathering strength as they moved, came to lobby in Congress for passage of the Patman Bill. The Bill proposed immediate payment of a veteran's bonus, authorized by Congress in 1924, but not due and payable until 1945. Over a quarter of a million ex-soldiers, jobless and hungry, needed that money now, but President Hoover opposed paying them. Nevertheless, Congress had paid them about half the bonus over his veto. Now 20,000 veterans were demanding the other half. From Washington, John Dos Passos reported on the camp the Bonus Army had pitched on the edge of the nation's capitol:



Now they are camped on Anacostia Flats in the southeast corner of Washington. Nearly twenty thousand of them altogether. Everywhere you meet new ragged troops straggling in. A few have gone home discouraged, but very few. Anacostia Flats is the recruiting center; from there they are sent to new camps scattered around the outskirts of Washington. Anacostia Flats is the ghost of an army camp from the days of the big parade, with its bugle calls, its mess lines, greasy KPs, MPs, headquarters, liaison officers, medical officer. Instead of the tents and the long tarpaper barracks of those days, the men are sleeping in little lean-tos built out of old newspapers, cardboard boxes, packing crates, bits of tin or tarpaper roofing, old shutters, every kind of cockeyed makeshift shelter from the rain scraped together out of the city dump. The doughboys have changed too, as well as their uniforms and their housing, in these fifteen years. There's the same goulash of faces and dialects, foreigners' pidgin English, lingos from industrial towns and farming towns, East, Northeast, Middle West, Southwest, South, but we were all youngsters then; now we are getting on into middle life, sunken eyes, hollow cheeks off breadlines, pale looking knotted hands of men who've worked hard with them, and then for a long time have not worked. In these men's faces, as in Pharaoh's dream, the lean years have eaten up the fat years already.... In the middle of the Anacostia camp is a big platform with a wooden object sticking up from one corner that looks like an old-fashioned gallows. Speaking goes on from this platform all morning and all afternoon. The day I saw it, there were a couple of members of the bonus army's congressional committee on the platform, a Negro in an overseas cap and a tall red Indian in buckskin and beads, wearing a ten gallon hat. The audience, white men and Negroes, is packed in among the tents and shelters. A tall scrawny man with deeply sunken cheeks is talking. He's trying to talk about the bonus but he can't stick to it, before he knows it he's talking about the general economic conditions of the country:

"Here's a plant that can turn out everything every man woman, and child in this country needs, from potatoes to washing machines, and it's broken down because it can't give the fellow who does the work enough money to buy what he needs with it." Give us the money and we'll buy their bread and their corn and beans and their electric ice boxes and their washing machines and their radios. We ain't holding out on 'em because we don't want those things. Can't get a job to make enough money to buy 'em, that's all."

In mid-June the Senate overwhelmingly rejected the Patman Bill. Early in July, to get the demonstrators out of sight, Congress voted to pay their passage home. About 5,000 left Washington and their makeshift camp. With Congress adjourned, rumors floated about that the Hoover Administration was ready to oust the remaining bonus seekers, by force if necessary. It was hard to believe. The President was already campaigning for re-election on the platform that his wisdom had kept the country peaceful and the unemployed quiet and loyal. Would he be foolish enough to use bayonets against war veterans bearing petitions? Paul Y. Anderson reported for the Nation the choice the President made: Hoover's campaign for re-election was launched Thursday, July 28, at Pennsylvania Avenue and Third Street, with four troops of cavalry, four companies of infantry, a mounted machine-gun squadron, six whippet tanks, 300 city policemen and a squad of Secret Service men and Treasury agents. Among the results immediately achieved were the following: Two veterans of the World War shot to death; one eleven-week-old baby in a grave condition from gas, shock, and exposure; one eight-year-old boy partially blinded by gas; two policemen's skulls fractured; one bystander shot through the shoulder; one veteran's ear severed with a cavalry saber; one veteran stabbed in the hip with a bayonet; more than a dozen veterans, policemen, and soldiers injured by bricks and clubs; upward of 1,000 men, women, and children gassed, including policemen, reporters, ambulance drivers, and residents of Washington; and approximately \$10,000 worth of property destroyed by fire, including clothing, food, and temporary shelters of the veterans and a large amount of building material owned by a government contractor.

Anderson and other reporters said they believed the troops, commanded by General Douglas MacArthur, were used to evict the veterans from Washington for a political purpose "to persuade the American people that their government was threatened with actual overthrow, and that the courage and decisiveness of Herbert Hoover had averted revolution." Tanks, gas, sabers, bayonets, and fire had been used against unarmed men, women, and children "to show the country that the danger of 'insurrection' was real and that the Administration had prepared to meet it," wrote Anderson. As the gassed and wounded veterans fled Washington, Malcolm Cowley of the New Republic followed them. In Pennsylvania he caught up with them at a temporary camp and talked to some of the Bonus Marchers: Mile after mile we passed the ragged line as we too drove northward to the camp at Ideal Park. We were carrying two of the veterans, chosen from a

group of three hundred by a quick informal vote of their comrades. One was a man gassed in the Argonne and tear-gassed at Anacostia; he breathed with an effort, as if each breath would be his last. The other was a man with family troubles; he had lost his wife and six children during the retreat from Camp Marks and hoped to find them in Johnstown. He talked about his service in France, his three medals, which he refused to wear, his wounds, his five years in a government hospital. "If they gave me a job," he said, "I wouldn't care about the bonus.... Now I don't ever want to see a flag again. Give me a gun and I'll go back to Washington."

"That's right, buddy," said a woman looking up from her two babies, who lay on a dirty quilt in the sun. A cloud of lies hovered above them. Another man was reading the editorial page of a Johnstown paper. He shouted, "Let them come here and mow us down with machine guns. We won't move this time." "That's right, buddy," said the woman again. A haggard face — eyes bloodshot, skin pasty white under a three days' beard — suddenly appeared at the window of the car. "Hoover must die," said the face ominously. "You know what this means?" a man shouted from the other side. "This means revolution." — "Yes, you're damned right it means revolution." But it didn't. Bread riots and hunger marches "do not necessarily mean revolution," as economist George Soule wrote in Harper's that August. "People may smash windows because they are hungry, without wanting a government overturn or knowing how to bring it about." It was ordinary folk who made up the Bonus Army, just as they made up the millions of unemployed. They were farm workers and factory hands, skilled mechanics and white-collar workers, foremen and professionals. "Every one of them has been thoroughly whipped by his individual economic circumstances," said Mauritz Hallgren. "There is about the lot of them an atmosphere of hopelessness, of utter despair, though not of desperation. They have no enthusiasm whatever, and no stomach for fighting."

Propaganda, blatant lies, or incredible stupidity? Vote-for-Wilson re-election truck asks (top question on vertical side-panel behind cab), 'WHO BROKE THE MONEY TRUST?' About to become the real owners of the country... the central banking business would never be better. Certainly Wilson had not 'broken' the 'money trust.' On the contrary, he had ensured the money 'trusts' would have every power necessary to breaking the people.



FELLOW NEWSMEN REFLECT ON THE TIMES

In April 1932, Kansas editor William Allen White wrote a letter to a friend, Walter Lippman, the political columnist. Both men were Republicans, and both were deeply shaken by the depression. In his letter, White said: If out of this thing cannot come some permanent peace of mind and economic security for the average man, the manual laborer, the small fellow who has other talents than the acquisitive faculties, we will have lost the World as well as our own souls. If the fear motive is to persist on and on as the prod in the pants of humanity, if we cannot supplant hope and joy for fear, if the capacity for financial reward is to be the sum of all our virtues, what's the use of all this travail? Travelers crossing America that summer saw a land of harsh contrasts. Surplus food was being spilled into the ocean or piled high in grain elevators while men were breaking store windows to steal a loaf of bread. Shoe factories were shut down in New England while children stayed home from school because they had nothing to put on their feet. Billions of dollars nestled safely in bank vaults while hundreds of cities issued scrip because they had no currency to pay their bills. Families went in ragged clothing while farmers could not market millions of bales of cotton. All this in the richest country on earth, with the fattest acres, the tallest buildings, the mightiest machinery, the biggest factories. **Who was to blame? Unless a true, serviceable economy is not to provide for sustainable prosperity, all this was at the hand of the Federal Reserve System.**

1930: The Bankruptcy of the Sovereign United States & Receivership by THE UNITED STATES

<http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html> (competition is a sin). The Bankruptcy of The United States in receivership since 1930 at the mercy of the International Banklords in US President Thomas Jefferson's prophecy (1809): "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies . . . If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around [the banks] . . . will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered . . . The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs." -- Thomas Jefferson -- The Debate Over The Recharter Of The Bank Bill, (1809)

History proves that BankLords profit from bankrupting a nation (Reference 22, P. 56).

<http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html>. Under the doctrine of Parens Patriae, "Government As Parent" <http://www.barefootsworld.net/parensp.html>, as a result of the manipulated bankruptcy of the United States of America in 1930, ALL the assets of the American people, their person, and of our country itself are held by the Depository Trust Corporation at 55 Water Street, NY, NY, secured by UCC Commercial Liens, which are then monetized as "debt money" by the Federal Reserve. It may interest you to know that under the umbrella of the Depository Trust Corporation lies the CEDE Corporation, the Federal Reserve Corporation, the American Bar Association, the legal arm of the banking interests, and the Internal Revenue Service, the system's collection agency. You might want to take exception to the name on the marquee at the entrance to 55 Water Street. ??? . . . "Tower of Power" . . . ??? Another thing to think about -- who owns

the media and the news you are fed??? Guess Who???
<http://www.barefootsWorld.net/community/bwnews.html#unbiased> An Independent Press??? Ha!!!

All our law is private law, written by The National Law Institute, Law Professors, and the Bar Association, the Agents of Foreign Banking interests. They have come to this position of writing the law by fraudulently deleting the "Titles of Nobility and Honour" Thirteenth Amendment from the Constitution for the United States, creating an oligarchy of Lawyers and Bankers controlling all three branches of our government <http://www.amendment-13.org/> . Most of our law comes directly through the Hague or the U.N. Almost all U.N. treaties have been codified into the U.S. codes. That's where all our educational programs originate. The U.N. controls our education system. The Federal Register Act was created by Pres. Roosevelt in 1935. Title 3 sec. 301 et seq. by Executive Order. He gave himself the power to create federal agencies and appoint a head of the agency. He then re-delegated his authority to make law (statutory regulations) to those agency heads. One big problem there, the president has no constitutional authority to make law. Under the Constitution re-delegation of delegated authority is a felony breach. The president then gave the agencies the authority to tax. We now have government by appointment running this country. This is the shadow government sometimes spoken about, but never referred to as government by appointment. This type of government represents taxation without representation. Perhaps this is why some people believe the Constitution was suspended. It wasn't suspended, it was buried in bureaucratic red tape.

A bankruptcy case was needed on the books to legitimize the fact that the corporate U.S. had already declared bankruptcy! This bankruptcy was effectuated by compact that the corporate several states had with the corporate government (Corporate Capitol of the several corporate states). This compact tied the corporate several states to corporate Washington D.C, (the headquarters of the corporation called "The United States"). Since the United States Corporation, having established its headquarters within the District of Columbia, declared itself to be in the state of bankruptcy, it automatically declared bankruptcy for all its subsidiaries who were effectively connected corporate members (who happened to be the corporate state governments of the Union). The corporate state governments didn't have to vote on the bankruptcy. The bankruptcy automatically became effective by reason of the Compact/Agreement between each of the corporate state governments and THE MOTHER CORPORATION. (Note: the liberty of using the term "Mother Corporation" to communicate the interconnected power of the corporate Federal government relative to her associated corporate States has been taken. It is Historical knowledge that the original Union States created the Federal Government, however, for all practical purposes, the Federal government has taken control of her "Creators", the States.) She has become a beast out of control for power. She has for her trade names the following: "United States", "U.S.", "U.S.A.", "United States of America", Washington D.C., District of Columbia, Feds. and Federal Government. She has her own U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, Parks, Post Office etc. etc. etc. Because she is claiming to be bankrupt, she freely gives her land, her personnel, and the money she steals from the Americans via the IRS. and her state corporations, to the United Nations and the International Bankers as payment for her debt. The UN and the International Bankers use this money and services for various World wide projects, including war. War is an extremely lucrative business for the bankers of the New World Order. Loans for destruction. Loans for re-construction. Loans for controlling people in her new World order.

The corporate U.S. then, is the head corporate member, who met at Geneva to decide for all its corporate body members. The corporate representatives of the corporate several states were in attendance. If the states had their own power to declare bankruptcy regardless of whether Washington D.C. declared bankruptcy or not, then the several states would have been represented at Geneva. The several states of America were not represented. Consequently, whatever Washington D.C. agreed to at Geneva was passed on automatically, via compact to the several corporate states as a group, association, corporation or as a club member; they all agreed and declared bankruptcy as one government corporate group in 1930. The several states only needed a representative at Geneva by way of the U.S. in Washington D.C. The delegates of the corporate United States attended the meetings and spoke for the several corporate states as well as for the Federal Corporate Government. And, presto, BANKRUPTCY was declared for all! From 1930 to 1938 the states could not enact any law or decide any case that would go against the Federal Government. The case had to come down from the Federal level so that the states could then rely on the Federal decision and use this decision within the states as justification for the bankruptcy process within the states.

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE EMERGED AS LAW OF THE LAND. Ah, Ha, are you beginning to get the picture?

By 1938 the corporate Federal Government had the true bankruptcy case they had been looking for. Now, the bankruptcy that had been declared back in 1930 could be upheld and administered. That's why the Supreme Court had to be stacked and made corrupt from within. The new players on the Supreme Court fully understood that they had to destroy all other case law that had been established prior to 1938. The Federal Government had to have a case to destroy all precedent, all appearance, and even the statute of law itself. That is, the Statutes at large had to be perverted. They finally got their case in Erie vs. Thompkins. It was right after that case that the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws listed right in the front of the Uniform Commercial Code, began creating the Uniform Commercial Code that is on our backs today. Let us quote directly from the preface of the Official Text of the Uniform Commercial Code 12th Edition: "The Code was originally approved by its sponsors and the American Bar Association in 1952, and was revised in 1958 to incorporate a number of changes that had been recommended by the New York Law Revision Commission and other agencies. Subsequent amendments that were deemed desirable in light of experience under the Code were approved by the Permanent Editorial Board in 1962 and 1966". The above named groups and associations of private lawyers got together and started working on the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). It was

somewhere between 1938 and 1940, I don't recall, but by the early 40's and during the war, this committee was working to form the UCC and getting it ready to go on the market. The UCC is the Law Merchant's code for the administration of the bankruptcy. The UCC is now the law of the land as far as the courts are concerned. This Legal Committee of lawyers put everything: Negotiable Instruments, Security, Sales, Contracts, and the whole mess under the UCC. That's where the "Uniform" word comes from. It means it was uniform from state to state as well as being uniform with the District of Columbia.

It doesn't mean you didn't have the uniform instrument laws on the books before this time. It means the laws were not uniform from state to state. By the middle 1960's, every state had passed the UCC into law. The states had no choice but to adopt newly formed Uniform Commercial Code as the Law of the Land. The states fully understood they had to administrate Bankruptcy. Washington D.C. adopted the Uniform Commercial Code in 1963, just six weeks after President John F. Kennedy was killed. What was the effect and the significance of Erie vs. Thompkins case decision of 1938? The significance is that since the Erie Decision, no cases are allowed to be cited that are prior to 1938. There can be no mixing of the old law with the new law. The lawyers, who are members of the American Bar Association, were and are currently under and controlled by the Lawyer's guild of Great Britain, created, formed, and implemented the new bankruptcy law. The American Bar Association is a franchise of the Lawyer's Guild of Great Britain. Since the Erie vs. Thompkins case was decided, the practice of law in this country was never again to be the same. It has been reported, that every lawyer in existence, and every lawyer coming up has to take a "secret" oath to support bankruptcy. As Officers of the Court they have sworn to uphold the law as it exists, and as they have been taught. In so doing, not only do the lawyers promise to support the bankruptcy, but the lawyers and judges promise never to reveal who the true creditor/party is in the bankruptcy proceedings (if, indeed, many of them are even aware or know). In court, there is never identification and appearance of the true character and principle of the proceedings. If there is no appearance of the true party to the action, then there is no way the defendant is able to know the TRUE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE ACTION. You are never told the true NATURE AND CAUSE OF WHY YOU ARE IN FRONT OF THEIR COURT. The court is forbidden to tell you that information.

That's why, if you question the true nature and cause, the judge will tell you "It's not my job to tell you. You are not retaining me as an attorney and I can't give you legal advice from the bench. I suggest you hire a lawyer." The problem here is, if you hire a lawyer who is pledged not to reveal the true nature and the cause, how will you ever find out the nature and the cause? YOU WON'T! If the true nature and the cause of the action against you is revealed, it will expose the real creditor from whom this action and cause came. In other words, they will have to name the TRUE creditor. The true creditor will have to state the nature and the cause. The true creditor will have to say "It's a bankruptcy proceeding." The true creditor will have to say, "I'm the creditor and he's the debtor." That declaration would open the door for you to question "Who the hell are you? How did you get attached to my back and by what vehicle did I promise to become a debtor to you?" In this country, the courts on every level, from the justice of the peace level all the way up..... even into the International law arena, (called the World Court), are administrating the bankruptcy and are pledged not to reveal who the true creditors really are and how you personally became pledged as a party or participant to the corporate United States debt. What would really kill these people off, would be to compel the International Bankers to send a lawyer into the courtroom and present himself as the attorney for THE TRUE CREDITOR, THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS. THEN, HAVE THE ATTORNEY PUT INTO THE RECORD THE TRUE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY.

The International Bankers told these various countries that they were now in a state of bankruptcy. The countries had been taken over by the creditor/bankers. And there was no choice, but for all these participating countries to declare bankruptcy. If they didn't agree to declare bankruptcy, the bankers threatened to collapse the economies and thereby put the countries back into the depression like the one from which they were just emerging. The bankers made an offer they couldn't refuse. To review and elaborate: In 1930 there was a World wide depression. The Bankers said, "Look. You can do it either of two ways. The easy way or the hard way." "You just accept the bankruptcy and we'll let you out of the depression. If you don't, you're on your own." So all the countries involved agreed, because they realized that the International bankers had them by the throat. The countries therefore agreed that over a period of several years that they would pass statutes and legislation for the implementation of the bankruptcy in favor of the international bankers. Now, it would probably be correct to say that the key bankers were the Rothschild's and their agents by way of Rockefeller, by way of the Federal Reserve Bank. Who the bankers were is immaterial. The fact remains that there was an International bankruptcy, and an International conspiracy to cover it up. There was a banking creditor who made the offer; the countries accepted the offer in order to enable the representative countries to continue without revolution and to allow the politicians to remain comfortably in place. Under a delusion of solvency the countries were allowed to continue to operate as though they were solvent; while in fact, the representative countries were bankrupt.

The bankruptcy scheme was/is an extremely clever and diabolical plan. How did they possibly pull this scheme off in the area of real estate? The bankers did it with real estate, the same way they did it in the area of Federal Income Taxes. These Foreign bankers simply and deceptively devised ways and means to con you into declaring yourself as a "CITIZEN" or a "RESIDENT" of the corporate U.S. Remember the corporate United States is Bankrupt per agreement and public policy. After you have been tricked into claiming you are one of their corporate United States Citizens, you are given a social security number which ties you to certain meager "benefits" and "privileges." Then, the bankers con your employer to function as an unpaid tax collector to con you into filling out their W-4 intangible property gift forms and 1040 voluntary agreements. These slick paper agreements establish your "voluntary" indebtedness to the banker creditor. If at any time you decide to balk at this scheme because you don't like it, the real creditor never has to make an appearance in

court to list the true nature and cause of the action which is being brought against you. You end up dealing with an agency. The agency can conveniently grant itself immunity from prosecution because all it is doing (without your knowledge, of course) is administrating the bankruptcy to which the government agreed to per the Geneva meetings. The court system never lets you put the original creditor on the courtroom stand, so you can ask him how he got attached to your back. The system is set up in such a way that the true creditor is protected and never has to make an appearance and never has to answer any of your questions or produce documents. Therefore, the true creditor never has to produce the law that gives him the right to pledge you (your body and labor) into indebtedness (bondage/servitude).

Why? Because the Geneva agreement in 1930 was done by treaty. The bankruptcy was not done by legislation. The agreement came first; signed in secrecy, THEN Congress began to pass legislation to fulfill the bankruptcy obligation required by the treaty. Legislation being passed by Congress was henceforth and is thereby bankruptcy legislation. When cases came before the courts, the courts could make decisions based on the new controlling law of bankruptcy. It had nothing to do with Constitutional rights. Now, any case brought in is under the new bankruptcy law and is not considered as a true constitutional case. It is now a bankruptcy case as distinct from, but cleverly disguised as a constitutional case. The members of the Supreme Court, of course, realized what was happening to them and the system of law. The court was being asked to perform in a creditor, debtor bankrupt proceeding to the benefit of the banker creditors. The members of the Supreme Court said, "NO. We will not give you a bankrupt proceeding decision that you can then enforce against everybody; a decision not only effecting corporate Washington D.C. but also having effect within the corporate state governments." This, by the way, is fraud. It wouldn't be fraud if the government of corporate Washington D.C. and the government of the several corporate states declared bankruptcy then let the people know about the bankruptcy. (Notice: when I say corporate "government" I don't mean you and me. You and I are not the corporate government. The corporate government is the corporate capital of the corporate state. The government is a neutral government zone known as the corporate capital of the corporate state. The government is where the corporate state is. It is corporate headquarters. Just like corporate Washington D.C. is the seat of the corporate Federal Government. The capital of the corporate state is the seat of the corporate state government. If the corporate Federal Government and her subsidiary corporate state governments want to join forces and declare bankruptcy that's not fraud. This is their corporate business.

However, it is fraud when those two corporate entities declare bankruptcy but do not disclose to you, me, and every other American, that they have so declared bankruptcy. Further they have not and do not disclose that their intention is to get you and every other American in this country to pledge to pay off their corporate debt to their corporate creditors. The corporate bankruptcy is the corporate state and federal responsibility, not the responsibility of Americans, The People. "We the People" who created and signed the contract/compact/agreement/charter of, by, and for the Constitutional Corporation (U.S.) using the trade name of the "United States of America," is a corporate entity (legal fiction) which is DISTINCT AND SEPARATE from Americans or the unenfranchised people of America. The private natural American people did not create the corporation of the United States. The United States Inc. did not create the private natural American people. America and Americans were in existence prior to the creation of the United States Corporation. The United States Corporation has located its U.S. headquarters in Washington D.C. Virginia State (state territory) gave land to the newly formed United States Corporation. Notice here, we have a state giving something of value (land) to the United States. The United States Corporation agreed in the Constitutional contract, to protect the States. Instead, because of their bankruptcy (Corporate U.S. Bankruptcy) this particular U.S. corporation has enslaved the States and the people by deception and at the will of their foreign bankers with whom they have been doing business. Our forefathers gave their lives and property to prevent enslavement.

Today, we are again enslaved. Private natural American people have been tricked, deceived, and set-up to carry the U.S. Inc. perpetual corporate debt under bankruptcy laws. Every time Americans appear in court, the corporate U.S. bankruptcy is being administrated against them without their knowledge and lawful consent. That is FRAUD. All corporate bankruptcy administration is done by "Public Policy" of by and for the Mother Corporation (U.S. Inc.). The corporate bankruptcy is carried out under the corporate public policy of the corporate Federal Government in corporate Washington D.C. The states use state public policy to carry out Federal public policy of Washington D.C. Public policy and only public policy is being administered against you in the corporate courts today. The public policy that is dictated by all the courts, from the smallest to the most powerful courts in the World, is public policy. This is why I said, in another tape that the Russian people would be enslaved into indebtedness. What will happen is that it will become public policy in Russia to have the people go into joint corporate debt. The Russians will be forced to promise to pay those debts. They will be forced to pay off on those corporate debts. Corporate public policy is the crux of the whole bankruptcy implementation. Corporate public policy is forever a Corporate public policy and the laws that have passed since 1938 are all corporate public policy laws dealing only with corporate public policy. Understand that U.S. corporate public policy is not an American public policy. The public policy is OF, (belonging to) the United States corporation. This U.S. corporate bankruptcy public policy is not OF (belonging to) America, the Republic.

The Erie vs. Thompkins 1938 case was a decision based upon public policy. All decisions at any level since 1938, have been public policy decisions. All statutes, rules, regulations, and procedures that have been passed, whether civil or criminal, whether it is Federal or State, have all been passed to implement the public policy of bankruptcy. Since 1933, when FDR came into office, he brought in public policy. He established that it was the public policy of the overnment to call in all the gold. It was the public policy of the government to declare a banking holiday. It was the public policy of the Government in Washington D.C., (the Federal Government) to give out government assistance. Public policy operates the same within the states. All Federal court decisions can only be handed down if the states support Federal public policy. The state legal system must be compatible with the Federal legal system. This is why, when people like us go to court

without being represented by a lawyer, we throw a monkey-wrench into their corporate administrative proceedings. Why? Because all public policy corporate lawyers are pledged to uphold public policy, which is the corporate U.S. administration of their corporate bankruptcy. That's why you'll find stamped on many if not all our briefs, "THIS CASE IS NOT TO BE CITED IN ANY OTHER CASE AND IS NOT TO BE REPORTED IN ANY COURTS." The reason for this notation is that when we go in to defend ourselves or file a claim we are not supporting the corporate bankruptcy administration and procedure. The arguments we put forth predate 1938.

THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS' CORPORATE PLANTATION OF THE U.S.A.

We come in with Constitutional law etc. All these early cases support our rights not to be in bankruptcy. However, the corporate court, lawyers, and judges have promised to give no judicial recognition of any case before 1938. Before 1938, the law was not a public policy law. All these old cases were not public law deciding cases. Today, the cases are all decided under corporate public policy. The public policy exists in order to administer the bankruptcy for the benefit of the banker creditors and to protect the banker creditor. Corporate public policy can allow the creditor to say to the corporate legislatures, "I want a law passed requiring my debtors to wear seat belts. Why? Because I want to be able to milk my debtors for the longest period possible." It doesn't behoove the creditor to allow all of his labor producing debtors die at an average age 30 years. What would happen to the bankers' lending, interest, penalties, increase, repayment etc., on the entire funding and lending process if the average American life span was only 30 years? Why, the bankers would have to have 2 1/2 times the current consumer population to equal their current take. The bankers would need (instead of 250 million Americans) 600 million or even more. Maybe the bankers would need 2 Billion Americans because the individual can't contract for debt until he/she is 18 or 21 years of age. Therefore, if the average life span is only a 30 year period, the creditor could collect on the debt for only 12 years. Now, if the bankers can just get people to live an average of 70 years) you are talking a whopping 50 years of indebtedness for which they contract and for which they are forced to pay back with usury/interest. With this situation, the banker creditor can now float loans worth 50 years of potential indebtedness and its payoff with interest in the name of the people, as opposed to 9 to 12 years.

The creditors and their property and their people are well taken care of. The creditor doesn't want the population to decrease per se, unless, it is convenient for the debtor to run up debts in another's name and then liquidate that debtor or that group of debtor people. For example let's consider the AIDS problem today among the black people. What better group to inject AIDS into than the black people? Read the Strecker Memorandum on AIDS and the World Health Organization connection. This documents their tainted vaccination program in Africa and elsewhere. Why not kill them off? Don't you understand that the blacks as a whole have absorbed all the debt that they can? The blacks have reached the maximum of the debt that they can carry. In fact, they have gone over their limit to pay back. They are now heavily into welfare, public housing, medicaid, medicare, food stamps etc.. Now, the situation is that instead of paying off the creditor, they have become a drain on the creditor. The creditor must now pay them to live and take care of them. What creditor in his right mind wants to spend money on a bunch of people from whom he can't collect any revenue? The corporate public policy of the corporate United States and the states and the county and of the cities are that YOU must take care of these people. You must provide them with welfare etc. Why? Because when you, as a member of the corporate body politic allow laws to be passed which says the minorities must be taken care of, then the corporate legislature can say the public policy is that the people want these people taken care of. Therefore, when given the chance, the legislature can say the public policy is that the people want these blacks and poor whites to be taken care of and given a chance, therefore, we must raise taxes to fund all these benefits, privileges and opportunities.

This is what these people need to make them socially, politically, and economically equal with everyone else. The legislatures have passed all kinds of statutes providing for huge indebtedness and they float the indebtedness off your backs because you have never gone into court to challenge them by telling them it is not your public policy to assume the debts of other people. On the contrary, all the court decisions coming put, indicate it is the corporate public policy and it is your willingness to support the corporate public policy to pay off these debts. Remember, "public" means of and for the corporate Government. It does not mean of and for private people. "Public" means corporate government. It is corporate government policy. When they talk about public debt, they are talking about corporate government debt and your presumed pledge against this corporate created debt. How do they work this scheme in the area of real estate? These banker creeps have made an agreement that it is corporate public policy, that all land (property) be pledged to the creditor to satisfy the debt of the bankruptcy, which the creditor claims under bankruptcy. They get away with this the same way they get away with any other case that is brought before the court, whether it is a traffic ticket, IRS, or whatever. Here is how it works. You have signed instruments giving information and jurisdiction to the bankers through their agents. The instruments (forms) you signed include, but are not limited to the following: social security registration, use of the social security number, IRS forms, driver license, traffic citation, jury duty, voter registration, using their address, zip code, U.S. postal service, a deed, a mortgage application, etc. etc. The bankers then use that instrument (document) under the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) as a contract/agreement. These documents are considered promissory contract where you promise to perform. This scheme involves you, without you ever becoming directly in contact or in contract with the true creditor. What's more, you are never informed as to whom that true creditor is and it is never divulged to you the true nature and the true cause of the paperwork that you are filling out.

If you will examine your real estate deed, you will find that you promised to pay taxes to the corporate government. On property you originally acquired through a mortgage, you will notice that the bank never promised to pay taxes. You did. The corporate government at all levels never promised to pay taxes to the creditor. You did. In tax and collection problems relating to real estate being enforced against you, you will notice that there is no mention in the mortgage or

the deed stating the true nature and cause of the action. Since you have made the promise to perform, you get a bill every year for property taxes. You don't realize that the only way they can bill you for taxes is through your own stupidity of agreeing to pay the tax. You volunteered. They took advantage of you, conning you to promise to pay property taxes. When they send you their bill, they are coming against you for the collection of the promise you made to the creditor. Now the creditor on the paperwork appears that it is the local bank. The bank has loaned you credit. The bank hasn't loaned you anything. It is not their credit to loan. This is why the bank can't loan credit. There is a credit involved, but not the bank's credit. It is the credit of the International Bankers. The International bankers are making you the loan based upon their operation of bankruptcy claim which they presume to have against you personally as well as your property. Now, let's say you get a tax bill and you decide "I'm not going to pay it." You will find that the courts and the lawyers and the county agencies are set up to protect the true creditor simply by not identifying the creditor. By not being identified as the true creditor, the international banker can make you a credit loan that has no value in reality. In the case of real property, he claims to loan you the use of your own property for which you pay a tax as rent. He is allowed to do this because you are presumed by statutory law and the banker to be in bankruptcy. This fraud is not revealed because he does not have to make an appearance in court to present and defend his claim. His name is not mentioned in the case.

Let's say you are not aware of your remedies provided for you within the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). The UCC provides or allows you to dishonor the county's presentment of the tax bill. You don't pay your tax bill. You, therefore, just sit on it and don't do or say anything. A couple of years go by and all of a sudden you are being sent letters to pay up what is owed or else in a certain period of time, your property will be taken from you and put up for tax sale. Now here is what is interesting..... If you don't pay your tax bill and they contact you asking you to pay it and you don't do it, they will declare that you are in default. It is based on that default, as provided for in the UCC, that they sell your property for the tax (rent). However, the county never goes into court to put into the record the identification of the real creditor. And the county does not state the true nature and cause of the action against you (bankruptcy action disguised as a tax action). Why? Because, under bankruptcy implementation, they have developed a legal procedure which is based upon your promise to pay. This procedure provides that they don't have to come to the court to get a court order authorizing the sale of your property. Therefore, the real creditor never makes an appearance in court. The reality is, you are denied any possibility of appearing in court to exercise your right to challenge the creditor. To ask if he became the creditor under "public policy." To ask if it is under "public policy", just what is the "public policy?" And how did you (as an international banker) become "creditor" to me and everyone else in this country (American people). They don't want you to ask the real creditor (the International Bankers), to produce the documents upon which your personal debt is established. If they were forced to go into court, they would have to produce the deed or mortgage showing you knowingly, willingly, and voluntarily promised to pay the corporate public debt. You did not knowingly, willingly, and voluntarily promise to pay any U.S. Corporate Bankruptcy obligation made in the 1930's.

This would, of course, expose their racket. The fact is, that, there was absolutely no debt connected to you until you agreed to it through their deception and fraud. The deception in a broader sense, permeates the education system and the news media, etc., to sell you on the idea that you are a statutory "U.S. citizen" and "resident of the United States." (INCORPORATED). Your property is pledged for the rest of your life upon your signature and your promise to perform is pledged into perpetual debt. The bankers don't even bother to go to court They leave it up to the agencies to administer the agency corporate public policy. It is the public policy of that agency to bill you on your promise to perform. If you don't pay, they follow up on the public policy on notice of default and give you one more chance to pay. Then they proceed to sell the property at a tax auction. They never go to court or appear in court to back up their claim against you. Did any of your government licensed and controlled teachers ever stress that your signature is your most valuable personal property? Did your government teachers ever tell you that any time you sign any document, you should sign it "without prejudice," or with "All Rights Reserved" above your signature. This means you are reserving your God given unalienable rights which cannot be transferred and all other rights for which your forefathers died. The Corporate U.S.. Government provides, or at best pretends to provide for this reservation of rights under the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) 1-207 and 1-103. You need more information in this area. It is not in the best interest of the United States Corporate "PUBLIC" schools to teach you about their bankruptcy proceedings and how they have set the snare to Compel you into paying their debt. The Corporate "PUBLIC" schools are strictly designed for their Corporate citizen/subjects. That is. the Corporate U.S.. Public School citizens.

Notice all the emphases on being a "good" Citizen. Basically all their teachers and their students are trained to produce labor and material in exchange for valueless green paper called "money." It is not money, it functions "AS" money. Lawful money must be backed by something of value. Bankers take your labor, services, and material (homes, cars, farms, etc.) in exchange for their valueless corporate paper. This paper is backed only by the "full faith and Confidence of the United States Government" THE MOTHER CORPORATION. I do not have faith or confidence in the U.S. BANKRUPT CORPORATE GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATORS WHO HAVE PERVERTED THEIR Constitutional CHARTER, enslaving the sovereign American people into their bankruptcy obligations. Their fraudulent money laundering process promotes your payment on the corporate government's bankruptcy debt. This debt is mathematically impossible to pay Off. You and your family are in continual financial bondage to the international bankers. They love it so! lack's Law Dictionary 1990, defines "Money Changers" as:business of a banker... today handled by the international departments of banks." Let me think for a moment, what did Christ do to the Money Changers." Oh, Yes, he severely interfered with their activity. Three days later he was crucified. Lincoln was killed for interfering with the money changers. Kennedy was slaughtered for interfering with the money changers. Let's return to the subject of your property, and the tax sale for not paying property taxes. In this situation under a standard deed (not common law deed) you are actually in default. Not because you understand the default or you like being in default, you just are in default of the tax payment. So they put your property up for sale. At

the tax sale, Joe Doe, average American, bids on your property and gets it. Now, there is a procedure he must go through step by step to establish. He is required to give you another chance. You have six months and a day to pay off the default. If, at this time, you pay off the amount the county says you owe, plus penalties, interest, fines, etc., then your property is taken off default status and it is yours to continue to pay taxes on the next year

There was a deal struck that, if any person who doesn't have a lawyer to bring a case before the courts, and this person proves the fraud, and speaks the truth about the fraud, the courts are compelled to not allow the case to be cited or published anywhere. The courts cannot afford to have the case freely available in the public archives. This would be evidence of the fraud. That is why you can't hire an attorney. An attorney is compelled to uphold the fraud. "I'm Here To Help You." "I Have The Governments Permission To Practice Law." "I'm A Member of the Bar." The attorney is there for one reason. That reason is to make sure the bankruptcy scam (established by the corporate public policy of the corporate Federal Government) is upheld. The lawyer's will cite no cases for you that will go against the bankruptcy in corporate public policy. Whatever the lawyers do for you is a bunch of Bull Shit. The lawyers have to support the bankruptcy and public policy even at your expense. The lawyers can't go against the corporate Federal Government statutes implementing, protecting and administrating the bankruptcy. For all cases cited, those in the US Code or the state annotated code or any other source, you may be sure that they are only those selected cases that support the public policy of bankruptcy. The legal system has to work that way. After the last 30-40-50-60 years of cases after cases having been decided based upon upholding the bankruptcy, how could the legal system possibly allow someone to come into court and put in the record substantial information and argument to prove the fraud? THE WHOLE SYSTEM IS NOTHING BUT CREDIT AND DEBT, i.e. THE WORLD CREDIT UNION.

Here is what is going to very quickly happen internationally. All of the governments around the World are going to unite. They will create one big giant credit union for collecting the debt for the International Bankers. We have allowed ourselves do get into this very sad situation, but THAT IS THE WAY IT IS. The ultimate result of shielding men from the effects of folly is to fill the World with fools. -- "State Tamperings with Money Banks" -- Herbert Spencer (1820-1903). THIS IS THE FASCIST & ENSLAVING NEW WORLD ORDER!

James Traficant Explains About the Bankruptcy, Hypothecation , Canon & Maritime Law

Speaker: Representative James Traficant, Jr. (Ohio) addressing the House: "Mr. Speaker, we are here now in Chapter 11. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization in world history, the U.S. Government. We are setting forth hopefully, a blueprint for our future. There are some who say it is a coroner's report that will lead to our demise. It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. HJR 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution to Suspend the Gold Standard and Abrogate the Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments, and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only. The receivers of the United States Bankruptcy are the International Bankers, via the United Nations, the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund. All United States Offices, Officials, and Departments are now operating within a de facto status in name only under the Emergency War Powers.

With the Constitutional Republican form of Government now dissolved, the receivers of the Bankruptcy have adopted a new form of government FOR the United States. This new form of government is known as a Democracy, being an established Socialist/Communist order under a new governor for America. This act was instituted and established by transferring and/or placing the Office of the Secretary of Treasury to that of the Governor of the International Monetary Fund. Public Law 94-564, page 8, Section H.R.13955 reads in part: 'The U.S. Secretary of Treasury receives no compensation for representing the United States.' Gold and silver were such a powerful money during the founding of the united states of America that the founding fathers declared that only gold or silver coins can be 'money' in America. Since gold and silver coinage were heavy and inconvenient for a lot of transactions, they were stored in banks and a claim check was issued as a money substitute. People traded their coupons as money, or 'currency.' Currency is not money, but a money substitute. Redeemable currency must promise to pay a dollar equivalent in gold or silver money. Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) make no such promises and are not 'money.'

A Federal Reserve Note is a debt obligation of the federal United States Government, not 'money.' The federal United States Government and the U.S. Congress were not and have never been authorized by the Constitution for the united states of America to issue currency of any kind, but only lawful money - gold and silver coin. It is essential that we comprehend the distinction between real money and paper money substitute. One cannot get rich by accumulating money substitutes, one can only get deeper into debt. We the People no longer have any 'money.' Most Americans have not been paid any 'money' for a very long time, perhaps not in their entire life. Now do you comprehend why you feel broke? Now do you understand why you are 'bankrupt,' along with the rest of the country?

Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) are unsigned checks written on a closed account. FRNs are an inflatable paper system designed to create debt through inflation (devaluation of currency). Whenever there is an increase of the supply of a money substitute in the economy without a corresponding increase in the gold and silver backing, inflation occurs.

Inflation is an invisible form of taxation that irresponsible governments inflict on their citizens. The Federal Reserve Bank who controls the supply and movement of FRNs has everybody fooled. They have access to an unlimited supply of FRNs, paying only for the printing costs of what they need. FRNs are nothing more than promissory notes for U.S. Treasury securities (T-bills) - a promise to pay the debt to the Federal Reserve Bank. There is a fundamental difference between 'paying' and 'discharging' a debt. To pay a debt, you must pay with value or substance (i.e. gold, silver, barter or a commodity). With FRNs, you can only discharge a debt. You cannot pay a debt with a debt currency system. You cannot service a debt with a currency that has no backing in value or substance. No contract in Common Law is valid unless it involves an exchange of 'good and valuable consideration.' Unpayable debt transfers power and control to the sovereign power structure that has no interest in money, law, equity, or justice because they have so much wealth already. Their lust is for power and control. Since the inception of central banking, they have controlled the fates of nations.

The Federal Reserve System is based on the Canon law and the principles of sovereignty protected in the Constitution and Bill of Rights. In fact, the international bankers used a 'Canon Law Trust' as their model, adding stock and naming it a 'Joint Stock Trust' in 1873. The Federal Reserve Act was legislated post facto (to 1870), although post-facto laws are strictly forbidden by the Constitution (Article I, Section 9, Paragraph 3). The Federal Reserve System is a sovereign power structure separate and distinct from the federal United States government. The Federal Reserve is a maritime lender, and/or maritime insurance underwriter to the federal United States operating exclusively under Admiralty/Maritime law. The lender or underwriter bears the risks, and the Maritime law compelling specific performance in paying the interest, or premiums, are the same. Assets of the debtor can also be hypothecated (to pledge something as a security without taking possession of it) as security by the lender or underwriter. The Federal Reserve Act stipulated that the interest on the debt was to be paid in Gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal Reserve Act for ever paying the principal. Prior to 1913, most Americans owned clear, allodial title to property, free and clear of any liens or mortgages until the Federal Reserve Act (1913) 'hypothecated' all property within the federal United States to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, in which the Trustees (stockholders) held legal title. The U.S. citizen (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a 'beneficiary' of the trust via his/her birth certificate.

In 1933, the federal United States hypothecated all of the present and future properties, assets, and labor of their 'subjects,' the 14th Amendment U.S. citizen, to the Federal Reserve System. In return, the Federal Reserve System agreed to extend the federal United States corporation all the credit 'money substitute' it needed. Like any other debtor, the federal United States government had to assign collateral and security to their creditors as a condition of the loan. Since the federal United States didn't have any assets, they assigned the private property of their 'economic slaves,' the U.S. citizens as collateral against the unpayable federal debt. They also pledged the unincorporated federal territories, national park forests, birth certificates, and non-profit organizations as collateral against the federal debt. All has already been transferred as payment to the international bankers. Unwittingly, America has returned to its pre-American Revolution, feudal roots whereby all land is held by a Sovereign, and the common people had no rights to hold allodial title to property. Once again, We the People are the tenants and sharecroppers renting our own property from a Sovereign in the guise of the Federal Reserve Bank. We the People have exchanged one master for another. This has been going on for over eighty years without the 'informed knowledge' of the American people, without a voice protesting loud enough."

Now it is easy to grasp why America is fundamentally bankrupt. Why don't more people own their properties outright? Why are 90% of Americans mortgaged to the hilt and have little or no assets after all debts and liabilities have been paid? Why does it feel like you are working harder and harder and getting less and less? We are reaping what has been sown, and the results of our harvest is a painful bankruptcy, and a foreclosure on American property, precious liberties, and a way of life. Few of our elected representatives in Washington, D.C. have dared to tell the truth. The federal United States is bankrupt. Our children will inherit this unpayable debt, and the tyranny to enforce paying it. America has become completely bankrupt in world leadership, financial credit, and its reputation for courage, vision, and human rights. This is an undeclared economic war, bankruptcy, and economic slavery of the most corrupt order. Wake up, America! Take back your country."

[Congressman Louis McFadden's Speech 1933](#)

May 23, 1933, Congressman, Louis T. McFadden, brought formal charges against the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bank system, The Comptroller of the Currency and the Secretary of United States Treasury for numerous criminal acts including but not limited to **CONSPIRACY, FRAUD, UNLAWFUL CONVERSION, AND TREASON** http://www.hardtruth.bravepages.com/hardtruth.topcities.com/congress_mcfadden.htm. The petition for Articles of Impeachment was thereafter referred to the Judiciary Committee and has **YET TO BE ACTED ON**. So, this ELECTRONIC BOOKLET should be reprinted, reposted, set up on web pages and circulated far and wide. **Congressman McFadden's Speech on the Federal Reserve Corporation Remarks in Congress, 1934 AN ASTOUNDING EXPOSURE** Reprinted by permission 1978 Arizona Caucus Club. Quotations from several speeches made on the Floor of the House of Representatives by the Honorable Louis T. McFadden of Pennsylvania. Mr. McFadden, due to his having served as Chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee for more than 10 years, was the best posted man on these matters in America and was in a position to speak with authority of the vast ramifications of this gigantic private credit monopoly. As Representative of a State which was among the first to declare its freedom from foreign money tyrants it is fitting that Pennsylvania, the cradle of liberty, be again given the credit for producing a son that was not afraid to hurl defiance in the face of the money-bund. Whereas Mr. McFadden was elected to the high office on both the Democratic and Republican tickets, there can be no accusation of partisanship lodged against him. Because these speeches are set out in full in the Congressional Record, they carry

weight that no amount of condemnation on the part of private individuals could hope to carry.

The Federal Reserve-A Corrupt Institution

"Mr. Chairman, we have in this Country one of the most corrupt institutions the World has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks, hereinafter called the Fed. The Fed has cheated the Government of these United States and the people of the United States out of enough money to pay the Nation's debt. The depredations and iniquities of the Fed have cost enough money to pay the National debt several times over. "This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of these United States, has bankrupted itself, and has practically bankrupted our Government. It has done this through the defects of the law under which it operates, through the maladministration of that law by the Fed and through the corrupt practices of the moneyed vultures who control it.

"Some people who think that the Federal Reserve Banks United States Government institutions. They are private monopolies which prey upon the people of these United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers; foreign and domestic speculators and swindlers; and rich and predatory money lender. In that dark crew of financial pirates there are those who would cut a man's throat to get a dollar out of his pocket; there are those who send money into states to buy votes to control our legislatures; there are those who maintain International propaganda for the purpose of deceiving us into granting of new concessions which will permit them to cover up their past misdeeds and set again in motion their gigantic train of crime. "These twelve private credit monopolies were deceitfully and disloyally foisted upon this Country by the Bankers who came here from Europe and repaid us our hospitality by undermining our American institutions. Those Bankers took money out of this Country to finance Japan in a war against Russia. They created a reign of terror in Russia with our money in order to help that war along. They instigated the separate peace between Germany and Russia, and thus drove a wedge between the allies in World War. They financed Trotsky's passage from New York to Russia so that he might assist in the destruction of the Russian Empire. They fomented and instigated the Russian Revolution, and placed a large fund of American dollars at Trotsky's disposal in one of their branch banks in Sweden so that through him Russian homes might be thoroughly broken up and Russian children flung far and wide from their natural protectors. They have since begun breaking up of American homes and the dispersal of American children. "Mr. Chairman, there should be no partisanship in matters concerning banking and currency affairs in this Country, and I do not speak with any. "In 1912 the National Monetary Association, under the chairmanship of the late Senator Nelson W. Aldrich, made a report and presented a vicious bill called the National Reserve Association bill. This bill is usually spoken of as the Aldrich bill. Senator Aldrich did not write the Aldrich bill. He was the tool, if not the accomplice, of the European Bankers who for nearly twenty years had been scheming to set up a central bank in this Country and who in 1912 has spent and were continuing to spend vast sums of money to accomplish their purpose.

Rep. Charles Lindbergh (R-MN) said: "The Aldrich Plan is the Wall Street Plan. It means another panic, if necessary, to intimidate the people. Aldrich, paid by the government to represent the people, proposes a plan for the trusts [bankers] instead." "We were opposed to the Aldrich plan for a central bank. The men who rule the Democratic Party then promised the people that if they were returned to power there would be no central bank established here while they held the reigns of government. Thirteen months later that promise was broken, and the Wilson administration, under the tutelage of those sinister Wall Street figures who stood behind Colonel House, established here in our free Country the worm-eaten monarchical institution of the "King's Bank" to control us from the top downward, and from the cradle to the grave. "The Federal Reserve Bank destroyed our old and characteristic way of doing business. It discriminated against our 1-name commercial paper, the finest in the World, and it set up the antiquated 2-name paper, which is the present curse of this Country and which wrecked every country which has ever given it scope; it fastened down upon the Country the very tyranny from which the framers of the Constitution sought to save us.

President Jackson's Time

"One of the greatest battles for the preservation of this Republic was fought out here in Jackson's time; when the second Bank of the United States, founded on the same false principles of those which are here exemplified in the Fed was hurled out of existence. After that, in 1837, the Country was warned against the dangers that might ensue if the predatory interests after being cast out should come back in disguise and unite themselves to the Executive and through him acquire control of the Government. That is what the predatory interests did when they came back in the livery of hypocrisy and under false pretenses obtained the passage of the Fed. "The danger that the Country was warned against came upon us and is shown in the long train of horrors attendant upon the affairs of the traitorous and dishonest Fed. Look around you when you leave this Chamber and you will see evidences of it in all sides. This is an era of misery and for the conditions that caused that misery, the Fed is fully liable. This is an era of financed crime and in the financing of crime the Fed does not play the part of a disinterested spectator.

"It has been said that the draughts man who was employed to write the text of the Aldrich bill because that had been drawn up by lawyers, by acceptance Bankers of European origin in New York. It was a copy, in general a translation of the statutes of the Reichsbank and other European central banks. One-half million dollars was spent on the part of the propaganda organized by these Bankers for the purpose of misleading public opinion and giving Congress the

impression that there was an overwhelming popular demand for it and the kind of currency that goes with it, namely, an asset currency based on human debts and obligations. Dr. H. Parker Willis had been employed by Wall Street and propagandists, and when the Aldrich measure failed- he obtained employment with Carter Glass, to assist in drawing the banking bill for the Wilson administration. He appropriated the text of the Aldrich bill. There is no secret about it. The test of the Federal Reserve Act was tainted from the first. A few days before the bill came to a vote, Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, of Massachusetts, wrote to Senator John W. Weeks as follows:

New York City,
December 17, 1913

"My Dear Senator Weeks:

"Throughout my public life I have supported all measures designed to take the Government out of the banking business. This bill puts the Government into the banking business as never before in our history. "The powers vested in the Federal Reserve Board seem to me highly dangerous especially where there is political control of the Board. I should be sorry to hold stock in a bank subject to such dominations. The bill as it stands seems to me to open the way to a vast inflation of the currency. "I had hoped to support this bill, but I cannot vote for it cause it seems to me to contain features and to rest upon principles in the highest degree menacing to our prosperity, to stability in business, and to the general welfare of the people of the United States.

Very Truly Yours,
Henry Cabot Lodge."

"In eighteen years that have passed since Senator Lodge wrote that letter of warning all of his predictions have come true. The Government is in the banking business as never before. Against its will it has been made the backer of horse thieves and card sharps, bootlegger's smugglers, speculators, and swindlers in all parts of the World. Through the Fed the ruffraff of every country is operating on the public credit of the United States Government.

The Great Depression (1929)

"Meanwhile and on account of it, we ourselves are in the midst of the greatest depression we have ever known. From the Atlantic to the Pacific, our Country has been ravaged and laid waste by the evil practices of the Fed and the interests which control them. At no time in our history, has the general welfare of the people been at a lower level or the minds of the people so full of despair. "Recently in one of our States, 60,000 dwelling houses and farms were brought under the hammer in a single day. 71,000 houses and farms in Oakland County, Michigan, were sold and their erstwhile owners dispossessed. The people who have thus been driven out are the wastage of the Fed. They are the victims of the Fed. Their children are the new slaves of the auction blocks in the revival of the institution of human slavery.

The Scheme of the Fed

In 1913, before the Senate Banking and Currency Committee, Mr. Alexander Lassen made the following statement: "The whole scheme of the Fed with its commercial paper is an impractical, cumbersome machinery- is simply a cover to secure the privilege of issuing money, and to evade payment of as much tax upon circulation as possible and then control the issue and maintain, instead of reducing interest rates. It will prove to the advantage of the few and the detriment of the people. It will mean continued shortage of actual money and further extension of credits, for when there is a shortage of money people have to borrow to their cost.' "A few days before the Fed passed, Senator Root denounced the Fed as an outrage on our liberties. He predicted: 'Long before we wake up from our dream of prosperity through an inflated currency, our gold- which alone could have kept us from catastrophe- will have vanished and no rate of interest will tempt it to return.' "If ever a prophecy came true, that one did. "The Fed became law the day before Christmas Eve, in the year 1913, and shortly afterwards, the German International Bankers, Kuhn, Loeb and Co. sent one of their partners here to run it. "The Fed Note is essentially unsound. It is the worst currency and the most dangerous that this Country has ever known. When the proponents of the act saw that the Democratic doctrine would not permit them to let the proposed banks issue the new currency as bank notes, they should have stopped at that. They should not have foisted that kind of currency, namely, an asset currency, on the United States Government. They should not have made the Government [liable on the private] debts of individuals and corporations, and, least of all, on the private debts of foreigners. "As Kemerer says: 'The Fed Notes, therefore, in form, have some of the qualities of Government paper money, but in substance, are almost a pure asset currency possessing a Government guarantee against which contingency the Government has made no provision whatever.'

"**Hon. L.J.Hill, a former member of the House, said**, and truly: "They are obligations of the Government for which the United States received nothing and for the payment of which at any time, it assumes the responsibility: looking to the Fed to recoup itself.' "If this United States is to redeem the Fed Notes, when the General Public finds it costs to deliver this paper to the Fed, and if the Government has made no provisions for redeeming them, the first element of unsoundness is not far to seek. "Before the Banking and Currency Committee, when the bill was under discussion Mr. Crozier of Cincinnati said: 'The imperial power of elasticity of the public currency is wielded exclusively by the central corporations owned by the banks. This is a life and death power over all local banks and all business. It can be used to

create or destroy prosperity, to ward off or cause stringencies and panics. By making money artificially scarce, interest rates throughout the Country can be arbitrarily raised and the bank tax on all business and cost of living increased for the profit of the banks owning these regional central banks, and without the slightest benefit to the people. The 12 Corporations together cover y and monopolize and use for private gain- every dollar of the public currency and all public revenue of the United States. Not a dollar can be put into circulation among the people by their Government, without the consent of and on terms fixed by these 12 private money trusts.' "In defiance of this and all other warnings, the proponents of the Fed created the 12 private credit corporations and gave them an absolute monopoly of the currency of these United States- not of the Fed Notes alone- but of all other currency! The Fed Act providing ways and means by which the gold and general currency in the hands of the American people could be obtained by the Fed in exchange for Fed Notes- which are not money- but mere promises to pay. "Since the evil day when this was done, the initial monopoly has been extended by vicious amendments to the Fed and by the unlawful and treasonable practices of the Fed.

Money for the Scottish Distillers

"Mr. Chairman, if a Scottish distiller wishes to send a cargo of Scotch whiskey to these United States, he can draw his bill against the purchasing bootlegger in dollars and after the bootlegger has accepted it by writing his name across the face of it, the Scotch distiller can send that bill to the nefarious open discount market in New York City where the Fed will buy it and use it as collateral for a new issue of Fed Notes. Thus the Government of these United States pay the Scotch distiller for the whiskey before it is shipped, and if it is lost on the way, or if the Coast Guard seizes it and destroys it, the Fed simply write off the loss and the government never recovers the money that was paid to the Scotch distiller. "While we are attempting to enforce prohibition here, the Fed are in the distillery business in Europe and paying bootlegger bills with public credit of these United States. "Mr. Chairman, by the same process, they compel our Government to pay the German brewer for his beer. Why should the Fed be permitted to finance the brewing industry in Germany either in this way or as they do by compelling small and fearful United States Banks to take stock in the Isenbeck Brewery and in the German Bank for brewing industries? "Mr. Chairman, if Dynamit Nobel of Germany, wishes to sell dynamite in Japan to use in Manchuria or elsewhere, it can draw its bill against the Japanese customers in dollars and send that bill to the nefarious open discount market in New York City where the Fed will buy it and use it as collateral for a new issue of Fed Notes- while at the same time the Fed will be helping Dynamit Nobel by stuffing its stock into the United States banking system.

"Why should we send our representatives to the disarmament conference at Geneva- while the Fed is making our Government pay Japanese debts to German Munitions makers? "Mr. Chairman, if a German wishes to raise a crop of beans and sell them to a Japanese customer, he can draw a bill against his prospective Japanese customer in dollars and have it purchased by the Fed and get the money out of this Country at the expense of the American people before he has even planted the beans in the ground. "Mr. Chairman, if a German in Germany wishes to export goods to South America, or any other Country, he can draw his bill against his customers and send it to these United States and get the money out of this Country before he ships, or even manufactures the goods. "Mr. Chairman, why should the currency of these United States be issued on the strength of German Beer? Why should it be issued on the crop of unplanted beans to be grown in Chili for Japanese consumption? Why should these United States be compelled to issue many billions of dollars every year to pay the debts of one foreigner to another foreigner? "Was it for this that our National Bank depositors had their money taken out of our banks and shipped abroad? Was it for this that they had to lose it? Why should the public credit of these United States and likewise money belonging to our National Bank depositors be used to support foreign brewers, narcotic drug vendors, whiskey distillers, wig makes, human hair merchants, Chilean bean growers, to finance the munition factories of Germany and Soviet Russia?

The United States Has Been Ransacked

"The United States has been ransacked and pillaged. Our structures have been gutted and only the walls are left standing. While being perpetrated, everything the World would rake up to sell us was brought in here at our expense by the Fed until our markets were swamped with unneeded and unwanted imported goods priced far above their value and make to equal the dollar volume of our honest exports, and to kill or reduce our favorite balance of trade. As Agents of the foreign central banks the Fed try by every means in their power to reduce our favorable balance of trade. They act for their foreign principal and they accept fees from foreigners for acting against the best interests of these United States. Naturally there has been great competition among foreigners for the favors of the Fed. "What we need to do is to send the reserves of our National Banks home to the people who earned and produced them and who still own them and to the banks which were compelled to surrender them to predatory interests. "Mr. Chairman, there is nothing like the Fed pool of confiscated bank deposits in the World. It is a public trough of American wealth in which the foreigners claim rights, equal to or greater than Americans. The Fed are the agents of the foreign central banks. They use our bank depositors' money for the benefit of their foreign principals. They barter the public credit of the United States Government and hire it out to foreigners at a profit to themselves. "All this is done at the expense of the United States Government, and at a sickening loss to the American people. Only our great wealth enabled us to stand the drain of it as long as we did. "We need to destroy the Fed wherein our national reserves are impounded for the benefit of the foreigners. "We need to save America for Americans.

Spurious Securities

"Mr. Chairman, when you hold a \$10.00 Fed Note in your hand, you are holding a piece of paper which sooner or later is going to cost the United States Government \$10.00 in gold (unless the Government is obliged to go off the gold standard). It is based on Limburger cheese (reported to be in foreign warehouses) or in cans purported to contain peas (but may contain salt water instead), or horse meat, illicit drugs, bootleggers' fancies, rags and bones from Soviet Russia (of which these United States imported over a million dollars worth last year), on wines, whiskey, natural gas, goat and dog fur, garlic on the string, and Bombay ducks. "If you like to have paper money- which is secured by such commodities- you have it in Fed Note. If you desire to obtain the thing of value upon which this paper currency is based, that is, the Limburger cheese, the whiskey, the illicit drugs, or any of the other staples- you will have a very hard time finding them. "Many of these worshipful commodities are in foreign Countries. Are you going to Germany to inspect her warehouses to see if the specified things of value are there? I think more, I do not think that you would find them there if you did go.

"On April 27, 1932, the Fed outfit sent \$750,000 belonging to American bank depositors in gold to Germany. A week later another \$300,000 in gold was shipped to Germany. About the middle of May \$12,000,000 in gold was shipped to Germany by the Fed. Almost every week there is a shipment of gold to Germany. These shipments are not made for profit on the exchange since the German marks are below parity with the dollar. "Mr. Chairman, I believe that the National Bank depositors of these United States have a right to know what the Fed are doing with their money. There are millions of National Bank depositors in the Country who do not know that a percentage of every dollar they deposit in a Member Bank of the Fed goes automatically to American Agents of the foreign banks and that all their deposits can be paid away to foreigners without their knowledge or consent by the crooked machinery of the Fed and the questionable practices of the Fed. [Ed. Note- Problem with next paragraph in original] "Mr. Chairman, the American people should be told the truth by their servants in office. In 1930, we had over a half billion dollars outstanding daily to finance foreign goods stored in or shipped between several billion dollars. What goods are these on which the Fed yearly pledge several billions of dollars. In its yearly total, this item amounts to several billions of dollars of the public credit of these United States? "What goods are those which are hidden in European and Asiatic stores have not been seen by any officer of our Government but which are being financed on the public credit of the United States Government? What goods are those upon which the 17 United States Government is being obligated by the Fed to issue Fed Notes to the extent of several billions of dollars a year?

The BankLords' Acceptance Racket

"The Fed have been International Banks from the beginning, with these United States as their enforced banker and supplier of currency. But it is none the less extraordinary to see these twelve private credit monopolies, buying the debts of foreigners against foreigners, in all parts of the World and asking the Government of these United States for new issues of Fed notes in exchange for them. "The magnitude of the acceptance racket as it has been developed by the Fed, their foreign correspondents, and the predatory European born Bankers, who set up the Fed here and taught your own, by and of pirates, how to loot the people: I say the magnitude of this racket is estimated to be in the neighborhood of 9,000,000,000 per year. In the past ten years it is said to have amounted to \$90,000,000,000.00. In my opinion it has amounted to several times that much. Coupled to this you have to the extent of billions of dollars, the gambling in the United States securities, which takes place in the same open discount market- a gambling on which the Fed is now spending \$100,000,000.00 per week. "Fed Notes are taken from the U.S. Government in unlimited quantities. It is strange that the burden of supplying these immense sums of money to the gambling fraternity has at last proved too heavy for the American people to endure? Would it not be a national [calamity to] again bind down this burden on the backs of the American people and by means of a long rawhide whip of the credit masters, compel them to enter another seventeen years of slavery? "They are trying to do that now. They are trying to take \$100,000,000.00 of the public credit of the United States every week, in addition to all their other seizures and they are sending that money to the nefarious open market in a desperate gamble to reestablish their graft as a going concern. "They are putting the United States Government in debt to the extent of \$100,000,000 a week, and with the money they are buying our Government securities for themselves and their foreign principals. Our people are disgusted with the experiences of the Fed. The Fed is not producing a loaf of bread, a yard of cloth, a bushel of corn, or a pile of cordwood by its check-kiting operations in the money market.

"Mr. Speaker, on the 13th of January of this year I addressed the House on the subject of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. In the course of my remarks I made the following statement: In 1928 the member banks of the Fed borrowed \$60,598,690,000 from the Fed on their fifteen-day promissory notes. Think of it. Sixty billion dollars payable on demand in gold in the course of one single year. The actual amount of such obligations called for six times as much monetary gold as there is in the World. Such transactions represent a grant in the course of one single year of about \$7,000,000 to every member of the Fed. "Is it any wonder that American labor which ultimately pays the cost of all banking operations of this Country has at last proved unequal to the task of supplying this huge total of cash and credit for the benefit of the stock market manipulators and foreign swindlers? "In 1933 the Fed presented the staggering amount of \$60,598,690,000 to its member banks at the expense of the wage earners and tax payers of these United States. In 1929, the year of the stock market crash, the Fed advanced \$58,000,000,000 to member banks. "In 1930 while the speculating banks were getting out of the stock market at the expense of the general public, the Fed advanced them \$13,022,782,000. This shows that when the banks were gambling on the public credit of these United States as represented by the Fed currency they were subsidized to any amount they required by the Fed. When the swindle began to fall, the Bankers knew it in advance and withdrew from the market. They got out with whole skins- and left the people of these United States to pay the piper. "My friend from Kansas, Mr. McGugin, has

stated that he thought the Fed lent money on rediscounting. So they do, but they lend comparatively little that way. The real discounting that they do has been called a mere penny in the slot business. It is too slow for genuine high flyers. They discourage it. They prefer to subsidize their favorite banks by making them \$60,000,000,000 advances and they prefer to acquire assistance in the notorious open discount market in New York, where they can use it to control the price of stocks and bonds on the exchanges. "For every dollar they advanced on discounts in 1928, they lent \$33.00 to their favorite banks for whom they do a business of several billion dollars income tax on their profits to these United States."

The John Law Swindle

"This is the John Law swindle over again. The theft of Teapot Dome was trifling compared to it. What King ever robbed his subject to such an extent as the Fed has robbed us? Is it any wonder that there have been lately ninety cases of starvation in one of the New York hospitals? Is there any wonder that the children are being abandoned?" The government and the people of these United States have been swindled by swindlers deluxe to whom the acquisition of American or a parcel of Fed Notes presented no more difficulty than the drawing up of a worthless acceptance in a Country not subject to the laws of these United States, by sharpers not subject to the jurisdiction of these United States, sharpers with strong banking "fence" on this side of the water, a "fence" acting as a receiver of a worthless paper coming from abroad, endorsing it and getting the currency out of the Fed for it as quickly as possible exchanging that currency for gold and in turn transmitting the gold to its foreign confederates.

Ivar Krueger, the Match King!

"Such were the exploits of Ivar Krueger, Mr. Hoover's friend, and his rotten Wall Street bakers. Every dollar of the billions Krueger and his gang drew out of this Country on acceptances was drawn from the government and the people of the United States through the Fed. The credit of the United States Government was peddled to him by the Fed for their own private gain. That is what the Fed has been doing for many years. "They have been peddling the credit of this Government and the [signature of this] Government to the swindlers and speculators of all nations. That is what happens when a Country forsakes its Constitution and gives its sovereignty over the public currency to private interests. Give them the flag and they will sell it. "The nature of Krueger's organized swindle and the bankrupt condition of Krueger's combine was known here last June when Hoover sought to exempt Krueger's loan to Germany of \$125,000,000 from the operation of the Hoover Moratorium. The bankrupt condition of Krueger's swindle was known her last summer when \$30,000,000 was taken from the American taxpayers by certain Bankers in New York for the ostensible purpose of permitting Krueger to make a loan to Colombia. Colombia never saw that money. "The nature of Krueger's swindle was known here in January when he visited his friend, Mr. Hoover, at the White House. It was known here in March before he went to Paris and committed suicide. "Mr. Chairman, I think the people of the United States are entitled to know how many billions of dollars were placed at the disposal of Krueger and his gigantic combine by the Fed, and to know how much of our Government currency was issued and lost in the financing of that great swindle in the years during which the Fed took care of Krueger's requirements. "A few days ago, the President of the United States with a white face and shaking hands, went before the Senate of behalf of the moneyed interests and asked the Senate to levy a tax on the people so that foreigners might know that these United States would pay its debt to them. "Most Americans thought it was the other way around. What does these United States owe foreigners? When and by whom was the debt incurred? It was incurred by the Fed, when they peddled the signature of the Government to foreigners- for a Price. It is what the United States Government has to pay to redeem the obligations of the Fed.

Thieves Go Scot Free

"Are you going to let these thieves get off scot free? Is there one law for the looter who drives up to the door of the United States Treasury in his limousine and another for the United States Veterans who are sleeping on the floor of a dilapidated house on the outskirts of Washington? "The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad is here asking for a large loan from the people, and the wage earners and the taxpayers of these United States. It is begging for a handout from the Government. It is standing, cap in hand, at the door of the R.F.C. where all the jackals have gathered to the feast. It is asking for money that was raised from the people by taxation and wants this money of the poor for the benefit of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., the German International Bankers. "Is there one law for the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad and another for the hungry veterans it threw off its freight cars the other day? Is there one law for sleek and prosperous swindlers who call themselves Bankers and another law for the soldiers who defended the flag? "The R.F.C. is taking over these worthless securities from the Investment Trusts with United States Treasury money at the expense of the American taxpayer and the wage earner. "It will take twenty years to redeem our Government. Twenty years of penal servitude to pay off the gambling debts of the traitorous Fed and to vast flood of American wages and savings, bank deposits, and the United States Government credit which the Fed exported out of this country to their foreign principals. "The Fed lately conducted an anti-hoarding campaign here. They took that extra money which they had persuaded the American people to put into the banks- they sent it to Europe- along with the rest. In the last several months, they have sent \$1,300,000,000 in gold to their foreign employers, their foreign masters, and every dollar of that gold belonged to the people of these United States and was unlawfully taken from them.

Fiat Money

"Mr. Chairman, within the limits of the time allowed me, I cannot enter into a particularized discussion of the Fed. I have singled out the Fed currency for a few remarks because there has lately been some talk here of "fiat money". What kind of money is being pumped into the open discount market and through it into foreign channels and stock exchanges? Mr. Mills of the Treasury has spoken here of his horror of the printing presses and his horror of dishonest money. He has no horror of dishonest money. If he had, he would be no party to the present gambling of the Fed in the nefarious open discount market of New York, a market in which the sellers are represented by 10 discount corporations owned and organized by the very banks which own and control the Fed. "Fiat money, indeed! "What Mr. Mills is fighting for is the preservation, whole and entire, of the banker's monopoly of all the currency of the United States Government. "Mr. Chairman, last December, I introduced a resolution here asking for an examination and an audit of the Fed and all related matters. If the House sees fit to make such an investigation, the people of these United States will obtain information of great value. This is a Government of the people, by the people, for the people. Consequently, nothing should be concealed from the people. The man who deceives the people is a traitor to these United States.

"The man who knows or suspects that a crime has been committed and who conceals and covers up that crime is an accessory to it. Mr. Speaker, it is a monstrous thing for this great nation of people to have its destinies presided over by a traitorous government board acting in secret concert with international usurers. "Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers- but the truth is- the Fed has usurped the Government. It controls everything here and it controls all of our foreign relations. It makes and breaks governments at will. "No man and no body of men is more entrenched in power than the arrogant credit monopoly which operated the Fed. What National Government has permitted the Fed to steal from the people should now be restored to the people. The people have a valid claim against the Fed. If that claim is enforced the Americans will not need to stand in the bread line, or to suffer and die of starvation in the streets. Women will be saved, families will be kept together, and American children will not be dispersed and abandoned. "Here is a Fed Note. Immense numbers of the notes are now held abroad. I am told that they amount to upwards of a billion dollars. They constitute a claim against our Government and likewise a claim against our peoples' money to the extent of \$1,300,000,000 which has within the last few months been shipped abroad to redeem Fed Notes and to pay other gambling debts of the traitorous Fed. The greater part of our money stock has been shipped to other lands. "Why should we promise to pay the debts of foreigners to foreigners? Why should the Fed be permitted to finance our competitors in all parts of the World? Do you know why the tariff was raised? It was raised to shut out the flood of Fed Goods pouring in here from every quarter of the globe- cheap goods, produced by cheaply paid foreign labor, on unlimited supplies of money and credit sent out of this Country by the dishonest and unscrupulous Fed. "The Fed are spending \$100,000,000 a week buying government securities in the open market and are making a great bid for foreign business. They are trying to make rates so attractive that the human hair merchants and the distillers and other business entities in foreign land will come here and hire more of the public credit of the United States Government to pay the Fed outfit for getting it for them.

World Enslavement & Dictatorship Planned

"Mr. Chairman, when the Fed was passed, the people of these United States did not perceive that a World system was being set up here which would make the savings of the American school teacher available to a narcotic-drug vendor in Acapulco. They did not perceive that these United States was to be lowered to the position of a coolie country which has nothing but raw material and heart, that Russia was destined to supply the man power and that this country was to supply the financial power to an "international superstate". A superstate controlled by international Bankers, and international industrialists acting together to enslave the World for their own pleasure? "The people of these United States are being greatly wronged. They have been driven from their employments. They have been dispossessed from their homes. They have been evicted from their rented quarters. They have lost their children. They have been left to suffer and die for lack of shelter, food, clothing and medicine. "The wealth of these United States and the working capital have been taken away from them and has either been locked in the vaults of certain banks and the great corporations or exported to foreign countries for the benefit of the foreign customers of these banks and corporations. So far as the people of the United States are concerned, the cupboard is bare. "It is true that the warehouses and coal yards and grain elevators are full, but these are padlocked, and the great banks and corporations hold the keys. "The sack of these United States by the Fed is the greatest crime in history."

"Mr. Chairman, a serious situation confronts the House of Representatives today. We are trustees of the people and the rights of the people are being taken away from them. Through the Fed the people are losing the rights guaranteed to them by the Constitution. Their property has been taken from them without due process of law. Mr. Chairman, common decency requires us to examine the public accounts of the Government and see what crimes against the public welfare have been committed. "What is needed here is a return to the Constitution of these United States. "The old struggle that was fought out here in Jackson's time must be fought out over again. The independent United States Treasury should be reestablished and the Government should keep its own money under lock and key in the building the people provided for that purpose. "Asset currency, the devise of the swindler, should be done away with. The Fed should be abolished and the State boundaries should be respected. Bank reserves should be kept within the boundaries of the States whose people own them, and this reserve money of the people should be protected so that the International Bankers and acceptance Bankers and discount dealers cannot draw it away from them.

"The Fed should be repealed, and the Fed Banks, having violated their charters, should be liquidated immediately. Faithless Government officials who have violated their oaths of office should be impeached and brought to trial. "Unless this is done by us, I predict, that the American people, outraged, pillaged, insulted and betrayed as they are in their own land, will rise in their wrath, and will sweep the money changers out of the temple. "Mr. Chairman, the United States is bankrupt: It has been bankrupted by the corrupt and dishonest Fed. It has repudiated its debts to its own citizens. Its chief foreign creditor is Great Britain, and a British bailiff has been at the White House and the British Agents are in the United States Treasury making inventory arranging terms of liquidations!

Great Britain, Partner in Blackmail

"Mr. Chairman, the Fed has offered to collect the British claims in full from the American public by trickery and corruption, if Great Britain will help to conceal its crimes. The British are shielding their agents, the Fed, because they do not wish that system of robbery to be destroyed here. They wish it to continue for their benefit! By means of it, Great Britain has become the financial mistress of the World. She has regained the position she occupied before the World War. "For several years she has been a silent partner in the business of the Fed. Under threat of blackmail, or by their bribery, or by their native treachery to the people of the United States, the officials in charge of the Fed unwisely gave Great Britain immense gold loans running into hundreds of millions of dollars. They did this against the law! Those gold loans were not single transactions. They gave Great Britain a borrowing power in the United States of billions. She squeezed billions out of this Country by means of her control of the Fed. "As soon as the Hoover Moratorium was announced, Great Britain moved to consolidate her gains. After the treacherous signing away of American rights at the 7-power conference at London in July, 1931, which put the Fed under the control of the Bank of International Settlements, Great Britain began to tighten the hangman's noose around the neck of the United States. "She abandoned the gold standard and embarked on a campaign of buying up the claims of foreigners against the Fed in all parts of the World. She has now sent her bailiff, Ramsey MacDonald, here to get her war debt to this country canceled. But she has a club in her hands! She has title to the gambling debts which the corrupt and dishonest Fed incurred abroad. "Ramsey MacDonald, the labor party deserter, has come here to compel the President to sign on the dotted line, and that is what Roosevelt is about to do! Roosevelt will endeavor to conceal the nature of his action from the American people. But he will obey the International Bankers and transfer the war debt that Great Britain should pay to the American people, to the shoulders of the American taxpayers.

"Mr. Chairman, the bank holiday in the several States was brought about by the corrupt and dishonest Fed. These institutions manipulated money and credit, and caused the States to order bank holidays. "These holidays were frame-ups!" They were dress rehearsals for the national bank holiday which Franklin D. Roosevelt promised Sir Ramsey MacDonald that he would declare. "There was no national emergency here when Franklin D. Roosevelt took office excepting the bankruptcy of the Fed- a bankruptcy which has been going on under cover for several years and which has been concealed from the people so that the people would continue to permit their bank deposits and their bank reserves and their gold and the funds of the United States Treasury to be impounded in these bankrupt institutions. "Under cover, the predatory International Bankers have been stealthily transferring the burden of the Fed debts to the people's Treasury and to the people themselves. They the farms and the homes of the United States to pay for their thievery! That is the only national emergency that there has been here since the depression began. "The week before the bank holiday was declared in New York State, the deposits in the New York savings banks were greater than the withdrawals. There were no runs on New York Banks. There was no need of a bank holiday in New York, or of a national holiday.

Roosevelt and the International BankLords

"Roosevelt did what the International Bankers ordered him to do! "Do not deceive yourself, Mr. Chairman, or permit yourself to be deceived by others into the belief that Roosevelt's dictatorship is in any way intended to benefit the people of the United States: he is preparing to sign on the dotted line! "He is preparing to cancel the war debts by fraud! "He is preparing to internationalize this Country and to destroy our Constitution itself in order to keep the Fed intact as a money institution for foreigners. "Mr. Chairman, I see no reason why citizens of the United States should be terrorized into surrendering their property to the International Bankers who own and control the Fed. The statement that gold would be taken from its lawful owners if they did not voluntarily surrender it, to private interests, show that there is an anarchist in our Government. "The statement that it is necessary for the people to give their gold- the only real money- to the banks in order to protect the currency, is a statement of calculated dishonesty." By his unlawful usurpation of power on the night of March 5, 1933, and by his proclamation, which in my opinion was in violation of the Constitution of the United States, Roosevelt divorced the currency of the United States from gold, and the United States currency is no longer protected by gold. It is therefore sheer dishonesty to say that the people's gold is needed to protect the currency. "Roosevelt ordered the people to give their gold to private interests- that is, to banks, and he took control of the banks so that all the gold and gold values in them, or given into them, might be handed over to the predatory International Bankers who own and control the Fed.

"Roosevelt cast his lot with the usurers. "He agreed to save the corrupt and dishonest at the expense of the people of the United States. "He took advantage of the people's confusion and weariness and spread the dragnet over the United States to capture everything of value that was left in it. He made a great haul for the International Bankers. "The Prime Minister of England came here for money! He came here to collect cash! "He came here with Fed Currency

and other claims against the Fed which England had bought up in all parts of the World. And he has presented them for redemption in gold. "Mr. Chairman, I am in favor of compelling the Fed to pay their own debts. I see no reason why the general public should be forced to pay the gambling debts of the International Bankers."

Roosevelt Seizes the Gold

"By his action in closing the banks of the United States, Roosevelt seized the gold value of forty billions or more of bank deposits in the United States banks. Those deposits were deposits of gold values. By his action he has rendered them payable to the depositors in paper only, if payable at all, and the paper money he proposes to pay out to bank depositors and to the people generally in lieu of their hard earned gold values in itself, and being based on nothing into which the people can convert it the said paper money is of negligible value altogether. "It is the money of slaves, not of free men. If the people of the United States permit it to be imposed upon them at the will of their credit masters, the next step in their downward progress will be their acceptance of orders on company stores for what they eat and wear. Their case will be similar to that of starving coal miners. They, too, will be paid with orders on Company stores for food and clothing, both of indifferent quality and be forced to live in Company-owned houses from which they may be evicted at the drop of a hat. More of them will be forced into conscript labor camps under supervision. "At noon on the 4th of March, 1933, FDR with his hand on the Bible, took an oath to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the U.S. At midnight on the 5th of March, 1933, he confiscated the property of American citizens. He took the currency of the United States standard of value. He repudiated the internal debt of the Government to its own citizens. He destroyed the value of the American dollar. He released, or endeavored to release, the Fed from their contractual liability to redeem Fed currency in gold or lawful money on parity with gold. He depreciated the value of the national currency. "The people of the U.S. are now using unredeemable paper slips for money. The Treasury cannot redeem that paper in gold or silver. The gold and silver of the Treasury has unlawfully been given to the corrupt and dishonest Fed. And the Administration has since had the effrontery to raid the country for more gold for the private interests by telling our patriotic citizens that their gold is needed to protect the currency.

"It is not being used to protect the currency! It is being used to protect the corrupt and dishonest Fed. "The directors of these institutions have committed criminal offense against the United States Government, including the offense of making false entries on their books, and the still more serious offense of unlawfully abstracting funds from the United States Treasury! "Roosevelt's gold raid is intended to help them out of the pit they dug for themselves when they gambled away the wealth and savings of the American people. The International Bankers set up a dictatorship here because they wanted a dictator who would protect them. They wanted a dictator who would protect them. They wanted a dictator who would issue a proclamation giving the Fed an absolute and unconditional release from their special currency in gold, or lawful money of any Fed Bank. "Has Roosevelt relieved any other class of debtors in this country from the necessity of paying their debts? Has he made a proclamation telling the farmers that they need not pay their mortgages? Has he made a proclamation to the effect that mothers of starving children need not pay their milk bills? Has he made a proclamation relieving householders from the necessity of paying rent? "Not he! He has issued one kind of proclamation only, and that is a proclamation to relieve international Bankers and the foreign debtors of the United States Government. "Mr. Chairman, the gold in the banks of this country belongs to the American people who have paper money contracts for it in the form of national currency. If the Fed cannot keep their contracts with United States citizens to redeem their paper money in gold, or lawful money, then the Fed must be taken over by the United States Government and their officers must be put on trial. "There must be a day of reckoning. If the Fed have looted the Treasury so that the Treasury cannot redeem the United States currency for which it is liable in gold, then the Fed must be driven out of the Treasury. "Mr. Chairman, a gold certificate is a warehouse receipt for gold in the Treasury, and the man who has a gold certificate is the actual owner of a corresponding amount of gold stacked in the Treasury subject to his order.

"Now comes Roosevelt who seeks to render the money of the United States worthless by unlawfully declaring that it may No Longer be converted into gold at the will of the holder. "Roosevelt's next haul for the International Bankers was the reduction in the pay of all Federal employees. "Next in order are the veterans of all wars, many of whom are aged and inform, and other sick and disabled. These men had their lives adjusted for them by acts of Congress determining the amounts of the pensions, and, while it is meant that every citizen should sacrifice himself for the good of the United States, I see no reason why those poor people, these aged Civil War Veterans and war widows and half-starved veterans of the World War, should be compelled to give up their pensions for the financial benefit of the International vultures who have looted the Treasury, bankrupted the country and traitorously delivered the United States to a foreign foe. "There are many ways of raising revenue that are better than that barbaric act of injustice. "Why not collect from the Fed the amount they owe the U.S. Treasury in interest on all the Fed currency they have taken from the Government? That would put billions of dollars into the U.S. Treasury. "If FDR is as honest as he pretends to be, he will have that done immediately. And in addition, why not compel the Fed to disclose their profits and to pay the Government its share? "Until this is done, it is rank dishonesty to talk of maintaining the credit of the U.S. Government. "My own salary as a member of Congress has been reduced, and while I am willing to give my part of it that has been taken away from me to the U.S. Government, I regret that the U.S. has suffered itself to be brought so low by the vultures and crooks who are operating the roulette wheels and faro tables in the Fed, that is now obliged to throw itself on the mercy of its legislators and charwomen, its clerks, and its poor pensioners and to take money out of our pockets to make good the defalcations of the International Bankers who were placed in control of the Treasury and given the monopoly of U.S. Currency by the misbegotten Fed. "I am well aware that the International Bankers who drive up to the door of the United States Treasury in their limousines, look down with scorn

upon members of Congress because we work for so little, while they draw millions a year. The difference is that we earn, or try to earn, what we get- and they steal the greater part of their takings.

Enemies of the People They Rob

"I do not like to see vivisections performed on human beings. I do not like to see the American people used for experimental purposes by the credit masters of the United States. They predicted among themselves that they would be able to produce a condition here in which American citizens would be completely humbled and left starving and penniless in the streets. "The fact that they made that assertion while they were fomenting their conspiracy against the United States that they like to see a human being, especially an American, stumbling from hunger when he walks. "Something should be done about it, they say. Five-cent meals, or something! "But FDR will not permit the House of Representatives to investigate the condition of the Fed. FDR will not do that. He has certain International Bankers to serve. They not look to him as the man Higher Up who will protect them from the just wrath of an outraged people. "The International Bankers have always hated our pensioners. A man with a small pension is a ward of the Government. He is not dependent upon them for a salary or wages. They cannot control him. They do not like him. It gave them great pleasure, therefore, to slash the veterans. "But FDR will never do anything to embarrass his financial supporters. He will cover up the crimes of the Fed. "Before he was elected, Mr. Roosevelt advocated a return to the earlier practices of the Fed, thus admitting its corruptness. The Democratic platform advocated a change in the personnel of the Fed. These were campaign bait. As a prominent Democrat lately remarked to me; "There is no new deal. The same old crowd is in control." "The claims of foreign creditors of the Fed have no validity in law. The foreign creditors were the receivers- and the willing receivers- of stolen goods! They have received through their banking fences immense amounts of currency, and that currency was unlawfully taken from the United States Treasury by the Fed. "England discovered the irregularities of the Fed quite early in its operations and through fear, apparently, the Fed have for years suffered themselves to be blackmailed and dragooning England to share in the business of the Fed. "The Fed have unlawfully taken many millions of dollars of the public credit of the United States and have given it to foreign sellers on the security of the Debt paper of foreign buyers in purely foreign transactions, and when the foreign buyers refused to meet their obligations and the Fed saw no honest way of getting the stolen goods back into their possession, they decided by control of the executive to make the American people pay their losses!

Conspiracy of War Debts

"They likewise entered into a conspiracy to deprive the people of the U.S. of their title to the war debts and not being able to do that in the way they intended, they are now engaged in an effort to debase the American dollar so that foreign governments will have their debts to this country cut in two, and then by means of other vicious underhanded arrangements, they propose to remit the remainder. "So far as the U.S. is concerned, the gambling counters have no legal standing. The U.S. Treasury cannot be compelled to make good the gambling ventures of the corrupt and dishonest Fed. Still less should the bank deposits of the U.S. be used for that purpose. Still less should the national currency have been made irredeemable in gold so that the gold which was massed and stored to redeem the currency for American citizens may be used to pay the gambling debts of the Fed for England's benefit. "The American people should have their gold in their own possession where it cannot be held under secret agreement for any foreign control bank, or World Bank, or foreign nation. Our own citizens have the prior claim to it. The paper [money men] have in their possession deserves redemption far more than U.S. currency and credit which was stolen from the U.S. Treasury and bootlegged abroad. "Why should the foreigners be made preferred creditors of the bankrupt U.S.? Why should the U.S. be treated as bankrupt at all? This Government has immense sums due it from the Fed. The directors of these institutions are men of great wealth. Why should the guilty escape the consequences of their misdeeds? Why should the people of these U.S. surrender the value of their gold bank deposits to pay off the gambling debts of these Bankers? Why should Roosevelt promise foreigners that the U.S. will play the part of a good neighbor, 'meeting its obligations'? "Let the Fed meet their own obligations. "Every member of the Fed should be compelled to disgorge, and every acceptance banker and every discount corporation which has made illegal profits by means of public credit unlawfully bootlegged out of the U.S. Treasury and hired out by the crooks and vultures of the Fed should be compelled to disgorge.

Federal Reserve Pays No Taxes & Preferred Treatment for Foreigners BankLords

"Gambling debts due to foreign receivers of stolen goods should not be paid by sacrificing our title to our war debts, the assets of the U.S. Treasury- which belong to all the people of the U.S. and which it is our duty to preserve inviolate in the people's treasury. "The U.S. Treasury cannot be made liable for them. The Fed currency must be redeemed by the Fed banks or else these Fed banks must be liquidated. "We know from assertions made here by the Hon. John N. Garner, Vice-President of the U.S. that there is a condition in the [United States such] would cause American citizens, if they knew what it was, to lose all confidence in their government. "That is a condition that Roosevelt will not have investigated. He has brought with him from Wall Street, James Warburg, the son of Paul M. Warburg. Mr. Warburg, alien born, and the son of an alien who did not become naturalized here until several years after this Warburg's birth, is a son of a former partner of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., a grandson of another partner, a nephew of a former partner, and a nephew of a present partner. "He holds no office in our Government, but I am told that he is in daily attendance at the Treasury, and that he has private quarters there! In other words, Mr. Chairman,

seems to place an embargo on shipments of gold permits the Secretary of the Treasury, a former director of the corrupt, to issue licenses at his discretion for the export of gold coin, or bullion, earmarked or held in trust for a recognized foreign government or foreign central bank for international settlement. Now, Mr. Chairman, if gold held in trust for those foreign institutions may be sent to them, I see no reason why gold held in trust for American as evidenced by their gold certificates and other currency issued by the U.S. Government should not be paid to them. "I think that American citizens should be entitled to treatment at least as good as that which the person is extending to foreign governments, foreign central banks, and the bank of International Settlements. I think a veteran of the World war, with a \$20.00 gold certificate, is at least as much entitled to receive his own gold for it, as any international banker in the city of New York or London.

"By the terms of this executive order, gold may be exported if it is actually required, for the fulfillment of any contract entered into prior to the date of this order by an applicant who, in obedience to the executive order of April 5, 1933, has delivered gold coin, gold bullion, or gold certificates. "This means that gold may be exported to pay the obligations abroad of the Fed which were incurred prior to the date of the order, namely, April 20, 1933. "If a European Bank should send 100,000,000 dollars in Fed currency to a bank in this country for redemption, that bank could easily ship gold to Europe in exchange for that currency. Such Fed currency would represent "contracts" entered into prior to the date of the order. If the Bank of International Settlements or any other foreign bank holding any of the present gambling debt paper of the Fed should draw a draft for the settlement of such obligation, gold would be shipped to them because the debt contract would have been entered into prior to the date of order.

Charging the Criminals with the Crimes

"Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of constitutional privilege. "Whereas, I charge. . .Eugene Meyer, Roy A. Young, Edmund Platt, Eugene B. Black, Adolph Casper Miller, Charles S. Hamlin, George R. James, Andrew W. Mellon, Ogden L. Mills, William H. Woo W. Poole, J.F.T. O'Connor, members of the Federal Reserve Board; F. H. Curtis, J.H. Chane, R.L. Austin, George De Camp, L.B. Williams, W.W. Hoxton, Oscar Newton, E.M. Stevens, J.S. Wood, J.N. Payton, M.L. McClure, C.C. Walsh, Isaac B. Newton, Federal Reserve Agents, jointly and severally, with violations of the Constitution and laws of the United States, and whereas I charge them with having taken funds from the U.S Treasury which were not appropriated by the Congress of the United States, and I charge them with having unlawfully taken over \$80,000,000,000 from the U.S. Government in the year 1928, the said unlawful taking consisting of the unlawful creation of claims against the U.S. Treasury to the extent of over \$80,000,000,000 in the year 1928; and I charge them with similar thefts committed in 1929, 1930, 1931, 1932 and 1933, and in years previous to 1928, amounting to billions of dollars; and

"Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally with having unlawfully created claims against the U.S. Treasury by unlawfully placing U.S. Government credit in specific amounts to the credit of foreign governments and foreign central banks of issue; private interests and commercial and private banks of the U.S. and foreign countries, and branches of foreign banks doing business in the U.S., to the extent of billions of dollars; and with having made unlawful contracts in the name of the U.S. Government and the U.S. Treasury; and with having made false entries on books of account; and "Whereas I charge them jointly and severally, with having taken Fed Notes from the U.S. Treasury and with having put Fed Notes into circulation without obeying the mandatory provision of the Fed Act which requires the Fed Board to fix an interest rate on all issues of Fed Notes supplied to Fed Banks, the interest resulting therefrom to be paid by the Fed Banks to the government of the U.S. for the use of the Fed Notes, and I charge them of having defrauded the U.S. Government and the people of the U.S. of billions of dollars by the commission of this crime, and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having purchased U.S. Government securities with U.S. Government credit unlawfully taken and with having sold the said U.S. Government securities back to the people of the U.S. for gold or gold values and with having again purchased U.S. Government securities with U.S. Government credit unlawfully taken and with having again sold the said U.S. Government security for gold or gold values, and I charge them with having defrauded the U.S. Government and the people of the U.S. by this rotary process; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having unlawfully negotiated U.S. Government securities, upon which the Government liability was extinguished, as collateral security for Fed Notes and with having substituted such securities for gold which was being held as collateral security for Fed Notes, and with having by the process defrauded the U.S. Government and the people of the U.S., and I charge them with the theft of all the gold and currency they obtained by this process; and

"Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having unlawfully issued Fed currency on false, worthless and fictitious acceptances and other circulating evidence of debt, and with having made unlawful advances of Fed currency, and with having unlawfully permitted renewals of acceptances and renewals of other circulating evidences of debt, and with having permitted acceptance Bankers and discount dealer corporations and other private Bankers to violate the banking laws of the U.S.; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having conspired to have evidences of debt to the extent of \$1,000,000,000 artificially created at the end of February, 1933, and early in March 1933, and with having made unlawful issues and advances of Fed currency on the security of said artificially created evidences of debt for a sinister purpose, and with having assisted in the execution of said sinister purpose; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having brought about the repudiation of the currency obligations of the Fed Banks to the people of the U.S. and with having conspired to obtain a release for the Fed Board and the Fed Banks from their contractual liability to redeem all Fed currency in gold or lawful money at the Fed Bank

and with having defrauded the holders of Fed currency, and with having conspired to have the debts and losses of the Fed Board and the Fed Banks unlawfully transferred to the Government and the people of the U.S., and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having unlawfully substituted Fed currency and other irredeemable paper currency for gold in the hands of the people after the decision to repudiate the Fed currency and the national currency was made known to them, and with thus having obtained money under false pretenses; and

"Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having brought about a repudiation of the notes of the U.S. in order that the gold value of the said currency might be given to private interests, foreign governments, foreign central banks of issues, and the Bank of International Settlements, and the people of the U.S. to be left without gold or lawful money and with no currency other than a paper currency irredeemable in gold, and I charge them with having done this for the benefit of private interests, foreign governments, foreign central banks of issue, and the bank of International Settlements; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with conniving with the Edge Law banks, and other Edge Law institutions, accepting banks, and discount corporations, foreign central banks of issue, foreign commercial banks, foreign corporations, and foreign individuals with funds unlawfully taken from the U.S. Treasury; and I charge them with having unlawfully permitted and made possible 'new financing' for foreigners at the expense of the U.S. Treasury to the extent of billions of dollars and with having unlawfully permitted and made possible the bringing into the United States of immense quantities of foreign securities, created in foreign countries for export to the U.S. and with having unlawfully permitted the said foreign securities to be imported into the U.S. instead of gold, which was lawfully due to the U.S. on trade balances and otherwise, and with having lawfully permitted and facilitated the sale of the said foreign securities in the U.S., and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having unlawfully exported U.S. coins and currency for a sinister purpose, and with having deprived the people of the U.S. of their lawful medium of exchange, and I charge them with having arbitrarily and unlawfully reduced the amount of money and currency in circulation in the U.S. to the lowest rate per capita in the history of the Government, so that the great mass of the people have been left without a sufficient medium of exchange, and I charge them with concealment and evasion in refusing to make known the amount of U.S. money in coins and paper currency exported and the amount remaining in the U.S. as a result of which refusal the Congress of the U.S. is unable to ascertain where the U.S. coins and issues of currency are at the present time, and what amount of U.S. currency is now held abroad; and

"Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having arbitrarily and unlawfully raised and lowered the rates of money and with having arbitrarily increased and diminished the volume of currency in circulation for the benefit of private interests at the expense of the Government and the people of the U.S. and with having unlawfully manipulated money rates, wages, salaries and property values both real and personal, in the U.S. by unlawful operations in the open discount market and by resale and repurchase agreements unsanctioned by law, and "Whereas I charge them jointly and severally, with having brought about the decline in prices on the New York Stock Exchange and other exchanges in October, 1929, by unlawful manipulation of money rates and the volume of U.S. money and currency in circulation: by theft of funds from the U.S. Treasury by gambling in acceptances and U.S. Government securities; by service rendered to foreign and domestic speculators and politicians, and by unlawful sale of U.S. gold reserves abroad, and "Whereas the unconstitutional inflation law imbedded in the so-called Farm Relief Act by which the Fed Banks are given permission to buy U.S. Government securities to the extent of \$3,000,000,000 and to draw forth currency from the people's Treasury to the extent of \$3,000,000,000 is likely to result in connivance on the part of said accused with others in the purchase by the Fed of the U.S. Government securities to the extent of \$3,000,000,000 with U.S. Government's own credit unlawfully taken, it being obvious that the Fed do not intend to pay anything of value to the U.S. Government for the said U.S. Government securities no provision for payment in gold or lawful money appearing in the so-called Farm Relief bill- and the U.S. Government will thus be placed in a position of conferring a gift of \$3,000,000,000 in the U.S. Government securities on the Fed to enable them to pay more on their bad debts to foreign governments, foreign central banks of issue, private interests, and private and commercial banks, both foreign and domestic, and the Bank of International Settlements, and

"Whereas the U.S. Government will thus go into debt to the extent of \$3,000,000,000 and will then have an additional claim of \$3,000,000,000 in currency unlawfully created against it and whereas no private interest should be permitted to buy U.S. Government securities with the Government's own credit unlawfully taken and whereas currency should not be issued for the benefit of said private interest or any interests on U.S. Government securities so acquired, and whereas it has been publicly stated and not denied that the inflation amendment of the Farm Relief Act is the matter of benefit which was secured by Ramsey MacDonald, the Prime Minister of Great Britain, upon the occasion of his latest visit to the U.S. Treasury, and whereas there is grave danger that the accused will employ the provision creating U.S. Government securities to the extent of \$3,000,000,000 and three millions in currency to be issuable thereupon for the benefit of themselves and their foreign principals, and that they will convert the currency so obtained to the uses of Great Britain by secret arrangements with the Bank of England of which they are the agents, and for which they maintain an account and perform services at the expense of the U.S. Treasury, and that they will likewise confer benefits upon the Bank of International Settlements for which they maintain an account and perform services at the expense of the U.S. Treasury; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having concealed the insolvency of the Fed and with having failed to report the insolvency of the Fed to the Congress and with having conspired to have the said insolvent institutions continue in operation, and with having permitted the said insolvent institutions to receive U.S. Government funds and other deposits, and with having permitted them to exercise control over the gold reserves of the U.S. and with having permitted them to transfer upward of \$100,000,000,000 of their debts and losses to the general public and the Government of the U.S., and with having

permitted foreign debts of the Fed to be paid with the property, the savings, the wages, and the salaries of the people of the U.S. and with the farms and the homes of the American people, and whereas I charge them with forcing the bad debts of the Fed upon the general public covertly and dishonestly and with taking the general wealth and savings of the people of the U.S. under false pretenses, to pay the debts of the Fed to foreigners; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with violations of the Fed Act and other laws; with maladministration of the h evasions of the Fed Law and other laws; and with having unlawfully failed to report violations of law on the part of the Fed Banks which, if known, would have caused the Fed Banks to lose their charters, and

"Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with failure to protect and maintain the gold reserves and the gold stock and gold coinage of the U.S. and with having sold the gold reserves of the U.S to foreign Governments, foreign central banks of issue, foreign commercial and private banks, and other foreign institutions and individuals at a profit to themselves, and I charge them with having sold gold reserves of the U.S. so that between 1924 and 1928 the U.S. gained no gold on net account but suffered a decline in its percentage of central gold reserves from the 45.9 percent in 1924 to 37.5 percent in 1928 notwithstanding the fact that the U.S. had a favorable balance of trade throughout that period, and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having conspired to concentrate U.S. Government securities and thus the national debt of the U.S. in the hands of foreigners and international money lenders and with having conspired to transfer to foreigners and international money lenders title to and control of the financial resources of the U.S.; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having fictitiously paid installments on the national debt with Government credit unlawfully taken; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with the loss of the U.S. Government funds entrusted to their care; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having destroyed independent banks in the U.S. and with having thereby caused losses amounting to billions of dollars to the said banks, and to the general public of the U.S., and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with the failure to furnish true reports of the business operations and the true conditions of the Fed to the Congress and the people, and having furnished false and misleading reports to the congress of the U.S., and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having published false and misleading propaganda intended to deceive the American people and to cause the U.S. to lose its independence; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with unlawfully allowing Great Britain to share in the profits of the Fed at the expense of the Government and the people of the U.S.; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having entered into secret agreements and illegal transactions with Montague Norman, Governor of the Bank of England; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with swindling the U.S. Treasury and the people of the U.S. in pretending to have received payment from Great Britain of the amount due on the British ware debt to the U.S. in December, 1932; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having conspired with their foreign principals and others to defraud the U.S. Government and to prevent the people of the U.S. from receiving payment of the war debts due to the U.S. from foreign nations; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with having robbed the U.S Government and the people of the U.S. by their theft and sale of the gold reserves of the U.S. and other unlawful transactions created a deficit in the U.S. Treasury, which has necessitated to a large extent the destruction of our national defense and the reduction of the U.S. Army and the U.S. Navy and other branches of the national defense; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, of having reduced the U.S. from a first class power to one that is dependent, and with having reduced the U.S. from a rich and powerful nation to one that is internationally poor; and "Whereas I charge them, jointly and severally, with the crime of having treasonable conspired and acted against the peace and security of the U.S. and with having treasonable conspired to destroy constitutional Government in the U.S. "Resolve, That the Committee on the Judiciary is authorized and directed as a whole or by subcommittee, to investigate the official conduct of the Fed agents to determine whether, in the opinion of the said committee, they have been guilty of any high crime or misdemeanor which in the contemplation the Constitution requires the interposition of the Constitutional powers of the House. Such Committee shall report its finding to the House, together with such resolution or resolutions of impeachment or other recommendations as it deems proper.

"For the purpose of this resolution the Committee is authorized to sit and act during the present Congress at such times and places in the District of Columbia or elsewhere, whether or not the House is sitting, has recessed or has adjourned, to hold such clerical, stenographic, and other assistants, to require of such witnesses and the production of such books, papers, and documents, to take such testimony, to have such printing and binding done, and to make such expenditures as it deems necessary." After some discussion and upon the motion of Mr. Byrns, the resolution and charge was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Stealing America's Gold

Congressman McFadden Congressional Record, June 14, 1934: "At that time a man named Jacob Schiff came to this country as the agent of certain foreign money lenders. His mission was to get control of American railroads. This man was a Jew. He was the son of a rabbi. He was born in one of the Rothschilds's houses in Frankfurt, Germany. He was a small fellow with a pleasant face and, if I remember correctly, his eyes were blue. At an early age he set out from Frankfort to seek his fortune and went to Hamburg, Germany. At Hamburg he entered the Warburg banking establishment. The Warburgs of Hamburg are bankers of long standing, with branches in Amsterdam and Sweden..... Sometime before Schiff's arrival there was a firm of Jewish peddlers or merchants in Lafayette, Ind., by the name of Kuhn & Loeb. I think they were there about 1850. Probably they made money out of the new settlers who passed through Indiana on their way to the Northwest. This firm of Jews had finally moved to New York and had set themselves up as private bankers and had grown rich. Jacob Schiff married Teresa Loeb and became the head of

began to give orders to Presidents almost as a matter of course. He appears to have been a man who would stop at nothing to gain his own ends. I do not blame him for being a Jew. I blame him for being a trouble maker. Russia had a powerful enemy in this man, Jacob Schiff. The people of the United States were to believe that this enmity of his was caused by wrongs done to Russian Jews. I look ELSEWHERE for the motives which animated him. In the 1890's Schiff was the agent in this country of Ernest Cassell and other London money lenders. These money lenders were looking forward to a war between England and Russia and were making preparations for propaganda designed to support England in the United States. This country was then a debtor nation, paying a high yearly tribute to Schiff and his principals. Schiff accordingly took it upon himself to create a prejudice in the United States against Russia. He did this by presenting the supposed wrongs of the Russian Jews to the American public. Unpleasant tales began to appear in print. School children in this country were told the Jewish children were crippled for life by Russian soldiers wielding the knout. By unfair means a wedge was driven between Russia and the United States."

[Hard to believe that we could be so stupid as to fall for these deceptions again in the Jew's Russian Revolution, their First and Second World Wars, Chinese Revolution, Cold War, Drug War, holocaust, "stolen generation" of Australian, Aboriginal children and so-on, ad nauseum. Back to the Congressional Record].

"One of Schiff's schemes was a sort of wholesale importation of Russian Jews into the United States. He drew up divers and sundry regulations for the temporary transplantation of these Jewish emigrants. He would not, he said, have them enter this country through the port of New York, because they might like New York too well to leave it for the outposts he had selected for them. He said it would be best to have them come in at New Orleans and to have them stay there 2 weeks, "so that they could pick up a few words of English and get a little money" before setting off for what he called the "American hinterland." How they were to get the money he did not say. Aided by Schiff and his associates, many Russian Jews came to this country about that time and were naturalized here. A number of these naturalized Jews then returned to Russia. Upon their return to that country, they immediately claimed exemption there from the regulations of domicile imposed on Jews; that is, they claimed the right to live on purely Russian soil because they were American citizens, or "Yankee" Jews. Disorders occurred and were exploited in the American press. Riots and bombings and assassinations, for which SOMEBODY furnished money, took place. The perpetrators of these outrages appear to have been shielded by powerful financial interests. While this was going on in Russia, a shameless campaign of LYING was conducted here, and large sums of money were spent to make the general American public believe that the Jews in Russia were a simple and guileless folk ground down by the Russians and needing the protection of the great benefactor, of all the World -- Uncle Sam. In other words, we were DECEIVED. We were so DECEIVED that we allowed them to come in here and to take the bread out of the mouths of our own American citizens. I now come to the time when war was declared between Russia and Japan. This was bought about by a skillful use of Japan so that England would not have to fight Russia in India. It was cheaper and more convenient for England to have Japan fight Russia than to do it herself. As was to be expected, Schiff and his London associates FINANCED Japan. They drew immense quantities of money out of the United States for that purpose. The background for the loans they floated in this country had been skillfully prepared. The "sob stuff", of which Schiff was a master, had sunk into the hearts of sympathetic Americans. The loans were a great success. Millions of American dollars were sent to Japan by Schiff and his London associates. England's stranglehold on India was made secure. Russia was prevented from entering the Khyber Pass and falling on India from the northwest. Japan at the same time was built up and became a great World power, and as such is now facing us in the Pacific. All this was accomplished by control of the organs of American publicity, releases to the effect that Russian Jews and "Yankee" Jews were being persecuted in Russia, and by the selling of Japanese war bonds to American citizens. While the Russo-Japanese War was in progress President Theodore Roosevelt offered to act as peacemaker, and a conference between representatives of the belligerents was arranged to take place at Portsmouth, N.H. When the Portsmouth Conference took place, Jacob Schiff attended it and used such influence as he had with Theodore Roosevelt to win favors for Japan at the expense of Russia. His main object, then as always, was HUMILIATION of Russians, whose only crime was that they were Russians and NOT Jews. He endeavored to humiliate the Russians, but Count Witte, the Russian plenipotentiary, did not allow him to succeed in this attempt. Schiff's power and the power of his organized propaganda were well understood by Count Witte, however. Consequently he was not surprised when President Roosevelt, who was often deceived, (and also a Jew) twice asked him to have Russia treat Russian Jews who had become naturalized in the United States and who had thereafter returned to live in Russia with special consideration; that is, not as Jews but as Americans. Witte carried home a letter from Roosevelt embodying this plea. Mr. Speaker, the restrictions upon Jews in Russia at that time may or may not have been onerous. But onerous or not, before the Russians had time to change them, Schiff had the 80-year-old-treaty of friendship and good will between Russia and the United States denounced. Speaking of this matter, Count Witte says in his autobiography: "The Russians lost the friendship of the American people." Mr. Speaker, I cannot believe that those people -- the REAL Russians -- ever lost the true friendship of the American people. They were done away with to suit the ambitions of those who intend to be the financial masters of the World, and some of us were deceived into thinking that in some mysterious way they, themselves, were to blame. The chasm that suddenly opened between ourselves and our old friends and well-wishers in Russia was a chasm created by Schiff the vindictive in HIS inhuman greed and he created it in the NAME of the Jewish religion.... Mr. Speaker, the people of the United States should not permit financial interests or any other special interests to dictate the foreign policy of the United States Government. But in this connection history is now REPEATING itself. You have heard, no doubt, of the SO-CALLED persecutions of Jews in Germany.

Mr. Speaker, there is no REAL persecution of Jews in Germany. Hitler and the Warburgs, the Mendelssohns and the Rothschilds, appear to be on the best of terms. There is no real persecution of the Jews in Germany, but there has

been a PRETENDED persecution of them because there are 200,000 unwanted COMMUNISTIC Jews in Germany, largely Galician Jews (Ashkenazim or Khazars) who entered Germany after World War I, and Germany is very anxious to get rid of those particular Communistic Jews. The Germans wish to preserve the purity of their own blond racial stock. They are willing to keep rich Jews like Max Warburg and Franz Mendelssohn, whose families have lived in Germany so long that they have acquired some German national characteristics. But the Germans are not willing to keep the Galician Jews, the Upstarts. So a great show is put on, largely by German Jews THEMSELVES, in the hope that Uncle Sam will prove himself to be as FOOLISH as he was before and that we will allow those Galician and Communistic Jews to come in here. That is why Miss Perking has been PLACED in charge of the Department of Labor. She is there to LOWER the immigration bars. It is thought that, being a woman, she may disarm criticism. She is an old hand with the international Jewish bankers. If she were not, she would not be here in a JEWISH-CONTROLLED administration. (And in 1999 America STILL has a "[Jewish-controlled Administration](#)").

When the so-called "anti-Semitic campaign" DESIGNED for American consumption was launched in Germany, France was alarmed because she feared the Galician Jews might be dumped on French soil. French newspapers published articles concerning the MENACE, but now that France has been shown that the PURPOSE of the anti-Semitic campaign is to dump the 200,000 communistic Jews on the UNITED STATES she is worried no longer. "Ah", she says, "Oncle Sam, he is to be the goat. Very good." Mr. Speaker, I regard it as a pity that there are Americans who love to fawn upon the MONEY Jews and to flatter them. Some of these UNFORTUNATES are under obligations to Jewish money changers and DARE not cross them.... You have witnessed the UNLAWFUL seizure by Franklin D. Roosevelt of gold reserves and other values belonging to the people of the United States, the destruction of banks, the attempted whitewashing of the Federal Reserve Board and Federal Reserve banks, the CORRUPTION of which he ADMITTED in his campaign harangues; and you may have noticed that what was confiscated is NOT in the hands of the present constitutional Government but in the hands of the INTERNATIONAL BANKERS who are the NUCLEUS of the new government Roosevelt is seeking to establish here. Roosevelt's actions are NOT in accordance with the Constitution of the United States. They are in accordance with the plans of the Third International.

At one time Trotsky was a favorite with Jacob Schiff. During the war Trotsky edited "Novy Mir" and conducted mass meetings in New York. When he left the United States to return to Russia, he is said upon good authority to have traveled on Schiff's money and under Schiff's protection. He was captured by the British at Halifax and immediately, on advice from a highly placed personage, set free. Shortly after his arrival in Russia he was informed that he had credit in Sweden at the Swedish branch of the bank owned by Max Warburg, of Hamburg. This credit helped to finance the SEIZURE of the Russian revolution by the international Jewish bankers. It assisted them in SUBVERTING it to their own ends. At the present time the Soviet Union is in DEBT. From the date of Trotsky's return to Russia the course of Russian history has, indeed, been greatly affected by the operations of international bankers. They have acted through German and English institutions and have kept Russia in BONDAGE to themselves. Their relatives in Germany have drawn immense sums of money FROM the United States and have in turn FINANCED their agents in Russia at a handsome profit. The Soviet Government has been given United States Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve banks acting through the Chase Bank and the Guaranty Trust Co. and other banks in New York City. England, no less than Germany, has drawn money from us through the Federal Reserve banks and has re-lent it at high rates of interest to the Soviet Government or has used it to finance her sales to Soviet Russia and her engineering works within the Russian boundaries. The Dnieperstroy Dam was built with funds UNLAWFULLY taken from the United States Treasury by the corrupt and dishonest Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks.... Mr. Speaker, an immense amount of United States money has been used abroad in preparations for WAR and in the acquisition and the manufacture of WAR supplies. Germany is said to be part owner of a large poison-gas factory at Troitsk on Russian soil. China is almost completely Sovietized, and in the Asiatic interior huge stocks of munitions are said to be stored awaiting the day when the WAR LORDS of the United States will ship United States troops to Asia. Mr. Speaker, the United States should look before it leaps into another war, especially a war in Asia. It should decide whether it is worth while to join hands with Russia and China in a war against Japan. For myself, I say and I have said it often that the United States should remember George Washington's advice. It should mind its own business and stay home. It should not permit the Jewish international bankers to drive it into another war so that they and their Gentile FRONTS and SYCOPHANTS by way of Louis McHenry Howe, the GRAFTMASTER, may reap rich profits on everything an army needs from toilet kits to airplanes, submarines, tanks, gas masks, poison gas, ammunition, bayonets, guns, and other paraphernalia and instruments of destruction." Congressional Record, June 15, 1934.

More from Congressman McFadden: "The Congress of the United States must immediately throw the searchlight of investigation into this dark corner, or we are going to be swamped with political influences that are manufactured in foreign countries and that will lead us to the surrender of our heritage of living, just as has been done on former occasions. Just as we did, for example, when we entered into the Jay Treaty with England, which was ratified on June 24, 1795, whereby we needlessly surrendered our right to the freedom of the seas. We fought the War of 1812 to regain this right, but the same political influences prevented even a discussion of this subject at the treaty which terminated that war. President Wilson vowed to regain the freedom of the seas at the Treaty of Versailles; but did we regain it? Is the Jay Treaty still in force?".... "I stand here and say to you that I have studied these records, and not only did we adopt this monetary policy WITHOUT DEBATE, not only did we adopt it WITHOUT CONSIDERATION but we adopted it without even KNOWLEDGE of what we were doing! It was a piece of legislative TRICKERY; it was a piece of work in the committee that was silent and secretive. Even MEMBERS of the committee did NOT KNOW what was being done, according to their own declarations. The President and Members of the House did not know they were acting on such a measure. But, as I have said before, the shadow of the hand of England rests over this enactment"

Congressional Record, January 8, 1934.

Congressman Fiesinger: "You will recall the gentleman spoke about Professor Sprague, who was in the Treasury Department as adviser to the Treasury after he came as adviser for the Bank of England. He was also monetary adviser to the Economic Conference in London."..... "I was just going to remark that very thing, that the power to "coin and fix the value of money" is solely within the power of the Congress of the United States and it cannot be delegated to anybody else in the World."

Congressman McFadden: "Will the gentleman yield further?" **Congressman Fiesinger:** "I do." **Congressman McFadden:** "What does the gentleman say in regard to the delegation of that power to the Federal Reserve System?".... **Congressman Fiesinger:** "I say it is ILLEGAL. I say it is UNCONSTITUTIONAL, as far as it affects the value of basic money. Power to control credits may be in a different class." **Congressman McFadden:** "The gentleman recognizes that that was done, does he not?" **Congressman Fiesinger:** "Well, I think I recognize that fact; but it may be that Congress intended to delegate banking and credit control and not the control of the basic money values." **Congressman McFadden:** "The Federal Reserve System has the power to issue Federal Reserve notes, which circulate as money?" **Congressman Fiesinger:** "It has. Of course, they are promises to pay. They are credits or I O U's of the bank." **Congressman McFadden:** "And that power was delegated by Congress in the Federal Reserve Act." **Congressman Fiesinger:** "Yes, sir; with the intent to regulate the volume of credit." **Congressman McFadden:** "And is being pursued by them, which gives the Federal Reserve System CONTROL over the money and credit in the United States.".... **Congressman Mott:** "What does the gentleman say about the delegation by Congress to the President to fix the value of money, under the farm bill?" **Congressman Fiesinger:** "I think it was ILLEGAL, and the President did not want it. It was forced upon him. He never asked to have the amendment attached to the Farm Bill. It was forced upon him, and he is exercising the power because he was forced to exercise it; a power that he never wanted, and I say it is all illegal and unconstitutional.".... **Congressman McFadden:** "If the gentleman has been familiar with the activities of Dr. Sprague over the history of the Federal Reserve System, he well knows that Dr. Sprague has been in ALL of the conferences, practically, between the Bank of England, officers of the Federal Reserve bank in New York and other central banks, which have had for their purpose the dealing with national and international price levels. That was one of the functions that he was exercising as expert adviser of the Bank of England." **Congressman Fiesinger:** "Now, I understand that Dr. Sprague at the London conference was willing to peg the dollar to the British pound at \$3.50, and, if he had done that, the price levels in America would have been in the control of the Bank of England, and it would have been so low it would have wrecked our national economy." **Congressman Lamneck:** "Will the gentleman please insert at this point what Dr. Sprague said about who should control the price level?" **Congressman Fiesinger:** "I may say, I did not expect to answer that question, but Dr. Sprague, in a conference he had, stated he believed that the value of gold should be CONTROLLED by the British, because they were more competent, from banking experience, so to do" Congressional Record, January 8, 1934.

Congressman McFadden: "Why should the United States be buying gold and paying \$35 and ounce for it? Why Should the United States be making Great Britain a present of \$14.33 and ounce on the hundreds of millions of dollars of British gold that is being shipped to the United States through this process by favoring four London gold brokers? **Why should the United States set a price of \$35 and pay Great Britain an increase of \$14.33 on every ounce of gold?** This is interesting when you consider that three fourths of all the gold produced in the World is produced in the British Empire. Did we do this because Great Britain demanded it? Is it possible that this \$14.33 profit to Great Britain on every ounce of gold shipped into the United States is for settlement of a DEBT that the United States owes to Great Britain? Congressional Record, February 20, 1934. **Congressman McFadden:** "I am quoting from the President's message to Congress on this very measure. I quote: "That the title of all gold be in the Government. The total stock will serve as a permanent and fixed metallic reserve which will change in amount only as far as necessary for the settlement of international balances or as may be required by future agreement among nations of the World for a redistribution of the World stock of monetary gold.".... **Congressman McFadden:** "I say again what I have repeatedly said, that **there is a DEFINITE PLAN for the redistribution of the gold of THIS country and of the WORLD'S gold.** The plan has been known ever since the establishment of the Bank for International Settlements that through that medium, or one similar to it, eventually the redistribution of gold would take place" Congressional Record, January 20, 1934. **Congressman McFadden:** "The gentleman, of course, is aware of the fact that **the Council of the Federation of Churches of [Anti-]Christ is an offshoot of the Carnegie Foundation** which is operating in this country as a British-PROPAGANDA organization, tied up with all of the other subversive organizations which are trying to hold down proper preparedness in the United States. [Applause] Congressional Record, January 30, 1934.

Congressman Weideman: "So the paramount issue of today is this: Shall the Government of the United States be run for the benefit of the INTERNATIONAL BANKERS, or shall the CITIZENS of the United States be given the right to "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness"? Shall we replace the Statue of Liberty with the golden statue erected to the god of GREED? Shall we forget that the only time our Savior used force was when he drove the money changers from the temple? Let us reestablish the principle that we all believe in: That all men are entitled to a right to work, to own their own homes, to reap a just reward for their labors, and to enjoy nature's sunshine as God intended. We owe it to our children that we shall not depart and leave them in a condition of bondage and slavery to organized greed and gold. . ." Congressional Record, March 3, 1934.

Congressman Patman: "...A Federal Reserve bank has a great privilege. It has the right to issue a blanket mortgage

on all the property of all the people of this country. It is called a Federal Reserve note. For that privilege section 16 of the Act provides that when the Government prints a Federal Reserve note and guarantees to pay that note and delivers it to a Federal Reserve bank, that Federal Reserve bank shall pay -- it seems to be mandatory -- the rate of interest that is set by the Federal Reserve Board. THE LAW HAS NEVER BEEN PUT INTO EFFECT. The Federal Reserve Board sets the ZERO rate. Instead of CHARGING an interest rate which the law says they shall charge, they set NO rate at all. Therefore, for the use of this great Government credit -- These blanket mortgages that are issued against all the PROPERTY of all the people of this Nation and against the INCOMES of all the people of this Nation, they do not pay one penny. NOT ONE PENNY OF THE STACK OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS IS OWNED BY THE GOVERNMENT OR THE PEOPLE -- IT IS OWNED BY PRIVATE BANKS EXCLUSIVELY. Congressional Record June 14 1934.

The US is Still a British Colony

" . . . are not all States divided into military Districts? At first glance you may not think so. However, look at your District Courts, in your State. They are the enforcement arm of the admiralty law/kings law and legislation passed on a daily basis. As I said before, the voting Districts are also left over from the Reconstruction Acts. In every court room a military flag is flown, a war flag not the Title 4, flag of peace. Are you not required to obtain a license from the de facto government for every aspect of commerce, and the use of their military script/fiat money? Americans are taxed and controlled in the following ways, to name a few <http://www.freedomlaw.com/BRColony.html> :

1. Social Security number -- license to work.
2. Drivers license -- permission to conduct commerce and travel on the military roads.
3. Occupational license -- permission to perform a God given right.
4. State and local privilege license -- license to work in the State, county or city.
5. Marriage license -- permission for a right granted by God Almighty.
6. Hunting and Fishing license -- government taxing property of God Almighty, etc.etc.etc.

Every license or permit is a use tax and is financial slavery, you are controlled in every aspect of your life. All licenses came about after the Fourteenth Amendment and the military occupation, which we are now under. The reason all this has taken place in America is, to colonize the World for Britain. The United States has been the enforcement arm/cannon-fodder for Britain since the Civil War." (Anyone familiar with Senator Lyndon LaRouche will now understand what he MEANS. The Black Nobility and the international banksters by the aid of the lawyers whom Yeshua-Joshua constantly rebuked, have always controlled the USA. And because of material blessings, the citizens let down the bars, just like the churches. Lucifer's servants and devotees have the US imprisoned NOW. **Democracy in America ended over 100 years ago at the very latest. The Fourteenth Amendment was ratified in a criminal way in 1868. Americans should read it, it is your Bill of Sale into slavery.**

James Montgomery's research: "Now, this leads us up to 1933. Our country was recovering from a depression and now was declared bankrupt. I know you are saying. So What, the American people were never told about this? Public policy and National Security overruled the public right to know. Read the following Congressional quote:

Congressman Dies: "My investigation convinced me that during the last quarter of a century the average production of gold has been falling off considerably. The gold mines of the World are practically exhausted. **There is only about \$11,000,000,000 in gold in the World, with the United States owning a little more than four billions. We have more than \$100,000,000,000 in debts payable in gold of the present weight and fineness....**As a practical proposition these contracts cannot be collected in gold for the obvious reason that the gold supply of the entire World is not sufficient to make payment." Congressional Record, March 15, 1933.

Before 1933 all contracts with the government were payable in gold. Now I ask you? Who in their right mind would enter into contracts totaling One Hundred billion dollars in gold, when there was only eleven billion in gold in the whole World? We had about four billion. To keep from being hung by the American public they obeyed the banksters demands and turned over our country to them. They never came out and said we were in bankruptcy but, the fact remains, we are. In 1933 the gold of the whole country had to be turned in to the banksters, and all government contracts in gold were canceled. This is bankruptcy. **Congressman Trafficant:** "Mr. Speaker, we are here now in chapter 11. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any bankrupt entity in World history, the U.S. government" Congressional Redord, March 17, 1993.

The wealth of the nation including our land was turned over to the banksters. In return, the nations 100 billion dollar debt was forgiven. Remember, Yeshua-Joshua said "money is the root of all evil" The Congress of 1933 sold every American into slavery. Read the following Congressional record from **Congressman Patman:** "I want to show you where the people are being imposed upon by reason of the delegation of this tremendous power. I invite your attention to the fact that section 16 of the **Federal Reserve Act provides that whenever the Government of the United States issues and delivers money, Federal Reserve notes, which are based on the credit of the Nation -- they represent a mortgage upon your home and my home, and upon all the property of all the people of the Nation -- to the Federal Reserve agent, an interest charge shall be collected for the Government"** Congressional Record, March 13, 1933.

Congressman Long: "That is the equity of what we are about to do. Yes; you are going to close us down. Yes; you

have already closed us down, and have been doing it long before this year. Our President says that for 3 years we have been on the way to bankruptcy. We have been on the way to bankruptcy longer than 3 years. We have been on the way to bankruptcy ever since we began to allow the financial mastery of this country gradually to get into the hands of a little clique that has held it right up until they would send us to the grave" Congress March 11 1933.

What did Roosevelt do? Sealed our fate and our children's fate, but worst of all, he declared War on the American People, remember the War Powers Act, the Trading With the Enemy Act. He declared emergency powers with his authority being the War Powers Act, the Trading With the Enemy Act. The problem is he REDEFINED who the enemy was, read the following: (remember what I said about the Social Security Number being a license to work)? "The declared National Emergency of March 9, 1933 amended the War Powers Act to include the American PEOPLE as enemies: "In Title 1, Section 1 it says: The actions, regulations, rules, licenses, orders and proclamations heretofore or hereafter taken, promulgated, made, or issued by the President of the United States or the Secretary of the Treasury since March 4, 1933, pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed." "Section 2. Subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, (40 Stat. L. 411), as amended, is hereby amended to read as follows: emergency declared by the President, the President may, through any agency that he may designate, or otherwise, investigate, regulate, or prohibit, under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe, by means of licenses or otherwise, any transactions in foreign exchange, transfers of credit between or payments by banking institutions as defined by the President, and export, hoarding, melting, or earmarking of gold or silver coin or bullion or currency, BY ANY PERSON WITHIN THE UNITED STATES OR ANY PLACE SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION THEREOF." Here is the legal phrase "subject to the jurisdiction thereof" but at law this refers to alien enemy and also applies to Fourteenth Amendment citizens:"

Now, what do you think of this? The Department of Motor Vehicles was put under the direction and control of the Revenue Department by the Act of 1933, chapter 214 - S.B 238, thereby the primary concern is that of being revenue collectors. "AN ACT TO TRANSFER THE STATE HIGHWAY PATROL FROM THE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE...."

"Attacks on McFadden's Life Reported"

Before McFadden could bring impeachment proceeding against the Federal Reserve, he was poisoned. Commenting on Former Congressman Louis T. McFadden's "heart-failure sudden-death" on Oct. 3, 1936, after a "dose" of "intestinal flu," "Pelley's Weekly" of Oct. 14 said: Now that this sterling American patriot has made the Passing, it can be revealed that not long after his public utterance against the encroaching powers of Judah, it became known among his intimates that he had suffered two attacks against his life. The first attack came in the form of two revolver shots fired at him from ambush as he was alighting from a cab in front of one of the Capital hotels. Fortunately both shots missed him, the bullets burying themselves in the structure of the cab. "He became violently ill after partaking of food at a political banquet at Washington. His life was only saved from what was subsequently announced as a poisoning by the presence of a physician friend at the banquet, who at once procured a stomach pump and subjected the Congressman to emergency treatment." /s/ Robert Edward Edmondson (Publicist-Economist)

Gunther K. Russbacher 1991: Congressman Charles Lindbergh, Sr., the father of the famous aviator, was among those who fought the passage of the dark Act and later managed to raise an investigation into the cartel. His life was made extremely difficult as a direct result of crying for such investigation. Lindbergh had openly yelled his warning to Congress and to the American people. It was all to no avail. No one would hear his cry in the wilderness. It must be noted that Lindbergh's efforts to expose the plot were followed by those of Congressman Louis T. McFadden, who chaired the House Banking and Currency Committee for a ten year period. During his tenure, three attempts were made on his life. First, he was shot in Washington, D.C., then his food was poisoned. The third attempt was unfortunately successful. His mysterious death occurred while on a visit to New York City. The cause of death, as listed on the death certificate, was given as "heart failure", although more than enough evidence pointed to poisoning. It is my proffered opinion that Mr. McFadden was poisoned by members of the cartel. Without proper court orders demanding the exhumation and forensic pathology tests, we shall never know the true story.

President Andrew Jackson stated in reference to the Bankers at the state of his administration: "You are a den of vipers and thieves. I intend to rout you out, and by the Eternal God, I will rout you out."

[Fort Knox 1933 & FDR Steals US Citizens' Gold on Behalf of the BankLords who Bankrupted US!](#)

FDR knowingly sold out the American peoples' freedom to the International BankLords. The following address shows that FDR knew the evil that the Banksters represent: First Inaugural Address of Franklin D. Roosevelt, SATURDAY, MARCH 4, 1933:

"Yet our distress comes from no failure of substance. We are stricken by no plague of locusts. Compared with the perils which our forefathers conquered because they believed and were not afraid, we have still much to be thankful for. Nature still offers her bounty and human efforts have multiplied it. Plenty is at our doorstep, but a generous use of it languishes in the very sight of the supply. Primarily this is because the rulers of the exchange of mankind's goods have failed, through their own stubbornness and their own incompetence, have admitted their failure, and abdicated. Practices of the unscrupulous money changers stand indicted in the court of public opinion, rejected by the

hearts and minds of men. True they have tried, but their efforts have been cast in the pattern of an outworn tradition. Faced by failure of credit they have proposed only the lending of more money. Stripped of the lure of profit by which to induce our people to follow their false leadership, they have resorted to exhortations, pleading tearfully for restored confidence. They know only the rules of a generation of self-seekers. They have no vision, and when there is no vision the people perish. The money changers have fled from their high seats in the temple of our civilization. We may now restore that temple to the ancient truths. The measure of the restoration lies in the extent to which we apply social values more noble than mere monetary profit. Happiness lies not in the mere possession of money; it lies in the joy of achievement, in the thrill of creative effort. The joy and moral stimulation of work no longer must be forgotten in the mad chase of evanescent profits. These dark days will be worth all they cost us if they teach us that our true destiny is not to be ministered unto but to minister to ourselves and to our fellow men."

Unfortunately, these were crocodile tears from FDR. He knowingly (see more quotes from Roosevelt below showing that he knew about the BankLords' conspiracy to enslave us) and actually assisted in looting American's gold to give it to his Banksters masters, like his ancestor, Clinton Roosevelt. In 1829, a New York Illuminati meeting was addressed by a British Illuminist named Wright. He informed those present that Atheist groups were to be united with Russian Nihilist and other subversive groups into an international movement called "Communism" which would be used to foment wars. "Clinton Roosevelt (a direct ancestor of FDR),..." and others were appointed to raise funds which "... financed Karl Marx and Engels when they wrote 'Das Capital, and the 'Communist Manifesto, in Soho, England).

President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, November 23, 1933 in a letter to Colonel Edward Mandell House: "The real truth of the matter is, and you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the U.S. since the days of Andrew Jackson. History depicts Andrew Jackson as the last truly honorable and incorruptible American president."

President Franklin Delano Roosevelt speech in Philadelphia on June 27, 1936: "Out of this modern civilization, economic royalists carved new dynasties. New kingdoms were built upon concentration of control over material things. Through new uses of corporations, banks and securities, new machinery of industry and agriculture, of labor and capital - all undreamed of by the Fathers - the whole structure of modern life was impressed into this royal service....These economic royalists complain that we seek to overthrow the institutions of America. What they really complain of is that we seek to take away their power. Our allegiance to American institutions requires the overthrow of this kind of power. In vain they seek to hide behind the flag and the Constitution. In their blindness they forget what the flag and the Constitution stand for." THE PRIZE: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power, by Daniel Yergin (Simon and Schuster, 1991): "Roosevelt received him [British ambassador Lord Halifax] that very evening at the White House. Their discussion focused on the Middle East. Trying to allay Halifax's apprehension and irritation, Roosevelt showed the ambassador a rough sketch he had made of the Middle East. 'Persian oil,' he told the ambassador, 'is yours. We share the oil of Iraq and Kuwait. As for Saudi Arabian oil, it is ours.'"

When a government goes bankrupt, it loses its sovereignty. In 1933 the U.S. declared bankruptcy, as expressed in Roosevelt's Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111, and 6260, House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, confirmed in Perry v. U.S., (1935) 294 U.S. 330, 381; 79 L.ED. 912, also 31 USC 5112, 5119 and 12 USC 95a. The bankrupt U.S. went into receivership reorganized in favor of 115 creditors and new owners.

As we saw previously, in 1933 new President Franklin D. Roosevelt signed a bill forcing all the American people, to hand over all their gold at base rate. With the exception of rare coins. He disowned himself from the bill claiming to not have read it and his secretary of the treasury claimed this was "what the experts wanted". Bought at bargain basement price with money produced from nothing by the Federal Reserve, the gold was melted down and stacked in the newly built bullion depository called Fort Knox. Once collected in 1935 the price of gold was raised from \$20.66 up to \$35 per ounce, but only non American gold qualified to be sold. This meant those who had avoided the crash by investing in gold they had shipped to London could now nearly double their money while the rest of America starved. In 1933, Franklin D. Roosevelt cancelled (repudiated) the Redemption of Federal Reserve Notes in gold within these United States of America and forbade the private ownership of gold coins or bullion by Citizens, upon penalty of fine and/or imprisonment. So the ignorant "responsible", "law-abiding" Citizens turned in their lawful money to the Treasury, which probably used that money to pay off debts of the United States. However, Federal Reserve Notes were still Redeemable in gold outside these United States of America and the same Federal Reserve Notes were still Redeemable in silver upon demand within these United States of America. But that's not all folks. By the end of WWII Fort Knox did hold 70% of the World's gold, but over the years it was sold off to the European money changers while a public audit of Fort Knox reserves was repeatedly denied. Rumours spread about missing gold.



"Allegations of missing gold from our Fort Knox vaults are being widely discussed in European circles. But what is puzzling is that the Administration is not hastening to demonstrate conclusively that there is no cause for concern over our gold treasure - if indeed it is in a position to do so." **Edith Roosevelt**

Finally in 1981 President Ronald Reagan was convinced to have a look into Fort Knox with a view to re-introducing the Gold Standard. He appointed a group called The Gold Commission. They found that the US Treasury owned no gold at all. Reagan was shot March 30th

1981 (by a friend of the Bush dynasty) and the whole initiative collapsed. All the Fort Knox gold remaining is now being held as collateral by the Federal Reserve against the national debt. Using credits made from nothing. The Fed had robbed the largest treasure of gold on earth. By the way, all the silver and gold (REAL MONEY) from the U.S. and the Vatican is presently in Switzerland, guarded for the "Illuminati Bank of Rome" by the Swiss Guard. Switzerland has served as a meeting place for the Illuminati plotters since centuries. Most of it is owned by the IMF/World Bank.

Swiss Guard watches over the Vatican's god, I mean Gold!.

Note that when the central bank of Canada was created in 1935, it too was a privately owned corporation. Before 1935, Canadian banks used to issue their own bank notes and then since 1935, only the Bank of Canada issues currency. In 1938, all the private shares were bought by the Government of Canada and the Bank became a Crown corporation belonging to the federal government. Since that time, the Minister of Finance has held the entire share capital issued by the Bank but local banks still create money when the government and individuals borrow from them.

Congressman McFadden: "Why should the United States be buying gold and paying \$35 and ounce for it? Why Should the United States be making Great Britain a present of \$14.33 and ounce on the hundreds of millions of dollars of British gold that is being shipped to the United States through this process by favoring four London gold brokers? **Why should the United States set a price of \$35 and pay Great Britain an increase of \$14.33 on every ounce of gold?** This is interesting when you consider that three fourths of all the gold produced in the World is produced in the British Empire. Did we do this because Great Britain demanded it? Is it possible that this \$14.33 profit to Great Britain on every ounce of gold shipped into the United States is for settlement of a DEBT that the United States owes to Great Britain? Congressional Record, February 20, 1934. Congressman McFadden: "I am quoting from the President's message to Congress on this very measure. I quote: "That the title of all gold be in the Government. The total stock will serve as a permanent and fixed metallic reserve which will change in amount only as far as necessary for the settlement of international balances or as may be required by future agreement among nations of the World for a redistribution of the World stock of monetary gold.".... Congressman McFadden: "I say again what I have repeatedly said, that **there is a DEFINITE PLAN for the redistribution of the gold of THIS country and of the WORLD'S gold.** The plan has been known ever since the establishment of the Bank for International Settlements that through that medium, or one similar to it, eventually the redistribution of gold would take place" Congressional Record, January 20, 1934. Congressman McFadden: "The gentleman, of course, is aware of the fact that **the Council of the Federation of Churches of [Anti-]Christ is an offshoot of the Carnegie Foundation** which is operating in this country as a British-PROPAGANDA organization, tied up with all of the other subversive organizations which are trying to hold down proper preparedness in the United States. [Applause] Congressional Record, January 30, 1934.

Congressman Dies: "My investigation convinced me that during the last quarter of a century the average production of gold has been falling off considerably. The gold mines of the World are practically exhausted. **There is only about \$11,000,000,000 in gold in the World, with the United States owning a little more than four billions. We have more than \$100,000,000,000 in debts payable in gold of the present weight and fineness....**As a practical proposition these contracts cannot be collected in gold for the obvious reason that the gold supply of the entire World is not sufficient to make payment." Congressional Record, March 15, 1933.

Before 1933 all contracts with the government were payable in gold. Now I ask you? Who in their right mind would enter into contracts totaling One Hundred billion dollars in gold, when there was only eleven billion in gold in the whole World? We had about four billion. To keep from being hung by the American public they obeyed the banksters demands and turned over our country to them. They never came out and said we were in bankruptcy but, the fact remains, we are. In 1933 the gold of the whole country had to be turned in to the banksters, and all government contracts in gold were canceled! This is bankruptcy. **Congressman Trafficant:** "Mr. Speaker, we are here now in chapter 11. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any bankrupt entity in World history, the U.S. government" Congressional Record, March 17, 1993.

General Smedley Butler Reveals Bush & BankLords' Plot To Overthrow US Gov 1933

In 1933, Marine Corp Major General Smedley Butler was approached by a cabal of influential American bankers and industrialists working under the umbrella of the front group, American Liberty League. Their request of Butler: that he lead a 500,000 strong force of rogue veterans in a coup against FDR and the legal American government. The intent of this cabal, already supporting the efforts of Hitler and Mussolini in Europe, was to instill a government not unlike that of Hitler and Mussolini in the United States. Butler went along with the scheme until he was able to ascertain who the participants were. He then blew the whistle on this group before the House Committee on un-American Activities. For his efforts, Butler was ostracized and black-balled by the mainstream media; his testimony before the House Committee on un-American Activities was omitted from the record. The cabal was never brought to justice, but the coup was foiled.

Who were the American bankers and industrialists involved in this plot to overthrow the legal government of the United States? According to Wikipedia, while most of the funding came from the Du Pont family, participants included U.S. Steel, General Motors, General Foods, Standard Oil, Birdseye, Colgate, Heinz Foods, Chase National Bank, and Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company. And among the participants in this coup attempt was one Prescott Bush, father

of George Herbert Walker Bush, 41st president of the United States, grandfather of George W Bush, current president of the United States. Prescott Bush was not only instrumental in bringing Hitler to power in Germany, Mussolini to power in Italy, but was also plotting to overthrow the legal government of the United States and establish a fascist state here. Prescott Bush served as a Senator from Connecticut and was a partner in the prominent investment banking firm Brown Brothers Harriman.

It was from the lips of George Herbert Walker Bush that the American people heard the phrase, "New World Order." And America watched as GHW Bush passed the reins of power to his "good friend who is like a member of the family," William Jefferson Clinton, who then passed the reins on to George Walker Bush in what can only be termed a fraud-ridden election, re-elected for a second term in the same manner. Under the reign of George Walker Bush, we have watched him wage war on America, the American people, and American sovereignty; we have watched him institute executive order after executive order in his pursuit of absolute power, labeling himself "the decider" while the American legislative branch does absolutely nothing to curtail his abuse of power. His actions mirror those of Hitler in his quest for power in Germany.

And just like Hitler, Bush is using fear to keep the American people supporting his un-American activities, starting with the events of September 11, 2001. And every time Bush wants something more, another threat emerges and Congress and the American people, with few exceptions, fall in step. Yet the greatest threat to the American people, American sovereignty, the American way of life sits in the White House, not in Iraq, not in Afghanistan, not in the Middle East. Gary Hart December 6, 1999 at the Yale Center for International and Area Studies: <http://www.yale.edu/opa/v28.n16/story10.html>

"The great danger in the now relentless corrosion of [national] power is the re-emergence of the neo-feudal era in which loyalties and allegiances are commanded by powerful individuals and private interests. ... It is this danger that causes me to urge you the reconsideration -- indeed the resurrection -- of the classic Republican ideal, a modern, radically democratic republic that restores the common good, the common wealth, and most of all, the deep and real sense of civic virtue," Hart told his audience.

In his book JFK: The CIA, Vietnam, and the Plot to Assassinate John F. Kennedy, L. Fletcher Prouty maintains: The Kennedy "assassination has demonstrated that most of the major events of world significance are masterfully planned and orchestrated by an elite coterie of enormously powerful people who are not of one nation, one ethnic grouping, or one overridingly important business group. They are a power unto themselves for whom those others work. Neither is this power elite of recent origin. Its roots go deep into the past."

Carroll Quigley, Georgetown University history professor (deceased), in Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time, 1966, made this claim: "There does exist and has existed for a generation, an international Anglophile network which operates, to some extent, in the way the radical Right believes the Communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists, or any other groups, and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for 20 years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960s, to examine its papers and secret record. "In addition to these pragmatic goals, the powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of world economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups." pp. 950 and 324

In his 1913 book The New Freedom, President Woodrow Wilson stated: "Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

James Stewart Martin of the Department of Justice's investigative team in Europe after World War II in his book, All Honorable Men, states: "We had not been stopped in Germany by German business, we had been stopped in Germany by American business. The forces that stopped us had operated from the United States but had not operated in the open. We were not stopped by a law of Congress, by an Executive Order of the President, or even by a change of policy approved by the President . . . in short, whatever it was that had stopped us was not 'the government.' But it clearly had command of channels through which the government normally operates. The relative powerlessness of governments in the growing economic power is of course not new . . . national governments stood on the sidelines while bigger operators arranged the world's affairs."

Power Elite: "A group of men, similar in interest and outlook, shaping events from invulnerable positions behind the scenes." C. Wright Mills. The Power Elite

"Those who formally rule take their signals and commands not from the electorate as a body, but from a small group of men (plus a few women). . . . It exists even though that existence is stoutly denied. It is one of the secrets of the American social order. . . . A second secret is the fact that the existence of the Establishment -- the ruling class -- is not supposed to be discussed." Arthur S. Miller, George Washington University law professor (deceased)

Winston Churchill was quoted by wartime associates as making reference to the "High Cabal," the international power elite. The "High Cabal" includes:

- the American, British, and Dutch ruling elites:
- in the U.S. the cabal revolves around the Rockefeller, Brown, Harriman, and Morgan family dynasties
- the British royal family and the financial and political circles related to it
- the Dutch royal family and related circles
- the financial, military, and political leaders who kowtow to the "High Cabal"
- the Republican party and the corporations which bribe politicians in both parties
- those in the Democratic party who are conspiring with the Bush junta by saying nothing and agreeing to everything

For the first time in its history, American culture is in danger of being destroyed internally by a corrupt, criminal ruling cabal which is centered around the Rockefeller interests, which include elements from the Morgan, Brown, Rothschild, Du Pont, Harriman, Kuhn-Loeb, and other groupings as well. This junta took control of the political, financial, and cultural life of America in the first two decades of the twentieth century (see Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope). The Bush family, beginning with Prescott Bush, have served as satraps of the Rockefeller, Brown, and Harriman interests. President Bush is simply a puppet of this powerful cabal, and their schemes will be carried out by whatever next president comes to power unless Americans deflect them from this insane, murderous plot for global dominance.

1933 Headlines Were "Judea Declares War on Germany"

Let's analyze What Really Happened During the Holocaust, THE HISTORY THAT THE ZIONIST CABAL DON'T WANT YOU TO LEARN. It should not surprise us that the Zionist version of history and also of the WWII Holocaust is war propaganda and is just another of the multitude of lies spread by the Zionist Neocon Cabal apologists. The headlines in the news papers in 1933, before WW2, were: "Judea Declares War on Germany:". Here are a few quotes:

Daily Express headline, March 24, 1933: "Judea Declares War on Germany! Jews of all the World Unite! Boycott of German Goods! Mass Demonstrations!" - "The Israeli people around the World declare economic and financial war against Germany. Fourteen million Jews stand together as one man, to declare war against Germany. The Jewish wholesaler will forsake his firm, the banker his stock exchange, the merchant his commerce and the pauper his pitiful shed in order to join together in a holy war against Hitler's people."

Samuel Untermyer, in a Radio Broadcast on WABC, New York, August 6, 1933. Reported in the New York Times, August 7, 1933: "Each of you, Jew and Gentile alike, who has not already enlisted in this sacred war should do so now and here. It is not sufficient that you should buy no goods made in Germany. You must refuse to deal with any merchant or shopkeeper who sells any German-made goods or who patronises German ships or shipping.... we will undermine the Hitler regime and bring the German people to their senses by destroying their export trade on which their very existence depends."

"Joining with Samuel Untermyer in calling for a war against Germany, Bernard Baruch, at the same time, was promoting preparations for war against Germany. I emphasized that the defeat of Germany and Japan and their elimination from World trade would give Britain a tremendous opportunity to swell her foreign commerce in both volume and profit." - "**Baruch, The Public Years,**" by **Bernard M. Baruch, p.347**. Samuel Untermyer was a Jewish leader and close friend of presidents Wilson and Roosevelt. Bernard Baruch was a presidential adviser to Wilson, Roosevelt and Truman.

"This declaration called the war against Germany, which was now determined on, a 'holy war'. This war was to be carried out against Germany to its conclusion, to her destruction" (Diese Erklärung nannte den Krieg gegen Deutschland, der nun beschlossen sei, einen heiligen Krieg. Dieser Krieg müsse gegen Deutschland bis zu dessen Ende, bis zu dessen Vernichtung, geführt werden). - **Dr. Franz J. Scheidl**, Geschichte der Verfemung Deutschlands.

"War in Europe in 1934 was inevitable." - **H. Morgenthau, Secretary of the U.S. Treasury**, Hearst Press, September, 1933 (also quoted in "The Palestine Plot" by B. Jenson, p. 11).

"For months now the struggle against Germany is waged by each Jewish community, at each conference, in all our syndicates, and by each Jew all over the World. There is reason to believe that our part in this struggle has general value. We will trigger a spiritual and material war of all the World against Germany's ambitions to become once again a great nation, to recover lost territories and colonies. But our Jewish interests demand the complete destruction of

Germany. Collectively and individually, the German nation is a threat to us Jews." - **Vladimir Jabotinsky (founder of the Jewish terrorist group, Irgun Zvai Leumi)** in Mascha Rjetsch, Jan 1934 (also quoted in "Histoire de l'Armée Allemande" by Jacques Benoist-Mechin, Vol. IV, p. 303).

"Hitler will have no war (does not want war), but we will force it on him, not this year, but soon." - **Emil Ludwig Cohn** in Les Annales, June, 1934 (also quoted in his book "The New Holy Alliance").

"We Jews are going to bring a war on Germany." - **David A. Brown**, National Chairman, United Jewish Campaign, 1934 (quoted in "I Testify Against The Jews" by Robert Edward Edmondson, page 188 and "The Jewish War of Survival" by Arnold Leese, page 52).

"We want to bring about a deep hatred for the Germans, for German soldiers, sailors, and airmen. We must hate until we win." - **Lord Beaverbrook**, quoted in Niemals! by Heinrich Goitsch.

"There is only one power which really counts. The power of political pressure. We Jews are the most powerful people on earth, because we have this power, and we know how to apply it." - **Vladimir Jabotinsky**, Jewish Daily Bulletin, July 27, 1935.

"Before the end of the year, an economic bloc of England, Russia, France and the U.S.A will be formed to bring the German and Italian economic systems to their knees." - **Paul Dreyfus**, "La Vie de Tanger" May 15, 1938.

On the 3rd of June, 1938, the American Hebrew boasted that they had Jews in the foremost positions of influence in Britain, Russia and France, and that these "three sons of Israel will be sending the Nazi dictator to hell." - **Joseph Trimble, the American Hebrew**.

"Germany is our public enemy number one. It is our object to declare war without mercy against her. One may be sure of this: We will lead that war!" - **Bernard Lecache**, the president of the "International League Against Racism and Anti-Semitism," in its newspaper "Droit de Vivre" (Right to Life), 9 November, 1938.

"The war now proposed is for the purpose of establishing Jewish hegemony throughout the World." - **Brigadier General George Van Horn Mosely**, The New York Tribune, March 29, 1939.

"I wish to confirm in the most explicit manner, the declaration which I and my colleagues made during the last months, and especially in the last week: that the Jews "stand by Great Britain and will fight on the side of the democracies." Our urgent desire is to give effect to these declarations. We wish to do so in a way entirely consonant with the general scheme of British action, and therefore would place ourselves, in matters big and small, under the co-ordinating direction of His Majesty's Government. The Jewish Agency is ready to enter into immediate arrangements for utilizing Jewish manpower, technical ability, resources, etc." - **Chaim Weizmann**, President of the World Jewish Congress, Head of the Jewish Agency and later President of Israel, the London Times, September 5, 1939, and the London Jewish Chronicle, September 8, 1939.

"The millions of Jews who live in America, England and France, North and South Africa, and, not to forget those in Palestine, are determined to bring the war of annihilation against Germany to its final end." - Central Blad Voor Israeliten in Nederland, September 13, 1939.

"Stop talking about peace conditions! Break Germany in pieces!" - **The Daily Herald**, No.7426, 9 December, 1939.

"The Jews, taken collectively, view this war as a holy war." - **The Daily Herald**, No.7450, 1939, quoted in "Reichstagsbrand, Aufklärung einer historischen Legende," by U. Backes, K.H. Janßen, E. Jesse, H. Köhler, H. Mommsen, E Tobias.


"Even if we Jews are not physically at your side in the trenches, we are morally with you. This war is our war and you fight it with us." - **Schalom Asch**, Les Nouvelles Litteraires, February 10, 1940.

"In losing Germany, Jewry lost a territory from which it exerted power. Therefore it was determined to re-conquer it." - **Louis Marschalko**, "The World Conquerors : The Real War Criminals."

"The World Jewish Congress has been at war with Germany for seven years." - **Rabbi M. Perlzweig** (head of the British Section of the World Jewish Congress), Toronto Evening Telegram, February 26, 1940.

"The Second World War is being fought for the defense of the fundamentals of Judaism." - **Rabbi Felix Mendlesohn**, Chicago Sentinel, October 8, 1942.

"We are not denying and are not afraid to confess that this war is our war and that it is waged for the liberation of Jewry... Stronger than all fronts together is our front, that of Jewry. We are not only giving this war our financial support on which the entire war production is based, we are not only providing our full propaganda power which is the moral energy that keeps this war going. The guarantee of victory is predominantly based on weakening the enemy forces, on destroying them in their own country, within the resistance. And we are the Trojan horses in the enemy's fortress. Thousands of Jews living in Europe constitute the principal factor in the destruction of our enemy. There, our front is a fact and the most valuable aid for victory." - **Chaim Weizmann**, President of the World Jewish Congress, Head of the Jewish Agency and later President of Israel, in a Speech on December 3, 1942, in New York.



"Played golf with Joe Kennedy (U.S. Ambassador to Britain). He says that Chamberlain stated that America and World Jewry forced England into World War II." - **James Forrestal**, Secretary of the Navy (later Secretary of Defense), Diary, December 27, 1945 entry.

NAZIS MADE-TO-MEASURE FOR ZIONISM: In 1925, Germany's 500,000 Jews were overwhelmingly indifferent or actively hostile to Zionism. The German Zionist movement had only 9000 members. The "Central Union of Germans of the Jewish Faith " represented most German Jews and favored active participation in German life. Its main focus was combating anti-Semitism. Zionists, on the other hand, welcomed the Nazis' anti Semitic policies. Like the Nazis, they believed in race-based national character and destiny. Like the Nazis, they believed Jews had no future in Germany. The Zionists did not protest Nazi persecution such as the removal of 2000 Jewish scholars and scientists from German universities in 1933. The Nazis rewarded this "restraint" by allowing the Zionists to go about their work unhindered. All other Jewish and anti fascist organizations were disbanded and their leaders imprisoned.

The joke doing the rounds of the British Union at that time was that the Jewish national anthem is 'Onward Christian Soldiers.' However, note that on Jews were involved on all sides of the conflict, i.e. in Marxism, Communism, Fascism, Nazism, Zionism and Capitalism. But don't be fooled, these are apostate Jews who are Luciferians as explained by Harold Wallace Rosenthal and they will sacrifice ordinary God worshipping Jews in their wars for supremacy. Note that the BankLords such as the Illuminati Rothschild and Rockefellers and their closely linked family dynasties fund all sides in the conflicts and keep on amassing the World's wealth.

Yet, the other little-known fact is that just before the war began, the leadership of the World Jewish community formally declared war on Germany - above and beyond the ongoing six-year-long economic boycott launched by the Worldwide Jewish community when the Nazi Party came to power in 1933. Here's the story behind the story: **Chaim Weizmann**, president of both the international "Jewish Agency" and of the World Zionist Organization (and later Israel's first president), told British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain in a letter published in The London Times on September 6, 1939 that: I wish to confirm, in the most explicit manner, the declarations which I and my colleagues have made during the last month, and especially in the last week, that the Jews stand by Great Britain and will fight on the side of the democracies. Our urgent desire is to give effect to these declarations [against Germany]. We wish to do so in a way entirely consonant with the general scheme of British action, and therefore would place ourselves, in matters big and small, under the coordinating direction of His Majesty's Government. The Jewish Agency is ready to enter into immediate arrangements for utilizing Jewish manpower, technical ability, resources, etc.

<http://isohunt.com/release.php?ihq=war+of+the+Worlds&id=22841> <http://www.mininova.org/tor/166502> Divx. Documentary Runtime 81 minutes A Critical Look at WWII, hosted by Dr. Fredrick Toben, this intriguing documentary shows a little-known aspect of WWII, in which Zionists at one time declared war on Germany. In response to this, many Zionists were incarcerated in prison camps, similar to what America did to the Japanese, what the Poles did to the Germans after WWI, what the British did to the Africans and to the Native Americans, etc. etc. Germans claim that prior to the war's end when supply lines were cut and starvation and disease was rampant, Zionists were treated more humanely than the Japanese in American prison camps. This video documentary proves these points. Many rare photographs, and some surprising footage showing the prison camps as they are today. DVD: <http://s1.amazon.com/exec/varzea/ts/exchange-glance/Y03Y4772317Y7161120/002-1891101-4450426>

WARNING: In some countries, it could be considered a federal crime to be in possession of this movie, and certainly to openly question the holocaust aspect of WW2. Interesting viewing for Holocaust truth factualists as well as Holocaust dogma believers.

"Truth needs no laws to defend it. Throughout history, only liars and liars have resorted to the courts to enforce adherence to dogma. Nobody jails people who claim to Elvis is still alive. There is no law against denying Santa Claus exists. Nobody is prosecuted for claiming to see Bigfoot. People who think that Lee Harvey Oswald did NOT act alone are not sent to prison. **What is it about the history of WW2 we have been taught that is so delicate that all questioning must be stamped out?**" <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/> .Austrian prosecutors file Holocaust denial charges against historian David Irving: <http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/spages/648704.html> . Zionists are also prosecuting Ernst Zundel and have persecuted other Jews such as [David Cole](#) who have dared to question the

Zionist version of the Holocaust.

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad put a couple of perfectly reasonable arguments to the Europeans:
1 - "You believe the [European] Jews were oppressed, why should the Palestinian Muslims have to pay the price?"
2 - "You oppressed the [European] Jews, [and you feel so sorry for them] so give a part of Europe to the Zionist regime so they can establish any government they want. We would support it."
3 - "Those who defend today the crimes of the Zionists must be held accountable and sentenced. Of course, they claim that they are very strong, but this is one of their big lies".

During WW1 and WW2, International Zionists used their power in the US and UK to regain control of Germany through the US military might and initiate their plan to gain control of the Arab World by planting their state in Palestine. Now, they have again mobilized the US and UK, this time to gain control of Arab lands and wealth. In the light of the Iranian President's comments, and the work done by Holocaust truth researchers such as David Irving, Ernst Zundel, David Cole, Professor Noble of York U, Dr. Fredrick Toben, Paul Fromm, Mark Weber, Michael Santomauro, Israel Shamir, etc. and the arrest and imprisonment of well known revisionists in Germany and Austria, Ernst Zundel, David Irving, Germar Rodulf, and Siegfried Verbeke and others, what does the future hold for the truth movement especially after the UN voted to have a day commemorating the Holocaust while many more are currently being persecuted by Zionist laws that forbid documentaries which present non-Zionist approved information, let's learn more about the Zionist deception which is not taught in history classes since the winners of wars write that history.



"A forbidden writing is thought to be a certain spark of truth, that flies up in the face of them who seek to tread it out." Sir Francis Bacon (1561-1626), English philosopher, British Lord Chancellor *The Advancement of Learning*, 1605.

These events should be seen in the perspective of a letter written by **Albert Pike, the Grand Commander of American Masonry in 1871** and published in 1925. The letter foresaw **three World wars** designed to bring about Illuminati World hegemony. Each war planted the seeds for the next.

"**The First World War** must be brought about in order to permit the Illuminati [aka The European Establishment lead by The Rothschilds] to overthrow the power of the Czars in Russia and of making that country a fortress of atheistic Communism. The divergences caused by the "agentur" [agents] of the Illuminati between the British and Germanic Empires will be used to foment this war. At the end of the war, Communism will be built and used in order to destroy the other governments and in order to weaken the religions." "**The Second World War** must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences between the [European Nationalists] and the political Zionists. This war must be brought about so that [European Nationalism later evolved as Nazism and Fascism] is destroyed and that the political Zionism be strong enough to institute a sovereign state of Israel in Palestine. During the Second World War, International Communism must become strong enough in order to balance Christendom, which would be then restrained and held in check until the time when we would need it for the final social cataclysm."

The Second World War was designed to ensure the destruction of Germany, the expansion of Communist Russia and the establishment of the State of Israel. After this Second World War, Communism was made strong enough to begin taking over weaker governments. In 1945, at the Potsdam Conference between Truman, Churchill, and Stalin, a large portion of Europe was simply handed over to Russia, and on the other side of the World, the aftermath of the war with Japan helped to sweep the tide of Communism into China. Zionism, too grew in strength. Events unfolded exactly as Pike described and set the stage for the next war. "The Third World War must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences caused by the "agentur" of the "Illuminati" between the political Zionists and the leaders of Islamic World," Pike wrote. "Agentur" means "agent." The same term is used in another Illuminati document "*The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*" which dates from the same period. The key point here is these wars are *contrived*. They are "caused by agentur of the Illuminati" on *both* sides. These agents owe their first loyalty to the Illuminati not to their countries. Their aim is to destroy their respective countries and profit from the carnage. Pike continues: "The [third] war must be conducted in such a way that Islam (the Moslem Arabic World) and political Zionism (the State of Israel) mutually destroy each other." The rest of the World will be drawn in. "Meanwhile the other nations, once more divided on this issue will be constrained to fight to the point of complete physical, moral, spiritual and economical exhaustion..." **At this point they will be constrained to accept the Luciferian one-World government.**

As explained in the 1800s by German Chancellor Otto Von Bismarck, the problem is the control of the nation's credit by a small private international group, which has used this advantage to build a monopoly (hence the term "monopoly capitalism" = communism = fascism = imperialism) in every key industry. This international group is behind imperialism. Its totalitarian occult agenda (a.k.a, globalism) is now corporate policy. The victim paradigm typically purveyed by some creates divisions and diverts attention from the Illuminati Bankers and their cartels. It teaches each new generation to be alienated from their country, its culture and economic system, and to become dysfunctional and impotent. The Bankers want Big Government that they centrally control through debt. They need the global police state to ensure that no nation defaults or rebels. The invasion of Iraq is another step in creating the Bankers' "1984" tyranny as predicted by George Orwell in 1948 (the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily). Before we go into more



evidence of the International Globalists Zionists' plans for perpetual wars, let's see what the Zionists were upto in Europe during and after the 1st World War which they instigated to subdue Russia, Germany, defeat the Ottoman Empire and obtain British commitment for giving them Palestine. If you're familiar with the history of World War 1, you'll know that the political alliances of England on one side, and Germany on the other side forged between 1871 and 1898 by Otto von Bismarck [see below some quotes from the Chancellor], were instrumental in bringing about the First World War. In addition, Communism started its first roots soon after WW1. World War I broke out in the summer of 1914. Now that war was waged on one side by Great Britain, France, and Russia; and on the other side by Germany, Austria-Hungary, and Turkey. What happened? Within two years Germany had won that war: not alone won it nominally, but won it actually. The German submarines, which were a surprise to the World, had swept all the convoys from the Atlantic Ocean, and Great Britain stood there without ammunition for her soldiers, stood there with one week's food supply facing her -- and after that, starvation. At that time, the French army had mutinied. They lost 600,000 of the flower of French youth in the defense of Verdun on the Somme. The Russian army was defecting. They were picking up their toys and going home, they didn't want to play war anymore. And the Italian army had collapsed.



The post WW 1 Treaty decimated Germany causing a depression enabling Jews to buy up vast amounts of German industry and left Germans bitter. International Zionists, who represented 80% of those attending the Versailles treaty forced Germany to give a portion of their country (Danzig Corridor) to Poland. [Colonel] **Edward House** was Zionists' lead man, a Rothschild employee who previously helped form the BankLords' fraudulent Fed Reserve System in 1913.

Now Germany -- **not a shot had been fired on the German soil**. Not an enemy soldier had crossed the border into Germany. And **yet, here was Germany offering England peace terms**. They offered England a negotiated peace on what the lawyers call a status quo ante basis. That means: "Let's call the war off, and let everything be as it was before the war started." Well, England, in the summer of 1916 was considering that. Seriously! They had no choice. It was either accepting this negotiated peace that Germany was magnanimously offering them, or going on with the war and being totally defeated. While that was going on, the Zionists in Germany, who represented the Zionists from Eastern Europe, went to the British War Cabinet and -- I am going to be brief because this is a long story, but I have all the documents to prove any statement that I make if anyone here is curious, or doesn't believe what I'm saying is at all possible -- **the Zionists in London went to the British war cabinet and they said: "Look here. You can yet win this war.** You don't have to give up. You don't have to accept the negotiated peace offered to you now by Germany. You can win this war if the United States will come in as your ally." The United States was not in the war at that time. We were fresh; we were young; we were rich; we were powerful. They [Zionists] told England: **"We will guarantee to bring the United States into the war as your ally, to fight with you on your side, if you will promise us Palestine after you win the war."** Benjamin Freedman (Friedman) said this in 1961, Washington (he was a millionaire insider in international Zionist organizations, friend to 4 US presidents, and was also part of the 117-man strong Zionist delegation at the signing of the Treaty of Versailles in 1919 where Germany was forced into bankruptcy to the Zionist BankLords and social chaos): "Two years into WW1, Germany, which was then winning the war, offered Britain and France a negotiated peace deal, but German Zionist groups seeing the opportunity made a deal with Britain to get the United States into the war if Britain promised to give the Zionists Palestine."

In other words, they made this deal: **"We will get the United States into this war as your ally. The price you must pay us is Palestine after you have won the war and defeated Germany, Austria-Hungary, and Turkey."** They made that promise, in October of 1916. And shortly after that -- I don't know how many here remember it -- **the United States, which was almost totally pro-German because the newspapers and mass communications media here were controlled by the Zionist bankers who owned the major commercial banks and the 12 Federal Reserve Banks** (the original Stockholders of the Federal Reserve Banks in 1913 were the Rockefeller's, JP Morgan, Rothschild's, Lazard Freres, Schoellkopf, Kuhn-Loeb, Warburgs, Lehman Brothers and Goldman Sachs, all with roots in Germany's Zionists just like the British Royal family, J.P. Morgan, Carnegie, Bush, Rumsfeld, Clintons, the Nazis that were brought into the CIA, etc. http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml) and they were pro-German because **they wanted to use Germany to destroy the Czar of Russia and let the Communists whom they funded take over. The German Zionist bankers -- Rothschilds, Rockefeller, Kuhn Loeb and the other big banking firms in the United States** refused to finance France or England to the extent of one dollar. They stood aside and they said: "As long as France and England are tied up with Russia, not one cent!" But they **poured money into Germany, they fought with Germany against Russia, trying to lick the Czarist regime. The newspapers had been all pro-German**, where they'd been telling the people of the difficulties that Germany was having fighting Great Britain commercially and in other respects, then after making the deal with the British for Palestine, **all of a sudden the Germans were no good**. They were villains. They were Huns. They were shooting Red Cross nurses. They were cutting off babies' hands. And they were no good. The Zionists in London sent cables to the United States, to Justice Brandeis: "Go to work on President Wilson. We're getting from England what we want. Now you go to work, and you go to work on President Wilson and get the United States into the war." And that did happen. Shortly after President Woodrow Wilson declared war on Germany.

after you win the war." Because they didn't know whether the war would last another year or another ten years. So they started to work out a receipt. The receipt took the form of a letter, and it was worded in very cryptic language so that the World at large wouldn't know what it was all about. And that was called the Balfour Declaration in 1919, addressed to Lord Rothschild. The Balfour Declaration was merely Great Britain's promise to pay the Zionists what they had agreed upon as a consideration for getting the United States into the war. Now, that is where all the trouble started. The United States went in the war. The United States crushed Germany. We went in there, and it's history. You know what happened. Now, when the war was ended, and the Germans went to Paris, to the Paris Peace Conference in 1919, there were 117 Jews there, as a delegation representing the Jews, headed by Bernard Baruch. I was there: I ought to know. Now what happened? The Jews at that peace conference, when they were cutting up Germany and parceling out Europe to all these nations that claimed a right to a certain part of European territory, the Jews said, "How about Palestine for us?" And they produced, for the first time to the knowledge of the Germans, this Balfour Declaration. So the Germans, for the first time realized, "Oh, that was the game! That's why the United States came into the war." And the Germans for the first time realized that they were defeated, they suffered this terrific reparation that was slapped onto them, because the Zionists wanted Palestine and they were determined to get it at any cost.

In 1917, the Communists took over Germany for a few days. Rosa Luxembourg and Karl Liebknecht, and a group of Jews in Germany took over the government for three days. In fact, when the Kaiser ended the war, he fled to Holland because he thought the Communists were going to take over Germany as they did Russia, and that he was going to meet the same fate that the Czar did in Russia. So he left and went to Holland for safety and for security. Now, at that time, when the Communist threat in Germany was quashed, it was quiet, the Zionists were working, still trying to get back into their former -- their status -- and the Germans fought them in every way they could, without hurting a hair on anyone's head. Well, that's the way they were fighting the European Jews in Germany. And, at that time, mind you, there were 80 to 90 million Germans and there were only 460,000 Jews ... less than one half of one percent of Germany were Jews. And yet, they controlled all of the press, they controlled most of the economy, because they had come in and with cheap money -- you know the way the Mark was devalued -- they bought up practically everything for a penny on the Mark.

Now, that brings us to another very interesting point. When the Germans realized this, they naturally resented it. Up to that time, the Jews had never been better off in any country in the World than they had been in Germany. You had Mr. Rathenau there, who was maybe 100 times as important in industry and finance as is Bernard Baruch in this country. You had Mr. Balin, who owned the two big steamship lines, the North German Lloyd's and the Hamburg-American Lines. You had Mr. Bleichroder, who was the banker for the Hohenzollern family. You had the Warburgs in Hamburg, who were the big merchant bankers -- the biggest in the World. The Jews were doing very well in Germany. No question about that. Now, the Germans felt: "Well, that was quite a sellout." Well, that's how the Germans felt towards these Jews. "We've been so nice to them"; and from 1905 on, when the first Communist revolution in Russia failed, and the Jews had to scramble out of Russia, they all went to Germany. And Germany gave them refuge. And they were treated very nicely. And here they sold Germany down the river for no reason at all other than they wanted Palestine as a so-called "Jewish commonwealth." Now, Nahum Sokolow -- all the great leaders, the big names that you read about in connection with Zionism today -- they, in 1919, 1920, '21, '22, and '23, they wrote in all their papers -- and the press was filled with their statements -- that "the feeling against the Jews in Germany is due to the fact that they realized that this great defeat was brought about by our intercession and bringing the United States into the war against them." The European Jews themselves admitted that. It was all political. It was economic. It was anything but religious.

The Germans held the Jews responsible for their crushing defeat because World War One was started against Germany for no reason for which they [Germans] were responsible. They were guilty of nothing. Only of being successful. They built up a big navy. They built up World trade. You must remember, Germany, at the time of Napoleon, at the time of the French Revolution, what was the German Reich consisted of 300 -- three hundred! -- small city-states, principalities, dukedoms, and so forth. Three hundred little separate political entities. And between that time, between the period of ... between Napoleon and Otto Von Bismarck, they were consolidated into one state. And within 50 years after that time they became one of the World's great powers. Their navy was rivalling Great Britain's, they were doing business all over the World, they could undersell anybody and make better products. And what happened? What happened as a result of that? The Zionists seeing their power diminishing in Germany used England, France, and Russia to "We must slap down Germany".

When Germany realized that the Jews were responsible for her defeat, they naturally resented it. But not a hair on the head of any Jew was harmed. Not a single hair. Professor Tansill, of Georgetown University, who had access to all the secret papers of the State Department, wrote in his book, and quoted from a State Department document written by Hugo Schoenfelt, a Jew who Cordell Hull sent to Europe in 1933 to investigate the so-called camps of political prisoners. And he wrote back that he found them in very fine condition. They were in excellent shape; everybody treated well. And they were filled with Communists. Well, a lot of them were Jews, because **the Jews happened to be maybe 98 per cent of the Communists in Europe at that time.** And there were some priests there, and ministers, and labor leaders, Masons, and others who had international affiliations.

Now, the Zionists sort of tried to keep the lid on this fact. They didn't want the World to really understand that they had sold out Germany and that they were behind Communism to overthrow the Tsar of Russia, and had bought Germany for a penny on the Mark after it was devalued, and that the Germans resented that. So they did take appropriate action against them [against the Jews]. They ... shall I say, discriminated against them wherever they could? They shunned them. The same as we would do to who had sold us out to an enemy and brought about our defeat. In the book "Wall Street and the rise of Hitler", Professor Anthony Sutton describes how Germany being in near bankruptcy (not the German Zionists who were extremely rich) in 1933, having to pay huge war reparations and still having to rebuild the nation, was lent huge sums of money by International Zionist financiers such as the Warburgs, Jacob Schiff, J.P. Morgan, Chase Manhattan, and Kuhn-Loeb banks who were expecting huge profits from exorbitant interest rates (all also being owners in the Federal Reserve Banks). The Germans realized they had been stabbed in the back by German and International Zionists, and resented this, but the Zionists had anticipated this and

arranged for Hitler to be used to lead the anti-Zionist national movement for freedom from Zionism thus taking over the movement and ensuring that Germany be put into further ruin thus allowing the Zionists to get more of Germany at a fraction of its worth (war reduced the value of the property in the conquered country and creates chaos allowing many frauds to be hidden by the victors). New York Times placard May 1933



The attacks on Germany did not cease. The Worldwide Jewish leadership became ever the



more belligerent and worked itself into a frenzy. For the Zionists, both the denunciations of German policies towards Jews (to keep Jews frightened), plus the reinvigoration of the German economy (for the sake of final resettlement) was imperative for the Zionist movement (ironically, today the Zionist leaders of Israel complain bitterly about the horrific and inhuman regime of the National Socialists to continue the fraud). An agreement between Hitler and the German Zionists was to initiate a deportation of Jews to the Island of Madagascar. The international Zionists then met in Amsterdam under the auspices of the self-styled World Jewish Economic Federation, of which famous New York City attorney and longtime political power broker, Samuel Untermyer, was president, and demanded that Germany stop the deportations immediately and take the deported Jews back. However, in 1933 Germany refused to surrender to the World Conference of Jews in Amsterdam. Immediately, Mr. Untermyer came back to the United States -- he was the head of the American delegation and the president of the whole conference -- and he went from the steamer to delivered a speech over WABC Radio (New York), a transcript of which was printed in The New York Times on August 7, 1933. In this radio broadcast throughout the United States he said: "The Jews of the World now declare a holy war against Germany. We are now engaged in a sacred (holy) conflict against the Germans. And we are going to starve them into surrender. We are going to use a World-wide boycott against them, that will destroy them because they are dependent upon their export business." The International and German Zionists declared war on Germany in 1933. Headlines in the UK Daily Express and US New York Times and other papers around Mar-May 1933 were "Judea Declares War on Germany."

Untermyer's inflammatory oratory called for a "sacred war" against Germany, making the flat-out allegation that Germany was engaged in a plan to "exterminate the Jews." He said (in part):...Germany [has] been converted from a nation of culture into a veritable hell of cruel and savage beasts. We owe it not only to our persecuted brethren but to the entire World to now strike in self-defense a blow that will free humanity from a repetition of this incredible outrage.... Now or never must all the nations of the earth make common cause against the... slaughter, starvation and annihilation... fiendish torture, cruelty and persecution that are being inflicted day by day upon these men, women and children....When the tale is told... the World will confront a picture so fearful in its barbarous cruelty that the hell of war and the alleged Belgian atrocities pale into insignificance as compared to this devilishly, deliberately, cold-bloodedly planned and already partially executed campaign for the extermination of a proud, gentle, loyal, law-abiding people... The Jews are the aristocrats of the World. From time immemorial they have been persecuted and have seen their persecutors come and go. They alone have survived. And so will history repeat itself, but that furnishes no reason why we should permit this reversion of a once great nation to the Dark Ages or fail to rescue these 600,000 human souls from the tortures of hell.....What we are proposing and have already gone far toward doing, is to prosecute a purely defensive economic boycott that will undermine the Hitler regime and bring the



German people to their senses by destroying their export trade on which their very existence depends....We propose to and are organizing World opinion to express itself in the only way Germany can be made to understand....

Untermyer then proceeded to provide his listeners with a wholly fraudulent history of the circumstances of the German boycott and how it originated. He also proclaimed that the Germans were bent on a plan to "exterminate the Jews": The Hitler regime originated and are fiendishly prosecuting their boycott to exterminate the Jews by placarding Jewish shops, warning Germans against dealing with them, by imprisoning Jewish shopkeepers and parading them through the streets by the hundreds under guard of Nazi troops for the sole crime of being Jews, by ejecting them from the learned professions in which many of them had attained eminence, by excluding their children from the schools, their men from the labor unions, closing against them every avenue of livelihood, locking them in vile concentration camps and starving and torturing them without cause and resorting to every other conceivable form of torture, inhuman beyond conception, until suicide has become their only means of escape, and all solely because they are or their remote ancestors were Jews, and all with the avowed object of exterminating them. Untermyer concluded his largely fantastic and hysterical address by declaring that with the support of "Christian friends... we will drive the last nail in the coffin of bigotry and fanaticism...." That his allegations against Germany were made long before even Jewish historians today claim there were any gas chambers or even a plan to "exterminate" the Jews, displays the nature of the propaganda campaign confronting Germany.



This New York Daily News front page headline hailed the massive anti-German protest rally held in Madison Square Garden on March 27, 1933. Despite efforts by the German government to alleviate tensions and prevent the escalation of name-calling and threats by the international Jewish leadership, the rally was held as scheduled. Similar rallies and protest marches were also being held in other cities during the same time frame. The intensity of the Jewish campaign against Germany was such that the Hitler government vowed that if the campaign did not stop, there would be a one-day boycott in Germany of Jewish-owned stores. Despite this, the hate campaign continued, forcing Germany to take defensive measures that created a situation wherein the Jews of Germany became increasingly marginalized. The truth about the Jewish war on Germany has been suppressed by most histories of the period.

During this same period there were some unusual developments at work: The spring of 1933 also witnessed the beginning of a period of private cooperation between the German government and the Zionist movement in Germany and Palestine (and actually Worldwide) to increase the flow of German-Jewish immigrants and capital to Palestine. The modern-day supporters of Zionist Israel and many historians have succeeded in keeping this Nazi-Zionist pact a secret to the general public for decades and while most Americans have no concept of the possibility that there could have been outright collaboration between the Nazi leadership and the founders of what became the state of Israel, the truth has begun to emerge. Dissident Jewish writer Lenni Brenner's *Zionism In the Age of the Dictators*, published by a small press and not given the publicity it deserves by the so-called "mainstream" media (which is otherwise obsessed with the Holocaust era), was perhaps the first major endeavor in this realm. In response to Brenner and others, the Zionist reaction has usually consisted of declarations that their collaboration with Nazi Germany was undertaken solely to save the lives of Jews. But the collaboration was all the more remarkable because it took place at a time when many Jews and Jewish organizations demanded a boycott of Germany. To the Zionist leaders, Hitler's assumption of power held out the possibility of a flow of immigrants to Palestine. Previously, the majority of German Jews, who identified themselves as Germans, had little sympathy with the Zionist cause of promoting the ingathering of World Jewry to Palestine. But the Zionists saw that that the boycott of Germany was likely to push the anti-Zionist German Jews into the arms of Zionism. For all the modern-day wailing by Worldwide supporters of Israel (not to mention the Israelis themselves) about "the Holocaust", they neglect to mention that making the situation in Germany as uncomfortable for the Jews as possible - in cooperation with German National Socialism - was part of the plan. This was the genesis of the so-called Transfer Agreement, the agreement between Zionist Jews and the National Socialist government to transfer German Jewry to Palestine. According to Jewish historian Walter Laqueur and many others, German Jews were far from convinced that immigration to Palestine was the answer. Furthermore, although the majority of German Jews refused to consider the Zionists as their political leaders, it is clear that Hitler protected and cooperated with the Zionists for the purposes of implementing the final solution: the mass transfer of Jews to the Middle East. A booklet discussing the emigration of Jews from Third Reich Germany, and the Transfer Agreement that facilitated their emigration, may be found [here!](#)

Edwin Black, in his massive tome *The Transfer Agreement* (Macmillan, 1984), stated that although most Jews did not want to flee to Palestine at all, due to the Zionist movement's influence within Nazi Germany a Jew's best chance of getting out of Germany was by emigrating to Palestine. In other words, the Transfer Agreement itself mandated that Jewish capital could only go to Palestine. Thus, according to the Zionists, a Jew could leave Germany only if he went to the Levant. The primary difficulty with the Transfer Agreement (or even the idea of such an agreement) was that the English [!!!; Scriptorium] were demanding, as a condition of immigration, that each immigrant pay 1,000 pounds sterling upon arrival in Haifa or elsewhere. The difficulty was that such hard currency was nearly impossible to come by in a cash-strapped and radically inflationary Germany. This was the main idea behind the final Transfer Agreement. Laqueur writes: A large German bank would freeze funds paid in by immigrants in blocked accounts for German exporters, while a bank in Palestine would control the sale of German goods to Palestine, thereby providing the

immigrants with the necessary foreign currency on the spot. Sam Cohen, co-owner of Hanoaiah Ltd. and initiator of the transfer endeavors, was however subjected to long-lasting objections from his own people and finally had to concede that such a transfer agreement could only be concluded on a much higher level with a bank of its own rather than that of a private company. The renowned Anglo-Palestine Bank in London would be included in this transfer deal and create a trust company for [this] purpose. Of course, this is of major historical importance in dealing with the relationship between Zionism and National Socialism in Germany in the 1930s. The relationship was not one merely of mutual interest and political favoritism on the part of Hitler, but a close financial relationship with German banking families and financial institutions as well. Black writes: It was one thing for the Zionists to subvert the anti-Nazi boycott. Zionism needed to transfer out the capital of German Jews, and merchandise was the only available medium. But soon Zionist leaders understood that the success of the future Jewish Palestinian economy would be inextricably bound up with the survival of the Nazi economy. So the Zionist leadership was compelled to go further. The German economy would have to be safeguarded, stabilized, and if necessary reinforced. Hence, the Nazi party and the Zionist organizers shared a common stake in the recovery of Germany.

Thus one sees a radical fissure in World Jewry around 1933 and beyond. There were, first, the non-Zionist Jews (specifically the World Jewish Congress founded in 1933), who, on the one hand, demanded the boycott and eventual destruction of Germany. Black notes that many of these people were not just in New York and Amsterdam, but a major source for this also came from Jews in Palestine proper. On the other hand, one can see the judicious use of such feelings by the Zionists for the sake of eventual resettlement in Palestine. In other words, it can be said (and Black does hint at this) that Zionism believed that, since Jews would be moving to the Levant, capital flight would be necessary for any new economy to function. The result was the understanding that Zionism would have to ally itself with National Socialism, so that the German government would not impede the flow of Jewish capital out of the country. It served the Zionist interests at the time that Jews be loud in their denunciations of German practices against the Jews to scare them into the Levant, but, on the other hand, Laqueur states that "The Zionists became motivated not to jeopardize the German economy or currency." In other words, the Zionist leadership of the Jewish Diaspora was one of subterfuge and underhandedness, with only the advent of German hostility towards Jewry convincing the World's Jews that immigration was the only escape.

The fact is that the ultimate establishment of the state of Israel was based on fraud. The Zionists did not represent anything more than a small minority of German Jews in 1933. On the one hand, the Zionist fathers of Israel wanted loud denunciations of Germany's "cruelties" to the World's Jews while at the same time demanding moderation so that the National Socialist government would remain stable, financially and politically. Thus Zionism boycotted the boycott. For all intents and purposes, the National Socialist government was the best thing to happen to Zionism in its history, for it "proved" to many Jews that Europeans were irredeemably anti-Jewish and that Palestine was the only answer: Zionism came to represent the overwhelming majority of Jews solely by trickery and cooperation with Adolf Hitler. As a consequence of the formal declaration of war by World Zionists headed by Samuel Untermyer, the German authorities thus deemed Jews to be potential enemy agents. It is a fact that two thirds of Germany's food supply had to be imported, and it could only be imported with the proceeds of what they exported. Their labor. So if Germany could not export, two thirds of Germany's population would have to starve. There just was not enough food for more than one third of the population. Now in this declaration, which I have here, it was printed on page -- a whole page -- in the New York Times on August 7, 1933, Mr. Samuel Untermyer boldly stated that: "this economic boycott is our means of self-defense. President Roosevelt has advocated its use in the NRA". [National Recovery Administration] -- which some of you may remember, where everybody was to be boycotted unless they followed the rules laid down by the New Deal, which of course was declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court at that time. Nevertheless, the Zionists of the World declared a boycott against Germany, and it was so effective that you couldn't find one thing in any store anywhere in the World with the words "made in Germany" on it. In fact, an executive of the Woolworth Company told me that they had to dump millions of dollars worth of crockery and dishes into the river; that their stores were boycotted. If anyone came in and found a dish marked "made in Germany," they were picketed with signs: "Hitler", "murderer", and so forth.

The Zionists managed to condemn some Jews to persecution by the Germans because the Zionists had declared war against Germany thus making the Jews enemies within their own country. Zionists also sabotaged the deportations to Madagascar. The Germans created forced labor camps during WW2 where Jews and others were imprisoned for the purpose of providing forced labor. The Red Cross (which was started by the Rothschild dynasty) estimated that several hundred thousand people died of disease in these internment camps. [RED CROSS SAYS ONLY 357190 DIED IN NAZI CAMPS \(FROM ALL CAUSES ...arizona.indymedia.org/news/2004/05/19071_comment.php](http://arizona.indymedia.org/news/2004/05/19071_comment.php) - 144k - Supplemental Result - [Cached](#) - [Similar pages](#))

Benjamin Freedman continued: "Ultimately, the Zionists were also responsible for WW2". Benjamin H. Freedman, committed himself to finding and telling the facts about Zionism and Communism and encouraged others to do the same. The son of one of the founders of the American Jewish Committee, which for many years was anti-Zionist, Ben Freedman founded the League for Peace with Justice in Palestine in 1946. He gave me copies of materials on the Balfour Declaration which I might never have found on my own and encouraged my own research. (He died in April 1984.) The Jewish Declaration of War on Germany: The Economic Boycott of 1933, Article from [The Barnes Review](#), Jan./Feb. 2001, pp. 41-45. The Barnes Review, 645 Pennsylvania Ave SE, Suite 100, Washington D.C. 20003, USA. By M. Raphael Johnson, Ph.D., assistant editor of [TBR](#); published here with kind permission from [TBR](#). This digitalized version © 2002 by The Scriptorium. [eMail TBR](#) - [subscribe to TBR](#)

The war by the international Jewish leadership on Germany not only sparked definite reprisals by the German government but also set the stage for a little-known economic and political alliance between the German government and the leaders of the Zionist movement who hoped that the tension between the Germans and the Jews would lead to massive emigration to Palestine. In short, the result was a tactical alliance between the Nazis and the founders of the modern-day state of Israel - a fact that many today would prefer be forgotten. To this day, it is generally incorrectly believed that when Adolf Hitler was appointed German chancellor in January of 1933, the German government began policies to suppress the Jews of

Germany, including rounding up of Jews and putting them in concentration camps and launching campaigns of terror and violence against the domestic Jewish population. While there were sporadic eruptions of violence against Jews in Germany after Hitler came to power, this was not officially sanctioned or encouraged. And the truth is that anti-Jewish sentiments in Germany (or elsewhere in Europe) were actually nothing new. As all Jewish historians attest with much fervor, anti-Semitic uprisings of various degrees had been ever-present in European history. In early 1933, the Zionists' agent provocateur Hitler was not the undisputed leader of Germany, nor did he have full command of the armed forces. Hitler was a major figure in a coalition government, but he was far from being the government himself. That was the result of a process of consolidation which evolved later. Even Germany's Jewish Central Association, known as the *Verein*, contested the suggestion (made by some Jewish leaders outside Germany) that the new government was deliberately provoking anti-Jewish uprisings. The *Verein* issued a statement that "the responsible government authorities [i.e. the Hitler regime] are unaware of the threatening situation," saying, "we do not believe our German fellow citizens will let themselves be carried away into committing excesses against the Jews."

Despite this, Jewish leaders in the United States and Britain determined on their own that it was necessary to launch a war against the German government with Hitler providing all of the required pretexts. Hitler was indeed a Rothschild and a collaborator with the Zionists. On March 12, 1933 the American Jewish Congress announced a massive protest at Madison Square Gardens for March 27. At that time the commander in chief of the Jewish War Veterans called for an American boycott of German goods. In the meantime, on March 23, 20,000 Jews protested at New York's City Hall as rallies were staged outside the North German Lloyd and Hamburg-American shipping lines and boycotts were mounted against German goods throughout shops and businesses in New York City. According to *The Daily Express* of London of March 24, 1933, the Jews had already launched their boycott against Germany and her elected government. The headline read "Judea Declares War on Germany - Jews of All the World Unite - Boycott of German Goods - Mass Demonstrations." The article described a forthcoming "holy war" and went on to implore Jews everywhere to boycott German goods and engage in mass demonstrations against German economic interests.



According to the Express: The whole of Israel throughout the World is uniting to declare an economic and financial war on Germany. The appearance of the Swastika as the symbol of the new Germany has revived the old war symbol of Judas to new life. Fourteen million Jews scattered over the entire World are tight to each other as if one man, in order to declare war against the German persecutors of their fellow believers. The Jewish wholesaler will quit his house, the banker his stock exchange, the merchant his business, and the

beggar his humble hut, in order to join the holy war against Hitler's people. The Express said that Germany was "now confronted with an international boycott of its trade, its finances, and its industry.... In London, New York, Paris and Warsaw, Jewish businessmen are united to go on an economic crusade." The article said "Worldwide preparations are being made to organize protest demonstrations," and reported that "the old and reunited nation of Israel gets in formation with new and modern weapons to fight out its age old battle against its persecutors." This truly could be described as "the first shot fired in the Second World War." In a similar vein, the Jewish newspaper *Natscha Retsch* wrote: The war against Germany will be waged by all Jewish communities, conferences, congresses... by every individual Jew. Thereby the war against Germany will ideologically enliven and promote our interests, which require that Germany be wholly destroyed. The danger for us Jews lies in the whole German people, in Germany as a whole as well as individually. It must be rendered harmless for all time.... In this war we Jews have to participate, and this with all the strength and might we have at our disposal.

However, note well that the Jewish Association of Germany put out a telegram on the 26th of March rejecting many of the allegations made against the National Socialists as "propaganda," "mendacious" and "sensational." In fact, the Zionist faction had every reason to ensure the permanence of National Socialist ideology in Germany. Klaus Polkahn, writing in the *Journal of Palestine Studies* ("The Secret Contacts: Zionism and Nazi Germany, 1933-1941"; JPS v. 3/4, spring/summer 1976), claims that the moderate attitude of the Zionists was due to their vested interest in seeing the financial victory of National Socialism to force immigration to Palestine. This little-known factor would ultimately come to play a pivotal part in the relationship between Nazi Germany and the Jews. In the meantime, though, German Foreign Minister Konstantin von Neurath complained of the "vilification campaign" and said: As concerns Jews, I can only say that their propagandists abroad are rendering their co-religionists in Germany no service by giving the German public, through their distorted and untruthful news about persecution and torture of Jews, the impression that they actually halt at nothing, not even at lies and calumny, to fight the present German government. The fledgling Hitler government itself was clearly trying to contain the growing tension - both within Germany and without. In the

United States, even U.S. Secretary of State Cordell Hull wired Rabbi Stephen Wise of the American Jewish Congress and urged caution: Whereas there was for a short time considerable physical mistreatment of Jews, this phase may be considered virtually terminated.... A stabilization appears to have been reached in the field of personal mistreatment.... I feel hopeful that the situation which has caused such widespread concern throughout this country will soon revert to normal.

Despite all this, the leaders of the Jewish community refused to relent. On March 27 there were simultaneous protest rallies at Madison Square Garden, in Chicago, Boston, Philadelphia, Baltimore, Cleveland and 70 other locations. The New York rally was broadcast Worldwide. The bottom line is that "the New Germany" was declared to be an enemy of Jewish interests and thus needed to be economically strangled. This was before Hitler decided to boycott Jewish goods. It was in direct response to this that the German government announced a one-day boycott of Jewish businesses in Germany on April 1. German Propaganda Minister Dr. Joseph Goebbels announced that if, after the one-day boycott, there were no further attacks on Germany, the boycott would be stopped. Hitler himself responded to the Jewish boycott and the threats in a speech on March 28 - four days after the original Jewish declaration of war - saying: Now that the domestic enemies of the nation have been eliminated by the Volk itself, what we have long been waiting for will not come to pass. The Communist and Marxist criminals and their Jewish-intellectual instigators, who, having made off with their capital stocks across the border in the nick of time, are now unfolding an unscrupulous, treasonous campaign of agitation against the German Volk as a whole from there....Lies and slander of positively hair-raising perversity are being launched about Germany. Horror stories of dismembered Jewish corpses, gouged out eyes and hacked off hands are circulating for the purpose of defaming the German Volk in the World for the second time, just as they had succeeded in doing once before in 1914. **Zionists commit crimes against Jews and others and then play victim to demonize the other side.**

Thus, the fact - one conveniently left out of nearly all history on the subject - is that Hitler's March 28, 1933 boycott order was in direct response to the declaration of war on Germany by the Worldwide Jewish leadership just four days earlier. Today, Hitler's boycott order is described as a naked act of aggression, yet the full circumstances leading up to his order are seldom described in even the most ponderous and detailed histories of "the Holocaust". Not even Saul Friedlander in his otherwise comprehensive overview of German policy, Nazi Germany and the Jews, mentions the fact that the Jewish declaration of war and boycott preceded Hitler's speech of March 28, 1933. Discerning readers would be wise to ask why Friedlander felt this item of history so irrelevant. The simple fact is that it was organized international Zionism as a political entity - and not even the German Jewish community per se - that actually initiated the first shot in the war with Germany. Germany's response was a defensive - not an offensive - measure. Were that fact widely known today, it would cast new light on the subsequent events that ultimately led to the World-wide conflagration that followed. To understand Germany's reaction to the Jewish declaration of war, it is vital to understand the critical state of the German economy at the time. In 1933, the German economy was in a shambles. Some 3 million Germans were on public assistance with a total of 6 million unemployed. Hyper-inflation had destroyed the economic vitality of the German nation. Furthermore, the anti-German propaganda pouring out of the global press strengthened the resolve of Germany's enemies, especially the Poles and their hawkish military high command. The Jewish leaders were not bluffing. The boycott was an act of war not solely in metaphor: it was a means, well crafted, to destroy Germany as a political, social and economic entity. The long term purpose of the Jewish boycott against Germany was to bankrupt her with respect to the reparation payments imposed on Germany after World War I and to keep Germany demilitarized and vulnerable. The boycott, in fact, was quite crippling to Germany. Jewish scholars such as Edwin Black have reported that, in response to the boycott, German exports were cut by 10 percent, and that many were demanding seizing German assets in foreign countries (Edwin Black, *The Transfer Agreement - The Untold Story*). Yet, the other little-known fact is that just before the war began, the leadership of the World Jewish community formally declared war on Germany - above and beyond the ongoing six-year-long economic boycott launched by the Worldwide Jewish community when the Nazi Party came to power in 1933.

Congressman Louis T. McFadden before the House of Representatives, Congressional Record, June 15, 1934, in the midst of the Great Depression: At the present time the Soviet Union is in debt. From the date of Trotsky's return to Russia the course of Russian history has, indeed, been greatly affected by the operations of international bankers. They have acted through German and English institutions and have kept Russia in bondage to themselves. Their relatives in Germany have drawn immense sums of money from the United States and have in turn financed their agents in Russia at a handsome profit. The Soviet Government has been given United States Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve banks acting through the Chase Bank and the Guaranty Trust Co. and other banks in New York City. England, no less than Germany, has drawn money from us through the Federal Reserve banks and has re-lent it at high rates of interest to the Soviet Government or has used it to finance her sales to Soviet Russia and her engineering works within the Russian boundaries. The Dnieperstroy Dam was built with funds unlawfully taken from the United States Treasury by the corrupt and dishonest Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks. Mr. Speaker, an immense amount of United States money has been used abroad in preparations for war and in the acquisition and the manufacture of war supplies. Germany is said to be part owner of a large poison-gas factory at Troitsk on Russian soil. China is almost completely Sovietized, and in the Asiatic interior huge stocks of munitions are said to be stored awaiting the day when the war lords of the United States will ship United States troops to Asia. Mr. Speaker, the United States should look before it leaps into another war, especially a war in Asia. It should decide whether it is worthwhile to join hands with Russia and China in a war against Japan. For myself, I say and I have said it often that the United States should remember George Washington's advice. It should mind its own business and stay home. It should not permit the Jewish international bankers to drive it into another war so that they and their

Gentile fronts and sycophants by way of Louis McHenry Howe, the graftmaster, may reap rich profits on everything an army needs from toilet kits to airplanes, submarines, tanks gas masks, poison gas, ammunition, bayonets, guns, and other paraphernalia and instruments of destruction.

British undersecretary of foreign affairs Vansittard wrote the same as **Churchill said** in a famous 1940 memo. Vansittard added 'that Germany had caused trouble since 1871', in that year Bismarck unified Germany, the resulting Germany was an economic threat to the aging British empire Günther W.Gellermann, 'Geheime Wege zum Frieden, Ausgewählte Initiativen zur Beendigung des Krieges 1940/1942', Bonn 1995.

Here's the story behind the story: Chaim Weizmann, president of both the international "Jewish Agency" and of the World Zionist Organization (and later Israel's first president), told British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain in a letter published in *The London Times* on September 6, 1939 that: I wish to confirm, in the most explicit manner, the declarations which I and my colleagues have made during the last month, and especially in the last week, that the Jews stand by Great Britain and will fight on the side of the democracies. Our urgent desire is to give effect to these declarations [against Germany]. We wish to do so in a way entirely consonant with the general scheme of British action, and therefore would place ourselves, in matters big and small, under the coordinating direction of His Majesty's Government. The Jewish Agency is ready to enter into immediate arrangements for utilizing Jewish manpower, technical ability, resources, etc. In fact, Polish Zionists then carried out the massacre of Ethnic Germans in the German area that was occupied by Poland after World Word I.



1939: Deaths in Poland: the Fate of the Ethnic Germans massacred by Zionist militias which then led to the invasion of Poland by Germany and the start of WW2:

Edwin Erich Dwinger, <http://judicial-inc.biz/Bromberg.htm> . The expulsion and mass murder of the ethnic Germans before and at the start of orld War Two in Poland was by no means restricted to the Bloody Sunday of Bromberg, a massacre that is all too often downplayed or even denied outright today. This book, dating from 1940 and published here for the first time in English to commemorate the 65th anniversary of these events in September 2004, lets the reader experience almost first-hand the terrible fate of tens of thousands of ethnic Germans in Poland in September 1939. A shameful blot on the pages of Polish history, for all Polish post-War generations to bear for all time! [440Kb / 18 pages - or 854 Kb with the detailed photos] Shofar FTP Archive File: orgs/british/daily-express/judea-declares-war.

In the **Bromberg massacres**, an estimated total of 58,000 German civilians were slaughtered. A website on the atrocity at [Bromberg](http://judicial-inc.biz/Bromberg.htm) explains how **Polish Bolshevik Zionists** massacred 5,500 **Germans**, on one 'Bloody Sunday, in **1939**. Germany then invaded Poland to protect Germans. The anti-Semitic event we know as "Crystal Night" was supposedly a time when Germans spontaneously decided to go on a rampage, but there is evidence that the event was planned and organized by Zionists who were pretending to be Nazis (sounds familiar, fake terror to demonize the other party). <http://judicial-inc.biz/Kristallnacht.htm>

Bolshevik leaders of the Ponz, Warsaw and Lodz Jewish Zionist groups. The anti-Semitic event we know as "Crystal Night" was supposedly a time



when Germans spontaneously decided to go on a rampage, but there is evidence that the event was planned and organized by **Zionists** who were pretending to be Nazis: <http://judicial-inc.biz/Kristallnacht.htm> .

The Protestant German Minister of the Heart of Yeshua-Joshua Church in Bromberg, in silent prayer before the bodies of murdered ethnic Germans from Bromberg.

To recap, WW 2 was started over the Danzig corridor which was part of Germany until the Versailles treaty gave it to Poland. The 1918



Versailles Treaty decimated

Germany - causing a depression enabling Jews and Zionists to buy up vast amounts of German industry and left Germans bitter. International Jewry who represented 80% of those attending the Versailles treaty forced Germany to give a portion of their country (Danzig Corridor http://www.erdmond.com/Polish_Corridor.html) to Poland.



Following Germany's annexation of Austria in 1938 and most of Czechoslovakia in 1939, the Nazi regime turned its attention to Poland. Edward "Colonel" House was Jewry's lead man, a Rothschild employee who previously helped form the fraudulent Federal Reserve. In 1937, Germany was negotiating with Poland to give Germany a 1 mile access to their province of East Prussia. Both parties were in agreement when in 1939 Poland suddenly broke off all negotiations because earlier in 1938, Roosevelt gave Churchill assurances that the USA would be brought into war against Germany. On March 31, 1939, Britain and France secretly guaranteed Poland's defense. Poland then threatened war emboldened by Roosevelt and Churchill guarantees. Rydz Smigly and Ignacy Moscicki, the head of Poland's army and it's president were saber rattling. Smigly said -- "Poland wants war with Germany and Germany will not be able to avoid it even if she wants to." The Polish Bolshevik Zionist then killed some 58,000 German Nationals in the Danzig corridor, a mass killing of thousands of civilian ethnic Germans (Volksdeutsche) by both civilian and Russian NDVK Zionist Jews. Many apparently expected to take possession of German farms and businesses after their massacre. Poles had been slaughtering anything or anybody German as early as April 1939, with smaller incidents stretching back to the close of WW I -- you haven't been told that by the Mass Media, or the fact that these atrocities were one of the main causes for the German invasion of Poland. Germany had been protesting in writing to the League of Nations literally dozens of times with no results. On the Bromberg Bloody Sunday, on one day alone - Polish Zionist, under the protection of the Polish Army, attacked this small German town and viciously killed 5500 Germans. Groups of Bolsheviks attacked from Ponz, Lotz and Warsaw approached the town and started killing the farmers on the outskirts. <http://www.wintersonnenwende.com/scriptorium/english/archives/articles/jdecwar.html> .



1938: Roosevelt gave Churchill assurances that the USA would be brought into war against Germany. Churchill to Lord Robert Boothby: "Germany's unforgivable crime before the second World war," Churchill said, " was her attempt to extricate her economic power from the World's trading system and to create her own exchange mechanism which would deny World finance its opportunity to profit.", quoted in the Foreword, 2nd Ed. Sydney Rogerson, Propaganda in the Next War 2001, orig. 1938.

No debt-free, or interest-free, money has been issued in America since Abraham Lincoln did it in 1863 to help finance the Civil War. He was later assassinated, by an agent of the Rothschild Bank. We will show later that most of the owners of the large banks and the US Presidents are/have descended from the European Nobility and are connected with the Rothschild European banks. The Saracen Islamic Empire forbade interest on money, for 1,000 years and its wealth outshone even Saxon Europe. Mandarin China issued its own money, interest-free, and debt-free, and historians and collectors of art, today, consider those centuries to be China's time of greatest wealth, culture and peace. Germany issued debt-free and interest-free money, from 1935 accounting for its startling rise from the depression to a World power in 5 years (Hitler was created for the purpose of providing an excuse to attack Germany and get its banking system back into the hands of the Illuminatis). Germany financed its entire government, and war operations, from 1935 to 1945, without gold and without debt, and it took the whole Capitalist and Communist World to destroy the German power and bring it back under the heel of the Illuminati Bankers (by the way, Napoleon was put away because he too wanted to take away the power to create money from the Banking Dynasties and put it in the hands of the state; Communism was launched to get control of the Tsar's bank for the Illuminatis). Now you have a better understanding of what World War II was all about. Hitler was created to give an excuse for the Illuminati Bankers to wage war just like Terrorism and Al-Qaeda are created today for the Illuminati Bankers to wage war against whom they wish to exploit. Several Arab nations issue interest-free loans to their citizens, today, and their money can be easily backed by OIL.




March 31, 1939: Britain and France guarantee Poland's defense (Anglo French Assurance Pact). They secretly made a pact with Poland to come to their defense if Germany attacked. <http://www.indiana.edu/~league/1939.htm>

1st Sept 1939 Midnight, Poland Declares war on Germany, Radio Warsaw claiming that Polish troops would be in Berlin by the end of the week. (Poland had 40 Infantry



Divisions up against 35 German divisions and were on home ground.) 5 hours later Germany moved troops into Poland. 3rd Sept. Gt. Britain and France Declare war on Germany. 3rd Sept 1939 Polish Militia slaughter 5,700 German civilians in Bromberg. 6th Sept 1939, Chaim Weizmann Head of the Jewish Agency for Palestine officially joins the Allies in the war. 1948 : Three years after the war, Israel officially declares Germany to be 'An Enemy of the State of Israel'.

Poland threatens war: Emboldened by Roosevelt and Churchill guarantees Rydz Smigly and Ignacy Moscicki , the head of Poland's army and it's president were saber rattling . Smigly said -- "Poland wants war with Germany and Germany will not be able to avoid it even if she wants to." He threatened to overrun Germany in three days.



About 9.3 million Germans died needlessly soon after the war...they (the Allies) did not end the killing at the end of the war but increased it...far more German civilians died in five years of peace than soldiers in six years of war. This Allied policy of annihilation was nothing new. After World War I, the Allies starved to death nearly a million Germans (cf. C. Paul Vincent, "The Politics of Hunger: The Allied Blockade of Germany, 1915-1919"). The chief architect of the post WWII genocide was the Zionist-American Henry Morgenthau. Morgenthau's plan for the systematic starvation of the German people through the destruction of their industrial capacity (and hence their ability to purchase grain for import) was officially dropped by the Americans. But in late November of 1944, Pres. Franklin Roosevelt told John Maynard Keynes in secret that the plan would be implemented. US Secretary of State Cordell Hull acknowledged that the Morgenthau Plan would mean the deaths of some 20 million Germans by starvation. What finally assured the prolonged starvation of Germans was the enforced reduction of industry. By autumn 1945, industrial production was deliberately reduced to around 25-30% of pre-war levels, thus preventing the chance of buying food imports...The Allied slowed oil production to a trickle, closed down factories, kept the labor force imprisoned, confiscated or destroyed factories and machinery...By false accounting, the Allies also refused to credit the value of some German exports to the German account, making it impossible for Germans to earn foreign currency to buy food. In Berlin in September 1945, an official estimated that half the children aged three and under would not survive the next year. The infant mortality rate in Berlin at that time was nearly 100%. Sounds familiar? Think of the boycott of Iraq since 1991!

In Hamburg in 1946, in the British zone of occupation, while thousands of tons of surplus military food stockpiles were left to rot, 100,000 Germans were in the last stages of starvation. In January of 1946, **US Senator Kenneth Wherry stated**, "The American people should know once and for all that as a result of this government's official policy they are being made...accomplices in the crime of mass starvation...Germany is the only nation subjected to a deliberate starvation policy..." Bacque notes that, Red Cross food trains were sent back to Switzerland; all foreign governments were denied permission to send food to German civilians; fertilizer production was sharply reduced...The fishing fleet was kept in port while people starved. British soldiers actually blew up one fishing boat in front of the eyes of astonished Germans. When the Mennonite Central Committee in Canada and the US attempted to send food to the German people, the Allied military governments refused the necessary permits. In Great Britain, even the concept of voluntary aid via food parcels from Britain's civilians was anathema to Whitehall in October, 1945. Such aid to Germans was forbidden. The Nazi commander Arthur Seyss-Inquart was hanged at Nuremberg for withholding food from the Dutch, even though he ordered an airlift of food supplies to Holland when the Germans themselves were hard-pressed for food. American naval officer Capt. Albert Behnke compared the German civilians under the Allies with the conditions in Holland under the Germans, for which Seyss-Inquart had been executed as a war criminal: Germany was subjected to physical and psychic trauma unparalleled in history. The Germans under the Allies fared much worse than the Dutch under the Germans and for far longer.

Furthermore, French occupiers took a terrible toll on German women, children and elderly, by forced seizure of food. They also instituted a campaign of mass rape of German women in Stuttgart. German churches in the French zone of occupation flew black flags to mark the interminable deaths from starvation that took place under the heel of the French. The official ration in the French zone in January, 1947 was 450 calories per day...After the starvation process was assured and set irretrievably in motion (after hundreds of thousands of Germans were still alive but too far gone to be saved from death), the Allies allowed token relief. Bacque notes that, "By the time such pacifist organizations as the Mennonites of Canada were permitted to send food to their co-religionists in Germany, in June 1946, the overall death rate in Germany had risen to more than double the normal rate". In this way the Allies covered their bases. Millions of Germans could be exterminated while a few thousand were rescued, thereby providing camouflage for the genocide. The Marshall plan is part of this myth, showcasing the spotless virtue of the American government in its great benevolence to the Germans. But the Marshall Plan came after the mass starvations had been effected. The plan itself was an accounting trick and a public relations scam. Had the Germans been left alone, they could have, through their own famous work ethic, provided for themselves much better than the Marshall Plan did. Bacque points out that The Americans took from Germany at least 20 times the amount Germans retained under the Marshall Plan.

In the east, a great ethnic cleansing of Germans was under way perpetrated by Poles and Czechs. The Expulsion as it has tepidly come to be called, was authorized under the Potsdam agreement signed by Stalin, Churchill and Truman. More than 16 million Germans were uprooted from ancestral German lands. The atrocities visited upon these German civilians included gruesome mutilations, forced rape, starvation and mass shootings. Anyone who attempted to furnish German children with food, shelter or medicine was shouted at as a Nazi by his fellow Czechs. And then the army of Russian rapists arrived. At Neisse in Upper Silesia, the village priest wrote, During the first night of the Russian occupation, many of the nuns were raped as many as 50 times. German nuns who resisted were knocked down,

kicked, beaten, struck in the face with the butt-ends of revolvers and rifles until they collapsed into unconsciousness and then were raped in that state. Many of the German priests in the East were murdered by Poles and Russians in the spring and summer of 1945. In Upper Silesia 45 priests were murdered because they remained with their flocks to the bitter end. Other German Christians also suffered horribly. Thousands of German Mennonites were beaten and shot at by British troops who tried to force them onto trains bound for the Soviet Gulag, for example at Liezein in Austria in June, 1945. Hundreds of thousands of Germans were forced into former Nazi concentration camps operated by Polish Jews. Not many Germans survived these camps. (Cf. John Sack, "An Eye for an Eye").

Today when a German survivor attempts to relate what happened he is hampered...by incredulity, by cover ups...For instance, bones discovered in 1976 and 1981 in mass graves at Kaltwasser/Bromberg were returned to the ground without any marker when it was decided by a Polish commission investigating Nazi war crimes that the dead had been German. Bacque says that Analogous incidents have occurred at Lambach in Austria and at Rheinberg, Erfurt and Bretzenheim in Germany. Of the more than 16 million German civilians expelled from the East, Bacque states that 2.1 million are officially acknowledged as having died during their expulsion to central Germany, but that another 2.5 million are unaccounted for and that most of these also died, putting the total deaths among the German civilians of Eastern Europe at well over four million. But there is virtually no mention in the establishment media of these Allied war crimes--nine million German civilians needlessly and unconscionably murdered by the Allies after WWII ended. This gruesome, unsung real mass holocaust must not be forgotten or whitewashed.

"As a person who grew up on Jewish ethics, I am at a great loss and shame to see our Zionist Rabbis justifying the present Moslem holocaust in the name of God and our Jewish religion. One thing I am sure of, if there is God, he is NOT 'Zionistically' Jewish. After reading many non-Zionists Jewish websites, I started believing as do many Rabbis in New York, that **Zionism is: Satan hijacking our Jewish religion.**" [referred to as the Pharisees, the Synagogue of Satan whom Yeshuah-Yeshua-Joshua drove out of the temple in Jerusalem, i.e. Babylonian Nimrodic idolatry priests] - Rose Rabbinovich, rabbinovich@bluemail.ch, Former Zionist, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. Note that so-called "Christian evangelists" are doing the same pro-war-invasion-occupation-enslavement propaganda."



Hitler was from the Zionist Khazar Ashkenazi Rothschild banklord family. Hitler's driver was also Jewish, this is in contradiction with the propaganda image the public has been conditioned with of Hitler. Emil Maurice with Hitler in this picture. Many Jews were among Hitler's followers at all level, including the high ranking Alfred Rosenberg, Hermann Goering, Reinhard Heydrich, Adolf Eichmann, Dr. Otto Gustav Wachter, etc.. Hitler's Jewish Clairvoyant was Erik Jan Hanussen. **"The following is a partial list of Jews who participated in the Revolutionary War: Major George Bush, Major Louis Bush, Major Soloman Bush."**-National Jewish Welfare Board, Jewish Calander for Soldiers and Sailors 1943-1944, ["The Jews in the Wars of the United States"](#) Note that the Rockefellers, Rothchilds, Clinton, Mikhail Gorbachev (Orbach-Korbach), Lenin, Joseph V. Stalin (Iosiph David Vissarionovich Djugashvili-Kochba), Gengrich Yagoda, Karl Marx, Mark Rich (Reich), Christian Rakovsky (Chaim Rakover), F.D. Roosevelt, etc. are from Khazar Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and all occultists. Wall Street funded the Nazis, including Prescott Bush (grandfather of George W.)

Papal Christianity endured for so long because of violence and intolerance of other Worldviews. The mass media now performs the role that the papal church did in the medieval World. If you have media power you can make people believe anything and you can demonize anyone, remember Orson Well's war of the Worlds? In many cases I find when leafleting that the problem is that people don't want to realise that the World is so corrupt and that History can be fabricated, they would rather rationalise revisionism away. This is why ZOGs imprison revisionists to exploit this tendency. The Holocaust itself is so grossly exaggerated that the fact that people are out questioning it is enough to wake up many people. Just to ask someone sharply "Are you really so stupid that you believed the human soap lie?" is often enough to wake them up. The media has been used to create a mass psychosis. It is obvious to me that the holocaust satisfies some deep psychological needs in over-socialised people.

During his tumultuous rise to the pinnacles of power **Adolf Hitler was often accused of being Jewish.** Even the leaders of the National Party which he sought to lead, ridiculed Hitler as a 'Jew' and for 'behaving like a Jew' as did many of his enemies. In 1933, it was reported in a London newspaper that a gravestone was found in a Jewish cemetery in Bucharest, inscribed with Hebrew characters that spelled out: Adolf Hitler. Adolf Hitler was a Jewish name... but this 'Adolf Hitler' had been born in 1832, fifty years before the birth of the future dictator of Germany. Before coming to power, Adolf Hitler was also a target of widespread ridicule, and was mocked by enemies and the press who questioned his ancestry and who laughably referred to him as 'Adolf Schickelgruber.' 'Schickelgruber' had been the name of Adolf's maternal grandmother, and for 39 years, the name of his father, Alois. Although the 'Schickelgruber' moniker rankled the rising dictator, what concerned him and what he feared most was the history behind the name: **the discovery that he was part 'Jew;'** a fear he repeatedly voiced long after coming to power. **'People must not know who I am,'** he ranted, and then ranted again when informed that his family history was being investigated. **'They must not know where I came from.'** Long before and well after Hitler became Chancellor of Germany, considerable effort was expended to falsify, erase or destroy the records from his past. Repeated investigations were conducted by the Gestapo who repeatedly visited his ancestral village in Austria, questioning and threatening anyone that had been associated with the Hitler family. Hitler was so concerned that when he annexed Austria, in 1938, he ordered that his **family's ancestral village, Dollersheim, and all neighboring villages be destroyed.** His armies marched in and then cleared out and forcibly evacuated the villagers who were dispersed far and wide. And then Hitler in fact, made it

disappear, erased it from the face of the Earth. His armies bombed Dollersheim and all neighboring villages into oblivion as part of a training exercise. Even his father's and grandmother's graves were obliterated and no trace remains. Nevertheless, what has survived the ravages of time, purposeful destruction and clever forgery, is the fact that Adolf's father, Alois Schickelgruber was the illegitimate son of a female servant, Maria Anna Schickelgruber. Maria Anna became pregnant while living as a servant in a Jewish household --a common servant girl fate. It was assumed by family members and villagers alike that she'd been impregnated by the head of the house, Baron Rothschild (Langer, 1973; Payne, 1973); a rumor that the Austrian Secret police claimed to have confirmed when ordered by Austrian Chancellor Dollfuss to conduct a thorough investigation. Later, when Hitler orchestrated the anschluss of Austria and German troops marched in, he had Dollfuss murdered, and in addition to destroying Dollersheim, ordered that all documents related to that investigation be destroyed. Hitler's own nephew, William Patrick Hitler, and Adolf's personnel attorney, Hans Frank, claimed that his grandfather was a wealthy 'Granz Jew' by the name of Frankenberger who in turn 'paid a maintenance allowance [by Rothschild] from the time of the child's birth until his fourteenth year.' As Frank reported to Hitler, and as he recounted at his Nuremberg war crimes trial, based on what he learned 'the possibility cannot be dismissed that Hitler's father was half Jewish as a result of an extramarital relationship between the Schickelgruber woman and the Jew from Graz. This would mean that Hitler was one-quarter Jewish.'" —Rhawn Joseph, Ph.D., Hitler's Diaries, University Press, "[The Mind & God of Adolf Hitler](#)" .

"While researching in Germany, I was surprised to find I was a Jew. Yes, Adolf Hitler was a Jew. So was Reinhard Heydrich, who was in charge of the Final Solution and the NAZI Death Camps."—Dr. Bryan Mark Rigg, PhD, winner of the Bill Colby Award, the CIA's top prize for journalism, author of [Hitler's Jewish Soldiers: The Untold Story of Nazi Racial Laws and Men of Jewish Descent in the German Military](#) (150,000 Jews served in the German military and Gestapo during World War 2, including admirals and generals), on Pentagon's C-SPAN TV in 2004, C-SPAN.ORG

"Adolf Hitler believed he was a Jew. That's why he ordered his home town in Austria bombed into oblivion, BEFORE World War 2 began, to destroy his birth records, and destroy all tombstones and cemeteries." —Ron Rosenbaum (a Jew), author of [Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil](#), on History Channel's [History Undercover: Hitler and Stalin: Roots of Evil](#)

"Alfred Rosenberg was half Jewish and joined with Hitler in 1919. He had immigrated from Russia and had brought with him to Germany, the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, which he translated and which purports to detail a Jewish plot to take over the World. Rosenberg became a leader of the Nazi party, he advocated the mass murder of Jews, and was condemned to death after his conviction at the Nuremberg trials at the end of the war. Hermann Goering, the number 2 man in the Nazi hierarchy, was also condemned to death, but cheated the Hangman's noose by taking poison. Like Rosenberg, Herman Goering was believed to be half Jewish. His mother, although married to a German, was also the mistress of a wealthy Jew [Rothschild, and also employed in the household of Baron Rothschild], and it was rumored that the Jew and not the German, was his true father. And then there is Reinhard Heydrich who was also believed to be half Jewish. Reinhard Heydrich organized the 'Office for Jewish Emigration' which later became the office of extermination. It has been said the Heydrich was so tormented by his Jewish ancestry and the 'Jew within' that he sought to cleanse himself by orchestrating the extermination of all European Jews." —Rhawn Joseph, Ph.D., Hitler's Diaries, University Press, "[The Mind & God of Adolf Hitler](#)", quoting Ron Rosenbaum (a Jew), author of [Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil](#)

"They are responsible for some 60 million deaths. They ruled their countries with iron fists, squashing all dissent and directing government-sponsored programs of terror against their own citizens. Drawing on the latest findings and expert analysis from leading psychologists and historians, HITLER AND STALIN: ROOTS OF EVIL examines the 20th century's worst villains. The parallels are striking: both had abusive fathers and doting mothers, both were extremely insecure about their physical appearance and ashamed of their backgrounds, and both came to power at roughly the same time. From Hitler's 'Jewish nose' to Stalin's deformed foot, the Final Solution to the Gulags, this incisive special compares the backgrounds and policies of these two despots, interpreting the latest evidence and theories in the hopes of illuminating the personal, emotional and mental underpinnings of their actions." —[HistoryChannel.com](#), [History Undercover: Hitler and Stalin: Roots of Evil](#)

"A new biography tells the bizarre tale of the Jewish psychic who met with the future Führer for private sessions and predicted his rise. In the weeks leading up to Adolf Hitler's appointment as Reichschancellor on Jan. 30, 1933, there was nothing inevitable about the Austrian corporal's ascension to power. Results of the 1932 November Reichstag elections were disappointing for his National Socialist Party, with the Nazis suffering losses in the German parliament while retaining about a third of the seats there. Nazi coffers had been drained dry by the campaign. Hitler had endured significant defections from his movement and threatened suicide. Some Nazis began to wonder if he had the right stuff to be their Führer. It was at this point that Hitler, falling back on his belief in the occult (which is the Babylonian cult of apostate Jews), called the most renowned clairvoyant in the land to his headquarters at the Hotel Kaiserhof in Berlin for a private session. The man Hitler met with that day is the subject of a recent biography, [Erik Jan Hanussen: Hitler's Jewish Clairvoyant](#), by Mel Gordon. Hitler became a Hanussenite when in March of 1932 the psychic's own weekly newspaper, Erik Jan Hanussen's Berliner Wochenschau, printed the startling prophecy that within one year's time the future Führer would become Reichschancellor. By the end of the month, Hitler had cut a deal with his enemies and become titular head of a coalition government. One can only wonder the intensity of his rage, if the raving [Zionist] anti-Semite had known at the time that the man he had adopted as his personal

soothsayer, the chap nicknamed 'the Prophet of the Third Reich,' the decadent mystic who had just run his hands through his Aryan locks, was in fact ... a Jew. Hanussen started life as Hermann Steinschneider, with a birth certificate that read 'Hebrew male.' **'It's like saying, "Hitler's favorite rabbi,"** people are waiting for the punch line,' confesses Gordon. **'But it's not a joke.'** The burning of the Reichstag on Feb. 27, 1933, [similar to 9-11 orchestrated by the Neocon Zionists Cabal] for which German communists took the fall, paved the way for the consolidation of power in Hitler's hands and the suspension of all civil liberties. Eerily, the day before, Hanussen had predicted the event through a medium during the opening soiree of his newly minted pagan temple, the Palace of the Occult, a marble and gold-decked Taj Mahal of the black arts in Berlin decorated with astrological signs and religious statues. There, in the presence of Nazi officials and assorted VIPs, the seer claimed to see a 'great house' in flames during a séance in his sanctum sanctorum, the Room of Glass. Hours later, the Reichstag was engulfed in a mysterious conflagration. Despite his Semitic origins, Hanussen had extremely close ties to the Nazi party. He had lent hundreds of thousands of marks to high-ranking leaders of the Nazis, like Hermann Goering, and held IOUs from them. He had befriended Count Wolf Heinrich von Helldorf, the sadistic, depraved commander of Berlin's SA, and referred to Hitler as 'my pal Adolf.' Certainly, Hanussen could have had inside information of a Reichstag plot. Or perhaps he was even more directly involved. Hanussen may have hypnotized the fall guy van der Lubbe to do his bidding, either with or without the help of Nazi conspirators. Gordon, who is himself Jewish, asserts his belief that Hanussen somehow participated in a plot to set fire to the Reichstag. 'The other story is why he was killed. That is, he had to be eliminated because he knew too much,' says Gordon. There were other reasons why the Nazis wanted Hanussen dead. Goebbels and Goering both saw him as an interloper and a potential rival for the Führer's attentions, and there was the little matter of all those IOUs Hanussen had collected. Hanussen also, supposedly, had film footage of SA members involved in homosexual orgies. The communist press had long published reports that Hanussen was Jewish, but it wasn't until the Reichstag fire bequeathed totalitarian powers to the Nazis and allowed them to eliminate the communists as a threat that they had the time to focus on Hanussen's bloodline. In a missive written in invisible ink, he informed a colleague, 'I always thought that business about the Jews was just an election trick of theirs. It wasn't.' On the morning of March 25, 1933, Hanussen was arrested by the SA and summarily executed. His lifeless body was left in a field on the outskirts of Berlin."—Stephen Lemons, Salon.com, ["Hitler's clairvoyant"](#), Feb. 27, 2002

NASA NAZIs, like next-door neighbor Waffen SS Baron Wernher von Braun, worked in the underground ICBM factory at Nordhausen, Germany. 25,000 slaves were genocided there, according to [History Channel TV's "Modern Marvels"](#). Then the NAZI warcriminals were hired by the Pentagon and OSS/CIA, after trading 50,000 US and British POWs to Communist Russia for their slave camps and genocidal medical experiments, according to ABC News' 20/20. Maybe that's why NASA named its Apollo program for SATAN, maybe as revealed in [Revelation 9:11](#)? As reported in Parade magazine on February 9, 1986, Elliot Roosevelt [who, as part of [Operation APHRODITE](#), **eyewitnessed and photographed the explosion that killed potential presidential candidate Joseph Kennedy Jr, JFK's older brother**, while piloting a robot drone B-24 Liberator bomber packed with explosives as a Kamikaze Bunker Buster bomb to attack Wernher Von Braun's NAZI ICBM launch sites in France, as reported in History Channel's Dead Men's Secrets] wrote that he had visited [genocidal Communist dictator of Russia Joseph] Stalin in 1946 for an interview. This had reminded him of something quite extraordinary that had occurred at the time of [his father] President Roosevelt's sudden death less than two months after the Yalta Conference [to give half of Europe and Asia and 50,000 Allied POW slaves to Communist Russia]. According to Elliot Roosevelt, this is what Stalin said: 'When your father died, I sent my ambassador with a request that he be allowed to view the remains and report to me what he saw. Your mother refused. I have never forgiven her' 'But why?' Elliot asked. 'They poisoned your father, of course, just as they have tried repeatedly to poison me. Your mother would not allow my representative to see evidence of that. But I know. They poisoned him!' "'They'? Who are "They"?' Elliot asked. 'The Churchill gang! Stalin roared. 'They poisoned your father, and they continue to try to poison me. The Churchill gang!' When Ho Chi Minh signed the Declaration of Independence for his new nation in September, 1945, he read from the text of that document: 'A people who have courageously opposed French domination for more than eighty years, a people who have fought side by side with the Allies against the Fascists during these last years - such a people must be free and independent.' Ho Chi Minh did not yet realize that the hated enemies of World War II were soon to be America's bosom friends. The former Fascists (who had helped kill 20 million people), Germany, Italy and Japan were now to be known as friendly 'anti-Communists,' during the instantly-created World-wide Cold War. We do not have precise answers as to why we gave U.S. arms to Ho Chi Minh in 1945 and then a few years later provided Ho's enemy, the French with \$3 billion of our arms. Who had directed that one-half of that great stockpile of weapons and other warmaking materiel that was delivered to Okinawa for use during the invasion of Japan, should, instead, be transhipped to Vietnam? Questions like these require that we begin to think in terms of an awareness of a super power elite that can, and does, make such monumental decisions. Lest it appear that I am making these allegations out of thin air, may I suggest that others, now and at other times, have come to similar conclusions. Winston Churchill, in conversations with intimate friends during World War II, made reference to a ['High Cabal'](#). The 'Why Vietnam?' question causes us to later ask, 'Why John F. Kennedy?' To probe further, why did the Vietnam War cause the dean of American military correspondents, Hansen W. Baldwin, to write, in the forward to Admiral USG Sharp's book Strategy of Defeat, the following: '...for this first defeat in American history - the historical blame must be placed squarely where it belongs - not primarily upon our military leaders - but upon the very top civilian policy makers in Washington, specifically the Commander in Chief [President Lyndon B. Johnson].' Admiral Sharp, who was the commander in chief of the Pacific (CINCPAC), wrote, 'The Vietnam episode was one of the most controversial eras in US history. When we accepted defeat, we seemed to be clearly saying to the World that we had ultimately lost our concern for the responsibilities, indeed the honor, that goes with a leadership role. If this is indeed true, I fear for the peace of the World.'"—[Colonel Fletcher Prouty](#), USAF (retired, DOA summer 2001), chief of special operations and as intelligence liaison for the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the Pentagon, from Prouty's autobiography

[JFK - The CIA, Vietnam, And The Plot To Assassinate John F. Kennedy](#) (censored in paperback) (a/k/a "Mr. "X" (portrayed by Donald Sutherland) in Oliver Stone's documentary of New Orleans District Attorney General Judge Jim Garrison: JFK (2 video set plus real-World documentary interviews with eyewitnesses from Warren Report et al), that induced US Congress to order declassification of 2-million pages of assassination archives, resulting in the ultimate Smoking Gun: the declassification of Pentagon's plot for domestic terrorism and treason via its)

The following are some of the Nazis hired to work for the CIA on unethical experiments: Dr. Sidney Gottlieb. Ex head CIA Chemical Biological Warfare program. Testified in congressional hearings about developing a system of running a pipe under a target's door to deliver incapacitating, poisonous or suggestibility increasing gas. Dr. Ray Teichler. Gottlieb's assistant, and CIA liaison at Edgewood Arsenal. Assistant to Edgewood Medical Laboratories division of human experimentation director. Dr. Albert Klingman. Participated in joint CIA/Army program at Edgewood, got prison inmates for these experiments; **also had a climatic control chamber.** Edgewood Arsenal. Run by U.S. Army Chemical Corps, which had acquired 8 Nazi scientists. Dr. Robley Evans. **Radiation** in WW II, using some data from **ongoing radiation experiments on humans**, mostly by Dr. Boris Rajewski. Asked for conscientious objectors to be sent to him for this. Dr. Boris Rajewski. **Radiation** experimenters Gerhard Schubert, Hermann Daenzer, Wolfgang Luther, Dieter Strang, Arthur Demnitz, Dr. Robert Stone, Col. Boris Pash made CIA assassination teams from Nazi recruits. Project Paperclip was one of several government projects after World War II whereby Nazi scientists, some were brought to the U.S. to work and live. [Other Nazis, like Klaus Barbie were used by U.S. intelligence \[to run narcotics for CIA and stage the Cocaine Coup in Bolivia for CIA and George Bush Sr\] and helped to escape from Germany and later to avoid capture \[until he was no longer useful and French intelligence kidnapped him and returned him to France for warcrimes trial\].](#)

"The New World Order is a World that has a supernational authority to regulate World commerce and industry; an international organization that would control the production and consumption of oil; an international currency that would replace the dollar; a World Development Fund that would make funds available to free and Communist nations alike; and an international police force to enforce the edicts of the New World Order." —**West German Chancellor, Willy Brandt at the Bohemian Grove Illuminati center.**

[Canada Nationalizes Banking; US Plans to Invade Canada with Poison Gas](#)

In 1934, the Canadian Government took over ownership of the then Privately Owned Bank of Canada. However, the Bank of Canada has not played the role of creating debt-free-interest-free money for Canadians as it should have, and has instead delegated this trust to the privately owned commercial banks, i.e. a re-creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve System banks. <http://www.canadianactionparty.ca/PartyInfo/Policies.asp?A=9&B=2&C=0&D=0&Language=English> <http://www.glasnost.de/hist/usa/1935invasion.html> © GLASNOST, Berlin 1992 - 2005



A 1935 US Plan for Invasion of Canada Submitted by F.W. Rudmin Queen's University Kingston, Ontario Canada. The following is an excerpt of a full-text reproduction of the 1935 plan for a US invasion of Canada prepared at the US Army War College, G-2 intelligence division, and submitted on December 18, 1935. This is the most recent declassified invasion plan available from the US archival sources. Centered pagination is that of the original document. The spelling and punctuation of the original document are reproduced as in the original document, even when in error by present-day norms. The plans were put on hold as the US rulers were distracted by Hitler. This document was first identified by Richard Preston in his 1977 book, "The Defence of the Undefended Border: Planning for War in North America 1867-1939" (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.) Preston's reference citation (p. 277) identified this to be archived at the US Military History Collection, Carlisle Barracks, Pa., coded AWC 2-1936-8, G2, no. 19A. It was located by the US National Archives and supplied on microfilm. The military planning context of this document is War Plan Red, which was approved in May 1930 by the Secretary of War and the Secretary of Navy. War Plan Red and supporting documents are available from the US National Archives on microfilm, in the Records of the Joint Board, 1903-1947, Roll 10, J.B. 325, Serial 435 through Serial 641. In War Plan Red, the US Army's theatre of operations is defined to be: "All CRIMSON territory" (p.80), and the US Army's mission, in bold type: ULTIMATELY, TO GAIN COMPLETE CONTROL OF CRIMSON (p. 84). CRIMSON is the colour code for Canada. In 1934, War Plan Red was amended to authorize the immediate **first use of poison gas** against Canadians and to use strategic bombing to destroy Halifax if it could not be captured.

In February 1935, the War Department arranged a Congressional appropriation of \$57 million dollars to build three border air bases for the purposes of pre-emptive surprise attacks on Canadian air fields. The base in the Great Lakes region was to be camouflaged as a civilian airport and was to "be capable of dominating the industrial heart of Canada, the Ontario Peninsula" from p. 61 of the February 11-13, 1935, hearings of the Committee on Military Affairs, House of Representatives, on Air Defense Bases (H.R. 6621 and H.R. 4130). This testimony was to have been secret but was published by mistake. See the New York Times, May 1, 1935, p. 1. In August 1935, the US held its largest peacetime military manoeuvres in history, with 36,000 troops converging at the Canadian border south of Ottawa, and another 15,000 held in reserve in Pennsylvania. The war game scenario was a US motorized invasion of Canada, with the defending forces initially repulsing the invading Blue forces, but eventually to lose "outnumbered and outgunned" when Blue reinforcements arrive. This according to the Army's pamphlet "Souvenir of the First Army

-40-

SUPPLEMENT NO. 3

TO

REPORT OF COMMITTEE NO. 8

SUBJECT:

CRITICAL AREAS OF CANADA AND APPROACHES THERETO

Prepared by:

SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 3

Major Charles H. Jones, Infantry, Chairman.
Lt. Col. H.W. Crawford, Engineers.

I. Papers Accompanying.

- 1. Bibliography. (Omitted, filed in Rec.Sec.)
- 2. List of Slides. "
- 3. Appendices (1 and 2). "
- 4. Annexes. (Incl. A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,K, and L) "

II. The Study Presented.

Determine under the geographical factor, the critical areas in Crimson (Canada) and the best approaches thereto for Blue. A critical area is assumed to be any area of such strategic importance to either belligerent that control thereof may have a material bearing on the outcome of the war.

III. Facts bearing on the study.

1. General Considerations:

An area in Crimson territory may be of strategic importance from the viewpoint of tactical, economic, or political considerations. In the final analysis, however, critical areas must be largely determined in the light of Red's probable line of action and Crimson's contribution to that effort.

2. Geographical Features of Canada.

- a. Location and extent. The location and extent of the Dominion of

Canada is shown on the Map herewith (see Exhibit A). It comprises the entire northern half of the the North American continent, excepting only Alaska and the coast of Labrador, a dependency of the colony of Newfoundland.....

VI. Concurrences.

The committee concurs in the foregoing conclusions.

CHARLES H. JONES
Major, Infantry,
Subcommittee Chairman.

