FOR THE PEOPLE
FOR EDUCATION
FOR SCIENCE

LIBRARY
OF
THE AMERICAN MUSEUM
OF
NATURAL HISTORY
THE IBIS,
A QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF ORNITHOLOGY.

EDITED BY
OSBERT SALVIN, M.A., F.R.S.,
AND
PHILIP LUTLEY SCLATER, M.A., Ph.D., F.R.S.,
SECRETARY TO THE ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF LONDON.

VOL. VI. 1882.
FOURTH SERIES.

Ibis avis robusta et multos vivit in annos.

LONDON:
JOHN VAN VOORST, 1 PATERNOSTER ROW.
1882.
TO THE READER OF THIS VOLUME

Kindly handle this book with the utmost care on account of its fragile condition. The binding has been done as well as possible under existing conditions and will stand reasonable wear with proper opening and handling.

Your thoughtfulness will be appreciated.

Series of whole work, Journal, such as has usually taken place at the close of each series.

As arranged at the last Meeting of the Members of the British Ornithologists' Union, held in May last, Mr. Salvin now retires from the position he has held for the last twelve years, and the Fifth Series will be commenced in January next under the Editorship of Mr. Sclater and Mr. Howard Saunders.

With the roll of the Union steadily increasing in length, and the yearly volumes of 'The Ibis' growing in bulk, we may confidently look forward to a prosperous future for our undertaking and for our favourite science.

O. S.

P. L. S.

British Ornithologists' Union,
6 Tenterden Street, London, W.
December, 1882.
PREFACE.

The present volume completes the Fourth Series of 'The Ibis' and the twenty-fourth of the whole work, and brings about a change in the Editorship of this Journal, such as has usually taken place at the close of each series.

As arranged at the last Meeting of the Members of the British Ornithologists' Union, held in May last, Mr. Salvin now retires from the position he has held for the last twelve years, and the Fifth Series will be commenced in January next under the Editorship of Mr. Sclater and Mr. Howard Saunders.

With the roll of the Union steadily increasing in length, and the yearly volumes of 'The Ibis' growing in bulk, we may confidently look forward to a prosperous future for our undertaking and for our favourite science.

O. S.

P. L. S.

British Ornithologists' Union,
6 Tenterden Street, London, W.
December, 1882.
BRITISH ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION.

1882.

[An asterisk indicates an Original Member.]

Date of Election.

1881. William Randal, Earl of Antrim; St. James's Palace, London, S.W.
1879. Valentine Ball, F.R.S.; Trinity College, Dublin.
1881. Richard Manliffe Barrington, LL.B., Barrister at Law; Tassaroe, Bray, Co. Wicklow.
5 1875. John Biddulph, Major, Bengal Staff Corps.
1880. Edward Bidwell; 1 Trig Lane, Upper Thames Street, E.C.
10 1871. Arthur Basil Brooke; Cardney, Dunkeld, N.B.
1866. Henry Buckley, F.Z.S.; 27 Wheeley Road, Edgbaston, Birmingham.
1868. Thomas Edward Buckley, B.A., F.Z.S.; Millerton, Inverness, N.B.
1879. Thomas David Gibson Carmichael; Castlecreg, Dolphinton, N.B.; and St. John's College, Cambridge.
15 1882. Robert William Chase; Southfield, Edgbaston Road, Birmingham.
1876. Edward Henry Stuart, Lord Clifton; Cobham Hall, Gravesend.
1874. John Cordeaux; Great Cotes, Ulceby, Lincolnshire.
20 1882. Charles B. Cory; 8 Arlington Street, Boston, Mass., U.S.A.
1882. Philip Crowley; Waddon House, Croydon.
1877. J. J. Dalgleish; 8 Athole Crescent, Edinburgh.
1874. Charles Danford, F.Z.S.
1880. Arthur Dowsett; Hatherley, London Road, Reading.
1878. W. Arthur Durnford; Tankersley, Barnsley.
1873. H. W. Feilden, Major, F.Z.S., C.M.Z.S., Army Pay Department; West House, Wells, Norfolk.
1877. W. A. Forbes; 31 Upper Baker Street, London, W.
1880. William Forster; The Hill, Whitley, Surrey.
1881. Percy Evans Freke; Rosemount, Dundrum, Co. Dublin.
1881. Hans Gadow, Ph.D., Cambridge.
*Frederick DuCane Godman, F.R.S., &c.; 10 Chandos Street, Cavendish Square, London, W.
40  *Percy Sanden Godman, B.A., C.M.Z.S.; Muntham, Horsham.
1878. Henry Grey, Bengal Staff Corps; care of Messrs. Grindlay & Co.
John Henry Gurney, F.Z.S.; Northrepps Hall, Norwich.


1876. H. C. Harford, 99th Regiment; 2 Eldon Villa, Granada Road, Southsea.

1877. E. Hargitt; 1 Bedford Road, Bedford Park, Chiswick.

1868. James Edmund Harting, F.L.S., F.Z.S.; 22 Regent's Park Road, Gloucester Gate, N.W.

1881. Robert James Howard; Blackburn, Lancashire.


1879. Baron A. von Hugel; 116 High Street, Camden Town, London, N.W.

1870. Hedworth Hilton, Lord Hilton; Merstham, Red Hill, Surrey.

1870. Col. Leonard Howard L. Irby, F.Z.S.; 76 Jermyn Street, S.W.

1880. Henry Robert Kelham, Lieut. 74th Highlanders; Infantry Barracks, Aldershot.


1882. Philip M. Kermode; Seabridge Cottage, Ramsay, Isle of Man.


1881. Hon. Gerald Lascelles; Queen's House, Lyndhurst.

1876. Vincent Legge, Major R.A.; Aberystwith, Wales.

1868. Hamon Le Strange, F.Z.S.; Hunstanton Hall, King's Lynn, Norfolk.

1875. Paget Walter Le Strange, Lieut.-Col. Royal Artillery; Secunderabad, Deccan, India.


1877. J. Lumsden, Jun.; Arden House, Alexandria, N.B.

1875. John Wingfield Malcolm; 7 Stanhope Street, Mayfair, London, W.


1870. G. F. L. Marshall, F.Z.S.; Major Royal (Bengal) Engineers; With the Supreme Government of India.


1879. Frederick Shaw Mitchell; Clitheroe, Lancashire.


1882. Thomas Hudson Nelson; North Bondgate, Bishop Auckland, Durham, and Redcar, Yorkshire.

1876. Hugh Nevill; Newton Villa, Godalming.

1872. Francis D’Arcy William Clough Newcome; Feltwell Hall, Brandon, Suffolk.

*Alfred Newton, M.A., F.R.S., F.Z.S.; Professor of Zoology in the University of Cambridge.

*Edward Newton, M.A., C.M.G., F.L.S., C.M.Z.S.; Colonial Secretary, Jamaica.


1882. Eugene William Oates; 6 Tenterden Street, Hanover Square, W.

*Sir John Orde, Bart., F.Z.S., late Captain, 42nd (Royal Highland) Regiment; Kilmory House, Loch Gilp Head, N.B.


1880. Charles Matthew Prior; The Avenue, Bedford, and Trinity Hall, Cambridge.

1872. Capt. R. G. Wardlaw Ramsay; Whitehill, Rosewell, N.B.

1879. Herbert Evelyn Rawson; The Vicarage, Bromley Common, Kent.


Date of
Election.

*Osbert Salvin, M.A., F.R.S., &c.; Brooklands Avenue, Cambridge.


*Philip Lutley Sclater, M.A., Ph.D., F.R.S., &c.; 44 Elvaston Place, Queen’s Gate, London, W.


1870. G. Ernest Shelley, F.Z.S., late Captain, Grenadier Guards; 6 Tenterden Street, Hanover Square, London, W.


1881. F. B. Simson; Broom Hill, Spratton, Northampton.


1878. George Monlaw Slaughter, Brigade-Surgeon; Farningham, Kent.


1874. Cecil Smith; Lydeard House, Taunton, Somersetshire.

1881. Thomas Southwell, F.Z.S.; Earlham Road, Norwich.

1875. A. C. Stark; 1 Merchiston Avenue, Edinburgh.

1864. Henry Stevenson, F.L.S.; 10 Unthank’s Road, Norwich.


1882. Charles Swinhoe, Major, Bombay Staff Corps, Commissariat Department; Bombay.


1864. George Cavendish Taylor, F.Z.S.; 42 Elvaston Place, Queen’s Gate, London, W.


1881. William Verner, Lieut. Royal Rifle Brigade; Stoke House, Camberley, Surrey.


1878. Henry Thornton Wharton, M.A., F.Z.S.; 39 St. George's Road, Abbey Road, London, N.W.

1871. E. Perceval Wright, M.D., F.I.S., F.Z.S., Professor of Botany in the University of Dublin.

1875. Charles A. Wright; Kayhough House, Kew-Gardens Road, Kew.

1876. Claude W. Wyatt; Adderbury, Banbury.

1878. John Young, F.Z.S.; 64 Hereford Road, Westbourne Grove, London, W.

1877. J. H. Yule, Lieut. 11th Regiment; Poona, Bombay.

Extra-Ordinary Member.


Honorary Members.

1860. Professor Spencer Fullerton Baird, Secretary to the Smithsonian Institution, Washington.


1870. Doctor Otto Finsch.


1860. Doctor Gustav Hartlaub, Bremen.


1869. August von Pelzeln, Custos am k.-k. zoologischen Cabinete in Wien.

1860. Professor J. Reinhardt, Kongelige Naturhistoriske Museum i Kjøbenhavn.
Date of Election

1872. Prof. J. V. Barboza du Bocage, Royal Museum, Lisbon.
1875. Hans, Graf von Berlepsch, Münden, Hannover.
1880. Louis Bureau, M.D., School of Medicine, Nantes.
1873. Robert Collett, Christianity.
1875. Marchese Giacomo Doria, Genoa.
1872. Doctor Henry Hillyer Giglioli, Royal Institute of Superior Studies, Florence.
1872. George N. Lawrence, New York.
1872. Baron De Selys Longchamps, Liège.
1872. Doctor A. von Middendorff, Dorpat.
1881. Colonel N. Prejevalsky, Academy of Science and Art, St. Petersburg.
1872. Prof. Gustav Radde, Tiflis.
1872. Prof. Herman Schlegel, University Museum, Leyden.
## CONTENTS OF VOL. VI.—FOURTH SERIES.

(1882.)

**Number XXI., January.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Ornithological Notes made in the Straits Settlements and in the Western States of the Malay Peninsula. By Lieut. H. R. Kelham, 74th Highlanders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Notes on Woodpeckers.—No. II. The Genus <em>Lyngipicus</em>. By Edward Hargitt, F.Z.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. On Collections of Birds made by Mr. H. O. Forbes in South-eastern Sumatra. By Francis Nicholson, F.Z.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Supplementary Notes to the List of Birds collected by Mr. H. O. Forbes in the Island of Java. By Francis Nicholson, F.Z.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. A Second List of Birds from Mombasa, East Africa. By J. H. Gurney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Notes on Birds from British Guiana. By Osbert Salvin and F. D. Godman. (Plate I.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Notes on Birds from near Dacca and in the surrounding District of Eastern Bengal. By Frank B. Simson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Notes on the Raptorial Birds collected in New Britain by Lieut. G. E. Richards, R.N. By J. H. Gurney. (Plate II.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Notes on a Collection of Birds from the Solomon Islands, with Descriptions of new Species. By H. B. Tristram, F.R.S. (Plates III., IV., &amp; V.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
XIV. Letters, Announcements, &c.:—

Letters from Dr. A. B. Meyer, Mr. J. A. Harvie-Brown, Mr. J. H. Gurney, Rev. Canon Tristram, Capt. Savile G. Reid, and Mr. Wm. Eagle Clarke; The generic term *Goniapteryx*; *Estrelata jamaicensis*; *Loddigesia mirabilis*; Death of Dr. Hildebrandt

XV. Ornithological Notes made in the Straits Settlements and in the Western States of the Malay Peninsula. By Lieut. H. R. Kelham, 74th Highlanders

XVI. Notes on the Birds of Astrakhan. By Henry Seebohm

XVII. On *Ninox rudolfi*, a new Species of Hawk-Owl from the Malay Archipelago. By A. B. Meyer, M.D., F.M.B.O.U. (Plate VI.)

XVIII. List of a Collection of Raptorial Birds from the Neighbourhood of Saigon, in Cochin China. By J. H. Gurney

XIX. On a Collection of Birds made by Mr. J. S. Jameson in South-eastern Africa, with Notes by Mr. T. Ayres. By Capt. G. E. Shelley. (Plate VII.)

XX. On the Birds of Gilgit. By Major J. Biddulph. (Plates VIII. & IX.)

XXI. Notes on a 'Catalogue of the Accipitres in the British Museum' by R. Bowdler Sharpe (1874). By J. H. Gurney. (Plate X.)

XXII. On the Remains of an extinct gigantic Bird supposed to be allied to *Cariama*, from the Ossiferous Caves of Brazil. By J. Reinhardt

XXIII. Notices of recent Ornithological Publications:—


31. Barboza du Bocage's 'Ornithology of Angola'

32. Blasius and Nehrkorn on Bornean Birds

33. 'Bulletin of the Nuttall Ornithological Club'

34. Dubois on certain Thrushes
35. Ewart on the Nostrils of the Cormorant 335
36. Hamonville on African Birds in Europe 335
37. Hoffmann's List of the Birds of Nevada 336
38. Krukenberg on the Colouring-matter of Feathers 336
39. Lawrence on a new Subspecies of Locigilla 337
40. Marschall and Pelzeln's 'Ornis Vindobonensis' 337
41. Nordenskiöld's 'Voyage of the Vega' 337
42. Oates on the Birds of British Burma 338
43. Ramsay on new Birds from the Solomon Islands and New Britain 339
44. Rathbun's 'List of the Birds of Central New York' 339
45. Ridgway on a North-American Hawk 340
46. Ridgway on the Desiderata of the U.S. National Collection 340
47. Shufeldt on the Osteology of the North-American Tetraonidae 340
48. Shufeldt on the Osteology of Lanius 341
49. Sharpe's 'Catalogue of the Timelidae' 341
50. Stearns and Coues's 'New-England Bird-Life' 343
51. Stone's 'Few Months in New Guinea' 343
52. 'Stray Feathers,' vol. ix. parts 5 and 6 344

XXIV. Letters, Announcements, &c.:—
Letters from Mr. E. P. Ramsay, Lieut.-Col. H. H. Godwin-Austen, and Mr. A. G. More; Note on *Trichoglossus rubrigularis*; News of Mr. W. T. Blanford and Dr. Finsch 344

**NUMBER XXIII., July.**

XXV. On a Collection of Birds made by Mr. J. S. Jameson in South-eastern Africa, with Notes by Mr. T. Ayres. By Capt. G. E. Shelley 349

XXVI. Further Contributions to the Ornithology of Japan. By Henry Seeböhm 368

XXVII. Notes on the Birds of Archangel. By Henry Seeböhm. (Plate XI.) 371


XXX. Ornithological Notes of a Journey through Syria, Mesopotamia, and Southern Armenia in 1881. By H. B. Tristram, F.R.S. 402

XXXI. Further Notes on the Ornithology of Siberia. By Henry Seebohm 419

XXXII. On a new Species of Hemipode from New Britain. By W. A. Forbes, B.A., M.B.O.U., Prosector to the Zoological Society. (Plate XII.) 428


XXXIV. Notes on a ‘Catalogue of the Accipitres in the British Museum’ by R. Bowdler Sharpe (1874). By J. H. Gurney 436

XXXV. On some Raptorial Birds recently acquired by the Norwich Museum. By J. H. Gurney 452

XXXVI. Notices of recent Ornithological Publications:
   53. Adamson’s ‘Scraps about Birds’ 457
   54. Barboza du Bocage on West-African Birds 457
   55. Bulletin of the Nuttall Ornithological Club 458
   56. Collett on new Norwegian Birds 458
   57. Collett on the Ear-formation of the North-European Owls 458
   58. Cowan on Madagascar Birds 459
   59. Cruttwell’s ‘Table of the Animal Kingdom’ 459
   60. Dalgleish on Birds and Eggs from Uruguay 459
   61. Dresser’s ‘Birds of Europe’ 459
   62. Godman and Salvin’s ‘Biologia Centrali-Americana’ 460
   63. Gould’s ‘Birds of Asia’ 461
   64. Gray on Eggs of the Great Auk 461
   65. Gray on rare Scottish Birds 462
   66. Gray on the Pintail Duck in the Outer Hebrides 462
   67. Holub and Pelzeln’s ‘Ornithologie Suidafrikas’ 462
   68. Holub on the Ornis of South Africa 462
   69. Johnson on the Natural History of Mossamedes 463
   70. Lawrence on Birds from Yucatan 463

SER. IV.—VOL. VI.
71. Lorenz on the Skeleton of *Stringops* and *Nestor* ........................................ 463
72. M'Vean on the Ornithology of Yedo ................................................................. 463
73. 'Ornithologist and Oologist' ................................................................................ 464
74. Pelzeln on the Progress of Ornithology in 1880 .................................................... 464
75. Pelzeln on Central-African Birds ............................................................................ 464
76. Ramsay on Birds from the Solomon Islands ......................................................... 465
77. Ramsay on a new Honey-eater .............................................................................. 465
78. Ramsay on *Oriolus affinis* .................................................................................. 465
79. Ramsay on a new *Eurystopodus* ......................................................................... 465
80. Reinhardt on Birds from Greenland ..................................................................... 466
81. Ridgway on new Birds from the Sandwich Islands .............................................. 466
82. Ridgway on a new Owl from Puerto Rico ............................................................. 466
83. Ridgway on two new Thrushes ............................................................................. 466
84. Salvadori's *Prodromus,* part xi ........................................................................... 467
85. Sharpe's *Birds of South Africa* ......................................................................... 467
86. Sharpe on the Ornithology of New Guinea ........................................................... 467
87. Sharpe on a new Sand-Martin .............................................................................. 468
88. Stejneger on *Myiastes* ........................................................................................ 468
89. Weyenbergh on Birds in the Cordova Museum .................................................... 468

XXXVII. Letters, Announcements, &c.:—

Letters from Major John Hayes Lloyd and Mr. J. H. Gurney; Notes on Birds from the Solomon Islands; Bird's-nest in a Horse's Tail; Pet Birds in Sumatra; Note on *Sternula placens*; A new West-African Finch; The Birds of Uganda; Bird-life in the Pribylov Islands; The Migration of the Little Bustard; Expeditions accomplished and in progress; Obituary—Charles Robert Darwin .............................................. 469

Number XXIV., October.

XXXVIII. On the Birds of Montserrat. By T. Grisdale. (Plate XIII.) .................. 485


XL. On the Interbreeding of Birds. By Henry Seebohm ........................................ 546

XLI. Notes on the Birds of the Province of Constantine, Algeria. By Charles Dixon. (Plate XIV.) ...................................................... 550
XLII. Notes on a 'Catalogue of the Accipitres in the British Museum' by R. Bowdler Sharpe (1874). By J. H. Gurney. 579

XLIII. Notices of recent Ornithological Publications:
90. Blakiston and Pryer on the Birds of Japan .... 599
91. Blasius on Birds from Borneo .... 599
92. Buller’s 'Manual of the Birds of New Zealand' .... 599
93. Elliott on the Birds of the Alaska Seal-Islands .... 600
94. Forbes on the Tubinares .... 600
95. Goodchild on the Occurrence of the Iceland Falcon in Westmoreland .... 601
96. Gould’s 'Birds of New Guinea' .... 601
97. Hartlaub on new Central-African Birds .... 602
98. Hume’s 'Stray Feathers,' Vol. x. Nos. 1, 2, 3 .... 602
99. Lawrence on two new Birds from Yucatan .... 602
100. Lawrence on a new Margarops .... 603
101. Lawrence on a new Subspecies of Loxigilla .... 603
102. Ramsay on new Birds from the Solomon Islands .... 603
103. Ramsay on Pycnoptilus floccosus and Pachycephala olivacea .... 603
104. Rathbun’s 'Bright Feathers' .... 604
105. Reichenow and Schalow on the Ornithological Literature of 1880 .... 604
106. Salvadori’s 'Prodromus,' part xii .... 606
107. Salvadori on a Collocalia .... 606
108. Salvadori on a Species of Cyclopsittacus .... 606
109. Salvin’s Catalogue of the Birds in the Strickland Collection .... 607
110. Selater’s ‘Jacamars and Puff-birds’ .... 607

XLIV. Letters, Announcements, &c.:
Letters from Dr. A. B. Meyer, Herr von Pelzel, Canon Tristram, Capt. W. V. Legge, and Mr. Seebohm; News of Dr. Finsch .... 607

SUPPLEMENTARY NUMBER, December.

Index .... 613
Titlepage, Preface, List of Members, and Contents.
General Index to the Fourth Series.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLATES IN VOL. VI.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOURTH SERIES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Pipra suavissima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Strix aurantiaca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Caprimulgus nobilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Aleyone richardi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Ptilopus rhodostictus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Ninox rudolfi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Fig. 1. Hyliota australis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Accentor fulvescens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Propasser blythi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Falco atriceps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. Lanius mollis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. Turnix saturata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. Icterus oberi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV. Saxicola seebohmi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORRIGENDA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>New Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>for ROUROUL read rouroul.</td>
<td>4, 10, for ROUROUL read rouroul.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>for TACHYPEDES read TACHYPETES.</td>
<td>71, 1, for TACHYPEDES read TACHYPETES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>for cristatus read cristata.</td>
<td>94, 27, for cristatus read cristata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>for JUGGER read JUGGUR.</td>
<td>98, 31, for JUGGER read JUGGUR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>for PERSICA read PERSICUS.</td>
<td>210, 1, for PERSICA read PERSICUS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>for PENA read PAENA.</td>
<td>252, 24, for PENA read PAENA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>for aurita read auritus.</td>
<td>265, 15, for aurita read auritus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>for TROCALOPTERON read TROCHALOPTERUM.</td>
<td>272, 21, for TROCALOPTERON read TROCHALOPTERUM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>for Trocalopteron read Trochalopterum.</td>
<td>281, 16, for Trocalopteron read Trochalopterum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>for Hierofalco read Hierofalco.</td>
<td>298, 38, for Hierofalco read Hierofalco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>for CITHAGRA read CRITHAGRA.</td>
<td>358, 11, for CITHAGRA read CRITHAGRA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>for ARENARIUS read ARENARIA.</td>
<td>381, 15, for ARENARIUS read ARENARIA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>for subarcuata read subarquata.</td>
<td>392, 24, for subarcuata read subarquata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>for ARENARIA read ARENARIUS.</td>
<td>425, 3, for ARENARIA read ARENARIUS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>for Nyctocorax read Nycticorax.</td>
<td>462, 8, for Nyctocorax read Nycticorax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>for Oidemia read Edemia.</td>
<td>466, 13, for Oidemia read Edemia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I.—Ornithological Notes made in the Straits Settlements and in the Western States of the Malay Peninsula. By Lieut. H. R. Kelham, 74th Highlanders.

[Continued from ‘The Ibis’ for 1881, p. 532.]

Gallus ferrugineus, Gm. The Jungle-fowl.

The common Jungle-fowl, the “Ayam-utan” of the Malays, is exceedingly plentiful throughout the native states; but I never met with it on the island of Singapore, and it is not common, if, indeed, found at all on Pulo Penang.

Whether or not the Malay species, Temminck’s G. bankiva, is really distinct from the Indian, it is hard to say; but if it is distinct, both kinds are certainly found in the Malay countries; for while stationed in Perak I shot, out of the same tract of jungle, unmistakable specimens of G. ferrugineus, with the rich golden hackles and white ear-patches, also birds of far darker, in one case almost black, plumage. But the wild Jungle-fowl interbreed so much with domestic roosters from the villages, that I cannot help thinking these dark-coloured birds to be the results of such intercourse particularly as many of them, though very unlike the typical
G. ferrugineus, are not like one another, varying much in the intensity of their colouring.

In Perak I found Jungle-fowl breeding from March to July; and the young, when three or four weeks old, were capital eating—far better than the full-grown bird, which has but little more game flavour than the ordinary domestic fowl.

At the first glimmer of daylight, and again towards evening, the Jungle-cocks may be heard in all directions, crowing loudly, and by very careful stalking may occasionally be got at; but I found far the most successful plan was, either early in the morning, or else about sunset, to sneak quietly along the edges of clearings and patches of cultivation, which at those times the Jungle-fowl frequent in search of food; and in this way, by dodging from bush to bush, I frequently rendered a good account of them. But it required the most careful stalking, as on the slightest alarm the birds ran into the thick jungle, where it was almost useless to follow them. Once or twice I shot them in thick cover by letting my dog hunt them up into the trees, which they did not leave till I was within range.

In Province Wellesley the Malays decoy Jungle-fowl by imitating the crowing and flapping of the wings of the cock, when the birds coming to accept the supposed challenge are shot.

The following are descriptions of birds I shot near Kwala Kangsar, Perak:—The cock, though in magnificent plumage, wanted the white ear-coverts; he was about 22 inches in length, tarsus 3 inches; irides orange; head and neck covered with long golden hackles, darkest on the head and nape; the back and long upper tail-coverts rich chestnut, the latter of a golden hue; primary and secondary wing-coverts black, glossed with metallic shades of purple and green; lesser coverts rich maroon; wing-quills dusky, with rufous margins to the outer webs; tail black, glossed with green; underparts dull black, with some of the feathers edged with brown.

The hen is of much plainer plumage. Upper parts brown, minutely freckled with a paler and more rufous shade of the
same colour, with some of the feathers pale-shafted; the hackles are black, short, and edged with yellow; underparts pale rufous-brown; the feathers of the breast pale-shafted; length of bird about 15 inches.

**Gallus varius**, Shaw.

In the Botanical Gardens at Singapore there was a specimen of this handsome Jungle-fowl; but probably it had been imported.

**Excalfactoria chinensis** (Linn.). The Blue-breasted Quail.

This tiny but most beautifully marked Quail swarms throughout the Malay States, being found in almost every dry paddy-field or tract of scrub and grass-covered ground. It is difficult to flush, not rising until almost trodden on; then, after skimming over the grass with a Partridge-like flight for fifty or sixty yards, it drops like a stone, and is hard to put up again, even with a dog.

The sudden way in which they drop to the ground frequently deceives the inexperienced sportsman, who, thinking he has made a successful shot, hurries to where the bird apparently fell, and makes a long and fruitless search, while the object of his pursuit is running as hard as it can lay legs to the ground to a distant part of the field.

They are very good eating, but so small as to be scarcely worth a charge of shot; and after being a few weeks in the country, and ceasing to look on them as a novelty, one seldom fires at them, confining one's attention to larger game, in the shape of Snipe, Plover, &c.

The sexes are very unlike in plumage, the male being by far the more handsome and brightly-coloured bird. One shot near Saiyong, Perak, on 24th April, was 5½ inches long; irides deep crimson; legs bright orange; beak black; head and upper parts brown; feathers of the back pale-shafted, and banded, mostly on one web only, with black; wings pale brown, some of the coverts edged with rufous and bluish grey; forehead, cheeks, sides of neck, and breast bright bluish grey; moustache-streak and broad crescentic mark
on throat pure white, bordered by a deep black line; chin and throat black; abdomen ruddy chestnut. The female is not nearly so boldly marked: one shot at Singapore on 7th November measured $5\frac{1}{4}$ inches in length, tarsus $\frac{3}{4}$ inch; legs orange; irides red-brown; supercilium, throat, and forehead rufous brown; chin dull white; breast dingy brown, with narrow black cross bars; feathers of flanks much lengthened; the white and black markings of the throat, also the chestnut abdomen of the male, were wanting.

**Rollulus rouloul** (Scop.). The Crested Partridge.

Though not rare, this bird is seldom seen, being very shy, and on the approach of danger trusting to its legs rather than taking flight. All my specimens were snared in the neighbourhood of Kwala Kangsar.

Captain Wardlaw-Ramsay tells me he found it plentiful round Mount Ophir; and I saw several skins in Malaccan collections. These birds thrive well in confinement, but are not easily tamed: some which were in my aviary for several months were always wild, hiding directly any one appeared in sight; but early in the morning, when all was quiet, and they thought they were not observed, they used to come out of their hiding-places and feed on rice and Indian corn.

The male is very handsome, with a crest of red plumes on its head; the female is without the crest, and of much duller colours than her mate.

**Rhizothera longirostris**, Temm.

While stationed at Kwala Kangsar, Perak, a Malay brought me one of these curious Pheasant-like birds, which he had snared, and I put it in my aviary; but it only lived a few weeks.

I also saw a couple in confinement, at Singapore, in Mr. Whampoa's garden; but he could not tell me any thing about them, not even where they came from. They were about the size of a domestic hen, wings and tail short, legs whitish, tarsus spurred, irides dark brown, beak black; sharp, and very much curved; plumage rich brown, mottled and spotted with a darker shade of the same colour; at the base of the
To a casual observer these birds look like the hens of some species of Pheasant. They are known among the Malays by the name of "Burang salantung."

**Turnix plumbipes, Temm.** The Black-breasted Bustard-Quail.

For some time I put down this Bustard-Quail as Sykes's *T. taigoor*; but apparently it is distinct from that species.

It is very plentiful throughout Western Malayana; but I rarely found more than two or three together; in fact, I generally flushed them singly, and, as a rule, on ground covered with scrub or long grass. Like all the Quails, they are very difficult to put up, trusting to their legs more than their wings.

In my note-book I have written as follows:—

"Kuala Kangsar, Perak, 8th June, 1877. Today I shot a female specimen of the Malayan *Turnix*, almost identical with *T. taigoor* of Sykes: my bird measures 6\frac{1}{2} inches; irides yellowish white; bill and legs bluish lead-colour; it has but three toes; throat and upper part of breast black; underparts and the wings rufous brown, barred on the wings and lower part of the breast with black; head and neck fleckled with black and white spots; claws white; weight slightly over 2 oz.

"When walking through the jungle I often flush these Quail. Small open patches appear to be their favourite resorts; and I very seldom find them in the paddy-fields, where the little *Excalfactoria chinensis* swarms."

Among the "lalang" grass round the barracks at Singapore, Bustard-Quail were very common, breeding during May, June, and July.

On 1st July I found a brood of five young ones running about with their mother among the flower-beds in the Botanical Gardens, and on 24th August disturbed a family of them in the long grass close outside our mess; they could not fly more than a few yards at a time, so were easily caught.

One I carefully examined, though fully feathered, could
scarcely fly at all, but ran at a great pace, and showed much cleverness in hiding itself by crouching flat on the ground, taking advantage of any hole or depression; its irides were straw-colour, like those of the adult.

I used to see the Malays in Perak employ these birds as decoys to catch others of their kind, much in the same way as Dr. Jerdon in his 'Birds of India' describes it as being done by the natives in the south of India.

The decoy, usually a hen bird, is enclosed in a small wicker cage, having an arrangement by which, on the breaking of a thread which is stretched across the bars, a net springs over the front of the cage. This contrivance is placed in a likely spot in the jungle; and the wild Quails, attracted by the "calling" of the decoy, try to get at it, and, fluttering against the outside of the bars, break the thread, set free the spring-net, and are caught.

Dr. Jerdon says that in India all the birds thus caught are hens, as are the decoys: unfortunately I neglected to see if such was the case in Perak; but if so, it conclusively proves that it is not sexual desire, but their pugnacity, that is so fatal to them. The female is the larger and by far the more handsome bird of the two—the male wanting the deep-black throat and upper breast, and being altogether less boldly marked.

**Glareola orientalis**, Blyth.

The Swallow-Plover is very common during the seasons of migration, arriving at the same time as the Golden Plover, *Charadrius fulvus*; but I never met with it at other times of the year. During March, and again in September and October, great numbers pass over the island of Singapore; but they are then so tame that it is poor sport shooting them: often they squatted so closely that I walked within a few yards before they would rise; then they frequently settled again after flying a short distance. Perhaps this extraordinary tameness was owing to the fatigue occasioned by migrating. I noticed that they were generally found in large flocks on cultivated ground, and were particularly fond of ploughed land, more especially if it was on a hillside.
The vernal migration takes place early in the year; in my note-book is the following passage:

"Kuala Kangsar, Perak, 22nd February, 1877. This afternoon I paddled down the Perak river in a canoe to Campaong Saiyong, accompanied by H, on our way getting a Golden Plover out of a pair which were sitting on a sand-bank in the middle of the river.

"A little further on, on another sand-bank, we saw an enormous flock of birds, which every now and then rose with shrill cries, and after flying a few yards settled again, squatting flat on the sand. Not knowing what they were, we stalked them, and bagged six, losing three more, which fell into the river and were swept away.

"At first I took them to be the European Pratincole, but now see, as stated by Jerdon, that they differ from that species in having the tail less forked; they must be migrating, as on no former occasion have I seen any here; and their being in such numbers, and so easily approached, makes it still more probable that they are on passage. When fired at the big flock broke up into small parties of from ten to twenty; but after a short time they all returned to the sand-banks. While on the wing, flying close over the surface of the water, the most noticeable points about them were their Swallow-like wings and white rumps.""

In plumage the sexes are alike; but immature birds which I shot in Singapore during September were not nearly so decidedly marked as the adults, moreover they were much mottled and freckled with brown. The pectinated middle claw, large eye, wide gape, their flight, and the way they crouch flat on the ground, all seem to point to a relationship between these birds and the Caprimulgidae.

Squatulora helvetica (Gm.). The Grey Plover.

Identical with the European species. The Grey Plover is common among the islands and along the coasts of the peninsula from October to March, but appears to breed further north. On 13th April 1879, I had over a dozen brought to me, which had just been caught on the coast a few miles
south of Malacca; and of these one showed considerable signs of the breeding-plumage, its breast being much blotched with black. During October, November, and December some may always be shot on the shores of Pulo Oobin, Pulo Nongsa, and the other rock-girt islands near Singapore; a female which I shot off Pulo Oobin was sitting on an isolated rock in company with a large flock of Ringed Plover (*Egialitis geoffroyi*).

**Charadrius fulvus, Gm.**

The Eastern Golden Plover is very plentiful during the north-east monsoon, but goes north in April to breed, returning again to the south of the peninsula towards the end of September. In Perak, during January and February, I found them in large flocks on the edges of all the jheels, particularly those in the neighbourhood of Kota Lama, Sailyong, and Sengan; but they got scarcer in March. The 8th April was the latest date on which I shot one, which, in company with another, was sitting on a sand-bank in the middle of the Perak river; it had almost fully assumed the black breast of the breeding-season. In 1879, while stationed at Singapore, as late as 13th April a Malay fisherman brought me a large cage full of Terns and shore-birds, which he had netted on the sands near the mouth of the Moar river; and among them were several Golden Plovers, all in various stages of the breeding-plumage; so probably they nest somewhere towards the north of the peninsula, though in Singapore and the south they are most certainly migratory.

In Singapore, though no very large bags were to be made, they often, during October, afforded me a capital afternoon’s sport. In the neighbourhood of Tanglin the best places for them were the Chinamen’s gardens and the cultivated hills near Cluny; but there was also good ground near Changie, at Galang, and on the Trafalgar estate.

When shot at some distance inland they are very good eating; but a coast diet spoils them for the table: some I shot on the sea-shore at Panaga, in Province Wellesley, were quite uneatable, having a strong, fishy, decayed-seaweed kind of flavour.
In my notes are many references to this species, among them the following:—

"Tanglin, Singapore, 2nd October. Early this morning three Golden Plovers were running about our lawn-tennis ground, close to the public road; they were very tame, allowing me within a few yards before they rose, and even then flying but a short distance. In the evening, at dusk, while several of us were playing tennis, laughing and talking, a Golden Plover circled round two or three times, then settled on the ground in our midst. I never saw one so tame, but believe it was migrating, and so tired as to be regardless of danger and glad to rest anywhere."

*Ægialitis Geoffroyi* (Wagl.). The Sand-Plover.

Found in great numbers on the coasts of the peninsula during the north-east monsoon. Towards the end of November 1879 I found enormous flocks of them at low tide on the shore of Pulo Battam; they were then all in the brown-and-white winter plumage. One, which I shot out of a flock of Charadriinae which rose from a rock in mid channel between Pulo Oobin and Singapore, was 8\(\frac{3}{4}\) inches in length; irides dark brown; beak at front \(\frac{1}{2}\) inch; legs black; tarsus \(\frac{1}{6}\) inch; upper parts and streak below the eye dull brown; forehead, tip of tail, and the underparts white: date 10th January.

The summer plumage is very different from that of the winter-time. In my note-book I find the following notes concerning two specimens obtained alive from the Malaccan coast on 13th April 1879:—

"The two Sand-Plovers which were brought to me today differ much in appearance; both are *Æ. Geoffroyi*. My identification has been confirmed by Mr. Davison; so there can be no mistake; but they are certainly very unlike one another, one being in the ordinary brown-and-white winter plumage, the other, a female, in the rufous colours of the breeding-season. This last, Mr. Davison tells me, is the only specimen in summer plumage that he has ever seen in these parts. The following is an accurate description of it:—

"Length 8\(\frac{1}{2}\) inches, bill at front 1, tarsus 1\(\frac{1}{2}\); bill black;
irides dark brown; forehead, lores, ear-coverts, and streak below eyes black; spot on each side of forehead, the chin, throat, abdomen, margins of inner webs of the primaries, white; upper parts hair-brown, tinged with rufous, particularly on the head and neck; a broad band round the upper part of the breast bright rufous.

**Ægialitis mongolica** (Pall.).

Frequents the coasts during the north-east monsoon. On 23rd November I shot one out of a flock on the shores of Pulo Battam, near Singapore. Length barely 8 inches, tarsus $\frac{1}{2}$, beak at front $\frac{2}{3}$; upper parts dull brown, tinged, particularly on the wing-coverts, with rufous; the forehead and underparts white, with a rufous tinge, deepest on the breast. It is rather like, but smaller than, *Æ. geoffroyi*.

**Ægialitis dubia** (Scop.).

On 23rd November, 1879, I shot a specimen of this small Ringed Plover out of a party of five on the sandy strand bordering Pulo Battam. At first I thought it was *Æ. minutula*; but that bird has the basal half of the beak yellow, while in this the whole of it is black.

I shot another during November on the parade-ground at Tanglin, Singapore.

**Lobivanellus atronuchalis**, Blyth.

The Red-wattled Lapwing is common in Perak, and Larut, frequenting the edges of jheels and the swampy valleys in the jungle. I never found a nest; but they probably breed in the peninsula, as I saw a pair near Kwala Kangsar, Perak, as late as the first week in May. Earlier in the year I shot several in the neighbourhood of that place, also some few at a jheel near Sengan, lower down the river.

In my notes is the following passage:

"Singapore, 21st November, 1879. This afternoon I shot a few Snipe and Plover in the swampy valley behind our barracks, also put up two Red-wattled Lapwing, one of which I shot. It is exactly like those I used so often to get in Perak; but here it is a rather rare bird, and one seldom hears its plaintive cry, so well rendered in Dr. Jerdon's work by
the words 'Did he do it! Pity to do it.' A male, shot at Saiyong, Perak, on 13th April, measured about 12½ inches in length, tarsus 3; beak red, black at its tip; orbits and wattles red; irides red-brown; legs yellow; head, neck, and breast deep black; ear-coverts, streak down each side of neck, band across upper part of the back, abdomen, and the tail white, the last broadly barred with black; upper parts and wing-coverts dull brown, glossed with metallic shades of purple and green; greater coverts broadly tipped with white; wing-quills black; the shoulder furnished with a short blunt spur; hind toe very minute. Its stomach contained vegetable matter and particles of quartz."

**Strepsilas interpres, Linn. The Turnstone.**

About the middle of April 1877 a Malay brought me a cage of eighteen or twenty Turnstones, which he said he had netted on the sands near the mouth of the Moar river; they were in most beautiful plumage.

I saw large flocks of Turnstones scuttling about at the water's edge on the beach at Pulo Nongsa during September, and shot one or two of them.

**Gallinago stenura (Temm.). The Pintail Snipe.**

Although the European Snipe (G. scolopacina) is occasionally found, the one commonly met with in the Malay States is the Pintail Snipe (G. stenura), dozens (I think I may almost say hundreds) of it being obtained for one of the former. But in general appearance the two species are so alike that any body not a naturalist, nor of a very inquiring nature, may easily shoot throughout a whole season in that land of the longbills, Province Wellesley, without knowing that his spoil differs in the least from the well-known Snipe of the British Isles.

But if, while resting from his labours after a few hours' plodding through mud and water under the blazing sun of those parts, he will turn out his well-filled bag and carefully examine its contents, it will be found that, with hardly an exception, the birds are "Pintails."

The tail, instead of being of soft rounded feathers, as is
the case with the English bird, has eight rigid pin-like feathers on either side, though I have seen specimens in which these stiff feathers were but seven in number. This is the most marked characteristic of the species, and at once determines the identity of a specimen; but the Pintail also has the axillary plumes more richly barred than its European brother—though, unless one had some of each kind laid side by side for comparison, the differences between the two species would probably pass unobserved.

It is only at a certain season that Snipe abound in the Malay peninsula: from May to July, both months inclusive, it is hard to find a single bird; but about the middle or end of August they begin to arrive in Province Wellesley and Pulo Penang, extending to Malacca and the extreme south of the peninsula, including Singapore, ten days or a fortnight later, though they are not found in great numbers in any of these places until later in September.

However, it is impossible to lay down a hard and fast rule, as the migration is much influenced by the weather; but it may safely be said that the great body of the Snipe do not come south until the commencement of the wet and stormy period which proclaims the breaking-up of the south-west monsoon.

Towards the end of April they return north to their breeding-grounds; and I doubt if any remain to nest in the peninsula, though in Perak I have shot a few stragglers as late as the second week in May.

With reference to the habits of the Pintail, my experience is that, as a rule, they are not found in any number in the paddy-fields—that is to say, when the crops stand high; and though I once, at Panaga, on November 6, 1877, in about three hours, bagged twenty-five couple on paddy-land, still it was the only occasion I am able to record; and then, I believe, their presence was due to the paddy being scattered about in patches and much mixed up with reeds and coarse herbage.

Their favourite ground is where the jungle has been burned, and the vegetation, just beginning to spring up, shows in green shoots above the blackened soil. Another sure finding-
place is rough land, with bushes, small pools of water, and moist places scattered here and there; but everywhere it will be found that during the intense heat of the day the Snipe avoid the open country, and seek shelter from the sun under thick bushes, or in the shade of high jungle. They then lie very close, and when flushed rise with a listless flight, not frequently settling again after flying eighty or a hundred yards; but of course this is not the case in districts where they are much shot at and disturbed.

Though undoubtedly, as a rule, the Malay Snipe are not so wild nor so active on the wing as is the European species, still they afford excellent sport, and are by no means easy to shoot, particularly during the early morning, when, revived by the cool night air, they dart and twist along at a great pace; also among bushes it requires very quick and straight shooting to make any thing of a bag.

As soon as the sun gets low they leave the covert and scatter themselves all over the country in search of food; often on moonlight nights, when out in the jungle after pig, on crossing open pieces of ground where, during the day, not a bird could be found, I have heard Snipe rise, squeaking on all sides. One most keen sportsman of my acquaintance sallied forth on one of these very bright nights; but, though the Snipe swarmed, he returned without having done more than frighten them,—not to be wondered at, considering how deceptive is the light of even the most brilliant tropical moon.

During droughts, when the ground is parched and cracked by the heat, the Snipes probe the buffalo-dung, perforating the heaps with thousands of small holes in their search after the worms which collect beneath.

I think that there can be little doubt that Province Wellesley, opposite the island of Penang, is by far the best Snipe-ground in the peninsula, probably owing to its being extremely flat, well watered, cleared of jungle, and perhaps to its being very near the limit of the migration south. To a very great extent it is covered with paddy-fields; and on the rough uncultivated land bordering these the Snipe are extremely plentiful, enormous numbers often being shot in a day. One
morning early in November 1877 I bagged thirty-five couple by midday, and had quite as good sport on other occasions; but during the season of 1879, which was an exceptionally good one, the birds simply swarming, far larger bags were made, an officer of my regiment having bagged fifty-six couple to his own gun on one day, and fifty-four on another. But this represents good shooting; for it must not be imagined that the birds can be knocked down with a stick. Far from it, any thing over twenty couple means really straight shooting and hard work, as the walking is bad and the heat intense.

A good retriever is very useful; but few dogs can stand the sun for any length of time. I used to keep mine closely clipped, except his head and a broad stripe down his back, which proved a great protection to his spine; but in spite of all precautions, after a time, he got altogether out of condition. Without a dog birds are often lost, particularly on bushy ground, though the Malay boys, sharp little urchins, with more intelligence than clothes, who follow and carry one's cartridges, are generally very good at marking down the dead and wounded; still a dog is preferable to the best of human retrievers.

Near Taiping, in the native state of Larut, I was once one of a party who attempted to shoot Snipe from elephants; but I cannot advise any one to go and do likewise, at least if their dinner depends on what they kill. It happened thus. We had been all day in the jungle after a rogue elephant, which had done considerable mischief; but he proved two much for us, and got safely away to the hills without giving any one the slightest chance of a shot, though at one time we were close to him. In the afternoon, on our way home, we had to pass near a celebrated Snipe-ground of considerable extent, swampy, and much overgrown with low bushes. "Let's try and shoot some Snipe from our elephants' backs!" exclaimed one of our number. The novelty of the thing pleased all; so off we started; and a queer sight it was. Five elephants advanced in line, about a hundred yards apart, each carrying two guns; while in the intervals, but a little in the rear, came several Sikhs of the military police of the district, fine
tall fellows in scarlet turbans. These followed us, nominally to pick up the spoil; but, unless it takes five men to carry one Snipe, their labours were light. The Snipe were very plentiful, and for half an hour there was a tremendous banging; but I need hardly say that the result was almost nil. Personally I expended quite thirty or forty cartridges for two Snipe and a green Pigeon; all together I do not believe the ten of us averaged a bird apiece. But it was not to be wondered at; for as "scaipe! scaipe!" resounded and up went one's gun, the elephant would make a tremendous plunge, and one's shot went anywhere but towards the object aimed at; often, I expect, much nearer the head of our mahout, or some of our Sikh followers, than was at all pleasant for them. I know it would have taken a good deal to induce me to change places with the mahout, perched as he was on the neck of the elephant, with my companion and myself slung in baskets on either side of the great lumbering brute, and firing away as hard as we could. As we sat sideways in a small cane basket, with our legs dangling over the side, straight shooting was almost an impossibility; for, to say nothing of the jolting of our animal, I, on the off-side, could fire only at birds rising to my left front, and then in a very cramped position; and the man on the near side had similar difficulties to contend with. Between these two firing-points squatted the unfortunate mahout: he never made any remark, except to his charge; but I expect he offered up a prayer of thanksgiving to Mahomet when the whole performance was over and he found his head still on his shoulders.

_Rhynchlaena bengalensis_ (Linn.).

The Painted Snipe, as it is called, though not really belonging to the true Snipes, is a bird frequently met with by the sportsman in Malayana.

The Painted Snipe _may_ be a resident and breed in the Malay peninsula, as is the case in India, though my experience inclines me to think it migratory. In any case, if not a true migrant, it certainly moves about the country, only
appearing in certain districts at particular seasons. I never heard of it nesting in the peninsula, and never even saw it except during the north-east monsoon, when it is fairly plentiful, frequenting the same ground as the common Pintail. I have shot Painted Snipes in the north of Perak during the months of January, February, and March, and found them in considerable numbers further south during October.

Out of a bag of twenty couple of Snipe shot in province Wellesley on November 9, more than half were of the Painted species. They seem to collect in small parties; for when one is flushed two or three more are generally to be found somewhere near; but they rise with a heavy Owl-like flap, as a rule settling again within forty or fifty yards. Thus offering an easy mark, and being moreover poor eating, they are scarcely worth shooting.

The chief characteristics of the Painted Snipe are the beautiful ocellated plumage and the Curlew-like bill, curved downwards at the tip, also shorter than that of the common Snipe. The female, with the handsome chestnut throat, is larger and more brightly-coloured than the male.

**Gallinago scolopacina**, Bp.

Compared with the Pintail species, the common European Snipe is rare in the Malay States.

**Limosa falcata** (Linn.).

Personally I did not meet with this Godwit; but Mr. Davison showed me a specimen caught with birdlime, at the same time as two Whimbrel, on the rocks off Changhie, on the north coast of Singapore.

**Numenius arquata** (Linn.). The Curlew.

Plentiful along the coasts during the north-east monsoon. I shot a few off Changhie and among the islands in the Johore Straits, but found them just as well able to take care of themselves, and just as hard to get at, as in cooler climes.

Referring to a visit I made during November to Pulo Nongsa, a small island off the south coast of Singapore, in my note-book is:

"The tide being very low, a broad belt of coral-reef sur-
rounded the island, affording feeding-grounds to hundreds of shore-birds of all kinds; so we landed, or rather waded ashore, in hopes of getting at the Curlew and Plover, of which we saw a great many; but, as usual, the former were exceedingly wary, and, without giving us the ghost of a chance, made off to a distant sandbank, loudly uttering their shrill cries, as if to deride the unsuccessful sportsman and warn all other birds of his approach."

**Numenius phaeopus** (Linn.). The Whimbrel.

Flocks of Whimbrel frequent the coasts during the north-east monsoon. In my notes I find:

"Singapore, 26th November, 1879. The other day, while shooting Pigeons on Pulo Battam, we put up a large flock of Whimbrel from the belt of mangroves bordering the shore, but did not get a chance at them; but next day Mr. D—bagged eight in two shots."

**Tringa minuta**, Leisl. The Little Stint.

I shot one of these Stints on Pulo Battam, near Singapore, on 25th November 1879; it was a male in winter plumage, length about 6½ inches; head and the upper parts whitish brown, the feathers dark-shafted; the two central tail-feathers dark brown, the others dusky, all narrowly edged with white; the underparts white, dusky on the breast; bill at front ½ inch, tarsus ½.

**Totanus glareola** (Linn.). The Spotted Sandpiper.

This Sandpiper is by no means a rare bird; I shot several in Perak and in Singapore. A female, killed at Kota Lama, Perak, on 19th April 1877, measured 9 inches, tarsus 1½, beak at front 1¼; legs dull green; irides dark brown; head, upper parts, and the wings dull brown, spotted with grey; a dusky streak passes from the base of the upper mandible to the eye; supercilium and underparts white, dusky on the breast and much streaked with brown; the upper tail-coverts pure white; tail barred with dark brown. A specimen shot in Singapore during November was less distinctly spotted than the above.
In my notes I find:—

"Singapore, 18th November, 1879. This afternoon, while Snipe-shooting in the Mount-Echo valley, close behind our barracks, I came on a large flock of Spotted Sandpipers (*T. glareola*) feeding in the swampy fields, which are awful walking, letting one through at every step over one's knees into soft filth. The Sandpipers were rather wild, rising with shrill cries as soon as I got within forty or fifty yards, but settling again after flying round and round for a few minutes. Feeding with them were a great many Yellow Wagtails (*Bu- dytes taivanus*); and I got several specimens of both them and the Sandpipers at one shot."

**Tringoides hypoleucus** (Linn.).

The common Sandpiper is plentiful in Singapore and the neighbouring isles; during November 1879 I found great numbers of them on the shores of Pulo Nongsa and Pulo Battam, and on many occasions saw them settle on the fishing-stakes, which stand five or six feet above the surface of the water. In China I once saw a Sandpiper dive and swim under water with wonderful ease. I find the following notes, made at the time, in my journal:—

"6th October, 1878, Kowloon, near Hong Kong. Towards evening we left the hills and returned to our boat, near which, on the sands, we shot a few Waders. One of these, a Common Sandpiper (*T. hypoleucus*), fell wounded into a brook; and my dog ran to retrieve it; but just as he was going to pick it up, it dived like a Duck and swam *under* water a distance of over twenty yards. The stream was of no width, and the water as clear as crystal; and standing within a couple of paces, I most distinctly saw the bird propelling itself with its wings as it swam beneath the surface of the water."

[To be continued.]
II.—Notes on Woodpeckers.—No. II.* The Genus Iyngipicus.

By Edward Hargitt, F.Z.S.

It is more with the view of eliciting than of supplying information that I bring the present paper before ornithologists. Although the series of specimens at my disposal has been considerable, as will be seen by the list given below, it has by no means sufficed for thoroughly settling the many difficult points which every one admits to be connected with the study of this genus. I have here, however, to thank Dr. Günther for the facilities I have enjoyed in working at the British Museum, and also to record my acknowledgments to Captain Wardlaw-Ramsay, who lent me his entire collection of Iyngipicus, with some undescribed species therein, and, lastly, to Mr. Henry Seebohm and Mr. Eugene Oates, who generously gave me all the specimens in my own collection, from which most of the descriptions are taken.

The only ornithologist who appears to me to have grappled with the question of the Indian species and races of Iyngipicus in a thoroughly practical manner is Mr. A. O. Hume, who has given a review of these birds in the third volume of 'Stray Feathers' (1875, p. 59), under the heading of I. canicapillus. Mr. Hume has enumerated five species of this genus as inhabiting India, viz. I. pygmaeus, Vigors, I. rubricatus, Blyth, I. nanus, Vigors, I. gymnophthalmos, Blyth, and I. canicapillus, Blyth, and has, at the same time, given a brief diagnosis of these birds, but somehow has omitted to include I. meniscus, Malherbe. Most of Mr. Hume's remarks I can thoroughly indorse, and in the series of specimens which has come under my notice, I have found great variation of spotting in the tail-feathers, so aptly described by that author.

This diversity of spotting also holds good with respect to I. semicoronatus; for I have observed in Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay's collection two specimens, one a male, adult, from N.-Khasia hills (A. W. Chennell) and a female from the Naga

* For No. I. see Ibis, 1881, p. 222.
hills (H. H. Godwin-Austen), in both of which the upper tail-coverts are margined with white. In the same collection there is the typical bird, also from the Khasia hills, in which, of course, the upper tail-coverts are black. It follows, therefore, that many of the Pygmy Woodpeckers are rather races or subspecies than true and clearly defined species; that is to say, that in many cases the diagnostic characters may be perfectly true for ten out of eleven birds, but that in the eleventh there may be a variation in the spotting or uniformity of the central tail-feathers, which prevents one giving an absolute specific definition that will hold good of every specimen procured. In the latter part of this paper I have endeavoured to give the correct synonymy of the different species of Iyngipicus. Mr. Hume, in his able review of the Iyngipici of India, refers to the synonymy as somewhat confused. He is indeed right; and I only hope that in my future studies of Woodpeckers I may not find the nomenclature in quite such a tangle as in the present instance. Nor is it possible to contemplate without regret the labours of two monographers of the Picidae; for it is undoubtedly to Professor Reichenbach and M. Malherbe that we owe much of the confusion now existing in the genus. The former author, while ignorant of several well-known and perfectly characterized species, has created some new ones, which have puzzled ornithologists ever since. Nor are his efforts aided by the very indifferent plates (to speak of them mildly) which accompany his work. The monograph of M. Malherbe, again, bears every trace, in the letterpress, of careful study, and a desire to unravel difficult questions; but he has decidedly confused the members of the present genus, while he would appear to have left his artist to his own devices. Now, every one knows that even the best draughtsman requires, and every good one wishes, to be shown the points which should be brought into prominence in figuring a species; and this is not done in the case of Malherbe's plates. The mere fact of his having allowed Oudart to draw a Sasia with four toes, shows that there was a lack of careful supervision over the illustrations; and no one will find much assistance from Malherbe's figures of the Iyngipici.
Before proceeding to the synonymy of the species of this genus, it may be as well to say a few words on those recognized by Malherbe and his able critic, the late Professor Sundevall. The species mentioned by the former author are as follows:

**Picus variegatus**, Malh. Monogr. Picid. i. p. 139, pl. xxxiii. figs. 8, 9.

*Hab.* Inde.

The figures are very poor; but, judging from the description, I have little doubt that the species here intended is the Javan and Malaccan bird called *Picus sondaicus* by Wallace, which I consider to be the true *I. auritus* (Eyton). Malherbe supposes Jerdon's *Picus hardwickii* to be the same; but this is, of course, an error. It is, no doubt, the true *P. variegatus* of Wagler, founded, as Dr. Cabanis has shown, on a Javan specimen; but, for reasons given below, the name variegatus cannot be employed for a Malayan species.

**Picus freniger** (Reichenb.), Malh. t. cit. p. 141.

As Malherbe has pointed out, the figure and description do not agree, and the species is indeterminable.

**Picus canicapillus** (Blyth), Malh. t. cit. p. 141.

This bird was not figured by Malherbe, apparently from lack of specimens; the species is well known to us at the present day.

**Picus Mitchelli** (Malh.), t. cit. p. 142, pl. xxxii. figs. 1, 2.

This, as I shall endeavour to show later on, is identical with *I. pygmaeus*.

**Picus moluccensis** (Gm.), Malh. t. cit. p. 143, pl. xxxii. figs. 4–6.

Malherbe considers the Philippine bird to be the true *P. moluccensis*; but, as Lord Tweeddale has pointed out (Trans. Zool. Soc. ix. p. 148), this is not the case.


I have referred to this species more fully, further on; but it is a most puzzling question, because Malherbe wrote after seeing the type of Vigors's bird in the Zoological Society's Museum, an advantage I have not had, as no one knows the
fate of the original specimen of *P. nanus*. At the same time I believe that Malherbe must have forgotten or confused the identity of the species on his return to France; for the bird he figures is only the Indian form of *I. gymnophthalmus*, which I propose to call *Iyngipicus peninsularis*. Mr. Hume has identified the *P. nanus* of Vigors with the *P. hardwickii* of Jerdon; but the description of the dark occiput disposes of this; nor will Vigors's description suit the Malabar bird, as Malherbe would have us believe. In the monograph of the latter author it is stated that specimens of his *P. nanus*, Vigors, were in the Zoological Society’s Museum (Vigors’s type) and in the British Museum. This was written before the dispersal of the old collection of the Zoological Society; and at that time we know there were only two specimens of *I. peninsularis* in the British Museum; and yet, in his monograph, Malherbe gives figures of at least four different specimens (if not of five), though from his letterpress there is no evidence that he knew of more than three specimens. My contention, therefore, is that the text and the plates were most likely prepared at different times, and the figures drawn from other specimens than those seen in England, also that the author was wrong in considering the Malabar bird to be identical with the type of Vigors’s *P. nanus*. As at the date in question the collections in England were separate, no actual comparison of the British-Museum skins with Vigors’s actual type could be made; and an error from memory, or from comparing descriptions, may easily have arisen.

**Picus pygmæus** (Vigors), Malh. t. cit. p. 147, pl. xxxiv. figs. 5–7.

This is apparently rightly identified by Malherbe, who examined the type in the old Zoological Society’s collection.

**Picus semicoronatus** (Malh.), t. cit. p. 148, pl. xxxiv. fig. 8.

This bird is the well-known *P. rubricatus* of Blyth, whose name, however, appears to be subsequent to that of Malherbe.

**Picus auritus**, Malh. t. cit. p. 150, pl. xxxv. fig. 1.

Malherbe apparently knew this species only from the type
in the British Museum; but where he got his figure from is more than I can venture to say. No *Iyngipicus* has the white side-face which he represents; and I call attention to his figure, presumably of the type in the British Museum, to show the careless way in which the plates of this monograph were supervised.

**Picus meniscus** (Malh.), t. cit. p. 151, pl. xxxv. fig. 2.
This is a bird which sorely puzzles me; it is closely allied to *I. semicoronatus*, but has all the tail-feathers spotted.

**Picus otarius** (Malh.), t. cit. p. 152, pl. xxxv. figs. 5–7.
I cannot see how this differs from *I. auritus* (Eyon), judging from Malherbe's plate of the former; but this, I regret to say, like most of that author's illustrations of the present genus, is not of much use in distinguishing the species.

**Picus gymnophthalmus** (Blyth), Malh. t. cit. p. 153.

**Picus kizuki**, Malh. t. cit. p. 154, pl. xxxvi. figs. 1, 2.

**Picus temminckii** (Malh.), t. cit. p. 155, pl. xxxvi. fig. 3.
These three species are well defined, and call for no particular remark.

Prof. Sundevall, in 1866, wrote a very able Conspectus of the Woodpeckers, as a synopsis of the family and as a critique on Malherbe. His arrangement calls for but few remarks.

**Picus canifrons**, of Sundevall, from Peking, in the Paris Museum, I consider to be only a variety of *I. scintilliceps* (vide infra).

Sundevall further follows Malherbe in his identification of *P. nanus*, and adds, as synonyms, *P. otarius*, Malh., and *P. freniger*, Reichenb. The former identification I believe to be wrong. The latter may be right; but I cannot say.

*Clavis specierum.*

*a*. dorso nigro, albo maculato vel fasciato, vel fere albo.

*a'*. occipite fascia lata rubra circuncineto.

*a''*. rectricibus 4 centralibus cum supracaudalibus nigris ..................... *semicoronatus*.

*b''.* rectricibus centralibus albo maculatis ...... *meniscus*.

*b'*. occipite fascia longitudinali utrinque scarlata ornato.

*c''.* gutture imo et pectore nigro conspicue maculatis.
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a"'. cauda nigra, albo maculatim fasciata; fascia occipitali utrinque parva; uropygio albo, brunneo, vel nigro fasciato

b"'. cauda fulvescente, nigro late transfasciata; uropygio fulvescenti vix maculato; occipite macula utrinque lata notato

d"'. pectore et gutture vel concoloribus, vel nigro aut fusco-brunneo striolatis.

c"'. rectricibus 4 centralibus nigris, haud albo maculatis.

a'. supracaudalibus albis, nigro striatis; subtus late aurantiaco lavatus

b'. supracaudalibus nigris.

a'. dorso summo nigro; dorso imo albo, nigro transfasciato; fascia superciliari cum collo laterali albo conjuncta

b'. dorso summo fuscescenti-brunneo; dorso imo albo fasciato; fascia superciliari cum collo laterali albo haud conjuncta

c'. supracaudalibus nigris, albo transfasciatis
d"'. rectricibus centralibus albo notatis.

d'. subtus striolatus.

c'. capite brunneo vel cinerascente; tectricibus alarum nigris albo maculatis: pilei lateribus nigro fasciatis: occipite nuchaque nigris

d'. capite pallide umbrino vel fulvo-brunneo; occipite nuchaque pileo concoloribus: pilei lateribus haud nigro fasciatis; tectricibus alarum umbrinis.

c'. subtus concolor, vel vix fusco striatus.

c'. pileo summo occipiteque nigris

f'. pileo summo brunneo, occipite paullo saturatu brunneo

b. dorso olivascenti-brunneo, albo striolatim vel fasciatim maculado.

c'. major; fascia scarlatina occipitali lata, haud interrumpita; uropygio albo, brunneo vix striolato; remigibus rectricibusque concoloribus

d'. minor; fascia scarlatina lata occipitali interrumpita; macula nuchali albida; uropygio fulvescente; rectricibus aureo-brunneis, brunneo late transfasciatis
1. **Iyngipicus semicoronatus.**


*I. fascia occipitali rubra; rectricibus 4 centralibus et tectricibus supracaudalibus nigris.*

*Hab.* in regione Himalayana orientali.

There can be no doubt that Malherbe’s name for this species is the older one, and that Blyth’s name comes second. The last-named naturalist first described the bird as the fully adult of *I. pygmaeus*, and found out his mistake four years later; in the meantime, however, the species had been named by Malherbe. Mr. Hume, in his review of the Indian Pygmy Woodpeckers, states that he has only seen the present species from Sikkim; but it also occurs in the Khasia and Naga hills, and in Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay’s collection is a specimen from Jeypore. According to Dr. Jerdon this species is found in Nepal and Sikkim, where it is not very rare (*B. Ind.* i. p. 277). The same author states that it extends to North Cachar (*Ibis*, 1872, p. 8). There are no examples from Nepal among Mr. Hodgson’s skins in the British Museum. The following is a list of all the specimens examined by me:—
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_E Mus. Brit._

* a, b. ♂ ♀ ad. Himalayas (Gould coll.).

_E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay._


* b, c. ♂ ad. N.-Khasia hills (A. W. Chennell).

* d. ♀ ad. Khasia hills (A. W. Chennell).

* e. ♀ ad. Naga hills (H. H. Godwin-Austen).

* f. ♂ ad., g, h, i. ♀ ad., j. ♀ imm. Darjeeling.

_E Mus. H. Seebohm._

* a, b. ♂ ad. Sikkim (L. Mandelli).

_E Mus. E. Hargitt._

* a, b. ♂ ad. Darjeeling (L. Mandelli).


2. Iyngipicus meniscus.


_Similis I. semicoronato et fascia occipitali rubra ornatus, sed rectricibus omnibus albo maculatis distinguendus._

This is a species I have not seen; and yet it appears to be a distinct one, if Malherbe’s description and plates are to be trusted, though at the same time the habitat “Inde” is indefinite enough. I can only leave to Mr. Hume to search for this unknown bird. Like _I. semicoronatus_, this species has a red occipital band, but differs in having all the tail-feathers spotted with white.

3. Iyngipicus maculatus.


_Le petit Epeiche (pt.),_ Buff. Hist. Nat. Ois. vii. p. 64 (1780, ex Sonn.).


_Picus minor_, var. b, Lath. Ind. Orn. i. p. 230 (1790).

_Picus moluccensis_, Less. Traité, p. 221 (1831, nec Gm.); Malherbe, Monogr. Picid. i. p. 143, pl. xxxii. (1861).
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*Picus flavinotus*, Malh. Monogr. Picid. i. p. 144 (1861, ex spec. in Mus. Brit.).


I. rectricibus centralibus albo maculatis; fascia utrinque occipitali longitudinali rubra; pectore nigro distincte maculato, nec striolato.

*Hab.* in insulis Philippinis.

This is a very distinct species, distinguished by its spotted breast, a character so well marked that it renders the old figure of Sonnerat's clearly referable to this species. The synonymy has been well rendered by the late Marquis of Tweeddale; and his remarks should be studied by any one working at the genus. The British-Museum specimens are from Manila. I think that, as Sonnerat's figure and description agree with the Luzon bird, we have a right to assume that the bird which inhabits that island is the same as the Panay species, which served as Sonnerat's type, and which, as the Marquis of Tweeddale (P. Z. S. 1877, p. 689) suggests, was probably a female. The specimens examined have been the following:—

**E Mus. Brit.**

*a, b.♂♀*. Philippines (Cuming).

*c, d, e.♂♂♀* ad. Manila (Gould coll.).

**E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.**

4. **Iungipicus fulvifasciatus**.


*I. simulis* *I. maculato*, Gm., ex insula Luzonica, sed cauda fulvescente, nigro late transfasciata, uropygio fulvescente, vix maculato, occipite macula utrinque lata notato distinguendus.


With regard to this species, the Marquis of Tweeddale *P. Z. S.* 1878, p. 943) says:—“When writing on *Picus maculatus*, Scopoli (Tr. Z. S. ix. p. 148), I stated that the titles I then brought together were treated as synonyms, on the assumption that the islands of Luzon, Panay, and Mindanao possessed but one and the same species of *Iungipicus*. I had had no opportunity of examining an example from any one of the Philippine Islands. Since then Mr. Everett has sent me examples of a species of the genus from Luzon; and these I identified (P. Z. S. 1877, p. 689) with *P. maculatus*, rather than create a new title, while their dimensions were too small for *P. validirostris* (Blyth). The birds from Zamboanga differ specifically from the Luzon species: they are larger; the uropygium and upper tail-coverts are unspotted tawny white; and the rectrices are tawny buff, banded with dark brown, and not dark brown for the most part, as in the Luzon birds, with narrow albescent bands or marks. In both, the lower throat and upper breast are spotted, and not streaked as in *Y. fusco-albidus* of the Sunda Islands and Malacca. Until typical examples of *P. maculatus* from Panay are compared, it cannot be affirmed whether the type of *P. maculatus* belongs to the Luzon or Mindanao species, or whether it may not be a species distinct from either. In the meantime I adopt Blyth’s title, the dimensions he gives being exactly those of the Zamboanga species—bill to forehead 0·75, wing 3·25.”

These measurements and particulars exactly coincide with my own observations. Although we cannot be satisfied until we have seen the Panay bird, still, if it is to correspond with Sonnerat’s figure and description, I do not see how it can differ from the Luzon specimens which answer that description.
The only examples of this species which I have seen are in the collection of Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay, and were obtained at Zamboanga and in Basilan by Mr. A. H. Everett, who, with his usual care, has noted the soft parts. These are the identical birds referred to by the Marquis of Tweeddale in P. Z. S. 1878, p. 943; and that learned author has very properly separated them from the Luzon species. I cannot, however, agree with Lord Tweeddale in considering the Zamboanga and Basilan bird to be Blyth's P. validirostris, as it does not correspond with the latter author's description.

_E Mus. G. R. Wardlaw-Ramsay._

a, b. ♂ ad. Basilan (A. H. Everett).

5. _Iyngipicus aurantiiventris._


_Iyngipicus aurantiiventris_, Salvad. Uccelli di Borneo, p. 41, tav. iv. fig. 2 (1874).

_Yungipicus aurantiiventris_, Sharpe, Ibis, 1879, p. 240.

_1. fascia utrinque occipitali rubra; rectricibus 4 centralibus nigris; supracaudalibus albis nigro striatis; corpore subitus late aurantiaco suffuso._

_Hab._ in insulâ Borneensi.

My experience of the present bird leads me to consider it a thoroughly distinct species. Lord Tweeddale also recognized it as specifically distinct; but I am not sure that he was acquainted with the bird, because in his collection, kindly lent me by Capt. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay, I find two birds named _Yungipicus aurantiiventris_ (Salvad.) which are quite distinct from the real _I. aurantiiventris_, and in general coloration rather resemble _I. temminckii_. But they differ from the latter in many specific characters, as is shown under the heading _I. ramsayi_, which name I have given to this hitherto undescribed bird. _I. aurantiiventris_ would appear to be by no means rare in the Sarawak district, where it was first discovered by the Marquis Doria and Dr. Beccari; for Mr. Everett has
sent a good many specimens to the British Museum from the same province, as will be seen by the list given below. A single specimen was collected in Lumbidan by Mr. Low; and there the late Governor Ussher and Mr. Treacher also obtained it. Mr. W. B. Pryer has also found it in the Sandakan district.

\[E\text{ Mus. Brit.}\]

a, b. \(\delta \varphi\) ad. Paku (H. Everett).
c. \(\delta\) ad. Sarawak (H. Everett).
d. \(\varphi\) ad. Lumbidan (Ussher).
e. \(\varphi\) ad. N.W. Borneo (H. Low).
f. \(\varphi\) ad. Mateng (Gould coll.).

\[E\text{ Mus. E. Hargitt.}\]

a. \(\varphi\) ad. Sandakan (W. B. Pryer).

6. **Iyngipicus pygmæus.**


*Picus moluccensis*, Gray, *Gen. B.* i. p. 435 (1845, nec Gm.).


Picus trisulensis, Licht. in Mus. Berol.

Picus nepalensis, Licht. in Mus. Berol.


Yungipicus mitchelli, Bp. tom. cit. p. 8 (1854).

Yungipicus scintilla, Bp. tom. cit. p. 8 (1854, ex Natt. MSS. in Mus. Vindob.).


I. fascia utrinque occipitali rubra; rectricibus 4 centralibus nigris, immaculatis; supracaudalibus nigris; dorso summo nigro, dorso imo et uropygio nigro fasciatis; tectricibus alarum medianis albo maculatis; regione parotica sepiaria.

Hab. in montibus Himalayans.

Jerdon says that this little Woodpecker is found in the Himalayans from the north-west as far as Nepal, but does not extend to Sikkim, where its place is apparently taken by I. semicoronatus. Mr. Hume has obtained this species from Kumaon, Gahrwal, the Dhoon, and the Mussoorie hills (Str. F. 1875, p. 60).

Malherbe appears to me to have created a good deal of confusion by separating I. mitchelli as a species; for I cannot see the smallest reason for so doing. The specimens in the India Museum are now in the British Museum, and were considered to be the actual types by Mr. Moore, who had doubtless the assurance of Malherbe himself for this identification. On his return to France, however, he appears to have made a specimen in his own collection the type of P. mitchelli; but the India Museum skins show us the bird intended by Malherbe, and there is no doubt of their identity with P. pygmaeus.
Mr. E. Hargitt on the Genus Iyngipicus.

*E Mus. Brit.*

*a. ♀ ad. Himalayas.
b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i. ♂ ad. Nepal (B. H. Hodgson).
n, o. ♂ ♀ ad. India (Blagrave). [India Museum.]

7. Iyngipicus kaleensis.


*Yungipicus kaleensis,* Swinh. *P. Z. S.* 1871, p. 392; *David & Oustalet, Ois. Chine,* p. 50 (1877).

_I. similis I. pygmeus,* sed paullo major, tectricibus alarum medianis et majoribus clarius albo maculatis, regione parotica pallide umbrino-brunnea.

_Hab. in regione Chinensi._

This bird is really only a race of _I. pygmeus_, distinguished merely by its larger size and having a greater amount of white on the wing-coverts, and by the ear-coverts being of a paler brown. It was first described by Swinhoe in 'The Ibis' for 1863, p. 390, from a specimen obtained in the island of Formosa. Abbé David and M. Oustalet, in their admirable work, 'Oiseaux de la Chine,' p. 50, do not admit any specific difference between _I. kaleensis_ and _I. scintilliceps_; they state that _I. kaleensis_ is found in Southern China, but that birds killed at Kiangsi and Pekin are identical; they further add that they find, amongst birds from North China, individuals as dark as those of the south, and are able to prove that the birds which have the white rump entirely deprived of transverse barring are very old birds. I have examined a large series of _I. kaleensis_ in the collection of Mr. Seebohm, bearing Swinhoe's labels; and amongst them I find several skins from China, marked _kaleensis_; but upon a very close inspection, I think these may all safely be referred to _I. scintilliceps_, except one marked Foochow (but with a query after the locality), which I cannot separate from the Formosan bird.
In Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay's collection are two birds from Hainan which are unquestionably *I. kaleensis*; so that both races would appear to be found on that island. I have recently visited the Paris Museum, for the purpose of examining the specimens of *Iyngipicus* collected by the Abbé David in China; and I found amongst them one (from Kiu-Kiung) which possesses the characteristics of both *I. scintilliceps* and *I. kaleensis*. This specimen mainly induced the above author and M. Oustalet, in their 'Oiseaux de la Chine,' to regard these these two birds as one and the same species; but these exceptional individuals do not, I think, alter the fact of their being two well-recognized and distinct races, though they tend to confirm my opinion (expressed in the key) that, after all, they are merely races of *I. pygmaeus*, and that absolute gradations between one and the other can be found.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E Mus. H. Seebohm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. ♂ ad. Tamsuy (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, c, d, e, f. ♂ ad. Formosa (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g, h, i, j, k. ♀ ad. Formosa (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. ♂ ad. Foochow? (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E Mus. E. Hargitt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. ♂ Formosa (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. ♀ Formosa (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E Mus. Brit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a, b. ♀ ♀ ad. N. Formosa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. ♂ ad. Hainan (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. ♀ ad. Hainan (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. ♀ ad. Tamsuy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a, b. ♂ ♀ ad. Formosa (Swinhoe).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. **Iyngipicus scintilliceps**.


Mr. E. Hargitt on the Genus Lyngipicus.


_I. similis I. kaleensis_, sed dorso medio albo, dorso imo et uropygio nigris albo fasciatis, tectricibus magis albo maculatis.

_Hab._ in China septentrionali.

This species closely resembles _I. kaleensis_, but may be distinguished by the larger amount of white upon the back and wing-coverts, the underparts being also paler and the striations not so broad; it is, in fact, a link between _I. kaleensis_ and _I. doerriesi_. The first description of this bird was given by Swinhoe in this Journal for 1863 (p. 96), although it had been previously noted by him in the same work (vide Ibis, 1861, p. 340). The home of this race is North China; but how far south it extends may be seen by reference to the localities whence Swinhoe’s specimens were obtained.

Sundevall, in his Conspectus (p. 26) describes an _Lyngipicus_, which he names _Picus canifrons_, from Pekin, and which is to be found in the Paris Museum. I have lately seen the three specimens described by this author, and which were collected by the Abbé David near Pekin in 1863. The male, which served as the type of the species, has the entire undersurface uniform; while the female and young male have the underparts streaked. I have examined these three birds very carefully, and feel convinced that the adult male is only a variety, exhibiting a tendency to albinism in its upper tail-coverts being spotted with buffy white (not clear and distinct markings), and in this respect differing from the female from the same locality, which has the upper tail-coverts uniform black. The measurements of this bird are greater than in _I. scintilliceps_, but less than in _I. doerriesi_, which it very much resembles; but the plumage has not the intense black of the latter. The bird called a young male by Sundevall appears to me to be a typical _I. scintilliceps_; and although it formed part of the same collection, sent by the
Abbé David in 1863, I have no doubt it came from a more southerly locality than the adult male and female P. canifrons of Sundevall. As in I. scintilliceps and I. kaleensis specimens occur possessing characteristics of both these races, so it is to be expected that intermediate forms of I. scintilliceps and I. doerriesi will occasionally be found. I therefore consider Picus canifrons of Sundevall to be only a northerly form of I. scintilliceps, and that the supposed adult male is a variety of the species.

_E Mus. H. Seebohm._
a, b. ♂♀ ad. Pekin (Swinhoe). Types of species.
c, d. ♂♀ ad. Pekin (Swinhoe).
e, f, g. ♂♀♀. Ningpo (Swinhoe).
h. ♂ ad., i. ♂ imm. Hoopih (Swinhoe).
j. ♂ ad. Ming Tombs (Swinhoe).
k, l. ♂♀ ad. Hainan (Swinhoe).

_E Mus. Brit._
a, b. ♂ ad. Fokien (Gould coll.).

_E Mus. E. Hargitt._
a. ♂ ad. Pekin (Swinhoe).

_E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay._

_E Mus. Paris._
a, b. ♂ ad. Pekin (Abbe David).
c. ♀ ad. Pekin (Abbe David).
d, e. ♂ ad. S. Chensi (Abbe David).
f. S. Chensi (Abbe David).

9. _Iyngipicus doerriesi._

_Iyngipicus doerriesi_, Hargitt, Ibis, 1881, p. 398.
_I. affinis I. scintillicipiti_, Swinh., sed conspicue major, et faciei et colli lateribus clarius et purius albis, et plaga humerali (e tectricibus alarum medianis et majoribus formata) magna alba distinguendus.
Hab. in Siberià orientali.

This race was described by me in 'The Ibis,' 1881, p. 398, from specimens obtained in the island of Askold, as well as upon the mainland of Eastern Siberia, by Herr Dörries. It is considerably larger than either *I. kaleensis* or *I. scintilliceps*, and has the dark portion of the plumage of an intense black; there is also a much greater amount of white upon the back, as well as upon the wing-coverts, than in either of these races; the underparts are also lighter, and the chin, throat, and fore neck whiter. In a male in my collection the rump is strongly barred with black; but this evidently disappears with age, the specimen with the barred rump having the underparts darker, the chin, throat, and fore neck less white, and the under wing-coverts spotted with black; it is probably not a very old bird. The only localities whence I have seen or obtained specimens are Baranowsky and the island of Askold, Eastern Siberia.

*E Mus. H. Seebohm.*
a. ♂ ad. Island of Askold, Eastern Siberia (Dörries).

*E Mus. E. Hargitt.*
a, b. ♂ ad. Baranowsky, Eastern Siberia (Dörries).
c, d. ♀ ad. Baranowsky, Eastern Siberia (Dörries).

10. *Iyngipicus kizuki.*


*Picus zizuki*, Gray, Gen. B. ii. p. 435 (1845); Jerd. B. Ind. i. p. 279 (1862).


*I. rectricibus 4 centralibus nigris, haud maculatis; supra-caudalibus nigris; dorso summo fuscescenti-brunneo; dorso imo albo fasciato; fascia superciliari cum collo laterali albo haud conjuncta.*

_Hab._ in insulis Japonicis.

This bird has only been obtained in the islands of Japan, and is unmistakable as a species.

**E Mus. H. Seebohm.**

_a._ ♂ ad. South Yezo.

_b._ ♂ juv. Yokohama (H. Jones).

_c._ ♀ ad. Yokohama (H. Pryer).

**E Mus. E Hargitt.**

_a._ b, c, d. ♂ ad. Yokohama (H. Pryer).

_e._ f, g, h. ♀ ad. Yokohama (H. Pryer).

_i._ ♀ juv. Yokohama (H. Pryer).

**E Mus. Brit.**

_a._ b. ♂ ad. Japan (Gould coll.).

_c._ ♀ ad. Japan (Gould coll.).

**E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.**

_a._ ♂ ad. Hakodate.

_b._ ♀ ad. Japan.

11. **Iyngipicus pumilus.**

_Iyngipicus pumilus_, Hargitt, Ibis, 1881, p. 599.

*I. similis I. canicapillo, sed valde minor et rectricibus 4 centralibus nigris concoloribus distinguendus. Long. tot. 4'85; culm. 0'52; alæ 2'78; caudæ 1'3; tarsi 0'55.*


Mr. Oates drew my particular attention to this bird from South Tenasserim, which is certainly a distinct and well-marked race of _I. canicapillus_. As I agreed with him that it should bear a name, I described it as _I. pumilus_ in ‘The Ibis,’ 1881, p. 599, which will clearly
Mr. E. Hargitt on the Genus Iyngipicus.

distinguish it from *I. canicapillus*. It differs in being a very much smaller bird, and in having the four central tail-feathers unspotted, and also in having a remarkably short bill. Mr. Oates informs me that specimens have occasionally a white spot upon the central tail-feathers. This is probably the bird referred to by Capt. Feilden as occurring in the dense jungles around Thayetmyo (*cf. Hume, Str. F. 1875, p. 59*), and which, no doubt, extends into South Tenasserim. In true *I. canicapillus* from the latter locality, I have found the four central tail-feathers uniform, and at other times with only one white spot upon each feather; but the birds are clearly separable by their much larger size in every way. The measurements, for comparison, I give below.

**I. canicapillus.**

♂. Wing 3·2, tail 1·65, bill 0·67.
♀. Wing 3·2, tail 1·6, bill 0·65.

**I. pumilus.**

♂. Wing 2·78, tail 1·3, bill 0·52.
♀. Wing 2·78, tail 1·25, bill 0·55.

*e Mus. E Hargitt.*

*a, b. ♂♀ ad. South Tenasserim (E. W. Oates).* Types of species.

12. *Iyngipicus nanus.*


I. similis *I. aurito* ex Malaisia, sed subtus late et pallide brunneo striatus.

*Hab.* In regione Himalayanâ septentrionali occidentali.

The type of this species was formerly in the Zoological Society's Museum; and it is to be regretted that the British Museum, which had the opportunity of securing all the types in that collection, failed to obtain that of *P. nanus* of Vigors. Malherbe appears to have seen the actual specimen described by Vigors;
but, most unaccountably, he has figured in his 'Monograph' a plain-breasted species, or one that has at most a few markings upon the sides of the breast and upon the under tail-coverts, whereas Vigors, in his description, has given the underparts as "whitish, broadly streaked with dusky brown." Malherbe's bird evidently belongs to the Malabar form of I. gymnophthalmus. Gray appears to have shared Malherbe's views, as he gives in his 'Hand-list' Madras as the habitat of this species. I find a difficulty in following Mr. Hume in uniting I. hardwickii of Jerdon to P. nanus of Vigors, for the reason that the latter author distinctly mentions the character of a black occiput, which is not possessed by I. hardwickii of Jerdon. In the British Museum are three specimens of an Iyngipicus from the North-west Himalayas, collected by Capt. Pinwill, which agree with Vigors's description of I. nanus; and I cannot see any reason to doubt that they belong to that species. It is a matter of surprise that Mr. Hume, with his immense collection, does not appear to have procured this North-west Himalayan bird; it is closely allied to I. auritus, but differs in having the underparts very broadly but indistinctly streaked with dusky brown.

_E Mus. Brit._

a. ♂. Dhurmsala (Capt. Pinwill).
b. ♂. N.W. Provinces (Capt. Pinwill).
c. ♂. N.W. Himalayas (Capt. Pinwill).

13. _Iyngipicus canicapillus._


_Yungipicus canicapillus_, Horsf. & Moore, Cat. B. Mus. E. I. Co. ii. pp. 677, 992 (1856); Jerd. B. Ind. i. p. 279 (1862);
Mr. E. Hargitt on the Genus *Iyngipicus*.


*Picus*, sp., Beavan, Ibis, 1869, p. 413.

*I. pileo cinereo, nigro circumcincto; regione parotica umbra; fascia mystacali fusca vix indicata; supracaudalibus albis, nigro fasciatis vel variegatis; rectricibus 4 centralibus nigris albo maculatis.*

*Hab.* In regione Indo-Burmanica per peninsula Malayanam usque ad Sumatram septentrionalem.

The types of this species were from Arracan (As. Soc. Beng.). According to Mr. Oates it is universally distributed between Thayetmyo and Tonghoo, in British Burma; but still it is not very common; it creeps about the smaller branches of trees. Mr. J. Armstrong records it from the Rangoon district of the Irrawaddy delta; and Mr. Inglis has obtained specimens from North-eastern Cachar (Str. F. v. p. 25). Messrs. Hume and Davison state that it is generally distributed throughout the Tenasserim province at an elevation not exceeding 5000 feet; and it extends quite to the south of the Malayan peninsula, Mr. Davison having shot it in Johore and seen it in Singapore. Other localities from which this species has been obtained will be seen by reference to the list of specimens examined. In Mr. Hume’s review of the genus *Iyngipicus* (Str. F. 1875, p. 60), he states that this species occurs throughout Eastern Bengal, Assam, Pegu, Tenasserim, the Malay peninsula, and North-west Sumatra. Amongst those which I have examined I have never yet seen it from the latter island; but it is very probable that it does occur there. In this species there is a great tendency to variety in the spotting of the tail-feathers, in Tenasserim the birds frequently having the four central feathers uniform or with only one spot of white. Captain Feilden has already noted two races of this species; and these
have been referred to by Mr. Hume (Str. Feath. 1875, p. 59)—
one a small race inhabiting the dense jungle around Thayetmyo, and a larger bird (true *canicapillus*) found on the borders of cultivation. The smaller race (which is clearly distinct) I have named *Lyngipicus pumilus*.

**E Mus. Brit.**

*a.* ♂ ad. Tenasserim (J. D. C. Packman).

*b.*, *c.* ♀ ad. Tenasserim.

**E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.**


*c.*, *d.*, *e.* ♂ ad., ♀ ♀ imm. Tonghoo.


*j.* ♀ ad. Tonghoo.

*k.*, *l.* ♀ imm. Thayetmyo.


*o.* ♂ ad. Schoway Goon, Salween R. (R. C. Beavan).


*v.* ♂ ad. S. Tenasserim (E. W. Oates).

*w.* ♂ ad. Tenasserim.

*x.* ♂ ad. Malacca (Maingay).

*y.* ♂ ad. Straits of Malacca.

**E Mus. E Hargitt.**

*a.* ♂ ad. Near Tavoy, B. Burma (W. Davison).

*b.*, *c.*, *d.* ♀ ad. Tonghoo (E. W. Oates).

*e.*, *f.* ♀ ad. Pegu (E. W. Oates).

*g.*, *h.* ♂ ♀ imm. Pegu (E. W. Oates).

*i.*, *j.* ♂ ad. S. Tenasserim (E. W. Oates).

*k.* ♀ ad. S. Tenasserim (E. W. Oates).

14. *Lyngipicus plicatus*, sp. nov.

*I.* similis *I. canicapillo*, sed intense niger, fascia mystacali nigricante lata et valde distincta distinguendus, et subtus latins striolatus.

**Hab.** In parte septentrionali occidentali insulæ Borneensis.

This new species is allied to *I. canicapillus*, but may be
distinguished by its intensely black plumage, which contrasts strongly with the white bearing and other markings, and also by its broad and dark moustachial stripe, as well as the auricular one; the striations on the underparts are also broader. The type is in the British Museum, and was obtained by Mr. Hugh Low in N.W. Borneo.

_E Mus. Brit._

15. _Iyngipicus auritus._

*Petit Pic des Moluques*, Daubent. Pl. Enl. pl. 748. fig. 2.


_Baeopipo variegata_, Cab. & Heine, Mus. Hein. Th. iv. p. 54 (1863).

_Baeopipo aurita_, Cab. & Heine, t. cit. p. 59 (1863).
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*Picus sondaicus,* Wall. MSS.; Gray, Hand-l. B. ii. p. 184. no. 8589 (1870); Wall. in Salvad. Ucc. Born. p. 43, note (1874).


*I. similis I. canicapillo,* sed paullo minor et supra brumnescentior; pileo sepiario-brunneo, nucha nigricante.

*Hab.* In regione Indo-Malayanā.

The first notice of this little bird appears to have been by Daubenton, who gave a figure of it under the name of the "Petit Pic des Moluques;" and Buffon subsequently referred to it as "Le petit Épeiche brun des Moluques." Daubenton's plate must have been published first, though the date on the title-page is subsequent to the work of Buffon, who, however, settles this question by referring, in the latter volume, to Daubenton's figure. A great deal of controversy has arisen over this figure, which I am pretty sure was taken from a bird of the Javan race. I think it is going a little too far to trust to the measurements of one of these ancient plates in order to fix the dimensions of a species. Yet this is what Mr. Wallace has done, and has proposed to attach the name of *I. moluccensis* (Gm.), founded on Daubenton's plate, to the Pygmy Woodpecker inhabiting Lombock and Flores, while he has given a new name to the Javan bird. I cannot follow Mr. Wallace in this matter; for I have never heard of any collector but himself having visited Lombock and Flores; and it is most improbable that the ancient writers ever received any birds from these islands. The name of *moluccensis,* I think, ought to be rejected, as it gives an entirely erroneous idea of the habitat of the bird, no species of Woodpecker being found in the Molucas. The name *P. variegatus* of Wagler, though it is founded on a Javan specimen, as shown by Drs. Cabanis and Heine, cannot be employed; for there is already a *Picus varigatus* of Latham (Ind. Orn. i. p. 233), which is *Picus bicolor* of Gmelin, and the name is therefore preoccupied.
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The type of Eyton's *Tripsurus auritus*, from Malacca, is in the British Museum; and on comparing it with a series from Java, Sumatra, and Borneo, I believe the bird to be of the same species from all these localities, and therefore I have called it *Iyngipicus auritus* (Eyton).

Average measurements of six specimens from Malacca, Sumatra, and Java—bill 0.6, wing. 2.92.

Average measurements of four Bornean specimens—bill 0.65, wing 2.87.

**E Mus. Brit.**

a. ♂ ad. Malacca, type (A. Charlton).
b. ♂ ad. Malacca (Dr. Cantor).
c. ♀ ad. Malacca (Dr. Cantor).
d. ♂ ad. Pulo Penang.
e. ♂ ad. Sumatra (A. R. Wallace).
f. ♀ ad. Sumatra.
g. ♂ ad. E. Java (A. R. Wallace).
h, i. ♂. W. Java (E. C. Buxton).
j. ♂. Java (Leyden Mus.).
k. ♀ ad. Java (Horsfield).
l. ♀ ad. Java.
m. ♀ ad. Java (Gould's coll.).
o, p. ♀ juv., ♀ ad. Labuan (Hugh Low).

**E Mus. H. Seebohm.**

a. ♀ ad. Java.
b. ♀ ad. Sumatra.

**E Mus. E. Hargitt.**

a. ♂ ad. Java.
b. ♀ ad. Java.
c, d, e. ♀. Java (E. C. Buxton).
f. ♂ ad. Borneo.
g, h, i. ♂ ad., ♀ ad., ♀ juv. Labuan (Hugh Low).

**E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.**

a, b. ♂ ♀. Malacca.
c, d. ♂ ♀ ad. Lampong, S.E. Sumatra.
e, f. ♂ imm. Lampong, S.E. Sumatra.
g. ♂ ad. E. Java (A. R. Wallace).

h, i, j, k. ♂ ad. Java.

l, m. ♂ imm., ♀ ad. Java.

n. ♂ ad. N.E. Borneo.

o. ♂ ad. N.E. Borneo (H. Low).

p. ♀. N.E. Borneo.

16. **Iyngipicus grandis**, sp. nov.


*I. similis I. aurito*, sed multo major et rectricibus maculis quatuor nec tribus albis notatis.

*Hab.* In insulis Malayanis "Lombock" et "Flores" dictis.

Average measurements of four males and two females in the British Museum:—

♂. Length 5·6, bill 0·77, wing 3·2, tail 0·57, tarsus 1·7;

♀. ” 5·9, ” 0·77, ” 3·23, ” 0·6, ” 1·7.

_E Mus. Brit._

a, b. ♂ ♀ ad. Lombock (A. R. Wallace).

c, d, e. ♂ ♂ ♀ ♀. Lombok (A. R. W.), Gould coll.


_E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay._

a, b. ♂ ♀ ad. Flores (A. R. Wallace).

17. **Iyngipicus hardwickii**.

*Picus moluccensis*, Gray (nec Gm.) in Hardw. Ill. Ind. Zool. i. pl. 33. figs. a, b (1830–32); Blyth, J. A. S. Beng. xiv. p. 197 (1845).


*Picus variegatus*, Blyth (nec Wagl.), Cat. B. Muș. As. Soc. p. 64 (1849).


Yungipicus nanus, Ball (nec Vigors), Str. F. 1874, p. 390; Hume, Str. F. 1875, p. 60; Butler, Cat. B. Scinde &c. p. 18 (1879); Hume, Str. F. 1879, p. 87; Butler, Cat. B. of the S. Portion of Bombay Presidency, 1880, p. 22.

I. pileo toto pallide umbrino vel fulvo-brunneo, occipite nuchaque pileo concoloribus.

_Hab._ in peninsula India.

This is a very distinct species, and may be known by its light ochreous brown crown and nape. Jerdon (B. of India, i. p. 278) states that it is generally spread throughout the plains of India from the extreme south to the north-west provinces. Capt. E. A. Butler in his 'Catalogue of Birds of the Southern Portion of the Bombay Presidency,' 1880, p. 22, says that it occurs sparingly along the Sahyadri range as far north as Khandala, and that it has been obtained at Mahabaleshvar, Savant-vadi, Ratnagiri, in the Goa forests, and on the hills west of Belgaum.

_E Mus. Brit._

a. ♂ ad. India.
b. ♂ ad. India (Gould coll.).
d, e. ♂ ad., ♀ imm. Kamptee (Dr. R. B. Hinde).
f. ♀ ad. India.
g. ♀ ad. India (Gould coll.).
h. ♂. Behar (B. H. Hodgson).

_E Mus. E. Hargitt._

a, b, c. ♂ ad. N.W. Punjaub (A. Anderson).
d. ♂ ad. Muddunpore (A. Anderson).
e. ♂ ad. Puttee (A. Anderson).
f. ♂ ad. (A. Anderson).
g. ad. Raipoor district (coll. E. W. Oates).
E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.

a, b. ♂ ad. Gondah (Capt. Pinwill).
c. ♂ ad. West Coast, India.
f. ♀ ad. Oudh? (Col. Sharpe).
g. ♀ ad. N. E. India.

18. Iyngipicus gymnophthalmus.


*Picus moluccensis*, var. β, Lath. Ind. Orn. i. p. 234 (1790).


*Picus nanus*, Malh. (nee Vigors), Monogr. Picid. i. p. 145, pl. 33. figs. 1–7 (1861).


I. subtus concolor, in avibus junioribus vix fusco striolatus ; pileo summo occipiteque nigris.

Hab. in insulâ Ceylonensi.

Ceylon is the true “habitat” of this species. The bird from the “extreme south of Malabar and Travancore” (Jerdon, Supp. B. of India, Ibis, 1872, p. 8) is, no doubt, the same as the species obtained by Mr. F. W. Bourdillon in
Travancore, and recorded as occurring on the Palani hills by Mr. S. B. Fairbank, and, I think, may be referred to the race of the above species which I have named *Iyngicus peninsularis*. I have never seen a typical specimen of *I. gymnophthalmus* from any other locality than Ceylon.

Neither this species nor *I. peninsularis* have any moustachial stripe.

---

**E Mus. Brit.**

a. $\delta$ ad. Colombo, Ceylon (*I. P. Green*).
b. $\delta$ ad. Ceylon (*W. V. Legge*).
c. $\delta$ ad. Ceylon (*Cuming*).
d. $\delta$ ad. (*Cuming*).
e, f. $\delta$, $\varphi$ ad. Ceylon (*Gould coll.*).

**E Mus. E. Hargitt.**

a. $\delta$ ad. Ceylon.
b. $\delta$ juv. Ceylon (*W. V. Legge*).
c, d. $\varphi$ ad. Ceylon.
e. $\varphi$ ad. Ceylon (*W. V. Legge*).

**E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.**

a, b. $\delta$, $\varphi$ ad. Ceylon.
c, d. $\delta$, $\varphi$ ad. Ceylon (*Nevill*).
e. $\delta$ ad. Ceylon (*D. H. P.*).
f. $\varphi$. Dumabra.

19. **Iyngicus peninsularis**, sp. nov.


*Picus gymnophthalmus*, auct. ex Indiâ (nee Blyth) ; Bourd. Str. F. 1876, p. 389.

*I. similis I. gymnophthalmo*, sed pileo summo brunneo nec nigro, et occipite tantum saturatiore brunneo distinguendus.

*Hab.* in peninsula Indicâ meridionali.

This race of *I. gymnophthalmus* may be distinguished from the Ceylon bird by having the crown, nape, and ear-coverts brown, and the upper parts, as well as the stripe behind the
ear-coverts, of a browner shade, all these in *I. gymnophthalmus* being nearly black; and although very adult specimens of *I. peninsularis* become uniform on the under surface, as in its ally, it has, as a rule, the underparts narrowly and faintly streaked with rufous brown. This species resembles *I. hardwickii* in its striations, but may easily be distinguished by its dark brown crown. *I. peninsularis* is found in the extreme south of India, and, so far as I know, is not recorded from any locality north of Madras.

_E Mus_. Brit.

*20. Iyngipicus ramsayi.*


*I. similis I. temmincki, sed paullo major, subtus flavo clare lavatus, præcipue remigibus rectricibusque concoloribus et fascia occipitali lata scarlatina, haud interrupta, et dorso haud fasciato, fascia quoque superciliari alba ad latera colli producta distinguendus.*

_Hab._ in Borneo septentrionali-orientali. Typus in Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.

Of this new species I have only seen two specimens, both males, which are in the collection of Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay. They were obtained in N.E. Borneo.

_E Mus_. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.

*21. Iyngipicus temmincki.*
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*Yungiceps temmincki*, Meyer, J.f.O. 1873, p. 405 (laps. cal.).

*I*. fascia occipitali rubra, medialiter paullo interrupta; dorso et supracaudalibus olivascenti-brunneis pallide fulvescenti transfasciatis; rectricibus obscure umbrino transfasciatis.

*Hab.* in insulâ Celebensi.

A female in the Leiden Museum served for Malherbe's type. During the last few years the species has frequently been sent in collections from Celebes; and we are now perfectly acquainted with both sexes. The British Museum contains a series; and in the males examined I find that the scarlet occipital band does spread onto the side of the neck, as described by Lord Tweeddale; and the specimen which no doubt served that author for his description (which bird I have before me while I write) has this red patch on the side of the neck, and as such is conspicuous; this arises from the occipital band not being fully developed. As far as I am aware, no mention has been made of this extension of the scarlet band onto the side of the neck, except by Lord Tweeddale, although Salvadori notices the way in which the scarlet nape is interrupted in the middle. The latter author duly criticises Malherbe's plate, and points out the inaccuracies. It must not be forgotten that the presence of an *Iyngipicus* in Celebes is of the greatest interest, as affording an illustration of a purely Indian genus occurring in the island. It is, however, of a somewhat peculiar coloration, and by no means, as suggested by Bonaparte and others, resembling *I. kizuki*. This species probably ranges throughout the whole of Celebes. It has been obtained at Macassar by Mr. Wallace and Dr. Meyer as well. Bruijn procured it at Menado; while in Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay's collection there are two specimens labelled N. Celebes.
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b, c. ♂ ♀ ad. Macassar (A. R. Wallace).
d, e. ♂ ♀ ad. Celebes (Gould coll).
f. ♂ ad. Menado (Gould coll).

E Mus. R. G. Wardlaw-Ramsay.

a, b. ♂ ♀ ad. N. Celebes.

III.—On Collections of Birds made by Mr. H. O. Forbes in South-eastern Sumatra. By Francis Nicholson, F.Z.S.

The present collection of Mr. Forbes’s does not contain many species which are not recorded by the late Marquis of Tweeddale, in his paper on Mr. Buxton’s Lampong collection (Ibis, 1877, pp. 283–323). It is indeed to be regretted that Mr. Forbes, who is an excellent collector, has not penetrated further into the islands he has visited; for at present the specimens obtained by him have nearly all belonged to well-known species, and I have published lists of them chiefly on account of the notes attached by Mr. Forbes concerning the soft parts &c.

The birds here enumerated have been obtained by Mr. Forbes chiefly in the Lampong district of S.E. Sumatra; and I have referred throughout to Lord Tweeddale’s excellent memoir*, as well as to Count Salvadori’s paper† on Dr. Beccari’s collection.


No. 283, ♀. Kitta Djawa, Sumatra. Irides brown; bill, legs, and feet black.

This bird seems to me rather large, when compared with

Malaccan specimens; but the wing only measures 3·85, which is less than the measurements given by Mr. Sharpe, who, however, does not state the locality whence the specimen described by him in the ‘Catalogue’ came (vol.i. p. 368).

No. 287. Kitta Djawa, Sumatra. Irides golden-orange; bill bluish black; gape yellow; legs and feet bright yellow.

A beautiful adult specimen, with grey head and prominent crest. It agrees in the main with the description of the male given by Mr. Sharpe (Cat. B. i. p. 105), but has the chest rufous-brown, broadly streaked with black; it has, however, only three instead of four bands on the tail as described by Mr. Sharpe.


Spilornis bacha ?, Salvad. t. cit. p. 173.:

No. 306, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides rich golden yellow; cheeks (sides of face) yellow; bill light blue; legs and feet yellow.

It is rather remarkable that Mr. Forbes should have met with this species, which is new to the avifauna of Sumatra, in the same locality as undoubted S. bacha; but there seems to be no doubt as to the identification of S. pallidus, of which the small size and pale coloration render it easily recognizable. The specimen sent is a female; and the wing only measures 14·5 inches, taken with a tape from carpal joint to end of primaries, which was the way Mr. Sharpe measured all his birds of prey. It will be seen that this length of wing is the limit given by Mr. Sharpe for the species; but then he had only a young female to go upon, whereas Mr. Forbes’s example is quite adult.


No. 309 a, ♀. Gounong Trang, Sumatra. Irides rich golden-yellow; cheeks (sides of face) yellow; bill light blue; legs and feet yellow.

An adult bird. Wing 15 inches.
The above length of wing is very small when compared with the 16'5 inches attributed to the female of this species by Mr. Sharpe, who, however, does not seem to have examined a Sumatran example. The small difference in size of wing between the two female birds collected by Mr. Forbes is scarcely sufficient to make one believe in the existence of two species in Sumatra; but the colour is very different, and Mr. Sharpe tells me that, as far as his experience goes, the female of _S. pallidus_ is always as light-coloured as the male, and he has seen plenty of specimens from Borneo, and never yet saw one approaching the dark brown of _S. bacha_. To any one working at this difficult genus of Eagles, I shall be glad to lend these two Sumatran skins collected by Mr. Forbes.

5. _Ketupa javanensis_ (Less.); Sharpe, Cat. B. ii. p. 8; Salvad. _t. cit._ p. 176.

No. 338 a, ♀. Irides light orange; bill black; legs and feet pale grey.

No. 309, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides bright yellow; bill greyish black; legs and feet dirty white.

The measurements of No. 338 a, which seems to me to be an old bird, slightly exceed those of the one described by Mr. Sharpe (_l.c._), the wing measuring 14'2 and the tarsus 2'8 inches.

6. _Scops lempigi_ (Horsf.); Sharpe, Cat. B. ii. p. 91; Salvad. _t. cit._ p. 175.

No. 308, ♀ ad. Gounong Trang. Irides dark yellow; bill, legs, and feet dark grey.

No. 318 a, ♀ juv. Penang-goengan, Lampong. Irides dark orange; bill, legs, and feet dirty whitish grey.


No. 257, ♂. Goenoeng Tetahan, S. Sumatra. Irides dark brown; bill light green; legs and feet bluish black; round eyes, bright scarlet.
8. **Centropus eurycercus** (A. Hay); Tweedd. *t. cit.* p. 288; Salvad. *t. cit.* p. 188.
   No. 299. Irides dark lake-red at centre, getting light red towards margin of bill; legs and feet black.

   No. 277, ♀. Kitta Djawa, S. Sumatra. Irides light brown; upper and lower mandibles light red; legs and feet dirty blue.
   No. 281, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Lake-red irides (no red on face of bird); skin round eyes blue; legs &c. as in No. 277.
   No. 336, ♀. Tarratas. Irides light red; bill scarlet; legs and feet black.

    No. 272, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides dark grey; bill bluish green; skin round eyes pale greenish blue; legs and feet black: insect feeder.
    No. 313, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides dark grey; upper mandible green, with sooty-coloured streaks through it; lower mandible greenish grey; legs and feet dirty blue.

    No. 300, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides greyish brown; upper mandible black, lower yellowish grey; feet light yellow.

    No. 314, ♂. Gounong Trang. Irides yellowish grey or very light gamboge; bill black; legs and feet dirty black.

    No. 312, ♂. Gounong Trang, Lampong. Irides light yellow or yellow-ochre-colour; legs and feet faded yellow-ochre.
    No. 329. Pinang-goengan, Lampong.
    No. 273, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides reddish brown; upper
mandible darker dirty grey than the lower, which is pale dirty white; legs slate-blue.

   No. 301, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides dark red; upper mandible black, the lower one green; bill along margins to tip black; legs and feet green.

   No. 263, ♀. Gounong Tetahan. Irides bluish brown; blue legs and bill.
   No. 255. Gounong Tetahan. Feet and legs black; upper mandible black, lower yellow.
   No. 307 a. Gounong Trang. Irides dark red; upper mandible greyish black, lower yellow; legs dirty green.

   No. 303 c. Gounong Trang.
   No. 330 c, ♂. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides reddish brown; upper mandible black, lower black at tip, and behind dirty white; legs and feet grey.


   No. 290, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides reddish brown; bill black; legs and feet dirty greenish white.
   No. 306 a. Gounong Trang. Irides reddish brown; bill black; legs and feet bright green.

   No. 262, ♀. Gounong Tetahan. Irides dark brown; bill black; feet the same, but less black.
No. 338, ♀. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides reddish brown; crest on the upper mandible marbled with yellowish grey and with a broad black bar along the anterior end laterally on both sides, posterior end laterally black, apex bluish; lower mandible margined behind with a black band, which comes down in front of the eye to angle of the mandible; skin round eye pale whitish blue; legs and feet bluish black.

No. 303, ♂. Gounong Trang. Reddish-brown irides; eyelids black; legs and feet black; bill white, with a crescent-shaped elevation.

No. 303 a, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides reddish brown; eyelids yellow; both upper and under mandibles greenish; feet and legs black.

No. 266, ♀. Gounong Tetahan. Irides dark brown; legs and feet bright scarlet; bill scarlet, tip black.

The specimen now sent by Mr. Forbes bears out the observation of Lord Tweeddale that this form is nearest to *P. fraseri* of Sharpe, but has too much cap to be absolutely identical with that species as described. The Stork-billed Kingfishers need revision.

No. 342, ♂. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides light red; bill, legs, and feet black.

No. 317, ♀ juv. Gounong Trang. Irides dark brown; bill black.

No. 258, ♀. Gounong Tetahan. Irides light orange; legs and feet dirty green; bill black.
No. 323 a. Pinang-goeyan. Irides orange; bill black; legs and feet green.


No. 276, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides orange; skin round eye bright scarlet; upper mandible light green, lower one at posterior part dark red, at anterior part light green; legs and feet bluish black: insect feeder.

No. 284 a. Kitta Djawa. Iris orange; upper mandible bluish green, lower one at posterior part red, but the point bluish grey; feet black: otherwise as in No. 276.

No. 278, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides light blue; other soft parts as in female: insect feeder—grasshoppers and Mantidæ.

The difference found by Capt. Legge in the iris of the male and female of the Ceylonese *Phœnicophaes* is apparently repeated in the case of the Sumatran bird.


No. 289, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides pale straw-colour; upper mandible scarlet, lower yellowish grey; feet and legs greenish.


No. 286, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides dark brown (seen three hours after death); bill black at tip, along angle on both mandibles a broad band of rich blue (ultramarine); skin above eye bright blue; legs and feet black.


No. 336 a. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides dark brown; bill black; legs and feet pale dirty green.

No. 339 a. Tarratas.

No. 285, ♀ juv. Kitta Djawa. Bill black; lower mandible behind dirty pale; feet pale bluish black; irides dark brown.


No. 271, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides brown; bill black; legs and feet dirty green.

No. 298, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides dark brown; bill, legs, and feet black.


No. 330, ♂. Penang-goenyan. Irides dark brown; bill, legs, and feet black.


No. 319a. Penang goenjan. Irides sooty brown; bill at tip black, behind light purplish white; legs and feet light purplish white.

No. 315, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides dark brown; legs and feet dirty pale yellow; bill black at tip.

32. *Dendrocitta occipitalis* (Müll.); Sharpe, Cat. B. iii. p. 81, pl. iii.; Salvad. *t. cit.* p. 239.

No. 336, ♂. Tarratas. Irides dark brown; legs and feet black.


Nos. 305 & 305 a, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides blue; bill grey; feet light blue.

No. 327 b, ♂. Penang goenyan. Soft parts the same.

The male and one of the females appear to be somewhat immature. The throat and belly are rather grey, and the tips to the greater and primary wing-coverts light rufous.


Nos. 303 b, 303 c, ♀, & 303 f, ♂. Gounong Trang. Bill, feet, and legs black.

One of the females from Gounong Trang has the upper mandible broken near the base; and the under one has grown to an abnormal length and is quite straight.

35. Dissemurus platurus (V.); Tweed. t. cit. p. 313; Salvad. t. cit. p. 208.
No. 338 b. Tarratas. Irides light red; bill, legs, and feet black.

No. 293, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides brown; bill and feet black.

No. 319, ♂. Penang goenyan. Irides dark brown; bill, legs, and feet black.

38. Ægithina viridissima (Bp.); Tweed. t. cit. p. 304, pl. v. figs. 1 & 2; Salvad. t. cit. p. 216.
No. 325, ♀. Legs and feet bright blue.
Said by Mr. Forbes to be a female, but undoubtedly a male.

No. 329 a, ♂. Pinang goenyan, Lampong. Irides dark brown; bill black; legs and feet dirty blue.

40. Chloropsis zosterops, Vigors.
Phyllornis viridis (Horsf.); Tweed. t. cit. p. 305.
No. 270, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides dark brown; legs black; feet the same; bill black.

41. Iole olivacea, Blyth; Tweed. t. cit. p. 307.
No label on this specimen.
No. 337, ♀. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Yellowish-red irides; bill dirty greenish black; legs and feet dirty flesh-colour.

This bird, Mr. Sharpe informs me, is the species named *P. brunneus* by Mr. Blyth (J. A. S. Beng. xiv. p. 568), and *Microtarsus olivaceus* by Mr. Moore (Cat. B. E. I. Co. Mus. i. p. 249); but he considers it to be the true *P. simplex* of Lesson.

No. 307, ♂. Gounong Trang. Irides dark red; legs and feet black.
No. 320, ♀. Penang goenyan. Dark-red irides.
No. 326 a, ♀. Penang goenyan. Irides light red.

Count Salvadori does not allow this species of Mr. Sharpe's; but I find the character of the tail-coverts quite pronounced in the male bird above noted, and I think it is easily distinguishable, as a race at least.

No. 327, ♂. Penang goenyan, Lampong. Irides dark blue; bill black; legs and feet pale flesh-colour.

Nos. 326, 327 d, ♂, & 327 e, ♀. Penang goenyan, Lampong. Irides dark brown; bill black; legs sickly white, feet the same. Frequent streams.

The female differs from the male in having the whole back chestnut like the head, not the "upper back" as stated by Temminck. There is no difference in the sexes as regards the colour of the throat (*cf.* Elwes, l. c.).

No. 265, ♂. Gounong Tetahan, S. Sumatra.

No. 340, ♀. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides dark brown; legs, bill, and feet black.
This is an interesting species, allied, as Count Salvadori has pointed out, to *A. cyanea*, Horsf., of Java, but to my mind much more distinct than his description would imply. In *A. cyanea* the whole upper surface is blue, brighter on the forehead; whereas in *A. melanura* the crown is black, and the dorsal feathers are black margined with blue, the rump again being black; underneath, too, the general colour is black, with blue-margined feathers on the throat and breast.

   Nos. 334 & 341,♀. Tarratas. Irides dark grey; bill, legs, and feet black.

Count Salvadori has suggested the name of *G. frenatus*, if it should turn out that Bonaparte’s *G. palliatus* should prove to be different from the bird collected by Dr. Beccari in Mount Singalan. Bonaparte has omitted to mention the black streak under the eye; but this is so little pronounced in some specimens that I do not think for a moment that there is more than one species.

49. *Ianthocinclla mitrata* (S. Müll.).
   No. 335,♀. Tarratas. Irides dark brown; legs and feet yellow.

   No. 329,♀. Penang goenyan, Lampong. Irides reddish brown; upper mandible black, the tip brown; lower mandible pale flesh-colour.

   No. 331,♀. Tarratas. Irides dark brown; upper mandible black, the lower one light yellow, black at tip; legs and feet flesh-colour.
As far as I can see (the specimen not being very well preserved), the bird sent by Mr. Forbes has white lores, as was the case with one of the birds mentioned by Lord Tweeddale (l. c.). In the British Museum a Sumatran specimen has the lores grey. Can it be that the white lores are a mark of the hen bird?

No. 309 c. Irids dark red, almost the colour of the top of the head.

No. 303 b, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides almost colour of top of head, but somewhat lighter; feet and legs pale greyish yellow; upper mandible grey, lower same colour as legs.

No. 267, ♀. Gounong Tetahan. Irides reddish straw-colour, approaching the colour of the top of the head; under mandible pale flesh-colour; upper mandible black; legs and feet pale flesh-colour.

Nos. 292 & 292 a, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides gamboge-green; upper mandible black, except at nostrils and back part of culmen, where it is red; lower mandible light red, but the point black; feet and legs black.

No. 332, ♀. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides dark brown; bill black; legs and feet reddish grey.
No. 343 a, ♂. Tarratas. Upper mandible black, lower one grey underneath; legs and feet pale yellow.

collected in South-eastern Sumatra.

Nos. 302 & 302 c, ♀ ad. Gounong Trang. Irides bright red; bill, feet, and legs black.

No. 299, ♀ juv. Gounong Trang. Irides red; feet and bill black,

No. 393, ♂ juv. Tarratas. Irides light orange.

58. GRACULA JAVANENSIS (Osb.) ; Tweedd. t. cit. p. 319; Salvad. t. cit. p. 238.

Nos. 304, ♂, & 304 a, ♂? Gounong Trang. Irides dark brown; both mandibles orange-red, tipped with orange; legs and feet yellow.

59. ERYTHRURA PRASINA (Sparrm.) ; Salvad. Ucc. di Born. p. 268.

No. 333, ♂. Tarratas, S. Sumatra. Irides black; bill black; legs and feet pale yellow.


No. 264, ♂. Gounong Tetahan. Irides dark brown; bill black; legs in front dirty blue, behind pale straw-colour.

No. 309, ♀. Gounong Trang. Same soft parts as in male.

No. 322 a. Penang goenyan, Lampong.


No. 256, ♀. Gounong Tetahan. Irides dark brown; legs pale; feet dirty blue; bill black.


Nos. 330 a, ♂, & 330 b, ♀. Penang goenjan. Irides cobalt-blue; upper mandible cobalt-blue with a belt of green below it, followed by a marginal line of black; lower mandible also margined with black; legs and feet sooty blue.

No. 329 b, ♂. Penang goenjan. Same as No. 330, lower mandible paler blue margined with black; legs and feet dirty blue.

No. 322, ♀. Upper mandible cobalt-blue with green
margin, lower greenish blue; legs and feet purplish flesh-colour.


No. 305 b, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides light orange; back part of upper mandible cobalt-blue, fore part light green; under mandible cobalt; both mandibles with black margin; feet and legs flesh-colour.


No. 282, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides bronzy green; upper mandible beautiful deep sky-blue, ridge down culmen cream-colour, becoming rufous; two white lines from nostrils, and one all round the margin of the upper mandible; lower mandible green, with blue line along the margin.

No. 275, ♂♀ Kitta Djawa. Irides bronzy green; upper mandible green, with two lines of blue from nostrils to head, the blue lines continued halfway along the margin of mandible towards the tip; the blue ridge of the upper mandible is darker than the rest; lower mandible greenish yellow, the edges somewhat blue; legs light blue.

The second specimen seems younger, with white-spotted wing-coverts; hence the difference in the colour of the soft parts noted by Mr. Forbes.


No. 279, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides dark brown; bill bluish purple; feet black; skin round eye raw flesh-colour.

The dorsal spot is not nearly so red as in Bornean examples.


No. 313, ♂. Gounong Trang. Irides black; upper mandible blue with white tip; legs and feet black.


No. 279, ♀. Kitta Djawa. Irides pale straw-colour; bill bluish green; legs red.
No. 268, ♂. Gounong Tetahan. Legs and feet scarlet; nostrils gamboge-yellow; bill pale blue; irides pinkish purple.

No. 269, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides dark brown; bill pale green; legs and feet yellow. Feeds on Urostigma sumatranum.

70. Carpophaga badia (Raffl.); Salvad. t. cit. p. 246.
Nos. 341 & 341a, ♂. Tarratas. Irides pale grey; bill pale faded white, but at tip dull purple; legs and feet purple.

71. Carpophaga aenea (L.); Salvad. Ucc. di Born. p. 290.
Nos. 303 i, ♂, & 303 d, ♀. Gounong Trang. Irides blue; eyelids red; bill purple on culmen, otherwise light green; legs pink.

No. 313, ♂. Gounong Trang. Irides light red; upper mandible black, lower one dirty white; legs and feet dirty blue.
No. 291, ♂. Kitta Djawa. Irides light red; upper mandible black, but two light lines running from gape to meet at tip; lower mandible dirty white; legs and feet dirty blue; large white ear-lappets.

73. Rollulus roulroul (Scop.); Tweedd. t. cit. p. 322; Salvad. t. cit. p. 252.
No. 296, ♂. Gounong Trang. Irides brown-grey; crest dark red; eyelids scarlet, broadly serrated, running to behind eye; bill black; gape on both mandibles scarlet; legs and feet polished scarlet.
No. 237 c, ♂. Penang goenyan. Soft parts as in preceding specimen.

a. Gounong Trang.
IV.—*Supplementary Notes to the List of Birds collected by Mr. H. O. Forbes in the Island of Java.* By Francis Nicholson, F.Z.S.

A few species were accidentally omitted from my record of Mr. Forbes's Bantam collection (Ibis, 1881, p. 139). I have also received a few skins from him which were obtained in the Preanger Regencies, Java, amongst which are some interesting birds; while a small series was collected by him during his trip to the Keeling Islands, of the ornithology of which I believe nothing was previously known. Mr. Forbes, however, found only sea-birds there, and nothing of striking interest. Too much praise cannot be rendered to him for the careful way in which the colours of the soft parts have been noted.

I have referred to my previous paper (Ibis, 1881, p. 139) on Javan birds collected by Mr. Forbes; but otherwise the references are taken from Mr. Moore's 'Catalogue' and Count Salvadori's 'Uccelli di Borneo,' unless otherwise stated.

*From Bantam.*


*Zosterops montana*, Gray, Hand-l. B. i. p. 164. no. 2172 (nee Bp.).

No. 221, ♂. Kosala, Bantam, 3100 feet above sea, March 5, 1879. Sooty-brown irides.

Compared with some of the typical skins in the British Museum. Mr. G. R. Gray has named a Sumatran specimen in the above-named collection *Z. montana* (Bp. ex Müll. MSS.); but I cannot think that this identification is right, as Bonaparte compares his bird to *Z. flava* of Horsfield, a totally different species from the present one.


collected in the Island of Java.


No. 71, ♂. Genting, Bantam, March 24, 1879. Stomach full of seeds of "Waringin." "Walik."

This species is named in the Leiden Museum Treron griseicauda, Gray. Mr. Wallace (l. c.) has pointed out the slight differences of the Javan bird from the Celebean; and I have adopted his name for the former species.

From the Preanger Regencies.


No. 240, ♀. Mount Malawar, March 1880; 6000 feet. Irides blood-red; feet and legs orange-yellow; bill black.

2. Eurystomus orientalis (L.); Salvad. Ucc. Borneo, p. 105.

No. 252. Goenoeng, Waringin, April 5, 1880. Dark brown irides; scarlet bill and feet; tip of bill black.


No. 249, pull. Pengelinjan, April 1808o. "Doedoet."

4. Corone enca (Horsf.) ; Sharpe, Cat. B. iii. p. 43.

No. 246 a. Preanger, 4400 feet, March 1880. Irides dark brown; bill and feet black.

5. Cissa thalassina (Temm.); Sharpe, Cat. B. iii. p. 86.

No. 250. Sex unknown. Pengelinjan, April 8, 1880. Irides red; feet and bill red. 4500 feet.

6. Dissemururus platurus (V.); Tweed. Ibis, 1877, p. 313.

No. 247, ♂. Goenoeng, Waringin, April 4, 1880; 4700 feet. Irides dark brown; bill and feet black.


No. 245, ♀. Near Tjenyrocan, 4500 feet, March 1880. Feet and bill jet-black.
Nos. 244, ♂, 243, ♀. Pengelinjan, 4400 feet, March 1880. Irides black; bill black; feet and legs bluish black.

No. 246. Tjenyrocan, March 1880; 4500 feet. Feet and bill black.

No. 242, pull. Pengelinjan. Irides dark sepia-brown; bill black, the lower mandible grey; legs and feet bluish grey.
No. 234, ♂ jun. Irides dark brown; legs and bill black.

No. 241, ♂. Malawar, 5400 feet. Irides pale straw-colour; ridge of upper mandible black, tip of upper mandible and margin yellow; lower mandible yellow; legs and feet black.

No. 237. Pengelinjan, March 1880. Irides dark brown; legs, feet, and bill black.

13. Laniellus leucogrammicus (Temm.).
Collyrio leucogrammicus, Gray, Hand-l. B. i. p. 391. no. 5948.
No. 236, ♀. Pengelinjan, March 1880; 4000 feet. Irides dark red; bill black; legs dirty green, almost grey; soles yellow.

No. 233, ♂. Pengelinjan, February 1880; 4400 feet. Irides dark brown; bill and feet black.

15. Psaltria exilis, Temm.
Parus exilis, Gray, Hand-l. B. i. p. 234. no. 3390.
collected in the Island of Java.

No. 235, ♂. Pengelijanjan, February 1880. Irides straw-colour; bill black; legs straw-colour.

   No. 238, ♀. On Mount Malawar, near Pengelijanjan. Irides red; bill black, grey at tip; feet and legs red.

17. Carpophaga lacernulata (Temm.); Schlegel, Mus. Pays-Pas, Columbae, p. 95.
   No. 239, ♀. Mount Malawar, 6000 feet. Irides dark red; feet and legs red; bill black, grey at tip.

18. Arborophila javanica (Gm.).
   Perdix javanica, Gray, Hand-l. B. ii. p. 268. no. 9702.
   No. 253, ♂. Goenoening Waringin, 4700 feet. Irides dark grey; feet red; bill black. "Poeyon gong, gong."

From the Cocos Keeling Islands.

   Hypotcenidia philippensis (L.), Walden, Tr. Z. S. ix. p. 232.
   No. 20. West Island, January 24, 1879.
   No. 38. West Island, February 4, 1879.
   No. 33, young. West Island, January 30, 1879. Irides dark slate-grey, with tinge of reddish.

   This Australian species has been traced through the Moluccas to Timor; but Mr. Forbes has here somewhat extended its known range.


Nearly all white, with a few blackish streaks on the back, rump, and tips of secondaries.

No. 28. West Island. From nest, January 30, 1879. Irides orange.

In full grey plumage, with white throat.

No. 41, ♀. Irides yellow. Small fish, small shrimps, and small eels in stomach. "Changah blau."

Also in full grey plumage, with long dorsal plumes.

No. 27. West Island, January 30, 1879. From nest. Irides orange. "Changah blau."

A nestling bird, the tail not being half grown, and tufts of down still adhering to the head. It is apparently the offspring of Nos. 26 and 28, and is in grey plumage, with white throat perfectly developed.


5. *Totanus canescens*, Gm.


A specimen emerging from the brown into the white stage.


8. Tachypedes minor (Gm.) ; Salvad. t. c. p. 364.

Nos. 21, 22, ♂ ♀. In lagoon, Cocos Keeling Islands, January 29, 1879. "Burung itam" or "Bangoo cicir." Irides brown.

Neither of the above is quite adult.

---

V.—A Second List of Birds from Mombasa, East Africa.

By J. H. Gurney.

My late valued friend and relative the Rev. F. A. Buxton was so good as to allow me to examine a second collection of birds sent to him from Mombasa by Mr. J. W. Handford, amongst which I find the following species that were not in the former collection, recorded in 'The Ibis,' 1881, p. 124. I have numbered the birds in the present list consecutively with those in the previous one, and have marked with an asterisk the species which I do not find to have been previously recorded from Mombasa or its immediate vicinity.


The specimen sent, which is probably a female, differs from the description given in Sharpe's 'Layard,' p. 88, in having no white on the tail, the outer webs and the tips of the external pair of rectrices being fawn-coloured, and the upper part of the web for about one fourth of its total length being variegated with dark blackish-brown markings resembling those which extend over the whole length of the corresponding web in the female of the nearly allied South-African C. rufigena; a narrow line of the same dark colour (also on the outer web) adjoins the shaft of the feathers throughout, except at the tip, on which latter part, however, the fawn tint is suffused with a decided tinge of darker brown; the secondaries are also tipped with pale fawn, instead of with white, as described by Mr. Sharpe.

The present specimen greatly resembles in its general aspect the female of C. rufigena; but, besides the differences
of coloration above referred to, it has the wing nearly half an inch (•45) shorter.

42. *Halcyon senegaloides*, Smith. Mangrove Kingfisher.

43. *Merops pusillus* (Müll.). Rufous-winged Bee-eater.

*44. Merops nubicus*, Gmel. Nubian Bee-eater.


The specimen sent, which appears to be adult, does not show the "external border of pale orange" to the secondaries mentioned in the description of this species given in Sharpe's Layard, p. 224.


The sex of the specimen sent is doubtful, as in this species the sexes, when fully adult, differ very slightly, if at all, in plumage (vide Ibis, 1879, p. 399); but it is smaller than either of two dissected females from Transvaal, with which I have compared it; the following are the comparative measurements:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Culmen.</th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombasa</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transvaal, ♀</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transvaal, ♀</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The observations of M. Barboza du Bocage as to the variations of size in this species, in his 'Ornithologie d'Angola,' vol. i. p. 329, may be consulted with advantage.


51. **Bradyornis pallidus** (Müll.). Pallid Flycatcher.


54. **Centropus superciliosus**, Hempr. et Ehr. White-browed Lark-heel.


The specimen sent from Mombasa by Mr. Handford was presented by Mr. Buxton to the British Museum; it had the basal portion of the bill thickly coated with dried mud, apparently indicating that the bird had been seeking its food in moist soil.


The specimen, a male, which was sent from Mombasa by Mr. Handford appeared to me to be somewhat different from the South-African *D. cardinalis*; and I therefore submitted it to Mr. E. Hargitt, who has given much attention to the Woodpeckers, and who has kindly informed me that it is identical with specimens from Zanzibar, respecting which he has also been good enough to furnish me with the following particulars:—"Malherbe regarded the Zanzibar bird as distinct and named it *D. zanzibari*, but later on considered it to be the same species as his *D. hartlaubi*†, which came from Port Natal. Although Malherbe states the differences

† Mr. Hargitt informs me that he considers *D. hartlaubi* and *D. cardinalis* not to be specifically distinct from each other, and adds, "I cannot regard *D. hartlaubi* as a species; I think the amount of red upon the upper tail-coverts is to be attributed to age."
in plumage, which, however, he does not think sufficient to constitute a species, he omits the measurements, which to me seem worthy of note; but as he points out the variations in terms sufficient to form a scientific description, if, as I think, the Zanzibar race is worthy of a separate title, Malherbe's name should be reinstated, although zanzibaricus would be a more correct form of writing it."

On comparing the male of *Dendrocopus zanzibaricus* sent from Mombasa with a male of *D. cardinalis* from Rustenburg, in Transvaal, I observe the following differences of coloration between them:#

1st. In the Mombasa bird the brown patch on the forehead is less extended, the distance from the junction of the forehead with the upper mandible to the commencement of the scarlet crown being .45 inch, whilst in the Transvaal Woodpecker it is .75.

2nd. The blackish-brown moustache running downward from the angle of the mouth is much less conspicuous in the Mombasa bird, being both shorter and narrower than in that from Transvaal.

3rd. The dark shaft-marks on the upper breast are also narrower in the Mombasa specimen.

4th. In the Mombasa bird the shaft-marks on the abdomen are even narrower than on the breast, and there are no traces on the abdomen of the regular transverse blackish bars alternating with dull white, which are conspicuous in the Transvaal male*.

5th. In the Mombasa Woodpecker the under tail-coverts are white, with one or two small brown circular spots on each feather; in the Transvaal bird these coverts are transversely barred like the abdomen, except that the dark bars are brown instead of blackish.

The following are measurements of two males of each species, taken in two instances by Mr. Hargitt and in two by myself. I do not give the total length, as, when merely taken from a skin, I do not think it can be relied on.

* In a female of *D. cardinalis* from Rustenburg these transverse bars are less regular and conspicuous than in the male.
from Mombasa, East Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Culmen</th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tall</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>D. zanzibari</em> from Zanzibar, measured by Mr. Hargitt</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. zanzibari</em> from Mombasa</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. cardinalis</em> from Eland's Post, measured by Mr. Hargitt</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. cardinalis</em> from Rustenburg</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

57. *Numenius phœopus*, Linn. European Whimbrel.

In conclusion I am desirous of adding a few remarks on some of the birds mentioned in my former list (Ibis, 1880, p. 124).

**Trogon (Hapaloderma) narina.**

Through the kindness of Captain Shelley I have had the opportunity of comparing the Trogon sent from Mombasa with specimens from Natal, the Usambara Mountains, and Fantee; and I cannot avoid the conclusion that the *Hapaloderma constantia*, Sharpe and Usher, Ibis, 1872, p. 181, is not in reality specifically distinct from the South-African *H. narina*; the peculiarities relied on in the original description of *H. constantia* are not, in my opinion, of a stable character, as the specimens from different localities which I have examined closely resemble each other, passing from one shade of coloration and marking to another by almost imperceptible gradations.

**Merops superciliosus.**

I find that I was in error in marking this species as not having been previously recorded from Mombasa.

**Meristes olivaceus.**

This bird should stand as *M. icterus* (Cuv.). Vide Captain Shelley’s list of Dr. Kirk’s collection in P. Z. S. 1881, p. 581.

**Dryoscopus affinis.**

In referring to Finsch and Hartlaub’s figure of *D. orientalis* (Vög. Ost-Afr. pl. 5. fig. 2) as representing this species, I omitted to explain that *D. orientalis* appears to be the female of *D. affinis*. I am indebted for the knowledge of this fact to Capt. Shelley, who has recorded it in the list above referred to (P. Z. S. 1881, p. 580).
Mr. Henry Whitely, who for some time past has been sending us collections of birds from the neighbourhood of Bartica Grove, in British Guiana, has lately made an excursion further into the interior of the country, and, ascending the Mazaruni river, has penetrated as far as the Merume Mountains, which lie on the south bank of that river, under the 60th degree of west longitude. Here and at a place called Camacusa, lower down on the Mazaruni river, he made a large collection of birds, which reached us in excellent condition a few weeks ago.

Amongst the specimens sent we find several that appear to us to belong to undescribed species. These we now make known, adding some notes on other species which have occurred to us in determining the names of the birds of the whole collection.

The present collection was made at an elevation of about 2000 feet above the sea; and in it we get several forms usually found at similar elevations in the Andes, and of high interest on this account.

Mr. Whitely has again proceeded into the interior, with the intention of working in the neighbourhood of the Roraima Mountains, so well known not only for their peculiar physical features, but as the ground where Schomburgk, some thirty-five years ago, made many remarkable discoveries and procured several birds that to this day have remained unique in the Berlin Museum, where they were originally deposited.

We hope at some future day, when Mr. Whitely has finished his labours in that country, to give a general résumé of the results of his expedition. In the meantime the following descriptions and notes contain an account of his chief discoveries.

*Cichlopsis gularis*, sp. n.
Supra saturate brunnea, cauda paulo rufescentiore; subtus
Birds from British Guiana.

VIREOLANIUS LEUCOTIS.

In ‘The Ibis’ for 1878 (p. 443, pl. ii.) Salvin published a note on this species, identifying it with V. icterophrys of Bonaparte and other more recent writers. He, however, separated it from V. chlorogaster, Bp., which he considered peculiar to Guiana, V. leucotis being from the upper portion of the Amazons valley.

Mr. Whitely’s collections contain two beautiful specimens of the Guianan bird, which, if the above determination were correct, should be called V. chlorogaster; but on comparing them with the true V. leucotis, from Ecuador, we now see no valid grounds for separating these birds, and propose to unite V. chlorogaster as well as V. icterophrys under Swainson’s old title V. leucotis. It is true that some variation can be traced in the amount of white on the cheeks; but this is not of specific value, as the extent varies in specimens from the same locality.

By uniting all these supposed species under one name all
the difficulties as to their distribution vanish, and *V. leucotis* is traced over a wide tract of country, embracing the Guianas and the valleys of the upper part of the great basin of the Amazons. We are thus left with three species of green *Vireolanius*:* — *V. leucotis*, with the range already indicated; *V. eximius*, of which skins occur in trade-collections sent from Bogota and which probably comes from the valleys which trend into that of the Magdalena; and *V. pulchellus*, of Central America.

**Platyrhynchus saturatus, sp. n.**

Supra saturate brunneus, alis et cauda extus laetioribus, pileo paulo obscuriore, capitis lateribus et torque pectorali indistincto dilutioribus, crista verticali ferruginea, superciliis a naribus incipientibus indistincte albis; gula alba, abdomine flavido tincto, rostro nigro, pedibus plumbe-scenti-carnenis: long. tota 3·5, alæ 2·3, caudae 1·2, rostri a rictu 0·6, tarsi 0·7.

*Hab.* Guiana Brit. in montibus “Merume” dictis (Whitely).

*Mus.* nostr.

*Obs.* *P. mystaceo* et *P. cancromino* affinis, sed colore saturatiore brunneo et cristâ verticali ferrugineâ nec flava distinguendus.

A single male specimen of this species is in Mr. Whitely’s collection. We are unable to discover a name for it. It clearly belongs to the *P. mystaceus* section of the genus, in which the crown of the male is either yellow or rusty red. There are no strongly defined black marks about the head, as in *P. coronatus* and its allies.

**Piprites chlorion.**

Dr. Cabanis’s description of this species (Arch. f. Naturg. 1847, i. p. 234) was based upon a specimen from Cayenne. Mr. Whitely now sends us birds which must doubtless be referred to this species. These differ from the species of Colombia and Ecuador, which we have hitherto supposed to be the same as the Guianan bird, in having the whole of the under plumage except the throat grey, instead of uniform yellowish olive. It is evident, therefore, that the more
western bird should have a separate name; and we suppose it to be *Hemipipo tschudii*, Cabanis (J. f. Orn. 1874, p. 99), although it does not altogether agree with the description of that species, which was drawn up from a bird that had been preserved in spirits.

**Pipra suavissima**, sp. n. (Plate I.)

*Pipra serena*, Cab. in Schomb. Reise n. Guiana, iii. p. 697 (nec Linnaeus)?

Nigerrima, fronte cretaceo-alba, uropygio caeruleo, abdomine late aurantiaco; rostro et pedibus nigris: long. tota 2'6, alæ 2'3, caudæ 1'1, rostri a rictu 0'5, tarsi 0'7.

♀ olivacea, pileo caeruleo vix induto; subtus pallidior, abdomine medio flavicante.

*Hab.* Guiana Brit. in montibus “Merume” dictis, et Bartica Grove (Whitely).

*Obs.* *P. serena* valde affinis, sed fronte latius alba, abdomine magis aurantiaco et plagâ pectorali aurantiacâ absente dignoscenda.

This pretty species evidently takes the place in British Guiana of the Cayenne *Pipra serena*; but its points of difference are well defined. As Mr. Whitely has sent a good series of specimens, we conclude that it is not an uncommon species in the district he visited.

We have little doubt that it was this species, and not the true *P. serena*, that Schomburgk met with near the Roraima Mountains.

**Chamæza fulvescens**, sp. n.

Supra saturate cinnamomeo-brunnea, capite summo obscuriore; subtus alba, gutture et crisso fulvo tinctis; pectoris et hypochondriorum plumis nigro utrinque marginatis, illis omnino saturate fulvis torquem pectoralem formantibus; subalaribus albis nigro variegatis; cauda dorso concolori, fascia subapicali nigra, rectricum apicibus fusco-albidis; rostro corneo, mandibulæ basi albicante; pedibus carneis: long. tota 7'3, alæ 3'9, caudæ 2'2, rostri a rictu 1'1, tarsi 1'55.

♀ mari similis sed minor.

*Hab.* Guiana Brit. in montibus “Merume” dictis.

*Mus.* nostr.
Obs. *C. olivacea* (ex Bolivia) similis, sed colore suprà, præ-cipuè in pilo, saturatiùs fulva, subtùs gula fulvo tinctâ et pectore saturatè fulvo notato distinguenda.

Mr. Whitely's collection contains six specimens of this species, all from the Merume Mountains, and the first examples of any *Chameza* we have yet seen from Guiana. In its colour above the present bird closely resembles *C. nobilis* of Gould, of which we have specimens from Ecuador; but the fulvous throat and breast distinguish it from this species, as well as from all others of the genus.

**HEMISTEPHANIA JOHANNAE.**

This species appears to be quite common in the Merume Mountains, as Mr. Whitely sends many specimens in his collection from that district. The adult males agree so closely with Colombian examples of the true *H. johannae* that we are unable to separate them. The only difference we can trace is that the shining forehead of the Guiana bird is of a very slightly bluer shade of purple than that of the Colombian one, but not enough to justify the birds being separated. Besides adult males, we find in Mr. Whitely's series two forms of female—one with a pale greenish blue forehead, the other without any bright colouring. The former of these exactly corresponds with the Colombian bird described by Mulsant as *Doryphora euphosynæ*, and we are driven to the conclusion that the bird so called is nothing but the female of *D. johannae*. It is most probable that birds with the bright forehead are old females, and those not so adorned younger birds; but the latter may still be adult.

**HELIODOXA XANTHOGONYS, sp. n.**

Supra nitenti-viridis,alis et cauda chalyboe-nigris, frontemedia nitidissimaviridi, pilei lateribus et loris nigerrimis; subitus nitenti-viridis, abdomen obscuriore dorso fere concolori; plaga gutturali cærulea; rostro nigro, mandibula, præter trientem apicalem, aurantiaco-flava: long. tota 4'0, alæ 2'55, caudæ rect. med. 1'4, rect. ext. 1'6, rostri a rictu 1'0. ♀ inornata; subitus albida, viridi dense maculata; rostro sicut in mari, sed paulo longiore; cauda magis rotundata, rec-tricibus lateralibus albido terminatis.
Hab. Guiana Brit. in montibus "Merume" dictis (Whitely).
Mus. nostr.

Obs. H. jamesoni (ex Æquatorìa) affinis, sed minor, caudà minus furecatà, rostro breviore et colore mandibulæ aurantiaco distinguenda.

Mr. Whitely sends us a male and several females of this species, which is obviously allied to the Ecuadorian H. jamesoni, but at the same time quite specifically distinct. This is the first evidence we have received of the fact of a member of this Andean genus being found in Guiana.

LOPHORNIS PAVONINUS, sp. n.

Supra obscure viridescens, uropygio cuprescenti-rufo, dorso postico fascia transversa albida notato; alis purpureo-nigris; cauda furecata cupreo-nigra; capite summo medi-aliter nigro striga utrinque nitente viridi marginato; subtus niger, abdomen viridi lavato; cervicis laterum plumis latis viridibus, singulatim macula subapicali nigra notatis; rostro omnino nigro: long. tota 3'4, alæ 1'9, caudæ rectr. med. 1'0, rect. lat. 1'3, rostri a rictu 0'7.

Hab. Guiana Brit. in montibus "Merume" dictis (Whitely).
Mus. nostr.

Obs. L. verreauxi proximus, sed certè distinctus.

Of this beautiful and distinct species Mr. Whitely's collection contains a single male specimen in fair condition, but somewhat stained on the throat. It belongs, with L. verreauxi and L. chalybea, to the little section of Lophornis which Dr. Cabanis has distinguished by the generic title of Polemistria. From L. verreauxi it differs in many particulars: the head, instead of having the forehead green and the occiput black, has a black central streak running from the base of the bill to the nape, on each side of which is a broad green streak. The elongated green feathers on each side of the neck are broad, and have a conspicuous black spot near the apex of each feather, this spot in the longest feathers being quite large. The feathers of the front extend much further...
along the culmen of the bill than in *L. verreauxi*, and in this respect recall this peculiarity in the genus *Orthorhynchus*.

**Chaetura rutila.**

Two specimens of a Swift from the Merume Mountains, though closely resembling Guatemalan examples of the bird we have been in the practice of calling *Chaetura rutila*, present differences which are doubtless specific.

The rufous collar which distinguishes these Swifts is of a brighter colour in the Guiana bird, and extends quite over the chin, the feathers of this part in the Colombian bird being sooty black like the rest of the plumage. The tail of the former is much longer and distinctly forked, that of the latter being nearly square and the feathers more spinous. We do not, however, attach much importance to this latter character, as the difference may be due to the extent to which the tail-feathers have been abraded by contact with the rocks &c. which these birds frequent.

There can be little doubt that the species described as *Hirundo rutila* by Vieillot was the Guiana bird, though the origin of the specimens was unknown. To this bird the name *Hirundo robini* also applies, judging from Lesson's description and also from that of Léotaud, the bird being an inhabitant of the island of Trinidad. Equally certain is it that Lafresnaye's name *Chaetura brunneitorques* is applicable to the Colombian form, which we trace southwards to Ecuador and northwards through Central America as far as Southern Mexico.

The references to these Swifts may be thus apportioned:

**Chaetura rutila.**


*Hub.* Guiana, Merume Mountains (*Whitely*); Trinidad (*Robin, Léotaud*).
Chætura brunneitorques.

Chætura brunneitorques, Lafr. Rev. Zool. 1844, p. 81; Bp. Conspr. i. p. 64 (partim?).


Hab. Mexico (Le Strange); Guatemala (S. & G.); Panama (Arcé, Mus. S. & G.); Colombia; Ecuador.

Aulacorhamphus whitelianus.

Prasinus, subtus pallidior, macula postoculari indistincta cærulea; gula sordide alba; regione infra oculos et genus prasinis; caudæ rectricibus duabus mediis castaneo anguste terminatis; rostro nigricante, basi linea lata albicante notata; culmine et mandibulæ basi rubescenti-bus: long. tota 11.5, alæ 4.6, caudæ rectr. med. 4.4, rectr. lat. 2.0.

Hab. Guiana Brit. in montibus “Merume” dictis (Whitely).

Mus. nostr.

Obs. A. derbianus proximus, sed staturâ minore, maculâ nuchali et plagâ suboculari cæruleis absentibus distinguendus.

This is the first species of this upland genus we have seen from Guiana. Mr. Whitely has sent us a single specimen of it, marked as a male. It is most like A. derbianus, but seems certainly distinct from that species. The bill is coloured much as in A. derbianus; but the white basal line is not so prominent or so clearly defined. The tail, as in the allied species, has the two central feathers alone tipped with chestnut; but the blue nuchal patch is absent, as well as that beneath the eye.

Conurus egregius, Sclater.

In ‘The Ibis’ for last year (1881, p. 130, pl. 4) Mr. Sclater described and figured a species of Conurus from specimens the locality of which was doubtfully stated to be Demerara. All doubt on this point is now removed by the occurrence in Mr. Whitely’s collection of four specimens of it obtained by him in the Merume Mountains. Some slight variation is to
be traced in these specimens, both as to the colour of the head (which is green in some, faded brown in others) and also in the amount of yellow on the under wing-coverts and bastard wing. But in all cases these differences seem due to the age of the bird rather than to any other cause. Mr. Whitely sends examples of both sexes, which present no material differences.

VII.—Notes on Birds found near Dacca and in the surrounding District of Eastern Bengal. By FRANK B. SIMSON.

In April 1863 I observed, for the first time, that a great number of Swallows flying about the low marshy land to the east of Dacca and near the river Lukya, which flows southward from Mymensing to Naraingunge, where it mingles with the numerous streams from Sylhet and the eastern outlets of the Ganges, had red bellies. No such bird was described in the first volume of Jerdon's 'Birds of India,' at that time the only work on Indian birds published. I wrote to Jerdon about it; and he told me that the bird had been observed before by Tytler, and that he would enter it in an appendix, which he accordingly did, under the name of Hirundo tytleri. I do not know if this was the first time the bird was named. It seems to be a Swallow which is still far from well known, a species closely allied to H. savignii, and also to H. horreorum of America.

Jerdon knew every thing about it. He visited me afterwards, and one evening we shot some eight or nine. Subsequently I sent a good series to Hume; whether he has mentioned the bird in any of his writings I do not know. Blyth states (Ibis, 1866, p. 336) that H. tytleri comes near H. cahirica, but is smaller and has less black on the gorget. Jerdon says they were abundant at Dacca in June, but were absent in October. I have, however, seen them near Dacca towards the end of October. I saw them in their greatest numbers in November 1867.

These birds were closely looked for by me for eight years
in various parts of Eastern Bengal. I never found that they bred there; and it was very seldom that I observed them far from Dacca, and there only in very scanty numbers. I saw them at Mymensing, the next district to Dacca, once, but only for one day.

They visited Dacca regularly, certainly twice every year, from the end of April to June, and again after the rains. They seemed only to stay a few weeks, and then to disappear. For months I had been looking out for them in vain; of a sudden they would appear in considerable numbers, and then disappear as suddenly.

I would ask if such short visits of flocks of Swallows from time to time to particular localities is a fact that has been remarked by ornithologists, and, if so, how it is to be accounted for. So far as I can tell from my own observations for many years, and from questioning all persons I met who observed birds, and from Jerdon's ignorance of the bird at first, these birds have only been noticed in considerable numbers in the neighbourhood of Dacca, where they are not known to breed. Their absence from the rest of Eastern Bengal seems remarkable.

There is another small white-bellied Swallow which is to be met with not very far from Dacca. This bird I took to be *Hirundo domicola*, which Jerdon calls the Neilgherry Swallow. I saw this bird several years running at Sylhet in the summer, and also in other districts bordering the Megna in Eastern Bengal. Jerdon does not mention these countries as its habitat; but I have remarked it now and then at all seasons of the year.

A few miles below Dacca, on the left bank of the river, stand, or rather stood (for they have lately been carried away by the encroachments of the river and by cyclones), two picturesque old Hindoo temples or muths, of squat ugly shape, covered with those parasitical trees which plant themselves on ruined walls; there is a bridge close by called Pagla pool, or the “madman’s bridge.” In the early rains it was a most interesting sight for an ornithologist to stand on this bridge and observe the birds which made use of these ruined towers as
breeding-places. Sturnidæ, Psittaci, Anatidæ, three classes of birds widely differing from each other, resorted to these towers for nesting-purposes, and made up a most noisy community. The lovely little Pygmy Goose, *Nettapus coromandelianus*, called Cotton-Teal by most Europeans, had its nests in the holes in the brickwork. This little bird, usually to be found only in deep water covered with the lotus and other water-weed, came in numbers to these muths. I have seen four pairs breeding there; and there may have been others. They, in their way, made nearly as much noise as the Parrots and Mynas. Persons unacquainted with the habits of these birds would scarcely believe that Ducks could use high ruined walls for nesting-places. I once saw a female *Nettapus* not far from Dacca, swimming about with a brood of ten newly hatched young ones, by far the smallest creatures in the way of webfooted birds I ever saw. The female must have had some trouble in taking her numerous progeny from these towers to the neighbouring jheels.

Parrots very constantly make their nests in houses and walls. In these muths their nests were very numerous. In spite of the noise, I did not perceive that the Parrots quarrelled either with the Pygmy Geese or the Mynas. Of these latter birds two species nest in the muths in great numbers, *Acridotheres tristis* and *A. fuscus*.

The Bank-Myna (*Acridotheres ginginianus*) was often to be seen in this neighbourhood; but though there were many suitable banks on all the rivers near, I never found this bird nesting till I reached the Pubna shores of the larger branch of the Ganges, where they nest exactly as the Sand-Martin does in England.

Close to this bridge nested another bird worthy of observation, the diminutive Palm-Swift (*Cypselus batassiensis*), very common in all places where the broad-leaved palm-trees grow.

In this neighbourhood also, and round the gardens and hedges of the many deserted houses in the environs of Dacca, is found the beautiful *Calliope kamtschatkensis*. This lovely Ruby-throat excited much admiration. I had very little difficulty in procuring specimens whenever I was asked for them.
Cuckoos are very numerous at Dacca and in the surrounding districts. I obtained twelve species of the family:—1. *Cuculus micropterus* was abundant and noisy. 2. *Hierococcyx varius* (The Hawk-Cuckoo): these birds vary greatly in plumage. 3. *Polyphasis nigra* is common everywhere. 4. *Coccytes melanoleucus* is very conspicuous at the end of the rains. 5. *Eudynamis orientalis* is a perfect nuisance, and will hardly permit a person to sleep after 2 a.m. in the early spring. 6. *Centropus rufipennis* is common all over Eastern Bengal. 7. *Centropus viridis* is to be found in great numbers on the sandy uncultivated spots near the rivers where the tamarisk grows and grass is high and thick after the early rains. The following Cuckoos are rare near Dacca. I twice obtained 8. *C. canorus*; but I never heard its note, so common in Upper India. 9. *Polyphasis tenuirostris*, the rufous bellied Cuckoo, was also twice sent to me; one was got close to Dacca, and the other in Tippera. 10. The lovely Emerald Cuckoo did not appear to be very rare; I had several; and in October 1871 one was brought to me alive and uninjured. 11. *Coccytes coromandus* was sent to me from Tippera; and I obtained it also in Cachar. 12. *Zanclostomus tristis* is not uncommon in certain places. I always saw it when shooting to the north-west of Dacca in the bushy jungles, where was found also that rare hare *Lepus hispidus*.

Dacca is situated on the left bank of the navigable river the Boorigunga, which flows into larger rivers at Naraingunge. Here it meets the Luckya, a river of singularly pure water from Mymensing, and the streams known as the Sylhet rivers, from the north-east. The Goomter, or Tippera river has its mouth a little to the south-east of Naraingunge; and all these smaller streams meet the large and most easterly of the outlets of the Ganges flowing past Pubna, and the streams from Assam, generally known as the Brahmaputra rivers; all these join south of Naraingunge, where the districts of Dacca, Furreedpore, Tippera, Burrisaul, and Novakholly near each other. The large tidal river is there known as the Megna, and flows into the Bay of Bengal not far from Chit-
tagong. In the rains the country where these numerous rivers conjoin is covered with a wide expanse of swift-flowing water. In the dry season the streams flow in restricted channels, and sandbanks, muddy quicksands, and sandy islets covered with very high grass and tamarisk bushes appear. The dryer parts of this country are now nearly all under cultivation. When I first knew them they were wild and neglected, and tigers, wild buffaloes, alligators, and several kinds of deer abounded. They still form a wonderful country for ornithological investigation.

About there to north-east, east, and south-east we go beyond the limits of Jerdon's 'Birds of India.' He describes no birds east of the Teesta river and its junction with the Brahmapootra, and considers that the faunas of the countries south of Cherrapoonjee and the districts of Sylhet, Tippera, Noakholly, and Chittagong appertain to the Burmese territory. I hope I may be excused therefore if I diverge a little to describe these places, from an ornithological point of view, before I revert to a few species of birds more immediately near Dacca, of which I am chiefly treating in this article.

Less than one hundred miles, as the crow flies, north-east of Dacca, the bold mountain-face of the Cherrapoonjee rock rears its abrupt cliff for nearly four thousand feet, ascending direct from the low marshy swamps of Sylhet. From the base of this mountain, or near it, there is nothing in the shape of hill or mound till you reach the shores of the Bay of Bengal in a south-westerly direction. This extraordinary alteration in the geographical character of the country is accompanied by a corresponding change of climate and temperature. Cherrapoonjee is, I believe, the second rainiest place in the world. The steep mountain suddenly meets the clouds and moist winds coming up from the Bay of Bengal, and immediately distils the moisture contained in them and pours it down over a very limited area around its summit. The rainfall at Cherra is said to average more than 600 inches a year. But at Shillong, a sanitarium not forty miles from Cherra, there is a climate which might almost be called European, and an
annual rainfall not exceeding 70 inches. The damp atmosphere of Cherrapoonjee and the coolness of Shillong form great contrasts with the temperature of the swampy marshes of Lower Sylhet, which can be seen from Cherra steaming and sweltering below; and from these waters the dwellers and passengers in boats look up to the lofty hills, gleaming with magnificent foaming cascades after every heavy shower, with longing eyes. Naturally there is a wonderful change in the ornis of Eastern Bengal reaching to the foot of the Cherrapoonjee mountain and that found in the tableland at its summit; but this country has been carefully examined by many a competent ornithologist.

From the foot of this high range to the Goomtee or Tippera river lies a low marshy country, interspersed with fine rice-bearing land, in places very thinly inhabited and regularly overflowed every summer, when the inhabitants live in boats and on mounds made by themselves and crowded thickly with huts. In this country every little child can row, even with his feet, and swim like an otter. Wildfowl are not so abundant here as might be expected; but Eagles and Parridæ and Gallinulæ abound. In places, and as you approach Tippera, the country is better, and bears splendid crops of rice and jute, and is very thickly inhabited. In the north of Tippera, towards Sylhet, are found vast plains, which for half the year show most beautiful green grass and support vast numbers of tame buffaloes; and formerly these plains swarmed with deer (*Cervus duvaucelli*), the numbers of which are very mournfully reduced.

Between the Goomtee and the Fenny rivers lies the district of Tippera. The western face of this district consists of low alluvial land; but near Comilla (the sudder station of the district) low forest-clad hills begin; and these stretch away eastward to China. Independent Tippera, Cachar, Munipore, and the Looshye territory are situated in these hilly tracts; and our knowledge goes a very little way beyond there. The Tippera country has not been thoroughly investigated ornithologically, and would form rare ground for an ardent student of the science. The late Mr. Irwin, of the Bengal
Civil Service, worked it well while stationed there; but he did not exhaust the ornithology of Tippera, and was removed too soon. There are native shikarees in this district who will procure almost any bird that exists there. The difficulty in these parts is to obtain a competent taxidermist.

Having now alluded to the country north-east, east, and south-east of Dacca, which was not included by Jerdon in his 'Birds of India,' I will return to remark on some of the species found in the low-lying alluvial lands below Dacca.

_Haliaeetus leucogaster_ (the Grey-backed Sea-Eagle). This bird regularly nested in two places in the Dacca country. One nest was in an old "peepul" tree on the right bank of the river, nearly opposite Naraingunge; the other was between Naraingunge and Burrisaul. This Eagle is found in very small numbers. It is a fine bold handsome bird; and I have often watched it for a long time with admiration.

On a voyage in the steamer from Dacca to Sylhet one cannot fail to be struck with the large number of Eagles seen near the fishing-villages. I never had an opportunity to stop and examine them; but I studied them with a binocular. _Haliaeetus fulviventer_ was the most common; and associated with it were _Polioaëtus ichthyaëtus_, _Aquila novaia_, and a white-shouldered Eagle which I took to be _A. imperialis_. I have seen as many as twenty of these Eagles close to one fishing-village; and the different species seemed to consort amicably. But the Grey-backed Sea-Eagle was never seen with the crowd; I always observed it alone, or a pair at the breeding-season. _Pandion haliaëtus_ is not uncommon. I have generally observed this bird by itself, fishing in the smaller rivers, the Luckya and the Bunsee. The only other raptorial bird I shall notice as belonging to this country, where nearly all the common Eagles, Falcons, Hawks, and Kites are to be found, is _Hypotriorchis chicquera_, the Turrunti, or Red-headed Merlin, which is not uncommon about Tippera, Noakholly, and Backergunge, nesting often in the _Casuarina_ trees near the houses of the European officials.

Between the mouth of the Boorigunga and the station of Furreedpore I regularly observed the Avocet (_Recurvirostra_
avocetta). This is a shy and wary bird; when roused (generally in small flocks), it flies a long way, and very often goes from one side of the large rivers to the other, so that pursuit is impracticable.

*Sarcidiornis melanotus* is to be found nearly every spring in the same ground as the Avocet. Jerdon says it is very rare in Lower Bengal; but I found it and shot it often. The resemblance of this large bird to the Pygmy *Nettapus* in colouring is remarkable.

Here also come flocks of Geese. *Anser indicus* is the commonest; but I have shot in Tippera, and seen here and also in the neighbourhood of Furreedpore, another Goose: I never killed it of late years; and when I shot it in earlier days, Jerdon's book was not published. It might have been *Anser brachyrhynchus* or *Anser cinereus*. Geese, Ducks, and various Wildfowl are caught in the churs of the Ganges near Furreedpore in great numbers in the end of February and beginning of March by fishermen, who sell them in the bazars at very low prices. I have bought *Anser indicus* for half a rupee, and a brace of fat Pintail Ducks for the same price. The mode of capture was, I was told, as follows:—A certain chur or sandbank is fixed upon by the fishermen generally as the trapping-ground; and this islet is left in absolute repose. All the other churs for miles round are disturbed, and the Geese and Ducks, Cranes and Pelicans, are hunted off and not allowed to rest, sleep, or remain quiet, day or night; for much fishing goes on at night. The birds then resort to the one undisturbed chur. After a few days this chur is covered with snares, nooses, nets, and traps, both in the green grass, in the muddy shore, and even in the shallow water; and thus hundreds of birds are captured. I have had brilliant sport shooting among these sandbanks. My last day was on the 7th of February 1872. It was cool and windy. I had two small fishing-boats; the weapons were one duck-gun, carrying only six drachms of powder and two ounces of BB shot in each barrel, and two ordinary No. 12 breech-loaders. I killed forty-seven couple of Ducks, chiefly Pintails and Blue-winged Teal, with a few Spotted-bill Ducks. I also got two
Grus cinerea and two Pelicans. The Ducks were in enormous flocks. We approached as quietly as we could; and when it appeared that the body of the flock was about to rise I fired two barrels of one breech-loader, and then, while the flock was rising with a rushing noise like a tempest, I stood up and delivered the two barrels of the duck-gun. The next half hour was always taken up by the cripple-chase; and I made it a rule to shoot again every wounded bird that looked at all lively; my men picked up the dead and wounded with two landing-nets. By the time this was all done, a man, separately employed, had watched where the largest flock of wildfowl had again settled, and a new attack was arranged.

Tadorna vulpanser was often observed by me on the quicksands at the mouth of the Noakholly river and in the Megna. I could not get at it: no boat could approach; and the quicksands were too deep and treacherous to be trusted. Jerdon informed Hume that I had observed Anas leucoptera to the east of Dacca; but I never saw it, nor have I ever seen a skin of it yet. I told Jerdon that I had heard of a large flock of dark Ducks in these waters, but had never met them myself. Jerdon himself only observed it from the deck of a steamer.

Haplopterus ventralis is occasionally seen in the churs and sandbanks of the eastern outlets of the Ganges; and twice I met with Glareola lactea resorting in very large numbers to islets covered with tamarisk jungle. On one of these occasions the birds appeared to be hawking after insects in the moonlight till quite a late hour.

The pretty little bird Terekia cinerea is often to be found about Backergunge and between that district and Novakholly. I have seen small parties seated on drift-wood and running about catching aquatic insects on it.

Every autumn the jheels near the mouth of the Booriguanga river, on the right bank, are visited by large flocks of Godwits (Limosa agocephala). When shooting Snipe in these small jheels I often used to make a large bag of Godwits in a double discharge of barrels. The natives call them Little Curlews.

I will conclude this article with a notice of one more place.
To the north-west of Dacca is a large jheel, not far from the right bank of the Bunser river; it is surrounded with high rushes, well known to the hog-hunter: many and many a fine boar have I speared in this country; and when first I knew it wild buffaloes used to resort to it. The riding-down of a wild Arnee with gun or pistols, before breech-loaders were introduced, was grand fun. In this jheel I at times got Spoonbills, *Platalea leucorodia*. These birds were very shy; I only obtained them, after many failures, by going early in the morning and, regardless of pigs, ducks, and snipe, sneaking very quietly through the reedy covert till I got within range with a duck-gun. The birds are rare in these parts; and I looked on them as valuable acquisitions. Here, and all down the Megna nearly to the sea, in autumn, the Pelican Ibis (*Tantalus leucocephalus*) is rather common; it breeds in the neighbourhood, as its young are often offered for sale—noisy insatiable animals; but the delicate rosy plumes of the adult male birds are prettier than any feathers I see exposed for sale in the windows of London shops. In this jheel I have seen *Mycteria australis*. It is occasionally visited by *Grus antigone*, the Sarus Crane, which is not uncommon throughout Dacca and Mymensing. This bird, so graceful in appearance and harmless in habits, is preserved by the natives. There is a superstition that great misfortune will happen to any person who kills one.

The common Crane (*Grus cinerea*) visits all this neighbourhood yearly. This is a very hard bird to shoot with a common gun; but there is no better bird on the table, and about Christmas time a young Crane is better than a Turkey.

This jheel is often full of Ducks of various species. *Anas poccilorhyncha* breeds in the grass of the indigo-lands which used to be found here before indigo-cultivation was stopped. Pintails (*Dafila acuta*) come here regularly in the spring. Blue-winged Teal (*Querquedula circia*) and Common Teal (*Q. crecca*) are both here occasionally; and the Whistling Teal (*Dendrocygna awsuree*) is exceedingly common, so much so as to be generally despised and left alone by the sportsman, who slays all other Anatidæ.
In the warmer season, just before the earliest rains set in, *Sypheotides bengalensis* (the Bengal Florikin) is always to be found here; possibly it breeds here; at any rate, from about this neighbourhood northwards towards Rungpore and Assam the bird becomes common, and from this place southwards it seems to be hardly known.

The Partridge tribe are feebly represented at this jheel: an occasional Francolin or Black Partridge strays from the bush jungles on the left bank of the Bunser; and the Kyat Partridge (*Ortygornis gularis*) was once seen by me here. These birds are common a little higher up the Bunser, in the Mymensing district, and are exceedingly numerous in the rose-bush jungles at the foot of the Mymensing and Sylhet hills, in the rains. The pretty little *Excalfactoria chinensis* (the Blue-breasted Quail) was common in the indigo-fields. The Common Quail appears only in what are known as Quail-years.

Rallidæ of numerous species abound in this jheel; and, indeed, so they do in every jheel in Eastern Bengal, more especially in Tippera, where *Porphyrio poliocephalus*, called by Jerdon the Purple Coot, is so common and destructive that in places the rate of rent of rice-lands at the foot of the hills has at times to be lessened on account of their ravages. In Tippera, in the height of the rains, I have, in company with one other man, out of canoes pushed among the rice crops by men with poles, shot fifty couple of these birds in two hours. The sport was varied by the slaughter of *Gallinula cristatus* (the Khora of Eastern Bengal) and several pairs of the beautiful Pheasant-tailed Jacana (*Hydrophasianus chirurgus*) and of *Nettapus*: both these birds, being at that time in lovely plumage, were shot in the same fields.

The Khora is much valued in Eastern Bengal; it is kept in cages, is very tame, and used for catching wild Khoras, which it entices by its notes and then fights. I once knew a small elephant exchanged for a famous Khora. In parts of Tippera the natives place the eggs of this bird in half a coconut shell filled with soft cotton; the half shell is then bound over a man's navel; and in this manner the eggs are hatched.

The common Bald Coot is to be found in the jheels between Jessore and Backergunge.
The list of birds in the foregoing remarks is not intended to be in any way complete: they merely refer to some species which are interesting to the ornithologist and sportsman, and in the pursuit and observation of which I spent many happy days during a long sojourn in the Dacca and neighbouring districts.


The following notes refer to the birds which I collected, and to some which I observed but failed to get specimens of, during the few months I was in South Afghanistan.

I reached Kandahar on the 6th October, 1880; but the siege of Kandahar, the death of my predecessor from wounds received at the battle of Dekoja, and the movements of the large bodies of troops taking place at the time gave me, as chief administrative commissariat officer in the field, such very heavy work that I could not find time either to collect birds or to take notes, until matters settled down a little. All I profess, therefore, to do in this paper is to give a list of the birds collected, which Dr. Scully has very kindly assisted me in identifying and working out, and of those observed at Kandahar from December 1880 until the middle of April 1881, and during the march to India, which I reached at the end of May following—with the addition of a few shot by Dr. Duke in North Beloochistan and by Col. St. John in the neighbourhood of Kandahar. The distance from Sibi to Kandahar may be roughly calculated at about 250 miles. Our direct line of communications starts from Pirchowky, which is the terminus of the railway and is at the mouth of the Bolan Pass, 20 miles from Sibi, through the Bolan to Quetta (80 miles), passing the military posts of Koondalain, South Kirta, North Kirta, Bibi Nani, Mach, Dozan, Durwaza, and Siriab, then from Quetta through the Pishleen valley to the Kojak Pass, passing Metazai, Dinakarez, Saiji, Goolistan, and Killa Abdula, then down the Kojak into the
plains, commencing with Chaman at the foot, past Gatai, Dubrai, Mundl Hissar, Abdul Rahman, Melkarez, into Kandahar.

During our march back, however, I had the opportunity of accompanying the general commanding through (to me) a new line of country from the Kojak into Quetta, going from Killa Abdula to Gungazai round by Kushdil Khan and Syed Yarroo, at all of which places I obtained good specimens.

The country to the east of Pirchowky is a vast sandy desert; about Pirchowky itself is a little cultivation. The Bolan river, which runs through nearly the whole pass, comes out into the plains here; and consequently here and there one finds a little tamarisk jungle and some Crucifers and Capsaridae. After passing Pirchowky you get at once into the Bolan, and find little but barren mountains to look at, no trees and scarcely any vegetation of any kind whatever, beyond the tamarisk that grows on the river-side here and there, and a little coarse elephant-grass, and some wild thyme, and a species of Euphorbia on the mountain-sides.

The heat in the Bolan up to Mach is always very great; when I came down at the end of last May we registered at Kirta 121° F. in a soldier's tent at noon; but the climate gets better at Mach, and you are fairly out of the heat at Durwaza, which is, I believe, 6000 feet above sea-level and 1000 feet higher than Quetta.

There is no cultivation whatever in the Bolan; the only good thing in it is its river, which contains a ceaseless rapid flow of most excellent water, always cool in the hottest weather. The hills are close on each side of you in many places; and some of the passes look very beautiful, on account of the different ranges you see standing as it were on each other, pile upon pile; but all through the pass the chief characteristics are flies and dust; and, indeed, this may be said of the whole line right up to Kandahar, dust-storms being almost of daily occurrence.

About Quetta there are a good many orchards, and a little cultivation, wheat, barley, maize, and lucern being the chief crops. At Kandahar you have the same kind of cultivation,
only to a far larger extent, and a greater extent of gardens; but the whole tract of land between is little more or less than a barren desert. In the Pisheen on the Kushdil Khan side there is a little cultivation; but the so-called fertility of the rest of the valley of the Pisheen only exists in the imagination of its describers. During the ten months of my commissariat administration it produced next to nothing; and to feed the army retiring to India from Kandahar last April and May I had to bring every thing required as far as the Kojak all the way up from India, even down to the grain and forage for the animals. The soil is chiefly clay full of gypsum, and might be made fertile enough; but the country has hardly any population; and as it is in a rainless zone, away from the orchards which fringe the river-banks at Kandahar and Quetta there are no trees. If you go up any of the hills and look around you, the same feature presents itself everywhere—a riband of orchards and cultivation along the river-banks, a barren treeless waste everywhere else.

That the whole country could be well cultivated if there were sufficient population, there can be no doubt. Though it seldom rains, water can be obtained by boring at the foot of nearly every hill; perennial springs they appear to be; and all the cultivation round and about Kandahar and Quetta is by irrigation by artificial streams carried down from the foot of the different hills. There are a few stunted trees, *Pistacia kBINJUK*, on the Kojak, the top of which is 7000 feet above sea-level; and the only other trees I saw in the country were the fruit-trees in the orchards, such as apples, pears, plums, &c., and many poplars (*Populus alba*), mulberries, pomegranates, figs, and vines of many different kinds, and tamarisk clumps in most of the river-beds.

The whole line of country between Quetta and Kandahar may be roughly described as consisting of barren hills and sandy plains, with fringes of cultivation on the watercourses found here and there; and though you drop down some two thousand feet after crossing the Kojak, the nature of the country is the same, except that the dust-storms are made considerably worse by the great desert along which the road
runs within a few miles of the entire distance between Chaman and Kandahar. The climate of the country, however, apart from the dust-storms, is every thing that man could desire. At Quetta the thermometer in the winter sometimes for a few days falls below zero; otherwise it is never very cold nor very hot. At Kandahar, where it is hotter, you get about six weeks' heat during summer; but the nights are always cool; and the rest of the year is very pleasant, the winter especially so, the thermometer in the open air ranging from 40° to 60°, and very seldom falling to freezing-point.

1. **Vultur monachus**, Linn.

Common at Sibi and some parts of the Bolan; did not see this Vulture further west. Col. St. John, however, had a tame young one at Kandahar, supposed to have been taken in the Girisk district.

2. **Gyps fulvus**, Hume.

To be found everywhere between Sibi and Kandahar. In March and April at Kandahar in large numbers in company with another Vulture, which I did not identify. In ordinary times Vultures are said to be scarce in South Afghanistan; and when our troops first entered the country the carcasses of transport animals used to lie about for days with scarcely a Vulture near them; but latterly they gathered all along the line of communication, and, especially at Kandahar, in very large numbers.

3. **Neophron percnopterus** (Linn.).

Kandahar, 1 ♀, 11,4,81; Do., 1 ♀, 12,4,81.

Common everywhere.

4. **Gypaetus barbatus** (Linn.).

To be found on all the mountains throughout the country.

5. **Falco jugger**, J. E. Gr.

One shot by Dr. Duke at Nal, 2,5,77. One seen near Chaman in the beginning of May 1881.

6. **Falco babylonicus**, Gurn.

Shot by Col. St. John in the neighbourhood of Kandahar.
   Kandahar, (young bird) 1♂, 13,12,80; Do., (adult) 1♂, 11,2,81.
   Common throughout the winter.

8. *Tinnunculus alaudarius* (Linn.).
   Kandahar, 1♀, 2,2,81; Do., 1♀, 2,3,81; Do., 1♂, 25,3,81;
   Do., 1♀, 20,3,81; Do., 1♂, 30,3,81.
   Common about Kandahar, as it is, I understand, throughout the country. I found a nest with young in it on the ramparts of the city in the beginning of April.

9. *Astur radius* (Gm.).
   Kandahar, 1♀, 14,4,81.
   The only example procured, shot in company with *Accipiter nisus*.

10. *Accipiter nisus* (Linn.).
    Kandahar, 1♀, 14,4,81; Kiila Abdula, 1♀, 30,4,81.
    Common during the spring.

    Kandahar, 1♂, 12,1,87; Do., 1♀, 20,1,81.
    Common all through the winter. Two or three generally to be found on the ground in the neighbourhood of the race-course, looking for offal.

12. *Hieraaetus pennatus* (Gm.).
    A female shot by Dr. Duke at Nal, 18,5,77.

13. *Buteo ferox* (S. G. Gm.).
    Kandahar, 1♀, 21,12,80; Do., 1♀, 12,1,81.
    Also shot at Quetta by Dr. Duke, one on 20th and another on 24th October. Is also to be found occasionally in the Bolan.

14. *Circus macrurus* (Gmel.).
    Kandahar, 1♂, 3,4,87.
    Appeared to be passing through the country in the early part of April; were only observed about Kandahar for about ten days.
15. **Circus æruginosus** (Linn.).  
Kandahar, 1♂, 14,2,81; Do., 1♀, 17,2,81; Do., 1♂, 18,2,81; Do., 1♂, 18,2,81; Do., 1♂, 23,2,81.  
Arrived at Kandahar early in February, and were in some numbers sporting about the big marsh close to the city up to the date of our leaving, 22nd April.

Common at Sibi, Quetta, and Kandahar; some observed at the large camp we formed at Killa Abdula. This Kite seemed to follow our camp. Never observed in the villages between Chaman and Kandahar, though common at Kandahar itself.

17. **Milvus migrans** (Bodd.).  
Kandahar, 1♂, 14,2,81.  
Common at Kandahar.

18. **Bubo ignavus** (Forst.).  
Obtained by Col. St. John in the Kandahar district.

19. **Scops pennatus**, Hodgs.  
Kandahar, 1♀, 12,4,81.  
The only specimen obtained was shot in an orchard near Kandahar.

20. **Carine brama** (Temm.).  
Pirchowky, 1♂, 1♀, 19,5,81.  
Common in the lower parts of the Bolan, was not observed west of Mach.

Kandahar, 1♀, 24,12,80; Do., 1♂, 26,12,80; Do., 1♂, 10,2,81; Do., 1♂, 10,2,81.  
Also shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta in October. Common at Kandahar; commenced breeding about the middle of March; there were ten nests with young in the fort-walls in the early part of April.

22. **Hirundo rustica**, Linn.  
Kandahar, 1♂, 3,2,81; Do., 2♂, 1♀, 7,12,81.  
Common everywhere during summer. I saw the first
Swallow in Kandahar on the 29th January; and five days afterwards one flew into my room, which proved to be a male with testes enormously swollen. In another week they were with us in thousands, making nests in every convenient spot available; one pair built and reared their young inside one of the mess tents, which was in constant use. The number of these little birds in the city was very remarkable.

   Obtained by Col. St. John in the Kandahar district.

24. *Cotile riparia* (Linn.).
   Kandahar, 1 ♂, 5,4,81.
   Arrived in the latter part of March, but not in any quantity. I observed also in the beginning of April another species of Martin, but failed in securing one.

25. *Cypselus melba* (Linn.).
   Obtained by Col. St. John at Kandahar.

   Kandahar, 1 ♂, 17,2,81; ♀, 1 ♂, 8,3,81.
   The first Swifts of this species were observed on the 6th February; there were only three together. I picked one up from the ground outside the courtyard in which my office was situated; how it got there I cannot say; it was dying. Neither in this male nor in the female I secured on the 8th of the following month was there any sign of breeding. They were common in April.

27. *Cypselus affinis*, J. E. Gr.
   Obtained by Col. St. John in the Kandahar district.

   I saw a Nightjar when in company with Col. St. John at Dubrai on the 25th April, and another at Gatai on the following evening; he said it was probably *C. unwini*, as he had only obtained that species of Nightjar in the country.

   Was observed at Pirchowky and at the one or two small patches of cultivation in the lower portion of the Bolan. Observed nowhere west of Mach.
30. **Merops apiaster**, Linn.
   Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 14,4,81; Do., 2♂, 1♀, 17,4,81.
   I heard the cry of these birds on the 14th April for the first time, while sitting at my office-desk. I followed the cry in the afternoon as soon as I could get away, and found a large flock flying round and round some large trees in a garden outside the Herat gate. I saw some of these birds also at Chaman on the 28th April, and again at Quetta in the middle of May, and got several specimens. The testes of all the males I examined were very greatly swollen.

31. **Coracias indicus**, Linn.
   Observed near Sibi, at Pirchowky, and in the lower part of the Bolan. It is, I am given to understand, also sometimes found at Quetta, but has not been observed further west.

32. **Coracias garrulus**, Linn.
   Kandahar, 1♂, 17,4,81; Do., 1♂, 18,4,81; Melkarez, 21,4,81; Abdul Rahman, 1♂, 23,4,81.
   Also obtained several specimens at Quetta in May. These birds commenced arriving in the country in the early part of April; the first was observed at Kandahar on the 4th.

33. **Alcedo bengalensis**, Gm.
   One obtained by Dr. Duke at Quetta in November.

34. **Alcedo ispida**, Linn.
   Kandahar, 1♂, 20,12,80; Do., 1♂, 22,12,80; Do., 1♂, 9,1,81; Do., 1♀, 24,1,81; Gungazai, 1♀, 1,5,81; Kushdil Khan, 1♀, 2,5,81; Quetta, 1♂, 6,5,81.
   The common Kingfisher of the country.

35. **Ceryle rudis** (Linn.).
   One shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta in November.

   Kojuk, 1♀, 4,3,81.
   Shot by Lieut. Rutherford, and sent me in the flesh by post.

37. **Gecinus squamatus**, Vig.
   One shot by Dr. Duke in December at Quetta.
38. **Iynx torquilla**, Linn.
   Kandahar, 1♀, 17,4,81; Quetta, 1♀, 10,5,81.
   Evidently passing through the country; the only Wryneck observed.

39. **Cuculus canorus**, Linn.
   Quetta, 1♀, 6,5,81; Do., (hepatic plumage) 1♀, 6,5,81; Do., 1♀, 8,5,81.
   Had not arrived at Kandahar when we left on 22nd April. Of the many Cuckoos the sex of which I examined at Quetta between the 5th and 15th May, all were females; if any males had arrived, they had not commenced calling.

40. **Cinnyris brevirostris** (Blanf.).
   Pirchowky, 1♂, 19,5,81.
   Not uncommon in cultivated parts of the plains below the Bolan. Not met with in the pass or westwards.

41. **Tichodroma muraria** (Linn.).
   Kandahar, 2♂, 18,12,80; Do., 1♂, 22,12,80; Do., 1♀, 24,12,80; Do., 1♂, 30,1,81.
   Very common at Kandahar throughout the winter, and apparently only a winter visitant. The last was seen by me on the 9th February.

42. **Sitta syriaca**, Ehr.
   Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 18,4,81; Kojak, 2♂, 28,4,81.
   Common in the Bolan, on the Kojak, and on the hills near Kandahar, where numbers can be seen creeping on the face of the smooth rocks and flying from rock to rock uttering a sharp loud note.

43. **Upupa epops**, Linn.
   Kandahar, 12,80; Do., 1♂, 1,2,81; Do., 1♂, 16,4,81.
   Common everywhere, and, I believe, a permanent resident in the country.

   Kandahar, 1♀, 9,1,81.
   The only specimen obtained. I observed three in the General's garden on the 9th January when returning from an
official meeting, and sent my shikaree after them, with the above result. Did not meet with this bird again.

45. **Lanius minor**, Gmel.
Kandahar, 1♂, 14,4,81.
The only specimen obtained.

46. **Lanius erythronotus**, Vig.
Kandahar, 1♂, 2,1,81; Do., 1♂, 1♀, 6,4,81; Quetta, 1♀, 5,5,81.
Common everywhere. I believe it to be a resident in the country.

47. **Lanius vittatus**, Valenc.
Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 6,4,81; Do., 1♂, 10,4,81; Do., 1♀, 17,4,81; Chaman, 1♂, 28,4,81.
Observed also at Quetta and in the Bolan in May. Two specimens of this Shrike were also obtained by Dr. Duke at Tekree Gutt, in North Beloochistan, in the month of July.

48. **Lanius isabellinus**, Hempr. et Ehr.
Kandahar, 1♀, 12,12,80; Do., 1♀, 30,12,80; Do., 1♂, 18,1,81; Kokeran, 1♀, 28,2,81; Kandahar, 1♂, 14,81; Do., 1♀, 2,4,81.
Common also at Chaman, at Quetta, and in the Bolan.

49. **Lanius phoenicuroides**, Severtzoff.
Kandahar, 1♀, 12,4,81; Do., 1♀, 14,4,81.
The only specimens obtained.

50. **Muscipeta paradisi** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 17,4,81; Do., 1♀, 20,4,81.
Both in chestnut plumage, evidently stragglers. Col. St. John informed me that he had never come across any during his stay in the country.

51. **Muscicapra grisoa**, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♀, 20,1,81; Killa Abdula, 1♀, 30,4,81; Quetta, 1♂, 8,5,81.
One was also shot by Dr. Duke in October at Quetta.
52. Erythrosterna parva (Bechst.).
Kandahar, 1 ♀, 1,4,81; Do., 1 ♂, 31,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 6,4,81; Kojak, 1 ♀, 29,4,81.
One was also shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta in October. Also observed by me in the Bolan, at Mach, in May.

53. Myiophoneus temmincki, Vig.
Kandahar, 1 ♀, 20,12,80.
I only observed two pairs in the gardens near Kandahar during the winter. They were very difficult to get near. Observed nowhere else.

54. Monticola cyanus (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 24,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 24,1,81; Do., 1 ♂, 1,2,81; Do., 1 ♂, 3,2,81.
Quite common near Kandahar during the winter.

55. Merula maxima, Seebohm.
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 1 ♀, 10,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 8,1,81; Do., 1 ♂, 14,1,81; Do., 1 ♀, 25,1,81; Do., 2 ♀, 5,2,81.
The common Blackbird of Kandahar during the winter.

56. Turdus atrorugularis, Temm.
Shot by Dr. Duke:—One on 9th November, one on 27th November, and one in February at Quetta, and one in December at Kelat in North Beloochistan.

57. Turdus viscivorus, Linn.
One shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta in February.

58. Chatarrhœa huttoni, Blyth.
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 18,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 30,12,80.
Not very common about Kandahar; did not observe it eastwards.

59. Chatarrhœa caudata, Dum.
Common at Sibi. Not observed in or beyond the Bolan.

60. Otocompsa leucotis, Gould.
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 8,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 19,12,80; Do., 1 ♀, 18,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 19,2,81.
Common everywhere in suitable localities. Very common
in the city of Kandahar, where it commences breeding very early. I caught a half-fledged young one in the courtyard of my office on the 11th March.

61. Oriolus Kundoo, Sykes.
Quetta, 1♀, 5,5,81.
Had not arrived at Kandahar when we left.

62. Pratincola caprata, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♀, 17,3,81; Do., 1♂, 20,3,81; Do., 1♂, 29,3,81; Do., 1♂, 30,3,81; Do., 1♀, 1,4,81; Do., 1♀, 3,4,81; Do., 1♀, 9,4,81; Do., 1♂, 16,4,81; Do., 1♂, 18,4,81.
Common at Kandahar.

63. Pratincola maura (Pallas).
Kandahar, 1♀, 16,1,81; Do., 1♂, 21,2,81; Do., 1♂, 2,2,81; Do., 1♀, 16,4,81.
Common during the winter.

64. Pratincola macrorhyncha, Stol.
Kandahar, 1♂, 19,4,81; Dubrai, 1♀, 24,4,81.
Not very common.

65. Saxicola picata, Blyth.
Kojak, 1♂, 23,3,81; Killa Abdula, 1♂, 29,4,81.
The first was shot by Lieut. Rutherford, and sent me in the flesh by post; the other I obtained myself on my road to India. I observed this bird also in the month of May at Quetta, in the garden of the Superintendent of Telegraphs, and also on two occasions in the Bolan. Col. St. John told me it was to be found about Kandahar; but I did not get any specimens while there. It is probably a summer visitor, as it is said to be in Gilgit.

66. Saxicola albonigra, Hume.
Kandahar, 1♂, 28,11,80; Do., −,12,80; Do., 1♂, 26,12,80; Do., 1♂, 22,1,81.
Common at Kandahar throughout the winter. I missed it, however, after the middle of February. Did not observe it east of Kandahar at all.
67. Saxicola morio, Hempr. et Ehr.
Obtained in the neighbourhood of Kandahar by Col. St. John, who told me it was common during the summer months. Had not arrived, however, when we left on 22nd April.

68. Saxicola finschi, Heugl.
Kandahar, 1♀, 3,2,81.
Two also shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta—one in February; the date of the other is lost.

69. Saxicola isabellina, Rüpp.
Kandahar, 1♀, 3,1,81; Do., 1♂, 17,3,81; Do., 1♂, 10,4,81; Dubrai, 1♀, 25,4,81; Killa'Abdula, 1♀, 29,4,81.
I also observed it in May at Quetta and in the Bolan.

70. Saxicola chrysopygia, de Fil.
Kandahar, 1♂, 26,12,80; Do., 1♂, 8,1,81; Do., 1♂, 18,1,81; Do., 1♀, 22,1,81.
Also observed at Quetta and in the Bolan.

71. Saxicola deserti, Rüpp.
Kandahar, 1♂, 13,3,81.
Generally met with in desert places.

72. Saxicola montana, Gould.
Gatai, 1♂, 26,4,81.
The only specimen obtained.

73. Saxicola melanoleuca (Güld.).
Shot by Col. St. John at Kandahar.

74. Aedon familiaris, Ménétr.
Mel Karez, 1♂, 24,4,81; Chaman, 1♀, 28,4,81.
Evidently just arriving.

75. Ruticilla rufiventris, Vieill.
Kandahar, 1♂, 9,1,81; Kojak, 1♂, 23,3,81.
A winter visitor.

76. Ruticilla erythronota, Eversm.
Kandahar, 1♂, −12,80; Do., 1♂, 24,12,80; Do., 1♀, 2,1,81; Do., 1♂, 9,1,81; Do., 1♀, 18,1,81; Do., 1♂, 22,1,81; Do., 1♂, 2,2,81.
Also two shot at Quetta by Dr. Duke in February. A winter visitor.

77. **Cyanecula suecica** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 19,3,81.
Is common, I understand, later on; but the above-noted specimen is the only one I saw.

78. **Acrocephalus stentorius**, Hempr. et Ehr.
Obtained by Col. St. John in the Kandahar district.

79. **Luscinia melanopogon** (Temm.).
Obtained by Col. St. John in the Kandahar district.

80. **Cettia cetti** (Marm.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 13,1,81.
The only specimen procured was shot in the ditch full of rank growth just outside the city wall.

81. **Scotocerca inquieta**, Rüpp.
Shot by Dr. Duke in April at Iskulko, in North Beloochistan.

82. **Hypolais rama**, Sykes.
Gungazai, 1♀, 1,5,81.
A pair of these birds was found breeding on the 1st of May in the river-bank near our camp at Gungazai. The nest taken was made of dry grass, and was not quite completed; it was situated in a tamarisk bush, about three feet from the ground, close to the waterside.

83. **Hypolais languida**, Hempr. et Ehr.
Chaman, 1♀, 28,4,81.
The only pair of this species obtained was seen at Chaman just as the worst sandstorm I ever saw was coming on. The female fell dead; the male flew into the face of the sandstorm, and was lost.

84. **Phylloscopus tristis**, Blyth.
Kandahar, 1♂, 2,4,81; Do., 1♀, 3,1,81.
A winter visitor.
85. Phylloscopus nitidus, Blyth.
One shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta in November.

86. Sylvia jerdoni, Blyth.
Kojak, 1♂, 29,4,81.
The only specimen secured. Not observed at Kandahar during the winter.

87. Sylvia minuscula, Hume.
Kandahar, 1♀, 16,4,81.
The only specimen secured.

Kandahar, 1♂, -12,80; Do., 1♀, 2,1,81; Do., 1♂, 1,2,81; Do., 1♂, 16,4,81.
Common everywhere throughout the winter.

89. Motacilla alba, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♀, -12,80; Do., 1♀, 30,1,81; Do., 1♀, 22,3,81.
Common everywhere throughout the winter.

90. Calobates melanope, Pall.
Kandahar, 1♀, 24,12,80; Do., 1♀, 16,1,81.
Also one shot by Dr. Duke in December at Khelat. A winter visitor.

91. Budytes rayi, Bp.
Dubrai, 1♀, 24,4,81.
A very large number of Yellow Wagtails arrived at Kandahar after the end of February, probably passing through; but although I got numbers of every other kind, I never met with a Ray's Wagtail until we arrived at Dubrai, where Col. St. John saw one and very kindly shot it for me.

92. Budytes cinereocapillus (Savi).
Kandahar, 1♀, 22,3,81; Do., 1♂, 26,3,81; Do., 1♂, 1♀, 27,3,81; Do., 1♀, 3,4,81.
Quite common at Kandahar in spring.

93. Budytes melanocephalus (Licht.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 26,2,81; Do., 2♂, 1♀, 8,3,81; Do.,
110 Lieut.-Col. C. Swinhoe on the

94. Budytes flavus (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 23,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 17,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 19,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 23,3,81.
Common everywhere during the spring.

95. Budytes calcaratus, Hodgs.
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 20,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 26,3,81.
Common during spring.

96. Budytes citreola (Pall.).
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 17,3,81; Do., 2 ♂, 26,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 2 ♂, 26,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 8,4,81; Gungazai, 1 ♀, 1,5,81.
Common during spring.

97. Anthus trivialis (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 2,4,81.
Common throughout the winter.

98. Anthus rufulus, Vieill.
Gatai, 1 ♀, 26,4,81; Chaman, 1 ♀, 28,4,81.
The only specimens obtained.

99. Anthus campestris (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 23,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 3,4,81; Dubrai, 1 ♀, 25,4,81; Gatai, 1 ♀, 25,4,81.
Common throughout the winter.

100. Anthus blakistoni, Swinh.
Kandahar, 2 ♂, 12,12,80; Do., 1 ♀, 18,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 8,1,81; Do., 1 ♂, 13,1,81; Do., 1 ♂, 18,1,81; Do., 1 ♀, 12,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 13,3,81.
Very common everywhere throughout the winter.

101. Parus cinereus, Vieill.
Kandahar, 1 ♀, 5,1,81; Do., 1 ♀, 9,1,81; Kojak, 1 ♂, 27,4,81.
Common, and a permanent resident.

102. Accentor atrogularis, Brandt.
One shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta in November.
103. Corvus lawrencii, Hume.
  Kandahar, 1 female, 8,12,80; Do., 1 male, 22,1,81.
  Common all the year round everywhere.

104. Corvus cornix, Linn.
  I often saw this Crow on the banks of the Argendab river, near Kandahar, but never succeeded in securing one.

105. Corvus umbrinus, Hedenb.
  Very common everywhere.

106. Corvus frugilegus, Linn.
  Kandahar, 1 male, 17,1,81; Do., 1 male, 19,1,81; Do., 1 female, 22,1,81; Do., 1 female, 23,1,81.
  Appeared to me to arrive about the middle of January. There were plenty afterwards up to the date of our departure. Did not, however, observe one east of Kandahar.

107. Corvus monedula, Linn.
  Kandahar, 1 female, 19,1,81; Do., 1 male, 23,1,81; Do., 1 male, 29,1,81.
  The above remarks apply also to the Jackdaw.

108. Pica rustica (Scop.).
  Kandahar, 1 male, 1 female, 12,80; Do., 1 female, 5,2,81.
  Common everywhere from the Kojak westwards.

109. Fregilus graculus (Linn.).
  Kandahar, 2 females, 24,11,80; Do., 1 male, 4,1,81; Do., 1 female, 19,1,81.
  In large flocks throughout the winter in the neighbourhood of Kandahar.

110. Sturnus vulgaris, Linn.
  Kandahar, 1 female, 8,12,80; 1 male, 15,2,81.

111. Sturnus purpurascens, Gould.
  Kandahar, 1 unsexed, 1 male, 1 female, 8,12,80.
  Always found together in flocks throughout the winter; out of the numbers I examined there would be always at least ten of the latter to one of the former.

112. Pastor roseus (Linn.).
  Kojuk, 1 male, 1 female, 27,4,81; Killa Abdula, 2 males, 30,4,81.
Never observed any during the winter; a few flocks of them were seen by me in the neighbourhood of Kandahar towards the end of March. There were plenty at the Kojak and Quetta in April and May. On dissection the female above referred to was found to have eggs nearly ready for laying; and the testes of all three males were fully developed.

113. *Amadina malabarica* (Linn.).
Two shot by Dr. Duke at Bapin, 5700 feet above the sea-level in North Beloochistan in the month of July.

114. *Passer domesticus* (Linn.).
Kandahar, 2♂, 6,4,81; 2♂, 8,4,81; 1♂, 9,4,81; 4♂ 3♀, 16,4,81.
A summer visitor; commences breeding immediately after arrival, and goes away again in August. I most carefully looked for this Sparrow on first entering the country, being anxious to note the differences in comparison with the Indian bird; but from the date of my arrival at Quetta on the 26th August until the 6th April following, when I got the first bird, I never saw one, the only Sparrow I met with between those dates being *P. montanus*, which is the common House-Sparrow of South Afghanistan. I never saw a Domestic Sparrow in the city of Kandahar; but when we left they had only lately arrived, and were still in large flocks in the fields and had not commenced pairing. When I returned to Quetta in May there were several pairs nesting in the verandah of my house in company with *P. montanus*. But *P. domesticus*, in South Afghanistan at all events, although it builds in the same roof with *P. montanus*, does not associate with the latter. It always keeps out of the way of the Tree-Sparrow, who never loses an opportunity of attacking it, whereas with the Willow-Sparrow it is quite friendly, and when feeding they are often found in company. In the flocks the Tree-Sparrows were always by themselves, I never observed a Domestic Sparrow feeding in their company, nor a Tree-Sparrow in a flock of Domestic Sparrows, whereas, if you fired into a flock of the latter when feeding in the fields, you always found a number of Willow-Sparrows with them. I once saw an Afghan boy
catch a flock of Sparrows in a clap-net, and brought the whole lot home; on examination I found 162 Domestic and 64 Willow-Sparrows, but not a single Tree-Sparrow, although the birds were caught in a field close by an old building full of Tree-Sparrows.

Kandahar, 1♀, 6,4,81; 4♂, 5♀, 16,4,81.
A summer visitor. Arrived in large flocks with *P. domesticus* in the early part of April. The testes of the several males I examined were not, however, nearly so well developed as those of *P. domesticus*.

116. *Passer montanus* (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 18,1,81; 1♀, 2,2,81.
Common everywhere. The common House-Sparrow of the country.

117. *Petronia stulta* (Gmel.).
Kandahar, 1 not sexed, 1♀, 12,80; 1♀, 13,1,81; 1♀, 22,1,81; 1♀, 15,2,81.
Evidently a winter visitor. Not observed after the end of February.

118. *Emberiza leucocephala*, S. G. Gm.
Kandahar, 2♂, 2,1,81; ♀, 29,1,81.
Also one shot at Quetta by Dr. Duke in February. A winter visitor.

Kandahar, 1♂, 8,4,81; Gatai, 1♂, 28,4,81; Kojuk, 1♂, 29,4,81.
Arrived in the first week in April. Numbers were found resting on the city walls at Kandahar on the 8th April; and great numbers were to be seen feeding on the road all the way to the Kojuk.

120. *Emberiza Stewarti*, Blyth.
Chaman, 1♂, 27,4,81.
First and only specimen obtained at Chaman as above; had not arrived when we left Kandahar.
121. Emberiza schœnicola, Linn.
   Kandahar, 1 ♀, 8,2,81.
   A winter visitor.

122. Euspiza melanocephala (Scop.).
   Melkarez, 1 ♀, 21,4,81.
   Also one male, marked Quetta, one female, marked Nal, shot by Dr. Duke; date not given.

123. Euspiza luteola (Sparrm.).
   Mundi Hissar, 1 ♂, 22,4,81; Abdul Rahum, 1 ♀, 23,4,81; Chaman, 1 ♂, 28,4,81; Kush die Khan, 1 ♂, 2,5,81.
   Numbers found feeding in the wood in the early morning, and perched during the day on the mud walls of the forts at the halting-posts; had evidently only lately arrived.

124. Bucanetes githaginea (Licht.).
   Kandahar, 1 ♀, 3,2,81.
   The only specimen obtained.

125. Erythrospiza obsoleta (Licht.).
   Kandahar, 1 ♂, 7,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 23,1,81; Do., 1 ♂, 2,2,81; Do., 1 ♂, 30,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 31,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 1,4,81.
   Common in the gardens in the neighbourhood of Kandahar throughout the year. I tried to bring home two males and a female alive; but the great heat in the Bolan in the month of May killed them. Not observed later than 1st April. Seen nowhere east of Kandahar.

126. Carpodacus erythrinus (Pall.).
   Kandahar, 2 ♂, 1 ♀, 9,4,81; Do., 1 ♂, 12,4,81; Do., 1 ♂, 14,4,81; Syed Yarroo, 1 ♀, 3,5,81.
   I saw two of these birds at Quetta at the latter end of August, in the garden of my house. First flock was seen in a garden near Kandahar on the 9th April. The last bird noted above was caught alive in a most extraordinary manner by one of my native escort, who crept up to and caught it in his naked hand while it was feeding in some long grass where we were resting on our march to Syed Yarroo.
127. Carduelis caniceps, Vig.
Kandahar, 1♂, 1,1,81.
Observed at Quetta, and at Chaman also, though I never got near enough to shoot any. The above bird I procured alive. A very favourite cage-bird with the Afghans. Sings well, a far superior note to that of C. elegans. I succeeded in bringing three male birds alive to India.

128. Metoponia pusilla (Pall.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 10,4,81.
This was also a caged bird; it must have been fresh caught, its ovary being well developed. I was informed by the bird-catchers that it arrives in flocks in the middle of April and leaves again in the middle of September.

129. Fringilla montifringilla, Linn.
Col. St. John informed me he had obtained this bird in the Kandahar district towards the Helmund.

130. Ammomanes deserti (Licht.).
Dubrai, 1♀, 25,4,81; Melkarez, 1♀, 24,4,81; Quetta, 1♂, 19,5,81; Pirchowky, 1♂, 19,5,81; Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 5,1,81.
Common everywhere in suitable localities. When shooting Sesse Partridges on the hills near the Moorcha Pass in the early morning, I heard a peculiar low but shrill call from thousands of birds on a low hill on the other side, being a note I never heard before. I got down the hill and went over to see what birds they were, and got a shot into a flock of these Larks as they went away.

131. Calandrella brachydactyla (Leisl.).
Kandahar, 1 not sexed, 1♂, 1♀, 7,3,81; Do., 1♀, 9,3,81; Do., 1♀, 12,3,81; Do., 1♂, 30,3,81.
Passed through in enormous flocks in the month of March, but did not remain. The Afghans, who are very clever bird-catchers, caught them in large numbers in nets, and used to hawk them for food in the city of Kandahar.

132. Melanocorypha bimaculata (Ménétr.).
Kandahar, 2♂, 6,2,81; Do., 1♂, 8,2,81.
Common about Kandahar during winter. A very favourite cage-bird with the Afghans. I succeeded in bringing two male birds to India. They sing very continuously; but the note is harsh and not to be compared with that of *Alauda arvensis* or *A. gulgula*. Dr. Duke shot two of these birds at Khelat in March. I was told by the bird-catchers that they were only winter visitors, arriving in October and leaving at the latter end of March.

Kandahar, 1 ♂, 1 ♀, 25,1,81.
A winter visitor.

134. *Alauda arvensis*, Linn.
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 2,2,81; Do., 1 ♂, 8,2,81.
I am inclined to believe it is only a bird of passage at Kandahar. I have no note of having seen one earlier than February; and certainly later on, when Larks commenced singing, it was not in the country at all. Many times, seeing what I believed was an *A. arvensis* singing, I have shot it, and it turned out to be *A. gulgula*; and I also note that Mr. Murray did not meet with this bird during the three months, March, April, and May, spent between Sibi and Chaman.

Kandahar, 1 ♂, 20,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 30,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 31,3,81; Dubrai, 1 ♀, 26,4,81; Quetta, 1 ♂, 10,5,81.
A summer visitor; the first I observed was singing in the air on the 20th March, and I shot him while descending; all examined had the testes and ovaries well developed. I heard and saw this Lark singing at every stage in our journey to India in April and May until we entered the mountain-pass of the Bolan at Durwaza.

136. *Galerita cristata* (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ♀, 15,12,80; Do., 1 ♂, 1,2,81; Do., 1 ♂, 2,2,81; Do. 1 ♂, 2 ♀, 21,2,81; Do., 1 ♀, 6,4,81; Melkarez, 2 ♂, 24,4,81; Killa Abdula, 1 ♀, 30,4,81.
The common Lark of the country. Common everywhere all the year round.
137. Certhilauda desertorum, Stanl.
Obtained by Col. St. John in the neighbourhood of Kandahar.

Kojuk, 1♀, 29,4,81; Quetta, 1♂, 6,5,81.
Common at Kandahar, the Kojuk, and at Quetta.

139. Columba intermedia, Strickl.
Kandahar, 1♀, 30,12,80; Do., 1♂, 20,3,81.

140. Columba livia, Bp.
Kandahar, 1♀, 4,1,81.
In enormous flocks everywhere throughout the winter. Always to be found together, and breeding together in the summer in the holes of old walls in the cities and in the different villages, also in wells and in the rocks of all the hillsides throughout the country. Commence nesting early in March. I have fired into flocks, and shot them in pairs, and usually got as many with white rumps as without; even with pairs I have got one blue rump and one white; and frequently the white is so limited as to be hardly distinguishable. They were such good food, and we were generally so badly off for a change of food, that I find I have brought home only three skins; but from the frequent examinations I have made of numbers of these birds of both kinds, and from my observations of their habits, I am inclined to believe they are one and the same species, breeding together; and I think it is worthy of consideration whether all these Pigeons found in such enormous numbers in South Afghanistan are not all feral.

141. Turtur auritus, Gray.
Quetta, 1♀, 7,5,81.
Said to be a summer visitor, but is a rare bird in these parts.

142. Turtur cambayensis (Gmel.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 19,3,81.
Common throughout the year. Commences breeding in the latter end of February; I took two eggs on the 22nd;
and I caught one half-fledged young one on the 20th March.
Is very common in the city of Kandahar, and makes its nest
in the holes in mud walls.

143. **Turtur risorius** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 15,12,80; Do., 1♀, 14,4,81; Gungazai,
2♂, 15,81.
This Dove is also common throughout the year; I never,
however, found it in the city of Kandahar; but it was com-
mon enough in the adjacent gardens. They were building in
the trees in Col. St. John's new garden when we came away
in April.

144. **Pterocles arenarius**, Pall.
Kandahar, 1♀, 2,1,81; Do., 1♂, 19,1,81; Do., 1♂,
20,1,81.
Common in the plains on the west of the Khojak through-
out the year. Commenced pairing early in March. The
bird-catchers said their eggs could be got about the middle
of April; but I failed to get any up to the date of our departure.

145. **Pterocles alchata** (Linn.).
I knocked five out of a passing flock on the plains about
half a mile in front of the Eadgar Gate of the city of Kan-
dahar. Two or three days before Christmas several flocks
were seen here for about a week or ten days. I met with no
others during my stay in the country.

146. **Pterocles coronatus**, Licht.
Maiwand, -,2,81.
I found this bird in the collection of Captain Cuthill, of
the 13th Hussars, among some pretty-plumaged birds he had
collected at Maiwand in the month of February; and he
kindly gave it to me. He said it was shot out of a large
flock at Maiwand. I never met with it in any part of the
country; but Col. St. John informed me that in some winters
it was quite common to the west of Kandahar; it does not,
however, as I understand, remain to breed.

147. **Pterocles senegallus** (Linn.).
Pirchowky, 1♀, 19,5,81.
I never met with this bird above the Bolan Pass. It is common at Pirchowky, as it is in all that part of the country below the range of mountains.

148. Francolinus vulgaris, Steph.
A few to be found in the gardens in the neighbourhood of Kandahar. I shot five one day over an Irish setter bitch. I once heard one crowing at Kokeran, on the river Argundab. They are, I believe, not to be found anywhere between Sibi and Kandahar.

149. Caccabis chukar, J. E. Gray.
Common on the Amran range. Never met with on the hills near Kandahar; but there were plenty of caged birds in the city; and I imagine this bird will be found common on the mountain-ranges throughout the country. Dr. Duke told me he had shot them in Khelat, in North Beloochistan.

150. Ammoperdix bonhami, G. R. Gray.
Kandahar, 1 ?, 5,1,81.
Common in the Bolan, on the Kojak, and on the hills near Kandahar.

151. Ortygornis pondicerianus (Gm.).
I observed this bird at Pirchowky, outside the pass; but it is not to be found in or beyond the Bolan.

152. Coturnix communis, Bonn.
I saw one Quail in my garden at Quetta early in September; and I heard of another being seen a few days afterwards. At Kandahar Quails arrived about the middle of March. The bird-catchers brought in many cages full for about a week. I imagine they merely pass through.

153. Otis tetrax (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ?, −1,11,80.
The only specimen procured. No other specimen of this Bustard was shot during our stay in the country.

154. Otis macqueeni, J. E. Gray.
Abdul Rahmun, 1 ?, 12,2,81.
One also shot by Captain Cuthill at Maiwand in February.
Observed occasionally at different places west of Quetta during the winter, but is a rare bird in these parts.

155. *Glareola pratincola* (Linn.).
Bibi Nani, 1♂, 18,5,81.
The only specimen procured.

156. *Aegialitis cantiana* (Lath.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 9,2,81; Do., 1♂, 27,2,81.
Common in winter.

157. *Aegialitis curonica* (Gmel.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 27,2,81; Chaman, 1♀, 7,4,81; Quetta, 1♂, 10,5,81.
Common everywhere during winter. Found in company with *Ae. cantiana*.

158. *Vanellus cristatus*, Meyer.
Kandahar, 1♂, 1♀, 24,11,80; Do., 1♂, 1♀, 26,12,80; Do., 1♂, 11,2,81.
Common throughout the country all the winter. Only a winter visitor.

159. *Chettusia leucura* (Licht.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 19,12,80; Do., 1♀, 15,3,81; Do., 1♂, 28,3,81; Do., 1♀, 31,3,81.
Very common all the winter.

160. *Lobivanellus indicus* (Bodd.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 21,2,81; Do., 1♂, 1♀, 25,2,81; Do., 1♂, 15,3,81; Pirchowky, 1♂, 19,5,81.
Common throughout the Bolan and all through the country to Kandahar.

161. *Scolopax rusticula*, Linn.
A winter visitor. The first I noted was shot by Lieut. Francis near Kandahar early in November; but I have lost the note of the date. Woodcocks did not, however, really come in until the cold weather had fairly set in, about Christmas time; and there were never many. I think the largest number shot in any one day was five. I don't recollect any being
seen after the 1st February. One or two, I was informed, are also occasionally found in the orchards in the Pisheen.

162. Gallinago solitaria, Hodgs.
One shot by Dr. Duke at Khelat in December.

163. Gallinago gallinaria (Gm.).
Common in suitable places everywhere throughout the winter.

164. Gallinago gallinula (Linn.).
Came in with the very cold weather about Christmas, and were fairly plentiful all through January, after which they disappeared again.

165. Limosa ægocephala (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1 ♂, 2,3,81.
Shot out of a number on the march near Kandahar on the 2nd March. Appeared to be passing through the country. Ovary fairly developed.

166. Machetes pugnax (Linn.).
Kandahar, 2 ♂, 4,3,81; Do., 1 ♂, 9,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 11,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 15,3,81; Do., 1 ♀, 22,3,81.
Arrived in large flocks in the beginning of March, passing through; remained about three weeks. Testes and ovary of the eleven specimens I examined all small and contracted.

167. Tringa minuta, Leisl.
Kandahar, 1 ♀, 5,4,81; Chaman, 1 ♂, 7,4,81.
A summer visitor. I saw several at Kandahar just before we left; and I again saw it at Gungazai, Syed Yaroo, and Kushdil Khan in the beginning of May. The ovary of the female above noted was full of eggs just beginning to develop. Against the male I have no note.

168. Totanus glareola (Linn.).
Gungazai, 1 ♀, 1,5,81; Kushdil Khan, 1 ♂, 2,5,81; Quetta, 1 ♂, 2,5,81.
I obtained no specimens of this bird in the Kandahar district; but I imagine it had not arrived when we left. There were plenty of them on the streams in the Pisheen; and all
the above specimens proved on dissection to be in breeding-condition.

169. *Totanus ochropus* (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 30,12,80; Do., 1♀, 6,1,81; Do., 1♂, 1,2,81; Do., 1♂, 9,2,81; Kushdi Khan, 1♀, 2,5,81.
Common everywhere throughout the winter.

170. *Totanus hypoleucus* (Linn.).
Quetta, 1♀, 7,5,81.
I did not procure any specimen of this bird in the neighbourhood of Kandahar; it had probably not come in when we left. It is, however, I understand, a summer visitor, and is common everywhere throughout the summer.

171. *Totanus glottis* (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 6,1,81; Do., 2♀, 8,2,81.
A winter visitor. Fairly common on the banks of the Argendab river throughout the winter. Appeared to leave the country early in March.

172. *Totanus calidris* (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 9,1,81; Do., 1♀, 11,1,81; Do., 1♀, 24,1,81; Do., 1♂, 1♀, 9,2,81; Do., 1♂, 30,3,81.
Also a winter visitor. Common throughout the winter on the banks of the Argendab river.

173. *Himantopus candidus*, Bonn.
Kandahar, 1♂, 27,3,81; Do., 1♂, 5,4,81.
Arrived in some numbers in the middle of March.

174. *Porphyrio poliocephalus* (Lath.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 13,3,81.
Besides the above I only met with two during my stay in the country—one bought at Quetta alive on the 30th August, and a tame one that lived in the transport square at Kandahar throughout the winter.

175. *Fulica atra*, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♀, 17,2,81; Do., 1♀, 22,2,81; Do., 2♀, 23,2,81; Do., 1♀, 25,2,81; Do., 1♂, 26,2,81.
Also shot at Quetta by Dr. Duke in November. These
Coots arrived at Kandahar in enormous numbers in February, but only remained about a month. None of the many specimens examined showed any signs of breeding.

176. Porzana baillonii (Vieill.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 10,2,81; Do., 1♀, 17,2,81.
The only two specimens procured.

177. Porzana parva (Scop.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 7,2,81; Do., 1♀, 17,2,81; Do., 1♂, 18,2,81.
Rails were rather common in the wheat-fields on the borders of the big Kandahar marsh in the month of July. I did not meet with any during any other month.

178. Ardea cinerea, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♂, 12,12,80; Do., 1♀, 17,12,80; Do., 1♂, 2,1,81; Do., 1♂, 26,2,81.
One also shot by Dr. Duke near Quetta in November. A winter visitor. Quite common in the Kandahar district throughout the winter.

179. Ardea alba, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♂, 24,2,81.
Seen occasionally throughout the winter in the Kandahar district; left us about the end of March.

180. Botaurus stellaris (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 18,3,81.
I believe, merely a bird of passage; two or three were seen between the middle of February and the middle of March.

181. Falcinellus igneus, S. G. Gm.
Kushdil Khan, 1♂, 2,5,81.
One also shot by Dr. Duke at Quetta, date not noted.

182. Anser, sp.
I noticed two (and, I believe, three) different species of Geese on the Argendab river during the winter; but neither I nor my shikaree ever succeeded in getting near enough to secure a specimen. I heard of one being shot by an officer near Kandahar, and rode over at once to try and secure it, but,
to my sorrow, found the bird plucked, and was unable to identify it.

183. **Casarca rutila** (Pall.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 25,2,81; Do., 1♂, 1,3,81.
A winter visitor.

184. **Spatula clypeata** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 15,2,81; Do., 1♀, 27,2,81; Do., 1♂, 21,3,81.
Also shot by Dr. Duke at Khelat in December. Very common at Kandahar during February and March, when it left us.

185. **Anas boschas**, Linn.
Common in January and February about Kandahar, but began to leave in the beginning of March, had all gone about the middle of that month.

186. **Anas strepera**, Linn.
Kandahar, 1♂, 14,2,81; Do., 1♂, 18,2,81; Do., 1♀, 20,2,81; Do., 1♂, 20,3,81.
In great quantities throughout the months of January, February, and March; left about the end of that month.

Kandahar, 1♀, 7,3,81.
The only specimen obtained.

188. **Dafila acuta** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♀, 18,2,81; Do., 1♂, 20,2,81.
Common about Kandahar during February, left us altogether about the middle of March.

189. **Mareca penelope** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 14,1,81; Do., 1♂, 1♀, 20,2,81.
A few occasionally shot in January and February, not noticed earlier or later; was scarce.

190. **Querquedula crecca** (Linn.).
Kandahar, 2♂, 17,12,80; Do., 1♀, 23,12,80; Do., 1♀, 25,12,80.
Common everywhere between Sibi and Kandahar.
understand this Teal remains in certain parts of the country to breed. I flushed two or three pairs at Syed Yarroo and Gungazai from the reeds in the watercourses in the beginning of May, and again in the Bolan as far down as Kirta on the 9th May.

191. Querquedula circia (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 28,3,81.
The only specimen obtained.

192. Fuligula rufina (Pall.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 28,3,81.
I know of five that were shot at Kandahar during March. Not noted in any other month.

193. Fuligula ferina (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 9,3,81; Do., 1♀, 11,3,81.
Not common. A few were shot during this month only.

194. Fuligula nyroca, Gould.
Kandahar, 1♂, 14,2,81; Do., 1♂, 17,2,81; Do., 1♂, 19,2,81; Mach, 1♀, 16,5,81.
Next to the Gadwall, the commonest Duck in the country. Arrived beginning of February; and some appear to remain to breed in the country. I flushed many pairs of White-eyed Pochards out of reeds and tamarisk on the banks of the watercourses in the Pisheen and right down the Bolan as far as Kirta in May.

195. Fuligula cristata (Linn.).
Kandahar, 1♂, 19,2,81; Kokeran, 2♂, 1♀, 5,3,81.
Also very common. The above remarks also apply to this bird.

196. Mergellus albellus (Linn.).
Kokeran, 2♀, 30,1,81; Kandahar, 1♀, 2,3,81.
The only three specimens obtained—two on the Argendab river near Kokeran, shot by Lieut. Orr, and one on the Kandahar marsh by Lieut. Noble.

197. Podiceps fluviatilis, Tuust.
Kandahar, 1♂, 4,3,81; Do., 2♀, 8,3,81.
Arrived in the beginning of March; there were a good
many for about a fortnight. I think they were only on passage.

198. *Larus ridibundus*, Linn.
   Kandahar, 1♂, 30,12,80; Argendab river, 2♀, 13,2,81;
   Kandahar, 1♂, 21,2,81; Do., 1♂, 26,2,81; Do., 1♀, 11,3,81;
   Do., 1♀, 17,3,81.

Commenced arriving about Christmas, were in large packs on the Kandahar marsh up to date of our departure.

199. *Phalacrocorax carbo* (Linn.).
   Argendab river, 1♀ immature, 14,3,81; Do., 1♀ adult, 16,3,81.

The only two observed.

IX.—*Notes on the Raptorial Birds collected in New Britain by Lieut. G. E. Richards, R.N.* By J. H. Gurney.

(Plate II.)

Canon Tristram having submitted to my examination the series of raptorial birds collected by Lieut. G. E. Richards in New Britain, I have great pleasure in offering the subjoined notes on them.


I have followed Dr. Sclater (*vide* P. Z. S. 1877, p. 109, and 1879, p. 447) in supposing the New-Britain Hawk, which closely resembles *U. etorques* of New Guinea, to be identical with that species; but never having had an opportunity of examining an adult New-Guinea specimen, I feel by no means certain that such is the case.

In the present collection there are three adult specimens, all shot at Blanche Bay in the month of June, and all ticketed by Lieut. Richards as follows:—"Female: iris brown; feet orange; bill black, yellow at base."

Dr. Sclater describes the specimen (presumably the adult) from the New-Britain group which he examined, and recorded in the P. Z. S. for 1877, as having the tail-feathers "nearly uniform plumbeous, with but very faint indications of any
cross markings.” This description applies in a great measure to the three specimens obtained by Lieut. Richards. In these the cross bars are absent from the central pair of rectrices, and in two birds out of the three from the external pair also; on the remaining rectrices they are perceptible, though not strongly marked and for the most part confined to the inner webs.

Count T. Salvadori, in the article on _U. etorques_ contained in his work ‘Ornitologia della Papuasia e delle Molucche,’ vol. i. pp. 49–54, refers to the absence of transverse bands on the “lower parts” as a character distinguishing _U. etorques_ from most specimens of _U. griseigularis_; but two of Capt. Richards’s specimens show distinctly perceptible alternate transverse bars of two shades of vinous brown, a darker and a paler, on the flanks, breast, abdomen, tibiae, and under tail-coverts; in the third specimen these bars are much more indistinct, being barely perceptible, which is also the case in an adult New-Britain male preserved in the British Museum.

Count Salvadori speaks of the vinous colour of the underparts being more intense in _U. etorques_ than in _U. griseigularis_; but the New-Britain specimens I have examined hardly differ at all from _U. griseigularis_ in this respect. He also describes examples of _U. etorques_ as exhibiting traces of cinereous transverse markings on the throat; but none of Lieut. Richards’s three females from Blanche Bay now before me, exhibit this peculiarity, the throat in each of them being a whole-coloured but rather pale vinous.

The only other point in which the New-Britain birds appear to differ from New-Guinea examples of _U. etorques_ is a remarkable one, viz. the colour of the iris. All Capt. Richards’s three adult females are marked as having the “iris brown,” whereas, according to D’Albertis’s notes, quoted by Salvadori, an adult male from Sorong had the eyes “yellow,” another adult male from Naiabui had them a “lively yellow,” while two females, from Sorong and Arfak respectively, also had the irides “yellow.”

I have made the following notes of the measurements of the New-Britain specimens which I have examined:—
2. Accipiter rubricollis, Wall.

One adult specimen, thus labelled by Lieut. Richards:—
"Female: iris scarlet; legs and feet yellow; bill black. New Britain, August 15th, 1879."

This fine Hawk has not, so far as I know, been previously met with in the New-Britain group; and its occurrence there is very curious, the localities quoted for it on p. 69 of Count Salvadori's work already referred to being the islands of Buru and Ceram only.

Lieut. Richards's specimen agrees with a female obtained by Mr. Wallace in Ceram, which is preserved in the Norwich Museum, except that the latter has a slight vinous tint on the upper breast, whilst the New-Britain specimen has this part pale grey, the throat being greyish white; the transverse barring on the primaries is also rather less indistinct in the New-Britain specimen than in that from Ceram.

The following are comparative measurements of these two individuals:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂. Ceram</td>
<td>8:40</td>
<td>2:50</td>
<td>1:70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂. New Britain</td>
<td>8:25</td>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>1:60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Henicopernis infuscata, sp. nov.

Lieut. Richards has thus ticketed this specimen:—"Male: iris bright orange; bill and feet greenish ash. Blanche Bay, New Britain, 9th July, 1879." It closely agrees with an example sent from New Britain by the Rev. G. Brown, and now preserved in the British Museum, which was recorded by Dr. Sclater in the P.Z.S. for 1879, p. 450, in the following terms:—"Mr. Gurney, who has kindly examined this specimen, states that although Mr. Sharpe agrees with him in believing it to be H. longicauda in immature dress, they have
Neither of them seen an example previously in similar plumage."

Both specimens are of nearly the same dimensions, and also agree generally in size with the male of *H. longicauda*, but differ from that species materially in their coloration, which is very much darker, and in the absence of the major portion of the markings which are conspicuous in *H. longicauda*. This peculiarity of plumage occurring in the only two specimens from New Britain which we have seen, and in none that have come under our notice from any other locality, now induces Mr. Sharpe and myself to believe that the two specimens of *Henicopernis* sent from New Britain belong to an undescribed species, and are not, as we at first supposed, referable to *H. longicauda* in its immature dress—a view which is confirmed by the circumstance of Captain Richards having noted the colour of the iris in his specimen as "bright orange," that being a hue indicative of adult age rather than of immaturity.

Before describing the peculiarities of the plumage of the New-Britain bird, for which, from its generally dark tints, I would propose the specific name of "*infuscata,*" it may be convenient to give some comparative measurements of the two New-Britain specimens and of three of *H. longicauda*:

**H. infuscata.**

| Specimen from New Britain, in British Museum, marked ♀ by Mr. Brown, but, I think, probably a ♂ | 14·20 | 1·70 | 1·50 |
| Specimen from New Britain, marked ♂ by Lieut. Richards | 14·00 | 1·75 | 1·40 |

**H. longicauda.**

| Specimen from Waigioiu, in British Museum, marked ♂ by Mr. Wallace | 14·50 | 1·75 | 1·35 |
| Specimen from Mysol, in Norwich Museum, marked ♂ by Mr. Wallace | 14·20 | 1·60 | 1·30 |
| Specimen from Dorey, in Norwich Museum, marked ♀ by Mr. Wallace | 17·30 | 2·00 | 1·40 |

SER. IV.—VOL. VI.
I have carefully compared the two specimens of *Henicopernis* from New Britain with the male from Waigiou of *H. longicauda* which is in the British Museum; and a note of the differences between the two species may serve as a description of *H. infuscata*. In both the New-Britain specimens the feathers on the crown of the head, occiput, and nape are broader than in the Waigiou bird. The development of the nuchal feathers is greatest in Lieut. Richards's New-Britain specimen. In this about six feathers apparently form a nuchal crest, of which the central are an inch and a half in length and an inch in breadth, and the others nearly as large. In both the New-Britain specimens the markings on the feathers of the crown of the head, occiput, and nape are different from those on the corresponding feathers of *H. longicauda*—the white portion of these feathers, instead of forming an edging as in *H. longicauda*, being limited to the base of the feather and to a white bar crossing the feather, sometimes entirely and in other cases imperfectly, rather more than halfway from its root; but in the feathers composing the nuchal crest this white bar does not exist. In *H. longicauda* the scapulars, interscapulars, and wing-coverts are crossed by alternate bands of light and dark brown; but in *H. infuscata* all these parts, except the lower scapulars, are a whole-coloured dark brown, corresponding in tint with the dark bars on the mantle of *H. longicauda*. In *H. infuscata* the lower scapulars are dark brown, with the base and two narrow transverse bars (the latter imperfect in the centre) whitish; in *H. longicauda* these feathers show a white base, with eight alternate transverse bars, four of dark and four of light brown, one of the latter forming the tip of the feather and being narrower than the other seven bars, which are of equal dimensions. Both specimens of *H. infuscata* have three imperfect white transverse bars on the tertials, and two whitish-brown perfect ones on the primaries; the secondaries have two such bars in one specimen, and three in the other. The effect of these markings is to produce two conspicuous brownish-white bars across the closed wing, those portions of all the remiges which are not crossed by pale bars being dark
brown. In *H. longicauda* the arrangement of the bars is similar, but the light ones are broader and the dark narrower than in *H. infuscata*. In the New-Britain birds the feathers of the upper tail-coverts are dark brown, with the exception of a white base and a pair of white spots, one on each web; in *H. longicauda* these feathers have a white base, beyond which are alternate transverse bands, three dark and three light, with one of the latter forming the tip. In both specimens of *H. infuscata* the tail shows four dark-brown bars, with a light base and three transverse light bars; in the two males of *H. longicauda* which I have examined, the number of transverse dark bars is five; and in the female specimen at the Norwich Museum it is six.

In *H. longicauda* the sides of the head, the throat, upper and lower breast, abdomen, flanks, and under wing-coverts are all of a pale luteous, with narrow dark shaft-marks to each feather; in *H. infuscata* all these feathers are blackish brown, but with pale edgings, which incline to a luteous tint on the breast and abdomen, where they are broader than elsewhere. The under wing-coverts, axillaries, thighs, and crissum are also decidedly darker in *H. infuscata* than in *H. longicauda*; and such is eminently the general complexion of the New-Britain bird, for which the specific name of *infuscata* appears, as I have already indicated, to be very appropriate.

4. *Hypotriorchis lunulatus* (Lath.).

Adult, ticketed "Male: iris brown; feet yellow; bill ash. Blanche Bay, New Britain; 17th June, 1879." This species has been recorded by Dr. Sclater from the "Duke-of-York group," in the P. Z. S. for 1879, p. 447.


Two males and a female, all ticketed "Iris yellow; feet drab; bill ash. New Britain; July 1879."

As the type specimen from which this species was described in the P. Z. S. for 1877, p. 108, had the wings imperfect, it may be well to add the following measurements, taken from Lieut. Richards's three specimens:
6. *Strix aurantiaca*. (Plate II.)


The specimen sent of this curious Owl is thus ticketed by Lieut. Richards:—“Male: iris brown; feet blackish; bill ash. Blanche Bay, New Britain.” Its principal measurements are:—Wing 8·85 inches, tail 3·90, tarsus 2·45, middle toe s. u. 1·45, claw of middle toe 0·9, claw of inner toe 0·95, culmen without the cere 1·05. Count Salvadori, who has recently described this species, writes:—“Fœm. mari simil-lima, sed valde major;” he also gives particulars of its markings and coloration, which will be further illustrated by the accompanying figure of Lieut. Richards’s specimen.

Whether this beautiful species has been rightly referred to the genus *Strix*, cannot be decided with certainty until an opportunity occurs for examining its osteological characters; but even if these agree with those of *Strix*, it must still, I think, be considered an aberrant form of that genus, and probably entitled to subgeneric distinction.

The position of the eyes is near to the upper edge of the disk, as in *Phodilus*; the bill, feet, and claws are powerful, and the latter much more developed in proportion to the size of the bird than in either *Strix*, *Phodilus*, or *Heliodilus*; the tarsi are remarkable, being feathered all over at the back, whilst the front is bare for an inch above the foot, except some sparsely scattered hairs like those on the toes.

The wing resembles that of *Heliodilus* in its general shortness, and that of *Phodilus* in the fact of the first primary being the shortest. The order of the primaries in the New-Britain bird is:—the first is shortest, then the sixth, next the second and fifth nearly equal, lastly the third and fourth nearly equal, but the fourth a little the larger and the longest of the series.
I have lately received a very interesting collection of birds made by Lieut. Richards, R.N., when surveying last year in the Solomon Archipelago. The collection contains examples of 35 species, most of them collected in the hitherto unexplored islands of Rendova, or Hammond Island, and Ugi. On first examination of the skins I pronounced twelve of them to belong to new species. But I soon afterwards received from Mr. E. P. Ramsay a separate copy of his paper in the Journal of the Linnean Society of New South Wales for February last, in which that gentleman has described five of these species*, collected, I believe, by the Rev. G. Brown; and in a paper read at a Meeting of the Linnean Society (of London) on the 3rd of November last, Mr. Ramsay has described from the same source two more of these species†. Of the twelve species, therefore, which have now reached England for the first time, there remain five to be described.

I now proceed to give the complete list of the collection, which adds much to our knowledge of the avifauna of these almost virgin islands.

1. Baza reinwardti, Müll.

Two adult specimens in the collection are thus determined by Mr. Gurney. Mr. E. P. Ramsay, however, in his paper read before the Linnean Society, discriminates the Solomon-Island bird as a distinct species, to which he gives the name of Baza gurneyi.

Hab. Russell Island, Solomons.

2. Urospizias albigularis, G. R. Gr.

One immature male specimen taken at Makira Harbour, San Christoval. The adult is figured in Brenchley's 'Voyage of the Curaçoa.'

This is the same species as my Astur sp.? (Ibis, 1879, p. 437).

[* See below, p. 172.—Edd.]
3. **Caprimulgus nobilis**, sp. nov. (Plate III.)

*C. atro-fuscus*, nigro minute vermiculatus; capite et occipite striis nigris elongatis insignibus, gula cinnamomeo maculata; fascia gulari alba interrupta; pectore fusco lineis nigris striato; humeris et tectricibus alaribus brunneo-umbrinis, quaque pluma insigni annulo albicante terminata; alarum primariis nigris, tertia maculam albam, quarta vittam interruptam in secunda vix videndam ostendente; secundariis nigris rufo maculatis; tectricibus subalaribus et abdomine castaneis, brunneo transversim striatis; cauda nigra, fasciis latis fuscis vermiculatis interrupta; rectricibus duabus externis castaneo fasciatis, eodemque modo omnibus rectricibus subitus rufo fasciatis.

Long tot. 12, alæ 8.75, caudae 6.2.

_Hab._ Rendova Island, Solomons, 18th August, 1880.

This splendid Goatsucker comes nearest to *C. macrurus*, from which, however, it may be at once distinguished by its very much larger size and its rich dark coloration. The annular spotting of the shoulders is very conspicuous and beautiful. Unfortunately only a single specimen (female) is contained in the collection.


_Hab._ Rendova and San Christoval islands.

There is a young bird in an interesting stage of plumage. It is full-grown; but the forehead and scapulars are dappled with chestnut, the feathers of the white wing-patch tipped with rufous, the throat and breast rufous with white terminal patches on each feather, and the abdomen white faintly barred with rufous. The black rectrices are narrowly tipped with rufous.

5. **Halcyon albicilla**, Cuv.

_Hab._ Rendova Island.

6. **Halcyon sancta**, Vig. & Horsf.

_Hab._ Rendova and Ugi islands.

7. **Alcyone richardsi**, sp. nov. (Plate IV.)

_A. rostro nigro, capite caeruleo, fronte nigricantiore; macula inter rostrum et oculos et macula postoculari albis; dorso et cauda ultramarinis; secundariis superioribus caeruleo lavatis; remigibus nigris; gula et thorace albis; torque*
ALCYONE RICHARDSI.
from the Solomon Islands.

pectorali et lateribus ultramarinis; abdomine albo, crisso caeruleo, pedibus nigris. Long. tot. 4'9, alæ 2'15, caudæ 1'2, rostri a rictu 1'45.

Hab. Rendova Island, Solomons.

This species may be at once distinguished from A. pusilla by its smaller size, much larger bill, the richer and lighter hue of its coloration, and the broad pectoral band. In size and proportions it closely resembles A. beryllina, but is indigo instead of sky-blue.

8. CINNYRIS FRENATA, Mull.
Hab. Ugi Island.

9. MYZOMELA PULCHERRIMA, Ramsay.
Hab. Ugi Island.

This fine and distinct species may be distinguished from all others, and especially from its nearest congener, M. nigripennis, by the scarlet of the breast descending to the lower flanks and abdomen.

10. ZOSTEROPS RENDOVÆ, sp. nov.
This bird has been already described by Mr. Ramsay (Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. Feb. 1881) as Tephras olivaceus, sp. nov. Whether the characteristics of the genus Tephras, as laid down by Hartlaub, are sufficient to separate it from Zosterops may be open to doubt; but this bird agrees with Zosterops and not with Tephras in the chief point of distinction, having a square and not a rounded tail, while the absence of the white ring feathers and the shape of the bill are common to many species of Zosterops. There being already a Z. olivacea, I have felt it necessary to substitute another name for this very interesting species, which is of a rich uniform olive-colour above on the back and flanks, with olive-brown cheeks, and lemon-yellow underparts and lower tail-coverts.
Hab. Rendova Island, Solomons.

11. POMAREA CASTANEIVENTRIS (Vett.).
The habitat of this bird, previously known only by the type specimen in the British Museum, without locality,
remained unascertained until a single specimen was sent home last year by Lieut. Richards. The present collection contains several specimens, one of them a female, now in the British Museum. The general colour of the female is dull, not glossy, black, as in the male, and the chestnut of the underparts is not so bright.

_Hab._ San Christoval.

12. _Pomarea ugiensis_, Ramsay.


_Hab._ Ugi Island.

This fine species, apparently the representative of the genus in Ugi, as _P. castaneiventris_ is in the neighbouring island of San Christoval, is the largest of the group, and of a uniform glossy resplendent black. There is no distinction between the sexes in plumage, a striking contrast to the extraordinary difference of the sexes in the third species of the genus, _P. nigra_, of the Society and Marquesas Islands.

13. _Pomarea richardi_.


_Hab._ Rendova Island.

This brilliant bird is certainly a typical _Pomarea_, and in measurements and general form comes extremely close to _P. castaneiventris_. It has the same chestnut lower parts; but the occiput, nape, hind neck, and ring round the eye are of a pure white, in strong contrast with the rest of its plumage.

14. _Piezorhynchus squamulatus_, sp. nov.

♂. _P. capite nigro resplendente, fascia alba circaem collum a latere thoracis; dorso nigro; uropygio late albo; cauda nigra, rectricibus tribus externis albo terminatis; tectricibus superiordus medialiter nigris, macula alba ad apicem nigro marginata instructis; tectricibus majoribus albis, nigro marginatis in pogonio externo, duas fascias albas ostendentibus; remigibus nigris, duabus maculis albis ad extremum secundariorum; mento et thoraces nigris, fascia pectorali quasi squamosa, plumis ad basin nigris macula alba tetragona nigro marginata; pectore,
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abdomine, subalaribus et crasso albis; tarsis et pedibus plumbeis, rostro nigro. Long. tot. 6'45, alæ 3'12, caudæ 2'9, tarsi '8, rostri a rictu '75.

Hab. Ugi Island.

This species belongs to the same group as P. verticalis, but is still closer to P. vidua of San Christoval, from which it may be at once distinguished by its larger size and the spangled diamond cincture on its throat.

15. Myiagra cervinicauda, Tristr.
Hab. San Christoval.

16. Rhipidura russata, Tristr.
Hab. San Christoval.

17. Edoliosoma salomonis, Tristr.
Hab. San Christoval.

18. Symmorphus affinis, Tristr.
Hab. San Christoval.

Hab. Russell Island.

Of this rare bird there are five specimens in the collection—three mature males, one male in change, and one female.

20. Pachycephala christophori, Tristr.
Hab. San Christoval.

Of this species there are one adult male, one male in change, and one female in the series.

Hab. San Christoval.

22. Calornis cantoroides, G. R. Gr.
Hab. San Christoval, Ugi Island.

Hab. San Christoval.

This bird does not appear to have been obtained since the 'Voyage au Pôle Sud' until collected by Lieut. Richards. It is a very well marked species, exactly intermediate in coloration between Calornis and Aplonis, having a lustrous
plumage on its body, with brown lustrless wings and tail. There are two specimens.

Hab. Ugi Island.
The type specimen was from San Christoval.

Hab. Guadalcanar.

Hab. San Christoval.

27. Geoffroyus agrestis, sp. nov.
G. capite, tergo, uropygio et cauda viridibus, spatio inter oculos et nares pallidiore; thorace, pectore, abdomen et crisso viridibus; rectricibus nigris, harum pogonii externis viridi lavatis, internis flavo arcte marginatis; subalaribus caeruleis; cauda subitus flavida. Long. tot. 8·45, alae 4·8, caudae 2·25, tarsi 5, dig. med. 1·2.
Hab. San Christoval.

One specimen, male adult. A plain and inconspicuous bird, apparently close to G. simplex (Meyer) from Mount Arfak. It differs, however, in the absence of the bluish collar and in its very much smaller dimensions, the wing being nearly 2 inches shorter, and the other measurements in proportion.

Hab. San Christoval.

This bird, recently described by Mr. Ramsay, is a very distinct species, wholly grass-green on the upper parts and flanks, with a tinge of yellow on the forehead and abdomen, and the under tail-coverts bright yellow. There is a little pink patch on either side of the lower mandible—a very marked characteristic, which has been overlooked by Mr. Ramsay in his description. The tail-feathers have a conspicuous yellow spot on the inner web.

Hab. Russell Island.

_Hab._ Ugi Island.

Of this superb Fruit-pigeon, to my eye by far the finest of the whole of this gorgeous genus, and hitherto known only by the type in the British Museum (mutilated, tailless, and with only one wing), there are three specimens. Although marked as of different sexes, they are identical in plumage; and from Mr. Ramsay's remarks, he having seen what he considers to be the female, I cannot but suspect there has been some mistake and that all our specimens are adult males. This bird has never yet been figured; and I trust that an opportunity will soon be afforded for an illustration of so remarkable and beautiful a species.

31. **Ptilopus ceraseificentus**, Tristram.

_Hab._ San Christoval.

Two specimens, both female.

32. **Ptilopus rhodostictus**, sp. nov. (Plate V.)

_P. pileo pallidissime vinaceo, post oculos cingula flava arcte cincto; mento delicatissime flavo; occipite, collo toto, gutture, pectore et lateribus sulphurescenti-viridibus; abdomen, ventre et subcaudalibus intense rubro-aureantiacis; dorso, tergo et uropygio flavo-virescentibus, medio enjusque plumæ seapularis rosaceo picto; pogonio interno remigis externi item rosaceo; remigibus in externo pogonio viridi metallico resplendentibus, in pogonio interno nigris; remige primo attenuato, secundariis angustae flavo marginatis; cauda viridi, apice late flavo._

_Long. tot. 8'8, alae 5'1, caudae 3'25, rostri a rictu 3'57._

_Hab._ Ugi Island, Solomons. ♂. 14th Sept. 1880.

There are two specimens, both males (one immature), of this lovely Pigeon. The younger bird is almost without the rose spots on the wing-coverts. I have long been looking for this bird. Four years ago I received from Mr. Layard a solitary wing, with the remarkable rose spots, which he had obtained from the skipper of a coasting trader, who could not inform him from which of the Solomon Islands the bird came. While certain it was new, we could scarcely describe a bird from a solitary wing, though the feat has been performed from an _egg_ by more than one ornithologist!
33. Esacus magnirostris, Geoffr.
   Hab. Rendova Island.

34. Rallus pectoralis (pullus?).
   Hab. San Christoval.

35. Sterna bergii, Licht.
   Hab. Rendova Island.

This may be a good opportunity of summarizing our existing knowledge of the avifauna of the Solomon Islands.

When Mr. Sclater wrote his first paper on the birds of the Solomon Islands (P. Z. S. 1869, pp. 118, seq.) there were known the ten species mentioned in the 'Voyage au Pôle Sud,' which must be reduced to eight by the rejection of Myzomela solitaria, and Pionias cyaniceps, which is female of P. heteroclitus. Four more species had been described by Mr. Gould from the voyage of the 'Rattlesnake;' and to these seven more were added by Mr. G. R. Gray in his Catalogue of the Birds of the Tropical Islands, one of which, Halcyon cinnamomina, requires confirmation. Mr. Sclater had subsequently (P. Z. S. 1865, p. 620) added Nasiterna pusio, a new species; about the habitat of this species, however, I cannot but suspect some mistake, as it has since been received repeatedly from Duke-of-York Island, but never from the Solomons.* Mr. Sclater, in the paper referred to above, brought up the known species of the Solomon Islands to 34, rejecting three, Halcyon cinnamomina, H. sancta, and Nycticorax manillensis (the two latter of which must now be admitted), and admitting three, Myzomela solitaria, Todiramphus chloris, and Nasiterna pusio (which I would reject).


In Brenchley's 'Cruise of the Curaçao,' A.D. 1873, the same author corrected Philemon vulturinus to P. sclateri, sp. nov.

In 1876 Mr. R. B. Sharpe described (P. Z. S. p. 673) Ninox

[* I quite agree with Canon Tristram that the supposed habitat of Nasiterna pusio, which I gave on the authority of the late Mr. Krefft, is, in all probability, wrong.—P. L. S.]
solomonis, from these Islands, which, however, Mr. Sclater subsequently showed (P. Z. S. 1878, p. 290) to be the same as Athene variegata, Q. & G.

From this date I cannot find any additional contribution to our ornithological knowledge of the Solomons till, in 1879, Mr. E. P. Ramsay (Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. iv. p. 65) recorded from Cockerell's collection 45 species, of which he described eight as new.

In the same year I reported in ‘The Ibis’ (p. 437) on a collection made by Lieut. Richards, R.N., of 33 species, of which I described 12 as new. One of these, Carpophaga richardisi, had already been described by Count Salvadori as C. rufigula.

In ‘The Ibis,’ 1880, pp. 126, et seq. Prof. Salvadori criticised the papers of Mr. Ramsay and myself, and made some valuable suggestions, to which I replied (Ibis, 1880, p. 246), supporting several of the new species.

In the Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, Feb. 1881, Mr. E. P. Ramsay described six new species from Lieut. Richards’s collection; and at the Linnean Society’s Meeting, Nov. 3, 1881, he has described five more, two of which I have not seen.

In the present paper I have added six species to the list. After erasing those species which have been confounded with others or subsequently withdrawn, the following table gives a résumé of our present knowledge of the avifauna of the Solomon Islands:

**ACCIPITRES.**

3. Baza reinwardti, fide E. P. Ramsay = B. gurneyi, sp. nov.
6. A. variegata.
7. N. punctulata (fide E. P. Ramsay; sed qu. Salvadori?). Guadalcanar.
11. *M. tristrami* (?).
(? *D. erythrothorax.* Guadalcanar.)
(*M. pallida.* Guadalcanar. ?)
27. *P. ugiensis.* Ugi Island.
34. *Edoliosoma marescoti.*
37. *Dicrurus megarhynchus.*
40. *Gracula krefflitz.* San Christoval, Guadalcanar.
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41. Calornis metallica. San Christoval.
42. C. cantoroides. Savo.
43. C. fulvipennis. Guadalcanar, Isabel.

PICARLÆ.
44. Caprimulgus nobilis. Rendova Island.
46. C. hypoleuca. Ugi Island.
47. Dendrochelidon mystacea. San Christoval, Ugi.
49. Halcyon albicilla. Ugi Island.
50. H. sanctus. San Christoval, Guadalcanar, Savo.
51. H. julia. San Christoval.
52. H. leucopygia. Guadalcanar.
53. Alcyon richardsii. Rendova Island.
55. Buceros ruficollis.
57. Eudynamis taitensis, Savo.
59. C. ater-albus.

PSITTACI.
60. Cacatua ducorpsii. Guadalcanar, Savo.
61. C. goffini.
63. N. pusio. (? locality.)
64. Eclectus polychlorus. San Christoval, Savo.
65. Lorius chlorocercus. Ugi Island, San Christoval, Savo.
66. L. hypænochrous.
68. Geoffroyus heteroclitus. San Christoval, St. George, Isabel, Savo.
70. Trichoglossus massenæ. San Christoval.
71. Charmosyne margarethae. San Christoval.
COLUMBÆ.

73. P. ceraseipectus. San Christoval.
74. P. rhodostictus. Ugi Island.
75. P. superbus. Guadalcanar.
76. P. viridis?
(? P. solomonensis, G. R. Gr.)
78. C. rufigula. San Christoval, Savo.
79. C. rubricera. San Christoval.
80. C. finschii.
81. C. brenchleyi. San Christoval.
82. Macropygia crassirostris. Guadalcanar.
84. Phlegænas johannæ (?). San Christoval.
85. Chalcophaps chrysochlora (?). Guadalcanar.

GALLINÆ.

86. Megapodius brenchleyi. Savo.

STEGANOPODES.

87. Sula fusca. Savo.
88. Phaethon flavirostris, Savo.

GRALLÆ.

89. Esacus magnirostris. San Christoval, Ugi, Russell Island.
90. Limosa baueri. San Christoval.
91. Tringoides hypoleucus. In all the islands.
92. Rallus intactus.
93. R. pectoralis. San Christoval, Guadalcanar.

HERODIONES.

94. Ardea sacra. San Christoval.
95. Butorides javanica, Savo.

GAVLÆ.

96. Sterna bergii. Rendova and Ugi Islands.
97. S. lunata.
98. S. gracilis. Savo.
I have not included in the above list specimens only recorded from Banks's Island.

I have omitted species which seem to have been mistaken for others already enumerated; and I have put a point of doubt after the names of those species the identification of which does not appear to me to be satisfactory. I think it probable, so far as one can form an opinion without having seen the type, that *Ninox punctulata* is represented by the species which precedes it.

I have not seen the type of *Myzomela tristrami*, which Mr. Ramsay has done me the honour to name after me; but I can assure him that my specimen of *M. pammelæna*, still in my cabinet, has the bill glossy black, and is evidently an adult bird.

*Rhipidura cockerelli* has been questioned by Prof. Salvadori as being only an accidental variety of *R. tricolor*. I have not seen the type.

*Graucalus hypoleucus* is questioned by Prof. Salvadori, who considers that the species must be *G. sclateri*. He also demurs to the Philippine species *G. dussumieri* being found here, and suggests, with much probability, that the species, the name of which Mr. Ramsay subsequently (Pr. Linn. Soc. N. S.W. 1879, p. 314) changed from *G. pusillus* to *G. solomonensis*, is really the bird intended. Prof. Salvadori believes it to be identical with *G. sublineatus*. As I have not seen Mr. Ramsay's types, I can offer no opinion.

As stated above, the locality of *Nasiterna pusio* seems to me to require confirmation.

*Ptilopus viridis.*—The description given by Mr. Ramsay scarcely corresponds with the New-Guinea bird, though not far from it. It is probably a distinct species, and may be so described by Mr. Ramsay when he has had an opportunity of comparing his bird with specimens of *P. geelvinkianus* and *P. viridis*. I can conceive an immature male of *P. eugeniae* meeting his description.

*Ptilopus solomonensis*, G. R. Gr., cannot stand as a species. It rests on a female which may belong to any one of three
species in which there are no differentiating characteristics in the corresponding females.

*Phlegœnas johannæ* rests on a female collected by Lieut. Richards. On examining the specimens in the British Museum and in the collection of Capt. Wardlaw-Ramsay, I find that a mistake has been made with some of the British-Museum specimens, and that a bird labelled *P. johannæ* must belong to *P. margarethæ*. Possibly, therefore, the *Phlegœnas* of the Solomons may be another species of which we have not yet obtained the male.

Though Mr. Ramsay gives *Chalcophaps chrysochlora* as from the Solomons, based on a female specimen, yet I have ventured to doubt the identification, believing that it will be found to be *C. stephani*, which is the indigenous species of the neighbouring islands.


[Continued from 'The Ibis,' 1881, p. 567.]

I have now to refer to the two species which constitute the subgenus *Erythropus*, and which seem to me to form a distinct natural group intermediate between the true Kestrels and the Hobbies.

The two species of *Erythropus* are both of them gregarious and migratory; but their ordinary geographical ranges, except perhaps in South-west Africa, are very distinct.

The western species, *E. vespertinus*, is an inhabitant, during the summer months, of Europe and Western Asia, arriving in the spring, and migrating in the autumn to Africa; it has, however, been recorded as also nesting in Algeria*.

Mr. Sharpe, in his summary of the habitat of this species, does not refer to its occurrence either in Northern Europe or in Asia; but examples from Archangel are preserved in the British Museum (as noted by Mr. Sharpe in his list of the

*Loche, Expl. de l’Algérie, Ois., vol. i. p. 70.*
specimens in that collection), and its appearance as a summer visitant in Finland was recorded by Malmgren in 'The Ibis' for 1870, p. 149. In Asia it has been obtained in Siberia as far to the north-east as Krasnoyarsk, in the valley of the Yenesay*; whilst further to the south the most easterly locality for it with which I am acquainted is North-western Turkestan, where it has been recorded by Severtzoff as occurring "during passage" †.

The southward autumnal migration of this species extends in Eastern Africa to Sennaar, where it was observed by Heuglin‡, and on the western side of the continent to a much more southern latitude, as, according to Andersson, "it usually arrives in Damara Land and Great Namaqua Land about the rainy season, and again retires northward upon the approach of the dry season".§

I may here mention, in passing, that I have found amongst Mr. Andersson's papers the following interesting memorandum of measurements of this species, in inches and lines, taken by him (in all cases, I believe) from birds in the flesh:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entire length</th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tail</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in. lin. to in. lin.</td>
<td>in. lin. to in. lin.</td>
<td>in. lin. to in. lin.</td>
<td>in. lin. to in. lin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 males</td>
<td>11 2</td>
<td>8 10</td>
<td>5 1½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 11</td>
<td>9 9</td>
<td>5 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 females</td>
<td>11 4</td>
<td>8 11</td>
<td>5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 4</td>
<td>10 0</td>
<td>5 8½</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 'The Ibis' for 1876, p. 132, Mr. Buckley records having seen, in November, "an enormous quantity of Hobbies, apparently of this species, at Holtfontein, in the west of the Transvaal;" but as all the specimens of Erythropus which I have seen from Transvaal are referable to the eastern species, E. amurensis, and as Mr. Buckley does

* Ibis, 1880, p. 179. † Ibis, 1875, p. 109. ‡ Ibis, 1861, p. 72, and Orn. Nordost-Afr. vol. i. p. 39. § 'Birds of Damara Land,' p. 15. Mr. Andersson considered that the "rainy season" in Damara Land commences towards the end of October.
not speak of having obtained one of those which he saw, it is possible that the flock which he met with may have belonged to the eastern form.

There appears to be some doubt as to whether Radde's name of "amurensis," which is in use to designate the eastern Erythropsus, is in reality the oldest specific title for this species. Prof. Newton has kindly called my attention to a rare work by J. P. Falk, who was Professor of Botany at St. Petersburg in the latter half of the last century*, in which the name of "Falco vespertinoides" is given to a Falcon the description of which may be intended to represent a nearly adult male of E. amurensis, more particularly as the underside of the wings is described as "nearly white;" but, on the other hand, the habitat which Falk gives for his Falco vespertinoides—"Bashkiria, Perm, and the Province of Isett"—is very far to the west of any locality, except in South Africa, where E. amurensis has been met with by subsequent observers; and I may add that I have seen a nearly adult male of E. vespertinus, killed at Malta in May, and now in the collection of Mr. Dresser, in which a considerable proportion of white is apparent on the under wing-coverts, mingled with the brown of the immature and the slate-colour of the adult plumage†.

Japan must be added to the localities for E. amurensis quoted by Mr. Sharpe. Conf. Ibis, 1875, p. 448, where a specimen obtained there in August is recorded; also Ibis, 1878, p. 249.

With regard to the distribution of this species in Eastern Asia, Radde records its occurrence in the month of June near the source of the Osega river‡; and a more recent notice of its distribution in Siberia has been given by

* Falk's work, which was published posthumously in St. Petersburg in 1786, is entitled 'Beyträge zur topographischen Kenntniss des Russischen Reichs;' and the description of his "Falco vespertinoides" will be found in vol. iii. p. 329.

† Some mingling of white, though not quite so much as in the specimen referred to, is usually perceptible on the under wing-coverts of immature males of E. vespertinus.

‡ Reis. Sibir. vol. ii. p. 102.
M. Taczanowski in the Bull. Soc. Zool. de France for 1876, p. 126, in a paragraph of which the following is a translation:—"Dybowski, in furnishing an adult male from Akscha, in Dauria, and subsequently several females from Old-Tsuruchaitui, on the Argun, has considerably extended the westward limit of the habitat of this bird." Col. Prjevalsky includes it among the birds of Mongolia, where, he states, it arrives about the end of March, and breeds, but is less abundant than it is in China*. M. David states that it arrives on the plains of China and Mongolia in April, and leaves in a south-easterly direction in autumn‡; he records meeting with specimens near Sin-siang-chiên, between lat. 35° and 36°, as late as the 18th of October‡; but he did not observe it in Southern China. The readers of 'The Ibis' will remember that the late Mr. Swinhoe found this species nesting near the coast of Northern China, at Talien Bay and Chefoo§, the fledged nestling figured in 'The Ibis' for 1868, pl. ii., having been taken in the month of July.

From the observations of Mr. Inglis we learn that in North-eastern Cachar "these little Falcons appear regularly about the middle of October in hundreds," but mostly disappear "about the middle of December." It would seem, however, that they again visit Cachar during their northward vernal migration, as Mr. Inglis obtained an adult male in February and a young male (which appears, by the description given of it, to have been a bird about a year old) as late as May||.

Further south this species has occurred in Upper Pegu, where Captain Feilden met with four of these birds in January and a fifth early in February¶.

With regard to its occurrence in India, I may mention

† David & Oustalet, 'Oiseaux de la Chine,' p. 35.
‡ David, 'Troisième Voyage,' vol. i. p. 75.
§ Ibis, 1861, p. 253, 1868, p. 43, and 1874, p. 428.
|| 'Stray Feathers,' 1877, p. 6, and 1880, p. 243.
¶ Ibid. 1875, p. 22.
that the British Museum possesses an example from Nepal, and the Norwich Museum one from Madras; the late Mr. Jerdon, referring to this species under the title of *E. vespertinus*, speaks of having found it on the Nilgiris, in the Carnatic, in Central India, along the Himalayan range, and at Darjeeling. He adds that “it is not very unfrequent in Lower Bengal and in the neighbourhood of Calcutta during the rainy season only”.*

But one specimen of *E. amurensis* has been recorded from Ceylon—an immature bird, obtained at Trincomalie in the month of December †.

The winter migration of *E. amurensis* to South Africa is very curious and interesting. The Norwich Museum possesses a specimen obtained by Sir John Kirk on the river Zambesi ‡; and there can be little doubt that this is the species which he met with on the Shiré, apparently on its return northward journey, in February and March, and recorded, under the name of *E. vespertinus*, in ‘The Ibis’ for 1864, p. 316. Mr. Ayres speaks of meeting with numbers of these birds about Maritzburg, in Natal, during the “summer months” of the southern hemisphere, which of course correspond to the northern winter §. He has also obtained this species in Transvaal in December and January ||; and Mr. Layard has recorded a specimen obtained further inland in the Matabili country ¶. This, however, is not the most westerly extent of its migration, as Mr. Andersson obtained certainly one, and probably three examples, in Damara Land **; he also procured one as far south as the Knysna, which, with one of his Damara specimens, is preserved in the Norwich Museum.

I have had the opportunity of examining several specimens, in different stages, of both species of *Erythrops*; and, judging

* *Birds of India,* vol. i. p. 41.
† Legge's *Birds of Ceylon,* p. 120.
‡ The ticket attached to this specimen is not very legible; but the date noted upon it appears to be “1st February.”
from these, I believe that in the first or nestling plumage the two species cannot be distinguished from each other; and I also feel persuaded that this plumage is common to both sexes, though I have not been able to obtain actual proof from dissected specimens of such being the fact.

In the case of *E. vespertinus*, the first plumage is not alluded to in Mr. Sharpe's volume; but a detailed description of it, taken from a young male obtained by the late Mr. Andersson in Damara Land on the 14th of November, will be found in Messrs. Sharpe and Dresser's article on this species in the 'Birds of Europe,' under the head of "specimen no. 4." This stage of plumage is figured as that of the young male by Naumann, in his 'Vögel Deutschlands,' vol. i. pl. 28. fig. 3.

The second plumage, considerably resembling that of the adult female, is described in Mr. Sharpe's volume under the title of "young." It is also represented in the lowest figure of the plate of this species given by Messrs. Sharpe and Dresser in the 'Birds of Europe,' the original of this figure being a young female killed at Malta on the 9th of May, which, in my opinion, was a bird hatched in the spring of the preceding year. As the young females advance in age they lose the dark shaft-marks on the head and underparts which are characteristic of this stage; but even after these marks have left the breast and adjacent parts, the rufous of the undersurface remains for a time decidedly paler than it is in the old females. The second plumage is undoubtedly common to both sexes, as male birds which have been killed whilst in course of change from this to the adult male dress are frequent in collections: one such is preserved in the Norwich Museum, in which a few feathers of even the first plumage still remain near the centre of the breast, thus exhibiting the first, second, and third plumages simultaneously existing, but with the third largely predominating, and with more remains of the second than of the first.

The adult plumages of the male and female in both species of *Erythropsus* are fully described in Mr. Sharpe's volume;
and I therefore need not allude further to this stage in either species, except to mention that the last number of the late Mr. Gould's work on the Birds of Asia contains life-sized figures of both sexes of *E. amurensis* in adult dress.

Mr. Sharpe describes the first plumage of *E. amurensis* under the head of "young." A nestling emerging from the down into this dress, which was taken in the month of July, and is preserved in the Norwich Museum, was figured in 'The Ibis' for 1868, pl. 2.

On losing this plumage the young birds assume a dress assimilating even more closely to that of the adult female than is the case in *E. vespertinus*, the only difference being, so far as I have observed, that in this second stage the shape of the dark markings on the sides of the abdomen partake less of the character of transverse bars and more of that of broadened lanceolate shaft-marks than is the case in fully adult females. I entertain no doubt that both sexes pass through this intermediate stage, though I have no absolute proof of it as regards the females; with respect to the males I can speak with some confidence, as the Norwich Museum possesses two that were changing from this stage into that of the adult male plumage.

The remainder of the Falcons are arranged by Mr. Sharpe under two genera—*Falco* and *Hierofalco*; but it seems to me that they may be more naturally assorted into the following generic or subgeneric groups, viz. *Hypotriorchis*, *Æsalon*, *Chicquera*, *Falco*, *Gennaia*, and *Hierofalco*.

With respect to the typical species of *Hypotriorchis*, *H. subbuteo*, I can add nothing to Mr. Sharpe's account, except to refer to its occurrence in Japan, as recorded in 'The Ibis' for 1879, p. 42; but I may mention as regards its near ally, *H. cuvieri*, that this very scarce southern Hobby, besides occurring in South and West Africa, as stated by Mr. Sharpe, has also been obtained in East Africa. Capt. Shelley has received it from the Zambesi country, and, still further northward, from Lambo near Formosa Bay. On the west coast it has occurred as far north as Bissao, a specimen from thence being preserved in the Norwich Museum.
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Mr. Sharpe's articles on *H. severus*, *H. religiosus*, and *H. lunulatus* have recently been commented on and supplemented in considerable detail by Count T. Salvadoni, in his 'Ornitologia della Papuasia e delle Molucche,' vol. i. pp. 33–37; and I have no additional information to record with respect to these Hobbies, except to mention the curious fact that both *H. severus* and *H. lunulatus* occur in the islands of the New-Britain group. Dr. Sclater received from the Rev. G. Brown an adult specimen of *H. severus* obtained at Kabadai, on the coast of New Britain, as recorded in the P.Z.S. for 1880, p. 65; and he also received from Mr. Brown, and recorded in the P.Z.S. for 1879, p. 447, a specimen of *H. lunulatus* from the "Duke-of-York group." A second specimen of the latter species has been subsequently obtained at Blanche Bay, New Britain, by Lieut. Richards, by whom it was transmitted to Canon Tristram.

Two less important insular localities for *H. lunulatus* may also here be mentioned. The Norwich Museum possesses a specimen from Night Island, off the N.E. coast of Australia; and another from Murray Island, off the south coast of New Guinea, is preserved in the British Museum.

The supposed occurrence of *H. lunulatus* in the Feejee group, recorded in 'The Ibis' for 1876, p. 391, proves to have been founded on a misapprehension, as Mr. Sharpe informs me that the specimen in question, when withdrawn from the spirits in which it was immersed for transmission to this country, proved not to be *H. lunulatus*, but a small male of *Falco melanogenys*.

I propose now to refer to *Hypotriorchis eleanorae*, the largest of the Old-World Hobbies, and by far the most remarkable as regards its mutations and variations of plumage, which are independent of sex, and are due partly to differences of age, and partly, as it would seem, to a tendency towards melanistic coloration developed more strongly in some individuals than in others.

The first or nestling plumage, in its medium and most frequent phase, is figured in 'The Ibis' for 1869, pl. xvi. A similar specimen is represented by the lowest figure in
the plate of this species given in the ‘Richesses Ornithologiques du Midi de la France,’ by Jaubert and Barthélemy-Lapommeraye.

A somewhat paler specimen, but of similar age, is figured at p. 232 of the ‘Naumannia’ for 1856, under the name of *Falco gracilis* of A. and L. Brehm*. A figure, very like the last named, is associated with an adult *H. concolor*, as the young of that species, in the frontispiece to Finsch and Hartlaub’s ‘Vögel Ost-Afrika’s;’ this, in my opinion, also represents a pale-coloured young specimen of *H. eleanoreae*.

Some nestlings of the latter species, however, are darker than the specimen figured in ‘The Ibis’ for 1869; and such a one is represented in Fritsch’s ‘Vögel Europa’s,’ pl. 3. fig. 6, but is there inscribed, erroneously as I consider, “mas ad.”

In a letter which Lord Lilford was good enough to send me respecting some dark-coloured young birds of this species which came into his possession, he writes thus:—“The specimens figured in ‘The Ibis’ for 1869 are very decidedly lighter in general coloration than the young birds received by me, with some down still adhering to them, as mentioned in Dresser’s ‘Birds of Europe,’ in fact, they were precisely like young Hobbies, if any thing rather darker than an average nestling of that species, the rufous edgings of the feathers very narrow, and the ground-colour of the breast certainly darker than in the figure above mentioned.”

The next plumage assumed by this species appears to be that which resembles, more or less closely, the adult plumage of *H. subbuteo*, from which some specimens only differ in the margins of the feathers on the breast, abdomen, and flanks being rufescent instead of white, and in the presence of more or less of a rufous tinge on the throat and cheeks. A male in this plumage is figured in

* Mr. Sharpe quotes this reference, but, I venture to think, incorrectly, amongst the synonyms of *H. concolor*. Lord Lilford had previously pointed out the correct identification of *Falco gracilis* in ‘The Ibis’ for 1865, p. 175.
the second edition of Bree's 'Birds of Europe,' vol. i. p. 46, and a female in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. pl. 383; a male and female, differing but very slightly from the above, are represented in Schlegel and Susemihl's 'Vögel Europa's,' pl. 54. figs. 1 & 2, and a somewhat similar female in Fritsch's work already referred to, pl. 3. fig. 5.

Other examples, though probably referable to the same stage of plumage, or nearly so, as those last mentioned, have the rufous on the lower parts duller and less conspicuous, and also have the cheeks and throat more or less dark-coloured, and sometimes entirely so. Are these duskily-tinted individuals birds which have previously worn the bright and well-defined hobby-like plumage (represented in the figures to which I have last referred), and which are now passing from that stage to the entirely fuliginous plumage? or are they birds which were dark-coloured as nestlings, and in which the hobby-like plumage has never been more than partially assumed, having been modified by melanistic tendencies in the constitution of the individual? Dr. Krüper appears to be of the latter opinion (vide Journ. für Orn. 1864, p. 11); and such is also the opinion of Dr. Louis Bureau, of Nantes, who has paid much attention to this species, and has favoured me with valuable communications on the subject of its variations of plumage.

A further question arises—do the bright-coloured hobby-like individuals continue to wear that plumage to the end of their days, or do they ultimately assume the fuliginous dress, though perhaps not so rapidly as others which have from the beginning developed a more melanistic tendency? So far as I am aware, this question has not yet been satisfac-

* Dr. Krüper's paper above referred to is a very interesting one, and especially important as containing the results of his observations on the Eleanora Hobby in some of the islands of the Greek archipelago. Valuable portions of this paper are quoted in the article on this species in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe;' and a much fuller extract, translated into French, will be found in the 'Bulletin de la Société Ornithologique Suisse,' vol. i. p. 132, for an acquaintance with which I am indebted to the kind attention of Dr. Bureau.
torily settled; but in connexion with it I may mention that a bright-coloured hobby-like male which I kept in confinement between six and seven years, as recorded in 'The Ibis' for 1867, p. 380, certainly showed some, though but a very slight, tendency towards the assumption of fuliginous tints, in the extension both in length and breadth of the dark centres to the feathers on the underparts, especially on the flanks, and in the edges of these dark centres becoming less sharply defined. I think also that the colouring of the rufous plumage of the lower parts became a little duller.

Whether the next (that is, the fuliginous) stage is ultimately attained by every individual, or only by those possessing a melanistic tendency, is therefore a point which I think is still doubtful; but it seems tolerably certain that such individuals as attain it do so gradually, the fuliginous colour becoming more uniform and probably also more deeply tinted as the age of the bird increases. A figure of the earlier fuliginous stage, copied from that in Bonaparte's 'Fauna Italica,' is given in the first edition of Bree's 'Birds of Europe,' vol. i. p. 44; and the second edition of that work, vol. i. p. 47, contains the representation of a slightly more advanced specimen, a female from Sardinia. A less satisfactory figure of an individual apparently in similar plumage is given on plate 53 of Schlegel and Susemihl's volume already referred to. Lastly, the most uniform and deeply tinted plumage of this variable species is represented in the same work, pl. 54. fig. 3, also in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' pl. 383, from a Sardinian male, and in the second edition of Bree's 'Birds of Europe,' vol. i. p. 43, from a male and female also killed in Sardinia, respecting which Dr. Bree remarks that the plate "shows a very old male and female quite black; there are no traces of bars on the under surface of the tail of the male, and very slight ones on that of the female." I must, however, add that I have never seen a specimen which I should myself call absolutely black, but only dark brown, and that with sometimes a decided shade of grey on the concealed portions of the scapulars: this grey tint would probably, when the bird had newly moulted, be apparent also on the exposed portions
of these feathers, and perhaps on the mantle generally, giving
the appearance described as that of an old male in Dresser's
'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 103.

Figure 4 on pl. 54 of Schlegel and Susemihl's 'Vögel
Europa's' represents a specimen so grey and so closely re-
sembling in colour the adult plumage of *H. concolor* that 'I
should not hesitate to refer it to that species were it not that
the wings, as there represented, are scarcely so long as those
of *H. concolor*; and the plate, being taken from a drawing by
Mr. Wolf, is not likely to be inaccurate in that respect.

Dr. Bureau has been so good as to inform me that the
original of this figure is the specimen thus described by
Professor Schlegel in his 'Museum des Pays-Bas,' vol. i.
_Falcones_, p. 25: "*Falco eleanorae*, femelle adulte, Grèce, par
M. Schulze 'en 1843. Variété à teinte couleur de schiste.'