Ambassador William E. Todd 1938 Reveals High-Cabal Feudal Conspiracy

http://www.hermes-press.com/high_cabal.htm The "High Cabal". In 1938, William E. Dodd, U.S. Ambassador to Germany, sent President Franklin Delano Roosevelt the following message: (as reported in George Seldes. Facts and Fascism, p. 122): "A clique of U.S. industrialists is hell-bent to bring a fascist state to supplant our democratic government and is working closely with the fascist regime in Germany and Italy. I have had plenty of opportunity in my post in Berlin to witness how close some of our American ruling families are to the Nazi regime.... A prominent executive of one of the largest corporations, told me point blank that he would be ready to take definite action to bring fascism into America if President Roosevelt continued his progressive policies. Certain American industrialists had a great deal to do with bringing fascist regimes into being in both Germany and Italy. They extended aid to help Fascism occupy the seat of power, and they are helping to keep it there. Propagandists for fascist groups try to dismiss the fascist scare. We should be aware of the symptoms. When industrialists ignore laws designed for social and economic progress they will seek recourse to a fascist state when the institutions of our government compel them to comply with the provisions."

HITLER'S SECRET ZIONIST BANKERS & BACKERS (Grandpa Prescott Bush & Zionist Connection)

The so-called Federal Reserve System collapsed commerce in 1928, a mere 15 years into its existence, by artificially and intentionally imposed deficiencies, just as Alan Greenspan has multiplied the profit of the Federal Reserve Banks by maintaining high interest rates ostensibly to hold down rising charges for production — while the very interest rates and consequent acceleration of the multiplication of debt alone impose higher costs on production, which must be covered by higher charges if production is to remain solvent. After a decade of collapse, with the virtual erasure of debts by system-wide insolvency, at the close of the second World War, prior to which, according to our very Congressional Record, the Federal Reserve had annually moved some sixty billion dollars of gold to Hitler, and to pay the debts of Japanese military escalation to German munitions makers, the need to rebuild Europe provided the opportunity to indebt the surviving World anew. In Europe, home of the international bankers who from the beginning were responsible for this huge disorder, Hitler prepared to become military master of the World with 60 billion in monetary gold, annually stolen from the U.S. Treasury by these 12 private banks, "The Federal Reserve," which issued worthless German paper from their sister banks to complete the heist by redeeming that worthless paper in gold. The justice of this most evil institution? Americans, their monetary reserves of gold gutted to Hitler, thereafter were outlawed of possessing it as we saw in the previous section.

Remember that Prescott Bush (Grandpa) was convicted of trading and financing the Nazis. Also, the book "Financial Origins of National Socialism" (1933) by "Sydney Warburg" provides another glimpse of how the Illuminist clique supported Hitler. This 70-page booklet was suppressed for many years but was republished in 1983 as "Hitler's Secret Backers." "Warburg" describes a July 1929 meeting with "Carter," the President of J.P. Morgan's Guarantee Trust, the Presidents of the Federal Reserve Banks, "the young Rockefeller" and "Glean from Royal Dutch." These are all Rothschild dominated. It was determined that Warburg who spoke German should travel to Germany and ask Hitler how much money he needed to overthrow the state. The only stipulation was that Hitler adopts "an aggressive foreign policy." "Warburg" details five meetings with Hitler between 1929 and 1933. The first took place in a beer cellar and Hitler calculated his needs on the back of a paper plate. About \$25 million was transferred. This was extremely important in the depth of the depression because the Nazis provided food and shelter to many of their supporters. Hitler wasn't told the reason for this support and did not ask. On two occasions, he wondered out loud if "Warburg" was himself Jewish but dismissed the idea before "Warburg" could reply. There is no "Sydney Warburg" but the internal evidence suggests the author could be James Warburg, son of Federal Reserve founder Paul Warburg. Many people dismiss this monograph as yet another fraud but the wealth of accurate detail and anecdote suggests otherwise. One wonders why anyone would go to this much trouble to alienate the most powerful people in the World if he weren't sincere. The only people with the power to suppress it are the ones it incriminates, and they have a record of suppressing similar works.

Now, why would the financial elite also want to destroy Russia, which they created? It's their method of controlling what they create. The transcript of the 1938 NKVD interrogation of C.G. Rakowsky (a.k.a Chaim Rakeover) provides the answer. Rakowsky was an intimate of Trotsky's and former Soviet ambassador to Paris. Rothschild's agent Leon Trotsky (real name Bronstein) was supposed to succeed Lenin but got sick at the critical moment. Stalin was able to assume power and divert Russia from Rothschild control. In order to control Stalin, international finance was forced to build up Hitler and the Nazi party. Rakowsky confirms that Zionist Illuminati financiers backed the Nazis although Hitler was not aware of this initially: "The ambassador Warburg presented himself under a false name and Hitler did not even guess his race... he also lied regarding whose representative he was... Our aim was to provoke a war and Hitler was war...[the Nazis] received...millions of dollars sent to it from Wall Street, and millions of Marks from German financiers through Schacht; [providing] the upkeep of the S.A and the S.S. and also the financing of the elections..."

In opposition to the Banking Dynasties, Hitler also proved intractable. He started to print his own money!

"He took over for himself the privilege of manufacturing money and not only physical moneys, but also financial ones; he took over the untouched machinery of falsification and put it to work for the benefit of the state... Are you capable of imagining what would have come ...if it had infected a number of other states and brought about the creation of a period of autarchy [absolute rule, replacing that of the bankers]. If you can, then imagine its counterrevolutionary

functions..." Hitler had become a bigger threat than Stalin, who had not meddled with money. The British were not going to make peace with Hitler because he had stopped the banker's racket. Furthermore, the Illuminati wanted an extended war, the ruin of Europe and the expansion of the USSR. The German Weimar Republic following WWI, had no chance of economic survival and Germans needed a strong leader - in came Adolf Hitler! Hitler initially embraced the fascist ideology and desired to see the end of Communism in an alliance with Britain; he desired to break the international bankers hold on Germany, as did Spain, Italy and Japan. However, his Aryan Nazi masters wanted no less than total war with their Communist enemies, basing their ideas "... on the theories and plans advocated by Karl Ritter in 1849 ... in his anti-thesis to Karl Marx's Communist Manifesto ... ("Pawns In The Game", pp.5,147). The Aryan War Lords put in place their own agents in Hitler's regime to make sure their plan (and hence the Illuminati's) came to fruition.

Then the USSR began secretly supplying arms to Hitler's war machine, financed by Wall Street, while the Illuminati agents in Britain were calling for appeasement "... to weaken the British war potential ..." ("Pawns In The Game", pp.153,154). Once the German forces had been built up enough, the same Illuminati agents called for an all out war. Hitler's finance from Wall Street came from the Rockefeller/Rothschild axis, through the Young Plan and the Dawes Plan loans of the 1920's. Companies involved included: Standard Oil-I.G. Farben (Exxon now), without which Germany's war effort was impossible; du Pont, for arms; General Motors; Ford Motor Company; General Electric; I.T.T.; and the Union Banking Corp., with George Bush's father, Prescott, a director. Originally, Winston Churchill was pro-Zionist, but anti-Bolshevist, however he went on to accumulate massive debts via his extravagant lifestyle. With bankruptcy looming in 1938, Churchill (then a member of UK parliament) knew he would be forced to vacate his seat there and he would also lose his beloved home, "Chartwell". Fortunately for him, Jewish millionaire Helry Strakosch advanced the aging politician a 150,000 pound loan and became his "advisor", reviving his political career. ("For Those Who Cannot Speak", by Michael McLaughlin, 1979). Despite Churchill's numerous political failures "... his friends, 'the international Money Barons' used their controlled press ... forced Chamberlain to resign ..." ("Pawns In The Game", page 167). Through a series of false reports the Illuminati agents had maneuvered Germany and Poland to the verge of conflict. Germany sent Poland a note outlining a peaceful solution, but due to Illuminati influence in the Polish government the note was ignored. "When Hitler tired of waiting for Poland's reply, and of being insulted by the Allied Press, he moved his armies into Poland." ("Pawns In The Game", page 165). But Britain had guaranteed Poland protection from a German attack.

Mr. J.M. Spaight, a secretary to the British Air Ministry, reveals in his book - "Bombing Vindicated" (1944) - that the "...ruthless bombing of German cities started on May 11th, 1940, "... the day Winston Churchill became Prime Minister ...". (page 167) The most prominent of the bombings was that of Dresden, a completely civilian target, which became Winston Churchill's spectacular parting shot, on the day he departed from Yalta (14 February 1945) - a REAL HOLOCAUST '

The second "Great War" went from 1939 and lasted about six long years. As **Jim Keith**, in his book "Casebook on Alternative 3", summarises it: "World War II is the story of the death of thirty-five million patriotic, slogan-shouting serfs, while billions of dollars lined the pockets of the international bankers and their cohorts, the politicians and the weapons manufacturers." (page 27). But that was nothing compared to the unimaginable wealth that was made on the reconstruction loans doled out to the war-ravaged countries. With the defeat of Germany came more negotiations at Tehran, Yalta, and Potsdam, between Churchill, Roosevelt and Stalin; they carved up Europe (giving Stalin half of it, with half of Asia) and Roosevelt had reportedly "... advocated shooting 50,000 German officers without trial." Stalin began to make wild demands and so "... atomic bombs were dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki ..." to put him back in line with the agenda. ("Pawns In The Game", page 173-4). Japan was on the verge of surrender anyway, but the secret weapons were unleashed to set the stage for the Cold War: a public fear-instilling exercise. And so was born the United Nations - in blood - on June 26 1945, soon followed by Israel in May 1948 (some call it Roth-rael), which brought the Illuminati just one step away from their ultimate goal As I have documented in this essay, these "New Jews" are the No. 1 anti-Semitism promoters in the World. The same people "rubbish" the Germans, the Palestinians, and now Australians! Why is there little mention of Soviet atrocities throughout many decades? The Russian professor, Igor Bestuzhev-Lada, stated in a 1988 issue of Nedelya that about 50 million Soviet citizens were murdered by Stalin's regime, in the hundreds of concentration camps in Siberia - what of these people? Furthermore, how can people of non-Semitic origin claim rights to the lands belonging to the Semite Arabs in the Middle East? Perhaps it's because the region holds a somewhat strategic advantage for the Zionists and their "fellow travellers". "Pawns In The Game" states on (p.48) that a British Government geologist, a Mr Cunningham- Craig, discovered "... five trillion dollars worth of minerals and oil ... in Palestine... prior to 1918. In 1939... He died under mysterious circumstances ..." following his final report's completion. I think this goes some way to explain the constant turmoil that is experienced in this area even today. It's a fight for power, wealth and territory. In truth, the World's people (including the Jews) have been sacrificed on the Rothschild (or false-Jewish) altar of greed and lust for power. As William Cart writes: "I arrived at the conclusion that it was a fatal mistake to be anti-anything but anti-evil ... the only way to defeat the diabolical international conspiracy is to educate as many people as possible in regard to the truth and convince them that they have been used as 'Pawns in the Game' ..." ("Pawns In The Game" p162). Most all will be aware of Hitler's rise to power. What they probably don't know is that he was almost completely financed by money drawn from the privately owned American Federal Reserve.

To review, after WWI, Rep. Louis McFadden said: "Germany fell into the hands of the international Bankers. Those Bankers bought her and they now own her, lock, stock, and barrel. They have purchased her industries, they have mortgages on her soil, they control her production, they control all her public utilities. The international German

Bankers have subsidised the present Government of Germany and they have also supplied every dollar of the money Adolph Hitler has used in his lavish campaign to build up threat to the government of Bruening. When Bruening fails to obey the orders of the German International Bankers, Hitler is brought forth to scare the Germans into submission... Through the Federal Reserve Board over \$30 billion of American money has been pumped into Germany. You have all heard of the spending that has taken place in Germany... Modernistic dwellings, her great planetariums, her gymnasiums, her swimming pools, her fine public highways, her perfect factories. All this was done on our money. All this was given to Germany through the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board has pumped so many billions of dollars into Germany that they dare not name the total."

This battle with Zionism has nothing to do with religion or Judaism; people of all races, religions, and nationalities are defending themselves from Zionism's predatory advance. There are many Jews and Rabbis opposing Zionism. Unfortunately, you will not find much information about Zionism from the mainstream media because most of the American and European media is controlled by Zionists and is spreading its control throughout the World. They are suppressing information about Zionism, the September 11th attack, our banking system, the attack on the USS Liberty, and other horrendous crimes approved by Zionist elites. As a result, you have to do your own research into the issue, which requires looking at more than one person's opinion. You can start with: Daryl Bradford Smith has radio interviews, [Rabbi Dovid Weiss](#), [Michael Collins Piper](#), [Wayne Kyle](#), [Eustace Mullins](#), Eric Hufschmid [What Is Zionism?](#), [History is Warped](#), [Jews Against Zionism](#), [Jews Not Zionists](#), [Orthodox Jews United Against Zionism](#), <http://i.am/jah/freedman.htm> , <http://www.iamthewitness.com/index.html>

World War II (1939-1945) and the Vatican-Jesuit-Zionist Hitler Connection

The BankLords lost their control over Soviet communism and had to create another monster –the German nazism- to fix the problem. This didn't exactly play down as it was originally planned but the banksters nonetheless had their World war and its benefits in terms of an increased political power over the Western World and the creation of the Israeli stronghold in the Middle-East. Today, the banksters lost their control over Russia and failed to take control of China. So, they created a monster –the American neo-conservatism- to fix the problem. The plan is probably the destruction of Russia and China in a third World war opposing these to the USA. Then, the European Union, which is now completely controlled by the banksters, would integrate a deadly injured USA as well as the ruins of the rest of the World to make what the high dignitaries of the EU have already announced in a 2004 exhibition in Bruxelles as a planetary empire called „the Union“. A war against Iran could be the trigger of the third World war but, if it will not happen or not suffice, be sure the banksters have other scenarios ready to be implemented with the help of the puppet politicians they have now in the Western World as well as in the Middle East and, of course, in their Israeli stronghold. This time, again, the banksters are preparing to massacre many million people on our planet. This time, again, many people are not aware of the real powers and their agenda but, instead, are believing in the necessity of making war against "terrorist" states, i.e. states not under the control of the Rothschild led BankLords.

World War II saw the US debt increased by 598%, while Japan's debt went up by 1,348%, with France up by 583% and Canada up by 417%. When you hear this, what is your first impression? Do you automatically think this is bad or this is good? Most of us feel a well programmed sense of desperation when we hear figures like this, but remember, to the money changers, this is music to their ears. With the hot war over, the cold war began, the arms race causing more and more borrowing. Now the money changers could really concentrate on global domination. Step one, the European Monetary Union and NAFTA. Step two, centralise the global economy via the World Central Bank. Remember it wasn't Hitler who had somewhere around 200 million human beings killed in the last century for the sake of a political agenda, it was a handful of "communist" leaders in Europe and Asia funded by the usual hidden hand of western bankers prompting from their secret control channels. Remember that the best definition of communism is "super capitalism." Wherein EVERYTHING, people included, is owned by those who own the state. During the whole communist reign in the Soviet, the address of Number One, Red Square, housed a branch of Chase Manhattan Bank - or whatever names it had from time to time. Socialism of any substantial nature can't exist without capitalists financing it. Hitler, the National Socialist, was (maybe unknowingly) fighting the greater enemy when he went against communism (international socialism).



He never dreamed the Brits could get the U.S. to interfere in a basically European war. And one to save communism, at that. He must have thought the Americans learned their lesson in WW1. Or maybe he believed Roosevelt's lies to the American people. Soon after WW2 we had the "Korean Conflict" and then Vietnam. Now we have genocide in the mideast by Bush & co. The more modern "heroes" are praised when more blood drips from their hands (Butcher

Sharon is a man of peace according to the bigger butcher Bush, all of them working for their BankLords masters, i.e. remember Rothschilds' words about he who controls the issue of credit money controls all).

From Bob Limetta, the concordat between the Vatican and the NAZI. At center is Cardinal Secretary Of State Eugenio Pacelli (later to concordat between the Vatican and



become Pope Pius XII), signs the the NAZI at a formal ceremony in Rome



on July 20, 1933. NAZI Vice-Chancellor Franz von Papen sits at the left side and Rudolf Buttman sits at right. The concordat legitimized Adolf

Hitler and the NAZI Government in the eyes of the Catholicism and the World. Photographs: **Adolf Hitler greets Muller "The Bishop Of The Reich" and Abbot Schachleitner. Adolf Hitler greets a Roman Catholic Cardinal. Roman Catholic Bishops giving the NAZI salute in honor Of Adolf Hitler. Cardinal Michael Faulhaber marches between rows of**



SA men at a NAZI rally in Munich, Germany. Roman Catholic Priests giving NAZI salute at a Catholic youth rally in the Berlin Neukolln Stadium in August 1933. NAZI German soldiers oath of allegiance to Hitler "I swear to God this holy oath to the Fuhrer of the German Reich and people". Do you notice the NAZI German soldier's two fingers like the Pope does and the icon or statue of Virgin Mary. Well, according to the late ex-Jesuit Priest Alberto Romero Rivera the two fingers means: Apostolic Succession & Temporal Power.

The Vatican had arranged for some of the Nazis to leave Europe by providing special papers. Vatican is the EMPIRE! Zionists are the STOOGES, (Christian + Jew + anything); Jews are the PATSIES! According to Rick Martin, from 1933 to 1945 Jesuit General Ledowchowski used his Nazi SS - patterned after the Jesuit Order - in cooperation with Stalin's NKVD to purge Europe and Western Russia of its Jews, Polish anti-communists and any Protestants/Orthodox who dared to get in the way. The Nazi SD, being the central intelligence of the SS, was secretly managed by Heinrich Himmler's resident Jesuit Temporal Coadjutor, Dr. Richard Korherr. As the Black Pope's favorite, SD Jesuit Heinrich Himmler carried out his Superior Jesuit General's policy by giving orders directing Hitler's Secretary - the man behind the Fuhrer greater than Fuhrer himself - SS General Martin Bormann! After the war's end Himmler's "suicide" was faked by the British SIS, and Bormann, disguised as a Jesuit priest, "escaped" to South America having become a lay brother in a secret branch of the Jesuit Order called the Redemptorists. From Argentina, Bormann contributed in the rebuilding of Roman Catholic West Germany in obedience to the design of the Society of Jesus. German Protestantism had now been destroyed thanks to the Black Pope's Second Thirty Years' War (1914-1945). Having worked with the Allies during the war, the Nazi SS, including its entire intelligence apparatus, was brought into the West by New York Archbishop Francis Cardinal Spellman as "anti-communists" and into the East by Gregory Cardinal Agagianian as supposed "freedom fighters" in the eyes of the West. In fact, the CIA and KGB shared Reinhard Gehlen's old soldiers during the Hoax known as "the Cold War". The CIA and KGB in control of the American and Russian governments were NEVER enemies at the top. **Both agencies were financed by the Vatican's international banks and corporations headed by the Knights of Malta and Shriner Masons.** They merely served as the Order's two controlled forces of its political dialectic, the outcome of every crisis furthering the plans of the Black Pope in Rome. The Vatican also had lent support to the fascist Mussolini.

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6759022809518563654> When Hitler came to power, Hitler closed down all of the anti-Zionist Jewish papers and allowed the Zionist papers to remain open. Hitler never let anyone see him naked because he was circumcised. The Zionists are the True Anti-Semites, just ask the True-Torah Jews <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com>. The invasion of Middle Eastern countries is a continuation of the Zionist plan and it is being pushed by the Zionist lobbies in the US, UK and Europe. Note how simultaneously the Jewish American President Franklin Delano Roosevelt closed the doors to Jewish immigration from Europe when Hitler came to power under the guidance of Zionists. The plan all along was to scare European Jews into leaving Europe and the only place they would be allowed to go to is Palestine. Jim Condit Jr. video documentary: The Zionist-Nazi Secret Alliance, The Transfer Agreement and The New Transfer Agreement, Hitler's Policy was a Zionist Policy, Hitler was surrounded by Zionist Jews Jim Condit, 2 hr 22 min 36 sec - Apr 9, 2006, www.thefinalsolutiontoadolphhitler.com. The Zionists brought us to the Holocaust. It is well known that it was possible to arrange for Jews to leave Europe for other areas of the World other than Palestine with money,...but THE ZIONIST LEADERS WHO NOW SIT IN GOVERNMENT PREVENTED IT! -- Rabbi Shlomo Zalman Ehrenreich (circa 1954)

To the billions of people around the world who know only the standard Establishment version of 20th Century history, the above quotation is incomprehensible, its implications impossible to fathom. The Zionist-Nazi collaboration, however suppressed it has been to the general public, is a little-known, but crucial, part of European history, one that continues to profoundly shape and affect world events in the 21st Century. This mind-boggling voyage is but one of many facts which have been compiled and collated, and which is presented in this DVD by Jim Condit Jr. in this fascinating new DVD entitled *The Final Solution to Adolf Hitler*. This thorough analysis examines Hitler's career and influence, from his humble beginning in Austria to his appointment as German Chancellor by President Paul von Hindenburg, from his ascent to absolute dictator of the Third Reich to his role in bringing about the modern state of Israel and the emerging world government. Yes, also check out "the transfer agreement " by Black. <http://www.transferagreement.com> . The Transfer Agreement is Edwin Black's compelling, award-winning story of a negotiated arrangement in 1933 between Zionist organizations and the Nazis to transfer some 50,000 Jews, and \$100 million of their assets, to Palestine in exchange for stopping the worldwide Jewish-led boycott threatening to topple the Hitler regime in its first year. This updated edition includes the author's stunning new introduction and a powerful new afterword by Anti-Defamation League national director Abraham H. Foxman.

Excerpted From: THE ROLE OF ZIONISM IN THE HOLOCAUST Article by Rabbi Gedalya Liebermann - Australia <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com/antisemitism/holocaust/gedalyaliebermann.cfm> . Early settlers resented the ``yekkes"- -their disparaging term for German immigrants--for their individualistic, Capitalistic notions, so different from the Communal, Socialist Ideals of the Zionist Pioneers. (this is the basic plot of FALSE FLAG Socialism, i.e....Do Evil, then blame goes to Socialists)

Zionists Offer a Military Alliance with Hitler

It would be wishful thinking if it could be stated that the leaders of the Zionist movement sat back and ignored the plight of their dying brothers and sisters. Not only did they publicly refuse to assist in their rescue, but they actively participated with Hitler and the Nazi regime. Early in 1935, a passenger ship bound for Haifa in Palestine left the German port of Bremerhaven. Its stern bore the Hebrew letter for its name, "Tel Aviv", while a swastika banner fluttered from the mast. And although the ship was Zionist owned, its captain was a National Socialist Party (Nazi) member. Many years later a traveler aboard the ship recalled this symbolic combination as a "metaphysical absurdity". Absurd or not, this is but one vignette from a little-known chapter of history: The wide ranging collaboration between Zionism and Hitler's Third Reich. In early January 1941 a small but important Zionist organization submitted a formal proposal to German diplomats in Beirut for a military-political alliance with wartime Germany.

The offer was made by the radical terrorist underground "Fighters for the Freedom of Israel", better known as the Lehi or Stern Gang. Its leader, Avraham Stern, had recently broken with the radical nationalist "National Military Organization" (Irgun Zvai Leumi - Etzel) over the group's attitude toward Britain, which had effectively banned further Jewish settlement of Palestine. Stern regarded Britain as the main enemy of Zionism. This remarkable proposal "for the solution of the Jewish question in Europe and the active participation on the NMO [Lehi] in the war on the side of Germany" is worth quoting at some length: "The NMO which is very familiar with the goodwill of the German Reich government and its officials towards Zionist activities within Germany and the Zionist emigration program takes the view that:

- 1.Common interests can exist between a European New Order based on the German concept and the true national aspirations of the Jewish people as embodied by the NMO.
- 2.Cooperation is possible between the New Germany and a renewed, folkish-national Jewry.
- 3.The establishment of the Jewish state on a national and totalitarian basis, and bound by treaty, with the German Reich, would be in the interest of maintaining and strengthening the future German position of power in the Near East.

"On the basis of these considerations, and upon the condition that the German Reich government recognize the national aspirations of the Israel Freedom Movement mentioned above, the NMO in Palestine offers to actively take part in the war on the side of Germany.

"This offer by the NMO could include military, political and informational activity within Palestine and, after certain organizational measures, outside as well. Along with this the "Jewish" men of Europe would be militarily trained and organized in military units under the leadership and command of the NMO. They would take part in combat operations for the purpose of conquering Palestine, should such a front be formed.

"The indirect participation of the Israel Freedom Movement in the New Order of Europe, already in the preparatory stage, combined with a positive-radical solution of the European-Jewish problem on the basis of the national aspirations of the Jewish people mentioned above, would greatly strengthen the moral foundation of the New Order in the eyes of all humanity.

"The cooperation of the Israel Freedom Movement would also be consistent with a recent speech by the German Reich Chancellor, in which Hitler stressed that he would utilize any combination and coalition in order to isolate and defeat England". (Original document in German Auswertiges Amt Archiv, Bestand 47-59, E224152 and E234155-58.


Complete original text published in: David Yisraeli, The Palestinian Problem in German Politics 1889-1945 (Israel: 1947) pp. 315-317).

On the basis of their similar ideologies about ethnicity and nationhood, National Socialists and Zionists worked together for what each group believed was in its own national interests. This is just one example of the Zionist movements' collaboration with Hitler for the purpose of possibly receiving jurisdiction over a minute piece of earth, Palestine. And to top it all up, brainwashing! How far this Unbelievable Zionist Conspiracy has captured the Jewish masses, and how impossible it is for any different thought to penetrate their minds, even to the point of mere evaluation, can be seen in the vehemence of the reaction to any reproach. Source for paragraphs marked "[Source]": The Wall Street Journal December 2, 1976.

The Seventh Million by Tom Segev, Haim Watzman. Zionists like Ben-Gurion, Segev says, had only scorn for the emigres who managed to escape from Germany to Palestine before WW II, and for Jews throughout the world who opted for what the Israeli leader considered the false security of their native lands instead of flocking to Palestine to build up the Homeland. Early settlers resented the ``yekkes''--their disparaging term for German immigrants--for their individualistic, capitalistic notions, so different from the Communal, Socialist Ideals of the Zionist Pioneers. Efforts to spirit Jews out of Europe during and after the war, Segev contends, were generally guided by political considerations as each faction shamefully focused on bringing in only those individuals who might strengthen its own position. <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com/bookstore/productDetails.cfm?merchID=13> Israelis and the Holocaust <http://images.amazon.com/images/P/0805066608.01.PIDp-schmooS,TopRight,7,-26 PE32 SCMZZZZZZZ .jpg> .

The Marshall (Morganthau) Plan Deception–Billions for the BankLords & Eternal Enslavement to the US \$

Marshall Plan vs. Robbery, Murder, and Destruction? An Eternal Mockery of the Germans! By Karl Baßler The Marshall Plan was sold as "Peace, Freedom, Prosperity" by the European Recovery Program (ERP). Within the framework of the so-called Marshall Plan, a credit of approximately 1.4 billion US Dollars (6.4 billion DM) was given to West Germany for the years 1949 to 1952. Under the terms of the London Debt Agreement of February 1953, this credit was to be repaid by 1962 to the tune of (taking into account both interest and cancellation) 13 billion DM! This repayment of 13 billion DM must be attributed exclusively to the Marshall Plan. According to the London Debt Agreement (February 12, 1953), it was also said to repay so-called "Gario" funds, with which the occupation powers had allegedly provided food aid to Germany during the starvation years of 1945 to 1948.



During the years 1945-48 (i.e., before the Marshall Plan), the Western Allies gave West Germany "economic aid" (food) valued at 3.386 billion dollars.[1] At the then current market price for wheat of \$60 per ton, this would have been enough to buy 55 million tons of wheat. The Western Zones (later to become the Federal Republic of Germany) had a population of 40 to 45 million. Therefore, 55 million tons would have meant approx. 1,200 kilos (2,650 pounds) of wheat per citizen: a quantity equivalent to 1 kg (2.2 lbs, and roughly 4,000 calories) of wheat daily for each and every German for 3 1/2 years. An additional 1,400 calories per day would still have been available from domestic reserves (Reichsnährstand), which means that every single inhabitant of West

Germany should have been able to receive some 5,400 calories per day - the ration of a heavy laborer! In actual fact, however, only 1,000 to 1,400 calories were available, and these from the reserves still secured by the Reichsnährstand in spring 1945.

So the alleged "Gario" funds never reached Germany. Were they misappropriated to Palestine? Or did they perhaps end up in the bank accounts of American swindlers? Regardless of this discrepancy, the London Agreement charged this alleged assistance to the Marshall Plan account and the groveling protestations of gratitude, which the current Federal German government published in a full-page article in the August/September 1997 issue of Journal für Deutschland (publ. by Bundespresse- und Informationsamt, the German Federal Press and Information Office), are truly an example of brain-dead nonsense: "Unparalleled in world history: America's state coffers and supply stores opened up even to the enemy nation Germany, lying as it was in rubble and ashes!" "Enemy nation"? 4 years after the end of the war? "Lying in rubble and ashes"? Bombed to death by the Anglo-Saxon war criminals! Federal Chancellor Kohl in his speech of gratitude: "We Germans will never forget that. [...] thank you, America!" There is no other case in world history, the article continued, in which a victorious nation rendered such generous aid to the vanquished and helped it regain a place in the world community: "West Germany received some 3.3 billion dollars in US aid. In 1953 the USA forgave Germany two-thirds of its debt. The funds thus freed up were used to set up the so-called ERP Fund for further support of the German economy."

Table 1: Looting of Material Property in Billion RM/DM

Looting from 1945 to end of 1947	46.75
As per calculations by Rumpf, additionally	<u>37.00</u>
Sum, Rumpf and Harmssen (including dismantling)	83.75
Looting from 1948 until 1952	
Looting of the Soviet Occupation Zone from 1948 until 1950 (including dismantling)	70.00
Military property German weapons looted by Russians and Western Allies	50.00
Theft of patents ^[6]	20.00
Theft of cash	25.00
Theft by occupation forces	1.00
Theft and destruction of art and books, essentially incalculable, but at least	10.00
Total:	259.75

Table 2: Robbery in Form of Long-term Sanctions 1945-1952

For the forcible export of German coal	4.00
For so-called restitution	2.00
Theft of the entire German fleet with the resulting loss of shipping revenue, and expenses for shipping costs paid to the Allies	2.50
Dismantling costs	11.00
Looting of the Saar region (coal)	5.00
Value of forced labor by German prisoners of war and civilian deportees still unlawfully detained:	
Prisoners of war	35.00
Deportees and abductees	38.00
Loss of royalties (until 1955) from patents, trademarks etc.	10.00
Occupation expenses acc. to the Fed. gov't (<i>Zeittafel 1949-1969</i>) only for the Western Zones from 1945 until 1952	50.00
for the Eastern Zone, min. (as per Harmssen)	40.00
Total:	197.50

Table 3: Damages Due to Destruction of Germany 1945-1952

Damages due to political division (btw. 240 and 2400 bill. DM, cf. the following)	400.00
Economic value of dismantling = loss of production	65.00
Loss of value increase due to 10-year ban on cutting-edge German research	70.00
Losses due to destruction of Eastern trade	10.00
Losses due to destruction of large German enterprises	35.00
Total damages due to division:	580.00

Table 4: Theft of Property of Germans in German eastern territories and settlement areas

eastern Germany, Danzig, Memelland, Sudetenland, and the 6 million ethnic Germans in eastern Europe and the Balkans (excluding the value of land itself) at least:	300.00
Total of Tables 1 through 4:	1,337.25

Table 5: Comparison of the Assets Looted from Germany with the Value of the Alleged Marshall Plan Aid to West Germany

In the 8 years from 1945 to 1952, assets totaling were stolen from Germany:	-
	1,337.25
In the years from 1949 to 1952, a loan (since repaid) given as Marshall Plan aid:	+6.40

So it can be shown that this was an enormous fraud perpetrated by the English and the Americans. Even Ludwig Erhard, Federal Economic Minister in 1949, writes in his book Deutschlands Rückkehr zum Weltmarkt that there are no German receipts for or confirmation of the alleged food aid. Even as late as spring 1945 (the war wasn't to end until May 8, 1945), the German Reichsnährstand was able to secure rations until fall 1946 - in other words, for one-and-a-half years more - of approx. 1,500 calories per person per day, so it should have been an easy matter for the Allies to counteract any deterioration in the food situation simply by releasing the German productivity. This applied to an even greater extent in the remainder of Europe. Any additional aid (to meet peak demands) would have been necessary only on a small scale and only under extraordinary circumstances. The figure below shows Marshall Plan Loan Payments to all countries involved. When reading these figures, consider that the German economy is twice as big as the British and French, as well as ten times as big as the Austrian, Greek, and Dutch economies; also keep in mind that Germany was many times more in need of help for recovery.

But in 1945 the Western Allies' boundless, criminal will to destroy had also immediately destroyed the German food supply organization Reichsnährstand. In the end, this was the chief cause of the disastrous starvation in the years 1946 and 1947, which cost the lives of countless Germans. One must assume that the death toll was at least as high as it had been in 1919, when the British starvation blockade[2] together with a devastating influenza epidemic claimed the lives of 800,000 Germans. But who today still speaks of this deliberate mass murder by the Allied "liberators" ?[3] In the Federal German budget of 1949, the occupation expenses extorted by the (Western) Allies amounted to 4.7 billion DM. The available amount in aid for social assistance for the 13 million refugees, (10 million of whom had become homeless owing to the Allied terror bombings), for the millions of returning prisoners of war, and for the 40 million West Germans whom the currency reform had robbed of all their savings, was only 3.6 billion DM, augmented by "some 2.34 billion DM for the 4.5 million war-disabled and the widows and orphans of fallen soldiers." In other words: exactly half as much as the occupation expenses!

The presence of occupation forces for four and more years after the end of the war was a blatant breach of international law. Occupation forces are a wartime measure; after a war has ended, there is no need for them and therefore no legal justification. Ever since the First World War it was typical of the Allies to forcibly prevent a sensible peace in this way. Naturally all German post-War governments were too obsequious towards the Allies to demand and enforce international law in this matter either.

Morgenthau Plan - not Marshall Plan! The ERP Commission itself had to admit that the pillaging and post-War destruction of Germany by the Western Allies prevented the goal of a German recovery from being attained, and in fact made it impossible. As early as the new year 1946/47, Western Europe (without West Germany!) had again attained the level of industrial production (a decisive economic strength) equal to that of 1938 (1938 = 100). In 1949/50, that is three years later, Western Europe (without West Germany!) had already exceeded this level by 30%: in other words, it reached 130% of the base-comparison year 1938! Among other things, this demonstrates that Germany, during the war, had spared and indeed built up the industrial capacities of the countries it had occupied, for only a solid and modern state of industrial capacities could make this rapid increase possible in the first place. In this context it is important to note the ERP Commission's statement that in 1946/47 Western Europe as a whole (i.e. including West Germany) was at 20% below the level of 1938 and did not reach 110% until 1949/50. The development of West Germany was rather different. Here the level of industrial production in 1946/47 was only 25% of what it had been in 1938, and even by 1949/50 it had attained only 79% of this comparison year!

In the Federal Republic of Germany, it took until 1950/51 to reach the production level of 1938 again, and 130% was not reached until 1954. So West Germany lagged 5 years behind the rest of Western Europe.[4] This divergent development of Germany and Europe, the five-year (West) German lag, is solely the result of the destruction and looting of the German economy by the Allies. For this reason it is especially important today, in the age of the complete economic unification of Europe under the Maastricht Treaty, to expose the Allied war crimes that thwarted the German economic potential, and to show how they have ramifications even for the present and future.[5]

Table 7: Marshall Plan Aid - Occupation Expenses - Social Services (1949-52)

	M. Plan payments bill. DM[7]	Share of GNP in %	Occupation expenses bill. DM[8]	Share of GNP in %	Social services bill. DM[8]
1949	2.4	3.0	4.7	6.0	3.6 (2.34)
1950	1.3	1.3	4.7	4.7	4.7
1951	1.7	1.4	6.5	5.5	5.8
1952	0.5	0.3	7.9	5.5	7.7

Table 8: War damages and Dismantling Losses in % of capacities extant in 1936[10]

	East Germany	East Berlin	West Berlin	West Germany
War damages in % of 1936 capacity	0%	23%	24%	8.1%
Dismantling, losses in % of 1936 capacity	45%	25%	53%	7.3%
Total losses:	45%	48%	77%	15.4%

That means that, in the same period, 200 times more was stolen from Germany than was given as a loan, repayable with interest! That is the naked truth about the Marshall Plan's so-called aid! In other words, it is nothing but a despicable mockery of the Germans, which West German governments have continued to perpetuate for 50 years. As recently as May 1997, on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the Marshall Plan, German Chancellor Kohl wept tears of gratitude.

Table 9: Possible productivity as of 1945, given intact/undamaged capacity (GNP data [7]) West Germany (Fed. Rep.)

	bill. DM		bill. DM
1945, GNP of 1950	98.1	1949, GNP of 1954	158.6
1946, GNP of 1951	120.0	1950, GNP of 1955	181.4
1947, GNP of 1952	137.0	1951, GNP of 1956	200.5
1948, GNP of 1953	147.7	1952, GNP of 1957	218.5
Total:	1,261.8		

However, West Germany didn't get its first meager hand-out from this Plan until two years after its inception; in other words, Herr Kohl wept two years too early.

Economic Dimension of the Looting and Destruction: The extent of the robbery and destruction is also shown by comparison of the development of the German National Products from 1945 to 1952 and 1953 to 1960. Thus, in the four years of the Marshall Plan, the expenses associated with the occupation alone exceeded the Marshall Plan aid by a factor of nine! 6.4 billion DM in Marshall Plan income from the Americans, 54 billion DM in payments to the robbing and looting occupiers. It is most noteworthy that in the four years of the Marshall Plan, the cost of the West German occupation alone amounted to 24 billion DM - in other words some four times as much as the entire Marshall Plan "aid"! To put it another way, in each and every year Germany paid an average of 4 times as much to the Western occupation powers than it received in so-called aid! If one also adds the occupation expenses paid to the Soviet occupiers, who depopulated and looted East Germany almost out of existence with the consent of the barbaric Western Allies, then from 1949 to 1952, fully 9 times as much was extorted from the German rump state in occupation costs as the entire Marshall Plan "credit" gave Germany in 4 years!

Impact on Social Services: Not even compared to the expenses of German social services was the Marshall Plan of any significance after 1949, see table 7.

Losses Due to Reduced Productivity of East Germany: The quoted sources estimate a loss of approx. 5.5 billion DM as a result of communist mismanagement. The amount of destruction of material property in East Germany due to deterioration of reproducible material wealth is estimated to be approx. 3.3 billion DM. The next table shows the loss of production capacity as a result of terror bombings and post-war dismantling in comparison with the capacity of 1936, which was considerably lower than that of 1939 as shown in table 8.

If one extrapolates from European economic growth data of that period and assumes an undamaged production capacity, Germany's economy would have grown as follows in Table 9.

This level of productivity, which would have been possible had there been no destruction of German economic potentials must be compared with the actual results achieved under conditions of looting, destruction, and suppression: 592.7 bill. DM (cf. Table 6). For the years 1945-52, this meant a loss of productivity of: 1,261.8 - 592.7 = 669,6 bill. DM (corresponding to Table 3; 580 bill. in 8 years!) This is more than 100 times the Marshall Plan credit to West Germany!

Marshall Prejudices[11]: "Without the Marshall Plan Germany would have turned into one giant graveyard, into a vast plain of destruction, brutality, inhumanity, disease and death. [...] Not the USA profited from it, but rather all of Europe - but most of all the German people under the occupation by the Western Allies. In the face of the humanitarian motive, the massive financial and material aid takes on an aspect of nobility. We here in Germany owe a debt of gratitude to our American supporters, for generations still to come." The West German living standard and investment opportunities were thus deliberately kept at a level of less than 50%. The effect on the other European nations was proportional; 20% on average, and significantly more for those such as The Netherlands, whose economy was closely interconnected with Germany.[7] To clear up the misunderstandings disseminated by the German government: Germany - before, during, and after the War, and right to the present day - has always worked in close economic association with the rest of Europe due to her location and history; the European share of her foreign trade amounts to approx. 70%. Thus, every increase in

Table 6: Gross National Product and Occupation Expenses (in bill. RM/DM Ostmark) [7]:[8]

	West Germany	incl. Occupation Expenses	East Germany	incl. Occupation Expenses
1945*	32.30	5.00	15.00	5.00
1946*	32.30	5.00	15.00	5.00
1947[9]	43.00	6.00	16.00	6.00
1948[9]	57.00	5.00	18.00	6.00
1949[9]	73.00	4.70	21.00	5.80
1950[9]	98.10	4.65	24.00	7.00
1951[9]	120.00	6.49	25.00	8.00
1952[9]	137.00	7.89	27.00	9.00
Total:	592.70	47.00	161.00	41.80
Total W. Germany + E. Germany:				753.70
* estimate: 50% of 1939 including 88.8 bill. DM in Occupation Expenses				

German productivity directly benefits the rest of Europe in the form of a commensurate increase in Germany's foreign trade.

Incidentally, the Marshall Plan also depended to a great extent on this European economic union; with relatively few exceptions, it gave aid only if the recipient would grant special "drawing rights" for its products to other European nations. In light of these sabotaged economic possibilities, what is the point of the hullabaloo everyone makes over the peanuts that were shelled out under the Marshall Plan? Notes: First published in Huttenbriefe, February 1998, pp. 3-7; translated by Arnim Johannis; illustration and boxed quotation added; with friendly permission taken from www.wintersonnenwende.com. [1] Deutsche Bundesbank (ed.), Deutsches Geld- und Bankwesen in Zahlen 1876-1975, Fritz Knapp Verlag, Frankfurt/Main 1976, p. 341. [2] For this see www.wintersonnenwende.com/scriptorium/english/archives/articles/starvation1919.html. [3] The abovementioned figures are the absolute minimum. In his book Crimes and Mercies, the Canadian historian James Bacque concludes, based on intensive research in Germany as well as in recently released American archival holdings, that the years of starvation that were caused in this way (1945-47 in Germany; author) cost some five to six million lives. [4] Statistics from: Gerhart Jentsch, Der Marshallplan und Deutschlands Platz darin, ECA Mission for West Germany, Frankfurt/Main 1950, pp. 31 and 83. Note that this publication gives post-1948/49 data only for Western Europe and West Germany; in 1945 the American Crusaders had handed Eastern Europe, wholly half of Europe, over to the Bolshevik-Asiatic fiend Stalin for looting and extermination, so that these peoples could also not take part in the Marshall Plan. [5] The following statistics are based primarily on: The Harmssen Report: Reparationen - Sozialprodukt - Lebensstandard, 4 issues, Friederich Trüjen Verlag, Bremen 1948. The Harmssen Report was commissioned by the Premiers of the then newly constituted German lands and is therefore an official document. The terms used in the tables (to indicate the looting and destruction) are taken from Harmssen and denote the same particulars; Gustav Wilhelm Harmssen, Am Abend der Demontage, Sechs Jahre Reparationspolitik, with documentary appendix, Friederich Trüjen Verlag, Bremen 1951; Helmut Rumpf, Professor of National and International Law, lecturing legation counselor 1st class (Ministerial Counsel) with the Foreign Office at Bonn, Die deutschen Reparationen nach dem 2. Weltkrieg; Handbuch zur Deutschen Nation, vol. 1: Intellectual state and political situation, pub. by Bernard Willms, Hohenrain Verlag, Tübingen, 1986. [6] For this see www.wintersonnenwende.com/scriptorium/english/archives/articles/patents.html [7] West Germany's GNP according to Deutsches Geld- und Bankwesen in Zahlen 1876-1975, pub. Deutsche Bundesbank, Fritz Knapp Verlag, Frankfurt/Main 1976. East Germany's GNP is estimated; growth as per half the West German percentage, occupation expenses as per the occupiers' actual consumption. [8] Politische Zeittafel 1949-1969, pp. 18ff. Presse- und Informationsamt der Bundesregierung, 1969 and 1981. [9] Acc. to Harmssen, op. cit. (note 5), p. 139. [10] Kurt Pritzkeleit, Gott erhalte die Mächtigen, Karl Rauch Verlag, Düsseldorf 1953, p. 126. [11] <http://forum.judentum.de/archiv-a/messages/8/1548.html> Source: The Revisionist 2(2) (2004), pp. 123-126.

[The World Central Bank \(1948 - Present\)](#)

In Washington, the headquarters of both the World Bank and the IMF (International Monetary Fund) face each other on the same street. What are these organisations, and who controls them? To find out we need to look back to just after WWI. At this point the money changers were attempting to consolidate the central banks under the guise of peacemaking. To stop future wars they put forward the formation of a World central bank named the Bank of International Settlements, a World court called the World Court in the Hague, and a World executive for legislation called the League of Nations. All central banks of the World hold U.S. dollar reserves equivalent to the local currency in circulation to facilitate trade, especially, the oil trade.



[John F. Kennedy, Lyndon Johnson, Israeli Nukes & The OILY Vietnam War](#)

Avner Cohen, 'Israel and the Bomb', New York 1998, goes to great lengths to hide that Kennedy was murdered shortly after he had threatened Israel to stop selling arms to that country if it went on developing a nuclear weapon. The book passed the Israeli censor.

In the 1960s Lyndon Johnson borrowed billions from the French Rothschilds so he wouldn't have to raise taxes to finance the Vietnam War. The War didn't end until Vietnam secretly accepted to allow the Rockefeller Oil Companies access to its off-shore oil. Rothschild's agent (some blame Charles de Gaulle) demanded repayment in gold, not greenbacks or private Federal Reserve Notes. When Richard Nixon was elected he noted that the treasury was almost depleted of gold and he removed the dollar from the gold standard. But the debt still stood. Nixon collateralized the debt with the mineral estate of the western U.S. and a land-for-debt swap was initiated. Much of the western States were given to the banking dynasties. This is when Nixon created the Environmental Protection Agency. Their mandate was/is to PREVENT American citizens from logging, farming, ranching or otherwise exploiting these lands being held for the banking dynasties. The Bureau of Land Management and other agencies are used to harass ranchers and farmers from the land.

Two schools of thought abound concerning President Kennedy and his issuance of money. Some claim he by-passed the powerful Federal Reserve System and issued debt free money, i.e. refreshed the greenbacks. Others claim he merely gave away the nation's silver reserves amending a Truman Executive Order 10289 with his EO 11110 (may be he didn't go as far as the BankLords wanted??). Some claim that those Silver Certificates were debt free money that by-passed control by the Federal Reserve System. Others call it a silver give-a-way. It seems that Kennedy's tendency to end the Vietnam War, to curtail the CIA including its drug running operations, to bypass the Federal Reserve, to veto the operation

Northwoods CIA sponsored acts of terrorism that were to be used as a pretext to invade Cuba and reclaim for the Mafia and corporate barons, and to reign in Israel's nuclear program were the major reasons for his assassination. In al-Wassat, released Israeli Vanunu said according to "near-certain indications", Kennedy was assassinated due to "pressure he exerted on then head of government, David ben-Gurion, to shed light on Dimona's nuclear reactor". Kennedy is quoted as having said: "We do not know which irresponsible Israeli Prime Minister will take office and decide to use nuclear weapons in the struggle against neighbouring Arab countries," he is quoted to have said, adding "what has already been exposed about the weapons Israel is holding can destroy the region and kill millions." It is clear that JFK was planning to do something about the Fed sooner or later.

The Federal Reserve System threatened to "veto" President Kennedy. Controlled by the NY Fed Bank, the Fed keeps the public misinformed and uninformed. The banks have a stranglehold on America. This very informative document is reprinted in Money Is Unreal. The original can be found in the Congressional Record, Vol 110 - Part 13, August 3, 1964, pages 17837 through 17846 (8 pages.) The veto threat is on page 17846 (the last page) near the bottom of the first column. It is much easier to order the ABCs in booklet form from Peter Cook, Monetary Science Publishing, Box 86, Wickliffe, OH 44092. You will find the veto statement on page 19 of that edition.

On June 4, 1963, a virtually unknown Presidential decree, Executive Order 11110, was signed with the authority to issue silver currency. However, others claim he merely gave away the nation's silver reserves amending a Truman Executive Order 10289 with his EO-11110. G. Edward Griffin from <http://www.freedomforceinternational.org/freedomcontent.cfm?fuseaction=jfkmyth&refpage=issues> provides an alternative explanation which would place JFK in the opposite light as the interpretation here.


According to the other interpretation, when President John Fitzgerald Kennedy - the author of Profiles in Courage - signed this Order, it returned to the Federal Government, specifically the Treasury Department, the Constitutional power to create and issue currency - money - without going through the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. President Kennedy's Executive Order 11110 [the full text is displayed further below] gave the Treasury Department the explicit authority: "to issue silver certificates against any silver bullion, silver, or standard silver dollars in the Treasury." This means that for every ounce of silver in the U.S. Treasury's vault, the government could introduce new money into circulation based on the silver bullion physically held there. As a result, more than \$4 billion in United States Notes were brought into circulation in \$2 and \$5 denominations. \$10 and \$20 United States Notes were never circulated but were being printed by the Treasury Department when Kennedy was assassinated. It appears obvious that President Kennedy knew the Federal Reserve Notes being used as the purported legal currency were contrary to the Constitution of the United States of America.

President John F. Kennedy was simply following President Lincoln and President Andrew Jackson. By JFK issuing the Kennedy dollar, United States Note, the Bankers could not get the cash for free and lend it back to the government and profit from the old banking system. JFK planned on printing enough United States Notes, like President Lincoln, and pay off the national debt and thus ending the personal IRS taxes with no new taxes. (note that most of the tax dollars collected today go to pay the interest on the \$15 Trillion owed by all levels of Government to the private Federal Reserve System Banks who created this money from nothing!) JFK's plan to print United States Notes, cash, would have ended the privately owned banking monopoly called the Federal Reserve Bank. President Kennedy was assassinated on November 22, 1963 and the United States Notes he had issued were immediately taken out of circulation. President Johnson immediately stopped the printing of United States Notes and went back to the banker's system of Federal Reserve Notes ensuring the continuation of the IRS tax and Bankers profit. Federal Reserve Notes continued to serve as the legal currency of the nation.

According to the United States Secret Service, 99% of all U.S. paper "currency" circulating in 1999 are Federal Reserve Notes. Maybe Kennedy knew that if the silver-backed United States Notes were widely circulated, they would have eventually in the distant future eliminated the demand for Federal Reserve Notes. This is a very simple matter of economics. The USN was backed by silver and the FRN was not backed by anything of intrinsic value. Executive Order 11110 should have prevented the national debt from reaching its current level (virtually all of the nearly \$9 trillion in federal debt has been created since 1963) if LBJ or any subsequent President were to enforce it. It would have almost immediately given the U.S. Government the ability to repay its debt without going to the private Federal Reserve Banks and being charged interest to create new "money". Executive Order 11110 gave the U.S.A. the ability to, once again, create its own money backed by silver and realm value worth something. Executive Order 11110 would have destroyed some of the profits and control of the private Federal Reserve Bank.

President Kennedy Nov. 12, 1963 said: President Kennedy Nov. 12, 1963 said: About 2 weeks prior his assassination on Nov 22, Kennedy had a meeting in New York with the International Bankers. There is evidence that he learned that he had been lied to about Cuba and many other issues. In fact, there is substantial evidence that Kennedy was beginning to uncover an "international effort" to use the office of the President of the United States against America, and had threatened to expose this movement. "The great free nations of the World must take control of our monetary problems if these problems are not to take control of us." "The high office of the President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the American's freedom and before I leave office, I must inform the citizen of this plight." There is a debate on the exact date JFK said this. On Nov. 22nd, he was assassinated. On June 4, 1963, a virtually unknown Presidential decree, Executive Order 11110, had been signed with the authority to basically reduce the Federal Reserve Bank's power to create money from nothing and loan money to the United States Federal Government at interest. With the stroke of a pen, President Kennedy declared that the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank would soon be out of business. However

this has not been implemented and others claim he merely gave away the nation's silver reserves amending a Truman Executive Order 10289 with his EO 11110. Some claim that those Silver Certificates were debt free money that by-passed control by the Federal Reserve System. Others call it a silver give-a-way. President Kennedy also threatened to reveal the CIA's Majestic MJ-12/Jason Society drug running scheme (later known as the 50 Committee and then as PI-40 Committee). Kennedy's comptroller of the currency, James J. Saxon, had been at odds with the powerful Federal Reserve Board for some time, encouraging broader investment and lending powers for banks that were not part of the Federal Reserve System. Saxon also had decided that non-Reserve banks could underwrite state and local general obligation bonds, again weakening the dominant Federal Reserve banks. JFK was moving towards weakening the Fed's stranglehold on money. Also, after JFK's murder, ex-President Truman clearly stated that the CIA was out of control.



"War will exist until that distant day when the conscientious objector enjoys the same reputation and prestige that the warrior does today. – **John F. Kennedy**

"I will smash the CIA into a thousand pieces!" —President John F. Kennedy, after vetoing Pentagon/CIA's Operation NORTHWOODS/MONGOOSE treasonous terrorist attacks on USA and after signing National Security Memorandums (NSAM) 54, 55, 56 to terminate the CIA. "[Operation NORTHWOODS](#) may be the most corrupt plan ever created by the U.S. government. Operation Northwoods had called for nothing less than the launch of a secret campaign of terrorism within the United States in order to blame Castro and provoke a war with Cuba." —James Bamford, author of (published April 2001), ABC News, "[Friendly Fire - U.S. Military Drafted Plans to Terrorize U.S. Cities to Provoke War With Cuba,](#)" May 1, 2001

"The high office of President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the Americans' freedom, and before I leave office I must inform the citizens of this plight." —President John F. Kennedy, Nov. 13, 1963 (10 days before his assassination by the Italian Mafia (run by the Zionist Mafia), Mafia Vice President "Tricky Dick" Nixon, Zionist Vice President Lyndon Johnson, Zionist CIA agent/director and oilman Sir George Bush Knight of the British Empire, CIA director Allan Dulles (fired by JFK), J. Edgar Hoover and FBI, Pentagon Joint Chiefs, Dallas Texas Police Department, Texas Attorney General, US Supreme Court Justice Earl Warren, Congressman Gerald Ford, and Ronald Reagan on Zionist Rockefeller Oil and Bankster Assassination Commission in 1970s, aided and abetted by the Zionist-owned and edited "news" cartel). Video of the secret service officer ordering JFK's bodyguards away from JFK before entering the Grassy Knolls area when he gets shot. http://blogs.albawaba.com/Alexanderjames/2067/2005/12/06/3027-1963_jfk_assassination_video_showing_the_stand-down_by_the_ones_who_were_supposed_to_protect_the_president . The thugs who murdered JFK, his elder brother Joseph, his brother Robert and his son JFK Jr. should know that although they control things then and now, there is NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS on murder.

Why did John Kennedy Jr. and his Wife Have to Die? The National Examiner gave evidence that weeks before John Jr. died in a plane crash, John Jr. and his wife Carolyn began telling his friends, "I want to know who killed my father. I want there to be absolutely no doubt." John Jr. owned the magazine George. He was in the perfect position to get out the truth. He and his media staff knew how to investigate. JFK's son, John Jr. had found out why his father was assassinated and about to spill the beans as he and his wife died in a small plane over the ocean. Many a pilots think a bomb was planted on his aircraft. Why was he cremated? Catholics bury their dead. Cremation only covers up an explosion. What is the evidence? If you search, you will find that days before President John F. Kennedy was assassinated, JFK explained that there was a conspiracy that he was going to expose. Look at US history. Six US Presidents - Presidents Harrison, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy (7 if we count FDR's poisoning)- were assassinated. Each directly opposed the Bankers. Assassination attempts were made on President Andrew Jackson, who campaigned against today's banking system and won the election by a landslide. President Reagan threatened to replace Federal Reserve Bank chairman Paul Volcker. Reagan said: "That the Federal Reserve Bank was answerable to no one - not even the president..." Shortly after Reagan's comment in 1981, he was shot. After Reagan recovered, he publicly stated Federal Reserve Bank chairman Volcker was doing a "good job." Do you begin to see a pattern and a motive?

Here is a brief summary of some of the 177 Kennedy assassination witnesses killed since Nov 22 1963 (5 bullets found from different guns. Prime witnesses for multiple gunmen fact found dead or missing):

1963: Lee Harvey Oswald; Jack Zangetti, an Oklahoma City motel manager is murdered after telling friends the day after the assassination that Ruby would kill Oswald and that a member of the Sinatra Family would be kidnapped soon afterward to divert attention away from the assassination (Frank Sinatra Jr was kidnapped and released unharmed). Officer Tippet (Nov 24) assassinated most likely by Gordon Liddy, a CIA contract killer under Colby.

1964: Betty Mooney MacDonald (former Carousel Club stripper who had met Oswald at a party and had provided an alibi for Darrell Wayne Garner (who was accused of wounding Tippet-Killing witness Warren Reynolds)) is found hanging in her cell after being arrested for fighting with her roommate; Garner disappears and is later found dead; Hank Killam (whose wife Wanda was also a stripper at Ruby's club, and who was a friend of John Carter who once lived in Oswald's rooming house) evades police for several months, then is found in Pensacola, Florida with a slashed throat; Gary Underhill (former "Life" editor and CIA agent who begged friends to protect him because he knew who killed Kennedy) is found shot in the left side of the head (which is ruled suicide even though Underhill was right handed); Bill Hunter (Long Beach Press-Telegram reporter, who, with Jim Koethe, was present at a meeting with Ruby's roommate, George Senator, and Ruby's attorney Tom Howard a few hours after Oswald is killed) is accidentally shot and killed by a policeman at the Long Beach Police Station, California, "accidentally"; Jim Koethe (Dallas Times-Herald reporter) is killed by a karate chop to the throat as he stepped from his shower; Mary Meyer (painter, niece of forester Gifford Pinchot and former JFK lover who allegedly

funneled LSD from an unsuspecting Timothy Leary to JFK)) shot while taking a walk in Washington DC (her diary is confiscated by her CIA friend James Angleton, and it is later destroyed); Robert Kennedy allegedly stalked in assassination plot during his New York senatorial race by Frank Chavez, associate of Ruby, and by Puerto Rican teamster Ramon Ducos and his bodyguard Miguel Cruz (who may have been arrested with Oswald in New Orleans and claimed to have killed JFK); Chavez is later killed by Cruz. Durham kills wife, terminated from Des Moines police. Warren Commission bogus report is released, finding that Oswald, acting alone killed.

1965: Tom Howard (Ruby's Attorney) Ruby's attorney who met with Senator and others after Oswald's death died of a heart attack after "acting strangely" for 2 days. no autopsy; Rose Cherami (another former Carousel Club stripper who told a psychiatrist that Kennedy was to be killed two days before the assassination and who said she'd seen Oswald at Ruby's club many times) is killed in a hit-and-run accident near Big Sandy TX; Dorothy Kilgallen (columnist and TV panel show figure who'd had a private half hour interview with Ruby and said she was going to break the Kennedy case wide open) is found dead in her apartment supposedly overdosed on alcohol and barbiturates; William Whaley (Dallas cabbie who drove Oswald from TSBD Book Depository to his rooming house after the assassination) becomes the first on-duty cabbie death since 1937 killed in an auto accident; Karen Bennett Carlin (another Carousel entertainer who reported seeing hate-ad singer Bernard Weissman at Ruby's stip club and was the last known person to speak to Ruby before he shot Oswald) is shot in the head in Houston and dies.

1966: Ex-naval LT William Pitzer (who had photographed the secret JFK autopsy, and was beginning a new job with a TV station) is killed by a shot in the head.

1967: Jack Ruby whose lawyers charged Dallas authorities with neglecting his health dies of cancer while awaiting trial; David Ferrie, who was to be a key witness in the trial of Clay Shaw, found dead in his locked apartment in New Orleans, ruled suicide though how the ruptured blood vessel which induced his brain hemorrhage could be self-inflicted was unexplained; Eladio del Valle, a friend of Ferrie's who had hired him to fly bombing missions over Cuba, found shot through the heart in a parking lot in Miami, Florida, the same day Ferrie was killed. Dr. Mary Sherman, another friend of Ferrie, shot in New Orleans, her body partially burned by her killer. Beginning of the Clay Shaw trial; New Orleans DA Jim Garrison subpoenas Allan Dulles and ex-CIA employee Gordon Novel to testify, but neither does. Congressional Legislation forced the Kennedy family to turn over all materials pertaining to the Assassination to the National Archives. JFKs Brain goes missing.

1968: RFK is killed in Los Angeles (shot in the back of the head from a gun in front of him??? Sirhan Sirhan doesn't remember what happened, but Security Guard Eugene Cesar, who carries the same caliber weapon as Sirhan, and was standing right behind RFK does remember, a Mossad job); Dr. Nicholas Chetta (who performed the autopsies on Ferrie and Dr. Sherman) died of a heart attack. Richard Carr (JFK assassination witness who is about to appear in Shaw Trial) learns that police have arrested a man planning to kill him; Richard Carr is attacked by two men with knives near Atlanta. Robert Kennedy, US Senator; June 5th, 1968

1969: Clyde Johnson who attended parties with Ferrie and Oswald, was beaten up to keep him from testifying at Shaw trial shot to death near Greensburg Louisiana; Trial of Clay Shaw for conspiracy to assassinate JFK starts but with Texas prosecutor Jim Garrison's witnesses dead, or discredited by dirty tricks from the CIA and FBI and other government agencies, concludes not guilty; Mary Jo Kopechne dies near Chappaquiddick in accident with Edward Moore Kennedy.

1972: Warren Commission critic and dissident Hale Boggs disappears on flight to Alaska.

1974: Joseph A. Milteer (who blew the Miami plot and was allegedly present at Dealy Plaza) died two weeks after a Coleman stove blew up in his bathroom, although the burns on the body may not have been severe enough to cause death; Clay Shaw is found dead in his home (after an ambulance delivers a body sized bundle there) cause of death undetermined because the body was embalmed too soon. Warren Commission alumnus Gerald Ford becomes President.

1975: Roger Craig (ex Dallas Deputy who claimed to have seen Oswald leaving the TSBD) is found shot to death, a victim of suicide.

1976: Sam Giancana and Johnny Roselli are murdered in separate incidents, supposedly to keep them from talking about the CIA-Mafia involvement in the JFK assassination and the attempts against Castro.

1977: George DeMohrenschildt (after learning that the House assassinations committee wanted to interview him) commits suicide; two days later Dutch journalist Willem Oltmanns testified to the committee that DeMohrenschildts had confessed to him several months earlier that Oswald had "Acted on his instructions" in the plot to murder JFK, and that DeMohrenschildt had acted as the middle man between Oswald and H.L.Hunt; DeMohrenschildt alleged to have left a document naming CIA and FBI officials connected with the assassination in his office. Carlos Prio Sacarras (ex-president of Cuba, former business partner of Jack Ruby in Havana casino, former gunrunner to Pro-Castro guerrillas, who had felt betrayed by Castro and then supported the Bay of Pigs invasion) committed suicide a week after DeMohrenschildt; Lou Staples (Radio talk show host of KRLD in Dallas and KTOK in OKC, who had interviewed Penn Jones, Roger Craig, Mae Brussell and other JFK investigators) falls victim to suicide in OKC.

And If JFK Had Lived... we would be debt free and have no IRS taxes, and no other tax to replace the IRS tax. If he lived most likely we would not have been involved in the Vietnam war. Banker's need a war to run up a deficit and profit from the money creation lending program. History shows that when Europe started this banking system, wars immediately started and Bankers lent money to both sides of the war. The banker's money controlled Kings of old, like the IMF controls countries today. JFK's plans would have stopped the Bankers from controlling congress and the President of this great nation we love. JFK wanted to return the control back to the people. JFK planned on paying off the national debt and ending the personal IRS income tax simply by printing US Notes. Where Would the Wealth Be Now, If...? If John F. Kennedy was successful, \$20 trillion of money/wealth the Bankers have today would be in the hands of Americans. IF JFK was successful, there would be no need for any income tax or other tax to replace the IRS tax and there would be no federal deficit today. One bullet in the head of President Kennedy keeps trillions of dollars in the hands of the Bankers with the end of the "Kennedy Dollar".

Here is a photograph of a specimen John F. Kennedy United States Government Note; notice a red color seal whereas the private Federal Reserve Note below it has a green seal. Bobby Kennedy worked with the President and knew of the plan. Bobby got a [silver] bullet also. Ted Kennedy has a voting record that would make any socialist proud following the banker's agenda and he is alive today.



Note that all six presidents who tried to end the banking monopolies were assassinated (Presidents Harrison, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy; possibly FDR's poisoning) and anyone who tries to expose these Mega-banking dynasties is demonized like Rep. Congressman James Traficant in 1993 and many others. Note also that most of the other US Presidents have been and are part of the Mason/Zionist Brotherhoods. Another interesting fact to consider is that of the 37 Presidents of the United States before Jimmy Carter, at least 18 or 21 or 42 (depending on which source you believe, 33 of them alone go back to Charlemagne, one of the most famous medieval monarchs of what we now call France and who just happens to be a major figure in the story of these bloodlines and their expansion out of Britain, France, Germany, and elsewhere) were close relatives. Of the 224 ancestors in the family tree of 21 Presidents, we find 13 Roosevelt's, 16 Coolidge's, and 14 Tyler's. Another source manages to relate 60 percent of the Presidents and link most of them to the super-rich Astor family. In his 1966 book entitled *Tragedy and Hope*, President Clinton's mentor Carroll Quigley writes about the mega-banking dynasties: **Carroll Quigley, Professor, Georgetown University:** "The powers of financial capitalism had [a] far-reaching [plan], nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the World's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank... Sought to dominate its government by its ability to control treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World."

They got 2 out of 3. The League of Nations failed largely owing to the suspicions of the people and while opposition concentrated on this, the other two proposals snuck their way through. It would take another war to wear the public resistance down. Wall Street invested heavily to rebuild Germany, as the Chase bank had propped up the Russian revolution. Now the Chase merged with the Warburg's Manhattan Bank to form the Chase Manhattan which would later merge with the Chemical Bank to become the largest bank on Wall Street. In 1944 the US approved its full participation in the IMF and the World Bank. By 1945 the second League of Nations was approved under the new name 'The United Nations'. The war had dissolved all opposition. The methods used in the National Banking Act of 1864 and the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 were now simply used on a Global scale. The Federal Reserve Act allowing the creation of Federal Reserve notes is mirrored by the IMF's authority to produce money called Special Drawing Rights (SDR's). It is estimated the IMF has produced \$30 billion dollars worth of SDR's so far. In the United States SDR's are already accepted as legal money, and all other member nations are being pressured to follow suit. With SDR's being partially backed by gold, a World gold standard is sneaking it's way in through the back door, which comes with no objection from the money changers who now hold two-thirds of the Worlds gold and can use this to structure the Worlds economy to their further advantage.

We have gone from the goldsmith's fraud being reproduced on a national scale through the Bank of England and the Federal Reserve, to a Global level with the IMF and the World Bank. Unless we together stop giving these exchange units their power by our collective faith in them, the future will probably see the Intergalactic Bank and the Federation of Planets Reserve set up in much the same way. This radical transfer of power has taken place with absolutely no mandate from the people. Nations borrow Special Drawing Right from the International Monetary Fund in order to pay interest on their mounting debts. With these SDR's produced at no cost, the IMF charges more interest. This contrary to bold claims does not alleviate poverty or further any development. It just creates a steady flow of wealth from borrowing nations to the money changers who now control the IMF and the World Bank. The permanent debt of Third World Countries is constantly being increased to provide temporary relief from the poverty being caused by previous borrowing. These repayments already exceed the amount of new loans. By 1992 Africa's debt had reached \$290 billion dollars, which is two and a half times greater than it was in 1980. A noble attempt to repay it has caused increased infant mortality and unemployment, plus deteriorating schools, and general health and welfare problems.

As World resources continue to be sucked into this insatiable black hole of greed, if allowed to continue the entire World will face a similar fate. **As one Prominent Brazilian Politician put it:** "The Third World War has already started. It is a silent war. Not, for that reason, any less sinister. The war is tearing down Brazil, Latin America, and practically all the Third World. Instead of soldiers dying, there are children. It is a war over the Third World debt, one which has as its main weapon, interest, a weapon more deadly than the atom bomb, more shattering than a laser beam." If a group or organisation had used its hard earned money to help these developing nations, then we might sympathise that there

should be a real effort to repay these loans. But the money used was created from fractional reserve banking. The money loaned to the Third World came from the 90% the banks allow themselves to loan on the 10% they actually held. It didn't exist, it was created from nothing, and now people are suffering and dying in an effort to pay it back.

Peter Kershaw in "Economic Solutions" and Larry Burkett in "The Coming Economic Earthquake" have named the private owners of the United States Federal Reserve system established in 1913 (under Benjamin Strong, the Reserve System was brought into interlocking relations with the Bank of England and the Bank of France and Reserve Governors and heads of European central banks brought on the Great Depression of 1929-31) as:

- 1) The Rockefeller Family - New York
- 2) The Rothschild Family - London
- 3) The Rothschild Family - Berlin
- 4) The Lazard Brothers - Paris
- 5) Israel Seiff - Italy
- 6) Kuhn-Loeb Company - Germany
- 7) The Warburgs - Amsterdam Representative of the Rothschild Family in France
- 8) The Warburgs - Hamburg Representative of the Rothschild Family in Germany
- 9) Lehman Brothers - New York
- 10) Goldman & Sachs - New York. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, which controls the 11 other Federal Reserve branches, is majority controlled by a) Chase-Manhattan (a Rockefeller stronghold) - 6,389,445 shares - 32.3% b) Citibank - 4,051,851 shares - 20.5%.

The HSBC bank controlled by Masons is another example of a fraudulent setup that gets to create money from nothing and charge interest on it. More on how the Federal Reserve System fraud operates later in this document by Edward Griffin (listen to his 1995 lecture on the CD) and Eustace Mullin. This has gone beyond clever financing, its wholesale murder and hopefully "really-enlightened (not Illuminati-ed)" reformers from within the ranks will work to stop it.

In July, 1968, the House Banking Subcommittee reported that Rockefeller, through Chase Manhattan Bank, controlled 5.9% of the stock in CBS. Furthermore, the bank had gained interlocking directorates with ABC. In 1974, Congress issued a report stating that the Chase Manhattan Bank's stake in CBS rose to 14.1% and NBC to 4.5%. The same report said that the Chase Manhattan Bank held stock in 28 broadcasting firms. After this report, the Chase Manhattan Bank obtained 6.7% of ABC, and today the percentage is most likely much greater. It only requires 5% ownership to significantly influence the media. This is only one of 300 wealthy shareholders of the FED. It is believed other FED owners have similar holdings in the media. To control the media, FED bankers call in their loans if the media disagrees with them. Rockefeller also controls the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the sole purpose of which is to aid in stimulating greater interest in foreign affairs and a one World government. Nearly every major newscaster belongs to the Council on Foreign Relations. The Council on Foreign Relations controls many major newspapers and magazines. Additionally, major corporations owned by FED shareholders are the source of huge advertising revenues which surely would influence the media. In 1966 (data supplied from my "company", as a result of congressional investigation headed by U.S. Representative Wright Patman of Texas,) it was discovered that four of the World's seven largest oil companies were under the direct ownership and/or control of the Rockefeller family. According to an earlier Operations Reports, the largest of these, Standard Oil of New Jersey (EXXON), alone controlled 321 other major corporations, including Humble Oil and Venezuela's OREOLE Petroleum; themselves among the largest oil corporations in the World.

By 1975, the Rockefeller had gained control of the single largest block of stock in Atlantic Richfield (ARCO) and were believed to be in control of TEXACO as well. (Therefore, it must be assumed that the extremely large suit and judgement against Texaco was merely a put-on for the public, and not a true verdict. Consider the true premise of a parent company bringing suit against it's darling daughter.) It was further noted that the Rockefeller were operating major joint ventures with Royal Dutch Shell, which was already in the hands of European one-World interests. I am specifically referring to the Dutch Royal Family. It was Queen Juliana who was the sole owner of Shell. Upon her daughter's (Beatrice) marriage to Klaus (Germany), she divested herself of the interests in Royal Dutch Shell. Substantial interests were offered and sold through Credit Anstalt Bank Verein, as well as Union Bank of Switzerland. However, our article deals with global strategists from both sides of the Atlantic. It should have seemed obvious to any American that major problems were to be encountered with the continuation of the Fed System. Ever since the founding of the Federal Reserve, consistent efforts have been made by conservatives of both houses of Congress to have their leaders put a stop to the Fed and to the dark forces behind it. During the 1960's and 1970's, Congressman Wright Patman of Texas also investigated manipulations by these foundations, trusts and the Federal Reserve. Using his influence as Chairman of the House Banking Committee and later as the Chairman of other important committees, he repeatedly tried to expose the so called "One World Plot" by calling for audits of the Federal Reserve, and even trying to have the Act repealed. However, the findings of each of his committees, for some strange reason, were unable to attract any attention from the media. Patman, and others who have gone after and before him, frequently stated and vented his frustration over this lack of press and media coverage. **On one occasion he stated, "our exposes of the Federal Reserve Board are shocking and scandalous, but they are only printed in the daily Congressional Record, which is read by very few people."**

In the 1970's and the 1980's, **Congressman Larry McDonald** was the one who spearheaded the efforts against the Bush version of the New World Order. In 1976 he wrote the introduction to the "Rockefeller File", a book exposing the Rockefeller' financial holdings and secret intentions. The book supposedly revealed that the Rockefeller had as many as two hundred trusts and foundation type organizations, and that the actual number of such foundations controlled by the family might well number into the thousands. Such control IS possible because Rockefeller banks, such as Chase Manhattan, have become the trustees for many other U.S. foundations as well; possessing the right to invest and to vote the capital and common stock of these institutions--through the trust department of the bank. 1976: Congressman Larry McDonald disappears in the Korean Airlines 747 (flight KAL007).

[Congress Subcommittee on Finance 1964 Exposes the Federal Reserve!](#)

<http://www.maxexchange.com/ybj/chapter2.htm> The following are excerpts from "MONEY FACTS 169 Questions and Answers on Money - A Supplement to A Primer on Money", prepared by the Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, House of Representatives, 88th Congress, 2d Session, September 21, 1964:

Question 1 - "Who has the right to create money in the United States?"

Answer - "Under the Constitution, it is the right and duty of Congress to create money. It is left entirely to Congress."

Question 2 - "To whom has the Congress delegated this money-creating right?"

Answer - "To the banking system, that is, to the Federal Reserve System and to the commercial banks in the country." [the owners of the major commercial banks also own the Federal Reserve Banks]

Question 6 - "Does Congress supervise Federal Reserve policymaking?"

Answer - "No. In practice, the Federal Reserve is "independent" in its policy-making. The Federal Reserve neither requires nor seeks the approval of any branch of Government for its policies. The System itself decides what ends its policies are aimed at and then takes whatever action it sees fit to reach those ends."

Question 7 - "What problems are raised by an "independent" Federal Reserve?"

Answer - "There are two major problems. One is the problem of political responsibility for the country's economic policies. The other is the problem of final control over the Government's action in the economic sphere."

Question 8 - "What is the problem of political responsibility?"

Answer - "Since the Federal Reserve is independent it is not accountable to anyone for economic policies it chooses to pursue. But this runs counter to normally accepted democratic principles. The President and Congress are responsible to the people on election day for the past economic decisions. But the Federal Reserve is responsible neither to the people directly nor indirectly through the people's elected representatives. Yet the Federal Reserve exercises great power in controlling the money-creating activities of the commercial banks."

Question 35 - "Has the United States gone off the gold standard?"

Answer - "Yes, except in its international transactions."

Question 38 - "To whom does the Constitution give the power over money?"

Answer - "The Congress. The Constitution provides "the Congress shall have power to coin money, regulate the value thereof." The Supreme Court has interpreted this clause, again and again over a period of 150 years, to mean that "whatever power there is over the currency is vested in Congress."

Question 41 - "What is fractional reserve method of banking?"

Answer - "The fractional reserve method of banking originated with the goldsmiths - the predecessors of our present bankers. It is the method of banking used today. Briefly, it is a system whereby bankers maintain as reserves only a fraction of the amount needed to meet all claims against them. (The vast bulk of the claims against the banks are the deposits you and I hold. These are obligations which the bank must pay on demand.) The goldsmiths struck upon this method by noticing that the people who deposited gold with them for safekeeping only claimed a small portion of this gold at any one time. Therefore, the goldsmiths realized that they could lend out a good portion of the gold left with them. They then made loans, which in fact were not gold but warehouse receipts for gold. These receipts circulated as money. Notice, the gold - actually certificates of ownership - being loaned by the goldsmith was not his to lend. He did not own it, In other words, the goldsmith wrote receipts to people who were not depositing gold, i.e. to borrowers. So receipts for more gold meet the claims against him. This is the fractional reserve system. When the banks of the United States kept their reserves in gold, their reserves amounted only to a small fraction of the amount of money they had issued, all of which was guaranteed to be redeemable in gold." [Editor's note: Could this be the reason many goldsmiths were taken out to a tree and hung, when the people discovered the fraud that had been perpetrated upon them?] Fraud - "A false misrepresentation of a matter of fact, whether by words or by conduct, by false or misleading allegations, or by concealment of that which should have been disclosed, which deceives or is intended to deceive another . . . A generic term, embracing all multifarious means which human ingenuity can devise, and which are resorted to by one individual to get advantage over another by false suggestions or by suppression of the truth, and includes all surprise, trick, cunning, dissembling, and any unfair way by which another is cheated . . . `Bad faith' and `fraud' are synonymous, and also synonymous of dishonesty, infidelity, faithfulness, perfidy, unfairness, etc. . . ." (Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition)

Question 47 - "Where does the Federal Reserve get the money with which to create bank reserves?"

Answer - "It doesn't `get' the money, it creates it. When the Federal Reserve writes a check, it is creating money . . . The Federal Reserve is a total moneymaking machine. It can issue money or checks. And it never has a problem making its checks good because it can obtain the \$5 and \$10 bills necessary to cover its check simply by asking the Treasury Department's Bureau of Printing and Engraving to print them."

Question 69 - "If the Government can issue bonds, why can't they issue money and save the interest?"

Answer - ". . . There is little opposition to the Government's printing bonds and then permitting the banks to create the money with which to buy those bonds; but proposals that the Government itself create the money instead of the bonds have always set off tremendous political upheavals. For example, Abraham Lincoln set off a political furor when he insisted

upon having the Government issue \$364 million in money, the so-called "greenbacks" instead of issuing interest-bearing bonds and paying interest on the money."

Question 70 - "If the Government issued more money instead of Government bonds, isn't there a danger that the Government would issue too much money and cause inflation?"

Answer - "No. It is no more or less inflationary for the private banks to create \$1 billion of new money than it is for the Government to create \$1 billion of new money . . ."

Question 91 - "What are the sources of revenue of the Federal Reserve?"

Answer - "By far the largest is interest on its holdings of U.S. Government securities. This accounts for almost 99 percent of the Federal Reserve income."

Question 125 - "Do private banks enjoy a special relationship with the Federal Government?"

Answer - "Yes, a very special relationship. The business of banks is to lend money. The profits come from the difference between the cost of creating money and the price they charge borrowers for that money. Now the cost of creating money is negligible . . . The banks do not pay a license fee or a payment charge for their reserves. Thus the raw materials the banks use cost them nothing . . . Further, the Federal Government provides private banks with the protection from competition and the hazard of failure."

Question 131 - "Do private banks perform a service in buying Government bonds?"

Answer - "No, because they create the money - an obligation of Government - simply to buy bonds guaranteed by the Government. There is no risk involved . . . Their reward for buying bonds with money they create is the "subsidized" profits they enjoy."

Question 132 - "What is the burden of U.S. Government bonds, held by the private banking system?"

Answer - "The burden is the heavy bond interest payments, borne by the taxpayers, that go to private bankers when the same amount of money could be created by an agency of the government. Then the taxpayers would not bear this tremendous cost on Government bonds purchased with the reserves given to private bankers."

Question 161 - "Are the effects of money policy so unique that the monetary policymakers need to be free from all accountability?"

Answer - "No. It is hard to see what is so mysterious about monetary policy. Everyone is affected by tax and expenditures and by foreign policy. In both areas, the Government must take 'unpopular action.' Raising taxes is unpopular. Sending men to fight in Korea is unpopular. No one suggests that we should have an independent 'defense policy board' or an independent 'tax policy board.' Why then an independent money policy board?"

Question 163 - "Is the Federal Reserve independence inefficient?"

Answer - "Absolutely . . . It is pure luck if the motor is not constantly stalling. We have not always been lucky. This is no way to run economic policymaking . . . Controlling the economy should come from one, and only one source - which must be the Congress in our democracy."

Question 164 - "Is the trustee notion of monetary policymaking alien to American democracy?"

Answer - "Of course. The claim that the people do not know what is good for them, and therefore a small group of men should be given the power to make decisions and then to take action without being held accountable to the people is 100 percent undemocratic. The essence of democracy is that the people decide for themselves, through their elected officials, what is good or bad for them. Further, to give monetary control to a group like the Federal Reserve is to hand over enormous power unfettered by responsibility to anyone. In a democracy, especially the American form, the holders of power, almost without exception, are responsible to the people, through their elected officials in the use of this power. The Federal Reserve's ideas that they should be considered trustees rather than stewards runs counter to anything that Americans have believed about power and responsibility since the founding of the Republic."

Question 165 - "Who favors Federal Reserve independence?"

Answer - "The private banks who control the System, together with some allies - notably, Wall Street newspapers and other members of the financial community."

[**Congressman Wright Patman Exposes the Fed 1964**](#)

"The Federal Reserve bank buys government bonds without one penny..." -- **Congressman Wright Patman, Congressional Record, Sept 30, 1941**

Congressman Wright Patman said in 1964, "The dollar represents a one dollar debt to the Federal Reserve System. The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government Bonds from the U.S. Treasury...and has created out of nothing adebt which the American people are obliged to pay with interest."

In 1958 the U.S. owned \$700 million ounces of gold. Today the nation's bullion reserves have dwindled to a mere 281,000,000 ounces (\$100 billion dollars) which is minuscule in relationship to the amount of paper currency in circulation, the currency in cyber accounts, and the amount of Treasury debt. The goal of the Fed is to make gold irrelevant as a measure of monetary value so it can continue to print an unlimited amount of paper currency. In 1964,

Wright Patman completed a thorough examination of the Federal Reserve System. His work duplicates and reinforces the work done by McFadden. Chairman of a powerful House Banking Committee, Patman wrote eight pages totally damning of the Fed. Of significant interest, he describes the incident when the Fed threatened to veto Kennedy by turning off his money. Kennedy backed down. That incident alone illustrates the dictatorial power of the Fed. Despite numerous attempts by Congressman Wright Patman and others who have called for a full audit of the books of the Federal Reserve System, no audit has been made available to the public since the System was founded in 1913. On March 1, 1982, the Arizona State Legislature, as well as a number of other states passed a resolution calling for the abolishment of the Federal Reserve System. All efforts to expose and change the System have been thwarted. Below is just one of the dozens of the attempts to no avail.

[H.RES.746](#): Resolution providing for the consideration of H.R. 7590, a bill to authorize and direct the General Accounting Office to audit the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Advisory Council, and Federal Open Market Committee, and Federal Reserve Banks and their branches. Sponsor: Rep Patman.

If the media is unbiased, independent and completely thorough, why haven't they discussed the FED? Currently, half the states have at least a grass roots movement in action to abolish the FED, but there's no press coverage. In July, 1968, the House Banking Subcommittee reported that Rockefeller, through Chase Manhattan Bank, controlled 5.9% of the stock in CBS. Furthermore, the bank had gained interlocking directorates with ABC. In 1974, Congress issued a report stating that the Chase Manhattan Bank's stake in CBS rose to 14.1% and NBC to 4.5%. The same report said that the Chase Manhattan Bank held stock in 28 broadcasting firms. After this report, the Chase Manhattan Bank obtained 6.7% of ABC, and today the percentage is most likely much greater. It only requires 5% ownership to significantly influence the media . This is only one of 300 wealthy shareholders of the FED. It is believed other FED owners have similar holdings in the media. To control the media, FED bankers call in their loans if the media disagrees with them. Rockefeller also controls the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the sole purpose of which is to aid in stimulating greater interest in foreign affairs and a one World government. Nearly every major newscaster belongs to the Council on Foreign Relations. The Council on Foreign Relations controls many major newspapers and magazines. Additionally, major corporations owned by FED shareholders are the source of huge advertising revenues which surely would influence the media.

In 1966 (data supplied from my "company", as a result of congressional investigation headed by U.S. Representative Wright Patman of Texas,) it was discovered that four of the World's seven largest oil companies were under the direct ownership and/or control of the Rockefeller family. According to an earlier Operations Reports, the largest of these, Standard Oil of New Jersey (EXXON), alone controlled 321 other major corporations, including Humble Oil and Venezuela's OREOLE Petroleum; themselves among the largest oil corporations in the World. By 1975, the Rockefeller had gained control of the single largest block of stock in Atlantic Richfield (ARCO) and were believed to be in control of TEXACO as well. (Therefore, it must be assumed that the extremely large suit and judgement against Texaco was merely a put-on for the public, and not a true verdict. Consider the true premise of a parent company bringing suit against it's darling daughter.) It was further noted that the Rockefeller were operating major joint ventures with Royal Dutch Shell, which was already in the hands of European one-World interests. I am specifically referring to the Dutch Royal Family. It was Queen Juliana who was the sole owner of Shell. Upon her daughter's (Beatrice) marriage to Klaus (Germany), she divested herself of the interests in Royal Dutch Shell. Substantial interests were offered and sold through Credit Anstalt Bank Verein, as well as Union Bank of Switzerland.

However, our article deals with global strategists from both sides of the Atlantic. It should have seemed obvious to any American that major problems were to be encountered with the continuation of the Fed System. Ever since the founding of the Federal Reserve, consistent efforts have been made by conservatives of both houses of Congress to have their leaders put a stop to the Fed and to the dark forces behind it. During the 1960's and 1970's, Congressman Wright Patman of Texas also investigated manipulations by these foundations, trusts and the Federal Reserve. Using his influence as Chairman of the House Banking Committee and later as the Chairman of other important committees, he repeatedly tried to expose the so called "One World Plot" by calling for audits of the Federal Reserve, and even trying to have the Act repealed. However, the findings of each of his committees, for some strange reason, were unable to attract any attention from the media. Patman, and others who have gone after and before him, frequently stated and vented his frustration over this lack of press and media coverage. On one occasion he stated, "our exposes of the Federal Reserve Board are shocking and scandalous, but they are only printed in the daily Congressional Record, which is read by very few people."

In the 1970's and the 1980's, Congressman Larry McDonald was the one who spearheaded the efforts against the Bush version of the New World Order. In 1976 he wrote the introduction to the "Rockefeller File", a book exposing the Rockefeller' financial holdings and secret intentions. The book supposedly revealed that the Rockefeller had as many as two hundred trusts and foundation type organizations, and that the actual number of such foundations controlled by the family might well number into the thousands. Such control IS possible because Rockefeller banks, such as Chase Manhattan, have become the trustees for many other U.S. foundations as well; possessing the right to invest and to vote the capital and common stock of these institutions--through the trust department of the bank. McDonald did everything in his power to warn the American public. However, as usual, the attempt was to no avail. He stated unequivocally, that the Rockefeller intended to control "--first our own country, and then the World!" He went on to state. "Do I mean conspiracy? Yes, yes I do. I am convinced there is a plot, national and international." McDonald's warning was written on legal congressional letterhead and was dated November, 1975. During the ensuing years, frustrated by the media's refusal to report his findings, he began, like others and myself, to take his message to the streets by speaking out against these forces publicly to anyone who would listen to him. McDonald's courageous efforts came to an abrupt end on August 31,

1983 when he was killed aboard the Korean Airliner 007 flight, which "accidentally" strayed over Soviet airspace and was "accidentally" shot down.

Today, as with many other true patriots, very little remains of his fight for freedom. Critical information does kill the holder. During the 1960's and 1970's, thanks to the efforts of Congressman Wright Patman, Larry McDonald, and others, the message of a "One World Conspiracy" had begun to reach the "reading" American people. The usual action groups were formed by various citizens in an urgent attempt to get this information into the hands of the public, However, as usual, without coverage from the major media, their efforts have had only limited results. These groups have had to rely on self-published newsletters and books. Numerous radio appearances also were used to spread the word. The task of educating the American public is not a simple one, but rather and more so, based and predicated upon numerous unpublicized speaking engagements in order to get the word out. Such is the stress associated with an active grassroots campaign. Lt. Col. Archibald Roberts is one of the individuals who has made significant impact. As Director of the Committee to Restore the Constitution, he began testifying before state legislatures, informing our elected officials, at the state level, about the deception surrounding the Federal Reserve Act. His campaign, urging state legislatures to repeal the Federal Reserve Act was, according to Agency records, launched on March 30, 1971, when he testified before the Wisconsin House of Representatives. The text of Roberts' address was subsequently entered in the Congressional Record on April 19, 1991 by Louisiana Congressman John Rarick. As a result of Roberts' work, by the mid 1980's, approximately twenty states had taken some form of action to pass legislation, calling either for an audit of the Fed, or for the repeal of the Federal Reserve Act. However, there has been virtually no media coverage, and the American public is still largely unaware of the intense battle going on behind the scenes of the Washington Establishment. During June, 1989, the battle waged at the state level had once again reached Congress. Representative Henry Gonzalez, of Texas, introduced House Resolution 1469, calling for the abolition of the Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System. He also introduced House Resolution 1470, calling for the repeal of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913. During the same session, Representative Phil Crane of Illinois, introduced H.R. 70, calling for an annual audit of the Federal Reserve. However, all of these efforts, like those of others before them, failed.

We have personally come to know that it is far more than merely difficult to get the public behind a legitimate cause or issue, if the media refuses to cover it. Obviously such coverage is necessary in order to get the public to put the kind of pressure on Congress that will lead to action. This is particularly true of a Congress in which One-World interests now hold the upper hand, and own the media. The only area where one might disagree with the judge's decision is where he states that the Fed furthers the federal government's fiscal policy, and therefore performs an important governmental function. While we would like to think that the federal government and the Fed work cooperatively with each other, and they may on occasion, the Fed is by no means required to do so. One example is where Rep. Wright Patman, Chairman of the House Banking Committee, said in the Congressional Record back in the '60s, that depending on the temperament of the Fed's Chairman, sometimes the Fed worked with the government's fiscal policy, and other times either went in the complete opposite direction, or threatens to do so in order to influence policy. The common claim that the Fed is accountable to the government, because it is required to report to Congress on its activities annually, is incorrect. The reports to Congress mean little unless what the Chairman reports can be verified by complete records. From its founding to this day, the Fed has never undergone a complete independent audit. Congress time after time has requested that the Fed voluntarily submit to a complete audit, and every time, it refuses.

Those in the know about the Fed, realize that it does keep certain records secret. The soon-to-be-former Chairman of the House Banking Committee, Henry Gonzales, has spoken on record repeatedly about how the Fed at one point says it does not have certain requested records, and then it is found through investigation that it in fact does have those records, or at least used to. It would appear that the Fed Chairman can say anything he wants to to Congress, and they'll have to accept what he says, because verification of what he says is not always possible. Most people, including Americans, believe that the Federal Reserve Banks are government institutions, whereas they are actually mainly owned by banks and banking families. Being privately owned, and not public companies they do not have to make public reports, or divulge any internal information unless they want to, and as matters stand, with the public perception as it is they prefer to let the proverbial sleeping dog lie. Government and the finance industry have agreed that the term "independent" to describe their status is a good one, because the word "private" would be likely to evoke awkward questions, particularly when its governor is making recommendations that seem contrary to the country's economic interests. And so the convention happily continues. However, despite that word providing a sort of cover-up, there have been occasions when highly placed political groups have made very frank comments about its activities and suggested fundamental changes. This of course spurs various lobby groups into appropriate action to entrench the status quo, and protect the "Fed" against any changes. One such occasion was when the U.S. Congress Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, chaired by Wright Patman, published a virtual textbook on finance, aimed at assisting Congress and the public to better understand the American financial system. Its preface went so far as to say that it was an attempt to help "students, and all others interested in the study and improvement of our monetary system." -- then offered a range of fundamental improvements for serious consideration, which immediately kicked lobby groups, Wall Street correspondents, and supportive big businesses into top gear to minimize the "damage" that such reforms could cause.

So efficacious were their combined efforts that with the publication this year celebrating its 40th birthday, it appears that really nothing has changed, so it seems appropriate to have a further look at how the Patman Committee saw the situation then, and their recommendations for change, so we lift straight out of the Supplement to that Report, titled "Money Facts, 169 Questions and answers", for further consideration:

"Q.163: Is the Federal Reserve independence inefficient?"

(A:) Absolutely. We now have two centres of economic policy making. One is the President and Congress. The other is the Federal Reserve Board. Both these policy-making centres control powerful economic tools. Each can turn the economy in any direction it wishes; yet neither has full control. As things stand now, policy-making is run like a dual control car, driven by two drivers, one of whom insists on his independent right to use his own brake, and accelerates as he and he alone thinks fit. It is pure luck that the motor is not constantly stalling. This is no way to run economic policy making. Both the speed and direction signals controlling the economy should come from one, and only one, source - which must be the President and Congress in our democracy.

Q.164: Is their "trustee" notion of monetary policy-making alien to American democracy?

(A:) Of course. The claim that people do not know what is good for them, and therefore a small group of men should be given the power to make the decisions, and then to take action, without being held accountable to the people, is 100% undemocratic. The essence of democracy is that people decide for themselves, through their elected officials, what is good or bad for them".

Further, to give monetary control to a group like the Federal Reserve is to hand over enormous power, unfettered by responsibility to anyone... The Federal Reserve's ideas that they should be considered trustees rather than stewards' runs counter to anything that Americans have believed about power and responsibility since the founding of the Republic.

"Q.165: Who favors Federal Reserve independence?

(A© The private banks who control the System, together with some allies: notably Wall Street newspapers, and other members of the financial community.

Q.166: Has the Federal Reserve demonstrated superiority in the management of monetary matters?

(A:) On the contrary. The Federal Reserve's persistent fear of a bogey-man ", inflation", has led it to slow our economy's growth and cause periodic recessions, and moreover, to maintain "tight money" even during periods of recession and economic slowdown".

Our Comment: The Committee then listed numerous changes it wanted, including; reorganizing the "Board"; abolition of the Free Market Committee; introducing "accountability" with audits; reducing the influence of "private " banks on the System; and terminating the selling of (U.S.) Government Securities through a small group of preferred dealers, adding the blunt assertion, "There is no reason to guarantee Government Bond dealers vast profits." To which we would add; especially when they can acquire that Stock by merely honoring their own checks, with the traditional banking privilege.

[CIA Sponsored Massacre To Plunder Indonesia's Resources 1965](#)

From Indonesia 1958: Nixon, the CIA, and the Secret War By L. Fletcher Prouty. Blood ran in the streets. Villages were wiped out and a million people massacred in a battle for the riches and political control of Indonesia. Nixon and the CIA wanted Sukarno overthrown. A letter from one of the most beautiful women in the World lies buried in a stack of mail on President Ford's desk. Written in Paris on July 24, 1975, by Dewi Sukarno, the former First Lady of Indonesia and widow of Dr. Achmed Sukarno, the charismatic Father of Indonesia, the letter is an appeal to President Ford for a complete explanation of the CIA-led and supported rebellions that took place in Indonesia in 1958 and 1965. It is not well known in the United States that the 1958 rebellion led to a major Indonesian civil war. The CIA-inspired uprising in Indonesia, unlike the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba, was a full-scale military operation. The Bay of Pigs invasion in 1961 was made by a thin brigade of about 1,500 Cuban exiles trained by the CIA in Guatemala. But the 1958 Indonesian action involved no less than 42,000 CIA-armed rebels supported by a fleet of bombers and vast numbers of four-engine transport aircraft as well as submarine assistance from the U.S. Navy. It also involved a major training and logistical supporting effort on the part of the Philippines, Okinawa, Taiwan, and Singapore. But despite this massive armed force, the 1958 rebellion, like the Bay of Pigs invasion, was a total failure. Sukarno's army drove the rebels on Sumatra and Celebes into the sea.

There are some who might call the 1965 uprising a success. At least the rebels were not driven into the sea. However, for the United States it was a fantastically costly endeavor. The rebellion ended in the most massive and ruthless bloodbath since World War II. While the headlines in the United States dealt with the slaughter in Vietnam, the press of the rest of the World heaped blame on the United States for the barbaric massacre in Indonesia. The victorious new government of General Suharto proceeded to assassinate nearly one million people. This terrible slaughter and the ensuing imprisonment of tens of thousands of Indonesians stirred Dewi Sukarno to seek President Ford's assistance in gaining the release of her countrymen from prison. Dewi Sukarno has received no answer. But even without a reply she knows. The silence from Washington speaks for itself. A denial, if true, would have come without hesitation. The Indonesians know. The Latins had a phrase for it, "Is fecit cui prodest" -- the perpetrator of a crime is he who profits by it. Today, major U.S. enterprises are plundering the raw material wealth of Indonesia -- rubber, tin, and oil -- in a manner that is more vile than what is happening in Chile. And there is no one to stop them. Achmed Sukarno was one of those rare men who rose during the hours of crisis to unite one hundred million people and lead them out of the ashes of World War II. Sukarno came to liberate his country from the Japanese, the Dutch, the Portuguese, and from all others who were ready to enslave his country once again. He established his government on the "Five Pillars": (1) belief in one supreme God (2) just and civilized humanity (3) unity of Indonesia (4) democracy (5) social justice. Sukarno was forced to thread his way between communism and capitalism. His independence made him both friends and enemies. His worst enemies came from his polyglot people who are scattered over more than 3,000 islands. These islands make up the World's largest archipelago; they stretch along the equator for over 3,400 miles and are located in Southeast Asia between the Philippines and

Australia. From one of these islands came Lt. Col. Alex Kawilarang, the military attache serving in Washington who was to defect to the rebel forces and lead the rebel contingent on Sumatra, the Indonesian island richest in natural resources.

His Excellency President Gerald Ford, The White House, Washington, D.C., **Dear Mr. President,**

As the widow of the late President Sukarno and being the only member of the family living overseas, I address myself to you, being deeply alarmed and disturbed by numerous and persistent reports in the international press. For instance, the CIA is said to have spied on my husband: manufactured a fake film in order to slander the good name and honor of Sukarno: prepared an assassination attempt against him and conspired to oust him from power to estrange him from the Indonesian people by accusing him of collaborating with international communism in betrayal of Indonesian independence, which of course was totally absurd.

My husband has repeatedly informed me that he was fully aware of these immoral, illegal, subversive, anti-Indonesian activities against his beloved Indonesia, his people, and against him personally.

I would like to request from you, as well as from the responsible Congressional Committees in the United States a full explanation about these reports and reprehensible practices as carried out by an official United States Government Agency in the name of several American Presidents and Governments.

Both in 1958 and in 1965, the CIA directly interfered in the internal affairs of Indonesia. In 1958, this monstrous action led to civil war. In 1965, it led to the ultimate takeover by a pro-American military regime, while hundreds of thousands of innocent peasants and loyal citizens were massacred in the name of this insane crusade against international communism. Still today, ten years later, many tens of thousands of true patriots and Sukarnoists are locked up in jails and concentration camps being denied the simplest and most elementary human rights. American companies and aggressive foreign interests are indiscriminately plundering the natural riches of Indonesia to the advantage of the few and the disadvantage of the millions of unemployed and impoverished masses.

I must now ask you, Mr. President, in the name of freedom and justice, in the name of decency in relations between states and statesmen, between powerful nations and developing lands, in the name of the Indonesian people and the Sukarno family: did the United States of America commit these hideous crimes against Indonesia and against the founder of the nation? Will your Government be prepared to accept responsibility for these evil practices? Over one hundred million Indonesians have been brainwashed, as was the rest of the World by the present regime's propaganda to believe that the communists carried out the insurrection. My countrymen, as well as everyone else, have the right to know the truth of the historic facts. It will be the painful duty for America now to reveal the CIA involvement in Indonesia and release all information and documents relevant to who really initiated the terrifying bloodbath that led to the overthrow of the legal Government and to the inhuman treatment in house arrest lasting three years until my husband's death.

In closing, I would like to strongly appeal to you, Mr. President, to use your influence with the military regime in Jakarta, to immediately free those many thousands of political prisoners, men and women, former cabinet ministers, writers and journalists, who I know are entirely innocent of the crime of treason they have been accused of. If the United States were to be instrumental in helping to improve the fate of so many thousands of courageous compatriots, I think the entire Indonesian nation would be grateful and Indonesians would regain their confidence in America's intentions towards the Third World.

Respectfully, R. S. Dewi Sukarno, July 24, 1975

What is not generally known about the complex Indonesian struggle is the role that was played by the then Vice President of the United States, Richard M. Nixon, and the bitter aftermath that involved the sudden ouster of Allen Dulles' protege, Frank Wisner, who at that time was the head of the clandestine arm of the CIA. After Watergate, when Anthony Lukas wrote in his book Nightmare, about the growing mistrust between Nixon and the Director of Central Intelligence, Richard Helms, he could have added that since the 1958 Indonesian rebellion there were many in the CIA who made a career of hating Nixon because of what he had done to Frank Wisner, among others. The Indonesian campaign began rather casually as so many CIA ventures do. Few if any ever originate at the top. During an unguarded conversation in Washington the Indonesian military attaché mentioned earlier made it known to certain U.S. military acquaintances that there were many prominent and strong people in Indonesia who would be ready to rise against Sukarno if they were given a little support and encouragement from the United States. It happened that one of those U.S. military friends he talked to was not a military man at all, but a member of the CIA. The provocative words got back to Frank Wisner, then the Deputy Director of Plans. He was in charge of the CIA's clandestine activity and he authorized agents to follow up on that first conversation.

The Indonesian attaché was wined and dined and encouraged to talk more. Reasons for the attache's return to Indonesia on official business were successfully arranged. He was accompanied by CIA agents traveling under the cover of "U.S. military" personnel. During this visit they spoke with rebel leaders. They learned enough about the potential strength of this opposition to encourage the CIA to set in motion its biggest operation up to that date. In the Philippines there was a strong nucleus of military men, chief among them a Colonel Valeriano, who had been President Magsaysay's military assistant. He had also worked on paramilitary exercises with the CIA during the Magsaysay campaign against the leftist rebel Huk movement. This military group had gained considerable power during the Magsaysay tenure. Many of these special warfare experts from the Philippines had volunteered for duty in South Vietnam in 1955 when the CIA was deeply

involved in providing undercover support for the new and uncertain regime of President Ngo Dinh Diem. By early 1958 these Filipinos and their CIA counterparts were prepared to involve the Philippines in the rebellion against Sukarno by setting up special warfare "Green Beret" training bases and by providing the Indonesian revolutionary council with clandestine air bases. One of those bases was on Palawan, the most western island of the Philippine archipelago, in the vicinity of the airfield at Puerto Princesa on Honda Bay. The other base was on the big southern island of Mindanao, near Davao Gulf. The rebellion flared sporadically from one end of Indonesia to the other.

While the CIA was supporting up to 100,000 rebels, the State Department professed innocence. The U.S. ambassador, Howard P. Jones, maintained that the United States had nothing to do with the rebellion and he protested the capture of the American oil properties. On the other hand, Sukarno had asked for more arms aid from the United States. He must have had strong suspicions about the source of rebel support. The vast number of guns, the bombers and heavy air transport aircraft dropping hundreds of tons of arms and equipment, as well as submarines supporting beach operations were just too sophisticated to be anything but major power ploys. Thus, his appeal for U.S. arms aid had the ring of gamesmanship. Playing along with the game, John Foster Dulles issued a statement saying that the United States would not provide arms to either side. And while he was publishing that falsehood, the United States furnished and piloted B-26 bombers, and these were bombing shipping in the Makassar Straits. Some had even flown as far south as the Java Sea. Almost immediately all insurance rates on shipping to and from Indonesia went on a wartime scale and costs became so prohibitive that most shipping actually ceased. The bombing attacks, kept so quiet in the United States that they hardly made the news, were being viewed with great alarm by the rest of the World. What was "Top Secret" in Washington was barroom gossip in the capitals of the World.

The pretense that the U.S. Government was in no way involved in this massive civil war against Sukarno was wearing thin. It was a reasonable cover as long as the United States could plausibly deny its role in the action. But one day, a lone B-26 out of the rebel CIA base at Menado, flying low over the Straits of Makassar, came upon an Indonesian ship -- an ideal target. The pilot banked to take a good run at the ship and began strafing it with those eight lethal .50-caliber machine guns. He was committed to the attack before he found out that the freighter was armed. The B-26 was hit and it ditched near the ship. The pilot, an American named Allan Lawrence Pope, was picked up. Pope was identified as a former U.S. Air Force pilot. The cork was out of the bottle. Sukarno had his proof of U.S. involvement and he played his ace card for an international audience. That one plane and that one pilot cost the U.S. Government tens of millions of dollars in ransom and tribute during the next several years. After the capture of Pope the rebellion rapidly fell apart. Loyal forces captured Donggala in central Celebes. And on far away Halmahera, government forces captured Jailolo. That ended all opposition except for the CIA-rebel air base at Menado. With the rebellion all but crushed, except for the continued existence of the main CIA force, Secretary of State John Foster Dulles ended the embargo of arms to Sukarno and agreed to send aid to the government of Indonesia! What wondrous duplicity! And Sukarno was not fooled. His forces had been fighting a major civil war inspired and clandestinely supported by the United States, while concurrently the overt branches of the U.S. Government acted as though nothing at all had happened. By the end of June 1958 it was all over. Then a very strange and rare (rare in terms of normal bureaucracy) thing happened. During the months of this operation it had been my custom to visit the CIA special operations center.

One morning I caught the unmarked, dull-green CIA shuttle bus at the Pentagon and rode to the operations center. I went in. Not a soul was there. The place had been cleaned out. Office after office was absolutely bare. Finally I found one secretary. She was sitting in a straight-back chair and her telephone was on the floor. There were tears in her eyes. She took a call from time to time and gave guarded answers about the former members of that huge staff. The entire section had been scattered to the four corners of the World. A large number of top-level, experienced, clandestine agents and operators had vanished. It took our Air Force office, skilled as we were in the ways of the CIA, months to find some of them again. Then we began to piece together what had happened. With the collapse of such a major effort and with the inability of the Government to deny plausibly before the World its role in the whole sordid affair, blame had to be placed somewhere. In an unprecedented action, Nixon had summarily fired Frank Wisner, along with some others. But Frank Wisner, a longtime OSS and CIA man, was a key intelligence officer. Few knew enough about his career to realize that he was senior, by far, to Helms and Colby. Clearly, he was Allen Dulles' heir apparent. When the OSS had been deactivated after World War II by President Truman, it was Wisner who had kept a tight-knit band of professionals together. This small cadre kept valuable OSS records and, more importantly, they had maintained the delicate lines of communication with agents, spies, and underground personnel in Eastern Europe, Russia, and Germany. They held this fragile web together. Without them hundreds of people might have been killed and priceless assets destroyed. And Frank Wisner suddenly, almost whimsically, had been fired. To a man, the Agency was aroused by this action. Rightly or wrongly, they hated Nixon for this. I remember being at meetings during which the name of Nixon would be mentioned and I have seen CIA men bristle and redden as though someone had let a poisonous snake loose in the room. Some vowed he would never become President.

Meanwhile the Agency moved to pull itself together. That one deft bloodbath appeared to end things. There was no Board of Inquiry as there was after the Bay of Pigs. And, remarkably, there was no public outcry as there would be a few years later after the U-2 scandal. The agency was busy sweeping things under the rug. Meanwhile those special B-26s were all flown back to the States and based at Elgin Air Force Base in Florida. That was late in 1958. By 1959 they began to stir again. A man named Castro had come to power in Cuba. During those fateful days in April 1961 it was those same B-26s that the CIA used to attack Cuba. This is the story that Dewi Sukarno is asking President Ford to explain to her and to the Indonesian people. Actually, the 1958 civil war was child's play compared to the brutal bloodbath of 1965. Sukarno was in control after the 1958 disaster and he wrung a heavy tribute from the U.S. Government for its indiscretions. But in 1965 his game ended, like Allende's in Chile, with defeat. An attempted communist coup d'etat was defeated by General

Suharto. Sukarno never made the great public statement that was to assure the success of the coup, and after its defeat and the ensuing bloodbath, he was stripped of his power. After a few years of ignominious house arrest the hero of all Indonesia died in 1970. What was the story behind Nixon's harsh action against Wisner? Was that the deep-rooted reason why CIA top-echelon insiders such as Dick Helms really hated and distrusted Nixon? In later years did they take out their grudge against him with a piece of tape on a Watergate doorway? There may never be answers to these questions, or perhaps they have been answered already. It is said that when the great volcanic mountain of Krakatoa in Indonesia blew up causing the greatest explosion the World had ever known, the dust of Indonesia was spread all over the World. The holocausts of 1958 and 1965 may have done the same thing. (for an excerpt from an interview conducted with L. Fletcher Prouty on May 6, 1989, regarding his book *The Secret Team, The CIA and Its Allies in Control of the United States and the World*, Prentice Hall, 1973. This segment recounts Prouty's experience when he found out that some things he had been doing for years in support of the CIA had not been known by the senior military officer in the armed forces -- the chairman of the JCS -- and that they had been done, most likely, in response to other authority. A transcript of this interview was to be published in 1998 by rat haus reality press as the book *Understanding Special Operations*)

[Day of Infamy, August 15, 1971, Rome Steals US Lands](#)

August 15, 1971, is a day that will live in infamy. That was the day that Standard Oil controlled President Richard Nixon assassinated the DOLLAR. He took the dollar off the gold standard and paved the way for the ruin of the country. In pagan Rome, August 15 was the big day for the devotees of Venus or the Queen of Heaven otherwise known as the Egyptian Isis or Hathor the cow goddess (the 5-pointed star or pentacle is the symbol of Venus; Venus' 4 year-cycle in reflected in the Olympics and its 5 circles on the Olympic flag). Roman pagans believed that Venus was taken up alive into heaven and crowned Queen of heaven. Papal Rome substituted Mary (the humble mother of Yeshua-Joshua) for Venus and continued to observe their pagan holiday. Now they call it the Assumption of the Blessed Virgin Mary!! Venus or the mother goddess was called Isis or Hathor in Egypt and Diana of the Ephesians in Asia Minor. August 15, 1534 was also the day that Ignatius LIEola founded the deadly Jesuit order.

After this date, foreign holders of U.S. currency could not redeem their dollars in gold . . . so the vast lands of the Western U.S. were promised as collateral instead of gold. Judas Iscariot was a perfect type of the papacy at the end of the World....Judas betrayed his Lord for 2 things: MONEY and LAND. Of course everybody knows that Fort Knox, Kentucky, is like the United States of America — impregnable from the outside. So if anybody wants to penetrate that fortress and steal the gold the thief has to come from within!! By 1950, the U.S. Government owned almost half of all the World's gold supply and the dollar was as good as gold. Now it is ALL gone . . . shipped off to Swiss and German Banks and credited to the account of the Vatican Bank!! During World War II, Fort Knox was modified to allow easy access to the MAIN STORAGE VAULT. Beginning in 1961, gold began to flow out and was taken to the Federal Reserve Vaults in New York City Federal Reserve Building at 33 LIBERTY St. (the tender mercies of the wicked are cruel) in downtown New York City (2 blocks from Wall St.) is the home of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. All the stolen gold was taken here and stored in the basement. It was used to finance the Vietnam War, the Cold War, Star Wars, Iraqi War, Serbian War, illegal immigration, drug traffic, abortion clinics etc., etc.

[1984 Grace Commission Report under Ronald Reagan Exposes All Taxes Go To BankLords](#)

The final report of the 1984 Grace Commission, convened under President Ronald Reagan, quietly admitted that none of the funds they collect from federal income taxes goes to pay for any federal government services. The Grace Commission found that those funds were being used to pay for interest on the federal debt, and income transfer payments to beneficiaries of entitlement programs like federal pension plans. Ronald Reagan was promptly shot after he dared to criticize the Fed, on the same day that the Pope was shot. After recovering, he changed his mind and praised the Fed. About seven US Presidents have been assassinated for not cooperating with the Transatlantic Banking Dynasties (William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; 7 if FDR's poisoning is counted). Most of us feel sick when we realize that **Not one dime of IRS money goes to the US Gov't**, according to Reagan's Grace Commission: it all goes to pay **interest on a bogus debt to the Private Federal Reserve (FED)**, just to allow paper money to circulate as "Federal Reserve Notes". The Federal Reserve is a private Corporation eventually owned by the Rockefellers and Rothschilds Dynasties through intermediary agents, designed to suck the capital dry from the U.S., as the Rothschilds do in Europe. Read [Billions for the Bankers, Debts for the People](#) More Links at [DeepInfo.com on Jekyll Island](#) .

"100% of what is collected is absorbed solely by interest on the Federal Debt ... all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the services taxpayers expect from government." -Grace Commission report submitted to President Ronald Reagan - January 15, 1984

These comments were presented at the **Close of the Citizens' Truth-In-Taxation Hearing**. Washington D.C., February 27-28, 2002: [IRS is a Trust Headquartered in Puerto Rico Not a Federal Agency](#) The IRS is technically not an "agency" of the federal government, as that term is defined in the [Freedom of Information Act](#) and in the [Administrative Procedures Act](#). The governments of the federal territories are expressly excluded from the definition of "agency" in those Acts of Congress. See [5 U.S.C. 551\(1\)\(C\)](#). All evidence indicates that they are a money laundry, extortion racket, and conspiracy to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in violation of [18 U.S.C. 1951](#) and [1961](#) et seq. They appear to be laundering huge sums of money into foreign banks, mostly in Europe, and quite possibly into the Vatican. See the national policy on money laundering at [31 U.S.C. 5341](#) . Do federal income tax revenues pay for any government services and, if

so, which government services are funded by federal income taxes? Answer: No. The money trail is very difficult to follow, in this instance, because the IRS is technically a trust with a domicile in [Puerto Rico](#). See [31 U.S.C. 1321\(a\)\(62\)](#). As such, their records are protected by laws which guarantee the privacy of trust records within that territorial jurisdiction, provided that the trust is not also violating the [Sherman Antitrust Act](#).

"Like it or not, you are a slave. You admit you are a slave every April 15th! That's when you sign forms that "voluntarily" lay bare to the government the most private details of your life! And few people realize the income tax is a slave tax. It can never be compatible with the life of a free people". ALAN KEYES - Republican Nominee for President, unfortunately, the only Republican willing to speak out along with Ron Paul.

Visit here... [Interest On The Debt!](#) <http://www.geocities.com/cmcofer/interest.html> ...and gulp! Sit down when you view it. People have fainted when they see the size of the Debt Hole we're now in!

The "President's Private Sector Survey on Cost Control", commonly referred to as the 1984 Reagan "Grace Commission Report" reveals that 100% of the "income tax" collected is applied against the interest of the national debt. The government operates on a deficit that is created from nothing by the Privately Owned Fed (owned by the same folks who own the major commercial banks) at interest, i.e. what could be created debt free and usury free by the Treasury has been usurped by the Banking Dynasties who have been ruling the World since ancient times. Taxes are a way of controlling, or manipulating the economy. They also give people a faith in the funny money -- makes it Near and dear to them. Balanced budgets and budget surpluses are a smoke and mirror trick. They sound really good, playwell for the people, but are nothing more than panderings. I'm not going into it, period, but if any should care to make the study, you will be at first incredulous, then angered by the depths of deception under which the people of this country labor.

Even the inventor of the electric light, **Thomas Edison, joined the fray in criticizing the system of the Federal Reserve:** "If our nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good, makes the bill good, also... It is absurd to say that our country can issue \$30 million in bonds and not \$30 million in currency. Both are promises to pay, but one promise fattens the usurers and the other helps the people."

"Our federal tax system is, in short, utterly impossible, utterly unjust and completely counterproductive [it] reeks with injustice and is fundamentally un-American... it has earned a rebellion and it's time we rebelled". - **President Ronald Reagan, May 1983, Williamsburg, VA .**

"Our Income Tax system is a disgrace to the human race." - **Jimmy Carter**, said in 1976 by the then President-to-be

"In a recent conversation with an official at the Internal Revenue Service, I was amazed when he told me that 'If the taxpayers of this country ever discover that the IRS operates on 90% bluff the entire system will collapse'". - **Henry Bellmon, Senator (1969)**

"Eight decades of amendments... to [the] code have produced a virtually impenetrable maze... The rules are unintelligible to most citizens... The rules are equally mysterious to many government employees who are charged with administering and enforcing the law". - **Shirley Peterson**, Former IRS Commissioner, April 14, 1993 at Southern Methodist University

"The wages of the average American worker, after inflation and taxes, have decreased 17% since 1973, the only Western industrial nation to so suffer". - **Martin Gross**, author of "The Tax Racket: Government Extortion From A to Z"

[The Second Rise of the Private Central Banks Actually began in 1668](#)

The second rise of private central banks began in 1668 (first one being the Bank of Rome in the 1st century AC) when the **Sveriges Riksbank in Sweden** birthed the concept of a private corporation lending money to government. In turn, the government pays interest in perpetuity and thus is always in debt to the central bank. However, it was with the **Bank of England in 1694**, that this concept became global. Similarly, the **Bank of France began in 1803**, the **German Bundesbank in 1870**, the **Bank of Japan in 1882**, the **Bank of Italy in 1893** and the **U.S. Federal Reserve in 1913** (this was the 3rd attempt by the Banking Mafias). Today, most countries have a private corporation controlling their monetary system. New central banks now exist in Afghanistan, Russia, China and Iraq and most other countries.

Clearly then, governments have turned over management and control of their finances to bankers. As such, bankers' goals are money, power and profit which is contrary to goals of honest government. Furthermore, the interest government's pay on national debt goes to these international bankers, and the higher the debt, the more interest is paid to them on money which the Banksters or BankLords created from nothing. As a result, a central bank dictates interest rates, the amount of money in circulation, and economic policies in a country. Moreover, the central bank ministers that manage the central banks of their respective country meet at the Bank for International Settlements-BIS in Basel, Switzerland, which is the central bank's bank or the mother of all private central banks. The BIS is at the apex of power and control over the World's monetary system.

In Tragedy and Hope, Dr. Carroll Quigley, Bill Clinton's mentor at Georgetown University, writes about the purpose of central banks, as follows: "[T]he powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of

the World acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. Each bank sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World. When a currency is off the gold standard, fluctuations of exchange can go on indefinitely”.

The unbalance of international payments is worked out by a shift in exchange rates. In 1980, **Jimmy Carter** gave the Federal Reserve vast powers over the U.S. banking system which began their rise as a major power over the U.S. economy when he signed the **Monetary Control Act of 1980**. At the same time, other G7 countries passed similar laws heralding ascendancy of the Bank for International Settlements with legal jurisdiction over the World's international banking system. Its goal is to "foster international monetary cooperation" which is a deception for total control over the World's financial system. Prior to the 1998 Asian financial crisis, the G7 finance ministers met alone. As a result of the Asian crisis, the respective central bank ministers of the G7 countries began meeting with the finance ministers. This was a major power play because the central banks lend money to countries that have become debtors to the central banks. Therefore the central truth is if countries become broke in the process, then the evolving international financial architecture turns out to be a transfer of wealth into the hands of the international bankers.

Again, as Quigley writes: "[T]he powers of financial capitalism had another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World." At every turn, this control is growing and expanding over each individual country.

The Group of Eight

Over the past 29 years, the Group of Eight or G8 has become a key World center of power. It began when Nixon took the dollar off the gold standard in 1971. By 1973, he called to the White House the heads of state of three countries' along with their finance and foreign ministers. There they determined how the World was to be financially governed. Two years later, the U.S., France, England, Italy, Japan, and Germany met in Rambouillet, France where the G5 power structure was birthed. A year later Canada joined and it was the G7 until 1999 when Russia joined and it became the G8. Currently, there is talk of China joining the G8 process because of its growing economic and trade power.

In their first Communiqué in 1975, the G8 declared their purpose: "We came together because of shared beliefs and shared responsibilities. To assure in a World of growing interdependence the success of the objectives set out in this declaration, we intend to play our own full part and strengthen our efforts for closer international cooperation". Actually, the original purpose of the G8 was to monitor a post-gold World. But its structure has expanded through the addition of a global cabinet. Because their agenda now includes such governmental oversights as labor, education, law, finance, health, transportation, trade, and foreign affairs, the respective ministers of these functions meet year-round. **The G8 has never hid its goal of global integration and it appeared in a 1991 declaration:** "We seek to build World partnership, based on common values and to strengthen the international order."

In 1994, they strengthened the international financial architecture of free trade and new international mechanisms to facilitate economic integration. They pledged their full energies "to strengthening the institutions in partnership with the entire membership to enhance the security and prosperity of the World. The major challenge confronting us is to manage increased interdependence." And later, in 1998, they wrote, "In a World of increasing globalization, we are ever more interdependent. In an interdependent World, we must work to build sustainable economic growth in all countries." All of these declarations clearly bear out the G8 over-arching objective of global integration. **While the goal of global integration may sound utopian and a way of achieving World peace, it can be seen in another light: one of gathering the natural and manufactured assets of the various individual nation-states and transferring them into a global market whereby the most powerful can control the value of the market by buying low and selling high, leaving the small investor to wonder where his unrealized gain went.** It was transferred into the bank account of those who REALIZED the gain at the market-top. This is a direct transfer of wealth!!! A transfer of assets requires an open system—a World without borders where laws facilitate integration and harmonization. The biggest economy in the World, the U.S., has been the driving force behind such integration. The Monetary Control Act of 1980 is foundational to asset transfer and ensuring integration market-based governance. It is not until we review the admonishments of our first president, George Washington quoted in the earlier sections, that we see how far we have strayed from nationalism and the ability of our country to protect itself from foreign and domestic enemies of all kinds, including those presidents who are traitors to the U.S. Constitution.

Perpetual Boom-Bust Cycles to Enrich to BankLords per Mike Montagne

For the rapid growth which could ensue the WW2 destruction, something like starting from a new beginning, new commerce could operate under initial debt. In other words, history illustrates the system does not provide for repairing the fractured system. After it collapses all we have worked for, we may be so deluded as to simply tolerate restarting the system anew, to repeat its inherent, unavoidable cycle of multiplying plunder to yet another subsequent collapse. Of course, a further option is instead to establish justice and solution. After all, there are ten-year-old mathematicians all over the country who can confirm solution, who neither wish to spend the rest of their lives paying for the same home you did. As under any such system, debt is multiplied by ever greater increments of periodic interest on ever greater debt, growth and increasing prosperity were initially less impeded through the 50s and 60s.

A \$35,000 home built in 1963 might cost you a million dollars plus interest now, even after it has been paid for many times over. Is it "all relative," as so often mindlessly recited by the dupes of usury? Absolutely not. IF all this were merely "relative," after all this otherwise inexplicable changing of the value of money, a home still would be paid for with only the work of producing the home. By the later 70s, debt was multiplying at alarming rates, and those who were mindful of the mathematic implications were disturbed. Ronald Reagan denounced the national debt accumulated by Jimmy Carter as "unforgivable." Reagan tripled the debt left at the end of the Carter Administration in 7 years. In the process, appealing to an ignorant public, Reagan accelerated the process of ambitiously revising figures in the hopes of painting a prettier picture. The disinformation necessarily assembled to sway your support while pulling off this graft is no less than your tax dollars being spent to deceive you more ambitiously, every day. Over the early 1980s, we corresponded with the Reagan people, and provided the Reagan Administration computer models capable of calculating the maximum possible lifespan of any "economy" conveyed by a currency which is interest-bearing debt. The models simply borrowed at whatever rate was necessary to maintain hypothetical growth rates, until the entire circulation was necessarily devoted to servicing debt. As some portion of the circulation however is necessarily devoted to sustaining solvent commerce, while commerce survives that is, a practical lifespan of any such system will fall short of the maximum possible lifespan, and typically will be engendered by the collapse of significant sectors of the "economy," marginalized in advance of other sectors. As the first sectors collapse under marginalized circumstances, they in turn collapse related sectors, then marginalized by diminished market, diminished revenue, diminished supply, diminished capacity to service debt, and consequent insolvency. As anyone can understand a simple model of the limitations of any such system, in the end, the projected form of collapse manifests as people now coincidentally say... "like a house of cards."

Understand however this is not a model of the sort attempting to predict one of perhaps many contingent, intermittent directions. It is a model of the absolute limitations of the system, and the onset of maximum limitations, according to managerial policy. Interest rates of course comprise not only the rate of profit of the central banking system, but the rate at which debt is multiplied. Our models, which simply replicate the process of multiplying debt, projected from the early 1980s that if interest rates were aggressively adjusted downward while restricting borrowing by other means than multiplying the profit of the central banks to the preclusion of commercial viability, we might have been able to service multiplying debt until something like 2020 AC. In the early 1980s however, we expected "the game" would be more inconspicuously managed against the prospect of public apprehension. Particularly as Alan Greenspan maintained higher interest rates than projected a maximum possible lifespan to 2020 AD. therefore, we can hardly expect to make it to 2020 on borrowings we cannot afford. In straightforward terms, the chances are not good we'll make it to 2010 without systemic collapse unless we adopt solution. All the while to the end, we will suffer more marginal solubility, despite what promise the allies of the central bankers will report, to your greater cost.

There is one solution and one solution only, and that is elimination of the corruption of the value of money, of precluded prosperity, and particularly of precluded prosperity by multiplication of debt upon commerce. We further provided Reagan and David Stockman a proof it was mathematically impossible that Reagan's proposed 10% tax cuts per year for three years would truly offset "inflation." As Federal costs are only a part of the whole of costs we suffer, and as even by the government's and so-called Federal Reserve's purposely distorted accounting, we suffered increasing costs of the whole of more than 10%, thus of course how much he could reduce our costs was far less than our costs were actually increased. While we provided and feel we proved singular solution in mathematically perfected economy, and though the debt engendered by the method of subduing increasing prices would certainly add substantially further to real costs, Reagan directed Stockman to determine "inflation" as increasing prices, hoping, despite the further increasing costs moved into multiplication of debt, that he could report a cross-section of prices that would "prove" to the satisfaction of a disinformed public that indeed he served them. It was impossible even before the very outset however, that Reagan would truly reduce real costs. He simply moved the figures into another column of profit of the central bankers, while central banking multiplied us into the greatest debtor nation of the World.

In fact, the merits of service of every president since and including Gerald Ford can be measured by the fact every president since and including Gerald Ford has been apprised of the timely embodiments of this very essay, with not one president demonstrating a record of serving the people. Reagan, like many others before and after him, plowed on with an agenda which has only served the central bankers by multiplying our debt. As an ever more difficult environment for prosperity was pitted against our efforts and capacity to produce as never before, under Reagan, the prosperity of the mid 70s stooped under the weight of mere "relative" debt, until we suffered the greatest single-day securities disaster since the Great Depression. "We" also descended from "the greatest creditor nation" of the World to the greatest debtor nation in a mere seven years, while Reagan, particularly like those after him, sought every distortion of reality to deceive the people, and champion false accomplishments readily discerned to this very moment. To convince an equally inept populace there is nothing wrong with central banking, and necessarily to finance ever more vain hopes of prosperity against debt, the currency presses could only be pushed into higher gear. **During the Reagan Administration, "inflation," which is defined as an increase in circulation per goods and services [or production], was as much as re-defined as increasing charges for production.** From thereon, there would be almost no limit to the further adulteration of analysis or reporting, but what the public would swallow.

But there certainly is no qualified association of higher charges with a greater circulation per production, particularly if that greater circulation is necessary to service debt multiplied in proportion to the circulation. In fact, as every central bank "economy" multiplies debt in proportion to any circulation, central bank "economies" themselves compel higher charges for production, IF production is to remain solvent above the higher costs of greater debt. Ostensibly to stave "inflation" nonetheless, Alan Greenspan maintained high interest rates. The idea of course is to restrict the circulation by making further circulation so expensive that under the resultant circulation, markets cannot afford higher prices. All the

while, merely as we maintain a circulation, debt and the profit of the central banking system multiply at the faster rates predicated by higher rates of interest. The consequence of making credit so expensive markets cannot afford rising prices therefore, is ever greater marginalization of existent production, under the weight of greater debt.

Alan Greenspan thus accelerated the demise of the system by marginalizing both consumer and producer, and multiplying debt at the highest rates either might tolerate. In 1996, during the campaign preceding the second Clinton Administration, I responded to an MSNBC question, Are political reporters politically biased? "Unless there is an editor or reporter with a perfectly neutral work-focus and style of presentation, then ALL reporters and editors are politically biased.

Bias however, is no sensitive issue where a mature audience is apt to detect bias and account for it. The damaging aspect of 'news' coverage is its non-focus on imperative issues and qualifiable solution; and that so many citizens of all countries of the World hold to so many irrelevant snippets as if they can be served by them. For some 20 years for instance, the prospect of a 'balanced budget' occasionally draws some focus. But, in the news, and in politics, never have we seen an exhaustive proof of whether it is even mathematically possible to balance the budget under current and ever-worsening conditions. If however, World politics will ever see the solution of ever-mounting debt, and a solution of the ever greater costs of ever mounting debt, inevitably this will be through the eyes of a mathematic expression equivalent to conclusive, mathematic proof. Do the people even want to see or hear this, or administer to issues in conclusive terms? Only their focus on such a scope will tell. But certainly no news-service offers the inevitable argument. In any event, the impertinence of the audience befits the impertinence of submitted material. If they had no equally impertinent audience... all impertinent material, and all impertinent politicians, would be equally vanished. Just something to consider, as we plunge ever deeper into insoluble debt." The Clinton-Gore Administration of course, likewise received our proof of mathematically perfected economy. Mathematically perfected economy is a singular solution for the issues confronting us. In return for our efforts, and in sole response to our efforts, Bill Clinton sent us 8 years' of Christmas cards — without a word on economics, unless those words are in the cards we never opened. You might understand that several of those sendings were never even opened nonetheless, as it wasn't long after my subdued 1996 expression of displeasure that Bill Clinton and Al Gore claimed to have accomplished something which to recently had been considered "mathematically impossible." My very words. Imagine that.

Clinton, known well enough for his truthfulness, claimed to have balanced the federal budget; and remarkably, this claim survives the mainstream press as "might" induce approval of an unapprehending populace to this very day. But were taxes increased? Was government spending decreased? Were either taxes or spending increased or decreased as necessary to avoid further accumulation of national debt? OR "might" he just for instance have instead relinquished hard-earned and vital assets such as the Panama Canal, and gutted social security and even other funds meant for, created by the work of, and owned by, the American people? And can this "possibly" explain why Al Gore refused to run for 2004, saying only that he's come to realize "the old methods may not work any more?" Indeed, no more room may remain for the old methods to "work" any more; and when and if we finally have to clean house, which "may" be very soon, neither the White House or Congress or Judicial system will be a comfortable place to perch, if not only did you permit this to happen, you were a principal perpetrator. But in the mainstream press owned by the principal perpetrators, the legend of the balanced budget lives on, even as federal debt continued to accumulate under the purported, balanced budget — and even as federal debt is regularly purchased by the so-called Federal Reserve, for profit, at your further expense. As Clinton took office with business across the World plummeting from profitability, "new engines of growth" were direly sought. It was necessary to dramatically increase the circulation that, if new production of some sort could survive, it might sustain "the economy" against the accumulated debt. "One thing always particularly attractive to the banking 'industry,'" is the prospect of siphoning profit from commerce in a "new" way.

If you ever have to deal with "investors," you might be apprised they are in general not particularly brilliant people. Across the World they claim their role drives the system. In fact, largely they procure and distribute funds from close proximity to the central banking system — at behest of the system, and at the direct or indirect profit of the printers and changers of the money. One thing motivates them, and that is unearned profit. The "economy" was largely sustained by the strength of the software industry. But in every new area and era of venture, pretenders may accumulate. Right and left new ventures were spawned, often with no real product, or no prospect in hell of generating a profit. Huge sums of cash were dumped into empty businesses, and on the claimed "boom," Clinton likewise claimed efficient administration of the "economy." A typical blunder for instance would be a proposal for the World's largest online mall. EVERYONE would be allowed to sell EVERYTHING — and everyone's store front might cost them nothing to participate. A great idea? A little software would run it all. But what were the real prospects? First of all, a formula for everyone to sell to themselves everything they might, for profit, is to cancel all the profit. Moreover, which store front is to be presented of all so many store fronts? And finally, how have you improved distribution or handling, when you can still pick up your bar of soap at the store?

There are no credible figures, but so few are the so-called dot-coms which ever made a profit, quite likely all the prosperity claimed of the Clinton era is more properly represented by a negative expression. Nonetheless, the failure of all those dot-coms dumped huge amounts of cash into the system, which, against the further multiplied debt, allowed us to just sustain ourselves. Now, under all so much more debt, accumulating ever more debt everywhere about us, and without even a credible facade worthy of even greater funding necessary to sustain us against even further debt... Just exactly, where are we going to go? There is negative interest now in Japan. They are HOPING they can pay people to take money, that the system does not quickly deflate as we pay against existent debt — while we are so insolvent we can neither afford or credibly be granted further debt



subject to interest which will further multiply debt — as necessary to maintain a vital circulation. Which pretty much brings us up to date on the longevity of the second cycle of collapse to be engendered by the so-called Federal Reserve System and its sister central banks around the World.

[The Depository Trust Corporation](#)

Who owns The Depository Trust Corporation, a.k.a. the D.T.C., which is the clearing house for most of the nation's traded securities and who are its shareholders? It is thought that all securities passing through the D.T.C. never belonged to the titled buyers and sellers, but in effect to this private corporation which appears to be privately owned by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York!

[Bank of International Settlements \(B.I.S.\) The Mother of All Central Banks](#)

There is one more bank to watch out for (in addition to the World Bank/IMF, and note the Babylonian Tower of Babel design). It's the B.I.S. in Basel, Switzerland. The Bank of International Settlements (B.I.S.) which the United States helped establish in 1930. The private US Federal Reserve is one of its owners. The shares of the private BIS are demarcated by Gold Francs (not Swiss or French but Gold Francs) each of which is serial numbered. The Vatican's Bank of Rome's gold is also stored in Switzerland under the watchful eye of the Swiss Guard since Rome was sacked by Charles V in 1527 and took most of the gold which Rome had taken from Europeans.

Paul Warburg, one of the 'fathers/owners of the Fed' showed up as the first President of the B.I.S. Paul and other financiers in NY financed the Bolshevik revolution, and it was that revelation that forced Paul Warburg to step down from his seat on the Federal Reserve Board.

Rep. Louis McFadden, Chairman of the House Committee on Banking and Currency, quoted in the New York Times June 1930: "The Federal Reserve Bank of New York is eager to enter into close relationship with the Bank for International Settlements....The conclusion is impossible to escape that the State and Treasury Departments are willing to pool the banking system of Europe and America, setting up a World financial power independent of and above the Government of the United States....The United States under present conditions will be transformed from the most active of manufacturing nations into a consuming and importing nation with a balance of trade against it." This is exactly what has happened.

During WWI Paul's Brother Max, was not only head of the Hamburg (Rothschild Bank) but was also Head of German Intelligence and the famous train ride of Lenin into Russia was his idea. The loan to the Bolsheviks was brokered through the Swedish Central Bank, and guess who was the head of that bank, **Julius Warburg, the other brother.** The loan of course was repaid promptly from the wealth confiscated by the Bolsheviks, mostly from the TSar. During WWII, B.I.S. diligently took care of German monetary exchanges as well as those of the Allies.

Bill Clinton's mentor at Georgetown University, Dr. Carroll Quigley, said the BIS was to "serve as a 'Central Bankers' Bank'". The BIS is where all of the World's central banks meet to analyze the global economy and determine what course of action they will take next to put more money in their pockets since they control the amount of money in circulation and how much interest they are going to charge governments and banks for borrowing from them.

Keith Bradsher of the New York Times, August 5, 1995: "In a small Swiss city sits an international organization so obscure and secretive....Control of the institution, the Bank for International Settlements, lies with some of the World's most powerful and least visible men: the heads of 32 central banks, officials able to shift billions of dollars and alter the course of economies at the stroke of a pen."

Quigley further explained: "The powers of financial capitalism had another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the World's central banks which were themselves private corporations... Each central bank... Sought to dominate its government by its ability to control treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World... The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of World economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups." - Carroll Quigley, Professor of History at Georgetown University in his book "Tragedy and Hope: A History of The World in Our Time" (Macmillan Company, 1966), highly esteemed by his former student, William Jefferson Blythe Clinton

There is nothing about B.I.S. that indicates it is the most important bank (and building) in the World. When the BIS holds its two-hour annual meeting, those who control the monetary system of their country—the central bank ministers walk to it from nearby hotels. Once you enter the front doors of the BIS, you are confronted with two sets of glass doors that are circular. The only way to go beyond them is to have permission. Those who enter are escorted at all times. In order to be admitted to the middle of the circular doors, the two back glass panels slide open to let you in. When you are in the middle they close and the two front glass panels then slide open to allow you to enter into the tower where the offices

are. Because it is rather unique, a fellow reporter remarked, "Masonic looking isn't it." The great security makes you wonder why all the secrecy. Only once in all the years of holding annual meetings has the BIS given a tour for "outsiders". Of the various meetings rooms, two stand out: the special room where the Group of Ten* central bank ministers (*G7: U.S., Canada, Germany, Japan, Italy, France, Great Britain; G10 is G7 plus Switzerland, Sweden, the Netherlands and Belgium) meet which has a round table positioned in the middle of the room with some kind of round halo hanging from the ceiling over it, giving the impression of the Masonic Templar "Knights of the Round Table". Then there is the "green" room which is shaped like an almond, reminding you of a Masonic "eye" because of its shape and color.



Over the years, the Bank for International Settlements has amassed more power over the global financial infrastructure than most people are aware of. They have a number of very powerful committees which include: the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision which has been working on how to regulate not only international banks of the World, but eventually those rules will pertain to every national bank as well, the Committee on the Global Financial System which monitors financial markets around the World with the objective of identifying potential risks for financial stability, and the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems looks to strengthen the infrastructure of financial markets with regard to rules on how to transfer monies and how to make payments between member banks.

One very important committee is Financial Stability Forum-FSF which was created as a result of the Asian Crisis. Their mandate is to help set up safeguards for the entire global economic system (notice that this responsibility no longer belongs to the individual nation-states). It should be noted that **Federal Reserve Vice Chairman Roger Ferguson** is Committee Chairman of both the Committee on Global Financial Systems and the FSF. This Forum is comprised of the G7 Central Bank Governors, G7 Finance Ministers and the G7 Regulatory Agencies (in the US, they are the Comptroller of the Currency and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation). In addition, a number of international organizations take active part: the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, the International Association of Insurance Supervisors, the International Organization of Security Commissioners and the newly formed International Accounting Standards Board. Besides the G7 countries, there are a number of emerging market countries such as India and China that participate. The FSF represents "greater cohesiveness and harmonization among countries." The BIS has continued to push the envelope further in a borderless banking World. Some of their growing powers have come directly from governments like the United States that have transferred the regulatory power they used to have over the banking system to the central bank while the rest comes from the simple fact that they do indeed control the monetary system of the World.

BIS Managing Director Dr. Malcolm Knight went on record when he said, "We are not a central bank. We are the bank for the central bankers." Dr. Knight, who received his post-graduate degrees from the London School of Economics explained that "Central banks need to operate in independence." This means no control by government which is pretty clear by the above changes to American law, i.e. the central bankers work to make fortunes for the New World Order commercial bankers and not for the masses. When Alan Greenspan goes to Congress, they ask him what he thinks and what he will do. It should be noted that he is not elected, he is appointed and his word literally moves markets. Interestingly enough since 1997 the Bank of England and the Bank of Japan have been given more power to determine monetary policy which includes the freedom to set interest rates apart from government opinion and to intervene in foreign exchange markets. These have the same powers as what the Federal Reserve has. When you understand that the BIS pulls the strings of the World's monetary system, you then understand that they have the ability to create a financial boom or bust in a country. If that country is not doing what the money lenders want, then all they have to do is sell its currency. So when Dr. Knight talks about controlling the "financial centers where a lot of hot money could be placed," he is explaining that as a result of the work of the FSF, they are "implementing rules on financial behavior all over the World" which means them positioning the BIS to be able to control hot money. Lastly, one very important aspect of Dr. Knight is that of a harmonization of global currencies. In an interview 18 months ago with noted economist Dr. Jacob Frenkel does not hide his view that all of the currencies of the World need to be harmonized; it would occur after the economies were harmonized. When posed this question, Dr. Knight only addressed that fact that many central banks have a consistency of objectives that harmonize their policies. Interestingly enough, the Wall Street Journal reported on a meeting which included Dr. Frenkel, former U.S. Fed Chairman Paul Volcker and Dr. Robert Mundell that took place on June 30, the same day of the interview with Dr. Knight. Their theme was "Does the Global Economy Need a Global Currency?" The thesis was that if the euro can replace the franc, mark and lira, why can't a new World currency merge the dollar, euro and yen? I submit to you that this is the next agenda of the central bankers. When this change occurs, I can assure you, they will make mega-money on a new global currency.

Dr. Quigley said that the central banks have the financial power over both markets and governments. **Dr. Knight** believes they are fixing the markets. The B.I.S. in reality is the boss of the Fed. The heads of the Central Banks of the

Committee of Ten, journey to Basel, Switzerland once a month for a three day work week (Sun - Tues). They each occupy identical offices, not personalized except maybe for family photo's, they spend their time reviewing and preparing reports, then give an update, receive marching orders and go back to their respective countries to implement those orders within the confines of the political environment. The Annual Reports for the B.I.S are both boring and illuminating. In the 1982 report it stated that America would have to reduce its standard of living, **Paul Volcker** (then chairman of the fed) made the same statement publicly within six months of the report, and it has taken some 20 years to bring the plan to fruition. Of course, poor Ronald Reagan got shot by a would-be assassin who was a friend of the Bush family just before this in 1981. He had made negative comments about Paul Volcker and the Federal Reserve. In the 1984-1985 BIS report a small paragraph said "The Soviet union is a failed economic enterprise and must be restructured" and within six months Gorbachev was hired to do the job, evidently the Restructuring word got out and we were bombarded with media propoganda about poor Refuseniks, the Congress then dropped it's immigration quota's to Russia and we were flooded with criminals from the "Russian" Mafia as well as old Bolshevik Commissars.

To deliver political goods to the masses, the State is granted police power and the power to issue sovereign credit to steer the economy toward rewarding activities that produce such political goods. The unregulated market rewards activities that externalize such political goods from their cost structure and siphons off the resultant surplus value as private profit. In fact, failed states are often generated by failed markets. The state has an obligation to preserve and protect its sovereign credit authority from being usurped by private interest groups. Capitalists use globalized finance markets to tilt a level playing field in trade to create private profit out of public poverty. This is done through the private control of money as a legal tender, through a monetary system under a central banking regime that ideologically accepts structural unemployment as the unavoidable means to combat inflation. Central banking is the policy of a failed state. A globalized foreign-exchange market dominated by dollar hegemony is the venue for US superpower financial imperialism (see US dollar hegemony by Henry C. Liu , April 11, 2002 <http://henryckliu.com/page2.html>).

A Bank of International Settlement (BIS) regime of global network of central banks whose main function is to protect the value of privately controlled money through unemployment and slave wages is a world order of failed states, not sovereign states. Dollar hegemony, the status of the dollar as a dominant reserve currency in international trade despite its fiat nature, operates in a globalized foreign-exchange market to rob sovereign states of their right and ability to issue sovereign credit for domestic development, by exposing their domestic currencies to market attacks. Since sovereign control over the monetary system and the economy is the sine qua non prerequisite of sovereignty, the BIS financial world order of failed states has in fact replaced the Westphalian world order of sovereign states through financial globalization.

[Highlights of U.S. Financial History \(review\)](#)

1787	U.S. Constitution is ratified granting Congress alone the right to COIN money - not to print it. Only gold and silver were considered REAL money!!
1791	1st. U.S. Bank is chartered.
1811	Charter of U.S. Bank expires.
1812	US-UK War over the lapsed charter. The MONEY POWER tries to get the New England States to leave the Union.
1816	2nd Bank of the U.S. is chartered.
1832	President Jackson vetoes charter of 2nd Bank.
1860	Civil War begins. Defeated MONEY POWER successful in getting 13 States to leave the Union.
1862	Congress passes Banking Act authorizing the printing of paper money (greenbacks) to finance the war. This was supposed to be an emergency measure only until the war was over. All the provisions of the Banking Act were to expire in 20 years.
1873	Silver is demonetized. Free coinage of silver is ended. U.S. goes on gold standard.
1882	Banking Act expires but is renewed for another 20 years.
1901	President McKinley is assassinated by MONEY POWER and Roosevelt becomes President.
1902	Banking Act which gave the U.S. paper money was supposed to expire this year. Due to the murder of President McKinley, the banking laws and paper money system was continued.
1910	Postal Savings Bank is inaugurated.
1910	Secret meeting on Jekyll Island, Georgia, to charter 3rd U.S. Bank.
1913	Monster reborn. President Wilson signs bill authorizing the creation of 3rd U.S. Bank.
1917	President Wilson destroys Postal Savings Bank by refusing to lift ceiling on deposits.
1929	Great Depression begins due to manipulation of economy by U.S. Bank.

1933	Possession of gold is outlawed. U.S. citizens forbidden to own gold by order of President Roosevelt. Citizens robbed of their gold. Money supply increased by Fed after Congress blackmailed into passing amendments to increase the Fed's powers over the money supply.
1960	Goldfinger begins to steal U.S. gold reserves from Fort Knox.
1971	U.S. is off the gold standard. Foreign holders of dollars are promised U.S. land as collateral instead of gold.
1990	Fall of the Soviet Union. U.S. gold reserves start to move to European Central Bank.

The **Federal Reserve dollar** is the official currency of the United States. It is also widely used as a reserve currency outside of the United States. Currently, the issuance of currency is controlled by the private Federal Reserve Banking system. The most commonly used symbol for the U.S. dollar is the dollar sign (\$). The ISO 4217 code for the United States Dollar is **USD**. When currently issued in circulating form, denominations equal to or less than a dollar are emitted as coins while denominations equal to or greater than a dollar are emitted as Federal Reserve notes. Until 1974 the value of the United States dollar was tied to and backed by either silver, gold, or a combination of the two. From 1792 to 1873 the U.S. dollar was freely backed by both gold and silver at a ratio of 15:1 under a system known as bimetallism. Through a series of legislative changes from 1873 to 1900, the status of silver was slowly diminished until 1900 when a gold standard was formally adopted. The gold standard survived, with several modifications, until 1974. Modern U.S. dollar banknotes have been printed by the Federal Reserve since 1929. Notes above the \$100 denomination ceased being printed in 1946. Below are some Federal Reserve Notes from the private central bank known fraudulently as the US Federal Reserve System, i.e. these are not the original public US Government United States Notes which are much less than 1% of the notes in circulation. Both United States Notes and Federal Reserve notes are parts of the national currency of the United States and both are legal tender. They circulate as money in the same way. However, the issuing authority for them comes from different statutes. United States Notes were redeemable in gold until 1933, when the United States abandoned the gold standard. Since then, both currencies have served essentially the same purpose, and have had the same value. Because United States Notes serve no function that is not already adequately served by Federal Reserve Notes, their issuance was discontinued, and none have been placed in to circulation since January 21, 1971.

United States Notes (characterized by a red seal and serial number) were the first national currency, authorized by the Legal Tender Act of 1862 and began circulating during the Civil War. The Treasury Department issued these notes directly into circulation, and they are obligations of the United States Government. The issuance of United States Notes is subject to limitations established by Congress. It established a statutory limitation of \$300 million on the amount of United States Notes authorized to be outstanding and in circulation. While this was a significant figure in Civil War days, it is now a very small fraction of the total currency in circulation in the United States.

GREENBACKS were a form of paper currency in the United States, so named from the green colour used on the backs of the notes. They are treasury notes, and were first issued by the government in 1862, "as a question of hard necessity," to provide for the expenses of the Civil War. The first act, providing for the issue of notes to the amount of \$150,000,000, was that of the 25th February 1862; the acts of 11th July 1862 and 3rd March 1863 each authorized further issues of \$150,000,000. In January 1879 the nominal amount of notes then stood at \$346,681,000, which is still outstanding. The so-called Greenback party (also called the Independent, and the national party) first appeared in a presidential campaign in 1876, when its candidate, Peter Cooper, received 81,740 votes. It advocated increasing the volume of greenbacks, forbidding bank issues, and the paying in greenbacks of the principal of all government bonds not expressly payable in coin. In 1884 their candidate Benjamin F. Butler (also the candidate of the Anti-Monopoly party) received 175,370 votes. Subsequently the party went out of existence. Peter Cooper wanted to free the people from the yoke of the privately owned central banking systems controlled by the Rothschilds & associates. "At a reception in his honour in his later years he summed up his philosophy: 'I



have endeavoured to remember that the object of life is to do good." This quote is remarkable when compared to others of similar means who were Cooper's contemporaries. An article by Peter Lyon in American Heritage ("Peter Cooper, the Honest Man," February 1959, v.10, #2) notes in particular Cornelius Vanderbilt ("Law? What do I care about the law? Hain't I got the power?"), William Tweed, who plundered hundreds of millions of dollars from New York City, and Uncle Dan Drew ("It's the still hog that eats the most"). Cooper gave his money away without receiving any tax breaks. As alluded to above, his family fully supported him in this, giving up their own inheritances to match a grant by Andrew Carnegie just after Cooper's death. His example directly nudged Carnegie, George Peabody, Matthew Vassar, Ezra Cornell and many others into commencing their more famous philanthropies. Cooper was the first wealthy industrialist of the 19th century to equate the acquisition of wealth with social responsibility. It is a tragedy that history, especially the history we teach our schoolchildren, seems to have largely forgotten this pivotal figure in the history of New York City, the United States, and perhaps the World. Peter Cooper died on April 4, 1883 in New York City at the age of 92. Thousands of New Yorkers spontaneously poured into the streets as his casket was taken to its resting place in Green-Wood Cemetery in Brooklyn, in tribute to the great man who had dedicated his life and fortune to the city he loved so well. The following is a list of the portraits on all denominations of US notes original greenbacks.

Denomination U.S. Paper Currency Features

\$1.00	George Washington (1st U.S. President) on front. Great Seal of the United States on back.
\$2.00	Thomas Jefferson (3rd U.S. President) on front. Declaration of Independence on back.
\$5.00	Abraham Lincoln (16th U.S. President) on front. Lincoln Memorial on back.
\$10.00	Alexander Hamilton (1st U.S. Treasury Secretary) on front. U.S. Treasury Building on back.
\$20.00	Andrew Jackson (7th U.S. President) on front. White House on back.
\$50.00	Ulysses S. Grant (18th U.S. President) on front. U.S. Capitol on back.
\$100.00	Benjamin Franklin on front. Independence Hall on back.
* \$500.00	William McKinley (25th U.S. President) on front. \$500 on back. Has not been printed since 1946.
* \$1000.00	Grover Cleveland (22nd & 24th U.S. President) on front. "One Thousand Dollars" on back. Has not been printed since 1969.
* \$5000.00	James Madison (4th U.S. President) on front. \$5000 on back. Has not been printed since 1946.
* \$10,000.00	Salmon P. Chase (25th U.S. Treasury Secretary) on front. \$10,000 on back. Has not been printed since 1946.
* \$100,000.00	Woodrow Wilson (28th U.S. President) on front. The largest note ever printed by the U.S. Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP). Printed from December 18, 1934 through January 9, 1935. Used for transactions between Federal Reserve Banks. Not circulated among the general public.

* No longer printed and being withdrawn from circulation.

Today, like the currency of most nations, the Federal Reserve Note dollar is fiat money without intrinsic value. Some argue that it has no backing and would be entirely worthless, except for the fact that people have been persuaded to use and accept it as if it had worth. According to the Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP), as of July 31, 2000, there were \$539,890,223,079 in total currency in Worldwide circulation, of which \$364,724,397,100 was in the \$100 denomination (compare this to the United States Government Notes of which \$346,681,000 are in circulation only). This is in addition to the money sitting in bank account. **Federal Reserve notes** are legal tender currency notes. They are issued by the Federal Reserve Banks and have replaced United_States_Notes which were once issued by the Treasury Department. The authority of the Federal Reserve Banks to issue notes comes from the fraudulent Federal Reserve Act of 1913. A commercial bank belonging to the Federal Reserve System can obtain Federal Reserve notes from the Federal Reserve Bank in its district whenever it wishes. It must pay for them in full, dollar for dollar, by drawing down its account with its district Federal Reserve Bank. Despite no longer being issued by Treasury Department, Federal Reserve notes must be ritually signed by the Treasurer of the United States and the United States Secretary of the Treasury before becoming legal currency. Federal Reserve Banks obtain the notes from the United States Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP). It pays the BEP for the cost of producing the notes, which then become liabilities of the Federal Reserve Banks, and obligations of the United States Government. Federal Reserve notes are **not** redeemable in gold, silver or any other commodity. This has been the case since 1933. The notes have no value for themselves, but for what they will buy. They have no backing other than the "full faith of the US government", i.e., the government's ability to levy taxes to pay its debts and US property and assets. In another sense, because they are legal tender, Federal Reserve notes are "backed" by all the goods and services in the economy, however this value keeps decreasing as inflation keeps creeping.

The name for the United States dollar comes from the Spanish dollar which itself is derived from the German thaler which was the silver coin widely circulated in the United States during the time of the American Revolutionary War. Although private banks issued currency that was backed in Spanish dollars, the Federal government did not do so until the American Civil War. The Thaler was a silver coin first minted in Bohemia, in 1518. The name thaler originally came from the guldengroschen (great gulden, being of silver but equal in value to a gold gulden) coins minted from the silver from a rich mine at Joachimsthal (St. Joachim's Valley, Czech: Jáchymov) in what is now the Czech Republic and called the Joachimsthaler, where thal means "valley". St. Joachim, the father of the Virgin Mary, was portrayed on the coin. The Thaler was a very popular coin and became used throughout Europe with equivalent coins such as the peso and the crown being issued and in general use. In England the word dollar was in use for the thaler for 200 years before the issue of the American Dollar, and until the half crown ceased to be used following decimalisation in 1971, the term "half a dollar" could

be heard for "half a crown". The Thaler was introduced and became the most spread currency in Sweden under the name Daler during the early 17th century. The Daler was in circulation until 1873 when it was replaced by the Krona, the new currency introduced by the Scandinavian Monetary Union. Now, lets have a look at genuine United States Note, issued by the US government interest-free and debt-free!

Real United States Government Notes (not Masonic private Federal Reserve Notes)

Shown above.

Private Federal Reserve Notes FRNs (Just look at the \$ bill wih Masonic symbolism in your wallet)

The six kinds of currency in 1929, colored coded with the colors of their seals and serial numbers, and with the denominations they were issued in series 1928 and 1929 (though not always in those years themselves), were:

- **United States Notes** (Series 1928: \$1 \$2 \$5)
- **Gold Certificates** (Series 1928: \$10 \$20 \$50 \$100 \$500 \$1000 \$5000 \$10,000)
- **National Bank Notes** (Series 1929: \$5 \$10 \$20 \$50 \$100)
- **Silver Certificates** (Series 1928: \$1)
- **Federal Reserve Bank Notes** (Series 1929: \$5 \$10 \$20 \$50 \$100)
- **Federal Reserve Notes** (Series 1928: \$5 \$10 \$20 \$50 \$100 \$500 \$1000 \$5000 \$10,000)

\$1				1963	1969	1974		1977	1981
\$2							1976		
\$5	1928	1934	1950	1963	1969	1974		1977	1981
\$10	1928	1934	1950	1963	1969	1974		1977	1981
\$20	1928	1934	1950	1963	1969	1974		1977	1981
\$50	1928	1934	1950	1963	1969	1974		1977	1981
\$100	1928	1934	1950	1963	1969	1974		1977	1981
\$500	1928	1934							
\$1000	1928	1934							
\$5000	1928	1934							
\$10,000	1928	1934							


And all that remained by 1970 were **Federal Reserve Notes** (Series 1969: \$1, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50, \$100 -- Series 1976: \$2). 1981 Table.

The three kinds of currency that remained after the Depression were:

- **United States Notes** (Series 1953: \$2 \$5)
- **Silver Certificates** (Series 1953: \$5 \$10 -- Series 1957: \$1)
- **Federal Reserve Notes** (Series 1950: \$5 \$10 \$20 \$50 \$100)

Until 1963 all United States currency stated that its value was "Payable to the Bearer on Demand," which reflected the circumstance that real money was originally considered to be gold or silver coin, not a paper document. In that year, however, when Silver Certificates were discontinued and the

first \$1 Federal Reserve Note and the last \$2 and \$5 United States Notes were issued, the ancient formula was deleted from the new series. A year later the last silver was eliminated from United States coins. Thus paper and tokens became United States money. This entire process, starting with the New Deal, or perhaps even the Civil War, and culminating in 1963, was unconstitutional. Article I, Section 10, Paragraph 1 of the United States Constitution says, "No State shall...make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." So the question is, if the States can't do it, this must mean that the Federal Government can. No... The Tenth Amendment says, "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people." The Constitution, as it happens, does not "delegate" the power to "make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts" to the Federal Government. Therefore, government at no level has the power to make anything but gold and silver coin tender in payment of debts. James Madison himself called paper money a "wicked scheme." It is, when its purpose is to inflate debts and license fiscal irresponsibility by government (the greatest debtor). That is the kind of government we now have.



A new kind of currency was created by the Federal Reserve Act of December 23, 1913. The Federal Reserve System was initially designed to be a decentralized organization of no less than twelve **Federal Reserve Banks: Boston (1-A), New York (2-B), Philadelphia (3-C), Cleveland (4-D), Richmond (5-E), Atlanta (6-F), Chicago (7-G), St. Louis (8-H), Minneapolis (9-I), Kansas City (10-J), Dallas (11-K), and San Francisco (12-L)**. The names of the banks and their characteristic number or letter have always appeared on Federal Reserve Notes and Federal Reserve Bank Notes issued by them. This assignment clearly reflects the distribution of population and economic development in 1914. It has not been modified since. The significance of the multiple Federal Reserve Banks and the decentralized system, however, has declined. The system was made a lot **more** centralized in the 1930's, as part of the aforementioned conclusion that **only**

the federal government can be trusted with power over the economy, banking, and money. The Depression at the time was seen as resulting from the misbehavior of "speculators" and loose practices by the financial and stock markets. However, it is now much clearer that one of the prime villains at the beginning of the Great Depression was the Federal Reserve System itself, whose mistakes had nothing to do with lack of power or centralization. Indeed, the System could have responded better if it had been even **less**, not **more**, centralized than it was. Nevertheless, centralization continues, and the new, redesigned Federal Reserve Notes no longer bear the seal of their bank of issue, though the bank is still indicated, without name, by the letter and number code. Compare the Series 1996 \$50 Federal Reserve Note with the previous Series 1993 \$50 Federal Reserve Note [reverse].

Since Federal Reserve Notes were initially redeemable in gold (though perhaps only at the United States Treasury), they would have been just the kind of reassuring currency to supply to banks during a run. Since there was only a fractional reserve behind the Notes, however, the temptation would always be there to overextend them for political purposes. Although the Treasury had been unable to print money since 1878, it was now given an indirect ability to do so, whenever it could persuade the Federal Reserve to create money by buying United States securities itself, either directly from the Treasury or indirectly off the open market. The problem is what happened during the Depression. When banking panics started (as unemployment abruptly jumped from 6% to 15% at the end of 1930), the private Federal Reserve suddenly didn't trust other private banks enough to back them up. Unfortunately, so many banks were seen as insolvent and allowed to fail that it took the whole United States economy down with it. But the Federal Reserve could be proud of being financially solid itself! Failed banks mean Bankers out of a job. Perhaps even Bankers committing suicide. But among all the Depression stories about window leaps on Wall Street, there don't seem to be any about leaps from the nearby Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Thus the Federal Reserve System has become the last thing that was supposed to be possible in America: A Central Bank. And a political football. The inflation of the 1970's never was blamed on the Federal Reserve expanding the money supply too quickly, even though the popular economic theory of the time, Neo-Keynesianism, held that inflation could cause prosperity. That didn't work out very well. But it has been more obvious recently that the lower inflation of the 1980's and 90's has been the result of restraint in money creation. **War hero Dwight Eisenhower came from the fringes of political life to become President in the 1952 elections. Thoroughly managed by members of the CFR who dominated his cabinet, his policies shaped by their goals, Eisenhower left office in January of 1961 with a dire warning for the American people, "Beware the military industrial complex."**



[The Coinage Act of 1792 and the Debasing of the Currency Today!!](#)



1960 Quarter Dollar (left); 1970 Quarter Dollar (right) "counterfeit coin" 1960 Metal Content: Silver: 90% Copper - 10%--- 1970 Metal content: Outer layers 75% Copper, 25% Nickel, Center - 100% Copper

With the Coinage Act of 1965, the U.S. currency was debased. The Coinage Act of 1792 mandates the DEATH PENALTY for DEBASING the currency!! "And be it further enacted, That if any of the gold or silver coins which shall be struck or coined at the said mint shall be debased or made worse as to the proportion of the fine gold or fine silver therein contained, or shall be of less weight or value than the same out to be pursuant to the directions of this act, through the default or with the connivance of any of the officers or persons who shall be employed at the said mint, for the purpose of profit or gain, or otherwise with a fraudulent intent, and if any of the said officers or persons shall embezzle any of the metals which shall at any time be committed to their charge for the purpose of being coined, or any of the coins which shall be struck or coined at the said mint, every such officer or person who shall commit any or either of the said offenses, shall be deemed guilty of felony, and shall suffer death" (Section 19).

Lets again review some basics from a slightly different angle just in case you didn't get it the first time around or to reinforce the points. Barter was the first form of exchange. People exchanged their possessions for other possessions. As a farmer, corn was traded for meat or a chair. This worked well as people became more specialized and efficient at their level of production. Everyone could produce more and benefit by trading goods and services. The surplus was difficult to manage since goods had to be stored or were wasted. Then, precious metals such as gold and silver were coined so persons could trade their goods for coins. This was prudent since gold and silver coins were reliable, dependable, easy to store, easily divisible and pure. Gold and silver were traded as a commodity like wheat and wood. Very, very unfortunately, **greed is a constant in human history.** In every nation in the history of the World, has it not always ended up a war between the ruling class and the people? The king wants more and more, and the people continually settle for less and less. In today's World, the trans-Atlantic banking dynasties have silently become that ruling class. Royalty attempted to steal quietly by clipping and shaving some of the gold or silver from the coins. They melted gold and silver shavings into new coins. The clipped coins would continue to circulate throughout the economy at their reduced weight. Since the weight of the gold or silver is easy to measure, people discovered that the clipped coins weighed less. This reduced the value of the coins in general. Since the clipped coins were devalued as a currency, more coins were required to trade for an equal amount of goods such as corn, wheat or wood. Each coin was reduced in value so more coins were demanded to make up the difference. Inflation was the result (as you can see, inflation is due to cheating!). Royalty was angry because the people had adjusted the prices accordingly--prices went up because the value of the coins

went down. The kings forcibly passed "legal tender laws" which required everyone to accept the coins at face value. The laws were enforced through brute force, imprisonment and death. Then, further laws were passed to forcibly keep the coin's face value at an amount convenient to persons in power. Then, another phenomenon occurred with the goldsmiths. People used the vaults of the goldsmiths to keep their own gold safe for a small fee. Once the gold was deposited into the vault, the depositor was given a paper receipt from the goldsmith. Simply presenting the receipt to the goldsmith was enough to make a withdrawal of gold from the vault, just as you make a paper withdrawal from the bank. Eventually, the paper receipts were also traded for goods and services since there was gold at the vault to back up the value of the receipt. **The receipt was backed by gold and this made people comfortable with accepting the receipt. The value of the receipt depended on the reputation of the goldsmith.**

Laurence H. Meyer, Governor of the Federal Reserve Bank, said the following at the Distinguished Lecture Program, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania on December 5, 2001: The next important evolution was the introduction of "representative" paper money. Warehouses accepted deposits of silver and gold and issued paper receipts. These paper receipts in turn began to circulate as money, used as a means of payment and held as a store of value. The paper was fully backed by the precious metals in the warehouse. Once again, efficiency was enhanced by the convenience of carrying paper money as opposed to the bulkier silver or gold coins.

Alan Greenspan, Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank, made remarks at the opening of an American Numismatic Society Exhibition, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, New York, on January 16, 2002: Many millennia later, in one of the remarkable advances in financial history, the bank note emerged as a medium of exchange. **It had no intrinsic value.** It was rather a promise to pay, on demand, a certain quantity of gold or other valued commodity. The bank note's value rested on trust in the willingness and ability of the bank note issuer to meet that promise. Reputation for trustworthiness, accordingly, became an economic value to banks--the early issuers of private paper currency. **The Federal Reserve now promises to tax you and uses you as the collateral against which it issues the Federal Reserve Notes and cyber money!!!**

Paper receipts were traded as a more convenient means to buy and sell than using gold. The paper receipts were backed by the gold in the goldsmiths' vault. The goldsmiths also observed that most of the gold, over three-fourths of it, remained in the vault at any given time. **Many goldsmiths decided to issue loans by writing paper receipts not backed by gold.** The goldsmiths collected **usury** on these loans. A share of the fees from the interest would be split among the depositors of the gold. However, the goldsmith did not allow people to borrow the gold. They simply wrote out more paper receipts that were not backed by gold. The goldsmiths of the past are the Bankers of today. Goldsmiths wrote out paper currency not backed by gold. These receipts were used as paper loans to borrowers. An example of goldsmiths issuing more paper receipts than there is gold:

Before, 100 coins = 100 paper receipts provided to depositors
After, 100 coins = 100 paper receipts provided to depositors +
100 paper receipts used as paper loans to borrowers.

Result: **Paper loans diluted the value of the receipts issued** by the Goldsmith.

You can interpret this as a hidden tax, inflation or a **RIP-OFF theft** that puts our money into the banking dynasties' pockets. There were many more receipts and loans than there was gold. The goldsmiths couldn't possibly fulfill all the obligations because not all receipts were backed by an equivalent amount of gold, unless they were themselves able to borrow from other goldsmiths in case of a run-on-the-bank. The government officials, like the kings in the past, forced citizens to accept the paper notes at their face value by passing legal tender laws knowing full well that the receipts were not backed by gold. In other words, government officials colluded with the banking dynasties. Remember that the paper notes were not backed by gold. The paper notes were worthless and people were forced, according to the legal tender laws, to accept them as currency. These paper notes had no intrinsic value whatsoever. **This is called "fractional reserve banking"** and it is a simple representation of how banks operate today. The banks and the goldsmiths had a great deal in common. They both duplicated currency out of ordinary paper. The paper currency floating around is not properly supported by an equal amount of gold or silver. The paper currency cannot be redeemed for the gold because there isn't enough gold to satisfy the depositor's claims. Embezzlement has occurred because the Bankers have fooled the people holding the currency into believing that it's worth the gold it is supposed to represent. Everyone saw and felt the paper dollars in their pockets. The public didn't see that the Bankers, or the goldsmiths, diluted the value of their paper currency by simple producing more of it. **Theft was occurring right under their noses** and no one, other than the Bankers and some of the politicians, were the wiser.

Governor Laurence H. Meyer, of the Federal Reserve Bank, explained the beginning of fractional reserve banking at the Distinguished Lecture Program, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania on December 5, 2001: Owners of the warehouses soon learned that the holders of the paper receipts would not simultaneously redeem the gold deposited with them. The warehouses could therefore lend the gold--in turn, often converted into paper notes--holding a reserve of gold that allowed them to meet the normal demands for redemption".

This was the beginning of fractional reserve banking. Every time the goldsmith wrote another paper receipt that was not backed by gold, all other receipts decreased in value. The goldsmith of the past is the banker of today. Anytime a paper currency that is backed by gold is diluted with paper money that is not backed by gold, the original currency loses its value. The Federal Reserve "dollar" is a paper currency note. It is a token made of paper or a base metal. It is not money because it cannot be redeemed for gold or silver. When the amount of gold in reserve stays the same, printing more paper currency reduces the value of currency in everyone's pockets. Each unit of currency is worth less once the new

paper currency is distributed. Can you see where the theft occurred? The thief is the bank who produced more currency and devalued everyone's savings. **Every paper "dollar" produced by the private Federal Reserve causes a reduction in the value of your savings.** The freshly printed "dollar" is not backed by gold and the all other "dollars" in your pocket are reduced in value. Therefore, our currency may be called "dollars" but they are really paper currency notes. Each one is supposed to represent a debt that the private Federal Reserve owes you in gold coin. Each paper currency note is not backed by gold and cannot be redeemed in gold. It becomes an empty promise. The note itself is invalid. However, it is guaranteed by the US taxpayers. And again, the taxpayers are paying for an elite dynasty who own the Federal Reserve, i.e. **a modern form of ancient feudalism!**

They still felt the paper dollars in their hands. The savings account balances haven't changed. It didn't matter because the value of the currency itself was stolen. It didn't show up on the bank statement because the quantity of the currency hadn't changed. What changed was the value of the currency. As the value of the currency goes down, prices go up. It'll take more currency to buy the same product or service. The devalued currency results in increased prices. It didn't happen overnight. People found themselves with twice as much currency and this increased demand on products and services. The government blamed the increase in prices as demand. But the Bankers and the politicians knew that the increase in prices was due to the increase in the amount of currency in circulation without the backing the currency with gold. The supply of currency has now increased. However, the supply of goods and services has stayed constant--just as the supply of gold in storage. It would then require twice the amount of currency to buy the same amount of goods and services. Since the value of the currency has dropped by half, the prices will nearly double in time. This paper currency that is placed into circulation that is not backed by gold or silver is called "fiat" currency. It is simply paper currency with no intrinsic value. The paper currency notes can no longer be converted to gold. The currency is just paper. The "legal tender" laws are used to encourage people to accept them as currency.

Governor Laurence H. Meyer, of the Federal Reserve Bank, explained fiat currency in his own words in September, 2001: Fiat money is inconvertible, meaning that it is not convertible into nor backed by any commodity. It serves as legal tender by decree, or fiat, of the government. Its value is based on trust--specifically that others will accept it in payment for goods and services and that its value will remain relatively stable. This trust is based, in part, on laws that make the fiat money "legal tender" in the payment of taxes and, in the United States, also in the payment of private debts.

According to Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, fiat money is a noun, dated back to 1876: Money (as paper currency) not convertible into coin or specie of equivalent value. **The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language cites about fiat money:** Legal tender, especially paper currency, authorized by a government but not based on or convertible into gold or silver. The same can be said about apple pie. A person can cut an apple pie into fourths. Then cutting the pie into eighths will make more slices of the pie. The weight of each slice goes down even though the original size of the total pie stays the same. The pie did not get larger. The slices of the pie were cut thinner. Paper currency is the same as apple pie. You can cut as many slices as you would like and make each real thin until all the slices are very small and they're not worth buying.

Dr. Robert Batemarco, who teaches economics at Marymount College, New York, is quoted in Joseph Banister's book, Investigating the Federal Income Tax: The quantity of U.S. money has increased year in and year out every year since 1933. The narrow measure of the quantity of U.S. money (basically currency in circulation and balances in checking accounts) stood at \$19.9 billion in 1933. By 1940, it had doubled to \$39.7 billion. It surpassed \$100 billion in 1946. \$200 billion in 1969...,\$400 billion in 1980. \$800 billion in 1990, and today it stands at almost \$1.2 trillion. That is over 60 times what it was in 1933.

United States paper currency is fiat money because gold or silver does not back it. The legal tender laws of the United States require persons to accept the private currency Federal Reserve Note as payment for its face value. The fact that it doesn't have intrinsic value is immaterial in the eyes of the law. There is an inscription on every dollar bill, "THIS NOTE IS LEGAL TENDER FOR ALL DEBTS, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE."

[US Constitution on the Coinage of Money](#)

Now, what does the Constitution says about coining money? The U.S. Constitution asserts that only gold and silver coin can be tendered as payment for debt. The United States Constitution, Article I, Section 8 states... "The Congress shall have Power...To coin Money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures."

The United States Constitution, Article I, Section 10 states..."No states shall...coin Money; emit Bills of Credit; make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." The U.S. Constitution only accepts gold and silver coin as money. Paper currency notes without backing of an equivalent gold or silver are not money. The private Federal Reserve Notes U.S. dollars are tokens to be used as long *****as someone else is willing to accept them as payment. The Constitutional standard for the U.S. money to be backed by gold or silver has been abandoned. Legal tender laws legally compel people into accepting worthless currency as payment. As you see, the United States government borrows a limitless supply of fiat currency from the private Federal Reserve Bank, the "Fed", to operate and purchase everything it needs. The collateral for this loan is the future earnings (taxes) of the American people. The United States does not need to tax the American people to run the Federal Government. It taxes the people to pay the INTEREST to the private Federal Reserve Bank! The Federal Reserve Bank can print all the money it needs and the United States federal government can

borrow as much as it wants. **The privately owned Federal Reserve Bank, the central bank in the U.S., produces the money out of nothing and charges interest to the U.S. government.** As more fiat currency is pumped into the economy every year that is not backed by gold or silver, prices go up because the money is worth less than the year before. Each government program pumps more worthless currency into circulation, further diluting your savings and mine. Prices go up when more fiat currency is circulated throughout the economy. This **inflation is a quiet confiscation of wealth through silent taxation**, a hidden tax upon all those who have cash. This is the hidden tax on savings. People don't see it because they don't have someone taking the currency out of their pocket. It is a hidden and deceptive tax.

Let me share something with you that may not sit well with the masses. The federal government does not need to tax its citizens to run the government. **Income taxes simply pay the interest on the national debt.** The government borrows new currency from the Federal Reserve Bank every year and uses that new currency for its federal programs, foreign aid and anything else it pays for. And the U.S. government is paying for all of these expenses by reducing the value of our savings. It doesn't come from our income tax. Our federal government is not run on the federal income taxes that are collected through the IRS. The income taxes don't pay to run the federal government! The federal government uses income taxes to force people to accept the currency, and then it produces more currency to spend on the programs it so chooses. What the vast majority of the people fail to see is that the Federal Reserve is the problem that causes the inflation. They do so by counterfeiting money that is not backed by gold. They use this counterfeited money first-- before anyone else. The federal government has an unlimited amount of currency it can borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank to run the government. They create currency out of thin air—out of nothing. There are printing costs but they are meaningless in comparison to the currency they are creating. The Fed also allows banks to borrow money at a special interest rate. The private banks lend it to consumers and businesses.

There is a catch. Can you find it? It is in who uses the money first. The Federal Reserve Bank and the U.S. government use the new currency first--when it is worth the most. The currency loses its value as it's used from one person to the next. The overall value of each unit of currency decreases as the new currency ripples throughout the economy. The "money supply" has increased without increasing the supply of goods and services. With more currency than there are goods, prices naturally climb. This is what we call inflation. The currency was reduced in value because the U.S. government borrowed more of it from the Fed. The Federal Reserve Bank and the federal government have the advantage of using the new currency first--when it is worth the most. The currency devalues as it is circulated throughout the economy. Let me use this example. Instantly doubling the currency in everyone's pocket would make everyone delighted at first. Most people do not understand that the currency is reduced when more of it is circulated. Since the Federal Reserve Bank uses the currency first, they benefit the most because the money is worth the most at that time. They can reap the rewards at the expense of others who will possess the currency at a later time, when the currency is worth less. In this sense, there is a hidden tax to all those who possess currency because increasing the amount of the currency in circulation decreases its value for everyone who has it. An increase in currency leads to an increase in prices as the supply of goods and services stays the same.

How does the Federal Reserve Bank get away with this? First, the Fed cannot be fully audited or controlled by anyone (remember when President Reagan complained about this? Didn't take long before he was shot by a friend of the Bush family 1981!) The Federal Reserve Bank cannot be audited or controlled by the voters, Congress, the House of Representatives or the President. The "Fed" is completely autonomous. They cannot be held accountable for their actions. They call themselves "Independent of Politics" for the good of the people. They claim this is a good attribute because it will prevent politicians from manipulating the Fed for their own political purposes. In fact, it is the Fed and Congress who are thieves. They steal the savings of those who possess paper currency. Circulating more and more worthless currency decreases the value of your savings. This is the most silent form of theft. Counterfeiting is only legal when the government does it through the "Fed." And most of the currency that is created is simply checkbook currency. This is a digital entry into the books. This is currency that is created on a computer screen that doesn't exist on paper. Most of the currency isn't in the form of paper dollars. The currency exists as digital entries. In the book "The Case Against the Fed by Murray N. Rothbard", he cited an example of the decreasing value of money during the American Revolution. Continental dollars were circulated without being backed by gold. Their value dropped, the value was "discounted," until they were worthless. The Continental dollar was a victim of depreciation and "accelerated worthlessness" as the currency was duplicated in vast amounts.

Thomas Jefferson, the third President of the United States and author of the Declaration of Independence said: "We must make our election between economy and liberty, or profusion and servitude. If we run into such debts as that we must be taxed in our meat and in our drink, in our necessities and our comforts, in our labors and our amusements, ...our people...must come to labor sixteen hours in the twenty-four, give our earnings of fifteen of these to the government,...have no time to think, no means of calling our mismanagers to account; but be glad to obtain sustenance by hiring ourselves out to rivet their chains on the necks of our fellow sufferers...And this is the tendency of all human governments...till the bulk of society is reduced to be mere automations of misery...And the forehorse of this frightful team is public debt. Taxation follows that, and in its train wretchedness and oppression."

Public debt and taxation leads to oppression. We see two income families trying to maintain a standard of living that took only one breadwinner to achieve years ago. Gold is the only standard that protects property. Paper money is worthless. **It is all about control.** Those that have operational control of the Federal Reserve Bank have control of the money, the economy and eventually the laws. They have a firm grip on the throat of this country. Their lack of accountability is due to the ignorance or the masses and willful blindness of the politicians. Politicians fear the Bankers and refuse to challenge

them. Congress is willfully blind. Those who are critical of the Fed face severe criticism from the banking industry. The Federal Reserve Bank intimidates the politicians. The Bankers control everything. He who controls the currency supply controls it all. The Federal Reserve Bank shifts losses to the taxpayer in the form of inflation. All of this is done using the Federal Reserve Bank as a "middle-man" between the people and Congress. Congress can spend as much as it wants and the people remain confused about the process due to the Federal Reserve Bank. It is a bait and switch trick. The politicians are terrified of the Bankers. And they are mortified of Alan Greenspan. Mr. Greenspan is the Grand Pooba of the Federal Reserve Bank. When he speaks, all of the politicians kiss his ass. Seldom will you see a politician criticize him, his actions or his politics. The vast majority of them are cowards. The Federal Reserve Bank confuses the public about how currency is produced to protect the decision makers from accountability. Now, someone may assume that banks are conservative with the depositors' currency. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Deposit Loan Corporation and the Federal Reserve System now guarantee that if massive loans to countries or large corporations go into default, the banks will not need to absorb the losses from these bad loans. And for this reason, banks are not as cautious as you, or I, had hoped.

In fact, many loans are not repaid. The U.S. government acts as a co-signer and guarantees the loan. If the loan is not repaid, the U.S. government pays it. Where does that currency come from? Well, it comes from the additional currency borrowed from the Federal Reserve Bank. And the taxpayer eventually pays for it through the dilution of his or her savings and higher prices. U.S. banks provide risky loans to troubled countries and corporations with no risk of loss because the U.S. government is a co-signer. When the loan defaults, the U.S. government pays the tab. The cost of the bailout is paid through currency borrowed from the Federal Reserve Bank. The cost of the bank bailout is passed on to the voters through a devalued dollar. As the dollar decreases in value, prices increase. The difference in prices is what the American consumer pays for the bailout and other government programs. The currency is created from the Federal Reserve Bank to guarantee the payment of the large bank loan. The taxpayer bears the eventual cost of the bailout through lost value in savings. Every dollar owned by the taxpayer is worth less than it was before each bailout. It is no different than robbing someone's pocket. The bank's mismanagement is passed onto the taxpayers of the U.S. who are not aware that all of this is taking place. Politicians know that raising taxes are unpopular. If they needed to raise taxes sufficiently to run the federal government, there would be a revolt. Instead, Congress quietly borrows an unlimited amount of cash from the Federal Reserve System to run the government at the expense of diluting the savings held by the taxpayer. Most taxpayers don't understand how the banking system, or government, works. Taxpayers are easy and ignorant targets.

G. Edward Griffin in the book "The Creature from Jekyll Island": It's from **Alan Greenspan, back in 1966**, before he was the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, "The abandonment of the gold standard made it possible for the welfare statists to use the banking system as a means to an unlimited expansion of credit... The law of supply and demand is not to be conned. As the supply of money (of claims) increases relative to the supply of tangible assets in the economy, prices must eventually rise. Thus the earnings saved by the productive members of society lose value in terms of goods. When the economy's books are finally balanced, one finds that this loss in value represents the goods purchased by the government for the welfare of other purposes... In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation. There is no safe store of value. If there were, the government would have to make its holding illegal, as this was done in the case of gold...The financial policy of the welfare state requires that there be no way for the owners of wealth to protect themselves."

Deficit spending is merely a scheme for the "hidden" confiscation of wealth. On the other hand, gold stands in the way of this insidious process. It stands as a protector of property rights. Also See "Gold and Economic Freedom," in *Capitalism: The Unknown Ideal*, ed. Ayn Rand (New York: Signet Books, 1967), and p.101. **As we have noted a critical element of the fractional reserve debt-money system - interest on bank-created debt - ensures that without continuous growth the system cannot survive. For, when banks create money to lend they do not create any money with which the related interest can be paid. Only further borrowing for further production can offer even the potential that interest might be paid. If the private owners of the central banks decide not to extend anymore credit, there will be no money to service the interest payments, causing bankruptcies and foreclosures that these owners will then purchase for a penny on the dollar just like they did during the great depression of 1929! Then, they will continue to collect interest on money issued from nothing and will lend more. Thus, they live in an evergreen World and keep amassing wealth while the rest of the masses keep going deeper and deeper into debt!**

The result is that the system's potential survival requires continuously escalating levels of total international debt which affect every sector of society - local and national governments, business and commerce, and consumers. It also ensures the cyclical instability of international economies. As these debts and related interest rise inexorably on an international basis they eventually become so large, as do surpluses of goods, that further growth of debt and output becomes impossible. The call goes out first for greater efforts to "capture" export markets. Then banks, fearing the prospect of large scale debt repudiation, begin to call in their private sector loans or lay claim to the associated "securities". Pressure is brought to bear on governments to cut borrowing and reduce deficits in order to "beat inflation" although in circumstances of large scale unemployment and massive surpluses of unsold goods, any inflation is more likely to be related to debt, and interest on debt, than an "overheating" economy. **The result is cuts in social welfare and public services, reductions in infrastructure projects and/or increasing taxation.**

Debt-write-offs have no capital affect on banks overall since the money was created out of nothing. The only affect is that they don't get to collect interest anymore on the written-off debt. Since all debt is borrowed money, in order to write off a

debt, it is necessary to destroy part of the money supply. Actual and impending losses give rise to a desire for additional liquidity in the financial system in the form of more "fiat" money. Until that liquidity is obtained, bankruptcies and price deflation will set in. The losers are always the employees, shareholders, tax payers, the unemployed and the masses when public assets have to be sold off for a penny on the \$. The money supply shrinks and unemployment and poverty levels rise. So too does homelessness, crime, drugs trafficking, and other effects that lead to a loss of general social cohesion. We follow the cycle into its recession phase. No matter that there is available a plentiful supply of labour, raw materials and productive machinery, to do what is both desirable and physically possible is no longer "economically possible" since "there is no money. There is no money because Bankers, out of concern for their own assets and at times from fear for the survival of their system, have dictated that there is to be no money. In due course however, as surpluses begin to decline and some debts are cleared via bankruptcies, sale of collateral, bank bail-out at taxpayer expense etc., it is essential for the survival of the system that growth be resumed and the recovery phase of the cycle begins. Despite the resulting economic and social havoc, and notwithstanding the run down of surpluses, the temporary reduction of private indebtedness by repayment of loans and/or transfer to the banks of assets previously offered as collateral against loans, or by loan write-off, the total of underlying debt simply continues to grow unremittingly. In due course banks used again their immense power to influence governments and there followed another great "bailing out" of the Bankers at taxpayer expense, i.e. a debt boomerang situation. In the recent past, the US government, along with the IMF, transferred \$47.5 billion to bail out major US banks, such as Chase Manhattan, which had been "exposed" by their speculations to the Mexican currency crash in 1995.

However, since all debt is borrowed money, in order to write off a debt, it is necessary to destroy part of the money supply. It may be that the debt was structured as a bond issue rather than a bank loan; it doesn't matter. The bondholders exchanged money balances for those bonds when they acquired them. If the bond is cancelled, this money is lost. Actual and impending losses give rise to a desire for additional liquidity in the financial system. Here, only money will do. A subsequent deflationary cycle will start until new fiat money is injected. Haven't you ever wondered ""WHY"" a lending institution which has large office spaces (multiple offices/divisions,) a sea of accountants; auditors; lawyers; and other professionals on staff, would turn the alleged account over to a smaller (in many ways, miniscule in comparison) entity? Are they not equipped to deal with such matters as collecting on their own, legitimate, real obligations? ""How could that be?"" you might ask. This is going to blow you away. The original alleged creditor never loaned you anything, we discussed this as "fiat" money. If they had issued real funds, the "creditor" would have a transaction receipt showing what account the dollar credits were transferred from to **verify** the debt. This is called **Verification**. The original "alleged" creditor is not going to EVER attempt to make that claim in a court. Because the exchange of public funds NEVER occurred they are counting on ignorance and gullibility to make you surrender to their whims. The alleged creditor has already made out quite well having the customer for a client paying interest on money created from nothing. When they give the account to a third party debt collector they made out again. Central banks are engaged in a desperate battle on two fronts. On one front, the central banks preside over the creation of additional liquidity for the financial system in order to hold back the tide of debt defaults that would otherwise occur. On the other, they incite investment banks and other willing parties to bet against a rise in the prices of gold, oil, base metals, soft commodities or anything else that might be deemed an indicator of inherent value. Their objective is to deprive the independent observer of any reliable benchmark against which to measure the eroding value, not only of the US dollar, but of all fiat currencies. Equally, their actions seek to deny the investor the opportunity to hedge against the fragility of the financial system by switching into a freely traded market for non-financial assets.

It is important to recognize that the central banks have found the battle on the second front much easier to fight than the first. Last November 2000, the estimated the size of the gross stock of global debt instruments at \$90 trillion for mid-2000. How much capital would it take to control the combined gold, oil and commodity markets? Probably, no more than \$200bn, using derivatives. Moreover, it is not necessary for the central banks to fight the battle themselves, although central bank gold sales and gold leasing have certainly contributed to the cause. Most of the World's large investment banks have over-traded their capital so flagrantly that if the central banks were to lose the fight on the first front, then their stock would be worthless. Because their fate is intertwined with that of the central banks, investment banks are willing participants in the battle against rising gold, oil and commodity prices. Central banks, and particularly the US Federal Reserve, are deploying their heavy artillery in the battle against a systemic collapse. This has been their primary concern for at least seven years. Their immediate objectives are to prevent the private sector bond market from closing its doors to new or refinancing borrowers and to forestall a technical break in the Dow Jones Industrials. Keeping the bond markets open is absolutely vital at a time when corporate profitability is on the ropes. Keeping the equity index on an even keel is essential to protect the wealth of the household sector and to maintain the expectation of future gains. For as long as these objectives can be achieved, the value of the US dollar can also be stabilized in relation to other currencies, despite the extraordinary imbalances in external trade. In summary, "Money" is: Make-believe "Dollars"; paper and ink records of numbers preceded by a dollar sign (\$) in bookkeeping entries, accepted by the people as imaginary mediums of exchange, whose volume increases daily with official and individual conjurings; are seignorage, credit, inflation, money, and totally intangible, cannot be sighted, heard, smelled, tasted, or touched, can exist in human thought only, and are shifted about by check and credit card to "settle by imagination" ninety five percent of all indebtedness.

[The Fed Is Slowly Destroying America](#)

Thomas D. Schauf, CPA, is a national speaker to Certified Public Accountants and business leaders. Mr. Schauf's expertise includes banking, the economy, business appraisals, mergers, and acquisitions. Here's what he says: "Our government never had a chance...with political corruption ravaging its Constitution." The real facts don't lie...and neither do government



documents...Congressional Record, Congressman Wright Patman, A Primer On Money prepared by the Sub-committee on Domestic Finance, House of Representatives, Committee on Banking and Currency - 88th Congress, 2nd session, August 4th, 1964 and December 23, 1913, page 1464 & 1478. Congressional Record, Congressman Louis McFadden, June 10 1932, House of Representatives, pages 12604-12605; Congressional Record, 98th Congress, 1st session, February 3, 1983, Congressman Ron Paul Congressional Record, Committee on Banking and Currency, House of Representatives, 77th Congress, 1st session, Tuesday, September 30, 1941, pages 1342-1345.

THERE ARE MANY MORE CONGRESSIONAL TESTIMONIALS. IS THERE BIAS IN THE MEDIA REGARDING THE FED? DURING THE TV PRESIDENTIAL DEBATES, CLINTON WAS ASKED SHOULD THERE BE RESTRICTIONS ON THE FED? THE NEXT DAY, MAJOR NEWSPAPERS SAID THEY COVERED THE WHOLE PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE TEXT, BUT MANY NEWSPAPERS ELIMINATED THIS ONE QUESTION. CHECK YOUR LIBRARY!


The Revolutionary War was fought and the Constitution was written to prevent other nations and private banks from issuing (printing) money and controlling our currency. In 1913, members of Congress committed treason and violated their oath of office to defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic by voting in the Federal Reserve Bank. For the New World Order to create a one World government, they must control a central bank, eliminate the Constitution, end Christian values, disarm America, and control the media. The Council on Foreign Relations has openly said they will take us over in favor of a one World government. The American people must be warned or we may lose our freedom forever. If we do not demand our rights and uphold the Constitution, the CFR and bankers will continue their march toward socialism. If we allow them to continue, they will abolish our rights and put an end to our present government. I urge all Americans to distribute the "main" FED-UP brochure ("saving \$6000 in taxes per year, per person & balancing the budget) and collect signatures on the petition (see order form in the main brochure). Then all informed Americans can take action and hold their politicians accountable. The bankers control the media, but can not stop patriotic Americans from using copy machines to distribute information and inform America. Once informed, people will demand an explanation why Congress allowed this fraud as the media appeared to be independent and investigative, but remained silent on this important issue. **The ones who scream the loudest to keep the Federal Reserve Bank probably profit the most.**

Why a Federal Reserve Note isn't worth a Dollar. This article is a layman's overview of United States money; it is not intended to be an in-depth scholarly review, but it is fairly comprehensive. Gresham's law, observation in economics that "bad money drives out good." If two coins have the same nominal value, but are made from metals of unequal value, the cheaper will tend to drive the other out of circulation. Sir Thomas Gresham, financial agent of Queen Elizabeth I, was not the first to recognize this monetary principle, but his elucidation of it in 1558 prompted the economist H. D. Macleod to suggest the term "Gresham's law" in the 19th century. New Encyclopaedia Britannica, Micropaedia (1998), V. 5, p. 489. I heard about Gresham's Law years ago, but never really thought about its practical effects. One day, I found a "real" [pre-1965] 90%-silver quarter in my change. And what did I do with it?

I immediately withdrew it from circulation and stashed it! And why did I do that? Well, because it was WORTH a little more than twenty-five cents because of the increase in the intrinsic value of its silver content. **If we accept the premise that any piece of metal having a "face value" (declared or defined worth) greater than its actual intrinsic value is a "token" [bus token, subway token, toilet-stall token, etc.]; then the "quarter dollar" token (the current cupro-nickel "clad" or "sandwich" quarter) [bad money] has successfully driven the 90%-silver quarter dollar [good money] out of circulation. How? Because almost everyone has done exactly what I had done: we remove the remaining good money from circulation because we recognize its intrinsic value and save it; and leave the less valuable [or worthless] tokens to circulate. We have done exactly the same thing with silver dimes, silver half-dollars, and silver dollars. That is also what we have done with the circulating FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE paper currency (scrip or bills of credit?) we have been brainwashed into calling 'dollars': you won't often see a circulating United States Note, Silver Certificate, or Gold Certificate. So while, on one hand, we are all "ripping off" one another each time we exchange a FRN; on the other hand, the members of the private FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM are knowingly, willfully, and systematically cheating ALL of us each and every day. As you may have guessed, I am a "Hard-money" proponent; I want my money to have intrinsic value at least equal to its face value.**

Why is the Federal Reserve Note NOT WORTH A DOLLAR?

Bouvier's Law Dictionary (1856): DOLLAR, money. A silver coin of the United States of the value of one hundred cents, or tenth part of an eagle. EAGLE, money. A gold coin of the United States, of the value of ten dollars. It weighs two hundred and fifty-eight grains. Of one thousand parts, nine hundred are of pure gold, and one hundred of alloy. Act of January 18, 1837, 4 Sharsw. Cont. of Story's L. U. S. 2523, 4. Vide Money.





Above, A typical Spanish Reale minted in Mexico in 1732; a pre-Civil War United States of America Gold Dollar.

Once upon a time, in these United States of America, we actually had REAL money, i.e., money which was, in and of itself, both a measure of, and a unit of, value. Gold, silver, and some other less precious metals, in the progress of civilization and commerce, have become the common standards of value; in order to avoid the delay and inconvenience of regulating their weight and quality whenever passed, the governments of the civilized World have caused them to be manufactured in certain portions,

and marked with a Stamp which attests their value; this is called money. 1 Inst. 207; 1 Hale's Hist. 188; 1 Pardess. n. 22; Dom. Lois civ. liv. prel. t. 3, s. 2, n. 6. See Act of April 2, 1792, 1 Story's L. U. S. 229. Wouldn't you think that the National Institute of Standards and Technology would have a physical THING called the Standard Dollar that they could point to, pick up, show around, and say, "Yep, this is a Dollar."? OK; try to find it, I couldn't.

Below, a typical United States of America Silver Dollar, 1804;

Title 31, United States Code Annotated (2004), section 5102: The standard troy pound of the National Institute of Standards and Technology of the Department of Commerce shall be the standard used to ensure that the weight of United States coins conforms to specifications in section 5112 of this title.

31 U.S.C.A. (2004), section 5112, which reads (in part):

(a) The Secretary of the Treasury may mint and issue only

the following coins:

- (1) a dollar coin that is 1.043 inches in diameter.
 - (2) a half dollar coin that is 1.205 inches in diameter and weighs 11.34 grams.
 - (3) a quarter dollar coin that is 0.955 inch in diameter and weights 5.67 grams.
 - (4) a dime coin that is 0.705 inch in diameter and weighs 2.268 grams.
 - (5) a 5-cent coin that is 0.835 inch in diameter and weighs 5 grams.
 - (6) except as provided under subsection (c) of this section, a one-cent coin that is 0.75 inch in diameter and weighs 3.11 grams.
 - (7) A fifty dollar gold coin that is 32.7 millimeters in diameter, weighs 33.931 grams, and contains one troy ounce of fine gold.
- A typical "Continental".
- (8) A twenty-five dollar gold coin that is 27.0 millimeters in diameter, weighs 16.966 grams, and contains one-half troy ounce of fine gold.
 - (9) A ten dollar gold coin that is 22.0 millimeters in diameter, weighs 8.483 grams, and contains one-fourth troy ounce of fine gold.
 - (10) A five dollar gold coin that is 16.5 millimeters in diameter, weighs 3.393 grams, and contains one-tenth troy ounce of fine gold.
- (b). . .Did you notice that the dollar coin in 31 U.S.C.A. 5112(a)(1) is the only coin that has no weight attributed to it?

At the beginning of our Nation, a Caveat Against Injustice Roger Sherman's Argument against State-issued Bills of Credit (1752)/ During The Revolutionary War, the Continental Congress authorized the printing of paper "money" called "Continental", which depreciated in perceived value [inflated] so quickly and so badly that, soon, they were "not worth a Continental". The Bank of England 'helped' depreciate the Continental by infusing thousands of counterfeit Continentals into America. During the pre- and post-Revolutionary War periods, the Colonies and these United States of America chose to use Spanish and Mexican Reales [called "Pieces of Eight"] as a currency, partly because they did not yet have their own coinage; because the Reale held its Value; because they had just gone through an unsuccessful experiment with paper "money" and its inflation; and because they definitely were NOT going to continue to use the English Pound (Sterling). After the States united under the Articles of Confederation created the United States of America under the Constitution of 1791, they delegated to Congress the complementary powers to (1)

establish the Value of a Dollar and (2) to mint and circulate said Dollars. Congress, in The Coinage Act of 1792, established, by definition, a Dollar as a certain weight of silver, shaped into a coin ["minted"], and placed into circulation; and authorized the minting of the first United States of America silver Dollars, gold 'Eagles', and silver and copper fractional coins.



A typical Civil War-era United States \$5 Treasury Note (Greenback).

During the American Civil War, the Union government did not have enough silver or gold bullion to mint enough money [dollars] to pay the costs of the war in Lawful Money, so it unconstitutionally printed, not minted, the first United States of America paper currency: United States Treasury Notes (called "Greenbacks") under the Doctrine of "Necessity" [if we have to do it to survive, it is "necessary" and, hence, justifiable].

You will notice that this piece of paper is NOT 5 Dollars; but it has the appearance of a conditional Promissory Note (a Negotiable Instrument); i.e., a Promise to pay 5 Dollars to the Bearer limited by the condition that the bearer may redeem the Note in lawful money at the United States Treasury in New York. And we do not know how much trouble it might have been to travel to New York during the Civil War. To be legally valid, a Promissory Note must be in writing and must have these necessary elements:

1. A firm promise to pay [by the payor];
2. A Definite sum of money;
3. A recipient [the payee];
4. A definite date when such definite sum of money is due and payable; and
5. The signature of the Note maker [the payor].

From Tax Analyst's Taxation Museum: "The Civil War represented a watershed moment in the history of American taxation. The quick, limited engagement both sides confidently predicted soon proved a chimera. Instead, the exigencies of protracted, destructive warfare "engulfing private property and civilian populations as well as commissioned combatants" demanded innovations in government financing. While the outcome of the conflict may be attributed to any number of contingent factors, the varying fiscal strategies undertaken by the Union and Confederate governments undoubtedly influenced the capacity of both societies to sustain the war effort. North and South employed markedly different approaches. The North's proved more efficacious in the long run....In order for the bond program to be successful, the North needed an unrestricted currency supply for citizens to pay for them and a source of income to guarantee the interest. The Legal Tender Act filled the first requirement. Passed in February, 1862, the Act authorized the issue of \$150 million in Treasury notes, known as Greenbacks. In contrast to Confederate paper, however, Congress required citizens, banks, and governments to accept Greenbacks as legal tender for public and private debts, except for interest on federal bonds and customs duties. This policy allowed buyers to purchase bonds with greenbacks while the interest accrued to them was paid in gold (funded, in part, by specie payments of customs duties). Investors enjoyed a bountiful windfall, since government securities purchased with depreciated currency were redeemed with gold valued at the pre-war level. Taxpayers essentially made up the difference. Because most bonds were acquired by the wealthy or by financial institutions, the program concentrated investment capital in the hands of those likely to use it, much as Alexander Hamilton's debt plan had sought to do. ...The Internal Revenue Act of 1862, enacted by Congress in July, 1862, soaked up much of the inflationary pressure produced by Greenbacks. It did so because the Act placed excise taxes on just about everything, including sin and luxury items like liquor, tobacco, playing cards, carriages, yachts, billiard tables, and jewelry. It taxed patent medicines and newspaper advertisements. It imposed license taxes on practically every profession or service except the clergy. It instituted stamp taxes, value-added taxes on manufactured goods and processed meats, inheritance taxes, taxes on the gross receipts of corporations, banks, and insurance companies, as well as taxes on dividends or interest they paid to investors. To administer these excise taxes, along with the tariff system, the Internal Revenue Act also created a Bureau of Internal Revenue, whose first commissioner, George Boutwell, described it as "the largest Government department ever organized." ...The first federal income tax in American history actually preceded the Internal Revenue Act of 1862. Passed in August, 1861, it had helped assure the financial community that the government would have a reliable source of income to pay the interest on war bonds."

Theoretically, the present-day "income tax" may still be being used to provide security for the repayment of the United State's outstanding obligations and to soak up the inflationary pressure of fiat money. "Taxpayers" would then be being treated as the surety or collateral for the debts of the United States. After the Civil War, Congress recalled the Greenbacks from circulation and re-authorized the minting of silver and gold Dollars. After 1913, the first Federal Reserve Notes were introduced into



circulation. You will notice that these Notes were Redeemable in Gold or in Lawful Money. Obviously, if something is Redeemable in Gold or Lawful Money, it is NOT, and CANNOT be, either Gold or lawful money. Federal Reserve Notes may have been construed by the courts to be "Legal Tender" [see the United States Supreme Court "Legal Tender Cases": Hepburn v. Griswold, 75 U.S. 603, Knox v. Lee, and Parker v. Davis, 79 U.S. 457], but they are not, and cannot ever be declared to be, lawful money. That is legally impossible, no matter what the Supreme Court might wish.

A typical United States of America Morgan Silver Dollar dated 1899. A Federal Reserve Note, Redeemable in Gold, 1928. A typical Silver Certificate, Redeemable in Silver, dated 1935. Below, A Federal Reserve Note, Redeemable in lawful money, 1934.



What is a Dollar? A second What is a Dollar? An essay on

lawful Money A Legal Brief on MoneyWhen does money become money? Paul Gilkes article from Coin World, Dec. 16, 2002. The History of Lawful gold and silver money and the debt brought on by unlawful fiat paper money An article on the Federal Reserve System Another article on the Federal Reserve System



In 1933, Franklin D. Roosevelt cancelled (repudiated) the Redemption of Federal Reserve Notes in gold within these United States of America and forbade the private ownership of gold coins or bullion by Citizens, upon penalty of fine and/or imprisonment. So the ignorant



"responsible", "law-abiding" Citizens turned in their lawful money to the Treasury, which probably used that money to pay off debts of the United States.



However, Federal Reserve Notes were still Redeemable in gold outside these United States of America and the same Federal Reserve Notes were still Redeemable in silver upon demand within these United States of America. When the United States of America "went off the Silver Standard" in 1964 after John F. Kennedy's assassination, the Government again repudiated the Redemption of Federal Reserve Notes in silver coin (the last lawful money) and replaced the lawful money with cupro-nickel tokens. In a very real sense, the United States became insolvent. We then had Federal Reserve Notes which were "redeemable" only in other Federal Reserve Notes and "token" coinage having little intrinsic value, and also not redeemable in anything of value. We now have a "Federal Reserve Note" which does not Promise anything, is not Redeemable on Demand in anything of intrinsic value, and which has been deemed (unilaterally proclaimed) to be "legal tender", but which is not and cannot ever be lawful money of the United States. While the current "Federal Reserve Notes" are not valid promissory notes; they may be "bills of credit". Legally, such currency is called "scrip" or "fiat money". In slang, such currency is called "worthless", "bogus", "fake", "funny money", etc. What "obligation" could possibly attach to such a "Note" or to the use of such a "Note"? I believe that, since no obligation [of Redemption in Value] can attach to the purported Issuer [the Federal Reserve Banks] or to the purported co-signers [the Treasurer of the United States and the Secretary of the Treasury] of such a "Note", no obligation could possibly attach to the first, nor to any subsequent, holder or user of such a pseudo-"Note". And if it costs only 3-4 cents to make such a "Note" [no matter what the actual "face" denomination], isn't that production cost [paper, ink, and "security" strip] the only intrinsic value of the "Note"?

Congressional Report on Money (1964): In other words, every the Federal Reserve System purporting to issue these "Federal Reserve Notes" as money to its member Banks and every member Bank issuing them to its customers is legally insolvent, because they cannot ever redeem such a "Federal Reserve Note" in lawful money of these United States of America. Further, they are also willfully committing Fraud upon their customers, because they know (even if their customers do not know) that they cannot redeem those "Federal Reserve Notes".

Today's Non-Redeemable One Dollar "Federal Reserve Note" (well known masonic symbolism at the back of the note, <http://www.masoncode.com/The%20Great%20Seal.htm>).



There may well be a sustainable analogy between the current irredeemable "Federal Reserve Notes" and the notes of the Confederate States of America as described here: It was by this government [the Confederate States of America] exercising its power throughout an immense territory, that the Confederate notes were issued early in the war, and these notes in a short time became almost exclusively the currency of the insurgent states. As contracts in themselves, except in the contingency of successful revolution, these notes were nullities; for, except in that event, there could be no payer. They bore, indeed, this character upon their face, for they were made payable only "after the ratification of a treaty of peace between the Confederate States and the United States of America." While the war lasted, however, they had a certain contingent value, and were used as money in nearly all the business transactions of many millions of people. They must be regarded, therefore, as a currency, imposed on the community by irresistible force. (note added in brackets) **Thorington v. Smith (1869), 8 Wall. (75 U.S.) 1, 19 L. Ed 361, 364.**

First National Bank of Montgomery, Minnesota v. Jerome Daley [Note: Be careful; I have not found this case published in any official Reporter. Maybe you could get a copy by writing to the originating Court. Certainly it might disconcert that court.] The Ethics of Irredeemable Money. The use of money to create slavery: So, if the value of any "Federal Reserve Note" depends only on our collective perception or "confidence" in it, doesn't that make the whole "Federal Reserve System" and its "Notes" a "Confidence" game; i.e., a "con" game, or simply, a "con"? **Modern Money Mechanics.** This article was produced by the Chicago Branch of the Federal Reserve [it is supposedly out-of-print] Fractional Reserve Banking: The Smithsonian Institute looks at money: Dr. Edwin Vieira, Jr. article on money: Billions for the Bankers: A 1899 book warning about banking: The Coming Battle, Part 1. The Coming Battle, Part 2. Lawrence Parks article: another Lawrence Parks article: The Moneychanger:

Since 1982, we again can buy and hold real lawful money of the United States of America. That is, we can take the Federal Reserve System's "Federal Reserve Note" funny-money and exchange it for gold or silver coins at a coin dealer but the "Sales Tax" depreciates your value in the exchange. The Tax goes to feed the Federal Reserve interest payments! The United States Mint is currently authorized to mint 1 Troy ounce coins of Silver and 1 Troy ounce, 1/2 Troy ounce, 1/4 Troy ounce, and 1/10 Troy ounce coins of Gold, which THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT is pleased to call "numismatic items" or "bullion coins." Of course, those characterizations are designed to disguise the fact that these coins really are lawful money of the United States of America, rather than simply circulating legal tender tokens. There are also platinum coins which I have not discussed because, so far as I know, platinum has never been authorized for use as lawful money.

Congressman James Traficant's speech to Congress 1993 on The Fraud of the Federal Reserve

United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33, page H-1303 Speaker-Rep. James Traficant, Jr. (Ohio) addressing the House: **"Mr. Speaker, we are here now in chapter 11.. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any Bankrupt entity in World history, the U.S. Government. We are setting forth hopefully, a blueprint for our future. There are some who say it is a coroner's report that will lead to our demise. It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress m session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only. The receivers of the United States Bankruptcy are the International Bankers, via the United Nations, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. All United States Offices, Officials, and Departments are now operating within a de facto status in name only under Emergency War Powers. With the Constitutional Republican form of Government now dissolved, the receivers of the Bankruptcy have adopted a new form of government for the United States. This new form of government is known as a Democracy, being an established Socialist/Communist order under a new governor for America. This act was instituted and established by transferring and/or placing the Office of the Secretary of Treasury to that of the Governor of the International Monetary Fund. Public Law 94-564, page 8, Section H.R. 13955 reads in part: "The U.S. Secretary of Treasury receives no compensation for representing the United States."**

Gold and silver were such powerful money during the founding of the United States of America that the founding fathers declared that only gold or silver coins can be "money" in America. Since gold and silver coinage were heavy and inconvenient for a lot of transactions, they were stored in banks and a claim check was issued as a money substitute. People traded their coupons as money, or "currency." Currency is not money, but a money substitute. Redeemable currency must promise to pay a dollar equivalent in gold or silver money. Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) make no such promises, and are not "money." A Federal Reserve Note is a debt obligation of the federal United States government, not "money?" The federal United States government and the U.S. Congress were not and have never been authorized by the Constitution for the united states of America to issue currency of any kind, but only lawful money, -gold and silver coin. It is essential that we comprehend the distinction between real money and paper money substitute. One cannot get rich by accumulating money substitutes, one can only get deeper into debt. We the People no longer have any "money." Most Americans have not been paid any "money" for a very long time, perhaps not in their entire life. Now do you comprehend why you feel broke? Now, do you understand why you are "bankrupt," along with the rest of the country? **In fact, the Federal Reserve now promises to tax you and uses you as the collateral against which it issues the Federal Reserve Notes and cyber money!!!**

Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) are unsigned checks written on a closed account. FRNs are an inflatable paper system designed to create debt through inflation (devaluation of currency). When ever there is an increase of the supply of a money substitute in the economy without a corresponding increase in the gold and silver backing, inflation occurs. Inflation is an invisible form of taxation that irresponsible governments inflict on their citizens. The Federal Reserve Bank who controls the supply and movement of FRNs has everybody fooled. They have access to an unlimited supply of FRNs, paying only for the printing costs of what they need. FRNs are nothing more than promissory notes for U.S. Treasury securities (T-Bills) - a promise to pay the debt to the Federal Reserve Bank. There is a fundamental difference between "paying" and "discharging" a debt. To pay a debt, you must pay with value or substance (i.e. gold, silver, barter or a commodity). With FRNs, you can only discharge a debt. You cannot pay a debt with a debt currency system. You cannot service a debt with a currency that has no backing in value or substance. No contract in Common law is valid unless it involves an exchange of "good & valuable consideration." Un-payable debt transfers power and control to the sovereign power structure that has no interest in money, law, equity or justice because they have so much wealth already.

Their lust is for power and control. Since the inception of central banking, they have controlled the fates of nations. The Federal Reserve System is based on the Canon law and the principles of sovereignty protected in the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. In fact, the international Bankers used a "Canon Law Trust" as their model, adding stock and naming it a "Joint Stock Trust." The U.S. Congress had passed a law making it illegal for any legal "person" to duplicate a "Joint Stock Trust" in 1873. The Federal Reserve Act was legislated post-facto (to 1870), although post-facto laws are strictly forbidden by the Constitution. [1:9:3] The Federal Reserve System is a sovereign power structure separate and distinct from the federal United States government. The Federal Reserve is a maritime lender, and/or maritime insurance underwriter to the federal United States operating exclusively under Admiralty/Maritime law. The lender or underwriter bears the risks, and the Maritime law compelling specific performance in paying the interest, or premiums are the same. Assets of the debtor can also be hypothecated (to pledge something as a security without taking possession of it) as security by the lender or underwriter. The Federal Reserve Act stipulated that the interest on the debt was to be paid in gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal Reserve Act for ever paying the principle.

Prior to 1913, most Americans owned clear, allodial title to property, free and clear of any liens or mortgages until the Federal Reserve Act (1913) "Hypothecated" all property within the federal United States to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, -in which the Trustees (stockholders) held legal title. The U.S. citizen (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a "beneficiary" of the trust via his/her birth certificate. In 1933, the federal United States hypothecated all of the present and future properties, assets and labor of their "subjects," the 14th Amendment U.S. citizen, to the Federal Reserve System. In return, the Federal Reserve System agreed to extend the federal United States Corporation all the credit "money substitute" it needed. Like any other debtor, the federal United States government had to assign collateral and security to their creditors as a condition of the loan. Since the federal United States didn't have any assets, they assigned the private property of their "economic slaves", the U.S. citizens as collateral against the un-payable federal debt. They also pledged the unincorporated federal territories, national parks forests, birth certificates, and nonprofit organizations, as collateral against the federal debt. All has already been transferred as payment to the international Bankers. Unwittingly, America has returned to its pre-American Revolution, feudal roots whereby all land is held by a sovereign and the common people had no rights to hold allodial title to property. Once again, We the People are the tenants and sharecroppers renting our own property from a Sovereign in the guise of the Federal Reserve Bank. We the people have exchanged one master for another.

This has been going on for over eighty years without the "informed knowledge" of the American people, without a voice protesting loud enough. Now it's easy to grasp why America is fundamentally bankrupt Why don't more people own their properties outright? Why are 90% of Americans mortgaged to the hilt and have little or no assets after all debts and liabilities have been paid? Why does it feel like you are working harder and harder and getting less and less? We are reaping what has been sown, and the results of our harvest are a painful bankruptcy, and a foreclosure on American property, precious liberties, and a way of life. Few of our elected representatives in Washington, D.C. have dared to tell the truth. The federal United States is bankrupt. Our children will inherit this un-payable debt, and the tyranny to enforce paying it. America has become completely bankrupt in World leadership, financial credit and its reputation for courage, vision and human rights. This is an undeclared economic war, bankruptcy, and economic slavery of the most corrupt order! Wake up America! Take back your Country." [IS IT ANY WONDER THAT THE "ELITE" ARE OUT TO DESTROY REP TRAFICANT? He is hitting the CFR/TC and the UN where it hurts! LMSr.] Image: United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33, page H-1303.

Added by: Chester L McWhorter Sr: Forming the Federal Reserve System are the primary Federal Reserve "Banks" of: Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Cleveland, Richmond, Atlanta, Chicago, St Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, Dallas, and San Francisco. These banks are not real banks. You cannot cash a check there, open an account, etc. These "banks" are also holding companies of smaller banks. In all of this discussion about income tax, we should be ever mindful of the fact that CONGRESS is the key. CONGRESS votes to spend the money. Congress gives the IRS its power. Congress gives the IRS its OWN budget. Congress is elected by the people. The IRS is A DECOY. I only ask that people read it and think...think...think. Sources/Ref's if not in the text will be found on the last page of Doc 000.0.0.1 and 000.0.0.6. CLMSr.<<<< We have a Constitution and our Bill of Rights (the first 10 amendments) that makes us free. Right? Then visit:<http://www.trimonline.org/> <http://www.getusout.org/> <http://www.thenewamerican.com/>
<http://www.givemeliberty.org/> <http://www.jbs.org/> <http://www.getawarrant.com/> <http://www.dixierising.com/>
<http://www.dixienet.org/> <http://www.palmetto.org/> <http://www.southerncaucus.org/> <http://www.spofga.org/>

NOTE # 1: This is the FIRST doc in a string of about 37 regarding the Income Tax, How it was illegally forced upon us, the collusion of various nation banks, including The Bank of England, the Banks of Europe, the Banks of the USA that make up the Non-Government organization known as the Fed and the Bankers themselves dedicated to making this a Socialist Nation. As David Rockefeller reportedly said in 1973 when he and others formed the Trilateral Commission, "We will have this a Socialist Nation by the end of the year 2000." Well, with the help of our past Communist President, he damned well nearly did it. If Comrade Gore had been elected, it would be now! The last doc in this series is a plan that was presented to President Bush when he visited Florida recently. It was put directly into his hands. He has not acted upon it. We The People must initiate a campaign of letters, faxes, e-mails, and phone calls to him and others in our otherwise corrupt government letting them know of our displeasure. For God and Country, Chet.

NOTE # 2: You may forward this to every member of Congress. Nothing beats a letter AND a phone call. AS/Chester L McWhorter Sr, c/o 504 N. Brighton Rd, Lecanto, Occupied Florida. 34461. Ph: 352-344-9073. Fax: Same. E-mail: robertthebruce@naturecoast.net 01 of 37 100.0.0.0 Rockefeller Quote: We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we [the CFR] need is the right major crisis and the nation[s] will accept the New World Order. End Quote. David Rockefeller: Founder and Honorary Chairman, Council of the Americas; Chairman, Americas Society; Founder, Forum of the Americas; Chairman, Emeritus, Council on Foreign Relations [CFR]; Founder and Honorary Chairman, Trilateral Commission [TC]; Chairman, The Bilderbergs. How does the 11 Sept 2001 attack upon our country figure into this?]



The Bankruptcy of the United States is a 38 part document. See Contents on left column. The Bankruptcy of The United States Part 1-39; Part 1 THE SECRET OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE edited from <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm> The Federal Reserve manipulates U.S. currency, interest rates, and inflation for the advantage of its owners. Since 1998, NORFED has provided **The Liberty Dollar - an inflation proof currency owned by the people, not the Federal Reserve.**

The Short Road To Chaos And Destruction An Expose of the Federal Reserve Banking System
<http://www.Worldnewsstand.net/today/articles/chaos.htm>
The End of Ordinary Money, Part I <http://www.aci.net/kalliste/money1.htm> **The End of Ordinary Money, Part II:**
<http://www.aci.net/kalliste/money2.htm>



"When the government fears the people, there is liberty. When the people fear the government, there is tyranny." -Thomas Jefferson



The Federal Reserve Is A PRIVATELY OWNED Corporation "The American Dream" Fire 'em all!

Mathematic PROOF: Federal Reserve CAUSED Great Depression Part 1: Mathematic PROOF the Federal Reserve CAUSED the Great Depression. Part 2: Congressman Louis T. McFadden's famous 1932 Congressional Address. Nature and iniquitous history of the private international banks deceitfully called The Federal Reserve System. A blueprint for irreversible multiplication of debt in proportion to commerce, until World-wide economic collapse under insoluble debt. Tens of thousands of visitors voted this page a Starting Point Hotsite award, November 7, 1998. Hopefully, mathematic proof of a singular prescription for perfected economy will ultimately serve as the impetus for World-wide establishment of mathematically perfected economy. <http://www.perfecteconomy.com/principal---federal-reserve-system.html>

Who Owns The Federal Reserve? There has been much speculation about who owns the Federal Reserve Corporation. It has been one of the great secrets of the century, because the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 provided that the names of the owner banks be kept secret. However, R. E. McMaster publisher of the newsletter The Reaper, asked his Swiss banking contacts which banks hold the controlling stock in the Federal Reserve Corporation. The Federal System is by the way a private Corporation # 62 domiciled in Puerto Rico. The answer to who owns the Fed and by proxy the entire USA: Rothschild Banks of London and Berlin, Lazard Brothers Bank of Paris ,Israel Moses Sieff Banks of Italy ,Warburg Bank of Hamburg and Amsterdam ,Lehman Brothers Bank of New York ,Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York ,Chase Manhattan Bank of New York ,Goldman Sachs Bank of New York. In The Secrets Of The Federal Reserve, Eustace Mullins indicates that, because the Federal Reserve Bank of New York sets interest rates and controls the daily supply and price of currency throughout the U.S., the owners of that bank are the real directors of the entire system. Mullins states: "The shareholders of these banks which own the stock of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York are the people who have controlled our political and economic destinies since 1914. They are the Rothschilds, Lazard Freres (Eugene Mayer), Israel Sieff, Kuhn Loeb Company, Warburg Company, Lehman Brothers, Goldman Sachs, the Rockefeller family, and the J.P. Morgan interests." http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml The Federal Zone: **Cracking the Code of Internal Revenue** The 545 People Responsible For All of America's Woes. IRS ~ Tax Info **Government has its eye on your money !**

Congressman Ron Paul Introduces Bill to Abolish the Fed Sep 10, 2002

In his speech to Congress from page E1536 of the Congressional Record Sept 10 2002: "...abolishing the Federal Reserve and returning to a constitutional system will enable America to return to the type of monetary system envisioned by our Nation's founders: one where the value of money is consistent because it is tied to a commodity such as gold". On Sep. 10, 2002, U.S. Congressman Ron Paul (R-TX) introduced HR 5336, the "Federal Reserve Board Abolition Act".

Ron Paul concludes: "In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to stand up for working Americans by putting an end to the manipulation of the money supply which erodes Americans' standard of living, enlarges big government, and enriches well-connected elites, by cosponsoring my legislation to abolish the Federal Reserve." There is one thing Rep. Ron Paul left out: the elimination of fractional reserve banking, which is how banks create money out of thin air, even if that money is backed by gold. The most inclusive measure of created money is called the "M3 money supply" and currency money is called the "M0 money supply". The difference is about 13:1. According to the Federal Reserve's releases, Aug., 2002 M0 was \$617b and Aug., 2002 M3 was \$8,259b. In other words, if everyone tried to withdraw their money at the same time, there would be a run on the banks as all the printed U.S. currency in the World covers only 8% of the World's bank deposits that are held in supposed U.S. dollars.

Recently, Sept. 5, 2003, Congressman Rep. Ron Paul of Texas: who is on the Financial Services Committee, which oversees the entire financial services industry, including the securities, insurance, banking, and housing industries, serves as the vice-chairman of the Oversight and Investigations subcommittee that oversees the work of the Federal Reserve, the Treasury, the SEC, and other financial services regulators said before the U.S. House of Representatives on Sept. 5th: "We own the printing press and create as many dollars as we please. These dollars are used to buy federal debt. This allows our debt to be monetized and the spendthrift Congress, of course, finds this a delightful convenience and never complains. As the dollars circulate through our fractional reserve banking system, they expand many times over. With our excess dollars at home, our trading partners are only too happy to accept these dollars in order to sell us their products. Because our dollar is relatively strong compared to other currencies, we can buy foreign products at discounted prices. In other words, we get to create the World's reserve currency at no cost, spend it overseas, and receive manufactured goods in return.... All great republics throughout history cherished sound money. This meant that the monetary unit was a commodity of honest weight and purity. When money was sound, civilizations were found to be more prosperous and freedom thrived. The less free a society becomes, the greater the likelihood its money is being debased and the economic well-being of its citizens diminished... Yet here we are today with a purely fiat monetary system, managed almost exclusively by Alan Greenspan. The Founders were well aware of the biblical admonitions against dishonest weights and measures, debased silver, and watered-down wine. The issue of sound money throughout history has been as much a moral issue as an economic or political issue."

To reiterate, there are three injustices in the U.S. banking system: The private Federal Reserve issue of money, The Fractional reserve private banking system, and inflation. It's possible to have different combinations of these. For example, between 1913 and 1933, the U.S. had both a Federal Reserve and a true gold standard. And between 1933 and 1971, the U.S. made gold ownership illegal to U.S. citizens, but still honored the \$35/oz exchange rate for those outside the U.S. Gold became legal again in 1975, since it was completely decoupled from the dollar in 1971. And before 1913, the U.S. had no Federal Reserve but did have fractional reserve even though it was on a gold standard. To find examples of monetary systems with no fractional reserve, one would have to either go back to the 17th century or look at tribal bartering systems that are outside the reach of the U.S. IRS. There are many problems with the Federal Reserve, but they are worse than some conspiracy theories expound. Yes, the Federal Reserve is a private corporation owned by banks. Yes, the Federal Reserve gets currency for free (just for the cost of printing) from the U.S. Treasury Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP). Here's how it works. When the U.S. Treasury needs to borrow money, it has its own Bureau of Engraving print the money, and sells it for the cost of printing to the Federal Reserve, a private corporation with the chairman of the board appointed by the U.S. president who of course acts in the interests of the owners of the Fed if he wishes not to be assassinated or removed like the 7 others (Presidents Harrison, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy, and FDR's poisoning). The Federal Reserve then buys U.S. bonds from the Treasury. However, despite this obscene arrangement, the Federal Reserve "donates" (by tradition, not by law) its profits back to the Treasury after spending extravagantly on its owners. Still, that comes out to an absolute minimum \$300 million annual scam but is most likely much higher. Section 7 of the Federal Reserve Act, passed December 23, 1913, states that much of the profit of the FED should flow into the U.S. Treasury. In 1959, new legislation allowed the FED to transfer bonds to commercial banks at no cost to the bank. Now the FED receives less interest income and less profit for the U.S. Treasury because the money is diverted to other banks through an accounting entry. And what's worse is that the debt of \$7 Trillion Federal and another \$7 Trillion at the State levels and a total of about \$50 Trillion total that is owed by the World to the Fed can be foreclosed on if the debtors are unable to pay the interest. This is what happened when the bankers caused depressions by restricting credit in the past and people lost their properties, businesses and other assets to the banksters for a few cents on the dollar. The BankLords manipulate news and credit to gradually steal the wealth of the World.

Thomas Jefferson: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance... If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks will deprive the people

of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered. . .The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the government to whom it properly belongs."

There are hundreds of quotes on the subject from the Founding Fathers and others who understood this cabal. Between fractional reserve and federal government borrowing, money is constantly being created, and this is what causes inflation. Higher inflation leads to lower real wages, because workers are tricked into accepting lower pay. The constant government debt and creation of money is intentional to realize a Keynesian economy, where the whole goal is to get money to circulate around as much and as fast as possible. This just leads to mass consumption of natural resources, leading to the imperialism of other countries such as Iraq in order to consume their natural resources. An honest monetary system, in contrast, would encourage frugality. Individuals, business, and the government would all have to practice fiscal discipline, as money could no longer be created (especially for the government). Since the government would be spending within its means, it wouldn't be priming the Keynesian pump; in fact, the economy wouldn't be Keynesian at all anymore. Finally, frugality would be encouraged -- in this way to a fault -- because the amount of usable gold in the World rises slowly in comparison to the World population, so salaries and prices would trend downward as production capacity (from population growth) and productivity (from, e.g., technology) increase. But this deflationary fault is at least honest and understandable, unlike the inflation built into the Keynesian system.

Congressman Paul August 30th, 2003: "He asked if there was an international conspiracy to overthrow our government. The answer is "Yes". I think there are 25,000 individuals that have used offices of powers, and they are in our Universities and they are in our Congresses, and they believe in One World Government. And if you believe in One World Government, then you are talking about undermining National Sovereignty and you are talking about setting up something that you could well call a Dictatorship - and those plans are there!..."

The Taxpayer Bailout of Central and Local Banks Continues

William Krehm explained that the Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin knew exactly what had brought on the financial bust of the late 1980s, and how the banks had been bailed out by enabling them to quadruple their holdings of federal government bonds by being able to acquire them without putting up any of their own money. The statutory reserves - were some 8% to 10% of the deposits the banks received in their chequing accounts that had to be redeposited on an interest-free basis with the Bank of Canada, but such reserves had been abolished in a bill sneaked through parliament in 1991 without debate or press release. When critics dug up the facts of that bailout, the banks cried indignantly: "It was an unjust tax on the banks!" But it was no tax at all. From a "lender of the last resort", the government had simply moved into the position of "the donor of the first resort". Throughout the 1980s it had bailed out bankrupt banks. And since the government of Canada is the sole shareholder of the Bank of Canada when it switched its borrowing from its own bank to the distressed banks, they lent back to the government some of the money it had bestowed on them as a gift. That was the secret of secrets, the dead rat beneath our floor boards that poisoned the very air politicians breathe. Yet Canadians who pay, ultimately pay the shot in the GST every time they go into a store. They were the ones who really bailed out our banks. The details have been withheld from the public, but the total picture is revealed to them whenever they do a bit of shopping or drive over the potholes in our roads, or suffer from the crumbling of our infrastructure.

Even more scandalous, after being bailed out so sumptuously, the banks were further deregulated and allowed to take over stock market brokerages in Canada and abroad, and underwriting and merchant banking establishments, derivative boutiques - such a jumble of businesses incompatible with banking that the mighty banks could not begin to keep track of the clashing relationships that resulted. Thus as part of the World-wide bail-out of banks in trouble, the Bank for International Settlements, had declared the debt of developed countries to be risk-free, hence requiring no further capital for banks to acquire. But at the same time governments throughout the World had put an end to the statutory reserves, that had served as an alternative to higher interest rates to lick perceived "inflation". But if you raise interest rates, the huge bond hoard with lower coupons held by the banks fall below market value. And the banks thus lose much of their remaining capital from their very rescue package. In short the right hand of Mr. Martin and his colleagues in charge of our money supply in Canada and abroad, lost track of what their left hands was up to. That led to a major financial crisis in Mexico that would have brought down the entire financial system had the Clinton government in Washington not at the last moment patched together a \$50 billion plus standby program. And meanwhile Mr. Martin as Finance Minister during the Chretien government was beating his own drum as a financial expert who merited ten years in power as PM.

As further proof of his "fiscal responsibility", he stashed away government revenue to "hide against a rainy day". It was in fact the part cost of keeping the sun shining on our banks' excursions into the US financial wild west. Not only were these incompatible with their banking activities, but not particularly successful. They have already cost them a small fortune. But that was not enough, Mr. Martin got himself into an awful row behind closed doors with the Auditor-General of that day, Denis Desautels, on the government's practice of ignoring double entry bookkeeping. When it built a bridge, a school, or a penitentiary, it wrote off the spending in a single year while keeping the debt incurred on its books as a liability. After weeks of wrangling a compromise was reached in which this accrual accountancy (also known as 'capital budgeting') would be introduced with respect only to the aboriginal peoples' accounts and the environment. That resulted in the discovery of an unrecognized surplus that he wore like a Purple Heart Cross. Yet under the terms of his settlement with the Auditor-General, the final balance sheets of the government would be subject to approval by the Auditor-General. Until that approval is forthcoming, Mr. Martin is as much in the dark about the government's balance-sheets as the general public. Accordingly it falls to the electorate to decide whether he really has been a prudent administrator, or has just bullied his auditor.. In the US intimidating or bribing a company's auditor has earned high executives jail sentences.

Could it be that in Canada we reward them with 10-year runs as head of state? That was the grand illusion of Mr. Martin's career. The time has come to prove him wrong.

But surely, all these things are far too complicated for the ordinary elector to understand. Hence how did the public pierce the mystery and grasp the essential fact that Mr. Martin has been up to no great good. For that is the view that has taken over. Thus Roy MacGregor (The Globe & Mail 7/06/04) sums up the situation.: " With voters putting the boots to Martin so early, it means the public attention span has ample time to wander over to those who might take his place. Two weeks ago the election was Paul Martin's to lose, and it appears he lost it almost instantly; today, it is Stephen Harper's to lose." **This adds up to a crisis of our democratic system.** The need for proportional representation, so that minority groups at present unrepresented in parliament will be able to demand vital information that is at present denied the major parties, or who simply fail to fight for it. Being a major party involves a massive dependence on major finances for TV ads, the attaches major parties to our banks with nose-rings. How then did Mr. Martin's secret get through to them despite its complexity that left Mr. Martin himself confused? he answer is simple. There has been a massive redistribution of the national income, and with the increasing break-down of or our infrastructure and the ongoing voracity of our banks, it continues, day and night. Heather Scoffield (The Tories' \$90-billion question" Globe and Mail 7/06) sums it up : "Both Tim O'Neill, chief economist of the Bank of Montreal and Dale Orr, managing director at Global Insight (Canada) recognize a scenario such as Conservatives as a viable option, as long as spending is frozen. On the other hand, most economists agree that keeping spending in check will involve cuts to some programs." That is the great secret that Mr. Martin could only add to, but not hide.

The last bailout of our banks was no one-shot affair, but an ongoing entitlement. When two of our major banks have had fines imposed on them by the regulatory authorities in the US \$80 million - quite apart from likely class actions for which they are setting aside reserves - that comes out of the hides of Canadian taxpayers or consumers. Vital information about Mr. Martin's fiscal prudence comes to voters whenever they go into a store and pay the GST that Mr. Martin as Liberal Finance Minister was supposed to do away with. You can fool the public three times with tales of self-aggrandizement, but the fourth time is a toughie these days. **[Remember, most of our taxes and expenditures go to pay the interest (homage, tithe, whatever you want to call it) to the ruling banking dynasties, and in addition, inflation also serves to reduce the value of money and is an additional hidden tax, i.e. we are their slaves!]**

The Next BankLords' Ruse is to Get Rid of Cash

The next stage of development for international finance is to get rid of cash altogether. Then the token accountability of the Bankers will disappear along with the cash. Their intention is that everyone will have to use credit/debit cards for every type of commercial transaction. Electronic technology, when used this way, and when it is not merely widespread but compulsory, will give them complete control of every man, woman and child in the World. If you cannot buy or sell - food, petrol, clothes - without a card you are completely at their mercy. If you lose the card or it doesn't work for some reason you will suffer until issued with a replacement. If you make a protest against some particular injustice they could invalidate your card. The next time you go to the supermarket your card may not work. You won't officially exist! Who benefits from such a scheme? The politicians or the Bankers? To ask the question is to answer it. The Bank of England is the real, but hidden, government of the country. The Government and the politicians are merely puppets controlled by the Bank - or, more accurately, the international banking families. None of our cowardly politicians dare stand up against the hidden and unelected rulers of the World, so powerful have they become. Two American presidents, possibly three, were assassinated for attempting to do so. It is far easier for them to submit to the system and enjoy a rich life than expose the real tyrants of the World: tyrants who cause high taxes, unemployment, war, famine and misery for the rest of us. But these despots of the New World Order forget that Truth is more powerful than they could ever become. And Truth brings Justice!