The authors of 'Les Richesses Ornithologiques du Midi de
la France,' at p. 523 of that work, quote a description fur-
nished to them by the late Jules Verreaux of a very similar
specimen; but as that ornithologist held the erroneous opinion
that *H. concolor* is not specifically distinct from *H. eleanorae*,
it is possible that the bird he described was in reality an
example of *H. concolor*.

Mr. Sharpe's volume does not contain any reference to the
geographical distribution of *H. eleanorae*; but a summary of
what is known on this head will be found in Dresser's 'Birds
of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 104. It is, however, there stated that
this species does not occur in North-eastern Africa, which is
not quite correct, as the plate of "*Falco gracilis*" of Brehm,
to which I have already referred, and which unquestionably
represents the first plumage of *H. eleanorae*, is inscribed "In
deserto prope Cairo, Sept. 1851."*. I may also mention as
an addition to the localities given for *H. eleanorae* in Mr.
Dresser’s work, that Mr. Edward Newton obtained a speci-
men in immature plumage in the island of Mauritius, after
stormy weather in the month of December.

There remains but one Old-World species of the genus

* This fact has been already referred to in the 'Rambles of a Natu-
ralist,' by J. H. Gurney, Jun., p. 122.
Hypotriorchis to be referred to, the remarkably long-winged and elegant *H. concolor*. In regard to this I may mention that in Mr. Sharpe's list of its synonyms he omits "*Falco schistaceus*" of Hemprich and Ehrenberg's 'Symbolae Physicæ', pl. 19, under which name good figures are given of the male, female, and egg of this species; also that since the publication of Mr. Sharpe's volume, *H. concolor* has been described and figured in adult and immature dress and with osteological details in Milne-Edwards and Grandidier's work on the birds of Madagascar, vol. i. p. 37, and plates 11, 12, and 12 a.

Mr. Sharpe, in his article on *H. concolor*, states that "very old examples become leaden black;" but no specimens answering to this description have ever come under my observation.

Mr. Sharpe has included amongst the synonyms of *H. concolor* "Æsalon tibialis, Kaup," which seems to me not to be based upon this species, but to be compounded of Le Vaillant's "Faucon à culotte noire" (a bird which I cannot identify) and *Dissodectes ardesiacus*.

It may be worth mentioning, in conclusion, that an apparently authentic record of the occurrence of a specimen of *H. concolor* near Gaillac, in the south of France, on the 3rd October 1873, will be found in the 'Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France' for 1876, p. 91.

Of the three American species of the genus *Hypotriorchis*, *H. fusco-caeruleus*, *H. rufigularis*, and *H. deiroleucus*, the first has been erected by Mr. Ridgway into a separate subgenus, under the name of "*Rhynchofalco*"*; but I confess that I do not find sufficient grounds for removing it from its accustomed position in the genus *Hypotriorchis*.

Mr. Sharpe does not mention the colour of the iris in this species, which is recorded as "dark hazel" in the P. Z. S. for 1869, p. 155.

The geographical range of *H. fusco-caeruleus* is given by Mr. Sharpe as extending from Mexico to Peru and the Argentine Republic; but it is found further to the northward

and also further south; 'The Ibis' for 1878 contains a record of its nesting in Patagonia at p. 398, and in Texas at p. 487.

Mr. Sharpe has adopted for the next species the specific name of "albigularis;" but that of "rufigularis," which is also referable to it, and which is to be found on the same page of Daudin's work, has been much more generally used, and I therefore think it better to retain it. The colour of the iris in this Hobby is not referred to by Mr. Sharpe; and I may therefore mention that in a specimen which was living some years since in the gardens of the Zoological Society the irides were dark brown. This beautiful species is remarkable for the disparity in size between the sexes, and also for the slightness of the difference in coloration between the adult and immature plumage. The latter feature is not alluded to by Mr. Sharpe; but a description of it by Mr. Ridgway will be found in a footnote to page 131 of the third volume of the 'Land Birds of North America.'

The remaining American species of the genus Hypotriorchis, H. deiroleucus, has been referred by Mr. Sharpe to the "Orange-breasted Hobby" of Latham, of which "Falco aurantius" of Gmelin is the equivalent; but while it seems to me doubtful what species it was which Latham intended to describe under this name, it is, I think, evident that his description by no means accords with the characters of that now under consideration, for which I would therefore retain Temminck's specific name of "deiroleucus."

This is the most robustly formed and probably the most powerful of the Hobbies, as is especially apparent in the female bird, which, as in H. rufigularis, is considerably larger than the male; and it may be considered as approaching the most nearly of any of the species of Hypotriorchis to the genus Falco, in the restricted acceptation of the latter term.

In the P. Z. S. for 1874, p. 550, M. Taczanowski has recorded this species from Central Peru, which extends its known geographical range towards the south-west beyond the limits given for it by Mr. Sharpe.
Mr. Sharpe uses for the European Merlin, to which I next propose to allude, the designation of "Falco regulus;" but the list of synonyms of this species in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 83, shows that Tunstall's specific name of "Æsalon" has priority. If, however, we follow Kaup, as I am disposed to do, and adopt "Æsalon" as a subgeneric appellation, I think we ought in that case to fall back upon "regulus" as the specific name, and call the present species "Æsalon regulus."

With reference to Mr. Scully's interesting remarks on the dress ultimately assumed by the female of this species (vide Ibis, 1881, p. 418), I may mention that he was so good as to show me his Cashmere female there described, and that I should without hesitation have taken it to be an adult though very pale-tinted male, had not its female sex been ascertained beyond question by dissection, Mr. Scully having not only anatomically examined it, but having also attached to the skin a sketch of the ova in situ, as brought to light by his dissection.

Mr. Sharpe's summary of the geographical range of this species does not include Northern Africa and the more southern countries of Central Asia; but detailed information on this head will be found in the article on this species in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' to which I have already referred; and to this I may add that the Norwich Museum possesses a specimen from Japan and another from Formosa *, two localities more eastern than any recorded by Mr. Dresser.

I have never seen an example of the small race of this species recorded by Severtzoff from Turkestan (vide Ibis, 1875, p. 107), and can therefore offer no opinion as to its specific distinctness.

Referring next to the ordinary Merlin of the American continent, "Æsalon columbarius," I may mention that the Norwich Museum possesses a specimen from Quito and another from Cuenca, both these localities being somewhat more to the southward than that given by Mr. Sharpe as the southern limit of this species.

Mr. Sharpe does not refer to the occurrence of this Merlin in the West Indies; but the Norwich Museum contains specimens from Jamaica, and it has also been recorded from Cuba*, Guadeloupe†, Martinique ‡, Tobago §, and Trinidad ‖.

An ample account of this species will be found in the ‘Land Birds of North America,’ vol. iii. pp. 144 to 154, including two subspecific races, neither of which has come under my own observation; one of these is a dark race, separated by Mr. Ridgway as “var. suckleyi,” and found in “Northern California, Oregon, and Washington Territory,” the other, a pale race, called by Mr. Ridgway “var. richardsoni,” inhabiting the “interior regions of North America between the Mississippi valley and the Rocky Mountains from Texas to the Arctic Regions.”

Mr. Ridgway considers that the figure of a female Merlin from the plains of the Saskatchewan, given in the ‘Fauna Boreali-Americana,’ part 2, pl. 25, represents a specimen of the latter subspecies.

I feel no hesitation in adopting Bonaparte’s subgenus Chiquera for the Indian Toorumtee Falcon, which on this footing must stand as C. typus, while its African congener will be C. ruficollis.

Mr. Sharpe expresses a doubt as to the distinctness of these two species, which I by no means share. In the adults of C. ruficollis the whole upper surface, except the head, nape, and quill-feathers of the wings, is conspicuously cross-barred with black, whilst in those of C. typus these transverse dark bars are absent from the scapulars, interscapulars, and back, and where present are decidedly fainter and less conspicuous. C. ruficollis has also a black mark behind the eye and another below it, both of which are wanting in C. typus; it has also a

† Proc. of the U.S. Nat. Mus. vol. i. p. 450.
‖ Léotaud, Ois. de la Trinidad, p. 26; see also Finsch in P. Z. S. 1870, p. 556.
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pale salmon-coloured tint on the upper breast, which I do not recollect to have met with in *C. typus*. The immature specimens of *C. typus*, however, approach more closely than the adults to the adult plumage of *C. ruficollis*, the cross-barring on the upper surface of the immature *C. typus* being as extensively distributed as in the adult of *C. ruficollis*, though, except on the tertials and rectrices, it is paler and less strongly marked. I may also mention that the young birds of *C. typus* have the lesser wing-coverts edged with brown, and short dark shaft-marks on the feathers of the upper breast.

In *C. ruficollis* the young bird has the plumage throughout of a darker hue than the adult; this is especially the case on the crown of the head, where the rufous tint is deeper than in the adult, and, in addition, each feather has a somewhat broad black shaft-mark; all the feathers of the upper part of the mantle are more or less edged with rufous brown, this edging being broadest on the lesser wing-coverts; the transverse bands on the lower surface are less regular than in the adult, and all the intermediate spaces are a rich fulvous instead of being white as in the old bird. I have here noted these details, as Mr. Sharpe does not refer to the immature plumage of either species.

Mr. Sharpe gives "the whole of Africa" as the habitat of *C. ruficollis*; but it is absent from the northern portion of that continent, not extending further northward, so far as I am aware, than Senegambia towards the north-west, and Nubia towards the north-east; and I must add that the only evidence I have of its extending to Nubia is a specimen in the Norwich Museum, which was stated to be Nubian by the late Jules Verreaux, through whose hands it passed into that collection. It appears, however, to be a common species in Sennaar, and also to occur, though less abundantly, in Abyssinia.

---


In an article on the Tyrannine genus *Hirundinea*, published
in this Journal for 1869 (p. 196 et seqq.), I pointed out the distinctions between the three well-marked species of this group, and described their geographical ranges so far as they were known to me. Retaining the term ferruginea of Gmelin for the Guianan form with uniform dark back and tail, I referred Vieillot's term bellica to the Colombian and Peruvian form (which differs from the preceding in having the inner webs of the tail-feathers ferruginous red), and applied to the South-east Brazilian bird, which is at once distinguished by its ferruginous rump, the name rupestris of Prince Maximilian. Prof. Reinhardt, however, from whom I had obtained the loan of the skin upon which my diagnosis and figure of the so-called H. bellica were based, did not quite agree with my views as to the synonymy of this species. He was of opinion that the term bellica of Vieillot, founded upon Azara's description of his "Suiriri roxo obscuro" (Apunt. ii. p. 129), was more likely to be referable to the Brazilian bird (my H. rupestris), and has stated his reasons for this view in his excellent memoir on the avifauna of the Campos of Brazil*. So persuaded was he that the term "bellica" was not applicable to the Peruvian species to which I had applied it, that he proposed a new designation for the latter—Hirundinea sclateri—as being the "H. belllica, Sel. nec Vieill."

Although Mr. Salvin and I were sufficiently convinced by Prof. Reinhardt's argument to refer Vieillot's term bellica to the Brazilian species, and to employ the name sclateri for the Peruvian form in our 'Nomenclator' (p. 51), it was with great satisfaction that a short time ago I received a skin of Hirundinea which set any lingering doubts I might have had on the subject at rest. Amongst the birds collected by Mr. E. W. White, F.Z.S., during his recent expedition to the upper provinces of the Argentine Republic†, was a skin of Hirundinea obtained at Puente de Andalgala, Catamarca, in September 1880, which completely agrees with Brazilian

† Cf. Ibis, 1881, p. 509.
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specimens. It necessarily follows that Azara’s *Suiriri roxo obscuro* (undė *Tyrannus bellicosus* of Vieillot) must be referred to the same species, and not to the Peruvian bird. The three species should therefore stand as follows:

\[ \text{a. Uropygio dorsoque concoloribus, fusco-nigricantibus.} \]
\[ \text{a'. Caudā unicolori.} \]

1. *Hirundinea ferruginea* (Gm.), ex Guiana et Amazoniā inf. (*vide* Ibis, 1869, p. 196, t. v. fig. 2).

\[ \text{b'. Caudae rectricibus in pogonio interno ferrugineis.} \]


\[ \text{b. Uropygio ferrugineo.} \]


XIII.—Notices of recent Ornithological Publications.


Mr. Bennett believes this rare Australian bird to be confined to the plains of the Murrumbidgee and Lachlan rivers, where it feeds on reptiles' and birds' eggs. The nest and eggs are described.


Prof. J. V. Barboza du Bocage’s twenty-first list gives 34 species, of which examples were collected at Caconda by Sr. Anchieta at the end of 1880 and in January 1881. All are known species. One example of *Coracias spatulatus* was in the collection.


Dr. Bolau gives an account of the collection made by the brothers Frederick and Henry Dörries on the Suifun river, in Russian Manchuria. Sixty-six species are enumerated, of which 30 are identical with species obtained by F. Dörries on the island of Askold (Cf. Ibis, 1881, p. 474). *Muscicapa luteola* of Pallas is shown not to be the female of *M. mugimaki*. *Picus scintilliceps* of Bolau is the species recently described by Mr. Hargitt as *Irygipicus doerriesi* (Ibis, 1881, p. 398).

4. Brazier on Megapodius brazieri.


Mr. Brazier corrects Mr. Ramsay's statement (P. L. S. N. S. W. 1879, p. 75) that this Megapode is from Savo, in the Solomon group, the island where the eggs to which this name was applied were taken being Vanua Lavu, in the Banks group. He also points out that *Megapodius brenchleyi*, which Mr. Ramsay regarded as probably identical, is from Gulf Island, in the Solomon group, a very different locality.

5. Clarke and Roebuck on the Vertebrate Fauna of Yorkshire.

[A Handbook of the Vertebrate Fauna of Yorkshire, being a Catalogue of British Animals, Birds, Reptiles, Amphibians, and Fish, showing what species are or have, within historical periods, been found in the County. By William Eagle Clarke and William Denison Roebuck. 8vo. London: 1881.]

The object of this work is the enumeration of the vertebrated animals now or formerly found in Yorkshire, and the "careful definition of their faunistic position and geographical distribution." The number of British vertebrates which have not occurred in Yorkshire being comparatively small, all recognized British species have been inserted in the catalogue, which thus gives us a complete list of British Vertebrates. The physical aspect of Yorkshire is well described in the pre-
liminary remarks; and the whole volume is carefully compiled from the most recent authorities.


No more appropriate memorial, we believe, could have been made of our much-lamented fellow-worker than the republication of his writings in a collected form. Their value to the working ornithologist can scarcely be exaggerated; and it is most convenient to have them ready for reference in a handy volume. The biographical notice and Mr. Herkomer’s etching will make the work still more acceptable to Garrod’s numerous friends. The volume seems to have been most carefully prepared and edited by his successor in the Zoological Society’s prosectorship.

7. *Giglioli on Italian Birds.*

[Elenco delle specie di Uccelli che trovansi in Italia stazionarie o di passaggio, colle indicazioni delle epoche della nidificazione e della migrazione, compilato dal dottor Enrico Hillyer Giglioli. Annali di Agricoltura, Roma 1881, Num. 26.]

This is a catalogue of Italian birds, with notes on their times of nidification and migration, drawn up at the request of the Minister of Agriculture. The summary shows a total of 418, as follows:—

I. Resident species.
   1. Sedentary .......... 193
   2. Summer visitors ...... 71
   3. Winter visitors ...... 40
      — 304

II. Birds of passage.
   1. Regular .......... 2
   2. Irregular .......... 37
   3. Accidental .......... 75
      — 114


Several notes on the birds received in 1880 are given, which may be useful to those who are working at South-African ornithology. The Report is signed “B. J. Glanville, Curator.”

9. Gould’s ‘Supplement to the Trochilidae.’


We are glad to be assured by the issue of a new part that this great work will be brought to a completion. Messrs. Sotheran have inserted the following address to the subscribers in the present part:—

“Mr. Gould left a large number of plates drawn on stone for the present work, with the patterns for colouring approved by him, so that the task of completing the ‘Supplement to the Monograph of the Trochilidae’ seemed at first sight to be an easy one. We have, however, received from Mr. Osbert Salvin, F.R.S., who has most kindly interested himself in making this monograph perfect, a list of the species left unfigured at the time of Mr. Gould’s decease, and we find that there are more than one hundred Humming-birds not represented in the original monograph.

“Some of these it will be impossible to figure, as the type specimens are unique in foreign collections; but Mr. Salvin has lent us a large number of specimens from his private collection, which will enable us to give illustrations of the majority of the species.

“Under these circumstances it is impossible to complete the Supplement in four parts, as intended by Mr. Gould, who, as we have pointed out above, had quite underestimated the number of additional species; and we believe that he must have contemplated publishing a further supplemental volume at some future date. We can promise, however, that the volume shall be completed in five parts; and of those
that remain to be done the text will be written by Mr. Bowdler Sharpe of the British Museum, who edited for the author the letterpress of the two parts already published by Mr. Gould; and the whole of the work will be under the supervision of Mr. Osbert Salvin, who has generously promised his cooperation.

"It should be stated that the second part, containing ten plates, is issued as intended by Mr. Gould; but the three remaining numbers of the work will contain descriptions of at least thirty species, with a larger number of plates than has hitherto been published. This will entail considerable pecuniary loss to us; but we trust that it will be received by the subscribers as evidence that we are prepared to sustain, at any cost, the high reputation of Mr. Gould's publications; and we may further add that the plates will be drawn, as heretofore, by Mr. Hart, and coloured by the same staff as in Mr. Gould's lifetime."

The following is a list of the species figured in Part II.:—

Eustephanus leyboldi.  Doricha lyrura.
fernandensis.  bryantae.
Androdon equatorialis.  Hypuroptila melanorrhoea.
Eupherusa poliocerca.  Heliantheca dichroura.
Spathura solstitialis.  Chaetocercus bombus.

10. Gould's 'Birds of New Guinea'.

[The Birds of New Guinea and the adjacent Papuan Islands, including any new Species that may be discovered in Australia. By John Gould, F.R.S. &c. Part XII. Folio. London: 1881.]

The 'Birds of New Guinea' is likewise to be continued by Mr. Bowdler Sharpe, Mr. Gould having left at his death a large number of drawings prepared for the purpose. Of these we have a fine selection in the present number, illustrating the following species:—

Seleucides nigricans.  Donacicola spectabilis.
Recetes uropygialis.  —— nigriceps.
jobiensis.  Myzomela sclateri.
Cinclosoma ajax.  —— cineracea.
Clytoceyx rex.  $Æ$lurecedus stonii.
Munia forbesi.  Casuarius bicarunculatus.
11. Hutton on a Cormorant from Campbell Island.

[On a Species of Cormorant from Campbell Island. By F. W. Hutton, Professor of Zoology, Otago University. Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. iv. p. 356.]

Prof. Hutton now identifies the Cormorant of Campbell Island (which he had previously recognized, in Trans. N.Z. Inst. xi. p. 339, as Phalacrocorax magellanicus) with Ph. nycthemerus, Cab. A synoptic table of the species of Cormorants of the subgenus Leucocarbo is added.

12. Newtons' 'List of the Birds of Jamaica.'


"This list," say the authors, "is intended to show as briefly, and at the same time as accurately as possible, the present state of knowledge in regard to the species of birds found in the island." It is a very useful compilation, references to the principal authorities on each species being concisely given. Of 189 species mentioned, 43 are designated as peculiar to Jamaica.

13. Oates's 'Matabele Land.'


Mr. C. G. Oates gives us an account of his brother's travels through Matabele Land to the Victoria Falls in 1873–1875, based upon his brother's letters and diaries. The late Mr. Frank Oates was an ardent naturalist, and made extensive collections in many branches of natural history. He died on the 5th of February 1875, in Matabele Land, north of Tati, of fever contracted on the Zambesi. His collections were saved by Dr. Bradshaw (the discoverer of Coracias spatulatus), who fortunately happened to be in his company, and are partly described in the appendix to the present volume. Mr. Sharpe writes on the birds, which, "in nearly
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every case," have been determined by Captain Shelley. The collection, Mr. Sharpe tells us, "was formed with the greatest care, and it is seldom that it falls to the lot of the naturalist to examine a series of birds in which the particulars of capture are so carefully noted on each specimen as in the present instance. For this reason alone therefore the collection is of great importance; but, besides this, it represents, without doubt, a very fair idea of the avifauna of the parts of the Transvaal and Matabele countries through which Mr. Oates travelled."

The species enumerated are 213 in number. *Bradyornis oatesi* is described as new, and figured, as is also *Saxicola shelleyi*, Sharpe, a "fine species, hitherto only known from a pair of birds in the British Museum, stated to have come from the Victoria Falls."

On the Inkwesi (20° 55' S. lat.), in February 1874, a Hornbill's nest was found. "The boys" says Frank Oates, "brought me a young Hornbill, and I was taken to the nest. A hollow tree, with a hole in it high up, was where the bird had come from. They poked out and pulled the wing-feathers off the old hen when I was not looking. I kept both birds."

"Karl says the old hen never leaves the young, the cock feeding them all, and that she gets quite bare of feathers. The number of young is two. The natives, he says, are very fond of them to eat, roasted." This is an interesting confirmation of the now well-known, but not less extraordinary, nesting-habits of the Bucerotidae.


M. Oustalet gives us a most complete essay on the Megapodes. After discussing the skeleton, muscular system, digestive and other internal organs and tegumentary structure at full length, he proceeds to consider the systematic relations of the family, and concludes to follow nearly the views of A. Milne-Edwards and Huxley on this point, except in separating
rather more definitely the Numididae from the Phasianidae, to bring them nearer to the Megapodes. M. Oustalet's "Alectoromorphæ" thus consists of six families—namely, Cracidae, Megapodiidae, Numididae, Meleagridæ, Phasianidae, and Tetraonidae.

The Megapodiidae are then divided into four genera, as follows:

1. Megacephalon, with 1 species.
2. Leipoa, "  1 "
3. Talegallus, "  7 "
4. Megapodius, "  19 "

Full details are given of each of these species and of the facts of their extraordinary modes of reproduction, so far as they are known. General observations on the geographical distribution of the family conclude this excellent and instructive memoir. Coloured figures are given of Talegallus bruijnii and of the heads of three species of the same genus.

15. Pelzeln on Birds from Borneo.


Only two birds are mentioned, Arachnothera longirostris and Polyplectron schleiermacheri; the female of the latter is now first described. The collection was made at Teweh, in Central Borneo.

16. Pelzeln on Birds from Central Africa.


Herr v. Pelzeln gives us an account of a collection of 150 skins sent by Dr. Emin Bey from the country between Lado and the Albert Nyanza. Eremomela hypoxantha is described as new; a new genus (Icteropsis) is proposed for Hyphantornis crocata, Hartlaub; and various notes are given.
17. Ramsay on Birds from the Solomon Islands and Australia.


Macropygia rufocastanea, from the "Solomon Islands," is described as new; and Rhipidura saturata Sharpe, nee Salvad., is proposed to be called R. sharpei. Notes are given on various Australian Muscicapidae and Campophagidae in relation to Mr. Sharpe's Catalogue.

18. Ramsay on a Species of Lalage.


A description is given, but no name, and no locality! The specimen "agrees neither with Mr. Sharpe's description of Symmorphus navia nor S. leucopygialis;" but Mr. Ramsay is "inclined to the belief that all three belong to one and the same species." We confess that we do not quite understand the object of this "note."


The birds here mentioned are from Mr. Goldie's "large and interesting collections" made in the latter half of 1878, which were "placed at Mr. Ramsay's disposal," and from Lieut. Richards's collection made at the Solomon Islands and Duke-of-York group, which the owner "kindly allowed" Mr. Ramsay "to examine." The following species are described as new:—Astur brachyurus (Hab. "Thirty miles inland"!); Ninox terricolor, from Goldie river; Piezorhynchus melanocephalus, from San Christoval (Richards); Sericornis? fulvipectoralis [sic!], from Goldie river; Myzomela forbesi, from Woodlark Island; and Otidiphaps nobilis, var.
cervicalis, from Goldie river. In the errata to the volume in which the paper is published we find that Astur brachyurus is from New Britain (Lieut. Richards), and that the Otidiphaps is referred to as "Otidiphaps cervicalis, spec. nov." (cf. our note, Ibis, 1881, p. 178).

We have to apologize for so long deferring a notice of this and the two preceding papers; but, as already mentioned, the author does not send us separate copies, and we have had to wait until the numbers of the journal in which they appear are received. These are always much behindhand, the last part received by the Zoological Society at this date (Dec. 1st, 1881) being part 1 of vol. vi., containing papers read on Jan. 27th, 1881.

20. Ramsay on Birds from the Solomon Islands.


In this paper (stated in MS. to have been read Feb. 23, 1881, and for sight of which we are indebted to Canon Tristram) Mr. Ramsay describes as new Graucalus elegans from Guadalcanar, Piezorhynchus richardsii from Ugi, Myzomela tristrami (no locality given), Myzomela pulcherrima from Ugi, Tephras olivaceus from the "Solomon Islands," and Nasiterna finschii from San Christoval, and gives remarks upon other species.

21. Rathbun's 'Bright Feathers.'


Mr. Rathbun kindly sends us the first two numbers of his new work, which will, we trust, help in carrying out his wish of assisting those of his countrymen who are not well acquainted with their native birds. It is intended to be completed in twelve parts, each part being devoted to some brightly coloured bird indigenous to the State of New York.
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The plates are engraved and coloured by the author himself. Part 1 is devoted to *Carpodacus purpureus*, part 2 to *Goniaphe* ludoviciana.

22. **Ridgway on the Birds of Illinois.**

[Illinois State Laboratory of Natural History.—Bulletin No. 4. A Revised Catalogue of the Birds ascertained to occur in Illinois. By Robert Ridgway. 8vo. Bloomington, Ill.: 1881.]

Mr. Ridgway records the occurrence of 341 species of birds in the State of Illinois, adding about 30 to his last catalogue of the same avifauna, published in 1874. Mr. Ridgway observes that “probably no inland state or territory not traversed by lofty mountain-ranges is equal to Illinois as regards the richness and variety of its avian fauna.”

23. **Ridgway on the Desiderata of the U.S. National Museum.**


Mr. Ridgway’s title explains itself. Such of the deficiencies as can be supplied by correspondents of the Museum or of the Smithsonian Institution “will be very thankfully received.”

24. **Ridgway on the Genus Centurus.**


Fourteen forms of *Centurus* are treated of in this paper as “sufficiently distinct for definition,” but “not more than six can be said to be perfectly isolated, or to possess the requirements of perfectly distinct species.” These six are the three West-Indian species, *C. radiolatus*, *C. superciliaris*, and *C. striatus*, and three continental ones, *C. uropygialis*, *C. hypopolius*, and *C. elegans*. Those which “certainly intergrade” are *C. aurifrons*, *C. santacruzi*, *C. dubius*, and *C. hoffmanni*, all of which, however, are “strongly characterized geogra-

* On this generic term *cf. infra*, p. 183.
phical races or subspecies.” Five are “of doubtful relation-
ship.” The various species and subspecies are worked out in
the habitually elaborate and exact method of the distinguished
author. The U.S. National Museum contains examples of
all the species except C. hypopolius, C. rufiventris, and C.
tricolor (“the two latter being of somewhat doubtful status”),
and fine series of most of them.

[Ornitologia della Papuasia e delle Molucche, di Tommaso Salvadori.
Parte seconda. 4to. Torino: 1881.]

In our notice of the issue of the first volume of Prof. Sal-
vadori’s work (Ibis, 1880, p. 255) we gave a short account
of this great undertaking, and of the extensive materials upon
which the author had based it. It is with great pleasure that
we now chronicle the issue of the second volume, and are
able to announce that the third, which will complete the
work, is far advanced in preparation.

In the present volume the numerous Passeres come under
consideration, and swell its size to 706 pages, which treat
of about 470 species. The plan pursued is exactly the same
as that of the first volume. Every species is fully and fairly
described; its complete synonymy is given; and a detailed list
of the specimens examined from various localities over which
the species is spread is added.

As many of our readers are already aware, the Royal
Academy of Sciences of Turin, which published Prof. Salva-
dori’s first volume as one of their ‘Memorie,’ have unfortu-
nately not found it convenient to adopt the same course as
regards the second. The author is therefore compelled to
appeal to his brother ornithologists to subscribe for copies of
the second and third volumes of his most meritorious work,
in which, we are sure, he will receive every possible support.
Few special works of the present day have been so well planned
or so thoroughly carried into execution as Salvadori’s ‘Orni-
tologia della Papuasia.’
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The Papuan Passeres, according to our author, consist of 471 species, belonging to 22 families:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirundinidae</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Brachypodidae</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscicapidae</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Pittidae</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campophagidae</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Timelidae</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artamidae</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Saxicolidae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicruridae</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sylviidae</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laniidae</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Motacillidae</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menuridae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ploceidae</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certhidae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sturnidae</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nectariniidae</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Oriolidae</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicidae</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Corvidae</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meliphagidae</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Paradiseidae</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The specimens described were recently received by the late Count Turati of Milan from the Museum Godeffroy. *Strix aurantia*, *Zosterops hypoxantha*, and *Myzomela erythromelas*, all from New Britain, and *Sauromarps cyanophrys*, allied to *S. tyro*, from New Guinea, are described as new. Other rarities are remarked upon.

27. Tiraut on the Birds of Lower Cochin-China.


Dr. Tiraut gives a list of the birds met with during his sojourn in Cochin-China in the years 1875–1877, when he collected more than a thousand specimens, now in the Museum of Lyons. Dr. Tiraut enumerates 353 species, and adds the principal references, native names, and observations on exact localities and habits as noticed, making altogether a very useful account of an avifauna of which we previously knew but little.


To the readers of 'The Ibis,' at least, it is not necessary to say much in explanation of the present volume, which is dedicated by the widow of our fellow-worker 'to the dear memory of the author. That the reprint of the late Marquis of Tweeddale's writings will be most acceptable and most useful to all who are interested in ornithology need hardly be stated in these pages. It is rendered more valuable to us who knew him so well by the portrait and memoir which accompany it.

It is an additional satisfaction to us to be able to point out that Capt. Wardlaw Ramsay has accomplished his editorial task in a most exact and accurate manner. In order to facilitate the quotation of the original from the reprint exact references are introduced in the form of marginal notes. The only alterations introduced are in the case of obvious misprints, orthographical errors, and corrections made in the author's own handwriting; but many footnotes are appended, marked as editorial.

The editor has likewise contributed a very useful piece of original work to the Appendix. This consists of a "revised list of the birds known to occur in the Philippine Islands, showing their geographical distribution," compiled from Lord Tweeddale's numerous papers, and from two on the same subject from Mr. Sharpe. The Philippine avifauna proper is thus shown to comprehend 336 species, besides 43 others belonging to Palawan, Balabac, and the Sulu Archipelago. But 19 of these may be reckoned as doubtful from various causes; so that 317 is the proper total for the restricted, and 360 for the more extended area.
29. White's 'Cameos from the Silver-Land.'


This work, by a naturalist well known to many of us, commences with several chapters of general remarks upon the Argentine Republic, in which a variety of useful information is given. The author then gives an account of his visit to Cordova and Mendoza, in which several passing allusions will be found to birds, to the study of which Mr. White is specially devoted*. At San Juan, under the Andes, in the home of a medical gentleman, Mr. White "was grieved to be the witness of a literary sacrilege" such as he never before beheld. "The floor of the drawing-room was strewn with the wreck of Gould’s magnificent work on the Toucans; and I trembled lest that on the Trochilidae, which was at hand in a bookcase, should share the same fate: these splendid tomes, the gift of well-known English ornithologists from the West Indies on their visit to San Juan, leaving their natural use, had degenerated into nursery playthings."

XIV.—Letters, Announcements, &c.

We have received the following letters addressed to the Editors of 'The Ibis':—

Dresden, 16th July, 1881.
R. Zoological Museum.

Sirs,—Perhaps the news would interest the readers of 'The Ibis' that a Sarcorhamphus gryphus in the Dresden Zoological Gardens has lately hatched two young ones in eight weeks and four days. This happened in the Zoological Society of London's Gardens, if I am rightly informed, in six weeks two days, a hen hatching the eggs in the latter case.

Yours &c.,
A. B. Meyer.

* Cf. Ibis, 1881, p. 599.
Dunipace House, Larbert,
Oct. 10th, 1881.

Sirs,—I wish to record in a few words the occurrence in Scotland for the first time of the white-spotted form of *Cyanea*, viz. *C. wolfi* (C. L. Brehm). It was obtained at the lantern of the lighthouse on the Isle of May on the 22nd of September 1881, and was sent to me for identification by our reporter there, Mr. Agnew, along with an adult male Redstart and a Goatsucker, the latter being the first occurrence of that species also at Isle of May. According to Mr. Agnew’s schedule, returned at the same time, the wind was light westerly on the 17th, with haze. The next record occurs on the 22nd, the date of the capture of this specimen and of numerous arrivals of Redstarts, Mavises, Swallows, Golden Plovers, Ring-Plovers, Curlews, &c.—indeed, the record of a “rush” of migrants. On that day the wind was strong S.E.; and it continued all day. The present specimen, now in our collection here, was caught or killed after midday. Mr. Agnew writes, “weather very thick haze, approaching to fog, with a continuous downpour of rain.” “All the birds,” adds Mr. Agnew, “seen today seemed perfectly bewildered.” The bird is a specimen in that “peculiar autumn plumage” figured by Dresser (*Birds of Europe,* vol. i. pl. 50, see also p. 350). I should have liked to have given you a more extended notice of this occurrence, with some remarks upon a subject which I consider of some importance, viz. the distribution of the occurrences of rare European species in Britain; but I fear to occupy too much space; I propose therefore to send these longer remarks to the ‘Zoologist.’

Yours &c.,

J. A. Harvie Brown, F.R.S.E. &c.

Northrepps, November 26, 1881.

Sirs,—In ‘The Ibis’ for 1881, p. 606, Count Salvadori suggests that the specimen of *Urospizias albipulgaris* figured on pl. viii. of the same volume is too young to “have the dimensions of a fully adult male.” I am desirous of stating
two reasons which induce me to differ from this opinion. In the first place, the bird, as mentioned in my description of it, had already assumed more or less of the adult plumage on the forehead, nape, mantle, chin, throat, and breast; and secondly, this specimen was thus ticketed by Lieut. Richards, who obtained it, "♂. Iris bright yellow, feet orange; 27th August, 1878;" whereas a specimen, probably, from the date when it was killed, about eight months younger, and in which the bases of the primaries were still in their sheaths, subsequently procured by Lieut. Richards in the same locality, was marked by him thus, "♂, young. Iris drab, feet yellowish; food lizards &c.; 16th December, 1880." The fact of the changes of colour accomplished in the eyes and feet of the first specimen, and commenced in its plumage also, seem to me to indicate that it had advanced sufficiently towards maturity to be already full-grown.

I may add the following measurements, taken from the second and younger male:—wing 7·9 inches, tarsus 2·2, middle toe s. u. 1·5.

Yours &c.,

J. H. GURNEY.

Durham, Dec. 1, 1881.

Sirs,—I have been much interested by Dr. Sclater's very interesting addition to the known species of the restricted genus Erythrura; and while unhesitatingly admitting both his species (though E. regia comes extremely close to E. pealii, which, judging from the five specimens before me, is a rather variable species), I must demur to his attempt to unite E. cyanifrons of Layard with E. trichroa. By an error reference is made to "Ibis, 1879, p. 280," instead of "p. 191." There I have pointed out the distinction between these two species, viz. that the bill of E. cyanifrons is scarcely half the size of that of its congener. In fact, the difference is as great as between the bills of a Linnet and a Greenfinch. When writing, I had before me seven examples of the one and eight of the other (a fair series for comparison), and the distinction was constant and uniform.
I grant that the bills of the Fringillidæ are often a variable quantity; but here we have the case of a genus which, like Myiagra and Ptilopus, seems to vary in every Pacific group; and it would be, à priori, most improbable and contrary to analogy that identical species should be found so far apart as Batchian &c. on the one side and New Caledonia on the other, though we should expect a blue-masked species there, just as we now find a red-masked species in New Hebrides, nearer to the red-masked types of Fiji and Samoa. I trust therefore that E. cyanifrons may not be so pitilessly put out of existence.

Yours &c.,

H. B. Tristram.

Newcastle, Natal,
3rd November, 1881.

Sirs,—I am happy to be able to tell you that, though prevented by political motives from prosecuting any further operations against the Boers, some of us are hard at work in these parts in a campaign against the birds, and have, I hope, collected between us a respectable amount of specimens and information concerning them.

Capt. E. A. Butler, Royal Irish Rifles, Capt. H. W. Feilden, 6th Dragoons, and myself are all quartered here, and are working together with a view to the compilation of a joint "Contribution to the Ornithology of Natal," which we hope will see the light in a future number of 'The Ibis.'

Newcastle is not a good station for the collector, there being but little bush and but few scattered "vleys" or marshes; but we have now somewhere about 180 species on record, and hope to make this up to 200 before we leave, with the additional kinds we may meet with on the march down to Durban.

Yours &c.,

Savile G. Reid, Capt. R.E.

5 East View, Hyde Park, Leeds,
December 3rd, 1881.

Sirs,—The second occurrence in the British Isles of
Emberiza rustica, Pall., may be deemed worthy of a record in the pages of 'The Ibis,' to which the late Mr. Gould communicated the news of the bird's first appearance in Britain, in 1867 ('The Ibis,' 1869, p. 128).

On the 17th of September last, after a lapse of fourteen years, a bird of this species was shot on the sandhills of the Yorkshire coast, near to the village of Easington, in Holderness, by Mr. Townend, the schoolmaster. The specimen, supposed by those who have examined it to be a female, was given to Mr. P. W. Lawton, a local ornithologist, in whose possession it remained unidentified until I visited Easington on the 7th of October.

I sent the bird to Professor Newton; and it was exhibited by him at the Meeting of the Zoological Society on the 15th of November.

Yours &c.,

Wm. Eagle Clarke.

The Generic Term Goniaphea.—Dr. Coues (Bull. Nutt. Orn. Club, v. p. 98) says that he has carefully examined Bowditch's 'Excursions in Madeira' without finding any reference to the generic term "Goniaphea"—a name often applied (as first suggested by G. R. Gray, Gen. B. ii. p. 357) to the Rose-breasted Grosbeak of North America. Having lately had occasion to investigate this subject, I have succeeded in discovering the passage in Bowditch’s work; and, in order to try and set this vexed question at rest, I transcribe it. Speaking of an excursion from Funchal, in Madeira, Bowditch says (p. 29), "I saw another and more curious bird, but I doubt if it is a native of the island. The outline of the beak most resembles that of the Widow-bird (Vidua, Cuv.); but the commissure is situated like that of the Grakle (Gracula, Cuv.), immediately beneath the nostril, and forms a much deeper angle; it evidently belongs to the Conirostres

* The full title of this work is 'Excursions in Madeira and Porto Santo during the Autumn of 1823, while on his Third Voyage to Africa,' by the late T. Edward Bowditch. 4to. London: 1825.
of Cuvier; and I should place it under the name of Goniaphea, between Fringilla and Corythus."

In a footnote Bowditch adds, "The upper mandible closes over the lower, and the middle toe is longer than the others; the whole bird is black, with the exception of the head, which is azure in G. leucocephala." A woodcut of the bill is given at the end of the work.

Now it is quite evident that the bird here spoken of is not Hedymeles ludovicianus (a species, moreover, very unlikely to have turned up in Madeira); for the description and figure do not in the least agree with it. Further, no such bird as is here described being found in Madeira, we may, I think, consider the name Goniaphea as void for uncertainty. If I were to make a guess as to what bird Bowditch had in view when writing the passage in question, I should say that it might have been one of the African Weaver-birds (Pyrenestes capitalbus). It is quite possible that he might have obtained a skin of the latter bird during his mission to Ashantee, and afterwards confounded it with specimens procured in Madeira.

While, however, I quite agree with Dr. Coues that Goniaphea cannot be employed for the Rose-breasted Grosbeak, I do not think it necessary to reject Hedymeles, Cab. (1851), because Sundevall (Kongl. Vet. Ak. Förh. 1846) proposed to apply "Hedymela" to Muscicapa atricapilla, for which it is never used. Our excellent fellow-worker will forgive us, I trust, if we prefer Dr. Cabanis's "Hedymeles" to Dr. Coues's more recently proposed term "Zamelodia." — P. L. S.

Estrelata jamaicensis (Bancroft).—Of this Petrel Mr. D. Morris, of the Botanical Department, Jamaica, writes as follows to 'Nature' (Dec. 15, 1881, vol. xxv. p. 151):—"During certain seasons of the year it is remarkable that this sea-bird should be found in holes under trees and in burrows on the Cinchona plantations and in the unfrequented woods of the Blue Mountain range, at elevations from 6000 feet to 7000 feet.

"The natural inference was that the birds make their
nests on these places. But, although careful search has been made during the last two years, and a reward offered for nests, eggs, or any signs of nidification, nothing whatever has been found in that direction. It is therefore very probable that the birds use these holes and burrows simply as resting-places during the day, whence they sally forth at night to their feeding-grounds at sea. The latter is distant only, as the Crow flies, about twelve or fourteen miles. The birds are found in their burrows chiefly during the months of November, December, January, and March. Sometimes two lie in one hole, and the dogs easily find them; but it has been noticed that the birds are always full-grown, and with no apparent nest. I have been led to send you these remarks in the hope that possibly some of your readers with a wider knowledge of the habits of Petrels might be able to give some clue as to the locality and general character of their nesting-places.”

Loddigesia mirabilis.—At the Zoological Society’s Meeting on the 15th of November last, Messrs. Taczanowski and Stolzmann’s memoir on this most wonderful of Humming-birds was read, and a series of skins showing the different plumages of the adult male and female and young male was exhibited. The adult male of Loddigesia is stated by the authors to possess only four rectrices, the two conspicuous external feathers and a diminutive median pair. The long middle tail-feathers represented in Gould’s figure of this species are, in reality, the middle pair of under tail-coverts. This tail-structure is quite unique in the class of Birds.

In ‘The Times’ of the 9th of July 1881 was announced the death of Dr. Hildebrandt, who has added so much to our ornithological knowledge of Eastern Africa. He had been driven back by the rains in an attempt to penetrate into the southern part of Madagascar; and his name must be added to the long list of those explorers who have fallen victims to their zeal in that unhealthy island. He died on the 29th of May 1881.
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Parra sinensis, Gm. The Pheasant-tailed Jacana.

Late one evening in the first week in May, while shooting near Saiyong Jheel, on the Perak river, I was stalking a flock of Teal which had gone down on some swampy ground bordering the water, when something white darted past, which, in the dark, I took to be a Goose Teal, so fired, but found that instead of a Teal I had killed a most beautiful specimen of this handsome bird, the only one I came across in the peninsula, though in India I believe it is far from rare.

It was a male in summer plumage; length 17½ inches, of which the tail of four long tapering black feathers measures 5½; irides brown; beak, legs, and toes plumbeous, the toes are very long and slender, and set like the spokes of a wheel, hind claw 1½ inch; underparts white, barred irregularly with black; a peculiar golden mane passes along the back of the neck; the back and scapulars are brown with a bright purple gloss; wings pure white, excepting the first primary, outer
webs of second and third, and borders round the ends of the secondaries, which are black; wing-feathers very lanceolate, the first primary has at its tip a peculiar filament, the fourth is very attenuated and pointed; wing-coverts barred with grey.

**Porphyrio calvus**, Vieill. The Purple Coot.

One afternoon, while Teal-shooting in Perak, I was wading about a jheel overgrown with weeds and aquatic plants, among which I shot a specimen, my only one, of this Coot.

Its plumage reminded me much of *Porphyrio cæruleus* of Europe; but it is smaller than that bird, also its beak and legs are not of such a bright red. It feeds principally on weeds and other green substances. The stomach of the one I shot was very muscular, and contained vegetable matter and a quantity of sand; but possibly they occasionally prey on the young of other birds, as their relation, *P. cæruleus*, which I shot in Sicily, had there the reputation of killing young wildfowl; also, when visiting Mr. Whampoa, a Chinese gentleman residing in Singapore, he showed me a very handsome pair of these Coots in his garden, but said he was obliged to confine them in a cage, as, when let loose, they killed his chickens.

My Perak specimen, a male, shot on 9th May 1877, was 17 inches in length; neck, throat, and upper parts of the breast pale greenish blue; back of neck and the abdomen deep purple; vent freckled with grey; under tail-coverts white; wing-coverts light blue; legs, beak, and frontal plate dull red; back and scapulars dark brown tinged with green and blue. Soon after death the beautiful blue of its plumage faded.

There were two of these Purple Coots in the Botanical Gardens, Singapore, also specimens in Raffles's Museum.

**Gallicrex cristata** (Lath.). The Crested Water-cock.

This Water-fowl is very plentiful, breeding among the jheels and reedy swamps of Western Malayana. Personally I never found a nest, but in Perak, during April, have shot males with the red frontal plate, assumed only during the breeding-
season, fully developed. The following is from my notebook:

"Kwala Kangsar, Perak, 31st March, 1877. This evening, in a very wet paddy-swamp, I shot a bird uncommonly like a Coot (*Fulica atra*), except that its toes were very long, and without lobe, web, or any other aid to swimming; it flew with a heavy flapping flight close over the tops of the reeds. It was of black plumage, but a good deal marked with rusty brown; also it had a little white on its shoulders; irides dark brown; length 15 inches; claws long, very curved and sharp; legs yellowish green, as was the beak, which, extended up the forehead in the form of a reddish frontal plate; so I take the bird to be a young male in breeding-plumage; in the adult the iris is crimson."

Again, in my notes I find:

"Singapore, 22nd December, 1877. Today I got four couple of Snipe in the valley near Cluny, also shot a female specimen of the Water-cock (*G. cristata*), which Drake flushed out of a thick patch of reeds standing in water nearly two feet deep. Though at different times I have shot dozens of these birds, I never remember finding them anywhere but in very wet places; in Perak they were exceedingly plentiful on all the jheels, but kept to the thick reed-beds. During last spring I shot a great many on the jheels near Saiyong and Kota Lama, and found them very good eating, though in that respect not equal to the little Goose Teal.

"The great difference in size of the sexes of this bird is very noticeable: the female I shot today is 13 inches in length; irides dark brown; legs and beak dull green, the latter reddish at its base; head and the upper parts dark brown; the feathers of the back, also the tertials, broadly edged with pale brown; chin, throat, supercilia, outer web of first primary, and the shoulder white; underparts pale rufous brown, narrowly barred with dusky brown, particularly on the flanks."

The male is a larger bird, about 16 inches in length, and, when mature, has red irides and its plumage very dark.

In Singapore I once put up a Water-cock which flew a
short distance, then settled on the top of some bushes eight or ten feet above the ground, a most unusual thing for one of these birds to do. It looked most strangely out of place; so I shot it in order to be sure of its identity.

**Erythra phoenicura (Penn.).**

The White-breasted Water-hen, though by no means rare, is not very often seen, owing to its extreme shyness; it frequents thick covert near water. At Singapore I occasionally saw it in the hedge-rows near the lake in the Botanical Gardens.

During November 1879 I shot several specimens on Pulo-Battam; also during 1877 I got many in Perak and Larut. One of the Pulo-Battam birds was 12 inches in length; beak yellowish green, reddish on the ridge; legs dull green; tarsus $2\frac{1}{4}$; upper plumage dull bluish black with a slight green tinge; face, throat, and breast pure white; vent and under tail-coverts chestnut. This specimen, being immature, had the irides brown; in the adult they are deep crimson. I once saw one of these birds settle on the upper branches of some trees; but they were of no height, about ten or twelve feet at the outside.

**Porzana cinerea, Vicill.** The Small Water-Rail.

I never came across this Rail on the mainland; but on Singapore, in certain localities, notably the Mount-Echo valley, they were very plentiful, particularly during September and October; but perhaps being out Snipe-shooting a great deal during those months I noticed them more than at other times, when I did not pass so much time in their resorts.

My notes are as follows:

"Singapore, 7th October, 1879. Passed the afternoon Snipe-shooting in the Mount-Echo valley, wading through the swampy grass-fields knee-deep in most horrible filth—the sewage of Singapore, which is carried out from the town in large wooden tubs by the Chinese coolies and emptied over the fields as manure. The smell is most disgusting; but the valley being capital collecting-ground, in spite of the deep wading and unsavoury odours, I frequently pay it a visit."
“Today I got some Snipe (Gallinago stenura), Bitterns (Ardetta cinnamomea), Golden Plover (Charadrius fulvus), and several small Rails (Porzana cinerea); these last were very plentiful in the deepest parts of the swamp, and nearly every bush held one. When flushed they flew with a weak flight, with their long legs trailing behind them, for about fifty yards, then dropped and ran for the nearest covert, from which it was not easy to get them up a second time.

“A female I dissected had the ovaries much developed, stomach very muscular, full of grass-seeds, a fine thread-like weed, and a quantity of sand.

“Length 7½ inches, tarsus 1½; irides red, orbits scarlet; legs yellowish green, soles yellow; beak yellowish green, orange at its base; upper parts, the wings, and tail dull brown, with a plumbeous tinge on the head and neck; under-parts, also a streak under and over the eyes, white; sides of the neck and breast bluish grey. Another I shot had the irides a reddish brown colour.”

At sunset on any fine evening during September dozens of them were to be seen feeding out in the open on the swamps below Mount Echo, scuttling off in all directions directly they were disturbed.

**Hyptiænidia striata** (Linn.).

This common Water-Rail is apparently more abundant in the south than in the north of the peninsula, as I did not meet with it in Perak, while in Singapore I found it, at all seasons, the most common of all the Rails. I got specimens every day I went Snipe-shooting, their favourite resorts being very wet swamps covered with low bushes.

A female I shot on Pulo Battam, on 30th September 1879, was 10 inches in length, tarsus 1½; irides dark brown; beak fleshy red, dusky on culmen and tip; legs dull green. Its stomach contained a quantity of dark-green substance, among which I detected the fragments of insects and the shelly covering of a chrysalis of some sort.

Another female, shot in Singapore 30th September 1877, was slightly smaller than the above, in other respects similar.
Top of head, the nape, and a streak down each side of the neck chestnut, marked with black on the crown; the wings and upper parts olive-brown, covered with narrow wavy bars of white, edged with black; the chin and throat dull white; a streak below the eye, the sides of the neck, and the breast lead-grey; abdomen dull brownish grey, barred, particularly on the flanks, with white.

**Rallina fasciata** (Raffles).
This handsome Banded Rail is decidedly rare; I never shot one, and saw very few in the Malaccan collections. It can easily be identified by its richly banded plumage. It is smaller, also has the olive of the back more rufous than *Porzana ceylonica*.

**Leptoptilus argala** (Lath.).
The well-known Adjutant bird of Anglo-Indians is found along the Malayan coasts, but, I think, not so plentifully as the rather smaller and more darkly plumaged *L. javanicus*.

In August 1877 I saw several Adjutants on the mud at the mouth of the Moar river.

**Leptoptilus javanicus** (Horsf.). The Malay Adjutant.
Much more common in the Straits than the last-named species; both, however, there go by the name of "Adjutant bird." I found it plentiful on the mud-flats at the mouths of most of the rivers on the west coast, particularly about the bar at the entrance to the Larut river; but I never shot one, as on every occasion my baggage was much too limited to allow room for stowing away so bulky a bird.

It is easily tamed, and invaluable as a scavenger, particularly in a hot climate, where things do not improve by being kept. When quartered at Tanglin, every time I drove into Singapore I passed a pair of these Adjutants, which lived on the grass-plot at the roadside close to the town. They seemed very contented with their lot, never straying far away from one place, and were usually to be seen either perched on a railing, apparently buried in thought, or else gravely stalking along the edge of a tidal ditch bordering the road, on
the look-out for frogs, fish, or pieces of offal that might come drifting down the stream. My dog frequently used to rush and bark at them, when they put themselves into the most absurd attitudes, if very closely assailed bending forwards with their wings upraised, necks extended, and enormous bills wide open, presenting a most grotesque appearance.

The detachment of my regiment stationed at Penang bought a pair of these Adjutants from a Malay, and kept them on the race-course just outside the Mess. The following account of the birds, their manners and customs, is given me by an officer of the detachment, who watched them daily:

"In June 1877, when at Penang, S. S., B— purchased, for the sum of three or four dollars, two Adjutant birds of a black and white colour; head and bill of a yellowish colour, as was also the neck; their bills were nearly a foot in length; they possessed but very few feathers on the head and neck—in fact, only a few sprouting hairs; their backs and wings were of a greenish black, and their breasts of a dirty white colour. The birds stood about three feet in height.

"They were never kept in confinement, and from the very first were allowed to roam over a large open expanse of ground, but never seemed inclined to stray far, and very seldom even attempted to fly; and when they did it was rather a failure, and consisted of a succession of bounds for about fifty yards, after which they appeared to be quite exhausted.

"They were very curious birds to watch, and always gave one the idea that the surroundings had but little attraction for them, as they would spend more than half the day standing motionless opposite each other, bill to bill, and with both wings outspread, forming a most ludicrous picture; sometimes they would stand like this for an hour or more; but occasionally one of them raised and stretched out one of its legs as if it were stiff; otherwise they would scarcely move a muscle. I do not remember ever hearing either of them utter a sound, though we often listened.

"They were very coarse feeders, and did not consider much before they fed, either as regards quality or quantity. On
one occasion I threw to one of them, as fast as I could, one by one, several small fish about six inches in length; these he gulped down to the number of thirty-two, and even then did not appear satisfied.

"After they had been with us about a month, one morning one of them looked rather sorry for itself, and basked in the sun with outspread wings for several hours; but later in the day he lay down on the grass with his eyes closed, evidently very sick; by him stood his brother, quite unconcerned, and, as it seemed to us (for we watched him closely), unaware of any thing unusual being the matter. They remained like this till late in the afternoon, when we saw the healthy bird put his head on one side, and, looking inquisitively at his sick comrade, proceed to stir him up with his beak, but without making him move; and on going out we found him to be dead. To discover the cause of death a post-mortem was decided on; and B—and myself set to work at once, and found in the bird's stomach, which was much inflamed, the legs and claws of a large Fowl, quite undigested, and probably the cause of its decease.

"The amusing part of the post-mortem was that the surviving bird stood close by to see us cut up his brother, and evidently with much pleasure; for he eagerly watched us slice off great lumps of meat, and was delighted when they were thrown to him, gobbling them up in no time; after a good meal he stalked away, very well satisfied with the afternoon's performance, apparently thinking what a pity it was he had not a brother dying every day."

**Ardea sumatrana. The Malay Purple Heron.**

Plentiful in the jheels and paddy-swamps in Perak, particularly during April, when I found them in great numbers among the reeds of the large jheel near Saiyong; as I waded about I used to see them, with their long necks stretched out and heads raised above the reeds, most intently watching my movements.

They were rather wary, though when flushed they generally flew but a short distance, and settled on the upper branches
of some large trees bordering the jheel; then, under cover of
the jungle, they were easily stalked. They reminded me
much of *A. purpurea*, the European Purple Heron, except
that they were not nearly so richly coloured as that bird.
An immature female, which I shot at Kota Lama jheel,
Perak, on 5th April 1877, measured about thirty-six inches
in length, bill at front $4\frac{1}{4}$, tarsus 5; crown of head dull bluish
grey; chin and throat white; face and neck rufous brown,
the latter spotted longitudinally with dark brown; upper parts
dull brown, the feathers edged with rufous brown and slightly
glossed with purple and green; tail and wings slate-grey;
wing-coverts ashy, with pale rufous edges to the feathers;
abdomen yellowish white. It had been feeding on small
fishes.

**Herodias garzetta** (Linn.).

I frequently met with this Egret among the swamps in
Singapore, generally in flocks of from fifteen to thirty.

My notes record:

"Singapore, 21st October, 1880. Today, while shooting
Snipe in the swamp behind the barracks, I put up a party of
twenty white Egrets, and, as they passed overhead, brought
down one of them, a fine specimen of *H. garzetta*, in pure
white plumage, but of course, at this time of the year, without
the crest and the dorsal and pectoral plumes of the
breeding-season.

"In length it is 24 inches, bill at front $3\frac{1}{4}$, tarsus 4; legs
black, blotched with green; toes green; soles yellow."

**Buphus coromandus** (Bodd.).

The Cattle-Egret is very plentiful throughout the Malay
peninsula; the following are some of the many references to it
in my note-book:

"Kwala Kangsar, Perak, 17th February, 1877. Buff-
backed Herons are very common here; wherever there are
many buffaloes large flocks of them are always to be seen,
either walking about among the animals' legs, or else perched
on their backs picking out ticks and other vermin. This
afternoon, close to Kota Lama, I shot a female specimen:
length 19\(\frac{1}{2}\) inches, beak at front 2\(\frac{1}{4}\), tarsus 3\(\frac{1}{2}\); plumage white, with the exception of a faint buff tinge on the head and nape; irides yellow; legs black; beak reddish yellow; in short, the bird was in almost perfect non-breeding plumage, though another, which I shot out of the same flock, shows traces of the buff back. Every evening at dusk a large flock of these Egrets fly across the river and roost in a clump of trees exactly opposite our camp.”

“Singapore, 4th November, 1880. Leaving Tanglin directly after dinner, I followed a jungle-path for a mile or two till it brought me out on an open swamp, a branch of the Mount-Echo valley. Quietly parting the bushes, I looked out into the open, and found myself quite close to a large flock of Cattle-Egrets, which, unaware of my presence, were stalking about the swamp picking up larvae and aquatic insects. After watching them for several minutes, I stepped out from my hiding-place and, as they rose, brought down a couple. The birds were so confused at my suddenly and so unexpectedly appearing almost in their midst, that they flapped about in all directions, not knowing which way to go, and gave me easy shots. One, struck by a single pellet, which grazed the top of its head, seemed to be completely dazed, and, though in other respects untouched, made no attempt to fly away, nor even to walk, but stood bolt upright, quite motionless, and stared vacantly at me in a most idiotic manner; I suppose it was suffering from concussion of the brain.

“Both of the birds I shot were in pure white plumage, except a slight tinge of buff on the head; the beak was orange, at front 2\(\frac{1}{4}\) inches; orbital skin greenish yellow; irides yellow; legs black tinged with green, soles green; tarsus 3\(\frac{1}{2}\) inches. Their stomachs contained large spiders, several grasshoppers, dragonflies, and small insects.”

“Kuala Kangsar, Perak, 8th April, 1877. Today I shot in the country round Saiyong, and on the large jheel saw several Herons (Ardea sumatrana), a few Teal, and literally hundreds of Cattle-Egrets; the last are becoming of a ruddy brown colour on the head, neck, and breast, a sure sign of the approach of the breeding-season.”
**BUTORIDES JAVANICUS**, Horsf.

Common, I got several in Perak. For many weeks one resorted daily to the river-bank just below our camp at Kwala Kangsar, and I often watched it fishing; at length, doubtless thinking itself in a dangerous neighbourhood, it took itself off to other grounds.

I also found this species plentiful among the islands of the Singapore archipelago; in my notes, in a description of a trip to Pulo Mongsa, is the following:

"23rd September, 1880. . . . I found Pulo Mongsa to be about half a mile long by less than a hundred yards wide, thickly wooded, but fringed with a broad coral reef, at low tide of considerable width. Near its shores were long rows of fishing-stakes projecting some feet out of the water, on which sat hundreds of small green Herons (*Butorides javanicus*). On our approach they rose in regular flocks; and, so as to be certain what they were, I shot three or four. They flew very close to the surface of the water."

**ARDETTA FLAVICOLLIS** (Lath.). The Black Bittern.

Personally I never shot this handsome Bittern in the Malay States; but I saw skins in Malaccan collections. I killed one or two in the neighbourhood of the Canton river, South China, where I found them in thick reeds and not easily flushed.

**ARDETTA CINNAMOMEA** (Gm.). The Chestnut Bittern.

I found this small Chestnut Bittern plentiful in Singapore, and also on the mainland, and shot many specimens in Perak, Larut, Province Wellesley, and Malacca, generally flushing them in paddy-fields.

A female, which I shot at Singapore on 30th September 1877, was about 14 inches in length, bill at front 1 1/2, tarsus 1 3/4; irides yellow; bill pale greenish yellow, dusky on the ridge; soles pale yellow; upper parts and the tail ruddy chestnut, but much variegated, many of the feathers of the wing-coverts and back being brown with pale yellowish margins; top of head dusky; chin whitish; pectoral gorget of ruddy yellowish-brown feathers with dark-
brown central streaks; under surface of the wings ash-grey with a delicate pink tinge.

Undoubtedly this was a young bird, being of such mottled plumage; moreover it was of much smaller dimensions than an adult, at least according to Jerdon's description.

Another specimen, which I shot during May in the neighbourhood of Kwala Kangsar, Perak, was of an almost uniform chestnut-colour as regards its upper parts, but brightest on the wings and tail, and becoming brown on the back; the top of the head had a dusky tinge; underparts yellowish white; pectoral gorget boldly marked with longitudinal reddish-brown streaks; under surface of the wings delicate pink-grey; bill at front 2 inches, in colour yellow, the ridge dusky; legs greenish yellow; irides bright yellow, orbital region green.

_Aretta sinensis_ (Gm.).

Certainly not so common as _A. cinnamomea_, still by no means rare in reedy swamps and wet paddy-fields. It is easily distinguished from _A. cinnamomea_ by its wing-quills and tail being deep blue-black instead of chestnut.

One which I shot at Singapore on 12th November, 1880, measured 15 inches in length, tarsus 1\(\frac{3}{4}\); irides yellow; legs and beak pale yellowish green, the latter dusky on its ridge; beak at front 2\(\frac{1}{4}\) inches.

Another, from Kota Lama, Perak, 22nd March, 1877, was of similar dimensions; top of head, the wing-quills, and tail black; face and the upper parts cinnamon-red, brightest on the back of the neck; wing-coverts pale yellowish brown; underparts pale yellowish white.

_Goisa\_ius melanoiophus_ (Raffles). The Tiger Bittern.

I only once met with this magnificent Bittern, getting a single specimen, a female, near Changie, Singapore.

Length about 20 inches, beak at front 2, tarsus 2\(\frac{7}{12}\); top of head and pointed crest, passing over the nape, bluish black; tail brownish black; rest of the plumage chestnut, brightest on the face and sides of neck; the back and wing-coverts freckled with wavy black lines; pectoral plumes creamy
brown, dashed with black and chestnut streaks; the abdomen and vent chestnut, richly marked with irregular black and white bars; under tail-coverts white, irregularly marked with dark brown; wing-quills bluish black, the terminal portions chestnut, and the extreme tips whitish.

Dendrocygna javanica (Sykes). The Whistling Teal.

This bird may be called the Duck of the Malayan peninsula. Though a migrant, it is found at certain seasons throughout all the Malay States; and I do not believe its breeding-grounds can be far north of lat. 5° N., as the migration from the lower or southern half of the peninsula does not take place until late in June, and a few months later the birds are back again. During the winter months, or, to speak more correctly, during the north-east monsoon, these Ducks collect in large flocks on the jheels and flooded paddy-fields. In Perak I found them particularly partial to small weedy lakes surrounded by thick jungle; and at one of these, near Saiyong, I used to see them literally in hundreds from February to April; but towards the end of the following month they got very restless, and by the middle of June most of them had disappeared, probably having gone north to breed.

I think there is little doubt that some few remain to nest near the banks of the Perak river, in the vicinity of Kwala Kangsar, as at the end of June, after the main body had left, I occasionally came across stragglers in the ruddy breeding-plumage. Moreover Mr. Hugh Low, H.B.M.'s Resident at Perak, told me that the natives brought into Kwala Kangsar young birds but a few weeks old, assuring him that they had been caught in the neighbourhood. This happened in January or February; so I suppose the birds breed from August or September till early in the year—that is, during the rainy season.

One cannot base conclusions on the habits of semidomesticated individuals; but it is worthy of notice that several of these Whistling Teal which, a few years ago, were turned out with clipped wings on the artificial lake in the Botanical Gardens at Singapore, though, having perfectly recovered the
their wings, they daily fly about the island in search of food, still do not migrate, but remain and breed, and during September I saw several young ones swimming about with their parents. There is but little, if any, difference in the plumage of the sexes, and very slight seasonal change, though towards July specimens I shot were certainly more ruddy than earlier in the year.

During the heat of the day the Whistling Teal keep principally on the jheels, among thick reeds, and seem particularly fond of the small open pieces of water shut in by high rushes which are found in all large reed-beds. This makes them fairly easy to get at; and on several occasions, by wading quietly through the water, waist deep, the reeds concealing my head and shoulders, I came on them unawares and killed several at a shot—a great addition to one's larder in a country where fresh meat was not to be got every day.

When on open water I found them by no means easy to stalk; and even in places where I much doubt if a gun had ever been fired and they were but little disturbed, after one or two afternoons' shooting they became exceedingly wild and difficult to get near. The Malay bird can be easily distinguished from the other species of Dendrocygna by its small size; out of the dozens which I shot at different times I do not think one ever exceeded 17 inches in length.

A male shot at Kota Lama, Perak, on 17th February 1877, was 16 inches in length; irides dark brown, orbits bright yellow; legs and beak bluish black; head and neck dull brown, the former dark on the crown; chin whitish; underparts ruddy brown, except the vent and under tail-coverts, which were whitish; wings black; lesser coverts and the upper tail-coverts rich chestnut; back dusky black, each feather terminating with a broad band of rusty brown.

Nettapus coromandelianus (Gm.). The White-bodied Goose Teal.

The beautiful, and most appropriately named, little Goose-Teal is exceedingly plentiful among the jheels and swamps of the mainland; but I never met with it on Singapore or any of
the islands along the coast. In many respects it is very Anserine, whence its name, having the short high bill, pure white colouring, and hoarse cry of the Goose tribe.

The Goose Teal is generally found in small parties of from four to ten, often associating with the Whistling Teal; and I have on several occasions got specimens of both species at one shot.

They seem to prefer open sheets of shallow water to thick cover, but on being disturbed become very shy and retire to quiet creeks or back waters surrounded by jungle. Though I often found them on flooded meadows, I rarely (in fact do not think I ever) saw them actually on dry land. Their legs are so short and set so far back that probably they seldom attempt to walk, but on the water are quite at home, swimming and diving exceedingly well, and when slightly wounded are very hard to secure.

I remember once trying for nearly half an hour to catch a Goose Teal which fell winged into a shallow pool. It stayed under water a marvellous length of time at each dive, and when it did rise to the surface showed only its head, disappearing again the instant I moved; but at length I tired it out and consigned it to the bag. These birds also have the power of sinking their bodies below the water till nothing but their head is visible, hoping thus to escape notice.

One evening in Perak, while out bird-hunting, I came upon a small pool completely excluded from the outer world by the most luxuriantly growing jungle. From the overhanging trees long slender creepers hung down in tangled masses to the surface of the water, which was almost covered with aquatic plants. To complete this beautiful piece of jungle-scenery, in the centre of the pool was a Goose Teal, perfectly motionless; for, quietly as I had approached, it had heard me, and, thinking it was unobserved, did not rise, but, all the time intently watching my movements, slowly and noiselessly sank under the water till nothing but its head remained above the surface.

When on the wing, the flight of these birds is very rapid.
Skimming close over the reeds, they dodge along at use of a great pace, and are far from easy to shoot.

They breed in holes in trees, laying several white eggs. I was unable to find a nest, but think they breed in the north of the Malay peninsula, as near Kwala Kangsar I noticed that during June they paired and, leaving the open water, retired to out-of-the-way places in the jungle, often selecting the narrow creeks or inlets from a large jheel.

Concerning the mode in which these birds, Cotton-Teal as they are called in India, carry their young down from their nests to the water, I had the following related to me by an eye-witness, an officer in the Indian Civil Service. He was stationed on the Madras coast; but I forget the exact name of the place. Anyhow, one afternoon, late in June, while out riding he saw a Cotton-Teal leave a tree and fly down to a pool of water which was near; the bird’s peculiar flight, slow and steady, so different from their usual rapid mode of progression, attracted his attention; and riding closer, he saw it had something resting on its back, which, on its reaching the water, proved to be three or four young Teal.

My informant then sent his native servant up the tree from which the bird flew; and at about twenty feet from the ground he found the nest, containing several more young birds, which he brought down; and my friend took them home, hoping to rear them in his poultry-yard; but in a short time they sickened and died.

Specimens shot in Perak during May had their legs black, but much tinged with yellowish green, which is the case, I believe, only during the breeding-season. The difference between the plumages of the sexes is very marked, the female being of much duller colours than the male.

The following specimens I shot in Perak during April 1877:

Male. Length 12\(\frac{1}{2}\) to 13 inches; irides crimson; legs and feet greenish yellow tinged with black, webs black; face, neck, and whole of the underparts pure glossy white; a deep-black ring encircles the neck; top of head dark brown; back and wings beautiful metallic green with a rich purple tinge;
primaries barred, and the secondaries tipped with white, thus forming a band across the wing; flanks and tail-coverts vermiculated with grey lines, like a Wigeon's back; tail greenish brown; vent black.

The female is of the same size as the male, but not nearly so boldly marked; its irides are dark brown; bill yellowish black; the secondaries only are marked with white; face and neck grey; breast barred with narrow black lines; underparts dirty white; top of head dull brown, with a purple gloss.

I dissected both these birds: their stomachs were exceedingly muscular, contained weed and vegetable matter, also a quantity of sand and particles of quartz.

**Sterna bergii**, Licht.

I shot several of these Terns in the Straits of Johore and off the south coast of Singapore. During September, while steaming to Pulo Mongsa, several flocks passed close to our launch. They flew close to the surface of the sea and in extended order, like a line of skirmishers; all the flocks were making in the same direction; and it was about three in the afternoon: so perhaps they were on their way to some place in which to pass the night.

One shot near Johore on 13th April was from 17 to 18 inches in length, bill at front $2\frac{1}{4}$, tarsus $1\frac{1}{12}$; irides dark brown; bill pale yellowish green; legs black; upper parts mottled all over with French grey and dusky brown; head and nape black, the feathers of the crown edged with white; forehead, underparts, inner portions of the inner webs of the primaries, and tail-feathers white.

I think this must have been an immature bird; others I shot had the legs green blotched with black.

**Sterna sebna**, Sykes.

During May 1879 I got one of these Terns alive, it having been caught by a fisherman on the shore near Malacca. It was a female, length 16 to 17 inches, bill at front $2\frac{1}{4}$, tarsus 1, bill from gape 3, in colour bright yellow; irides dark brown; head and pointed crest over the nape deep blue-black; the
cheeks, a band across the upper part of the back, and all the underparts white, slightly dusky on the breast; upper parts delicate French grey, very silvery on the wings; inner portions of the inner webs of wing-quills white; tail very deeply forked.

I got other specimens near Singapore during September and October.

**Sterna sumatrana**, Raffl. The Black-naped Tern.

Common among the islands at the south of the peninsula. A specimen shot in the Johore Strait late in September was a male, length 13½ inches, beak at front 1½; irides dark brown; beak and legs black; tail very long and forked, the two outer feathers projecting 1½ inch beyond the others; top of head, also the face, silvery white; a black streak passes from the beak through the eye, and enlarges into a broad patch on the nape; upper parts, tail, and wings pale French grey; outer web of first primary black; underparts glossy white delicately tinged with a most beautiful rosy hue. Its stomach contained small fishes.

**Sula australis** (?).

In June 1877 I saw several Gannets sitting on some drifting tree-trunks a few miles out to sea off the mouth of the Perak river.

**Attagen minor** (Gm.). The Frigate-bird.

On 23rd September, 1880, I got an immature Frigate-bird on Pulo Nongso, about ten miles off the south coast of Singapore; I believe it to be the only specimen recorded as having been obtained in the Straits.

With some friends I was shooting green Pigeons as they came at dusk to roost on the island. Shortly after sunset, while waiting for the Pigeons, we saw a large bird flying towards the shore, and sailing along close over the surface of the sea. As it passed near one of our party, he brought it down. Length about 30 inches; beak and gullet pale bluish white; feet webbed and of a dull fleshy white; head, neck, and throat white, mottled with umber-brown, becoming dark brown on
the breast and back; belly pure white; wings and tail black
tinged with green; wing-coverts brown, the feathers having
whitish margins; middle claw pectinated. The bird had a
very rank fishy smell.

Graculus carbo, Linn. The Common Cormorant.

On 29th May, 1877, while returning down stream to Kwala
Kangsar, after a few days' shooting on the upper reaches of
the Perak river, I shot what I believe to be a specimen of
the Common Cormorant.

In my notes I have written:—

"Soon after daylight, as we were drifting with the stream
past the village of Enggar, loud exclamations from my Malay
boatmen drew my attention to two large birds which were
walking about side by side on a sandbank in the middle of
the river. Steering within shot, I fired from beneath the
attap roof covering the canoe and killed one of them, and,
wading to the bank, found I had got a fine Cormorant, the
first I have seen in this part of the country. It was not quite
dead when I reached it, and whilst flapping about on the
sand disgorged four or five small fishes. It was a female,
length 34 inches, tarsus $2\frac{1}{4}$, middle toe with claw $3\frac{1}{2}$; irides
pale green; beak at front $2\frac{1}{2}$, in colour dirty white, black
on the ridge; gular pouch bright yellow; head, back of neck,
wings, back, and tail rich bronze slightly tinged with green,
and having the feathers of the upper part of the back, also the
scapulars and the wing-coverts, edged with black; lower back
and sides of abdomen uniform dark greenish-bronze colour;
face, front of neck, breast, and middle of the abdomen white,
much mottled and streaked with brownish black.

Plotus melanogaster (Gm.). The Indian Snake-bird.

I got one of these curious birds, looking like a cross be-
tween a Heron and a Cormorant, at Malacca; it was shot in
April, out of a party of ten or fifteen, on some pools at Kas-
sang, a marshy district in the neighbourhood of the settle-
ment. The local bird-collectors did not seem to be familiar
with it; so probably it is rare in that part of the country; but
further north, in Perak, I met with it on several occasions,
though I never saw more than two or three of them together. Its chief characteristics are the long snake-like neck and the beautifully marked black and silver scapulars.

XVI.—Notes on the Birds of Astrakhan.

By Henry Seebohm.

When I was in Dresden in the summer of 1881, with Dr. Sclater and Mr. Forbes, I made a great ornithological discovery. Herr Hofrath Dr. Meyer, with his accustomed felicity in pointing out to each visitor of the Museum whatever is of special individual interest, introduced me to Herr K. G. Henke, the ornithologist who accompanied Baron Hoffmannsegg to the valley of the Petchora about twenty to twenty-five years ago. When I told him what trouble Harvie-Brown and I took to find him out in 1875, he accounted for our failure by explaining that he was then living in Astrakhan, where he had resided for eight years. He devoted the whole of his time to collecting objects of natural history, principally ornithological, and disposed of many of his duplicates through the well-known dealer Herr Schlüter of Halle, from whom many very interesting skins and eggs of birds have been received by ornithologists in England and elsewhere, labelled "Untere Volga" and "Kirgische Steppen." Most, if not all, of these were collected by Henke, those from the former locality having been obtained in the delta of the Volga south of Astrakhan, and those from the latter locality on the great salt marshes lying between the Volga and the Ural rivers.

Henke's collection ought to be visited by every ornithologist interested in the birds of the Palearctic Region. Two or three hours' railway journey from Dresden, through some of the finest scenery of "Saxon Switzerland," brings you to the little town of Sebnitz, whence you can walk (or, if you are lazy, drive in a cab) four miles to Saupsdorf, where Henke lives. Sixpence will admit you to a most charming little museum, full of birds and other objects of interest, principally from Astrakhan and Archangel.
The following particulars respecting the birds of Astrakhan were given me by Henke. Many of the facts have been already published, having been furnished by Henke to M. Jacobleff, who wrote a paper (unfortunately in the Russian language) for the 'Proceedings' of the Moscow Natural-History Society in 1873. But many of Henke's later discoveries are new; and all of them will doubtless be of great interest to English ornithologists.

**Vultur percnopterus.**

Henke never saw the Egyptian Vultur near Astrakhan; but an example was obtained at Sarepta in 1868.

**Vultur fulvus.**

Henke never met with the Griffon Vulture near Astrakhan; but, according to Eversmann, sixteen examples were seen below Sarepta in 1867. This species breeds in the Caucasus (*fide* Bogdanoff) and in the Ural Mountains (*fide* Sabanäeff), but appears to be only an accidental visitor to the steppes.

**Aquila chrysaetus.**

The Golden Eagle is occasionally found near Astrakhan, both in summer and winter.

**Aquila imperialis.**

The western form of the Imperial Eagle is somewhat rare near Astrakhan. On the delta it breeds on the willow trees; but in the steppes it makes its nest on the ground. It is occasionally seen in winter.

**Aquila naevia.**

The Lesser Spotted Eagle passes through the valley of the Lower Volga on migration in spring and autumn.

**Aquila clanga.**

The Greater Spotted Eagle is somewhat rare on the Kirghiz steppes east of the Volga, but is commoner on the Kalmuk steppes to the west of that river.

**Aquila bifasciata.**

The Steppe-Eagle is very common on the Kirghiz steppes, especially on the banks of the salt marshes, where it builds a
nest two feet high on the hilly banks of the rivers, on forsaken earth huts and on haycocks and hayricks.

**Aquila rapax.**

Henke obtained an example of the Tawny Eagle near Astrakhan; and several have occurred at Sarepta.

**Aquila bonelli.**

Henke did not see Bonelli's Eagle; but an example has been obtained near Sarepta.

**Aquila lagopus.**

The Rough-legged Buzzard is very common in winter near Astrakhan. This bird is undoubtedly an Eagle, and not a Buzzard.

**Haliaetus albicilla.**

The White-tailed Eagle is very common all the year round. It builds on very high willow trees, and lays from one to three eggs, frequently slightly spotted.

**Haliaetus leucoryphus.**

Pallas's Sea-Eagle is occasionally found on the steppes, where it breeds on the ground. On the banks of the Volga it builds on the willows.

**Circaetus gallicus.**

Henke did not observe the Short-toed Eagle near the Volga, but occasionally saw it on the steppes.

**Pandion haliaetus.**

The Osprey is very common in summer on the delta of the Volga.

**Buteo vulgaris.**

Henke never met with the Common Buzzard near Astrakhan; but it is occasionally observed on migration at Sarepta in spring and autumn.

**Buteo desertorum.**

The African Buzzard occasionally breeds near Astrakhan.

**Buteo ferox.**

The Long-tailed Buzzard is rare in the Kirghiz steppes, but is commoner west of the Volga.
MILVUS MIGRANS.
The Black Kite is extremely common in the valley of the Lower Volga in summer.

MILVUS REGALIS.
Henke did not meet with the Common Kite, though Eversmann records it from the Lower Volga.

PERNIS APIVORUS.
Henke did not meet with the Honey-Buzzard; but it has been seen on migration at Sarepta.

ACCIPITER PALUMBARIUS.
The Goshawk passes Astrakhan on the spring and autumn migration, and is occasionally seen there during winter.

ACCIPITER NISUS.
The Sparrow-hawk is never seen near Astrakhan in summer, but is very common on the autumn migration.

ACCIPITER BREVIPES.
The Levant Sparrow-hawk is occasionally found breeding in the poplars in the vineyards near Astrakhan, but does not remain in the winter.

FALCO LANARIUS.
The Lanner builds its nest on high trees in the valley of the Lower Volga. It is most common north of Astrakhan. Not seen in winter.

FALCO PEREGRINUS.
The Peregrine Falcon passes through the valley of the Lower Volga on migration in spring and autumn; and a few remain during the winter.

FALCO SUBBUTEO.
The Hobby is not uncommon near Astrakhan. It is a late breeder, frequently having eggs in June. It leaves in autumn.

FALCO ÆSALON.
The Merlin is only seen during winter.
Falco vespertinus.
The Orange-legged Hobby is rare; but Henke occasionally found it breeding above Astrakhan.

Falco tinnunculus.
The Kestrel is very common near Astrakhan; and a few remain through the winter.

Falco cenchris.
The Lesser Kestrel breeds in the Kirghiz steppes on the mosques and tombstones, and in the rush-covered graves of the Kirghiz; but it is not seen during the short sharp winter.

Circus cyaneus.
The Hen-Harrier breeds in the steppes, and is very common in Astrakhan in winter.

Circus pallidus.
The Pallid Harrier is not so common as the preceding; but a few are found all the year round.

Circus cinerascens.
Montagu's Harrier breeds in the steppes, but is rare at all seasons of the year, especially in winter.

Circus rufus.
The Marsh-Harrier is very common, but does not winter.

Nyctea scandiaca.
The Snowy Owl only appears occasionally in hard winters.

Asio tengmalmi.
Henke did not meet with Tengmalm's Owl; but an example was once obtained near Sarepta.

Asio aluco.
The Tawny Owl is a very common resident.

Asio brachyotus.
The Short-eared Owl is very common in summer; and a few may be seen in winter.

Asio otus.
The Long-eared Owl is a very common resident.
The Great Horned Owl is a very common resident. In the steppes it breeds in holes in banks.

The Scops Owl is a very rare resident.

The Black Woodpecker is occasionally found in winter in the neighbourhood of Astrakhan.

Henke did not meet with the Green Woodpecker; but it occasionally occurs near Sarepta.

The Grey-headed Green Woodpecker has been found near Sarepta; but Henke did not meet with it near Astrakhan.

The Greater Spotted Woodpecker is a very common resident near Astrakhan.

The White-backed Woodpecker is found at Sarepta, but was not met with by Henke.

The Wryneck is occasionally found near Astrakhan in spring.

The Cuckoo is very common in summer; but Henke never found its eggs on the steppes.

The Kingfisher is a not uncommon resident near Astrakhan.

The Pied Kingfisher has twice been obtained in winter near Sarepta.

The Bee-eater is very common in summer.
**Merops persica.**
Six examples of the Persian Bee-eater were once obtained at the mouth of the Volga late in May.

**Coracias garrulus.**
The Roller is a very common summer visitor.

**Upupa epops.**
The Hoopoe is a very common summer visitor, especially on the Kirghiz steppes.

**Caprimulgus europæus.**
The Goatsucker is very common on migration in spring and autumn, and is occasionally found breeding in the Kirghiz steppes.

**Cypselus apus.**
The Swift is not found in Astrakhan. Henke once met with it during the breeding-season at Bogde, in the Kirghiz steppes, and occasionally on migration at the mouth of the Volga.

**Cotile riparia.**
The Sand-Martin is a very common summer visitor.

**Hirundo urbica.**
The House-Martin is not so common as the preceding, and is not seen in the steppes.

**Hirundo rustica.**
The Barn-Swallow is a very common summer visitor, especially in the steppes.

**Butalis grisola.**
The Spotted Flycatcher is a very rare summer visitor

**Lanius excubitor.**
The Great Grey Shrike is a winter visitor.

**Lanius minor.**
The Lesser Grey Shrike is a very common summer visitor.

**Lanius collurio.**
The Red-backed Shrike is very common during the periods of migration. A few remain to breed.
Saxicola œnanthe.
The Wheatear occasionally breeds near Astrakhan, and in great numbers on the steppes.

Saxicola isabellina.
The Isabelline Chat is a very common summer visitor.

Saxicola morio.
The Siberian Pied Chat is a very rare summer visitor in the neighbourhood of Astrakhan, but is much commoner on the steppes.

Pratincola rubicola.
The Stonechat breeds near Astrakhan, but is not very common.

Pratincola rubetra.
The Whinchat is a rare visitor on the spring and autumn migration.

Erithacus philomela.
The Eastern Nightingale is very common on the spring migration, and is said to breed north of Astrakhan.

Erithacus luscinia.
The Western Nightingale was only once obtained by Henke.

Erithacus rubecula.
The Robin is a somewhat rare visitor on the spring and autumn migrations, and is occasionally found in winter.

Erithacus carulecula.
The Arctic Blue-throated Robin passes through Astrakhan on migration, and breeds in great numbers on the Kirghiz steppes.

Ruticilla phoenicurus.
The Common Redstart passes through in great numbers on migration; and a few remain to breed in the Kirghiz steppes.

Sylvia nisoria.
The Barred Warbler is occasionally shot in spring on the Kirghiz steppes.
SYLVI A HORTEN SIS.
The Garden Warbler is frequently shot in spring on the Kirghiz steppes.

SYLVI A CINEREA.
The Whitethroat is a rare summer visitor to the Kirghiz steppes.

SYLVI A CURRUCA.
The European form of the Lesser Whitethroat passes through on migration.

SYLVI A AFFINIS.
The Siberian form of the Lesser Whitethroat breeds in great numbers on the Kirghiz steppes. The nest is often placed on the ground, seldom more than a foot above it. A favourite situation is in brambles. Henke says that the song is quite different from that of our Lesser Whitethroat, and remarks that when alarmed it makes a nasal note, like a Tit.

ACROCEPHALUS TURDOIDES.
The Great Reed-Warbler is a common summer visitor, breeding in numbers among the reeds on the delta of the Volga.

ACROCEPHALUS STREPERUS.
The Reed-Warbler is common in summer wherever reeds are found.

ACROCEPHALUS PALUSTRIS.
The Marsh-Warbler is a not uncommon summer visitor, and generally builds its nest in brambles.

ACROCEPHALUS AGRICOLA.
Jerdon’s Reed-Warbler is very abundant near Astrakhan, and especially so in the Kirghiz steppes in summer. It breeds in the reeds, and makes a nest very similar to that of our Reed-Warbler. Henke gave me a clutch of five eggs, taken in the Kirghiz steppes in July 1876. In size they come nearest to eggs of the Dartford Warbler; but the ground-colour is pale brown, spotted almost all over with both large
bold blotches and very small spots of dark brown, and also with underlying paler brown blotches and spots, which are scarcely darker than the ground-colour. In full breeding-plumage the birds are very neutral brown (neither russet nor olive) above, and very white below.

**Acrocephalus phragmitis.**

The Sedge-Warbler is a very common summer visitor, and generally builds in brambles.

**Locustella fluviatilis.**

The River Grasshopper Warbler is very common near Astrakhan on migration, especially in spring.

**Locustella luscinioides.**

Savi's Grasshopper Warbler is stated by Henke to be rare near Astrakhan, but very common in summer in the delta of the Volga. The only example in his collection is in the plumage described by Severtzoff as *Cettia fulva*, in which the upper parts are more olive-brown than russet-brown, and which I take to be the plumage of birds of the year.

**Lusciniola melanopogon.**

The Moustached Grass-Warbler is a very common resident in the delta of the Volga, though somewhat local. It breeds early in April. A very beautiful nest in Henke's collection is admirably concealed amongst the roots of the reeds. It is composed of flat grasses and roots. The eggs resemble those of the Sedge-Warbler, but have a somewhat greener ground-colour. They are minutely spotted all over with brown.

**Cettia sericea.**

Cetti's Bush-Warbler is not found on the steppes, but is exceedingly common near Astrakhan. Its favourite nesting-place is in brambles. It is occasionally seen during winter. Henke's examples belong to the large pale form, to which Severtzoff gave the name of *Cettia albiventris*, and Hume the name of *Cettia stoliczkae*. This may only be a local race; but it is possible that further researches may prove its right to stand as a good species.
Hypolais caligata.
The Booted Tree-Warbler is a very common summer visitor to the Kirghiz steppes. Its favourite breeding-place is in sedges; but in localities where water-plants are not found it builds in willow bushes, in brambles, and even in dry hedges of sticks and fences, also in reeds near the ground, and is especially fond of wild-rose bushes. The song of the male is sometimes like that of a Grasshopper Warbler and sometimes like that of a Willow-Warbler. The eggs are smaller than those of the Grecian bird, and more spotted, sometimes also streaked. In ground-colour some are French grey, like the Grecian bird; but others are more salmon-coloured, like the eggs of Hypolais icterina.

Hypolais icterina.
The Icterine Tree-Warbler is occasionally found on the Kirghiz steppes in summer.

Phylloscopus trochilus.
The Willow-Warbler passes through Astrakhan on migration in spring and autumn.

Phylloscopus rubus.
The Chiffchaff also passes through on migration.

Regulus cristatus.
The Goldcrest is a winter visitor.

Regulus ignicapillus.
Henke did not meet with the Firecrest, but states that it has been obtained in winter near Sarepta.

Turdus viscivorus.
The Missel-Thrush passes through Astrakhan on migration, but has been known to breed near Sarepta.

Turdus musicus.
The Song-Thrush is occasionally observed at Astrakhan during the spring migration.

Turdus iliacus.
Henke did not meet with the Redwing, but states that it has been found at Sarepta.
Turdus pilaris.
The Fieldfare is principally seen near Astrakhan on migration, especially in autumn. Very few stop the winter.

Merula merula.
The Blackbird is also principally seen on migration, very rarely in winter.

Sturnus vulgaris.
The Starling is exceedingly common near Astrakhan in summer, and makes its nest in holes in trees, holes in the banks, and in the thatched roofs. Unfortunately Henke did not bring any skins of this species from Astrakhan, so that it is doubtful which of the various forms of coloration to which this bird is subject is found there.

Pastor roseus.
The Rose-coloured Pastor is not very frequently met with near Astrakhan, but is commoner on the Kirghiz steppes. Never seen in winter.

Oriolus galbula.
The Golden Oriole is a very common summer visitor.

Certhia familiaris.
Henke did not meet with the Tree-creeper, but states that it passes through Sarepta on migration.

Troglodytes europæus.
The Wren breeds near Astrakhan, but is not common. A few remain during winter.

Parus major.
The Great Tit is a resident near Astrakhan.

Parus caeruleus.
The Blue Tit is a common resident.

Parus ater.
The Cole Tit is rare, and has only been seen in winter.

Parus palustris.
The Marsh-Tit has been observed on migration late in autumn.
**ACREDULA CAUDATA.**
The Long-tailed Tit is a rare resident. Henke only once found its nest.

**CALAMOPHILUS BIARMICUS.**
The Bearded Tit is a very common resident. It prefers to build in the nests of the various species of Herons when they are placed in the reeds. When the country is flooded it often takes possession of the nests of Cetti's Warbler.

**ÆGITHALUS CASPIUS.**
The Pendulous Tit is an extremely abundant resident. So far as is known, only the Caspian form is found at Astrakhan.

**MOTACILLA ALBA.**
The White Wagtail is a not very common summer visitor.

**MOTACILLA CITREOLA.**
Henke never observed the Yellow-headed Wagtail; but Eversmann records it from the Lower Volga.

**MOTACILLA FLAVA.**
The Blue-headed Wagtail is a very common summer visitor.

**MOTACILLA MELANOCEPHALA.**
The Black-headed Wagtail is a very rare summer visitor.

**ANTHIS ARBOREUS.**
The Tree-Pipit is occasionally met with on migration.

**ANTHIS CAMPESTRIS.**
The Tawny Pipit is a very common bird in summer on the Kirghiz steppes.

**ANTHIS CERVINUS.**
The Red-throated Pipit was not observed by Henke; but it has been seen on migration at Sarepta.

**ALAUDA ARVENSIS.**
The Sky-Lark is not a common bird. Not seen in winter.

**MELANOCORYPHA CALANDRA.**
The Calandra Lark is very local, and not common in the Kirghiz steppes during the breeding-season. It is occasionally seen during the winter near Astrakhan.
Melanocorypha tatarica.
Is extremely common in winter. It breeds on the Kirghiz steppes, especially in the neighbourhood of the salt marshes, but is not found in the steppes when there is no water.

Melanocorypha leucoptera.
The White-winged Lark is a very common resident.

Melanocorypha brachydactyla.
The Short-toed Lark is a very common resident, but is rarer in winter.

Melanocorypha pispoletta.
Pallas's Short-toed Lark is not so common as the preceding, but may always be detected by its "schnarrenden" voice.

Alauda cristata.
The Crested Lark is rare in the steppes, and is only found near human dwellings. It is a resident.

Alauda arborea.
The Wood-Lark is a rare visitor near Astrakhan on migration.

Otocorys alpestris.
The Shore-Lark is only found in winter.

Otocorys penicillata.
The Eastern Shore-Lark is a resident in the Kirghiz steppes.

Emberiza nivalis.
The Snow-Bunting is a winter visitor.

Emberiza melanocephala.
Henke did not meet with the Black-headed Bunting, but states that it is common on the Kalmuk steppes.

Emberiza citrinella.
The Yellowhammer is a rare visitor on migration.

Emberiza hortulana.
The Ortolan Bunting is a very common visitor on migration, especially in spring. A few remain to breed.
EMBERIZA MIIARIA.
Henke did not meet with the Common Bunting, though Eversmann records it from the Lower Volga.

EMBERIZA SCHENICLUS.
The Reed-Bunting is a not very common visitor on migration, principally in autumn. A few are seen in winter.

EMBERIZA PYRRHULOIDES.
The Thick-billed Reed-Bunting is a common resident in the neighbourhood of Astrakhan, though very local. It is rare on the steppes.

PASSER MONTANUS.
The Tree-Sparrow is very common on the steppes. It prefers to breed in both the occupied and forsaken nests of the Black Kite, sometimes two or three pairs in one nest. It is a resident.

PASSER DOMESTICUS.
The House-Sparrow is a very common resident.

FRINGILLA MONTIFRINGILLA.
The Brambling passes through Astrakhan on migration, and a few remain during winter.

FRINGILLA CELEBS.
The Chaffinch is a regular visitor on migration, especially in autumn.

FRINGILLA SPINUS.
The Siskin passes through on migration, and is observed in greater numbers in autumn than in spring.

FRINGILLA CHLORIS.
The Greenfinch is only seen on migration, especially in autumn.

LINOTA LINARIA.
The Brown Linnet is a spring and autumn migrant, and is most abundant at the latter season. A few remain during winter.

LINOTA FLAVIROSTRIS.
The Twite is only seen in winter.
Carduelis elegans.
A few Goldfinches breed near Astrakhan. In winter the species is more numerous, but most so during the autumn migration.

Coccothraustes vulgaris.
Henke once obtained a Hawfinch near Astrakhan in spring.

Pyrrhula major.
The Eastern Bullfinch is occasionally seen in winter in small flocks.

Carpodacus erythrinus.
The Scarlet Bullfinch is very common on migration, and is sometimes seen so late in the spring as to lead to the suspicion that a few remain to breed.

Loxia curvirostra.
The Crossbill is occasionally seen in winter near Astrakhan. A small flock was met with in a wood on the Kirghiz steppes in July 1876.

Bombycilla garrula.
The Waxwing is more or less common throughout the winter in Astrakhan, and feeds upon the seeds of the acacia, which it swallows with the pods.

Nucifraga caryocatactes.
Henke did not meet with the Nutcracker, but states that it occasionally occurs in winter at Sarepta.

Garrulus glandarius.
Henke did not meet with the Jay, but states that it occasionally occurs in winter near Sarepta.

Pica caudata.
The Magpie is a very common resident.

Corvus cornix.
The Hooded Crow is an exceedingly common resident.

Corvus frugilegus.
The Rook is a common summer visitor.

Corvus monedula.
The Jackdaw is everywhere a common resident.
Columba palumbus.
The Wood-Pigeon is a very common resident.

Columba oenas.
The Stock-Dove is only seen on migration, especially in autumn.

Columba turtur.
The Turtle-Dove is a very common summer visitor.

Pterocles arenarius.
The Black-bellied Sand-Grouse breeds on the Kirghiz steppes, especially in the neighbourhood of Achtuba, a tributary of the Volga. In autumn it is very abundant near Astrakhan, and remains during the winter.

Syrrhaptes paradoxus.
Pallas’s Sand-Grouse is occasionally found in winter near Astrakhan. In 1876 great numbers bred on the Kirghiz steppes. The Kirghiz told Henke that they had not observed them before.

Tetrao tetrix.
The Black Grouse is a rare winter visitor to Sarepta.

Perdix cinerea.
The Partridge is very common, especially in winter. It breeds on the steppes wherever there is any wood.

Coturnix communis.
The Quail is a very common summer visitor.

Phasianus colchicus.
The Pheasant is very common in the marshy lowlands below Astrakhan. Henke says that a small race is found in the valley of the Terak.

Otis tarda.
The Great Bustard is very common, especially in the steppes. A few are seen during winter near Astrakhan.

Otis tetrax.
The Little Bustard is somewhat rare during the breeding-season, but is common on the autumn migration.
Otis houbara.
The Houbara Bustard is not common, and is principally confined to the steppes. It is very rare in the Kalmuk steppes, but it breeds in the Kirghiz steppes, though somewhat locally.

Glareola melanoptera.
The Eastern Pratincole is very abundant in the steppes, but Henke did not meet with the western species. It does not remain during winter.

Oedicnemus crepitans.
The Stone-Curlew is a very common summer visitor.

Chettusia gregaria.
The Sociable Plover is a very rare summer visitor near the river, and is only found on the barren steppes.

Eudromias morinellus.
The Dotterel passes through Astrakhan in spring and autumn on migration. Henke maintains that a few remain to breed on the Kirghiz steppes, that he has shot birds with the feathers abraded on the underparts by incubation, and that he once obtained a sitting of eggs.

Eudromias asiaticus.
The Caspian Dotterel is rarely seen near Astrakhan. Henke got a pair in the spring of 1871. In 1875 he found it breeding in a colony of about thirty pairs on a piece of ground covered with blackish lichen near a salt marsh on the Kirghiz steppes. He never found more than three eggs in one nest, if the slight depression in the ground may be called a nest, and had great difficulty in finding them, the birds leaving them while he was still a long way off. The birds are very local, and evidently often change their breeding-stations, as Henke visited this locality in the two succeeding years without finding them.

Aegialitis hiaticula.
The Ringed Plover is frequently met with both near the river and on the steppes in summer.
Ægialitis cantiana.
The Kentish Plover is a very common summer visitor to the Kirghiz steppes, especially near the salt lakes. It never lays more than three eggs.

Ægialitis curonica.
The Little Ringed Plover is very common on the delta of the Volga and on the Seal-Islands in the Caspian in summer.

Charadrius vanellus.
The Lapwing is a very common summer visitor.

Charadrius pluvialis.
The Golden Plover is only found on migration, principally in autumn.

Charadrius fulvus.
The Eastern Golden Plover is occasionally seen in autumn.

Charadrius helveticus.
The Grey Plover is very common near Astrakhan in autumn, and in spring is sometimes seen as late as July.

Recurvirostra avocetta.
The Avocet is everywhere very common in summer. It breeds in colonies.

Hæmatopus ostralegus.
The Oyster-catcher is common everywhere in summer.

Hypsibates himantopus.
The Long-legged Plover is common in the marshes of the Kirghiz steppes, but is rarer in the delta. Not seen in winter.

Totanus fuscus.
The Dusky Redshank is common on migration, especially in autumn. It probably breeds on the steppes.

Totanus stagnatilis.
The Marsh-Sandpiper is a very common summer visitor to the steppes.

Totanus calidris.
The Redshank is a very common summer visitor to the steppes.
TOTANUS GLOTTIS.
The Greenshank is very common on the autumn migration.

TOTANUS GLAREOLA.
The Wood-Sandpiper passes through Astrakhan in spring and autumn, and occasionally a few are found breeding on the steppes.

TOTANUS OCHROPUS.
The Green Sandpiper is a very common summer visitor.

ACTITIS HYPOLEUCA.
The Common Sandpiper is only found in spring and autumn.

PHALAROPUS HYPERBOREUS.
The Red-necked Phalarope passes through on migration, and is especially common in spring. In the Kirghiz steppes it is often seen in June, and sometimes in July.

LIMICOLA PLATYRHYNCHA.
Henke says that the Broad-billed Sandpiper is occasionally seen on the Kirghiz steppes, and that he once killed six at a shot.

CALIDRIS ARENARIA.
Henke did not meet with the Sanderling, but it has been obtained at Sarepta.

TEREKIA CINEREA.
Henke did not meet with the Terek Sandpiper, but it has been obtained at Sarepta.

LIMOSA MELANURA.
The Black-tailed Godwit passes through Astrakhan in spring and autumn, being very common at the latter season. It breeds in considerable numbers on the Kirghiz steppes.

LIMOSA LAPPONICA.
The Bar-tailed Godwit is very rare, and has only been obtained in autumn.

MACHETES PUGNAX.
The Ruff breeds in the Kirghiz steppes, and is very common in autumn near Astrakhan.
Tringa subarquata.
The Curlew Sandpiper is only seen in spring and autumn.

Tringa alpina.
The Dunlin is only seen in spring and autumn.

Tringa temmincki.
Temminck's Sandpiper passes through in spring and autumn.

Tringa minuta.
The Little Stint is only seen on migration.

Scolopax rusticula.
The Woodcock is very common in autumn, especially in the vineyards.

Scolopax major.
The Great Snipe is very common on the autumn migration.

Scolopax gallinago.
The Common Snipe is very common on the autumn migration.

Scolopax gallinula.
The Jack Snipe is very common on the autumn migration.

Numenius arquata.
The Curlew passes through Astrakhan in some numbers in spring and autumn. It breeds in the Kirghiz steppes.

Numenius phaeopus.
The Whimbrel is common on the autumn migration.

Numenius tenuirostris.
The Slender-billed Curlew is not rare on the autumn migration.

Plegadis falcinellus.
The Glossy Ibis is a very common summer visitor, and breeds in large colonies in company with Herons. The nest is often built under that of a Heron.

Ardea cinerea.
The Heron is a very common summer visitor.
**Ardea purpurea.**
The Purple Heron is not a very common summer visitor.

**Ardea alba.**
The Great White Egret is a very common summer visitor. It breeds on willows and, where there are no trees, on the bent-down reeds.

**Ardea comata.**
The Squacco Heron is an equally common summer visitor. It breeds only on high willows.

**Ardea comata x garzetta.**
Henke has in his collection a Heron from Astrakhan, which he believes to be a hybrid between the Little Egret and the Squacco Heron.

**Ardea garzetta.**
The Little Egret is a common summer visitor, breeding only on the high willows.

**Ardea garzetta x nycticorax.**
Henke has in his collection from Astrakhan a Heron which he believes to be a hybrid between the Little Egret and the Night-Heron.

**Ardea nycticorax.**
The Night-Heron is a very common summer visitor.

**Botaurus stellaris.**
The Bittern is a very common summer visitor. It breeds wherever there are reeds, but singly, not in colonies. The nest is very difficult to find.

**Ardetta minuta.**
The Little Bittern is a very common summer visitor. Its favourite breeding-place is in the hollow under the nest of a Heron or Egret which has been built on the bent-down reeds.

**Ciconia nigra.**
The Black Stork is said to breed near Astrakhan, but Henke never found the nest. It is not uncommon late in summer and in autumn.
Grus leucogeranus.

The Siberian Crane is unknown to the Kirghiz, but is very common on migration, especially in spring, when flocks of some hundreds are seen near Astrakhan. These birds are very wary and difficult to shoot.

Grus cinerea.

The Common Crane is frequently met with on the Kirghiz steppes in summer.

Grus virgo.

The Demoiselle Crane is not uncommon in summer on the dry steppes, and feeds principally upon beetles.

Platalea leucorodia.

The Spoonbill is a very common summer visitor. It breeds sometimes amongst the Herons, and sometimes in colonies alone.

Crex pratensis.

The Corn-Crake is found in spring and autumn on migration. It is said to breed near Sarepta.

Crex porzana.

The Spotted Crake breeds in some numbers on the Kirghiz steppes, but is not found in winter.

Crex minuta.

The Little Crake is found near Astrakhan on migration, especially in autumn. A few remain to breed, but most pass on to the steppes.

Rallus aquaticus.

The Water-Rail breeds frequently near Astrakhan, and sometimes remains very late in the autumn. It is occasionally seen in winter.

Gallinula chloropus.

The Waterhen is a very common summer visitor near the river.

Fulica atra.

The Coot is exceedingly abundant wherever permanent water is found. It is not seen in winter.
Porphyrio caeruleus.
The Purple Gallinule apparently breeds on the delta of the Volga, as many are caught at the moulting-season. It is not seen in winter.

Phoenicopterus roseus.
On the 20th of July, 1876, Henke found a colony of four hundred and nineteen nests of the Flamingo on a sandbank in the middle of a salt lake on the Kirghiz steppes, so far from the shore as not to be visible from it. The nests were conical heaps of mud, very close together, and some of them as high as two feet. The birds had broken through the hard salt crust with their bills in order to take from underneath it the black salt mud of which their nests were built. The top was dished out to a hollow, in which one, two, or three eggs were laid. Half the eggs were hatched, and the young in down were very shy and difficult to catch. When Henke was there the ground was dry and white with salt; but with a different wind he calculated that the water was sometimes a foot high near the nests. At night the Flamingoes go in hundreds to a freshwater lake to get the young of a large kind of frog to feed their young—a distance of five and twenty miles.

Cygnus olor.
The Mute Swan is a common summer visitor, and breeds on the delta.

Cygnus musicus.
The Wild Swan is only seen in spring and autumn on migration.

Cygnus minor.
Bewick’s Swan is only seen on migration, and is not so common as the larger species.

Anser cinereus.
The Grey Lag Goose is a very common summer visitor, and breeds on the delta.

Anser albifrons.
The Great White-fronted Goose is only seen in autumn.
Anser erythropus.
The Little White-fronted Goose is only seen in autumn, and is more plentiful than the preceding.

Anser ruficollis.
The Red-necked Goose is not uncommon on migration in spring and autumn.

Tadorna cornuta.
The Shieldrake is a very common summer visitor, and breeds by preference in a fox-hole, sometimes in the graves of the Kirghiz.

Tadorna casarca.
The Ruddy Shieldrake is not so common as the preceding. It generally breeds in hollow trees, sometimes at a considerable height from the ground.

Anas boscas.
The Mallard is an exceedingly common summer visitor.

Anas boscas x acuta.
Heuке has in his collection two examples of hybrids between the Mallard and the Pintail.

Anas acuta.
The Pintail breeds in the Kirghiz steppes. It is not so common as the Mallard.

Anas crecca.
The Teal breeds in the Kirghiz steppes and comes to the river to moult. Very common.

Anas querquedula.
The Garganey breeds in the Kirghiz steppes and seeks the river-district during the moulting-season. Very common.

Anas strepera.
The Gadwall breeds on the dry land of the Kirghiz steppes.

Anas penelope.
The Wigeon is very common on migration, but leaves the district in summer to breed further north.
Anas clypeata.
The Shoveller is also common on migration, but migrates north to breed.

Anas marmorata.
The Marbled Duck is a late summer visitor, and breeds in hollow trees and in old Crows' nests.

Anasclangula.
The Golden-eye breeds near Astrakhan, but is not common.

Harelda glacialis.
The Long-tailed Duck is an occasional straggler during winter.

Œdemia nigra.
Henke never met with the Black Scoter, but near Sarepta it is occasionally seen during migration.

Œdemia fusca.
The only example of the Velvet Scoter which came under Henke's notice was a dead bird which was found on the salt lake of Baskundschak, on the Kirghiz steppes.

Erismatura mersa.
The White-headed Duck breeds in the steppes in considerable numbers.

Fuligula rufina.
The Red-crested Pochard is not very common.

Fuligula ferina.
The Pochard is exceedingly common on the Kirghiz steppes in summer.

Fuligula leucophthalma.
The White-eyed Duck is a not very common summer visitor.

Fuligula cristata.
The Tufted Duck breeds in the Kirghiz steppes, but is not very common.

Mergus merganser.
The Goosander is common in winter and in autumn, but in spring it is rarely seen, and in summer not at all.
The Red-breasted Merganser is a very rare visitor.

The Smew is a common summer visitor, and breeds in hollow willow trees.

The Great Black-headed Gull breeds in great numbers on the Seal Islands and on other islands in the Caspian Sea.

The Black-headed Gull is very common, and breeds in the Kirghiz steppes.

The Slender-billed Gull is very common. It breeds on a salt lake on the Kirghiz steppes, and also on the Seal Islands.

The Mediterranean Herring-Gull is common, but is not seen on the steppes.

The Common Gull breeds in great numbers on the salt lakes in the Kirghiz steppes.

The Lesser Black-backed Gull is very rare.

The Glaucous Gull is only seen in immature plumage.

The Caspian Tern breeds sparingly on the Seal Islands. It is occasionally found in the river-district.

The Gull-billed Tern breeds in considerable numbers on the Kirghiz steppes.

The Sandwich Tern breeds on the Seal Islands.

The Common Tern is very abundant.
STERNA MINUTA.
The Little Tern is rather rare. It breeds on the Seal Islands in considerable numbers.

STERNA NIGRA.
The Black Tern is very common both in the river-district and on the Kirghiz steppes.

STERNA HYBRIDA.
The Whiskered Tern is very common in the river-district, but is not found on the steppes.

STERNA LEUCOPTERA.
The White-winged Black Tern is very common, both in the river-district and on the Kirghiz steppes.

PHALACROCORA CARBO.
The Cormorant breeds in hundreds of thousands, principally on the willows.

PHALACROCORA PYGMEUS.
The Pygmy Cormorant breeds in small colonies of about a dozen pairs. It is not very common.

PELECANUS CRISPUS.
The Dalmatian Pelican is common near the sea.

PELECANUS ONOCROTALUS.
The Roscate Pelican is also common near the sea. Neither species is found on the steppes, in consequence of the entire absence of fish.

PODICEPS CRISTATUS.
The Crested Grebe is very common.

PODICEPS RUBRICOLLIS.
The Red-necked Grebe is very common.

PODICEPS NIGRICOLLIS.
The Eared Grebe breeds in great numbers on the Kirghis steppes.

PODICEPS CORNUTUS.
Henke only met with one example of the Sclavonian Grebe.
Dr. A. B. Meyer on a new

_**Podiceps minor.**_*

The Little Grebe is found breeding, but is rare.

**Columbus septentrionalis.**

Henke once obtained an example of the Red-throated Diver in autumn.

**Columbus arcticus.**

The Black-throated Diver is a very rare winter visitor.

---

**XVII. — On Ninox rudolfi, a new Species of Hawk-Owl from the Malay Archipelago.** By A. B. Meyer, M.D., F.M.B.O.U.

(Plate VI.)

**Ninox rudolfi.**

*Supra griseo-brunnea, albo variegata; capite et nucha nigrescentibus vel fusco-brunneis, maculis vel fasciis albis ornatis; fronte, loris, superciliis, facie anteriore, mento, gula et collo antico albis, plumarum pilosarum rhachibus nigris, plumis suborbitalibus et auricularibus fusco-brunneis; pectore, abdomine et subcaudalibus rufescenti et albo fasciatis, fasciis rufescentibus anguste nigrantibus vel fusco marginatis; subalaribus rufescentibus, striis transversis plus minusve fulvescentibus; alis dorso concoloribus; remigibus supra et subtus fasciis pallidioribus, albidis et albis notatis; cauda supra remigibus et dorso concolori, subtus pallidiore, rectricibus fasciis 9–11 transversis pallidioribus et albidis ornatis; tibii et tarsis totis plumosis, rufescentibus, striis obscurioribus et pallidioribus parum conspicuis; rostro nigro, culmine flavescente; digitis pallidis setosis.*


_Hab._ Ins. Sumba (Riedel coll.).

Above greyish brown, spotted or streaked with white, darker from the hind neck to the head, which is blackish; each feather of the head bears two white spots or streaks; most of the feathers of the hind neck and mantle with a white coherent spot on their apical third, and another one or two, not coherent, subterminal ones; on the middle of some of the feathers, besides, a third lengthened white spot. On
the back and rump the white spots and streaks are less frequent, more numerous again on the upper tail-coverts. The concealed part of the feathers dark grey. Forehead, lores, fore part of the cheeks, chin, throat, and neck in front white, the feathers partly with black shafts, especially the bristly ones of the loral region. Subocular region and ear-spot blackish brown; sides of the neck spotted with white, like the hind neck.

Under-surface of the body reddish brown, barred with white; each feather with several (mostly three or four) brown and the same number of white bars, the brown ones bordered with blackish. The breadth of the white bars measures from 4 to 7 millimetres, that of the brown ones from 2 to 5. The total impression, however, is not that of a white under surface barred with brown, but of a reddish brown one barred with white. Under tail-coverts marked in the same way. The concealed part of the feathers blackish grey.

Wings above uniform with the back; upper wing-coverts partly streaked with pale reddish brown, similar to the coloration of the under surface of the body, besides being spotted or banded with white. Quills with lighter bands, ending in white spots on the primaries, generally more whitish on the secondaries and tertiaries. Under wing-coverts reddish brown, similar to the colour of the breast, irregularly streaked. Under surface of the wings blackish grey, with more distinct transversal bands, which are partly lighter and pure white, especially on the middle and basal thirds of the quills.

Tail above uniform with the wings, with nine lighter cross bars on the middle rectrices and eleven on the outer ones; tail below lighter, with whitish cross bars.

Leg-feathers of a light buff colour, very indistinctly streaked.

The most obvious character of this new species is the spotted head; but, besides, it is so very distinct that it cannot be confounded with any other one. From localities adjoining Sumba or Sandlewood Island, the following species of Ninox are at present known:
On a new Species of Hawk-Owl.

*Ninox fusca* (V.), from Timor, which species Mr. Riedel, the well-known resident and explorer of the Malay archipelago, also procured on Sumba. It is barred with smaller longitudinal but transverse bars on the under surface, and is without white spots on the head. Cf. Sharpe, Cat. ii. 1875, pl. xii. fig. 1.

*Ninox florensis* (Wall.), from Flores, which Mr. Sharpe identifies with *Ninox scutulata* (Raffl.), loc. cit. pp. 157, 165. This species has no spots on the head, and only five cross bars on the tail.

*Ninox punctulata* (Q. & G.), from Celebes, reminds one somewhat of *Ninox rudolphi* as regards its spotted upper surface; but, besides other characters, is much smaller; the wings, for instance, measuring only 160 millimetres in specimens collected by myself near Gorontalo, against 243 millimetres in *N. rudolphi*.

This new species would require a special division in Mr. Sharpe's "Key" (loc. cit. p. 152), which runs as follows:—

c. Breast spotted or transversally barred.

.e'. Head spotted or barred.

.e''. Size large, wing 10·5-18·5 inches.

.f''. Size smaller, wings not exceeding 8·5 inches in length.

The length of the wings in *N. rudolphi* is 9·5 inches; it therefore ranges between the divisions *e''* and *f''*, and seems to be rather isolated among the various known species of the genus *Ninox*—a view shared by my friend Mr. Sharpe, who has kindly inspected one of the specimens, the types of which are now preserved in the Dresden Zoological Museum.

I have great pleasure in naming this new species *Ninox rudolphi*, in honour of the illustrious patron of ornithology, His Imperial & Royal Highness Archduke Rudolph, Crown Prince of Austria, as a slight token of respect for his personal virtues and scientific attainments.

Dresden, December 1881.

I am indebted to the kindness of Mons. A. Boucard of Paris for the opportunity of examining the Raptorial portion of a collection of Cochin-Chinese birds made in the vicinity of the town of Saigon by Mons. Moreau; and I think that the names of these Raptores may be worth recording.

1. Pseudogyps bengalensis (Gmel.).
2. Circus melanoleucus (Forst.).
3. Circus æruginosus (Linn.).
*4. Scelospizias poliopsis (Hume).
5. Butastur indicus (Gmel.).
*7. Limnaetus caligatus (Raffl.).
8. Haliaëtus leucogaster (Gmel.).
9. Halistur indus (Bodd.).
10. Baza lophotes (Temm.).
11. Falco peregrinus, Gmel.
12. Ketupa ceylonensis (Gmel.).
In bright rufous plumage.
*14. Carine brama (Temm.).
This specimen appears to agree with Indian examples; the following are its principal measurements:—wing 6·20 inches, tarsus 1·20, middle toe s. u. 1·90.
*15. Ninox scutulata (Raffles).
This specimen measures:—wing 8·60 inches, tarsus 1·10, middle toe s. u. 1·20.

*16. Strix javanica, Gmel.
The following are the principal measurements of this spec-
Specimens of the species in the above list marked with an asterisk have been secured for the Norwich Museum.

XIX.—On a Collection of Birds made by Mr. J. S. Jameson in South-eastern Africa, with Notes by Mr. T. Ayres. By Captain G. E. Shelley.

(Plate VII.)

Mr. Jameson has asked me to describe this fine collection, and has also lent me a most interesting book of notes made by Mr. T. Ayres, so well known to readers of 'The Ibis' as an accurate observer of birds, who accompanied the expedition. From them I have made many extracts.

As regards the classification, I shall follow that adopted by Mr. R. B. Sharpe in his new edition of Layard’s 'Birds of South Africa.'

Mr. Ayres gives the following list of localities mentioned in his notes, which I fancy will prove of some service to the readers of this communication:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Long.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ganyani river, Mashoona land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umvuli river,</td>
<td>18 15</td>
<td>30 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umsweztie river,</td>
<td>18 30</td>
<td>30 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umgezi river,</td>
<td>18 20</td>
<td>30 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umyati river,</td>
<td>18 45</td>
<td>30 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bembesi river,</td>
<td>19 0</td>
<td>30 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quae Quae river,</td>
<td>19 10</td>
<td>30 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shongo river, Matabele land</td>
<td>19 30</td>
<td>29 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inshlanjene river,</td>
<td>19 40</td>
<td>29 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matje Umschlope (residence of King Lo Bengoola)</td>
<td>20 10</td>
<td>28 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramaquabane river, Matabele land</td>
<td>21 15</td>
<td>28 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tatin river</td>
<td>21 25</td>
<td>27 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macloutsie river, Bamangwato</td>
<td>21 45</td>
<td>28 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gokwe river,</td>
<td>22 5</td>
<td>28 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seruli Pan,</td>
<td>22 25</td>
<td>27 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatswie Pan,</td>
<td>22 35</td>
<td>27 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limowie Pan, Bamangwato ...... lat. 22 38 long. 27 30
Chakanie Pan, ...... " ...... 23 45 ...... " ...... 27 25
Metle river, ...... " ...... 22 50 ...... " ...... 27 15
Mahalapsie river ...... " ...... 22 55 ...... " ...... 27 10
Shoshong (Mangwato) ...... " ...... 23 2 ...... " ...... 26 50
Selenia Pan, Sechele's country ...... " ...... 23 20 ...... " ...... 25 55
Boatlanami Pan, ...... " ...... 23 30 ...... " ...... 25 50
Bomuningani Pan ...... " ...... 23 50 ...... " ...... 25 50
Kooroomooroooi Pan ...... " ...... 24 15 ...... " ...... 25 50
Kanye (village), ...... " ...... 24 50 ...... " ...... 25 40
Malope river, Montsui’s country ...... " ...... 25 45 ...... " ...... 25 35
Sikogolo river, ...... " ...... 26 10 ...... " ...... 25 25
Great Chine Pan ...... " ...... 26 26 ...... " ...... 25 10
Makara river, Mantkorane’s country ...... " ...... 27 25 ...... " ...... 25 30
Dry Hart river, ...... " ...... 27 30 ...... " ...... 25 25
Spalding’s, Hart river ...... " ...... 27 55 ...... " ...... 25 30
Mooi river, Potchefstroom district, Transvaal.
Elands river, Rustenburg district, Transvaal.
Hol Fontein, near Crocodile river, Transvaal.
Klaas’s Kraal, near Pilansberg, Rustenburg district, Transvaal.

1. Gyps kolbi (Daud.).
2. Gyps rueppelli (Brehm).
3. Otogyps auricularis (Daud.).
4. Lophogyps occipitalis (Burch.).
5. Neophron pileatus (Burch.).
6. Serpentarius secretarius (Scop.).

Mashoona, September and October. All these were identified, but not preserved.

7. Circus pygargus (Linn.).
(178) ♂, Spalding’s, Hart river, 3rd February. Crop contained nothing but grasshoppers.
The Harriers have a very wide range, and are generally found singly, skimming quietly over the open grass-country.
[I cannot understand for what reason some ornithologists refuse to refer Falco pygargus, Linn., to this species.—G. E. S.]

8. Astur polyzonoides (Smith).
(63) ♀, Umvuli river, 23rd August; and ♂, Ganiani river, 18th September. Iris reddish orange; bill black; cere pale
yellow; legs gamboge-yellow. Total length in the flesh 12.5 inches.

This bird I shot amongst the high trees not far from the river.

♀, Ganyani river, 18th September. Iris crimson.

The eggs in the ovary of the latter bird were large; she would probably have begun to lay in about a week's time. The crop contained a good-sized lizard.

9. Buteo desertorum (Daud.).

(168) ♂, Sikogolo river, 17th January.

The crop was filled with white ants. The bird seems to be rather local. We saw several on the Hart river, at Spalding's; and I have shot them about Potchefstroom in the Transvaal.

10. Aquila wahlbergi, Sundev.

(84) ♀, Ganyani river, 17th September. Iris hazel; bill horny black, with the basal part bluish ash; cere and gape very pale greenish yellow; claws black. Total length in the flesh 21.5 inches. Crop contained a whole Swallow (Hirundo dimidiata) and a locust.

It is rather a scarce species in this part of the country. A pair of these birds commenced to build their nest on an upper bough of a large tree on the banks of the Umvuli, just before we left our camp to return southward, in the beginning of October.

11. Haliaetus vocifer (Daud.).

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

12. Helotarsus ecaudatus (Daud.).

(136) ♂, Tatin river, 5th December.

Tolerably common all along our route from the Transvaal to the Umvuli river. Generally seen sailing along easily overhead far out of shot.

13. Milvus aegyptius (Gm.).

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.
14. *Elanus caeruleus* (Desf.).

Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

16. *Tinnunculus rupicola* (Daud.).
(179) Spalding’s, Hart river, 3rd February.
Scarce in this part of the country.

17. *Tinnunculus tinnunculoides* (Temm.).
(110) , Inshlangeen river, 4th November.
This was a very windy day; and about half a dozen of these Kestrels were beating up against the gale, and feeding upon grasshoppers.

18. *Erythropus amurensis* (Gurney).
♀, immature, Boatlanami Pan, 31st December.

19. *Bubo maculosus* (Vieill.).
Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

20. *Glaucidium capense* (Smith).
(61) ♄, Umvuli river, 20th August. Iris bright yellow; bill pale greenish yellow; feet dingy pale yellow. Total length in the flesh 8.75 inches. The crop contained locusts.
A common bird throughout Mashoona land, not often seen by daylight, though frequently heard at night. Near the river Ganyani it was so plentiful that one might hear half a dozen of them calling at the same time during the first two or three hours of the night; but even by moonlight they are exceedingly difficult to see amongst the branches of the trees. Mr. Jameson and myself tried on several occasions to get a shot without success. After carefully looking up for a length of time, with the bird calling within a few yards of our heads, a tiny speck is seen but for a moment to dart across the light, and all is silent, when perhaps in a few minutes he recommences his monotonous *kroo! kroo! kroo!* from some distant tree, when we repeat the search with no better success.

21. *Glaucidium perlatum* (Vieill.).
By no means uncommon near our camp at Umvuli, one or two might generally be heard every evening soon after dark amongst the surrounding trees.

22. *Asio capensis* (Smith).

Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

23. *Strix flammea*, Linn.

(106) ♂, Shongo river, 1st November.

Pretty generally distributed over the country. We met with a number at the Tatin, occupying the crevices in the sides of the workings of the gold-fields, which are now deserted; and I heard one near the Hart river.


(66) Umvuli river, 27th August, and Ganyani river, 18th September. Male: iris umber-brown; bill pale, with the culmen and tip dusky; tarsi and feet light dusky brown.

They were not very common on the Umvuli on the 27th of August, when we first met with them; but towards the end of September we found them very abundant near the Ganyani, where their incessant chirring note might be heard, more or less, throughout the night. I never detected the female in the act. The male perches himself crosswise on a bough, while the female sits on the ground beneath, silently rising every now and again to catch some passing insect. The breeding commences in September. On the 19th of October an egg was taken at the Bembesi river. They are very quick on the wing, and glide through the branches of the trees with great dexterity.


(74) Ganyani river, Umvuli river, Umgesi river, and Umnyati river, September and October. Mashoona name "Ama-damba," Matabele name "Manoella." Iris dark brown; bill dingy and pale, becoming dusky towards the tip; tarsi and feet light dusky brown: the same in both sexes.

We first met with this Goatsucker late in August in Mashoona land. The first male was seen by our driver on the 30th of August, and the first specimen shot, a female, on the 13th
of September. After this they gradually became plentiful. The hen bird glides amongst the boughs of the trees with wonderful ease and rapidity, and is decidedly not so plentiful as and much more difficult to procure than the male. I never saw the female settle on trees; but the male, when flushed, frequently does so, and perches lengthwise on the branch, with the long wing-feathers hanging to one side. Sometimes they hawk high in the air, especially on calm evenings. At other times in well-wooded parts they sweep round and round swiftly and gracefully; but when disturbed they fly as if their wings were an incumbrance to them. The long wing-feathers, even in September, are more or less worn, leading one to suppose that they would be in full plumage about July; and where do they come from? In October they begin to shed the long feathers. Several males are often seen together. The last place we saw them on our way out was just on the northern side of the Changani river, on the 30th of October, when I put up eight or ten, all cock birds, from the ground amongst some high trees clear of underwood. In the evenings, just at dark and afterwards, the cock birds call frequently, uttering a curious strident note, much like the squeak of a mouse—tswee, tswee, tswee, often repeated.

We took the first clutch of two eggs on the 28th of September, and another of two next day. The bird lays on the ground, sometimes under the trees in the open woods, and sometimes on more open ground. The eggs taken on the 29th were placed behind and sheltered by a large stone close to the bank of a small dry gully; these eggs were of a light ruddy brown of various shades, with some milky or ashy places here and there; one measured 1·1 inch by 0·8, and the other 1·25 by 0·8. The eggs taken on the 28th measure 1 inch by 0·75, and are not so rich in colouring, all the colours being less distinct. Soon after the shells get dry the colours fade. On no occasion where the hen was sitting did we find the male anywhere near; so I suppose that the cares of the family are entirely left to the hen bird.
26. Cypselus apus (Linn.).
(181) Matje Umschlope, 22nd November, and Dry Hart river, 25th January.

About 11 o'clock this morning we saw a large number of Swifts on their migration towards the south-east. We also saw a few at the Umvuli in September and October, and at Dry Hart river in December and January.

27. Cypselus caffer, Licht.
Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

(78) Umvuli river, 14th September. Matabele name "Inconjani."

Appear in considerable numbers about this date. I am told they breed in some of the banks of the rivers in Mashoona land. In the Rustenburg district of the Transvaal they are not uncommon.

29. Melittophagus bullockoides (Smith).
(79) Ganyani river, 18th September.

This Bee-eater apparently makes its appearance here about this time and pairs off for breeding, but not in any great numbers.

30. Melittophagus pusillus (P. L. S. Müll.).
(40) Umvuli river, 11th August.

Not uncommon about the Umvuli, and now commencing to pair. On our return journey, at the Quae Quae river, we found a nest and eggs on the 20th of October, in the perpendicular banks of a small gully, where the birds had pierced a horizontal hole about 18 inches deep, terminating in a small domed chamber with a flat floor, which was only covered with soft sand and the débris of insects. The eggs, four in number, are roundish in shape and of a pure glossy white, measuring 0·75 inch by 0·6.

31. Coracias garrulus, Linn.
(133)♀, Palatswie Pan, 18th December.

We here met with the only pair we saw during our trip.
32. *Coracias naevius*, Daud.

(16) Bamangwato, 28th May. Matabele name "Chegala."

This species is met with singly or in pairs, and, although by no means common up country, is very generally distributed. There were several around our camp at the Umvuli river, mostly in pairs, while those we met with on the road were single.

33. *Coracias caudatus*, Linn.

(5 & 6) Hol Fontein, near Crocodile river, Transvaal, 15th May; Palatswie Pan, 4th June; and Umvuli river, 4th September.

This species is plentiful throughout the Transvaal, Matabele, and Mashoona countries.

34. *Coracias spatulatus*, Trimen.


(25) Umvuli river, 2nd August. Bill black; tarsi and feet greenish yellow.

This beautiful Roller much resembles *C. caudatus* in appearance and habits. On our arrival at the Umvuli, and in no other locality, did we meet with this species. Ronksly observed a party of them one day close by; and the birds, he says, "were chasing each other about, and uttering loud harsh cries, some of the notes much resembling the yelping of puppies." Mr. G. A. Phillips at the Tatin told me that Dr. Bradshaw met with this species on the Zambesi.

35. *Eurystomus afer* (Lath.).

(94)♀, Umvuli river, 8th October. The crop was filled with a large species of *Cicada*, which is very plentiful at this time.

I found a pair of these noisy birds in a patch of high trees, where they were so shy that for some time I could not get a shot. By hiding myself I excited their curiosity, and they approached to see what had become of me; but on shooting one of them I found it impossible to get the other to allow me within range. About this part of Mashoona land this Roller is very scarce.
   Mashoona, September and October.
   Seen, but not procured. This species was common on the Umvuli river.

37. *Corythornis cyanostigma* (Rüpp.).
   (2) Mooi river, 3rd May, and Quae Quae river, 23rd October. Matabele name "Intangaza."
   Numerous on the Mooi river, and not uncommon on all the streams we passed up to the Umvuli.

38. *Ceryle rudis* (Linn.).
   (97) Umswezvie river, 14th October.
   Often seen on the Umvuli, in fact common on all the rivers of Mashoona land.

39. *Ceryle maxima* (Pall.).
   Mashoona, September and October.
   Although we occasionally saw this species, it was by no means common in any part of the country.

40. *Halcyon semicærulea* (Forsk.).
   (91) ♀ 2nd, ♂ 6th October, Umvuli river; ♂, Tatin river, 13th December. Iris umber-brown.
   A very scarce bird near the Umvuli. On the 2nd of October a pair were met with among the trees on the banks of a small tributary. On the 6th I met with a fine solitary cock bird perched on the dead bough of a tree close to the river. The only other specimen we saw was the young bird we shot on the Tatin river.

41. *Halcyon chelicutensis* (Stanley).
   (5) ♀, Umvuli river, 14th August. Iris umber-brown; bill scarlet, with the culmen and the tip more or less dusky brown; tarsi and feet light red.
   Not at all uncommon about the trees in the neighbourhood of the river and in the adjacent rocky hills, where its loud chattering note soon attracts attention. I have seen as many as four or five together; but the bird is generally a more solitary one.
42. **Bucorvus caffer** (Schleg.).

43. **Toccus flavirostris** (Rüpp.).

44. **Upupa africana**, Bechst.

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not preserved.

45. **Irrisor erythrorhynchus** (Lath.).

(59) Umvuli river, 18th August. Matabele name “Inshlaza.”

This is not at all an uncommon bird in the parts of Mashoona land we visited, and appears to be widely distributed throughout the wooded parts of South Africa.

46. **Schizorhis concolor** (Swains.).

(14) Limpopo river, Transvaal, 20th May. Iris dark slate-colour; bill black; tarsi and feet purplish black.

These Plantain-eaters are common in the Rustenburg district and along the Limpopo, and are by no means uncommon in Mashoona land, in August, September, and October, when they feed upon the various berries and fruits, and are by no means bad eating.

47. **Cuculus gularis**, Steph.

(90) Umvuli river, 2nd October.

These Cuckoos arrive here about the middle of September in considerable numbers, when their monotonous koo, koo, is to be heard all over the country. From there being no difference in the cadence of the two notes, the song may be readily distinguished from that of *Cuculus canorus*. I at first took it for that of the Hoopoe, until I saw the bird in the act of calling. In October the birds were often in threes or fours, chasing each other about, and frequently came within range; but when solitary the bird is difficult to approach. They seem to be liberally distributed through South Central Africa; and at Kanye, in Sechele’s country, they were plentiful in January.

48. **Chrysococcyx cupreus** (Bodd.).

(93)♀, Umvuli river, 6th October.

The peculiar note of this Cuckoo I heard for the first time today, and secured the bird. I subsequently heard them
now and again in different parts of the country; but they do not appear to be nearly so numerous as further south.

I see Mr. Sharpe thinks I am mistaken as to the identification of the eggs of this species; but I am perfectly certain that I am not. Perhaps the bird sometimes lays white eggs; but I have never found such to be the case.

49. Coccystes jacobinus (Bodd.).

(145) ♂, Mangwato, 28th December. Iris dark umber; bill black; tarsi and feet dark dusky ash-colour.

Not uncommon at this time of the year in many parts of the country.

50. Centropus natalensis, n. sp.

[Adult. Upper half of the head and neck, including the cheeks, brownish black with a green gloss, and a partial white eyebrow commencing at the nostril; back and wings rufous brown, with the mantle, inner secondaries, and ends of the quills of a dark and more olive-brown shade, with narrow pale shaft-stripes to the feathers of the hind neck and mantle, some of which stripes fade into buff; rump, upper tail-coverts, and basal portion of the tail narrowly barred with buff; tail-feathers narrowly tipped with white; underparts buff; with broad glossy buff shafts to the feathers of the throat and chest; on the lower throat the feathers are partially barred from the edges with brown, deepening into black towards the sides of the neck, where the feathers have their outer margins black, causing these parts to be distinctly striped with black and buff; the sides of the body and the under tail-coverts are narrowly barred with dusky black; bill black, with a pale portion towards the base of the lower mandible; legs black; iris red. Total length 17 inches, culmen 1-5, wing 6-7, tail 8-6, tarsus 1-75.

Hab. Natal and Transvaal, where it is very abundant.

This species is, in some respects, intermediate between C. senegalensis and C. superciliosus. From the former it differs, and assimilates to the latter, in having the rump, upper tail-coverts, and basal portions of the tail barred, and in the white tips to the tail-feathers, which I fancy would be always a
character, unless worn off, as is apparently the case in one of my specimens. From *C. superciliosus* it is distinguished by the partial white eyebrow, which never in adults extends behind the eye, in the hind neck, mantle, and sides of the throat being with or without stripes, but never so much striped as in *C. superciliosus*.

The species of the genus *Centropus* often show considerable variation; and in that respect *C. natalensis* appears to me to surpass them all, as the following tabular arrangement of my eight type specimens will show, specimen *d* being the one I have above described in full:—

Rump, upper tail-coverts, and base of tail always barred.

1. With no white on the sides of the head. Neck not striped. Mantle and wing-coverts very indistinctly striped.

1'. Tail worn, not tipped with white. Sides of the body not barred ........................................... *a*.

2'. Tail tipped with white. Sides of the body more or less barred.

2''. Flanks slightly barred. Secondaries partially barred. . . . . . . b.

3''. Sides of the body fully barred. A few distinct white stripes on the back of the neck and mantle. Sides of the crop partially striped ........................................... c.

2. With the white eyebrow confined to a small spot in front of the eye. Tail tipped with white. Sides of the neck striped. Sides of the body barred.

2'. Mantle and hind neck thinly striped.

2''. With no bars on the wing ........................................... *d*.

3''. Some of the secondaries barred ........................................... *e*.

3'. Mantle and hind neck strongly striped. No bars on wing . . *f*.

3. With the white eyebrow extending over the eye, but not well marked. White stripes confined to a few of the feathers on the sides of the hind neck and the mantle. No bars on wing *g*.

4. With the white eyebrow extending back to above the ear-coverts, but barely indicated over the eye. White stripes on the back of the neck and mantle strongly marked. All the secondaries barred. Tail barred for three quarters of its length ........................................... *h*. juv.

In *C. senegalensis* I have met with the following variations. In the young bird the rump, upper tail-coverts, and tail are barred, but these bars disappear before the bars leave the secondaries. In four specimens collected by Dr. Bradshaw
in the Matabele or Zambesi region, there is a distinct partial white eyebrow extending from the nostril to above the eye; and one of them is without bars on the wing, and appears fully adult. I have also the fully adult bird without any white on the sides of the head, from Bamangwato, collected by Mr. T. E. Buckley.

Towards the northern portion of its range there is a slightly larger and duller race, *Centropus egypticus* (Gm.), with the mantle more olive-brown, found in Egypt, and, according to the labels in the British Museum, also extending into the northern portion of the West-African region.

In *C. superciliosus*, Hempr. & Ehr., I have not met with any variations worthy of remark.

With regard to the range of the three species, *C. senegalensis* (Linn.) inhabits the whole of South and West Africa and North-east Africa from the mouth of the Nile to Abyssinia; *C. natalensis = C. superciliosus*, Sharpe (nee Hempr. & Ehr.), new ed. Layard B. S. Afr. p. 163, part, inhabits the eastern portion of South Africa: but I do not feel certain of its occurrence in Benguela; for two specimens in the British Museum collected by Mr. Sala on the Rio Dande belong to the next species. *C. superciliosus*, Hempr. & Ehr., inhabits North-Eastern Africa as far south as Dar-es-Salaam, and crosses the continent to Rio Dande in Angola.—G. E. S.]

51. **Pogonorhynchus torquatus** (Dum.).

(38) Umvuli river, 10th August. Matabele name "Tsimacope."

Somewhat scarce in this part of the country, frequenting the larger trees along the banks of the river.

52. **Barbatula extoni**, Layard.

(156) ♂, Kanye, 7th January. Iris dark umber; bill black; tarsi and feet dusky brown.

Sparsely distributed throughout the country from the Umvuli southward, where their loud notes betray their presence. In the Rustenburg district it is plentiful.
53. Trachyphonus cafer (Vieill.).

(149) ♂, Boatlanami Pan, 30th December. Iris dark lake; bill pale green, with a dusky tip; tarsi and feet dusky ash.

We neither saw nor heard any of these birds in the Mashoona country. The first met with on our return journey was calling amongst some trees in the Matabele country near the Tatin river. After this we occasionally heard them in various parts of the country; they are, however, more common in the Rustenburg district of the Transvaal than in any other part of South Africa I have yet visited.

54. Campothera smithi (Malh.).

(68) ♀, Umvuli river, 28th August. Iris ashy pink; bill dark horny ash-colour; tarsi and feet light dingy green. Total length in the flesh 9 inches.

Not very scarce, but difficult to procure.

55. Dendropicus namaquus (Licht.).

(44) ♀, Umvuli river, 14th August, and ♂, Quae Quae river, 22nd October. Female: iris brownish red; bill dusky ash; tarsi and feet pale olive-green. Male: iris bright garnet-red; bill dark ash; tarsi and feet light dingy olive-green.

The loud harsh cry of this Woodpecker is often heard, especially in the early morning, amongst the woods, but it is not always easy to get within shot.

56. Psittacus meyeri (Rüpp.).

(15) Limpopo river, Transvaal, 18th May, and Umvuli river, 4th September. Matabele name “Zignonene.” In an adult male shot in September the iris was reddish orange; in a male of the year, also shot in September, the iris was light olive-brown; bill light ash, darker at the tip; tarsi and feet light ash.

Common throughout the bush-country, plentiful in Mashoona land, at the Umvuli river, and in other parts. There is also a much larger Parrot, which we failed to obtain, in the Mashoona country: green, with some yellow about the head, possibly P. robustus. This species we found feeding on a wild fruit called by the Mashoonas “Incona,” which was ripe in
quantities in September and October, and grows on large
dark-leaved trees in the forest.

57. Turdus litsitsirupa, Smith.
(166) ♀, Sikogolo river, 17th January.
Scantily scattered throughout the country.

58. Turdus libonyanus, Smith.
(32) ♂, Umvuli river, 7th August. Matabele name “In-
shlava.”
Occasionally a pair is to be found here and there about the
country of the Mashoonas; but near Rustenburg, in Trans-
vaal, it is the commonest of the true Thrushes.

59. Pycnonotus layardi, Gurney.
(26) Umvuli river, 2nd August. Matabele name “Ipoti.”
Iris dark brown; bill, tarsi, and feet black.
Rather scarce in these parts, but very abundant in the
Rustenburg district of the Transvaal.

60. Crateropus bicolor (Jard.).
(122) ♂, Tatin river, 8th December. Iris light yellow;
bill black; tarsi and feet ashy black.
These birds go in small parties and are very noisy.

61. Crateropus plebeius (Rüpp.).
(57) Umvuli river, 17th August. Matabele name “Im-
vanana.”
Not at all uncommon along the banks of the river, where
their noisy and lively notes are frequently heard. They feed
amongst the brushwood on the ground, both on fruit and
insects, often scratching the dead leaves over after the man-
ner of the true Thrushes.

(65) Umvuli river, 25th August. Iris umber; bill black;
tarsi and feet dingy ashy brown.
This species was exceedingly scarce. It frequents dense
thickets lining the small rivulets that run into the Umvuli,
and from its retiring habits is not often seen.
63. **Thamnobia cinnamomeiventris** (Lafr.).

(104) ♂, hills near Shongo river, 1st November. Iris dark umber; bill, tarsi, and feet black. Total length in the flesh 9.25 inches. The crop contained beetles and other insects.

We met for the first time a pair here among the rocks; subsequently I saw a third specimen among the rocks at Kanye.

64. **Thamnobia shelleyi** (Sharpe).

(37) Umvuli river, 10th August. Matabele name “Inquelechaine.” In both sexes iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

This is an arboreal Chat, frequenting the woods on the banks of rivers. Although generally near the ground, on being disturbed it immediately flies to the higher branches of the trees. We only met with it on the Umvuli, and with but few representatives of the species even there. They were feeding entirely upon ants, which they take from the ground as well as the trees, and are shy and difficult to approach. Mr. Sharpe has rightly identified the female; she has the crown black and throat white, while the male has the crown white and throat black.

65. **Pinarornis plumosus**, Sharpe.

(33) Umvuli river, 7th August and 9th October. Iris bright umber; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

I found a pair of these curious birds on a rocky spur of a low range of mountains, well wooded with high trees. They are the only two of this species that I have ever seen. Their habits are decidedly those of a Chat; and although shy and retiring they are at once conspicuous by their large size and the peculiar graceful movements imparted to them by their lax soft plumage and ample wings and tail, as they hop and flit about the large boulders and rocks, alighting on them as softly as a falling snow-flake. The male is darker and brighter in plumage than the female, which gives the latter a somewhat faded appearance.

66. **Myrmecocichla formicivora** (Vieill.).

(173) Spalding’s, Hart river, 27th January.
Common in this locality. There was a fine old cock bird that used to come and perch on a particular bush, not thirty yards from our waggons, and remain there nearly all day. He would, in the mornings and evenings, if the weather was favourable, indulge us with a short but very pleasant loud and clear song.

67. Saxicola galtoni (Strickl.).
(105) ♂, Rocky Kopjes near Shongo river, 1st November; and ♀, Mangwato, 27th December.
A pair of these birds were breeding in the rocks near the Shongo. They are much less plentiful in these parts than about Rustenburg and other spots in the Transvaal.

68. Saxicola pileata (Gin.).
Mashoona, September and October; seen, but not procured. Mangwato, 26th December.

69. Pratincola torquata (Linn.).
Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

70. Aedon leucophrys (Vieill.).
(111) ♂, Matje Umschlope, 14th November; and ♂, Kanye, 7th January. Iris light dusky brown; bill dusky brown, with the basal part of the lower mandible yellow; tarsi and feet pale dingy ash-colour.
We found the bird by no means plentiful.

71. Aedon pena (Smith).
(137) ♂, Mangwato, 26th December. Iris dark brown; bill horny black; tarsi and feet light ash-colour.
A common species here and breeding at this time. I found a nest on the 23rd placed in a low bush about a foot from the ground; it was cup-shaped, composed of rough, dry, coarse, half-rotten stalks and blades of grass, and lined with fine fibrous roots; internal diameter about 2 inches. The eggs, three in number, are white, spotted with reddish brown, more especially at the obtuse end, where the spots are inclined to run into each other and form blotches. Measurements 0·8 inch by 0·6.
72. **Drymæca flavicans** (Vieill.).

(138) Mangwato, 26th December.

These birds inhabit the low thorn-bushes which are plentiful in this part of the country.

73. **Drymæca ocularia**, Smith.

(165) ♂, Malope river, 13th January; and ♀, Hart river, 1st February. One male measured 6 inches in the flesh, the other 5½.

These birds are abundant in the neighbourhood of the Malope river, where I have seen six or eight together. They are very tame, and frequent the low scrub. We also met with them at Spalding's.

74. **Drymæca affinis**, Smith.

(52) ♂, Umvuli river, 16th August. Iris light hazel; bill dark ash-colour; tarsi and feet light reddish brown; claws dusky brown.

Scarce, frequenting the low bushes &c. on the banks of the river.

75. **Cisticola natalensis** (Smith).

(69) ♀, Umvuli river, 31st August. Matabele name "Umkuwelo." Iris tawny yellow; bill pale, with the culmen light dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale.

Not plentiful; it frequents the rough stuff along the banks of the river.

76. **Cisticola cheni ana** (Smith).

(123, 131, 140) Tatin river, 8th December, Seruli Pan, 17th December, Mangwato, 27th December. Iris hazel; bill light dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale ruddy brown.

The commonest Warbler in this part of the country. It is an active bird, and enlivens its abode with its many loud and varied notes. When sitting on the top of some bush, which it often does, it has a curious habit of holding on fast with its feet, and then attempting to fly up, giving it the appearance of having its feet fast with bird-lime, and as if the bird was making strenuous and ineffectual efforts to be off.
77. **Cisticola aberrans** (Smith).

(28) Umvuli river, 2nd August, Matje Umschlope, 17th November, and Kanye, 7th January. Matabele name "Imnyati."

A specimen shot at Kanye, 7th January, had the iris hazel; bill pale, with the culmen light dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale.

This species is generally distributed over the country, nearly always in pairs, generally frequenting low mimosa bushes and other shrubs, but when disturbed flies up to the topmost branches of the high trees.

78. **Cisticola fasciolata** (Smith).

(128) Tatin river, 13th December.

This I have always found to be a scarce bird. We met with a pair at the Tatin in the thick bush on a hillside.

79. **Cisticola cursitans** (Frankl.).

(162) ♂, Kanye, 11th January. Iris hazel; bill light dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale.

I have often noticed the power of ventriloquism in this bird, which I see has previously been remarked by others.

80. **Phylloscopus trochilus** (Linn.).

(113) Matje Umschlope, 17th November.

A small company of about a dozen Willow-Warblers were restlessly moving about in the trees this morning near Lo Bengoola’s Kraals. The first I have seen on this journey.

81. **Eremomela flaviventris** (Burch.).

(60) Umvuli river, 19th August. Iris dark brown; bill dark horn-colour, yellow at the angle of the mouth, and livid flesh-colour on the basal part of the lower mandible; tarsi and feet dark ash-colour.

Here the birds were generally in small family parties busily engaged hunting for their insect-food amongst the young foliage near the tops of the trees.

82. **Eremomela hemixantha**, Seebohm.

(31) ♂, Umvuli river, 23rd August. Iris yellowish white; bill black; tarsi and feet light ruddy brown, tinged with ash.
Total length in the flesh 5 inches. In a male shot 7th August, iris pale yellow; eyelids ruddy brown; tarsi ash-colour; feet light yellowish brown.

This species is active and restless, hunting in flocks for insects among the young leaves and buds. In the early morning some of the trees seem alive with them; and every now and again the whole flock simultaneously set up a loud chirring note, and a general chasing of each other ensues, after which they soon straggle off to another tree, and renew their business and pleasure.

83. Sylvietta rufescens (Vieill.).

(92) ♂, Umvuli river, 6th October; and ♂, Chakanie Pan, 19th December.

Very scarce near the Umvuli, where, I think, I only saw the bird on one occasion during our stay of two months and a half. It displayed the usual restless habits of the species. On the 19th of December, at Chakanie Pan, Mr. Jameson found a nest and eggs. The nest, a very pretty pendent structure, was hung from the outer twigs of a "wait-a-bit" thorn, was open at the top, and composed of dry leaves and stalks, neatly woven together with cobwebs, giving it a greyish-white appearance, and was lined with fine grass. The eggs, two in number, are exactly as described by Mr. Sharpe in his new edition of Layard's 'Birds of South Africa.'

84. Hylypsornis salvadorii, Bocage.

Hylypsornis salvadorii, Bocage, Jorn. Lisboa, vi. 1878, pp. 198, 211.

(83) ♂, Ganyani river, 17th September. Bill dusky brown; tarsi and feet ashy brown. Total length in the flesh 5.75 inches. The crop contained caterpillars.

A pair were seen creeping about the trunks and branches of the large trees. From the state of the ovary it was evidently about to lay.

[This rare species has hitherto only been collected in Benguela.—G. E. S.]
85. *Cinnyris gutturalis* (Linn.).

(51) Umvuli river, 16th August, and Quae Quae river, 25th October. Matabele name "Icomo mazadoona." Iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

This species suddenly made its appearance in great numbers about this time, and remained plentiful for somewhat less than a month, and then became scarce again, a pair here and there only remaining to breed. This was not for want of food, for the "German-sausage trees," on which they had been feeding, were still loaded with blossoms long after the Sun-birds had left; so I presume they must have been passing to some more favourite locality.

86. *Cinnyris chalybeus* (Linn.).

(1) Rustenburg, Transvaal, 6th May, and Umvuli river, September. Iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

At the Umvuli river they were scarce, and had probably just arrived; for we did not see any in August.


(75) umvuli river, 9th September. Iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

These birds made their appearance much about the same time as *C. gutturalis*, but by no means so plentifully, feeding together with them on the flowers of the "German-sausage tree."

[This is the most southern limit yet recorded for *C. kirki*; and as the collection contains four adult males, we may presume that it visits the Umvuli regularly.—G. E. S.]

88. *Cinnyris talatala* (Smith).

(20) Pelatswie Pan, Bamangwato, 4th June.

Found feeding about the same parasitic plant as *C. mariquensis*, and in about equal numbers. A widely distributed species.

89. *Cinnyris mariquensis*, Smith.

(19) Palatswie Pan, Bamangwato, 4th June.

Here we met with this species and *C. talatala* in tolerable abundance assembled round a very pretty parasitic plant, the
blossoms of which much resemble the honeysuckle. The cock birds we watched chased each other about the trees with their usual liveliness. We also got a specimen of this bird at Matje Umschlope, in Matabele, in November, and a pair at Mangwato on the 27th December.

90. **Anthoscopus caroli** (Sharpe).

(81)♀, Ganyani river, 19th September. Iris bright ashy blue; bill blue, with the culmen and gonys black; tarsi and feet dark bluish ash. Matabele name "N’kilo."

It was shot whilst hunting among the buds and young leaves of the forest-trees in company with others of the same species.

[new to the eastern portion of South Africa.—G. E. S.]

91. **Anthoscopus capensis** (Gmel.).

(176)♂♀, Spalding's, Hart river, 29th January.

We met with several small family parties of these tiny birds hunting actively for their food amongst the low "Vaal bosch," a grey bush which is very common all over this part of the country. In June I found a couple of deserted nests in Bamangwato, but did not see the birds. On our way out of the country, at Boatlanami Pan, on the 29th of December, Mr. Jameson found a nest, with one beautiful little pure white egg.

92. **Parus afer**, Gmel.

(47) Umvuli river, 14th August.

Not uncommon in Mashoona land.

93. **Parus niger**, Vieill.

(10) Limpopo river, Transvaal, 19th May.

A tolerably common bird throughout the bush-country. We found it equally plentiful on the Umvuli river, Mashoona land, in September and October.

94. **Parisoma subcaeruleum** (Vieill.).

(143) Mangwato, 26th December.

Now and again to be seen in Matabele land, but decidedly more plentiful to the southward of that country.
95. *Pachyprora molitor* (Hahn & Küst.).

(55) ♂, Umvuli river, 17th August. Matabele name "Man-tilima." Iris bright yellow; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

Common on the Umvuli. They have peculiarly loud notes, considering the size of the bird, but they are not unpleasant.

[I have placed this species in the genus *Pachyprora*, instead of *Batis*, as the latter generic name was previously, and is still, in general use in botany.—G. E. S.]

96. *Muscicapa grisola*, Linn.

(98) Quae Quae river, 22nd October.

We met with a few of these birds towards the latter end of October; so I presume they migrate to Mashoona land about this time.

97. *Hyliota australis*, sp. n. (Plate VII. fig. 1.)

(48) Umvuli river, 14th August. Iris dusky; bill bluish ash, black at the tip; tarsi and feet dark ash-colour, nearly black. Total length in the flesh 4.75 inches. The crop contained a large spider.

Exceedingly scarce, frequenting the upper parts of high trees, amongst the buds and young leaves of which it actively searches for insects.

[The present species principally differs from any hitherto described *Hyliota* in the entire absence of any steel-blue shade on the upper parts.

The specimen before me is labelled male, and is apparently in full plumage. I have compared it with *H. flavigastra*, Swains., in the British Museum, but only know *H. violacea*, Verr. (which appears to me as doubtfully distinct from *H. flavigastra*), by the description.

*H. australis*: Upper parts and sides of the head dull black, slightly glossed with metallic lilac; across the lower back a broad band of white feathers tipped with black, much hidden by the overlapping of the black feathers, giving a mottled appearance to this part; median and greater wing-coverts entirely white, with the exception of a few of the outer ones; secondaries with a white base, increasing in breadth towards the innermost ones; inner webs of the quills with white edges;
under wing-coverts white; two centre tail-feathers entirely black, the others more or less narrowly and partially edged with white on both webs, the outer feather has the white extending over the entire basal portion of the external web for about three quarters of its length; under surface of the body ochraceous yellow, deeper on the breast and paler on the vent and under tail-coverts, the latter being almost white; thighs white in front and black behind. In the skin—total length 4·6 inches, culmen 0·4, wing 2·8, tail 2, tarsus 0·75.—G. E. S.]

98. Terpsiphone perspicillata (Swains.).
(88) ♂, Umvuli river, 2nd October. Iris dusky umber; bill and eyelids of the most lovely light cobalt or, rather, sky-blue; tarsi and feet ashy blue.

These Flycatchers must be scarce in this part of Mashoona land, for we only saw one small party, probably a family, which were shy, and flew right away after the first shot.

(99) ♀, Quae Quae river, 23rd October.
For two or three days, from about 9 to 10 A.M., considerable numbers of Martins were flying up the river in a south-easterly direction, at a great height, only now and then one coming within range. They were apparently migrating.

[This is the first time the House-Martin has been recorded from South Africa. The occurrence is most interesting in showing the full migration of this well-known European bird.—G. E. S.]

100. Cotile cincta (Bodd.).
101. Hirundo rustica, Linn.
Mashoona, September, October, and December. Seen, but not procured.

102. Hirundo dimidiata, Sundev.
(34) Umvuli, 9th August. Matabele name "N’konjane." Iris dark umber; bill, tarsi, and feet black.
Evidently building at this time, as there was mud on the bills of the pair we shot. They either remain here through-
out the winter, or are very early in their migration, as I saw them in the Matabele country in June, when no other Swallows were to be seen.

103. *Hirundo griseopyga*, Sundev.
(101) Quae Quae river, 25th October. Iris dusky; bill black; tarsi and feet dusky brown. Total length in the flesh 6·25 inches.
These Swallows were in pairs, hunting among the trees for their insect food; but were not plentiful.

Mashoona, September, October, and December. Seen, but not procured.

105. *Hirundo cucullata*, Bodd.
Mashoona, September, October, and December. Seen, but not procured.

106. *Hirundo puella*, Temm.
(86) ♀, Umvuli river, 1st October. Iris umber; bill, tarsi, and feet black.
These Swallows, which had just arrived in small parties, were immediately pairing off and commencing to build, but were by no means plentiful.

(150) ♀ immature, Spalding's, Hart river, 8th February. Iris dark hazel; bill dark dusky brown, with the basal part of the lower mandible light bluish ash; tarsi and feet dark dusky brown.
The only one I have seen here.

108. *Lanius collurio*, Linn.
(120, 121) Tatin river, 7th and 8th December.
A common bird here; to be seen in pairs about the low scrub.

109. *Urolestes cissoides* (Licht.).
(9) Limpopo or Crocodile river, near Oliedrift, Transvaal, 16th May. Iris dusky brown; bill, tarsi, and feet dusky black.
A rather common species throughout the bush-veldt from Rustenburg into the Mashoona country, where, on the 8th of October, we found it breeding. The nest was placed amongst the outer boughs of a low thorn-tree some eight feet from the ground, and was cup-shaped, roughly constructed externally, principally of thorny twigs, and lined with fibrous roots and dry wiry bits of grass. It contained four somewhat incubated eggs, of a pale creamy-brown colour, with a finely speckled zone of rich brown of various shades, from nearly black to pale brown, the rest of the surface more or less dotted all over with brown. They measured $1\frac{1}{16}$ inch by $\frac{3}{4}$.

110. Laniarius poliocephalus (Licht.).

(70) Umvuli river, 31st August. Iris bright yellow; bill black; tarsi and feet light bluish ash. Total length in the flesh 10.5 inches. The crop contained a small mouse.

We only met with one pair of these Shrikes. Their notes are loud and harsh, though not often heard, as the bird is generally silently creeping about dense thickets.

111. Laniarius sulphureipectus (Less.).

(49) ?, Umvuli river, 14th August. Iris ruddy brown; bill black; tarsi and feet ash-colour. Total length in the flesh 7.75 inches. The crop contained insects.

An exceedingly scarce bird, and retiring in habits, frequenting low bushy trees along the banks of the river.

112. Laniarius atrococcineus (Burch.).

(3) Eland's river, Rustenburg-district, 11th May; Bamangwato, 24th May; Tatin river, Maeloutsi river, Palatswie Pan, and Chakanie Pan, December. Matabele name “Billi-bonvu.” Iris dark ashy blue; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

These handsome Shrikes generally frequent dense thorny mimosa-jungle, and are not uncommon in parts of the country where such bush is to be found. None were seen on the northern side of the Malope river, either in the Matabele or Mashoona countries, where the character of the bush gradually but totally changes.
113. Laniarius cubla (Shaw).
(67) Umvuli river, 27th August, and Ganyani river, 18th September. Female: iris bright reddish orange; bill bluish ash, with the culmen horny black; tarsi and feet bluish ash. Male: iris more crimson; bill black; tarsi and feet light ash-colour.

Pretty common in Mashoona land. The birds were mostly hunting for insects among the foliage in the tops of the trees.

114. Telephonus erythropterus (Shaw).
(56) ♂, Umvuli river, 17th August; and ♀, Kanye, 7th January. Male: iris ashy blue; bill black; tarsi and feet light ash-colour. The crop contained grasshoppers.

Generally distributed over the wooded parts of the country.

115. Telephonus trivirgatus, Smith.
(56) ♀, Tatin river, 9th December.
Common at the Tatin river, where I have seen several together in wet weather, each by turns rising high over the bush with a fluttering flight, and uttering a loud chirra, chirra, chirra, dive into the bush again.

116. Nilaus brubru (Lath.).
(18) Palatswie Pan, 3rd June. Iris umber-brown; bill black, with the basal part of the lower mandible bluish ash; legs light ash.

This bird is apparently pretty evenly distributed throughout the bush-country of South Central Africa. We found it at the Umvuli river, Mashoona land, in September, solitary or in pairs.

117. Graucalus pectoralis (Jard. & Selby).
(30) Umvuli river, 7th August and 4th September. Iris umber; bill black; tarsi and feet in male dark ash, in female black. Total length in the flesh, male 10 inches, female 9·75.

This is decidedly a scarce bird. It is solitary in its habits, and frequents the high tree-tops, where it feeds upon caterpillars and various insects.

[This species is new to the eastern division of South Africa.
—G. E. S.]
118. Eurycephalus anguitimens, Smith.
(129) ♂, Tatin, 13th December. Iris dusky; bill black; tarsi and feet ash-colour. Total length in the flesh 10 inches.
This species goes in small flocks in search of its insect-food amongst the trees, where its harsh loud notes may be heard.

119. Bradyornis mariquensis, Smith.
(130) ♂, Macloutsi river, 15th December. Iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black. Total length in the flesh 7 inches.
Here we saw two or three flying about the mimosa trees. I do not remember to have previously met with this bird.

120. Bradyornis infuscatus (Smith).
(117) ♂ adult, and ♀ immature, Spalding’s, Hart river, 2nd February. Adult: iris umber; bill brownish black; tarsi and feet black. Total length in the flesh about 8 inches, immature bird 7.5. Their crops contained berries and insects.
I do not remember having met with this species before. It has a Shrike-like appearance, and frequents the low bushes near the river, and seems invariably to alight on the tops of them. I am told they breed in this locality.

[With regard to the genus Bradyornis, as summed up by Mr. Sharpe (Cat. B. Brit. Mus. iii. p. 308), I would suggest the following alterations:—Part a, section b’, should include B. infuscatus (Smith), B. marinus, Hartl. & Finsch, to which B. oatesii, Sharpe, should be referred, and B. pallidus (von Müller), to which B. modestus, Shelley, belongs.—G. E. S.]

121. Bradyornis silens (Shaw).
(158) Kanye, 17th January. In an immature male, iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black.
These birds frequent the low bush in rocky places and feed upon insects.

122. Prionops talacoma, Smith.
(42) ♂, Umvuli river, 12th August; and ♂, Tatin river,
Capt. G. E. Shelley on a Collection of

13th December. Iris pale gamboge-yellow; eyelids bright orange; bill black; tarsi and feet orange.

Not common about the Umvuli, where we only met with one small flock. At the Tatin river, in Matabele country, they were more plentiful, and are pretty common in some of the wooded parts of the Transvaal.

123. Sigmodus retzii (Wahlb.).

♀, Umvuli river, 12th August. Iris dark gamboge-yellow; eyelids blood-red; bill, basal part crimson, gradually changing to bright dark gamboge at the tip; tarsi and feet scarlet. Total length in the flesh 9.25 inches.

These are scarce birds; for we only met with them on three occasions, in small flocks amongst the woods of Umvuli, when they were so wary that we only succeeded in getting one hen bird. From what I could see, the male differs very materially in plumage. Their notes are loud, chattering, and harsh, and they feed upon insects. In flight, and apparently in habits, they much resemble Prionops talacoma. I have not seen them in any other locality.

124. Buchanga assimilis (Bechst.).

♀, Tatin river, 10th December. Iris beautiful lake-red; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

A common bird from the Umvuli river to the Transvaal. I found it breeding at Matje Umschlope.

125. Dicrurus ludwigi, Smith.

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

126. Oriolus larvatus, Licht.

♀, Umvuli river, 10th August. Iris bright crimson; bill light pinkish brown; tarsi and feet ash-colour.

Occasionally we saw a specimen and heard its loud call amongst the trees; but none, however, appear to remain.

127. Oriolus notatus, Peters.

Ganyani river, 20th September.

Shy, but not uncommon in Mashoona land.
128. *Corvus scapulatus*, Daud.
Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

129. *Corvultur albicollis* (Lath.).
Matabele, December. Seen, but not procured.

130. *Lamprotornis australis* (Smith).
(13) Limpopo river, 24th May, and Kooroomoorooi Pan, 2nd January. Iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet black. Sexes similar in plumage.

This is by no means a common bird in any part of the country that I have visited, and appears to be very local; it is generally found in small flocks. I saw a few in Bamangwato near Kama's town. Their crops contained nothing but insects.

131. *Lamprocolius chalybeus* (Hempr. & Ehr.).

(43) Umvuli river, 12th August, 18th and 25th September. Matabele name "Iquezee." Iris dark gamboge; bill, tarsi, and feet black.

This beautiful bird, though much resembling *L. phœnicopterus*, appears to me, from what I can remember, much brighter, but I have no Transvaal bird to compare it with. The note, too, differs, although it is just as harsh. They are found in Mashoona land in small flocks, generally frequenting high trees; and those which we procured had been feeding upon small insects, apparently black ants.

[I have given the above synonymy, as this species has, in my opinion incorrectly, been divided into five. The *L. phœnicopterus* above mentioned by Mr. Ayres should, I think, stand as *L. nitens* (Linn.).—G. E. S.]

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

[To be continued.]

(Plates VIII., IX.)

Since the publication of my former paper on the Birds of Gilgit I have been again resident, from May 1880 till March 1881, in that place, during which time I procured several species not previously obtained, either by Dr. Scully or myself. The summer of 1880 was marked by an unusual amount of bad weather—the monsoon, which, as a rule, is never felt so far from the plains of India, having made its influence apparent. The end of July and the beginning of August, which, in ordinary years, is the hottest season in Gilgit, was marked by ten days continuous rain and stormy weather. In consequence of this the autumn migration commenced a fortnight earlier than usual, and on the first two days in August a number of water-birds and waders, such as *Ibidorhynchus struthersi*, *Machetes pugnax*, *Tringa temmincki*, *Totanus glareola*, *Totanus calidris*, &c., appeared: amongst them a special prize, in *Tringa acuminata*, was secured. I also saw several Kites (*Milvus melanotis* or *M. govinda*).

In July and August I sent native collectors to the Darel valley, to the Deosai plain, and to the Shandur plateau, which divides the Gilgit-Yassin valley from the Chitral valley. The jealousy of the Darelis caused them to regard my men as spies who had come to study the nakedness of their land, for which purpose ornithology was but a transparent veil; and my men were obliged to return after four days' stay in the valley. They brought back forty-six specimens, representing eighteen species. Of these, three do not appear in the Gilgit list, viz. *Garrulus lanceolatus*, *Otocorys longirostris*, and *Hydrobata leucogaster*, the last-named being hitherto unrecorded south of the Himalayas. *Ornietes cinclorhynchus*, which only appears as an occasional straggler in Gilgit, seems to be exceedingly common in Darel, together with *Garrulus lanceolatus*, which appears to be equally abundant. The vegetation of Darel, which valley has remained till now unvisited by any European, probably approaches in character more nearly to that of Cashmere than to that of the Gilgit and Astor valleys.
My collector who visited the bleak Deosai plain was also unfortunate in having encountered weather so bad as to make any prolonged stay impossible, even in July, at so great an elevation. He brought back fifty-seven specimens, representing twenty-four species, only one of which, *Otocorys longirostris*, does not appear in the Gilgit list.

The man who visited the Shandur plateau was more fortunate in being well received by the people of the country, and remained there for over a fortnight. During this he collected numerous specimens, which tend to show that the plateau is a favourite breeding-ground for many of our Gilgit birds that are forced to seek a considerable elevation for the purpose.

Further observation has tended to confirm my former conjecture, that the Indus valley forms the chief route by which migrants between Central Asia and Northern India pass and repass. This is also borne out by the appearance of several species of rare or previously unknown occurrence in India having been recorded at Attock in the pages of 'Stray Feathers' during the last few years. Punjab ornithologists will probably find themselves well repaid by a careful collection of species during the months of October, November, December, and January at Derbund, where the Indus emerges from the Himalayas into the plains of the Punjab.

The Indian Government having decided against the further retention of a British officer at Gilgit for the present, it will probably be some time before any further continuous ornithological observations at that spot can be made, though many sportsmen will, no doubt, find their way up to so good a sporting-locality. Up to the time of my departure I continued to add new species to the list, which now comprises 265 species. Of this number only one, the Owl named after me by Dr. Scully, is new to science. Five are of doubtful identification, no specimen having been secured, though in each instance there is no doubt that a species not otherwise recorded in the list was observed. These are *Vultur monachus*, *Neophron percnopterus*, *Corvus umbrinus*, *Branta rufina*, and
Mergus castor. The absolute identification of Gyps fulvescens must also remain undecided, for reasons hereafter stated. My identifications of Corvus culminatus and Columba livia may also be accepted with hesitation, as also the specific distinction of Corvus collaris. Without taking these into account, twenty-one species not previously recorded, or of doubtful occurrence, in India, according to Mr. Hume's list of 1st March, 1879, must now be added to the number of our Indian species. They are Cerchneis vespertina, Lanius homeyeri, Lanius phoenicuroides, Turdus hyemalis?, Saxicola vittata, Saxicola œnanthe, Leptopœcile sophiæ, Accentor fulvescens, Sturnus purpurascens, Petronia stulta, Emberiza hortulana, Erythropsiza incarnata, Propasser blythi, Linaria canna-bina, Fringilla montifringilla, Leucosticte brandti, Turtur aurita, Ægialitis hiaticula, Ægialitis jerdoni, Tringa acuminata, and Crex pratensis. The occurrence of Hydrobata leuco-gaster within Indian limits, though not included in the Gilgit list, is also recorded for the first time.

1. Vultur monachus, Linn.
I may have been wrong in my identification of these birds; but they were certainly not the young of Gyps himalayensis. They were a pair of adult birds of a totally different species.

2. Gypſ fulvescens, Hume.
I regret that I did not bring this specimen home for comparison. To the best of my recollection, however, it was an adult bird. Owing to the difficulty of transport, I left this and a fine specimen of A. chrysaetos behind me.

9a. Cerchneis vespertina (Linn.).
A single specimen, a young male in immature plumage, was obtained in October. Length 11:25 inches, wing 8:8, tail 5:1, tarsus 1:12; irides light brown; legs and cere orange; claws paler. I have compared this specimen with those of C. amurenensis and C. vespertina in Mr. Seebohm's collection, and have no doubt of its identity with the latter species, though the immature specimens are difficult to discriminate.
12. Accipiter nisus (Linn.).

Out of twenty-one Sparrowhawks from Gilgit Mr. Sharpe identifies only thirteen as true *A. nisus*, the rest apparently belonging to the larger race which I have called *A. melaschistus* of Hume.


I obtained a male in the rufous stage of plumage from the Deosai plain.

29. Scops bruchi, Hume.

A fine specimen was brought to me alive, but numbed with cold, after some bad weather in the beginning of July.


33a. Chelidon urbica, Linn.

During the time of our being in Gilgit together, Dr. Scully and myself failed to notice that we had more than one House-Martin, and while he only obtained *C. urbica*, I only obtained *C. cashmirensis*.

In the beginning of July 1880 the weather, after being intensely hot, suddenly changed, and for four days rain fell on the neighbouring hills, ending in heavy snow during the night of the fourth day, when the thermometer in Gilgit fell to 45° Fahr.

The following morning a number of Martins were picked up, either dead or so numbed with cold as to be unable to move; and I then observed that there were two kinds. Of a dozen brought to me, five proved to be *C. urbica*, and the remaining seven *C. cashmirensis*, all adults.

A male of *C. urbica* measures—length 5·8 inches, wing 4·6, tail 2·75, tars. 0·4. A female measures—length 5·9, wing 4·36, tail 2·75, tars. 0·45.

A male of *C. cashmirensis* measures—length 5·36 inches, wing 4·05, tail 2·28, tars. 0·45. A female measures—length 5·5, wing 4·03, tail 2·4, tars. 0·5.

All the specimens of *C. cashmirensis* are dusky beneath, instead of pure white as in *C. urbica*, and have dusky mesial centres to the feathers of abdomen, flanks, and rump. The under wing-coverts are brown, instead of dirty white as in
C. urbica. My specimens are identical with Gould's type in the British Museum.

35. Caprimulgus unwini, Hume.
My collector brought me a female from the Deosai plain, where it appeared to be common.

46. Certhia hodgsoni, Brooks.
This species appears to be commoner in the Astor valley, where it probably breeds. I procured two immature specimens there in July at an elevation of 10,000 feet.

47. Tichodroma muraria (Linn.).
I saw one of this species in September at an elevation of 15,000 feet; and I fancied that I identified one at an elevation of 13,000 feet in July; so it probably breeds in the district. I have procured it in Ladakh at 13,000 feet, in the middle of September.

52. Lanius phoenicuroides, Severtz.
The Shrike referred to (Ibis, 1881, p. 51) under the name of L. cristatus proves to belong to this species. I obtained two immature specimens on 6th September and 16th October.

53. Pericrocotus brevirostris, Vigors.
I procured a single specimen on the 9th August in Gilgit, and a number in September and October, all females, or males in female plumage. This Minivet never appeared in Gilgit during the first two winters I spent there. I certainly never procured it; and it is so conspicuous, even in the grey and yellow plumage, that I could not have helped remarking it had it been there. I procured it in Chitral in November at 6000 feet elevation; and it is probably to be found in Darel, though I did not get it from there.

56. Hemichelidon sibirica (Gmel.).
None of the specimens I have from the North-west Himalayas appear of so dark a tone as a specimen sent me from Sikkim by the late Mr. Mandelli; this is especially noticeable in the colour of the wings and tail. Most specimens show a faint white streak extending from the nostrils to the
eye, and a faint circle of white round the eye. I procured this Flycatcher also from Darel.

58. Cyornis ruficauda, Swainson.
This Flycatcher extends into the Darel valley, whence my collector brought me several specimens.

59. Troglodytes neglectus, Brooks.
Birds killed at the same time of year are scarcely distinguishable from T. nipalensis, Hodgs.; but T. neglectus is a little smaller and paler underneath. The freshly moulted autumn birds and those killed in summer are more distinct, and paler than T. nipalensis in every way; but in the winter they are hardly distinguishable.

I procured an adult specimen of this Dipper from the Deosai plain, but did not meet with it in Gilgit. Dr. Scully's specimen was procured in a valley between Gilgit and Darel, where its occurrence is somewhat remarkable, as I received from Darel, which is still further to the south, an adult male of H. leucogaster in fine plumage—the first instance, I believe, of its occurrence on the Indian side of the Himalayas. Dr. Scully's specimen is undoubtedly H. cashmirensis.

64. Orectetes cinclorhynchus (Vigors).
I shot a young male of the year in Gilgit in August 1880, and later observed two adult males. The species appears to be common in the Darel valley, whence my man brought me back several specimens. Young males of the year are easily distinguishable from the females by the white wing-bar, which appears to be assumed in the earliest stage of plumage and before any trace of blue is apparent.

66. Turdus hypemalis, Dybowski.
The specimen which, in my former paper, I classed as T. ruficollis (Ibis, 1881, p. 53), I have compared with a large number of specimens in the British Museum and other collections; and I find that it cannot stand under that name. It is a fully adult male, shot in January. The markings are essentially the same as those of T. ruficollis and T. atrogularis,
with the exception of the colouring of the tail and breast. The
tail is rufous, hardly so vivid as in typical specimens of *T. ruficollis*, but much more vivid than in any specimen of *T. atrogularis*. The breast is a fine deep vandyke-brown, much
darker than in any specimen of *T. ruficollis*, and easily
distinguishable from that of *T. atrogularis*.

It is apparently Dybowski’s *T. hyemalis*; but I leave it for
Mr. Seebohm to pronounce on its merits as a hybrid or a
good species. Mr. Seebohm’s collection contains a similar
specimen from Lake Baikal; and I have also one shot in
Yarkund.


When I wrote concerning this species in a former paper
(Ibis, 1881, p. 53), I did not observe that I had before me a
specimen of an adult male in a melanistic form of plumage.
The feathers of the head and hinder part of the neck are
tinged with black; the tail is much darker than in other spe-
cimens; and the axillaries and under coverts are dull brown.
All other specimens that I have seen have the axillaries and
under wing-coverts dull rufous.


My Gilgit specimens of this Babbler are much paler
than those I have from Cashmere, which, again, are paler
than those sent me by Mandelli. The difference between
Gilgit and Simla forms, however, is greater than between
the Simla and Darjeeling forms. Specimens of *Sibia capis-
trata* from Murree and Sikkim show the same differences of
coloration.


*Pratincola maura*, Pall.

73. *Pratincola robusta*, Tristram.

Dr. Scully has shown (Ibis, 1881, p. 441) that our large
Gilgit Bush-Chat is not Canon Tristram’s species; but I can-
not allow that all the Chats of the *P. indica* (or *maura*) type
are referable to a single species. My collection contains
forty-eight adult specimens from different localities. These
show two races, more or less well marked, and differing in size and colour, but connected by intermediate forms, which may be hybrids, as the two races apparently exist side by side in Gilgit and in some other localities. As in some specimens the measurements slightly overlap, I have not taken difference of size as a point of diagnosis, but simply colour. The males show a constant difference in the amount of white on the back part of the neck. The race which I will call form A shows a white patch on the side of neck, but not extending round to the back of it. In no specimen is there any white discernible on the nape of the neck. The other race, which I will call form B, also has a white patch on each side of the neck, which extends round to the back, meeting the white from the other side, so as to form a complete demi-collar when viewed from above. This is most conspicuous in breeding-plumage; but specimens procured at all seasons show some trace of white on the nape. Separating the nineteen males in my collection with reference to this point alone, I find they measure as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing-measurement (inches)</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Kumaon Terai</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Astor</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Form A.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing-measurement (inches)</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>Wakhan</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Yassin</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Form B.**
Form B (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing-measurement</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Yassin</td>
<td>September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>March.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>Astor</td>
<td>September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>October.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>Cashmere</td>
<td>May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>Simla</td>
<td>June.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. The last two specimens are in full breeding-plumage.

The females also show well-marked differences in colour. Those which in general appearance much resemble the males of form A in non-breeding-plumage, have broad rufescent margins to the feathers of the back, the wing-coverts, and white secondaries, while the tail-feathers are broadly tipped and margined with the same, and there are narrow rufescent edgings to the feathers of the head and neck. These I have referred to form A. The others are altogether of a much darker tone, having the wing- and tail-feathers nearly uniform dull brown, with very faint inconspicuous pale edgings, and the striations of the head and back very broad and dark, with narrow margins, and the whole tone of coloration less rufescent. These I refer to form B. Separating twenty-four females solely by differences of colour, I find they measure as follows:

Form A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing-measurement</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>March.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>November.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>Astor</td>
<td>September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>November.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Yassin</td>
<td>August.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Cashmere</td>
<td>May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>Murree</td>
<td>Undated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>Astor</td>
<td>September.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Form B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing-measurement (inches)</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Astor</td>
<td>July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Cashmere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Deosai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>Chenab valley</td>
<td>May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>April.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Cashmere</td>
<td>July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>June.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>April.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Cashmere</td>
<td>Undated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Simla</td>
<td>July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Cashmere</td>
<td>May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Undated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five specimens, which I am unable to separate by differences of colour, measure as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing-measurement (inches)</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>Unsexed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Meerut</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>♂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Wakhan</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>♂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Meerut</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>Unsexed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Gilgit</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>♂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now it cannot be denied that these measurements overlap considerably, especially among the females; but the fact remains, that, after separating forty-three specimens solely by colour and markings (omitting the last five undetermined), those of one form average considerably larger than those of the other, and that the greatest divergence in colour is shown between those which differ most in size. It may be that the specimens that overlap in measurement are to be accounted for by hybridism—an explanation that no ornithologist can affect totally to ignore when treating of two very closely allied species found in the same locality; or it may be that some of those classed as females would have been found by
more careful examination to be males that had not got rid of female plumage. Whatever may be the explanation of this, I believe that we have here two species.

The smaller species of Chat, which I have called form B, is evidently the *P. indica* of Blyth; but it is more difficult to say which is the *P. maura* of Pallas.


I find that I got four specimens of this Chat in Gilgit—three at the beginning of April, and one in December. The young bird previously referred to (Ibis, 1881, p. 55) turns out, on further comparison, to be a young specimen of *S. morio*. There is no reason to suppose that *S. opistholeuca* breeds in the district.

75. *Saxicola picata*, Blyth.

Dr. Scully and myself have brought away from Gilgit 181 specimens of this Chat. Of these there are 102 adult males, 46 adult females, the rest being of both sexes in different stages of immaturity. I can add little to what has already been said about this bird. The specimens of the males before me show every gradation, from the creamy-white head to jet-black; but those with pure black heads are the most numerous; next in number come those in different phases, while those that have entirely white heads are the scarcest.

The adult females are all of the same type, with the exception of a single specimen, which differs in having the lower throat nearly black. Dr. Scully tells me that he has also a precisely similar female specimen. There is no doubt as to the determination of the sexes of these two specimens; but the wing-formula is the same as in all other specimens of *S. picata*. Among the immature specimens females are indistinguishable from males.

77. *Saxicola morio*, Hempr. & Ehr.

Dr. Scully and I have brought away from Gilgit altogether 153 specimens of this Chat. Dr. Scully's assertion of the identity of this species with *S. hendersoni* must, I think, be accepted. The specimens of adult males show clearly the gradation of plumage from the black, with pure white cap, of
the breeding-stages, to the *S. hendersoni* type of autumn. Two specimens, of 27th April and 23rd May, show a few faint brown specks on the back and head. Four specimens, of 18th, 21st, and 25th July, have the freshly moulted secondaries and wing-coverts broadly margined with pale rufescent, and the head much infuscated. August specimens have nearly reached the *hendersoni* stage, but still retain a certain amount of black on the back. No specimen was procured after July of the accepted *morio* black-and-white type.

The females vary considerably in the colour of the lower throat, which, however, does not appear to be connected with the season; it may possibly be a question of age, birds of the second year becoming very dark.

I took a nest of this Chat in Astor on the 26th June, at an elevation of 7000 feet, containing five hard-set eggs. It was placed, about a foot deep, in a wall of loose stones supporting a built-up road on the mountain-side, over which was constant traffic. The eggs were very pale blue, with small dusky-red freckles thinly scattered over the surface, slightly tending towards a zone at the thicker end, and measured .725 inch in length by .565 in diameter.

78. *Saxicola vittata*, Hempr. & Ehr.

I procured one specimen, an adult male, in Gilgit on the 4th June. Three others were seen at the same time.


I procured a specimen as late as 27th November. It apparently breeds on the Shandur plateau, whence I received an immature specimen in August.

84. *Ruticilla erythronota*, Eversm.

A female of this bird was, by mistake, passed as *R. hodgsoni*, which it much resembles, in my former paper (Ibis, 1881, p. 62). After noting the specimen I mislaid it, and was unable to put my hand on it again. It has since turned up, and proves to belong to this species. It is to be distinguished from *R. hodgsoni* by the double wing-bar and conspicuous pale edgings to the secondaries. *R. hodgsoni*, which is
much whiter on the abdomen, must be expunged from the Gilgit list.

87a. Ruticilla fuliginosa, Vigors.
I procured a single specimen, a young bird of the year in immature plumage, on the 8th of July. There is nothing remarkable in the occurrence of this species in Gilgit; but it is somewhat curious that, with the exception of this specimen, neither Dr. Scully nor I have observed any of this species during a period extending altogether over four years, either in or near the Gilgit district.

The Plumbeous Water-Robin is a true flycatcher; and I have often watched a pair hawking at insects on the wing, and returning to their post on a stone or tree-stump at the water's edge.

90. Calliope pectoralis, Gould.
I received specimens of this bird both from Darel and the Deosai plain. My largest specimen has a wing of exactly 3 inches. Through some mistake, in my former paper it was stated to measure 3·25 inches.

92. Cyanecula leucocyanea, Brehm.
It may be useful here to mention that in 1874 I found this species very common on both sides of the Digar pass, between the Nobra and Indus valleys, during the last week of June. With the exception of the specimen secured by Dr. Scully, I never saw another of the species in Gilgit.

93. Acrocephalus dumetorum, Blyth.
Out of twenty-four specimens brought away from Gilgit, I find that nineteen were procured in August (mostly in the latter half of the month), and the remaining five in the first half of September. In the summer of 1880 they first appeared in Gilgit on 22nd August.

93a. Locustella straminea, Severtz.
I shot an adult female of this species on 1st September, and saw another on the following day. Length 5·75 inches, wing 2·2, tail 2·12, tars. 0·74; irides dark brown; legs fleshy red.
97. Phylloscopus lugubris, Blyth.
This species must be expunged from the Gilgit list.

I obtained altogether three specimens, in May, June, and August; so it no doubt breeds in the district. I also got it in Astor in May.

103 a. Reguloides proregulus, Pallas.
I obtained three specimens, two females and one male, in Gilgit in January.

105. Regulus cristatus, Koch.
I procured three specimens in June at an elevation of 10,000 feet, in a valley leading towards Darel. I also procured specimens in the Astor valley, where it appears to be common, in July and October. A male measures—length 3.75 inches, wing 2.12, tail 1.3, tars. 0.62. The female is slightly smaller.

105 a. Sylvia jerdoni, Blyth.
I somehow overlooked this species in my former list of the Gilgit birds. I procured two specimens—a male on 6th September in immature plumage, and a female on 11th June in full plumage with black cap. The irides of both were pale yellow.

106. Sylvia affinis, Blyth.
107. Sylvia althaea, Hume.
Out of thirty-two specimens six are of the S. althaea type, thirteen of the S. affinis type, and the rest are of intermediate forms. From Iskardo and Ladakh I have specimens of S. althaea, and from Darel of S. affinis.

Two young males, shot on 12th September at 11,000 feet elevation, have the throat and breast white sullied with dusky markings, and the forehead black. A female shot on the 23rd September, at 9000 feet, has the throat black, with a few white feathers showing on the chin, and the forehead partly white. The change of colour on the breast appears to
be due to a change in the colouring of the feathers, but on
the forehead to a moult of feathers, as small white feathers
can be discerned growing under the black feathers on the
foreheads of the two younger specimens.

112. Motacilla alba, Linn.
I obtained two specimens in February, and two in De-
cember. Two young birds of the year, shot in September,
show a considerable amount of yellow about the face and
neck.

I procured specimens from Darel and Deosai in July, and
from the Shandur plateau in August.

117. Budytes citreolus, Pall.
I got two specimens in Gilgit on the 3rd and 4th August.
I also got specimens from Darel and Deosai in July.
It is somewhat strange that, out of over 200 specimens of
Green Wagtails, neither Dr. Scully nor myself procured a
single specimen of B. flavus (Linn.), which species I obtained
in Wahkan in April 1874.

120. Anthus rosaceus, Hodg.
I procured two adult specimens in July from Darel.

121. Anthus cervinus, Pallas.
In addition to the specimens previously recorded, I pro-
cured two on 21st and 22nd October.

123. Cephalopyrus flammiceps (Burton).
I obtained specimens in June and September. The general
coloration is paler than in specimens sent me by Mandelli.
Birds in full adult plumage appear to lose the yellowish-
green margins of wing- and tail-feathers.

124. Leptopsecile sophie, Severtzoff.
I was mistaken in supposing this to be a winter visitor
only. In June I procured a number of specimens of both
sexes at an elevation of 10,000 feet in a secluded valley close
to the Indus, where they were doubtless breeding. The males
at this season have the whole abdomen vinous purple, with-
out the buff space in the centre that all winter specimens show; the colouring of the head is also more vivid.

I obtained several specimens from the Darell valley.

The amount of rufous in the nuchal spot appears in some degree seasonal. Two winter-killed specimens show much more rufous than any procured in summer. I procured this Tit also from Darell.

129. *Accentor nipalensis*, Hodgson.
Captain Wardlaw Ramsay has shown me specimens of M. Severtzoff's *A. rufilatus*, which are identical with Gilgit specimens of *A. nipalensis*. It would appear as if *A. alpinus* and *A. nipalensis* were only the two extremes of one species, which are bridged over by intermediate forms, in the same way as the eastern and western forms of *Trocalopteron lineatum*.

I procured this species both from the Deosai plain and the Shandur plateau.

*A. rubeculoides* does not appear to extend further westward than the Astor valley, where I have procured it.

133. *Accentor fulvescens*, Severtzoff. (Plate VIII.)
Through the kindness of the Editors a male of this species, which, as yet, has not been figured, is shown in the accompanying Plate.

139. *Corvus frugilegus*, Linn.
Earliest autumn appearance in Gilgit on the 19th October.

147. *Temenuchus pagodarum* (Gmel.).
I got altogether five specimens during four summers I spent in Gilgit—three in May and two in June.

During the winter of 1880–81, which was not a severe one, I procured a few specimens, all males. They were, however, scarce.
152. Emberiza leucocephala, S. G. Gmel.
The earliest specimens were observed on the 11th November, and the latest on the 3rd March, but it was only in December that any quantity was obtained.

154. Emberiza Stewarti, Blyth.
I procured a single specimen, a female, in December in Gilgit. With this exception, no other specimen was observed later than 4th October.

155. Emberiza buchanani, Blyth.
I received specimens of this Bunting from the upper part of the Yassin valley, near the foot of the Shandur plateau, in August. In the Gilgit district I never saw it except in September.

158. Euspiza luteola (Sparmv.).
I procured a male in adult plumage on the 19th May; no others were seen at the time. In August I procured a male and female, and in September two males, all four in immature plumage. I also procured a male and female in August from Yassin, at an elevation of over 10,000 feet.

I have examined the Euspiza mentioned by Dr. Scully (Ibis, 1881, pp. 575, 576), as appearing to belong to this species. Several of my immature specimens show the same difference in measurement between the longest secondaries and longest primaries, and three specimens also show slight spots on the breast, though in none are the spots so large and conspicuous as in Dr. Scully's specimen. The bird is, however, so like E. luteola in every other particular, that I cannot believe it to belong to another species.

162. Erythropisza mongolica, Swinh.
I obtained a male in breeding-plumage in June, at an elevation of 9000 feet. The two wing-patches, which in other specimens are dusky white, in this are pure white, while the tips of the larger coverts, which are of a faint rose-colour at other seasons, are bright carmine. The underparts are washed with bright carmine instead of faint rosy, as at other seasons, and the rump and supercilium are bright rosy. Out of a
PROPASER BLYTHI
large number of specimens obtained by Dr. Seully and myself, this is the only one in this stage of plumage, when it differs so greatly from those obtained at other times of the year, that it might almost pass muster as a different species. Mr. Seebohm’s collection contains several similar specimens from Central Asia. As my collection contains a number of specimens shot within a few days of this one, and which, though much brighter than ordinary winter specimens, do not show any thing like such bright markings as this one, I am inclined to think that this plumage is not assumed by adult males till after the second moult, that is, in the third year of their existence. The males of the Propasser and Carpodacus group, as far as is known, all breed in female plumage the first year, and there is no reason why some such delay in assuming full breeding-plumage should not similarly occur in the Erythrospiza group. The colouring of E. githaginea appears to undergo a somewhat similar change.

Gould’s plate in pt. xxix. of the ‘Birds of Asia’ shows a male in the plumage I have described, and a female in winter plumage. The figure in David and Oustalet’s ‘Oiseaux de la Chine’ is of a specimen in winter plumage.

166. Propasser Blythi, sp. nov. (Plate IX.)

I obtained altogether two males and five females of this species in a secluded valley close to the Indus. The males agree with Blyth’s type of Propasser frontalis in the Calcutta Museum. Blyth first described this species in the ‘Journal of the Asiatic Society’ for 1863; but, in his Appendix to the ‘Birds of India,’ Jerdon writes that Blyth had ceased to regard it as specifically distinct from P. thura. It is, however, certainly distinct, and has a wing averaging from .10 to .25 inch longer, both in the male and female. The whole coloration is fainter and softer, and the general ground-colour of the upper parts is dull earthy brown, unmixed with rosy, instead of dark rufous brown, as in P. thura, or dark crimson-brown, as in P. rhodopeplus, while the bill is finer and less Pyrrhuline. The female has the underparts and rump tinged with pale yellowish chestnut, which in
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*P. thura* are deep reddish chestnut, and the upper parts and wings are free from any tinge of rufous.

Blyth’s specific name has, unfortunately, been given to a Rose-Finch in North America. The generic distinctness of the *Carpodacus* and *Propasser* groups does not appear well marked in all species, and a different classification must some day be found necessary. Under the circumstances I would suggest the name of *Propasser blythi* for this species.

In the Rose-Finch group there is extremely little variation between individuals of a species; but written descriptions of the three species *P. thura*, *P. blythi*, and *P. rhodopeplus* are necessarily so similar that, without comparison, a collector must find it difficult to discriminate any single one of the three. Some guidance appears to be furnished by the wing-measurements of the males, which are as follows:—*P. blythi* 3.25 to 3.4 inches, *P. thura* 3.15 to 3.3, *P. rhodopeplus* 3 to 3.1. The feet and tarsi of *P. blythi* are also more slender than in the other two species. Still greater difficulty exists in discriminating the females; nor are their measurements so sure a guide as in the males, by reason of males of the first year being classed as females when not sexed by dissection.


I procured a number of specimens from the Shandur plateau between Yassin and Chitral. Having now a large number of immature specimens, I see that my former assumption of the adoption of the red feathers in the poll during the first year was incorrect. The black breast and golden markings to the wing-coverts are assumed in the first year during the autumn; but the red head is not complete till after the first breeding-season. I have a specimen shot on the 7th June which barely shows any trace of red on the head, though in other respects the adult plumage is complete.


As before mentioned (Ibis, 1881, pp. 86, 578), I did not meet with this Linnet anywhere in the district during 1876, 1877, 1878, and the first eight months of 1879. It suddenly
appeared in the autumn of the last-mentioned year, when Dr. Scully procured a large number of specimens. I subsequently procured adult examples in Gilgit in June and September, and my collector brought back twenty-two specimens from the Shandur plateau in August.

171. LINARIA CANNABINA (Linn.).
Both our Gilgit Linnets appear to be capricious and uncertain in their movements. During the four winters through which birds were collected by Dr. Scully and myself, this species was only seen in the winters of 1877–78 and 1879–80, but was not seen during the winters of 1878–79 or 1880–81.

175. CALANDRELLA BRACHYDACTYLA (Leisl.).
I procured numerous specimens from the Deosai plain in July and from the Shandur plateau in August. It appears to breed in both places. Five specimens procured in Astor and higher up the Indus near Iskardo appear paler than others.

176. MELANOCORYPHA BIMACULATA, Ménétr.
During the last winter I was at Gilgit this species was common from the 10th November to 21st December.

179. OTOCORYS PENICILLATA, Gould.
I obtained three adult specimens and a number of young birds from the Shandur plateau in August, which is, no doubt, a breeding-ground of the species. The young are spotted, like the young of other species of Otocorys. They appeared in Gilgit for the first time on 11th October, and in considerable numbers. My specimens of O. longirostris completely bear out Dr. Scully's remarks (Ibis, 1881, p. 580). I first procured the species in the Pangong district in 1873, and later on the Burzil pass in 1876 and succeeding years. I have six males and two females from the latter place, three males and four females from the Deosai plain, and three males and three females from the high ground between Gilgit and Darel, but from the Darel side of the watershed, so it cannot be counted among the Gilgit species. None of these speci-
mens could possibly be mistaken for *O. penicillata*. The Horned Larks are excellent eating.

189. **Turtur ferrago**, Evcrsm.
I obtained young birds of this species in Gilgit as late as 19th October.

191. **Turtur cambayensis** (Gmel.).
I procured altogether four specimens of this Dove, two in January, one in March, and one in October. In all, the rump and upper tail-coverts are brown, like the back.

192. **Turtur suratensis** (Gmel.).
I procured specimens of this Dove from the 7th October to 18th April.

192a. **Turtur humilis**, Temm.
A single specimen, a male, was brought to me on 23rd June by a native, who shot it in the middle of Gilgit, and said that he had seen a pair of them. The measurements were as follows—Length 9·95 inches, wing 5·7, tail 4·1, tarsus 0·9. Legs blackish purple; irides dark brown.

This bird is the true *T. humilis* of TEMMINCK, as is shown by Lord Walden in his paper on the "Birds of the Philippine Islands" (Trans. Zool. Soc. ix. pp. 219, 220). It is darker and richer in colouring than the Indian Red Dove, which stands as *T. tranquebaricus* (Herm.) and has the under wing-coverts dark ashy. The most distinctive point is in the size, *T. tranquebaricus* averaging 9·25 inches in length, with a wing 5·2 (‘Stray Feathers,’ vol. iv. p. 292).

I have examined the series in the British Museum, and the difference between the two species holds good throughout, a specimen from Amoy being undistinguishable from the Gilgit specimen. In the Museum series are several of this species obtained in Nepal by Mr. B. H. Hodgson. One of them is labelled "Æ. murmensis, Hodgs.," printed by mistake Æ. murwensis in the ‘Zoological Miscellany,’ p. 85, and corrected by Mr. Hodgson in his own handwriting in the British Museum copy. Giebel, in his ‘Thesaurus Ornithologiae’
(sub voc. *Turtur humilis*), and Bonaparte, in ‘Comptes Rendus,’ xli. p. 659, misprint this specific term ‘*muroensis*.

I secured a single specimen, a female, in the Sai valley on the 19th December. No others were seen.

198. *Ægialitis cantiana* (Latham).
I procured a male in adult plumage on 13th August.

199. *Ægialitis philippensis* (Scop.).
*Ægialitis curonica* (Gmel.).
I shot a number of specimens of this Plover in the first half of August.

I procured two specimens of this Plover, both females, one on the 11th May and the other on the 27th September. It differs from *Æ. curonica* in the basal half of the lower mandible being yellow, in the absence of a black frontal band next to the bill, and in having a fleshy-yellow ring to the eyelids. It is also slightly smaller, and the female is smaller than the male; whereas in *Æ. curonica* the female is the larger.

208a. *Ibidorhynchus struthersi* (Vigors).
On the 6th August I procured a young female in immature plumage in Gilgit.

209. *Machetes pugnax* (Linn.).
I obtained four specimens in the beginning of August, and observed others. They all show dark markings on the breast and flanks.

I shot a single specimen, a male in adult plumage, in Gilgit on the 1st August. It was flying about with a number of *Machetes pugnax*. It measured—length 8·75 inches, wing 5·25, tail 2·5, tarsi 1·3, culmen 1·05. This is, I believe, the first notice of the occurrence of this species so far to the westward, or within Indian limits. It was first described by Horsfield from Java in 1821 in the following terms:—‘*Supra*
fuscescens, plumis dorsalis fuscescens, plumis dorsalis ferrugineo ferrugineo tectricibus grisceo mar- tectricibus griseo marginalis; subtus albidus, pectore sublutescente, rectricibus acu- tminatis.” It was afterwards figured by Gould in his ‘Birds of Australia’ under the name of *Schonichus australis*. Swin- hoe met with it in North China, where it was very abundant in August (Ibis, 1863, p. 412). He states that at the end of August it goes southward along the coast and returns in May. The measurements he gives are smaller than those of my specimen, viz. length 8·4 inches, wing 4·9, tail 2·3, tarsi 1·2, culmen 1.

In breeding-plumage this species is easily distinguishable from *T. alpina* by the abdomen being pure white, sparingly spotted with light brown, whereas *T. alpina* has the whole abdomen dull black. *T. acuminata* also has the ground-colour of the upper breast rufous, with large dark-brown spots, while *T. alpina* has a faint rufous tinge in some specimens only, with small streaks. The best point of distinction is in the tail-feathers, all of which are pointed in *T. acuminata* (whence the name), while in *T. alpina* only the central ones are pointed.

210. Tringa subarquata, Güld.

I shot three adult specimens, all females, on the 2nd and 9th August. The entire underparts are rufous, with black markings in two out of the three specimens. One shot on the 4th September has completely assumed the winter plumage.

211. Tringa minuta, Leisl.

I obtained two specimens in Gilgit in the middle of August.

212. Tringa temmincki, Leisl.

I obtained one specimen in July and a great number in August.

213. Totanus glareola (Gmel.).

This Sandpiper was extremely plentiful in Gilgit for ten days in the beginning of August, when, I secured several specimens. With one exception, they are much spotted beneath.
215. Tringoides hypoleucus (Linn.).
I obtained an adult male from the Deosai plain in July, and two immature birds and one adult in Gilgit on 7th, 17th, and 25th August. The young birds are almost entirely white on the underparts of the neck and breast, and have the wing-coverts completely covered with fine banded markings of black and reddish brown.

216. Totanus glottis (Linn.).
I procured three specimens in Gilgit on the 10th, 14th, and 17th August.

218. Totanus calidris (Linn.)
I procured three specimens, all males in summer plumage, in the beginning of August.

219. Himantopus candidus, Bonn.
A specimen shot in Gilgit 10th August.

229. Ardetta minuta (Linn.).
During the summer of 1880 I procured two specimens in Gilgit—one, a male in full plumage, in July, the other, a female in immature plumage, on 29th August.

230a. Falcinellus igneus (S. G. Gmel.).
I procured a young male in nearly full plumage on the 16th September.

245. Larus ichthyaetus, Pallas.
In my former paper on the birds of Gilgit (Ibis, 1881, p. 101), under the name of L. affinis (Reinh.), I noticed a specimen obtained 26th August, 1876, which has since been pronounced to belong to L. ichthyaetus by Mr. Howard Sanders, who has favoured me with the following note:—

"This specimen is a bird of the first year, just going to moult; that is to say, it was hatched about June 1875; its plumage is therefore rather more than a year old, and is consequently considerably worn and abraded. All immature Gulls of the same size are somewhat alike at the first glance; but L. ichthyaetus, jr., may be distinguished by the following characteristics:—In L. affinis, L. fuscus, L. argentatus, &c. the tips of the secondaries are edged with white, forming a
band, but in *L. ichthyaetus* not only the tips, but both edges of the secondaries are distinctly margined with white for a long way up each feather. Again, in *L. ichthyaetus* the tail presents a broad uniform dark band (only the outer feathers being edged with white), whereas in *L. affinis* &c. the tail is mottled with dark markings, and the band is completely broken up. Other points of difference exist, but to describe them would only be confusing, as the above are ample for recognition.

"I have not, as yet, been able to examine a young bird of the same year as that in which it was hatched, when the plumage is fresh. Another 'link' which is missing is the stage between the following April, when the mantle is mainly grey, but the wings and tail are brown, and the spring after that, when the mantle is wholly grey, but there are still some brown mottlings on the carpals and primary coverts and a little dark on the tail; the black hood is then assumed for the first time."

*L. ichthyaetus* must therefore be substituted for *L. affinis* in the list of Gilgit birds.

246. *Gelochelidon anglica* (Mont).

I secured an adult male passing through on 1st August: the black of the head is changing to the winter stage of plumage. Two days later I secured a young bird of the year: the head is white, marked with brown streaks, and the whole back is smeared with brown.


(Plate X.)

[Continued from p. 162.]
of the young males [of *Tinnunculus sparverius*], as stated by Mr. Sharpe, resembles the old female.* So far as the true *T. sparverius* of North America is concerned, this is, most certainly, not the case: I have examined a very large number of young birds of this species, and have always found the sexes distinguished in their first plumage, just as they are in their fully adult dress; in fact there is no essential difference in either sex between very young and fully adult birds, the chief difference consisting in sharper definition of the markings in the latter, the same being in young birds more indistinct or somewhat suffused with the ground-colour†. So far as I have been able to judge from an examination of specimens, this same rule holds good with all other American *Tinnunculi*, except *T. sparverioides*, in which young males have more or less rufous on the dorsal surface, the same being wholly absent in fully mature birds."

I also find that I was wrong in the same article (p. 556) in assenting to Mr. Ridgway's suggestion that the Kestrel of the Antilles ought to bear the subspecific appellation of "*antillarum*, Gmel." as its correct designation is "*carib-bearum* ‡, Gmel." "*Falco antillarum*" of Gmelin is founded on the "Mansfeny" of Du Tertre, a species which it is, I think, impossible to identify with certainty, but which is evidently some bird much larger and more powerful than a Kestrel.

In examining the restricted genus *Falco*, it may be well to commence with *F. peregrinator* as the species approaching most nearly to the genus *Hypotriorchis*, and at the same time to refer to a closely allied race, *F. atriceps*.

Mr. Sharpe has included the latter, though with some

* Vide Ibis, 1881, p. 549.
† A very young male and female of *Tinnunculus sparverius*, taken in the United States, with the sheaths still remaining on the base of the primaries, have recently been presented by the Smithsonian Institution to the Norwich Museum, and entirely agree with Mr. Ridgway's description, thus exhibiting a very curious deviation from the usual rule of the male in its first plumage resembling the adult female, in cases where the adult plumage of the sexes differs.
‡ Vide 'Systema Nature' (1788), vol. i. p. 284, "No. 118 γ."
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expression of doubt, amongst the synonyms of *F. peregrinus*; but having had an opportunity, through the kindness of Mr. Hume, of examining the type specimen of *F. atriceps*, I am enabled to state that it is quite distinct from *F. peregrinus*, and that it should, as it seems to me, be considered a geographical race (probably entitled to subspecific rank) of *F. peregrinator*.

Having contributed detailed notes on *F. peregrinator* and *F. atriceps* to vol. viii. of 'Stray Feathers' (pp. 433–437), I would refer my readers to what I have there written, only quoting from p. 429 of that article the following expression of my opinion, as the result of the examination of sundry specimens there described, viz.:—“that *F. atriceps* is a geographical race of *F. peregrinus*, chiefly distinguishable by its abundant transverse markings, lack of rufous colouring, and prevalent grey tints on the abdominal and tibial plumage; and thus differing considerably from specimens (of *F. peregrinator*) like those I have examined from Ceylon, in which these parts are decidedly rufous and almost immaculate; but that the two phases of plumage are so much connected by the occurrence of individuals of intermediate and ambiguous coloration, that they do not admit of the races being defined with sufficient precision to merit the position of separate and distinct species. I may add that Sundevall's type specimen of *F. peregrinator* appears to me, from his description, to have been a specimen of this intermediate character as to markings and coloration. The typical *F. atriceps* appears to be limited to North-western and Northern India, and towards its eastern limit to inosculate with the race which has the underparts more rufous and more nearly immaculate, and of which the range extends from Nepal to Ceylon.”

It is to this latter race that the specific title of "*peregrinator*" has been customarily (and, I think, justifiably) applied; and it is probably this race which is found in Tenasserim, judging from an unpublished figure of an adult bird by the late Col. Tickell, presumably taken from a Tenasserim specimen, and now in the library of the Zoological Society.

Some years since an adult male of *F. atriceps*, from the
Jullunder district of the Punjab, was presented by Dr. Sclater to the Norwich Museum, which I found, on comparison, agreed closely with the type specimen lent to me by Mr. Hume; the very slight differences that exist between them (and which are detailed in 'Stray Feathers,' vol. viii. p. 424) are apparently due to the Norwich specimen being more entirely adult than the type. This Punjab specimen is represented in the accompanying figure (Plate X.), which may therefore be regarded as being an authentic representation of a typical *F. atriceps*, and, so far as I know, the only one hitherto published.

Passing on to the most widely diffused species of the genus *Falco*, I may observe that the Peregrine Falcon appears to have been designated by Gmelin under two specific names, "*communis*" and "*peregrinus*." Mr. Sharpe adopts the former in his Catalogue, but has subsequently (*vide Ibis, 1879, p. 237*) rightly reverted to the latter, which has precedence, having been used by Tunstall prior to the publication of Gmelin's book, as shown in the list of synonyms of this species given in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 31.

Mr. Sharpe, although giving some valuable information as to the geographical distribution of *F. peregrinus* and its near allies, does not supply a summary of the countries in which the former has been met with; most of these will be found particularized in the articles upon this species in Newton's 'Yarrell' (vol. i. pp. 53-61) and in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe' (vol. vi. 31-42)*; but I may here mention a few additional localities. A very dark young bird of this species from San Domingo was living, in 1876, in the Gardens of the Zoological Society, and it has also been recorded by Mr. Lawrence from the islands of Barbuda and Antigua†; it is included by Léotaud amongst the birds of Trinidad‡, and by Messrs. A. and E. Newton in their recently published list of the birds

---

* Mr. Dresser gives a list, with localities, of a considerable series of Peregrine Falcons preserved in the Norwich Museum, to which some interesting additions have subsequently been made.
† Proc. of U.S. Nat. Mus. 1879, p. 487.
‡ Ois. de Trinidad, pp. 22-24.
of Jamaica (p. 110). Passing to another quarter of the globe, the British Museum has recently acquired an adult specimen from Axim, on the Gold Coast of Western Africa; and further to the south, M. Barboza du Bocage, in his excellent work on the ornithology of Angola, records, at p. 46, a pair of true Peregrines obtained on the Rio Coroca, in Mossamedes. A young female killed, after high winds, in the island of Mauritius, in December 1870, is in the possession of Messrs. A. and E. Newton; a specimen recorded in 'The Ibis' was taken between Socotra and the Arabian coast on 27th October, 1876*; Mr. Hume has recorded the occurrence of F. peregrinus at Muscat†, on the Laccadive Islands‡, in Upper Pegu§, and at Malacca||; an adult male from the Nicobar Islands, an adult female from Manilla, and a nearly adult male from Sandalwood Island are preserved in the Norwich Museum; this species is also an inhabitant of Cochin China, and an adult from Saigon is in the British Museum.

The Leyden Museum contains a specimen of the true F. peregrinus from Bangka and three from Java, also one from Ternate and another from Ceram; and Count Salvadori states that the same museum also contains "specimina non-nulla Australiana a speciminiis Europaeis non distinguenda" (vide 'Prodromus,' Accipitres, p. 5). This remark may perhaps imply that the true Peregrine sometimes visits Australia; but I have never myself seen a typical F. peregrinus from that country.

The same learned author, in his larger work on the Ornithology of Papuasia and the Moluccas (pt. 1, p. 33), mentions that he has not had an opportunity of inspecting a Peregrine from Borneo. I have examined two typical examples of F. peregrinus from Borneo¶, both adults, and one of them preserved in the Norwich Museum; a third Bornean Falcon which has come under my notice, and to which I shall have

* Ibis, 1877, p. 110, and 1878, p. 380.
† Stray Feathers, 1873, p. 48.
‡ Ibis, 1870, p. 460.
§ Ibid. 1875, p. 19.
|| Ibid. 1879, p. 43.
¶ Three other Bornean specimens of Falco peregrinus are recorded by Mr. Sharpe in 'The Ibis' for 1879, p. 237.
occasionally subsequently to allude, is, I think, referable to *F.
melanogenys.*

Both in the Old World and in the New, some specimens of *F.
peregrinus* exhibit, when immature, a much darker tint on the brown portions of their plumage than is the case with others; and these two phases of coloration in the immature dress pass, when the bird matures, into corresponding darker and paler hues of the slate-coloured portions of the adult plumage*, the darker birds also becoming more rufous on the breast than those whose slate-colour on the upper surface is of a paler cast.

When this species first attains its adult plumage, which it does early in its second year, the crop is, in most instances, more conspicuously streaked with dark shaft-marks, the upper breast spotted more profusely and with larger spots, and the lower breast more completely crossed by transverse bars than is the case subsequently, when the blackish spots on the upper breast decrease in number and size, and the dark bars on the central portions of the lower breast break up into spots, the ultimate number, size, and shape of which vary considerably in different individuals. It would seem, however, that this breaking up of the transverse bars into spots sometimes, though, I think, but rarely, occurs as early as the second year. Captain Legge showed me a female from Ceylon in nearly full adult plumage, but still retaining some slight vestiges of the first year's dress, in which the breast and abdomen were entirely spotted, only the flanks, thighs, and under tail-coverts being cross-barred; and the nearly adult male from Sandalwood Island, which, as I have already mentioned, is preserved in the Norwich Museum, presents a very similar phase of plumage, the first adult breast-feathers being simply spotted with black, and not transversely barred.

This peculiarity of the extended prevalence of spots, rather than bars, on the breast and the abdomen seems to obtain more decidedly in some Indian and Ceylonese Peregrines than in any I have seen from other localities, as instances of which I may mention an Indian female presented by Capt.

*Conf. Newton's 'Yarrell,' vol. i. p. 63.
Pinwell to the British Museum, another Indian female in the collection of the late Lord Tweeddale, and a male from Ceylon in the Norwich Museum, in all of which this feature is especially conspicuous*.

I agree with Mr. Sharpe in considering that the ordinary Peregrine of America is not distinct from that of Europe and Asia. Mr. Ridgway, in discussing this question, writes thus:—"Slight as are the characters which separate the Peregrines of the New and Old World, i.e. the immaculate jugulum of the former and the streaked one of the latter, they are yet sufficiently constant to warrant their separation as geographical races of one species"†. But, in point of fact, neither of these characters is constant: Mr. Ridgway himself mentions exceptions to the first; and with regard to the second, instances of the immaculate jugulum exist among the Peregrines of the Old World, though in Europe they are not so frequent as amongst those of North America, including Greenland.

The Norwich Museum possesses adult specimens, with the jugulum exhibiting dark shaft-marks quite as conspicuous as in ordinary European examples, from the Saskatchewan river, from Fort Churchill, from the State of New York, and from Yucatan; whilst, on the other hand, the same collection contains an adult French and a nearly adult English specimen in which the jugulum is almost immaculate, merely bearing a single row of about eight very slight and inconspicuous shaft-marks at the bottom of the crop, and also the adult Ceylon male, to which I have already referred, and in which the jugulum is absolutely immaculate, as is also the case in an adult Chinese male from Chefoo, which is now before me, and for the loan of which I am indebted to the kindness of Mr. Seebohm‡.

* Conf. Mr. Hume's remarks quoted in a subsequent footnote.
† 'Land-Birds of North America,' vol. iii. p. 135.
‡ Mr. Hume writes that a "fair proportion" of Indian Peregrines have "the whole chin, throat, and upper breast spotless white; the spots on the thigh-coverts reduced to mere triangular dots, the abdomen with only a few scattered dots here and there, the sides, axillaries, and under wing-
Mr. Ridgway ('Land-Birds of North America,' vol. iii. p. 137) describes, under the title of "Falco communis, var. pealei," two very dark young females, one from Oregon and the other from Alaska, which he considers to be examples of a "curious race" belonging to the "north-west coast region" of America, and exhibiting "the same melanistic tendency" which is "apparent in birds of other species from the same region." Whether this race is sufficiently distinct to merit subspecific separation I am unable to say*. I have examined coverts with the markings reduced to narrow arrow-head bars, rarely extending quite to the margins of the webs, and with the lower tail-coverts spotless, with only, perhaps, here and there a faint trace of where a bar has been." Mr. Hume attributes this phase of plumage to advanced age; his valuable remarks on this subject, from which the above is an extract, will be found in his 'Rough Notes,' pp. 50-52.

* Since writing the above I have received further particulars from Mr. Ridgway (in epistola) respecting "Falco peregrinus pealei;" he informs me that he has only seen three specimens of this Falcon, "two young and one adult;" of the latter Mr. Ridgway has been good enough to send me an interesting description, which I subjoin. Mr. Ridgway adds, "I am now almost inclined to consider it a distinct species, so different is it in all stages from F. peregrinus; however, it may be only a darker race, and so I shall call it until we know more about it."

**Description of** "Falco peregrinus pealei."

"Adult ♀ (No. 63413, U.S. Nat. Mus.; Kyska Harbour, Aleutian Chain, June 30, 1873, W. H. Dall). Prevailing colour above dull slate-black, this quite uniform on the head, nape, and anterior portion of the back; posterior feathers of back, anterior scapulars, and wing-coverts bordered terminally with plumbeous, the larger scapulars and greater wing-coverts marked also with bars of this colour; the more anterior lesser wing-coverts uniform blackish, very indistinctly and narrowly margined with paler; secondaries banded with plumbeous and dusky black, the bands of the latter colour about 0.30 to 0.40 of an inch wide, the plumbeous bands averaging somewhat narrower; primary coverts similarly, but much more indistinctly, marked; primaries blackish, with indistinct indications of plumbeous spots towards the base. Rump and upper tail-coverts plumbeous, marked with very distinct blackish bars, which, on the posterior part of the rump, measure about 0.25 to 0.30 of an inch in width. Tail blackish, narrowly, but distinctly, tipped with white, and indistinctly barred with plumbeous, but these bars well defined only on about the basal half, except on inner webs. Lower parts buffy white, more dis-
in the British Museum two Peregrines, an adult male and a nearly adult female, obtained on the North-west American coast during the arctic voyage of Captain Collinson, which are certainly very darkly plumaged birds about the head and shoulders, but, I think, not more so than some that I have seen from other localities; and the male is certainly not darker than one from Hudson's Bay, which is also preserved in the British Museum, and with which I have compared it.

tinctly tinged with buff on the jugulum and belly; chin and throat immaculate; whole jugulum marked with heavy tear-shaped spots of black, these narrower or more streak-like towards the throat; breast, sides, and flanks heavily barred with black, the bars averaging .25 of an inch in width (broader on flanks); lower breast and belly marked with transverse, somewhat cordate, spots of the same; tibiae, anal region, and crissum more narrowly and very regularly barred with slaty black, the bars about .15 of an inch in breadth, the interspaces decidedly wider; axillaries and lining of the wing marked with very sharply defined bars of dusky slate and nearly pure white, of nearly equal width (about .20 to .25 of an inch), the dusky bars on the axillaries connected along the shaft.

A distinct dusky malar patch, or "moustache," occupying the whole of the suborbital and malar regions (except the anterior apex of the latter), and extending 1.25 inch or more below and behind the eye; behind this a whitish space, streaked with dusky, extending from the fore neck upward towards the ears. Bill dusky, bluish towards the base, the base of the mandible yellowish; iris brown; legs and feet yellow. Wing 14.75 inches, tail 8, culmen (chord) .95, tarsus 2.15, middle toe 2.15. Second quill longest, first and third equal; only the first with inner web emarginated.

"Remarks. The coloration of this specimen agrees in the minutest particulars with Wolf's figures of Falco gyrfalco in 'Ootheca Wolleyana,' and is altogether distinct from that of any specimen of F. peregrinus proper or any of its alleged races with which I have been able to compare it. This is the reason why, after a somewhat hurried examination, I referred it to the Norwegian Gyrfalcon. It is a very singular fact that the young plumage (upon which F. pealei was based) is so very much like the corresponding plumage of F. obsoletus (F. labrador, Aud.) as to be distinguishable only by the generic characters, the latter being a true Gyrfalcon (Heirvafalco). It should be remarked that the type specimen of F. pealei is the supposed 'younger female' of Falco polyagrus, as described by Mr. Cassin on p. 123 of 'Illustrations of the Birds of California, Texas,' &c., and is also the original of the darker-coloured of the two specimens figured in the plate accompanying the description in question."
The Peregrine of Japan, if distinct, would be entitled to
the specific name of "orientalis," Gmelin, ex Latham; but
that it is not distinct from the ordinary F. peregrinus appears
to me to be certain. Mr. Ridgway, in his summary of sub-
specific races of F. peregrinus*, speaks of "var. orientalis"
as being "beneath pure white, the breast and middle of abdom-
en without markings," basing his description on "two
specimens examined from Japan;" but in Japan, as in India,
these characters are not constant. An adult specimen from
Japan and another from Formosa, both preserved in the
Norwich Museum, have the breast and the middle of the
abdomen abundantly sprinkled with numerous, though not
large, spots, which, on the abdomen, are mingled, especially
in the Japanese example, with imperfect, but very perceptible
transverse bars, the general aspect of both specimens corre-
sponding closely with some European and West-Asiatic speci-
mens in adult, and probably rather aged, plumage of the
paler type.

Mr. Ridgway gives some interesting measurements of
twenty-nine male and twenty-eight female American Pere-
grines†, from which I extract the following, given in inches
and tenths:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>11-30 to 13-00</td>
<td>1-60 to 1-90</td>
<td>1-78 to 2-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>13-00 to 14-75</td>
<td>1-95 to 2 10</td>
<td>1-95 to 2-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following measurements of Peregrines of a typical
character, taken by myself from various Old-World specimens,
will serve to show that these correspond generally in size
with those of America, but apparently are, on an average of
specimens, slightly larger:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, collection of Mr. J. H. Gurney, Jun.</td>
<td>12-60</td>
<td>1-90</td>
<td>2-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyrount, Nor. Mus.</td>
<td>12-65</td>
<td>1-70</td>
<td>1-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Vide 'Land-Birds of North America,' vol. iii. p. 128.
† Ibid. vol. iii. p. 137

x 2
It seems to me that subspecific rank may fairly be accorded to the Peregrine of the extreme south of South America, for which Mr. Sharpe has proposed the specific name of cassini. I must admit that only a few specimens of this Falcon have come under my notice; but, judging from these, I should say that Falco cassini occupies a position intermediate between \textit{F. peregrinus} and \textit{F. melanogenys}, differing from the first in the more abundant and complete dark transverse barring on its underparts, and from the second in the greater depth of these dark bars, as measured from the upper to the lower edge of each bar, as well as in the slightly larger average size of the bird in its general measurements. The above observations as to markings are intended to apply only to the adult plumage. An immature female of \textit{F. cassini} from the Falkland Islands, in the collection of Messrs. Salvin and Godman, much resembles in coloration the corresponding stage of \textit{F. melanogenys}, the blackish-brown tints throughout being as dark as those of the young of that species and of the darkest
immature specimens of *F. peregrinus*, and the rufous tints on the under surface being much richer than in any young specimens that I have seen of the typical Peregrine, a peculiarity which is also very noticeable in the immature *F. melanogenys*.

Mr. Ridgway* mentions two female Peregrines from Connecticut which, after living three years in confinement, were preserved in the National Museum at Washington, and of which he remarks that they "are remarkable for their very deep colours, in which they differ from all other North-American examples which I have seen, and answer in every particular to the description of *F. cassini*, Sharpe." I infer from this remark that these Connecticut specimens had not, when Mr. Ridgway wrote, been actually compared with a veritable southern *F. cassini*, and, until this has been done, I think that their absolute identity with that southern race can hardly be admitted as fully established.

The geographical range of *F. cassini* in South America appears to be, comparatively, very limited; it inosculates in Chili with the southern limit of *F. peregrinus*, both races being found in that country. The British Museum contains an immature Falcon from Santiago, which I have not examined, but which Mr. Sharpe refers to this species; and if that identification be correct, this is the most northern locality for *F. cassini* with which I am acquainted on the western side of South America, whilst on the eastern coast the most northern specimen I know of is an adult from Port Desire, in Patagonia, which is preserved in the Museum at Norwich. The British Museum possesses a typical adult of *F. cassini* from the Straits of Magellan, and an equally typical immature specimen from the Falkland Islands is, as I have already mentioned, in the collection of Messrs. Salvin and Godman; I annex measurements taken from the last-named specimen and from a Chilian adult male in the same collection, also from the adult specimen preserved at Norwich:

Mr. J. H. Gurney's Notes on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>♂ adult, Chili</td>
<td>13-00</td>
<td>1-90</td>
<td>1-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ adult, Port Desire</td>
<td>13-55</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>2-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ immature, Falkland Islands</td>
<td>14-10</td>
<td>2-00</td>
<td>2-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nearly allied *F. melanogenys* is a race much more widely distributed than *F. cassini*; it inhabits Tasmania and South-eastern Australia. The Norwich Museum possesses a specimen from Port Curtis, in Queensland, which is the most northerly Australian example I am acquainted with. Mr. E. P. Ramsay has recorded it from as far west as Port Lincoln, in South Australia*; but I am not aware that its occurrence has been put on record either in Western or Northern Australia, or in New Guinea.

Specimens have, however, been obtained in various localities to the east and to the north of the Australian continent, of which the following have been already recorded in the pages of 'The Ibis,'—New Britain†, New Caledonia‡, New Hebrides§, and the Fijian Islands||.

Two females from Java are preserved in the Leyden Museum, one of which is represented in Schlegel's 'Valk-Vogel,' pl. 1. fig. 2.

Count Salvadori has recorded a Sumatran example in his 'Ornitologia della Papuasia e delle Molucche,' vol. i. p. 33.

The Norwich Museum possesses an immature female, which was stated by the late Jules Verreaux to have been obtained in the Philippine Islands.

Lastly, I must mention a most remarkable Falcon, apparently an individual of this species, but abnormal in the intensity of its coloration, both schistaceous and rufous. This was obtained near the Lawas river, in Borneo, by Mr. W. Prettyman, and left for identification at the British Museum, where, by the kindness of Mr. Sharpe, I was permitted to examine it and make the following notes respecting it. I must not omit to say that the bird appeared to be

* Vide 'Catalogue of the Australian Accipitres,' p. 49.
fully adult, and, from its size, a female, the sex, however, not having been recorded by the collector.

The crown and sides of the head black, with the moustache large and confluent; the upper interscapulars, and also the lesser and median wing-coverts, black, with very inconspicuous dark slaty-blue edgings; the greater wing-coverts, the scapulars, and the lower part of the back transversely barred with alternate slate-colour and blackish, the tints on all these parts being darker than in an ordinary darkly coloured example of *Falco melanogenys*; on the scapular feathers the blackish bars are six in number, with the intermediate spaces and the tips slate-coloured; the upper tail-coverts are of a paler slate-colour, with four dark transverse bars on each feather, not darker than in a dark *F. melanogenys*; the tail with six black transverse bars and a broad subterminal black band, between the black bars six paler interspaces, slate-coloured on the outer, but brownish on the inner, web; the primaries black, with very narrow brownish edgings, and with ill-defined black transverse bars on the inner webs; the under wing-coverts and axillaries transversely barred with black and fulvous white, the latter more inclined to rufous than in an ordinary *F. melanogenys*; the throat tinged with rufous; the region of the crop a very deep and rich rufous, with black shaft-marks, terminating in a guttate form at their lower extremity; the breast and flanks as fully and regularly cross-barred as in a typical *F. melanogenys*, but with the dark bars on a few feathers at the centre of the breast broken into spots, the dark transverse bars on these parts black, and the paler interspaces rufous, of the same hue as the crop, but slightly tinged with grey, especially on the flanks; the abdomen, tibiae, and the under tail-coverts regularly crossed with alternate bars of black and grey, a few of the grey bars being, however, very slightly tinged with rufous.

In adult Australian examples of *F. melanogenys* there is a great diversity as regards the degree of rufous colouring on the underparts; in some individuals it is altogether absent, whilst in others it is largely developed, but never, so far as I have seen, to the same extent as in this remarkable and very
beautiful specimen from Borneo. Perhaps the nearest approach to this Bornean specimen, of any that I have examined, both as regards its dark upper surface and its rich rufous tints below, is an adult female from New Caledonia in the collection of Canon Tristram.

*F. melanogenys* is always more or less characterized, when adult, by the great regularity of the transverse barring of the under surface, combined with a conspicuous narrowness of the dark transverse bars, which are, nevertheless, strongly marked and deeply coloured, and usually rather closer together than in *F. peregrinus*; these characteristics are, however, more decidedly developed in some individuals than in others.

*F. melanogenys* is a somewhat smaller Falcon than *F. peregrinus*, in illustration of which I may here insert some measurements, all taken, with the exception of those of the Bornean example, from specimens preserved in the Norwich Museum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males.</th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s.u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One adult and one immature, both from Australia</td>
<td>11'65</td>
<td>1'55</td>
<td>1'90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, Sydney</td>
<td>12'00</td>
<td>1'50</td>
<td>1'80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature, Port Curtis, Queensland</td>
<td>11'75</td>
<td>1'70</td>
<td>1'90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Females.</th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s.u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult, Australia</td>
<td>13'25</td>
<td>2'00</td>
<td>2'20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do., Sydney</td>
<td>13'40</td>
<td>1'90</td>
<td>2'20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do., Moreton Bay, Queensland</td>
<td>13'50</td>
<td>1'80</td>
<td>2'10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do., Lawas River, Borneo</td>
<td>13'20</td>
<td>2'00</td>
<td>2'20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature, Philippines</td>
<td>13'70</td>
<td>2'00</td>
<td>2'20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are, as it appears to me, three races of small Falcons existing on the continent of Africa, though not entirely limited to it, closely related to *Falco peregrinus*, and still more closely so to each other. To these Falcons I propose now to refer; and as they somewhat differ from each other in size, and especially in the dimensions of the female sex, I propose to consider them seriatim in that respect, beginning with the species in which the females are the
smallest, and which is that figured in the Pl. Col. pl. 479, from a Dongola specimen preserved in the Museum at Leyden* under the name of *Falco pellegrinoides*. The more correct spelling of the specific name, *peregrinoides*, is adopted by Schlegel and Susemihl for their figures of this species in their *Vögl. Eur.* pl. 9, fig. 1, also by Fritsch in pl. 2 of his work of the same name, where fig. 3 of this plate appears, though not very distinctly, to represent an individual of this species.

This Falcon is figured under the designation of *F. barbarus* by Mr. Salvin in ‘The *Ibis*’ for 1859, pl. vi., by Dr. Bree in the second edition of his ‘Birds of Europe,’ vol. i. p. 39, and by Mr. Dresser in his work on the same subject, vol. vi. pl. 374,—these three figures having all been taken from the same specimen, which was shot by Mr. Salvin at Kef Boudjato, in the Eastern Atlas, and by him presented to the Norwich Museum, where it is still preserved.

A somewhat abnormal specimen of this species, shot in the Etawah district of Northern India by the late Mr. A. Anderson, was figured in the *P. Z. S.* for 1876, pl. 23, under the name of *F. babylonicus*, which was subsequently corrected in the volume for 1878, p. 2. This example is also preserved in the Norwich Museum.

All the above figures represent the adult plumage of *Falco barbarus*—an ancient title which has been revived in favour of this Falcon, and at which I am not disposed to cavil, though I confess that its claim to the title seems to me to be somewhat clouded by the mistiness with which antique pedigrees are usually surrounded.

Mr. Dresser, in his article on *F. barbarus* in the ‘Birds of Europe,’ gives a detailed account of the localities where this species has been met with, to which I would add that an adult specimen, obtained by Dr. Baikie on the river Niger, is recorded by Dr. Finsch in the ‘Transactions’ of the Zoological Society, vol. vii. p. 205, this being, so far as I am aware, the most southern locality hitherto ascertained for this Falcon; also that the Museum at Leyden contains, in

* Vide Schlegel’s *Mus. des Pays-Bas, Falcons*, p. 6. When at Leyden, in 1869, I saw this specimen, and carefully examined and identified it.*
addition to the type of *F. peregrinoides* from Dongola, to which I have already referred, three examples from Khartum, according to the list given by Prof. Schlegel in his work on the Museum des Pays-Bas, vol. i. Falcones, p. 6. I may likewise mention, as Mr. Dresser speaks doubtfully of the occurrence of *F. barbarus* at Tangier, that a Falcon from thence, which I consider to be referable to this species, is preserved in the Norwich Museum*.

The ordinary adult plumage of *F. barbarus* may be easily recognized by a reference to the figures which I have already mentioned in 'The Ibis' for 1859 and in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe.' The phase of plumage, evidently also adult, which is represented in the P. Z. S. for 1876, pl. 23, from Mr. Anderson's Etawah specimen, with the rufous tint extending over the crown of the head, where, however, it is mingled with black, and also bordering the interscapular feathers broadly and the scapulars slightly, is much scarcer, if, indeed, it be not specifically distinct; and I have only seen two specimens, besides that obtained by Mr. Anderson, which exhibit a similar appearance: one of these was procured in Nubia, and is preserved in the British Museum; the other was shot at Hyderabad, in Sind, on the 12th of March, 1878, by Captain E. A. Butler†, and was for some time in the care of Mr. Howard Saunders, to whose kindness I was indebted for an opportunity of seeing it. These three specimens are all adult males.

I now propose to refer to the South-African Falcon, upon which Bonaparte conferred the specific name of "*minor." Mr. Sharpe, in his article on this species, only describes a young specimen, and does not allude to its adult plumage; but at pl. 12 of his volume he gives good figures of both the adult and the immature dress, taken, as he has been good enough to inform me, from South-African examples, which,*

* This specimen is in change from the first to the second year's plumage; but the rufous nuchal collar is already largely developed and richly coloured, which, I consider, stamps it as *F. barbarus*. The new feathers on the breast are, however, spotted, whilst in older individuals these spots are absent.

† Captain Butler's interesting notes on *F. barbarus*, as observed in Sind, will be found in 'Stray Feathers' for 1878, pt. 2, p. 174.
in the case of the adult, may serve as a substitute for the omitted description.

In the adult specimens which I have examined, the regularity of the dark transverse bars on the lower breast, abdomen, and tibiae resembles that portion of the plumage of *F. melanogenys*; but the black bars on the breast are somewhat less narrow than is usual in that species, and there is often a slight tendency to spots on the centre of the breast, in which points *F. minor* more nearly resembles *F. peregrinus*; the coloration of the upper parts is similar to that of *F. melanogenys* and of the more darkly-coloured individuals of *F. peregrinus*, and the nape, so far as I have observed, shows no trace of rufous.

This absence from the nape of the adult South-African *F. minor* of any trace of rufous is important, as its frequent presence is noticeable in the North-African race, which Mr. Dresser, in his *Birds of Europe,* has identified with *F. minor*; and the probability of its being always absent from South-African adult specimens is increased by the descriptions of this Falcon given by Sir A. Smith and Mr. Layard, as observed by them in South Africa.

Sir A. Smith, writing of this species under the title of *F. peregrinoides* (which may be considered erroneous, being founded on the misspelt specific name of "*pelegrinoides*" previously proposed by Temminck for *F. barbarus*) in the *South-African Quarterly Journal,* vol. i. p. 235, describes the adult as having the "front, crown, and nape dark greyish blue, with each feather marked by a narrow longitudinal black streak towards its centre; back of neck blackish, with the feathers tipt by dark bluish grey, with transverse dusky-black bands."

Mr. Layard writes thus respecting this species in the first edition of his *Birds of South Africa,* p. 19:—"The description given of *F. peregrinus* will suffice equally well for this species, with the exception that all the specimens which have fallen under my observation seem to be duller coloured," his description of the parts in question in *F. peregrinus* being as follows:—"General colour above deep bluish
lead-colour, barred with black; crown of the head and upper part of neck nearly black."

The South-African range of *F. minor* extends from the Cape colony in a north-westerly direction to Ovampo Land*, and to the north-east to the district of the Zambesi, whence was procured a specimen now in the Norwich Museum, which also contains an example from Anjouan Island in the Comoro group. This Falcon is also an inhabitant of Madagascar†.

Whether the true *F. minor* occurs to the north of the equator is, I think, doubtful. A female Falcon, in change from the immature to the adult dress, which was shot by Mr. Blanford in the Anseba valley in Abyssinia, and recorded in his 'Geology and Zoology of Abyssinia,' p. 288, under the name of *F. barbarus*, but with considerable doubt as to its really belonging to that species, is now in the British Museum, and is included by Mr. Sharpe in his list of the Museum specimens of *F. minor*. I have not recently re-examined this specimen, and regret that I omitted to do so when last in London; but from the circumstance of its having, to use Mr. Blanford's words, "a tendency to a rufous collar at the back of the neck," I think it probable that it should not be referred to the southern *F. minor," but to the more northern race, which will next require our attention‡.

It may be right here to quote a remark contained in Mr. Dresser's work relating to another specimen in the British Museum, which I also omitted to examine when I last had the opportunity of doing so; Mr. Dresser, in his article on *F. minor*, writes thus:—"A specimen, in immature plumage, from the river Gambia, now in the British Museum, catalogued by Mr. Sharpe as *Falco barbarus*, in my opinion

* Vide Andersson's 'Birds of Namara Land,' p. 12.
† Vide Milne-Edwards and Grandidier's 'Oiseaux de Madagascar,' vol. i. p. 32.
‡ Mr. Blanford states that, in both wings of this specimen, "the longest feather is slightly imperfect, the absolute length being 12½ inches," and he considers that "the wing measures nearly 13 inches." The tarsus, according to a memorandum of my own, measures 2 inches, and the middle toe *s. u. 2·20; at the time that I made this memorandum I also noted the length of the wing as 12·80.
decidedly belongs to the present species; but it is, so far as I can ascertain, almost impossible to distinguish *F. barbarus* and *F. minor* in immature dress.” I regret that, not having the measurements of the specimen, I am unable to offer an opinion as to the species to which it belongs; in the first year’s plumage this can only be done with any certainty in the case of specimens the sex of which has been ascertained by dissection, and in these the measurement is usually a trustworthy guide. On the subject of measurement I may observe that Mr. Blanford, in his article on the Anseba-valley Falcon, speaks of the difference in the length of the tail between *F. barbarus* and *F. minor*, basing his remarks on measurements of the latter given by Mr. Layard in his work on the ‘Birds of South Africa.’ I find it very difficult to obtain an accurate measurement of the length of the tail in mounted specimens, and even in those in the skin, as it is almost impossible in such cases to examine the root of the tail without injuriously disturbing the tail-coverts: so far as I can judge from the examples which I have examined, there is no appreciable difference in the length of the tail between the males of *F. barbarus* and *F. minor*; but in the females the difference is apparent, the female of *F. minor* being altogether a larger bird than that of *F. barbarus*, and in consequence having a tail fully half an inch longer.

I have already referred to a North-African Falcon which Mr. Dresser, in his ‘Birds of Europe,’ has treated as identical with the South-African *Falco minor*, but which I think should rank as a distinct subspecies, being somewhat larger than *F. minor* and much more variable in the coloration of its adult plumage.

The greater number of the specimens which have come under my notice have been sent to this country either from Mogador or from Tangier; but it inhabits Algeria, as well as Barbary, and has been obtained in Spain and the Balearic Islands. It has also probably been met with in Northern Italy*; in Asia Minor it is resident, and is no doubt the

* Mr. Dresser writes thus, in his article on *Falco minor* in the ‘Birds of Europe’:*—“It has been obtained near Milan, whence M. Jules Vian
bird thus alluded to by Mr. W. B. Barker in his work on Cilicia:—"The Peregrine of the cliffs of Mount Taurus is smaller than the English Peregrine, but more beautifully variegated in plumage; it is known as the 'Barbary Falcon'." It seems probable that this Falcon also occurs in Persia, and that a Persian specimen described by Mr. Blanford in his 'Eastern Persia,' vol. ii. p. 102, under the title of *F. barbarus* is a female of *F. punicus*. I have been indebted to the kindness of Mr. Seebohm for the loan of two males collected by Mr. G. C. Danford in Mount Taurus, to which I purpose to allude more particularly later on; and I may take this opportunity of mentioning that, besides the specimens which have been lent to me by Mr. Dresser and Mr. Seebohm, I have had equally kind loans of skins of this Falcon from Lord Lilford, Mr. Dalgleish, and Captain Wardlaw Ramsay, and of the allied species from Canon Tristram and Captain Shelley.

It is this northern subspecies of *F. minor* which, as it appears to me, is figured by the younger Le Vaillant on pl. i. of the 'Exploration de l'Algérie' under the title of *Falco punicus*; and this is the name which I think it ought to have.

Mr. Sharpe, Mr. Dresser, and other authorities have referred this plate (but, I venture to think, erroneously) to *F. barbarus*, and this was also the view of M. Loche, the author of the ornithological letterpress to the 'Exploration de l'Algérie'; but it is evident from his remarks (at pp. 55 and 56 of that work) that he had never examined the original specimen from which Le Vaillant's drawing and plate were taken.

obtained a specimen shot late in the month of April;" but as I have never seen an Italian specimen, I feel that the identification of the Milan example with the subspecies now under consideration must be left an open question. Mr. Dresser possesses an immature Falcon obtained at Rhodes by Mr. Danford, who has marked it as a female, and has cited this as also an example of *F. minor*; but it appears to me that this specimen, which Mr. Dresser has kindly lent me, with others, is, if rightly sexed, proved by its measurements to be female of *F. barbarus*—an inference which is strengthened by the appearance on the nape of the commenced assumption of a nuchal collar.

* Vide 'Lares and Penates,' p. 207.
I now propose to give some particulars of the adult specimens of *Falco punicus* which have come under my notice; but before doing so, I think it may be serviceable to give the particulars of some measurements, all taken by myself, except where otherwise described, of *F. barbarus*, *F. minor*, and *F. punicus*.

**Falco barbarus.**

**Adult or nearly Adult Males**, as ascertained by dissection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Wing (in.)</th>
<th>Tarsus (in.)</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangier: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Favier)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakkara, Egypt: Norwich Museum (Tarzudaki)</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Kab, Egypt: shot by Capt. Shelley, and in his collection</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etawah, N. India: Norwich Museum (Anderson)</td>
<td><em>11.40</em></td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad, Sind: shot by Capt. E. A. Butler, and in his possession</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Males**, as recorded in *Stray Feathers.*

- Cutch (Mr. Hume) .......... Vol. i. p. 21:— 10.80 1.60 1.63
- Gulgan-Shah, Eastern Turkestan (Dr. Scully) .......... Vol. iv. p. 118:— 10.70 1.60
- Three, from Sind (Capt. E. A. Butler) .......... Vol. vii. p. 174:— 10.87

* Mr. A. Anderson, in his description of this specimen given in the P. Z. S. for 1876, p. 311, gives the wing, "carefully measured in the flesh," as 10.7. The discrepancy between this measurement and mine probably arises from the mode of measuring. I have used a flexible measure, and have followed the convexity of the outer surface of the wing (which, I think, is sometimes greater in skins than in the flesh) in taking an otherwise straight line from the carpal joint to the tip of the longest primary. Some few others of my wing-measurements here given are slightly in excess of those which have been elsewhere published, taken from the same specimens, which probably arises from a similar cause.
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Males, as recorded in Sharpe's Catalogue, p. 387.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two in British Museum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Females, as ascertained by dissection.

collected and presented to the Norwich Museum by Mr. Salvin

Adul. Egypt: collected by Mr. W. C. B. Medlycott; in collection of Canon Tristram

Immature, in change. Sierra Alfacar, near Granada, Spain:
recorded by Mr. Howard Saunders in *P. Z. S.* 1872, p. 356, and now in British Museum

Immature. In collection of Lord Lilford, and obtained by him at Isola Rossa, on S. coast of Sardinia

Immature. Trianda, Rhodes:
collected by Mr. Danford; now in collection of Mr. Dresser. See footnote on p. 310

Presumed Female.

Adult. Nepal: in British Museum

Females, as recorded in 'Stray Feathers.'

Vol. i. p. 21:—

Nursingapore District, Central Provinces of India (*Mr. Hume*)

Vol. iv. p. 118:—

Kashgar (*Dr. Scully*)

Yarkand (*Dr. Scully*)

*I* examined this specimen a few years since, but am now indebted to Mr. Edward Hargitt for kindly testing the measurements I then took, and slightly correcting them.
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Falco minor.

MALE, as ascertained by dissection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immature, in change. Natal, collected by Mr. Ayres: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>11·00</td>
<td>1·70</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRESUMED MALES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult. Cape Colony: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>11·00</td>
<td>1·70</td>
<td>1·80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature. Natal: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>10·80</td>
<td>1·70</td>
<td>1·75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature, in change. River Tugela: in Capt. Shelley's collection. (Marked ♀ by the collector, Mr. Gordge, but apparently in error)</td>
<td>11·25</td>
<td>1·70</td>
<td>2·05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEMALE, ascertained by dissection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult. Natal; collected by Mr. Guenzius: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>12·95</td>
<td>1·80</td>
<td>2·00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRESUMED FEMALES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult. South Africa: British Museum</td>
<td>12·85</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>2·10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult. Zambesi district: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>12·80</td>
<td>1·80</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature, in change. Anjouan Island: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>12·70</td>
<td>1·80</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Falco punicus.

ADULT MALES, ascertained by dissection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Tangier: in Mr. Dresser's collection; collected by Mr. Olcese</td>
<td>11·35</td>
<td>1·65</td>
<td>2·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Tangier: in Norwich Museum; collected by Mr. Olcese</td>
<td>11·25</td>
<td>1·60</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Tangier: in Norwich Museum.</td>
<td>11·40</td>
<td>1·50</td>
<td>2·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Djebel Dekma, Eastern Atlas: collected and presented to the Norwich Museum by Mr. Salvin</td>
<td>11·50</td>
<td>1·60</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing. in.</th>
<th>Tarsus. in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. Viorna Liebana, Province of Santander, N.W. Spain: collection of Lord Lilford</td>
<td>11:50</td>
<td>1:60</td>
<td>1:80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Island of Iviza: collection of Lord Lilford</td>
<td>11:35</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Anascha, Mount Taurus: collected by Mr. Danford: in collection of Mr. Seebohm</td>
<td>11:55</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Gozna, Mount Taurus: collected by Mr. Danford: in collection of Mr. Seebohm</td>
<td>11:60</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presumed Males.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing. in.</th>
<th>Tarsus. in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Mogador: Norwich Museum</td>
<td>11:35</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Mogador: British Museum</td>
<td>11:55</td>
<td>1:60</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tangier: collection of Mr. Dresser</td>
<td>11:60</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Tangier: collection of Capt. Wardlaw Ramsay</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Morocco: collection of Capt. Wardlaw Ramsay</td>
<td>11:60</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. Smyrna: Norwich Museum†</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Females, ascertained by dissection.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing. in.</th>
<th>Tarsus. in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. Morocco: collection of Lord Lilford, by whom it was kept alive. Immature, in change</td>
<td>*11:00</td>
<td>*1:00</td>
<td>2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. Mogador: collection of Lord Lilford, by whom it was kept alive. Nearly adult</td>
<td>12:10</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Cape Spartel: collected by Mr. Olcese; in Mr. Dalgleish's collection. Adult</td>
<td>13:20</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Tangier: collected by Mr. Favier; collection of Mr. Hancock. Immature</td>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>2:10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In specimen M the second primary, which is the longest, appears to have not quite attained its full proportionate development.
† It is possible that the sex of specimen O may have been ascertained by dissection, as it is labelled by the collector, Mr. J. G. Gonzenbach, *Falco peregrinus ♂*. 
The following results may be deduced from the above measurements, excluding those specimens which I have not personally examined, and also excluding *F. punicus*, specimen M., for the reason which I have mentioned in the footnote relating to it:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. barbarus</em>, males</td>
<td>10-95 to 11-40</td>
<td>1-60 to 1-70</td>
<td>1-80 to 1-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>females</td>
<td>11-10 to 11-60</td>
<td>1-50 to 1-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. minor</em>, males</td>
<td>10-80 to 11-25</td>
<td>1-70</td>
<td>1-75 to 2-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>females</td>
<td>12-70 to 12-95</td>
<td>1-80 to 2-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. punicus</em>, males</td>
<td>11-25 to 11-60</td>
<td>1-50 to 1-80</td>
<td>1-80 to 2-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>females</td>
<td>13-00 to 13-30</td>
<td>1-80 to 2-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be seen by this summary that, as regards the females, *F. Barbarus* is the smallest species of the three, *F. punicus* the largest, and *F. minor* intermediate in size between the other two; whilst as regards the male sex, the dimensions of *F. barbarus* and *F. minor* are nearly identical, *F. punicus* being slightly larger than either.

Mr. Dresser, in his article on *Falco minor*, to which I have already referred, mentions the female Falcons referred by him to that species—one, from Rhodes, with a wing according to his measurement of 11 inches (but according to mine 11·5 on one wing and 11·6 on the other), and a specimen from Tangiers, in Lord Lilford’s collection with a wing-measurement of 11·1. I have already expressed my belief

---

*Presumed Female.*

X. Tangier: British Museum. 13·20  2·00  2·10
that the Rhodes Falcon is referable to \textit{F. barbarus}. With regard to that from Tangier, Lord Lilford informs me that it has been unfortunately mislaid, but that it was in immature plumage; and I feel no doubt that this also is a young \textit{F. barbarus}. I do not think that the series of Falcons examined by Mr. Dresser contained any specimen of what I believe to be the true female of \textit{F. punicus}.

I now propose briefly to describe the principal variations of plumage which I have observed in adult specimens of \textit{F. punicus}; and these are not a little remarkable, some specimens being almost undistinguishable in markings and coloration from \textit{F. minor}, others approaching exceedingly near in these respects to \textit{F. barbarus}, whilst the majority exhibit a plumage more or less intermediate between these two extremes.

The following notes refer to the distinguishing letters in the foregoing list of measurements; they are limited to specimens which are adult, or nearly so, and deal first with the males and subsequently with the females.

Amongst the Falcons which I have examined, and which I consider must be referred to \textit{F. punicus}, are two males that seem to me only to differ from \textit{F. minor} in their longer wing-measurement. One of these is specimen \textit{O}, from Smyrna, which has been figured as an adult \textit{F. minor} by Mr. Dresser in his 'Birds of Europe,' pl. 373; the other is \textit{E}, from Northwestern Spain, which, as regards coloration, only differs from \textit{O} in having the dark transverse bars on the breast and abdomen somewhat narrower. Both these specimens are very dark on the upper parts, especially on the head, interscapulars, and lesser wing-coverts, which are slaty black; their crops show a black guttate spot in the centre of nearly every feather; and the breast, abdomen, tibiae, and flanks are throughout very regularly cross-barred with black; the spots on the crop, and the regularity and profusion of dark transverse bars on the breast, are, I believe, due to the birds not having moulted subsequently to their assumption of adult dress; and this view is confirmed by the circumstance that \textit{O} shows two brown feathers of the immature plumage, one in the coverts of each wing, still remaining.
A very similar specimen to O is N, from Morocco; but this is probably an older bird, as it has only faint and very narrow shaft-marks on the crop-feathers, and the bars on the centre of the breast are more broken up into spots than is the case in O. J, from Mogador, resembles N; but the dark transverse bars have disappeared from the centre of the breast and of the lower abdomen, and have been replaced by black spots smaller than those on the breast of N.

M, from Tangier, is of a paler slate-colour on the head, nape, scapulars, and interscapulars than any of the preceding specimens; and the transverse bars on the under surface are also somewhat paler and very narrow, even narrower than in E; they are interrupted in the centre of the breast, and are there replaced by a few minute dark spots, which is a phase of plumage that I have never seen in the southern F. minor; the lower crop-feathers have narrow dark shaft-marks*.

Every one of the above-named specimens is entirely destitute of rufous on the nape; but those to which I am about to refer all show more or less of it.

In B and L, both from Tangier, the trace of rufous on the back of the neck is exceedingly slight and inconspicuous, as the few feathers which are so coloured all have slaty tips. L is an average dark bird as regards the upper parts; but these in B are almost as pale as in M, to which B bears considerable resemblance, but with a larger space on the breast in which the cross bars are replaced by small and scattered dark spots. In L these spots are larger, and on the abdomen they partially assume the form of bars.

F, from Iviza, much resembles L; but the rufous tinge is more apparent on both sides of the nape.

B, L, and F are all entirely destitute of black shaft-marks on the crop, except a few very small ones next the breast. A similar almost immaculate condition of the crop-feathers exists in A, from Tangier, and in I, from Mogador; the latter has two feathers of the immature dress remaining on the coverts of each wing: this is remarkable, as an immaculate crop might

* I have a memorandum to the effect that specimen K (in the British Museum) closely resembles J; but I have not recently examined it.
otherwise be considered as indicating advanced age, which I believe that it usually does. The rufous on the nape of A and I much resembles that on F; in other respects A and I come nearest to N.

Both in A and in I the dark cross bars on the undersurface are strongly marked, but in the centre of the breast are replaced by conspicuous black spots, this being more particularly the case in I.

C, from Tangier, resembles I, but has distinct black shaft-marks on the feathers of the crop.

G, from Anascha, Mount Taurus, much resembles F, but has rather more rufous on the nape, and the cross-barring on the thighs and lower abdomen is more distinct; the grey of the lower scapulars is also rather paler.

H, from Gozna, Mount Taurus, is similar to G, but is darker on the interseapicular feathers, and the rufous which is mingled with the slaty black on the sides of the nape is brighter and somewhat more extended; many feathers of the crop show small black shaft-marks, none of which appear on that part of the plumage in G.

In ‘The Ibis’ for 1859, p. 187, Mr. Salvin recorded the capture of two breeding specimens of “Falco barbarus” in the Eastern Atlas—one at Djebel Dekma, which he marked as a male, the other at Kef Boudjato, which he marked as a female, the latter being the bird figured in the plate of F. barbarus which accompanied Mr. Salvin’s paper above referred to. In this article Mr. Salvin, speaking of these two specimens, both of which he very liberally presented to the Norwich Museum, suggests that he was probably mistaken as to the sex of one of them, as that marked male is rather larger than that which is marked female*. My belief is that the sex of both birds was correctly determined, and that the fact of the male being a little the larger is due to the circumstance that the female bird only (i.e. the specimen obtained at Kef Boudjato) really belongs to F. barbarus, and that the male from Djebel

* My wing-measurements of both these birds are slightly in excess of those given by Mr. Salvin, probably from some little difference in the mode of measuring.
Dekma should be referred to *F. punicus*. I however make this suggestion with some diffidence, as the Djebel-Dekma Falcon (which stands as D in my list of specimens of *F. punicus*) certainly approaches nearer in coloration to *F. barbarus* than does any other male of *F. punicus* that I have examined, and I do not remember having ever seen a specimen of either species exactly like it. On comparing it with the Kef-Boudjato Falcon, and with three other adult examples of *F. barbarus*, I find that in D the rufous on the nape is paler and duller, and that, instead of forming a complete nuchal collar, it is limited to two vertically oblong patches, one on either side of the centre of the nape and partially and obscurely continued towards the outer edge of the crown of the head; the rest of the upper surface in D resembles *F. barbarus* and also the paler examples of *F. punicus*; the feathers of the crop, except those of the central portion, show narrow black shaft-marks; the flanks, thighs, and under tail-coverts are cross-barred with black, the former more distinctly than the two latter; the entire breast and abdomen is sprinkled with distinct black spots, which, except very slightly on the abdomen, do not assume the form of bars. I may add that somewhat similar spots on the breast are observable in A, I, and C, as also on the new breast-feathers of the young male *F. barbarus*, in change, collected by Mr. Favier at Tangier, and now in the Norwich Museum, which I have already mentioned.

I proceed to refer to the female specimens of *F. punicus* which I have examined, and which I have found to be less numerous in collections than the males.

Mr. Salvin, in the same passage in which he speaks of the two adult Falcons which he obtained in the Eastern Atlas, also mentions two nestlings which he procured from a rock near the northern boundary of the Lake of Guerah El Tharf, respecting which he observes that "between these two birds there subsisted a marked difference in size"—a peculiarity which accords very well with the disparity in the dimensions of the sexes in *F. punicus*; in fact, the larger bird of these two, which I kept alive till it was about seven years old, and which is now preserved in the Norwich Museum, proved on
dissection to be a female, and is in my opinion an undoubted specimen of *F. punicus*. It is marked U in my list of examples of that species. The coloration of the upper surface in this female much resembles that of the medium-coloured males as regards intensity of tint; the position of the rufous on the nape is very much as in D, but it is less extended upwards and is more mingled with slaty black; the ground-colour of the underparts is richly tinged with rufous, and more so on the cheeks, throat, crop, breast, and flanks than in any of the males that I have examined; the feathers of the crop exhibit conspicuous black shaft-marks, broadest on those feathers which are nearest to the chest; the underparts below the crop are strongly cross-barred with black, except on the under tail-coverts, where the transverse bars are faint, and on the centre of the breast, where they are broken up into bar-shaped spots.

Mr. Salvin (*l. c.*) also mentions two Algerian Falcons which were brought to England as nestlings by Canon Tristram, and which I had for some time alive. One of these unfortunately escaped. The other died in bad plumage; but its skeleton is preserved in the Norwich Museum. On dissection it proved to be a female; and the measurements of the tarsus and middle toe indicate that the specimen was likewise referable to *F. punicus*.

R, from Cape Spartel, much resembles U; but it has more rufous on the nape, where this colour may almost be said to form a nuchal collar, though less regular and complete than in *F. barbarus*.

P, from Morocco (probably Mogador), died whilst changing from immature to adult dress. It has to a considerable extent attained the latter; and so far as it has done so it much resembles R.

T, from Tangier, is very similar to R as regards the upper surface and the amount of rufous on the nape; but on the underparts the rufous tints, though conspicuous, are paler, and on the abdomen the cross bars are replaced by small and somewhat faintly marked dark spots; the crop is also entirely destitute of dark shaft-marks.
Q, from Mogador, had nearly, but not entirely, assumed the adult dress when it died; except for its much larger size, it is hardly distinguishable from *F. barbarus*, as the rufous on the nape is similar in extent and disposition to the nuchal collar of that species, but is not quite so bright and is rather more intermingled with slaty black; most of the feathers on the crop have somewhat indistinct dark shaft-marks: the breast and abdomen are spotted as in T; but the spots are a little larger.

I have not had a recent opportunity of examining X, from Tangier; but I have a note, made some time since, to the effect that it much resembles specimen R.

Before leaving the subject of *F. peregrinus* and its allies, it will be right that I should mention the two Sardinian Falcons for which Mr. Sharpe, in the 'Annals and Magazine of Natural History' for January 1873, proposed the specific name of *brookei* (subsequently withdrawn in his 'Catalogue'); but as I have not recently examined these specimens, which are preserved in the British Museum, I defer my remarks upon them till my next paper, hoping, in the interim, to be able to visit the Museum and to see them there.

[To be continued.]

**XXII. — On the Remains of an extinct gigantic Bird supposed to be allied to Cariama, from the Ossiferous Caves of Brazil*. By J. Reinhardt.**

It has long been known that the caves in the limestone mountains of Brazil contain bones of other vertebrate classes besides the very numerous mammalian remains which are buried in them. The former certainly are but few in number as compared with the latter; but bones of birds more particularly are found in some caves in no small quantity; and though a part of them may be of doubtful antiquity, others possess those characteristics which are found in those bones which are usually described as *fossil*.

As yet, however, but very little has been made known con-

---

* Translated from the Vid, Meddelelser fra den Naturhistoriske Forening i Kjøbenhavn, 1881 (pp. 141-153).
cerning the fossil bones of birds from the Brazilian limestone caves; and for this we are indebted, as we shall see, almost exclusively to the late Dr. P. W. Lund. His collection of animal-remains from these caves contains bones of birds by hundreds, which he procured in the course of his long-continued investigations. He was also for some time occupied in carefully examining them, and more than once has mentioned in print these studies and their results, though certainly with great brevity. At the conclusion of the last of the letters which he addressed to the editors of the 'Annales des Sciences Naturelles' concerning his discoveries in the caves, which is dated the 1st of April 1840, and is printed in the May number of that periodical for the same year, he stated that he possessed remains of a not inconsiderable number of species of birds. But he did not convey any more precise information respecting them, beyond saying that amongst them were two Rheas, of which one had been considerably larger than the now-existing Rhea americana (l. c. p. 219).

Early in 1841 Lund addressed to our Royal Society of Sciences an account of the remains of birds which he had at that time found and worked out. This paper was only of moderate extent, filling twenty quarto pages in manuscript, with thirteen coloured drawings of six bones supposed to belong to five different birds. It was intended to form the concluding part of the fourth treatise (dated January 30, 1841, and forwarded at the same time to the Society) of the series which was then being published under the common title of 'Blik paa Brasiliens Dyreverden før sidste Jordomvæltning' (Observations on the Animal World of Brazil before the last Geological Revolution). This paper was not, however, printed along with the remaining portion of the treatise; nor did he ever decide to have it published afterwards. But he made an abstract of the section concerning the birds, as well as of the rest of the fourth treatise; and this abstract, of which the original manuscript is still in existence, was printed entire and unaltered in the 'Proceedings' of the Danish Royal Society for 1840–41 (p. lxiii). From this we gather that at that time
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Lund had determined more or less accurately 33 fossil species of birds, belonging to 26 genera, mostly such as are still represented in the same region, and of which some are peculiar to America, as, for instance, *Furnarius, Anabates, Dendrocolaptes, Crypturus,* and *Rhea.* We gather, moreover, that several species agree in a remarkable degree with those now existing, and, finally, that only one of those discovered was wholly different, in other words, unquestionably generically distinct from all birds of the present age, being most likely an extinct species of Illiger's family Alectorides, allied to the Seriema (*Cariama*), but of the size of the *Rhea.* As it appears from the unpublished treatise that Lund at that time did not consider that he possessed remains of more than one species of *Rhea,* we may conclude, though nothing is said about it directly, that this gigantic "Alectoride" is identical with one of those species of *Rhea* which he had shortly before alluded to in the 'Annales des Sciences Naturelles.'

The principal result which Lund considers himself justified in deducing is this, that all the laws which he had established concerning the relation between the present mammalian fauna of Brazil and that which had last preceded it, held good for the class of birds as well. These two notices are all that Lund published on the subject; but he continued to direct his attention to the remains of birds in the caves, and when he at last, a few years later, abandoned all further researches in caves, he possessed not only more bones of birds, but also bones of more species, than in 1841. It is not, however, probable that he entered on a thorough investigation of his new finds: the great majority of the bones of birds in his collection are not determined in his autograph catalogue of them; in many cases even the indication of the caves where they were found is wanting; and a portion of them have not even been registered.

Besides Lund, the late Professor P. Gervais also published a contribution to the knowledge of the fossil birds of Brazil, which, however, is not considerable. In 1844 he placed before the Société Philomatique of Paris a *résumé* of a work entitled "Remarques sur les oiseaux fossiles," which
was at once published in the 'Institut' *. After having discussed the fossil birds from other geological formations, he briefly mentions in this résumé the remains of birds from the Brazilian caves, and the scientific results of Lund's researches, at the same time increasing the number of species indicated by Lund, by some more discovered in a collection of Brazilian cave-bones which the Paris Museum at that time purchased from the late P. Claussen. The number of new species indicated by him, however, is only five; but he does not further describe them, and some of them had been most likely found by Lund without Gervais being aware of it. A species of Cathartes, however, larger than those now existing †, and an Owl were, if the determinations may be trusted, really new.

In the short section on extinct birds in Mr. Wallace's celebrated 'Geographical Distribution of Animals' there is, lastly, what we might think was a small addition to the fossil birds already known from the Brazilian bone-caves, because, in the few lines which the author has bestowed on this subject, we meet with the somewhat startling announcement that amongst the birds found in these caves there is also an extinct species of "the very isolated South-American genus Opisthocomus" (i. p. 164). On closer consideration, however, this statement can scarcely appear otherwise than doubtful ‡. Wallace does not seem to have himself seen or studied the bird-bones to which he refers; and, judging from the tenor of his words, it

* Vol. xii. (1844), pp. 294, 295. I am not aware that any more of this memoir than the résumé has been published.
† In Lund's palæontological collection I have not observed remains of any Cathartes or Catharistes "larger than the existing species;" but amongst the bones of birds collected by him during the last years of his cave-diggings there are the upper mandible and some more bones of a Gypagius nearly allied to or even identical with the now living G. papa. Perhaps this is the bird which Gervais denominates a Cathartes, to which genus Illiger, by whom it was established, referred G. papa, which is furnished with a crest, as well as those American Vultures which have no excrescences on the beak.
‡ That this statement seems to have originated in a mistake has been already noticed (Encycl. Brit. ed. 9, xii. p. 29).—Ed.]
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was his intention merely to give a very short extract of what others already had communicated. At the same time it seems that he had before him only Gervais's article in the 'Institut' and the few words which occur in Lund's letter in the 'Annales des Sciences Naturelles,' but that he did not know, or did not use, the latter's communication in the 'Proceedings of the Danish Royal Society of Sciences,' 1840–1841. However this may be, no remains of any Opisthocomus are mentioned in either of these places; and it must doubtless be struck out from the list of fossil birds found in the Brazilian caves, as we can scarcely doubt that it has found a place in Wallace's work only by some misunderstanding or slip of memory.

So far as I am aware, no further information concerning the fossil birds of Brazil than what I have mentioned has been published *; and we shall now return to a closer consideration of the principal result which Lund deduced from his studies in this department. To a certain extent this result is no doubt true; there can be no question that the bird-fauna of which the remains exist in the caves, whatever be the proportion of undoubtedly extinct species, had a thoroughly American character, just as fully as the mammalian fauna which lies buried there; and we are also certainly justified in maintaining that it contained at any rate some species which nowadays occur regularly in other parts of South America only, even if it should happen now and then at intervals of several years that specimens stray into the valley of Velhas †.

So far it may be said truly that the laws established "concerning the relation" between the extinct and the extant mammalian fauna "also hold good as regards the class

* Of course the short statements of Lund and Gervais on the fossil birds of Brazil were soon reproduced in several of the more important contemporary manuals and catalogues; but to these we need not refer.

† Lund's collection contains, for instance, several unregistered bones of a Chauna or Palamedea, birds which at present do not occur in the valley of the Velhas, but, at the most, now and then at intervals of several years stray thither (Palamedea cornuta see Nat. For. Vid. Medd. 1870, p. 22).
of birds”*. But there is, at any rate, one point with regard to which it may be doubtful whether the relation between the bird-fauna discovered in the caves and that now existing can fairly be said to agree with what is known concerning the corresponding mammalian faunæ. The most remarkable characteristic of the extinct mammalian world is, as is well known, this, that it contained not only several entirely extinct very large species of genera which are still in existence and peculiar to America—for instance, large species of Hydrochærus, Cercolabes, and Dasypus—but besides these a series of most remarkable gigantic forms which certainly exhibit an American character, but represent peculiar genera and families that have disappeared from the modern fauna, such as Macrauchenia, Toxodon, the gigantic Sloths, and the Glyptodons. Nothing similar appears to have been observed with regard to the remains of birds found in the caves; at any rate nothing approaching to it could probably be mentioned except in the case of the very large Alectorid of which Lund believed he had found remains; and even if these have been rightly interpreted by him, this one species would scarcely afford a sufficient foundation for so important a conclusion as he founded on it. So much the more occasion is there for rendering an accurate account of the number and a description of the bones referred to this bird, and for submitting them to a renewed and careful examination.

They are but very few in number, strictly speaking only two, viz.:—the upper third or a little more of the right metatarsus, from which, however, the articular surface had been broken off from above, and which, more especially on the posterior face, was beset with incrustations; and, secondly, a digital phalanx which had lost the proximal articular surface, and also exhibited some incrustations. According to Lund’s own words in his unpublished treatise, both these bones were found in the side of the same cave, and bore all the marks of belonging to one and the same individual; both moreover were figured, each from two sides, in the coloured drawings accom-

panying the treatise sent to Copenhagen in 1841. More than these two specimens are not mentioned in it; and consequently the above-mentioned large Alectorid bird was founded only on them. But after having sent home his treatise, Lund must have become aware that he possessed a third bone of this bird, since in his collection there is, marked with the number 8, the middle portion of a tibia*, about five inches long, covered with incrustations, which, according to the statement in his own catalogue, was found in the same cave as the two other Alectorid bones, and is described as belonging to a gigantic Wader (Styltegeenger), the name under which Lund also entered the tarso-metatarsal included in the catalogue as No. 9, immediately after the fragment of the tibia; and both these bones agree so completely in form, appearance, and condition that one is quite naturally led to the conclusion that they are remains of one and the same individual. But Lund does not appear to have thought he had found more than these three fragments of this bird, as the name "gigantic Wader" does not occur again in his catalogue, and the denomination "gigantic Alectorid" does not occur at all.