This article first appeared in issue 5 of `The Anvil,' published by The Third Position, BCM ITP, London, WC1N 3XX. <http://www.heretical.com/miscella/usury.html> Historic Documents of the U.S. Patrick Henry's Great "Give Me Liberty Or Give Me Death" speech The Bank of Ireland is also a clone of the Bank of England. Worldhistory.com Henry Stuart, Prince of Wales King Charles I. King Charles II King James II Mary Queen of Scots; Andréadés, A., History of the Bank of England, 1640 to1903, by Augustus M. Kelley Publishers, New York, 1966. Cobbett, William, M.P., Paper Against Gold, or, The History and Mystery of the Bank of England, of the Debts, of the Stocks, of the Sinking Fund, and of all the other tricks and contrivances, carried on by the means of paper money, John Doyle, 12 Liberty St., New York City, 1834. (Originally published in London in 1828 as a series of letters by William Cobbett while serving a prison sentence in Newgate Prison). Blaxton, John, English Usurer, or Usury Condemned, London, 1634. Clapham, Sir John, The Bank of England: A History, in 2 volumes, The Macmillan Company, New York 1945. Francis, Joseph Hume, History of the Bank of England, Euclid Publishing Co., Chicago, Illinois, 1888. Giuseppe, John, The Bank of England: A History from its Foundation in 1694, Evans Brothers Limited, London, 1966. Green, Edwin, Banking An Illustrated History, Rizzoli Pub., New York, 1989. Vieira, Edwin Jr., Pieces of Eight, The Monetary Powers and Disabilities of the U.S. Constitution, Old Greenwich, Conn., 1983.

The Connection Between Money, Israel & The Oil Collectivists

Wendy Campbell http://www.marwenmedia.com/articles_images/SecretRelationship.html Oct. 5, 2004, explains that generally, she avoids mainstream media (MSM) these days since it is mostly pro-Zionism propaganda as well as blown-up

sensationalist stories, such as the case of Scott Peterson, which are meant to distract people from the more important issues of our time, such as the US foreign policy, for example. The article in the Wall Street Journal, dated September 21, 2004, that rankled her into finally writing this article, which has been brewing in her mind for some time, was one by Jeffrey Ball entitled "As Prices Soar, Doomsayers Provoke Debate on Oil's Future". The sub-title was: "In a 1970's echo, Dr. Campbell (no relation to me!) Warns Supply Is Drying Up, but Industry Isn't Worried". Note that the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily.

US MEDIA DETERMINES WHO WILL BE INFLUENTIAL: Is it a coincidence that Dr. Campbell is "suddenly influential"? No, it is not. Even the Wall Street Journal is playing into the game of making Dr. Campbell "suddenly influential" with this article. Why is the US media pushing Dr. Campbell, a man who lives in a tiny Irish village, into the limelight? Because the media, which is run by pro-Israel forces, want people like Dr. Campbell to be in the limelight is why. And you may ask, why is that? Well, because Dr. Campbell's views help support the pro-Israel agenda of that other "suddenly influential band of contrarians", known as the NeoConservatives. The NeoConservatives are mostly Zionist Jews, headed by Paul Wolfowitz, who qualify as Israeli-Americans, and who are now openly directing US foreign policy almost completely. Christian Zionists such as Bush and Cheney have jumped on their bandwagon. People whose voices the US media wants you to hear will be heard. Conversely, people whose voices and actions the US media want to hide, will go into the memory hole. It's only an illusion that we have a free and democratic press here in the US. Personally, I think it's about time to press for the right to vote for affirmative action laws with regards to specifically the US mass media and our US foreign policy department, both of which have a hugely disproportional percentage of Israeli-Americans in them. The over-representation of Israeli-Americans in US newsrooms tends to undermine journalistic integrity. When the news media consistently manipulates public sensibilities with a bias favoring a foreign country such as Israel [and the associated disintegration of Palestine], American democratic values and institutions are compromised, as well as Americans' ability to objectively and independently access the situation. America needs more non-Zionists (ie. people ineligible for Israeli citizenship) in high news and government positions to safeguard our own national interests against foreign interests. Whoever shapes public opinion has an unfair advantage politically. It serves as the government's propaganda mouthpiece, but only when the government does as the media wants. Conversely, it has to power to bring politicians down who are not pro-Israel enough, often simply by ignoring them or by pulling out something unsavory from his or her dossier to suddenly put into the limelight. It works kind of like blackmail, actually. The news establishment is termed by some to be "The Fourth Estate", meaning the fourth branch of American government, after the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches. This mighty, and in many ways, secretive, consolidated collection of media networks manages the American mind, shaping public opinion. American public opinion is the World's second most powerful super-power, but it's too bad that it is managed by the narrow interests of the elite controllers of the "free" and "democratic" media. Thank God for the internet, although "they" are frantically trying to control this last bastion of liberty as well.

LET'S DEBUNK SOME COMMON MYTHS ABOUT ISRAEL, OIL and U.S. SECURITY/INTERESTS: First of all, I'm sure many of you are aware of the notion put out there by political pundits (who are most likely pro-Israel) that the US somehow needs Israel in the Middle East to be its stationary "aircraft carrier" to act as the "tough cop" looking out for American interests in that region, specifically with regards to oil. Let me ask you these few revealing questions. When has Israel EVER sent any troops in to lend us a hand in ANY war the US has waged in the Middle East? Pretty amazing, especially when you consider that any wars the US has waged on the Middle East has been at the prodding of Israel and Israeli-Americans [Zionists], particularly the NeoConservatives. Have you ever noticed that gas is actually cheaper than bottled water? Have you noticed or read the reports that the price of gas has not risen in keeping with inflation? Adjusted for inflation, gasoline today would have to sell for around \$3.50 a gallon to the match prices Americans paid in 1981. And this does not factor in the additional savings in consumption we enjoy since today's cars get nearly twice the gas mileage of cars produced only 25 years ago. Do you realize that the Arab World needs to sell its oil even more than we need to buy it from them? Did you know the US gets oil from many other countries including Mexico, Venezuela, Canada, etc. besides a few Middle Eastern countries? Actually, both Russia and Canada supply the US with quantities of oil comparable to Saudi Arabia. And what about the fact that there are more and more discoveries of new oil resources throughout the World? And that many geologists say that oil is actually a renewable resource? Even this Wall Street Journal article that sparked my writing this article gave many examples of how geologists scoff at Dr. Campbell's prediction of an "oil crisis" looming ahead.

Even in Michael Moore's Arab-bashing, misleading, "daring" documentary "Fahrenheit 9/11", he made it clear that the Saudis are heavily invested in some sectors of American business, and that the Saudis are and always have been very cooperative with the US government, with the exception of the "oil crisis" of 1973, which I will discuss later in this article. It is well-known that the Saudis see the American government support of Israel's brutal persecution of the Palestinian people. By the way, did you notice how Michael Moore didn't even mention the word "Israel"? Or "Zionism"? Or even "NeoConservatism" in his documentary? Not surprising. His agent is top Hollywood Jewish Zionist Ari Emmanuel whose brother is Rahm Emmanuel, who served in the Carter administration, and is currently a hyper pro-Israel senator in Illinois. Another interesting note about Moore's documentary: he even pointed out how none of the Saudis could reap any financial benefits from the war on Iraq, unlike American companies such as Halliburton. So his whole Arab-bashing approach basically backfires. It shows how cowardly Moore is in the face of Zionist Hollywood, not to mention how greedy he obviously is to go for the big bucks, which toeing the Zionist line assures anyone in the Worlds of US media and politics. It's ever so convenient and "somehow" politically "acceptable" to scapegoat Arabs and Muslims in Zionist Hollywood and US media. Consider this as well: does it make any sense whatsoever to spend over \$200 BILLION [and more over time] on the war on Iraq to get control of Iraqi oil for US interests? Especially when we could easily buy it, if we

needed to? Not to mention the war's cost in human lives and alienating much of the World in the process? The "War for Oil (for US interests)" fable is a completely ridiculous and outrageous lie!

SO WHAT IS REALLY THE PRO-ISRAEL AGENDA WITH REGARDS TO OIL? What is the connection between Israel and Arab oil, and where does the US fit into this picture? First of all, the ethno-centric Zionist state of Israel is a small, resource-poor country, with no natural oil resources, and is almost completely dependent on US support in the form of not only massive financial support (billions of US tax dollars yearly as well as donations from US Zionist controlled corporations) but US military and political cover as well. Israel, quite simply, would not survive as the apartheid, imperialistic, war-mongering Zionist state that it is, without the massive support of the US government. Israel is surrounded by well-deserved, self-made enemies thanks to the initial injustice of the UN unilaterally giving away Arab land that was not theirs to give away in the first place to Eastern European Zionist Jews who have been committing ethnic cleansing and persecution of the indigenous non-Jewish Palestinians ever since 1948. Since that time, Israel has continued to aggressively steal even more Arab land and has blatant ambitions to control the entire Middle East, using the power and might of the United States. If more Americans were truly aware of how racist and imperialistic Israel is, they would most likely demand that our government stop supporting Israel at all until it is transformed into a true democracy for all regardless of religion, race or gender, as the World pressured South Africa to transform from an apartheid country to a true democracy ten years ago. If more Americans knew how support of Israel increases anti-American sentiment Worldwide and ensures endless unjust wars, they might very well question their government's support of Israel. There are many reasons for Americans to question their government's support of Israel including first and foremost for real financial and security concerns as well as our country's hard-won reputation as a democracy for all, regardless of religion, race or gender. The fact is that the pro-Israel, Zionist-dominated US media very rarely even mentions the topic of Israel outside of incidents that involve suicide bombers, which then make the screaming front page headlines. The footage of the aftermath of such an attack is played over and over again, back to back on ZNN (oops! I meant to write CNN!) and Fox News and all the other US media. These isolated attacks by suicide bombers are thus over-reported while the context in which these desperate acts occur is usually completely ignored and not reported. The on-going brutal persecution of the non-Zionist Palestinian people, including the killing of innocent civilians and children, since 1948 by the Israeli army goes almost totally unreported and is generally veiled from view by the American public.

MOST AMERICANS DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT ZIONISM IS, US MEDIA LIKES TO KEEP IT THAT WAY: Most Americans don't even know what Zionism is. In a nutshell, it is a racist, nationalistic, political ideology conceived by Theodor Hertzl, an Austrian Jew in the 1890s, that maintains that there must be a Jewish state in Palestine, although that means and always has meant policies of ethnic-cleansing, apartheid and general persecution of the indigenous non-Jews of that land, the Arab Muslim and Christian Palestinians. [Note that the real Jews, i.e. the real Israelites, the True-Torah Jews condemn Zionism <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com>]. In a nutshell, advocates of this racist Zionist ideology are driving our US foreign policy. What ever happened to separation of synagogue/ church and state? Isn't it time to openly discuss this? Why are double standards allowed for Israel and for Zionists? However the US media does not want Americans to even think about these things, never mind discuss them! Certainly, the pro-Israel media does not want Americans to question our government's support of Zionist Israel. That is why there is never any mention in mainstream US media of the Israeli connection to 9-11 and other bombings around the World to the war on Iraq, to the so-called "war on terror", and to the subject of Israel's quest for oil. This intentional covering up by the Zionized US media of the Israeli connection to anything that impacts the US negatively has been going on for decades.

WHAT THE US MEDIA STILL HIDES ABOUT THE 1973 "OIL CRISIS"

And nothing proves to me that the US media has been covering for Israel and still covers for Israel (refusing to show the negative effect for Americans of the US government's "special relationship" with Israel) more than this article about the "oil crisis". Here is a specific case in point: Does anyone remember that time during 1973 when there was such an "oil crisis" that there were really long lines at all the gas stations and when gas was actually rationed out at the gas stations so that you could only get gas every other day, depending on whether or not your license plate ended in an even or an odd number? I do remember that time vividly. I didn't know why it was happening at the time, but I know exactly why now. And it's not because I got "enlightened" by the pro-Israel US media. Here is how this Sept. 21, 2004 Wall Street Journal article by Jeffrey Ball (who obviously passed the required litmus test of being pro-Israel enough to work in the US media) presented the "oil crisis" of 1973, in such a manner that still puts a veil of the Israeli connection to that event even today. Here is a direct quote: "Then in 1973, the Arab members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) tightened their spigots, and the World panicked. The result: high prices, long lines and frequent shortages at gas stations across the U.S. and Europe." There was exactly no mention of the context of why OPEC "tightened their spigots" in 1973. No mention at all.

Are you ready for the Israeli connection to this crisis that is almost uniformly covered up by the pro-Israel US media? Here it is: In 1973, Egypt went to war against Israel in order to win back the Sinai Peninsula, which Israel had stolen six years earlier in Israel's infamous pre-emptive "Six Day War" in 1967 against her neighboring countries. In that pre-emptive 1967 war, Israel not only stole the Sinai Peninsula from Egypt, but also part of southern Lebanon, which Israel has since relinquished, and the Golan Heights from Syria, which is still being occupied to this day by Israeli forces, as well as The Palestinian Territories: the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, now generally referred to as The Occupied Territories. Pro-Israel forces generally prefer to refer to the Occupied Territories as the "disputed territories". Egypt appeared to be winning its retaliatory war against Israel in 1973, so Golda Meir, the American-Israeli Prime Minister of Israel at the time, worked with American-Israeli Henry Kissinger to pressure the US government to come to Israel's rescue, which it did.

Therefore in a show of solidarity with Egypt, the Arab countries of OPEC "tightened their spigots" of gas and oil for export to the U.S. There you have it: the real reason for the "oil crisis" of 1973. But the pro-Israel US media does not want Americans to realize that support for Israel has many negative effects on the lives of Americans, which includes potential politically induced "oil crises", such as was obviously the case in 1973. Pro-Israel forces in this country and around the World want to minimize the power of Arab countries which is mostly due to their oil resources. Therefore the pro-Israel forces are relying on the US government to directly control these resources primarily for Israel via wars, campaign contributions and a manipulative media. Pro-Israel people detest going to the gas station, because every time they pump gas into their cars, they angrily believe they are helping Arab Muslims ("terrorists"), whose land and resources Zionists view somehow as rightfully theirs. But of course, they don't want the non-Zionist or unaware-of-it-all Americans to resent their US government's support for Israel which can possibly mean paying more for gas in a future politically induced "oil crisis", as it did in 1973. Another example of the Israelization of America: have you been to the airports lately? The kinds of procedures at the US airports that you have been experiencing lately have always been the "norm" in Israel. This is a prime example of how Israel's "war to conquer Palestine" has SOMEHOW become America's "war on terror" and how it directly impacts the lives of Americans. The "war on terror" is NOT about democracy. It's an imperialistic war which will have no end if the Zionists (anyone who is pro-Israel) have their way, because it is unjust and breaks International Laws. There is another aspect of the Israeli connection to the war on Iraq, which many people wish to believe is all about oil for the US, rather than the Zionist ideology that is actually the driving force behind the push for a war on the entire Middle East, which began with Afghanistan, and moved onward to Iraq, and threatens to expand to Iran, Saudi Arabia, and even Syria, which is not an oil exporting country (which just goes to show once again: it's not just about the oil!)

PRO-ISRAEL FORCES WANT TO REDIRECT A PIPELINE GOING FROM IRAQ TO SYRIA TO GO TO HAIFA, ISRAEL: The fact that American-Israelis in our government are endeavoring to use the war on Iraq as a way for Israel to gain control over oil in the Middle East is rarely reported in the Zionized mainstream US mass media, however it has been reported in Jewish newspapers with Jewish readership as well as in Israeli papers. A case in point is the fact that American-Israelis in our government want to "re-open" or re-instate, the pipeline that used to exist between Iraq and Palestine, which is now specifically Haifa, Israel. When Israel was created in 1948, that pipeline was re-directed by Iraq to Syria. Now pro-Israel forces are actively seeking to cut off the pipeline to Syria and re-direct it to Haifa, Israel. For more information on this, please do a Google-search using such keywords such as "Iraq oil pipeline to Haifa Israel" and see what you come up with, or check out this link here <http://www.guardian.co.uk/Iraq/Story/0,2763,940250,00.html> . Just as Israel's connection to the war on Iraq has been kept out of the US mainstream mass media (as you may have noticed, Israel has not even been mentioned as one of our "allies" in the war on Iraq!), this choice nugget of information with regards to Israel's ambition to get a basically free supply of Iraqi oil is also kept out of view for the vast American public consumption. I could go on about all this (and, yes, there is much more) but I will stop here. You get the picture, I hope [from the above]. You won't get it from the Zionized US mainstream media.

THE REAL CROWN IS NOT THE QUEEN BUT THE BANKING DYNASTIES

Mark Owen (markowen10@hotmail.com) explains. There are two Crowns operant in England, one being Queen Elizabeth II. Although extremely wealthy, the Queen functions largely in a ceremonial capacity and serves to deflect attention away from the other Crown, who issues her marching orders through their control of the English Parliament. This other Crown is comprised of a committee of 12 banks headed by the Bank of England (House of Rothschild). They rule the World from the 677-acre, independent sovereign state know as The City of London, or simply 'The City.' The City is not a part of England, just as Washington is not a part of the USA. The City is referred to as the wealthiest square mile on earth and is presided over by a Lord Mayor who is appointed annually. When the Queen wishes to conduct business within the City, she is met by the Lord Mayor at Temple (Templar) Bar where she requests permission to enter this private, sovereign state. She then proceeds into the City walking several paces behind the Mayor. Her entourage may not be clothed in anything other than service uniforms. In the nineteenth century, 90% of the World's trade was carried by British ships controlled by the Crown. The other 10% of ships had to pay commissions to the Crown simply for the privilege of using the World's oceans. The Crown reaped billions in profits while operating under the protection of the British armed forces. This was not British commerce or British wealth, but the Crown's commerce and the Crown's wealth. As of 1850, author Frederick Morton estimated the Rothschild fortune to be in excess of \$10 billion [today, the combined wealth of the banking dynasties is \$300 trillion]. Today, the bonded indebtedness of the World is held by the Crown. The aforementioned Temple Bar is the juristic arm of the Crown and holds an exclusive monopoly on global legal fraud through their Bar Association franchises. The Temple Bar is comprised of four Inns of Court. They are; the Middle Temple, Inner Temple, Lincoln's Inn and Gray's Inn. The entry point to these closed secret societies is only to be found when one is called to their Bar. The Bar attorneys in the United States owe their allegiance and pledge their oaths to the Crown. All Bar Associations throughout the World are signatories and franchises to the International Bar Association located at the Inns of Court of the Crown Temple. The Inner Temple holds the legal system franchise by license that bleeds Canada and Great Britain white, while the Middle Temple has license to steal from America. To have the Declaration of Independence recognized internationally, Middle Templar King George III agreed in the Treaty of Paris of 1783 to establish the legal Crown entity of the incorporated United States, referred to internally as the Crown Temple States (Colonies). States spelled with a capital letter 'S,' denotes a legal entity of the Crown.

At least five Templar Bar Attorneys under solemn oath to the Crown, signed the American Declaration of Independence. This means that both parties were agents of the Crown. There is no lawful effect when a party signs as both the first and second parties. The Declaration was simply an internal memo circulating among private members of the Crown. Most Americans believe that they own their own land, but they have merely purchased real estate by contract. Upon fulfillment

of the contract, control of the land is transferred by Warranty Deed. The Warranty Deed is only a 'color of title.' Color of Title is a semblance or appearance of title, but not title in fact or in law. The Warranty Deed cannot stand against the Land Patent. The Crown was granted Land Patents in North America by the King of England. Colonials rebelled at the usurious Crown taxes, and thus the Declaration of Independence was created to pacify the populace. Another ruse used to hoodwink natural persons is by enfranchisement. Those cards in your wallet bearing your name spelled in all capital letters means that you have been enfranchised and have the status of a corporation. A 'juristic personality' has been created, and you have entered into multi-variant agreements that place you in an equity relationship with the Crown. These invisible contracts include birth certificates, citizenship records, employment agreements, driver's licenses and bank accounts. It is perhaps helpful to note here that contracts do not now, nor have they ever had to be stated in writing in order to be enforceable by American judges. If it is written down, it is merely a written statement of the contract. Tax protestors and (the coming) draft resisters trying to renounce the parts of these contracts that they now disagree with will not profit by resorting to tort law (fairness) arguments as justification. Judges will reject these lines of defense as they have no bearing on contract law jurisprudence. Tort law governs grievances where no contract law is in effect.

These private agreements/contracts that bind us will always overrule the broad general clauses of the Constitution and Bill of Rights (the Constitution being essentially a renamed enactment of English common law). The Bill of Rights is viewed by the Crown as a 'bill of benefits,' conferred on us by them in anticipation of reciprocity (taxes). Protestors and resisters will also lose their cases by boasting of citizenship status. Citizenship is another equity agreement that we have with the Crown. And this is the very juristic contract that Federal judges will use to incarcerate them. In the words of former Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter, "Equity is brutal, but we are merely enforcing agreements." The balance of Title 42, section 1981 of the Civil Rights Code states, ".citizens shall be subject to like punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and exactions of every kind". What we view as citizenship, the Crown views as a juristic enrichment instrumentality. It also should be borne in mind that even cursory circulation or commercial use of Federal Reserve Notes effects an attachment of liability for the payment of the Crown's debt to the FED. This is measured by your taxable income. And to facilitate future asset-stripping, the end of the 14th amendment includes a state of debt hypothecation of the United States, wherein all enfranchised persons (that's you) can be held personally liable for the Crown's debt. The Crown views our participation in these contracts of commercial equity as being voluntary and that any gain accrued is taxable, as the gain wouldn't have been possible were it not for the Crown. They view the system of interstate banks as their own property. Any profit or gain experienced by anyone with a bank account (or loan, mortgage or credit card) carries with it - as an operation of law - the identical same full force and effect as if the Crown had created the gain. Bank accounts fall outside the umbrella of Fourth Amendment protection because a commercial contract is in effect and the Bill of Rights cannot be held to interfere with the execution of commercial contracts. The Crown also views bank account records as their own private property, pursuant to the bank contract that each of us signed and that none of us ever read.

The rare individual who actually reads the bank contract will find that they agreed to be bound by Title 26 and under section 7202 agreed not to disseminate any fraudulent tax advice. This written contract with the Crown also acknowledges that bank notes are taxable instruments of commerce. When we initially opened a bank account, another juristic personality was created. It is this personality (income and assets) that IRS agents are excising back to the Crown through taxation. A lot of ink is being spilled currently over Social Security. Possession of a Social Security Number is known in the Crown's lex as 'conclusive evidence' of our having accepted federal commercial benefits. This is another example of an equity relationship with the Crown. Presenting one's Social Security Number to an employer seals our status as taxpayers, and gives rise to liability for a reciprocal quid pro quo payment of taxes to the Crown. Through the Social Security Number we are accepting future retirement endowment benefits. Social Security is a strange animal. If you die, your spouse gets nothing, but rather, what would have gone to you is divided (forfeited) among other premium payers who haven't died yet. But the Crown views failure to reciprocate in any of these equity attachments as an act of defilement and will proceed against us with all due prejudice. For a person to escape the tentacles of the Crown octopus, a thorough going study of American jurisprudence is required. One would have to be deemed a 'stranger to the public trust,' forfeit all enfranchisement benefits and close all bank accounts, among other things. Citizenship would have to be made null and forfeit and the status of 'denizen' enacted. If there are any persons extant who have passed through this fire, I would certainly appreciate hearing from them.



How the Trillionaires Hide Their Wealth

Russian Researcher Koryagina: Shadow financial activities of \$300 trillion are hanging over the planet. At any moment, they could fall on any stock exchange and cause panic and crash. The recent crisis in Southeast Asia, which touched Russia, was a rehearsal. So here is sufficient indication: (U.S) \$300 trillion of wealth is secretly controlled by an unspecified cabal of the World's richest families. The power of the Rothschild family was evidenced on 24 September 2002 when a helicopter touched down on the lawn of Waddesdon Manor, their ancestral home in Buckinghamshire, England. Out of the helicopter strode Warren Buffet, - touted as the second richest man in the World but really a lower ranking player- and Arnold Schwarzenegger (the gopinator), at that time a candidate for the Governorship of California. Also in attendance at this two day meeting of the World's most powerful businessmen and financiers hosted by Jacob Rothschild were James Wolfensohn, president of the World Bank and Nicky Oppenheimer, chairman of De Beers. Arnold went on to secure the governorship of one of the biggest economies on the planet a year later. That he should be initiated into the ruling class in the Rothschilds' English country manor suggests that the centre of gravity of the three hundred trillion dollar cartel is in the U.K. and Europe not the U.S.

(Photo shows Arnold Schwarzenegger flanked by Warren Buffett (to his right) and Jacob Rothschild (to his left) on the lawn of Waddesdon Manor; are they planning further looting of California after the well-orchestrated Enron-Energy crisis? Read the Greg Palast interview with Alex Jones http://www.infowars.com/print/nwo/exclusive_arnold.htm)

A recent article in the **London Financial Times** indicates why it is impossible to gain an accurate estimate of the wealth of the trillionaire banking elite. Discussing the sale of Evelyn Rothschild's stake in Rothschild Continuation Holdings, it states: ...[this] requires agreement on the valuation of privately held assets whose value has never been tested in a public market. Most of these assets are held in a complex network of tax-efficient structures around the World.

Queen Elizabeth II's shareholdings remain hidden behind **Bank of England Nominee accounts**. **The Guardian newspaper reported in May 2002** ... "the reason for the wild variations in valuations of her private wealth can be pinned on the secrecy over her portfolio of share investments. This is because her subjects have no way of knowing through a public register of interests where she, as their head of state, chooses to invest her money. Unlike the members of the Commons and now the Lords, the Queen does not have to annually declare her interests and as a result her subjects cannot question her or know about potential conflicts of interests..." In fact, the Queen even has an extra mechanism to ensure that her investments remain secret - a nominee company called the Bank of England Nominees. It has been available for decades to the entire World's current heads of state to allow them anonymity when buying shares. **Therefore, when a company publishes a share register and the Bank of England Nominees is listed, it is not possible to gauge whether the Queen, President Bush or even Saddam Hussein is the true shareholder.**

By this method, the trillionaire masters of the universe remain hidden whilst Forbes magazine poses lower ranking billionaires like Bill Gates and Warren Buffett as the richest men in the World. Retired management consultant Gaylon Ross Sr, author of Who's Who of the Global Elite, has been tipped from a private source that the combined wealth of the Rockefeller family in 1998 was approximately (U.S.) \$11 trillion and the Rothschilds (U.S.) \$100 trillion. However something of an insider's knowledge of the hidden wealth of the elite is contained in the article, "Will the Dollar and America Fall Down on August 19?.." on page 1 of the 12th July 2001 issue of Russian newspaper Pravda. The newspaper interviewed Tatyana Koryagina, a senior research fellow in the Institute of Macroeconomic Researches subordinated to the Russian Ministry of Economic Development (Minekonom) on the subject of a recent conference concerning the fate of the U.S. economy:

Koryagina: The known history of civilization is merely the visible part of the iceberg. There is a shadow economy, shadow politics and also a shadow history, known to conspiriologists. There are [unseen] forces acting in the World, unstoppable for [most powerful] countries and even continents.

Pravda: Just these forces intend to smash America on August 19?

Koryagina: There are international "super-state" and "super-government" groups. In accordance with tradition, the mystical and religious components play extremely important roles in human history. One must take into account the shadow economy, shadow politics and the religious component, while predicting the development of the present financial situation.

Ashley Mote (EU): "Mr President, I wish to draw your attention to the **Global Security Fund**, set up in the early 1990s under the auspices of **Jacob Rothschild**. This is a Brussels-based fund and it is no ordinary fund: it does not trade, it is not listed and it has a totally different purpose. It is being used for geopolitical engineering purposes, apparently under the guidance of the intelligence services." "I have previously asked about the alleged involvement of the European Union's own intelligence resources in the management of slush funds in offshore accounts, and I still await a reply. To that question I now add another: what are the European Union's connections to the **Global Security Fund** and what relationship does it have with European Union institutions?" Recently, Ashley Mote of the European Union (EU) asked this volatile question in a public EU meeting, a question never answered, as Mr. Mote, merely by asking this question, was immediately scratched from the White House Christmas card list and placed on its top ten hit list. The Illuminati's cash cow, grazing freely on the World wide pasture of greenbacks, isn't called "Elsie" but instead is called the **Global Security Fund**, a name actually meaning in the secret cult's language **Global Terrorist Fund**. In simple terms, it's a gigantic illegal trust fund, estimated by undercover overseas financial investigators at 65 trillion dollars, set-up for "Illuminati rainy days" and established when it is desperately needed in a pinch for bribery, assassinations and sponsoring World wide terrorist activities to divert attention from their banking mafia. Although the fund is cloaked in secrecy and made possible by the Western civilization's Federal Reserve banking system, investigators trying to pry into the Illuminati's secret treasure trove have uncovered some interesting facts.

Before looking at some of the startling information behind how the "Illuminati banksters" create money out of thin air, ask why the 1968 Minnesota Trial Court's decision holding the Federal Reserve Act unconstitutional and void and holding the National Banking Act unconstitutional and void was never appealed or vacated? The answer is even the legal manipulators and corrupted high court judges can't get around this decision, figuring it better to just let the case of the First National Bank of Montgomery v. Jerome Daly die in the cold Minnesota snow along with Justice Martin V. Mahoney who was found suspiciously poisoned to death six months after he issued the ruling that exposed the illegality of what has been called the Queen of England's illegal banking scam. This decision, which is still good law, has the effect of declaring all **private mortgages on real and personal property**, and all **U.S. and State bonds** held by the Federal Reserve, National and State Banks **to void**. According to legal scholars and Bill Drexler, who worked on the case with Judge Mahoney, "This amounts to an emancipation of this nation from personal, national and State debt purportedly owed to this banking system. **Every True American owes it to himself/herself, to his or her country, and to the people of the World for that matter, to study this decision very carefully and to understand it, for upon**

it hangs the question of freedom or slavery." Saying this was the most important jury decision of modern times, Drexler who was present in the Minnesota courtroom the day the decision came down, added: "The banker testified about the mortgage loan given to Jerome Daly, but then Daly cross examined the banker about the creating of money "out of thin air," and the banker admitted that this was standard banking practice. When Justice Mahoney heard the banker testify that he could "create money out of thin air," Mahoney said, "It sounds like fraud to me." I looked at the faces of the jurors, and they were all agreeing with Mahoney by shaking their heads and by the looks on their faces." "Both Jerome Daly and Justice Martin V. Mahoney are truly the greatest men that I have ever had the pleasure to meet. The Credit River Decision was and still is the most important legal decision ever decided by a Jury." Daly, a small town mortgage holder who had taken on and defeated the Federal Reserve Banking System and money lenders, had this to say about the decision the Illuminati big shots kill for, as well as making any enterprising young lawyer think twice about tackling the Federal Reserve.

The following is Daly's own written statement about Judge Mahoney's 1968 ruling: "The above Judgment was entered by the Court on December 9, 1968. The issue there was simple - Nothing in the law gave the Banks the right to create money on their books. The Bank filed a Notice of Appeal within 10 days. The Appeals statutes must be strictly followed, otherwise the District Court does not acquire Jurisdiction upon Appeal. To effect the Appeal the Bank had to deposit \$2.00 with the Clerk within 10 days for payment to the Justice when he made his return to the District Court. The Bank deposited two \$1.00 Federal Reserve Notes. "The Justice refused the Notes and refused to allow the Appeal upon the grounds that the Notes were unlawful and void for any purpose. The Decision is addressed to the legality of these Notes and the Federal Reserve System. The Cases of Edwards v. Kearney and Craig vs Missouri set out in the decision should be studied very carefully as they bear on the inviolability of Contracts. This is the Crux of the whole issue. Jerome Daly. "Justice Mahoney denied the use of Federal Reserve Notes, since they represent debt instruments, not true money, from being used to pay for the appeal process itself. In order to get this overturned, since the bank's appeal without the payment being recognized was out of time, it would have required that the Bank of Montgomery, Minnesota bring a Title 42, Section 1983 action against the judicial act of Justice Mahoney for a violation of the Constitution of the United States under color of law or authority, and if successful, have the case remanded back to him to either retry the case or allow the appeal to go through. "But the corrupt individuals behind the bank(s) were unable to ever elicit such a decision from any federal court due to the fact that because of their vile hatred for him and what he had done to them and their little Queen's Scheme, had him murdered (same as them murdering him) just about 6 months later. And so, the case stands, just as it was. "Amazingly, if they hadn't been so arrogant about the value of their federal reserve notes and paid the Justice just 2 measly silver dollars, or else 4 measly half dollars, or else 8 measly quarters, or else 20 measly dimes, or else 40 measly nickels, or else 200 measly pennies, they could have had their appeal and would not have had to get blood on their hands. "As it is, they are now known for their bloody ways, and the day will come when the American people will reap vengeance upon them for such a heinous and villainous act. Amen."

Now almost 40 years later, the Illuminati banksters are still creating trillions out of thin air, keeping the American people and the western World in bondage and what amounts to financial slavery. However, one man who may hold the key to exposing much of the illegal banking fraud and recently released from a 20 year jail term, is former Russian and CIA operative, Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta. Although unavailable for comment at the time of publication but according to another European bank fraud investigator, Marco Saba, Wanta has been released "to active duty" and is holding 25 USD trillion in foreign bank accounts and money that needs to the U.S. Treasury Department. "I am trying to help him to accomplish this operation," said Saba Wednesday from his home in Milan, Italy. In a valiant attempt to get at the root of the Illuminati's global slush fund, author Christopher Story's work shines a brilliant light on the Illuminati's shady and dark financial underWorld. However, when the mainstream press in England and America were alerted about Story's findings, management at a leading London newspaper had this to say about printing the financial expose: "We won't touch this subject with a thousand-foot barge pole!" But Saba who is investigating the shady financial trail for the Observatory of Organized Crime in Switzerland had this to say about his investigation as well as highlighting Story's findings: "In 1992, the Illuminati orchestrated the raising of a targeted \$27.5 trillion from at least 200 international institutions, in the biggest, secretive private placement financing operation in World history. "The mainstream media unfortunately failed to report this operation so the general public is ignorant of it. The aim was to provide finance for the imposition of the New World Order, a.k.a., the New UnderWorld Order, for its use throughout the 21st century. "The euphemism for this program is the "global security environment". The consequent monumental "Global Security Fund", which is managed in Brussels, and is directed on behalf of the global Illuminati controller's by financial intelligence operatives, now disposes of secret financial resources of approximately \$65 trillion for this purpose, probably far more. "Equipped with such limitless resources, the directors of the New UnderWorld Order have now amassed sufficient finance to bribe every leader, ruler, policymaker, intelligence operative and political figure Worldwide, for the rest of this century, in pursuit of their aims. The New UnderWorld Order addresses the greatest crisis the World has ever faced namely, the globalization of criminalism. What this means is that governments, and the revolutionary New World Order cabal seeking global governance (or control), are increasingly in the hands of criminal gangs and corrupt power cliques that hide behind formal government positions. "Many of the main Illuminati figures on the World stage today are compromised or vulnerable to blackmail and other "Black Ops" forms of control. During the giga-fund-raising operation, truly unbelievable sums of money were stolen, mis-routed or misappropriated. Indeed, the ransacking and pillaging that took place was so colossal, and the impasse while this was occurring so extended (in 1989-91), that the international banking and financial systems nearly collapsed. Other funding resources resulting from creative financial warfare and scamming operations are hidden in accounts held by offshore corporations controlled by international intelligence, which is extensively criminalized and thus increasingly engaged in mafia-style global gangland warfare. "A brilliant light is focused by the Author into this contemporary universe of darkness. Christopher Story's sensational new exposé provides chapter and verse on the dark forces behind the New UnderWorld Order, gives detailed financial audit trails showing where and how colossal sums have vanished, identifies the

international institutions that have had their trust abused by globalist criminals or have themselves been corrupted by them, shows how secret Financial Warfare operations and scams conducted by the great powers are driving the World to catastrophe, and generally lifts the veil covering the global financial intelligence war which the pressured mainstream media declines to monitor and report. So exposure of these matters is obviously overdue and the New UnderWorld Order performs this needed forensic function, revealing dark actors playing games."

The Illuminati's additional slush fund is estimated at \$65 Trillion. The illegal Federal Reserve is At Heart Of Problem As Minnesota Judge alleged and was Poisoned In 1969 After Ruling Against Corrupt Banksters. The New Underworld Order's bank accounts are used for bribery, murder and corruption world wide as private Illuminati-controlled bankers make money out of thin air. European investigators trying to trace the illegal funds, say the Illuminati's Global Security Fund needs to be stopped and is the difference between freedom and slavery in America. 23 Mar 2006 By Greg Szymanski <http://www.arcticbeacon.com/23-Mar-2006.html> . For more informative articles and information on the Federal Reserve banking system fraud and slavery, go to www.arcticbeacon.com <http://www.rense.com/general70/cash.htm> .

Iran's Planned Euro-Oil Bourse Could Cause Collapse of the Empire of Debt

Iran recently announced that the Bourse's opening has been delayed indefinitely.

There is a misconception in the idea that every common man can get rich without actually working by merely investing in the market by handing it over to Wall Street, and that through some magic never fully described, it comes back to him ten fold. There must be some science to it, he imagines, some wisdom that investment geniuses came up with years ago that - like penicillin or quinine - is now available to him. The truth is that the whole edifice of Wall Street is built on a hollow wish: that you can get something for nothing. From barely 100 following the crash of 1929, the Dow is now over 10,000. Who can doubt the tendency is up? Yet, adjusted for consumer price inflation, the Dow is only about 500, and most of that increase is merely cyclical. Gold was \$20/ounce in 1929 and today it is about \$550/ounce. Dollars are leaking purchasing power every day. Now, lets look at the effect on the Empire of Dollar Debt if countries start trading oil in Euros as explained by Krassimir Petrov, Ph.D. who shows that: the proposed Iranian Oil Bourse will accelerate the fall of the Dollar Empire.

A nation-state taxes its own citizens, while an empire taxes other nation-states. The history of empires, from Greek and Roman, to Ottoman and British, teaches that the economic foundation of every single empire is the taxation of other nations. The imperial ability to tax has always rested on a better and stronger economy to begin with, and then as a consequence, a better and stronger military with which to enforce its will either covertly or overtly. One part of the subject taxes went to improve the living standards of the empire; the other part went to strengthen the military dominance necessary to enforce the collection of those taxes. Meanwhile, an empire of debt was built. Historically, taxing the subject state has been in various forms-usually gold and silver, where those were considered money, but also slaves, cheap and jail labor, soldiers, crops, cattle, or other agricultural and natural resources, whatever economic goods the empire demanded and the subject-state could deliver. Historically, imperial taxation has always been direct: the subject state handed over the economic goods directly to the empire, and sometimes in exchange for paper money. The people benefiting the most from this are the BankLords and the neo-fascist feudal lords, i.e. lords of large monopolistic corporations referred to as the "Industrial-Military-Congressional Complex" by President Eisenhower in 1961.

For the first time in history, in the twentieth century, America was able to tax the World indirectly, through inflation. It did not enforce the direct payment of taxes like all of its predecessor empires did, but distributed instead its own fiat currency, the U.S. Dollar, to other nations in exchange for goods with the intended consequence of inflating and devaluing those dollars and paying back later each dollar with less economic goods-the difference capturing the U.S. imperial tax. Here is how this happened. Early in the 20th century, the U.S. economy began to dominate the World economy. The U.S. dollar was tied to gold, so that the value of the dollar neither increased, nor decreased, but remained the same amount of gold. The Great Depression, with its preceding inflation from 1921 to 1929 and its subsequent ballooning government deficits, had substantially increased the amount of currency in circulation, and thus rendered the backing of U.S. dollars by gold impossible. Roosevelt confiscated all American gold on behalf of the owners of the Federal Reserve Banks and then decoupled the dollar from gold in 1932. Up to this point, the U.S. may have well dominated the World economy, but from an economic point of view, it was not an empire. The relatively fixed value of the dollar (in 1913, it was \$20/ounce, in 1932 it was the same before confiscation and then jumped to \$35/ounce after confiscation) did not allow the Americans to extract economic benefits from other countries by supplying them with dollars convertible to gold.

Economically, the American Empire was born with Bretton Woods in 1945. The U.S. dollar was not fully convertible to gold, but was made convertible to gold only to foreign governments. This established the dollar as the reserve currency of the World. It was possible, because during WWII, the United States had supplied its allies with provisions, demanding gold as payment, thus accumulating significant portion of the World's gold. The Marshall plan was also designed to make Europe and Japan dependent on the Dollar. An Empire would not have been possible if, following the Bretton Woods arrangement, the dollar supply was kept limited and within the availability of gold, so as to fully exchange back dollars for gold. However, the guns-and-butter policy of the 1960's was an imperial one: the dollar supply was relentlessly increased to finance Vietnam and LBJ's Great Society. Most of those dollars were handed over to foreigners in exchange for economic goods, without the prospect of buying them back at the same value. The increase in dollar holdings of foreigners via persistent U.S. trade deficits was tantamount to a tax-the classical inflation tax that a country imposes on its own citizens, this time around an inflation tax that U.S. imposed on rest of the World.

When in 1970-1971 foreigners demanded payment for their dollars in gold, The U.S. Government defaulted on its payment on August 15, 1971. While the popular spin told the story of "severing the link between the dollar and gold", in reality the denial to pay back in gold was an act of bankruptcy by the U.S. Government. Essentially, the U.S. declared itself an Empire. It had extracted an enormous amount of economic goods from the rest of the World, with no intention or ability to return those goods, and the World was powerless to respond - the World was taxed and it could not do anything about it. At the same time, the US agreed to the formation of OPEC in exchange of backing the US dollar with the oil trade (i.e. exchange dollars for oil instead of gold). From that point on, to sustain the American Empire and to continue to tax the rest of the World, the United States had to force the World to continue to accept ever-depreciating dollars in exchange for economic goods and to have the World hold more and more of those depreciating dollars. It had to give the World an economic reason to hold them, and that reason was oil. In 1971, as it became clearer and clearer that the U.S. Government would not be able to buy back its dollars in gold, it made in 1972-73 an iron-clad arrangement with Saudi Arabia to support the power of the House of Saud in exchange for accepting only U.S. dollars for its oil. The rest of OPEC was to follow suit and also accept only dollars. Because the World had to buy oil from the Arab oil countries, it had the reason to hold dollars as payment for oil. Because the World needed ever increasing quantities of oil at ever increasing oil prices, the World's demand for dollars could only increase. Even though dollars could no longer be exchanged for gold, they were now exchangeable for oil.

The economic essence of this arrangement was that the dollar was now backed by oil. As long as that was the case, the World had to accumulate increasing amounts of dollars, because they needed those dollars to buy oil. As long as the dollar was the only acceptable payment for oil, its dominance in the World was assured, and the American Empire could continue to tax the rest of the World. If, for any reason, the dollar lost its oil backing, the American Empire would cease to exist. Thus, Imperial survival dictated that oil be sold only for dollars. It also dictated that oil reserves were spread around various sovereign states that weren't strong enough, politically or militarily, to demand payment for oil in something else. If someone demanded a different payment, he had to be convinced, either by political pressure or military means, to change his mind. The man that actually did demand Euro for his oil was Saddam Hussein in 2000. At first, his demand was met with ridicule, later with neglect, but as it became clearer that he meant business, political pressure was exerted to change his mind. When other countries, like Iran, wanted payment in other currencies, most notably Euro and Yen, the danger to the dollar was clear and present, and a punitive action was in order. Bush's Shock-and-Awe in Iraq was not about Saddam's nuclear capabilities, about defending human rights, about spreading democracy, or even about seizing oil fields; it was about defending the dollar, ergo the American Empire. It was about setting an example that anyone who demanded payment in currencies other than U.S. Dollars would be likewise punished.

Many have criticized Bush for staging the war in Iraq in order to seize Iraqi oil fields. However, those critics can't explain why Bush would want to seize those fields—he could simply print dollars for nothing and use them to get all the oil in the World that he needs. He must have had some other reason to invade Iraq. History teaches that an empire should go to war for one of two reasons: (1) to defend itself or (2) benefit from war; if not, as Paul Kennedy illustrates in his magisterial *The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers*, a military overstretch will drain its economic resources and precipitate its collapse. Economically speaking, in order for an empire to initiate and conduct a war, its benefits must outweigh its military and social costs. Benefits from Iraqi oil fields are hardly worth the long-term, multi-year military cost. Instead, Bush must have gone into Iraq to defend his Empire. Indeed, this is the case: two months after the United States invaded Iraq, the Oil for Food Program was terminated, the Iraqi Euro accounts were switched back to dollars, and oil was sold once again only for U.S. dollars. No longer could the World buy oil from Iraq with Euro. Global dollar supremacy was once again restored. Bush descended victoriously from a fighter jet and declared the mission accomplished—he had successfully defended the U.S. dollar, and thus the American Empire.

The Iranian government has finally developed the ultimate "nuclear" weapon that can swiftly destroy the financial system underpinning the American Empire. That weapon is the Iranian Oil Bourse that was slated to open in March 2006 but has been postponed for 3 years it seems. It will be based on a Euro-oil-trading mechanism that naturally implies payment for oil in Euro. In economic terms, this represents a much greater threat to the hegemony of the dollar than Saddam's, because it will allow anyone willing either to buy or to sell oil for Euro to transact on the exchange, thus circumventing the U.S. dollar altogether. If so, then it is likely that almost everyone will eagerly adopt this Euro oil system: The Europeans will not have to buy and hold dollars in order to secure their payment for oil, but would instead pay with their own currencies. The adoption of the euro for oil transactions will provide the European currency with a reserve status that will benefit the European at the expense of the Americans. The Chinese and the Japanese will be especially eager to adopt the new exchange, because it will allow them to drastically lower their enormous dollar reserves and diversify with Euros, thus protecting themselves against the depreciation of the dollar. One portion of their dollars they will still want to hold onto; a second portion of their dollar holdings they may decide to dump outright; a third portion of their dollars they will decide to use up for future payments without replenishing those dollar holdings, but building up instead their Euro reserves.

The Russians have inherent economic interest in adopting the Euro - the bulk of their trade is with European countries, with oil-exporting countries, with China, and with Japan . Adoption of the Euro will immediately take care of the first two blocs, and will over time facilitate trade with China and Japan. Also, the Russians seemingly detest holding depreciating dollars, for they have recently found a new religion with gold. Russians have also revived their nationalism, and if embracing the Euro will stab the Americans, they will gladly do it and smugly watch the Americans bleed. The Arab oil-exporting countries will eagerly adopt the Euro as a means of diversifying against rising mountains of depreciating dollars. Just like the Russians, their trade is mostly with European countries, and therefore will prefer the European currency both for its stability and for avoiding currency risk, not to mention their jihad against the Infidel Enemy. Only the British will find themselves between a rock and a hard place. They have had a strategic partnership with the U.S. forever, but have

also had their natural pull from Europe. So far, they have had many reasons to stick with the winner. However, when they see their century-old partner falling, will they firmly stand behind him or will they deliver the coup de grace? Still, we should not forget that currently the two leading oil exchanges are the New York's NYMEX and the London's International Petroleum Exchange (IPE), even though both of them are effectively owned by the Americans. It seems more likely that the British will have to go down with the sinking ship, for otherwise they will be shooting themselves in the foot by hurting their own London IPE interests. It is here noteworthy that for all the rhetoric about the reasons for the surviving British Pound, the British most likely did not adopt the Euro namely because the Americans must have pressured them not to: otherwise the London IPE would have had to switch to Euros, thus mortally wounding the dollar and their strategic partner.

At any rate, no matter what the British decide, should the Iranian Oil Bourse accelerate, the interests that matter-those of Europeans, Chinese, Japanese, Russians, and Arabs-will eagerly adopt the Euro, thus sealing the fate of the dollar. Americans cannot allow this to happen, and if necessary, will use a vast array of strategies to halt or hobble the operation's exchange:

- Sabotaging the Exchange-this could be a computer virus, network, communications, or server attack, various server security breaches, or a 9-11-type attack on main and backup facilities.
- Coup d'état-this is by far the best long-term strategy available to the Americans.
- Negotiating Acceptable Terms & Limitations-this is another excellent solution to the Americans. Of course, a government coup is clearly the preferred strategy, for it will ensure that the exchange does not operate at all and does not threaten American interests. However, if an attempted sabotage or coup d'etat fails, then negotiation is clearly the second-best available option.
- Joint U.N. War Resolution-this will be, no doubt, hard to secure given the interests of all other member-states of the Security Council. Feverish rhetoric about Iranians developing nuclear weapons undoubtedly serves to prepare this course of action.
- Unilateral Nuclear Strike-this is a terrible strategic choice for all the reasons associated with the next strategy, the Unilateral Total War. The Americans will likely use Israel to do their dirty nuclear job.
- Unilateral Total War-this is obviously the worst strategic choice. First, the U.S. military resources have been already depleted with two wars. Secondly, the Americans will further alienate other powerful nations. Third, major dollar-holding countries may decide to quietly retaliate by dumping their own mountains of dollars, thus preventing the U.S. from further financing its militant ambitions. Finally, Iran has strategic alliances with other powerful nations that may trigger their involvement in war; Iran reputedly has such alliance with China, India, and Russia, known as the Shanghai Cooperative Group, a.k.a. Shanghai Coop and a separate pact with Syria.

Whatever the strategic choice, from a purely economic point of view, should the Iranian Oil Bourse gain momentum, it will be eagerly embraced by major economic powers and will precipitate the demise of the dollar. The collapsing dollar will dramatically accelerate U.S. inflation and will pressure upward U.S. long-term interest rates. At this point, the Fed will find itself between Scylla and Charybdis-between deflation and hyperinflation-it will be forced fast either to take its "classical medicine" by deflating, whereby it raises interest rates, thus inducing a major economic depression, a collapse in real estate, and an implosion in bond, stock, and derivative markets, with a total financial collapse, or alternatively, to take the Weimar way out by inflating, whereby it pegs the long-bond yield, raises the Helicopters and drowns the financial system in liquidity, bailing out numerous LTCMs and hyperinflating the economy. The Austrian theory of money, credit, and business cycles teaches us that there is no in-between Scylla and Charybdis. Sooner or later, the monetary system must swing one way or the other, forcing the Fed to make its choice. No doubt, Commander-in-Chief Ben Bernanke, a renowned scholar of the Great Depression and an adept Black Hawk pilot, will choose inflation. Helicopter Ben, oblivious to Rothbard's America's Great Depression, has nonetheless mastered the lessons of the Great Depression and the annihilating power of deflations. The Maestro has taught him the panacea of every single financial problem-to inflate, come hell or high water. He has even taught the Japanese his own ingenious unconventional ways to battle the deflationary liquidity trap. Like his mentor, he has dreamed of battling a Kondratieff Winter. To avoid deflation, he will resort to the printing presses; he will recall all helicopters from the 800 overseas U.S. military bases; and, if necessary, he will monetize everything in sight. His ultimate accomplishment will be the hyperinflationary destruction of the American currency and from its ashes will rise the next reserve currency of the World (maybe gold or oil or the Euro?). **Recommended Reading** William Clark "The Real Reasons for the Upcoming War in Iraq" & "The Real Reasons Why Iran is the Next Target". The Zionist plans go hand in hand with this.

[Further Evidence Of Banlords' Approach To World Power](#)

Mention is made of the association of the Group (where politicians get their orders from the Illuminati Banksters), The Council on Foreign Relations, and the Trilateral Commission, (on which banks and governments meet). In Sand, Baden Baden, Germany, in June 1991, David Rockefeller addressed a re-union of these three elites with largely overlapping membership: "We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our union and have respected their promises of discretion for almost four decades... it would not have been possible to develop our World project if we had been subjected to the full fire of publicity all these years. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual élite and of World Bankers is surely preferable to the self-determination which has been practised for centuries past."

Debt Creation In History

It often helps us to understand something if we examine origins. Throughout recorded history the practice of usury (Latin usus meaning "used" and the word usuria which means demanding in return for a loan a greater amount than was

Finally "In a World of PLENTY, there is no need for POVERTY and [INTEREST] DEBT. We have the technology to feed, house and clothe all people on EARTH without destroying our environment. Whatever is physically possible and socially desirable can be made financially possible. This is EVERYONES CONCERN and it is URGENT." Found in "The Social Crediter" September/October 1993 Volume 72 No. 5 Edinburgh

Affidavit That The Bankers Won't Sign (they won't sign it!)

The undersigned affiant, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says: That he/she is an officer of the below named financial institution, a nationally chartered commercial bank or lending institution or organization purchasing promissory notes, hereinafter called bank. That, as an officer of the bank, he/she has the authority to execute this affidavit on behalf of the bank and to bind the bank to its provisions. It is understood that an exchange is not a loan. It is understood that the borrower's promissory note is not used to fund any check. It is understood that the bank does not record the promissory note as a bank asset offset by a bank liability. It is understood the bank complies with and follows the Federal Reserve Bank's policies and procedures. It is understood that the bank does not use the same or a similar bookkeeping entry to record the promissory note as a loan to the bank. It is understood that when banks participate in granting loans the economic effect is not the same or similar to stealing, counterfeiting, or a swindle. Banks who follow the Federal Reserve Bank's policies and procedures deny customers neither equal protection under the law, nor money, nor credit. The bank fully discloses to each and every borrower all material facts concerning if the borrower provided the funds to issue the bank loan check or if other depositors or investors fund the bank loan check. It is understood that the one who funded the loan should be repaid their money. It is understood that cash is the money and a bank liability indicates that the bank owes cash. I agree that if I have made a false statement regarding bank loans, then any and all loans or alleged loans issued or purchased at the bank are forgiven, without recourse, and shall immediately be considered null and void. Signed under penalty of perjury.

Signature of Bank Officer _____
Print Name of Bank Officer _____
Name of Bank: _____
Address of Bank: _____
City/State/Zip: _____

Sworn to and subscribed before me this _____ day of _____, 20____

Signature of Notary Public _____

PRIMARY QUESTIONS

1. Does the bank raise an asset to itself, in addition to the liability?
2. Was this disclosed in the contract?
3. Was I compensated for the bank using my note, and my signature, to raise this asset to the bank?
4. Was this account containing the asset for the bank, opened before the bank received my note?
5. When I pay off the loan, who gets the asset the bank raised to itself, with my note?

QUESTIONS DERIVED FROM PRIMARY QUESTIONS

6. Is, there fraud Here?
7. If there is fraud here, what are the damages?

QUESTIONS THAT MAY LEAD TO THE DISCOVERY OF FRAUDULENT DAMAGES

8. Was there inducement?(Advertising, Solicitation)
9. What does the note represent? (Your promise to pay)
10. Where will you derive the funds to pay? (From your labor)
11. Is your labor, your property? (Duh!)
12. How many years will you be paying on this note? (5, 10, 15, 30)
13. What is the interest rate? (8%, 10%, 12%)
14. Is that interest rate compounded annually, monthly, weekly, or daily?
15. What is the actual rate of interest? (25-50%)
16. Does this violate the Usury Laws? (12% or higher annual interest rate)
17. Was this disclosed in the contract as required by the Federal Usury Disclosure Act?
18. Did the bank raise an asset to itself on your hand written name? (Without your knowledge and consent)
19. Did the bank use the note first (before) you received your loan, to raise the funds for the loan? (Did they sell it, or use it for collateral for a loan from another institution)
20. Does this make the note a negotiable instrument? (Tendering a future earnings instrument for consideration to a third party and endorsing, "without recourse.")
21. Did the bank properly apply the proceeds from your note to the purported debt?
22. What did the bank do with those proceeds? (Look at the building)
23. Who else is deriving a benefit from your note, (and hand written name) without your knowledge or consent?
24. Have you been damaged?

ELEMENTS OF MONETARY REFORM (Who control the creation of CREDIT MONEY control World)

Please visit <http://www.monetary.org/> American Monetary Institute. A policy change against allowing private bankers to create our medium of exchange by private loan (plus uncreated interest) is the best place to start, as honest money would cause the majority of our political and economic problems to dry up and blow away since it would no longer be the

highly profitable property of an elite group of criminal bankers. But first, actually study the creation of money. Visit the site of The American Monetary Institute and begin gaining tools and weapons to defend yourselves and your posterity.

<http://www.prosperityuk.com/prosperity/prosperity.html>

Welcome to the website of PROSPERITY, a monthly Money Reform journal based in Glasgow, Scotland, which is dedicated to spreading understanding about the nature of our debt-based money system, and campaigning for publicly-created debt-free money.

What is Money Reform? Money Reform (Monetary Reform) highlights the fact that our economy today is "debt-based", meaning that virtually all money is supplied into the economy as a **debt** owed to the private banking system. We are reliant upon this banking system for the supply of almost all our means of exchange. In Britain today, 97% of our money stock is created by this private banking system as a debt, while only 3% is created by the government debt-free. As we explain on this website, that is both a technical absurdity and a democratic offence. As a consequence of virtually all our money coming into existence as a debt, we see **the indebtedness** of people, families and countries growing daily. Money Reformers believe the present debt-based system perpetrates **debt slavery**, and this is destructive of society, the environment and the planet. Money Reformers believe this debt-based money supply is **the big issue which governs all the issues**. Money Reformers advocate that the virtual Monopoly of Money Creation must be removed from the private banking system and we work **to establish a publicly-created supply of debt-free money**, created on behalf of the people, by a public body. This money should be **spent, not lent**, into society on the basis of proven need. This will gradually reduce the overall burden of debt in society, break reliance upon the private banking system for the supply of money, open potential for change, and empower people democratically. The Money Reformers' proposal is not a left-wing, or right-wing idea. It's good sense!

This website carries some of the articles, interviews, and reviews which have appeared in back-issues of our journal, and which investigate and explain the debt-based money system, and which publicise the debt-free alternative. If our debt-free Money Reform ideas are new to you then we recommend reading the following articles to obtain a comprehensive introduction to our case. For a short, general introduction to the problem of a debt-based money supply see **Bankrolling the World into Chaos** by author **Michael Rowbotham**, and also see his call that debt-free money is **The Single Most Important Reform** Then for a fully comprehensive explanation on how the banking system creates money for both private and commercial needs, including a description of how notes and coins are created, how banks create money for national needs, and how international debt is created, see **How Money is Created**.

Bill Clarke explains **The Case for Monetary Reform** and emphasises the extent to which most people are unaware of the debt-based manner in which almost all money comes into existence. This article should be read with **Richard Greaves'** summary of **The Negative Consequences of the Debt-Based Money System**.

Alistair McConnachie addresses the democratic necessity for Money Reform in his articles **Money for the People and by the People** and in **Publicly-Created Money: The Democratic Imperative** where he also deals with some **frequently heard objections** to the Money Reformers' debt-free money proposal.

James Gibb Stuart is **Making a Case for Money Reform** and laying out a basic debt-free money proposal, while **Ron Morrison** deals with how such a proposal could be brought into operation, and advocates that we **Establish a State Bank** to provide society with a regular supply of debt-free money.

Prosperity developed from the meetings of the **Bromsgrove Group** which meets annually outside Bromsgrove, near Birmingham. Each issue carries the Group's **Statement of Belief** which is a succinct general summary of the Money Reform case. Prosperity does not promote any particular "brand" of monetary reform, nor are we critiquing the system from any particular political or religious perspective. It is edited and published by Alistair McConnachie and can be contacted at: **PROSPERITY, 268 Bath Street, Glasgow, Scotland, UK, G2 4JR Telephone: 0141 332 2214 Fax: 0141 353 6900**. For some quotes compiled by Wilfrid Price (deceased), who spent virtually all his life fighting the BankLords, please visit "The Great Bank Debt Fraud" <http://www.unclenicks.net/canvas/Bankers.html> .

For those who do not believe that the banks create money from nothing, the first 31 quotes will soon put them straight. The 'juiciest' ones are the letter from the Rothschilds and the extract from the United States Bankers' Magazine of 1892. These people and their forces are the ones behind it all. Their empires of pharmaceutical companies, rapacious energy internationals, weapons manufacturers, the gutter press, politicians, and so on and on and on, are controlled by them. Take away the power of these outfits and the Bankers will crumble and wither away. Hence their fear of legalising cannabis - a known solver of many of our realistic problems and the taker-away of power from the banks and Bankers. Less money needs to change hands if the weed is grown everywhere for our (bio)energy requirements, healthcare, recreation, etc., etc., so who needs their perverted banknotes then? If we need money, we the people can print it, just like the Bankers do and will not lend it at interest when there is no hope of ever paying the exponentially growing debt back. All references to mortgage herewith and in the high street banks and beyond is the same **mort-gage word derived from Latin 'mort' - death and the Old Norman 'gage' - grip**. Hold on to your hats and read on:

William Paterson, Founder of the Bank of England and creator of the National Debt, at a meeting of directors said: "The Bank hath benefit of interest on all monies which it creates out of nothing."

Encyclopaedia Britannica (14th ed.) Vol. 3, under 'BANKING AND CREDIT' "Banks create credit. It is a mistake to suppose the Bank Credit is created to any important extent by the payment of money into Banks."

Ditto, Vol. 15 under 'MONEY': "Banks lend by creating credit; they create the means of payment out of nothing."

Reginald McKenna, then Chairman of the Midland Bank. Chancellor of the Exchequer addressing Bank shareholders, Jan 25 1924, said: "I am afraid the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that banks can and do create money. The amount of money in existence varies only with the action of the banks in increasing and decreasing deposits and bank purchases. Every loan, overdraft, or bank purchase creates a deposit, and every repayment of a loan, overdraft, or bank destroys a deposit." The Report of the McMillan Committee, 1929 p. 34. para 74, stated: "It is not unnatural to think of the deposits of a bank as being created through the deposit of cash representing savings or amounts which are not for the time being required to meet expenditure. But the bulk of the deposits arise out of the actions of the banks themselves, for by granting loans, allowing money to be drawn on overdraft or purchasing securities, a bank creates a credit in its books which is equivalent to a deposit..." R.G. Hawtrey, Asst. Secretary to the Treasury, in a B.B.C broadcast, March 22, 1933, said: "I agree with him [Douglas, founder of Social Credit] that banks create money and that trade depression arises from faults in the Banking System in discharge of that function." H.D. McCleod, MA, in his 'The Theory and Practice of Banking' stated: "The essential and distinctive feature of a bank is to create and issue credit payable on demands and this credit is intended to be put into circulation and serve all the purpose of money. A bank, therefore, is not an office for the borrowing and lending of money. It is a manufactory of credit."

Lord Sir John Stamp, Director of the Bank of England and one of the richest men in the 1920s said this speaking at the University of Texas in 1927 and repeated it at an address at Central Hall, London 1937, said: "The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was every invented. Banking was started in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the Earth; take it away from them but leave them with the power to create credit, and, with a stroke of the pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again. Take this power away from them and all great fortunes, like mine, would disappear, for then this World would be a happier and better World to live in. But, if you want to be the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then let the Bankers create money and control credit." Branch Banking, (a banking journal) July 1938, stated: "There are enough substantial quotations in existence to prove to the uninitiated that banks do create credit without restraint and that they create the means of repayment within themselves." W. Hadley Robinson, Fellow of the Institute of Bankers, (F.I.B.) in his 'Money and the Citizen': "They [the Bankers] manufacture credit by a mere stroke of the pen." A.L.G. MacKay, Professor of Economics, University of Rangoon, stated "By means of a loan, overdraft, or by cashing of bills, the banks are able to increase the volume of deposits in the community, and because of this process it is not correct to say that a bank loans out deposits which people make with it. It is clear that it creates the deposit by the issue of the loan; the loan travels back to the bank or another bank and assumes the form of a deposit".

H.W. Whyte, Chairman of the Associated Banks of New Zealand Monetary Commissions 1955: "The banks do create money. They have been doing it for a long time, but they didn't quite realise it, and did not admit it. Very few did. You will find it in all sorts of documents, financial text-books, etc. But...there has been a development of thought, until today I doubt very much whether you would get many Bankers to deny that banks create credit.". 'A Textbook of Economics' 1966 J.L. Hanson, MA (Leeds), PhD, BSc. (Econ), London. Pgs. 386/7, ch. BANK LOANS CREATE DEPOSITS: "Whether a bank lends by overdraft or by means of a loan account, the result is the same - it increases the total volume of purchasing power, that is, the quantity of money. It has been seen that when a bank grants credit it creates what it lends and so total purchasing power is increased.". The McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Modern Economics (USA) 1973. Douglas Grenwald, Chief Economist and Head of the Department of Economics: "A system of banks now serves three main functions: 1. It lends money. 2. It accepts money as a deposit. 3. It creates and lends its own credit." A New Dictionary of Economics 1986. A.B. Taylor, BSc (Econ) ACCS. FSC: ch. BANK CREDIT: "Credit is created by a bank increasing the size of the account of a deposit."

Stage One Economics 1985 R.G. Winfield. BSc (Econ) Hond. F.J.B., Principal Lecturer at the City of London Polytechnic, ch. HOW THE BANKS CREATE MONEY, p. 198: "The deposit banks, unlike the other banks, are actually able to create money." He explains this with figures, p. 199: "Thus it is that while lending creates deposits the repayment of bank credit effectively destroys money." First Principles of Economics 1988. Richard G. Lipsey, Sir Edward Peacock Professor of Economics, Queens University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, Colin Harbury, Professor of Economics, City University, London, ch. THE CREATION OF MONEY BY THE COMMERCIAL BANKS. p.427: "The main concern in this chapter is with the supply of money. From that point of view, the most important aspect of the banking system is its ability to create and destroy money. Dictionary of Economics 1992. Donald Rutherford. ch. ADVANCE, p.310: "When a bank creates money it does so by allowing advances to its customers, i.e., permitting them to draw on the extra bank deposits created for them." How Money is Managed 1954 Paul Einzig, Doctor of Political & Economic Sciences, University of Paris. Author of 42 books, mainly on economics, p. 216: "The system under which it is possible to create large quantities of money through the operation of bank credits and deposits is a modern development". P. 217, "...the banks are now in a position to lend or invest amounts many times larger than their own capital and reserves or their cash holdings".

The Modern Encyclopaedia (Illustrated) 1965 Odhams Books Ltd. P. 711 under CREDIT: "The creation of credit, by making additional means of payment available, adds to the circulation." Applied Economics Made Simple (Made Simple Series).

1972 Derek T. Loble, BA (Econ) (1972), p. 50 under "THE CREATION OF CREDIT": he explains mainly by figures how the banks create credit. Economic History Made Simple (Ditto above). 1975 Bernard J. Smailes, BA, BSc (Econ), p.132. He gives account of the goldsmiths' credit creation followed by the banks doing the same. P. 135: ". . . the country's supply of money was created by the commercial banks creating credit through the profitable cheque and overdraft system." [Ref - late 19th Century] Mastering Banking 1985 MacMillan Master Series. Desmond Whiting. P. 37, ch. 3.9 CREATION OF CREDIT: "Banks create credit by making loans. . ." The Economic System in the United Kingdom edited by Derek Morris, Oxford University Press, p. 127, 5.2.2.: ". . .If however, a customer obtains a bank loan the situation is different. This creates deposits at the bank and represents an increase in the money supply."

Economics 1986 Geoffrey Whitehead BSc (Econ). P. 363/4, ch. AN EXAMPLE OF CREDIT CREATION. He explains how banks create credit using a diagram (28.1.) (Limited by policy) Basic Economics 1987 Frank Livesey, p. 28, ch. CREATION OF CREDIT: "The primary function of banks is to accept deposits and make loans and investments of one kind or another. In this process of taking and investing deposits, banks increase the total amount of credit." Elements of Banking Made Simple 1987 Julia Hoyle ACIB & G. Whitehead. P. 19, 2.6 ch. HOW THE BANKS CREATE MONEY: THE CREATION OF CREDIT. This section explains bank credit creation. P. 285 in Rapid Revision, 6: 'Banking and the creation of credit, "Question 2. 'Can a bank lend out only money which it has actually borrowed?', Answer 2. 'No, it can create credit'." Elements of Banking 1989 F.E. Berry. revised by Gerald Klein, recommended by the Chartered Institute of Bankers P. 13: ". .not only are bank deposits money, created when bank customers pay sums into the bank, but banks themselves can be said to create money when they agree to lend." Money and Banking Made Simple 1982 Ken Hoyle BSc(Econ) & G.Whitehead. Heineman Publications. p. 6. THE CREATION OF CREDIT: ". . . the whole process is usually called the creation of credit or the creation of money." Success in Elements of Banking. 1990 David Cox, Senior Lecturer in Banking, Worcester Technical College. 2.10 ch. HOW THE BANKS CREATE MONEY: "It has been told that every bank loan creates a deposit. . . Therefore in granting a loan or overdraft a new deposit has been created somewhere in the banking system and its money stock increases." Maurice Allais, 1988 Nobel Prize Winner in Economics: "In essence, the present creation of money, out of nothing, by the banking system is similar to the creation of money by counterfeiters, so rightly condemned by law. In concrete terms, it leads to the same results." J.K. Galbraith, 1975, in "Money, Whence It Came, Where It Went", Penguin Books, London, p.29: "The process by which banks create money is so simple that the mind is repelled."

What can we do about all this? Fortunately, viewed purely as a technical problem there's a way to fix the problem fairly easily, speedily, and without any serious financial problems. We can get our country totally out of debt in 1-2 years by simply paying off U.S. bonds with debt-free U.S. Notes (or Treasury Department Deposits convertible to U.S. Notes) - just like Lincoln issued. Of course, that by itself would create tremendous inflation, since our currency is presently multiplied by the fractional reserve banking system. But here's the ingenious solution advanced in part by Milton Friedman, and others, to keep the money supply stable and avoid inflation and deflation while the debt is retired. As the Treasury buys up its bonds on the open market with U.S. Notes, the reserve requirements of your hometown local bank will be proportionally raised so the amount of money in circulation remains constant. As those holding bonds are paid off in U.S. Notes, they will deposit this money, thus making available the currency then needed by the banks to increase their reserves. Once all the U.S. bonds. are replaced with U.S. Notes, banks will be at 100% reserve banking, instead of the fractional reserve system currently in use. From that point on, the former Fed buildings will only be needed as central clearing houses for checks, and as vaults for U.S. Notes. The Federal Reserve Act will no longer be necessary, and could be repealed. Monetary power would be under government control. There would be no further creation or contraction of money by banks. By doing it this way, our national debt can be paid off in a single year or so, and the Fed and fractional reserve banking abolished without national bankruptcy, financial collapse, inflation or deflation, or any significant change in the way the average American goes about his business.

To the average person, the primary difference would be that for the first time since the Federal Reserve Act was passed in 1913, taxes would begin to go down and inflation would cease, preserving the value of their savings, wages and fixed incomes. Now there's a real national blessing for you, rather than for Hamilton's banker friends. Without their awful money-creating power, the Money Changers would gradually lose their political control and clout. Of course, their mass media control is another issue, but even it depends on their massive money-creating power. Now, let's take a look at these proposals in more detail. **Bill Still and McCormack** (<http://www.themoneymasters.com>) have drafted a proposed Money Reform Act as follows:

1. Pay of the national debt with debt-free U.S. Notes (or Treasury department credits convertible to U.S. Notes). As Thomas Edison put it, if the U.S. can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. They both rest purely on the good faith and credit of the U.S. This amounts to a simple substitution of one type of government obligation for another. One bears interest, the other doesn't. Federal Reserve Notes could be used for this as well, but could not be printed after the Fed is abolished, as we propose, so we suggest using U.S. Notes instead, as Lincoln did.

2. Abolish Fractional Reserve Banking. As the debt is paid off, the reserve requirements of all banks and financial institutions would be raised proportionally at the same time to absorb the new U.S. Notes and prevent inflation, which would be deposited and become the banks' increased reserves. At the end of the first year, or so, all of the national debt would be paid, and we could start enjoying the benefits of full-reserve banking. The Fed would be obsolete, an anachronism. This same approach would work equally well in Canada, England and in virtually all debt-based, central bank controlled economies.

3. Repeal of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 and the National Banking Act of 1864. These acts delegate the money power to a private banking monopoly. They must be repealed and the monetary power handed back to the government (in the U.S., the Department of the Treasury), where they were initially, under President Abraham Lincoln. No banker or person in any way affiliated with financial institutions should be allowed to regulate banking. After the first two reforms, these Acts would serve no useful purpose anyway, since they relate to a fractional reserve banking system.

4. Withdraw the U.S. from the IMF, the BIS and the World Bank. These institutions, like the Federal Reserve, are designed to further centralize the power of the international bankers over the World's economy and the U.S. must withdraw from them or lose its sovereignty and independence. Their harmless, useful functions such as currency exchange can be accomplished either nationally, or in new organizations limited to those functions. ...

Issuing debt-free currency, not tied to bond issues, is not a radical solution. It's been advocated in its parts by Presidents Jefferson, Madison, Jackson, Van Buren and Lincoln. It's been used at different times in Europe as well. One current example is one of the small islands off the coast of France in the English Channel. Called Guernsey, it has been using debt-free money issues to pay for large building projects for nearly 200 years. **Guernsey is an example of just how well a debt-free money system can work.** In 1815, a committee was appointed to investigate how best to finance a new market. The impoverished island could not afford more new taxes, so the State's fathers decided to issue their own paper money. They were just colorful paper notes, backed by nothing, but the people of this tiny island agreed to accept them and trade with them. To be sure they circulated widely, they were declared to be "good for the payment of taxes." Of course this idea was nothing new. It was exactly what America had done before the American Revolution and there are many other examples throughout the World. But it was new to Guernsey, and it worked. The market is still in use, and remember, it was built with no debt to the people of this island state. But what if we follow Guernsey's example? The resulting advantages would include: no more bank runs; bank failures would be very rare (on the rare massive theft); the national debt would be entirely paid-off; the monetary, banking, and tax system would be more efficient and simplified; significant inflation and deflation would be eliminated; booms and busts would be reduced to insignificance; banker control of our industry and political life would end. **Another example of a working alternative currency is one which existed in Austria,** in the 1930s, as described by David Weston in his book, 'The Living Economy' p.196 ff: "The burghermeister of Worgl issued local currency in the form of 'tickets for services rendered'. They were used for paying wages to men employed on public works, who would otherwise have been unemployed. During the first month of issue, these tickets are said to have circulated twenty times as a form of local currency. Taxes were paid, unemployment was reduced, and local shopkeepers prospered. Mayors of two hundred other Austrian towns decided to follow suit. But the Austrian National Bank took legal action against the experiment. The Austrian Supreme Court decided in favor of the Bank, and the innovation was squashed."

How would the bankers react to these reforms? Certainly the international bankers' cartel will oppose reforms that do away with their control of the World's economies, as they have in the past. But it is equally certain that Congress has the Constitutional authority and responsibility to authorize the issuance of debt free money - U.S. Notes, just the same as Lincoln's Greenbacks, and to reform the very banking laws it ill-advisedly enacted. Undoubtedly, the bankers will claim that issuing debt-free money will cause severe inflation or make other dire predictions, but remember, it is fractional reserve banking which is the real cause of over 90% of all inflation - not whether debt-free U.S. Notes are used to pay for government deficits. The simultaneous transition to full reserve banking will absorb the new notes, thus preventing inflation, while stabilizing banking and the economy. In the current system, any spending excesses on the part of Congress, are turned into more U.S. debt bonds. The 10% of the bonds purchased by the Fed (in order to provide the high-powered money liquidity in the capital markets needed to purchase of the rest of the new bonds), are then multiplied ten times over by the bankers, causing over 90% of all inflation. ... Educate yourself and your friends: read. **"When you know a thing to recognize that you know it, and when you do not, to know that you do not know - this is knowledge." - Confucius**

Our country and the World needs a solid group who really understand how our money is manipulated and what the solutions are, because if a depression comes, there will be those who will come forward advancing solutions framed by the international bankers. Beware of calls to return to a gold standard. Why? Simple. Because never before has so much gold been so concentrated outside of American hands. And never before has so much gold been in the hands of international governmental bodies such as the World Bank and International Monetary Fund. In fact, the IMF now holds more gold than any central bank. The Swiss are under intense pressure from the Money Changers to dispose of their gold. This is most likely either a prelude to the complete demonetization of gold (like silver before it), or to its monopolization and remonetization by the Money Changers. Therefore, to return to a gold standard would almost certainly be a false solution in our case. As was repeated in the Great Depression: "In gold we trusted; by gold we're busted." Likewise, beware of any plans advanced for a regional or World currency - this is another international banker's Trojan Horse - a deception to open the national gates to more international control. Educate your member of Congress. It only takes a few persuasive members to make the others pay attention. Most Congressmen just don't understand the system. Some understand it, but are influenced their bank stock ownership or by bank PAC contributions to ignore it, not realizing the gravity of their neglect. Obviously, there is little chance for significant monetary reform at present. But if an opportunity ever does present itself, perhaps in a crisis, at least they will have been given the information to avoid merely floundering in banker-inspired confusion as did many sincere reform-minded Congressmen in the Great Depression. It remains for each man to do his duty, consistent with his state in life. May God give us the light to help reform our nation, and ourselves. We say ourselves, because ultimately vast multitudes of men are going to be driven more and more to desperation by the accumulation of the World's wealth in fewer and fewer hands. Men will be tempted more to become like their oppressors, selfish and greedy. Rather, let's keep in mind a warning not to lose sight of greater things. **As Pope Pius put it: "For what**

will it profit men that a more prudent distribution and use of riches make it possible for them to gain even the whole World, if thereby they suffer the loss of their own souls? ..."

"I have never yet had anyone who could, through the use of logic and reason, justify the Federal Government borrowing the use of its own money....I believe the time will come when people will demand that this be changed. I believe the time will come in this country when they will actually blame you and me and everyone else connected with the Congress for sitting idly by and permitting such an idiotic system to continue." - **The late Congressman Wright Patman, Chairman of the House Committee on Banking and Currency for over 16 years**

Those who control the creation of MONEY control the world. The biggest players among them happen at this stage in history to be Zionist/Masonic Babylonian Kabbalistic Jews.

The Need for Monetary Reform by Stephen Zarlenga, Director, American Monetary Institute, P.O. Box 601, Valatie, NY 12184, USA. Monetary reform is the critical missing element needed to move humanity back from the brink of nuclear disaster, away from a future dominated by fraud and warfare, toward a world of justice and beauty. The power to create money is an awesome power - at times stronger than the Executive, Legislative or Judicial powers combined. It's like having a "magic checkbook," where checks can't bounce. When controlled privately it can be used to gain riches, but more importantly it determines the direction of our society by deciding where the money goes - what gets funded and what does not. Will it be used to build and repair vital infrastructure such as the New Orleans Levees to protect major cities? Or will it go into warfare or real estate loans, creating asset price inflation - the real estate bubble. Thus the money issuing power should never be alienated from democratically elected government and placed ambiguously into private hands as it is in America in the Federal Reserve System today. Indeed, most people would be surprised to learn that the bulk of our money supply is not created by our government, but by private banks when they make loans. Through the Fed's fractional reserve process the system creates purchasing media when banks make loans into checking accounts, so most of our money is issued as interest-bearing debt.

We are borrowing this money system from private banks when instead we should own the system, not rent it. Under the Constitution, Article I, Sec. 8, our government has the sovereign power to issue money and spend it into circulation to promote the general welfare through the creation and repair of infrastructure, including human infrastructure - health and education - rather than misusing the money system for speculation as banking has historically done. Our lawmakers must now reclaim that power! Money has value because of skilled people, resources, and infrastructure, working together in a supportive social and legal framework. Money is the indispensable lubricant that lets them "run." It is not tangible wealth in itself, but a power to obtain wealth. Money is an abstract social power based in law; and whatever government accepts in payment of taxes will be money. Money's value is not created by the private corporations that now control it. Unhappily, mankind's experience with private money creation has undeniably been a long history of fraud, mismanagement and even villainy. Banking abuses are pervasive and self-evident. Major banks and companies focus on misusing the money system instead of production. For example, in June 2005, Citibank and Merrill Lynch paid over \$1.2 Billion to Enron pensioners to settle fraud charges.

Private money creation through fractional reserve banking fosters an unprecedented concentration of wealth which destroys the democratic process and ultimately promotes military imperialism. Less than 1% of the population claims ownership of almost 50% of the wealth, but vital infrastructure is ignored. The American Society of Civil Engineers gives a D grade to our infrastructure and estimates that \$1.6 trillion is needed to bring it to acceptable levels. That fact alone shows the world's dominant money system to be a major failure crying for reform. Infrastructure repair would provide quality employment throughout the nation. There is a pretense that government must either borrow or tax to get the money for such projects. But it is well known that the government can directly create the money needed and spend it into circulation for such projects, without inflationary results. Monetary reform is achieved in three parts which must be enacted together for it to work. Any one or any two of them alone won't do it, but could actually further harm the monetary system.

First, incorporate the Federal Reserve System into the U.S. Treasury where all new money could be created by government as money, not interest-bearing debt, and spent into circulation to promote the general welfare. The monetary system would be monitored to be neither inflationary nor deflationary.

Second, halt the bank's privilege to create money by ending the fractional reserve system in a gentle and elegant way. All the past monetized private credit would be converted into U.S. government money. Banks would then act as intermediaries accepting savings deposits and loaning them out to borrowers. They would do what people think they do now.

Third, spend new money into circulation on infrastructure, including the education and healthcare needed for a growing society, starting with the \$1.6 trillion that the American Society of Civil Engineers estimates is needed for infrastructure repair. This would create good jobs across our nation, re-energizing local economies and re-funding government at all levels.

The false specter of inflation is usually raised against such suggestions that our government fulfill its responsibility to furnish the nation's money supply. But that is a knee jerk reaction - the result of decades, even centuries, of propaganda against government. When one actually examines the monetary record, it becomes clear that government

has a better record issuing and controlling money than the private issuers have. Inflation is avoided because real material wealth has been created in the process. The following press release from the 2005 AMI Monetary Reform Conference in Chicago, highlights the beneficial effects of the plan both in terms of savings on interest and in avoiding such disasters in the first place:

Money Reform Plan Would Save Taxpayers \$ Billions Per Year in Katrina Cleanup: "An alteration in the way money is introduced into our economy would save at least \$10 billion dollars per year in the cleanup and rebuilding aftermath of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. If the clean-up loans last the normal 30 years, the savings will be over \$250 billion," says Stephen Zarlenga, Director of the Institute. The plan, known as The American Monetary Act was discussed at the American Monetary Institute 2005 Monetary Reform Conference....

The proposed three part reform of our currency system would have the U.S. Government directly spend the money into circulation rather than the present method of allowing the banking system to create the money and then the government borrowing the money. Funding such infrastructure expenses through bonds generally doubles to triples their final cost. The reform avoids this expense by removing the fractional reserve provision of the present system, which in effect allows the banking system to create the much needed new money that must be continually introduced into the economy as population and economic activity expands; or when emergencies such as Katrina, or warfare require great expenditures. Under the reform only the U.S. government, not the private banking system, would be allowed to create money.

"What we're proposing is very similar to the 'Chicago Plan' which came out of University of Chicago economists in the 1930s and was widely supported nationwide by the economics profession back then," said Zarlenga. Under the plan the government spends the new money into circulation on necessary infrastructure, including education. A presentation at the conference by the American Society of Civil Engineers pointed out the deteriorating condition of American infrastructure, which currently receives an overall grade of D, and is predicted to reach D- soon. Most of Katrina's Damage on New Orleans Was Avoidable "This method of introducing new money through infrastructure creation and repair would actually have stopped most of the damage and loss of life in New Orleans, because the money would have been available to repair the levees, and they would have probably held" said Zarlenga. "Under the present private control, money goes largely into speculative bubbles, including Wall Street games and real estate" he said, "Under societal control it would go much more to promoting the general welfare. Inflation is avoided because real material wealth has been created in the process, and catastrophic loss including loss of life is prevented."

Lawmakers have often believed they could ignore the big questions on how our money system is structured. Right from the Constitutional Convention delegates ignored society's monetary power and the excellent record of government issued money in building colonial infrastructure and giving us a nation. They left the money power up for grabs instead of properly placing it in a fourth, monetary branch of government. History shows that the money power will be a fourth branch whether we recognize it as such or not. It's not safe to leave so much power and privilege in private hands! It's counter to our system of checks and balances. The developing financial crisis requires us to re-evaluate and focus on it now. Lets fulfill our responsibility to get a real understanding of this problem and the solution. As the late Congressman Wright Patman, Chairman of the House Committee on Banking and Currency for over 16 years, said, "I have never yet had anyone who could, through the use of logic and reason, justify the Federal Government borrowing the use of its own money....I believe the time will come when people will demand that this be changed. I believe the time will come in this country when they will actually blame you and me and everyone else connected with the Congress for sitting idly by and permitting such an idiotic system to continue."

[What Can We Do By Tibor S. Friedman](#)

The Great American experiment in democracy is in crisis and immediate jeopardy. The most secretive administration ever has installed a repressive security apparatus paving the way for dictatorship and martial law awaiting an 'event' to trigger the trap set for us. Unless we become active participants and educate ourselves about the crisis that is rapidly enveloping us the Great Experiment will end. Americans aren't stupid but rather, they are uninformed of what is really happening in their country and the World because of the dumbed down content and propaganda they consume in the **mainstream media (MSM)** and the public educational system. Recent studies have declared that high school graduates are unable to write a coherent sentence; schools teach information and expect students to retain and regurgitate data, not connect them. This is by design; the elite do not want too many critical thinkers in a diminished economy. Information is the currency of the realm. The Internet today offers our best hope for our education and research, at least until the Elite figure out how to replace it with the restricted and establishment controlled Internet 2 currently being developed. Information is managed and edited in the MSM and propaganda is an acceptable tool long used in media and education. Spin is the method of the day used to confuse and deflect. The Infotainment Industry, MSM and Hollywood combined, share financial, operational and propaganda interests. A recent example is the TV docudrama Oil Storm on Fox TV on June 5 that served the goals of putting fear into Americans about losing their oil lifeline and their American way of life and to gather support for the 21st Century Oil Wars by calling them the War on Terror, which is phony and being used by these psychopaths to divide us and reduce our numbers. The Bush administration is supported by Fox (aka GOP TV) owner Rupert Murdoch. Similarly, other media events usually have some ulterior motive to influence the public in some way. For us to fully appreciate our current crisis we have to review our media history.

Walter Lippmann, the prominent journalist in the 1920s, said that the public must be put in its place. He believed, as did Woodrow Wilson and the Elite, that the responsible men (Elite) should manage the 'common interests' and 'live free of the

trampling and the roar of a bewildered herd,' the ignorant and meddlesome outsiders. The only function the 'herd' has was to trample periodically in support of one or another element of the leadership class in an election. As the 'herd' in the last century became more enlightened ever more sophisticated mechanisms for controlling information and perceptions have developed. The manufacture of consent, a term created by Edward Bernays, the father of the Public Relations industry, author of Propaganda and coincidentally Sigmund Freud's nephew, has become an art form and required of journalists.

Bernays says: "Those who manipulate the organized habits and opinions of the masses constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. . . . we are dominated by a relatively small number of persons. . . as civilization has become more complex. . . . the technical means have been invented and developed by which opinion may be regimented. . . . I must lead the people. Am I not their servant? "The invisible government tends to be concentrated in the hands of the few because of the expense of manipulating the social machinery which controls the opinions and habits of the masses. It is not generally realized to what extent the words and actions of our most influential public men are dictated by shrewd persons operating behind the scenes."

Expanding on this understanding about the MSM and the Public Relations industry (the propaganda machine) we can include gatekeepers, or sources considered to be on the left (so-called liberals), who are charged with making sure that dissent and alternative views do not stray too far off the reservation of Elite acceptance. Excluded topics include the 9-11 Truth Movement, the New World Order, the City Of London financial center, chemtrails, the Federal Reserve, Inc., etc. It is safe to assume that your letters to the editor are monitored and pre-selected prior to printing. I suspect that some letters and articles in The New York Times (as elsewhere) are fictitious, manufactured and planted, like the Judith Miller articles on WMDs (with con man Chalabi as her source) in the NYT prior to the current Iraq War that encouraged the congressional vote to go to war. MSM always supports and benefits from war. CNN's Aaron Brown declared that now that we are at war we have to get behind the government and the troops disregarding CNN's obvious cheerleading efforts to build support before the war.

We are considered the least informed population in the World. The MSM and most gatekeepers are believed to be infiltrated with CIA personnel and work explicitly for the Elites in power, in corporations, government and banking and serve to protect their interests in the US and abroad. My ultimate purpose is to compel Americans to wake up and begin to explore what is going on and to become engaged in their lives and their children's futures. It is not my intent here to go into all the aspects that constitute the over arching plan (conspiracy, some would say) to create a One (New) World (Order) Government, a generational and incremental implementation process that, now in its final stages, presently threatens the World with the 21st Century Oil Wars and the destruction of what little sovereignty is left in America and elsewhere (observe this process in the EU). Neo-liberalism / Globalism (imperialism disguised as democracy and free trade) are names used to describe the acquisition and consolidation of ever greater wealth, natural resources, total political power and control over others and are the motivational forces which drive the decisions by the international Elite. They control the transnational corporations, the 200-plus think tanks (the Council On Foreign Relations being foremost in the US) which exist to facilitate their goals, the foundations (Carnegie, Ford, Rockefeller, etc), the World Bank, IMF, WTO, the Federal Reserve (a private corporation never audited and with no congressional oversight); this influence is pervasive and can only be truly appreciated as one researches the various issues.

The recent Online Journal report on Bilderberg 2005 confirms the intent and complicity of our leaders and the transnational corporations and banking interests they serve to create a One World Government using the UN, or similar institution, and to further diminish America economically and to ultimately abdicate US sovereignty and the Constitution to a Regional and subsequent World Power while enriching themselves and accumulating power in the process. The Congress, MSM (including CPB, NPR and PBS), all academic and other Institutions, with rare and generally marginalized, individuals as exceptions, are in general alignment with this 'conspiracy.' This diverse involvement is reflected in the roster of the 4,000 or so members of the Council on Foreign Relations, a group described as, superficially an innocent forum for academics, businessman, politicians, contains within its shell, perhaps unknown to many of its members, the power elite that unilaterally determines US foreign policy. The major objective of this submerged - and obvious subversive foreign policy is the acquisition of markets and economic power (profits) for a small group of giant multi-nationals under the virtual control of a few banking investment houses and controlling families. The Royal Institute of International Affairs, in London (the center of power for the New World Order elite), founded its American wing, the CFR, funded by the Rockefellers, in 1921. The RIIA's patron is the Queen of England. CNN has recently been using CFR 'spokespeople' to get Americans to accept them as 'mainstream' and also uses other conservative think tanks like the American Enterprise Institute and the Brookings and CATO Institutes to disseminate their views designed to shape American perceptions and beliefs.

Think tanks provide the two supposedly oppositional political parties with intellectual material and propaganda. Issues are used as smokescreens and for public distraction and confusion while greater and more relevant issues are ignored or downplayed. What we witness in MSM is the allowed official version of reality much of it staged theatre. It is apparent as one examines (CFR, TC, Bilderberg member) Bill Clinton's record (presiding over NAFTA, media consolidation, FDA approval of GMO going into the World's food supply via Monsanto, laid the foundations for the USA PATRIOT Act, passed welfare 'reform' etc.) and associations that he is as much (if not more so) a Globalist / New World Order operative as George Bush is. Both parties serve the same masters as one can see from the Bilderberg attendance list. John Edwards auditioned at the annual Bilderberg meeting in 2004; apparently the elite chose to stay the course with George Bush. One cannot become president of the US without the Elites' explicit approval. The election is a formality and exercise for the benefit of creating the illusion of an ongoing democracy. The 'free speech zone' areas created by both parties in 2004

were their version of democracy. The MSM (already) is focusing on the battle for the White House 2008, creating mythologies of opposing individuals and distracting the public with a hope for change that never comes as both parties work towards the creation of a New World Order with the United States as a much reduced power, economically and militarily. Remember that politicians are the fronts for (or part of) the Elites and as such share in their fortunes and power. One need only look at the Bush dynasty and their involvement in and creation of their fortunes and power by the Military / Industrial / Intelligence Complex. War is profitable. Henry Kissinger (perennial Bilderberg, Trilateral, CFR member) received knighthood from the Queen of England in 1995 for his lifelong devoted service to the Crown; after years of trying to retake the American colonies the City of London decided to infiltrate through the CFR and other ways to reclaim their perceived influence over their new addition to the Empire; and so it appears it has. Their conspiracy has become our fact.

Conspiracy theories are a convenient 'slide' term used by MSM and others to short circuit further inquiry into a matter. The greatest conspiracy is the one created by this concept that keeps people from being inquisitive and concerned about the health and direction of their country. As noted, only a small group of Elites know the full scope of their agenda while those outside are given information on a need to know basis and as loyalty and subservience is developed move closer to the center of affluence and control. A corporation is a perfect example of this where one can succeed and move up the hierarchy as long as one fulfills the needs of the corporation but if one challenges the system, then you could be ejected and possibly blacklisted from entry into other corporations. In this way, people buy into the system and by being invested in it are reluctant to jeopardize their position and wealth. Hierarchy assures compliance, promotions or corrective actions if needed. America is a transnational corporation (an Enron) disguised as a government; a form described as totalitarian corporatism. As Catherine Austin Fitts and others suggest, voting, writing to your representatives or editors has limited, if any effect, but continue letting them know what you think. The system is generally rigged with gatekeepers to marginalize any dissent or resistance. The only practical options open are to divert our financial and personal support for the tapeworm (the system) by withdrawing our attention from TV (shut it off) and its mind control and our money out of large multinational banks and corporations that depend on our money to survive, and putting it into local banks and businesses; cut up all your credit cards. Support local efforts to develop sustainable economies and environmental initiatives; get to know your neighbors and share what you know. Ultimately, the tapeworm will have only itself to devour. Stop supporting the so-called two-party system that is really two groups belonging to the same business party that share the One World Government agenda. The continuity of bipartisan corruption clearly shows that, with rare exceptions like Reps Cynthia McKinney and Ron Paul, their allegiance is to the international elite and not to the American people.




“Come on, it’s only a Federal Reserve Note. It’s not like it’s real money.”

[More on the History of the Privately owned Federal Reserve System Mega Dynasties](#)

Let's start this section with a lecture by G. Edward Griffin delivered in 1994. Then we will proceed into a more detailed history of the privately owned central bank known as The US Federal Reserve. The CD has the live audio of this talk. This is a near transcript of the talk.

[A Talk by Edward Griffin Author of The Creature from Jekyll Island](#)



Introduction:

Mr. Griffin is a graduate of the University of Michigan where he majored in Speech Communication. He is the recipient of the Telly Award for Excellence in TV Production. He is the founder of the Cancer Cure Foundation and has served on the board of directors of the National Health Federation and the International Association of Cancer Victims and Friends. He is a contributing editor for the New American Magazine and is President of American Media, a publishing and video production company in southern California.

G. Edward Griffin



Edward Griffin: We'll start way back in history to give some kind of historical perspective to this; we'll go back to the first century BC and the tiny kingdom of Phrygia. There was a philosopher by the name of Epictetus and it was Epictetus who said "Appearances are of four kinds: things either are as they appear to be; or they neither are nor appear to be; or they are but do not appear to be; or they are not and yet appear to be." When I read that statement for the first time, I had a big chuckle over it and I thought for sure that if Epictetus were alive today he would probably be a Harvard professor of money and banking; it sounds like so many explanations that I have read about various aspects of the Federal Reserve System. What he did was he took a fairly simple concept but by the time that he was through explaining it, we didn't have any idea what he was talking about. All Epictetus said was that appearances can sometimes be deceiving. That's all he said but by the time he was through explaining the four different ways in which they can be deceiving, we were left back at the switch somewhere.

Nevertheless, I thought, accidentally perhaps, Epictetus had given me a track to run on so-to-speak. Actually it could be the theme since if there's anything in the World that is deceiving it is the Federal Reserve System. In fact, it is one of those appearances of the fourth kind which are those appearances which are not and yet appear to be. I'm going to use that as sort of a hook on the topic. We'll come back to it from time-to-time and punctuate it if I can remember to do that because it tells us something at the most fundamental level about the Federal Reserve System and that is that appearances can be deceiving. When I did my research on this topic I came to the startling conclusion that the Federal Reserve System does not need to be audited, it needs to be abolished. This is very intriguing to think we should audit the Fed but I discovered that probably if they audited the Fed it would get a clean bill because it's undoubtedly doing exactly what it's supposed to do according to the law. What it is supposed to do according to the law is justification for abolishing it so all we have to do is understand what the Federal Reserve System is supposed to do and we'll be pretty upset about it. The fact of the matter is that most people haven't the foggiest idea of what it is in fact supposed to do. I came to the conclusion that the Federal Reserve needed to be abolished for seven reasons. I'd like to read them to you now just so that you get an idea of where I'm coming from, as they say. I put these into the most concise phrasing that I can to make them somewhat shocking and maybe you'll remember them:

1. The Federal Reserve is incapable of accomplishing its stated objectives.
2. It is a cartel operating against the public interest.
3. It's the supreme instrument of usury.
4. It generates our most unfair tax through inflation and bail outs.
5. It encourages war.
6. It destabilizes the economy.
7. It discourages private capital formation.

I don't know what you think about those seven points. I know a lot of you folks agree with them right off the bat, but I presume that there are some skeptics here tonight and I hope there are otherwise I am the minister talking to the choir. I know in fact that there are always quite a few skeptics that come to these meetings and frankly you are the folks I'm talking to tonight because once, not too long ago, I was in that same frame of mind. I would've thought to myself those are rather extreme statements, I don't think they can be supported by fact. Though time doesn't permit me to cover all of those seven points here tonight, I would like to splash around on the first four topics for a little while and show you that there is in fact quite a bit of reason for a rational person to conclude that those statements are true. I think the best place to begin is with the formation of the "creature from Jekyll Island"; the creation of the Federal Reserve. It takes me back to the title of the book "The Creature from Jekyll Island" and anybody that's here thinking that we're going to show a movie which is a sequel to Jurassic Park, you're in the wrong place. The title was designed, of course, to attract attention but it does have a great deal of significance to it. For those of you who have not yet had a chance to delve into this, I should explain to you that Jekyll Island is a real island that's off the coast of Georgia. It was on that island back in 1910 that the Federal Reserve System was created at a highly secret meeting that took place there. What I'd like to do is illustrate to you that the meeting did in fact take place and I'll show some of the documentation that is available for that to prove that the secrecy was extreme and then we'll come face-to-face with the question "why the secrecy"? When things are done in secret quite often there's something to hide and we'll explore what it was that they wanted to hide. Once

we've come to an understanding of that, then we'll finally understand a very important aspect of the Federal Reserve System which is not generally understood.

Back in 1910, Jekyll Island was completely privately owned by a small group of millionaires from New York. We're talking about people such as J. P. Morgan, William Rockefeller and their associates. This was a social club and it was called "The Jekyll Island Club." They owned the island and it was where their families came to spend the winter months. There was a magnificent structure there, the clubhouse, which was the center of their social activities. That clubhouse is still there, by-the-way. The island has since been purchased by the state of Georgia, converted into a state park and the clubhouse has been restored and you can visit it. I think you'd be very impressed by it. As you walk through the downstairs corridors you'll come to a door and on the door there is a brass plaque and it says: "In this room the Federal Reserve System was created." This is not a secret anymore; it's a matter of public record. Around the clubhouse there were some cottages as they were called which were built by some of the families to quarter themselves. They're attractive little things; they were magnificent examples of the architecture of the turn of the century. One of the cottages through which they take tours if you're interested in doing that, as I recall the guide told us that there were 14 bathrooms in that cottage--not exactly what we would call a cottage.

The clubhouse is where the Federal Reserve System was created. Let's retell that story in detail and see how it came about. The year was 1910, that was three years before the Federal Reserve Act was finally passed into law. It was November of that year when Senator Nelson Aldrich sent his private railroad car to the railroad station in New Jersey and there it was in readiness for the arrival of himself and six other men who were told to come under conditions of great secrecy. For example, they were told to arrive one at a time and not to dine with each other on the night of their departure. They were told that should they arrive at the station at the same time they should pretend like they didn't even know each other. They were instructed to avoid newspaper reporters at all cost because they were well-known people and had they been seen by a reporter they would've asked questions. Especially if two or three of them had been spotted together, this would've raised eyebrows and they would've asked a lot of questions. One of the men carried a shotgun in a big black case so that if he had been stopped and asked where he was going he was prepared to say that he was going on a duck hunting trip. The interesting thing about that part of the story is that we find out later from his biographer that this man never fired a gun in his life, in fact he borrowed that shotgun just to carry with him on this trip as part of the deception.

Once they got on board the private railroad car this pattern continued. They were told to use first names only, not to use their last names at all. A couple of the men even adopted code-names. The reason for that is so that the servants on board the train would not know who these people were. They were afraid that if the servants would talk about it then the word would leak out and it might get into the press. They traveled for two nights and a day on board this car and they arrived after a 1,000 mile journey to Brunswick, Georgia. From there they took a ferry across the inland straits and they ended up on Jekyll Island in the clubhouse where for the next nine days they sat around the table and hammered out all the important details of what eventually became the Federal Reserve System. When they were done they went back to New York. For quite a few years thereafter these men denied that any such meeting took place. It wasn't until after the Federal Reserve System was firmly established that they then began to talk openly about their journey and what they accomplished. Several of them wrote books on the topic, one of them wrote a magazine article and they gave interviews to newspaper reporters so now it's possible to go into the public record and document quite clearly and in detail what happened there.

Who were these seven men? The first one I have already mentioned, Senator Nelson Aldrich was the Republican whip in the Senate, he was the chairman of the National Monetary Commission which was the special committee of Congress created for the purpose of making a recommendation to Congress for proposed legislation to reform banking. The public was quite concerned in those days over what was going on in the banking industry; a lot of banks were folding, people were losing their investments in banks, they had broken their promise to guard the depositors assets, there were runs on the bank, banks couldn't give the people their money back. In particular they were concerned over the concentration of wealth in the hands of a few large banks in New York on Wall Street. This is what they called the "money trust" in those days. The money trust was a common phrase. Quite a few politicians had been elected to office on their campaign promise to break the grip of the money trust. President Wilson was one of those politicians that campaigned on that even though Wilson was himself hand-picked by the money trust and financed by the money trust and surrounded by the money trust--all of his advisors and politic cronies. The public didn't know that at the time and it was a popular issue. If you campaigned against the money trust you were quite apt to be elected and that was what I call "the people you love to hate" money trust.

That was one of the purposes of the National Monetary Commission which was to propose legislation to break the grip of the money trust and Aldrich was chairman of that committee. He was also the very important business associate of J. P. Morgan. He was the father-in-law of John D. Rockefeller, Jr. which means that eventually he became the grandfather of Nelson Rockefeller, our former vice-president. You remember his full name was Nelson Aldrich Rockefeller; his middle name being derived from his famous grandfather.

The second important person there was Abraham Andrew who was Assistant Secretary of the Treasury. He later became a Congressman and he was very important in banking circles. Frank Vanderlip was there. He was the President of the National City Bank of New York which was the largest of all of the banks in America representing the financial interests of

William Rockefeller and the international investment firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company. Henry Davison was there, the senior partner of the J. P. Morgan Company. Charles Norton was there; he was the President of the First National Bank of New York which was another one of the giants. Benjamin Strong was at the meeting; he was the head of J. P. Morgan's Banker's Trust Company and Benjamin Strong three years later would become the first head of the Federal Reserve System.

Finally, there was Paul Warburg who was probably the most important at the meeting because of his knowledge of banking as it was practiced in Europe. Paul Warburg was born in Germany and eventually became a naturalized American citizen. He was a partner in Kuhn, Loeb & Company and was a representative of the Rothschild banking dynasty in England and France where he maintained very close working relationships throughout his entire career with his brother, Max Warburg, who was the head of the Warburg banking consortium in Germany and the Netherlands. Paul Warburg was one of the wealthiest men in the World. In fact, those of you who are Little Orphan Annie fans will remember Daddy Warbucks. Daddy Warbucks was the characterization of Paul Warburg and everyone at the time was well aware of that fact. I have his photograph in my book and if you compare the photograph to the cartoon drawing you'll see the resemblance between Paul WARburg and Daddy WARbucks. And while we're on the topic of cartoon characters, if you played Monopoly, you remember the drawing of the capitalist with the handle-bar mustache and the cigar? That's J. P. Morgan.

These were the seven men aboard that railroad car who were at Jekyll Island. Amazing as it may seem, they represented approximately 1/4 of the wealth of the entire World. These are the men that sat around the table and created the Federal Reserve System. For the skeptic who's wondering it didn't happen that way surely Griffin is exaggerating to make some kind of a point. Let me put your mind at ease that it did happen that way (perhaps not at ease but in a state of tension). How do we know? For example, Frank Vanderlip who was at the meeting wrote an article that appeared in the Saturday Evening Post on February 9, 1935 and I'd like to read for you just a short excerpt from that article. This is what Vanderlip said: "I do not feel it is any exaggeration to speak of our secret expedition to Jekyll Island as the occasion of the actual conception of what eventually became the Federal Reserve System. We were told to leave our last names behind us. We were told further that we should avoid dining together on the night of our departure. We were instructed to come one at a time and as unobtrusively as possible to the railroad terminal on the New Jersey littoral of the Hudson where Senator Aldrich's private car would be in readiness attached to the rear-end of a train to the south. Once aboard the private car we began to observe the taboo that had been fixed on last names. We addressed one another as Ben, Paul, Nelson and Abe. Davison and I adopted even deeper disguises abandoning our first names. On the theory that we were always right, he became Wilbur and I became Orville after those two aviation pioneers the Wright brothers. The servants and train crew may have known the identities of one or two of us, but they did not know all and it was the names of all printed together that would've made our mysterious journey significant in Washington, in Wall Street, even in London. Discovery we knew simply must not happen."

Why not? why the secrecy? what's the big deal about a group of Bankers getting together in private and talking about banking or even banking legislation. And the answer is provided by Vanderlip himself in the same article. He said: "If it were to be exposed publicly that our particular group had gotten together and written a banking bill, that bill would have no chance whatever of passage by Congress." Why not? Because the purpose of the bill was to break the grip of the money trust and it was written by the money trust. And had that fact been known at the get-go, we would never have had a Federal Reserve System because as Vanderlip said it would have had no chance of passage at all by Congress. So it was essential to keep that whole thing a secret as it has remained a secret even to this day. Not exactly a secret that you couldn't discover because anybody can go to the library and dig this out, but it is certainly not taught in textbooks. We don't know any of this in the official literature from the Federal Reserve System because that was like asking the fox to build the henhouse and install the security system.

That was the reason for the secrecy at the meeting. Now we know something very important about the Federal Reserve that we didn't know before, but there's much more to it than that. Consider the composition of this group. Here we had the Morgans, the Rockefellers, Kuhn, Loeb & Company, the Rothschilds and the Warburgs. Anything strange about that mixture? These were competitors. These were the major competitors in the field of investment and banking in those days; these were the giants. Prior to this period they were beating their heads against each other, blood all over the battlefield fighting for dominance in the financial markets of the World. Not only in New York but London, Paris and everywhere. And here they are sitting around a table coming to an agreement of some kind. What's going on here? We need to ask a few questions.

This is extremely significant because it happened precisely at that point in American history where business was undergoing a major and fundamental change in ideology. Prior to this point, American business had been operating under the principles of private enterprise--free enterprise competition is what made American great, what caused it to surpass all of the other nations of the World. Once we had achieved that pinnacle of performance, however, this was the point in history where the shift was going away from competition toward monopoly. This has been described in many textbooks as the dawning of the era of the cartel and this was what was happening. For the fifteen year period prior to the meeting on Jekyll Island, the very investment groups about which we are speaking were coming together more and more and engaging in joint ventures rather than competing with each other. The meeting on Jekyll Island was merely the culmination of that trend where they came together completely and decided not to compete--they formed a cartel.

I need to define that word so that you will know what I mean when I use the word cartel. It is a group of independently owned businesses which come together for the purpose of reducing or eliminating competition between themselves to enhance their profit margin or to secure their positions in the market. They do this by various means one of which is price fixing--no competition on price. There are other means. If we were forming a cartel here I might insist that I get the north and you can have the south and we won't compete. Or I would say I'll produce the gizmo and you can have the widget and we won't compete or we'll share patents and processes and whatever we do we agree to eliminate competition between ourselves. The more layers of agreement that we put one on top of the other, the more we become encased in this cartel structure and we become as one insofar as the market is concerned even though within that grouping we are separately owned.

This is just as true with a banking cartel as it is with any other industry. We come to the conclusion when we analyze the nature of the Federal Reserve System how it operates, read the Federal Reserve Act, place it against the context of the historical background and we come smack to the realization that the Federal Reserve System although it parades around looking as though it's a government operation of some kind, is merely a cartel of banks right under our noses and it is protected by law. I sometimes get the impression that it's been there dangerously operating all these years and we didn't even know it. I saw a video some years ago about the lava tubes in Hawaii. They are very impressive because apparently once in a while the ground will just break out, a hole will fall down and you can look into the hole and you see that there's a river of lava actually flowing just a few feet under your feet and you don't even know it's down there unless something breaks through and you hope you're not on the piece that breaks through. I got the feeling that this is how the Federal Reserve has been operating right under our feet; this cartel has been running and we didn't even know it because that fact has been carefully concealed from us.

Conclusion number 2 about the Federal Reserve System, a very important thing that we didn't know is the cartel. There's even more to it than that. Perhaps the third ingredient is the most important of all and that is the realization that this cartel went into partnership with the government. Now we have hold of something extremely significant. Cartels often go into partnership with governments because they need the force of law to enforce their cartel agreement but in this case they did it in spades. Whenever a partnership is formed there has to be a benefit to the partners otherwise they don't form it. So we need to ask the question what is the benefit, the payoff, for these two partners? Why did they go into it? Why did the government go into a partnership like this and why does the banking cartel? In answering those questions we finally come to grips with the reality of what this creature from Jekyll Island is. Let's take a look at that; what's the payoff to these two partners? In order to see that we'll have to examine in some detail the mechanism by which the Federal Reserve System creates money. This is a real interesting study. I call it the "Mandrake Mechanism" named after that comic-book character of the 40s, Mandrake the Magician, who could create something out of nothing and then wave his cape and it was back into the void again. That's a descriptive phrase for the way the Federal Reserve System does it.

Let's take a look at it and see how they create money through the Mandrake Mechanism. I am going to do this in a very simplified form. I want to warn you that it's going to sound like it's too simple. It's not. I'm going to strip out all the banking terminology, all the banker language, all the accounting phrases that need to be defined and speak in very plain English that anybody can understand. It may sound to you as though I've simplified it too much and I want to assure you that in spite of the simple language everything I'm going to tell you is absolutely 100% technically accurate. The other thing I want to warn you about is don't try and make sense out of this because it can't be done; this does not make sense and you'll blow a fuse trying to make it make sense. Just remember that it is a scam and if you keep that fact in mind then you'll have no trouble comprehending what's going on.

Here's how it works. It starts with the government side of the partnership in Congress which is spending money like crazy. It spends far more money than it takes in. It is spending way beyond its income. How can it do that? Basically this is what happens. Let's say Congress needs an extra billion dollars today so it goes to the treasury and says "we want a billion dollars" and the treasury official says "you guys have got to be kidding, we don't have any money here, you spent it all a long time ago, everything that we've taken in taxes you fellows have spent by March." Congress says "we thought that was true but we thought we'd stop by just in case somebody sent some more in." They get together and they go down the street and they get the idea that we'll borrow the money. So they stop at the printing office and they don't print money at the printing office, they print certificates and they're very fancy things with borders on the edge with an eagle across the top and a seal at the bottom and it says "US Government Bond" or "Note" or "Bill" depending on the length of the maturity of it. If you hold it up to the light it really says "IOU" because that's what it is. They print these things up and it looks very impressive and then they offer them to the private sector; they're hoping that people will come up and loan money to the federal government and a lot of people do and are anxious to lend money to their government. Why? Because they've been told by their investment advisors that that's the most sound investment that you can make. Why? We've all heard that these loans are backed by the full faith and credit of the US government. They're not quite sure what that means but it sure sounds good. I'd like to explain for you who are in doubt what that means. The full faith and credit of the US government means that the government solemnly promises to pay back that loan plus interest if it has to take everything you and I have in the form of taxes in order to do it, it's going to do it. It will take everything we have if necessary to hold its pledge. People don't realize that they're putting themselves on the line, they're going to get their own money back minus a substantial handling fee.

Plenty of money is loaned to the government but never enough. Congress needs more money than that. They say not to worry. They go further down the street to the Federal Reserve building. The Fed has been waiting for them, that's one of the reasons it was created. By the time they get inside the Federal Reserve building the officer of the Fed is opening his

desk drawer. He knows they're going to be there and he's ready and he pulls out his checkbook and he writes a check to the US Treasury for one billion dollars or whatever the amount is that they need in exchange for the [unsold] Government Bonds. We need to stop here for a minute and ask a question. Where did they get a billion dollars to give to the treasury? who put that money into the account at the Federal Reserve System? The amazing answer is there is no money in the account at the Federal Reserve System. In fact, technically, there isn't even an account, there is only a checkbook. That's all. That billion dollars springs into being at precisely the instant the officer signs that check and that is called "monetizing the debt," that's the phrase they throw at you. That means they just wrote a check, a big rubber check. If you and I were to do that we would go to jail but they can do it because Congress wants them to do it. In fact, this is the payoff, this is the benefit to the government side of this partnership, this is how the government gets its instant access to any amount of money at any time without having to go to the taxpayer directly and justify it or ask for it. Otherwise, they would have to come to the taxpayer and say we're going to raise your taxes another \$3,000 this year and of course if they did that, they would be voted out of office real fast. They like the Mandrake Mechanism because it's a no questions asked source of money. You may have noticed that it's been many years since Congress has even discussed what anything costs, it's not an issue. It doesn't make any difference what the cost is because regardless of the overrun they know they can go down the street to the Federal Reserve and by law the officer has to write that big check and give it to them and they're off and running.

There in a nutshell is the reason the government likes the Mandrake Mechanism--easy instant access to any amount of money of any kind without the taxpayer being involved directly in the loop. But what about the banking side? This is where it really gets interesting. Let's go back to that billion dollar check. The treasury official deposits the check into the government's checking account and all of a sudden the computers start to click and it shows that the government has a billion dollar deposit meaning that it can now write a billion dollars in checks against that deposit which it starts to do real fast. For the sake of our analysis, let's just follow \$100 out of that billion in a check that for some reason they write to the fellow that delivers the mail to our door. The postal worker gets a check for \$100 and he looks at this thing and he can't imagine in his wildest dreams that that money didn't exist two days ago anywhere in the universe. It's spendable so he wouldn't even care if you told him. He deposits it now into his personal checking account. Now we're finally out of the Federal Reserve and out of the government's check and we're into the private banking system. We're in finally to that part of the partnership which is involved in the cartel. A \$100 deposit has now been made in the local bank and the banker sees that and runs over to the loan window and opens it up and says "attention, everybody, we have money to loan, someone just deposited \$100." Everyone is overjoyed at that because that's one of the reasons they come to the bank, they come to borrow money. That's a sign of national health if you're in debt so they're anxious to know that the bank has money to loan, they line up for these loans. They heard the banker and they say \$100 that's not very much and he says not to worry we can loan up to \$900 based on that \$100 deposit. How can that be done? It gets complicated the way they do it and I'll tell you in very simple terms. The Federal Reserve System requires that the banks hold no less than 10% of their deposits in reserve. The bank holds 10% of that \$100 in reserve, \$10, and it loans this first fellow in line \$90. What does he do with it? He wants to spend it so he puts it into his checking account. In fact it probably goes directly into his checking account. Let's assume that they gave it to him and he puts it back, when he puts it back it's a deposit isn't it?

Only a \$100 deposit but \$900 in loans and that deposit is still there. Where did the \$900 come from and the answer is the same--there was no money. This springs into existence precisely at the point at which the loan is made. Notice the difference, an important distinction is when the money is created out of nothing for the government it is spent by the government. On the banking side, however, when it's created out of nothing it's not spent by the banks it is loaned by the banks to you and to me and we spend it. Notice that when they loan it to us we have to pay them interest on it. Think about this for a minute. This money was created out of nothing and yet they collect interest on it which means that they collect interest on nothing. Not too shabby! What a concept, why didn't I think of that! I wish I had a magic checkbook like that where I could just write checks all day long and didn't have to have any money any place just checks, loan it to you folks and you're silly enough to pay me interest on it. That's how it works.

Now you see what the benefit is to the banking cartel for being involved in this Federal Reserve System, interest on nothing. The process doesn't end there, however. It has consequences to you and to me. I've heard some people say "isn't that interesting, these fellows are sure smart, I guess they deserve to be rich." It's as though we're out of the loop, it doesn't affect us any, they got rich but we're ok. Well no, they got rich alright but they got it by taking it from us. How does that work? Let's follow this. This newly created money goes out into the economy and it dilutes down the value of the dollars that were already out there. It's like pouring water into a pot of soup, it dilutes the soup. So by throwing more and more money into the economic soup out there the money gets weaker and weaker and weaker and we have the phenomenon called inflation which is the appearance of rising prices. I emphasize the word "appearance" because in reality prices are not rising at all. What we're seeing is that the value of the dollar is going down, that's the real side of the equation. If we had real money based on gold or silver or anything tangible that couldn't just be created out of thin air, it could be based on microphones, that they couldn't just create with the stroke of a pen, you would see then that prices would remain stable over a long period of time.

To illustrate that point, it's interesting to know that if we had lived in ancient Rome with a one ounce gold coin we would've been able to buy a very fine toga, a hand-crafted belt and a pair of sandals--that was the price in Rome. Today, if we have a one ounce gold coin what can we buy with it? We can go into any men's store and buy a very fine suit, a hand-crafted belt and a pair of shoes. The price of these items hasn't changed in thousands of years when expressed in terms of real money but when expressed in terms of these things we carry around in our pockets called Federal Reserve notes which is not really money at all, fiat money anyway, the prices keep going up and up and up because the value of

those units keeps going down and down and down because they keep making more and more and more of them and dumping them into the economic soup.

That's still not the end of the process. We lost some purchasing power through this process called inflation. We lost something and very few people ask the question "who got it"? It's as though nobody got it, we all lost it, it's like it evaporated and went up to heaven somewhere. No, somebody got it. For every loser there's a winner. Or I should say for every fifty losers there's one winner that gets it all. Somebody got it. Who? Those people that got our lost purchasing power are the ones who were right up at the point where the fresh money was injected into the economic pot of soup. The ones that got the money first gained because they had full purchasing power at that instant when the money was created. By the time they spent it and gave it to you and you spent it on something and gave it to him and by the time that it got out to the edge of the pot where most of us are it's diluted. The ones that were right up at the nozzle got our lost purchasing power. Who are they? Obviously the government was up there first. Remember the billion dollar check, the very beginning of this process went to the government and they spent it instantly and that money went out into the economy and that was the beginning of this ripple effect. Who else? The next ones were the people who were up at the loan window. They got the money that was freshly created by the banking system because they were the borrowers. We all know that in times of inflation borrowers gain, this is no mystery. We've been told and advised to borrow money and stay up to the hilt in debt because you borrow in dollars but because of inflation you can pay back with 50 cent pieces.

So everybody knows about this part of it. What they forget is that the alleged benefits of doing this are surrendered to the bank in the form of interest payments. They're really not gaining that much. The gain that they are getting through the inflation process they're having to give to the bank in the form of interest on nothing. And it seems that they're gaining because they have these paper profits. The value of this real estate is going up and up and up or the value of my stock is going up and up and up but it's all paper. As far as purchasing power is concerned it's not going up, up, up at all. Nevertheless they're still having to pay for that illusion in the form of interest payments on nothing. Then comes the inevitable contraction of the economy. People don't realize that the economy moves traditionally like a sawtooth--it goes up gradually for a long period of time and seems like forever it's going to go up, you can plan on it forever and don't worry about it and then clunk! it falls down very quickly and then it starts the next long climb and people forget that every once in a while it comes down very abruptly. When it contracts people are extended out there and they can't service their debt and make the payments and they lose their assets.

Another interesting thing about this is that when the bank loans you money which it created out of nothing, it cost them nothing to make it, it wants something from you. It wants you to sign on the dotted line and pledge your house, your car, your inventory, your assets so that in case for any reason you cannot continue to make your payments they get your marbles, they get all of your assets. They're not going to lose anything on this. Whether it's expansion or contraction, inflation or deflation the banks are covered and we like sheep go right along with it because we haven't figured it out, we don't know that this is a scam. Of course we have no choice either right now because it's all enforced by law. We have no escape. We have no choice but it's even better that we don't understand it because we can't complain about it either. There you have it. The two groups that got our lost purchasing power--is anyone surprised?--the two members of the partnership, the government and the banking cartel. The two groups that comprise the Federal Reserve System. This lost purchasing power which is going from us to them is a tax. We don't think of it as a tax but it is. We have no escape from it. In fact, it's more a tax than the income tax or the excise tax which you can escape in one way or another. You can't escape this one. There are no deductions, no exemptions, everyone pays it and it is the most cruel, unfair tax of all because it falls most heavily on those who can least afford to pay it. It falls on those on fixed incomes, those who are retired. Anyone who has saved their money is paying this tax in direct proportion to the degree to which they have been frugal. It's a tax even though we don't think of it as that and it's time to think of it as that. It's a tax that goes from us to the government and to the banking cartel.

Let's summarize. What is the benefit to the members of the partnership? The government benefits because it is able to tax the American people any amount it wishes through a process which the people do not understand called inflation. They don't realize they're being taxed which makes it real handy when you're going for re-election. On the banking side they're able to earn perpetual interest on nothing. I emphasize the word "perpetual" because remember when the loan is paid back it's turned around and loaned out to somebody else. Once that money is created the object of the bank is to stay "loaned up" as they say. In reality the banks can never stay 100% loaned up and that ratio varies a lot but the objective is to stay loaned up to whatever extent is possible. Generally speaking once this money is created in the loan process it is out there in the economy forever, perpetually earning interest for one of the members of the banking cartel which created that money.

There you have in a condensed form a crash course on the Federal Reserve System and I can assure you that you know more about the Federal Reserve than you would probably if you enrolled in a four year course in economics because they don't teach this reality in school. So what, they say? Can you imagine that? I knew when I wrote this book and it got out that there would be some objection to it but I never dreamed what it would be. I couldn't think of any objection to it, I thought what are they going to say, what are the defenders of the Federal Reserve System going to say to me? I figured they were going to try and pick some error that I had made in some technical issue and try and make me look like a buffoon. But I never dreamed that the only opposition, at least that I've run into so far, is the question "so what"?

First of all, we are not living that well. People like Barry Lind are undoubtedly living very well and there are plenty of people in the system who are living very well. Generally those are the ones who are up at the nozzle where this new money is coming into the system or they're involved in the government or they have government subsidies. For most people, away from the nozzle, it's not going so well, we're not living that well. It is a matter of fact that the only reason that America has been able to maintain the appearance of a high standard of living since the Federal Reserve System has gotten into full swing, especially after WWII, is because of the shift towards two family incomes. It now takes two working people to just maintain the semblance of where we used to be with one person working in the family. And in spite of the two family income real wages are down for the common man today, real wages in terms of the number of hours a person must work in order to acquire the necessities of life. Young couples who are living on a single income now have a lower standard of living than their parents did. The net worth of the average household is falling. The leisure time for the average American is shrinking. The percentage of families who own their own homes is dropping. The age at which a family acquires its first home is rising. The number of families that are counted in the middle class is falling. The number of people below the poverty line is rising. Personal bankruptcies today are about three times what they were in the 1960s and over 90% of Americans are broke at the age of 65. So we're not living well at all as a result of this creature.

Furthermore, there's another thing wrong with it. That is that when you have a money supply based upon thin air it not only expands but it contracts. If it were based on gold or silver or microphones, the money supply couldn't expand and contract at the BankLords' whim but when it's politically motivated it can contract and that is the core cause of all of the booms and busts that have plagued America for so many years. In other words, this is the concept behind the recession and the depression and that is another thing that's wrong with it. The third thing that's wrong with it is that it is dishonest. You don't really need anything more than that do you? Even if it were the element that was creating our prosperity, even if it didn't cause recessions and depressions the fact that it is fraud, the fact that it is deception, it's dishonest and theft is really a good enough reason in my opinion to get rid of it. That's what's wrong with this scam.

Let's go back to Jekyll Island. They had an interesting problem there which was what to call their creature. This partnership between government and banks which we've been discussing was not new with the Federal Reserve System. In fact, it was a concept that was created in Europe in the 16th century. It was perfected with the formation of the Bank of England in 1694 and from that point forward all of the governments of Europe had used this Mandrake Mechanism. They didn't call it the Mandrake Mechanism, of course, they called it a "central bank," that's the technical phrase for this partnership. If you want to look it up in a textbook or encyclopedia you'll find it under the heading "Central Bank." From the Bank of England forward all the governments of Europe had central banks for a very good reason. The kings and princes of Europe had learned from hard experience that they could raise the taxes of their subjects only so high and then they had a revolt on their hands and they tended to lose their jobs (and heads). It appears that that natural level was about 40-43%; people will tolerate taxes up to about 40-43% and then they start digging in their heels and they just won't allow it to go any further. But with the central bank mechanism in place the lid was off. Now these governments could tax their people 50%, 60%, 70% and in some cases 80% of everything they produced and they did not have a revolt on their hands. They did not have resentment because the people didn't know that they were paying a tax. They knew that prices were going up, but they didn't understand why, they didn't know who was getting their lost purchasing power.

It was a nifty arrangement for these governments. It was at that point in history that governments' wars began to heat up. They always had wars but they were relatively small things because wars are expensive and the people won't pay more than 40% for everything including wars. But now that they had a way to tax higher than that, they could engage in very expensive wars. It's at that point in history that Europe plunged headlong into continuous war and big, very, very expensive wars. The people paid for them uncomplainingly through the process of inflation. So when it came time to transplant this concept to America these seven men on Jekyll Island knew very well that they were creating a central bank; that was the reason that Paul Warburg was so valuable because he was the man with the intense knowledge, the detailed technical knowledge of how central banks operate. But they had a problem. How could they conceal that from the American people because Congress was already on record as saying they did not want a central bank in America. I don't think they knew what that phrase really meant, but they knew that Europe had them, whatever they were, and we didn't want any. They said in America if we're going to have banking reform we don't want what they do over in Europe, we want something that is unique for America and its principles and economy.

The problem before these men on Jekyll Island is what to call the central bank so that nobody would know it was a central bank. And they theorized over this and this was their strategy: they said first let's give it a name and we'll add the word "Federal" to it to make it sound like its government. Then we'll add the word "Reserve" to make it seem like there are reserves somewhere, like it was a banking concept. We'll add the word "System," a very important word even though it may seem obscure now because remember in those days the concern was the concentration of financial power in New York so they had to sell the idea of a system of regional banks which would diffuse that power all over the nation. First they talked about ten regions and then they said that wasn't enough, twelve regions, we'll have twelve banks. And we'll build big buildings out there in all of those regions so the local yokels can go and look at the building and say "golly we've got one of those out here." Diffusion of power away from New York; you can go and touch the building. The word "System" was very important. When you look at it you realize that what they created there was not federal, there are no reserves, it's not a system at all in the sense of diffusion of power and these Federal Reserve banks aren't even banks. On all four words we're dealing with appearances of the fourth kind. It was brilliant strategy.

The next thing was to sell this creature to the public. The first draft of the Federal Reserve Act as it was presented to Congress was called the Aldrich Bill named after the sponsor, Senator Nelson Aldrich. This was against the good advice of Paul Warburg. He said: "Nelson, don't put your name on that bill because you are so identified with big business interests that Congress will vote it down; the people will not accept it." And apparently Aldrich's ego was too big. He must've said: "Well no, after all I'm highly respected in the Senate and I am the Chairman of the National Monetary Commission" and for whatever reason he insisted that his name be on the bill. It appears that he wanted to go down in history as the originator of the Federal Reserve System. Warburg was right. When the bill was introduced Congress put thumbs-down on it. "The Bill of Big Business." They took the bill back for it was just a minor setback, they scrambled the paragraphs around a little bit, took Aldrich's name off real fast and they found a couple of Democrats to sponsor the bill. This was different. Everybody knew that the Republicans represented big business but they also knew that Democrats represented the common man, the little guy, the fellow on the assembly line (like Ted Kennedy). They found a couple of millionaire Democrats to sponsor the bill. They found Carter Glass in the House and Senator Robert Owen who himself was a banker. Now it was the Glass-Owen bill and it was totally different and acceptable. The next thing, Aldrich and Vanderlip began to give speeches and interviews to newspaper reporters condemning the bill. They said: "This bill will be ruinous to banking. It will be terrible for the country." By the time the common man read that in his newspaper he said: "Oh golly, I guess these big Bankers don't like the bill very much so it must be pretty good."

These fellows were not stupid. You have to give them credit. They didn't get to be where they were by being country bumpkins. They understood politics, they understood mass psychology and they played their cards exceedingly well. Meanwhile these same individuals out of their own pockets were paying the price for the costs of bringing up what they called grassroots study clubs all over the country. They sponsored these clubs and they held public meetings and printed brochures and pamphlets extolling the virtues of the Federal Reserve System. They gave large amounts of money to some of the better known universities in America; they created newly formed departments of economics with that money; they hand picked their own people to be the professors to head up those departments and then those professors with all of their academic credentials gave speeches and wrote scholarly essays extolling the virtues of the Federal Reserve System. And then at the insistence of Paul Warburg who was forever the master strategist, they added several very sound provisions to the Federal Reserve Bill. By that I mean they added some provisions which seriously restricted the ability of the Federal Reserve to create money out of nothing. Warburg's associates said, "Paul, what are you doing? We don't want those in there this is our bill." And his response was this, he said, "Relax fellas, don't you get it? Our object is to get the bill passed. We can fix it up later." Those were his exact words. "We can fix it up later." He was so right. It was because of those provisions that they won over the support of William Jennings Bryan the head of the Populist Movement, the last hold-out against the bill. Bryan was concerned that this would be an instrument for ruining the nation's money supply but when he saw those provisions he said, "Oh well, those are good provisions, I guess I can support the bill now" never dreaming that this was temporary. Everything is temporary in politics. When people go to sleep things can get changed.

Warburg was right and they fixed it up later. The Federal Reserve Act since it was passed has been amended over 100 times. Every one of those provisions were long ago removed and many more have been added which greatly expand the power and reach of the Federal Reserve System to create money out of nothing. With this kind of professional strategy and deception these people were real professionals and the public didn't stand a chance. It is no surprise that popular support was finally gained for the bill and on December 22, 1913 the bill was passed by Congress and the following day was signed into law by President Wilson and the creature from Jekyll Island finally moved into Washington, DC. Let's stand back from the creature a few paces and take a look at its general form and shape and see what it is we got. We got a corporation chartered by Congress which was given an exclusive franchise to create our nation's money supply. We got a mechanism whereby Congress has been able to raise unlimited taxes from the American people without them even knowing that they're paying a tax and we got a mechanism whereby the banks can earn perpetual interest on nothing. That is the shape and form of the creature from Jekyll Island.

Here's an interesting question, Who owns the Federal Reserve System? You hear a lot of discussion on this particularly on talk radio nowadays. When the subject of money comes up somebody calls in and says, "Did you know the Federal Reserve is completely owned by the private banks? It's a private corporation. What we need to do," they say "is abolish the Fed and turn it over to the government so they can operate it for the benefit of the people.".... It is true that the Federal Reserve System is not an agency of the federal government in any shape or form. As I mentioned before, it is a corporation that is chartered by Congress and like all corporations it has stock certificates and those stock certificates in this case are held by the banks within the Federal Reserve System. Every bank that's in the system is an owner of the Federal Reserve--remember this is a cartel. They own it in one sense of the word, in the sense that they have stock certificates but up to that point it looks as though it has all the attributes of a privately held corporation. But that's as far as it goes because those stock certificates do not carry with them any of the attributes of private ownership. For example, the holders of these certificates cannot sell them... Furthermore the larger banks put up more money than the smaller banks, it's a ratio to their assets, so the larger banks have more stock certificates in the system than the small ones and yet regardless of the number that they hold, every bank has just one vote. There's another violation of the principle of private ownership...The board of directors and chairman of the Federal Reserve System are appointed by the President; they're not elected by the banks that are part of the system http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml .

All that the local banks can vote for with their vote are the boards of directors of the regional banks, so-called, which are subdivisions within the system. They can't even vote for the leadership in their local subdivisions because the chairman and the vice-chairman of those 12 regional banks are appointed by the national board. They can vote for their officers at those regional banks, the president, the vice-president and treasurer but guess what? Those are subject to veto by the

national board. Get the picture? All power has always been at the top of this system. The only thing that the charter allows them to vote for, those boards of directors, of substance is to set the interest rates within their regions. But this should come as no surprise to anybody that even that is subject to veto by the national board. You see this concept of diffusion of power throughout the regions of the US is a scam. There is no power at the local level. There is nothing that these boards of directors who are voted in by the banks who hold the certificates can do of substance. All they're allowed to do really is play golf. It is not a privately held corporation in the traditional sense of the word. This idea of diffusion of power over the 12 regional banks was just a necessity of 1913 to sell the concept to the American people. If it hadn't been for this aversion against the concentration of power in New York they would never have had these 12 regions; it's just a leftover from the necessity to sell it and doesn't serve any function whatsoever. So it's not a corporation in the traditional sense of the word, it's not a government agency in the traditional sense of the word so what is it? It's a hybrid, part corporation and part government, part private, part government. In fact, it is exactly what you would expect it to be considering the fact that it is a partnership between the private banking cartel and the government. It's a unique structure which was designed to perform a unique function. The important thing is what it does and as long as it a central bank, which means as long as it has the power and the mandate to create money out of nothing it will create money out of nothing. That's what it will do and it will continue to do exactly the same thing and be run no doubt by the same people as it is now and we would not have solved anything. We must keep in mind that in Europe all of the central banks there are in fact direct agencies of their respective governments; they are not hybrid organizations at all like ours. And yet in those countries they do exactly the same as the Federal Reserve System has been doing here. Just turning it over to the government is a non-solution.

Well, let's proceed now into Chapters 1 & 10 of "The Creature from Jekyll Island by Edward Griffin and from The Secrets of the Federal Reserve by Eustace Mullins". (copy of the 1913 Federal Reserve Act below). In brief, the private banking cartel known as the Federal Reserve System was setup in 1913 at the same time that the 16th Amendment was introduced to force income taxes onto Americans. The 16th Amendment was not legally ratified and this is why people are challenging the legality of income taxes that go to pay the interest on the money created from nothing by the private Federal Reserve System. The FED owners have become immensely wealthy by creating money out of nothing and lending it to the tax payers. Owners of the Fed are listed further down. The same scam happens with the Bank of England and HSBC, both controlled by the Mason/Illuminati Zionist families (Rothschild, etc.). The secret meeting on Jekyll Island (owned by J.P.Morgan) in Georgia (around 1910) at which the Federal Reserve was conceived and a roadmap was laid towards the birth of a banking cartel to protect its members from competition, and the strategy of how to convince Congress and the public that this cartel was an agency of the United States government. Seven men who represented an estimated one fourth of the total wealth of the entire World were present at that meeting:

1. Nelson W. Aldrich, Republican "whip" in the Senate, Chairman of the National Monetary Commission, business associate of J.P. Morgan, father-in-law to John D. Rockefeller, Jr.;
2. Abraham Piatt Andrew, Assistant Secretary of the United States Treasury;
3. Frank A. Vanderlip, president of the National City Bank of New York, the most powerful of the banks at that time, representing William Rockefeller and the international investment banking house of Kuhn, Loeb & Company;
4. Henry P. Davison, senior partner of the J.P Morgan Company;
5. Charles D. Norton, president of J.P. Morgan's First National Bank of New York;
6. Benjamin Strong, head of J.P. Morgan's Bankers Trust Company; and
7. Paul M. Warburg, a partner in Kuhn, Loeb & Company, a representative of the Rothschild banking dynasty in England and France, and brother to Max Warburg who was head of the Warburg banking consortium in Germany and the Netherlands.

These competitors colluded to create a banking cartel whose collateral is the US taxpayer and all properties of the US government. In 1913, the same year that the Federal Reserve Act was passed into law, a subcommittee of the House Committee on Currency and Banking, under the chairmanship of Arsene Pujo of Louisiana, completed its investigation into the concentration of financial power in the United States. Pujo was considered to be a spokesman for the oil interests, part of the very group under investigation, and did everything possible to sabotage the hearings. In spite of his efforts, however, the final report of the committee at large was devastating. It stated: Your committee is satisfied from the proofs submitted, even in the absence of data from the banks, that there is an established and well defined identity and community of interest between a few leaders of finance...which has resulted in great and rapidly growing concentration of the control of money and credit in the hands of these few men... When we consider, also, in this connection that into these reservoirs of money and credit there flow a large part of the reserves of the banks of the country, that they are also the agents and correspondents of the out-of-town banks in the loaning of their surplus funds in the only public money market of the country, and that a small group of men and their partners and associates have now further strengthened their hold upon the resources of these institutions by acquiring large stock holdings therein, by representation on their boards and through valuable patronage, we begin to realize something of the extent to which this practical and effective domination and control over our greatest financial, railroad and industrial corporations has developed, largely within the past five years, and that it is fraught with peril to the welfare of the country.

The purpose of this meeting on Jekyll Island was...to come to an agreement on the structure and operation of a banking cartel. The goal of the cartel, as is true with all of them, was to maximize profits by minimizing competition between members, to make it difficult for new competitors to enter the field, and to utilize the police power of government to enforce the cartel agreement. In more specific terms, the purpose and, indeed, the actual outcome of this meeting was to create the blueprint for the Federal Reserve System. On 23rd December 1913 the house of representatives had past the Federal Reserve Act, but it was still having difficulty getting it out of the senate. Most members of congress had gone

home for the holidays, but unfortunately the senate had not adjourn sine dei (without day) so they were technically still in session. There were only three members still present. On a unanimous consent voice vote the 1913 Federal Reserve Act was passed. No objection was made, possibly because there was no one there to object.

The first leak regarding this meeting found its way into print in 1916. It appeared in Leslie's Weekly and was written by a young financial reporter by the name of B.C. Forbes, who later founded Forbes Magazine. The article was primarily in praise of Paul Warburg, and it is likely that Warburg let the story out during conversations with the writer. At any rate, the opening paragraph contained a dramatic but highly accurate summary of both the nature and purpose of the meeting: Picture a party of the nation's greatest Bankers stealing out of New York on a private railroad car under cover of darkness, stealthily hiding hundreds of miles South, embarking on a mysterious launch, sneaking on to an island deserted by all but a few servants, living there a full week under such rigid secrecy that the names of not one of them was once mentioned lest the servants learn the identity and disclose to the World this strangest, most secret expedition in the history of American finance. I am not romancing. I am giving to the World, for the first time, the real story of how the famous Aldrich currency report, the foundation of our new currency system, was written. In 1930, Paul Warburg wrote a massive book - 1750 pages in all - entitled "The Federal Reserve System, Its Origin and Growth". In this tome, he described the meeting and its purpose but did not mention either its location or the names of those who attended. But he did say: "The results of the conference were entirely confidential. Even the fact there had been a meeting was not permitted to become public." Then in a footnote he added: "Though eighteen years have since gone by, I do not feel free to give a description of this most interesting conference concerning which Senator Aldrich pledged all participants to secrecy."

In the February 9, 1935, issue of the Saturday Evening Post, an article appeared written by Frank Vanderlip. In it he said: "Despite my views about the value to society of greater publicity for the affairs of corporations, there was an occasion, near the close of 1910, when I was as secretive - indeed, as furtive - as any conspirator....I do not feel it is any exaggeration to speak of our secret expedition to Jekyll Island as the occasion of the actual conception of what eventually became the Federal Reserve System....We were told to leave our last names behind us. We were told, further, that we should avoid dining together on the night of our departure. We were instructed to come one at a time and as unobtrusively as possible to the railroad terminal on the New Jersey littoral of the Hudson, where Senator Aldrich's private car would be in readiness, attached to the rear end of a train for the South...."

Once aboard the private car we began to observe the taboo that had been fixed on last names. We addressed one another as "Ben," "Paul," "Nelson," "Abe" - it is Abraham Piatt Andrew. Davison and I adopted even deeper disguises, abandoning our first names. On the theory that we were always right, he became Wilbur and I became Orville, after those two aviation pioneers, the Wright brothers....The servants and train crew may have known the identities of one or two of us, but they did not know all, and it was the names of all printed together that would have made our mysterious journey significant in Washington, in Wall Street, even in London. Discovery, we knew, simply must not happen, or else all our time and effort would be wasted. If it were to be exposed publicly that our particular group had got together and written a banking bill, that bill would have no chance whatever of passage by Congress. As with all cartels, it had to be created by fraudulent legislation and sustained by the power of government under the deception of protecting the consumer. **As John Kenneth Galbraith explained it:** "It was his [Aldrich's] thought to outflank the opposition by having not one central bank but many. And the word bank would itself be avoided."--Galbraith says "...Warburg has, with some justice, been called the father of the system."

Professor Edwin Seligman, a member of the international banking family of J. & W. Seligman, and head of the Department of Economics at Columbia University, writes that "...in its fundamental features, the Federal Reserve Act is the work of Mr. Warburg more than any other man in the country." Another brother, Max Warburg, was the financial adviser of the Kaiser and became Director of the Reichsbank in Germany. This was, of course, a central bank, and it was one of the cartel models used in the construction of the Federal Reserve System. The Reichsbank, incidentally, a few years later would create the massive hyperinflation that occurred in Germany, wiping out the middle class and the entire German economy as well....A. Barton Hepburn of Chase National Bank was even more candid. **He said:** "The measure recognizes and adopts the principles of a central bank. Indeed, if all works out as the sponsors of the law hope, it will make all incorporated banks together joint owners of a central dominating power." And that is about as good a definition of a cartel as one is likely to find.-- ...it is incapable of achieving its stated objectives.-- ...why is the System incapable of achieving its stated objectives? The painful answer is: those were never its true objectives.—

Anthony Sutton, former Research Fellow at the Hoover Institution for War, Revolution and Peace, and also Professor of Economics at California State University, Los Angeles, provides a somewhat deeper analysis. He writes: "Warburg's revolutionary plan to get American Society to go to work for Wall Street was astonishingly simple. Even today,... academic theoreticians cover their blackboards with meaningless equations, and the general public struggles in bewildered confusion with inflation and the coming credit collapse, while the quite simple explanation of the problem goes undiscussed and almost entirely uncomprehended. The Federal Reserve System is a legal private monopoly of the money supply operated for the benefit of the few under the guise of protecting and promoting the public interest."

The real significance of the journey to Jekyll Island and the creature that was hatched there was inadvertently summarized by the words of Paul Warburg's admiring biographer, Harold Kellock: "Paul M. Warburg is probably the mildest-mannered man that ever personally conducted a revolution. It was a bloodless revolution: he did not attempt to rouse the populace to arms. He stepped forth armed simply with an idea. And he conquered. That's the amazing thing. A shy, sensitive man, he imposed his idea on a nation of a hundred million people."

THE MANDRAKE MECHANISM...What is it? It is the method by which the Federal Reserve creates money out of nothing; the concept of usury as the payment of interest on pretended loans; the true cause of the hidden tax called inflation; **the way in which the Fed creates boom-bust cycles.** In the 1940s, there was a comic strip character called Mandrake the Magician. His specialty was creating things out of nothing and, when appropriate, to make them disappear back into that same void. It is fitting, therefore, that the process to be described in this section should be named in his honor. In the previous chapters, we examined the technique developed by the political and monetary scientists to create money out of nothing for the purpose of lending. This is not an entirely accurate description because it implies that money is created first and then waits for someone to borrow it. On the other hand, textbooks on banking often state that money is created out of debt. This also is misleading because it implies that debt exists first and then is converted into money. In truth, money is not created until the instant it is borrowed. It is the act of borrowing which causes it to spring into existence. And, incidentally, it is the act of paying off the debt that causes it to vanish. There is no short phrase that perfectly describes that process. So, until one is invented along the way, we shall continue using the phrase "create money out of nothing" and occasionally add "for the purpose of lending" where necessary to further clarify the meaning.

So, let us now...see just how far this money/debt-creation process has been carried -- and how it works. The first fact that needs to be considered is that our money today has no gold or silver behind it whatsoever. The fraction is not 54% nor 15%. It is 0%. It has traveled the path of all previous fractional money in history and already has degenerated into pure fiat money. The fact that most of it is in the form of checkbook balances rather than paper currency is a mere technicality; and the fact that Bankers speak about "reserve ratios" is eye wash. The so-called reserves to which they refer are, in fact, Treasury bonds and other certificates of debt. Our money is pure fiat through and through. The second fact that needs to be clearly understood is that, in spite of the technical jargon and seemingly complicated procedures, the actual mechanism by which the Federal Reserve creates money is quite simple. They do it exactly the same way the goldsmiths of old did except, of course, the goldsmiths were limited by the need to hold some precious metals in reserve, whereas the Fed has no such restriction.

The Federal Reserve is candid

The Federal Reserve itself is amazingly frank about this process. A booklet published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York tells us: "Currency cannot be redeemed, or exchanged, for Treasury gold or any other asset used as backing. The question of just what assets 'back' Federal Reserve notes has little but bookkeeping significance." Elsewhere in the same publication we are told: "Banks are creating money based on a borrower's promise to pay (the IOU)...Banks create money by 'monetizing' the private debts of businesses and individuals." In a booklet entitled Modern Money Mechanics, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago says: "In the United States neither paper currency nor deposits have value as commodities. Intrinsically, a dollar bill is just a piece of paper. Deposits are merely book entries. Coins do have some intrinsic value as metal, but generally far less than their face amount". "Neither paper currency nor deposits have value as commodities, intrinsically, a 'dollar' bill is just a piece of paper. Deposits are merely book entries." -- Modern Money Mechanics Workbook, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, 1975

What, then, makes these instruments -- checks, paper money, and coins -- acceptable at face value in payment of all debts and for other monetary uses? Mainly, it is the confidence people have that they will be able to exchange such money for other financial assets and real goods and services whenever they choose to do so. This partly is a matter of law; currency has been designated "legal tender" by the government -- that is, it must be accepted. In the fine print of a footnote in a bulletin of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, we find this surprisingly candid explanation: Modern monetary systems have a fiat base -- literally money by decree -- with depository institutions, acting as fiduciaries, creating obligations against themselves with the fiat base acting in part as reserves. The decree appears on the currency notes: "This note is legal tender for all debts, public and private." While no individual could refuse to accept such money for debt repayment, exchange contracts could easily be composed to thwart its use in everyday commerce. However, a forceful explanation as to why money is accepted is that the federal government requires it as payment for tax liabilities. Anticipation of the need to clear this debt creates a demand for the pure fiat dollars.

Money would vanish without debt

It is difficult for Americans to come to grips with the fact that their total money supply is backed by nothing but debt, and it is even more mind boggling to visualize that, if everyone paid back all that was borrowed, there would be no money left in existence. That's right, there would not be one penny in circulation -- all coins and all paper currency would be returned to bank vaults -- and there would be not one dollar in any one's checking account. In short, all money would disappear. Marriner Eccles was the Governor of the Federal Reserve System in 1941. On September 30 of that year, Eccles was asked to give testimony before the House Committee on Banking and Currency. The purpose of the hearing was to obtain information regarding the role of the Federal Reserve in creating conditions that led to the depression of the 1930s. Congressman Wright Patman, who was Chairman of that committee, asked how the Fed got the money to purchase two billion dollars worth of government bonds in 1933. This is the exchange that followed.

ECCLES: We created it.

PATMAN: Out of what?

ECCLES: Out of the right to issue credit money.

PATMAN: And there is nothing behind it, is there, except our government's credit?

ECCLES: That is what our money system is. If there were no debts in our money system, there wouldn't be any money.

It must be realized that, while money may represent an asset to selected individuals, when it is considered as an aggregate of the total money supply, it is not an asset at all. A man who borrows \$1,000 may think that he has increased his financial position by that amount but he has not. His \$1,000 cash asset is offset by his \$1,000 loan liability, and his net position is zero. Bank accounts are exactly the same on a larger scale. Add up all the bank accounts in the nation, and it would be easy to assume that all that money represents a gigantic pool of assets which support the economy. Yet, every bit of this money is owed by someone. Some will owe nothing. Others will owe many times what they possess. All added together, the national balance is zero. What we think is money is but a grand illusion. The reality is debt.

Robert Hemphill was the Credit Manager of the Federal Reserve Bank in Atlanta. In the foreword to a book by Irving Fisher, entitled 100% Money, Hemphill said this:

If all the bank loans were paid, no one could have a bank deposit, and there would not be a dollar of coin or currency in circulation. This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash, or credit. If the banks create ample synthetic money we are prosperous; if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture, the tragic absurdity of our hopeless situation is almost incredible -- but there it is. With the knowledge that money in America is based on debt, it should not come as a surprise to learn that the Federal Reserve System is not the least interested in seeing a reduction in debt in this country, regardless of public utterances to the contrary. Here is the bottom line from the System's own publications. **The Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia says:** "A large and growing number of analysts, on the other hand, now regard the national debt as something useful, if not an actual blessing....[They believe] the national debt need not be reduced at all." The Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago adds: "Debt -- public and private -- is here to stay. It plays an essential role in economic processes.... What is required is not the abolition of debt, but its prudent use and intelligent management."

What's wrong with a little debt?

There is a kind of fascinating appeal to this theory. It gives those who expound it an aura of intellectualism, the appearance of being able to grasp a complex economic principle that is beyond the comprehension of mere mortals. And, for the less academically minded, it offers the comfort of at least sounding moderate. After all, what's wrong with a little debt, prudently used and intelligently managed? The answer is nothing, provided the debt is based on an honest transaction. There is plenty wrong with it if it is based upon fraud. An honest transaction is one in which a borrower pays an agreed upon sum in return for the temporary use of a lender's asset. That asset could be anything of tangible value. If it were an automobile, for example, then the borrower would pay "rent." If it is money, then the rent is called "interest." Either way, the concept is the same.

When we go to a lender -- either a bank or a private party -- and receive a loan of money, we are willing to pay interest on the loan in recognition of the fact that the money we are borrowing is an asset which we want to use. It seems only fair to pay a rental fee for that asset to the person who owns it. It is not easy to acquire an automobile, and it is not easy to acquire money -- real money, that is. If the money we are borrowing was earned by someone's labor and talent, they are fully entitled to receive interest on it. But what are we to think of money that is created by the mere stroke of a pen or the click of a computer key? Why should anyone collect a rental fee on that? When banks place credits into your checking account, they are merely pretending to lend you money. In reality, they have nothing to lend. Even the money that non-indebted depositors have placed with them was originally created out of nothing in response to someone else's loan. So what entitles the banks to collect rent on nothing? It is immaterial that men everywhere are forced by law to accept these nothing certificates in exchange for real goods and services. We are talking here, not about what is legal, but what is moral. As Thomas Jefferson observed at the time of his protracted battle against central banking in the United States, "No one has a natural right to the trade of money lender, but he who has money to lend."

Third reason to abolish the system

Centuries ago, usury was defined as any interest charged for a loan. Modern usage has redefined it as excessive interest. Certainly, any amount of interest charged for a pretended loan is excessive. The dictionary, therefore, needs a new definition. Usury: The charging of any interest on a loan of fiat money. Let us, therefore, look at debt and interest in this light. Thomas Edison summed up the immorality of the system when he said: People who will not turn a shovel of dirt on the project nor contribute a pound of materials will collect more money...than will the people who will supply all the materials and do all the work.

Is that an exaggeration? Let us consider the purchase of a \$100,000 home in which \$30,000 represents the cost of the land, architect's fee, sales commissions, building permits, and that sort of thing and \$70,000 is the cost of labor and building materials. If the home buyer puts up \$30,000 as a down payment, then \$70,000 must be borrowed. If the loan is issued at 11% over a 30-year period, the amount of interest paid will be \$167,806. That means the amount paid to those who loan the money is about 2 1/2 times greater than paid to those who provide all the labor and all the materials. It is true that this figure represents the time-value of that money over thirty years and easily could be justified on the basis

that a lender deserves to be compensated for surrendering the use of his capital for half a lifetime. But that assumes the lender actually had something to surrender, that he had earned the capital, saved it, and then loaned it for construction of someone else's house. What are we to think, however, about a lender who did nothing to earn the money, had not saved it, and, in fact, simply created it out of thin air? What is the time-value of nothing?

As we have already shown, every dollar that exists today, either in the form of currency, checkbook money, or even credit card money -- in other words, our entire money supply -- exists only because it was borrowed by someone; perhaps not you, but someone. That means all the American dollars in the entire World are earning daily and compounding interest for the banks which created them. A portion of every business venture, every investment, every profit, every transaction which involves money -- and that even includes losses and the payment of taxes -- a portion of all that is earmarked as payment to a bank. And what did the banks do to earn this perpetually flowing river of wealth? Did they lend out their own capital obtained through investment of stockholders? Did they lend out the hard-earned savings of their depositors? No, neither of these were their major source of income. They simply waved the magic wand called fiat money. The flow of such unearned wealth under the guise of interest can only be viewed as usury of the highest magnitude. Even if there were no other reasons to abolish the Fed, the fact that it is the supreme instrument of usury would be more than sufficient by itself.

Who creates the money to pay the interest?

One of the most perplexing questions associated with this process is "Where does the money come from to pay the interest?" If you borrow \$10,000 from a bank at 9%, you owe \$10,900. But the bank only manufactures \$10,000 for the loan. It would seem, therefore, that there is no way that you -- and all others with similar loans -- can possibly pay off your indebtedness. The amount of money put into circulation just isn't enough to cover the total debt, including interest. This has led some to the conclusion that it is necessary for you to borrow the \$900 for interest, and that, in turn, leads to still more interest. The assumption is that, the more we borrow, the more we have to borrow, and that debt based on fiat money is a never ending spiral leading inexorably to more and more debt. This is a partial truth. It is true that there is not enough money created to include the interest, but it is incomplete to say that the only way to pay it back is to borrow still more, however if the BankLords delay or don't recirculated money in a particular economy, then it is correct to say that the only way to pay it back is to borrow still more. The assumption fails to take into account the exchange value of labor. Let us assume that you pay back your \$10,000 loan at the rate of approximately \$900 per month and that about \$80 of that represents interest. You realize you are hard pressed to make your payments so you decide to take on a part-time job. The bank, on the other hand, is now making \$80 profit each month on your loan. Since this amount is classified as "interest," it is not extinguished as is the larger portion which is a return of the loan itself. So this remains as spendable money in the account of the bank. The decision then is made to have the bank's floors waxed once a week. You respond to the ad in the paper and are hired at \$80 per month to do the job. The result is that you earn the money to pay the interest on your loan, and -- this is the point -- the money you receive is the same money which you previously had paid. As long as you perform labor for the bank each month, the same dollars go into the bank as interest, then out of the revolving door as your wages, and then back into the bank as loan repayment.

It is not necessary that you work directly for the bank. No matter where you earn the money, its origin was a bank and its ultimate destination is a bank. The loop through which it travels can be large or small, but the fact remains all interest is paid eventually by human effort. And the significance of that fact is even more startling than the assumption that not enough money is created to pay back the interest. It is that the total of this human effort ultimately is for the benefit of those who create fiat money. It is a form of modern serfdom in which the great mass of society works as indentured servants to a ruling class of financial nobility.

Understanding the illusion

That's really all one needs to know about the operation of the banking cartel under the protection of the Federal Reserve. But it would be a shame to stop here without taking a look at the actual cogs, mirrors, and pulleys that make the magical mechanism work. It is a truly fascinating engine of mystery and deception. Let us, therefore, turn our attention to the actual process by which the magicians create the illusion of modern money. First we shall stand back for a general view to see the overall action. Then we shall move in closer and examine each component in detail.

The Mandrake Mechanism: An Overview

The entire function of this machine is to convert debt into money. It's just that simple. First, the Fed takes all the government bonds which the public does not buy and writes a check to Congress in exchange for them. (It acquires other debt obligations as well, but government bonds comprise most of its inventory.) There is no money to back up this check. These fiat dollars are created on the spot for that purpose. By calling those bonds "reserves," the Fed then uses them as the base for creating 9 additional dollars for every dollar created for the bonds themselves. The money created for the bonds is spent by the government, whereas the money created on top of those bonds is the source of all the bank loans made to the nation's businesses and individuals. The result of this process is the same as creating money on a printing press, but the illusion is based on an accounting trick rather than a printing trick. The bottom line is that Congress and the banking cartel have entered into a partnership in which the cartel has the privilege of collecting interest on money which it creates out of nothing, a perpetual override on every American dollar that exists in the World. Congress, on the other

hand, has access to unlimited funding without having to tell the voters their taxes are being raised through the process of inflation. If you understand this paragraph, you understand the Federal Reserve System.

Now for a more detailed view. There are three general ways in which the Federal Reserve creates fiat money out of debt. One is by making loans to the member banks through what is called the Discount Window. The second is by purchasing Treasury bonds and other certificates of debt through what is called the Open Market Committee. The third is by changing the so-called reserve ratio that member banks are required to hold. Each method is merely a different path to the same objective: taking IOUs and converting them into spendable money.

THE DISCOUNT WINDOW

The Discount Window is merely Bankers' language for the loan window. When banks run short of money, the Federal Reserve stands ready as the "Bankers' bank" to lend it. There are many reasons for them to need loans. Since they hold "reserves" of only about one or two per cent of their deposits in vault cash and eight or nine per cent in securities, their operating margin is extremely thin. It is common for them to experience temporary negative balances caused by unusual customer demand for cash or unusually large clusters of checks all clearing through other banks at the same time. Sometimes they make bad loans and, when these former "assets" are removed from their books, their "reserves" are also decreased and may, in fact, become negative. Finally, there is the profit motive. When banks borrow from the Federal Reserve at one interest rate and lend it out at a higher rate, there is an obvious advantage. But that is merely the beginning. When a bank borrows a dollar from the Fed, it becomes a one-dollar reserve. Since the banks are required to keep reserves of only about ten per cent, they actually can loan up to nine dollars for each dollar borrowed.

Let's take a look at the math. Assume the bank receives \$1 million from the Fed at a rate of 8%. The total annual cost, therefore, is \$80,000 ($.08 \times \$1,000,000$). The bank treats the loan as a cash deposit, which means it becomes the basis for manufacturing an additional \$9 million to be lent to its customers. If we assume that it lends that money at 11% interest, its gross return would be \$990,000 ($.11 \times \$9,000,000$). Subtract from this the bank's cost of \$80,000 plus an appropriate share of its overhead, and we have a net return of about \$900,000. In other words, the bank borrows a million and can almost double it in one year. That's leverage! But don't forget the source of that leverage: the manufacture of another \$9 million which is added to the nation's money supply.

THE OPEN MARKET OPERATION

The most important method used by the Federal Reserve for the creation of fiat money is the purchase and sale of securities on the open market. But, before jumping into this, a word of warning. Don't expect what follows to make any sense. Just be prepared to know that this is how they do it. The trick lies in the use of words and phrases which have technical meanings quite different from what they imply to the average citizen. So keep your eye on the words. They are not meant to explain but to deceive. In spite of first appearances, the process is not complicated. It is just absurd.

THE MANDRAKE MECHANISM: DETAILED VIEW GOVERNMENT DEBT (THE MANDRAKE MECHANISM)

The federal government adds ink to a piece of paper, creates impressive designs around the edges, and calls it a bond or Treasury note. It is merely a promise to pay a specified sum at a specified interest on a specified date. As we shall see in the following steps, this debt eventually becomes the foundation for almost the entire nation's money supply.¹³ In reality, the government has created cash, but it doesn't yet look like cash. To convert these IOUs into paper bills and checkbook money is the function of the Federal Reserve System. To bring about that transformation, the bond is given to the Fed where it is then classified as a...

SECURITIES ASSET

An instrument of government debt is considered an asset because it is assumed the government will keep its promise to pay. This is based upon its ability to obtain whatever money it needs through taxation. Thus, the strength of this asset is the power to take back that which it gives. So the Federal Reserve now has an "asset" which can be used to offset a liability. It then creates this liability by adding ink to yet another piece of paper and exchanging that with the government in return for the asset. That second piece of paper is a...

FEDERAL RESERVE CHECK (CHEQUE)

There is no money in any account to cover this check. Anyone else doing that would be sent to prison. It is legal for the Fed, however, because Congress wants the money, and this is the easiest way to get it. (To raise taxes would be political suicide; to depend on the public to buy all the bonds would not be realistic, especially if interest rates are set artificially low; and to print very large quantities of currency would be obvious and controversial.) This way, the process is mysteriously wrapped up in the banking system. The end result, however, is the same as turning on government printing presses and simply manufacturing fiat money (money created by the order of government with nothing of tangible value backing it) to pay government expenses. Yet, in accounting terms, the books are said to be "balanced" because the liability of the money is offset by the "asset" of the IOU. The Federal Reserve check received by the government then is

endorsed and sent back to one of the Federal Reserve banks where it now becomes a...

GOVERNMENT DEPOSIT

Once the Federal Reserve check has been deposited into the government's account, it is used to pay government expenses and, thus, is transformed into many...

GOVERNMENT CHECKS

These checks become the means by which the first wave of fiat money floods into the economy. Recipients now deposit them into their own bank accounts where they become...

COMMERCIAL BANK DEPOSITS

Commercial bank deposits immediately take on a split personality. On the one hand, they are liabilities to the bank because they are owed back to the depositors. But, as long as they remain in the bank, they also are considered as assets because they are on hand. Once again, the books are balanced: the assets offset the liabilities. But the process does not stop there. Through the magic of fractional-reserve banking, the deposits are made to serve an additional and more lucrative purpose. To accomplish this, the on-hand deposits now become reclassified in the books and called...

BANK RESERVES

Reserves for what? Are these for paying off depositors should they want to close out of their accounts? No. That's the lowly function they served when they were classified as mere assets. Now that they have been given the name of "reserves," they become the magic wand to materialize even larger amounts of fiat money. This is where the real action is: at the level of the commercial banks. Here's how it works. The banks are permitted by the Fed to hold as little as 10% of their deposits in "reserve." That means, if they receive deposits of \$1 million from the first wave of fiat money created by the Fed, they have \$900,000 more than they are required to keep on hand (\$1 million less 10% reserve). In Bankers' language, that \$900,000 is called...

EXCESS RESERVES

The word "excess" is a tip-off that these so-called reserves have a special destiny. Now that they have been transmuted into an excess, they are considered as available for lending. And so in due course these excess reserves are converted into...

BANK LOANS

But wait a minute. How can this money be loaned out when it is owned by the original depositors who are still free to write checks and spend it any time they wish? The answer is that, when the new loans are made, they are not made with the same money at all. They are made with brand new money created out of thin air for that purpose. The nation's money supply simply increases by ninety per cent of the bank's deposits. Furthermore, this new money is far more interesting to the banks than the old. The old money, which they received from depositors, requires them to pay out interest or perform services for the privilege of using it. But, with the new money, the banks collect interest, instead, which is not too bad considering it cost them nothing to make. Nor is that the end of the process. When this second wave of fiat money moves into the economy, it comes right back into the banking system, just as the first wave did, in the form of...

MORE COMMERCIAL BANK DEPOSITS

The process now repeats but with slightly smaller numbers each time around. What was a "loan" on Friday comes back into the bank as a "deposit" on Monday. The deposit then is reclassified as a "reserve" and ninety per cent of that becomes an "excess" reserve which, once again, is available for a new "loan." Thus, the \$1 million of first wave fiat money gives birth to \$900,000 in the second wave, and that gives birth to \$810,000 in the third wave (\$900,000 less 10% reserve). It takes about twenty-eight times through the revolving door of deposits becoming loans becoming deposits becoming more loans until the process plays itself out to the maximum effect, which is...

BANK FIAT MONEY = UP TO 9 TIMES GOVERNMENT

The amount of fiat money created by the banking cartel is approximately nine times the amount of the original government debt which made the entire process possible. When the original debt itself is added to that figure, we finally have...

TOTAL FIAT MONEY = UP TO 10 TIMES GOVERNMENT

The total amount of fiat money created by the Federal Reserve and the commercial banks together is approximately ten times the amount of the underlying government debt. To the degree that this newly created money floods into the economy in excess of goods and services, it causes the purchasing power of all money, both old and new, to decline. Prices go up because the relative value of the money has gone down. The result is the same as if that purchasing power had been taken from us in taxes. The reality of this process, therefore, is that it is a...

HIDDEN TAX = UP TO 10 TIMES THE NATIONAL DEBT

Without realizing it, Americans have paid over the years, in addition to their federal income taxes and excise taxes, a completely hidden tax equal to many times the national debt! And that still is not the end of the process. Since our money supply is purely an arbitrary entity with nothing behind it except debt, its quantity can go down as well as up. When people are going deeper into debt, the nation's money supply expands and prices go up, but when they pay off their debts and refuse to renew, the money supply contracts and prices tumble. That is exactly what happens in times of economic or political uncertainty. This alternation between period of expansion and contraction of the money supply is the underlying cause of...

BOOMS, BUSTS, AND DEPRESSIONS

Who benefits from all of this? Certainly not the average citizen. The only beneficiaries are the political scientists in Congress who enjoy the effect of unlimited revenue to perpetuate their power, and the monetary scientists within the banking cartel called the Federal Reserve System who have been able to harness the American people, without their knowing it, to the yoke of modern feudalism.

RESERVE RATIOS

The previous figures are based on a "reserve" ratio of 10% (a money-expansion ratio of 10-to-1). It must be remembered, however, that this is purely arbitrary. Since the money is fiat with no previous-metal backing, there is no real limitation except what the politicians and money managers decide is expedient for the moment. Altering this ratio is the third way in which the Federal Reserve can influence the nation's supply of money. The numbers, therefore, must be considered as transient. At any time there is a "need" for more money, the ratio can be increased to 20-to-1 or 50-to-1, or the pretense of a reserve can be dropped altogether. There is virtually no limit to the amount of fiat money that can be manufactured under the present system.

NATIONAL DEBT NOT NECESSARY FOR INFLATION

Because the Federal Reserve can be counted on to "monetize" (convert into money) virtually any amount of government debt, and because this process of expanding the money supply is the primary cause of inflation, it is tempting to jump to the conclusion that federal debt and inflation are but two aspects of the same phenomenon. This, however, is not necessarily true. It is quite possible to have either one without the other.

The banking cartel holds a monopoly in the manufacture of money. Consequently, money is created only when IOUs are "monetized" by the Fed or by commercial banks. When private individuals, corporations, or institutions purchase government bonds, they must use money they have previously earned and saved. In other words, no new money is created, because they are using funds that are already in existence. Therefore, the sale of government bonds to the banking system is inflationary, but when sold to the private sector, it is not. That is the primary reason the United States avoided massive inflation during the 1980s when the federal government was going into debt at a greater rate than ever before in its history. By keeping interest rates high, these bonds became attractive to private investors, including those in other countries.¹⁵ Very little new money was created, because most of the bonds were purchased with American dollars already in existence. This, of course, was a temporary fix at best. Today, those bonds are continually maturing and are being replaced by still more bonds to include the original debt plus accumulated interest. Eventually this process must come to an end and, when it does, the Fed will have no choice but to literally buy back all the debt of the '80s -- that is, to replace all of the formerly invested private money with newly manufactured fiat money -- plus a great deal more to cover the interest. Then we will understand the meaning of inflation.

On the other side of the coin, the Federal Reserve has the option of manufacturing money even if the federal government does not go deeper into debt. For example, the huge expansion of the money supply leading up to the stock market crash in 1929 occurred at a time when the national debt was being paid off. In every year from 1920 through 1930, federal revenue exceeded expenses, and there were relatively few government bonds being offered. The massive inflation of the money supply was made possible by converting commercial bank loans into "reserves" at the Fed's discount window and by the Fed's purchase of banker's acceptances, which are commercial contracts for the purchase of goods.

Now the options are even greater. The Monetary Control Act of 1980 has made it possible for the Creature to monetize virtually any debt instrument, including IOUs from foreign governments. The apparent purpose of this legislation is to make it possible to bail out those governments which are having trouble paying the interest on their loans from American banks. When the Fed creates fiat American dollars to give foreign governments in exchange for their worthless bonds, the

money path is slightly longer and more twisted, but the effect is similar to the purchase of U.S. Treasury Bonds. The newly created dollars go to the foreign governments, then to the American banks where they become cash reserves. Finally, they flow back into the U.S. money pool (multiplied by nine) in the form of additional loans. The cost of the operation once again is born by the American citizen through the loss of purchasing power. Expansion of the money supply, therefore, and the inflation that follows, no longer even require federal deficits. As long as someone is willing to borrow American dollars, the cartel will have the option of creating those dollars specifically to purchase their bonds and, by so doing, continue to expand the money supply.

We must not forget, however, that one of the reasons the Fed was created in the first place was to make it possible for Congress to spend without the public knowing it was being taxed. Americans have shown an amazing indifference to this fleecing, explained undoubtedly by their lack of understanding of how the Mandrake Mechanism works. Consequently, at the present time, this cozy contract between the banking cartel and the politicians is in little danger of being altered. As a practical matter, therefore, even though the Fed may also create fiat money in exchange for commercial debt and for bonds of foreign governments, its major concern likely will be to continue supplying Congress. The implications of this fact are mind boggling. Since our money supply, at present at least, is tied to the national debt, to pay off that debt would cause money to disappear. Even to seriously reduce it would cripple the economy. Therefore, as long as the Federal Reserve exists, America will be, must be, in debt. The purchase of bonds from other governments is accelerating in the present political climate of internationalism. Our own money supply increasingly is based upon their debt as well as ours, and they, too, will not be allowed to pay it off even if they are able.

EXPANSION LEADS TO CONTRACTION

While it is true that the Mandrake Mechanism is responsible for the expansion of the money supply, the process also works in reverse. Just as money is created when the Federal Reserve purchases bonds or other debt instruments, it is extinguished by the sale of those same items. When they are sold, the money is given back to the System and disappears into the inkwell or computer chip from which it came. Then, the same secondary ripple effect that created money through the commercial banking system causes it to be withdrawn from the economy. Furthermore, even if the Federal Reserve does not deliberately contract the money supply, the same result can and often does occur when the public decides to resist the availability of credit and reduce its debt. A man can only be tempted to borrow, he cannot be forced to do so.

There are many psychological factors involved in a decision to go into debt that can offset the easy availability of money and a low interest rate: A downturn in the economy, the threat of civil disorder, the fear of pending war, an uncertain political climate, to name just a few. Even though the Fed may try to pump money into the economy by making it abundantly available, the public can thwart that move simply by saying no, thank you. When this happens, the old debts that are being paid off are not replaced by new ones to take their place, and the entire amount of consumer and business debt will shrink. That means the money supply also will shrink, because, in modern America, debt is money. And it is this very expansion and contraction of the monetary pool -- a phenomenon that could not occur if based upon the laws of supply and demand -- that is at the very core of practically every boom and bust that has plagued mankind throughout history.

In conclusion, it can be said that modern money is a grand illusion conjured by the magicians of finance in politics. We are living in an age of fiat money, and it is sobering to realize that every previous nation in history that has adopted such money eventually was economically destroyed by it. Furthermore, there is nothing in our present monetary structure that offers any assurances that we may be exempted from that morbid roll call.

Correction. There is one. It is still within the power of Congress to abolish the Federal Reserve System.

Summary

The American dollar has no intrinsic value. It is a classic example of fiat money with no limit to the quantity that can be produced. Its primary value lies in the willingness of people to accept it and, to that end, legal tender laws require them to do so. It is true that our money is created out of nothing, but it is more accurate to say that it is based upon debt. In one sense, therefore, our money is created out of less than nothing. The entire money supply would vanish into the bank vaults and computer chips if all debts were repaid. Under the present System, therefore, our leaders cannot allow a serious reduction in either the national or consumer debt. Charging interest on pretended loans is usury, and that has become institutionalized under the Federal Reserve System.

The Mandrake Mechanism by which the Fed converts debt into money may seem complicated at first, but it is simple if one remembers that the process is not intended to be logical but to confuse and deceive. The end product of the Mechanism is artificial expansion of the money supply, which is the root cause of the hidden tax called inflation. **This expansion then leads to contraction and, together, they produce the destructive boom-bust cycle that has plagued mankind throughout history wherever fiat money has existed.**

More on How Money is Created by The Private Banks

"Modern Banking" was actually created over 4,000 years ago in Babylon. [Of course, without the electronic information.]

Henry Ford (founder of the Ford Motor Company in 1903) stated: "It is well that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning."

The "lending" techniques that are used are beyond brilliant. It took some very, very smart people to figure out how to appear to be lending money, but in actuality have the value supplied by the person who is borrowing (wanting a loan). When you discover the truth, you will be seething mad.... The whole truth is NOT revealed to the borrower by the bank. The bank or other lending institution does NOT disclose to you that your promissory note to repay the loan is actually an asset to the bank - that they deposit. The bank does not let you know that a promissory note is actually a "negotiable instrument" under the Uniform Commercial Code, and that it will be deposited to fund your loan. Nor do you learn that the bank has a liability to you of approximately the amount of the loan. (The bank owes you by their own bookkeeping entries!). The bank does NOT tell you that you factually provided the actual cash value for your own loan! Thus, the bank only appears to be lending you anything. That's right: banks and lending institutions only appear to lend money. Let's go through how money is created at the "government" level, then we'll see how this applies to you and your alleged debt.

How Money Is Created In the U.S.:

1. Congress says, "We need \$10 Billion."
2. So they go over to the treasury and say, "We need \$10 Billion."
3. The U.S. Treasury prints up \$10 Billion in government bonds.
4. They take those bonds over to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank (Fed), you know, just down the road from the Treasury.
5. They walk into the Fed with these \$10 Billion in bonds and say, "We need to borrow \$10 Billion."
6. The Fed takes that \$10 Billion in Bonds and agrees to then loan the U.S. Government \$10 Billion.
7. The Fed writes into their little ledger with a stubby pencil, "Owes us \$10 Billion." (Actually, it's done by computer nowadays.)
8. The Fed then creates money out of thin air by authorizing some currency to be printed. Note that the legal tender in the US is the private Federal Reserve Note (not a United States Government Note).
9. Now the U.S. Government owes another \$10 Billion.

Government officials agree that this is how the banking system works today:

Representative Wright Patman, former Chairman of a House Banking Committee said: "The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government bonds... The Federal Reserve Bank is a total money making machine." [Monopoly on counterfeiting money]

Former Federal Reserve Bank Chairman Eccles was asked by Patman, "Mr. Eccles, how did you get the money to buy these two billion dollars of government bonds." Mr. Eccles replied, "We create it." "Out of what?" Patman asked. "Out of the right to issue credit money", i.e. out of nothing. This right to create money out of nothing was given to the Private Federal Reserve Bank by a fraudulent act of Congress in 1913 known as the Federal Reserve Act, same fraud has been happening since the 17th century with the Private Bank of England and other banks. The FED issues Federal Reserve cheques redeemable as Federal Reserve Notes only with no liability to itself. The Fed is not subject to taxes and audits. The local banks create credit money as well but not currency notes.

Former Congressman Louis McFadden, former chairman of the House Committee on Banking and Currency remarked about the Federal Reserve Bank: "A super-state controlled by international Bankers and international industrialists acting together to enslave the World for their own pleasure."

When the unconstitutional Federal Reserve Act was about to be passed in 1913, **Congressman Charles Lindbergh said,** "This Act establishes the most gigantic trust on Earth. When the President signs this bill, the invisible government by the monetary power will be legalized. The people may not know it immediately, but the day of reckoning is only a few years removed...The worst legislative crime of the ages is perpetrated by this banking bill."

If you're an honest, ethical person, then you believe that all lenders should be repaid. If the Federal Reserve Banking System repaid the loan from the U.S. Government, the "debt" would be cancelled.

Let's Take a Quick Look Over That

The bonds printed by the treasury have an actual value of \$10 Billion. They take the actual value of \$10 Billion in bonds over to the privately owned Fed bank to "borrow" the \$10 Billion in currency.

Note: If an asset is anything that can be sold, and then the money received can be deposited into a bank, then there was nothing actually lent to the U.S. Government, was there? They provided bonds that could be sold for \$10 Billion in exchange for the \$10 Billion from the Fed. Where was the loan? There was none. The Fed and the U.S. Government made an exchange, and the Fed lied and called it a loan.

Now, we all know that the people who run the government aren't the brightest, but to give away the bonds so they can borrow money is just ridiculous, wouldn't you agree? Look, I don't expect you to believe that without some proof. I mean, it's just insane, right? Listen to a recording about the Story of the Federal Reserve System. It's FREE to you, over an hour long, and it's called The Creature from Jeckyll Island, by G. Edward Griffin. Mr. Griffin is a well-respected authority on the creation of the Federal Reserve Banking System, and has written a best-selling book of the same name. The link to the audio clip is: <http://www.eliminatemortgages.com/creature.rm> (don't fall for the eliminate mortgage attempts which may involve the promoter making illegal documents or a tough and expensive court battle) and another lecture http://www.flash.net/~jaybanks/real/g_edward_griffin_-_the_creature_from_jekyll_island.rm.

How This Applies to You

On a national level, we see the absurdity behind the "money creation" process. But when it's right there in our face, it's a little harder to "see the forest through all the trees." Money is created on a local level through the banks and other lending institutions in much the same way. The value is first provided to the bank, the bank deposits the asset, and the asset you provided is used as the value to fund the "loan" to you.

Again, I know it sounds absurd, like, "How can they get away with this?" I wondered the same thing when I first came across this information. The Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago came out with a very revealing publication back in the 1990s called Modern Money Mechanics. While the file itself is a web page, in the physical publication on page 6 we find the exact mechanics of this, including the bookkeeping entries. (There is more information at <http://banksrestorationact.4mg.com> .)

So how does the bank loan actually work?

1. You want a loan for your home.
2. The bank advertises that they loan money.
3. You "apply" for a "loan."
4. They put you through the ringer and make you glad and relieved that you were able to be approved for a loan. (You know, like they are doing you a really big favor.)
5. They have you sign a promissory note.

And here's the part you're never supposed to know!

6. Since your promissory note can be sold for money, it's an asset.
7. The bank deposits the asset into an account for approximately the amount of the note.
8. The bank cuts you a check from the deposit you never knew about (or transfers the money to those who should be receiving it).
9. And you think you owe money back on a loan, when in fact all that was made was an exchange.

Now Let's Look at That...

If you think about it, the Bankers' scheme is really quite brilliant. I mean, what other business in the whole World allows you to create money based on the value that someone else gives you, then charge that person again plus interest? Wow! So the real question becomes, "If the promissory note is an asset, what funded the bank's ownership of the note?" Answer: They still don't really own it. They made an exchange - Your promissory note (asset to the bank) was exchanged for approximately the amount of the loan. You gave the bank an asset worth \$100,000 and the bank returned \$100,000 to you. Where was the loan? There wasn't one. But you really do have to admit, it's brilliant.

Listen, we're not the first people to "discover" this was going on. But we have figured out some things that no one else has! As an honest, ethical person who believes that all loans should be repaid, do you agree that the bank should repay your loan to them? After all, they deposited your promissory note. Your promissory note is an asset that they exchanged for a check. Where's the loan? Factually, there isn't one. And since all lenders should be repaid, shouldn't the bank repay your loan to them? If so, you wouldn't have the "debt" and would live better. Quickly, when you deposit money in your checking account, does the bank now owe you that money when you want it? Yes. The bank has a new asset, the \$100 you deposited into your checking account. The bank also has a new matching liability that says the bank owes you \$100. Assets = Liabilities. The bookkeeping entries are nearly identical for a deposit into your checking account and for a new loan. By lending, the banks now have more assets and liabilities. If you were to lend me \$500, your "pool of money" would be smaller. When a bank "loans" money, their "pool of money" increases.

Quick Summary behind How a Bank Loan Works

Money is created today by "lending," so all money today is born as "debt money." The person who wants a loan must provide to the bank something that he or she doesn't know is valuable, called a promissory note. The promissory note is a bank asset, and that asset is deposited into a demand deposit type of account. The asset deposited is what provides the bank the value to be able to "lend" to you and others. The bank exchanges value for value, just like the Federal Reserve Bank and our Government, then lies about it and calls it a loan. You and millions of others believe you have a debt. This has the similar economic effect of counterfeiting, swindling and stealing.

More on Equal Protection

Our founding fathers knew about this type of banking. That's why there were provisions in the Constitution of the United States of America to stop this type of banking system to infest our nation.

Article 1, Section 8, clause 5 states: "Congress shall have the power to coin money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign coin, and fix the standard of weights and measures."

Article 1, Section 10 in part states: "No state shall use any Thing but gold and silver coin as a tender in payment of its debts;"

Is it more difficult to create money with "creative bookkeeping," (or as President Bush says, "Cookin' the Books") by depositing your promissory note and not telling you? Or is it more difficult to mine the gold and silver to mint the money? Mining is difficult and expensive. Bookkeeping entries cost virtually nothing. Take a look at the definition of "Bank" in the 4th Edition of Black's Law Dictionary: "An institution, of great value in the commercial World, empowered to receive deposits of money, to make loans, and to issue its promissory notes (designed to circulate as money, and commonly called 'bank notes' or 'bank-bills,') or to perform any one or more of these functions." If a promissory note is designed to circulate as money, like money it can be deposited into a checking account, can't it? You bet. That was never disclosed in the bank loan agreement, was it? No. See, if gold and silver coin were the money, the current banking system could not exist. Our founding fathers knew that. Since the promissory note is a negotiable instrument, per the Uniform Commercial Code, at what point did the bank "own" the promissory note? A note is an IOU. It says "I owe you \$X, which is to be repaid on this or that date, or through payments."

Did you give the bank permission to turn your "promise to pay" into money? Probably not. By the bank altering the note and turning it into a negotiable instrument, they changed the cost and the risk to you and them. Before they deposit the note into a checking account, you thought the agreement was that they were going to loan you money. They were the ones at risk. It's your duty to pay them. When the bank deposited the note, the entire cost of the loan was funded by you, and you're now supposed to pay them? That's not what you agreed to, is it? Because of this banking system, you are in "debt" with "money" that you provided the value for. There's a lot more to this and you should know it, but it's also a lot to try to learn quickly.

By the way, what is the difference between the economics of the current banking system and a thief who steals from you? And a counterfeiter who "loans" you counterfeiter at no cost to him? A cheap con artist who swindles you into believing you owe him money? What is the difference between the banking system today and a counterfeiter, thief, and a swindler? There is no economic difference. Here's just some of what you will discover for yourself when you get more information:

- The "Big Plan" the Bankers had - way back in 1892 - and are still carrying forth.
- They say there's a positive and a negative for everything, so what are the two faces of debt?
- When the U.S. declared bankruptcy in 1933, what did they really do to our money?
- What is so valuable about being able to control the interest rates?

The Switch From Wealth to Debt

How is public debt really measured, and how do you increase the public debt every time you use a credit card, take out a "loan" or use electronic money? Since 1792, our money has been "switched" from wealth to debt. By changing our money back to wealth, we can reverse the tide of ever increasing prices, taxes, debt, bankruptcies and economic ills that are destroying America and her people. How money is put into circulation is the most important principle. The real issue is not gold and silver vs. paper, not commodity money vs. fiat money, but wealth vs. debt, honesty vs. fraud. Let's follow the trail of United States money, from when it was gold and silver commodity money, put into circulation as a wealth to the people, by the people, to what it has become - a monetized debt, put into circulation by the banks, as interest bearing debts to the people, for the personal profit of bank owners.

The ten dollar gold certificate

The real reason gold and silver coinage initially worked well as money for the people, is that the people produced the gold and silver, a raw resource of the earth, through their labor. The 1792 Coinage Act allowed anyone to



take that resource to the United States mint and have it monetized (coined) free of charge. We, the people, furnished our own money, based on our production, as a wealth to ourselves and spent it into circulation as a benefit to all of society with no debt attached to it. Gold and silver are very heavy metals and not as convenient to carry as paper money. If we didn't want to carry the gold and silver coins around with us we could take them to the United States Treasury and store/deposit the coins. The Treasury would issue depositors gold and silver certificates as receipts. They stated on their face that there was X amount of gold or silver coin on deposit in the Treasury, payable to the bearer on demand. Now, we had paper money. As long as just this principle was followed you still had good, honest, wealth money with no debt, no excessive profit, nor excessive purchasing power to anyone.

However, when someone deposited their gold and silver coin in a fractional reserve bank, a totally different principle went into action. The bank held the coins as a reserve and expanded the money supply by making new loans equal to 10 times the face value of the coins deposited. At that point, money switched from wealth to debt. Americans have lacked this understanding. Lack of understanding is why America is the World's greatest debtor nation with over \$26 Trillion in public and private debt at the end of 1990.

On January 24, 1939, Robert H. Hemphill, credit Manager of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta stated: "If all the bank loans were paid no one would have a bank deposit and there would not be a dollar of coin or currency in circulation. This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the banks create ample synthetic money we are prosperous: if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is. It (the banking problem) is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood and the defects remedied very soon."

At first glance, fractional banking looks like a good deal for everyone. The banks get more profit. The people can get quicker and easier loans. More capital is available to engage in commerce. Production picks up. But, sooner or later, more and more people can not make their loan payments. An unseen by-product of fractional banking is: it makes some people rich, (about 250,000) and leaves many more people very poor (about 150 million). All the while, fractional banking creates compounding, unpayable public and private debt, which causes the cost of living to constantly go up for all Americans. Throughout the nineteenth century, larger banks worked to get laws passed that would consolidate all fractional banking under the control of just a few. They did so under the guise of a standardized national money. They were successful in 1863 with the passage of the National Banking Act. It allowed newly chartered national banks to create a uniform national bank currency. A few years later the federal government taxed state bank notes out of existence. In 1873, the government stopped all free coinage of metals. They began to use United States Certificates of indebtedness -- United States Bonds -- as security for the national currency. The note states on it's face "The Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Minnesota will pay to the bearer on demand one dollar -- Federal Reserve Bank Note" However, it no longer said a dollar of what, like the gold and silver certificates. It also says "secured by United States Certificates of indebtedness" You can now clearly understand why our government and private sector are so deeply in debt. All we use for money is (monetized) DEBTS. The switch from wealth based money to debt-based money had been completed. All that was left was to change the bills.



The banking system did this one small step at a time.. As we see by looking at the following Bills. It's easy to see on the 1928 Federal Reserve note below that the banking system wanted us to believe that their note was the same as a gold certificate. On it's face it read: "Redeemable in gold on demand at the United States Treasury or in gold or lawful money at any Federal Reserve Bank". Notice that they didn't claim that the Bill was a dollar. But said -- WILL PAY TO THE

BEARER ON DEMAND -- DOLLARS. It was not a certificate of wealth -- it was a bank note -- an evidence of debt -- put into circulation as an interest-bearing LOAN. Now we had a completely different set of principles at work. This point has been missed by most everyone. Of course the banks issued many times more gold notes than there was gold.

Later, on the 1934 series Federal Reserve note, we no longer find "redeemable in gold" (in 1933 they took all the gold from the people and demonetized it.) The bank-controlled government made it a crime to own gold. It was 40 years before the people could own gold and when they could it was no longer money. **Now the note reads "This note is legal tender for all debts public and private and is redeemable in lawful money at the United States Treasury or at any Federal Reserve Bank" WILL PAY TO THE BEARER ON DEMAND -- DOLLARS. Notice again, they didn't claim that the Bill was the dollars, but said -- WILL PAY TO THE BEARER ON DEMAND -- DOLLARS.** The note admits on its face that it isn't lawful money, because it states it is redeemable in lawful money. It said nothing about what lawful money was. With the words promise to pay written on its face, it met the specifications for a legal note (negotiable paper). The 1950 Series Federal Reserve note, shown below looks and states the same thing as the 1934 note except the size of the wording about legal tender and redeemable in lawful money has been reduced to just 3 lines and to an almost unreadable size, clearly no one was reading the words on the bills anymore. **In fact, the Federal Reserve now promises to tax you and uses you as the collateral against which it issues the Federal Reserve Notes and cyber money!!!**

Let's examine very closely the Federal Reserve notes in use today. There are major changes. The bill only says, "This note is legal tender for all debts public and private" Gone are the words "Redeemable in Lawful money" and the words "WILL PAY TO THE BEARER ON DEMAND" The Federal Reserve note is no longer a legal promissory note (negotiable instrument). The Bill has now become the Dollar. The only thing we have for a medium of exchange -- Money -- is a credit at the bank. This credit is loaned into circulation at interest. When the interest is due the total debt is always greater than the money supply. The debt obligations are greater than the supply of "money" to fulfill the obligations incurred by the people, resulting in unpayable compounding debt which constantly raises the costs of living, and shifts influence and the ownership of property from the many to a few.

In the banking system's greed and drive to protect their theft by deception -- (fractional banking) and to protect themselves from bank runs, etc., the banking system put themselves into a catch 22. As long as there was free coinage of metals or some other form of wealth (debt free) money, the interest on the bank loans, or at least part of the interest could be paid with the wealth (debt-free) money, therefore the debt would grow more slowly. It would not mathematically, be forced to grow. Now that all the wealth money has been removed from the system, there is no way to pay any of the interest, so the debt must constantly compound. Timothy P. Schilling, Public Information Specialist, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, has confirmed that at the end of 1990 there was at least \$26 Trillion of debt accruing interest. Yet, the total money supply is just over \$5 Trillion, and it's a debt too! Money is created when loans are issued and debts incurred, money is extinguished when loans are repaid. (Congressional Research Service) Interest earned on investments is not new money. Interest earned on investments is only other loan-principal captured as profit in the process of commerce. We can't add to the money supply of \$5.2 trillion without also increasing the debt. If we reduce the indebtedness by \$5.2 trillion we extinguish the total money supply. In a debt-credit money system money must constantly accrue interest due if it is to exist. When money is created as a loan, it is money and it is a debt. But, the additional interest that must be repaid is not money. it is ONLY A DEBT expected to be paid in money. If not paid, it must constantly accrue more interest debt due.

In a debt-credit money system, interest cannot be paid without putting someone else deeper into debt. The resulting shortfall must be added to the price of finished goods and services. The total debt is transferred and continues to compound when a seller manages to capture his total principal plus interest debt in the market place and repay a bank loan. As the spread between prices and the money supply increases your money buys less, it loses buying power. Eventually, as prices increase far enough beyond the total money supply, you will not be able to work enough hours to buy anything. (See Chart example) You won't be able to borrow the money to purchase the item either because the amount of hours you can work (24 hours daily) will never produce enough 'value' to collateralize a loan big enough to meet the item's selling price. Yes, there are some very successful people but they are becoming fewer. Eventually they will be weeded out because they too are consumers. As prices for everyone continue to rise beyond the money supply more and more of the wealthy (top competitors) will fall on hard times. This is what's now happening Worldwide. We are now constantly aware of two major questions. They are: Why is everything getting so expensive? Where will we get the money to pay the prices?

You can see the progression historically. It used to take just one worker to support a family and the families were larger. Today, one worker's hours aren't enough. Two paycheck households are the norm. Even now, two-paycheck households are having more difficulty making ends meet. Our debt-credit system makes ownership of property more and more difficult. For more and more people, a declining standard of living is the future. The solution is to slowly stop the creation of money as an unpayable, interest-bearing debt loaned into circulation. At the same time we must bring new money into circulation debt-free as a Wealth-a payment for work done (production) that benefits all (roads & bridges).

Count Cherep-Spiridovitch was a Czarist general who battled the Bolsheviks in the 1917 Russian Revolution. In 1926 he published a book entitled "The Secret World Government" which shows how the Rothschild's plan for World tyranny ("the Jewish Conspiracy") dominates modern history. Secret World Government is generally considered "right wing" and "anti Semitic." The "right wing" and "anti Semitic" smear is revealing:

- It shows the extent society already has been brainwashed and subverted.
- It reveals how the bogus issue of anti Semitism is used to deflect attention from a genuine and mortal threat to humanity.
- It explains the true meaning of "right wing" and why the Left is an instrument of the Bankers.

LOOK AT THE US CIVIL WAR: Compare the treatment of this war in "The Secret World Government" with "A Peoples History of the United States" by Howard Zinn, a leftist. Cherep-Spiridovitch cites an interview with the German chancellor Otto von Bismarck in 1876. Bismarck explained that the Rothschilds who controlled Europe were afraid the United States would become independent of them if it remained one nation. "They foresaw tremendous booty if they could substitute two feeble democracies indebted to the Jewish financiers to the vigorous republic confident and self providing. Therefore they started their emissaries in order to exploit the question of slavery and thus to dig an abyss between the two parts of the republic." (180)

The Illuminati used the Masonic "Knights of the Golden Circle" formed in 1854 by George W. L. Bickley, to spread racial tension by making slavery an issue. Members included Lincoln assassin John Wilkes Booth, Confederate President Jefferson Davis, and his adviser Judah P. Benjamin, the Confederate Secretary of War, a Rothschild agent. The plan was to divide the United States between England controlled by Lionel Rothschild and France, controlled by James Rothschild. France was to take over the whole South while Canada annexed the defeated North. In 1863 France and Spain invaded Mexico with 30,000 troops. The embattled Confederate States actually offered Louisiana and Texas to France in exchange for its assistance. Britain, France and other European powers were ready to snuff out the young republic but were deterred by Russia, the only European power not in the Rothschild's thrall. Czar Alexander II sent his fleets to New York and San Francisco and declared that an attack on Lincoln was an attack on Russia. Meanwhile Lincoln created "greenback" dollars to finance the war and escape indebtedness to the foreign financiers. "They understood at once that the United States would escape their grip," **Bismarck said.** "The death of Lincoln was resolved upon. Nothing is easier than to find a fanatic to strike." (180) (See also The Civil War and Control of Money.) Compare this with Howard Zinn who although a Leftist, makes no mention of European financiers. His book, a soap opera of oppression, says the war was a clash of "elites." "The Northern elite wanted economic expansion.... The slave interests opposed all that." (189) Again, "The American government had set out to ... retain the enormous national territory and market and resources." (198)


The Rothschild Illuminati facilitated this heinous war that cost 500,000 soldiers' lives and eight billion dollars. Is it any wonder these Bankers, who are the real establishment, love the Left? Is it any wonder they populate our universities with pious Leftists (and feminists) who never question why they are pulling down big salaries if they are really defying the establishment? Another Leftist Pied Piper is Noam Chomsky who attributes all evil to capitalism and American imperialism. As far as I know, he never mentions the Rothschilds, the Illuminati, the Council on Foreign Relations or the New World Order. He pretends that Oklahoma City and 9-11 were not inside jobs.

"We shall erase from the memories of men all facts of previous centuries which are undesirable to us," say the Protocols of the Elders of Zion. "And leave only those which depict all the errors of the government of the goyim." (Protocol 16)

The problem is not American capitalism but the control of the nation's credit by a small private international group, which has used this advantage to build a monopoly in every key industry. This international group is behind western imperialism. Its totalitarian occult agenda (a.k.a, globalism) is now corporate policy. The victim paradigm typically purveyed by socialists like Zinn and Chomsky creates division and diverts attention from the Illuminati Bankers and their cartels. It places the blame on capitalism and the US, which has been under banker control for almost a century. It teaches each new generation to be alienated from their country, its culture and economic system, and to become dysfunctional and impotent. The Bankers want Big Government that they control through debt. They need the global police state to ensure that no nation defaults or rebels. The invasion of Iraq is another step in creating the Bankers' "1984" tyranny (in addition to paving the pay for expansion of the Masonic state of Israel).

ANTI-SEMITISM: Anti-Semitism is another tactic to divert attention from the Rothschild's Satanic plan for World tyranny. Let's stop pretending it isn't real. It is the real source of Communism, the real cause of mankind's moral paralysis and demise.

Cherep-Spiritovitch concludes: "According to Bismarck the awful Civil War in America was fomented by a Jewish [Bankers] Conspiracy, and Abraham Lincoln the hero and national saint of the United States was killed by the same



Hidden Hand which killed six Romanov Czars, ten kings and scores of Ministers only to easier bleed their nations." [and now 6 more US Presidents after Lincoln] (181)

He is not talking about Jews in general but only those who advance the Rothschild Illuminati agenda. His book makes an appeal to righteous Jews, and recognizes that many non-Jews have sold out.

"The Jews should bring to bay the 'Satanists' who corrupt the countries in which they have found asylum," the Preface says: "the Gentiles should render every Judas who accepts the bribes of the Jews."

Why do so many Jews think they are responsible for the Rothschilds and the Illuminati? They are not. They should stop acting like they were. It looks suspicious. The Bankers' Satanic Conspiracy is the source of anti Semitism. The sooner Jews rise up to oppose it; the sooner anti Semitism will end. Obviously many so-called Christians are involved. When I criticize Rockefeller [who pretends to be a Christian], no one says anything about "anti Christianity."

Who actually is behind the terrorist attacks in Turkey last week, including those against synagogues? Throughout history the Illuminati have used terror to control people and incite war. An American agent was maimed preparing a bomb in the Philippines. These attacks may be designed to prove the war on terror is genuine rather than a flimsy pretext for a police state.

The Rothschild Illuminati Conspiracy is the essential challenge facing mankind. It must be addressed loudly and squarely. Talk of American imperialism, capitalism and "hate" (anti Semitism) is a cynical diversion. The World is in the advanced stages of a diabolical multi generational conspiracy. The general method and goals have all been revealed in The Protocols of the Elders of Zion. Our educators, leaders and media have largely been subverted. A "right wing crackpot" then is anyone who favours individual freedom and self-reliance, family, nation, race, and God. These are the things that the Illuminati need to destroy. As one-time US Presidential candidate **Norman Thomas** said, "The American people will never knowingly adopt Socialism. But under the name of 'liberalism' they will adopt every fragment of the Socialist program, until one day America will be a Socialist nation, without knowing how it happened." Substitute "Communist" for "Socialist" and you can see what is happening. There is a curse that says may you "live in interesting times." This is our curse and our challenge. Let us rise to it.

[Chart of who "owns" the Federal Reserve](#)

The FED began with approximately 300 people or banks that became owners (stockholders purchasing stock at \$100 per share - the stock is not publicly traded) in the Federal Reserve Banking System. They make up an international banking cartel of wealth beyond comparison (Reference 1, 14). The FED banking system collects billions of dollars (Reference 8, 17) in interest annually and distributes the profits to its shareholders. The Congress illegally gave the FED the right to print money (through the Treasury) at no interest to the FED. The FED creates money from nothing, and loans it back to us through banks, and charges interest on our currency. The FED also buys Government debt with money printed on a printing press and charges U.S. taxpayers interest. Many Congressmen and Presidents say this is fraud http://land.netonecom.net/tlp/ref/federal_reserve.shtml .

Who actually owns the Federal Reserve Central Banks? The ownership of the 12 Central banks, a very well kept secret, has been revealed: Rothschild Bank of London; Warburg Bank of Hamburg; Rothschild Bank of Berlin; Lehman Brothers of New York; Lazard Brothers of Paris; Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York; Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy; Goldman, Sachs of New York; Warburg Bank of Amsterdam; Chase Manhattan Bank of New York. These bankers are connected to London Banking Houses which ultimately control the FED. When England lost the Revolutionary War with America (our forefathers were fighting their own government), they planned to control us by controlling our banking system, the printing of our money, and our debt. The individuals listed below owned banks which in turn owned shares in the FED. The banks listed below have significant control over the New York FED District, which controls the other 11 FED Districts. These banks also are partly foreign owned and control the New York FED District Bank. First National Bank of New York; James Stillman National City Bank, New York; Mary W. Harnman; National Bank of Commerce, New York; A.D. Jiullard; Hanover National Bank, New York; Jacob Schiff; Chase National Bank, New York; Thomas F. Ryan; Paul Warburg; William Rockefeller; Levi P. Morton; M.T. Pyne; George F. Baker; Percy Pyne; Mrs. G.F. St. George; J.W. Sterling; Katherine St. George; H.P. Davidson; J.P. Morgan (Equitable Life/Mutual Life); Edith Bre our T. Baker.v

- (1) "The Federal Reserve Bank", by H.S. Kenan, published by The Noontide Press
- (2) National Committee to Repeal the Federal Reserve Act, P.O. Box 156, Westmont, IL 60559
- (3) "The New World Order, Saving America", P.O. Box 1205, Middleburg, FL 32050-1205
- (4) "Bulletin", February 1989 & November 1991 issues, P.O. Box 986, Ft. Collins, CO 80522 (Newsletter; \$3 each)
- (5) "The Most Secret Science", Betsy Ross Press, P.O. Box 986, Ft. Collins, CO 80522 (Book) States attempt to abolish the FED. \$12.00
- (6) "Insider Report", P.O. Box 84903, Phoenix, AZ 85071
- (7) "Phoenix Journal Express", P.O. Box 986, Tehachap, CA 93581
- (8) \$16 trillion in government and private debt, much of which the FED printed and collected interest on (Reference 3)
- (9) Northpoint Tactical Team, P.O. Box 129, Topton, NC 28781
- (10) Christian Defense League, Box 449, Arabi, LA 70023


- (11) "Bulletin", June 1992 issue, P.O. Box 986, Ft. Collins, CO 80522 (Newsletter; \$3 each)
- (12) "Savings and Loan Unethical Bailout" by Rev. Casimir F. Gierut
- (13) "Dark Secrets of the New Age" by Texe Marrs
- (14) "En Route to Global Occupation" by Gary H. Kah
- (15) "One World" by John Amkerberg & John Weldon
- (16) "The Spotlight", Liberty Lobby, 300 Independence Ave. S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003 (Newspaper)
- (17) "Repeal the Federal Reserve Banks" by Rev. Casimir Frank Gierut
- (18) The Constitution of the United States
- (19) "Walls in Our Minds" by M.J. Red Beckman, Common Sense Press, P.O. Box 1544, Billings, MT 59103. A must read book - \$2.50
- (20) "The Law That Never Was" Volume I, Bill Benson & M.J. Red Beckman, P.O. Box 1544, Billings, MT 59103 or write to Bill Benson, P.O. Box 550, South Holland, IL 60473. Proof that the 16th Amendment (income tax) was never properly ratified.
- (21) "New World Order: The Ancient Plan of Secret Societies" by William T. Still
- (22) "The Secrets of the Federal Reserve" by Mullins
- (23) "The Social Security & Pension Conspiracy" by Metz
- (24) "The History of the Federal Reserve. How to Replace It or How to Reform It" by Metz - for references 23 & 24 write to Howard Metz, P.O. Box 341, Malverne, LI 11565
- (25) "The New World Order" by Pat Robertson. On page 131 he states that we must abolish the FED.
- (26) "Operation Vampire Killer 2000", highly recommended book. \$6.00 (\$8.00 for 2) from ACLA, P.O. Box 8712, Phoenix, AZ 85066 This is a must read book with quotes from well known people. This book proves conspiracy. Your local police needs to read this book so they will protect you - not become United Nations Agents against you. This book will stop the New World Order plan to take over the U.S.A. "America Betrayed", Center For Action, 652 N. Glenview, Nesa, AZ 85213

For references 1, 12, and 17, contact The National Committee to Repeal the Federal Reserve Act (Reference 2).

Chart 1: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence Published 1976

<http://www.save-a-patriot.org/files/view/whofed.html>

Chart 1 reveals the linear connection between the Rothschilds and the Bank of England, and the London banking houses which ultimately control the Federal Reserve Banks through their stockholdings of bank stock and their subsidiary firms in New York. The two principal Rothschild representatives in New York, J. P. Morgan Co., and Kuhn, Loeb & Co. were the firms which set up the Jekyll Island Conference at which the Federal Reserve Act was drafted, who directed the subsequent successful campaign to have the plan enacted into law by Congress, and who purchased the controlling amounts of stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in 1914. These firms had their principal officers appointed to the Federal Reserve Board of Governors and the Federal Advisory Council in 1914. In 1914 a few families (blood or business related) owning controlling stock in existing banks (such as in New York City) caused those banks to purchase controlling shares in the Federal Reserve regional banks. Examination of the charts and text in the House Banking Committee Staff Report of August, 1976 and the current stockholders list of the 12 regional Federal Reserve Banks show this same family control.



```

|      /      Lord Airlie
|      /
|      / M. M. Warburg  Chmn J. Henry Schroder
|      | Hamburg ----- marr. Virginia F. Ryan
|      |      grand-daughter of Otto
|      |      Kahn of Kuhn Loeb Co.
Lehman Brothers N.Y ----- Kuhn Loeb Co. N. Y.
|      | -----
μ
|      |      |
8
|      |      |
Lehman Brothers - Mont. Alabama Solomon Loeb  Abraham Kuhn
|      |      |
Lehman-Stern, New Orleans Jacob Schiff/Theresa Loeb Nina Loeb/Paul Warburg
-----
|      | Mortimer Schiff  James Paul Warburg
|      |
|      |      |
Mayer Lehman | Emmanuel Lehman \
|      |      |
Herbert Lehman Irving Lehman \
|      |      |
Arthur Lehman \ Phillip Lehman  John Schiff/Edith Brevoort Baker
/      |      Present Chairman Lehman Bros
/      |      Robert Owen Lehman  Kuhn Loeb - Granddaughter of
/      |      George F. Baker
|      /      |
|      /      |
|      /      |
|      /      |
|      /      |
Federal Reserve Bank Of New York |
|||||||
National City Bank N. Y. |
|      |
|      | National Bank of Commerce N.Y ---|
|      |
|      | Hanover National Bank N.Y. \
|      |
|      | Chase National Bank N.Y. \
|      |
Shareholders - National City Bank - N.Y. |
-----
|      /
James Stillman
Elsie m. William Rockefeller /
Isabel m. Percy Rockefeller /
William Rockefeller  Shareholders - National Bank of Commerce N. Y.
J. P. Morgan -----
M.T. Pyne      Equitable Life - J.P. Morgan
Percy Pyne      Mutual Life - J.P. Morgan
J.W. Sterling    H.P. Davison - J. P. Morgan
NY Trust/NY Edison  Mary W. Harriman
Shearman & Sterling  A.D. Jiullard - North British Merc. Insurance
|      Jacob Schiff
|      Thomas F. Ryan
|      Paul Warburg
|      Levi P. Morton - Guaranty Trust - J. P. Morgan
|
Shareholders - First National Bank of N.Y.
-----
J.P. Morgan
George F. Baker
George F. Baker Jr.
Edith Brevoort Baker
US Congress - 1946-64
|

```

Shareholders - Hanover National Bank N.Y.


James Stillman
William Rockefeller

Shareholders - Chase National Bank N.Y.

George F. Baker

Chart 2: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence

The J. Henry Schroder Banking Company chart encompasses the entire history of the twentieth century, embracing as it does the program (Belgium Relief Commission) which provisioned Germany from 1915-1918 and dissuaded Germany from seeking peace in 1916; financing Hitler in 1933 so as to make a Second World War possible; backing the Presidential campaign of Herbert Hoover ; and even at the present time, having two of its major executives of its subsidiary firm, Bechtel Corporation serving as Secretary of Defense and Secretary of State in the Reagan Administration. The head of the Bank of England since 1973, Sir Gordon Richardson, Governor of the Bank of England (controlled by the House of Rothschild) was chairman of J. Henry Schroder Wagg and Company of London from 1963-72, and director of J. Henry Schroder, New York and Schroder Banking Corporation, New York, as well as Lloyd's Bank of London, and Rolls Royce. He maintains a residence on Sutton Place in New York City, and as head of "The London Connection," can be said to be the single most influential banker in the World.



Biscuit Co., Columbia Baking | Ping Coal Mines, Tientsin
 Co. , Southern Baking Co. | Railroad, Congo Copper, La
 | Banque Nationale de Belgique
 Suite 2000 42 Broadway | N. Y |

Edgar Richard | Julius H. Barnes | Herbert Hoover

Belgium Relief Comm | Belgium Relief Comm | Chmn Belgium Relief Com
 Amer Relief Comm | Pres Grain Corp. | U.S. Food Admin
 U.S. Food Admin | U.S. Food Admin | Sec of Commerce 1924-28
 1918-24, Hazeltine Corp. 1917-18, C.B Pitney | Kaiping Coal Mines
 | Bowes Corp, Manati | Congo Copper, President
 | Sugar Corp. | U.S. 1928-32

John Lowery Simpson

Sacramento, Calif Belgium Relief |
 Comm. U. S. Food Administration | Baron Kurt Von Schroder
 Prentiss Gray Co. J. Henry Schroder |
 Trust, Schroder-Rockefeller, Chmn | Schroder Banking Corp. J.H. Stein
 Fin Comm, Bechtel International | Bankhaus (Hitler's personal bank
 Co. Bechtel Co. (Casper Weinberger | account) served on board of all
 Sec of Defense, George P. Schultz | German subsidiaries of ITT . Bank
 Sec of State (Reagan Admin). | for International Settlements,
 | SS Senior Group Leader, Himmler's
 | Circle of Friends (Nazi Fund),
 | Deutsche Reichsbank, President

Schroder-Rockefeller & Co. , N.Y.

Avery Rockefeller, J. Henry Schroder
 Banking Corp., Bechtel Co., Bechtel
 International Co. , Canadian Bechtel
 Company.

Gordon Richardson

Governor, Bank of England
 1973-PRESENT C.B. of J. Henry Schroder N.Y.
 Schroder Banking Co., New York, Lloyds Bank
 Rolls Royce

Chart 3: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence

The David Rockefeller chart shows the link between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Standard Oil of Indiana, General Motors and Allied Chemical Corporation (Eugene Meyer family) and Equitable Life (J. P. Morgan).

DAVID ROCKEFELLER

Chairman of the Board
 Chase Manhattan Corp


Chase Manhattan Corp. |
 Officer & Director Interlocks|-----

Private Investment Co. for America | Allied Chemicals Corp.
 | Firestone Tire & Rubber Company | General Motors
 | Orion Multinational Services Ltd. | Rockefeller Family & Associates
 | ASARCO. Inc | Chrysler Corp.
 | Southern Peru Copper Corp. | Intl' Basic Economy Corp.

Industrial Minerva Mexico S.A.	R.H. Macy & Co.
Continental Corp.	Selected Risk Investments S.A.
Honeywell Inc.	Omega Fund, Inc.
Northwest Airlines, Inc.	Squibb Corporation
Northwestern Bell Telephone Co.	Olin Foundation
Minnesota Mining & Mfg Co (3M)	Mutual Benefit Life Ins. Co. of NJ
American Express Co.	AT & T
Hewlett Packard	Pacific Northwestern Bell Co.
FMC Corporation	BeachviLime Ltd.
Utah Intl' Inc.	Eveleth Expansion Company
Exxon Corporation	Fidelity Union Bank Corporation
International Nickel/Canada	Cypress Woods Corporation
Federated Capital Corporation	Intl' Minerals & Chemical Corp.
Equitable Life Assurance Soc U.S.	Burlington Industries
Federated Dept Stores	Wachovia Corporation
General Electric	Jefferson Pilot Corporation
Scott Paper Co.	R. J. Reynolds Industries Inc.
American Petroleum Institute	United States Steel Corp.
Richardson Merrill Inc.	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.
May Department Stores Co.	Norton-Simon Inc.
Sperry Rand Corporation	Stone-Webster Inc.
San Salvador Development Company	Standard Oil of Indiana

Chart 4: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence

This chart shows the interlocks between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York J. Henry Schroder Banking Corp., J. Henry Schroder Trust Co., Rockefeller Center, Inc., Equitable Life Assurance Society (J.P. Morgan), and the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.



New England Telephone Co.
 |
 Fisher Scientific Company
 |
 Mellon National Corporation
 |
 Equitable Life Assurance Society
 |
 Twentieth Century Fox Corporation
 |
 J. Henry Schroder Banking Corporation

Chart 5: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence

This chart shows the link between the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Brown Brothers Harriman, Sun Life Assurance Co. (N.M. Rothschild and Sons), and the Rockefeller Foundation.

Maurice F. Granville
 Chairman of The Board
 Texaco Incorporated



** Source: Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence. Staff Report, Committee on Banking, Currency and Housing, House of Representatives, 94th Congress, 2nd Session, August 1976. <http://www.save-a-patriot.org/bbs/bbs.html>

The Federal Reserve was the 3rd attempt at creating a Private Central Bank by the BankLords

Thomas Jefferson opposed the creation of the bank as unconstitutional. He said: "A private central bank issuing the public currency is a greater menace to the liberties of the people than a standing army"

The U.S. Bank was chartered by Congress in the year 1791, its charter was to last for 20 years, and expire in 1811. George Washington signed the bill establishing the bank after listening to the advice of his secretary of the treasury, Alexander Hamilton. The U.S. Bank was modeled after the Bank of England. The Bank of England was established by the Jesuits in 1694 to control that country and make slaves of the people. The Bank was given the right to create fiat money by use of the printing press. The printing press was God's gift to Martin Luther. The printing of the Bible in the languages of the people was the weapon that Luther used to break the chains of millions and free them from the Babylonian Captivity. Rome's answer to the printing of the Holy Scriptures was the printing of MONEY. The Bank of England was allowed to print money and loan to the government at 8% interest. When the Bank of England controlled British Government found out that the U.S. Bank would not be re-chartered, they declared war on the United States. Washington D.C., was invaded and the White House was burned to the ground. General Andrew Jackson (another great Scot whose

parents came from Hibernia) was the hero of that war. At the battle of New Orleans on January 8, 1815, with a small army of volunteers, General Jackson defeated 10,000 British veterans of the campaign against Napoleon in Europe. It was the most lop-sided victory in the history of warfare. During the 19th century, January 8, was a BIG holiday and celebration in the U.S. Almost like the 4th of July in January. Despite this great victory over the British and the Bank of England, the Bank of the U.S. was re-chartered in 1816 for another 20 years. The people loved the hero of the battle of New Orleans and elected him to the Presidency in the year 1829. He served his country in that high office for 8 years. Little did he know before taking the oath of office that he would face a far more dangerous enemy than ever he faced from the British rifles. That ferocious enemy was the MONEY POWER represented by the U.S. Bank.

Because of massive fraud and corruption, President Jackson was determined not to renew its charter when it expired in 1836. Fighting the British was child's play compared to fighting the money power. His main opponent was Nicholas Biddle who was president of the Second Bank. Biddle was Rome's agent in America . . . and her best brain. He graduated from the University of Pennsylvania at the age of 13 and from Princeton at 17. He mastered the secret science of paper money and banking at an early age. He was head of the 2nd Bank of the U.S. With many Congressmen and Senators financially beholden to him, he wielded great political power. He deliberately created a banking panic and a depression for the purpose of frightening the voters and blaming it on President Jackson. Biddle was later arrested and charged with fraud but his powerful protectors shielded him from justice . . . in this life. **Jackson's unflinching determination** and unwavering patriotism prevailed against Biddle and his Bank. President Jackson called the Bank a monster and was determined to pull all its teeth. He said: "I am ready with the screws to draw every tooth and then the stumps." And our hero did exactly as he promised. When he left office, the U.S. had a real currency consisting of silver and gold coins. Our hero called paper money "RAG MONEY" and this is what he said about it: "The paper-money system and its natural associations--monopoly and exclusive privileges--have already struck their roots too deep in the soil, and it will require all your efforts to check its further growth and to eradicate the evil." So great was the victory of our hero, that Rome did not try again to establish a central bank until the 20th century. The groundwork for this 3rd Bank was laid during a top secret meeting on Jekyll Island, Georgia, in 1910. The co-conspirators were some of the most powerful people in Europe and America. They included: Theodore Roosevelt, Paul Warburg, Woodrow Wilson, Nelson W. Aldrich, Benjamin Strong, Frank A. Vanderlip, John D. Rockefeller, etc., etc.

Paul M. Warburg (Daddy WARbucks) was an immigrant from Germany and a partner in the banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Co. He represented the German Central Bank (Reichsbank) and the Rothschilds and Warburgs in Europe. Montagu Norman was the head of the Bank of England during the re-birth of the U.S. Bank. He worked closely with Benjamin Strong and was the British liaison in the re-creation of the monster. Morgan was the American representative of the Rothschilds and the Jesuit controlled Bank of England in America. Aldrich was the Republican whip in the Senate, chairman of the National Monetary Commission and father-in-law to John. D. Rockefeller. Strong was head of J.P. Morgan's Bankers Trust Co. The Rockefeller name is synonymous with money and banking. Rockefeller worked closely with J.P. Morgan and Daddy WARbucks to bring about the Federal Reserve System. His son, Nelson Aldrich Rockefeller, while Governor of New York State, was the agent behind the building of the Twin Towers (Masonic symbols of the Jachin and Boaz Pillars) in New York City. Finally, on Dec. 23, 1913, the Federal Reserve Act was signed into law by President Woodrow Wilson. This was the monster that President Jackson had slain come to life again. No sooner was the Bank re-chartered than WWI began. The Federal Reserve Bank lent money to both sides. They financed the Russian Revolution and the overthrow of the Czar. Economic cycles of boom and bust followed the manipulations of the currency by the Bank. The Bank caused the Great Depression and financed Hitler in Germany and the Fascists in Japan. The Bank instituted the Income Tax which was modeled on the Pope's slave tax during the Dark Ages. The tender mercies of the wicked are cruel....President Jackson's portrait is on this Federal Reserve Bank \$20 dollar bill. If he came back to earth today, the first thing he would do is abolish this monster. If the 2nd bank of the U.S. was unspeakably corrupt, the 3rd Bank was even worse. This Bank (Federal Reserve) financed the rise of Hitler in Germany and was responsible for the financing of the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia.

The printing presses of the U.S. Bank are running night and day pouring billions of dollars into the economy in order to keep the fiat system afloat. In the 6000 years of World history, no fiat currency has ever survived. By 1870, the U.S. was the largest producer of silver in the World and we had FREE COINAGE, meaning anybody with silver or gold could take it to the U.S. Mint and have it coined into money. Many other nations of the World were on a bimetallic system too. These included, India, China, Japan, Mexico and most of the South American Republics. The MONEY POWER pushed the World off the bimetallic system onto the gold standard. Today, not one single country is on a gold standard due to the manipulations of the gold cartel. Since the time of Pope Constantine, and especially since the blessed Reformation, Satan has sought to dominate Civil Governments by means of the MONEY POWER. St. Paul said this to the ROMAN Christians:

"Let EVERY soul be subject to the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. Whosoever resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves DAMNATION. For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil....." (Romans 16:1-2).

Roman Emperor Pious IX in his Syllabus of Errors said that it is an ERROR to say that the Government is above the "church."

"Kings and princes are not only exempt from the jurisdiction of the Church, but are superior to the Church in deciding questions of jurisdiction. -- Damnatio "Multiplures inter," June 10, 1851. "

As the end of time approaches, the Antichrist is using the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank, the European Central Bank and the Vatican Bank to finance the Last Great Inquisition and bring the entire World under the Papacy.

[More on What's Wrong with the Federal Reserve's Monopoly on Issuing Currency](#)

Stephen Zarlenga explains <http://www.monetary.org/federalreserveprivate.htm> . Students of our monetary system quickly encounter whether the Federal Reserve System is part of the U.S. Government or is a private organization. The importance people are placing on the answer is indicated by the over 36,000 web sites the question raises on internet search engines. We'll examine evidence in the Federal Reserve legislation; in how the Fed operates; from Congressional testimony; from statements from the Federal Reserve's publications; in statements by former Chairmen of the House Banking Committee; and in official rulings by US courts, to show why we conclude that although there are some elements of ambiguity, the Federal Reserve system is essentially dominated and controlled by private financiers, not our government; and to the extent that there is ownership of it, it is entirely private. Therefore despite the ambiguity and confusion - the Fed is more accurately seen as a private, not a governmental institution, though with substantial governmental ties, in fact it is the ultimate industrial-military-congressional feudal complex running to the detriment of the masses as later identified in President Eisenhower's 1961 nationally televised speech. The ambiguity arises from a combination of misleading appearances; the fact that our President appoints (with consent of the Senate) the Chairman of the Fed to four year terms, and the 7 member Board in Washington to 14 year terms; the fact that the Fed is supposed to promote governmental fiscal policy; and the fact that the system was originally set up in law by Congress in 1913 and can be altered, nationalized or even dismantled by Congress.

Most Americans understand that the Fed controls our money system, but they believe its part of our government, as would be expected of any organization holding that much power over the destiny of our country. Americans also erroneously believe the banking business consists of accepting deposits from clients and then re-loaning them to borrowers at a higher rate of interest. Though the number is definitely growing, most Americans have no idea that money (or more accurately interest bearing bank credits - purchasing media which serves as money) is created by the banking system when loans are made, through the fractional reserve provisions. This is understood by few novices, and often economists and even bankers fail to comprehend that they function as part of a money creation system, when they issue credits, and deposit them into their client's accounts when loans are extended. Therefore most Americans would be surprised to learn that almost all of what we use for money is not issued by our government, but by private banks. They have been "allowed" to form erroneous assumptions about our money and banking system that are far from reality and that serves to shield from closer scrutiny, whether the Fed is truly operating in the public interest or advancing more private agendas, either on purpose or by default.

The Federal Reserve consists of 12 regional Federal Reserve banks, with boards of Directors, under an umbrella direction of the 7 member Federal Reserve Board in Washington, with the power to determine major aspects of banking activity, such as setting interest rates, and the reserve and other operational requirements. There are no shares of the Washington Fed Board organization; the only "ownership" of the Fed is in shares of each of the 12 regional banks which are entirely owned by the private member banks within their respective districts, according to a formula based on their size. The ownership is highly restricted in that such ownership is mandatory; the shares can't be sold; and they pay a guaranteed 6% annual dividend. It is true that our first central bank, the First Bank of the United States, upon dissolution in 1811 was found to be three quarters owned by British and Dutch interests, that bank was structured simply as a private share company on the Bank of England model. The control of the Federal Reserve System is more difficult to untangle and is not just a matter of counting shareholder votes. While foreign bankers might indirectly own shares of the regional Federal Reserve Banks through ownership of American Banking companies, such ownership would be reported to the SEC if any entity held more than 5% of the American corporation. This however does not exclude strong, potentially undue foreign influence through trans-Atlantic intermarriage relationships and also through the Bank for International Settlements (BIS).

The Federal Reserve System puts itself forward as a non-profit organization that turns over its operating profits to the U.S. Treasury, after all expenses, including the 6% dividend to member banks. However this misses the point on several scores. First, the banking profits coming through the privileged money creation process mainly occurs at the member bank level of operation, and those profits are not turned over to the Treasury. That is the net earnings from the member banks seigniorage privilege are not turned over to our government but kept by the private member banks. For England this amount has been estimated at 41 Billion Pounds per year. For the US we think it's between \$100-200 billion per year; but we need to know the amount more precisely from the Fed itself. But above this is the immense power possessed by the ones allowed to create money from nothing and issue it at their discretion. This money creation which is put into the system when the banks extend loans, eventually becomes a source of funding when our government's bonds are sold to the public. Here is how Wright Patman, former House Banking and Currency Committee Chairman for 16 years criticized that process: "I have never yet had anyone who could, through the use of logic and reason, justify the Federal Government borrowing the use of its own money....I believe the time will come when people will demand that this be changed. I believe the time will come in this country when they will actually blame you and me and everyone else connected with the Congress for sitting idly by and permitting such an idiotic system to continue." We think the time has come.

Secondly, how extravagant are the FED's operating expenses? Reputedly quite high, but in order to determine that for sure a proper audit would be necessary. Just where did the extensive real assets of the Fed come from if all the earnings are turned over to the Treasury? (Fed capital as of June 28, 2006 was \$29.462 billion) Perhaps some part of it comes from member bank subscriptions to the regional Fed shares. Another question for the audit to address. If memory serves

correctly, the Fed used to turn over 90% not all, of its earnings over to the Treasury; but now its 100%. Although ownership of the Fed is admittedly private in a restricted way, it is control which is the more important factor in regarding the Fed as private, not governmental. Through its power to issue money, it is the defacto controller of everyone including politicians. It will be clear from the following points that the Fed is definitely not part of the US Government:

- The Fed is not organized within the Executive, Legislative or Judicial branches of our government.
- Who pays the Fed's bills and determines its budget? Not any part of our government. The Fed gets its funding from its own specially privileged operations. The Fed Board determines Fed budgets.
- Who monitors and oversees Fed activities? Again the Fed itself. While some important elements of proper auditing have taken place, there has not yet been a comprehensive independent audit, by the GovernmentAccountability Office as proposed in a recent letter from Ralph Nader to new Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke, calling for greater monetary transparency.
- Federal Reserve Employees are not part of the US Civil Service System and are not covered by government employees' health insurance or pension programs. Who does the hiring and firing? Except for the highly publicized Chairman and 7 member Washington Board, this is in private, unelected hands.
- Federal Reserve Banks are not listed as government organizations by the telephone companies, a small but telling fact.

Here is how the Fed describes the Control situation, in the FAQ's on its website: "As the nation's central bank, the Federal Reserve derives its authority from the U.S. Congress. It is considered an independent central bank because its decisions do not have to be ratified by the President or anyone else in the executive or legislative branch of government, it does not receive funding appropriated by Congress, and the terms of the members of the Board of Governors span multiple presidential and congressional terms. However, the Federal Reserve is subject to oversight by Congress, which periodically reviews its activities and can alter its responsibilities by statute. Also, the Federal Reserve must work within the framework of the overall objectives of economic and financial policy established by the government. Therefore, the Federal Reserve can be more accurately described as "independent within the government." We'd suggest the phrase "independent within the government" is much too ambiguous and has the effect of conveying great power while avoiding responsibility. The Fed's FAQ's continue regarding control: "The Federal Reserve's ultimate accountability is to Congress, which at any time can amend the Federal Reserve Act. Legislation requires that the Fed report annually on its activities to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and twice annually on its plans for monetary policy to the banking committees of Congress. Fed officials also testify before Congress when requested. "To ensure financial accountability, the financial statements of the Federal Reserve Banks and the Board of Governors are audited annually by an independent outside auditor. In addition, the General Accounting Office, as well as the Board's Office of Inspector General, can audit Federal Reserve activities." We agree with Mr. Nader that it is time for the General Accountability Office to carry out this full audit of the Federal Reserve System. We take at face value the Fed's statement that the only way for our government to exert necessary societal controls on the Fed is through legislation altering the Federal Reserve Act.

Reading the Act with the question of control in mind, what one finds are primarily an enumeration and description of vast powers over our monetary system being ceded to the non - governmental Federal Reserve. Primary among these are the powers necessary to administer a fractional reserve banking system in which the creation of money - what we use for purchasing media is in private hands. One is struck by the general absence of governmental controls over Fed activity, and lack of requirements toward our elected representatives. One is struck by the lack of accountability of the Fed to our governmental officials or bodies. One is struck by the lack of any specified penalties should the system be found to not be promoting governmental public policy at all. One is struck by the lack of formal oversight procedures to determine whether that is happening or not. The Act requires the Chairman to appear before Congress and Congressional committees four times a year, and requires the Board to submit two written reports to Congress annually. To understand that this is not sufficient oversight, one need only read Congressman Bernie Sanders questioning of Chairman Greenspan, from the Congressman's website. When tough questions were put to the Chairman, as Congressman Sanders did, forms of stalling non-answers came back until the announcement, "Your time is up Mr. Congressman." While the act specifies that the Comptroller of the Currency has the power to directly examine any member bank in the system, he is not empowered to examine Federal Reserve Regional banks that are in the hands of the Washington Board. 14 year appointments, a one time event for them, places them outside the influence of our elected officials, in other words outside the democratic process.

Probably this "independence" was sold as a good thing! From the time of Adam Smith, there has been a growing attack against government (led by the BankLords), as being incapable of managing the monetary system. Despite the evidence that government has a far better record controlling money than private bankers have*; despite the fact that government is the only organizational form with ability to stand between the people and the "Enrons" of the world. It is time to rethink this "independence" question and examine the actual evidence, rather than to continue relying on free market ideology really a form of elitist propaganda. It would be smarter to examine mankind's actual experience with government controlled money systems especially in America. **For what reason did the Federal Reserve Act envision that it would be saints serving on the Fed Board?** Several legal proceedings further illuminate the private aspects of the Fed. This case refers to several of those cases.

1) JOHN L. LEWIS, Plaintiff/Appellant, vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant/Appellee. (No. 80-5905, UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, NINTH CIRCUIT 680 F.2d 1239; 1982 U.S. App. LEXIS 20002; March 2, 1982, Submitted; April 19, 1982, Decided) [Lewis had been injured by a car owned by the San Francisco Fed and sued the US Government for damages. Note that this ruling particularly applies to the regional Federal Reserve Banks, not necessarily the Federal Reserve Board. Thus even more ambiguity!] Excerpts from the ruling: The district court dismissed, holding that the

Federal Reserve Bank is not a federal agency within the meaning of the Federal Reserve Act and that the court therefore lacked subject matter jurisdiction.... "Federal agency" is defined as: the executive departments, the military departments, independent establishments of the United States, and corporations acting primarily as instrumentalities of the United States, but does not include any contractors with the United States...There are no sharp criteria for determining whether an entity is a federal agency within the meaning of the Act (28 U.S.C. § 2671), but the critical factor is the existence of federal government control over the "detailed physical performance" and "day to day operation" of that entity.... Other factors courts have considered include whether the entity is an independent corporation..., whether the government is involved in the entity's finances.... and whether the mission of the entity furthers the policy of the United States... Examining the organization and function of the Federal Reserve Banks, and applying the relevant factors, we conclude that the Reserve Banks are not federal instrumentalities for purposes of the FTCA, but are independent, privately owned and locally controlled corporations. Each Federal Reserve Bank is a separate corporation owned by commercial banks in its region. The stockholding commercial banks elect two thirds of each Bank's nine member board of directors. The remaining three directors are appointed by the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board regulates the Reserve Banks, but direct supervision and control of each Bank is exercised by its board of directors. 12 U.S.C. § 301. The directors enact by-laws regulating the manner of conducting general Bank business, 12 U.S.C. § 341, and appoint officers to implement and supervise daily Bank activities. These activities include collecting and clearing checks, making advances to private and commercial entities, holding reserves for member banks, discounting the notes of member banks, and buying and selling securities on the open market. See 12 U.S.C. §§ 341 [**5] 361.... It is evident from the legislative history of the Federal Reserve Act that Congress did not intend to give the federal government direction over the daily operation of the Reserve Banks: It is proposed that the Government shall retain sufficient power over the reserve banks to enable it to exercise a direct authority when necessary to do so.... In other words, the reserve-bank plan retains to the Government power over the exercise of the broader banking functions, while it leaves to individuals and privately owned institutions the actual direction of routine...[Note: neither the Act, nor this court explained how that is done] the Federal Reserve Banks, though heavily regulated, are locally controlled by their member banks. Unlike typical federal agencies, each bank is empowered to hire and fire employees at will. Bank employees do not participate in the Civil Service Retirement System. They are covered by worker's compensation insurance, purchased by the Bank, rather than the Federal Employees Compensation Act. Employees traveling on Bank business are not subject to federal travel regulations and do not receive government [**7] employee discounts on lodging and services. The Banks are listed neither as "wholly owned" government corporations under 31 U.S.C. § 846 nor as "mixed ownership" corporations under 31 U.S.C. § 856, ... a factor considered in *Pearl v. United States*, 230 F.2d 243 (10th Cir. 1956), which held that the Civil Air Patrol is not a federal agency under the Act. ... Additionally, Reserve Banks, as privately owned entities, receive no appropriated funds from Congress. ...The Reserve Banks have properly been held to be federal instrumentalities for some purposes....The Reserve Banks are deemed to [**10] be federal instrumentalities for purposes of immunity from state taxation.... The Reserve Banks, which further the nation's fiscal policy, clearly perform an important governmental function....Performance of an important governmental function, however, [**11] is but a single factor and not determinative in tort claims actions.... *Brink's Inc. v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System*, 466 F. Supp. 116 (D.D.C.1979), held that a Federal Reserve Bank is a federal [**12] instrumentality for purposes of the Service Contract Act, 41 U.S.C. § 351. ... For these reasons we hold that the Reserve Banks are not federal agencies for purposes of the Federal Tort Claims Act and we affirm the judgment of the district court. [end of excerpts]

Is the Fed Operating in the Public Interest and Promoting Governmental Policy? Short answer: No. Some Details: Macroeconomic policy goals are generally agreed to include: full employment, stable prices, satisfactory balance of payments; and sustainable economic growth.