One of the above-named three bones—the toe-joint—no longer exists; at any rate I have not been able to find it in Lund's collection. On the one hand, it seems to me improbable that it can have been overlooked, owing to the circumstance that I possess two carefully executed drawings of it, which would greatly facilitate its recognition if I had really met with it; on the other hand, there seem to be good reasons for believing that it was not in the collection when sent home. There has been no difficulty in finding not only the originals of the other figures accompanying the treatise on the fossil birds, but also the other remains mentioned, though not figured, in that paper; they are all there, as well as those which were figured—all numbered and entered in

* By some clerical error or mistake, "femur," not "tibia," stands in the catalogue; but there can be no doubt that the bone is a tibia; and the number on the bone shows that it really is what the catalogue describes as "the middle portion of a femur."
his catalogue by the same names as they are described in the treatise. The toe-bone alone was not entered in the catalogue nor found in the collection. But it does not, so far as I can judge, seem probable that Lund should have numbered and registered all the other remains of birds mentioned in the treatise, and in some cases less important, while at the same time he omitted to do this with regard to one of the most important, if he had really possessed it when writing the catalogue of his collection. I think, therefore, that this brittle and fragile bone (to judge from the existing drawings) must have disappeared while Lund was in Brazil, whether he lost it or whether, perhaps more probably, it fell to pieces. This loss is of course to be regretted; but in my opinion it is not of very great importance, as the two above-mentioned drawings, which are preserved, are sufficient to give a fairly good idea of it.

Illiger himself saw and examined only two out of the six genera of birds which he placed together in his family Alectorides*; he knew the rest only from the not very satisfactory descriptions and illustrations of that time; and it is therefore easy to understand that his Alectorides became a somewhat promiscuous assemblage of dissimilar birds. Nor was Temminck's knowledge of them extensive; and even after removing from them the very divergent Cereopsis, the family did not become a natural group, and it has accordingly now been wholly abandoned. Nor did Lund himself know much of it. Of the three forms which were left longest in the group Alectorides, two—Palamedea and the Trumpeters—have not their home in those parts of South America in which he lived and worked; and the skeleton and internal structure of the former in particular were, at the time he wrote, very insufficiently or not at all known. The only one, therefore, which he knew accurately, and with the bones of which he could compare the few fossil remains which he thought belonged to a gigantic Alectorid, was the Cariama, which occurs in great numbers in the Brazilian campos—nay,

* That some ornithologists still use the name Alectorides, but in a different sense from Illiger's, need not be further mentioned.
even in the immediate vicinity of his own house at Lagoa Santa. His opinion that the fossil bird was generically different from Cariama, and formed a lost link between it and Palamedea, was founded not only on the difference which he perceived between the tibia of the former and that of the supposed gigantic Alectorid, but also, and perhaps mainly, on the consideration that, if the before-mentioned toe-bone also belonged to that bird, it must have had much longer toes than Cariama, of which the short toes had occasioned the generic name Microdactylus, bestowed upon it by Geoffroy St.-Hilaire.

The fossil bone-fragment agrees so accurately with the metatarsus of Rhea in size and proportion, that Lund began his examination of it by comparing it with this last bone; but he did not observe any further similarity between them. In Rhea the anterior upper end of the metatarsal exhibits a deep, rather elongated, elliptical groove, which at its bottom branches off into two smaller fissures opening on the posterior surface of the bone. Both these fissures are remains of the original division between the three coalescent metatarsals; and the groove in front is caused by the middle metatarsal being situated a little behind its two neighbours at the upper end, while at the distal extremity it advances forwards, so as to be not only on a level with the lateral bones but even a little in front of them. The fragment of the fossil metatarsus seems at first sight different, as may be observed in the annexed illustration (fig. 1, p. 330). The groove on the front surface is less deep and less sharply defined; the two fissures at the bottom appear like small holes, each scarcely larger than a pin’s head, and open on the posterior surface of the bone a little more distant from each other. Besides this, the front surface of the bone exhibits a swelling or knob nearly an inch long, pointed at the lower end, and situated in the groove on the front side of the bone below the fossa above mentioned.

The preceding description of the appearance of this bone in Rhea, however, is made from that of a young bird. The bone is certainly 370 millim. long, and is quite as large as that of many a full-grown bird; but that portion of the tarsus which
is incorporated into the metatarsal has not yet quite coalesced with them, these parts being still separated by a thin layer of cartilage. If, instead, we examine the terminations of a not

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.

Fig. 3.

Fig. 1.—Fragment of the tarso-metatarsus: natural size.

Figs. 2 and 3.—Copies of the drawings sent by Lund of the toe-bone (from the side and front) taken by him to be that of an extinct Alectorid.
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merely full-grown but old *Rhea*, we shall find that it looks somewhat different, and that it presents the very same appearances as are above described in the fossil fragment. In other words, this fragment no doubt belonged to an adult *Rhea*, and certainly not to a bird more or less allied to *Cariama*; it does not exhibit any striking similarity to the tarso-metatarsal of the latter in any particular. The same holds good with regard to the middle portion of a right tibia, marked No. 8 in Lund’s collection, and in his catalogue stated to be of the same bird (gigantic Wader) as the tarso-metatarsal (No. 9). As this bone lacks the most characteristic parts (the two extremities), its agreement with the *Rhea*’s tibia would not perhaps be very clearly apparent from a description; but on placing the two tibiae side by side, the similarity appears at once, in spite of the serious injuries which the cave-bone has suffered. It is a fragment of the bone, rather nearer to its distal than to its proximal end; and the striking flatness of its posterior surface compared with the high transverse convexity of the front, the slight indication of a ridge on this side along the interior margin; and, lastly, the just perceptible longitudinal curve inwards exhibited by the fragment, are all details found exactly reproduced in *Rhea*; so that, in my opinion, there can be no doubt that it belonged to that bird. Finally, as regards the digital phalanx, which Lund also ascribed to his gigantic Alectorid, thinking it belonged to the same individual as the fragmentary tarso-metatarsal, we have already said that we have only drawings to go by; and of these we give copies (figs. 2 & 3, p. 330). They show that this bone had been injured at the proximal end, but that only very little, scarcely more than the articular surface itself, was wanting. It is, moreover, easily perceived that even before being thus damaged this phalanx was at most but three times as long as it is thick, and that it by no means presented any similarity to the rather slender and very elongated digital phalanx of *Palamedea*. On the contrary, the drawings of this bone point no less clearly than the fragments actually preserved of other bones to *Rhea*; and it can scarcely be doubted that it was the first phalanx of the middle toe of that bird.
It is not easy to decide whether the species of *Rhea* to which these bones belong was identical with the existing *R. americana*. I have observed nothing in the few remains at my disposal that would contradict that view; and I consequently think that the ancient and the modern *Rhea* are of one and the same species; at the same time it must be admitted that additional discoveries of the bones of the ancient bird may possibly bring to light differences which could not be inferred from the insufficient fragments of bones now before us.

But if the interpretation here offered of the bones which have hitherto been looked upon as remains of an extinct gigantic Alectorid be true, at any rate in the main—that is to say, so far as the generic determination goes,—then it will be readily perceived that it must to a very considerable extent modify the opinion hitherto prevailing, that all the laws which hold good for the relation between the existing and the next preceding mammalian fauna of South America are equally valid in respect of the class of birds. On the contrary, there is an essential and fundamental difference. There is no indication whatever of the class of birds having possessed large extinct representatives, which could be said to exhibit a somewhat similar relation to the birds now living as that which the gigantic extinct Brazilian mammals present as regards the existing fauna.

I do not mean wholly to deny that the remains of birds found in Brazilian caves may in some cases belong to really extinct species; on the contrary, I consider this very probable. But it is only through a renewed careful examination of the bones that it can be ascertained how many really extinct species have been discovered—or in other words, the exact proportion between really extinct and still living species in the cave-finds. Under all circumstances it may even now be asserted, with all confidence, that the extinct bird-fauna of which the remains are found in the caves of Brazil differs from the existing fauna *in a much less degree* than the mammalian fauna which is buried there.
XXIII.—Notices of recent Ornithological Publications.

(Continued from p. 178.)


From Mr. Alexander Agassiz’s report on the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College for 1880, we extract the following passage concerning the Birds:—

“The number of skins added is 1744, and includes about 600 mounted specimens. Among them are various species of Pheasants, Birds of Paradise, Pittas, Humming-birds, Manucodias, &c., as well as many less showy species of considerable rarity.

“There have been added also 25 mounted skeletons, besides nearly as many unmounted, and fifty sets of eggs, representing as many species. These are especially noteworthy, as being nearly all from the Argentine Republic, thoroughly identified, and beautifully prepared.

“The principal additions are from the West Indies and South America, including 300 skins from Santa Lucia, British West Indies, 370 from Trinidad and the island of Grenada, and 500 from the Argentine Uruguay, which represent over 200 species, forming an excellent suite of the birds of that immediate vicinity.”

31. Barboza Du Bocage’s ‘Ornithology of Angola.’


We are much pleased to receive the second part of Prof. Barboza du Bocage’s ‘Ornithologie d’Angola,’ completing a most useful work. We could wish that Mr. Sharpe’s edition of Layard’s ‘Birds of South Africa’ (commenced in 1875) were also finished. With these, and Gurney’s ‘Birds of Damara Land,’ we should then have a fine series of works of reference on the birds of the southern half of the African continent.
The avifauna of Angola, according to our author, is now known to comprehend 698 species. Of each of these the synonyms, characters, and localities are concisely given in the present work, besides other remarks where necessary. Coloured illustrations are given of the following species:

- Pl. V. Pholidiangles verreauxii.
- VI. Lamprocoliis acuticaudus.
- VII. Lamprotornis purpureus.
- VIII. fig. 1. Mirafra nigricans.
- " " 2. Anthus pallescens.
- " " fig. 1. Parus rufiventris.
- " " 2. Hypsiloniris salvadori.
- " " fig. 1. Cossymph bocagei.
- " " 2. — barbata.

32. Blasius and Nehrkorn on Bornean Birds.


A list of 83 species, represented in two collections of birds from Borneo made by Dr. Platen in 1880, arranged in accordance with Count Salvadori’s well-known memoir on Bornean birds. The exact dates, localities, and colours of the soft parts are given from notes of the collector. Remarks of the authors are added in many cases. Amongst the rarer species mentioned are Lepocestes porphyromelas and Ptyriasis gymnosephala.

33. ‘Bulletin of the Nuttall Ornithological Club.’


Both these numbers of our excellent contemporary contain much of interest to the general ornithologist. Besides Mr. Ridgway’s articles noticed under his name below, the same author describes a supposed new Heron (Ardea wardi) from Florida, and gives us some very curious speculations concerning this form and its allies, A. occidentalis, A. wurdemanni, and A. herodias. An important discovery by Mr. Brewster is that the lately described Helminthophagae (leucobronchialis and lawrencii) are hybrids between H. pinus and H. chrysoplera! Mr. Brewster also describes a new local
form of *Scops asio* from the coast-region of California as *Scops asio bendorei*, and Mr. N. C. Brown a new race of *Peucaea ruficeps* from Texas as *Peucaea ruficeps eremoeca*. Besides this novelty, Mr. Brown, during his successful reconnaissance in South-western Texas, managed to obtain no less than seven examples of the rare *Dendroica chrysoparia*.

34. Dubois on certain Thrushes.


M. Dubois’s remarks are specially in reference to M. Vian’s paper in a former number of this Bulletin (1880, p. 210) on the Thrushes of the *Oreocincla* group. M. Vian correctly vindicates the distinctness of *Turdus horsfieldi* of Java from *T. lunulatus* of Australia, and states that *Turdus hodgsoni*, Homeyer, = *Turdus mollissimus*, Blyth, nec *T. viscivorus* vel *bonapartei*. Notes on other species of *Turdus* are added.

35. Ewart on the Nostrils of the Cormorant.


A short description of the external nares and nasal passage in the Cormorant—the aperture of the former being very minute, as usual in the Steganopodes, in some of which it is, at least in the adult, entirely absent (e.g. *Plotus*).


The author, in this paper, treats of *Alauda reboudia* of the Algerian Sahara, and comes to the conclusion that the supposed new Spanish Lark, *Calandrella boetica* of Dresser, is identical with it. Here, therefore, if the Baron is correct, is another African bird in Europe; but a great authority on Spanish birds assures us the Baron is not correct, and that the two species are not identical.
37. **Hoffmann's List of the Birds of Nevada.**


Dr. Hoffmann’s list is based upon notes and observations made in Nevada by several parties during the field-season of 1871, completed by references to the reports of several prominent authorities who have likewise visited the district. Some interesting remarks on the distribution of the vegetation as affecting the avifauna of Nevada are prefixed. No other area of like extent within the United States is believed to present a greater variety of physical features. Amongst the less-known species in the list we may note *Campylorhynchus brunneicapillus*, “met with in the sandy deserts north-west of Fort Mogave, amongst the cactus and yucca;” *Spizella breweri*, “quite common in the northern and middle areas;” and *Icterus bullocki*, a regular summer visitant. In the appendix an accurate account of previous authorities on the birds of Nevada is given. An outline map of Nevada, showing the localities mentioned, concludes this useful memoir.

38. **Krukenberg on the Colouring-matter of Feathers.**


A continuation of the author’s investigations already noticed (Ibis, 1881, p. 602) on the colouring-matter of birds’ feathers. The present part deals with the consideration of turacoverdin (found in the green feathers of the Musophagidæ), zoarubin (from the red feathers of *Cicinnurus*), “araroth” (from the red feathers of Parrots), zoonerythrin, and corionilphurin (a yellow pigment detected in the yellow scales of the legs of *Milvus*). Turacoverdin is the first green feather-pigment that the author has been able to isolate. It contains a fair quantity of iron, but little copper or manganese. Its spectrum resembles that of turacin, from which latter pigment turacoverdin may be produced by long exposure to air or moisture, or by boiling.
39. **Lawrence on a new Subspecies of Loxigilla.**


Mr. Lawrence describes the form of *Loxigilla portoricensis* which occurs on St. Kitts as "var. grandis." It differs from the Portorican bird in its rather larger size, and in the colour of its under wing-coverts.

40. **Marschall and Pelzeln’s ‘Ornis Vindobonensis.’**


The names of the authors of the present memoir on the birds of the environs of Vienna are a sufficient guarantee that the work has been carefully and elaborately prepared. The "ornis vindobonensis," according to Count Marschall and Herr von Pelzeln, comprehends 287 species. Amongst those which would be of special interest to western ornithologists we notice *Upupa epops*, *Calamodyta fluviatilis*, and *Erythrosterina parva* in the category of breeding species. A list of the birds of the Neusiedler See is appended, amongst which there are 25 species that have not yet occurred in the district of Vienna.

41. **Nordenskiöld’s ‘Voyage of the ‘Vega.’’**


The scientific work of the voyage of the ‘Vega’ will be hereafter published in a more elaborate form. But few naturalists will omit to read Baron Nordenskiöld’s most interesting narrative, in which will be found a special chapter (vol. i. ch. iii.) devoted to the birds and mammals of Novaya Zemlya, and an account of the birds of the Chukch peninsula (vol. ii. p. 42), where *Eurynorhynchus pygmaeus* was "served
at the gun-room table," besides numerous other references to Polar bird-life. We extract a few sentences on the birds of "Gooseland," which appear to be also of special interest:—

"Gooseland is a low stretch of coast, occupied by grassy flats and innumerable small lakes, which projects from the mainland of Novaya Zemlya between 72° 10' and 71° 30' N. lat. The name is a translation of the Russian Gusinnaia Semlja, and arises from the large number of Geese and Swans (Cygnus bewickii, Yarr.) which breed in that region. The Geese commonly place their exceedingly inconsiderable nests on little hillocks near the small lakes which are scattered over the whole of Gooseland; the powerful Swans, which are very difficult of approach by the hunter, on the other hand, breed on the open plain. The Swans' nests are so large that they may be seen at a great distance. The building-material is moss, which is plucked from the ground within a distance of two metres from the nest, which, by the excavation which is thus produced, is surrounded by a sort of moat. The nest itself forms a truncated cone, 0·6 metre high and 2·4 metres in diameter at the bottom. In its upper part there is a cavity, 0·2 metre deep and 0·6 metre broad, in which the four large greyish-white eggs of the birds are laid. The female hatches the eggs; but the male also remains in the neighbourhood of the nest. Along with the Swans and Geese, a large number of Waders, a couple of species of Lestris, an Owl, and other birds breed on the plains of Gooseland, and a few Guillemots or Gulls upon the summits of the strand-cliffs. The avifauna along the coast here is besides rather poor."

42. Oates on the Birds of British Burma.


The first volume of the new Gazetteer of British Burma contains some excellent general remarks on the rich avifauna of that region by Mr. E. W. Oates, to which is added a nominal list of the species (771 in all). Mr. Oates very appositely observes:—

"With a considerable portion of the country situated within
the tropics, with its immense seacoast-line, and with a land-
frontier bordering on such interesting countries as China,
Siam, and Malaya, Burma offers attractions to the ornitho-
ologist which few other countries can hold out. The
number of known Burmese species of birds exceeds that of
the whole of Europe by more than a hundred, while the num-
ber is more than half that found in the whole continent of
India from the Himalayas to Ceylon, and from Scinde to
Assam. And yet the list is very far from complete. With
an increased number of observers the list would, undoubtedly,
be increased to one thousand species.”

43. Ramsay on new Birds from the Solomon Islands and
New Britain.

[Descriptions of some new Birds from the Solomon Islands and New
Britain. By Edward P. Ramsay, F.L.S., C.M.Z.S., &c., Curator of the

This is the paper spoken of by Canon Tristram (anteà,
p. 133). It gives descriptions of Ceyx sacerdotis from New
Britain, Pomarea (Monarcha) ugiensis from Ugi, Calornis
(Aplonis) feadensis from “Fead” Island, Carpophaga finschi
(no locality given), and Baza gurneyi from Ugi (v. s. p. 133).
Astur pulchellus is a name given to Astur soloensis, Ramsay,
ex inss. Salomonis; and Ptilopus viridis, Ramsay (P. L. S.
N. S. W. iv. p. 73), is stated to be the female of P. eugenia.

44. Rathbun’s ‘List of the Birds of Central New York.’

[A Revised List of Birds of Central New York.—Based on the Observ-
vations of Frank R. Rathbun, H. Gilbert Fowler, Frank S. Wright,
Samuel F. Rathbun, in the Counties of Cayuga, Onondaga, Seneca,
Wayne, and Yates.—Collated and prepared for publication by Frank R.
Rathbun. 8vo. Auburn, N. Y.]

This list is the result of ten years’ observations, notes, and
field-work of the four gentlemen whose names are given on
the title-page. Its reliability is vouched for by Dr. Elliott
Coues, who regards it as the leading authority upon the birds
of Central New York, the avifauna of which is thus shown to
embrace 236 species.
Recently published Ornithological Works.

45. Ridgway on a new North-American Hawk.


The species is *Buteola brachyura*, of which an adult male was obtained in Florida by Mr. G. A. Boardman in February 1882. The question of the relationship of *Buteo fuliginosus*, Sclater, to this bird is carefully discussed. Mr. J. H. Gurney and Mr. Salvin now agree in considering *Buteo fuliginosus* to be merely a black phase of *B. brachyura*; but Mr. Ridgway is, apparently, not quite convinced that such is the case.

46. Ridgway on the Desiderata of the U.S. National Collection.


The list is a long one, but is chiefly made up of species of which the young plumages are required. The North-American species actually unrepresented in the U.S. National Collection, as may be well supposed, are very few in number.

47. Shufeldt on the Osteology of the North-American Tetraonidae.


This is an elaborate account of the osteology of the North-American Grouse, illustrated by eight plates, principally devoted to the various bones of *Centrocercus* and *Cupidonia*. Of the former of these genera the bones of the chick and immature form (two months old) are likewise figured. It would have been very convenient for classification-purposes if the author had stated the osteological differences between the six genera (as usually allowed) in a concise form. He does, however, inform us that, from an osteological point of view, he can see no reason why *Pediaæetes* and *Cupidonia* should not be united.
48. Shufeldt on the Osteology of Lanius.


Dr. Shufeldt gives us in this essay a complete description of the bones of Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides (as our American friends call the south-western form of L. ludovicianus), drawn up in his usual exact style, and illustrated by a plate.

49. Sharpe's Catalogue of the Timeliidae.

[Catalogue of the Passeriformes, or Perching Birds, in the Collection of the British Museum.—Cichlomorphae: Part III. Containing the first portion of the Family Timeliidae (Babbling-Thrushes). By R. Bowdler Sharpe. 8vo. London. Published by order of the Trustees, 1881.]

In the sixth volume of the 'Catalogue of the Birds of the British Museum' we have Mr. Sharpe's account of 407 species, referred to five subfamilies (Brachypodinae, Trogldytinae, Miminæ, Myiadectinae, and Ptilonorhynchinae). These seem to us to be five very natural groups, except as regards the inclusion of the Dippers in the subfamily Trogldytinae*. But we can see no reasons whatever for placing any of these groups in the family "Timeliidae;" nor has Mr. Sharpe attempted to give us any of the slightest importance. Indeed, judging by the "synopsis of subfamilies," given p. 1, the inclusion of the Miminæ, Myiadectinae, and Ptilonorhynchinae in the Timeliidae cannot have been originally contemplated. Mr. Sharpe himself admits that this position of the Myiadectinae is "not very satisfactory," but seems to have placed them here because Mr. Seebohm omitted them from his volume on the Sylviidae! As for the Bowerbirds, we are at a loss to imagine what can justify their association with the Timeliidae. Surely it would have been better to have left them among the Sturnidae, as they had not been already taken next to the Paradisaeidae.

* In spite of their "domed nests" the Dippers are, in our opinion, more nearly allied to the Thrushes than to the Wrens, though quite sufficiently distinct to constitute a family of themselves—that is, a family of the same rank as other ordinarily recognized families of Oscines.
We observe with regret another unnecessary change in the nomenclature of a familiar genus in the present volume. When Vieillot, in 1807, established the genus "Trogloidytes," he certainly intended the Motacilla troyloïdijtes to be its type. To ascertain this positively, it is only necessary to refer to his subsequently published 'Analyse.' Writing in 1807 only of American birds, he naturally did not mention the European type, but only the American form, which he included in the same genus. It seems to us, therefore, quite erroneous to assume that the latter was his type, and to remove the generic name "Trogloidytes" to a group which does not now include the Motacilla troyloïdijtes. Few will follow Mr. Sharpe in this matter, any more than they have in the similar course he has adopted as regards the generic term Timmunculus.

The species provided with new names in this volume are eight—namely, Tylas alfredi and T. fulviventris from S.W. Madagascar, Cinnicerthia olivascens from Colombia, Thryothorus melanogaster from Veragua, Thr. amazonicus and Thr. griseopectus from the Upper Amazons, Thr. paucimaculatus from Ecuador, and Minus elegans from the Bahamas. Urocichla is a new generic term proposed for Pnoepyga longicaudata, Moore. The following species are figured:

Pl. I. Chloropsis viridinucha.
   II. Hemixus cinereus.
   III. Iole rufigularis.
   IV. Criniger verreauxi.
   V. — frater.
   VI. fig. 1. Criniger finschi.
   VII. Xenocicbla albigularis.
   VIII. Chlorocichla occidentalis.
   IX. Pycnonotus simplex.
   X. — pusillus.
   XI. Cinnicerthia olivascens.

Pl. XII. fig. 1. Campylorhynchus pardus.
   " 2. — gularis.
   XIII. Thryothorus bairdi.
   XIV. fig. 1. Thr. fasciaticventris.
   " 2. — melanogaster.
   XV. " 1. — amazonicus.
   " 2. — griseopectus.
   XVI. " 1. Anorthura fumigata.
   " 2. — pacifica.
   XVII. Uropsila leucoagastra.
   XVIII. fig. 1. Cyphorhinus salvini.
   " 2. — modulator.

The woodcuts are most acceptable additions to this and preceding volumes of the Catalogue; but surely the tarsus and toes of a bird should not in these days be called its "leg"! (see pp. 120, 240, 268, &c.)
50. Stearns and Cones's 'New-England Bird-Life.'


This is a volume which will, without doubt, be highly appreciated by our transatlantic readers, though it may not, of course, present the same amount of interest to the general ornithologist. But a good local fauna is always acceptable; and in this case the name of the editor gives us an ample guarantee of the accuracy and completeness of the work. The introduction comprises "general definitions," a short treatise on the "preservation of specimens," and another upon "faunal areas," all of which will be useful to the student. A summary notice of previous writings on New-England ornithology follows, arranged in chronological order. In the main portion of the text short characters are given of each species, and full remarks as to occurrence, distribution, breeding-habits, and other particulars,—just enough, in our opinion, to render the work useful to the local naturalist, without overloading its pages. The woodcuts, some of which seem to our eyes to be old friends, are not, if we may presume to say so, quite on a par with the general execution of the work. Some of them are coarsely drawn, not to say ugly.

51. Stone's 'Few Months in New Guinea.'


Mr. Stone passed about three months at Port Moresby and its vicinity in 1875–76, with Messrs. Petterd and Broadbent as collectors, and made a fine collection of birds, embracing examples of 116 species, of which the names are given in the appendix. The narrative of his residence in this strange land, though not exciting, will be read with interest by naturalists. Mr. Stone penetrated about 25 miles into the interior from Port Moresby, and claims to be the first Englishman, after Mr. Wallace, that had shot a Paradise-bird (Paradisea raggiana). We can fully sympathize with him as, on this occa-
sion, he stood on the Farunumo range, gazing eagerly towards the great Mount Owen-Stanley, and lamenting his ineffectual efforts to reach it.

52. 'Stray Feathers,' vol. ix. pts. 5 and 6.


In the double part of 'Stray Feathers' for 1880 (just received in this country), Mr. Hume has done us the honour to reprint Major Biddulph's article on the Birds of Gilgit, which appeared in 'The Ibis' for 1881! This may, in subsequent ages, raise a curious question of priority! Mr. Hume now describes at full length the new Pheasant, *Callophasis humiae,* from Manipur (cf. Ibis, 1881, p. 608), and gives an amusing account of his adventures in relation to it. It is nearest to *Callophasis elioti.* Mr. Hume also describes *Persicula manipurensis,* sp. nov., obtained during the same expedition.

XXIV.—Letters, Announcements, &c.

We have received the following letters addressed to the Editors of 'The Ibis':—

Australian Museum, Sydney.

Sirs,—I strongly suspect that my *Ptilopus? corriei,* from the New Hebrides, may prove to be the *Columba tennensis* of Latham (Bp. Consp. Av. ii. p. 14). I am by no means even sure that it is a *Ptilopus,* and may not belong to a distinct genus. With respect to my *Macropygia rufa,* from the same group of islands, some ornithologists are of opinion that it is the *Columba ferruginea* of Forster; but this can only be ascertained by comparison with the type. If we go by Gray, Forster's bird is not a *Macropygia* at all, but a *Phlegænas,* and Bonaparte places it under the genus *Columba* (op. cit. ii. p. 14, sp. 7). It would be of advantage if some of your readers could find time to look this matter up. I have given very
Letters, Announcements, &c.

careful descriptions in the 'Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales' for 1878, iii. pp. 286, 287.

Yesterday, for the first time since I first wrote about them, I had an opportunity of reexamining the specimens of Carpophaga from Cape York which I had put down as C. puella. The under surface of the tail-feathers is of a dark blackish brown, but certainly not quite black. I believe this bird to be the young of C. assimilis; but there is indeed very little difference between Cape-York specimens and those from the southeast coast of New Guinea which Count Salvadori calls C. poliura, and it is quite possible they may be identical.

Ornithologists may be pleased to hear that I have lately received two collections of birds from the Solomon Islands, and a number of specimens in spirits, so that I have had an opportunity of myself ascertaining the sexes of some species that I had very grave doubts about. I regret to say the fine Astur which I have called A. versicolor will probably prove to be the male of A. albogularis of Gray. I have a fine series of ten examples of this bird now before me. Mr. Tristram's Myiagra cervinicauda is the female of a black-throated species which, being new, must retain his name. Our collection from these islands now includes all the species hitherto recorded from this group, except Ceyx gentiana, Tristram, which your readers may be glad to learn was shot at Kakiri harbour, on the island of St. Christoval (Richards).

In all there are about 100 species known from the Solomons, including several new species which I have recently described.

Yours &c.,

E. P. RAMSAY.

Deepdale, Reigate,
26th January, 1882.

SIRS,—In the last number of 'The Ibis' (January 1882) Mr. F. B. Simson gives an account of the appearance of Hirundo tytleri, Jerdon, at Dacca, and some other interesting notes regarding the neighbouring districts, which recall a
very pleasant day after birds, passed in his society and that of the lamented Jerdon, at Cherra Poonjee.

The Swallow in question is well known to me; and I think I can answer a question put by Mr. Simson. It is recorded in my "List of Birds from the Hill-ranges of the N.E. Frontier" (Journ. Asiat. Soc. Bengal, 1874, p. 152), under the title of *H. cahirica*, Sav., with these remarks:—"My specimens from Munipur are evidently identical with Jerdon's bird observed at Dacca in June; it was the only form in Munipur in February and March, and very numerous at Imphal, the capital; it was then commencing to breed. Darjeeling specimens in the collection of Lord Walden are still more like *H. cahirica* from Egypt;" and I remember that, after comparing a number of the Indian with specimens from Egypt, we could not satisfactorily separate them.

It would appear that this species breeds in the neighbouring hilly districts, migrating soon after into the plain country. Jerdon ('Birds of India,' vol. iii. Append. p. 870) says they had evidently just finished breeding, for there were many young birds; and this was in June. I have specimens from the Munipur valley and hills near, and the Lhoto Naga hills, Assam.

In E. Blyth's 'Catalogue of Mammals and Birds of Burma,' *H. tytleri* is recorded from Thayet-myo and Tavoy; it thus has a very considerable range.

Yours &c.,

H. H. GODWIN-AUSTEN.

Natural-History Museum,
Dublin, February 9th, 1882.

Sirs,—In December last Mr. Tank, naturalist, of this city, brought me a Cape Pigeon (*Daption capensis*, Steph.), which he said had been shot near Dublin, and given to him by a friend of his.

On further inquiry I was referred to Mr. William Kelly, an assistant in the house of Messrs. Johnson, jewellers, of Suffolk Street.
Mr. Kelly tells me that he well remembers shooting the bird on the 30th of October last, a fine mild day, shortly after the three gales of the 14th, 19th, and 22nd of October. The bird was killed at a place called Crumlin, two and a half miles west of Dublin, and was flying near to some pools of water (old quarry-holes).

When first seen it was flying, and was supposed to be a Gull. It fell on the water, and was brought home and roughly skinned by Mr. Kelly himself. Some three weeks afterwards he gave the skin to Mr. Tank, from whom I received it and secured it for this Museum.

This, I believe, is the first occurrence of this South-Oceanic species on our coasts, and appears, indeed, surprising; but it is well to remember that the "Cape Pigeon" has already occurred three times in France, according to Degland et Gerbe, vol. ii. p. 372. Still I do not, for a moment, think that the present solitary occurrence at all entitles it to rank as a British, or even much strengthens its claims as a European species.

Yours &c.,
A. G. More.

Note on Trichoglossus rubrigularis.—In Dr. Ant. Reichenow's "Conspectus Psittacorum" (Journ. f. Orn. 1881, p. 396) I observe it stated, in reference to my lately described Trichoglossus rubrigularis, that "the red chin-spot, just the most important character of this species, is omitted in the diagnosis." Such is certainly the case in Dr. Reichenow's version of my diagnosis (l. s. c.). But those who take the trouble to refer to the original diagnosis (P. Z. S. 1881, p. 451) will find there the words "gulâ summâ coccineo-rubrâ" (which have been omitted in the 'Journal für Ornithologie') duly given. It seems to be rather strange conduct on the part of Dr. Reichenow thus to mutilate an author's diagnosis, and then to accuse him of having made a serious blunder!

P. L. Sclater.
News of Mr. Blanford.—Mr. W. T. Blanford writes from Jacobabad (Dec. 29, 1881) :

"I have had so much hard marching that I have not had time to collect. Of course I failed to get at the Quetta Vole. It was quite the wrong season to obtain any thing there: it was very cold; one night the thermometer went down to 20° Fahr.; and all the trees were leafless. In spring and summer Quetta must be a very pretty place—very Persian, of course, in appearance.

"I have rarely seen Choughs so common anywhere—all *Pyrrhocorax graculus*, of course; but in general birds were rather scarce, and I had not time to look for them.

"The country is very quiet. I came down with a very small escort; and, but for the look of the thing, I believe, so far as I can learn, that escorts are unnecessary, both on the Bolan and Harnai. The Marri country is so quiet that I was very nearly going into the heart of it with a small party of Marris under one of the chiefs. I have just been through part of the Bhugti hills in the same way, and am now off to traverse the remainder of them. Then I go up the western frontier of the Punjab, west of the Indus, to the neighbourhood of Buanoo; and that, I suppose, will occupy me until it begins to be warm, and it is time to go into station."

A more recent letter from Mr. Blanford (March 18th) announces his arrival at Dera Ghazi Khan, on the Punjab frontier, where he was unfortunately laid up by a bad attack of fever.—P. L. S.

Dr. Finsch's Explorations.—We have received a letter from Dr. Finsch dated Thursday Island, Torres Straits, on the 24th December last. Dr. Finsch had been for a short excursion on Cape York, and had collected examples of about seventy-five species of birds, amongst which were specimens of *Ptilorhis alberti* in different stages of plumage. At the date of his letter he was intending to leave for Port Moresby in the 'Alice Meade,' a small schooner of 14 tons, and was arranging to pass four months in New Guinea. A ninth ornithological letter (on New Zealand), which accompanies Dr. Finsch's communication, will be given in our next Number.
XXV.—On a Collection of Birds made by Mr. J. S. Jameson in South-eastern Africa, with Notes by Mr. T. Ayres. By Captain G. E. Shelley.

(Plate VII.)

[Continued from p. 265, and concluded.]

133. MOTACILLA VIDA, Sundev.
(35) Umvuli river, 10th August. Matabele name "Umvemve." Iris dusky umber; bill, tarsi, and feet black.
The only species of Wagtail we saw on the Umvuli. They were in pairs and not uncommon.

134. MOTACILLA CAPENSIS, Linn.
Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

135. ANTHUS PYRRHONOTUS, Vicill.
(58, 89) Umvuli river, 18th August and 2nd October. Iris dusky umber; bill dusky brown, with the basal half of the lower mandible yellow; tarsi and feet yellowish.
In pairs, both in August and October, but not common. They frequent the lower parts of the rocky hills, and on being
disturbed, at once fly onto the nearest tree, and when followed, continue from tree to tree. I was surprised at this habit, as also at the bird not preferring the more open grassy country; for those I saw were always in well-wooded parts.

136. ANTHUS CAFFER, Sund.
(126) Tatin river, 10th December.
Pretty generally distributed, but not common anywhere; almost always in pairs, frequenting the trees.

137. MACRONYX CAFERIS (Linn.).
(115) Matje Umschlope, 23rd November.
Common about this locality, where there is much short grass and springy ground. They appear to be always rather partial to wet ground, often being met with in boggy places. The Inshlangeen river was the furthest north that I noticed them.

138. MIRAFRA APIATA (Vieill.).
(72) Umvuli river, 2nd September. Matabele name "Quatji." Iris hazel; bill pale, with the greater portion of the upper mandible dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale. Total length in the flesh 6·4 inches.
A few in this part of the country frequenting the more open grassy patches.

139. MIRAFRA NEVIA (Strickl.).
(118) ♂, Matje Umschlope, 23rd November. Iris hazel; bill pale, with the culmen dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale. Total length in the flesh 6·25 inches.
A single bird was all we met with.

140. MIRAFRA AFRICANA, Smith.
(159) Kanye, 8th January. Iris hazel; bill dusky brown; under mandible pale; tarsi and feet pale. Total length in the flesh 6·75 inches.
This Lark is by no means plentiful. The bird we procured was breeding. The nest was placed in a small hollow behind a tuft of grass, and was roughly composed of dry half-decayed grass, loosely put together. The eggs, three in number, are
white, almost entirely covered with umber-brown freckles or spots of various shades and milky-white blotches, the obtuse end being the most marked; they measure 0·9 inch by 0·6.

141. **Pyrrhulauda leucotis** (Stanley).

(139) ♀, Mangwato, 26th December. Iris hazel; bill ashy white; tarsi and feet light ash-colour.

This is the commonest of the Larks about here, where it may be seen in considerable numbers in small flocks, scattered all over the plains, and more especially about the caffre-corn fields. It appears to be a very local species.

142. **Plocepasser mahali**, Smith.

(144) Mangwato, 27th December. Iris bright reddish brown; bill, tarsi, and feet very pale brown.

This is a very common species in many parts of the Bamangwato country, and thence southward. There are many nests on the trees in a kloof near Mangwato; and on a still day their loud but not unpleasant notes resound amongst the rocks: occasionally two cock birds will fight with such eager ferocity that, clutching one another, they fall struggling to the ground; and even when thus picked up they will still continue to peck at each other. The nest is retort-shaped, with two necks, very rough outwardly, and composed of wiry grass stalks, inwardly lined with feathers. The eggs rest on a sort of platform between the two necks of the nest, and are three in number, of a pinkish white, much marked with indistinct stripes of pinkish brown, more especially at the obtuse end. The eggs measure 1 inch by 0·6.

143. **Sporopipes squamifrons** (Smith).

(132) ♂, Palatswie Pan, 18th December.

We found the birds breeding. The nest appears nearly white, is roughly shaped like a retort, and placed in a low thorny mimosa; it is composed of thin wiry ends of grass and fine fibrous stalks, and warmly lined with feathers. The eggs, five in number, are greenish grey, nearly covered with umber-brown markings of various shades, and measure 0·6 inch by 0·4.
144. **Textor erythrorhynchus**, Smith.
(154) ♂, Kooroomoorooi Pan, 2nd January. Iris dark umber; bill, tarsi, and feet bright blood-red. Total length in the flesh 10.5 inches.

These birds are exceedingly local; for I have only met with them at the present spot and on the Crocodile river, close to its junction with the Marico. The nest is placed high up in a large tree, and is composed of long twigs and coarse grass, and measures about 3 feet in diameter. It is so roughly put together that one can see through it, excepting close to the centre. The birds roost in these nests, which are probably used year after year. They feed upon seeds, berries, and insects.

145. **Hyphantornis nigriceps**, Layard.
(77) Umvuli river, 25th September and 6th October. Male—iris crimson; bill black; tarsi and feet pale brown. Female—iris hazel; upper mandible light dusky brown, under mandible delicate pale yellowish; tarsi and feet pale brown.

On the 1st of October we found a nest suspended over the water of one of the small rivers which run into the Umvuli on the north side; it contained two very pretty blue eggs. Subsequently a whole colony hung their nests over a pool of water close to our camp; but we left before the birds began to lay: this was in the middle of October. We later on found many nests hanging from the reeds on a small stream running into the Quae Quae river. These nests much resemble those of *H. mariquensis*, but are hung from the ends of the reeds, instead of being placed between two upright reeds, as is generally the case with *H. mariquensis*. The habits of the two species are precisely similar; and the eggs likewise vary much in colouring, some being blue while others are white speckled with brown.

146. **Hyphantornis xanthops**, Hartl.
(76) ♂, Umvuli river, 11th September. Iris light tawny yellow; bill black; tarsi and feet pale brown. Total length in the flesh 8 inches.
Not very common about the Umvuli. We found them feeding amongst the blossoms of the "Sausage tree."

[New to the eastern division of South Africa.—G. E. S.]

147. Hyphantornis mariquensis (Smith).

(109) Inshlangeen river, 4th November; Bootlanami Pan, 30th December; and Makara river, 22nd January.

Not uncommon about the Inshlangeen. Mr. Jameson found them breeding at Palatswie Pan in December; and subsequently I found nests with eggs on the banks of the Makara, a tributary of the Moloppo river, where their neatly constructed nests were hanging on the bushes fringing the stream.

148. Sharpia ayresi, sp. n. (Plate VII. fig. 2.)

(125) ♂, Tatin river, 10th December. Iris dark brown; bill bright dark gamboge-yellow; tarsi and feet light ash-colour. Total length in the flesh 6 inches.

This is by no means a common bird. We found it breeding at the Tatin; it makes a rough retort-shaped nest, which it hangs, mouth downwards, from the outer twigs of rather tall trees. Sometimes a new nest is hung on the tube of the last year's structure.

Mr. Jameson found a nest to the north of the Umvuli in October, with two blue eggs in it; and at the Tatin we pulled down one of the double nests, and Mr. Jameson, on trying to put his hand up the tube, very nearly got bitten by a snake, which was lying in the nest and had swallowed the old bird as well as her blue eggs. It is evident therefore that nests of this shape do not always keep out snakes.

[Adult male. Head, neck, and front of the chest yellow, or rather strongly washed with yellow, the ground-colour of the crown and back of the neck being ashy, and of the throat, ear-coverts, and chest white; the forehead is margined with blackish brown, gradually shading into yellow, this dark stripe extending backwards to above the centre of the eye; back uniform ashy brown, with the mantle slightly washed with yellow; wings and tail brown, the wing-coverts and inner secondaries edged with buffish yellow, the remainder of the
quills and tail-feathers edged with bright yellow; underparts white, shading into yellow on the throat and front of the chest; under surface of the wings brown, with the inner margins of the quills and the coverts white, the latter slightly mottled with ashy brown and yellow. Total length in the skin 5·2 inches, culmen 0·65, wing 3·1, tail 2, tarsus 0·75.

This species appears to be most nearly allied to *Sharpia angolensis*, Bocage (Jorn. Lisb. vi. p. 258), which was described from a female, and which I only know of from the description. The black border to the forehead, and the absence of any yellow on the rump, upper tail-coverts, and abdomen, in the present species, appear to me more likely to be specific than sexual differences; so I have much pleasure in naming it, at Mr. Jameson's request, *S. ayresi*, after one of its discoverers.—G. E. S.]


(71) ♂ Umvuli river, 1st September. Iris umber; bill pale, with the culmen light brown; tarsi and feet light dusky brown. Total length in the flesh 5·75 inches.

I do not remember ever having met with these Finches before. Here we found them in small flocks of one or two males to some half a dozen or ten females. They feed on grass-seeds on the ground.

150. *Vidua paradisea* (Linn.).

(157) ♂, Kanye, 7th January. Iris dark umber; bill black; tarsi and feet dark brown.

This Finch is not uncommon south of Mangwato, usually in pairs, and is also found, though more sparingly, in the Rustenburg and Origstadt districts of the Transvaal. During the breeding-season, when the wonderful tail of the cock bird is fully developed, he will sometimes rise until nearly out of sight, when he suddenly descends with much velocity, and, if approached, makes off with ease and swiftness.

151. *Vidua regia* (Linn.).

(147) ♂, Selenia Pan, 28th December; and ♂, Kooroomoorooi Pan, 2nd January. Iris dusky; bill, tarsi, and feet brilliant red.
We saw several of these Finches chasing each other about near Selenia Pan; and they were also fairly abundant near Kanye. They affect well-wooded country, with open patches of grass-land.

152. Urechinthus granatinus (Linn.).

(11) Limpopo, near junction of Notuane river, Transvaal, 22nd May, and Selenia Pan, 29th December. Iris and eyelids bright brick-red; bill bright rose-red, with a beautiful pearly lustre; tarsi and feet dusky black.

Not uncommon along the Limpopo, frequenting low mimoza shrubs, generally found in pairs or small family parties, but, I think, not ranging northward of the Tatin river.

153. Urechinthus phoenicotis (Swains.).

(24) Umvuli river, 1st September, and Quae Quae river, 23rd October. Iris reddish orange; bill purplish pink, with the culmen, commissure, and tip darker; tarsi and feet pale.

One of the commonest of the small Finches, and very widely distributed.

154. Estrelda erythronota (Vieill.).

(8) Crocodile river, near Oliedrift, Transvaal, 16th May. Iris crimson; bill light ash, with the culmen and tip black; tarsi and feet black.

This is not at all a plentiful species along the river-bank, where we found them in pairs, feeding on the grass-seeds.

155. Estrelda austral (Linn.).

Matabele, December. Seen, but not procured.

156. Estrelda polyzona (Temm.).

Matabele, December. Seen, but not procured.

157. Lagonosticta Jamesoni, sp. n.

(54, 124) ♀, Umvuli river, 17th August; and ♂, Tatin river, 9th December. Matabele name "Tjuitjuitjui;" this is rather applied as a generic name to many of these Finches. Male—iris dusky brown; bill bright bluish ash, with the culmen and tip somewhat dusky; tarsi and feet pinkish ash. Female—iris dark brown; bill bluish ash, with the culmen and tip dusky; tarsi and feet dark ash.
We met with but very few of these Finches; near the Umvuli we found them amongst the rough cover on the banks of the river, feeding on grass-seeds; and at the Tatin they were always in pairs.

[Very closely allied to *L. rubricata*; the red portions of the plumage paler and of a pinker hue; the white spots on the sides of the chest scarcely visible; sides of the head rosy pink, like the chest; upper parts tinted with that colour, most strongly so on the sides of the crown and back of the neck.—G. E. S.]

158. *Lagonosticta minima* (Vieill.).

(119)♀; Tatin river, 7th and 9th December. Bill violet-pink, with the culmen nearly black; tarsi and feet dusky brown. Male—iris red; bill rosy lilac, with the culmen and gonys nearly black.

We met with a small party of this species feeding amongst the short grass near the edge of some scrub, into which they immediately flew on being disturbed.

159. *Pytelia melba* (Linn.).

(12) Limpopo river, near the junction of the Notuani river, Transvaal, 22nd May, and Tatin river, 7th December. Iris light hazel; bill light brick-red, with the culmen dusky; tarsi and feet light ashy brown. Matabele name "Kovane."

They frequent the low mimosa bushes, mostly in pairs, and although not uncommon on the Crocodile river and in the Rustenburg district, we did not meet with them to the north of the Tatin river.

160. *Quelea quelea* (Linn.).

(155) Kooroomoorooi Pan, 2nd January.

A small flight of these Finches visited the Pan at midday.

[This bird is better known under the incorrect title of *Quelea sanguinirostris* (Linn.). Linnaeus cites (as a synonym of his *Loxia sanguinirostris*) Edw. Av. ii. p. 128, t. 271. f. 2, but adds, "sed subtus maculata non mea," which shows that his *Loxia sanguinirostris* refers to the North-east African form, more generally known under Sundevall's name of *æthiopica*.]
Loxia lathami, Smith, Rep. Exp. Expl. Centr. Afr. p. 51 (1836), is described from a young bird, but, I have no doubt, refers to this species.

It is extremely inconvenient to have to alter names thus—Quelea lathami (Smith, 1836) in place of Q. sanguinirostris, auct. nec Linn., or Quelea quelea (Linn. 1766) for the South-African bird, and Quelea sanguinirostris (Linn. 1766, nec auct.) in place of Quelea æthiopica (Sundevall, 1850), for the North-east African bird.

I cannot help fancying that the day is not far off when the scientific ear will accustom itself to the repetition of the same name, as Quelea quelea, 1766, in preference to Quelea lathami, 1836. Justice, the very spirit of our rules for nomenclature, appears to me to cry out against the inconsistency of rejecting, on account of sound, the older specific name to give place to the upstart generic title based upon it.—G. E. S.]

161. Passer arcuatus, Gmel.

(46) ♂, Spalding’s, Hart river, 1st February. Iris dark brown; bill black; tarsi and feet dusky brown.

Very plentiful in this locality, where many of the low thorny bushes hold one or two of their nests, which are made of sticks roughly put together and thickly lined with wool, which is the easiest soft material for them to procure.

162. Petronia flavigula (Sundev.).

(27) Umvuli, 2nd August and 4th September.

These Sparrows are not uncommon about the Umvuli, frequenting the high trees, and feeding much as the Tomtits do, hanging about the outer twigs and eating the young buds &c.; as, however, food of all kinds is scarce for birds, this may not be a usual habit. They are now mostly in pairs, and their loud Sparrow-like note, often repeated in the early morning, attracts one’s attention to them.

[I have rejected the title petronella, Licht., for this bird, as Pyrgita petronella, Licht. Mus. Berol., appears to have remained a MS. name until Bonaparte (Consp. Gen. Av. p. 513) published the description.—G. E. S.]
163. **Poliospiza gularis** (Smith).

(117) Matje Umschlope, 23rd November. Iris hazel; bill light dusky brown, with the under mandible pale towards the tip; tarsi and feet dusky brown. Total length in the flesh 5·5 inches.

164. **Crithagra butyracea** (Linn.).

(175) $\delta$, Spalding's, Hart river, 28th January. In both sexes—iris dark brown; bill light brown, with the under mandible pale; tarsi and feet dusky ashy brown.

It is common here, frequenting the low mimosa bushes.

165. **Cithagra chrysopyga** (Swains.).

(87) $\delta$, Umvuli river, 1st October. Iris light brown; bill pale, with the culmen dusky; tarsi and feet ashy.

Occasionally met with in small flocks, which frequent the upper branches of the trees.

166. **Fringillaria flaviventris** (Vieill.).

(82) $\delta$, Ganyani river, 17th September; $\delta$, 15th November, Matje Umschlope. Matabele name "N'kilo."

By no means uncommon in the Mashoona country.

167. **Fringillaria tahapisi** (Smith).

(160) $\delta$, Kanye, 8th January. Iris dark umber; bill, upper mandible horny black, lower one gamboge-yellow; tarsi and feet dingy brownish yellow.

Found sparsely amongst the rocks.

168. **Treron delalandii** (Bp.).

(45) $\delta$, 14th August, $\varphi$, 4th September, Umvuli river. Iris of a beautiful pale blue; bill very light bluish ash at the tip, with the basal portion bright orange. The female is less bright and rather smaller than the male.

It is plentiful at the Umvuli at this season, feeding on the wild fruit which the Boers call the "moople;" the tree is a handsome, dense, dark-foliaged one, and grows here and there all along the banks of the river. There is also a wild fig-tree in full bearing, with an insipid fruit of the size of a walnut, which the birds are very fond of. After leaving Rustenburg we did not meet with this bird until we arrived at the Umvuli.
169. *Columba phœonota* (Gray).

(172) ♀, Dry Hart river, 25th January.

These birds were passing over in flocks from east to west this evening. The crop of our specimen was crammed with the triangular sharp-pointed thorny seed of a plant which grows along the ground in great abundance all over the country near water. These seeds are very troublesome to those who try to walk barefoot; and dogs are continually temporarily crippled by them; but the natives, who are accustomed to go barefoot, do not appear to feel them.

170. *Turtur semitorquatus* (Rüpp.).

(95) ♀, Umvuli river, 8th October. Iris bright orange-red; bill black; tarsi and feet dark rose-red. The crop contained wild figs.

This was the only specimen we saw.

171. *Turtur capicola* (Sundev.).

172. *Turtur senegalensis* (Linn.).

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

173. *Œna capensis* (Linn.).

(141) ♂, Mangwato, 26th December; ♀, Spalding’s Hart river, 29th January. Male—iris dark umber; bill with the basal half dark lake-red, the end half a fine dark gamboge; tarsi and feet dark rose-red.

Tolerably plentiful along our route as far as the Inshlangeen river, in Matabele; but we did not notice it to the north of that locality. Southward, again, on the Hart river in Griqualand it was very abundant.


(7) Klaas’s Kraal, near Pilansberg, Transvaal, 13th May. Iris umber-brown; bill whitish ash; feet dingy ash.

We found large packs of this Grouse feeding on the caffre-corn, which, at this season, was hanging dead ripe on the stems. The birds were exceedingly tame, allowing one to walk up to within a few yards of them. Their note, being loud, harsh, and guttural, can be heard at a considerable dis-
tance, and, being only uttered during flight, betrays their approach to the sportsman. They are very plentiful from Crocodile river in the Transvaal to about Tati river, the southern boundary of Matabele land; but we did not meet with them to the north of that river. They are excellent eating, split open and grilled, with a little butter.

175. Pterocles variegatus, Burch.

(17) Limonie Pan, Bamangwato country, 2nd June. Iris very dark umber; eyelids light gamboge; bill black; tarsi and feet dusky yellow.

At about 11 o’clock hundreds of these birds came to the Limonie Pan, in small flocks of from about half a dozen to thirty. They did not remain more than a few minutes, but hastened back to their feeding-ground; and by midday all had quenched their thirst, and not one remained at the Pan. Along the Crocodile river we also found these birds, mostly in pairs, which showed that their breeding-season had then commenced. The crops of those we shot were crammed with seeds.


Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

177. Francolinus swainsoni (Smith).

(23) Inshlangeen river, Matabele, 4th June. Iris brown; bill black, with the lower mandible and bare skin over the nostrils, round the eyes, chin, and throat bright dark rose-red; tarsi and feet black, with a ruddy tinge. On the 4th of June I found a nest with six eggs, slightly incubated. The nest was in rough high grass near the river, in a slight cavity, and was constructed of soft dry drass and a few breast-feathers of the old birds. The eggs are of a pinkish-cream colour, finely speckled all over with chalky white, and are roundish in shape, $1\frac{2}{6}$ inch by $1\frac{7}{6}$.

We found this species also at the Quae Quae river and in many other spots along our route.

178. Francolinus pileatus, Smith.

(22) Gokwe river, Bamangwato, 8th June.

Iris brown; bill ashy black; tarsi and feet ruddy.
Not uncommon in many localities in the bush-country, mostly frequenting the banks of the rivers and streams. Insects, fruit, berries, &c. were found in their crops.

179. **Francolinus gariepensis**, Smith.

(39) Umvuli river, 11th August, and in September. Iris hazel; bill dusky brown, with the basal half of the under mandible and portion of base of the upper one dingy yellow; tarsi and feet dingy pale yellow.

This is the commonest of the Francolins on the Umvuli, where it frequents the grassy and rocky slopes of the adjacent ranges. On the 7th of September a nest was found with three eggs: it was placed in a slight excavation in the ground amongst high dry grass, and was lined with soft half-decayed grass-bents, mixed with a few feathers. The eggs were slightly incubated.

180. **Francolinus subtorquatus**, Smith.

(116) Matje Umschlope, 23rd November.

Now in pairs: they may often be heard calling in the early morning. As soon as the sun has warmed the earth they love to scratch in dusty places and bask on the sheltered side of some bush, into which they immediately run when disturbed, and lie close.

181. **Coturnix delegorguei**, Deleg.

(170) Makara river, 22nd January.

Plentiful about here. They are now breeding.

182. **Turnix lepurana** (Smith).

(134) ♀, Palatswie Pan, 18th December. Iris very pale yellow; bill bluish horn-colour, with the tip black; tarsi and feet pale. Total length in the flesh 6 inches.

183. **Eupodotis kori** (Burch.).

Mashoona, June. Seen, but not procured.

184. **Eupodotis ruficrista** (Smith).

(21) Crocodile river, Transvaal, 15th May. Iris dusky tawny brown; bill yellowish ash, the culmen dusky; tarsi and feet nearly white.

Not uncommon from Rustenburg to the Umvuli river.
On the 14th of November a nest of these birds was found at Matje Umshlope, in the Matabele. The eggs, two in number, were laid on the ground, and partially hidden by a tuft of grass, and were very much incubated. They were much pointed, resembling in shape Plover's eggs. In colouring they were greyish creamy white, much spotted and blotched with dark umber; one measured 2.25 inches by 1.5, the other 2 by 1.5.

185. *Eupodotis melanogaster* (Rüpp.).

(85) ♂, Umvuli river, 29th September. Iris light brown, gradually darkening round the inner edge; bill pale yellowish, with the culmen dark brown; legs and feet yellowish brown. Total length in the flesh 25.5 inches.

This very scarce and solitary bird is only occasionally met with in the Mashoona country; and we did not see it in Matabele proper. I have shot them many years ago on the coast of Natal, but have not seen them since. In fact I saw in this part of Mashoona land many of the Natal coast-birds.

186. *Eupodotis africana* (Gmel.).

(151) ♂ ♀, Bomningani Pan, 1st January. Male—iris dusky tawny brown; bill horny ash at the tip, yellowish at base; tarsi and feet yellow. Female—iris light hazel; bill brownish horn-colour; tarsi and feet as in the male.

We did not meet with any of these birds to the north of Mangwato; but southward they gradually become more and more numerous, and on the Moloppo and Hart rivers they are very plentiful.


(102) ♂ ♀, Quae Quae river, 25th October. Iris tawny yellow; bill black, with a patch round the nostrils and the base of the lower mandible yellow; tarsi and feet very pale ashy green. The crop contained beetles.

We saw them on several occasions in the sandy bed of some parts of the Umvuli. The female is rather smaller than the male, but similar in plumage. They appear to be partial to the dry sandy beds of rivers, but I have never met with
them out of Mashoona land, and did not see any after leaving the Quae Quae.

188. *Edicnemus capensis*, Licht.

(112) ♂, Matje Umschlope, 14th November; and ♀, Spaldings, Hart river, 4th February.

This species is to be found throughout all the parts of South Africa I have visited.

189. *Rhinoptilus bicinctus* (Temm.).

(167) Siklogolo river, 17th January, and Spalding’s, Hart river, 27th January and 2nd February. The crop contained white ants.

They are rather local birds. I have shot them about Potchefstroom, in the Transvaal.

190. *Chettusia coronata* (Gm.).

(180) Spalding’s, Hart river, 4th February.
Plentiful about here in flocks of some twenty individuals.

191. *Hoplopterus speciosus* (Licht.).

(169) ♀, Great Chine Pan, 19th January.
It is not nearly so plentiful here as in some parts of the Transvaal, especially along the Mooi river.

192. *Lobivanellus lateralis* (Smith).

193. *Balearica regulorum* (Licht.).

194. *Grus carunculatus* (Gm.).

195. *Grus paradisea* (Licht.).

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.


(64) Spalding’s, Hart river, 1st February. Matabele name “Intarga.” Iris pale yellow; bare skin round the eye and base of the bill pale greenish yellow; bill bright gamboge-yellow; legs and feet black.

A pair of these Egrets were met with on the swampy banks of the river, which was in flood, flying lazily up and down the stream to their favourite feeding-haunts.

(103) ♂, Spalding's, Hart river, 7th February.

This was a solitary bird feeding amongst the high rushy grasses of a swamp. We now and again saw a flock feeding, as they often do, amongst the herds of cattle. At the Tati river in December we saw a small flock. About Potchefstroom, in the Transvaal, it is common.

199. **Ardetta atricapilla** (Afzel.).

(29) Umvuli river, 10th September, and Quae Quae river, 25th October. Adult female—iris yellow; darker towards the outer edge; bill, upper mandible horny black, under mandible greenish yellow; bare skin before and round the eye yellow; tarsi and feet yellow, with the upper surface light yellowish brown. Total length in the flesh 18 inches.

On the Umvuli we found the species solitary and rare, but met with a family party on the Quae Quae amongst dense reeds and low trees in the bed of a small stream.

200. **Ardetta sturmi** (Wagl.).

(153) ♂, Kooroomoorooi Pan, 2nd January. Iris brownish lake; bill black, with the bare skin at the base light green; the bare skin round the eye dusky blue; tarsi and feet chrome-yellow, suffused with dusky brown. Total length in the flesh 16 inches. The crop contained water-snails and frogs.

We saw three on the pan, which, on being disturbed, lighted on some of the low overhanging trees before taking their final departure.

201. **Nycticorax griseus** (Linn.).

(107) Inshlangeen river, 4th November.

We here shot an adult female and a young male, the only birds of the kind we saw during our journey. In the Transvaal they appear to be commoner than to the northward.

202. **Scopus umbretta**, Gm.

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

203. **Ciconia abdimii**, Licht.

(171) ♂, Makara river, 22nd January; and ♂, Spalding's
Birds from South-eastern Africa.

Hart river, 2nd February. Iris very light tawny brown; bill pale green, with the tip and just round the base crimson; chin and bare skin on the sides of the eyes and front crimson; bare skin on the sides of the head cobalt blue; shanks and tarsi dark reddish brown; knees and feet bright crimson.

From here all the way down the Hart river to Spalding's we found these birds by myriads in large flocks feeding on beetles, grasshoppers, and caterpillars. Although they were excessively fat, their flesh was not good eating. We saw this Stork also in some numbers in Matabele land in December. They frequent open grassy country in the neighbourhood of rivers and swamps. On hot days they often soar to an immense height, appearing as mere specks in the clear blue sky as they wheel slowly round and round.

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

205. *Leptoptilus crumeniferus* (Cuv.).
(96) Umvuli river, 9th October.
Shot by Mr. Jameson while feeding on a dead rhinoceros in company with a flock of Vultures. I am told that this bird is able to cut a hole in a dead rhinoceros when the Vultures cannot do so. The Boers call it the King of the Vultures, and say that when it chooses it will keep the Vultures from carrion until it has satisfied itself; but this does not agree with the experience of Mr. Selous and other hunters. Although I have always met with it singly, Mr. Selous informs me that along the Chobe river and the Zambezi he has seen great numbers together; and my brother Walter, when living in Pretoria, saw a large flock of them one day assemble just outside the town to feed upon some mules that had died of horse-sickness. This is, I believe, the Marabout Stork, the beautiful white under tail-coverts of which ladies, in the olden times, wore in their hats and hair.

206. *Totanus canescens* (Gm.).
*Scolopax totanus*, Linn. S. N. i. p. 145.
Mashoona, September, October, and December. Seen, but not procured.
[In the naming of this species, as well as in that of two of the Rails, I have not adopted the oldest and, in my opinion, proper titles given to them by Linnaeus, in order to agree with the more usual nomenclature adopted by Mr. Dresser in his work on the Birds of Europe.—G. E. S.]

207. Totanus glareola (Linn.).
(174) Spalding's, Hart river, 27th January and 9th February.
The river, which is now in flood, has near its banks many soft muddy spots, where these Sandpipers abound, feeding in small flocks; and a solitary bird may here and there be flushed from the grass at the edge of the water.

208. Gallinago nigripennis, Bp.
Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.

209. Rhynchæa capensis (Linn.).
(108) Inshlangeeu river, 4th November, and Selenia Pan, 29th December.
We only met with these birds on two occasions. At Inshlangee we found several amongst the grassy shallows of the river.

210. Crex pratensis, Bechst.
Rallus crex, Linn. S. N. i. p. 261.
(135) Palatswie Pan, 18th December.
We only met with a single specimen.

211. Porzana maruetta (Leach).
Rallus porzana, Linn. S. N. i. p. 262.
(147) ?, Selenia Pan, 29th December. Iris light brown; bill light olive-green, changing into yellow at the base of the lower mandible, and into red on the upper one.
This is a rare bird in all the parts of South Africa I have visited.

212. Gallinula angulata, Sundev.
(148) ? immature, Selenia Pan, 29th December, and ? adult, Spalding's, Hart river, 3rd February. Immature female—iris light greyish brown; bill pale greenish yellow,
with the culmen dusky brown; tarsi and feet pale greenish. Adult female—iris bright reddish brown; bill pale yellow, with the culmen and shield bright scarlet; tarsi and feet pale flesh-colour, with the upper surface suffused with pale yellowish green.

This bird is scarce, but widely distributed over South Africa. The pans, which are the resort of so many Waders during this portion of the year, are entirely dried up during the winter rainless months, and the surrounding country so parched that travelling becomes difficult. I took an apparently perfect egg out of the adult female; it was of a greenish-white colour, with a few very minute brown specks about the obtuse end, in shape very similar to that of a common hen's egg, and measured 1·4 inches by 1.

213. Parra africana, Gm.

(152) ♂, Kooroomoorooi Pan, 2nd January. Iris dark hazel; bill and frontal shield pale ashy blue; tarsi, feet, and claws pale ash-colour.

Although there were several on this pan, we only succeeded in shooting one, owing to their cleverness in hiding amongst the water-grasses thinly growing in the shallow water.


(4) Elands river, Rustenburg district, Transvaal, 11th May. Irides light tawny brown; bill dull red, with the culmen dusky brown; tarsi and feet brilliant light vermilion. The crop contained insects. This is a very scarce bird, and exceedingly shy and retiring in its habits. We never met with them in any of the Matabele or Mashoona rivers.

215. Sarkidiornis melanotus (Penn.).

(164) ♂, Molopo river, 12th January.

Rather sparingly distributed over this part of the country. In February I saw several flying over the Hart river. They are also to be found occasionally in the Transvaal.

216. Chenalopec egypti (Linn.).

Mashoona, September and October. Seen, but not procured.
217. **Anas xanthorhynchus**, Forst.
Mashoona, December. Seen, but not procured.

218. **Plotus levaillanti**, Licht.
(100) ♂, Quae Quae river, 23rd October. Iris dingy orange; bill pale greenish ash, darker along the culmen; tarsi and feet dusky brown.

The Darter is found sparsely on most of the rivers of Mashoona land, but is more abundant in the Transvaal and Natal. Beware how you handle a wounded bird: this one made a sudden dart at my eye; and it was only by the merest instinct of self-preservation that I put my hand up in time to receive the thrust. The upper mandible pierced with great force to the bone of my thumb, and, the bill being serrated, stuck there amongst the muscles, giving considerable pain; and I had to pull hard to get it out.

219. **Struthio camelus**, Linn.
(73) Young ♂, Umvuli, 4th September. Iris light dusky brown; bill pale horn-color, rather dusky along the culmen; legs and feet yellowish ash.

The Ostrich is sparingly distributed throughout the Mashoona country, frequenting generally the more open shallow valleys. Only about a dozen birds were killed by all the hunters of our party, numbering about twenty. Two or three clutches of young birds were caught this month. In the Bamangwato country they are much more plentiful.

---

**XXVI. — Further Contributions to the Ornithology of Japan.**

**By Henry Seebohm.**

Through the kindness of Capt. Blakiston, who has forwarded me another box of skins from Hakodate, I am able to add a few species to the birds of Japan, and to clear up one or two doubtful points in the previous papers on this subject in 'The Ibis' (1878, pp. 209–250, and 1879, pp. 18–43).

**Simorhynchus cristatellus** (Ibis, 1879, p. 21).

The skin sent (No. 2605) is from the Kurile Islands.
Ornithology of Japan.

Uria bruennichi (Ibis, 1878, p. 211).
The skin sent is correctly identified. There cannot be any reasonable doubt that the Cepphus arra of Pallas is this species. The description is very good; and I cannot understand why Dresser (B. of Eur. viii. p. 578) refuses to admit it.

Podiceps minutus (Ibis, 1878, p. 211).
I cannot detect any difference between an example from Japan (No. 1966) and our bird, so that the name P. philippensis will probably have to sink into a synonym of P. minutus.

Podiceps nigricollis (Ibis, 1878, p. 211).
A skin sent (No. 1724) represents Messrs. Blakiston and Pryer's No. 13, P. auritus, Lath. This species is the Colymbus auritus of Brisson, but not of Linnaeus.

Podiceps cornutus (Ibis, 1878, p. 211, et 1879, p. 21).
A skin sent (No. 2595) is correctly identified. This is the Colymbus auritus of Linnaeus; but as this name has been so often misapplied, it is better to ignore it in favour of Gmelin's name.

Podiceps cristatus (Ibis, 1878, p. 211).
A skin sent (No. 1431) is correctly identified.

Anser brachyrhynchus (Ibis, 1878, p. 212).
The sole evidence upon which the Pink-footed Goose has been admitted into the Japanese fauna is Swinhoe's statement in 'The Ibis' (1875, p. 456) that a specimen was sent him from Hakodadi. This, Mr. Blakiston says, is an error; and no skin answering the description is in the Swinhoe collection. A skin sent (No. 2084) proves to be that of a male of the year of Anser erythropus. Anser brachyrhynchus has never been recorded from Siberia or China, and it must be now erased from the Japanese list.

Berenicla hutchinsi (Ibis, 1878, p. 212).
A skin sent (No. 2621) proves to be of this species, and not of B. leucoparia. The former has sixteen tail-feathers, with the wing 16 inches long, whilst the latter is said to have eighteen
tail-feathers, with the wing 18 inches long. Both species are very nearly allied to *B. canadensis*.

**Coturnix communis** (Ibis, 1879, p. 28).  
I am unable to distinguish the Japanese birds from our Common Quail. Mr. Blakiston has sent me a skin (No. 1618) which he calls *C. japonica*. This belongs to the dark-throated form, which I take to be the adult male of *C. communis*, with very few spots on the breast. Another skin (No. 2536), which he thinks distinct, has a pale throat, and is profusely spotted on the breast. This I take to be the adult female. Other skins in my collection have the pale throat of the female and the slightly spotted breast of the male. These I take to be males of the year.

**Spizaetus nipalensis** (Ibis, 1878, p. 201, et 1879, p. 41).  
The identity of *S. orientalis* with *S. nipalensis* may now be considered satisfactorily proved, the former being the immature bird and the latter the adult. A bird in the plumage of *S. orientalis* was sent alive from Japan to our Zoological Gardens, where it has moulted into the adult plumage of the Indian bird.

**Platalea leucorodia** (Ibis, 1878, p. 223).  
There can be little doubt that the two new species of Spoonbill from Japan described by Temminck and Schlegel, each from a single example, are referable to our Common Spoonbill, which was found by Pallas near the Selenga river, south of Lake Baical, by both Radde and Prjevalsky in the valley of the Ussuri, a southern tributary of the Amoor, and by Swinhoe on Formosa and in the neighbourhood of Canton. Immature birds of the Common Spoonbill have the beak pale and the upper mandible smooth, and the tips of the primaries dark brown. In this plumage they agree very closely with Temminck and Schlegel's original descriptions. By some oversight, Dresser, in his 'Birds of Europe,' has omitted any mention of this important stage of plumage, although it is both described and figured by Naumann.
Pyrrhula rosacea, sp. nov.

Among some skins sent by Pryer from Yokohama are three male Bullfinches, which differ from *P. orientalis* in having the slate-grey of the upper parts slightly suffused with vermilion and the slate-grey of the underparts very much so. Judging from the underparts alone, these birds might pass for intermediate forms between *P. orientalis* and *P. major*; but the fact that neither of these species has any trace of red on the back precludes the possibility of this being the case. Besides the three males of this form from Japan, I have two adult males, one male of the year, and one female from the island of Askold, and a male and female from the Kurile Islands. The females do not apparently differ from those of *P. orientalis*. I propose to call this species *P. rosacea*.

XXVII.—Notes on the Birds of Archangel.

By Henry Seebohm.

(Plate XI.)

The following notes on the birds of Archangel and the surrounding district were furnished to me by Mr. Henke*, who resided in that city for several years, and thus has had a better opportunity of making a complete list than any ornithologists who have previously written on the subject.

*Haliaetus albicilla.*

The White-tailed Eagle is not very common, and was not found breeding near Archangel by Henke. It is a resident.

*Aquila chrysaetos.*

The Golden Eagle is very common in March and April on the road to Pinega, and is said to breed in the forests south of Archangel.

*Aquila lagopus.*

The Rough-legged Buzzard passes on migration.

* Vide suprà, p. 204.*
Buteo vulgaris.
The Common Buzzard is a common resident in the forests near Archangel.

Pernis apivorus.
The Honey-Buzzard is a tolerably common visitor to the forests.

Pandion haliaetus.
The Osprey breeds near Archangel, but is not very common.

Falco peregrinus.
The Peregrine Falcon is a common summer visitor, breeding on the ground.

Falco sacer.
The Saker Falcon has been once obtained near Archangel in winter, and is said to breed on the Kanin peninsula.

Falco subbuteo.
The Hobby is a very common summer visitor.

Falco Æsalon.
The Merlin passes through on migration.

Falco vespertinus.
The Red-footed Falcon breeds in a colony on an island near Cholmogory, on lofty oaks.

Falco tinnunculus.
The Kestrel is only an accidental visitor.

Circus cyaneus.
The Hen-Harrier is rare. Henke never saw but one adult male; occasionally immature males were seen.

Astur palumbarius.
The Goshawk is common during the breeding-season, and is occasionally seen in winter.

Accipiter nisus.
The Sparrow-Hawk is common during the breeding-season, and is occasionally seen in winter.

Milvus niger.
The Black Kite is an accidental visitor.
Asio brachyotus.
The Short-eared Owl is very common; a few remain through the winter.

Asio otus.
The Long-eared Owl is only a rare visitor.

Asio lapponicus.
The Lapp Owl is not rare, especially in autumn.

Asio tengmalmi.
Tengmalm's Owl is very common.

Asio uralensis.
The Ural Owl is a tolerably common resident.

Scops passerina.
The Little Owl is not rare.

Bubo ulula.
The Hawk-Owl is very common.

Bubo nyctea.
The Snowy Owl appears in some winters in great numbers near Archangel.

Bubo maximus.
The Great Horned Owl is very common, and is frequently caught in winter in the traps set for hares.

Cuculus canorus.
The Cuckoo is a very common summer visitor. Henke found its eggs in the nests of the Fieldfare, Brambling, and Yellow-breasted Bunting.

Iynx torquilla.
The Wryneck is a local summer visitor.

Gecinus canus.
The Grey-headed Green Woodpecker is a somewhat rare resident.

Picus martius.
The Black Woodpecker is a very common resident.
Mr. H. Seebohm on the

**Picus cissa.**
The Siberian form of the Greater Spotted Woodpecker is a very common resident.

**Picus pipra.**
The Siberian form of the Lesser Spotted Woodpecker is a very common resident.

**Picus leuconotus.**
The White-backed Woodpecker, which is the Siberian form of Lilford's Woodpecker, is a rather rare resident.

**Picus tridactylus.**
The Three-toed Woodpecker is a common resident in the pine-forests. The Archangel form of this species resembles that from Scandinavia and the valley of the Petchora, and is intermediate between the Siberian form and that of the Alps.

**Cypselus apus.**
The Swift was once seen by Henke.

**Hirundo urbica.**
The House-Martin is a rare summer visitor.

**Hirundo rustica.**
The Barn-Swallow is a common summer visitor.

**Hirundo riparia.**
The Sand-Martin is a very common summer visitor.

**Lanius excubitor?**
The Great Grey Shrike is recorded by Henke as an occasional visitor to Archangel in autumn. It will probably prove to be the bird known as Pallas's Great Grey Shrike, *L. major*.

**Lanius mollis.** (Plate XI.)


Eversmann's Shrike is represented in Henke's collection by a fine example from Archangel obtained in autumn. It is an excellent species, originally described by Eversmann from the Altai Mountains, and since obtained by Severtzoff in Turkestan. It can only be confounded with young examples of the American species *L. borealis*, which may be distin-
guished by their barred upper tail-coverts. Eversmann described *L. mollis* in 1853. The figure (Plate XI.) is taken from one of the types of the species, now in the Museum of the Imperial Academy of Science and Art in St. Petersburg.

**Lanius collurio.**
The Red-backed Shrike is a rather rare summer visitor.

**Pratincola rubetra.**
The Whinchat is a common summer visitor.

**Saxicola oenanthe.**
The Wheatear is a common summer visitor.

**Erithacus cæruleculea.**
The Arctic Bluethroat passes through in great numbers on migration.

**Erithacus rubecula.**
The Robin is a common summer visitor.

**Ruticilla phœnicurus.**
The Redstart is a common summer visitor.

**Sylvia hortensis.**
The Garden-Warbler is a tolerably common summer visitor.

**Sylvia cinerea.**
The Whitethroat is a not uncommon summer visitor.

**Sylvia curruca.**
The Lesser Whitethroat is a rather rare summer visitor.

**Phylloscopus sibilatrix.**
The Wood-Warbler is a rare summer visitor.

**Phylloscopus trochilus.**
The Willow-Warbler is a very common summer visitor.

**Phylloscopus rufus.**
The Chiffchaff is a very common summer visitor.

**Phylloscopus borealis.**
The Arctic Willow-Warbler is a rare summer visitor.

**Hypolais icterina.**
The Icterine Warbler is a rare summer visitor.
Regulus cristatus.
The Goldbreast is a rare summer visitor.

Acrocephalus phragmitis.
The Sedge-Warbler is a somewhat rare summer visitor.

Accentor modularis.
The Hedge-Sparrow is a somewhat rare summer visitor.

Turdus iliacus.
The Redwing is a very common summer visitor.

Turdus pilaris.
The Fieldfare is a very common summer visitor.

Turdus musicus.
The Song-Thrush is a tolerably common summer visitor.

Turdus viscivorus.
The Missel-Thrush is a tolerably common summer visitor.

Oriolus galbula.
The Golden Oriole is only an accidental visitor.

Motacilla alba.
The White Wagtail is a common summer visitor.

Motacilla viridis.
The Green Wagtail is a very common summer visitor.

Anthus obscurus.
The Rock-Pipit is a frequent summer visitor.

Anthus pratensis.
The Meadow-Pipit is a frequent summer visitor.

Anthus cervinus.
The Red-throated Pipit is a frequent summer visitor.

Anthus arboreus.
The Tree-Pipit is a frequent summer visitor.

Cinclus melanogaster.
The Dipper is recorded by Henke as not common. It is of the black-bellied form.
Troglodytes parvulus.
The Wren is recorded by Henke from Archangel. It is probably a rare resident.

Sitta uralensis.
The Siberian form of the Nuthatch is an occasional winter visitor.

Acredula caudata.
The Siberian form of the Long-tailed Tit, with a very long tail, measuring from 3.8 to 3.6 inches, is not rare; but Henke does not say if it be a resident.

Parus cinctus.
The Archangel form of the Lapp Tit is intermediate between the Scandinavian and Siberian forms. It is a common resident.

Parus borealis.
The Archangel form of the Marsh-Tit is intermediate between the Scandinavian and the Siberian forms. It is a very common resident.

Parus ater.
The Cole Tit is a rare resident.

Parus major.
The Great Tit is a common resident.

Ampelis garrula.
The Waxwing is very common in some years.

Garrulus infaustus.
The Siberian Jay is a common resident.

Garrulus glandarius.
The Jay is a very rare resident.

Nucifraga caryocatactes.
The Nutcracker is a rare visitor.

Pica caudata.
The Magpie is a very common resident.

Corvus monedula.
The Jackdaw is a very common resident.
**Corvus cornix.**
The Hooded Crow is the commonest resident.

**Corvus corax.**
The Raven is common, especially so in winter, on the island of Solovetsk.

**Corvus frugilegus.**
The Rook is a common summer visitor.

**Loxia curvirostra.**
The Crossbill is a very common resident.

**Loxia bifasciata.**
The White-winged Crossbill is a very common resident.

**Loxia pytiopsittacus.**
The Parrot-Crossbill is very rare.

**Pyrrhula major.**
The Eastern Bullfinch is a very common resident.

**Pinicola enucleator.**
The Pine-Grosbeak is very common in summer, but migrates somewhat more to the south in winter.

**Carpodacus erythrinus.**
The Scarlet Bullfinch is a very common summer visitor.

**Passer domesticus.**
The Common Sparrow is a very abundant resident.

**Passer montanus.**
The Tree-Sparrow is a common resident.

**Fringilla spinus.**
The Siskin is very common in summer.

**Fringilla linaria.**
The Mealy Redpole is very common in summer.

**Fringilla cælebs.**
The Chaffinch is common in summer.

**Fringilla montifringilla.**
The Brambling is common in summer. Henke says he obtained a hybrid between the Chaffinch and the Brambling.
**Emberiza aureola.**
The Yellow-breasted Bunting is a very common summer visitor.

**Emberiza pusilla.**
The Little Bunting is a very common summer visitor.

**Emberiza rustica.**
The Rustic Bunting is a very common summer visitor to all the pine-forests, and often rears two broods in the year.

**Emberiza citrinella.**
The Yellow-hammer is a not very common summer visitor.

**Emberiza schoeniclus.**
The Reed-Bunting is a very common summer visitor.

**Emberiza nivalis.**
The Snow-Bunting is a winter visitor, breeding on the north coast of the Kanin peninsula.

**Emberiza lapponica.**
The Lapland Bunting passes through on migration, breeding above the limit of forest-growth.

**Otocorys alpestris.**
The Shore-Lark passes through on migration, breeding above the limit of forest-growth.

**Alauda arvensis.**
The Sky-Lark is a rather rare summer visitor.

**Columba palumbus.**
The Ring-Dove is a not uncommon summer visitor.

**Lagopus albus.**
The Willow-Grouse is a very common resident.

**Lagopus alpinus.**
The Ptarmigan breeds on the west coast of the Kola peninsula.

**Tetrao urogallus.**
The Capercailzie is a resident, in some years exceedingly common.
Tetrao tetrix.
The Black Grouse is a resident, much commoner in some years than in others. Hybrids between the Capercaillie and the Black Grouse are frequent.

Tetrao bonasia.
The Hazel-Grouse is a resident, sometimes very numerous.

Coturnix communis.
The Quail is a rare summer visitor.

Charadrius pluvialis.
The Golden Plover passes through on migration, breeding in great numbers on the tundra.

Charadrius helveticus.
The Grey Plover is not rare on migration, and is said to breed in some numbers on the Kanin peninsula.

Charadrius fulvus.
The Asiatic Golden Plover has once or twice been obtained.

Charadrius morinellus.
The Dotterel is common in the tundra, and breeds near the mouth of the Dwina.

Charadrius cantiacus.
The Kentish Plover is a summer visitor on the coast.

Charadrius hiaticula.
The Ringed Plover is a common summer visitor to the banks of the Dwina.

Charadrius minor.
The Lesser Ringed Plover is a summer visitor to the banks of the Dwina.

Strepsilas interpres.
The Turnstone is a rather rare summer visitor.

Hæmantomus ostralegus.
The Oyster-catcher is common.

Totanus glottis.
The Greenshank is a common summer visitor,
TOTANUS FUSCUS.
The Spotted Redshank is a common summer visitor.

TOTANUS GLAREOLA.
The Wood-Sandpiper is a common summer visitor.

TOTANUS OCHROPUS.
The Green Sandpiper is a common summer visitor.

TOTANUS CALIDRIS.
The Redshank is a rare summer visitor.

TOTANUS HYPOLEUCUS.
The Common Sandpiper is a very common summer visitor.

PHALAROPUS HYPERBOREUS.
The Red-necked Phalarope is a summer visitor.

MACHETES PUGNAX.
The Ruff is a very common summer visitor.

CALIDRIS ARENARIUS.
The Sanderling occasionally passes through on the autumn migration.

TRINGA MARITIMA.
The Purple Sandpiper was only once seen by Henke, when he obtained six examples early in winter.

TRINGA CANUTUS.
The Knot is recorded by Henke as having been seen in summer near the mouth of the Dwina, evidently breeding.

TRINGA SUBARCUATA.
The Curlew Sandpiper was obtained by Henke near the mouth of the Dwina in summer, and showing signs of having bred.

TRINGA ALPINA.
The Dunlin is a very common summer visitor.

TRINGA MINUTA.
The Little Stint is a rather rare summer visitor. Henke says he has taken the nest on the grassy sand on the river-bank, where it was overflowed when the ice broke up.
Tringa Temmincki.
Temminck's Stint is a common summer visitor, breeding amongst the drift-wood on the river-banks.

Tringa cinerea.
The Terek Sandpiper is a very common summer visitor.

Limosa rufa.
The Bar-tailed Godwit is a rare summer visitor. Henke never obtained its eggs.

Scolopax rusticula.
The Woodcock is a very common summer visitor.

Scolopax gallinago.
The Common Snipe is a very common summer visitor.

Scolopax gallinula.
The Jack Snipe is only seen on migration.

Scolopax major.
The Great Snipe passes through on migration to breed on the Kanin peninsula.

Numenius arquata.
The Curlew is a very common summer visitor to the delta of the Dwina.

Numenius phaeopus.
The Whimbrel is a rare summer visitor.

Grus cinerea.
The Crane passes through on migration to the tundra, where it is common.

Platalea leucorodia.
The Spoonbill has only once been found, when eight examples appeared in December.

Crex pratensis.
The Corn-Crake is a rare summer visitor.

Crex porzana.
The Spotted Crake is a very common summer visitor.
Fulica atra.
The Coot has once occurred, during the breaking-up of the ice.

Podiceps rubricollis.
The Red-necked Grebe is very common.

Clymbus arcticus.
The Black-throated Diver is common on the lakes in the forests.

Clymbus septentrionalis.
The Red-throated Diver is very common.

Clymbus torquatus.
The Great Northern Diver has not occurred; but Henke says he has received eggs of this species collected by the Samoyedes on the Kanin peninsula.

Uria bruenichii.
Brünnich's Guillemot occasionally appears in winter.

Uria grylle.
The Black Guillemot breeds on an island near Onega.

Alca torda.
The Razorbill breeds on the same island as the preceding.

Mergulus alle.
The Little Auk has been seen on the coast of the Kola peninsula.

Mergus merganser.
The Goosander is a rare summer visitor.

Mergus serrator.
The Red-necked Merganser is a very common summer visitor.

Mergus albellus.
The Smew is a common summer visitor, breeding in hollow trees.

Somateria mollissima.
The Eider Duck breeds on the same island, near Onega,
where the Black Guillemot and Razorbill are found. It is occasionally seen near Archangel in winter.

**Somateria spectabilis.**
The King Eider breeds on the Kanin peninsula.

**Fuligula fusca.**
The Velvet Scoter is a rare summer visitor.

**Fuligula nigra.**
The Black Scoter is common on migration. A few remain to breed.

**Fuligula clangula.**
The Golden-eye is a common summer visitor.

**Fuligula glacialis.**
The Long-tailed Duck breeds commonly on the tundra.

**Fuligula cristata.**
The Tufted Duck is a common summer visitor.

**Fuligula marila.**
The Scaup passes through on migration.

**Fuligula histrionica.**
The Harlequin Duck is a rare summer visitor.

**Anas penelope.**
The Widgeon is a very common summer visitor.

**Anas querquedula.**
The Garganey is a very common summer visitor.

**Anas acuta.**
The Pintail is a very common summer visitor.

**Anas boscas.**
The Mallard is a very common summer visitor.

**Anas crecca.**
The Teal is a very common summer visitor.

**Anas clypeata.**
The Shoveller is a very common summer visitor.

**Anser bernicla.**
The Barnacle Goose is rare on the autumn migration.
Anser leucopsis.
The Brent Goose was once obtained in spring.

Anser ruficollis.
The Red-breasted Goose is only seen in the spring. It is very rare.

Anser albifrons.
The White-fronted Goose passes through on migration, and breeds on the Kanin peninsula.

Anser minutus.
The Little White-fronted Goose passes through on migration and breeds on the Kanin peninsula.

Anser segetum.
The Bean-Goose is a summer visitor.

Anser arvensis.
The Grey-lag Goose is a summer visitor.

Cygnus musicus.
The Mute Swan is a summer visitor.

Cygnus minor.
Bewick's Swan is a summer visitor, and breeds near Archangel.

Sterna macrura.
The Arctic Tern is a very common summer visitor.

Larus minutus.
A small colony of the Little Gull visits the neighbourhood of Archangel to breed.

Larus tridactylus.
The Kittiwake is a rare summer visitor.

Larus ridibundus.
The Black-headed Gull is a rare summer visitor.

Larus eburneus.
The Ivory Gull is a rare winter visitor.

Larus glaucus.
The Glaucous Gull is occasionally seen. It breeds on the Kanin peninsula.
LARUS MARINUS.
The Great Black-backed Gull is an occasional visitor. It breeds on the Kanin peninsula.

LARUS CANUS.
The Common Gull is a very common summer visitor.

LARUS BOREALIS.
The Siberian Herring-Gull (the L. affinis of Reinhardt) is an occasional summer visitor. It breeds in great numbers in the monastery on the island of Solovetsk. Those that cannot find a suitable place outside the monastery breed on the walls and in the court-yard. In the latter alone Henke counted over 500 nests, though the monastery is visited annually by about eighty thousand pilgrims.

STERCORARIUS POMATORHINUS.
The Pomarine Skua is an occasional visitor. It breeds on the Kanin peninsula.

STERCORARIUS CREPIDATUS.
Richardson’s Skua is a summer visitor, breeding at the mouth of the Dwina and on the Kanin peninsula.

STERCORARIUS BUFFONI.
Buffon’s Skua is a summer visitor, breeding at the mouth of the Dwina and on the Kanin peninsula.


"In all birds, even in Archæopteryx, the fifth digit of the pes remains undeveloped . . . . Many birds have only three toes, by suppression of the hallux. In the Ostrich, not only the hallux, but the phalanges of the second digit are suppressed . . . . hence the Ostrich has only two toes.”

"The normal number of the pedal phalanges in birds is (as in ordinary Lacertilia) 2, 3, 4, 5, reckoning from the hallux to the fourth digit. Among the few birds which constitute exceptions to the rule are the Swifts, in which the
third and fourth toes have only three phalanges each (2, 3, 3, 3), and the Goatsuckers and the Sand-Grouse, in which the fourth toe only has the number thus reduced (2, 3, 4, 3).”

Prof. Huxley has described in these words* the nature of the variations from the normal structure of the Avian pes, as regards the number of digits and phalanges composing it, exhibited by various members of that group. As, however, the account here quoted is, in some points, incorrect, and in others incomplete, and as other errors occur in other authors’ works on this subject, I have thought that it might be useful to draw up as complete a list as possible of the differences in these two points of structure now known to exist amongst birds.

I. The Number of Digits.

The ordinary number of toes in birds is four, representing the first, second, third, and fourth digits of the normal pen-

![Diagram](image)

tadactyle foot (fig. 1, i). A number of birds, however, are three-toed, the reduction in nearly all cases being effected by the suppression of the hallux (fig. 1, ii). This may be the case even in birds belonging to zygodactyle groups (fig. 1, iii); so that we have three-toed Woodpeckers (e.g.

Picoides*) and Jacamars (Jacamaralcyon) †. It is not always, however, the hallux that is thus absent in tridactyle birds. In the Kingfishers of the genera Ceyx and Alcyone the foot is three-toed, but the hallux is well developed; the second digit, on the other hand, is reduced to its basal phalanx (fig. 1, iv), thus appearing externally merely as a wart-like eminence on the side of the digit next to it, in a way very similar to that exhibited by some Edentata, in which the fifth digit of the manus is greatly reduced.

In the curious Passerine genus Cholornis, on the other hand, which is also said to be three-toed, the reduction is brought about by the absorption of the most external, or fourth, digit (fig. 1, v)‡.

In the Ostrich, finally (fig. 1, vi), only two digits are present, both the first and second having entirely disappeared.

List of Tridactyle Families and Genera of Birds.

A. By suppression of the hallux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheidae.</td>
<td>Calodromas § [Tinamidae].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casuariidae.</td>
<td>Tinamotis §</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* By some error Nitzsch (Osteograph, Beitr. p. 102) describes Picoides as lacking the fourth ("letzte") toe. As I have lately shown, however (P. Z. S. June 1882), there is a rudimentary hallux, with its metatarsal, in these birds, though it is quite concealed under the skin, and has, in consequence, been overlooked by previous observers. The existence of a similarly concealed rudimentary hallux in many other birds apparently tridactyle is therefore rendered highly probable.

† The specific name of Loxia tridactyla (Gmel. Syst. Nat. i. p. 866; Phytotoma tridactyla, Daud. Tr. Orn. ii. p. 360) seems to be a mistake, founded on Bruce's drawing of a bird met with by him in Abyssinia, and mentioned by Buffon (Hist. Nat. Ois. iii. p. 471) under the name of "Le Guifso Balito." This is usually identified as a well-known Abyssinian Barbet (Pogonorhynchus abyssinicus, Marshall, Mon. Capit. pl. 9), with feet of the normal structure.

‡ I have not myself yet had an opportunity of examining Cholornis paradoxus; my authorities for the statement here made are MM. David and Oustalet (Oiseaux de la Chine, p. 205), who describe this bird as having the external digit reduced to a "simple moignon." It would be interesting to know how far the reduction here has progressed.

§ Sundevall places these two genera, with some doubt, amongst his
of the Foot in Birds.

Pelecanoides [Procellariidae]*.
Phoenicoparrus [Phoenicopteridae].
Otidae.
Oedicnemididae (incl. Esacus).
Cursorius.
Turnicidae (exc. Pedionomus).
Syrrhaptes [Pteroclidae].
Alcidae.

Charadriidae (many genera, e.g. Charadrius, Haematopus, Hoplopterus, Calidris).
Rissa (at least generally; cf. Saunders, P. Z. S. 1878, pp. 162, 163) [Laridae].
Sasia, Picoides, Tigo [Picidae].
Jacamaralcyon [Galbulidae].

B. By suppression of the 2nd digit.
Ceyx, Aleyone [Alcedinidae].

C. By suppression of the 4th digit.
Cholornis [Oscines].

II. The Number of Phalanges.

The normal number of phalanges in birds is, as already stated, 2, 3, 4, 5, in the respective digits, counting from

Fig. 2.

within outwards (fig. 2, 1). In all the Tubinares†, so far as I have seen, except Pelecanoides (where the hallux is quite

“Otidinae” (Tentamen, p. 128). A skull extracted from a skin of Calodromas in my possession shows, however, that it, at least, is undoubtedly a Tinamou, the palate being perfectly “dromaeognathous.”

* The Diomedeinae, often described as three-toed, have a very minute and rudimentary hallux (cf. P. Z. S. June 1882).

absent), the number of joints in the hallux is reduced to one, which is quite short, and covered by the spur-like claw; the digital formula therefore becomes 1, 3, 4, 5 (fig. 2, i).

In the true Swifts (i.e. the genera *Cypselus* and *Panyptila*), though not in the rest of the Cypselidæ, the number of phalanges in each digit external to the hallux is three, the formula thus becoming 2, 3, 3, 3 (fig. 2, iii). In the other genera of Swifts the normal number of phalanges obtains, as already correctly stated by Mr. Sclater.

In the Pteroclidæ and true Caprimulgidæ, finally, the formula is 2, 3, 4, 4 (not 2, 3, 4, 3, as stated by Prof. Huxley†), the fourth digit being one short of the normal number of phalanges (fig. 2, iv). The anomalous genera *Steatornis*, *Ægotheles*, *Nyctibius*, &c. are normal as regards the structure of their feet§, as also are *Thinocorus* and *Attagis*.

Nitzschi, who must have been misled by a badly articulated skeleton, ascribes four joints each to all the toes, both of *Struthio* and *Casuarius‖. He was in doubt therefore as to which digits were represented in these forms. As a fact, I need scarcely remark, the normal number of phalanges (4, 5 and 3, 4, 5 respectively) is present in both these birds, though in museum specimens a joint or two is often missing. In many Ostriches, however, the nail of the outer toe is quite absent, and in others very small; so that their foot is evidently tending to become, like that of the Solipeds, reduced to a single toe, in this as in other cases the third.

* Nitzsch, so long ago as 1811, pointed out this fact, as well as the reduction in *Caprimulgus* ("Über die Gliederung der Fusszehen, besonders im Ziegenmelker und in der Mauerschwalbe," Osteogr. Beitr. pp. 101–105).

† P. Z. S. 1865, p. 596.

‡ Possibly misled by an error in the figure of the skeleton of *Syrrhaptes* in Prof. Parker's memoir "On the Osteology of the Gallinaceous Birds and Tinamous" (Tr. Z. S. v. pl. 38), where the outer toe is represented as consisting of three joints only, though in the text (p. 203) the correct number is accurately stated.


My ornithological friends may be aware that some years ago I took considerable interest in the avifauna of New Zealand. They can imagine therefore how much pleased I felt in having an opportunity not only of studying the rich material in the various museums and collections of that colony, but also of seeing a considerable number of species of birds in their own homes, and thus getting at least a glance at the bird-life of New Zealand. I need not say that, besides this, I was also fortunate in making the personal acquaintance of all the leaders of science in the colony, with some of whom (such as Von Haast, Hutton, Hector, Buller, and Potts) I had been for some years in most friendly correspondence, and from all of whom I received the most cordial welcome. I shall not in this letter enter on the museums themselves, as I intend to reserve all my numerous notes on that subject for a special paper on the different museums of Australia and New Zealand; but I cannot forbear mentioning that I was much astonished at what has been done in this respect in a comparatively very short time. Above all Von Haast deserves the greatest credit, as being the actual organizer of these scientific institutions, while his special creation, the Christchurch Museum, takes not only the first place in the colony, but would rank among the better class of museums in the Old World, and would even excel those of many of our universities.

I arrived in New Zealand on the 23rd of May, and left the colony on the 12th of August. I stayed therefore only about eleven weeks, which time, of course, I could not devote solely to ornithology, as I had to look after and study many other things. Besides, it was winter, and, although I found this season exceedingly mild in comparison with ours, there was, of course, no opportunity of observing birds in the breeding-season. But I travelled a good deal about the country, making several excursions, which led me to the glaciers at the foot

* For No. VIII. see 'Ibis,' 1881, p. 532.
of Mount Cook (in the Southern Alps) in the South Island, to Parchaka in Taranaki, to Waikato at the frontiers of the "King's Country," and to the "pot-lakes district" in the North Island. So I had the pleasure of seeing bird-life in various localities—woods, swamps, plains, lakes, and the alpine region—and thus of obtaining a good general idea of the birds of New Zealand. Although I travelled along the whole east coast of New Zealand, I shall not refer in this letter to the marine birds, as I intend to publish the observations made on this subject during my various voyages in the Pacific, Atlantic, and North Polar Seas as a whole. Besides oceanic species, I succeeded in collecting examples of thirty species of birds during my stay in New Zealand, a number which, considering the poverty of birds in general in these islands, may be considered not unsatisfactory.

As a rule I found bird-life generally poor, both as regards variety of species and in numbers of specimens. During a day's travel over plains, along rivers, and through swamps, I seldom saw more than a dozen species; and even the Ratomahana, famed for its abundance of water-fowl, cannot be compared with what I have seen in the old countries of Europe and Asia, and in the United States. I observed many Ducks (*Anas superciliosa* and *Fuligula novae-zealandiae*) and Pukekas (*Porphyrio melanotus*), but never in such dense flocks as I have seen on our lakes in the corresponding season. Besides these were a few common Shags (*Graculus carbo*), some Gulls (*Larus pomarea*), Stilts (*Himantopus leucocephalus*), and the pretty little Grebe of New Zealand (*Podiceps gularis*). That was nearly all; and yet the Ratomahana, with its pleasant still water, is a reserve of the Maoris, who do not allow one to shoot here at all, and during the breeding-season compel travellers to crawl over a hill to the Pink Terrace, instead of going by canoe, in order that the water-fowl may not be disturbed. The other lakes I found really poor: on the Tekapo I saw only two *Fuligulae*, on the Rotorua a single *Carbo*, and on Lake Tarawera a few Gulls. The same may be said with regard to the bays and harbours on the coast, where two kinds of Gulls (*L. dominicanus* and *L. nova-hollandia*)
are usually to be seen, along with some Shags, among which *Graculus carbo* is the most common. Terns I found everywhere, but sparingly and by no means in large flocks as in other countries is usually the case. In the dense forests birds are, of course, still more scarce than in varied country, as is the case everywhere. Indeed, even in the deepest woods, as in that between Tauranga and Ohinemutu (which is one of the finest in New Zealand, and, in fact, magnificent from the grandeur of its trees and the variety of dense undergrowth, chiefly ferns), one seldom observes any birds, except flocks of *Zosterops lateralis*, here and there a single Fantail (*Rhipidura*), or *Miomira dieffenbachii*. But sometimes the ear is struck by the wonderful voice of the Bell-bird (*Prosthemadera*) or the harsh cry of the Kaka (*Nestor meridionalis*).

In speaking of the birds of New Zealand generally, as they come under the eyes of the travelling and hunting observer, one cannot allude only to those native to the country, but must also recognize the foreigners, which in some places already prevail, and will, in course of time, overpower and exterminate their feathered native brethren, like the white man does the Maori. In fact, our knowledge of the species introduced with more or less success into New Zealand is still more unsatisfactory than that of the native birds. Several "acclimatization" societies import birds from various countries, chiefly Australia, of which they do not know even the proper names, and still less whether they are likely to prove useful or may not rather become a nuisance, as have the Mainas in Honolulu. On the steamer in which I arrived from Melbourne was a large consignment of birds from Australia, such as *Plyctolophus roseicapillus*, *Platycercus eximius*, *Psephotus*, several kinds of Grass-Finches, "Magpies" (*Cracticus* and *Gymnorhina*), and others, which were imported by a society in Dunedin, and were to be liberated in the environs of that city. Among the European birds which I observed often in New Zealand were House-Sparrows, Skylarks, Greenfinches, Chaffinches, Goldfinches, Starlings, Blackbirds, and Rooks. The last-named species I found only in the environs of Christchurch, the foregoing species nearly
everywhere, *Fringilla carduelis* even in the alpine region near Mount Cook. *Emberiza citrinella* I met with in Waikato. Our Common Starling was common in Dunedin and Christchurch; but I did not see it in Wellington and Wanganui, where its place is taken by the noisy Indian Maina (*Acridotheres tristis*), which quarrelsome species will drive out the Starling everywhere, as it even ejects House-Pigeons from their homes. Of other foreign species I remember at this moment only to have seen (or, rather, heard the voice of) a species of *Gymnorhina* not far from Wanganui. The scarcity of native game in New Zealand has led the sportsman to take a great interest in the importation of foreign game-birds; and some of these are at present thoroughly acclimatized, and are now quite as plentiful as in their native countries. Above all, our Pheasant abounds, and takes a regular place in the poultry-market. What I shot near Wanganui was not the true *Phasianus colchicus*, but a hybrid between this species and *P. mongolicus*; so that apparently several different species of Pheasants have been introduced. The importation of our Partridge has been tried, but without much success; but Californian and Australian Quails (*Callipepla californica* and *Synoicus pectoralis*) thrive better. The latter, in many places, is considered to be the true native Quail by the settlers, who have lost all knowledge of the real native Quail (*Coturnix nova-zealandiae*), as this species is almost extinct and may be reckoned amongst the rarest of birds peculiar to the island—much more rare than the Kiwi or Huia (*Heteralocha*). The latter is confined to a very limited locality in the environs of Wellington. Here also *Pogonornis cincta* is to be found, but is far more rare than the Huia; in fact, next to the Quail, *Pogonornis* is the rarest bird of New Zealand, and, if I remember rightly, only two specimens are preserved in all the Museums in New Zealand. I mention this only to contradict the erroneous but prevailing idea, that the Kiwi and Kakapo (*Stringops*) are the rarest birds in this colony. I had not time to seek for Huias myself; but I got some by the kindness of Dr. Hector, through native hunters, who understand much better how to find and to shoot these birds than
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a European. As I learn from those acquainted with the Huia, it is by no means a shy bird; but the great difficulty is to find them. It would therefore have been the greatest chance to go out for one day and to succeed in seeing Huias or Pogonornis. The same feeling held me back from executing a plan in regard to Notornis mantelli which I formed upon seeing the fine specimen in the Museum of Dunedin. This specimen, the third in existence, had been obtained by a shepherd near Lake Te Anau, or rather by his dog, and had been bought by a lady, to find its way to England, as Professor Parker told me. This gentleman was so kind as to show me the skeleton of this specimen, and to allow me to observe its interesting peculiarity in having only a rudimentary keel to the sternum, like Stringops habroptilus.

Having spoken of the rarest birds in New Zealand, I may now also mention the most common, and may unhesitatingly say that there are none more so than our Common Sparrow (Passer domesticus), our Sky-Lark (Alauda arvensis), and the native Pipit (Anthus nova-zelandiae). I saw the Sparrow in nearly every place during my travels in the Old and New Worlds, but nowhere so plentiful and of such general distribution as in New Zealand. Here it is not only seen in every city, village, and dwelling-place of man, as is usually the case, but also in the most remote localities, where no men live. I observed the Sparrow on the barren cliffs of the west coast of the North Island, in the flax-scrubs (Phormium tenax) of Taranaki, and in the centre of the woods of Ohinemutu, in all of which localities it was not merely a visitor, but a settler, and a settler which sticks to the place, and is not easily driven away. This will be well known to the societies which have been founded with the object of rooting out the Sparrow by all means, and which accordingly offer rewards for heads and eggs of the bird. I really do not know what is the reason for these cruel measures, except that I heard people say that the Sparrows were a nuisance and damaged the flowers and seeds in the garden, and so on. On my way to the Alps I observed Sparrows as high up as Burke's Pass (about 2500 feet); but a most reliable authority,
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Mr. Sealy, who knows the Alps well, assured me that he had found them breeding on Mount Cook.

The same that I have said of the distribution of the Sparrow is true also of the Sky-Lark, with the exception that the latter frequents only the open country. I found the Sky-Lark all over the Mackenzie plains, on the hot lakes, and even in the valley of the Tasman river, nearly as far as Mount Cook. Although it was winter-time, everywhere was heard its lovely song, which reminded me forcibly of the fact that it was then spring at home.

*Anthus nova-zealandiae* is to be found in the same localities as the Sky-Lark, and in some places, perhaps generally, more common than the latter. In habits this species reminds one most of our *Anthus pratensis*; and so does his call-note. Next to *Anthus nova-zealandiae* I found *Halcyon vagans* the most common species. This was the first bird I saw on the rocky shores when I first put my foot on New Zealand at the Bluffs, and the last land-bird I observed when I left the colony at the Bay of Islands. Except in the Alps, I found this Kingfisher nearly everywhere; in the plains, in the scrubs and woods, and even in the gardens of the villages it is frequently to be observed. Like its congeners, *Halcyon vagans* is not bound to waters, but keeps rather to an arboreal life, although it comes down to the sea-shore. Near Ohinitaki, on the bay of Lyttleton, the romantic and lovely residence of Mr. Potts, I found *Halcyon vagans* more common than elsewhere: at one time half a dozen might be seen together; and Mr. Potts showed me, in a wall of clay or sand, numerous nest-holes made by this Kingfisher. In some places a dozen and more formed a sort of breeding-colony. In the same locality the lovely *Anthornis melanura* was very common, and its gay call-note resounded from the clusters of trees in the fine park. I did not see this species in the North Island; but in both islands *Rhipidura flabellifera* and *Miomirra toitoi* were plentiful, although only seen singly or in pairs. The Fantail is a most amiable little creature, and by no means shy; in the house of Mr. Potts it entered the rooms fearlessly, and caught flies just as it would do in the woods. *Gerygone*
**igata** is another bird which I often observed, and in very different localities—in the woods, in the scrub, in the plains, and nearly up to the foot of the glaciers. I may mention here, by the way, that only this one species of *Gerygone* is known in New Zealand, as of the second species described by Mr. Potts nothing more has been heard, the type itself, unfortunately, not having been preserved. In habits and manners *Gerygone igata* resembles altogether our *Phylloscopus*, whereas *Zosterops lateralis* reminds one of our Titmice. In the same way they go in flocks of from ten to twenty, chirping from tree to tree, inspecting leaves and branches from above and below for insects. I saw the *Zosterops* only in the North Island; in different places it was very plentiful, but only in the bush and in dense forest. Of other Passerine birds (besides *Heteralocha*, which I have mentioned already), I noticed or obtained *Glaucopis wilsoni* near Wellington, *Sphenoeacus punctatus* and *Keropia* near Wanganui, and the Tui (*Prosthemadera novae-zealandiae*) in several localities on the North Island. This bird can scarcely escape the observer, as its wonderful and varied voice attracts the attention of everybody. It is by no means shy, although generally hidden in the foliage of trees and undergrowth. The Tui is much prized by the natives on account of its flesh, which I may state, from experience, is very good to eat. When staying at Parehaka (the home of the famed prophet Te Witti) I saw baskets full of prepared Tuis and Pigeons, as a great festival was in preparation, at which these birds were to make a chief dish.

The wonderful New-Zealand Pigeon (*Carpophaga novae-zealandiae*) is already very scarce in the South Island, but still numerous in the North Island. I heard of it in the valley of the Tasman river, near Mount Cook, and met with it in the wood of Ohinemutu and near Wanganui.

This Pigeon forms a prominent article in the poultry-markets of New Zealand, amongst which that of Wellington seemed to be the best provided with game. I found there *Anas superciliosa*, *A. chlorotis, A. gibberifrons, A. rhynochotis, Fuligula novae-zealandiae*, Pukekas (*Porphyrio*), Black and White Swans, and even *Nestor meridionalis*. 

SER. IV.—VOL. VI. 2e
As it was winter time during my visit I did not see either of the Cuckoos; for both Chrysococcyx lucidus and Eudynamis taitiensis are migrants, which only visit New Zealand in the summer time. The latter of these species, however, I had previously met with in various islands of the South Seas as far north as the Carolines.

I have nearly forgotten my especial friends the Parrots, of which I met with three species in New Zealand—Platycercus auriceps, Nestor meridionalis, and N. notabilis. The first of these is a shy bird, and frequents the woods; the second is also met with in the same localities. But I found Nestor meridionalis likewise in the alpine region in company with N. notabilis, which may be considered, in a certain sense, the only alpine bird in New Zealand; for it never comes down to the plains, and its distribution seems confined to an altitude of not less than 2000 feet, an elevation at which, in New Zealand, the glaciers almost begin. When travelling in these regions on the Müller and Hooker glacier, along the foot of the majestic Mount Cook, I first heard the peculiar cry of the Kea (Nestor notabilis). It sounds like the mewing of a cat or the cry of a baby, and forms a most singular contrast to the grand wild scenery of rocks, ice, and snow. Such localities are the favoured dwelling-places of the Kea, where, in rocks and precipices, it rears its young. In contrast to its generic relation the Kaka (Nestor meridionalis), the Kea is by no means a shy bird, and it is said that these birds may be killed easily by a stone or by a snare thrown over their heads. There is now a war going on against the Keas, which in time will end with their total extermination. The reason is not only that the flesh of the Kea is very palatable, but that it is its strange custom to kill sheep. For eight years, or thereabouts, the Keas have taken to attack the sheep, bite holes in their sides, and eat the fat of the kidneys, which, of course, causes death. Mr. Potts has written an interesting paper on this subject, and of the fact there is not the slightest doubt. But what surprised me still more than this newly developed rapacious habit was the fact that in one valley Keas will attack sheep, and in another very close to it will not do so.
As Kakapos (Stringops) and Kiwis are found only on the west coast of the South Island, which I did not visit, they did not come under my notice; but I got Apteryx australis in King's Country, in the North Island; and this species is still numerous in some parts of Waikato, but only the natives with their dogs are able to get them. I may also mention that I was present when Dr. Hector held a conversation with two men who had just returned from a remote locality on the west coast, and both assured us that they had seen not only footprints of the Moa, but the famous bird itself. The future will prove whether they were right or not; but it is certain that there are still extensive portions of country on the west coast never yet penetrated by man.

Of rapacious birds, I met with Hieracidea nova-zealandiae a few times in the mountains and on the Mackenzie plains; but Circus assimilis I found nearly everywhere, except in the dense woods. The bird is seen mostly single, but also in pairs; and once, in the neighbourhood of Wanganui, I observed as many as fifteen together. It has exactly the same habits as our Marsh-Harrier. Of Owls I only got Athene nova-zealandiae near Wanganui.

Turning to the Gralle, I tried in vain to get Anarhynchus frontalis along the banks of the Wanganui river and the sea-shore, where it is said that this bird may nearly always be found; but I only met with large flocks of Charadrius bicinctus, most of them in the garb of the young bird, but some in full plumage, although it was in winter. Charadrius obscurus (which lives in the alpine region) I did not see; the Black Oystercatcher (Hematopus niger) I only observed once, on the sea-shore near Wanganui. Of Stilts I saw both species, Himantopus melas in the valley of the Tasman river, and H. leucocephalus on the Rotomahana. Of Herons I only observed Botaurus poeciloptilus in the swamps of Waikato; and I may add that the so-called "White Crane" of the settlers (Ardea alba) is one of the rarest birds of the colony. Of Rallus pectoralis I got some specimens in Wanganui, through the kindness of my friend Mr. Drew, who, as a great admirer of natural science, especially ornithology, assisted me in the
Dr. O. Finsch's Ornithological

most obliging way. A prominent feature in the avifauna of New Zealand is the "Weka" of the natives, or "Wood-hen" of the colonists—a most difficult group, as regards its specific distinctions, of which our knowledge is still very imperfect. There have been, no doubt, too many so-called species established, and their numbers will diminish when we are better acquainted with these birds than at present. In the South Island Ocydromus troglodytes is in certain localities, chiefly on the Mackenzie plains near to the Alps, very common, and was met with by me frequently, running sometimes nearly under the hoofs of our horses. This bird is very tame indeed. It enters the houses and tents, and, not only that, but steals what it can get and transport, chiefly things of a shining nature, such as spoons, watches, and so forth. The Weka is very much esteemed by the natives on account of its flesh, and is hunted by them with dogs. On arriving one day at a Maori Weka-hunter's camp, I saw a heap of about fifteen hundred Wekas, prepared in their own fat, the result of scarcely more than a fortnight's hunting of seven men. They all belonged to what I call Ocydromus troglodytes. The other kind, Ocydromus australis, I got only a few times in the North Island, where this species is generally of a rare occurrence. The most common of all grallatorial birds is Porphyrio melanotus (the Pukeko of the natives), which I met with nearly everywhere, except in the woods. Near Oamaru I saw about a hundred or more on an open prairie-like plain, not far from a farmhouse; they were plentiful even in the valley of the Tasman, near to the foot of Mount Cook, in the swamps of the Waikato, and on the Rotomahana. In contrast with many other birds in New Zealand the Pukeko is shy. It is a favourite object of pursuit, as its flesh is excellent, and by many preferred even to that of the Pheasant—an opinion, however, in which I by no means concur.

I have already mentioned most of the Natatorial birds, but I have forgotten two of the most characteristic species, namely, Casarca variegata and Hymenolemus malacorhynchus, both of which I met with only in the alpine region. Search out a very rapid mountain-river, with whirlpools and rapids,
and you may be sure to find *Hymenolæmus*, or the “Blue Mountain-Duck” of the settlers. When I first observed a flock of these Ducks in such a locality as above described on the Tolli river, I hesitated to fire on them, so much was I struck by the wonderful sight of these birds swimming in a very rapid current with as great ease as if it were in smooth water. These Ducks are not at all shy: one may fire repeatedly at a flock and kill several before the rest take wing. *Casarca variegata* was not uncommon in the valley of the Tasman, and is, indeed, a most lovely species. There are no Geese in New Zealand, but both Black and White Swans (imported) thrive well in some localities. I met with both species, with young in down, in Waikato.

Of three species of Gulls observed, *Larus dominicanus* was the most common, and, to my astonishment, wanders up into the valley of the Tasman (a subalpine region), although it is chiefly a coast-bird, and follows the vessels from one port to another. Of *Larus novæ-zealandiae* the same may be said, only that I did not see it so far inland. Of both species young birds were plentiful, distinguished easily by their black bills. *Larus pomarœæ* is the true representative of our *L. ridibundus*. It must have been this species which I observed in hundreds in the plains near Oamaru, on fresh-ploughed ground, following the ploughmen, like our *L. ridibundus*.

Of Terns *Sternœ frontalis* is the most common, and is usually seen in the bays and harbours; *Sternœ caspia* I saw only once—two specimens, unmistakably belonging to this species, at the Spit of Napier. *Sternœ nereis, S. antarctica*, and *S. fissipes* I met with only a few times; but all three species ascend as high up in the mountains as the valley of the Tasman river.

I have now only to refer to the Cormorants, of which a considerable number of species are to be found in New Zealand. Among them *Graculus carbo* is the most common. I found it along the coast from the Bluffs up to the Bay of Islands, and on the Tasman river, as well as at the Hot Lakes. Just as common was the small black Shag, often called *Graculus brevirostris*, which also goes far inland. The
splendid Spotted Shag, *G. punctatus*, keeps entirely to the sea-shores; I observed it only in the fjord of the Thames, and the White-vented Shag (*G. leucogaster*) I saw only in the Bay of Islands. The Gulf of Hauraki, I may add, was swarming with *Sula serrata* and the interesting little *Pelecanoides urinatrix*.

Hoping to be able to give, on another occasion, a more complete account of my observations on New-Zealand birds, I conclude by offering my best compliments and thanks to all my ornithological friends in the colony through the pages of 'The Ibis.' These I know they will get in due time, as the ornithologists of New Zealand are all devoted readers of 'The Ibis.' My next letter will, I trust, be again from the tropics, either from the islands of Torres Strait, whither I am now bound, or, perhaps, if I am able to carry out my plans, from New Guinea itself!

Between Sydney and Thursday Island,
October 1881.

XXX.—*Ornithological Notes of a Journey through Syria, Mesopotamia, and Southern Armenia in 1881.* By H. B. Tristram, F.R.S.

My expedition of last year was, as regards ornithology, the most barren I have yet made. My course lay, for the most part, over ground which has been again and again explored by naturalists. Palestine cannot now be expected to yield novelties: Northern Syria has few natural features which can differentiate its fauna from that of the Lebanon and Asia Minor. Scanty, indeed, must be the gleanings which Mr. Danford has left for any follower of his steps in Armenia; while the monotonous, treeless, and fertile plains of Mesopotamia afford no cover for any fugitives across the Tigris unnoticed by Mr. Blanford in Persia.

A few days of January spent in Egypt could not add much to our ornithological knowledge. One fact, however, forced itself most painfully on my notice—the startling absence of once familiar forms on the banks of the Nile. The Spoon-bills, Avocets, and other Waders, of which I have, in days
past, made a goodly bag within a walk of Boulac, were no longer to be seen. I ascended the river by one of the new steamers, and from its deck, for a whole day, I saw scarce any thing better worth notice than a Hooded Crow or a Buff-backed Heron.

One piece of Egyptian antique art I must mention here, for it conveys a very interesting bit of ornithological history, which I have not seen noticed, though doubtless it is familiar to many readers of 'The Ibis.' In the museum at Boulac is a very fine fresco from a tomb of the Hyksos period, pronounced both by Loftus and by the late Marietta Bey to be the oldest existing painting in the world. This fresco represents six wild Geese in a line, life-size. The first, second, third, and sixth figures are those of a White-fronted Goose in different attitudes, most accurately painted; but the fourth and fifth figures are of the Red-breasted Goose of Siberia. How comes it here? We cannot help recalling how a like distinguished honour has been accorded to the Red-breasted Goose, depicted on the same canvas with the White Dodo of Bourbon in the painting now at Carisbrook Castle, reproduced by Prof. Newton in the Trans. Zool. Soc. (vol. vi. p. 373). But how does it happen that this bird is one of the earliest known subjects of Egyptian art, painted there more than 4000 years ago? Was it then a very rare straggler, which some fortunate fowler had caught, and which attracted notice by its rarity, or was it a bird then well known, but which has since become extinct in its whilom winter quarters? In the latter case, as it could never have been more than a winter visitor, this fresco may indicate a former westward extension of the summer range of this now exclusively Eastern Siberian bird.

From Cairo to Ismailia, and from Ismailia by the canal to Port Said, we saw nothing. But around the latter place, though itself the abomination of desolation, birds are still abundant, though my only souvenir is an Avocet; and the Ducks on the Damietta lakes seemed as numerous as ever, although they were very needlessly most anxious to avoid too close a recognition.
Arrived in Palestine, missions, antiquities, and topography elbowed out ornithology; for, save in rare instances, to be noticed hereafter, we saw none but old familiar forms. Some few additions to our lists we made, for the most part of species which it might be taken for granted were certain to be found.

Passing at once to the south, we found between Gaza and Beersheba the Crane (*Grus cinerea*), faithful to the same winter-quarters, and standing sentry on the same rocks on which I met him twenty years ago, returning towards evening in long wedge-shaped flocks, which, whooping and circling in indignation over our heads, declined to settle until they had watched and warned us at least a mile or two off their premises. Their warning-note seemed well understood by others; for I never saw more gazelles, jackals, and foxes started from unlikely coverts than these Cranes roused and scared as they swooped, Lapwing-like, provokingly in front of us. The Crane must be an early migrant, for I never noticed one after February.

It was not until the beginning of April that we noticed the vernal northward migration to any extent, although from the end of February seldom a day passed without our seeing some additional species in small numbers wending its way to the north. *Hirundo rustica* was to be seen occasionally every day in February on the lower plains, chiefly near the sea. The first Swifts (*Cypselus apus*) appeared over the heights of Moab at Medeba on 27th February; but they were few. Next the Alpine Swift (*C. melba*) arrived in flocks over the same plains. They were darting overhead till sunset. The following day they had all disappeared, and I never saw one again till we found them in their breeding-haunts further north. On the 7th March arrived the main body of the Common Swifts, overspreading the whole district, and remaining for nidification. They would seem to be nearly the most abundant of all the birds of the land during the period of their sojourn, and are content with meaner accommodation than they claim in England. A low ruined wall or the chinks of an old cistern are not despised by them. *Emberiza caesia* over-
spread the hilly regions in scattered pairs, seldom many together, on 19th March. But we never noticed the Ortolan until the 5th April, when it covered the country, plain and hill alike, in small flocks, restless and wild, in numbers far exceeding those of its congener; nor did the Ortolans pair till a fortnight later, when *E. caesia* was already sitting. Later still came the Meadow-Bunting (*E. cia*), which I noted for the first time on 28th April. But this bird I have never found breeding, except in the mountains, while the Ortolan haunts the oliveyards and cultivated lands, and *E. caesia* affects only the scrubby and rocky hill-sides. Long after these arrived the black-headed *Eusipiza melanocephala*, on the 7th May, when it, in its turn, appeared to be the most abundant of its genus, and to be making itself at home in any variety of terrain.

The Stork kept its appointed time, and stalked solemnly over the plains from the 10th April. I never saw one after the 22nd April. Up to that date there was a constant succession of arrivals from the south and departures for the north. The most wonderful flight of Storks was one which passed over us in the plain of the Upper Jordan on 19th April, steering due north, in the long V-like wedges with which we are so familiar in the flight of wild Geese. Party after party passed, perpetually changing their leader, and the hindmost of the longest limb frequently crossing over to take the rear of the other limb; but never, countless though their numbers were, did they fly in a mass, or in any other order than that of the wedge.

On the 16th April the House-Martin, a much later arrival than most of its congeners, passed northwards in flocks of many thousands; and the same day a vast cloud of Swifts (*C. apus*), quite apart from those which had already overspread the land, dashed in the same direction up the valleys between the Lebanon and Antilebanon. One or two birds which I have mentioned rather doubtfully in previous papers occurred to us very abundantly in this expedition. Among these I may mention *Lanius minor*, which I obtained once in 1858, but never saw in 1863, 1864, or 1872. This year it
was very common, though it did not return until the middle of May, while the pretty and lively little Nubian Shrike (*L. personatus*) made its appearance on the 11th April. I also satisfied myself of the identity of the Mountain-Finch, *Montifringilla nivalis*, with the western alpine species, and found it in the beginning of April on the lower grounds near the source of the Jordan. During my visit in 1863–64 we never secured a specimen of the Collared Flycatcher (*Muscicapa collaris*), though I felt sure I saw it on one occasion. This year I only once saw my familiar old friend *M. luctuosa*, while from the 16th April *M. collaris* attracted us everywhere, and on every different sort of ground. Its coming was sudden, its diffusion general. We had been riding across country for several days, up and down mountain-glens, taking a short cut from Tyre to Mount Hermon. Habitations were few, birds abundant. The Warblers had greeted us on all sides as we crossed the Galilean hills; but not a Flycatcher did we see till, on the morning of the 16th April, crossing from the stupendous gorge of the Leontes to the head of the Jordan valley, and thence up the woodlands to the Banias, a bright little black-and-white bird, conspicuous among the foliage, started from almost every other tree, and often from the scanty scrub. We had been traversing similar ground the preceding day, and not one had we seen. But what struck me most was the general diffusion of the Flycatchers over a wide area without their being gregarious. I think I scarcely ever saw even a male and female together. Yet the whole land was overspread with them. And this continued as we travelled eastward and southward up to the 29th April. Everywhere the male bird was seen; but they certainly were not paired. Afterwards I only met with here and there an isolated couple engaged in domestic duties in Armenia. Now I had been over the very same ground during the same fortnight of the year in 1864, and never detected *M. collaris*; yet in the same country I did obtain *M. luctuosa*, both on migration at this date and afterwards breeding. Had the bird been in Palestine in 1864 I can hardly conceive how it could have escaped the notice of four keen and active naturalists. The Collared
Flycatcher must be somewhat fitful and uncertain in its migrations; and this is a point on which the observations of future travellers may throw some light.

I was much interested by coming across a large flock of Great Spotted Cuckoo on migration on 22nd April. Not for the first time, for I see I noted a large flock roosting one night close to my camp in the Jordan valley the 5th April, 1872. Unlike *Cuculus canorus*, *Oxylophus glandarius* migrates sociably in large bands. They travelled very leisurely, and while scattered along the whole length of the valley which they were crossing, kept up ceaseless conversation, some few jerking their tails on the edge of the cliffs, while the greater number pursued their course among the bushes, searching for food as they descended our side of the valley and climbed the opposite face. Their behaviour, but for their noisy tongues, was very like that of a well-ordered flock of Rooks, with their sentries on their feeding-ground. When they had reached the north ridge of the valley, they seemed to take stock of the situation, and very soon rose in the air, perhaps stimulated by our ineffective shots, and pursued their course till out of sight.

Another bird I was able to notice on its breeding-haunts more closely than I had hitherto done. This was my *Calandrella hermonensis*, which several critics have pronounced to be only a large form of *C. brachydactyla*. Apart from the fact that the haunts of the latter are invariably the plains, while the other is found only on the rocky heights, the flight is quite different, and it would be impossible for any one who had been once introduced to both species in their own homes to confuse them. *C. hermonensis* has a habit of perching on the edge of a rock or on the top of a small boulder, and uttering an oft-repeated, rather plaintive, but very clear note, utterly unlike that of the Short-toed Lark, and something like that of an exceptionally musical Yellowammer. It also is a solitary, and not a gregarious bird, and when it rises, though it has much of the soaring character of the Sky-Lark, it does not attempt a sustained song.

The only additions I have to make to my former Palestine lists are *Saxicola morio*, Hempr. & Ehr. (*S. leucomela*, Pall.),
which, curiously enough, I never saw, or overlooked, in former years, but which was found by Hemprich and Ehrenberg, and since their days in some plenty in Cyprus by Lord Lilford. I met with it frequently in the north of Palestine, but apparently on migration, though I think there can be no doubt that it breeds on the Syrian highlands. I also obtained near Beyrout the Goldcrest, *Regulus cristatus*, and the Great Snipe, *Gallinago major*. I saw also a specimen of the Bearded Tit (*Panurus biarmicus*), which had been shot in some reeds near Beyrout on November 18th by Dr. Van Dyck. These four are the only absolute additions I have to make to our fauna.

I may also note that the only specimen of the Cream-coloured Courser which I obtained is very different in hue from any others I have ever seen, being of a much deeper and richer colour. There is no other distinction which I can detect. In measurements it exactly corresponds with a dozen other specimens in my collection.

The Francolin appears to have now a wider range in Palestine than has hitherto been ascribed to it, and we several times put it up in places where, had it existed eighteen years ago, I could not have missed it. In the dry thickets of the Lower Jordan valley, on the east side, we often heard four or five males uttering their challenge soon after dawn, and succeeded in flushing them from the brushwood. They were also plentiful near the springs of the Jordan, at Tell Kadi, where, though one of our best nesting-grounds in 1864, we had never found them. In fact there were few patches of stunted scrub in the plains, wet or dry, where the Francolin was not heard. In Syria, north of the Lebanon, it is spread over the whole lowlands, affecting especially the myrtle-thickets. Though, alas! extinct in Europe, it would seem to be on the increase throughout Syria, while in Cyprus, according to common report, the annexation to England has sealed its doom.

When, in the early part of May, I crossed the Lebanon and journeyed up the coast, and then across the Ansairiyeh Mountains into the Syrian plain, I had a good opportunity of noticing how very sharp is the line of demarcation between several closely allied species. It is well known that deserts
and mountain-ranges form barriers far more definite than a 
much greater expanse of water; but it is remarkable that a 
range like Lebanon, rarely reaching an altitude of 10,000 
feet, should prove a sharp dividing-line which certain species 
ever cross, though the climatic and other conditions are 
equivalent on both sides of the line.

For instance, no Warbler is more conspicuous or abundant 
in the whole of Palestine than *Sylvia galactodes*, the Rufous 
Warbler. After the last week in April it is to be seen every-
where on upland and lowland alike, expanding, jerking, and 
fanning its tail, with its conspicuous white bar, on the bare 
fig-trees, among olives, on the top of any little shrub, or on 
the pathway in front of the horseman, hopping fearlessly on 
at his close approach. No specimen of its ally (*S. familiaris*) 
have I ever noticed among the thousands I have seen, though 
I was keenly on the look out for it. But when, after leaving 
Beyrout, I followed the coast-line northwards, so soon as we 
had passed the headlands of Lebanon and entered the rich 
plain of Tripolis, not a solitary *S. galactodes* was ever seen, 
while *S. familiaris* was as abundant everywhere as its con-
gener had been in the south. During the whole of my 
journey through Syria, across the Euphrates, then up to the 
Tabit and through Armenia and Cilicia, I never for an hour 
lost sight of *S. familiaris*, most appropriately so-named. 
Never once did I see *S. galactodes*. North and east we 
have the one species; south and west, as far as Algeria, Spain, 
and Morocco we find the other. Yet *S. familiaris*, in return-
ing from its winter-quarters, must pass through Palestine in 
order to reach its own summer retreats. If the respective 
ranges were as Mr. Seebohm puts them in his admirable 
Brit. Mus. Catalogue, there would be less difficulty; but 
he has omitted Palestine from the breeding-quarters of 
*S. galactodes*, though he enumerates my specimens taken 
while breeding, and he states that *S. familiaris* breeds in 
Palestine. This would certainly simplify matters if it only 
did so. But it does not.

Another curious proximity of closely allied species I noted 
in the case of the two Rock-Nuthatches. The larger form,
Sitta syriaca, has never been found in Syria; but under the red-tapeism of the commentators on the Brit. Assoc. Rules it retains the name ("lucus a non lucendo"), while the smaller form, S. neumeyeri is found throughout all the mountainous parts of Galilee and Syria. But when, after crossing the Syrian plains, we begin to ascend the southern spurs of the Tamid range, here and throughout Armenia we find the large species, S. syriaca, the specimens equalling the very largest from Turkestan. So far, however, from supplanting its lesser relative, S. neumeyeri appears to be, throughout Southern Armenia, more numerous than in Syria, and that side by side with the larger species. I have shot a pair of each on the same rock at the same time. I admit them to be distinct races, but grudge specific distinction to mere size, especially when, as here, I could detect no differences in the voice.

Another bird which seems to have its boundaries defined by a very sharp line is the Magpie (Pica rustica). Though abundant in Cyprus, it is never seen in Syria, either north or south of the Lebanon; but about a day's ride north of Aleppo, on the borders of Armenia, just as we begin to ascend the lower Tamid range, it appears haunting the white poplars which fringe the streams, and is spread in small numbers throughout Armenia. Why it should avoid the Syrian plains and decline to leave the southern slopes of the Tamid is difficult to understand. Russell gives it in his list of the birds of Aleppo; but as its range is still so close to that city that Dr. Russell may have often seen it, I do not see any ground for supposing that it has retreated north within the last century and a half.

But the most interesting ornithological sight I was privileged to enjoy in Syria was the Rose-coloured Pastor on migration. On May 26th I was on the ruins of Kelat Seijar, the ancient Larissa, a romantic and isolated fortress on the banks of the Orontes. The river flows due west towards it down a deep glen, with cliffs of great height on the south, but lower on the north. The country on both sides is a vast plain, but generally higher on the left than on the right bank of the Orontes. Just at this spot a bold spur of rock runs
from south to north, abruptly terminating in a bluff. For
the last two miles the promontory is not over 200 yards wide.
The river meets this wall of rock at right angles, and dashing
against it, suddenly turns due north and flows through a
magnificent fissure till it reaches the end of the bluff, when it
turns as sharply again, rounds it, and pursues its westward
course. Along this ridge was perched the citadel of Larissa.
Strong and almost inaccessible as it is by nature, Seleucus
still further strengthened it by cutting a huge fosse, 300 feet
deep, exactly opposite the spot where the river strikes the
cliff, but the bottom of the fosse is still several hundred feet
above the stream. In fact one might imagine that the Syrian
king had some idea of making a channel for the river at this
point, the huge trench being exactly in a line with that
worked out by the water. We were standing on the top of
the massive battlements which overhang the fosse, enjoying
such a variety of bird-life as one can seldom watch in such a
narrow space. The battlement on which we lay was, perhaps,
60 feet above the gorge, and our presence was quite
ignored by the busy throng below us. Some dozen pairs of
Lesser Kestrel (Tinnunculus cenchris) were disporting them-
selves in front of their inaccessible nesting-places, or hovering,
apparently motionless, in mid air a hundred feet beneath us.
A busy flock of Bee-eaters (Merops apiaster), which had bur-
rowed out their nests in a bank round the corner, poised them-
selves, rose and fell, suddenly perched on the cliff-side, and, after
a moment's pause, darted out again, practising the evolutions
of Kestrel, Swallow, and Flycatcher by turns. Softly and
silently a few pairs of Rock-Swallows (Hirundo rupestris) stole
backwards and forwards, skimming past the hovering Kestrel
with perfect indifference. A pair of Wall-creeper were gliding
along the opposite face of the fosse, zigzagging up and down
with wings partially open and apparently motionless. Rollers
by the dozen were screaming, tumbling, and darting up and
down the narrow fissure, chasing with dissonant shrieks a
few Jackdaws, who were evidently tabooed by every one else,
but who resented their ostracism. On a sudden, with a whiz
and a sound of wings almost deafening for a moment, a dark
cloud dashed from the river's channel through the opening, and immediately deployed in the plain to the west. At first, as they approached, they might have been taken for the Common Starling; but when we looked down on the rosy backs flashing beneath us, it was plain enough that we had come on a migration of the Pastor. Hardly had this flight passed, when, turning our eyes up the river, we saw another cloud gliding, like a balloon, just over the ravine of the Orontes towards us. About a quarter of a mile above us was a small islet in the centre of the stream, of perhaps a quarter of an acre in extent. It was covered with rich long grass. The balloon hovered over it for a moment, then rapidly expanded into the shape of an inverted parachute, then flattened out, then became a spiral column, then, like a water-spout, dropped on the islet, which, in less time than it takes to write it, was suddenly transformed from a green oasis to a black patch. Not a trace of green could be seen; the whole was simply a mass of birds, so closely packed that the rose-colour was invisible—the black of heads and wings had absorbed all else. After remaining here a few minutes, as if to take breath, the mass suddenly rose and dashed in a long line through the fosse. They took about a minute to pass. I fired once at random (there was no need to choose a thick place), and an hour afterwards I picked up five dead birds, all of them in full plumage. We waited for some time, as flight after flight, in rapid succession, passed down the river's channel, often in strange forms—wreaths, balloons, columns—deploying into long lines, never leaving the river's course, but generally high in the air above it. But all of them as they approached this cutting dropped from their aerial height, and leaving the tortuous stream, struck right through the cleft far below us. The plain westward is uninterrupted, and here they at once spread themselves out, and, after skimming very near the ground, at length alighted, probably in quest of locusts.

From Kelat Seijar we pursued for two days a north-easterly course over the Syrian plain, and through the whole journey flock after flock of Pastors passed us, all pursuing a due west route. At one place we came suddenly, after mounting a gentle
ascent, on the crater of an extinct volcano, full of water and surrounded with basalt boulders. As we came up, one of these flights, which had alighted to drink, rose in alarm and darkened the air overhead. About a dozen fell to a random shot, and every one I picked up was in full breeding-plumage. At another place a solitary tree over a well was so covered with them that the colour of the tree changed from black to green as we approached. Once we came on a patch of some acres which had recently been visited by locusts. The old locusts were gone, but the young, not more than a quarter of an inch long, made the ground literally alive. They rose at every step of our horses like sand-lice on the sea-shore from a piece of seaweed left by the tide. Just after we had passed through this patch of devastating flight, I turned my head and saw a great globe in the air. It suddenly turned, expanded, and like a vast fan descended to the ground. We waited a few minutes, and saw acres covered with a moving black mass, dappled with pink. In a short time the mass became restless, and we rode back. The birds rose quietly, but not till we were close on them, and only those within dangerous distance. But not a young locust could we see. The Pastor had well earned its name of the "Locust-bird," and one batch of foes to man and his labour had been promptly and for ever exterminated.

After these three days I never again saw a Pastor. The natives all declared their visits to be most uncertain and occasional. They assured me they had not seen one for three years, though they always look and hope for them in locust-years, which these last had been. They always come from the east and go to the west. They never saw them return, nor did they ever hear of their breeding here. Throughout Syria the bird is everywhere familiar by name, but nowhere is it known to sojourn; nor was I able to ascertain whether its migration is always at the same season. When and where do they breed? Among the hundreds of thousands which crossed our path I did not detect one in young plumage; and therefore they could not yet have bred, although it was near the end of May—unless, indeed, they had left their
broods in India, and taken their summer holiday free from family cares. As it is, their behaviour reminds one very much of the erratic customs of the Waxwing. I ought to have mentioned that these amazing flocks keep up an incessant chatter, a deafening babble, not so much overpowering by its volume of sound, as implying a myriad of voices, "the voice of many waters," not, so far as I could judge, to be distinguished from the notes of a great swarm of Starlings.

From Aintab I struck down to the Euphrates at Birejik, where Mr. Danford had promised me a rich treat in the opportunity of studying the Bald Ibis (Comatibis comata); nor was I disappointed. The shores of the Euphrates, so far as I have seen them, are, for the most part, bare and uninteresting. Trees and birds are alike scarce. Gulls flit up and down; but I never could identify the species, though among them the large Larus ichthyaetus must have been one. There are many Waders; but of course these are all of familiar species—Redshank, Green Sandpiper, Kentish Plover, and especially the Pratincole, being the most abundant. The neighbourhood of Birejik, however, is exceptionally good ground for the ornithologist. Though the right bank on the Syrian side slopes down almost insensibly from the plain, the river is fenced on the Mesopotamian side by a long range of bold and lofty cliffs. On them is perched the town of Birejik, where the cliffs leave but a narrow strip of low-lying land by the river-bank. Above and below the cliffs recede, and the little plain is well-wooded and carefully cultivated. Here, on both sides, is abundance of bird-life. The telegraph-line to Teheran here crosses the Euphrates, and the Bee-eaters prefer its wires to any other perch. I was delighted to find here, for the first time in any numbers, a colony of the Persian Bee-eater (Merops persicus), not so numerous as M. apiaster, but still plentiful. The habits of the two species are markedly different when seen together. M. persicus is by no means shy, and perches much more frequently than the other, settling on low trees, and frequently on the top of a thistle-tuft.

While waiting for the ferry-boat, as the shades of evening
were coming on, long lines of a large black bird, like Ravens in the distance, began to pass over us, flying low and heavily to the other side of the river. As they approached, frequently within a few feet of our heads, we recognized them at once as the great Bald Ibis, *Comatibis comata*, whose acquaintance I had only made once in my life before, in the Sahara. Noble fellows they looked, as their long red bills outstretched and their red legs and feet contrasted with their resplendent black plumage, lazily flapping their wings as they sailed, rather than flew, noiselessly over us. We might have brought down as many as we pleased, but were warned by the bystanders that the birds were sacred, and that it would be a crime to kill one. I had no wish to get into trouble on my first entrance into Mesopotamia, and so bided my time. The birds congregated on the other side of the water, exactly after the manner of Rooks, some among the houses, many on the old castle, and more along the cliffs higher up.

Our quarters at Birejik were at a schoolmaster's house (a native Christian), high up in the town, and just under a cliff inhabited by a colony of Ibises. Next morning the birds all disappeared at daybreak, and the rookery was apparently deserted till sunset. Not really so, for I discovered that many young birds were still in their nests, though all were hatched, and we were too late (8th June) for eggs. The inhabitants of Birejik are chiefly Moslem, and believe that the Ibises contain the souls of the departed saints. It is consequently a crime to kill them, and their nests, though easily accessible, are never disturbed. My host, however, told me he could put me in the way of obtaining specimens. Accordingly, in the afternoon, a young man called to inform me he could take me a little before sunset to a spot where I could secure as many as I wished without being seen. We started forth, and armed with a permit to visit the ruined Saracenic castle, we were passed through the gate of the citadel, which is under the cliff on which the old fortress is perched; and passing through it, we stationed ourselves unobserved in a recess, away from the town, just under a ledge, which is one of the favourite roosting-places of the holy bird.
Here we could see them as they quietly sailed home at sunset. I secured five specimens, which dropped at my feet in quiet succession. The noise disturbed the young ones above, and seeing a head and neck projected over the cliff above, I was fortunate enough to hit it; but it fell back out of sight, and I gave up my prey as lost. In a minute or two, however, it must have rolled over in the death struggle, for it fell dead at my feet. The bird was fully fledged, and quite able to fly, having scarcely any trace of the nesting-plumage; but instead of the large bony protuberance at the back of the skull and the bare red skin, the base of the skull presented no peculiar development, and the whole head was covered to the base of the bill with thick short feathers, mottled black and white. I afterwards saw some younger nestlings looking out over the ledge, and others pacing backwards and forwards, as though preparing to try their pinions. The nests of the birds seemed to be a handful of twigs and straws placed close to the back of the rock, and the birds sit almost as close as sea-fowl on a ledge at Flambro' Head. Our discharges had had no effect in scaring away the rapid arrivals; and as I already had more on hand than I could accomplish, after waiting till it was nearly dark, we packed up our six prizes in a large Arab cloak, which my companion threw over his shoulder, so as to conceal the contents, and took our departure, I following my guide at a cautious distance, so as to avoid exciting the suspicion that my gun had any connexion with his burden. The heat was so intense, the thermometer 96° in the shade, that I had to work throughout the greater part of the night in the yard of the house in order to preserve the specimens; and I have no desire ever again to skin six Ibises after a hard day's work, by lamplight, in a temperature of near 100°.

The people assured me that these birds only come for the breeding-season, and are never seen during the rest of the year, not even a straggler remaining; and this is the only breeding-place I could hear of during my travels, nor did I ever see a Bald Ibis on the Euphrates or away from it, except in the environs of Birejik. Considering that it breeds only on the Mesopotamian side of the river, I am at a loss to under-
stand its claim to appear in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' except that my friend thought it a pity that it should be left any longer without a memoir.

Elsewhere on the Euphrates I frequently started the Black Stork, which seems a very solitary bird, as I never put up two together. I know few more attractive sights than a Black Stork suddenly rising from a mud-bank in front, and then working his legs behind him as a rudder, while he circles round you till he has got well overhead, so as to take stock of the intruder from a safe distance.

At Carchemish, in the great Hittite mound, the employés of the British Museum have been making sundry excavations. These have been only moderately prolific, the chief objects of interest, and the Hittite slabs, having been found, not in the mound, but under the Greek city of Hierapolis below. But their labours have not been lost on the Bee-eaters, who have found the sides of the shafts most convenient for nesting, the débris being soft and easily penetrated. Both species, *M. apiaster* and *M. persicus*, were breeding here in colonies in the same shafts. But the moment they quitted the mound they held no further intercourse. *M. apiaster* hunted high in mid air, or hovered over the river and took flights beyond it. Its congener at once betook himself less adventurously to the plain and ruins beneath, and there skimmed close to the surface, perching continually on the stones which strew the site of the ancient metropolis.

When from Mesopotamia we turned north and, crossing the Euphrates at Samosait, entered Southern Armenia, we were at once surrounded by a very different fauna and flora from that which we had left. Instead of the yellow rose of North Syria, or the powerfully scented white rose of the Euphrates, we were in the home of the sweetbriar. Instead of the Isabel Wheatear (*Saxicola isabellina*), which, with the Calandra and Short-toed Larks, was almost the only winged denizen of the plains, where it is in amazing numbers, every turn, every clump of trees now introduced to us some old or new feathered friend. Not that I saw one which has not been commented on or reported by Mr. Danford; but there
are few mentioned by him which I did not see. I have seldom come across a richer piece of collecting-ground than the wooded mountain-track between Beshni and Nadjar. In places where we followed the course of a little rocky stream in a winding woody dell, the variety of bird-life was bewildering. Here, and here alone, I obtained Emberiza cinerea, which must be a most local bird. I found it feeding in small companies, probably broods, in little patches of marshy grass, and then concealing itself in the thick scrub. Here, too, were the large and small forms of Rock-Nuthatch together. The charming Robin-Chat (Cossypha gutturalis) had not ceased to sing, and was most abundant, but only where trees and rocks intermingled. The cedars were everywhere tenanted with little bands of Parus lugubris, and Picus medius occasionally showed himself. Both Magpie and Jay could be seen and heard, the latter especially numerous. I cannot conceive a field better likely than this to reward an ornithologist earlier in the season. Every Warbler, Redstart, and Bunting of Eastern Europe seemed to abound; and I should have been well content, had time permitted, to have stayed some days on these mountain-sides. We were about 7000 feet above the sea-level.

I must not conclude without alluding to one discovery, which I have already brought before the Zoological Society*, viz. the breeding-colonies of Plotus levaillanti and Phalacrocorax pygmaeus in the Lake of Antioch, in Northern Syria. The lake, which is many miles in extent, is very shallow, swarming with eels, which appear to form the staple of the diet of the inhabitants of Antioch. On the northern side of the lake are thousands of small islets, with only a few feet of water between them, so that one can wade easily from one to the other. Here the Snake-bird, Little Cormorant, and Common Tern (Sterna hirundo) have their common breeding-ground. The islets are covered with very coarse grass, not reeds, and a sort of low marsh-myrtle. For its nest the Plotus merely seems to tread down a tuft of coarse grass or rushes, or to settle down the centre of a little bush, much after the fashion of a Coot, but not so neatly. Wherever

* Cf. P. Z. S. 1881, p. 826.
there is a stick on which it can perch it may always be seen, looking, I presume, after the eels. It is a very late breeder, not hatching till the beginning of June. The nests are, for the most part, very close together; and as soon as the young can fly the whole colony suddenly disappears, and is not seen again till the next spring, arriving, so far as I could learn, a little later than the Cormorants, at the end of April. I was surprised to find the Common Tern breeding in these lakes, and no trace whatever of the White-winged Black Tern, so common on the coast, and of the Whiskered Tern, which would certainly be found in such localities in Algeria or Tunis.

I fear these notes must have exercised the patience of those readers who have got so far as this. But I crave their indulgence, reminding them that, however absorbing the interest, historical and archæological, of these regions, they are neither fresh fields nor pastures new to the naturalist, though even here the nesting-places of the Bald Ibis and the Darter may afford some inducement to younger and more energetic travellers to follow in our steps and make amends for our deficiencies.

XXXI.—Further Notes on the Ornithology of Siberia.
By Henry Seebohm.
Since my last notes on the ornithology of Siberia (Ibis, 1880, p. 179) I have received three small collections of birds from that country, two from my collector in Krasnoyarsk, Mr. Kibort, and one from Samarcand, the latter sent me by Dr. Staudinger, of Dresden. Among the examples contained in these collections are some of unusual interest.

**Falco babylonicus.**
A fine example of Gurney’s Falcon from Samarcand is dated 5th March.

**Falco subbuteo.**
Mr. Kibort has sent me an adult male Hobby and bird of the year from Krasnoyarsk.
Buteo ferox.
An example of the Long-legged Buzzard from Samarcand is in the rufous phase described by Bogdanow from the Caucasus. Possibly this so-called "phase" of plumage may represent the eastern form.

Syrnium uralense.
Mr. Kibort has sent me a fine example of the arctic form of the Ural Owl from Krasnoyarsk. It is the greyest example I have ever seen. Examples from Hakodadi are slightly more rufous, those from the Amoor still more so, and those from Lapland are the most rufous of all. If Pallas's name be retained for the arctic form, we must call the Lapland bird Syrnium lituratum.

Asio Ægolius.
Examples of the Short-eared Owl sent me from Krasnoyarsk are very interesting. The female does not differ from our bird; but the males are very much greyer, and are good specimens of the Strix Ægolius of Pallas, the arctic form of Asio brachyotus. In China, as might be expected, our bird reappears.

Athene bactriana.
Three examples of the Little Owl from Samarcand belong undoubtedly to the species originally described by Capt. Hutton from Candahar, and afterwards redescribed by Swinhoe from near Shato, in North China, as Athene plumipes (P. Z. S. 1870, p. 448). This appears to be a good species. The toes are thickly feathered almost to the claw, whilst in A. noctua and its ally they have only a thin covering of hairy bristles. The tarsus is also much shorter, measuring 1·1 to 1 inch, whilst that of A. noctua measures 1·4 to 1·25. Athene glaucus is conspecific with A. noctua. Examples of the former from the countries south of the Mediterranean are very distinct from those of the latter from the countries north of the Mediterranean; but examples from Greece are paler, approaching the southern form; and in Asia Minor both extremes occur together with intermediate forms.
Lanius major.
Of two examples of Pallas's Grey Shrike from Krasnoyarsk, one appears to be thorough-bred, with no white at the base of the outer webs of the secondaries, the other shows distinct traces of white, though to a very small extent.

Lanius leucopterus × excubitor.
Another example of a Grey Shrike from Krasnoyarsk is the Siberian form of L. homeyeri with the white rump.

Lanius leucopterus.
Two examples from Krasnoyarsk are thorough-bred White-winged Grey Shrikes. This species has recently been renamed Lanius prjevalskii by Bogdanow.

Saxicola capistrata.
I have a male of this Indian Chat from Samarcand.

Saxicola opistholeuca.
I have both male and female from Samarcand of Strickland's Chat.

Erithacus cyaneus.
A fine adult male of the Siberian Blue Robin from Krasnoyarsk slightly extends the known geographical range of this species to the west.

Ruticilla erythronota.
Six examples of Eversmann's Redstart from Samarcand are interesting. In my volume of the 'Catalogue of Birds in the British Museum' (p. 348) I erroneously included Ruticilla alaschanica of Prjevalsky as a synonym of this species. Prjevalski's bird is quite distinct, the black of the lores, ear-coverts, and sides of the neck being replaced by slate-grey.

Troglodytes pallidus.
An example of the arctic form of the Common Wren from Samarcand is very distinct from our bird. Sharpe recognizes it as a subspecies only in his last volume of the Catalogue of Birds. It probably will prove to be so; but I am not aware that intermediate forms have yet been found. Sharpe
places it in the genus *Anorthura*. This is another instance of the folly of attempting to carry out the Stricklandian rules of nomenclature to the letter. There ought to be a rule that no author can raise a specific name into a generic name without making the species the name of which is thus stolen the type of the new genus. The common sense of ornithologists has hitherto preserved them from violating such a self-evident proposition. I venture to think that no ornithologist of any standing will follow Sharpe in this attempt to rob poor Jenny Wren of a name she has borne for three quarters of a century.

**Certhia teniura.**

Eight examples of this species from Samarcand, originally described by Severtzow from Turkestan, appear to be distinct from *Certhia himalayana*. They are somewhat larger and paler in colour than their Indian ally, with much longer bills. In length of wing they measure from 2.7 inches to 2.9 (*C. himalayana* 2.65 to 2.8), and the length of the culmen varies from .85 to 1.05 (*C. himalayana* .65 to .75). It is not improbable that intermediate forms may exist in intermediate localities.


This species, of which Mr. Kibort has sent me an example from Krasnoyarsk, was originally described by Pallas. It may be described as a pale or arctic form of our Creeper, and is probably identical with the northern form found on the American continent. Its southern limit in Central Asia appears to be Kashmir, where it has received the name of *C. mandelli*.

**Sitta syriaca.**

Six examples of this large pale Rock-Nuthatch from Samarcand measure respectively in length of wing 3.52 inches, 3.45, 3.35, 3.3, 3.25, and 3.25. Unfortunately they are not sexed. It is not known that intermediate forms between this species and *Sitta neumeyeri* occur, though both of them are found together in some localities*.

* Cf. supra, p. 418.—Edd.
**Orientalismo de Siberia.**

**CiNCLUS ASIATICUS.**
I have an example from Samarcand.

**HENICURUS scouleri.**
An example of the Short-tailed Forktail from Samarcand is, so far as I know, obtained further west than has hitherto been recorded of this Himalayan bird.

**Regulus himalayensis.**
Three examples of this species from Samarcand belong to this race. It is intermediate between *R. japonicus* and *R. cristatus*, not quite so grey on the sides of the head and on the nape as the former, but not so green as the latter. The females are, however, greyer than those of either race. Examples from Asia Minor are intermediate between *R. himalayensis* and *R. cristatus*.

**Accentor atrigularis.**
I have two skins from Samarcand.

**Acanthyllis caudacuta.**
An adult female skin of the Spine-tailed Swift, obtained at Krasnoyarsk in July, extends the known breeding-range of this species more to the west.

**Picus syriacus-leucopterus.**
A series of thirty skins of this species from Samarcand exhibits considerable variation, and goes far to prove that *P. syriacus* and *P. leucopterus* are conspecific, and that *P. leptorhynchus* is one of the intermediate forms between them. *P. syriacus-leucopterus* vel *P. leptorhynchus* is found in Afghanistan, and was misnamed by Colonel Swinhoe "*P. sindianus*, Gould" (anteà, p. 102). These Woodpeckers are quite distinct from *P. major* and its allies.

**Picus cissa.**
Examples of the Great Spotted Woodpecker from Krasnoyarsk, as well as others from Archangel, are easily distinguishable from British and South-European skins, Sharpe and Dresser's assertion to the contrary notwithstanding. *Picus cissa* of Pallas may always be known by its pure white underparts. *P. major* reappears in China.
CAPRIMULGUS AEGYPTIUS.
An example of this species from Samarcand may throw some light on the appearance of this bird on Heligoland.

ALCEDO ISPIDA-BENGALENSIS.
I have an example of this species from Samarcand and another from Krasnoyarsk. The Indian bird is distinct from the European species, being constantly shorter in the wing, though having the bill quite as long. The difference between the two species is, however, completely bridged over by intermediate forms from South Siberia, China, and Japan. It was to one of the infinite series of these intermediate forms that Reichenbach gave the name of Alcedo pallasi.

PYRRHULA CINERACEA.
Mr. Kibort has sent me a skin of the Cinereous Bullfinch from Krasnoyarsk. I have in my collection skins from the island of Askold, near the harbour of Vladivostok, from the river Ouon, a little to the east of Lake Baical, from Turkestan, and from Asia Minor.

CARDUELIS MAJOR.
CARDUELIS MAJOR-CANICEPS.
CARDUELIS CANICEPS.
A series of eleven Goldfinches from Krasnoyarsk, all dating between 25th October and 2nd January, exhibit Taczanowsky's Goldfinch and Eversmann's Goldfinch, and a gradual series of intermediate forms between them, probably the result of the direct interbreeding of the two extreme forms with each other and with the hybrids of different degrees, as is the case with the Carrion and Hooded Crows in the same valley*.

An example of Brandt's Shore-Lark from Samarcand is identical with the species known as O. albigula, Brandt. But "Otocoris albigula, Brandt," of Bonap. Consp. i. p. 246, which is the earliest publication of the name known to exist, refers to another bird, namely O. penicillata, a fact which puts the name O. albigula out of court.

Ornithology of Siberia.

**TETRAOGALLUS HIMALAYENSIS.**
I have a fine example from Samarcand.

**PTEROCLES ARENARIA.**
I have two examples from Samarcand.

**SYRRHAPTES PARADOXUS.**
I have two examples from Samarcand.

**CHARADRIUS VEREDUS.**
I have an example from Samarcand. The axillaries are grey, but the tarsus only measures 1'65 inch, whilst in two examples from Shanghai it measures respectively 1'7 and 1'88.

**CHARADRIUS VANELLUS.**
I have an example of the Lapwing from Samarcand.

**CHARADRIUS GREGARIUS.**
I have four examples of the Sociable Plover from Samarcand.

**PHALAROPUS HYPERBOREUS.**
I have two skins of the Red-necked Phalarope in winter plumage from Samarcand.

It would seem that the more we know of Siberian birds the greater number of easily recognizable Siberian forms present themselves, and many of Pallas’s names, which have been consigned for half a century to the limbo of synonyms, will have to be revived. Though the ‘Zoographia Rosso-Asiatica’ was published in 1811, the first edition was almost entirely destroyed by fire, and this valuable work remained practically unknown to European ornithologists until the reprint in 1831. Since then modern ornithologists have treated Pallas’s names with scant courtesy. In some cases, where they have had an opportunity of comparing examples from Siberia with skins from Western Europe, they have admitted the validity of his species; but in other cases, where they have had access to East-European skins, the existence of intermediate forms has been alleged as a reason for ignoring them, and Siberian forms have too often been passed by with a contemptuous sneer, as beneath the notice
of science. In the majority of cases, however, the writers have never seen a Siberian skin, and Pallas’s names have been enrolled in the list of synonyms without note or comment. A fertile cause of this neglect is to be found in a blind adherence to the binomial system of nomenclature. It is time that the study of ornithology should be freed from the red tape which the antiquated philosophers of the British Association have wound around it. With these writers a variation is either specific or it is nothing. They attempt to draw a line where nature has drawn none. Their dogmatic criticism of Pallas’s species, "we consider this a good species," or "we cannot admit the validity of this species," reads, in the light which the theory of evolution has thrown upon these questions, like a satire upon their own ignorance. The fact that for more than a century a binomial system of nomenclature has been more or less rigidly adopted by ornithologists is an obscure circumstance of comparative small moment; but the fact that, for example, the Nuthatch of Western and Southern Europe is represented in Scandinavia by a semi-arctic form modified by the influence of the Gulf-stream, and that eastwards a truly arctic form occurs, which, in the valley of the Amoor, again becomes semi-arctic, but of a different type from the western semi-arctic form, whilst in China the South-European form reoccurs on a somewhat smaller scale, and in the mountains of Assam the western semi-arctic form is also reproduced on a slightly smaller scale, is a fact, or rather a series of facts, of the deepest interest to the student; and if the binomial system of nomenclature cannot be adapted so as to catalogue these facts in a proper manner, then the sooner the binomial system of nomenclature is cast to the dogs the better.

The fact remains that many Siberian birds which are common to Europe do present marked differences in colour, not only amongst resident birds, but also amongst migrants. The colours of the Siberian birds are more pronounced, the blacks are blacker and the whites are whiter. Darwin would doubtless explain these facts on two hypotheses. Where the change of colour resembled that of the surrounding objects,
the change would be said to be protective; and where it con-
trasted with them, it would be ascribed to sexual selection.
No doubt in some instances the male Siberian bird does
differ from his relation in Western Europe more than their
respective females do; but I am not aware of any evidence to
prove that the oriental taste for rich colour is shared by the
bipeds with feathers with the bipeds without feathers. I am
inclined to ascribe the differences under consideration rather
to the direct influence of climate, which may have a chemical
action on the colouring-matter of the feathers, in the absence
of natural sexual relations to interfere with its operation.
But whatever the cause may be, the effects are great orni-
thological facts, which can no longer be ignored by the
student; and our system of nomenclature must be made to
recognize them at any cost, even if its binomial character
has to be modified for the purpose. Probably the simplest
course will be to give binomial names to the two extreme
forms, whether the difference between them be specific or
subspecific, and to reserve the trinomial nomenclature for
the intermediate forms which must exist in the latter case.
No doubt such a system increases the number of names with
which the memory has to be burdened, and fails to show the
relationship of nearly allied species. It is high time, how-
ever, that ornithologists came to some mutual understanding
on this question. Are we in the future going to discriminate
between the two forms of the Great Spotted Woodpecker or
not? And if we are, what system are we going to adopt?
In this paper I have called them respectively

*Picus major,*
*Picus cissa.*

The American plan would be to call them

*Picus major,*
*Picus major, var. cissa.*

An improvement upon this would be

*Picus major (typicus),*
*Picus major (arcticus).*

At present we either do not discriminate them at all, like
Sharpe and Dresser; or we adopt an unscientific mixture of Latin and English, and speak of

The typical form of *Picus major*,
The arctic form of *Picus major*.

Perhaps, after all, the last is the best, as giving the greatest amount of information with the least strain on the memory, except in the case of intermediate forms. No one can deny that *Picus major-cissa* is a much better phrase than "an intermediate form between the typical and arctic forms of *Picus major.*"

XXXII. — On a new Species of Hemipode from New Britain.

(Plate XII.)

A few months ago I received, through Mr. Sclater, a small collection of birds in spirit from various parts of the world, which had been forwarded to him for identification by Herr J. D. E. Schmeltz, Curator of the Godeffroy Museum in Hamburg. Amongst these was a single specimen (which on dissection proved to be a female) of a small *Turnix* from New Britain, where it had been collected by the late Herr Klein-schmidt, who was murdered by the natives of that inhospitable island shortly afterwards.

I at first thought that this bird was referable to the Australian *Turnix melanotera* of Gould; but having compared it with Gould's types of that species, now in the collection of the Academy of Sciences in Philadelphia, as well as with a series of ten specimens in the British Museum, I am inclined to consider it specifically distinguishable from the Australian bird, and propose therefore to call it

*Turnix saturata*. (Plate XII.)

Affinis *T. melanotera*, sed rostro crassiore magisque curvato, superciliis magis rufescentibus, et colore subitus omnino (præsertim in mento, gula et pector) intensiore distinguenda.

Long. al. 3·2, tars. '85 poll. Angl.
Besides my specimen I have seen two quite similar ones, also females, one kindly lent me by Canon Tristram, the other in the collection of the British Museum. Both these were collected by Mr. Layard in Blanche Bay, New Britain.

*Turnix saturata* differs from the Australian *T. melanonota*, to which it is closely allied, in its generally darker colour above, as well as in the greater intensity of the rufous colouring of the underparts, this being not only of a much deeper hue, but extending quite onto the throat and chin, the latter being almost white in *Australian* examples of *T. melanonota*. The rufous eyebrows are also much more conspicuous, and, as so often happens in insular forms as compared with their continental representatives, the beak is much larger and thicker, besides being more curved and of a dirty yellow colour, as opposed to the generally horny colour of the beak of *T. melanonota*.

*Turnix saturata* as yet appears to have been only found in New Britain and the Duke-of-York group*, in which latter locality it was met with by Mr. Layard, Jun. (‘Ibis,’ 1880, p. 302). It is, I believe, the only species of the Turnicidae yet known as inhabiting the Papuan Islands, eleven altogether of that group being found in the Australian region. Of these the following is a complete list. Of all of them, except *T. scintillans*, I have seen skins in the collections of the British Museum.

1. *Turnix melanogaster*.


Eastern Australia (Gould).

2. *Turnix varia* (Lath.).


New South Wales, Victoria, S. Australia, and (?) W. Australia (Gould); Rockingham Bay &c. (Ramsay).

* It remains to be seen what species it is which, according to Mr. Ramsay (apud Salvadori, "Pseudeuros," Ann. Mus. Civ. Gen. xviii. p. 9), occurs near Port Moresby.

ser. iv.—vol. vi.
3. **Turnix scintillans.**


Abrolhos Islands, W. Australia (Gould).

4. **Turnix melanonota.**


Moreton Bay (Gould); Cape York (H.M.S. ‘Challenger’); Lizard Island (Jukes in B.M.); Wide Bay, Richmond and Clarence River districts, N. S. Wales, and interior (Ramsay).

5. **Turnix saturata, mihi.**

New Britain (Layard, Kleinschmidt); Duke-of-York group (Layard).

6. **Turnix rufescens.**


Samao Island, Timor (Wall.).

This species I only know from a single specimen obtained by Mr. Wallace, and therefore probably the type of his description (s. c.), in the British Museum. This skin is in poor condition; but the species, though near to *T. melanonota*, is apparently a good one, distinguishable by the scapularies having no edging of creamy buff, as in the last-named bird. A further series of specimens will be necessary to decide the question. Mr. Wallace describes the irides as being brown, whilst in Jukes’s specimen of *T. melanonota* from Lizard Island they are called “white,” as also they are in Layard’s skin of *T. saturata* from New Britain. According to Blyth (‘Ibis,’ 1867, p. 162) *T. rufescens* also occurs in Java; he compares it to the Indian *T. tanki* (= *T. dussumieri*, apud Jerdon, B. India, iii. p. 599).

7. **Turnix castanonota.**


Northern and North-western Australia (Gould).

8. **Turnix velox.**


The collection contains seventy-one skins belonging to forty-three species, and was formed in the months of September, October, and November 1880, on the Yang-tse-kiang, between Hankow and Quaichow-foo, by Mrs. W. G. Greig and Dr. Reid, of Hankow.

**Hypotriorchis subbuteo** (L.).

One adult male, in very brilliant plumage; shot at Hankow.

**Athene whitelyi** (Bl.).

One specimen, apparently a female from the size. The length of the wing less than that given by Père David ("Oiseaux de la Chine"), being only 15 centim. (5.9 inches), instead of 17.5 centim. Killed at Hankow.

**Asio accipitrinus** (Pall.).

One specimen, male, Woocling.
Alcedo bengalensis, Gm.
Three examples. Very common on the Yangtse, especially on the upper reaches.

Phylloscopus superciliosus (Gm.).
One specimen from Hankow.

Ruticilla aurorea (Pall.).
Three specimens—♂ in full summer plumage, ♀ assuming winter plumage, ♀.
Very common by the Yangtse in Szechuen province.

Ruticilla fuliginosa (Vig.).
Three males. This Himalayan species seems to be found in all the western provinces of China, north as well as south, on the courses of mountain-streams. Swinhoe apparently considered it confined to South China and Formosa. It is very common on the Yangtse in Szechuen, from Ichang upwards.

Chimarrornis leucocephala (Vig.).
Four examples. Very abundant on streams in Szechuen and west of Ichang in Hoopih.

Nemura cyanura (Pall.).
One mutilated female from Szechuen.

Parus monticola, Vig.
One specimen, obtained on the river somewhere in Szechuen; usually a bird confined to high altitudes.

From the description of this bird in Père David's 'Oiseaux de la Chine' I should imagine that the secondaries and tertiaries only were tipped with white; but in my specimen both secondaries and tertiaries are so. All the remiges, moreover, are bordered with white on their inner web, which border is broadest where primaries end and secondaries begin. Lastly, the measurements given by David are—tarsus 8 inch and beak 35 inch; in my specimen they are 7 inch and 4 inch respectively.

The species is very like P. major, from which it differs in having slenderer toes and tarsus, a slenderer bill, and the middle as well as greater wing-coverts edged with white,
which make it appear to have two white bars across the wing instead of one.

**Parus minor**, Temm. & Schl.
Three specimens from Szechuen, where it seems to be common.

**Motacilla baikalensis**, Swinhoe.
Swinhoe conjectured that a bird seen, but not shot, by him on the Upper Yangtse was of this species; and doubtless he was right. I have three specimens from thence; and my sister, Mrs. Greig, tells me that it is very common by that river in the province of Szechuen. It is very like *M. alba*, but has more white on the wing-coverts, and the colours are generally more distinct, the pectoral band being broader and darker (*vide* Swinhoe, P. Z. S. 1871, p. 363).

**Motacilla (Calobates) melanope** (Pall.).
One specimen of the short-tailed form, a male in autumn plumage. It is curious as having a tail shorter by \( \frac{2}{0} \) inch than any of the large series Mr. Dresser examined while engaged on the 'Birds of Europe,' viz. 3·3 inches. Szechuen province.

**Anthus agilis**, Sykes.
One example. Abundant in China in summer.

**Monticola saxatilis** (Linn.).
Three examples. Two are males in winter plumage, and have only some half-dozen feathers between them to substantiate their claim to this name (a few rusty feathers about the anus), their plumage otherwise being exactly like the winter plumage of *Monticola cyanus*. I believe it was this plumage which gained its wearer the name *M. affinis* (Blyth). The third is a female in spotted dress.
Common by the Yangtse in Szechuen and Hoopih provinces wherever there are rocks.

**Hemixus xanthorhous** (Anders.).
Three examples from Szechuen and Hoopih provinces, where it is pretty abundant.
Rev. H. H. Slater on a Collection of

Garrulax perspicillatus (Gm.).
One specimen from Szechuen. What does Père David mean by stating that this bird is peculiar to South China, and then remarking a few lines further on (‘Oiseaux de la Chine,’ p. 192) that he found it commonly in Southern Chensi and on the banks of the Hoang-Ho, “où elle réside toute l’année”? 

Dicrurus leucophœus (V.).
One specimen from Woochung, in Hoopih.

Lanius schach, L.
Three specimens from Hoopih province.

Garrulus sinensis, Gould.
Three examples from Hoopih province, where it is common.

Urocissa sinensis (L.).
One specimen from Hoopih province.

Cyanopolius cyaneus (Pall.).
One specimen from Hoopih, the almost exact counterpart in all but its larger size of C. cooki of Spain.

Corvus torquatus, Less.
One specimen from Western Hoopih. The young, according to the description of David and others, must be very like our C. cornix, being hooded with ashy grey in the same manner, though this colour keeps to the shoulders and does not proceed down the back.

Acridotheres cristatellus (L.).
One specimen from the Yangtse, which seems to be its northern boundary, Woochung, opposite to Hankow, in Hoopih.

Sturnus cineraceus, Temm.
Four examples. Plentiful near Hankow and Woochung.

Emberiza cioides, Brandt.
Two specimens, both males, from Szechuen.

Emberiza pusilla, Pall.
One adult male from Hankow, on the plains, an uncommon habitat, as it appears to be in general a mountain-bird; and
these plains are perfectly flat, some twenty miles or more in width, and without trees.

**Picus (Iyngipicus) scintilliceps** (Swinh.).
One male from Hankow (lat. 31°), the southermost record for this bird so far as I can discover.

**Gecinus guerini** (Malh.).
Two specimens, both adult males—one from Woochung, in Central Hoopih, the other from Szechuen.

**Turtur rupicola** (Pall.).
One example, shot at Kweichow, in Eastern Szechuen.

**Turtur chinensis** (Scop.).
One specimen, shot at the same time and from the same flock as the last.

**Phasianus torquatus**, Gm.
Three males from Hankow, which, according to Swinhoe, is the most westerly point that this species reaches. This would seem not to be the case; but they will probably be found in all the mountain-woods up the river. Mrs. Greig found this Pheasant at Ichang, which is some distance west of Hankow.

**Otis tarda**, L.
Two females from Hankow plains. Flocks of as many as six hundred individuals are seen there in winter, and are not very difficult to approach. Probably they come down from Amoorland and Siberia. All the flocks would seem to consist of females alone; but my sister, Mrs. Greig, is going to ascertain this more certainly.

**Ægialitis curonica** (Gm.).
One specimen from Hankow.

**Glareola orientalis**, Leach.
One specimen from Hankow.

**Totanus hypoleucus** (L.).
One specimen from Woochung.
Fulica atra, L.
Two specimens from Woochung. Very numerous on the lakes in central China.

Dafila acuta (L.).
One male, Hankow market.

Querquetula crecca (L.).
One male, Hankow market.

Querquetula (Eunetta) formosa (Georgi).
One male, Hankow market.

Spatula clypeata (L.).
One male, Hankow market.

Podiceps philippensis, Bonn.
One specimen in winter plumage from Woochung.

Larus occidentals, Aud.
Probably the same as L. cachinnans, Pall. One specimen was shot off the Bund at Hankow, which is 600 miles up the Yangtse. This bird is said to have a shorter and stronger bill than L. argentatus. Mr. Dresser, on a cursory inspection, thought this specimen was L. leucophæus. If so, it is much out of its range. But the colour of the mantle is not in favour of this view, it being quite as light as in L. argentatus.


[Continued from p. 321.]

Before proceeding with my notes, I have to recur to a few matters mentioned in my last two papers.

I stated (supra, p. 148) that Japan should be added to the list of localities for Erythropus amurensis, it having been included in the list of Japanese species published in 'The Ibis' for 1878 (vide p. 249). But Mr. Seebohm has been so good as to inform me that the only foundation for its admission to that list was the doubtful suggestion made by
Mr. Swinhoe in 'The Ibis' for 1875, p. 448. This was based on a skin which is now in Mr. Seebohm's possession, and which he has been so good as to allow me to examine. This specimen is merely an adult male of the Common Hobby (Hypotriorchis subbuteo), and is not referable to any phase of Erythropus amurensis.

I also mentioned (suprā, p. 158) that I had not seen any specimen of Hypotriorchis concolor which corresponded with Mr. Sharpe's statement that "very old examples" of that species "become leaden black." This statement I may now modify, as, during a recent visit to the British Museum, I there saw a skin of this species from the province of Betsileo, in Madagascar, which appeared to me to be decidedly melanic, the entire plumage being dark brown slightly tinged with grey on the lower back and on the upper surface of the tail, and greatly resembling in colour the fuliginous plumage of H. eleonorae. This specimen seems, however, not to be a very old bird, as the inner webs of the lateral rectrices are perceptibly cross-barred with bands of a darker brown.

I have also, since my last paper, examined the female Falcon shot by Mr. Blanford in the Anseba valley, Abyssinia, and to which I referred (suprā, p. 308); and having tested the measurements given by me in a note at that page, I find them correct, except that of the middle toe s. u., which I now make 1*90 instead of 2*20. This Falcon is hardly sufficiently adult to admit of a thoroughly satisfactory identification; but I incline to the opinion that it is referable to F. punicus rather than to the southern F. minor.

Of the latter species I measured, at the British Museum, a South-African male, which I had not previously examined, with the following results—wing 10*85, tarsus 1*70, middle toe s. u. 1*80. On remeasuring the South-African female in the British Museum, to which I have referred at p. 313, I find the length of the tarsus is not 2*00, as there given, but 1*80.

I have given, at p. 312, the measurements of a female of F. barbarus from Granada, which is preserved in the British Museum; and I have now had the opportunity of measuring a
second, immature female* in that collection, also from Granada, and sexed by Mr. Howard Saunders, with the following results—wing 11·35, tarsus 1·75, middle toe s. u. 1·80.

On the subject of the dimensions of *F. barbarus* I may mention that Dr. Scully has very kindly furnished me with the following note relating to his measurements of eastern examples, which I have quoted at pp. 311 and 312:—"I measured the wings on the under surface, not over the upper surface, with a flexible measure, as you do, so that if you took the wing-measurement of the specimen now, you would certainly make the wing a little longer than the dimensions I have given in my paper in 'Stray Feathers.'"

Amongst the specimens of *F. punicus* preserved in the British Museum, I examined, during my recent visit, an adult bird, apparently a male, which formerly belonged to the late Mr. Gould, and which was ticketed by him as having been obtained in Assam. If this locality is correct, it is by far the most eastern one that has yet been ascertained for this species. This specimen resembles the Morocco male described by me under the letter N, above, p. 317; but it is slightly larger than it and other western males, the following being its dimensions—wing 11·70, tarsus 1·90, middle toe s. u. 2·05.

I also carefully examined the two Sardinian Falcons for which Mr. Sharpe formerly proposed the specific name of "brookei," and I agree with his present opinion, that they must be referred to *F. peregrinus*. They both appear to me to be birds about twelve months old, and the one killed in April 1869 still retains its immature plumage on the least coverts of the right wing. According to my method of measurement the following are the dimensions of these specimens:—♀, killed April 1869, one wing 13·70, the other wing 14·25†, tarsus 1·90, middle toe s. u. 2·15; ♂, killed

* This specimen is labelled, erroneously as I conceive, "Fulco communis."

† It frequently happens that the two wings do not give, in the dried skin, exactly the same measurement; when this is the case I always take the longer measure, unless there seems to be some sufficient reason for giving both.
April 1871, one wing 13·50, the other wing 13·90, tarsus 2,
middle toe s. u. 2·10.

I will now refer very briefly to *Falco babylonicus*, a Falcon
that I consider to be nearly related to *F. barbarus*, from
which it seems chiefly to differ by its larger dimensions. It
is a very scarce species in European museums, and I am
not aware that I have ever seen an adult male, the few adults
that I have examined (including one recently received by Mr.
Seebohm from Samarcand) appearing by their dimensions
to be all females. I regret that I am unable to add to the
information supplied by Mr. Sharpe respecting this species;
but as such is the case, I will pass on to the consideration of
*Falco feldeggii* and its very closely allied intertropical repre-
sentative, *F. tanypterus*. Mr. Sharpe treats these Falcons as
specifically distinct, and thus describes the habitat of the
first, "the countries bordering on the Mediterranean, rarely
extending into Central Europe, or below Egypt into N.E.
Africa." The habitat of the second, Mr. Sharpe defines as
"N.E. Africa, from Nubia to Unyamuesi; W. Africa, on the
Niger, and in Aguapim."

Mr. Sharpe describes the adult plumage of *F. tanypterus
as darker than that of *F. feldeggii*, and less spotted on the
breast in very old birds. These distinctions do, no doubt,
exist, though the difference in the spots on the breast in the
old birds is not great, neither is it very constant. But the
two races so largely grade and merge into each other that,
at the most, they can only, in my opinion, be admitted
as subspecifically separable; and I somewhat doubt whether
even this distinction can be rigidly maintained, in view
of the fact that not a few specimens exist, chiefly amongst
those collected in Egypt, in which the coloration is of such an
intermediate character as to make it doubtful whether they
should bear the specific name of *feldeggii* or of *tanypterus*.

The actual position of the case appears to me to be that
*F. feldeggii* and *F. tanypterus* are essentially one species; but
a species subject to considerable individual variation, and, in
addition to this, assuming, more uniformly, as its habitat
approaches the equator, the peculiarities which Mr. Sharpe indicates as specially characteristic of *F. tanypterus*, and which may perhaps amount to a valid subspecific distinction.

The changes of plumage in *F. feldeggii* appear to me to be correctly described by Mr. Sharpe in his article on this species and the accompanying footnote; and a similar sequence of changes seems also to obtain in the darker race constituting the subspecies "*tanypterus*." Lord Lilford has been so good as to inform me that in the Spanish nestlings of *F. feldeggii* which he has seen the ground-colour of the crown of the head has been a decided rufous, instead of being either white or very pale whitish rufous, as is usual in very young African specimens. Each feather in the crown has a dark shaft-mark, which in young birds is brown, and in some individuals so broad as to give the upper surface of the head the appearance of being more tinged with dull brown than with any other hue; this latter peculiarity I have especially observed in young birds from Egypt. In the adult birds these shaft-marks on the head are black instead of brown, and vary in breadth as much as in younger specimens; but with this peculiarity, which is less prevalent in the younger birds, viz. that the shaft-marks are usually broadest and most conspicuous in the forehead, immediately behind the whitish frontal line. In many, perhaps most, of the adults of the paler race these shaft-marks on the head are very narrow and inconspicuous; but in other individuals of the paler race they are well marked. In the adults of the darker race, and especially in those from the countries watered by the White and Blue Nile, these shaft-marks form a black patch on the forehead, extending backwards to just behind the eyes.

The nape, which shows a variable amount of black mingled with rufous in the paler and more northern birds, exhibits in the more southern and darker form a large triangular nuchal patch of black slightly tinged with chocolate; in addition to which, the latter race has the plumage generally more deeply coloured, the rufous portion being richer,
and the grey tints darker than is the case in paler specimens from less southern localities*.

In connexion with the occurrence of specimens of an intermediate character in Egypt, I may refer to the circumstance of my son and a fellow-traveller having shot an adult pair of these Falcons at Esnè, in that country, which were sitting together on the same tree, and of which the female was a typical pale *F. feldeggii*, and the male sufficiently dark to merit the title of *tanypterus*, being very little less intensely coloured that the darker individuals from Abyssinia or Sennaar †.

I append a list of measurements taken by myself from specimens of both races, which in this Table I have not attempted to separate, my object being merely to show the variations of size incident to the geographical distribution of these Falcons; and for that purpose I have, in this instance, treated *F. feldeggii* and *F. tanypterus* as one species without reference to subspecific distinctions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males, ascertained and presumed.</th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One from Persia ...............</td>
<td>13·00</td>
<td>1·90</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight from Morocco and Al-</td>
<td>12·10</td>
<td>1·90</td>
<td>1·70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geria. .........................</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One from Tunis ...............</td>
<td>13·10</td>
<td>1·90</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>..............................</td>
<td>13·00</td>
<td>1·80</td>
<td>1·70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six from Egypt ...............</td>
<td>13·40</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>..............................</td>
<td>12·40</td>
<td>1·75</td>
<td>1·70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six from Nubia and Abyssinia</td>
<td>13·50†</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>2·00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* According to Mr. Dresser (*vide* 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 54) the scutella on the tarsus are larger in *F. tanypterus* than in *F. feldeggii*; but I have been unable to detect any such difference in the specimens which I have examined.

† *Vide* 'Rambles of a Naturalist,' by J. H. Gurney, Jun., p. 135.

‡ This specimen was shot and sexed by Mr. Blanford.
Mr. J. H. Gurney's *Notes on*

**Females, ascertained and presumed.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s.u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One from Persia</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One from Palestine</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five from Morocco and Algeria</td>
<td>13.20*</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three from Senegambia and</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Niger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six from Egypt</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight from Abyssinia, Bogos,</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Shoa</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be observed that the above lists include an adult male and female from Persia which are preserved in the British Museum; they are both typical examples of the paler race, *F. feldeggii*, and are the most eastern specimens of this Falcon with which I am acquainted.

I may add that the only records with which I am acquainted of the occurrence of *F. tanypterus* to the south of the equator are, first, the specimen obtained by Captain Speke at Kazeh, in Unyamuesi, East Africa, which was identified by Dr. Sclater (*vide* *P. Z. S.* 1864, p. 107); and secondly, that collected by Falkenstein on the Loango coast, West Africa (*vide* Du Bocage's *Orn. d'Angola*, p. 534).

The allied, but thoroughly distinct, South-African Lanner (*F. biarmicus*, Temm.) is apparently entirely limited to the southern portion of the African continent; for though V. Heuglin included this species amongst those which he met with in Southern Nubia, Sennaar, and Abyssinia‡, I cannot but think that he, in all probability, mistook for this species

---

* This specimen was sexed by the late M. Favier, of Tangier.
† This very small female is an immature bird, shot and sexed by Mr. J. H. Gurney, Jun. (*vide* 'Rambles of a Naturalist,' p. 135). It is now preserved in the Norwich Museum.
‡ *Vide* Orn. Nordost-Afrika's, vol. i. p. 27.
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*F. tanypterus* in its oldest and least-spotted phase of plumage. Presuming this to be the case, it is singular that *F. biarmicus* has only been recorded in East Africa in quite southern latitudes; indeed I know of no record of its occurrence in those parts more northern than the specimen seen, but not obtained, by Mr. Ayres in the Mashoona country (supra, p. 239). In West Africa this Falcon has, however, been met with further northward, specimens from the Portuguese territory of Benguela having been recorded by Du Bocage, in his Ornithology of Angola, p. 46, and also in his 19th and 21st Lists of the Birds of Portuguese West Africa.

The late Professor Kaup, at p. 69 of the 'Isis' for 1847, and subsequently at p. 55 of 'Contributions to Ornithology' for 1850, separated as a subgeneric group, under the title of Gennaia, the following Falcons:—*F. juggur*, *F. hypoleucus* *F. feldeggii* (with which he united *F. tanypterus*), *F. biarmicus*, and *F. lanarius*, Pallas, = sacer, Gmelin. To these I would add *F. mexicanus* (which probably should include as a synonym *F. polyagrus*) and *F. subniger*; and I would eliminate from the group *F. feldeggii* and *F. biarmicus*, which, in my opinion, more properly belong to the restricted genus *Falco*. This, I think, would leave a very natural subgeneric group for which Kaup's term of Gennaia may be conveniently employed.

Mr. Sharpe has placed two of the species which appear to me unquestionably to belong to the subgenus Gennaia (*G. saker* and *G. mexicana*) amongst the arctic Falcons of the subgenus Hierofalco; but I cannot agree with this arrangement, which has been already objected to, and I think with good reason, by Mr. Dresser, in the 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 64.

Mr. Sharpe gives the geographical range of the typical species of the genus Gennaia, *G. juggur*, as the 'Indian Peninsula;' but this definition is not quite sufficiently comprehensive, as this Falcon has been obtained in Afghanistan.

* I adopt Mr. Sharpe's spelling of this specific name.
also in Kashmir (whence was obtained a specimen now preserved in the Norwich Museum), in Nepaul (as evidenced by two examples in the British Museum), and in North-eastern Cachar*.

In all the Falcons of the genus *Gennaia*, as above specified, the two central feathers of the tail are whole-coloured and unbarred in birds of the first year, and, in the case of *G. juggur*, in most adults also; but in a few adults of this species indistinct transverse bars are perceptible. An old Indian male in the Norwich Museum shows nine such indistinct broad dark cross bars on these feathers, separated by narrow and rather ill-defined whitish interspaces.

The comparatively restricted range of *G. juggur* offers a remarkable contrast to the vast area comprised in that of its congener *G. saker*, extending from Western Morocco† to North-eastern China.

It has, however, been held by some eminent ornithologists, and notably by the late Mr. Jerdon ‡, by Mr. Hume §, by Mr. W. E. Brooks ||, and by Lieut.-Col. Prjevalsky ¶, that two distinct species have been confounded under the specific name of "Saker."

If this be the fact, the scarcer of the two phases of coloration—that in which the mantle and tail are crossed by alternate bands of dark brown and rufous, and which has been figured in Wolf’s Zoological Sketches, vol. i. pl. 33, in Dresser’s ‘Birds of Europe,’ pl. 377**, and in Henderson and Hume’s ‘Lahore to Yarkand,’ part 2, pl. i., should bear

† Mr. Howard Saunders recently informed me that he examined, a few months since, a living specimen of *G. saker*, which had been imported by Mr. Castang from Mogador. This specimen subsequently passed into the possession of Lord Lilford, who agrees with Mr. Saunders in referring it to this species.
‡ Vide Ibis, 1871, p. 240.
** The figure in the ‘Zoological Sketches’ and that in the ‘Birds of Europe’ were both drawn by Mr. Wolf from a specimen brought from Tarsus, which is now preserved in the Norwich Museum.
Hodgson's specific name of *F. milvipes*, of which Mr. Hume's subsequent one of *F. hendersoni* (founded on the specimen figured in 'Lahore to Yarkand') is a synonym. This is a point as to which I can speak with certainty, as the type specimens of "*Falco milvipes*" and "*Falco hendersoni*" are both preserved in the British Museum, where I compared them very carefully in 1875, and again a few weeks since. I find them identical, with some very slight exceptions, arising, as I consider, from the type of *Falco hendersoni* being rather the older bird, and also more recently moulted. Both appear to be male birds*, and differ very little in their dimensions.

Whether *G. milvipes* is really specifically distinct from *G. saker*, or whether, as held by Mr. Sharpe, and as, on the whole, seems to me more probable, it is merely *G. saker* in its oldest stage of plumage, must, I think, for the present remain an open question.

The arguments in favour of the specific identity of *G. milvipes* and *G. saker* are very ably stated by Mr. Dresser in his article on *Falco sacer*, in the 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 59; and it is unquestionable that the specimens preserved in the British and Norwich Museums appear to present a series of progressive changes of plumage from the ordinary immature dress of *F. saker* to the type specimen of Mr. Hume's *Falco hendersoni*, which is certainly the most perfect example of the *G. milvipes* phase of plumage that has come under my notice.

On the other hand, we have the strong opinion of Mr. Hume, founded on his very extensive observation of Saker Falcons in Northern India, in favour of the distinctness of the two supposed species; and this view is strengthened by the Mongolian experience of Col. Prjevalsky, who writes thus in the article to which I have already referred,—"In the region of our travels we did not observe, or at least did not obtain, the true *Falco sacer*, Schl. . . . . Everywhere we found only the species described by Hume, in 'Lahore to

* The male sex of the type of "*Falco hendersoni*" was ascertained by dissection; vide 'Lahore to Yarkand,' p. 171.
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Yarkand' under the name of Falco hendeṣsoni. . . . Henderson's Falcon was found by us wherever we went, from Kizcha down to the sources of the Yantze-kiang."

At the same time it should be noted, that Prjevalsky, in this expedition, obtained only four specimens, the youngest of which, as appears from his description of it, had not yet fully attained the distinctive plumage of G. milvipes.

It should also be borne in mind that M. David, whose extensive ornithological explorations in Northern China attach very great weight to his testimony, apparently alludes under the head of Falco saker to a Falcon in the ordinary Saker plumage, and not in the G. milvipes dress, but with "taches ovales" on the outer webs of the rectrices, in the following words:—"Je l'ai rencontré fréquemment en Mongolie, ainsi qu'à Pékin, au Chensi et dans le Szechwan".*

It is, however, probable that if G. saker and G. milvipes are really distinct species, they are nearly, if not quite, indistinguishable in their first plumage; and it is just possible that M. David may only have met with immature specimens. A trained female, evidently immature, which the late Mr. Swinhoe obtained at Tientsin†, and which is now preserved in the Norwich Museum, has the two central rectrices unspotted and unbarred, and is generally in the ordinary plumage of a Saker of the first year, except that the spots on the other rectrices are unusually small, and that the mantle is beginning to show slight traces of transverse bars. Should G. milvipes be really a distinct species (which, as I have already mentioned, I greatly doubt), this Falcon may prove to be an immature specimen of that race.

I may add that the most western specimen resembling G. milvipes which has come under my notice is one from Athens, in the Norwich Museum. I have never seen this phase of plumage from any other European locality, except on the Volga, or from Northern or North-eastern Africa; but this may have arisen from the scarcity of African specimens and of non-Russian European examples.

* Vide David & Oustalet's 'Ois. de la Chine,' p. 32.
† Vide Ibis, 1861, p. 326, and 1863, p. 88.
The great rarity in Northern India of Falcons in the "milvipes" plumage, and the comparative frequency of those in the ordinary "saker" dress, can, if both are referable to the same species, only be accounted for by the known fact that amongst some birds of prey immature individuals are usually more vagrant in their migrations than those that are older. As a familiar instance of this I may mention *Archibuteo lagopus*, a species that in its first year's plumage is a frequent and often an abundant autumnal migrant to Great Britain, whilst its occurrence in this country in its most adult dress is an exceptional phenomenon of very great rarity. So again, the autumnal migration of *Pernis apivorus* to our shores is always composed of young birds, the old birds never appearing at that season, though some few in adult plumage visit us in spring.

The differences, whether specific or not, which exist between *G. saker* and *G. milvipes* have a curious parallel in the *Gennaia* Falcons of North America, which are thus divided and defined by Mr. Ridgway*:

"*Falco lanarius*, var. *polyagrus*; adult, above with obscure transverse spots of bluish; young, above with feathers bordered with rusty. *Hab.* Western division of North America, eastward to Illinois, Oregon to Lower California and Texas.

"*Falco lanarius*, var. *mexicanus*; above uniform dark brown with a faint plumbeous cast, the feathers without trace of light or rusty edges, outer web of tail-feathers without trace of light spots. *Hab.* Mexico."

It would seem, however, that the two type specimens of Lichtenstein's *Falco mexicanus* which are preserved at Berlin, agree better with the phase of plumage which Mr. Ridgway refers to *F. polyagrus* than with that which he refers to *F. mexicanus*; for Professor Schlegel, who describes these two specimens in his Museum d’Hist. Nat. des Pays-Bas, vol. i. *Falcones*, p. 18, there says of them, "Queue avec unedouzaine de bandes peu distinctes et composées de taches d'un roux pâle. Le mâle adulte . . . plumes de la tête et

La nuque avec de bords roussâtres peu distincts, 

La jeune femelle . . . plumes du dessus avec des bords clairs plus prononcés.”

At the same time, this point will be one of but little consequence if Mr. Sharpe is correct in treating “Falco polyagrus” of Cassin as merely a synonym of “Falco mexicanus” of Lichtenstein. My impression is that this is the correct view, as the American Falcons of the genus Gennaia which have come under my own observation have all appeared to me to be referable to one and the same species. I annex a few memoranda descriptive of those which I have most recently examined.

(A) Norwich Museum.*
Milk river, July 18.

This is a very young bird, the primaries being not yet fully grown. It is remarkable for the strong luteous tint which entirely pervades the otherwise white under surface of the body, except the lower flanks, where the feathers are blackish-brown narrowly edged with fulvous, and excepting also the space occupied on the breast and abdomen by numerous dark-brown longitudinal shaft-marks, which are largely developed both as to length and breadth; the cheeks, eyebrows, and throat are of a buffy white, resembling the ground-colour of the breast; the feathers of the upper part of the head are black along the shaft with rufous-brown edges, the rufous tint being especially apparent on the nape; the feathers of the mantle are dark brown edged with rufous, the latter colour being paler on the edges of the quill-feathers of of the wing and on the upper tail-coverts; all the rectrices are largely tipped with buffy white, the central pair being narrowly edged on the sides with white, but otherwise a somewhat pale uniform brown; the other rectrices are all distinctly barred with rufous on the inner webs.

(B) Norwich Museum.
Wyoming, August 17 (marked ?).

* For this and three other specimens of this Falcon the Norwich Museum is indebted to the authorities of the Smithsonian Institution.
This is similar to A, but evidently somewhat older. The external rectrices are slightly mottled with rufous on the outer webs, the luteous tint of the underparts is much paler, and the shaft-marks on those parts are somewhat smaller; the dark flank-feathers are spotted with rufous, and some are broadly edged with white next the abdomen.

(C) Collection of Messrs. Salvin and Godman.
(D) Cambridge Museum (marked ♀).

Both these specimens are from Sacramento valley, and much resemble specimen B.

(E) Norwich Museum.
Little Colorado river (marked ♂).

This example resembles B, C, and D, except that the shaft-marks on the under surface are shorter, assuming the form of oval longitudinal spots.

(F) Collection of Messrs. Salvin and Godman.
North Park, Colorado (marked ♀).

This Falcon is very similar to B, C, and D, but is beginning to acquire rufous cross bars on the wing-coverts and upper tail-coverts; an exceedingly slight trace of cross-barring is perceptible on the central pair of rectrices, all the others are slightly barred on the outer webs, and strongly on the inner.

(G) Norwich Museum.
Real del Monte, Mexico*.

This specimen resembles E, except that the nuchal and interscapular feathers are strongly marked with transverse alternate bars of rufous and of dark brown†.

(H) Cambridge Museum.

Fort Colville, September 21 (marked ♂).

* This is the most southern specimen that I have examined, unless the locality of "Contra Costa" attached to specimen I, the latitude of which I have been unable to ascertain, be more so; but one of the types of Lichtenstein's *Falco mexicanus* appears to have been obtained in the still more southern locality of Tehuantepec (*vide* Journ. für Orn. 1872, p. 156), the other being from Monterey, according to Professor Schlegel, l. c.

† A specimen from Mexico much resembling G is preserved in the British Museum.
This example resembles G, but has traces of cross bars visible on the central rectrices, which G has not.

(I) Cambridge Museum.
Contra Costa.

This specimen exhibits in greater perfection than any other that I have examined the cross-barring on the mantle, each feather of which bears two transverse bars, which, as well as the tip, are rufous, the interspaces being dark brown, and the barring being longitudinally interrupted by narrow dark shaft-marks. The general effect bears a remarkable resemblance to the "milvipes" phase of G. saker: the central rectrices are perceptibly, but not very distinctly, cross-barred; and all the others are transversely and more strongly barred on both webs, these markings being more developed on the outermost pair.

All the shaft-marks on the under surface have assumed the character of spots, mostly of an ovate form; and the dark feathers of the flanks are variegated by two whitish-rufous spots on each web.

(J) Norwich Museum.
Wyoming (marked $\mathcal{G}$).

This bird is in a similar dress to that last mentioned, except that in I the plumage has been somewhat recently assumed, and in J the reverse is the case, the bird having just begun to moult, and the old plumage being much worn and faded, the effect of which is a grey tint over the whole upper surface, which considerably obscures the distinction between the dark brown and the rufous transverse bars, both being modified by the tinge of grey, which, however, has not perceptibly altered the hue of the dark narrow shaft-marks on each feather of the mantle.

Ten indistinct dark cross bars are perceptible on the central rectrices.

The general appearance of this specimen is so grey as not to be altogether unlike the Australian G. hypoleuca.

Mr. Ridgway informs me that he considers this Falcon to be fully adult, and that he has only seen five or six examples in this dress.
The following are my measurements of the specimens just referred to:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. n.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong>, ascertained or presumed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>not fully grown</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>2·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>12·50</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>12·15</td>
<td>1·90</td>
<td>1·80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>12·10</td>
<td>1·90</td>
<td>1·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>12·05</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>1·70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. n.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong>, ascertained or presumed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14·30</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>2·05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13·70</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>2·15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13·80</td>
<td>2·15</td>
<td>2·10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>13·80</td>
<td>2·05</td>
<td>1·95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>13·60</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>2·00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scarce Australian *G. hypoleuca*, to which I propose now to refer, like the other Falcons which I have included in the subgenus *Gennaia*, has, when immature, the central pair of rectrices entirely free from the transverse bars, the other rectrices being at that age less distinctly barred than in the adults. The immature plumage, which is not described by Mr. Sharpe, may also be recognized by the greater length and breadth of the shaft-marks on both the upper and the under surface, some of the latter assuming the appearance of ovate spots, especially towards the flanks; the nape is also tinged with cream-colour in the young plumage, with dark terminal spots on the nuchal feathers; and the grey of the mantle is then more or less tinted with pale brown.

The specimen described by Mr. Sharpe as "adult" appears to me to be passing from immature to adult dress; in the fully adult bird the central rectrices have numerous and distinct transverse bars of dark grey with interspaces of pale grey, as shown on the figure of this Falcon in Gould’s ‘Birds of Australia’; in an old female preserved in the Norwich Museum these bars are twelve in number.

The measurements of *G. hypoleuca* given by Mr. Sharpe appear to me to have been taken from a very short-winged male. I annex the dimensions of a presumed male and three
presumed females which have come under my own observation:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (Norwich Museum)</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (do.)</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do. (do.)</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do. (Cambridge Museum)</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equally rare, but considerably larger, Australian Falcon, *G. subnigra*, so far as is known, is subject to but very little variation of plumage at different ages; but the tail in this species also seems to alter with age, being in the first instance free from cross bars, and subsequently assuming them.

In a male contained in the Norwich Museum, and presumably immature, all the rectrices are unbarred; but Mr. E. P. Ramsay describes an adult female as having "the tail crossed with indistinct broken bars of very pale rufous and tipped with buffy white"*.

The oblong whitish spots which in some species occur on both webs of the feathers of the under tail-coverts, are absent in others; these also are perhaps a mark of age, as, according to Mr. Ramsay, they are present in the adult female above referred to.

XXXV.—On some Raptorial Birds recently acquired by the Norwich Museum. By J. H. Gurney.

The Norwich Museum has recently acquired some additional specimens of Raptorial birds, as to which I am desirous of recording a few observations.

1. An adult female of *Accipiter rhodogaster* (Schleg.) from Celebes, procured through Mr. Whitely. This specimen has the peculiarity, which I have not observed in any other example of this species, of the rufous colouring of the breast extending to the sides of the neck, there forming a broad collar, which, in a much narrower and less perfect form, also extends across the nape.

* Vide Cat. of Australian Birds in Sydney Museum, p. 50.
This collar does not appear to me to be the remains of immature dress, as it corresponds in tint with the adult plumage of the upper breast.

This specimen measures as under:—Wing 7·9 inches, tarsus 2·20, middle toe s. u. 1·65.

2. An adult specimen of *Urospizias etorques*, Salvad., obtained by Mr. Goldie on the Astrolabe mountains, New Guinea, and apparently a female from its measurements, which are as follows:—Wing 10·10 inches, tarsus 2·60, middle toe s. u. 1·70.

I have already mentioned (supra, p. 126) that I felt doubtful of the identity of the New-Britain Hawk, which has been referred to *Urospizias etorques*, with the true *U. etorques* of New Guinea; and having now had an opportunity of examining an adult specimen of the latter, I feel persuaded that the New-Britain bird is specifically distinct. I have already described the latter in my notes on New-Britain birds above referred to; and I would now suggest that this Hawk, which appears to be peculiar to the New-Britain group, should be named after the old navigator, William Dampier, by whom those islands were discovered in 1699, and should bear the appellation of *Urospizias dampieri*.

The above-named specimen of *U. etorques* is a somewhat larger bird than the three females of *U. dampieri* which I have described at pp. 126–128, as may be seen by a comparison of the measurements which I have there given of those specimens with the dimensions of the presumed female of *U. etorques* particularized above.

The other differences between the two species are pointed out in my account of *U. dampieri* (pp. 126, 127). I have referred to the description given by Count T. Salvadori of the New-Guinea *U. etorques*, with which the present specimen agrees, except as regards the markings on the breast and underparts, of which Count Salvadori, at p. 53 of his work, observes "Questa specie non presenta mai il collare cervicale rossigno e le fascie trasversali sulle parti inferiori:" this

* It should be noted that Mr. E. P. Ramsay has recently designated a species of this group from the Solomon Islands *Astrur pulchellus* (J. Pr. Linn. Soc. Zool. xvi. p. 131, 1882).—Ed.
latter character is probably a variable one, as in the present specimen of *U. etorques* the underparts are very distinctly cross-barred, and more so than in *U. dampieri*. The dark cross bars on the breast in this specimen are rufous much tinged with greyish brown; on the abdomen they are a pure rufous, with the interspaces white; in *U. dampieri* these interspaces are pale rufous, and narrower than in this example of *U. etorques*. I may also mention that in the latter the tail shows nine perceptible, though not very distinct, dark cross bars on the central rectrices, the lowest being sub-terminal.

From these particulars, and those recorded at p. 127, it will be seen that the differences between the two species comprise, in addition to those of measurement, the coloration of the irides and of the chin and upper throat, also the character of the transverse markings on the under surface, and on the central rectrices.

3. An example of *Nisaetus morphnoides* (Gould), apparently adult, and resembling in coloration the Queensland specimen described by Mr. Sharpe in the *P. Z. S.* for 1875, p. 338, except that the upper tail-coverts are dark brown, partially cross-barred (but not tipped) with white, and that the shaft-marks on the underparts are dark brown, rather than black, also that there is no tinge of chestnut on the under wing-coverts.

I am not aware that this species has hitherto been recorded from New Guinea, where this specimen was obtained, also on the Astrolabe Mountains, by Mr. Goldie.

It would seem, by its small size, to be a male, and to be shorter in the wing (which appears to be fully grown) than two Australian males of which the measurements are given by Mr. E. P. Ramsay in his 'Catalogue of Australian Accipitres,' p. 29.

The following are the comparative dimensions:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing.</th>
<th>Tail.</th>
<th>Tarsus.</th>
<th>Middle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂, West Australia</td>
<td>13-70</td>
<td>8-00</td>
<td>2-20</td>
<td>1-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂, New South Wales</td>
<td>13-00</td>
<td>8-00</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>1-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supposed ♂, New Guinea</td>
<td>12-30</td>
<td>7-30</td>
<td>2-20</td>
<td>1-70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two Australian females of this species in the Norwich Museum are considerably larger, and measure as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>♀, Swan River</td>
<td>15·20</td>
<td>2·40</td>
<td>1·70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀, Queensland</td>
<td>15·80</td>
<td>2·40</td>
<td>1·80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference in size between the sexes of the nearly allied *N. pennatus* is decidedly less, as will be seen by the undermentioned dimensions of a male and female of that species preserved in the Norwich Museum:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>♂, France</td>
<td>14·30</td>
<td>2·30</td>
<td>1·60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀, Morocco</td>
<td>15·55</td>
<td>2·30</td>
<td>1·50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. A specimen of *Machaeramphus alcinus*, Western., also obtained on the Astrolabe Mountains by Mr. Goldie. This species has already been recorded from New Guinea by Mr. Sharpe* and by Mr. E. P. Ramsay†, who mentions that his specimens (a male and female) were both destitute of the occipital crest; there is, however, an elongation of the occipital feathers, which may be said to amount to a crest, in Mr. Goldie’s specimen, which is unsexed, but measures as follows:—Wing 13·80 inches, tarsus 2·10, middle toe s. u. 1·80, occipital crest 2.

This example agrees with those described by Mr. Ramsay in having a distinct, though somewhat irregular, white nuchal collar.

5. A specimen of *Ninox assimilis*, Salvad. & D’Alb., obtained on the Astrolabe Mountains, New Guinea, by Mr. Goldie, who has made the following note with reference to it, viz. :—"Eyes bright yellow, feet chrome-yellow. It measures as follows:—Wing 10·6 inches, tarsus 1·4, middle toe s. u. 1·4.


---

† Vide "Cont. to the Zoology of New Guinea," in Proc. of Linnean Society of New South Wales, 1879, p. 247.
was described by Mr. Ridgway in vol. iii. of the 'Land-Birds of North America,' pp. 208, 209, under the title of "Rostrhamus sociabilis, var. plumbeus"; and the Norwich Museum has lately been indebted to the authorities of the Smithsonian Institution, through the good offices of Mr. Ridgway, for two Florida examples of this race. Both these are marked as males, though, from the longer wing of one specimen, I am inclined to think it may in reality be a female. This example is very nearly adult; the other is in change from immature to adult plumage; a third Florida specimen, lately supplied to the Norwich Museum by Mr. Whitely, is entirely in immature dress. On comparing these specimens with South-American examples of R. sociabilis = leucopygus (vide Ibis, l. c.), I find no difference, except in the somewhat larger dimensions of the Florida bird. The following are measurements of specimens in the collection of Messrs. Salvin and Godman and in the Norwich Museum; amongst the latter is an immature specimen from Peru of larger size than the other South-American examples, and with a more developed upper mandible, which I suspect may belong to an undescribed species.

**Rostrhamus sociabilis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing in.</th>
<th>Tarsus in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. c.</th>
<th>Culmen s. c.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult, Demerara, N. M.</td>
<td>13:60</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature, Brit. Guiana, coll.</td>
<td>14:15</td>
<td>1:90</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. &amp; G.</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, Brazil, N. M.</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, Bolivia, N. M.</td>
<td>13:70</td>
<td>1:90</td>
<td>1:60</td>
<td>1:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature, Peru, N. M.</td>
<td>14:20</td>
<td>1:90</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rostrhamus plumbeus.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing in.</th>
<th>Tarsus in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. c.</th>
<th>Culmen s. c.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immature, Florida, N. M.</td>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>1:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto in change, Florida, marked ♂, N. M.</td>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearly adult, Florida, marked ♂, N. M.</td>
<td>14:90</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>1:70</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. A specimen of *Poliohierax insignis*, Wald. The Norwich Museum has lately obtained a female of this species from Western Siam, in which all the underparts, except the lower surface of the remiges and rectrices, are of an entirely pure white. This is the only specimen I have examined in which the underparts were wholly immaculate, with the exception of the wing and tail quill-feathers; and I therefore think it worthy of record.

XXXVI.—*Notices of recent Ornithological Publications.*

[Continued from p. 344.]

53. Adamson's 'Scraps about Birds.'


Mr. Adamson's 'Scraps' consist of a kind of irregular catalogue of the birds in his collection "with the dates of capture, and some observations on the different species, their peculiarities and habits." He tells us that nearly all these birds have been set up by himself from specimens which he had recently killed. The volume is illustrated by numerous lithographs of rough but spirited sketches made by the author, "mostly at the time the birds were procured," and will be appreciated by many British ornithologists.


Prof. Barboza du Bocage's twenty-second list of birds of the Portuguese possessions in Western Africa comprehends 36 species collected by Sr. Anchieta in Benguela during a short visit in that province. Three are new to the district, namely *Toccus monteiri*, *Aedon paena*, and *Saxicola schlegeli*. 


Among the varied contents of the present number the most interesting are perhaps the first portion of Mr. Brewster’s memoir of the birds collected in Arizona by Mr. F. Stephens, and Mr. Lucas’s notes on the *os prominens*. In Mr. Stephens’s series of 650 skins, “embracing the results of six months, uninterrupted work,” were examples of many rare Western species, such as *Harpornychus bendirei*, *H. crissalis*, *Auriparus flaviceps*, and *Helminthophaga luciae*.

56. Collett on new Norwegian Birds.


Mr. Collett records the occurrence of an example of *Oreocinclu varia* near Stavanger, in October 1879, and of *Ægialitis alexandrina* (i.e. *Æ. cantiana*) near Jaderens Rev, in June 1881. The latter was killed by the writer himself out of a flock of five birds, all apparently of the same species. Mr. Collett advocates the usage of Linnaeus’s term *alexandrinus* for what is commonly termed the Kentish Plover. Both species are new to the avifauna of Norway.

57. Collett on the Ear-formation of the North-European Owls.


This very useful and instructive paper contains an account of the formation of the organs of hearing in the ten North-European Owls. These are all Buboninæ, *Strix* being wanting in Scandinavia, and fall into six groups, in two of which the cranium is asymmetrical.
58. Cowan on Madagascar Birds.

[List of Madagascar Birds, together with the Native Names among a few of the different Tribes. By Rev. W. Deans Cowan. Small 4to. Antananariva: 1881.]

This is a list of the names of the recognized species of Madagascar birds, with their native names among the various tribes given in parallel columns. The total number of species in the list is 219, including several introduced.

59. Cruttwell's 'Table of the Animal Kingdom.'

[A Complete Table of the Animal Kingdom, arranged in their Divisions, Classes, Orders, Suborders, and Families; with the Meanings of Scientific Names, and common examples of each. 8vo. Frome.]

There is nothing novel in Mr. Cruttwell's arrangement of the class of birds; and his "meanings" of the terms are not very well expressed, and in some cases, we fear, not quite accurate.

60. Dalgleish on Birds and Eggs from Uruguay.


Mr. Dalgleish's collection was made on the Estancia de la Tala, about 170 miles north of Monte Video, and contains specimens of 24 species and their eggs. The latter are described, and those of Geranoaetus melanoleucus, Tænioptera nengeta and T. irupero, Molothrus badius, Paroaria dominicana, Pitangus bellicosus, Milvulus tyrannus, Seraphophaga nigricans, Nothura maculosa, and Rhynchotus rufescens are figured. Good notes on nidification are given; and a very interesting general description of the country and its physical character is prefixed.

61. Dresser's Birds of Europe.


With great pleasure we hail the issue of the last two parts.
of Mr. Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' together constituting vol. i., and rendering this great work complete. The first part was published in March 1871; so that eleven years have barely sufficed for its elaboration. There can be no doubt that, whatever may be its defects and omissions (and in a work of this kind where knowledge is continually progressing at a rapid rate, they must necessarily be numerous), Dresser's 'Birds of Europe' is in many respects the best available authority for the student of the birds of the Western Palaearctic region, and will long remain so. It is the more remarkable as being not the work of a professed naturalist entirely devoting himself to the subject, but of a gentleman engaged in business all day, who has given up the few hours he "could spare from the arduous duties of a city life" to his favourite pursuit. We are sure that all members of the British Ornithologists' Union and other friends of ornithology will join us in offering the author our warmest congratulations upon the completion of his excellent and laborious undertaking.

In the present work Mr. Dresser treats of 624 species of birds as appertaining to the ornis of the western Palaearctic region. We read with great pleasure of his intention to continue his labours, and to bring out, after having collected sufficient material, a work on the birds of the Eastern Palaearctic region, excluding those species which are treated of in the present work.

62. Godman and Salvin's 'Biologia Centrali-Americana.'

[Biologia Centrali-Americana'; or Contributions to the Knowledge of the Fauna and Flora of Mexico and Central America. Edited by F. Ducane Godman and Osbert Salvin. (Zoology.) Parts viii. to xvi. 4to. London: 1880-82. Published for the editors by R. H. Porter, 10, Chandos Street, Cavendish Square, W.]

This important work has made steady progress since our last notice (‘Ibis,’ 1881, p. 160). In 1881 six parts were duly issued; and the April number of this year is also now before us. In the class of birds the Mniotiltidae are finished in part xiv., and the Vircondae very nearly so in part xv.
In the latter group *Vireo anauronotus* (ex Mexico, Orizaba) is described as new. The following species are figured:

Pl. IX. *Geothlypis chiriquensis.*

" — *caninucha.*

" — *poliacephala.*

X. *Dendroica decora.*

" — *Setophaga torquata.*

" — *Basileuterus melanogenys.*

XI. *Ergaticus versicolor.*

Pl. XI. *Setophaga lacrymosa.*

XII. *Vireo ochraceus.*

" — *pallens.*

" — *carmioli.*

XIII. *Hylophilus viridiflavus.*

" — *Neochloe brevipennis.*

P. L. S.

63. Gould’s ‘Birds of Asia.’


The twenty-third part of this important work contains figures of the species named in the following list, among which the two *Sphenocichlae* are of special interest. Mr. Sharpe (whose initials are appended to the articles upon them) is of opinion that the nearest ally of this peculiar form is *Pnoepyga.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sibia melanoleuca.</th>
<th>Iyngipicus temmincki.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trochalerteron melanostigma.</td>
<td>— aurantiiventris.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinodura ramsayi.</td>
<td>— doerriesi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyloterpe philippinensis.</td>
<td>— scintilliceps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenocichla humii.</td>
<td>Irena cyanogastra.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— roberti.</td>
<td>— melanochlamys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyngipicus ramsayi.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

64. Gray on Eggs of the Great Auk.


Mr. Gray took the opportunity afforded him by the exhibition of two “unrecorded” eggs of the Great Auk, which have lately “turned up” in Edinburgh, to make some remarks to the Royal Society of Edinburgh on this much-loved subject. It appears that Mr. Donald M’Queen, who assisted at the capture of the last Great Auk in 1821–22, is still living in St. Kilda.
65. Gray on rare Scottish Birds.


Mr. Gray records the occurrence of a specimen of the Night-heron (*Nycticorax griseus*) in May 1879, near Alloa, in Clackmannanshire, and of a specimen believed to be referable to the American Night-heron (*Nyctocorax gardeni*) "about three years ago," near Kilmarnock, in Ayrshire.

66. Gray on the Pintail Duck in the Outer Hebrides.


Mr. Gray notes the occurrence of a flock of the Pintail (*Dafila acuta*) in North Uist "with some satisfaction," as in his previous researches he had only ascertained one instance of its having been obtained in these islands.

67. Holub und Pelzeln's *Ornithologie Südafrikas."


This volume gives an account of Dr. Holub's collections and extensive observations in South-African ornithology. Among the rarer species obtained were *Falco dickersoni* and *Drymoeca holubi*, sp. nov., tab. i., both from Eastern Bamangwatoland; *Lanius pyrrhostictus*, sp. nov., tab. ii. (Transvaal), and *Lamprocolius sycobius*, tab. iii. (Central Transvaal). Many pretty woodcuts of nests and other details are given; and the whole forms a valuable contribution to our knowledge of the South-African avifauna.

68. Holub on the Ornis of South Africa.


An address on the leading features of the South-African
avifauna made by Dr. Holub to the Ornithologists' Union of Vienna.

69. Johnston on the Natural History of Mossámedes.

[Report on the Natural History of Mossámedes and District, and of South-western Africa generally; with reference to the proposed Expedition of the Earl of Mayo. Prepared, at his Lordship's request, by Mr. H. H. Johnston. 8vo. London: 1882.]

In anticipation of the expedition of the Earl of Mayo to South-Western Africa (on which he has now started) Mr. Johnston, who accompanied him, has prepared the report now before us. We trust it may be found useful; but as regards the birds at least, we cannot say that the "list of the more remarkable species" is altogether accurate.

70. Lawrence on Birds from Yucatan.


Chaetura gaumeri, sp. nov., from Yucatan (allied to Ch. vauxi), was discovered by Mr. Gaumer, who has spent three years in that country and made large collections. Mr. Lawrence describes the female of Pyranga roseigularis, and adds a note on the validity of Centurus rubriventris, from examples likewise procured by Mr. Gaumer, whose first series has been purchased by the University of Kansas.

71. Lorenz on the Skeletons of Stringops and Nestor.


Herr Lorenz describes the skeletons of Stringops habroptilus and Nestor notabilis from specimens lately acquired by the Imperial Cabinet of Vienna, and figures the whole skeleton of the former, and some of the more characteristic bones of both.

72. M'Vean on the Ornithology of Yedo.


We have just become acquainted with this paper, dated so
far back, through Mr. Robert Gray's courtesy. Mr. M'Vean resided some years in Yedo, the capital of Japan, and gives us some interesting notes on the birds (about 75 species, perhaps not in every case quite accurately identified) which he observed there. Mr. M'Vean "was much struck with the extraordinary number of birds of various kinds to be seen within its boundaries. The profusion of bird life, indeed, appeared to be especially worthy of remark, bearing in mind the great extent and population of Yedo, and the traffic and noise of its busy streets. In the midst of this, and often within reach of the cast of a trout-rod from the sides of crowded streets, wild fowl of all descriptions, from a snipe to a swan, floated quietly at their ease or fed on land, without heeding the bustle around them, or being disturbed by the passing crowds."

73. 'Ornithologist and Oöologist.'


We have looked through two numbers of this popular journal of American ornithology (which seems already to be in its sixth volume) with much pleasure. We may call attention to the notes on the nesting of Geococcyx (p. 85).

74. Pelzeln on the Progress of Ornithology in 1880.


We have to thank Herr v. Pelzeln for a copy of his Record of the Progress of Ornithology in 1880. Great attention, we observe, is paid to the smaller and more popular memoirs relating to the European fauna.

75. Pelzeln on Central-African Birds.


Herr v. Pelzeln describes a second collection of birds (174
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skins, referable to 84 species) made by Dr. Emin Bey in 1880 and 1881, between Ladó, the seat of government of the Egyptian equatorial provinces, and the Albert Nyanza. 21 species are mentioned as new to the district.

76. Ramsay on Birds from the Solomon Islands.


The new birds described are Astur versicolor, Ianthonas phillipanae, and Ptilopus richardsii*, all from the island of Ugi, Ptilopus lewisi, from the islands of Florida and Malayta, Chalcophaps mortoni, from Ugi, and Sturnoides minor from San Christoval. We have also notes on Nasiterna finschii, Ptilopus eugeniae, Pt. johannis, and Myiagra cervinicauda.

77. Ramsay on a new Honey-eater.


"The tongue having been removed from the only specimen, it is difficult to determine the family of this species;" but Mr. Ramsay for the present terms it Plectorhyncha fulviventris. The collector is not stated.

78. Ramsay on Oriolus affinis.


Mr. Ramsay vindicates the claims of Oriolus (i.e. Mimeta) affinis, from N.W. Queensland, as a good species, "which has nothing whatever to do with the young of O. flavocinctus, as supposed by Mr. Sharpe."

79. Ramsay on a new Eurystopodus.


The species is described as E. nigripennis, from Rubiana,
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one of the Solomon group. It seems to be the same as *Caprimulgus nobilis*, Tristram, *suprâ*, p. 134, pl. iii., the latter being certainly a *Eurystopodus*, although the artist has depicted rictal bristles.

80. Reinhardt on Birds from Greenland.


Prof. Reinhardt gives an account of some recent occurrences of certain birds in Greenland, in continuation of former papers on the same subject. The species remarked upon are *Turdus migratorius*, *Charadrius apricarius*, *Numenius borealis*, *Gallinago wilsoni*, *Procellaria leucorrhoa*, *Podiceps holbølli*, *Oidemia perspicillata*, and *Stelleria dispers*.

81. Ridgway on new Birds from the Sandwich Islands.


The new birds described are a Flycatcher of the genus *Chasiempis* (*C. sclateri*) and a Petrel of the genus *Cymochorea* (*C. cryptoleucura*). Both are from Waimea Kaui, Sandwich Islands (*V. Knudsen*).

82. Ridgway on a new Owl from Puerto Rico.


A new form of Short-eared Owl from Puerto Rico is described as *Asio portoricensis*. Besides this Mr. Ridgway recognizes *A. galapagoensis* as distinct from the cosmopolitan *A. accipitrinus*.

83. Ridgway on two new Thrushes.


The new Thrushes are *Hylocichla fuscescens salicicola*, from the Rocky Mountains, and *H. aliciae bicknelli*, from Slide Mountain, Ulster County, New York.
84. Salvadori’s ‘Prodromus,’ part xi.


The Gallinæ of the Papuan subregion consist of Megapodiidæ, Perdicidæ, and Turnicidæ, 14 of the first group, 4 of the second, and a single Turnix—19 species in all, according to our author. To these must be added Mr. Forbes’s new Turnix, described above (p. 428).

85. Sharpe’s ‘Birds of South Africa.’


We welcome with pleasure the fifth part of Mr. Sharpe’s work, and trust that the sixth and last part may soon follow it, and bring this long-delayed volume, commenced in 1875, to a conclusion. The present part continues the account of the Passeres into the Alaudidæ, but does not conclude them. It is a difficult task to ascertain what species in it are now described for the first time, as in some cases no references whatever—not even the authority for the specific term—are given. But the author has kindly informed us that the only new species mentioned are two, incidentally described in the list of the Red-winged Bush-Shrikes (p. 397)—namely Laniarius blanfordi from N.E. Africa, and L. ussheri, of which no locality is given.

86. Sharpe on the Ornithology of New Guinea.


Mr. Sharpe gives the characters of some new species of birds, of which examples are contained in a collection made by Mr. A. Goldie in S.E. New Guinea, and promises a detailed account of the whole series at a later period. The species described are Trichoglossus goldiei, Cyclopsittacus
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coccineifrons, Paeolidryas albifacies, Monacha periophthalmicus, Edoliosoma poliopsa (poliopse?), Pachycephalopsis poliosa, Zosterops delicatula, Melilestes poliopterus, Ptilotis marmorata, Eupetes pulcher, and Munia grandis.


The new species is characterized as Cotile cowani after the Rev. Deans Cowan, by whom a large series of it was obtained in the forest of Ankafana, in the Betsileo country. It is nearest to C. paludicola of S. Africa.

88. Stejneger on Myiadestes.


The "races" described are M. obscurus, var. occidentalis, from South-western Mexico and Guatemala, and M. obscurus, var. insularis, from the Tres Marias Islands.

89. Weyenbergh on Birds in the Cordova Museum.

[Catálogo de la Coleccion de pájaros. Por Dr. H. Weyenbergh. Periódico zoologico, Cordoba, tomo iii. p. 311.]

In his eighth report upon the Zoological Museum of the National University of Cordova (Argentine Republic), Dr. Weyenbergh gives a rough catalogue of the birds in the collection. There are said to be 491 specimens, referable to 243 species; but a great number of these are undetermined, and some of the names are unknown to us. The species thus designated will be described later if they shall prove to be really new. The occurrence of a Cinclus in Tucuman, if authentic, is of great interest.
XXXVII.—Letters, Announcements, &c.

We have received the following letters addressed to the Editors of 'The Ibis':—

Bognor, Sussex,
4th March, 1882.

Sirs,—In vol. ix. p. 367, of 'Stray Feathers' (lately received) is "A Tentative Catalogue of the Birds of the Deccan and South Mahratta Country," by Capt. E. A. Butler, H.M. 83rd Regt., in which that gentleman, after giving a list of the authorities whom he has consulted, including Major Lloyd's List of Konkan Species, adds, "I am compelled to say (though I have always duly quoted his statements) that I think some of Major Lloyd's specimens must have been erroneously identified. It is simply incredible, for instance, that Propasser rhodochrous should have occurred at Matheran."

Most persons reading the above would, I think, conclude that I am responsible for the statement that Propasser rhodochrous occurs at Matheran. As a matter of fact, the bird appears in my list with the remark that "it is inserted on the authority of Dr. Smith, who records it from Matheran." My list, written in 1876, is a bare enumeration of species, drawn up, with other lists, in the form of a preliminary sketch for the use of the compiler of the 'Bombay Gazetteer.' It does not profess to be a record of only personal captures, but was compiled from various sources, as stated in the introduction, Dr. J. G. Smith's 'Matheran Hill' being mentioned among the authorities I had consulted.

With regard to my identifications generally, a perusal of Capt. Butler's paper leaves me with the impression that he cannot have read the remarks which accompany my List, while it is clear that he has failed to make himself acquainted from other sources with what constitutes the Konkan—a duty the more incumbent upon him if, as I gather, he has no personal knowledge of the district. To illustrate my meaning, I quote here a few of Capt. Butler's observations, thus:—
Xantholama malabarica.

"... Mr. Fairbank records it from Savantvadi. Major Lloyd, incredible as it seems, includes it also as a Konkan species; but Mr. Vidal has not, as yet, obtained it in Ratnagiri."

Dicæum concolor.

"... It has also been obtained at Savantvadi. It belongs to the Sahyadri range and adjoining forests.... Mr. Vidal has not observed it in Ratnagiri, though Major Lloyd includes it in the Konkan list: possibly the latter was mistaken."

Parr a indica.

"... It occurs sparingly from north to south of the region, as I have heard of its occurrence in the Thana district.... it is included in the Konkan list by Major Lloyd, though not yet observed in Ratnagiri by Mr. Vidal."

I have selected these three examples because they contain the solution of the difficulty, inasmuch as they show very clearly that, in Capt. Butler's opinion, the forests of the Sahyadri range and the districts of Thana and Savantvadi do not form any part of the Konkan, and are consequently outside the area to which my List applies. In other words, he deprives the Konkan of two thirds of its recognized limits, and tests the accuracy of my List by comparing it with Mr. Vidal's statements concerning the restricted area of Ratnagiri. I cannot find, on referring to my List, that I have written anything to warrant such an interpretation; on the contrary, when treating of boundaries, I see that I expressly mention the Thana districts as constituting one of the administrative divisions of the province; and although, it is true, I make no mention there (I do further on) of the small State of Savantvadi in the South Konkan, yet neither do I mention the Habshi's State of Jinjira, in the Kolaba division, nor the State of Jawar, and other tracts in the North Konkan, nor, for the matter of that, do I mention the island of Bombay; nevertheless these are all included within the boundaries given, and it never occurred to me that they could be deemed
otherwise than in the Konkan. If Capt. Butler will do me the favour to read my introductory chapters, he will find that I state my intention of dealing with the entire Konkan, defining its limits as extending from the territory of Goa in the south to the province of Gujarat in the north, thus embracing all the districts of North and South Konkan, with the watershed of the Sahyadri range bounding them on the east. These limits, recently modified by the transfer of North Kanara to the Bombay Government (thus extending the Konkan still further to the south), are also to be found in the 'Imperial Gazetteer of India,' vol. v. p. 436. I see also that Mr. Vidal (whose paper was before Capt. Butler) mentions Savantvadi as within the limits of the South Konkan ('Stray Feathers,' vol. ix. p. 3). When, therefore, Capt. Butler enumerates birds as occurring in the Sahyadri forests and in the districts of Thana and Savantvadi, and then finds fault with me for recording them as Konkan species, basing his arguments moreover on the ground that the species recorded by me have not also been met with in Ratnagiri, I can only reply that he is writing under a misconception of the scope of my remarks, adding an expression of my regret if anything I have written, or omitted to write, has led him into the error of supposing that my general List of Konkan species is only a list of the species of one particular collectorate of the Konkan.

Yours &c.,

J. Hayes Lloyd.

Northrepps Hall, Norwich.
March 15, 1882.

Sirs,—An instance of partial melanism has lately come under my notice which, I think, is sufficiently remarkable to be worth recording.

A male of the common West-African Bishop-bird, Pyromelana franciscana, which was purchased from a dealer rather more than two years ago, was at that time in the ordinary winter plumage. In the course of 1880 it acquired its gorgeous breeding-dress, fully and completely; but when
this was lost, it was exchanged, not for the ordinary winter plumage, but for one decidedly melanistic. In the course of last year the bird again fully resumed its complete breeding-dress, without any trace of melanism or other abnormal coloration; but this having since been lost, the bird has again, for the second time, become melanistic.

With the exception of the abdomen and under tail-coverts, which remain white (and possibly of the under wing-coverts, which I have been unable to examine), the entire plumage is now of a sooty brownish black, but with the centres of the feathers on the back darker than the edges, and with some slight brown tips to the feathers of the breast.

This seasonal alternation between a melanistic and non-melanistic plumage is a phenomenon new to me, and, I think, of some interest.

Yours &c.,

J. H. Gurney.

Notes on Birds from the Solomon Islands.—Mr. E. P. Ramsay (writing from Sydney, March 16th, 1882) sends us the subjoined remarks on some of the species described by Canon Tristram in his article given above, p. 133 et seqq.

Plizorhynchus squamulatus, p. 136.

Can this be an adult of P. brodiei, mihi (P. vidua)? I find little or no difference in the description of it from my P. melanocephalus (Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. vol. iv. 1879, p. 468); I have a large series, and am now inclined to think that P. melanocephalus and P. squamulatus are based only on different plumages of P. vidua.

Rhipidura russata, p. 137.

I do not see why my name Rhipidura rubrofrontata should not stand; it is, without doubt, the same as R. russata.

Geoffroyus agrestis, p. 138.

I think this must be the young of G. heteroclitus. I have had several specimens.

Nasiterna finschi, p. 138.

As regards the yellow spot the remark is not quite correct.
But this is of little importance in pointing out the differences between the species, as *N. pusilla, N. pusio, N. finschii*, and others have it also.

**Ptilopus rhodostictus**, p. 139.
This is my *P. richardsii* (see 'Nature,' vol. xxv. p. 282).
I received this bird and several new species in January 1881; but as I thought it probable that Mr. Tristram might also have received it, I refrained from describing it until August 1881; I thought that by that time Mr. Tristram, if he had received it, would have mentioned the fact. It is a very common species.

**Astur pulchellus**, p. 141.
With respect to *Astur pulchellus* being the same as *A. etorques*, I can only say I showed the type to Dr. Finsch, who says it is a good species. I have since obtained it from Florida Island*.

**Rhipidura cockerelli**, p. 142.
Will any naturalist take the trouble to compare my description (I regret I have not a skin to send) with *R. tricolor*? which is only a large race of *R. motacilloides*.

**Nasiterna pusio**, p. 143.
This has never been brought from the Solomons.

**Lorius hypernochrous**, p. 143,
is from New Britain and Duke-of-York group.

**Ptilopus viridis**, p. 144,
is *P. lewisii*, sp. nov. We have now a large series (♂ & ♀ et jr).

**Carpophaga finschii**, Ramsay, p. 144,
is from Irish Cove, New Ireland. The type is in the collection of the Rev. G. Brown.

**Phlegenas johannæ**, p. 144,
is from New Britain.

**Rallus intactus**, p. 144,
is from the Duke-of-York group.

* [Cf. Gurney, supra, p. 453.—Edn.]
Macropygia crossi, Trist., p. 144, is my M. rufocastanea.

Bird's-nest in a Horse's tail.—Capt. Saville G. Reid, R.E., sends the following curious story to the 'Field' of June 10th, as vouched for by Veterinary-Surgeon Longhurst, of the King's Dragoon Guards. Capt. Reid feels "tolerably sure the bird must have been a Cisticola, perhaps C. tinniens."

"Interesting cases have been from time to time recorded of extraordinary places selected by birds for habitation and nesting; and I take this opportunity of bringing to your notice a case which occurred when I was in camp at Fort Napoleon, Conference Hill, Zululand, and which appears to me to be unique.

"A grey gelding cob, bought about the end of June 1879, at Wakkerstroom, from Mr. Fawcus, a government surveyor, whilst I was on special duty purchasing remount horses for the Cavalry Brigade, was noticed at the time of purchase to have a peculiar knotted condition of the tail. After arriving at its destination at Fort Napoleon, several days' march distant, it was placed on the flank of the troop of King's Dragoon Guards, to which it was told off. The next morning, after reveille, the non-commissioned officer in charge of the troop noticed a little dark-coloured bird (known, I am told by our interpreter, as a Weaver or Bottletit) fly and conceal itself in the cob's tail just at the extremity of the dock. Shortly afterwards he saw it reappear, settle near some spilt forage in the picket lines, feed, and then return to its former hiding-place. This roused the curiosity of the non-com., who, accompanied by several of the men of his troop, examined the cob's tail, and there found a perfectly formed bird's-nest, about three inches in diameter, and about six inches from top to base, beautifully lined with short chestnut-red hair, which, upon examination, I found had been collected from the red transport oxen, and not from chestnut horses. The most striking thing which occurs to me is that the little bird must have accompanied the cob from Wakkerstroom, in the Transvaal, to our camp in Zululand, sufficient
time not having elapsed since its arrival at the fort for so complete a nest to have been manufactured.

"When I bought remount horses I always immediately cut their tails short, so that I could easily distinguish them, when grazing during the day, from other horses belonging to civilians and others; and when squaring this cob's tail with scissors, I remarked to Capt. Becher, who was with me, that the tail was peculiarly matted and curled, and therefore very difficult to cut quickly. The cob was driven, with a string of others, by Zulus from Wakkerstroom to our camp, about five days' journey.

"The following officers saw and examined the nest, and were as much astonished as I was, viz.:-Col. Alexander, Capts. Benthall and Becher, Adjutant Nicholas, and Quartermaster Murphy, of the K. D. G.'s; Capts. Knox and Sharp, and Lieut. Ridley, of the 4th (King's Own). I wrote this account at the time; but circumstances delayed its publication. The cob, after the nest was discovered, was, unfortunately, sent away with several others to fill up our casualties at the front, and is reported to have died near Fort Newdigate.

"As a twig of any sort, to say nothing of a tree, is quite a rare thing to see in many parts of the Transvaal and Zululand, and as the nights are particularly cold, I can quite understand these tame little birds getting into the hair of a horse's tail for warmth when the animal was lying down, and, later on, taking it into their heads to make a nest in such comfortable quarters."

S. Longhurst, A. V. D., K. D. Guards (Meerut, Bengal).

Pet Birds in Sumatra.—Mr. Carl Bock* speaks as follows of the native pets of Sumatra.

"In most native houses and huts may be seen a pet of some kind. The most common is a Turtle Dove (Turtur tigrinus), called 'Ballam,' or in some places 'Perkoetoe,'

* [The Head-Hunters of Borneo, by Carl Bock (4to, London, 1881), p. 298.]
These birds are highly prized, in fact are held almost sacred; the prices given for them vary, according to perfection of colour and shape, from five to twenty guilders each.

"Each bird is kept separate in a small bamboo cage, of circular shape, with a conical roof, and a cloth cover over the top. Very often these cages are stuck upon high bamboo posts; but when the owners go out to the rice-fields, or to market, they generally take their pigeons with them. The birds are perfectly tame, and never attempt to fly away, the natives daily taking the birds out of the cage and caressing them. One of my servants spent a month's salary in buying a Ballam, and took it with him wherever we travelled, and, on his return to Padang, sold it for double what he gave for it.

"Besides these birds many Malays keep a small green Parrot (Loriculus galgulus), which they call 'Selindit.' This is a lovely little bird, that always sleeps, like a Bat, head downwards; the average price of these birds is sixpence each.

"Sometimes I have also seen the beautiful Ground-Pigeon (Chalcophaps indica), which the Malays call 'Punci tamar,' and the Beo (Gracula religiosa), which can talk as well as a Parrot."

Note on Sternula placens.—Owing to the crass stupidity and mismanagement of our former agents, our first part of 'The Ibis' for 1881 was lost; and we have only just received a duplicate copy through our present agent, Mr. W. Wesley, of the Strand. We could not, therefore, sooner amend a typographical error which we see has slipped into our article ('Ibis, 1881, p. 134) under the head of 'Sternula placens.' For "a Sternula which he had formerly identified with S. nereis," read "which we had formerly" &c. The mistake in the identification was ours, not that of Mr. Masters, who has had better opportunities of judging, having access to Gould's works and numerous specimens.—E. LAYARD & E. C. LAYARD, Dec. 19, 1881.

A new West-African Finch.—In the first number of 'Die
Letters, Announcements, &c. 477

gesiederte Welt' for this year (Jahrg. xi. p. 6), Dr. Carl Russ describes a supposed new specis of Estrelda under the name Egintha fuchsi, based upon four living specimens, supposed to have been received from West Africa. It is allied to Estrelda cinerea and E. melpoda.

The Birds of Uganda.—In Messrs. Wilson and Felkin’s most interesting new work on Uganda, the former writer gives us the following account of its birds:—“Among birds the most noticeable are the Parrots, Vultures, Kites, and Fish-Eagles. There are two species of the former, one being the Grey Parrot, famed for its powers of talking, the other a small yellow-and-green bird. The first of these Parrots is very common among the forests on the shores of the lake, and is often seen in flocks of two or three hundred. The Vultures are the scavengers of the towns and large villages, and there are always great numbers of them about the capital, where they feed on the victims of the executioners. Kites abound everywhere, and are very destructive to the chickens. The finest bird in Uganda is undoubtedly the White-headed Fish-Eagle (Haliaetus vocifer), which is found on the Nyanza and the various streams where fish exist. Guinea-fowl are numerous in the jungle, and afford good sport, in addition to which their flesh is excellent eating; but they require heavy shot to bring them down. On the lake quantities of water-fowl are met with—Ducks, Geese, Storks, Cranes, the Sacred and Glossy Ibises, Darters, Herons, Gulls, and the gorgeous Scarlet Flamingo’’*.

It is to be lamented that no ornithological collector has yet penetrated to Lake Albert Nyanza.

Bird-life in the Pribylov Islands.—In his most interesting memoir on the Fur-Seal Islands of Alaska, lately issued by the Smithsonian Institution, Mr. Henry W. Elliot speaks of the arrival of the summer birds as follows:—

“After the dead silence of a long ice-bound winter, the

arrival of large flocks of those Sparrows of the north, the 'Choochkies' (*Phaleris microceros*), is most cheerful and interesting. Those plump little Auks are bright, fearless, vivacious birds, with bodies round and fat. They come usually in chattering flocks on, or immediately after, the 1st of May, and are caught by the people with hand-scoops or dip-nets to any number that may be required for the day's consumption, their tiny rotund forms making pies of rare savory virtue, and being also baked and roasted and stewed in every conceivable shape by the Russian cooks—indeed they are equal to the Reed-birds of the South. These welcome visitors are succeeded, about the 20th of July, by large flocks of fat red-legged Turnstones, *Strepsilas interpres*, which come in suddenly from the west or north, where they have been breeding, and stop on the island for a month or six weeks, as the case may be, to feed luxuriantly upon the flesh-flies, which we have just noticed, and their eggs. Those handsome birds go in among the seals, familiarly chasing the flies, gnats, &c. They are followed, as they leave in September, by several species of Jack-Snipe and a Plover, *Tringa* and *Charadrius*; these, however, soon depart, as early as the end of October and the beginning of November, and then winter fairly closes in upon the islands. The loud, roaring, incessant seal-din, together with screams and darkening flights of innumerable water-fowl, are replaced in turn again by absolute silence, marking out, as it were, in lines of sharp and vivid contrast, summer's life and winter's death."

---

**The Migration of the Little Bustard.**—"Whilst staying at the next station after the mud volcanoes, I was lucky enough to witness a passage of the 'Strepita,' or Lesser Bustard (*Otis tetra*). These magnificent birds were in millions all over the steppe. The ground was grey with them; the air full of their cries, the sky alive with the movement of their wings. With them were a few small flocks of another bird, which I thought I recognized as the Golden Plover; but of this I am by no means sure. So much struck was I by the strange sight which this enormous passage presented, that I
stayed the greater part of the day to watch it; and when at last I left, the almost inconceivable flood of winged creatures was still rolling on over the steppe from west to east in undiminished numbers. The Russian powder which I bought at Tiflis had turned out so badly that at this time I had almost given up using it for anything larger than Teal, and even then it was necessary to be at very close quarters to bring the bird to bag, so miserably weak was it. Thanks, however, to the dense masses in which the Bustards stood and flew, I was enabled to secure sufficient to supply my man and myself with a welcome change of diet by the expenditure of only two of my treasures, 'express' cartridges. Judging by what I killed, I should say the birds were only just starting from their summer haunts in the Crimea and the Caucasus for their winter-quarters in the east. Had it not been so, they would hardly have been so deliciously plump as we found them”*

Expeditions accomplished and in progress.—Mr. Blanford, we are glad to say, has recovered from the attack of fever which prostrated him on the Punjab frontier (see above, p. 348) and is safe in England. Mr. Elwes, accompanied by Mr. Dixon, has just made a very successful excursion of a month's duration to the Aures mountains of Algeria, and has discovered a new Chat {Saxicola}. We hope to be able to give some account of this expedition in our next number. Lord Lilford has passed the winter months in his yacht in the Mediterranean, and has secured a fine series of Larus audouini. Of Dr. Finsch we have heard nothing more since he went from Thursday Island in December last. He is, no doubt, in New Guinea.

Obituary.—Charles Robert Darwin,
Died 19th April, 1882.

In common with all our brethren, editors of scientific
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Journals, we must say a few words on the event which has deprived the world of its greatest naturalist. The varied qualifications of Charles Darwin have been recounted by many an able pen; but it behoves us in this place to dwell especially on the value of his labours to the particular branch of biology which it is the object of these pages to promote. We venture to believe that we shall be only echoing the voice of all our readers when we assert that there is not one of them but has felt that the dignity of the study which he pursues was raised every time that Mr. Darwin drew from it evidence in support of that theory with which his name will be in all time associated. We venture further to declare that Mr. Darwin's ingenious investigations, his irresistible interpretation of particular facts the significance of which had never before been understood, but, above all, his marvellous method of combining and correlating the results of observation, must be recognized by all thinking ornithologists as breathing into their science a living soul the existence of which was previously unsuspected, and as endowing it with an interest and a beauty beyond any thing that it had been supposed to possess.

When we remember the way in which the Theory of Evolution was, at its birth, scouted in so many quarters, it is with no small satisfaction that we can turn to the earliest volume of this periodical and point out how quickly the truth of the Darwinian "hypothesis," as it used to be called in those days of its dawn, was recognized by one of the oldest and most valued of our contributors—one also by no means apt to be driven about by vain blasts of doctrine. As the volume is very scarce, and the passages may never have come under the eye of many of our present readers, we think we may be pardoned, long as they are, for reproducing these words here. It is the testimony of an ornithologist given purely on ornithological grounds, without bias in any other direction, and written and published, as we must particularly point out, before the now celebrated 'Origin of Species' appeared.

"Writing with a series of about 100 Larks of various species from the Sahara before me, I cannot help feeling
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convinced of the truth of the views set forth by Messrs. Darwin and Wallace in their communications to the Linnean Society, to which my friend Mr. A. Newton last year directed my attention, 'On the Tendency of Species to form Varieties, and on the Perpetuation of Varieties and Species by natural means of selection'. It is hardly possible, I should think, to illustrate this theory better than by the Larks and Chats of North Africa.

"In all these, in the congeneres of the Wheat ear, of the Rock Chat, of the Crested Lark, we trace gradual modifications of coloration and of anatomical structure, deflecting by very gentle gradations from the ordinary type; but when we take the extremes, presenting the most marked differences. Are these extremes, it may be asked, further removed from each other than the Guinea Negro or the Papuan is from the typical Caucasian? and are these species aboriginal and indigenous, or are they developed by climatic and other local causes? I think the latter alternative almost demonstrable in the case of these birds. These differences of structure (I am using the word here in its widest sense, to include colour, form, and size) doubtless have a very direct bearing on the ease or difficulty with which the animal contrives to maintain its existence. In the Desert, where neither trees, brushwood, nor even undulation of surface afford the slightest protection from its foes, a modification of colour, which shall be assimilated to that of the surrounding country, is absolutely necessary. Hence, without exception, the upper plumage of every bird, whether Lark, Chat, Sylvian, or Sand-Grouse, and also the fur of all the small mammals, and the skin of all the Snakes and Lizards, is of one uniform isabelline or sand colour. It is very possible that some further purpose may be served by the prevailing colours, but this appears of itself a sufficient explanation. There are individual varieties of depth of hue among all creatures. In the struggle for life which we know to be going on among all species, a very slight change for the better, such as improved means of escaping from its natural enemies (which would be the effect

of an alteration from a conspicuous colour to one resembling the hue of the surrounding objects), would give the variety that possessed it a decided advantage over the typical or other forms of the species. Now in all creatures, from Man downwards, we find a tendency to transmit individual varieties or peculiarities to the descendants. A peculiarity either of colour or form soon becomes hereditary when there are no counteracting causes, either from change of climate or admixture of other blood. Suppose this transmitted peculiarity to continue for some generations, especially when manifest advantages arise from its possession, and the variety becomes not only a race, with its variations still more strongly imprinted upon it, but it becomes the typical form of that country. If it be objected that we see many varieties which do not become hereditary, we may reply, that these varieties, having experienced changes not advantageous to their means of existence, may from that very cause become extinct. Still there are many which continue, as the Pied Raven of the Faroe Islands and the Tailless Manx Cat.

"To apply the theory to the case of the Sahara. If the Algerian Desert were colonized by a few pairs of Crested Larks,—putting aside the ascertained fact of the tendency of an arid hot climate to bleach all dark colours,—we know that the probability is, that one or two pairs would be likely to be of a darker complexion than the others. These, and such of their offspring as most resembled them, would become more liable to capture by their natural enemies, Hawks and carnivorous beasts. The lighter-coloured ones would enjoy more or less immunity from such attacks. Let this state of things continue for a few hundred years, and the dark-coloured individuals would be exterminated, the light-coloured remain and inhabit the land. This process, aided by the above-mentioned tendency of the climate to blanch the coloration still more, would in a few centuries produce the Galerida abyssinica as the typical form. And it must be noted, that between it and the European G. cristata there is no distinction but that of colour.

"But when we turn to Galerida isabellina, G. arenicola,
and *G. macrorhyncha*, we have differences not only of colour, but of structure. These differences are most marked in the form of the bill. Now to take the two former first, *G. arenicola* has a very long bill, *G. isabellina* a very short one; the former resorts exclusively to the deep loose sandy tracts, the latter haunts the hard and rocky districts. It is manifest that a bird whose food has to be sought for in deep sand derives a great advantage from any elongation, however slight, of its bill. The other, who feeds among stones and rocks, requires strength rather than length. We know that even in the type species, the size of the bill varies in individuals, in the Lark as well as in the Snipe. Now, in the Desert, the shorter-billed varieties would undergo comparative difficulty in finding food where it was not abundant, and consequently would not be in such vigorous condition as their longer-billed relatives. In the breeding-season, therefore, they would have fewer eggs and a weaker progeny. Often, as we know, a weakly bird will abstain from matrimony altogether. The natural result of these causes would be that in course of time the longer-billed variety would steadily predominate over the shorter, and in a few centuries they would be the sole existing race, their shorter-billed fellows dying out until that race was extinct. The converse will hold good of the stout-billed and weaker-billed varieties in a rocky district.

"Here are only two causes enumerated which might serve to *create* as it were a new species from an old one, yet they are perfectly natural causes, and such as, I think, must have occurred, and are possibly occurring still. We know so very little of the causes which in the majority of cases make species rare or common, that there may be hundreds of others at work, some even more powerful than these, which go to perpetuate and eliminate certain forms 'according to natural means of selection.' But even these superficial causes appear sufficient to explain the marked features of the Desert races which frequently approach so very closely the typical form, and yet possess such invariably distinctive characteristics, that naturalists seem agreed to elevate them to the rank of species. The differences in size may be yet more simply
explained by the facility or difficulty of sustaining existence in varying localities. On similar principles we may account for the existence of such a bird as *Galerida macrorhyncha* in the warm, genial climate of the Oases, where, winter being unknown, and food always abundant and close at hand, every stimulus is afforded to a vigorous development, while its prey being generally hidden in the soft open mould of the gardens and barley patches, any tendency to elongation of the bill is fostered and encouraged, until we find a race two inches longer than *Galerida isabellina*, and with a bill exactly double in length (1 inch instead of \( \frac{1}{5} \)).

“A process precisely similar may be supposed to have developed the various species of Desert Chats, until we find in the desert of Souf that all distinctive trace of colour has been scorched out, and instead of the brightly clad *Saxicola stapazina*, we have no more cheerful representative of the genus than *S. homochroa*. Widely as these two extremes appear to be separated, yet a well-chosen series of the numerous African species of the class will exhibit a range of transitions so imperceptible, that it will be found very difficult, without careful comparison, to draw a line between one species and the next.”—*The Ibis*, October 1859, pp. 429–432.

The above are the words of Canon Tristram; and a more perfect or practical application of the theory of Natural Selection it would be hard to find, even in these days of its fullest acceptance—days when those who formerly strove to overwhelm its author with ridicule and contumely have not scrupled to declare themselves its firmest upholders.

Yet it is scarcely possible to speak of Darwin’s death as a loss. He had done the work there was for him to do. Respected by his opponents, honoured by the world of science, loved by his intimates, and venerated by his disciples, his remains lie among those of the greatest Englishmen; and even though, as some may still think, his theory may one day be set aside, as has happened with other well-established theories in times past, the principles on which it is founded will endure for ever.

(Plate XIII.)

In the course of a delightful three months' holiday spent during the early part of last year in visiting some of the Lesser Antilles, I passed the month of February in the luxuriant and pleasant little island of Montserrat. I resided at "The Cot," 1200 feet above the sea, noted as one of the most picturesquely situated abodes in the West Indies, on the verge of the high woods or primeval forest, and surrounded by deep wooded ravines watered by mountain-streams. I had thus a favourable opportunity for observing the birds, which exist there in great abundance; and had I been in a position to secure specimens of any thing like all I saw, I have no doubt I should have added very considerably to the number of known species (seventeen only) which the collections of the late Mr. Sturge have enabled Mr. Sclater to record as inhabitants of Montserrat (P. Z. S. 1879, p. 764).

Unfortunately, however, I was unable during my stay in the island to obtain any ammunition suitable for my purpose,
and, after literally annihilating some of the Humming-birds and other small birds with the coarse shot which only could be procured, I was constrained to turn my attention to a great extent in other directions, and the only birds of Montserrat of which I brought home specimens, or of which specimens passed through my hands, were of the following fourteen species:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cinclocertithia ruficauda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Certhiola dominicana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Loxigilla noctis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Icterus oberi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Elainea martinica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eulampis jugularis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Eulampis holosericeus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Orthorhynchus exilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ceryle aleyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coccyzus minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tinnunculus caribbaearum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Zenaida martinica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Geotrygon, sp. inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Anous stolidus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The species marked * are not included in the list prepared by Mr. Sclater from Mr. Sturge's collections; and one of them is a new species, having been named Icterus oberi by Mr. Lawrence, of New York, subsequently to my visit to Montserrat.

I subjoin a few notes on the fourteen species above named:—

1. **Cinclocertithia ruficauda.**
   I can say but little as to the habits or haunts of this bird. So far as I know, the only specimen I saw was the one I shot, in the deep shade of a thick copse on the verge of the primæval forest, at an elevation of about 1200 feet.

2. **Certhiola dominicana.** Yellow-breasted Sparrow.
   This species is exceedingly numerous among the lime-trees which clothe the green slopes of the hills. Along with another somewhat similar species, which I did not obtain (called by my friends a "false Canary," from its yellow colour), the Red-throated Black Sparrow (Loxigilla noctis), and the Emerald-crest Humming-bird (Orthorhynchus exilis), it literally swarms among the flowers and fruit of the limes, and adds greatly to the interest of a stroll through the beautiful lime-plantations.
ICTERUS OBERI
3. **Loxigilla noctis.** Black Sparrow.

The remarks which I have made on *Certhiola dominicana* apply also to this species, with the exception that it appeared to be a little shyer than the *Certhiola*.

4. **Icterus oberi.** (Plate XIII.)


This species is the rara avis of my small collection from Montserrat; and I may therefore perhaps be excused for entering a little into details respecting it.

Mr. Ober, on behalf of the Smithsonian Institution of Washington, had paid a brief visit to Montserrat two or three months before I arrived there, and in company with the Rev. R. H. Holme, the Rector, had ascended Chances Mountain, the highest peak in the island, in search of an "Oriole" which he expected to find there; the search, however, proved unsuccessful. On my making the acquaintance of the Rector, who was devoting himself to the study of the ferns, of which he has collected 109 species in Montserrat, he proposed to me that we should make another ascent up the mountain, in the hope that we might be more successful than he and Mr. Ober had been; and this we did, accompanied by two of the Rector's pupils and a Negro guide, on the 19th February.

It would be out of place for me to repeat in the pages of 'The Ibis' the vain attempt which has so frequently been made to describe the luxuriant vegetation and the picturesque scenery which unfolds itself in the course of an ascent of a tropical mountain.

I shall therefore omit all detail as to this and as to the "uncanny" and "bottomless" pond near the summit of the mountain, 3000 feet high (in which we ventured to bathe, to the horror of our so-called guide), and confine myself as closely as possible to recording the circumstances under which we secured our two specimens of the Montserrat *Icterus*. About 1500 or 2000 feet up the mountain, where the luxuriant vegetation through which we had cut our way was beginning to be replaced by the colder and more severe aspect of the mountain-palms, festooned with grasses and filmy ferns, and the atmosphere was becoming unpleasantly...
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humid, the Rector remarked that we had now reached the elevation at which the bird was expected to be found. He at once commenced operations by placing two of his fingers to his lips and calling the birds, a process which he had learned from Mr. Ober, and which was taken up when he was tired by each of his pupils in succession.

The continued repetition of this call without result was becoming ludicrous, rather than monotonous, when at last, from the depths of the forest, a faint response was heard, which, coming nearer and nearer as our calls were repeated, at last appeared to proceed from the thick foliage high overhead. Careful inspection now revealed the dim outline of a bird, and I lost no time in firing a shot.

Whether it was owing to my defective aim, or whether the bird lodged in the thick foliage above, or was hidden among the tangled vegetation below, it is impossible to say; but the most careful search by the whole of the party failed to reveal any trace of the stranger. A few hundred feet further on we repeated our experiment, and, after another trial of our patience, obtained a response as before. This time I handed my gun to Mr. Holme; and the result of the first shot was repeated, the only satisfaction derived from this second essay being the assurance of the Rector that the bird at which he had shot was an Oriole, and not a common one.

A further stroll upward was followed by a third attempt, in the carrying out of which I took my gun and brought down the bird, which was immediately secured by our young companions.

Soon afterwards we once again repeated the operation; and this time the Rector was as successful as I had been. Our subsequent repetitions of the call, both in ascending and descending the mountain, failed to elicit the desired response; but my friend and I were both perfectly satisfied with having secured two specimens of what we believed to be a new bird.

It was on my return to Barbadoes, at the end of March, from a visit to Trinidad and Demerara, that I found a letter from Mr. Holme, in which he told me that he had heard from Mr. Ober that the bird he had been in search of on Chauces Mountain was a new species, and that it had been named by
Mr. Lawrence *Icterus oberi* (See Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. iii. p. 351).

5. *Elainea martinica.*

I know little of the habits of this bird. My specimen was shot near the Cot, in the same locality and on the same day as *Cinclocerthia ruficauda*; and I believe I frequently saw it elsewhere.


This species is nowhere by any means common; and yet I observed it occasionally in suitable situations on the slopes of the mountain-ravines and on the skirts of the high woods in nearly every part of the island which I visited, but never at less than 1000 feet elevation. Its existence was scarcely known to the planters and officials of the island, to whom the Emerald-crest (*Orthorhynchus exilis*) and the common Long-billed Humming-bird (*Eulampis holosericeus*) were as familiar as Sparrows are to us.

The first bird I shot in the West Indies was a beautiful example of *Eulampis jugularis*, which I saw displaying its dazzling ruby breast and metallic-green wings at the white flowers of a beautiful tree growing on the slope of a ravine known as the Banana Gut, facing the sea, and within sight of a magnificent view of the neighbouring islands of Nevis and Redonda, the most charming spot, I think, that it has ever been my lot to see. I saw the bird fall; but it was some time before I discovered it, lodged between the leaf-stalk and the stem of one of the stately and beautiful bananas with which the accessible parts of the ravine or gut were planted.


This species is very common in the gardens and among the flowering shrubs throughout the island, in company with the little Emerald-crest (*Orthorhynchus exilis*).

I obtained two or three mutilated specimens of the bird, but did not preserve them, imagining that I should meet with the same species in Trinidad.

Mr. Holme and I identified it beyond doubt, by reference to authorities, as *Eulampis holosericeus*. 

This brilliant little feathered gem is to be found everywhere in Montserrat, save towards the summits of the higher peaks; but nowhere did I see it in such profusion as among the gay tropical flowers which adorned the garden of the Cot.

There, in company with its larger green kinsman, Eulampis holosericeus, and a wonderful variety of "Sparrows," butterflies, and bees, it was to be seen whenever the sun was shining (and that during my stay in Montserrat was nearly always from sunrise to sunset), sometimes darting from flower to flower with the most extraordinary vivacity, sometimes contending in the air with others of its species in the most warlike fashion, and sometimes poised over the tubular flowers of the Hibiscus or the Alamanda, its crest erect and its wings quivering with inconceivable rapidity, a perfect image of life and enjoyment.

If the variations in the colour of the crest of this bird be a sufficient reason for classifying it under two or three distinct species, there can be no doubt that the Montserrat bird is O. exilis, its crest being entirely of the emerald tint, with scarcely a suspicion of blue in the tip.

On one occasion I took home with me to the Cot from a neighbouring slope among the lime-plantations an enormous side spike of the American Agave, covered with yellow bloom.

On my way the Emerald-crests buzzed around me like hornets, making an occasional dash at the bloom I carried in my hand; and they continued their operations for the remainder of the day on the balcony of the Cot, where the spike was placed in water.


I saw this bird frequently flitting along the streams which run along the bottoms of the ravines; but I was never able to obtain a specimen myself. The one I brought home with me was shot by Dr. Pilkington, then one of the medical officers of the island, and who took an interest in its ornithology.
10. Coccyzus minor. · Quaking Bird.
Wherever I went I heard the peculiar and unmistakable cry of this elegant-looking bird proceeding from the wild guavas and other tall shrubs on the partially cleared slopes of the mountains, the wooded ravines, and the verge of the primaeval woods. It is by no means a shy bird; on the contrary, when disturbed it generally exhibits itself as a target in some conspicuous position, such as the topmost branch of one of the shrubs. My own researches in Montserrat were confined principally to the mountains, of which, indeed, the island almost entirely consists; and I do not know whether the Quaking Bird is equally common on the sparse lowlands near the sea.

11. Tinnunculus caribbeæarum. Sparrow-Hawk or Killie-Hawk.
This species closely resembles our own familiar Kestrel, and forms one of the most conspicuous features in the bird-life of Montserrat. Wherever one strolled on the slopes of the mountains, or on the low ground near the sea, two or three of these birds were in sight, hovering overhead; and they would occasionally come down with a swoop and alight in the most audacious manner, as if unable to control their curiosity, in a conspicuous position on the nearest tree.

On one occasion, when staying for the night at Richmond, not far from the shore, I fired at two of these Hawks which were engaged in mortal combat overhead, and, bringing them both down, found that while one was killed the other was quite uninjured, but had its claws so firmly fixed in the flesh of its antagonist that it was unable to make its escape.

The incident reminded me of another, which occurred in Cumberland many years before, while I was feeding a Kestrel very similar to this.

The bird cast its eye upwards, uttering a peculiar cry; and following the direction of its vision, I saw a mere speck against the sky, another Hawk hovering overhead. Thinking for a moment of the wonderful power, whether of vision or instinct, which enabled my captive to recognize its kinsman at such
an immense distance, I continued to watch it feeding, when all at once I heard a heavy thud on the ground close behind my heels, and turning round found another Kestrel, stunned and helpless. I at once secured the new comer; and it lived in partial captivity for some time afterwards. I remember looking up for the speck in the sky and finding that it was gone.

There is a considerable variety in the plumage of the specimens I procured of the Montserrat Kestrel; but I am assured by those best capable of forming an opinion, that the variations are only indications of sex and age.


Everybody in Montserrat knows this species, which comes down in large numbers from the mountains in the early morning to feed in the lime-plantations on the slopes. I continually disturbed them in my morning strolls near the Cot, when they flew for a short distance, to alight out of my sight behind the next lime-trees.

Mr. Holme had several of these birds living and thriving in captivity.


This species, like the last, was exceedingly numerous, and especially so on the lower slopes near the sea. It is about the size of a Quail, and its flight is very short and low. Once or twice I had living specimens in my possession; but I was obliged to reject them as travelling companions in my further journey through the West Indies.

I have referred here specially to only two kinds of Dove, because they only came directly under my observation; but it was impossible to explore the high woods in Montserrat without being aware of the existence of a larger species than either of these. This species, I was told, was the Blue Pigeon or Ramier (Columba corensis), specimens of which were included in the collection sent by Mr. Sturge to Mr. Sclater.

The negro Assu, of the Cacao Gut (a beautiful ravine close to the Cot), gave me a graphic description on the spot of the assembling and cooing of the various species of Pigeons and
Doves among the trees of his ravine; but that takes place in the breeding-season, and I was not there to witness it.


The specimen of this bird which I brought home was shot by Mr. Holme; and I understood that the species was common on the coast; but I know nothing of its habits.

In the foregoing remarks, which I hope may be of some interest to the readers of 'The Ibis,' I have commented only on those species of birds of which I obtained specimens, or regarding which I made notes at the time which settle the question of their identity; but I ought to add that I believe I saw during my brief stay in Montserrat most, if not all, of the remaining birds contained in the collections made by Mr. Sturge. I am convinced, too, that the small collections made by that gentleman and myself, representing together only twenty-one species, do not convey any idea of the variety of birds which are to be found in Montserrat.

I must not conclude without acknowledging the obligations I am under to Mr. Sclater (who is, indeed, the originator of this paper) for the interest he has taken in the subject, and the information he has so freely afforded me regarding the nomenclature of the birds.


Five years and a half having now passed away since we first arrived in the island and took up the study of the birds of New Caledonia, we think the time is fairly come when we may consider ourselves entitled to speak authoritatively on the subject. We have waited till we could personally visit the mountain-range of the interior and the more northern parts to ascertain what birds inhabited those regions. E. L. L. has made two visits to the neighbourhood of the forest mountain-range on the west coast; and though he has been obliged
to see the actual forest with other eyes than his own, he is sure that the keen hunting-faculties of the Messieurs Boyer, his kind allies in the pursuit, have left nothing to be discovered there. E. L. C. L. has also personally crossed the mountain-chain and visited all the east coast as far north as Pam, for the special purpose of seeing what birds could be found there. He saw nothing new; indeed, during his whole trip he never discharged his gun! We may therefore, we think, safely conclude that there is very little, if any thing, new to be discovered.

We now propose to present the brethren of the B. O. U. with a complete list of the birds of New Caledonia, eliminating all species which we think have not a fair claim to be included, and giving our reasons for admitting some which, as yet, have not personally occurred to us.

Before we commence, however, it will assist our knowledge if we describe briefly the chief characteristics of the island.

New Caledonia is a long narrow island, extending, say, 150 miles in a direction, from its most southerly point, due N.W. In breadth it is about 35 miles. On the eastern side the mountains, which form the interior mass, mostly drop into the sea precipitously; on the western side they change, before they reach the ocean, into rolling rounded hills, covered with a scanty Niaoulie forest, and carpeted with coarse grass, indicating a very poor soil; in some places the forest has disappeared. The whole of the interior is filled up by abrupt mountain-ranges with hardly any valleys between them. You descend one side of a mountain into the bed of a torrent, cross that, and immediately begin to ascend another mountain! We have heard the ranges likened to the teeth of a saw. Here and there a few mountain-streams have filled up a valley, and debouched through an insignificant plain to the sea; but these, the only spots fit for cultivation, are few and far between. The mountains are covered with forest. Sometimes, especially in the ravines, the vegetation is very dense and grand; at others they are clothed more sparsely, as we have stated above, with the "gum-trees" of the colony, called the "Niaoulie," which do not grow closely together.
Such, then, is the character of the island, as far as we know it. Its avifauna is very limited. We can but enumerate, positively, ninety-six species, including Waders and sea-fowl. Of these, thirty-six, strictly land-birds, are peculiar to the island—namely two Raptores, thirty-two land-birds*, and two Rails (the singular Rhinocetus jubatus and Eulabeornis lafresnayanus). Forty-nine species are common to the island and Australia, viz. six Raptores, five land-birds (viz. the Kingfisher, two Cuckoos, one Pigeon, and a doubtful Quail), and the remaining thirty-eight are Waders and water-birds. Thirteen species are common to the island, Australia, and the New Hebrides, viz. four Raptores, three land-birds (including one Kingfisher, one Cuckoo, and one Pigeon), and six Waders &c. Twenty-two species are common to the island, Australia, and Fiji, viz. one Raptor (the widely spread Strix delicata), two Cuckoos (one of which is even doubtful), and the remaining nineteen are Waders &c. Nine species are common to this and the neighbouring islands of the New Hebrides only, viz. three Swiftlets, the Swallow, one Parrot, one Pigeon, an Artamus, that curious and rare bird Clytorhynchus pachycephaloides, and a doubtful species (Graucalus cineus?) that may prove distinct. Of these, the Swifts, Swallow Parrot, and Pigeon have a vast range up to the northward; the Swallow, by the way, extends to Fiji, so should perhaps be omitted.

It will thus be seen that the large majority of the land-birds are peculiar to the country, though they are nearly all allied to, and representatives of, Australian forms. Of the sea-fowl, all are common to the Australian seas, and but few extend to the New Hebrides.

It is strange that our treeless grass-covered hills should hold no Larks and only one seed-eating bird, the little Erythrura psittacea or Red-headed Finch. This is also equally at home, if not more so, in the forest; and the only real grass-haunter is the solitary Megaturulus mariei. Our Quail may be an introduction; and it is very rare.

* By "land-birds" we mean all species not Raptores, Waders, or water-birds.
Our affinities are clearly Australian, as is also shown by the flora of the country. Here flourishes the last outlier, in this direction, of the Australian "gum-trees," the naiaoulie (Melaleuca leucodendron). Many other plants, we are informed, are identical, or nearly so, with those in the more tropical regions of Australia; and we know that many ferns are quite so, as also many insects (Lepidoptera &c.).

We should add that these "Notes," the united observations of a father and son, were chiefly written by the former from their MS. memoranda at Moindou, near the forest, and that the colours of the iris and soft parts, as well as measurements, are taken from birds freshly killed for the purpose of corroborating previously recorded observations.

1. Falco melanogenys, Gould.

This fine powerful Australian Falcon is one of our additions to the fauna of New Caledonia. Several specimens have come into our hands from various places, extending from Moindou, on the west coast, to Houailoa on the east. Our first example was a splendid female, shot at the model farm at Yahoué, about nine miles from Noumea. It measured, in the flesh of course*; 17", wing (closed) 12" 6"", tail 7" 9"", tarse 2" 2"", girth round the thigh in the middle 3" 6"", weight 2 lb. The bill bluish (greenish tinge at base), darkening into black at the tip; cere and legs gamboge-yellow; iris dark brown. In its crop were the remains of a chicken and a lizard or two.