A) The Full Employment Laws

Last April at a Levi Institute April conference (Bard College), Fed Governor Donald L. Kohn gave his luncheon talk, and nobody had a question for him (economists seem afraid of Fed officials) so I stood up and asked "Whatever happened to the Fed's full employment directive?" (Well that got a rise out of Jamie Galbraith, who was sitting at the next table!) Gov. Kohn's answer (paraphrasing) was "Yes we consider that, but we also consider price stability. Price stability is the economists mantra for tight money policies, that put a special strain on the barely employed. The important employment question especially indicates how the Fed does not implement Governmental policy. Two laws were passed by Congress on this and both are being effectively ignored by the Fed: The 1946 Employment Act directed policy makers to pursue policies promoting full employment. This apparently was not enough. The Humphrey-Hawkins Act had to be passed in 1978 requiring monetary policymakers to pursue full employment and non-inflationary economic growth. And what has been the result? Games are played with the unemployment statistics. Unemployment is grossly underestimated by ignoring those whose unemployment benefits have run out; by not counting those who have given up looking, or who have accepted jobs requiring only a small part of their qualifications at low wages, or who have accepted part time work in desperation. The Fed has done little or nothing to gain and publicize an accurate estimate of unemployment in America. A case could probably be made that the Fed Board is flaunting the Humphrey-Hawkins Law. There have been unsuccessful attempts through former Senator Mack of Florida and Congressman Saxton of New Jersey to promote legislation which would render the employment question moot, by making "price stability" the Fed's priority. That the "full employment" language is considered an annoyance is indicative of the Fed's political bias against middle class Americans.

Several additional societal/governmental problems with direct connection to monetary policy follow below. Taken individually, they might leave room for question, or even be characterized as "anecdotal," Greenspan's favorite description for inconvenient facts; but when seen in their entirety they demonstrate to reasonable minds that the Fed has not been operating in the public interest, except incidentally. The Fed has been promoting, or at least supporting plutocracy ? the

rule by wealth. (The connection between the Fed's monetary policy, and governments funding abilities should be fairly clear, but just in case, we are writing a longer explanation that does connect those dots.) When a long string of events and factors evinces a particular design or motivation behind them, we should draw the proper inference, just as the American Declaration of Independence did. In the Fed's case we infer that a form of class warfare has been an inextricable part of its make up from its beginning. It's not really hidden now. Billionaire speculator Warren Buffet recently remarked "If there is a class war, my class has won." Buffet was being facetious. He would not characterize the destruction of the most vulnerable among us and their children, as "winning." He would probably join with me in calling it cannibalism, and predicting that indigestion is sure to follow. This warfare is not all the Fed's fault, a large part of the warfare is inherent in the present day make up, definitions and assumptions of economics itself. But the single most decisive factor in that wealth concentrating "victory" has been the privately controlled monetary system.

B) The appalling condition of America's crucial infrastructure

The American Society of Civil Engineers gives our infrastructure an overall "D" rating, and predicts it will fall to D- soon. They tell us it will cost \$1.6 trillion to bring it up to safe levels. The education sector already receives a failing F grade! This represents an unanswerable indictment of the present monetary system as either unwilling or unable to handle these crucial pillars of our society.

C) The growing concentration of wealth

Under 1% of the population is claiming ownership to almost 50% of the wealth. This spells disaster for our democracy unless quickly corrected. Private control of the money system is the most powerful engine continuing this destructive concentration process. It has become the primary tool of class warfare. I've only heard from one Fed official, the President of one of the regional Federal Reserve banks, who seemed to care; but in a private conversation. Yet hearing that from her was the one human signal I've encountered from the Fed in all the years I've watched it.

D) The previous Chairman, media darling Alan Greenspan Promoted Warfare and Bad Tax Cuts

Greenspan promoted the dual disasters of de-funding government through tax cuts to the super-rich, and paving the road to war in Iraq. Who can defend these "errors?" In effect they became "Fed policy" for our country.

E) Health care and education

Some figures say 45 million of us are without health insurance. Other estimates place it much higher. Then there are all those who think they are insured, but will find out otherwise when a health problem does strike. Regarding education, just the physical plant is graded F (failing) by our engineers. Is that American public policy? Or is it a financial system gone amuck?

F) The Real Estate Bubble

This is a double negative for the Fed. Counter to their professed price stability goal, they created massive inflation in housing costs, since about 70% of all Fed money creation goes into real estate loans. Then they look at soaring house prices and say "Aren't we wise? the collateral we loaned on is worth much more than we allowed"? instead of saying "look at what our loans are doing to the real estate market? putting it further out of reach for too many."

G) Stock market bubbles

Past pandering of Greenspan's Fed to the financial sectors led to stock market bubbles, still being unwound. Exclamations of "irrational exuberance" was just talk, when he had the power to act on margin requirements, for example, but did nothing.

H) Attempts to Remove the Estate Tax on the Super Wealthy

Predictably, we heard nothing from Fed officials on why this would be one of the worst fiscal acts to take against America.

I) Worker Earnings Dropping since 1973

Since when is it U.S. public policy to pressurize workers incomes? In effect the Fed has placed the working sector into a form of deflation, while at the same time running a grand inflation in property values.

J) Child mortality

Believe it or not, the U.S. ties for the worst ranking among all "developed" nations, by recent U.N. Figures.

K) And We Haven't Looked at New Orleans Yet

Instead of our government being able to spend the \$12 billion to repair levees and protect a key port city, we're going to spend at least \$400 billion to repair the damage. This too should be laid at the Fed's doorstep, including the thousands of

unnecessary deaths of our people. The Fed facilitated that well publicized maniac who wants to make our government small enough so that he can drown it in a bathtub.

L) Nuclear Weapons face off

We continue to be in an ever more dangerous face off with the Soviet Union involving potentially thousands of nuclear detonations on our land. Just a few of these would end our civilization. When one examines the control of the monetary system, it's not just about money. It is about the mis-direction of humanity. Money plays such a large role in that process that this nuclear stalemate too must be laid in part at the Fed's door. Not entirely, because the Russians had a hand in it too.

M) The Balance of Payments Fiasco

Shipping American jobs overseas has led to an imbalance of payments that has benefited financiers, but devastated working people. Where has the Fed ever issued a warning about this process?

N) And Now the Inflation

These misdirected monetary policies are finally taking a toll on inflation. The CRB Price Index (now at about 350) has been approaching its all time highs of 380 reached in 1974, a year of political impeachment combined with wartime inflation, surging metals prices and an agricultural drought. But with much of the work force experiencing deflation in their earnings, it is a nightmare that they are now facing real inflation in their daily expenditures, for example for fuel.

O...Z) More to come... Foreign Influence Through the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) We'll examine this important question in a continuation of the discussion in the coming weeks.

Ambiguity of control has resulted in the monetary power being misused. It has allowed great power to be wielded without responsibility. No amount of false PR will change that. The money power vested in Congress by the Constitution has been improperly delegated to private interests without sufficient public interest benefit, if any. Congress must resume the power vested in it. Had such delegation of power been shown to work in the public interest, one could consider maintaining or adjusting the present system. But look what it has done. This calls for a major shifting of how our money system operates and is controlled. Anything less, with minor benefits that merely alleviate the problems temporarily, will allow the destructive process to eventually resume. The ambiguity must cease. The American Monetary Institute has been working on comprehensive legislation called The American Monetary Act, to remedy this problem at its root, summarized in our brief statement, The Need for Monetary Reform and presented in full at our web site <http://www.monetary.org> . This Act puts into legal terminology the reform proposal put forward in Chapter 24 of The Lost Science of Money (a copy is in your Washington office). Chapters 1 thru 23 give the historical background and case studies on which the reforms are based. We realize that this Act has its best chance of passage under emergency conditions. The idea is to have it ready and to inform enough citizens and lawmakers around the country about it. At the same time, it is necessary to begin action now and there is a "small step" called the Monetary Transparency Act, attached below. It starts the process of making the Fed more accountable to the Congress, by requiring the compilation of certain statistics which are otherwise difficult to get. These are numbers which almost automatically point the way toward better public policy decisions. See also "The Lost Science of Money book" by Stephen Zarlenga, Director of the American Monetary Institute.

BankLords Dynasties Create Wars & Terror and head the N.W.O. Conspiracy

US General Smedley Butler wrote in his 1935 classic, "War Is a Racket": "I helped make Mexico safe for American oil interests in 1914," "I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefit of Wall Street. I helped purify Nicaragua for the International Banking House of Brown Brothers in 1902-1912. I brought light to the Dominican Republic for the American sugar interests in 1916. I helped make Honduras right for American fruit companies in 1903. In China in 1927 I helped see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested." Butler acknowledged that he'd spent most of his thirty-three years in the Marines as "a high class muscle man for Big Business, Wall Street and the bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism[ts]."

America's Moloch: The Federal Reserve

Do the Bankers and the politicians need \$87 billion for the war, or do they need the war to get \$87 billion? Exclusive to American Free Press By W. A. Carto: ****Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 10th Edition, defines "Moloch" as "a Semitic god to whom *children were sacrificed."*** Few in authority will admit that the American economy requires a constantly expanding injection of Federal Reserve* heroin to survive. When the Federal Reserve was established by Congress in 1913 it was the successful culmination of a Bankers' conspiracy that began shortly after—even before—the conclusion of the Revolutionary War. It has been fueled by careful long-term planning and unlimited financial reserves by unbelievably wealthy men. Names such as Nelson Aldrich, J. P. Morgan, Paul Warburg, Jacob Schiff, Bernard Baruch, Samuel Untermyer and Rothschild—the most powerful political manipulators in the World then—and their successors now—were the players and politicians. Woodrow Wilson, indebted to them for his election, carried out the plan. Both parties were involved. The conspirators knew that the public did not want a central bank—something that was anathema to Americans ever since the country's founding. From Washington through Jackson and to Wilson the idea of a central bank, which would inevitably come to shape public policy and invite corruption, was opposed. The plotters knew that any plan originated by Republicans would automatically be suspect so in the election of 1912, it took very little cajoling to convince Teddy Roosevelt to run as a third party candidate. This split the Republicans, assuring the defeat of William Howard Taft and the shoo-in of Wilson, the Democrat, little more than their puppet. Wilson was programmed to preside over the creation of the Federal Reserve—the creature of the most corrupt and criminal class in the World—the international Bankers. Since then, the Fed has directed the transfer of uncounted trillions in assets, such as real property, natural resources and businesses into the greedy hands of the Bankers, leaving their victims—including the United States of America and all of its people bankrupt and in hopeless debt to them. And this has been the purpose of this enterprise from its conspiratorial beginning.

WAR, WAR, WAR

The fundamental dynamic of the American economy is war. It requires a constant expenditure of Federal Reserve interest-bearing credit to survive, and the best way to accomplish this requirement is war. The taxpayers can always be inspired to support war. It may be said that the public loves war almost as much as the Bankers and their office-holding puppets. And if a sizable segment of the public is opposed to a given war, the news media can work them up to a blessed blood lust within hours. Yes, hours. Forty years ago, populist analyst/ philosopher Lawrence Dennis described it thusly: "There's not a peace cloud in the war boom sky."

American politicians have always responded to this by effortlessly finding "public enemies" to fit the hallowed image of foreign devil. And today, with the terror of 9-11 engraved on the consciousness of the public, exhorting them to follow our leaders in the search for terrorists is so simple that even an intellectually deprived president can easily follow the script. Thus, flinging interest-bearing Federal Reserve credit at our presumed enemies is a simple ritual with precedents without number. Critics of President Bush's Iraq aggression are right in that it obviously serves no American interest except the political need to placate the implacable demands of Israel but the even more insistent requirement of feeding the Federal Reserve Moloch** with the bodies of young Americans makes war spending a sacred duty. *For more information on the budget fraud and the Federal Reserve scam, see the ad on page 15. The classic book, Secrets of the Federal Reserve, by Eustace Mullins, is the seminal work on this subject. One copy, \$25 including S&H. Order from TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington D.C. 20003.

THE CONSPIRACY AND ITS CURE - by Donald Cassidy

It takes a vast and very rich Conspiracy and a century to overthrow the sovereignty of the American government, take control of the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial branches, and such agencies IMF, CIA, World Bank, the privately owned Federal Reserve Banks, etc. and embark US on a global war against the Arab World. The Conspiracy was described exactly more than a century ago in Europe and by President Wilson, long before this evil little state of Israel and its partisans were born. The World is under siege by a European hierarchy of banking families, at the top, the money changers and money lenders of history, as warned by Yeshua-Joshua when he confronted the Temple's money changers and urged all to beware of the Pharisees. They are already the richest people on earth but it is not enough. They have a Faustian Grand Plan. They mean to own or control everything of value on earth. Their weapons: MONEY and DEBT—and Washington and Israel.

The rise of the Conspiracy over the last two centuries parallels the rise of Zionism. This is not a coincidence. Early on,

the Conspiracy realized that it needed a strong political agency, Zionism, and its own country where it would be free from all governmental oversight and create the murderous rogue state (Israel, and note that the hexagram on the Israeli flag is the satanic Star of Moloch) that menaces the World today through Washington. (The two were joined at the hip decades ago by the Conspiracy, "There are people who control spacious territories teeming with manifest and hidden resources. They dominate the intersections of World routes. Their lands were the cradles of human civilizations and religions. These people have one faith, one language, one history and the same aspirations. No natural barriers can isolate these people from one another ... if, per chance, this nation were to be unified into one state, it would then take the fate of the World into its hands and would separate Europe from the rest of the World. Taking these considerations seriously, a foreign body should be planted in the heart of this nation to prevent the convergence of its wings in such a way that it could exhaust its powers in never-ending wars. It could also serve as a springboard for the West to gain its coveted objects." - 1902 - **Sir Campbell Bannerman, Prime Minister of Britain [1905-08].**) The Rothschild Conspiracy is invisible. It functions through its network of global and central banks and their many subsidized agents, organizations and infiltrated fronts around the World. It financed the Morgans and the Harrimans. Even the haughty kingpin of the American Petroleum Cartel, David Rockefeller, is its lackey just like his ancestors.

It controls the currency of all the major nations including Russia and China. It "takes" hundreds of billions from the American people every year. It has no regard for human life except as a resource for labor, taxes and armies. It has no god; money is its messiah. A century ago, the Conspiracy had bankrupt Europe with its many wars (the lifeblood of the Conspiracy). A peaceful America, protected by two oceans, was on the verge of becoming the most powerful and prosperous nation on earth and the Conspiracy did not "own" it. This was "unacceptable". A naïve President Wilson was surrounded by these conspirators: Baruch, Untermeyer, Warburg, Schiff, Brandeis, Meyer and House (Read Mr. Griffin's book on the Creature of Jekyll Island which describes the fraudulent creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve Banking system modelled on the Bank of England which the Conspiracy families control, i.e. Rothschild, Rockefeller, etc.). The Conspiracy wished to take control of American money, "mobilize its credit" to finance World War I and overthrow the Czar (for Russian money and creation of their private central bank). And it needed America to assure victory over Germany and control of the Bundesbank. **President Woodrow Wilson was pressured into signing the Federal Reserve Act and to declare war on Germany. Later he was to say, "I have involuntarily ruined my government". Ominously, he warned, "Some of the biggest men in the United States...are afraid of something. They know there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."** (And it has grown enormously from a century of war.)

The Conspiracy mandated that Capitalism become the "state religion" of America and the World (cheap resources and labor and freedom from taxes and regulations). A Red Scare began the century long war on Communism. It was as phony as the "war on terrorism" of today, all bugaboos and demagoguery. Every politician had to pledge his/her allegiance to Capitalism. Communists were purged from government, communications, education, industry; tens of millions died for it in the Conspiracy wars. Today it's still the same: "globalization," "deregulation" "privatization" and "tax exemptions for the rich". **The Conspiracy financed massive donations to two of the most prestigious universities in America, turning them into centers of politics and activities geared toward their agenda.**

After World War II, the Conspiracy corrupted American elections with money and subverted Washington officials with threats of heavily financed opponents. Anyone who resisted was threatened with ruin or eliminated (JFK and the other 3 Presidents who were assassinated, Louis McFadden, etc). The Senate was purged of all but the Conspiracy's sycophants. But what about the American people? In the 70's after the destruction of the Savings Banks and Savings & Loans was planned, the Conspiracy recognized that it needed an epochal event like the Reichstag Fire and/or Pearl Harbor to subdue the independent American people. Washington and its controlled media would orchestrate a mass hysteria. They would bombard the American people, just as they had for decades with demagoguery, pathos, ethnic hatred, patriotism, heroism, glorification, pageantry, flag-waving and symbolism to create a false war climate and impose martial law under the pretense of "national wartime security". FEMA concentration camps were built and are ready (see Alex Jones' documentary The Road to Tyranny on this CD or at <http://www.infowars.com>). It all came together in September 2001 just as planned by the Conspiracy. And it is seeking the greatest insurance windfall in history. The American people are shocked and all evidence and investigations quashed. But the pieces are coming together anyway on the Internet; Washington and media accusations are a complete fraud. The buildings were assuredly not destroyed by aircraft but rather "demolition" style with explosives at the base which left a Richter signature of 2.1 at the exact time of the collapses.. The obvious suspects are the agents of the Conspiracy in Washington. There are reports that the Conspiracy timed this catastrophe to destroy the personnel and accumulated evidence in a major investigation and lawsuit involving a gold cartel in Wall Street and the Federal Reserve, selling the Reserve gold stock at bargain prices which would have unveiled the Conspiracy. This was "unacceptable" and the central office of this investigation was located in WTC and destroyed alongwith all the evidence collected.

The Conspiracy seeks to corner the World's gold supply (its Rheingold). Washington has since diverted all such investigations to "terrorism". The Conspiracy has bankrupt America just like Europe. America is on the brink of chaos: environmental disaster, global war, and economic, societal, and financial collapse. America floats on the accelerating expansion of debt by the Federal Reserve, currently at \$15 Trillion Federal, State, Local. But credit is not inexhaustible. Annual interest on the debt is rapidly rising. The dollar is under global attack in favor of the Euro. The economic future of America is bleak yet rosy economics pours out of Washington and the media, lulling the people. For most of US, the cost of living rises and the standard of living declines; there will be giant corporate bankruptcies, millions more unemployed,

healthcare, education, retirements trashed, all the more for the Conspiracy's war machine (and its satanic weapons). **In debt-ridden nations, the Conspiracy through the IMF will demand lower wages and no social programs, higher taxes and interest rates and will foreclose on vast expanses of the natural resources by its World "Conservation" Bank for exploitation, freed from the sovereign control of those countries and the interests and welfare of their people. Our children will buy their water like they buy their gasoline from Conspiracy lackeys.**

America exists in a state of Constitutional chaos. There is no lawful government in Washington. All three branches are in gross violation of the Constitution. It is the most evil and criminal government in history. It is a collection of Enron types, stuffing their pockets. These criminals will gladly wage the Conspiracy's wars just to stay in power. Any force with the means to do so may lawfully oust them and fill the vacancies. We see a popinjay president surrounded, once again like Wilson, by these neocon conspirators: Wolfowitz, Perle, Feith, Kristol, Kagan, and Podhoretz. So what's the cure? The voting machines are "fixed" and the hardware/software is owned by the Rothschild/Rockefeller dynasties just like history in the form of Encyclopedia Britannica. The Republican majorities in Congress are more suspect every day. [note: Texas redistricting scandal to get rid of democrats, Florida presidential election scandal, California recall,] So what's the cure? It's really simple. Vast concentrated wealth is dangerous to any republic and must be redistributed through a "Peace Tax" of fifty percent of all personal wealth, income and property in excess of Five Million Dollars. The hundreds of billions in interest paid by the Treasury's government obligations [treasury bond holders] to the Conspiracy annually should be cancelled... this would require a selective process of who these particular bond holders are. Political reform including elections purged of private monies, term limits for all public officials, and representative government for all minorities according to their numbers. The Conspiracy and its use of the American people to achieve their evil Grand Plan would be ended. Within a few years the national debt would be paid with peace and prosperity instead of endless wars, inflation and depressions. This is always what has occurred when the people issued their own money instead of the Conspiracy's privately owned central banks. But the Conspiracy controls both of the major parties; so the Cure is simply not possible without efforts by real American patriots. We should remember **the words of Jefferson:** "If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency...the banks and the corporations which grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered." How soon the labor of men would make a paradise of the earth were it not for misgovernment and a diversion of his energies to selfish interests.

Acknowledgements: Eustace Mullins, Secrets of the Federal Reserve, (www.apfn.org/apfn/reserve.htm) Carmack & Still, The Money Masters (www.themoneymasters.com) http://www.indybay.org/news/2003/09/1645030_comment.php <http://www.democracynow.org/article.pl?sid=03/09/18/1757243> <http://www.nzherald.co.nz/storydisplay.cfm?thesecion=news&thesubsection=&storyID=3524590&reportID=562588> <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article4792.htm>

For additional Fisk articles see the following URLs: www.robert-fisk.com <http://www.nowarforisrael.com> www.counterpunch.org (check left hand column for links to Fisk articles)

<http://www.nzherald.co.nz/storydisplay.cfm?storyID=3524677&thesecion=news&thesubsection=World>

The following is the Robert Fisk article (notice how Vice President Dick Cheney was on the board of advisors for JINSA for years before the tragic 9-11 attack as he was the CEO of Halliburton as well before receiving the 20 million dollar severance package to basically do Halliburton's bidding as Vice President-the Iraq invasion was for Israel (with JINSA/CSP/PNAC) and oil (Halliburton) with Dick Cheney being the key person for both): <http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles114.htm> Here is the "Men from JINSA and CSP" article again which Fisk mentions in the above article:

<http://www.thenation.com/doc.mhtml?i=20020902&s=vest&c=1> Long Night After (by Israeli Uri Avnery about the JINSA/PNAC cabal in the Bush regime):

<http://www.counterpunch.org/avnery04102003.html> Check out the article about spies (to include Israeli spies) at the Pentagon who distorted intelligence for the invasion of Iraq (the article is linked under the pictures that appear at the following URL): <http://www.nowarforisrael.com> Whose War (by Patrick Buchanan):

http://www.amconmag.com/03_24_03/cover.html

<http://www.counterpunch.org/fisk0416.html> North Korea War Next for the JINSA/CSP/PNAC Neocons:

http://iraqwar.ru/iraq-read_article.php?articleId=19695&lang=en Behold, the Head of a Neocon:

<http://www.counterpunch.org/cockburn09172003.html> Iraq Invasion/Occupation for Greater Israel (and Oil):

<http://www.sutramanga.com/discuss/viewthread.php?tid=73&pid=239> <http://www.nogw.com/warforisrael.html>

Iraq Occupation Looking Like Lebanon: <http://www.msnbc.com/news/966400.asp?0dm=s13AK>

Proof! "World Government" is a Banker Ruse By Henry Makow Ph.D. May 09, 2004

In 1919, the Rothschild-dominated Bank of England planned to trick the United States into becoming a "British" colony again by joining In 1919, the Rothschild-dominated Bank of England planned to trick the United States into becoming a "British" colony again by joining the League of Nations. The League of the Nations, like the "British Empire", was the bankers' fiefdom, and this strategem would merely formalize a colonial status which already existed. The bankers took over the U.S. during the Teddy Roosevelt Administration (1901-1909) when Rothschild front J.P. Morgan alone controlled 25% of American business. The "Col. E.M. House Report", a chilling 10-page "progress report" dated June 10,1919, proves the League of Nations was an aborted attempt at World government and a facade for banker tyranny. The Report reveals the reality and the tactics behind the United Nations and globalization.



Edward M. House

House writes: "We have wrapped this plan in the peace treaty so that the World must accept from us the League or a continuance of the war. The League is in substance the Empire with America admitted on the same basis as our other colonies."

The report oozes contempt for Americans and reveals the deceitful methods international finance employed 85 years ago to bring about World government. These goals and methods have not changed. If ever we needed proof of an elite conspiracy to subvert the nation and enslave us, this is it. The author is Col. Edward Mandell House (1858-1938), the Rothschild agent who secretly directed U.S. affairs during the Woodrow Wilson administration. Col. House was known as Wilson's friend and "alter ego." (He had not served in the military and the term "Colonel" was merely honorary.) The report is addressed to British Prime Minister David Lloyd George, whose career was made as a lawyer for the World Zionist Organization. Rep. Jacob Thorkelson (1876-1945) introduced The House Report to Congress in Oct. 1939 and published it in the Congressional Record (Oct. 13 1939, pp.598-604). Attempts to delete it were thwarted. The complete text is available on line.

THE "CROWN"

The report details Col. House's progress in preparing "for the peaceful return of the American colonies to the dominion of the Crown." "Crown" refers to the owners of the Bank of England. Their identities are an official secret. According to E.C. Knuth, the "international financial oligarchy uses the allegoric 'Crown' as its symbol of power and has its headquarter in the ancient city of London...the giant Bank of England, a privately owned institution... is not subject to regulation by the British parliament and is in effect a sovereign World power." (The Empire of the City, p. 59). Col. House continues: The "peaceful return of the American colonies" can only be brought about with "the consent of the dominant group of the controlling clans." Col. House relates how these classes are being taught to accept "British" leadership. He details how the universities and press are staffed by "British-born" or Canadians. "Through the Red Cross, the Scout movement, the YMCA, the church, and other humane, religious, and quasi religious organizations, we have created an atmosphere of international effort which strengthens the idea of unity of the English speaking World."

The Overseas Clubs, service clubs, and war charities "enable us to pervade all sections and classes of the country." We "hold all American newspapers as isolated from the non-American World as if they had been in another planet instead of another hemisphere. The realization of this by the Associated Press and the other universal news gatherers, except Hearst, was most helpful in bringing only our point of view to the papers they served." He boasts that the United States "while still maintaining an outward show of independence" is identical with other colonies in its relationship to the Crown. "Has not President Wilson cancelled the big Navy program and dutifully conceded to us the command of the seas?" He boasts that "the Anglo American alliance" has become "the unchallenged financiers of the World." He congratulates "our fiscal agents Messrs Pierpont Morgan & Company" for "putting this country into the war." They exert "widespread influence on newspaper policy" through advertising and lent \$200,000,000 to Japan to build a fleet to compete with America (making the U.S still more dependent on England.). Col. House boasts that the "Crown" used money lent by the U.S. government for war purposes to buy up oil fields in California, Mexico and Latin America. "The war has made us custodians of the greater part of the World's raw materials... [We] now largely control the oil fields of the World and thereby the World's transportation and industry."

THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS RUSE

The pressing issue now is to "transfer its dangerous sovereignty from this colony to the custody of the Crown. We must, in short, now bring America within the Empire." The first step was Wilson's plan for the League of Nations "which we prepared for him." "The plain people of this country are inveterate and incurable hero worshippers," Col. House explains. They easily can be manipulated by a man with a slogan that expresses their "undefined aspirations." Afterwards, they will trust the sloganeer no matter what he does. Wilson has gained this trust and this accounts for "his exceptional usefulness to us." "Any abrupt change might startle the ignorant American masses and rouse them to action against it. And us. Our best policy therefore would be to appoint President Wilson the first president of the League... he will be able to satisfy [Americans] that far from surrendering their independence to the League, they are actually extending their sovereignty by it..."

Foreshadowing The Patriot Act, Col. House says Woodrow Wilson "alone can father an anti-Bolshevik act which judicially interpreted will enable appropriate punitive measures to be applied to any American who may be unwise enough to assert that America must once again declare her independence." Col. House goes into great detail about how Wilson must be messaged and manipulated. Many people think someone else wrote this Report but only Edward Mandell House knew Wilson this well. For example he says Wilson "is easily slighted and remarkably vindictive." The new British ambassador should be a "Wilson worshipper" and "a gentleman-in-waiting to the President." He lists the gifts Wilson already has been given.

"OUR ENTIRE SYSTEM OF THOUGHT CONTROL" IS AT WORK

Col. House suggests staging the first session of the League of Nations in Washington. "This will convince these simple people that they are the League and its power resides in them." He recommends a "series of spectacles by which the mob may be diverted from any attempt to think too much of matters beyond their province." "While awaiting these diversions for the vulgar, we are incessantly instructing them in the wonders of the league. Its praises are thundered by our press, decreed by our college presidents, and professed by our professors. Our authors, writers and lecturers are analysing its

selected virtues... we have enlisted 8000 propagandists for the League. We have organized international and national synods, committees, conferences, convocations, conventions, councils...to herald the birth of the League as the dawn of universal peace." "Agriculturalists, bankers, brokers, accountants, chemists, and all other functional groups capable of exerting organized professional, business, financial or social pressure are meeting to endorse the League in the name of peace, progress and prosperity...Our film concerns are preparing an epoch-making picture..." "In short, our entire system of thought control is working ceaselessly, tirelessly, ruthlessly, to ensure the adoption of the League. And it will be adopted, for business wants peace, the righteous cannot resist a covenant, and the politicians, after shadow boxing for patronage purposes, will yield valiantly lest the fate of the wanton and wilful pursue them."

CONCLUSIONS

Thanks to the valiant opposition of Republican Senators, the United States rejected the Peace Treaty and with it the League of Nations Nov. 19,1919. The plot was temporarily foiled. But the secretive bankers' covert campaign to impose World tyranny has not abated. They financed Hitler and engineered World War Two. The League of Nations was resurrected as the United Nations in 1945 and the "Cold War" initiated. Many believe we are in the early stages of "World War Three." All segments of society continue to be propagandized with the virtues of the UN. National institutions are subverted. The press and education continue to be controlled. Politicians are figureheads. Some of the tactics have changed. The American empire has replaced the British Empire as their instrument of World hegemony. But Americans should not think they are serving their own interests. They are building a 'new World order' and its master is "the Crown." In Col. House's words, Americans will be colonists who have to "petition at the foot of the throne." The references to control of oilfields suggest that oil is first and foremost an instrument of World domination. The final stage of World tyranny involves gaining complete control of Middle Eastern oil. This portends an invasion of Iran. The threat can no longer be characterized as "Jewish." The Rothschilds have received a degree of collaboration from the World's financial, cultural and political elites as to render this point mute. Lemming-like, Western elites have embraced a death-wish for civilization.

Henry Makow received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto. He welcomes your feedback and ideas at henry@savethemales.ca or henry@gmail.com .

<http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/house.htm> [The Colonel House Report \(1919\)](#)

[The following comes from Geo. W. Armstrong's THE ZIONISTS (1950). I have looked in the Congressional Record and can confirm the contention that it was submitted by Congressman Thorkelson but did not make it into the bound volume. Also, I have found several discussions in the Record where at least one Texas House member objected because it was not favorable to Col. House. Therefore, it is either: 1) a hoax, 2) a created summary of what might have been written by a British agent, or 3) is a genuine expose of the true British plan. For me it forms an insightful basis for additional research. The names mentioned include: Nicholas Murray Butler (1862-1947) (President, Columbia University, 1902-1945, President, CEIP, 1925-1945, etc.), Raymond Blaine Fosdick (1883-1972) (BB/CFR21) (President of the General Education Board, 1936-1948, Undersecretary-General, League of Nations, 1919-1920, etc.), Samuel Gompers, Franklin Lane and W. B. Wilson. The date is interesting since it is within a couple of weeks of the Majestic Hotel meeting in Paris where the British and American delegates met to fashion what became the RIIA and the CFR. From the content itself Lord Northcliffe seems not to have been the author. I have not yet found the promised later book].

The British Secret Service Report No. 1919, called the "Col. E. M. House letter," contains an official and authentic report of the First World War, the agency that brought it about and the purpose of it. This report in its entirety is highly interesting but the discussion here will be limited to "Imperial Unity," J P. Morgan & Company, British Duplicity, and the League of Nations. This report, or letter, was presented to the House of Representatives by Congressman Thorkelson of Montana, and is published in the Congressional Record of October 13, 1919, p. 598-604 inclusive. Its authenticity was discussed by members of the House and an effort was made to strike it from the Record, which failed. See Congressional Record, October 11, 1939, p.714 et seq.; also of September 9, 1940, p.17835; and September 11, 1940, p.18311. The letter or report is not published in the bound volumes of the Congressional Record of October 11, 1939, or the appendix of that date. Evidently some interested person prevented its publication, despite the refusal of the House of Representatives to strike it from the Record. The text as here set forth can be easily verified by reference to an original unbound copy of the Congressional Record of October 11 1939. It will be published in full in the next edition of this booklet. It was called the "Col. E. M. House letter," but it is not a letter. It is an official report made by an important officer of the British Secret Service, on stationery of the British Consulate. It reveals its official character and its verity upon its face.

No minor official would dare write such a letter to the British Prime Minister, or dare discuss the important subjects contained in it; except in the line of duty. Moreover, it was written by a man who KNEW and whose duty it was to know. It was not written by Col. E. M. House. This name was merely an adopted name; a nom de plume. It is the custom of secret agents to disguise themselves under a number or an assumed name. The letter is known as the British Secret Service Report No.1919. Sec Congressional Record, October 13. 1939, p.714. It discloses that it was probably written by Lord Northcliffe, who was at that time the head of the British Propaganda Department in enemy countries. He sustained toward Lloyd George the same intimate relationship that once existed between Woodrow Wilson and Colonel House, and this fact may explain the name he assumed. This document should be considered in connection with the drive by the Fair Dealers, the press, the radio. and the uplifters, for the Atlantic Pact, "Union Now," "Federal Union, Inc.," etc., for it will enable us to determine the true meaning of it all. **The immensely wealthy private bank of N. M. Rothschild & Son, and the Zionists,**

controlled the British Empire then as well as now. Then they controlled the Bank of England, the press, the railroads. and the industries with minor exceptions. Lord Northcliffe was the publisher of the Daily Mail and other papers.

The report follows: **Imperial Unity** British Consulate New York City June 10, 1919, "The Right Honorable David Lloyd George, Sir: I was highly honored by your personal letter of May 24 last (written same week as Paris meeting), and wish to thank you for the cordial expression of approval of my work which it contained. You were very good enough to require from me a frank and confidential account of the campaign conducted under my direction in this country, together with such suggestions as might further help to lead it speedily to a successful conclusion. As the campaign had been under way for a considerable time before you were called to direct the destinies of England, I shall review it from its commencement, and, emboldened by your sanction, I shall freely make whatever suggestions seem to me good. From the moment of my arrival here, it was evident to me that such an Anglo-American alliance as would ultimately result in the peaceful return of the American Colonies to the dominion of the Crown could be brought about only with the consent of the dominant group of the controlling clans. For those who can afford the universities, we are, as I have already mentioned, plentifully supplying British-born or trained professors, lecturers, and presidents. A Canadian-born admiral now heads the United States Naval College. We are arranging for a greater interchange of professors between the two countries. The student interchange could be much improved. The Rhodes scholarships are inadequate in number. I would suggest that the Carnegie trustees be approached to extend to American students the benefits of the scheme by which Scottish students are subsidised at Scottish universities. If necessary, a grant from the treasury should be obtained for this excellent work, which however, should remain for the present -- at least outwardly -- private enterprise...

Through the Red Cross, the Scout movement, the YMCA, the church, and other humane, religious, and quasi-religious organizations, we have created an atmosphere of international effort which strengthens the idea of unity of the English-speaking World. In the co-ordination of this work, **Mr. Raymond Fosdick, formerly of the Rockefeller Foundation, has been especially conspicuous.** I would also like to mention President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia University, who has eloquently advocated this form of internationalism and carefully emphasize its distinction from the false internationalism which is infecting the proletariat. The Overseas Club in this country now contains nearly hundred thousand pledged members with a Journal of their own. Our thanks are due to Lord St. George's, St. David's, St. Andrew's, and Pilgrim Clubs, together with the Daughters of the Empire. the Prince of Wales Fund, and the other association and guilds connected with our multitudinous war charities enable us to pervade all sections and classes of the country, and provide us with a force of empire builders whose loyalty and services are both invaluable to us and highly appreciated by the native colonists. The censorship, together with our monopoly of cables and our passport control of passengers, enables us to hold all American newspapers as isolated from the non-American World as if they had been in another planet instead of in another hemisphere The realization of this by the Associated Press and the other universal news gatherers -- except Hearst -- was most helpful in bringing only our point of view to the papers they served.

British-born editors and reporters now create imperial sentiment in most American newspapers. As their identity and origins are not usually known, they can talk and write for us as Americans to Americans. Below that level, imperial unity cannot be securely established upon the debris of the Constitution here. We will not passively permit this unity to be now menaced when it is all but perfect. Has not America, while still maintaining an outward show of independence, yielded to our wishes in the Panama Canal tolls and Canadian fisheries' disputes, as was fitting and filial? Was not America happy to fight our war in Europe? Was not America, like Canada, willing not only to pay her own war expenses but also to loan us money for ours? Was not America, like Canada, content to seek nothing in return for her war duty, so long as the motherland was completely indemnified in Egypt and the rest of Africa, in Persia, Mesopotamia, Syria, and elsewhere? Was not America as proud to be honored by knighthood and lesser titular distinctions, as Canada was, or, rather, more proud? Has not President Wilson cancelled the big Navy program and dutifully conceded to us the command of the seas, confident that we shall defend America against all future foes that may threaten our supremacy, just as we defended America and Canada against Germany? In matters lingual, legal and financial, fiscal, commercial, social evangelical, administrative, martial, naval, educational -- are not in all these matters the established relations of America to England, in kind -- if not precisely in degree -- identical with the relations of the other colonies and dominions to the Crown? Indeed, I might justifiably sustain the thesis that so-distant American Republic is now more happily and more closely bound to the Empire than are, for example, the ungrateful and insolent colonies which lately were the Boer Republics.

As long as President Wilson, with our Canadian-born Secretary of the Interior, Mr. Franklin Lane, with our Scotch-born Secretary of Labor, Mr. W. B. Wilson, and with our London-born Mr. Samuel Gompers, -- now controls the administration, imperial unity will daily grow more intimate and more perfect. But I regret to inform you that our committee on American Elections has reported (Appendix 38) that no matter how lavishly we finance the next election, the Wilson administration will pass, and with it, perchance, that absolute administrative control over the Legislature, which has meant so much to us. Willful, wanton, and wicked men will unite in the next election with labor and those industrialists whose profit-patriotism ratio has been allowed to fall below the threshold of loyalty to imperial unity. These combined forces of disorder will seek to elect a legislature which will attempt to make the administration responsible to it, instead of to us and our auxiliaries, and will strive to rend the bonds which bind this colony to the motherland, for the sole, selfish, and seditious purpose of erecting a separate, national, economic unit independent of us -- and even perhaps, competing with us. We must, therefore, hasten to remove from this legislature, with the aid of our supporters here, such of its powers as could be used against imperial unity.

J. P. Morgan & Co. Are British Agents

In the financial World the Anglo-American alliance is a well-established fact. And as the consortium for China, and the security company for Mexico show, our brokers and their aids have become the unchallenged financiers of the World. We have been particularly fortunate in our fiscal agents here, Messrs Pierpont Morgan & Company. The commissions they charged, both as our brokers and purchasing agents no doubt were high enough to warrant their summary treatment at the hands of Mr. Balfour during his visit here. But they advantageously placed our many bond issues and every American holder of these bonds having now a stake in the Empire is a defender of its integrity and a potential supporter of its extension over here. Their services in putting this country into the war have not been altruistic, but they were nonetheless effective. They contributed liberally to our Americanization campaign. They ousted Miss Boardman, and through Messrs. Taft and H. P. Davidson they nationalized and directed the American Red Cross, and then internationalized it under the direction of Mr. H. P. Davison Through Mr. Thomas Lamont they purchased Harpers Magazine and the New York Evening Post. Through advertisers they control, they have exerted widespread influence on newspaper policy. Messrs. Lamont and Davidson gave you valuable aid at the peace conference. They loaned \$200,000,000 to Japan that our ally might build a fleet to compete with America on the Pacific carrying routes. Their attempts to retain for us control of the international mercantile marine are well known to you. And I would be amiss if I did not remind you that they relieved the government of considerable embarrassment by pensioning worthily the widow of our late Ambassador Sir Cecil Spring-Rice, at a time when the antagonism of Lord Northcliffe made it impossible for us officially to do so. As the greater part of their capital is invested within the Empire. the Government of His Majesty will doubtless have opportunity to appreciate the value of the services of Messrs. Pierpont Morgan & Company.

British Duplicity

Through our fiscal agents here and our aids who act for other Allied countries, as Sir Clifford Sifton acts for Rumania, we have become the World's purchasers. Moreover, the war has made us the custodian of the greater part of the World's raw materials. With moneys lent to us by the American Government for war purposes, we have. acting through quasi-American companies by the aid of Mr. Connor Guthrie, obtained control of the large oil fields in California and in Costa Rica. And through the nationalization of His Majesty's Government of the Cowdray, Pearson, and Royal Dutch Shell interests in Mexico, we having become masters of the Mexican, Canadian, Rumanian, Armenian. Persian, and lessor oil fields, now largely control the oil fields of the World and thereby the World's transportation and industry. We have not yet succeeded in controlling the pipe lines owned by the Standard Oil Company, and its subsidiaries, for those companies have long been established. But, although uncontrolled companies may continue to get their oil to the seaboard, the proposed system of preferential treatment at our universal oiling stations for ships supplied at the port of departures with British oil (Appendix 37) will prevent the use of any oil but ours on the high seas.

This control would enable us to exert such pressure as would make American industrial interests amenable to His Majesty's pleasure. But it would be unwise to make disciplinary use of our fuel power before we secure remission of our \$4,000,000,000 debt. Otherwise, the American industrial interests might retaliate by forcing the United States Government to exact from us the agreed interest, to maintain tariff barriers against our merchandise, and to withdraw support from the rate of exchange. Which make our labor and resources for years pay tribute to this country an unnatural, unfilial, and unthinkable proceeding. We are conducting a vigorous campaign for the cancellation of this war debt, on the grounds (a) that we fought America's fight for her for 2 years, while she was prospering in cowardice and (b) that at least the material burdens should be distributed justly, if the World is to be made safe for democracy. . Synchronously with this agitation for the remission of our debt, we are agitating for further loans of American money to rebuild our markets in Europe. There is no possibility of these two agitations endangering their mutual success, for we have repeatedly proved beyond question that the American mind cannot synchronously fix and correlate facts, with two cognate items on the statements to be judged each on its merits. Hence, we are able in a cloud of candor to state the merit of the loan -- viz, that unless the money be lent to us we cannot pay the interest on it. in these agitations we are receiving valuable, if not wholly disinterested, aid from our financial auxiliaries and fiscal agents (J. P. Morgan & Co.)

In Mexico our friends made a tentative adventure with the gallant Blanquet, but it miscarried, perhaps owing to a slight misunderstanding between the bond interests and the industrial interests. However, we are quietly continuing our work in Mexico until the United States Government shall be put in a position to take it over. An American war with Mexico would cost us nothing; it would satisfy certain American industrial interests; it would guarantee out title to the Mexican oil fields; it would humble, by impoverishing, this purse-proud people; it would give us an opportunity to show the American that he isolated in the World needs our protection against our ally, Japan; and while America was busy warring we would enjoy a clear field in the European, African, and Asiatic trade, together with the monopoly of the markets of a South America hostile to the Monroe Doctrinaries of democracy. For these reasons our press is fully reporting Mexican outrages, but a strange apathy seems to have fallen on the people, an apathy from which only border raids or special atrocities will arouse them. . .

League Of Nations (Forerunner to the United Nations)

In other words, we must quickly act to transfer its dangerous sovereignty from this colony to the custody of the Crown. We must, in short, now bring America with in the Empire. God helping us, we can do no other. The first visible step in this direction has been taken; President Wilson has accepted and sponsored the plan for a League of Nations which we

prepared for him. We have wrapped this plan in the peace treaty so that the World must accept from us the League or a continuance of the war. The League is in substance the Empire with America admitted on the same basis as our other colonies. The effectiveness of the League will depend upon the power with which it can be endowed, and that will hinge upon the skill with which the cardinal functions of the American legislature are transferred to the executive Council of the League. Any abrupt change may startle the ignorant American masses and rouse them to action against it. And us. Our best policy, therefore, would be to appoint President Wilson first president of the League. When the fourteen points seemed to our Government twice seven daily sins, I analyzed with care his diverse and numerous notes and discourses and divided them into their two parts: One, the Wilson creed, "I believe in open covenants and in the freedom of the seas," etc.; and two, the Wilson commandments, "Might shall not prevail over right, the strong shall not oppress the weak," etc. From the "too proud to fight" and "he kept us out of war" episodes, I ventured to deduce (September 29, 1918, Appendix 36) that he would at the appropriate moment oblige us by transferring the "not" from his commandments to his creed without as much as a "may I not," and in such a way that his people will be none the wiser.

The plain people of this country are inveterate and incurable hero worshipers. They are, however, sincere in sentiment; and for a hero to become established in the public shrine, he must first succeed in getting his name associated with the phrases and slogans that seem to reflect the undefined aspirations of the average inhabitant. When this has been accomplished the allegiance is at once transferred from the sentiment to the sentimentalist, from the ideal to the maker of the longed-for phrase. No one understands this peculiarity of the native behavior better than Mr. Wilson, which accounts largely for his exceptional usefulness to us. He knows that Americans will not scrutinise any performance too closely, provided their faith in the performer has been adequately established. Mr. Wilson has since made the transfer amid American acclamation. In the same way he will now be able to satisfy them that far from surrendering their independence to the League they are actually extending their sovereignty by it. He alone can satisfy them on this. He alone can father an anti-Bolshevik act which judicially interpreted -- will enable appropriate punitive measures to be applied to any American who may be unwise enough to assert that America must again declare her independence. And he alone, therefore, is qualified to act for us as first president of the League.

I confess I am a little uneasy lest in the exigencies of diplomatic combat, Mr. Wilson may not have found the joy he anticipated from matching his wits against the best brains of Europe. He is easily slighted and remarkably vindictive. It is the highest degree desirable that any traces of resentment his mind may be harboring against us should be radically removed before he returns. I would, therefore, suggest that the work of adulation planned in Appendix 32 should be instructed to consult the inventories I have prepared (appendixes 45-83), which show that he is now surfeited with diamond stomachers, brooches, and bracelets, Gobelin tapestries, mosaics, and vases, gold caskets, and plates. The program we arranged for his visit to England (appendix 33) including a royal reception at Buckingham Palace, with which the President was well pleased. The fruitful visit of the President to the King should be returned as early as possible. I would suggest that as soon as the President is settled once more in the White House, the visit should be returned by His Royal Highness, the Prince of Wales, who would be an admirable representative of His Royal Sire, and would satisfy President Wilson's sense of fitness. It is perhaps unfortunate that there is not a Presidential daughter of the Prince's age, for such a union would have greatly advanced our purpose not only with the American people, but also with a President who feels that lese majeste should be punishable with 20 years' imprisonment, and who acts as if he considered his son-in-law, Mr. McAdoo, as his heir apparent.

President Wilson's Peculiarities

Too great attention cannot be given at this time to the Presidential peculiarities, for his devotion to our purpose will depend upon our ability to pander to them. I would suggest that the new ambassador to Washington should be chosen only after the most careful thought. He should not be too clever, lest Mr. Wilson shun him. He should be able to evince hilarity at the most venerable jest, no matter how often he may have to suffer it. This qualification is vitally important whether Mr. Wilson's "humor" is merely assumed to perpetuate the "human" tradition established for Presidents by Lincoln, or whether it is studied descent from Jovelike isolation to Jovelike jest. The ambassador should be a Wilson worshiper. I enclose (appendix 34) resumes of the methods of worship practiced by various members of his inner circle. The appointee would do well to familiarize himself with them, and my services are at his disposal should he desire more extended information on the method of worship he selects. He should of course be a commoner, that we may not lose democratic favor -- preferably a professor -- and sufficiently subsidized to be able to entertain regally. If a list were submitted to Mr. Wilson he might be prepared to indicate all of whom he did not approve, and the one against whom he expressed no prejudices should be appointed. The pressing need of our embassy at Washington is not so much an ambassador as a gentleman in waiting to the President.

I would suggest that his powers as President of the League of Nations be left undefined for the present. He may be trusted to assume what power he can and to use it in the interests of the Crown. A grant of a privy purse of \$100,000,000 would prove most acceptable to him and would be useful for private espionage, private wars, Siberian railroads, etc. His appointment should be for life, and you might definitely promise him that any instructions he may care to convey concerning his successor will receive the most careful attention of His Majesty's Government. Nevertheless, it would be well quickly to reinforce him in the presidency of the League of Nations by staging the first session of the League in Washington. This will convince these simple people that they are the League and its power resides in them. Their pride in this power should be exalted. Perhaps you, yourself, might condescend to visit this country. Or, if that be impracticable, you might send such noble statesmen, and stately noblemen, as will suffice to make of the first League session a spectacle of unsurpassed brilliance. Indeed, it would be well to commence at an early date a series of spectacles by which

the mob may be diverted from any attempt to think too much of matters beyond their province. The success of the Joffre, Viviani Balfour, and other missions in amusing the people while the country was quietly put into the war shows that similar missions would likewise amuse the people -- while the country was quietly put into the League. I would suggest that missions of thanksgiving to America be organized, and that His Majesty the King of the Belgians, Cardinal Mercier, Field Marshal Foch, Venizelos, and an eminent Italian or two be sent seriatim.

Propaganda Curtain

While awaiting these diversions for the vulgar, we are incessantly instructing them in the wonders of the League. Its praises are thundered by our press, decreed by our college presidents, and professed by our professors. Our authors, writers, and lecturers are analyzing its selected virtues for whomsoever will read or listen. As will be seen from appendix 39, circulars issued by the League of Nations committee, we have enlisted 8,000 pulpsters or propagandists for the League. We have organized international and national synods, consistories, committees, conferences, convocations, conventions, councils, congresses, and assemblies, as well as their State, municipal, and district equivalents, to herald the birth of the League as the dawn of universal peace. A special Sunday will be observed as League Sunday in all churches. In this connection, may I remark that the appointment of Mr. Raymond Fosdick to the Secretariat of the League, has pleased not only the Rockefeller interests but also the less disingenuous uplifters, for it stamps the League as an endowed organization for promiscuous uplifting, under the triple crown of religion, respectability, and finance. Agriculturalists, bankers, brokers, chartered accounts, chemists, and all other functional groups capable of exerting organized professional, business, financial, or social pressure are meeting to endorse the League in the name of peace, progress, and prosperity.

The World's Peace Foundation has issued for us a series of League of Nations pamphlets, which, with our other literature, tax the mails to the limit of their capacity. Our film concerns are preparing an epoch-making picture entitled "The League of Nations." In brief, our entire system of thought control is working ceaselessly, tirelessly, ruthlessly, to insure the adoption of the League. And it will be adopted, for business wants peace, the righteous cannot resist a covenant, and the politicians, after shadow-boxing for patronage purposes, will yield valiantly lest the fate of the wanton and wilful pursue them.

By these means we hope smoothly to overcome all effective opposition on the on the part of our colony America to entering the League -- that is, the Empire. As soon as the League is functioning properly, His Majesty in response to loyal and repeated solicitation, might graciously be pleased to consent to restore to this people their ancient right to petition at the foot of the throne; to confer the ancient rank and style of governor general upon our Ambassador, that this colony may enjoy a status inferior to no other colony's; **to establish the primacy of the Metropolitan See, with the Right Reverend Dr. Manning as first primate;** to appoint Mr. Elihu Root lord chief justice of the colony, and to nominate Messrs. W. H. Taft, Nicholas Murray Butler, J. P. Morgan, Elizabeth Marbury, Adolph Ochs, and Thomas Lamont to the colonial privy council; **as a special mark of royal and imperial condescension, to rename the Federal Capital of the Colony Georgetown, and lest section jealousy be thereby excited, to grant royal charters to the cities of Boston and Chicago entitling them thereafter to style themselves, respectively, Kingston and Guef** -- concisely to bestow in time and in measure such tokens of the bounty of the Crown as the fealty of the colonists merit.

British-American Union Urged

Since that memorable day, September 19, 1877, on which the late Cecil Rhodes devised by will a fund "to and for the establishment, promotion, and development of a secret society -- the true aim of which and object of which shall be the extension of British rule throughout the World, and especially the ultimate recovery of the United States of America as an integral part of the British Empire" -- the energy and intelligence of England has not been spent in vain. It would perhaps be presumptuous of me to refer here to the admirable services rendered not only by LORD NORTHCLIFFE (the probable author of the report) and the corps of 12,000 trained workers whom he introduced here during the year as purchasing agents under the direction of Sir Campbell Stuart, but also the right Honorable Arthur J. Balfour, and by Lord Reading. **But my report would be incomplete without a reference to Mr. Andrew Carnegie, of Skibo Castle, Sutherlandshire, and New York City. He unobtrusively assumed the mantle of the late Mr. Cecil Rhodes.** Through the Carnegie Foundation, he obtained such control over the professorate of this country that even President Wilson was a suppliant for a Carnegie pension before this people and allied gratitude placed him beyond prospective want. **The Carnegie League to Enforce Peace and its affiliate League of Small Nations are even now leading the van in our fight. In the North American Review, June 1893, Mr. Carnegie wrote: "Let men say what they will, I say that as surely as the sun in the heavens once shone upon Britain and America united, so surely is it one morning to rise, to shine upon, to greet again, the reunited state -- the British-American union." The object of Cecil Rhodes is almost attained. The day prophesied by Mr. Carnegie is near at hand, the day when the American Colonies will be in all things one with the motherland, one and indivisible. Only the last great battle remains to be fought -- the battle to compel her acceptance of the terms of the League of Nations."**

The Elite Endgame: Oil = Extortion = New World Order <http://savethemales.ca/250302.html>

Excerpt from a speech delivered in 1933, by Major General Smedley Butler, USMC: "I spent 33 years in ... the Marine Corps [rising to] Major General. And during that period, I spent most of my time being a high-class muscle man

for Big Business, for Wall Street and for the Bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism. I helped make Mexico, especially Tampico, safe for American oil interests in 1914. I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in.... I helped purify Nicaragua for the international banking house of Brown Brothers in 1909-1912 ... In China I helped to see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested. Looking back on it, I feel that I could have given Al Capone a few hints. "

Gen. Butler should not judge capitalism by its malignant form, monopoly and imperialism. Otherwise, he was right. For 100 years, the United States has been the "enforcer" for big private oil and banking interests known as the "Anglo American elite" (Rothschild-Bauer, Rockefeller-Rogdenfeller, Morgan(taler) [all Ashkenazi from Germany just like the House of Windsor-Battenberg & Saxe-Coburg-Gothas, Bush-Bosch, Rumsfeld, Hillary Rotham-Rodenhurst, etc]) based in London and New York. The human race has been stalled for 150 years due to the baneful dominion of this clique. This is the real "shadow government." People who say this are ridiculed as "conspiracy theorists." [by this cabal]. What do you expect to hear from a cabal that conducts its business in secret, protected by club wielding police? The elite is not an urban legend. It represents a group of very rich people who have the fascist instincts of British feudal lords combined with American robber barons and express them in New Age liberal, socialist and feminist rhetoric.

Its coordinating body is the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London. The New York branch office is the Council on Foreign Relations, founded by J.P Morgan in 1922. This "think tank" is more like a studio. It hires the scriptwriters and casts the actors to fill the main roles. For example, Bill Clinton and Jimmy Carter were nobodies until they were discovered and elevated to national prominence as "outsiders." Oligarchic control is an unspoken fact of life in America. It is executed by the CIA and by the mass media. For the past 85 years, almost every President, CIA Director, and leading cabinet secretary have come from the CFR casting couch. Tenet, Rumsfeld, Powell, Cheney and Condoleezza Rice are all CFR members. As far as I can see, since 1950, the only politicians that represented the American people were the Taft-McCarthy-Goldwater-Buchanan school of Republicans. Kennedy and Nixon may also have tried but the CIA took care of that. If you accept the mass media, you believe that on Sept. 11 Islamic terrorists wantonly attacked the WTC. On the other hand, if you prefer to (look behind the curtain, www.whatreallyhappened.com) you will suspect 9-11 was a bold gambit by the Anglo-American elite to camouflage a grab for complete control of the World's oil reserves. The Afghan war gave it the vast resources of the Caspian Sea and Central Asia. The Iraqi war will bring it the World's second largest reserves, forecast to become the largest (300 billion barrels). Geopolitics is a chess game. Rockefeller's protégé Zbigniew Brzezinski, has a book entitled "The Grand Chessboard." As in chess, a drastic "sacrifice" (such as Sept. 11) always has a more far-reaching purpose. The Anglo American elite's game-plan is to use control of oil to blackmail Europe, Russia and China to accept its hegemony in the upcoming New World Order. No wonder the rest of the World is not crazy about attacking Iraq.

Iraq's Deputy Prime Minister Tariq Aziz recently expressed a similar view in an interview with a German newspaper (Weltam Sonntag 10.03.02). He said he thought the war is inevitable because "Bush wants control over Iraq's oil resources as a lever to pressure Europe, Russia and China." The New World Order will consolidate Anglo American elite control of the World. Its social and economic agenda includes the promotion of homosexuality and feminism, the continued destruction of the nuclear family, abortion and depopulation, deindustrialization, elite monopoly of resources, middle class decline, and ever-greater disparities between rich and poor. The nation state will gradually disappear and with it individual freedom, democracy, free speech and religion. The ultimate aim is the gradual dissolution of western civilization and the imposition of a new Dark Age. Like the recipe for boiling frogs, the elite has been slowly increasing the heat so we don't realize this is happening. But we can feel it distinctly in the education system, the media and arts, family law, the military and of course politics. The Anglo American elite has been trying to use oil to achieve hegemony for more than a century. According to F. William Engdahl ("A Century of War: Anglo-American Oil Politics and the New World Order, 1993") World War One occurred because Germany was expanding its influence into the oil rich Middle East. The Germans wanted to build a railroad from Berlin to Baghdad. An outrage incident, like Sept 11, the assassination of Archduke Ferdinand, by a Serbian "terrorist" got it started. The United States got involved because England was losing the war and owed billions to J.P. Morgan, their US purchase agent. According to Engdahl, the elite was behind the oil shocks of the 1970's. The price of oil went sky high when Henry Kissinger maneuvered Egypt into attacking Israel in 1973, and later when the CIA installed the Ayatollah Khomeini because the Shah of Iran was about to build German designed nuclear reactors. Engdahl says the oil industry is opposed to nuclear power and funds the environmental movement for this reason. He says the Three Mile Island scare was engineered to discredit this energy source.

The "Club of Rome" and the leaders of the major environmental groups belong to the elite. Its "green agenda" is a zero-sum Malthusian equation: the less there is for you, the more there will be for it. They want to hoard natural resources, retard industrial development, and cull the population. In 1974, the US government adopted Rockefeller protege Henry Kissinger's "NSSC Memorandum 200". This directive made it a "national security" priority to restrict development and population growth in the Third World in order to access their raw materials more cheaply in the future. (Engdahl p. 164)

The war on terrorism is a charade. Sept. 11 was obviously a subterfuge that allowed Bush to hustle billions of dollars for war contrary to the US Constitution that gives war declaration power to congress. Sept. 11 also provided the "moral high ground" to invade Central Asia, Russia and China's backyard. According to psyche war veteran Richard Crossman: "The way to carry out good propaganda is to never appear to be carrying it out at all." It works. Just like the food we eat, and the cars we drive, the ideas we think are all packaged and sold. (Opposing views are weeded out.) When CIA stooges like Gloria Steinem say families are oppressive and women ought to work instead of raising children, the press dutifully raves and we all obey. When the CIA tells us nuclear power is unsafe and environmentally unfriendly, we turn our backs

on a cheap reliable source of energy. When the CIA contracts the Mossad to stage a terror attack and tells us militant Islam is our enemy, we salute the flag and grab a rifle. The Iraqi war now scheduled for May-June will divide the World into three camps: 1) the Anglo American elite, represented by George W. Bush, the US, British and Israeli governments, their lackeys, dependents and dupes; 2) Iraq, Iran and the part of the Muslim World that resists elite control. 3) Europe, Russia, China, Japan and the vast majority of humanity, which likes neither elite hegemony/blackmail nor Saddam Hussein/ Osama bin Laden and wishes they would both go away.

I saw George W. Bush on TV surrounded by school children piously explain that America is fighting in Afghanistan for freedom and to make the World a better place. America is supplying soccer balls and textbooks for Afghan children. He urged everyone to donate. I watched the children's faces to see if they were paying attention. Children have a way of recognizing sincerity. They were bored. Like the schoolchildren, the public is also inattentive. There is an incongruous quality about this war, an instinctive sense of sham. But the elite keeps reminding us it is genuine and for real. Every day billions more tax dollars are allocated to build the means for it to control the World and us. (The National Security Agency is building capacity to monitor 12 million domestic phone calls simultaneously.) And if we don't play along, it holds up the subtle threat of a biological or nuclear attack, just to convince us. If countries like Russia or China become inattentive, it indirectly threatens them with nuclear attack. By seeking hegemony, the Anglo American elite is playing a reckless game with humanity. It is creating the conditions for permanent war, and permanent oppression. Once again it is pressing the American people into the paradoxical role of "enforcer."

Illuminati Banking Dynasties and Their Usury System are the Cause of Humanity's Woes

(mostly extracted from Victor Thorn's article)

The process of irreversibly multiplying debt in proportion to a circulation will never change in time. If the great men we have quoted in previous sections spoke out against what today we call central banking, why do not our current hypocrites? Because their allegiance is to the takers. Why will the media give no scope whatsoever to the prospect of mathematically perfected economy? Because their allegiance is to the takers, and because they are owned by the takers. Why does no candidate argue for mathematically perfected economy? Because they are afraid of the takers, because the people have no meaningful courage, respect, or dedication to their own cause, and because as a consequence of the tremendous graft of their imposed system, only the takers can afford to put candidates in office. Thus we can understand the concerted gesticulations of the many hypocrites before us, and the consistent flow and orientation of disinformation — never once breaking from ranks altogether upholding the multiplication of our debt and preclusion of the prosperity we are capable of, as if these purposes and naked fact they are no service to us whatsoever, are of unquestionable rectitude. What legitimate media and public representatives could so abstain for a century, to culmination of a second cycle of economic ruin at the hand of central banks meant from the beginning to profit all they could from us, while delivering us nothing? Across a century in which the "economic" systems of the World were collapsed, and are about to collapse again under irreversible multiplication of debt, not one legitimate and legitimately surviving inquisition into the incontrovertible consequences of usury?

Dr. Tatyana Koryagina is a senior research fellow in the Institute of Macroeconomic Researches subordinated to Russian Ministry of Economic Development (Minekonom). On July 12, 2001, Pravda published a Page One story on her predictions entitled "The Dollar and America Will Fall Down on August 19? -- That's the Opinion of Dr. Tatyana Koryagina, Who also Very Accurately Predicted the August Default in 1998." (like Alex Jones of Austin, Tx, she predicted 9-11 in July 2001). Koryagina made the following key points in an article: **New Strikes Will Come**. In the near term, she said, "the powerful group" that masterminded the events of Sept. 11 will make new strikes against America -- of a financial nature and otherwise.

The Powerful Group. Who is behind these strikes? Koryagina claims the U.S. government is painting a false picture. She said the operation was not the work of 19 terrorists but a larger group seeking to reshape the World. She claimed a group of extremely powerful private persons, with total assets of about \$300 trillion, intends to legalize its power and to become the new World government. The Sept. 11 strikes showed that this group is afraid of nothing -- human lives have zero value for them.

Peter Kershaw in "Economic Solutions" (Mr. Kershaw's book can be ordered at: Quality Press, 3964 South Mariposa, Englewood, Colorado - 80110, <http://www.healthfreedom.info/Economic%20Solutions.htm>) and Larry Burkett in "The Coming Economic Earthquake" have named the private owners of the United States Federal Reserve system established in 1913 (under Benjamin Strong, the Federal Reserve System was brought into interlocking relations with the Bank of England and the Bank of France and Reserve Governors and heads of European central banks brought on the Great Depression of 1929-31) as: 1) The Rockefeller Family - New York 2) The Rothschild Family - London 3) The Rothschild Family - Berlin 4) The Lazard Brothers - Paris 5) Israel Seiff - Italy 6) Kuhn-Loeb Company - Germany 7) The Warburgs - Amsterdam Representative of the Rothschild Family in France 8) The Warburgs - Hamburg Representative of the Rothschild Family in Germany 9) Lehman Brothers - New York 10) Goldman & Sachs - New York. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, which controls the 11 other Federal Reserve branches, is majority controlled by a) Chase-Manhattan (a Rockefeller stronghold) - 6,389,445 shares - 32.3% b) Citibank - 4,051,851 shares - 20.5%. (all Zionists from Germany like the House of Windsor-Battenberg & Saxe-Coburg-Gothas, Bush-Bosch, Rumsfeld, i.e. ZionNazis, etc.)

"Bravo! This book is the most informative synopsis I have yet seen on how the bankers have pillaged the wealth of our nation. I found it thoroughly intriguing." -- **Franklin Sanders, The Moneychanger**

"What a terrific book! Never have I seen the nexus between the Fed, the IRS, and the New World Order explained this concisely, and compiled into one small book like this one does. Everyone needs to read it!" -- **Col. James Bo Gritz**

"ECONOMIC SOLUTIONS properly explains why Federal Reserve Notes are IOU Nothings!" -- **John Exter, former Citibank President & Federal Reserve Economist**

"The greatest fraud ever perpetrated upon the American people--the Federal Reserve Banking system." **Peter Kershaw.**

Senator George W. Malone (to Congress in 1962): "I believe that if the people of this nation fully understood what Congress has done to them over the past 49 years, they would move on Washington, they would not wait for an election ... It adds up to a preconceived plan to destroy the economic and social independence of the United States."

Congressman Wright Patman (Chairman, House Banking & Currency Committee): "In the United States we have, in effect, two governments ... We have the duly Constitutional government ... then we have an independent, uncontrolled and uncoordinated government in the Federal Reserve System operating the money powers which are reserved to Congress by the Constitution."

Congressman Wright Patman (May 5, 1975): "In its sixty-year history, the Federal Reserve System has never been subjected to a complete, independent audit, and it is the only important agency that refuses to consent to an audit by the Congressional agency, the General Accounting Office."

Congressman William E. Simon (in a speech to the House of Representatives on the problems of the Federal Reserve System, April 30, 1976): "I hear no one addressing this danger. Congress does not discuss it. The press does not discuss it. Look around us – the press isn't even here! The people do not discuss it – they are unaware of it. No counter-force in America is being mobilized to fight this danger. The battle is being lost, and not a shot is being fired."

Richard Walbaum wrote in 1992's "The Poverty Trap": "Our monetary system guarantees that debt must increase regardless of what people, business or government do or do not do, whether or not they balance their budgets."

Peter Kershaw tells us: "What we operate on today is not the 'intellect' of confidence based upon tangible wealth and substance, but the 'religion' of 'conviction' based upon blind faith. Without religious conviction, the system collapses! And what is that religion? – a pagan occultic money system." This system has been around since the ancient times of Nimrod (early Masonry) and Rome and Jerusalem.

Larry Burkett, author of "The Coming Economic Earthquake" takes it one step further by saying: "The actual deficits are almost twice as large as those admitted by the government. So why hasn't our economy collapsed? Because the American people still have confidence in the System."

President Woodrow Wilson: "A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated governments in the World--no longer a government of free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominant men."

Eustace Mullins: "...the increase in the assets of the Federal Reserve banks from 143 million dollars in 1913 to 45 BILLION dollars in 1949 went directly to the private stockholders of the [federal reserve] banks."

Thomas Jefferson: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies."

To really get a grasp on how detrimental the Federal Reserve is to the World, we need to look at the basics of banking, usury, and specifically this question: Where does money come from? Author Peter Kershaw provides the best overview of this phenomenon that I've ever come across. The following is a simple step-by-step look at how our monetary system works:

- To create new currency, the Fed orders the printing of "Federal Reserve Notes" or FRN (look closely at your US currency notes, they will say "Federal Reserve System" or older ones will say "Federal Reserve Bank of ...") from the Department of the Treasury's Bureau of Engraving and Printing (BEP) for the cost of printing (peanuts). Don't be fooled by The Treasurer's signature on the FRN which only means that this privately issued note is declared legal tender. Legal tender means that if you owe a debt to someone and you give him/her FRNs, him/her must accept the FRNs and the debt is considered discharged. FRNs cannot be redeemed at the FED.
- This same money is also "loaned" to the U.S. Government who promises to pay back their debt to the Fed.
- This Bureau prints the money, and subsequently puts it into circulation.
- As collateral for the loan, our Congress puts up the land, labor and assets of the American people. (It's very nice of them to pledge OUR property and services, don't you think?)
- Finally, to pay back this loan, a little system was instituted where money is taken directly from our paychecks before we ever have a chance to get our hands on it. It's called taxation. At least half of the taxes collected go to pay the Federal Reserve interest on the debt.

The same cycle applies if the Government borrows using bonds as collateral. In this case, the Fed just issues a cheque for the bonds on deposit. The most glaring question at this point is: who do you think gets the raw end of the deal? The international bankers? Nah – the last time I checked, the Rockefellers, Warburgs, and Rothschilds were still prominent members of the leisure class. Well, they rake in over a trillion dollars in income a year. Plus they're exempt from paying taxes on this income, and they've never been audited once since their inception in 1913. They don't seem to be hurting any. What about the President, Congressmen, and high-level administrators at the Treasury and IRS? Do they feel the pinch? Hardly – they're all pulling six-figure salaries (or more), enjoy lavish expense accounts, and have chauffeurs drive them to work every morning. So, who does that leave as the scapegoat – the one who bears the brunt of funding this system? You guessed it – the American taxpayers! Do you think we should look into changing this system?

So, to continue on, the next time it is determined that we need more money in circulation, the Fed essentially creates it out of thin air, and we become the indentured servants that have to pay off these debts. What occurs is that a culture of total fiscal irresponsibility results, which, of course, is in the Controllers best interest because it means we need to borrow even more money from them. How so? Well, let's look at our elected leaders in terms of simple psychology. When they borrow and spend somebody else's money and don't have to pay it back from their own pockets, what motivation do they have to be frugal? Even worse, they get paid very well to spend this money regardless of whether they successfully balance their budgets or not. If this were the business World, every one of them would be fired on the spot. And finally, to add insult to injury, these shysters even get to determine their own salaries, and how much their next pay raise will be! While all this nonsense is taking place, what do we do? We work forty or fifty hours a week, then get a paycheck that has been pillaged by the very same people that dine on filet mignon and charge it off on their expense accounts. And as you stare at disbelief at your pay stub, can you hear the mocking laughter from Washington D.C., New York City, and other financial centers in Europe?

If you can't see it already, the System that THEY created is parasitical to its core. Why? Because the money that the Federal Reserve creates and puts into circulation (plus the money that is needed to pay the interest on this "loan" to us) comes from the same source – them. Now, the money we earn which is taxed by the government and in turn pays the interest on our "debt" ends up lowering the money supply, thus removing currency from circulation. Realizing that the money supply would slowly dwindle away to nothing if this process persisted, the international bankers came up with a solution. They implore our government (and others) to borrow more money! That way they receive more interest payments, and the cycle keeps repeating itself. The major difference between them and us, though, is how our income is derived. We actually have to go out and work for our pay, while they simply skim money off the top before we ever have a chance to get our hands on it. (That's a polite way of saying that they don't have to actually WORK for their money; instead, a system has been created where they became fabulously wealthy without ever PRODUCING something that benefits society. If you haven't figured it out, the practice of usury does not benefit everyday people.) In the end, we become so wrapped up in this vicious circle that it creates a troublesome quandary. At this specific date in time, it is now impossible to pay off our national debt because it is higher than all of the money that is currently in circulation! Do you hear what I'm saying? We CAN'T pay it back. The entire debacle is an exercise in futility. So when you hear another politician on TV telling us how we need to raise taxes to pay off the debt, it's an out-and-out lie. These men are nothing more than illusion-spinners for the New World Order. The system has already been irrevocably destroyed, and all they're doing now is using smoke and mirrors to keep us hooked like fish on a line. As it stands now, this problem cannot be fixed within the current parameters that we have erected. And guess what. That's exactly the way the Controllers want it. Why? Well, how would you like someone to be eternally indebted to you paying interest forevermore with no hope of ever paying off the principle? Sounds like easy money to me!

The question we have to ask ourselves now is – why do we even need the Federal Reserve? If we – the United States – can print our own money via the Bureau of Engraving & Printing (BEP), why should we BORROW it from the international bankers? It is the most cockamamie system ever invented, yet we allow it to continue. When our country was founded, the Constitution gave only Congress the right to create money. And except for the years following the Civil War, our nation existed without a federal deficit. Then in 1913 the Federal Reserve Act was passed, and guess what we're stuck with now - a debt that will NEVER be paid off; and interest payments that increase every year. And even scarier is the fact that our personal debt as a people is five-times greater than the national debt. Think for a moment how much money is being squandered through interest payments. If you buy a house, the first seven years are spent doing little more than paying interest without even touching the principle. And that doesn't include your car payments, credit cards, and whatever other debts you've racked up.

The point I'm trying to hammer home is as such: can you see how unproductive these interest payments are to practically everyone except those collecting the interest. It's wasted money that doesn't create anything other than more debt. Or, as **Richard Walbaum wrote in 1992's "The Poverty Trap"**: "Our monetary system guarantees that debt must increase regardless of what people, business or government do or do not do, whether or not they balance their budgets." To further show you how manipulative the banking system is, author Peter Kershaw uses the analogy of a man who wants to sell his motorcycle. His friend agrees to buy the bike, but doesn't want his wife to know about it. So he tells the owner to keep it in his garage, and he'll come by every Saturday to ride it. The owner concurs; then figures, "Hey, I have this bike for six other days of the week when it isn't being used. Why don't I "sell" it to someone else under the same agreement? In no time he "sells" the bike to seven more people under the same shady arrangement even though there is only ONE valid owner of the bike. This, I'm afraid to say, is the basis of fractional banking. It's a very enlightening insight into the psychology of these World financiers, for what prevents them from creating even more "loans" out of thin air? Nothing does, because U.S. Fractional Banking Law allows them to loan out \$9 for every \$1 on reserve! Think about how

easy this system is to manipulate. And the only way a problem could arise is if all nine people went to withdraw their money from the bank at the same time. But the bankers have even made laws to prevent this scenario from occurring.

I'm sorry to say, but this is how our banking system operates. Peter Kershaw even goes on to say that 97% of all bank transactions are nothing more than simple ledger entries where no money trades hands. Think about it. When you pay your mortgage (principle + interest), do you lay down \$700 cash, or do you write a check? The same applies to your monthly car payment, credit cards, or the loan at Sears for your washer/dryer. All that is happening is that numbers are being juggled from one column to another, yet international bankers have become the richest men on earth by capitalizing on this practice. Only 3% of our financial transactions consist of using actual coin and paper! What, you may wonder, is the result of this practice? Well, as our debts rise, so do our interest payments. To cover these increase expenses, taxes are raised. In the business World, higher interest payments equal higher business expenses, and thus higher prices. I realize that this analysis has been extremely simplified, but if you take a look back at the last ninety years, have prices, our national debt, taxes, and interest payments gone up or down? The ultimate result is that the everyday person suffers because taxes and inflation continually keep eating into their REAL net worth, not allowing them to get further ahead. This is precisely why it is so hard for people to ascend from one particular social or financial class. It's because the system is designed to keep them where they are! It prevents movement from one class to another. This framework for social enslavement will continue unabated until we abolish the Federal Reserve and default on our national debt. Or, as author Peter Kershaw tells us, "What we operate on today is not the 'intellect' of confidence based upon tangible wealth and substance, but the 'religion' of 'conviction' based upon blind faith. Without religious conviction, the system collapses! And what is that religion? – a pagan occultic money system."

Larry Burkett, author of "The Coming Economic Earthquake" takes it one step further by saying, "The actual deficits are almost twice as large as those admitted by the government. So why hasn't our economy collapsed? Because the American people still have confidence in the System."

Luckily, a few courageous Americans over the years have tried to alter the status quo. One of them was Congressman Louis T. McFadden, who became Chairman of the House Banking & Currency Committee. On June 10, 1932 in a speech before the House, he described centralized banks as such. "They are not government institutions. They are private credit monopolies; domestic swindlers, rich and predatory money lenders that prey upon the people of the United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers. The Federal Reserve banks are the agents of the foreign central banks. The truth is the Federal Reserve Board has usurped the Government of the United States." (75 Congressional Record 12595-12603). Six months earlier, on January 13, 1932, Congressman McFadden actually charged the Federal Reserve with treason and tried to have them put out of business. Regrettably, our Congressmen then weren't any braver than they are today, and only five supported him. The House G.O.P. majority leader even mused, "Louis T. McFadden is now politically dead." Sure enough, in his next reelection bid, his opponent received mountains of donation money, while the press slandered McFadden unmercifully and accused him of being "crazy." (It's comforting to know that their tactics haven't changed over the years.) McFadden naturally lost the election, and was the victim of three subsequent assassination attempts within the next few years. His detractors were ultimately successful when McFadden reached his demise in 1936 after being poisoned to death. (James Traficant, an outspoken critic of the Federal Reserve and IRS, better watch what he eats in prison!)

Three decades later, on June 4, 1963, John F. Kennedy issued Executive Order 11110 where he requested the Treasury to start printing "United States Notes." This money was the same as that controlled by the Federal Reserve except that it was created DEBT FREE! Five months later JFK was assassinated. Hmmm, do you think we've uncovered the key to global domination? Our Founding Fathers led a revolution to keep us from being controlled by the Bank of England, while one of the historically-hidden motives for our Civil War was Abraham Lincoln's adamant refusal to let the Rothschild banking family take control of our monetary system. 'Ol Honest Abe wound-up with a bullet in his head. What do you think – another 'coincidence'? So, there it is – centralized banking – one of the primary tenets of Karl Marx's "Communist Manifest" also know as "Monopoly-capitalism". To me, the realities of this situation are clear. We cannot move forward until we eliminate the Controller's iron grip on our financial purse strings. This may be a bitter pill for many people to swallow, but as Samuel Adams said, "It is natural for man to deal in the illusions of hope; but as for me, I want to know the worst; the truth, and prepare for it." Folks, the Federal Reserve is the most corrupt, debilitating, anti-American institution in this country, and that's the truth. The only question that remains is: are we willing to face it, and then do something about it? "A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated governments in the World--no longer a government of free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominant men." --**President Woodrow Wilson**

"...the increase in the assets of the Federal Reserve banks from 143 million dollars in 1913 to 45 BILLION dollars in 1949 went directly to the private stockholders of the [federal reserve] banks." -- **Eustace Mullins**

Ask yourselves now, how much have the private stockholders of the Federal Reserve made through 2004? And be mindful that presently Americans pay them about 300 BILLION in interests alone-out of money the Federal Reserve created from nothing! The Architects of Death have been building an invisible pyramid, the pyramid of absolute power over the earth and humanity. This pyramid is invisible only to the degree that one cannot "see" the "divine" geometric principle of ruler ship. But it's there! Ladies and gentlemen, and the pyramid is what this is about! Now, think of this pyramid as the New World Order, the age-old dream of the dynastic banking elite. There is one person in the entire World who is directing the

building process of this "invisible" pyramid, for at the very apex of this structure there can only be "one!" This person is NOT the president of any country. This person is not the head of the United Nations. This person exists not in our daily lives, but in the dark hearts and visions of the Architects of Death, for it is this person's vision they are sacrificing humanity for. The planning and execution of the blueprint for global domination is colossal to say the least. In fact, it is beyond the normal human's ability to rationalize. The preferred weapons of control by the Architects of Death are many, including but not limited to the usage of powerful sedatives and neuro-toxins in our food and water, yet the most powerful of all the methods is the conditioning of entire societies through a slow and methodical indoctrination via imagery. Just

look around you! Since we are on the subject of pyramids, let's take a closer look at this universally used symbol-the triangle! Look inside your cars, on the roads; look for the "underlying" message conveyed in this symbol-usually you will find this symbol being associated with an exclamation point, a danger sign or a warning. Coincidence? Impossible! Nothing happens by chance! Nothing! The overall subliminal effect and message implanted is: LEAVE THE PYRAMID ALONE! And that is just one example. Most people would ask, how is this possible? It takes the wealth of entire nations to accomplish such objectives on a global scale. Exactly! And that is the point!

To accomplish their objectives, the Architects of Death had to rig the election of 1913 in order to get Woodrow Wilson elected. Then their stooges in Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act legislation on December 22 after their opponents had gone home for Christmas. From that moment

on, the same Architects have had a vested interest in the state (i.e. the people) incurring as much debt as possible. They are behind the Marxist, socialist, liberal and conservative movements that call for big government and social spending and military spending. They are behind the catastrophic wars of the last century. They are behind World wars, in fact-they have financed all sides of just about every war. They are also behind the boom-bust cycles and even 9-11-the reason, to consolidate more wealth and assets in their hands, to streamline their operations and thus to gain total power and control over us. The "War on Terror," just like every other major war fought on earth is part of the Architects' plan to consolidate its grip on humanity in a friendly (or not so friendly) fascist "New World Order." They want to secure their political, economic and social grip on the obstreperous Muslim World, as well as build up a security apparatus in case the "docile," "sedated" and "conditioned" populations of the West become restive and decide to take this monopoly of FREE money away from them. Right about now, I know just what you are thinking about! You want to know just who are the "Architects of Death?" Don't you? More importantly, who is "the one?" The answers can be elusive, but there is light at the end of the tunnel. Some of the clues can be found in "Economic Solutions," where Peter Kershaw provided a list of the ten primary shareholders (directly or indirectly) in the American Federal Reserve banking system: 1. The Rothschild Family - London 2. The Rothschild Family - Berlin 3. The Lazard Brothers - Paris 4. Israel Seiff - Italy 5. Kuhn-Loeb - Germany 6. The Warburgs - Amsterdam 7. The Warburgs - Hamburg 8. Lehman Brothers - New York City 9. Goldman & Sachs - New York City 10. The Rockefeller Family - New York City .

All are of Zionists from Germany (like the House of Windsor or Battenburg & Saxe-Coburg-Gothas, like Bush or Bosch, Rumsfeld, Zion Nazis, etc). They have financed both sides of all wars, including the Rothschild family who bankrolled both the North and the South during the US Civil War in the 1800s. Of course the Architects have an Army of cronies, traitors and murderers working for them, and a global media network at their disposal to lie to the populations of the World, but one of the things that is most enraging and truly shocking to me is that OUR FEDERAL RESERVE BANK is not even "OURS!" You see, it isn't part of the United States government! If you go to <http://www.FederalReserve.gov>, you may think it is, and you will see how much work went into the "look and feel" of the site to make it "seem" like it is government related-Gosh! They even gave it a dot "gov" extension. But now you know better! In fact, now you know that seven of the top ten stockholders in the Federal Reserve are located in foreign countries-definitely not a source for



American pride! The horrible reality (for now) dear readers, is that because of how our monetary system is structured, we are essentially slaves to the Architects. We have been made to, and are, unknowingly helping the Architects of Death to build the global pyramid to the satisfaction of their leader, "the one." To stop the Architects of Death from finalizing their "prison for humanity" or "invisible pyramid" we, as a people must take away their limitless source of power-which based on the current monetary system happens to be our labor. Clearly we must take back the Federal Reserve from the hands of these PRIVATE stockholders and cancel the phony debt to them. There is no other alternative-as this is their engine of power. Next, we get rid of their Army of cronies, traitors and murderers and we replace them by honorable people.

Next, we will illustrate the hidden agenda of the World Bank which is to orchestrate a sell-off for a penny on the dollar of the natural resources and assets of the Third World (and also of the Western World as in the Enron and California scandals, the Savings & Loans scandals, the WorldCom and Crossings scandals, etc.) to its masters the Illuminati Banking Dynasties and their collaborating corporate cronies. This "Monopoly-capitalism" will incrementally and gradually lead to total enslavement of the World, eventually.

[World Bank Secret Documents To Consume Argentina](#)

Alex Jones Interviews Reporter Greg Palast Monday, March 4, 2002 (Courtesy of A Great Listener, edited)
<http://gregpalast.com/printerfriendly.cfm?artid=125>

In a March 2002 interview with Alex Jones (an Austin, Texas broadcaster), Greg Palast (a BBC journalist) explained about what he learned from his conversations with the World Bank's former chief economist, Joe Stiglitz who was fired by the World Bank (Greg was supposed to be on CNN with the head of the World Bank Jim Wolfensen but Jim said he would not appear so CNN did not carry Greg' findings). By the way, a couple of months ago, after Joe Stiglitz was fired, he was given the Nobel Prize in Economics. Stiglitz was very courageous to come out and talk to Greg Palast. Greg learned that the World Bank required nations to sign secret agreements in which they agreed to sell off their key assets and also agreed to take economic steps which are really devastating to the nations involved (there was an average one-hundred and eleven items, and the public has no say; they don't know what the hell is happening to them). If they didn't follow those steps they would be cut-off from all international borrowing. If you can't borrow any money in the international marketplace, you can't survive, whether you are people or corporations or countries - without borrowing some money and having some credit and...

For just one example, the secret Argentine plan signed by Jim Wolfensen, the president of the World Bank caused burning Argentina to go through six presidents in five weeks because their economy is completely destroyed and cannot hold the nation together. This happened because they started out in the end of the 80s with orders from the IMF and World Bank to sell-off all their assets, public assets. I mean, things we wouldn't think of doing in the US, like selling off their water system. So they tax the people. They create big government and big government hands it off to the private IMF/World Bank. And then they actually pay off the politicians billions to their Swiss bank accounts to do this transfer. And by the way, it's not just anyone who gets a piece of the action. The water system of Buenos Aires was sold off for a song to a company called Enron. A pipeline that runs between Argentina and Chile was sold off to a company called Enron. And then the globalists blow out the Enron after transferring the assets to another dummy corporation and then they just roll the theft items off. Do you know why they moved the pipeline to Enron? because they got a call from somebody named George W. Bush in 1988 (George W. Dubya Bush was also involved in the Harken Energy scandal later in which he disposed of his shares just before the stock crashed, Enron style! Since the persons investigating this fraud were his daddy's Masonic buddies, Dubya was cleared of any wrong doing.).

We have seen the activity of the IMF/World Bank for years. They come in, pay off politicians to transfer the water systems, the railways, the telephone companies, the nationalized oil companies, gas stations - they then hand it over to the Globalist Illuminati controlled companies for next to nothing. The Globalists pay them off individually, billions a piece in Swiss bank accounts. And the plan is total slavery for the entire population. Of course, Enron, as we told you was a dummy corporation for money laundering, drug money (Octopus), you name it, from the other reporters we have had on. It's just incredibly massive and hard to believe. But it is actually happening. Greg Palast has now broken the story World-wide after actually interviewing the former top World Bank Joe Stiglitz economist. We are exposing that they are systematically tearing nations apart, whether it's Ecuador or Argentina. The problem is some of these bad ideas are drifting back into the U. S. In other words, they have run out of places to bleed. But it's not even privatization. They just steal it from the people and hand it over to the IMF/World Bank. They hand it over, generally to the cronies. For example, Citibank was very big and grabbed half the Argentine banks. You've got British Petroleum grabbing pipelines in Ecuador. I mentioned Enron grabbing water systems all over the place. And the problem is that they are destroying these systems as well. You can't even get drinking water in Buenos Aires. I mean it is not just a question of the theft. You can't turn on the tap. It is more than someone getting rich at the public expense. And the IMF just got handed the Great Lakes. They have the sole control over the water supply now. That's been in the Chicago Tribune.

Well the problem that we have is the IMF and the World Bank is 51% owned by the United States Treasury. So the question becomes, what are we getting for the money that we put into there? It looks like we are getting mayhem in several nations. Indonesia is in flames. The Chief World Bank Economist, Stiglitz, told Greg Palast that he started questioning what was happening. You know, everywhere we go, every country we end up meddling in, we destroy their economy and they end up in flames. And he was saying that he questioned this and he got fired for it. But he was saying that they even kind of plan in the riots. They know that when they squeeze a country and destroy its economy, you are going to get riots in the streets. And they say, well that's the IMF riot. In other words, because you have riots, you lose.

All the capital runs away from your country and that gives the opportunity for the IMF to then add more conditions. And that makes them even more desperate. So it is really an imperial war on those countries economy to implode countries and now they are doing it here with Enron. They are getting so greedy - they are preparing it for this country, the United States. It's not just the employees, creditors and stockholders of Enron that got cheated, they sucked millions, billions of dollars out of the public pocket in Texas and California in particular as well.

Where are the assets? Everybody says there are no assets left since Enron was a dummy corporation and they transferred all those assets to other corporations and banks. You did pay California's electric bills according to the investigations and they were pumped up unnecessarily by 9 to 12-billion dollars. And I don't know who they are going to get it back from now. Well they actually caught the Governor buying it for \$137 per megawatt and selling it back to Enron for \$1 per megawatt and doing it over and over and over again. Well, you have to understand that some of the guys who designed the system in California for deregulation then went to work for Enron right after. In fact, the British have some responsibility here as well because the guy who was on the audit committee of Enron was Lord Wakeham who is also the head of NM Rothschild. There isn't anything that he doesn't have his fingers in. He's on something like fifty Boards. He was supposed to be head of the audit committee watching how Enron kept the books. And in fact, they were paying him consulting fees on the side. He was in Margaret Thatcher's government and he's the one who authorized Enron to come into Britain and take over power plants here in Britain. And they owned a water system in the middle of England. This is what this guy approved and then they gave him a job on the board. And on top of being on the board, they gave him a huge consulting contract. And this guy was supposed to be in charge of the audit committee to see how they were handling their accounts. Well, he is also the head of the board to regulate the media. And he is trying to regulate investigative journalists like Greg Palast!

These Globalists are also trying to pass laws in England to tax your water, e.g. where you've got an 800-year old well, or in some cases a 2000-year old well that the Romans built that's on your property, they want to put a meter on it. You can't have your own water! And that's Lord Wakeham, the guy from Enron. He can't be touched here because he actually regulates the media. So if a journalist complains too much, Lord Wakeham has got his hand on the journalist's pen. First, the World Bank forces you to open up the capital markets. That is, you sell off your local banks to foreign banks. Then you go to what's called market-based pricing. That's the stuff like in California where everything is free market and you end up with giant electricity bills. But imagine if a private company like Enron owned your water. So then the water prices would go through the roof. Then, they force you to open up your borders to trade - complete free marketeering that kills your local production. Stiglitz who was the chief World Bank economist was running this system; he was their numbers man and he was saying that it was like the opium wars. He said this isn't free trade; this is coercion trade. This is war. They are taking apart economies through this. Well look, China has a 40% tariff on the US, we have a 2% on them. That's not free and fair trade. It's to force all industry to a country that the Globalists fully control.

In Greg Palast's 2002 book called "The Best Democracy Money Can Buy", he talks about how, unfortunately, America has been put up for sale. He has a story in there about how Wal-Mart has 700 plants in China. There is almost nothing in a Wal-Mart store that comes from the United States of America, despite all the eagles on the wall. Exactly, like in George Orwell's book 1984 (written in 1948), they have big flags saying "Buy American" and there's hardly anything American in the store--- it's Orwellian double-talk, like War is actually Peace, Slavery is Freedom, Ignorance is Strength, and Report Thought-crime, Big Brother is Watching You, and don't forget the Ministry of Truth!. What's even worst is they will hire a factory and right next to it will be the sister factory operating inside a Chinese prison. You can imagine the conditions of these workers producing this lovely stuff for Wal-Mart. And if an elitist needs a liver, they just call.

I know, it's grim. In fact, Greg Palast talked to a guy, Harry Wu who had been in a Chinese prison for 19 years. No one believed his horrible stories. He actually broke back into prison, took a camera with him and took pictures of the conditions and said this is the conditions of factories where Wal-Mart is getting its stuff made at. Alex Jones was threatened to be thrown off TV in Austin and arrested when he aired a video of little girls 4-years old chained down, skinnier than even some of the Jews who were in Nazi concentration camps, to die. Greg Palast actually spoke to an Argentinean Senator who was the public works administrator in Argentina in February 2002 and got him on camera. He said that after he got a call from George W. Bush (that's our current president and the same George W. Bush who said he didn't get to know Enron's Ken Lay until 1994) in 1988 saying to give the gas pipeline in Argentina to Enron. Enron was going to pay one-fifth of the World's price for their gas and he said how can you make such an offer? He was told by a partner in the deal that if we only pay one-fifth that leaves quit a little bit for you in your Swiss bank account. And that's how it's done. So now they are having these white-wash Enron hearings. You know I was at Enron yesterday in Houston because I'm now here in Austin. Greg Palast saw some other interesting documents. For example, a month before Bush took office, Bill Clinton, I think to get even with Bush's big donor, cut Enron out of the California power market. He put a cap on the prices they could charge. They couldn't charge more than one-hundred times the normal price for electricity. That upset Enron. So Ken Lay personally wrote a note to Dick Cheney asking to get rid of Clinton's cap on prices. Within 48 hours of George W. Bush taking office, his energy department reversed the clamps on Enron. OK, how much is that worth for those guys. You know that paid off all the donations they made to Bush in a week.

What happens after the Illuminati Globalists mafia have started imploding a country? Well, then they tell the country to start cutting its budgets. For example, a fifth of the population of Argentina is unemployed, and they said to cut the unemployment benefits drastically, to take away pension funds, to cut the education budgets, I mean horrible things. Now if you cut the economy in the middle of a recession that was created by these guys, you are really going to absolutely demolish this nation. After we were attacked on September 11, Bush ran out and said we got to spend \$50 to \$100 billion dollars to save our economy. But they tell these countries you've got to cut, and cut, and cut. And why, according to the

inside documents, it's so you can make payments to foreign banks - the foreign banks are collecting 21% to 70% interest. This is loan-sharking, the highest form of usury and the step preceding slavery. In fact, it was so bad that they required Argentina to get rid of the laws against loan-sharking because any bank would be a loan-shark under Argentine law. And then they say, well gee, we can't lend you any money except at these loan-shark rates. We don't allow people to charge 75% interest in the United States. That's loan-sharking.

And what do they do after they do that? They force the country to open up the borders for trade (remember the British Empire's Opium wars against China? Well, now that's the new opium wars). And once you have destroyed an economy to a level that it can't produce much, then they force these nations to pay horrendous amounts for things like legal pharmaceutical drugs. And then, that's how you end up with an illegal drug trade, because what is there left to survive on except to sell smack and crack. And guess who helps ship in the drugs? Yes, the CIA national security dictatorship as exposed in the Mena Affair ("This underground empire is controlled by a handful of people for money--that's the only secret of the temple." - Investigative reporter Danny Casolaro, prior to his murder by the Octopus) and as exposed by Michael Ruppert, ex LAPD officer who forced CIA director John Deutch to resign in 1996 over these allegations. This is just amazing. Drive the whole World down, blow out their economies and then buy the rest of it up for pennies on the dollar. What's next in the IMF/World Bank Plan? Its taking apart of the government or the coup d'etat. That's what they are not telling you and this is what has been attempted by the CIA several times already in Venezuela against President Chavez. And then they install their own corporate government. Well, I guess in Iraq and Afghanistan, they had to do it through invasions by falsely blaming those governments for weapons of mass destruction and the staged WTC attacks. In the case of Venezuela, we have an elected president of the government (see the History of CIA War Crimes later in this book to learn about the past CIA covert terrorism) but the IMF has announced that they would support a transition government if the president were removed. They are not saying that they are going to get involved in politics - they would just support a transition government. What that effectively is saying is that we will pay for the coup d'etat, if the military overthrows the current president, because the current president of Venezuela has said no to the IMF. He told those guys to go packing. They brought their teams in and said you have to do this and that. And he said, I don't have to do a thing. He said what I'm going to do is to double the taxes on oil corporations and then I will have all the money I need for social programs and the government and we will be a very rich nation. Well, as soon as they did that, they started fomenting trouble with the military and I'm telling you watch this space: the President of Venezuela will be out of office in the near future or shot dead. They are not going to allow him to raise taxes on the oil companies (shades of what happened when the CIA installed the Shah of Iran and the Bath party in the late 1950s).

Now, they keep telling us that terrorism is coming any day. It's going to happen if you don't give your rights up. Bush did not involve Congress and the others who are supposed to be in the accession if there is a nuclear attack in the secret government, Washington Post headline -"Congress Not Advised of Shadow Government." We have the Speaker of the House not being told. This looks like coup d'etat here. I'm going to come right out with it. We had better spread the word on this now or these greedy and evil creatures are going to go all the way.

Greg Palast ended the interview by saying this: "I'm very sad about one thing. I report this story in the main stream press of Britain. I'm on the BBC despite Lord Wakeham. I know he doesn't like me there. I'm in the BBC, I'm in the main daily paper, which is the equivalent of the New York Times or whatever, and we do get the information out. And I'm just very sorry that we Americans have to have an alternative press, an alternative radio network and alternative everything else to get out the information that makes any sense. I mean this information should be available to every American on the major networks. I mean, after all, it's our government!" Is it or isn't it?

Interview Transcript:

AJ: This is earth shattering. Can you break it down for us and tell us what the economists have done?

GP: Well, I'll tell you two things. One, I spoke to the former chief economist, Joe Stiglitz who was fired by the (World) Bank. So I, on BBC and with Guardian, basically spent some time debriefing him. It was like one of the scenes out of Mission Impossible, you know where the guy comes over from the other side and you spend hours debriefing him. So I got the insight of what was happening at the World Bank. In addition, he did not brief me but I got some other sources. He would not give me inside documents but other people handed me a giant stash of secret documents from the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

AJ: So to insulate himself, somebody else did it.

GP: No, I'm telling you. He wouldn't touch it but I really did get from completely independent sources a big stack of documents.

AJ: Just like you got W199I, from the same folks we got it from.

GP: And so one of the things that is happening is that, in fact, I was supposed to be on CNN with the head of the World Bank Jim Wolfensen and he said he would not appear on CNN ever if they put me on. And so CNN did the craziest thing and pulled me off.

AJ: So now they are threatening total boycott.

GP: Yea right. So what we found was this. We found inside these documents that basically they required nations to sign secret agreements, in which they agreed to sell off their key assets, in which they agreed to take economic steps which are really devastating to the nations involved and if they didn't agree to these steps, there was an average for each nation that signed one-hundred and eleven items that they are required to sign on to. If they didn't follow those steps they would be cut-off from all international borrowing. You can't borrow any money in the international marketplace. No one

can survive without borrowing, whether you are people or corporations or countries - without borrowing some money and having some credit and ...

AJ: Because of the debt inflation pit they've created.

GP: Yea, well, see one of the things that happened is that - we've got examples from, I've got inside documents recently from Argentina, the secret Argentine plan. This is signed by Jim Wolfensen, the president of the World Bank. By the way, just so you know, they are really upset with me that I've got the documents, but they have not challenged the authenticity of the documents. First, they did. First they said those documents don't exist. I actually showed them on television. And cite some on the web, I actually have copies of some...

AJ: Greg Palast dot com?

GP: Yea, gregpalast.com. So then they backed off and said yea those documents are authentic but we are not going to discuss them with you and we are going to keep you off the air anyway. So, that's that. But what they were saying is look, you take a country like Argentina, which is, you know, in flames now. And it has had five presidents in five weeks because their economy is completely destroyed.

AJ: Isn't it six now?

GP: Yea, it's like the weekly president because they can't hold the nation together. And this happened because they started out in the end of the 80s with orders from the IMF and World Bank to sell-off all their assets, public assets. I mean, things we wouldn't think of doing in the US, like selling off their water system.

AJ: So they tax the people. They create big government and big government hands it off to the private IMF/World Bank. And when we get back, I want to get to the four-parts that you elegantly lay out here where they actually pay off the politicians billions to their Swiss bank accounts to do this transfer.

GP: That's right.

AJ: This is like one of the biggest stories ever, Sir. I'm sorry, please continue.

GP: So what's happening is - this is just one of them. And by the way, it's not just anyone who gets a piece of the action. The water system of Buenos Aires was sold off for a song to a company called Enron. A pipeline was sold off, that runs between Argentina and Chile, was sold off to a company called Enron.

AJ: And then the globalists blow out the Enron after transferring the assets to another dummy corporation and then they just roll the theft items off.

GP: You've got it. And by the way, you know why they moved the pipeline to Enron is that they got a call from somebody named George W. Bush in 1988.

AJ: We are talking to Greg Palast. He is an award-winning journalist, an American who has worked for the BBC, London Guardian, you name it, who has dropped just a massive bomb-shell on the Globalists and their criminal activity. There is no other word for it. You link through at inforwars.com, you can link to his web site - gregpalast.com, or any of the other great reports he has been putting out. He now has the secret documents. We have seen the activity of the IMF/World Bank for years. They come in, pay off politicians to transfer the water systems, the railways, the telephone companies, the nationalized oil companies, gas stations - they then hand it over to them for nothing. The Globalists pay them off individually, billions a piece in Swiss bank accounts. And the plan is total slavery for the entire population. Of course, Enron, as we told you was a dummy corporation for money laundering, drug money, you name it, from the other reporters we have had on. It's just incredibly massive and hard to believe. But it is actually happening. Greg Palast has now broken the story World-wide. He has actually interviewed the former top World Bank economist. Continuing Sir with all these points. I mean for the average person out there, in a nutshell, what is the system you are exposing?

GP: We are exposing that they are systematically tearing nations apart, whether it's Ecuador or Argentina. The problem is some of these bad ideas are drifting back into the U.S. In other words, they have run out of places to bleed. And the problem is, this is the chief economist, this is not some minor guy. By the way, a couple of months ago, after he was fired, he was given the Nobel Prize in Economics. So he is no fool. He told me, he went into countries where they were talking about privatizing and selling off these assets. And basically, they knew, they literally knew and turned the other way when it was understood that leaders of these countries and the chief ministers would salt away hundreds of millions of dollars.

AJ: But it's not even privatization. They just steal it from the people and hand it over to the IMF/World Bank.

GP: They hand it over, generally to the cronies, like Citibank was very big and grabbed half the Argentine banks. You've got British Petroleum grabbing pipelines in Ecuador. I mentioned Enron grabbing water systems all over the place. And the problem is that they are destroying these systems as well. You can't even get drinking water in Buenos Aires. I mean it is not just a question of the theft. You can't turn on the tap. It is more than someone getting rich at the public expense.

AJ: And the IMF just got handed the Great Lakes. They have the sole control over the water supply now. That's been in the Chicago Tribune.

GP: Well the problem that we have is - look, the IMF and the World Bank is 51% owned by the United States Treasury. So the question becomes, what are we getting for the money that we put into there? And it looks like we are getting mayhem in several nations. Indonesia is in flames. He was telling me, the Chief Economist, Stiglitz, was telling me that he started questioning what was happening. You know, everywhere we go, every country we end up meddling in, we destroy their economy and they end up in flames. And he was saying that he questioned this and he got fired for it. But he was saying that they even kind of plan in the riots. They know that when they squeeze a country and destroy its economy, you are

going to get riots in the streets. And they say, well that's the IMF riot. In other words, because you have riot, you lose. All the capital runs away from your country and that gives the opportunity for the IMF to then add more conditions.

AJ: And that makes them even more desperate. So it is really an imperial economy war to implode countries and now they are doing it here with Enron. They are getting so greedy - they are preparing it for this country.
GP: I've just been talking to, out in California just yesterday, from here in Paris, the chief investigators of Enron for the State of California. They are telling me some of the games these guys are playing. No one is watching that. It's not just the stockholders that got ripped off. They sucked millions, billions of dollars out of the public pocket in Texas and California in particular.

AJ: Where are the assets? See, everybody says there are no assets left since Enron was a dummy corporation - from the experts I've had on and they transferred all those assets to other corporations and banks.
GP: Well yea, this stuff has really gone just like a three-card Monty game. I mean remember that there is money at the bottom. You did pay California's electric bills according to the investigations, they are telling me that they were pumped up unnecessarily by 9 to 12-billion dollars. And I don't know who they are going to get it back from now.

AJ: Well they actually caught the Governor buying it for \$137 per megawatt and selling it back to Enron for \$1 per megawatt and doing it over and over and over again.

GP: Yea, the system has gotten completely out of control and these guys knew exactly what was happening. Well, you have to understand that some of the guys who designed the system in California for deregulation then went to work for Enron right after. In fact, here I'm in London right now and we have, the British has some responsibility here. The guy who was on the audit committee of Enron, Lord Wakeham. And this guy is a real piece of work, there isn't a conflict of interest that he hasn't been involved in.

AJ: And he is the head of NM Rothschild.

GP: There isn't anything that he doesn't have his fingers in. He's on something like fifty Boards. And one of the problems, he was supposed to be head of the audit committee watching how Enron kept the books. And in fact, they were paying him consulting fees on the side. He was in Margaret Thatcher's government and he's the one who authorized Enron to come into Britain and take over power plants here in Britain. And they owned a water system in the middle of England. This is what this guy approved and then they gave him a job on the board. And on top of being on the board, they gave him a huge consulting contract. So you know, this guy was supposed to be in charge of the audit committee to see how they were handling their accounts.

AJ: Well, he is also the head of the board to regulate the media.

GP: Yes, he is, because I have run into real problems, because he regulates me.

AJ: They are also trying to pass laws in England where you've got an 800-year old well, or in some cases a 2000-year old well that the Romans built that's on your property and they say we are putting a meter on it. You can't have your own water.

GP: Yea, and that's Lord Wakeham. I mean this is the guy from Enron. He is a real piece of work. He can't be touched here because like I say he actually regulates the media. So if you complain, he's got his hand on your pen.

AJ: Burrow into NM Rothschild, you'll find it all there. Go through these four points. I mean you've got the documents. The IMF/World Bank implosion, four points, how they bring down a country and destroy the resources of the people.

GP: Right. First you open up the capital markets. That is, you sell off your local banks to foreign banks. Then you go to what's called market-based pricing. That's the stuff like in California where everything is free market and you end up with water bills - we can't even imagine selling off water companies in the United States of America. But imagine if a private company like Enron owned your water. So then the prices go through the roof. Then open up your borders to trade - complete free marketeering. And Stiglitz who was the chief economist, remember he was running this system, he was their numbers man and he was saying it was like the opium wars. He said this isn't free trade; this is coercion trade. This is war. They are taking apart economies through this.

AJ: Well look, China has a 40% tariff on us, we have a 2% on them. That's not free and fair trade. It's to force all industry to a country that the Globalists fully control.

GP: Well, you know Walmart - I did a story, in fact, if you read my book. Let me just mention that I've got a book out, "The Best Democracy Money Can Buy" about how, unfortunately, America has been put up for sale. "The Best Democracy Money Can Buy" is coming out this week. But I have a story in there about how Walmart has 700 plants in China. There is almost nothing in a Walmart store that comes from the United States of America, despite all the eagles on the wall.

AJ: Exactly, like 1984, then they have big flags saying "Buy American" and there's hardly anything --- it's Orwellian double-think.

GP: What's even worst is they will hire a factory and right next to it will be the sister factory which is inside a prison. You can imagine the conditions of these workers producing this lovely stuff for Walmart. It's really....

AJ: And if an elitist needs a liver, they just call.

GP: (Laughs) I know, it's grim. In fact, I talked to a guy, Harry Wu, is his name and, in fact, he broke into, he's been in Chinese prison for 19 years. No one believed his horrible stories. He actually broke back into prison, took a camera with him and took pictures of the conditions and said this is the conditions of factories where Walmart is getting its stuff made at, it's all....

AJ: I was threatened to be thrown off TV here in Austin when I aired video of little girls 4-years old chained down, skinnier than European Jews in concentration camps, to die. And I was threatened, if you ever air that again, you will be arrested.

GP: Well you know, it is horrifying stuff that, unfortunately, I have been handed and Stiglitz, was very courageous for him to come out and make these statements. Like I said, he didn't provide me the documents. The documents really sealed it because it said this is what really happened. They really do say sign on the dotted line agreeing to 111 conditions for each nation. And the public has no say; they don't know what the hell is happening to them. All they know....

AJ: Go back into privatization. Go through these four points. That's the key. It sends billions to politicians to hand everything over.

GP: Yea, he called it briberization, which is you sell off the water company and that's worth, over ten years, let's say that that's worth about 5 billion bucks, ten percent of that is 500 million, you can figure out how it works. I actually spoke to a Senator from Argentina two weeks ago. I got him on camera. He said that after he got a call from George W. Bush in 1988 saying give the gas pipeline in Argentina to Enron, that's our current president. He said that what he found was really creepy was that Enron was going to pay one-fifth of the World's price for their gas and he said how can you make such an offer? And he was told, not by George W. but by a partner in the deal, well if we only pay one-fifth that leaves quit a little bit for you to go in your Swiss bank account. And that's how it's done.

AJ: This is the

GP: I've got the film. This guy is very conservative. He knows the Bush family very well. And he was public works administrator in Argentina and he said, yea, I got this call. I asked him, I said, from George W. Bush. He said, yea, November 1988, the guy called him up and said give a pipeline to Enron. Now this is the same George W. Bush who said he didn't get to know Ken Lay until 1994. So, you know.....

AJ: So now they are having these white-wash hearings. You know I was at Enron yesterday in Houston because I'm now here in Austin. We were like 30-feet from the door, right on the sidewalk and I have it on video - goons came up and said you can't videotape. I said go ahead and have me arrested. I mean I'm talking on the sidewalk, Greg.

GP: Well, you know, I was there in May, telling people in Britain you've never heard of Enron, but ... And these are the guys who have figured out how to (garbled) this government. In fact, we saw some interesting documents, a month before Bush took office, Bill Clinton, I think to get even with Bush's big donor, cut Enron out of the California power market. He put a cap on the prices they could charge. They couldn't charge more than one-hundred times the normal price for electricity. That upset Enron. So Ken Lay personally wrote a note to Dick Cheney saying get rid of Clinton's cap on prices. Within 48 hours of George W. Bush taking office, his energy department reversed the clamps on Enron. OK, how much is that worth for those guys. You know that has got to be worth, that paid off in a week all the donations.

AJ: Listen at the bombs you are dropping. You are interviewing these ministers, former head of IMF/World Bank economist - all of this, you've got the documents, paying people's Swiss Bank accounts, all this happening. Then you've got Part 2, what do they do after they start imploding?

GP: Well, then they tell you to start cutting your budgets. A fifth of the population of Argentina is unemployed, and they said cut the unemployment benefits drastically, take away pension funds, cut the education budgets, I mean horrible things. Now if you cut the economy in the middle of a recession that was created by these guys, you are really going to absolutely demolish this nation. After we were attacked on September 11, Bush ran out and said we got to spend \$50 to \$100 billion dollars to save our economy. We don't start cutting the budget, you start trying to save this economy. But they tell these countries you've got to cut, and cut, and cut. And why, according to the inside documents, it's so you can make payments to foreign banks - the foreign banks are collecting 21% to 70% interest. This is loan-sharking. In fact, it was so bad that they required Argentina to get rid of the laws against loan-sharking. because any bank would be a loan-shark under Argentine law.

AJ: But Greg, you said it yourself and the documents show it. They first implode the economy to create that atmosphere. They institute the entire climate that does this.

GP: Yea, and then they say, well gee, we can't lend you any money except at these loan-shark rates. We don't allow people to charge 75% interest in the United States. That's loan-sharking.

AJ: Part 3 and Part 4. What do they do after they do that?

GP: Like I said, you open up the borders for trade, that's the new opium wars. And once you have destroyed an economy that can't produce anything, one of the terrible things is that they are forcing nations to pay horrendous amounts for things like drugs - legal drugs. And by the way, that's how you end up with an illegal drug trade, what's there left to survive on except sell us smack and crack and that's how...

AJ: And the same CIA national security dictatorship has been caught shipping that in.
GP: You know, we are just helping our allies.

AJ: This is just amazing. And so, drive the whole World down, blow out their economies and then buy the rest of it up for pennies on the dollar. What's Part 4 of the IMF/World Bank Plan?

GP: Well, in Part 4, you end up again with the taking apart of the government. And by the way, the real Part 4 is the coup d'etat. That's what they are not telling you. And I'm just finding that out in Venezuela. I just got a call from the President of Venezuela.

AJ: And they install their own corporate government.

GP: What they said was here you've got an elected president of the government and the IMF has announced, listen to this, that they would support a transition government if the president were removed. They are not saying that they are going to get involved in politics - they would just support a transition government. What that effectively is is saying we will pay for the coup d'etat, if the military overthrows the current president, because the current president of Venezuela has said no to the IMF. He told those guys to go packing. They brought their teams in and said you have to do this and that. And he said, I don't have to do nothing. He said what I'm going to do is, I'm going to double the taxes on oil corporations because we have a whole lot of oil in Venezuela. And I'm going to double the taxes on oil corporations and then I will have all the money I need for social programs and the government - and we will be a very rich nation. Well, as soon as they did that, they started fomenting trouble with the military and I'm telling you watch this space: the President of Venezuela will be out of office in three months or shot dead. They are not going to allow him to raise taxes on the oil companies.

AJ: Greg Palast, here is the problem. You said it when you first came out of the gates. They are getting hungry, they are doing it to the United States now. Enron, from all the evidence that I've seen was a front, another shell, they would steal assets and then transfer it to other older global companies, then they blew that out and stole the pension funds. Now they are telling us that terrorism is coming any day. It's going to happen if you don't give your rights up. Bush did not involve Congress and the others who are supposed to be in the accession if there is a nuclear attack in the secret government, Washington Post - "Congress Not Advised of Shadow Government." We have the Speaker of the House not being told. This looks like coup d'etat here. I'm going to come right out with it. We had better spread the word on this now or these greedy creatures are going to go all the way.

GP: I'm very sad about one thing. I report this story in the main stream press of Britain. I'm on the BBC despite Lord Wakeham. I know he doesn't like me there. I'm in the BBC, I'm in the main daily paper, which is the equivalent of the New York Times or whatever, and we do get the information out. And I'm just very sorry that we have to have an alternative press, an alternative radio network and everything else to get out the information that makes any sense. I mean this information should be available to every American. I mean, after all, it's our government.

At <http://www.GregPalast.com> you can read and subscribe to Greg Palast's London Observer columns and view his reports for BBC Television's Newsnight.

[The Enron Connection](#)

4/5/02 Alex Jones Show Interview of Greg Palast http://www.infowars.com/palast_interview.html

AJ: - one of the top presenters on BBC Newsnight, has broken just dozens of bombshell stories - from the election of 2000 to the IMF and World Bank, getting the secret documents of how they blow out economies, to the government prior knowledge of September 11th and Bush's connection to bin Laden and now a lot of other reporters from around the World followed suit in the footsteps of the real trail blazer, Greg Palast. Greg, it's great to have you on the show this evening. It's good to have you back in the states because you are after all a Yankee with the rest of us, aren't you?

GP: Yeah, very much a Yankee. Like I say, I've got that big giant, huge American flag in my office in Britain and it just drives the Limies just nuts. By the way, Alex, since the last time we were on, I should tell you that you and I, you are a big hit in Argentina and all over Latin America in the Spanish speaking World. Our last interview was translated into Spanish and it hit like a bomb there. It's just been all over the papers and radio and television in Argentina, Venezuela, Spain, just explosive stuff and I've been getting a lot of interviews coming out of Latin America about the manipulation of the World Bank and the IMF and the interventions in their economy on behalf of Enron and other corporate big shots.

AJ: Well this shows that we can have an effect. And the reason that I think the stories that you have broken and that we just elaborated on here is my audience spread the word and emailed it out to everybody. That's the power of talk radio. That's why they hate uncontrolled talk radio. They either want phony left-wing or phony right-wing and then they just kind of divert our energies off into what I call steam valves. But let's go ahead and tackle that now because that is such a big story. A few months ago, Mr. Stiglitz, the former chief economist of the World Bank, goes public, you suddenly get a bunch of documents, and those have again been confirmed to be accurate. Let's spend some time on that and then get into the election in 2000 and then get into government prior knowledge of September 11th. And, of course, your book, The Best Democracy Money Can Buy, now on the New York Times best seller list, thank God. I mean, getting into it, the sinister nature and how Enron ties into ties predatory fascistic capitalism, that's not free market. Hit 'em hard.

GP: Well, it's not free market. Look, I was a student at the University of Chicago, in fact I was (garbled) in Chicago. And I was a student of Milton Friedman at the University of Chicago. And I was part of a little group called the Chicago Boys, and we actually, our group really pretty much took over the economy of Chile after the dictator Pinochet seized power in a military coup. The program was supposed to be free market, you know, like really open up the markets but, in fact, that's not what happened. What it became is a few operators seized the banks, seized the copper mines, seized the resources of the nation and it was basically a big smash and grab operation. And then we saw this plan spread across the Americas, into Britain and then it's banging up against American shores. So I saw the inside back on this scheme from the beginning. And then once I became an investigative reporter, I had the opportunity to not only get a bunch of documents that kind of walked out of the file cabinet at the World Bank. By the way, once again you are correct, the World Bank, the World Trade Organization, and the IMF. I have their inside documents. They have never ever said that the documents are not authentic. They just - that they don't agree with my interpretation, or I don't have the full thing, or you know there's excuses and then they just try to slam me out. A couple of weeks ago, the President of the World Bank, Jim Wolfenszen, was supposed to meet me on - suppose to confront him on CNN. And he said, "if Greg Palast is on CNN, I won't be and I will even pull my taped interviews that I have previously done for CNN." And CNN then pulled me off the air. You know,

it's amazing, after all I am a reporter for BBC Nightly News - not exactly a fly-by-night operation. You know, they can't, you know what I mean, they can try to call me a kook. That's hard to do. I'm quite mainstream.

AJ: So, let's talk about these documents. And Stiglitz, the Chief Economist quit, just to recap for those who missed the interview back in March 4th, that again has gone out Worldwide and has been called a bombshell just because we focused on the great reports you've done. But to just help give it some extra legs there, which is up to the listeners to do. Boil it down, the IMF/World Bank with private shareholders but with government license as the shell corporation, go in, pay off a dictator, pay off a group of corporations in the country to sign over water rights, pipeline rights, roads, the entire infrastructure to them if they (quote) don't pay back the loan but, wink, wink, billions are put in the Swiss bank account of the dictator. They never pay back the loans. And then in the contract, the people are basically signed over as serfs, as chattel to the IMF/World Bank. And then they come in the next phase and say "all right you have got to go to a cashless society, you got to have national ID cards," and then they raid the pension funds and totally blow out the economy. I mean this is highway robbery. This is, this is sacking countries. You know the way you put it so well in your articles. And it's mass criminality on a global scale. Greg Palast, again from the BBC, one of the presenters on Newsnight
GP: I'm an investigative reporter for Newsnight.

AJ: Absolutely, over there, again on British television, I like to watch the BBC right here on the local cable channel in Austin, and he writes for the Guardian Observer - bringing the folks up to speed on who we are interviewing. You break this story and how does Enron tie into this, as one of their front companies?

GP: Well, Enron is big in this story because their creation, Enron is basically a creation of Bush family politics and the World Bank and the IMF. They wouldn't exist without this... This company, remember, only began in 1986. They only came into existence because of the deregulation of markets in America, beginning in Texas and California, when George W. Bush was governor of Texas. Poppy Bush, as his last act, one of his last acts in office was to pass a deregulation of utility and electricity markets in America at the federal level. And this led to this, not to free markets, but to, in fact, the creation of super companies, about six giant super companies, big supporters of his, Enron the number one political contributor to the Bush family campaign. Number three in contribution levels is Southern Company. They were one of the early power players - really dangerous characters to be very honest. And mainly their agenda was pushed through the World Bank and IMF. Now, look, it may be, I'm still waiting to hear from Wolfensen, the President of the World Bank, that he really believes in this stuff. It looks like on paper what they are doing is planning financial coup d'etats of various nations.

AJ: Yeah, they go in and set up a fall, like in 1929, blow out the economy and have the dominoes set to fall off the table into their bag as they run out the door and take their masks off and jump into the get-away car.

GP: Right, so that was like - you take a country like Argentina. Enron. George W. Bush called, when he was an oil man in 1988, called up the public works minister of Argentina. Under pressure from the World Bank, they are privatizing all the nations infrastructure and assets like the pipelines, the gas pipelines. George W. called the public works minister and said, "My daddy's been elected President of the United States and he would really appreciate if you would give this pipeline to Enron." Which is interesting because George W. said he didn't get to know Ken Lay, the CEO of Enron, until 1994. This was six years earlier. The public works minister tells me, on camera, that this deal, he remembers the deal, even if our president doesn't, because the deal he felt was clearly, it was part of a corrupt offer to him. If he went along with a deal which basically would give away the natural gas of Argentina for one-fifth its price, of its value, World market price, that he would end up with money in his Swiss bank account.

AJ: So what happens here is - they come in, do backroom deals, government owns the assets and they just hand it over under the so-called free market term privatization to globalist companies.

GP: Right, it's really free market but it's not what I'm talking about free market, we are talking for example, Enron also seized the water company of Buenos Aires. But once they seized the water company, another company, a state beneficiary, a French operator, Vivendi, which is a French company, and Suez, two big giant French operators, moved in and seized assets, especially Argentina water assets. Now these are not free market companies, I mean you don't have free market water. These are just private companies that seized the water systems and raised prices as much as 400%. Again, under orders from the World Bank to sell off these systems. They fire 40% of the workers. The water pipes break. The water is contaminated. And the prices are up. Then the World Bank writes a little report that says, "Gee, it seems we are having trouble getting water to poor people." Well, of course, you raise the price of water 400% and then contaminate it. It's kind of hard to get water to poor people.

AJ: And now, I see the Chicago Tribune last year, the government has handed over the Great Lakes to the regulatory control of the IMF, International Monetary Fund. So, and you raised this in the last interview, these predators have been feeding on third World countries but now they are doing it to a great extent here in the United States.

GP: Yes, and that was, by the way, the big difference between Clinton and Bush. I followed Clinton and I know that a lot of Democrats aren't happy that I don't give Clinton a pass on this. But, you know, Clinton actually made through his Secretary of Commerce, Bill Dailey, the son of Boss Dailey, put pressure, for example, on the British government to violate their own laws to give Enron special treatment, exemption from several laws in Britain and several laws in the European community. Then Clinton went across and threatened India for not going along with the sweetheart deal that Enron had cut in India. Clearly, it looked like bribery. The Indian government was, there was a contract signed which was completely suspicious, requiring the people of India to pay double the price we pay in America for electricity. This is India - from a ridiculous plant that was built by Enron there. When the Indians said, "Look, this deal is clearly the fruit of bribery." Clinton basically threatened them with cutting off their access to international financial markets which is like capital punishment for a national economy. The Indians still resisted and so, then when Bush came in, he upped the ante

and sent Dick Cheney over to tell the Indians, "Pay Enron or we cut you off at the knees financially."

AJ: Pay Enron or the Taliban bomb your cattle building.

GP: So, both Clinton and Bush were in on it unfortunately. Now there is a difference in that one thing Clinton would not do, he did not want, he would let Enron run amok in Britain and Argentina and India and Pakistan, for example ..

AJ: But not in California..

GP: Because Clinton made his name fighting some of these guys, like another group of power pirates called Energy out of Little Rock, Arkansas. He played a very interesting game. In America, he'd fought them and said, "These are rip-off artists and we've got to control them. We've got to put a clamp on them." And then he helped them internationally, like in Pakistan when they were trying ..

AJ: Well for those that don't know. Monopolizing and controlling markets is where the control, not just the money, the profits come from and in California, it came out in the Sacramento Bee last year, that Cheney's energy commission OKed the deal to have the people of the state pay \$137 per megawatt, then they found out that the state was selling it back to an Enron subsidiary for \$1 per megawatt. Now that's quite a scam. They sell it to the state for 137 and then they sell it back quietly for one dollar. That's quite a profit, huh, Greg?

GP: Any of the things that they did.....

AJ: ...some of the details you probably didn't hear about, - the controlled media, the 2000 election, also government prior knowledge of September 11. We are joined by Greg Palast and I apologize Greg. I guess over in England a presenter is just a teleprompter reader, but no, he is the big star, when he does his investigative reports

GP: (Laughs) That's all right - I don't have to be a big star, but I just want to get the title right.

AJ: You're the guy out there doing all the legwork and getting the stories together, presenting the exposés.

GP: Yes, ah, yeah, but the election of 2000, another story that was just impossible to get into the U.S. press - that led the nightly news there on BBC television and the front pages of the papers under my byline. But I couldn't get it in here. It just drove me nuts. It's exactly how Bush and family fixed the election in Florida, the actual mechanism. It was quite sophisticated.

AJ: We are going to get into that. Obviously, they caught Democrats, state reps, with voting machines. Gore had them, in several states, keep the ballot places open. And that was going on. But we heard about all that. But you have clear, again, smoking gun evidence of Bush doing it as well. And if we are going to be honest here, we have to cover that as well. But getting back to what you said about Southern Company, hooked into the IMF and the World Bank and the Bushes and the rest of this, you called them scary characters. Why would you say that?

GP: Well, OK, in 1989, they were caught, I was in on the investigation. I was in on the investigation myself before I was an investigative reporter, as an investigator. And they were caught, listen to this bookkeeping system. This is a multi-billion dollar corporation which kept an extra set of account books in the trunk of the car of the vice president of accounting. And I have one of the sheets from those accounts from inside the trunk of this guy's car. What it shows is \$117 million worth of spare parts for power plants, which they charged to the public, which didn't exist. In other words, these are phantom charges. This is baloney charges. And then they took these phony costs off their taxes, as well. They got caught but before this, just when they were getting caught, and they were going to name a vice president to take the fall who was also making illegal campaign contributions and basically bribes to officials in Florida. This was Southern Company, again. But one of the vice presidents of the company, not the accountant, one of the vice presidents, his name is Jake Horton, he said, " I'm going to blow the whistle." He told his brother, he was going to go and see the state attorney general and blow the whistle on their accounting practices, on their illegal campaign contributions, on the bribery and the whole thing. He informed the company, "I've had it. I'm not going to be the fall guy. I'm blowing the whistle." April 1989, he borrows the company jet after he told them he was going to blow the whistle. Ten minutes after take off the jet explodes and Jake is no more.

AJ: Well that's just classic CIA op activity.

GP: And so now, there is no explanation for this plane exploding. BBC asked the CEO of the company later what happened to the plane and Jake. And he said, "Jake saw no other way out." Which is kind of unusual...

AJ: Yeah, they are so arrogant. The Mafia dons actually come right out with it.

GP: So, what happened was these guys got indicted by a Grand Jury for the phony bookkeeping and here is the key part of this story. We have one weird little loophole in our federal racketeering law which says that the Justice Dept. can overturn an indictment by a Grand Jury.

AJ: Which is a violation of the Magna Carta and the Bill of Rights.

GP: Well, but that's how the, well it's very seldom used, but what happened is that after the Grand Jury voted the indictment, Poppy Bush was president at the time, his Justice Dept. overturned the indictment on this wacko and this basically open thievery through accounting. His Justice Dept. overturned the indictment on the grounds that they had an upstanding accounting firm - Arthur Anderson, audit those books and say that this accounting method was just fine.

AJ: Arthur Anderson, the same one hooked in with Enron. Welcome back, folks. We are talking to Greg Palast. Best selling author - the book that has been out about 2-3 weeks, already on the New York Times best seller list - The Best Democracy Money Can Buy. Earlier in the last hour, we were talking about the IMF and the World Bank and the front

companies going in and putting political pressure on the nations to sign over all their natural resources, basically their populations. Then the country never pays back the debt and that's by design. Then these global corporations literally become the rulers of the countries and they put in puppet dictatorships. This is the New World Order at its worst, frankly. Greg Palast, the book, the new book that you have written. I just completed reading it. One of my staff, snuck off with it a few weeks ago and I just got it about a week ago. It's being well received. We talked on the phone about it privately. It's being received very well by conservatives. In fact, one of the listeners called in when you were on C-Span a few weeks ago and he opened the phones and said that they were getting a little frustrated because all of the conservative were calling in supporting you. Is that pleasing, to see that conservatives will actually, many of them grassroots, listen to documented evidence of corruption, even by so-called conservative leaders?

GP: Yeah, well, I think that people are looking for real, inside hard fact information. In fact, C-Span was really stunned because they have a Republican call-in line and a Democrat call-in line and whether it was Democrats or Republicans, they got nothing but positive words for my work. Because people are just looking for information. Look, I'll give you my political philosophy, very little of it, you know, I give you the information, I give you the dots, you connect the dots yourself. You can use your own judgement and come up with your own philosophy and vote the way you want. Democrat or Republican, I don't care. Because the thing is that, unfortunately, there is no party with clean hands here. And this is a big problem. Like you say, it looks like the Bush family, in particular, seems to have a big problem keeping their personal piggy bank and national politics and their international foreign policy separate. That's a big problem.

AJ: Well, they are an incredibly old money family and they are very good at covering that up in the press. It's not bad to be wealthy, filthy rich, but when you sit there and act like you're just a good 'ole boy out there in Crawford walking around with a hay bale, when you're a blue blood connected to the British Royal family, according to Reuters. I mean it's really a joke - the whole line they try to sell the people.

GP: Yeah, I know, the pork rinds thing, you know - Poppy Bush is about as preppy as you can get - you can imagine him spitting out those pork rinds after he gets off camera. It's really kind of a joke. But, you know, the things I've been working on. I was just talking tonight. And, by the way, just so you know, just because they may say that I am out to get them, one of the Bush family corporations that they were connected to, Barrick Gold Mining of Canada, did sue my paper over reports that they didn't really like. Not many people in America know that after Poppy Bush left the White House, he went to work for Canadian Gold Mining Corporation. A real group of characters that, believe me, they don't want any one exposing what their operations are or that Bush has been helping them. So Daddy Bush went to work for these Canadian Gold Mining operation and one of the things, before he left office, Daddy Bush, his administration set up an expedited procedure for gold mining companies to lay claims to gold mine properties. And his company, the company he ultimately went to, Barrick Gold Mining, through this special expedited procedure he set up, was able to lay claim to the largest gold mine in the World, which is in Nevada, with \$10 billion worth of gold ore in it. And they paid \$10,000 to the U.S. Treasury to make that claim.

AJ: So, \$10,000 for \$10 billion, that's another great return. And guaranteed that was basically grabbed from other people who probably already had claims on it. Amazing. Greg Palast, stay right there, we've got to break again. Long segment coming up. The website for our guest is gregpalast.com..they are called Globalists. They control the IMF and World Bank, the World Health Organization, the World Trade Organization. They are breaking down borders, national sovereignty, destroying our jobs. Globalism is not good for the people but it's good for a tiny clique - what Carroll Quigley of Georgetown called, and he was an adherent of it, a supporter of it, the Angelo American Establishment. And we are talking to Greg Palast, again from the BBC nightly news show, Newsnight. Investigative reporter, best-selling author, the new book out - The Best Democracy Money Can Buy. For people who are having trouble grasping, why should I care if our government has sweetheart deals with the Bushes and others, to go in and blow out people's economies and turn off their water and seize their power stations and "that's just what we do around here." There are doing it to you, America. They are doing it to you through so-called deregulation of the power companies. It's not deregulation. It's consolidation. It's rigging of the deals. And they use semantics by changing the meaning of words to confuse you. This government is just absolutely predatory and it's run by just a few corporations and banks. And Greg Palast has the documents. Greg, I want to get into election 2000. I want to get into government prior knowledge of September 11th and Bush calling off the FBI and defense intel and you talked to top CIA folks telling them not to stop al Qaeda. This is on the record. We had David Schippers on, and Col. Roberts, and it's come out now in the Wall Street Journal and a bunch of other publications after you reported on it. And we were here reporting on it as well, even before September 11th. The Wall Street Journal, back in March of last year, had called for Bush, both Senior and Junior to get out of bed with the Carlyle Group, and I want to get into that and get your expertise. A lot of things to cover here but going back to this IMF, World Bank situation, blowing out these economies, setting up the fall, how do we as Americans counter that because it sounds so big, you know, how can we ever deal with it? What do we do, expose the corruption and call for Grand Juries to indict? What do we do?

GP: Well, I mean part of it is to tell the truth on them. I mean we have got to get the information out of them. It's harder and harder. I mean I was horrified this week, PBS is running a three-hour, basically it's an infomercial for globalization and, what you would call and actually what Poppy Bush titled the New World Order, it's about how wonderful it all is, and that anyone who protests obviously just doesn't understand the future. This is three hours on the Public Broadcast System, subsidized by government money but where does the rest of the money come from? The entire budget for this film came from British Petroleum. British Petroleum. My network, BBC, British Broadcasting Company, I would lose my job if I took money from British Petroleum. And here they are the proud producers of basically what is a propaganda film. It's like...

AJ: So, what is life like under globalism? We are seeing it. We all have a great hamburger job and everything is shipped in from third World countries where slaves build widgets. But long term, we won't even have the money, the currency to buy

that. But they won't care because they will have total global domination.

GP: Well, you know, there is a chink in the armor, it's just like when there are such people like I was mentioning, I spent a lot of time with the former chief economist of the World Bank, I guess he was fired because he simply started asking questions. He wasn't, you know, he wasn't trying to totally raise a ruckus here. This is Joe Stiglitz and he just won the Nobel Prize in Economics, so now he has some protection. He was saying, "Look, I looked at these documents and it looked to me like they were deliberately trying to foment riots to just make countries explode." And they called it, you know, this we know that these proposals, in these secret documents, we know that these proposals led to what we call social unrest - and by social unrest, of course, they mean riots. Like people burning cars in the capital. And then it says, and this social unrest will have to be met with strong resolve of the government. Now strong resolve doesn't take much to translate, that means tanks in the street.

AJ: And that means men in black uniforms beating women over the heads with baseball bats.

GP: So I said to Stiglitz, I said I mean it looks like you are trying to do this. He said, "Yeah, we used to call it the IMF riot." He says, "They knew what the effect would be. They knew that they squeezed people. That they would take basic supplies - cooking oil, food, basic petroleum products, heating, gas, things like that and now increase the prices like 500-600-700 %. Drop social security payments, old age pension by one-third. That type of thing. He said, of course you know what will happen. That will lead to an explosion. Once that explosion occurs, socially, then there's tanks in the street. And it's very easy to then impose the, what are called, the conditionalities which are the conditions, on average there are 111 conditionalities imposed on nations. Most of these are kept secret. Very few are ever made public.

AJ: So before we get into those, we are back to problem, reaction, solution. They come in and create the crisis, foment the descent, and then offer their solution - even more tyranny. And here's the problem, I've focus mainly and have made several feature length documentary films that have even aired on commercial television about the police state, the commercial TV stations that have the will to air them, Police State 2000 and the TakeOver. We show in Seattle where they had Special Ops, Delta Force on the ground in '99 and they had actually hired and trained these 50 thugs to discredit the 50,000 peaceful protestors. Left and right coming together saying, wait the WTO is six years old, and is saying we have no authority and it's going to force genetically modified foods into out crops and our biosphere. This is a real environmental crisis, not the phony environmentalism we see the media pushing, it was just a property grab. And I have police, I have the news articles, I have the eye witnesses, I have the amateur video, I have little clips off the newscasts, where this was all on the news. But you didn't hear about it nationwide. Now here's the problem. They have now issued black uniforms federally and the black armor and the black armored vehicles with skulls painted on them or cobras, nationwide and have been training the military and the police for at least ten years. And now it's escalating. I talk to cops all the time. They pass me a brown paper envelope with the info. They are telling them massive unrest for the next few years, massive economic problems, and so it looks like they are gearing up to do that here, Greg Palast, from the BBC.

GP: Well, you know, I'm lucky because I haven't come across that yet. I mean I can look at Venezuela, Ecuador, and Argentina. Where I have been concentrating in the States is that rip-off in the California market by Enron, Southern Company, Reliant Corporation. I just found out from Dutch News Service that Reliant keeps a big giant file on me. They have even created like this weird fantasy sex life of mine, that they pass around these ugly files on me. I mean this is the type of crap that these guys are up to.

AJ: How does Siemens fit into this?

GP: Well, you know, there, once again, they are supplying these big power operations. I know less about them. What these guys did in California. They ran a fixed casino. So I'll give you one example, Enron, they set up a supposedly free market where power was bid to sell into California. Enron bid 500 megawatts of power to put over a power line that could only handle 15 megawatts of power. Now, that sounds technical. But what it means is that you are putting in so much more power at the power line that it would vaporize the line. So once the California authorities realized what Enron was about to do, that the line would vaporize, of course, they couldn't do that. And now Southern California was about to go black - a complete disaster. So they said OK we can't use that power from Enron at that point from Southern California on those lines. So now we will pay anything, absolutely anything, the price of power in one hour shot up like 10,000%. Some huge amount. And who was there to sell them that power at that huge amount? Enron. From the other points in the system. So, as Enron pulls the market and basically seizes the light switch, jerks the market and then collects the money. Now the federal government basically figured out this one trick of theirs and so did the California authorities. And Enron's response to that was to go to George W. Bush and tell him to remove the regulator that caught them.

AJ: Yeah, Dick Cheney's little energy fixing commission.

GP: Right, exactly, and so what happened was that they, so what they did was, since the cops caught them, they said well remove the cop. And that's the type of power that these characters had. And if you think that we are now in the clear because Enron has imploded...

AJ: That's just a dummy corporation, they roll it over to the others ones.

GP: Yeah, you've got it. You still have, remember the guy with the exploding plane - Southern Company? They are still right in there. They are just about the biggest. And they have taken Enron's place. They are just about the biggest contribution, soft money contributor to the election campaign. Now, before all they do is switch over their soft money to what they call hard money bundle. And so it is really not going to change.

AJ: It's unbelievable, but the last time we spoke you said that the globalist New World Order running the system were eyeing the big industrialized countries, that they basically had done most of their feeding even the smaller gazelles in the

third World.

GP: Yes, and that's one of the problems. Certainly, in Europe, inside the World Trade Organization, about setting up these trade tribunals which would impose what they called the necessity test. You read the book, by the way I don't want people to think that the book is completely grim. I mean one thing that I try to do, even if the information is grim, is to at least throw in a little bit of dark humor about it because otherwise, it would just be hard slogging. So I try to keep it a bit light with the heavy information. But you read about the documents and it's kind of interesting in its own weird, sick manner. But you know, by the way I should give you warning, if you go to the World Trade Organization website, you are going to see a Greg Palast page where they spend a page of their website attacking me.

AJ: Which shows that when you are over the target, you are going to begetting some flack.

GP: Yeah, but they are telling you about their point of view. I'm happy to have them put forth their view.

AJ: Yeah, the IMF and World Bank are really loving individuals. Though they have got a problem, their chief economist, Nobel Prize winner, has now gone public against them.

GP: Yeah, I mean they've got a renegade out there, so that's a real problem for them.

AJ: All right, let's talk about that CIA asset, Osama bin Laden and Bush signing W199I and ordering defense intel, CIA and FBI not to stop him. What does Bush say about that at the UN?

Audio clip of Bush at UN: "Let us never tolerate outrageous conspiracy theories concerning the attacks of September 11th. Malicious lies that attempt to shift the blame away from the terrorists themselves, away from the guilty."

AJ: And what does Mr. CFR, Mr. Globalist at the CFR meeting right after the attack, Gary Hart say about the using the attack like Pearl Harbor for more control, for that New World Order.

Audio clip of Gary Hart: " There is a chance that the President of the United States can use this disaster to carry out what his father, a phrase his father used, I think only once, and hasn't been used since and that is a New World Order."

AJ: A New World Order. Greg Palast, again months before the attack, the Wall Street Journal told Poppy Bush, the nickname for Daddy Bush, to get out bed with the bin Ladens.

GP: Yes, they are together in an organization, the bin Laden family is a funder of what is probably now America's biggest defense firm, Carlyle Group. And they still have Poppy Bush on their payroll as their advisor to the Saudi Arabians and to Asia. And then, George W. who was on the payroll of the company, he was paid to give his business acumen on the board of one of the subsidiaries called ConAir. I don't know how good his acumen was because ConAir kind of sank below the waves financially. But our current president did collect a check for his advise to Carlyle.

AJ: It goes way back to the Bush family in business with them, with airfields in Texas in the '70s. I mean this thing never ends.

GP: Keep in mind, is that the line of the Bush family is that the bin Laden family is really a bunch of wonderful guys except for the one black sheep Osama. Now, the information BBC television received is literally, we did receive a document from the FBI agents through sources.

AJ: And that's been confirmed on this show by David Schippers, the guy that impeached Bill Clinton and my other defense intel sources. We were reporting this day one, before the attack we were hearing that bin Laden was about to attack New York and the government wouldn't stop it. I broke this July 25th. Again confirming this even further.

GP: So, what is happening is that the agents knowing that they were trying to investigate Abdullah and Omar bin Laden. There are 52 brother and sisters, several cousins, etc. This is a multi-billionaire family, the estimated personal worth of the bin Ladens is twelve billion dollars, which of course is money they got from us at the gas pump.

AJ: Now why did, again this has come out, I have the old articles from '96 and '97, Taliban in Texas, the big buddies....

GP: (cross talk) in Texas, with Unocal. They ought to be quite a scene.

AJ: So did the Sudanese.

GP: Yeah, the Sudanese also made an offer. What happened was the Clinton administration gave the guy his diplomatic, gave him political asylum, changed his name and sent him off saying you're safe and what about the documents, don't you want the documents? They said no. Well, the FBI was told, the FBI has actually come to the office where the documents were and would not take a look at them. They were told they could not look. Now this was evidence of crimes, evidence of terror and they were told not to look, do not look at the...

AJ: And you saw what happened on September 11th and Bush has got a 97% approval rating and is our savior, we now have a homeland security chief and we've got foreign aircraft patrolling the skies. I mean you talk about a pretext for control, the same thing as problem, reaction, solution. Just like what they call the IMF riots, they've engineered this and it's scary. Greg Palast, BBC, Guardian Observer as well. The new book, The Best Democracy Money Can Buy. Greg, thanks for joining us. You take care.

The Alex Jones Show Airs Live Twice a Day Mon-Fri from 11AM-2PM and from 9PM to Midnight (US Central Time).

[The Economic Colonization of Iraq](#)

Some of the reasons for the Colonial Invasion of Iraq were given previously. Now, more from "The Economic Colonization

of Iraq: Illegal and Immoral by Antonia Juhasz at International Forum on Globalization Testimony to the World Tribunal on Iraq New York, NY May 8, 2004". The Bush Administration is using the military invasion and occupation of Iraq to advance a corporate globalization agenda that is illegal under international law, has not been chosen by the Iraqi people and may ultimately prove to be even more devastating than twelve years of economic sanctions, two U.S.-led wars and one occupation. The Administration's ultimate goal is to take the agenda to the entire region. In direct conflict with its obligations under international law, the Bush Administration is fundamentally altering Iraq's economic laws to U.S. corporate advantage and is not adequately restoring and providing Iraqis with fundamental necessities such as water and electricity. Fortunately, clear alternatives exist to ensure that the U.S. adheres to its obligations and that Iraq's reconstruction is achieved. These policies are provided at the end of this testimony (as for Afghanistan, it's about controlling China, energy pipelines and reviving the multi-billion dollar drug trade which the Taliban had eradicated). The goal of the Bush Administration, as stated in the economic orders already enacted in Iraq is to, "transition [Iraq] from a ... centrally planned economy to a market economy." This goal is explained even more clearly by BearingPoint, Inc. , the Virginia based corporation that has received the \$250 million contract to facilitate this transition. The contract states: "It should be clearly understood that the efforts undertaken will be designed to establish the basic legal framework for a functioning market economy; taking appropriate advantage of the unique opportunity for rapid progress in this area presented by the current configuration of political circumstances... Reforms are envisioned in the areas of fiscal reform, financial sector reform, trade, legal and regulatory, and privatization."

Transformation of an occupied country's fundamental laws is illegal under international law. It directly violates the international convention governing the behavior of occupying forces, the Hague regulations of 1907 (the companion to the 1949 Geneva conventions, both ratified by the United States), as well as the U.S. Army's own code of war , as stated in the Army field manual "The Law of Land Warfare." Article 43 of the Hague Regulations requires that an occupying power "re-establish and insure, as far as possible, public order and safety, while respecting, unless absolutely prevented, the laws in force in the country." Resolution 1483 of the UN Security Council issued in May 2003, specifically instructs the occupying powers to follow the Hague Regulations and the Geneva Convention in Iraq. Indeed, in a leaked memo written on March 26, 2003, the British attorney general, Lord Goldsmith, warned Tony Blair that "the imposition of major structural economic reforms would not be authorized by international law." In other words, the occupying power is like a temporary guardian. It is supposed to restore order and protect the population but still apply the laws in place when it arrived. As Naomi Klein has written, "bombing something does not give you the right to sell it," yet this is precisely what the Bush Administration is doing.

Changing Iraq's Laws

In direct conflict with U.S. government obligations under international law, the Bush Administration has begun fundamentally altering the economic laws of Iraq. For example, the provision in Iraq's Constitution outlawing privatization of key state assets has been over-ridden, as has the law barring foreigners , other than citizens of Arab countries , from owning property or investing in Iraqi businesses. Both the tax code and the banking laws have been changed. Other changes outlined in the BearingPoint contract include the near elimination of the guaranteed food program and "reestablishing" property rights to agricultural land and housing. Iraqi law provides for subsidized housing, cheap energy and free food. The food program uses 300 government warehouses and more than 60,000 workers to deliver a billion pounds of groceries every month , a basket of rations guaranteed to every citizen, rich or poor. BearingPoint plans to phase out this program to all but the neediest Iraqis, while transitioning the agricultural sector to a market-based industrial model with an emphasis on export trade and luxury crops. BearingPoint explains that "now may be the time to look beyond traditional patterns and explore new market potential with new products such as high valued fruits and vegetables, flowers, seed export and other possibilities."

The exhaustively well-documented devastating impacts of export-led industrial agriculture , particularly based on luxury crops , on countries around the World as implemented by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, World Trade Organization (WTO), North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and other institutions and agreements, demonstrates the danger that these proposed changes pose to Iraq. Those who have been made landless, jobless and impoverished by them are increasingly raising their voices in opposition. One of the most dramatic demonstrations of which occurred at the most recent WTO ministerial meeting in Cancun, Mexico with the protest-suicide of South Korean farmer Lee Kyung Hae. Thus, it should not come as a surprise that conflicts over these same policies have led to the collapse of talks at both the WTO and the Free Trade Area of Americas in the last year alone. Clearly, there is no international consensus that such policies will aid Iraq's reconstruction. The Bush Administration's proposed changes for Iraqi law go even further, with a special focus on Iraq's oil. BearingPoint describes how current Iraqi commercial law is "woefully deficient in terms of establishing a market-friendly legal and regulatory environment for business formation and operation." Changes to those laws will therefore be necessary "to assure an appropriate legal and regulatory framework for major utilities such as gas, oil, water, and power." The contract includes every sector of the Iraqi economy, from public services, media, banking, investment, taxes, agriculture and the oil sector , implementing "private-sector involvement in strategic sectors, including privatization, asset sales, concessions, leases and management contracts, especially those in the oil and supporting industries." The Bremer Orders have changed Iraq's laws are being replaced and the BearingPoint contract implemented by L. Paul Bremer, Administrator of the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) in Iraq. The Bremer Orders most relevant to this discussion are detailed below.

Bremer Order #39: Foreign Investment

Bremer Order #39, enacted on September 19, 2003, has five key elements: (1) Privatization of state-owned enterprises; (2) 100% foreign ownership of businesses in all sectors except oil and mineral extraction, banks and insurance companies (the latter two are addressed in a separate order); (3) "national treatment" of foreign firms; (4) unrestricted, tax-free remittance of all funds associated with the investment, including, but not limited to, profits; and (5) 40 year ownership licenses which have the option of being renewed.

1. Privatization

The Order allows for privatization of all state-owned entities. It is difficult to overstate how fundamental a change this is to the Iraqi economy. As the preamble to the Order explains, it will move Iraq from a "centrally planned economy to a market economy" in one fell swoop by U.S. fiat. This will involve some 200 state-owned enterprises. Thus, everything from water services, electric utilities, schools, hospitals, television and newspapers, to prisons could be privatized under the Order. The water sector is already being "reconstructed" by the Bechtel Corporation of San Francisco, one of the top ten water privatization companies in the World. Bechtel is the second largest recipient of reconstruction dollars in Iraq after Halliburton, totaling nearly \$3 billion. Bechtel's contract includes the repair of Iraq's water, sewage and electricity systems, as well as many of its hospitals and schools.

Cliff Mumm, head of Bechtel's Iraq operation, told the San Francisco Chronicle that Iraq "has two rivers, it's fertile, it's sitting on an ocean of oil. Iraq ought to be a major player in the World. And we want to be working for them long term." Bechtel's track record does not bode well for the Iraqi people-in fact, the citizens of Bolivia have written a letter to the people of Iraq warning them of what to expect from Bechtel. A subsidiary of Bechtel privatized the water systems of Cochabamba, Bolivia and immediately sent prices sky-rocketing. Families earning a minimum wage of \$60 per month faced water bills of \$20 per month. The citizens rose in protest and at least one seventeen year-old boy lost his life to Bolivian troops sent into the streets to defend Bechtel's right to privatize with deadly force. Ultimately, the government relented and cancelled the contract. Bechtel has responded with a \$25 million lawsuit against Bolivia for lost profits. Not surprisingly, when Thomas Foley, former director of Private Sector Development for the CPA, announced a list of the first state enterprises to be sold off last fall which included cement and fertilizer plants, phosphate and sulfur mines, pharmaceutical factories, and the country's airline, there was immediate unrest. With anywhere from 50% - 70% of the workforce already unemployed, additional layoffs, which always follow on the heels of privatization, were unacceptable. Furthermore, those remaining workers who still have jobs only receive "emergency pay" mandated by the CPA, about half of what they made before the war, while prices have skyrocketed and the social safety net has been virtually eliminated. The CPA promised that the U.S. corporations doing the reconstruction would solve the unemployment problem, promising 300,000 jobs in an August 13, 2003 letter. Only a handful of these jobs have materialized. One reason is that many firms are bringing in non-Iraqis to do the bulk of the work.

Thus, privatization was met with stiff organized resistance. In response, Bremer was forced to put the immediate privatization plans on hold for the short-term. However, the long-term plans are clear. BearingPoint, the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) and others both in or contracted by the U.S. government will implement the majority of the economic policies with the new Iraqi government. Therefore, implementation can wait until the friction over how that government is created is resolved. Furthermore, the process of preparing for privatization has not slowed, while the emphasis on privatization is already rearing its head in Iraq. For example:

- On April 25, 2004, Iraq's minister of public works told The Independent that Iraq was considering privatizing its water industry to "fund essential works." While the U.S. government is obligated to ensure that water is provided to the people of Iraq, it is telling that the Minister did not discuss going to the CPA to demand restoration of water services nor to Bechtel to demand that it fulfill the requirements of its contract. Rather, she speaks immediately of privatization.
- Meetings among global corporations to discuss privatization and investment in Iraq have been taking place unabated at least since the invasion. For example, in February, the U.S. Commerce Department held a "Doing Business in Iraq" conference attended by some five hundred U.S. companies including Boeing, Caterpillar, DaimlerChrysler, Microsoft, IBM, Motorola, Bechtel and Fluor. This conference took place immediately following vocal criticism by the Iraqi Governing Council's top representative in Washington that the U.S. was passing over Iraqi firms in awarding reconstruction contracts. The latest of these meetings took place just over a week ago in London. Called "Iraq Procurement 2004, Meet the Buyer", it was sponsored by ExxonMobil, Oxy, Volvo, Shell, Raytheon and ChevronTexaco, among others. You can visit web sites like www.export.gov/Iraq or <http://www.iraqprocurement.com/> to learn more and similar meetings taking place monthly around the World.
- The CPA's continued interest in luring the private sector to Iraq is evidenced by the fact that it recently named a new director of Private Sector Development. He is Ari Fleischer's brother, Michael.
- Most importantly, it is abundantly clear from BearingPoint's contract that full implementation is intended to take place after the new Iraqi government is in place, not in the few months remaining before the "hand-over." The contract is for three years with the option of renewal. They are not going anywhere. Of course, the contract specifically states that while there is a commitment to place "Iraq's leaders and stakeholders in the driver's seat" their input on policies will only be used "as long as these are consistent with the overall objectives of the project and with USAID policies, regulations and guidelines."

- In regard to whether the Iraqis intend to change these U.S. imposed laws, Sinan Shabibi, the governor of Iraq's central bank, told an investors services roundtable in Washington recently that the international financial community need not fear Bremer's banking laws will be abolished after the hand-over of sovereignty on June 30 because, "It is unreasonable to enact an economic strategy and then abolish it within two month." Thus, U.S. pressure is already clearly being felt in Iraq and on its leaders.

2. 100% foreign ownership

All of Iraq's businesses can be completely owned, run and employed by non-Iraqis. Iraq, like many countries, particularly developing countries, had a ban on foreign ownership (many require partnerships with local companies as well) in order to ensure local retention of revenue, employment and other financial gains. Order #39 states that Iraq cannot restrict access to foreign owners to any sector of the economy except resource extraction.

Thus, even Iraq's media could be completely owned by U.S. companies. The first step towards U.S. ownership may have come with the awarding of a \$90 million contract to Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) of San Diego, CA, to "restore broadcast media to uncensored operation." According to the Center for Public Integrity (CPI), SAIC will be rebuilding Iraq's mass media, including television stations, radio stations and newspapers, in a program called the Iraqi Media Network. However, not much more is known because the Pentagon has steadfastly refused to release any specific information about the contract. What little information that has leaked out has come mainly from disgruntled employees and press freedom advocates, who have alleged military censorship, cronyism and significant mishandling of the work. In just one example, SAIC used the U.S. government-run Voice of America to patch together nightly news shows made up entirely of dubbed stories from U.S. television network news shows. Concerns over foreign ownership go farther. Iraq is home to the most extensive river system in the Middle East, including the Tigris and Euphrates rivers and the Greater and Lesser Zab rivers. As Stephen C. Pelletiere, a former CIA senior political analyst on Iraq, wrote in the New York Times, "America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades, not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water. Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies." The military invasion of Iraq has put Bechtel in the position to become one of these companies.

3. National Treatment

Order #39 states that "A foreign investor shall be entitled to make foreign investments in Iraq on terms no less favorable than those applicable to an Iraqi investor." National treatment makes it impossible to require that Iraqis be given preferential treatment (over foreigners) as investors, owners, contractors or employees. Thus, foreign companies can do all of the reconstruction, own every business, do all of the work and not a single Iraqi need to be employed or involved in the process whatsoever.

This is a particularly troublesome provision given reports of bloated U.S. corporate budgets. For example, Time magazine recently reported that an American firm was awarded a \$15 million contract to build a cement factory in Iraq (using U.S. taxpayer dollars). When the firm was prevented from doing the work, an Iraqi businessman (using Saddam's confiscated funds) spent just \$80,000 to build the same factory. National treatment is also a powerful tool used by companies to circumvent domestic regulations on the environment, public health and worker and consumer safety. Virtually every challenge brought to such laws under the investment chapter of the NAFTA include claims that the government violated national treatment. For example, national treatment was one of the tools used successfully by the Virginia-based Ethyl Corporation to force the government of Canada to reverse its ban on the gasoline additive MMT, a ground water pollutant also believed to be a human carcinogen. Ethyl sued and Canada settled: reversing its ban, paying Ethyl \$13 million in compensation for its "trouble," and writing a letter of apology. Given corporate success in challenging such laws in Canada, the United States, and Mexico, it is likely that Iraq's environmental, health, and public interest laws, or those that any new government may wish to enact, will be severely at risk. The impact of this one provision alone is devastating and has facilitated the Bush Administration's failure to meet its obligations under international law to provide for the basic necessities of Iraq.

Failure to Meet International Obligations to Provide for Iraqi Basic Services

Water

The Washington Post tells the story of Al-Ani, a PhD civil engineer with 40 years experience who is one of the top experts in water treatment in Iraq. He is an employee of the General Co. for Water Projects, one of the 200-odd ventures in Iraq that are owned wholly or in part by the state and have been told they are ineligible for contracts being issued by the occupation. The company's 187 workers still collect their government salaries but they now spend their days playing video games, reading books and chitchatting to pass the time. This story is repeated over and over again across Iraq. Qualified, experienced and interested Iraqi engineers and workers sitting idle while U.S. corporations blunder about Iraq at the expense of Iraqi health and U.S. tax-payer money. Bechtel has the contract that could have gone to General Co. Rather than hire or talk to Al-Ani or his numerous colleagues, Bechtel employees spent their first months in Iraq touring the country doing an assessment of the water and electrical systems only to discover that the systems were in much worse condition and more complicated than they had originally assumed. This explains why Bechtel is not living up to the

conditions of their contract for water and electricity provision and why the U.S. government doesn't care. According to the San Francisco Chronicle, USAID, which oversees Bechtel's contract, has "reduced expectations of what could be fixed, how long repairs would take and how much money would be required." Good for Bechtel, but too bad for the people of Iraq who are virtually without electricity or water and are living in sewage-filled streets. According to USAID's own reports, one year after the invasion "Baghdad's three sewage treatment plants, which together comprise three-quarters of the nation's sewage treatment capacity, are inoperable, allowing the waste from 3.8 million people to flow untreated directly into the Tigris River. In the rest of the country, most sewage treatment plants were only partially operational prior to the conflict, and shortage of electricity, parts and chemicals have exacerbated the situation. Water that is pumped through the system is largely untreated, especially in South." The most extensive on-the-ground assessment of Iraq's water systems by Dahr Jamail for the consumer watchdog group Public Citizen reached the same conclusion. Drinking water throughout the country is in a crisis state, with some villages having no access to water while larger cities receive water approximately 50% of the time. This has led to vast outbreaks of cholera, diarrhea, nausea and kidney stones, among other diseases.

Electricity

The same condition exists for Iraq's electricity, reconstruction of which is also Bechtel's responsibility. According to a memo by an anonymous U.S. government official written to the CPA in early March 2004, there is "no consistency" in power flows in Iraq. "Street lights function irregularly and traffic lights not at all" "Electricity in Baghdad fluctuating between three hours, on and off, in rotation, and four hours on and off." U.S. Airforce Colonel Sam Gardiner, author of a 2002 study of the likely effect US bombardment would have on Iraq's power system, recently told the Village Voice, "I continue to get very upset about the electricity issue... Frankly, if we had just given the Iraqis some baling wire and a little bit of space to keep things running, it would have been better. But instead we've let big US companies go in with plans for major overhauls." Thus, while Bechtel reports that they have "returned electricity generation to pre-conflict levels," this claim is not supported by the U.S. government or Iraqis themselves. Power outages lasting for 24 hours a day are still more often the rule than the exception. The Daura power plant, Baghdad's largest, which should supply one third of the city's generating capacity was producing only 10% as recently as December. Helmut Doll, the German site manager for Babcock Power, as subcontractor of Siemens, told Newsweek that "Bechtel only came and took photos. We can't judge Bechtel's work progress because they're not here." The same story is repeated across Iraq. Either they haven't seen Bechtel, or the work that has been provided is inadequate and intermittent. Bechtel representatives have admitted as much, citing the constant sabotage of their work and their ill-preparedness going in, commenting that they did not realize how intertwined the electricity, water and sewage systems were, greatly complicating their repair efforts. Of course, the Iraqis knew this and could have told them, if they had asked. Iraqis point out that after the first Gulf War, they were able to restore electricity in just three months. Mohsen Hassan, technical director for power generation at the Iraqi ministry of electricity, told Southern Exposure Magazine, "We, the Iraqi engineers, can repair anything, but we need money and spare parts and so far Bechtel has provided us with neither. The only thing that the company has given us so far is promises."

Schools and Hospitals

Bechtel has also failed in its contractual obligations to restore hospitals and schools in Iraq. Bechtel repeatedly cites the 1595 schools it has "rehabilitated" in Iraq. However, this is less than a fifth of Iraq's 10,000 schools. And, as Newsweek reported, "many of the rehabilitated schools don't look ready for the morning bell." The constant complaint from Iraqi Ministry of Education officials and headmistresses and ministers of schools that Bechtel has worked on, is that the work is either non-existent or shoddy, often putting students health and safety at risk. An internal study by U.S. Army personnel cited in Southern Exposure, strongly criticized Bechtel's attempts to renovate Iraqi schools. Comments such as the following were common: "the new fans are cheap and burned out immediately upon use. All inspected were already broke." "Lousy paint job. Major clean-up work required. Bathrooms in poor condition." Southern Exposure visited four Baghdad schools all listed as renovated by Bechtel. They found rain leaking through ceilings, shorting out power, new paint peeling and floors that had not been completely repaired. New brass taps and doors painted, but toilets and sinks that had not been touched. At Hawa School, for example, the headmistress showed the authors toilets where a new water system had been installed, pipes, taps and a motor to pump the water. However, the motor didn't work, so the toilets reeked with unflushed sewage. The conditions reported in Bechtel hospitals are similar, shoddy or non-existent work accompanied with desperate and unmet human needs. The Bush Administration is not even living up to its own requirements under national treatment because it is treating foreign providers differently. The U.S. has banned countries that did not participate in the invasion for profiting off of the invasion. Thus, in many cases, repairs that could be performed quickly to the water and electrical systems are left undone because they require parts from countries such as Russia, Germany or France.

4. Unrestricted Repatriation of Profits

Order #39 authorizes foreign investors to "transfer abroad without delay all funds associated with [their] investment." Thus, they can put their money wherever they like and take it out whenever they want to, "without delay." Nothing need be reinvested locally to service the floundering Iraqi economy. Nothing need be targeted to help specifically damaged regions, communities or services. All the money can go home with the foreign owners and they can take out their investments at any time. U.S. corporations are already reaping staggering revenues from their Iraqi operations. However, due to Order #39, not a cent of this money need be reinvested in Iraq. Halliburton, with contracts worth as much as

\$16.8 billion in Iraq has seen its revenues increase 80% in the first quarter of 2004 compared to the same quarter of 2003. According to the Financial Times, they are receiving steep "profits from their Iraq operations." Bechtel, with nearly \$3 billion in Iraqi contracts, has seen their non-U.S.-generated revenues increase by a whopping 158% since last year, turning around a three-year slump. Bechtel is not publicly traded and therefore does not have to reveal profits. However, both Bechtel and Halliburton have cost-plus contracts that guarantee a specified rate of profit on their work. ChevronTexaco which has a comparatively minor contract for transporting Iraqi oil has also seen revenues soar. It is important to note that neither Halliburton nor Bechtel participated in the most recent round of Iraq reconstruction contract bids. A good guess as to why would be the constant barrage of public criticism they have faced over the failures in Iraq. These are just three of the hundreds of U.S. companies now operating in Iraq, all of their money could return to the U.S., none of it need be used to benefit Iraq whatsoever.

Unfortunately, we don't really know how much money the Iraqis are missing out on, nor exactly what work is being done nor by whom. This is because, as the Center for Public Integrity, the organization which has done the most extensive Freedom of Information Act requests and investigations into these contracts, so aptly states, "it [does] not appear that any one government agency [knows] that total number of contractors or what they are doing." This finding has since been upheld by both the General Accounting Office and the Pentagon's inspector-general - both of which have recently concluded studies demonstrating little or no government oversight over contractors and contracts being granted, renewed and increased with virtually no inspection of written documents nor work performed. Finally, returning to repatriation of profits, the potential long-term impact of this provision for the Iraqi economy is monumental, as evidenced by the impact of the same rules on the "financial tigers" of East Asia, as well as Argentina and Russia. Each of these countries experienced devastating financial collapse when foreign investors simultaneously withdrew billions of dollars from their economies while the governments were powerless to enact restrictions on either the inward or outward flow of investments. Iraq is now poised to meet the same fate.

5. 40-year leases

Under Order #39 Iraq will be locked in to its contracts under these rules for 40 years, with an option of unlimited renewal. If the contracts are broken, the Order gives the companies the legal authority to enact any international trade agreement of which both countries are party. If the Bush Administration is successful in implementing its trade goals outlined below, the U.S. will have a Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) with Iraq. The BIT provides access to courts such as the World Bank's International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), a venue notorious for its undemocratic, untransparent and unjust proceedings and rulings on behalf of multinational corporations.

Bremer Order #40: Banking

Order #40 fundamentally alters Iraq's banking structure by turning this sector from a state-run to a market-driven system over night by allowing foreign banks to enter the Iraqi market and to purchase up to 50 percent of an Iraqi bank. Specifically, it permits six foreign banks over the next five years the right to enter the Iraqi market. A similar provision included in NAFTA paved the way for Citigroup to purchase Mexico's largest commercial bank, Banamex. In Aotearoa/New Zealand, liberalization of financial banking services left every one of the nation's banks, including the bank of New Zealand, under foreign control. Affordable financial services and low-cost loans quickly dried up, so much so that the government proposed setting up a new bank, the People's Bank, to be owned and operated by the government itself in order to redress the inequities of the foreign-owned banks. Local ownership of banks is critical because it facilitates access to credit for all sectors of society. It may deter disloyal behavior; foreign finance companies are much more likely to flee in times of crisis. And ensuring that a foreign company holds some domestic assets within the country in which it is operating can help ensure it can satisfy any legal liabilities it might accrue. Moreover, Iraq simply does not have adequate regulatory structures in place to handle the economic power and marketing prowess of global financial companies. For example, Iraq does not have a counter-part to U.S. laws such as the Community Reinvestment Act -- obligating banks to make credit available in lower-income neighborhoods -- and the Truth in Lending Act -- requiring full disclosure to consumers of the cost of loans. Finally, with the banks under foreign ownership, the lobby against adoption of such rules may be too strong to fight. JPMorgan, the second-largest bank in the U.S., which was implicated in the Enron scandal, has been awarded a contract to run a consortium of 13 banks from 13 countries that will constitute the Trade Bank of Iraq. The Trade Bank may be just the point of entry for JPMorgan, giving it "first dibs" on the full privatization yet to come.

Bremer Order #37: Taxes

Order #37 changes Iraq's tax law by implementing a flat tax that provides for a marginal income tax rate of 15% for both corporations and individuals. Thus, an Iraqi earning .50 cents per hour will pay the same tax rate as another earning \$1 billion an hour. Flat rates have a record of reducing the tax burden on the poorest in the economy, increasing the burden on the middle class tremendously, and drastically reducing the taxes paid by the wealthiest in society, particularly corporations. As the Washington Post reports, "it took L. Paul Bremer, the U.S. administrator in Baghdad, no more than a stroke of the pen Sept. 15 to accomplish what eluded the likes of publisher Steve Forbes, Reps. Jack Kemp (R-N.Y.) and Richard K. Armey (R-Tex.), and Sen. Phil Gramm (R-Tex.) over the course of a decade and two presidential campaigns."

Bremer Order #12: Trade Liberalization

On June 12, Bremer signed the "Trade Liberalization Policy," suspending "all tariffs, customs duties, import taxes, licensing fees and similar surcharges for goods entering or leaving Iraq, and all other trade restrictions that may apply to such goods." This led to an immediate and dramatic inflow of cheap consumer products, which has essentially wiped out all local providers of the same products. This could have significant long-term implications for domestic production as well. But tariff elimination is just the beginning. In early February 2004, BearingPoint was right on schedule when the Bush Administration achieved WTO observer status for Iraq, even without a government, over the strong objections of many of our European allies. This is the first step towards WTO membership, which also requires the fundamental transformation of Iraq's laws to bring them in to WTO-compliance. The longer-term goal was announced by President Bush just two months after the invasion of Iraq. On May 9, 2003, President Bush announced plans for an U.S.-Middle East Free Trade Area (MEFTA) by 2013, bringing all of the policies outlined above, and more, to the entire region. The Middle East, insulated by oil revenue, has historically been less susceptible than other regions to the extreme sacrifices required by governments under corporate free trade agreements. But with the invasion and occupation of Iraq, the Bush Administration demonstrated that it would defy global public opinion and the United Nations to use military force when and where it deems necessary. Thus, it can now return to the more traditional model of advancing corporate globalization, the free trade agreement. As George Wolfe, director of Economic Policy for the CPA told the New York Times, "in the long run, the United States hopes that Iraq will become an economic model for the Middle East." Or, put more bluntly by Neil King of the Wall Street Journal, "For many conservatives, Iraq is now the test case for whether the U.S. can engender American-style free-market capitalism within the Arab World."

How to Bring the U.S. in to Accord with International Law and Morality

It is illegal and immoral for the Bush Administration to use the military invasion and occupation of Iraq to fundamentally alter that nation's basic laws. It is also illegal and immoral for the Bush Administration to continue to ignore its obligation under international law to provide for the basic necessities of Iraqis. The first step needed to bring the U.S. in to accord with international law and morality is to repeal the Bremer Orders detailed above. The second step is to allow detailed public scrutiny of the BearingPoint plan in both Iraq and the U.S. Most of it should be repealed. At most, it should provide only for the short-term economic necessities required of the U.S. under international law to restore Iraq's basic infrastructure and services and to ensure that the economy does not collapse during reconstruction. Once the Iraqi government is elected, it is the Iraqis themselves who must determine their long-term economic future, not the U.S. In the short-term, the following alternatives drawn from more detailed analysis provided by International Occupation Watch Center in Baghdad, the Institute for Policy Studies in Washington, DC and the International Forum on Globalization (<http://www.occupationwatch.org/>, <http://www.ips-dc.org/>, <http://www.ifg.org/>, are offered to help restore the Iraqi economy to a functioning position.

- The military occupation of Iraq must end.
- Iraq's foreign debts, accrued by Hussein in the suppression of the people of Iraq, must be forgiven.
- Only with the end of the U.S.-UK occupation should the United Nations, including an UN-commanded multilateral peacekeeping force, return to Iraq. Their mandate should be for a very short and defined period, with the goal of assisting Iraq in reconstruction and overseeing election of a governing authority.
- As belligerent powers who initiated the war, and as occupying powers, the U.S. and the UK are obligated to provide for the humanitarian needs of the Iraqi people and to pay the continuing costs of Iraq's reconstruction, including the bulk of the cost of UN humanitarian and peacekeeping deployments. Washington should reverse the spending priorities of its \$87 billion request from Congress, and turn over to full UN authority (on behalf of the Iraqi people as a whole, not simply given to the U.S.-appointed Council) a starting grant of at least \$75 billion (the initial amount Washington spent on waging the war) for reconstruction in Iraq.
- The \$15 billion (out of the \$87 billion) requested by the Bush administration for Iraqi reconstruction is insufficient to meet Washington's obligations under international law. The \$65 billion scheduled for the Pentagon to continue the occupation of Iraq should be challenged. The additional reconstruction funds should not come from ordinary taxpayers. They should be raised from (a) an excess profits tax on corporations benefiting from the war and post-war privatization in Iraq; and (b) the Pentagon budget lines currently directed at continuing war in Iraq.
- Reconstruction of Iraq should be based on rebuilding the economy to maximize fulfilling the needs of the Iraqi people. All contract processes should be completely transparent and accessible to Iraqis. The awarding of contracts should be done with preference given first to Iraqi companies, experts and workers. Preference should then be given to international humanitarian organizations with a record of performing similar reconstruction work. If a non-Iraqi private company must be used, the contract must be open to global competition and the profit margin must be held as low as possible at a fixed fee. Oversight must be transparent, public and thorough.
- Labor laws should ensure protection and security for local workers.
- A broad U.S. Federal Government investigation must be launched to scrutinize U.S. corporate expenditures and actions in Iraq, with the power to impose or seek punitive measures for contract violations and over-expenditure, and to provide oversight, regulation and accountability of U.S. contractor's work in the application of their contracts. The citizens of Iraq and the U.S. Congress and public should be informed of the findings.

- Iraq should be allowed to join the Worldwide movement for local sustainability by moving away from export oriented economics that make trade and multinational corporations the basis of economic development. Government spending, taxes, subsidies, tariff structures, etc. should be reoriented to support local environmentally sustainable production that meets local needs (these ideas are expanded upon in the IFG publication, Alternatives to Economic Globalization)

The Multibillion Robbery The US Calls Reconstruction & The shameless corporate feeding frenzy in Iraq is fuelling the resistance <http://www.guardian.co.uk/Iraq/Story/0,2763,1247867,00.html>

Naomi Klein, June 26, 2004, The Guardian, UK

The Program Management Office, which oversees the \$18.4bn in US reconstruction funds, has finally set a goal it can meet. Sure, electricity is below pre-war levels, the streets are rivers of sewage and more Iraqis have been fired than hired. But now the PMO has contracted the British mercenary firm Aegis to protect its employees from "assassination, kidnapping, injury and" - get this - "embarrassment". I don't know if Aegis will succeed in protecting PMO employees from violent attack, but embarrassment? I'd say mission already accomplished. The people in charge of rebuilding Iraq can't be embarrassed, because, clearly, they have no shame.

Their god is G: gold O: oil D: drugs

In the run-up to **the June 30 underhand (sorry, I can't bring myself to call it a "handover")**, US occupation powers have been unabashed in their efforts to steal money that is supposed to aid a war-ravaged people. The state department has taken \$184m earmarked for drinking water projects and moved it to the budget for the lavish new US embassy in Saddam Hussein's former palace. Short of \$1bn for the embassy, Richard Armitage, the deputy secretary of state, said he might have to "rob from Peter in my fiefdom to pay Paul". In fact, he is robbing Iraq's people, who, according to a recent study by the consumer group Public Citizen, are facing "massive outbreaks of cholera, diarrhoea, nausea and kidney stones" from drinking contaminated water. If the occupation chief Paul Bremer and his staff were capable of embarrassment, they might be a little sheepish about having spent only \$3.2bn of the \$18.4bn Congress allotted - the reason the reconstruction is so disastrously behind schedule. At first, Bremer said the money would be spent by the time Iraq was sovereign, but apparently someone had a better idea: parcel it out over five years so Ambassador John Negroponte can use it as leverage. With \$15bn outstanding, how likely are Iraq's politicians to refuse US demands for military bases and economic "reforms"? Unwilling to let go of their own money, the shameless ones have had no qualms about dipping into funds belonging to Iraqis. After losing the fight to keep control of Iraq's oil money after the underhand, occupation authorities grabbed \$2.5bn of those revenues and are now spending the money on projects that are supposedly already covered by American tax dollars.

But then, if financial scandals made you blush, the entire reconstruction of Iraq would be pretty mortifying. From the start, its architects rejected the idea that it should be a New Deal-style public works project for Iraqis to reclaim their country. Instead, it was treated as an ideological experiment in privatisation. The dream was for multinational firms, mostly from the US, to swoop in and dazzle the Iraqis with their speed and efficiency. Iraqis saw something else: desperately needed jobs going to Americans, Europeans and south Asians; roads crowded with trucks shipping in supplies produced in foreign plants, while Iraqi factories were not even supplied with emergency generators. As a result, the reconstruction was seen not as a recovery from war but as an extension of the occupation, a foreign invasion of a different sort. And so, as the resistance grew, the reconstruction itself became a prime target. The contractors have responded by behaving even more like an invading army, building elaborate fortresses in the green zone - the walled-in city within a city that houses the occupation authority in Baghdad - and surrounding themselves with mercenaries. And being hated is expensive. According to



the latest estimates, security costs are eating up 25% of reconstruction contracts - money not being spent on hospitals, water-treatment plants or telephone exchanges.

Meanwhile, insurance brokers selling sudden-death policies to contractors in Iraq have doubled their premiums, with insurance costs reaching 30% of payroll. That means many companies are spending half their budgets arming and insuring themselves against the people they are supposedly in Iraq to help. And, according to Charles Adwan of Transparency International, quoted on US National Public Radio's Marketplace programme, "at least 20% of US spending in Iraq is lost to corruption". How much is actually left over for reconstruction? Don't do the maths. Rather than models of speed and efficiency, the contractors look more like overcharging, underperforming, lumbering beasts, barely able to move for fear of the hatred they have helped generate. The problem goes well beyond the latest reports of Halliburton drivers abandoning \$85,000 trucks on the road because they don't carry spare tyres. Private contractors are also accused

of playing leadership roles in the torture of prisoners at Abu Ghraib. A landmark class-action lawsuit filed by the Centre for Constitutional Rights alleges that Titan Corporation and CACI International conspired to "humiliate, torture and abuse persons" in order to increase demand for their "interrogation services". And then there's Aegis, the company being paid \$293m to save the PMO from embarrassment. It turns out that Aegis's CEO, Tim Spicer, has a bit of an embarrassing past himself. In the 90s, he helped to put down rebels and stage a military coup in Papua New Guinea, as well as hatching a plan to break an arms embargo in Sierra Leone. If Iraq's occupiers were capable of feeling shame, they might have responded by imposing tough new regulations. Instead, Senate Republicans have just defeated an attempt to bar private contractors from interrogating prisoners and also voted down a proposal to impose stiffer penalties on contractors who overcharge. Meanwhile, the White House is also trying to get immunity from prosecution for US contractors in Iraq and has requested the exemption from the new prime minister, Iyad Allawi. It seems likely that Allawi will agree, since he is, after all, a kind of US contractor himself. A former CIA spy, he is already threatening to declare martial law, while his defence minister says of resistance fighters: "We will cut off their hands, and we will behead them." In a final feat of outsourcing, Iraqi governance has been subcontracted to even more brutal surrogates. Is this embarrassing, after an invasion to overthrow a dictatorship? Not at all; this is what the occupiers call "sovereignty". The Aegis guys can relax - embarrassment is not going to be an issue. A version of this article first appeared in The Nation www.nologo.org

Arundhati Roy's Incredible Speech on US World Fascism (Sydney Peace Prize Nov 2004)

Today, it is not merely justice itself, but the idea of justice that is under attack. The assault on vulnerable, fragile sections of society is at once so complete, so cruel and so clever - all encompassing and yet specifically targeted, blatantly brutal and yet unbelievably insidious - that its sheer audacity has eroded our definition of justice. It has forced us to lower our sights, and curtail our expectations. Even among the well-intentioned, the expansive, magnificent concept of justice is gradually being substituted with the reduced, far more fragile discourse of 'human rights'. If you think about it, this is an alarming shift of paradigm. The difference is that notions of equality, of parity have been pried loose and eased out of the equation. It's a process of attrition. Almost unconsciously, we begin to think of justice for the rich and human rights for the poor. Justice for the corporate World, human rights for its victims. Justice for Americans, human rights for Afghans and Iraqis. Justice for the Indian upper castes, human rights for Dalits and Adivasis (if that.) Justice for white Australians, human rights for Aborigines and immigrants (most times, not even that.)

It is becoming more than clear that violating human rights is an inherent and necessary part of the process of implementing a coercive and unjust political and economic structure on the World. Without the violation of human rights on an enormous scale, the neo-liberal project would remain in the dreamy realm of policy. But increasingly Human Rights violations are being portrayed as the unfortunate, almost accidental fallout of an otherwise acceptable political and economic system. As though they're a small problem that can be mopped up with a little extra attention from some NGOs. This is why in areas of heightened conflict - in Kashmir and in Iraq for example - Human Rights Professionals are regarded with a degree of suspicion. Many resistance movements in poor countries which are fighting huge injustice and questioning the underlying principles of what constitutes "liberation" and "development", view Human Rights NGOs as modern day missionaries who've come to take the ugly edge off Imperialism. To defuse political anger and to maintain the status quo. It has been only a few weeks since a majority of Australians voted to re-elect Prime Minister John Howard who, among other things, led Australia to participate in the illegal invasion and occupation of Iraq. The invasion of Iraq will surely go down in history as one of the most cowardly wars ever fought. It was a war in which a band of rich nations, armed with enough nuclear weapons to destroy the World several times over, rounded on a poor nation, falsely accused it of having nuclear weapons, used the United Nations to force it to disarm, then invaded it, occupied it and are now in the process of selling it. I speak of Iraq, not because everybody is talking about it, (sadly at the cost of leaving other horrors in other places to unfurl in the dark), but because it is a sign of things to come. Iraq marks the beginning of a new cycle. It offers us an opportunity to watch the Corporate-Military cabal that has come to be known as 'Empire' at work. In the new Iraq the gloves are off. As the battle to control the World's resources intensifies, economic colonialism through formal military aggression is staging a comeback. Iraq is the logical culmination of the process of corporate globalization in which neo-colonialism and neo-liberalism have fused. If we can find it in ourselves to peep behind the curtain of blood, we would glimpse the pitiless transactions taking place backstage. But first, briefly, the stage itself.

In 1991 US President George Bush senior mounted Operation Desert Storm. Tens of thousands of Iraqis were killed in the war. Iraq's fields were bombed with more than 300 tonnes of depleted uranium, causing a fourfold increase in cancer among children. For more than 13 years, twenty four million Iraqi people have lived in a war zone and been denied food and medicine and clean water. In the frenzy around the US elections, let's remember that the levels of cruelty did not fluctuate whether the Democrats or the Republicans were in the White House. Half a million Iraqi children died because of the regime of economic sanctions in the run up to Operation Shock and Awe. Until recently, while there was a careful record of how many US soldiers had lost their lives, we had no idea of how many Iraqis had been killed. US General Tommy Franks said "We don't do body counts" (meaning Iraqi body counts). He could have added "We don't do the Geneva Convention either." A new, detailed study, fast-tracked by the Lancet medical journal and extensively peer reviewed, estimates that 100,000 Iraqis have lost their lives since the 2003 invasion. That's one hundred halls full of people - like this one. That's one hundred halls full of friends, parents, siblings, colleagues, lovers, like you. The difference is that there aren't many children here today--let's not forget Iraq's children. Technically that bloodbath is called precision bombing. In ordinary language, it's called butchering.

Most of this is common knowledge now. Those who support the invasion and vote for the invaders cannot take refuge in ignorance. They must truly believe that this epic brutality is right and just or, at the very least, acceptable because it's in

their interest. So the 'civilized' 'modern' World - built painstakingly on a legacy of genocide, slavery and colonialism - now controls most of the World's oil. And most of the World's weapons, most of the World's money, and most of the World's media. The embedded, corporate media in which the doctrine of Free Speech has been substituted by the doctrine of Free If You Agree Speech. The UN's Chief Weapons Inspector Hans Blix said he found no evidence of nuclear weapons in Iraq. Every scrap of evidence produced by the US and British governments was found to be false - whether it was reports of Saddam Hussein buying uranium from Niger, or the report produced by British Intelligence which was discovered to have been plagiarized from an old student dissertation. And yet, in the prelude to the war, day after day the most 'respectable' newspapers and TV channels in the US, headlined the 'evidence' of Iraq's arsenal of weapons of nuclear weapons. It now turns out that the source of the manufactured 'evidence' of Iraq's arsenal of nuclear weapons was Ahmed Chalabi who, (like General Suharto of Indonesia, General Pinochet of Chile, the Shah of Iran, the Taliban and of course, Saddam Hussein himself) - was bankrolled with millions of dollars from the good old CIA.

And so, a country was bombed into oblivion. It's true there have been some murmurs of apology. Sorry 'bout that folks, but we really have to move on. Fresh rumours are coming in about nuclear weapons in Iran and Syria. And guess who is reporting on these fresh rumours? The same reporters who ran the bogus 'scoops' on Iraq. The seriously embedded A Team. The head of Britain's BBC had to step down and one man committed suicide because a BBC reporter accused the Blair administration of 'sexing up' intelligence reports about Iraq's WMD programme. But the head of Britain retains his job even though his government did much more than 'sex up' intelligence reports. It is responsible for the illegal invasion of a country and the mass murder of its people. Visitors to Australia like myself, are expected to answer the following question when they fill in the visa form: Have you ever committed or been involved in the commission of war crimes or crimes against humanity or human rights? Would George Bush and Tony Blair get visas to Australia? Under the tenets of International Law they must surely qualify as war criminals. However, to imagine that the World would change if they were removed from office is naive. The tragedy is that their political rivals have no real dispute with their policies. The fire and brimstone of the US election campaign was about who would make a better 'Commander-in-Chief' and a more effective manager of the American Empire. Democracy no longer offers voters real choice. Only specious choice.

Even though no weapons of mass destruction have been found in Iraq - stunning new evidence has revealed that Saddam Hussein was planning a weapons programme. (Like I was planning to win an Olympic Gold in synchronized swimming.) Thank goodness for the doctrine of pre-emptive strike. God knows what other evil thoughts he harbored - sending Tampax in the mail to American senators, or releasing female rabbits in burqas into the London underground. No doubt all will be revealed in the free and fair trial of Saddam Hussein that's coming up soon in the New Iraq. All except the chapter in which we would learn of how the US and Britain plied him with money and material assistance at the time he was carrying out murderous attacks on Iraqi Kurds and Shias. All except the chapter in which we would learn that a 12,000 page report submitted by the Saddam Hussein government to the UN, was censored by the United States because it lists twenty-four US corporations that participated in Iraq's pre-Gulf War nuclear and conventional weapons programme. (They include Bechtel, DuPont, Eastman Kodak, Hewlett Packard, International Computer Systems and Unisys.) So Iraq has been 'liberated.' Its people have been subjugated and its markets have been 'freed'. That's the anthem of neo-liberalism. Free the markets. Screw the people.

The US government has privatized and sold entire sectors of Iraq's economy. Economic policies and tax laws have been re-written. Foreign companies can now buy 100% of Iraqi firms and expatriate the profits. This is an outright violation of international laws that govern an occupying force, and is among the main reasons for the stealthy, hurried charade in which power was 'handed over' to an 'interim Iraqi government'. Once handing over of Iraq to the Multi-nationals is complete, a mild dose of genuine democracy won't do any harm. In fact it might be good PR for the Corporate version of Liberation Theology, otherwise known as New Democracy. Not surprisingly, the auctioning of Iraq caused a stampede at the feeding trough. Corporations like Bechtel and Halliburton, the company that US Vice-president Dick Cheney once headed, have won huge contracts for 'reconstruction' work. A brief c.v of any one of these corporations would give us a lay person's grasp of how it all works. - not just in Iraq, but all over the World. Say we pick Bechtel - only because poor little Halliburton is under investigation on charges of overpricing fuel deliveries to Iraq and for its contracts to 'restore' Iraq's oil industry which came with a pretty serious price-tag - 2.5 billion dollars.

The Bechtel Group and Saddam Hussein are old business acquaintances. Many of their dealings were negotiated by none other than Donald Rumsfeld. In 1988, after Saddam Hussein gassed thousands of Kurds, Bechtel signed contracts with his government to build a dual-use chemical plant in Baghdad. Historically, the Bechtel Group has had and continues to have inextricably close links to the Republican establishment. You could call Bechtel and the Reagan Bush administration a team. Former Secretary of Defense, Caspar Weinberger was a Bechtel general counsel. Former Deputy Secretary of Energy, W. Kenneth Davis was Bechtel's vice president. Riley Bechtel, the company chairman, is on the President's Export Council. Jack Sheehan, a retired marine corps general, is a senior vice president at Bechtel and a member of the US Defense Policy Board. Former Secretary of State George Shultz, who is on the Board of Directors of the Bechtel Group, was the chairman of the advisory board of the Committee for the Liberation of Iraq. When he was asked by the New York Times whether he was concerned about the appearance of a conflict of interest between his two 'jobs', he said, "I don't know that Bechtel would particularly benefit from it [The invasion of Iraq]. But if there's work to be done, Bechtel is the type of company that could do it." Bechtel has been awarded reconstruction contracts in Iraq worth over a billion dollars, which include contracts to re-build power generation plants, electrical grids, water supply, sewage systems, and airport facilities. Never mind revolving doors, this -if it weren't so drenched in blood- would be a bedroom farce. Between 2001 and 2002, nine out of thirty members of the US Defense Policy Group were connected to companies that were awarded

Defense contracts worth 76 billion dollars. Time was when weapons were manufactured in order to fight wars. Now wars are manufactured in order to sell weapons.

Between 1990 and 2002 the Bechtel group has contributed \$3.3 million to campaign funds, both Republican and Democrat. Since 1990 it has won more than 2000 government contracts worth more than 11 billion dollars. That's an incredible return on investment, wouldn't you say? And Bechtel has footprints around the World. That's what being a multi-national means. The Bechtel Group first attracted international attention when it signed a contract with Hugo Banzer, the former Bolivian dictator, to privatize the water supply in the city of Cochabamba. The first thing Bechtel did was to raise the price of water. Hundreds of thousands of people who simply couldn't afford to pay Bechtel's bills came out onto the streets. A huge strike paralyzed the city. Martial law was declared. Although eventually Bechtel was forced to flee its offices, it is currently negotiating an exit payment of millions of dollars from the Bolivian government for the loss of potential profits. Which, as we'll see, is growing into a popular corporate sport. In India, Bechtel along with General Electric are the new owners of the notorious and currently defunct Enron power project. The Enron contract, which legally binds the Government of the State of Maharashtra to pay Enron a sum of 30 billion dollars, was the largest contract ever signed in India. Enron was not shy to boast about the millions of dollars it had spent to "educate" Indian politicians and bureaucrats. The Enron contract in Maharashtra, which was India's first 'fast-track' private power project, has come to be known as the most massive fraud in the country's history. (Enron was another of the Republican Party's major campaign contributors). The electricity that Enron produced was so exorbitant that the government decided it was cheaper not to buy electricity and pay Enron the mandatory fixed charges specified in the contract. This means that the government of one of the poorest countries in the World was paying Enron 220 million US dollars a year not to produce electricity!

Now that Enron has ceased to exist, Bechtel and GE are suing the Indian Government for 5.6 billion US dollars. This is not even a minute fraction of the sum of money that they (or Enron) actually invested in the project. Once more, it's a projection of profit they would have made had the project materialized. To give you an idea of scale 5.6 billion dollars a little more than the amount that the Government of India would need annually, for a rural employment guarantee scheme that would provide a subsistence wage to millions of people currently living in abject poverty, crushed by debt, displacement, chronic malnutrition and the WTO. This in a country where farmers steeped in debt are being driven to suicide, not in their hundreds, but in their thousands. The proposal for a Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme is being mocked by India's corporate class as an unreasonable, utopian demand being floated by the 'lunatic' and newly powerful left. Where will the money come from? they ask derisively. And yet, any talk of reneging on a bad contract with a notoriously corrupt corporation like Enron, has the same cynics hyperventilating about capital flight and the terrible risks of 'creating a bad investment climate'. The arbitration between Bechtel, GE and the Government of India is taking place right now in London. Bechtel and GE have reason for hope. The Indian Finance Secretary who was instrumental in approving the disastrous Enron contract has come home after a few years with the IMF. Not just home, home with a promotion. He is now Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission.

Think about it: The notional profits of a single corporate project would be enough to provide a hundred days of employment a year at minimum wages (calculated at a weighted average across different states) for 25 million people. That's five million more than the population of Australia. That is the scale of the horror of neo-liberalism. The Bechtel story gets worse. In what can only be called unconscionable, Naomi Klein writes that Bechtel has successfully sued war-torn Iraq for 'war reparations' and 'lost profits'. It has been awarded 7 million dollars. So, all you young management graduates don't bother with Harvard and Wharton - here's the Lazy Manager's Guide to Corporate Success: First, stock your Board with senior government servants. Next, stock the government with members of your board. Add oil and stir. When no one can tell where the government ends and your company begins, collude with your government to equip and arm a cold-blooded dictator in an oil-rich country. Look away while he kills his own people. Simmer gently. Use the time collect to collect a few billion dollars in government contracts. Then collude with your government once again while it topples the dictator and bombs his subjects, taking to specifically target essential infrastructure, killing a hundred thousand people on the side. Pick up another billion dollars or so worth of contracts to 'reconstruct' the infrastructure. To cover travel and incidentals, sue for reparations for lost profits from the devastated country. Finally, diversify. Buy a TV station, so that next war around you can showcase your hardware and weapons technology masquerading as coverage of the war. And finally finally, institute a Human Rights Prize in your company's name. You could give the first one posthumously to Mother Teresa. She won't be able to turn it down or argue back.

Invaded and occupied Iraq has been made to pay out 200 million dollars in "reparations" for lost profits to corporations like Halliburton, Shell, Mobil, Nestle, Pepsi, Kentucky Fried Chicken and Toys R Us. That's apart from its 125 billion dollar sovereign debt forcing it to turn to the IMF, waiting in the wings like the angel of death, with its Structural Adjustment program. (Though in Iraq there don't seem to be many structures left to adjust. Except the shadowy Al Qaeda.) In New Iraq, privatization has broken new ground. The US Army is increasingly recruiting private mercenaries to help in the occupation. The advantage with mercenaries is that when they're killed they're not included in the US soldiers' body count. It helps to manage public opinion, which is particularly important in an election year. Prisons have been privatized. Torture has been privatized. We have seen what that leads to. Other attractions in New Iraq include newspapers being shut down. Television stations bombed. Reporters killed. US soldiers have opened fire on crowds of unarmed protestors killing scores of people. The only kind of resistance that has managed to survive is as crazed and brutal as the occupation itself. Is there space for a secular, democratic, feminist, non-violent resistance in Iraq? There isn't really. That is why it falls to those of us living outside Iraq to create that mass-based, secular and non-violent resistance to the US occupation. If we fail to do that, then we run the risk of allowing the idea of resistance to be hi-jacked and conflated with terrorism and that will be a pity because they are not the same thing.

So what does peace mean in this savage, corporatized, militarized World? What does it mean in a World where an entrenched system of appropriation has created a situation in which poor countries which have been plundered by colonizing regimes for centuries are steeped in debt to the very same countries that plundered them, and have to repay that debt at the rate of 382 billion dollars a year? What does peace mean in a World in which the combined wealth of the World's 587 billionaires exceeds the combined gross domestic product of the World's 135 poorest countries? Or when rich countries that pay farm subsidies of a billion dollars a day, try and force poor countries to drop their subsidies? What does peace mean to people in occupied Iraq, Palestine, Kashmir, Tibet and Chechnya? Or to the aboriginal people of Australia? Or the Ogoni of Nigeria? Or the Kurds in Turkey? Or the Dalits and Adivasis of India? What does peace mean to non-muslims in Islamic countries, or to women in Iran, Saudi Arabia and Afghanistan? What does it mean to the millions who are being uprooted from their lands by dams and development projects? What does peace mean to the poor who are being actively robbed of their resources and for whom everyday life is a grim battle for water, shelter, survival and, above all, some semblance of dignity? For them, peace is war. We know very well who benefits from war in the age of Empire. But we must also ask ourselves honestly who benefits from peace in the age of Empire? War mongering is criminal. But talking of peace without talking of justice could easily become advocacy for a kind of capitulation. And talking of justice without unmasking the institutions and the systems that perpetrate injustice, is beyond hypocritical. It's easy to blame the poor for being poor. It's easy to believe that the World is being caught up in an escalating spiral of terrorism and war. That's what allows the American President to say "You're either with us or with the terrorists." But we know that that's a spurious choice. We know that terrorism is only the privatization of war. That terrorists are the free marketers of war. They believe that the legitimate use of violence is not the sole prerogative of the State.

It is mendacious to make moral distinction between the unspeakable brutality of terrorism and the indiscriminate carnage of war and occupation. Both kinds of violence are unacceptable. We cannot support one and condemn the other. The real tragedy is that most people in the World are trapped between the horror of a putative peace and the terror of war. Those are the two sheer cliffs we're hemmed in by. The question is: How do we climb out of this crevasse? For those who are materially well-off, but morally uncomfortable, the first question you must ask yourself is do you really want to climb out of it? How far are you prepared to go? Has the crevasse become too comfortable? If you really want to climb out, there's good news and bad news. The good news is that the advance party began the climb some time ago. They're already half way up. Thousands of activists across the World have been hard at work preparing footholds and securing the ropes to make it easier for the rest of us. There isn't only one path up. There are hundreds of ways of doing it. There are hundreds of battles being fought around the World that need your skills, your minds, your resources. No battle is irrelevant. No victory is too small. The bad news is that colorful demonstrations, weekend marches and annual trips to the World Social Forum are not enough. There have to be targeted acts of real civil disobedience with real consequences. Maybe we can't flip a switch and conjure up a revolution. But there are several things we could do. For example, you could make a list of those corporations who have profited from the invasion of Iraq and have offices here in Australia. You could name them, boycott them, occupy their offices and force them out of business. If it can happen in Bolivia, it can happen in India. It can happen in Australia. Why not? That's only a small suggestion. But remember that if the struggle were to resort to violence, it will lose vision, beauty and imagination. Most dangerous of all, it will marginalize and eventually victimize women. And a political struggle that does not have women at the heart of it, above it, below it, and within it is no struggle at all. The point is that the battle must be joined. As the wonderful American historian Howard Zinn put it: You Can't Be Neutral on a Moving Train.

Einstein, Jefferson, Lincoln, Jackson, Wilson & Iraqi assets sold off to the powers of Globalization

Iraq is the main front in the war for 'Globalization'! The agenda being forced upon Iraq is nothing new. 'Privatization' and 'liberalization of capital' have been buzzwords since the time of Reagan, although if you want to dig a little deeper you would have to go back to a previous Republican Administration, that of Nixon, and if you want to dig deeper still, you would have to go further back to another Republican Administration, that of Lincoln.

President Abraham Lincoln: "I see in the near future a crisis approaching that unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country.... Corporations have been enthroned, an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money-power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until the wealth is aggregated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed."

Lincoln could have been speaking about our time, in that currently the money-power is working to prejudice the people against 'Muslim Terrorists' since this is apparently the ancient *modus operandi*. If it worked in Lincoln's time, it will still work today, and the end result is not only the looting of Iraqi oil, but the enormous transfer of wealth to the top that has been taking place since the time of Reagan, and which continues today. Following the process to its conclusion, the result is not just the destruction of the Iraqi nation, but the destruction of the American republic as well. The battle is never ending, and it has been fought daily since the birth of the nation. Listen to other past US presidents and Albert Einstein:

President Thomas Jefferson: "I hope that we shall crush in its birth the aristocracy of our monied corporations, which dare already to challenge our government to a trial of strength, and bid defiance to the laws of our country." The decades after **Lincoln** became known as the age of the Great Robber Barons of America. A similar situation exists today, except the development of technology has created a new phenomena, that of the Great Global Robber Barons. The monopolies that existed in the past are dwarfed by the huge monopolies that exist today in the age of 'Globalization'.

President Andrew Jackson: "The rich and the powerful too often bend the acts of government to their selfish purposes." (Remember what Kissinger said in the 70s, i.e. illegal we can do immediately, unconstitutional will take time to do)

President Woodrow Wilson: "If there are men in this country big enough to own the government of the United States, they are going to do it ... There was a time when corporations played a minor role in our business affairs. But now they play the chief part, and most men are servants of corporations ... The masters of the Government of the United States are the combined capitalists and manufacturers of the United States."

President Theodore Roosevelt: "There can be no effective control of corporations while their political activity remains."

Albert Einstein noted: "Private capital tends to become concentrated in few hands ... the result of these developments is an oligarchy of private capital the enormous power of which cannot be effectively checked even by a democratically organized political society. This is true since the members of legislative bodies are selected by political parties, largely financed or otherwise influenced by private capitalists ... Unemployed and poorly paid workers do not make a profitable market... The profit motive, in conjunction with competition among capitalists, is responsible for instability in the accumulation and utilization of capital which leads to increasingly severe depressions ... This crippling of individuals I consider the worst evil of capitalism." As Einstein noted above, the competition among capitalists to accumulate wealth at the top eventually destabilizes and then capsizes the entire economy causing those notorious Great Depressions (which happened over and over during the 1800s). This occurs because by firings and layoffs and low wages and sweat shops, the base of the market is eventually eroded, and thus a great collapse takes place. **Einstein was on an FBI watch list established by J. Edgar Hoover** and there was a movement to have him declared an 'enemy alien' during the age of the Witch Hunts under McCarthyism. However throughout American history, there were other loony leftists, "class warfare proponents", and socialist freaks who were saying similar things.

Rutherford B. Hayes "Abolish plutocracy if you would abolish poverty."

Henry Ford: "Do you want to know the cause of war? It is capitalism, greed, the dirty hunger for dollars. Take away the capitalist and you will sweep war from the earth."

Marine Corps Commandant Smedley Butler: "I spent thirty- three years and four months in active military service as a member of this country's most agile military force, the Marine Corps. I served in all commissioned ranks from Second Lieutenant to Major-General. And during that period, I spent most of my time being a high class muscle- man for Big Business, for Wall Street and for the Bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism. I could have given Al Capone a few hints. The best he could do was to operate his racket in three districts. I operated on three continents."

The end result of this process of 'Globalization' is the destruction of democracy (which is now controlled or purchased by the Neo Barons/Feudal Lords). Unrestrained capitalism leads to monopoly capitalism = fascism = communism = imperialism. According to the President's recent speech at the United Nations, Iraq is the main front in the 'war against terrorism.' History repeats itself: the British fought a protracted Vietnam style conflict against 'terrorism in Iraq' earlier in the century. Now that the unelected 'government of Iraq' is auctioning off the country and generously 'liberalizing capital outflows' it has occurred to me that Iraq is now the main front in the war for corporate 'Globalization'. This week, at the meeting of the IMF and World Bank at Dubai, Iraq's unelected 'government' floated the idea of privatizing Iraq's oil industry. Prior to this they had already decided, as tyrants do decide, to sell off the entire country, while making an exception for the oil industry, not because they are fond of socialized oil, but because they are sensitive to American corporate concerns, which are also American government concerns. While the wholesale 'privatization' of Iraq must go forward, for the sake of appearances an exception must be made for the only thing in Iraq actually worth selling these days, the oil industry, so that it won't appear that American's invaded Iraq to seize oil. Now that American's are concerned about oil is obvious, since the first thing U.S. troops did during 'Operation Iraqi Freedom' was send troops to 'secure' the oil fields. This week a special rapid response Oil Pipeline protection force was established, and will be charged with protecting the oil industry infrastructure from suffering any more of that sabotage.

What is currently taking place in Iraq is no different from what has been taking place in other countries around the World, with one exception. Around the World the process of privatization and the auctioning off of the planet to place its into the hands of monopolists is taking place behind a veneer of democracy, a democracy which in fact no longer exists. No country on earth can disobey the dictates of liberalized international capital, or they will find their nation crushed, the people in revolt, and so governments always obey the orders which are fed to them through the mouth pieces of international capitalists. Even when these policies are ruinously harmful to the population at large, governments ram them through, usually using the fable that it is 'tough medicine' that is required. Tough medicine is never administered to powerful monied interests, who then proceed to ransack and loot the entire planet (notice the wide spread looting of the American treasury taking place via the privately owned Federal Reserve Banks, for which ordinary Americans will be paying to the tunes of trillions in debt for a long time, and for which ordinary Americans will surrender Medicaid and Social Security and natal care for poverty stricken babies and Welfare and just about any other program that does not benefit rich tycoons, and all so that they can transfer trillions of dollars of wealth to rich tycoons. The pattern is clear and it is simple to see whose interests are served by the 'cut taxes' policy which is also part of the 'globalization' recipe.) In most nations the harmful policies of 'globalization' are rammed through by governments who at least maintain the veneer of democracy (although in such places as Brazil or Argentina the veneer is wearing pretty thin...this process of becoming aware that democracy does not exist takes some time, but after electing one government after another and getting that same 'globalization' rammed down their throats no matter who gets in, people suddenly awaken and understand that the end result of globalization is the destruction of their Republics, as Lincoln so astutely prophesied would be the case. This process is brought into sharp relief when one considers the contemporary example of Brazil, where the restless population, being hurt and harmed for years by 'globalization' has just elected a 'Communist/Socialist/Labor/Leftist

coalition government, which is proceeding to continue to implement the policies of globalization and liberalization, which is remarkable, for a bunch of 'commies'.)

The example of Brazil, a supposed technical 'democracy' and the example of Iraq, a tyranny, when considered together demonstrate clearly that democracy no longer exists, and the planet is currently sliding into a new age of tyrannical dictatorship, covered with the veil of non-functioning democracy, until after one to many elections that doesn't work any more (a process now in its advanced stages in South America, as one example). The one must presume that we will enter into the age of absolute tyranny, which would be the same as it is today, except without the embarrassing need to have 'democracy' which in the end only reveals how tyrannical the planet has become under the regime of 'globalization'. This tendency towards naked tyranny is evident both in the naked tyrannical method of imposing 'globalization' on Iraq, and in the constant pushing to destroy liberty in the United States (where the Americans are now being faced with such pleasantries as 'secret arrest' and other bits and pieces of the forming totalitarian despotism, which is the future of the planet under 'globalization', since that democracy scam is already coming apart at the seams in poorer countries all over the World. Inspiring bigotry and prejudice will continue to be the favored method to force through dictatorship, as Americans 'fight Muslim terrorists' and surrender their freedoms while doing so, when actually what is happening is that they are looting Iraq, in a very naked fashion, and indeed the World is being looted for a select few, the monopolists and tycoons who are proceeding on plan, and destroying both the economy and the republics of the World while they are enriching themselves.

This is referred to as 'globalization' and that bag of tricks is a mix of policies that hurts everyone and benefits only tycoons and monopolists, and perhaps those politicians who become chummy with them, and thus get an invite to go in and out through the famous revolving door which has been conveniently installed between the tycoon's office and the government's office. In Brazil, as one example, the country is being sold down the river by castrated elected politicians (including castrated communists). In Iraq, the auctioning of the country is being done by unelected tyrants. The process is exactly the same - hold a fire sale and auction off the country, and then deregulate, to increase profits, and then liberalize, to allow capital to flow out of the country like oil. To paraphrase then, to the Iraqis fighting in the insurgency against American troops, this policy translates as "they will take the oil, then declare that it is sacrosanct private property, and then they will sell all the oil and take all the money." Its called 'Globalization' and 'privatization' and 'liberalization of capital' and 'deregulation', not to mention 'The Free (Slave) Trade' (which promotes the destruction of jobs and the substitution of sweat shop labor, a policy which once again only serves the interests of capitalists, while working against the interests of ordinary people ... because the interests served by globalization runs directly contrary to the interests of most people on the planet. As Lincoln correctly described the process it is required to keep playing on the bigotry and prejudice of the population until finally all Iraq's oil and wealth is concentrated in just a few hands and Iraq (and America along with it) is finally completely destroyed at the end of the process).

This makes Iraq the front line in the war against Globalization. Iraq proves to be useful for a number of reasons. First, it is a naked tyranny, stripped of the usual facade of democracy that is disintegrating in any case in places such as Argentina or Brazil as just a couple of noteworthy examples. Second, the agenda of Globalization which is being imposed on Iraq is also stark naked and completely self evident. (Claim the oil as 'private properties, sell the oil, and keep the money: in Orwellian talk this translates as ... privatization, restructuring the economy to encourage investors, deregulating and liberalizing capital flow). The usual crappola lines just won't work to muddle the heads of the people (the bit about 'the strong need for foreign investors to develop Iraq' being the classic piece of shit among so many other brain washing pieces of shit people get told...when you consider how many countries are being 'developed' by all those 'outside investors' running high pollution, low health quality, buck and hour sweat shops' and you get a pretty good idea of how much dedication there really is for 'development'...)

Now other democracies have already been completely corrupted and destroyed, so they can vote and then all those wonderful 'restructuring policies' of 'Globalization' can be rammed through (even if it takes a bunch of communists and socialists to ram those policies through, like in Brazil, right now). Iraq cannot have a democracy however, due to the fact that they never had one before, and thus the place has not yet had the time to become completely rotted out and corrupted. Thus it would be quite likely that democracy would actually work in Iraq, and given the wide spread devastation of their country (an estimated trillion dollar price tag to fix the place after twelve years of bombings, war, and sanctions) you can understand how the Iraqi people might vote to just keep that oil socialized and not give it to tycoons. Rather than give their oil to tycoons, they would rather fight another one of those long protracted Vietnam insurrections, and since there is no way that tycoons are going to walk, in particular when it involves walking away from such super cheap to pump and refine oil such as that in Iraq, for that reason the Americans will be fed their daily bullshit diet of bigotry and prejudice, not to mention all that bullshit Orwellian 'globalization' talk, as a long, long protracted Nuremburg War Crime is committed on Iraqis, simply because democracy might actually work in Iraq, since they haven't had time to make sure it won't work, just like it doesn't work in Argentina, Brazil, or America for that matter, or any other country in the World.

There is much more that could be said here - in particular about 'People Power' and 'internationalism'. The old days of the sovereign nation state are over, and globalization is here to stay in one form or another. The strategy of divide and conquer has been so successful that even communist coalitions such as that in Brazil obediently force their citizens to forfeit what is good for them to benefit the monopolists. As well, as Einstein pointed out, politics has been so corrupted by corporations that all that remains is Internationalism and people power. Those who are looking backwards and dreaming about 'restoring our national sovereignty' and who believe in 'writing our congressman' or 'lobbying my MP' are looking in the wrong places for answers. People power has been tried before, in such places as the Philippines, where it was hijacked

and went nowhere, and people power in Argentina or people power in Brazil will similarly be either hijacked, by people who want to get in the lead of that movement to make sure it don't go nowhere, or it will be crushed (divide and conquer). People power is a lot more challenging than simply finding some less involved way to run the planet (by lobbying politicians) but if there is going to be a way out of the morass, and if human culture is to avoid a disaster and survive, it is required that the principles advocated by Anarchists for years be brought to the fore...

If there are those who still believe that there is a political solution to be found to this current mess, then my advice would be as follows. If you are in the process of choosing a Democrat to run against Bush, my advice would be to ignore the policies of that Democrat. You see behind the scenes World Com and Tyco and Enron will make all the policies, whether you vote Democrat or Republican, and globalization will proceed under either a Democrat or a Republican, so why bother concerning yourself with policies. What you want when choosing a Democrat is to look for smoothness and a slick performance. You want someone who can talk the Orwellian double talk of Globalization and make it saleable and who is slick and smooth enough to not be as nakedly gross and ham-handed as the Bush Administration, thus exposing to the World the full obscenity of American culture. All the policies for Iraq, privatization, liberalization, and so on, will go through, Democrat or Republican, and what you want is some swanky Democrat who can hide the obscenity of it all by talking that Orwellian Globalization talk in a real slick smooth way. Then people can say, 'Well finally, at long last. Well that's a lot better than that crudely naked methodology of that Bush administration.' So a good speech for a Democrat, that includes all the Orwellian double talk would be as follows: Now first, we should begin with the raw naked truth, which is what you get from the Bush administration. "We are going to steal their oil, claim it as our private property, and then sell that oil and take all the money and put it in the Chase Manhattan bank in New York." Now the smooth, slickered down Democrat speech. "For Iraq to thrive, they need policies that will encourage outside investors to invest in the infrastructure and industry of Iraq, bringing on a new day of prosperity. By adopting policies that will encourage investment and growth, the industries of Iraq will be rehabilitated and the future of the Iraqi economy will be ensured. Iraqis must adopt policies that will integrate them into the global community and help their economy to make the transition to becoming a full partner in the global economy. Previous policies that discouraged investment and growth must be abandoned, and onerous regulations that discourage capital growth must be abandoned if the Iraqi economy is to revive. The forces of terror and violence in Iraq must not be allowed to prevent the revival of the economy, and so threaten the future of the Iraqi people. Blind Muslim fanaticism must not cower us. We must remain firm in our commitment to the revival of a healthy prosperity in Iraq, free of the ravages of religious extremism and fundamentalist violence. We will maintain our commitment to the people of Iraq, until the day comes when peace and order are established and the people of Iraq are ready to assume their place among the nations of the World, as a free and democratic people."

Certainly, that should satisfy great hordes of Democratic voters. Look for someone who can talk the Orwellian talk while walking the globalization walk (walk the double-talk instead of walk-the-talk!). The alternative is to give up on 'nationalism' and turn to International People Power. The agenda of 'globalization' benefits only a handful of rich tycoons, while hurting everyone else, and that agenda is also coming home to Americans (slashing services, slashing taxes, liberalizing capital outflows, privatization of services which jacks up the prices while leaving the infrastructure in woeful condition, job destruction and downward pressure on wages in concert with upward growth in profits, and on and on and on it goes...)

How Globalization works: the example of Brazil (we also have the example of Argentina where many of its oil and energy assets were sold off to Enron under Bush's urging). After having their radical program crushed by international capital, Brazil's Communist/Socialist/Labor/Leftist government is back to imposing the policies of globalization on Brazil. Their radical program was to supply snack foods to malnourished babies and introduce a one dollar a day welfare program, but before they were even voted into office, they were called onto the carpet in New York and Washington.

What happened is that as it became obvious that Brazil's restless and impoverished population was going to vote in the commie coalition, reports began to appear in the Western Press that stated such things as 'investors become nervous over Brazil's tilt to the left.' Now this is code word talk, and a little birdy flew down to Brazil to translate the message into Portuguese, and the translation was, 'We are going to brutally crush Brazil. Using the instruments at our disposal, including liberalized capital, we are going to crush and destroy the economy of Brazil, and then leave all you commies with a revolt on your hands, while you are helpless to do anything to stop it, and the entire left movement gets blackballed for ruining the Brazilian economy. Yes, we here on Wall Street and in Washington are getting quite 'nervous'.

Well, the Brazilian commies got the translated version of that message loud and clear, and thus they flew up to Washington and New York, where they got hauled onto the carpet, and disavowed of any notion to bring in social programs. The agenda of Globalization demands the destruction of all social programs and the drastic elimination of governments so that the World can be ruled unhindered by corporations and tycoons. Even the Americans will soon enough be giving up their social security and Medicare and other programs. As Bush quipped the morning of 9-11, 'Lucky me, I hit the trifecta,' which meant that he was going to be able to get 'lucky' and slash Medicare and social security while jacking the Pentagon budget sky high. Well, now with Americans going trillions in the hole to give tax cuts to the rich friends of George Bush, they won't be able to afford programs, and there is no way Brazil is going to swim against the current and start putting in social programs, either. Brazil will also be giving huge tax cuts to rich people, just like Americans and every other country will do, and they will NOT be raising taxes on rich to fund a malnourished baby snack a day program. In America, it was called 'tax relief' during the election campaign, and then when the surplus vanished and the economy tanked, it was change to 'job creation.' Those Brazilians can feel free to indulge in whatever kind of Orwellian double talk they want, just as long as the Globalization policy of cutting taxes for tycoons remains firmly in place.

Well, the commies of Brazil flew up to New York and Washington, where they got raked over the coals, and, chastised, they went back to Brazil to forget the whole 'revolutionary plan' for that malnourished baby snack food program and that dollar a day welfare program they had campaigned on. The Bush administration then gave warm congratulations to the communists for winning Brazil's elections, and Wall Street rallied, once they realized that even communists and socialist were utterly helpless before their power (thus cheering everyone up, and leading to that rare little Wall street rally that always follows a 'communist victory').



Copyright 2003, The Halifax Herald Limited

As for Brazil's commies, well they were trapped between a rock hard tycoon and the anger of those millions of impoverished Brazilians who were hoping to get a better standard of life by making it up to the dollar a day level and having their malnourished babies get at least one snack a day.

Well, when in a difficult spot like that, the commies did the only thing they could do, and they hired a bunch of Brazilian celebrities, and then took out Television advertising. The theme was, 'this is little Joe. For less than a copy up coffee per day, you can see that little Joe gets a nutritious snack.' From what I heard that idea wasn't working worth a damn, since the people with all the money didn't want to get taxed to give little Joe a snack, and they aren't any more enthused about being taxed 'voluntarily' by donating some money to that snack food appeal fund. As for the growing rage among Brazil's population, now that the charade is exposed, and they understand that democracy does not exist, and the globalization agenda is just the tyrannical rule of tycoons, well the situation is getting ripe for something, like a ripe fat plum, like the grapes of wrath hanging heavily on the vine, waiting for harvest...

Multinationals are leading a "second invasion" of corporate interests seeking to seize control of Iraq's oil, water and other resources that belong to the Iraqi people. It's time to stop the war profiteers and corporate looters of Iraq.

Join the Campaign to STOP THE WAR PROFITEERS: Iraqi economist Basil Al Nakeeb discusses privatization in Iraq under the US plan. Focusing on the cell phone tender offered in September, his analysis reflects the entire scope of the privatization of the Iraqi economy for foreign investors. PrivatizingIraq.mp3 (8192 k)

[More on Why Iraq was invaded? Money, Money, Money for the Illuminatis and Zionists!](#)

In addition to paving the way for the future expansion of the Masonic State of Israel, the Banksters/BankLords are also advancing their New World Order Tyranny agenda. First, let's have a look at the FRN (Federal Reserve Note) Dollar implications of Saddam's shift to the Euro for oil trading from <http://65.40.245.240/money/oilmoney.htm> .

Many third World countries and other countries propagandize to their citizens that they are holding large reserves of Federal Reserve Notes (FRN) also known as the US Dollars. The fact is that this only benefits the BankLords and does not benefit the citizens of these other countries. The FRNs represent an I OWE U by the Federal Reserve System Banks. When a country holds FRNs, it is actually losing purchasing power as the value of what goods and services one can acquire is constantly decreasing. Thus the citizens of that country actually gave something to the BankLords but never got anything in return as the FRNs will be waiting to be spent forever, and now with the large increase in oil prices, there are more FRN dollars floating and waiting to be spent forever. Richard Douthwaite explains further how Bush is working for Big Oil and the BankLords. He can't let the Euro replace the dollar for oil deals which was **SADDAM'S UNFORGIVABLE SIN**. Iraq has been accused of many crimes, but topping the list is the unforgivable sin of trading oil for Euros instead of American Dollars.

The dollar is the World reserve currency. This gives a huge subsidy to the US economy because if a country wants to hold lots of dollars in reserve they must supply the US with goods and services in return for those dollars. In return the US creates a bit more credit. The more dollars there are circulating outside the US, the more goods and services the US has imported virtually for free. This is how the US manages to run a huge trade deficit year after year without apparently any major economic consequences. No other country can run such a large trade deficit with impunity. It is in effect getting a massive interest-free loan from the rest of the World which it will never have to repay and which keeps growing. One of Europe's primary objectives, if not the primary objective, of setting up the Euro was to try and get some of this free lunch for Europe. If the Euro became a major reserve currency, or better still replaced the dollar as the major reserve currency, then Europe too could get something for nothing. This would be a disaster for the US. Not only would they lose their subsidy, which has been increasing in size and in importance to American economic well being as the years have gone by, but countries switching to Euro reserves from dollar reserves would start spending their dollars in the US. In other words the US would have to start paying its debts to other countries. As countries converted their dollar assets into Euro assets the US property and stock market bubbles would, without doubt, burst. The Federal Reserve would no longer be able to print more money to reflate the bubble as it is currently openly considering doing. There is, however, one major obstacle to this happening: OIL! Oil is of course by far the most important commodity traded internationally, and if you want to buy oil on the international markets you usually have to have dollars.

Until recently all OPEC countries agreed to sell their oil for dollars only. This meant that oil importing countries, like Japan, needed to hold dollar reserves in order to be able to buy oil. So long as this remained the case, the Euro was unlikely to become the major reserve currency. There is not a lot of point to stockpiling Euros if every time you need to buy oil you have to change them into dollars. But in November 2000 Iraq switched to the Euro, with potentially perilous consequences for the US. Only one country has the right to print dollars: the US! If OPEC were to decide to accept euros only for its oil, then American economic dominance would be over. Not only would Europe not need dollars anymore, but Japan which imports over 80% of its oil from the Middle East would have to convert most of its dollar assets to Euro assets (Japan is of course the major subsidizer of the US). The US on the other hand, being the World's largest oil importer would have to acquire Euro reserves, i.e. it would have to run a trade surplus. The conversion from trade deficit to trade surplus would have to be done at a time when its property and stock market prices were collapsing and its own oil supplies were contracting. It would be a very painful conversion; potentially disastrous. The purely economic argument for OPEC converting to the Euro, at least for a while, seems very strong. The Eurozone does not run a huge trade deficit like the US, nor is it heavily indebted to the rest of the World like the US. Nearly everything you can buy for dollars you can also buy for Euros. Furthermore, if OPEC were to convert their dollar assets to Euro assets and then require payment for oil in euros, their assets would immediately increase in value. Also, since oil importing countries would be forced to convert their reserves into euros, whose price would therefore be driven up. OPEC could then at some later date back some other currency, maybe the dollar again, and again make huge profits. This would offer a virtually inexhaustible source of profit for OPEC. But of course it would not be a purely economic decision. The Eurozone countries do not threaten Middle Eastern countries militarily as the US does.

One article, written at the time the decision was made, claimed it made no financial sense and would cost Iraq millions. According to this "expert" the decision to convert was made by people who "are not experts, they are not central bankers, they are not even oil men". At the time the article was written, the Euro was worth 82 US cents. It is now worth about \$1.05. So on economic grounds alone, the Iraqi decision has been a huge success (the \$10 billion Iraqi fund at the UN, mentioned in the article, has apparently also since been converted). There may however be military consequences to it. The economic threat to the US may be influencing it in its belligerent stance towards Iraq. One other OPEC country has been talking publicly about possible conversion since 1999: Iran. And of course it has since been included in the "axis of evil". Everybody pretty much knew that sanctions and containment were working. Many companies around the World were preparing to do business with Iraq in anticipation of a lifting of sanctions. But the U.S. and the U.K. had been bombing northern and southern Iraq since 1991. So it was very unlikely that they would be in any kind of position to gain significant contracts in any post-sanctions Iraq. And those sanctions were going to be lifted soon, Saddam would still be in place, and they would get no financial benefit.

The illegal invasion of Iraq was about the oil, but not for the oil. We didn't go in to grab the oil. Just the opposite. We went in to control Iraq's oil and make sure we didn't get it. Note that the price of oil went from \$15 to \$75 quintupling the \$ purchase requirements, i.e. from over \$600 million daily to over \$3 billion daily. To get the whole picture, you need to go back to 1920, when the major oil companies sat in a hotel room in Brussels and drew a red line around Iraq and said, "There'll be no oil coming out of that nation." Why? If they don't suppress oil coming out of Iraq, the price of oil will collapse, and OPEC and Saudi Arabia will collapse. Saddam was a serious thorn in their side because he refused to play ball. There is a 323-page plan, written by Big Oil, which is the secret but official plan of the United States for Iraq's oil. It was written out of the James Baker Institute in coordination with a secret committee of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). It's all about a plan to control Iraq's oil and make sure that Iraq has a system which "enhances its relationship with OPEC." In other words, the whole idea is to maintain the power of the US\$ as a world currency that is printed because OPEC demands US\$ for its oil which it promptly recycles back to the Federal Reserve thus allowing the US military-industrial feudal complex to get something for nothing. And this is one of the reasons "we" absolutely hate Hugo Chavez — and why Venezuela could well end up being the next nation (after Iran) on the Neocon's list to be accused of possessing hidden caches of "WMD". As you'll see in the recent issue of Harper's, Chavez has asked OPEC to officially recognize that he has more oil than Saudi Arabia. This is a geopolitical earthquake. And the inside documents from the U.S. Department of Energy, which is also in Harper's, say, yes, Chavez has got more oil than Saudi Arabia.

We're paying \$3 a gallon. ExxonMobil is collecting \$3 a gallon. When Bush came into power, oil cost \$18 a barrel. Bush has successfully built up the price of oil from \$18 a barrel to over \$70. That's the "mission accomplished" he announced. He didn't make a mistake here. That was the "mission accomplished" in Iraq. ExxonMobil, after Enron, is the biggest lifetime donor to the Bush campaigns. The value of its reserves, because of the Bush wars and Bush actions, has gone up by almost \$1 trillion in value. Just one company. A trillion-dollar windfall to a single company! Harper's actually got their hands on two different plans for Iraq's oil, a 101-page plan and a 323-page plan, which is all about, in great detail, what we are going to do with Iraq's oil. And guess what? The number of Iraqis involved in writing this crucial document is exactly ZERO. So much for bringing "freedom and democracy" to the Iraqis.

It is now generally known what occurred in the secret discussions between the oil companies, Ken Lay and Dick Cheney. The verdict is about to come down. Why was Cheney in the meeting with oil companies, looking over the maps of Iraq? He was on this committee drafting up the program for what to do about Iraq. And for their plan to work, they absolutely had to get rid of Saddam because he was totally destabilizing the oil markets by jerking prices to low levels. Sir, wake up! As numerous administration whistleblowers have revealed, the plan for the invasion of Iraq was on the drawing boards LONG before 9-11. 9-11 was the trigger event to set that plan in motion by rallying the necessary support of the American populace. Do you see all those flag-festooned Hummers and pickups on the streets piloted by seething armchair-Rambo-like lunatics? This could never have happened if the nation didn't suffer another "Pearl Harbor" -- aka Nine-Eleven. The Neocons used 9-11 to terrify the American public, galvanize combative emotions, and win their support for the invasions

of two sovereign nations. You know what's a real tragedy? A full one-third of the US population actually believes that Iraq was behind 9-11 and that Bush found the weapons of mass destruction in Iraq. Clearly, a large percentage of us believes Iran has nuclear weapons and that America is in danger of being attacked by Iran. No democracy can work when people take their responsibility as citizen so lightly as to be so totally and utterly ignorant.

In brief, the invasion is to establish the globalist economic colonization (see a section on this later in the book; as for Afghanistan, it's about controlling China, energy pipelines and reviving the multi-billion dollar drug trade which the Taliban had eradicated; see also THE CONNECTION BETWEEN MONEY, ISRAEL AND OIL). By invading Iraq, Bush has taken over the Iraqi oil fields, and persuaded the UN to lift production limits imposed after the Kuwait war. Bush could even attack the Opec oil-pricing cartel. Control over Iraqi oil should improve security of supplies to the US, and possibly the UK, with the development and exploration contracts between Saddam and China, France, India, Indonesia and Russia being set aside in favour of US and possibly British companies. And a US military presence in Iraq is an insurance policy against any opposition in Iran and Saudi Arabia. Overseeing Iraqi oil supplies, and maybe soon supplies from other Gulf countries, would enable the US to use oil as power. In 1990, the then oil man, Dick Cheney, wrote that: "Whoever controls the flow of Persian Gulf oil has a stranglehold not only on our economy but also on the other countries of the World as well." In the 70s, the US agreed with Saudi Arabia that Opec oil should be traded in dollars. American governments have since been able to print dollars to cover huge trading deficits, with the further benefit of those dollars being placed in the US money markets. In return, the US allowed the Opec countries to operate a production and pricing cartel. Over the past 15 years, the overall US deficit with the rest of the World has risen to \$3 Trillion - an abuse of its privileged currency position. Although about 80% of foreign exchange and half of World trade is in dollars, the euro provides a realistic alternative. Euro countries also have a bigger share of World trade, and of trade with Opec countries, than the US. In 1999, Iran mooted pricing its oil in euros, and in late 2000 Saddam made the switch for Iraqi oil. In early 2002 Bush placed Iran and Iraq in the axis of evil. If the other Opec countries had followed Saddam's move to euros, the consequences for Bush could have been huge. Worldwide switches out of the dollar, on top of the already huge deficit, would have led to a plummeting dollar, a runaway from US markets and dramatic upheavals in the US.

Saddam made the switch from Dollar to Euro long before 9-11, in November 2000. The oil sales permitted in the oil for food program aren't very much. But when the sanctions would be lifted, the sales from the country with the second largest oil reserves on the planet would have been moving to the euro. The U.S. dollar is in a sensitive period because the US is a debtor nation. If oil, a very solid commodity, is traded on the euro, that could cause massive, almost glacial, shifts in confidence in trading on the dollar. So one of the first executive orders that Bush signed in May [2003] switched trading on Iraq's oil back to the dollar. That's in addition to buying out Iraqi companies at a penny on the dollar and taking over Iraq's Central Bank! Another reason has to do with US military-basing posture in the region. US Banksters had been very dissatisfied with US relations with Saudi Arabia, particularly the restrictions on US basing. And also there was dissatisfaction from the people of Saudi Arabia. So the Banksters were looking for alternate strategic locations beyond Kuwait, beyond Qatar, to secure something they had been searching for since the days of Carter — to secure the energy lines of communication in the region. Iraqis were not about to invite the US in and create its 800th base in the World (yes there are close to 800 known US bases around the World to ensure that the Banksters-BankLords get what they want).

The objectives of Bush, the new Hitler, are many. In the first place, to take over the gas tank of World capitalism, which is none other than the Persian Gulf. Bush knows full well that the oil produced by his country, the motor driving the production of the World economy, will inevitably be exhausted within 10 years. In 40 years there will be no more oil left on the planet. It's a race against time. According to the Statistical Review, the discovery of new energy reserves is falling off alarmingly. These have grown by only 5% in the last decade, compared to 45% in the decade before. Sixty-five per cent of the reserves are located in the Middle East. The US consumes 20 million barrels a day, compared to the 77 million produced each day throughout the World, of which only 10 million is produced by the North-Americans who therefore depend on the rest of the World so they can go on being an imperial power. The purpose of attacking Iraq, the World's second largest reserve of petroleum, is to control these deposits, control its price and control its production. It's nothing to do with hidden weapons or anything else. **As Galeano says,** if Iraq produced radishes instead of oil, who would even consider invading the country?

Bush's second game plan is to discipline his ally Saudi Arabia, the World's number one oil producer and largest energy reserve. The third aim, as **revealed by the undersecretary of state, John Bolton,** last February, is to invade Iran and Syria. And, if winds are favourable, why not include Libya and Sudan in the Holy of Holies? The fourth step is to destroy OPEC and control the World's fossil fuels. If it doesn't expropriate these and find alternatives in time, North-American capitalism will be forced to change its model of national consumption, and with it perhaps lose the fulcrum of its global hegemony. The fifth aim is the succulent bandwagon business of reconstructing Iraq, onto which are leaping many of the 500, mainly US, multinationals dominating the World. No less important is the sixth objective, which lives off the **teachings of Lord Keynes;** using the war industry to pull the US economy out of the deep zero-growth recession which it is in. Let's not forget that winning a war is not about imposing one's military supremacy over an adversary, but being able to reap the financial returns thereof, the reason why it was unleashed in the first place. I cannot help bringing up one last aim, which is perhaps the most important one of all in this war: imposing the supremacy of the dollar over the euro, which has recently been giving the dollar a thrashing on unexpected fronts, and threatened the privileged position of the US in the crude oil market. The dollar has been falling in relation to the euro, some 17%, figures that would have been hard to believe when the single European currency was set up. Part of this fall is due to the Iraqi decision to transfer 10 billion dollars of its reserves to the common European currency. Russia is also operating in petroeuros, and Iran and several other OPEC countries are considering whether to abandon the dollar and adopt the euro. Should this happen, the

economists believe that the dollar will go through an unwanted depreciation, US share prices will plunge, and will generally bring the clay-footed giant to the brink of a financial collapse similar to the one it went through in the 'thirties.

The root cause of this invasion lies in the need to create a new redistribution of the planet's wealth after the failure of the triad accords (US, Europe and Japan) at the Paris OECD meeting in 1998, and the 1999 WTO meeting in Washington. No agreement was reached on how to share out a World market besieged by a diminished percentage of the Gross World Product, of which only 50% was in the hands of the triad and its multinationals at the end of the century. The inability of neoliberalism to maintain a maximum rate of exploitation of dependent nations, the fatigue and decadence of unipolar hegemony and the not-too-distant possibility of a World crisis which would transform today's arrogant domination into a tyranny in tatters, are the roots of this act of international piracy.

That's the war plan. The same reasons for economic dominance that threw Hitler in the arms of Mars, with a cry of "occupy, administer, exploit". **Here's a poem to summarize:** Bomb Iraq!

If you cannot find Osama, bomb Iraq. If the markets are a drama, bomb Iraq. If the terrorists are frisky, Allies are looking shifty, North Korea is too risky, Bomb Iraq. If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq. If we think someone has dissed us, bomb Iraq. So to hell with the inspections, Lets look tough for the elections, Close your mind and take directions, Bomb Iraq. Its "pre-emptive non-aggression", bomb Iraq. Let's prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq. They've got weapons we can't see, And that's good enough for me 'Cos it's all the proof I need, Bomb Iraq. If you never were elected, bomb Iraq. If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq. If you think Saddam's gone mad, With the weapons that he had, Bomb Iraq. If your corporate fraud is growin', bomb Iraq. If your ties to it are showin', bomb Iraq. If your politics are sleazy, And hiding that ain't easy, And your manhood's getting queasy, Bomb Iraq. Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq. For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq. Disagree? We'll call it treason, let's make war not love this season, Even if we have no reason, Bomb Iraq.

Now, a poem in preparation for the next section on the New World Order:

Fellow travelers of the night, Walk by the darkness of their light. They speak with symbols and numbers rare, Are told by their elders that the truth is there. Through movies, televisions and books at hand, They inquire of Dark Secrets, unknown to man. If you see it on the screen they say, It was already done before yesterday. Then you should know that reality true, Is always far ahead of you. So open your eyes, and learn to see, Lest trouble come, and you cease to be. If you learn to connect the dots, You can unite the worst of knots. For it is a game the darkness plays, To fool the masses in many ways. To think, to learn, to listen true, Will gain you wisdom to live this through. So watch and learn, and don't be blind, Lest you fall in a ditch with no help behind....