It is a bird of most powerful and rapid flight, swooping with the velocity of an arrow from a great height onto its prey. I watched one from the hill-top on which stands my house make seven unsuccessful "stoops" at a flock of tame Pigeons in the valley below me. Each time she rose far above me in the clear sky; then, with a wheel round, down she shot like a falling stone, with half-closed wings, head downwards, till I thought she would have been dashed to pieces against the zinc roof of the house on which cowered

* All measurements, unless otherwise specified, must be understood as having been taken from freshly killed specimens before skinning.
the Pigeons. Apparently she thought so also; for, just as I expected to see her hurled lifeless to the ground, up she darted again in a graceful curve, with an imperceptible movement of the wings, till far above my head. The Pigeons evidently felt their security was to cower in the ridges of the zinc; and there they remained, immovable. At last, finding all her efforts to dislodge them ineffectual, the baffled Hawk darted off down the valley, and was miles away in a moment. (E. L. L.)

This Falcon is widely distributed, but nowhere common. Feeds on small birds (and the colonists' fowls and pigeons, when it can get them), lizards, and locusts. We have received a fine specimen from Vaté (Sandwich Island), one of the New Hebrides group; but how far beyond that it extends we are not able to say.


This Australian Osprey builds on the small islets found on either coast of this island, or on rocky headlands on the coast itself. In the former places it constructs its nest on low thick bushes; in the latter it almost always selects a high inaccessible tree. The nest is a huge structure of sticks, added to yearly, the birds frequenting the same spot for a long period if undisturbed. It breeds from July to September. We had a fine female brought to us on the 1st of July, 1879, shot off the eggs, which our friend did not bring, thinking we did not want them!! He described them as white, with large dark patches and blotches, and two in number. From a nest at Mar Point, near Noumea, we had sent us three young ones, just able to fly, on the 28th September 1880.

It feeds much on fish, sea-snakes, and marine spoils generally, but does not confine itself to that diet, or the sea-coast entirely. As we (E. L. L.) write this very note, at Moin-dou, some eight or ten miles inland, a pair are circling about in front of our window investigating the whereabouts of our host's (M. Boyer) turkeys. He tells us it is the same pair that we saw here last year, and thinks they must have nested

* I have recently received it from the Fijis.—H. B. T.
somewhere in the forest not far off. They kill his young turkeys and fowls whenever they have the chance, but take good care not to give him the chance of retaliating!

The irides of this fine Hawk are yellow and of extreme brilliancy; the bill bluish grey; legs and claws dull grey-white, very rugose. It has a powerful sailing flight, not unlike that of our large Harrier, from which, however, it can be distinguished in a moment by its more rounded wings and lighter-coloured back.

3. **Haliastur sphenurus** (Vieill.).

The Wedge-tailed Fish-Hawk may always be discriminated from the preceding, on the wing, by the shape of its tail. It is equally distributed with it, preys on the same food, and probably breeds in the same places, and at the same time, as we shot a fully fledged nestling on the 10th October 1880.

L. L. has shot several on the sandy islands off Ansevata, near Noumea, and found that, if only wounded, they gave him a good chase by their powerful swimming. One fair average bird gave the following measurements:—Length 21" 6″, wing 15″ 3‴, tail 11″ 2‴, tarse 2″ 6‴. Bill bluish horn; legs and feet ashy white; iris dark hazel.

For a bird of prey it is not at all uncommon (we always make a difference in our estimate of the plentifulness or otherwise between birds of prey and other families), and is very generally distributed all along the coast, extending sometimes also a little inland.

4. **Urospizias haplochroa** (Sclater).

The "White-bellied" Hawk is pretty generally distributed all over the island, but is very difficult to obtain, especially in the adult plumage, being exceptionally shy and wary. It feeds on lizards, mice, locusts, Cicadae, and such like, and is accused, in common with the next species, of not being able to refuse the temptation of a chicken if opportunity offers. We have, however, never found any thing but the food before mentioned in those we have examined. We give the dimensions of an adult pair. December 15th, 1880, ♂, Jahoué, length 17″, tail 6″ 6‴, wing 9″, tarse 2″ 3‴; bill Paine's grey
and blue; tarsi and feet yellow; iris crimson*! ♀ Jahoué, length 13", wing 9" 6", tail 7" 6", tarse 2" 10", bill 1"; bill, point of upper mandible grey, base and whole of lower French blue; legs and feet yellow ochre; iris cadmium yellow.

Three eggs were brought to us, with a female bird, said to have been killed off the nest, in the middle of November 1880. They were shaped like those of the European Sparrow-hawk. Axis 1" 7", diam 1" 4". Colour dull white, smirched and blotched with indistinct pale dry-blood-coloured markings, generally distributed.

The young of this species is dark grey-brown above, the feathers being edged with fulvous; below it is a pale buff, almost white, profusely blotched on the breast, and barred on the belly and flanks with the same dark grey-brown of the back. Bill bluish, tip black. Legs and feet yellow.

Young birds may always be distinguished from the young of U. approximans in wanting the transversely rufous-barred legs and general rufous tint of the latter.

5. UROPIZIAS APPROXIMANS (Vig. & Horsf.).

This is the commonest of our Raptorees, being found all over the island, and extending to the Loyalties. It is, however, not often obtained in the full adult barred plumage; and but very few of such specimens have reached our hands. A fair average specimen measures—length 18", wing 11" 3", tail 10", tarse 3". Bill and cere grey throughout; legs greenish; iris Indian yellow. We (E. L. L.) shot a young bird still showing the nesting-down on the 23rd August, 1879, at Mar Point. Like the preceding, it is at this age profusely blotched on the breast and barred on the belly and flanks; but there is a general rufous tinge over the whole bird, which increases with age, and at once separates it. Specimens from the Loyalty Islands exhibit more of this tint than those from the larger island of New Caledonia, and, we

* This is the second instance in which we have found one with a crimson iris; and we have just shot a pair, male and female, here (Moindou) in which the iris was almost that colour. Cf. Ibis, 1880, p. 336.
think, should be separated as a distinct race at least, under a distinguishing name.

6. UROSPIZIAS TORQUATA (Cuv.).

We give this species as a native of the island on the authority of MM. Verreaux and Des Murs, who, in the 'Revue Zoologique' for 1860, include it in their list of specimens procured by the French Scientific Expedition. They state they examined an adult female. It has, however, not occurred either to us or M. Marie, who includes it in his list, but without the (*) prefixed, which indicates that he had handled a specimen—"qui me sont passées par les mains."

We should not wonder to find it, as we have so many Australian Hawks already noted, and New Caledonia, in its ornis, is evidently an offshoot of the Australian continent (cf. Ibis, 1878, pp. 266, 267). The species we formerly identified with it (Ibis, 1878, p. 251) we subsequently found to be young examples of _U. haplochroa_. We observe that our friend and co-laborateur, Canon Tristram, has received it from Aneiteum.

7. CIRCUS WOLFI, Gurney.

Much oftener seen than procured, this Harrier haunts the low-lying marsh and the bare open mountain-top. It wings its heavy flapping flight over the rushes in the one place and the long grass in the other, regularly quartering its ground in search of its favourite food—frogs, lizards, mice, beetles, locusts, and "such-like small deer." In the forest you will not find it, as it does not even require a tree in which to nest, that duty, from all we can learn (though we have never been fortunate enough to obtain a nest or eggs), being performed amid sedges on a mound or mass of rushes, a little raised above the water, in some marsh.

It is a remarkably wary and shy bird for a Harrier, very difficult of approach; and we were several years in the island before either ourselves or the many kind friends we had assisting us in our pursuit succeeded in shooting one.

It perches readily on the ground, but usually prefers a
stone or lump of earth, on which it alights to tear in pieces and devour its prey.

We give the measurements of a pair we skinned — ♂, length 22", wing 15" 9⁄16", tail 9" 9⁄16", tarse 3" 9⁄16"; ♀, length 23", wing 16" 6⁄16", tail 10" 6⁄16", tarse 4". Bill bluish, tip black; legs and feet bright yellow; iris light yellow. Has a loud querulous cry.

This is the bird given in M. Marie's list as C. maillardi; but that species is a native of the Comoro Islands, where we (E. L. L.) have shot it.


This Australian White Owl is common and generally distributed throughout the island, feeding on Coleoptera, rats, mice, and nocturnal lizards (Geckotidae), of which there are many of large size in the forests. The poor bird has a hard time of it with the "colon" (settler), who shoots it whenever he has a chance, averring that it kills his chickens and pigeons by night. It is in vain we assure him he is killing his best friend; in vain we ask him to give us one instance in which he can vouch, from personal experience, that the poor White Owl has killed a fowl! It is enough that some one has said he has heard they do so! Their doom is passed, and they die!

One would, however, expect more wisdom in our "rulers and governors;" but so far from this being the case, although we pointed out to them what birds devoured the locusts, they have, in a recent arrêté (ordonnance), prohibited the shooting of all the little birds that would run away from a locust if they happened to see one in their own home, the forest (which they rarely, if ever, do), and have permitted the shooting of hawks, ducks, and marsh-fowl that frequent the places where the locusts congregate, to feed on the various grasses, and devour them by hundreds. The ordinance is expressly passed to protect the birds that kill the locusts!!

We have specimens of the White Owl killed in Tanna, and have traced it in other islands of the New Hebrides; it is also common in Fiji and Samoa.

This beautiful addition to the New-Caledonian fauna, and to knowledge in general, rests on an example obtained accidentally at Tongué on the 11th of April, 1880, having flown into a room at night, attracted by the light burning therein. It is the rarest of our birds, and the specimen described and figured (*loc. cit.*) remains up to this time unique. The natives and colonists equally declare it is unknown to them, so of course we can say nothing of its habits. As already stated, we are indebted to M. Saves of Noumea for this interesting specimen, which we have had much pleasure in naming after him.


The Swiftlet which we term the "White-bellied" Swift, to distinguish it from its close ally, the "Grey-bellied" Swift, is not uncommon and widely distributed over the whole of New Caledonia. On our first arrival here we thought it was confined to the forest-region, the next species replacing it in the open country and about the town of Noumea. It was then also much the rarer of the two; but for the last two years *C. leucopygia* has entirely disappeared, and its place has been filled, both in town and country, by the present species, which has become much more plentiful. Sometimes, however, we miss it from round Noumea for several months at a time, but whither it retires we have not the remotest idea.

It breeds in October and November, chiefly in the latter month, in holes or small caves in the forest, or in hollow trees. At Moindou we found many nests in the hollow trunks of the huge *Erythrina* trees growing along the banks of the river. The nest is composed of fresh moss agglutinated together by the saliva of the bird, very small, flat on the side by which it is attached to the surface of the rock if in a cave, or fitted to the inequalities of the wood if in a hollow tree. The eggs, never more than two, and very frequently only one, in number, are pure white, squarely truncated at each end: axis 9″, diam. 6″.

They feed on minute insects captured on the wing; and we have seen them hawking over and skimming a pool of water
in the manner of an English Swift, with the wings raised over their backs.

11. COLLOCALIA LEUCOPYGIA, Wall.
The "Grey-bellied" Swift, common on our arrival here, has not been seen by us for about two years. In habits it resembles the preceding, except that we have never seen it in the forest. Its eggs are also similar; but the nests we have taken are far more glutinous, and composed of thin grass-stalks and rootlets, with a feather or two stuck outside. The average size is $2'' \times 2\frac{1}{2}''$ across and $1''$ deep, and they are usually built in caverns or on the underside of a sloping rock. M. Marie includes C. linchi, Horsf., in his list as the only species known to him. It is probable he alludes to one of these.

12. COLLOCALIA CINEREA (Gmel.).
Although we have never handled a specimen killed in New Caledonia, we insert this species in our list on the authority of our (E. L. L.) own personal observation. We know the bird well (L. L. having brought several specimens from Vaté &c.), and we have no manner of doubt that we saw this species near our own house in Noumea, and it also occurred to us in Lifu (cf. Ibis, 1880, p. 223).

13. HIRUNDO TAHITICA, Gmel.
This is another species that we insert on the authority of our (E. L. L.) personal vision. On the 26th November, 1879, we saw a young bird flying round the office of the mail-steamer in the town of Noumea. We instantly, after assuring ourselves of identity, drove off to M. Saves's to beg him to try and secure it, and ourselves returned next day with our collecting-gun, hoping to procure it if he had failed. Our efforts were, however, in vain; the bird had flown.

14. HALCYON SANCTA, Vig. & Horsf.
This is the only Kingfisher we possess, our able coadjutor Canon Tristram notwithstanding (cf. Ibis, 1879, p. 181)! Jove nods sometimes! but how the error has occurred we cannot tell, unless we, by a lapsus calami, wrote "Ansevata" for "Vaté" when labelling the specimen.
It is about the commonest bird we have, and one of the most useful, being very fond of locusts. It may constantly be seen garnishing the telegraph-posts and wires, or perched on a projecting dead branch of a tree, from which it keeps a look-out for its prey (locusts, Gryllae of all kinds, lizards, crabs, and such like), on which it pounces with unerring certainty. We have also seen it take insects on the wing, returning to its perch like a Flycatcher. It nests in holes, sometimes dug by itself in banks, or in hollow trees, often at a considerable altitude from the ground. Nesting-season November to January*.

This species never has a white lining to the wing, as have some of its allies. We have specimens from Norfolk Island.

[I am quite ready to accept Mr. Layard’s correction of his label, for the specimen labelled remains unique, and I have had at least 50 specimens of H. sancta from New Caledonia through my hands.—H. B. T.]


This little "Flower-pecker" has been separated by Mr. Forbes from the Australian species; and as his dictum has been accepted by "the faculty," we do not dispute it, especially as we have never had the Australian *M. sanguinolenta*, with which it has hitherto been united, to compare with it.

It is generally distributed, but not common; perhaps it escapes observation owing to its minute size; but its brilliant scarlet back usually betrays it in the case of the male, his more sober-coloured partner passing unheeded. Fresh-killed specimens measure—length 4⅜ 6⅞, wing 2¾ 3⅜, tail 1⅝ 7⅞, tarse 9⅜, bill 9⅝. Bill black; legs and feet brownish green, soles of feet yellow; iris brown.

It feeds on minute insects and the nectar of flowers, climbing about the blossoms of the nigoulie (*Melaleuca leucodendron*) and other forest trees. We have never heard of a nest being found; but dissection has shown us that the breeding-season is about May to July. It has a shrill cry.

* When we give dates like this we merely record the results of our own experience; the breeding-season may begin earlier and continue later, but as yet we do not know the fact.
16. **Glycyphila fasciata** (Forster).

This fine "Honey-sucker" is not at all uncommon in the forest, frequenting in considerable numbers certain trees when in flower. When the blossoms disappear, the birds disappear also, and you may seek in vain for a single specimen in the place that a short time previously rang with their clear whistle and flute-like notes. They hang or climb in every position to feed, grasping the branches, or flowers themselves, with their strong curved claws. They are very pugnacious, fighting amongst themselves and with any other bird that attempts to share with them their sweet repast of flower-nectar and small insects.

Fresh-killed specimens measure—length 8", wing 3" 6", tail 3" 5", tarse 1", bill 1" 2". Bill black; legs and feet dark silver-grey; iris dark drab; gape yellow.

17. **Glycyphila chlorophæa** (Forst.).

We cannot find any other *Glycyphila* than the two we have here noticed in the island, and we believe that Gray's *G. modesta*, *G. caledonica*, &c. must all be referred to the different phases of plumage of the bird under review. It varies much with sex, age, and season, and we believe this has given rise to the confusion. We are ashamed to say how many we have killed in our endeavour to find another species; but our excuse must be a desire to elucidate the question, and we plead, in extenuation, that we have skinned and preserved for use every individual that was worth preserving.

We remark that no description we have seen notices the curious dark greasy-looking spot just below and behind the eye and over the ear. This replaces the bare patch, or wattle, usually found in *Ptilotis*, and is composed of silky feathers of a peculiar structure, best seen under the microscope.

This bird has a short, but not unpleasing, warble, and when excited in a chase after a female, or in a battle with a rival male, its voice is loud and vehement. It is the only bird in New Caledonia that really sings. Like the preceding, it climbs about the branches, feeding, in any position, on the
nectar of flowers and small insects. We have also seen it capturing them on the underside of leaves, and sometimes, though rarely, darting at them on the wing. We suspect it breeds twice a year, or else very early, as we have killed nestlings in June, July, and November. As yet we have not seen the nest or eggs. The native New-Caledonian name of this bird is "Katawania." M. Marie includes G. modesta, Gray, G. poliotis, Gray, and G. incana, Lath., in his list; but we think these are but synonyms. With the exception of G. modesta (= chlorophæa), M. Marie had not seen them.

[My large series quite bears out Mr. Layard's remarks on this variable species.—H. B. T.]

18. LEPTORNIS AUBRYANUS, VERT. & DES MURS.

This is one of the rarest, or, perhaps, we should say, one of the most difficult to obtain, of our native birds, owing to its inhabiting the most secluded and inaccessible mountain-forests. Our observant young friends, the Messieurs Boyer, of Moindou, tell us they only find it at considerable altitudes; and now that their attention has been specially called to it, they assure us they never visit those mountain-fastnesses without hearing its cry, even if they do not see the bird itself. They say it is generally found on a tree in flower, hanging about the ends of the branches in any position to reach the blossoms. A glance at its curved powerful feet and claws show how easily it may perform these acrobatic feats. We found large spiders, beetles, and small flies in the stomach of one examined. Another had the throat covered with yellow pollen; this we have also found on Glycyphila and Myzomela, and we doubt not some of the large lofty flowering trees are fertilized by such agency, as insects are very scarce here.

The coloured figure in plate vi. of Brenchley's 'Cruise of H.M.S. Curaçoa' gives a very imperfect idea both of the form and coloration of the naked parts in this curious bird. We give a description of a fine specimen which we had the pleasure of skinning. It was killed by a Pigeon-shooter in the mountains at the Dombia, not far from Noumea, and sold in the market as "gibier." Our friend M. Saves, to whose attention we had especially brought this bird, luckily saw it exposed for sale and procured it for us.
Female. Length 15", wing 6" 9", tail 7", tarse 1" 3", bill 1" 10". General colour dark sooty brown; ear-coverts faintly marked with yellow hairs; bare space round the eye bright chrome-yellow (not crimson, as depicted by Brenchley), fading in death to orange; a half-moon flap of the same-coloured skin folds back and partially covers the ear; a few black hair-like feathers extend from the corner of the eye in a narrow line to the base of this flap; a broader line of a similar kind also extends from the gape to the flap. Bill curved; nostrils elongate, perforated; upper mandible all black, except the edge of the base, which is greenish yellow; lower mandible, point black, then a dash of livid white, and the base greenish yellow. Tongue tipped with long hairs or filaments. Feet and legs very powerful, livid greenish yellow. Iris very dark brown. Wings very weak. Skin, yellow and very thick, reminded us of a greased white kid glove. The bird has a powerful musky odour. The cry is described by the Messieurs Boyer as a harsh croak while on the wing, and a low sibilant cry while feeding. One bird we skinned on the 10th October was evidently sitting.


The "Koniyou," as it is called by the natives, from one of its notes, is a common forest-bird, its loud and varied calls betraying its presence wherever we have penetrated, one of its cries, the most usual, reminding us of the word "popinjay," the last syllable much accentuated. It is a bold pugnacious bird, attacking hawks, crows, parrots, and any other species, in fact, that dares to intrude where it may be. In their desperate battles among themselves likewise the green woods ring with their clamour. They are flower-suckers, but feed also on insects; and we have seen one pursue a Cicada, turning the most fantastic summersaults in the air, and on capturing its prey return to a branch to batter the hard-shelled insect to pulp before gulping it down whole. Individuals vary much in size, but they average 12" in length. The bill is black; legs dark brown; iris dark drab. Tongue pointed and filamentous at the tip. The young birds want the lanceolate
feathers on the breast; we have shot them thus early in December, but their nesting is unknown to us.


In habits of life and nesting these two "White-eyes" resemble each other, and are frequently found in company. They frequent our fruit-orchards and gardens equally with the forest or open kyoulie-glades, and are said to be very destructive to fruit-blossoms; but we question whether this is really true, and sometimes think their visits to our fruit-trees are for the purpose of feeding on "the worm i' the bud," that is the real destroyer of our promise of fruit. Certes we have generally found their stomachs full of insects at such times; but when the soft berry of the Lantana is ripe, this is their favourite food, and here they really do much mischief, distributing the seed over great areas.

They usually make their nests in the fork of some small branches. It is a beautiful structure, composed of soft rootlets, hair, feathers, cobweb, &c., about 2\frac{1}{2} inches in diameter by 2 inches deep. The eggs, two to four in number, of a lovely pure turquoise-blue, unspotted in any way. Axis 7\frac{1}{2}''', diam. 6'''. These birds usually breed from August to October. We fancy they are double-brooded; but this depends on the character of the season. We fancy the eggs of the two species differ slightly in the intensity of the blue.


This little dull-coloured bird is pretty abundant over all parts of the island that we have visited, but escapes observation from its minuteness and shy and retiring habits. It is usually found in pairs, male and female together, and for a short period after nesting is often accompanied by its offspring, usually two in number. It creeps about trees and bushes (never descending to the ground) in search of minute insects, which it captures on the bark, on the branches, and on the undersides of leaves. We have seen it in thick forest, in gardens, and in the "niaoulie" bush. In this latter tree it usually breeds (though we have found it in thick Casuarina
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and Lantana bushes), suspending its domed nest to the extremity of a branch, sometimes not quite at the end. It is generally composed, when in niaoulie trees, entirely of niaoulie-bark, which the little builders tear off in long strips (at other times of rootlets, fibres, and dried bents of grass), and is snugly lined at the bottom with feathers, or the silky down of an Asclepiad, which has been introduced here and thriven only too well. In shape the nests exactly resemble those of Nectariniee, being domed, with an aperture in the side; but they have a “tail,” like those of Rhipidura, only not so long. The eggs, two in number, are laid any time from June till the end of December, and are white or pale pink, minutely speckled with a darker pink; sometimes these specks are concentrated in the form of a ring towards the obtuse end, at others they are evenly distributed over the whole surface. Axis 8\text{"}, diam. 6\text{"}. These little birds enjoy (?) the unenviable privilege of being the foster-parents of the New-Caledonian Cuckoo (Cuculus bronzinus, G. R. Gray). We have just been fortunate enough (October 10th) to discover two nests, each containing a Cuckoo’s egg. One of these nests had been submerged in a flood which swept over the banks of the Moindou river, and though the nest was still wet and sticky with mud, yet the birds had laid in it.

How the Cuckoos manage to introduce their eggs into these domed nests, unless by first laying them on the ground and then carrying them in their mouths, we are unable to divine. It is physically impossible for a bird of that size, and carrying a long tail, to enter the little round entrance of one of these nests, nor could it drop its egg into it, as might be done if it were stationary and open (cup-shaped). In this case it is pendent, swinging in the breeze and with every movement of the bough, and covered in.

This species measures—length 3\text{"} 10\text{"}, wing 2\text{"}, tail 1\text{"} 7\text{"}. Bill and legs black; soles of feet grey; iris dull crimson.

23. Merula xanthopus (Forst.).

This fine Blackbird is commonly distributed all over the island, but is very wary and shy, always frequenting the
forest and scratching under the trees among the fallen and decaying leaves for grubs and larvae of all kinds. When alarmed it darts away into the deep recesses of the woods with the usual metallic "clinch-clinch-clinch" of the family. We (E. L. L.) once heard one singing a low inward note, reminding us of the song of the European bird heard from afar. On shooting the bird we were surprised to find it showed no signs of the breeding-στοργη. It breeds apparently from June till November, and perhaps later. Our Moindou friends found a nest in September containing two eggs. It was a cup-shaped structure of dried ferns, rootlets, and leaves, placed at the root of a tree. The eggs exactly resemble that of our European bird, verditer, profusely marked with small blotchy irregular brown markings. Axis 14", diam. 10".

In habits this species also resembles the European bird. It has the same bold upright gait, the same flight, it has the same yellow bill and legs, the iris being dark drab. Total length 9" 3", wing 4" 6", tail 3" 7", tarse 1" 4", bill 1" 2".

24. Artamus melaleucus (Forst.).

The "Wood-Swallow" is not uncommon and generally distributed, but not much found in the dense forest. It prefers the more open thinly covered niaoulie lands. Here, from the summit of some lofty dead and bare branch, it watches for its prey, usually a Cicada, or, may be, a locust or some other flying creature, which it chases in mid-air and captures on the wing, sailing back again to its "coin of vantage" in graceful circles, with its wings outspread, the forked tail slightly expanded, and exhibiting a striking resemblance to the European House-Martin, the illusion being enhanced by the conspicuous white rump.

Young Boyer has just brought me three eggs down from Moindou. They used to be rare there, but since the locusts have taken up their residence there the birds have become quite common, and breed in the hollow stems of the niaoulie tree (Melaleuca viridiflora). Ground-colour creamy white, generally spotted, with a tendency to form a ring at the
obtuse end with brown and dull purple unequal spots and blotches. Egg has altogether a chalky look, not at all polished: axis 11"", diam. 8"".

This species measures—length 6" 6"", wing 5"", tail 2" 10"", tarse 7"", bill 1". Bill blue, except the tip, which is black; legs and feet grey-black; iris very dark brown.

25. Megalurulus mariei, Verr.

For several years after L. L. obtained our first specimen of this curious little bird at Ansevata, near Noumea (cf. Ibis, 1877, p. 360), it evaded our earnest and unceasing endeavours to discover its habitat. At last E. L. L., on proceeding to Moindou, procured several specimens in the long grass and tangled herbage about the skirts of the niaoulie-forest, which renders more than probable L. L.'s identification of a bird which he saw pass under his horse's nose as he was crossing the mountain-range from Kanala to Teremba. It is therefore probable that this skulking shy little grass-warbler inhabits, in limited numbers (a pair here and another there), many favoured localities along the west coast, extending into the mountain-ranges wherever the open niaoulie-forest affords grassy cover for its concealment.

We (E. L. L.) obtained our first pair at Moindou in some rank grass which had sprung up round a fallen tree-top near the banks of the river at the edge of the niaoulie-forest. They were very unwilling to fly, concealing themselves in the tangled herbage, from which for some time we could not dislodge them; indeed, after killing the first one, we had to wait two days before we procured the second, neither stoning nor trampling the bush availing to dislodge the bird, which we knew at the time still remained in the dense mass, from which it could not escape without our knowledge. They utter, when abroad, a sharp "tzik," but, when skulking, a purring note, like the purr of a kitten. We suspect, from those we skinned, that the breeding-season is from October to November.

Length 7" 16"", wing 3"", tail 4"", tarse 1"", bill 11"". Bill dark horn; feet and legs lighter; iris red-brown; legs very robust. Feed on beetles &c.
The male has the chest and sides of a darker cinnamon-colour than the female, and some specimens have a band of the same colour across the breast. The native name in the Bourail dialect is "Tchyunai," probably taken from the cry.


27. Myiagra caledonica, Bp.

In habits and distribution these two broad-billed Flycatchers are identical, the first being rather the commoner species of the two, though neither of them are plentiful anywhere. They usually hunt in pairs, male and female together, and inhabit the scrub equally with the forest. Their prey consists of small insects of all kinds, which they catch on the wing or at rest on the leaves and branches. They have a curious habit of quivering the tail, chiefly when in close company, and we have sometimes thought that it was a sexual emotion. They breed about Christmas-time (at least so we judge from dissection); but as yet the nest is unknown to us. The soft parts in both are coloured alike. Bill dark silver-grey (bluish); legs and feet still darker; soles of the feet pale grey; iris dark brown. Length 6", wing 2" 9\"", tail 2" 9\"", tarse 9\". The measurements of M. caledonica that we have recorded are a trifle smaller.

28. Rhipidura verreauxi, Marie.

This beautiful little Fantail is one of the commonest of our birds as well as the tamest. Found equally in the scrub or deep forest, the moment its domain is intruded on it fearlessly flies towards the stranger to investigate him more closely. Scolding the intruder with a chattering note, it hops or flies within a few feet of him, drooping its wings, elevating and expanding the long rounded tail and waving it to and fro. Even at such times, however, the main chance is never forgotten. An insect is espied on the underside of a leaf, it is secured with a quick upward spring; another passes across the open glade, out darts an active little friend, and we stand amazed at the quick turns, twists, summersaults, and evolutions it performs, till at last, the prey being captured, it returns to within a foot or two of one's nose to
devour it! Only for a moment, however, it rests; its quick eye has detected something on the ground, so down it swoops, and with huge bounds or hops progresses along at a great rate, threading its way through the underwood with remarkable speed; indeed the first specimen we shot, being only winged, kept L. L. at the top of his speed for several minutes ere he succeeded in capturing it (cf. Ibis, 1877, p. 358).

Total length 6" 4\'\', wing 2" 9\'\', tail 3" 9\'\', tarse 10\'\'. Iris dark brown; bill dark horn, except at the base of the lower mandible, where it is almost white. Legs and feet dark brown.

29. Rhipidura bulgeri, Layard, Ibis, 1877, p. 361.

The Lesser Fantail is equally common with its larger cousin, and its habits are much the same. It, however, does not frequent the ground so much, and is more addicted to climbing about thick branches and the trunks of trees, examining the crevices of the bark for spiders and concealed insects: it hangs head downwards while thus occupied, like our European Titmice. Minute as this bird is, the snap of its bill as it seizes its prey may be heard at a considerable distance. Length 5" 6\'\', wing 2" 6\'\', tail 3" 3\'\', tarse 8\'\'. Iris brown; bill dark brown, base of lower mandible white; legs very dark brown, almost black.

30. Graucalus cinereus, Forster.

Called "Siffler" (whistler) by the colonists. Common in timbered country. Usually found in pairs. Feeds on fruit, berries, flowers, Gryllae, Mantidæ, and insects of all kinds, especially those frequenting trees, as it never by any chance descends to the ground. We have seen it capture insects in the air, turning and twisting in its chase with much ease and grace, though its ordinary flight is slow and laboured. Within a few yards of where we now write there is a large banian-tree, which is a favourite haunt of these birds; and we have often watched them diligently hunting the crevices of the bark on the trunk and larger limbs for the Mantidæ, which, protected by their mimetic resemblance to knots and excrescences of bark, resort thither for concealment. When
the berries of the banian are ripe they feed eagerly on them, in common with most of our native birds.

The native name of this species in the Bourail dialect is “Tigo.” Bill dark horn, approaching to black, paler at base of lower mandible; legs and feet black, with a grey powder between the scales. Iris pale yellow.


The range of this species is strictly confined to the high forests of the mountains of the centre of the island. Here our young friends, MM. Boyer, having the species pointed out to them, find them not uncommon, but difficult to procure, owing to their frequenting the summits of the highest trees, where a gun will scarcely reach, and their incessant restlessness.

They feed greatly on berries, which we found mingled in their stomachs with insects. We fancy, from dissection and from the plumage of a young bird shot in October, that they breed about June. This young bird is in the phase of plumage described by MM. Verreaux and Des Murs when indicating the species, and we are not aware if an adult has, as yet, reached Europe. Bill, legs, and feet black; iris dark brown. Length 11", wing 5" 3", tail 5" 4", tarse 1" 3", bill 1".

In a recent excursion M. Lucien Boyer saw one scratching on the ground like a Blackbird, turning over the leaves and picking up fallen berries. There is no mistake as to identification, as he shot the bird, and was not a little surprised when he secured it.

This is the only instance in which either our young friends or ourselves have seen a “Siffleur” of either species on the ground.

The present bird, though included by M. Marie in his list, had never been seen by him, and it may be esteemed one of our rarest species, or, at least, most local and difficult to procure. Our young friends, first-rate bushmen and sportsmen, never visited the high forest without securing us one or two specimens, to which they told us they were usually led
by the voice, but they were never obtained without considerable trouble.

32. **Lalage nævia (Forster).**


We are sorry to be obliged to deprive our venerable friend, the Père Montrouzier, of the honour of giving his name to this caterpillar-catcher; but we have no doubt in our own minds that MM. Verreaux and Des Murs overlooked the description of the old English author when they indicated this species. The illustrious naturalist can, however, well afford the loss, his name being associated with so many other discoveries in every branch of his favourite study, zoology.

This little bird is too common and conspicuous to have escaped the notice of any collector landing on these shores. It is found throughout the island, and, from its white breast and habit of perching on the tops of trees and bushes, is very noticeable. It is usually found in pairs, male and female, and, at the right season, generally accompanied by three or four young ones. It breeds from August to November. The young are spotted light brown, not unlike the plumage of the young European Robin. At a more advanced age the young male resembles the female, wanting the jet-black head of the adult male, and having its plumage tinged with brown. They feed on insects of all kinds, and we have occasionally found berries in their stomachs. Length 7", wing 3" 5", tail 2" 3". Iris dark brown; bill black; legs and feet very dark brown.

We have seen this species frequenting gardens, even in the town of Noumea. M. Marie includes both *L. montrouzieri* and *M. nævia* in his list, the latter without the * and with a mark of doubt (?)

33. **Pachycephala moriariensis**, Verr. & Des Murs.

A strictly forest-loving species, this Bush-Shrike is found throughout the island wherever there is sufficient bush to afford it concealment. Like others of its family it betrays itself by its loud call-note, which is easily imitated by a whistler; and as the pugnacious character of the male prompts
it to attack every rival, no sooner does it hear its call repeated, than it flies towards the sound, uttering notes of defiance, and easily falls a prey to the gunner. Not so his more soberly dressed partner; she rarely puts in an appearance, and a dozen or two males may be shot before one female is procured.

It feeds on insects, capturing them at rest on the foliage and branches (we have never seen it take any thing on the wing), and will also pick up small shells (*Bulimi* and *Helices*) which frequent similar situations. Bill black; legs and feet livid grey; iris drab.

34. *Pachycephala xanthetræa* (Forster).

*Pachycephala assimilis*, Verr. & Des Murs.

Here, again, the French naturalists have overlooked the labours of the old English author, as we ourselves did for some time, misled by the faulty colouring of the plate in Brenchley's 'Cruise of the Curaçoa'; we have, however, had the pleasure of restoring to the species the original name bestowed by its first discoverer (*Ibis*, 1880, pp. 460, 461).

The "Blue-backed" Bush-Shrike in habits much resembles the previous species, but it is not so noisy or pugnacious. It also often captures its prey on the wing, returning again and again to the same post of observation, like the European Flycatcher. It is found in similar bush-covered localities, and is generally distributed over the island. Length 5" 9", tail 3" 6", wing 3" 2". Bill horn-colour, the base of the lower mandible pinkish; legs and feet livid blue-grey. Iris red-brown. It breeds about August to November, and even later; and the young male for the first year resembles the female, and does not assume the white throat and clear colours of the adult male till the breeding-season comes round.

We have received eggs from a lady friend which, from knowing those of some of the Australian *Pachycephala*, we must assign to one of these two Bush-Shrikes. They resemble in ground-colour the eggs of *Pachycephala rufiventris* of Australia (for specimens of which we are indebted to Mr.
Avifauna of New Caledonia.

Archibald Campbell, of the Customs Department, Melbourne), and are pale olive, more or less spotted, but chiefly in the form of a ring round the widest circumference, with dark brown and pale purple; axis 10″, diam. 7″.

35. Eopsaltria caledonica (Gmel.).

This is the rarest of our Bush-Shrikes, frequenting the scrub and forest regions. It is shy and retiring in its habits, and very silent. Like the preceding, it feeds on insects, which it hunts about the leaves and small branches. We have frequently shot it from the summit of lofty trees. A male, killed on the 14th of April, was evidently breeding. Bill horn-colour, with yellow at the edges near the base; legs and feet grey; iris dark crimson. Gizzard very strong and muscular—we suspect, for grinding up shells (Helicina) and hard-winged Coleoptera. Length 6″ 9″, wing 3″ 3″, tail 2″ 9″, tarse 13″, bill 10″.

At first sight it is difficult to distinguish this species from the female of Pachycephala moriariensis; but its reddish wing-primaries are a sure guide.


Why this bird should be classed with the previous one we are at a loss to imagine; it is totally unlike it in form, texture of plumage, and habits. It is found in the same localities; but that is all. It is a weak, fluffy bird, quite unlike its robust cousin, which closely resembles the Pachycephala.

E. flavigaster has much the inquisitive habits of the European Robin, coming up fearlessly close to the observer, hopping on the low bushes with drooping wings and tail, peering and peeping about. It frequents the ground very much, examining the decaying leaves for its insect food. It also seeks for it on low bushes; but we have never seen it on high trees. One of the young ladies of the Boyer family found a nest in the crown of an arborescent fern (Lomaria gibba) that grew by the river-side. She informs us it was cup-shaped, lined with rootlets and grass, and contained two eggs, which she secured and preserved for us. They are pale.
grey-green, marked all over with small irregular brown freckles and blotches; axis $8\frac{1}{2}$", diam. $6\frac{1}{2}$".

Our fair friend procured this nest in September; but we took a fully developed but unshelled egg from the ovary of a female on the 2nd of February. They probably have two broods a year.

The plumage of this little bird is remarkably lax and fluffy. Total length $5" 8\prime\prime$, wing $3\prime\prime$, tail $2" 6\prime\prime$, tarse $11\prime\prime$. Iris dark brown; upper mandible of bill darkish horn, lower orange on the edge, horn-coloured at tip, pale horn in the centre at the base; legs and feet dark cinnamon-brown, with deep buff soles to feet.

[I quite agree with Mr. Layard that this bird is wrongly assigned to the genus. It is really a Muscicapine form with a large first primary.—H. B. T.]

37. Myiolestes pachycephaloides (D. G. Elliot).

If our American friend had bestowed the soubriquet of myiolestoides on this plain-coloured brown bird, he would, to our minds, have conveyed a much better idea of its affinities, besides saving three letters.

In all respects, save in the singular white bill, it resembles the Myiolestes we used to procure in Fiji. Its coloration is identical, equally with its habits. It frequents the most dense and gloomy forest, whence its melancholy long-drawn whistle sounds in mournful cadence. This call frequently leads to their betrayal, when they would otherwise escape notice in the dim twilight of the recesses in which they delight. We have, by standing still and repeating their note, often succeeded in drawing them within gun-shot after we had sought in vain to discover them by other means.

We first procured the species on the Dombca road, about twelve miles from Noumea, where we watched it actively engaged in hunting its prey (insects), springing up for them on the undersides of leaves and branches, elevating and expanding its tail, the light tips of which were at times very conspicuous; its motions were, in fact, singularly like those of Rhipidura, for which, at some distance, we at first mistook it; a nearer approach, however, soon revealed its white bill.
On another occasion we watched one fly down to a broken bank of a stream, where, perching on the ground, it tugged away at something, and finally pulled out a huge white grub, with which it flew to a thick branch, and was in the act of beating it to a pulp when L. L., who had crept within distance, secured it. As this was our second specimen only of this rare bird, we were proportionally delighted. It was unknown to M. Marie, unless it is his "No. 35. *Pachycephala ——? sp."

At Moindou E. L. L. procured several additional specimens, finding them always in pairs, about November, at which season we believe they breed; but their nests are, as yet, unknown to us. Dead specimens give no idea of the singular colour of the bill, which changes, from the moment of death, from a white tinged with blue to a dirty brown when dry. The gape is yellow. In young birds the bill is brown; otherwise they resemble the adult. Legs and feet silver-grey, with yellow soles. Iris dark drab. Bill gaping, somewhat like that of Anastomus. Thighs very robust. Length 7½ 3⅞, wing 5⅞ 5⅜, tail 3½ 8⅞. Bourail native name "Nono."

[I am quite satisfied that the genus Clytorhynchus cannot stand. The bird is in every respect a typical Myiolestes; and its peculiarities in the shape and twist of the bill are exceeded by those of the Fijian M. nigrogularis and M. macrorhynchus. —H. B. T.]


We suspect there has been much confusion about the nomenclature of this species, various names being given to it by different authors describing from specimens of different ages and sexes. We can find but one species in the island, which is replaced on the Loyalties by the larger A. atronitens of Gray. Our present bird is common and widely distributed, flies in flocks of eight or ten together, and feeds on berries of all kinds, being especially fond of those of the banian. It has a loud clear flute-like whistle, but is sparing of its use. Our Moindou friends tell us it breeds in holes of trees, and
that its eggs are white or bluish. It is much eaten by the French colonists, who call it "Merle noir" and "Pigeon noir." Native name "Tio," but not in the Bourail dialect.

Total length 8"; wing 4", tail 3", tarse 1". Iris deep red-orange; bill black; feet and legs purple-black, with whitish soles. M. Marie includes in his list *A. striatus* (Gmel.), *A. nigroviridis* (Less.), *A. atronitens* Gray, *A. viridigriseus*, Gray, and *A. caledonicus*, Bp., marking the two latter with an asterisk. What was his *A. viridigriseus*?


This little Crow is not uncommon in the forest-regions, though it is very rare near Noumea, one only having been seen by us in that neighbourhood during the whole period of our residence there; at Moindou we found it common. It is called "Wa-wa" by the natives, from its not unmusical call, which is quite unlike that of the European Crow, being much softer. They feed largely on the ripe candle-nut; and the young Boyers affirm that they carry the nuts to a considerable altitude, and then drop them on a stone or hard root to crack them. They pointed out several places at a little distance from trees bearing fruit, at which the ground was covered with the empty shells, among which there was always a stone or knotty root projecting from the soil. Candle-nuts, however, are not their only food. Our friend M. Saves informed us that he, in common with others, eat them habitually until one day a native asked him how it happened that they, white people, could eat what the natives repudiated with disgust. "But why?" was the response; and the native then informed him that the Crows instead eat them! That was, after they were dead; for it was the native custom to place their dead in trees on platforms, and "the Wa-wa kai kai (cat) them." Our friend has given up eating Crows for "gibier."

We have seen them eating locusts and insects of all sorts, the seeds and flowers of the *Erythrina* and various other trees; and the Messieurs Boyer accuse them of robbing the eggs from the outlaying fowls without stint, and even killing young chickens and turkeys. During locust-time they were the last birds (with the *Philemon lessoni*) to go to roost; and
we used to watch them in the darkening twilight chasing the benighted locusts and night-flying insects, turning somersaults in the air, tumbling over and twisting about in every direction with extreme agility.

In the forest they are rarely seen singly, generally in pairs, and often five or six together. They are very inquisitive; and if one espies a person walking through the bush, he instantly flies towards him uttering his warning "wa-wa," when he is sure to be joined by one or two more, who come to look at and scold the intruder.

Their usual flight more resembles that of the Jay than the Crow, being performed in undulations. They construct a platform of sticks for a nest in a moderately high tree, and lay usually two eggs (September to November), which are very Crow-like, pale bluish green, profusely marked, most thickly at the obtuse end, with grey-brown spots and rather small blotches of unequal size and shape; axis 1" 6", diam. 1" ½".

Total length of fresh-killed male 15", wing 9" 6", tail 7", tarse 2", bill 1" 9". Female usually smaller than male. Bill, legs, and feet black; iris dark drab.

Moindou, 20th November.—Our young friends today led us to a tree in the forest not far from the house, where a curious sight presented itself. The ground was heaped up for many yards round with the shells of a fine Bulimus (B. cicatricosus), for which we have been seeking, almost in vain, during our whole visit here. They were evidently the collection of a few weeks only, being so fresh and brightly coloured; some, indeed, were probably only eaten that morning, and excited our envy and wrath. Every shell was broken and the animal entirely cleaned out by the Crows, who used a projecting hard root for their "chopping-block." The root was worn away to a considerable depth; and over it, high up in the tree, was a bare branch, from which our friends assured us they had seen the Crows drop the snails till they smashed them on it. They said they believed the spot was visited by every Crow in the district; and, seeing the accumulation of shells, we can well believe it. We suspect the
Crows also beat the *Balimi* on the root as Thrushes beat the common garden-snail in Europe (E. L. L.).

40. *Erythrura psittacea* (Gmel.).

This is the only Finch we possess; and it is found generally distributed throughout the island. It feeds on grass-seed, and, at one season of the year, largely on the seed of the *Casuarina*. It is constantly to be seen on the roads scratching among the horse-droppings, and is generally found in flocks or families. It constructs a huge domed-shaped loose nest of grass and soft materials, and lays seven or eight eggs; some say that two or more hens occupy the same nest. We have notes of their nesting in April, August, October, and November. Eggs pure white; axis 7″, diam. 6″.

It utters a shrill piercing note, and sometimes, but rarely, ascends high trees. Total length 5″, wing 2″ 2′′, tail 2″ 2′′, tarse 9′′. Iris dark brown; bill black; legs and feet brown.


The New-Caledonian Cuckoo is generally distributed all over the island, and is not uncommon, being usually betrayed by its shrill trilling trisyllabic pipe. It has also another note, not unlike that of the Indian Coel (*Eudynamis orientalis*), but not so loud. It is usually found in the forest region, but is also seen on the open niaoulie-ground. We have found the stomachs of some distended to an enormous extent with hairy caterpillars; others only contained flies and small insects.

Fully adult females resemble the male in brilliancy of plumage; but young birds are dark brown, barred all over with a lighter colour. It breeds from September to November; and we have obtained fresh eggs in the nests of *Gerygone flavolateralis* in October. They are of a warm chocolate-brown throughout; axis 9″ 1/2″, diam. 6″ 1/2″, and of a curious truncated shape, the two ends being almost identical in size and form; in fact, they are the strangest-looking eggs we have seen for a long time.*

* We have assumed that these eggs belong to *C. bronzinus* because it is the commonest Cuckoo of the island; but we have been rather startled
The eggs are decidedly larger than Australian specimens of *C. lucidus*, besides being of a deeper chocolate-brown.—H. B. T.]

42. *Eudynamis taitensis*, Sparrm.

This Cuckoo evidently breeds with us, but is very rare; we have only obtained four specimens, two of which exhibited nestling-plumes. They were all purchased in the streets of Noumea; and no one seemed to know what they were. They were shot in the neighbourhood:—the first, March 23rd, 1879; the second, 15th March, 1881; and two others April 15th, 1881. It is probable therefore that they are migrants visiting us for breeding-purposes about Christmas.

Bill, upper mandible dark horn, lower light horn; legs and feet yellow, with greenish scales; iris drab.

This species is included by M. Marie in his list as procured by him.

43. *Chalcites lucidus* (Gmel.).

This little bronze Cuckoo is found pretty generally distributed, but is decidedly rare. We shot a female with a large shellless egg on the 23rd of August; and a young friend killed a very young bird, but able to fly, on the 16th of November.

We watched one for some time darting at its prey on the underside of leaves. On shooting and dissecting it we found its stomach crammed with small green caterpillars. It is a

on reference to Gould's 'Birds of Australia' to find that they accord better with the description given therein of the eggs of the little "Bronze Cuckoo," *Chalcites lucidus*, and that the eggs of the other Cuckoos of the genus *Cacomantis*, in which our large Cuckoo is sometimes classed, are of a pale ground, spotted with a darker colour. We did not see the parent bird laying the eggs we have described; they *may* therefore belong to the lesser species; but to our minds the probability is all the other way. *C. bronziatus* is very common where the eggs were found, *C. lucidus* is very rare—so rare that our friends did not know of its existence till one was shot by one of the youngsters, to whom we lent our little gun to procure specimens for us. Having sent the eggs to our friend Canon Tristram, with these Notes, he will be able, by comparing them with well-authenticated eggs, to decide the question.
retiring skulking little bird, and not often seen. At Lifu we observed one feeding on the ground.

Bill black; legs and feet grey-black; iris drab.

44. *Nymphicus cornutus* (Gmel.).

This crested Parrot, which is peculiar to New Caledonia, is found in all the forest-region, frequenting trees in flower or fruit. It usually flies in pairs, though often several pairs may be found feeding on the same tree. They are very partial to the candle-nut fruit and to the blossoms of the *Erythrina*. We have seen them feed on the ground on fallen fruits and berries, but they do not usually resort thither. They nest in holes of trees, and we obtained their eggs on the 15th October. They are dirty white, rough, four in number, similarly shaped at each end, and much rounded: axis 12, diam. 10.

The male has usually a much larger crest than the female, but this is not always the case; he is also generally larger. The bill is leaden blue, with a black tip; legs and feet grey-brown; iris very brilliant brown-orange. Length 13", wing 6", tail 7" 3\textquoteleft, tarse 9\textquoteleft. Has a variety of native names; Bourail dialect "Hagaran."


Frequents the same localities as the preceding, but feeds more on the ground on small seeds, which it finds among the grass. It also usually travels in small flocks, perhaps all members of one family, remaining together until the next breeding-season. It is very partial to the ripe fruit of the pawpaw, tearing away the melon-like pulp to arrive at the pungent seeds within.

From the window in which we write (E. L. L. at Moindou) we see flocks of them flying from the mountain-forests in the morning to visit the gigantic *Erythrina* trees in the valley, now, alas, shorn of their glory, and only exhibiting the gorgeous livery on one or two trees here and there. In the evening the same flocks return homeward to their mountain fastnesses, and our young friends tell us they roost by hundreds in some favourite tree. The male usually exceeds the female in size. Male, total length 12\textquoteleft, wing 5\textquoteleft 3\textquoteleft, tail 7\textquoteleft 3\textquoteleft,
tarse 8"; female, total length 10" 6", wing 4" 3", tail 6" 3",
tarse 6".

The bill, over most of the surface, is plumbeous, the tip
black; legs and feet grey-brown; iris pale orange. Native
name in Bourail dialect "Buopug"; in other districts "Noiugome" and "Tea-kiou-kiou."

[It is interesting to compare this bird with C. novae zelandiae, with which it is very closely allied, differing only in
its large size and in the light greenish yellow of its whole
undersurface.—H. B. T. Examples of both species are now
living in the Zoological Society's Gardens.—Edd.]

46. Trichoglossus massenæ, Bp.

Trichoglossus deplanchei, Verr. & Des Murs.

This little harlequin-coloured Parrot is the commonest of
all our species of this family. It abounds in forest-clad dis-
tricts, feeding on fruits and flowers; and if the collector can
but find a favourite tree, he may kill dozens without any
trouble. Just now (October) a few Erythrina trees remain
in flower in the moist ground or river-bottom lately flooded
by the Moindou river. On these congregate flocks of this gor-
geous little Parrot, feeding, fighting, love-making, and playing.
The valley resounds with their shrill piercing shrieks; and
their crimson breasts glistening in the sun rival the scarlet
glories of the blossoms which they ruthlessly cut from the
branches and let fall, half devoured, to the ground. Here
comes one direct to our open window, his green back and
crimson breast alternately flashing in the declining sun of the
afternoon. He darts in and settles on our head, then drop-
ing onto our shoulder whistles in our ear "Ah! joli coccote!"
It is the pet of our kind host's daughters. They have reared
him from his nest in a hollow tree; and his wings have never
known the scissors. We take him in our hand, and he play-
fully bites and scratches us. He wants a romp; so we lay
him, back down, on the table and "towsell" him; he rolls
over and over in every conceivable attitude, shrieking and
chattering; and if he catches our finger he whispers "Ah! joli
coccote!" We give him a pencil; he seizes it in both claws
and turns over on his back, tossing the pencil about in the
air; now he jumps on it, pushes it along with his beak, and finally shoves it off the table. Now he hears the voices of his young mistresses, and, with a piercing shriek which rings through our ears, darts away, as he came, through the window, and speeds round the verandah to join them, leaving us to pen this little episode, to show how eminently capable of domestication is this pretty bird. One in our own possession imitated the notes and calls of other birds and our fowls' cackling and clucking to perfection; but in speaking they do not seem to be able to raise their voices beyond a whisper.

We observe a tendency in some specimens to produce the gorgeous red feathers of the breast into a dorsal ring below the green collar. In some only one or two red feathers peep out; but in one now lying before us, from the island of Aneiteum, there is a very broad, though broken, dorsal ring, which adds much to its splendour. The whole coloration of this individual, however, is of the deepest and brightest tints; and it is altogether the most brilliant example we have ever seen.

The bill of this species is quite unlike that of the others, being deep red-orange; feet and legs black; iris orange, speckled. Length 11", wing 6", tail 5" 3/". It is called "Pillag" by the Bourail tribes.

47. Trichoglossus diadema, Verr. & Des Murs.

This Parrot is extremely rare, but is known to our friends the Messieurs Boyer as an inhabitant of the forest regions, and as occasionally visiting the Erythrina trees. All their endeavours, however, for the last two years to come across it have been futile. By a strange error, at p. 253 of 'The Ibis' for 1878, we stated we had seen specimens of this bird; we regret to say we have not been so fortunate. M. Marie also does not appear to have obtained this species; but he names another which he did get, viz. Polychlorus magnus (Gmel.)! Surely this must be an error. The species is, we presume, no. 8243 of Gray's 'Hand-list of Birds,' and inhabits the Moluccas, New Guinea, &c. MM. Verreaux and Des Murs, who described T. diadema, appear only to have got a single specimen, a female.
This little Dove appears as a migrant on the main island of New Caledonia, but is, we fancy, a permanent resident in the Isle of Pines and Loyalty group; it may, however, reside in the forests to the north of where we have been; but when it appeared in considerable numbers about Noumea in January 1880, driven down, it was supposed, by the hurricane, all the colonists seemed surprised, and the chasseurs were after them by scores. Hundreds were, we believe, slaughtered for the pot; we killed a dozen round our house, but religiously skinned and preserved the whole before we eat their bodies in a pie, wherein they, and the Parrots, are delicious.

Our friends the Messieurs Boyer said they only knew it after the hurricane, and it has not since been seen.

This species breeds on the Loyalties at the end of August. The young birds want the crimson head of the adults, and are barred with yellow. Bill (of breeding-birds) green, with a grey tip; legs and feet dark crimson; iris brown-chrome. Food, berries; and they are capable of swallowing very large ones, fully the size of a large damson.

49. Drepanoptila holosericea, Temm.
This lovely Dove is found in all the forest region where a slight elevation is obtained; but we have never seen it in the open country. Its exquisite colours so blend with the foliage that it is far oftener heard than seen. Indeed, but for its loud booming call, which the male alone utters, it would rarely be shot; and for one female that falls, at least a dozen of the sterner sex are secured.

Its plumage is very slightly attached to the skin; and a specimen rarely falls from any height without losing a considerable portion of its feathers. If it strikes a branch in its descent, the damage is generally irreparable; and we have lost numerous specimens in consequence.

They feed on berries of all kinds; and we have found some of several inches circumference in their crops. While feeding they are very silent and almost motionless, sidling along the branch so as to reach the berries. This renders them very difficult to discover; but if the hunter can find the
tree in favour for the time, he may be sure, if he remains concealed near it, that he will see on it most of the Pigeons in the neighbourhood.

They breed from August to November; and their nests are platforms of sticks placed on horizontal branches; and the eggs, two in number, are white.

In living specimens the bill is very dark green, the feet and legs dark carmine. The iris varies with age, but may be described as crimson. The male exceeds the female in size, and in youth resembles her. Dissection of the gizzard shows that this Dove belongs to the genus *Ptilopus* as defined by Professor Garrod (P.Z.S. 1874, p. 249).


This Pigeon is also found, not uncommonly, in all the forest region, where it is much shot by the hunters and sold in the market as "gibier;" in fact, this and the next species, the "Notu," are the game of the country, and are very good eating, though they afford little sport, "pot shots" off trees being the only means of shooting them. They feed on berries, and become extremely fat and objectionable to skin; they also eat leaves.

Our friends the Messieurs Boyer make a most singular statement respecting the nidification of these birds, averring that they nest on the ground at the foot of trees. The eggs are white, exactly resembling those of the domestic bird; and they several times tried to rear young from them by placing them in the nests in the dovecote, but without effect. Having abundantly proved the truth of accounts given by these gentlemen of the habits of other birds, we see no reason to doubt this story, strange though it may seem, and though we ourselves have never seen the bird on the ground. Axis of egg 1" 6'", diam. 14".

The average length of this fine Pigeon is 17" 6'", wing 9" 6'", tail 7", tarse 1" 2". Bill, in life, pink, with grey-buff tip; eyelid, legs, and feet pink; claws drab; iris raw sienna.


This is a rare visitant to the south of the island; what it may be in the north we know not. We suspect, when it does
find its way hither, it comes from the Loyalty Islands, where it is constantly found during the rainy season. M. Marie did not obtain it, though he notes it in his list.


This grand Pigeon is found in all the mountain-forests in the wilder parts in great abundance. The Messieurs Boyer have killed from fourteen to twenty a day in the forests of the "Chaîne centrale," at the back of their house; and when we state that the birds weigh within an ounce or so of 2 lb. each, some idea may be formed of the welcome addition such a "chasse" must make to our kind host's table, round which cluster ten "olive branches" varying in age from 25 to 12.

When the capsicum (which has escaped from cultivation and run wild in many places) becomes ripe, these Pigeons descend from the mountains to feed on the burning pods. They then become very fat; but their flesh is said to acquire such a pungent flavour as to be almost uneatable. Their ordinary food consists of berries of all sorts and sizes, some of them of such large dimensions that it seems incredible they can be swallowed whole and pass, with other excreta, with but little loss of size. The lower mandible, however, is very thin and flexible, being also loosely jointed at the tip, where the bone is very narrow. This, together with the expansive nature of the skin of the gullet and throat, enables the beak to gape to an enormous extent and gulp down huge forest-fruits with fleshy pulp covering hard woody kernels. We lately extracted *from one bird* several that would not individually go into a "Keating's cough-lozenge" box, which happened to be on the table by our side, and which they much resembles in in shape, except that they were not flat.

Of the nesting of this grand Pigeon we can gain no information beyond the fact, revealed by dissection, that it must be from about September to November. In life the bill and feet are deep crimson, the former with tip of deep horn-colour, almost black; iris orange, with red ring.

It utters a deep booming note, like the bellowing of a bull, which may be heard at a great distance; indeed the resem-
blance is so near that L. L. was much amused at the terror of a friend whom he once took with him on a forest excursion, who nervously clutched his gun and made ready for a "bolt" from what he supposed was a dangerous bull, of which they had been warned; and it was with much difficulty that he could be persuaded that his terrible foe was only a Pigeon in a tree several hundred yards away. It is a stupid bird, and easy to shoot when feeding.


This Australian Ground-Dove is common everywhere in the scrub equally with the high forest; and its loud "lowing" call resounds in all directions. It often startles the intruder on its haunts by rising at his feet with clapping wings and speeding away with the rapidity of an arrow, threading its way through the tangled underwood with amazing ease, its brilliant green back and rich chestnut breast alternately appearing as it turns and twists to avoid some pendent liane or outstretching branch that it meets in its progress.

It feeds on seeds, which it seeks on the ground; but it nests in trees, laying a platform of stout twigs across a forked branch, and on the top a layer of rootlets. Eggs smooth, ivory-white, rather abruptly pointed at the small end; axis $13''$, diam. $10''$: never more than two in number, laid September to November.

54. **Turnix varia** (Temm.).

M. Marie includes this Quail as procured by him in New Caledonia. Many residents here have spoken to us of a Quail which they have seen, and sometimes shot; but we have never yet been able to get hold of one. Our friends MM. Boyer have seen them, but say they are very rare, and only found by accident. We know a Quail has been introduced from Australia. Whether these have multiplied, or whether the birds seen by our correspondents are indigenous to the island, we cannot tell.


This Heron is not uncommon along the sea-coasts of the island, but in nothing like the numbers in which it is found
in Fiji or Tonga. It breeds with us, we are informed, in the marshes about Teremba and Bourail. It does not extend inland, as does the next species. It feeds on small fish, crustacea, &c.

We have obtained this species at Moindou up the river, at Honailow, and Canala. At the latter place we saw it, while rowing up the river, in considerable numbers. We shot a female, apparently about to lay, at Moindou on the 15th of October. Bill greenish; legs and feet yellow-brown; iris pale yellow. Native (Bourail) name "Gogorie."

57. Butoroides javanica, Horsf.
A pair of these birds were watched at Ansevata in June 1879 for more than an hour by E. L. L., who, being well acquainted with the species in Ceylon, had no difficulty in identifying them. They, however, never gave a chance for a shot, though they were followed for upwards of two miles. The species is named by Drs. Finsch and Hartlaub as occurring in New Caledonia, but is not included in M. Marie’s list.

58. Botaurus peciloptilus, Wagler.
We had heard of a wonderful bird that inhabited the swamps, even in the neighbourhood of Noumea, which frightened belated travellers and "made night hideous" with its unearthly cries, and were therefore not astonished when our friend M. Saves presented us with a fine specimen of the Australian Bittern, shot at Ansevata. We subsequently obtained a few other examples; and we suspect that it is not very rare in suitable localities. From its retiring habits, however, it is seldom procured, unless purposely hunted, there being here no Snipe to tempt the shooters into swamps.

59. Nycticorax caledonicus (Steph.).
This Night-Heron is found sparingly wherever we have been; but it is a curious fact that, though perhaps a dozen specimens have come into our hands to be skinned, not one has possessed the long white occipital plumes which have
garnished the heads of all those we saw in Australia. It may be that they are only assumed during the breeding-season, and that they breed only in the north of the island.

M. Marie includes a species,

60. *Egretta brevipes*, Vert. & Des Murs,

which he says he procured. We cannot, however, trace what it is. It is not included in the 'Revue Zoologique.'


We have received specimens of this large Plover from several localities along the eastern side of the island, extending as far north as the Huon Islands, where it breeds, and whence we have seen young birds. We have never observed it personally in a wild state. It was obtained by M. Marie.


This form of Golden Plover is found all along our seacoasts in limited numbers; indeed all our sea-fowl are scarce, and many miles of shore may be traversed without a single Wader or Gull being seen.

L. L. found a female followed by a couple of chicks a few days old on the 20th of April 1877; and we simply noted it in our bird-journal, not in the least suspecting there was any thing uncommon in the occurrence, until our friend Capt. Legge wrote to ask if there was not some error in the identification. Now, as L. L. has shot dozens of these birds in Fiji and elsewhere, and was on the island for some considerable time, and close to the bird and her young ones on several occasions, it is not likely he was mistaken in the species. He was about to kill the bird, being close to it, when he saw the young ones, and spared the parent for their sake. The island is a low sandy patch at the end of a coral reef, with some stunted trees and dense scrub in the centre, about two miles from the shore at Ansevata, near Noumea.

We have, moreover, since that time seen several birds in full breeding-plumage about May.
63. *Schoeniclus australis* (Gould).

M. Marie states he procured this species, but we have seen no other waders than those enumerated.

64. *Strepsilas interpres* (L.).

The "Turnstone" has been shot by L. L. on "Duck Island" (where he found *C. fulvus*) on several occasions; all have, however, been in non-breeding plumage.


Rare. Shot by L. L. at Ansevata. We have been long puzzled about the identity of this species, which we also procured at Fiji, and then identified with *N. femoralis* of Peale; but as we never could find the "long fine hairs terminating the tibial feathers," we relegated our specimens to *N. tahitiensis* of Gmelin, which was said to be found in Polynesia.

An article by our collaborateur (Canon Tristram) on some birds from the Marquesas Islands (Ibis, 1881, p. 251) has, however, again revived our old suspicions, and we see that he has nearly come to the same conclusion as we have, namely, that *N. tahitiensis* of Gmelin and *N. femoralis* of Peale are one and the same species. But a statement of our friend's puzzles us. He writes:—"The peculiar termination of the tibial plumes probably escaped the notice of the earlier writers; but their description of the plumage, and especially the chestnut rump*, well agrees with Peale's bird." On reading this we were so amazed that we instantly rushed off to our bookcase and hauled out Cassin's 'Ornithology of the United-States Exploring Expedition' and the folio volume of the plates, for both of which we are indebted to the kind courtesy of Prof. Baird, of the National Museum at Philadelphia.

Our fears for our sanity were relieved when we saw the plate and read Cassin's own description of the bird as follows:—"Back, rump, and wing-coverts dark umber-brown, nearly every feather edged and spotted, especially on their inner webs, with dull fulvous." Where our friend got the "chestnut rump" from we cannot conceive. If his specimen has it, we certainly do not think it can be *N. tibialis*, Peale.

* The italics are ours.
But meanwhile what are the birds which we obtained in Fiji and here? We see M. Marie says he procured *N. uropygialis* of Gould here; and on turning up the description in Gould’s ‘Birds of Australia’ we find our birds, on the whole, agree pretty well with it, but they are not precisely similar. This may be sexual and seasonal, as we find one is a male (from here, shot 21st September), the other a female (from Fiji, shot 11th May). The latter is the larger bird, the bill measuring 3½ inches, that of the former only 2½ inches. In all its markings it is more “prononcé” than the larger bird, and the bars on the tail are closer and more numerous.

We think, on the whole, we shall be safer if we name our birds *N. uropygialis.*


This Godwit has been shot once or twice by L. L. at Anse-vata and on “Duck Island,” where also he has procured

67. *Actitis incana* (Gmel.);

but both are rare. We observe that New-Caledonian specimens of the latter differ somewhat from Fijian birds, the bills being shorter and thicker, and the feet and legs ochraceous instead of green. Underparts, except neck, pure white. These may be sexual and seasonal differences (cf. *Ibis*, 1878, p. 262).


We place this curious anomalous bird near the Rails, with which it seems allied through the genus *Eulabeornis*. In former times it seems to have been generally distributed all over the island, but it has now nearly disappeared from the neighbourhood of the more settled and inhabited parts. It is usually caught by the natives with dogs among rocks and stones in precipitous ravines in the mountains. In habits it

* [Unfortunately Mr. Layard missed the words with which Peale begins his description, “Upper coverts of the tail dull yellowish white, unsotted,” which I call a pale chestnut rump. If the New-Caledonian bird has not this and also the very peculiar tibial hairs, it must be *N. uropygialis*. *N. tibialis* is a much more Eastern bird. Peale’s type was from the Pau-motu group. Mine are from the Marquesas and Fanning groups.—H. B. T.]
is strictly nocturnal, lying concealed and asleep during the day in its rocky retreats; but as soon as night comes, and especially in wet weather or during heavy dews, the "Kagou," as it is called by the natives, sallies forth in search of worms, slugs, snails, and such like, on which it feeds. It runs with great rapidity, but has the habit of remaining during the day in one position for a considerable length of time, like the Herons. Swainson would have undoubtedly made this bird a link between them and the Rails.

All our endeavours to procure the eggs of this bird or reliable information on its nidification have proved futile or contradictory. The majority of the natives have never even seen an egg, which some say is blue, others brown and speckled; and none can answer the question as to whether the young birds are, or are not, able to run from the moment of their being excluded. We have kept these birds for some time in confinement, feeding them on the large Bulimis (which can be purchased in the market, whither they are brought as an article of diet for our French colonists), raw meat, &c. They are noisy at night, uttering a guttural rattling note, and their antics of an evening have sometimes reminded us of the African Scopus umbretta. Iris, in life, orange; bill and feet orange-scarlet, the tip of the former paler.

69. Eulabeornis Lafresnayanus, Verri.

This queer Rail is, though generally distributed, a rare bird in New Caledonia. It appears to inhabit much the same localities as the "Kagou," and is, in fact, a "Wood-hen," like the "Weka," and not a swamp-bird.

We have kept it in confinement, feeding it on Bulimi, raw meat, and garbage. It is nocturnal, and runs with great rapidity. In walking it elevates the tail with the peculiar flip common to the Rails, and it can climb and jump like a cat. If alarmed it will squeeze itself into the smallest holes and crevices and lie "perdue" and motionless, feigning death for a long time.

We have never seen it in its native haunts; all we have received have been brought to us, after being snared or
caught with dogs. The iris is dark crimson; bill very dark horn-colour; legs and feet brown.

70. Porphyrio vitiensis, Peale.

This is the only Gallinule that we know of in the island, though M. Marie includes *P. bellus* and *P. melanonotus* in his list, without, however, ever having seen the former; the latter is probably the bird we identify as *P. vitiensis*.

Though larger than the Fijian bird, which in turn exceeds the Samoan, we cannot separate the New-Caledonian species, especially as we have received a specimen identical in coloration, but smaller than either, from the New Hebrides (Vaté).

The Blue Gallinule is far more common than it seems, being but seldom seen; but every marsh in the island is tenanted by one or more pairs, and in the larger swamps they abound. If there is any cultivation near their haunts they do much damage, destroying pumpkins, maize, yams, &c. with their powerful bills. They also devour numbers of locusts, but are among the birds which our wise legislators have permitted to be shot, while they protect the "White-eye" and the tiny Flycatchers!!

They are not bad eating "jugged," resembling, when thus dressed, a hare. Our Moindou friends found a nest near their house, and transferred the eggs to a sitting fowl, who hatched out several little balls of black down, which, however, soon perished for want of proper nourishment. A young bird which they captured became very tame, feeding itself in the swamps, but returning to sleep in the house, or at the call of its young mistresses. In a similar manner they tamed the next species, but both disappeared after some months. We saw the latter flying about amid a large number of dogs and cats with perfect unconcern and safety, though a strange bird would have been instantly seized, especially by the latter.

71. Rallus pectoralis, Cuv.

Rarely seen, but generally distributed. Very abundant on the guano island (Huon &c.) at the north, where called
the "Quail" by the settlers. It extends also to the lonely "Chesterfield Islands," far away out at sea, between this island and Australia. It seems incredible that a bird that never flies when it can run should be found so widely distributed on remote sea-girt islands.

72. Ortygometra tabuensis (Gmel.).
73. Ortygometra quadririgata, Horsf.

Both these little Water-Rails are found sparingly over the island. The latter species was killed near Noumea by a young friend, M. Grasset, who also found another pair constructing a nest on the same day, 11th October, 1880. They both inhabit grassy swamps, and swim and dive with facility.

74. Podiceps gularis, Gould.

This little Australian Grebe is an inhabitant of most of our marshes, but from its retiring habits it is rarely seen. Though we know of the existence of a Grebe, and tried our best to secure one for identification, we have only lately succeeded in doing so.

75. Anas superciliosa, Gmel.

The "Black Duck," as it is called, is the common Duck of the country, being found on all our marshes and rivers when not too much persecuted by sportsmen and pot-hunters; it also frequents the sea-shore and islands within the circling reef, and L. L. has shot them feeding about the coral itself.

It breeds inland, generally on the mountains covered with niaoulie-forest near some damp spot, either a runlet of water or a little swamp, but is especially careful to place its rough loose nest above the reach of a chance inundation. We obtained a nest of fresh-laid eggs, seven in number, on the 15th of October at Moindou. It was placed at the foot of a niaoulie-tree, near a small swamp, at some distance from the water. The eggs are of a dirty creamy white, with a tinge of green. Axis 2" 4\,\textquoteleft\, diam. 1" 7\,\textquoteleft\.

This is the only Duck we have killed; but of three other species we have certain information, and as M. Marie procured and identified some of them, and MM. Verreaux and Des Murs another, we include them in our list.
76. *Spatula rhynchoitis* (Lath.).

MM. Boyer's description of a "Shoveller Duck" is too graphic to afford room for any mistake. MM. Boyer shot their specimens in a large marsh near Moindou. We have only obtained one specimen, a young female, shot in Noumea February 1882. MM. Boyer also describe a red "Sarcelle," which we have no doubt is a *Dendrocygna*, probably

77. *Dendrocygna gouldi*, Bp.,

which is included by M. Marie. They only killed it accidentally, and know nothing of its habits. Another "Sarcelle" which they described we had no difficulty in at once recognizing as

78. *Mareca castanea*, Gould,

as it is called by M. Marie; nor does it surprise us that a bird of such wide distribution over Australia should be found here*. M. Marie, however, does not include it on his own authority, though he does another, which neither we nor the MM. Boyer have seen, viz.

79. *Nyroca australis*, Gould;

but we presume he accepts, as we do, the dictum of such authorities as MM. Verreaux and DesMurs, who enumerate the former in the 'Revue Zoologique,' together with *Anas superciliosa*, as the two Ducks obtained by the Expedition.


This is the common Petrel of the adjoining seas, breeding on the small rocky islands, and, we believe, also (from what we have heard) on the mountains in the interior. We have received the young birds in several stages of plumage from a small island off the larger island of "Ueu," which is separated from the main island by the celebrated Wodin passage, and forms the southernmost end of New Caledonia. On the 11th of April, 1877, Père Montrouzier sent us nine very young birds in the downy stage. They were white below and grey above, darkest where the feathers were beginning

* [The species is perhaps more likely to be *Anas gubberifrons*. Cf. Sclater, P. Z. S. 1882, p. 453.—Edd.]
Avifauna of New Caledonia.

539
to show. Bill, legs, and feet black, the webs on the latter between the toes buff. On the 20th of September the Père sent us a bird about twice the age of the preceding, with the wing-primaries just showing. Above it was sooty grey, including the throat and chest, the rest of the underparts white. Bill black, tip of lower mandible white; feet and legs pale flesh-colour, with a black patch commencing half-way up the outside edge of tarsus and extending downwards, over the joint, to the centre and exterior toes as far as the first joint, then across the whole foot, including the web.

The eggs of this species are white, but more or less stained by the yellow clay of the hole in which they are laid. Axis 2", diam. 1" 6".

81. CESTRELATA MOLLIS (Gould).

Breeds in great numbers about the summit of Mont Mou, in burrows. The birds and eggs were obtained by Mr. Atkinson on 10th February, 1882, the latter all nearly ready for exclusion, and dirty white, red-stained with earth, rather pointed at one end, not oval: axis 2" 1", diam. 1" 6". Only one egg in each nest. Mr. Atkinson heard the birds calling as they passed high overhead at night. They are never seen over the land by day.

82. ADAMASTOR CINEREUS (Gmel.).

We possess a single specimen, procured off the coast, between Noumea and Australia.

83. PUFFINUS BREVICAUDUS, Brandt.

We are indebted to Capt. North, of the schooner 'Effie Meikle,' for a specimen of this bird, which he captured on the water in Neketti Bay, on the east side of the island, and brought to us alive. Also to him we owe the acquisition of

84. OCEANITES WILSONI, Bp.

which he shot in the Havanica pass on the same coast, and brought to us in the flesh. We have seen other small Petrels off the coasts of the island which we have not procured, though we think we have rightly identified them, as we have paid much attention to sea-fowl in our varied wanderings, and know them pretty well by sight.
85. **Larus novæ-hollandiæ**, Shaw.

This is the only Gull we have seen on the coasts of the island. It is said to breed in large numbers on the Huon Islands, at the north end, about August. This date would accord well with the dates on which we have shot birds in young plumage about Noumea.

We kept a specimen alive for many months, rearing it from its nestling-plumage, but were finally obliged to part with our pet, as he took to killing the young ducks and chickens, and, after dipping them in his tub, swallowing them down at a gulp.

86. **Sterna bergii**, Licht.

Not uncommon along the coasts; feeds on fish. This is probably the species identified as *Thalasseus pelicanoides* by M. Marie.

87. **Sterna melanauchen**, Temm.

We once obtained this Tern, a female in non-breeding dress, at Ansevata, in company with the next species. It had been feeding on small Crustacea. Date 10th October, 1878.


Breeds some years in thousands on the sandbanks in the circling reef near the Amedée-island lighthouse. The eggs are pale drab, of different shades, mingled usually with a tinge of green, and generally covered with a confused mass of spots and blotches of varying shapes, sizes, and colours, from a pale purple-drab to a dark purple, or almost black-brown: axis 1" 8", diam. 1" 3".

89. **Sternula placens**, Gould (*cf. Ibis, 1881, p. 134*).

We originally identified a little Tern found breeding by L. L. on the islands off Ansevata as *S. nereis*, Gould; but Mr. Masters, of the MacLeay Museum in Sydney, showed us typical specimens of that bird, and pointed out that our species had been separated from it by the name of *S. placens*, under which we now place it.

* In 'Ibis,' 1881, p. 134, 12th line from bottom, for "he had formerly identified," read "which we " &c.
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It is not common on our coasts, but we think pays us periodical visits for breeding-purposes. We copy the following from our notes:—

"Sept. 3rd, 1877. Found breeding by L. L. on the islands off Ansevata. Three nests, each containing two eggs; one pair hard-set, the next a little less, the next fresh. Ground-colour greyish creamy white, spotted throughout with indistinct light purple spots and blotches, interspersed with dark brown-purple spots, having a tendency to form a ring, or be denser, at the greatest diameter of the egg. Eggs vary in size, even in the same nest, but average, axis 1" 4", diam. 1"." Another note says, "Male shot, sitting on egg, at Ansevata, Nov. 3rd, 1877."

We saw a flock of about twenty on the 1st November, 1881, while returning from Moindou in the steamer, about ten miles to the north of Noumea.

In this species the bill is of a raw sienna-yellow, except for about a quarter of an inch at the tip, which is black, as if it had been dipped into the inkstand! Feet and legs coloured as bill, with the claws black, joints dusky. Iris dark brown. Feeds on minute Crustacea (sand-shrimps) and small fish.

90. Haliplana puliginosa (Gmel.)
Frequents the sea-coast, but is not often seen. Several were brought to us after the hurricane, picked up dead (and unfortunately putrid and unskinnable!) in the neighbourhood of the town of Noumea. Included by M. Marie in his list, but not procured. What his

91. Thalasseus pelecanoides (King),
which he says he did secure, may be we do not know.

92. Phaethon candidus, Briss.
Is included by M.M. Verreaux and Des Murs in the 'Revue Zoologique' as being in the collection of the Expedition; but though we have seen hundreds, if not thousands, of the red tail-feathers of the next species, obtained on Huon Island, we have never seen a single white feather from this species. Curiously enough, the red-tailed one is omitted from their list.
93. Phaethon rubricauda (Gmel.).

Common on "Huon" or "Surprise" Islands at the north, where it breeds. We have not seen it in the south.

94. Tachypetes aquilus (Linn.).

Found all round the island. Said to breed on "Huon" and "Surprise" Islands, but we have not received eggs.

95. Sula piscator, Linn.

We have seen several specimens, captured near Noumea. During the hurricane last year (1880) many were driven on shore, and some were cooked and eaten by the French settlers as a kind of "Sea-duck"! We heard they were found fishy!

96. Dysporus sula (Linn.).

Also found on "Huon" and "Surprise." On the 26th of July last one accompanied our boat for about a quarter of an hour while sailing into Noumea harbour.

97. Phalacrocorax melanoleucus (Vicill.).

M. Marie includes a Cormorant, which he says he got, under this name.

A Cormorant is not a bird that can be mistaken for any thing else, so we will not dispute M. Marie's accuracy, simply stating, however, that we have not seen any thing of the kind in our trips up the coast, nor did it occur to the Expedition whose collection is catalogued by MM. Verreaux and Des Murs. Our wonder is, not that M. Marie procured one, but that thousands are not found! but, as we have before said of these coasts, all are barren!

December 24th. Our young friend, M. Grasset, has just turned up from the north of the island, near "Cap Goulain," where he describes a sea-fowl which he has seen, and which is certainly a Cormorant. He also describes Dendrocygna gouldi and another large Duck, which we fancy is the "Paradise Duck" (Casarea variegata) of New Zealand. He also says he has again seen the "small Parrot" and shot a Hawk with a "dark-blue back and white underparts spotted with red," unlike any thing we have. Unfortunately our young friend cannot skin.
List of the Birds of New Caledonia, showing also their Distribution in Australia, the New Hebrides, and Fiji.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>New Hebrides</th>
<th>Fiji</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falco melanogenys, Gould</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandion leucocephalus, Gould</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haliastur sphenurus, vicill</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urospizias haplochroa, sclater</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— approximans, V. &amp; H.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— torquata (Cuv.)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circus wolti, Gurney</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strix delicatula, Gould</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegotheles savesii, L. &amp; T.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collocalia uropygialis, G. R. Gray</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— leucopygia, Wall.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— cinerea (Gmel.)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirundo tahitica (Gmel.)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halcyon sancta, V. &amp; H.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myzomela caladonica, Forbes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycyphila fasciata, Forst.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— chlorophae, Forst.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptornis aubryanus, V. &amp; Des M.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philemon lessoni, G. R. Gray</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zosterops xanthochroa, G. R. Gray</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| — griseonota, G. R. Gray | * | *
| Gerygone flavolateralis (G. R. Gray) | * | |
| Merula xanthopus, Forst. | * | |
| Artamus melalaeus, Forst. | * | |
| Megalurus marieti, Verr. | * | |
| Myiagra viridinitsens, G. R. Gray | * | |
| — cedoniaca, Bp. | * | |
| Rhipidura verreauxi, Marie | * | |
| — bulguri, Lay. | * | |
| Graucalus cinereus, Forst. | * | |
| — analis, V. & Des M. | * | |
| Lalage naevia, Forst. | * | |
| Pachycephala moriensi, V. & Des M. | * | |
| — xanthetra (Forst.) | * | |
| Eopsaltria cedoniaca (Gmel.) | * | |
| — flavigastra, V. & Des M. | * | |
| Myiolestes pachycephaloides, Elliot | * | |
| Aplonis cedoniaca, Bp. | * | |
| Physocorax monedulaoides, Less. | * | |
| Erythrina psittacea (Gmel.) | * | |
| Cuculus bronzinis, G. R. Gray | * | *
| Eudymanis taitensis, Sparrm. | * | *
| Chalcites lucidus (Gmel.) | * | *
| Nympicus cornutus (Gmel.) | * | *
| Cyanoramphus saisseti, Verr. | * | *
| Trichoglossus massene, Bp. | * | *
<p>| — diadema, V. &amp; Des M. | * | * |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>New Hebrides</th>
<th>Fiji</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptilopus greyi, <em>G. R. Gray</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drepanoptila holosericea, <em>Temm.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ianthænæs hypænochroæ, <em>Gould.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpophaga ænea, <em>G. R. Gray</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phænorhina goliath, <em>G. R. Gray</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcophaps chrysochloræ, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnix varia, <em>Temm.</em> (? indig.)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herodias albolineata, <em>G. R. Gray</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— novæ-hollandiæ, <em>Lath.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butoroides javanica, <em>Horsf.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botaurus poeciloptilus, <em>Wagl.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nycticorax caledonicus, <em>Steph.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esacus magnirostris, <em>Temm.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charadrius fulvus, <em>Gmel.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoenæclus australis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strepsilæs interpæs (<em>Linn.</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numenius uropygialis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limosa uropygialis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actitis incæna (<em>Gmel.</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhïnocætus jubatus, <em>Verr.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euræboræmis lafresnayanus, <em>Verr.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyrægo vitiensis, <em>Peale</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rallæs pectoralis, <em>Cuæ.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortygometæa tabuensis (<em>Gmel.</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— quadristrigata, <em>Horsf.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podicepæ gularis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anæs superciliosæ, <em>Gmel.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatula rhynchetæs, <em>Lath.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrocytæna gouldi, <em>Bp.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mareca castaneæ, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyroca australis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Æstrelata raftæra, <em>Peale</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— mollis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamastor cinereus (<em>Gmel.</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puffinæs breviaænhus, <em>Brandt</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanætes wilsoni, <em>Bp.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laræs novæ-hollandiæ, <em>Shaw</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterna bergii, <em>Licht.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— melanauchen, <em>Temm.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— gracilis, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternæla placens, <em>Gould</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halipælæna fuliginosa (<em>Gmel.</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalasseæs pelecanoidæs (<em>King</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phæethon candidæs, <em>Brisæ.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— rubricauda, <em>Gmel.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachypetæs aquilæs (<em>Linn.</em>)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sula piscator, <em>Linn.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysporæus sula, <em>Linn.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phalacrocoræx melanoleucus, <em>Vieill.</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Before we conclude our "Notes" we hope the Editors of 'The Ibis' will allow us a little space for a ride on our favourite "hobby"—small-bore guns for collecting-naturalists, especially ornithologists. Our favourite "Long Tom" (bore 360) has already been noticed in these pages, and we can now confidently recommend a double-barrelled gun of larger bore, but still small (viz. 28 to the lb., old style), as fitted to do all the work that a naturalist requires, and to effect a vast saving of cost and weight and space in carriage of ammunition. The collector must, however, be content with a pin-fire gun for the following reasons:—He can load his cartridges at least five times over, and though they may be expanded by the firing, the use of a steel "swedge" before loading contracts them very much, and with the aid of a hard wooden punch (we use 8 inches of an old ash broom-handle!), which can be carried in the pocket, they can always be forced into the chamber of the gun: when fired they come out easily. Not so with a central-fire gun, here any forcing is damaging to the self-acting extractor, and the collector will inevitably come to grief if he attempt it.

Our little 28-bore weighs barely 5 lb., an ordinary fowling-piece 6½ or 7 lb. Capt. Richards, R.N., the discoverer of the lovely Charmosyna margaretiae, who collected much in the Solomon Islands, had a gun constructed by Mr. Buckley, one of the B. O. U., on the lines of ours, but 36 inches long (one barrel full choke), with which he did wonders, and of which he speaks in rapturous terms in the 'Field.' This weighed 6 lb.

The charges we use are, for small birds, ⁵⁄₈ dram of powder, ⁴⁄₆ oz. of small shot; for ordinary work 1 dram powder, ⁷⁄₈ oz. No. 7 shot; large charge 1½ dram powder, ⁵⁄₈ oz. shot. For large Pigeons, such as Phaenorhina goliath, weighing nearly 2 lb., and usually perching on very high trees, we use 1¼ dram powder and ⁷⁄₈ oz. shot, Nos. 2 or 3. A small charge of powder will send large shot with sufficient force to kill at long distances, and will not scatter it so much as a large charge.

Now calculate the saving of such a gun over a 14- or 12-bore, which requires 2½ drams of powder and 1½ oz. of shot.
Of our first charge we get 409 shots in the pound of powder, of our second 256–258, and of our third 170. 2½ drams of powder allow about 102 charges to the pound.

Of shot 96, 42 and 26 in the pound, as compared to 13 of the big gun. Of course the big gun can throw small charges, but it does not do it so well as the small one. Now we venture to say that at least 80 out of every 100 specimens killed in the forest will fall to the first and second charges, and a little amount of careful stalking and manoeuvring will bring anything else (Ducks, sea-fowl, &c.) within range of the third and big shot, special charge.

An ordinary gun-case will carry about 140 of these small cartridges, or, on an average, 700 shots, as we have shown; and we think that when the relief of carrying the smaller weight of gun and ammunition when afield collecting (especially in a hot climate) is considered, the saving in bulk, as luggage (impedimenta), and the saving of good ammunition (not to be got in out-of-the-way places—the best, usually, in which to collect), our collecting-brethren of the B. O. U. will thank us for the foregoing "wrinkle" about small-bore guns.


The interbreeding of birds supposed to be specifically distinct is a subject which has been much neglected by ornithologists. The existence of intermediate forms so produced has been as much as possible ignored. Where the facts were too obvious to admit of doubt, the so-called cross was contumaciously dismissed as a hybrid—a monstrosity and, as such, possessing no more scientific interest than a white blackbird or a six-legged calf. So long as each species was supposed to have had a separate origin, and to be divided by a hard and fast line from every other species, this attitude of ornithologists towards interbreeding was excusable; but now that the theory of development has been generally accepted, the subject will be found to possess the greatest interest and to throw unexpected light upon the origin of species.
The old definition of a species having lapsed, in consequence of the rejection of the theory of special creation, it is necessary to provide a new one. The first step towards an understanding of what constitutes a species is the admission of the existence of subspecies. Two forms which are apparently very distinct, as Corvus corone and C. cornix or Carduelis major and C. caniceps, are nevertheless found to be only subspecifically distinct—a complete series of examples from one extreme form to the other in each case being obtainable. These are produced by interbreeding. In the case of the Crows it has been proved over and over again that the two extreme forms not only interbreed with each other, but also with the intermediate forms; so that not only are mulattos produced, but also quadroons, octoroons, &c. Of course, in no other way could a complete series from one extreme form to the other be obtained.

In some genera of birds we find the relationship between the species still more complicated. Lanius excubitor inhabits Western Europe: it is an intermediate form between L. major of North-eastern Europe and Siberia and L. leucopterus of South-eastern Europe and Siberia. A complete series of examples of intermediate forms connecting L. major and L. leucopterus may be obtained; and yet both species inhabit the same district in Siberia and appear to be specifically distinct, no intermediate forms having been obtained from that country. On the other hand, both the extreme forms appear to be only subspecifically distinct from L. excubitor, inasmuch as in North-eastern Europe every intermediate form is found between L. major and L. excubitor, and in South-eastern Europe every intermediate form is found between L. excubitor and L. leucopterus. In this case we may assume that L. excubitor was the original Shrike from which L. major and L. leucopterus have varied in opposite directions. In the case of the Crows and the Goldfinches, already cited, the circumstances are probably somewhat different. The original Crow or Goldfinch may have been an intermediate form of either of the extreme forms; but there is considerable evidence to prove that, in the case of the
Crow at least, the two forms had been long enough separated for the intermediate forms to have been absorbed by interbreeding, or eliminated by sexual or natural selection, and afterwards reproduced by the interbreeding of the extreme forms wherever the geographical areas of their respective distributions again met, not only in the valley of the Yenesay, but also in the valley of the Elbe and in the highlands of Scotland.

We must, however, look upon the example of the Crows as an exceptional case. Interbreeding seldom takes place between the extreme forms, because they are too widely separated geographically. The intermediate forms occupy the intermediate localities, and were probably the original race, which has spread in different localities and has had to struggle with different difficulties, and has consequently developed in different directions, but not to such a degree as to prevent the individuals of each valley breeding with their immediate neighbours; so that a complete series from one extreme to the other is obtainable, though, as in the case of the Shrikes, the two extremes have become so widely separated that when they have subsequently remigrated into the same locality they remain distinct, having lost the power, or at least the will, to interbreed.

The case of the Shrikes may be given as a typical example of incipient species, of imperfectly segregated species, of species in the process of formation, of conspecifics, of subspecies, or by whatever name ornithologists may agree in future to call the phenomenon—the great fact lying at the bottom of it all, and explaining it all, being that interbreeding takes place. We must, however, bear in mind that there is no hard and fast line between a specific difference and a difference which is only subspecific. Two forms may have become so widely separated that interbreeding between them has become physically impossible, or they may have become sufficiently separated to cause the cross to be a barren hybrid, or the produce may only be less fertile than usual, or no perceptible decrease of fertility may be observable. The practical result is that slight subspecific variations are con-
Interbreeding of Birds.

Interbreeding is continually lost by interbreeding; so that the similarity of individuals in a species is retained, whilst the sterility produced by a specific variation prevents the universal mongrelization of species which might otherwise take place.

Interbreeding is a check upon the indefinite multiplication of species; whilst the narrow limit in which it is possible provides against the extinction of specific differences.

A very interesting case of the interbreeding of forms hitherto supposed to be specifically distinct has just come to my knowledge.

*Cinclus cashmiriensis* is a well-known species of Dipper, in which the dark-brown and white on the underparts are distributed in the same manner as they are in our bird, the white throat and breast being divided abruptly from the dark-brown belly and flanks. Its range extends from Lake Baical to the Altai Mountains. In its more northern locality it meets with *C. leucogaster*, with which it apparently interbreeds; for, as is well known, every intermediate form, as well as both extreme forms, are found in the district. I have lately had an opportunity of examining a large series of Dippers, sent from the Altai Mountains by the Siberian collector of Herr Tanere of Anclam; and I find that in the southern extremity of its range *C. cashmiriensis* comes into contact with *C. sordidus*, with whom it also apparently interbreeds; for here again we have every intermediate form as well as both extreme forms. It is impossible to say whether *C. leucogaster* would interbreed with *C. sordidus* or not, because we do not know of any locality where both are found; but it is difficult to avoid coming to the conclusion that both of them interbreed with *C. cashmiriensis*. By obtaining a series of examples from both localities, a complete series of Dippers may be obtained, beginning with birds having nearly the whole of the underparts white, and ending with birds having the whole of the underparts brown, the throat and breast being only a shade paler than the belly and flanks.

In all these forms of *Cinclus* there is little or no difference in the colour of the upper parts, which makes it very difficult to suppose that the difference in colour can be a protective
The same remarks apply to the various subspecific forms of *Sitta europaea*.

But whatever theory we may adopt to account for the differences in the colour of nearly allied species from different localities, the fact that interbreeding takes place remains; and it is this fact which I wish to press upon the attention of ornithologists.

The case of the Crows and the Goldfinches, where the extreme forms interbreed, is exceptional. The case of the Shrikes and the Dippers, where each extreme form interbreeds with an intermediate form, may also be exceptional; but the cases where the individuals of each valley interbreed with their immediate neighbours, and where the range is great enough to make the sum of a series of small differences show a large difference in the extremes, is by no means uncommon. What I wish to emphasize is the fact that all these are cases of interbreeding, the difference in the three modifications of interbreeding which I have cited being one of degree and not of kind.


(Plate XIV.)

The following notes refer to the birds either identified or collected during a short trip to Biskra and the Aurès mountains made in company with Mr. Elwes. Considering that our stay was such a brief one, and that travelling took up at least half of the month we were away from England, our success was far beyond our highest expectations. Amongst our captures were a dozen specimens of the rare little Algerian Coal Titmouse, *Parus ledoucii*; specimens of *Saxicola lugens* with the sex carefully ascertained, settling the much-vexed question as to the difference of plumage in the sexes of this bird; several examples of *Emberiza sahara*; *Phylloscopus bonelli*; a female in breeding-plumage of the rare *Sylvia desertica*; and, last but not least, we secured two specimens of a hitherto undescribed Chat.
We arrived at Philippeville, *via* Marseilles, on the 28th of April, and thence took train to Constantine. Our route from Philippeville was partly through charming country thick with semitropical verdure, and partly through a desolate hilly region. Near Constantine we made our first acquaintance with the Griffon Vulture, and watched from the train a pair of these birds that came within gunshot. A little further on, the White Storks were wandering about the pastures, or perched, sentinel-like, by the side of little pools, looking out for frogs. High in air were also one or two Egyptian Vultures wheeling leisurely round in circles, and a Falcon, probably a Barbary, left its eyrie, far above us in the rocks, as the train dashed past. Constantine, from its peculiar commanding situation on the summit of a large rock, has from earliest times been chosen as a fortress and a fitting capital for a country subject to incessant warfare and revolutions. It stands on the summit of rocky precipices, whose sides in places rise just upon a thousand feet from the bed of the river Roumel below. This deep ravine is spanned by the bridge of El Kantara. Seen from a distance Constantine looks at its best; for a closer inspection will not bear out the expectations of the traveller. We found the valley below Constantine to the west a very interesting place, teeming with birds, although rather poor in species. Among the most interesting of these were the White-bellied Swift, which breeds in the rocks round the town, and a large Raptorial bird which Mr. Elwes took to be the Booted Eagle.

From Constantine we went by rail to El Guerrah, and thence by diligence to Batna. From Constantine to Batna the road ran at first through a rich valley, with occasional vegetation and groups of bare rocky hills, rising about 1500 feet above the plain, which is itself some 2300 feet above sea-level. Here and there a Vulture could be seen; and a Black Kite would now and then hover gracefully above us; and once a Kestrel flitted leisurely past us. On the way we saw a Hobby, and as we approached Batna one or two pairs of Black Chats (*Saxicola leucura*). Some forty miles from Constantine we passed the two large salt lakes of Tinsilt.
and Mzouri, near which the Flamingo is reported to breed; but we did not see a trace of this bird. The salt from these lakes is collected by some Europeans, who live close at hand.

Here also, in this dreary wilderness, several tribes of Arabs reside, and their flocks and herds graze over the scanty pastures. As we drew near to the village of Ain Yacout, the scenery became far less arid, and on the mountains juniper and ilex trees may be seen. Then the country again assumed a more desert aspect as we wound along between mountains and over plains. For the whole day we travelled on through excessive heat, made the more unbearable by the clouds of dust and sand that the stiff breeze whirled round us. Some five miles from Batna we passed the small village of Fesdis; and here the arid country changes, giving place to sparsely wooded hill-sides. Batna appeared in the distance, a small town enclosed by walls, to which the railway from Constantine will soon be open. The country round Batna is mountainous; indeed the place is situated on a small plain surrounded by the wooded heights of the Aurès mountains. We found but few birds of interest in the immediate neighbourhood of Batna, but succeeded in obtaining specimens of Moussier's Bush-Chat. At Batna we made the acquaintance of Si Abbas, the Kaid of the Aurès, who invited us to his house in the mountains at Oued Taga. It was our intention to make the journey to Biskra on mules right through the mountains by this route; but the unsettled state of the weather changed our plans, and we got no further than Oued Taga in this direction, returning in a couple of days to Batna, whence we went on to Biskra by the diligence. On the way up to Oued Taga I shot the Calandra Lark and the Tawny Pipit, both birds being common in the barley-fields. The country, so soon as we ascended the hills beyond Lambessa, began to improve at each step, and we were soon amongst scenery all that could be desired, partly composed of barley patches, the rest scrub and evergreen-oak woods, studded here and there with patches of greenest turf gay with wild flowers. Here, in these woods, we met with the Roller and the Bee-eater. The Algerian Chaffinch and the Ultramarine
Titmouse were also common; and now and then Moussier's Bush-Chat, perched, Chat-like, on a tree or stump, or a Barbary Partridge would be seen. At times our surroundings were much like Sherwood forest at home, if for the hoary oaks were substituted evergreen oaks, and for the whitethorn trees stunted junipers. We gradually ascended the mountains, the higher we went vegetation becoming less luxuriant; and finally, as we reached the summit and looked down upon Oued Taga, the fair scene of verdure had almost passed away, and bare hill-sides and the snow-capped peaks of Djebel Mahmel had taken its place. Oued Taga stands on a little eminence surrounded by towering hills, and is but a small place, composed of a few Arab houses surrounded by flourishing gardens. A letter from the Kaid had preceded us, and we were made welcome. At sunrise on the following morning we were making preparations for an early start, intending to make the ascent of Djebel Mahmel, the second highest mountain in the Djebel Aurès. Our route was through a barren and stony country, here and there studded with barley-patches belonging to the Arabs, whose tent villages we occasionally passed. In crossing over one of these stony plains I shot from the back of my mule the new and interesting Chat of which further particulars will be given anon. Sometimes we would pass through a clump of evergreen oaks or junipers, or cross a purling stream of snow-water. Getting as far as possible with our mules, we left them and finished the ascent on foot. This mountain disappointed us, from a collector's point of view; but the prospect from near the summit was a charming one. All round us lay the Aurès mountains stretching away as far as the eye could reach, peak upon peak and hill beyond hill, conspicuous amongst them all being the lofty summit of Djebel Chellia, one of the highest mountains in Algeria, with the snow still lying thickly on its brow. We got back to Oued Taga a little before sunset, leaving the following morning for Lambessa and Batna again.

We arrived at Batna in the evening, and set off again the following morning for Biskra, some seventy miles still further to the south. For the first few miles out of Batna vegetation
is luxuriant, the road leading gently up the valley. On the right are the mountains clothed in cedar forests; on the left, portions of the Aurès mountains, in some parts clothed richly with evergreen-oak and pine woods. Some ten miles from Batna we reached the watershed where the Oued Kantara rises. As we left Batna behind us the evergreen oaks and junipers grew less, and more stunted, and the hills assumed a dreary aspect. Soon after eleven we reached El Ksour, which lies on the borders of a richly verdant and wide-stretching plain, surrounded on all sides by hills, studded with the curious dwellings of the Arabs, and smiling with a golden harvest of barley just ready for the reaper's hands. Then, after leaving El Ksour, the scenery resumes its dreariness, and the caravans of camels on their way from the Sahara to Philippeville were almost the only living things we saw. There was but little of bird-life here: now and then a Crested Lark would rise before us, or a Vulture would glide in graceful circles round the mountain-tops; once we saw a Black Kite; but the most abundant bird of all in these sterile wastes was Irby's Raven. Bird of ill omen, he (or his close allies), lives everywhere, from the burning sands of the Sahara to the far arctic north amidst eternal snows. Our road now lay across a barren upland region, the towering peaks of Djebel Metlili (the natural boundary between the High Plateaux and the Sahara) appearing in the distance, below which is the oasis of El Kantara. Some ten miles from the pass of El Kantara we descend into the valley of the Oued Fedala, cross the "Col des Juifs," the scene of many Arab robberies on the Jewish caravans, and were soon within sight of that stupendous mass of rocks. El Kantara is indeed a lovely spot; and the rapid transition from alpine scenery and bare and sterile wastes to a profusion of tropical verdure is one as delightful as it is strange and unexpected. But the beauties of El Kantara are not fully revealed until the pass has been made; and then they burst upon the astonished traveller like a fair transformation scene. The barren country is changed as though by the wave of a magician's wand as the wonders of tropical vegetation reveal themselves before
his bewildered vision. Here in great luxuriance grow the date-palms (at their northern limit), figs, prickly pears (now in bloom), various species of lemons, oranges, and apricots, together with other luscious fruits, notably the pomegranate, the rich scarlet flowers of which are now in full bloom. After leaving El Kantara, the road is through a desert country with scarcely a trace of vegetation. We crossed a wide, desolate, stony plain, from which the "Rocher de Sel" appears in sight, forming its south-eastern boundary. On each side of us were sand hills; and in places the ground was white with saltpetre. Then we passed the fertile plain of El Outaia, in which is the oasis bearing that name, and our last halting-place ere reaching Biskra. This oasis is chiefly tenanted by Arabs; but the date-palms here are only small, and vegetation is not so luxuriant as at El Kantara. Here Mr. Elwes shot a fine specimen of the Marsh-Sandpiper, as it glided like a shadow over a little pool almost wrapt in gloom. From El Outaia to Biskra the country, when the plain is crossed, is little else but desert, composed of sand hills and limestone ranges. The road now became worse at every step; but the moon soon rose, and lessened the dangers of travelling. At last this barren tract was crossed, and the rocky descent into Biskra was made, where we arrived about eleven o'clock. In the beautiful oasis of Biskra tropical verdure grows most luxuriantly, in wonderful contrast to the dry and arid mountains that hem it in on three sides, and the lone Sahara on the fourth. In the ruins of what had once been an attempt to establish a government garden here, we found a paradise for birds. Gorgeous Bee-eaters uttered their plaintive notes as they sat basking on the tree-tops; gaudy Orioles and Shrikes fluttered through the dense vegetation; and, near the waters, Crakes and Waders skulked amongst the reeds. In the featherly crowns of the palms Turtle Doves nestled, whilst Bush-Babblers, Warblers, and Flycatchers flitted to and fro in restless flight; and the air was full of Swallows and Swifts busy in search of insect food. The following day we explored a piece of desert country, and succeeded in obtaining, amongst other birds, a pair of Pied Chats and one or two
Desert-Larks. Here we found the heat and oppressiveness great. Scarcely any vegetation was to be seen, nothing but a dreary sandy waste, broken up here and there by rocky mounds. Not a sound was to be heard, save the hoarse croak of Irby's Raven amongst the rocks and the sharp call-notes of a pair of Pied Chats that evidently had a nest somewhere amongst the stones.

From Biskra we returned to El Kantara, and spent a couple of days there collecting. Here we found the pretty little Sahara Bunting extremely common, and in and about the rocks the Black and Eared Chats were seen frequently. Ravens are common here, also the Rock-Martin and Rock-Doves. In the oasis itself Turtle Doves were very common, also Rufous Warblers, Serin Finchs, Common Bee-eaters and Rollers. Leaving El Kantara, we returned to Batna, whence we made a two days' trip to the cedar forests.

Our route lay across the plain south-west of Batna; then we began to ascend the mountains, passing up the "Blue Ravine" through hills clothed with evergreen-oak woods, and here and there a few tamarisk bushes. The ground was a carpet of flowers—strange and beautiful blooms that filled the air with delicate perfume. As we ascended, the road became more difficult and dangerous, and cedar trees now appeared. I shot a pair of the Algerian Coal Titmouse in the evergreen-oak woods, also a Kestrel. We spent the night in a small log hut, at the invitation of the foresters here, and the following morning set off on mules, making a long detour through the mountains to Batna again.

We left in early morning; and our path was a rough and precipitous one. The scenery was charming when we gained the summit of one of the highest peaks, some 6000 feet above the sea. Stretching far down below was a verdant plain, partly cultivated and partly grass and shrubs, and clothed with flowers. The mountains here were clothed to their very summits with cedar forests; but most of the finest trees were dead. Now our route lay down beautiful ravines, with hoary cedar trees and flourishing evergreen oaks just bursting into bloom. On the bare and rocky hill-sides here we repeatedly
flushed the Barbary Partridge, which was uttering its peculiar note in all directions. When our route lay through the forest it was made melodious by the songs of birds. Now Levaillant's Woodpecker would startle us with its strange laughing cry, or the soft poo, poo, poo of the Hoopoe would cheer us. On all sides the Titmice were busy amongst the trees, commonest of them all being the Coal Tit (Parus ledoucii); and Moussier's Bush-Chat, Woodlarks, Buntings, and the Algerian Chaffinch were all full of morning song. Sometimes we caught a hurried glimpse of wary Jays, or, far overhead, Kites and Vultures were floating dreamily in the air. As we passed near the rocks, Ravens and Choughs flew round and above us; and more rarely we would hear the soft cooing of the Turtle Doves amongst the trees. We gradually left the cedars behind us; and evergreen oaks and junipers took their place as we drew near Batna again, where we arrived a little before dusk. From Batna we also made a two days' visit to Lambessa, spending our time amongst the wooded heights above the town. After Lambessa our collecting may be said to have ceased. We arrived in Batna again on Sunday the 14th May, and, travelling all night by diligence, reached Constantine the first thing on Monday morning. We spent a few hours at Constantine, thence came on by train to Philippeville, where we also passed the best part of a day in rambling round the country to the south-east. Here, in the cork-woods, we found the English Chaffinch, and also noticed a very interesting migration of the Eleonora Falcon. Embarking on the night of the 16th, we reached Marseilles on the morning of the 18th, and were back in London by the 20th.

Vultur fulvus.

We first observed the Griffon Vulture in the neighbourhood of Constantine; and after that it was occasionally seen in all parts of the country we visited, although nowhere common. I counted ten of these birds in the air together on one solitary occasion near Batna.

Vultur percnopterus.

We only observed the Egyptian Vulture at Constantine,
and very sparingly on the road between that place and Batna. Its habits and flight much resemble those of the preceding, with which it associates.

**Aquila rapax.**

But one example of the Tawny Eagle was seen by us. A fine specimen of this bird flew past me almost within gunshot when we were in the evergreen-oak forests on the hills near Lambessa.

**Aquila pennata.**

Mr. Elwes saw a bird which he took to be a Booted Eagle in the valley below Constantine.

**Milvus ater.**

The Black Kite was certainly the commonest and most widely distributed Raptorial bird we met with. From Constantine it was to be seen daily, no matter what the nature of the scenery might be. We met with it at an altitude of 6000 feet at Oued Taga, saw it in the cedar forests west of Batna, and at El Kantara and Biskra it was our daily companion.

**Falco barbarus.**

We met with the Barbary Falcon at Constantine and El Kantara. It breeds in the rocks at both these places.

**Falco eleonorae.**

We only met with the Eleonora Falcon at Philippeville on our return journey (16th of May). On the verdant plain S.E. of the town we witnessed a most extraordinary migration of this bird. It was assembled in flocks; and I counted as many as ninety on the wing together. They appeared to be hawking for flies, and occasionally chased each other through the air. Far from being shy, they repeatedly came within gunshot, and perched on the tree-tops beneath which we were standing. The whole party kept well together, and was distributed over some twenty acres of ground. A few of the birds kept alighting on the haycocks, and even on the newly-ploughed land. The flight of this Falcon is a very peculiar one, and much resembles many of the aerial movements of the Bee-eater. In other respects it approaches the hovering
of the Kestrel, yet not nearly so graceful—a far heavier flight, and apparently performed with much greater exertion. Sometimes the whole flock were close above the ground; then they would mount the air till they looked no larger than Swallows—wheeling, sailing, fluttering, and hovering in all directions. They seemed in no hurry to quit the place; and we saw them still busy after insects as we returned in the evening. They uttered no note whatever; and by far the greater number were in the Hobby-like dress of immaturity.

**Falco subbuteo.**
We saw one specimen of the Hobby on the road between El Guerrah and Batna.

**Falco tinnunculus.**
The Kestrel is the commonest of the smaller Raptorial birds. We did not observe it S. of El Kantara.

**Circus æruginosus.**
The Marsh-Harrier is a fairly common species in all districts suited to its habits.

**Noctua glaux.**
Generally distributed. We found two nests of the Southern Little Owl. One was under a large rocky boulder on a hillside at Lambessa, the other in a low range of steep mud banks by the side of the Oued Biskra, at Biskra. Both nests were quite inaccessible.

**Picus vaillanti.**
We only met with the Algerian Green Woodpecker in the cedar forests W. of Batna, and in the evergreen-oak forests on the road to Oued Taga from Lambessa. Although the bird appeared so rare, the timber in many parts of these mountain-forests bore evidence of its repeated visits.

**Ilynx torquilla.**
Mr. Elwes shot a fine specimen of the Wryneck at Biskra, the only example we saw.

**Cuculus canorus.**
We found the Cuckoo pretty generally distributed wherever
we went, both in the oases and the wooded districts. It was by far most common in the cedar forests.

**Merops apiaster.**

The Bee-eater was met with sparingly at Constantine, in the evergreen-oak forests between Oued Taga and Lambessa, and in the oases of El Kantara and Biskra, most numerous in the latter. They were flying in pairs, and somewhat shy. Their flight is a peculiarly graceful and gliding one, and their note a long-drawn plaintive whistle.

**Merops persicus.**

I observed a pair of Blue-cheeked Bee-eaters at Biskra. They were mingling with the common species; but their flight was certainly different. It was a pretty sight to see both these species slowly gliding over the crowns of the date-palms like Swifts, their marked difference of plumage coming out in rich contrast in the brilliant sunshine.

**Coracias garrulus.**

The Roller appears to prefer the upland districts. We met with it very abundantly in the evergreen-oak forests, and also amongst the cedars. One of the gayest birds of the country, it is a shy and wary one, and generally takes wing just before you are within gunshot. The birds had evidently not commenced breeding, although invariably in pairs.

**Upupa epops.**

We met with the Hoopoe wherever we went after reaching Batna. Its soft and hollow note of *poo-poo-poo* might be heard in the most desolate mountain-heights. We heard it crying in the solitudes of Djebel Mahmel, in the barren wilderness that skirts the road in places between El Kantara and Biskra, and amongst the luxuriant vegetation in the oases. Although by far most frequently seen upon the ground, still, when alarmed, it seeks the trees and bushes, and is one of the wariest of birds, far more often heard than seen.

**Cypselusapus.**

Everywhere fairly common, except in the most desert and
sterile tracts of country. Mr. Elwes shot a fine specimen of the Swift in the rocks S.W. of Biskra.

_Cypselus melba._

We found the White-bellied Swift very common at Constantine, consorting with the preceding species. Its flight is even more rapid. We also observed it more sparingly at Batna and El Kantara.

_Cotile riparia._

We observed the Sand-Martin both at Philippeville and Constantine, and also near the sandy banks of the Oued Kantara some few miles before it reaches the oasis bearing that name.

_Cotile rupestris._

We only met with the Rock-Martin at El Kantara. It breeds commonly in the stupendous rocks there.

_Hirundo urbica._

The House-Martin is met with, in the neighbourhood of towns and villages, everywhere from the coast to Biskra. Strangely enough, this species appears to breed in Africa even later than it does in England. On our return-journey we found these birds obtaining mud for their nests at Philippeville. Most of the mud was baked hard by the sun; and it was only in one or two places that it could be obtained in a soft state. In these places the Martins positively swarmed, and the mud was nothing but a moving mass of birds. The barracks at Batna contain the largest colony of Martins that I ever met with.

_Hirundo rustica._

The above remarks equally apply to the Swallow; it is one of the most widely spread of Algerian birds, and quite as late a breeder as the House-Martin.

_Muscicapa grisola._

The Spotted Flycatcher is one of the commonest birds in Algeria. It literally swarmed in the oasis of Biskra, and was abundant in all the wooded tracts and cultivated districts we visited.
Muscicapa atricapilla.
We met with the Pied Flycatcher very commonly at Constantine, thence more sparingly at Lambessa, Oued Taga, Batna, El Kantara, and Biskra.

Lanius rufus.
The Woodchat Shrike is commonly distributed wherever the vegetation is sufficiently dense to afford it cover. We met with it everywhere from Philippeville to Biskra.

Saxicola leucura.
We found the sprightly Black-Chat shortly before we reached Batna, and also in the mountains west of that place. It was also seen occasionally on the roadside in the most desert tracts, where its cheerful presence appeared the only sign of life. At El Kantara it was by far the commonest, and breeds in the rocky pass there. I found a nest of this species barely finished on the 9th of May. It was composed of dried herbage, and lined with a few hairs. The flight of this bird is a straight, unwavering one; and it possesses the habit, in common with most of its genus, of dropping behind the rocks and creeping into holes if pursued. Its note is loud and musical.

Saxicola aurita.
It was only on a bare patch of stony ground near El Kantara that we saw and obtained specimens of the Black-eared Chat.

Saxicola lugens.
It was only at Biskra that we met with the white-underwinged Pied-Chat. There it was far from numerous, however, and we only succeeded in obtaining two females and a male. This Chat frequented the most sterile and desert bit of country round the oasis—the stony and arid hill-sides, and the broad sandy plain covered with rocks, where scarcely a trace of vegetation was to be found. Here, amidst this wilderness, this pretty little bird flitted from rock to rock before us, and was somewhat wary, seldom allowing one to approach it within gunshot.
CENTRAL PARK
NEW YORK.

A MERICAN MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY
In order to settle a disputed point between Messrs. Dresser and Blanford on the one hand, and Messrs. Taczanowski and Sebohm on the other, respecting the difference in plumage of the sexes of this species, I shot and very carefully determined the sexes of a pair. The male agrees exactly with Dresser's plate of *Saxicola leucomela*, except that the under tail-coverts are not quite so buff. The female agrees with Dresser's plate of *S. halophtila*, Tristram (the *S. erythrea* of Hempr. et Ehr.), which has been rightly identified by Mr. Sebohm in the 'Catalogue of Birds,' vol. v. p. 370, as the female of *S. lugens*.

**Saxicola seebohmi**, sp. nov. (Plate XIV.)

General colour of the upper parts clear slate-grey, becoming a little paler on the head; forehead and eye-stripe, which extends to the nape, pure white; wings and wing-coverts nearly black, the secondaries narrowly tipped with pale buff; rump and upper tail-coverts white; tail white, except the terminal three fifths of the two centre feathers, and the terminal fifth of the others, which are nearly black, the black on the outer web of the outside feather slightly longer. Lores from the nostrils, chin, and throat black; rest of the underparts white, slightly washed with pale buff, becoming most pronounced on the breast and under tail-coverts. Axillaries and under wing-coverts black, with pale tips; inner margins of quills dark brown. Bill, legs, feet, and claws black; iris dark brown. Wing with the third and fourth primary nearly equal and longest, second primary equal to or slightly longer than the fifth, bastard primary .65 inch. Length of wing 3.87, tail 2.45, culmen .68, tarsus 1.05.

Nothing is yet known of the female of this species, nor of the birds of the year and young in first plumage.

On the road from Oued Taga, when we were making the ascent of Djebel Mahmel, and about midway between those two places, we secured specimens of this novel and interesting Chat. On a small stony plain, almost devoid of vegetation, and at an altitude of 5500 feet, in a climate, similar to early
spring in England, they were fairly common. This bird must be an exceedingly local one, as we met with it nowhere else in Algeria. They were not at all shy; and I shot our first specimen from the back of my mule as we slowly picked our way over the stony tract. In its habits it closely resembles other members of this genus, flitting from rock to rock, occasionally taking a more extended flight close above the ground, perching on stones or the summit of a stunted bush to warily watch the intruder. We did not hear it utter a note; nor did we see any females. It is possible that this bird is confined to a few favourite localities in the Djebel Aurès; or it may be that it winters in the Great Sahara, and repairs northwards to these upland solitudes to rear its young. As is usual in such cases, we failed to note the value of our prize, and only shot two males. I have associated this fine species with the name of an ornithologist whose researches are intimately connected with this group of birds, and whose knowledge of them stands unequalled.

**Pratincola rubicola.**

We found the Stonechat in scattered pairs at Constantine, near Philippeville, and also at Batna.

**Pratincola rubetra.**

We only saw the Whinchat at Constantine, on the plains below the cedar-range west of Batna, and at Lambessa.

**Pratincola moussieri.**

We did not meet with Moussier's Bush-Chat until we reached Batna. Thence to the oases of El Kantara and Biskra it is pretty generally distributed in all wooded districts and gardens. It was far more common in the upland districts than on the plains, and affected rocky haunts equally as much as wooded ones. The habits of this bird and its general appearance are midway between those of the Bush-Chats and the Redstarts—more those of the former than of the latter. It perches far more freely on trees and bushes than on rocks, and when alarmed usually enters the deepest parts of the covert. Von Homeyer, in his review of Dresser's 'Birds of Europe' in 'Der zoologische Garten' (September)
1881, p. 274, also strongly supports this statement. It is a gay and lively little bird, fond of perching in some open place where its charms are fully displayed, and, like all the Chats and Redstarts, possesses the habit of jerking its tail repeatedly. The female bird is far shyer than her mate, and glides Accentor-like through the vegetation, more like a shadow than a bird.

**Ruticilla Phoenicurus.**

Mr. Elwes shot a female Redstart on the road to Oued Taga from Lambessa, the only example of this species we met with.

**Ruticilla tithys.**

We found the Black-Redstart in scattered pairs in the Djebel Aurès, but nowhere common.

**Erithacus Luscinia.**

I saw one example of the Western Nightingale in the neighbourhood of Stora, near Philippeville. A second example flew on board when we were crossing the Mediterranean Sea.

**Sylvia cinerea.**

The Whitethroat is sparingly distributed. We met with this species as far south as Biskra.

**Sylvia curruca.**

The same remarks apply to the Lesser Whitethroat; but of the two species it appeared the commonest.

**Sylvia deserticola.**

Tristram’s Warbler was in certain districts the commonest Warbler we met with. Wherever there was vegetation sufficient to afford it shelter it was to be seen. It is a wary little bird, yet far from being shy; and its charmingly clear and musical song gives life to many otherwise dreary solitudes. We found it exceedingly common in the evergreen oak-scrub in the country round Lambessa, and between that place and Oued Taga. It was also to be seen in the range of hills west of Batna, amongst scrub which our *S. provincialis* would select for a haunt. This delicate little bird was much like

---

**S. provincialis**
a Dartford Warbler in habits, but much more trustful. It would frequently explore the bushes a few feet from where I was standing, daintily hopping from twig to twig, every now and then pausing to utter its sweet little song. When alarmed it would immediately take to the shelter of the deepest undergrowth, reappearing again a few yards away to hop about as unconcernedly as before. When wounded, this little species will try and conceal itself in holes and under leaves. Of the nest and eggs of this interesting bird, and of its habits in the breeding-season, nothing is known. It appears to be a late breeder; for the female specimen I secured had the eggs in the ovary extremely small, and but very few of the birds were in pairs. I suspect this species spends its winters in the Great Sahara, where Canon Tristram discovered it, and retires northwards to the hilly districts of the Djebel Aurès to rear its young. We were unfortunately under the impression that this bird was *S. conspicillata*, and consequently only brought home a single specimen, a female. A male, which I shot and injured too much for preservation, did not strikingly differ in colour. The only examples of this species hitherto known in collections are those obtained by Canon Tristram, all of which are in winter-plumage. In spring-plumage the chestnut-tipped feathers of the head and nape are replaced by ash-grey, bringing the general colour of the upper parts of the bird to that of *S. conspicillata*. The colour of the underparts, however, is quite sufficient to distinguish the two species, being still more vinous-chestnut on the throat than in the autumn-plumage. Another distinction, which is very conspicuous as the bird flits from bush to bush within a yard of the observer, is the bright yellow iris, that of *S. conspicillata* and of *S. subalpina* being said to be brown. Its long tail, distinctly longer than the wing, points to its near relationship to *S. sarda* and *S. provincialis*. The measurements given by Dresser in his 'Birds of Europe' are incorrect.

*Sylvia melanocephala.*

We met with the Sardinian Warbler at Philippeville.
We only saw the Rufous Warbler in the oases of El Kantara and Biskra. Far from being exclusively a marsh-loving species, it frequents bare situations, and may be seen on the tops of the walls that divide the Arab gardens, flirting its tail in a peculiar manner, and hopping along the ground similar to a Robin or a Chat. I shot a fine pair of these birds in one of the prickly-pear gardens at El Kantara—a dry arid place some distance from the water, and the last situation in the world where I should have expected to find such a species. This bird is a thorough Sylvia.

**Acrocephalus phragmitis.**

It was only at Biskra that we obtained specimens of the Sedge-Warbler. However, this and many other species of Warbler must have been overlooked; for, taking into consideration the skulking habits of these birds, and the dense and tangled nature of their favourite haunts, it was impossible, with the short time at our command, to search closely for them.

**Hypolais opaca.**

We shot two examples of the Western Olivaceous Tree-Warbler in the oasis of Biskra. One of these birds was perched right up in the branches—a veritable "tree" warbler; but the other was in the dense scrub on the banks of the Oued Biskra. The habits of this species are lively and trustful. It may be often seen hovering in the air in chase of insects.

**Phylloscopus bonelli.**

Mr. Elwes shot a fine specimen of Bonelli's Willow-Warbler in the evergreen-oak forests on the hills between Lambessa and Oued Taga. I suspect it breeds commonly throughout the Djebel Aurès.

**Regulus ignicapillus.**

We found the Firecrest very common, both in the evergreen-oak forest above Lambessa and in the cedar and pine forests west of Batna.
Turdus musicus.
The Song-Thrush is found very sparingly in the Djebel Aurès. We observed it at Lambessa, and also in the cedar-range west of Batna.

Merula merula.
The Blackbird is a far more abundant species than the preceding. We met with it at all our stopping-places from the coast southwards, even in the oases of El Kantara and Biskra. It does not appear to sing half so frequently as in more temperate climes.

Monticola saxatilis.
Monticola cyanus.
Mr. Elwes saw examples of both the Rock-Thrush and the Blue Rock-Thrush on the rough stony sides of Djebel Mahmel.

Oriolus galbula.
We met with the Golden Oriole sparingly in the country round Lambessa, and more commonly in the oasis of Biskra. These birds were in pairs.

Cisticola cursitans.
The Fantail-Warbler was pretty common round the barley-fields at Biskra. Owing to its shy and rapid movements through the dense cover, it is a difficult bird to secure.

Argya fulva.
I saw the Algerian Bush-Babbler only in the oasis of Biskra, and failed to obtain specimens. These birds were either flying about singly or in pairs.

Certhia familiaris.
We only saw the Creeper once in the cedar forests west of Batna.

Troglodytes europæus.
The Common Wren is pretty generally distributed throughout all parts of the Djebel Aurès that we visited. An example I secured is slightly paler than specimens from Europe.
Strangely enough, Taczanowski does not include this species in his list of the birds of Constantine.

**SITTA** —— ?

Mr. Elwes saw a Nuthatch (but unfortunately failed to secure it) in the evergreen-oak woods between Oued Taga and Lambessa.

**PARUS ULTRAMARINUS.**

In all the evergreen-oak and cedar forests round Lambessa and Batna the Ultramarine Titmouse is a common bird. We also met with this species at Philippeville. The note of this bird is very different to that of its near cousin the Blue Titmouse; and its bright plumage, contrasting so richly with the grey tints of the lichen-covered branches, makes it most conspicuous. As they flit so restlessly amongst the foliage, or climb about the twigs, their bright plumes call to mind the refulgent dress of the Kingfisher. Although it was the middle of May, they were but just commencing to breed, as we repeatedly saw them with nest-materials.

After an examination of the series of Titmice from the African continent and the Canaries in the British Museum, and also those in the collections of Messrs. Seebohm and Dresser, I am compelled to separate again the island birds from those of the mainland. *Parus teneriffæ* is an excellent species, and may always be distinguished from its ally, *P. ultramarinus*, by the absence of the pale tips on the greater wing-coverts and the very indistinct tips to the innermost secondaries, which in that bird are broad and conspicuous*. It has also, on an average, a slightly longer tail.

**PARUS MAJOR.**

We saw the Great Titmouse in the same localities as the preceding, with which it freely consorts.

**PARUS LEDOUCII.**

The Djebel Aurès are evidently the stronghold of the Algerian Coal Titmouse. It was not until we reached Lambessa that we made the acquaintance of this well-marked and interesting little species. Here I shot a pair in the evergreen-

* [Cf. F. D. Godman, Ibis, 1872, p 172.—Edd.]
oak woods; but it was not common. In the cedar-range west of Batna, however, we found the trees literally alive with
them. Although the habits and movements of all the Tit-
mice are so much alike, still, to the careful observer, each
species has its own peculiarities. The Algerian Coal Titmouse
is no exception. Like all the Titmice, the present species is
found in company with its congeners, and also with Firecrests
and Willow-Warblers. Unlike any other Titmouse with
which I am acquainted, I saw it repeatedly perched on the
rocks. Its note is a peculiar one, something between the
loud bell-like notes of the Great Titmouse and the well-
known call of the Coal Titmouse. They were busy amongst
the cedars and the pine trees, flitting from tree to tree, now
pausing a moment, hanging head downwards from a slender
spray, then hiding themselves from view in the thickest
foliage, where the trembling of a leaf or twig was the only
sign of their presence. They were far from shy, indeed
as trustful as Firecrests; and the greatest difficulty was to
get a sufficient distance from them to avoid knocking them
about too much with the shot. It is a mistake, however, to
suppose that this bird is found “only in pine forests.” West
of Batna the evergreen-oak woods lower down the moun-
tains are equally favoured with their presence, and, although
not so abundantly, the forests at Lambessa, too. The Great
Titmouse and the present species appear to be fast friends, and
together explore the twigs and branches in such close com-
panionship as to enable me, at Lambessa, to bring down an
example of each species at one discharge. The food of the
Algerian Coal Titmouse we found to be small seeds and in-
sects; and I shot one specimen with a small green caterpillar
in its beak. Although most of the dozen specimens we
brought back with us were obtained on the 10th or 11th of
May, the birds were only just commencing to build, and the
ovaries of the females were very small. Pressed as we were
for time, it was impossible to find their nests, which were
probably in numbers near us in the holes with which the
timber here is so full.

The sexes of this bird are precisely alike; but the yellow
plumage is considerably paler in abraded specimens of both males and females, being in some cases almost buff. The measurements of eight specimens vary as follows:—Length of wing 2·65 to 2·37 inches, tail 2·1 to 1·75, culmen 0·5 to 0·45, tarsus .75 to .67.

**Motacilla flava.**

It was only in the oases of Biskra and El Kantara that we met with the Grey-headed Yellow Wagtail. They were in flocks in and round the barley-fields and near the little pools, and apparently on migration. A specimen I secured is very dark on the head, and might almost be referred to the nearly allied *M. viridis*, were it not for the white eye-stripe.

**Anthus campestris.**

We only observed the Tawny Pipit in the country round Batna and Lambessa. In the fields on the road between these two places it was very common. One specimen I shot is very yellow on the throat.

**Anthus aquaticus.**

I saw at Biskra, on the banks of the Oued Biskra, a pair of birds which I have little doubt were Water-Pipits.

**Anthus pratensis.**

We occasionally met with the Meadow-Pipit in swampy places near water. I observed this species at Biskra.

**Alauda arvensis.**

It was only round Batna that the unmistakable trills of the Skylark lent an English charm to the landscape. Even here it was scarce, and usually found in the meadows and barley-fields.

**Alauda arborea.**

We found the Wood-Lark very sparingly in the evergreen-oak forests on the hills west of Batna.

**Alauda magna.**

The pale form of the Crested Lark is a common bird from the coast to Biskra, becoming more numerous, perhaps, south of Constantine.
There are two races of *A. cristata*, a grey one and a rufous one. The grey race (*A. cristata*) appears to be pretty constant in colour, but, on an average, seems to become slightly smaller as it ranges eastwards. It breeds throughout Central and Southern Europe south of the British Channel and the Baltic, through Asia Minor, Persia, and Sind into India. The rufous race is much more variable in colour, and may, for the sake of convenience, be divided into three forms, connected together by intermediate examples. *A. isabellina* is the extreme desert-form, which has only hitherto been recorded from the desert regions of Northern Africa. A slightly less rufous form, *A. magna*, is found throughout North Africa, Sind, and Turkestan into Mongolia; whilst in North and West China *A. leantungensis*, a redder and browner bird, occurs. Among the Algerian birds there are some which have the bill varying from '9 to 1 inch, instead of from '68 to '81 inch. This form has been named *A. macrorhyncha* by Canon Tristram. The variation in the plumage of the Crested Larks appears to be purely a case of protective colouring, and is in no way connected with latitude or longitude, but simply owing to the nature of the country on which the birds are found.

**Melanocorypha calandra.**

The Calandra Lark is a common and conspicuous species, especially in all cultivated districts south of Constantine. We did not observe this bird either in El Kantara or Biskra.

**Mirafra deserti.**

It was not until we reached El Kantara that we met with the Desert-Lark. It is also common at Biskra. This bird must be one of the few early breeders; for we got the young, strong upon the wing, at Biskra. At El Kantara they did not appear to be so early. The young of this species is exactly like its parents; but the rich sandy colours are more intense, and the margins of the quill- and tail-feathers broader—differences, however, that simply owe their origin to the new state of the feathers. One of my young specimens is remarkably pale on the back and underparts.
The Desert-Larks, which, owing to their highly developed first primary and richly coloured under surface of the wing, I place in the genus Mirafra, exhibit another proof of the mutability of species. We have two very distinct races of the Desert-Lark, which, were it not for the fact that they are united by an unbroken series of intermediate forms, would rank as two excellent species. In the far east we find a grey-backed bird, of which the richly rufous bird of Northern Africa is the western representative. Thus, from Egypt, west to Algeria and Morocco, an intermediate form and M. deserti (Licht.) are found, whilst from Egypt east to Kashmir and India an intermediate form and M. phænicuroides, Blyth, are found, the latter subspecies being also again conspecific with the M. phænicurus of Franklin, a bird confined to Central and Southern India.

EMBERIZA SAHARE.

We did not meet with the House-Bunting until we reached the oases of El Kantara and Biskra. There it was very common, and certainly one of the tamest birds I ever met with. Our little bright-eyed Robin is by no means equal to the House-Bunting in familiarity. We repeatedly saw them enter the Arab houses; and, in fact, they were so tame that Mr. Elwes endeavoured to catch them in his butterfly-net!

This is a very variable species. The skins which I obtained have very obscure centres to the feathers of the back. A skin obtained by Canon Tristram at Berroughuia, in December, has the dark centres much more developed, but in general coloration resembles other Algerian skins, and might almost be considered an intermediate form between this species and E. striolata. The latter form is much greyer in colour, and the spots on the back are much more developed, than in E. sahare. This form ranges from Nubia and Palestine to North-west India. In Abyssinia a third supposed species is found, with the black centres to the feathers greatly developed on the back and wing-coverts, and the chestnut margins to the quills very rich in colour, extending across the inner web of the primaries and across both webs of the
secondaries, except at the tips. It is impossible to tell without a larger series whether either of these birds is specifically distinct.

We failed to note the great differences of habits which are said to occur between these two birds, *E. sahare* and *E. striolata*. Mr. Elwes met with this pretty little bird amongst the rocks, away from the dwellings of men, but still tame and trustful as ever. My observations of the habits of this species agree very closely with the capital account of the habits of *E. striolata* as observed by Mr. Hume in Rajpootana, and communicated by him to 'The Ibis' for 1870 (p. 399).

**EMBERIZA Cia.**

We found the Meadow-Bunting in the Djebel Aurès, both near Oued Taga and in the cedar-range west of Batna.

**EMBERIZA Miliaria.**

From the coast to Batna, in all cultivated districts and where there is sufficient vegetation to afford it cover, the Common Bunting was certainly the commonest bird we met. From Batna its numbers decrease, and we never met with it in El Kantara or Biskra.

**PASSE DOMESTICUS.**

The Domestic Sparrow is common everywhere in the towns and villages.

**PASSE HISPANIOLENSIS.**

The above remark equally applies to the Spanish Sparrow; but it is not, perhaps, so abundant. I also noticed it further in the wilderness, away from man's habitation; and, what is worthy of remark, its nest, when built in trees, is far better made than the Domestic Sparrow's. Their notes are precisely similar.

**FRINGILLA CELEBS.**

We only met with the Common Chaffinch with absolute certainty in the neighbourhood of Philippeville, where it is rather common in the cork woods.

**FRINGILLA SPODIOGENA.**

The Algerian Chaffinch we only found in the upland
forests, the districts round Batna and Lambessa. The note of this bird is quite different to that of the English species, and very closely resembles that of the Domestic Sparrow. Its song, too, is different. Otherwise the habits of the two birds are very much alike; and the nests are built in precisely similar situations. I found a nest of this bird scarcely completed on the 12th of May, in the evergreen-oak forests above Lambessa. It was in a small evergreen oak, about ten feet from the ground, in a small fork, and almost buried in the lichens which cover the timber so richly here. It is made of lichens, sheep’s wool, and vegetable down, with a few rootlets and bents, and lined with the feathers of the Barbary Partridge. This bird does not make so compact a nest as our Chaffinch; yet still it is well made, and the power of mimicry displayed by the parent is not small, her nest being undistinguishable from its surroundings. At the nest her actions are just like those of her congener, flying round and round and exhibiting the greatest anxiety for her treasure.