[Economic Predators or Hit-Men on Behalf of Feudal Corporate Elite](#)

<http://www.democracynow.org/article.pl?sid=06/02/15/1436221&mode=thread&tid=25> February 15th, Economic Hit Man John Perkins: "We Have Created the World's First Truly Global Empire", 2006

For All...Important read....It explains the facts behind the US and other Governments being controlled by the international corporate barons and why no changes ever come about, even when the Party in power changes...There is a common thread that is woven into the fabric of the governments so tightly that no changes in the bureaucratic structure can penetrate its weave! It has one goal...**One World fascist government under the aegis of the Feudal Elites behind the Military-Industrial-Congressional Complex as President Eisenhower had warned everyone on public television in 1961.** The interview reveals the proverbial offer politicians cannot refuse and if they refuse, they will be terminated by the CIA, etc...if you've seen George Clooney's movie Syriana, and Hotel Rwanda and Good night and Good luck, you'll get the picture John Perkins is describing, hear it from the horse's mouth! John Perkins, author of "Confessions of an Economic Hit Man," reveals about his former work going into various countries to try to threaten leaders into creating a policy favorable to the U.S Corporate Lords. Perkins formerly worked for an international consulting firm and describes himself as an "economic hitman" for the World's banking and corporate dynasties.

RUSH TRANSCRIPT of the interview with "Democracy Now" This interview says it all:

AMY GOODMAN: We turn to someone on the inside who decided to speak out, and he is John Perkins, has written the book, *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*. He came into our studios to talk about his former work, going into various countries to try to strong-arm leaders into creating policy favorable to the U.S. government and corporations, what he called the "corporatocracy." John Perkins says he was an economic hit man. I began by asking him to explain this term. **JOHN PERKINS:** We economic hit men, during the last 30 or 40 years, have really created the World's first truly global empire, and we've done this primarily through economics, and the military only coming in as a last resort. Therefore, it's been done pretty much secretly. Most of the people in the United States have no idea that we've created this empire and, in fact, throughout the World it's been done very quietly, unlike old empires, where the army marched in; it was obvious. So I think the significance of the things you discussed, the fact that over 80% of the population of South America recently voted in an anti-U.S. president and what's going on at the World Trade Organization, and also, in fact, with the transit strike here in New York, is that people are beginning to understand that the middle class and the lower classes around the World are being terribly, terribly exploited by what I call the corporatocracy, which really runs this empire.

AMY GOODMAN: Well, before we move further, your experience with it? Explain the vantage point you come from. What does it mean to be an economic hit man? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, what we've done -- **we use many techniques, but probably the most common is that we'll go to a country that has resources that our corporations covet, like oil, and we'll arrange a huge loan to that country from an organization like the World Bank or one of its sisters, but almost all of the money goes to the U.S. corporations, not to the country itself, corporations like Bechtel and Halliburton, General Motors, General Electric, these types of organizations, and they build huge infrastructure projects in that country: power plants, highways, ports, industrial parks, things that serve the very rich and seldom even reach the poor. In fact, the poor suffer, because the loans have to be repaid, and they're huge loans, and the repayment of them means that the poor won't get education, health, and other social services, and the country is left holding a huge debt, by intention. We go back, we economic hit men, to this country and say, "Look, you owe us a lot of money. You can't repay your debts, so give us a pound of flesh. Sell our oil companies your oil real cheap or vote with us at the next U.N. vote or send troops in support of ours to some place in the World such as Iraq." And in that way, we've managed to build a World empire with very few people actually knowing that we've done this.**

AMY GOODMAN: And you worked for? **JOHN PERKINS:** I was recruited by the National Security Agency, the one that's in the news so much today because of spying on people, and I was tested by them, recruited by them --

AMY GOODMAN: What do you mean you were recruited by them? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, while I was a senior in business school at Boston University, they came to me and suggested that I take their test. I had connections through my wife with people in the agency, and they put me through a series of tests, personality tests, lie detector, several days, and concluded that I would make a good economic hit man, and they also discovered a number of weaknesses in my character, which they could use then to hook me into the business, and then I ended up working for a private corporation.

AMY GOODMAN: Why didn't you work for the N.S.A.? **JOHN PERKINS:** Because these days it's not done that way. Nobody wants to be able to connect the dots. So the N.S.A., the C.I.A., these types of organizations often recruit economic hit men and the jackals, the assassins, the 007 types, but they will recruit us, maybe train us, and then turn us over to a private corporation, so that you really can't make the connection, so that if I were caught at what I was doing in one of these countries, it would not reflect on our government; it would only reflect on the corporation that I worked for.

AMY GOODMAN: And who did you work for? **JOHN PERKINS:** I worked for a company called Charles T. Main, a big consulting firm out of Boston.

AMY GOODMAN: And your job? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, I started off as economist, became chief economist, and my job really -- I had a staff of several dozen people. My job was to get them, and for me to convince these countries to accept these very large loans, to get the banks to make the loans, to set up the deal so that the money went to big U.S. corporations. The country was left holding a huge debt, and then I would go in or one of my people would go in and say, "Look, you know, you owe us all this money. You can't pay your debts. Give us that pound of flesh."

The other thing we do, Amy, and what's going on right now in Latin America is that as soon as one of these anti-American presidents is elected, such as Evo Morales, who you mentioned, in Bolivia, one of us goes in and says, "Hey, congratulations, Mr. President. Now that you're president, I just want to tell you that I can make you very, very rich, you and your family. We have several hundred million dollars in this pocket if you play the game our way. If you decide not to, over in this pocket, I've got a gun with a bullet with your name on it, in case you decide to keep your campaign promises and throw us out."

AMY GOODMAN: Well, explain actually how that plays out, because it's not really in this pocket and that. **JOHN PERKINS:** No, it's -- what I'm saying is that, you know, I can make sure that this man makes a great deal of money, he and his family, through contracts, through various quasi-legal means, and I can also -- **if he doesn't accept this, you know, the same thing is going to happen to him that happened to Jaime Roldos in Ecuador and Omar Torrijos in Panama and Allende in Chile, and we tried to do it to Chavez in Venezuela and are still trying -- that we will send in the people to try to overthrow him, as, in fact, we recently did with the President of Ecuador, or if we don't overthrow him, we'll assassinate him. And these people all know the history. They know that this has happened many, many, many times in the past.**

AMY GOODMAN: Explain what happened to Torrijos, for example, in Panama, and what did you have to do with it? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, this was back in the '70s, and Torrijos was making a lot of World headlines, because he was demanding that the Panama Canal be turned back over to Panamanians. I was sent down to Panama to bring him around, to convince him that he needed to play the game our way. And he invited me to a little bungalow outside of Panama City, and he said, "Look, you know, I know the game, and if I play it your way, I'll become very rich, but that's not important to me. What is important is that I help my poor people." Now, Torrijos wasn't an angel, but he was very committed to his poor people. So he said, "You can either play the game my way, or you can leave this country." And I talked to my bosses, and we all decided I should stay. Maybe I could bring him around. In the meantime, we could make some money, and so I stayed. But I knew the whole World was watching Torrijos because of this Panama Canal issue and that if he didn't come around, the jackals would be likely to come in. [inaudible] A man like Torrijos [inaudible] not only would we lose Panama, but he would set an example that others might follow. So I was very concerned. I liked Torrijos, and one of the reasons I wanted

to bring him around was not just because it was my job, but because I wanted to see him survive, and because he didn't come around, sure enough, **he was assassinated.**

AMY GOODMAN: How? **JOHN PERKINS:** **Fiery airplane crash,** and afterwards, there was no question that – **he had been handed a tape recorder as he got on the plane that had a bomb in it.**

AMY GOODMAN: How do you know this? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, I know the people that did the investigation afterwards, and this is pretty well-documented in many places also, but I, personally, was aware of what went on, and, of course, you know, our official line here was that, of course, that wasn't what happened. The plane simply blew up and hit a mountain. But there was no question, and in fact we were expecting this to happen. Three months before this, another president, Jaime Roldos of Ecuador, who I also was involved in trying to bring around, he very strongly opposed our oil companies. Not "oppose," isn't the right word. What he said is, "Oil from Ecuador has to serve the interest of the Ecuadorian people. Therefore, the oil companies are going to have to pay a lot greater share to the Ecuadorian people or we're going to nationalize them." And he'd run on a very, very strong anti-American campaign, and we knew that if he didn't change his ways, that something would happen to him. We were in his office making the same promises. You know, here we've got a couple of million dollars for you. Here we've got a bullet for you, basically. It's done a lot more subtly than that, but that's the short version. **And three months before Torrijos, his airplane also exploded.**

AMY GOODMAN: And what did the investigation reveal in that case? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, if you're talking about F.B.I. investigations, it revealed that there was an airplane that exploded in both cases. If you're talking about local investigations and investigations that were done by many international journalists, there were explosives on those planes, both of them. And, you know, it's relatively easy to get to assassinate one of these presidents who has a security force that's well armed, that surrounds him all the time, and in the case of both Roldos and Torrijos, **those security forces had been trained primarily at the School of the Americas, a U.S. training camp for South American armies. It's well known that when --**

AMY GOODMAN: Which used to be in Panama, actually? **JOHN PERKINS:** **Used to be in Panama, right, and it's well known that people that are trained this way stay pretty loyal to their trainers. And they didn't make a lot of money, and so if one of their trainers went back and said, "Hey, would you mind handing this tape recorder to Jaime Roldos?" And the security guy may very well know that there's a bomb in it, and I'm going to pay you several hundred thousand dollars or maybe in this case it's only \$100,000, because these guys were not very well paid, or, "simply look the other way while we plant something on the plane." That's an easy thing to do, and incidentally, we also tried to do that to Saddam Hussein. When he didn't come around, the economic hit men tried to bring him around. We tried to assassinate him. But that was an interesting point, because he had pretty loyal security forces, and in addition he had a lot of look-alike doubles, and what you don't want to be is a bodyguard to a look-alike double and you think it's the president and you accept a lot of money to assassinate him and you assassinate the look-alike, because if you do that, afterwards your life and your family's isn't worth very much, so we were unable to get through to Saddam Hussein, and that's why we sent the military in.**

AMY GOODMAN: Although Saddam Hussein was in the pocket of the U.S. for many, many years. **JOHN PERKINS:** He was and – but we wanted that final deal, similar to the one we'd struck with Saudi Arabia. We wanted to get Saddam Hussein to really tie in to our system, and he refused to do that. He accepted our fighter jets and our tanks and our chemical plants that he used to produce chemical weapons that we knew were being used against the Kurds and the Iranians. **He accepted all that, but he wouldn't quite tie into our system in such a big way that he would bring in the huge development organizations to rebuild his country, as the Saudis did, in a Western [corporate] image.** And that's what we were trying to convince him to do and also to guarantee that he would always trade oil for U.S. dollars, instead of Euros, and that he would keep the price of oil within limits acceptable to us. He would not go along with those things. If he had, he would still be president, Amy.

AMY GOODMAN: As a consultant, you did work in Saudi Arabia, John Perkins? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, yes, in fact I put -- I was one of the ones responsible for putting together the main deal there in the early '70s. As you may recall, Amy, OPEC decided that they were going to clamp down on us, shut off our oil supplies. They didn't like our policies towards Israel, and so in the early '70s, the supply of oil was cut way back in this country. We had long lines of cars at the gas stations, and we were afraid we were going to go to another depression like the one that started in 1929, so the Treasury Department came to me and some other economic hit men and said, "Look, this is unacceptable." And I give all the details of this in the book, *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*, but the short version is, they said, "Make sure that this doesn't happen again," and we knew that the key to stopping this sort of thing was Saudi Arabia, because it controlled more oil than anyone else. So again, the short version is we put together a deal whereby the House of Saud agreed to send almost all of the money it made from selling oil all over the World back to the U.S., invest it in U.S. government securities, the interest from those securities was used by the Treasury Department to hire U.S. companies to rebuild Saudi Arabia, power plants, desalinization plants, in fact, entire cities from the desert, and in the process, to westernize Saudi Arabia, to make it more like us. And the other part of the deal was the House of Saud agreed to keep the price of oil within limits acceptable to us, and we agreed to keep the House of Saud in power, and that deal still holds. It's been holding for a long time. There's a lot of blowback right now that's occurring around it, but from our standpoint as economic hit men, it was an extremely successful deal, and it's the one we tried to replicate with Saddam Hussein in Iraq.

AMY GOODMAN: We return to our interview with John Perkins, author of *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*. I asked him if he was the first person to coin the term "economic hit man." **JOHN PERKINS:** I think I may have been the first to use it in print, but we used it back in the '70s. We called ourselves -- and it was sort of a tongue-in-cheek term. Officially, I was chief economist, but we used that sort of tongue-in-cheek, because it described what we did. Since the book came out on hardback -- yes, and there's a new epilogue in the paperback, which covers a lot of the new material -- a lot of people have stepped out of the shadows and approached me and talked to me, high people in governments and other economic hit men and jackals and wanted to share their story. A lot of them want to do it anonymously, which is a little tricky for a writer these days, as you know, but it's been fascinating to me how many have stepped out. I also have seen, Amy, I think, a tremendous change in attitude around the World. We're seeing people really rebelling and saying, you know, we understand what's going on. And to be honest with you, I attribute a great deal of that to your show and other shows like it. You're reaching people. The internet, for example, is working wonders. And there's a lot of books, there's a lot of movies like *Syriana* and *Hotel Rwanda* and *Good Night, and Good Luck* and so on and so forth. So, the information is getting out. And I think once Americans understand what we're doing in the World and how much hatred this is generating, we will demand change. And I think history has proven that when we demand change in any area, eventually -- it takes a little time -- but we do get it. So I'm very hopeful.

AMY GOODMAN: And these people who have come forward, are they active today? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, yes, very much so. One of them -- you know, there was a president elected, the President of Ecuador, Gutierrez, a few years ago and he ran on a very, very strong anti-U.S. ticket. And he said that if he was elected, he would make sure that the people of Ecuador get the fair proceeds from Ecuadorian oil. As soon as he was elected, he was visited by an economic hit man, whom I know personally, and read the Riot Act, told the things we mentioned earlier, you know, "I've got money for you or a bullet." Within a month, he came to Washington. There was a famous picture shown all over Ecuador of him sitting, holding hands with George Bush. And very soon after that, he went against everything in his campaign promises. He cut sweet deals with the oil companies. He went back on the indigenous peoples, whose lands in the Amazon area he had promised to protect. And the Ecuadorian people went wild. They took to the streets. They protested and demonstrated and eventually threw him out of power. So this particular one backfired. But what -- the economic hit man did his job right. Gutierrez came around, and then the Ecuadorian people understood what was going on. I have good friends in Ecuador who called me shortly after that and said, "You know, when we elect someone democratically to do something and he doesn't do it, democracy requires that we throw him out. Why don't you the same thing in your country?"

AMY GOODMAN: John Perkins, what about Evo Morales? You talk about Gutierrez. **JOHN PERKINS:** Yeah, I spent a lot of time in Bolivia. In fact, at one time, I was offered the job as president of Bolivian Power Company, which is the second most important job in Bolivia, actually, behind the President. And Bolivia has this -- and it was an American-owned company, incidentally. Bolivia has this long record of giving into the I.M.F. and the World Bank, privatizing their resources, like their power company and their water company. And the people of Bolivia were fed up with this. They had been exploited and exploited and exploited. And so Evo Morales ran on this ticket that said, "I'm not going to put up with this anymore." And, of course, he's getting a bad name in the U.S., because we want to portray him as a cocaine-raising farmer who's all in favor of Castro and socialism and communism and cocaine. The fact is he did raise coca. He was a coca farmer. Coca's a very legitimate product in Bolivia that is not just used for cocaine. It's used for many other things.

AMY GOODMAN: Like? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, for example, high altitude sickness. Coca tea is perfectly legal in this country, too, and it's very effective against high altitude sickness, and derivatives of coca are used for many medicines. They're very effective. But the reason he was elected had nothing to do with any of that. It simply has to do with the extreme frustration and anger of the Bolivian people, of how they've been exploited and how the I.M.F. and the World Bank have insisted that they turn their resources over to foreign corporations. And also, you know, part of the World Trade Organization policies is that we insist that countries like Bolivia not subsidize their local industries and products, but that they accept our subsidies of them, and that they not erect any barriers against our goods coming in there, but they accept the barriers that we erect against their goods. And people around the World, Amy, are getting fed up with this. 300 million Latin Americans -- South Americans out of 360 million, over 80% have voted for these types of candidates.

AMY GOODMAN: Not to be ethnocentric about it, but America is a tremendous power, especially military power. It has been diverted now to dealing with Iraq. President Bush declaring war on Iraq, not exactly officially declaring it, but engaging in it. Do you think that that has something to do with what is happening in Latin America, not to take power away from the people and what they are doing there? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, certainly, I think that Hugo Chavez of Venezuela might not have survived his presidency. His presidency might not have survived had we not been in Iraq and Afghanistan, that we were so diverted. We -- the economic hit men tried to overthrow him, you know, a few years ago and were successful for about 48 hours. But then he had control over the oil company, and he was very, very popular. So he got back into office. At that point, had we not been involved in Iraq, I strongly suspect that we would have done something much more aggressive, as we've done so many other times. When the economic hit men fail, we take more drastic steps. Because we were so involved in Iraq, we didn't do that. This gave great support to all of the other movements in Latin America. And these other candidates, people like Evo Morales, really looked to Hugo Chavez as an example of someone who's had the staying power. He's been able to stay there, despite the fact that the administration has spoken so strongly against him and is so angry. The other side of the coin is that Brazil is a World power. It's one of the largest economies in the World, and it produces a tremendous number of military weapons that are used Worldwide. And Lula, of Brazil, he's backed off a bit. And there's an interesting story that I know behind that.

AMY GOODMAN: What? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, I'll get into that. But he's backed off a bit. But he still – he's made alliances with Chavez, with Kirchner of Argentina, with Morales of Bolivia. They've all agreed that if the United States does anything drastic, they'll stand together and oppose us. So there is this coalition that's happening. It's quite loose. But nonetheless, there's a tremendous amount of support there.

AMY GOODMAN: Lula, what do you know? **JOHN PERKINS:** Well, I was one of the speakers at the World Social Forum in Brazil in last February, and a man asked to meet with me who was a very high advisor to Lula. And he said, "You know, what you say in your book is all very true, but you just -- that's just the tip of the iceberg." He said, "You know, from the time I was a very young man, I was quite radical. And it was interesting to me, as I was going through university, how much sex, drugs, booze were available to me in the parties that I was invited to, and so on. And now that I'm in this position of power, I discover that somebody was taking pictures of all those things, that there's a record of this." And he says, "You don't realize how all-pervasive your Secret Services are. It's recruiting, in their own way, young people, even those that are extreme socialists and communists. Your people befriend us from very early ages and get a lot of information on us. So when we become high up in the government, they basically --" And I said, "They blackmail you?" And he said, "Well, you could use the word 'blackmail,' but I think I would prefer that's 'modern U.S. diplomacy.'" And I asked him, I said, "Well, is Lula a part of this?" And he obviously didn't really want to answer this question. He hesitated, and he said, "Let me just say that nobody gets to power in Brazil these days without being very willing to make compromises to your corporations and your government." He said, "I think Lula's a very, very good man, but he also has to deal with reality. And certainly, he's been watched all of his life, and I'm sure he's had the same temptations I did."

AMY GOODMAN: And he's also engulfed in a major corruption scandal, which, for many of his long-time supporters, Brazilians and outside, are raising a lot of questions. **JOHN PERKINS:** And I think the fact that the scandal has come out and has been blown into such proportions is an indication that someone is sending Lula a very strong message. Incidentally, the jackal – I'll call him – that was working with Gutierrez of Ecuador said to me, "You know, this isn't limited to other countries. This happens in your country, too. Don't you think that the assassination of John Kennedy and Robert Kennedy and Martin Luther King and John Lennon and others like that, and the many senators that have died in airplane crashes and other things, has sent a strong message to your politicians? And don't you think that --"

AMY GOODMAN: Who said this to you? **JOHN PERKINS:** The same economic hit man/jackal who visited Gutierrez and read him the Riot Act.

AMY GOODMAN: Would you care to share his name? **JOHN PERKINS:** No. I'll let him do that at some point, if he feels it's appropriate. Right now, he doesn't feel it's appropriate. He's still in the business. And so, many of these people are still very -- even the ones that have retired are getting pensions, and they've got royalties, some of them. So, they'll talk to me on the side and say, "I want you to put this in your book, but I'm not ready to talk." A couple of them I am working with to write a book, and my literary agent is working with them. So hopefully some of them will come clean. But it's a slow process in making that happen.

AMY GOODMAN: And these people you know, who you call economic hit men, who are the first to move in to these men who gain power, where does -- what do you know about Evo Morales now? He's just been elected President?**JOHN PERKINS:** Well, I have no doubt that he has been visited by at least one of these men, who's known him beforehand. These are not strangers that walk in. They've been hanging around Bolivia for a while, as I did. And so, once the President is elected, they walk into his office and shake his hands and say, "Congratulations, Mr. President. You won. We launched a strong campaign against you, but now you've won. And now, I want to tell you the facts of life and make you --"

AMY GOODMAN: And you know someone who has talked to him in this way? **JOHN PERKINS:** Yes.

AMY GOODMAN: And what was -- according to you, what was President Morales's response? **JOHN PERKINS:** Morales was very diplomatic about the whole thing, but absolutely stood firm and said, "You know, my people have elected me for a reason, and I intend to honor that." This is what his initial response was. But what I will say is we can't imagine the pressure now that's being exerted on a man like Morales, as is true with all these other presidents. They know what's happened before their time. And they – you know, the pressure will be put on them tighter and tighter and tighter. And imagine being in that position. Imagine being an integritous person and really wanting to help your country, being elected with a majority – Morales got 54% of the vote, which is unheard of in Bolivia; he was up against many opponents -- and then, wanting to implement the policy, and somebody walks into your office and reminds you of what happened to all these other presidents. And perhaps the most scary one was Noriega, who did not get assassinated. He wasn't a martyr. Instead, he had to stand by and watch several thousand innocent Panamanian civilians bombed, slaughtered, burned to death. And then he was dragged off to a U.S. prison, where he has been pretty much in solitary confinement every since. Imagine thinking that might happen to you. And so, Evo Morales, the story has just begun for him. I sympathize with him very deeply. And I think from our standpoint, Amy, as American citizens -- and I look at myself as an extremely loyal American citizen. I believe in the principles of this country, which I think that in the past few decades, increasingly, we've put them way in the back burner. But as good Americans, we need to insist that our government and our corporations honor democracy.

AMY GOODMAN: John Perkins, author of *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*. To purchase an audio or video copy of this entire program, click here for our new online ordering or call 1 (888) 999-3877.

[Current State of Affairs \(as of May 2006\)](#)

There is no question in our minds that the US will go bankrupt and there will be a debt workout of something like \$0.30 on the dollar. Our country has been stripped of its ability to compete. We cannot compete with slave labor. Out of the social, financial and economic chaos that awaits us the Illuminists, our enemies, intend to impose a New World Order. This corporatist fascist movement came into being in 1980, and it plans to deliver America into slavery in the next ten years. All advanced in age, the trillionaire titans of conspiracy, want to see success before they meet their demise. Analysts have said that two factions are coordinating over the shape of the future Fascist New World Order. One faction, represented in the Republic party, are the Nazis (remember, the Bush Dynastry traded with the Nazis) and the other faction, represented in the Democratic party, are the Communists (remember, the Rothschild and Rockefeller banks also funded the Communists). They are of course working for the same Rothschild/Vatican-Jesuit/Masonic dynasties, using the rest of the World's peoples as their pawns. Now, let's see what some of these guys are upto lately towards their drive to create the U.S.S.A. modeled on the U.S.S.R./Nazism with more details from the International Forecaster's Bob Chapman (MAY 2006 #3 Vol. 10 No. 5-3, P. O. Box 510518, Punta Gorda, FL 33951-051800, International_forecaster@yahoo.com www.theinternationalforecaster.com).

I think Vice President Dick Cheney believes he is the reincarnation of Herman Goring. 9-11 was the elitist version of the Reichstag fire and it was the operative event that was used to introduce forms of dictatorship that had been formulated years before when Mr. Cheney was Secretary of Defense under George H. W. Bush. The vehicle for total control of the American people was the USA Patriot Act and following legislation. After that was accomplished military and intelligence operations were taken out of the hands of government and into the control of private and public corporations. This was the transition to the corporatist fascist state. After George H. W. Bush was defeated for a second presidential term Mr. Cheney took over as CEO of Halliburton. Later he and George P. Schultz, who was the CEO of Bechtel, formed the Bush-Cheney team for the presidency. We know what transpired and after in office with Cheney crony, Don Rumsfeld, the lies began and at the same time military and intelligence was in part turned over to Halliburton, Bechtel, Carlyle and other crony companies. This is when this triumvirate took over the entire American intelligence operation. What has followed is the worst corruption in modern times. Not only the stealing, but also the spying on Americans, privatized torture, abuse and murder and holding people without charges for years and kidnapping them off the streets of the World in violation of the sovereign laws of other countries. This is what murderous dictators do. Through globalization, private mercenary armies, private secret police forces all run by firms such as Halliburton and Bechtel, anyone who speaks out is hunted down and silenced or murdered. Via globalization, which is the elimination of the nation-state, power is transferred from the nation state to elitist transnational corporate cartels. The system becomes totally predatory. First it's the elimination of whole industries, then public investments, then governments and then the World.

The table is set by the creation of perpetual war for perpetual peace. The masses are eventually against the wars but are powerless to stop them. The wars are theatre devised as distraction to keep the citizens focused on what is a stage play, as the globalists steal your freedom, livelihood and your entire way of life. This is to be the recreation of Imperial Rome via the financier oligarchy and the control of America's vast military machine. A World system of interlocking syndicates, which rule people, not governments. This is how we know financial collapse is in process. It has been used many times before albeit unsuccessfully. This is a threat to civilized life, as we have known it. Justice is first perverted and then destroyed. You cannot defend your rights against the state if they own the system. Power is being transferred from the nation-state to the international financial interests. This is not only treason; it is the destruction of our laws and culture. This has to be reversed and the only way to affect that legally is to take over Congress in the next election, voting almost all of the participants out of office and then impeaching our President and Vice President. If we are unsuccessful in doing that, then the only resort left for the masses could be a new declaration of independence from the BankLords.

One of the top priorities on the Illuminists' agenda is the privatization of national security, better known as the Princeton Project. It is to affect the expansion of the privatization of military functions through private military companies, or private mercenary armies. This is funded in part by the Ford Foundation and is co-chaired by George Schultz of Bechtel and Felix Rohatyn, a powerful financier and strong backer of Israel. This group is attempting to eliminate the sovereign nation-state, by outsourcing to multinational corporations, virtually all national security and military functions, including all non-combat as well as core combat functions of the military itself. This transfer in power, privatization, will be justified by the perpetual global war on terrorism. It will be readily acceptable to the public because their children won't be drafted into the military. Those who choose the profession will eliminate the need for that. This process has already begun as quasi-private bands of commandos are sent around the World with a license to kill, and domestically to spy on American citizens. The personnel for these operations come from Delta Force, Navy Seals, Green Berets and other special military units. They are given temporary leave to work for private contractors and later can return to active duty with no loss of rank or service time. When killed on mission they are not counted among US casualties. In finality there is no difference between military and mercenary.

This marks America's slide back into feudalism. Needless to say, the public doesn't have a clue that this is what is being done to them. It is the intention to carry this kind of privatization beyond security services. Thus, our military will be run for profit by transnational conglomerates that have a vested interest in perpetual warfare for profit. This movement

started with the demise of the Soviet Union, but the insider relationships go back to the 1960s. In fact, Schultz, Rohatyn and Kissinger were the key planners of the CIA overthrow and murder of Chilean President Salvador Allende, and the installation of the military junta of Augusto Pinochet, whom they later threw to the dogs. This is a key part of America's elite, those who hold all our institutions and people in contempt.

This is the beginning of the formation of an SS similar to that of Nazi Germany, Men and women who swear allegiance to corporations and not to America. These will be organizations in service to the state, which will be made part of the budget of the Defense Department and have special legal status. These mercenaries operate beyond the law and totally apart from what will serve as the American Army. Once all is in place we will have dictatorial government. In Iraq, these mercenary armies have received \$150 million and \$200 million additional that cannot be accounted for. These are overcharges by the contractors. We have already had massive privatization of military functions, logistical support, combat engineering, intelligence and interrogations not to mention combat. These contracts are so well entrenched that they already control our military. There are more than 150,000 contractors in Iraq alone. That really means we have 300,000 personnel in Iraq, not 150,000. One of the most freighting aspects is the DOD Counterintelligence Field activity whose mission is to develop and manage DOD counterintelligence programs, technology, critical infrastructure, economic security and US interests, against foreign influence and manipulation as well as to detect and neutralize espionage against the department is that it operates secretly. Its budget is classified and all we know is that there are 1,000 employees and that 70% of its work is contracted out. Their mission includes roving patrols around military bases and facilities to surveillance of potentially threatening people or organizations inside the US. It also identifies and assesses threats from foreign intelligence services, terrorists, and other clandestine or covert entities. They have psychologists for support as well as a team of renowned forensic psychologists who are engaged in risk assessments of the Guantanamo detainees. They are also used to direct torture. Talon, another arm, is engaged in a massive domestic surveillance program, targeting antiwar groups and other political activists, with no al Qaeda or other terrorist link. That is you and us. This is achieved in part by data mining, the cross-grid scores of government and commercial databases, containing credit information, employment records, and other personal data on millions of law-abiding Americans. This is a legacy of Adm. John Poindexter, who probably still is connected to CIFA.

The global association of mercenaries has its own association to promote the privatization of war, the International Peace Operations Association. That name is right out of Orwell's 1984. These are nothing more than murders for hire. The cabal has been successful so far. They are now supplying 50% of the personnel for war. The profits are giant and usually on no bid-contracts. This is where our country is headed and we had better stop it. If we do not, we'll all end up in internment camps. There is nothing random or unlucky about the coming fall of the dollar. Economic and financial policies in place for years guaranteed that we would have a dollar crisis. It has arrived and the carnage will be spectacular after all is said and done. Since 1971, the dollar has been a salvage operation. Bailout after bailout: 1974, 1982, 1990, 2000 and today. It's a wonder the dollar has held up as the World's reserve currency as long as it has. The dollar bubble has been pricked and it is headed down. The elitists cannot force the nations who continually revalue their currencies to stop. There are more than 150 currencies doing it led by China. The US rather than implement tariffs are devaluing the dollar. The problem is that will solve very little. The global savings glut as it is called is about to end. Nations won't have to borrow or buy dollars to keep their currencies weak. The dollar is officially going to devalue. In that process two-thirds of international reserves that are in dollars will suffer major losses. We would also expect the \$2.6 billion in dollar flow each day will eventually cease and that problem will have to be solved by the Federal Reserve buying U.S. Treasury securities and monetizing them, which is highly inflationary. This is one reason why it's been so easy for us to predict interest rates. If the dollar is falling, higher interest rates are not going to help much. Investors are finally starting to catch on and they are bailing out of dollar-denominated assets. Next they'll be joined by small countries and then large countries. This will take two years and the fallout will be gruesome. This is why gold, silver and commodities are over performing and will continue to do so. Investors are saying where do I go that is relatively safe and that I can offset World inflation? They have no other alternative than gold and silver and commodities. We have been long gold and silver assets for six years and our subscribers are doing very well, but we are the exception.

International elitists and Fed policy now demand a weaker dollar; weakening as slowly as possible to avoid a panic. Those who believe the decline will be orderly are mistaken. The root of the problem has not been solved and that is excessive dollar liquidity creation and an incessant outbound flow. That creation of excess liquidity has not stopped; it has gotten worse. Look at our weekly statistics. It's no wonder M3 was terminated. Now we have an official policy of dollar devaluation and at the same time massive creation of monetary aggregates. A hyperinflationary depression is on the way and it is being aided and abetted by other major central banks that are raising interest rates like the Fed and at the same time increasing monetary aggregates by more than 10%. No one wants to be left out of the upside action while it lasts, because it won't last much longer. One of the reasons for this continued great outpouring of liquidity is that the US real estate market is dead and falling. All those cash-out and equity loans are history – at least as we have known it. In order to support and liquefy the economy, now that mortgage borrowing is going to be history, Americans have to be forced deeper into debt with freely accessible money. The consequence of a lower dollar is higher import prices and higher inflation. That will be accompanied by ever-greater volatility and more as more money and credit enters the system. That means World stock, bond and real estate prices will fall. As we just saw last week, import prices are moving aggressively higher. The G-7, IMF, "Plaza-lite" Accord will be anything but lite. This will be a blood bath. Even if the dollar decline is "orderly" it will none the less be nasty. All the central banks are doing is buying time.

This past week we saw the first trial balloon on the campaign of Jeb Bush to become the next Republican nominee for president. The neocons have been accumulating funds for Jeb for six years in an attempt to extend the dynasty. We are

sure the voting fix is being prepared as well. Jeb Bush is far more dangerous than his lunatic brother because he's smarter. George's comment was prepared. These people only speak when it is to their advantage. This was no off-the-cuff remark. The neocon Gestapo marches on. A Cleveland woman was manhandled and charged with two felonies for trying to display anti-Bush posters. She was then jailed in a psychiatric unit, which was highly unusual and outrageous. The judge said she suffered from mental problems and that her opposition to Bush makes her delusional. Off to the gulag Soviet style. Only in America. Former NSA staffer Russell Tice will testify to the Senate Armed Services Committee this week that not only do employees at the agency believe the activities they are being asked to perform are unlawful, but that what has been disclosed so far is only the tip of the iceberg. He says former NSA head Gen. Michael Hayden, Bush's nominee to be the next CIA Director, oversaw more illegal activity that has yet to be disclosed. Tice will tell the NSA conducted illegal and unconstitutional surveillance of US citizens while he was there with the knowledge of Hayden. We suspect he'll go into the Echelon program, which is a massive system designed to intercept virtually all electronic communications throughout the World. Phone calls, fax traffic and e-mails are analyzed despite laws in every country banning such activity, including the US. The system was developed in 1947, and was taken over by the NSA in 1953. The operations at Fort Meade worked from Men with Hill in England. It was used during the Cold War era to keep an eye on the Soviet Union. We also had stations for all kinds of electronic intercepts throughout the World, we know we were there and we were involved in it. We can only remember of two instances in which we were aware of spying on others than the Soviet Union and its satellites - once on our own field units and other times on British and French aircraft.

Echelon was designed primarily for non-military targets: governments, organizations, and business in every country. It can also be considered industrial espionage. The analysis was based on key works like money, stocks, drugs, etc. We did not work on Echelon but we knew all about it. Echelon concentrated on foreign nations up until 1985 and it then started monitoring Americans. As we all now know, tens of millions of phone calls by US citizens and others in the US have been monitored by the government since 2001. The phone companies now must answer for this invasion of privacy and explain their willingness to violate the Constitutional protections of the Fourth Amendment, in addition to violating provisions of the 1978 law governing spying on US citizens. The bottom line is our government is at war with its citizens and we have our own government as our enemy. The corporate fascist interests that run our country want to establish unilateral control over everyone and everything, and if you expose the truth about what they are doing you are the enemy. We are the victims of state terrorism.

Two weeks ago the Iranian government said it would soon open its oil bourse. At the same time the G-7 said they were giving the job to the IMF of bringing about a soft landing for the dollar. Since then the dollar has plummeted from 90 on the dollar index to 84, and the euro has climbed from \$1.21 to \$1.29. The reality of the matter is the neocons couldn't find an excuse quick enough to invade Iran. Iran will trade oil in euros and after a few months that will put pressure on the dollar. It means that countries that have lots of dollars can sell dollars and buy more euros or gold. It will also end the era of the US dollar as the World's reserve currency. The dollar will correct 60%. The dollar index should fall to about 50 and the euro should rise to about \$1.60 to \$1.90. This event will transpire over the next few years and cause a crisis bigger than the depression of the 1930s. Generally speaking very few people know of this event and its ramifications. Our media has blacked it out. Foreign central banks hold two-thirds of their reserves in dollars so they will face severe losses as well. There is no question in our minds that the US will go bankrupt and there will be a debt workout of something like \$0.30 on the dollar. Our country has been stripped of its ability to compete due to WTO, NAFTA, CAFTA and almost the complete destruction of our manufacturing capability. In order to revive our economy protective tariffs will have to be put in place because otherwise there will never be a recovery. We cannot compete with slave labor and we have not been able to do so since the inception of our country. Once the dollar falls we won't be able to service our debt in spite of hyperinflation and the bottom will fall out. That is when the new World Order elitists will use Worldwide depression to seize assets and implement a police state. This is why you have to get out of debt and into gold and silver related assets.

From time to time we hear about Non-Governmental Organizations, NGO's, many of which have gotten themselves in hot water and rightly so. Some countries now require them to register. George Soros' fronts, the "Open Society Institute" affiliates, have been shut down in Eastern Europe; and Venezuela has charged the Súmate NGO leaders with treason. These are the heirs of the missionaries who served as scouts for corporations and governments. Today NGO's may be international organizations or grassroots groups. Some of the funders are American Express Foundation, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, AID, Canadian International Development Agency, Bristol Myers Squibb, Ford Foundation, Harvard, Oxfam and the UN Development Programs, all controlled by the elitists to do what they cannot do under government auspices, like foment revolution or radical change. They, under the guise of bringing democracy, open the door for foreign capital and business, resource extraction and military adventurism or training.

Then there is the US National Endowment for Democracy, created by Congress in 1983 to do openly what the CIA had been doing during the Cold War as covert activities. Used as pass-throughs, under covert funding, are the NEA, American Newspaper Guild, the National Student Association Act and a myriad of other phony foundations, groups and corporations. You can include as well the Chamber of Commerce, AFL-CIO, the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs and the International Republican Institute. There are hundreds more funded by taxpayers or by the sale of narcotics. We must not leave out the Mott Foundation, Smith-Richardson and Mellon-Scaife Foundations. The list goes on and on and the US and Canada are not alone in using fronts to further their interests. Germany, France, the Netherlands, Greece, Italy and Sweden fund their political parties foundations. Then there is the EU, UNICEF, WHO, UNESCO, UNDP and FAO, all propaganda fronts for international socialism. Even the World Bank, WTO, IMF AND NAFTA. There are extensive grant programs from Rotary [Masonic], American Friends Service Committee and Oxfam, American Federation of Teachers Fund and Boeing Employees Fund. These public and privately financed fronts, called NGO's, have worked together for years -

fund and direct violent and non-violent overthrows of governments and assist in assassinations. In the late 1950s we read a book entitled, "The Ugly American." It was about how USAID worked in Asia and was made into a movie, so this is nothing new. The specialty of almost all of these organizations is destabilization, the creation of mobs preventing elected governments from ruling, stuffing ballot boxes, chaos and violence. They funded the civic Forum in Czechoslovakia, Solidarity in Poland, Union of Democratic Forces in Bulgaria, Otpor, groups within the USSR and the Orange Revolution in Ukraine. The NED, Rockefeller, Ford and Soros groups move professional dissidents from one country to another fomenting problems. Wherever there is an opening these elitists' fronts step into fray and take advantage of the situation to further globalization or control. So when you hear NGO take a hard look because anything they are doing is not in your best interests.

Vice President Dick Cheney has just spit in our face again. He does the outrageous and hopes to get away with it. He continues to argue that NSA should intercept purely domestic telephone calls and e-mail messages without warrants in the hunt for terrorists. This in spite of the fact that the majority of Americans are against such violations of their personal rights. In actuality this program was designed and promoted by Gen. Michael V. Hayden when he ran the NSA in 2001. This man was the principal architect of the plan, so now they want to put him in charge of the CIA. Hayden really believes the spy program is legal. This man has to be a fascist sociopath. It is illegal for the NSA to violate FISA and it's as simple as that. In fact, it isn't even within his venue or job to defend such a program in behalf of the Neocons. If this man runs the CIA we all have much to fear. He is as crazy as the rest of the cabal in the White House. Kuwait has revalued the dinar for the first time in 17 months, which sparked a rally in regional currencies and intense market speculation that Saudi Arabia would follow. The devaluation is against the US dollar against which the dinar is pegged. That is a sterilization process to combat inflation. The Democrats won't attempt to impeach President Bush and VP Cheney. They don't want the issue as an impediment as they try to remove Republicans from office in November. In addition, many Democrats have been compromised or bought off. It is incredible that they can say they won't impeach even after the November election. The Army Corp of Engineers has cancelled the work remaining on a \$70 million project to refurbish 20 hospitals in Iraq, deepening a dispute with Parsons Engineering, one of Americans largest contractors operating in Iraq. That leaves 8 hospitals to be completed by another operator. There were 150 that were supposed to be completed.

As we write this on Sunday we see versus the dollar over the last month the Yen is up 7.48%, the Euro 6.09%, the British pound 7.86% and gold moved up 19.33%. What would you expect when the Fed intonates that they'll have one or two more interest rate increases and the G-7 says the dollar has to be managed downward. Fed Chairman Bernanke has two choices, increase rates and have a slowly descending dollar, and a slowly descending economy, or keep rates the same and see the dollar plunge. Whatever the choice and we believe it will be higher rates, it will be a long time before rates can be cut. Every business day the US economy needs \$3.5 billion of new net flow of money from foreigners to finance the current account deficit. If it stops the dollar plunges and perhaps the US slides into bankruptcy and the dollar is no longer the World's reserve currency. The World's other central bankers are sending a message as well, they sold a net \$14.4 billion in US assets this month and we still have two weeks to go. That is the most since 8/98, which is significant. These banks cannot be aggressive. They do not want to drive the dollar lower if they can help it because their foreign exchange reserves are 45% to 63% in US dollars. This means the dollar can go nowhere but down, as we have predicted for some time.

Sir Alan Greenspan ducked out after he increased M3 \$4.3 trillion in six years of the Bush neocon administration. That is about \$717 billion a year. This of course is in part what is keeping the stock market at current levels and that credit and money Bernanke keeps pouring only adds fuel to the inflationary fire in an attempt to keep the US economy from deflating. Why would any sane person want to hold dollars? The trade deficit will be \$800 billion and we believe foreigners have finally had enough of US monetary, fiscal and personal profligacy. It took them six years to catch on. Let's hope it doesn't take six years for them to discover their salvation, the only real currency gold and gold related assets. The kindness of strangers is at an end. US debt is increasing at five times GDP, as household debt knows no end, increasing 12%. As interest rates rise and house prices fall there will not be \$640 billion available to spend from home equity like there was in 2005. Consumption will fall from 71% of GDP to 64.5% or less. That \$2 trillion taken out of home equity over the past five years will no longer be available again. In the first quarter, 88% of loans that were financed were done at least 5% above their previous mortgages. This is the most since 9/90, when the last real estate collapse occurred. All the money has been spent, there is no savings and personal debt is colossal. Bernanke is still feeding the monster - just look at the credit expansion figures every week. They are out of sight. Credit of all types averages about 14% and the money supply is climbing at 9 1/2% to 10%. If you middle them you have 12% and most major countries are doing the same thing. The amount of money borrowed by Americans is far beyond sanity. It's like the one last big fling. No one, including government, seems to care as mortgage foreclosures jump 72% in the first quarter. As rates go higher foreclosures will mount exponentially. Home sales are off more than 2%, inventories have doubled in months and prices are down nationwide almost 4%. The market has topped and is descending as real estate loans expand 11%. What can they conceivably be thinking of? The economy has topped out and there is only one way to go and that is down. Wait until the rest of the home equity is gone. You will hear a great thud just like you heard in California in 1990.

These catastrophic circumstances are going to affect the entire World. None is going to escape unless they are in gold and silver. We are a year or two away from major bank failures. There has been no turning back for five years. The great purging has begun and it will last for a number of years. You have no idea how bad this is going to get. You must prepare yourselves. You must be in gold and silver assets. The current war in Iraq is destroying America's finances - \$440 billion for 2007 - a budget for war bigger than the next 25 nations combined, spending this year will be more than \$600 billion. This is a sure way to bankruptcy. It is no wonder the idiot in the White House has a 29% approval rating. The only

positive side is Congress has a rating 5-points lower. The Democrats offer no alternatives. Then the only alternative left is, with minor exception, vote them all out of office. Both parties want to continue the war, but the Democrats say they know how to fight the war better – how stupid is that. We told you of China's \$15.5 billion surplus, but didn't include other important numbers. March also saw a trade deficit for the US with Japan - \$7.5 billion, up from \$7.1 billion in February. The deficit with OPEC was -\$8 billion, up from -\$7.3 billion and with the EU -\$10.1 versus -\$8.3. Those are poor results with our largest trading partners. [but OPEC is saving us by recycling their Petro-Dollars into the Federal Reserve System by buying US Treasury Bills] It looks like Laura Bush is back on the weed. She says she does not believe opinion polls showing her husband's approval ratings at a new low of 29. Is it drugs, denial or insanity? It doesn't much matter. She has to be real dumb to get quoted in such a way. Net flows of capital into the US assets fell to \$69.8 billion in March, which was sufficient to cover the trade deficit of \$62 billion. International investors slowed purchases of US securities, as foreign central banks were net sellers of treasury notes for the first time in six months. In February, net purchases were \$90.5 billion. There is no question there is a trend in the making. Experts expected the inflow to be \$79.9 billion. Purchases of Treasury securities rose a net \$3.07 billion, down from \$21.9 billion in February. Foreign central banks cut their holdings by \$6.3 billion, as demand for Agency debt increased by a net \$19 billion. The UK, China and OPEC all increased their holdings again led by the US and Caribbean banking centers, which is a mixture of hedge funds and Treasury monetization purchases. They boosted holdings by \$7.3 billion. Corporate bond holdings rose \$48.1 billion. Japan continues to be a small seller, selling \$18.2 billion and it holds a total of \$640.1 billion. China bought a net \$1.6 billion in US debt in March and holds \$321.4 billion. UK holdings, which include investments from Middle East oil economies, recycled through British banks, rose in March by \$16.8 billion to a total \$171.5 billion. For almost a year the bulk of the buying has come out of the Middle East.

Although it is no laughing matter, we still had to laugh when VP Cheney said while in Russia that Mr. Putin's government in many areas of civil society from religion and the news media to advocacy groups and political parties, that the government has unfairly and improperly restricted the rights of people, and he warned the Kremlin against using oil as a tool to achieve political ends. No legitimate interest is served when oil and gas become tools of intimidation or blackmail, either by supply manipulation or attempt to monopolize transportation. Mr. Putin ignored Mr. Cheney's comments in the name of diplomacy. What he should have told Mr. Cheney was that the Patriot Acts are unconstitutional as is wiretapping and torturing and murdering people. We are not pro-Russian. We are pro-fairness and Russia is no less democratic than America. The difference is approach. Cheney then went on to Kazakhstan where he attempted a thinly veiled snow job. He wants the Kazak oil. [he was actually bribing the Kazak politicians]. He attacked Russian oil monopolization when only seven companies control our oil supply. Is there any difference? What about all the other countries that have nationalized oil – we don't see Cheney attacking them. The Kazak's await an offer from Cheney for a pipeline the US would run to Europe bypassing Russia through pipelines under the Caspian Sea. Kazak President Nazarbayev wants the offer so he can get more money for his oil from Russia. After all too many years in Eastern Europe and West Asia the US demanded what it wanted. That game is over like it or not and in spite of large war making skills. A lawsuit is in a federal court to order President Bush, the NSA and Verizon to end a secret snooping program. The suite contends such spying violates the Constitutional right to privacy and federal law. That is \$1,000 violation and a \$50 billion bill for Verizon.

Democrats are asking House Speaker Dennis Hastert about the mysterious disappearance earlier this month of legislation that would stop the sale of private telephone records over the Internet. The bill, which had cleared the Energy & Commerce Committee with unanimous support had been slated for an airing before the full House on 6/12. Republicans removed it from the House floor schedule and told no one. This is what passes for Democracy today. It is thought intelligence agencies had it killed. This is probably true because an exemption had been sought for intelligence gathering activities. What the spies want is our telephone records because they are building a profile on everyone in America. US industrial production rose 0.8% in April led by business equipment. Capacity utilization rose to 81.9%, the highest since 2002, from 81.4% in March. Further to foreign net capital flows, net foreign purchases of US Treasuries fell a large 86% to only \$3.1 billion, a new 3-year low. Foreign central banks, doing something they had not done in three years, sold, net, \$6.3 billion in securities. This is an ongoing reduction in foreign participation and in their exposure to US debt securities. They only bought 30% of last week's 10-year Treasury note auction. It was their lowest participation in 15 months. In this month's 3-year note auction they only took 24%, that's down from 45% participation in 2004. The 2-year best month had only a 24% participation, the worst since 11/04, and the 5-year had only a 21% foreign participation rate, the worst for that security since 10/03. It is now very obvious that foreign central banks are not going to accumulate further US assets and that means monetization and inflation. The National Association of Home Builders Index fell six points to 45 in May, an 11-year low. New housing construction fell for the third month in a row with starts falling 7.4%. The PPI, Producer Price Index, rose 0.9% in March. The pundits found this acceptable, but understandably no one said that's more than 10% a year. Each day we become more appalled at the stupidity of most all people throughout the World. In America, the dumbed-down capital of the World the people sit idly by as enemies within their Republic destroy all that has been accomplished since this country's inception. The question really is who is more corrupt, Congress or our President and his henchmen? One of the hottest real estate markets in the country, San Diego, saw a 60% jump in foreclosures in the first quarter, which was the largest since 1992. We believe in the coming year home equity will melt like sugar cubes dropped into a hot coffee cup. There are 241 foreclosures in San Diego County in the first quarter. Nationally, 323,102 properties entered some stage of foreclosure, a 38% increase from the fourth quarter and a 72% y-o-y increase. Riverside County, California had a 64% increase in default notices over the past year. The statewide increase was 29%.

The \$500 billion deficit in just major company retirement plans is about to affect corporate bond investors. Thousands of companies are delinquent in contributing to pensions and they will have to disclose more information about retirement

funds and health care costs in financial statements later this year. Congress is debating a new law that will force companies to start plugging the gaps in their pension plans. New rules may require companies to use cash or borrow to meet obligations to millions of pensioners. Fixing the retirement funds could cause bond losses of \$24 billion, based on investor reaction. Legislators are not going to allow the taxpayer to bail out corporate malfeasance. Our estimate for under funding is more than \$1 trillion. The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp. insurer for companies with unfunded pensions, assumed responsibility for 120 plans with 235,000 members already and they are in debt some \$28 billion. The plans will be fully funded, but almost all companies will abandon them now that they cannot screw their employees any longer.

It is small wonder the public holds Congress in such low esteem, only six months away from election, with a federal budget deficit out of control, a war that is a disaster in every sense of the word, with military spending totally out of control and with public services being slashed, Congress gives us \$70 billion in tax cuts, mostly for the rich. This comes as middle-income workers face sky-high fuel prices, soaring health costs, and variable-rate mortgage payments that are going through the roof. Not only is the tax cut politically and fiscally irresponsible, but it is obscene. We have to include the two-year extension of capital gains and dividend cuts and a one-year extension of relief from the AMT. Eighty-seven percent of the benefits of tax cuts will go to 14% of households earning above \$100,000 a year; 22% of the benefits go to the richest two-thirds of 1% of households earning more than \$1 million a year. Why do wealthy Americans need a tax cut? That is when the gap between rich and poor is wider than it's been in a century. The rich now pay a smaller percentage of income in taxes than at any time in the last 75 years. We no longer have a graduated income tax, and the trickle down theory doesn't work. The rate of new investment during this recovery has trailed the rate of investment during the three previous recoveries. Almost nothing has trickled down. Productivity is up marginally, but the current median wage of about \$35,000 is what it was five years ago due to offshoring and outsourcing. While top executives are raking in 7 and 8 digit compensation packages, middle-class workers are getting screwed. Remember, all this in November, and except for a handful throw every one of these Congressmen and women out of office.

Morgan Stanley's Stephen Roach has done a 180-degree turn. First he tells us the World financial situation is going to be just fine, now he tells us we have a commodities bubble. If he is wrong on these two issues, and he will be, his reputation will be destroyed. As we have said often, economists are captive to corporate and governmental interests and they cannot tell you the truth if they wanted too. Prices of commodities are up 200% over the past five years and they have to double again just to catch up with inflation. Mr. Roach seems to have overlooked that or the fact that inventories are at all-time lows. That doesn't matter though. When Morgan Stanley tells him to change his tune, he changes it. Thus, Mr. Roach tells us that, purely from the standpoint of global macro we have a bubble. Did it ever occur to this economist that all government statistics are bogus, particularly those of the CPI and unemployment? He obviously hasn't grasped that as yet. His masters, employers, could very well be short the commodities. He doesn't tell us whether they are or are not. If he doesn't know we suggest he find out. As per usual, he probably doesn't want to know. As Mr. Roach tells us the basic premise of this new era of globalization has unleashed a powerful strain of commodity-intensive global growth that has caught a supply-constrained World largely by surprise. He is right but fails to add that this same globalization is gutting the former industrialized World and that inflation is over 10% annually in spite of lower wages. The problem is the demand has run loose and the elitists cannot contain it. If they shut off the fiat money and credit the whole system collapses. This is not a bubble. The demand is there and the supply and inventory is not there. In the case of gold and silver, it is the same but they are also driven as the only real currencies. All these nations to varying degree have cheapened the value of their currencies and so there is a flight from these currencies into real unfettered assets such as gold and silver.

Mr. Roach tells us to play the commodity bubble at your own peril, yet we see no similar admonition regarding a stock market Mr. Roach has to know is rigged. He is not dumb, so he knows. Thus again, we are treated with elitist propaganda with no one in the mainstream media or among newsletter writers who dares challenge his fallacies. There is no question our government, England and Israel are engaged in a secret war against Iran that is similar to the part played in Afghanistan when the Soviets occupied that country. This time it's covert operations involve the Kurdish militias and rebel Iranian elements. This harks back to our creation and employment of Osama bin Laden. Our elitist Neocons are doing everything possible to foment war with Iran. Venezuela is considering selling its fleet of US-made F-16 fighter jets to another country, perhaps Iran, in response to a US ban on arms sales to Venezuela. It has already been a long time since sensitive upgrades and replacement parts were supplied by the US. We expect Mr. Chavez to purchase new jets from Russia or China shortly. George and the Neocons say Venezuela is not cooperating. What that means is Mr. Chavez is not lying down before the Illuminist masters in Washington and London. This means prohibition on all commercial arms sales and licensing of defense articles and services to Venezuela. The excuse is Venezuela is harboring and providing a safe haven to FARC and the National Liberation Army. There is no proof of that. We would expect that once all the Citgo interests in the US are sold off that Mr. Chavez will then wean the US off Venezuelan oil.

The Washington Post-ABC News Poll tells us 69% of our citizens believe our nation is now off track, 56% say they'd prefer to see Democrats in control of Congress after the elections. When asked if they were inclined to reelect their current representative to Congress, 55% said they would look for a change. That is almost the same percentage when the Republicans took control in 1994. Democrats have an edge, but 52% said Democrats offered no sharp contrast. That in turn leaves the door open to Independents and challengers. This poll shows Bush's approval rating at 33%, down 5% in barely a month and a new low in this poll. Bush's disapproval ratings among Republicans has nearly doubled in the past month from 16% to 30% while his approval rating fell below 70%. Ninety percent of Democrats and 70% of Independents do not like the job Bush is doing. Only 37% believe Iraq has been worth the cost, the lowest ever, and 63% believe the war has not been worth it – a view shared by 80% of Democrats, 70% of Independents and 33% of Republicans. Forty-six

percent said Iraq was the main reason for Bush's disapproval. They found 33% approved of the job Congress is doing. This is in stark comparison to other polls that have Congress at 25% approval – 49% of Republicans approve while 47% disapproved, whereas 70% of Democrats and Independents disapprove. Only 39% approve of the job Democrats are doing, while 58% disapprove. Sixty-two percent said they approve of the way their representative is doing their job, up from 59% last month. Democrats hold an advantage of 52% to 40% when voters were asked whether they plan to vote for the Republican or Democratic candidate in their House district. Democrats had a double-digit lead over Republicans on 9 of 10 issues. By 2 to 1, or better, the public preferred Democrats to handle gas prices and health care. And, by double-digit margins, they preferred Democrats to handle (by 23%) education, by (18%) the economy, privacy by (15%) and by 14% Iraq, immigration and taxes.

Instead of enriching the seven major oil companies ["Seven Sisters"] we should be buying gasoline from Venezuela-owned Citgo, which helps provide medical care, food, housing and education for the poor of Venezuela. You will also be helping Citgo's 14,000 American employees. The bottom line on the Medicare Drug Program is that the program will eventually replace existing public and private spending on drugs with the taxpayer footing the entire bill. Once that is completed, all of medicine will be nationalized and we'll have the nightmare that Canada now has. Seventy-five percent of seniors already had some form of prescription drug coverage even before the hugely expensive Medical Drug Bill was passed in 2003. Eventually doctors and other healthcare professionals will become little more than employers of the state. All Congress had to do was target assistance to low-income seniors who needed the most help. Instead most of the Medicare beneficiaries enrolled in the drug program were enrolled automatically, whether by employers who are getting huge taxpayer subsidies, or through the new Medicare Advantage plans. About 6.4 million Medicare beneficiaries also eligible for Medicaid had no choice at all in the matter: They were simply transferred, en masse, into the new Medicare drug program. Congress created a universal entitlement, and a universal entitlement will eventually crowd out most alternative coverage, including employer-based coverage. While all this transpires, 75 year unfunded liabilities of the Medicare program have reached \$32.4 trillion, \$8 trillion from the drug entitlement alone. The hit will be heavy in 2011, when baby boomers start to retire. The answer is take everyone making over \$50,000 a year and with more than \$250,000 in assets off of Medicare. That will help save the system. Remember, that is us that will be cut off.

Consumer prices rose 0.6% in April led by higher energy prices. Higher rents finally figured in the increase. Year-on-year, CPI is up 3.5%, up from March y-o-y of 3.4%. For the first four months of the year, inflation is running at a 5.1% annual rate versus 3.4% in 2004. Our figures still show annualized inflation up over 10% annually for the past six years. More than a decade after US troops withdrew from Somalia, following a disastrous military intervention, the administration is secretly supporting secular [criminal] warlords who have been waging fierce battles against Islamic groups for the control of the capital, Mogadishu. If nothing else this looks like payback. The Islamic government wants the US involved in a more constructive way, but that is not going to happen. The administration refuses to answer any questions on the issue. We hear the justification for backing rebel [gangsters] warlords is to save Somalia from al Qaeda. Do they really expect us to believe that?

PIMCO's Bill gross really blew it on projections of the 10-year US Treasury yields. His prior range was 3% to 4.5%, which we called him on when he made the projection. We made ours 5 1/4%. He just upgraded to 4% to 5.5%. How very convenient. He had lots of excuses, but that is a very bad major call. He also tells us that global inflation remains benign, averaging 1-3% and believes dollar bases assets and the dollar should under-perform global alternatives. It is absolutely incredible that a man who controls billions of dollars in US Treasuries, via his funds, can continually lie about the economy. How can a professional miss the interest rate rise structure by 1% or 25%? Worse yet, he knows the BLS figures are bogus, yet tells us inflation will only be 3% or less. He knows inflation is considerably higher. This shows you the tremendous pressure that is placed upon money managers. These projections make Gross look like an absolute fool. An estimated \$2 trillion in home loans nationwide is expected to adjust upward in 2006-07. In Colorado, 28.5% of homeowners have 5% or less equity in their homes, and 47% have 15% or less. Only Tennessee homeowners, on average, have less equity. Increasingly, people who locked in 3-year ARMS with rates in the 4% range are finding loan rates rising by 50% or more.

Next, the downward spiral begins: They cannot afford the higher payment, they cannot sell their homes for profit, or they cannot refinance because they have little or no equity in their houses, or they're precluded from refinancing because of pre-payment penalties. They believed housing prices would go up forever. When you combine ARMS, 100% financing, negative amortization, seller-paid closing costs, rising rates, falling prices, rising inventory and a continuing sluggish economy, you have a recipe for 1988 to 1992 revisited and then some. A typical borrower who took out a 3-year ARM in 2003 at 4.18% could see that loan rise to 6.18% in 2006 and 7.625% in 2007. The first rise would move the payment to \$1,699, a \$528 increase from the initial amount, a 45% increase. Even worse, some have "Option ARMS" which gives consumers the choice of making minimum payments, minimum payments with interest, or payments with interest and principal. They can choose whether to amortize the loans on a 15-year or 30-year basis. Sometimes the rates change every month. If you don't pay the minimum payment it is added to your loan and it is called negative amortization, which means the loan can grow with every payment. The only regions that might get a break are those that didn't rise in value. 7.7 million borrowers took out \$1.88 trillion in ARMS in 2004-2005, \$368.3 billion of that amount are "loans with equity difficulty," that are at risk of going into default. It is thought 5% could default or \$550 billion of \$11 trillion of home equity in the US. That means lower prices and less consumption. We contend these loans should have never been made in the first place. The piper has to be paid. Rents, a large part of the CPI, rather than house prices, that lay dormant for six years are rising and so is the CPI, until, of course, they change the formulas again, which they do frequently. Rents in Los

Angeles were up 7% last year, double the rate of increases of recent years. The occupancy rate is 97%. Two-bedrooms in Hollywood are \$1,900 a month and in West LA it's \$2,460. This situation will add to inflation for the next three years.

Emerging-market stocks are falling for the biggest losses in two years, on speculation that rising interest rates will cause bond yields to climb and reduce demand for assets in developing countries such as South Korea and Russia. If the Fed keeps raising rates, money will start flowing back into US Treasuries. There is also the added factor of the yen carry-trade, which is going to deprive these markets of money for investment as the trade ends. Manufacturing activity in the New York area continued to expand in May, but at its slowest pace in almost a year. The NY Fed's Empire State Manufacturing Index fell to 12.4 in May from 15.8 in April. This is the lowest since 10.2 in June 2005. The New Orders Index increased to 16.4 from 14.1 in April. Shipments rose to 17.3 in May from 14 in April, while the Unfilled-Orders Index rose to 2.1 from minus 2.9 in the previous month. Inventories fell to 0.8 in May from 1.6 in April. The Prices-Paid Index rose to 42.6 in May from 37.9 in April and the prices received held steady at 14.8 from 14.5. Expectations of price increases six months ahead jumped to 59.8 in May from 50 in April. That means higher inflation or less profits ahead. The SEC said actions it is planning to reduce the costs of complying with the Sarbanes-Oxley corporate governance law will not include an exemption for small public companies. This is another political sellout. Now companies will go private, not form businesses, or go out of business. This is an idiotic, expensive, dreadful law.

The NY Fed says credit derivative dealer banks risk weakening the financial system by allowing hedge funds to borrow too aggressively. They said underlying credit exposures totaling more than \$17 trillion can throw up negative surprises. Visa USA, the largest US credit card association said its cardholders sent \$318.2 billion in the first quarter, 17.4% more than a year earlier. The number of cards outstanding grew by 9.8% y-o-y. Credit card volume rose 10.1% and check card by 17.6%. Commercial and small business card volume grew 27.4%. Moody's is rolling out a new system later this year, which will raise credit ratings on most of the \$1.2 trillion loans it monitors, potentially saving borrowers as much as \$4.6 billion a year in interest. The perverse thinking is that loans deserve higher ratings because lenders usually get paid more than twice as much as bondholders when companies default on their debt or go bankrupt. If that is the case, why didn't we make the change 100 years ago? This move is simply to shore up corporate balance sheets for spurious reasons because of looming hyperinflation and recession. They must think we are dumb. The MBA says weekly mortgage applications rose 4.6% last week from the previous week. Year-on-year they are down 14.7%. Apps for purchases rose 2.4%, while refinancings increased 8.4%. Refinancings accounted for 35% of all loans, up from 33.8% the previous week. ARMS accounted for 29.9% of loans, up from 28.5%.

The failure of FTAA, thanks to Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez Frias, forced George and the neocons to end run FTAA and secure separate individual trade pacts. These bilateral pacts have forced Canada, China and Europe to seek their own separate deal at the expense of the WTO. The result is a number of conflicting agreements that will do more harm than good. This is shooting WTO, NAFTA and CAFTA full of holes and we are happy about that. The US tact of competitive liberalization is dead and that signals the beginning of the end of free trade and globalization, as we have known it. The failure of the Doha Round was the first step in the direction of failure. Another signal of failure was the appointment of a technocrat, Susan Schwab as US Trade Representative. She's a lightweight, which is another signal there will be a change in direction. These bilateral trade agreements won't stand up to any negative financial or economic pressure that a larger agreement like FTAA could insure. The Neocons have implemented trade agreements with eight countries so far and it's seeking nine more. The answer for us is an end to WTO, NAFTA and CAFTA.

There is no question in our minds that the dollar's days as a reserve currency are coming to an end, and there really isn't a viable replacement as World reserve currency. The Euro cannot do it. One interest rate fits all doesn't work, and the EU still doesn't have a Constitution and we don't believe they'll ever get one. They did things backward. It should have been the Constitution first and then the Euro, but greed and the quest for World government got in the way. That leaves the lone contender as the World's new currency to gold and oil. Gold has been stockpiled by the World Bank. Gold owes no one anything. It has been a store of value for centuries, a safe haven in a political, economic or financial storm. It can be used as an anchor for other currencies. The gold solution is simple – a no brainer. The opposition is the elitists, central bankers and politicians. They want the ability to create fiat currency. The excuse is in today's global economy a gold standard is too restrictive. They want leverage and gold doesn't allow that. We have already witnessed what shambles fiat currencies have led to in our monetary systems. Central banks cannot be trusted to apply the precepts of sound money effectively. They have already demonstrated that. We need transparency and direct financial responsibility for failure. We do not want to hear sorry about that and the culprits walk off into the sunset. A gold standard system has to be policed by private interests, not government hacks. Government bailouts have to end, and the US government either has to settle now with creditors or go bankrupt before venturing a gold standard. Fixed exchange rates will be official and operated by private interest. They would also declare devaluations. This way everyone knows where they are at all the time. It's easy, painful and fully achievable and it will happen, but only under the worst of circumstances.

We want to comment again because it's so important, on Rep. James Sensenbrenner's (R-WI) Internet Stopping Adults Facilitating the Exploitation of Today's Youth Act – or Internet Safety Act. If it becomes law it will be only a matter of time before the International Forecaster will no longer be able to publish. The proposal is that ISP's be required to record information about Americans online activities so that the police can spy on anyone on the net more easily to conduct criminal investigations. Sensenbrenner has deliberately written legislation that is deliberately vague; so that any agency of the government and law enforcement can do anything they please to invade your privacy. The very minimum is a collection of user names, physical addresses, Internet Protocol addresses and subscribers' telephone numbers have to be retained. That would allow our Attorney General to order Internet providers to retain records of e-mail correspondents,

Web pages visited, and contents of the communications. So-called law enforcement takes total precedence over the rights of citizens. You will be spied upon 24/7. Furthermore anyone who publishes the truth will be regarded as an enemy of the state – a terrorist. If ISPS' don't comply they will be fined and imprisoned for up to one year. The supposed reason for the law is to catch child pornographers and pedophiles. If they want to do that all they have to do for openers is go to the White House or see George H.W. Bush and Henry Kissinger. The European Parliament has already approved such legislation for the Internet, telephone and voice over Internet Protocol (VOLP). The law opens the door to government fishing expeditions and unbounded data collecting. The first thing on Sensenbrenner's agenda is to go after all alternative news sources and get them off the Internet so the public cannot access the truth. It is very important this bill is defeated. Please send this message on to others, and you and they contact everyone in Congress to stop this monstrosity. Yes, and remove Sensenbrenner from Congress.

Scott Silverman, chairman of the Board of VeriChip Corp., has alarmed civil libertarians by promoting the company's subcutaneous human tracking device as a way to identify illegal aliens, immigrants and guest workers. Sorry, we'll find a better way Scott. Once they finished working on that group we'd be next. This we can promise you is something the government is seriously considering. They are presently considering chipping our troops with RFID chips to replace dog tags and to deposit medical records within the soldier. The president says Congress can oversight security. This maneuver is just a method of letting a toothless and impotent Congress save face. The terms on which they receive the information he lets them have will include a promise not to publicly criticize its limitations. That will be deftly embedded in a general nondisclosure agreement. The threats are prosecution and jail if anyone breaks the promise, plus revocation of the entire oversight agreements for all persons. This and NSA spying is serious, but the administration is about to give itself permission to make an all-out attack on Iran. We'll see if it will materialize.

Taxpayers will soon get a surprise bill that could exceed \$1 trillion for the cost of paying future medical benefits for state and local workers who retire. They have set aside \$2.5 trillion to help pay for 190 million civil servants and 7 million retirees, but they have set aside almost nothing to pay for retiree medical benefits. We anticipate a taxpayer revolt. The burdens on local government are so great that we'll probably end up with national health care. As an example, NYC's liability is \$50 billion and the city's entire budget last year was \$53 billion. The federal government also has a \$2.3 trillion unfunded liability for medical and disability benefits promised to civil servants and military personnel who retire. The shortfalls in Medicare is \$33.4 trillion and in Social Security \$4.6 trillion. It doesn't look good. The global derivatives market expanded to a record \$298 trillion in the second half of 2005, led by a 34% increase in contracts to insure debt payment, says the BIS, Bank for International Settlements. Total credit-default swaps rose to \$13.7 trillion. Sir Alan Greenspan says, "Home sales are off, applications are off, everything is going in the same direction. The boom is over, and you can say that with a fairly strong degree of confidence." No kidding Dick Tracy – we forecast the fall last June and it began last September. As always, Alan was one-year behind the curve. What Alan is really saying is prepare for the great dark pit, which I planned for you.

They are at it again. The Justice Department is accusing Abbott Laboratories of vastly inflating prices of its drugs as a part of a fraudulent billing scheme alleged to have cost government health programs more than \$175 million over 10 years. They raised the price of the intravenous antibiotic Vancomycin as much as 18 times what it charged, knowing that the Medicare and Medicaid programs would reimburse the providers based on the manufacturer's price. This is a whistleblower claim. Their greed has no boundaries. Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez Frias says he will consider putting the sale of oil in Euros. He said in London he felt the EU had made a great contribution with the euro. Since last October, Venezuela has transferred a large portion of its \$30.4 billion of foreign reserves out of US Treasures and into banks and other financial instruments in Europe.

It is absolutely no wonder the Fed doesn't want to publish M3. Bank credit surged \$43.3 billion to a record \$7.890 trillion, with a y-t-d of \$384 billion or 14% annualized. Year-on-Year its up 11.3%. Securities credit was up \$8.6 billion. Loans and leases jumped \$34.7 billion for the week which is out of sight, with a y-t-d gain of \$121 billion or 11%. Commercial and industrial loans have expanded at a 16.5% rate y-t-d and 14.5% y-o-y. Real estate loans rose \$9.4 billion, up 10.5% y-t-d and up 12.8% y-o-y. Consumer loans were up \$1.2 billion and securities loans expanded \$16.9 billion. Other loans were up \$7.3 billion. Large time deposits gained \$1.6 billion. M2 dropped \$23.9 billion to \$6.770 trillion. Year-on-year its up 4.4% and y-t-d 3.3%. Money market fund assets jumped \$13.4 billion to \$2.058 trillion. Total commercial paper jumped \$8.1 billion to \$1.767 trillion. Total CP is up 18.6% y-t-d and 16.6% y-o-y. Asset Backed Securities, ABS issuance, was up \$17 billion. Year-to-date total ABS issuance of \$261 billion is slightly ahead of 2005's record pace, with y-t-d home equity loan ABS sales of \$184 billion, 10% above last year. Fed foreign holdings of Treasury paper and Agency securities increased \$3.4 billion to \$1.624 trillion. Custody holdings were up \$105 billion y-t-d, or 18.0% annualized and \$220 billion or 15.7% y-o-y.

The head of a group of Federal Air Marshals says the service is badly broken. Right now they cannot protect the public. It's not that they are not proficient, but because the Department of Fatherland Security, won't let them do their jobs. Air Marshals are not able to work undercover because check-in and boarding procedures at airports make it impossible for them to maintain their anonymity. Everyone on the plane ends up knowing who they are. They have pursued the matter for three years and there has been retaliation by the service. What a government. Boeing will pay \$615 million to end federal investigations into its illegal hiring of a high-ranking Air Force official. This is the largest penalty ever paid by a defense contractor. As usual, the connected elitists don't go to jail.

Advanced Warning about Money & The New [Under]World Order/Illuminati Mafia Cabal!

We have already presented many quotes from many of researchers, politicians, writers, reporters and economists warning about the N.W.O. Others who have exposed this evil include Congressman Larry McDonald, Ron Paul, Cythia McKinney, Senator Jesse Helms, James Trafficant, US Generals Smedley Butler and Douglas McArthur, and hundreds of others. Search for them at Amazon.com. Hope you read the previous poem to get in the mood. Here's more.

The New World Order (N.W.O.) Explained!

Dr. Henry Makow explains that the struggle is between an international financial elite dedicated to Satan, led by the Illuminati, and the remnants of humanity. The unsuspecting masses hang in the balance, inhabiting a fool's paradise like children. This struggle is not between nations, religions or between ideologies of Left or Right. This occult elite creates and controls both sides of every conflict in order to obscure and at the same time advance its long-term agenda [and the fooled masses fall into its trap]. The elite plan is to remake the planet as its private neo feudal preserve. This involves the reduction of the World's population through plague, catastrophe or war; mind control/breeding of the survivors as serfs; and the enshrinement of Lucifer as god. A cataclysm could happen within the next 10-20 years. We're living on borrowed time, duped by the media and distracted by sex while the elite tests and imposes various methods of manipulation and control. Thousands of organizations like the UN promote the elite's "World government" agenda with practically no public scrutiny. More recently, the elite instigated the Sept. 11 attacks in order to justify their "War on Terror" the repressive "Patriot Act", and Iraq War. The flooding of New Orleans, vaccinations and the bird flu epidemic are other tests or possible harbingers. Some sophisticated and dedicated people roll their eyes when told about this conspiracy. They are hypnotized by their false "education" and the mass media.

The "Illuminati", hidden within Masonry, is the Church of Satan. Its membership was known; its premises were raided. Plans and correspondence were seized and published. Defectors attested to the grave danger at formal inquiries. It was suppressed but went underground. It has since grown so powerful that it has literally defined the modern age (under the guise of "progress," "reform" and "revolution") and now threatens the future of humanity with dysfunction. The term "Illuminati" means "enlightened ones" and refers to Lucifer, the "light bringer." Its essential philosophy is to substitute "reason" i.e. expedience for "right reason" i.e. universal morality. "Do as thou wilt" was the Illuminati motto but talking about the Illuminati conspiracy is taboo. Illuminism or "humanism" is a secular religion and a transition to Satanism (i.e. "tolerance of all except God"). The decline of public decency makes this increasingly apparent. Look for the World to increasingly resemble the game "Grand Theft Auto" or an occult Hollywood feature. Whether it's a plant, a dog or a child, each flourishes naturally following its inherent design given a little nourishment and love. The Illuminati wishes to negate the inherent course of human development by promoting dysfunction under such guises as "freedom" and the cookie cutter of "equality." The Illuminati represents the traditional feudal power elite, the alliance of European aristocracy and Luciferian Pharisaic moneylenders, united by money, marriage and the occult. In 1770, Mayer Rothschild hired the 22-year-old Adam Weishaupt, a university instructor (son of a rabbi raised as a Catholic) to attract the cream of European society to a secret cult designed to reverse the course of Western (i.e. Christian) civilization (hence the term "revolution.")

I am summarizing "Final Warning" an online book by David Allen Rivera and James Wardner's excellent book "Unholy Alliances" (pp.34-51). The Illuminati was founded May 1, 1776. Weishaupt wrote: "The great strength of our Order lies in its concealment, let it never appear, in any place in its own name, but always covered by another name, and another occupation. None is fitter than the three lower degrees of Masonry; the public is accustomed to it, expects little from it, and therefore takes little notice of it." An understanding was reached with the Masons at the Congress of Wilhelmsbad on December 20, 1781 to add the Illuminati hierarchy to the first three degrees of Masonry. On returning home, Comte de Virieu, a Mason from the Martiniste lodge at Lyons, reported: "I can only tell you that all this is very much more serious than you think. The conspiracy which is being woven is so well thought out that it will be impossible for the Monarchy and the Church to escape it." Nesta Webster in her book World Revolution describes the modus operandi of the Illuminati. It applies to Adolph Hitler as well as Timothy Leary: "The art of Illuminism lay in enlisting dupes as well as adepts, and by encouraging the dreams of honest visionaries or the schemes of fanatics, by flattering the vanity of ambitious egotists, by working on unbalanced brains, or by playing on such passions as greed and power, to make men of totally divergent aims serve the secret purpose of the sect." The Illuminati also used bribes of money and sex to gain control of men in high places, and then blackmailed them with the threat of financial ruin, public exposure or assassination. This continues to the present day.

Weishaupt wrote: "One must speak sometimes in one way, sometimes in another, so that our real purpose should remain impenetrable to our inferiors." And what was that purpose? It was "nothing less than to win power and riches, to undermine secular or religious government, and to obtain the mastery of the World." The first priority was to enlist writers, publishers and educators. The modern pantheon of great thinkers, from Darwin to Nietzsche to Marx, were Illuminati pawns or agents. Of one university, **Weishaupt wrote:** "All the professors are members of the Illuminati...so will all the pupils become disciples of Illuminism." (Wardner, 45)

As the Order spread throughout Germany, money was contributed from such leading [duped] Jewish families as the Oppenheimers, Wertheimers, Schusters, Speyers, Sterns and of course, the Rothschilds. Gerald B. Winrod wrote in his book Adam Weishaupt: A Human Devil "of the thirty-nine chief sub-leaders of Weishaupt, seventeen were Jews." From Bavaria, the Order of the Illuminati spread like wildfire... Soon they had over 300 members from all walks of life, including students, merchants, doctors, lawyers, judges, professors, civil officers, bankers, and even church ministers. Some of

their more notable members were: the Duke of Orleans, Duke Ernst Augustus of Saxe-Weimar-Coburg-Gotha, Prince Charles of Hesse-Cassel, Johann Gottfried von Herder (a philosopher), Count Klemens von Metternich, Catherine II of Russia, Count Gabriel de Mirabeau, Marquis of Constanza ("Diomedes"), Duke Ferdinand of Brunswick ("Aaron"), Duke Karl August of Saxe-Weimar, Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (a poet), Joseph II of Russia, Christian VII of Denmark, Gustave III of Sweden, and King Poniatowski of Poland. By the time of the 3rd Masonic Congress in Frankfurt in 1786, the Illuminati virtually controlled all the Masonic lodges, which represented three million secret society members across the various German provinces, Austria, Hungary, England, Scotland, Poland, France, Belgium, Switzerland, Italy, Holland, Spain, Sweden, Russia, Ireland, Africa, and America. (Wardner, p. 39)

In the 1790's there was an Illuminati scare in the United States. At Charlestown, in 1798, the Reverend Jedediah Morse preached: "Practically all the civil and ecclesiastical establishments of Europe have already been shaken to their foundations by this terrible organization, the French Revolution itself is doubtless to be traced to its machinations..." (Wardner 48) On Sept. 9, 1785, Joseph Utzschneider, a lawyer, and two other defectors revealed the Illuminati goals before a Court of Inquiry in Bavaria: Abolition of the Monarchy and all ordered government; Abolition of private property (which the Illuminati will assume); Abolition of Patriotism (nations); Family, (through the abolition of Marriage, Morality, and by government providing "Education" for children) and finally, Abolition of all Religion, particularly Christianity. These are exactly the goals of Communism, enunciated by Marx in 1848. The Illuminati and Communism go hand-in-glove. The term "Reds" originates with "Red Shield" the Rothschild name. The satanic five-pointed star is the symbol of both. In 1832 William Russell established a chapter of the Illuminati at Yale called the "Skull and Bones." President G.W. Bush, his father and John Kerry are members.

U.S. President Abraham Lincoln, Nov. 21, 1864 - (letter to Col. William F. Elkins) - Ref: The Lincoln Encyclopedia, Archer H. Shaw (Macmillan, 1950, NY): "As a result of the war, corporations have been enthroned and an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until all wealth is aggregated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed. I feel at this moment more anxiety for the safety of my country than ever before, even in the midst of war. God grant that my suspicions may prove groundless."

Justice Felix Frankfurter, U.S. Supreme Court: "The real rulers in Washington are invisible and exercise their power from behind the scenes."

US President Woodrow Wilson 1913: "Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

Winston Churchill to the London press in 1922: "From the days of Spartacus, Weishaupt, Karl Marx, Trotsky, Belacoon, Rosa Luxenberg and Ema Goldman, this World conspiracy has been steadily growing. This conspiracy played a definite recognizable role in the French Revolution [1789]. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the 19th century. And now at last, this band of extraordinary personalities from the under- World of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their head and have become the undisputed masters of that enormous empire."--

Joseph Kennedy, father of JFK, in the July 26th, 1936 issue of The New York Times: "Fifty men have run America, and that's a high figure."

U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt in a letter written Nov. 21, 1933 to Colonel E. Mandell House: The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the U.S. since the days of Andrew Jackson."

H. G. Wells 1939: "... when the struggle seems to be drifting definitely towards a World social democracy, there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent World system. Countless people ... will hate the new World order ... and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people." H. G. Wells, in his book entitled "The New World Order" (1939)

Adolf Hitler: "National Socialism will use its own revolution for establishing a new World order." Adolf Hitler during World War II

George Bush: The Unauthorized Biography by Webster G. Tarpley & Anton Chaitkin: "President Franklin Roosevelt's Alien Property Custodian, Leo T. Crowley, signed Vesting Order Number 248 [11/17/42] seizing the property of Prescott Bush under the "Trading with Enemy Act". The order, published in obscure government record books and kept out of the news, Note #4 explained nothing about the Nazis involved; only that the Union Banking Corporation was run for the 'Thyssen family' of 'Germany and/or Hungary' -- 'nationals ... of a designated enemy country.' "By deciding that Prescott Bush and the other directors of the Union Banking Corporation were legally 'front men for the Nazis', the government avoided the more important historical issue: In what way 'were Hitler's Nazis themselves hired, armed, and instructed by' the New York and London clique of which Prescott Bush was an executive manager?"

J. Edgar Hoover, ex-FBI director on the New World Order conspiracy: "The individual is handicapped by coming face-to-face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists." <http://savethemales.ca>

Bertrand Russell: 1953 - The Impact of Science on Society by Fabian N.W.O. Socialist Bertrand Russell is published in which he declares: " I think the subject which will be of most importance politically is mass psychology....Various results will soon be arrived at: that the influence of home is obstructive although this science will be diligently studied, it will be rigidly confined to the governing class. The populace will not be allowed to know how its convictions were generated. When the technique has been perfected, every government that has been in charge of education for a generation will be able to control its subjects securely without the need of armies or policemen....Educational propaganda, with government help, could achieve this result in a generation. There are, however, two powerful forces opposed to such a policy: one is religion; the other is nationalism....A scientific World society cannot be stable unless there is a World government.

Zbigniew Brzezinski: "...This regionalization is in keeping with the Tri-Lateral Plan which calls for a gradual convergence of East and West, ultimately leading toward the goal of "one World government'....National sovereignty is no longer a viable concept..." Zbigniew Brzezinski, National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter.

1985 -- Norman Cousins, the honorary chairman of Planetary Citizens for the World We Chose, is quoted in Human Events: "World government is coming, in fact, it is inevitable. No arguments for or against it can change that fact." Cousins was also president of the World Federalist Association, an affiliate of the World Association for World Federation (WAWF), headquartered in Amsterdam. WAWF is a leading force for World federal government and is accredited by the U.N. as a Non-Governmental Organization.

1987 -- The Secret Constitution and the Need for Constitutional Change is sponsored in part by the Rockefeller Foundation. Some thoughts of author Arthur S. Miller are:"...a pervasive system of thought control exists in the United States...the citizenry is indoctrinated by employment of the mass media and the system of public education...people are told what to think about...the old order is crumbling... Nationalism should be seen as a dangerous social disease...A new vision is required to plan and manage the future, a global vision that will transcend national boundaries and eliminate the poison of nationalistic solutions...a new Constitution is necessary."

George H.W. Bush's comment: "if the American people knew what we have done, they would string us up from the lamp posts." <http://savethemales.ca>

Daddy (poppy) Bush's admission: "Sarah, if the American people had ever known the truth about what we Bushes have done to this nation, we would be chased down in the streets and lynched." George Bush Senior speaking in an interview with reporter Sarah McClendon in December 1992

David Rockefeller's admission: "For more than a century, ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the World to build a more integrated global political and economic structure - one World, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it." David Rockefeller, Memoirs, 2002

David Rockefeller: "We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order." ... "We are grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the World if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the World is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a World government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and World bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries." David Rockefeller... Baden-Baden, Germany 1991; , oil cartel owner, and builder of the World Trade Center destroyed by pre-installed explosive demolitions on September 11, 2001.

Henry Kissinger, Bilderberger Conference, Evians, France, 1991: "Today, America would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order [referring to the 1991 LA Riot]. Tomorrow they will be grateful! This is especially true if they were told that there were an outside threat from beyond [i.e., an "extraterrestrial" invasion], whether real or *promulgated* [emphasis mine], that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the World will plead to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this *scenario*, individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well-being granted to them by the World Government." "Oil is much too important a commodity to be left in the hands of the Arabs." --Henry Kissinger

Former Attorney General Ramsey Clark: "The United States is not nearly so concerned that its acts be kept secret from its intended victims as it is that the American people not know of them." –

Reference Op Ed page of the New York Times 9/24/01: "If the New World Order agenda is not realized by the terrorist attacks on America and if American's don't agree to give up their weapons and relinquish their sovereignty to the New World Order, the next attack will be the use of chemical, biological and/or atomic warfare against the American

people. The architects of the New World Order will not hesitate to use as a last resort an atomic or hydrogen bomb in a major American city."

"The New World Order is a World that has a supernational authority to regulate World commerce and industry; an international organization that would control the production and consumption of oil; an international currency that would replace the dollar; a World Development Fund that would make funds available to free and Communist nations alike; and an international police force to enforce the edicts of the New World Order." —**West German Chancellor, Willy Brandt.**

Walter Cronkite: 1996 - A Reporter's Life by Walter Cronkite in which he proclaims: "if we are to avoid catastrophe, a system of World order--preferably a system of World government --is mandatory. The proud nations someday will see the light and, for the common good and their own survival, yield up their precious sovereignty, just as America's thirteen colonies did two centuries ago [defeating King George in the American Revolutionary War]. When we finally come to our senses and establish a World executive and parliament of nations, thanks to the Nuremberg precedent we will already have in place the fundamentals for the third branch of government, the judiciary." —**Walter Cronkite, Satanic cultmember and voice of Satan at Bohemian Grove.**

"By the end of this decade (2000 AD) we will live under the first One World Government that has ever existed in the society of nations ... a government with absolute authority to decide the basic issues of human survival. One World government is inevitable." —**Pope John Paul II quoted by Malachi Martin in the book *The Keys of This Blood***

"The Persian Gulf crisis is a rare opportunity to forge new bonds with old enemies (the Soviet Union). Out of these troubled times a New World Order can emerge under a United Nations that performs as envisioned by its founders." —**Sir President George Bush Sr., Knight of the British Empire, US Congress September 11, 1990; arrested when vice president after a drunk-driving crash with his mistress, member Council on Foreign Relations (CFR - wrote the charter for United Nations Corporation)**

"The World can therefore seize the opportunity (the Persian Gulf crisis) to fulfill the long held promise of a New World Order where diverse nations are drawn together in common cause to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind." —**Sir President George Bush Sr., KBE, in his State of the Union Address, January 29, 1991**

"In defense of the World Order, U.S. Soldiers would have to kill and die. We are not going to achieve a New World Order, without paying for it in blood, as well as in words and money." —**Arthur Schlesinger Jr, Foreign Affairs magazine (July/August 1995) of US-based Council on Foreign Relations Corporation (that wrote the charter for the Communist United Nations Corporation), "Back to the Womb," July/August 1993. Arthur Schlesinger Jr.**

"Since September 11, the kinds of words that used to be coupled in the same sentence with 'Third Reich,' 'Gulag' and 'Pinochet' have actually crept into the mouths of mainstream talking heads. Some in Congress are beginning to wake up and smell the coffee, and what's in the air isn't too fresh. They see a growing police state being implemented. While people may fear a downward spiral towards brown-shirts and book burnings, the truth is that an American police state may show up in a Brooks Brothers suit with an impressive law degree. What really galls is how the Bush administration has treated the American people like children. Anybody feeling the water temperature rising? Water or coffee may not be the right comparison. Maybe it's smoke. Maybe it's the smoke of the Reichstag burning."—**Ellen Ratner, White House correspondent and bureau chief for the Talk Radio News service, Washington bureau chief and political editor for Talkers Magazine, Fox News analyst, "It can't happen here – or can it?" October 2001**

For more quotes by N.W.O. operatives: <http://www.illuminati-news.com/Worldleaders-quote-nwo.htm> . New World Order Definition <http://www.threeWorldwars.com/nwo.htm> . The following article is extracted from an excellent analysis of the New World Order by Ken Adachi which can be found at <http://educate-yourself.org/nwo> .

The term New World Order (NWO) has been used by numerous politicians through the ages, and is a generic term used to refer to a Worldwide conspiracy being orchestrated by an extremely powerful and influential group of genetically-related individuals (at least at the highest echelons) which include many of the World's wealthiest people, top political leaders, and corporate elite, as well as members of the so-called Black Nobility of Europe (dominated by the British Crown) whose goal is to create a One World (fascist, feudal) Government, stripped of nationalistic and regional boundaries, that is obedient to their agenda.

Listen to the banklord, James Paul Warburg: "We will have a World government whether you like it or not. The only question is whether that government will be achieved by conquest or consent." (February 17, 1950, as he testified before the US Senate; "Angel" to and active in the United World Federalists, son of Paul Moritz Warburg, nephew of Felix Warburg and of Jacob Schiff, both of Kuhn, Loeb & Co. which poured millions into the Russian Revolution through James' brother Max, banker to the German government - See the Sisson Report)

Their intention is to effect complete and total control over every human being on the planet and to dramatically reduce the World's population by two thirds. While the name New World Order is the term most frequently used today to loosely refer to anyone involved in this conspiracy, the study of exactly who makes up this group is a complex and intricate one. For further research sources, please see the side bar on the left. In 1992, Dr John Coleman published *Conspirators*

Hierarchy: The Story of the Committee of 300. With laudable scholarship and meticulous research, Dr Coleman identifies the players and carefully details the New World Order agenda of Worldwide domination and control.

On page 161 of the Conspirators Hierarchy, Dr Coleman accurately summarizes the intent and purpose of the Committee of 300 as follows: "A One World Government and one-unit monetary system, under permanent non-elected hereditary oligarchists who self-select from among their numbers in the form of a feudal system as it was in the Middle Ages. In this One World entity, population will be limited by restrictions on the number of children per family, diseases, wars, famines, until 1 billion people who are useful to the ruling class, in areas which will be strictly and clearly defined, remain as the total World population. There will be no middle class, only rulers and the servants. All laws will be uniform under a legal system of World courts practicing the same unified code of laws, backed up by a One World Government police force and a One World unified military to enforce laws in all former countries where no national boundaries shall exist. The system will be on the basis of a welfare state; those who are obedient and subservient to the One World Government will be rewarded with the means to live; those who are rebellious will simply be starved to death or be declared outlaws, thus a target for anyone who wishes to kill them. Privately owned firearms or weapons of any kind will be prohibited."

Why the Conspiracy is Unknown

The sheer magnitude and complex web of deceit surrounding the individuals and organizations involved in this conspiracy is mind boggling, even for the most astute among us. Most people react with disbelief and skepticism towards the topic, unaware that they have been conditioned (brainwashed) to react with skepticism by institutional and media influences. Author and de-programmer Fritz Springmeier (The Top 13 Illuminati Bloodlines) says that most people have built in "slides" that short circuit the mind's critical examination process when it comes to certain sensitive topics. "Slides", Springmeier reports, is a CIA term for a conditioned type of response which dead ends a person's thinking and terminates debate or examination of the topic at hand. For example, the mention of the word "conspiracy" often solicits a slide response with many people. What most people believe to be "Public Opinion" is in reality carefully crafted and scripted propaganda designed to elicit a desired behavioral response from the public. Public opinion polls are really taken with the intent of gauging the public's acceptance of the New World Order's planned programs. A strong showing in the polls tells them that the programming is "taking", while a poor showing tells the NWO manipulators that they have to recast or "tweak" the programming until the desired response is achieved.

The NWO Modus Operandi

The NWO global conspirators manifest their agenda through the skilful manipulation of human emotions, especially fear. In the past centuries, they have repeatedly utilized a contrivance that NWO researcher and author David Icke has characterized in his latest book, The Biggest Secret, as Problem, Reaction, and Solution [Hegelian Dialectic]. The technique is as follows: NWO strategists create the Problem - by funding , assembling, and training an "opposition" group to stimulate turmoil in an established political power (sovereign country, region, continent, etc.) that they wish to impinge upon and thus create opposing factions in a conflict that the NWO themselves maneuvered into existence. In recent decades, so called opposition groups are usually identified in the media as 'freedom fighters' or 'liberators'. At the same time, the leader of the established political power where the conflict is being orchestrated is demonized and, on cue, referred to as 'another Hitler' (take your pick: Saddam Hussein, Milosevic, Kadaffi, etc.). The 'freedom fighters' are not infrequently assembled from a local criminal element (i.e. KLA, drug traffickers). In the spirit of true Machiavellian deceit, the same NWO strategists are equally involved in covertly arming and advising the leader of the established power as well (the NWO always profits from any armed conflict by loaning money, arming, and supplying all parties involved in a war). The conflict is drawn to the World stage by the controlled media outlets with a barrage of photos and video tape reports of horrific and bloody atrocities suffered by innocent civilians. The cry goes up "Something has to be done!" And that is the desired Reaction. The NWO puppeteers then provide the Solution by sending in UN 'Peace Keepers' (Bosnia) or a UN 'Coalition Force' (Gulf War) or NATO Bombers and then ground troops (Kosovo), or the military to 'search for Weapons of Mass Destruction', which of course are never found. Once installed, the 'peace keepers' never leave. The idea is to have NWO controlled ground troops in all major countries or strategic areas where significant resistance to the New World Order takeover is likely to be encountered.

Who is the NWO?

The corporate portion of the NWO is dominated by international bankers, oil barons and pharmaceutical cartels, as well as other major multinational corporations. The Royal Family of England, namely Queen Elizabeth II and the House of Windsor, (who are, in fact, descendants of the German arm of European Zionist Royalty - the Saxe-Coburg-Gotha family - changed the name to Windsor in 1914 WWI), are high level players in the oligarchy which controls the upper strata of the NWO. The decision making nerve centers of this effort are in London (especially the City of London), Basel Switzerland [B.I.S. Bank of International Settlements], and Brussels (NATO headquarters). The United Nations, along with all the agencies working under the UN umbrella, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), are full time players in this scheme. Similarly, NATO is a military tool of the NWO. [other infiltrated organizations include The Zionist MOSSAD, Rothschild dynasty's original mafia, Pilgrims and the Jesuits should be added above. The CIA is the Rockefeller's original mafia for external affairs while the FBI is for internal affairs (remember Cointelpro). The CIA and Masons also utilize charities and the Rotary Club as infiltrated fronts as shown in the previous chart in the section on Structure and Characteristics of The Families Owning the Major Banks.] The leaders of all major industrial countries like the United States, England, Germany, Italy, Australia, New Zealand, etc. (members of the "G7/G8") are active and fully cooperative participants in this conspiracy. In this century, the degree of control exerted by the NWO has advanced to the point that only certain hand-picked individuals, who are groomed and selected are even eligible to become the prime minister or president of countries like England, Germany, or The United States. It didn't matter whether Bill Clinton or Bob Dole won

the Presidency in 1996, the results would have been the same. Both men are playing on the same team for the same ball club. Anyone who isn't a team player is taken out: i.e. President Kennedy (and the other 5 or maybe 6 US presidents who were assassinated William Henry Harrison, poisoned, in 1841, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy 1963; and FDR's poisoning), Ali Bhutto (Pakistan) and Aldo Moro (Italy). More recently, Admiral Borda and William Colby were also killed because they were either unwilling to go along with the conspiracy to destroy America, weren't cooperating in some capacity, or were attempting to expose/ thwart the takeover agenda.

The NWO's Role in Shaping History

Most of the major wars, political upheavals, and economic depression/recessions of the past 100 years (and earlier) were carefully planned and instigated by the machinations of these elites. They include The Spanish-American War (1898), World War I and World War II; The Great Depression; the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917; the Rise of Nazi Germany; the Korean War; the Vietnam War; the 1989-91 "fall" of Soviet Communism; the 1991 Gulf War; the War in Kosovo; and the two Iraq wars. Even the French Revolution [1789] was orchestrated into existence by elements of the NWO. The instigation of a trumped-up war as a cover for amassing fortunes can be dated back to at least the 12th Century when only a core group of nine members of the Knights Templar, the military arm of a secret society known as the Priory of Sion, kicked off the The Crusades that lasted for over a century and a half. A rift later developed between the Templars and the Priory of Sion when Jerusalem was lost to Saracen Turks in 1187. In 1307, the king of France, Philippe the Fair, coveted the wealth and was jealous of the Templars' power. The French king, being a puppet of the Priory of Sion, set out to arrest all the Templars in France on October 13. While many Templars were seized and tortured, including their Grand Master, Jacques de Molay, many other Templars (who had been tipped off) escaped. They eventually resurfaced in Portugal, in Malta (as the Knights of Malta) and later in Scotland as The Scottish Rites of Masonry, with **Albert Pike** the Sovereign Grand Commander of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Masonry and the top Illuminist in America playing a key role in defining a plan for establishing a World government. The acquisition and consolidation of ever greater wealth, natural resources, total political power, and control over others are the motivating forces which drive the decisions of the NWO leaders. The toll in human suffering and the loss of innocent lives are non issues for these individuals.

Next: The New World Order [Timeline](#). **Previous:** [World War 1 and World War 2 compared](#). See **Zionism** for a discussion of the difference between Zionism and Judaism.

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed. That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness." — Thomas Jefferson, American Declaration of Independence

WE HOLD THIS TRUTH THAT ALL HUMAN BEINGS ARE CREATED DIFFERENT. That every human being has the right to be mentally free and independent. That every human being has the right to feel, see, hear, sense, imagine, believe or experience anything at all, in any way, at any time. That every human being has the right to behave in any way that does not harm others or break fair and just laws. That no human being shall be subjected without consent to incarceration, restraint, punishment or psychological or medical intervention in an attempt to control, repress or alter the individual's thoughts, feelings or experiences. — UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF MENTAL RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

So, then, lets be unrestrained in our journey to follow the big money, I mean the mega or tera money! And follow the bloodlines of our leaders, I mean the lines of nobility! You will soon realize that it's not market forces which guide us but rather poli-tics' legislative forces controlled by the tera-money owners which enslave us. **Please reread the Preface at this point to refresh your memory before continuing.** Since ancient times, the elites have developed and evolved systems for controlling and ripping-off the masses whom they view as slaves, serfs, knaves, peasants, etc. in order to live like gods on Mount Olympus! Remember, its only recently that the European, Russian, American serfs were freed from bondage and that slavery was outlawed!! This is not the first time in American history that the elites have usurped political and financial power from the people.



The American Revolution was supposed to result in the creation of a republican form of democracy, with the various branches of government providing countervailing powers. However, the leaders of the clandestine 1787 Constitutional Congress concocted a flawed Constitution which made it possible for a plutocratic minority to seize all the reins of power and establish the private central bank known as the **First Bank of The United States** and then later on as the **Federal Reserve System in 1913**.

John C. Miller. (1943). Origins of the American Revolution: "[The Framers of the Constitution] . . . had no wish to usher in democracy in the United States. They were not making war upon the principle of aristocracy and they had no more intention than had the Tories of destroying the tradition of upper-class leadership in the colonies. Although they hoped to turn the Tories out of office, they did not propose to open these lush pastures to the common herd. They did believe, however, that the common people, if properly bridled and reined, might be made allies in the work of freeing the colonies from British rule and that they--the gentry--might reap the benefits without interference. They expected, in other words, to achieve a 'safe and sane' **revolution of gentlemen, by gentlemen, and for gentlemen.**"

Patrick Henry refused to attend the Constitutional Convention, and genuinely democratic patriots such as George Mason, Luther Martin, John Francis Mercer, and Elbridge Gerry participated in the convention but refused to sign the new constitution because it lacked a Bill of Rights, provided inadequate representation for the people, and did not provide for a viable separation of powers.

George Mason said that the president and Senate would easily form "a combination that cannot be prevented by the representatives. The executive and legislative powers thus connected, will destroy all balances."

Thomas Jefferson was in France at the time of the Constitutional Congress, and he only later realized that the Federalist leaders had created a new form of tyranny by seizing all governmental powers. The reality became clear to Jefferson, **Madison**, the Democratic-Republican party, and the American people in general that the republic was being taken over by a new dictatorship: the Federalist Party and its tool, **President John Adams**.

Charles Townsend, Chancellor of the Exchequer (England) whose policies of money and taxation led to the American Revolution had hired Adam Smith to tutor his stepson, Henry the young 3rd Duke of Buccleuch, because of his work "The Theory of Moral Sentiment". **Adam Smith** penned "The Wealth of Nations" at a time when the mercantilist policies of England had proven antiquated and were no longer profitable. The Sovereign of England by this time was the Bank of England since 1694 for whom the Chancellor of the Exchequer was employed. Sovereignty having passed during the reign of Charles II who bankrupted himself to bestow favors on an unscrupulous Barbara Villiers, first to the Bullioners and William Patterson's East-India Company and subsequently to the Bank of England when it acquired that company. Charles also sired illegitimately James Duke of Monmouth and Buccleuch whose grandson was the above mentioned 3rd Duke. Smith wandered the continent, especially France, spending much time with the "physiocrats" and young Henry. Henry, 13 years later, paid his friend and tutor to write "Wealth of Nations" thus intellectually justifying a new era and a new philosophy to justify the change in methodology.



The Bank of England owners in 1694 recognized they could run the World's finances if they established private corporations in all the countries of the World. The combined debt of all the World's countries would create an income stream of unbelievable amounts. They learned this from their experience with the East-India company. The worst fears of Patrick Henry, George Mason, and many other anti-Federalists had been realized: the Constitution provided no viable means of making certain that the powers of government could not be appropriated by a single faction. In terms of providing for a government controlled by the consent of the governed, the Constitution proved to be a failure from its very beginning. In 1796, the Federalist party had gained an increased majority in both houses of Congress and had tightened its grip on the American mind through warmongering, fomenting super-patriotism, and silencing its enemies by enforcing the unconstitutional Sedition Act of 1796 (we have the Patriot Act today). But within one short year, the totalitarian Federalist regime was swept out of office and a new era of democracy began.

J. C. Miller, Crisis in Freedom: the Alien and Sedition Acts of 1796: "The Sedition Act was an implied acknowledgement by the Federalists that force and coercion rather than reason and argument were to be the ultimate arbiters of political controversy in the United States. Differences of opinion were to be erased and the American mind was to be forced into an intellectual strait jacket. . . Under the Sedition Act, by expanding the definition of seditious libel, an end might be put to all organized political opposition...By this means, the Federalists could ensure the perpetuation of their party's control of the national government."

The Federalists in 1796 had used the threat of attack by hostile forces as the excuse to enact the Sedition Act laws which like today's Patriot Act (déjà vu):

- branded their political opponents as traitors
- made it a crime for American citizens to "print, utter, or publish . . . any false, scandalous, and malicious writing" about the Government
- imprisoned their most outspoken verbal critics
- allowed aliens to be imprisoned or deported at the whim of the president
- had the intent of keeping the Federalists in power indefinitely, perpetuating its control of the government

The Federalists had almost declared war on France through their own bellicosity, not through any real threat to American security, just like Bush declared war on Iraq. The Federalists had only handed over power to the constitutionally-elected President, Thomas Jefferson, after the Federalist-controlled House of Representatives had prolonged the process through 36 ballots, leading Jefferson to wonder, understandably, if the Federalists were going to retain control of the government illegally.


The Supreme Court had construed the Constitution as allowing the Federal government to seize unlimited powers, restrained by no force other than its own will. The Federalists had lost control of the Presidency and both houses of Congress in the 1800 election. The lame-duck Federalist Congress, before adjourning in March 1801, passed a new judiciary act which created a number of new circuit court judgeships and increased the number of district court judges. Adams packed the new lifetime judicial posts with Federalist sympathizers and



named John Marshall Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. However, in later years, Adams admitted that the Alien and Sedition Acts had been a mistake.

[The Reign of Emperor George W. Bush](#)

The reign of George W. Bush & company with the Patriot Act has taken us back to the situation in 1796 where the Federalist elite had taken full control of all levels of government with the Alien & Sedition Act. There is also a parallel with similar events that occurred in ancient Rome two thousand years ago. Let us first start with a hint of things to come before they might get better. (apologies for the language in the picture). The Bush secret tribunals convict people on circumstantial evidence. This book is full of empirical circumstantial observations about Bush & Company. The Bush gestapo wants to torture people into false confessions in order to brainwash the public. However, it's Bush & company who lie & let the masses die! The masses are being led by their lies (shades of the Pied Piper)!! Notice that history can be biased and incomplete and this book attempts to give a view based on all available solid facts with plenty of illustrations and discards fabricated facts and disinformation as much as possible! And, the theories contained in this book are corroborated by the empirical experiences of many many many peoples!



Bush's Carlyle Group Subsidiary Named "MATRICS" is Brimming with NSA and CIA Operatives and pushing a Swastika-Shaped Tracker Chip. Here are actual US Postal Stamps today (Are the Nazis who were brought to the US after WWII saying something?) **HINT HINT HINT... The Roman Empire's Imperial Eagle!** **Hermann Goering, at the Nuremberg Trials after World War II said:** "Why of course the people don't want war ... But after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy, and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship . . . Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is to tell them they are being

attacked, and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger." In other words, the Illuminati nobility fool the masses into fighting for them by means of patriotism, race, ethnic and religious scaremongering. From the Revolutionary War to the Civil War to Vietnam to Iraq, the Illuminati propaganda machine has rewritten reality and molded opinion.



Mark Twain: "There has never been a just one, never an honorable one -- on the part of the instigator of the war. I can see a million years ahead, and this rule will never change in so many as half a dozen instances. The loud little handful -- as usual -- will shout for the war. The pulpit will -- warily and cautiously -- object -- at first; the great, big, dull bulk of the nation will rub its sleepy eyes and try to make out why there should be a war, and will say, earnestly and indignantly, "It is unjust and dishonorable, and there is no necessity for it." Then the handful will shout louder. A few fair men on the other side will argue and reason against the war with speech and pen, and at first will have a hearing and be applauded; but it will not last long; those others will out shout them, and presently the anti-war audiences will thin out and lose popularity. Before long you will see this curious thing: the speakers stoned from

the platform, and free speech strangled by hordes of furious men who in their secret hearts are still at one with those stoned speakers -- as earlier -- but do not dare to say so. And now the whole nation -- pulpit and all -- will take up the war-cry, and shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open his mouth; and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen will invent cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, and every man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he will by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God for the better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque self-deception."

Does the above sound like what Mr. Bush, Sharon & company have done? Remember, Grandpa Prescott Bush traded with the Nazis and was caught but was only spanked a little bit for his crimes!

[Illuminist Banking Dynasties Trapped By Their Wealth](#)

The **Illuminists** control the issuance of money and are ultra-mega-rich (this will be explained later in detail in this book). With this virtually unlimited supply of money, they are able to "buy" or "remove" anyone they need at a particular time to implement the steps in their plan towards further World tyranny and the 'almost-hidden' conspirators in politics, religion, education, entertainment, and the news media are working for a 'Banker-owned America' in a 'Banker-owned World' under a 'Banker-owned World Tyrannical Government' (would be fine if it were a benevolent government!).

The elite agenda has always been to grab the wealth of the whole World by playing with the masses. Remember, European Elites not so long ago, i.e. up to the 19th century, were still running a master-serf-slave system! And remember the days of slavery in America not so long ago!

Layers Of Darkness And The Culture Of Obscene Extremism

Here is a poem about what we, the masses have become:

Today we have higher buildings and wider highways, but shorter temperaments and narrower points of view.
We spend more, but enjoy less. We have bigger houses, but smaller families.
We have more compromises, but less time. We have more knowledge, but less judgement.
We have more medicines, but less health. We have multiplied our possessions, but reduced our values.
We talk much, we love only a little, and we hate too much.
We reached the Moon and came back, but we find it troublesome to cross our own street and meet our neighbors.
We have conquered the outer space, but not our inner space. We have higher income, but less morals.
These are times with more liberty, but less joy.
We have much more food, but less nutrition.
These are the days in which it takes two salaries for each home, but divorces increase.
These are times of finer houses, but more broken homes.

By the way, why haven't any weapons of mass destruction been found in Iraq? Maybe because they haven't finished planting them? However, if one were allowed to look into the forbidden country next door that was fraudulently named Israel and should really have been named Pharisee or Japheth or Yapheth (we'll explain this in detail later), the Illuminati's 3rd largest stockpile of WMDs of all kinds would certainly be found there (note the joint Israeli-Indian nuclear tests in India 1998). Also, could it be that the oil-soaked monopoly press, CIA and FBI are still busy creating facts to keep the official legend or myth of 9-11 alive? More on this later.

The War on Terrorism is to Hide the Real Agenda: Total World Tyranny by the BankLords

To learn just how fake the 'War on Terror' and Gulf War II (Operation Iraqi Liberation) are, go to the library and check out the book, "The Grand Chessboard" by Zbigniew Brzezinski, former National Security Adviser to Jimmy Carter. The book lays out the exact blueprint that the current administration's 'War on Terror' is following. As to Gulf War II, look up the P.N.A.C. group. The Project for a New American Century headed by Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld and Paul Wolfowitz, who just by chance, were calling for Saddam's removal in 1997 and a shift to a more assertive role for the U.S. in the region, by force if necessary. In a report that the P.N.A.C. group released just shortly before resident-select George Bush 2.0 was installed as the new O/S of America, they predicted that this shift would come slowly unless there were, "some catastrophic and catalyzing event, like a new Pearl Harbor." One year later that event came, delivered on a silver platter in the form of the 9-11 'attacks'. The very same people that wished for this policy shift get into office when Bush is selected and all of a sudden, as if they had rubbed bin Laden's er, I mean Aladdin's Magical Lamp, their wishes were granted.

Another fact overlooked by the mainstream media is that the U.S.A. Patriot Act was written long before the 9-11 tragedy; it takes many months, if not years to draft legislative material such as that. Congressman Ron Paul was one of the few voices of dissent against this draconian piece of legislation. The Anthrax attacks (Anthrax that was produced in Fort Detrick, Maryland) in October, 2001 were not attacks on the government as a whole, they were simply an extortion warning to anyone that would dissent against the Bush administration's plan to 'legally' implement a Police State in America. Senator Patrick Leahy at the time was sending letters to Attorney General John Ashcroft demanding a response in writing about the illegal military tribunals for 'terrorism suspects'. The response in writing that he received was not about the military tribunals, it was an Anthrax letter.

Both Patrick Leahy and Tom Daschle were sent Anthrax letters on the very day, October 9th, 2001, that the Democrats blocked an attempt to rush the Patriot Act through the House without any of Congress even being given a chance to read a draft of the legislation. The other Anthrax letters were sent to Tom Brokaw at NBC, the New York Post and The Sun tabloid, thus ensuring the media's support of the new 'Enabling Act'. No Republican received an Anthrax letter, yet White House personnel were taking Cipro, an expensive Anthrax fighting antibiotic, on 9-11. This is several weeks before it was supposedly known that Anthrax had even been sent. The Anthrax attacks also lead to a new electronic mail system for Capitol Hill. Under this system all incoming mail from constituents is opened and scanned, the letter is then sent on as an email-like message. This process allows a Federal agency, such as the Information Awareness Office, to intercept any letter that is critical of any aspect of the government. The next angry letter of complaint that you send to your representative may end up in an electronic dossier of the Homeland Security Office, with the notation 'Potential Domestic Terrorist' as the heading.

One of the Illuminati Mason's favorite saying is to bring "Order out of the Chaos" and destruction they create. It sounds like the old trick of creating a problem and then getting credit for solving it! And so be it that George W. Bush himself spoke these words standing over some rubble at Ground Zero (by the way, the original use of Ground Zero was for referring to Hiroshima after it was nuked in 1945) ! Well, this book is attempting to make "Sense out of the Chaos" the Illuminati brotherhoods have created! The 'War on Terror' is a thinly veiled euphemism for genocide of Arabs today and the genocide of whomever the Bush Cabal deems as infidels tomorrow. It is a mechanism to create the precedent that

the American government can force its will on any sovereign nation, at least any nation not already compliant to 'America's' wishes, with resources to plunder. This is not to say that every American supports this agenda, indeed most Americans just have been blinded to the true ambitions of their government, so many actually believe the Official Reason.

The word war is from the old Frankish word Werra, which means confusion and strife. Americans have been manipulated into believing that this 'confusion and strife on terror' is necessary for 'Reichland Security'. The mechanism that is being used to make you willingly accept this is a phenomenon called '**HATRIOTISM**':

Hate: [noun], strong feeling of dislike or ill will: hatred

Patriotism: [noun], love and loyal or zealous support of one's country

Hatriotism is the merging of 'Your Hatred' with a 'Patriotic Theme'. Perception Control = Emotional Control = Behavior Control. Those that control your perceptions control every other facet of you as well. Hate and Patriotism are currently the opposite sides of the same coin being flipped by the Bush administration and their supporters. Hatriotism is the result of years of frustration and apathy caused by the increased depravity in society, coupled with recent traumatic events. The public has slowly been introduced to an ever-increasingly violent parade (negative input) of movies, games, T.V. shows and even newscasts. It is currently not beyond the mass media to televise even the most gruesome live deaths, at any time of day, over and over and over. All the death and destruction that the population absorbs through the guise of "Entertainment" and "Informative News" is creating an environment of total apathy towards each other.

If you are truly honest with yourself, most of you will see that at least some of your Patriotism isn't actually for America's Core Values, part of your Patriotism belongs to several corporate logos and Sound Bites. Real Patriotism includes such things as standing up for the rights of others that you do not agree with. For example just for the fact that you don't like what someone is saying, if you are truly Patriotic, you will fight for their right to say that which displeases you. There has been a steady escalation of anger in the mood of the general public for many years. The increase of incidents of workplace assaults, road rage and domestic violence are just a few of the indicators. These events, though not connected by specific victims or perpetrators, all have something in common; that is simply they are mostly caused by prior Negative Inputs, such as someone not receiving a promotion, some might be caused by a person being cut off in traffic or some other seemingly trivial event, but none have a common 'Villain', a stereotypical bogeyman so to speak.

This lack of an easily identifiable villain and in turn no way to really retaliate leads to the frustration that many people feel. Whether it is the indifference of some government bureaucrat or the taunts of a childhood tormentor, these incidents leave a lasting residue of negative emotion. This negative emotional residue was at one time, balanced out by the positive day-to-day experiences of the general public, such as family interaction, in a time when only one parent had to work to support their family. Couple these feelings of anger/frustration with a traumatic event, an event that was supposedly caused by a 'Cookie Cutout Bogeyman' and you now have the ability to focus the public's anger onto that target. The mass media has led the 'Rant for Revenge' in the aftermath of the attacks of September 11, 2001. The same mass media outlets that are owned by some of the biggest corporations in the World, that have admitted to being run by the CIA and that answer to the corrupt F.C.C., are shaping your perception of the 'terrorists'. These media outlets are owned by the same corporations that also own some of the biggest weapon manufacturing cartels on the planet and are amongst the biggest contributors to BOTH political parties. Just read the words of these people and you will see that they are really just bloodthirsty zealots, begging for anyone to paint a target on themselves so they can satisfy their bloodlust by murdering people in 'the pursuit of justice'.

"FORGET JUSTICE: WE WANT REVENGE! We shouldn't lollygag...Justice should not take precedence over vengeance...we should turn their country into a glowing desert." **New York Post**

"I say bomb the hell out of them. If there's collateral damage, so be it. They certainly found our civilians to be expendable." **Sen. Zell Miller, D-Georgia**

"When we reasonably determine our attackers' bases and camps, we must pulverize them -- minimizing but accepting the risk of collateral damage -- and act overtly or covertly to destabilize terror's national hosts. The Pentagon's rebuilt fifth side should include a new Department of Pre-emption." **William Safire**

"The nation has been invaded by a fanatical, murderous cult. And we welcome them. We are so good and so pure we would never engage in discriminatory racial or "religious" profiling...We should invade their countries, kill their leaders and convert them to Christianity. We weren't punctilious about locating and punishing only Hitler and his top officers. We carpet-bombed German cities; we killed civilians. That's war. And this is war." **Ann Coulter**

"This nation is now at war. And in such an environment, domestic political dissent is immoral without a prior statement of national solidarity, a choosing of sides." **Peter Beinart, editor in chief of The New Republic**

This current era of demonization of Muslims in general is nothing new; the media's demonization of Arabs has been going strong for at least two decades. In the 1960's and 1970's the entertainment media had the Communists/Asians portrayed as the root of the World's evil. In the early 1980's there was a shift in the 'Enemy of the Generation' in movies and books. The focus of mankind's misery was no longer Communists/Orientalists in general, the Commies were on our side now, Red Heat is an example and the Asians were now the heroes in numerous Ninja/Martial Arts movies. The new international 'bogeymen on the block' were the 'Islamic/Muslim Zealots' and the 'Angry Loners'. The Delta Force and True Lies are 2 of the more memorable examples of the rabid Arabs about to overrun the planet. Even comedy movies started to parrot this theme, Back to the Future, has the 'harmless and loveable professor' being gunned down by 'Arab terrorists' (probably

one of those infamous 'Libyan Hit Squads' that just got lost while looking for Reagan). Rambo and The Manhattan Project are examples of the 'Angry Loner' with the mythical ability to do the near impossible. The Loner is also often portrayed as fighting/resisting an 'imaginary conspiracy'.

'Either you are with us or you are with the terrorists'. This was the call to arms used by George W. Bush, who surely suffers from worse ills than just Iraqnophobia, to signal the start of the 21st century Feudal Imperial Crusades to be fought by the 'Nintendo Warriors' of the West. A call to arms in which he, the members of his administration and the corporate leaders have NOT enrolled their children to participate in, but demand that you send your children to war 'as your patriotic duty', to murder, torture and pillage others in their own countries. **The New World Order operatives are relying on the following principle explained by Hilter in his 1925 book Mein Kampf:** "The broad mass of a nation... will more easily fall victim to a big lie than to a small one." They are feeding us gigantic lies.

[Illusion of Democracy](#)

As the June 30 "handover" approached, Bremer unveiled a slew of new tricks to hold on to power long after "sovereignty" has been declared. Some highlights: At the end of March, building on his Order 39 of last September, Bremer passed yet another law further opening up Iraq's economy to foreign ownership, a law that Iraq's next government is prohibited from changing under the terms of the interim constitution. Bremer also announced the establishment of several independent regulators, which will drastically reduce the power of Iraqi government ministries. For instance, the Financial Times reports that "officials of the Coalition Provisional Authority said the regulator would prevent communications minister Haider al-Abadi, a thorn in the side of the coalition, from carrying out his threat to cancel licenses the coalition awarded to foreign-managed consortia to operate three mobile networks and the national broadcaster." The CPA has also confirmed that after June 30, the \$18.4bn that the US government is spending on reconstruction will be administered by its embassy in Iraq. The money will be spent over five years and will fundamentally redesign Iraq's most basic infrastructure, including its electricity, water, oil and communications sectors, as well as its courts and police. Iraq's future governments will have no say in the construction of these core sectors of Iraqi society. Retired rear admiral David Nash, who heads the Project Management Office, which administers the funds, describes the \$18.4bn as "a gift from the American people to the people of Iraq". He appears to have forgotten the part about gifts being something you actually give up. And in the same eventful week, US engineers began construction on 14 "enduring bases" in Iraq, capable of housing the 110,000 soldiers who will be posted here for at least two more years. Even though the bases are being built with no mandate from an Iraqi government, Brigadier General Mark Kimmitt, deputy chief of operations in Iraq, called them "a blueprint for how we could operate in the Middle East".

The US occupation authority has also found a sneaky way to maintain control over Iraq's armed forces. Bremer has issued an executive order stating that even after the interim Iraqi government has been established, the Iraqi army will answer to US commander Lt General Ricardo Sanchez. In order to pull this off, Washington is relying on a legalistic reading of a clause in UN Security Council resolution 1511, which puts US forces in charge of Iraq's security until "the completion of the political process" in Iraq. Since the "political process" in Iraq is never-ending, so it seems is US military control. In the same flurry of activity, the CPA announced that it would put further constraints on the Iraqi military by appointing a national security adviser for Iraq. This US appointee would have powers equivalent to those held by Condoleezza Rice and will stay in office for a five-year term, long after Iraq is scheduled to have made the transition to a democratically elected government. There is one piece of this country, though, that the US government is happy to cede to the people of Iraq: the hospitals. On March 27 Bremer announced that he had withdrawn the senior US advisers from Iraq's health ministry, making it the first sector to achieve "full authority" in the US occupation. Taken together, these latest measures paint a telling picture of what an illusionary "free Iraq" will look like: the United States will maintain its military and corporate presence through 14 enduring military bases and the largest US embassy in the World. It will hold on to authority over Iraq's armed forces, its security and economic policy and the design of its core infrastructure - but the Iraqis can deal with their decrepit hospitals all by themselves, complete with their chronic drug shortages and lack of the most basic sanitation capacity. (The US health and human services secretary, Tommy Thompson, revealed just how low a priority this was when he commented that Iraq's hospitals would be fixed if the Iraqis "just washed their hands and cleaned the crap off the walls".)


"A Secret Society gradually absorbing the wealth of the world."—Last Will & Testament of Cecil Rhodes

[The Four Major NWO Interlocked Mafias \(New World Order/Disorder\)](#)

There are **four interconnected major power cooperative brotherhoods or infiltrated fronts** that cooperate and compete with each other for World control (Fabianism, Marxism, Nazism, Communism, Fascism, Feudalism, Monopoly Capitalism, Synarchism). These fronts together form the web of the New World Order (NWO) all working together behind many other front organizations to be discussed later and detailed in the various sections and appendixes of this book, i.e. **The Illuminati** (Nazi and Luciferians Banking Dynasties headed by the Rothschild and Rockefeller Banking and Industrial-Military Dynasties), **The Masons** (Mystic Ancient Shrine Order of Nobles, Templars, etc.), **The Zionists** (Pharisees, Priore de Sion or Zion, Black Khazar Nobility, see the appendix on the Chabad-Lubavitchers sect) and **The Vatican** including its Bank (Opus Dei, Jesuits; see the later section and appendix on the signs of the ancient Occult in the Vatican and the Bank of Rome; remember The 1981 P2 Propaganda Due Scandal that was revealed in Italy, providing a Masonic link between



Italian and American banking, the Vatican, the Mafia and the KGB). I recommend Mel Gibson's movie "The Passion of Christ" to learn more about Pharisees; also more details on the next section. According to some researchers, it is the Jesuits who are at the top of the control pyramid. They are interlinked via the London and New York based Pilgrims society and through intermarriage between European Ashkenazi/Khazar/Sabbatean Jews and European Nobles.



Please see "Structure and Characteristics of The Families Owning the Major Banks" at the beginning of this book.

I am not suggesting that everyone identified with these groups is intentionally evil. Good, evil and fooled people are found in all societies. The World masses are generally ignorant of the machinations behind these politico-religious systems, and of the identity of their masters. Also, I don't know if the Illuminati have spawned their Occult practices as red-herrings for their front organizations or to fool gullible followers. However, the Occult's real aim is to empower the elite.

Arthur Miller playwright said: "Few of us can easily surrender our belief that society must somehow make sense. The thought that The State has lost its mind and is punishing so many innocent people is intolerable. And so the evidence has to be internally denied."

Teddy and Franklin Roosevelt and Joseph Kennedy also talked about a small group of wealthy elites who operate as a hidden government behind the government. A multitude of quotes from hundreds of politicians and others will be provided to illustrate the hidden Illuminati government. I have a special section for skeptics with several examples that show a coordinated series of events. Take just the fact that 177 witnesses of the President John F. Kennedy 1963 assassination have been killed must lead one to suspect that a secret government is operating behind the scenes.

The Demonic Sabbatean [OC]Cult Rules the World

By Henry Makow Ph.D. <http://savethemales.ca/> "How can one chase a thousand, and two put ten thousand to flight unless...God had delivered them into enemy hands?" Deuteronomy 32:30

"Either convert to Islam or die." This [allegedly] was the choice the Turkish Sultan put to Sabbatai Zevi, self-proclaimed Jewish "Messiah" in 1666. By only pretending to convert, Zevi resorted to a common practice. But Zevi was not an ordinary Jew. He led a popular heresy, based on a satanic strain of Cabalism. The rabbis had denounced him and his followers. After his "conversion," over a million followers, who later included financiers like the Rothschilds, imitated his example. But they didn't just pretend to be Muslims or Christians. *They pretended to be Jews as well.* By adopting this chameleon strategy, this satanic cult infiltrated and subverted most governments and religions, and established an invisible tyranny without drawing much attention. [In the words](#) of the gifted researcher Clifford Shack:

"Through infiltration, stealth and cunning, this invisible network has come to rule us all. Forty-one years after Shabbatai Zevi's death, in 1717, they would infiltrate Masonry guilds in England and establish Freemasonry.... [Zevi's successor] Jacob Frank would have a great impact on the inner core of Freemasonry known as the Illuminati, formed in 1776. Freemasonry would become the hidden force behind events like the [American, French and Russian] revolutions, the creations of the U.N. & Israel, both World Wars (including the Holocaust!), and the assassinations of the Kennedy brothers who, together with their father, tried to thwart the efforts of the network on American soil.

Sabbatean/Frankists, also referred to as the "Cult of the All-Seeing Eye" (look on the back of your one dollar bill to begin to understand their influence in YOUR life), are political and religious chameleons. They are everywhere...there is power. They are the good guys AND the bad guys. The World War Two era is a prime example. The following leaders were members of the "Cult of the All-Seeing Eye" (Sabbatean/Frankists): Franklin D. Roosevelt; Winston Churchill; Adolph Hitler; Eugenio Pacelli (Pope Pius XII); Francisco Franco; Benito Mussolini; Hirohito and Mao Tse-Tung."

IMPLICATIONS

If Mr. Shack is correct, historians, educators and journalists collaborate by upholding a false reality and distracting us from the truth. Our world, our perception of the human experience, are shaped by an occult secret society. Our culture is [an elaborate psy-op](#). Obviously, the Sabbateans and their descendants should consume our attention. Instead, they are hidden from view. They were decisive in the so-called "Enlightenment," "secularism" and "modernism," which are but baby steps to their Satanism. According to Rabbi Marvin Antelman, they believe sin is holy and should be practised for its own sake. Since the Messiah will come when people either become righteous or totally corrupt, the Sabbateans opted for debauchery: "Since we cannot all be saints, let us all be sinners."

Their blasphemous benediction "who permits the forbidden" later became the Illuminist "do as thou wilt" the expression of their "religious" feeling. Totally amoral, they believe the "end justifies the means." (*"To Eliminate the Opiate," Vol. 2 p. 87*) In 1756, Jacob Frank and his followers were excommunicated by the rabbis. Antelman says the Sabbateans were behind the Reform, Liberal and Revolutionary movements of the Nineteenth century. They were also behind the Reform and Conservative movements in Judaism, including the "Haskalah" i.e. Jewish assimilation. In other words, Jews have been influenced by the Sabbateans and don't even know it. That is their tactic. They don't advocate a Satanic kingdom. They gently steer you that way by questioning the existence of God, by demanding "sexual liberation," "independence" for women, "internationalism," "diversity" and "religious tolerance."

SEXUAL EXCESS AS A RELIGION

We are told "free sex" is "progressive and modern." In fact, the Sabbatean sect has indulged in wife sharing, sex orgies, adultery and incest for more than 350 years. They also promoted interracial sex. They have partly inducted us into their cult. Antelman cites the proceedings of a rabbinical court where Shmuel, son of Shlomo tearfully confessed he had rejected the Torah and had encouraged his wife to have sex several times with Hershel. "I am guilty. She did not want to." (111) Sexual abandon is characteristic of Communism, a direct outgrowth of Sabbateanism. . Jacob Frank pimped his beautiful wife to recruit influential men. Female members of the Communist Party were used in the same way. Adam Weiskaupt, the founder of the Illuminati, got his sister-in-law pregnant. A pertinent anecdote: In his book, *"The Other Side of Deception,"* Mossad defector Victor Ostrovsky described how the Mossad relax. At a party, the staff, including many unmarried young females, congregated around a pool, *totally naked.*

THE "HOLOCAUST"


The influence of the Sabbatean conspiracy is hidden in plain sight. For example, the term "Holocaust" is used without regard to its true meaning. Rabbi Antelman states that well before World War Two, the term meant "burnt offering" as in sacrifice. (p.199) . He quotes Bruno Bettelheim who says "calling the most callous, most brutal, most horrid. most heinous mass murder a 'burnt offering' is a sacrilege, a profanation of God and man." (205) . Whose sacrifice was it? For what purpose? Obviously, it has something to do with the Sabbateans' occult practice. Every time we use that word, we unwittingly join in their sacrilege. According to Antelman, the Sabbateans hated Jews and sought their extinction. He cites rabbis who warned as far back as 1750 that if the Jews didn't stop the Sabbateans, they would be destroyed by them. (209) And indeed when some Jews tried to save European Jewry from genocide, Antelman says "the conservative and reform communities [in the US] went their merry way ignoring these activities. So called establishment organizations like the American Jewish Congress, American Jewish Committee, and B'nai Brith did virtually nothing." (217)

INTERMARRIAGE: Sabbateans only marry within their demonic sect.

Sabbateans only marry within their demonic sect. They often marry rich, influential Gentiles. Thus, the Fourth Baron Rothschild (Jacob's) mother was not Jewish nor is his wife. Another example is Al Gore's daughter Karenna's 1997 marriage to Andrew Schiff, the great grandson of Jacob Schiff. Gore's father was a Senator sponsored by Armand Hammer (Occidental Petroleum) whose own father was the founder of the American Communist party. Like Hitler and Dubya, Al Gore is [another Illuminati agent](#).

CONCLUSION

Mankind is in the grip of a vicious Satanic cult whose power is so great, they can make their war against humanity seem normal and inevitable. Even when their plot is exposed, they can convince everyone that it is racist and in bad taste to believe it. They have men fixated on porn while they erect a police state. Western society is morally bankrupt. This elaborate cult network controls politics, information and culture. Most leaders are dupes or traitors. The "Intelligentsia" has been bribed while the public is distracted and lives in a fool's paradise. Like most nations and religions, Jews have been subverted from within. Zionists are pawns of the Sabbateans who used the "holocaust" to engineer the creation of Israel. Millions of Jews been "sacrificed" to create a Sabbatean Homeland, a "burnt offering" to Satan. God gave man Life: a Miracle full of inherent Beauty and Meaning. He gave us everything we need to develop according to his Plan. But people who think limitless power and wealth are better than infinite love have hijacked humanity. They want to spoil our Divine Rendezvous and enslave us instead. This is the real meaning of our politics and our time.





Bush, Ahmadinajad: On the same Illuminati team?




Sarkozy makes Satanic Sign



FINGERED: Liz Taylor's strange sign





The 9-11 Conspirators & Their Zionist Judges Controlling the 9-11 Litigation

<http://www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/forum.cgi?read=110122> **Bush Nominates 9-11 Zionist Judge as Attorney General** **Christopher Bollyn Exposing the 9-11 Cover-Up**

As expected, the nominee to be next Attorney General of the United States has a long history of obstructing justice, preventing discovery, and covering up the evidence of Israeli involvement in the terror attacks of 9-11.



President Bush with the nominee Michael B. Mukasey


If Israeli agents were not prime suspects in the "false flag" terror attacks on the World Trade Center, and if a passenger screening company owned by Israeli intelligence agents were not a defendant in the 9-11 litigation, the fact that the crucial 9-11 lawsuits have been handled by two Zionist judges from the same synagogue might be considered a coincidence. But with so much evidence of Israeli involvement in 9-11 in plain view, the intimate connection with the State of Israel of these judges can not be discarded as mere coincidence. It should rather be viewed as evidence of the on-going, high-level, and well-planned cover-up. The Zionist judges controlling the 9-11 litigation have acted like the

Israeli bulldozers after the massacres in the Palestinian refugee camps of Sabra, Shatila, and Jenin. Like judicial bulldozers, the mission of these orthodox Jewish judges is to bury the evidence of Israeli involvement in the "false flag" terror attacks of 9-11.

ZIONIST JUDGES

In what might otherwise be seen as coincidence, the two presidentially-appointed federal judges who have presided over the most crucial 9-11 cases are both orthodox Zionist Jews who attend the same Manhattan synagogue and support its Talmudic yeshiva. The federal judges Alvin K. Hellerstein and Michael B. Mukasey, the nominee to be the next U.S. attorney general, are both members of a Zionist congregation, the orthodox Kehilath Jeshrun synagogue of Manhattan, and are active supporters of its yeshiva, the Ramaz School. A yeshiva is an orthodox Jewish school affiliated with a synagogue where Talmudic interpretation of Mosaic law is taught. In an orthodox yeshiva, the Talmudic interpretation of

the Torah is based on rabbinical teaching that completely changes the meaning of Mosaic law. Talmudic interpretation effectively negates the meaning of the Ten Commandments as the late Israeli scholar Israel Shahak pointed out in his book on Jewish supremacism, Jewish History, Jewish Religion: The Weight of Three Thousand Years.



To an orthodox Jew, Shahak wrote, Talmudic scholars interpret the commandment "Thou shall not kill," to mean that a Jew is proscribed only from killing another Jew. Non-jews, on the other hand, are fair game and can be killed like animals. The rest of the Mosaic law is interpreted likewise concerning treatment of non-Jews, according the Shahak's book. Unbeknownst to most Americans, the key judges who have overseen the most important 9-11 lawsuits and Michael Chertoff, the Asst. Attorney General who directed the non-investigation of 9-11, are all members of this extremely racist and un-American religious sect. They, like the other key officials involved in the 9-11 conspiracy, were all raised and educated in this tradition. The judges, officials, and advisors involved at every critical point of the 9-11 conspiracy and cover-up are all Jewish Zionists dedicated to the State of Israel. This is how Zionist agents have been able to cover up the truth of what really happened on 9-11. Attorney General Alberto Gonzales and Michael Chertoff, the former assistant attorney general responsible for the criminal division of the Dept. of Justice. Chertoff was the key Zionist gate-keeper and controller of the "non-investigation" of 9-11.



THE SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN

The Congregation Kehilath Jeshurun is a Zionist synagogue, according to its mission statement. It defines itself as a "modern Orthodox" synagogue, which is "deeply committed" to "an unbreakable bond with the State of Israel and its citizens." The orthodox branch of

Judaism is a minority sect making up about 10 percent of American Jews. This minority sect, however, wields immense power in the government and courts of the United States. The Kehilath Jeshurun congregation has a long history of shaping the Zionist agenda. In the 1920s, for example, it hosted orthodox Zionist conferences where plans were discussed to bring all the Jews of Russia to the southwestern United States. "Our identification with the State of Israel and our fellow Jews extends well beyond the more conventional UJA/Federation, Israel Bonds and tree-planting campaigns," the congregation's mission statement says – and indeed it does. The Kehilath Jeshurun congregation acts as an agency of Israel on the Upper East Side of Manhattan and some of its members occupy positions of power within the government of the United States. The "modern" orthodox congregation also has a long history of calling for segregation and separation of Jews from Christians and Christian influences in the community. Like other orthodox Jews, the congregation is opposed to Reform Judaism, the largest branch of Judaism. Orthodox religious expression is the only form of Judaism that is recognized by the State of Israel. As a religious sect that is based on race, intermarriage between Jews and Christians is anathema to orthodox Jews like Chertoff, Hellerstein, and Mukasey.



ROOTS IN THE PALE OF SETTLEMENT

Like most Zionists, the parents or grandparents of Chertoff, Hellerstein, and Mukasey are from the largely uncivilized frontier region between the Russian and German spheres of influence known as the Pale of Settlement. The Pale of Settlement refers to the expanse that reaches from Lithuania to the Black Sea, a region between Prussia and the Russian Empire of Catherine the Great, who created the Pale in 1791. The Pale existed until 1917 and is occupied today by Ukraine, Poland, Belarus, and Lithuania. The areas around Brest-Litovsk, Grodno, and Bialystok had the largest concentrations of Jews and were hotbeds of Zionist activity in the late 1800s. Jews outnumbered Christians in some of the towns, such as Grodno, an old Lithuanian city. When Catherine the Great annexed this western region, she banned the Asiatic Jews who lived there from coming to Russia. These Jews were primarily descendents of the displaced Khazars who had converted to Judaism in the 8th Century. In the Pale of Settlement, the Khazar Jews, generally referred to as Ashkenazi, usually lived in communities separated from the non-Jewish people they lived among.

MUKASEY & HIS YESHIVA

Michael Bernard Mukasey and his wife are members of Kehilath Jeshurun, the orthodox synagogue that is connected to the Ramaz yeshiva. The Ramaz School was started in 1937 by Rabbi Joseph H. Lookstein.



First class in the Ramaz School, 1937

Lookstein served concurrently as principal of the Ramaz School in New York and as president and chancellor of Bar-Ilan University in Israel, where he was chancellor from 1958 to 1979. This illustrates the intense Zionist character of the Ramaz school and synagogue. Mukasey attended the Ramaz School and graduated in 1959. His wife, the former Susan Bernstock Saroff, was a teacher and headmistress of the Ramaz Lower School. Both of Susan's children, who were adopted by Mukasey, attended the Ramaz yeshiva. Mukasey, the nominee for attorney general, attended the Ramaz yeshiva and reportedly "remains heavily involved in that community." That "community" would be the orthodox, Zionist, and Israeli community of Manhattan. In 1948, according to the Ramaz school's website, children of Israeli

diplomats on "special missions" began enrolling in the Ramaz School. These Israeli students attended Ramaz with Mukasey, who speaks Hebrew. Isaac Herzog, the son of Chaim Herzog, the former president of Israel and head of the Israeli military intelligence, attended the Ramaz school in the 1970s. Isaac Herzog was government secretary under Ehud Barak and is currently a minister in the Israeli government. Mukasey will be the second Jewish attorney general, if confirmed. Ed Levi, who served under President Gerald Ford was the first. Mukasey was nominated as a federal judge in New York in 1987 by President Ronald Reagan and became the chief judge in 2000. Mukasey was elevated to Chief Judge of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, succeeding Judge Thomas P. Griesa, on March 12, 2000. Mukasey remained in this position until August 1, 2006. As chief judge for New York City, Mukasey was well placed to control the entire legal process concerning 9-11. "From 1967 to 1972, Mukasey was in private practice, where his clients ranged from right-wing lawyer Roy Cohn to the Daily News," the newspaper reported. "He then switched sides and joined the Manhattan prosecutor's office, where he forged a life-long friendship with future Mayor Rudy Giuliani." In an article entitled "Local Rabbi Thinks Michael Mukasey Perfect for AG Job," the New York Daily News reported that after President Bush announced his intention to nominate Mukasey, the FBI called on his rabbi in Manhattan.

"Rabbi Haskel Lookstein said the G-men wanted to know if there was anything in the 66-year-old judge's background that could scuttle his nomination," the Daily News reported. Lookstein reportedly told the agents that Mukasey was "a mensch." "There's nothing wrong with him," the rabbi said. "He's as close to perfect as you can be. And that's the way he was at 15." Very little is known about Mukasey's family background. There are very few articles that mention the name Mukasey prior to 1960. The Mukasey name is a Jewish surname from a place named Lachowicze, in the region of Brest. Judge Mukasey's wife was the headmistress of the Ramaz Lower School in the 1990s when he was handling the case of the first "false flag" terror bombing of the World Trade Center. This case was primarily meant to prepare public opinion for what was planned for 9-11.



A "STRONGLY BIASED ZIONIST JUDGE"

At the beginning of the trial of Sheik Omar Abdel Rahman, the sheik's lawyers filed a motion requesting Mukasey recuse himself from the trial. The motion argued that Mukasey's allegiance to Israel created a bias against the Muslim defendants. Mukasey dismissed the motion as "utterly irrelevant." "A strongly-biased Zionist judge," is how Dr. Edward W. Miller described Mukasey in his 1997 article about the trial of the blind

sheik. "Mukasey is a committed Zionist, a long-time supporter of Israel," Miller wrote. "His wife is also a Zionist." "Sheik Rahman was denied the lawyer of his choice by Judge Mukasey, and when he asked the court to permit an expert to explain the practices of Islam to an ignorant American jury, he was refused this due process. Eventually, on January 17, 1996, Sheik Omar Abdul Rahman was sentenced by a Jewish judge of strong Zionist leanings to imprisonment for life plus 65 years." "After receiving his lifetime sentence," Miller wrote, "this elderly, sick and blind sheik, standing before the Jewish judge, spoke quietly and at length in Arabic. "He pointed out the on-going 'historical junction' at which 'the spiritual power of Islam was confronting the military/material juggernaut of a Zionist White House.'" "Sheik Rahman continued, debunking the prosecution's theory that he heads an international terrorist organization. He emphasized that he was sequestered in a jail in Cairo in the early 1980s at the time the so-called 'international terrorist group' was allegedly being formed. "Judge Michael Mukasey was visibly irritated by the Sheik's remarks, and interrupted the scholarly cleric repeatedly and rudely, finally remarking that 'religion has nothing to do with the case.'" Source: Miller, Edward W., "A Political Prisoner In the U.S.: Sheik Omar Abdel Rahman," The Coastal Post, March, 1997. A lawyer who tried a case before Mukasey described him as "Dour and condescending; imperious and egotistic." "I seriously doubt whether he has the 'people skills' that the DOJ needs in a new AG," the attorney said.

HELLERSTEIN & RAMAZ SCHOOL

Alvin K. Hellerstein, who has presided over all the 9-11 cases brought by victims and relatives of victims, is also a trustee and sponsor of the Ramaz School on the Upper East Side, where his children also studied. Hellerstein has been president of the Board of Jewish Education of Greater New York and serves on a taskforce for the Jewish Orthodox Feminist Alliance,

which addresses the needs of Orthodox Jewish women who want a divorce. Hellerstein's wife, Mildred, and Audrey Lookstein, the wife of the rabbi of Kehilath Jeshrun, are Zionist colleagues and senior officers of an Israeli organization named AMIT. Mildred Hellerstein is listed as being a National Treasurer of AMIT, an organization dedicated to fostering "religious values and Zionist ideals" in the Israeli children in its schools and programs in Israel. Lookstein has been vice president of AMIT several times and was chair of AMIT's national board of directors in 2006.

TEVI TROY – ASHCROFT'S POLICY DIRECTOR



Tevi David Troy, former policy director for Sen. John Ashcroft

Tevi Troy (formerly Troyansky), Deputy Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Service, is another graduate of the Ramaz School. Troy was the policy director for Sen. John Ashcroft (R-MO) in the late 1990s before he was appointed to be Bush's first Attorney General, an appointment Troy enthusiastically supported in his article "My Boss the Fanatic" published in The New Republic.

Attorney General John Ashcroft listening to his assistant, Michael Chertoff



Ashcroft now has a consulting business and earns much of his money by representing Israeli military companies. In 2006, Israel Aircraft Industries (IAI) was reported to be a major client of the Ashcroft Group, LLC. Prior to his May 2007 appointment as Deputy Secretary of the DHHS, Troy was Deputy Assistant to the President George W. Bush for Domestic Policy. In August 2003, Troy was appointed to serve at the White House as Deputy Cabinet Secretary and Liaison to the Jewish community. An orthodox Jew, Troy is the son of Elaine Gerson Troy and Bernard Dov Troy, the former "Executive Director of the Jewish Educators' Assembly in Manhattan." Troy is the grandson of Thomas and Pauline Troyansky, Russian Jewish immigrants who came to the United States in the early 1900s. He is married to Kami J. Pliskow, the daughter of Dr. Raymond and Vita Pliskow of Tacoma, Washington. Raymond studied medicine at the University of Michigan. The Pliskow family, a Russian Jewish family that immigrated to the U.S. in the early 1900s has its own interesting history of terrorism. Barbara Pliskow, a former instructor in psychology at Wayne State University in Detroit, attempted to hijack an American Airlines Boeing 727 on September 24, 1971 armed with a gun, dynamite, and other explosives. She was reportedly attempting to hijack the flight on behalf of the "Black Liberation Army" to free imprisoned members of the Black Panther Party. Pliskow was charged with the capital offense of attempted piracy of an airliner. Because the engines of the plane had not been started, however, Pliskow was not prosecuted under the federal skyjacking statute.

Christopher Bollyn is an independent journalist who depends entirely on the generous support of readers to fund his research and writing. If you appreciate his work, please visit www.bollyn.com and send a donation.

- [Bush Nominates 9-11 Zionist Judge as Attorney General](#) (views: 5450)
ChristopherBollyn -- Monday, 17 September 2007, 8:33 a.m.
 - [The 9-11 Conspirators & Their "Unbreakable Bond" with Israel](#) (views: 928)
ChristopherBollyn -- Thursday, 20 September 2007, 10:02 a.m.
 - [Who Really is Michael Mukasey?](#) (views: 262)
ChristopherBollyn -- Friday, 21 September 2007, 11:02 a.m.
 - [9-11 - An American Holocaust](#) (views: 642)
ChristopherBollyn -- Tuesday, 25 September 2007, 10:32 a.m.
 - [NOT HOLOCAUST, JUST A SIMPLE BUSINESS INITIATIVE...](#) (views: 227)
IZAKOVIC -- Tuesday, 25 September 2007, 12:49 p.m.
 - [RUDY'S SUPPORTER IS THROWING A PARTY THAT AIMS TO RISE \\$9.11 PER PERSON](#) (views: 196)
IZAKOVIC -- Tuesday, 25 September 2007, 1:30 p.m.
 - [The Final Phase of the 9-11 Cover-Up](#) (views: 1381)
ChristopherBollyn -- Thursday, 27 September 2007, 10:41 a.m.
 - [THE 9-11 COVER-UP -- The Italian Gangsters and Their Jewish Bosses](#) (views: 1077)
ChristopherBollyn -- Monday, 1 October 2007, 10:26 a.m.
 - [GIULIANI & D'AMATO - Tied to Israeli Military Intelligence](#) (views: 404)
ChristopherBollyn -- Friday, 5 October 2007, 6:31 a.m.

The Real Conspiracy Theorists exposed by the Conspiracy Factualists

Bush & the Neocons and their Illuminati masters create conspiracy myths such as Al-Qaeda & WMDs (according to Alex Jones' sources, who successfully predicted 9-11 and Saddam's capture before the fact, there is a plan for a fake Osama to be captured and to plant WMDs in Iraq from Eastern Europe; remember the fake Osama video that was certified by The US State Dept? in case you've forgotten, here is a comparison showing a real Osama and a fake Osama who was required to propagate the myth that Osama was behind 9-11). And now we have fake decapitation videos posted on fake Islamic websites! And we have a fake Saddam know as Shaddam; just look at his underbite and misaligned teeth compared to the

real Saddam who has a normal overbite and perfect teeth! This book exposes the Bush Neocons as the real conspirators. One of the most common disparagements of the conspiracy model is that the conspiracy is "too big", that there are "too many people involved" to keep it secret. Hogwash. There is nothing in this World that cannot be solved with the judicious application of a little palm grease. Throw in the occasional threat of violence and blackmail, and it is amazing how many people keep their damn mouths shut. The mixture of complicity and fear that keeps the lid on the initial stages of a conspiracy are merely compounded by time. Like any other process, conspiracies can become institutionalized and as such, take on a life of their own. It is one thing to admit complicity in an event that has just occurred; how much worse does it look to admit knowledge of a conspiracy for say, 75 years? (Can anyone say Big Tobacco?) One other point to keep in mind is the sheer attraction of wealth and power, especially to those born into it. Conspiracies can also become institutionalized within families, especially the families of the extremely wealthy.

Long ago in the dark unwritten pages of human history, powerful kings discovered how they could control other men by torture, magical practices, wars, politics, idolatrous religions and interest taking. These elite families designed strategies and tactics to perpetuate their Occult practices. Layers upon layers of secrecy have hidden these families from the profane masses, but many an author has touched upon their existence. Men and women with sharp intellects like Edith Star Miller (author of Occult Theocracy) and Alexander Hislop (author of The Two Babylons) have tried to research the Occult World and the connections between the different groups. Hislop's book shows that there is a continuity between the ancient Occultism of the Mystery Religions and modern day religion. One of the bloodlines goes back to Babylon and is descendent from Nimrod (see the two sections on the bloodlines of the Illuminati and of the US presidents!). Down through the years the Occult World has remained hidden from the history books. Publishing and education have been controlled privileges. The elites have ruled behind the scenes. The Mystery Religions each had their secret councils which ruled them, and these councils themselves came under the guidance of a secret supreme Grand Council or Governing Body. The Mystery Religions in turn ruled the masses and the political leaders.

Author/theologian David Ray Griffin: "Personally, I'm not into conspiracy theories except those that are true."

In fact, it is the Bush neocons who are the conspiracy theorists, i.e. they create conspiracies & lies & accusations such as WMDs and all the false intelligence theories created by the Mossad & CIA to justify their wars to steal others resources. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/g2/story/0,3604,1254003,00.html>

When seen in better light, wars between kings no longer appear as wars between elite factions, but contrived wars to control the masses by their greedy elite masters. But who are these people? The answer may not be the answer some might expect, because power comes in many shapes and sizes. Power doesn't have to have high visibility to be active. The history books are full of information about the elites and the masses. Interestingly, upon very close scrutiny and examination the investigator finds that the elite have perpetuated their power for centuries, and have worked hand in glove with other elites to control the masses. Witnesses of these Satanic elites like Tom Collins, and John Todd, and David Hill have tried to testify what they themselves saw--they each were destroyed. But the truth will not die with Tom Collins or David Hill. The truth did not go out of fashion just because John Todd was framed and dishonored by the Illuminati's henchmen. Several people from different places have confirmed that there are 13 Illuminati bloodlines. Further, several ex-Illuminati people have confirmed 13 families. David Hill, who was investigating the Illuminati, lost his life because he had been close to the inside as a high ranking Mason who worked for the Mafia. For instance, in David's notes he writes, "Yes, it is a fact: the Mellons, Carnegies, Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Dukes, Astors, Dorrances, Reynoldses, Stilimans, Bakers, Pynes, Cuilmans, Watsons, Tukes, Kleinworts, DuPonts, Warburgs, Phippses, Graces, Guggenheims, Milners, Drexels, Winthrops, Vanderbilts, Whitneys, Harknesses and other super rich Illuminated families generally get along quite well with Communists, who supposedly want to take away the wealth of these men and give it to the people. Some of the Illuminati allied families if not all of them probably have intermarried somewhere with one of these bloodlines. However, this is only double talk designed to bolster the superstructure of delusion that Communists are the enemies of all Capitalists. But Communists, like the super rich families, are not the enemies of MONOPOLY-CAPITALISM: they are the foes of FREE ENTERPRISE." (Untitled manuscript of David Hill, p. 215.)

Fritz Springmeier explains in his books how the Illuminati control the World, and what some of their beliefs are, and about their secret and semi-secret organizations. David Livingstone in his book "The Dying God" shows that the so-called post modern- secular culture is really a product of an Occult tradition that can be traced back to ancient Babylon through the myriads of secret societies, that do their bidding by proxy; Cabalists, Templars, Masons, Rosicrucians, Plato, France's Jacobins, German Austrian Thule Society, Covert Satanism Dienerics, Yale University's Skull and Bones of old Germanic secret society, and all other propagandist of the esoteric Occult practitioners of old and modern times. These are the layers of confusion that the Illuminati hidden ruling elites have set up for themselves. In essence, this tradition adopted Lucifer as a symbol of mankind's rebellion against God. It enshrined human reason, appetite and will as the ultimate standard of goodness and empowerment. **However, the Occult's real aim is to empower the elite.** The basic principle of most religions is to behave unto others as we wish to be treated...[In contrast] the Occultist...is lured by vanity to seek a type of knowledge that sets him apart from others, maintaining that it is the preserve of the elite. Livingstone shows how Occult dogma was secretly adopted by key elites throughout history and was behind the English [1649], American [1776], French [1789], and Russian Revolutions [1917], Philippines, WW1, WW2, Indonesia, South-American, Vietnam, Cambodia, and today's wars, many of which involved genocide.

The Occultist inspired the so-called Enlightenment (Illuminati), which defined modern culture. Francis Bacon was a Rosicrucian. Diderot, Rousseau, Voltaire, Newton, Christopher Columbus were all Masons or belonged to diverse secret societies. Stalin, Roosevelt and Churchill were Masons as were many of the US Presidents, Carl Marx and Stalin were

closet Satanist, as probably was Henry Ford. The plan of Washington D.C. was designed by Masons in the Shape of a pentagram as was the design of the Pentagon; the design of the Capitol buildings and ground depicts an owl, the Molec idol of the Canaanites of ancient history, of which the modern elite Bohemians worship today at the Bohemian Grove on the Russian River near Santa Rosa California. The significance of Livingstone's lucid, well documented book is enormous. It implies that a dominant segment of our leaders secretly serve Lucifer; as the god of the modern World; their sinister and corrupt influence is evident everywhere. Secularism is as much a religion as any other, except that it is Satanic. The "separation of church and state" is a stratagem to enshrine Lucifer as their god of the modern World. Masonic secularism's goal is to destroy genuine God-worship. This Satanic conspiracy only succeeds because people cannot believe something so colossal and monstrous actually exists even when presented with the evidences.

This conspiratorial view of history clearly lets us see that the World is at war between good and evil. The people who are pushing products of usury, gambling, drugs, violence, and sex porn are not operating on a random "whatever sells" basis. The top players are following a script designed to enslave humanity, body and soul. They are building a gigantic prison based on their own mental hell. THIS IS THE NEW WORLD ORDER; we are the inmates. Here are some important quotes regarding the Satanic Illuminati conspiracy by past World leaders (more quotes are available throughout this book and on the CD):

Benjamin Disraeli stood up in the UK House of Commons, on July the 14th, in 1856 and made the following pronouncement on the nature and designs of these secret societies and their subversive anti-religious intentions. He said: "There is in Italy a power which we seldom mention in this House... I mean the secret societies... It is useless to deny, because it is impossible to conceal that a great part of Europe, the whole of Italy and France, and a great part of Germany, to say nothing of other countries, is covered with a network of these secret societies, just as the superficies of the earth are covered with railroads. And what are their objects? They do not attempt to conceal them. They do not want constitutional government, they do not want ameliorated institutions... they want to change the tenure of the land, to drive out the present owners of the soil [i.e. Palestine] and put an end to ecclesiastical establishments. Some may even go further."

Theodore Roosevelt, 26th President of the United States during his election campaign in 1912: "Behind the visible government there is an invisible government upon the throne that owes the people no loyalty and recognizes no responsibility. To destroy this invisible government, to undo the ungodly union between corrupt business and corrupt politics is the task of a statesman."

Adam Smith, the father of modern economics (much-quoted by conservatives neocons), once said, "People of the same trade seldom meet together, even for merriment and diversion, but the conversation ends in a conspiracy against the public, or in some contrivance to raise prices." It's a description that fits today's Wall Street to a tee.

Nicholas Murray Butler, in an address delivered before the Union League of Philadelphia, Nov. 27, 1915: "The old World order changed when this war-storm broke. The old international order passed away as suddenly, as unexpectedly, and as completely as if it had been wiped out by a gigantic flood, by a great tempest, or by a volcanic eruption. The old World order died with the setting of that day's sun and a new World order is being born while I speak, with birth-pangs so terrible that it seems almost incredible that life could come out of such fearful suffering and such overwhelming sorrow."

Winston Churchill, stated to the London Press, in 1922: "From the days of Spartacus, Wieskhopf, Karl Marx, Trotsky, Rosa Luxemburg, and Emma Goldman, this World conspiracy has been steadily growing. This conspiracy played a definite recognizable role in the tragedy of the French revolution [1789]. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the 19th century. And now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underWorld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their head and have become the undisputed masters of that enormous empire."

Dr. Augustus O. Thomas, president of the World Federation of Education Associations (August 1927), quoted in the book International Understanding: Agencies Educating for a New World (1931): "If there are those who think we are to jump immediately into a new World order, actuated by complete understanding and brotherly love, they are doomed to disappointment. If we are ever to approach that time, it will be after patient and persistent effort of long duration. The present international situation of mistrust and fear can only be corrected by a formula of equal status, continuously applied, to every phase of international contacts, until the cobwebs of the old order are brushed out of the minds of the people of all lands."

Professor Arnold Toynbee, in a June 1931 speech before the Institute for the Study of International Affairs in Copenhagen: "We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the World."

Joseph Kennedy, father of John F. Kennedy, in the July 26, 1936 issue of The New York Times "Fifty men have run America, and that's a high figure."

H. G. Wells, in his book entitled The New World Order (1939): "... when the struggle seems to be drifting definitely towards a World social democracy, there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent World system. Countless people ... will hate the new World order ... and will die protesting against it."

When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people." "... the plan for the 'Modern World-State' would succeed in its third attempt [Third World War] and would come out of something that would occur in Basra, Iraq." Quote from H.G. Wells, *The Shape of Things to Come* (1933). "If this is neither a dream book nor a sibylline history, then it is a theory of World revolution. Plainly the thesis is that history must now continue to be a string of accidents with an increasingly disastrous trend until a comprehensive faith in the modernized World-State, socialistic, cosmopolitan and creative, takes hold of the human imagination. When the existing governments and ruling theories of life, the decaying religious and the decaying political terms of to-day, have sufficiently lost prestige through failure and catastrophe, then and then only will World-wide reconstruction be possible. And it must be the work, first of all, of an aggressive order of religiously devoted men and women who will try out and establish and impose a new pattern of living upon our race." *The Shape of Things to Come: The Ultimate Revolution* (1933)

Excerpt from A Memorial to be Addressed to the House of Bishops and the House of Clerical and Lay Deputies of the Protestant Episcopal Church in General Convention (October 1940): "The term Internationalism has been popularized in recent years to cover an interlocking financial, political, and economic World force for the purpose of establishing a World Government. Today Internationalism is heralded from pulpit and platform as a 'League of Nations' or a 'Federated Union' to which the United States must surrender a definite part of its National Sovereignty. The World Government plan is being advocated under such alluring names as the 'New International Order,' 'The New World Order,' 'World Union Now,' 'World Commonwealth of Nations,' 'World Community,' etc. All the terms have the same objective; however, the line of approach may be religious or political according to the taste or training of the individual."

British military historian Major General J.F.C. Fuller, 1941: "The government of the Western nations, whether monarchical or republican, had passed into the invisible hands of a plutocracy, international in power and grasp. It was, I venture to suggest, this semi-occult power which....pushed the mass of the American people into the cauldron of World War I."

Excerpt from article by Ralph W. Page in The Philadelphia Bulletin (May 1944): "He [John Foster Dulles] stated directly to me that he had every reason to believe that the Governor [Thomas E. Dewey of New York] accepts his point of view and that he is personally convinced that this is the policy that he would promote with great vigor if elected. So it is fair to say that on the first round the Sphinx of Albany has established himself as a prima facie champion of a strong and definite new World order."

"We shall have World government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World government will be achieved by conquest or Consent." **James Paul Warburg, before the U.S. Senate, February 17, 1950**

Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter, 1952: "The real rulers in Washington are invisible, and exercise power from behind the scenes."

Senator William Jenner, 1954: "Today the path of total dictatorship in the United States can be laid by strictly legal means, unseen and unheard by the Congress, the President, or the people. Outwardly we have a Constitutional government. We have operating within our government and political system, another body representing another form of government - a bureaucratic elite."

In 1954, U.S. Sen. William Jenner spoke of a well-orchestrated, carefully timed conspiracy to undermine the American government. Although the Senator alluded to communism and socialism, these red herrings have for decades served as calculated diversions from a larger conspiracy: the Illuminati and its Secret Brotherhood of New World Order planners. Senator Jenner may or may not have suspected this when he spoke of an "established timetable" with "precisely measured parts": "We have a well organized political action group in this country, determined to destroy our Constitution and establish a one party state... (It has a) foothold within our Government, and its own propaganda apparatus...One may call this group by many names. Some people call it socialism, some communism, some collectivism. I prefer to call it 'democratic centralism.' ... The important point to remember is not its ideology but its organization. It is a dynamic, aggressive, elite corps, forcing its way through every opening, to make a breach for a collectivist one-party state. It operates secretly, silently, continuously to conform our Government without our suspecting the change is under way... This secret revolutionary corps understands well the power to influence the people...by an elegant form of brainwashing... We see this, for example, in the innocent use of words like 'democracy' in place of 'representative government'.... It conducts tactical retreats but only the more surely to advance its own secret goal...I know of the Alger Hisses who planned it that way... Dr. Wirt, of my State, told us in 1934 that the plans were all drawn, The timetable established... the revolutionary cabal and its allies... designated the overall strategy. They broke the whole up into precisely measured parts and carefully timed moves, which appear to be wholly unrelated... They will use every ally, to prevent the American people from guessing how far the transformation had gone..."

Harpers, July 1958: "The most powerful clique in these (CFR) groups have one objective in common: they want to bring about the surrender of the sovereignty and the national independence of the U.S. They want to end national boundaries and racial and ethnic loyalties supposedly to increase business and ensure World peace. What they strive for would inevitably lead to dictatorship and loss of freedoms by the people. The CFR was founded for "the purpose of promoting disarmament and submergence of U.S. sovereignty and national independence into an all-powerful one-World government."

War hero Dwight Eisenhower came from the fringes of political life to become President in the 1952 elections. Thoroughly managed by members of the CFR who dominated his cabinet, his policies shaped by their goals, Eisenhower left office in January of 1961 with a dire warning for the American people, "Beware the military industrial complex."

Curtis Dall, FDR's son-in-law as quoted in his book, My Exploited Father-in-Law: "For a long time I felt that FDR had developed many thoughts and ideas that were his own to benefit this country, the United States. But, he didn't. Most of his thoughts, his political ammunition, as it were, were carefully manufactured for him in advanced by the Council on Foreign Relations-One World Money group. Brilliantly, with great gusto, like a fine piece of artillery, he exploded that prepared "ammunition" in the middle of an unsuspecting target, the American people, and thus paid off and returned his internationalist political support. The UN is but a long-range, international banking apparatus clearly set up for financial and economic profit by a small group of powerful One-World revolutionaries, hungry for profit and power. "The depression was the calculated 'shearing' of the public by the World Money powers, triggered by the planned sudden shortage of supply of call money in the New York money market....The One World Government leaders and their ever close bankers have now acquired full control of the money and credit machinery of the U.S. via the creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank."

The Christian Science Monitor, September 1, 1961: "The directors of the CFR (Council on Foreign Relations) make up a sort of Presidium for that part of the Establishment that guides our destiny as a nation."

Senator William Fulbright, Former chairman of the US Senate Foreign Relations Committee, stated at a 1963 symposium entitled: The Elite and the Electorate - Is Government by the People Possible?: "The case for government by elites is irrefutable"

U.S. Senator Barry Goldwater in his 1964 book: With No Apologies: "The Trilateral Commission is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power political, monetary, intellectual and ecclesiastical. What the Trilateral Commission intends is to create a Worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nation states involved. As managers and creators of the system, they will rule the future."

Tragedy and Hope: A History of The World in Our Time (Macmillan Company, 1966,) Professor Carroll Quigley of Georgetown University, highly esteemed by his former student, William Jefferson Blythe Clinton: "The powers of financial capitalism had another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the Worlds' central banks which were themselves private corporations. The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of World economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups."

Richard Nixon, in Foreign Affairs (October 1967): "The developing coherence of Asian regional thinking is reflected in a disposition to consider problems and loyalties in regional terms, and to evolve regional approaches to development needs and to the evolution of a new World order."

Former Congressman John Rarick 1971: "The Council on Foreign Relations is "the establishment." Not only does it have influence and power in key decision-making positions at the highest levels of government to apply pressure from above, but it also announces and uses individuals and groups to bring pressure from below, to justify the high level decisions for converting the U.S. from a sovereign Constitutional Republic into a servile member state of a one-World dictatorship."

CFR member Richard Gardner, writing in the April 1974 issue of the CFR's journal, Foreign Affairs: "The New World Order will have to be built from the bottom up rather than from the top down...but in the end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old fashioned frontal assault."

Richard N. Gardner, in Foreign Affairs (April 1974): "If instant World government, Charter review, and a greatly strengthened International Court do not provide the answers, what hope for progress is there? The answer will not satisfy those who seek simple solutions to complex problems, but it comes down essentially to this: The hope for the foreseeable lies, not in building up a few ambitious central institutions of universal membership and general jurisdiction as was envisaged at the end of the last war, but rather in the much more decentralized, disorderly and pragmatic process of inventing or adapting institutions of limited jurisdiction and selected membership to deal with specific problems on a case-by-case basis ... In short, the 'house of World order' will have to be built from the bottom up rather than from the top down. It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion,' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece, will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault."

Professors Laurence H. Shoup and William Minter, writing in their study of the CFR, "Imperial Brain Trust: The CFR and United States Foreign Policy." (Monthly Review Press, 1977): "The planning of UN can be traced to the 'secret steering committee' established by Secretary [of State Cordell] Hull in January 1943. All of the members of

this secret committee, with the exception of Hull, a Tennessee politician, were members of the Council on Foreign Relations. They saw Hull regularly to plan, select, and guide the labors of the [State] Department's Advisory Committee. It was, in effect, the coordinating agency for all the State Department's postwar planning."

When the World Federalist Association awarded Walter Cronkite for his work towards establishing a New World Order, **Hillary Clinton** praised him for his efforts, she went so far as to say "For decades you have told us the way it is, but tonight we honor you for fighting for, the way it could be."

"A system of World government is mandatory. The proud nations someday will see the light and yield up their precious sovereignty." **Walter Cronkite, in his book, A Reporter's Life**

"Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective—a New World Order—can emerge. We are in sight of a United Nations that performs as envisioned by its founders" **President George H. W. Bush, September 11, 1990** (Exactly 11 years to the day of the WTC/Pentagon 'attacks')

"My vision of a New World Order foresees a United Nations with a revitalized peacekeeping function. It is the sacred principles enshrined in the U.N. charter to which we henceforth pledge our allegiance." **President George H. W. Bush, New York, February 1, 1991**

James H. Webb, Marine Supreme Commander under Reagan: "The aim of our presence on the Persian Gulf is to start off the "New World Order" of the Bush Administration, and I don't like that at all."

"NAFTA represents the single most creative steps towards a New World Order." **Henry Kissinger, in the Los Angeles Times Syndicate, August 1993**

"Soldiers and military people are stupid, dumb animals to be used for foreign policy." Henry Kissinger (The Final Days, Woodward & Bernstein p194)

Title of article by Kenichi Ohmae, political reform leader in Japan, in The Wall Street Journal (August 1994): "New World Order: The Rise of the Region-State"

"We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order." **David Rockefeller, speaking at the Business Council for the UN, September 14, 1994**

Part of full-page advertisement by the government of Morocco in The New York Times (April 1994): "The Final Act of the Uruguay Round, marking the conclusion of the most ambitious trade negotiation of our century, will give birth - in Morocco - to the World Trade Organization, the third pillar of the New World Order, along with the United Nations and the International Monetary Fund."

"There is the chance for the President of the United States to use this disaster to carry out what his father, a phrase his father used I think only once and hasn't been used since and that is a New World Order." **Senator Gary Hart, address to the Council on Foreign Relations, September 14, 2001** (exactly 7 years after David Rockefeller's speech (above) and do really believe his thinking it was only used once and not since?)

The U.N. World Constitution: The age of nations must end. The governments of nations have decided to order their separate sovereignties into one government to which they will surrender their arms."

How unpatriotic can these people get? Praising awards that are given by a group that is openly undermining America's national sovereignty, openly renouncing America's sovereignty and admitting that they use tragedies to manipulate you, yet the mass media uttered not a word of condemnation. To fully understand this paradigm simply remember that North America is the "New World" and the "Order" is the Thread weavers and their agenda. There were originally 13 colonies in America, 13 is also the OCCULT number for order, as the Order of the Templar Knights or the Ancient Mystical Order Rosae Crucis. From these secret societies that were created centuries ago, they will bring about their "New World Order." To further shape the public's perception of a specific group of people or event(s), they have their Plan B. This has been accomplished by allowing the media putting out real information in ways that can be discredited as works of fiction.

The 'Plan for Concentration Camps in America' was first written about years ago by the 'mainstream media' in the **National Enquirer tabloid**, ensuring that no 'real journalist' would touch the subject. Now F.E.M.A. is openly asking Congress for more funding for bigger camps.

From the L.A. Times on August 14, 2002, an article by Jonathan Turley, who is a professor of constitutional law at George Washington University titled: "Camps for Citizens: Ashcroft's Hellish Vision; Attorney general shows himself as a menace to liberty".

Martin Keating's 1991 book, 'The Final Jihad' is about how a Tom McVey (who is caught due to a broken tail light, Tim McVeigh was caught due to a missing license plate) bombs the Oklahoma City Federal Building. The manuscript, written in 1991, 4 years before the bombing also correctly predicted the downing of a passenger jet (TWA flight 800) and the first World Trade Center bombing. By the way, his brother Frank Keating, the former governor of Oklahoma was also a former

FBI agent with multiple ties to the intelligence community. Another way this 'poisoned well' method is often employed is the releasing of information in movies and television shows. This makes it possible to ignore real issues by the Pre\$\$titute\$ then claiming, 'You just saw that in a movie'. The pilot episode of the LoneGunMen series falls into this category. The pilot aired in early March of 2001, the plot of the show includes a group of people in the government conspiring to hijack a passenger jet by remote control and to crash it into the World Trade Center, for the purpose of increasing weapon sales and to be able to attack any third World country at will. Sounds familiar?

In the movie Armageddon (1998), during the first few minutes of the movie, there is a meteor shower hitting New York City. There is a cabby with Asian tourists as his fare. They are stuck in traffic. He tries to explain to them that they can't go anywhere for they are in a traffic jam. He then says something very strange he says 'that it might have been caused by a terrorist bomb' (I wonder what the statistics are like on terrorist bombing related traffic jams in NYC?). Then meteor chunks start smashing into the NYC skyline. The same cabby looks up and shouts, 'We're at war, Saddam Hussein is bombing us'. There is a flying zoom in on the office workers as they plummet, arms and legs flailing to the street. A few moments later the camera pans out and you see the Twin Towers are both heavily damaged and smoking from meteor impacts. Sounds familiar? (Notice what looks like an outline of a plane just above the smoke trail to the left of the impact hole in the movie). There have been polls that have shown that almost 70 percent of Americans think that Saddam was behind the 9-11 attacks. Gee, I wonder where they could have ever possibly gotten that idea?

[Poem About Money](#)

"Eye to Eye" by a Palestinian youngster **Gihad Ali** to wake us up on the issue of **MONEY**:

Look into my eyes And tell me what you see. You don't see a damn thing, 'cause you can't possibly relate to me. You're blinded by our differences. My life makes no sense to you. I'm the persecuted Palestinian; You are the American red, white and blue. Each day you wake in tranquility, No fears to cross your eyes. Each day I wake in gratitude, Thanking God he let me rise. You worry about your education and the bills you have to pay. I worry about my vulnerable life and if I'll survive another day. Your biggest fear is getting ticketed as you cruise your Cadillac. My fear is the tank that just left Will turn around and come back. **America, do you realize That the taxes that you pay, Feed the forces that traumatize My every living day?** The bulldozers and the tanks, The gases and the guns, The bombs that fall outside my door, All due to American funds. **Yet do you know the truth Of where your money goes? [half a trillion since the 1940s] Do you let your media deceive your mind? Is this a truth that no one knows?** You blame me for defending myself Against the ways of Zionists. I'm terrorized in my own land And you call me the terrorist? You think you know all about terrorism, But you don't know it the way I do. So please, let me define the term And teach you what you thought you knew. I've known terrorism for quite some time, Fifty-four years and more. It's the fruitless garden uprooted in my yard, It's the bulldozer in front of my door. Terrorism breathes the air I breathe. It's the checkpoint on my way to school. It's the curfew that jails me in my own home, And the penalties of breaking curfew rule. Terrorism is the robbery of my land, And the torture of my mother. The imprisonment of my innocent father, The bullet in my baby brother. So America, don't tell me you know about The things I feel and see. I'm terrorized in my own land And the blame is put on me. But I will not rest, I shall never settle For the injustice my people endure. Palestine is OUR land and here we'll remain Until the day OUR homeland is secure. And if that time shall never come, Then they will never see a day of peace. I will not be thrown from my own home, Nor will my fight for justice cease. And if I am killed, it will be for Falasteen; It's written on my breath. So in your own patriotic words, Give me liberty or give me death.

The Hidden History of Money has seriously explored from ancient times to date the following couplets in this beautiful and touching youth's poem: America, do you realize That the taxes that you pay, Feed the forces that traumatize My every living day? "Yet do you know the truth Of where your money goes? Do you let your media deceive your mind? Is this a truth that no one knows?"

[Societal And Mind Control \(H.G. Wells Style\)](#)

Cynicism is the intellectual refuge of cowards that are unwilling to act. It is very easy to be cynical in these trying times, as it has always been easy to be so at any time in history. Though the paths of cynicism and procrastination have always been easier to follow, there have been many times when whole societies have deviated from this malaise. Though the impetus for these changes have been for different reasons and had various end results, they all have something in common. Their common element is that accepted doctrines/paradigms are discarded/questioned when the knowledge/beliefs of a small group of people are accepted by a large group of people. This catalyst is the cause of major changes in societies throughout history. There are numerous examples of this in the past century, such as the civil rights movement and the rise of the 3rd Reich. In both of these cases, the change was started by a small group of people that eventually convinced a much larger group of people that their views were more correct and therefore more just. Society today has been reduced to many small groups of people all trying to push their views/agendas forward. Issues such as same sex marriage and environmental concerns are two examples of causes that are a focal point for people to lobby for changes. The people that make up these groups are usually from a diverse range of ethnic background, social/financial status, religions, political views, etc. This diversity is a dual edged sword, for while allowing a wide range of views from various positions. It also causes people to develop tunnel vision, only seeing what is relevant to further their cause and their goals. At the same time, people that are working for the same cause are separated by their diversification. This is the Achilles' heel of lobbyists, protestors and any other group working for change. In essence they lack Unification other than their common cause.

This can be shown by looking at how a certain group of people can be broken down into many much smaller groups of people. Let's use patriots for this example. Most people will claim that they are patriots and are patriotic, yet these people have different political views. Some are on the left, some are on the right and the majority is somewhere near the center. Now we have 3 different groups of patriots, these can be broken down even further by separating the animal rights advocates, the gay rights advocates, the environmental advocates, the health/social advocates, the women's lib advocates, etc. etc. etc., until you have very small groups of people that have effectively been silenced. This can be applied to any group of people (including each subgroup) and has been implemented in much of the industrialized World. The silent majority no longer has a voice in local politics, regional politics, national politics or World politics. They also no longer have any say on the laws that have been passed or the trade agreements that their governments sign. Don't think that this is true? When was the last time your concerns were considered when a 'free' trade agreement was signed? How many laws have you been able to voice an opinion on? Have you ever been able to vote for or against government representatives giving themselves a raise? Have you ever had an environmental concern addressed by your government? Ever notice how many people have to get killed before the government will install a simple stop sign at an intersection? Ever notice how quick they enact legislation that protects themselves and their assets? So much for you having a say, for as long as you THINK you have a voice you will be complacent and this is what they are counting on. By breaking society down into smaller and smaller groups, then distracting you with every tact possible, from 'terror alerts' to the buildup of the final episode of Frasier and the Scott Peterson trial, they can keep control of you.

All modern societies are made up of mechanisms of institutional control. Take the drivers license for example. In reality a driver's license is the beginning of enslavement. You must give up all your personal information, you must pass many examinations, you must register your vehicles, you must get tags/stickers for them, you must have insurance, you must do as the road signs say to do and to top it all off you must PAY and PAY and PAY. Now you might be wondering why did I emphasize paying for the privilege of driving? Simple. What is paying? It is the exchange of money for a good or service. How did you obtain the money that you exchanged for your privilege? You worked for it. What did you sacrifice for that work? You sacrificed your time. Your time is your life, so you have sacrificed let's say 200 hours of your life this year for this one privilege. How is the money that you obtained by sacrificing your life created? Here is an overly simplistic layout. The privately owned Federal Reserve central bank buys government bonds by creating money from nothing. The bank then more money from nothing by multiplying the value of the bonds and then lends credit money to the commercial banks. The commercial banks then lend credit to businesses and individuals.

For example the privately owned central bank or any other commercial bank buys a Government Bond Issue worth \$1,000,000,000.00 (1 billion). They then multiply that amount by 10, so in their books they now have \$10,000,000,000.00 (10 billion) to lend to the commercial banks for distribution. Now if they earn 5 percent interest on that money, they make a profit of \$500,000,000.00 (500 million). Healthy profit margin for actually only lending out money created from nothing! By the way it doesn't end there either, remember the bond that started this whole fiasco? The central bank will now receive another \$50,000,000.00 (50 million) in interest annually from the government (your taxes=your time=your life) on the original amount of the bond issue although the Fed will return some of the collected interest to the Government (although it is not obligated to do so by law). For the record, that \$50 million, along with the other \$500 million is actually your money, your neighbors' money, and your families' money and ultimately it is your lives that you are sacrificing to this control system. This system also guarantees that no amount of government spending cuts will ever help to pay it off. To get money printed the government must issue bonds to the central bank and pay interest on those bonds as well. Only a fraction of the money that is needed just for the principle is ever created, the money to pay the interest is not, therefore the debt will accumulate until people catch on to the scam. The only way out is to scrap the system and start anew. It's a strange paradox, the victims willingly feeding the very vampire that is bleeding them and even training their children to be willing victims. It seems Dracula could mesmerize his victims into being docile cattle. So strange, so sad, so true. These institutional control systems have been taking your life from you, so that you have no 'free time', couple that with the way society has been broken down into small voiceless lobby groups and the complicity of the mass media, you then have the tools to manipulate a modern, supposedly democratic society into a Tech Noir quasi dictatorship.

Did World War III Begin on March 20, 2003? Well, Wells wrote a book in 1933 called **The Shape of Things to Come**, in which he accurately forecast that World War II would start, "out of a conflict between Germany and Poland circa 1939". In the same book, Wells also wrote that World War III was to commence, "from some future [unidentified] event in Basrah, Iraq." **Is he is 2 for 2 in his predictions?** H.G. Wells was a 1930's writer well known for book and his connections to the "New World Order", CFR, Rockefeller Foundation. WWII started when Hitler invaded Poland with a preemptive strike. It was actually a series of events but history chose that event to mark the beginning. I doubt that Germany or the rest of Europe had any inkling that they were in WWII. Not until much later that is. Many times people caught up in historical events fail to see the significance at the time and only do so when public attention focuses on them in retrospect. WWII and the war in Iraq were probably started by the same illuminati group that put Bush in power and have visions of a one World government. The American forces invaded Iraq primarily through their staging area in Kuwait in the southern portion of Iraq, near Basrah. They had planned a northern invasion but Turkey's Parliament voted against allowing American troops to use their country. As you may recall, Russia had billions of dollars of oil agreements with Iraq and with the invasion those agreements may become void. Russia has also indicated that it will not allow further imperialist drives to take place in that region. **The U.S. has been sending Israel bunker busting bombs and has given the green light for an Israeli pre-emptive strike on Iran. If Israel does strike Iran or Syria, nuclear retaliation could result. Would this be the beginning of WWIII or just the escalation of the war that has already begun.** For more details and facts, visit the Three World Wars website.

Welcome to the Cold, Hard Truth about [World War 1](#), [World War 2](#) and the current [World War 3](#). [An Introduction to the Conspiratorial Nature of History](#). Learn how all historical events of importance have happened by design. **Doing Research for a School Assignment?** We strongly suggest you [read this](#) before learning more about World Wars. Next, on the Rockefeller Foundation arrangement for the hoax broadcast of a Martian invasion as a psychological test on mind control based on the "War of the Worlds". <http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?ID=1129>

[Radio, Television and the Hive Mind By Mack White](#)

Sixty-four years ago this month, six million Americans became unwitting subjects in an experiment in psychological warfare. It was the night before Halloween, 1938. At 8 p.m. CST, the Mercury Radio on the Air began broadcasting Orson Welles' radio adaptation of H. G. Wells' War of the Worlds. As is now well known, the story was presented as if it were breaking news, with bulletins so realistic that an estimated one million people believed the World was actually under attack by Martians. Of that number, thousands succumbed to outright panic, not waiting to hear Welles' explanation at the end of the program that it had all been a Halloween prank, but fleeing into the night to escape the alien invaders. Later, psychologist Hadley Cantril conducted a study of the effects of the broadcast and published his findings in a book, The Invasion from Mars: A Study in the Psychology of Panic. This study explored the power of broadcast media, particularly as it relates to the suggestibility of human beings under the influence of fear. Cantril was affiliated with Princeton University's Radio Research Project, which was funded in 1937 by the Rockefeller Foundation. Also affiliated with the Project was Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) member and Columbia Broadcasting System (CBS) executive Frank Stanton, whose network had broadcast the program. Stanton would later go on to head the news division of CBS, and in time would become president of the network, as well as chairman of the board of the RAND Corporation, the influential think tank which has done groundbreaking research on, among other things, mass brainwashing.

Two years later, with Rockefeller Foundation money, Cantril established the Office of Public Opinion Research (OPOR), also at Princeton. Among the studies conducted by the OPOR was an analysis of the effectiveness of "psycho-political operations" (propaganda, in plain English) of the Office of Strategic Services (OSS), the forerunner of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). Then, during World War II, Cantril--and Rockefeller money--assisted CFR member and CBS reporter Edward R. Murrow in setting up the Princeton Listening Center, the purpose of which was to study Nazi radio propaganda with the object of applying Nazi techniques to OSS propaganda. Out of this project came a new government agency, the Foreign Broadcast Intelligence Service (FBIS). The FBIS eventually became the United States Information Agency (USIA), which is the propaganda arm of the National Security Council. Thus, by the end of the 1940s, the basic research had been done and the propaganda apparatus of the national security state had been set up--just in time for the Dawn of Television ... Experiments conducted by researcher Herbert Krugman reveal that, when a person watches television, brain activity switches from the left to the right hemisphere. The left hemisphere is the seat of logical thought. Here, information is broken down into its component parts and critically analyzed. The right brain, however, treats incoming data uncritically, processing information in wholes, leading to emotional, rather than logical, responses. The shift from left to right brain activity also causes the release of endorphins, the body's own natural opiates--thus, it is possible to become physically addicted to watching television, a hypothesis borne out by numerous studies which have shown that very few people are able to kick the television habit.

This numbing of the brain's cognitive function is compounded by another shift which occurs in the brain when we watch television. Activity in the higher brain regions (such as the neo-cortex) is diminished, while activity in the lower brain regions (such as the limbic system) increases. The latter, commonly referred to as the reptile brain, is associated with more primitive mental functions, such as the "fight or flight" response. The reptile brain is unable to distinguish between reality and the simulated reality of television. To the reptile brain, if it looks real, it is real. Thus, though we know on a conscious level it is "only a film," on an unconscious level we do not--the heart beats faster, for instance, while we watch a suspenseful scene. Similarly, we know the commercial is trying to manipulate us, but on an unconscious level the commercial nonetheless succeeds in, say, making us feel inadequate until we buy whatever thing is being advertised--and the effect is all the more powerful because it is unconscious, operating on the deepest level of human response. The reptile brain makes it possible for us to survive as biological beings, but it also leaves us vulnerable to the manipulations of television programmers. It is not just commercials that manipulate us. On television news as well, image and sound are as carefully selected and edited to influence human thought and behavior as in any commercial. The news anchors and reporters themselves are chosen for their physical attractiveness--a factor which, as numerous psychological studies have shown, contributes to our perception of a person's trustworthiness. Under these conditions, then, the viewer easily forgets--if, indeed, the viewer ever knew in the first place--that the Worldview presented on the evening news is a contrivance of the network owners--owners such as General Electric (NBC) and Westinghouse (CBS), both major defense contractors. By molding our perception of the World, they mold our opinions. This distortion of reality is determined as much by what is left out of the evening news as what is included--as a glance at Project Censored's yearly list of top 25 censored news stories will reveal. If it's not on television, it never happened. Out of sight, out of mind.

Under the guise of journalistic objectivity, news programs subtly play on our emotions--chiefly fear. Network news divisions, for instance, frequently congratulate themselves on the great service they provide humanity by bringing such spectacles as the September 11 terror attacks into our living rooms. We have heard this falsehood so often, we have come to accept it as self-evident truth. However, the motivation for live coverage of traumatic news events is not altruistic, but rather to be found in the central focus of Cantril's War of the Worlds research--the manipulation of the public through fear. There is another way in which we are manipulated by television news. Human beings are prone to model the behaviors they see around them, and avoid those which might invite ridicule or censure, and in the hypnotic state induced by television, this effect is particularly pronounced. For instance, a lift of the eyebrow from Peter Jennings

tells us precisely what he is thinking--and by extension what we should think. In this way, opinions not sanctioned by the corporate media can be made to seem disreputable, while sanctioned opinions are made to seem the very essence of civilized thought. And should your thinking stray into unsanctioned territory despite the trusted anchor's example, a poll can be produced which shows that most persons do not think that way--and you don't want to be different do you? Thus, the mental wanderer is brought back into the fold.

This process is also at work in programs ostensibly produced for entertainment. The "logic" works like this: Archie Bunker is an idiot, Archie Bunker is against gun control, therefore idiots are against gun control. Never mind the complexities of the issue. Never mind the fact that the true purpose of the Second Amendment is not to protect the rights of deer hunters, but to protect the citizenry against a tyrannical government (an argument you will never hear voiced on any television program). Monkey see, monkey do--or, in this case, monkey not do. Notice, too, the way in which television programs depict conspiracy researchers or anti-New World Order activists. On situation comedies, they are buffoons. On dramatic programs, they are dangerous fanatics. This imprints on the mind of the viewer the attitude that questioning the official line or holding "anti-government" opinions is crazy, therefore not to be emulated. Another way in which entertainment programs mold opinion can be found in the occasional television movie, which "sensitively" deals with some "social" issue. A bad behavior is spotlighted--"hate" crimes, for instance--in such a way that it appears to be a far more rampant problem than it may actually be, so terrible in fact that the "only" cure for it is more laws and government "protection." Never mind that laws may already exist to cover these crimes--the law against murder, for instance. Once we have seen the well-publicized murder of the young gay man Matthew Shepherd dramatized in not one, but two, television movies in all its heartrending horror, nothing will do but we pass a law making the very thought behind the crime illegal. People will also model behaviors from popular entertainment which are not only dangerous to their health and could land them in jail, but also contribute to social chaos. While this may seem to be simply a matter of the producers giving the audience what it wants, or the artist holding a mirror up to society, it is in fact intended to influence behavior.

Consider the way many films glorify drug abuse. When a popular star playing a sympathetic character in a mainstream R-rated film uses hard drugs with no apparent health or legal consequences (John Travolta's use of heroin in Pulp Fiction, for instance--an R-rated film produced for theatrical release, which now has found a permanent home on television, via cable and video players), a certain percentage of people--particularly the impressionable young--will perceive hard drug use as the epitome of anti-Establishment cool and will model that behavior, contributing to an increase in drug abuse. And who benefits? As has been well documented by Gary Webb in his award-winning series for the San Jose Mercury New, former Los Angeles narcotics detective Michael Ruppert, and many other researchers and whistleblowers--the CIA is the main purveyor of hard drugs in this country. The CIA also has its hand in the "prison-industrial complex." Wackenhut Corporation, the largest owner of private prisons, has on its board of directors many former CIA employees, and is very likely a CIA front. Thus, films which glorify drug abuse may be seen as recruitment ads for the slave labor-based private prison system. Also, the social chaos and inflated crime rate which result from the contrived drug problem contributes to the demand from a frightened society for more prisons, more laws, and the further erosion of civil liberties. This effect is further heightened by television news segments and documentaries which focus on drug abuse and other crimes, thus giving the public the misperception that crime is even higher than it really is. There is another socially debilitating process at work in what passes for entertainment on television these days. Over the years, there has been a steady increase in adult subject matter on programs presented during family viewing hours. For instance, it is common for today's prime-time situation comedies to make jokes about such matters as masturbation (Seinfeld once devoted an entire episode to the topic), or for daytime talk shows such as Jerry Springer's to showcase such topics as bestiality. Even worse are the "reality" programs currently in vogue. Each new offering in this genre seems to hit a new low. MTV, for instance, recently subjected a couple to a Candid Camera-style prank in which, after winning a trip to Las Vegas, they entered their hotel room to find an actor made up as a mutilated corpse in the bathtub. Naturally, they were traumatized by the experience and sued the network. Or, consider a new show on British television in which contestants compete to see who can infect each other with the most diseases--venereal diseases included.

It would appear, at the very least, that these programs serve as a shill operation to strengthen the argument for censorship. There may also be an even darker motive. These programs contribute to the general coarsening of society we see all around us--the decline in manners and common human decency and the acceptance of cruelty for its own sake as a legitimate form of entertainment. Ultimately, this has the effect of debasing human beings into savages, brutes--the better to herd them into global slavery. For the first decade or so after the Dawn of Television, there were only a handful of channels in each market--one for each of the three major networks and maybe one or two independents. Later, with the advent of cable and more channels, the population pie began to be sliced into finer pieces--or "niche markets." This development has often been described as representing a growing diversity of choices, but in reality it is a fine-tuning of the process of mass manipulation, a honing-in on particular segments of the population, not only to sell them specifically-targeted consumer products but to influence their thinking in ways advantageous to the globalist agenda. One of these "target audiences" is that portion of the population which, after years of blatant government cover-up in areas such as UFOs and the assassination of John F. Kennedy, maintains a cynicism toward the official line, despite the best efforts of television programmers to depict conspiracy research in a negative light. How to reach this vast, disenfranchised target audience and co-opt their thinking? One way is to put documentaries before them which mix of fact with disinformation, thereby confusing them. Another is to take the X Files approach.

The heroes of X Files are investigators in a fictitious paranormal department of the FBI whose adventures sometimes take them into parapolitical territory. On the surface this sounds good. However, whatever good X Files might accomplish by touching on such matters as MK-ULTRA or the JFK assassination is cancelled out by associating them with bug-eyed aliens

and ghosts. Also, on X Files, the truth is always depicted as "out there" somewhere--in the stars, or some other dimension, never in brainwashing centers such as the RAND Corporation or its London counterpart, the Tavistock Institute. This has the effect of obscuring the truth, making it seem impossibly out-of-reach, and associating reasonable lines of political inquiry with the fantastic and other-wordly. Not that there is no connection between the parapolitical and the paranormal. There is undoubtedly a cover-up at work with regard to UFOs, but if we accept uncritically the notion that UFOs are anything other than terrestrial in origin, we are falling headfirst into a carefully-set trap. To its credit, X Files has dealt with the idea that extraterrestrials might be a clever hoax by the government, but never decisively. The labyrinthine plots of the show somehow manage to leave the viewer wondering if perhaps the hoax idea is itself a hoax put out there to cover up the existence of extraterrestrials. This is hardly helpful to a true understanding of UFOs and associated phenomena, such as alien abductions and cattle mutilations. Extraterrestrials have been a staple of popular entertainment since The War of the Worlds (both the novel and its radio adaptation). They have been depicted as invaders and benefactors, but rarely have they been unequivocally depicted as a hoax. There was an episode of Outer Limits which depicted a group of scientists staging a mock alien invasion to frighten the World's population into uniting as one--but, again, such examples are rare. Even in UFO documentaries on the Discovery Channel, the possibility of a terrestrial origin for the phenomenon is conspicuous by its lack of mention.

UFO researcher Jacques Vallee, the real-life model for the French scientist in Stephen Spielberg's Close Encounters of the Third Kind, attempted to interest Spielberg in a terrestrial explanation for the phenomenon. In an interview on Conspire.com, Vallee said, "I argued with him that the subject was even more interesting if it wasn't extraterrestrials. If it was real, physical, but not ET. So he said, 'You're probably right, but that's not what the public is expecting--this is Hollywood and I want to give people something that's close to what they expect.'" How convenient that what Spielberg says the people expect is also what the Pentagon wants them to believe. In Messengers of Deception, Vallee tracks the history of a wartime British Intelligence unit devoted to psychological operations. Code-named (interestingly) the "Martians," it specialized in manufacturing and distributing false intelligence to confuse the enemy. Among its activities were the creation of phantom armies with inflatable tanks, simulations of the sounds of military ships maneuvering in the fog, and forged letters to lovers from phantom soldiers attached to phantom regiments. Vallee suggests that deception operations of this kind may have extended beyond World War II, and that much of the "evidence" for "flying saucers" is no more real than the inflatable tanks of World War II. He writes: "The close association of many UFO sightings with advanced military hardware (test sites like the New Mexico proving grounds, missile silos of the northern plains, naval construction sites like the major nuclear facility at Pascagoula and the bizarre love affairs ... between contactee groups, occult sects, and extremist political factions, are utterly clear signals that we must exercise extreme caution."

Many people find it fantastic that the government would perpetrate such a hoax, while at the same time having no difficulty entertaining the notion that extraterrestrials are regularly traveling light years to this planet to kidnap people out of their beds and subject them to anal probes. The military routinely puts out disinformation to obscure its activities, and this has certainly been the case with UFOs. Consider Paul Bennewitz, the UFO enthusiast who began studying strange lights that would appear nightly over the Manzano Test Range outside Albuquerque. When the Air Force learned about his study, ufologist William Moore (by his own admission) was recruited to feed him forged military documents describing a threat from extraterrestrials. The effect was to confuse Bennewitz--even making him paranoid enough to be hospitalized--and discredit his research. Evidently, those strange lights belonged to the Air Force, which does not like outsiders inquiring into its affairs. What the Air Force did to Bennewitz, it also does on a mass scale--and popular entertainment has been complicit in this process. Whether or not the filmmakers themselves are consciously aware of this agenda does not matter. The notion that extraterrestrials might visit this planet is so much a part of popular culture and modern mythology that it hardly needs assistance from the military to propagate itself. It has the effect not only of obscuring what is really going on at research facilities such as Area 51, but of tainting UFO research in general as "kooky"--and does the job so thoroughly that one need only say "UFO" in the same breath with "JFK" to discredit research in that area as well. It also may, in the end, serve the same purpose as depicted in that Outer Limits episode--to unite the World's population against a perceived common threat, thus offering the pretext for one-World government. The following quotes demonstrate that the idea has at least occurred to World leaders:

"In our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside, universal threat to make us realize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this World." **President Ronald Reagan, speaking in 1987 to the United Nations.**

"The nations of the World will have to unite, for the next war will be an interplanetary war. The nations of the earth must someday make a common front against attack by people from other planets." **General Douglas MacArthur, 1955**

Some one remarked that the best way to unite all the nations on this globe would be an attack from some other planet. In the face of such an alien enemy, people would respond with a sense of their unity of interest and purpose." **John Dewey, Professor of Philosophy at Columbia University, speaking at a conference sponsored by the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 1917**

And where was this "alien threat" motif given birth? Again, we find the answer in popular entertainment, and again the earliest source is The War of the Worlds--both Wells' and Welles' versions. Perhaps it is no coincidence that H. G. Wells was a founding member of the Round Table, the think tank that gave birth to the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) and its American cousin, the CFR. Perhaps Wells intentionally introduced the motif as a meme which might prove useful later in establishing the "World social democracy" he described in his 1939 book The New World Order. Perhaps,

too, another purpose of the Orson Welles broadcast was to test of the public's willingness to believe in extraterrestrials. At any rate, it proved a popular motif, and paved the way for countless movies and television programs to come, and has often proven a handy device for promoting the New World Order, whether the extraterrestrials are invaders or--in films like *The Day the Earth Stood Still*--benefactors who have come to Earth to warn us to mend our ways and unite as one, or be blown to bits. We see the globalist agenda at work in *Star Trek* and its spin-offs as well. Over the years, many a television viewer's mind has been imprinted with the idea that centralized government is the solution for our problems. Never mind the complexities of the issue--never mind the fact that, in the real World, centralization of power leads to tyranny. The reptile brain, hypnotized by the flickering television screen, has seen Captain Kirk and his culturally diverse crew demonstrate time and again that the United Federation of Planets is a good thing. Therefore, it must be so.

It remains to be seen whether the Masters of Deception will, like those scientists in *The Outer Limits*, stage an invasion from space with anti-gravity machines and holograms, but, if they do, it will surely be broadcast on television, so that anyone out of range of that light show in the sky, will be able to see it, and all with eyes to see will believe. It will be War of the Worlds on a grand scale. Jack Kerouac once noted, while walking down a residential street at night, glancing into living rooms lit by the gray glare of television sets, that we have become a World of people "thinking the same thoughts at the same time." Every day, millions upon millions of human beings sit down at the same time to watch the same football game, the same mini-series, the same newscast. And where might all this shared experience and uniformity of thought be taking us? A recent report co-sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation and the Commerce Department calls for a broad-based research program to find ways to use nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology, and cognitive sciences, to achieve telepathy, machine-to-human communication, amplified sensory experience, enhanced intellectual capacity, and mass participation in a "hive mind." Quoting the report: "With knowledge no longer encapsulated in individuals, the distinction between individuals and the entirety of humanity would blur. Think Vulcan mind-meld. We would perhaps become more of a hive mind--an enormous, single, intelligent entity." There is no doubt that we have been brought closer to the "hive mind" by the mass media. For, what is the shared experience of television but a type of "Vulcan mind-meld"? (Note the terminology borrowed from *Star Trek*, no doubt to make the concept more familiar and palatable. If Spock does it, it must be okay.)

This government report would have us believe that the hive mind will be for our good--a wonderful leap in evolution. It is nothing of the kind. For one thing, if the government is behind it, you may rest assured it is not for our good. For another, common sense should tell us that blurring the line "between individuals and the entirety of humanity" means mass conformity, the death of human individuality. Make no mistake about it--if humanity is to become a hive, there will be at the center of that hive a Queen Bee, whom all the lesser "insects" will serve. This is not evolution--this is devolution. Worse, it is the ultimate slavery--the slavery of the mind. And it is a horror first unleashed in 1938 when one million people responded as one--as a hive--to Orson Welles' Halloween prank. In a sense, those people who fled the Martians that night were right to be afraid. They were indeed under attack. But they were wrong about who was attacking them. It was something far worse than Martians. Had they only known the true nature of the danger facing them, perhaps they would have gone to the nearest radio station with torches in hand like the villagers in those old Frankenstein movies and burned it to the ground, or at least commandeered the new technology and turned it towards another use--the liberation of humanity, instead of its enslavement. www.mackwhite.com/tv.html Via Rumor Mill News Agents Forum, see also: *Television: The Hidden Picture* by Rixon Stewart www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?id=67 , *Cosmic Deception: Let the Citizen Beware* by Dr. Steven Greer; www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/article.asp?id=249 , *Counterfeit Foe: the Ultimate Hegelian Dialectic* by Paul Joseph Watson www.propagandamatrix.com/counterfeit_foe.html .

The Subversion of Culture

By Henry Makow Ph.D., <http://www.savethemales.ca> In the 1920's, leaders of the Communist International decided that Western society was too strong to conquer. It was necessary to weaken it by subverting its cultural institutions--family, education, religion, art, mass media and government [as so well explained in the Protocols]. **They have largely succeeded. While maintaining these institutions in their familiar format, they have subtly changed the content. It's like lacing a bottle of aspirin with arsenic. The purpose is to gradually poison, paralyze and eventually destroy us.** We are noticing that our political and cultural leaders are mostly cowards, dupes, traitors, crooks, opportunists and impostors rewarded by how much harm they can do [all those under whose watch 9-11 happened have been promoted]. Our failure to combat Communism is due to a misunderstanding of its real nature. We imagine it is a discredited movement, once based in the USSR and China devoted to social justice, equality and public ownership. Millions of idealists, including myself, were duped and are still duped.

In fact, Communism is an international phenomenon that invaded Russia and China. It is the creation of a satanic cult (the Illuminati) formed in 1776 by international bankers. It is designed to put all the World's wealth in their hands, and eventually to reduce and enslave the human race. The 5-pointed Red Star of Communism is also the symbol of Satan-worship. A demonic virus, Communism has morphed into countless forms (such as fe-manism) and is hoodwinking more people than ever. Western Civilization is built on the premise that God is real, in fact the ultimate and only permanent Reality, a spiritual one. Through man's Divine soul, the ordinary individual can discern the Divine Will without mediation from a Worldly authority. This is why the bankers hate Christianity, Islam and Judaism. **God is the Truth, Love, Beauty and Goodness to which we aspire. This moral order precludes a small clique monopolizing the World's wealth. So the bankers set out to destroy our belief in a Divine Order by promoting Darwinism, Existentialism etc.**

They promote war, depression and terror so we will demand their "antidote": the New World Order.

In his brilliant essay, "The Frankfurt School and Political Correctness" Michael Minnichino describes how most of the fashionable intellectual and artistic movements in the 20th century, still in vogue today, were actually inspired by thinkers who were **Comintern (Communist International) agents financed by the central bankers. Some of them actually worked for Soviet Intelligence right into the 1960's.** He writes: "The task [of the Frankfurt School] was first to undermine the Judeo-Christian legacy through an "abolition of culture" ...and second, to determine new cultural forms which would increase the alienation of the population, thus creating a "new barbarism." **...The purpose of modern art, literature and music must be to destroy the uplifting potential of art, literature and music...**" Funds came from "various German and American universities, the Rockefeller Foundation, the American Jewish [actually Zionist] Committee, several American intelligence services..."

This subversive movement "represents almost the entire theoretical basis of all the politically correct aesthetic trends which now plague our universities." They are associated with Post Modernism, Feminism, Cultural Studies, Deconstructionism, Semiotics, etc. **Their net effect is to divorce us from truth, social cohesion and our cultural heritage.** They assert that reality is unknowable and that writers and artists are in fact depicting themselves. For example, postmodernist Hayden White writes, "historical narratives are verbal fictions, the contents of which are more invented than found...truth and reality are primarily authoritarian weapons of our times." In other words, we cannot know what happened in the past (which is exactly what they want.) **Postmodernism is part of the authoritarian agenda. Similarly the Frankfurt School championed the notion that "authoritarianism" is caused by religion, male leadership, marriage and family, when these things actually uphold society.** Universities are enemy territory and professors usually are obstacles to genuine learning.

The same applies to the mass media, which the central bankers own and control. We imagine that books, movies and music are commercially or artistically motivated. This is not true. Elite brainwashers discovered they can sell almost anything as long as it is hyped and adheres to a familiar format. Thus the hidden agenda of entertainment is social engineering, satanism, sexual depravity and violence. Musician **Wes Penre** says stars are selected not for talent but because they advance the elite agenda. He writes: Their task is to demoralize our youth, to create a society where no one is able to think for themselves (contrary to what the pop culture is trying to teach us about the "rebellious" rock music). The Art Industry creates "Icons" with degrading and Satanic messages, who are supposed to take the place of God. The musicians are also often acting degraded and high or low on drugs, so their fans start acting the same. The purpose is to create apathy and decay. Quite a few artists also put subliminal backward messages in their music, like Led Zeppelin, Michael Jackson, The Eagles, and more.... Many musicians die young due to "drug overdoses" because they tried to buck the agenda. This also explains the obsession with romance in popular music. Love and sex are intended to distract us from everything else.

Increasingly, there is a lesbian message in popular music. For example, the Dixie Chicks scorn men and celebrate female independence. In one song, women self righteously murder a man who gave his wife a black eye. Young women sing these songs and identify. A friend noticed his Dixie Chick-singing girlfriend has been psychologically neutered. She thinks becoming a wife and mother will cramp her style. He is dumping her. **I went to the movies last night. Three-out-of-four previews mentioned homosexuality. "North Country" with Charlese Theron is pure anti-male propaganda. Single mother gets a job in a mine but is not accepted as an equal by her male co-workers. After one rapes her, she has to take the company to court to get justice. How many times have we seen this kind of thing? I once met a woman who works as a railroad diesel mechanic. She said her male co-workers treat her like gold. It wouldn't do to tell her story, would it? Ever wonder why there hasn't been a movie about real American heroes like Henry Ford, Charles Lindbergh (Post The Spirit of St. Louis) Louis McFadden and Whittaker Chambers? They opposed the banker agenda.**

Just as we need healthy food and exercise, our mind and soul needs truth and beauty. **We need to see life portrayed honestly, with the real forces identified. Instead, we are deliberately deceived and degraded by a small financial elite with a diabolical plan.** White stallions (our souls) are fed a diet of sawdust. Whether it's school or mass media, we are bombarded with propaganda designed to produce alienation and dysfunction. We must protect ourselves from this poison before it is too late. **The good news is that modern culture, based on the premise there is no inherent purpose or positive design in human life, has been exposed as a long-term Illuminati psy-op designed to demoralize us. It will fail.** For More <http://www.savethemales.ca/000205.html> on the Illuminati program.

Comments for "The Fraudulent Basis of Modern Culture" **John** said (September 29, 2005): Your piece "Rothschilds Conduct Red Symphony" shows very clearly that a Jewish [actually Zionist] elite, including the Warburg interests, financed both the Communists and the Nazis. This has been known for decades, and had already been shown in the seventies by the scholarship of Antony Sutton. As for the banking elites, some call them "Khazars," some call them "pseudo-Jews" like Robert Wilton, or "apostate Jews" like the radio priest Fr. Coughlin. Whatever we call it, this sinister group has on its hands the blood of the victims of both Nazism and Communism.

There Exists In Our World Today A Powerful And Dangerous Secret Cult

So wrote **Victor Marchetti**, a former high-ranking CIA official, in his book *The CIA and the Cult of Intelligence*. This is the first book the U.S. Government ever went to court to censor before publication. In this book, Marchetti tells us that there IS a "Cabal" that rules the World and that its holy men are the clandestine professionals of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Laura Knight-Jadczyk: http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/mahmoud_ahmed.htm "The War on Terrorism is a LIE".

The pretext to wage this war has been created and executed by the Bush Reich and their minions. The September 11 attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon are the biggest frauds in American History. The Bush Reich and the Mass Media continue to manipulate public opinion, to make their Fraud appear to be an indelible truth. The American People have been misled from the very beginning regarding the causes and consequences of the September 11 "attack on America." The EVIDENCE, including MOUNTAINS OF OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS that get no Media play at all, confirms that the 9-11 event was part of the ongoing globalization plans of the Bush Reich. The way they deal with this documentation is to pass laws to suppress it and to protect their illegitimate rule. What I am saying isn't new or original. Many of the intellectuals and writers in the U.S. and elsewhere are fully cognizant of the true state of affairs. The unfortunate thing is that the masses of ordinary people are completely under the influence of the Mass Media which is in the pocket of the Bush Reich, and promotes the New World Order agenda of the Military-Industrial Complex. If Peter Jennings or Dan Rather don't say it, for them, it isn't even real. They are duped and mind controlled by television talking heads (shades of The original 1962 Manchurian Candidate [s]). Sustaining the Illusion of Democracy - The Bush administration requires "legitimacy" in the eyes of public opinion, namely, that in launching the War on Terrorism, it is acting in the best interests of society, with the full endorsement of the American people and with the backing of the "international community." To effectively build this "legitimacy," the Bush administration not only needs to uphold the falsehoods behind the "war on terrorism," it also needs to sustain the illusion that constitutional democracy continues to prevail. Sustaining the "freedom and democracy rhetoric" is part of the process of building a totalitarian state. While "legitimate dissent" is encouraged, democracy requires that "civil liberties be balanced against public safety." [...]

To borrow from, and paraphrasing, Marchetti: "This cult is patronized and protected by the highest level government officials in the World. It's membership is composed of those in the power centers of government, industry, commerce, finance, and labor. It manipulates individuals in areas of important public influence - including the academic World and the mass media. The Secret Cult is a global fraternity of a political aristocracy whose purpose is to further the political policies of persons or agencies unknown. It acts covertly and illegally".

In 1958, Aldous Huxley wrote Brave New World in which he stated: The older dictators fell because they never could supply their subjects with enough bread, enough circuses, enough miracles and mysteries. Nor did they possess a really effective system of mind-manipulation. Under a scientific dictator, education will really work - with the result that most men and women will grow up to love their servitude and will never dream of revolution. There seems to be no good reason why a thoroughly scientific dictatorship should ever be overthrown.

Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., in Foreign Affairs (July/August 1995): "We are not going to achieve a new World order without paying for it in blood as well as in words and money."

Creating Fake Terror (Illuminati agents stage terror attacks)

"Cui Bono" is the intelligent question asked when an official was murdered in ancient Rome: "who benefited?", the question gullible minds have long forgotten to ask themselves following politically-motivated atrocities.

Once Voltaire noted: "So long as the people do not care to exercise their freedom, those who wish to tyrannize will do so; for tyrants are active and ardent, and will devote themselves in the name of any number of gods, religious and otherwise, to put shackles upon sleeping men."

"The war on terrorism is a preemptive strike on societies which might be inclined to later rebel against a global government. The strategy is to allow today's terrorists to run freely among civilized societies until those societies are sufficiently terrorized into giving up all of their freedoms to get rid of the terrorists. By the time the global government is fully in place, those societies will be unable to rebel and to become economically free from the owners of the central banks and their mega-corporations." **GENIUS**

Joseph Stalin: "The easiest way to gain control of the population is to carry out acts of terror. The public will clamor for such laws if their personal security is threatened." This is Bush/Sharon's bosses' strategy.

Without 9-11 there would be no passage of laws abrogating the Constitution. Without 9-11, there would be no talk of War. Without 9-11, Bush would probably be facing criminal charges for rigging an election in cahoots with his brother Jeb, Katharine Harris and Bob Graham and others. Without 9-11, Bush would not have been able to rig the mid-term election which most certainly happened, because it is hardly likely that the voters turned out in such numbers because they were PLEASED with the current state of affairs.

Why doubt that Osama bin Laden was behind the atrocities of September 11? In the first place, it was a sly, covert operation. The massive U.S. budget for such things, which exceeds the gross national product of most of the countries of



the World, is not spent in vain. In the covert World there is a little room for small, independent operators in the United States as there is in the news business, the automobile business, or the drug business. Every political organization you can think of, especially those who wish ill for America's ruling establishment, is thoroughly laced with informants on the federal payroll, recent lamentations about a shortage of "humint" resources notwithstanding. Furthermore, trickery and deceit are the life's blood of the intelligence community. Israel's Mossad even has it enshrined in the organization's motto, "By way of deception thou shalt do war" is Mossad's Motto encrypted in the Knesset. The whole case for Osama bin Laden's guilt rests upon the assurances of people whose business it is to fool us, abetted, as usual, by another group who, through the years, have shown that it is their business as well, the American news media. With the record that they have established with the first

World Trade Center bombing, the Oklahoma City bombing, the Waco massacre, the crashes of TWA 800 and Pan Am 103, going all the way back to their treatment of the John F. Kennedy assassination and further, we simply have no reason to trust a single thing they tell us. Recent history has shown that the more important the matter, the greater the likelihood that they will lie to us about it.

Then, of course, there are the numerous unanswered questions with respect to 9-11 itself. Why did America's air defenses remain utterly supine while one jet airliner after another departed radically from its proper flight path? Why did President George W. Bush, upon being notified that a second airplane had plowed into the World Trade Center, continue listening to a story being read to a second grade class and apparently issue no instructions to subordinates? How did pilots who were inept at the controls of puddle-jumping trainer planes, on their first venture into the complicated cockpits of large jetliners perform so expertly? How, as we were told in the cases of Lee Harvey Oswald and Timothy McVeigh before them, were the perpetrators so expert in carrying out their plot and so inept in covering their trails? Put another way, how were the authorities so inept in discovering and preventing such a sweeping scheme but so quick and expert in tracking down the perpetrators? Why did those indestructible black boxes turn out to be so destructible, and what happened to the recordings of conversations between the flight controllers and the cockpits? Who were the people who made, or attempted to make, a fortune by short-selling or buying put options of stock of companies that suffered from the 9-11 attacks, and why has news of investigation into this matter disappeared? Why is investigation into the collapse of the World Trade Center buildings being handled in such an unprofessional manner, with apparently the same sort of wholesale destruction of evidence going on that happened at Oklahoma City and at Waco? And why do putative opponents of the U.S. government persist in doing things that could hardly be more detrimental to their own causes while aiding immeasurably the elements of the U.S. government that represent unaccountable power? "When the powers that be ran out of rival societies to conquer and exploit and indigenous innocents to conquer and enslave, they devised an ingenious plan to perpetuate their demonic, moneymaking war machine — they invented fictional characters to pursue and annihilate, and recruited impoverished souls to act out these roles". Now, from John Kaminski, author of "America's Autopsy Report" and "The Day America Died," a new collection of essays titled "The Perfect Enemy," <http://www.johnkaminski.com/>. Our World is currently managed by a VERY "scientific dictator," and Bush is only its puppet. (huge section on CD).

David Rockefeller, Statement to the United Nations Business Council, 1994, quoted by Michel Chossudovsky, Professor of Economics, University of Ottawa, Canada: "We are on the verge of global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order."

In his diary, Moshe Sharett, Israeli's first Foreign Minister from 1948-1956 and Prime Minister from 1954-1956, quotes a conversation with Army Chief of Staff Moshe Dayan in May 1955: "We face no danger at all of an Arab advantage of force for the next 8-10 years...Reprisal actions which we couldn't carry out if we were tied to a security pact are our vital lymph...they make it possible for us to maintain a high level of tension in our population and in the army. Without these actions we would have ceased to be a combative people... The state... must see the sword as the main, if not the only, instrument with which to keep its morale high and to retain its moral tension. Toward this end it may, no it MUST invent dangers, and to do this it must adopt the method of provocation and revenge.... And above all, let us hope for a new war with the Arab countries so that we may finally get rid of our troubles and acquire our space."

<http://globalresearch.ca/articles/CHO405B.html> Michel Chossudovsky, Professor of Economics, University of Ottawa, Canada, Chossudovsky points out: The conspirators are working to convey the illusion of democracy. They "fabricate dissent." In other words, they must create and finance their own political opposition. In order to appear legitimate, they must actively encourage the type of "criticism" which does not challenge "their right to rule." The "war on terrorism" and the development of the authoritarian State are occurring at the outset of a huge global economic depression marked by the downfall of the State institutions, mounting unemployment, the collapse in living standards in all major regions of the World, including Western Europe and North America, and the outbreak of famines over large areas. At a global economic level, this depression could be far more devastating than that of the 1930s. Moreover, the war has not only unleashed a massive shift out of civilian economic activities into the military-industrial complex, it has also accelerated the demise of the welfare state in most Western countries. The US intelligence apparatus has created its own terrorist organizations. And at the same time, it creates its own terrorist warnings concerning the terrorist organizations which it has itself created. In turn, it has developed a cohesive multibillion dollar counterterrorism program "to go after" these terrorist organizations. Counterterrorism and war propaganda are intertwined. The propaganda apparatus feeds disinformation into the news chain. The terror warnings must appear to be "genuine". The objective is to present the terror groups as "enemies of America. The underlying objective is to galvanize public opinion in support of America's war agenda. The "war on terrorism" requires a humanitarian mandate. The war on terrorism is presented as a

"Just War", which is to be fought on moral grounds "to redress a wrong suffered." The Just War theory defines "good" and "evil." It concretely portrays and personifies the terrorist leaders as "evil individuals".

Several prominent American intellectuals and antiwar activists, who stand firmly opposed to the Bush administration, are nonetheless supporters of the Just War theory: "We are against war in all its forms but we support the campaign against international terrorism."

To reach its foreign policy objectives, the images of terrorism must remain vivid in the minds of the citizens, who are constantly reminded of the terrorist threat. The propaganda campaign presents the portraits of the leaders behind the terror network. In other words, at the level of what constitutes an "advertising" campaign, "it gives a face to terror." The "war on terrorism" rests on the creation of one or more evil bogeymen, the terror leaders, Osama bin Laden, Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi, et al, whose names and photos are presented ad nauseam in daily news reports.

Five days before September 11, 2001, President Bush stated almost prophetically: I have repeatedly said that only time to use Social Security money is in times of war, times of recession, or times of severe emergency. And I mean that. [September 6, 2001]

Michel Chossudovsky: "The recession and war buzzwords are being used to mould U.S. public opinion into accepting the pilfering of the Social Security fund to pay the producers of weapons of mass destruction - i.e. a massive redirection of the nation's resources towards the military industrial complex". Again, warfare is defining human life.


If we do the research, if the mountain of government documentation is examined, we discover that the so called "Militant Islamic groups" are very likely created and funded by the CIA. The current U.S. foreign policy is NOT geared towards curbing so called "Islamic fundamentalism - Radical Islam" - it is designed to promote it, to inflame it, and to bait it to attack. The development of so called "radical Islam" in the wake of September 11, in the Middle East and Central Asia, is consistent with Washington's hidden agenda. They plan to sustain rather than combat terrorism with a view to destabilizing societies around the World and to prevent the creation and growth of possible genuine social movements that might combat the New World Order plans of the Bush Reich. You can bet that the CIA continues to support the development of so called "Islamic Fundamentalism, Christian Fundamentalism, Jewish Fundamentalism, Zionism and New Age Fundamentalism" mainly through COINTELPRO, though not exclusively. In the short term, Fundamentalism and Fanaticism creates social and ethnic divisiveness. It makes it impossible for large groups of people to unite and organize against the New World Order of the Bush Reich. We are, indeed, experiencing a Global COINTELPRO program that has something for everyone - the Devil's Supermarket of religions and beliefs, so to say. These organizations often foment "opposition to Bush" or the "American Agenda" in a way designed by the CIA handlers so that it does not constitute any real threat to the Bush Reich's global agenda.

Fake Terror: Zionist agent caught disguised as a Palestinian (like the CIA Death Squads in Iraq, shades of El-Salvador)

Center for Cooperative Research (CCR)

<http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/wot/default.html> : Critics argue that the wealthy and powerful elite as a whole are using the 'war on terrorism' as a means to achieve one or more of the following objectives:

- Evade the political and economic repercussions that the worsening financial crisis will have on both the elite and the U.S. nation as a whole.
- Dodge the blame for causing the current financial crisis which was a direct result of the ultra-liberalization of the various financial and commodity markets, the neo-liberalization policies of privatization and 'free trade', and the reckless expansion of money and credit (among other things).
- Instigate a 'clash of civilizations' that will provide the U.S. with an excuse to reorganize the World under the tutelage of an American empire.
- Secure control of the oil and gas rich lands of Central Asia and the Middle East.
- Undermine the political and economic development and integration of the Eurasian landmass.
- Maintain economic power during the course of the current financial crisis by using U.S. taxpayer money (and lives) to force on the World that which a truly free market would not have otherwise allowed - unchallenged American economic and political supremacy.



Although CCR believes that the available information very strongly supports the above hypotheses, it should be noted that there is a considerable degree of ambiguity with regard to the actual dynamics that are at work between these goals, ongoing events, and the various individual and organizational actors. To assume that every development in the so-called 'war on terrorism' can be easily explained by a simple 'conspiracy' model is to ignore the dialectical and conflictive nature of real historical progression. While it is obvious that certain menaces in the Pentagon and White House yield considerable influence over the direction of current U.S. foreign policy it is equally obvious that they are not fully in control of the present situation. However, the big picture since ancient times stays the same, i.e. the elites who control the issuance of money keep the masses trapped in an enslaving debt-usury based economic system, in confusion and in disunity while enriching themselves and consolidating their power.

The Zionist Protection (Extorsion) Racket; Surviving New World Order Tyranny

By Henry Makow Ph.D. Dec16, 2005: A "protection racket" is a scam where an aggressor instigates an attack, blames a bogeyman, and then offers to protect the victim from this bogeyman in return for [a tribute or homage or protection] money and power. [a.k.a. fake terror, false flag operation, problem-reaction-solution, the Hegelian dialectic, in simple words, Mother of all Mafias' extortion racket] The "War on Terror" is a protection racket. The aggressor is the World financial elite known as the "Crown" based in the City of London. Their instrument is the Zionist project, specifically the Mossad and its US allies. The victims are the peoples of the United States and the West in general, [and also of the rest of the defenseless World]. The goal is the overthrow of [Godly] Civilization, and the establishment of a World police state called the "New World Order." "Zionism is but an incident of a far reaching plan," said leading American Zionist Louis Marshall, counsel for bankers Kuhn Loeb in 1917. "It is merely a convenient peg on which to hang a powerful weapon." The head of the Department of Homeland Security is Israeli dual citizen and Zionist Michael Chertoff. He was the New Jersey State Attorney when five Mossad agents were arrested after witnesses saw them congratulating themselves on the destruction of the World Trade Center. Their van tested positive for explosives but he let them go back to Israel. (See Chris Bollyn article below.) Speculators who shorted airline stocks before 9-11 have been identified as Israelis apparently.

"ANTI SEMITISM" THE ORIGINAL PROTECTION RACKET: The Zionist elite regards the Jewish rank-and-file as pawns to be manipulated. "Anti Semitism is indispensable to us for the management of our lesser brethren," says the author of Protocols of the Elders of Zion (9-2) a document labeled as "forgery" by Zionists that reads like the blueprint of the New World Order. European Jews had to be terrorized into setting up Israel as a "national home," i.e. colonizing the Middle East and creating a centre of World government. World Finance funded the Nazis. Zionists actively collaborated with them. See "The Holocaust as Mental Paradigm." See also "Zionism: A Conspiracy Against Jews" . **Zionist betrayal is the reason Jews went passively to their deaths, says Rabbi Moshe Shonfeld in his book "Holocaust Victims Accuse." Non-Zionist Jews were worth more dead than alive to the Zionist leadership who, Shonfeld says, reaped the moral and financial capital from their "sacrifice." See my "Zionism: Compulsory Suicide for Jews."** The Zionist [Babylonian Talmudic Luciferian Pharisaiic, referred to as the Synagogue of Satan by Yeshua-Joshua-Issa in the Bible] elite has a long history of manipulating Jews in this manner. For example, in 1950 a wave of anti Semitism and terrorism in Iraq made Naeim Giladi, 21, join the Zionist underground. Giladi was imprisoned, tortured and sentenced to death by Iraqi authorities. He escaped and fled to Israel only to discover that the anti Semitism and bombings had been engineered by his fellow Zionists to dupe Iraqi Jews into going to Israel. An ancient community was deprived of its wealth and reduced to second-class citizen status in Israel, replacing Palestinian labor. See my "Zionists Double Crossed Iraqi Jews" . Israel provoked attacks from its neighbours in order to "retain its moral tension" according to the secret diary of Prime Minister Moshe Sharett. The state must "invent dangers" to start war and thereby "acquire our space," he wrote. See "The Zionist Roots of the War on Terror."

"ANTI SEMITISM" BECOMES "ANTI AMERICANISM": A pogrom like 9-11 was designed to stampede Americans into forfeiting their civil rights and invading the Middle East. There is a drumbeat in the media to convince Americans that they are victims of Muslim fanatics. This propaganda campaign is carried out by Neo Cons (a.k.a. Zionists.) In an otherwise disappointing new book, "The New Jerusalem: Zionist Power in America," Michael Collins Piper writes: "In the build-up to the Iraq war, Zionist propagandists and the media increasingly began touting the message to Americans that "the whole World is against us"... and the Israelis are our only real solid dependable ally ...The theme that anti Americanism had run rampant was instilled in Americans for the very purpose of making them "anti" everyone who refused to support the...Iraq war...and the more broad ranging Zionist agenda." (157) Sound familiar? This is the tactic they use on Jews. See my "How Jews are Brainwashed and Manipulated." Piper says that Zionism is being equated with Americanism. Zionist agents like Russian Nathan Sharansky crafted the overblown and specious rhetoric of Bush's second inaugural speech that committed the US to advancing the Zionist agenda using force. History provides a sobering warning as to where this could be leading.

In his essay, The Nature of Zionism, Russian author Vladimir Stepin writes, "During the civil war in Russia, the Zionists also performed another task. Using some units of the Red Army - Trotsky was the chairman of the country's Revolutionary Military Council - they organized the Jewish pogrom in Seversk. The result of this was the "Law on Those Involved in Pogroms" of 27 July 1918. In accordance with this law, a monstrous Zionist terror raged in Russia for ten years: a person accused of anti-Semitism was, without any argument being allowed, declared to be involved in pogroms and placed against the wall to be shot. Not only anti-Zionists, but the best representatives of the intelligentsia of Russia, could be accused of being anti-Semitic, and so too could anyone one felt like accusing of it. People saw who was exercising power in Russia and expressed their discontent with it. 90% of the members of the Cheka - the Soviet security organ, 1918-1922 - were Zionists. Apart from the law on those involved in pogroms, the Zionists practised genocide against the ethnic groups inhabiting Russia, and they did so by accusing people of counter-revolutionary activities, sabotage, and so on, irrespective of whether or not the people in question really had conducted such activities. It was standard practice merely to put them against the wall to be shot."

My hunch is that the World financial elite, using Masonic secret societies and intelligence agencies, is responsible for 90% of terrorism. The purpose is to manipulate people into advancing the goals of the New World Order, which includes destroying true religion, nation states, democracy, race and family. They are running a protection racket. They are empowered to protect us from this "terror." Zionists or Americans who carry out their agenda could end up holding the bag if something goes wrong, or as I should say right. Remember they are challenging the greatest power in the universe: God, or Truth as witnessed in the souls of all human beings. They are most vulnerable now on the 9-11 attack which they perpetrated. If we rise up as one to demand the truth about this atrocity, their obscene criminal enterprise will start to unravel.

Henry Makow, is the inventor of the board game Scruples, and the author of A Long Way to go for a Date. He received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto. He welcomes your feedback and ideas at henry@savethemales.ca.

Surviving the New World Order: This isn't about storing silver coins or canned food or getting an AK-47. It's about saving your soul not your skin. It's about the tendency to obsess on the New World Order, get depressed and become unbearable. The situation is depressing. A satanic cult controls the credit of the World and rules through myriad proxies. It is determined to destroy civilization and institute an Orwellian police state. You spend hours every day addictively watching for new developments. Your face is pressed up against the pane glass window of the World. You are "externalized." You try to squeeze your sustenance from the World. But much of what you imbibe is poisonous: depravity, corruption, duplicity and tragedy. (Is that the point? To demoralize and brutalize?) Mankind is in the grip of a diabolical force that constantly strives to legitimize itself through duplicity. You can't overcome this demon. But you still control your personal life. Ultimately, the battle is for the soul of humanity. Why not begin by defending your soul? This means erecting a wall between the soul, and the World, and establishing a balance between the sacred and the profane. You need to shut out the World (the profane) for set periods of time and focus on what inspires you. That means turning off the TV, Internet and media in general. Just as you nourish your body with food, you feed your soul with thoughts, sights and sounds. Your soul reaches out for beauty, justice, truth and goodness. You need to discover what lifts your spirits. It might be a long walk, nature, music, sports, or music. It might be time with your family or friends. It might be the religious writing or meditation. "Do what you love," Henry David Thoreau said. "Know your own bone; gnaw at it, bury it, unearth it, and gnaw at it still."

You agree with the mystics who say happiness is within. It involves the possession of your soul, and not wanting anything else. By looking outside your self, you displace your soul and become the thing you want. This is the source of addictive behavior and unhappiness. The occult elite uses sex and money to control us. The courtship stage is a period when sexual feelings are strong so two people will bond and start a family. Sex/romance was not meant to become a permanent preoccupation and panacea. The cult uses it to distract and degrade us while it creates a police state (using the "War on Terror" as a ruse.) The same is true of money. The stock market is a giant casino to which millions are addicted. The central banking cult has unlimited funds. When it wants to make us feel good, (while it trashes our civil rights, or wages senseless war) it makes the market go up. When it wants to fleece us, it crashes the market. Don't be a puppet. The diabolical powers have been with us for a long time. You have discovered their existence only because they signaled the beginning of their endgame on Sept. 11. Don't let them stunt or degrade you by obsessing on their iniquity. Restore balance by attuning yourself to the things you love instead. Be an outpost of happiness.

Comments for "Surviving the New World Order"

James said (January 08, 2006): The Anglo-American-Israeli Axis of Evil is basing its entirely on the dollar's ability to remain the World's reserve currency. But the tide is turning. It started turning with OPEC countries like Iraq turning to the Euro. In March, Iran will get its own stock exchange, which also plans to convert to the Euro. Now China, which has been financing our imperialistic war efforts, is turning away from the dollar too. We know the Axis is planning another war effort. This time against Iran. The Axis was able to place Iraq back under the dollar through an illegal invasion and occupation of that country. Is it planning to do something similar in Iran? The question, therefore, remains: If China should threaten to totally destroy the dollar by monetizing its enormous holdings of U.S. Treasury bonds, would the Anglo-American-Israeli Axis of Evil resort to a war effort against China to save the dollar?

Dear James,
Good questions. The remaining question: Is the other side (Iran, China, Russia) also run by the Illuminati? I fear it is. Henry



Richard said (January 08, 2006): As usual a good article. The key term used in your article is "demonic" this supposes that there is a Devil, and of course a God (which I feel there is) and he is interested in mankind (a personal God). Then this means that the salvation of our soul is all that really matters and that it is a teleological (sic) universe that we live in. In which case what happens to us in the short term, no matter how unpleasant, doesn't matter.


Helen said (January 08, 2006): Henry, Thank you for your thoughtful "Surviving the New World Order." Becoming aware that so little is as it seems has been overwhelming for me. I am beginning to realize my fascination with "What Really Happened," "Truthout," etc. has become an addiction. Lately, I have come to see the importance of continuing with drawing and watercolors. Hitting flyballs with my five year old grandson. Capturing his one year old sister with my Cannon as she proudly gets a spaghetti noodle in her mouth rather than the floor. Perhaps we need to be reminded it is by default the enemy gained control of the nations. Yeshua-Joshua (Yeshua) did not deny the authority of the enemy when He refused to worship him. It is a choice each of us must make.

Yasmin said (January 07, 2006): What excellent timing! I have, for some time now, been very disillusioned with the World in which I feel forced to participate. As 2005 rolled over to 2006, something was happening inside me - like a seed starting to wake up to the need to DO even MORE. Inside, I heard myself repeating "I want out! I do not want to

participate in this World!" So I resolved to take action, starting small, such as getting my raw vegetables from local suppliers who use organic gardening methods. I wish, no, I NEED to remove myself from the system as much as possible. You're right - it's not about getting depressed - it's about strategic decision-making, taking control where we can. Your suggestion to 'shut out the World (the profane) for set periods of time and focus on those things that inspire you...restore balance by attuning yourself to the things you love. Be an outpost of happiness' is appreciated. Thank you Henry. I always enjoy and benefit in concrete ways from your articles.

ITS ALL IN THE FAMILY TREE OF NOBLES

In today's World, three things are certain, i.e. death, usury and taxes. The true Torah, Bible and The Quran (and most likely other books) give particular attention to the first two. Death is not explained in this book. Maybe Commander A-WALL Bush, Sharon & Company could explain why they killed and crippled scores of thousands of innocent people since September 11th 2001, demonstrating no value for the life of their victims, "a number I'm not terribly interested in" said Colin Powell who is also responsible for several massacres in Vietnam or "the price is worth it" said Madeline Albright or its "irrelevant" say the Zionists, or in the words of President Bush's wife Barbara "Why should we hear about body bags and deaths and how many, what day it's gonna happen? It's not relevant. So why should I waste my beautiful mind on something like that?" — **George W. Bush's mother Barbara on ABC/Good Morning America, March 18, 2003.** I guess it's a bit like ignoring the people who are working for poverty minimum wages or the 50 million people who don't have any health insurance in the United States (as in an ancient Masonic blood sacrifice). In case you're wondering what Bush & Powell are doing together, well, in addition to following the money, **follow the bloodlines. The Bush & Kerry family tree is further below. It's all in the family! (see the two sections on the bloodlines of the Illuminati and the US presidents).** Please see the two attached family trees for lineage on the next two pages.



Stock Market Money-pulation by them 300 families

<http://hauns.com/~DCQu4E5g/StockMkt.htm> This website's owner worked for a brokerage firm in Los Angeles whose home base was in New York. He was surprised that they knew about and were predicting the stock market crash of the late 1980's at least three years before it happened. The only question they had was whether it would happen in either October or November but they knew the year. They explained how it would happen and how the stock market is rigged. The following structure and function of the stock market was explained. If a person holds 15% or more of any stock, they must do two things when selling or buying that stock. They must inform the company and public that they are making the



market move and are limited in how much of the stock they can move at one time. The old money families have united into a syndicate to get around this. The way they do this is as follows. First, they have very large extended families. The family then creates a brokerage account for each individual in the extended family, including in-laws, with one of the brokerage firms which are involved in this collusion. In violation of the law, these accounts are all controlled by one person who is the head of that extended family. In order to keep the individuals silent about these accounts and that they are controlled by one member of the family, each member of the family is provided with a very large trust fund which can be pulled at any moment and from which these people live. It is a form of financial extortion or hush money. This provides the head of the family with absolute control over a large number of accounts through which he can control and quickly move large amounts of any company's financial instruments such as stocks and bonds. These heads of the families (possibly as many as 300 or more) then work with the cooperating brokerage firms in a syndicate to control the stock market. They literally control enough financial instruments for any desired financial instrument for any particular company to make it move up or down at will.

They do this by using "ceilings" and "floors." They can easily and legally move small amounts of any instrument from enough accounts to move any company's stock in the desired direction.

When they want a stock to drop, they place a ceiling of stocks which are selling at decreasing prices to eat up any number of buy orders. When the rest of the people on the market see this dropping ceiling, they panic and sell. This causes the market to crash for that instrument. The syndicate then "catches" the market for that instrument by establishing a floor of buy orders at a pre determined price. These buy orders start out low and continue in price. This causes the rest of the players on the market to start buying the instrument and drive its price up.

The market analyses given by the brokerage firms is just psycho-babble provided to the public as a cover to pull this scam off. The brokers used to sit and make jokes about the psycho-babble and were trained how to do it and told what the "reasons" for a particular instrument fluctuation were to be. There is a person who is supposed to police the stock market. This person is hired and fired by congress and many of the members of this syndicate are also members of congress. If this person should try to stop this action, they would just fire him. You have to remember that the present "police system" for the stock market was created by Joseph Kennedy who is known to have been one of the major crooks who pillaged the stock market prior to the crash of 1929. It was their pillaging and control of the market which caused that crash because their money making got out of control. Permitting Joseph Kennedy to design the police system for the stock market was like permitting the fox to design the security system for the hen house. This syndicate has used these techniques over the past few decades to drive the market up continually in order to build a false confidence by the public in the stock market and draw them into the market. They have driven the stocks up to as much as over 700 times their real market value. It is a sucker punch to the general public. They have developed and permitted easier ways for more people to get into the market to increase the amount of money in the market. They plan on crashing the market and want as much of everyone's money as possible in the market before they crash it. They particularly want the financially and economically ignorant in the market. These people are more prone to panic and drive the market in the desired directions. The higher the price of the stocks, the greater the gain for the syndicate and the greater the loss for the public when the market is finally crashed. This will greatly increase their wealth and greatly increase their RELATIVE wealth over the general public. It is this relative wealth that determines the amount of power a group has.



The increasing fluctuations over the last few years is because this syndicate strategically moves their money out of the market in lots. As soon as they do this, the market crashes to almost nothing making the remaining people in the market broke beyond belief. After the syndicate pulls so much of their money out of the stock market, there isn't enough money left in the market to keep the prices from doing anything but plunging. Many people have been fooled into "keeping their money in the market for the long haul" by the false climbing and psycho-dribble. Those who don't bail early lose everything. After leaving the firm because I couldn't stomach working there any more, I swore I would never invest so much as a dime in the market.

For more family trees, check <http://www.whale.to/b/sp/blood.html#O.J.%20Simpson> , <http://www.illuminati-news.com/shadow-gov.htm> , Genealogies of European Royal Families <http://governmentwatch.greatnow.com/html/royal-trees.htm> , The Bush, Churchill, Roosevelt Family Connection

http://judicial-inc.biz/Bush_Mossad11.htm , Hitler a British Rothschild Zionist Agent, Rothschild & British Royals intermarried <http://www.greghallett.com/reviews.html> , Interrelated US Presidents and Masonic structure http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/US_Presidents/US_Presidents05.htm , Prince Charles Is Of the Same Bloodline As Vlad the Impaler, a.k.a. Dracula, Kerry Is Related to King Harald of Norway
John Kerry's Bloodline Is More "Royal", both Presidents Bush (related to Queen Elizabeth II, 20 of the 25 Dukes), Cheney and Obama Related, Revisited: The Windsor-Bush Bloodline, Rothschilds and the Grail Bloodline.



The Grand Deception Into Feudal Slavery

"There are none so enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free [due to the Elite's mass propaganda]" - **Goethe**

Have a look at the video: <http://www.notjustnotes.ws/howbanksrobyou.htm> Money as debt, how the Banking Dynasties Rob YOU!

"The essence of all slavery consists in taking the produce of another's labor by force. It is immaterial whether this force be founded upon ownership of the slave or ownership of the money that he must get to live." ~ Leo Tolstoy

Slaves, serfs and taxpayers all refer to conditions of bondage. These conditions all restrict the use of your person and labor. The pre-historic slave's person was controlled so that his labor could be exploited. Today the modern taxpayer's labor is controlled in order to exploit his person. That's progress to some, but it is not freedom. The obvious physical differences between iron chains and psychological conditioning tend to obfuscate the degree of control. These evolving forms of bondage appear on the surface to have led to increased personal freedoms. But they have come at the real cost of diminished economic freedom. Chattel, land and political forms of slavery reflect the increasing sophistication of forced labor institutions. Charles Adams does an excellent job (as always) examining the history of slavery here.

<http://www.fff.org/freedom/0100g.asp>

We are all born as slaves. Why?

1. Instead of being born owning your fair share of the shared planet Earth, you are born inheriting federal, state, county, city, debts.
2. You have no choice but to pay taxes to a government that spends money the way the moneyed elite who run it want to spend it.
3. Most of your taxes go to pay interest to the private Banking Elite Dynasties.
4. The Banking Dynasties own most of the World's wealth.
5. If they could tax you for air, they will. They are already taxing you for water.
6. etc.

"No slaves shall keep any arms whatever, nor pass, unless with written orders from his master or employer, or in his company, with arms from one place to another." -- A Bill Concerning Slaves, Source: [1785], reproduced in Alfred Fried, Ed., The Essential Jefferson (Collier Books, 1963), p. 140.

http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quote_blog/A.Bill.Concerning.Slaves.Quote.6570

"Among other causes of misfortune which your not being armed brings upon you, it makes you despised..." -- Niccolo Machiavelli


(1469-1527) Italian Statesman and Political Philosopher

http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quote_blog/Niccolo.Machiavelli.Quote.B73A

"Are we at last brought to such an humiliating and debasing degradation that we cannot be trusted with arms for our own defense? Where is the difference between having our arms under our own possession and under our own direction, and having them under the management of Congress? If our defense be the real object of having those arms, in whose hands can they be trusted with more propriety, or equal safety to us, as in our own hands?" -- Patrick Henry (1736-1799) US Founding Father Source: [3 J. Elliot, Debates in the Several State Conventions 45, 2d ed. Philadelphia, 1836]

http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quote_blog/Patrick.Henry.Quote.B66C

Patience please, it takes time to reform peoples and systems, remember, it took centuries before overt serfdom ended in Europe, before the overt institutionalized system of slavery encapsulating Natives and Africans was abolished in North America, it took decades to get rid of the overt apartheid system in South Africa, and the Palestinians are still under an occupying enslavement on their own land. Was a slavery system a wish of the common people? No!



However, the elites managed to convince the masses to accept it, just like they are doing today with the financial system and now the so called War on Terrorism which is really a War on All Kinds of Freedoms (shades of George Orwell's 1984, from Uncle Sam to Big Brother!). Don't be



intimidated into confusion, doubt, inaction or paralysis by the highly-paid evil genius loud-mouths fanatics of the oil-soaked, spy-riddled monopoly press who work to cover-up and defame the truth uncovered in this book and who "spin" news events with continuous self-praise (see the section on media control in this book to understand the smoke-screens, diversions, stereotyping, half-truths and other techniques used to ridicule and lie about the truth, to degrade and punish the innocent in order to protect the guilty, and to keep the masses and activists busy with distractions and other problems they create in societies to keep people entangled and away from thinking about the real problems explained in this book, etc.

"Make the masses hate them enough and you can carry out genocide to howls of approval". You have to blame bad times on someone, i.e. point the finger at someone else to take suspicion away from you! Negative stereotyping is actually used to facilitate local or foreign policies that the controllers desire. During the first Mediaeval Crusades, the "infidel lands" were conquered using negative stereotyping and fake terror (see details in the appendix on The History of Fake Terror) performed by the occult Gnostics "Assassins" (aka Hassassin) who were associated with the Templar Masons ('Assasseen' in Arabic signifies 'guardians', and some commentators have considered this to be the true origin of the word: 'guardians of the secrets', a word picked up by the Masonic crusaders) fakely posing as muslims for the purpose of deflecting attention from the Vatican and Barons who were looting the European masses and to whip public support for launching the Crusades to steal the Middle-Eastern wealth. Note that the same Vatican supported the fascist dictator Mussolini during his looting of the Italian masses and his barbaric conquests in Africa. Then, we have Hollywood, in order to make the masses forget the enslavement of the North American natives and the stealing of their homelands, providing numerous movies and serials on how "The only good Indian is a dead Indian" and stereotyping them as "savages" (a bit like the Romans who called the people they wanted to subdue "barbarians"). Then, to forget the enslavement of the African Americans and make it unpopular to make claims for reparations against slave-owning families, Hollywood provided the image of the lazy and inferior nigger. Then, to provide sympathy for the European Zionists who invaded Palestine and destituted hundreds of thousands of Palestinians, Hollywood provided the image of the persecuted Jew who wants to go to Palestine. Then, since the controllers desired to steal the natural resources of South-East Asia, the image of the inhumane commies was promoted while South-East Asia was carpet bombed and defoliated. In India, we have fanatics demonizing Muslims and this has led to massacres, the latest one being the slaughter of Muslims in Gujarat. This has also led to several mosque demolitions by terrorist mobs, the Babri mosque demolition being the most famous one, carried live on CNN, and it was in fact a rehearsal for the future demolition of the Al-Aqsa mosque in Jerusalem planned by Zionist zealots. Then, we had the staged massacres in Bosnia and Kosovo which led to the control of former Yugoslavian resources by the Illuminati, and now permanent US bases have been established in both Albania and Georgia. Then we have Iran, Iraq and now, since stealing Arab oil and mineral resources are desired by the controllers, the image of the useless Arab is being promoted. In brief, behind the veil of negative stereotyping, we have barbaric military campaigns and sanctions and persecutions applied wrest control of other people's resources.

"Make the masses hate them enough and you can carry out genocide to howls of approval". You have to blame bad times on someone, i.e. point the finger at someone else to take suspicion away from you! Negative stereotyping is actually used to facilitate local or foreign policies that the controllers desire. During the first Mediaeval Crusades, the "infidel lands" were conquered using negative stereotyping and fake terror (see details in the appendix on The History of Fake Terror) performed by the occult Gnostics "Assassins" (aka Hassassin) who were associated with the Templar Masons ('Assasseen' in Arabic signifies 'guardians', and some commentators have considered this to be the true origin of the word: 'guardians of the secrets', a word picked up by the Masonic crusaders) fakely posing as muslims for the purpose of deflecting attention from the Vatican and Barons who were looting the European masses and to whip public support for launching the Crusades to steal the Middle-Eastern wealth. Note that the same Vatican supported the fascist dictator Mussolini during his looting of the Italian masses and his barbaric conquests in Africa. Then, we have Hollywood, in order to make the masses forget the enslavement of the North American natives and the stealing of their homelands, providing numerous movies and serials on how "The only good Indian is a dead Indian" and stereotyping them as "savages" (a bit like the Romans who called the people they wanted to subdue "barbarians"). Then, to forget the enslavement of the African Americans and make it unpopular to make claims for reparations against slave-owning families, Hollywood provided the image of the lazy and inferior nigger. Then, to provide sympathy for the European Zionists who invaded Palestine and destituted hundreds of thousands of Palestinians, Hollywood provided the image of the persecuted Jew who wants to go to Palestine. Then, since the controllers desired to steal the natural resources of South-East Asia, the image of the inhumane commies was promoted while South-East Asia was carpet bombed and defoliated. In India, we have fanatics demonizing Muslims and this has led to massacres, the latest one being the slaughter of Muslims in Gujarat. This has also led to several mosque demolitions by terrorist mobs, the Babri mosque demolition being the most famous one, carried live on CNN, and it was in fact a rehearsal for the future demolition of the Al-Aqsa mosque in Jerusalem planned by Zionist zealots. Then, we had the staged massacres in Bosnia and Kosovo which led to the control of former Yugoslavian resources by the Illuminati, and now permanent US bases have been established in both Albania and Georgia. Then we have Iran, Iraq and now, since stealing Arab oil and mineral resources are desired by the controllers, the image of the useless Arab is being promoted. In brief, behind the veil of negative stereotyping, we have barbaric military campaigns and sanctions and persecutions applied wrest control of other people's resources.



John Brady Keisling - Career US Diplomat 27-Feb-2003: "Still, we have not seen such systematic distortion of intelligence, such manipulation of American opinion, since the War in Vietnam".

Before we start into the mechanics of money and banking, let's introduce some basics about the **"New World Order" (NWO)** whose masters actually control the issue of money.

The materialist theories in Masonic literature are no different from those found in the writings of materialist ideologues such as Marx, Engels and Lenin.

What does the N.W.O. promise? Well, it's kind of like the Romans' or the Imperial British's or Lenin's or Stalin's or Mao's or Hitler's or the emerging American empires. By the way, Lenin and his Zionist friends organized the Bolshevik revolution to overthrow the Tsar of Russia in London with financial assistance from New York in 1903. Note that the Ch'ing dynasty was deposed in China in 1911 by Sun Yat-sen in a similar way and then Mao came along in 1934. In 1912, the Balkan League began the first Balkan War to bring down the Ottoman Empire. In 1932, Franklin D. pledged a "fake" New Deal and promptly made it illegal to own gold in 1933, bought it at a low price and then sold it at a higher price. Note that in 1940, Roosevelt send General "Wild Bill" Donovan on an information gathering mission to Europe who then recommended the creation of the CIA headed by CFR member Pasbolsky (CFR took control of the US State Dept. in 1945).

In 1921 the stockholders of the Federal Reserve financed an organization called the "Council on Foreign Relations" (CFR). Harpers magazine called this the most powerful organization in the United States. Ninety percent of the people in the

State Department and key positions in the Executive Branch are members of the CFR. The CFR publishes a magazine called "Foreign Affairs." Read it if you want to know what is going to happen in coming years. The CFR is in favor of the New World Order.

Eustace Mullins: "As soon as Mr. Roosevelt took office, the Federal Reserve began to buy government securities at the rate of ten million dollars a week for 10 weeks, and created one hundred million dollars in new [checkbook] currency, which alleviated the critical famine of money and credit, and the factories started hiring people again."

So, all these NWOs fraudulently promised to deliver a Better World, Brotherly Love, Relief and Truth, a bit like "organized religions" who love tithing. If in doubt, just check out some of the Nazi era posters around Belgrade (1941). And Hitler's N.W.O. also started well for the downtrodden German people, who were starving and humiliated by the victorious and arrogant WWI allies. But it ended badly, both for the German people and for millions of others around the World. Previously, the Bolsheviks also tried to build their version of a "new World order," supported by New York Zionists and Rockefeller, marketed globally as Communist International, and using slogans such as, "workers of the World unite." Details later in this book. (Note that the Iranian revolution promised economic freedom which never materialized due to the left-over agents of corruption successfully masquerading as religious leaders; shades of Taliban; note that the student ring leaders who took over the US embassy in Tehran were CIA operatives).

Actually, the quest for "new World orders" dates much further back than Bush, Hitler (he was from the Rothschild family), Lenin or Stalin. **It goes as far back as one man's ambition to rule another man's life.**

Niccolo Machiavelli, for example, wrote in "Prince" (1513): "There is nothing more difficult to plan, more doubtful of success, nor more dangerous to manage, than a creation of a new order of things."

But power-hungry, would-be rulers of the World - from the Pharaohs, to Attila the Hun, to Napoleon, to... rarely pay attention to the words of the wise, or bother to learn from history, rather, they tend to repeat it, usually at their own peril. Which is why every variation of a "new World order" tends to crumble eventually, invariably ending up in a carnage.

David Ben-Gurion in Look magazine and Life magazine of January 1962: The image of the World...as traced in my imagination -- the increasing influence of the farmers and workers, and the rising political influence of men of science, may transform the United States into a welfare state with a planned economy. Western and Eastern Europe will become a federation of autonomous states having a socialist and democratic regime. With the exception of the U.S.S.R. as a federated Eurasian state, all other continents will become united in a World alliance, at whose disposal will be an international police force. All armies will be abolished, and there will be no more wars.

In Jerusalem, the United Nations (A truly United Nations) will build a shrine of the Prophets to serve the federated union of all continents; this will be the seat of the Supreme Court of mankind, to settle all controversies among the federated continents." The New World Order (NWO) of the 1990s, therefore, is nothing more than a modern marketing mask which uses positive words to cover up evil designs of the actually Satanic Illuminati architects, i.e. hiding behind a front of respectability while doing their dirty work and fooling the masses and getting howls of applause!

Norman Dodd said during his testimony on September 26, 1978, quoting Mr. Gaither: "We shall use our grant making power so to alter life in the U.S. that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union."

Zbigniew Brzezinski (CFR/TLC member and appointed National Security Advisor during Jimmy Carter's presidency in 1976) in his book "The Grand Chessboard" page 40: "To put it in a terminology that harkens back to the more brutal age of ancient empires, the three grand imperatives of imperial geostrategy are to prevent collusion and maintain security dependence among the vassals, to keep tributaries pliant and protected, and to keep the barbarians from coming together."

Dr. Johannes Koepl, seconded from the German defense ministry to NATO in the late 1970s: "Brzezinski's book is sublimely arrogant. While singing the praises of the IMF and the World Bank, which have economically terrorized nations on every continent, and while totally ignoring the Worldwide terrorist actions of the U.S. government that have led to genocide; cluster bombings of civilian populations from Kosovo, to Laos, to Iraq, to Afghanistan; the development and battlefield use of both biological and chemical agents such as sarin gas; and the financial rape of entire cultures it would leave the reader believing that such actions are for the good of mankind." "In 1983/4 I warned of a take-over of World governments being orchestrated by these people. There was an obvious plan to subvert true democracies, and selected leaders were not being chosen based upon character but upon their loyalty to an economic system run by the elites and dedicated to preserving their power. All we have now are pseudo-democracies."

While, Dr. Johannes Koepl met with Brzezinski in the White House on more than one occasion. His other Washington contacts included Steve Larabee from the CFR, John J. McCloy, former CIA Director, economist Milton Friedman, and officials from Carter's Office of Management and Budget. He soon realized that Brzezinski was part of a group intending to impose a World dictatorship. Koepl recalls meeting U.S. Congressman Larry McDonald, then contemplating a run for the Presidency, who was a severe critic of these elites. He was killed in the Russian shoot down of Korean Air flight 007 in 1983 which Koepl believes might have been an assassination. Koepl warnings, through Op-Ed pieces published in NEWSWEEK and elsewhere, that Brzezinski and the CFR were part of an effort to impose a global dictatorship brought a swift fall from grace. "It was a criminal society that I was dealing with. It was not possible to publish anymore in the so-called respected publications. My 30 year career in politics ended."

Today, this is Koepl's warning: "This is more than a war against terrorism. This is a war against the citizens of all countries. The current elites are creating so much fear that people don't know how to respond. But they must remember. This is a move to implement a World dictatorship within the next five years. There may not be another chance."

Mikhail Gorbachev's speech to the Soviet Politburo November 1987: "Gentlemen, comrades, do not be concerned about all you hear about Glasnost and Perestroika and democracy in the coming years. These are primarily for outward consumption. There will be no significant internal changes in the Soviet Union, other than for cosmetic purposes. Our purpose is to disarm the Americans and let them fall asleep. We want to accomplish three things: One, we want the Americans to withdraw conventional forces from Europe. Two, we want them to withdraw nuclear forces Americans to stop proceeding with strategic Defense Initiative".

Senator Jesse Helms 15 December 1987, Excerpts from his speech before the Senate: This campaign against the American people - against traditional American culture and values - is systematic psychological warfare. It is orchestrated by a vast array of interest comprising not only the Eastern establishment but also the radical left. Among this group we find the Department of State, the Department of Commerce, the money center banks and multinational corporations, the media, the educational establishment, the entertainment industry, and the large tax-exempt foundations. Mr. President, a careful examination of what is happening behind the scenes reveals that all of these interests are working in concert with the masters of the Kremlin in order to create what some refer to as a new World order. Private organizations such as the Council on Foreign Relation, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Trilateral Commission, the Dartmouth Conference, the Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies, the Atlantic Institute, and the Bilderberger Group serve to disseminate and to coordinate the plans for this so-called new World order in powerful business, financial, academic, and official circles.... The psychological campaign that I am describing, as I have said, is the work of groups within the Eastern establishment, that amorphous amalgam of wealth and social connections whose power resides in its control over our financial system and over a large portion of our industrial sector. The principal instrument of this control over the American economy and money is the Federal Reserve System. The policies of the industrial sectors, primarily the multinational corporations, are influenced by the money centers through debt financing and through the large blocks of stock controlled by the trust departments of the money center banks. Anyone familiar with American history, and particularly American economic history, cannot fail to notice the control over the Department of State and the Central Intelligence Agency which Wall Street seems to exercise.... The influence of establishment insiders over our foreign policy has become a fact of life in our time. This pervasive influence runs contrary to the real long-term national security of our Nation. It is an influence which, if unchecked, could ultimately subvert our constitutional order. The viewpoint of the establishment today is called globalism. Not so long ago, this viewpoint was called the "one-World" view by its critics. The phrase is no longer fashionable among sophisticates; yet, the phrase "one-World" is still apt because nothing has changed in the minds and actions of those promoting policies consistent with its fundamental tenets. Mr. President, in the globalist point of view, nation-states and national boundaries do not count for anything. Political philosophies and political principles seem to become simply relative. Indeed, even constitutions are irrelevant to the exercise of power. Liberty and tyranny are viewed as neither necessarily good nor evil, and certainly not a component of policy. In this point of view, the activities of international financial and industrial forces should be orientated to bringing this one-World design - with a convergence of the Soviet and American Systems as its centerpiece - into being.....All that matters to this club is the maximization of profits resulting from the practice of what can be described as finance capitalism, a system which rests upon the twin pillars of debt and monopoly. This isn't real capitalism. It is the road to economic concentration and to political slavery." Helms also wanted to investigate the death of Congressman Larry McDonald in the KAL 007 shoot down.

The words, "New World Order," were first uttered in the contemporary context by the American president George Bush in 1990, when he exulted over the West's supposed victory in the (staged) Cold War with the Soviet Union. His words offered hope ("new") for a better life ("World") ahead; one which would be based on (law and) "order," rather than on raw exercise of power which the Kremlin's "East Side Gang" used in its spheres of influence and control.

President George Bush praises the New World Order in a State of Union Message 1991: "What is at stake is more than one small country, it is a big idea - a new World order ... to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind ... based on shared principles and the rule of lawThe **illumination** of a thousand points of light The winds of change are with us now."

Instead, the latest edition of the N.W.O. delivered the same old "gun diplomacy" which the Soviets used, only using bigger guns and more diplomacy and propaganda to mask the Illuminati's grab for power. Like the Kremlin-based Soviet East Side Gang, the Wall Street-driven West Side Gang of globalist marauders markets itself under the slogan, "World trade through World peace." Which is a cover for its real motto - **"Perpetual commerce (looting) through perpetual war."**



Iraq was a new victim. Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos, Philippines, Somalia, Haiti, Croatia, Bosnia, Chechnya, Albania, Kosovo, Serbia, Sudan, Afghanistan, East Timor, and then Iraq again... are among some N.W.O. neo-colonial military interventions or civil war provocations. Scores of other countries around the World surrendered their national sovereignties to the N.W.O.

The Hidden History Of Money & New World Order Usury Secrets Revealed at last! Page 558



voluntarily or surreptitiously, after their quisling leaders took the bait of N.W.O. World Bank or foreign aid dollars and "reforms," a cover term for NWO vassals' silver noose (see the interview with ex-World Bank chief economist describing the secret agreements which force some nations to sell off their assets at 75% off to friends of the N.W.O. later in this book).

John Pilger, 12 Dec 2002: Two years ago a project set up by the men who now surround George W. Bush said what America needed was "a new Pearl Harbor". Its published aims have, alarmingly, come true. The actual quote is as follows: Page 51 of "Rebuilding America's Defenses" says, "Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent of some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor. Domestic politics and industrial policy will shape the pace and content of transformation as much as the requirements of current missions."

The purpose of the comment was to explain that to a certain extent, the shape of America's military is driven by policy decisions which, in turn, are driven by the perceptions of the American public. The public, "absent of some catastrophic and catalyzing event -- like a new Pearl Harbor," would not support a dramatically different policy regarding the capabilities of the armed forces. Hence, the staging of 9-11 (see the section on Fake Terror where Barry Silverstein, leaseholder of WTC 1, 2, and 7 admits that WTC 7 was actually demolished; the PBS video clip is on the CD as well; one day, they will have to admit that the same happened to WTC 1 & 2).

But "who is who" and "what is what" in this New World Order of the 1990s? We'll find out later in this book.

The rebirth of certain powerful individuals' thirst to rule the World in modern times can be traced back to the late 18th century. Many people have written on the subject of the so-called "Illuminati" ("Enlightened Ones"), but perhaps the most succinct summary of their activities in the last two centuries can be found in a **1965 speech** given by a journalist and Broadway playwright, **Myron Fagan**. The speech was published in 1967 and has since sold millions of copies and the full transcript is available later in this book and the full audio is on the CD. Here's an excerpt:

Myron Fagan 1965: "This satanic plot (New World Order/One World Government) was launched back in the 1760s when it first came into existence under the name 'Illuminati' ('Enlightened'). This Illuminati was organized by one Adam Weishaupt, born a Jew, who converted to Catholicism and became a Catholic priest. At the behest of the then newly organized House of Rothschild, Weishaupt defected Catholicism, became an apostate Satanist and organized the Illuminati. Naturally, the Rothschilds financed that operation and every war since then, beginning with the French Revolution [1789]. The headquarters of the great conspiracy in the late 1700's was in Frankfurt, Germany where the House of Rothschild had been established by Mayar (or Mayer) Amschel who adopted the Rothschild name and linked together other international financiers who had literally sold their souls to the devil. After the Bavarian government's exposure in 1786 (of the Illuminati's intent to start the French Revolution), the conspirators moved their headquarters to Switzerland, then to London."

As is the case with unscrupulous Bankers and industrialists whose only motive is Greed, Rothschild's dynasties have reportedly sometimes financed both sides of a war. Such as in the case of WW II and Hitler's Third Reich, for example, one of their former clients, (along with grandpa Bush who also traded with the Nazis). It was certainly a winning strategy for war profiteers with no morals. They win no matter who loses the war. But only in a material sense. Just as the "death merchants" and their financiers of the wars of the 1990s did. Meanwhile, back to **Myron Fagan**...

"Since World War II (after Jacob Schiff, the Rothschild's errand boy in America, who organized and funded the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia), the headquarters of the American branch has been in the Harold Pratt Building in New York City, and the Rockefeller's, originally protégés of Schiff, have taken over the manipulation of finances in America for the Illuminati... They have been promoting the Illuminati operating under various names and guises. I say under various names and guises because after the Illuminati was exposed and became notorious (and thus ineffective as a secret society), Weishaupt and his (subsequent) co-conspirators began to operate under various other names. In the United States, immediately after World War I, they set up what they called the 'Council on Foreign Relations,' commonly referred to as the CFR. This CFR is actually the Illuminati in the United States and its hierarchy."

"The Federal Reserve System is in the wrong hands. No Constitutional republic can function when the government's money powers are in the hands of the financial oligarchy such as New York financiers." Source: Banking Act of 1935, Hearings before a Subcommittee of the Banking and Currency Committee, U.S. Senate, 74th Congress, 1st Session, on S.1715, May 1935, pp 871-2.



A Republican Senator, who preferred to remain unnamed, stated: "Congress is too much motivated by fears and anxieties concerning pressure groups and the "non election." (Reference 1, P. 210) By controlling Congress, the FED has been able to control the nominating conventions of both political parties. In this way, it has been able to hand-pick the presidential nominees so that no matter which party wins, their nominee for President is under definite obligations to the FED... (Reference 1, P. 210; Reference 22)

In 1975, the Rockefeller Foundation Report discussed the "Interdependence" of the countries of the World on each other. It stated we are one World and America shall become a nation-state under one government. They also say we must reach a zero state population growth. The Rockefeller Foundation stated that they have in excess of 747 million dollars to achieve this with. (Reference 3).

Congressman John R. Rarick states that the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) is dedicated to a one World government. The media remains conspicuously quiet. The CFR wants to convert the U.S. from a sovereign, constitutional republic into a servile member state of a one World dictatorship.

On February 17, 1950, CFR member James Warburg (banker, and architect of the Federal Reserve System) stated before a Senate Foreign Relations Committee, "We shall have one World government whether or not you like it, by conquest or consent." Again, the media remained silent. In the April 1974 issue of the CFR journal, "Foreign Affairs", page 558, Richard Gardener states that the new World order "will be built... but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece, will accomplish much more than the old fashioned frontal assault." Congressman McDonald, Heinz and Tower stated that this is a conspiracy. Again, the media remained silent. (Reference 14, P. 17, 18, 32, 33). THE CFR WANTS TO ABOLISH THE CONSTITUTION. (Reference 14) WE MUST STOP THEM!!

[Relationship of The Various Illuminati Infiltrated Fronts \(diagram above\)](#)

President Franklin D. Roosevelt said, "In government nothing happens by accident. If it happens you can bet it was planned that way". He should know, he went along with the plan to give our gold to the Illuminati Bankers! (more on this later). The formation of the **U.N. in 1945** was the penultimate achievement of the West Side Gang. It was a pinnacle which had eluded their cruder, less perfidious, but no less brutal, Kremlin-based East Side brethren who were coerced into joining the U.N. party for a while until they "lost" the "Cold War" to a more perfidious gang. Then, the U.N. became a mere fig leaf for the West Side Gang's colonial ambitions. See the relationship diagram below.



By the way, much of the silver and gold (REAL MONEY) from the U.S. is presently in Switzerland, guarded for the "Illuminati Bank of Rome" by the Swiss Guard. Switzerland has served as a neutral meeting place for the World-wide Illuminati plotters and banking since at least 1812 when Switzerland declared neutrality (how convenient to become a neutral zone for the World's dirty business).!. [Left: Swiss Guard]

United Nation's World Constitution: "...The age of nations must end... The governments of the nations have decided to order their separate sovereignties into one government to which they surrender

their arms."

United nations Secretary General Kofi Annan: "It has been said that arguing against globalization is like arguing against the law of gravity".

The communist apparatchiks, such as Serbia's president, Slobodan Milosevic, were also on board with the UN/NWO elite for a while. In a November 1993 article titled "Plutocracy Is Alive and Well in America," Milosevic is quoted to have said during a meeting at his office in Belgrade in January 1990: "I remember meeting (David) Rockefeller as well as (Larry) Eagleburger (the former U.S. Secretary of State) at a gala party to mark the opening of our New York branch (i.e., in Jan/90)." "And even though Eagleburger was an important government official, while Rockefeller was 'only' a businessman, I noticed that Eagleburger called Rockefeller 'Sir,' while Rockefeller called Eagleburger 'Larry.'"



DAVID ROCKEFELLER WITH A BIG SMILE, HIS BOSS, ACCEPTS KHRUSHCHEV'S URGENT INVITATION TO VISIT HIM AT THE KREMLIN (Manchurian Candidate anyone?)

"It takes one to know one," goes the old saw. Maybe Milosevic, the power-thirsty Communist banker, who bullied his way into Serbian presidency, figured out who really runs the American plutocracy masquerading as democracy - the men and women from the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) and the Trilateral Commission (TLC)." The Trilateral Commission was founded under the direction of David Rockefeller, with Jimmy Carter, Walter Mondale, Henry Kissinger, and the DuPonts

among the founding members. Barry Goldwater (a John Bircher) said that the Trilateral Commission was "David Rockefeller's latest scheme to take over the World, by taking over the government of the United States". David Rockefeller, the 'Sir' in the Milosevic-Eagleburger conversation, is sort of the 'Big Cheese,' the grand patriarch of the American foreign policy, who 'owns' people around him. Using the CFR and the TLC as instruments of power, Rockefeller

and his associates have nearly all the political and media bases covered. In the end, it does not matter whether Republicans or the Democrats win. Either way, it will be the (Rockefeller) 'insiders' who will run the show in Washington.

Which makes the 'World's greatest democracy' a little more than an intra-squad competition with the score always predetermined by the 'Big Cheese' and his cohorts! "If Milosevic had figured all this out (in the 1980s when major banking deregulations took place), it could help explain why he treated the U.S. government representatives, like Warren Zimmermann, the former U.S. ambassador to Yugoslavia, for example, or Lawrence Eagleburger, the former Secretary of State, with such disdain. Unlike most Americans, Milosevic may have realized that he was dealing with mere minions, not with men of power". Any student of globalism must also watch the moves of the Bilderberger Group, formed in 1954, and named after a Dutch hotel in Oosterbeek, at which the first meeting of this global-international international elite. Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, at the time an important figure in the oil industry who held major positions in Royal Dutch Petroleum (Shell Oil), and in Société Générale de Belgique, a powerful global banking corporation, was the key European sponsor of the Bilderberger idea. The Rockefeller family, whose Standard Oil and its offshoots was technically a competitor of Shell, co-sponsored the idea from the American side. No wonder, therefore, that right from day one, the Bilderberger agenda reflected first and foremost the interests of the oil industry. By the way, it was at the Bilderbergers 1996 meeting at a resort near Toronto (King City), that the fate of Kosovo was sealed, according to John Whitley, a British-born political analyst and Bilderberger watcher who now makes his home in Toronto (see S99-23, Day 10, Update 2, Item 3, Apr. 2).

Meanwhile, here's a quick "NWO Quiz" for YOU. Name four individuals who are members of all three leading globalist organizations - the CFR, the Trilateral Commission, and the Bilderbergers. Answer: David Rockefeller, Henry Kissinger, Bill Clinton and Lou Gerstner, IBM chairman. But the global R&R duo, the Rothschilds and the Rockefellers, the Rex and the Regina of the New World Order, could not project their power through the above no-longer-so-secret societies without the help of the "Barons of the 20th Century," the leaders of the multinational corporations who stand to benefit the most from globalism and totalitarianism which it entails. Enter the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse, the four prongs of the New World Order - the "Death Merchant"-Gangsters, the Banksters, the Pranksters and the Hucksters.

Myron C. Fagan in 1967: "World War III is to be fomented, using the so-called controversies; by the agents of the Illuminati operating under whatever new name; that are now being stored up between the political Zionists and the leaders of the Moslem World. That war is to be directed in such a manner that all of Islam and political Zionism (Israelis) will destroy each other while at the same time; the remaining nations, once more divided on this issue, will be forced to fight themselves into a state of complete exhaustion; physically, mentally, spiritually, and economically." Now can any thinking person doubt that the intrigue now going on in the near Middle and Far-East is designed to accomplish that satanic objective? Pike himself foretold all this in an alleged statement he made to Mazzini on August 15, 1871. Pike stated that after World War III is ended; those who will aspire to undisputed World-domination will provoke the greatest social-cataclysm the World has ever known. Quoting his own words taken from the letter he wrote to Mazzini and which letter is now catalogued in the British Museum in London, England; he said: "We shall unleash the nihilists and the atheists and we shall provoke a great social-cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to all nations the effect of absolute-atheism; the origins of savagery and of most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the people will be forced to defend themselves against the World-minority of the World-revolutionaries and will exterminate those destroyers of civilization and the multitudes disillusioned with Christianity whose spirits will be from that moment without direction and leadership and anxious for an ideal, but without knowledge where to send its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal-manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer brought finally out into public view. A manifestation which will result from a general reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and Atheism; both conquered and exterminated at the same time." Mazzini died in 1872. For the full text of the speech, please see section 13 or to listen to the two hours 1960s talk, check the audio files on the CD.

The agents of the New World Order (NWO: New Secretive Order or Novus Ordo Seclorum in Latin written on the Great Seal of The United States as depicted on the US\$ FRN), either knowingly or naively (some of them very bright evil geniuses and some relatively dummies like Bush, Sharon, Arafat, Hitler, etc.), will tell you that conspiracy plans for a one-World tyrannical totalitarian enslaving dictatorship don't exist, that lone people are responsible for important murders such as Presidents Harrison, Zachary Taylor, Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley and John F. Kennedy, Robert Kennedy, JFK Junior, Martin Luther King, Malcolm X, Ytsak Rabin, Swedish Foreign Minister Anna Lyndh, etc., that Sharon is a man of peace (notice how the witnesses who were supposed to testify at his war crimes tribunal were killed lately!), that Palestinians must not be allowed to return to their homeland, that War is Peace, Slavery is Freedom, and Ignorance is Strength (remember George Orwell's book "1984", Big Brother is Watching You, Report Thought crime, and don't forget the Ministry of Truth!), etc. etc. etc.



Sudan's president, Gaafar Numeiri (left), Israel's defense minister, Ariel Sharon (center), and Saudi tycoon Adnan Khashoggi meeting in Kenya on May 13, 1982. Acting behind the Mossad's back, they secretly plotted a coup in Iran. Numeiri also agreed to let Ethiopian Jews move to Israel through Sudan.



In the above photograph, you will note that there are three agents of the Illuminati working unexpectedly together! The Illuminati, behind the scenes, control all sides in conflicts and decide the outcome.

As an example, one of the purposes of the Cold war was to have an excuse for a massive arms build-up to conquer the rest of the World for the Illuminatis. Among other purposes were driving the World further into debt to be at the mercy of the Illuminati Bankers (conflict + war = mega-money) and experimenting with monopoly-capitalism (economic slavery).

Illuminati ensure that all religions are fanaticized to discredit them (ancient church tortures, crusades, inquisitions, etc.) and make people accept their ancient Occult Masonic idolatry Sun god worship. In the ancient Roman Empire's days, diversions were done by entertaining the Romans in large amphitheatres with gladiators, with physical slaves, and by throwing Christians who were asking for good reforms to the lions. This kept the Roman masses distracted and happy. Today, we have many diversions such as the news media, television, sports and Hollywood entertainment that take our time away from learning and thinking about what is really happening in the World.

G. Edward Griffin explains (details later in several sections of this book) how the owners of the privately controlled central bank known as the US Federal Reserve use their power to create money tax-free and use their un-auditable profits to acquire control over the power centers of society, i.e. those groups and institutions through which individuals live and act and rely on for their information. They are buying control over the organizations, groups and institutions that control people, politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it, and particularly over those organizations that represent opposition to themselves. This process has gone on not only to a marked degree in America and in the other industrialized nations of the World, but it has gone on in the underdeveloped nations to such a degree that we would say the process is now complete. They own these countries already. The money goes to the politicians of those countries, to their governments and the money is spent to strengthen their power structures, their ability to control their populations. They create a well-equipped army (note the \$30 billion for hiring mercenaries to train the new armies in Afghanistan and Iraq), a better bureaucracy, for total control of their subjects. That's where the money's being spent.



"Hundreds of billions of dollars are spent every year to control the public mind". —Prof Noam Chomsky

"If they can get you asking the wrong questions, they don't have to worry about the answers." — Thomas Pynchon, Gravity's Rainbow.

THINKING MEN ARE HARDER TO FOOL! "The most powerful weapon in the hands of the oppressors is the mind of the oppressed..." -Steven Biko. Keep your mind clear and free! Don't become a "sleeper cell" (illustration below), start researching, let's regain our minds, countries & freedoms from the pied-pipers of the Illuminati!!!

A United States Senate Committee (Church committee) reported in 1976 that the CIA was able to sway public opinion by secretly employing at least fifty American journalists who could be expected to publish reports consistent with agency goals. (Church Comm.) The New York Times (12-25-26-27-1977) reported that the C.I.A. owns outright over 200 newspapers, magazines, wire services, and 26 publishing houses that operate in countries throughout the World. THE U.S. STATE SECURITY APPARATUS AND ITS VICTIMS. (many more quotes in the section on media control later in this book & in the appendix).



It takes great courage for a person with inside knowledge to spill the beans about secret plans and secret agreements between agents of evil because of threats to the well-being of the exposé. However, some people do find the courage such as the World Bank's former chief economist, Joe Stiglitz, whose 2002 interview with Greg Palast (American BBC correspondent) is available in this book. This book also contains exposes from several other people who have spilled the beans on evil. The information presented in this book clearly reveals the cruel, evil and enslaving nature of money creation by the privately owned and controlled mega-banking dynasties all the way up to the World Bank and IMF (read the interview with Greg Palast later in the book). In his book "Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt", The 1921 Nobel chemistry laureate Frederick Soddy describes this as a perpetual motion machine, growing larger in girth every day without any apparent satiable limit. What do such enormous disconnects between reality and virtual wealth really mean? How do we make sense of it? Where does it leave us? How does it end? Read on to discover!


[The Pyramids Of Slavery](#)

Systems of physical and economic slavery (see Pharaonic structure diagram above; compare with Masonry and Hindu caste systems), both monetary and bondage, were perfected in Babylon with Nimrod (Masonry), used by the ancient Pharaohs and the Roman empire, carried on with the British Slave Trade, with the enslavement of North and South American Natives, then with the Africans kidnapped for slavery to North America, and slavery is still being carried on in Chinese prisons! What is the common thread to all this? Yes, the Illuminati dynasties (see <http://sinfinity.net> for full details on the Rothschild ancestry and how they have become the modern day Nimrod whereas Rockefellers are the modern day Pharaohs; see also the section later on the bloodlines of the Illuminatis) led by the Rothschilds! In the pyramid depiction below, what they neglected to teach us in school is that near the top are the priests whose job is to brainwash and collect taxes and tithes from the masses.

[The Forgotten Slaves: Whites in Servitude in Early America and Industrial Britain](#)

The existence of White slavery in America, in addition of the Africans and Native Americans, is one of the most little-known facts of American history. Initially, colonial America was built with the blood, sweat and tears of White slaves <http://free.freespeech.org/americanstateterrorism/bibliographies/WhiteSlavery.html>

It's estimated that fully one-half to two-thirds of all White "immigrants" to colonial America in the 17th and 18th centuries were actually slaves who had been brought against their will. They were known by the euphemism of "indentured servants", but in reality a great many of these people were true slaves. Their servitude was for life, and their children were forced to be slaves as well. Remember, in those times Europe was still a master-serf society. Virtually all of the White slaves were brought from Scotland, Ireland and the impoverished working classes of England. They were both Protestant and Catholic, victims of religious wars and the inhuman greed of the English aristocracy. It was routine for the utterly corrupt and class-prejudiced English courts to justify the forced exile of their victims to the colonies by falsely labeling them as "criminals". This charade eased the guilty consciences of the true criminals while they made money off kidnapping and the sale of stolen human labor.



If the White slaves survived the hellish voyage across the Atlantic and arrived in the "New World", they were auctioned on the block just like Black slaves. White slave children were often sold and separated from their parents and White slave women were sold and separated from their husbands. A great many White slaves were forced to work under cruel and demeaning conditions. The Virginia Colony prescribed "bodily punishment for not heeding the commands of the master." Half of the White slaves died within the first two years after their arrival in the American colonies. Unsurprisingly, it was common for White slaves to run away — only to be hunted down and returned to their evil masters and mistresses. To help identify runaways, the courts in Virginia demanded that everyone have identification and travel papers. White slaves had no rights whatsoever and could be beaten and cruelly abused with impunity.

"Up to one-half of all the arrivals in the American colonies were White slaves and they were America's first slaves. These Whites were slaves for life, long before Blacks ever were. This slavery was even hereditary. White children born to White slaves were enslaved too." <http://www.hoffman-info.com/forgottenslaves.html> .

"Whites were auctioned on the block with children sold and separated from their parents and wives sold and separated from their husbands." White Slavery-what the Scots already know http://www.electricscotland.com/history/other/white_slavery.htm ."There were hundreds of thousands of Scots sold into slavery during Colonial America. White slavery to the American Colonies occurred as early as 1630 in Scotland." White Slavery — What Really Happened <http://www.youngbnp.com/White%20Slavery.htm> .

"...according to historian John Van Der Zee: 'Between one half and two thirds of all Whites who came to the New World between 1609 and the early 19th century were slaves.'

"These White slaves came in the millions from the shores of the British Isles, in the form of deported convicts, political prisoners, and kidnapped children. 'Transportation' to the New World or Australia was a common punishment up until the late 1800s, and orphans have been sent to Australia as late as the 1950s.

"In 1618 the Council of London passed a bill legalizing the capture of vagrant children, aged eight or older. These homeless children were to be sent to Virginia, where they would be indentured as slaves for fourteen to sixteen years. After this, the slaves were to be released and given land. Promises like these were common. 'Indentured servants', or White slaves, as they should be called, rarely saw their benefits. The term of service was often extended, and many of the boys and girls who were captured died in slavery." Indentured Servitude in Colonial America by Deanna Barker http://www.geocities.com/nai_cilh/servitude.html

"One half to two thirds of all immigrants to Colonial America arrived as indentured servants. At times, as many as 75% of the population of some colonies were under terms of indenture. Even on the frontier, according to the 1790 U.S. Census, 6% of the Kentucky population was indentured."

"In theory, the person is only selling his or her labor. In practice, however, indentured servants were basically slaves and the courts enforced the laws that made it so. The treatment of the servant was harsh and often brutal. In fact, the Virginia Colony prescribed 'bodily punishment for not heeding the commands of the master.' (Ballagh, 45) Half the servants died in the first two years. As a result of this type of treatment, runaways were frequent. The courts realized this was a problem and started to demand that everyone have identification and travel papers. (A.E. Smith 264-270)."

Incredibly, another form of ancient slavery is alive in America and the rest of the World today! This is in addition to the modern form of financial slavery which has been discussed already. On April 2, 2000, the New York Times printed a story about a leaked CIA report which describes a flourishing slave trade that forcibly brings an estimated 50,000 women and children into the United States — each year — to serve as sex slaves, domestic slaves and so-called "bonded workers" <http://www.wsws.org/articles/2000/apr2000/slav-a03.shtml> . It turns out the Dark Ages have actually never ended for large numbers of people in this modern World. The CIA report is titled International Trafficking in Women to the United States: a Contemporary Manifestation of Slavery. Many women and children of all races — from Eastern Europe, Asia, Africa and Latin America — are lured to America with false promises of jobs and educational opportunities. When they arrive they are forced to work as prostitutes in brothels or in sweatshops, or to work as maids and sex slaves at the private estates of extremely rich people. Gives new meaning to the term "filthy rich". Some children, born into nightmarish poverty, are actually sold into slavery by their parents — and these children end up in America as real slaves. It's painful and frightening to discover this. But if we are going to do something about it then we have to face it. This is America's hidden side. If you live in New York City, Miami, Los Angeles or any of the other urban areas, you live in a town where women and children are actually held as slaves — being raped, beaten and tortured on a regular basis. And sometimes murdered. Forget the Disneyland version of America they sell you on T.V. and in school. In the real World, America has a hidden deeply and violently evil place.

The American legal system does little or nothing to stop the slave trade. This is because the American legal system is controlled by the American plutocracy, and the wealthy rulers of this country are totally indifferent to the horrors of slavery in America. **Now why would that be?** Might it be that some of the most wealthy and powerful people in America are involved in these crimes? Since they have no interest in stopping it, it's highly likely they are indeed personally involved. Have a look at the 1995 video documentary on the CD with this book that was pulled off the Discovery Channel showing the high-ranking politicians who were involved in a child abuse ring. The international slave trade is committed by organized crime. This is why the criminal American plutocracy and its puppets in the U.S. government do nothing to stop it. The American corporate/banking plutocracy is literally a mafia, the World's greatest mafia in fact, and it is quite natural for them to work closely with lesser mafias. These include the Japanese Yakuza and Chinese Triads, and of course the well known Italian-American and Sicilian-American mafias which operate from coast to coast. One brothel owner in New York City testified that she had paid \$6,000 – \$15,000 for the 30 Thai women she kept imprisoned in her brothel <http://www.wsws.org/articles/1999/sep1999/slav-s09.shtml> .

And then there is the less well known "Russian" mafia, as it is called in the American press, which is actually a Russian-Zionist mafia. The fact that the "Russian" mafia is actually Zionist (using a Jewish front) is totally censored by the corporate mass-media in America. This is a very clear indication of which segment of the American plutocracy controls the corporate mass-media. The Russian-Zionist mafia began arriving in the U.S. in the 1980s, particularly New York City and Miami, helped by the already established powerful Zionist-American organizations. Its power increased dramatically in the 1990s after the collapse of the Soviet Union. The Russian government and economy are influenced by the Russian-Zionist mafia. Most of the Communists running the Soviet Union were Zionists. It is the <http://free.freespeech.org/americanstateterrorism/bibliographies/WhiteSlavery.html#JewishSlaveTrade> Russian-Zionist mafia which has exclusive control of the slave trade in Eastern European women and children. They are taken from all over Eastern Europe, but most of the victims are from the Ukraine, Poland and Russia. They end up as sex slaves all over the World, in Israel to a great extent, and also in Britain, France, Italy, Germany, Turkey, Thailand, former Yugoslavia — and the United States of America. If they resist being prostitutes, the sex slaves who are under the direct control of the various mafias are always beaten, tortured, starved and/or violently raped by the pimps. The most stubbornly resisting women are brutally murdered in front of the others to terrorize them into submission. Those who remain alive often get AIDS from the filthy pimps or the stinking customers. Jews and the White Slave Trade by Dr. William Pierce <http://www.jeffsarchive.com/primer/Jews%20and%20the%20White%20Slave%20Trade.htm>

"I don't mean to imply that the Jews were the only ones at fault in the White slave trade. Gentile politicians and police officials gladly accepted bribes from the Jews and in return allowed them to carry on their dirty business. And in the United States non-Jewish criminal elements such as the [Italian/Sicilian] Mafia collaborated with the Jews or even ran their own White slave operations. But the trade in White slaves from Eastern Europe has been an exclusively Jewish activity for the last 200 years."

"The White slave trade is big business in Israel. Ukrainian authorities estimate that as many as 40,000 Ukrainian women under the age of 30 are taken from Ukraine each year. Some of these women respond to advertisements promising employment abroad, like Irina did, and some are simply kidnapped and smuggled out of the country. Those who try to escape from their Jewish captors are treated brutally. Often they are butchered in front of other captive women to keep the others terrified into doing whatever they are told."

"There are 'more slaves alive today than all the people stolen from Africa in the time of the transatlantic slave trade,' writes Kevin Bales. He estimates there are more than 27 million people 'enslaved by violence and held against their wills for purposes of exploitation' and the number is increasing"...

"As many as 50,000 Thai women work as prostitutes in Japan. Many work as exotic dancers and bar girls in Europe and the US. One brothel owner in New York City testified she had paid \$6,000-\$15,000 for the 30 Thai women imprisoned in her brothel."

Millions of our fellow human beings of all races — men, women and children — are actually suffering in slavery. And a great many of them, primarily women and children, are being imprisoned and cruelly abused right here in the United States of America. Therefore, we in America have an absolute moral responsibility to put a stop to it and bring the filthy perpetrators to justice — no matter how rich and powerful they are. Slavery actually is a part of the hellish reality of this satanically evil World. We have to face it even though the corporate mass-media refuses to. Aside from sporadic reports, it's all basically ignored and covered up in the mainstream American mass-media. A few investigative reporters are doing their jobs, but the editors, managers and owners are generally suppressing the story. The owners of the mass-media don't care about the suffering of these enslaved women and children, because the media owners are the filthy-rich American plutocracy — which is profiting enormously from all this degraded evil.

Slavery in the modern era Disposable People: New Slavery in the Global Economy by Kevin Bales University of California Press, 1999; ISBN 0-520217-97-7 <http://www.wsws.org/articles/1999/sep1999/slav-s09.shtml> For more information on the White slave trade: <http://www.wakeupordie.com/html/action/jewsrape/raperef1.html> News reports from The New York Times, MSNBC, World Net Daily, Jerusalem Post, Independent News (UK), Australian Broadcast Corporation, BRAMA - Gateway Ukraine, Journal of International Affairs, Middle East Times. <http://www.wakeupordie.com/html/action/jewsrape/raperef2.html> Government reports from the U.S. Department of State, "Center for the Study of Intelligence", "Congressional Report (House) Speaker's Advisory Group on Russia", and "Congressional Research Service Report". <http://www.wakeupordie.com/html/action/jewsrape/raperef3.html> Non-Governmental, Non-Profit and Academic Organizations: reports from Amnesty International, Coalition Against Trafficking in Women (CATW), (former) Global Survival Network (now WildAid and Witness.org), International Organization for Migrants, and MiraMed Institute. (Note: The three links above are to pages on the website of woman who is indiscriminately anti-Jewish, unfortunately. It's certainly understandable that she is very upset about the Zionist-Mafia slave trade, but it is totally unfair and unreasonable to blame all Jewish people for the crimes of a few Zionists who pretend to be Israelites Judeans. In fact, some of the reports indicate that there are Jewish women who are fighting the White slave trade which flourishes in Israel. Yet the significance of that important fact seems to have escaped the compiler of the reports above. Nevertheless, these pages on her site are useful for containing a wealth of important information, excerpts and links to reports from all the news, governmental and NGO groups listed above.)

Israel is the Rothschilds' Hexagram State

Lord Rothschild in his book *The Shadow of a Great Man* quotes a letter sent from Davidson on June 24, 1814 to Nathan Rothschild: "As long as a house is like yours, and as long as you work together with your brothers, not a house in the World will be able to compete with you, to cause you harm or to take advantage of you, for together you can undertake and perform more than any house in the World." The closeness of the Rothschild brothers is seen in a letter from Saloman (Salmon) Rothschild to his brother Nathan on Feb. 28, 1815, "We are like the mechanism of a watch: each part is essential." This closeness is further seen in that of the 18 marriages made by Mayer Amschel Rothschild's grandchildren 16 were contracted between first cousins.

The Knesset and the Israeli Supreme Court (front cover of this book, a totally Masonic design) were designed and paid for by the Rothschilds. One of the Rothschilds in his will left money for ongoing building projects in Israel, and the Rothschilds are honored with a Street named after them in Jerusalem. Don't be fooled by appearances!!! Israel is the Illuminati's Rothschild state and its flag carries the symbol of the House of Rothschild (not of Judaism), i.e. the Hexagram which is known as the Star of Moloch in Babylonian Masonic idolatry (a lot more details in the following sections and the appendixes and references).

(∇) (Δ) combined in the congress are represented in by the Hexagram of the magicians ✨ which has nothing to do with the Prophet King David and Judaism but is related to David Al Roy, a 16th Century anti-Christ and the Rothschild. More on this later.



Bush pays respect to the Hexagram, Masonic Satanic symbol falsely known as the Star of David.

Where do you think is the best place to hide for a pedophile? How about as a priest! Where do you think is the best place to hide for a Nazi? You guessed right if you selected a human rights group. What do you think is one of the many ways to hush people up about the billions that the Illuminati banking dynasties are making from the banking system fraud? How about charitable foundations! What is another way to direct money by the mega-rich Illuminatis for carrying on propaganda in addition to main media control?

You guessed right if you thought of tax exempt foundations! What is the best disguise for an infiltrator? You guessed it, pose as a fanatic and steer the members towards fanaticism! What's the best place for an anti-Semite to hide? Yes, as a Zionist! What is the best way to conceal and carry on evil plans? Behind appearances of respectability! What's the best way to bring down a nation? Behind the front of patriotism! What is the best way to carry on an un-American agenda? By wrapping yourself in the flag! What is the best way to "Make The World Safe" for an inhuman corporate PLUTOCRACY? Behind the self-proclaimed champion of "human rights" and "democracy"! What is the best way for Zionists to hide their Barbaric Fanaticism? By creating other fanatics and pointing at them! What is the best front to hide the emerging Satanic Illuminati Luciferian Masonic New World Order? Judaism and Israel!

Jack Bernstein, at the end of his great expose on Zionists (full text later in this book) challenges them to refute his statements. He says "I am well aware of the tactics YOU, my Zionist brethren, use to quiet anyone who attempts to expose any of your subversive acts. If the person is a gentile, you cry, "you're anti-Semitic," which is nothing more than a smokescreen to hide your actions. But if a Jew is the person doing the exposing, you resort to other tactics: * First, you ignore the charges, hoping the information will not be given widespread distribution. * If the information starts reaching too many people, you ridicule the information and the person or persons giving the information. * If that doesn't work, your next step is character assassination. If the author or speaker hasn't been involved in sufficient scandal, you are adept at fabricating a scandal against the person or persons. * If none of these are effective, you are known to resort to physical attacks. But NEVER do you try to prove the information wrong. So, before you commence efforts to silence me, I offer this challenge: You Zionists assemble a number of Zionists and witnesses to support your position; and I will assemble a like number of anti-Zionist, pro-American witnesses. Then, the Zionists and anti-Zionists will state their positions and debate the material in this book as well as related material – and the debate WILL BE HELD ON PUBLIC TELEVISION. Let's explore the information both sides can present and let the American people decide for themselves if the information is true or false. ISN'T THAT A FAIR CHALLENGE? Certainly, you will willingly accept the challenge if what I have written is false. But if you resort to crying "Lies, all lies" and refuse to debate, you will, in effect, be telling the American people that what I have written here are the true facts." Since they cannot challenge the facts, they resort to distortions, extortions, ridicule, distractions, or diversions with red-herrings and other events or staged events such as terror or hype.



Masonic New World Order Symbols

<http://www.veteransforcommonsense.org/newsArticle.asp?id=1442> In the **brilliant words of a 15 year old** who wished she could vote just to get Bush out of the office, why?: "Bush has allowed big corporations to loot this great nation of mine.... This war is all about the powerful oil industry wanting to take control of the World and has been successful in using American tax dollars to fund their agenda. The oil industry has been successful because they are using Bush with his rhetoric of terrorism, national security, and other religion deception that attracts many Christians." If a 15-year-old could see through this whole thing, why can't many others? They are caught in the web of deception from which I am attempting to free them through this compiled book. Let's take our countries back from these mega-banking dynasties and their enforcers and stooges.

THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE Action of Second Continental Congress, July 4, 1776: Using the Freedom of Speech, WHEN in the Course of human Events, it becomes necessary for one People to dissolve the Political Bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the Powers of the Earth, the separate and equal Station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent Respect to the Opinions of Mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the Separation. We hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all Men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness -- That to secure these Rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just Powers from the Consent of the Governed, that whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these Ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its Foundation on such Principles, and organizing its Powers in such Form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.

Politics is the womb in which war develops. – **Carl P. G. von Clausewitz**

All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing. – **Edmund Burke**

One of the penalties for refusing to participate in politics is that you end up being governed by your inferiors. – **Plato**

We are Slaves! Who owns us? Who gets to choose our slave masters?

“Like it or not, you are a slave. You admit you are a slave every April 15th! That’s when you sign forms that “voluntarily” lay bare to the government the most private details of your life! And few people realize the income tax is a slave tax. It can never be compatible with the life of a free people”. **ALAN KEYES - Republican Nominee for President, unfortunately, the only Republican willing to speak out.**

By Michael Rivero from <http://www.Whatreallyhappened.com> . I know that’s not what you want to hear but it is the unpleasant truth. Movies and public school like to portray slaves as bound by chains and beaten with whips, creating a polarized image of slavery but history shows that slaves have been treated in all manner of ways, some more cruel than others, yet even with the most kind treatment, a slave remains a slave. Setting aside the stereotyped image of a slave as a bleeding chain-bound wretch, slaves throughout history are often hard to recognize. In some cases, such as the Medieval Serfs upto the 1850s, they were held slaves to the rulers and did not see themselves as slaves even though they were treated as such. The favored slaves of Asian potentates wore jewels to make a movie star gasp, yet were still slaves for all their finery and comfort. So, what is a slave? How do we define a slave? What test do we use to tell if someone is a slave. What makes them different from free people? Free people can say “no”. Free people can refuse demands for their money, time, and children. Slaves cannot. There is no freedom without the freedom to say “no”. If someone demands that you do something and you can say “no” and refuse to do it, then you are a free human being. If you can be forced to do something or surrender something that you do not wish to, then We are slaves. When you are forced to surrender half your life’s work to the government in ever-increasing taxes, then We are slaves. Throughout history, slaves were expected to perform the work needed for their own upkeep, then perform additional work for the rulers. For Roman slaves, the ratio of work-for-self versus work-for-rulers was about 50-50. The same ratio applied to Medieval Serfs, and even to the slaves of the American south. And, when you add up all the overt taxes, covert fees, tariffs, excises, plus the increased price you pay for products to pay the taxes of the companies that make those products, you will find that Americans are at that same “half-for-self” versus “half-for-rulers” ratio! Can you say “no” to the confiscation of half of your life? Can you even get the masters to maybe reduce the burden by a significant amount? No? We are slaves.

The masters have decided they want wars on anyone living over oil. The idea is that it is better for American corporations to steal the oil they need than to pay for it. Millions of Americans (and millions more around the globe) did not want the war, but the masters started them anyway, by lying to the people. Could you refuse the war? Can you refuse being lied to? No? We are slaves. The rulers want your children for their future wars. Legislation for a draft is already in Congress. Can you refuse the confiscation of your children? No? We are slaves. The government has been caught lying over and over again to the people, from who really did 9-11, to the legality of the tax system, to Cheney’s Energy Task Force papers, to Saddam’s WMDs. Americans are the most lied-to people on Earth. Can you refuse to be lied to? Can you punish the liars? No? We are slaves. Vote fraud is rampant in the nation, and the mandated imposition of audit-less electronic voting systems means that elections will be decided (as Stalin admitted) not by those who vote but by those who count the vote. Can you refuse a dishonest voting process? No? We are slaves. Just because you cannot see the slave-chains doesn’t mean they are not there. Chains made of steel are obvious, but chains made of beliefs are not always recognized for what they are. Hitler enslaved the German people to war with a belief that Poland was about to invade. LBJ enslaved the American people to war with the belief that Vietnam had attacked the USS Maddox in the Gulf of Tonkin. Poland wasn’t invading. There were no torpedoes in the Gulf of Tonkin, but the slaves, held by invisible chains of beliefs, went to war. Millions died.

In 2003, however, the chains started to become visible. The Constitutional right to freedom of expression was confined to “free-speech” zones. A slave may have an opinion, so long as it is not expressed where it might upset the other slaves. The Constitutional right to an armed populace, the means by which the men who set up a nation of free citizens hoped to keep them free, is under constant attack from those who fear a nation of free citizens, and who will not sleep soundly until we are a nation entirely of slaves. Armed citizens can, after all, say “no” and make it stick. That was why there was a Second Amendment, to allow the people to say “no” to those who would return them to the slavery they had so recently escaped from. Other Constitutional rights and protections have been set aside. The government can now search through your private records without cause and without warrant, even break into your home and not have to tell you. Simply by declaring a citizen an enemy combatant, the government can now lock up US citizens without a charge and without a lawyer. You can be prevented from traveling simply for having the wrong name. If you purchase too much gold or take home too much cash, or hoard food, the government will take notice. Having a Farmer’s Almanac is grounds for suspicion. Talking about the Constitution is now deemed grounds to suspect a terrorist link. Torture is now espoused as “necessary” to “deal with the crisis”. Were you able to refuse those changes to the Constitution? No? We are slaves. The government (at all levels) has borrowed trillions of dollars and stuck your kids with the payments. Children not even born will be paying off the bills. To declare the lives of children not yet born, let alone old enough to vote, indentured to the state is slavery most obvious and odious. Can you refuse responsibility for payments on debts the government incurred without your permission? No? We are slaves.

The government has borrowed so much money that it has long ago run out of gold to pledge as collateral on the debts. Starting in Nixon's administration, natural resources were used as collateral for the mounting debts. Borrow more money, promise a chunk of public lands as collateral. These land pledges were concealed behind "Environmentalism" to disguise the real purpose. Numerous names such as "Wilderness Reserve", "Wetland", "Heritage River", and so forth were used to conceal the true scale of the confiscations. But the end result was the same. Huge tracts of public land containing natural resources were walled away from the public that owned them, mortgaged to the holders of the US Government debt. We are well on our way from being citizens, to being mere tenants. Were you able to refuse having your public lands placed at risk by the US Government to cover loans you never authorized the government to make? No? We are slaves. Trust funds under government control are being looted, from the Social Security trust fund to Native American trust accounts to Federal Retirement Funds. Congress pays lip service to the looting of Social Security, but considers only more taxes to cover the looted shortfall. Meanwhile, court cases over other looted trust accounts drag on without resolution. Are you able to refuse corrupt and dishonest government? No? We are slaves. **We are slaves**. Because the ruling class can do what they want, take what they want, and you cannot refuse. You may have freedom to decide what TV channel to watch tonight, or just which style car you will drive to your work in, but little power over the rest of your life. Even that home you have worked to pay for over the last decade can be taken from you at a moment's notice via "eminent domain". Can you refuse? No? We are slaves..

In October 2001 I wrote that more damage would be done to our nation by those claiming to save us from the terrorists than by any actual terrorist act. Even as Bush claimed that the terrorists hated the US because of our freedoms, he took what few remaining freedoms we had away until we are more watched, more spied on, more limited, more silenced, more taxed, and more enslaved than at any other time in our nation's history. Not only are we slaves, we are at last starting to see that we have been slaves all along. We did not have rights, because a right cannot be taken away. What we had were indulgences, granted by the masters to the slaves, to be removed when the masters grow fearful of the slaves' resentment and anger. I know this is a very depressing article to read on the New Year, but I have watched through 2003 as people I care about lost their homes and businesses, as the labors of a lifetime evaporated in stock market manipulations by corporate crooks. These Americans who had worked hard to achieve the American dream lost it all through no fault of their own. Our schools are broke, hospitals under-staffed, the roads and bridges and rails of the nation are crumbling while billions of dollars are given away to foreign nations, favored cronies, and the ravenous war machine. The priorities of the government that feeds on taxes seems focused everywhere but on those who pay those taxes. And we can't seem to refuse that either. I think the state of the nation can be summed up by a simple contrast. Tommy Chong is in jail for selling a pipe, while Ken Lay walks around a free man with the billions he stole from his employees and investors. Any way you slice it, that's a pretty screwed up situation.

"Resolve to serve no more, and you are at once freed." -The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude by **Étienne de la Boétie**

"No slaves shall keep any arms whatever, nor pass, unless with written orders from his master or employer, or in his company, with arms from one place to another."-- A Bill Concerning Slaves, Source: [1785], reproduced in **Alfred Fried**, Ed., The Essential Jefferson (Collier Books, 1963), p. 140.

http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quote_blog/A.Bill.Concerning.Slaves.Quote.6570

"Among other causes of misfortune which your not being armed brings upon you, it makes you despised..." -- **Niccolo Machiavelli** (1469-1527) Italian Statesman and Political Philosopher http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quote_blog/Niccolo.Machiavelli.Quote.B73A

"Are we at last brought to such an humiliating and debasing degradation that we cannot be trusted with arms for our own defense? Where is the difference between having our arms under our own possession and under our own direction, and having them under the management of Congress? If our defense be the real object of having those arms, in whose hands can they be trusted with more propriety, or equal safety to us, as in our own hands?" -- Patrick Henry (1736-1799) US Founding Father, Source: [3 J. Elliot, Debates in the Several State Conventions 45, 2d ed. Philadelphia, 1836] http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quote_blog/Patrick.Henry.Quote.B66C

"The essence of all slavery consists in taking the produce of another's labor by force. It is immaterial whether this force be founded upon ownership of the slave or ownership of the money that he must get to live." ~ Leo Tolstoy

Slaves, serfs and taxpayers all refer to conditions of bondage. These conditions all restrict the use of your person and labor. The pre-historic slave's person was controlled so that his labor could be exploited. Today the modern taxpayer's labor is controlled in order to exploit his person. That's progress to some, but it is not freedom. The obvious physical differences between iron chains and psychological conditioning tend to obfuscate the degree of control. These evolving forms of bondage appear on the surface to have led to increased personal freedoms. But they have come at the real cost of diminished economic freedom. Chattel, land and political forms of slavery reflect the increasing sophistication of forced labor institutions. Charles Adams does an excellent job (as always) examining the history of slavery here. <http://www.fff.org/freedom/0100g.asp>

Who Owns You? Government's own documents, treaties, and laws says so!

1. The IRS is not a U.S. Government Agency. It is an Agency of the IMF. (International Monetary Fund), (Diversified Metal Products v. IRS et al. CV-93-405E-EJE U.S.D.C.D.I., Public Law 94-564, Senate Report 94-1148 pg. 5967, Reorganization Plan No. 26, Public Law 102-391.)
2. The IMF is an Agency of the UN. (Blacks Law Dictionary 6th Ed. Pg. 816)
3. The U.S. Has not had a Treasury since 1921. (41 Stat. Ch.214 pg. 654)
4. The U.S. Treasury is now the IMF. (Presidential Documents Volume 29-No.4 pg.113, 22 U.S.C. 285-288)
5. The United States does not have any employees because there is no longer a United States. No more reorganizations. After over 200 years of operating under bankruptcy its finally over. (Executive Order 12803) Do not personate one of the creditors or share holders or you will go to Prison.18 U.S.C. 914
6. The FCC, CIA, FBI, NASA and all of the other alphabet gangs were never part of the United States government. Even though the "US Government" held shares of stock in the various Agencies. (U.S. V. Strang , 254 US 491, Lewis v. US, 680 F.2d, 1239)
7. Social Security Numbers are issued by the UN through the IMF. The Application for a Social Security Number is the SS5 form. The Department of the Treasury (IMF) issues the SS5 not the Social Security Administration. The new SS5 forms do not state who or what publishes them, the earlier SS5 forms state that they are Department of the Treasury forms. You can get a copy of the SS5 you filled out by sending form SSA-L996 to the SS Administration. (20 CFR chapter 111, subpart B 422.103 (b) (2) (2) Read the cites above)
8. There are no Judicial courts in America and there has not been since 1789. Judges do not enforce Statutes and Codes. Executive Administrators enforce Statutes and Codes. (FRC v. GE 281 US 464, Keller v. PE 261 US 428, 1 Stat. 138-178)
9. There have not been any Judges in America since 1789. There have just been Administrators. (FRC v. GE 281 US 464, Keller v. PE 261 US 428 1Stat. 138-178)
10. According to the GATT you must have a Social Security number. House Report (103-826)
11. We have One World Government, One World Law and a One World Monetary System. *
12. The UN is a One World Super Government. *
13. No one on this planet has ever been free. This planet is a Slave Colony. There has always been a One World Government. It is just that now it is much better organized and has changed its name as of 1945 to the United Nations. *
14. New York City is defined in the Federal Regulations as the United Nations. Rudolph Gulliani stated on C-Span that "New York City was the capital of the World" and he was correct. (20 CFR chapter 111, subpart B 422.103 (b) (2) (2)
15. Social Security is not insurance or a contract, nor is there a Trust Fund. (Helvering v. Davis 301 US 619, Steward Co. V. Davis 301 US 548.)
16. Your Social Security check comes directly from the IMF which is an Agency of the UN. (Look at it if you receive one. It should have written on the top left United States Treasury.)
17. You own no property, slaves can't own property. Read the Deed to the property that you think is yours. You are listed as a Tenant. (Senate Document 43, 73rd Congress 1st Session)
18. The most powerful court in America is not the United States Supreme Court but, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. (42 Pa.C.S.A. 502)
19. The Revolutionary War was a fraud. See (22, 23 and 24)
20. The King of England financially backed both sides of the Revolutionary war. (Treaty at Versailles July 16, 1782, Treaty of Peace 8 Stat 80)
21. You can not use the Constitution to defend yourself because you are not a party to it. (Padelford Fay & Co. v. The Mayor and Alderman of The City of Savannah 14 Georgia 438, 520)
22. America is a British Colony. (THE UNITED STATES IS A CORPORATION, NOT A LAND MASS AND IT EXISTED BEFORE THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR AND THE BRITISH TROOPS DID NOT LEAVE UNTIL 1796.) Respublica v. Sweers 1 Dallas 43, Treaty of Commerce 8 Stat 116, The Society for Propagating the Gospel, &c. V. New Haven 8 Wheat 464, Treaty of Peace 8 Stat 80, IRS Publication 6209, Articles of Association October 20, 1774.)
23. Britain is owned by the Vatican. (Treaty of 1213)

24. The Pope can abolish any law in the United States. (Elements of Ecclesiastical Law Vol.1 53-54)
25. A 1040 form is for tribute paid to Britain. (IRS Publication 6209)
26. The Pope claims to own the entire planet through the laws of conquest and discovery. (Papal Bulls of 1455 and 1493)
27. The Pope has ordered the genocide and enslavement of millions of people. (Papal Bulls of 1455 and 1493)
28. The Pope's laws are obligatory on everyone. (Bened. XIV., De Syn. Dioec, lib, ix., c. vii., n. 4. Prati, 1844)(Syllabus, prop 28, 29, 44)
29. We are slaves and own absolutely nothing not even what we think are our children. (Tillman v. Roberts 108 So. 62, Van Koten v. Van Koten 154 N.E. 146, Senate Document 43 & 73rd Congress 1st Session, Wynehammer v. People 13 N.Y. REP 378, 481)
30. Military Dictator George Washington divided the States (Estates) into Districts. (Messages and papers of the Presidents Vo 1, pg 99. Websters 1828 dictionary for definition of Estate.)
31. "The People" does not include you and me. (Barron v. Mayor & City Council of Baltimore. 32 U.S. 243)
32. The United States Government was not founded upon Christianity. (Treaty of Tripoli 8 Stat 154.)
33. It is not the duty of the police to protect you. Their job is to protect the Corporation and arrest code breakers. Sapp v. Tallahassee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, Reiff v. City of Philadelphia, 477 F.Supp. 1262, Lynch v. N.C. Dept of Justice 376 S.E. 2nd. 247.
34. Everything in the "United States" is For Sale: roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, water, prisons airports etc. I wonder who bought Klamath lake. Did anyone take the time to check? (Executive Order 12803)
35. We are Human capital. (Executive Order 13037)
36. The UN has financed the operations of the United States government for over 50 years and now owns every man, women and child in America. The UN also holds all of the Land in America in Fee Simple. *
37. The good news is we don't have to fulfill "our" fictitious obligations. You can discharge a fictitious obligation with another's fictitious obligation. *
38. The depression and World War II were a total farce. The United States and various other companies were making loans to others all over the World during the Depression. The building of Germanys infrastructure in the 1930's including the Railroads was financed by the United States. That way those who call themselves "Kings," "Prime Ministers," and "Fuher,"etc could sit back and play a game of chess using real people. Think of all of the Americans, Germans etc. who gave their lives thinking they were defending their Countries which didn't even exist. The millions of innocent people who died for nothing. Isn't it obvious why Switzerland is never involved in these fiascoes? That is where the "Bank of International Settlements" is located. Wars are manufactured to keep your eye off the ball. You have to have an enemy to keep the illusion of "Government" in place. *
39. The "United States" did not declare Independence from Great Britain or King George. *
40. Guess who owns the UN?

41. 1972 Treaty Grants the United Nations Control Over American Historical Landmarks

When our Founding Fathers sparked the American Revolution and signed the Declaration of Independence in 1776, they sought self-government for the American colonies and an escape from the dominance of England. The Founding Fathers would be shocked to learn that some of their successors have given control of key American sovereign territory to other nations. Through an international treaty, the United States is allowing the United Nations and its member countries access to and control of American soil - in particular, our historic buildings and treasured wilderness. In 1972, our government signed the United Nations' World Heritage Treaty, a treaty that creates "World Heritage Sites" and Biosphere Reserves." Selected for their cultural, historical or natural significance, national governments are obligated to protect these landmarks under U.N. mandate. [1] Since 1972, 68 percent of all U.S. national parks, monuments and preserves have been designated as World Heritage Sites. [2] Twenty important symbols of national pride, along with 51 million acres of our wilderness, are World Heritage Sites or Biosphere Reserves now falling under the control of the U.N. This includes the Statue of Liberty, Thomas Jefferson's home at Monticello, the Washington Monument, the Brooklyn Bridge, Yellowstone National Park, Yosemite, the Florida Everglades and the Grand Canyon - to name just a few.

Most ironic of all is the listing of Philadelphia's Independence Hall. The birthplace of our Republic is now an official World Heritage Site. The very place where our Founding Fathers signed both the Declaration of Independence and the

Constitution - the documents that set America apart from other nations and created the world's longest-standing democracy - is no longer fully under the control of our government and the American people. Protection of our treasured places is a sound undertaking, but doing so by ceding control of our sovereign territory to a foreign power is wrong and threatens our rights and freedoms. In 1995, Crown Butte Mines in the New World Mining District in Montana was forced to abandon a mine development project after the U.N. listed Yellowstone National Park as a "World Heritage Site in Danger." [3] Crown Butte proposed to mine a medium-size underground operation on private property three miles from the boundary of Yellowstone. The project would have employed 280 people and generated \$230 million in revenue. [4]

This mining project was not unique. The area had been mined for 150 years before Yellowstone National Park was established. Crown Butte had worked along with the U.S. Forest Service to ensure that all of the necessary precautions were being taken to ensure that the project would be environmentally responsible. Crown Butte had won an award for excellence in 1992 and was considered to be a "showcase operation." [5] None of these factors mattered to the U.N.'s World Heritage Committee. Citing the project as a potential threat, the U.N. exerted its authority to force the abandonment of the project. It did not matter to the U.N. that this violated Crown Butte's exercise of its private property rights under the U.S. Constitution. Nor did the U.N. care that its action also went against U.S. federal law prohibiting the inclusion of non-federal property within a U.S. World Heritage Site without the consent of the property owner. [6] Although it has not happened yet, under the World Heritage Treaty the U.N. has the legal right to someday restrict us, as American citizens, from visiting our national treasures.

Many environmentalists believe that the mere presence of humans disturbs the environment. As such, it is not farfetched to wonder when the politically-correct U.N. will ban the American public from Yellowstone, the Grand Canyon, Yosemite, the Florida Everglades and other precious natural wonders now visited annually by millions of tourists. Ironically, banning generations of young people from visiting our natural wonders would undermine the public's appreciation for the spectacular gifts of nature, and undercut support for environmental protection. Unfortunately, the World Heritage Treaty is just one of a series of government actions that is stripping away the gift of freedom we received from our Founding Fathers. **To stop this erosion of sovereign rights, federal legislation has been introduced to restore the rights of Americans against this threat to freedom.** The American Land Sovereignty Protection Act seeks to preserve the sovereignty of the United States over public lands and preserve the private property rights of private citizens. It would require congressional oversight of U.N. land designations within the U.S. [7] We should not turn our backs on the Founding Fathers by surrendering the precious gift of sovereignty. We should treasure and protect it.

Footnotes: 1 "World Heritage Sites and Biosphere Reserves Fact Sheet," United States House of Representatives Committee on Resources. 2 "American Land Should Be Controlled By Americans," press release, The National Center for Public Policy Research, Washington, D.C., February 24, 1999, available on the Internet at <http://www.nationalcenter.org/PRLandSov299.html> . 3 Kathleen Benedetto, National Wilderness Institute, testimony before the United States Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, Washington, D.C., May 26, 1999. 4 Ibid. 5 Ibid. 6 Ibid. 7 "American Land Should Be Controlled By Americans." Melissa Wiedbrauk is a research associate with The National Center for Public Policy Research, a Washington, D.C. think tank. Comments may be sent to mwiedbrauk@nationalcenter.org.

<http://www.strike-the-root.com/62/davis/davis4.html> **Slaves, Serfs and Taxpayers** by Mark Davis, Exclusive to STR October 31, 2006.

"The essence of all slavery consists in taking the produce of another's labor by force. It is immaterial whether this force be founded upon ownership of the slave or ownership of the money that he must get to live." ~ Leo Tolstoy

Slaves, serfs and taxpayers all refer to conditions of bondage. These conditions all restrict the use of your person and labor. The pre-historic slave's person was controlled so that his labor could be exploited. Today the modern taxpayer's labor is controlled in order to exploit his person. That's progress to some, but it is not freedom. The obvious physical differences between iron chains and psychological conditioning tend to obfuscate the degree of control. These evolving forms of bondage appear on the surface to have led to increased personal freedoms. But they have come at the real cost of diminished economic freedom. Chattel, land and political forms of slavery reflect the increasing sophistication of forced labor institutions. Charles Adams does an excellent job (as always) examining the history of slavery here.

<http://www.fff.org/freedom/0100g.asp>

Society must accept the practice of involuntary servitude into its norms and/or laws for it to endure in whatever form. The effectiveness of various employment arrangements influences the self-interested to choose the most advantageous form from what is available. Society thus tends to develop rules of cooperation between people, including those with different time preferences that work well enough. Until they don't. Then they are changed, altered, or abolished. Leaders with the most power and influence over collective action will seek to incorporate their gains. The masses typically become resigned to their perceived lot in life. Desperate conditions foster anger, fear and violence leading to hungry slaves attacking gluttonous masters. Elites recognize this historical fact and seek ways to maintain their economic power over the masses. When the number of slaves reaches a point significantly greater than the number of elites, then the fear of the masters leads to greater use of force and fear to keep the masses in line. This leads to decreasing efficiency for each slave and for the overall economic system based on slave labor. The existing slave-labor system then declines until it falls apart and a more subtle form of slavery takes its place. **Therefore, the more free a slave believes that they are, the more productive is the slave-labor system he participates in.**

The moral standing of economic servitude seems to depend on what side of the relationship one stands. Relationships based on force require some form of tether. Men can be trained to obey verbal commands that do not require physical restraint devices. Tradition, faith and law must work together for an injustice like slavery to prevail for long periods of time. Chattel, land and political slavery have all lasted many millennia. The justification of these conditions has come from clergy, political leaders and even the slaves themselves. Though the evolution from slavery to serfdom to tax-paying appears to have led to increased personal freedoms, the reality is the chains have just gotten longer and lighter. Many do not even notice them. Efficiency dictates that more subtle means of coercion be used to appropriate labor in an increasingly complex division of labor. Masters observed long ago the difficulty of labor under the heavy burden of manacles and chains. A happy slave who accepts his "place" is far more productive than the disgruntled slave who does the least possible amount of labor. The political slave has generally bought into the story that since they get to choose their overseers (masters and monarchs are not chosen), then they are truly free. The percentage of happy slaves to total slaves today is higher than it has ever been in history. This can change very quickly.

Democracy is today equated with freedom. If you think that choosing those who control a major portion of your labor and make laws as to what you may and may not do with your own person and property is really freedom, then consider how democracy would affect the status of the older forms of bondage. If you were a slave on a Roman estate or an Old South plantation and you got to choose who was given the power to control the fruits of your labor and tell you what to do and what not to do, would you be any less a slave? What if you were a serf on a French or German lord's manor and you got to choose who was given the power to control the fruits of your labor and tell you what to do and what not to do, would you be any less a serf? Is being a taxpayer really that much better of a deal? Slaves generally got to keep about one-half of what they produced in order to survive. Serfs got a little bit better deal as the lord took only about one-third of their labor and they survived on the other two-thirds. Today taxpayers have a sliding scale somewhere between the traditional limits of slaves and serfs. The master and the lord justified their taking with the line that they provided protection from other masters and lords as well as providing dispute resolution services, housing, roads, water wells and other infrastructure. Some slaves and serfs even told their fellow slaves and serfs that this was a good deal and they were lucky that their master or lord was so much better than the others.

If you think that you are truly free and own the fruits of your labor, then try not paying income taxes. If you think that you own and have full control over your person, then fire up a joint, even on your own property, in full view of an official overseer and see if you are imprisoned. If you think that you own your land, then try building something on it without permission from your masters. If you believe that you are free because you get to vote on who gets the power to decide these things for you, then you're setting the bar pretty low on what you consider freedom. Do you really think that this is as good as it gets? Seek freedom from the existing slave labor system by finding ways to exchange your labor and property in ways that minimize your taxes. Free your mind from the shackles of the corporate-state and do something on Election Day other than supporting the system that enslaves you. If you must vote, then vote with your feet and move to areas that have lower taxes and fewer laws restricting the use of your property. Ignorance may very well be bliss, but it is the enemy of liberty. Some may prefer to be a happy slave than to see the truth and seek freedom, but joy requires conditions of peace to grow. It is my hope that society may evolve the next economic system beyond political forms of bondage without desperate convulsions of violence. For this to come about, the number of people who recognize what freedom is and yearn for liberty must increase significantly. Thanks to the Internet and sites like this, I believe it could happen. The growing desperation of the elite increasing controls over it shows that they do, too. Mark Davis is a husband, father and real estate analyst/investor enjoying the freedoms we still have in Longwood, Florida.

* Caveat Redemptor - Verify for yourself

Slavery Systems

Frederick Douglass observed, "The whole history of progress of human liberty shows that all concessions yet made to her august claims have been born of earnest struggle. If there is no struggle, there is no progress. Those who profess to favor freedom, and yet deprecate agitation, are men who want crops without plowing up the ground, they want rain without thunder and lightning, they want the ocean without the awful roar of its many waters. This struggle may be a moral one; or it may be a physical one; or it may be both moral and physical; but it must be a struggle. Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will. Find out just what any people will quietly submit to and you have found the exact measure of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them, and these will continue until they are resisted. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress."

Fascist Dictator Benito Mussolini: "Fascism should more appropriately be called Corporatism because it is a merger of State and corporate power." It is also known as monopoly-capitalism and communism. The slavery systems of Ancient Babylon, Rome, Egypt, 19th Century Europe and America, Apartheid and Occupation, demonstrate that it takes a few people cooperating together to enslave the masses. It's the Mother of All Mafias led by the Rothschild, Rockefellers and Illuminatis' Banking Dynasties & descendants of the European Nobility!

Congressman Larry P. McDonald, 1976, killed in the 1983 Korean Airlines 747 (flight KAL007) that was shot down by the Soviets, said: "The drive of the Rockefellers and their allies is to create a one-World government combining super-capitalism and communism under the same tent, all under their control...Do I mean conspiracy? Yes I do. I am convinced there is such a plot, international in scope, generations old in planning, and incredibly evil in intent."

H.L. Mencken: "The only kind of freedom that the mob can imagine is freedom to annoy and oppress its betters, and that is precisely the kind that we mainly have."

Harold Wallace Rosenthal 1976 said: "There is no such thing as the silent majority because we control their cry and hue. The only thing that exists is an unthinking majority and unthinking they will remain, as long as their escape from our rigorous service is the opiate of our entertainment industry. By controlling industry, we have become the task masters and the people the slaves. When the pressure of daily toil builds to an explosive degree, we have provided the safety valve of momentary pleasure. The television and movie industries furnish the necessary temporary distraction. These programs are carefully designed to appeal to the sensuous emotions, never to the logical thinking mind. Because of this, the people are programmed to respond according to our dictates, not according to reason. Silent they never are; thinking they will remain." Rosenthal said this during an interview he gave to Walter White Jr. Mr. Rosenthal, age 29, the administrative assistant to Senator Jacob K. Javits of New York was conveniently killed in an alleged sky-jacking attempt on an Israeli airliner in Istanbul, Turkey, August 12, 1976; I guess because he talked too much.

However, when a populist country conquers many other countries, its political system usually becomes very stable and oligarchic. The reason is that any instability or political upheaval could give the country's colonies opportunity to win an independence. Great income from colonies makes other citizens of the empire who participate in that income more agreeable to a "frozen" political system, and the rule of a very narrow elite of elders (gerontocracy, dark clan/black nobility/feudals, Illuminati).

President Thomas Jefferson stated during his successful struggle against Federalist tyranny (yes, during his time, the same Illuminati takeover of the US Government had taken place): "Whenever the people are well-informed, they can be trusted with their own government. Whenever things get so far wrong as to attract their notice, they may be relied on to set them to rights." Our hope and prayer.

When a government recklessly expends the lives of its young for crass motives of profit and power (always claiming that its motives are pure and moral) it is violating its promise to the country. It is the country that is primary - the people, the ideals of the sanctity of human life and the promotion of liberty. War is almost always a breaking of those promises. It does not enable the pursuit of happiness but brings despair and grief.
-Howard Zinn

The Masonic Great Seal of The United State(actually of the New World Order)




This Illuminati seal shown on the US\$ bill is also emblazoned on Masonic Lodges. It's also known as the shining delta (change, transition) or pyramid of their so-called enlightened age which to us is slavery. The eye signifies the Illuminati's ability to infiltrate and watch over all matters. Novus Ordo Seclorum is Latin for New Secular or Secret Order and contradicts with IN GOD WE TRUST. This Masonic seal made its way on the dollar bill through Vice President Henry Wallace and President Franklin D. Roosevelt as the "New Deal", both well-known Masons.

"Announcing the birth of a new World order." These words are inscribed on the back of each US Dollar bill **[more correctly, the fiat indulgence titled "Federal Reserve Note"]**, written in Latin: **ANNUIT COEPTIS NOVUS ORDO SECLORUM**. The **"ORDER"** that is being described here, to cut to the chase, is the **JESUIT ORDER**. To conceal the true meaning of the words, this "motto" is translated for **public consumption** in a number of benign-sounding ways: in **their** words, or, as it is now translated by the U.S. State Department **[controlled by the mastermind of 9-11, Archbishop of New York City, Edward Cardinal Egan, through his Council on Foreign Relations]: "He (God) has favored our undertakings."** (LIKE THAT SOUNDS RIGHT OR SOMETHING) THEY NOTE: **Annuit** does not mean "to announce" (**annuntio**), **so THEY say**. The disinformation concerning these words written on the **"Great Seal"** is very strange, and it's possible there are people gullible enough to believe it. For example, **they** "translate" (or twist) the phrase **NOVUS ORDO SECLORUM** this way: Thomson coined the motto: **Novus ordo seclorum**. The accepted translation is: **A new order of the ages. (Notice the word "accepted.")** Thomson explained: **"The date underneath [the pyramid] is that of the Declaration of Independence and the words under it signify the beginning of the new American Æra, which commences from that date."** THEY NOTE: **Novus ordo seclorum cannot** translate into "new World order." **(So THEY say.)**



1000 500 100 100 50 10 10 5 1

LOOK AT THE STRING OF ROMAN NUMERALS AT THE BOTTOM OF THE MASONIC PYRAMID ON THE AMERICAN ONE DOLLAR BILL AND RATHER THAN READING IT AS ONE LONG NUMBER, TRANSLATE EACH NUMERAL AS AN INDIVIDUAL INTO A SEQUENCE AS DEMONSTRATED ABOVE.



THEN ADD YOUR RED FIGURES AND YOU GET SIX HUNDRED AND SIXTY SIX (666)

IT IS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS NOT SIMPLY A COINCIDENCE, OR A CLEVER 'PUB TRICK'. THIS WAS PLACED ON THE DOLLAR BILL ON PURPOSE BY AN ELITE SOCIETY LOYALLY DEDICATED TO SATANISM AS IT LITERALLY EXISTS. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS SECRET BROTHERHOOD IS NOT JUST A GROUP OF WIERDOS, THEY ARE DESCENDED FROM A BLOODLINE THAT CAN BE CONSTRUED AS THAT OF 'THE DEVIL'.



The familiar logo we call "the Great Seal" was really adopted from the **Illuminati**, and its designer was actually **Adam Weishaupt** of [**Jesuit-controlled, Nazi Third Reich**] Bavaria, the Illuminati's founder (a Jesuit). He founded the Illuminati in 1776, seen in **ROMAN** numerals at the base of the Nimrod-pyramid-obelisk seen on every one dollar bill. The glowing **EYE** is not **YHWH**, but rather **Satan**, worshipped for millennia as the **SUN**. The "eye-in-the-sky" has always been the sun, called **Solis Invictus**, **Horus**, **Molech**, to just name a few. The occultic (hidden) religion of the Pagan king **NIMROD** [**now being the pagan sun kings as in Sunday or rather the Roman Caesars of the Papacy**] is secretly being kept alive through traditions, and secret societies all over the globe [**every secret society being subordinate to the Black Pope**]. Is there any doubt in anyone's mind that the fabulous "builders," the Masons, might not be involved to some extent? The [**high-level professed dof the Fourth Vow**]

Jesuits are the ultimate control freaks, or tyrants, and have been attacking our rule of law, the **US Constitution**, for 200 years. They assassinated **Abraham Lincoln** because he resisted the establishment of a "central bank" [**or rather, the passing of Jesuit Temporal Coadjutor (U.S. Senator) James G. Blaine's wicked Fourteenth Amendment which created the Pope's "Holy Roman" Fourteenth Amendment American Empire**]. The Jesuit assassins murdered him [**Jesuit Bernard F. Wiget being the power behind John Wilkes Booth and John Surratt**]. The Jesuits cannot operate with a free hand as long as the US Constitution is controlling their actions [**which Constitution is now a dead letter thanks to the Order's PATRIOT Acts I and II, one of their two authors being the newly appointed head of the Office of Homeland "Romeland" Security**]. The US Constitution grants and defends life, liberty (non-imprisonment [**without due process at the Common Law**]), and the pursuit of happiness to all men, as inalienable rights endowed to them by the Creator [**the date of the completion of the U.S. Constitution, according to Section Seven, being "In the Year of Our Lord, One Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty-Seven"**]. It only limits and controls GOVERNMENT, and shuts down their authoritarian, oppressive, invasive tyrannical tendencies. Politicians serving the interests of the Jesuit Order have for years attempted to change, bend, and stretch the US Constitution in order to free their hands and get at us.

Michael Nield in his book "The Police State Road Map 2004": The New World Order has been described as a 'global fascist state', 'high-tech feudalism', and an 'Orwellian nightmare'. In a word, N.W.O. is a [totalitarian] dictatorship. It is the logical manifestation of the age-old desire of powerful people to increase their power over the rest of us. However, that desire is not motivated only greed and selfishness - this would not account for the long range planning behind the N.W.O. which is at least a century old [actually 4,000 years old] and transcends generations. Just as some people believe in God or freedom or in a moral code, others believe in power. It is hard for the average Westerner to appreciate that people at the top of our democratic political and financial power structures see the World very differently to us. N.W.O. planners believe that the World would be a better place for the existence of a small ruling with absolute power. George Orwell portrayed the terrifying consequences of absolute power in his last book '1984' published in 1949. Two decades after the novel was set, we are witnessing the fulfillment of this nightmarish vision. A recent BB2 documentary on Orwell's life, 'George Orwell: A Life in Pictures' broadcast in June 2003, concluded with Orwell's thoughts on '1984' just before he died. Asked whether he believed that tyranny could happen in a democratic country like Britain, he said yes, tyranny can happen anywhere - its down to us to prevent it.

Nield's book examines financial issues, starting with how a small group of transatlantic financial elite in the UK, Europe and US (originally from Germany just like the British Royal Family) formed a cartel which now controls \$300 Trillion worth of assets. The end game is to put all



property and levels of political power in the hands of the financial elite. Furthermore, Nield's book describes the roadmap to the global fascist state beginning with the elimination of the World's middle class, the establishment of a UN controlled World government through legislation posed as the saviour to a global crisis created by the "War on Terror", i.e. the Machiavellian politics of self-created problem-reaction-solution. The elite create enemies and blow things up in order to justify the "War on Terror", Global Government and the associated martial law and police state apparatus and the replacement of free-markets with a repressive coercion economy. Elites also destroy the competing powers of God and the family just like the Marxists did, and finally biological control for dehumanizing follows. The N.W.O. tyrannical-totalitarian World government's capital is planned to be in Jerusalem.

The "Zionist" Conspiracy is British Imperialism

By Henry Makow Ph.D. May 30, 2004: Conspiracy theorists [factualists] like myself believe modern history reflects a long-term conspiracy by an international financial elite to enslave humanity. Like blind men examining an elephant, we attribute this conspiracy to Jews, Illuminati, Vatican, Jesuits, Masons, Black Nobility, and Bilderbergers etc. The real villains are at the heart of our economic and cultural life. They are the dynastic families who own the Bank of England, the US Federal Reserve and associated cartels. They also control the World Bank and IMF and most of the World's Intelligence agencies. Their identity is secret but Rothschild is certainly one of them. The Bank of England was "nationalized" in 1946 but the power to create money remained in the same hands.



England is in fact a financial oligarchy run by the "Crown" which refers to the "City of London" not the Queen. The City of London is run by the Bank of England, a private corporation. The City is a sovereign state located in the heart of greater London. As the "Vatican of the financial World," the City is not subject to British law. On the contrary, the bankers dictate to the British Parliament. In 1886, Andrew Carnegie wrote that, "six or seven men can plunge the nation into war without consulting Parliament at all." Vincent Vickers, a director of the Bank of England from 1910-1919 blamed the City for the wars of the World. ("Economic Tribulation" (1940) cited in Knuth, The Empire of the City, 1943, p 60). The British Empire was an extension of bankers' financial interests. Indeed, all the non-white colonies (India, Hong Kong, Gibraltar) were "Crown Colonies." They belonged to the City and were not subject to British law although Englishmen were expected to conquer and pay for them. The Bank of England assumed control of the U.S. during the T.R. Roosevelt administration (1901-1909) when its agent J.P. Morgan took over 25% of American business. (Anton Chaitkin, Treason in America, 1964)

According to the "American Almanac," the bankers are part of a network called the "Club of the Isles" which is an informal association of predominantly European-based royal households including the Queen. The Club of the Isles commands an estimated \$10 trillion in assets. It lords over such corporate giants as Royal Dutch Shell, Imperial Chemical Industries, Lloyds of London, Unilever, Lonrho, Rio Tinto Zinc, and Anglo American DeBeers. It dominates the World supply of petroleum, gold, diamonds, and many other vital raw materials; and deploys these assets at the disposal of its geopolitical agenda. Its goal: to reduce the human population from its current level of over 5 billion people to below 1 billion people within the next two to three generations; to literally "cull the human herd" in the interest of retaining their own global power and the feudal system upon which that power is based. Historian Jeffrey Steinberg could be referring to the US, Canada and Australia when he writes, "England, Scotland, Wales, and, especially, Northern Ireland, are today little more than slave plantations and social engineering laboratories, serving the needs of ...the City of London... These families constitute a financier oligarchy; they are the power behind the Windsor throne. They view themselves as the heirs to the Venetian oligarchy, which infiltrated and subverted England from the period 1509-1715, and established a new, more virulent, Anglo-Dutch-Swiss strain of the oligarchic system of imperial Babylon, Persia, Rome, and Byzantium.... The City of London dominates the World's speculative markets. A tightly interlocking group of corporations, involved in raw materials extraction, finance, insurance, transportation, and food production, controls the lion's share of the World market, and exerts virtual "choke point" control over World industry."

Steinberg belongs to a group of historians associated with economist Lyndon Larouche. They have traced this scourge to the migration of the Venetian mercantile oligarchy to England more than 300 years ago. Although the Larouche historians do not say so, it appears that many members of this oligarchy were Jews. Cecil Roth writes: "The trade of Venice was overwhelmingly concentrated in the hands of the Jews, the wealthiest of the mercantile class." (The History of the Jews in Venice, 1930). The Jewish banking families made it a practice to marry their female offspring to spendthrift European aristocrats. In Jewish law, the mixed offspring of a Jewish mother is Jewish. (The male heirs always marry Jews.) For example, in 1878 Hannah Rothschild married Lord Rosebery, who later became Prime Minister. In 1922 Louis Mountbatten, the uncle of Prince Philip and cousin of the Queen married the granddaughter of Jewish banker Ernest Cassel, one of the wealthiest men in the World. If they aren't Jewish by intermarriage, many European aristocrats consider themselves descendants of Biblical Hebrews. The Hapsburgs are related by marriage to the Merovingians who claim to be descendants of the Tribe of Benjamin. In addition, many aristocrats belong to the "British Israel" Movement that believes the British sovereign is the head of the Anglo Saxon "Lost Tribes" of Israel and that the Apocalypse will see the full reconstitution of the British Empire. According to Barbara Aho, Rosicrucians and Masons, who believe in British Israelism, have a plan to place one of their bloodline on the throne of the rebuilt Temple in Jerusalem. This positioning of a false messiah whom the World will worship as Christ has been carefully planned and executed over many centuries. According to Barry Chamish, "there would be no modern state of Israel without British Masonry. In the 1860s, the British-Israelite movement was initiated from within Masonry. Its goal was to establish a Jewish-Masonic state in the Turkish province of Palestine...Initially, British Jewish Masonic families like the Rothschilds and Montefiores provided the capital to

build the infrastructure for the anticipated wave of immigration. However, luring the Jews to Israel was proving difficult. They, simply, liked European life too much to abandon it. So Europe was to be turned into a nightmare for the Jews."

In 1935 the steamer "Tel Aviv" made its maiden voyage from Nazi Germany to Haifa with Hebrew letters on its bow and a Nazi flag fluttering from its mast. The Captain of the Zionist-owned ship was a member of the Nazi Party. A passenger described the spectacle as a "metaphysical absurdity." Actually it made perfect sense. The ship transported German Jews who had taken advantage of the "Haavara" program, which allowed them to exchange their money for its value in Germany products in Palestine. As a result, the fledgling Jewish colony received about 70,000 highly educated German Jews and 140 million Reichmarks worth of German industrial equipment. This laid the foundation of Israel's infrastructure. The arrangement also boosted the Nazi economy at a time when Jews Worldwide were boycotting German products. (My main source is "The Secret Contacts" by Klaus Polkehn a prominent German journalist. It is included in Olivia O'Grady's *The Beasts of the Apocalypse*, 2001, 421-447.) Why retell this story of Zionist-Nazi cooperation now? Because "Jewish" leaders have been exploiting their "lesser brethren" for a long time, and are doing so today. Ordinary Jews pay the price and this price could rise. In my opinion, Zionism is a movement to deceive Jews into advancing the objectives of British imperialism. (See, "The Jewish Conspiracy is British Imperialism") Zionists who have built their lives on a false premise naturally will reject this view. Specifically, Jews helped the British-Jewish elite colonize the oil-rich Middle East under the pretext of Jews needing a national home. Despite the appearance of neutrality, the British (and Americans) financed, trained and equipped the Jews. (John Coleman, *Diplomacy by Deception* p. 107.) The "British" are really the London-based international banking cartel associated with names like Rothschild and Rockefeller. It doesn't answer to any government. Its goal is to colonize the World and everyone in it. Jews are a means to this end. As seen in Iraq, Zionists (a.k.a Neocons) play a major role in the colonization of the Middle East. The important thing to remember is that Israel is the creation of this cartel; both Israel and the US are its tools. Iraq is only a phase in the emerging New World Order, which represents a continuation of the goals of "British" imperialism. John Kerry will take up in Iraq where George Bush leaves off. Democracy is a charade.

NAZIS MADE-TO-MEASURE FOR ZIONISM: In 1925, Germany's 500,000 Jews were overwhelmingly indifferent or actively hostile to Zionism. The German Zionist movement had only 9000 members. The "Central Union of Germans of the Jewish Faith " represented most German Jews and favored active participation in German life. Its main focus was combating anti-Semitism. Zionists, on the other hand, welcomed the Nazis' anti Semitic policies. Like the Nazis, they believed in race-based national character and destiny. Like the Nazis, they believed Jews had no future in Germany. The Zionists did not protest Nazi persecution such as the removal of 2000 Jewish scholars and scientists from German universities in 1933. The Nazis rewarded this "restraint" by allowing the Zionists to go about their work unhindered. All other Jewish and anti fascist organizations were disbanded and their leaders imprisoned. The Nazis required all Jews to join the Zionist-led "Reich Union" whose goal was emigration. Jews were to be converted to Zionism at any cost. The Zionists were able to publish books and newspapers critical of the Nazis so long as the audience was restricted to Jews. The cooperation extended to political and economic spheres. Adolph Eichmann set up agricultural training camps in Austria to prepare young Jews for Kibbutz life. He visited Palestine and conferred with Zionist leaders who confessed their true expansionist goals. There was even talk of a strategic alliance between Nazi Germany and Jewish Palestine. His report is in Himmler's Archives. [For more on Zionist-Nazi cooperation, see online Lenni Brenner "Zionism in the Age of Dictators" Also, Lenni Brenner, *51 Documents: Zionist Collaboration With the Nazis* (2002)]. The cooperation may have extended to the Jewish Holocaust and explain why most Jews passively accepted their fate. In his book, "The Holocaust Victims Accuse" Rabbi Moshe Shonfeld claims that Zionist- led Jewish Councils ("Judenrats") collaborated with the Nazis and deceived non Zionist Jews. See my "Zionism: Compulsory Suicide for Jews." Europe's non-Zionist Jews were worth more dead than alive to Zionists and their financial sponsors. The Holocaust provided a political and moral rationale for the establishment of the Jewish state.

WHO WAS HITLER? In 1919, Hitler was an Intelligence Officer with the German Army assigned to spy on the tiny German Labor Party. He became its leader. Max Warburg, brother of Paul Warburg, founder of the US Federal Reserve, was the chief of German Intelligence. Both were executives of the I.G. Farben conglomerate. There is no record of when Hitler stopped working for these Illuminati figures. (See also *Be Afraid: The New World Order's Fascist Pedigree*) Hitler was sponsored by the banker oligarchy, and may have been their pawn. Certainly the Nazis received millions of dollars from New York and London. See "Hitler Didn't Want World War." Ernst ("Putzi") Hanfstaengl was close to both the bankers and Hitler and funneled money to the Nazis during their formative years. See also Antony Sutton "Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler" Chapter Eight But when Hitler began to print his own money, he may have alienated these sponsors. See . "Rothschild Conducts Red Symphony" The bottom line is that both Nazism and Zionism were sponsored by the same banking cartel and had complementary goals. The rise of anti Semitism in Europe served to create the State of Israel, which President Assad of Syria described as a "dagger in the heart of the Arab nations." Think about it. Hitler could have just confiscated all the Jewish wealth. Instead he used the "Haavara Program" to help establish the State of Israel. According to Polkehn, Hitler personally guaranteed this program in the face of opposition. It lasted until the beginning of the war. This cartel, which controls the World today, has no compunction about using Jews (or anyone) as a means to an end.

THE BANKSTER AGENDA: All money is created in the form of debt to the privately owned banking cartel. Imagine if you could create money out of thin air. Imagine you have the credit cards of all the nations in your pocket. Your first impulse is to lend money to your nominees so they can buy most of the World's real wealth for you. Your second impulse is to establish a totalitarian system ("World government" "globalization") to prevent any nation from challenging this system or defaulting on their "debt" to you. To make nations accept "World government," you need to weaken them by having them

fight among themselves, run up huge debts for armaments (which you will sell them), kill off the cream of their manhood, and become demoralized and decadent. You accomplish this through your ownership of politicians and the press and your control of MI-6, CIA, Mossad who carry out terror and assassinations for you. This is the real history of the last 300 years. "World government" is really about using debt to enslave us; it is an international loan collection agency. Naturally they shroud this with talk about tolerance, human rights, fighting poverty and preventing war. A quote from a 1924 edition of the American Banker's Association Digest sums up what is currently happening. Keep this in mind when you vote. "When, through the process of law, the common people lose their homes, they will become more docile and more easily governed through the strong arm of government applied by a central power of wealth under leading financiers. These truths are well known among our principal men who are now engaged in forming imperialism to govern the World. By dividing the voter through the political party system, we can get them to expend their energies in fighting for questions of no importance. " Now consider the words of an Illuminati defector, Svali. "The conflict in the Middle East is only to the advantage of the Illuminists. They HATE Israel, and hope one day to see it destroyed, and are biding their time. One of the olive branches offered by the UN when it takes over is that they will prevent war in the Middle East, and this will be greeted with joy by many. At the same time, the Illuminati covertly supply guns and funds to BOTH sides to keep the conflict fuelled. They are very duplicitous people...These people love the game of chess, and see warfare between nations as creating an order out of chaos."

In a personal email, she added: "I have always wondered this, though, why some of the highest ranking financial families in the group (Baron Rothschild of France is one of the 13 European lords, or "kings" that run the group in Europe, and sits on the World Council) are Jewish, yet the group espouses hatred of their own race." Ben Hecht said that reading the daily news to understand current events is like telling the time by looking at the second hand. You have to look at the decade and century hands to see what is really going on in the World. The Rothschild-Rockefeller banking cartel is behind most political (and cultural) trends and its goal is to destroy the nation state, true democracy, religion, culture, marriage and family, in order to degrade humanity to animal status in advance of totalitarianism. In the "war on terror," they have created a boogeyman to justify a system of repression to be used when they call in their "loans." I was once a Zionist who believed Jews needed a homeland because of anti-Semitism. I realize now that, like most of history, this view was mostly contrived. Anti Semitism is caused because many Jews serve (consciously or unconsciously) the bankers' harmful agenda. Zionism, Communism and Feminism are a few examples. Most Jews are now totally identified with Israel, which is a tool of the Rothschilds' demented megalomania. If past is preface, this cannot end well for Jews or anyone. I wasted much of my life getting a conventional education, so I feel I am beginning my education anew. It appears that a vampire-like clique directs the World. This secretive cabal is represented by our dominant political, economic and cultural institutions. Western society has been subverted and western culture is bankrupt. Democracy is a form of social control and the mass media/ education are systems for indoctrination.

Essentially the problem boils down to whether we believe man was made in God's image and has an obligation to lift himself to a higher level of truth, beauty and justice. Naturally monopolists have no use for this and want to define reality to suit their own interests. They have taught us that God is dead and man is just a fancy animal without a divine soul. Culture today tends to deny standards, ideals and goals of any kind. Instead, we are fed an endless diet of trivia and degradation. Certain elite Jews are an integral part of this elite neo feudal conspiracy. Throughout history they have had a symbiotic relationship with the aristocracy. But ordinary Jews like the serfs were manipulated and persecuted by their elites. True Judaism like Islam and Christianity affirms the supremacy of God as a moral force. A real Jew, like a true Christian or Muslim cannot perform an immoral act. It's time to reaffirm our belief in God.

Banksters Use Judaism as a Shield



The mainspring of the New World Order is the desire on the part of the World's central bankers to translate their vast economic power into permanent global institutions of political and social control. Their power is based on their monopoly over credit. They use the government's credit to print money, and require the taxpayer to fork over billions in interest to them. Central banks like the Federal Reserve pretend to be government institutions. They are not. They are privately owned by perhaps 300 families. It is significant that the majority of these families are Jewish, how significant I am not yet sure. If they were Lutherans or Zulus, certainly our objections would be the same. I am a non-observant Jew who believes this situation is lethal for humanity and Jews alike. We have already seen the tragic consequences of it in World War II. The American inventor Thomas Edison described this colossal scam, which the New World Order is designed to perpetuate, as follows: "It is absurd to say our country can issue bonds and cannot issue currency. Both are promises to pay, but one fattens the usurer and the other helps the people." Central banks also control the supply of credit to businesses and individuals. Robert Hemphill, Credit Manager of the Federal Reserve Bank in Atlanta describes this untenable situation. "This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the banks create ample synthetic money, we are prosperous; if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture, the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is... It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood and the defects remedied very soon." "

When the Federal Reserve was inaugurated in 1913, a London banker acknowledged that it is a scam. "The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favours, that there will be no opposition from that class... The great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending, will bear its burden without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical (contrary) to their interests."

Obviously printing money should be in the public sphere as prescribed by the U.S. Constitution. This anomalous situation is the source of humanity's woes. It pits the people who control the economy against society as a whole. It is in their interest to destabilize society, foster immorality, internal division (like gay marriage) and war in order to increase debt and distract and control the masses. The bankers are responsible for social engineering programs such as the (homo) sexual revolution, feminism and multiculturalism, which undermine family and social cohesion. This fundamental antagonism also supports a vast criminal under-World actually run by the elites.

The bankers are responsible for the assassinations of presidents like Lincoln and JFK, and for the attack on the World Trade Center. They own or control the mass media, which legitimizes G.W. Bush, the war in Iraq and the impending attack on Iran. War provides an excuse to introduce the draft and a repressive police state. Success today is based on a person's willingness to become an accomplice, witting or unwitting, to the banker fraud. Even rich entrepreneurs are dependent on credit and are unwilling to support genuine change. As a result of the bankers' scam, Western society and culture are based on a fraud. We do not have genuine democracy or equal access to the mass media or open and truthful education. Western society is a fraud, run by cowards who know they're frauds. The New World Order is a hydra-headed monster. The bankers work through many fronts such as Communism, socialism, liberalism, feminism, Zionism, neo conservatism and Masonry. Unknown to most members, these "progressive" movements are all secretly devoted to "World revolution" which is a euphemism for banker hegemony. (See my "Rothschild Conducts Red Symphony"). The bankers control the World's major corporations, media, intelligence agencies, think tanks, foundations and universities. They are responsible for suppressing the truth. Jews figure prominently in all of this, a cause of anti-Semitism. Of course many other people are pursuing "success" as well. The bankers also work through countries. They are largely responsible for British and American imperialism, whose aim is to monopolize the World's wealth. In his book "The Jews" (1922) British social critic Hilaire Belloc writes that the British Empire represented a partnership between Jewish finance and the British aristocracy.

"After Waterloo [1815] London became the money market and the clearing house of the World. The interests of the Jew as a financial dealer and the interests of this great commercial polity approximated more and more. One may say that by the last third of the nineteenth century, they had become virtually identical." The confluence of Jewish and British interest extended to marriage. "Marriages began to take place, wholesale, between what had once been the aristocratic territorial families of this country and the Jewish commercial fortunes. After two generations of this, with the opening of the twentieth century, those of the great territorial English families in which there was no Jewish blood was the exception. In nearly all of them was the strain more or less marked, in some of them so strong that though the name was still an English name and the traditions those of a purely English lineage of the long past, the physique and character had become wholly Jewish..." If the marriage of Al Gore's daughter with Jacob Schiff's grandson is any indication, this mingling of Jewish and Gentile elites extends to America as well. John Forbes Kerry is another example. Belloc continues to say that the British and Jewish goal of World domination was synonymous and used Masonry as an instrument. "Specifically Jewish institutions, such as Masonry (which the Jews had inaugurated as a sort of bridge between themselves and their hosts in the seventeenth century) were particularly strong in Britain, and there arose a political tradition, active, and ultimately to prove of great importance, whereby the British state was tacitly accepted by foreign governments as the official protector of the Jews in other countries.



It was Britain which was expected to intervene [wherever Jewish persecution took place and] to support the Jewish financial energies throughout the World, and to receive in return the benefit of that connection." If Belloc is right, you could say the New World Order is an extension of the British Empire, in which elite British, American and Jewish interests are indistinguishable. See also my "The Jewish Conspiracy is British Imperialism." The majority of Jews would want no part of the New World Order a.k.a. "globalization" if they understood its undemocratic character and how they are being used. The true Jewish spirit holds that truth and morality are absolute and cannot be trimmed to fit one's perceived self interest. G.J. Nueberger expresses this spirit in his essay "The Great Gulf Between Zionism and Judaism."

"The Jewish people are chosen not for domination over others, not for conquest or warfare, but to serve G-d and thus to serve mankind... Thus physical violence is not a tradition or a value of the Jews. The task for which the Jewish people were chosen is not to set an example of military superiority or technical achievements, but to seek perfection in moral behaviour and spiritual purity.

Of all the crimes of political Zionism, the worst and most basic, and which explains all its other misdeeds, is that from its beginning Zionism has sought to separate the Jewish people from their G-d, to render the divine covenant null and void, and to substitute a "modern" statehood and fraudulent sovereignty for the lofty ideals of the Jewish people." The bankers obviously aren't concerned about true Judaism or racial purity and were quite willing to sacrifice millions of Jews to achieve their design by backing Hitler. They are sacrificing thousands more Jewish, American and Muslim lives in the Middle East in their Orwellian "perpetual war for perpetual peace." Does the New World Order serve a "Jewish" agenda or

a banker elite agenda? I would venture that it serves the latter, and the Jewish people are an instrument of this agenda like so many other people. By giving private individuals the ability to create money out of nothing, we have created a monster which threatens to devour the planet and with it the human race. Related article: Rixon Steward's Final Countdown . Dr. Henry Makow received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto henry@savethemales.ca.

[Notable Quotes On The Immorality and CRIME Of Illuminati Wars](#)

President James Madison <http://antiwar.com/quotes.php#1047> "We have to show the American People that war is not patriotic. "

US General Smedley Butler wrote in his 1935 classic, "War Is a Racket": "I helped make Mexico safe for American oil interests in 1914," "I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefit of Wall Street. I helped purify Nicaragua for the International Banking House of Brown Brothers in 1902-1912. I brought light to the Dominican Republic for the American sugar interests in 1916. I helped make Honduras right for American fruit companies in 1903. In China in 1927 I helped see to it that Standard Oil went its way unmolested." Butler acknowledged that he'd spent most of his thirty-three years in the Marines as "a high class muscle man for Big Business, Wall Street and the bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism."

Name me an emperor who was ever struck by a cannonball. – Charles V of France

Wars are really a continuous stream of coordinated acts of terrorism, related to money and power instigated by the people who will benefit from the war and its expected end result. War is an instrument to expand economic power by destroying the national institutions and intelligent people of the victimized nation.

All murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets. – Voltaire

War is just a racket...I believe in adequate defense at the coastline and nothing else. – General Smedley Butler

There are only two things we should fight for. One is the defense of our homes and the other is the Bill of Rights. War for any other reason is simply a racket. – General Smedley Butler

War--after all, what is it that the people get? Why--widows, taxes, wooden legs and debt. – Samuel B. Pettengill

The most fundamental purpose of government is defense, not empire. – Joseph Sobran

The first casualty when war comes is the truth. – Sen. Hiram Johnson

They wrote in the old days that it is sweet and fitting to die for one's country. But in modern war, there is nothing sweet nor fitting in your dying. You will die like a dog for no good reason. – Ernest Hemingway

"The first panacea for a mismanaged nation is inflation of the currency; second is war. Both bring a temporary (and false) prosperity; both bring a permanent ruin. But both are the refuge of political and economic opportunities." - Ernest Hemingway

True patriotism hates injustice in its own land more than anywhere else. – Clarence Darrow

You've got to forget about this civilian. Whenever you drop bombs, you're going to hit civilians. – Barry Goldwater

Don't stick a knife in me ten inches, pull it out six, and tell me you've made progress." - Malcolm X

I hate war as only a soldier who has lived it can, only as one who has seen its brutality, its futility, its stupidity. – Dwight D. Eisenhower

Peace has its victories no less than war, but it doesn't have as many monuments to unveil. – Kin Hubbard
When people speak to you about a preventive war, you tell them to go and fight it. After my experience, I have come to hate war. – Dwight D. Eisenhower

Tis nobler to lose honor to save the lives of men than it is to gain honor by taking them. – David Borenstein

"I sat there in agony thinking about all that had led me to this private hell. My idealism, my patriotism, my ambition, my plans to be a good intelligence officer to help my country fight the communist scourge - what in the hell had happened? Why did we have to bomb the people we were trying to save? Why were we napalming young children? Why did the CIA, my employer for 16 years, report lies instead of the truth?"

Only the winners decide what were war crimes. – Gary Wills

"I hated my part in the charade of murder and horror. My efforts were contributing to the deaths, to the burning alive of children - especially the children. The photographs of young Vietnamese children burned by napalm destroyed me." : **Ralph McGehee former CIA intelligence analyst.**

A belligerent state permits itself every such misdeed, every such act of violence, as would disgrace the individual. - Sigmund Freud

If this were a dictatorship, it'd be a heck of a lot easier, just so long as I'm the dictator. - George W. Bush

You're not to be so blind with patriotism that you can't face reality. Wrong is wrong, no matter who does it or says it. - Malcolm X

They talk about conscription as a democratic institution. Yes; so is a cemetery. - Rep. Meyer London

"I suspected I was just part of a racket at the time. Now I am sure of it. Like all members of the military profession I never had an original thought until I left the service. My mental faculties remained in suspended animation while I obeyed the orders of the higher-ups. This is typical with everyone in the military service." **General Smedley D. Butler** former U.S. Marine Commandant in Common Sense November 1935 USMC

"In American spy parlance, it's called 'blowback' - the unintended consequences of covert activity kept secret from the U.S. public. The covert recruitment of a Nazi spy network to wage a shadow war against the Soviet Union was the CIA's 'original sin' and it ultimately backfired against the United States." **Martin A. Lee** in The Beast Reawakens

From fanaticism to barbarism is only one step. - **Denis Diderot**



"Death squads have been created and used by the CIA around the World - particularly the Third World - since the late 1940s, a fact ignored by the elite-owned media." - **Ralph McGehee** former CIA analyst CIABASE; The Crisis of Democracy also author of Deadly Deceits: My 25 years in the CIA

"The enormous gap between what US leaders do in the World and what Americans think their leaders are doing is one of the great propaganda accomplishments of the dominant political mythology." - **Michael Parenti political scientist,** author of To Kill A Nation: The Attack on Yugoslavia Inventing Reality: The Politics of News Media

I hate it when they say, 'He gave his life for his country.' They don't die for the honor and glory of their country. We kill them. - Rear Admiral Gene R. LaRocque

War technology is science in the service of obscene anatomical vandalism. - Stan Goff

It takes twenty years or more of peace to make a man; it only takes twenty seconds of war to destroy him. - King Baudouin I of Belgium

War can really cause no economic boom, at least not directly, since an increase in wealth never does result from destruction of goods. - Ludwig von Mises


As for being a General, well, at the age of four with paper hates and wooden swords, we're all Generals. Only some of us never grow out of it. - Peter Ustinov

Can anything be more ridiculous than that a man has a right to kill me because he lives on the other side of the water, and because his ruler has quarrel with mine, although I have none with him? - Blaise Pascal

Nations of eternal war [expend] all their energies. . . in the destruction of the labor, property, and lives of their people. - Thomas Jefferson

No weapon has ever settled a moral problem. It can impose a solution but it cannot guarantee it to be a just one. - Ernest Hemingway

To sin by silence when they should protest makes cowards of men. – Abraham Lincoln



until she has fallen. – G. K. Chesterton

History has witnessed the failure of many endeavors to impose peace by war, cooperation by coercion, unanimity by slaughtering dissidents.... A lasting order cannot be established by bayonets. – Ludwig von Mises

The worst evils which mankind has ever had to endure were inflicted by bad governments. – Ludwig Von Mises

Those who stand for nothing fall for anything. – Alexander Hamilton

A thing is not necessarily true because a man dies for it. – Oscar Wilde

"Until we go through it ourselves, until our people cower in the shelters of New York, Washington, Chicago, Los Angeles and elsewhere while the buildings collapse overhead and burst into flames, and dead bodies hurtle about and, when it is over for the day or the night, emerge in the rubble to find some of their dear ones mangled, their homes gone, their hospitals, churches, schools demolished - only after that gruesome experience will we realize what we are inflicting on the people of Indochina..." : **William Shirer author 1973.**

We used to wonder where war lived, what it was that made it so vile. And now we realize that we know where it lives...inside ourselves. – Albert Camus

There is no flag large enough to cover the shame of killing innocent people: Howard Zinn

War will exist until that distant day when the conscientious objector enjoys the same reputation and prestige that the warrior does today. – John F. Kennedy

The constitution vests the power of declaring war in Congress; therefore no offensive expedition of importance can be undertaken until after they shall have deliberated upon the subject and authorized such a measure. – George Washington

You can wipe out your opponents. But if you do it unjustly you become eligible for being wiped out yourself. – Ernest Hemingway

The State acquires power... and because of its insatiable lust for power it is incapable of giving up any of it. The State never abdicates. – Frank Chodorov

One certain effect of war is to diminish freedom of expression. – Howard Zinn



Old men declare war. But it is the youth that must fight and die. – Herbert C. Hoover

War has become a spectator sport for Americans - War is just one big government program – unknown

I want to scare the hell out of the rest of the World. – General Colin Powell (a bit like what the Hiroshima and Nagasaki nukes were about, since Japan had already shown willingness to surrender before the bombings; many books on this at Amazon.com).

Man is the only animal of which I am thoroughly and cravenly afraid...There is no harm in a well-fed lion. It has no ideals, no sect, no party... – George Bernard Shaw

A man who says that no patriot should attack the war until it is over...is saying no good son should warn his mother of a cliff



"I am strongly in favor of using poisoned gas against uncivilized tribes. The moral effect should be good...and it would spread a lively terror...." -- Winston Churchill commenting on the British use of poison gas against the Iraqis after World War I

This war is not necessary. We are truly sleepwalking through history. – Sen. Robert Byrd

What difference does it make to the dead, the orphans, and the homeless, whether the mad destruction is wrought under the name of totalitarianism or the holy name of liberty and democracy? – Mahatma Ghandi

War's a brain spattering windpipe splitting art. – Lord Byron

Either war is obsolete, or men are. – R. Buckminster Fuller

If my soldiers were to begin to think, not one of them would remain in the army. – Frederick the Great

How strangely will the Tools of a Tyrant pervert the plain Meaning of Words! – Samuel Adams


Arbitrary power is most easily established on the ruins of liberty abused to licentiousness. – George Washington

I love America more than any other country in this World, and, exactly for this reason, I insist on the right to criticize her perpetually. – James Baldwin

Have you ever thought that war is a madhouse and that everyone in the war is a patient? – Oriana Fallaci

Do not ever say that the desire to "do good" by force is a good motive. Neither power-lust nor stupidity are good motives. – Ayn Rand

"He who joyfully marches to music in rank and file has already earned my contempt. He has been given a large brain by mistake, since for him the spinal cord would fully suffice. This disgrace to civilization should be done away with at once. Heroism at command, senseless brutality, deplorable love-of-country stance, how violently I hate all this, how despicable and ignoble war is; I would rather be torn to shreds than be a part of so base an action! It is my conviction that killing under the cloak of war is nothing but an act of murder." — **Albert Einstein**



"Patriotism, like religion, meets people's need for something greater to which their individual lives can be anchored... America's state religion, [is] patriotism, a phenomenon which has convinced many of the citizenry that 'treason' is morally worse than murder or rape." — **William Blum author of Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower ; and Killing Hope: U.S. Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II**

Of all the enemies to public liberty, war is, perhaps, the most to be dreaded because it comprises and develops the germ of every other. – James Madison

If peace...only had the music and pageantry of war, there'd be no wars. – Sophie Kerr

In peace, sons bury their fathers. In war, fathers bury their sons. – Herodotus



Right: US War Crimes mass graves, Afghanistan

One of the penalties for refusing to participate in politics is that you end up being governed by your inferiors. – Plato

I hate it when they say, 'He gave his life for his country.' They don't die for the honor and glory of their country. We kill them. – Rear Admiral Gene R. LaRocque

What we need are critical lovers of America--Patriots who express their faith in their country by working to improve it. – Buhert Humphrey

A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his government. – Edward Abbey

Can anything be more ridiculous than that a man has a right to kill me because he lives on the other side of the water, and because his ruler has quarrel with mine, although I have none with him? – Blaise Pascal

All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing.– Edmund Burke



War would end if the dead could return. – Stanley Baldwin

It is the merit of a general to impart good news, and to conceal the truth. – Sophocles

What is absurd and monstrous about war is that men who have no personal quarrel should be trained to murder one another in cold blood. – Aldous Huxley

Vietnam was the first war ever fought without censorship. Without censorship, things can get terribly confused in the public mind. – General William Westmoreland

Great is the guilt of an unnecessary war. – John Adams

Man is the only animal of which I am thoroughly and cravenly afraid...There is no harm in a well-fed lion. It has no ideals, no sect, no party... – George Bernard Shaw

It takes twenty years or more of peace to make a man; it only takes twenty seconds of war to destroy him. – King Baudouin I of Belgium

I want to scare the hell out of the rest of the World. – General Colin Powell

A belligerent state permits itself every such misdeed, every such act of violence, as would disgrace the individual. – Sigmund Freud

Our nation is somewhat sad, but we're angry. There's a certain level of blood lust, but we won't let it drive our reaction. We're steady, clear-eyed and patient, but pretty soon we'll have to start displaying scalps. – George W. Bush



"The millions killed in Korea, Vietnam and Cambodia, the 17,500 killed when Israel — backed by the U.S. — invaded Lebanon in 1982, the 200,000 Iraqis killed in Operation Desert Storm, the thousands of Palestinians who have died fighting Israel's occupation of the West Bank. And the millions who died, in Yugoslavia, Somalia, Haiti, Chile, Nicaragua, El Salvador, the Dominican Republic, Panama, at the hands of all the terrorists, dictators and genocidists who the American government supported, trained, bankrolled and supplied with arms." — Arundhati Roy, East Indian activist and fighter for women's rights Author of The God of Small Things

"The greatest crime since World War II has been U.S. foreign policy." — Ramsey Clark former U.S. Attorney General

"There was no war. No combat. There was only a deliberate, systematic genocide of a defenseless population while barely setting foot on Iraqi soil. **When Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. said in 1967**, 'The greatest purveyor of violence on earth is my own government', he could not have dreamed in his worst nightmare what the U.S. did to Iraq." — Former Attorney General Ramsey Clark "Fire and Ice: The Devastation of Iraq by War and Sanctions"

"Today's [American] generals are nothing more than bureaucrats of mass slaughter, working their way up the Pentagon hierarchy, spending a term at the top issuing orders to destroy helpless populations, then retiring to well-paid positions on corporate boards or as 'consultants' to the TV networks covering the next American blitzkrieg." — David North and Martin McLaughlin Editors, World Socialist Web Site - www.wsws.org "The bombing of Iraq: A shameful chapter in American history"

An empire founded by war has to maintain itself by war. – Montesquieu


"I would like to talk on behalf of all those veterans and say that several months ago in Detroit we had an investigation at which over 150 honorably discharged, and many very highly decorated, veterans testified to war crimes committed in Southeast Asia. These were not isolated incidents but crimes committed on a day-to-day basis with the full awareness of officers at all levels of command. ...

"This [Israeli state terrorism] has to stop. I think it is a good idea for us all to drop everything and devote our lives to making this stop. I don't think it's an extremist thing to do anymore. I really want to dance around to Pat Benatar and have boyfriends and make comics for my co-workers. But I also want this to stop. Disbelief and horror is what I feel. Disappointment. I am disappointed that this is the base reality of our World and that we, in fact, participate in it. This is not at all what I asked for when I came into this World. This is not at all what the people here [in Palestine] asked for when they came into this World. This is not what they are asking for now. This is not the World you and Dad wanted me to come into when you decided to have me." — Rachel Corrie in an email to her parents before she was murdered by an Israeli bulldozer operator. See: An American Heroine, Murdered by Israelis

"They told stories that at times they had personally raped, cut off ears, cut off heads, taped wires from portable telephones to human genitals and turned up the power, cut off limbs, blown up bodies, randomly shot at civilians, razed

villages in a fashion reminiscent of Genghis Khan, shot and ravaged the countryside of South Vietnam, in addition to


cattle and dogs for fun, poisoned food stocks and generally the normal ravage of war and the normal and very particular ravaging which is done by the applied bombing power of this country.”—John Kerry Navy lieutenant, leader of Vietnam Veterans Against the War in testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee April 23, 1971



helicopters are being used against guerrillas in Colombia and Peru. Increasingly the role of those who refuse to give up the privileges and pleasures that come from the immense profits of overseas investment.” — Martin Luther King, Jr. “A Time to Break the Silence” speech given at Riverside Church New York City April 4, 1967

“The trouble is that when American dollars earn only six percent over here, they get restless and go overseas to get 100 percent. The flag follows the dollar and the soldiers follow the flag.

“I wouldn’t go to war again as I have done to defend some lousy investment of the Bankers. We should fight only for the defense of our home and the Bill of Rights. War for any other reason is simply a racket.



“There isn’t a trick in the racketeering bag that the military gang is blind to. It had its ‘finger men’ to point out enemies, its ‘muscle men’ to destroy enemies, its ‘brain men’ to plan war preparations and a ‘Big Boss’ — supernationalistic capitalism.

“I spent 33 years in the Marines. Most of my time being a high-class muscle man for Big Business, for Wall Street and the Bankers. In short, I was a racketeer for capitalism.

As a rule, high culture and military power go hand in hand, as evidenced in the cases of Greece and Rome. — Baron Colmar Von Der Goltz

Since the end of the nineteenth century, if not earlier, presidents have misled the public about their motives and their intentions in going to war. — Robert Higgs

Wars have ever been but another aristocratic mode of plundering and oppressing commerce. — Richard Cobden

One of Sun Tzu's lessons you should all remember is, "All war is based on deception."

We have to show the American People that war is not patriotic. Justin Raimondo

The sinews of war are infinite money. — Marcus Tullius Cicero

All wars are fought for money. — Socrates

War is the health of the State. — Randolph Bourne

Great is the guilt of an unnecessary war. — John Adams

If Tyranny and Oppression come to this land, it will be in the guise of fighting a foreign enemy. — James Madison

Vietnam was the first war ever fought without censorship. Without censorship, things



can get terribly confused in the public mind. – General William Westmoreland

“I will never apologize for the United States of America — I don't care what the facts are.” — President George Bush 1988
Bush was demonstrating his patriotism by excusing an act of cold-blooded mass-murder by the U.S. Navy. On July 3, 1988 the U.S. Navy warship Vincennes shot down an Iranian commercial airliner. All 290 civilian people in the aircraft were killed. The plane was on a routine flight in a commercial corridor in Iranian airspace. The targeting of it by the U.S. Navy was blatantly illegal. **That it was grossly immoral is also obvious.** Except to a patriot.



When the tyrant has disposed of foreign enemies by conquest or treaty, and there is nothing to fear from them, then he is always stirring up some war or other, in order that the people may require a leader. – Plato

God hates violence. He has ordained that all men fairly possess their property, not seize it. – Euripides

The more power a government has the more it can act arbitrarily according to the whims and desires of the elite, and the more it will make war on others and murder its foreign and domestic subjects. – R. J. Rummel

Beware the leader who bangs the drums of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double-edged sword. It both emboldens the blood, just as it narrows the mind. And when the drums of war reached a fever pitch and the blood boils with hate **and the mind has 'closed'**, the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather, the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by patriotism, will offer up all their rights unto the leader and gladly so. How do I know? For this is what I have done. **AND I AM CAESAR. – Julius Caesar (Shakespeare)**

It is part of the general pattern of misguided policy that our country is now geared to an arms economy which was bred in an artificially induced psychosis of war hysteria and nurtured upon an incessant propaganda of fear. – General Douglas MacArthur

Our government has kept us in a perpetual state of fear - kept us in a continuous stampede of patriotic fervor - with the cry of grave national emergency. – US General Douglas MacArthur 1957

Every war when it comes, or before it comes, is represented not as a war but as an act of self-defense against a homicidal maniac. – George Orwell

When American presidents prepare for foreign wars, they lie. – Robert Higgs

We must recognize the chief characteristic of the modern era--a permanent state of what I call violent peace. – Admiral James D. Watkins

A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his government. – Edward Abbey

The urge to save humanity is almost always a false front for the urge to rule. – H.L. Mencken

War against a foreign country only happens when the moneyed classes think they are going to profit from it. – George Orwell

The tragedy of modern war is that the young men die fighting each other--instead of their real enemies back home in the capitals. – Edward Abbey

Washington...has become an alien city-state that rules America, and much of the rest of the World, in the way that Rome ruled the Roman Empire. – Richard Maybury

To plunder, to slaughter, to steal, these things they misname empire; and where they make a desert, they call it peace. – Calgacus

War--after all, what is it that the people get? Why--widows, taxes, wooden legs and debt. – Samuel B. Pettengill

It is in war that the State really comes into its own: swelling in power, in number, in pride, in absolute dominion over the economy and the society. – Murray Rothbard

War is a quarrel between two thieves too cowardly to fight their own battle. – Thomas Carlyle

The bombs in Vietnam explode at home; they destroy the hopes and possibilities for a decent America. – Martin Luther King, Jr.

The slightest acquaintance with history shows that powerful republics are the most warlike and unscrupulous of nations. – Ambrose Bierce

There are no warlike people--just warlike leaders. – Ralph Bunche

Either war is obsolete, or men are. – R. Buckminster Fuller

Almost all war making states borrow extensively, raise taxes, and seize the means of combat- including men--from reluctant citizens... – Charles Tilly

Government is not reason, it is not eloquence, it is force...Never for a moment should it be left to irresponsible action. – George Washington

Force and fraud are in war the two cardinal virtues. – Thomas Hobbes

How strangely will the Tools of a Tyrant pervert the plain Meaning of Words! – Samuel Adams

Allow the president to invade a neighboring nation, whenever he shall deem it necessary to repel an invasion, and you allow him to do so whenever he may choose to say he deems it necessary for such a purpose—and you allow him to make war at pleasure. – Abraham Lincoln

Remember that a government big enough to give you everything you want is also big enough to take away everything you have. – Davy Crockett

I love America more than any other country in this World, and, exactly for this reason, I insist on the right to criticize her perpetually. – James Baldwin

It is in war that the State really comes into its own: swelling in power, in number, in pride, in absolute dominion over the economy and the society. – Murray Rothbard

There is no flag large enough to cover the shame of killing innocent people.-Howard Zinn


What is absurd and monstrous about war is that men who have no personal quarrel should be trained to murder one another in cold blood. – Aldous Huxley

Wars are not paid for in wartime, the bill comes later. – Benjamin Franklin


Aldous Huxley (1894-1963): “Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you mad.” Well actually, the truth exposed in this book will make one **really shocking mad.**

“Our nation is somewhat sad, but we’re angry. There’s a certain level of blood lust, but we won’t let it drive our reaction. We’re steady, clear-eyed and patient, but pretty soon **we’ll have to start displaying scalps.**” – George W. Bush

For reference videos on the subject: <http://www.preferrednetwork.com/html/catalog.htm> .



Power, Money, Idolatry, Luciferian Satanism & The Kabbala Relationship: The Pilgrims, City of London 1902, New York 1903, Fabianism, Marxism, Monopoly Capitalism, Communism, Fascism, Nazism



The Vatican, Zionist MOSSAD, Rothschild dynasty's original mafia, Pilgrims and the Jesuits should be added above. The CIA is the Rockefeller's original mafia for external affairs while the FBI is for internal affairs (remember Cointelpro). The CIA and Masons also utilize charities and the Rotary Club as infiltrated fronts.

There are **four interconnected (Pilgrims Society) major power brotherhoods or infiltrated fronts** discussed in this book. These fronts together form the web of the New World Order (NWO) with a mix of Fabianism, Marxism and Nazism i.e.:

- The Illuminati (Nazis, Luciferians, Merovingian Bloodlines, headed by the Rothschild and Rockefeller Dynasties etc.)
- The Masons (Mystic Ancient Shrine Order of Nobles, Templars, Skull & Bones, etc.)
- The Zionists (Babylonian Talmudic Pharisees, Black Nobility, see the appendix on the Chabad-Lubavitchers, Priore de Sion or Zion, etc.)
- The Vatican (Jesuits; see the later section and appendix on the signs of the ancient occult in the Vatican and The Bank of Rome; remember The 1981 P2 Propaganda Due Scandal that was revealed in Italy, providing a Masonic link between Italian and American banking, the Vatican, the Mafia and the KGB), all working behind many other front organizations to be discussed later and detailed in the various sections and appendixes of this book.

Long ago in the dark unwritten pages of human history, powerful kings discovered how they could control other men by torture, magical practices, wars, politics, idolatrous religions and interest taking. These elite families designed strategies and tactics to perpetuate their occult practices. Layers upon layers of secrecy have hidden these families from the profane masses, but many an author has touched upon their existence. Men and women with sharp intellects like Edith Star Miller (author of Occult Theocracy) and Alexander Hislop (author of The Two Babylons) have tried to research the occult World and the connections between the different groups. Hislop's book shows that there is a continuity between the ancient occultism of the Mystery Religions and modern day religion. **I am not suggesting that everyone identified with these groups is intentionally evil. Good, evil and fooled people are found in all societies. The World masses are generally ignorant of the machinations behind these politico-religious systems, and of the identity of their masters. Also, I don't know if the Illuminati have spawned their Occult practices as red-herrings for their front organizations or to fool gullible followers. However, the Occult's real aim is to empower the elite. Note that on March 5, 1998, the BBC reported that Parliament Committee Chair Chris Mullin required all members of the British Parliament who were Masons to declare their affiliation and the decree was eventually extended to include policemen and judges (forgot to extend it to the Royal Family and Lords?). This was due to concerns that secret factions within the Masons exerted considerable control over political and financial systems and protected fellow Masons from prosecution.**


One of the bloodlines goes back to Babylon and are descendent from Nimrod. Down through the years the occult World has remained hidden from the history books. (Publishing and education have been controlled privileges.) They have ruled behind the scenes. The Mystery Religions each had their secret



councils which ruled them, and these councils themselves came under the guidance of a secret supreme Grand Council or Governing Body. The Mystery Religions in turn ruled the masses and the political leaders. The history books are full of information about the elites and the masses. Interestingly, upon very close scrutiny and examination the investigator finds that the elite have perpetuated their power for centuries, and have worked hand in glove with other elites to control the masses. When seen in better light, wars between kings no longer appear as wars between elite factions, but contrived wars to control the masses by their greedy elite masters. But who are these people? The answer may not be the answer some might expect, because power comes in many shapes and sizes. Power doesn't have to have high visibility to be active.



Witnesses of these **Satanic elites like Tom Collins, and John Todd, and David Hill** have tried to testify what they themselves saw--they each were destroyed. But the truth will not die with Tom Collins or David Hill. The truth did not go out of fashion just because John Todd was framed and dishonored by the Illuminati's henchmen. Several people from different places have confirmed that there are 13 Illuminati bloodlines. Further, several ex-Illuminati people have confirmed 13 families. David Hill, who was investigating the Illuminati, lost his life because he had been close to the inside as a high ranking Mason who worked for the Mafia. For instance, in **David's notes he writes**, "Yes, it is a fact: the Mellons, Carnegies (steel industry monopolizer), Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Dukes, Astors, Dorrances, Reynoldses, Stilimans, Bakers, Pynes, Cuilmans, Watsons, Tukes, Kleinworts, DuPonts, Warburgs, Phippses, Graces, Guggenheims, Milners, Drexels, Winthrops, Vanderbilts (railroad industry monopolizers), Whitneys, Harknesses and other super rich Illuminated families generally get along quite well with Communists, who supposedly want to take away the wealth of these men and give it to the people. Some of the Illuminati allied families if not all of them probably have intermarried somewhere with one of these bloodlines". However, this is only double talk designed to bolster the superstructure of delusion that Communists are the enemies of all Capitalists. But Communists, like the super rich families, are not the enemies of **MONOPOLY-CAPITALISM**: they are the foes of FREE ENTERPRISE." (Untitled manuscript of David Hill, p. 215.). Also, Fritz Springmeier explains in his books how the Illuminati control the World, and what some of their beliefs are, and about their secret and semi-secret organizations.



Harry Truman

I recommend Mel Gibson's movie "The Passion of Christ" to learn more about Pharisees; Yeshua-Joshua repeatedly warned about the evils of the Pharisees also more details on the next section. And please don't fall into the Vatican's trap of believing that only the Vatican knows what's right for us or into the trap of tithing.

Harry Truman in his Masonic dress. Most of the United States Presidents have been Masons; see later section on bloodlines as well.

Right is King Juan Carlos of Spain receiving the Order of the Garter in 2002, one of the highest Masonic occult initiations.



We have already seen The Masonic Great Seal of The United States in a previous section. 9-11 Numerology in Madrid Bombing: 3/11 happened 911 days after 9-11; Masonry is full of numerology. Remember Sept 11, 1990, 11 years before 9-11, Bush Sr. ushered in the NWO in his speech. WTC construction started on Sep 11.

Skull & Bones, Masonry, The KKK And A Fascination With Death And Pirate Symbolism

Bush-Bones Doctrine: "There's three things to remember: claim everything, explain nothing, deny everything." - Senator Prescott Bush (Skull & Bones 1917) The Bush family patriarch made the above statement in a 1966 interview for Columbia University's oral history project on the Eisenhower administration. Prescott Bush said that political dictum had been explained to him by Claire Boothe Luce, congresswoman, ambassador and wife of Time-Life media magnate Henry Luce (Skull & Bones 1920). From the Masons to the KKK to Skull and Bones to the Bohemian Club the trend is for a fascination with death, piracy and satanic imagery. http://www.infowars.com/print/Secret_societies/kkk_sb.htm

This old photo shows a KKK member dressed in the traditional regalia as well as another dressed in a pirate/skull and bones outfit. From the Masons to the KKK to Skull and Bones to the Bohemian Club the trend is for a fascination with death, piracy and satanic imagery.

Take, for example, this skull and bones insignia and the name of the secret society itself. George W. Bush and John Kerry are both members of this secret society (see: **SKULL AND BONES: GEORGE BUSH AND JOHN KERRY** http://www.infowars.com/print/Secret_societies/kerry_bush_sb.htm)



This bizarre, occult order meets in a building called "The Tomb" Esquire magazine reports: "The death of the initiate will be as frightful as the use of human skeletons and ritual psychology can make it..." (Esquire Magazine, "The Last Secrets of Skull and Bones", Ron Rosenbaum, p. 89) and initiates] "lay naked in coffins and tell their deepest and darkest sexual secrets as part of their initiation." While inside the Bohemian Grove in California, Alex Jones noticed repeated death and occult imagery.

Take, for instance, this picture from the hidden video he took inside Bohemian Grove. The sign, which was above the entrance to one of the camps, portrays a skull and the words "Je Suis LaFitte." Translated, that means, "I am LaFitte." (a famous pirate)



COVER OF THE PROGRAM OF RITUAL AT BOHEMIAN GROVE, IN WHICH A CHILD IS SACRIFICED AND A SNUFF FILM IS MADE OF IT.

Fritz Springmeier reports that a joint CIA/FBI group procured children for the Illuminati Bohemian Grove Network for years. Some of the children needed for programming are to be used for sacrifices to traumatize those being programmed. The names of some of the people who work at procuring children for programming/sacrifice have been released in Fritz Springmeier's monthly newsletter. The secret FEMA airstrip at Santa Rosa has planes landing and leaving all night. Some of these flights go east and then land at the secret 1800-square-mile China Lake Naval Research Base, and are believed to carry children for programming. This airstrip is called the SANTA ROSA AIR CENTER. If you tried to get FAA information on this airstrip they played stupid as if it didn't exist, yet it is in operation. Near Santa Rosa is the Bohemian Grove, southwest of Santa Rosa, is this air center which is not used by the public. This airport was recently used by private pilots as a F.B.O. It was built during W.W. II as a training base for P-32 pilots, and deactivated in 1952. After the war, it was leased to private companies (such as the CIA). The paved areas are 4' of concrete and can land the heaviest planes in use. There are no buildings over two stories in the entire area, and no control tower. The FBI have a contingent in the Federal building downtown Santa Rosa, and "FEMA" has a radio station at the airport. The Army reserve also has some buildings in the area. However, there is some highly secret activity going on underground at the airport. 6-7 small planes sneak out of the closed base a day, and for a base of its description that is closed, that is very interesting. The planes take off in the evening and do not turn their lights on until hundreds of feet into the air. The Press Democrat of Santa Rosa ran an article on Thurs., Apr. 22, 1993 about the Federal government selling 70 acres of property just

to the east of the airport. However, when one reads closely, the land is going to be offered to a host of Federal agencies <http://mercury.spaceports.com/~persewen/fritz/fritz-ch2-1.html> . The property was "being used by FEMA" (707-542-4534). If one thinks about it, it is unlikely that the radio station is a FEMA transmitter station.

The close vicinity of this secret activity to the elite's Bohemian Grove makes this an interesting site for study. The airport used for the Bohemian Grove visitors is north of Santa Rosa on hwy 101, the Sonoma County Airport. United Express and American Eagle (which flies to and from San Jose) fly into this airport, which has a control tower. In 1964, the airport was reported to have also carried about 600 military operations (either a takeoff or landing) per year. It was around this time that the Federal government made an agreement with Sonoma County Airport to help pay for the runway to be extended, the runway to be strengthened and the airport to be upgraded in numerous ways. The elite from around the World fly into here to go to the Bohemian Grove, which is in the Monte Rio area. Monarch slaves are regularly abused at the Grove for the entertainment of Bohemian Grove members in kinky sex theme rooms, such as the dark room and the necrophilia room. Secret NWO order business is conducted in the small, dark lounge with a wooden sign naming it U.N.DERGROUND. Slaves are hunted in the woods for sport, and occult rituals, including infant sacrifice, are held outdoors in the Grove. These airfields are described in detail so that the reader can begin to catch on to the network of small planes and airfields the Monarch system uses to transport children. The children are trickled in from various collection points to China Lake. Lets learn more about Masonic Illuminati symbolism. (∇) (Δ) combined in the congress are represented in by the Hexagram of the magicians Y which has nothing to do with the Prophet King David but is related to David Al Roy, a 16th Century anti-Christ and the Rothschild. More on this later.



Bush pays respect to the Hexagram, Masonic Satanic symbol falsely known as the Star of David.

Masonic judges, masonic defendants, masonic prosecutors and masonic defense lawyers use Masonic hand signs to signal to each other during court trials. The goal is to ensure that a Mason wins in court against a non-Mason. Typical signals include recognition "palm-up" signal and "raised arm palms up" high distress sign.

MASONIC HAND SIGN OF A FELLOW CRAFT: The sign of the Fellow Craft alludes to the penalty of the Fellow Craft obligation. The sign is made by cupping the right hand over the left breast, drawing it quickly across the body, then dropping the hand to the side. The penalty that the sign alludes to is "having my left breast torn open, my heart plucked out, and given to the wild beasts of the field and the fowls of the air."



Explanation of the Fellow Craft sign: The action of cupping one hand over the left breast and drawing it quickly across the body signifies the heart being ripped out if the candidate should violate his Fellow Craft obligation.

breast and drawing it quickly across the body signifies the heart being ripped out if the candidate should violate his Fellow Craft obligation.



Bill Clinton, President of the United States, Ytsak Rabin, President of Israel, King Hussein of Jordan, doing one of the Masonic handshakes. **By the way, have a look at THE CONGRESSIONAL REPORT OF 1987. THERE IS NO OTHER PARTY THAN THE MASONIC PARTY!**

Mason Albert Pike in 1871 writes his 861 page book: "Morals and Dogma of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Masonry" considered the Scottish Rite Bible. Included are statements such as: "...But, by whatever name it was known in this or the other country, Masonry existed as it now exists, the same in spirit and at heartbefore even the first colonies emigrated into Southern India, Persia, and Egypt, from the cradle of the human race [ancient Babylon]." Pg. 213 "Every Masonic Lodge is a temple of religion; and its teachings are instruction in religion." Pg.744 "All truly dogmatic religions have issued from the Kabalah and return to it: everything scientific and grand in the religious dreams of all the illuminati, Jacob Boehme, Swedenborg, Saint-Martin, and others, is borrowed from the Kabalah; all the Masonic Associations owe to it their Secrets and their Symbols." Pg. 321 "Lucifer, the Light-bearer!....Lucifer, the Son of the Morning ! Is it he who bears the Light ?....Doubt it not!" 15 August in an alleged letter to the Italian revolutionary leader Giuseppe Mazzini, Albert Pike, the leader of the Illuminati's activities in the United States and the head of Scottish Rite Masonry at the time, described a distant final war, which he felt would be necessary to usher in the New World Order. ...According to Pike, this conflict between two future superpowers would be sparked by first igniting a crisis between Islam and Judaism. He went on to write: "We shall unleash the nihilists and the atheists and we shall provoke a great social cataclysm which, in all its horror, will show clearly to all nations the effect of absolute atheism, the origin of

savagery and of most bloody turmoil. Then, everywhere, the people, forced to defend themselves against the World minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization; and the multitudes, disillusioned with Christianity,




savagery and of most bloody turmoil. Then, everywhere, the people, forced to defend themselves against the World minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization; and the multitudes, disillusioned with Christianity,



whose deistic spirits will be from that moment on without direction and leadership, anxious for an ideal but without knowledge where to send its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out into public view; a manifestation which will result from a general reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and atheism, both conquered and exterminated at the same time." A prominent Arab-Christian leader recently informed me that according to his contacts in Lebanon, King Assad of Syria and King Hussein of Jordan were both Masons. ...For at least several centuries, Jordan has been a bastion for the secret societies in the Middle East and has much more influence in the region's behind the scenes politics than most people realize.

I am not suggesting that everyone identified with these groups is intentionally evil. Good, evil and fooled people are found in all societies. The World masses are generally ignorant of the machinations behind these politico-religious systems, and of the identity of their masters. There are two types of Masons:


- **TYPE 1; A Mason who is unaware of certain facts regarding the subject.**
- **TYPE 2; A Mason who KNOWS the truth and is trying to keep the first type and the general public from realizing it. This Mason is Satanic. (ELITE Mason)**



Bush 33rd degree Official Episcopal Skull & Bones-man Masonic Ordination/Sanhedrin Sage Babylonian Talmudic/Kabbalist Law Judge in scarlet & Purple/ Bush in his Purple Priestly Grand-Master Robes (see later section on the symbolism of the Masonic hand signals)

In the minds of many, Masonry is inextricably linked to Judaism, mostly due to the Qabbalistic system of numbers (Gematria) used during the rituals and references to the Temple of Solomon, and this is not true-Torah-Judaism but rather a counterfeit Pharisaism marketed as Judaism. In reality, Masons are mostly pretend to be Christian (90 per cent in the U.S. and the majority of US presidents) although it must be said that Jewish Masons tend to be influential, and are said to include several Israeli politicians, including Benjamin Netanyahu, Rabin, Sharon. Moslem masons included King Hussein of Jordan. In 1996, a new lodge was formed in Israel, named after Sir Moses Montefiori, a prominent Mason and the brother-in-law of Nathan Rothschild. Its website reads: "The consecration (of the new lodge) took place in the quarries of Jerusalem..." From this choice of location, one can, perhaps, interpret that members of this lodge believe that the origins of Masonry are linked to the construction of Solomon's Temple.

Albert Pike in his Morals and Dogma writes: "The room of place in which they (Masons) meet, representing some part of the Temple of Solomon, is also called the lodge". Masonic Forum magazine, while profiling Isaac Grassiani, the founder of the Supreme Masonic Council of Israel, suggests that Israel is "the legendary birthplace of the Craft." Recall that Pike's letter was written in 1871. He refers to Zionism by name, yet there was no major Zionism in 1871. Nor were there major Fascists or a communist Russia. Pike's letter is no forgery, in fact it was, for a long time, on very public display. He was privy to the highest secrets of his order and he revealed them honestly. After reading Pike's revelations, one can only come to the conclusion that history is not random, it is planned. Pike's letter proves by its very terminology that, among other issues, the Holocaust of the 1940s was as manipulated as is the upcoming war to the end with Israel. Note with strong interest, that both Islam and Israel are slated for annihilation by the Illuminati. There are numerous sources exposing the Masonic game of infiltrating Judaism and Islam and Christianity to promote its demise from within. One of the most important books exposing Masonry's near-total corruption of Judaism are Rabbi Marvin Antelman's, To Eliminate The Opiate, Volumes One and Two. Below, in private correspondence, Rabbi Antelman explains how a Masonic symbol, the Star Of David was foisted on the Jews: "You ask a very significant question. The late professor Gershom Scholem stated "The hexagram is not a Jewish symbol, much less 'the symbol of Judaism.'" In my research, I came across microfilms of rare books by Rabbi Yaakov Emden exposing the Sabbatians written in the early 1750s. He reproduced satanic amulets most of which were in hexagrams and cursed the Sabbatians who created them. For a comprehensive well documented history on the Magen David, I refer you to Prof, Scholem's; The Star of David, History of a Symbol which appears in The Messianic Idea In Judaism. (Schoken,NY(1971). Kol tuv, Rabbi M.S. Antelman. You can acquire To Eliminate The Opiate both volumes by writing Rabbi Antelman directly at imp@netvision.net.il or contacting Barry Chamish at Nakhal Zohar 40/2, Modiin 71700 Israel.




Just like scientists who suspect that the four fundamental forces observed in the universe are actually manifestations of a single unified force field, I suspect that these four major power fronts are manifestations of a single evil plan emerging possibly as the Anti-Christ or Dajjal from whose serfdom & slavery only the 2nd coming of Yeshuah-Isa-Yeshua-Joshua will be able to free the masses (like Abraham's coming freed some of the slaves of Nimrod-Namrood, like Moses' coming freed the slaves from the Pharaohs, like Muhammad's coming freed the slaves of Arabia).

[The Anti-Christ Dajjal Satanists' System](#)

Here is a brief outlook on the Anti-Christ System which will be the most evil, deceitfully covered-up, corrupt and satanic force in history. The Anti-Christ or Dajjal or New World Order (NWO) system will promote mass corruption, immorality, idol satanic-worship, usury (whose enslaving power will be explained in detail in this book), intoxication, crime, rape, injustice, oppression, fanaticism, wars, famine, massacres, and suffering on an

immeasurable scale.



Most important positions in the United Nations, the EEC, the British parliament and United States government are held by people who are high-ranking masons or otherwise agents of the New World Order. For example, Masonry has something in the region of 700,000 members in England and Wales only (about 6 million World-wide), yet the British public hardly knows anything about them. Masons secretly worship a Devil god, known as JAHBULON (see pages 230-240 of the International best selling book on Masonry "The Brotherhood", by Stephen Knight or the "Satanic Voices", by David. M. Pidcock). It may also surprise you to know that most Christian organizations are infiltrated by Masonic institutions. About 60% of the Archbishops are Masons and secretly practice Devil-worship (see above mentioned books). If you want to know if a church is being used as a Masonic-Temple, then look on the stained glass windows for a Masonic symbol such as a snake and a dagger or a hexagram or pyramids. Note that on the private Federal Reserve Dollar \$ bill, the Great Seal of The United States' Masonic pyramid is shown with the Latin words "Novus Ordo Seclorum"- translated as NEW SECRET ORDER. Let's place this in an historical perspective. After Prophet Noah's Flood, secret societies arose in Babylon through the knowledge transplanted by Ham (Noah's second son), whose son, Cush (also known as Hermes), claimed to be the interpreter of the gods. Cush was the original ring leader who led the people away from the one true God and as the "interpreter of the gods" enticed them to take another form of religion. Cush, was the father of the idolatrous-polytheistic and trinitarian systems, so when men were deified by men, he became Bel-Baal-Sun god whom the Romans called Janus and Chaos, the father of the gods (guess why Sunday or Sun-god-day is a weekly holiday!). He is pictured as having two faces and he carried a club by which he confounded and "scattered" the people. See later sections for full details on the ancient Sun god.

From among Noah's third son Japheth-Yefeth's descendants are the Pharisees, the converted AshkeNAZI fake Khazarian Jews and the Gog & Magog barbaric phallic-worshipping Turkic tribes; they are mostly **today's Zionists or non-Israelite Jews or Babylonian Talmudists or Pharisees** (full details in the next section on anti-Semitism where it will be shown that **Zionists are the real anti-Semites** who pretend to be Biblical Jews but are really occultists!). A fourth son, Yam or Kanaan, perished in the flood along with Noah's wife. Thus, four millennia ago, in Sumerian times after Noah's ancient flood in Mesopotamia, humanity again were stooped to polytheism and moral degeneration in the helm of material progress. Cush encouraged the masses to build the tower of Babel (Sun-god Baal or Bel) which his son Nimrod (Namrood) actually built later. This encouragement brought the confusion and division among men, so that he was both "interpreter and confuser". The word "Babylon" translates as "confusion" in English. The roots of Wiccan idolatry are also set in this ancient age; Wicca, or Witchcraft, is the most popular expression of the religious movement known as **Neo-Paganism (nature or self-worship)**, which, according to the Institute for the Study of American Religion, is the fastest growing religion in the United States. Its practitioners are reviving ancient goddess Pagan (the 5-pointed star or pentacle is the symbol of Venus; Venus' 4 year-cycle is reflected in the Olympics and its 5 circles on the Olympic flag; May day to celebrate nature's regeneration) practices and beliefs of pre-Christian Europe and adapting them to contemporary life. This can be traced all the way back to Nimrod's wife Semiremis. A descendant from Prophet Noah's first son, monotheistic Abraham confronted Nimrod over idolatry. Abraham is the ancestor to a number of Sem-itic (descendants of Sem-Shem Noah's first son) Middle-Eastern Arabs and Israelites, and has religious significance for three major World religions (Judaism, Christianity, and Islam).

So, four thousand years ago in Sumerian times (after Noah's flood and during the live of Prophet Abraham), the masses worshipped the sun, the moon and the stars. They prayed to idols built of wood and stone and made many offerings for them, including offerings to Moloch. The priests and druids enjoyed a prominent place in society. They commanded respect among their followers. They were well paid. The poor people and slaves were ruled by the chiefs and priests, and were subjected to their cruelty and injustice. Secret societies were initiated since then. The history of the past 2,000 years is the record of warfare between the successors of Babylon, Rome and Israel in their rivalry for World hegemony. Genuine Christians, Muslims, Israelites and Atheists are despised, rejected and ridden-over rough shod by these secret societies. The Masonic Khazars were behind the instigations that led to the ancient Crusades (see the section on fake terror) and are behind the renewed empire building events. Thus, in summary, the Illuminist or Satanic conspiracy reorganized after Prophet Noah's Flood with the secret societies that arose in Babylon through the forbidden knowledge transplanted by

Ham, whose son, Cush (also known as Hermes), claimed to be the interpreter of the gods, as described above. We may call these Luciferians Illuminati (meaning "illuminated ones"), a name that describes the followers of Lucifer, who was "the sum of wisdom and beauty" (Ezekiel 28:11). Satanists believe that Lucifer's rebellion against God had its first manifestation in the Garden of Eden where he "illuminated" Eve, causing the fall.

The Bible (Torah & New Testament) and the Babylonian Talmud (the book of fake-Jews, Pharisees) portray Rome and the "Pharisees" as mortal enemies. What the protagonists do not realize is that they are each ruled by the same masters under Satan-Lucifer-Illuminatis, and are brought into planned conflict in order to produce a predetermined outcome ultimately intended to destroy and demoralize God-worshipping groups and their beliefs. **Pharisees** were behind the murders and attempted murders of some of the descendants of Abraham who were Prophets and Messengers of God, were behind turning the Israelites towards pagan (nature or self-) worship of the calf after collecting their gold, were behind the drive to crucify Yeshua-Joshua when he started opposing their evils of usury and occultism, were behind the creation of the hate literature known as the Babylonian Talmud, were behind establishing the Bank of Rome's tight control over Europe, were behind the mediaeval Crusades, the private central banks such as the Federal Reserve, and are behind today's barbaric Crusades!

The Temple site in Jerusalem (until the advent of the Prophet King David in 1004 B.C., Jerusalem's name was Jebus or Quds and was inhabited by the Jebusites, a Canaanite tribe; Zion or Sion or Sun was the name given to a Jebusite fortress located on a hill in south eastern Jerusalem which was the site of the Prophet King Solomon-Sulaiman's temple) are important in the plans to create a World Super Government run from Jerusalem in an enlarged State of Israel, extending from the Nile in the West and to the Euphrates in the East, because Masons of all ranks and degrees have duped some Christians and Jews into working towards this goal in the belief that it is sanctioned with divine authority. Nothing could be further from the truth. According to the Regus Manuscript and the Halliwell poem at The Grand Lodge of England, the original spiritual home of Satanic Masonry was, until the early part of the 19th century, the Tower of Babel in Babylon (near Baghdad) not the Temple at Jerusalem, and its first Grand Master was its builder Nimrod (Namrood) - and definitely not King Solomon. **The Zionists** knew that Christians and Orthodox Jews would never support a movement that held Nimrod and the Tower of Babel in such high regard, so through the age old process which I call Generic Engineering they switched all the names and thus disguised their real intention, which was to expel the true believers in the God of Yeshua-Joshua, Abraham, Ishmael, Isaac, Jacob and the tribes of Israel by installing the forces of Anti-God and Anti-Christ in their place.

[Illuminati Tactics of Deceptions](#)

This tactic is explained in "Hegelian Dialectics and Conspiracy": As we examine the philosophy behind national and international affairs, we discover it has a common purpose and source in every major nation... Even the Communist take-over in China was managed by the same super-rich elite who masterminded and financed Fascism, Nazism, and the Communist takeover of Russia (at the expense of taxpayers in America and other Western nations), hence every Chinese salutes the red star of Illuminism-Zionism. America betrayed Chiang Kaishek (a 33 Degree Mason grand-master) in 1948 and switched support to the Chinese Communists via Communist Russia. And on January 31st 1949, Communists in American tanks rolled into Peking. The mass murderer, Chairman Mao (with Zionist "voluntary advisers" behind him), and his terrible Trotskyist experiments reached a frightening perfection in Pol Pot's Khmer Rouge Cambodia (in co-operation with Sir Henry Kissinger and the US Office of Population Affairs). Similarly the United States helped Adolf Hitler and Saddam Hussein and the Taliban.

The wealthy Illuminatus, **David Rockefeller**, described Mao's reign of terror as "one of the most important and successful in human history" (The New York Times, August 10th 1973). Since then, the Lenin style reigns of terror have happened in several places and now we have the people behind Bolshevism doing it to the Palestinians, Afghanis, the Iraqis, and most likely will be spreading this to more Middle Eastern countries. **Amschel Mayer Rothschild** financed the Illuminati, commissioning Adam Weishaupt in the late 1700s to update the Alumbrado, (an earlier form of Illuminati-ism whence the Ignatious Loyola spawned the Jesuits) to establish "Illuminist" World hegemony. Illuminatus Albert Pike outlined plans to accomplish this objective in an alleged letter to Guiseppe Mazzini, head of the World Revolutionary Movement. This letter dated August 15th 1871, was once catalogued in the British Museum Library and it was copied by William Guy Carr, former Intelligence Officer in the Royal Canadian Navy but seems to have "disappeared" and the British Library has said in writing that such a document has never been in their possession. in Carr's book, Satan, Prince of this World, Carr includes the following footnote "The Keeper of Manuscripts recently informed the author that this letter is NOT catalogued in the British Museum Library. It seems strange that a man of Cardinal Rodriguez's knowledge should have said that it WAS in 1925". It appears that Carr learned about this letter from Cardinal Caro y Rodriguez of Santiago, Chile, who wrote The Mystery of Masonry Unveiled. Even if the letter is a forgery, it still predicts what is happening, just like the Protocols.




Albert Pike was an American Boston-born masonic grandmaster of the 19th century. Unlike others of his cult, he was less than secretive and recorded the master plan in his writings. He was a linguist who rose to Grand Commander of North American Masonry from 1859-1891 and authored a Masonic handbook called The Morals and Dogma of the Ancient and Accepted Rite of Masonry. In this, **Pike explains** how the true meaning behind the symbols of Masonry must be kept from ordinary Masons: "Their true explication is reserved for the Adepts, the Princes of Masonry..." Pike's plan was ingeniously simple. The inherent antagonism between different ideologies and religions was to be stirred up and made to explode in three World wars and three revolutions. The First World War was to destroy the

three European empires, at that time being the last remaining bulwarks against Illuminism. One of these (Russia) was to be made a centre of atheist totalitarianism (Communism). The Second World War was to erupt from heightened tensions between the Jewish race (as Zionism) and extreme European nationalism (Nazism and Fascism). This war would weaken Europe economically and politically and Communism would expand and become as strong as all Christendom, but not stronger, until the time was up for the final destruction of society to bring the Masonic order out of the chaos. A third reason for the Second World War was to create a Zionist-Illuminati state in Palestine. Gradually, it would be possible to thereby heighten the tensions between Judaism and Islam until they broke out in a war which would bring in all of the World powers.


The first two revolutions, which would aid this carefully planned dissolution of all human civilization, were the Russian and the Chinese. If the plans of the international banker/Illuminati cabal were to be brought to fruition, Russia, Germany, Japan and the United States would have to be brought to their knees in unconditional surrender, poverty and ignominy (Des Griffin, "Descent Into Slavery", Emissary Publications, 1984, p. 38-40). The reader will realize that most of this evil plan has already become reality. **Concerning the last stage, General Pike wrote the following:** "We shall unleash the Nihilists and Atheists and provoke a formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and of the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the World minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment on be without compass (direction), anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out in the public view, a manifestation which will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and atheism, both conquered and exterminated at the same time." (Juri Lina, "Under the Sign of the Scorpion", Referent Publishing, Stockholm, 1998, p. 48-49). It is not unconceivable to think that lists of people to be eliminated are currently being compiled and we have already seen many high profile people from all walks of life taken out (see samples in the appendix on Timely Deaths for the New World Order). Hopefully, we will be able to navigate through our lives clearly and will avoid being fooled by the forces of the New World Order as a result of some of the knowledge provided in this book. I have no idea if the Illuminati and their collaborators are practicing the ancient Occults because they actually believe in its power, or because they find it fun or because it will distract others or because it will help them recruit followers who will obey them or because they hate God's guidance like Satan did! **In any case, their real power now lies in the control of the banking systems and the issuance of money with which they can buy just about anyone and anything as you will see later. I am not suggesting that everyone identified with these groups is intentionally evil. Good, evil and fooled people are found in all societies. The World masses are generally ignorant of the machinations behind these politico-religious systems, and of the identity of their masters. Also, I don't know if the Illuminati have spawned their Occult practices as red-herrings for their front organizations or to fool gullible followers. However, the Occult's real aim is to empower the elite.**

[Our Occultist Elites](#)

Below is a picture of World leaders performing occult worship of Moloch at the Bohemian Grove in Northern California summer of 2000 (photo taken by Alex Jones, please view the video clip "Dark Secrets" on the CD). The giant statue of the **Babylonian Owl of Wisdom Lilith** is hidden in the background. The Federal Reserve dollar note has a symbol of this owl as shown on the cover page of this book and below. For more information on the Masonic occult symbols on the Federal Reserve dollar note and about the Great Seal of the United States, please see the later sections and appendixes in this book. This image from Page 131 Annals of the Bohemian Club (vol 7), 1987-1996, shows the **ancient Caananite occult**




Lakeside 1991:
Helmut Schmidt



ritual, the "Cremation of Care, being carried out by World leaders in 1990 in Northern California. This is an image from their own internal document. It is the Bohemian Club Quasiquintennial, celebrating the 125th anniversary of the founding. It is an internal Club publication, and only around 200 were issued to elite members. The photos you will see below document the elite membership from George w. Bush and his Father, George H. W.

Bush, Richard Nixon, Jimmy Carter, Helmut Schmidt and other Illuminaries.






You will also see new, exclusive images from the occult Cremation of Care ceremony. More pictures of World leaders below. From page 243, the picture below shows (in 1991) German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt addresses the elite of the World at a lakeside talk. Helmut Schmidt, in his own autobiography, "Men and Powers, a Political Retrospective, says that he is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission and the Bilderberg



group. He also says that he has been an active participant in bringing in World government. Mr. Schmidt also said in his book that leaders from globalist bodies travel to the Grove every summer. He talks about secret groves in Germany where they do druidic rituals, but indicates that Bohemian Grove is his favorite place to participate in rituals.

At left you see a smug George W. Bush with his father, Bush Senior (taken in 1995) giving what they call a "lakeside chat" at the Grove. This page also shows Newt Gingrich, another member. The talks are given no less than a dozen yards from the stone idol where "mock" human sacrifices take place. This is the same idol you see featured above in the Cremation of Care ceremony photo; Also, Conservatives and liberals frolicking together at the occult compound. Jack Kemp on the far left and Jimmy Carter on the right. (pg. 133); Richard Millhouse Nixon on the far left with Art Linkletter, and of the far right "the most trusted man in America, Walter Cronkite"; and another page depicting the Cremation of Care ceremony at the base of a giant owl idol from 1992; the owl symbol depicted on the US dollar Federal Reserve Note.



Left is the British Museum's £1.5m "beauty" Lilith, "Queen of the Night" between the Owls.

<http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/%7Ehumm/Topics/Lilith/>



Lilith is the most important of a small collection of named female demons in Babylonian Talmudic legend. She is actually older than Judaism defined as a post-restoration phenomenon. Her earliest appearance is probably in ancient Sumer. She appears once in the Bible (Isaiah), in a context that associates her with demons of the desert, and again in some Dead Sea Scroll passages clearly based on the Isaiah reference. We see more of her in late Roman/early medieval Babylonian Talmudism. What he is trying to pass off as history is that the occult underground are actually the true followers of Yeshua-Joshua, and that they are preserving his descendants, from which is to emerge the great "King" of the Protocols, who, as Messiah, or more properly, the anti-Christ, is to rule the World. Ultimately, she is Lilith, and part of their One-World-Religion agenda is their promotion of their regard for the "rights" of women, to disguise their actual subversion of humanity, through the destruction of the balance which ought naturally to exist between the role of a man and a woman. I just wanted to add, that Lilith is Lucifer. In the occult, their god is of a dual androgynous nature, represented by both male and female. The male is the dying-god, whose death and resurrection are celebrated annually, while the goddess is his mother, but also his sister and spouse. The dying-god is symbolized by the Sun and the bull, while the goddess is the planet Venus, whose original Latin name is Lucifer. Lilith

represents the darker aspects of the god, or the "black goddess", for whom evil sacrifices are performed. The Wisdom of Solomon is the central document of the Kabbalistic tradition. It is a love poem representing dedications between a "loved" and "beloved". It is interpreted by Kabbalists to represent a love relationship between God and the Jewish people, who are in exile, and long to be returned to their loved-one. The female aspect here is known as the Shekhina, who also represents the Jewish people. As the female "Wisdom", she is also known by the Greek word "Sophia". In Europe, the cult of the black virgin was pervasive, where she was understood esoterically to represent Lilith. This cult was related to the Cathar heresy, itself derived from the influence of the Kabbalah in the late twelfth century AC. The Cathars were also related to the Templars, the



great disseminators of the occult tradition in the West. It was the Templars who had been responsible for discovering ancient texts beneath the Temple of Jerusalem during the mediaeval Crusades that set off the great revival of the Kabbalah in southern France, in the city of Narbonne. It also happens that Narbonne was the seat of a "King of the

Jews", who claimed descent from the line of David. From it was to be descended the Messiah. It appears also that this line intermarried with the French aristocracy, from which point the Jewish symbol of the Lilly becomes the symbol of French royalty. It is no less than the Zohar itself, the great Kabbalistic text of the period, which notes that the meaning of the lilly, with which the beloved is compared in the Song of Solomon, is the symbol of the "Jewish Congregation". The Templars were also supposedly protectors of a "sacred" bloodline, known historically as the Holy Grail. This lineage is to be of dual Jewish and Merovingian descent. However, this was an invention, because their relationship with the Merovingians was only established through their intermarriage with the French aristocracy. Nevertheless, the legend was invented that it was Yeshua-Joshua himself who had initiated this lineage, through his marriage to Mary Magdalene. But, Yeshua-Joshua was a great reformer, and a pronounced opponent of the deviations of the Kabbalah. The account is not to be taken literally. Rather, Mary Magdalene was used merely as a Gnostic symbol, in the form of a "black goddess", to represent Lilith. (see David Livingstone, author of The Dying God: The Hidden History of Western Civilization.) She appears frequently on prophylactic magical bowls and is associated with childbirth as a threat, and perhaps also as a succubus against which men need protection. She shows up in the Talmud, and is linked with the demonic World. She represents the feminine dark side that men subliminally fear. Notice the Goddess Lilith depicted as an own on the US Federal Reserve \$ note.



Excerpt from an article By Dr. Henry Makow Ph.D. July 25 2004 <http://www.savethemales.ca/000522.html>

Are Our Leaders Criminals and Satanists? Cloak and Dagger Internet Radio says you can add "murderers, traitors and liars" to this list as well. Each week host Nelson Thall ("Lenny Bloom") and regular guests, Tom Henighan [a friend and advisor of Al Gore] and Sherman Skolnick "uncover the cover-ups." [their program had to be shifted from the MOJO Radio Toronto show to the Internet due to pressure from Bush associates; **It was former Vice-President Al Gore's office that advised The Cloak that President Bush personally told Prime Minister Paul Martin at their meeting in Monterrey, Mexico that "there would be no oil concessions until that loudmouth in Toronto is off the air." told Martin to get The Cloak and Dagger show off the air**"] A typical show last week revealed that President George W.




Bush ("Bush-fraud") was involved in a satanic mass murder in 1984 in Brownsville TX in which 17 cult members were slain [see graphic photos at Cathy O'Brien and Mark Phillips' classic "Trance-formation of America" which exposes the true depravity of our leaders; a warning, It is very graphic and upsetting]. During the 2000 election campaign, reporters confronted Dubya and he didn't deny it. Apparently Dubya's teeth marks were found on one of the victims but Daddy Bush, a practicing satanist himself, got it covered up. [last year, Margie Schoedinger who had filed charges against Bush for rape was found dead, supposedly suicide <http://fp.enter.net/~haney/jt112203-2.htm>]. Gradually people are recognizing that mankind has been swindled on a cosmic scale by a satanic cult headquartered in the City of London. Is it really so unbelievable that mankind's moral development would not be in the interests of the financial

elite and that they would take elaborate steps to control, stunt and even destroy us? Is it so unbelievable that they would adopt a perverse ideology to rationalize their Rule of Evil? This is a war of consciousness and satanists control the big guns: the weapons of mass communications. The resistance, which believes man's duty is to express his Divine not lower nature, has one weapon, the Internet.



Many leaders are guilty of depravities that would discredit them if widely known. This is their Achilles' heel. Recently, Tom Henighan reported that shocking information is contained in Kerry's divorce records, and is used to blackmail and control him. John Kerry ("Cash and Carry") has been filmed in a snuff porn video with underage girls in England. Henighan, a friend and advisor of Al Gore, with Intelligence connections, says Kerry is an agent of British Intelligence (MI-6). Not only are both Presidential candidates members of a secret satanic society, the Skull and Bones, they are related as well! Kerry is a third cousin of George W. Bush on his mother's side. The illusion of democracy is sustained by the elite controlled news media and the phenomena is similar to the way people are attracted to the WWF wrestling entertainment knowing full well that the matches are rigged and both men work for the same bosses. Cloak and Dagger reported for months that Canada Steamship Lines owned by Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin's family was smuggling cocaine. On July 1,2004, authorities found \$13 million worth attached to the hull of one of his ships. The media stated that smugglers had secreted their stash without the company's knowledge. Would this excuse work if it were found on your car? Henighan says John Edwards made his reputation as an injury lawyer by bribing his juries. Edwards's agenda is to expose Kerry's improprieties and bump him. Jay Rockefeller will become VP. Henighan is passionate in denouncing the political elite as "filth." His indignation, so natural yet so rare, is refreshing and inspiring to hear. He says the US media is "the enemy of the American people" and he has the dirt on many news celebrities. He calls Al Gore the "elected and uninaugurated" President of the United States and says the 2000 election was "stolen."



Apparently thousands of black voters (Gore supporters) were prevented from voting by the Florida governor, Dubya's brother Jeb, They were pronounced "felons" and removed from the voter's lists.


Much of this skullduggery is supported by top-secret documents posted on the cloak and dagger site. Henighan maintains that there is a war raging within the US government between the Bush-Clinton-Kerry "fascist-criminal-cabal" and those who want to bring them to justice for complicity in the 9-11 attack. Henighan says Sandy Berger [Burglar] was caught removing National Archives documents [in his underwear]that would implicate him and accomplices in the millions of

dollars made from put options purchased on airline and insurance stocks before the 9-11 attacks. The Cloak and Dagger show is on Sunday through Tuesday at 9 p.m. E.S.T. The last program replays for 24 hours. You can subscribe to their archives for \$20 for six month. I also recommend the veteran Resistance Voices: the Jeff Rense program and Alex Jones's show. Jones recently had an interview with John DeCamp who exposed the pedophile sex ring in the Reagan-Bush White House [The Franklin Affair http://sf.indymedia.org/news/2002/10/1538670_comment.php]. [a documentary is also available on the Clinton drug laundering Mena affair in Arkansas] [Rense's archive](#) contains invaluable interviews with experts like Webster Tarpley, Tim Rifat and Joan Veon. His program is always inspiring. Crime and depravity are the elite's soft underbelly. Let's support these courageous shows and spread the word. The elite depends on our credulity and docility. If we can expose them, they cannot govern. If we insist on the law, we can put them in jail where they belong.



[Who Owns The Neo-Priests of the Major Media & the Voting Machines Programs? \(check out tvnewslies.org\)](#)

Wars have been fought and depressions have been caused in the battle over who issues the money; however the majority of us are not aware of this, largely due to the fact that the winning side becomes and increasingly continues to be a vital and respected member of our global society, having an influence over large aspects of our lives including our education, our media, our elections and our governments. **Half the truth = one BIG LIE!** Let's see briefly how the press spins the news and covers up or hides the truth. Storm, a CBS news reporter asked Michael Moore about his film being propaganda; he said, "No. I consider the CBS Evening News propaganda. Why don't we talk about the news on this and the other networks that didn't do the job they should have done at the beginning of this war, demanded the evidence, asked the hard questions. We may not have even gone into this war, had these networks done their job. I mean, it was a great disservice to the American people because we depend on people who work here and the other networks to go after those in power and say, 'Hey, wait a minute. You want to send our kids off to war? We want to know where the weapons of mass destruction are. Let's see the proof. Let's see the proof Saddam Hussein had something to do with Sept. 11.' There was no proof and everybody got embedded and everybody rolled over and everybody knows that now," he says.



"The enormous gap between what US leaders do in the World and what Americans think their leaders are doing is one of the great propoganda accomplishments of the dominant political mythology.": **Michael Parenti political scientist, author.**

"A slave is he who cannot speak his thoughts.": **Euripides**

"Search for the truth is the noblest occupation of man; its publication is a duty.": **Anne Louise Germaine de Stael - (1766-1817) French author**

Storm notes the one thing that journalists try to do is present both sides of the story and "it could be argued you did not do that in this movie." "I certainly didn't. That's right. I present my side," Moore says. "Because my side, that's the side of millions of Americans, rarely gets told. And so this is just a humble plea on my behalf, not to you personally, Hannah, but I'm saying to journalists in general that instead of working so hard to tell both sides of the story, why don't you just tell that one side, which is the administration's. Why don't you ask them the hard questions?" Moore points out at the beginning of the war there was a lot of "cheerleading going on. A lot of cheerleading that didn't do the public any good to have journalists standing in front of the camera going whoopy-do, let's all go to war. It's not their kids going to war. It's not the children of the news executives going to war." The film is not just an attack on the president and his policy, it also




points out how the networks failed at the beginning of the war, Moore says. Perhaps his next film should be on the networks, Storm suggests. "I know. I think I should do that," Moore responded. Note that Moore stayed away from exposing the CIA/Mossad as the planners and controllers behind 9-11 which we hope will be his next task. He unfortunately missed the point that Saudi Arabia had nothing to do with 9-11 and it was rather the CIA/Mossad who had a lot to do with 9-11. As an example of press influence, notice how the press focused on whether John O'Neill betrayed Bush when he revealed the Bush ocean of lies instead of focusing on the fact that Bush lied and is still lying to everyone in a bid to launch the Illuminati wars!

Or notice how suddenly congresswoman Cynthia McKinney was out-voted in 2002 after

questioning the official 9-11 fairy tale, exposing Zionist terror, exposing the use of small bombs dropped on Afghanistan that looked like food packets (picture below), and after exposing the long term harmful effects of depleted uranium bombs? Or notice how when in 1988 Democratic Presidential Gary Hart made an anti-Illuminati comment on McNeil Lehrer News Hour that the USA had to quit doing everything that Israel wanted and three weeks later or so, the Big Media came down on Hart like a ton of bricks and exposed his alleged but never proven affair with Donna Rice (however improper association was proven when Donna Rice turned up in a picture sitting on Hart's lap on a party boat named the "Monkey Business.") Hart was targeted to go down in 1988 after he made one independent comment against the criminals running the state fraudulently being called Israel, -- so the big media OBSESSIVELY covered this Donna Rice incident until Hart's fund raising dried up and he had to drop out. This is not a defense of Hart; I'm just using this example to show how the Illuminatis running the media work when compared with the next example. And in 1992, when Bill Clinton (zipper-gate) had already been tapped to be "elected" President, ACTUAL AUDIOTAPES produced by his long time mistress, Gennifer Flowers, - tapes which proved Clinton's longstanding affair with her - were ridiculed to death by this same national media. They ridiculed it only as a last resort once they couldn't suppress it thanks to Flowers' dramatic press conference on the eve of the 1992 New Hampshire primary. Don Hewitt of "60 Minutes" had Bill and Hillary Clinton on that next weekend to provide a PRETEXT for Clinton's imminent "comeback" via "computer counted" elections on Super Tuesday (see the section on computer election frauds later and note that the Rothschild and Rockefeller groups of companies own the companies that manufacture voting machines systems). In response to Secretary of State Powell's comments on Putin's election and alleged irregularities in the Russian election, you may recall that Putin's response was "Don-t tell me about elections and democracy; remember Florida before you speak". Of course, both represent competing mafias. Another example about what the media is pushing on the election as a whole: Alan Lichtman, top Washington Pollster and always an entertaining interview, said to Joe Scarborough on MSNBC on December 15, 2003, that W. Bush already had the 2004 Election won (!) - that the economy and such things as the capture of Saddam and the perception that Bush can fight the War on Terror means that W. Bush can't be beat. Lichtman pontificated that the twists and turns on the campaign trail do not determine an election. This will be news to most people - BUT, whether he knows it or not, Lichtman is absolutely correct - the SECRET programs which tell the election computers what to do - are controlled by a few people serving the Illuminati Masonic Elites, by the Rothschilds and the Rockefellers companies.

The Rockefellers invite us to die for Standard Oil Monopoly!

Whenever you see or hear the phrase "War on Terror", substitute: "War on the Illuminati's or Israel's enemies" (you will see later that Israel is a Moloch State of the Illuminati). Thanks for Michael Hoffman at <http://www.hoffman-info.com> for that insight. And the war on the Illuminati's enemies means a war not only on Palestinians and Arab states, but also a war on American citizens who oppose the Illuminati's agenda; a number of Americans, such as Congressman Jim Traficant, David Duke, etc, are sitting in jail or on the sidelines RIGHT NOW, -- not for any sins or transgressions they may or may not have committed -- but for opposing this agenda in one way or another. Many others have supposedly committed suicide or have been murdered. At least six United States Presidents have been murdered in the past. Incidentally, whenever you see or hear the phrase "War on Terror", substitute: "War on the Illuminati's or Israel's enemies" (you will see later that Israel is a Moloch State of the Illuminati). Thanks for Michael Hoffman at <http://www.hoffman-info.com> for that insight. And the war on the Illuminati's enemies means a war not only on Palestinians and Arab states, but also a war on American citizens who oppose the Illuminati's agenda; a number of Americans, such as Congressman Jim Traficant, David Duke, etc, are sitting in jail or on the sidelines RIGHT NOW, -- not for any sins or transgressions they may or may not have committed -- but for opposing this agenda in one way or another. Many others have supposedly committed suicide or have been murdered. At least six United States Presidents have been murdered in the past.



So we are witnessing a repeat of the tactics used in year 2000. From January 2000 until Election Day 2000, the 5 Big TV Networks emphasized day after day after day that it was a "neck and neck" race between Bush and Gore, Bush and Gore, Bush and Gore, Bush and Gore. The purpose of that Big Media coordination was to preempt the 3rd party effort of Pat Buchanan before it could get off the ground ("I've got to vote for for Bush, we CAN'T have Gore.")



Left: A Masonic Order of The Skull & Bones, York Rite, Ritual. Both Bush & Kerry are high-degree members.

Well, as we said in 2000, Bush is Gore and Gore is Bush. And now Bush is Kerry and Kerry is Bush. All these Big Media chosen "contenders" seem real fearless when they talk about this or that, but they are all very obedient to the Illuminati State, Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and the Ruling Elite of the Illuminati Masonry behind him. Both Bush and Kerry are comrades from the York Rite of

Masonry of the Skull & Bones order. Things aren't much different in other countries. In Canada both the Prime Minister Paul Martin and the opposition leader Stephen Harper are members of the Bilderberg tyrants' club. In the UK, Tony Blair is a Bilderberger, etc.

The 2004 Democratic presidential primary campaign provided a textbook example of how a genuine movement of popular protest against the policies of the ruling elite-the mass opposition to Bush's invasion of Iraq-could be channeled within the two-party system and politically emasculated. The stage is now set for a presidential election contest between two representatives of the American political establishment, Kerry and George W. Bush, who have no fundamental differences. In a country of nearly 300 million people, with a complex and increasingly polarized social structure, the political choice offered in November will be to decide which Yale-educated



scion of a wealthy family will govern the country. On the most burning issue, the war in Iraq, Kerry's differences with Bush are purely tactical. He opposes demands for the withdrawal of American troops from the occupied country and calls for the commitment of whatever military forces and resources are required to crush the Iraqi resistance. Ralph Nader is getting ready to run as a third part candidate for the Green Party. This year, Nader will be given reasonable coverage. Such was NOT the case in 1996! Why? In 1996, Billy Jeff Clinton was "anointed" to



win by the Illuminati nobility - so Ralph Nader's 3rd Party candidacy was given VERY, VERY, VERY scant coverage. He was NOT to split Clinton's vote, who was slated to win in 1996. Clinton was in that period, for reasons unbeknownst to him, turning the entire US government over to the Illuminati Zionist Supremacists who were then setting the stage for the "War on Terror", i.e., the Illuminati Masonic push for their New World Order Tyranny. In 2000, it was quite a different story. Nader got TONS of coverage in his 3rd Party run - because in that year he was to SPLIT Al Gore's vote in order to make believable the "election" of the "anointed" George W. Bush. In sharp contrast - Coverage of Patrick Buchanan's 3rd Party run was COMPLETELY CENSORED by ABC, CBS, and NBC nightly news from January 1, 2000 to Election day, 2000 - with the exception of one night in August when there was a pre-arranged Big Media coverage of a very contrived incident acted out by Ross Perot's people at the Reform Party Convention -- to make the Reform Party in which Buchanan was seeking the nomination -- look like it was in complete disarray. WHY was Buchanan virtually blacked out of Big Media News coverage in 2000? Because he was NOT to be allowed to split W. Bush's vote - as W. Bush was picked to win. (by the way, J. Edwards was selected to be VP at the Bilderberg Club meeting June 2004 in Italy)

Please, will someone stop Bush and the Illuminati war machine animating him!

The reason the Ruling Elites want Bush from 2004 to 2008 is because the premier war machine is already in place, and they don't want any change in continuity. This turn of events regarding "Dean or Kerry to be set up as losers" signals that the power in the White House has made a deal to continue the war mongering of Paul Wolfowitz and the Israeli Lobby against more Arab states - and against the freedoms of the American people. This may change after the June 2004 Bilderberg club meeting in Italy where the elites will make the major decisions of who to bring in. As stated above, those directing Bush have already had him instruct all local draft boards in the USA to be ready for action by June 2005. If this scheme fails, then the other candidate John Kerry is also their man. It's all in the family not matter who wins! Meanwhile, as also stated above, dork and modern day Orc, retired General Tommy Franks has flown a trial balloon for the New World Order: He said about a few months ago that if there is a 2nd terrorist attack using "weapons of mass destruction" - then the US Constitution would likely be scrapped for a military government (read: police state). Well, if THIS MESSAGE doesn't reach a large enough audience with how to ACT properly now - then there WILL be a 2nd terrorist attack on a mass scale involving the deaths of probably tens of thousands of US Citizens within a few hours, -- perpetrated by CIA-MOSSAD evil-doers, just like 9-11. I remember when Victor Ostrovsky's first book (ex-Mossad agent) came out, I totally lost interest in buying it after listening to a radio review where the host misleadingly said that there was nothing special in it. Recently, I read a few extracts from it about how Mossad fooled Reagan into bombing Libya; Victor's book really did have blockbuster information! Now we further learn from a German TV documentary that the 1986 Berlin Disco bombing was carried out by CIA operatives and was framed against Libya (please read the sections on Fake Terror and Election Frauds for further details).



In the words of Winston Churchill: "When you are winning a war almost everything that happens can be claimed to be right and wise." (Maybe like installing puppet governments in Afghanistan and Iraq who will sell out their national wealth to fill the pockets of the Illuminati banking dynasties and their enforcers!)

Another example of the ruling elites' influence over the media is the constant and repeating floods of accusations that Palestinians should stop violence. Why doesn't the media focus instead continuously on the Zionist acts of terror perpetrated against the Palestinians since the early 1900s when Palestine was under British occupation with the plan to drive the Palestinians out of Palestine, especially Shamir & Begin who were wanted terrorists and later on became Zionist Prime Ministers? Or why doesn't the medial focus endlessly on the fact that European Zionists invaded Palestine rendering millions destitute and homeless? I guess the Zionists don't want any competition with their Holocaust Industry! And you

guessed it, its some Rothschild and Rockefeller companies that control the software on the voting machines! Their person of choice gets to win the elections this way.

The Temple of Satan

Jesus (Yeshua in Hebrew, Issa in Arabic, and Joshua in English, but erroneously translated as Jesus in current English) addressed the Church of Smyrna in Rev. 2:9 **"I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the Synagogue of Satan."**


By the way, the Hebrew and Arabic languages are cousin languages just like descendants of Isaac and Ishmael are genetic cousins; both languages are right to left, with similar pronounced characters; see tables below. The earliest known alphabet was the North-Semitic around 1700 B.C. in Palestine and Syria, consisted of 22 consonants. Arabic, Hebrew and Phoenician are based on this Aramaic script; Aramaic is the language Jesus-Yeshua spoke. Below is a table of Hebrew and Arabic characters.

Modern Roman	A	B	G	D	E	F	Z	H	I	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
Early Latin	A	B	C	D	E	F	Z	H	Ꞥ	K	L	M	N	Ꞇ	ꞇ	ꞈ	꞉	꞊	Ꞌ
Greek	Α	Β	Γ	Δ	Ε	Ζ	Η	Θ	Ι	Κ	Λ	Μ	Ν	Ξ	Ο	Π	Ρ	Σ	Τ
Phoenician	𐤀	𐤁	𐤂	𐤃	𐤄	𐤅	𐤆	𐤇	𐤈	𐤉	𐤊	𐤋	𐤌	𐤍	𐤎	𐤏	𐤐	𐤑	𐤒
Early Aramaic	𐤀	𐤁	𐤂	𐤃	𐤄	𐤅	𐤆	𐤇	𐤈	𐤉	𐤊	𐤋	𐤌	𐤍	𐤎	𐤏	𐤐	𐤑	𐤒
Nabataean	𐤀	𐤁	𐤂	𐤃	𐤄	𐤅	𐤆	𐤇	𐤈	𐤉	𐤊	𐤋	𐤌	𐤍	𐤎	𐤏	𐤐	𐤑	𐤒
Arabic	ا	ب	ت	ث	ج	ح	خ	د	ذ	ر	ز	س	ش	ص	ض	ط	ظ	ع	غ

3. © Mamoun Sakka 1997

Hebrew Characters (signs for vowels not shown)																											
א	ב	ב	ג	ד	ה	ו	ז	ח	ט	י	כ	כ	ל	מ	נ	ס	פ	פ	צ	ק	ר	ש	ש	ת			
A	B	V	G	D	H	V	Z	K	T	Y	K	K	L	M	N	S	O	P	F	T	Q	R	S	S	T		
A	B	V	G	D	H	V	Z	K	T	Y	K	K	L	M	N	S	A	P	F	T	K	R	S	S	T		
A	B	T	T	J	H	K	D	D	R	Z	S	S	S	D	T	D	A	G	F	Q	K	L	M	N	H	W	Y
A	B	T	T	J	H	K	D	D	R	Z	S	S	S	D	T	D	O	G	F	Q	K	L	M	N	H	W	Y
א	ב	ת	ת	י	ה	כ	ד	ד	ר	ז	ס	ש	ש	ט	ז	פ	ק	צ	ק	ל	מ	נ	ה	ו	י		

Arabic Characters (signs for vowels not shown)



The Six pointed Star Hexagram is a symbol of the Synagogue of Satan. It is not the Star of Prophet/King David.

What did Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, (The Synagogue of Satan or Lucifer or Holder of The Light hence Satanic Luciferian ILLUMINATI) mean 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a country; and I care not who makes her laws. The few who understand the system, will either be so interested from its profits or so dependant on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class".

"The few who can understand the [banking] system will either be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favors, that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests". [As they say, "Ignorance is bliss!"] - **John Sherman, protege of**

the Rothschild banking family, in a letter sent in 1863 to New York Bankers, Morton, and Gould, in support of the then proposed National Banking Act.

"Give me control over a man's economic actions, and hence over his means of survival, and except for a few occasional heroes, I'll promise to deliver to you men who think and write and behave as I want them to." - Benjamin A. Rooge

Today, his descendants meet twice daily in London to dictate to the World what the World price of gold will be. They and their brethren and collaborators also dictate what the "Federal Reserve System" will do with America's finances. They have the power to issue currency and loans without any collateral of their own, i.e. by using the taxpayers' wealth as a guarantee! They expect to be worshipped as gods. One can say that they are even deceiving themselves (shades of Nimrod and Pharaohs!). We will find out a lot more about this later with details on the evil genius of the Rothschild (Red-Shield in German) and other Illuminati banking dynasties. Don't believe what they say, look at what they've done, what is happening and what they're doing! In other words, don't "Read my lips". **The Illuminati and their enforcers are the masters of deception, lead double-lives and practice Orwellian double-talk. They think mankind is dispensable. (example: collateral damage in the hundreds of thousands lately and in the millions during WW1 & WW2; just think about it, would it be acceptable that Police kill or cripple innocent bystanders while chasing alleged criminals?)** In summary, The Conspiracy is against the masses wealth and God's guidance. The only sharing formula that the Elites want with the masses is the Master-Serf-Slave system that was prevalent in Ancient Rome, Egypt and Babylon, and actually up to 19th century Europe. God is Love. Satanists aren't big fans of Love. They have a lot of clout. Incredible and bizarre as this sounds, a Satanic cult, the Illuminati rules the World. George W. Bush and John Kerry are members, as are many of the World's political and economic elite; in fact you can't reach high positions unless you're part of the gang! The Illuminati consist of the Jesuits and many of the World's richest families including the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers and the Windsors (all three originated from Germany but report to or work with Rome; 4th Reich or New Rome anyone?). While they pay lip service to religion, they worship Lucifer. Their agents control the World's media, education, business and politics. These agents may think they are pursuing success, but success often literally means serving the devil.

[Prisoners Of Their Wealth](#)

Prisoners of their wealth, the Illuminati prefer hatred and destruction to Love. Understandably, they can't go public with this. They pretend to be moral while working behind the scenes to enslave humanity in a New World Order. Hiroshima, Nagasaki, Dresden, Auschwitz, Cambodia and Rwanda were sacrifices to their god Lucifer. They are responsible for the two World Wars, the Depression and the Cold War. Sept. 11, the "War on Terror" and the Iraq War are their latest achievements. We imagine they want unlimited power and wealth but these are by-products. The Illuminati seems to be motivated by hatred of God and humanity. The purpose of the New World Order is the same as Communism. The Illuminati created Communism as a means to flaunt God's will and enslave mankind, just like the Nimrods and the Pharaohs and the Romans. Karl Marx was hired to sell totalitarian rule ("the dictatorship of the proletariat") by pretending to espouse equality. He was a Satanist as were Trotsky (real name Bronstein), Lenin and Stalin.



In his book, Marx and Satan (1986) Richard Wurmbrand illustrates Marx's true hatred of God and humanity. As early as 1848, Marx wrote about a "coming World war" that will eliminate "riffraff" like Russians, Czechs and Croats. "The coming World war will cause not only reactionary classes and dynasties, but also entire reactionary peoples, to disappear from the face of the earth. And that will be progress...the revolution...cares as little about the human lives it destroys...as an earthquake cares about the houses it ravages. Classes and races that are too weak to dominate the new conditions...will be defeated...their very name will vanish." In his poem Human Pride, Marx writes that he will "wander Godlike and victorious through the ruins of the World... I will feel equal to the Creator." Far from champion of the working class, Marx was a police spy. In 1960, the Austrian Chancellor Raabe gave Khrushchev an original letter by Marx proving the "founder of Communism" informed on other revolutionaries. The reason only 13 of the 100 Volumes of Marx's writings have been published is to hide the

true character of Communism. Wurmbrand, a pastor who was imprisoned in Romania, says Communism is in essence Satanism empowered. Christians weren't just brutally persecuted and murdered, they were made to blaspheme. Communism's goal, the goal of the New World Order, is to mock God and to praise Lucifer. A Communist newspaper confessed, "We fight against God: To snatch believers from him." In "German Ideology" Marx (living in London) referred to God in Hegelian terms as the Absolute Spirit. Marx opined, "we are concerned with a highly interesting question: the decomposition of the Absolute Spirit."

According to Wurmbrand, the Russian Revolution was a time when "love, goodwill, and healthy feeling were considered mean and retrograde. A girl hid her innocence, and husbands their faithfulness. Destruction was praised as good taste, neurasthenia as the sign of a fine mind. This was the theme of new writers who burst on the scene out of obscurity. Men invented vices and perversion, and were fastidious in their avoidance of being thought moral." The Luciferians portray their rebellion against God and nature as progress and freedom. This permissiveness refers only to tearing down the

Divine order. In the initiation into the Seventh degree of Satanism, the adept swears, "Nothing is true and everything is permitted." In the Communist Manifesto, Marx said all religion and morals will be abolished and everything permitted. The religion of modern western society, secular humanism, is a front for Illuminism (Lucifer worship). The purpose of Illuminism is to divorce humanity from the Divine Purpose and enshrine Lucifer (i.e. the Illuminati) in God's place. Under the guise of a humanist utopia, they are constructing an Orwellian hell -- the New World Order, a.k.a. globalism. The goal of globalism is the same as Communism. The World's elite masks their Luciferianism in new age paganism (nature or self-) and Gaia worship. The Lucifer Trust runs the only chapel at the United Nations and the only statue in the UN building is the pagan god Zeus (or Ja-Zeus). The Prophets of God represented the rule of God. Note that the ancient elites created and perpetrated the Occult and Idolatry traditions to keep the masses occupied while they reap their wealth. The Pharisees (fake-Jews, i.e. pretend to be Biblical Jews but are actually occultists) worshipped Lucifer. The question facing mankind hasn't changed. Are we going to serve God or Lucifer? The Satanists have conditioned us to short circuit at the mention of religion or conspiracy. They have made God seem mysterious, unknowable or non-existent. Christ said, "God is a Spirit, obey Him in spirit and in truth." (John 4:23, 24). God is Absolute Love, Truth, Justice, Goodness and Beauty. If you believe these things are real, whether you accomplish them or not, you believe in God. Love is the principle of human evolution. God is manifested by His creation. The more we embody spiritual ideals, the better we become. The opposite is also true. We sacrifice ourselves for what we love. If we love God, we sacrifice ourselves to Him. People ask how to fight the New World Order. Nothing would disturb the Illuminati more than a revival of belief in God. Millions willing to fight and die for God would scare them. That's why they are uprooting Islam. We are being prepared in consciousness for slavery or destruction. They are laying the groundwork now with the "war on terror." Once they get Islam under control, they will turn to the West in earnest. In the meantime, the mass media (movies, music and video games, TV) accustoms us to brutality and violence. There is a repetitive and reductive obsession with sex, nudity, bodily functions and homosexuality, a kind of phallic worship. Eliphas Levi (1810-1875) revealed the secret symbolism of the Templar Idol Baphomet, a pagan fertility god associated with the creative force of reproduction; the ram or goat head being a pagan symbol of procreation and fecundity; Masons prayed to the creative magic of sexual union; Traditional American Thanksgiving tables still bear pagan horned fertility symbols, hence horny means looking for sex; the cornucopia or horn of plenty was a tribute to Baphomet's fertility and dates back to the myth of Zeus being suckled by a goat whose horn broke off and magically filled with fruit; V-symbol of horns. If our leaders weren't Luciferians, the media and the arts would be preoccupied with issues of truth and falsehood, good and evil, beauty and ugliness. We would be uplifted and inspired. Instead, our souls are fed sawdust. We are degraded distracted and deceived, like people with a terminal illness, like squatters in someone else's universe. If the Illuminati's real purpose is to eliminate God, it follows we should make God the centre of our consciousness. People ask, "What should we do?" Don't look for direction from others. Look for direction from God. The best way to fight the darkness is to shine the light. This is what the Illuminati fears. In the Protocols of the Elders of Zion (There is a debate on whether the protocols were developed by the Rothschild's or actually by someone wanting to expose the Rothschild/Weishaupt Illuminati plans. In any case, the Protocols do describe the plans for World Tyranny by the Illuminati's), the author writes: "There is nothing more dangerous to us than personal initiative; if it has genius behind it, such initiative can do more than can be done by millions of people among whom we have sown discord." Don't feel oppressed by the World. It hasn't changed just because we are now aware of its true nature. Devote each new day to fulfilling God's purpose for you.



Current Issue



Masonic Greed Is A Constant In Human History

In every nation in the history of the World, it has mostly ended up in a war between the ruling class and the enslaved masses, pitting one ruling class against another with their citizens as cannon fodder,

keeping the masses kept distracted with a foreign war! The ruler(s) want more and more, and the people continually settle for less and less!!! The Illuminatis are evil geniuses and make heavy use of symbolism. Here are some Masonic symbols below; see later sections for full details on Masonic history since Babylon and connections in several other sections and appendices in this book. Of course, not all Illuminati plans succeed. After reading this book, you will be able get an understanding of how the controllers and agents of the New World Order think, and you will be able to see through the elitist Illuminati propaganda and rebuttals of innocence that we hear or read or see on the mainstream news (amazing how O'Neill is being dismissed by the oil-soaked monopoly press after he exposed Mr. Bush's river of lies in his recent book) or disclaimers that we read on certain Masonic websites such as <http://Masonry.bcy.ca/anti-masonry/index.html>. Low-ranking Masons are showered with favours and don't know that they will be blackmailed by them later.






Twelve god-Pharaohs of ancient Egypt; symbols are sun, eye, serpent, bull, falcon, fleur-de-lis headdress (symbol of the Priory-Priore De Sion secret society), ankht, moon, etc. Right: EU Constitution conference in Rome on 4th October 2003.

Note that low ranking masons, the largest brotherhood (mafia) in the World, most likely don't have a clue about what their high-ranking evil genius brethren are up to in cahoots with the Illuminatis and Zionists and the Vatican Jesuits, using certain lodges and temples as fronts (similar to the use of religious and missionary organizations as fronts for covert activities, read the book "Vatican Assassins" for full details <http://www.vaticanassassins.org>)! Getting back to money, who is controlling the creation and issue of money these days? You will learn later in this book that it's the Occult Banking Dynasties of the Rothschild/Rockefeller banking money trusts along with the other Illuminati mafias. Actually, they are the **Mother of All Mafias**. A lot more on their history and the history of Masonry since ancient Egypt and Babylon and Rome will be presented later, a true banking marriage of Anthony and Cleopatra! You may remember a lot of this symbolism from your school days where ancient Egypt, Roman, Babylonian and Greek mythology are taught (didn't understand it then).




Note the big ancient sun-god or Bel/Baal symbol. Sun-day is the weekly worship of the Sun-god Baal. Tablets belonging to the cuneiform (Sumerian language about 1000 BC) documents which were discovered by German excavators in 1903 and 1904 at Kalah Shargat, the site of the ancient Assur formed in the 9th century BC or even earlier, and copies of still earlier Babylonian tablets contain astounding facts which are perturbing. The Sun or Bel/Baal was the Dying God worshipped in the ancient World. After his birth on December 25th, the young deity increases every day in his power and strength, and defies the demon of darkness in the struggle, till the final week in March comes, when his progress is retarded and he becomes stationary [Easter]. The date of the Dying God and that of the Rising God become great events and are attended respectively with mourning and rejoicing - just what we would have found 900 BC in Ancient Babylon on their Good Fridays and Easter Sundays and we find the same today where nothing has changed except the name of the sun god. In celebrating the sun god's death and resurrection, his image was fastened to a pine tree on March 24th, and the day was called "The Day of Blood", since on that day the deity was bled to death.



Masonic Design: Israeli Supreme Court or NWO HQ?

To have an idea of what else the ancient Pharaonic Egyptians elite were involved to get a window on secret Masonic practices, have a look at some of the PHALLIC "art" that is generally NOT made available to the public:[Click the picture for a higher resolution image.]

The badly damaged Turin Erotic Papyrus is 8.5 feet long and reads from right to left. On the first third of the papyrus, animals are shown engaging in various human activities. The rest of the papyrus are vignettes of graphic erotic scenes showing a brutish man using a woman sexually in a variety of postures, some of



which suggest force, and all of which definitely suggest lust without love - animal sex.

Idolatry restarted at the Tower of Babel. After the Great Flood of Noah, Nimrod (4000 years ago at the time of Prophet Abraham) emerged as a great leader who established the worship of the sun, moon and stars. Nimrod was a giant of a man who organized the people into communities and was highly skilled as a hunter of the fast multiplying wild animals. He built the Tower of Babel as an astrological observatory and there God confounded their language and scattered the people to the different areas of the Earth. The false religions' leaders, the Pharisees, accused Yeshua-Joshua of casting out devils by (Baalzebub) Beelzebub—the PRINCE of the devils: "But when the Pharisees heard it, they said, This fellow (Yeshua-Joshua) doth not cast out devils, but by Beelzebub the prince of the devils" (Matthew 12:24). Satanic worship's 3rd in command was MOON worship. All of Satan's demons are MALE but the moon god masqueraded as a female divinity or moon goddess. In Egypt her name was ISIS. In Phoenicia her name was Asteroth and in Rome her name was Venus.



Statue of Ba-al, Satan's second-in-command!

The ancient pagan trinity consisted of the worship of the sun, moon and stars. The moon god that Robert Morey talks about is the Masonic idolatry god and not THE GOD of Islam. Morey is totally confused and would like to confuse others into thinking that Islam is idolatry whereas it is Masonic Illuminism that is idolatry and True Islam like True Christianity and True Torah Judaism is the worship of THE GOD of Abraham, Noah, Adam, i.e. THE CREATOR OF THE UNIVERSE. Paganism was a filthy and corrupt system with the main divinities being the sun, moon and stars. Phallus worship was an important part of the system with the obelisk representing the male member. According to the Jewish historian Josephus, Nimrod was punished for his rebellion by Sem (Shem), one of the sons of Noah. He cut Nimrod's body into many pieces and sent it to the surrounding people as a warning against idolatry. Nimrod's wife Semiramis, the Queen of Babylon, collected all the parts of his body except for his penis. She ordered phallic symbols or obelisks to be set up everywhere as a reminder to the people to mourn for Nimrod. Another name for Nimrod was Tammuz. The cross comes from the letter T. In Israel the women mourned for Nimrod of Tammuz. It was a kind of Lent: "Then he (God) brought me to the door of the gate of the LORD's house which was toward the north; and, behold, there sat women weeping for Tammuz" (Ezekiel 8:14). Paganism was predominately the worship of the sun, moon and stars. The sun and moon were called by different names in different countries but the basic beliefs remained the same. Moses warned the Israelites about this depraved worship: "And lest thou lift up thine eyes unto heaven, and when thou seest the sun, and the moon, and the stars, even all the host of heaven, shouldest be driven to worship them, and serve them, which the LORD thy God hath divided unto all nations under the whole heaven" (**Deuteronomy 4:19**).



The name of the sun god was BA-AL. Satanic worship was pursued as BAAL "And Elijah came unto all the people, and said, How long halt ye between two opinions? if the LORD be God, follow him: but if Baal, then follow him. And the people answered him not a word. And they took the bullock which was given them, and they dressed it, and called on the name of Baal from morning even until noon, saying, O Baal, hear us. But there was no voice, nor any that answered. And they leaped upon the altar which was made. And it came to pass at noon, that Elijah mocked them, and said, Cry aloud: for he is a god; either he is talking, or he is pursuing, or he is in a journey, or peradventure he sleepeth, and must be awaked. And they cried aloud, and cut themselves after their manner with knives and lancets, till the blood gushed out upon them. And it came to pass, when midday was past, and they prophesied until the time of the offering of the evening sacrifice, that there was neither voice, nor any to answer, nor any that regarded" (I Kings 18:21-29). In 274 B.C. the Roman Emperor Aurelian began a new Sun cult of the "Invincible Sun". Worshipped in a splendid temple, served by pontiffs who were raised to the level of the ancient pontiffs of Rome, celebrated every fourth year by magnificent games (our modern day Olympics), Sol Invictus was definitely promoted to the highest rank in the divine hierarchy and became the official protector of the Sovereigns and of the Empire: Aurelian placed in his new sanctuary the images of Bel/Baal and Helios, which he captured at Palmyra. In establishing this new State cult, Aurelian in reality proclaimed the dethronement of the old Roman idolatry and the accession of Semitic Sun-worship: This theology was founded on ancient beliefs of Chaldean astrologers, transformed in the Hellenistic age under the twofold influence of astronomic discoveries and Stoic thought, and was promoted, after becoming a pantheistic Sun-worship, to the rank of official religion of the Roman Empire. - Source: Franz Cumont, *Astrology and Religion Among the Greeks and Romans* (reprint; New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1960), pp. 55, 56. If we were living in York or Chester and the date was March 31st 50 BC, we would be preparing for the same Easter festival following the same Christmas festival on or around the 25th of December during which time we would have commemorated Baal/Mithra's birth to a virgin mother in a cave; one who had 12 disciples; one who was called saviour; and one who sometimes figured as a lamb; one whose disciples were initiated through baptism; and one in whose remembrance sacramental feasts were held. The only difference you would notice if you went back in time would be the name. In those days the one who rose again on the third day was called Mithra not Yeshua-Joshua [another perfect example of Generic Engineering]. At the time of the appearance of the real Yeshua-Joshua on earth, there were temples without end dedicated to gods like Apollo or Bacchus/Dionysus among the Greeks; Hercules among the Romans; Mithra among the Persians, Adonis and Attis in Syria and Phrygia; Osiris, Isis and Horus in Egypt (statues at the Louvre Museum, Paris); Bel/Baal and Astarte among the Babylonians and Carthaginians and so on.



An obelisk covered with Masonic symbols in New York's Central Park.



Israeli Supreme Court Obelisk, Jerusalem.

An important symbol of Masonry is what was once an important element in Ancient Egyptian architecture—the obelisk. An obelisk is a tall, vertical tower with a pyramid as its peak. Obelisks were inscribed with Ancient Egyptian hieroglyphs, and lay buried for centuries under the ground until they were discovered in the nineteenth century, and removed to Western cities such as New York, London and Paris. The largest obelisk was sent to the USA. This exportation was arranged by Masons. This was because obelisks, as well as the Ancient Egyptian figures inscribed on them, are

claimed by Masons as being really their own symbols. Mimar Sinan avers this about the 21-meter high obelisk in New York. The most striking instance of the symbolic use of architecture is the monument called Cleopatra's needle, given to the US as a gift in 1878 by the Masonic Egyptian Governor Ismail. This monument is now in Central Park. Its surface is covered with Masonic emblems. This monument was originally erected in the 16th century BC at the entrance to a temple of the Sun god, an initiation center in Heliopolis. You will find 13 Obelisks at the Vatican and in Rome.

All these deities were Sun-gods, and it was believed that they were born in a cave or underground chamber, of a virgin mother, on or about December 25th. They led a life of toil for the good of mankind and were called by such names as Light-Bringer, Healer, Mediator, Saviour, and Deliverer. They were vanquished by the Powers of Darkness and descended into Hell or the UnderWorld. They all rose again from the dead to become the Lights to guide mankind to the heavenly World. They founded Communions of Saints, and churches into which disciples were received by baptism. They were commemorated by Eucharistic Meals. Bacchus/Dionysus, was born of a virgin named Demeter on the 25th of December [she is sometimes called Semele and sometimes Ceres from which we derive the word cereal, seen on Rothschild's Bank of England notes seated with a stem of wheat in her hand]. Evil had spread over the World; the God of Gods was begged to save mankind. Jupiter hearkened to the prayer, and declared that his son would redeem the World from its misery. He promised a Liberator to the earth, and Bacchus came as a saviour. He was called "The Only Begotten Son". "It is I", says Bacchus to mankind, "Who will guide you; I who am Alpha and Omega". He was also a great traveler, and brought the gift of wine to mankind. Changing water into wine. "Suffering was common to all the sons of Jove", says Justin Martyr. For this reason they were called "The slain ones", "Saviours", and "Redeemers". Bacchus was also slain to redeem humanity, and was called "The Slain one and the Sin Bearer", "The Redeemer". His death, followed by resurrection, was celebrated with all kinds of wild festivities, and there was a representation of the passion of Bacchus, Dead, Descended into Hell, and re- arisen. As we have seen there are many similar stories, and there are more. Osiris is enshrined in the American Flag and the Washington Monument obelisk and the occult Masonic symbolism of the Great seal of the United States and the Enead of Heliopolis with Jah-Baal-On. The process of Generic Engineering is not required so much in America, they make no pretence about being what they are, surrounded with all the symbols of Pagan Babylon, Pagan Egypt, and Pagan Rome (nature or self-worship). More on this later.

Cairo Masonic lodge meets in the 1940s under portrait of King Farouk.

In the Mythology of the Masons/Templars, one of the core legends is that of Jachin and Boaz ... the "Twin Pillars" of Atlantis. All Masonic lodges have these two pillars at some location in their architecture. In the earliest Lodges, they were said to represent far more ancient pillars, supposedly erected by "the children of Lamech." On those ancient pillars -- one of brick, one of stone -- were said to be engraved all the then known sciences to preserve them from destruction "by fire or inundation." As such, they symbolized the esoteric importance of the knowledge of the builder's (mason's) art, to be guarded and preserved by future faithful craftsmen. Simply put, according to Masonic legend, "the children of Lamech" were the inhabitants of Atlantis. This information, passed down from that prior highly advanced civilization, is supposed to form the basis of the Masonic secrets "from the ancient times." Hundreds of years later, shortly before the American Revolution, these original representations of "ancient, preserved knowledge" were replaced in Masonic lodges with two brass pillars, representing two similar pillars originally erected at the entrance to King Solomon's Temple in Jerusalem -- the Pillars of Jachin and Boaz. The new Masonic pillars took on the symbolic attributes of "establishment" and "strength," cleverly concealing their continuing representation of the great catastrophe which had destroyed a previous epoch of "high civilization," beneath additional layers of almost impenetrable (for most non-members) new symbolic interpretation. These same two pillars also make their appearance in non-Masonic religious works, like the Bible. "And he set up the pillars in the porch of the temple: and he set up the right pillar, and called the name thereof Jachin: and he set up the left pillar, and called the name thereof Boaz. (1 Kings 7:21) "And he reared up the pillars before the temple, one on the right hand, and the other on the left; and called the name of that on the right hand Jachin, and the name of that on the left Boaz. (2 Chronicles 3:17)" As the root source of the deepest Masonic secrets -- the literal "end of days," and the Masonic role in the subsequent "preservation and carefully timed re-dissemination of the sacred knowledge of Mankind" -- these twin pillars, "Jachin" and "Boaz," represent the most sacred objects in contemporary Templar/Masonic rituals. They were symbolically incorporated into the 110-story twin World Trade Center Towers and now the Masonic expression of "bringing order out of chaos" is expected to take place. There are over 800 Masonic Lodges in the U.K. currently. London has been the center of the N.W.O. conspiracy since at least the creation of the Bank of England (The City of London Bank Street square mile) in 1694.



Real Special Note to Skeptics & Non-skeptics

As usual, the priests of the New World Order will attempt to spread disinformation in order to discredit or taint the truth. Don't be taken in and fooled. But, since there is always a resident skeptic inside us all, here are many examples to satisfy our curiosity. **You may have seen several Mafia movies in which the good cop who is chasing the Mafia criminals is framed to look bad by a coalition of Mafia police/lawyer/judge/gangster operatives. Well, the New World Order Mafia is the mother of all Mafias!** First, let's find out what the oil-soaked monopoly-capitalism news media didn't tell us about George W. Bush. Here is his updated resume. Please pass it on to all voters (may not make a difference though, since the voting machines are owned by the Rothschild and Rockefeller Group of companies and the other party is also part of the Illuminati setup!)

Resume Of George W. Bush 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20520

EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE:

Law Enforcement: I was arrested in Kennebunkport, Maine, in 1976 for driving under the influence of alcohol. I pled guilty, paid a fine, and had my driver's license suspended for 30 days. My Texas driving record has been "lost" and is not available.

Military: I joined the Texas Air National Guard and went AWOL. I refused to take a drug test or answer any questions about my drug use. By joining the Texas Air National Guard, I was able to avoid combat duty in Vietnam.

College: I graduated from Yale University with a low C average. I was a cheerleader.

Extra Curricular Activities: I am a member of the Masonic Skull & Bones Order secretive society, York Rite, just like my buddy John Kerry.

PAST WORK EXPERIENCE: I ran for U.S. Congress and lost. I began my career in the oil business in Midland, Texas, in 1975. I bought an oil company (Harken), but couldn't find any oil in Texas. The company went bankrupt shortly after I sold all my stock. I bought the Texas Rangers baseball team in a sweetheart deal that took land using taxpayer money. With the help of my father and our friends in the oil industry (including Enron CEO Ken Lay), I was declared innocent in the Harken energy stock fraud scandal and elected governor of Texas.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS AS GOVERNOR OF TEXAS: I changed Texas pollution laws to favor power and oil companies, making Texas the most polluted state in the Union. During my tenure, Houston replaced Los Angeles as the most smog-ridden city in America. I cut taxes and bankrupted the Texas treasury to the tune of billions in borrowed money. I set the record for the most executions by any governor in American history but I gave a stay of execution to a someone who did not repent after killing dozens of people in a very sadistic manner, and I made sure that a lady who killed her rapist and abusive man was executed. With the help of my brother, the governor of Florida, and my father's appointments to the Supreme Court, I became President after losing by over 500,000 votes.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS AS PRESIDENT: I am the first President in U.S. history to enter office with a criminal record. I invaded and occupied two countries at a continuing cost of over one billion dollars per week. I spent the U.S. surplus and effectively bankrupted the U.S. Treasury. I shattered the record for the largest annual deficit in U.S. history. I set an economic record for most private bankruptcies filed in any 12-month period. I set the all-time record for most foreclosures in a 12-month period. I set the all-time record for the biggest drop in the history of the U.S. stock market. In my first year in office, over 2 million Americans lost their jobs and that trend continues every month. I'm proud that the members of my cabinet are the richest of any administration in U.S. history. My "poorest millionaire," Condoleeza Rice, has a Chevron oil tanker named after her. I set the record for most campaign fundraising trips by a U.S. President. I am the all-time U.S. and World record-holder for receiving the most corporate campaign donations. My largest lifetime campaign contributor, and one of my best friends, Kenneth Lay, presided over the largest corporate bankruptcy fraud in U.S. History, Enron. My political party used Enron private jets and corporate attorneys to assure my success with the U.S. Supreme Court during my election decision. I have protected my friends at Enron and Halliburton against investigation or prosecution. More time and money was spent investigating the Monica Lewinsky affair than has been spent investigating one of the biggest corporate rip-offs in history. I presided over the biggest energy crisis in U.S. history and refused to intervene when corruption involving the oil industry was revealed. I presided over the highest gasoline prices in U.S. history. I changed the U.S. policy to allow convicted criminals to be awarded government contracts. I appointed more convicted criminals to administration than any President in U.S. history. I created the Ministry of Homeland Security, the largest bureaucracy in the history of the United States government. I've broken more international treaties than any President in U.S. history. I am the first President in U.S. history to have the United Nations remove the U.S. from the Human Rights Commission. I withdrew the U.S. from the World Court of Law. I refused to allow inspector's access to U.S. "prisoners of war" detainees and thereby have refused to abide by the Geneva Convention. I am the first President in history to refuse United Nations election inspectors (during the 2002 U.S. election). I set the record for fewest numbers of press conferences of any President since the advent of television. I set the all-time record for most days on vacation in any one-year period. After taking off the entire month of August, I presided over the worst security failure in U.S. history. I garnered the most sympathy for the U.S. after the World Trade Center attacks and less than a year later made the U.S. the most hated country in the World, the largest failure of diplomacy in World history. I have set the all-time record for

most people Worldwide to simultaneously protest me in public venues (15 million people), shattering the record for protests against any person in the history of mankind. I am the first President in U.S. history to order an unprovoked, pre-emptive attack and the military occupation of a sovereign nation. I did so against the will of the United Nations, the majority of U.S. citizens, and the World community. I have cut health care benefits for war veterans and support a cut in duty benefits for active duty troops and their families -- in wartime. In my State of the Union Address, I lied about our reasons for attacking Iraq and then blamed the lies on our British friends. I am the first President in history to have a majority of Europeans (71%) view my presidency as the biggest threat to World peace and security. I am supporting development of a nuclear "Tactical Bunker Buster," a WMD. I have so far failed to fulfill my pledge to bring Osama Bin Laden [sic] to justice and to find out who, from my office, leaked the name of a CIA operative who was the wife of a US Ambassador who criticized me.

RECORDS AND REFERENCES: All records of my tenure as governor of Texas are now in my father's library, sealed and unavailable for public view. All records of SEC investigations into my insider trading and my bankrupt companies are sealed in secrecy and unavailable for public view. All records or minutes from meetings that I, or my Vice-President, attended regarding public energy policy are sealed in secrecy and unavailable for public review. However, the results of my policies can be seen with the devastation at Ground Zero, in Afghanistan, in Iraq, Argentina, in Palestine, with the loss of the pensions for Enron-Global Crossing-WorldCom-etc. employees, the poverty minimum wage, the children left behind, and the enrichment of the Israeli Government, owners of the privately owned Federal Reserve System and all their friends at the top levels of Enron, Halliburton, Bechtel, The Carlyle Group and other buddies in the Military/Industrial Complex & Pharmaceutical companies, tax cuts for the Nobility and much more. Unfortunately, Margie Schodinger, the lady who accused me of raping her committed suicide on 22nd September 2003.

Note that if I lose the election, my successor will be no different. He is also one of my buddies at the Masonic York Rite Skull & Bones Order.

PLEASE CONSIDER MY EXPERIENCE WHEN VOTING IN 2004. PLEASE SEND THIS TO EVERY VOTER YOU KNOW.
-end-of-resume

[Documented Conspiracies](#)

Please watch the video documentary on this CD "[The MENA Affair](#)" about the drug trafficking related murders in Arkansas during the stewardship of the then Governor Bill (Rockefeller) Clinton. It really illustrates well how the Clinton clan from field law enforcement agents, to supervisors, to sheriffs, to medical examiners, to prosecutors, to defence lawyers, to judges, to the news media, to CIA operatives, to FBI operatives, and up to the Governor himself were involved in covering up the murders of two teenagers who happened to stumble upon evidence of high-level officials involved in drug trafficking into Arkansas. Unfortunately, the photographer who filmed most of the scenes in this expose was also murdered along with many of the witnesses (some were tortured first before being killed). This reminds me of the death of William Milton Cooper (November 2001) and others who have exposed the corruptions of the dark clan. You can also watch the censored documentary on the [Nebraska male child abuse scandal](#) that leads all the way up to the White House and major politicians and businessmen. It was supposed to be broadcast on the Discovery Channel but was cancelled at the last minute under pressure from major congressmen, a bit like the documentary about Reagan was pulled off CBS.

Now, just expand this to the airport in Venice Florida where similar activities took place and all the way up to the 9-11 cover up. In another similar cover-up, i.e. [the John F. Kennedy assassination of 1963](#), we note that over 177 witnesses have met a violent death like many of the witnesses in the MENA affair. You can also watch the Judge Jim Garrison documentary on the JFK assassination (5 parts on this CD). You can also watch the 1996 confrontation between ex-LAPD Police Officer Michael Ruppert and CIA Director John Deutch at an LA Town Hall meeting over CIA drug trafficking allegations after which Mr. Deutch had to resign.

After watching this video, listen to the talk by [G. Edward Griffin](#) on how the owners of the privately controlled central bank known as the US Federal Reserve use their power to create money tax-free and use their un-auditable profits to acquire control over the power centers of society. The power centers are those groups and institutions through which individuals live and act and rely on for their information. They are buying control over the organizations, the groups and institutions that control people, politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it. Make your own list of organizations and you will find this is where those people have been for many decades spending this river of wealth to acquire operational control particularly over those institutions and individuals, those organizations that represent opposition to themselves. That's a critical area for expenditure on their part. This process has gone on not only to a marked degree in America and in the other industrialized nations of the World, but it has gone on in the underdeveloped nations to such a degree that we would say the process is now complete. They own these countries already. The money goes to the politicians of those countries, to their governments and the money is designed and spent to strengthen their power structures, their ability to control their populations. They create a well-equipped army (note the \$30 billion for hiring mercenaries to train the new armies in Afghanistan and Iraq), a better bureaucracy, for total control of their subjects. That's where the money's being spent.

You can also listen to a series of talks (on this CD) by Mr. Jasper on the cover up of the Oklahoma city bombing which was staged by New World Order elements in the FBI and CIA. Mr. Jasper lists the names of some of the witnesses who were brutally tortured and murdered when they came out to tell the truth about the cover up.

Another video documentary by Alex Jones (<http://www.infowars.com>) that is worthwhile watching on this CD is "The Road to Tyranny" and it has an associated book named "Descent into Tyranny". These illustrate some of the deceptions we are fed on the mainstream news. John Pilger's documentary on 9-11 is also on this CD.

After watching these documentaries and listening to G. Edward Griffin, I think it will become very clear how far reaching the dark clan's radius of control is and how they have no value for human life although their enforcers and stooges pretend to be gentle in public while the media they control covers up their evil (notice how we don't see the scenes of human carnage, civilian or military during CIA/US so called military bombings but we are shown the WTC attack over and over again, or how Colin Powell is not terribly interested in how many Iraqis were killed by his bombers or we are not repeatedly told how Powell was saved from being tried for massacres he committed in Vietnam).

If you are not convinced that the ruling elites practice Cabbalist Masonic Occultism, watch the "Dark Secrets" video by Alex Jones (<http://www.infowars.com>) on this CD to observe the elites worshipping a giant Babylonian Owl Goddess known as Lilith at the Bohemian Grove in Northern California. You can also read the book "Letters on Masonry" by the 6th President of the United States John Quincy Adams who repented and said "Masonry ought forever to be abolished. It is wrong—essentially wrong—a seed of evil, which can never produce any good." You can also watch documentaries on evils of Satanism and Communism/Marxism on the CD.

You can listen to Mr. Myron C. Fagan's 1960s speech on the history of the Illuminati's control of World events. It is the best expose on Illuminism, their past activities and their future plans for the totalitarian one World government. For the full text of the speech, please see section 13 in this book or to listen to the two hours 1960s talk, check the audio files on the CD. **You can also listen to Stanley Hilton's interview with Alex Jones exposing the Zion-Nazis terrorists & criminals who run the US-Israeli Government.** There are a multitude of book and website references in this book and video clips, audio clips and documents on the CD for your perusal and study. Inform yourself and set your mind free from the clutches of the tyrants mentioned in this book! **And before skeptics start to discredit this expose by falsely throwing a volley of accusations about anti-Semitism, please read this by Dr. Henry Makow:**

The Protocols

Reply to an Israeli Friend By Henry Makow Ph.D. September 11, 2002

"Uri and I were friends at university in Ottawa in the early 1970's. After he settled in Israel, I saw him on visits there. Whenever tensions in the Middle East increased, my concerns always turned to him, his brilliant wife and beautiful children. Recently I emailed and asked for his thoughts. He replied (my reply is after his):

Dear Henry,

First I received your letter, then I read your latest (August 14) on Zionism, Feminism, Jews and Bankers. <http://www.savethemales.ca/> .

I'm surprised you don't refer to the Protocols of the Elders of Zion. The fact that it has been proven to be forgery should be no obstacle, I am sure you will be able to find on the Net a "proof" that it is not a forgery, after all. Perhaps you haven't read it? You should, it is a foundation text for all anti-Semites--it too is on the Net. It will explain that there is a secret Cabal of Jewish Bankers who have enslaved the World. You are not the first person with Jewish origins to become an anti-Semite, nor the first to believe that the World is governed by a conspiracy of Bankers. The Nazis believed this, and in the early days, until it became impossible, there were even a few Jewish Nazis. Beliefs such as yours are beyond the field of rational argument. I am sure you will find some way of showing me that any 'evidence' I can muster is a falsification of the great World wide conspiracy, so arguing with you is a waste of time.

But I would like to make one thing very very clear to you: Beliefs such as yours physically endanger the life of my family, my friends, myself. They are now, as they always have been, the justification and the excuse for the physical destruction of Jews. The Jews (and their pals the Bankers) are responsible for the World's ills, for the worst massacres, for the destruction of the family, for poverty and inequality. This is believed as Koranic truth throughout the Arab and Moslem World, and by many in Eastern Europe, and by a few in Western Europe as well. An epic play shown repeatedly on Jordanian Television (with subtitles in English for my convenience) explains how the satanic Jews will stop at nothing in their quest for World domination, that the only possible solution is to heroically kill them.

We don't deserve to live, and so my neighbour, a peace activist on his way to help plan a factory for Jews and Arabs in northern Israel, was blown up. One of my colleagues at work put her beloved twenty year old daughter on a bus, and minutes later her life ended. Another colleague's son was blown up in a coffee house. My chest contracts every time my son leaves the house. My daughter rarely comes to Jerusalem. All this because the Jews are all guilty, and don't deserve to live. Henry, you have joined the devil. The hell with you.

[My reply to Uri] Uri,

You are under a lot of strain so I will forgive you for calling me an anti-Semite, implying I don't mourn Israeli loss of life, or don't have your best interests at heart. I have not joined the Devil. I am following the highest truth available to me. I am trying to dispel the illusions that have created the living hell that threatens not just Israelis and Arabs but all of us. In fighting evil, the truth is our most potent weapon. Distorting or suppressing the truth doesn't change it. Demonizing someone whose truth differs from yours -- whether he's a Palestinian or a fellow Jew -- doesn't change it either. I don't pretend to have the whole truth. It has been suppressed and isn't easy to come by. Nevertheless an outline is emerging. It may change as I learn more.

Yes, I believe that the people who hold the World's purse strings are responsible for humanity's woes. It's irrelevant that they and their allies are "Jewish" because they exploit Jews and gentiles alike. Zionism is an excellent example of this betrayal. For most Jews, Israel represents a national homeland. Most Israelis would accept peace within the 1967 borders. But this isn't happening because, for its financial sponsors, Israel's purpose is to colonize the Middle East. While Israelis want to live in peace, they are manipulated into playing the role of oppressor. Uri, like most people you reject facts that clash with your view of reality. But unless we face the truth there is no hope of making peace and thwarting the power brokers. History has been sanitized but we can still discern the truth. Read Chapter Six of Ralph Schoenman's "Hidden History of Zionism" which is on line.

Zionist leaders and Adolph Hitler shared a common interest in making Europe uninhabitable for Jews. They collaborated in transferring German Jews and their property to Palestine. Zionists broke a Worldwide Jewish boycott by trading Nazi goods. Zionists let 6000 trained young American Zionists enter Israel rather than accept imperiled European Jews. They were probably responsible for the Allied refusal to accept Jewish refugees and failure to bomb rail tracks to the concentration camps. The evidence suggests they deliberately sacrificed their fellow Jews to create the "moral authority" they needed to usurp Palestine.

Read Jewish writer Ben Hecht's book "Perfidy" (1961, p. 218 ff.). Learn how Zionist leaders turned a blind eye to the fate of a million Hungarian Jews. Adolph Eichmann offered to spare them in exchange for 10,000 trucks to be used on the Russian front and 1000 tons of tea and coffee. "Pick [the Jews] anywhere you want," Eichmann said, "Hungary, Auschwitz, Slovakia -- anywhere you want and anyone you want." He was willing to release 100,000 Jews in advance and the rest 10% at a time, on payment. He had authorization from Hitler and Himmler. Joel Brand, of the Hungarian Rescue Committee, took this urgent request to the World Zionist Organization in Tel Aviv where he was put off for months. Chaim Weizmann, WZO Chief and first Israeli President, finally wrote, "I did not have a free moment since my arrival here... I shall be happy to see you sometime the week after next." In spite of physical and economic threats from the Israeli government, Brand told this story at Adolph Eichmann's trial in Jerusalem. It's in the court transcript.

Brand said: "Rightly or wrongly, for better or for worse, I have cursed Jewry's official leaders ever since. All these things shall haunt me until my dying day. It's much more than a man can bear." (Perfidy, p.229). Jews should consider the possibility that Zionism is not what they think. Throughout history, the Jewish community, docile and status-conscious, has followed its leaders, usually the richest Jews. Often, these Jews exploited and betrayed their faith. For example, in Czarist Russia, the Jewish elite sold Jewish children into the Imperial army for 25 years indentured service.

It's fitting that Chaim Weizmann is the spitting image of Vladimir Lenin. Both Communism and Zionism are part of the same secret plot for World supremacy, the New World Order. Sponsored by central Bankers and their English Masonic henchmen, both movements have duped millions of people with their idealistic claptrap. Uri, I would be overjoyed to be wrong. Can't you consider the possibility that I am right? That millions of people like me are not anti Semites? That we just care about our future and that of our children, just like you do? Is it so hard to understand why the Arabs hate Israelis? Read Chapter Five of Schoenman's book. Israelis drove 800,000 Palestinians into refugee camps and stole their homes, farms, factories, businesses, olive orchards and citrus groves. Then Israelis complain Arabs think they don't deserve to live?


Yes, many Arabs fled in panic. The Jews also fled before conquering armies 1800 years ago. Do only Jews have a "right of return?" Speaking of which, read Arthur Koestler's "The Thirteenth Tribe." He cites Jewish scholarship that says east European Jewry descend from the Khazars, a Turkic-Mongol tribe that converted to Judaism in the Eighth Century. It's possible we may not descend from biblical Jews. Consider the twisted logic of Zionism. An oppressed people find a "national home" by dispossessing another people? It doesn't make sense. The Palestinians are sitting on the doorstep looking in their window? Do we expect them to forget? The situation makes sense only if the master plan was to subjugate and colonize them and the whole Muslim World. This is the disastrous direction in which we are headed. The better alternative: Ask Palestinians for forgiveness and offer friendship and generous compensation. The Saudi offer to accept Israel within the 1967 boundaries was an historic opportunity. Uri, read "anti Semitic" books like Henry Ford's "The International Jew". Most of them bear no malice against Jews. They attempt to protect Christian values against a secular materialistic and despotic plot led by people like the Rothschilds and Warburgs who happen to be Jewish but also includes people like the Rockefellers, Mellons, Rhodes and Bernhards who aren't. Uri, I have read parts of "The Protocols of Zion." For a forgery, it explains past and present better than most history books I've read. Frankly Jews who cry "anti Semitism" remind me of a man standing on another man's foot. When the victim objects, he's accused of being a racist. This is just an underhanded way of disarming him. It's time Jews stopped demonizing and slandering critics and started listening instead. Zionist leaders may have betrayed our people to a bizarre Masonic plot for World domination (New World Order). Uri, I know you are under a lot of pressure. But my beliefs do NOT endanger you. Your failure to face the truth does."

In brief, Israel will be the future seat of the Illuminist New World Order. Jews (both the ones who are the descendents of prophet Jacob also called by the name of Israel, and the descendents of the Turko-Mongolic Europeans known as the Ashkenazi Jews who converted from occultism to Judaism in the 8th century A.C. and currently make up 90% of the people who publicly identify themselves as Jews, however, they are Non-Israelite Jews as explained previously in the section on anti-Semitism) have been fooled by the Illuminatis to return to the land of Canaan to distract the whole World while the Illuminati and their brethren in collaboration gain control of the World's finances, natural resources (especially middle-east oil), news media, military-industrial complexes, intelligence agencies, etc (listen to G. Edward Griffin's talk on the CD). Eventually, a totalitarian World government will be run from Jerusalem with the "Anti-Christ" at its head after the Al-Aqsa Mosque has been collapsed (in a similar way to what happened to the Babri Mosque in Ayhodya India in the 1990's) and replaced with a replica of the ancient Temple of Solomon.



A model of Solomon's Temple. The Mason's built Rosslyn Chapel as an exact architectural blue print of Solomon's temple in Jerusalem, complete with a west wall, a narrow rectangular sanctuary, and a subterranean vault like the Holy of Holies in which the original 9 Templar Knights supposedly found ancient scrolls after massacring the Jewish and Muslim residents of Jerusalem during the 1st Crusades. The two pillars are also exact replicas of the two pillars that stood at the head of Solomon's Temple. (Boaz, the Mason's pillar, and the right pillar Jachin, the Apprentice pillar). These two pillars are the most replicated architectural structures in history. Rosslyn Chapel was built by the Mason Templars in 1446 A.C. and its name was derived from the Rose Line meridian on which the Chapel sat or "the bloodline of Rose" supposedly ancestral lineage. IT is often called the Cathedral of Codes and is 7 miles south of Edinburgh on the site of an ancient pagan Mithraic temple. The Templar Masons, because of their superstitious beliefs

concerning Prophet Solomon, believe that there is a "secret" in this Temple passed down from ancient pagan civilizations. It is for this reason that Masonic literature places so much emphasis on the Temple of Solomon over which Herod's temple was built by the Romans. They believe that a stash of hidden ancient documents are still buried beneath it ruins which contain information about the Holy Grail or San-Greal from Sang-Real or Holy Bloodlines. You can see Holy Grail symbolisms in many paintings (e.g. Da Vinci), music, books, theme parks, movies and cartoons; in fact, Walt Disney (modern day Da Vinci) made it his life's work to pass on the Holy Grail story by implanting hidden messages and symbolism in his art with allusions and metaphors.



FERVENT MASONIC DESIRE TO REBUILD SOLOMON'S TEMPLE AND RULE THE WORLD FROM JERUSALEM ARE THE DRIVING FORCE BEHIND THE EVENTS OF THE MID-EAST & WORLD

In the past, it was virtually impossible for the **NWO Thread weavers** to have a World wide field of influence due to the lack of mass communications. The invention of the printing press, radio, television and most recently the Internet have enabled them to consolidate their control unlike at any previous time in history. Look at the way over the past few decades how witchcraft has become so acceptable. It started in the mainstream media with a sit-com called 'Bewitched.' Since the inception of that show, there has been a flood of witchcraft themed shows. Sabrina the Teenage Witch, Buffy the Vampire Slayer and Charmed are just 3 examples of the current shows on television that follow this theme. Another OCCULT/PAGAN Masonic practice on the rise currently is Necromancy, talking to the dead, another fallacy. Shows like Crossing Over, Beyond and Tru Calling are gaining a wider and wider audience. The show Tru Calling takes the blasphemy to another level, not only is the title character a necromancer, she also resurrects the dead. Movies on the subject are also showing up more in the mainstream media, Ghost, The 6th Sense and Frequency are just 3 examples.

"Those that do not know history, ARE REPEATING IT, right this very minute."

This is all being accomplished through a process deceptively titled 'Television Programming.' although the correct term is 'Audience Programming', for it is the audience that is being 'programmed', to accept an increasing amount of overt OCCULT/PAGAN influence on their society and to desensitize them to the real life horror happening around them. Movies are another avenue of the Thread weavers system of control. Take a look at some of the more popular children's movies, from the Lion King to Harry Potter and you will find that OCCULTISM/PAGANISM is a prevalent theme.

In the Lion King, at Simba's birth, he is marked on his forehead; the mark of the beast is also found on the forehead or the hand. Simba is also a practitioner of necromancy; he talks to his dead father, who rises to the sky as a star constellation/god after he dies, as was the belief of the ancient Pharaohs of Egypt. Even the name of the movie is symbolic, The Lion King = The King of the Jungle King or the King of Kings. Simba also becomes a vegan, a New Age religious reference, forsaking the eating of other animals. Simba's uncle, Scar, (he also has a mark on his forehead) uses deception to keep Simba from taking his rightful place within the pride, just as the Thread weavers use deception to keep the populace from taking control over their birthright.

The Matrix is brimming with OCCULT references overlapping historical mainstream religious themes. Neo is a hacker and the main 'hero', also known as 'the one'. Neos is old Greek for young or new. The leader of the rebels is Morpheus. Morpheus is also the Greek god of dreams, the son of Hypnos, who is the Greek god of sleep. The Matrix is therefore Hypnos, and Morpheus is its son. The Matrix is an artificial 'DreamWorld'. It is a place where the true believers can impose their will on reality, just like the doctrines of some New (Neos) Age religions where the inner self is all-powerful. Morpheus is the captain of a ship called the Nebuchadnezzar, who was a Babylonian King that conquered Jerusalem and Destroyed the Temple. Neo's real name is Thomas Anderson and at first he doesn't believe that the Matrix was just a dream and that he has been 'Resurrected' (freed from the Matrix), so he is a doubting Thomas, like the Apostle. The Agents Smith, are 'demonic entities' which can possess any person in the Matrix at will. These are just a few examples from this ONE so-called 'SCI-FI' movie.

An entire book could be written on the OCCULT references in many Sci-Fi movies/series such as Star Wars, Star Trek and Babylon 5. In Star Wars the Jedi Knights carry 'light-sabers', these could easily be 'Illuminated Phalluses' that erect into being once the hilt is gripped firmly in hand. These weapons are also near omnipotent, they can Penetrate any material except another Illuminated Phal... er I mean another light-saber. The pilot episode of Star Trek, called "The Cage" (not originally aired) featured Capt. Christopher Pike, who was in a Matrix-like illusion controlled by aliens. General (Christopher) Albert Pike was a founder of the Ku Klux Klan and a Mason. These movies also place a heavy emphasis on military prowess and conflict. This is keeping in line with the Hollywood-Military-Financial complex's cult of Phallus worship. The current reverence for Long, Rigid Cylinders from which Hot Material Erupts to Penetrate a Target is just one facet of this cult's long history of degeneracy. Military generals are the modern day high priests of the cult of Death, war being the perfect cover for getting all costumed up and performing time honored rituals before the Human sacrifice (Passed through the Fire) begins.

The Harry Potter series is also totally saturated in OCCULTISM/PAGANISM. In fact it is based in the World of the OCCULT. There are numerous references to necromancy, witchcraft and demonology. Harry Potter also has a mark on his forehead, a lightening bolt shaped scar. Harry has a pet owl, his familiar, which is a symbol of Moloch/Baal, such as the owl at Bohemian Grove. Some of the teachers can change their form from human to animal, much the same as some of the pagan gods of mythology and demons mentioned in religious texts. The most frightening part of the Harry Potter series is that it is actually being taught in some schools as part of the mandatory curriculum. It is clear that the Thread weavers




are implementing their agenda through the entertainment industry. Keep in mind that this is done not by them writing any of the necessary materials themselves, they simply promote/advertise books, movies etc. that further their aims and ignore/vilify any materials that are contrary to their goals. Most of Disney's hidden messages dealt with pagan myths and stories of the subjugated sex goddess' or sacred feminine such as Cinderella, Sleeping Beauty Princess Aurora or Rose womb of the goddess, Snow White, Little Mermaid; check a freeze-frame of the Lion King to observe the subliminal word SEX over Simba's head. One of the Masonic Priore de Sion rituals involves public sex, a kind of phallic worship. The concept of sex as a pathway to self-divinity was practiced by ancient pagans. Pagan men seeking wholeness would come to the temple of Shekinah, who they believed to be a female god, to visit priestesses or hierodules with whom they had sex and experienced the devine. Note that the ancient name of Eve, Havah, pre-fixed with the masculine Jah creates the word Jehovah or YHWH, so we can see how pagans distorted the true meaning of God into the self-worship with sex. Pagan leaders don't like God's laws about usury and sexual commitment in marriage.

During the Crusades, the Templars ran their mission to reach for the ancient documents in the Temple of Solomon under the pretext of protection of the pilgrims. During the first Crusades, the Knights are said to have discovered something in the ruins that made them wealthy and powerful. King Baldwin granted them residence inside the Temple during the second Crusades. Nobody knows whether the Knights had blackmailed the Vatican or whether the Pope simply tried to buy the Knights' silence about what they had supposedly discovered, but Pope Innocent II did issue a Papal Bull that gave the Templar Masons limitless power and declared them an autonomous army above the law. Later, in the 1300s, a rift with the Vatican caused the barbaric persecution of the Templars by the Church; you might say a gang war or two mafias fighting each other. Pope Clement V issued secret sealed orders to be opened simultaneously by his soldiers all across Europe on Friday October 13th in 1307. Clement's Machiavellian operation came off with precision, however some Knights managed to escape to England and Scotland.

By the way, the 300 years after Constantine's council of Nicaea, there was a 3 century long inquisition against free-thinking women in which 5 million women scholars, priestesses, gypsies, mystics, pagan nature lovers, her gatherers, midwives were tortured or killed. This converted the World from a matriarchal paganism to a patriarchal from merged into Catholicism (see Dan Brown's DaVinci Code). Well, as long as we have mortgages to pay, families to raise and retirements to prepare for, it will be hard for us to find the courage to act on strong convictions and expose the collaborators of the New World Order for fear of reprisal (and there are plenty of examples of reprisals throughout history). This situation can

also work to cloud the minds of the skeptics and non-skeptics alike as a sense of denial can develop as a result of the perceived threat of reprisal.



Rosslyn Chapel (Scotland) at the past & present time and an example of its pagan symbols.

[More Documented Conspiracies: Here is something more for the skeptics \(and also non-skeptics\)](#)

A top banker once explained how the banker's money controls the media by advertising & loan money and by direct ownership of the media, and how the banks control the judges and law enforcement. A recent victim of this is Congressman Traficant. He exposed the Bankers. He called the IRS (the collection agency of the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank) a bunch of thieves. He exposed the bankruptcy of the United States. Now he is going to jail. He said it was selective prosecution and a conspiracy to put him in jail. On national TV, a juror in Traficant's trial, Lee Glasz said, "No doubt government was out to get Traficant." Here is another proof from 1968 when in the "Credit River" decision a jury in a Justice of the Peace court trial found that Federal Reserve Notes were not Moneys of Account of the United States and found them to be 'FRAUDS'. This case was on Dec. 7, 1968 before Justice Martin V. Mahoney of Credit River Minn. The case was about "Failure of consideration by a bank in a mortgage foreclosure on Jerome Daly's cabin at Prior Lake, Minn. Justice Mahoney declared that only "Gold and Silver Coins" were moneys of account of the United States, and that the Constitution is still the LAW today. "No state shall make any "THING" but Gold and Silver Coin a tender in payment of debts..." And of course since the Federal Government had been given only 18 to 20 powers under the Constitution it was a "Limited Government", and according to the 9th and 10th amendments the states and the people were Sovereign, and retained for themselves all of the other rights not specifically given to the Feds. This Minnesota Trial Court's decision held the Federal Reserve Act unconstitutional and VOID; held the National Banking Act unconstitutional and VOID; declared a mortgage acquired by the First National Bank of Montgomery, Minnesota in the regular course of its business, along with the foreclosure and the sheriff's sale, to be VOID.

This decision, which is legally sound, has the effect of declaring all private mortgages on real and personal property, and all U.S. and State bonds held by the Federal Reserve, National and State Banks to be null and VOID. This amounts to an emancipation of this nation from personal, national and State debt purportedly owed to this banking system. Every True American owes it to himself/herself, to his or her country, and to the people of the World for that matter, to study this decision very carefully and to understand it, for upon it hangs the question of freedom or slavery. The "Credit River Decision" was handed down by a jury of 12 on a cold day December 7th 1968, in the Credit River Township Hall. The court room was highly charged, and the Jury was all business. The banker testified about the mortgage loan given to Jerome Daly, but then Daly cross examined the banker about the creating of money "out of thin air". Daly asked the bank president, "If you were just opening up your bank and no one had yet made a deposit, and I came into your bank, and wanted to take out a loan of \$18,000.00, could you loan me that money? When the bank president said, "Yes" I thought the jury would faint. Daly then said, "Does this mean that you can create money out of thin air?" And the bank president said "yes, we can create money out of thin air". Justice Mahoney then said "IT SOUNDS LIKE FRAUD TO ME" and everybody in the court room nodded their heads indicating that they agreed with Justice Mahoney. Jerome Daly had taken on the banks, the Federal Reserve Banking System, and the money lenders, and had won. It is now twenty eight years since this "Landmark Decision," and Justice Mahoney is quoted more often than any Supreme Court justice ever was. The money boys that run the "private Federal Reserve Bank" soon got back at Mahoney by poisoning him in what appeared to have been a fishing boat accident (but with his body pumped full of poison) in June of 1969, less than 6 months later. Both Jerome Daly and Justice Martin V. Mahoney are truly the greatest men that I have ever had the pleasure to meet. The Credit River Decision was and still is the most important legal decision ever decided by a Jury.

[NOW, MORE PROOF OF THE BANKING DYNASTIES' POWER](#)

There have been many leading figures in history who have condemned usury and the power that goes with it. Besides those quoted, there were Benjamin Franklyn, Lord Acton, Ruskin, Dr Temple, (former Archbishop of Canterbury), Augustus John, (the painter), Dr M.A. Phillips, (creator of M&B 693), Professor Soddy, (the atomic physicist), and others. It often helps us to understand something if we examine origins. Throughout recorded history the practice of usury, along with the practice of giving false measure, has been condemned. Aristotle and Plato in ancient Greece denounced it as well as prophets in the Old Testament and early Christians. Yeshua-Joshua, it should be remembered, drove usurers out of the Temple in Jerusalem and this played a part in bringing about his apparent crucifixion by the Roman Pharisee King

Pilateos (Pilates). Buddhists have condemned it and the Quran does so as well (and probably other religious and non-religious books). While the old usurers at least lent their own money, the modern ones, the Bankers, are able to create it out of nothing. Aristotle and Plato in ancient Greece denounced it. Here is what the author of "Rural Rides" and MP in the reformed Parliament of 1832, William Cobbett, wrote: "I set to work to read the Act of Parliament by which the Bank of England was created, and all the Acts about loans, and funds, and dividends, and payings, and sinking funds and I soon began to perceive that the fate of the Kingdom must finally turn upon what should be done with that accursed thing called the National Debt. The sum at first borrowed was a mere trifle. The inventors knew well what they were about. Their design was to mortgage by degrees the whole of the country... to those who would lend money to the State... the deep scheme has from its ominous birth been breeding usurers of every description, feeding and fattening on the vitals of the country, till it has produced what the World never saw before - starvation in the midst of abundance!"

The House of Rothschild - a term used to refer to the various branches of an international banking family where members of the family all descended from a common ancestor, the German financier Mayer Amschel Rothschild. For almost 200 years the house of Rothschild exerted a great influence on European economic history. Members of the family have spread to the major financial capitals of Europe. Mayer Amschek was appointed financial agent of the British Government during the French revolutionary wars [1789]. With his five sons, he founded additional branches of the Rothschild banking house in four European cities. The Bank of England, central bank of Great Britain, is a financial institution with special privileges and responsibilities. Located in London, it is often called the "Old Lady of Threadneedle Street." It was projected by English financier William Paterson and was incorporated on July 27, 1694, as a private joint-stock association, with a capital of 1.2 million. In return for the loan of its entire capital to the government (of Great Britain) it received the right to issue notes and a monopoly on corporate banking in England. After the Battle of Waterloo, the Rothschilds managed to take ownership of the Bank of England from its former private owners.

Europe

Lenin, in "Imperialism -The Last Stage", wrote: "In running the current account of a certain number of capitalists, the bank may seem to be undertaking only a technical process; but when these operations assume extensive proportions, the result is that a handful of monopolists control all the operations... of capitalist society. They can, by means of their banking connections, by knowing financial operations, first ascertain exactly the position of isolated capitalists, then control them, act on them by restricting their credits, or, on the contrary, by extending them, at length they can entirely determine their fate..." Lenin, a cruel dictator, was also a shrewd observer.

"Bundesbank - The Bank That Rules Europe", by David Marsh (1992). The purpose of this book is to show the extensive power held by this central bank. On page 169 under the heading i: "THE CENTRAL BANK CAN TOPPLE THE GOVERNMENT..." This deals with conflicts between Fritz Schaffer, West Germany's first Finance Minister with Wilhelm Vocke, the bank leader. Vocke complains (1950): "The main theme running through your newly drawn-up regulations is a certain mistrust towards the central bank. As you say yourself your primary concern is that: 'The Central Bank can topple the government.'" This power was to increase and the Bundesbank was able to remove three chancellors, Ludwig Erhard (1966), Kurt Georg Keisinger (1969) and Helmut Schmidt (1981).

Britain

Gladstone (Morley's "Life of Gladstone") said: "From the time I took office as Chancellor of the Exchequer I began to learn that the State held, in the face of the Bank and the City, an essentially false position as to finance... The hinge of the whole position was this: the Government itself was not to be a substantive power in matters of finance, but was to leave the Money Power supreme and unquestioned. In the conditions of that situation I was reluctant to acquiesce, and I began to fight against it by financial self-assertion from the first... I was tenaciously opposed by the Governor and Deputy-Governor of the Bank, who had seats in Parliament, and I had the city for an antagonist on almost every occasion."

The Financial Times, Sept. 26, 1921 "Whoever may be the indiscreet minister who revives the money-trust bogey at a moment when the Government [Lloyd George's] has most need to be polite to the banks, should be put through an elementary course of instruction in fact, as well as in manners. Does he, do his colleagues, realise that half a dozen men at the top of the big five banks could upset the whole fabric of Government finance by refraining from renewing treasury bills?"

America

Thomas Jefferson in Congress, 1809 'I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the Government at defiance. The issuing power [of money] should be taken from the banks and restored to the Government and to the people to whom it belongs.'" Andrew Jackson and Abraham Lincoln made similar comments.

Letter written from London by the Rothschilds to their New York agents introducing their banking method into America: "The few who can understand the system will be either so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favours, that there will be no opposition from that class, while, on the other hand, that great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that Capital derives from the system, will bear its burden without complaint and, perhaps, without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests."

From the United States Bankers' Magazine of 1892: "We must proceed with caution, and guard well every move made, for the lower orders of people are already showing signs of restless commotions. Prudence will, therefore, dictate a policy of apparently yielding to the popular will until all of our plans are so far consummated that we can declare our designs

without fear of any organised resistance. The Farmers' Alliance and the Knights of Labour organisations in the United States should be carefully watched by our trusted men, and we must take immediate steps to control these organisations in our interests or disrupt them. The coming Omaha Convention, to be held July 4th, our men must attend and direct its movements, or else there will be set on foot such antagonism to our designs as may require force to overcome. This, at the present time, would be premature. We are not yet ready for such a crisis. Capital must protect itself in every possible manner through combination and legislation. The courts must be called to our aid. Debts must be collected, bonds and mortgages foreclosed as rapidly as possible. Where, through a process of law, the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and easily governed through the influence of the strong arm of government, applied by central power of imperial wealth, under the control of leading financiers. The truth is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming an imperialism of capital to govern the World. While they are doing this the people must be kept in a condition of political antagonism. The question of tariff reform must be urged through the organisation known as the Democratic Party, and the question of protection and reciprocity must be forced to view through the Republican Party. By thus dividing the voters we can get them to expend their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us, except as teachers to lead the common herd. Thus by discreet actions we can secure all that has so generously planned and successfully accomplished."

Dr Carroll Quigley, famous American historian, in "Tragedy and Hope", 1966, wrote: "...Nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole, the system to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences.."

"Don't Bank On It", by Alix Granger 1981, (Published by Doubleday Canada Ltd and also by a company in New York), shows how Canada is controlled by the banks there. It quotes Peter Newman in "The Canadian Establishment": "The bank boards distil power. Among them, the three hundred directors hold more than three thousand directorships of corporations with assets totaling \$700 Billion." Alix Granger goes on to say, "Their influence spreads into every recess of the country, building up the economy and creating prosperity and employment in one area, tearing down or restricting growth in others through their loan policies, their barriers to competition, their price fixing, and their influence on legislation." p31/32.

Still still still somewhat skeptical? Well, let's look at the [History of oil price manipulation to keep them high](#)

[HTTP://WWW.AMAZON.COM/EXEC/OBIDOS/TG/DETAIL/-/0395592208/002-7387828-0132026?v=GLANCE&VI=REVIEWS](http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0395592208/002-7387828-0132026?v=glance&vi=reviews) [HTTP://WWW.GRANDPRIX.COM/GPE/FUE-013.HTML](http://www.grandprix.com/gpe/fue-013.html)
[HTTP://TEAMWORK.UCDAVIS.EDU/~GEL115/115CH13OIL.HTML](http://teamwork.ucdavis.edu/~gel115/115ch13oil.html)
[HTTP://WWW.TABLETNEWSPAPER.COM/POLITICS/66_TFTGK.HTM](http://www.tabletnewspaper.com/politics/66_tftgk.htm)
[HTTP://WWW.VIRGINIA.EDU/IGPR/APAGOILHISTORY.HTML](http://www.virginia.edu/igpr/apagoilhistory.html) [HTTP://HISTORY.SANDIEGO.EDU/GEN/WW1/OIL.HTML](http://history.sandiego.edu/gen/ww1/oil.html)

One of the most common disparagements of the conspiracy model is that the conspiracy is "too big", that there are "too many people involved" to keep it secret. Hogwash. There is nothing in this World that cannot be solved with the judicious application of a little palm grease. Throw in the occasional threat of violence, and it is amazing how many people keep their damn mouths shut. The mixture of complicity and fear that keeps the lid on the initial stages of a conspiracy are merely compounded by time. Like any other process, conspiracies can become institutionalized and as such, take on a life of their own. It is one thing to admit complicity in an event that has just occurred; how much worse does it look to admit knowledge of a conspiracy for say, 75 years? (Can anyone say Big Tobacco?) One other point to keep in mind is the sheer attraction of wealth and power, especially to those born into it. Conspiracies can also become institutionalized within families, especially the families of the extremely wealthy. Oil is the major fuel used by people today. Because oil is liquid, it is easy to mine by drilling and pumping rather than excavation, and it is easy to transport in tankers and pipelines. Nevertheless, the history of oil supply has been dominated by the time and place of discoveries, with enormous results on the history of the 20th century. It has also been dominated by a few individuals, companies, and nations. Before we get into that, however, I want to make the point that petroleum and petroleum products have been minor components in international trade for thousands of years.

Bitumen and Egyptian Mummies. Bitumen is a spongy cake-like substance that forms when much of the volatile content of crude oil has evaporated off. Because it is light enough to float, bitumen "rafts" form from oil seeps deep under the Dead Sea, and eventually pop to the surface where they can be collected from rafts or boats. In ancient time, bitumen was much in demand by the Egyptians for use in preserving mummies, and a bitumen trade built up across the Sinai Desert. Nasty little wars broke out over these trade routes, and the rise and fall of the Nabateans of Petra is linked with this trade. The Chinese invented Oil Drilling. The Chinese used oil from natural seeps as fuel to boil salt. They invented the oil pipeline, using lengths of bamboo to take oil to the salt pans. As an extension of this technology, they pounded bamboo vertically into the seeps, thus inventing oil drilling as they penetrated deeper and deeper. The technology was much admired by a European visitor early in the 19th century, and (with iron pipe instead of bamboo) was transferred to the west. Finally it was used in the first large-scale oil drilling ever attempted, in the eastern United States. The modern oil era began in northwest Pennsylvania in the mid-19th century, as shallow fields were tapped. The demand for oil was not great: its major use, after refining, was as kerosene for oil lamps. Even moderate discoveries (by today's standards) flooded the market, crashing prices at least temporarily. The early days of the oil industry were characterized by boom and bust, as new discoveries first overwhelmed demand, then lagged behind it. Prices fell from \$10 a barrel in January 1861 to 10¢ a barrel in December 1861, but were up to \$7.25 a barrel again by September 1863, down to \$2.40 in 1867. Fortunes were made and lost in boom towns and stock speculations that rivaled any in the gold industry.

The innovation that allowed some control over the chaos was the oil pipeline. Barrels (real barrels, made of oak) were expensive, sometimes worth more than the oil they contained. They were expensive to transport on wagons, too. By 1866, pipelines, made of wood at first, had been built to the major producing fields, transporting oil to railheads where tanker cars could be filled. After that, there was only one real choice: the oil flowed to the nearest industrial city for refining, Cleveland, Ohio. The oil fields were scattered in rough country, owned by small-time entrepreneurs, and new discoveries were unpredictable in location and size. They were, however, likely to occur in the same region, and they would be connected by pipelines to the existing rail network, and funneled to Cleveland. After refining, the kerosene was marketed nation-wide.

Rockefeller Dynasty Oil Crimes From 1911 to 9-11: The Institutions of Conspiracy

Old Rockefeller made his first fortune in bootlegging of liquor, then he moved into the oil business and started to control steel mills, railroads, industrial plants, etc. in order to monopolize the refining business (he became as big as the current 7 sisters, namely SOCAL, Exxon, Mobil, Texaco, Amoco, Amerada Hess). Rockefeller reasoned that the way to monopolize the oil industry was within the transportation and refining section. In particular, refineries were comparatively long-term investments. At the age of 20, Rockefeller had entered business just as the Civil War began, and made a lot of money supplying the Army with wheat, salt, and pork. In 1863 Cleveland was connected with the Pennsylvania oilfields by rail, and Rockefeller and a partner opened an oil refinery in Cleveland. In 1866 he bought out his partner, and at the age of 26 owned the largest refinery in the city. Using the size of his shipments to negotiate low prices for railroad transport, Rockefeller and his Standard Oil Company became the largest oil company in North America, amalgamating and controlling the refining side of the industry. By 1879 Standard Oil controlled 90% of the refining capacity in the United States, and all the pipelines flowing out of the Pennsylvania oilfields.

In the 1880s, Standard moved into oilfield production too. By 1890, it was producing or buying over 80% of the oil produced in the United States, and refining and selling it. Standard was exporting kerosene, too: half of the kerosene it produced was exported, mainly to Europe, and kerosene was the fourth-largest American export commodity. Standard Oil of Ohio, owned by John D. Rockefeller, was refining 90 percent of all crude oil in the United States and had begun its international expansion. At the end of the century, the Standard Oil Trust controlled the oil industry of the Americas, while Shell was a major player in much of the rest of the World. Rockefeller expanded his sphere of control outside the oil business. In 1891, a Rockefeller grant founds the University of Chicago. In 1892, the Rockefeller trust was transferred to a holding company: Standard Oil of New Jersey. In 1894, John Dewey known as the "father of progressive education" starts teaching at the University of Chicago. He is to teach four of the five Rockefeller brothers. He wrote: "There is no God and no soul. Hence, there are no needs for the props of traditional religion. With dogma and creed excluded, then immutable (unchangeable) truth is also dead and buried. There is no room for fixed, natural law or permanent moral absolutes". In 1901, the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research (Rockefeller University) was founded in New York. In 1902, the Rockefeller General Education Board GEB was founded. In 1908, Rockefeller was connected with founding the FBI. Also in 1908, Congress passed the Aldrich-Vreeland Act calling for the establishment of National Monetary Commission and the man placed as Chairman of the commission was Sen. Nelson Aldrich after an artificially induced money panic. His daughter, Abby, was married to John D. Rockefeller Jr. In 1913, the Rockefeller Foundation was founded.

Senator Nelson Aldrich, the maternal grandfather of today's Rockefeller brothers, proposes the Aldrich Bill to establish the Federal Reserve Act which passes in 1913. The Rockefeller dynasty along with the Rothschild dynasty are two of the 12 major owners of the Fed. Rockefeller money was instrumental in establishing the Leagues of Nations in 1920 and the Rockefellers donated the land in 1950 for the current UN building in New York. Money for the founding of the CFR in 1921 came from J. P. Morgan, Bernard Baruch, Otto Kahn, Jacob Schiff, Paul Warburg, and John D. Rockefeller, among others with the help of the Round Table Group. This was the same crowd involved in the forming of the Federal Reserve. Prominent figures who have served as CFR directors since 1921 include: Walter Lippman, Adlai Stevenson, Cyrus Vance, Zbigniew Brzezinske, Robert O. Anderson, Paul Volcker, Theodore M. Hesburgh, Lane Kirkland, George H. W. Bush, Henry Kissinger, David Rockefeller, George Shultz, Alan Greenspan, Brent Scowcroft, Jeane J. Kirkpatrick, and Richard B. Cheney. Three major events altered this situation: in 1901 the great Spindletop gusher brought Texas oil into the picture, eventually bringing Gulf and Texaco into the big leagues; in 1911 the US Government used anti-trust legislation to break up the Standard company; and the World War of 1914-1918 brought to everyone's attention the fact that petroleum was now vital to waging and winning wars. The scene was set for oil to dominate much economic, political, and military thinking, and that situation continues today.

We know now that most of the World's oil production and most of the World's oil reserves will be in the Middle East for the foreseeable future. The predominant oil-bearing region lies in an arc from Iraq and Iran through Kuwait and Saudi Arabia, with the smaller Gulf States like Abu Dhabi and Bahrain on the fringe of the belt. That was not known until early in the 20th century. In 1904 an Armenian businessman, Calouste Gulbenkian, reported to the Turkish Sultan Abdul Hamid on the oil potential of the then Turkish provinces in Iraq: the Sultan promptly transferred large areas into his own personal possession. The Iraq Petroleum Company was formed in 1914, with capital coming from a consortium of British and Dutch companies. The Anglo-Persian Oil Company, which eventually evolved into BP, struck oil in Iran in 1908, and in 1911 Winston Churchill, then head of the British Admiralty, used Government money to buy half of the company on behalf of the Royal Navy. Churchill also decided that new British battleships would be fueled by oil rather than coal, and the Iranian supplies were very valuable to the British in World War I. In the early part of the Twentieth Century, oil began to be the most important product in the World. In 1910, the mightiest military force in the World under Churchill, the British Navy, converted its entire fleet to oil power. From that point on, access to oil has been the single most important denominator of military success. Let us look at a conspiracy in point with the Rockefellers, the Bushes and their related families, the

Walkers. These mafias were bankers and railway men who got into the oil business under the auspices of the Rockefeller family. In 1911, Rockefeller's Standard Oil Trust was broken up under anti-trust laws into five components: Exxon (Jersey Standard, Mobil (Socony), SoCal, Gulf and Texaco, although Rockefeller maintained at least minority ownership in all of these companies. The Supreme Court ruled that Standard Oil of New Jersey was in violation of the Sherman Anti-Trust Law. It was dissolved among 33 companies but John D. Rockefeller had 25 percent of the stock in each of the firms and there wasn't a shred of competition among any of them. Offshoots included Exxon, Mobil, Standard Oil of California, Standard Oil of Indiana, Sohio (Standard Oil of Ohio), Marathon, Phillips 66, and Chevron.

The American and British ruling circles have been engaged in a policy of military imperialism for several centuries. The American revolution was fought to bring the United States under new, non-British rulers, with the new regime sold to the public as a democracy. In the twentieth century, these American ruling elites have revolved around the Rockefeller, Brown, Harriman, and Morgan family dynasties (all from Germany just like the House of Windsor, the so-called "British" Royal Family). The Bush (Bosch) family, beginning with Prescott Bush, have served as satraps of the Rockefeller, Brown, and Harriman interests. The British and American ruling cabals decided that the energy of choice for the World would be oil and natural gas (not coal)—just as the drugs of choice would be alcohol and tobacco. To overcome the problem of his oil holdings being broken apart by the U.S. government in 1911, John Rockefeller set out to control the World's energy reserves. World War I was the strategy of the Rockefeller/Rothschild World oil cartel (Standard, Shell, British Petroleum) to take over the colonies of France, Holland, Spain and Portugal. The engines of war now ran on petroleum-based products, so ownership of oil could now determine who won or lost a war--therefore who would rule the World. Oil, instead of gold, became the token of power.

By the end of World War I the central place of petroleum in World strategy had become obvious, and the dramatic thirst of military operations had led to fears that there would be a global oil shortage, and to quick appreciation of the profits to be made in such circumstances. American companies, who had been unwilling to explore abroad when vast oilfields were being discovered at home in Texas and California, began to look overseas, and the American government began to use considerable political and economic pressure to try to force American companies into the European-dominated consortia in the Middle East. However, new fields came on line in the 1920s, and the big companies were soon worrying instead about an oil glut. By 1919, the Oil Empire, not based on countries or nations, but on private corporations, now ruled the World. The Big Three oil cartel, which controlled oil in the Persian Gulf and Southeast Asia areas, wanted to gain control over the vast oil reserves in the southern part of the Soviet Union. They financed the fascist regimes in Germany, Italy (& the Pope), and Japan with the hope that they would invade and control Russia. The Oil Rulers planned to defeat the German, Italian, and Japanese regimes and take control of the oil reserves in the Soviet Union. (In addition, the Rockefeller circle also planned to take control of Persian Gulf oil from the British-Persian Oil cartel and seize control of Southeast Asian oil from Royal Dutch Shell).

John F. Hylan, Mayor of New York 1918-1925, said "The real menace of our Republic is the invisible government which like a giant octopus sprawls its slimy legs over our cities, states, and nation. ... It seizes in its long and powerful tentacles our executive officers, our legislative bodies, our schools, our courts, our newspapers, and every agency created for the public protection... To depart from mere generalisations, let me say that at the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller-Standard Oil interest and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as the international Bankers. The little coterie of powerful international Bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes. They practically control both parties, write political platforms, make catspaws of party leaders, use the leading men of private organisations, and resort to every device to place in nomination for high public office only such candidates as will be amenable to the dictates of corrupt big business... These international Bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of newspapers and magazines in this country".

By 1928 there were negotiations between BP, Shell, and Exxon (I have used the modern names of oil companies in the discussion that follows to save confusion: thus, "Exxon" rather than "Esso"; I call the largest oil companies "the majors" or seven sisters) in a Scottish castle, and the so-called Achnacarry Agreement or the American version of OPEC, set out working principles to avoid competition at the marketing end of the oil industry. The agreement specifically excluded the US market because of its powerful anti-trust legislation, but there is no question that the companies had no intention of serious competition there if they could hammer out an agreement for the rest of the World. In Rockefeller's own words "competition is a sin". The Economist of London praised the Achnacarry Agreement as "an example of the effectiveness of international cooperation in oil marketing." The Economist was pleased with the "stability" of the prices of oil and gasoline, but it's not clear whether the article was written with the seller or the consumer in mind. Mobil, Gulf, and Texaco had joined the three founder companies by 1932, to make six. The results for producers were very rewarding: stable (but higher) prices gouged the consumer for decades, and "pirates" were dealt with summarily whenever possible.

With the Achnacarry Agreement in hand, each large company could feel that it would be able to negotiate a market share for its oil without seeing petroleum prices crash. The stage was now set for serious prospecting, and for staking out major oilfields, even though every company could see that it would not be in a position to pump all the oil that it found. After 1928, therefore, the era of the great Middle East oil strikes began, though Middle East production remained low. In 1928 the six-year negotiations over Iraq were completed, and the Iraq Petroleum Company was re-divided. 5% went to the formidable Mr. Gulbenkian, and the other 95% was shared equally between the British (BP), the Dutch (Shell), the French (CFP, the Compagnie Française Pétrole), and a Rockefeller-controlled American group (Exxon + Mobil). The Iraq company was essentially set up as an accounting company, to share the production costs and the crude oil between the partners. In 1929, the CFR moved to Harold Pratt Building on 68th Street. The CFR (Rothschild/Rockefellers/Carnegie/Morgan) manipulate the major stock market crash and Worldwide depression ensues. The Rockefellers swallow up the smaller

banks for 7 cents on the dollar. In 1930, The Rockefeller Foundation had started and financed certain studies known as The War and Peace Studies, manned largely by associates of the Council on Foreign Relations; the State Department, in due course, took these Studies over, retaining the major personnel which The Council on Foreign Relations had supplied.

On June 1, 1932, Socal (now Chevron) struck oil in Bahrain, the first strike in the Arabian peninsula. In 1933 BP extended its Iranian lease for another 60 years. Gulf joined with BP to explore a Kuwaiti concession in 1934. But 1938 marked the major turning point in Middle East oil history: Gulf and BP struck the Burgan field in Kuwait, and Chevron struck oil in Saudi Arabia. In 1933, Franklin Roosevelt, one of the Syndicate's front men issues Presidential Executive Order of April 5, 1933 requiring all Americans to take their gold bullion, gold coins, and gold-backed currency to their banks and exchange them for paper currency that was not redeemable in precious metal. The banks, in turn, were required to deliver the gold and gold coins to the Federal Reserve Bank. President Roosevelt (CFR) declared the US. bankrupt and signed over U.S. monetary power to World Bankers (Rothschilds/Rockefellers - Illuminati). It became illegal to own gold so all US citizen sell their gold to the FED. Franklin D. Roosevelt, U.S. President, in a letter written Nov. 21, 1933 to Colonel E. Mandell House, states: ... "The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the U.S. since the days of Andrew Jackson.

The Newstates Constitution written by Rexford G. Tugwell in concord with 100 other social changers, at the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions in Santa Barbara, California, was financed by the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations. In 1934, The NEA (National Education Association) financed largely by the Rockefeller and Carnegie foundations, adopts John Dewey's philosophy of humanism, socialism, and globalism, and incorporates it into the classroom. While all of this is going on, Dewey manages to slip away to Russia for a time to help organize the Marxist educational system there. Chevron's history goes back to wildcatter Frederick Taylor, who struck oil in California in the 1870s. In 1879 he joined with other small operators to form Pacific Coast Oil in order to compete with John D. Rockefeller's Standard Oil in California. In 1900 Standard bought Pacific Coast. When Standard Oil was broken up by the Supreme Court in 1911, the company's West Coast operations were lumped together in the Standard Oil Company of California. This was based in San Francisco. The company quickly became known as Socal, but began marketing under the Chevron name. Socal continued to explore in Louisiana and the Gulf of Mexico and in 1961 expanded its gas station empire by buying the Standard Oil Company of Kentucky.

Rockefeller's first intent was to regain majority control of "his" companies. The venerable firm of Brown Brothers, Harriman was engaged to handle the Rockefeller business interests. Employed at the firm were George Walker and his son-in-law, Prescott Bush. In 1926, Exxon merged its corporate interests with IG Farben of Germany (the same year that Ibn Saud consolidated control of the Kingdom bearing his name). In 1927 May 20, Saudi Arabia became independent of Great Britain with the Treaty of Jeddah. In 1931, Mohammad bin Laden immigrated to Saudi Arabia from Yemen. He established a construction business and built close ties with the king. In 1931, Osama bin Laden was born in Jeddah to a Syrian mother. He was the 17th of 51 children of Muhammad bin Laden, a baggage carrier, who left Yemen in 1931. Muhammad and his brothers were the founders of a prosperous construction company. By 1933, the year Hitler took power, the concessions to the Saudi and Bahraini oilfields were controlled by SoCal (Standard Oil of California), one of the Rockefeller companies. On May 29th 1933, Saudi Arabia gave Standard Oil of California exclusive rights to explore for oil (www.chevron.com) and in November a subsidiary named California Arabian Standard Oil Co was established. In 1938 Jan, Standard Oil of California found some gas and oil at their 1st Saudi Arabia test well, Damman No. 1. (www.chevron.com). In 1936 on Mar 3, Standard Oil of California struck oil at Damman No 7. Aramco made the first commercial oil find in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. The English Arabist, H. St. John Philby, orchestrated the Aramco concession in Saudi Arabia. (HN, 3/15/98)(WSJ, 3/8/99, p.A16)(SFEC, 6/27/99, p.T3)(www.chevron.com). Standard of California (later Chevron) discovered more oil than it could sell in Saudi Arabia and so turned to the Texas Company to establish a joint venture called Caltex to sell the oil - the California Texas Oil Company - with Texas Oil Co (later Texaco) in order to cope. The 1930s saw the Texas Company expanded nationwide across the United States. In 1944, the Saudi operations became known as the Arabian American Oil Company - Aramco. In 1948 Caltex sold 40% of its Saudi operations to Socony (later Mobil) and Jersey Standard (later Exxon, and now together as ExxonMobil).

In 1939, the year WWII started, the Saudi Oilfields were reorganized under the control of a joint SoCal and Texas Oil Company venture called Aramco. In 1943, the same year Prescott Bush had all of his assets seized for collaborating with the Nazis, President Roosevelt decided that the Federal government should take over control of Aramco. After much wrangling and mergers with the remaining Rockefeller companies, Aramco was taken over by the Feds and once again Rockefeller had a de facto monopoly over the most important resource on Earth, and the concurrent leverage over the Federal Government. In 1941, a 3,000 bpd refinery opened in Ras Tanura, Saudi Arabia but was closed after 6 months due to equipment shortages caused by WW II. Oil did not begin to ship from Saudi Arabia until 1945 because of World War II, but it was clear that Chevron's discovery had made it overnight into a major, globally powerful company. Its oil was marketed through Texaco's global sales network under the name Caltex, while the Saudi part of the partnership was called Aramco, the Arabian American Oil Company. King Ibn Saud of Saudi Arabia requested more cash return from his oil fields, and Aramco (Chevron + Texaco) began to expand Saudi production and to sell oil at a price that undercut Texas prices. Even so, production costs in the Saudi oilfields were so low that the partners were making a larger profit than anyone could make from Texas production. The implied threat and gentle pressure brought the other companies into negotiation, and soon Chevron became a seventh major partner in the international selling agreements. This may have had something to do with the fact that at the time the Rockefeller interests were still the largest shareholders in Mobil, Exxon, and Chevron. By a major reorganization in 1947, Mobil and Exxon bought into Aramco, leaving Chevron, Exxon, and Texaco with 30% each, and Mobil with 10%. This meant, in essence, Rockefeller control over Aramco.

Even so, Saudi production was nowhere near full capacity. Complex conditions were negotiated between the big companies to ensure that new production from the Middle East would be marketed without major competition. A US government report said in 1952 that the seven international oil companies operating in the Middle East were jointly controlling oil prices. This report gave rise to the expression **The Seven Sisters** for the companies involved: the four Aramco members, Exxon, Mobil, Chevron, and Texaco; the Kuwaiti partners Gulf and BP (British Petroleum); and Shell, which had a share in the Iraq Petroleum Company, and had enormous marketing capacity. Although they had a stranglehold on Middle East oil as early as the 1930s, the Seven Sisters were still in control as late as 1972, when they produced 91% of the Middle East's oil and 77% of World supply outside the US and the communist countries.

The Seven Sisters thus controlled Middle East production, in a way that would have seemed inconceivable to John D. Rockefeller. It was also obvious that the oil industry was susceptible to control at the refining and marketing end of the market, as the Standard Oil Trust had shown at the turn of the century. The break-up of the Standard Oil in 1911 had effectively warned off any overt attempts at controlling the large American market for a long time, but the same constraints did not apply to the rest of the World. The cartel's activities in controlling marketing were widely known and often approved during the 1930s, as we saw. In the United States, the oil companies had to be much more circumspect in their efforts to control production or marketing. Although the Standard Oil Trust was broken up into several dozen "independent" units in 1911, there was a great deal of interlocking share holding, and the Rockefeller interests held enough shares in enough of the companies to influence mutual interactions. In 1938, for example, Rockefeller interests held 20% of Exxon, 16% of Mobil, 12% of Chevron, and 11% of Amoco, and since all the other shares were widely dispersed, that was considered "working control" in all four companies by the Government.

The major oil companies (majors) had already negotiated the basic Achnacarry Agreement when a major crisis erupted for them in Texas. On October 3, 1930, the gigantic East Texas field was discovered by an independent wildcatter, and new crude oil began literally to pour into the domestic market in 1931. The oil companies were faced by a dramatic new source of oil that they did not control. They began to buy up leases in the new fields, but the quantity of oil was too great to be absorbed easily, and the East Texas fields were soon producing a million barrels a day, one-third of all United States production. The majors were able to survive the crisis by their control over refining and marketing. However much new crude oil was found, it still had to be refined and marketed through a system that was already tightly controlled. The majors, in effect, could simply state what they would pay for crude oil from the new fields. As new oil began to flow, that price was about 70¢/barrel. The majors now began to promote policies under the cloak of "conservation" that would limit "wasteful" production: it was already clear that pumping oil too rapidly from a field could damage long-term production. The ends were entirely correct and respectable, of course, but certainly the conversion to conservation was well timed. As the majors decided that free-for-all production must stop, they filled Austin, Texas with lobbyists, and in November 1932, the Texas legislature passed the Market Demand Act. Under "conservation," the law defined as "prohibitible waste" any production that was in excess of "market demand."

As soon as the Act became law, the majors dropped their offering price to 25¢ a barrel on January 1933, and then to 10¢ a barrel. Naturally this cut production dramatically, as "market demand" dropped. The majors bought up tens of millions of barrels at give-away prices before many of the independents went out of business, and the price did not rise back up to \$1 a barrel until September 1933, as the majors gained control. In 1935 Congress passed an Interstate Compact to Conserve Oil and Gas and the Connolly Act. Between them, these laws assigned strict production quotas to each State. In the end, US domestic production was limited under the cloak of conserving natural resources. Once again, the ends were admirable, but it's clear that the legislation was passed on behalf of the majors. By 1958 Texas oil wells were allowed to pump oil for only 97 days a year. The legislation was used to hold down production in order to maintain stable prices. The United States was brought into the second World war when in July 1941, President Roosevelt signed an embargo to stop all shipping to Japan. This was said to be in retaliation for the recent Japanese invasion of French Indo-China. Roosevelt's U.S. embargo cut off the Japanese oil supply, which would have quickly shut down Japan's entire economy. In late November 1941 the Japanese sent a written "war warning" through diplomatic channels to Washington, demanding that the embargo be stopped, or else American sites in the Pacific would be attacked in retaliation. That formal diplomatic warning was ignored and the U.S. made no reply. Just two weeks later the Japanese bombed the American embargo ships located in Pearl Harbor with the full pre-knowledge of Roosevelt (see History of Fake Terror on the CD).

In 1939 and '40, the Germans and Italians did not attack Russia as the Big Three had planned. Instead, German General Rommel rushed across North Africa to grab the Suez Canal and control all oil shipping through the canal. Rommel then planned to drive through to Persia and toss out the British from the British-Persian oil fields. Meanwhile, after a failed attack on Russia in 1939, the Japanese swept through Southeast Asia and seized all the oil holdings of Royal Dutch Shell. With the defeat of Japan in 1945, most of those Royal Dutch fields came under the control of Rockefeller's Standard Oil. Hitler had planned to capture the oil fields in Romania by 1939 so Germany would have its own supply of oil. This was accomplished. Then Rommel was to have captured the oil fields in Persia by 1941, the oil fields in Russia in 1942. Only then would Hitler have sufficient fuel for prosecuting a war with the United States. But less than a week after the Pearl Harbor attack, the Japanese convinced Hitler to declare war on the United States. Hitler agreed only if the Japanese would attack Russia, since German troops were now bogged down in Russia and Hitler would gain strategic advantage if the Russians had to defend themselves from Japan on their eastern flank. When the Japanese failed to attack Russia, Hitler was driven out of Russia and now was without a fuel source. The Romanian oil fields in Ploesti were insufficient for Germany to carry on a war on two fronts, and Germany's war effort began to collapse. The last major German campaign was the Battle of the Bulge, in which Field Marshal Gerd von Rundstedt was to attack the invading allies with his tanks, then capture the Allied fuel dumps. This would stop the American and British forces and obtain the necessary fuel for Germany to continue its war effort. But General Eisenhower ordered the Allied fuel dumps burned and Germany was defeated.

The British-Persian Oil Company controlled the vast oil fields in Iran. The Persians had declared their alignment with Adolf Hitler's Nazi "Aryan Race" movement and were fully expecting German General Rommel to come rushing across Africa and "free" them from the British. They had even proclaimed their alignment with Hitler by changing the name of their country from Persia to "Aryan," (or "Iran" in the Farsi language), but the Germans failed to save them.

On February 17 1950, CFR member James Warburg, testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, stated, "We shall have World government whether or not you like it - by conquest or consent....The amount of Money in existence varies only with the action of the banks in increasing and decreasing deposits and bank purchases. Every loan, overdraft or bank purchase creates a deposit and every repayment of a loan, overdraft or bank sale destroys a deposit".

The Reece Committee, Congressional investigation of major tax-exempt foundations linked to the international money cartel, centered on Rockefeller, Ford, Carnegie, and Guggenheim foundations but were unable to attract any attention from the media. Among those secondary foundations investigated were the National Education Association, the John Dewey Society, the United Nations Association and the Council on Foreign Relations. The Rockefeller Foundation was financing Dr. Alfred Kinsey's studies on sexual behavior through the National Research Council to produce a series of untrue and unscientific reports promoting sexual freedom (promiscuity). They found that the National Education Association and numerous other education organizations were producing and promoting curricula that advocated socialism and globalism with the intent of preparing students for a one-World society. The cartel has been able to further its global agenda in the field of foreign affairs through political think-tanks such as the United Nations Association, the Institute of Pacific Relation, and the Council on Foreign Relations, to name just a few. They also determined at least one foreign foundation instituted by Cecil Rhodes, the famous British industrialist and globalist. Rhodes (a land and diamond monopolizing enslaver), who was a close ally of the Rothschilds and European Masonry promoted globalism through the Rhodes Scholarship Fund to recruit the World's brightest minds into Illuminism (Bill Clinton is a Rhodes scholar). Norman Dodd, the director of research for the Reece Committee, had at one point during the investigation been invited to the headquarters of the Ford Foundation by its president, H. Rowan Gaither, who was a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. During this visit Gaither told Dodd: "All of us here at the policymaking level have had experience, either in O.S.S. (Office of Strategic Services) or the European Economic Administration, with directives from the White House. We operate under those directives here. Would you like to know what those directives are?" Dodd responded that he would, after which Gaither replied: "The substance of them is that we shall use our grant-making power so to alter life in the United States that we can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union." (Ike was President at that time)

In 1951 the new nationalist government in Iran, led by Mr. Mossadeq, tried in vain to get BP to agree to the same kind of profit-sharing that American majors had negotiated with the Saudi and Venezuelan governments. Finally the Iranians lost patience and nationalized the oilfields. The majors, acting together, struck back by boycotting Iranian oil, refusing to handle any crude oil produced by the fields under the new regime. Their control over transport and refining was so thorough that Iran's oil exports dropped from \$400 million in 1951 to \$2 million in 1951 and 1952. The deficit to oil supplies could be made up easily because the Middle Eastern fields were being operated at much less than capacity, and additional pumping from Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, and Iraq not only made up the difference, but delighted the three Arab nations concerned, who have no particular liking for the non-Arab Iranians. Finally crisis overtook Iran in 1953: the Shah tried and failed to dismiss Mossadeq, and had to flee the country. By the time the Mossadeq government collapsed through CIA covert operations and the Shah was restored to the throne, BP was well and truly frozen out of Iran. CIA involvement in the Shah's restoration sowed some of the seeds of the violent anti-Americanism that continued during the Shah's later repressive years.

In 1954 Kermit Roosevelt, nephew of Franklin, led an American CIA coup against the elected President of Iran who was beginning to take control of his own country's oil, something the Anglo-American oil Barons didn't like. The Shah of Iran was installed by the CIA. Also in 1954, the First Bilderberg meeting takes place, the executive committee of the Illuminati, a CFR sister organization, funded by a number of major one-World institutions, including the Rockefeller and Ford foundation. Prominent American Bilderbergers have included David and Nelson Rockefeller; Thomas Hughes of the Carnegie Endowment; Winston Lord, former director of planning and coordination for the State Department; Henry Kissinger; Zbigniew Brzezinski; Cyrus Vance; Robert McNamara, former president of the World Bank; Donald Rumsfeld; George Ball, former undersecretary of state and director of Lehman Brothers; Rober Anderson, president of ARCO and associated with the Aspin Institute; President Gerald Ford; Henry Grunwald, Managing Editor of TIME; Henry J. Heinz II, chairman of the board, J.J.Heinz Company; Father Theodore M. Hesburgh, former president of Notre Dame University; and Shepard Stone of The Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies. The Aspen Institute is also a sister organization of the CFR and the Bilderbergers, is heavily funded by the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations and has been described as "a training and orientation school for prospective World government administrators.

The State Department was involved in choosing the American participation in any reformed Iranian oil company, apparently a quid pro quo for "liberating" the oilfields. The ultimate share-out was only 40% for BP, which had owned the oilfields before nationalization; 14% for Shell; 7% each for Exxon, Gulf, Mobil, Chevron, and Texaco; 6% for the French CFP, and 5% for a few American independent oil companies. In other words the majors still controlled this enormous oil resource. In the early 1950s, Occidental Petroleum's Armand Hammer, a satrap of the Rockefellers, negotiated a deal with Russian dictator Joseph Stalin to buy his oil--thus effectively stealing it from the Russian people. Russian oil was then sold on the World market at a much higher price than Stalin could get by marketing it himself, because few countries were willing to buy oil from Stalin. Occidental Petroleum and Russia built two large pipelines, from the Russian oil fields down along both sides of the Caspian Sea, terminating in the old British-Persian oil fields in Iran. For the next 45 years, Russia secretly sent its oil out through those pipelines and Standard Oil sold the oil on the World market at the "West Texas

Crude" price by calling it Iranian oil. For almost fifty years most Americans have been using Russian oil in their cars. Standard Oil refineries, which produce gasoline from crude oil, are located at large sea ports like San Francisco, Houston or Los Angeles, not near any of the large American oil fields. Most oil from the Persian Gulf is shipped in oil tankers to those large American refinery-ports. The other major (and frightening) post-war impact on domestic US oil supplies was a deliberate policy decision to serve the domestic market largely from American oil wells. Under the pretext of a quota system to protect "national security," so that the US would not become too dependent on foreign oil, cheap foreign oil was kept out of the United States, while domestic fields were rapidly depleted. In the 1960s, for example, domestic prices rose gradually, although prices dropped by about 40% in foreign markets. Furthermore, overseas competitors like the Western Europeans and the Japanese benefited from low energy prices, while US industry paid increasingly higher prices.

In 1952 the Attorney General began criminal antitrust proceedings against the major oil companies, but these were halted by the personal intervention of President Truman on January 12, 1953, in the last few days before he left office. The Eisenhower administration regarded the enforcement of the antitrust laws as "secondary to the national security interest," though it was never clear how national security was involved. By the end of 1953 the case had been transferred from the Department of Justice to the State Department under John Foster Dulles, at the same time as Dulles' old law firm was hired as defense counsel by the oil companies. This effectively ended the proceedings, and abuses continued at every level, from interference with governments to price gouging the individual consumer. By 1970, 94% of US domestic reserves were held by only 20 companies. The top eight oil companies in terms of their holdings of US domestic oil reserves were also the top eight in production, the top eight in refining, and the top eight in marketing: they were the American five of the Seven Sisters, Exxon, Mobil, Texaco, Chevron, and Gulf, plus Shell, Amoco and Arco.

The extent of cartel control can be judged by working out the cartel's best strategy, and then comparing that against the actual behavior of the market. The cartel would best be served by stimulating and then satisfying an increasing market for petroleum products on a global scale. More sales mean more profits, as long as selling prices are kept high and stable, and production costs are kept low. The facts are that World consumption of crude oil rose at a clockwork 9.55% a year from 1950 to 1972, through economic times that ranged from boom to recession, through war and peace, through crises such as the successive Arab-Israeli Wars, the Vietnam War, and the nationalization in Iran in 1951-1953. Oil profits were unbelievable. In 1947, Saudi oil cost 19¢ a barrel plus 21¢ royalty, and Bahrain oil cost 10¢ a barrel plus 15¢ royalty. Consumers were paying \$1.80 a barrel and more for that same crude oil. Even when development costs of the oilfields were figured into the selling price (as they should be), the oil companies were making a great profit.

In fact, profit margins increased enormously in the 1950s and 1960s while production costs decreased. The volume of oil shipped increased, and the advent of supertankers decreased shipping costs while selling prices were increased. In the late 1960s, Middle East oil that was delivered to Europe and the United States at \$2 and more a barrel had cost less than 40¢ to produce and ship. In 1957 half of Gulf's profits came from its share in the Kuwaiti oilfields. Aramco's profits averaged 57% of its invested capital in 1952-1961. But the most creative way for the majors to maximize their global profits and to satisfy the producer nations at the same time was to take advantage of tax legislation in the United States on "foreign tax credits." Suppose that Exxon pumped oil in Slobbovia, and paid tax at 35% on its operations there, at a time when company tax rate was only 15%. The foreign tax credit specified that Exxon could calculate the "extra tax" of 20% it had paid the Slobbovian Government, and could deduct that amount from its tax bill in the United States. As critics pointed out, this essentially involved the American tax payer in a direct subsidy to the Slobbovian government, except that the check was written on the American taxpayer by Exxon. All the majors used this tax avoidance scheme from the early 1950s, and it helped to maximize their profits. How? Surely they were paying the same tax bill, even though the US taxpayer received a smaller amount than before? The trick used by the companies was to have the producer nation increase its tax rate instead of its royalty rate. If the Saudis had pressed for increased royalties, the companies could have deducted that expense only from their profits. Because the Saudis who didn't care where the money came from took their cut in taxes, the companies could deduct the same amount, not from their profits, but from their US tax bill. Careful calculation and negotiation between the companies and the producer countries could allow the companies to gain the maximum benefit by manipulating this tax loophole. The US National Security Council was involved with the US Treasury in promoting this scheme, even though it meant a major shortfall in the US government's tax revenue. Tax lawyers were even sent by the US Treasury to Saudi Arabia in 1950 to help that country formulate the necessary company tax laws to start the scheme. In 1951 the Kuwait contract was revised in the same way; Iranian taxes on the new Iran consortium were set up in the same way in 1954; and the pipelines through Lebanon were taxed in 1956.

Here is how the system worked in the early 1950s. Before the foreign tax credit was used, Middle East oil was sold at \$1.75 a barrel; production costs and royalties totaled 41¢, leaving \$1.34 pre-tax profit per barrel. About 43¢ went on US taxes, leaving a net profit of 91¢ per barrel for the company. In the new scheme, there was still \$1.34 pre-tax profit. But if Saudi taxes were tuned to yield the Saudi government half of the profit (67¢), the company could now deduct that 67¢ from its American taxes. Not only would it not pay the 43¢ it used to, it could protect or "write off" some of its US profits against tax, with each 43¢ of deduction worth about 24¢ of US profit protected against taxation. The company would make a net profit of 91¢ a barrel, just as it did before. The Saudis receive their royalties as before, plus 67¢ tax. The American tax system would lose the 43¢ the company used to pay, plus another 24¢, that is, the American tax payer would lose the 67¢ that the company paid the Saudi government, and would have to come up with the missing amount. The transfer of funds was enormous, and growing. In 1951 Aramco's US tax bill fell from \$50 million the year before to \$6 million, while the Saudis received \$110 million instead of \$66 million. By 1955 the annual loss was \$154 million. On March 31, 1955, the Warburg-Kuhn-Loeb controlled bank of Manhattan was merged with the Rockefeller's Chase Bank - thus making the great "Chase Manhattan Bank. In 1958, Nelson Rockefeller is elected governor of New York.

OPEC was founded in 1960, and began to press for further increases in oil revenue. Soon the companies began another scheme to accomplish this without loss to themselves, based on the foreign tax deduction: they began to base their calculations for taxes and royalties, not on the actual sales price of crude oil, but on a mythical "posted price" that was simply a number written on a piece of paper. This procedure increased the revenue to the OPEC countries, and the loss to the US tax payer. In 1961, the New Group of World Servers joins with another occult organization calling itself World Union, which is today heavily involved in the politics of planning and implementing the World government. World Godwill is a creation of Lucis Trust, an offshoot of the Luciferian Theosophical Society, which is plugged into the highest levels of Masonry. Past and present member of Lucis Trust include: Robert McNamara, Donald Regan, Henry Kissinger, David Rockefeller, Paul Volcker, and George Shultz - the same crowd that runs the CFR and the Trilateral Commission. The same year, Michael Rockefeller disappears in New Guinea. In 1966, a Congressional investigation determines four of the World's seven largest oil companies (seven sisters) were under the control of the Rockefeller family. According to an earlier New York Times report, Exxon alone controlled 321 companies, including Humble Oil and Venezuela's Creole Petroleum, themselves among the largest corporations in the World. By the 1970s, the Rockefeller-controlled banks, which by this time included Chemical Bank, accounted for about 25 percent of all the assets of the fifty largest commercial banks in the country and for about 30 percent of all the assets of the fifty largest life insurance companies. By 1975, they would gain control of ARCO and Texaco.

In 1967, Winthrop Rockefeller is elected governor of Arkansas. Bill Clinton is rumored to be an illegitimate child of Winthrop Rockefeller. In 1968, New York governor Nelson Rockefeller, in an address to the International Platform Association, at the Sheraton Park Hotel in New York, called for the formation of a New World Order. In 1972 the IRS estimated that the nation was losing \$2.35 billion a year in tax avoidance through the depletion allowance. Oil companies of all sizes had acted through Congress to keep down their taxes through the infamous depletion allowance. In 1974, while corporate tax rates were 48%, the 19 largest oil companies paid an average of 7.6% as taxes. This had repercussions throughout American business: for example, if one combines the petroleum, motor vehicle, and aircraft industries of the United States as vital to its economic and military security, the petroleum companies held 60% of the assets of this part of US industry and paid 9% of its taxes. The auto industry held 32% of these assets but paid 79% of the taxes.

This system ran until 1975, when the bills began to come due for the Vietnam War; but even then, the abuses were constrained rather than eliminated. And as it happened, the losses to the producers and the companies were more than made up by the post-1973 rise in absolute prices (and profit margins). In the end, the greed and manipulation broke the system, as everyone involved wanted more and more. The history of oil prices from 1960 to today reflects human failures rather than economic principles. Because the majors had potential production from so many Middle Eastern fields, they could in effect choose which fields they would exploit and which they would pump at low production levels. Where there were consortia, production levels were controlled by a specific formula. Since the four American companies in Aramco, and Gulf in Kuwait, were rich in crude oil, they had no real interest in major new production. Production from Iran and Saudi Arabia was held at much less than capacity. Partly because of US government wishes, however, these two countries increased production more than others, to keep the Shah and the King happy, and to allow them (especially the Shah) the money to outfit their armed forces with the newest American hardware. If the majors increased production in Iran and Saudi Arabia by 12% and 10% respectively, more than the 9.55% that global demand would stand at good prices, production had to be held back even more in other rich oil-producing areas. Kuwait and Iraq were usually chosen as the producers to have low production rates: from 1958-1972, their production increased 5.9% and 5.1% respectively. Discoveries in other nations (such as Oman) were simply not developed at all. This was the fatal flaw in the system: sooner or later, producer nations would realize the extent of the manipulation, and react to it.

For example, Iraq was manipulated by neglect. The partners in Iraq Petroleum discovered a great number of likely fields in the 1930s, but were slow to drill them. They already had access to enormous fields in Saudi Arabia, Iran, and Kuwait, and Iraqi oil production was simply not needed (except possibly by the Iraqis!). Iraq Petroleum became notorious for this kind of tactic, which it also used in Qatar and Syria. Even today no-one has any clear idea just how large Iraq's oil reserves are, except that they are much greater than its current production implies. The Iraqis finally lost patience and in 1961 nationalized all concessions in Iraq that were not then being exploited. Eventually they set up their own national company and nationalized all the oil fields. The Libyan government of King Idris granted oil concessions in 1955. The Libyans were well aware of the way the petroleum industry worked, and were anxious to keep the country's oil out the hands of the majors. Most concessions were granted to independent operators. As a result, when large fields of high-quality oil were discovered, they were developed quickly, instead of being held to slow rates as in Iraq and Kuwait. Occidental Oil in particular, under the dynamic and eccentric Armand Hammer, began to produce large quantities of Libyan oil.

In 1960, Libyan production was still small, but its influence was enough to generate price cutting in the global oil market. Although open figures are not revealed, it's clear that real oil prices fell steadily during the 1960s, as more new oil came on to the market. The majors held back production in their existing Middle Eastern fields, so that the global increase in sales grew at the same steady pace. But their share of the production, and their profit margins, were suffering. Prices paid for Middle Eastern oil fell from close to \$2 a barrel to more like \$1.20 a barrel. The majors began to worry how much longer they could continue to restrict production from the Gulf states to stabilize the global market. Exxon was quite explicit about it: "during the [1960s] the advent of large-scale production in Libya may restrict the growth of Middle East outlet." Of course, it wouldn't necessarily have had that result on a free market, but Exxon did not hide the fact that that would be the result of continued increase in Libyan production on the international market as it was being operated at the time (1961). By 1968 Exxon realized that it had grossly underestimated the size of the Libyan oil fields, and that the

majors were in danger of losing control of the World market. Exxon estimated that Libya would overtake Iran and Saudi Arabia to become the leading producer in the region by 1971. If that happened, the majors would actually have to decrease production in their fields. Exxon realized that the governments of the Gulf States simply would refuse to cooperate with the majors if production were cut from their fields. The majors faced a new era of significant overproduction (as they regarded it), and a dramatic fall in oil prices and profit margins.

By 1970 Exxon's forecast looked as if it would come true. Libya was by then the fourth largest producer in the non-communist World, surpassed only by Saudi Arabia, Iran, and Venezuela. What's more, King Idris had been overthrown by Colonel Qaddafi, and the oil fields were controlled by a new revolutionary government that was not likely to be incorporated easily within the cosy structure of the large oil companies. To add to the problems of the majors, new fields were coming on line in Nigeria, with large but unknown potential. The resulting dénouement is interesting. The Qaddafi government demanded an increase in royalties to 40¢ a barrel, targeting Occidental in particular as the largest independent company, totally dependent on its Libyan fields. Occidental, and then all the others, had to pay the increased royalty. Qaddafi had now accomplished more for Libya than OPEC had managed to do for its members since its founding in 1960. Stung or stimulated by the Libyan success, OPEC met in Venezuela in December 1970 and demanded similar increases. The majors met and agreed that they would support one another against any OPEC nation (for example, they would supply crude oil from other sources to any company whose production were cut back by an OPEC government), and they asked the US Government to turn a blind eye to the breaches of the anti-trust laws that this agreement had made. (The Justice Department agreed to do so.) The American Under Secretary of State even went to the Gulf, apparently on behalf of the majors, to talk separately to OPEC governments and to try to dissuade them from joint action. This was a clumsy diplomatic blunder, and the US Government's pressure was shrugged off: the Shah of Iran angrily stated that the majors' agreement to collaborate against OPEC was a "dirty trick."

The various producer nations used their leverage to get 20¢ a barrel more for the Gulf States, and the Libyans followed with even more. It's clear that by now the producer nations knew their strength (especially the Libyans), and were playing off the ability of the Libyan independents to curb the majors by pumping large quantities of oil. In this way the political and economic skill of the Libyans, combined with the great good fortune of striking very large and productive fields, was able for a while to take control of events away from the majors. The Qaddafi government now rapidly began to nationalize the oil companies in Libya, taking some over outright, and forcing the others to yield 51% to the government. But in expelling the Western oil men, Qaddafi severely cut down Libyan production, and crippled the independent refiners and marketers abroad who had been taking market share away from the majors. The Libyans were able to maintain their revenues by charging higher prices for the reduced oil flow, but the majors were delighted by the outcome. They regained their market share of global output, and were able to exploit the sudden weakness of the new independents. More important, the Libyans themselves had removed the threat of Libyan production increase that had been hanging over the majors for ten years. The majors were now back in charge of most of the World's production, refining, marketing, and sales, in a global situation where the threat of overproduction had been removed.

None of this was new: it was a return to the old oil World that had been in place for forty years. What was new was OPEC's realization that it held a great deal of power. Could OPEC now work through the majors to take over effective control of global oil supplies? In 1973, the Trilateral Commission (TLC) is founded under the direction of David Rockefeller, with Jimmy Carter and Walter Mondale among the founding members to promote World government by encouraging economic interdependence among the superpowers. Zbigniew Brzezinski drafts the Commission's charter and becomes first director (1973-1976). Unlike the CFR which has 2,500 members, the Trilateral Commission has only 325 members, of which 98 are from N. America, 146 from Europe, and 81 from Japan. Most, if not all, of the important Frenchmen who are TLC members belong to the Grand Orient Lodge of Masonry. In October 1973 the "Yom Kippur" war that ended with the humiliating defeat of the Arab nations. The same month the OPEC countries met in Vienna to discuss raising oil prices, and with the new realization of their power, simply announced an immediate increase in the price of the standard "Middle East" barrel from \$3 to \$5.11. They doubled the price again in Tehran to \$11.65, effective January 1, 1974. Given that the same barrel had cost \$1.80 in late 1970, this represented a six-fold increase since the weakness of the majors had been exposed by the Libyans in 1970. Most major producing nations agreed to strengthen their position by cutting production at least 10%. Arab leaders suddenly cooperated and imposed an effective oil embargo. A few of the Arab sheiks became vice-presidents of American and European superbanks, such as Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan.

The "oil crisis" was comparatively short-lived in terms of supply. The oil companies had had hints from King Faisal of the impending war and had built up stocks. There was no oil shortage in 1973. What was different was the price of oil, passed on to consumers by the majors as they paid more to the producers for their crude. As long as the majors could control the crude oil supply, and as long as the consumer could pay the increased price, the majors could arrange to have at least the same absolute profit margins as before. If they could arrange to keep anything close to their original percentage profit margins, they would make a lot of money. In typical figures for a refinery on the US East Coast, the refining profit per barrel was 95¢ (25% above cost) in 1969, but \$4.23 (50%) in 1974. The result was that major oil companies made profits of about 19% in 1974, compared with a historical average of about 11% in the previous decade. In 1973, the Saudi Government acquired a 25% stake in Aramco. In 1974, this was increased to 60%. In 1975 Saudi Arabia began nationalizing foreign oil assets with full compensation. In 1975 King Faisal was shot by his nephew who had been brainwashed by a CIA operative. After the oil crisis in 1973 Caltex lost most of its Saudi holdings to nationalisation and in 1980 Aramco was taken over 100% by the Saudi government with retroactive financial effect from 1976. Meanwhile, in 1984 Socal renamed itself Chevron and bought Gulf for \$13.3bn, a move which doubled its reserves. In 1988, the company was renamed Saudi Aramco. Nevertheless, the oil profits still flow back into the Rockefeller and Rotschild dynasties' banks.

In July, 1968, the House Banking Subcommittee reported that Rockefeller, through Chase Manhattan Bank, controlled 5.9% of the stock in CBS. Furthermore, the bank had gained interlocking directorates with ABC. In 1974, Congress issued a report stating that the Chase Manhattan Bank's stake in CBS rose to 14.1% and NBC to 4.5%. The same report said that the Chase Manhattan Bank held stock in 28 broadcasting firms. After this report, the Chase Manhattan Bank obtained 6.7% of ABC, and today the percentage is most likely much greater. It only requires 5% ownership to significantly influence the media. This is only one of 300 wealthy shareholders of the FED. It is believed other FED owners have similar holdings in the media. To control the media, FED bankers call in their loans if the media disagrees with them. Rockefeller also controls the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the sole purpose of which is to aid in stimulating greater interest in foreign affairs and a one World government. Nearly every major newscaster belongs to the Council on Foreign Relations. The Council on Foreign Relations controls many major newspapers and magazines. Additionally, major corporations owned by FED shareholders are the source of huge advertising revenues which surely would influence the media. In 1966 (data supplied from my "company", as a result of congressional investigation headed by U.S. Representative Wright Patman of Texas,) it was discovered that four of the World's seven largest oil companies were under the direct ownership and/or control of the Rockefeller family. According to an earlier Operations Reports, the largest of these, Standard Oil of New Jersey (EXXON), alone controlled 321 other major corporations, including Humble Oil and Venezuela's OREOLE Petroleum; themselves among the largest oil corporations in the World.

By 1975, the Rockefeller had gained control of the single largest block of stock in Atlantic Richfield (ARCO) and were believed to be in control of TEXACO as well. (Therefore, it must be assumed that the extremely large suit and judgement against Texaco was merely a put-on for the public, and not a true verdict. Consider the true premise of a parent company bringing suit against it's darling daughter.) It was further noted that the Rockefeller were operating major joint ventures with Royal Dutch Shell, which was already in the hands of European one-World interests. I am specifically referring to the Dutch Royal Family. It was Queen Juliana who was the sole owner of Shell. Upon her daughter's (Beatrice) marriage to Klaus (Germany), she divested herself of the interests in Royal Dutch Shell. Substantial interests were offered and sold through Credit Anstalt Bank Verein, as well as Union Bank of Switzerland. However, our article deals with global strategists from both sides of the Atlantic. It should have seemed obvious to any American that major problems were to be encountered with the continuation of the Fed System. Ever since the founding of the Federal Reserve, consistent efforts have been made by conservatives of both houses of Congress to have their leaders put a stop to the Fed and to the dark forces behind it. During the 1960's and 1970's, Congressman Wright Patman of Texas also investigated manipulations by these foundations, trusts and the Federal Reserve. Using his influence as Chairman of the House Banking Committee and later as the Chairman of other important committees, he repeatedly tried to expose the so called "One World Plot" by calling for audits of the Federal Reserve, and even trying to have the Act repealed. However, the findings of each of his committees, for some strange reason, were unable to attract any attention from the media. Patman, and others who have gone after and before him, frequently stated and vented his frustration over this lack of press and media coverage. On one occasion he stated, "our exposes of the Federal Reserve Board are shocking and scandalous, but they are only printed in the daily Congressional Record, which is read by very few people."

In the 1970's and the 1980's, Congressman Larry McDonald was the one who spearheaded the efforts against the Bush version of the New World Order. In 1976 he wrote the introduction to the "Rockefeller File", a book exposing the Rockefeller' financial holdings and secret intentions. The book supposedly revealed that the Rockefeller had as many as two hundred trusts and foundation type organizations, and that the actual number of such foundations controlled by the family might well number into the thousands. Such control IS possible because Rockefeller banks, such as Chase Manhattan, have become the trustees for many other U.S. foundations as well; possessing the right to invest and to vote the capital and common stock of these institutions--through the trust department of the bank. In November of 1975, Congressman Larry P. McDonald spearheaded efforts against the New World Order. He wrote the introduction to The Rockefeller File which stated: "The Rockefeller File is not fiction. It is a compact, powerful and frightening presentation of what may be the most important story of our lifetime - the drive of the Rockefellers and their allies to create a one-World government combining super-capitalism and Communism under the same tent, all under their control.....not one has dared reveal the most vital part of the Rockefeller story: that the Rockefellers and their allies have, for at least fifty years, been carefully following a plan to use their economic power to gain political control of first America, and then the rest of the World. Do I mean conspiracy? Yes I do. I am convinced there is such a plot, international in scope, generations old in planning, and incredibly evil in intent". On 31 August 1983, McDonald was killed aboard Korean Airline 007 flight which "accidentally" strayed over Soviet airspace and was "accidentally" shot down. The media reporting was scant and short-lived and not a single mention was publicly made about the fact that McDonald had been heading a congressional effort to expose what he called a dangerous international conspiracy. Since then, Senator Jesse Helms has led efforts to expose the plot. In the 1970's and the 1980's, Congressman Larry McDonald was the one who spearheaded the efforts against the Bush version of the New World Order. In 1976 he wrote the introduction to the "Rockefeller File", a book exposing the Rockefeller' financial holdings and secret intentions. The book supposedly revealed that the Rockefeller had as many as two hundred trusts and foundation type organizations, and that the actual number of such foundations controlled by the family might well number into the thousands. Such control IS possible because Rockefeller banks, such as Chase Manhattan, have become the trustees for many other U.S. foundations as well; possessing the right to invest and to vote the capital and common stock of these institutions--through the trust department of the bank.

Here are some excerpts from a speech before the Senate by Senator Jesse Helms, December 1987 (year of the stock market October crash): "This campaign against the American people - against traditional American culture and values - is systematic psychological warfare. It is orchestrated by a vast array of interest comprising not only the Eastern establishment but also the radical left. Among this group we find the Department of State, the Department of Commerce,

the money center banks and multinational corporations, the media, the educational establishment, the entertainment industry, and the large tax-exempt foundations. Mr. President, a careful examination of what is happening behind the scenes reveals that all of these interests are working in concert with the masters of the Kremlin in order to create what some refer to as a new World order. Private organizations such as the Council on Foreign Relation, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Trilateral Commission, the Dartmouth Conference, the Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies, the Atlantic Institute, and the Bilderberger Group serve to disseminate and to coordinate the plans for this so-called new World order in powerful business, financial, academic, and official circles.... The psychological campaign that I am describing, as I have said, is the work of groups within the Eastern establishment, that amorphous amalgam of wealth and social connections whose power resides in its control over our financial system and over a large portion of our industrial sector. The principal instrument of this control over the American economy and money is the Federal Reserve System. The policies of the industrial sectors, primarily the multinational corporations, are influenced by the money centers through debt financing and through the large blocks of stock controlled by the trust departments of the money center banks. Anyone familiar with American history, and particularly American economic history, cannot fail to notice the control over the Department of State and the Central Intelligence Agency which Wall Street seems to exercise.... The influence of establishment insiders over our foreign policy has become a fact of life in our time. This pervasive influence runs contrary to the real long-term national security of our Nation. It is an influence which, if unchecked, could ultimately subvert our constitutional order. The viewpoint of the establishment today is called globalism. Not so long ago, this viewpoint was called the "one-World" view by its critics. The phrase is no longer fashionable among sophisticates; yet, the phrase "one-World" is still apt because nothing has changed in the minds and actions of those promoting policies consistent with its fundamental tenets. Mr. President, in the globalist point of view, nation-states and national boundaries do not count for anything. Political philosophies and political principles seem to become simply relative. Indeed, even constitutions are irrelevant to the exercise of power. Liberty and tyranny are viewed as neither necessarily good nor evil, and certainly not a component of policy. In this point of view, the activities of international financial and industrial forces should be orientated to bringing this one-World design - with a convergence of the Soviet and American Systems as its centerpiece - into being.....All that matters to this club is the maximization of profits resulting from the practice of what can be described as finance capitalism, a system which rests upon the twin pillars of debt and monopoly. This isn't real capitalism. It is the road to economic concentration and to political slavery.

The Gulf Corporation had dated back to 1901 when J M Guffey Petroleum's Spindletop well in Texas came in. Guffey was soon ousted by his major backers, the Mellon family of Pittsburgh, and as a result the company name was changed to the Gulf Corporation in 1907. Gulf invested heavily in developing the oilfields of Kuwait but the company was weakened by the 1973 oil crisis and by claims of corruption in the Kuwaiti dealings. The Texas Oil Company renamed Texaco in 1959, flourished until the late 1970s when its own wells began to run out. In an effort to acquire more reserves in 1983 Texaco bid \$8.6bn for Getty Oil, despite the fact that Getty had agreed a deal with Pennzoil. A Texas court later ruled that Texaco should pay Pennzoil \$10.53bn in damages. As a result Texaco was forced to seek bankruptcy protection in 1987. The dispute with Pennzoil was eventually settled for \$3bn but Texaco's weak state was made worse when corporate raider Carl Icahn bid for the company. Texaco had to sell off \$7bn of assets to beat off Icahn's bid. In 1989 Texaco did a deal with Saudi Arabia to form a joint venture called Star Enterprise, which linked up 60% of the company's US refining and marketing operations with Saudi Arabian cash and provided a steady supply of crude oil. The Gulf War, however, disrupted supplies and highlighted the company's weakness. In 1993 Texaco sold off its chemical operations and invested heavily in finding new oilfields in Russia, China and Colombia and in increasing outputs in the North Sea but as the oil industry consolidated it became clear that Texaco needed to merge with another major company in order to compete. A deal was struck with Chevron.

The newly-named Chevron continued to buy up competition and in 1988 paid \$2.5bn for Tenneco's oil and gas holdings in the Gulf of Mexico. The company then became a takeover victim with a bid from Pennzoil in 1992. This was fought off but Chevron had to give Pennzoil a number of its oil and gas fields as part of the settlement. In 1988 Standard Oil merged with its archrival, British Petroleum. The last 15 years have seen the joint venture repurchase nearly all of the original Rockefeller oil companies. When coupled with the recent mergers of the US and UK Defense infrastructure and the sale by the UK Ministry of Defense of 1/3 of its research and development company to the Bush/Binladen Carlyle Group, which lists George H. W. Bush as a Senior Director, one can only conclude that the corporate (read Fascist) takeover is nearly complete.

In all of the upheavals, the overriding concern of the majors was clearly to retain control over crude oil shipping, refining, and marketing. This was shown in 1974, when Saudi Arabia demanded the nationalization of Aramco. If there were problems, the Saudis threatened, they would simply offer 3 million barrels a day directly to third parties (outside the majors). In the end, Aramco quietly gave in, in return for contracts by which it would handle all the shipping of Saudi crude. Since the usual effect of a major price rise is to cut consumption, by economy (conservation) or switching to alternate supplies, one would expect that a continuation of OPEC production at its 1973 rates would tend to bring prices down again, no matter what the wishes of the producers. If OPEC could maintain its prices in the face of restricted demand, production would have to drop. In 1974 production was almost exactly the same as 1973, and in the light of a historical 9.5% increase each year for 20 years, this constitutes a distinct restraint in production. In 1975 there was a real drop in production. Together, these actions ensured that the price remained high. It's quite conceivable that these figures were achieved because the majors as well as the producing nations both benefited from the large price increase, and neither had any interest in seeing that position eroded.

The interests of OPEC and the majors coincided to this extent: both wished to see a large volume of oil flow through to consumers at high prices. In these circumstances, OPEC members received large amounts of money in royalties and

taxes, and the majors were assured supplies of crude oil with which to supply their global market. The interests diverged in that the majors did not care where the oil came from as long as it was reliable. They willingly took oil from non-OPEC members like the United States, Britain, and Norway (and rushed to sign contracts with former Communist nations too). The majors were only under the influence of OPEC only as long as OPEC nations held the crude oil that they needed. OPEC nations once again came to depend on the Seven Sisters as soon as OPEC oil became optional rather than necessary for the major oil companies. There are 13 important members of OPEC. The five largest producers and exporters in the group are in the Middle East: Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Iran, Kuwait, and the United Arab Emirates, and the other members are Libya, Venezuela, Algeria, Nigeria, Gabon, Indonesia, Ecuador, and Qatar. The major oil producing nations outside OPEC are the US, Russia, Britain, and Norway, but the US is a net importer, and the others do not contribute much to the open World market.

OPEC tries to keep oil prices high by keeping production within limits, assigning a production quota to each member. There is always a temptation for producing countries to cheat on the quotas assigned to them by the cartel, especially if they have urgent needs for cash. Cheating is particularly easy on the international oil market. Only the meters on the oilfield pumps and pipelines, and the tanker operators, can keep track of volumes of crude oil, and once on the high seas or into the network of international pipelines, oil quickly loses all traces of its country of origin. With the cooperation of distributors and marketers, any country can pump more than its quota, more or less with impunity. OPEC has always lacked the ability to monitor and police its members' production. (The majors can and do monitor World oil flow, but apparently do not share information with OPEC, a demonstration that their interests may be parallel with OPEC, but not identical.) In fact, however, just as before, World production remains reasonably stable. This can only be accomplished by action of the majors, and there is little doubt that they do this: from self-interest, not to help OPEC.

For example, in 1989 the oil ministers of the OPEC countries agreed on quotas that totaled 22.1 million barrels a day for the first half of 1990, planning that that volume would keep the price of crude oil on the World market at about \$18 a barrel, their target price since 1986. In fact, the OPEC countries between them probably pumped about 23.5 million barrels a day, maintaining a surplus supply of crude oil into World markets, and dropping the price of crude oil. (It had been \$20.46 in late January 1990, but reached a low of \$13.64 by June 1990.) By the midyear meeting in July 1990, tempers were running high, and President Saddam Hussein of Iraq massed 30,000 troops on the borders of Kuwait, allegedly one of the OPEC members cheating most on its quota. Saddam Hussein claimed that the loss in revenue to Iraq alone had been \$14 billion in 1989. At about the same time, the American Petroleum Institute announced that US imports now constituted an all-time high of 49.9% of consumption, and prices immediately rose to \$19.61 a barrel in the anticipation that OPEC would try to squeeze supplies. It was widely anticipated that Hussein's pressure on Kuwait, and the American announcement, would encourage OPEC to set an attainable limit on production of 22.5 million barrels a day, and that the price would reach \$20 once again. In fact, on July 27, OPEC agreed on a target price of \$21 a barrel at that production level, which was economically unrealistic but perhaps served some internal political agenda. On the day of the news, the international price reached \$20.39 a barrel.

Events were rapidly overtaken by Iraq's invasion of Kuwait and the Gulf War that followed. But even then, the temporary removal of Kuwaiti oil as well as Iraqi oil from World markets made very little difference to either supply or price. In fact, as Kuwaiti production was re-established in 1992 and 1993, the World position of OPEC worsened still further. By summer 1993, OPEC countries were pumping 25 million barrels/day, even with Iraqi exports at a virtual standstill. The price of oil remained very low at around \$15-\$20/barrel for most of the 1990s. The OPEC nations were reportedly able to agree in principle on reducing production to help prop up the price, but could not agree on who was to slow production, or by how much. Meanwhile gasoline was selling in the United States for a cost that in real money was as low as it had been in decades. No-one in the Middle East wanted to say so aloud, but their worst-case scenario in the 1990s was the day that a cash-hungry Iraq released from UN sanctions would once more allowed to export as much crude oil as it wanted to! In the June 1991 (after the First Gulf War) meeting of Bilderbergers in Baden Baden, Germany, David Rockefeller said: "We are grateful to The Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years... It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the World if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the World is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a World government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and World Bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries." Among those in attendance was then governor Bill Clinton and Dan Quayle.

In 1999 the price of crude oil dropped close to \$12/barrel. This frightened OPEC into real cooperation, and as I write this in early 2000, the OPEC nations seem to be operating at close to their agreed quotas, and the price of crude oil is at a 9-year high, around \$30 a barrel. Probably OPEC calculates that this is around the price that the industrial nations can afford to pay without major damage to their currently prosperous economies. It will be up to OPEC to continue to fine-tune their production, without repeating the events that led to the collapse of crude oil prices in the 1980s. The break-up of the Soviet Union had dramatic effects on the oil resources within its boundaries. The old Soviet Union had immense reserves of oil and especially of gas, but a decaying infrastructure that was already resulting in decreased production by the end of the 1980s. The Soviet Union had a structure of pipelines that essentially gathered production and funnelled it westward into Eastern Europe, where it was sold to the former Soviet satellite countries, particularly to East Germany. Russia, as the largest successor state, retains the bulk of that system, and has huge fields of oil and gas that can continue to provide it with its own fuel supply and a surplus for export. However, many of the fields, even within Russia, require modernization and exploration that present-day Russia cannot afford. Contracts with Western oil companies are slowly and carefully being negotiated, but the precarious nature of Russian politics and the Russian economy are serious problems. As I write, the Russian Government and the largest energy company in Russia, Gazprom, are at daggers drawn

over billions of rubles in unpaid taxes. The former Russian Prime Minister, Viktor Chernomyrdin, is also a former chief executive of Gazprom, and depending whom you believe, is either a secret millionaire with immense power, or the only former Soviet bureaucrat who failed to get a share when the government gas industry was privatized.

The problems of the other fragments of the Soviet Union are even more compelling and interesting, however. Some republics (Ukraine and Belarus are the best examples) have no oil resources, and are dependent on supplies down the old pipeline system from Russia: essentially, the Russians can hold these countries to economic or political ransom for their fuel supplies. It is not an accident that both Belarus and the Ukraine have recently negotiated closer economic ties with Russia, in spite of the considerable distrust built up over the years of economic domination from Moscow. The Ukraine, desperate for energy, continues to operate its dangerous nuclear reactors at Chernobyl, next to the notorious reactor that exploded in 1986, because it has no choice. Without billions of dollars in aid, the Ukraine cannot afford to take proper remedial action to make Chernobyl safe, or to close the remaining plants. Armenia, cut off from its own pipeline supply because of religious wars with its neighbor Azerbaijan, recently re-opened a Chernobyl-style nuclear reactor which had been closed since 1989 on safety grounds. Again, there was no real choice: electricity supplies were down to a few hours a day even in the capital.

However, the most interesting developments are being played out in the former Soviet republics that do have abundant reserves of oil and gas. Azerbaijan contains the giant fields around Baku, which have been producing for a century. It was among the oil workers of Baku that the young Stalin learned his trade of fomenting revolution, riot, and sabotage, and it was the lure of the oilfields of Baku that drew the German army into the Caucasus in an ill-fated offensive in 1942. However, the oilfields are old, the infrastructure is decayed, and the pipelines that export the oil all go into Russia. The Azeris could perhaps renovate their fields, and go offshore to exploit promising new territory under the Caspian Sea, but they would always be subject to a Russian stranglehold on the export pipelines. A look at a map brings home the geopolitical difficulties faced by the Azeris. All routes that would take their oil to Western markets have problems. The easiest route would use existing pipelines through Russia to the Black Sea, but that gives control to Russia. The next easiest route is through Iran to the existing network that delivers oil to ports on the Persian Gulf. But the Azeris are ancient enemies of the Persians: many of the inhabitants of northwest Iran are ethnic Azeris, and neither country trusts the other.

To the west, a pipeline through Georgia to the Black Sea would be possible, but Georgia is torn by civil war and is in danger of being blackmailed back into Russian control. The most sensible pipeline would pass through Armenia to Turkey and the Mediterranean (the Turks and Azeris are Turkic peoples who share a linguistic, religious, and cultural heritage). But the Azeris and Armenians have been at war intermittently for years, a particularly nasty and brutal religious war, and it is vanishingly unlikely that the Azeris would allow the Armenians control over their oil exports in the foreseeable future. Even the Azeri-Georgia pipeline route passes through a part of Azerbaijan that is seriously threatened by the Russian-armed Armenian invaders who currently control some Azeri territory. The most likely scenario is in the process of developing. The Azeris have signed contracts with a consortium of Western oil companies to renovate and extend the fields. As I write this, Amoco and BP have 17% each, the Azeris hold 10%, and three other US companies are involved. The Turkish government has 7%, and the Russian oil company Lukoil, which runs the pipeline, has 10%. A pipeline through Russia to the Black Sea at Novorossiysk already exists. What the Azeris need is some sort of guarantee that the pipeline will not be closed off arbitrarily, which explains the offer of 10% to Lukoil, to give the Russians a financial interest in keeping it open: a share in the proceeds. This is not an ideal solution, but it looks like the best of a set of bad choices. The Turks are involved because oil will cross the Black Sea from Novorossiysk in tankers, either directly through the Bosphorus, or through pipelines across Turkey to the Mediterranean.

The pipeline from Baku to Novorossiysk runs directly through the city of Grozny, the capital of Chechnya. As everyone knows, the Chechens want independence from Russia. The Russians are unwilling to concede independence, partly because of the precedent it would set for other similar areas, but largely, I suspect, because that would yield control of the pipeline to still another set of hands. The Russians have recently taken Grozny in a brutal battle that left many dead on both sides, and the Chechens are still fighting a bitter guerilla war in the hills. But the Russians now control Grozny and the plains, and the pipeline. I don't think there's any question that the Russian invasion was controlled by the pipeline more than any other factor. The geopolitics of oil has far-reaching implications that we have to understand if we are to appreciate the complexities of the modern World order. Kazakhstan has a supergiant oilfield, Tengiz, whose current output is also exported through Russia on existing pipelines. Since independence, Kazakhstan has signed contracts with Mobil to develop some smaller fields, and with Chevron to expand and modernize production from Tengiz. The first phase at Tengiz is complete, but the project is suffering slow strangulation because of Russian control of the pipeline. Only limited amounts are allowed down it, bound for Russia, and in return, Chevron receives the same amount of oil delivered to Germany from fields elsewhere in Russia. By incorporating Russian partners, Chevron has negotiated a new pipeline that is to be built through Russia to Novorossiysk on the Black Sea, so that Tengiz oil would be exported directly to World markets.

Until that pipeline is built, both Kazakhstan and Chevron are looking at a losing proposition, because current exports to Russia are too small to make money: Chevron is breaking even on current production, but any reasonable return on its capital requires shipping ten times as much as it does now. Kazakhstan's problem is that there is no other feasible pipeline route: Tengiz is in the north of Kazakhstan. The alternative, through Iran, is just as risky in political terms, and the Kazakhs and Iranians have no better relations than do the Kazakhs and Russians. In any case, no American company is willingly going to build its pipelines through hostile territory, and Iran certainly counts as hostile for Americans in the foreseeable future. Chevron's problem is that it has "bet the company" on Tengiz: at a projected cost of \$20 billion, it is

the biggest project the company has taken on since its Saudi Arabian investment more than fifty years ago. This time, the Russians are probably not swayed much by the relative amount of money they can make: the real interest is an economic lever they can use to pressure Kazakhstan.

New developments are even more bizarre. There have been intermittent hints of pipelines through Afghanistan and Pakistan to the Indian Ocean. They would export natural gas from Turkmenistan and oil from Kazakhstan, but they cannot be built while there is still a vicious civil war in Afghanistan. It is not a coincidence that the northern warlords are backed by Russian aid. The last thing that Russia wants is an outlet to the south for the Caspian oil and gas. Now the Kazakh are talking to the Chinese. The Chinese project an energy shortage as their economy booms. A pipeline from Kazakhstan across Sinkiang to industrial China would be expensive to build, but could make up much of China's projected energy deficit. But who is going to finance it? The Japanese would once have been candidates, but they have economic problems currently. Nothing is ever simple, and as I write trouble is brewing in the Black Sea. Most people have thought that the difficult part of oil delivery from Azerbaijan or Kazakhstan would be in getting it to the Black Sea. Now, it seems, that may not be true. Transit to the Mediterranean is most cheaply accomplished by tanker, but that involves navigation through the crowded passage of the Bosphorus. A tanker grounded and burst into flames there only a few years ago, and Turkey is worried that a major disaster could occur even at current levels of traffic, let alone with the increased traffic that would result from new oil flowing from Novorossiysk. Constraints on tankers will undoubtedly increase.

That means that oil is more likely to transit to the Mediterranean in pipelines, which means major capital invested in terminals on the Black Sea coast and the Mediterranean coast. Turkey seems to have assumed that any pipelines would pass through its territory, an attractive proposition to a country that has no oil of its own, and has been subject to some political pressure from its oil-rich Arab neighbors to the south. In fact, the whole pressure on tanker traffic may be a Turkish ploy to ensure just that: the Russians seem to think so. What the Turks would like most of all is a pipeline across Turkey to Ceyhan, a modern oil-shipping terminal that currently has no oil to ship because of the UN embargo on Iraqi oil that used to reach the Mediterranean at Ceyhan. So even the Middle East intrudes on the Caspian situation... So, the Russians have begun to press for a pipeline that would pass through Bulgaria and Greece to the Mediterranean, by-passing the Turks altogether. This has the Turks furious, especially as they are historic enemies of the Greeks. This enmity is matched, however, by an ancient enmity between the Greeks and the Bulgars (a great Greek hero is an Emperor of Byzantium called "Basil the Bulgar-Slayer"), so we shall have to wait to see how this whole matter is resolved. It all depends on the successful delivery of Azeri and Kazakh oil to the Black Sea, and as we have seen, that is not yet a sure thing for the near future.

The Spratlys are a group of islands, mostly coral reefs, atolls and sand bars, scattered in the South China Sea. Most of them are so low that they are under water at high tide, and none is large enough to have any inhabitants. Until recently, they were visited only by fishermen, lurking pirates, and ships straying off course. There was no real reason for any nation to claim the Spratlys, and although there have been various claims at various times, no nation has ever occupied the Spratlys, or exploited them economically. The Spratlys sit alongside the major ocean routes between Asia and the Middle East. Much of the World's oceanic commerce through the Western Pacific from the Middle East goes through or around the Spratly Islands, including most of Japan's oil imports (which come from the Middle East). Perhaps more important, the South China Sea may (or may not) have large oil fields that could be exploited from rigs based on the Spratlys, and that has raised for the first time in any practical sense the question of who owns them. Given an economic zone of 200 miles from the nearest land, claimants to one or more of the Spratly reefs could claim ownership of any oil reserves under the entire region.

China claims the entire South China Sea, while Vietnam, the Philippines, Taiwan, Malaysia and Brunei all claim at least some of the Spratlys. Each nation has built some sort of "permanent" structure on a reef it claims, to establish its presence there. In 1988, the Vietnamese and Chinese navies briefly clashed over the Spratlys, with Vietnam losing six ships. In 1995, small-scale disputes began to escalate again. In January 1995 group of Philippine fishermen was detained for a week by Chinese "troops" on Mischief Reef, which is 150 miles west of the Philippine island of Palawan. Though it is underwater even at low tide, Mischief Reef is claimed as Philippine territory by the Manila government. Also in January 1995, Vietnam dismissed a warning from China on some disputed islands where the Vietnamese, with Russian collaboration, were conducting "a geological survey". In March 1995, the Philippines announced that its military had destroyed some "foreign markers" from an atoll and a reef. China said it had built the structures, but they were simply "shelters for civilian fishermen". Next, the Philippines seized 5 Chinese fishing boats in the Spratlys and arrested more than 60 crew members. They were charged with poaching protected sea turtles, fishing with explosives and cyanide, and illegal entry into Philippine waters. In reply, the Philippines accused China of improperly putting warships in the disputed region and building permanent structures on Mischief Reef. Three days of talks in Beijing between China and the Philippines failed to resolve the dispute.

On March 25, 1995, a Taiwanese patrol boat fired warning shots at a Vietnamese fishing boat near an island claimed by Taiwan. Taiwan said it planned to send a group of armed patrol boats to the area, to erect a monument proclaiming Taiwan's sovereignty over the islands and to help to protect ships in the area from rampant piracy. The project was cancelled at the last minute. In May 1995 there was another confrontation at sea when two Chinese ships intercepted a Philippine naval vessel carrying 38 journalists to see Mischief Reef. The journalists allegedly saw gun emplacements on four clusters of metal buildings put up on the reef. The Chinese accused the Philippines of encroaching on Chinese sovereignty by taking journalists there. At the moment, this is low-level mutual harassment. But it escalated in 1999 briefly, and could do so again at any time, by accident or design, with unpredictable consequences. Confrontations are still going on in the Spratlys, and I shall update this story at class time.

At the end of 2000 it was announced that the Chevron and Texaco oil companies would merge their operations to create the fourth biggest oil-producing firm in the World behind Exxon/Mobil, Royal Dutch Shell and BP Amoco. Texaco and Chevron were already partners in the Asian Pacific region with the company known as Caltex but the new deal was a global one. Dick Cheney's Halliburton gets "reconstruction" contracts through its subsidiary Kellogg, Brown and Root (yes it's the same Brown Brothers, Harriman) without competitive bids. Osama Bin Laden is the son of one of the wealthiest men in Saudi Arabia who just happens to have extensive financial ties to the Bushes. David Rockefeller sold his lease to the land under the Trade Centers one month before the attacks. The "hijackers" all managed to get preferential visas to train at a flight school with connections to the CIA, drug running and Jerry Falwell. But would it be "crazy" to connect any of those dots? The bogus 9-11 hearings finally opened last week. Everyone should read the testimony of Mindy Kleinberg, whose husband died in the attacks (9-11commission.gov/hearings/witness_kleinberg.htm). She paints a damning picture of incompetence so blatant that it would be a conspiracy in itself. But what if something else was going on? What if the hijackers weren't just "lucky" nine or ten times over, in a row, with nobody the wiser, while the myriad of governmental checks and balances failed completely? Would that be conspiracy? Would it hide the fact that to get at the Caspian Sea oil reserves there would have to be pipelines run through Afghanistan, Iraq and the Balkans?

How many Rockefellers/Rothschild agents plotting together for years does it take to screw up the World?

Rockefeller's first World monopoly broken 1911

- Seven Sisters (the "majors"): Exxon (was Rockefeller's Standard Oil Co.), Shell, BP (privatized since 1979), Mobil (was Socony), Chevron (was Doheny's Socal), Gulf (was owned by Mellons until sold to Chevron), Texaco
- BP dominates Iran from 1908 Anglo-Persian Co. and built the first Mideast pipeline to refinery at Abadan
- Sykes-Picot carves up Mideast
- IPC gives 20% to Exxon 1922
- Venezuela fields opened 1922 by Creole Petroleum (49% by Standard, 36% by Shell, 14% by Gulf)

Oil companies influence policy

- Mellon & Gulf Oil Co.
- Doheny & Chevron Oil Co.
- Mr. & Mrs. Ed. Doheny with defense council Frank Hogan during 1924 Teapot Dome scandal - NY Tribune cartoon by Darling
- Elk Hill Reserve
- no antitrust after 1911
- Petroleum War Service Committee - under Baruch's WIB
 - J. Harry Covington of Covington and Burling
- Revenue Act of 1918 - restores depletion allowance
- American Petroleum Institute 1918 - cartel
- Tom Connolly & Texas Railroad Commission 1919
- "Many Faces of Texas" from Time, 1/17/1964
- East Texas field around Dallas - Dad Joiner, H.L. Hunt

U.S. joins World oil cartel

- Achnacarry 1928 - Deterding and "Gulf-plus system"
- "informal empire" - not government
- Exxon but not Chevron

ARAMCO in Saudi Arabia

- Abd Al-Aziz ibn Saud captured Riyadh Jan. 15, 1902, from the Rashids and Turks and unified S.A. by 1927
- Chevron strike in Bahrain 1931
- 1933 concession = all-American, not Allies
- 1933: King Abdul Aziz Bin Abdul Rahman Al-Saud signs agreement authorizing Standard Oil of California (Socal) to explore for oil in what is now the Eastern Province of the Kingdom
- 1933: Saudi government signed a concession agreement with the Standard Oil Company of California, predecessor of today's Chevron
- 1938: Commercial oil production begins in Saudi Arabia (not shipped until after WWII)

World War II demand for oil

- Harold Ickes was U. S. Oil Coordinator
- FDR: "I hereby find that the defense of Saudi Arabia is vital to the defense of the U.S." (letter to Stettinius Feb. 18, 1943)
- loans, advisors sent to S.A.
- Ickes wants Petroleum Reserves Corp. pipeline across S.A. by 1944
- Connolly stops Anglo-American proposal August 1944 to support S.A. and ARAMCO - policy would be left up to private companies
- 1944: Calarabian a joint venture of Socal and Texaco changes name to Arabian -American Oil Company [Aramco]
- private Trans-Arabia pipeline built 1945-50

U.S. helps Shah Pahlevi

- Brit, Russian troops enter Aug. 25, 1941
- Shah Pahlevi abdicated Sep. 17 - replaced by son
- U.S. sent Arthur Millsbaugh 1943-5 (had helped Qajars 1922-27)

- Lend-Lease aid to Iran, Russia
- 1943 Tehran Conference - Big 3 pledge cooperation and the independence of Iran
- U.S. companies get oil concession in Iran after the war

Quincy conference Feb, 14, 1945

- Saud., Farouk, Selassie, oil, zionism, British discussed
- U.S. controls 42% of Mideast oil
- meeting on board USS Quincy
- Peel Plan 1937 - Jewish Agency moves to New York
- Congress votes Dec. 1945 for unrestricted immigration

Arab power rises

- Saud and 6 others create Arab League March 1945
- 1948: Jersey and Socony-Vacuum join Socal and Texaco in Aramco venture
- 1949: Saudi Arabia builds Tapline through northern Saudi Arabia, Syria, Jordan and Lebanon to the Mediterranean
- 1950 Acheson with NSA negotiated 50-50 deal (similar to Venezuela 1948)
- 1960 ARAMCO cuts oil price, Saudi Arabia creates OPEC in Sept.
- rising production of Saudi oil 1938-1967
- 1973: Saudi Arabian Government begins purchasing Aramco's assets from its shareholders, Socal (later Chevron), Texaco, Exxon and Socony-Vacuum (Mobil)
- 1975: Aramco initiates work to design, build and operate twin industrial cities at Jubail on the Gulf and Yanbu on the Red Sea
- 1980: Saudi Government acquires 100 percent of Aramco's shares, although Aramco partners continue to operate and manage Saudi Arabia's oil fields
- 1985: Yanbu Petrochemical Company (YANPET), a joint venture petrochemicals complex at Yanbu, Saudi Arabia plant begins operation; Mobil and Saudi Basic Industries Corporation (SABIC) are 50-50 partners in YANPET
- 1988: Royal decree establishes the Saudi Arabian Oil Company [Saudi Aramco] to take over the management and operations of Saudi Arabia's oil and gas fields from Aramco
- 1988: Saudi Aramco forms a joint venture with Texaco called Star Enterprise; Under the agreement, a Saudi Aramco subsidiary acquires a 50 percent share in Star's three refineries in the United States
- 1991: Saudi Aramco acquires a 35 percent interest in SangYong Oil Refining Company, South Korea's third-largest refiner and leading lubricant manufacturer,
- 1993: Royal decree merges all of the Kingdom's state-owned refining, product-distribution and marketing operations, as well as the Government's half-interest in three joint-venture refineries into Saudi Aramco
- 1994: Saudi Aramco enters joint venture with the Philippine National Oil Company (PNOC) purchasing a 40-percent stake in Petron Corp
- 1996: Saudi Aramco acquires a 50 percent interest in Motor Oil Hellas and Avin Oil, the refining and distribution affiliates of Greece's Vardinoyannis Group which it sold out in 2005.
- 1997: Crude oil manipulated to hit lows of \$9/barrel. US and British oil companies sign long term-low royalty price deals with oil producing countries.
- 1998: Shell Oil Co., Texaco Inc. and Saudi Aramco initiate joint venture combining their eastern U.S. refining and marketing assets under the name Motiva Enterprises LLC, paralleling a joint venture launched by Shell and Texaco under the name Equilon Enterprises LLC for their Midwest, Southwest and West Coast downstream assets; Shell to own 35% of Houston-based Motiva, while Texaco and Aramco will each own 32.5%
- 2006: Oil prices manipulated to hit highs near \$80/barrel. Venezuela wants to renegotiate past royalty fees in light of new high prices and record \$36billion Exxon/Mobil profit and Chevron over \$20billion profits. US government keeps giving tax breaks to oil giants while commoners are suffering under high gasoline prices.

Amazon.com

Anthony Cave Brown, the author of several well-received books on the history of espionage, here turns his attention to a story as full of intrigue as any spy novel: the rise of Aramco, once the World's leading oil concern. Led by a consortium of American investors, Aramco managed through considerable guile to insert itself in territory tightly controlled by the British--thanks, in part, to the labors of one H. St. John Philby, a British spy (and father of the notorious Soviet double agent Kim Philby) who held great influence in the court of Saudi King Ibn Saud, and who, writes Brown, "was to betray the British government in favor of Standard Oil." The Americans won Saudi favor not only through Philby, but also through an intrepid Chicago-born entrepreneur and diplomat named Charles Crane, who did for Ibn Saud what the British failed to do: Crane built a costly waterworks that brought drinking water into the Saudi interior. (For his part, Philby obtained the monopoly on selling Ford automobiles in the country. In six years, he sold the king 1,450 cars.) The result was a concession to the American concern to what the U.S. State Department once called "the most valuable commercial prize in the history of the planet," namely, the vast oil fields of Arabia; for an initial investment of £100,000, Aramco eventually extracted more than a trillion dollars from the Arabian reserves. The American interest in Saudi and Persian Gulf oil has remained strong ever since, Brown writes--he even calls the Gulf War of 1991 "the Aramco War"-- although the company was nationalized in the mid-1980s. Brown's careful research and vivid prose yield a fine read for anyone interested in contemporary affairs and World history. --Gregory McNamee

From Publishers Weekly

Everything about the story of the American interest in Arabian oil is big. Standard Oil (whose post Antitrust Act components formed Aramco in 1947 in the largest corporate merger the World had seen) made trillions of dollars over its

history, produced millions of barrels of oil daily by the late 1950s and, to a mind-boggling extent, successfully intervened in international politics to protect its interests. Brown's skill in relating the complex relations among the Saudi royal family, the secretive oil executives and the American and British governments is no less impressive. The story begins with how, between the World wars, Standard Oil challenged and?with the help of the same American government that had busted its trust?beat the British Empire in the race for the prize of Arabian oil. Brown hangs this part of his account on the lives and deeds of three men: Ibn Saud, the Arab prince allied with the British in WWI who founded the Saudi dynasty; John D. Rockefeller, the American oil baron; and Harry St. John Philby, a British agent who advised Ibn Saud. (Brown likens Philby to his infamous son, Soviet spy Kim Philby, arguing that his loyalty to Ibn Saud led him to "betray" Britain by advising the king ultimately to favor Standard Oil over the Empire.) Brown brings the reader through the post-WWII transfer of World hegemony from the British Empire to the U.S., explaining the symbiosis of corporate and Saudi politics against the backdrop of the Cold War, the Israeli-Arab conflict and the Iran-Iraq war. It's a great story well told. The only shortcoming is that Brown relies so heavily on Aramco documents that his history is skewed a little too much to the corporate side, relegating geopolitics to a secondary, though still vital, role. Copyright 1998 Reed Business Information, Inc.

From Library Journal: Brown (Treason in Blood, LJ 11/15/94) presents a complex account of intrigue and international politics from the oil fields of the Middle East. Based on extensive research, including private ARAMCO (Arabian American Oil Company) files, Brown traces the discovery, development, and competition for control of the Saudi oil reserves from World War I to the purchase of ARAMCO in 1988 by the Saudi Arabian Oil Company. As he examines the clash of Western values with Eastern religious traditions, Brown reveals the influence many prominent individuals have had on oil policy?including Franklin Roosevelt, Henry Kissinger, Ibn Saud, John Philby, and Aristotle Onassis. Fast paced and highly entertaining, Brown's history demonstrates a unique understanding of how the politics of Big Oil have reshaped global economies. Highly recommended for public and academic libraries.?Robert L. Balliot Jr., East Greenwich Free Lib., Copyright 1998 Reed Business Information, Inc.

From Booklist: Aramco, or the Arab American Oil Company, grew out of an agreement between Ibn Saud, who formed the kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 1932, and Standard Oil of California. In 1933 Ibn Saud granted the U.S. company oil exploration and production rights, and other American companies joined the consortium that became Aramco in 1944. The important role of Aramco has been acknowledged in Anthony Sampson's *The Seven Sisters: The Great Oil Companies and the World They Shaped* (1975) and Daniel Yergin's *The Prize: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power* (1990), well-noted books written for popular audiences. Now Brown, who has written half a dozen books about British and U.S. espionage and intelligence operations, uncovers the shadowy details of the battle to win and keep control of the greatest concentration of natural wealth in the World. With access to a cache of newly discovered personal papers belonging to William E. Mulligan, Aramco's go-between with the Saudi government, Brown pulls back the veil of secrecy that has always surrounded Aramco. David Rouse

Publisher's Weekly: A great story well told. **Synopsis:** Using company files, government documents, and personal interviews, the author recounts the unceasing diplomatic and corporate efforts to gain access to the enormous resources under the vast Arab desert.

Ingram: Using Aramco files never before available to scholars or journalists, dozens of personal interviews, and U.S. and British government documents, Brown recounts the unceasing diplomatic and corporate maneuvers aimed at obtaining this unimaginable wealth, an ongoing drama that involved such figures as the great warrior-king Ibn Saud, founder of the Saudi dynasty; H. St. John Philby, the British scholar-adventurer who was a chief adviser to the king; the American philanthropist Charles Crane; Winston Churchill; Franklin Delano Roosevelt; and assorted oil-industry executives and engineers across the United States.

Book Description: Here is the extraordinary tale of what the U.S. State Department once called "the most valuable commercial prize in the history of the planet," the vast oil reserves beneath the sands of the Arabian desert. Using Aramco files never before available to scholars or journalists, dozens of personal interviews, and U.S. and British government documents, Anthony Cave Brown recounts the unceasing diplomatic and corporate maneuvers aimed at obtaining this unimaginable wealth, an ongoing drama that involved such figures as the great warrior-king Ibn Saud, founder of the Saudi dynasty; H. St. John Philby, the British scholar-adventurer who was a chief advisor to the king; the American philanthropist Charles Crane; Winston Churchill; Franklin Delano Roosevelt; and assorted oil-industry executives and engineers across the United States. Played out against a background of war and the turmoil of an ancient culture thrust abruptly into the twentieth century, the struggle to obtain the prize was won by the United States, which emerged from the battle to become the dominant Western power in the Middle East.

Still still still still skeptical? [Well, keep on reading about the Globalist New World Order.....](#)

Would Greenspan and his fellow Fed technicians manipulate the U.S. markets to assist their insider confreres in building the supranational economic and political structures of the EU and EMU? Of course! They and their predecessors have been doing exactly that — and more — since World War II to bring to fruition this important component of their planned New World Order. Hilaire du Berrier, who publishes the authoritative HduB Reports from Monaco, was for many years a lone voice warning of the growing dangers of the regional super government now known as the EU. "The CFR," wrote du Berrier in January 1973, "saw the Common Market from the first as a regional government to which more and more nations would be added until the World government which [the] UN had failed to bring about would be realized. At a favorable point in the Common Market's development America would be brought in. But the American public had to be softened first and leaders groomed for the change-over."

Du Berrier's monitions concerning this scheme to abolish the European nation-states began in the 1960s, tracing the growth and transformation of the new monstrosity in Brussels from its inception in 1951 as the European Coal and Steel Commission to the European Economic Community or Common Market in 1957, the European Community (EC) in 1967, and the EU in 1993. (For a more detailed study of the Insider machinations behind the development of the EU and EMU, see chapter 13 of *Global Tyranny ... Step by Step*, by William F. Jasper.) The CFR, which has occupied a central role in all of these developments, has its origins in the secret network established in the 1890s by the British millionaire and megalomaniac Cecil Rhodes (a land monopolizing enslaver). **As Rhodes biographer Sarah Millin put it:** "The government of the World was Rhodes' simple desire." His immediate disciples, led by Lord Alfred Milner, utilized his fabled wealth — as well as the pelf and power of the Rothschild, J.P. Morgan, and Rockefeller empires — toward the achievement of Rhodes' "simple desire."

The Milner Group operated as a secret society, but established many other semi-secret and open front groups through which it carried out its purpose. Two of its most important creations were the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) in Britain and the Council on Foreign Relations in the United States. The skill and ruthless cunning which the players of these organizations have employed in erecting the EU and the new European Central Bank were born in the experience of their predecessors, who earlier in this century set up the Federal Reserve and other central banks throughout the World. One of the sources of our knowledge of this incredible stratagem for global domination is the late Carroll Quigley, the celebrated professor of history at Georgetown University, who was for two years allowed access to the secret records of the Rhodes-Milner network. His books, *Tragedy and Hope* and *The Anglo-American Establishment*, provide some of the best inside information on the nefarious operations of this network which continue to shake the World.

In *Tragedy and Hope* (1966,) **Quigley writes:** "The powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a World system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the World as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the World acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the World's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business World."

In January 1924, Reginald McKenna, who was then chairman of the board of the Midland Bank (and had been Britain's Chancellor of the Exchequer in 1915-16), confirmed that the British system was completely dominated by the conspiratorial monied aristocracy. "I am afraid the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can, and do, create money," said McKenna. "And they who control the credit of the nation direct the policy of governments, and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people." On November 11, 1927, the *Wall Street Journal* called Montagu Norman, governor of the Bank of England, "the currency dictator of Europe." The House Hearings on Stabilization of the Purchasing Power of the Dollar disclosed evidence in 1928 that the Federal Reserve Board was working closely with the heads of European central banks. The Committee warned that a major crash had been planned in 1927 by the FED. And Norman, a strange, furtive intriguer given to wearing disguises, using assumed names, and incessantly flitting about the World on mysterious missions, confirmed the *Journal's* assertion before the Macmillan Committee on March 26, 1930.

[A Higher Power, the International BankLords](#)

But as Professor Quigley points out, Norman answered to powers who stood in the shadows. "It must not be felt that these heads of the World's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in World finance," writes Quigley. "They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment Bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down." Those Bankers to which Quigley refers were members of the Rhodes-Milner network. Their immense power and influence were exercised through the Royal Institute for International Affairs and their many other levers of control in the government, the major political parties, academe, business, and the media.

"When the influence which the Institute wields is combined with that controlled by the Milner Group in other fields — in education, in administration, in newspapers and periodicals — a really terrifying picture begins to emerge," writes Quigley in *The Anglo-American Establishment*, a book he wrote in 1949, but which was published posthumously in 1981. He continues:

"The picture is terrifying because such power, whatever the goals at which it is being directed, is too much to be entrusted to any group.... No country that values its safety should allow what the Milner Group accomplished in Britain — that is, that a small number of men would be able to wield such power in administration and politics, should be given almost complete control over the publication of documents relating to their actions, should be able to exercise such influence over the avenues of information that create public opinion, and should be able to monopolize so completely the writing and the teaching of the history of their own period."

Tragically, this country and most of the countries of Europe (and the leading nations of Asia) have allowed the same cabal to replicate itself on their own shores, creating a global network of power that does certainly, to use Quigley's words,

present a very "terrifying picture." After World War II, the CFR Insiders used their enormous influence in the U.S. media to rally support for the Marshall Plan, which was sold to Congress and the American people as an absolutely necessary economic recovery plan to rebuild war-ravaged Europe and save its nations from "going Communist." In reality, the Marshall Plan was "a windfall for socialism," says economist Hans Sennholz. Through it tens of billions of dollars from U.S. taxpayers were funneled to socialist and internationalist parties, politicians, policies, programs, periodicals, and organizations that would lead relentlessly toward the destruction of national sovereignty and the merger of the individual countries of Europe into a centrally controlled, socialist mega-state. The European Insiders likewise lied to the European people, insisting from the beginning, when the first six nations signed the Treaty of Rome creating the Common Market, that this was simply an arrangement to facilitate trade, travel, and commerce.

Dr. Hans F. Sennholz, Chairman of the Department of Economics at Grove City (PA) College stated: "The Federal Reserve System facilitates the government's own inflationary financing in "periods of emergency." It makes easy the inflationary financing of budget deficits and the inflationary refunding of government loans. It stabilizes the government bond market through inflationary methods and manipulates this market to the advantage of the government. It does all this by wrecking the purchasing power of the dollar; by subtly stealing from the people of this country what it thus provides for the government, through a process exactly on par with the coin-clipping of ancient kings - but much more diabolical because it's so much less visible."

Voices of Warning

A significant and growing chorus of voices throughout Europe, especially in England, is venting anger over this massive deception and warning the people of the enormous betrayal that is daily moving closer to final consummation. "Twenty years ago, when the process began, there was no question of losing sovereignty," Sir Peregrine Worsthorne wrote in London's Sunday Telegraph in 1991. "That was a lie, or at any rate, a dishonest obfuscation." Further, said Worsthorne, "For the past twenty years or so anybody wanting to have a career in the public service, in the higher reaches of the city, or the media has had to be pro-European. In the privacy of the closet or among close friends, even many federalists would admit as much. But such is the momentum behind the European movement that none of these individual doubts, expressed separately, will be remotely sufficient to stop the juggernaut."

Indeed, lone voices — even influential ones — had been far from sufficient to break through the media stranglehold exercised by the ruling elite of the Milner Group-RIIA-CFR thought cartel. In 1959, for example, few British citizens heard (and fewer still understood the importance of) the warning of Reginald Maulding, Chancellor of the Exchequer, concerning the real nature of the Common Market. Said Maulding: "We must recognize that for us to sign the Treaty of Rome would be to accept the ultimate goal — political federation in Europe including ourselves." Lord Bruce of Donington, a Member of Parliament from the Labour Party who has been a stalwart opponent of Euro-convergence schemes for four decades, was likewise given the media blackout treatment. In a 1962 speech he cited Maulding's warning that the Common Market was really aimed at eventual political unification. "This, of course, is not how the issue has been presented by the government to the people of this country," Lord Bruce cautioned. "The matter has been put forward in terms of the economic advantages which would accrue to Britain if we joined 'the Six' in a Customs Union ... allowing our industries to thrive in what appears to be a lush 'home' market of 214 million people."

No "right-wing isolationist," Lord Bruce served in the European Parliament as Rapporteur for the Budget Committee and president of the Transport and Regional Committee as a representative of the European Socialist Group. In a 1996 interview with International Currency Review, Lord Bruce noted that much of the impetus for European convergence comes from the ruling elite of "the United States, which disguises its intent for public consumption but has consistently assisted the merging of Britain and the other European nations into a regional bloc." "The Americans," he said, "have subsidized and promoted this aberration almost since its inception, and they are very active today."

Under the banner of democracy, the governing powers are being turned over to a ruling Eurocrat elite. "The modern politician is placed in the hands of the bureaucratic conspirator — of which, of course, many officials of the European Commission are egregious examples," noted Bruce. "If you read Alan Clarke's entertaining Diaries, you will recall that what happens at Council meetings is that the visiting Ministers are presented at the start of each meeting with the Communique that they are intended to sign. They are of course allowed to amend it, but the point is that the document is there, the conclusions have already been reached and pre-packaged — by a bunch of unelected officials." The subversive process is quite simple and efficient, he pointed out: "The prearranged Communique sets the tone of any discussions and influences and often governs the outcome. This method of administrative rule ... reveals the true bureaucratic and manipulative character of the EU system. Given these arrangements, the European Union is manifestly at the mercy of agendas set by officials, amongst whose number there are known to be conspirators, either centrally in Brussels or within the national structures."

Fortunately, Lord Bruce noted, due to the growing pressure of the various factions opposed to Euro-convergence, "it is today acceptable to discuss the option of Britain leaving the European Union altogether, which was not the case even two months ago. I can well remember the time when I was speaking on this subject ten years ago in the House of Lords. If I had said in the House then what I routinely say today, I would have been howled down, or I would have endured its parliamentary equivalent!"

Core of Corruption

One of the signal voices who has helped dramatically to expose the impending calamity of the euro and the EMU is Bernard Connolly, a former senior EU official who was in charge of the European Monetary System and the National and

Community Monetary Policies Unit in the European Commission. In 1995, Connolly wrote *The Rotten Heart of Europe: The Dirty War for Europe's Money*, a remarkable book exposing the incredible subversion and corruption endemic in the EU. Among the many bombshells in this insider's tell-all is the still unrefuted charge that the Maastricht Treaty, on which the entire EMU-ECB hangs, was obtained through massive bribery by then-president of the European Commission Jacques Delors. "On 9 November 1991," writes Connolly, "the Irish, Spanish, Portuguese, and Greek Foreign Ministers had left a secret meeting with Jacques Delors in which he had promised fabulous amounts (6 billion ECUs to Ireland) of other people's money if they pledged to support his federalist, corporatist ambitions in the final Maastricht negotiations."

Delivering "The Lantern Lecture" at the Palace of Westminster in April 1996, Connolly confessed that "in 1975 I voted 'Yes' in the referendum on what we thought was Common Market membership." "It has now become clear to us," he said, "that what we thought was a Common Market is nothing more than a project to create a European federal super-state in which our sovereignty, Britain's national identity, would be extinguished." Added Connolly, "The destruction of national sovereignty is ... an undisguised ambition of the federalists." The former Eurocrat charged that "the thinking that lies behind Maastricht rejects the benefits of international competition. It rejects the role of markets and it buttresses the unaccountable power of Central Bankers and of increasingly unaccountable political institutions whether they be at the European level or, sad to say, at the national level."

According to Mr. Connolly, the Eurostatists "have mapped out a clear path for themselves. They mapped it out in the 1940s. They have stuck to it. They are not going to abandon it. They will be damned rather than abandon it." "At some point," he warned, "we as a nation have to decide whether we are going to go along with this economic madness. Are we going to throw ourselves off the cliff? Are we going to acquiesce in the final denunciation of national sovereignty or not?" At almost the same time that Connolly was delivering his important lecture, another similarly significant speech was being delivered to Parliament by the former Speaker of the House of Commons, Viscount Tony Pandy. He did not mince words: "Membership of the European Union is ferocious for us. It has severely weakened our balance-of-payments. It has reduced our political and economic rights to a pale shadow of what they were.... When this Parliament passed an Act preventing Spanish fishermen from sailing under our flag and stealing the quota of fish allotted to us, the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg declared the Act illegal — an Act passed by the British Parliament — and told us we had no business to do it." If any foreign court had tried to overrule the sovereign rights of our Parliament as late as the 1970s it would have been told to jump in the river. What has happened to us that we now submit to overruling by foreign courts with a docility that is a disgrace to the "Bulldog Breed"?

"Europe," said the former Speaker of the House, "has almost destroyed our fishing industry. It has played havoc with our farmers; it has destroyed the living standards of thousands of our citizens; it has diminished the standing of our Parliament and the stature of our Law Lords. They used to be supreme. Now they must doff their caps to Spain, Italy, and Germany, because they know they can be overruled. That ought to make us hide our heads in shame." Unfortunately, Tony Pandy's speech was completely spiked — censored — by the insider-controlled British press. Mr. Connolly's book and the speeches and essays of most other Euromania opponents have likewise gotten the silent treatment. But that should not surprise. Professor Quigley outlined in his books how the Rhodes-Milner network acquired control of much of the press decades ago — and ruthlessly wielded this control to advance its covert agenda. This insidious relationship was underscored at the 1991 meeting of the secret Bilderberg Group at Sand, Germany. The annual Bilderberg meetings have provided an important vehicle by which the European Union and other globalist schemes of the network have been advanced over the past 40 years. The French periodical *Lectures Francaises* managed to obtain the address by David Rockefeller, longtime chairman of the CFR and leader of the American Establishment, to this coterie of elite one-Worlders:

"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the World if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during these years. But the World is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a World government which will never again know war, but only peace and prosperity for the whole of humanity. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and World Bankers is surely preferable to the national auto determination practiced in the past centuries. It is also our duty to inform the press of our convictions as to the historic future of the century." Americans who rely on this prostitute press will find themselves soon facing the same predicament that now confronts the bewildered people of the steadily encroaching European super state. The insiders' kept media, which has for years been hymning the praises of the European Union, has now also turned up the volume and tempo of its propaganda blasts for a "common market" and "free trade area" for the Americas stretching from Alaska to Tierra del Fuego.

[The Round Table Financial Takeover of Australia and New Zealand](#)

Still, still, still, still, still, skeptical? Well, then to visualize the following series of events about The Round Table Financial Takeover of Australia and New Zealand, A 20 Year History In Brief, please visit the following website (available on the CD as well): http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp_sociopol_opalfile.htm

[Seven Days in May on April 17, 1995](#)

Still, still, still, still, still, still skeptical? Well, here's something more to consider!

A brave police supervisor was stabbed 17 times to death on his way to reveal the FBI's involvement in the Oklahoma City bombing. Furthermore, two days before the Oklahoma bloodshed, on April 17, 1995, a plane-load of top military brass were murdered when their sabotaged plane blew up near Alexander City, Alabama. It was a real life version of "Seven Days in May". According to federal grand jurors interviewed by Sherman Skolnick, there was an attempt, later blocked, by a grand jury to investigate this aborted coup. It was actually part of a series of events involving twenty four Admirals and Generals, some of the most patriotic flag officers in the history of this Republic. They vowed, under the Uniform Military Code, to arrest their Commander-in-Chief Bill Clinton, for his various acts of treason aiding and abetting sworn enemies of the United States who are working to bring about the Illuminati New World Order.

If Clinton had them arrested for mutiny, they were prepared, if not assassinated, to defend themselves with their heavily documented charges of his treachery against the U.S. Constitution and the people of the United States. The purpose to pull off a coup was NOT to install a junta [pronounced HOON-tah], that is, an evil military dictatorship. Rather, to restore by necessary force the American Republic, which has gone down hill since the overthrow, by the American secret political police, of the U.S. government, by way of the murder in 1963 of President John F. Kennedy. In the months and years that followed the Alexander City incident, some ten like-minded Admirals, Generals, and other officials and former officials, were assassinated. Such as, Admiral Jeremy Boorda, Chief of Naval Operations, the highest naval officer in uniform. Such as General David McCloud, head of the Alaska Military District. Such as, former Director of Central Intelligence, DCI, William Colby. Sherman Skolnick's interviews with their family members, relatives, and confidants convince us of the validity of this report. The oil-soaked monopoly press wrote off their demise as "airplane accidents", "suicide", and "boat accident".

On May 16, 1996, US Navy Admiral in Chief Jeremy (Mike) Boorda supposedly "committed suicide" outside his Washington home. The "elite" media dutifully reported he was distraught over allegations one of his medals was bogus. More likely he was murdered because he opposed the Illuminati New World Order and the CIA's use of US Navy ships to transport drugs and he had a meeting with both Newsweek and President Clinton that very afternoon he was murdered. Now let's look at Pentagon casualties on 9-11. On September 10, 2001, just one day before 9-11, The Washington Times ran a front-page story "US troops would enforce peace under Army study." The Times quoted officers in the Army's School of Advanced Military Studies (SAMS). Commenting on the Mossad, Israel's intelligence/dirty trick service, the SAMS officers said: "Wildcard. Ruthless and cunning. Has capability to target US forces and make it look like a Palestinian/Arab act." On April 4, 2002, Carol Valentine wrote to the Army's School of Advanced Military Studies, asking, among other things, if the Washington Times story was true. The next day reply from SAMS: The SAMS public relations officer said that The Times' article was misleading because it failed to mention "the Army study" was an academic exercise.

<http://www.public-action.com/911/rescue/obq-sams-response.txt/index.html>

But the SAMS's "academic exercise" explanation tell us something even more significant about the Army: That within SAM's ranks, and within its critically important officer training ranks, the propensities of the Nation of Israel can be openly discussed, without fear of the "anti-Semitism" smear. It seems that there is still freedom of thought, freedom of inquiry, and freedom of speech within U.S. Army officer ranks. This says that certain elements within the Army were not under Israel's heel -- or at least they were not prior to 9-11. The Israelis surely must have known about that Army situation. That situation could be extremely dangerous to Israel. One way to handle the danger would be to send a shot across the bows of the Army, a shot which might communicate: "Shut up." It would be even better to liquidate some of the particularly troublesome Army personnel, too. The Army's Personnel division was heavily hit on 9-11. New York Times article: "The Army suffered the heaviest losses, including Lt. Gen. Timothy J. Maude, a three-star general who headed the Army's personnel division, according to officials. Altogether, 21 Army soldiers and officers and 53 civilians who worked in the Department of the Army were killed, many of them from the service's budget and personnel offices."

Those Army personnel officers may have had a list of names of people whose security clearances the Zionists wanted to yank. They may have had other information that Israel wanted squashed. According to the flagship Zionist publication the Washington Post, the entire chain of command of the super secret "Chief of Naval Operations Intelligence Plot" were killed on September 11. ("The Last Watch," January 20, 2002, front page of Style section, quoted above.) Naval Intelligence was the base from which Israeli spy Jonathan Pollard operated. Remember, it was Naval Intelligence that caught Pollard and Naval Intelligence that insisted the FBI arrest him. How many patriotic Naval Intelligence types had an attitude adjustment on September 11, 2001?



Still, still, still, still, still, still skeptical? Is the conspiracy too monstrous to be believable? Well, let's continue even if you are still skeptical. I'm sure you will find this exploratory journey very enjoyable, educational and entertaining.

[Next a footnote About Donald Rumsfeld Meeting with Saddam: Bait & Switch](#)



We have already seen Mr. Rumsfeld's love for torture (Abu Ghraib). Currently, Rumsfeld is raking in the money from the Bird Flu vaccine. Now more from the past...

[How the Illuminati "bait & switch"](#)

In Nov 1983, U.S. Secretary of State George Shultz knew how Saddam Hussein's troops were resorting to almost daily use of chemical weapons in their war against the Iranians. On December 20, Rumsfeld (then the CEO of pharmaceutical giant **Searle** who promoted the war chemical cancer-causing Aspartame) met with Saddam Hussein, not to lecture the dictator about his use of weapons or the fine print of the Geneva Conventions, but to talk business. The meeting opened the door to shipments of dual-use munitions, chemical & biological agents. In a recently released investigative report from the Institute for Policy Studies researchers Jim Vallette, Steve Kretzmann, and Daphne Wysham detail that Rumsfeld, under direct instructions from the White House, was there to convince Saddam Hussein to approve a highly lucrative, and highly secret, oil pipeline project from Iraq to Jordan for Bechtel and Halliburton, which he eventually did not approve. Here is some more on **Rumsfeld, Searle and Aspartame** (details in Introduction III).

Today we have "Nutra-Sweet", which is widely used in a plethora of consumables, despite a demonstrated neurological reaction in some people. In February 1996, it was decided to also use the product name "Benevia". It is estimated that as many as 20,000,000 people cannot metabolize phenylalimine, and this inability is genetically inherited by children. The inability to metabolize phenylalanine can lead to mental retardation in children. This means a risk of retardation for millions of children. No long term studies have been performed to evaluate the physiological effects of this substance, yet the public is lead to believe it is absolutely safe. <http://www.mercola.com/article/aspartame/fraud.htm>

Technically, the chemical is called aspartame, and it was **once on a Pentagon list of biowarfare chemicals** submitted to Congress. Aspartame is in over 4,000 products Worldwide and is consumed by over 200 million people in the United States alone.

[Rumsfeld, Searle and Aspartame: Keeping you in poor health](#)

Aspartame is produced by G.D. Searle Company, founded in 1888 and located in Skokie, Illinois. It is about 200 times sweeter than the refined sugar that it is meant to replace, and it is known to erode intelligence and affect short-term memory. It is essentially a chemical weapon designed to impact populations en masse. It is an rDNA derivative made from two amino acids, L-phenylalanine, L-aspartic acid and methanol. Originally discovered during a search for an ulcer drug in 1966, it was "approved" by the FDA in 1974 as a "food additive". Approval was followed by a retraction based on demonstrated public concern over the fact that the substance produced brain tumors in rats. According to the 1974 FDA task force set up to examine aspartame and G.D.Searle, "we have uncovered serious deficiencies in Searles operations and practices, which undermine the basis for reliance on Searle's integrity in conducting high quality animal research to accurately determine the toxic potential of its products." The task force report concluded with the recommendation that G.D. Searle should face a Grand Jury "to identify more particularly the nature of the violations, and to identify all those responsible." In 1976, an FDA "task force" brought into question all of G.D. Searle's aspartame testing procedures conducted between 1967 and 1975. The final FDA report noted faulty and fraudulent product testing, knowingly misrepresented product testing, knowingly misrepresented findings, and instances of irrelevant animal research. In other word, illegal criminal activity. Understandably scared, Searle officials sought to suppress the FDA findings and obstruct justice. They turned to Nixon and Ford administration operative Donald Rumsfeld and elected him "chairman of the Searle organization." In 1977, the Wall Street Journal detailed the fact that Rumsfeld made efforts to "mend fences" by asking "what Searle could do" in the face of the changes. Also in 1977, Dr. Adrian Gross, a pathologist working for the FDA, uncovered evidence that G.D.Searle might have committed criminal fraud in withholding adverse data on aspartame. The FDA requested that U.S. Attorney Samuel Skinner be hired to investigate Searle's aspartame testing procedures in January 1977. Samuel Skinner was the federal prosecutor responsible for convincing the Grand Jury to investigate whether Searle willfully and criminally withheld data that cast doubt on the safety of aspartame. In February 1977, Skinner met with Searle attorneys at the Chicago law firm of Sidney & Austin. Suddenly, newly elected President Carter announced that Skinner would not remain in office, and Skinner thereafter announced that he would be hired by Sidney & Austin. Obviously, Skinner then had to reclude himself from the Searle prosecution. The case was taken over by U.S. Attorney William Conlon, who essentially sat on the case, despite complaints from the Justice Department, which was urging that a grand jury be convened to prosecute Searle Company for falsifying Nutra-Sweet test data. Failing to perform his duty, Conlon also joined Searle's law firm in January 1979.

Skinner's defection from the FDA might have been prompted by the results of the review of the Searle studies. David Hattan, deputy director of the FDA Division of Toxicological Review and Evaluation, concedes that anyone reading the original FDA investigation reports is likely to be "shocked" by what they reveal. He says that the ensuing review of the Searle studies, in which he was involved from the time of his arrival in 1978, was one of the most thorough in the agency's history. It included an unprecedented hearing before a public board of inquiry composed of experts from outside the agency. Arthur Hayes, Jr., then Commissioner of the FDA appointed by Reagan, agreed with Searle and the FDA's Bureau of Foods (now the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition) that "an appropriate analysis of the data showed no significant increases in tumor incidence in rats exposed to aspartame or DKP, one of the breakdown products of aspartame. The board of inquiry rejected concerns that aspartame's components could cause neurological damage.[4]

In 1981, under pressure from the soft drink lobby, FDA Commissioner Hayes approved the initial use of aspartame in dry foods and as a tabletop sweetener, discounting public complaints as anecdotal and ignoring three FDA scientists.[5] who voiced the fact that there were serious questions concerning brain tumor tests after having done an in-house study. Hayes was widely profiled as a man who believed that approval for new drugs and additives was "too slow" because "the FDA demanded too much information." Hayes also ignored the fact that the biased scientific studies paid for by Searle were

faulty.[6] After leaving the FDA, Hayes took the post of senior medical consultant for the public relations firm retained by Searle.[7] A subsequent inquiry "found no impropriety".

Supreme Court Collusion in Aspartame Cover-up Clarence Thomas Former Monsanto Lawyer

In 1986, the Washington Post reported that the Supreme Court refused to consider arguments that the FDA had not followed proper procedures in approving aspartame, despite arguments that the product "may cause brain damage." (Supreme Court obstructing Justice). Since Bush-nominated Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas is a former attorney for Monsanto [9], it is unlikely that hundreds of millions of people will find redress. There are also indications of ties between Monsanto and elements in the CIA.

University of Illinois Fraudulent Study on Aspartame

In August of 1987, the University of Illinois, a recipient of funding from Monsanto, issued a study "exonerating aspartame of causing seizures in laboratory animals." The fact that they were paid by Monsanto automatically invalidates the results. U.S. Senate hearings in 1987 showed that G.D.Searle used "psychological strategy" to get regulators at the FDA "into a yes-saying habit" to "bring them into a subconscious spirit of participation." [10] More than half of 69 medical researchers polled by the FDA in 1987 said they were concerned about aspartame's safety.[11]

FDA Ignores Complaints of Neurological Symptoms

In 1989, the FDA received over 4,000 complaints from people who described adverse reactions. Because the FDA conveniently lists aspartame as a "food additive", it removes the legal requirement for adverse effect reporting to any Federal agency and the necessity for safety monitoring processes. Research also indicates that aspartame, when combined with glutamine products (such as MSG, widely used in foods) increase the likelihood of brain damage occurring in children.[12]

Aspartame Affecting Airline Pilots

Some of the more interesting developments in 1989 surfaced in the Palm Beach Post on October 14th, where an article by Dr. H.J. Robert described several recent aircraft accidents involving confusion and aberrant pilot behavior caused by ingestion of products containing aspartame.[13] Soft drink makers were notified of this problem in 1991. It is interesting to note that after Samuel Skinner left Sidney & Austin, Searle's law firm, he was appointed Secretary of Transportation. Hence, he was in charge of the FAA, just in time to head off complaints from pilots affected by aspartame. His wife was employed by Sidney & Austin. Later as George Bush's Chief of Staff in 1991, during the Gulf War, he was in a position to head off all inquiries relative to aspartame, no matter where they were directed - to the FDA, FAA or Department of Defense. This constitutes criminal negligence and racketeering. George Bush, of course, was an ex-director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

British News: "NutraSweet Tests Faked"

On July 20, 1990, an article in the national British newspaper The Guardian, entitled "NutraSweet test results 'faked'", revealed that the British government had finally been persuaded to review the safety of aspartame after "receiving a dossier of evidence highlighting its potential dangers." According to The Guardian, the dossier alleged that laboratory tests were falsified, tumors were removed from laboratory animals and animals were 'restored to life' in laboratory records.[14] The dossier against NutraSweet was compiled by Erik Millstone, a lecturer at the Science Policy Research Unit at Sussex University and author of two books on food additives. It was based on thousands of pages of evidence, much of which was obtained under the Freedom of Information Act. The COT, Committee on Toxicity, was at the time looking into consumption of artificial sweeteners and did not possess the key documents covering alleged mishandling of the safety tests which Millstone was asked to provide. The British Ministry of Agriculture and Department of Health have never revealed the evidence upon which approval was given in England for the distribution of aspartame, maintaining that "these are matters of commercial confidence." The British government does not test of its own but relies on safety tests provided by the manufacturer, which of course constitutes a conflict of interest. The 1990 article quoted the British Department of Health as saying "NutraSweet is not a health hazard on the available evidence, but people do suffer 'ideosyncratic reactions' to food additives." Interestingly, it was pointed out that three out of 14 members of the Committee on Toxicity have direct or indirect links with the artificial sweetener industry, according to David Clark, the Labour Party Agriculture spokesman, who requested a Parliamentary Answer to address questions of conflict of interest. Aspartame is also sold in England under the product name "Canderel." In 1990, the market for aspartame in England was estimated at £800 million.

Dosing of the Military in the Gulf War

During the 1991 Gulf War, all military personnel were provided free supplies of aspartame-laced soft drinks together with experimental vaccines, nerve gas antidotes and personal insecticides. They were also treated to direct biochemical warfare compounds. The result is Gulf War Syndrome, which is communicable and deadly, and 50,000 military personnel and their dependents are wasting away before our eyes. Criminal negligence? Of course. Criminal conspiracy? Yes. Genocide? Probably - we're waiting to see.

Aspartame Alters Brain Chemicals That Affect Behavior

Independent tests on animals have shown that aspartame alters brain chemicals that also affect behavior. The chemical nature of aspartame was also shown to defeat its own alleged "purpose" as a "diet aid", since high doses instill a craving for calorie-laden carbohydrates. Then, the aspartame-carbohydrate combination further increases the effect of aspartame on the brain.[15]

Fraudulent Claims of Aspartame as a "Diet Aid"

Interestingly, even the American Cancer Society confirmed that users of artificial sweeteners gained more weight than those who didn't use the products, further undermining the supposed "purpose" for the existence of aspartame in the food.[16] Haven't we heard this kind of criminal fraud before? The major selling point of aspartame is as a diet aid, and it has been demonstrated that the use of this product actually causes people to consume more food. Normally, when significant quantities of carbohydrate are consumed, serotonin levels rise in the brain. This is manifested as a relaxed feeling after a meal. When aspartame is ingested with carbohydrates, such as having a sandwich with a diet drink, aspartame causes the brain to cease production of serotonin, meaning that the feeling of having had enough never materializes. You then eat more foods, many containing aspartame, and the cycle continues. Monsanto's profit from its NutraSweet Division was \$993 million in 1990.

Governments Continue Suppression and Cover-up on Aspartame

In 1991, the National Institutes of Health.[17] listed 167 symptoms and reasons to avoid the use of aspartame, but today it is a multi-million dollar business that contributes to the degeneration of the human population, as well as the deliberate suppression of overall intelligence, short-term memory[18] and the added contribution as a carcinogenic environmental co-factor. The FDA and the Centers for Disease Control continue to receive a stream of complaints from the population about aspartame. It is the only chemical warfare weapon available in mass quantities (should keep the cone-heads happy) on the grocery shelf and promoted in the media. It has also been indicated that women with an intolerance for phenylalanine, one of the components of aspartame, may give birth to infants with as much as a 15% drop in intelligence level if they habitually consume products containing this dangerous substance.[19]

FAA Collusion in Suppression of Facts on Aspartame

The March 1995 issue of The Pacific Flyer published a pro-aspartame article in which it stated, "The Federal Aviation Administration conducted its own cognitive research and, according to experts, found no contraindications that would prevent pilots, or anyone, from ingesting aspartame." This flies in the face of consistent reports from pilots who maintain they have suffered severe and dangerous repercussions in the air after drinking soft drinks containing aspartame. Virtually every time, symptoms disappeared when aspartame-laced drinks were discontinued.[20] Over 600 pilots have reported this problem.

FDA "Findings" on Aspartame Remain Based on Faked Tests

So, the faked Searle tests remain. The FDA bases its findings on the faked Searle tests, and the Journal of the American Medical Association, examining the FDA findings, based on the faked Searle tests, announced "the consumption of aspartame poses no health risk for most people." Searle officials argue that the use of aspartame as an artificial sweetener "has been officially approved not only by the FDA, but by foreign regulatory agencies and the World Health Organization" - based on Searle-sponsored aspartame research, not independently conducted tests.

Symptoms of Aspartame Intoxication: Minimal to Severe

The symptoms of aspartame intoxication include severe headaches, nausea, vertigo, insomnia, loss of control of limbs, blurred vision, blindness, memory loss, slurred speech, mild to severe depression often reaching suicidal levels, hyperactivity, gastrointestinal disorders, seizures, skin lesions, rashes, anxiety attacks, muscle and joint pain, numbness, mood changes, loss of energy, menstrual cramps out of cycle, hearing loss or ringing in the ears, loss or change of taste, and symptoms similar to those in a heart attack. In addition, aspartic acid chelates (combines) with chromium - which is a necessary element for proper operation of the thyroid gland. People who consume large quantities of aspartame may end up with a false diagnosis of Graves disease and suffer allopathic irradiation of their thyroid gland for no reason. Complaints about aspartame represent 80-85% of all food complaints registered with the FDA. More than 6,000 complaints have been made concerning the effects of aspartame. Thirty independent doctors and scientists have conducted research on the adverse effects of aspartame or have compiled supporting data against its use. The use of NutraSweet® or Equal® should be seriously curtailed or stopped.

Aspartame Use Part of Planetary Biomedical Genocide

The fact that tons of aspartame is pumped into the World population each year, knowingly and deliberately, especially with the historical and documented record of fraud and misrepresentation, constitutes a conspiracy of the highest order, as well as criminal negligence. The rewards of continued use are increased profits for the medical and pharmaceutical industries and chemical companies who produce aspartame and treat people suffering from the effect of it. Aspartame is the only biochemical warfare product on grocery shelves. And, the band plays on **Money and greed strike again.**

Still, still, still, still, still, still, still skeptical? Are you sure you want to keep believing the Bush/Sharon & company lies that are promoted by the Zionist control media?

IMPORTANT NOTE: Babylonian Synagogue of Satan Confusion on Zionism & Anti-Semitism?



one another ... if, per chance, this nation were to be unified into one state, it would then take the fate of the world into its hands and would separate Europe from the rest of the world. Taking these considerations seriously, a foreign body should be planted in the heart of this nation to prevent the convergence of its wings in such a way that it could exhaust its powers in never-ending wars. It could also serve as a springboard for the West to gain its coveted objects."

"Zionism is but an incident of a far reaching plan," said leading American **Zionist Louis Marshall**, counsel for bankers Kuhn Loeb in 1917. "It is merely a convenient peg on which to hang a powerful weapon." The far-reaching plan is Illuminati world dictatorship. The Illuminati is the highest echelon of Freemasonry, an occult secret society dedicated to Lucifer. The world's central bankers have intermarried with the richest dynastic families of Europe and America to bring about world hegemony. They instigated wars and depressions to degrade and destroy humanity. The American people have been financing their wars since 1914.

"The Jewish people as a whole will become its own Messiah. It will attain world dominion by the dissolution of other races, by the abolition of frontiers, the annihilation of monarchy and by the establishment of a world republic in which the Jews will everywhere exercise the privilege of citizenship. In this New World Order the [alleged] "children of Israel" will furnish all the leaders without encountering opposition. The Governments of the different peoples forming the world republic will fall without difficulty into the hands of the Jews. It will then be possible for the Jewish rulers to abolish private property and everywhere to make use of the resources of the state. Thus will the promise of the Talmud be fulfilled, in which is said that when the Messianic time is come, the Jews will have all the property of the whole world in their hands." -**Baruch Levy, Letter to Karl Marx, 'La Revue de Paris', p.574, June 1, 1928**



The Zionist manifesto and the Protocols were written by Theodor Herzl under the Rothschild Banking Dynasty's sponsorship. **Zionist Theodor Herzl "We Will Lead Every Revolution Against Us"**. Let the BankLords try to hide behind accusations of anti-Semitism, let's clear any confusion related to this "weapon of accusations" and expose the real anti-Semites, i.e. the Illuminati gang of Zionists, whilst the real Semites are Middle-Eastern Arabs! You will find that Jews who expose the evil behind Zionism are persecuted by Zionists. Zionism is in fact SIONism, Sion, = the Sun (pagan worship of the Sun god), hence the name of the elite secret society behind the "Merovingian" bloodline (from 5th century French nobility), the Priory of Sion. Contrary to most people's understanding, Zionism is not about the Jewish people. Many Jews are not Zionists and many non-Jews are. Zionism is



a Masonic political movement, not a race. To say Zionism is the Jewish people is like saying the Democratic Party is the American people. Jewish people who oppose Zionism, however, have been given a very hard time. Zionism is an Illuminati front against the True Torah Jews, Christians and Muslims. Here are the lyrics to a song explaining the Zionist deception. <http://www.myspace.com/idreamofapocalypse> . It addresses the Blind Obedience the Average Jew gives to Zionism, Holocaust guilt, and the general burdens Jews are forced to endure from infancy. Now, it is a crazy thrash metal song which may be too intense for middle-aged folks, so here's also the lyrics;

Pavlov's Jews - "Never again" and "Never forget" words that sanction any dark deed They use the Holocaust to justify their crime And you're too afraid to challenge their lies - Zionist criminals cloak themselves in Judaism, banking on credulity they hand-feed you propaganda. - Members of the Diaspora bonded by burden not by blood - We are made insane by the mountains of martyrs dumped upon our poor blank slates. - Don't research history just believe what they say like a good little dog they know that you'll obey - (bell jingles) Pavlov's Jew Pavlov's Jews - Bark when they say bark Jump when they say jump - Don't think for yourself Leave that up to someone else - Pavlov's Jews Pavlov's Jews - You don't see the danger lurking in the distance - We'll die for their crimes they'll hide and survive - They scream anti-Semite

and then it's time to hate - When they cry poor you rush to donate. - The guilt oozes through your veins - Praise your masters tugging the reigns. - Crushed by the burden of historical guilt lifetime of good deeds impossible to surmount. - We never made a commitment but always feel obligated haunted by infamous slaughters like Granada in 1066. - Pogroms and massacres Details to be memorized "By deception thou shalt do war" Is Mossad at the door? - Attack! Attack! We're always under attack But maybe there's a reason every hundred years some country kicks our ass! - Refuse to play the part scripted for a Jew commit a worse sin than a Christian, Muslim, or Hindu. - But maybe this generation will not be afraid maybe we'll see who we truly ought to hate. - Be different from the different Choose to be unchosen. - lyrics copyright 2007 I Dream of Apocalypse.

Joe Wood, African-American author: "Telling the truth is not anti-Semitic. Am I right?" In fact, Jews, Christians, Moslems, etc. as used a cannon fodder by the Zionists!

The phrase "**All men are created equal**" is arguably the single most well-known in any of America's political documents, since the idea it expresses is generally considered the foundation of American democracy. The phrase in context in the opening of the **Declaration of Independence**, penned by **Thomas Jefferson in 1776**, reads as follows: **We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.** These statements illustrate the idea of natural rights, a philosophical concept coming into favor in America as well as elsewhere. Many of the ideas in the Declaration were, in fact, borrowed from John Locke, a prominent philosopher of the time. The phrase has since been considered a hallmark statement in democratic constitutions and similar human rights instruments, many of which have adopted the phrase (or similar variants). Examples:

Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789), article 1: Men are born and remain free and equal in rights. Social distinctions can be founded only on the common utility. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), article 1: All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights

For the scripture saith, "Whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed. For there is no difference between the Israrelite and the Greek: for the same Lord over all is rich unto all that call upon him" (10:11-12). "For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved" (10:13). God loves every man. All men are equal. There are no chosen people. However, Zionists have created the myth of the superior Chosen People which is contrary to the Torah, Bible, The Quran and the human spirit.

True Torah Jews Against Zionism Speak Out



Here are some of the websites of Jews against Zionism which shows that Zionism (Babylonian Talmudism) has hijacked the true Torah Monotheistic faith:

- <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com/>
- <http://www.jewsnotzionists.org/>
- <http://www.nkusa.org/>
- <http://www.jews-for-allah.org>
- <http://www.guardian.co.uk/israel/comment/0,10551,1098625,00.html>
- <http://www.truetorahjews.com>
- <http://www.informationtimes.net/>
- http://www.axisoflogic.com/artman/publish/article_5029.shtml
- <http://www.hermes-press.com/completing2.htm>



Jews Against Zionism protesting against

Ariel Sharon in Jerusalem Jan 28, 2003. Background sign: "Israeli government: stop oppressing religious Jewry in the State of Israel." Jews Against Zionism protesting against Zionism in New York Feb 12, 2002.



For decades Zionists have imposed their power upon the Jewish people, forced by the Zionist tactics to leave their homes and move to Israel, losing their wealth, their liberty, and their faith. The imposition of Zionist power continues to this day. **Rabbi Joseph Dershowitz** said that rather than immigrate the Jews of the World to Israel, why not peacefully re-assimilate the Jews of 'Israel' to the countries from which they came, and where, according to Jewish law and belief, they should have remained in exile and peace could prevail throughout the World. The sympathizers and supporters of the Zionist cause, however well-intentioned, are actually the Jews' worst enemies. It's time to return to reality and truth. The Zionist movement is costing innocent lives. It is time for the Zionists to "let our people go". The Jewish people and the Jewish Religion have the right to defend themselves against Zionism. It is time to exercise those rights. Enough is enough! True Torah Jews is an international, nonprofit organization of thousands of steadfast Jews who are true to Torah and Judaism. They are dedicated to spreading the word to the people of the World that not all Jews support the Zionist State. It is their hope that through this knowledge and understanding peace may be achieved. They have organized demonstrations in Washington, DC to protest Sharon's visit and outside the Israeli consulate in NY where an estimated 20,000 orthodox Jews voiced their opposition. Recently, they took an ad out in the Washington Post and published an

open letter to President Bush stating that "there is a silent majority of Jews opposed to Zionism" and requested "that the State of Israel be referred to not as a Jewish state but as a Zionist state." They "deplore acts and policies carried out by those - misusing the name of Israel - have substituted the ideal of nationalism for the teachings of the Holy Torah."

In their ad Jan, 2004 on WABC radio in NY they state, "**It is the position of Torah True Jews that Jews do not need a state of their own.** They believe that the establishment of a sovereign Jewish state is a grave violation of Jewish law. Please note that in some of the quotes (taken in context, not out-of-context) and extracts taken from other sources, the word "Jews" is actually used to denote Zionists. Here is an example: "We are god's chosen people . . . Most Jews do not like to admit it, but our god is Lucifer -- so I wasn't lying -- and we are his chosen people. Lucifer is very much alive." This is a statement made by **Zionist Harold Wallace Rosenthal** in 1976 during an interview he gave to Walter White Jr. Mr. Harold Wallace Rosenthal, age 29, the administrative assistant to Senator Jacob K. Javits of New York was conveniently killed in an alleged sky-jacking attempt on an Israeli airliner in Istanbul, Turkey, August 12, 1976, I guess because he talked too much. The full text of the interview is available at [http://www.antichrisconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold Wallace Rosenthal Interview 1976.htm](http://www.antichrisconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold%20Wallace%20Rosenthal%20Interview%201976.htm) . Don't forget to substitute the word Zionist instead of Jew. Zionists like to masquerade as Jews to shift the blame.

Conflicting Meanings of the Word "Jew" (Jew - Edom) and Confusion on What is Judaism?

Over 90% of people referred to as "Jews" today are European Khazar/Ashkenazi originally from Southern Russia/Northern Turkey. They are neither Semites or Israelites. They are in-fact Yaphites. In the 8th Century A.C., the Khazar tribal leaders adopted Babylonian Talmudism as their cult. The Zionist leadership now promotes them to be some sort of "Chosen People of God" who must leave their European motherland to uproot native Palestinians. See the article by ex-Zionist Dr. Henry Makow on the "God" that Serves Elite Jews (February 16, 2007) <http://www.savethemales.ca/001924.html> to understand more about this deception.

WHO WAS THE FIRST JEW ? We know that Saul was the first king of Israel and that John was the first man called Baptist but who was the first jew? Neither Adam Seth or Noah are called jew. Nor were Abraham Isaac or Jacob. Moses was not called a jew and neither were Saul David or Solomon called jew. Infact you will not find the word jew in the first eleven books of the Bible. The first time jews are mentioned in the Bible is in 2nd Kings 16:6 where we find Israel was at war with the jews and drove the jews from Elath. Isn't it interesting that we can read over five hundred pages of the bible before we find a jew anywhere yet those who call themselves jew today claim the first five books of the bible and call it their Torah? Do you not find it very strange that those who claim to have written the first five books of the bible and call themselves jew, can't find the word jew written in the book anywhere they call there own bible and claim to have written? We know that God changed the name of Abram to Abraham Gen. 17:5 and that God changed the name of Jacob to Israel Gen. 32:28 but nowhere in the bible do we find where God changed the name of Israel to jew! Therefore there is no authority by which those who say they are jews can claim to be Israel!

By the time of Jesus the word Edom or Edomite had been translated by Greek and Latin into ae-Ioudaios-Iudaeus also including in this meaning were the terms Judea-Judean or person living in Judea. The original King James version of the Bible 1611 translated Idumaeen - Judean into Iewes. It wasn't until the revised editions of the King James Bible, that the word jew appeared.(after the year 1900). The word jew does not mean Israel or Israelite ! We must therefore conclude that the first jews were Canaanite-Edomite-Hittite. It is certain according to the Bible that Khazar jews are not Israel.[From the Christian Guard Dispatch 76-77].

"The Khazar Jews came to the court of Prince Vladimir and said: 'We have heard that Bulgarians (Muslims) and Christians came to teach you their religion... We, however, believe in the one God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.' Vladimir asked them: 'What kind of law do you have?' They answered: 'We are required to be circumcized, we may not eat pork or hare meat, and we must observe the Sabbath.' And he asked: 'Where is your land?' They answered: 'In Jerusalem.' And again he asked: 'It is really there?' They answered: 'God got angry with our fathers and therefore scattered us all over the world and gave our land to the Christians.' Vladimir asked: 'How is it that you can teach people Jewish law even while God rejected you and scattered you. If God had loved you and your law, you would not be scattered throughout foreign lands. Or do you wish us Russians to suffer the same fate?'" - The Russian Chronicle, describing a visit of Khazar missionaries to Kiev in the year 986.

Jn:1:19: And this is the record of John, when the Jews sent priests and Levites from Jerusalem to ask him, Who art thou?
Jn:3:1: There was a man of the Pharisees, named Nicodemus, a ruler of the Jews:
Jn:3:25: Then there arose a question between some of John's disciples and the Jews about purifying.
Jn:5:15: The man departed, and told the Jews that it was Jesus, which had made him whole.
Jn:5:16: And therefore did the Jews persecute Jesus, and sought to slay him, because he had done these things on the sabbath day.
Jn:7:1: After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for he would not walk in Jewry, because the Jews sought to kill him
Jn:20:19: Then the same day at evening, being the first day of the week, when the doors were shut where the disciples were assembled for fear of the Jews, came Jesus and stood in the midst, and saith unto them, Peace be unto you.

Adopted Christian NameReal Jewish Name While Promoting Noahide Laws


The Zionist Hollywood moguls long ago decided that it would help them make more money if the general public viewed films as being "Christian." In fact, they personally wanted to blend in with the Gentile community. Thus Samuel Goldfish changed his name to Goldwyn and Cecil B. de Mille insisted that he was a Gentile until his death when a Rabbi officiated at his funeral. Every single one of the original Jewish developers of the movie industry divorced their Jewish wives and married beautiful Christian starlets. This includes Louis B. Mayer, Samuel Goldwyn, Jack Warner, David O. Selznick and Harry Cohen. They gave orders to the numerous Jews they made "stars" to change their Jewish names to Christian sounding ones. This has also included a lot of "nosejobs" for both Jewish male and female stars. Here are but a few who followed this road to stardom.

Joey Adams	Joseph Abramowitz; Eddie Albert	Eddie Heimberger
Woody Allen.....	Allen Konigsberg; Lauren Bacall	Joan Perske
Jack Benny	Benny Kubelsky; Milton Berle	Milton Berlinger
Ernest Borgnine	Effron Borgnine ; George Burns	Nathan Birnbaum
Joan Blondell.....	Rosebud Blustein; Joyce Brothers	Joyce Bauer
Mel Brooks	Melvin Kaminsky; Joey Bishop	Joey Gottlieb
Charles Bronson	Charles Buchinsky; Rona Barrett	Rona Burnstein
Cyd Chrisse	Tula Finklea; Tony Curtis	Bernie Schwartz (daughter is Jamie Lee Curtis)
Joan Crawford	Lucille Le Sueur ; Dyan Cannon	Samile Friesen
Kirk Douglas	Isadore Demsky (son is Michael Douglas); Bob Dylan	Robert Zimmerman
Rodney Dangerfield	Jacob Cohen; Douglas Fairbanks, Jr.	Douglas Ullman
Joel Grey	Joel Katz (father of Jennifer Grey); Elliott Gould	Elliott Goldstein
Zsa Zsa Gabor	Sara Gabor; John Garfield	Jules Garfinkle
Judy Garland	Frances Gumm; Paulette Goddard	Paulette Levy
Eydie Gorme.....	Edith Gormezano; Cary Grant	Larry Leach
Lorne Green	Chaim Leibowiz; Judy Holliday	Judith Tavin
Leslie Howard	Leslie Stainer; Buddy Hackett	Leonard Hacker
Jill St. John	Jill Oppenheim; Danny Kaye.....	David Kominsky
Alan King	Irwin Kniberg; Larry King.....	Larry Zeiger
Tina Louise.....	Tina Blacker; Ann Landers.....	Esther Friedman (Abigail Van Buren is her sister.)
Dorothy Lamour	Dorothy Kaumeyer; Michael Landon	Mike Orowitz
Steve Lawrence	Sidney Leibowitz; Hal Linden.....	Hal Lipshitz
Jerry Lewis	Joseph Levitch; Karl Maiden	Maiden Sekulovitch
Ethel Merman	Ethel Zimmerman; Jan Murray	Murray Janofsky
Walter Matthau	Walter Matasschanskayasky	
Lilly Palmer	Maria Peiser; Jan Pierce.....	Pincus Perelmuth
Roberta Peters.....	Roberta Peterman; Eleanor Parker.....	Ellen Friedlob
Joan Rivers	Joan Molinsky; Tony Randall	Sidney Rosenberg
Edward G. Robinson	Emanuel Goldenberg; Dinah Shore	Fanny Rose
Shelly Winters	Shirley Schrift; Gene Wilder.....	Jerome Silberman

There are hundreds of other Jews in Hollywood "stardom" – far too numerous to list them all here. However the following are Jews whom many think are Gentiles: Ed Asner, Bea Arthur, Gene Barry, Richard Benjamin, Kevin Costner, Lee J. Cobb, Richard Dreyfus, Ted Danson, Peter Falk (Columbo), Eddie Fisher, Harrison Ford, Richard Gere, Betty Grable, Sharon Gless, Steven Segall, Dustin Hoffman, Monty Hall, Amy Irving, Jack Klugman, Leonard Nimoy, Ken Olin, Ron Perlman, George Segel, William Shatner, Peter Strauss, Rod Steiger, Jane Seymour, Barbara Walters, Debra Winger and the following are half-Jewish: Joan Collins, Goldie Hawn, Paul Newman, Robert DeNiro and Geraldo Rivera. Jews in the music field include, Billy Joel, Barry Manilow, Tony Martin, Bette Midler, Barbara Streisand and Ringo Starr. Here are but a few in the field of "comedy" which is 90% Jewish dominated! Roseanne Barr, David Brenner, Sid Caesar, Richard Dawson, Don Adams, Norm Crosby, George Jessel, Alan King, Carl Reiner, Don Rickles, Martha Reye, Garry Shandling, Henry Winkler and David Letterman.

Let us give a few examples in politics, it's only a tip of the iceberg. Many Zionists masquerade as someone else. Ironically, "HOMELAND SECURITY" is run by a dual US-ISRAELI citizen named rabbi Michael Chertoff. Hitler was half-Jewish, Churchill was half-Jewish, Eisenhower was Jewish, Stalin was Jewish, the Bush family is Jewish, the Clintons are Rogdenfeller Jews, Hillary Clinton is a German Jew, Gorbachev is Jewish, J.P. Morgan[taler] was Jewish, all the people who control and manipulate us are either full or half-Jewish. Rothschild-Bauer, Rockefeller-Rogdenfeller, Morgan(taler), all Ashkenazi from Germany just like the British Royal House of Windsor-Battenberg & Saxe-Coburg-Gothas, Bush-Bosch, Rumsfeld, Hillary Rotham-Rodenhurst, Kamal Ataturk was a Sabbatean Jew. Binladen is a Yemeni Jewish family. They are all part of the Masonic-Jesuit brotherhood. King Hussein of Jordan was a Mason. Yasser Arafat was a bus boy hand picked by the Zionists to be their man and joined them as a Mason and attended a Bilderberg Club meeting. The communists were led by Russian Jews, most of the positions of power in the US are held by Russian, German or Polish Zionists. Sometimes, like Kerry, Albright, Wesley Clark, etc. they suddenly discover their Jewish roots! Pope Benedic (Ratzinger) is Jewish and now is promoting the **Noahide laws**. Hitler was from the Zionist Khazar Ashkenazi Rothschild banklord family. Hitler's driver Emil Maurice was also Jewish, this is in contradiction with the propaganda image the public has been conditioned with of Hitler. Many Jews were among Hitler's followers at all level, including the high ranking Alfred Rosenberg, Hermann Goering, Reinhard Heydrich, Adolf Eichmann, Dr. Otto Gustav Wachter, etc.. Hitler's Jewish Clairvoyant was Erik Jan Hanussen. "The following is a partial list of Jews who participated in the Revolutionary War: Major George Bush, Major Louis Bush, Major Soloman Bush."-National Jewish Welfare Board, Jewish Calander for Soldiers

and Sailors 1943-1944, "The Jews in the Wars of the United States" Note that the Rockefellers, Rothchilds, Clinton, Mikhail Gorbachev (Orbach-Korbach), Lenin, Joseph V. Stalin (Iosiph David Vissarionovich Djugashvili-Kochba), Gengrich Yagoda, Karl Marx, Mark Rich (Reich), Christian Rakovsky (Chaim Rakover), F.D. Roosevelt, etc. are from Khazar Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and all occultists. Wall Street funded the Nazis, including Prescott Bush (grandfather of George W.) and the Bolshevik revolution in which again we find many European Russian Jews in high places.



The joke doing the rounds of the British Union at the time before WWII was that the Jewish national anthem is 'Onward Christian Soldiers.'

However, note that on Jews were involved on all sides of the conflict, i.e. in Marxism, Communism, Fascism, Nazism, Zionism, Vatican and Monopoly Capitalism. But don't be fooled, these are apostate Jews who are Luciferians as explained by Harold Wallace Rosenthal and they will sacrifice ordinary God worshipping Jews in their wars for supremacy. Note that the Banklords such as the Illuminati Rothschild and Rockefellers and their closely linked family dynasties fund all sides in the conflicts and keep on amassing the World's wealth. Here's more on these dual-citizens whose loyalty is with the Zionist Banklords. Bush has turned over virtually all the high security and advisor positions in his admin to these US/Israeli dual-citizens and we Americans should expect no less from these two murder-loving s-o-b's. both are war criminals and now thanks to Congress, free from being charged with their war crimes and their many acts that once were considered treasonous. Their ideas of "democracy" mean "kill some more, torture some more, as long as we stay in power and Israel doesn't have to foot the bill." these two are master liars and killers literally hanging together on this ill-advised, murderous, treasury-busting "war on terror"!

Here's some more dual-citizens or dual-loyalists: Richard Pearle, Henry Kissinger, Paul Wolfowitz, Michael Chertoff, Elliot Abrams, Dov Zakheim, Douglas Feith, David Wurmser, Jack Abramoff, Henry Kissinger, Paul Wolfowitz, Donald Kagan, Richard Haas, Kenneth Adelman, Edward Luttwak, Robert Satloff, David Frum, David Wurmser, Steve Goldsmith, Marc Grossman

However it is not strictly a matter of Jewish dual citizens or Jews in the main, as it also extends to gentiles under the influence of Jewish right wing/Israeli lobbying organizations like AIPAC. George W. Bush openly shows just as much contempt for the national interest as the neocons, displays an equally reckless disregard for our national security, and just as eagerly upends and violates every law and treaty and foundational principle he can get his hands on. BOTH Bush and his neocon buddies should be forced--nicely, respectfully, and from the "radical center" to choose between America-the-country and his misbegotten 'policies' and if that means choosing between one country and another in the process, this country will be much better off. Here are some other name changes: 'They' like to hide their real names so they can 'hide' themselves amongst the people they intend to infiltrate, subvert, hijack, exploit, enslave, terrorize, and ultimately, destroy. Yes, am talking about...

Hitler came from Hiedler from SCHICKELGRUBER and is the grandson of Baron Rothschild; The British Royal House of Bauer (Saxe-Coburg-Gotha changed its name to the House of Windsor) at the start of WW1; The Duke of Edinburgh (Lord Mountbatten) changed his name from Battenburg; Gorbachov changed his name from Orbach-Korbach; Stalin changed his name from Iosiph David Vissarionovich Djugashvili-Kochba; The Bush family changed their name from Bosch; J.P. Morgan changed his name from Morgantaler; Rockefeller changed his name from Rogdenfelder; Hillary Rotham Clinton changed her name from Rodenhurst; John Kerry's father changed his name from Fritz Kohn; Rothschild changed his name from Bauer; Mark Rich who was pardoned by Clinton changed his name from Reich; Christian Rakovsky changed his name from Chaim Rakover; Clinton changed his name from Winthrop Rockefeller Jr; Lenin's name was changed from Moische Blank; Perry changed his name from Pereira-Perez; Madeleine Albright changed her name from

Every ever operating national communist party in the world has been lead by Zionists, from 1900 to the 60's. Leon Trotsky (Lev Bornstein, Zinoviev (Hirsch Apfelbaum), Kamreov (Rosenfeld). Outside of Russia Aptecker, Goldman, Kun, Luxemburg. Of the quasi cabinet of the USSR 1917 all 24 were Zionists, all had changed their names. The Soviet Central Committee in 1935 consisted of 56 Zionists and three Christians married to Zionists every one of them had changed their names to hide their Jewish ancestry. Principal American pornography producers are: Braterman, Bone, Fischbein, Freiberg, Fine, Weinstein, Freelander, Holander, Gottesman, Hirsh, Apostein, Ornstein, Rothstein, Sturman, Tanner, Weston, one wonders if they have meetings at the Hollywood Synagogue? You get the picture.

http://www.antichrisconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold_Wallace_Rosenthal_Interview_1976.htm
<http://www.illuminati-news.com/index.html> . Please remember that the leaders of Zionism are neither True-Torah Jews, or Israelites or practicing converted Jews, i.e. they are Babylonian Satanists Luciferians apostate imposters as explained in the Harold Wallace Rosenthal interview below. Zionism is not Biblical, rather, it is Cabalism from Babylon, just like the modern day fiat money usury based banking system and other immoral values being imposed on monotheists by these new-Sion (Sun) worshippers.

"Jew" is not a Hebrew or Aramaic word. Jew is not in the 1611 KJV Bible. In later years, Jew was used to refer to European Babylonian Talmudic converts. Jews are not descendants of Y'srael or Y'acob, they are the descendants of Yapheth and not of Sem. The ancient Hebrews were not called Jews, it is a fraudulent translation. The ancient Israelites were not Jews and neither are their descendants.

There was only one "tribe" of Israel that had any affinity to or for the term, Jew, and that was Judah...but by Jesus-Yeshuah-Issa's time, most of these Israelites had become so co-mingled with Esau, who is Edom, that most of those former Judeans could not be considered as Israelites. Only the remnant of Benjamin in this 2nd Judah, those residing in Galilee met that definition.. I say 2nd Judah, because most of the original Judah had been taken into the Assyrian captivity along with the ten other tribes. (See II Kings 18:13 for proof--other proof can be found in secular documents, still available).

In the 7th Century, when the Edomite/Yaphite Jews fled northward to Southern Russia or Khazaria where they were welcomed by the Khazars who were also a mixed-breed race. They were of dusky Turkish/Tartar stock which included Mongol strains which came from the later Mongol invasion of their land. The newly arrived Jewish refugees from Constantinople brought with them the verbal "Traditions of the Elders" written in the Babylonian Talmud. King Bulan, the Khazarian ruler, chose this late arriving religion. He and 4,000 of his nobles became converts. The entire country followed suit in time. The language of the Khazars was adapted to the Hebrew alphabet (in much the same way that German is written with the Latin alphabet). The result was called Yiddish. There is no other connection between Hebrew and Yiddish other than the latter containing a number of Hebrew words. (Note: The Prophet Abraham spoke Aramaic just like Yeshua-Jesus, and not Hebrew). The Ashkenazic Khazars occupied central Russia from around 250 BC until driven out as converted Jews in 1240 by the Russians. Those Ashkenazic's who had converted in 740 AD to Babylonian Talmudic Judaism were certainly Turkic in origin, as Ashkenaz was the grandson of Noah's son, Japheth, the progenitor of the Turkic-Mongol races. Proof that Jewish DNA is the Most Miscegenated at <http://www.khazaria.com/genetics/abstracts.html>

The Jewish cult practices a religion called Judaism or Nimrodic Babylonian Talmudism, the very teachings of the Elders which Jesus-Yeshua-Issa and before him the prophet Abraham preached against. Judaism is not of the scriptures. It is a cult created by people known as Jews. In scriptures, you will not find the word Judaism.

<http://www.erichufschmid.net/TFC/Koestler13thTribe.htm> . Don't be a sucker! You are not "anti-Semitic" for opposing Zionism. But who or what is a "Jew"?

Do "Ashkenazi" Jews have a right to takeover Palestine? Not at all since people all already living there. And in any case, according to many historians, such as the Jewish historian Arthur Koestler, who wrote The Thirteenth Tribe, the word "Jew" refers to both a religion and a race. Using one word for two different concepts is as stupid as referring to a religion as "Chinese". In such a case, some people would describe themselves as Chinese when they were referring to their race, and other people would describe themselves as Chinese when they were referring to their religion. Idiomatic arguments would occur because some Chinese would insist that you cannot be Chinese unless your parents were Chinese. Other people would argue that we can all become Chinese, even Sammy Davis Jr. Incidentally, as Sammy Davis Jr. had become Jewish. A group of people referring to themselves as "Jews" are insisting that Palestine belongs to them because their ancestors lived there are 2000 years ago. They created the hoax of Zionism movement to help restore their homeland. However, numerous historians have looked into this issue and discovered that most of these Zionists are not descendants of the original Israelites. Rather, most Zionists are "Ashkenazi Jews"; a race of people from Asia. The real, original Israelites were physically and genetically similar to the Arabs, specifically, dark skin, dark eyes, and dark hair. The Hebrew, Aramaic and Arabic languages have a lot of similarities, also. The real homeland for the European Ashkenazi Jews is near the Caspian and Black Seas, not Palestine. Their ancestors picked up the Jewish religion many centuries ago. Due to the widespread ignorance of people in that era, after a few generations they assumed that they were the descendants of the Edomite/Yaphite Jews that lived in Palestine. During the past two centuries, the Rothschild Banking dynasties funded the hoax of Zionism.

THE KHAZARS or Ashkenazis are European Russians Jews (counterfeit Israelites) and make up 90% of people who call themselves Jews, i.e. mostly European tribes from Russia, Poland and Eastern Europe and some from Germany. Khazars have always been known for their cruelty. Contrary to what the Zionists promote, most Jews are not Israelites or Semites. The people who are today referred to as "Jews" include:


1. **Israelites**: these are the descendants of Jacob-Israel, who was the son of Isaac son of Abraham descendent of Sem son of Noah. Jacobites are no longer traceable as they have intermarried heavily. They used to practice true Torah monotheism but as related in the Bible and Quran, they were easily influenced by the Pharisees' Babylonian idolatry. True Torah Jews condemn Zionism as an invention of Satan <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com> <http://www.nkusa.org> .
2. **Judah (Yehudah)** is one of the 12 tribes of Israel from which the word Judean emanates. True Judeans are Israelites but a split occurred when Edomites (Babylonian Sephardics Jews) were merged into them and pretended to be Judeans. **Thus, Jews are not Israelites.**
3. **Sephardics (Edomites Jews)**: these are the descendants of Edom son of Isaac. Sephardics Jews are estimated to be 5% of the total number of people who call themselves Jews. **These are not Israelites but are Semites.** Yeshua-Joshua tells John in Revelation 2:9 "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Judeans and are not, but are the SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN".
4. **Khazarian or Ashkenazi or Yaphite Jews**: these are the descendants of a Southern Russian/Northern Turkic idol/phallic worshipping tribe who migrated to Russia in the 8th Century A.C. and whose elite converted to


Talmudic Babylonian (not True Torah Judaism) in the 8th Century A.C. and now inhabit mostly Europe. (about 90% of all Jews). **They are not Israelites and are not Semites either.** They stem from Ashkenaz, who was a grandson of "Japheth", another of Noah's 4 sons (3 survived the flood, Genesis 10:1-3).

Among the above are some who practice "True-Torah Judaism", some are atheists, and some practice Babylonian/Pharisaic/ Cabbalism/ Talmudism and some practise Satanism/Luciferianism http://www.antichrisconspiracy.com/HTML%20Pages/Harold_Wallace_Rosenthal_Interview_1976.htm . Sometimes Jews who expose Zionism are persecuted by the Zionists (<http://www.biblestudysite.com/markis.htm>). Most Jews are non-Zionist and oppose the invasion of Iraq and Palestine.

True-Torah Monotheism was corrupted and changed into Babylonian Talmudism/Phariseeism/Kabbalism/Masonic idolatry. 90% of the people who call themselves Jews are Ashkenazi, i.e. descendents from non-Semites idolatrous Khazar tribes from Southern Russia/Northern Turkey who converted to the Babylonian Talmudism of their ancestors in the 8th Century AC and called it Judaism to fool both European Christians and Eastern Moslems. The ancient Khazar Empire was first defeated by the Rus at Kiev in the late 900s AC and finally defeated by the forces of Ghengis Khan, but the evidence indicates that the Khazars themselves migrated to Poland and formed the cradle of Western Ashkenazi Jewry and returned to Russia. When the Israelites were exiled to Babylon in 539 BC, the True-Torah-Monotheism was corrupted by the Pharisees and Nimrodic priest-bankers into Babylonian Kabbalistic Talmudic traditions. The deception continues to this day. The Babylonian Talmudists/ Pharisaic/ Masonic counterfeit Jews who pretend to be Israelites True-Torah believers practice(d) the ancient child sacrifice to Moloch which they call "holocaust" (shoah in Hebrew) which means "blood sacrifice". View the documentary on Satanic child sacrifice here: <http://www.honestmediatoday.com/products.htm> .

See also the video The Other Israel by Rev. Ted Pike who exposes the real masters behind Talmudism, Zionism, Communism, Marxism, Fascism, banking, liberalism, and media control, and reveals the Talmudic quotes which condone Murder, Bigotry, Pedophilia, and anti-Christ, i.e. the Pharisaic Synagogue of Satan <http://www.truthtellers.org/israel/lear.html>. **In the New Testament, the English word 'Jew' is a translation of the Greek Ioudaios (Yehudah-os), a Judean or inhabitant of Judah (Yehudah) - Judea - the former territory of the tribe of Judah (Yehudah), which at the time of Yeshuah contained a substantial percentage of people of Edomite origin (Edom means 'red') who possessed the political power under the aegis of Rome.** A 'Jew' is defined in the Israeli constitution as someone born of a Jewish mother, irrespective of belief. The word does not refer therefore to a person's religion, but to his or her ancestry or race. The virulent and violent racism of the Zionist-supporting anti-racists is therefore Pharisee-like in its hypocrisy. There is therefore no authority by which those who say they are Jews can claim to be Israel! By the time of Yeshua-Joshua the word Edom or Edomite had been translated by Greek and Latin into Ioudaios and Iudaeus meaning a Judean or person living in Judea. The original King James version of the Bible, 1611, translated Idumaeen-Judean into Iewes. It wasn't until the revised editions of the King James Bible, that the word Jew appeared.





The word Jew does not mean Israel or Israelite! We must conclude therefore that the first "Jews" were Canaanite-Edomite-Hittite. **It is certain, according to the Bible, that Jews are not Israel.**


Benjamin H. Freedman - Jewish Historian/ Researcher/ Scholar from "Common Sense" p. 2-1-53 & 5-1-59:
 "American Christians little suspect they are being brainwashed twenty-four hours of every day over television and radio, by newspapers and magazines, by motion pictures and plays, by books, by political leaders in office and seeking office, by religious leaders in their pulpits and outside their churches, by leaders in the field of education inside and outside their curricular activities, and by all leaders in business, professions and finance, whose economic security demands that they curry the favor of so-called "Jews" of historic Khazar ancestry. Unsuspecting Christians are subjected to this barrage from sources they have little reason to suspect. Incontestable facts supply the unchallengeable proof of the historic accuracy that so-called "Jews" throughout the World today of eastern European origin are unquestionably the historic descendants of the Khazars, a pagan Turko-Finn ancient Mongoloid nation deep in the heart of Asia, according to history, who battled their way in bloody wars about the 1st century B.C. into eastern Europe where they set up their Khazar kingdom. For some mysterious reason the history of the Khazar kingdom is conspicuous by its absence from history courses in the schools and colleges.... The historic existence of the Khazar kingdom of so-called "Jews", their rise and fall, the permanent disappearance of the Khazar kingdom as a nation from the map of Europe, and how King Bulan and the Khazar nation in about 740 A.D. became so-called "Jews" by conversion, were concealed from American Christians by censorship imposed by so-called "Jews", of historic Khazar ancestry, upon all U.S. media of mass communications directed by them. Then in 1945 this author gave nation-wide publicity to his many years intensive research into the "facts of life" concerning Khazars. The disclosures were sensational and very effective but apparently angered so-called "Jews" who have continued to vent their spleen upon this author since then solely for that reason. Since 1946 they have conducted a vicious smear campaign against him, seeking thus to further conceal these facts, for obvious reasons. What have they to fear from the truth?"

"In an original 1903 edition of the Jewish Encyclopedia in New York's Public Library, and in the Library of Congress, Volume IV, pages 1 to 5 inclusive, appears a most comprehensive history of the Khazars. Also in the New York Public Library are 327 books by the World's greatest historians and other sources of reference, in addition to the Jewish Encyclopedia, dealing with Khazar history, and written between the 3rd A.D. and 20th centuries by contemporaries of the Khazars and by modern historians on that subject."

Yeshua-Joshua was an Israelite, not a Jew. During His lifetime, no Israelites were described as "Jews" anywhere. That fact is supported by theology, history and science. When Yeshua-Joshua was in Judea, it was not the "homeland" of the ancestors of those who today style themselves "Jews". Their ancestors never set a foot in Judea. They existed at that time in Asia, their "homeland", and were known as Khazars. **In none of the manuscripts of the original Old or New Testament was Yeshua-Joshua described or referred to as a "Jew". The term originated in the late eighteenth century as an abbreviation of the term Judean and refers to a resident of Judea without regard to race or religion, just as the term "Texan" signifies a person living in Texas.** In spite of the powerful propaganda effort of the so-called "Jews", they have been unable to prove in recorded history that there is one record, prior to that period, of a race religion or nationality, referred to as "Jew". The religious sect in Judea, in the time of Yeshua-Joshua, that self-styled "Jews" today refer to as "Jews", were known as "Pharisees". "Judaism" today and "Phariseism" in the time of Yeshua-Joshua are the same. **Yeshua-Joshua abhorred and denounced "Pharisaism"; hence the words, "Woe unto you Scribes and Pharisees, Hypocrites, Ye Serpents, Ye Generation of Vipers".**

John Standring explains "Who was the First Jew?" We know that Saul was the first king of Israel and that John was the first man called Baptist, but who was the first Jew? Neither Adam, Seth or Noah are called Jew. Nor were Abraham, Isaac or Jacob. Moses was not called a Jew and neither were Saul, David or Solomon called Jew. In fact you will not find the word Jew in the first eleven books of the Bible. **The first time Jews are mentioned in the Bible, is in II Kings 16:6 (and then only in translations revised in the eighteenth century) where we find Israel was at war with the Jews and drove the Jews from Elath.** Isn't it interesting that we can read over five hundred pages of the Bible before we find a Jew anywhere, yet those who call themselves Jew today claim the first five books of the bible and call it their Torah. Do you not find it rather strange that those who claim to have written the first five books of the Bible and call themselves Jew, can't find the word Jew written anywhere in the book they call their own bible, and claim to have written? **Yeshua-Joshua Christ tells John in Revelation 2:9 "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Judeans and are not, but are the SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN".** We know that God changed the name of Abram to Abraham in Genesis 17:5, and that He changed the name of Jacob to Israel in Genesis 32:28, but nowhere in the Bible do we find where God changed the name of Israel to Judah! There is therefore no authority by which those who say they are Jews can claim to be Israel! By the time of Yeshua-Joshua the word Edom or Edomite had been translated by Greek and Latin into Ioudaios and Iudaeus meaning a Judean or person living in Judea. The original King James version of the Bible, 1611, translated Idumaeen-Judean into Iewes. It wasn't until the revised editions of the King James Bible, that the word Jew appeared. **The word Jew does not mean Israel or Israelite!** We must conclude therefore that the first "Jews" were Canaanite-Edomite-Hittite. It is certain, according to the Bible, that Jews are not Israel.

In May 2005, over 10,000 Jews demonstrated in New York against Zionism, yet there was not a word in the mainstream press. One of the members of the organizing group, Naturei Karta, wrote this essay that we have edited for space considerations "The Gulf Between Zionism and Judaism" - by G.J. Neuberger. [Human dignity does not depend on the place of one's birth nor is it limited to one region. The greatness or worth of a person is not measured by his or her outward appearance. At this stage in human history, there is no room for privileged people who can do with others as they please. Human life is sacred and human rights are not to be denied by those who would subvert them for "national security" or for any other reason. No one knows this better than the Jews, who have been second-class citizens so often and for so long. Zionists, however, may differ. This is understandable because Judaism and Zionism are by no means the same. Indeed they are incompatible and irreconcilable: If one is a good Jew, one cannot be a Zionist; if one is a Zionist, one cannot be a good Jew. For over 60 years I have fought Zionism, as did my father before me, and I am therefore quite familiar with it. For those who have been in this fight for only the last ten or twenty years, what I have to say may be surprising or even shocking. Nevertheless these matters must be stated clearly and openly, because unless the disease of Zionism is diagnosed accurately, it cannot be cured. To begin with, a few definitions: Who is a Jew? A Jew is anyone who has a Jewish mother or who converted to Judaism in conformity with Halacha, Jewish religious law. This definition alone excludes racism. Judaism does not seek converts, but those who do convert are accepted on a basis of equality. Apart from the Zionists, the only ones who consistently considered the Jews a race were the Nazis. And they only served to prove the stupidity and irrationality of racism. There was no way to prove racially whether a Mrs. Muller or a Mr. Meyer



were Jews or Aryans. The only way to decide whether a person was Jewish was to trace the religious affiliation of the parents or grandparents. So much for this racial nonsense.

Racial pride has been the downfall of those Jews in the past who were blinded by their own narrow-minded chauvinism. This brings us to a second definition. Is there a Jewish people? If so, what is its mission? Let us make this completely clear: The Jewish nation was not born or reconstituted a generation ago by some Zionist politicians. The Jewish nation was born on Mount Sinai when the Jews by their response, "let us do and let us hear," adopted the Torah given to them by G-d for all future generations. "This day you become a people," though valid still today, was spoken thousands of years ago. In what way are the Jews a "chosen people"? Every Jewish man anywhere and at any time when called to the reading of the Torah says, "Who has chosen us from all the peoples and gave us His Torah." This is the way in which the Jews are chosen. The Jewish people are chosen not for domination over others, not for conquest or warfare, but to serve G-d and thus to serve mankind. "And the hands are the hands of Esau," has been traditionally interpreted to mean that while "the voice is Jacob's [Israel's]," the hands - symbolizing violence - are Esau's. Thus physical violence is not a tradition or a value of the Jews. The task for which the Jewish people were chosen is not to set an example of military superiority or technical achievements, but to seek perfection in moral behavior and spiritual purity. Of all the crimes of political Zionism, the worst and most basic, and which explains all its other misdeeds, is that from its beginning Zionism has sought to separate the Jewish people from their G-d, to render the divine covenant null and void, and to substitute a "modern" statehood and fraudulent sovereignty for the lofty ideals of the Jewish people.

What hypocrisy, what perversion it is to have the Israeli army fight under an emblem, the meaning of which is explained in the Tenach (on the occasion of a previous return to the Holy Land) as, "not with armed force and not with power, but in My spirit says the Lord of Hosts." The infamous founder of political Zionism, who only discovered his own Jewishness because of anti-Semitism displayed at the Dreyfus trial in France, proposed various solutions to what he called the "Jewish problem."

At one point he proposed to resettle the Jews in Uganda. At another he proposed to convert them to Catholicism. He finally hit on the idea of a Judenstaat, an exclusive Jewish state. Thus from its very beginning Zionism was a result of Anti-Semitism and indeed is completely compatible with it, because Zionists and anti-Semites had (and have) a common goal: To bring all Jews from their places of domicile to the Zionist state, thus uprooting Jewish communities that had existed for hundreds and even thousands of years. Loyalty to the Zionist state was substituted for loyalty to G-d, and the state was made into the modern "golden calf". Neither the founder of political Zionism nor any of the prime ministers of the Zionist state believed in the divine origin of the Torah nor even in the existence of G-d. All prime ministers were members of a party that opposed religion in principle and that considered the Bible a document of ancient folklore, devoid of any religious meaning. And yet these same Zionists base their claim to the Holy Land on this same Bible, the divine origin of which they deny. In the early stages of the development of modern Zionism, the Mizrahi was founded, an organization of so-called religious Zionists who tried to combine their faith with political Zionism. This led to constant conflict between the dictates of divine law and the demands of Jewish nationalism. Most of the time, the Mizrahi was outvoted at Zionist congresses and served only to give the Zionist movement a false religious aura.

A Jewish World organization was founded in 1912 on the German-Polish border with the specific purpose of fighting Zionism. This organization, Agudath Israel, "Union of Israel," was to represent the true Jewish people in the World and to unmask the unwarranted and unjust claims of the Zionists. Rabbis everywhere joined Agudath Israel, as did masses of observant Jews. Anti-Zionist congresses were convened in Vienna and in Marienbad. In countries such as Poland, Agudists were members of parliament. Under Agudah leadership more than 50 years ago, Jews in the Holy Land opposed to Zionism obtained permission from Britain, the mandatory power in Palestine, to declare in writing that they did not wish to be represented by the Zionists or any of their groups, particularly not by the Zionist quasi-governmental organizations such as the Va'ad Leumi, "National Council." Shortly thereafter, Jacob de Haan, a former distinguished Dutch diplomat who was then leader of Agudath Israel in Palestine, initiated talks with Arab leaders with a view toward the eventual establishments of a state there in which Jews and Arabs would have equal rights. In this way he hoped to forestall the creation of a Zionist state. Despite threats to his life, de Haan, fully aware of the ultimate dangers of a Zionist state, continued his talks and negotiations. On the eve of his departure in 1924 for Britain to meet with authorities there, he was assassinated by the Haganah, the Zionist paramilitary force, in the center of Jerusalem as he came from evening prayers. More than a half a century ago, this devout and visionary Jew gave his life in a fight that he considered paramount, at a time when the World at large was still blind and deaf to the difficulties and problems that a future Zionist state would entail. As a result of such terrorism and increasing Zionist pressure, Agudath Israel gradually began to weaken and to compromise. During the Nazi period, it entered into deals and arrangements with the Zionists, despite the fact that its fundamental aim had been to combat Zionism.

After the Zionist state was established, Agudath Israel broke off with its past, participated in the Zionist government on the cabinet level and elected Agudists to the Zionist parliament. Still professing a nominal anti-Zionism, Agudath Israel established a network of "independent" schools in the Holy Land, but today the overwhelming part of the budget of these schools comes from the Zionist government. In view of these developments, those Jews who wanted to continue the fight against Zionism without any compromise left Agudath Israel and constituted themselves as the Neturei Karta, an Aramaic phrase meaning "Guardians of the City," i.e. the city of Jerusalem. The Neturei Karta in turn became a Worldwide movement, known in some places as "Friends of Jerusalem." The greatest leader of the Neturei Karta was Rabbi Amram Blau, an inspired and dedicated leader whose compassion equaled his courage. He could not keep silent in the face of injustice, immorality, or hypocrisy. He was beloved by Jews and respected by Christians and Muslims. In his writings he stressed many times that Jews and Arabs had lived in harmony until the advent of political Zionism. Rabbi Blau was

imprisoned in Jerusalem, not by the Ottoman authorities, not by the British, and not by the Arabs, but by the Zionists. He fought for the sanctity of the Sabbath and actively opposed the inroads of indecency and immorality made under the Zionist regime. Increasingly Rabbi Blau denounced the establishment of a Jewish state before the coming of the Messiah as an act of infamy and blasphemy. Under his leadership, the Neturei Karta declared year after year that they did not recognize the legitimacy of the Zionist state or the validity of its laws. Until the appearance and growing influence of political Zionism, Jewish leaders were chosen on the basis of their piety, decency, learning, and their love of justice and mercy.

Today only too often so-called Jewish leaders, completely unqualified under Jewish law and traditional concepts, make pronouncements and decisions in the name and on behalf of the Jewish people. This is particularly true in the USA where there is the largest Jewish community in our time. I can never forget the remark of a woman in Oklahoma: "Isn't today's Judaism wonderful! All you have to do is give money." It must be stated explicitly that while not all Jews are Zionists, not all Zionists are Jews. From the beginning of the Zionist movement, some of the most articulate and fervent Zionists have been Christian clergymen, especially "fundamentalists," who hail Zionism as an important "religious" movement and welcome it as a fulfillment of prophecy. One most vital point deserves mention. A former president of the World Zionist Organization has stated explicitly that a Zionist owes unqualified loyalty to the Zionist state and that, in the case of a conflict, the first loyalty of a Zionist must be to the Zionist state. According to Jewish law, however, a Jew owes allegiance and loyalty to the country of which he is a citizen, and, of course, no faithful Jew owes any loyalty or allegiance to the Zionist state which has been condemned by the foremost rabbis of our age. As an American citizen, I deplore that our government and our politicians have adopted an attitude that is in complete contradiction to the advice of the father of our country George Washington. Instead of shying away from foreign entanglements and permanent alliances with foreign powers, the establishment in Washington has embraced Zionism so wholeheartedly that in the eyes any criticism of the Zionist state and any opposition to political Zionism in the UN by any nation has become a punishable offense. It takes a lot of courage to be opposed to Zionism in the USA today. It also took a lot of courage during the Second World War to be anti-Fascist in Italy or anti-Nazi in Germany. In the long run Zionism is nothing but a passing aberration in the long history of the Jewish people and of the World. Let us take faith and hope in the certainty that eventually prejudice, hatred, and injustice will disappear, and that the prophecy will come true that all nations of the World will participate in the pilgrimage to Jerusalem, "For My house shall be called a house of prayer for all nations."

The Corruption of True-Torah Monotheism by the Babylonian Kabbala and Talmud

The Illuminati heretics conspirators have borrowed from Jewish or Biblical ideas and corrupted them, but they must not be confused with true-Torah-Judaism, or the rest of the Jewish people. This conspiracy was started in Babylon in the sixth century BC. It was started by megalomaniacs who learned the magic of manipulating the masses with illusions, and wanted to use it to gain World domination. Magic is of course forbidden in true-Judaism so, not to reveal their apostasy, they created a false "interpretation" of the religion, which is now called Kabbalah. Its first great architect, as outlined in The Republic, was Plato. Essentially, the Kabbalah builds on the idea of the covenant. The notion that God had promised a land to his people, and was to send them a Messiah, was exploited by them to argue that they were promised World-rule. The Covenant had obviously been repealed. That did not matter to these, because they were not Jews. These were heretics, who easily exploited religion to suit their own purposes. The primary method of this conspiracy uses religion, or misinterpretations of it, to achieve its aims. These heretics rejected monotheism, God and true-Judaism. Therefore, the basic belief of the Kabbalah, is that there is no truth. Their ego mania led them to believe that they recognized this truth because they were superior intellectually, and that the masses hang on to a belief in the "supernatural" because they are "weak". For that reason, they believe, the masses must be lead by religion. This is precisely the teachings of Leo Strauss. Religion, to them, is a convenient expedient until such time as they will be able to eradicate all religion, and announce themselves as the atheistic rulers of the World, and their leader, or "messiah", as God. The new mythology of "The Da Vinci Code" and "The Gospel of Judas" and "The Talmud" wherein the KETHUBOTH states: "We have a tradition that [Tower] Babel (in Babylon Iraq) will not witness the sufferings that will precede the coming of the Messiah". This is followed by this note: ". . . usually rendered Babylon [Iraq]. . . travail, . . . but the more correct reading is . . . frequent in modern Christian books is fictitious . . . These are the throes of Mother Zion which is in labor to bring forth the Messiah - without metaphor, the Jewish people."

From Daving Livingstone's <http://www.thedyinggod.com> we learn that the doctrines of the Illuminati are those of the Kabbalah. They believe that these were originally imparted to the Aryan race by the Sons of god of Genesis. However, the occult books specify that these "Sons of god" were the devil and his legions, who had been cast out of Heaven, and who intermarried with the descendants of Cain, to whom they taught magic and astrology. Thus they produced the Aryan race, whose mission it is to guard the "Ancient Wisdom", and to bring humanity to the peak of its evolutionary process, by implementing a one-World fascist dictatorship, governed by occult doctrines. In reality, however, the Kabbalah represents the adoption of pagan ideas into true-Torah Judaism, and is a product of the sixth century BC, not prior to the Flood. When the Jewish people were led out of Egypt and into Palestine, they were commanded to renounce the pagan gods of the nations which had enslaved them. This they did not. Instead, they repeatedly transgressed, polluting even the Temple itself with the accoutrements of this pagan worship. The god they worshipped was the "dying-god", whose death and resurrection were celebrated annually, corresponding to our Easter. But this god had a dual aspect, being considered a single androgynous deity. While representing the Sun, he was also identified with the goddess, whose symbol was the planet Venus, or Lucifer.

As stated in the Bible and Quran, God punished them for knowingly **abandoning monotheism** by having them taken into Exile to Babylon in the sixth century BC. It was then, instead of repenting for their past wrongs, that these pagan doctrine of a coming Messiah were assimilated to the astrology and magic of the Chaldean Magi, to form what is now known as the Kabbalah. Jesus-Yeshuah-Issa was a Israelite reformer in the orthodox, who reproved his people for their corruption of the original monotheism and for following the Pharisees as stated in the Bible. Nevertheless, as his movement spread among the non-Jews, two deviations from his teachings emerged, both of which derived their core doctrines from the Kabbalah and the Ancient Mysteries: Romanized Christianity and Gnosticism, from which the occult tradition was derived. While the former adopted these teachings only cryptically, Gnosticism avowed its paganism more boldly. Therefore, an enduring struggle ensued, through the profusion of numerous secret societies, and the desperate and direful attempts of the Catholics at suppressing them. Nevertheless, it is this concoction of ancient pagan doctrines and legends which Dan Brown attempts to sell as the "truer" form of Christianity in his book "Da Vinci Code". Modern secular culture is really the product of an occult tradition that can be traced back to ancient Babylon through Masons, Rosicrucians, Templars, Plato and the Cabalists. Secularism is as much a religion as Christianity. The "separation of church and state" is a stratagem to enshrine Lucifer as the god of the modern World. Masonic secularism's goal is to destroy genuine monotheism like true-Judaism-Christianity-Islam. Read what [Svali](#), an Illuminati defector wrote about the Illuminati, a superwealthy group of Luciferians who plan a World police state:

"The Illuminati is a group that practices a form of faith known as "enlightenment". It is Luciferian, and they teach their followers that their roots go back to the ancient mystery religions of **Babylon**, Egypt, and Celtic druidism. They have taken what they consider the "best" of each, the foundational practices, and joined them together into a strongly occult discipline. Many groups at the local level worship ancient deities such as "El", "Baal", and "Ashtarte", as well as "Isis and Osiris" and "Set"... I do know that these people teach and practice evil."

Recognize how the myth of "Western civilization" has been carefully worked out. It was Hegel who invented this idea. Until that time, Europeans largely regarded the Bible as truth. Hegel proposed an alternative, and suggested that history was progressing [the Bible of course teaches the opposite, that the World will regress until the end times], such that human civilization was not falling apart. Also, until Hegel, Europe had been accustomed to recognizing the antiquity of other civilizations and their contribution. In particular, the Renaissance revered the "wisdom" of the "Persians, Egyptians and Assyrians". Hegel, on the other hand, proposed that their contribution should be devalued, and that we should instead accept the supremacy of the Greeks. And thus was born the myth of Western Civilization. Again, this was accomplished by entirely ignoring the fundamental role that Arab and Turkish empires played in the elevation of European knowledge. The very idea of scientific investigation was invented there. This allowed the creation of a dichotomy of East vs West, where none had existed before. The foremost priority of the Illuminati after seizing power during the French and American revolutions was creating compulsory education, and from that point onward they systematically took hold of the university system, where they were able to indoctrinate society into Hegelian Eurocentrism. The myth proposed is that man is evolving towards freedom from "superstition", in other words, God. The Greeks were the first "free-thinkers", and "Western" society steadily progressed towards secularism, achieving its greatest advances during the Renaissance and the Enlightenment. This is why it is so important to understand the hidden history of the dying-god mythology, because then we understand the advancement of the Kabbalistic plot through the steady ruination of man's faith in God and monotheism. The myth ultimately being made is that man has been evolving for centuries towards some point of secular perfection. And we are being made to believe by his cohort, Samuel Huntington, that there is one impediment preventing us from finally implementing that ideology Worldwide, and that is the hold-outs in the World, who insist on not having a Rothschild bank and who hold the conviction that monotheism is the guiding principle, must be exterminated. The Enlightenment, Hegel, the restructuring of the university system, have been part of a 250 process of inculcating that prejudice.

[The Actual Anti-Semites Are The Zionists \(Jews have a right to protect themselves against Zionism\)](#)

There is no relationship between the ordinary Jews, Christians, Moslems, Atheists, etc. and the high-ranking Illuminati-Zionist-Masonics. The latter pretend to be Israelites Judeans (or Christian or Moslem). Read the words of **Yeshua-Joshua-Issa** when he addressed the Church of Smyrna in Rev. 2:9 "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the Synagogue of Satan". Some Zionists pretend to be Christians (see the section on Masonic and Zionist infiltration of the churches) or Moslems (note that the Crescent and the Star are not symbols of Islam, but rather of ancient Egypt and Rome). These "fake" Jews/Christians/Moslems are using the naive followers of those religions to play the masses into an insane frenzy against each other for the benefits of the globalist mega-banking dynasties. Don't get caught up and be fooled by this frenzy! **The actual anti-Semites are the Zionists.**

[Non-Israelite Jews: Khazar & AshkeNazi Yaphites \(the non-Chosen People\)](#)

A good history on the non-Israelite Jews can be found in The Thirteenth Tribe by Arthur Koestler 1976. A Sem-ite or Shem-ite is a descendant of Noah's first son Sem or Shem whose grandson was Abraham. The Semites are Middle-Eastern Arabs and Israelites, and have religious significance for three major World religions (Judaism, Christianity, and Islam). After Prophet Noah's Flood, secret societies arose in Babylon through the knowledge transplanted by Ham (Noah's second son), whose son, Cush (also known as Hermes), claimed to be the interpreter of the gods and whose son was Nimrod. Noah's third son Japheth-Yefeth's descendants are the Pharisees, the AshkeNAZI converted fake Khazarian Jews and the Gog & Magog barbaric phallic Turkic tribes; they are mostly today's Zionists. **From the King James Version Bible, Genesis 10:1-3:** "Now these are the generations of the sons of Noah, Shem, Ham, and Japheth: and unto them were

sons born after the flood. The sons of Japheth; Gomer, and Magog, and Madai, and Javan, and Tubal, and Meshech, and Tiras. And the sons of Gomer; Ashkenaz, and Riphath, and Togarmah". **From the King James Version Bible, 1 Chronicles 1:4-6:**"and "Noah, Shem, Ham, and Japheth. The sons of Japheth; Gomer, and Magog, and Madai, and Javan, and Tubal, and Meshech, and Tiras. And the sons of Gomer; Ashchenaz, and Riphath, and Togarmah". The Khazars were a semi-nomadic people from Central Asia whose nobility later adopted Judaism in the 8th century. They founded the independent Khazar kingdom in the 7th Century in the southeastern part of today's Europe, near the Caspian Sea and the Caucasus. In addition to western Kazakhstan, the Khazar kingdom also included territory in what are now eastern Ukraine, southern Russia, and Crimea. The name 'Khazar' itself seems to be tied to a Turkic verb meaning "wandering." Khazar history is intimately tied with that of the Gokturk Empire, founded when the Asena clan overthrew the Juan in AD 552. With the collapse of the Gokturk empire/ tribal confederation due to internal conflict in the seventh century, the western half of the Turk empire itself split into two confederations, the Bulgars, led by the Dulo clan, and the Khazars, led by the Asena clan, the traditional rulers of the Gok Turk empire. By 670, the Khazars had broken the Bulgar confederation, leaving the three Bulgar remnants on the Volga, the Black Sea and the Danube.

Their first significant appearance in history is their aid to the campaign of the Byzantine emperor Heraclius against the Persians and the newly emerging religion of Islam in Arabia. The Muslims fought off the Roman empires attempt to annihilate them and went as far as Eastern Europe. The Khazars were forced to withdraw behind the Caucasus. Afterwards, the Khazars extended their territories from the Caspian Sea in the east to the north of Black Sea in the west. Early Russian sources called Khazaran, their city, Khvalisy and the Khazar Sea (Caspian) Khvaliskoye after the Khwarezmians. Originally, the Khazars practiced traditional Turkic shamanism, focused on the sky god Tengri, but were heavily influenced by Confucian ideas imported from China, notably that of the Mandate of Heaven. The Asena clan was considered to be the chosen of Tengri and the Qaghan was the incarnation of the favor the sky-god bestowed on the Turks. A Qaghan who failed had clearly lost the god's favor and was typically ritually executed. Around the fourth decade of the 8th century, the Khazar royalty and nobility converted to Babylonian Talmudic Judaism (fake Judaism), and part of the general population followed. The first Jewish king was named Bulan. A later king, Obadiah, strengthened Babylonian Talmudic Judaism, inviting rabbis into the kingdom and building synagogues. By the year 950 Babylonian Talmudic Judaism had become a widespread faith. In the 10th century the empire began to decline due to the attacks of both Kievan Rus and other Turkic tribes, and their political significance greatly diminished toward the end of the 12th century.

To a large extent, East European Jews (Ashkenazi) of today are descendants of the Khazars and the instigators of Zionism. The word 'Khazar' is theorised to be the root of several other words, including Cossack, hussar and 'ketter' (an derogatory German term for a heretic), although the latter is more probably derived from the medieval Cathari gnostics. Some theories also consider Khazars to be ancestors of Terek Cossacks. 90% or more of the World's Jews are Khazarian or Ashkenazi Jews, i.e. non-Israelite Jews. The Khazars' descendants, the European AshkeNAZI Jews, falsely claim to be the descendants of the ancient Hebrews. David al-Roy's Star of David (al-Roy) became the emblem of the Zionist "Nile to Euphrates" Crusades, particularly the so-called Zionist holy mission to conquer Palestine for Judaism which is actually to conquer it for Illuminism. You can read about this history in Arthur Koestler's "The Thirteenth Tribe." The large Eastern European Khazar Jewish immigration to America starting in the late 19th Century. Note that there are **two versions of the Babylonian Talmud's translations**, the one for public consumption and the one not given to the ordinary public but excerpts from which you can find posted on the Internet and is really a piece of hate literature. The oral traditions of the Babylonian Talmud were not written down until after the 1st century A.C. The central book in apostatic or fake Judaism is the Babylonian Talmud which contains the teachings of the apostate Pharisees those people on whom the New Testament spends so many words. The Babylonian Talmud teaches Rabbis to oppose and discredit the teachings of Yeshua-Joshua because he criticized the Pharisees. Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men," an abomination, and he condemned them; for doing so, Yeshua-Joshua was "crucified" or so it seemed crossed by the Pharisee Caiaphas and Roman King Pailatoos (Pilates).

Here is what Yeshuah-Isa-Yeshua-Joshua had to say about the Babylonian Talmudists Pharisees: "Be careful," Yeshua-Joshua said to them. "Be on your guard against the yeast of the Pharisees and Sadducees [read: Babylonian Talmudists]." John 8:44 You [Babylonian Talmudists] belong to your father, the devil, and you want to carry out your father's desire. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding to the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the father of lies".

Here is what Thomas Jefferson, US President, had to say about the Babylonian Talmud: 1743-1826 Letters, Electronic Text Center, University of Virginia Library: "What a wretched depravity of sentiment and manners must have prevailed before such corrupt maxims [the Babylonian Talmud] could have obtained credit! It is impossible to collect from these writings a consistent series of moral Doctrine... It was the reformation of this 'wretched depravity' of morals which Yeshua-Joshua undertook."

Here is what George Washington, US President, had to say about the Babylonian Pharisaic Talmudists Priest-BankLords: in Maxims of George Washington by A. A. Appleton & Co.: "They (the Babylonian Talmudists) work more effectively against us, than the enemy's armies. They are a hundred times more dangerous to our liberties and the great cause we are engaged in... It is much to be lamented that each state, long ago, has not hunted them down as pest to society and the greatest enemies we have to the happiness of America."

Here is what Benjamin Franklin, one of the founding fathers, had to say about the Babylonian Talmudists (note that the uses the word "Jews" but he is not referring to the real Jews, but rather the fake Ashkenazi Khazarian



European Jews which will be explained in the next section of this book): This prophecy, by Benjamin Franklin, was made in a "CHIT CHAT AROUND THE TABLE DURING INTERMISSION," at the Philadelphia Constitutional Convention of 1787. This statement was recorded in the dairy of Charles Cotesworth Pinckney, a delegate from South Carolina: "Jews, gentlemen, are Asiatics, let them be born where they will, nor how many generations they are away from Asia, they will never be otherwise. Their ideas do not conform to an American's, and will not even thou they live among us ten generations. A leopard cannot change its spots. Jews are Asiatics, are a menace to this country if permitted entrance, and should be excluded by this Constitutional

Convention."




Here is what Martin Luther had to say about Babylonian Talmudists: "He did not call them Abraham's children, but a 'brood of vipers' [Matt. 3:7]. Oh, that was too insulting for the noble blood and race of Israel, and they declared, 'He has a demon' [Matt 11:18]. Our Lord calls them a 'brood of vipers'; furthermore in John 8:39-44 he states: 'If you were Abraham's children ye would do what Abraham did.... You are of your father the devil.' It was intolerable to them to hear that they were not Abraham's but the devil's children, nor can they bear to hear this today."



Others who warned against Babylonian Talmudists include Louis IX, Pope Julius III, Pope Clement VIII & other popes, the Frankists, Martin Luther, Alexander McClellan, Peter Styvesant, the Queen of Hungary, Kaiser Wilhelm II, Mark Twain, George Bernard Shaw, Colonel Farrell, Charles Lindbergh, Louis Farrakhan, Henry Wallace Rosenthal, etc., etc. The Illuminati-Zionist-Masonics are Luciferian Satanic occultists (remember the movie "The Ten Commandments" when the people of Israel/Jacob revert back to pagan Babylonian golden calf worship under the leadership of the pretender Saamiri while Moses is gone to receive the Commandments) who worship money and power. They are posing as Jews or Patriots to use the shields of "anti-Semitic" or "not-patriotic" accusations to silence the ones who expose them. This alliance uses whoever will cooperate with their

plans and then discards them later when they are no longer needed. Those who don't cooperate are eliminated one way or another (see Myron C. Fagan's detailed 1967 blockbuster expose on the Illuminati operations later in this book and the audio on this CD).

Hathor: Apis bull depicted in this Egyptian statuette (right) is likely to be the pattern used for the golden calf the Israelites made at Mount Sinai (Exo. 32), since they were undoubtedly quite familiar with it as a result of their long captivity in Egypt. In front of the sun disk and horns, which look virtually identical to some Catholic monstrances, there is the serpent poised to strike. In Scripture the serpent is symbolic of Satan. (Gen 3, Rev. 12:9)



[Masonic Map of the Illuminati State of Greater Israel](#) (on previous below)

[How the Zionists Changed Biblical Interpretation using Scofield & Oxford Univ. in 1908](#)

First, we have seen

that the vast majority of Jews are not Israelites but rather Khazarites or Ashkenazis. Also, we have seen that true-Torah monotheistic Judaism was corrupted by the Pharisees and turned into a Kabbalistic Pharisaic Babylonian Talmudic fake-Judaism. Next we will see how the Bible's interpretations were distorted to promote Zionism. In 1947, Indian Muslims who wanted their own state were given their state carved out of India. However, when in 1948, the issue of a Jewish state was brought to culmination by European Zionists, instead of European Jews being given their state carved out of Europe, they were given a state carved out of Palestine, an area to which European Jews had no ancestry at all because their ancestry is traced to Khazaria as shown in the maps previously. Distortions, cover-ups and lies are tools used extensively by Zionists since centuries. To demonstrate the power of the Zionists, Merriam-Webster changed the definition of "anti-Semitism" in its "Third New International Dictionary" which was recently reprinted. The definition now reads: "1) hostility toward Jews as a religious or racial minority group, often accompanied by social, political or economic discrimination (2) opposition to Zionism (3) sympathy for the opponents of Israel." The real and true definition of "anti-Semitism" is: 1) hostility toward descendants of Sem, one of Noah's sons, who include Jews and Arabs, as a religious or racial minority group, often accompanied by social, political or economic discrimination (2) opposition to the descendants of Isaac and Ishmael who are the Israelites and Arabs (3) sympathy for the opponents of Semite Arabs and Jews. The opponents of Semite Arabs and Jews are the Zionists. **So, what's the best way to hide that Zionism is anti-Semitism? You guessed it, i.e. change the Merriam-Webster dictionary definition of anti-Semitism as "opposition to Zionism"!**

Now, let's go back one century and see what happened to the Bible's translation. Biblical premillennial dispensationalism is the creation of the nineteenth century fundamentalist Zionist C.I. Scofield (1843-1921), known chiefly for his authorship of the **Scofield Bible**. Scofield codified and thus popularized the earlier ideas of **J.N. Darby** (1800-82) who first introduced the doctrine. Due to the forcing of the Scofield Bible translation through the **Oxford University Press**, a Zionized version of Christianity has been created in the United States (in fact, this is similar to the CIA creation of the Taliban; the word Taliban comes from the Hebrew word Talibaneem which was "prophesized" by **Rabbi Yess**, see **Carol Valentine's** papers <http://www.public-action.com> ; the Taliban were named, funded and promoted by the CIA; the literature used by the Taliban was printed under a CIA contract at the Nebraska University Press, see **Michael Ruppert's** books <http://www.copvcia.com>). About 46 percent of Americans or about 127 million are evangelical Christians and many of those rely on the Scofield Bible. Jerry Falwell claims that 70 million "evangelicals" stand with him in support of Israel's right to eject [or kill] 2.5 million Palestinians from Israel.

Paul Boyer, retired professor of history at the University of Wisconsin at Madison and author of the book "When Time Shall Be No More: Prophecy Belief in Modern American Culture" says: "Part of the support for a war on Iraq is coming from fundamentalist believers who see it as a step in the fulfillment of biblical prophecy." Just like the Taliban was, these Christian Frankensteins' leaders are also in partnership with the NWO elements in the CIA. For Chuck Carlson, all of this means that many American Christians, who he says should be influenced by Yeshua-Yeshua-Joshua' teachings of peace and forgiveness, instead salivate over the prospect of wholesale destruction at the hands of American troops. "It's turned them into warmongers," he says. Evangelical Christians are a powerful voting bloc. They are the glue that holds Bush's war program together." He exposes a major chink in the War Machines Neo Conservative Armor. Lets see how this came about. The attack on American Christianity continues unabated against the traditional, Christ-following church. This attack, which author **Gordon Ginn** calls "The final Apostasy," began with a small very wealthy and determined European political movement. It had a dream, and the American churches stood in its way.

The World Zionist movement, as its founders called themselves, was promoted as a plan to acquire a homeland for all Jews Worldwide, even though most were far from homeless, and many did not want another home. Not any land would do. World Zionists wanted a specific property that American Christians called "the Holy Land." But if these Zionists read "Democracy in America" or any of the journals of any of America's churches, which no doubt they did, they could not help but know that Jerusalem was not theirs to have. As self-proclaimed Pharisaic Jews, they were, according to the Christian New Testament, the persecutors of Christ and most of his early followers, and the engineers of his crucifixion, as clearly depicted in Mel Gibson's "The Passion of Christ". **In the Bible, the Pharisees are referred as "The Synagogue of Satan" by Yeshua-Yeshua-Joshua.** America's traditional churches in the 19th Century would never stand for a Jewish occupation of Yeshua-Joshua' homeland. World Zionist leaders initiated a program to change America and its religious orientation. One of the tools used to accomplish this goal was an obscure and malleable Civil War veteran named Cyrus I. Scofield. A much larger tool was a venerable, World respected European book publisher--The Oxford University Press. The scheme was to alter the Christian view of Zionism by creating and promoting a pro-Zionist subculture within Christianity. Scofield's role was to re-write the King James Version of the Bible by inserting Zionist-friendly notes in the margins, between verses and chapters, and on the bottoms of the pages. The Oxford University Press used Scofield as the Editor, portraying him as a pastor, probably because it needed him as a front man. The revised Bible was called the Scofield Reference Bible, and with limitless advertising and promotion, it became a best-selling "Bible" in America and has remained so for 90 years. The Scofield Reference Bible was not to be just another translation, subverting minor passages a little at a time. No, Scofield produced a revolutionary book that radically changed the context of the King James Version. It was designed to create a subculture around a new worship icon, the modern State of Israel, a state that did not yet exist in 1900, but which was already on the drawing boards of the committed, well-funded authors of World Zionism.

Scofield's support came from a movement that took root around the turn of the century, supposedly motivated by disillusionment over what it considered the stagnation of the mainline American churches. Some of these "reformers" were later to serve on Scofield's Editorial Committee. Scofield imitated a chain of past heretics and rapturists, most of whose credibility fizzled over their faulty end times prophecies. His mentor was one John Nelson Darby from Scotland, who was associated with the Plymouth Brethren and who made no less than six evangelical trips to the US selling what is today called "Darbyism." It is from Darby that Scofield is thought to have learned his Christian Zionist theology, which he

later planted in the footnotes of the Scofield Reference Bible. It is possible that Scofield's interest in Darbyism was shared by Oxford University Press, for Darby was known to Oxford University (A History of The Plymouth Brethren By **William Blair Neatby**, M.A.). The Oxford University Press owned "The Scofield Reference Bible" from the beginning, as indicated by its copyright, and Scofield stated he received handsome royalties from Oxford. Oxford's advertisers and promoters succeeded in making Scofield's bible, with its Christian Zionist footnotes, a standard for interpreting scripture in Judeo-Christian churches, seminaries, and Bible study groups. It has been published in at least four editions since its introduction in 1908 and remains one of the largest selling Bibles ever. The Scofield Reference Bible and its several clones is all but worshiped in the ranks of celebrity Christians, beginning with the first media icon, evangelist Billy Graham. Of particular importance to the Zionist penetration of American Christian churches has been the fast growth of national bible study organizations, such as Bible Study Fellowship and Precept Ministries. These draw millions of students from not only evangelical fundamentalist churches, but also from Catholic and mainline Protestant churches and non-church contacts. These invariably teach forms of "dispensationalism," which draw their theory, to various degrees, from the notes in the Oxford Bible. Among more traditional churches that encourage, and in some cases recommend, the use of the Scofield Reference Bible is the huge Southern Baptist Convention of America, whose capture is World Zionism's crowning achievement (See Charles E. Carlson's report on Southern Baptist Zionism entitled "The Cause of the Conflict: Fixing Blame"). Scofield, whose work is largely believed to be the product of Darby and others, wisely chose not to change the text of the King James Edition. Instead, he added hundreds of easy-to-read footnotes at the bottom of about half of the pages, and as the Old English grammar of the KJE becomes increasingly difficult for progressive generations of readers, students become increasingly dependent on the modern language footnotes.

Scofield's notes weave parts of the Old and New Testaments together as though all were written at the same time by the same people.

This is a favorite device of modern dispensationalists who essentially weigh all scripture against the unspoken and preposterous theory that the older it is, the more authoritative. In many cases the Oxford references prove to be puzzling rabbit trails leading nowhere, simply diversions. Scofield's borrowed ideas were later popularized under the labels and definitions that have evolved into common usage today--"pre-millennialism," "dispensationalism," "Judeo-Christianity," and most recently the highly political movement openly called "Christian Zionism." Thanks to the work of a few dedicated researchers, much of the questionable personal history of Cyrus I. Scofield is available. It reveals he was not a Bible scholar as one might expect, but a political animal with the charm and talent for self-promotion of a Bill Clinton. Scofield's background reveals a criminal history, a deserted wife, a wrecked family, and a penchant for self-serving lies. He was exactly the sort of man the World Zionists might hire to bend Christian thought--a controllable man and one capable of carrying the secret to his grave. (See The Incredible Scofield and His Book by Joseph M. Canfield). Other researchers have examined Scofield's eschatology and exposed his original work as apostate and heretic to traditional Christian views. Among these is a massive work by Stephen Sizer entitled Christian Zionism, Its History, Theology and Politics, Christ Church Vicarage, Virginia Water, GU25 4LD, England.

Charles E. Carlson's (<http://www.whtt.org>) own examination of the Oxford Bible has gone in another direction, focusing not on what Scofield wrote, but on some of the many additions and deletions The Oxford University Press has continued to make to the Scofield Reference Bible since his death in 1921. These alterations have further radicalized the Scofield Bible into a manual for the Christian worship of the State of Israel beyond what Schofield would have dreamed of. This un-Christian anti-Arab theology has permitted the theft of Palestine and 54 years of death and destruction against the Palestinians, with hardly a complaint from the Judeo-Christian mass media evangelists or most other American church leaders. We thank God for the exceptions. It is no exaggeration to say that the 1967 Oxford 4th Edition deifies--makes a God of--the State of Israel, a state that did not even exist when Scofield wrote the original footnotes in 1908. This writer believes that, had it not been for misguided anti-Arab race hatred promoted by Christian Zionist leaders in America, neither the Gulf War nor the Israeli war against the Palestinians would have occurred, and a million or more people who have perished would be alive today. What proof does WHTT have to incriminate World Zionism in a scheme to control Christianity? For proof, we offer the words themselves that were planted in the 1967 Edition, 20 years after the State of Israel was created in 1947, and 46 years after Scofield's death. The words tell us that those who control the Oxford Press recreated a bible to misguide Christians and sell flaming Zionism in the churches of America. There is little reason to believe that Scofield knew or cared much about the Zionist movement, but at some point, he became involved in a close and secret relationship with Samuel Untermeyer, a New York lawyer whose firm still exists today and one of the wealthiest and most powerful World Zionists in America. Untermeyer controlled the unbreakable thread that connected him with Scofield. They shared a password and a common watering hole--and it appears that Untermeyer may have been the one who provided the money that Scofield himself lacked. Scofield's success as an international bible editor without portfolio and his lavish living in Europe could only have been accomplished with financial aid and international influence.

This connection might have remained hidden, were it not for the work of **Joseph M. Canfield**, the author and researcher who discovered clues to the thread in Scofield family papers. But even had the threads connecting Scofield to Untermeyer and Zionism never been exposed, it would still be obvious that that connection was there. It is significant that Oxford, not Scofield, owned the book, and that after Scofield's death, Oxford accelerated changes to it. Since the death of its original author and namesake, The Scofield Reference Bible has gone through several editions. Massive pro-Zionist notes were added to the 1967 edition, and some of Scofield's most significant notes from the original editions were removed where they apparently failed to further Zionist aims fast enough. Yet this edition retains the title, "The New Scofield Reference Bible, Holy Bible, Editor C.I. Scofield." It's anti-Arab, Christian subculture theology has made an enormous contribution to war, turning Christians into participants in genocide against Arabs in the latter half of the 20th century. The most convincing evidence of the unseen Zionist hand that wrote the Scofield notes to the venerable King James Bible is the content of the notes themselves, for only Zionists could have written them. These notes are the subject of this paper. Oxford edited the former 1945 Edition of SRB in 1967, at the time of the Six Day War when Israel occupied Palestine. The

new footnotes to the King James Bible presumptuously granted the rights of the Palestinians' land to the State of Israel and specifically denied the Arab Palestinians any such rights at all. One of the most brazen and outrageous of these NEWLY INSERTED footnotes states: "FOR A NATION TO COMMIT THE SIN OF ANTI-SEMITISM BRINGS INEVITABLE JUDGMENT." (page 19-20, footnote (3) to Genesis 12:3.) (our emphasis added)

This statement sounds like something from Ariel Sharon, or the Chief Rabbi in Tel Aviv, or Theodore Herzl, the founder of Modern Zionism. But these exact words are found between the covers of the 1967 Edition of the Oxford Bible that is followed by millions of American churchgoers and students and is used by their leaders as a source for their preaching and teaching. There is no word for "anti-Semitism" in the New Testament, nor is it found among the Ten Commandments. "Sin," this writer was taught, is a personal concept. It is something done by individuals in conflict with God's words, not by "nations." Even Sodom did not sin--its people did. The word "judgment" in the Bible always refers to God's action. In the Christian New Testament, Yeshua-Joshua promises both judgment and salvation for believing individuals, not for "nations." There was also no "State of Israel" when Scofield wrote his original notes in his concocted Scofield Reference Bible in 1908. All references to Israel as a state were added AFTER 1947, when Israel was granted statehood by edict of the United Nations. The Oxford University Press simply rewrote its version of the Christian Bible in 1967 to make antipathy toward the "State of Israel" a "sin." Israel is made a god to be worshiped, not merely a "state." David Ben-Gurion could not have written it better. Perhaps he did write it! **The Oxford 1967 Edition** continues on page 19:

"(2) GOD MADE AN UNCONDITIONAL PROMISE OF BLESSINGS THROUGH ABRAHAM'S SEED (a) TO THE NATION OF ISRAEL TO INHERIT A SPECIFIC TERRITORY FOREVER"; "(3) THERE IS A PROMISE OF BLESSING UPON THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND NATIONS WHO BLESS ABRAHAM'S DESCENDANTS, AND A CURSE LAID UPON THOSE WHO PERSECUTE THE JEWS." (Page 19, 1967 Edition Genesis 12:1-3)

This bequeath is joined to an Oxford prophesy that never occurs in the Bible itself: "IT HAS INVARIABLY FARED ILL WITH THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE PERSECUTED THE JEW, WELL WITH THOSE WHO HAVE PROTECTED HIM." and "THE FUTURE WILL STILL MORE REMARKABLY PROVE THIS PRINCIPLE"(footnote (3) bottom of page19-20Genesis 12:3). None of these notes appeared in the original Scofield Reference Bible or in the 1917 or 1945 editions. The state of Israel DID NOT EXIST in 1945, and according to the best dictionaries of the time, the word "Israel" only referred to a particular man (Prophet Jacob) and an ancient tribe, which is consistent with the Bible text. See "Israel," Webster's New International Dictionary 2nd (1950) Edition. All of this language, including the prophecy about the future being really bad for those who "persecute the Jews," reflects and furthers the goals of the Anti-Defamation League, which has a stated goal of creating an environment where opposing the State of Israel is considered "anti-Semitism," and "anti-Semitism" is a "hate crime" punishable by law. This dream has become a reality in the Christian Zionist churches of America. Only someone with these goals could have written this footnote. The State of Israel's legal claims to Arab lands are based on the United Nations Partitioning Agreement of 1947, which gave the Jews only a fraction of the land they have now occupied by force. But when this author went to Israel and asked various Israelis where they got the right to occupy Palestine, each invariably said words to the effect that "God gave it to us." This interpretation of Hebrew scripture stems from the book of Genesis and is called the "Abrahamic Covenant". It is repeated several times and begins with God's promise to a man called Abraham who was eventually to become the grandfather of a man called "Israel:"

"[2] AND I WILL MAKE OF THEE A GREAT NATION, AND I WILL BLESS THEE, AND MAKE THY NAME GREAT; AND THOU SHALL BE A BLESSING:"

"[3] AND I WILL BLESS THEM THAT BLESS THEE, AND CURSE HIM THAT CURSETH THEE: AND IN THEE SHALL ALL FAMILIES OF THE EARTH BE BLESSED." Genesis 12:3, King James Edition.

It is upon this promise to a single person that modern Israeli Zionists base their claims to what amounts to the entire Mid-East. Its logic is roughly the equivalent of someone claiming to be the heir to the John Paul Getty estate because the great man had once sent a letter to someone's cousin seven times removed containing the salutation "wishing you my very best." In "Sherry's War," We Hold These Truths provides a common sense discussion of the Abrahamic Covenant and how millions of Christians are taught to misunderstand it. It is tempting to engage in academic arguments to show readers the lack of logic in Scofield's theology, which has led followers of Christ so far astray. It seems all too easy to refute the various Bible references given in support of Scofield's strange writings. But we will resist the temptation to do this, because others have already done it quite well. It is also inviting to dig into Scofield's sordid past as Canfield has done, revealing him to be a convicted felon and probable pathological liar, but we leave that to others, because our interest is not in Scofield's life, but in saving the lives of millions of innocent people who are threatened by the continuing Zionist push for perpetual war. Instead, we will examine the words on their face. The words in these 1967 footnotes are Zionist propaganda that has been tacked onto the text of a Christian Bible. Most of them make no sense, except to support the Zionist State of Israel in its war against the Palestinians and any other wars it may enter into. In this purpose, Zionism has completely succeeded. American Judeo-Christians, more recently labeled "Christian Zionists," have remained mute during wars upon Israel's enemies in Palestine, Iraq, Afghanistan, Bosnia and elsewhere. It is past time to stop the spilling of more blood.

Now, for evidence of the intent of the Zionists deception of Christians, let us examine some Scofield's notes THAT HAVE BEEN ALTERED OR REMOVED by Oxford after his death. **In 1908 Scofield wrote in 1908:** "THE CONTRAST, 'I KNOW THAT YE ARE ABRAHAM'S SEED' - 'IF YE WERE ABRAHAM'S CHILDREN' IS THAT BETWEEN THE NATURAL AND THE SPIRITUAL POSTERITY OF ABRAHAM. THE ISRAELITISH PEOPLE AND ISHMAELITISH PEOPLE ARE THE FORMER; ALL WHO ARE 'OF THE PRECIOUS FAITH WITH ABRAHAM,' WHETHER JEWS OR GENTILES, ARE THE LATTER (ROM 9, 6-8; GAL, 4-14. SEE 'ABRAHAMIC COVENANT' GEN 15, 18, NOTE)." (Scofield's 1945 page 1127, note to John 8:39). Compare that

with the Oxford note substituted in the 1967 Edition: "8:37 ALL JEWS ARE NATURAL DESCENDANTS OF ABRAHAM, BUT ARE NOT NECESSARILY HIS SPIRITUAL POSTERITY, CP Rom 9-6-8, Gal 3: 6-14" (Note (1) P1136, Oxford 1967 Edition, note to Jn 8:37.)

How, pray tell, can "all Jews" be "natural descendants of Abraham," a Chaldean who lived some 3000 years ago? Persons of all races are Jews and new Jews are being converted every day from every race. One might as well say all Lutherans are the natural descendants of Martin Luther; or that all Baptists come from the loins of John the Baptist. This note could only have been written by an Israeli patriot, for no one else would have a vested interest in promoting this genetic nonsense. Shame on those who accept this racism; it is apostate Christianity. The original Scofield note was far out of line with traditional Christianity in 1908 and should have been treated as heresy then. Yet Scofield had failed to go far enough for the Zionists. Scofield clearly recognized what the book of Genesis states, that the sons of Ishmael are co-heirs to Abraham's ancient promise. Did not Scofield say "the Israelitish people and Ishmaelitish (Arabs) people are...the natural posterity of Abraham"? The Oxford Press simply waited for Scofield to die and changed it as they wished. And what is it that Scofield said that did not satisfy the Zionists who rewrote the Oxford 1967 Edition? The answer is an easy one. Most Arab and Islamic scholars consider Arabs in general and the Prophet Mohamed in particular to be direct descendants of Ishmael, Abraham's first son and older half-brother of Isaac, whose son Jacob was later to become known as "Israel." Many Arabs believe that through Ishmael they are co-heirs of to Abraham's promise, and they correctly believe that present-day Israelis have no Biblical right to steal their land. Jewish Babylonian Talmudic folklore also speaks of Ishmael, so the Zionists apparently felt they had to alter how Christians viewed the two half brothers in order to prevent Christians from siding with the Arabs over the land theft. The Zionists solved this dilemma by inserting a senseless footnote in the 1967 (Oxford) Scofield Reference Bible which, in effect, substitutes the word "Jews" for the words "The Israelitish people and Ishmaelitish people," as Scofield originally wrote it. The Israelitish and Ishmaelitish people lived 3000 years ago, but the Zionists want to claim the Arabs' part of the presumed birthright right now! Read it again; "all Jews are natural descendants of Abraham, but are not necessarily his spiritual posterity." And there is more of such boondoggery in the Oxford bible. On the same page 1137 we find yet another brand new Zionist-friendly note referring to the New Testament book of John 8:37.

"(2) 8:44 THAT THIS SATANIC FATHERHOOD CANNOT BE LIMITED TO THE PHARISEES IS MADE CLEAR IN 1Jn3:8-10" (note SRB 1967 Edition, P1137 to John 8:44)

Let us look at the verse Oxford is trying to soften, wherein Jesus-Yeshua-Joshua is speaking directly to the Pharisees, who were the Jewish leaders of his day, and to no one else: "YE ARE OF YOUR FATHER THE DEVIL (SATAN), AND THE LUST OF YOUR FATHER YE WILL DO. HE WAS A MURDERER FROM THE BEGINNING, AND ABODE NOT IN THE TRUTH, BECAUSE THERE IS NO TRUTH IN HIM. WHEN HE SPEAKEST A LIE, HE SPEAKEST OF HIS OWN; FOR HE IS A LIAR, AND THE FATHER OF IT." John 8:44 King James Ed.) Those are plain words. No wonder the Zionists wanted to dilute what Yeshua-Joshua said. Not only did Oxford add a new footnote in 1967, but they inserted no less than four reference cues into the King James sacred text, directing readers to their specious, apostate footnotes. It seems the Zionists cannot deny what Yeshua-Joshua said about Pharisees, but they do not want to bear the burden of being "sons of Satan" all by themselves. Now here's the text of the verse to which Oxford refers in order to try to solve this problem: "HE THAT COMMITETH SIN IS OF THE DEVIL; FOR THE DEVIL SINNETH FROM THE BEGINNING. FOR THIS PURPOSE THE SON OF GOD WAS MANIFESTED, THAT HE MIGHT DESTROY THE WORK OF THE DEVIL." (1Jn3:8.King James Edition). Fine, but this verse, spoken by Yeshua-Joshua to His followers in a speech about avoiding sin, in no way supports Oxford's argument that Yeshua-Joshua was not talking directly to and about the Pharisee leaders when he called them "Sons of Satan" in John 8:44. It is a different book written at a different time to a different audience. This is typical Christian Zionist diversion. To find out to whom Yeshua-Joshua is speaking you must read the rest of John 8, not something from another book. Furthermore, John 8:44 is only one of some 77 verses where Yeshua-Joshua confronted the Pharisees by name and in many cases addressed them as "satanic" and as "vipers." Oxford simply ignores most of these denunciations by Yeshua-Joshua, adding no notes at all, and the Christian Zionists go along without question. These are a few examples of Zionist perversions of scripture that have shaped the doctrine of America's most politically powerful religious subculture, the "Christian Zionists" as Ariel Sharon calls them, or the dispensationalists, as intellectual followers call themselves, or the Judeo-Christians as our politically-correct politicians describe themselves. Today's Mid-East wars are not caused by the predisposition of the peoples, who are no more warlike than any human tribes. Without the pandering to Jewish and Zionist interests that is carried out by this subculture--the most vocal being the celebrity Christian evangelists--there would be no such wars, for there is not enough support for war outside of organized Zionist Christianity.

Reverend Stephen Sizer of Christ Church, Christ Church Vicarage, Virginia Water, GU25 4LD, England is perhaps the most dedicated new scholar writing about the Scofield Bible craze, popularly known as Christian Zionism. He has quipped, "Judging Christianity by looking at the American Evangelists is kind of like judging the British by watching Benny Hill." Reverend Sizer's remark brings to mind another Benny; his name is **Benny Hinn**, not a British comic, but an American evangelist spouting inflammatory hate-filled words aimed at Muslims everywhere. Hinn was speaking to the applause of an aroused crowd of thousands in the American Airline Center in Dallas when he shocked two Ft. Worth Star Telegram religious reporters covering the July 3d event by announcing, "We are on God's side," speaking of Palestine. He shouted, "This is not a war between Jews and Arabs. It is a war between God and the Devil." Lest there be any doubt about it, Hinn was talking about a blood war in which the Israelis are "God" and the Palestinians are "the Devil." Benny Hinn is one of hundreds of acknowledged Christian Zionists who have no problem spouting outright race hatred and who join in unconditional support for Israel without regard for which or how many of Israel's enemies are killed or crippled. His boldness stems from his knowledge that the vast majority of professing Christians from whom he seeks his lavish support-

the Judeo-Christians, or Christian Zionists--do not shrink at his words, because they have been conditioned to accept them, just as Roman citizens learned to accept Christian persecution, even burning alive, under Nero. Several evangelists in attendance affirmed their agreement with Hinn - "the line between Christians and Muslims is the difference between good and evil."

An amazing number of professing Christians are in agreement with the **fanatical likes of Hinn, including Gary Bauer, Ralph Reed, James Dobson** and hundreds more. Yet Hinn's profit-seeking fanaticism is not as shocking as that of men like Richard Land of the Southern Baptist Convention who occupy the highest positions in the area of conservative religious thought. Land may have stopped short of branding all Muslims as devils, but he attacked their leader and Prophet and stated that, according to Baptist Bible interpretation, the Palestinian people have no legal rights to property in Palestine. (See WHTT discussion of Southern Baptists entitled "The Cause of the Conflict: Fixing Blame."). The more politically conservative the speaker expressing hatred for Islam, the more shocking the statement sounds. One example is Samuel Blumenfeld, a veteran textbook author and advocate of home education. His attack on Islam in a story entitled "Religion and Satanism" in the April 2002 conservative, Calvinist Chalcedon Report leaves little room for civil liberties and freedom of thought. He writes, "Islam is a religion ruled by Satan," and asks, "Can anyone under the influence of Satan be trusted?" Blumenfeld shows poor judgment and a lack of morality when he allows phrases such as "willing agents of Satan," "another manifestation of Satanism" and "the willingness of Muslims to believe blatant lies," to spill from his pen. How can anyone interpret these words by Land, Hinn, Blumenfeld, and yes, our own President, as anything less than race hatred? Who would make such generalized and transparently false statements against any other minority except Muslims?

About 100 million American Christians need to recover their true faith in Christ Yeshua-Joshua, who never denounced any individual on account of his group. Yeshua-Joshua even tried to save the Pharisees, and only denounced them when they showed themselves to be deceivers. There is not a word in the New Testament that urges any follower of Yeshua-Joshua to murder one child in Iraq or condemn Palestine to death. Race hatred is a Zionist, not a Christian, strategy. Christian Zionism may be the most bloodthirsty apostasy in the entire history of Christianity or any other religion. Shame on its leaders: they have already brought the blood of untold numbers innocent people down upon the spires and prayer benches of America's churches. WHTT asks every Christian to share this article with pastors and church leaders, especially lay leaders. We ask every Muslim and Jew who reads it to do the same. You might wish to suspend giving money to any organizations that preach Zionist race hatred in any form, especially under the cover Yeshua-Joshua Christ. And lastly, We Hold These Truths invites your informed comments and questions. Listen to: **Kulture Klash II**, How Oxford University Press and CI Scofield stole the Christian Bible, WHTT "Internet Talk Radio". Listen to: Kulture Klash I and II, Audio tape set, How Oxford University Press and CI Scofield stole the Christian Bible. CE Carlson and WHTT Advisors: \$15.00 ppd. Copyright 2002, may be reproduced in full with permission. We Hold These Truths (WHTT) P.O. Box 14491 Scottsdale, AZ 85267 480-947-3329 Resources: The Incredible Scofield and His Book, Joseph M. Canfield, hard cover, \$30.00 ppd. (limited availability).

Sherry's War: Twenty page research paper plus 1 hour audiotape by C. E. Carlson, - How Judeo-Christians mix and match scripture verses and use of extra text out of context to promote the Pop Church's "chosen people" and end times scenario. Sherry and many other well-meaning, professed Christians have justified war against Arab people without a scrap of scriptural support and with little knowledge of the conflict. "Sherry's War" offers insight into current Christian sub-culture and why many have justified or ignored the brutal assassinations of Islamic peoples simply because they are not Israelis or Christian. An introduction to WHTT's classic 20-page study by the same name; see Right To The Point Journal. Both \$15.00 ppd.; The Final Apostasy - by Gordon Ginn Ph.D. Is your church apostate, would you know it if it were? A book that reveals the untold historical and documented ex-post facto changes made in the Hebrew text of the Old Testament...after Christ. These changes have affected what all of us believe, from the Reformation to Day911. Ginn sheds light on the turmoil in the Middle East and reveals the results of some Christians' tragic errors in accepting the "Final Apostasy". 218 pages \$20.ppd.



From: "Willie Martin" To: Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2002 9:53 PM
Subject: [ChristianPatriot] The Milleniam - 4 (Last One) .Scofield's reference Bible has been credited for popularizing this Jewish concept into Christian Doctrine. Nord Davis states about Scofield: "You will learn how he defrauded his mother-in-law out of her life savings. How he was convicted of forgery and, in another case, was sent off to prison...he openly carried on with other women, abandoned his wife and family, and never sent them a dime of support. "When his wife finally divorced him, he married the woman with whom he was living. All the time he was writing the now infamous notes to the Scofield reference Bible. As a 'Christian' he was a disgrace. As a man he lacked ordinary chivalry of a gentleman. He called himself 'Dr.' yet he never went to any college which could convey that degree. His life as a minister makes the recent escapades of some modern ministers pales into insignificance. "His financial support for the reference Bible came from Zionist and conspiratorial groups out of Boston Massachusetts. Chiefly known as the Secret Six..." (Nord Davis, Cyrus I. Scofield: The Pope of Prophecy Perversion)



Bush at the Wailing Wall in Jerusalem which is former site of the Roman Fortress Antonia!!!

For a more detailed study on C.I. Scofield we recommend the book, "The Incredible Scofield and His Book," by Joseph M. Canfield. So what did Scofield teach in his reference notes? Quoting from page 1227, note 6: "Upon His return, the King will restore the Davidic monarchy in His own person, regather dispersed Israel, establish His power over all the earth, and reign one thousand years. "The dispensation of the kingdom begins with the return of Christ to the earth, runs through the 'thousand years' of His earth-rule, and ends when he has delivered up the kingdom to the Father... "The gospel of the kingdom. This is the good news that God purposes to set up on the earth, in fulfillment of the Davidic covenant, a kingdom, political, spiritual, Israelitish (i.e. Jewish), universal, over which God's son, David's heir, shall be king, and which shall be for one thousand years, the manifestation of the righteousness of God in human affairs..."Two resurrections are yet future, which are inclusive of 'all that are in the graves.' These are distinguished as 'of life' and 'of judgment.' They are separated by a period of one thousand years." (Scofield Bible, pp. 1227, note 6; 1228, note 4; 1341, note 1; 1343). All these ideas are pulled out of context from other places in Scripture and cut and pasted together into the theology called "dispensationalism" to promote a Jewish doctrine that put the reality of Christ's victory and the power of His New Covenant into the future! The end result is an expectation for Christ to come again a second time, which would be in violation of God's Word, and would bring about His judgment, just as Moses did when he struck the rock the second time. Today this theology is popularly known as "Judeo-Christianity" and for good reason because it is first and foremost Jewish in origin, character, and reality.

Pastor V.S. Herrell sums it up: "To this day, the Jews continue to teach their Christ-hatred from their Babylonian Talmud, to teach their children that Yeshua-Joshua Christ was an impostor and not the Son of God and not the true Christ. So the Jews began to teach the doctrine that Christ was yet to come and they began to tell the Christians that when Christ was to come to set up the materialistic, futuristic, plutocratic kingdom they claimed they, the Jews, were all going to repent and because they are such special God-chosen people, even though Christ described them as being living, breathing devils of their father the devil, they were all going to get to reign with Christ over the white goyim from Jerusalem for a full thousand years. Moreover, their Babylonian Talmudic, Zionist materialism of their real bible the Babylonian Talmud, which they hold to have more authority than the Pentateuch as it is supposedly based upon the traditions of the elders which is yet another Jewish lie, and teaches the Jew that all that he can victimize and steal from the stupid goyim in this life will once again be his in the millennium when he is resurrected or reincarnated in his Jewish World order. They are also taught to never tell the stupid goyim as to what they really believe of think." (Pastor V.S. Herrel, Christian Separatist Epiphanological & Eschatological Teachings, p. 26)




Deceptive Symbolisms

(∇) (Δ) combined in the congress are represented in by the Hexagram of the magicians ✧ which has nothing to do with the Prophet King David but is related to David Al Roy, a 16th Century anti-Christ and the Rothschilds who adopted the hexagram as their Illuminist (satanic) symbol. You may note as well that the pyramid triangle is the pagan symbol for male and the inverted pyramid triangle is the pagan symbol for female and the Hexagram is formed by their union. In fact, the earliest male symbol was \wedge and \vee for female.



Bush pays respect to the Hexagram, Masonic Satanic symbol falsely known as the Star of David. Note that the star on the Israeli flag is the Star of Moloch, a Luciferian Masonic Satanic symbol, a sign of the House of Rothschild, and the Jews have been fooled into calling it the Star of David. And just look at the design of the new Israeli Supreme Court, its totally Masonic and paid for by the Rothschild mega-banking dynasty. This will be explained later in details across several sections of this book.

The menorah above is one of the oldest symbols of the Jewish faith. The seven branched candelabra could have originated as a version of the Babylonian World tree - the seven arms likely represent the seven days of creation and the seven visible planets. The Menorah was the most important ritual object in the Temple of Jerusalem until it was stolen by the Romans. According to tradition, during the rededication of the temple in the second century B.C., the lamps of the menorah burned for eight days on one day's worth of oil. This is the origin of the nine branched menorah, or Hanukiyah, with one branch for each of the eight days, plus one central light used to light the others during the Hanukah festival. Curiously, the name given to the central light is "Shamash," the name of the Babylonian Sun god, who is related to a similar ancient symbol.



Another example of the Illuminati Masons fooling people into adopting their symbols is the use of the crescent and the star by certain Muslims since the capture of Constantinople in 1453 CE. The Ottoman Empire was infiltrated with Khazars and Masons and managed to get their symbols to be used the Ottomans. The star and

crescent symbol is very ancient, dating back to early Sumerian civilization, where it was associated with the sun god and moon goddess (one early appearance dates to 2100 B.C.), and later, with goddesses Tanit and Diana. There is no relationship between the crescent and star and The Quran (The Read) or the Prophet Muhammad (whose very simple flag was black and white inscribed "Nasr um min Allah" "With The Help of THE God"). During the Byzantine Empire, the city of Byzantium (a.k.a. Constantinople and Istanbul) was Dedicated to Diana, goddess of the hunt. The crescent was the symbol of Diana. In 330 CE, Constantine rededicated the city to the Virgin Mary, whose star symbol was added to the previous crescent. When the Turks took possession of Byzantium, they found lots of crescent flags and adopted it as a symbol of good omen. In 339 BC, Philip of Macedon (the father of Alexander the Great) was thwarted from overtaking the city of Byzantium because his army was spotted due to a bright crescent moon. "The star and crescent" was common on the arm of knights and esquires. A star within a crescent was a badge of Richard I, 250 years before Constantinople fell to the Ottomans. Since then, Ottoman Muslims have increasingly used it to identify themselves. Some predominantly

Here is yet one more example of a distortion of true faith. The Shriners are an international Masonic fraternity composed solely of 32nd degree Knights Templar York Rite Masons and 33rd degree Scottish Rite Masons grand-masters. Their official name is the Ancient Arabic Order of the Nobles of the Mystic Shrine, or A.A.O.N.M.S. (Roosevelt was a member of this order). When the Shriners used the word "the god" or "allah" or "Grand Architect", they are not referring to the Islamic concept of God but rather to the Masonic concept of the great architect Lucifer and in fact idolatry such as the ancient trinity of the worship of the sun, moon and stars. The pagan trinity consisted of the worship of the sun, moon and stars. The moon god that Robert Morey talks about is the Masonic idolatry god and not GOD in Islam which is true monotheism. Morey is totally confused and would like to confuse others into thinking that Islam is idolatry which it is not. Rather, it is Masonic Illuminism that is idolatry and True Islam like True Christianity and True Torah Judaism is the monotheistic worship of THE GOD (ALLAH in Arabic) of Abraham, Noah, Adam, i.e. THE CREATOR OF THE UNIVERSE. Let's clear some misunderstanding about the World Allah. One thing you may hear today is that Muslims do not worship God, they worship something else called Allah, however Allah is simply the Arabic word for "God." It is closely related to Alaha, the word for God in Aramaic, the language that Yeshua-Yeshua-Joshua and his disciples spoke, a language still spoken in a few areas in the Middle East. The Qur'an, or the holy book of Islam, refers to Yeshua-Issa-Yeshua-Joshua as "the Messiah." Christos or Christ is only a Greek translation of the Aramaic and Hebrew word, "messiah."

The Shriner order was founded in New York City in 1812 by two 33rd degree masons: Billy Florence and William Fleming. According to their literature, the alleged official founder is Ali, cousin of Prophet Muhammad, who allegedly established it in the year 644 in Mecca. This Masonic order has nothing to do with the True Godly faith of Islam. For more details, please see the section on the history of idolatry and the occult on the CD. The "Mystic Shrine" in their name refers to the Kaa'ba, a large cubical structure located in Mecca, Arabia. The idolators think of the Kaa'ba as one of their centers of worship along with Jerusalem and Babylon. To God loving peoples, the Kaa'ba was actually built by Adam and then Prophet Abraham as the first house of worship to God on Earth. The Kaa'ba has been rebuilt over and over; the structure now standing was rebuilt by Prophet Muhammad's tribe, the Quraish, in the seventh century. Prophet Abraham broke the stone idols in the Temples of Babylon 4,000 years ago at the time of Nimrod; Yeshua-Joshua threw the money-collecting Pharisees out of the Temple in Jerusalem; the Prophet Abraham broke the idols in the Temple of Nimrod in Babylon; the Prophet Mohammad removed the stone idols from the Kaa'ba in Mecca (formerly known as Becca in the Bible, photo above). A series of Masonic rituals, dialogues, stories, regulations, and recognition tests are written by the founders of the order of the Mystic Shrine. It contains detailed information on all Shriner passwords, hand shakes, and secrets. It is not available to the public. Their symbol (shown above) is a crescent turned downward with a sword over it. "For esoteric reasons we hang the horns pointing downward representing the setting moon of the old faith at the moment of the rising sun of the new faith." The sword is placed over the crescent because it represents the sacred word (S-word) of truth. These US Presidents, politicians and most influential men had to take a death oath to allah (not the real one that true muslims worship but the pagan Masonic moon god) and are sworn to keep the secret oaths of the Masonic lodge over all else, even Government! Masons at this time had to be of the 32nd degree to be a shrine.




FAMOUS POLITICAL MASONS SHINERS & ENTERTAINERS WEARING THEIR SECRET DEATH OATH FEZ TO allah the pagan Masonic moon god, and not to be confused with the true GOD ALLAH YAWEH whom monotheists true Torah Jews, Christians and Moslems worship; General Douglas McArthur, Pres. Gerald Ford, John Wayne, FBI Dir. J. Edgar Hoover (who said that the conspiracy is monstrous), KFC Colonel Sanders.



Similarly, the **cross** is one of the oldest religious symbols of all time. The long-stemmed **Latin Cross**

originated with the Romans as a torture device. "Cross" and "Crucifix" came from the Latin *ver cruciare* which means to torture. The cross was adapted from Pagan cults for Christian use after the council of Nicaea in the 4th century.



The "**Tau**" or **T Cross** is a symbol of the ancient Roman God Mithras and the Greek Attis. The **Reversed Cross** is an emblem of Satanism, and has also been used as the cross of St. Peter. The **Celtic Cross** is a pre-Christian variation of the **Solar Cross** which now represents the Celtic Christian Church. The **Cross Lorraine**, named after the region in France of the same name, is also the cross of the Greek Orthodox Church. It denotes the rank of cardinal in the Roman Catholic Church, and is a symbol for white lead in alchemical texts. The **Solar cross** is another of the oldest religious symbols, and represents a calendar marking the solstices and equinoxes. **The pagan Square Cross was used about 1,500 B.C. and the balanced vertical-horizontal arms convey a natural union of male and female and is heavily used as a Masonic symbol in secret societies such as the Templars, Jesuits, Priore de Sion, Knights of Malta, the Swiss flag, etc. This is also known as The Universal Rite of the Square Earth Cross, The Square Earth Cross, the Qabalistic Cross (from Magic Pentacle magazine vol 1, issue 1).** The making of a Square Cross is one of the most ancient rites of magic, and it exists in parallel forms in both West and East. This symbol is seen throughout the World in art, architecture and landscape gardening. It is the first step towards mastery of one's personal and local universe. The square cross symbol which is at the heart of pagan rites is an equal armed cross surrounded by a circle. In ancient times, it represented the daily path of the Sun around the Earth, therefore it is also called the Solar Cross. In modern times, it represents the annual path of the Earth around the central Sun and so it is also known as the Earth Cross. The arms of the Square Cross represent the four cardinal directions, East, South, West and North. It also symbolises the monthly path of the Moon around the Earth. The most familiar use of the **Square Earth Cross** for Western magicians and wiccans is the **Qabalistic Cross**, which opens every rite of the major traditions of the Western Pagan Mysteries. The wording of the Qabalistic rite is 'Atah ha-Malkuth w'-ha-Gevurah w'-ha-Gedulah le'olam Amen. This translates into English as "Thou art the Kingdom and the Power and the Glory forever Amen." **This Gnostic phrase was inserted into the Greek text of the Christian scriptures in the second century C.E. in a slightly distorted form as a doxology for the Lord's Prayer, translated as "Thine is the Kingdom, the Power and the Glory for ever and ever. Amen."** There is considerable difference in meaning to be found between the two versions, especially if one meditates on who is referred to by the word "Thou" in the Gnostic original; and who by the word "Thine" in the Christian reversion. The Christian version is attached to a prayer which is addressed to God and is directed towards that which is Other than the Self. The Gnostic version can be interpreted differently, especially if you consider that esoterically, the right thumb is referred to the Sun, which is referred to the central Sefirah of the Tree of Life and to the Ruach, the essential Self or Spirit.

The Pharisees (Priest-Bankers) are Still In Charge Today

Yeshua-Joshua always warned people about the Pharisees who conspired against Yeshua-Joshua and the Israelites (Jacobites). Yeshua-Joshua said to the Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Judeans, "Ye are of your father, the devil ... He was a murderer from the beginning ... he is a liar and the father of it." The central book in apostatic Judaism is the Babylonian Talmud (written after Yeshua-Joshua), which contains the teachings of the apostate Pharisees (note the resemblance to Pharaohs; Pharaohs ruled by dividing their subjects into casts and degrees like Nimrod, the builder of the infamous tower of Babel) - those people on whom the New Testament spends so many words. The Babylonian Talmud teaches Rabbis to oppose and discredit the teachings of Yeshua-Joshua because he criticized the Pharisees. As previously stated, Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men," an abomination, and he condemned them; for doing so, Yeshua-Joshua was "crucified" or so it seemed crossed by the Pharisee Caiaphas and Roman King Pailatoos (Pilates).

At the ancient temple in Jerusalem during Yeshua-Joshua-Issa's time two thousand years ago, the **Pharisees** money-changers used the Jewish and Sun-god temples to collect interest. Yeshua-Joshua was so upset by the sight of the money changers in the temple, he waded in and started to tip over the tables and drive them out with a whip, this being the one and only time we ever hear of him using force during his entire ministry. So what caused the ultimate pacifist to become so aggressive? For a long time the Jews had been called upon to pay their temple tax with a special coin called the half shekle. It was a measured half ounce of pure silver with no image of a pagan emperor on it. It was to them the only coin acceptable to God (actually to the Pharisees). But because there was only a limited number of these coins in circulation, the money changers were in a buyers market and like with anything else in short supply, they were able to raise the price to what the market would bear. They made huge profits with their monopoly on these coins and turned this time of devotion into a mockery for profit. Yeshua-Joshua saw this as stealing from the people and proclaimed the whole setup to be "**A den of thieves**". Yeshua-Joshua' exposing of the money changers made him a target for them and their leader Caiaphas.

Pharisee: "One of the members of a school or party among the ancient Jews noted for the strict formal observance of the rites and validity of traditions of the elders. Pharisee interpretation provided the standard of observation and belief for the great majority of the Jews from the 1st century A.C. Pharisaic, hypocritical, self righteous and censorious of others" -- Webster's New International Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd edition, 1950. **PHARISEES ARE A SECRET ORDER WHO PRETEND TO BE ISRAELITES! Yeshua-Joshua-Issa had quite a verbal scathing for the Pharisees (the usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) in Matthew 23. Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. He**

exposed them for the sort of people they were: "Hypocrites," "sons of hell," "blind guides," "fools," "full of robbery and self-indulgence," "whitewashed tombs...full of dead men's bones and all uncleanness," "full of hypocrisy and lawlessness," "partners with them in shedding the blood of the prophets", "serpents and brood of vipers", "den of thieves", "Synagogue of Satan", Rev. 2:9 "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Israelites Judeans, and are not, but are the Synagogue of Satan", "Ye are of your father, the devil (Satan)... He was a murderer from the beginning ... he is a liar and the father of it. "Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings (Babylonian Talmud) "the ways of men. Looking at "God - the Ultimate Paradox", David Ash tells us (p.69:) that in ancient times, Jews "had a governing body ... known as the 'Council of Elders' dominated by the Pharisees. In the 19th century this council "described itself as the learned Elders of Zion". We learn that there is a group within the Elders which infiltrates and creates secret societies - such as the Masons - known as 'The Brotherhood of the Snake ... In modern times this brotherhood has adopted the title of Illuminati" (p.74) and created Zionism.

In "The Pharisees--The Sociological Background of Their Faith," Rabbi Louis Finkelstein describes these self-styled occultists who pretend to be "Jews" and their origins: "Phariseeism became Babylonian Talmudism, Babylonian Talmudism became Medieval Rabbinism, and Medieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism. But throughout these changes in name...the spirit of the ancient Pharisee survives unaltered." "...rabbinic Judaism, the first-born child of Phariseeism, remains a unit until this day." (p.XXI of Forward to 1st Edition, "The Pharisees," Vol. 1, Philadelphia: The Jewish Publication Society of America, 1938 & Vol. 2, p. 622. Yeshua-Joshua confronted the Pharisees in the Temple. The noun Pharisee occurs at least 87 times in the New Testament, often said by Yeshua-Joshua. Yet any use of the word has all but been eliminated from the teachings and pulpits of America. WHY? No longer an exclusive Jewish term, Pharisic influence is found in every church and Synagogue in America, busily reshaping Christianity in its image. Efforts are made to reshapes all religions into the image the mega-banking dynasties find acceptable in order to maintain and expand their wealth.

The Pharisees were a secret order who outwardly appeared to be Israelites but inwardly were of the occult mysteries of Babylon (Babylon means confusion). That is why Yeshua-Joshua exposed them as hypocrites; that is having two faces. For in those days a hypocrite was an actor who played different roles by putting on different clothes to cover up his true identity. It would have been totally out of character and wrong of Yeshua-Joshua to call them "serpents" and their father "the devil", if behind those religious robes they were not secret members of the Mystery Babylon kingdom of darkness also known as the dark clan. Now, it is one thing to be a sinner and another to be an actual sworn member to a satanic cult that makes members take a blood oath and adopt pagan satanic symbols to wear. These Pharisees sell their soul to the devil for *wages*, employment, business opportunity, political power, financial security, and lastly as a fraternity to cloak these schemes behind the tiled door, which in the secret mysteries is a substitute for the temples at Babylon and Jerusalem. What does the Masonry cult say about their ancestors the Pharisees? "The character of their organization is interesting to the Masonic student. They held a secret doctrine, ...they met in sodalities or societies, the members of which called themselves chabirim, fellows or associates; and they styled all who were outside of their mystical association, yum hararetz, or people of the land" (Encyclopedia of Masonry, Albert G. Mackey, 1921, pp 560,561).

At the ancient temple in Jerusalem during Yeshua-Joshua-Issa's time two thousand years ago, the Pharisees money-changers used the Jewish and Sun-god temples to collect interest. Yeshua-Joshua was so upset by the sight of the money changers in the temple, he waded in and started to tip over the tables and drive them out with a whip, this being the one and only time we ever hear of him using force during his entire ministry. So what caused the ultimate pacifist to become so aggressive? For a long time the Jews had been called upon to pay their temple tax with a special coin called the half shekle. It was a measured half ounce of pure silver with no image of a pagan emperor on it. It was to them the only coin acceptable to God (actually to the Pharisees). But because there was only a limited number of these coins in circulation, the money changers were in a buyers market and like with anything else in short supply, they were able to raise the price to what the market would bear. They made huge profits with their monopoly on these coins and turned this time of devotion into a mockery for profit. Yeshua-Joshua saw this as stealing from the people and proclaimed the whole setup to be "A den of thieves". Yeshua-Joshua' exposing of the money changers made him a target for them and their leader **Caiaphas**. The leadership of the Pharisees, Caiaphas made false accusations of Yeshua-Joshua and brought him before Pontius Pilate for punishment. They also used their "media" to persuade the masses to choose Barabbas over Yeshua-Joshua. They used deceit to lie against Yeshua-Joshua and to whip up public support to the point where the people were yelling "Crucify him, crucify him, crucify him" as human cattle (or goyim is the word used by Zionists for us) blindly obsessed in seeing an innocent man killed for no crime committed.

Excerpts below but you can read about it more in the **Bible's King James Version, Matthew Chapter 27**: "When the morning was come, all the chief priests and elders of the people took counsel against Yeshua-Joshua to put him to death....And when they had bound him, they led him away, and delivered him to Pontius Pilate the governor...And Yeshua-Joshua stood before the governor: and the governor asked him, saying, Art thou the King of the Jews? And Yeshua-Joshua said unto him, Thou sayest....And when he was accused of the chief priests and elders, he answered nothing....And they had then a notable prisoner, called Barabbas....Therefore when they were gathered together, Pilate said unto them, Whom will ye that I release unto you? Barabbas, or Yeshua-Joshua which is called Christ?....But the chief priests and elders persuaded the multitude that they should ask Barabbas, and destroy Yeshua-Joshua.... Pilate saith unto them, What shall I do then with Yeshua-Joshua which is called Christ? They all say unto him, Let him be crucified....And the governor said, Why, what evil hath he done? But they cried out the more, saying, Let him be crucified....Then answered all the people, and said, His blood be on us, and on our children".

Reading this is reminiscent of what the U.S. is doing today against Iraq. The Zionist media has whipped up public support within the U.S. to have our government attack Iraq for no crime committed against the U.S. This is like how the Zionists used the Roman governor Pontius Pilate to crucify Yeshua-Joshua for no crime committed. The irony of it all is that 9-11 was the trigger for this unjustified attack on Iraq of which Iraq was totally innocent. If half of the mountain of evidence against the Israelis for 9-11 were true about Iraq then maybe there would have been some justification. But no such thing exists. The Americans are just blindly following the behests of the Satanic Zionists. Isn't it any wonder that Yeshua-Joshua said the following about them in John, **Chapter 8 of the King James Version of the Bible**: "Yeshua-Joshua said unto them, If God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me....Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word....Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own....for he is a liar, and the father of it...."

Mel Gibson's *The Passion of Christ* opened Feb 25, 2004. It comes at a time when millions are awakening to the fact that a malignant Illuminati Pharisaic force controls the U.S. & Israel and casts its shadow across the World. People will recognize this power as the same one responsible for the attempted Crucifixion. This is a combination of Worldly and spiritual control, "Roman" and Pharisee respectively. Nothing has changed in 2000 years. Anyone who opposes today's Pharisees is neutralized or assassinated. Who are "they"? ARE PHARISEES EXTINCT? The Pharisees Yeshua-Joshua denounced have not gone away, but have established an even more formidable control mechanism in our society than ever existed in Christ's mortal lifetime. Some are Christian Pharisees and some are Jewish. The noun "Pharisee" occurs, according to Strong's Concordance, 78 times in the New Testament, much more frequently than "Satan." Yet usage of the word has been largely eliminated from teaching in many Bibles studies, the pulpits of America's churches, professing Christian media and even seminaries. Who were and who are the Pharisees, and is there a logical reason why we rarely hear about them today? Pharisees were in conflict with Yeshua-Joshua whenever they met. Followers of Christ confronted the Pharisees repeatedly. It was a "Jewish" sect that followed the "traditions of the elders" as Webster stated and as Yeshua-Joshua also said. These traditions are now known as the "Babylonian Talmud." But what the 1950 dictionary did not recognize is that Phariseism was even then no longer exclusive Jewish, as it once may have been, for Pharisaic influence long before the 1950s could probably have been found in every denomination in America, busily reshaping Christianity into the Pharisaic image. It was then and has become both a Judeo and a Christian sect.

All mention of the Phariseism occurs in the New Testament where it's formed the center of conflict around Yeshua-Joshua, His disciples, and His followers. As Yeshua-Joshua predicted many were stalked, scourged and killed by the Pharisees. In addition to the 78 verses in which Pharisee are mentioned by name, pronouns including "you" point to Pharisees in many additional verses. The Pharisees are the object of Yeshua-Joshua's debate and denunciation in entire chapters in Matthew, Luke and John as well as the writings of Paul who claimed he once had been a Pharisee, having killed Christians. These many references provide undeniable accounts of the ongoing conflict and plot against Yeshua-Joshua's life for all who read. The noun Pharisee occurs about three times more often than the infamous name of Judas, 30 times more than Satan and 50 more times than the name of Pontius Pilot. It is, without a doubt, the most denounced noun in the New Testament. It is the only name that Yeshua-Joshua constantly associated with the Satan. Yeshua-Joshua's followers feared the political power of the Pharisees more than the Romans. Yet the word Pharisee is pointedly ignored by most Christian leaders and all but forgotten in modern evangelical Christendom and the ranks of the celebrity media broadcasters. "Pharisee" may also be the most avoided word found in the Bible. Some pastors and most televangelists are capable of preaching the year through without ever mentioning Pharisee, except in passing by on the way to some other point. Pharisees are wrongly treated as an extinct and irrelevant species. Organized Bible study courses rarely mention whom the Pharisees were, why Yeshua-Joshua pronounced upon them so harshly and never treat the Pharisee as an anti-Christ type to watch out for in our day. Celebrity Christians on national TV never suggest that the Pharisee's war on Yeshua-Joshua has anything at all to do with His followers, or that the sect may have survived to this day.

The word Pharisee has not yet been removed from any Bibles we know of, but most Bible commentaries and concordances avoid any serious treatment of how they operate to control civil government, and who their successors are today. For instance, the concordance in the new Thompson Study Bible, a very popular one that is supposedly designed to help readers understand the scriptures, has all but eliminated any mention of this sect. Amazingly, Thompson new edition concordance lists only one of the 78 sites, yet its previous 1962 edition listed four. Pray tell, what happened to the other three verses it previously recognized, not to mention 74 never cited at all, The four references cited are atypically favorable having to do with Paul's own Pharisaic history. It appears the publisher is whitewashing the word and deleting "Pharisee" from its readers' vocabularies. This is unthinkable because Yeshua-Joshua denounced the Pharisees, the most powerful and destructive faction of anti-Christianity calling them a "generation of vipers" and "sons of Satan." But most study Bibles and Bible courses omit all but casual mention of Pharisees. Curiously, the much-maligned paraphrase Living Bible Concordance contains the key sites found in Strong's, and is well worth reading. Let us examine what has become of the Pharisees in our modern society and how they continue to attempt to control our lives. The New Testament tells us they controlled Judea at the time of Yeshua-Joshua's mission. Pharisees, both Christian professing and Jewish, control Palestine again today with unimaginable brutality. Needless to say, we do not think Pharisees have gone away; else they would not be tampering with our beliefs. Nor did Webster think they had gone away, as he says in his 1950 definition that Pharisaism became modern Judaism.

The venerable traditionally Christian Webster is not the only one to say this? Proper definitions are taken from correct and proper usage of that less censored age. Many Rabbis have proudly provided the rabbinical sources for Webster's definition. The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, 1943, state: "The Jewish Religion as it is today traces its descent without a break from the Pharisees- their ideas and methods are found in the Babylonian Talmud." And Rabbi Louis Finklestein,

head of the Jewish Theological Seminary, stated in his book "History of the Jews" 1949, "Pharisaism became Babylonian Talmudist...the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survived unaltered ...therefore any discourse regarding the Jewish religion must be based on Babylonian Talmudism." Jewish leaders were in the 1950s obviously proud of their claim to be Pharisees. This is not to suggest that all Jews are Pharisees any more than all Pharisees are Jews. But Pharisees dominated religious thinking in Yeshua-Joshua time have far too much to say about what the leaders of our government do. Much worse is that they have established influencing over what Christians think. Pharisaic Judaism attempted but failed to censor Christian thought in the first century; today they are succeeding, a sad reflection on popular Christian leadership.

The Pharisees of today have moved heaven and earth to discourage thousands of pastors and televangelists from teaching what Yeshua-Joshua said so clearly. The godfather of the Biblical censors is the powerfully promoted Scofield study Bible, first printed in England in 1908, but sold in America. Scofield's Study Bible is a traditional, difficult to read, King James version, doctored with hundred of easy to read added notes and inserted descriptive chapter and verse headings. Most of the notes are about the "rapture" the "end times" and the return of the ancient tribe of Israelites to the Holy land. But there are no meaningful notes about Phariseism. The latest, much changed edition of Scofield Study Bible was printed in 1962 and boldly elevated "anti Semitism" to the status of a "sin" a sort of 11th Commandment it would seem. Each of the four successive editions, beginning in 1908, have further advanced the claims of the self-proclaimed Jews to the real estate known today as the State of Israel, a nation which did not even exist when its first three edition were published. The latest, 1962 Edition goes so far as to contains a note stating that "FOR A NATION TO COMMIT THE SIN OF ANTI-SEMITISM BRINGS INEVITABLE JUDGMENT" (page 20) But it contains hardly a note interpreting any of the hundreds of verses Yeshua-Joshua spoke in his daily conflict with the Pharisees and their plot for his death. Scofield ignores all were giving the reader the impression these are of little consequence, and since the King James version is slow reading at best, readers tend to rely on the notes. Most study Bibles we have seen, including the Thompson, follow Scofield's Pharisee friendly example. These footnotes of men are a corrupt the Bible of God, as it stated in its last book.

The first of the 78 mentions of Pharisees occurs in Matthew 3:7-8 when they appear in numbers at the Jordan River where John the Baptist was proclaiming the coming of the Messiah. John's firm and condemnatory words spoken to them were: "But when he saw many of the Pharisees and Sadducees come to his baptism, he said unto them, O generation of vipers, who hath warned you to flee from the wrath to come? Bring forth therefore fruits meat for repentance." John the Baptist went on to rebuke their claim to holiness, refuting their claim of being chosen people by descent from Abraham stating: "and think not to say within yourselves, 'We have Abraham as our father;' for I say unto you that God is able to raise up from these stones children unto Abraham." So much for the chosen people. (King James Version). One early exchange between Christ and the Pharisees occurred in Matthew 12 where Yeshua-Joshua called them: "An evil and adulterous generation" in a powerful exchange that fills most of the chapter12, and most of the long chapter of Matthew 23 is Yeshua-Joshua' condemnation of the Pharisees, a small part of which states: "Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves, that ye are the children of them which killed the prophets. Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers. Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell? Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city." (Mt. 23:31-34)

Jerry Falwell, Pat Robertson, Jack Van Empe and dozens more like them have made careers by promoting Israel as a political state and a chosen race. Thousands of local church leaders are following this example. Many of these self-professed Christian leaders are the Pharisees of today, achieving fame and influence by pleasing the media secular Zionist media powers. Pharisees were once exclusively Judean, "Jews" in the vernacular of our day. Many contend that Israeli Patriots assert more control over America's political parties, news media and the banking system than is healthy for a Christian society. This should be obvious to anyone who will look at the media; then read the Bible references to Pharisaism. But it is largely from within that the vitality of Christianity in being diluted, not from outside. The control of media may be a "Jewish" problem, but the perversion of Christianity is not. Many professing Christians at all levels of leadership have abandoned their Bible given responsibility in exchange for the footnotes written by men. These are the Pharisees of today. Part II will deal with how Christian leaders have allowed themselves to become Pharisees, supporting untold government brutality, cruelty, and waste while claiming Christ's name. Suggested background reading: "The Body Snatchers' Report On Planet Earth" our cultural problem, as viewed by and intelligent visitor from space (<http://www.whtt.org/articles/010119pw.htm>); "SHERRY'S WAR" Why many professing Christians support war against Islam, political repression and torture by Israel without considering that these are unchristian acts. Hard copy 20 pages, reference, available free to all contributors, bulk copies 10 for \$30.00 delivered in USA, for overseas rates or larger quantities please inquire. (<http://www.whtt.org/articles/010411pw.htm>); The Bible, Genesis Network: (<http://www.genesis.net.au/~bible/kjv/matthew>). We suggest every skeptical reader look up and read each of the 78 references to Pharisee. WHTT ACT-TANK RECOMMENDATION: If you agree with us, help us give every follower an opportunity to read this Pharisee Watch, and ask your own pastor to preach and teach on what Yeshua-Joshua said about Pharisees. We Hold These Truths (<http://www.whtt.org>) 4839 E. Greenway Road, #151 Scottsdale, AZ 85254 480 947 3329

[The Luciferians Illuminatis](#)

The Occult Conspiracy consists of a broad range of elitists who do not want God's plan to be the foundation of society. They seem to be united in the worship of Lucifer or Satan, the symbol of cosmic rebellion against God. Luciferians believe they can do whatever they please. They call this freedom. They have redefined reality according to their own self-interests and perversions. Their self-deification is the ultimate idolatry. They maintain their control over mankind by provoking

wars, which are also very profitable. They instigated the heinous 9-11 attack as a pretext to launch a bogus "War on Terror" designed to set up a police state to deter resistance to globalism. Both George W. Bush and John Kerry belong to a Luciferian (Illuminati) Masonic lodge at Yale called the "Skull and Bones." Bush's code name was "Temporary" and he would be happy to relinquish control to his lodge brother so Americans can continue to enjoy the charade of democracy. Notwithstanding the many different players, a casual observer cannot ignore the critical role Pharisee "Fake-Israelites" or Zionists have in the New World Order. For example, Pharisee Bankers financed both The New York Times (Jacob Schiff) and The Washington Post (Eugene Meyer), and use them to manipulate the public. They seem to control the major TV networks, movie studios and book publishers as well. They have a stranglehold on Americans' perception of reality. For many Jews, Israel and the Holocaust have become a substitute for religion. The reason is that Judaism has been corrupted by the Pharisees. Judaism has been hijacked. True-Torah Judaism was originally based on Moses' vision of God as a universal moral force. The Sadducees upheld this view but the Pharisees overthrew them in a civil war in 79 BC. The remnants of the Sadducees, "Torah Jews" still cling to Moses and the Old Testament but they are a minority. Phariseeism is based on the Babylonian Talmud, which consists of the interpretations of "sages" during the Babylonian exile 586 BC to 1040 AC. Generally speaking, the Babylonian Talmudists contradict the spirit of Moses and take precedence over the Torah. The Universal Jewish Encyclopaedia (1943) erroneously declares that Judaism is synonymous with Phariseeism: "The Jewish religion as it is today traces its descent, without a break, from the Pharisees.... the study of the Babylonian Talmud is essential for any real understanding of Phariseeism." (p.474)

Yeshua-Joshua was in the tradition of Moses. He reproached unbelieving Jews: "If you believed Moses, you would believe me, for he wrote of me." (John 5:24-27). Yeshua-Isa-Yeshua-Joshua reviled the Pharisees as "hypocrites" and a "generation of vipers." He said they nullified God's Commandments "teaching for doctrines the commandments of men." (Mark 7:13). He accused them of worshipping the devil: "Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do." (John 8:44) Who then are the people who claim to be the Jews? E. Michael Jones answers (Feb 2004): "Revelations 3:9 answers the question by [saying] those 'who call themselves Jews but are liars' [are] the 'synagogue of Satan.' In other words, the group that was called by God to prepare the way for the Messiah rejected the Messiah and in doing that, became over the course of the ensuing centuries a group that defined itself as anti-Christian...." Jones concludes: "The Jews who reject Christ now prepare the way for the coming of the anti-Christ.... what comes of this group is the opposite of salvation, namely the work of Satan..." Isaiah's prophecy seems to confirm Jones' conclusion: "Thou shall be called by a new name, which the mouth of the Lord shall name...and you shall leave your name for a curse unto my chosen, for the lord God shall...call his servants by another name." (62:2; 65:15)

[The Babylonian Talmud Is The Pharisees' Secret Agenda](#)

(excerpted and edited from Dr. Henry Makow) More than the Protocols of Zion, the Babylonian Talmud is proof that the Pharisees have a secret agenda. No one can claim this is a forgery. Elizabeth Dilling, (1894-1966) is a courageous Christian whose visit to Soviet Russia in 1931 prompted a 20-year study of Judaism. In her book The Jewish Religion: Its Influence Today (1964) she reproduces 300 pages from the Babylonian Talmud and Jewish Encyclopaedias. You can examine it on line and form your own opinion. What follows is very distasteful and shocking. I take no pleasure in presenting Dilling's conclusions. However, if they are true, they are too important to ignore. The devil operates by deceiving and corrupting good people. According to Dilling, the Babylonian Talmud is founded on the assumption of Pharaic "Fake-Jews" superiority. "The non-Jew ranks as an animal, has no property rights and no legal rights under any code whatever... "Milk the Gentile" is the Babylonian Talmudic rule but don't get caught in such a way as to jeopardize Jewish interests. Summarized, Babylonian Talmudism is the quintessence of distilled hatred and discrimination, without cause, against non-Jews." (16)

The Babylonian Talmud is characterized by "obscenity and more obscenity, a setting up of laws seemingly for the purpose of inventing circumventions, and evasions; delight in sadistic cruelty; reversal of all Biblical moral teachings on theft, murder, sodomy, perjury, treatment of children and parents; insane hatred of Christ, Christians and every phase of Christianity." (4) It characterizes the Virgin Mary as a "harlot" and adulteress and Yeshua-Joshua as a "bastard" and sexual pervert who was crucified as a "blasphemer of Pharisee Judaism." Yeshua-Joshua's punishment was to be "lowered into dung up to his armpits" and then strangled. Christians in hell are punished by "hot boiling excrement." (14). The Babylonian Talmud rejects Moses' vision of God as a moral force. Its basic doctrine is that "God is the "En Sof" a nature essence which has no attributes and can neither know nor be known. That is atheism..." (57) Drilling quotes the Jewish Encyclopaedia 1905: "God is the infinite, unlimited being to whom one neither can nor may attribute any attributes whatever." ("Cabala", p.472.) "So called Judaism is nothing but Babylonian Talmudic Phariseeism, which at base is crass paganism, pantheistic atheism, a conglomeration of all the forms of paganism concocted through the centuries. New descriptions concocted for this very old Satanism, such as... [Marx's] dialectical materialism merely [dresses] up old pagan concepts." (38) "The Jewish Cabala with its non-existence of evil, its deification of man, is a source book of modern 'isms.'" (31)

[excerpts from the Babylonian Talmud: "The life of a Goy and all of his powers belong to a Jew." August Rohling, 18;33, (page 20) "A Goy or a servant is not capable of acting as a witness." Choshen Hammischpat by Jacob ben Ascher, 1340, (34,19) "Jews should always try to deceive the Goyim." Zohar, 13th century, (I: 160a) "The sexual intercourse of a Goy is like that of a beast." Sanhedrin (74b) Tosephoth, 11th century "Gentiles are to be exterminated" Zohar (II:43a)

Refer to, "The Babylonian Talmud Unmasked" (1892) by I.B.Pranaitis or "Jewish History, Jewish Religion" (1999) by Jewish Professor Israel Shahak. For a REAL SHOCK, try "The Thirteenth Stone" p.505, or even more so, "God - The Ultimate Paradox" pages 80-84. (It is worth noting that Reverend I.B.Pranaitis met his death at the hands of the

Bolsheviks.) knowledge of the Babylonian Talmud is forbidden to Goyim, on the pain of death, and when the Messiah comes to conquer the World, all Goyim are to be enslaved and/or wiped from the face of the earth!] I doubt if even 10 per cent of Jews today are aware of this aspect of the Babylonian Talmud. I certainly wasn't. Most Jews are not observant. It's about time we all realized that this nebulous ideology is Luciferian in nature. **The Babylonian Talmud is undoubtedly the answer to the riddle of anti-Semitism. Dilling writes:** "The attitude resulting from such teachings has been resented by non-Jews in all countries and centuries. Such resentment, however, is always portrayed by Jews as "persecution of the Jews." (2)

Of course most Jews do not feel this way. Most would be happy to live and let live. But other Pharisaic "Fake-Jews" who believe they are elite unfettered by the social contract use them as a Trojan horse. (See "The Jewish Century"). It makes me heartsick to think that Pharisee Fake-Jews play a leading role in establishing the New World Order. Unfortunately we cannot tailor the facts to fit our wishes or amour propre. Anyone who subscribes to the authentic Jewish tradition, that of Moses, Isaiah and Yeshua-Joshua is going to be called an anti-Semite or self-hater, etc. by the Zionists. It's obvious that "anti-Semitism" is used to disarm and stigmatize opponents of Pharisees' evil hegemony. We all must follow Yeshua-Joshua' example and resist evil. "Hate the evil and love the good, and establish judgement in the gate," said the prophet Amos (5:15). The sin is that we refuse to uphold God and make Him the centre of our life. The Pharisees and their allies have deliberately distorted and mystified God to make Him seem unknowable. God refers to spiritual ideals such as justice, truth, goodness and love that have an objective existence and constitute Reality. We enter into His Kingdom by bringing these ideals to life. The Prophets of God upheld this Divine Reality. We have no option but to embody it. The alternative is arrested development, perversion, deceit, despair, war and tyranny. Note that the ancient elites created and perpetrated the Occult and Idolatry traditions to keep the masses occupied while they reap their wealth.

We must decide if we belong to God or to Lucifer because that will be our destiny. The World is a cosmic struggle for the soul of mankind and this struggle is reaching a climax. Society is being sabotaged. Alas, this is what the "war on terror" is really about, enslaving the World, not protecting it. The Illuminist Bankers created Communism to control the common man. Communists started second-wave feminism. Read "Betty Friedan: Mommy was a Commie" and "American Communism and the Making of Women's Liberation" Bella Dodd, a former leader of the American Communist Party revealed: "The bourgeois family as a social unit was to be made obsolete." The aim was to "create a new type of human being that would conform to the World they confidently expected to control." The Bankers used Communism to overthrow the Godly foundations of civilization and put themselves in charge. This is the true meaning of revolution. The Illuminati Rockefeller Foundation funds feminism. I searched this name and "Women's Studies" in Google and got 21,800 entries. They have funded population control and eugenics research for decades, here, in the USSR and in Nazi Germany. With women usurping the male role, we are becoming a homosexual society. There is a difference between accepting homosexuals as equals and allowing society as a whole to become homosexual. Consider this. Homosexuality is the inability to form a permanent bond with a member of the opposite sex. It is commonly characterized by an obsession with sex, promiscuity and explicitness. Sex becomes a surrogate for love. Doesn't this describe society today? See "Playboy and the (Homo) Sexual Revolution." The Illuminists aren't afraid of gays, single mothers or children. They are afraid of proud men with guns who have families to protect. This is behind the degradation of men. (Dr. Henry Makow received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto. henry@savethemales.ca.)

"First You Get the Women, Then You've Got the Children, So Follow the Men" - **Adolph Hitler**

Under pressure, Mel Gibson cut out the part in his original movie where the Pharisee Caiaphas says that he will not be satisfied until Yeshua-Joshua is offered as a blood sacrifice, a reference to the ancient Masonic occult practices. (See Letters Below) Resisting Evil? February 02, 2004 Dear Mr. Makow:

Yeshua-Joshua does not teach us to resist evil. In fact, he teaches the exact opposite. Yeshua-Joshua teaches us, at Matthew, 5:39 " ...resist not evil ..." He further teaches us at Matthew, 5:44 "Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you, and persecute you." The teaching of Yeshua-Joshua is: cleave to good, overcome evil with good. Yeshua-Joshua does not follow Moses. Moses was the receiver and the administrator of the law, based on resisting evils. Don't kill, don't steal, etc. "Christ is become of no effect to you, whosoever of you are justified by the law; ye are fallen from grace." Galatians, 5:4. Whatever we give our attention to is what we give our allegiance to. When we resist evil we therefore give our allegiance to evil. When we love God we give our allegiance to God. This is why anyone who is justified by the law is fallen from grace. Christ commanded us: to Love God. And "Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law." Galatians, 5:13 Christ does not follow Moses, and the law. Christ overcomes the law and saves the World. Peace, Pete

Thanks for your letter. As you know I disagree. Moses was resisting evil by issuing moral laws. Christ obviously did not love the Pharisees and would not have been crucified if he did. When he threw the money changers out of the temple, he was resisting evil. Can we love God and let the World go to hell at the same time? I appreciate your point of view. Henry.

A Reader Replies: Just had to write and respond to the letter by Peter Spiro. Contrary to what most people are taught, the Bible is not a book of religion. It is a book of Law. Laws that were given to us in the beginning for our own benefit. Those Laws are still in full force and effect today. Laws based on reason, logic and common sense. Yeshua-Joshua said that he did not come to abolish the law but to fulfill it as cited in Matthew 5, verse 17, 18 & 19. To fulfill means to obey or carry out. Neither did Yeshua-Joshua come to bring peace. In Matthew 10:34 we read: "Do not think that I have come to bring peace on earth. I have not come to bring peace but a sword." As far as Yeshua-Joshua not upholding the Law of Moses; pure bunk. Yeshua-Joshua still continued with and advocated the sacrificial system in Luke 17:14, Mathew 8:4 & Mark

1:44. Yeshua-Joshua was walking down the street one day and came upon some lepers. After he healed them he said to them "now you go show yourself to the priest and make the offering that Moses commanded." In 1st John chapter 2 verses 3 & 4 "...and hereby we do know, that we know him if we keep his commandments and he that sayeth I know him and keepeth not his commandments is a liar and the truth isn't in him." In Deuteronomy 4, verse 2 "You shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall you diminish not from it that you may keep the commandments of the Lord your God that I command you." God also stated that he was the same forever and always and that he changes not. Would the God who can not tell a lie say that? Peter, you do say some frightening things. You said "When we resist evil we therefore give our allegiance to evil." That is a terrifying statement. As far as the "curse of the law" in Galatians to which you refer, prior to Christ's arrival many people were unsure of how to observe the Law properly. That was their 'curse'. They would let their only cow die if it fell down a well on Sabbath. For many families this would be devastating. They were afraid to work on that day to retrieve their cow for fear of violating the Sabbath Law. Christ clarified the Law for them. Even Yeshua-Joshua, in Matthew 12:1, plucked ears of corn on Sabbath day to feed himself and his hungry disciples. Yeshua-Joshua tells you to love your enemy. But do you really think Yeshua-Joshua meant you should hug your enemy as he is trying to bury a knife in your back? That kind of thinking is the exact opposite to common sense. The problems we face today will not be overcome by passively sitting in a prayer closet awaiting rapture, but by being a proactive participant. Peter, Love of God is ADHERANCE to God's Laws (Romans 13:8-10). Those Laws benefit and protect you. It is no wonder the Luciferians don't want you practicing them. Sincerely, TC

To demonstrate the power of the Zionists, Merriam-Webster changed the definition of "anti-Semitism" in its "Third New International Dictionary" which was recently reprinted. The definition reads: "1) hostility toward Jews as a religious or racial minority group, often accompanied by social, political or economic discrimination (2) opposition to Zionism (3) sympathy for the opponents of Israel." The real and true definition of "anti-Semitism" is: 1) hostility toward descendents of Sem, one of Noah's sons, who include Jews and Arabs, as a religious or racial minority group, often accompanied by social, political or economic discrimination (2) opposition to the descendents of Isaac and Ishmael (3) sympathy for the opponents of Semite Arabs and Jews. This issue is especially relevant at a time when some Zionist Ideologues such as Alan Dershowitz, ADL chief Abe Foxman, Harvard University President Larry Summers and others are seeking to foreclose the debate on the Middle East, especially at university campuses, by promoting the idea that criticism of Israeli policies is indistinguishable from hatred of Jewish people. You're heard of being "ionized" but the Illuminati Luciferians have "Z-ionized" the masses with their propaganda of lies and fabrications. Adding Zionism to the definition of anti-Semitism has made the definition itself anti-Semitic.

In the pictures above, center is Art Gish, 63, an American from Athens, Ohio, a member of a Christian Peacekeeping Team lifts his hands as he blocks the way of an Israeli army tank in the southern West Bank town of Hebron Thursday Jan. 30, 2003.

[Background on the Distortion of Judaism into Babylonian Talmudic Zionism by the Pharisees](#)

Excerpted and edited from Henry Makow Ph.D. April 25, 2004 <http://sathemales.ca>. He received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto. henry@savethemales.ca. The Protocols of the Elders of Zion exposed in the late 19th century is a genuine revelation of an elite plot to enslave mankind and enthrone an "aristocracy based on wealth." This has been called by various names: World government, New World order, Internationalism, Illuminism, Zionism and Globalism There is a debate on whether the protocols were developed by the Rothschild's or actually by someone wanting to expose the Rothschild/Weishaupt Illuminati plans. In any case, the Protocols do describe the plans for World Tyranny by the Illuminati's). This plot has been unfolding before our eyes for centuries and can be seen today in the relentless destruction of, in the words of Protocols "all collective forces except ours." These are race, religion, nation and family, the things that give people cohesion, identity and meaning.

This plot is led by the banking dynasties and mega-corporations associated with the Rothschilds and Rockefellers and the other 11 so-called Illuminated Families. They have controlled most Western governments, education and media for more than a century. Socialism, Liberalism, Communism, Zionism, Neo Conservatism, Masonry and Feminism all are their instruments. They are responsible for war and depressions, which are designed to degrade and demoralize humanity. In short mankind is in a satanic grip. The bankers own the World and expect to run it. They want to be gods.



Modern education and culture

is designed to hide this brutal reality. In the words of Protocols "we shall erase from the memory of men all facts of

previous centuries which are undesirable to us...we shall abolish every kind of freedom of instruction...[and rear youth] in principles and theories which we know to be false..." This has taken place in most universities. This is why there is a palpable stench of moral compromise over our public life, why so many of our "leaders" are hacks and dissolutes. "Nine-eleven" and the "war on terror" are hoaxes designed to justify wars to colonize remaining independent Muslim countries, and integrate the United States and Europe into a World police state. By defending their national independence, the Iraqi "insurgents" are fighting for us all. American soldiers are horribly deceived and misused. Far from being a World power, the United States has been a tool of the international banking-oil cartel at least since World War One. Conspiracy is like the weather. Everyone talks about it but no one does anything about it. Like the weather, there is not much we can do. The majority of people are held in thrall by the mass media and don't realize they are. In a so-called democracy, they think they "rule," but in fact they are crudely manipulated. How more crudely than today when both US Presidential candidates belong to the Illuminati "Skull and Bones" or both Canadian leaders are Bilderbergers or both British leaders are Bilderbergers?

Even if we can't change the World, we can change individual lives. Understanding the World is very liberating. We are less susceptible to Worldly snares, which include the "education" system, the "democratic" process, the mass media, the porn/sex/gambling industries, money and fame. We must embody our principles in our personal lives and not be too distracted by the insanity unfolding around us. This doesn't preclude making our voices heard. Many women have been brainwashed by feminist leaders, most of whom were Marxists and lesbians. "Destroy the family and society will collapse," Lenin wrote. The same banking cartel that funded the Bolshevik Revolution and Hitler own the foundations and media that finance and promote feminism. Women have been horribly duped by feminism and the cost to everyone has been horrendous. Family is the key to our identity, personal development and happiness. We can find direction, purpose and love by fulfilling our traditional family roles. We have Truth on our side. Nothing is more powerful than Truth. Let us continue to be a witness to Truth. Note that modern "culture" is a product of the Luciferian (Satanic) conspiracy against God-oriented Civilization. Relentlessly negative and increasingly obscene, modern "culture" attacks the sources of dignity, decency and hope that define us as human beings rather than animals. For example last week the news media was hyping a play which opened in London about "sexual love" between a married man and a goat. In an interview, the playwright Edward Albee said "The Goat" challenged this "societal taboo" and hoped that members of the audience would "re-examine their values and attitudes toward bestiality." "Funny, moving and tragic," raved UK Channel 4 News, a respected and "serious" programme in the UK.

Bestiality has occurred and the play is primarily concerned with the protagonist's confession and inability to deal with the fact that he "feels guilty for not feeling guilty"(!). "We are all animals," he rationalizes. The play deals with the confrontation between the protagonist, his wife and their gay son. A reader, Jonathan Stonehouse wrote: "It staggers me to think that there are many who now associate freedom with the normalization of everything formerly regarded as morally repugnant, when the truth is we're building a World in which the majority will be slaves to every whim and fancy, not to mention dark and abhorrent desire, the human imagination can conceive. That's a form of 'freedom' I can well do without." True culture is based on refining our animal instincts in terms of our spiritual ideals (like truth, justice, goodness and beauty.) Modern anti-culture is based on demoralizing and destroying society by disparaging these ideals. Anti-culture portrays man in purely naturalistic and material terms. Every sexual impulse and bodily function must be recognized and often indulged. This is considered "courageous" while any demur is considered repressive and prudish or fascist. Human dignity requires privacy. Female allure requires modesty and mystery. We have souls, not only bodies. The exaltation of man's animal nature, at the expense of his spiritual qualities, is a direct consequence of the deification of man. Our anti-culture assumes that man is a finished product and doesn't need to be transformed by God's Love. A symptom of making man a god is that we mystify and worship human beings. We worship a cult of great minds, a cult of great beauty, a cult of great wealth and power.

Modern "culture" reflects the final unfolding of a diabolical conspiracy that rejects God's plan for mankind's development. By eating the forbidden fruit, Lucifer (Iblis or Satan) promised: "Your eyes will be open and you will be like God, knowing good and evil." (Genesis 3:5) This means that man will define what is good and evil. God is the ultimate Good. When man makes himself god, good becomes whatever the most powerful man or group wants. Good becomes evil and evil becomes good. This is happening today. There were a lot of groups who wanted to be god but some conservatives believe our anti-culture can be traced to the Zionist view of their "chosenness." Originally Jews, the children of Israel (Jacob) had a conception of God as a Universal Moral Force. (This is the Judaism I identify with and is totally opposite of Zionism.)

It would appear, in considering the origin of those tenets regarded as characteristic of Western civilization, that a survey of history should begin with, not Athens in Greece, but the ancient city of Babylon in Mesopotamia. In fact, in *Ancestor of the West: Writing, Reasoning, and Religion in Mesopotamia, Elam, and Greece*, **Bottero**, noted scholar of Mesopotamian civilization, declares: "if I wish to reveal the most distant origins of our civilization that are known today, it is to Mesopotamia that I will now take us." Babylon, which at one time may have held as many as 250,000 inhabitants, famed among the Jews and the later Greeks for its sensual living, was the greatest city in the ancient World. According to Greek historian Herodotus, in the fourth century BC, "Babylon lies in a wide plain, a vast city in the form of a square with sides nearly fourteen miles long and a circuit of some fifty-six miles, and in addition to its enormous size it surpasses in splendor any city of the known World." The city's legendary Hanging Gardens, were deemed in Hellenistic times one of the Seven Wonders of the World.

Babylon was the origin of a myth that would come to pervade, not only the mystical systems of antiquity, but which would transform Western religion and philosophy, that of the Dying God. Every spring, at their most important festival, the Akitu, or New Year's festival, corresponding to our Easter, the Babylonians celebrated the death and resurrection of their

chief god Marduk, also known as Bel. There was a dramatic representation of the conflict between the Thunder-god Bel and the dragon Tiamat, as recounted in the epic of creation the Enuma elish, during which the god is vanquished and slain, but is raised from death by magical ceremonies, and eventually overcomes the dragon. Babylon was also the birthplace of the mother of all sciences, astronomy, recognized as the special skill of the renowned Chaldeans, a term that had originally referred to the inhabitants of Chaldea, but eventually understood to refer to the Babylonian astrologers. Although often attributed to the distant past, and falsely presumed to represent the survival of the Ancient Wisdom, scholars have now established that, due to a lack of a reliable system of chronology, the astrology of the Chaldeans, though based on older traditions, was not developed until the seventh and sixth centuries BC.

These centuries were also the period in which a substantial Jewish population was found in Babylon. As a result of the Assyrian conquests of Jerusalem and the sacking of its great Temple, at the beginning of the sixth century BC, the great portion of the Jewish people were relocated to Babylon by King Nebuchadnezzar, where they would remain for nearly half a century, a period in Jewish history known as the Exile. It is acknowledged that it was at this time that the Jews inculcated Babylonian teachings into their religion. Therefore, considering the size and prominence of the Jewish population in Babylon, it is possible that they would have participated in the development of astrology. In fact, in the Book of Daniel, Chapter 2:48, Daniel is made chief of the "wise men" of Babylon, that is, of the Chaldean Magi, and yet remains faithful to the laws of his own religion. Babylon was conquered by the Persians in 539 BC, at which point, the Magi, the priests of Zoroastrianism, founded by Zoroaster, came into contact with the teachings of the Chaldeans. However, those Magi which became renowned for their "wisdom" throughout the ancient World were not followers of the teachings of Zoroaster, but a heretical branch of the religion. It is among them that we first find the presence of those teachings that would later come to be known as the Kabbalah, a collection of doctrines fundamentally different from orthodox Zoroastrianism. Essentially, the Magi preserved a triad of gods worshipped by the Babylonians, assimilating an ancient Persian god, named Mithras, to the Dying God of the Babylonian, Bel, symbolized by the Bull and the Sun. Their version of Zoroastrianism was one known as Zurvanism, and which included a ritual of death and rebirth, dualism, pantheism, number mysticism, and reincarnation.

"From the contact of these two cultures," meaning of the Zoroastrians and Chaldeans, Bartel van der Waerden, in Science Awakening II: The Birth of Astronomy, explains "something new sprang: an astrological doctrine of fate, closely related to science on the one hand and religion on the other, which spread from Babylon over the whole ancient World." It was with the conquests of the expanding Persian empire that the teachings of these heretical Magi, known as Magussaeans, were introduced to many parts of the ancient World, a phenomenon falsely attributed by European scholars to the spread of the Ancient Wisdom by the Aryans. At its height, the Persian empire spanned an immense territory, including the whole of the Middle East, Egypt, parts of India, Armenia, Afghanistan, Turkestan, Asia Minor and European Thrace

In 538 BC, Cyrus released the Jews from captivity at Babylon, who returned to Jerusalem and rebuilt their Temple. It was at this time, known as the Second Temple period, that the Bible, although derived from earlier material, was compiled and seems to have incorporated a number of Zoroastrian doctrines. Ever since the initial suggestion of Count Constantin in 1791, the importance of the influence of Zoroastrianism on Judaism was promoted by the History of Religions School. Currently, many important scholars maintain the thesis of Zoroastrian influence on Judaism, including Duchesne-Guillemin, Gnoli, Hinnels, Anders Hultgard, Joseph Kitagawa, Shaked, David Winston and Mary Boyce. However, it is not in the Bible, but in the Kabbalah, that we find teachings similar to those attributed to the ancient Chaldean Magi, and therefore, are not to be found in Bible text, but in interpretations of it. But, since the Kabbalists claim to derive their teachings from the text itself, the text must have been subtly altered to encrypt such information. In The Text of the Old Testament, Professor Wurthwein asserts: "before the text of the Old Testament was officially established it was not regarded as unalterable. Accordingly we should expect to find that those who were concerned with the transmission of the text would occasionally make deliberate, fully intentional alterations in the text."

Therefore, though much of Bible is indeed historical, the many bizarre, enigmatic and sometime offensive tales, have perhaps been designed to conceal Kabbalistic meanings, some of which can be discerned from the extra-biblical texts of the Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha, also compiled in the Second Temple period, as well as a number of Kabbalistic texts of the Middle Ages. It is in this manner that we should seek to understand the significance of the curious and paradoxical story of the descendants of Cain, Adam's son, who was cursed for killing his brother Abel. According to Kabbalistic legend, Cain's female descendants intermarried with the Sons of God of Genesis, producing a race of giants known as the Anakim. Apocryphal texts explain that the Sons of God were the devil and his legions, who were cast of heaven, and, taking for themselves human brides, taught them the arts of sorcery and astrology. God finally inflicted the Flood to annihilate sinful humanity. Though, the Anakim were continued through the Canaanites, descendants of Canaan, grandson of Noah, and cursed for the sin of his father Ham. Following their exodus from Egypt, the Jews were commanded to punish the Canaanites for their transgressions, and possess their land in Palestine, the land promised by God to their ancestor Abraham. Though the Jews were sternly warned to the contrary, they repeatedly succumbed to the worship of their Canaanite neighbors, a series of lapses that forms the fundamental theme of the Bible, from the Exodus to the Exile.

The Canaanites worshipped Baal, one of many dying gods symbolized by the bull worshipped throughout the ancient Middle East, like Adonis and Bel. He was identified in antiquity with the legendary founder of Babylon, Nimrod, "a mighty hunter before the Lord," which Jewish tradition regarded as the constellation Orion. His cult was centered around the myth of his death, or descent to the UnderWorld, and subsequent resurrection, symbolizing the death and return of fertility with the seasons. Baal was one of three gods, comprising a trinity, with his father El, and his mother Astarte, also his sister and spouse. As the sky god who fertilizes the mother earth, Baal was usually represented by phallic pillars called Asherah poles. The first instance, though, of the Israelites' worship of a bull-god was even before their entry into

Palastine, occurring shortly after the Exodus, borrowed from the Egyptians, who worshipped the Apis bull. Exodus recounts that soon after their escape from Egypt, while Moses was in retreat receiving the Ten Commandments, and, concerned that he was tarrying too long, the Israelites approached Moses's brother Aaron and asked him to build for them an idol. He complied by melting their jewelry and cast what has henceforth come to be known as the Golden Calf, to which the Israelites, according to Exodus 32:6, "offered burnt offerings, and brought peace offerings; and the people sat down to eat and to drink and pagan revelry."

Even Solomon, king of the Israelites from 968 to 928 BC, was said to have been guilty of worshipping foreign gods, blamed on his marriage of foreign princesses. Solomon's great Temple too was built in a manner quite foreign to the Israelites. The Bible maintains that he hired the services of the master builder Hiram, a Canaanite. Two bronze pillars were erected at the door of the Temple. Similarly, temples dedicated to the goddess in Tyre are said to have featured stone pillars of phallic design at their entrances. Herodotus described two pillars in the temple of a god he referred to as the "Phoenician Hercules", meaning the Canaanite Baal. After Solomon, the Jewish nation was divided between Israel in the north and Judah in the south. When Jeroboam became king in Israel he was concerned that, in going to offer sacrifices at the Temple in Jerusalem, the Israelites would come to give their allegiance to the king of Judah instead of himself. Therefore, on the advice of his counselors, he set up two golden calves at the southern and northern ends of Israel, and said to the people, "It is too much trouble for you to worship in Jerusalem. O Israel, these are the gods who brought you out of Egypt!" God finally punished the Israelites with an attack led by the Assyrians under Tiglath-Pileser, and eventually, under Shalmaneser, who successfully invaded the city of Samaria, and exiled the people to Assyria.

According to II Kings 17:16-20, this disaster came upon the nation of Israel because: "They defied all the commands of the Lord their God and made two calves from metal. They set up an Asherah pillar and worshipped Baal and all the forces of heaven. They even sacrificed their own sons and daughters in the fire. They consulted fortune-tellers and used sorcery and sold themselves to evil, arousing the Lord's anger. And because the Lord was angry, he swept them from his presence. Only the tribe of Judah remained in the land. But even the people of Judah refused to obey the commands of the Lord their God. They walked down the same evil paths that Israel had established. So the Lord rejected all the descendants of Israel. He punished them by handing them over to their attackers until they were destroyed."

Though the Assyrian king Sennacherib also attacked Judah, Jerusalem was spared. Nevertheless, God had resolved to keep His promise to destroy the people of Jerusalem, and sent against them their great enemy, Nebuchadnezzar, king of the Babylonians, who devastated the city in 589 BC. The Temple was destroyed, its contents taken away, and most of the people, except the very poor, were carried into exile at Babylon, with any remaining eventually fleeing with Jeremiah into Egypt. Apparently in 79 BC there was a Civil War and the Pharisees were victorious. They proclaimed the supremacy of the Babylonian (Nimrodian) Babylonian Talmud over the Torah (the first five books of the Old Testament given to Moses and thought to be stored in the Ark of The Covenant along with the Ten Commandments; note that at that time, the Children of Israel deserted Moses and started the idolatrous worship of the golden calf again). The Babylonian Talmud teaches that the Jews are chosen by God to lead mankind (totally opposite of the truth as described by the True-Torah Jews <http://www.truetorahjews.com>). In practice this makes them god and gives them the right to redefine reality. In fact, it has made them Luciferians. In the words of **Harold Rosenthal**, "Most Jews do not like to admit it, but our god is Lucifer...and we are his chosen people. Lucifer is very much alive."

According to the author "Rabi": "Christianity is essentially preoccupied with the individual salvation of man. Judaism only contemplates the salvation of the House of Israel, which alone can permit the salvation of seventy nations of the universe." (Anatomie du Judasime Francaise, pp.203-204) Thus the Pharisees rejected Yeshua-Joshua (Issa or Yeshua) because he taught that God is Love and all men are equal in the sight of God. Yeshua-Joshua repeatedly warned about the Pharisees.

Here is what Yeshuah-Isa-Yeshua-Joshua had to say about the Babylonian Talmudists Pharisees (the priest-bankers-usurers who worked from the Temple in Jerusalem) who mislead ordinary Jews: "Be careful," Yeshua-Joshua said to them. "Be on your guard against the yeast of the Pharisees and Sadducees [read: Babylonian Talmudists]." John 8:44 You [Babylonian Talmudists] belong to your father, the devil, and you want to carry out your father's desire. He was a murderer from the beginning, not holding to the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the father of lies".

The Pharisees were referred to by Yeshua-Joshua in the Bible as being of the Synagogue of Satan. In the Quran, the Children of Israel are reminded about not reverting to idolatry with examples such as the desertion of Moses into worship of the golden calf and other acts of idolatry. Note that there are at least **two versions of the Babylonian Talmud's translations**, the one for public consumption and the one not given to the ordinary public but excerpts from which you can find posted on the Internet and is really a piece of hate literature. The oral traditions of the Babylonian Talmud were not written down until after the 1st century A.C. The central book in apostatic or fake Judaism is the Babylonian Talmud which contains the teachings of the apostate Pharisees those people on whom the New Testament spends so many words. The Babylonian Talmud teaches Rabbis to oppose and discredit the teachings of Yeshua-Joshua because he criticized the Pharisees. Pharisaic law is reflected in the Babylonian Talmud, particularly in the book of Mishna—the original book of the Babylonian Talmud. Yeshua-Joshua called their teachings "the ways of men," an abomination, and he condemned them; for doing so, Yeshua-Joshua was "crucified" or so it seemed crossed by the Pharisee Caiaphas. I suspect that the Messianism of the Pharisee fake-Jews may be an instrument of international Illuminati banksters, a way to consolidate their power. These bankers and their allies are creating a new World order that cares little for democratic principles. They will be the gods.

Nathan Rothschild (1777 -1836), owner of the Bank of England at that time said: "I care not what puppet is placed on the throne of England to rule the Empire. The man who controls Britain's money supply controls the British Empire and I control the British money supply." In addition to Zionist Messianism, Masonry has been the Illuminati bankers' tool. It was instrumental in the destruction of the Christian monarchies in Germany, Austria and Russia and the decline of the Catholic Church. In his Encyclical *Humanum Genus* (1884) Pope Leo XIII wrote that the ultimate aim of Masonry is "to uproot completely the whole religious and moral order of the World, which has been brought into existence by Christianity...This will mean that the foundation and the laws of the new structure of society will be drawn from pure naturalism."

Again Pope Leo XIII said: "Masonry is the permanent personification of the Revolution; it constitutes a sort of society in reverse whose aim is to exercise an occult overlordship upon society as we know it, and whose sole *raison d'être* consists of waging war against God and his Church." (De Poncins, *Masonry and the Vatican*, p. 45)

In *Masonry and the Vatican*, Leon de Poncins uses Jewish sources to argue that Masonry is closely related to Phariseism. For example, Rabbi Elie Benamozegh wrote: "Masonic theology corresponds well enough to that of the Kabbala.,.,.(Israel et L'Humanite, p.73) De Poncins cites an article that appeared in 1861 in a Parisian Jewish Review *La Verite Israelite*: "But the spirit of Masonry is that of Judaism in its most fundamental beliefs; its ideas are Judaic, its language is Judaic, its very organization, almost, is Judaic... " De Poncins writes that the goal of both Masonry and Phariseism (fake Judaism) is the unification of the World under Babylonian Talmudic law. (*Masonry and the Vatican*, p. 76). The ultimate aim is to divorce us from God and enslave us to our sexual and material lusts, i.e. to arrest our development. Like a scientist who begins with a hypothesis, I am offering this as a paradigm. You must decide if it helps to explain the World. Obviously I am just scratching the surface, and welcome guidance from people who are better informed than I. But I have no doubt that mankind is being subverted by its political and cultural leaders, beholden to international bankers and their allies, who often are practising Luciferians. They use Zionism and Masonry as instruments of organization and control. Obviously the majority of Jews and Masons are unaware or do not believe this. Modern culture makes a god of man. Man defines everything including goodness and truth. The result is that we are in a spiritual prison cut off from God and oppressed by relentless reminders of our own stunted development. I'm afraid spiritual and psychological enslavement is but a prelude to a political and economic one. Since 9-11, we are sheep grazing in sight of an abattoir. See also "Lucifer and the Cult of Freedom" by David Livingstone. Some emails from readers:

My 2 cents. Masonic "culture" is merely the means. The end is power. You hit the nail on the head when you said they want to be gods. "Creative Destruction" is their m.o. They've been so patient that they're bound to be disappointed. I think they'll find (as we all do!) that having what you want never equals the work put forth in achieving it. Absolute power will bore them to death. In the end, they will have created a hell for themselves. "S" -----

Henry, First, and paradoxically, atheism and Luciferianism is rooted in Judaism. Or more specifically, the Kabbalah as propagated by the Pharisees. I agree with you with regards to the original message of the Jewish faith. Which I think was perpetuated in both Christianity and Islam, before again being perverted in these. It is the simple commandments for decency as you say. But in the sixth century BC, certain Jews chose to adopt sorcery, and so rejected their original faith. They adhered to it only to the extent that they reversed their interpretation of it. And so, Baal, the ancient enemy of Jehovah, became the true god of Israel. And, though God had clarified that they were only Chosen so long as they followed the 10 commandments, they bastardized the covenant to suggest that they were chosen no matter what. In fact, their God would grant them superiority over the rest of mankind.

This interpretation gave rise to Zionism, and it was combined with the belief in an expected Messiah. Though the covenant had been clearly repealed both in the Exile of the sixth century, and the destruction of the Second Temple in 70 AD, they chose to believe that the Messiah would restore them to Zion, and that he would make them rulers of the World. The first to outline the blueprint for that future state was the great godfather of Kabbalah, Plato. I think Zionism is Kabbalah. According to the Kabbalah, magic must be used to manipulate fate, so as to bring about the coming of the Messiah and Jewish rule over humanity. Also according to the Kabbalah, specifically Isaac Luria, the difference between a Jew and a Gentile is a thousand times the difference between man and cattle. Therefore, according to the Babylonian Talmud, a Jew is justified in doing to a Gentile what he is not permitted to a Jew. And so, the NWO must be established by deception, so as to ensure it is not inhibited. So I wouldn't say that Judaism is a tool of the Illuminati Bankers, but rather that a bastardization of Judaism, or Kabbalah, is their creed. My humble opinion, David -----

I have just discovered your web of sites and articles and I just wanted to send kudos. As a student of philosophy I was appalled by studies in ethics and epistemology that subtly, tacitly endorsed murderous values. I postulated that this was either a lack of courage or morals. Sloppy scholarship and weak arguments, as long as they support World agenda, see much success in academia which reads only hypnotic sophism rather than truth. I have often thought that the mainstream of philosophical papers supported ethical nihilism. For example, at Northern Illinois University in a class on Dionysian philosophy, a tenured professor educated the students in: Plato's homosexual seductions, cannibal practices of the Greeks, the pedophile artistry of Thomas Mann's *Death in Venice*, along with praises of Nietzsche's ethics and Immanuel Kant's elitist morality where only 'experts' know beauty, truth etc. Here's hopin' we survive the crisis. David ---

The Return of Vanunu April 25, 2004 Vanunu Mordechai says we don't need a Zionist state By Israel Adam Shamir. Ashkelon is a peaceful small town to the south of Tel Aviv and to the north of Gaza, rebuilt after 1948 on the ruins of the

old Palestinian town. White houses and white sand give it its clean look. Its high-security jail is also painted white, though it is far from idyllic place. Today the Mossad-operated jail returned Ashkelon into news for the first time since Richard Coeur-de-Lion took it from Saladin. For 18 long years until today Mordechai Vanunu was buried alive in its super-secret Agaf Seven, ever since he was kidnapped in Europe by Mossad spies and illegally brought to be tried and imprisoned here. Vanunu committed a double crime for he defied the Jewish state by disclosing the secret of its evil nuclear might and by embracing Christ. For this he was kept in solitary confinement; hour upon hour, day upon day, year after year under lidless eyes of Mossad watchers. This would be enough to break spirit of an ordinary man, to drive him into release of insanity, as his tormentors wished. But they failed for he was not an ordinary man. Born into a working-class Sephardic Jewish family in arid Negev, Vanunu witnessed persecution of the native Palestinians, and he felt compassion for them. This brotherly compassion for goyim, frowned upon in the Jewish tradition, brought him to Christ. He could not continue working at Dimona, the place where Israel makes weapons of mass destruction. He openly broke with Jewish omerta, denounced evil, and made his fellow citizens and the World aware of huge nuclear arsenal accumulated in the underground storages to threaten the World peace. A Christian has some qualities of Christ, and witness of Vanunu made him a Christian martyr. Jews are not a forgiving lot, and they are not likely to forgive a man who broke free. In order to make this religious meaning of his trial clear to all, the judges sentenced Vanunu for 18 years, to be immured alive, for 18 means alive in Hebrew. Many Jews wear the sign of 18, or Chai on their necks, where Christians wear cross. Do you know what this sign means??? Daniel McGowan of Deir Yassin Remembered was asked by a policeman, and replied: it is the sentence you gave Vanunu!

But 18 years passed, and today Vanunu came back to life. It was a moment of supreme elation reminiscent of Resurrection Sunday, when the white doves flew over the white prison, and crowds chanted in front of the gate of heavy iron bars guarding the entrance to Ashkelon jail. He approached the gate, grasped its bars as if wishing to break free, pulled his strong body up and looked at us, at his friends who came to see him coming out alive, and at his enemies who called for his blood. There was no Hollywood smile of a released prisoner. Not a timid lamb anymore, but Son of Man who saw death and came back. His face was stern and grim in the blue frame of the iron bars, like that of Christ breaking the Gates of Hell on an old icon. He turned to the TV crews and spoke to them, at first in his heavily accented Sephardic Hebrew, then in English: "I want to tell you something very important. I suffered here 18 years because I am a Christian, because I was baptised into Christianity. If I was a Jew I wouldn't have all this suffering here in isolation for 18 years. Only because I was a Christian? Vanunu Mordechai says we don't need a Jewish state. Vanunu Mordechai doesn't want to live in Israel and doesn't need a Jewish state. I am a symbol of the will of freedom. You cannot break the human spirit."

"Kill him!" yelled the Jewish crowd, braying for blood, like in Mel Gibson's film. They raised their signs declaring "Kill the traitor". But the prey escaped them: in a minute, his car took him into safe sanctuary of St George cathedral, the Anglican Neo-Gothic building in East Jerusalem, where kind Bishop Riah expected him. Thus Vanunu confirmed in his own words and deeds: Christ is the symbol of compassion to our fellow men and thus of rebellion against the Pharisee rule, the symbol of unvanquished human spirit that is akin to God. I thought of my friend Gilad Atzmon in London and of other good people who rebelled against the archaic spirit of dominance; of endless arguments whether Christ is relevant for our struggle in Palestine, arguments Vanunu answered so eloquently. In 1986, when Vanunu was arrested, I wrote in the socialist newspaper Al Hamishmar, "Vanunu was my spy, for he spied for me the dark secrets of the Zionist establishment". But he returned with an even more important message, that of spirit. Years ago, he revealed to us the weapons of our enemies; now he revealed our secret weapon in the battle for Palestine, that of Christ. And this battle goes on: while white doves flew over the prison, the Jewish tanks bombarded towns of Gaza, a few miles away, killing innocent civilians. He also revealed complicity of the American and European pseudo-Christians in the plot. Vanunu told us he was trapped not by Mossad but by a CIA agent for his revelations were mightily embarrassing for the US, for the country that forced the World to disarm while turning its blind eye to Dimona nuclear facility. Even now the US authorities promised to keep an eye on Vanunu so he won't embarrass them even more. Italy's Berlusconi, this great friend of Sharon and Bush, did not move a finger to save the man kidnapped on Italian soil. This question should be discussed in the election campaign in the US: it is not too late for Americans to reject the accomplices of the Zionist warmongers. Yes, Vanunu is right: The time has come to end this silence and secret cooperation by the West, the United States, Canada and all Europe helping Israel and co-operating with Israel's secrets...? It is not too late for us, the Israelis to listen to this man and to agree with him: we do not need a Zionist state; we need a state of compassion. Israel Shamir's www.israelshamir.net .

A Reader writes: April 19, 2004 Henry: I'm not the kind of person to say that I understand what "God" Is, but I know that I agree with your Luciferian Anti-Culture article 100%. It's obvious there is an insidious force, an "evil will to power" that runs through Mankind and tries to enslave the Mind of the people, for the sole benefit of the "Chosen" few.. You captured that idea masterfully. "Thus the Pharisees rejected Christ because he taught that God is Love and all men are equal in the sight of God. 'The advent of Christ was a national catastrophe for the Jewish people, especially for the leaders,' Leon de Poncins writes. "Until then they alone had been the Sons of the Covenant; they had been its sole high priests and beneficiaries.... " Its important for people who tell the truth like you do to continue to perform your holy duties, so that people can have their "lenses washed" of all the propoganda and worthlessness of modern Luciferian social and political trends. It seems to me (almost) everything is under control of Man (playing God), but they still have yet to figure out how to take us from our God fully. We will never be separated from God, really, but our minds can be enslaved by our own ignorance of the facts. Thank you for being bold with your word- ----

Henry: Googled for "edward albee goat" and got 5200 hits. First Google page told all: Play is American, of course, is playing in London several years after Broadway start. Won some kind of award here("Tony?") and was described as "most mesmerizing" theater of the season. Albee (author of Who's Afraid of Virginia Wolff?) is gay, and the play is intended (got

this from one review in a NYC newspaper) to highlight the dilemma of gay folk in declaring their loves: nearly as difficult as owning up to a goat as a paramour. I can remember being shocked reading about the moral decline in the late Hellenic and Roman theater; boy, they hadn't seen the half of it. Onward to a bright and cheery future, no? ----- "T" -----

[Lucifer's Synagogue of Satan and the Cult of Freedom by David Livingstone](#)

David Livingstone is the author of "The Dying God": The Hidden History of Western Civilization. <http://www.thedyinggod.com>. "In all corners of the earth the words Liberty, Equality, Fraternity brought to our ranks, thanks to our blind agents, whole legions who bore our banners with enthusiasm. And all the time these words were canker-worms at work boring into the well-being of the goyim, putting an end everywhere to peace, quiet, solidarity and destroying all the foundations of the goy States. As you will see later this helped us to our triumph; it gave us the possibility, among other things, of getting into our hands the master card - the destruction of the privileges, or in other words of the very existence of the Aristocracy of the goyim, that class which was the only defense peoples and countries had against us. On the ruins of the natural and genealogical Aristocracy of the goyim we have set up the Aristocracy of our educated class headed by the Aristocracy of money." Protocols of Zion on Liberty.

"Freedom" and "Liberty", both terms originally devised by the Illuminati, are sham slogans, that have been used time and again to rally the masses of the Western World against some contrived foe. "Freedom" is a relative term. By itself it does not have any meaning. Human relationships, whether they be between one individual and another, or between the state and the individual, are defined by limitations. Man may be entitled to certain freedoms, but these must have defined limits, so that he can be prevented from encroaching on others, and others against he. Therefore, man cannot be "free". Ultimately, the principles of "freedom" and "liberty" are rooted in the occult. "Liberty", along with "equality", and "fraternity", were concepts originally promulgated by the Masons. In turn, the dogma of the Masons is derived from the Gnostic tradition. Gnosticism is a heresy of Christianity that belonged to the first centuries AD. It derived its influence from the Jewish Kabbalah, and reversed the interpretation of the Bible. Therefore, God became the evil one, while the devil was he who sought to "liberate" man by teaching him the forbidden knowledge, or magic. According to Gnostics then, all the laws proclaimed by the creator God of the Bible were to suppress man. Instead, he believed, man would only become free by indulging in as many vices as he could. Therefore, proponents of "liberty" offer an alternative principle to counter what they regard as the repression meted out by religion. They claim that we ought to be allowed to do as we please, as long as we do not harm anybody else. This is the same principle as that touted by modern-day witches, or Wiccans, as stated by the godfather of modern Satanism, Aleister Crowley: "Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law".

Therefore, the delusion Satanists are offering is that the laws of the monotheistic faiths are somehow repressive. And so, Satanism reverses that which is taught by them, and suggests that we ought to be allowed to indulge in what we please, as long as we do not harm anybody else. What they fail to recognize, however, is that the commandments of the monotheistic faiths are not to restrict indulgence, but also to prevent the harming of others, and therefore, forbid adultery, murder, theft, deception, exploitation and so on. And yet, indecency and vulgarity is praised as courage against prudishness. The female body is exploited everywhere to market products. Though, religion did not teach us to be "ashamed" of our bodies, but to "have shame." Nevertheless, through the influence of Satanism, Hollywood is promoting exhibitionism as "body consciousness". To the Masons of the Enlightenment, who had been indoctrinated by the Illuminati, the use of "reason" supposedly helped man recognize that ultimately there is no God, that the Bible was a book of superstitions, and therefore, that he ought to seek "liberty" from the Catholic Church, which he came to regard to as "despotism". Using the "philosophes" of the period as their mouthpiece, the Illuminati deceived the masses into believing that man no longer needed religion, but could discover laws by himself, through the use of "reason". In this way, the first attempts to devise such laws were known as "inalienable rights", first conceived and discussed in the meetings of the Illuminati, and which were designed to supplant the commandments of the Bible.

And so, the great political successes of the secret societies, the American and French Revolutions, toppled Christianity, and in its place erected secular systems, under the pretense of eliminating state persecution of religion. Among the fifty-six American rebels who signed the Declaration of Independence, only six were not members of the Masonic Order. It was they who enshrined the Luciferian principle of "liberty", and ultimately replaced revelation with rights they regarded to be "self-evident". What they had done, however, was subject themselves to the arbitrary regulations that their new bourgeois occult overlords formulated, which we now call "rights", but in reality is a political and economic system set to advantage their class over the rest. Religion does not forbid pleasure. Rather, it merely requires that it be tempered by a sense of greater responsibility towards one's fellow human beings. The broader reality, however, is that Western citizens are accomplices in the exploitation of the rest of humanity. They have been duped by the propaganda that, because nature is evolving, the rest of the World is merely behind a stage of evolution. This, of course, is to disguise the fact that the World Bank and the IMF, following the dictates of the financial and banking elite, are deliberately impoverishing the rest of the World, to secure both cheap labor and natural resources. As a result, two-thirds of the World now lives at subsistence. In order to suppress the inevitable dissent that will arise from subject populations to their economic enslavement, the Western powers, through the Black Ops of the CIA, have installed brutal dictatorships. These are then compared to our relative prosperity, as proof of our institutions' and leaders' ability to provide us our cherished "freedoms."



With the President meeting this week with Prime Minister Barak of Israel and Yassir Arafat, it may be time to review a topic that is baffling for Jews, annoying to Arabs, and unavoidable for American Congressmen: the unswerving political support for the State of Israel by American fundamentalists. Vocal support of a pro-Israel American foreign policy is basic for the leaders of American Protestant fundamentalism. This has been true ever since 1948. Pat Robertson and Rev. Jerry Falwell have been pro-Israel throughout their careers, beginning two decades before the arrival of the New Christian Right in the late 1970's. These men are not aberrations. The Trinity Broadcasting Network is equally supportive. So are the best-selling authors who speak for, and influence heavily, Protestant fundamentalism, most notably Hal Lindsey, author of *The Late Great Planet Earth* (1970), and Tim LaHaye, the husband of Beverly LaHaye of Concerned Women for America, which says on its Web site that it is "the nation's largest public policy women's organization." Rev. LaHaye and his co-author have each earned some \$10 million in royalties for their multi-volume futuristic novel, *Left Behind*. They have a very large audience.

People may ask themselves, "Why this support?" Fundamentalists earlier in this century were sometimes associated with anti-Semitism. James M. Gray of the Moody Bible Institute in 1927 wrote an editorial favorable to Henry Ford's Dearborn Independent series on Jews. Gray's editorial appeared in the Moody Bible Institute Monthly. Arno C. Gabelein, a prominent fundamentalist leader, believed that the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion was a legitimate document. Gabelein's 1933 book, *The Conflict of the Ages*, would today be regarded as anti-Semitic. Other fundamentalist leaders of the pre-War era, while not anti-Semitic, attempted to maintain neutrality on the issue of Hitler's persecution of Jews. In his 1977 book, *Armageddon Now!*, Christian historian Dwight Wilson cites numerous examples of fundamentalist theologians in the late 1930's who regarded Hitler's discriminatory policies against Jews as part of God's judgment on the Jews. He writes: "Pleas from Europe for assistance for Jewish refugees fell on deaf ears, and 'Hands Off' meant no helping hand. So in spite of being theologically more pro-Jewish than any other Christian group, the premillennarians also were apathetic. . . ." [pp. 96-97]. What was it that persuaded almost the entire fundamentalist movement to move from either hostility or neutrality to vocal support of Israel? No single answer will fit every case, but there is a common motivation, one not taken seriously by most people in history: getting out of life alive.

The Christian doctrine of eschatology deals with the last things. Sometimes eschatology deals with the personal: the death of the individual. Usually, however, it has to do with God's final judgment of mankind. There have been three main views of eschatology in the history of the church, which theologians classify as premillennialism, postmillennialism, and amillennialism. The pre- and post- designations refer to the expected timing of the bodily return of Yeshua-Joshua in the company of angels: before (pre-) the establishment of an earthly kingdom of God, or after (post-) this kingdom has extended its rule across the earth. The amillennial view is that the kingdom of God is mainly spiritual. This became the dominant view of Christianity for over a millennium after Augustine's *City of God*, with its distinction between the city of God, the church (spiritual and permanent) and the political cities of man (rising and falling). Luther held this eschatological view. Most of the Continental Protestant Reformers of the sixteenth century held it. But seventeenth-century Scottish Presbyterians were more likely to hold the postmillennial view, and they carried it with them when they emigrated to America. Their postmillennialism rested in part on their belief that God will convert the Jews to Christianity as a prelude to the kingdom's period of greatest expansion, an idea derived from Paul's Epistle to the church at Rome, chapter 11. Presbyterians are officially commanded to pray for the conversion of the Jews. [Westminster Larger Catechism (1647), Answer 191.] The first generation of Puritan Congregationalists in New England also held similar postmillennial opinions.

The premillennial view was commonly held in the pre-Augustinian church, although the other views did have defenders. After 1660, premillennialism became increasingly common within American Puritanism. Cotton Mather was a premillennialist. But Jonathan Edwards was postmillennial. In nineteenth-century America, both views were common prior to the Civil War. After the War, premillennialism steadily replaced postmillennialism among fundamentalists. A secularized postmillennialism was adopted by the Social Gospel movement. Non-fundamentalist Protestants from Continental Europe, like the Catholics, remained amillennial. Postmillennialism faded after World War I until the late 1970's, when it experienced a limited revival. Basic to the view of both premillennialism and amillennialism is pessimism regarding the efforts of Christians to build a culture-wide kingdom of God on earth. Both positions hold that only by Yeshua-Joshua's bodily presence among the saints can Christians create a cultural alternative to the competing kingdoms of man. The premillennialist believes that this international kingdom construction task will begin in earnest a thousand years before the final judgment, with Yeshua-Joshua ruling from a literal throne, probably located in Jerusalem. The amillennialist views this universal extension of the kingdom of God into culture as possible only after the resurrection of all humanity at the final judgment, i.e., in a sin-free, death-free, Christians-only World.

Tribulation and Rapture

Just prior to Yeshua-Joshua's return to set up an earthly kingdom, argue most amillennialists and all premillennialists, there will be a time of persecution, called the Great Tribulation. It is here that the great debate over the Jews begins. Amillennialists believe that Christians will be persecuted by their enemies. A handful of premillennialists, referred to as "historic premillennialists," also identify Christians as the targets. This version of premillennialism has been insignificant institutionally since the 1870's. The dominant premillennial view says that Jews will suffer the Great Tribulation. Born-again Christians will have flown the coop – literally. This is the doctrine of the pre-tribulation Rapture.

According to pre-tribulation premillennialists, who are known as dispensationalists, Yeshua-Joshua will come secretly in the clouds and raise deceased Christians – and only Christians – from the dead. Immediately thereafter, every true Christian will be transported bodily into the sky, and from there to heaven: the Rapture event. The passage cited to defend this view is found in Paul's first letter to the church at Thessalonica: "For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up [harpazo] together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord" (I Thes. 4:16-17). Throughout most of church history, this passage was associated with the final judgment, but beginning sometime around 1830 in England, it was linked to the premillennial, pretribulation Rapture – a word that is not found in the Greek text or in any English translation of the New Testament. Its Latin root word is in Jerome's Vulgate, a translation of the Greek "harpazo" – seize, catch, or pluck. This outlook on the earthly future became increasingly popular among fundamentalists, beginning in the 1870's. It was formalized in the footnotes of the Scofield Reference Bible (1909; revised, 1917). In 1930, it became the first Oxford University Press book to reach sales of one million. It has now sold over five million copies. C. I. Scofield's system has defined fundamentalism for nine decades. The Rapture-based escape from history is now universally believed by fundamentalists to be imminent. Generations of fundamentalists have believed that they will escape bodily death. They will be transported into the sky, like Elijah, though without benefit of chariots. But when? That has been the great question. The answer: "Soon." But why soon? Why not a millennium from now? The psychological answer: Because men do not live that long in this millennium. The main selling point for fundamentalism's Bible prophecies is to get insight into what is coming soon. In this case, the issue of mortality is central. As the slogan says, "Everybody wants to go to heaven, but nobody wants to die." The doctrine of the imminent Rapture allows Christians to believe seriously that they can go to heaven without dying. Millions of Americans believe this today.

But how can they be so sure? Because of the events of 1948. In that year, the crucial missing piece of the prophetic puzzle – the restoration of the nation of Israel – seemed to come true. Critics of the dispensational system could no longer say, "But where is Israel in all this?" The answer, at long last: "In Palestine, just in time for the Great Tribulation." **The Grim Fate of Israel:** The source of the idea of the Great Tribulation is found in Yeshua-Joshua's last words regarding Israel, which are recorded in Matthew 24 and Luke 21. And when ye shall see Jerusalem compassed with armies, then know that the desolation thereof is nigh. Then let them which are in Judaea flee to the mountains; and let them which are in the midst of it depart out; and let not them that are in the countries enter thereinto. For these be the days of vengeance, that all things which are written may be fulfilled. But woe unto them that are with child, and to them that give suck, in those days! for there shall be great distress in the land, and wrath upon this people. And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall be led away captive into all nations: and Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the Gentiles, until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled (Luke 21:20-24). Throughout most of church history, this prophecy was interpreted as having been fulfilled by the Roman siege of Jerusalem and the destruction of the temple in 70 A.D. With the rise of dispensationalism, however, the fulfillment of this passage was moved into the future.

Dispensationalism's critics had long asked: "Where is the nation of Israel? Where are the Jews?" Not in Palestine, surely. So, dispensationalists tended to apply this prophecy of near-destruction to Jews in general – only symbolically residing in Israel – until 1948. This was one reason for their silence on Hitler's persecution. Hitler was just another rung in the ladder of persecution leading to the inevitable Great Tribulation. The prophesied agency of the great persecution has shifted over the years. As Wilson shows in *Armageddon Now!*, from 1917 until 1977, Russia was a prime candidate. But, after 1991, this has become difficult to defend, for obvious reasons. The collapse of the Soviet Union has created a major problem for dispensationalism's theologians and its popular authors. But there have been no comparable doubts about the intensity of the coming persecution. Here is the opinion of John F. Walvoord, one of dispensationalism's leading theologians, who served for three decades as the president of Dallas Theological Seminary (founded, 1924), the movement's main seminary. The purge of Israel in their time of trouble is described by Zechariah in these words: "And it shall come to pass, that in all the land, saith Jehovah, two parts therein shall be cut off and die; but the third shall be left therein. And I will bring the third part into the fire, and will refine them as silver is refined, and will try them as gold is tried" (Zechariah 13:8, 9). According to Zechariah's prophecy, two thirds of the children of Israel in the land will perish, but the one third that are left will be refined and be awaiting the deliverance of God at the second coming of Christ which is described in the next chapter of Zechariah. [John F. Walvoord, *Israel in Prophecy* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, [1962] 1988), p. 108. Nothing can or will be done by Christians to save Israel's Jews from this disaster, for all of the Christians will have been removed from this World three and a half years prior to the beginning of this 42-month period of tribulation. (The total period of seven years is interpreted as the fulfillment of the seventieth week of Daniel [Dan. 9:27].)

In order for most of today's Christians to escape physical death, two-thirds of the Jews in Israel must perish, soon. This is the grim prophetic trade-off that fundamentalists rarely discuss publicly, but which is the central motivation in the movement's support for Israel. It should be clear why they believe that Israel must be defended at all costs by the West. If Israel were militarily removed from history prior to the Rapture, then the strongest case for Christians' imminent escape from death would have to be abandoned. This would mean the indefinite delay of the Rapture. The fundamentalist movement thrives on the doctrine of the imminent Rapture, not the indefinitely postponed Rapture. Every time you hear the phrase, "Yeshua-Joshua is coming back soon," you should mentally add, "and two-thirds of the Jews of Israel will be dead in 'soon plus 84 months.'" Fundamentalists really do believe that they probably will not die physically, but to secure this faith prophetically, they must defend the doctrine of an inevitable holocaust. This specific motivation for the support of Israel is never preached from any fundamentalist pulpit. The faithful hear sermons – many, many sermons – on the pretribulation Rapture. On other occasions, they hear sermons on the Great Tribulation. But they do not hear the two themes put together: "We can avoid death, but only because two-thirds of the Jews of Israel will inevitably die in a future holocaust. America must therefore support the nation of Israel in order to keep the Israelis alive until after the Rapture."

Fundamentalist ministers expect their congregations to put two and two together on their own. It would be politically incorrect to add up these figures in public.

[Zionist Collaboration with the Nazis](#)

Throughout history, we will note that the MEGA-RICH among the people who pretend to be Israelites Judeans never got affected whenever ordinary Jews were persecuted. The wealth and power of the MEGA-RICH Jews kept on growing. During WWII, the same thing happened with the Rothschild families and top Zionists going unscathed during Hitler's persecution of ordinary Jews. Lets learn more. They hide behind the front of Judaism and Zionism. Very convenient!

In 1983, Croom Helm Ltd. published my 1st book, Zionism in the Age of the Dictators. American writers don't expect favorable reviews from the London Times, but editorialist Edward Mortimer declared that "Brenner is able to cite numerous cases where Zionists collaborated with anti-Semitic regimes, including Hitler's. Still less could a Trotskyist dream of a review from Izvestia, the Soviet government gazette, but they hailed it. "During the World war, Brenner points out, Zionism showed its real meaning: for the sake of its ambitions, it sacrificed the blood of millions of Jews." Louis Rapoport, a failed Berkeley radical, denounced the book in the Jerusalem Post as "leftist babble." Nevertheless, he conceded, there were "very real charges that will continue to haunt" Zionism "until they are dealt with honestly." In 1987, Jim Allen, the celebrated British movie/TV writer, based *Perdition*, a stage play, on the book. When intense pressure on the Royal Court Theatre canceled production, we debated Sir Martin Gilbert, the Churchill family's private historian, and Stephen Roth, head of the British Zionist Federation, nationwide, prime-time on ITV. The London Review of Books said the Zionist scheme "made it one of the most famous plays of the decade." Indeed, unless the Queen was sick on the crapper, every politically or theatrically interested person in Britain watched us win, thanks to director Ken Loach's strategic instructions. Extraordinary World interest wasn't matched in America's media. Alex Cockburn championed the book in the *Village Voice* and in the *Nation*. But the *Voice* refused to review it. The *Nation* sent it out to someone, but, sorry, "he never sent in the review. Walter Laqueur had to bark in the *Zionist New Republic* after their *Perdition* debacle: "Some of Brenner's book is invented, some is exaggerated or drawn out of context." Yet even he admits that "German Zionists did not fully understand the meaning of Hitler when he came to power in 1933. Some of their comments and declarations make embarrassing reading 50 years later."

Despite Zionism's best efforts, over 5,000 copies sold in 18 years before being put on the web: <http://www.marxists.de/middleast/brenner/index.htm> Then Lyle Stuart of Barricade Books discovered that a friend, a Zionist propagandist, had never read the complete proposal of the "Stern Gang," 1940s Zionist terrorists, to go to war on Hitler's side. 51 Documents was born. Now Americans and others can read the evidence and judge for themselves. There are six selections re Zionism's relationship to anti-Semitism and racism prior to Hitler. The 51 documents, including 35 letters, memos, articles, and reports by Zionists, are from the Hitler era and after. Seven are by Nazis, most notably Eichmann's memoir, written in Argentina, on Hungarian collaborator RA<<zso Kasztner. Five of the six and 43 of the 51 are complete. The rest are extensive excerpts from important reports. There are four first-time full translations of articles from German, Hebrew, Italian and Russian. Zionism convicts itself. On June 21, 1933, the German Zionist Federation sent a secret memorandum to the Nazis:

"Zionism has no illusions about the difficulty of the Jewish condition, which consists above all in an abnormal occupational pattern and in the fault of an intellectual and moral posture not rooted in one's own tradition. Zionism recognized decades ago that as a result of the assimilationist trend, symptoms of deterioration were bound to appear, which it seeks to overcome by carrying out its challenge to transform Jewish life completely. "It is our opinion that an answer to the Jewish question truly satisfying to the national state can be brought about only with the collaboration of the Jewish movement that aims at a social, cultural and moral renewal of Jewry--indeed, that such a national renewal must first create the decisive social and spiritual premises for all solutions. "Zionism believes that a rebirth of national life, such as is occurring in German life through adhesion to Christian and national values, must also take place in the Jewish national group. For the Jew, too, origin, religion, community of fate and group consciousness must be of decisive significance in the shaping of his life. This means that the egotistic individualism which arose in the liberal era must be overcome by public spiritedness and by willingness to accept responsibility."

By 1936, the *Post* ran a news flash, "German Zionists Seek Recognition": "A bold demand that the German Zionist Federation be given recognition by the Government as the only instrument for the exclusive control of German Jewish life was made by the Executive of that body in a proclamation today. All German Jewish organizations, it was declared, should be dominated by the Zionist spirit." Zionist factions competed for the honor of allying to Hitler. By 1940-41, the "Stern Gang," among them Yitzhak Shamir, later Prime Minister of Israel, presented the Nazis with the "Fundamental Features of the Proposal of the National Military Organization in Palestine (Irgun Zvai Leumi) Concerning the Solution of the Jewish Question in Europe and the Participation of the NMO in the War on the Side of Germany." Avraham Stern and his followers announced that "The NMO, which is well-acquainted with the goodwill of the German Reich government and its authorities towards Zionist activity inside Germany and towards Zionist emigration plans, is of the opinion that:

- Common interests could exist between the establishment of a new order in Europe in conformity with the German concept, and the true national aspirations of the Jewish people as they are embodied by the NMO.
- Cooperation between the new Germany and a renewed folkish-national Hebraium would be possible and,

- The establishment of the historic Jewish state on a national and totalitarian basis, bound by a treaty with the German Reich, would be in the interest of a maintained and strengthened future German position of power in the Near East.



Proceeding from these considerations, the NMO in Palestine, under the condition the above-mentioned national aspirations of the Israeli freedom movement are recognized on the side of the German Reich, offers to actively take part in the war on Germany's side." They hanged people all over Europe after WW II for notes to the Nazis like these. But these treasons against the Jews were virtually unknown in the run up to the creation of the Zionist state in May 1948. Ninety percent of America's Jews suddenly became emotional pro-Zionists. With Democrats, Republicans and even the Communist-organized Progressive Party competing for Jewish votes in the November Presidential election, Harry Truman's monetary aid bought arms from pro-Soviet Czechoslovakia, and an Israel was born, run by the German Zionists' cothinkers in Jerusalem. Jews and other Americans still know little of Zionism's sordid past. But today only programmed fanatics can come away pro-Zionist after reading plain facts. Indeed, according to the American Jewish Identity Survey (2001), less than 22% of all Jews declare themselves Zionist. Opposition to Zionism also grows among liberal educated gentiles, every time their declared enemy, Pat Robertson, howls in favor of Orthodox Israel. For complex historical reasons, the Vietnam anti-war movement and anti-apartheid campaign emphasized demonstrations over sustained education. Even in victory, little was left behind in the way of attention to foreign affairs among the broad masses. Even after 9-11, the ultimate attention getter, US public knowledge about the Arab World, Islam, the oil industry, Zionism, and Washington's involvement with them, is minimal. But the present anti-Iraq war movement has no choice but to systematically educate itself and the public. The issues are too complex for anything less. Ignorance or illusions about any of the players, here or there, means certain death for X number of Arabs, Israelis, Kurds, Muslims and Americans. (51_ Documents can play a major role in making serious study a priority concern for an anti-war movement that will stay solidly in place until the present bipartisan power structure is destroyed and replaced. A check to me, for \$22.00 + \$1.84 media mail postage, gets a signed book back, anywhere in the US. Folks in other countries, and people wanting rates for bulk orders, should also write Lenni Brenner Park West Finance Station POB 20598 NY, NY 10025 BrennerL21@aol.com

[Zionism: A Conspiracy Against Jews By Henry Makow Ph.D. June 27, 2004](#)

In 1935 the steamer "Tel Aviv" made its maiden voyage from Nazi Germany to Haifa with Hebrew letters on its bow and a Nazi flag fluttering from its mast. The Captain of the Zionist-owned ship was a member of the Nazi Party. A passenger described the spectacle as a "metaphysical absurdity." Actually it made perfect sense. The ship transported German Jews who had taken advantage of the "Haavara" program, which allowed them to exchange their money for its value in Germany products in Palestine. As a result, the fledgling Jewish colony received about 70,000 highly educated German Jews and 140 million Reichmarks worth of German industrial equipment. This laid the foundation of Israel's infrastructure. The arrangement also boosted the Nazi economy at a time when Jews Worldwide were boycotting German products. (My main source is "The Secret Contacts" by Klaus Polkehn a prominent German journalist. It is included in Olivia O'Grady's The Beasts of the Apocalypse, 2001, 421-447.)

Why retell this story of Zionist-Nazi cooperation now? Because "Jewish" leaders have been exploiting their "lesser brethren" for a long time, and are doing so today. Ordinary Jews pay the price and this price could rise. In my opinion, Zionism is a movement to deceive Jews into advancing the objectives of British imperialism. (See, "The Jewish Conspiracy is British Imperialism") Zionists who have built their lives on a false premise naturally will reject this view. Specifically, Jews helped the British-Jewish elite colonize the oil-rich Middle East under the pretext of Jews needing a national home. Despite the appearance of neutrality, the British (and Americans) financed, trained and equipped the Jews. (John Coleman, Diplomacy by Deception p. 107.) The "British" are really the London-based international banking cartel associated with names like Rothschild and Rockefeller. It doesn't answer to any government. Its goal is to colonize the World and everyone in it. Jews are a means to this end. As seen in Iraq, Zionists (a.k.a Neocons) play a major role in the colonization of the Middle East. The important thing to remember is that Israel is the creation of this cartel; both Israel and the US are its tools. Iraq is only a phase in the emerging New World Order, which represents a continuation of the goals of "British" imperialism. If John Kerry was elected, he would take up in Iraq where George Bush leaves off. Democracy is a charade.

[Nazis Made-To-Measure For Zionism](#)

In 1925, Germany's 500,000 Jews were overwhelmingly indifferent or actively hostile to Zionism. The German Zionist movement had only 9000 members. The "Central Union of Germans of the Jewish Faith " represented most German Jews and favored active participation in German life. Its main focus was combating anti-Semitism. Zionists, on the other hand, welcomed the Nazis' anti Semitic policies. Like the Nazis, they believed in race-based national character and destiny. Like the Nazis, they believed Jews had no future in Germany. The Zionists did not protest Nazi persecution such as the removal of 2000 Jewish scholars and scientists from German universities in 1933. The Nazis rewarded this "restraint" by allowing the Zionists to go about their work unhindered. All other Jewish and anti fascist organizations were disbanded and their leaders imprisoned. The Nazis required all Jews to join the Zionist-led "Reich Union" whose goal was emigration. Jews were to be converted to Zionism at any cost. The Zionists were able to publish books and newspapers critical of the Nazis so long as the audience was restricted to Jews. The cooperation extended to political and economic spheres. Adolph Eichmann set up agricultural training camps in Austria to prepare young Jews for Kibbutz life. He visited Palestine and

conferred with Zionist leaders who confessed their true expansionist goals. There was even talk of a strategic alliance between Nazi Germany and Jewish Palestine. His report is in Himmler's Archives.

[For more on Zionist-Nazi cooperation, see online Lenni Brenner "Zionism in the Age of Dictators" Also, Lenni Brenner, 51 Documents: Zionist Collaboration With the Nazis (2002)]. The cooperation may have extended to the Jewish Holocaust and explain why most Jews passively accepted their fate. In his book, "The Holocaust Victims Accuse"? Rabbi Moshe Shonfeld claims that Zionist-led Jewish Councils 2008 Judenrats 2008 collaborate with the Nazis and deceived non-Zionist Jews. See my "Zionism: Compulsory Suicide for Jews." Europe's non-Zionist Jews were worth more dead than alive to Zionists and their financial sponsors. The Holocaust provided a political and moral rationale for the establishment of the Jewish state.

WHO REALLY WAS HITLER (Schickelgruber)? An illegitimate son of Baron Rothschild

Adolf Hitler was born on 20th April, 1889, in the small Austrian town of Braunau near the German border. Both Hitler's parents (Klara and Alois) had come from poor peasant families. His father Alois Hitler, the illegitimate son of a housemaid whom Baron Rothschild of Austria used to bear a child. Hitler was from the Zionist Khazar Ashkenazi Rothschild banklord family. Hitler's driver was also Jewish, this is in contradiction with the propaganda image the public has been conditioned with of Hitler. Emil Maurice with Hitler in this picture. Many Jews were among Hitler's followers at all level, including the high ranking Alfred Rosenberg, Hermann Goering, Reinhard Heydrich, Adolf Eichmann, Dr. Otto Gustav Wachter, etc.. Hitler's Jewish Clairvoyant was Erik Jan Hanussen. "The following is a partial list of Jews who participated in the Revolutionary War: Major George Bush, Major Louis Bush, Major Soloman Bush."-National Jewish Welfare Board, Jewish Calander for Soldiers and Sailors 1943-1944, "The Jews in the Wars of the United States" Note that the Rockefeller, Rothchilds, Clinton, Mikhail Gorbachev (Orbach-Korbach), Lenin, Joseph V. Stalin (Iosiph David Vissarionovich Djugashvili-Kochba), Gengrich Yagoda, Karl Marx, Mark Rich (Reich), Christian Rakovsky (Chaim Rakover), F.D. Roosevelt, etc. are from Khazar Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and all occultists. Wall Street funded the Nazis, including Prescott Bush (grandfather of George W.) and the Bolshevik revolution in which again we find many European Russian Jews in high places.

Wall Street funded the Nazis, including Prescott Bush (grandfather of George W.). Papal Christianity endured for so long because of violence and intolerance of other worldviews. The mass media now performs the role that the papal church did in the medieval world. If you have media power you can make people believe anything and you can demonize anyone, remember Orson Well's war of the worlds? In many cases I find when leafleting that the problem is that people don't want to realise that the world is so corrupt and that History can be fabricated, they would rather rationalise revisionism away. This is why ZOGs imprison revisionists to exploit this tendency. The Holocaust itself is so grossly exaggerated that the fact that people are out questioning it is enough to wake up many people. Just to ask someone sharply "Are you really so stupid that you believed the human soap lie?" is often enough to wake them up. The media has been used to create a mass psychosis. It is obvious to me that the holocaust satisfies some deep psychological needs in over-socialised people.

During his tumultuous rise to the pinnacles of power Adolf Hitler was often accused of being Jewish. Even the leaders of the National Party which he sought to lead, ridiculed Hitler as a 'Jew' and for 'behaving like a Jew' as did many of his enemies. In 1933, it was reported in a London newspaper that a gravestone was found in a Jewish cemetery in Bucharest, inscribed with Hebrew characters that spelled out: Adolf Hitler. Adolf Hitler was a Jewish name... but this 'Adolf Hitler' had been born in 1832, fifty years before the birth of the future dictator of Germany. Before coming to power, Adolf Hitler was also a target of widespread ridicule, and was mocked by enemies and the press who questioned his ancestry and who laughably referred to him as 'Adolf Schickelgruber.' 'Schickelgruber' had been the name of Adolf's maternal grandmother, and for 39 years, the name of his father, Alois. Although the 'Schickelgruber' moniker rankled the rising dictator, what concerned him and what he feared most was the history behind the name: the discovery that he was part 'Jew;' a fear he repeatedly voiced long after coming to power. 'People must not know who I am,' he ranted, and then ranted again when informed that his family history was being investigated. 'They must not know where I came from.' Long before and well after Hitler became Chancellor of Germany, considerable effort was expended to falsify, erase or destroy the records from his past. Repeated investigations were conducted by the Gestapo who repeatedly visited his ancestral village in Austria, questioning and threatening anyone that had been associated with the Hitler family.

Hitler was so concerned that when he annexed Austria, in 1938, he ordered that his family's ancestral village, Dollersheim, and all neighboring villages be destroyed. His armies marched in and then cleared out and forcibly evacuated the villagers who were dispersed far and wide. And then Hitler in fact, made it disappear, erased it from the face of the Earth. His armies bombed Dollersheim and all neighboring villages into oblivion as part of a training exercise. Even his father's and grandmother's graves were obliterated and no trace remains. Nevertheless, what has survived the ravages of time, purposeful destruction and clever forgery, is the fact that Adolf's father, Alois Schickelgruber was the illegitimate son of a female servant, Maria Anna Schickelgruber. Maria Anna became pregnant while living as a servant in a Jewish household --a common servant girl fate. It was assumed by family members and villagers alike that she'd been impregnated by the head of the house, Baron Rothschild (Langer, 1973; Payne, 1973); a rumor that the Austrian Secret police claimed to have confirmed when ordered by Austrian Chancellor Dollfuss to conduct a thorough investigation. Later, when Hitler orchestrated the Anschluss of Austria and German troops marched in, he had Dollfuss murdered, and in addition to destroying Dollersheim, ordered that all documents related to that investigation be destroyed. Hitler's own nephew, William Patrick Hitler, and Adolf's personnel attorney, Hans Frank, claimed that his grandfather was a wealthy

'Granz Jew' by the name of Frankenberger who in turn 'paid a maintenance allowance [by Rothschild] from the time of the child's birth until his fourteenth year.' As Frank reported to Hitler, and as he recounted at his Nuremberg war crimes trial, based on what he learned 'the possibility cannot be dismissed that Hitler's father was half Jewish as a result of an extramarital relationship between the Schickelgruber woman and the Jew from Graz. This would mean that Hitler was one-quarter Jewish.'" —Rhawn Joseph, Ph.D., Hitler's Diaries, University Press, "The Mind & God of Adolf Hitler" .

"While researching in Germany, I was surprised to find I was a Jew. Yes, Adolf Hitler was a Jew. So was Reinhard Heydrich, who was in charge of the Final Solution and the NAZI Death Camps."—Dr. Bryan Mark Rigg, PhD, winner of the Bill Colby Award, the CIA's top prize for journalism, author of Hitler's Jewish Soldiers: The Untold Story of Nazi Racial Laws and Men of Jewish Descent in the German Military (150,000 Jews served in the German military and Gestapo during World War 2, including admirals and generals), on Pentagon's C-SPAN TV in 2004, C-SPAN.ORG

"Adolf Hitler believed he was a Jew. That's why he ordered his home town in Austria bombed into oblivion, BEFORE World War 2 began, to destroy his birth records, and destroy all tombstones and cemeteries." —Ron Rosenbaum (a Jew), author of Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil, on History Channel's History Undercover: Hitler and Stalin: Roots of Evil

"Alfred Rosenberg was half Jewish and joined with Hitler in 1919. He had immigrated from Russia and had brought with him to Germany, the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, which he translated and which purports to detail a Jewish plot to take over the world. Rosenberg became a leader of the Nazi party, he advocated the mass murder of Jews, and was condemned to death after his conviction at the Nuremberg trials at the end of the war. Hermann Goering, the number 2 man in the Nazi hierarchy, was also condemned to death, but cheated the Hangman's noose by taking poison. Like Rosenberg, Herman Goering was believed to be half Jewish. His mother, although married to a German, was also the mistress of a wealthy Jew [Rothschild, and also employed in the household of Baron Rothschild], and it was rumored that the Jew and not the German, was his true father. And then there is Reinhard Heydrich who was also believed to be half Jewish. Reinhard Heydrich organized the 'Office for Jewish Emigration' which later became the office of extermination. It has been said the Heydrich was so tormented by his Jewish ancestry and the 'Jew within' that he sought to cleanse himself by orchestrating the extermination of all European Jews."—Rhawn Joseph, Ph.D., Hitler's Diaries, University Press, "The Mind & God of Adolf Hitler", quoting Ron Rosenbaum (a Jew), author of Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil

"They are responsible for some 60 million deaths. They ruled their countries with iron fists, squashing all dissent and directing government-sponsored programs of terror against their own citizens. Drawing on the latest findings and expert analysis from leading psychologists and historians, HITLER AND STALIN: ROOTS OF EVIL examines the 20th century's worst villains. The parallels are striking: both had abusive fathers and doting mothers, both were extremely insecure about their physical appearance and ashamed of their backgrounds, and both came to power at roughly the same time. From Hitler's 'Jewish nose' to Stalin's deformed foot, the Final Solution to the Gulags, this incisive special compares the backgrounds and policies of these two despots, interpreting the latest evidence and theories in the hopes of illuminating the personal, emotional and mental underpinnings of their actions."—HistoryChannel.com, History Undercover: Hitler and Stalin: Roots of Evil

"The following is a partial list of Jews who participated in the Revolutionary War: Major George Bush, Major Louis Bush, Major Soloman Bush."-National Jewish Welfare Board, Jewish Calander for Soldiers and Sailors 1943-1944, "The Jews in the Wars of the United States"

"A new biography tells the bizarre tale of the Jewish psychic who met with the future Führer for private sessions and predicted his rise. In the weeks leading up to Adolf Hitler's appointment as Reichschancellor on Jan. 30, 1933, there was nothing inevitable about the Austrian corporal's ascension to power. Results of the 1932 November Reichstag elections were disappointing for his National Socialist Party, with the Nazis suffering losses in the German parliament while retaining about a third of the seats there. Nazi coffers had been drained dry by the campaign. Hitler had endured significant defections from his movement and threatened suicide. Some Nazis began to wonder if he had the right stuff to be their Führer. It was at this point that Hitler, falling back on his belief in the occult (which is the Babylonian cult of apostate Jews), called the most renowned clairvoyant in the land to his headquarters at the Hotel Kaiserhof in Berlin for a private session. The man Hitler met with that day is the subject of a recent biography, Erik Jan Hanussen: Hitler's Jewish Clairvoyant, by Mel Gordon. Hitler became a Hanussenite when in March of 1932 the psychic's own weekly newspaper, Erik Jan Hanussen's Berliner Wochenschau, printed the startling prophecy that within one year's time the future Führer would become Reichschancellor. By the end of the month, Hitler had cut a deal with his enemies and become titular head of a coalition government. One can only wonder the intensity of his rage, if the raving [Zionist] anti-Semite had known at the time that the man he had adopted as his personal soothsayer, the chap nicknamed 'the Prophet of the Third Reich,' the decadent mystic who had just run his hands through his Aryan locks, was in fact ... a Jew. Hanussen started life as Hermann Steinschneider, with a birth certificate that read 'Hebrew male.' 'It's like saying, "Hitler's favorite rabbi," people are waiting for the punch line,' confesses Gordon. 'But it's not a joke.'

The burning of the Reichstag on Feb. 27, 1933, [similar to 9-11 orchestrated by the Neocon Zionists Cabal] for which German communists took the fall, paved the way for the consolidation of power in Hitler's hands and the suspension of all civil liberties. Eerily, the day before, Hanussen had predicted the event through a medium during the opening soiree of his newly minted pagan temple, the Palace of the Occult, a marble and gold-decked Taj Mahal of the black arts in Berlin decorated with astrological signs and religious statues. There, in the presence of Nazi officials and assorted VIPs, the seer claimed to see a 'great house' in flames during a séance in his sanctum sanctorum, the Room of Glass. Hours later, the Reichstag was engulfed in a mysterious conflagration. Despite his Semitic origins, Hanussen had extremely close ties to the Nazi party. He had lent hundreds of thousands of marks to high-ranking leaders of the Nazis, like Hermann Goering, and held IOUs from them. He had befriended Count Wolf Heinrich von Helldorf, the sadistic, depraved commander of Berlin's SA, and referred to Hitler as 'my pal Adolf.' Certainly, Hanussen could have had inside information of a Reichstag plot. Or perhaps he was even more directly involved. Hanussen may have hypnotized the fall guy van der Lubbe to do his bidding, either with or without the help of Nazi conspirators. Gordon, who is himself Jewish, asserts his belief that Hanussen somehow participated in a plot to set fire to the Reichstag. 'The other story is why he was killed. That is, he had to be eliminated because he knew too much,' says Gordon. There were other reasons why the Nazis wanted Hanussen dead. Goebbels and Goering both saw him as an interloper and a potential rival for the Führer's attentions, and there was the little matter of all those IOUs Hanussen had collected. Hanussen also, supposedly, had film footage of SA members involved in homosexual orgies. The communist press had long published reports that Hanussen was Jewish, but it wasn't until the Reichstag fire bequeathed totalitarian powers to the Nazis and allowed them to eliminate the communists as a threat that they had the time to focus on Hanussen's bloodline. In a missive written in invisible ink, he informed a colleague, 'I always thought that business about the Jews was just an election trick of theirs. It wasn't.' On the morning of March 25, 1933, Hanussen was arrested by the SA and summarily executed. His lifeless body was left in a field on the outskirts of Berlin."—Stephen Lemons, Salon.com, "Hitler's clairvoyant", Feb. 27, 2002

In 1919, Hitler was an Intelligence Officer with the German Army assigned to spy on the tiny German Labor Party. He became its leader. Max Warburg, brother of Paul Warburg, founder of the US Federal Reserve, was the chief of German Intelligence. Both were executives of the I.G. Farben conglomerate. There is no record of when Hitler stopped working for these Illuminati figures. (See also Be Afraid: The New World Order's Fascist Pedigree) Hitler was sponsored by the banker oligarchy, and may have been their pawn. Certainly the Nazis received millions of dollars from New York and London. See "Hitler Didn't Want World War." Ernst ("Putzi") Hanfstaengl was close to both the bankers and Hitler and funneled money to the Nazis during their formative years. See also Antony Sutton "Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler" Chapter Eight. But when Hitler began to print his own money, he may have alienated these sponsors. See "Rothschild Conducts Red Symphony". The bottom line is that both Nazism and Zionism were sponsored by the same banking cartel and had complementary goals. The rise of anti-Semitism in Europe served to create the State of Israel, which President Assad of Syria described as a "dagger in the heart of the Arab nations." Think about it. Hitler could have just confiscated all the Jewish wealth. Instead he used the "Haavara Program" to help establish the State of Israel. According to Polkehn, Hitler personally guaranteed this program in the face of opposition. It lasted until the beginning of the war. This cartel, which controls the World today, has no compunction about using Jews (or anyone) as a means to an end.

The Banksters' (BankLords) Agenda

All money is created in the form of debt to the privately owned banking cartel. Imagine if you could create money out of thin air. Imagine you have the credit cards of all the nations in your pocket. Your first impulse is to lend money to your nominees so they can buy most of the World's real wealth for you. Your second impulse is to establish a totalitarian system ("World government" "globalization") to prevent any nation from challenging this system or defaulting on their "debt" to you. To make nations accept "World government," you need to weaken them by having them fight among themselves, run up huge debts for armaments (which you will sell them), kill off the cream of their manhood, and become demoralized and decadent. You accomplish this through your ownership of politicians and the press and your control of MI-6, CIA, Mossad who carry out terror and assassinations for you. This is the real history of the last 300 years.

"World government" is really about using debt to enslave us; it is an international loan collection agency. Naturally they shroud this with talk about tolerance, human rights, fighting poverty and preventing war. A quote from a 1924 edition of the American Banker's Association Digest sums up what is currently happening. Keep this in mind when you vote: When, through the process of law, the common people lose their homes, they will become more docile and more easily governed through the strong arm of government applied by a central power of wealth under leading financiers. These truths are well known among our principal men who are now engaged in forming imperialism to govern the World. By dividing the voter through the political party system, we can get them to expend their energies in fighting for questions of no importance."

Now consider the words of an Illuminati defector, Svali: "The conflict in the Middle East is only to the advantage of the Illuminists. They HATE Israel, and hope one day to see it destroyed, and are biding their time. One of the olive branches offered by the UN when it takes over is that they will prevent war in the Middle East, and this will be greeted with joy by many. At the same time, the Illuminati covertly supply guns and funds to BOTH sides to keep the conflict fuelled. They are very duplicitous people...These people love the game of chess, and see warfare between nations as creating an order out of chaos." In a personal email, she added: "I have always wondered this, though, why some of the highest ranking financial families in the group (Baron Rothschild of France is one of the 13 European lords, or "kings" that run the group in Europe, and sits on the World Council) are Jewish, yet the group espouses hatred of their own race." Ben Hecht said that reading the daily news to understand current events is like telling the time by looking at the second hand. You have to look at the decade and century hands to see what is really going on in the World.

The Rothschild-Rockefeller banking cartel is behind most political (and cultural) trends and its goal is to destroy the nation state, true democracy, religion, culture, marriage and family, in order to degrade humanity to animal status in advance of totalitarianism. In the "war on terror," they have created a boogeyman to justify a system of repression to be used when they call in their "loans." I was once a Zionist who believed Jews needed a homeland because of anti-Semitism. I realize now that, like most of history, this view was mostly contrived. Anti-Semitism is caused because many Jews serve (consciously or unconsciously) the bankers' harmful agenda. Zionism, Communism and Feminism are a few examples. Most Jews are now totally identified with Israel, which is a tool of the Rothschild's demented megalomania. If past is preface, this cannot end well for Jews or anyone else except the Rothschild dynasty.

Below is the Red Cross report on the ordinary Jews who were killed due to the joint Zionist-Nazi cooperation and plan (report courtesy of THE SMALL FORTRESS ASSOCIATION, Alexander C. McClelland, Secretary, PO Box 887 Toronto NSW Australia 2283). This is extracted from Alexander C. McClelland, <http://www.aijf.org/index.html>. Please remember that not all Jews are Zionists and the ones that are Zionist pretend to be Israelites Judeans (see <http://www.jewsagainstzionism.com>). Zionists do not represent Jews but use Jews as an umbrella to promote the Illuminati plan of World control.

Zionism And Illuminism and the Black Nobility

Who are these people who continually accuse anyone who doesn't agree with their rigid ideas of Zionism as being anti-Semitic? Benjamin Freedman, a Khazar Jew who knew the top Zionists, states in his book, "Facts Are Facts", "Anti-Semitism serves only one purpose ... as a smear word ... when so-called Jews feel that anyone opposes their real objectives ...". Some writers refer to themselves as being "New Jews", or Ashkenazi (title taken from the biblical tribe of Ashkenaz). Well, they really are of more recent origin than the older Sephardic Jews ('Sepher' in Hebrew means 'book'), whose ancestors were dispersed from ancient Palestine by the Romans in about 70 AD, and moved to Spain via North Africa. These "New Jews" comprise about 85% of people who claim to be Jewish Worldwide, however their ancestors did not come from Palestine as had the southern European Jews ("The Thirteenth Stone", p.504).

"The Jewish Encyclopedia" 1925, Vol.5, p.41 says "they are actually Edomites" and "Edom means Red." (Emphasis added) These Edomites lived in ancient Byzantium near the Khazar Kingdom, which lay in the region between the Black Sea and the Caspian (Khazarian) Sea. "This Khazar empire was infiltrated by large numbers of Byzantine Jews", who then began a "...process of inter-marriage..." with the locals. ("Behind Marxism" by Frank L. Britton, page 14). As outlined in "The Thirteenth Tribe", by a Jewish scholar and former Communist, Arthur Koestler, the Khazars were "...people of Turkish stock..." (p.13) a fierce tribe of wily traders, whose "... main source of income was foreign trade ...slaves from the north" (p.47). They also relied on military power, tax collection (a 10% GST!), customs tariffs, arts and crafts, and skilled goldsmiths. In about 740 AD the King of Khazaria "...embraced the Jewish faith, and Judaism became the state religion" (p.15). His subjects quickly saw the benefit of this new religion. Around 965 AD, Khazaria was taken over by the Russians and then finally destroyed by Genghis Khan in the 1200s, with the remaining Khazar-Jews dispersing into Eastern Europe. Having been settling in Europe over the early part of the second millennium, the Khazar-Jews quickly set themselves up into "...Jew's streets or Jewries...- (autonomous ghettos) (Valentine's Jewish Encyclopedia, 1938, p.589). The natural Khazar ability in trade (both legal and illegal) gave them easy entry into every country's commerce until "... every European country's economy was ... in their hands" ("Pawns In The Game" by William Guy Carr, 1958, p15). This point in

Beurkundete Sterbefälle von Häftlingen ehemaliger deutscher Konzentrationslager beim Sonderstandesamt AROLSSEN

	bis 31.12.1982	von 01.01.1983 bis 31.12.1983	Insgesamt bis 31.12.1983
Auschwitz	53 606	27	53 633
Bergen-Belsen	6 851	-	6 851
Buchenwald	20 671	-	20 671
Dachau	18 451	-	18 451
Flossenbürg	18 330	-	18 330
Groß Rosen	8 355	-	8 355
Lublin	7 245	426	7 671
Mauthausen	78 823	1	78 824
Mittelbau	7 463	-	7 463
Natzweiler	4 431	-	4 431
Neuengamme	5 706	-	5 706
Ravensbrück	2 128	2	2 130
Sachsenhausen	5 012	-	5 012
Stutthof	11 237	1 065	12 303
Theresienstadt	27 260	344	27 604
Verschiedene	4 643	-	4 643
	<u>280 212</u>	<u>1 865</u>	<u>282 077</u>

Zahl der Karteikarten in der Hauptkartei des Sonderstandesamtes über registrierte KL-Sterbefälle beim Sonderstandesamt und anderen Standesämtern zum 31.12.1983 373 468

(einschließlich Hinweisarten für
- Todeserklärungen und Anfragen von Amtsgerichten betr. Todeserklärungen
- verschiedene Schreibarten von Familiennamen usw.)

Die Beurkundungszahlen des Sonderstandesamtes laßen keine Rückschlüsse auf die tatsächliche Zahl der Toten in den Konzentrationslagern zu.

Arolsen, den 16.01.1984

Der Standesbeamte

(Signature)
(Butterweck)



Aufschlußreicher Bescheid von 1984.

time (the Middle Ages) ushered in the birth of modern banking. ("God - the Ultimate Paradox" Dec. 1997), by **Jewish author David Ash, describes the two basic competing banking systems (p.III)**: "The first system involved the bank investing money ... as a joint, profit-sharing enterprise or on the basis of charging fees for services rendered For example,.. Bank of Amsterdam ..."The second banking system involved the bank lending money at interest and holding the property of the borrower as collateral This system is called usury. "The first system brought prosperity...The second... ruin."

Promissory notes (receipts), a feature of early banking, were issued by a banker (moneylender) to his depositors for the safekeeping of the silver or gold lodged with him. Over time these became banknotes promising to pay the bearer a certain amount in a precious metal. However, not all depositors will withdraw all their holdings at once, so the bankers only kept a fraction of their receipts [promissory notes] as deposits. Modern banking uses nothing to back the banknotes issued. This deposit system, when combined with usury, allowed the bankers to create loans "out of thin air", enabling them to "...strip real wealth from the people, through interest paid on 'phantom money,.. This has been an effective way for the bankers to rob nations and centralize their wealth." ("God - The Ultimate Paradox", p. 113) It was this second system which gave birth to the modern banking system - adopted by the "Jews" - which eliminated the first system described above. Jewish bankers began to loan large sums to royalty for wars - which became difficult to repay - with the victor usually being the king to whom they loaned the most and the vanquished saddled with huge debts of reparation. As bankers and tax collectors, these Ashkenazi "Jews" became despised by the citizenry of various countries because of the debt which burdened them with taxes; so much so that even the placid Sephards were persecuted, especially by the harsh Spanish Inquisition. England was the last country to come under Khazar-Jewish influence and first to expel all Jews in 1290. "The Great Eviction", as it became known, occurred thus: France 1306, Saxony 1349, Hungary 1360, Belgium 1370, Slovakia 1380, Austria 1420, Netherlands 1444, Spain 1492, Lithuania 1495, Portugal 1498, Prussia 1510, Italy 1540, Bavaria 1551, Hungary 1582 ("Behind Marxism" pages 4-7). This period also marked the transition of Europe into the Renaissance - a rebirth of culture and learning which coincided with the wresting of commercial control from the money-lending Khazar descendants.

These Jews had since retreated to a "Pale" area stretching from Poland to Turkey, regrouping into Kahats (or ghettos) in which they even had their own government and infrastructure. Such conditions put these mostly-Khazar Jewish people under the control of their wealthy money barons via the Rabbinic Elders (hence the phrase, "beyond the pale"). Looking at "God - the Ultimate Paradox", David Ash tells us (p.69:) that in ancient times, Jews "had a governing body ... known as the 'Council of Elders'". The Hebrew word for 'Elder' means "... 'in touch with God'. In the 19th century this council "described itself as the learned Elders of Zion". We learn that there is a group within the Elders which infiltrates and creates secret societies - such as the Masons - known as 'The Brotherhood of the Snake ... **In modern times this brotherhood has adopted the title of Illuminati**" (p.74). Over the decades, the agents of the Illuminati had used the "Zionist" elements of Jewry by inspiring "... a spirit of hatred and revenge in the hearts of the Jewish people ..." against their earlier evictors. They organised the angry populace into "... the World Revolutionary Movement, based on terrorism." ("Pawns In The Game", p18). To get incited Jews back into countries in Europe, the Illuminati formed underground networks - which they financed - "... to develop the Black Market system ... every kind of illegal traffic and trade." (p.18) Amschel Moses Bauer, a Jewish goldsmith, settled in the Judenstrasse district of Frankfurt in Germany and opened a Counting House, hanging a red shield (with witchcraft's hexagram on it, the same one shown on the Israeli flag) up as his shop sign. Twenty-two years after his death in 1754, his son Mayer Amschel (at the age of 33) took control of the business and then changed his name to reflect the red shield, which is "in German ... roth schild and thus The House of Rothschild" was born in 1776• "... Jews in Eastern Europe... also adopted The Red Flag as their emblem because it represented Blood." ("Pawns In The Game", page 25,26)

Bavaria (in Germany) spawned the main branch of modern Illuminism, which was begun May 1st 1776 (May Day) by "Adam Weishaupt, a student of the Jewish philosopher Mendelssohn, and funded by the Jewish banking family of Rothschild" ("God - the Ultimate Paradox", p.75). Members included Emmanuel Kant, Voltaire, Moses Mendelssohn and the bankers Iztig, Freilander and Rothschild. Weishaupt, an ex-Jesuit who embraced Satanism pre-1770, helped to formulate the plans that would allow the Illuminati to have eventual World control. **The basic principles involved "...dividing the masses into opposing camps..." on various issues, arming both sides and contriving to have an "...incident' provided which would cause them to fight and weaken themselves..."** ("Pawns In The Game" pX). To achieve this Weishaupt needed influential people in all countries and devised the following selection process for his Illuminists to use:

- Bribe those already in key positions
- Award special scholarships to selected tertiary students with which they could be brainwashed for the coming One World Government
- Those bribed and the indoctrinated students are moved into place as government and business advisors
- News agencies are controlled to limit flow of information and brainwash the public.

Mayer's marriage to Gutele Schnaper produced ten children who, once they had matured, were married by arrangement to noble families. He then moved his entire family (1785) to a larger house, known as the Green Shield house, a five storey dwelling he shared with the Schiff family. Schiff's grandson, Jacob, later moved to New York to act as a Rothschild banking agent and provided John D. Rockefeller the money with which to monopolise the oil refinery business via Standard Oil. In 1804, Napoleon declared himself Emperor and appointed his three brothers as Kings of Naples, Holland, and Westphalia. Mayer Amschel's five sons were simultaneously sent to various European capitals: Amschel (first-born), at home - in Frankfurt; Salomon, to Vienna; Nathan (smartest) to London; Karl, to Naples; James (last-born), to Paris. The Rothschilds became linchpins in a giant financial octopus, naming Switzerland to be kept neutral and Geneva to be

the capital of their empire" of industrial cartels including "munition plants, mining industry, chemical plants, etc. (Pawns In The Game" p.42). More importantly, the Rothschild family manipulated the stock market and thus gained control over the main Central Banks of Europe, the prime example being that of the Bank of England. By means of cutting Napoleon's supplies (but increasing his opponent Wellington's funding) and triggering an English stock market crash, Nathan got rid of the problematic Napoleon and made a financial "killing"! And thus, "Through their dealings, by 1820 the Rothschilds had amassed a fortune exceeding 5 billion pounds" ("God - the Ultimate Paradox", page 115; Sir W. Scott's "Life of Napoleon", in State Library, on microfiche) **The Rothschild family even had an intelligence network (later becoming the Israeli Mossad) which used Hebrew letters as a code and was fast!**

In 1829, a New York Illuminati meeting was addressed by a British Illuminist named Wright. He informed those present that Atheist groups were to be united with Russian Nihilist and other subversive groups into an international movement called "Communism" which would be used to foment wars. "Clinton Roosevelt (a direct ancestor of FDR),..." and others were appointed to raise funds which "... financed Karl Marx and Engels when they wrote 'Das Capital, and the 'Communist Manifesto, in Soho, England." ("Pawns In The Game", page XIV). Karl Marx was a German of Jewish descent, being the nephew of a Rabbi, and a student of the Satanist Bruno Bauer (Rothschild). Due to his revolutionary activities, Karl was expelled from Germany and "... given asylum in England!" ("Pawns In The Game", p.4). Whilst writing "The Communist Manifesto" in 1848, Marx had included ideas of an English socialist, Prof. John Ruskin, of Oxford University. Ruskin was mentor to Cecil Rhodes (a land monopolizing enslaver) who, with the Rothschilds (a counterfeit money monopolizing enslaver) backing, "... was able to monopolize the diamond mines of South Africa" and put his fortune to work in the plan for World empire. ("Tragedy and Hope", Prof. Quigley) Focusing in on the situation in Russia (from 1772), various Tsars had initially made restrictive laws strict for Jews, but they were later progressively liberalized until Alexander II "... devoted his life to improving the conditions of the poorer classes and the Jews But the Jewish revolutionary leaders were determined to continue their movement for Popular World Revolution". ("Pawns In The Game", p.64). Because of the domination of Russian society by Jews, the remaining restrictions were held in place. The Jews began to be increasingly rebellious and this peaked with the assassination of Alexander II. With Alexander III came the introduction of the extremely restrictive May Laws in 1882. Jews made up 4.2% of the Russian population and the new laws enforced a limit on Jewish economic and cultural activity. "The International Bankers imposed economic sanctions against the Russian Empire. .. In 1904, after they involved the Russian Empire in a disastrous war with Japan, ... Rothschild ... tried to render the Russian Empire bankrupt..." ("Pawns In The Game", page 64). This was the preliminary requirement for a successful revolution and takeover. Financed by Jacob Schiff since 1897, the Mensheviks (minority group), were a terrorist cell led by "Lev Davidovitch Bronstein, alias Lvov, alias Yanovsky, alias Nicolai Trotsky (real name Bronstein), the son of a rich Jewish landowner ..." ("World Revolution" by Nesta Webster, 1921, page 277). They successfully assassinated six ministers of government (between 1901 and 1906) and had attempted the failed Revolution of 1905.

In the meantime, Vladimir Ulyanov - whose great-grandfather was a Jewish merchant named Srul - assumed the name Lenin and then became the head of the Bolsheviks (or majority group) in 1903. Lenin was an extremely ruthless leader and when compared with Stalin, "Of the two dictators Lenin was more cruel". (Molotov, quoted in Quadrant, July 1999, p.41) The First World War was started by members of the Serbian secret society, the Order of the Black Hand, when they assassinated the Archduke Ferdinand in 1914. Unfortunately for him, the Archduke's death had been planned two years earlier at an **Illuminati meeting in Switzerland, "...the neutral Ground upon which all international plots are hatched"**. The people who fought this war were literally pawns in a game of death. Russian soldiers were fighting well-armed Germans with sticks as they had only "...ONE rifle available for every six men." Russia had assurances from England that it would receive arms, but Rothschild-affiliated Vickers-Maxim held supplies back in preparation for revolution. ("Pawns In The Game", pp 77,78,89) After Lenin's successful Bolshevik revolution of 1917, the USSR came into being - the Illuminati's experiment, used to fine-tune their ideas of a totalitarian World government. Apart from the massive cost in human lives the Rothschilds had made another "killing", with their initial investment in Lenin of \$70 million reaping them hundreds of millions in return. "Pre-Bolshevik Russia was able for many years to feed half of Europe ..." but Lenin ruthlessly stole ("nationalised") the farmers' land and murdered millions of Russians, crippling the economy and causing widespread famine. (Quadrant, July 1999, p.42). Perhaps the best reference to the Illuminati's role for the "Jews" in Communism comes from an article by **Winston Churchill** where he stated in the newspaper, Illustrated Sunday Herald, February 8, 1920, p.5: **"...this movement among Jews is not new. From the days of Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky,... this Worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation... has been steadily growing. It played ... a definitely recognisable part in the ... French Revolution [1789]. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the Nineteenth Century;..."** Churchill once told reporters that if English spies had infiltrated to the degree the Illuminati had infiltrated the British parliament, the war would have been over in one month.

There are two sides to every story, and in this scenario the Zionists (the main protagonists) are opposed by a group which author W.G. Carr calls the "Aryan War Lords". Using this divide and conquer principle allows the Illuminati to split the bulk of humanity. These Zionists and Aryans are really to blame for allowing themselves to be so easily manipulated, but we are also to blame for allowing the whole situation to continue without so much as a word of protest, let alone action. We must each become personally responsible for our own destiny, while at the same time leading others out of this mess by example. With "Communism" (Bolshevism in place, the Illuminati had to create its opposite so that their plan for World takeover could advance. World War I had served two purposes: (1) it had completely depleted Russian resources, making revolution easy; (2) it had created huge debts in Germany, which was forced to make reparations for losing. To start a Second World War, in which Britain and Germany could be exhausted for takeover, propaganda was used to denounce the Jews and "... strengthened the trend towards National Socialism in Germany ..." ("Pawns In The Game", page 99). This would allow the Illuminati the opportunity to pit the Aryan War Lords against the Zionists, who now pushed forward with their plans for a permanent homeland - "Israel". It was done via the Zionist interests in England where many Rothschild

associates, such as Arthur Balfour, had worked their way into the parliament. The Balfour Declaration was simply a letter from Lord Arthur Balfour to Lord Lionel Walter Rothschild stating that Britain "... agreed to the terms dictated by Lord Rothschild and his Zionist confreres." With the Allies committed to a Jewish homeland, Lord Allenby and T.E.Lawrence were both dispatched to occupy the Holy Land in 1917. "Lawrence of Arabia" used the Arabs, who helped to drive out the Turks under the illusion "... that Palestine would be a British Protectorate. ("Pawns In The Game", p 87). The Zionist Commission, set up in 1918, consisted of the following:

- Major Ormsby-Gore - director of the Midland Bank (in England).
- Major James de Rothschild - owner of the Rothschild Colonies in Palestine, which had introduced early Jewish settlers.
- Lieut. Edwin Samuel - later Chief Censor to the British in WWII.
- Mr Israel Sieff - director of Marks & Spencers stores (England).
- Leon Simon - later knighted, head of British General Post Office.
- Dr Elder, Mr Joseph Cohen, and Dr Chaim Weizmann.

The Commission went to Palestine to prepare the way for Versailles. The end of World War I came in that following year, followed by the Treaty of Versailles in 1919. The Rothschild-dominated conference "confirmed" their support for the "Israel" plan and set up the League of Nations, the birth of the Illuminati's global "Trojan Horse". The German Weimar Republic following WWI, had no chance of economic survival and Germans needed a strong leader - in came Adolf Hitler! Hitler initially embraced the fascist ideology and desired to see the end of Communism in an alliance with Britain; he desired to break the international bankers hold on Germany, as did Spain, Italy and Japan. However, his Aryan Nazi masters wanted no less than total war with their Communist enemies, basing their ideas "... on the theories and plans advocated by Karl Ritter in 1849 ... in his anti-thesis to Karl Marx's Communist Manifesto ... ("Pawns In The Game", pp.5,147). The Aryan War Lords put in place their own agents in Hitler's regime to make sure their plan (and hence the Illuminati's) came to fruition. Then the USSR began secretly supplying arms to Hitler's war machine, financed by Wall Street, while the Illuminati agents in Britain were calling for appeasement "... to weaken the British war potential ..." ("Pawns In The Game", pp.153,154). Once the German forces had been built up enough, the same Illuminati agents called for an all out war. Hitler's finance from Wall Street came from the Rockefeller/Rothschild axis, through the Young Plan and the Dawes Plan loans of the 1920's. Companies involved included: Standard Oil-I.G. Farben (Exxon now), without which Germany's war effort was impossible; du Pont, for arms; General Motors; Ford Motor Company; General Electric; I.T.T.; and the Union Banking Corp., with George Bush's father, Prescott, a director. Originally, Winston Churchill was pro-Zionist, but anti-Bolshevist, however he went on to accumulate massive debts via his extravagant lifestyle. With bankruptcy looming in 1938, Churchill (then a member of UK parliament) knew he would be forced to vacate his seat there and he would also lose his beloved home, "Chartwell". Fortunately for him, Jewish millionaire Helry Strakosch advanced the aging politician a 150,000 pound loan and became his "advisor", reviving his political career. ("For Those Who Cannot Speak", by Michael McLaughlin, 1979). Despite Churchill's numerous political failures "... his friends, 'the international Money Barons' used their controlled press ... forced Chamberlain to resign ..." ("Pawns In The Game", page 167). Through a series of false reports the Illuminati agents had manoeuvred Germany and Poland to the verge of conflict. Germany sent Poland a note outlining a peaceful solution, but due to Illuminati influence in the Polish government the note was ignored. "When Hitler tired of waiting for Poland's reply, and of being insulted by the Allied Press, he moved his armies into Poland." ("Pawns In The Game", page 165). But Britain had guaranteed Poland protection from a German attack.

Mr. J.M. Spaight, a secretary to the British Air Ministry, reveals in his book - "Bombing Vindicated" (1944) - that the "...ruthless bombing of German cities started on May 11th, 1940, "... the day Winston Churchill became Prime Minister ...". (page 167) The most prominent of the bombings was that of Dresden, a completely civilian target, which became Winston Churchill's spectacular parting shot, on the day he departed from Yalta (14 February 1945) - a REAL HOLOCAUST ' The second "Great War" went from 1939 and lasted about six long years. As Jim Keith, in his book "Casebook on Alternative 3", summarizes it: **"World War II is the story of the death of thirty-five million patriotic, slogan-shouting serfs, while billions of dollars lined the pockets of the international bankers and their cohorts, the politicians and the weapons manufacturers."** (page 27). But that was nothing compared to the unimaginable wealth that was made on the reconstruction loans doled out to the war-ravaged countries. With the defeat of Germany came more negotiations at Tehran, Yalta, and Potsdam, between Churchill, Roosevelt and Stalin; they carved up Europe (giving Stalin half of it, with half of Asia) and Roosevelt had reportedly "... advocated shooting 50,000 German officers without trial." Stalin began to make wild demands and so "... atomic bombs were dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki ..." to put him back in line with the agenda. ("Pawns In The Game", page 173-4). Japan was on the verge of surrender anyway, but the secret weapons were unleashed to set the stage for the Cold War: a public fear-instilling exercise. And so was born the United Nations - in blood - on June 26 1945, soon followed by Israel in May 1948 (some call it Roth-rael), which brought the Illuminati just one step away from their ultimate goal As I have documented in this essay, these "New Jews" are the No. 1 anti-Semitism promoters in the World. The same people "rubbish" the Germans, the Palestinians, and now Australians! Why is there little mention of Soviet atrocities throughout many decades? The Russian professor, Igor Bestuzhev-Lada, stated in a 1988 issue of Nedelya that about 50 million Soviet citizens were murdered by Stalin's regime, in the hundreds of concentration camps in Siberia - what of these people? Furthermore, how can people of non-Semitic origin claim rights to the lands belonging to the Semite Arabs in the Middle East ? Perhaps it's because the region holds a somewhat strategic advantage for the Zionists and their "fellow travelers". "Pawns In The Game" states on (p.48) that a British Government geologist, a Mr Cunningham- Craig, discovered "... five trillion dollars worth of minerals and oil ... in Palestine... prior to 1918. In 1939... He died under mysterious circumstances ..." following his final report's completion. I think this goes some way to explain the constant turmoil that is experienced in this area even today. It's a fight for power, wealth and territory. In truth, the World's people (including the Jews) have been sacrificed on the Rothschild (or false-Jewish) altar of

greed and lust for power. As William Cart writes: "I arrived at the conclusion that it was a fatal mistake to be anti-anything but anti-evil ... the only way to defeat the diabolical international conspiracy is to educate as many people as possible in regard to the truth and convince them that they have been used as 'Pawns in the Game' ..." ("Pawns In The Game" p162)

"The Bolshevik revolution in Russia was the work of Jewish brains, of Jewish dissatisfaction, of Jewish planning, whose goal is to, create a new order in the World. What was performed in so excellent a way in Russia, ... shall also through the same Jewish mental and physical forces, become a reality all over the World." The American Hebrew, 10 September 1920. "The Jewish people as a whole will be its own Messiah. It will attain World dominion by the dissolution of other races, by the abolition of frontiers, the annihilation of monarchy, and by the establishment of a World republic in which the Jews will everywhere exercise the privilege of citizenship. In this new World order the Children of Israel will furnish all the leaders without encountering opposition it will then be possible for the Jewish rulers to abolish private property and... "Thus will the promise of the Babylonian Talmud be fulfilled, in which it is said that when the Messianic time is come, the Jews will have all the property of the whole World in their hands." Baruch Levy, Letter to Karl Marx, La Revue de Paris, p_.574 1 June 1928. "Some call it Marxism, I call it Judaism." Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, The American Bulletin, 15 May 1935. "It is not by accident that Judaism gave birth to Marxism, and it is not an accident that the Jews readily took up Marxism. All that is in perfect accord with the progress of Judaism and the Jews." A Program for the Jews and an Answer to All Anti-Semites Harry Waton, 1939, page 148: "The Jews might have had Uganda, Madagascar, and other places for the establishment of a Jewish Fatherland, but they wanted nothing except Palestine: not because the Dead Sea water by evaporation can produce five trillion dollars of metaloids and powdered metals; not because the sub-soil of Palestine contains twenty times more petroleum than all the combined reserves of the two Americas; but because Palestine constitutes the veritable center of World political power, the strategic center for World control."

Nahum Goldman, President, World Jewish Congress, 1960's: "The image of the World in 1987 as traced in my imagination: The Cold War will be a thing of the past On the other hand, the increasing influence of the workers and farmers, add the rising political importance of men of science, may transform the United States into a welfare state with a planned economy Europe will become a federation of autonomous states having a Socialist and democratic regime. With the exception of the USSR as a federated Eurasian state, all other continents will become united in a World alliance at whose disposal will be an international police force. All armies will be abolished, and there will be no more wars. In Jerusalem, the United Nations (a truly United Nations) will build a Shrine of the Prophets to serve the federated union of all continents; this will be the seat of the Supreme Court of Mankind, to settle all controversies among the federated continents as prophesied by Isaiah." David Be-Gurion, Prime Minister of Israel. Look Magazine, 16 January 1962. **"The World Zionist movement is big business.** In the first two decades after Israel's precarious birth in 1948 it channeled an estimated four billion dollars in donations into the country. Following the 1967 Arab-Israeli war, the Zionists raised another \$730 million in just two years. This year, 1970, the movement is seeking five hundred million dollars. Gottlieb Hammar, chief Zionist money raiser, said, 'When the blood flows, the money flows.'" Lawrence Mosher National Observer, 18 May. 1970. "With regard to Israel, the Holocaust may be used to forestall political criticism and suppress debate; it reinforces the sense of Jews as an eternally beleaguered people who can rely for their defense only upon themselves ... and is expected to convince doubters of the legitimacy of current Israeli government policy". Paula Hyman Jewish history teacher, Columbia University, New York Times Magazine September 1980 p.79

It's reported that many Israelis, using the word Shoah, which is Hebrew for Holocaust: "There's no business like Shoah-business." Noted Jewish author/publisher Jacobo Timmerman "The Longest War" Vintage NY, 1982. "The relentless media campaign could be called: Holocaustmania" Jewish historian, Alfred Liliental. The Holocaust is: "... an entire industry, with handsome profits for writers, researchers, film-makers, monument builders, museum planners and even politicians." Some rabbis and theologians are: "partners in this big business." British Chief Rabbi, Immanuel Jakobovits in: "Jakobovits" by H. Shapiro, Jerusalem Post, Israel, 26 November 1987, p.1. Refer to, "The Babylonian Talmud Unmasked" (1892) by I.B.Pranaitis or "Jewish History, Jewish Religion" (1999) by Jewish Professor Israel Shahak. For a REAL SHOCK, try "The Thirteenth Stone" p.505, or even more so, "God - The Ultimate Paradox" pages 80-84. (It is worth noting that Reverend I.B.Pranaitis met his death at the hands of the Bolsheviks from the booklet entitled, "Why Don't You Believe What We Tell You?" by Noontide Press, 1992, 40 pages. The last four quotes are from a pamphlet titled, "THE HOLOCAUST: Let's Hear Both Sides" by Mark Weber. (Institute for Historical Review, 6/98, website: <http://www-ihr.org>). The "Roman Catholic" Church controls the last World power. Her allies are the European (now trans-Atlantic) Black Nobility. Rome will accomplish her one World government New World Order (NWO) through a religious union with the Protestant Evangelical denominations backed by US military force. The self-styled Jewish international bankers will be a party to the deal. These people earned the title of "Black" nobility from their ruthless lack of scruple. They employed murder, rape, kidnapping, assassination, robbery, and all manner of deceit on a grand scale, brooking no opposition to attaining their objectives. These all have immense wealth. And money is power. The most powerful of the Black Nobility families are located in Italy, Germany, Switzerland, Britain, Holland and Greece in that order. Their roots may be traced back to the Venetian oligarchs, who are of Khazar extraction, and married into these royal houses in the early part of the twelfth century. Following a great Khazar victory over the Arabs, the future Emperor, Constantine V, married a Khazar princess and their son became Emperor Leo IV, also known as "Leo the Khazar". The Medecci popes, and Pius XII (Eugenio Pacelli) were Khazars, as is the recently deceased Pope, John Paul II. Not all Black Nobility are royal houses, and many of the royal families no longer have kingdoms. According to researcher and author Dr. John Coleman, a "Committee of 300" was established early in the eighteenth century, "although it did not take on its present form until around 1897", when the China opium trade was legalised. Theodore Herzl organized this Jewish super-organization at the First Zionist Congress in Basel, Switzerland, headquarters of the BIS Bank of International Settlements, the mother of all banks. Its purpose was to manage the political power of Rothschild's huge kingdom which was rapidly absorbing the wealth of the

Gentiles and to realize the Babylonian Talmudic perversion that the "Jews" were the "chosen people" and that God had promised them that they should rule the World (Rothschild Money Trust, George Armstrong, pp.24-25). It includes the Queen of Holland, the Queen of Denmark and all the royal families of Europe, or Black Nobility. It's head today is Queen Elizabeth II. Documentary proof as to the existence of the "Committee of 300" is not forthcoming, and it may be no more than a convenient phrase to describe certain key players. Socialist politician and financial adviser to the Rothschilds, Walter Rathenau, writing in the Wiener Press (24 December, 1921) said, "Only 300 men, each of whom knows all others govern the fate of Europe. They select their successors from their own entourage. These men have the means in their hands of putting an end to the form of State which they find unreasonable." Exactly six months after publication, Rathenau was assassinated.

The NWO system will control the whole wealth of the World system and force the whole Earth into its trap, or will kill them, by refusing the privilege of buying and selling whereby they would make a living. In the meantime, Rome has acquired nearly all the supplies of gold. The Jews Banking Dynasties have the bonds and all the paper. At the right time, they will destroy the present day money system by calling in all the paper, and demanding gold. With no gold, the system falls. All will be obedient to Rome because Rome CONTROLS the gold of the World. Thus all the people will be at the mercy of the NOW for they cannot buy or sell without the "Mark of the Beast" (Anti-Christ, Dajjal). Rome will "control the gold of the World" through her alliance with the Black Nobility and the fealty of the Rothschilds. It is important to note that the Rothschilds do not loan-out gold or silver. They deal only in "paper credit" but often require that interest payments to them be made in gold and/or silver as they have done with the Australian Treasury for decades. And Australia's Treasury is staffed by agents of the City of London, and Australia is the World's third largest gold miner. But Rome will CONTROL the gold. Let's reconsider some aspects of this coming World takeover. Recently we found that Mr. Norman Dodd, Director of Research for Congressman Reece's Senate Select Committee investigation into subversive activities of the tax-exempt foundations was asked to orient his investigation toward uncovering a conspiracy AGAINST the United States (Bill McLihany, The Tax-exempt Foundations). Yes, the United States has been invaded from within at taxpayer expense. In an interview Dodd said, "Investigations lead to the reasonable suspicion that behind the events of history is an entity that is dynastic and perpetuates itself. That entity is what is meant when you hear people talk about "they this" and "they that" which leads one to confront them with a natural question, "Well who is they?" And there's really no answer but it does support the fact that the question, who are "they?" confines this knowledge to a very, very few. Now from the base of that very few, "they," as it were, issue franchises to groups who are capable and willing, in slang terms, to keep their mouth shut, number one, and number two, to perform whatever this central agency feels is a necessity."

"Now, we hear of the Rothschilds in the World of finance. They are not the originators of the control over finance and the practices therein. But they have been given a franchise to control the money aspect of the people of the World. In return for their exercising this control and keeping their mouth shut, they are given handsome privileges of money manipulation and of course increase their money wealth by leaps and bounds." "Now the Rockefellers are another example and they are given a franchise that directs that they in turn are very active in the control of the content of education (propaganda). In return for that they are given vast privileges of one kind or another. And so it goes, if we were to diagram it out we could take almost any function." "They" is the Vatican in UN "Holy Alliance" with the Black Nobility or Venetian Oligarchy. And as stated, it seems that the authority for the franchise to Rothschild and others stems from the secret Articles in the Treaty of Verona (1822) Holy Alliance, signed by Austria, France, Prussia and Russia. This Treaty binds members of the Holy Alliance to co-operate to preserve monarchical rule and to put down popular government. As it is in force today it is a conspiracy to restore the monarchical rule of Portugal and Spain in South America and the (open) rule of (once) Great Britain in the United States of America. This Treaty was the basis of the Monroe Doctrine which declared that the US would regard any attempt by the powers of Europe to reassert hegemony over any of the South American countries to be an act of hostility against the United States. This Treaty would seem to be the historic and legal basis whereby the Kings of Earth or Black Nobility give their power to the Beast because their Divine right to rule is by virtue of and subject to Rome's Pope who wears the Triple crown of dominion over Heaven, Earth and Purgatory.

Illuminatis Fooling The Masses (alliance between Rothschild Zionism and Rome Jesuits)

Now, let's read the words of another Illuminist about how they keep fooling the masses while the serfdom and slavery of the New World Order progresses into our lives. **The Illuminists and their key-positioned enforcers or stooges are working to financially enslave the masses with their monopoly on the creation of bank via the privately owned central banks, to destroy all nation states & religions and replace them with a one World totalitarian tyrannical government and an enforced Illuminati Luciferian Pharisaic Satanic worship. For this plan or conspiracy to continue, they have to trigger successions of wars after which people will become submissive to the idea of a one-World totalitarian government. Where there's war, there's also mega-money for the Illuminati Bankers.**

Brock Chisolm, former Director of the World Health Organization - "To achieve World government, it is necessary to remove from the minds of men, their individualism, loyalty to family traditions, national patriotism and religious dogmas" (sounds very much like Rothschild's Protocols of the Elders of Zion, see the appendix in this book).



Richard Salant, Former President of CBS news said: "Our job is to give people not what they want, but what we decide they ought to have."

In the 400 page book "Blood Lines of the Illuminati by Fritz Springmeier" (Paperback - November 1998 available from Amazon.com; some extracts in this book) Fritz summarizes the main activities of the 13 major houses of World control, i.e. in English versions of the names: The Rothschilds, The Rockefellers, The DuPonts, The Bundys, The Astors, The Russels, The Kennedys, The Freemans, The Li's, The Onassis', The Van Duyns, The Merovingians (bloodline from 5th century French nobility) and The Collins'. They are the real World mafia, like the ancient feudal lords who ruled the peasant masses with their enforcers and priests.

On page 161 of the Conspirators Hierarchy, Dr Coleman accurately summarizes the intent and purpose of the Illuminati Committee of 300 as follows: "A One World Government and one-unit monetary system, under permanent non-elected [self-appointed nobles] hereditary oligarchists, who self-select from among their numbers in the form of a feudal system as it was in the Middle Ages. In this One World entity, population will be limited by restrictions on the number of children per family, diseases, wars, famines, until 1 billion people who are useful to the ruling class, in areas which will be strictly and clearly defined, remain as the total World population. There will be no middle class, only rulers and the servants. All laws will be uniform under a legal system of World courts practicing the same unified code of laws, backed up by a One World Government police force and a One World unified military to enforce laws in all former countries where no national boundaries shall exist. The system will be on the basis of a welfare state; those who are obedient and subservient to the One World Government will be rewarded with the means to live; those who are rebellious will simple be starved to death or be declared outlaws, thus a target for anyone who wishes to kill them. Privately owned firearms or weapons of any kind will be prohibited."


"If newsmen do not tell the truth as they see it because it might make waves, or if their bosses decide something should or should not be broadcast because of Washington or Main Street consequences, we have dishonored ourselves and we have lost the First Amendment by default." This is exactly what has happened! And unfortunately, the ones who try to bring out the truth are forced to resign or fired (recent case of BBC news director and journalist who were forced to resign after exposing the Tony Blair lies). Common people, especially Americans, do not seem to understand, or maybe some don't want to understand, the principle, perfected many centuries ago by the Romans, that has been implemented by the mega-banking dynasties. To solidify power, the emperor creates so-called "enemies" or "bogey-men" and then builds up armies to protect his subjects from "them", i.e. create fake terror and blame it on the ones you need an excuse to occupy or remove (see the section on the History of Fake Terror in this book). So, now we've learned about fake - Jews/Christians/Moslems /Money/ Terror/ News/ Tests/ Elections/ Capital/ Videos/ Politicians/ Alerts/ etc. No wonder Michael Moore said to George W. Bush at the March 2003 Academy Awards that "We live in fictitious times. We live in the time where we have fictitious election results that elect a fictitious president. We live in a time where we have a man sending us to war for fictitious reasons. Whether it's the fiction of duct tape or fiction of orange alerts we are against this war, Mr. Bush. Shame on you, Mr. Bush, shame on you."

Thomas Jefferson in 1799 gave the following warning, which has been ignored, along with other good advice right up to the present day: "Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day, but a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, unalterable through every change of ministers, too plainly prove a deliberate, systematic plan of reducing us to slavery." Subsequently referred to as: "the conspiracy theory".



The following confirms what Presidents Jefferson, Lincoln and Jackson feared would happen when Corporations became "enthroned" and worked upon the prejudices of the people in order to maintain their grip on power and the World's resources.

When oil was discovered in the Mideast around 1914, it joined Caspian Sea oil in breaking the Worldwide monopoly of Standard Oil. However, Rockefeller was not about to tolerate any competition to his syndicate. The Rockefeller dynasty's motto is "Competition is a Sin" and they always find dirty ways to monopolize the industries they operate in, i.e. they believe in monopoly-capitalism. Russian oil had been pushed out of the loop by the Russian Revolution and the only remaining source of foreign oil was the Mideast. This necessitated Standard Oil control of the area. It just so happened that the Arabs controlled all of it and they were on Ignatius LIEola's hit list since the 16th century. Here was a



chance to control the best oil in the World and at the same time destroy the Moslem religion.

"There are people who control spacious territories teeming with manifest and hidden resources. They dominate the intersections of World routes. Their lands were the cradles of human civilizations and religions. These people have one faith, one language, one history and the same aspirations. No natural barriers can isolate these people from one another ... if, per chance, this nation were to be unified into one state, it would then take the fate of the World into its hands and would separate Europe from the rest of the World. Taking these considerations seriously, a foreign body should be planted in the heart of this nation to prevent the convergence of its wings in such a way that it could exhaust its powers in never-ending wars. It could also serve as a springboard for the West to gain its coveted objects." **1902 - Sir Campbell Bannerman, Prime Minister of Britain [1905-08]**

As is well known, the owners of Standard Oil and its allies have always coveted the oil and gas reserves of the World and have used every trick in the book to own

or control its supply. **In his Reminiscences, John D. Rockefeller**, the owner of Standard Oil (ESSO), informed us that the entire American administration - including the CIA, and its Armed forces were at its disposal to achieve what it could not otherwise achieve by fair means or foul: "One of our greatest helpers has been the State Department in Washington. Our ambassadors and ministers and consuls have aided to push our way into new markets to the utmost comers of the World..."

As Garry Allen also points out in his: The Rockefeller File, pages 159-160: "American foreign policy has meant billions of dollars for the Rockefellers. It has been paid for in many cases by the blood of our soldiers and in every case by the sweat of our tax payers".

A point further confirmed by Washington reporter Jack Anderson in 1967: "...the State Department has often taken its policies right out of the executive suites of the oil companies. When Big Oil can't get what it wants in foreign countries, the State Department tries to get it for them. In many countries, the American Embassies function virtually as branch offices for the Oil combine...The State Department can be found almost always on the side of the "seven sisters" as the oil giants are known inside the industry..." To which Allen further adds: "Just as the Rockefellers make sure that their capos (men) are running "our" perennial disastrous foreign policy, you can bet your last devalued dollar that the Rockefeller Mafia controls the national and international money game. The Rockefellers have made the Treasury Department virtually a branch of Chase Manhattan Bank." (By the way, Chase Manhattan and other large banks began dumping municipal security bonds in 1974, touching off a financial crisis for New York City in the next year). This global control of oil - through the power of money enforced by an army of military bailiffs - began in the late 1880's with the decision made by Admiral Jack Fisher that the British Fleet would convert to oil as its primary fuel which, under the policy of "strategic denial", would then belong to Britain and be denied, where ever possible, to all others.

Winston Churchill who openly and unashamedly declared: "We must become the owners, or at any rate the controllers at the source, of at least a proportion of the oil which we require..." This policy, regarding the oilfields of Mesopotamia (present day Iraq) proposed by Churchill in 1913 was fully endorsed by a British Royal Commission, which completely agreed with his policies. We should not forget the admission of T.E. Lawrence in his 'Seven Pillars of Wisdom' that his "betrayal", of the Arabs, was a "regrettable" but necessary device in arriving at a "cheap and speedy victory" in order to protect Britain's "petroleum" interests in "Mesopotamia." Which resulted in the 'Red Line Agreement' and the official carving up of the oil wealth of the Islamic World following permanent dismemberment of the Turkish Caliphate and the ushering into existence (through terrorism and deception) the two "Secular: Zionist States of "Modern" Turkey and Israel."

President Woodrow Wilson, following the establishment of the privately owned Federal Reserve System in 1913: "We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in

 "Let me explainify the war against Iraq a little bit in Texas terminology."

We  not  Saddam Hussein for his actions.

We will  to meet this threat in the Persian  until an  ble solution is reached.

Our plan is to .

Failing that, we ing to kick his ass.

DemocracyMeansYou.com

the World - no longer a Government of free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominant men."

Standard Oil financed both sides during WWI. When it was over, the British got control of Palestine from the Arabs. The fake "Jews" in Germany were invited to go and live there but few of them took up the offer because they had no connection with the Mideast. World War II changed all that. Standard Oil worked closely with I. G. Farben in Germany. They manufactured the gas for the ovens. When the war was over, the surviving "Jews" were more than willing to immigrate to Palestine. The State of "Israel" was officially recognized by the Rockefeller controlled U.N. on May 14, 1948.

1941. "If war aims are stated which seem to be solely concerned with Anglo-American imperialism, they will offer little to people in the rest of the World. The interests of other peoples should be stressed. This would have a better propaganda effect" Private memo from The Council of Foreign Relations to the US State Department, 1941

1947. "Our strategic and security interests throughout the World will be best safeguarded by the establishment in suitable spots of 'Police Stations', fully equipped to deal with emergencies within a large radius. Kuwait is one such spot from which Iraq, South Persia, Saudi Arabia and the Persian Gulf could be controlled. It will be worthwhile to go to considerable trouble and expense to establish and man a 'Police Station' there." British Foreign Office, policy memo 1947.

David Ben Gurion, Time Magazine, August 16th 1948: "The United Nations is a Jewish Ideal". The image of the World...as traced in my imagination -- the increasing influence of the farmers and workers, and the rising political influence of men of science, may transform the United States into a welfare state with a planned economy. Western and Eastern Europe will become a federation of autonomous states having a socialist and democratic regime. With the exception of the U.S.S.R. as a federated Eurasian state, all other continents will become united in a World alliance, at whose disposal will be an international police force. All armies will be abolished, and there will be no more wars. In Jerusalem, the United Nations (A truly United Nations) will build a shrine of the Prophets to serve the federated union of all continents; this will be the seat of the Supreme Court of mankind, to settle all controversies among the federated continents." (David Ben Gurion)

Harry Klein, New York Jewish Lawyer: "The United Nations is Zionism". In 'Zionism Rules The World'. If the United Nations continues to fail to exercise its mandate in the interests of the whole of humanity, then its supporters and critics would appear to be correct in their assessments of its aims and objectives. Furthermore, as the Rockefellers and Standard Oil provided the land for the United Nations and much of its initial funding it seems unlikely that their intentions for the organisation were - at any stage - honourable or humanitarian; and explain why Israel and the United States are never brought to task for their continuous rejection of any U.N. resolution deemed "hostile to their interests" - For which read Rockefeller, Standard Oil, et al.

United States Congressman James B. Utt: "The United Nations has strict double standards which guide it through its devious treacherous path to World domination."

James Paul Warburg, to the United States Senate on February 17th, 1950: "We will have World government, whether or not we like it. The only question is, whether World government will be achieved by conquest or consent." If America does not heed these warnings, she may well become, (as predicted by David Ben-Gurion in Look magazine and Life magazine of January 1962): "A Welfare State with A Planned Economy" with Jerusalem as the centre of a United Nation's World Government at whose disposal will be a World police force - NATO.

General David Sharp a former United States Marine Commandant 1966: "I believe that if we had and would keep our dirty, bloody, dollar soaked fingers out of the business of these [Third World] nations so full of depressed, exploited people, they will arrive at a solution of their own, And if unfortunately their revolution must be of the violent type because the "haves" refuse to share with the "have-nots" by any peaceful method, at least what they get will be their own, and not the American style, which they don't want and above all don't want crammed down their throats by Americans."

1998. "The U.S.A. has supplied arms, security equipment and training to governments and groups that have committed torture, political killings and other human rights abuses in countries around the World." **Amnesty International** ["United States of America - Rights for All] **October 1998**

Ramsey Clark the Former U.S. Attorney General under President Lyndon Johnson made absolutely clear: "The greatest crime since World War II has been U.S. foreign policy." No one, with any real knowledge of the present situation in Afghanistan or the Middle East is under any illusion that the present crisis is all about oil and other hydrocarbons which is the holy grail or oily grail for the likes of Bush, Kissinger, Enron, Unocal, and Standard Oil - for which also read

Rockefeller, the Council on Foreign Relations, the U.N. and NATO. And as you can surmise from the above quotes, Israel is the Illuminati's state with the World's third largest stockpile of nuclear, biological and chemical WMDs!

There are four interconnected major power cooperative brotherhoods or infiltrated fronts discussed in this book (with a mix of Fabianism, Marxism and Nazism). These fronts together form the web of the New World Order (NWO) all working together behind many other front organizations to be discussed later and detailed in the various sections and appendixes of this book, i.e. The Illuminati (Nazi and Luciferians Banking Dynasties headed by the Rothschild and Rockefeller Banking and Industrial-Military Dynasties), The Masons (Mystic Ancient Shrine Order of Nobles, Templars, etc.), The Zionists (Pharisees, Priore de Sion or Zion, Black Khazar Nobility, see the appendix on the Chabad-Lubavitchers sect) and The Vatican including its Bank (Jesuits; see the later section and appendix on the signs of the ancient Occult in the Vatican and the Bank of Rome; remember The 1981 P2 Propaganda Due Scandal that was revealed in Italy, providing a Masonic link between Italian and American banking, the Vatican, the Mafia and the KGB). All groups are coordinated by the Pilgrims Society (London 1902, New York 1903)

Do Sabbatean/Frankist Jews Rule The World? Are the Illuminati Banking Dynasties Jewish?

The history of the Zionist Sabbatean empire is a sordid one, and a long one going back decades. Jimmy Carter was correct as always. The reason you hear nothing about these stories in the media is not because everyone is a racist liar. It's because AIPAC controls the media and the message and will never allow it to be news. For more details, check out <http://nomorefraud.blogspot.com/2006/12/and-in-came-first-witness.html> .

Do Jews Control The World? by Dr. Henry Makow http://www.henrymakow.com/do_jews_control_the_world.html <http://www.rense.com/general78/jcontrol.htm> This question is the proverbial elephant in the room, the dysfunction that members of the human family dare not mention. So when Richard Dawkins recently remarked that the Israel Lobby controls American foreign policy, Daniel Finkelstein, a Jewish editor of the London Times "Comments" section heard Nazi storm troopers banging on his door. "So Dawkins, a liberal hero, believes, er, that Jews control world power." Finkelstein sighed. "And, judging from the Guardian, it is now a part of mainstream debate to say so. Perhaps you think I am over-reacting, but I am a little bit frightened. All I can manage is, Oh My God." Finkelstein's outburst is ironic. Here is a Jewish opinion gatekeeper, employed by a Jewish press magnate (Rupert Murdoch), shocked at the mention of Jewish power, persuading the public that the very suggestion is in bad taste. He cannot be accused of objectivity.

The Times is not just any newspaper. It has been the voice of the British establishment for more than 100 years. Along with Chatham House (the RIIA) and Tavistock, it is a principal instrument of the cabal that governs England and most of the world. That cabal consists of Jewish central bankers and British (European and American) aristocracy united by money, marriage and a belief in the occult (Freemasonry.)

ANTI-SEMITISM

I object to the term "the Jews" when obviously we are talking about very rich and powerful Jews who have intermarried with rich and powerful Gentiles. Sid the tailor does not control the world. I do not control the world. We are talking about rich Jews who most other Jews wouldn't even recognize: they are Freemasons. They worship Lucifer and think God is evil. I represent that silent majority of average Jews, who have assimilated, and support the national interest. Rich Jews will want ordinary Jews to take the fall when opposition grows to their predatory agenda and anti-Semitism increases. Anti-Semitism never made any headway in Europe in the Nineteenth and early Twentieth Century until rich Jews decided to sponsor it. There is no way Hitler would have come to power without the backing of world finance. Hitler was Time Magazine "Man of the Year" in 1938. Stalin, another Freemason created by the Money Power, took the honor in 1939. Hey let's have a war between the two!

The central bankers owned I.G. Farben, the backbone of the Nazi war machine. Max Warburg was a Director until 1938. In March 1941 the Warburg family and employees were spirited out of Nazi Europe by the SS. Hitler so hated the Jewish bankers that he had them escorted to safety in a private train. Assimilated and religious Jews who did not serve the bankers' interests were the main victims of Nazi persecution. Zionists, on the other hand, were spared. These same Zionists put ordinary Jews on trains to Auschwitz [forced labor camp] telling them they were being "resettled." If the past is a guide, in an economic or social breakdown, the bankers will focus blame on their pawns, Zionists or neo nons, or preferably Jews in general. This is why patriotic Jews must disassociate from the bankers and their minions now.

WHAT IS ANTI-SEMITISM?

Anti-Semitism might be called anti-imperialism. At heart, it is opposition to the plan of the central bankers, based in the City of London, to "gradually absorb the wealth of the world" and establish a masked "world government" dictatorship. This involves stupefying and degrading society through faux education, porn and violence; and bankrupting and brutalizing us through war, pandemics and domestic repression. It involves destroying "all collective forces except our own" which means destroying all race, religion, (God), nation and family. They use social engineering like diversity, feminism, multiculturalism and homosexuality to accomplish these aims. (I like homosexuals & different races; I just don't think they should be used as a weapon.) The bankers place cooperative Jews in positions of control. The stigma attached to anti-Semitism is a form of mind control used to immunize their agents and their agenda against criticism. The Holocaust is callously used for this purpose. If we think of anti-Semitism in terms of opposition to the bankers' political

and cultural policy rather than to a race, it can be justified. The key is to distinguish between Jews who advance the New World Order and those who do not.

JEWS ARE THE BIGGEST DUPES

Socialism and Communism are Jewish in origin, membership and character. Throughout the past century, naïve Jews flocked to the Red banner. The clarion call: "Capitalists have all the wealth. Communism will result in equality." This clarion call was just bait. The richest people in the world created & financed Communism; their agenda was to further concentrate wealth. Socialism is the same thing on a smaller scale, a way of electing world banker lackeys and increasing government power. (Socialism may have some intrinsic merit but this is not why they back it.) The false conflict between "public" and "private"; Left and Right; government and free enterprise; keeps the public in a political trance. Jews like Noam Chomsky and Naomi Klein uphold this false dichotomy. They blame everything on the private sector, on corporations, on US imperialism. Left versus Right. Government vs Free Enterprise. The truth is the corporations she vilifies own both her publisher and the politicians Right and Left. Public vs Private is meaningless today because Private controls Public (through debt.) In a recent profile Ms. Klein says: "I don't question being a leftist any more than I would question being a Jew it's the culture I got taught as a kid." The day when Naomi Klein advocates government take control of its m credit, or traces the Mossad role in 9-11, will be the day she disappears from the mass media. She is an example of what many socialist Jewish dupes do best; control the debate, enrich themselves and wax self-righteous as benefactors. They are the company union.

CONCLUSION

In 2004, I wrote: "Anti-Semitism is not an irrational hatred or sickness in the Gentile soul, as Jews imagine. It is a healthy defense mechanism of mainly Christian and Moslem nations, cultures, races and religions that are threatened by a gradual and insidious process of extinction (i.e. world government.)" If anti-Semitism is opposition to the world banker agenda, rather than to all Jews heedless of their role, then I see anti-Semitism as a healthy sign. We cannot allow them use this disingenuous ploy to outlaw Resistance to Tyranny. Jews...everyone... must not be manipulated any longer. Its not Jews nor Gentiles but Satanists who control the world. _____

--- Henry Makow Ph.D. is the author of "Cruel Hoax: Feminism and the New World Order." www.cruelhoax.ca) His articles can be found at his web site www.1henrymakow.com He enjoys receiving comments, some of which he posts on his site using first names only. hmakow@gmail.com http://www.henrymakow.com/do_jews_control_the_world.html

Anti-Semitism - Never made any Headway in Europe in the Nineteenth and early Twentieth Century until Rich Jews decided to Sponsor it. There is no way Hitler would have come to power without the backing of world finance. Hitler was Time Magazine "Man of the Year" in 1938. Stalin, another Freemason created by the Money Power, took the honor in 1939. Related: "Which Hidden Power Created Hitler?" <http://www.henrymakow.com/002132.html> and "The Zionist Protection Racket" <http://www.henrymakow.com/000889.html>

===== COMMENTS:

Anthony said (October 12, 2007):

Kudos to you for an eloquent and compelling article reflecting your jewish humanity and morality. I could not agree more with you that zionism, rather than being a strictly jewish phenomenon, in practice represents a Greedy and Callous Ruling "Elite" made of Jews, Christians and Moslems for whom predatory and devastating wars with millions of victims are of no concern as long as they are lining their pockets and maintaining/increasing their power and influence.

===== Frank said (October 11, 2007):

I have been a reader of Jeff Rense website for several years and I have always found your articles quite educational and inspiring. I mostly agree with what you write, quite eloquently I say, however, The so called Rich Jews you refer to are not Jews at all but are actually decendants of the biblical entity Cain i.e. Kenites, Rev.2:9 and Rev.3:9. I do however realize how difficult that would be for most people to understand Thank you for your wonderful articles as free speech is losing ground in the 'Land of the Fee and Home of the Slave'.

===== Jim said (October 11, 2007):

Your article on Rense.com today, "Do Jews Control the World" was one of the best articles ever written in the last twenty years. Very few really understand the "hof juden's" (court jews) role as high level "cutouts". But you do and you write about it better than anyone else. And the vast majority of regular jews of normal everyday society often get blamed by the world for the Cutouts abominable acts. These high level Cutouts are the ones that can be denied by the elite city of London bankshare holders ("we don't have any linkages to them, they run the money, entertainment and media on their own", yah right). Of course these cutouts get paid a lot and provided a lot of privileges for their willingness to literally "sell their souls" to mammon and lucifer. And then they play the "holocaust card" so well. Holocaust, translated apparently literally means "fiery sacrifice". Why is this word used and who was sacrificing who? I have always thought it was best to talk about these death camps accurately by referring to them as extermination or genocide camps for the jews, christians, dissentors and others judged undesirable.

===== Reader said (October 10, 2007):

That's the scary part of this conspiracy; The Rothschild king of the Jews doesn't have to issue secret step-by-step instructions. The conspiracy is a lot closer to us all than the 'mysterious' Illuminati. Also anyone still bringing up the

Jesuits in to the discussion should be considered suspect. Do you notice how every time You write an article about the 'Jewishness' of the world-wide subversion, someone posts an obligatory Jesuit-related junk only to muddy up the water? The Jesuits have served their purpose a long time ago when the Kabbalistic Frankists succesfully infiltrated the order and destroyed it from within. The services of the Jesuit order are not needed today, especially when the Hidden Hand can place a Crypto-Frankist on the Pope's seat almost at will. Keep up the good work, Henry

=====

Eric Jon Phelps to his List said (October 10, 2007):

This article by Dr. Henry Makow (a racial Jew himself) is most excellent. What he fails to mention is that the Jews (Masonic Jewish Labor Zionists) of power are mere shills for the pope. They are the pope's masonic "Court Jews," as the late Sherman Skolnik would say. Add this key ingredient and we now will understand why the Mossad played a most obvious, attention-getting part in 911—[posing] as did Al Qaeda. For both intelligence brotherhoods are controlled by the Black Pope via his continued Nazi SS - the CIA/NSA - thanks to the Kinghts of Malta and Operation Paperclip overseen by Knight of Malta J. Peter Grace and his master, JFK assassin Francis Cardinal Spellman. Add this key ingredient and we understand why Rome is using the American military for the annihilation of hundreds of thousands of Arab Moslems - for the future security and development of the pope's revived, Dark Age "Kingdom of Jerusalem"---called "Israel" by God in Exekiel 38:8. Remember, the pope's open but false policy is to be against the war in Iraq; - His Secret but true policy is that He is the Impetus behind that Crusade. Indeed, this 21st Century Crusade is being fought for the Benefit of the Pope yet to be the Antichrist in the coming rebuilt temple in Jerusalem. For the Third Temple to be built, there must be a secure Temple Mount so the work will not be stopped by terrorist bombings and attacks. As it was in the days of Messiah Jesus-Yeshua, so it is today. The Jewish leaders of banking and political power "have no king but Caesar"---the pope of Rome. The average racial Jew is to be "extirpated from the face of the earth" as per the Jesuit Oath of the Fourth Vow quoted from six different sources in Vatican Assassins III.

=====

Peter said (October 10, 2007):

Its not Jews nor Gentiles but Satanists who control the world. This last sentence from your recent article is almost correct. It's Satan who controls the world and who is "the god of this world". Satanists are simply the Devil's agents (possessed by demons).

=====

Terry said (October 10, 2007):

Some years ago i was friendly with a learned rabbi who was part of the cold war effort. He told me much of this. Lord Rothschild told the Cambridge Traitors they would all have powerful positions when England collapsed and the soviets took over. He even kidded the Scottish miser john cairncross to spy for nothing, but most of all he told them that the jews were the rightful world rulers, who through finance, would ultimately take over. But its good to see all this in print,

=====

John said (October 10, 2007):

Stepping back and looking at the "Biggest Picture" one must realize that the primary motivator behind the Illuminati is a spiritual one. Lucifer is the author of confusion and lies, and he is so successful because he inserts just enough truth to make the "medicine" palatable. I believe that "Svali" and Springmeier have been silenced simply because they came the closest to the truth of the organization. Of course others like Coleman have come close too. I find it eyebrow raising to see Mexico's Fox let slip information on the NAU and the "Amero" yesterday in his interview on CN....but few other than WND are getting the word out. Notice that the MSM is mum on the subject. Its becoming clearer every day that the Illuminati are employing an incremental methodology in their implimentation of the NWO, "the Frog in the Pot" seems to be how they plan to roll out their control devices. I have wondered lately if the recent credit and stockmarket and metals manipulations have been "practice" for the big crash that they supposedly have planned or are they going to sneak that on us incrementally too? I have done all that I can to prepare my resources for what I believe is coming...but there is no clear picture in my mind yet as to exactly when things will unravel economically. This waiting for the "other shoe to drop" requires patience.

=====

Jack said (October 10, 2007):

The number of Jews actively involved in advancing the New World Order conspiracy is no doubt minuscule. Exacerbating the problem is the intuitive inclination of Jews generally to blindly support Jewish (read Zionist) leadership without regard for adverse ramifications or consequences. That support arises out of the innate or instilled Jewish feeling of exaggerated uniqueness as a people - which promotes an "us versus them" mentality - along with the ever present "They're All Out to Get Us" Paranoia which seems to afflict most Jews and which is continuously reinforced by propaganda, usually relating to the so-called "Holocaust," which was aptly termed "The Hoax of the Twentieth Century" by Professor Arthur Butz, of Northwestern University, in his definitive book of that title. There IS a "New World Order" conspiracy, as you are well aware, and most of those who know of it do regard it as a Jewish conspiracy. Right or wrong, that is only natural given the disproportionate number of Jews involved in it, especially at its highest levels. It is simply not enough to say that most Jews do not "advance the banker New World Order." To properly address the anti-Semitism problem it will be necessary for respectable Jewish leadership, as well as Jews generally, to take a leading position in exposing this conspiracy and give their all to ultimately dismantle it altogether.

=====

Phil said (October 10, 2007):

Dr. Makow, I'm half-Jew who has been awakening from the mental programming for the past couple years ever since I started investigating 9/11. Your site has always provided great articles that helped expand my quest from just "9/11 Truth" to so much more. I found the recent article on Jews owning the world quite well-articulated, and it occurred to me that you may be interested in an anti-Zionist song my band just recorded called "Pavlov's Jews."

<http://www.myspace.com/idreamofapocalypse> . It addresses the Blind Obedience the Average Jew gives to Zionism, Holocaust guilt, and the general burdens we're forced to endure from infancy. Now, it is a crazy thrash metal song which may be too intense for a middle-aged guy, so here's also the lyrics ;) Pavlov's Jews - "Never again" and "Never forget" words that sanction any dark deed they use the Holocaust to justify their crime And you're too afraid to challenge their lies - Zionist criminals cloak themselves in Judaism, banking on credulity they hand-feed you propaganda. - Members of the Diaspora bonded by burden not by blood We are made insane by the mountains of martyrs dumped upon our poor blank slates. - Don't research history just believe what they say Like a good little dog they know that you'll obey - (bell jingles) Pavlov's Jew Pavlov's Jews - Bark when they say bark Jump when they say jump Don't think for yourself Leave that up to someone else - Pavlov's Jews Pavlov's Jews - You don't see the danger lurking in the distance We'll die for their crimes they'll hide and survive - They scream anti-Semite and then it's time to hate When they cry poor you rush to donate. - The guilt oozes through your veins Praise your masters tugging the reigns. - Crushed by the burden of historical guilt lifetime of good deeds impossible to surmount. - We never made a commitment but always feel obligated haunted by infamous slaughters like Granada in 1066. - Pogroms and massacres Details to be memorized "By deception thou shalt do war" Is Mossad at the door? - Attack! Attack! We're always under attack But maybe there's a reason every hundred years some country kicks our ass! - Refuse to play the part scripted for a Jew commit a worse sin than a Christian, Muslim, or Hindu. - But maybe this generation will not be afraid maybe we'll see who we truly ought to hate. - Be different from the different Choose to be unchosen. - lyrics copyright 2007 I Dream of Apocalypse

=====

Graeme said (October 10, 2007):

The proper way to say it is....Satanists control the world! It just so happens that largest contingent of ruling satanists happen to be 'Jews' even though they're NOT related to Israelites... they are Khazarian Scythians, an Asiatic mongoloid race of people who have absolutely NO claim to any land in the middle east whatsoever.... the Rothschilds are the leading satanic bloodline on the planet and are the primary rulers! They created the satanic state of Israel in 1948! These people are evil Cabalah practising, human sacrificing, blood-drinking, devil worshipping creeps! There is also a large Scottish contingent to the satanic world order as well, it's not all 'jewish' also the Li family in China is very powerful..... many last names of powerful people have been changed as well to hide their Jewish lineage! The most important thing for people to realize is that this a spiritual battle and even these evil world leaders are puppets themselves for the puppetmaster....Satan aka zeus, jupiter, pan, saturn, cronos, lucifer, baal, osiris, beelzubub, thor, quetzalcoatl, the morning star, the rising sun, etc..... they are all one and the same fallen angel creep who is in complete control of this planet!!! The 13 primary satanic bloodlines led by the Rothschilds are his main tool for the enslavement of mankind !

=====

Dan said (October 9, 2007):

On target, Henry. Of course 'the Jews' don't control the world. In the real world I live in, Jews I've known are especially nervous and more concerned about what's going on with world affairs, from having real family histories of being burned by governments and 'movements' all along. Nearly every Jew I've known has been painfully aware since childhood of absent relatives that the rest of the American kids take for granted....like grandparents. I also knew a girl who worked for me during the 90's, who's grandfather's career had been ruined due to having been officially blacklisted by the FBI for having been a communist. He had served in WWII, and his American citizenship wasn't returned to him until 1994, I think, by Clinton who 'pardoned' those denied their social security and veteran's benefits by they 50's blacklist. I imagine that such histories may explain how someone like Klein has been manipulated to serve the 'left' vector, while 'neocon' Jews (coming from left wing socialism) were manipulated to overcompensate by mimicking fascism masquerading as Zionism. So I don't doubt her sincerity when she said, "I don't question being a leftist any more than I would question being a Jew - it's the culture I got taught as a kid." The exploitation of the historical trauma of the downtrodden to serve the very conquerors whom inflicted it is routine. The English broke the Scots - then used some of them to crush and oversee the Irish, as well as sending them to run the slave plantation industry of the old American South. The East India Company, Crown chartered and backed up with Crown soldiers, conquered India by fostering civil wars and recruiting the displaced and disenfranchised locals into the British army. The Romans used the same technique.



TRAITORS - (New Definition)

All People who Oppose Bush's DeMockRacy & Freedom Policy. Not TRAITORS - High U.S. Govt. Dept Chiefs like DHS Chief Machel Chertoff and 'Scooter' Liebowitz, who are Israeli Citizens and 100% real Mirkins at the SAME TIME! Incredible!

=====

- For Zionism to Win - Honest Jews Must keep their Mouth Shut. Don't "Socialize with Social Lies".



<http://www.iamthewitness.com/img/911-outside-job.png>
 ZioNazi Medal? Zionist Star with Swastika on Opposite side
<http://jewssansfrontieres.blogspot.com/2007/05/zionazi-medal.html>

http://bp3.blogger.com/_7FKbMiiwOw0/RkbiFrTpJdI/AAAAAAAAA8/ZZpwwGvorKE/s1600-h/ZioNazi.jpg

Nazi Coin with Catholic church <http://s43.photobucket.com/albums/e372/tithe5th/nazi-vatican/NaziChurchCoin.jpg>

Exposing Satanism, Witchcraft & Satanic New World Order <http://www.exposingsatanism.org/images/Logos/mainlogo.gif>

Executive Summary of the Situation as Corroborated by Tremendous Research

We have already explained in previous sections and the Foreword that the Illuminati Bankers have the monopoly over creating "fiat" fake money and charging interest on it and have shown the disastrous effects of usury. We will not repeat it here.

Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England: "Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back."

The biggest problem facing all human beings today is the creeping slavery created by the private monopoly on the issuance and creation of "fiat" money at no liability to themselves (money created from nothing by the stroke of a pen or keyboard) that the Illuminati Bankers who own the central and local banks have managed to usurp from the people at least since the creation of the private central Bank of Rome in 45 AC, the private central Bank of England in 1694, the private US Federal Reserve Banks in 1913 and so on! These Illuminati Banking Dynasties lend this "fiat" money to the people's Governments, corporations and individuals charging interest on this money which they created from nothing and also make gains by manipulating the issuance of money; the debt and the associated interest payments are inherited and grow from generation to generation forever and have enslaved past, present and future generations eternally!! The only way to momentarily stop this pyramid scheme from collapsing is for governments to keep borrowing more and more!!! Whenever this pyramid collapses, the Illuminati Banksters go on a buying spree for bargains while others suffer!!!! Another way to keep this pyramid going is to create wars & terror so that all sides have to borrow money from the Banksters!!!! Meanwhile, the number of enslaved peoples World-wide keeps growing begging for handouts at the mercy of the Illuminati Banksters whose wealth and power keep skyrocketing!!!! In order to keep their mafia cash cow or Golden Calf system going, the Illuminati Banksters use some of their immense wealth to buy influence and propaganda fronts to blame the Earth's problems on all other systems and religions thus diverting attention from themselves and the fact that they have usurped the power to create money from the people's sovereign governments. The money the BankLords create at no liability to themselves is redeemable for any goods or services produced by anyone willing to accept the private Federal Reserve dollar currency notes and cheques.

Thomas Jefferson: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance... If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered... The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the government to whom it properly belongs."

Representative Wright Patman, former Chairman of a House Banking Committee said: "The Federal Reserve Banks create money out of thin air to buy Government bonds... The Federal Reserve Bank is a total money making machine." [monopoly on counterfeiting money]

Former Federal Reserve Bank Chairman Eccles was asked by Patman, "Mr. Eccles, how did you get the money to buy these two billion dollars of government bonds." Mr. Eccles replied, "We create it." "Out of what?" Patman asked. "Out of the right to issue credit money", i.e. out of nothing. This right to create money out of thin air was given to the Private Federal Reserve Bank by a fraudulent act of Congress in 1913 known as the Federal Reserve Act, same fraud has been happening since the 17th century with the Private Bank of England and other banks. The FED issues Federal Reserve cheques redeemable as Federal Reserve Notes only with no liability to itself. The Fed is not subject to taxes and audits. The local banks create credit money as well but not currency notes.

Illuminati (Globalists): "The Illuminati is a group that practices a form of faith known as "enlightenment". It is Luciferian (Satanic Idolatry or the Synagogue of Satan as referred to in the Bible), and teaches followers that their roots go back to the ancient mystery religions of Babylon, Egypt, and Celtic druidism. They have taken what they consider the "best" of each, the foundational practices, and joined them together into a strongly Occult discipline. Many groups at the local level worship a trinity of ancient deities such as "El", "Baal", and "Ashtarte", as well as "Isis and Osiris" and "Set".... "I do know that these people teach and practice evil." In the "The Dying God, The Hidden History of Western Civilization" Montreal historian David Livingstone shows that modern secular culture is really the product of an Occult tradition that can be traced back to ancient Babylon through Masons, Rosicrucians, Templars, Plato and the Cabalists". The Prophets of God invite us to be freed from this slavery of the Pharisees. Note that the ancient elites created and perpetrated the Occult and Idolatry traditions to keep the masses occupied while they reap their wealth.

What did Baron Amsel (Amschel) Bauer Mayer Rothschild, (The Synagogue of Satan or Lucifer or Holder of The Light hence Satanic Luciferian ILLUMINATI) mean 1744-1812: "Give me control of the economics of a

Illuminism. The Illuminists especially don't like the true Torah, Bible and the Quran because these books forbid USURY, Idolatry, Slavery, adultery, etc.

- **They will create divisions among a unified mass of people, attach labels to groups of people by creating stereotype and then to demonize entire groups of populations, and to keep groups distracted from the real problems and keep them fighting among each other.**
- **To subvert, undermine, distort and corrupt the Guidance from God such as in the books of the Torah, the Bible, the Quran, etc.**
- **They also have to trigger successions of wars and societal problems after which people will be so demoralized that they will become very submissive to the idea of a one-World totalitarian government.**
- **They also have to reduce World population (down to 1 billion according to some researchers) which can be done via targeted micro-biological warfare (note that since September 11, 2001, 44 World-class micro-biologists, who could both create and cure killer viruses and bacteria, in the United States, Canada, Israel, Russia, etc. have met early deaths strange accidents or supposed suicides).**
- **Where there's war, there's also mega-money for the Illuminati Bankers.**

The elite agenda has always been to grab the wealth of the whole World by playing with the masses. Remember, European Elites not so long ago, i.e. up to the 19th century, were still running a master-serf-slave system! And remember the days of slavery in America not so long ago!

The Illuminati consist of the Jesuits and many of the World's richest families including the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers and the Windsors. While they pay lip service to religion, they worship Lucifer. Their agents control the World's media, education, business and politics. These agents may think they are pursuing success, but success often literally means serving the devil. Masons/Zionists/Jesuits/Chabad-Lubavitchers/etc. infiltrate positions of power and also masquerade as Priests, Rabbis, Imams, etc., and promote hatred and discord between nations and religions and move people away from God by propagandizing Darwin's false racist theory of evolution and survival of the brutally strongest. Zionists present a Jewish-like front, Masons present a Christian-like front, controllers of the Vatican present a Roman-Catholic-like front, certain Imams provide an Islamic-like front, etc... Deep inside of them are the ancient Babylonian Satanic gods. Prisoners of their wealth, the Illuminati prefer hatred and destruction to Love. Understandably, they can't go public with this. They pretend to be moral while working behind the scenes to enslave humanity in a New World Order. Hiroshima, Dresden, Auschwitz, Cambodia and Rwanda were sacrifices to their god Lucifer. They are responsible for the two World Wars, the Depression and the Cold War. Sept. 11, the "War on Terror" and the Iraq War are their latest achievements. We imagine they want unlimited power and wealth but these are by-products. The Illuminati is motivated by hatred of God and humanity.

The purpose of the New World Order is the same as Communism. Our Lucifer loving elite, The Illuminati, created Communism as a means to flaunt God's will and enslave mankind. Karl Marx was hired to sell totalitarian rule ("the dictatorship of the proletariat") by pretending to espouse equality. He was a Satanist as were Trotsky, Lenin and Stalin. In his book, Marx and Satan (1986) Richard Wurmbrand illustrates Marx's true hatred of God and humanity. As early as 1848, Marx wrote about a "coming World war" that will eliminate "riffraff" like Russians, Czechs and Croats. "The coming World war will cause not only reactionary classes and dynasties, but also entire reactionary peoples, to disappear from the face of the earth. And that will be progress...the revolution...cares as little about the human lives it destroys...as an earthquake cares about the houses it ravages. Classes and races that are too weak to dominate the new conditions...will be defeated...their very name will vanish." (42)

In his poem Human Pride Marx writes that he will "wander Godlike and victorious through the ruins of the World... I will feel equal to the Creator."(31) Far from champion of the working class, Marx was a police spy. In 1960, the Austrian Chancellor Raabe gave Khrushchev an original letter by Marx proving the "founder of Communism" informed on other revolutionaries. (33) The reason only 13 of the 100 Volumes of Marx's writings have been published is to hide the true character of Communism. (32)

THEY HATE THE GOD IN YOU: Wurmbrand, a pastor who was imprisoned in Romania, says Communism is in essence Satanism empowered. Christians weren't just brutally persecuted and murdered, they were made to blaspheme. Communism's goal, the goal of the New World Order, is to mock God and to praise Lucifer. A Communist newspaper confessed,"We fight against God: To snatch believers from him."(77) In "German Ideology" Marx referred to God in Hegelian terms as the Absolute Spirit. Marx opined, "we are concerned with a highly interesting question: the decomposition of the Absolute Spirit."(77) According to Wurmbrand, the Russian Revolution was a time when "love, goodwill, and healthy feeling were considered mean and retrograde. A girl hid her innocence, and husbands their faithfulness. Destruction was praised as good taste, neurasthenia as the sign of a fine mind. This was the theme of new writers who burst on the scene out of obscurity. Men invented vices and perversion, and were fastidious in their avoidance of being thought moral." (85)

The Luciferians portray their rebellion against God and nature as progress and freedom. This permissiveness refers only to tearing down the Divine order. In the initiation into the Seventh degree of Satanism, the adept swears, "Nothing is true and everything is permitted." In the Communist Manifesto, Marx said all religion and morals will be abolished and everything permitted. The religion of modern western society, secular humanism, is a front for Illuminism (Lucifer worship). The purpose of Illuminism is to divorce humanity from the Divine Purpose and enshrine Lucifer (i.e. the Illuminati) in God's place. Under the guise of a humanist utopia, they are constructing an Orwellian hell -- the New World

Order, a.k.a. globalism. The goal of globalism is the same as Communism. The World's elite masks their Luciferianism in new age paganism and Gaia worship. The Lucifer Trust runs the only chapel at the United Nations and the only statue in the UN building is the pagan god Zeus.

YESHUA-JESUS-ISSA MIGHT HAVE BEEN CRUCIFIED YESTERDAY since he represented the rule of God. The Pharisees worshipped Lucifer. The question facing mankind hasn't changed. Are we going to serve God or Lucifer? The Satanists have conditioned us to short circuit at the mention of religion. They have made God seem mysterious, unknowable or non-existent. Christ said, "God is a Spirit; obey Him in spirit and in truth." (John 4:23, 24). God is Absolute Love, Truth, Justice, Goodness and Beauty. If you believe these things are real, whether you accomplish them or not, you believe in God. Love is the principle of human evolution. God wants to be manifested by His creation. This requires that we obey Him. We were made in God's image. "Be ye therefore perfect, even as your father which is in heaven is perfect," (Matthew 5:48). The more we embody spiritual ideals, the more God-like and real we become. The opposite is also true. We sacrifice ourselves for what we love. If we love God, we sacrifice ourselves to Him. People ask how to fight the New World Order. Nothing would disturb the Illuminati more than a revival of belief in God. Millions willing to fight and die for God would scare the hell out of them. That's why they are uprooting Islam.

We are being prepared in consciousness for slavery or destruction. They are laying the groundwork now with the "war on terror." Once they get Islam under control, they will turn to the West in earnest. In the meantime, the mass media (movies, music and video games, TV) accustoms us to brutality and violence. There is a repetitive and reductive obsession with sex, nudity, bodily functions and homosexuality. If our leaders weren't Luciferians, the media and the arts would be preoccupied with issues of truth and falsehood, good and evil, beauty and ugliness. We would be uplifted and inspired. Instead, our souls are fed sawdust. We are degraded distracted and deceived, like people with a terminal illness, like squatters in someone else's universe. If the Illuminati's real purpose is to eliminate God, it follows we should make God the centre of our consciousness. People ask, "What should we do?" Don't look for direction from others. Look for direction from God. The best way to fight the darkness is to shine the light. This is what the Illuminati fears. In the Protocols of the Elders of Zion (5) the author writes: "There is nothing more dangerous to us than personal initiative; if it has genius behind it, such initiative can do more than can be done by millions of people among whom we have sown discord."

Don't feel oppressed by the World. It hasn't changed just because we are now aware of its true nature. Devote each new day to fulfilling God's purpose for you. Illuminati (re-founded by Zionist Adam Weishaupt 1748-1811) and Mason Orders (founded in 1095 AC) with roots in the Kabbala and ancient Egyptian Nimrodic/Babylonian/Pharaonic/Roman paganism, always plan centuries and decades ahead (example 1917 Balfour Declaration and eventual creation of Israel and expulsion of Palestinians in 1948 and planning is now underway to build the Temple of Solomon/Moloch by destroying the Al-Aqsa Mosque). The Mason/Zionists Rothschild/Rockefeller/Vatican Empires own and control the majority of private central banks such as The US Federal Reserve System, The Bank of England, HSBC of Hong-Kong, The Bank of Rome, etc. through which they are the creators and controllers of money (see The History of Money). To keep governments in debts and divert peoples' attention about the fraud of the central banking systems and to expand the charters of their private central banks, they create wars & terror, etc. The British Empire has been transformed into the Illuminati/Masonic/Zionist US/Israel Empire. Their final goal is the New World Order total World dictatorship under their leadership, reduced World population and one World religion, i.e. their ancient Egyptian/Babylonian/Roman pagan religions based on the Kabbala and its associated World control system, and eventually, a master race. They already control all the major banks and the major corporations from the top.

The privately owned Federal Reserve System was setup in 1913 and it gets to charge interest on money that it creates out of nothing. Peter Kershaw in his book "Economic Solutions" has named the private owners (directly or indirectly) of the United States Federal Reserve system established in 1913 as 1) The Rockefeller Family - New York 2) The Rothschild Family - London 3) The Rothschild Family - Berlin 4) The Lazard Brothers - Paris 5) Israel Seiff - Italy 6) Kuhn-Loeb Company - Germany 7) The Warburgs - Amsterdam Representative of the Rothschild Family in France 8) The Warburgs - Hamburg Representative of the Rothschild Family in Germany 9) Lehman Brothers - New York 10) Goldman & Sachs - New York. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, which controls the 11 other Federal Reserve branches, is majority controlled by a) Chase-Manhattan (a Rockefeller stronghold) - 6,389,445 shares - 32.3% b) Citibank - 4,051,851 shares - 20.5%. US/Canadian Federal & State debts owed to the Illuminati owners of the Federal Reserve stands about 25 trillions dollars!

G. Edward Griffin explains (details in several sections of this book) how the owners of the privately controlled central bank known as the US Federal Reserve use their power to create money tax-free and use their un-auditable profits to acquire control over the power centers of society, i.e. those groups and institutions through which individuals live and act and rely on for their information. They are buying control over the organizations, groups and institutions that control people, politicians, political parties, television networks, cable networks, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, wire services, motion picture studios, universities, labor unions, church organizations, trade associations, tax-exempt foundations, multi-national corporations, boy scouts, girl scouts, you name it, and particularly over those organizations that represent opposition to themselves. This process has gone on not only to a marked degree in America and in the other industrialized nations of the World, but it has gone on in the underdeveloped nations to such a degree that we would say the process is now complete. They own these countries already. The money goes to the politicians of those countries, to their governments and the money is spent to strengthen their power structures, their ability to control their populations. They create a well-equipped army (note the \$30 billion for hiring mercenaries to train the new armies in Afghanistan and Iraq), a better bureaucracy, for total control of their subjects. That's where the money's being spent.

Zionists collaborated to bring many innocent Jews to Hitler's death camps to create tremendous sympathy for the creation of Israel and force European Jews to migrate to Palestine. Masons financed the Nazis. Hitler and Prescott (Grandpa) Bush were Masons. Zionists plan now is to conquer from the Nile to the Euphrates hence the reason to transform Iraq into another Israeli occupied territory with a US Zionists administering Iraq. The Illuminati/Masons want to recreate the pagan Babylonian religion in Iraq as seen from their worship of the giant Babylonian Owl in Alex Jones' secret video of the Bohemian Grove rituals in Northern California attended by the World's most powerful people annually in the summer (on this CD or at <http://www.infowars.com>).

Illuminati-Rothschilds/Rockefellers control their so called "Arc of Crisis" in the Middle East through divide, rule, and conquer, and induced crisis/reaction/solution. It has allowed them to control the oil-producing countries since when the oil really came on line. They already control the not-so-Federal Private Federal Reserve System, HSBC Bank, Bank of England, along with 11 other Illuminati families. Jewish peoples are being played off against the non-Jewish races and visa-versa. You and all of us who care about our children and the freedom of the World must unite and focus on the Illuminati force that is playing all people like a violin. Fear of each other and divide and rule have always been the basic tools of dictators, global and otherwise. Never, never, never, do the Rothschilds and the illuminati control only one side in a conflict. If they did they could not be sure of the outcome and that's not the way they play the game. In every significant conflict they control the leadership of all factions, even though they may fight and battle with each other on the public stage. It's a scam, a sham, a movie for public consumption only. The people of Israel Palestine need to work and live together in harmony and mutual respect. There is no other way, except more deaths, more suffering, more conflict - exactly what the Illuminati and the Rothschilds want. They have horrendous plans for Israel/Palestine in which all sides will suffer, not least their plans for a major event at the Temple Mount and Dome of the Rock mosques, the site of the "Temple of Solomon" according to the Kabbalist Mason/Zionist belief system.

Zionists media demonize Islam and Moslems continuously, and CIA/Mossad create terrorist groups/stage/assist with acts of terror whenever required to accomplish their goals incrementally. Eventually, all countries will have puppet governments that will sell-off their people and natural resources to the bidding of these Zionist neo-colonialists. The United States economy which subsidizes heavily the Israeli economy is dependent on the Military Industrial Complex and on the investment of illegal drugs in Wall Street, hence wars and CIA facilitate drug funneling. WHY WERE BUSH/SHARON GANG HELL BENT ON WAR WITH IRAQ? Without being able to turn Mideast oil from an import to an export, the US/ISRAEL Governments are bankrupt in the near future, the private Illuminati owners of the Federal Reserve want to ensure that US doesn't default on its phony loans (First, to use the oil treasure as collateral to underwrite the huge U.S. deficit, soon to be out-of-control, thus laying the way for Dubya to push a huge tax cut for the one or two percent of Americans, the wealthiest in the U.S. Second, to seek to prop up the Bank of England caught up on the wrong side of speculations in the Kuwaiti Dinar, a currency pegged to the so-called "U.S. Dollar", actually hot-air Federal Reserve Notes. And further, to seek to bail out the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, and LIFFE, the International Financial Futures and Options Exchange in London) therefore grab the World resources, and Bush will make a fortune from the war and oil, and he is being controlled (to get re-elected) by Zionists who want to weaken Iraq to allow Israel to continue invading its neighbors and who want to keep sucking US\$ from the US taxpayers. American GNP is something around \$10 trillion, only 1 percent comes from agriculture, only 24 percent from industry including military sales, 75 percent comes from services, and most of it is financial speculation, Petro Dollars pass-thru and drug money laundering, i.e. the US as a whole behaves like an immense dot.com, and dollars are its stocks. The value of stocks of a given company is directly proportional to its profitability. When a company only provides services, but does not produce goods, the value of its stocks depends on its credibility. If US credibility is affected, its stocks - the US dollar - will fall at tremendous speed, and the American economy will collapse. Some countries including Iraq have switched to EURO as their petro and reserve currency. Total current US Federal and State government debt is about \$25 trillion which is equal to total market capitalization September 2003. This debt is owed to the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank.

The private Mason/Zionist owners of the Federal Reserve & Bank of England will be more than happy to bankroll anyone wanting to wage war, just like in all past major wars. Oil is everything, from fertilizer to food to powering the military, etc. etc. etc., i.e. all modern lifestyle depends on oil/gas. Majority of Cheap Oil/GAS resources are in predominantly Moslem countries. Middle Eastern countries including Palestine and Iran are developing rapidly and growing in power due to Oil income. Cheap Oil will run out in the rest of the World in a decade or two but will remain in the Middle East for another 50 to 100 years (there is plenty of expensive oil around, but its expensive to dig it out). The civilization holding the last reserves of Cheap Oil will succeed into the future. Zionists/Illuminatis (Bilderbergers, Bohemian Club, Masons, Skull & Bones, Chabad-Lubavitchers) therefore will use the United States to ensure that they are the civilization in control of the last reserves of Cheap Oil on the planet. Zionist/Illuminatis conquest/theft of Cheap Oil must be done now before the countries holding the Cheap Oil become more powerful and make it harder for Zionists to commit genocide against their people, as in North and South American style after Columbus. Zionists will demonize Islam and Moslems even more now that they want to steal the Cheap Oil resources and Oil Profits and build pipelines from Bosnia to North Korea to Indonesia to capitalize on this wealth. It's popular to kill, conquer peoples and collectively punish people who have been demonized. When such collective punishments are undertaken by a powerful party, it reveals the intentions of the perpetrators of collective punishment which is to provoke a reaction that will give more excuses to the oppressors to continue with their oppression (this is exactly what is happening in Palestine and the same techniques are now slowly being implemented in the United States under the sponsorship of the Zionists). The end game is to commit creeping genocide. It is already happening in South America and Africa.

Hence, US/Israel created 9-11 (just like FDR let Pearl Harbor happen although he knew full well in advance about the attack and ensured that the Pearl Harbor radar was not operating; in fact, Admiral Kimmell had a Naval exercise operating

right in the path of the oncoming Japanese fleet. Had it been left alone, the Japanese fleet would have blundered right into Kimmel exercise units. But FDR sent a direct order to Kimmell to terminate the exercise prematurely and return the fleet to Pearl Harbor immediately, leaving the way open for the Japanese to approach Hawaii undetected). Illuminatis will label the Cheap Oil and "Pipeline-istan" states as "Axis of Evil" while restricting civil liberties at home to control any opposition to their plans. Zionists and The United States will commit war crimes, break all moral and legal laws, bulldoze and fire-bomb anyone in their path, etc. to steal the Cheap Oil resources and its associated profits, and conquer the land from the Nile to the Euphrates, hence Iraq/Iran war, Bosnia/Kosovo, Kashmir, Afghanistan, 1991 Iraq/Kuwait, Indonesia, Malaysia, past UK/US Iraqi occupations, overthrow of Iranian President in the 1950s, demonizing of predominantly Moslem Countries, 2002 Iraq, etc. etc. All other activities perpetrated by CIA/Mossad/US/Israel will have the above as the underlying DRIVER and THEME. As per Dick Cheney & Co., this war will last more than 20 years and beyond our lifetime. As per G. Dubya W. Bush, there will be decades of war. However, it seems that their initial plans called for a much larger war than what has materialized so far. This could mean that the worse is still to come.

John Kaminski remarked that when you're standing amid the rubble of the latest New World Order war zone, you wonder whether things happen as a result of somewhat natural social evolution, or whether some hidden hand from a dark corner of the human psyche constantly steers us all toward misery and crisis. Is it testosterone or is it Tavistock? that British think tank that scripted women's lib, the Beatles, Timothy Leary et al to mime the populace into passivity. Today Gaza is the scene of one of the most bizarre political song-and-dances in human history, where a supposed country has been established in the middle of an oppressive police state. The imprisoned Palestinians don't even have access to their own water, and their borders are lined by the Jewish Israeli war machine ready to shoot children in the head at a moment's notice. Palestinians are the Navajos of the 21st century, forever to be marginalized after they are exterminated down to acceptable, zoo-like levels. Palestinians are the prototype for future Earth citizens ineligible for membership in corporate elysia, a herd that needs to be managed and occasionally culled. Unless you understand that this has been the fate of the majority of humankind throughout history, you probably are unable to comprehend that this is the inevitable future forecast for all of us. Gaza vividly and viscerally represents the condition of much of the World at this time — and to be fair, at all times. Favored Zionist residents of Gaza received hundreds of thousands of dollars each for vacating their homes built on stolen land to make way for the new Gaza megaprison. The soggy survivors of New Orleans got a couple of hundred bucks and a few Wal-Mart gift cards.

Contemplate the new American rubble zones strategically trashed around the World: the festering sore of Israel inevitably spreads outward and wraps its conquered non-Zionist subhumans in giant walls, which likely we are soon to see in New Orleans, the newest New World Order reconstruction project now being forever shackled by contracts with the folks who built Guantanamo. Iraq remains a smoldering, poisoned cinder. The Hanging Gardens of Babylon are now encased in a cancer-guaranteed zone of radioactive depleted uranium poison: truly, a stunning tribute to Western philosophy and technology. Afghanistan is a free fire zone, also poisoned. Divide and rule by the powers that keep rubbing two sticks together to keep the sparks flying, because it generates steady profits for their members by continuing the flow of ammunition and armaments. And this is the engine that creates our comfort, our leisure to debate these matters in cyberspace, then attempts to get them to spill out into the third-dimensional World without much success. The names of nations and peoples being crunched up in the meat grinder of corporatization fly past our eyes, too extensive to comprehend. Somewhere between Kisangani and Kampala, people are actually eating pygmies. Two million everyday souls live in the landfills of Rio de Janeiro. In New Orleans, these same folks live in Houston. War is where the real money is, although rebuilding entire societies like Sumatra and New Orleans is extremely profitable also.

The Illuminati gangsters can make money off the trashing of the planet. Where in our own inner darkness do we process this information? What stratagem or philosophical canard do we use to explain this to ourselves? How do we stifle the image that we are eating ourselves, as cannibalism's primal impulse glitters mysteriously in the bottom of the Communion cup? Do we merely take cover and wait for the storm to pass? It is definitely better to live for another day. But only for a little while can avoidance be construed as prudence. When something nettles you for a long time it is always better to take definitive action to fix the problem rather than constantly continue to deal with its exasperations. Blame our forebears. They let it happen. We inherited it. Now, the bus is moving, unstopably toward its destination. If you stand in front of it, you'll be run down. I'd like to say sit back and enjoy the show, but it's probably going to hurt. Just ask those folks who used to be from New Orleans. Or the displaced and debauched citizens of Fallujah and so many other places graced by the presence of those Zionist warmakers known as Blackwater mercenaries. They're stationed both in Baghdad and on the Cajun coastline, escorting Israeli advisers around the neighborhood to help out with the new fortification plans. Now, contemplate the view of your future. Staring out vacantly from behind the barbed wire in your mind. People need to learn about these evil imperial plans of the Illuminati Masons and Zionists (for True monotheistic Jews, Christian and Moslem peoples, these are the precursors to the Anti-Christ or Dajjal). We are already living in an EMPIRE, democracy, freedom, justice, peace are gone! God help us!


THE HIDDEN HISTORY OF MONEY & NEW WORLD ORDER USURY SECRETS

Compiled by Alexander James
Back Page Cover Front-Side



FERVENT MASONIC DESIRE TO REBUILD SOLOMON'S TEMPLE AND RULE THE WORLD FROM JERUSALEM ARE THE DRIVING FORCE BEHIND THE EVENTS OF THE MID-EAST & WORLD

Power, Money, Idolatry, Luciferian Satanism & The Kabbala Relationship: The Pilgrims, City of London 1902, New York 1903, Fabianism, Marxism, Monopoly Capitalism, Communism, Fascism, Nazism



The Vatican, Zionist MOSSAD, Rothschild dynasty's original mafia, Pilgrims and the Jesuits should be added above. The CIA is the Rockefeller's original mafia for external affairs while the FBI is for internal affairs (remember Cointelpro). The CIA and Masons also utilize charities and the Rotary Club as fronts.



THE HIDDEN HISTORY OF MONEY & NEW WORLD ORDER USURY SECRETS

Compiled by Alexander James
Back Page Cover Back-Side