The Algerian Chaffinch has two near allies in the Azores, Madeira, and Canary Islands, viz. *F. tintillon* and *F. moreleti*. It is, however, always to be distinguished from them by its smaller beak and pink underparts, which in those species are pinkish buff. On the African continent, so far as I can determine, no intermediate forms occur; but in the islands a perfect series from *F. tintillon* to *F. moreleti* may be obtained. The blue-backed birds always have a greater amount of blue on the sides of the breast and flanks. Dresser’s statement that the female of *F. spodiogena* is “undistinguishable from the female of *Fringilla cælæbs*” is incorrect. It may always be distinguished by the much greyer tone of colour pervading the whole plumage, by the white outer margins to the secondaries, which in *F. cælæbs* are yellowish green, by the greater amount of white on the tail-feathers, by its larger beak, and by its generally larger size. From the English Chaffinch (*F. cælæbs*) the male Algerian Chaffinch may easily be distinguished by its green instead of reddish-brown mantle.
Mr. C. Dixon on the

Fringilla chloris.
The Greenfinch is very common in the valley below Constantine.

Fringilla hortulanus.
The Serin Finch is fairly common in the oases of El Kantara and Biskra.

Linota linaria.
We found the Brown Linnet very common on the open ground on the hills above Lambessa.

Carduelis elegans.
We met with the Goldfinch near El Guerrah; at Oued Taga, at an elevation of 4000 feet, it was very common in gardens, and also in the oases of El Kantara and Biskra.

Coccothraustes vulgaris.
We met with a few pairs of Hawfinches in the evergreen-oak forests above Lambessa.

Garrulus cervicalis.
We once or twice saw the Algerian Black-headed Jay on our way between Oued Taga and Lambessa, and also in the cedar forests west of Batna. Jays are proverbially shy; but the present species seems even more able to take care of his skin than the inhabitants of our own game-coverts at home. All we got was a hurried glimpse of them as they flitted off through the trees far out of gunshot.

Corvus monedula.
The Jackdaw is a common inhabitant of the rocks at Constantine; and I observed it more rarely in the cedar-range west of Batna.

Corvus tingitanus.
After we left Constantine we met with Irby's Raven wherever we went. In the most barren and arid districts it was our roadside companion, either in pairs or small flocks. In a low range of rocks west of Batna are a great many nests that probably belong to this species.
Pyrrhocorax —— ?
We saw a great number of Choughs in the Djebel Aurès, both near Oued Taga and in the cedar-range, but failed to identify the species.

Columba livia.
The Rock-Dove we found everywhere in rocky districts, no matter how sterile the country around.

Columba palumbus.
I saw a single specimen of this bird in a wooded ravine below Djebel Mahmel, near Oued Taga; but Mr. Elwes saw several examples in the cedar-range and at Lambessa.

Turtur auritus.
We found the Common Turtle Dove numerous in the oases of El Kantara and Biskra, where it frequents the tops of the palm-trees. I also observed it in flocks at Biskra. It is also found near Batna and Lambessa. A specimen I shot at the latter place is much paler than the ordinary run of specimens of T. auritus. We met with this bird crossing the Mediterranean Sea on the 16th of May.

We were sorely led astray by the remarks in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe' respecting the habits and migrations of this bird. So much do the habits of the present species resemble those of the Egyptian Turtle Dove, Turtur senegalensis, that we confused the two birds together. Like the Egyptian Turtle Dove, it frequents the palm trees, almost every date-palm containing a few birds, and is quite tame, and associates freely with other species. According to Dresser the Common Turtle Dove is a summer visitant to North-west Africa; but the birds we met with had every appearance of being resident.

Coturnix communis.
The Quail is pretty generally distributed throughout the cultivated districts. A specimen flew on board when we were at sea (27th of April).

Caccabis petrosa.
The Barbary Partridge is a very common bird in the
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mountain-districts. We met with it throughout all parts of the Djebel Aurès we visited. It affects the wooded portions of the hills equally as much as the bare and rocky ones; and its low hoarse cry, *cawee-cawee*, was repeatedly heard from the barley-fields too. At Oued Taga the Arab boys found us a couple of nests of this species, the one containing seven, the other six eggs. They were situated on the rocky hillsides. One was under a tuft of grass half hidden by a rock, the other under a thick juniper bush. The nest is but a very slight structure, merely a hollow scratched in the ground and lined with a few bits of herbage. At that date, 2nd of June, the birds had not begun to sit.

**Ciconia alba.**

We found the White Stork most common near Constantine. It becomes much rarer southwards. At Batna a pair of birds had built their nest on a tall chimney-stack, and on the 11th of June incubation was certainly in progress.

**Totanus stagnatilis.**

Mr. Elwes shot a fine specimen of the Marsh-Sandpiper from a little pool in the oasis of El Outaia.

**Charadrius curonicus.**

I shot a single example of the Little Ringed Plover in the fast-drying-up bed of the Oued Biskra at Biskra. Many pairs of these birds were on the ground, where it appeared they were about to breed.

**Rallus minutus.**

I shot one example of the Little Crake from a small pool at Biskra, where it evidently breeds. I at first took this bird to be Baillon's Crake (*R. bailloni*), from the white markings it exhibits on the back; but Canon Tristram assures me that the infallible distinction between the two species lies in the outer web of the first primary, which in *R. minutus* is brown, and in *R. bailloni* invariably white.

**Podiceps** ——?

At Biskra I shot at and wounded a large Grebe, but unfortunately failed to secure it.
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Meagre as the above notes certainly are, still they will, I trust, in some measure supplement the paper by Taczanowski published in the 'Journal für Ornithologie' 1870, and also the papers of Salvin, Tristram, and other workers in the field of Algerian ornithology, which have from time to time appeared in the present Journal. In my opinion much good work remains to be done in the Aurès mountains, and also in the country round Biskra. Travelling is comparatively easy, and, in spite of sundry dark rumours to the contrary, the country is safe, with due precautions. So easily accessible as Algeria is from England, the wonder is that so few of our ornithologists have devoted their energies to a study of its bird-life, which exists in such great variety and under such varied and peculiar conditions.


[Continued from p. 452.]

In my last paper, at p. 438, I referred to a female specimen of Falco barbarus in the British Museum as determined by Mr. Howard Saunders, who has since informed me that its sex was ascertained by a careful naturalist at Granada, also that he agrees with my identification of the species, and that the label "Falco communis" was not attached to the skin by him.

There remains but one group of Falcons for our consideration, the subgenus Hierofalco, which, as I have already withdrawn from it the Saker and Mexican Falcons included in it by Mr. Sharpe*, I shall treat as consisting of the Arctic Falcons only.

One of these, the dark Falcon of Labrador, which was obtained in that country by Audubon, who figured it under the name of Falco labradora †, is referred to in Mr. Sharpe’s

* Vide supra, p. 443.
† Vide Birds of America, pl. 196.
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volume as synonymous with *H. gyrfalco*; but Mr. Dresser has shown, I think satisfactorily, in the *P. Z. S.* for 1875, p. 111, that it is specifically, or, at the least, subspecifically, distinct. An additional article on this subject, from the pen of Mr. Dresser, will be found in Rowley's 'Ornithological Miscellany,' vol. i. p. 185, where two figures are given of this Falcon in different stages of plumage. These are, so far as I am aware, the only published representations of this species, with the exception of Audubon's plate and of a very good photograph, which forms plate 4 of Vennor's 'Birds of Prey of Canada,' taken from one of two specimens killed in the neighbourhood of Montreal (where, however, this Falcon appears to be extremely rare), and preserved in the Museum of that city.

Mr. Ridgway, in the 'Bulletin' of the Nuttall Ornithological Club, vol. v. p. 93, has fully described these specimens and also a third obtained subsequently, and presumably also in Canada; and he has there suggested that this is the Falcon described by Pennant in his 'Arctic Zoology,' vol. ii. p. 208, under the title of the "Plain Falcon," and that it is consequently identical with *Falco obsoletus* of Gmelin. Pennant gives Hudson's Bay as the habitat of his "Plain Falcon;" and his description has evidently been taken from a very dark-coloured Jerfalcon, which may very probably have been a specimen of *H. labradorus* (to adopt Mr. Dresser's spelling of that specific name); but as this is hardly a matter of absolute certainty, I think it safer to retain for the Labrador Falcon the specific name of *labradorus*, to which it is undoubtedly entitled.

Mr. Ridgway has also referred to this Falcon in the 'Land Birds of North America,' vol. iii. p. 118, and, besides giving Labrador as its habitat, states that it is also found to the "south and westward" of Labrador "in winter, and on the shores of Hudson's Bay;" he likewise describes a specimen taken at or near Quebec.

With the above exceptions, I am not aware of any record of this Jerfalcon having been obtained elsewhere than in Labrador. I may add the following note of the dimensions of a specimen of this Falcon, presumably a female, from
Hopedale, Labrador, which is preserved in the Norwich Museum:—Wing 16.65 inches, tarsus 2.4, middle toe s. u. 2.2. A presumed male in the British Museum measures—wing 15 inches, tarsus 2.2, middle toe s. u. 2.15.

I propose now to refer to *H. gyrfalco*, the "Norwegian Falcon" of British naturalists, and best known in this country from Scandinavian examples, some of which have been the originals of the various figures of this Falcon which have from time to time been published. Of these, two important plates have appeared somewhat recently—one in Mr. Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' the other in the late Mr. Gould's 'Birds of Great Britain,' in which latter work this species was afforded a place rather for comparison with the nearly allied Iceland Falcon than as a British species, no authentic instance being on record, so far as I am aware, of the occurrence of the true *H. gyrfalco* in the British Islands.

As regards the distribution of this Falcon in the Old World, detailed particulars of what has been hitherto ascertained will be found in the article on this species in Mr. Dresser's work already referred to; and to the information on this head there given I have only one fact to add, viz. that in the autumn of 1875 I was enabled, by the courtesy of Mr. Sharpe, to examine a nearly adult female of *H. gyrfalco* which belonged to Mr. Hume, and had been sent to Mr. Sharpe for examination, it having been supposed to be an immature example of "*Falco hendersoni,*" and alluded to as such in 'Stray Feathers,' vol. ii. p. 530. This specimen, which I agree with Mr. Sharpe in referring to *H. gyrfalco*, was obtained at Yarkand by the late Dr. Stoliczka on May 15, 1874.

Such of the Falcons of the genus *Hierofalco* as inhabit the regions of North America lying to the west of Greenland and Labrador, and are not referable either to *H. labradorus* or to *H. candicans*, have been the subject of some difference of opinion as to whether they should be referred to *H. gyrfalco* *, to *H. islandus*, or, as has been suggested by Mr.

* Mr. W. H. Dall records the presence in some of the Aleutian Islands
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Ridgway*, to an intermediate race, which he designates as *Hiero* *Falco* *gyrfalco*, var. *sacer* †.

In June 1870, Professor Newton was so good as to show me some specimens of these Falcons which had been obtained in North-western America, and had been sent to him for examination by Professor Baird; and I then made a memorandum respecting them to the following effect:—

No. 51689 ‡. Adult male, from Yukon, at the mouth of the Porcupine River.

No. 43144 §. Adult female, taken, with four eggs, at Fort Anderson, May 1864.

No. 1558. Adult female, taken, with two eggs, at Fort Anderson.

No. 1410. Immature male, from Nulato River.

The adult birds appeared to resemble the adult Grey Falcon of Iceland, except that they were less clear and bright in the light grey cross-barring on the back and upper surface; they had somewhat broader dark grey cross bars than

of a Jerfalcon, which, on the authority of Professor Baird, he refers to *H. gyrfalco*. (Vide Proc. Californian Society of Sciences, March 14, 1874). †


† Mr. Ridgway supposes this Falcon to be the "Speckled Partridge-Hawk," from Hudson's Bay, which Forster described in the Phil. Trans. for 1772, p. 382, and which he there calls *Falco sacer*; but, even if this identification be admitted as correct, which does not seem altogether certain, there is an objection to the specific name being so applied, as it was evidently proposed by Forster under the belief that the bird he was describing came so close to the "Sacre of Brisson" • • • "said by Belon to come from Tartary and Russia," that it might safely be assigned the same name in a latinized form; and as this was entirely a misapprehension, the 11th rule for nomenclature, issued by the British Association, seems to me to be a sufficient authority for dropping Forster's specific name, as calculated to cause a confusion between the Jerfalcon now under consideration and the very distinct "Sacre of Brisson," the Saker Falcon of the Old World.

‡ For a detailed description of this specimen vide Ridgway, l. c. p. 115.

§ This specimen is also described by Mr. Ridgway, at p. 116.
is usual in adult Grey Falcons from Greenland; the immature bird exactly resembled a young Icelandic specimen.

Professor Newton exhibited these specimens at a meeting of the Zoological Society, on June 9, 1870; and the following note respecting them occurs in the records of that meeting*:

"The birds from Alaska Professor Newton referred without doubt to F. islandicus, though belonging to the darker phase of that form."

In a postscript, dated 6 May 1871, to a letter written by Professor Newton and published in the 'Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia' for 1871, p. 95, the Professor alludes to such of these specimens as were adult in the following words:—"These are adult, and differ from Icelandic examples only in being slightly darker."

In the autumn of 1872, the female Falcon marked No. 43144½ was again sent to this country, for examination by Mr. Dresser, and with it three other specimens of the same North-American race, respectively labelled No. 51690, No. 35451, and No. 43142. Of these the first two were males, adult, but one apparently older than the other, from Yukon, and the last a female, not quite adult, the exact locality of which was not specified.

After inspecting these specimens, for the opportunity of doing which I was indebted to the kind attention of Mr. Dresser, I made a memorandum to the effect that they seemed to me not to be separable from Icelandic examples, and more particularly one specimen, respecting which I made the following note:—"No. 35451, which seems the only very adult bird, agrees very well with a very adult male from Iceland †, which lived ten years in the Zoological Gardens, and is figured in Wolf's 'Zoological Sketches.'"

Mr. Dresser, on the contrary, arrived at the conclusion that the last-named four Falcons were all referable to H. gryfalcon; and it may be convenient that I should cite the following

† This specimen is preserved in the Norwich Museum; it is noticeable that the comparison was made with a true Icelandic Falcon, and not with the Grey Falcon of Greenland, H. holbæti.
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remarks from his observations on the subject in the *P. Z. S.* for 1875, p. 115. Speaking of the four specimens last enumerated as A, B, C, and D, Mr. Dresser says, "A, B, C, and D are undoubtedly identical with the Norwegian Jer Falcon;" and he proceeds to quote the following memoranda, made when the skins were before him, "Specimen A (No. 43144½), an adult female, compared with a female from Quickjock, Lapland; this specimen agrees so closely that I cannot trace the least difference, either in coloration, measurements, or any thing else; and as the two skins are made up much alike, I could not well tell them apart, except by the labels. Mr. Blanford, who is working with me, is also unable to discern any difference.

"Specimen B (No. 51690), a male, evidently adult, agrees closely with an old female from Lapland in my collection, but has the head darker and less streaked with white, and the back is also bluer than that of the Lapland specimen; doubtless the American bird is the older of the two.

"Specimen C (No. 35451), also a male, agrees tolerably well with my male bird from Lapland, but has the head darker*.

"Specimen D (No. 43142), 'female,' agrees very closely with a female from Lapland."

The likeness of specimen No. 43144½ to *H. gyrfalco* ought to have attracted my attention when I saw these skins; but, although it unfortunately failed to do so, it did not escape the more acute observation of Mr. Ridgway, who wrote thus respecting it, "Upon comparing this specimen with figures of a pair of var. *gyrfalco* by Wolf in Newton's 'Ootheca Wolleyana,' I can discern no difference at all".†

Mr. Ridgway holds the opinion, already referred to, of the intermediate character of these North-American Falcons; and this opinion is so well stated in a communication with which

* This was the specimen which seemed to me to agree with a very adult *H. islandus* with which I compared it, as already mentioned.
† *Vide* 'Land Birds of North America,' vol. iii. p. 116, where this specimen is fully described, as are also two paler examples on the previous page.
he recently favoured me, that I think it may be useful to quote his own words on the subject. He writes thus:—"My own view (originally expressed in the Hist. N. Am. Birds) is that the arctic American (continental) bird is a race intermediate between H. islandus and H. gyrfalco, the lighter-coloured specimens approaching (in fact I do not see how they can be distinguished from) H. islandus, while the darker ones appear to me to be undistinguishable from H. gyrfalco; the majority of specimens, however, are about halfway between."

In a subsequent communication, dated in July of the present year, Mr. Ridgway remarks, "With some additional material, I see no reason to change the views already expressed."

In Mr. Sharpe's volume the same view is taken of these Falcons as is held by Mr. Dresser, the range of H. gyrfalco being there stated as extending from Northern Europe "across Northern Asia and North America". To me it seems a not unreasonable supposition that both H. gyrfalco and H. islandus may be found in arctic America; but I have not sufficient data to enable me to offer an opinion as to the specimens of an intermediate character alluded to by Mr. Ridgway.

Professor Newton, in his edition of Yarrell's 'British Birds,' vol. i. p. 47, gives some interesting particulars as to the differences existing between H. gyrfalco and H. islandus in the measurements and proportional dimensions of the sternum and coracoid bones; and it is much to be desired that a corresponding examination and comparison of these bones could be made as regards the arctic Falcons of North America.

It is unfortunate that no adult specimen of a grey North-American Falcon from the countries west of Greenland and Labrador exists, so far as I am aware, in any British collection; and specimens that are not adult are not of very much

* Vide Sharpe's Cat. vol. i. p. 417.
† Professor Newton informs me that the sterna of F. islandus referred to in his work were all taken from Icelandic specimens.
service in establishing a diagnosis between the closely related races of the Grey Jer-Falcons.

The British Museum possesses a specimen obtained by Captain Kellett in California*, and another from Kotzebue Sound; the Norwich Museum has one example from Alaska and three from Hudson's Bay; but none of these Falcons are in adult dress. The following are the measurements of these six specimens:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males.</th>
<th>Wing. in.</th>
<th>Tarsus. in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska†</td>
<td>15·05</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>1·95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson's Bay †</td>
<td>14·80</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>1·80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Churchill, Hudson's Bay</td>
<td>14·20</td>
<td>2·00</td>
<td>2·05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of California</td>
<td>14·30</td>
<td>2·15</td>
<td>2·05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Females.</th>
<th>Wing. in.</th>
<th>Tarsus. in.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. in.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Churchill, Hudson's Bay</td>
<td>16·60</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>2·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue Sound</td>
<td>16·00</td>
<td>2·10</td>
<td>2·10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I may quote, for comparison with the above, the undermentioned dimensions of six specimens, given by Mr. Ridgway in the 'Land-Birds of North America,' vol. iii. pp. 115, 116.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing. inches.</th>
<th>Tarsus. inches.</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u. inches.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three males§</td>
<td>13·35 to 14·25</td>
<td>2·15 to 2·40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three females</td>
<td></td>
<td>15·50 to 16·00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In Mr. Sharpe's Cat., vol. i. p. 417, this specimen is stated to be from the remarkably southern locality of the Gulf of California.
† This specimen is from the Smithsonian Institution, and was there marked as a male; the sex of the others has been inferred from their dimensions.
‡ This example is as dark as some specimens of H. labradorus, but has the inner webs of the primaries regularly graduated with pale crossbars, instead of being irregularly mottled with buffy brown, as is usual in the Labrador Falcon; the central pair of rectrices are quite free from bars and spots, and the plumage of the mantle very nearly so. This specimen closely resembles the figure of the immature H. gyrfalco in Gould's 'Birds of Great Britain.'
§ One from Yukon, one from Nulato, Alaska, the third also from North-west America, but the exact locality not mentioned.
|| Two from Fort Anderson, the third also from North-west America, but the exact locality not mentioned.
I may also give, for comparison, the following dimensions of Scandinavian examples of *F. gyrfalco*, collected by the late Mr. Wolley, and preserved in the Norwich Museum, except one male, which is in the British Museum:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wing, inches</th>
<th>Tarsus, inches</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u., inches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two males</td>
<td>14·20 to 14·50</td>
<td>2·00 to 2·10</td>
<td>2·05 to 2·10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six females</td>
<td>15·50 to 16·25</td>
<td>2·05 to 2·20</td>
<td>1·95 to 2·10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I propose now to refer to the Grey Falcon of Iceland, the "*Hierofalco islandus*" of Mr. Sharpe's Catalogue, and the "*Falco islandus*" of Gmelin.

Mr. Dresser, in the article on this species in the 'Birds of Europe,' after mentioning its occasional occurrence in the British Islands, writes thus:—"To the continent of Europe the present species straggles but rarely, . . . . Greenland and Iceland, especially the latter, appear to be its true home." Mr. Sharpe, on the contrary, excludes Greenland from his definition of the habitat of *H. islandus*, including all the grey Falcons of that country under the specific designation of "*holbælli,*" first proposed by him in the P. Z. S. for 1873, p. 415.

Fully adult specimens of Grey Jer-Falcons killed in Iceland and in Greenland vary considerably between themselves; but, independently of such individual variations, adult examples killed in Greenland generally (and, indeed, so far as I have observed, always †) differ, more or less (though

* Mr. Seebohm has been so good as to inform me that the species which, according to the rules of nomenclature, is (strictly speaking) entitled to the cognomen of "*islandus,*" is the white Jer-Falcon (*H. candicans* of Gmelin), to which Latham applied the title of "*islandus*" in the supplement to his 'Synopsis,' p. 282, a year before Gmelin used it for the grey Falcon of Iceland. It seems to me, however (and I believe this to be Mr. Seebohm's opinion also), that the specific name of *candicans* having been so long in use for the white Jer-Falcon, and that of *islandus* for the grey Iceland bird, it is now too late, and would be too inconvenient, to correct an error which the usage of nearly a century may reasonably be held to have condoned.

† It is, however, quite possible that the Grey Falcons of Greenland may sometimes migrate to Iceland, as those of the White race are known to do regularly every winter.
usually the difference is but slight) from specimens of a corresponding age obtained in Iceland in the greater depth of the pale cross bars (measured from their upper to their lower edge) on the feathers of the median and greater wing-coverts, and also on the tertials, the scapulars, and the interscapular feathers. This difference, the only constant one which I can find between the two races, seems to be but a slender ground for erecting a distinct subspecies; but it may nevertheless be convenient to use for the Grey Falcon of Greenland the subspecific term of holboelli proposed for it by Mr. Sharpe.

I have seen four Falcons which, from the curious admixture of white in their plumage, appear to me to be hybrids between either H. holboelli or H. islandus and H. candidans. Of these, three are apparently birds of the year; but the fourth is in very nearly fully adult plumage. This very curious specimen has been kindly lent to me by Col. Delmé Radcliffe, who informs me that the skin was given to him by the Maharajah Dhuleep Singh, by whom the bird was obtained from Iceland when in immature plumage, and in whose possession it lived till it had nearly completed its first moult. In this specimen the likeness to H. candidans appears on some of the scapulars that are on the left side of the back, on the coverts and remiges of the left wing (which latter are, however, unfortunately in an imperfect state, all the primaries being lost except the first and the basal portion of another), on most feathers of the upper tail-coverts, and on the external rectrices on the right side of the tail. All these portions of the plumage closely resemble in coloration and in pattern the same parts in the more darkly marked adults of H. candidans, whilst the remainder of the plumage agrees with that of the greyer adults of H. holboelli; probably, therefore, this Falcon was hatched in Greenland and captured in Iceland during its migration. Col. Radcliffe writes to me that he was informed by the Maharajah that when this bird was in its immature dress "it was rather a dark-brown specimen of a northern Falcon, and that before it moulted the Greenland feathers were more conspicuous than now."

I may add that the bill in this Falcon is of a tint interme-
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diate between that which is usual in the bill of the white Jerfalcon and the darker colour of the bill in the grey races.

Of the younger hybrids (as I suppose them to be) to which I have alluded, one specimen, said to be from Greenland, is preserved in the Norwich Museum: in this Falcon the entire plumage is that of a dark young bird of one of the grey races, except the remiges and most of the coverts of the left wing, the tertials of the right wing, the outermost rectrice on the left side of the tail, and the four contiguous to it, all of which resemble those of a lightly-marked *H. candicans* of similar age; in this bird the bill is darker than in Col. Radcliffe's Falcon, and, in fact, does not differ from the usual coloration observable in the bill of the Grey Falcons.

The two similar specimens to which I have alluded are in the collection of Mr. Hancock, who was so good as to allow me to examine them when in Newcastle a few years since.

According to a memorandum which I then made, one of them has all the rectrices on the left side, except the last, white, but with dark tips to two of them, and two similar feathers on the right side of the tail; it also has a feather resembling the plumage of *H. candicans* amongst the scapulars on the left side. The other one of these two Falcons is a very dark young bird, in which the only indication of hybridism is one primary resembling those of *H. candicans*.

The following memoranda of measurements have been taken from specimens in the British and Norwich Museums and from others kindly lent to me by Lord Lilford, Mr. Hancock, and Mr. Dresser:

*Grey Falcons obtained in Iceland.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15·20</td>
<td>2·35</td>
<td>2·20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15·80</td>
<td>2·20</td>
<td>2·15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15·00</td>
<td>2·35</td>
<td>2·10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15·30</td>
<td>2·50</td>
<td>2·10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bills of an intermediate coloration, however, are not very un frequent in specimens of *H. candicans*, especially in the more darkly-marked young birds.
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Grey Falcons obtained in Iceland (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>2:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:40</td>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>2:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:40</td>
<td>2:35</td>
<td>2:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:35</td>
<td>2:40</td>
<td>2:20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grey Falcons obtained in Greenland.

| Presumed males (seven) | 14:80 | 2:0 | 1:90 |
| Presumed females (five) | to    | to  | to   |
| 15:20                 | 2:20   | 2:10 |
| 15:85                 | 2:20   | 2:00 |
| Presumed females (five) | to    | to  | to   |
| 16:70                 | 2:30   | 2:10 |

It is unfortunate that the majority of the Grey Falcons preserved in collections have no authentic information attached to them as to the country from which they were obtained; but I believe that the localities of those of which I have given the measurements above may be relied upon as authentic. It is also in some cases impossible to say from what localities the originals of several of the plates which have been published of grey Arctic Falcons were obtained; but there are two cases in which I am able to supply this information. Mr. Dresser, who does not admit any subspecific distinction between *H. islandus* and *H. holboelli*, has given as the figure of the adult “Iceland Falcon” the portrait of a specimen killed in Greenland, which he has been so good as to lend to me for examination †; and Mr. Wolf, as I have already mentioned, has figured, in his ‘Zoological Sketches’ ‡, a specimen of *H. islandus* which was obtained in Iceland by the late Sir William Milner in 1851, and, having died ten years later in the Gardens of the Zoological Society, is now preserved in the Norwich Museum.

Mr. Dresser’s specimen above referred to has the curious

* This specimen is remarkable for having the feathers of the lower back and upper tail-coverts cross-barred and tipped with pure white, instead of with pale bluish grey as is usually the case both in *H. islandus* and *H. holboelli.*

† *Vide ‘Birds of Europe,’* vol. vi. pl. 371.
‡ Vol. i. pl. 35.
peculiarity of the inner web of one of the central rectrices being throughout mottled with two shades of grey instead of the usual transverse barring, which is quite regular and normal on all other portions of the tail.

Some of the specimens of *H. islandus* and of *H. holbælli* which I have examined lead me to believe that in both these races the pale transverse bars on the mantle are in most individuals less amply developed as the result of the first moult than is the case in subsequent years; and until these bars have been fully developed, the slight difference which exists between *H. islandus* and *H. holbælli* is, of course, not apparent. This remark applies to a Grey Falcon from Labrador, just attaining its adult dress, which Mr. Dresser has been so good as to lend me. This specimen, which appears to be a male, measures as follows:—Wing 14·30, tarsus 2·20, middle toe (s. u.) 1·90.

I may now briefly refer to the remaining member of the subgenus *Hierofalco* (*H. candidans*), a species in which individuals of the same age vary much more widely in the distribution and character of their markings than is the case with any other species of this subgenus—so much so, indeed, that it has been well observed by Professor Newton, in his edition of Yarrell's 'British Birds,' vol. i. p. 45, that "a very large series of examples may be compared without finding two which are exactly similar."

Professor Newton, in a paper inserted in the 4th series of the 'Annals and Magazine of Natural History,' vol. xii. p. 485, and Mr. Dresser, in his article upon *H. candidans* in the 'Birds of Europe,' vol. vi. p. 22, have recorded their dissent from the views propounded in Mr. Sharpe's Catalogue as to the sequence of changes incident to progressive age in this species; and in this dissent I concur.

As a slight contribution to the facts which have been observed bearing upon this subject, I may mention that in the year 1859 the Zoological Society became possessed of a living specimen of *H. candidans* in what I believe to have been its first year's plumage. In the course of that year Mr. Wolf was so good as to make for me an accurate coloured drawing
from life of this Falcon; and in 1863 he again drew for me a portrait of the same individual, which had in the meanwhile attained its adult dress. The following is a comparison between the plumages of this Falcon in 1859 and in 1863, as shown in Mr. Wolf's two drawings.

1859.
1. All the dark markings brown.
2. The feathers on the crown of the head and nape each with a conspicuous shaft-mark.
3. A few slight shaft-marks on the cheeks and sides of the neck.
4. Each feather of the interscapulars and of the lesser wing-coverts brown, with a darker shaft-mark and a white edging; the medium wing-coverts similar but with white bases; the scapulars also similar, but the lower ones with either one or two white spots (when two are present, one being on each side of the shaft-mark); these spots are not confluent with the white edging.
5. The secondaries and tertials white with three rows of dark bars and a large dark subterminal patch on each feather of the tertials.
6. The primaries with somewhat extensive dark tips, and, above these, six transverse rows of dark markings, of which the uppermost row is imperfect.
7. Tail entirely white, except some very slight indications of rudimentary dark bars crossing the shaft-mark of the central rectrices.
8. Somewhat conspicuous dark shaft-marks on the breast, abdomen, and thighs.

1863.
1. All the dark markings brownish-black.
2. These shaft-marks much smaller and less conspicuous.
3. These shaft-marks gone, leaving the cheeks and sides of the neck pure white.
4. All these feathers pure white, except a slight indication of the dark shaft-mark and a subterminal heart-shaped spot, the latter expanding and approaching a crescent-shape on the median wing-coverts and lower scapulars.
5. A larger portion of each feather of the secondaries and tertials white, the dark markings having diminished in size, especially the subterminal patches on the tertials.
6. The primaries with the dark tips narrowly edged with white, and, above these, five transverse rows of dark markings, of which the uppermost row is imperfect.
7. Tail unaltered, except that the rudimentary cross-barring along the shafts of the central rectrices is more distinct and a little more extended.
8. Upper breast pure white, lower breast and abdomen not shown in the drawing; shaft-marks on thighs decidedly narrower.
9. Iris hazel.
10. Bill pale bluish, darkest at the tips of the mandibles, and slightly tinged with pale yellow at the base of both mandibles.

11. Cere, pale yellowish blue.
12. Feet, dull pale yellow.

The late Mr. Gould considered that the difference between those specimens of *H. candicans* which show the most white in their plumage and those which exhibit the least, justifies the division of these species into a “light” and a “dark” race; but it has not been ascertained (so far as I know) that these peculiarities are hereditary, and the multiplicity of variously marked intermediate specimens seems to preclude a satisfactory separation between the “light” and “dark” races, even if these extremes of variation should prove to be hereditary.

Such extremes of variation in the case of both the immature and the adult birds have been admirably depicted from drawings by Mr. Wolf in Mr. Gould’s ‘Birds of Great Britain;’ and excellent figures of a very dark adult and also of two light-coloured specimens, one adult and one immature, are given in Mr. Dresser’s ‘Birds of Europe.’

Mr. Dresser’s figure of an adult *H. candicans* “in the darker and more strongly marked” phase of plumage exhibits the slight bluish tinge on the white portions of the upper surface, and also on the usually horn-coloured upper mandible, which is noticeable in some of the more profusely barred adult specimens, producing more or less of a similarity between such individuals and those specimens of *H. holboelli* in which the white interspaces between the dark cross bars of the mantle are especially conspicuous.

Some of the darker immature specimens of *H. candicans* are remarkable for the tinge of buff which pervades the lighter portions of their upper surface, and of which traces, especially about the edge of the wing, are also perceptible in some adult and partially adult specimens of *H. islandus* and *H. holboelli*, especially the latter. An immature male of *H. candicans* from Labrador, which is preserved in the Norwich
Mr. J. H. Gurney’s Notes on Museum, exhibits this peculiarity very strongly, the buff tint being most noticeable on the winglet and on the tertials, and the paler portions of the latter being of a rich fawn-colour, and not merely of a buffy white, like other pale parts of the upper surface.

So little is known as to the localities where H. candicans nests that I think it may be well, in conclusion, to call attention to a recent notice by Mr. H. C. Hart in the ‘Zoologist’ for 1880, p. 121, of an eyrie of H. candicans ‘amongst lofty cliffs near Cape Hayes, lat. 79° 44,’ where the old birds were observed on 19th August 1875 and again on 21st August 1876.

Having now concluded my remarks on the Falcons, I may pass on to the final section of Mr. Sharpe’s volume, in which the genera Pandion and Polioaëtus are grouped together under the heading of ‘Sub-order Pandiones.”

I have already dealt with the genus Polioaëtus in my observations on the Sea-Eagles (vide Ibis, 1878, p. 455); and I then left for subsequent consideration that of Pandion as ‘a very peculiar and isolated form,’ though I think hardly sufficiently so to merit its elevation into a distinct “sub-order.”

The Osprey, amongst other peculiarities, has been observed to possess some characteristics which seem to ally it to the Owls, and thus to justify its being located next to that group in any tabular arrangement. For further details upon this head I would refer to Sclater’s translation of Nitzsch’s ‘Pterylography,’ p. 54 (foot-note) and to the ‘Recherches Anatomiques’ on the Fossil Birds of France, by Milne-Edwards, vol. ii. p. 413*.

In ‘The Ibis’ for 1867, p. 464, I expressed the opinion that the genus Pandion is in reality composed of but one species, and that the Osprey is in fact referable to the same species throughout the entire area of its almost cosmopolitan range†. It must, however, be admitted that some slight

* I am indebted to the kindness of Professor Newton for calling my attention to the remarks of Mons. Milne-Edwards on this subject.
† Full details as to the geographical distribution of the Osprey will be
geographical variations do exist which have been recognized by ornithologists of eminence as sufficient grounds for subspecific distinction. The Osprey of America was thus separated by Gmelin from that of the Old World under the specific titles of "carolinensis"*; and the Australasian Osprey at a later period received from Mr. Gould the distinctive appellation of "leucocephalus"†; this latter race is regarded by Mr. Sharpe as a valid subspecies, which the former is not.

American Ospreys, on an average of specimens, show less brown on the upper part of the breast than those of the Old World; and in some American adults the upper breast is a pure white without any admixture of brown; this immaculate breast I have never seen in any non-American specimens, though some examples from the Old World are so sparingly marked with brown on the upper breast as to approach in this respect the white-breasted individuals of America.

Mr. Ridgway, who refers to this circumstance in his article upon the Osprey in 'The Land Birds of North America,' vol. iii. p. 184, has also the following remark: "In all the American specimens of both sexes the shafts of the tail-feathers are continuously white, whilst in European specimens they are clear white only at the roots or on the basal half." Some Old-World Ospreys, however, are very similar to the American in this respect, whilst in some other non-American specimens the shafts of the rectrices are entirely brown.

The Australasian race, to which the title of leucocephalus has been assigned, seems to me not to be separable from P. haliaetus by any constant character except its smaller size; and even this is a somewhat variable characteristic.

The Osprey appears to be more abundant, and probably on that account more gregarious, in some parts of North America, than it is elsewhere. The authors of the 'Land Birds of North America'‡ remark, "in some localities the Fish-hawk

found in the articles on this species in Newton's edition of Yarrell's 'British Birds,' and in Dresser's 'Birds of Europe.'

* Vide 'Systema Naturæ,' vol. i. p. 263.
† Vide P. Z. S. 1837, p. 138.
‡ Vide vol. iii. pp. 187, 189.
nests in large communities, as many as three hundred pairs having been observed nesting on one small island;" and again, "it is most abundant from Long Island to the Chesapeake, and throughout this long extent of coast is very numerous, often breeding in large communities, to the number of several hundred pairs: away from the coast it is much less frequent, but is occasionally met with on the banks of the larger rivers and lakes, and in such instances usually in solitary pairs."

The only approach to the gregarious habits of the American Ospreys which I have heard of in those of the Old World was related to me by that excellent observer of Australian ornithology, the late Mr. F. Strange, who informed me that on the coasts of Australia three or four pairs of Ospreys are sometimes to be found living in near proximity to each other.

The following measurements of Ospreys from various localities may be useful in showing the differences of size in this species in various parts of the world; all these measurements have been taken by myself, except a few which are otherwise specified, and which are quoted from articles on the Osprey by Mr. Ridgway in the 'Land Birds of North America,' by Mr. Dresser in the 'Birds of Europe,' and by Col. Prjevalsky in his "Notes on the Birds of Mongolia," published in Rowley's 'Ornithological Miscellany.' The measurements so quoted I have indicated with the names of the several authorities; and I have also mentioned the sex of the specimens when this has been ascertained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Wing</th>
<th>Tarsus</th>
<th>Middle toe s. u.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>20:70</td>
<td>2:20</td>
<td>1:90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallina, on the Mississippi</td>
<td>20:00</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>1:80*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania ♀, (Ridgway)</td>
<td>20:50</td>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>1:70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver's Land</td>
<td>19:60</td>
<td>2:20</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey, California</td>
<td>20:30</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>1:90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, Southern California</td>
<td>20:70</td>
<td>2:50</td>
<td>2:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San José, Southern California, ♀ (Ridgway)</td>
<td>20:00</td>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>1:90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Wing. in.</td>
<td>Tarsus. in.</td>
<td>Middle toe s. in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicuatan, Pacific coast of</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.80*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.80*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At sea, off the coast of Brazil</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island of St. Croix</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shetland Islands, ♂</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, ♀</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, ♂</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden, ♂ (Dresser)</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silesia, ♀ (Dresser)</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangier, ♂</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt, ♀</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal, ♂</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal, ♀</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrachan, ♂ (Dresser)</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India, ♂</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India (Malabar coast)</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kan-su, North-western China</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chefu</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningpo, ♂</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoy, ♂</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoy, ♀</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hainan, ♂</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan, ♂</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (Ridgway)</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formosa</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.60*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebes (Ridgway)</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangir Island (Celebes Sea)</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilolo</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Caledonia, ♂</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.80*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, ♂</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.70*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, ♀</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.70*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I may add that the specimens in the above list marked with an asterisk are preserved in the Norwich Museum.

Amongst the many figures of the Osprey which have been published, I may mention those in Mr. Dresser’s ‘Birds of Europe,’ representing an adult male killed at Astrachan in
April, an adult female from Silesia, a young female killed in October on the Volga, and a nestling from Astrachan *. Audubon has figured a male American Osprey †; and a figure of the American bird is also given by Wilson ‡; an adult female from Sumatra has been figured by Schlegel §; and an adult Australian specimen is represented in the plate given in Gould's 'Birds of Australia.'

The observations which I commenced in 'The Ibis' of 1875, in connexion with Mr. Sharpe's 'Catalogue of the Accipitres,' have now at length reached their conclusion; and I feel that many apologies are due to my readers for the prolixity and, I fear, the tediousness of this series of Notes. In tendering these apologies I would also offer my best thanks to the many ornithological friends who, by the liberal loan of specimens, as well as by affording me much important information, have greatly contributed to give my Notes any little value that they may possess. Amongst those who have thus assisted me are some who have passed away from amongst us since my task began, and whom my thanks can now no longer reach; but it is pleasant to recollect their ready aid and their friendly cooperation.

To Mr. Sharpe my thanks are also greatly due for the pleasure which the study of his pages has afforded me, and for his kindness in allowing me to confer with him on various points as to which I have occasionally felt doubtful.

I may add that, by the obliging permission of the Editors of 'The Ibis,' I intend to supplement the Notes that I have now concluded by a tabular index, which may, perhaps, prove a convenience, should any ornithological students chance hereafter to desire to refer to them.

‡ Vide Am. Orn. v. pl. 5. fig. 1. § Vide Valkvogels, pl. 5. fig. 3.
XLIII.—Notices of recent Ornithological Publications.

[Continued from p. 468.]

90. Blakiston and Pryer on the Birds of Japan.


We are glad to receive a copy of this important paper, which gives us a complete list of the birds of Japan, drawn up by two naturalists who are, beyond all others, qualified for the task by personal acquaintance with their subject. The number of birds included in the celebrated 'Fauna Japonica' of Temminck and Siebold was 199. "This number is soon likely to be doubled, as the present list, although reaching to 365, is doubtless far from complete, many parts of the country not having been worked up." Many excellent field-notes on localities and remarks on questions of identity are given in this paper.

91. Blasius on Birds from Borneo.


Dr. Blasius gives an account of a collection of 57 birds' skins made by Dr. Platen in Sarawak, in continuation of a former paper on the same subject (anteâ, p. 334). The specimens are referred to 30 species. None are new to Borneo; but useful notes, both by the collector and the author of the paper, are given.

92. Buller's 'Manual of the Birds of New Zealand.'


A most useful manual of the birds of New Zealand, prepared by Dr. Buller for the use of students in the colony. The classification and descriptive portion of Capt. Hutton's catalogue of 1871 (now out of print) are adopted as a matter of convenience. All doubtful forms have been expunged, and
the newly discovered species inserted. The plates of Dr. Buller's well-known 'History of the Birds of New Zealand' (now a book of rare value) have been reduced by photolithography for the present work; and woodcuts illustrate the generic characters.


The new edition of this most interesting Report (originally issued in 1873, but, we believe, never published, and hardly to be obtained by purchase*) contains a reprint of Dr. Coues's catalogue of the birds of the Pribylow group, with the revised observations of Mr. Elliott.

The Thick-billed Guillemot (*Lomvia arra*) inhabits St. George's Island in immense multitudes. "When the females begin to sit over their eggs towards the end of June, at regular hours in the morning and in the evening the males go flying around and around the island in great files and platoons, always circling against or quartering on the wind.

"They make in this way, during a sustained period of hours at a time, a dark girdle of birds more than a quarter of a mile broad and thirty miles long, flying so thickly together that the wings of one fairly strike those of the others as they go. They whirl in swift revolving endless succession during the periods just mentioned, and form a dress parade of ornithological power which [Mr. Elliott] challenges the world to rival."

94. Forbes on the Tubinares.


Mr. Forbes's elaborate memoir upon the anatomy of the Petrels will be studied with pleasure by every ornithologist.

* Cf. Ibis, 1874, p. 458.
After a very exhaustive account of the soft parts, and a review of the osteology of this group, which had previously been described at length by M. Alphonse Milne-Edwards in his 'Oiseaux Fossiles de la France,' the author concludes with two chapters on the classification and on the anatomy of the Tubinaires. In the first of these he vindicates the division of the order into two families, "Oceanitiidae" and "Procellariidae"—the former containing only the four genera Garrodia, Oceanites, Pelagodroma, and Fregetta, and the latter all the other forms. This division was first suggested by Garrod in 1873, and afterwards substantiated by the author's further investigations. In his essay on the affinities of the Petrels, Mr. Forbes confesses the difficulties of assigning to this group a satisfactory position, owing to its great isolation. But, on the whole, he rejects the generally held notion of the alliance of the Petrels to the Gulls, and maintains their affinities to the Steganopodes and Herodiones. A new genus, "Aeipetes," is proposed (p. 59) for Procellaria antarctica, Gm.

95. Goodchild on the Occurrence of the Iceland Falcon in Westmoreland.


Mr. Goodchild records the occurrence of an immature Iceland Falcon at Winton, near Kirkby Stephen, in 1842. Only one specimen of this bird had been previously obtained in any part of Cumberland or Westmoreland.

96. Gould's 'Birds of New Guinea.'


The thirteenth part of this work contains illustrations of the following species:

- Otidiphaps cervicalis.
- Eutrygon terrestris.
- Edoliisoma montanum.
- Pachycephalopsis hattamensis.
- — poliosoma.
- Macruropsar magnus.
Recently published Ornithological Works.

Graucalus maforensis. Monachella muelleriana.
Tanysiptera danæ. Pucilodrys albifacies.
Melidora macrorhina. Hypothymis rowleyi.
Halcyon quadricolor.

The letterpress, as indicated by the initials, is from the pen of Mr. Sharpe; but his practice of speaking of himself in the third person appears to be rather misleading.


[Diagnosen neuer Arten aus Centralafrika, gesammelt von Dr. Emin Bey. Ornith. Centralb. 1882, p. 91.]

Dr. Hartlaub gives diagnoses of eight new species from Dr. Emin Bey's latest collections—namely, Habropyya anochroa, Trachyphonus versicolor, Pentholæa clericalis, Lanius gubernator, Phyllastrephus rufescens, Åegithalus musculus, Sycobrotus emini, and Fringillaria forbesi.

We are glad to be able to announce that Dr. Hartlaub has in preparation a volume embracing a complete account of the birds contained in Dr. Emin Bey's various collections from Central Africa.

98. Hume's 'Stray Feathers,' Vol. x. Nos. 1, 2, 3.

[Stray Feathers, a Journal of Ornithology for India and its Dependencies. Edited by Allan Hume. Vol. x. nos. 1, 2, 3.]

The conclusion of Mr. Reid's paper on the "Birds of the Lucknow Civil Division" occupies the greater part of this number, to which succeeds a reprint of Dr. Scully's article on the birds of Gilgit (Ibis, 1881). Amongst the smaller notices are descriptions of the following new species discovered by Mr. Hume in Manipur:—Picus pyrrhothorax, Cethia manipurensis, Pomatorhinus austeni, and Trochalopterum erythro-leama (sic!).

99. Lawrence on two new Birds from Yucatan.

[Descriptions of two new Species of Birds from Yucatan, of the Families Columbidae and Formicariidae. By George N. Lawrence. Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci. vol. ii. no. 9.]

Amongst the specimens collected by Mr. Gaumer in Yu-
catan, of which a full series had been purchased by the State University of Kansas, were single examples of two new species, which Mr. Lawrence proposes to call *Leptoptila fulviventris* and *Formicarius pallidus*.

100. **Lawrence on a new Margarops.**


A specimen of a *Margarops* from Dominica (Ober), previously referred to *M. herminieri*, is now found to be different from the Guadeloupe form, and is named *M. dominicensis*.

101. **Lawrence on a new Subspecies of Loxigilla.**


Nine examples of a *Loxigilla* obtained by Mr. Ober in St. Christopher in 1880 are referred to a new subspecies, *L. portoricicensis*, var. *grandis*. The form differs from the Portorican bird in having no rufous marking on the white under wing-coverts and in rather larger dimensions.

102. **Ramsay on new Birds from the Solomon Islands.**


The species described are *Halcyon solomonis* (the representative of *H. chloris* in the Solomon group) and *Rhipidura tenebrosa* from St. Christoval.

103. **Ramsay on Pycnoptilus floccosus and Pachycephala olivacea.**


Mr. Ramsay records the occurrence of *Pycnoptilus floccosus* in the scrubs of the coast-range of New South Wales near
Wollongong, and of *Pachycephala olivacea* in the same district.

104. Rathbun's 'Bright Feathers.'


Mr. Rathbun's third and fourth parts (cf. *Ibis*, anteà, p. 173) contain illustrations of and articles upon *Chrysomitrîs trîstîs* and *Dendræca âstîva*.

105. Reichenow and Schalow on the Ornithological Literature of 1880.


So much offence seems to have been taken at our notice of Messrs. Reichenow and Schalow's report for 1879 (*Ibis*, 1881, p. 485), that on the present occasion we think it best to withhold all criticism, and merely to record the due issue of their report for 1880. At the same time we protest emphatically against the strongly personal tone of the remarks (reported Ornith. Centralbl. 1881, p. 159, and Journ. f. Orn. 1881, p. 426) on our former critique, which was written for the Editors by a member of the B. O. U., in whose good faith and knowledge of his subject they can confidently rely, and who has furnished us with the following rejoinder to some of Messrs. Reichenow and Schalow's observations, to which we think it right to give place:—

"Messrs. Reichenow and Schalow state that they are unjustly accused of omitting from their *Aves* for 1879 a number of species described as new by Mr. E. P. Ramsay (Proc. Linn. Soc. New South Wales, iii. pt. 3, pp. 245–305), because, as they assert, that part was published, and its contents should therefore have been recorded, in 1878. They are wrong in this point; but if the matter were as they state, *why do they themselves*, at p. 1130, *record in 1879 the very paper* above cited? The fact is they *have* recorded the paper,
but complain of being accused with omitting all mention of the new species described in it! As regards the assertion that two species described in Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus. vol. i., should have been included by the English recorder in his Aves for 1878, inasmuch as, although the volume is dated 1879, the sheet is dated at foot Dec. 1878, we reply that even the sheet in question was not available until 1879 was well advanced and the record for 1878 had been closed. As for part iv. of the 'Proceedings of the Zoological Society' for 1879, although, as they state correctly, it was not issued till 1st April 1880, yet Messrs. Reichenow and Schalow have no sufficient excuse for their omissions to record the new species therein; for they were named in the scientific abstracts of the meetings of that society, similar to the German Sitzungsberichte, and the latest, that of 16th December, must have been issued on the 18th or 19th of that month. The English recorder had therefore a perfect right to enrol species so published in Aves for 1879.

"It may possibly have been a little hard upon the German recorders to have expected them to have included in the list of their omissions the genera and species described by Mr. S. B. Dole in the 'Hawaiian Annual,' a publication which was not at that time generally accessible, although the English recorder had managed to get hold of it.

"The last point to which we shall reply is one which bears a somewhat different aspect. Messrs. Reichenow and Schalow accuse us of having had the shortsightedness to include in the list of their omissions for 1879 a certain Astur sharpii, 'respecting which the English 'Record' says 'omitted from 1878'.' To show our readers how the matter really stands, we will quote from the Zool. Rec. Aves, p. 36.

"'Astur sharpii, sp. n., E. Oustalet, Bull. Soc. Philom. (6) ii. p. 25, Marianne Islands. [Omitted from Zool. Rec. xii.]'; (i.e. 1875) not 1878.

"'Astur sharpii, sp. n. [nec Oust.], distinguished from A. cruentus, Gould; E. P. Ramsay, P. Linn. Soc. N. S. W. iii. p. 173, Port Moresby.'

"The latter is, of course, the species which Messrs. Reich-
enow and Schalow should have included in their *Aves* 1879, but did not. The English recorder not only chronicled the species, but, finding out that there was another *Astur sharpii*, which had been omitted by one of his predecessors, he took occasion to place on record, for the benefit of workers, that the name given by Mr. Ramsay was preoccupied, in order that another name might be imposed should the species prove to be a good one."

106. *Salvadori's 'Prodromus,'* part xii.


The Papuan Grallatoes, 70 in number, are referred to the following families:—Rallidæ (17), Glareolidæ (2), Hæmatopodidæ (2), Edicnemidæ (1), Charadriidæ (8), Parridæ (1), Scolopacidæ (19), Ardeidæ (17), Ciconiidæ (1), and Ibi-didæ (2).

107. *Salvadori on a Collocalia.*


*Collocalia marginata*, sp. nov., from Cebu, Philippines, was referred by Lord Tweeddale, with doubt, to *C. fuciphaga*. Count Salvadori has also met with three more specimens of his *C. infuscata* (of Ternate), and is confirmed in his opinion that the species is valid.

108. *Salvadori on a Species of Cyclopsittacus.*


The author describes from a specimen in the Turati Collection the species of *Cyclopsittacus* named *C. salvadorii* after himself by M. Oustalet in 1880, and shortly noticed. It is from the eastern coast of the Bay of Geelvink (*Laglaize*).


The collection of birds in skin formed by Strickland (who died in 1853) was presented to the University of Cambridge in 1867 by his widow. Mr. Salvin has now finished and issued the present catalogue of the collection, on which he has been engaged for several years. The series consists of 6006 skins, referable to 3125 species. The arrangement adopted is that of Sclater and Salvin's 'Nomenclator,' the Old-World genera being intercalated.

110. Sclater's Jacamars and Puff-birds.


The seventh part, issued in July last, contains figures of Cheilodoptera tenebrosa and C. brasiliensis, together with the title-page, preface, contents, &c., and completes the work.

XLIV.—Letters, Announcements, &c.

We have received the following letters addressed to the Editors of 'The Ibis':—

Dresden, July 5, 1882.

Royal Zoological Museum.

Sirs,—I beg leave to mention that the skin and skeleton of a specimen of Notornis mantelli, which were exhibited by Prof. Newton at the Meeting of the Zoological Society on January 17 (see P. Z. S. 1882, p. 97), have been acquired by the Royal Zoological Museum of Dresden. The bones and skull having been taken out of the skin, I have restored the skeleton as far as possible; and the skin has been stuffed with the utmost care. I intend to figure the skeleton in my
work 'Abbildungen von Vogelskeletten,' pt. iv., which I hope to be able to publish at the end of this year.

Yours &c.,

A. B. Meyer.

Sirs,—In 'The Ibis' for 1879, p. 376, I gave a notice on two young Cassowaries living in the menagerie at Schönbrunn, which I then believed to belong to Casuarius beccarii, Sclater. These birds, already in black plumage, showed some remnants of brownish colour; their casques were yet little developed, and the two short appendices of the hardly conspicuous caruncle white washed with blue. In 'The Ibis' for 1881, p. 401, I published also a note on the egg laid by one of these Cassowaries.

During the autumn of 1881 I sent two coloured sketches of the birds in question to Count Salvadori; and he wrote to me saying that he believed they would prove to belong to C. galeatus. To ascertain the matter I visited Schönbrunn, and again examined the specimens. They had considerably changed in the progress of their development. The larger one (which had laid several eggs) showed a low, little-compressed casque, sloping almost equally before and behind; the wattles were short, reddish, with white margins; neither I nor Dr. Lorenz, who accompanied me, could make out whether the wattles were entirely separate or connected by a common base, because the bird was in restless motion.

The smaller specimen could not be examined conveniently. It resembled the other; but the wattles were smaller and paler-coloured.

Some days ago the smaller Cassowary died; and I had therefore an opportunity of making an accurate examination. The result was that I found the casque compressed, and the wattles, now red-coloured, entirely separated. The bird belonged therefore not to C. beccarii, but to C. galeatus, a conclusion which is corroborated by the excellent monograph of the genus Casuarius, which I have received recently by the kindness of Count Salvadori.
The Cassowary, now in our Museum, differs, however, from the diagnosis of *C. galeatus* given in the above-mentioned work (p. 189), by the casque being not perpendicular behind, but strongly inflexed, so that it appears overhanging; the nuchal patch, red in the dead specimen, was orange in the living bird.

Notwithstanding these differences, I am persuaded that this, and most probably also the specimen yet living, are indeed *C. galeatus*, and that the differences shown before were due to immaturity.

Yours &c.,

Pelzeln.

Vienna, August 1882.

Sirs,—My attention has been called to a paper in the Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S.W., vol. vi. 30 Nov. 1881, by Mr. E. P. Ramsay, describing a supposed new species of *Halcyon* from the Solomon Islands, and making some remarks on Mr. Layard's *H. tristrami* (Ibis, 1880, p. 459).

Mr. Layard has, I see, unfortunately omitted to give the measurements of his bird; but, as I possess the type specimens, I can fortunately supply this deficiency:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>♂</th>
<th>♀</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length</td>
<td>10·1</td>
<td>9·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of bill from nostril</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>1·75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; wing</td>
<td>4·55</td>
<td>4·45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; tail</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>3·1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other specimens, now in the British Museum and in the collection of Capt. Wardlaw Ramsay, have passed through my hands, and agree in measurements with the above. Mr. Ramsay will see from the figures that this is an exceptionally large species, and cannot be confounded with others. It has no occipital patch whatever. I think that Mr. Ramsay's criticism on the comparison with *H. vagans* is just, as *H. tristrami* seems to me further removed from *H. vagans* than from any other of the group. The plate in 'The Ibis' is very accurate, but not bright enough in the rich blue of the rump, which closely approaches that of *H. chloris*.

I have several specimens of a *Halcyon* from the Solomons,
which seem to answer exactly to Mr. Ramsay's new species; but they equally well correspond with my large series of \textit{H. julia} from the New Hebrides. Mr. Ramsay's first type appears to me to be \textit{H. julia} in not quite mature plumage. There is great individual variation in this species; but \textit{H. julia} always has the under wing-coverts pure white, while \textit{H. tristrami} and \textit{H. sancta} always have them buff. The supercilium also is another invariable character.

At the same time I consider that the whole group is in sad confusion; and I only wait for a better series of \textit{H. chloris} from the East-Indian regions to prepare a paper on the subject.

Yours &c.,

H. B. Tristram.

\textbf{Sirs,—} A perusal of Mr. Forbes's paper in the last \textit{Ibis}, on the Hemipode from New Britain, in which there is a list of Australian Hemipodes, including \textit{Pedionomus torquatus}, induces me to refer to a note of mine written in 1869 to the \textit{Proc. Zool. Soc.} on this curious bird. The ornithological position of the Collared Plain-Wanderer is in need of readjustment. A reference to my little paper (pp. 236–238) in the \textit{Proc. Zool. Soc.} 1869, will show how dissimilar the habits of this bird are to those of the Hemipodes or any other member of the Quail family. And I cannot help thinking that a more intimate acquaintance with its anatomy and external structure, as well as with its habits and nidification, will lead to its removal from the Hemipodes and its location among the Plovers. It was apparent to me in those days how very like it was in all its manners to a grallatorial bird; and now increased familiarity with the habits of the members of this order, combined with the well-stamped recollections of my observations of \textit{Pedionomus torquatus}, constrains me to oppose its presumed relationship to the Hemipodes. Its bare tibia (see details in paper referred to), and its pyriform egg, proclaim it so far a Plover; and I am not aware that, anatomically, there is any thing to militate against its location with those birds. As I hope some day to renew my acquaintance with the species, I should be glad to be referred to any
recent papers or remarks concerning it which I may have overlooked. Should there be any skeletons of this bird in London I hope Mr. Forbes will devote some attention to them.

There is no doubt that *Pedionomus torquatus* is one of the most remarkable forms of that interesting "region" where Swans are black and Parrots take to killing sheep!!

I am, Sir, yours,

W. V. Legge.

Aberstwyth, July 1882.

---

22 Courtfield Gardens, S.W.,
25 August, 1882.

Sirs,—Prof. Newton has been kind enough to point out to me that the account of the destruction of the first edition of Pallas's *Zoographia Rosso-Asiatica,* to which I alluded in the last number of 'The Ibis' (p. 425), is a myth. He has also lent me a Report presented to the Imperial Academy of Sciences in St. Petersburg in 1831 by Dr. K. E. von Baer, in which the history of this important work is detailed at some length. A brief abstract of the story (romantic enough, if space could be spared for the details) may be interesting, and worth recording in English.

From 1767 to 1795 Pallas devoted himself to the collection of materials for a complete Zoology of Siberia. At the date last mentioned he retired to the Crimea to arrange and complete his manuscript. In 1803 he advised the Academy that the work was half finished, and requested them to arrange for the engraving of the plates with his friend Geissler, of Leipzig, who had travelled with him in the capacity of artist in South Russia in 1793 and 1794. In 1806 Pallas sent the MS. of the first half of his work, containing the Mammals and Birds, to the Academy, and with it 121 plates of drawings to be engraved. The printing of the book in two volumes was begun in 1807 and finished in 1809; but the plates were not done. Owing to the state of Europe, poor Geissler got into difficulties, and Pallas's plates were driven to the pawnbrokers'. As long as he lived Pallas did what he could
to assist the progress of the work, and in 1810 made a journey on purpose to Berlin, having with him a single copy of the first volume; but in 1811 he died; and no vigorous steps were taken to publish his long-expected work until 1826, when the Academy offered the first three volumes (the MS. for the third volume having been received from Pallas in 1810, and the printing finished in 1814) for sale in St. Petersburgh without the plates. The first two volumes, containing the Mammals and the Birds, were dated 1811* (the printing of the text having been finished in 1809); but at the time of the publication of Von Baer’s Report it appears that only a couple of dozen copies had been issued, and no steps had been taken to publish it in Germany. Consequently, according to the Stricklandian code, Pallas’s names can only date from 1831. This is another proof, if proof were wanting, that the attempt to carry out these rules, regardless of consequences, can only result in continual change of nomenclature—the most unfortunate thing that can happen to any science.

I am, &c.,
Henry Seebohm.

News of Dr. Finsch.—Dr. Finsch’s last letter is dated from Port Moresby, on the 18th of April, and informs us that he had been in New Guinea three months, and had made extensive trips along the coast as far as Keppel Bay. It had not been possible to penetrate far into the interior, it being the rainy season; but he was then preparing to start for Latoki-as soon as he could get carriers. His zoological collection had been small; but he had amassed extensive anthropological materials. Dr. Finsch’s next ornithological letter will relate to Thursday Island and Cape York.

* As many copies have new titlepages, dated 1831, it is to be presumed that the publication in Germany took place at that date, soon after the Report was read (3rd March, 1830).
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Anabates, 323.
Anarhynchus australis, 399.
Anas acuta, 228, 384.
— boscas, 124, 225, 384.
— chlorotis, 397.
— clangula, 229.
— clypeata, 229, 384.
— creca, 225, 384.
— giberifrons, 397, 538.
— leucoptera, 92.
— marmorata, 229.
— penelope, 228, 384.
— pectoralhyncha, 93.
— querquedula, 228.
— rhynchos, 397.
— strepera, 124, 225.
— superciliosa, 392.
— xanthorrhynchus, 368.
Aostomus, 519.
Androdon equatatorialis, 168.
Anorthura, 422.
— fumigata, 342.
— pactica, 342.
INDEX.

Anous stolidus, 486, 493.

Anser, sp., 123.
  — albifrons, 227, 385.
  — arvensis, 385.
  — bernicia, 384.
  — brachyrhynchus, 91, 369.
  — cinerea, 91, 227.
  — erythropus, 227, 369.
  — indicus, 91.
  — leucopsis, 385.
  — minutus, 385.
  — ruficollis, 228, 385.
  — segetum, 385.

Anthornis melanura, 396.

Anthus capensis, 257.
  — caroli, 257.

Arctic, australis, 137.
  — atronitens, 519, 520.
  — cinnamomea, 189, 195, 196.
  — flavicollis, 195.
  — minuta, 225, 289.
  — sinensis, 196.
  — sturni, 364.

Argya fulva, 568.

Attagenia minor, 202.

Attagis, 386.

Bradyornis, 263.
  — infuscatus, 263.
  — mariquensis, 263.
  — modestus, 263.
  — murinus, 263.
  — oatesi, 170, 263.
  — pallidus, 73, 263.
  — silens, 263.

Branta rufina, 267.

Bubo ignavus, 100.
  — maculosus, 239.
  — maximus, 209, 373.
  — nyctea, 373.
  — ulula, 373.

Ardetta atricapilla, 364.
  — cinnamomea, 189, 195, 196.
  — flavicollis, 195.
  — minuta, 225, 289.
  — sinensis, 196.
  — sturni, 364.

Baeotaria regulorum, 363.

Balearica regulorum, 363.

Bombycilla garrula, 219.

Botaurus stellaris, 123, 225.

Bradyornis, 263.
  — infuscatus, 263.
  — mariquensis, 263.
  — modestus, 263.
  — murinus, 263.
  — oatesi, 170, 263.
  — pallidus, 73, 263.
  — silens, 263.

Branta rufina, 267.

Bubo ignavus, 100.
  — maculosus, 239.
  — maximus, 209, 373.
  — nyctea, 373.
  — ulula, 373.

Aphelophila javanica, 69.

Archæopteryx, 386.

Arctibuteo lagopus, 447.

Ardea alba, 123, 225, 399.
  — bubulcus, 364.
  — caledonica, 69.
  — cinerea, 123, 224.
  — comata, 225.
  — garzetta, 225.
  — herodias, 334.
  — intermedia, 363.
  — jugularis, 70.
  — nycticorax, 225.
  — occidentalis, 334.
  — purpurea, 193, 225.
  — sacra, 144.
  — sumatrana, 192, 194.
  — wurdemannii, 334.

Ardea atricapilla, 364.

Aphelophila javanica, 69.
INDEX.

Bucanetes githaginea, 114.
Buceros ruficollis, 143.
Buchanga assimilis, 72, 228.
Buceros ruficollis, 143.
Buchanga assimilis, 72, 228.
Bucorvus cafFer, 245.
Budytes calcaratus, 110, 280.
citreolus, 110, 280.
cinereocapillus, 109.
flavus, 110, 280.
melanocephalus, 109.
rau, 109.
taivanus, 18.
Bupluis coromandus, 193.
Butastir indicus, 235.
Buteo desertorum, 206, 238.
ferox, 99, 206, 420.
fuliginosus, 340.
plumipes, 269.
vulgaris, 206, 372.
Buteola brachyura, 340.
Butorides javanica, 144, 195, 531, 544.
Bntreron capellei, 65.
Cacatua ducorpsii, 143.
goffini, 143.
Caccabis chukar, 119.
petrosa, 577.
Cacomantis, 523.
Calamodjta fluviatilis, 337.
Calamophilus biarmieus, 216.
Calandrella berthica, 335.
herronensis, 407.
Calidris, 389.
arenaria, 223, 381.
Caliope kamtehatkensis, 86.
— pectoralis, 278.
Callipepla californica, 394.
Callophas mentalis, 55.
punicus, 55.
Callophas ellioti, 344.
humia, 344.
Calobates melanope, 62, 109, 433.
Calodromas, 388, 389.
Calornis, 137.
Calornis cantoroides, 137, 143.
— chalybea, 62.
— feedensis, 339.
— fulvipennis, 137, 143.
— metallica, 137, 143.
— Calyptomena viridis, 64.
Campothera smithi, 249.
Campylorhynchus brunneicapillus, 336.
golaris, 342.
pardus, 342.
Caprimulgus, 330.
— aegyptius, 424.
maururus, 134.
mosambica, 71, 240.
nobilis, 134, 143, 466.
rufigena, 71.
— unwini, 101, 270.
Carbo, 392.
Carduelis caniceps, 115, 424, 547.
elegans, 115, 219, 576.
— major, 424, 547.
— major-caniceps, 424.
Cariama, 321, 323, 328, 329, 331.
Carine bicrana, 100.
— brama, 100, 235.
Carpodacis, 283, 284.
— erythrinus, 114, 219, 378.
— purpureus, 174.
Carpophaga, 345.
— aenea, 528, 544.
— assimilis, 345.
— badia, 65.
— brenchleyi, 140, 144.
— fisch, 144, 339, 473.
lonerlata, 69.
— nova-zelandiae, 397.
— pistrinaria, 144.
polura, 345.
pucia, 345.
— richardsi, 141.
— rubricera, 144.
— rufula, 141, 144.
Casarea rutila, 124.
— variegate, 400, 401, 542.
Casuaris, 300, 608.
— beccarii, 608.
— bicamunelatus, 168.
— galenus, 608, 609.
Catharistes, 324.
Cathartes, 324.
Centrocercus, 340.
Centrocoeyx euryce, 67.
Certheiops euryce, 248.
— ater-albus, 143.
— eurycercus, 54.
— milo, 143.
— natalensis, 246, 247, 248.
rufipennis, 87.
— senegalensis, 246, 247, 248.
— superciliosus, 73, 246, 247, 248.
— viridis, 87.
Centurus, 174.
— ariitrons, 174.
dubius, 174.
elegans, 174.
hoffmanni, 174.
hydropolius, 174, 175.
radiolatus, 174.
rubriventris, 463.
rufiventris, 175.
santaeruzi, 174.
— striatus, 174.
— superciariis, 174.
— tricolor, 175.
— uropygialis, 174.
Cephalopyrurus flammiceps, 280.
Cepphus ars, 369.
Cercheis amurensis, 268.
— vespertina, 268.
Cereopsis, 328.
Certhia familiaris, 215, 508.
— himalayana, 422.
— hodgsoni, 270.
— madellii, 422.
— manipurensis, 602.
— scandulae, 422.
teriuura, 422.
Certhiauda desertorum, 117.
Certhiola, 487.
— dominicana, 486, 487.
Ceryle alcya, 486, 490.
— maxima, 244.
— rudis, 102, 209, 244.
Cettia albigventris, 213.
— cetti, 108.
— fulva, 213.
— sericea, 213.
— stoliakze, 213.
Ceyx, 388, 389.
— gentiana, 143, 345.
— sacerdota, 339.
Chctocercus bombus, 168.
INDEX.

Chaturas, 463.

— brunneitores, 82, 83.
— gaumeri, 463.
— rutila, 82, 83.
— vauxi, 463.

Chalcites lucidus, 523.

— plagosus, 138, 143.

Chalcophaps chrysochlora, 144, 146, 530.

— indic, 476.
— mortoni, 465.
— stephani, 146.

Charuffiza, 80.

— fulvescens, 79.
— nobilis, 80.
— olivacea, 80.

Charadrius, 389, 478.

— apricarius, 466.
— bicicetus, 399.
— canticaus, 380.
— eurionicus, 578.
— fulus, 6, 8, 189.
— helveticus, 222, 380.
— hiaticula, 380.
— minor, 380.
— marinellus, 380.
— obscurus, 399.
— pluvialis, 222, 380.
— vanellus, 222, 425.
— veredus, 425.

Charmosyna margaretbas, 143, 545.

Chasiempis, 466.

— selateri, 466.

Chatarrhoa huttoni, 105.

Chauna, 325.

Chelidon cashmirensis, 269.

— urbica, 259, 269.
— sonora, 270.

Chelidoptera brasiliensis, 607.

— tenebrosa, 607.

Chenalopex agyptius, 367.

Chettusia coronata, 363.

— gregaria, 221.
— leucura, 120.

Chiequera, 152, 161.

— ruficollis, 161, 162.
— typus, 161, 162.

Chimarrornis leucocephala, 432.

Chlorocichla occidentalis, 342.

Chloropsis ieterocepha, 59.

— viridinucha, 342.
— zosterops, 59.

Cholornis, 388, 389.

— parodora, 388.

Chotorba chrysopegon, 58.

— mystacophanes, 57.

Chrysococcyx cupreus, 245.

— lucidus, 59.

Chrysoomitris tristy, 604.

Ciechlopsis gularis, 76.

— leucogony, 77.

Cieiniurus, 336.

Ciconia abdimii, 364.

— alba, 578.
— nigra, 225.

Clencocerthia ruficauda, 486, 489.

Cinerosoma ajax, 168.

Cinclus, 468, 549.

— asiaticus, 423.
— cashmiirensis, 549.
— leucogaster, 549.
— melanogaster, 376.
— sordidus, 549.

Cinnceerthia olivasceae, 342.

Cinnyris brevirostris, 103.

— chalybeus, 256.
— frenata, 135, 142.
— gutturalis, 256.
— kirkia, 256.
— mariquensis, 256.
— talatala, 256.

Circaetus gallicus, 206.

Circus arpiusus, 100.

— chalybeus, 256.
— frenata, 135, 142.
— gutturalis, 256.
— kirkia, 256.
— mariquensis, 256.
— talatala, 256.

Clytorhynchus, 519.

— pachypehaloides, 495.

Coccothraustes vulgaris, 219, 576.

Coccytes albonotatus, 73.

— coronatus, 87.
— jacobinus, 246.
— melanoleucus, 87.

Coccys minor, 486, 491.

Collocalia cinerea, 503.

— fucipaga, 143, 606.
— hypoleuca, 143.
— infuscata, 296.
— leucopygia, 502.
— linchi, 503.
— marginata, 606.
— uropygialis, 502.

Collyrio leucomagnus, 68.

Columbi, 344.

— castotis, 117.
— corensis, 492.
— ferruginea, 344.
— intermedia, 117.
— livia, 117, 268, 577.
— enas, 220.
— palumbus, 220, 379.
— pheonota, 359.
— tamensis, 344.
— turtur, 220.

Colymbus arcticus, 232.

— auritus, 369.
— septentrionalis, 232.
— torquatus, 383.

Comatibis comata, 414.

Conurus, 83.

— egregius, 83.

Copsychus musicus, 60.

Coracias caudatus, 243.

— garrulus, 102, 210.
— indicus, 102.
— navius, 343.
— spatulatus, 164, 169.

Corone enca, 67.

Corvultur albicollis, 268.

Corvus collaris, 268.

— corax, 378.
— cornix, 111, 219.
— 378, 439, 547.
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Corvus corone, 547.

Culminatus, 268.

frugilegus, 111, 219, 281, 378.

lawrencii, 111.

monedula, 111, 219, 377, 576.

scapulatus, 265.

torquatus, 434.

umbrinus, 111, 267.

Corydon sumatrauiis, 64.

Corythornis cyanostigma, 244.

Cossypha barbata, 334.

bocagei, 334.

gutturalis, 418.

natalensis, 72.

Cotile ciucta, 259.

cowani, 468.

paludicola, 468.

riparia, 101, 210, 561.

j-upestris, 561.

Coturnis communis, 119, 220, 370, 380, 577.

delegorguei, 361.

japonica, 370.

novsB-zealandia?, 394.

Cracticus, 393.

Crateropus bicolor, 250.

plebeius, 250.

rubiginosus, 72.

Crex minuta, 226.

porzana, 226, 382.

pratensis, 226, 268, 366, 382.

Criniger finschi, 342.

frater, 342.

palawanensis, 342.

verreauxi, 342.

Crithagra butyracea, 358.

chrysopyga, 73, 358.

Cryptolophia trivirgata, 68.

Crypturus, 323.

Cueclus bronzinus, 509, 522, 523, 543.

canorus, 87, 103, 209, 245, 373, 407, 559.

gularis, 245.

microptenis, 87.

Cupidonia, 310.

Cursorius, 359.

Cyanecula, 179.

Cyanecula leucocyanea, 278.

suecia, 108.

wolfi, 179.

Cyanopilus cooki, 434.

cyanus, 434.

Cyanorhamphus nova-Zelandiae, 525.

saisseti, 524, 543.

Cyclopsitta, 606.

cocineifrons, 466.

salvadorii, 606.

Cygnus bewickii, 338.

minor, 227, 385.

musicus, 227, 385.

olor, 227.

Cymbornychus macrorhynchus, 64.

Cymophora, 466.

cryptoleucura, 466.

Cyornis ruficauda, 271.

Cyornis ruficauda, 271.

Cypochroma, 323.

Cypselus, 390.

affinis, 101.

apus, 210, 242, 374, 404, 405, 560.

batassiensis, 86.

caffer, 242.

melba, 101, 404, 561.

Dafila acuta, 93, 124, 436, 462.

Daption capensis, 346.

Demingretta sacra, 70.

Dendrocopos mystacea, 134, 143.

Dendrocitta occipitalis, 58.

Dendrocopelates, 323.

Dendrocopos hardwickii, 45.

moluccensis, 30.

Dendrocygna, 198, 538.

awsume, 93.

gouldi, 538, 542, 544.

javanica, 197.

Dendrocolaptes, 323.

Dendrocolaptes, 323.

Dendrocolaptes, 323.

Dendrocolaptes, 323.

Dendropicus zanzibari, 73, 74, 75.

zanzibaricus, 74.

Dendroptychus nesiotes, 32.

Dicaeum aneum, 412.

concolor, 470.

eythrothorax, 142.

Dicrurus leucophaeus, 434.

ludwigii, 264.

Disselanismus platirus, 59, 67.

Dissocetes ardesiacus, 158.

Donacica nigriceps, 168.

spectabilis, 168.

Doriycha yante, 168.

Doryphora euphrasynæ, 80.

Drepanoptila holosericea, 527, 544.

Drymoeca affinis, 253.

flavicata, 253.

holubi, 462.

ocularia, 253.

Dryoscopus affinis, 75.

orientalis, 75.

sublaeutes, 72.

Dyssorus sula, 542, 544.

Eclectus polychlorus, 143.

Edoliosoma maresci, 142.

montanum, 601.

poliopsa, 468.

salomonis, 137, 142.

Egretta brevipes, 532.

Elainea martinica, 486, 489.

Elanus caeruleus, 239.

hypoleucus, 67.

Emberiza aureola, 379.

buckharni, 282.

cesia, 404, 405.

cia, 405, 574.

cinerea, 418.

cioides, 434.

clirinella, 217, 379, 394.

hortulanæ, 217, 268.

huttoni, 113.

lapponica, 379.

leucophaea, 113, 282.
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Emberiza melanocephala, 217.
--- miliaria, 218, 574.
--- nivalis, 217, 379.
--- pusilla, 379, 434.
--- pyrrhuloides, 218.
--- rustica, 182, 379.
--- sahari, 550, 573, 574.
--- schoeniclus, 218, 379.
--- schopnicola, 114.
--- stewarti, 113, 282.
--- striolata, 573, 574.

Eopsaltria caledonica, 517, 543.
--- flavicystis, 517, 543.

Eremomela flaviventris, 254.
--- hemixantha, 254.
--- hypoxantha, 171.

Ergaticus versicolor, 461.

Eremita mei'sa, 229.

Ereithacus cajruleciila, 211, 375.
--- cyaneus, 421.
--- luscina, 211, 565.
--- philomela, 211.
--- rubecula, 211, 375.

Erythra phcenicura, 65, 188.

Erythropus, 146, 147, 148, 150, 151.
--- amurensis, 147, 148, 150, 152, 239, 436, 437.
--- vespertinus, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152.

Erythropsia, 283.
--- githaginea, 283.
--- incarnata, 283.
--- mongolica, 282.
--- obsoleta, 114.

Erythrosterna parva, 105, 337.

Erythura, 180.
--- cyaniors, 180, 181.
--- pealesii, 180.
--- prasina, 63.
--- psittacea, 495, 522, 543.
--- regia, 180.

Erythronota, 180.

Esacus, 389.
--- magnirostris, 110, 114, 532, 544.

Estrelda, 477.
--- astril, 335.
--- cinerea, 477.
--- erythronota, 355.

Euphrerus paludicola, 105, 337.

Eurhythria, 180.
--- cyanifrons, 180, 181.
--- pealesii, 180.
--- prasina, 63.
--- psittacea, 495, 522, 543.
--- regia, 180.

--- biarmicus, 309, 442, 443.
--- brookei, 321, 438.
--- caribbeearum, 291.
--- cassini, 300, 301, 302.
--- cenchrus, 208.
--- communis, 293, 438, 579.
--- var. pealei, 297.
--- dickersoni, 462.
--- gleane, 157, 558.
--- feldregi, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443.
--- graciilis, 154, 157.
--- gyfaleo, 298, 587.
--- herdersoni, 443, 446, 581.
--- hypoleucus, 443.
--- islandus, 583, 585, 587.
--- jugger, 98, 443.
--- labradora, 298, 579.
--- lanarius, 207, 443.
--- var. mexi-
--- carus, 447.

--- var. polya-
--- greek, 153, 205, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 307, 406, 543.
--- mexicanus, 443, 447, 448, 449.
--- milvipes, 445, 447.
--- obsoleta, 298, 580.
--- orientalis, 299.
--- pealei, 298.
--- peregrinator, 291, 292.
--- peregrinoides, 305, 307.
--- var. orientalis, 299.
INDEX.

Falco peregrinus pealei, 297.
- pygargus, 237.
- regulus, 160.
- sacer, 372, 443, 445, 582.
- schistaceus, 158.
- subniger, 443.
- tanypterus, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443.
- tinnunculus, 208, 372, 559.
- vespertinoides, 148.
- vespertinus, 208, 372.

Formicarius pallidus, 603.

Fulica, 392.
- clangula, 384.
- cristata, 125, 220, 384.
- ferina, 125, 229.
- fusca, 384.
- glacialis, 384.
- histrionica, 384.
- leucoptthalma, 229.
- marila, 384.
- nigra, 384.
- nova-zealandiae, 392, 397.
- nyroca, 125.
- rufina, 125, 229.
- Furnarius, 325.
- Galerita abyssinica, 482.
- arenicola, 482, 483.
- cristata, 116, 482.
- isabella, 482, 483, 484.
- macrohynchus, 483, 484.
- Gallirrex cristata, 94, 186, 187.
- Gallinago gallinaria, 101.
- gallinula, 121.
- major, 408.
- nigripennis, 366.
- scolopacina, 11, 16.
- solitaria, 121.
- stemura, 11, 189.
- wilsoni, 466.
- Gallinula angulata, 366.
- chloropus, 226.
- Gallus bankiva, 1.
- ferrugineus, 1, 2, 65.
- varius, 3.
- Garrodia, 601.
- Garrulax frenatus, 61.
- mitratus, 61.
- palliatus, 61.
- perspicillatus, 434.
- Garrulus cervicalis, 576.
- glandarius, 219, 377.
- infaustus, 377.
- lanceolatus, 266.
- sinensis, 434.
- Gecinus canus, 373.
- guerini, 435.
- squamatus, 102.
- Gelochelidon anglica, 290.
- Gennaia, 152, 443, 444, 448, 451.
- hypoleuca, 450, 451.
- Jaggur, 443, 444.
- mexicana, 443.
- milvipes, 445, 446, 447, 450.
- saker, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 450.
- Geothlypis canina, 459.
- chiriquensis, 461.
- poliocephala, 461.
- Geotrygon, sp. inc., 486, 492.
- Geranoaetus melano- leucus, 459.
- Gerygone, 397.
- flavolateralis, 508, 522, 543.
- igata, 396, 397.
- Glareola lactea, 92.
- melanoptera, 6, 435.
- pratincola, 120.
- Glauicaudum enpense, 239.
- perlatum, 239.
- Glaucopis wilsoni, 397.
- Glycicyphila, 505, 506.
- caledonica, 505.
- chlorophea, 505, 506, 543.
- fasciata, 505, 543.
- inca, 506.
- modesta, 505, 506.
- poliotis, 506.
- Goisakius melanolophus, 196.
- Goniaspena, 182, 183.
- leucocephala, 183.
- ludovicianae, 174.
- Gracula, 182.
- javanensis, 63.
- kreffit, 142.
- religiosa, 476.
- Graculus brevirostris, 401.
- carbo, 203, 392, 393, 401.
- leucogaster, 402.
- punctatus, 402.
- Graeculus analis, 514, 543.
- cinereus, 495, 513, 543.
- dussumieri, 142, 143.
- elegans, 142, 173.
- hypoleuca, 142, 143.
- maforesis, 602.
- monotonus, 142.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graucalus pectoralis</strong>, 262.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pusillus</strong>, 142, 145.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>salomonensis</strong>, 145.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>sublineatus</strong>, 142, 145.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grus antigone</strong>, 93.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>carunculatus</strong>, 3G3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cinerea</strong>, 92, 93, 226.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucogeranus</strong>, 226.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>paraclisea</strong>, 363.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>virgo</strong>, 226.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gymnorhina</strong>, 393, 394.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gjpaetus barbatus</strong>, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gypagus</strong>, 324.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gyijoictinia melanosternon</strong>, 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gyps fulvescens</strong>, 98, 268.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>himalayensis</strong>, 268.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>kolbi</strong>, 237.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Habropyga enochroa</strong>, 602.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hämopterus</strong>, 389.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>niger</strong>, 309.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ostrelegus</strong>, 222, 380.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haleon</strong>, 609.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>alcicilla</strong>, 134, 143.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>chelicrensis</strong>, 244.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>chelurus</strong>, 603, 609.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cinamomina</strong>, 140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>julie</strong>, 143, 610.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucopygia</strong>, 143.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>quadricolor</strong>, 602.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>sancta</strong>, 134, 140, 143, 503, 504, 543, 610.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>semicircularia</strong>, 244.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>senegaloides</strong>, 72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>solomnis</strong>, 603.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tristrami</strong>, 609, 610.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>vagans</strong>, 396, 609.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haliaetus albicilla</strong>, 396, 371.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fulviventer</strong>, 90.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucogaster</strong>, 90, 235.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucopygus</strong>, 266.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>vocifer</strong>, 238, 477.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haliastur girrnera</strong>, 141.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>indus</strong>, 235.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>sphenurus</strong>, 498, 543.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Halaplana fuligiosa</strong>, 541, 544.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haploderma constantia</strong>, 75.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>narina</strong>, 75.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplopterus ventralis, 92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlela glacialis, 229.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harpactes durvanci, 57.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harporynchus bendirei, 458.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>crissalis</strong>, 458.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedyoma, 183.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedymeles, 183.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ludovicianus</strong>, 183.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthea dichrousra, 168.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heliodilus, 132.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heliodoxa jamesoni, 81.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>xanthogenys</strong>, 89.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helinthophaga chrysoptera, 334.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>lawrencii</strong>, 334.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucobronchialis</strong>, 334.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helotarsus ecaudatus, 238.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemichlidon sibirica, 270.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemipipo tschundi, 79.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemipus obscurus, 50.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemistephania johannae, 80.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>xanthorrhous</strong>, 433.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henicurus ruficapillus, 60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>scouleri</strong>, 279, 423.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herodias albolineata, 530, 544.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>garzaeta</strong>, 193.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>nigripes</strong>, 70.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>norve-gallina</strong>, 258.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>norve-hollandiae</strong>, 531, 644.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hibernalochea</strong>, 394, 397.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hieracidea nova-zelandiae, 399.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hieraetus pennatus</strong>, 99.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierococcyx varius, 87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierofalco, 152, 208, 443, 579, 581, 591.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gyrifulco</strong>, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>var. sacer</strong>, 582.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierofalco bolbolli, 583, 587, 588, 590, 591, 593.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>islandus</strong>, 581, 584, 585, 587, 588, 590, 591, 593.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>labradorus</strong>, 580, 581, 586.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himantopus candidus, 122, 259.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucocephalus</strong>, 392, 399.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>melas</strong>, 399.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirundinea, 162, 163.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>bellicoas</strong>, 163, 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ferruginea</strong>, 163, 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rupestris</strong>, 163, 164, 411.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>scalenti</strong>, 163, 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirundo cahirica, 84, 346.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>eucallata</strong>, 260.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dimidiata</strong>, 238, 259.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dominica</strong>, 85.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>filiera</strong>, 101.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>griseopyga</strong>, 260.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>horreorum</strong>, 84.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>puella</strong>, 260.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>riparia</strong>, 374.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>robinii</strong>, 82.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rustica</strong>, 100, 210, 259, 374, 404, 561.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rutila</strong>, 82.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>savignii</strong>, 84.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>semirufa</strong>, 260.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>talijtica</strong>, 142, 503, 543.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tyleri</strong>, 84, 345, 346.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>urbica</strong>, 210, 374, 561.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoplopterus, 389.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>speciosus</strong>, 363.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrobata cashmirensis, 271.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>leucogaster</strong>, 266, 268, 271.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocissa albicollis, 56.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>malaysana</strong>, 56.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophasianus chirurge, 94.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyliota, 258.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>australis</strong>, 258.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>flavigastra</strong>, 258.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>violacea</strong>, 258.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hylacephala allica becknelli, 466.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fusciceps salicicola</strong>, 466.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hylophilus viridiflavus, 461.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Hyloterpe philippinensis, 461.
Hyluryornis salvadorii, 255.
Hymenolaimus, 401.
— malacorhynchus, 400.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
Hyphantornis crocata, 171.
— mariquensis, 353.
— nigriceps, 352.
— xanthop3, 352.
INDEX.

Lanius phoenicuroides, 104, 268, 270.
— prijevalskii, 421.
— pyrrhobotus, 462.
— rufus, 562.
— schach, 434.
— vittatus, 104.

Larus affinis, 289, 386.
— argentatus, 289, 436.
— audouini, 479.
— borealis, 386.
— cachinnans, 230, 436.
— canus, 230, 386.
— dominicanus, 392, 401.
— eburneus, 385.
— fuscus, 230, 289.
— glaucus, 230, 385.
— ichthyaetus, 230, 289, 414.
— leucophaeus, 436.
— marinus, 386.
— minutus, 385.
— novae-hollandiae, 392, 540, 544.
— novae-zealandiae, 401.
— occidentalis, 436.
— pomare, 392, 401.
— ridibundus, 126, 230, 385, 401.
— tenuirostris, 230.
— tridactylus, 385.

Leiothrix mitrata, 61.

Leipto, 171.

Lepocestes porphyromelas, 334.

Leptopuscele sophiae, 268, 280.

Leptoptila fulviventris, 603.

Leptoptilus arga, 190.
— crumeniferus, 365.
— javanicus, 190.

Leptornis subryanus, 506, 543.

Lestris, 338.

Leucocorba, 169.

Leucocerca perlata, 59.

Leucosticte brandti, 268.

Lemicola platyrhyncha, 223.

Limnetaeus caligatus, 235.

Limosao aëocephala, 16, 92, 121.
— baueri, 144.
— laponica, 223.
— melanura, 223.

Limosa rufa, 382.
— uropygiialis, 534, 544.

Linaria cannabina, 268, 285.
— brevirostris, 284.

Linota flavirostris, 218.
— linaria, 218, 576.

Lobivanellus atronuchalis, 10.
— indicus, 120.
— lateralis, 365.

Locustella fluviatalis, 213.
— luscinoides, 213.
— straminea, 278.

Loddigesia, 134.
— mirabilia, 184.

Lonvia arra, 600.

Lophogypus occipitalis, 257.

Lophophanes rufomanchilis, 281.

Lophornis, 81.
— chalybea, 81.
— pavoninus, 81.
— verreauxi, 81, 82.

Loriculus galgulus, 476.

Lorius cardinalis, 138, 143.
— chlorocercus, 138, 143.
— hypoenochrous, 143, 473.

Locia ethiopica, 356.
— bifasciata, 378.
— curvirostra, 219, 378.

Lathami, 357.

Litypispitiæcus, 378.
— sanguinirostris, 336.
— tridactyla, 388.

Loxigilla, 337, 603.
— noctis, 486, 487.
— portoricensis, 337.
— var. grandis, 337, 603.


Lyncornis temmincki, 58.

Macrocephalus alcinus, 455.

Machetes pugnax, 121, 223, 266, 287, 381.

Macronyx capensis, 390.

Macropygus comata, 58.
— comatus, 58.

Macropygia erasirostris, 144.
— crossi, 144, 474.
— rufa, 344.
— rufocastanea, 172, 474.

Macrurops magnus, 601.

Megalapteron magnun, 61.

Mareca castanea, 533, 544.
— penelope, 124.

Margarops, 603.
— dominicensis, 603.
— herminieri, 603.

Megalaima chrysopogon, 58.
— mystacophanus, 57.

Megalurulus mariel, 495, 511, 543.

Megaicaphon, 171.

Megalaima chrysopogon, 58.
— mystacophanus, 57.

— brachydactyla, 217.
— calandra, 216, 572.
— leucoptera, 217.
— piscoletta, 217.
— tatarica, 217.

Melidera macrorhina, 602.

Meiligestes poliopterus, 468.

Melitophagus bullockoides, 242.
— pusillus, 242.

Mergellus albellus, 124.
— ale, 383.

Mergus albellus, 230, 383.
— castor, 268.
— merganser, 229, 383.
— serrator, 230, 383.

Meristes icterus, 75.
— olivaceus, 75.

Merops apiaster, 102, 209, 411, 414, 417, 560.
— nubicoides, 242.
— persicus, 210, 414, 417, 560.
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Merops pusillus, 72.
— sumatranus, 56.
— superciliosus, 75.
— viridis, 101.
Merula maxima, 105.
— merula, 215, 568.
— xanthopus, 569, 543.
Metoponia pusilla, 115, 284.
Microactylus, 329.
Microhierax fringillarius, 61.
Micropterus badius, 55.
Microtarsus olivaceus, 60.
Milvulus tyrannus, 459.
Milvus, 336.
— Papyrus, 238.
— ater, 558.
— govinda, 100, 266.
— melanotis, 266.
— migrans, 100, 207.
— niger, 372.
— regalis, 207.
Mimeta affinis, 465.
Mimus elegans, 342.
Miomyia dieffenbachii, 393.
toitoi, 396.
Mirafra, 573.
africana, 350.
apiata, 350.
deserti, 672, 573.
nigricans, 334.
phoenicuroides, 573.
Molothrus badius, 459.
Monacha periophthalmicus, 468.
Monachella muelleriana, 602.
Monarcha brodei, 142.
— uguensis, 339.
Monticola affinis, 433.
— cyanus, 105, 433.
— saxatilis, 433, 568.
Montifringilla nivalis, 406.
Motacilla alba, 109, 216, 280, 376, 433.
— baikalensis, 433.
— capensis, 349.
— citreola, 216.
— flava, 216, 571.
— melanocephala, 216.
— melanope, 433.
— personata, 109.
Motacilla troglodytes, 342.
— vidua, 349.
— viridis, 376, 571.
Munia forbesi, 168.
— grandis, 408.
Musiceca atricapilla, 183, 562.
— collaris, 406.
— griseola, 238, 561.
— luctuosa, 406.
— luteola, 165.
— mugimaki, 165.
Muscieta griseola, 104.
— paradisa, 104.
Mycteria australis, 93.
— senegalensis, 365.
Myiastes obscurus, 408.
— var. insularis, 408.
— var. occidentalis, 408.
Myiagra, 181.
— caledonica, 512, 543.
— cervicinauda, 137, 142, 345, 405.
— ferro-cyanea, 142.
— pallida, 142.
— viridiminitis, 512, 543.
Myiobates, 518, 519.
— macrorhynchus, 519.
— nigroflagellus, 519.
— pachycephaloides, 518, 543.
Myiophonus temmincki, 105.
Myrmecocichla formicivora, 251.
Myzomela, 506.
— caledonica, 504, 543.
— cineracea, 108.
— erythromelas, 176.
— forbesi, 172.
— hafagei, 142.
— nigriventris, 365.
— pammelaena, 142.
— pulcherrima, 135, 142, 173.
— sulcicollis, 135, 142, 173.
— sanguinolenta, 504.
— saltator, 168.
— solitaria, 140.
— strigata, 142, 145, 173.
— pusilla, 473.
— pusio, 140, 143, 145, 473.
Nectarinia, 509.
Nemura cyanura, 432.
Neochloe brevipennis, 461.
Neophron percnopterus, 98, 267.
Nestor meridionalis, 393, 397, 398.
— notabilis, 398, 463.
Nettapus, 86, 91, 94.
— coromandelianus, 86, 108.
Nilaus brubru, 262.
Ninox 233, 234.
— assimilis, 455.
— florescens, 234.
— fusca, 234.
— odiosa, 191.
— panturlata, 141, 234.
— rudolphi, 232, 234.
— soutulata, 234, 235.
— solomonis, 140.
— terricolor, 172.
Nisaetus morphooides, 454.
— pennatus, 455.
Noctua glaux, 559.
Nothura maculosa, 459.
Notornis mantelli, 393, 607.
Nuclidura caryocatactes, 219, 377.
Nunmenius arquata, 16, 224, 352.
— borealis, 466.
— femoralis, 533.
— phoeus, 17, 75, 224, 352.
— tahitiensis, 533.
— tenuirostris, 224.
— tibialis, 533, 534.
— uropygialis, 533, 534.
Numida coronata, 360.
Nyctea scandiaca, 208.
Nyctibius, 390.
Nycticorax caledonicus, 69, 531, 544.
— griseus, 364, 462.
— manillensis, 140.
— nyctoriensis, 56.
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Nymphicus cornutus, 524, 543.
Nyroca australis, 538, 544.
Oceanites, 601.
— wilsoni, 539, 544.
Ocydromus australis, 400.
— troglodytes, 400.
Edemia fucea, 229.
— migra, 229.
— perspicillata, 466.
Ediemenus capensis, 363.
— crepitans, 221.
— vermiculatus, 362.
Ena capensis, 359.
Estrelata jaraaicensis, 183.
— mollis, 539, 544.
— rostrata, 538, 544.
Opisthocoinus, 824, 325.
Oreociucla, 335.
— varia, 438.
Oriolus affinis, 465.
— flavocinctus, 465.
— galbula, 215, 376, 568.
— kundoo, 106.
— larvatus, 72, 264.
— notatus, 264.
Oreoretetes cinderhynchus, 266, 271.
Orthorhynchus, 82.
— exilis, 480, 489, 490.
Orthotomus atrigularis, 62.
— borneensis, 62.
— cinereus, 62.
— ruficeps, 62.
Ortygometra quadristri-
gata, 537, 544.
— tabuenis, 537, 544.
Ortygornis gularis, 94.
— pondicerianus, 119.
Osmotheron olax, 64.
— vernans, 65.
Otudiphaps, 173.
— cervicallis, 173, 601.
— nobilis, var. cervica-
lis, 172.
Otis houbara, 221.
— macqueeni, 119.
— tarda, 220, 435.
— tetrax, 119, 220, 478.
Otocompis leucotis, 105.
Otocorys, 285.
— albignula, 424.
Otocorys alpestris, 217, 379.
— brandti, 424.
— longirostris, 266, 267, 285.
Otogyps auricularis, 237.
Oxylophus glandarius, 407.
Pachycephala, 516, 517.
— ? sp., 519.
— assimilis, 516.
— astrolabi, 137, 142.
— christophori, 137, 142.
— moriariensis, 515, 517, 543.
— olivacea, 603, 604.
— rufiventris, 516.
— xantthea, 516.
Pachycephalopsis hattaa-
mensis, 601.
— poliosoma, 468, 601.
Pachyprora, 258.
— molitor, 258.
Palamedea, 325, 328, 329, 331.
— cornuta, 325.
Pandion, 594.
— carolinensis, 595.
— halimetus, 50, 206, 372.
— leucocephalus, 497, 543, 595.
Panurus biarmicus, 408.
Papillula, 390.
Paradisea raggiana, 343.
Parisoma subcreuleum, 257.
Paroaria dominicana, 439.
Parra africana, 367.
— indica, 470.
— sinensis, 185.
Parus ater, 257.
— atriceps, 68.
— borealis, 377.
— carunculatus, 215.
— cinclus, 377.
— cinereus, 110.
— exilis, 68.
— ledouci, 550, 557, 569.
— lugubris, 418.
— major, 215, 377, 432, 509.
— minor, 433.
Parus monticolw, 432.
— niger, 257.
— palustris, 215.
— rufiventris, 334.
— teneriffae, 569.
— ultramarinus, 569.
Passer arcticus, 357.
— domesticus, 112.
Pastor roseus, 111, 215.
Pedicetes, 340.
Pedionomus, 359.
— torquatus, 431, 619, 611.
Pelagodroma, 601.
Pelargopsis Fraseri, 56.
Pelecanoides, 359.
— urinatrix, 402.
Pelecanus crispus, 231.
— onocrotalus, 231.
Penthocreryx pravatus, 54.
Pentolzela clericalis, 502.
Perdix cinerea, 220.
— javanica, 69.
Pericrocotus brevirostris, 270.
— miniatius, 67.
Persicula manipurensis, 344.
Petronia flavigula, 357.
— petronella, 357.
— stulta, 113, 268.
Peueca rupeps, 335.
— rupeps eremoeca, 335.
Phenorhina goliath, 529, 544, 545.
Phaeton candidus, 70, 541, 544.
— flavirostris, 144.
— rubriacaudla, 542, 544.
Phalarocorax carbo, 126, 231, 355.
— magellanica, 169.
— melanoleucus, 542, 544.
— nythethemus, 169.
— pygmaeus, 231, 418.
Phalaropus hyperboreus, 223, 381, 425.
Phaleris microceros, 478.
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Phasianus colchicus, 220, 394.
— monogonicus, 304.
— torquatus, 435.
Philemon lessoni, 507, 520, 543.
— velateri, 140, 142.
— yulturinus, 140.
Phlegmacias, 146, 344.
— johannae, 144, 146, 473.
— margarethae, 146.
Phodilus, 132.
Phoenicoparra, 389.
Phoenicopterus roseus, 227.
Pholidauges Terreauxi, 205, 334.
Phyllastrephus rufescens, 602.
Phylloramis icterocephala, 69.
— sonnerati, 59.
— tiridis, 59.
— pluvialis, 209, 375.
— nitidus, 109.
— rufus, 214, 375.
— sibilatrix, 375.
— eupereiliosus, 432.
— tristis, 108.
— trochilus, 214, 254, 375.
— tytleri, 279.
Physococax monedulae, 520, 543.
Phytomma tridactyla, 388.
Pica caudata, 219, 377.
— rustic, 111, 410.
Piconedes, 388, 389.
Picus, sp., 33, 40.
— aurantiiventris, 29.
— auritus, 22, 43.
— bicolor, 43.
— canicapillus, 21, 39.
— canifrons, 34, 35.
— canus, 200.
— cinereigula, 45.
— cissa, 374, 423, 427.
— flavinotus, 27.
— freiger, 21, 23.
— gymnophthalmus, 23, 47, 48.
— hardwickii, 21, 22.
— kaleensis, 32.
Picus kizuki, 23, 36.
— kogera, 37.
— leptorhynchus, 423.
— leucomotus, 209, 374.
— leucopterus, 423.
— maculatus, 26, 28.
— major, 209, 423, 427, 428.
— var., var. cissa, 427, 428.
— martius, 209, 373.
— medius, 418.
— menicus, 23, 26.
— minor, 26, 42.
— var., var. 47.
— mittelli, 21, 30, 31.
— moluccensis, 21, 26, 30, 42, 45.
— var., var. 47.
— nanus, 21, 22, 23, 27, 38, 39, 47, 48.
— nepalensis, 31.
— otarius, 23.
— pipra, 374.
— pygmaeus, 22, 25, 30, 31.
— pyrrhochroa, 602.
— rubricatus, 22, 25.
— semicoronatus, 22, 25.
— sindianus, 102, 423.
— sondaicus, 21, 43.
— syriacus, 423.
— syriacus-leucopterus, 423.
— temminckii, 23, 45, 49.
— thibaudi, 23, 45, 45.
— viridis, 209.
— zizuki, 30, 36.
Piezorhynchus brodiei, 472.
— melanophaeus, 172, 472.
— richardi, 136, 173.
— squamulatus, 136, 142, 472.
— verticalis, 137.
— vidua, 137, 142, 472.
Pinatornis plumosus, 251.
Pinicola enucleator, 378.
Pionias cyaniceps, 140.
— heterolitus, 140.
Pipra serena, 79.
— suavisima, 79.
Piprites chlorion, 78.
Pitangus bellicosus, 459.
Pitta boschii, 63.
— muelleri, 63.
Pityriasis gymnocephala, 334.
Platatea leucorodia, 93, 226, 370, 382.
Platycecorus auriceps, 398.
— eximius, 393.
— platyphalus coronatus, 58.
— platyrhyncha canarimimus, 78.
— coronatus, 78.
— mystaceus, 78.
— satoratus, 78.
— platysmurus leucopterus, 58.
Platorhynchus fulviven- tris, 465.
Plegadis falcinellus, 224.
Plocepasser mahali, 351.
Plota, 335, 418.
— levallanti, 368, 418.
— melanogaster, 203.
Pycetolophus roseicapil- lus, 393.
Pnodepyga, 461.
— longicaudata, 342.
Podica petersi, 367.
Podiceps —, 2, 578.
— arritus, 369.
— cornutus, 231, 369.
— cristatus, 231, 369.
— fluvatilis, 125.
— gularis, 392, 537, 541.
— holbii, 463.
— minor, 232.
— minutus, 369.
— nigricolla, 231, 369.
— philippensis, 369, 436.
— rubricolla, 231, 383.
Pecilodryas albificacies, 468, 602.
Pogonorchynx abyssini- cus, 388.
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Pogonorynchus torquatus, 248.
Pogonornis, 394, 395, — cineta, 394.
Polemistra, 81.
Polyaetus, 594.
— ichthyacetus, 90.
Polybiarax insignis, 457.
Polyopiza gularis, 358.
Polychlorus magnus, 526.
Polyphasia nigra, 87, — tenuirostris, 87.
Polyplectron schleiermacheri, 171.
Pomarea, 136.
— castaneiventris, 135, 136, 142.
— nigra, 136.
— richardsi, 136, 142.
— ugiensis, 136, 142, 339.
Pomatorhinus austeni, 602.
— montanus, 68.
Pomatorhynchos erythropterus, 72.
Porphyrio, 397.
— bellus, 536.
— cterulcus, 186, 227.
— calvus, 186.
— melanotus, 392, 400, 536.
— poliocephalus, 94, 122.
— vitiensis, 536, 544.
Porzana bailloui, 123.
— ceylonica, 190.
— cinerea, 188, 189.
— marquetta, 366.
— parva, 123.
Pratincola caprata, 106.
— indica, 272, 276.
— macrorhyncha, 106.
— maura, 106, 272, 276.
— moussieri, 564.
— robusta, 272.
— rubetra, 211, 375, 564.
— rubicola, 211, 564.
— torquata, 252.
Prinia familiaris, 61.
Prionops talaoma, 263, 264.
Procellaria antarctica, 601.
— leucomelas, 466.
Propasser, 283, 284.
Propasser blythi, 268, 283, 284.
— frontalis, 283.
— rhodochrons, 469.
— rhodopeplus, 283, 284.
— thura, 283, 284.
Prothalamida, 303.
— novae-zealandiae, 397.
Psaltria exilis, 68.
Pseudophipps, 303.
Pycnonotus bimaculatus, 68.
— brunneus, 60.
— layardi, 250.
— pusillus, 342.
— simplex, 60, 342.
Pycnonotus fringilinns, 603.
Pycnonotus bimaculatus, 68.
— brunneus, 60.
— layardi, 250.
— pusillus, 342.
— simplex, 60, 342.
Pycnonotus fasciolatus, 68.
Pycnonotus bimaculatus, 68.
— brunneus, 60.
— layardi, 250.
— pusillus, 342.
— simplex, 60, 342.
Pycnonotus michaelsen, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
Pycnonotus sinuatus, 68.
Pycnonotus fuscus, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
Pycnonotus michaelsen, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
Pycnonotus fuscus, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
Pycnonotus michaelsen, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
Pycnonotus michaelsen, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
Pycnonotus michaelsen, 603.
Pycnonotus flavifrons, 68.
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Rhipidura bulgeri, 513, 543.
— cockerelli, 142, 145, 473.
— flabellifera, 396.
— motacilloides, 473.
— perlata, 59.
— rubrofrontata, 472.
— rufofrontata, 142.
— russata, 137, 142, 472.
— saturata, 172.
— sharpei, 172.
— tenebrosa, 603.
— tricolor, 142, 145, 473.
— veereauxi, 512, 543.
Rhizotropha longirostris, 4.
Rhodopetes diardi, 53.
— — erythrognatus, 57.
Rhyncocine bengalensis, 15.
— capensis, 366.
— rhynchofalco, 158.
Rhynchocyon rufescens, 456.
Rissa, 389.
Rollulus roulroul, 4, 65.
Rostramus leucopygus, 456.
— plumbeus, 455, 456.
— sociabilis, 456.
— — var. plumbeus, 456.
Ruticilla alaschanica, 421.
— aurore, 432.
— erythronota, 107, 277, 421.
— fuliginosa, 278, 432.
— hodgsoni, 277.
— phoenicurus, 211, 375, 565.
— rufiventris, 107, 277.
— tithys, 565.
Sarcidiornis melanotus, 91, 367.
Sarcorhamphus gryphys, 178.
Sasia, 20, 389.
Sauromartis cyanophrys, 176.
— — tyro, 176.
Saxicola, 479.
— — albonigra, 106.
— — aurita, 562.
Saxicola capistrata, 421.
— — deserti, 107.
— — erythræa, 563.
— — finsi, 107.
— — galtoni, 252.
— — halophila, 563.
— — hundersoni, 276, 277.
— — homochroa, 484.
— — infuscata, 263.
— — isabellina, 107, 211, 417.
— — leucoma, 407, 563.
— — leveura, 551, 562.
— — lugens, 550, 562, 563.
— — melanoleuca, 107.
— — montana, 107.
— — morio, 107, 211, 276, 277, 407.
— — oenanthe, 211, 268, 375.
— — opisthoteuca, 276, 421.
— — picata, 106, 276.
— — pilcata, 252.
— — schlezheimeri, 457.
— — seebohmi, 563.
— — shellyi, 170.
— — stapazina, 484.
— — vittata, 268, 277.
Scolopax poliopsis, 235.
Schizorhiss concolor, 245.
Schœniolus australis, 288, 533, 544.
Scolopax gallinago, 224, 382.
— gallinula, 224, 382.
— major, 224, 382.
— rusticula, 120, 224, 382.
— totanus, 365.
Scops asio, 335.
— — asio bendirei, 335.
— — brucci, 269.
— — giu, 209.
— — lempigi, 53.
— — passerina, 373.
— — penatus, 100.
— — stictonotus, 235.
Scopus umbretta, 364, 535.
Scotocerca inquieta, 108.
Seleucides nigrigibris, 168.
Sericornis fulviventeris, 172.
Serpentarius secretarius, 237.
Seriphophaga nigricans, 459.
Setophaga laevis, 461.
— — torquata, 461.
Sharpia angolensis, 354.
— — ayresi, 353, 354.
Sibia capistrata, 272.
— — melanoleuca, 461.
Sigmoglaeus retzii, 264.
Simorhynchus cristatus, 368.
Siphia banyunias, 68.
Sitta ——, 569.
— — europaea, 550.
— — neumeyeri, 410, 422.
— — syriaca, 103, 410, 422.
— — uralensis, 377.
Somateria mollissima, 383.
— — spectabilis, 384.
Spathura solstitialis, 168.
Spatula eypeata, 124, 436.
— — rynchotis, 538, 544.
Sphenocichla, 461.
— — humii, 461.
— — roberti, 461.
Sphenacia punctatus, 53.
Spilornis bacha, 52.
— pallidus, 52, 53.
— — rutterfordi, 235.
— — orientalis, 370.
— — spizella breweri, 336.
— — sporupipes squamifrons, 331.
— — squatorola helvetica, 7.
— — steatornis, 390.
— — stelleria dispar, 466.
— — steroeocarbus buffoni, 386.
— — — crepidatus, 386.
— — — pomatorhinos, 386.
— — sterna anglica, 230.
— — — antarctica, 401.
— — — bergii, 140, 144, 201, 540, 544.
— — — cantiaca, 230.
— — — caspia, 230, 401.
— — — fissipes, 401.
— — — frontalis, 401.
— — — gracilis, 144, 540, 544.
— — — hierundo, 230, 418.
— — — hybrida, 231.
Sterna lunata, 144.
— macrura, 385.
— melanauchen, 540, 544.
— minuta, 231.
— nigra, 231.
— seena, 201.
— sumatrana, 202.

Sternum, 476.
— nereis, 476, 540.
— placens, 476, 540.

Tachypetes aquaticus, 542, 544.
— minor, 71.

Tadorna casarca, 228.
— cornuta, 223.
— vulpanser, 92.

Tanaioptera irupero, 459.
— nengeta, 459.

Tallegallus, 171.
— brujini, 171.

Tantulus leucochael, 93.

Tanysiptera dana, 602.

Telephus erythropterus, 262.
— trivirgatus, 262.

Temenuchus pagodarum, 281.

Tetrao bonasia, 380.
— tetrix, 220, 380.

Tetraogallus bimalayanensis, 425.

Textor erythromelas, 352.

Thalasseus pelecanoides, 541, 544.

Thamnobia cinnamomeiventris, 251.
— shelleyi, 251.

Thinocorus, 390.

Thripoxon javensis, 54.

Tiphlythus amazonicus, 342.
— bairdi, 342.
— caribbaenius, 544.

Tinamotis, 388.

Tinnunculus, 201, 342.
— alaudarius, 99.
— caribbaenius, 486, 491.
— cenchris, 411.
— rupicola, 239.
— sparrowioides, 291.
— sparverius, 291.
— tinnunculoides, 239.

Toceus flavirostris, 245.
— monteiri, 457.

Todiramphus chloris, 440.

Totanus calidris, 122, 222, 266, 289, 381.
— canescens, 70, 365.
— fusca, 222, 381.
— giareola, 17, 486, 491.
— gottis, 70, 122, 223, 289, 380.
— hypoleucus, 122, 381, 435.
— ocerops, 122, 223, 381.
— stagnatilis, 222, 578.

Trachyphonus cafer, 249.
— versicolor, 602.

Treron delalandii, 358.
— griseicauda, 67.
— pulvulenta, 67.

Trichoglossus deplanchei, 525.
— diadema, 526, 534.
— goldie, 467.
— massena, 143, 525, 543.
— rubrigrarius, 347.

Tringa, 478.
— acuticapa, 266, 288, 287.
— alpina, 224, 288, 381.
— canutus, 381.
— cinerea, 382.
— maritima, 381.
— minuta, 17, 121, 224, 288, 381.
— subarquata, 224, 288, 381.
— temmincki, 224, 266, 288, 382.

Tringoides hypoleucus, 18, 144, 289.

Trisurus auritus, 42, 44.
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Trochalopterura erythroilaema, 602.
   — lineatum, 272, 281.
   — melanostigma, 461.
Trogloxytes, 342.
   — europaeus, 215, 568.
   — neglectus, 271.
   — pallidus, 421.
   — parvulus, 377.
Trogon arina, 75.
Turdus, 335.
   — atrogularis, 105, 271, 272.
   — bonapartei, 335.
   — bodgsoni, 335.
   — bitorquata, 66.
   — cambayensis, 117, 286.
   — capicola, 359.
   — chinensis, 435.
   — ferrago, 286.
   — humilis, 286, 287.
   — risorius, 118.
   — rupicola, 435.
   — semitorquatus, 359.
   — senegalensis, 359, 577.
   — suratensis, 286.
   — tigrinus, 475.
   — tranquebaricus, 286.
Tylas alfredi, 342.
   — fulviventris, 342.
Tyranus bellicosus, 164.
Upupa africana, 245.
   — epops, 103, 210, 337, 560.
Uraginathus granatinus, 333.
   — phoenicotis, 355.
Uria brunnichi, 369, 383.
   — grylle, 383.
Urobrachya zanzipicara, 73.
Urociscus sinensis, 434.
Urolestes cissoides, 260.
Uropsila leucogastra, 342.
Urospizias approximans, 499, 543.
   — dampieri, 453, 454.
   — etorques, 126, 127, 141, 453, 454.
   — griseigaralis, 127.
   — haplochron, 498, 500, 543.
   — torquata, 500, 543.
Vanellus cristatus, 120.
Vidua, 182.
   — paradisea, 334.
   — regia, 334.
Vireo amaurotonotus, 461.
   — carnioli, 461.
   — ochraceus, 461.
   — pallens, 461.
Vireolanius, 78.
   — chlorogaster, 77.
   — eximius, 78.
   — icterophrys, 77.
   — leucotis, 77, 78.
   — pulchellus, 78.
Vultur fulvus, 205, 557.
   — monachus, 98, 267, 268.
   — percopterus, 205, 557.
Xantholoxa malabarica, 470.
Xenochyla albigranalis, 342.
Xylolepes validus, 54.
Yungipeps temminkei, 50.
Yungipicus, 28.
   — aurantiventer, 29.
   — auritus, 42.
   — canicapillus, 39.
   — fusco-albidus, 28.
   — gymnoptalonus, 47.
   — hardwickii, 46.
   — kaleensis, 32.
   — kisuki, 36.
   — maculatus, 27.
   — mitchelli, 31.
   — moluccensis, 42.
   — nanus, 38, 46.
   — pygmaeus, 31.
   — rubricatus, 25.
   — selnetia, 31.
   — seminilliceps, 34.
   — semiconus, 25.
   — temminckii, 50.
   — trisulensis, 31, 39.
   — validirostris, 27.
   — variegatus, 46.
Zamelodia, 183.
Zanclostomus javanicus, 54.
   — tristis, 87.
Zenaida martiniaca, 486, 492.
Zosterops, 135, 397.
   — delicatula, 468.
   — flava, 66.
   — griseonota, 508, 543.
   — hypoxantha, 176.
   — javanica, 66.
   — literalis, 393, 397.
   — montana, 66.
   — olivacea, 135.
   — rendove, 135, 142.
   — xanthochroa, 508, 543.
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1877–1882.

Acipiter nisus (see Gurney, J. H.); stevensoni (see Gurney, J. H.).
'Acipiter,' 'Notes on Sharpe's Catalogue of the' (see Gurney, J. H.).
Actinura oglei (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).
Adams, E., on the birds of Michalaski, 1878, 420.
Adamson, 0. M., 'Scraps about Birds,' noticed, 1882, 457.
"Ægintha," bird-show of the (see Reichenow, A.).
Ægithina viridissima (see Tweeddale, Marquis of).
Afghanistan, fauna of (see St. John, Major); on the birds of Southern
(see Swinhoe, Lieut.-Col.); ornithological notes from, No. I. (see Ramsay,
R. G. Wardlaw).
'Africa,' 'Equatorial' (see Compiègne, Marquis de).
Africa, birds from Central (see Pielzeln, A.); from East (see Cabanis, J., and
Fischer, G. A., and Reichenow, A.); from South-eastern (see Shelley, G. E.);
from West (see Bocage, J. V. Barboza du); of (see Bocage, J. V.
Barboza du); of Eastern Equatorial (see Hartlaub, G.).
Africa, new birds from (see Hartlaub, G.); from Central (see Hartlaub, G.);
from East, 1880, 145; from East (see Shelley, G. E.); from Eastern, 1880,
381.
Africa, ornithology of (see Bocage, J. V.
Barboza du); birds of South (see Layard, E. L.); of South (see Holub,
E.).
'Africa' 'Reise in Nordost' (see Heuglin, Th. v.).
African birds in Europe (see D'Hamonville, C.): nineteenth list of
West (see Bocage, J. V. Barboza du).
Agapornis swinderiæana (see Hartlaub, G.).
Agassiz, A., Report on the Museum of Comparative Zoology, noticed, 1881,
279; 1882, 333.
Agelæus thilius (see Gibson, E.).
Alaska, birds of the Seal-Islands of (see Elliott, H. W.).
Albany Museum (see Glanville, B. J.).
Alca impennis, two eggs discovered of, 1880, 380.
Aleidae, catalogue of the (see Barrows, W. B.).
Aldabra Island, Land-Rail from (see Günther, A.).
Allen's 'Birds of Lake Titicaca,' noticed, 1877, 119; 'Progress of Ornithology
in the United States,' noticed, 1877, 381.
Alston, E. R., letter on the Petchora expedition of H. Seebohm and Harvie-
Brown, 1877, 302; death of, announced, 1881, 290.
Amblyornis inornata (see Beccari, O.).
America, new birds from South (see Berlepsch, H. v.).
American birds in Europe (see Dalgleish, J. J.); ornithological bibli-
ography (see Coues, E.); list of birds of North (see Ridgway R.).
Analcipus consanguineus (see Ramsay, R. G. Wardlaw).
Anas gracilis (see Hutton, Professor); spectabilis (see Gätke, H.).
Anatomy and classification of birds, on
Prof. Garrod's contributions to the
(see Forbes, W. A.).
Anatomy of birds, notes on the (see Haswell, W. A.).
Anderson, A., death of, 1878, 492.
Anderson's, J., 'Zoology of Yunnan,' noticed, 1880, 132.
'Andes and the Amazon' (see Orton, James).
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'Angola,' 'Ornithology of' (see Bocage, J. V. Barbosa du); Part II. (see Bocage, Barbosa du).

Ann Arbor, mammals and birds of (see Steere, J. B.).

Anomalus (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).

Anser ruficolus, occurrence in France of (see Leraetteil).

Antilloceratops fraterculus (see Elliot, D. G.).

Antilus (see Sclater, P. L., and Brooks, W. E.); gustavi (see Tweeddale, Marquis of); seebohmi (see Seebohm, H.).

Antigua, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Antilles, expedition to the (see Ober).

Aplonis rufipennis, a new species from Vate Island (see Layard, E. L.).

Aquila culleni (see Taylor, E. C.); penna (see Bureau, L.).

Archaeopteryx macrura (see Vogt, C.).

Ardea lansbergii (see Schlegel, H.); sacra (see Layard, E. L.).

Armadure, list of the birds of (see Dalgleish, J. J.).

Armin boucardi (see Mulsant, E.).

Armenia, journey through Southern (see Tristram, H. B.).

Armit's, W. E., paper on two Australian Poephilus, noticed, 1878, 473.

Artamia bernieri (see Schlegel, H.).

Artamus leueorhynchus (see Tweeddale, Marquis of).

Ascension Island, birds' skins and eggs from (see Penrose, F. G.).

Asia, 'Birds of.' (see Gould, John.).

Asin Minor, ornithology of (see Danford, C. G.).

Asiac Turkey,' remarks on Mr. G. Geary's, 1879, 120.

Asio butleri (see Tristram, H. B.).

Askold, birds from the Island of (see Bolau, H., and Taczanowski, M.).

Astrabad (see St. John, O. B. C.).

Astrakhan, birds of (see Seebohm, H.).

Atthis ettioni (see Ridgway, R.).

Australia, remarks on some birds from (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Avium Systema Naturale,' proposed Index to Reichenbach's, 1879, 380.

Ayres, T., on Transvaal ornithology, 1877, 359; 1878, 281, 406; 1879, 255, 369; 1880, 90, 207.

'Bahamas,' 'Birds of the' (see Cory, C. B.).

Baird's 'Ornithology of Utah,' noticed, 1877, 384.

Baldwin's 'Large and Small Game of Bengal,' noticed, 1877, 372.

Balfour, J. B., birds collected by him during his expedition to Socotra, 1880, 380.

Ball's, V., 'Jungle-Life in India,' noticed, 1880, 239.

Barbuda, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Barrows's, W. B., catalogue of the Alcidae in the Boston Museum, noticed, 1879, 353.

Batracostomus (see Blanford, W. T., and Hume, A. O.); letter on the genus (see Tweeddale, Marquis of).

'Besides and Curious Birds' (see Cory, C. B.).

Beccari, O., paper on Amblyornis inornata, noticed, 1877, 379; ornithological letter from Sumatra, noticed, 1879, 353.

'Belgique,' 'Faune Illustree des Vertébres de la,' (see Dubois, A.).

Bennett, K. H., paper on the habits of Gypoptinia melanosternon, noticed, 1882, 164.

Berlepsch, Hans Graf von, paper on new American birds, noticed, 1879, 475; on new and described South-American birds, 1880, 112; extract from a letter to Mr. A. Dubois concerning Chlorophonia cyanodoralis, 1881, 179; on some necessary changes in the nomenclature of South-American birds, 1881, 239.

Bernicla ruficolUs (see Gurney, J. H., jun.).

Biddulph, John, on the birds of Gilgit, 1881, 55, 1882, 266; description of a new Finch from Yarkand (Propasser rhodometopus), 1881, 156.

Bigg-Wither, T. P., notes on the habits of Trogon surucura, extracted from his 'Three Years of Forest and Prairie Life' &c., 1879, 113.

Biography of ornithologists (see Reichenow, A., and Schalow, H.).

'Biologia Centrali-Americana' (see Godman, F. D., and Salvin, O.).

'Birds of Celebes' (see Brüggemann, F.).

'Birds of Europe,' completion of Dres-
Bolivia, two new Humming-birds from (see Gould, J.).

Booth, B. S., an account of a second discovery of Moa-bones at Hamilton, noticed, 1878, 103.

Borneo, birds from (see Blasius, W., and Nehrkorn, A., and Pelzeln, A.); from S.E. (see Brüggemann, Dr. F.); collection of birds from (see Blasius, W.); ornithology of (see Sharpe, R. B.); ornithology of Central (see Dr. F. Brüggemann).

Boucard’s ‘Catalogus Avium,’ noticed, 1877, 125; list of birds collected in Guatemala, noticed, 1879, 214.

Bouru, new Hawk from (see Salvadori, T.).

Brachyurus, note on, 1877, 260.

Bradypterus platyurus (see Seebohm, H).

Brandt’s, A., ‘Catalogue of Works relating to Mammals and Birds of Russia,’ noticed, 1878, 190.

Braun’s, M., paper on the development of Parrots (Melopsittacus undulatus), noticed, 1881, 474.

Brazier, J., paper on Megapodius brazieri, noticed, 1882, 165.

Brazil, North-eastern (see Forbes, W. A.).

Bremen Museum, rare birds of the (see Hartlaub, G.).

Brewer, T. M., note on Snowy Owls, 1877, 131; letter on the nest and eggs of Dendroica auduboni, 1877, 394; letter on some Texan birds, 1878, 116; notes on the nesting of Zonotrichia coronata, 1878, 117; letter on some American birds, 1878, 204; paper on New-England birds, noticed, 1878, 469; letter on birds from South-western Texas, 1878, 487; letter on Podiceps cristatus, 1879, 112; letter on the eggs of Tringa subarquata, 1879, 375; paper on the nests and eggs of the North-American Empidonax, noticed, 1880, 132; death of, 1880, 254; paper on the birds of New England, noticed, 1880, 366.

‘Bright Feathers,’ Parts I. and II. (see Rathbun, F. R.); Parts III. and IV., noticed, 1882, 604.

British birds, list of (see Wharton, H. T.).

British birds, proposed B. O. U. list of, 1878, 386.

British Guiana, birds from (see Salvin, O., and Godman, F. D.).

British Guiana (see Brown, C. B.).

British Museum, additions to the collection of birds in the, 1877, 323;

Bolivia, two new Humming-birds from (see Gould, J.).

Booth, B. S., an account of a second discovery of Moa-bones at Hamilton, noticed, 1878, 103.

Borneo, birds from (see Blasius, W., and Nehrkorn, A., and Pelzeln, A.); from S.E. (see Brüggemann, Dr. F.); collection of birds from (see Blasius, W.); ornithology of (see Sharpe, R. B.); ornithology of Central (see Dr. F. Brüggemann).

Boucard’s ‘Catalogus Avium,’ noticed, 1877, 125; list of birds collected in Guatemala, noticed, 1879, 214.

Bouru, new Hawk from (see Salvadori, T.).

Brachyurus, note on, 1877, 260.

Bradypterus platyurus (see Seebohm, H).

Brandt’s, A., ‘Catalogue of Works relating to Mammals and Birds of Russia,’ noticed, 1878, 190.

Braun’s, M., paper on the development of Parrots (Melopsittacus undulatus), noticed, 1881, 474.

Brazier, J., paper on Megapodius brazieri, noticed, 1882, 165.

Brazil, North-eastern (see Forbes, W. A.).

Bremen Museum, rare birds of the (see Hartlaub, G.).

Brewer, T. M., note on Snowy Owls, 1877, 131; letter on the nest and eggs of Dendroica auduboni, 1877, 394; letter on some Texan birds, 1878, 116; notes on the nesting of Zonotrichia coronata, 1878, 117; letter on some American birds, 1878, 204; paper on New-England birds, noticed, 1878, 469; letter on birds from South-western Texas, 1878, 487; letter on Podiceps cristatus, 1879, 112; letter on the eggs of Tringa subarquata, 1879, 375; paper on the nests and eggs of the North-American Empidonax, noticed, 1880, 132; death of, 1880, 254; paper on the birds of New England, noticed, 1880, 366.

‘Bright Feathers,’ Parts I. and II. (see Rathbun, F. R.); Parts III. and IV., noticed, 1882, 604.

British birds, list of (see Wharton, H. T.).

British birds, proposed B. O. U. list of, 1878, 386.

British Guiana, birds from (see Salvin, O., and Godman, F. D.).

British Guiana (see Brown, C. B.).

British Museum, additions to the collection of birds in the, 1877, 323;
1879, 115; announcement of vol. iv. of the catalogue of birds in the, 1879, 121; report on its ornithological acquisitions in 1878, 1879, 327; acquisitions in the class of birds in 1879-80, 1880, 379; additions to the collections of birds in the, 1879, 499; vol. iv. of the catalogue of the birds in (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Brooke, A. B., letter on Columba cenas, 1879, 112.

Brooks, W. Edwin, letter on Phylloscopus viridanus and Acrocephalus turdoides, 1877, 396; note on Phylloscopus plumbeatus, 1880, 382; note on the Milvus govinda of Sykes, 1879, 282; on Authus and Budytes, 1877, 206.

Brotogeris ferrugineifrons (see Lawrence, G. N.).


Brown, Rev. G., extract of a letter from, 1878, 379.

Brijggemann (Dr. F.), 'Birds of Celebes,' noticed, 1877, 126; account of a collection of birds from S.E. Borneo, noticed, 1878, 108; account of new collections of birds from Celebes, noticed, 1878, 108; memoir on the ornithology of Central Borneo, noticed, 1878, 377; death of, 1878, 398.

Buarremen, descriptions of four new species of (see Sclater, P. L., and Salvin, O.).

'Buceconidae and Galbulidae,' monograph of the (see Sclater, P. L.).

Buceros bicornis, remarks on (see Elliot, D. G.); montani (see Oustalet, E.).

Bucerotidae, monograph of the (see Elliot, D. G.).

Bucorvus pyrrhops (see Elliot, D. G.).

Budytes (see Brooks, W. E.).

Buenos Ayres (see Durnford, H., and Gibson, E.); ornithological notes from (see Gibson, E.).

Buller, W. H., paper on the ornithology of New Zealand, noticed, 1878, 102; Diomedea cauta added to the New Zealand list, 1878, 102; paper on the occurrence of Platalea regia in New Zealand, noticed, 1878, 102; paper on a species of Shag (Phalaenorocorax finschi) inhabiting Queen Charlotte Sound, noticed, 1878, 102; paper on a tendency to deformity in the bill of Nestor meridionalis, noticed, 1878, 102; paper on the alleged inter-crossing of Ocydromus earli and the Domestic Fowl, noticed, 1878, 102; eight papers on the birds of New Zealand, noticed, 1878, 484; paper on Eudynamis taitensis; remarks on a species of Lestris, and note on Larus bulleri, noticed, 1880, 367; paper on Hirundo nigricans; paper on the occurrences of rare and new species of birds in New Zealand; paper on the ornithology of New Zealand, noticed, 1880, 368; note on Harpa nova-zealandiae, 1881, 453; new edition of his 'Birds of New Zealand,' announcement of, 1881, 498; 'Manual of the Birds of New Zealand,' noticed, 1882, 599.

Burbridge's, F. W., 'Gardens of the Sun,' noticed, 1881, 281.

Bureau's paper on Aquila pennata, noticed, 1877, 245; paper on the beak of Fratercula arctica, noticed, 1878, 475; paper on the moult in birds, noticed, 1880, 369.

Burmia, notes on some birds from (see Ramsay, W.); ornithology of British (see Oates, E. W.).

Bursa fabricii (see Stieda, L.).

Bustard, Little, migrations of (see Phillippe-Wolley, C.).

Buteo (see Gurney, J. H.); desertorum (see Gurney, J. H.).

Buxton, E. C., death of, 1878, 493.

Cabanis's, J., memoir on East-African birds, noticed, 1879, 354.

Cage-birds (see Reichemow, A.).

Calliste (see Pelzeln, A. von).

Calochsetes (see Sclater, P. L.).

Calornis purpureiceps (see Salvadori, T.).

'Cameos from the Silver-Land,' vol. i. (see White, E. W.).

Camerano's, L., paper on the anatomy of Nasiterna pusio, noticed, 1878, 378.

Campbell Island, Cormorant from (see Hutton, F. W.).

Campbell, Lord George, 'Log-letters from the 'Challenger,' noticed, 1877, 374.

Campbell's, W. D., paper on the nesting of Glaucoptis cinerea, noticed, 1881, 158.

'Canadian Birds of Prey' (see Vennon, H. G.).

Capercaille in Scotland (see Harvie-Brown, J. A.).

Caprimulgus unwinii (see Blanford, W. T.).

'Cariibbees,' 'Camps in the' (see Ober, F. A.).
Carl's, L., essay on the variations in the skull of the Domestic Pigeon, noticed, 1878, 486.

Carpophaga nova-zealandiae (see Kirk, T. W.); rufigula (see Salvadori, T.).

Carus's, J. V., 'Zoologischer Anzeiger,' announcement of, 1878, 491.

Casuarius, description of a species of, from New Guinea (see Meyer, A. B.).

Casuarius (see Salvadori, T.); beccarii (see Pelzeln, A.); the egg of (see Pelzeln, A.).

'Catalogue of the Birds in the collections of the British Museum,' three next volumes of the, announced, 1881, 179; vol. iv. (see Sharpe, R. B.).

'Catalogue of the Turdidae and Sylviidae in the British Museum, announced, 1880, 255.

'Catalogus Avium' (see Boucard, A.).

'Caucasus,' 'Birds of the' (see Bogdanow).

Celebes (see Fischer, G.); 'Birds of' (see Brüggemann, F.); birds of (see Meyer, A. B.).

Central Asia, red-tailed Shrikes of (see Taczanowski).

Cerionris blythi (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).

'Ceylon,' 'Birds of' (see Legge, V.); ornithology of (see Layard, E. L.).

Chasorhynchus papuensis (see Meyer, A. B.).

Chatable, new species of (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Chalcopsittacus bruijni (see Salvadori, T.).

'Crimmer Expedition,' 'Birds of the' (see Sclater, P. L.); volume on the birds of the, announced, 1880, 255; 'Log-letters from the' (see Campbell, Lord George); Naturalist on the (see Moseley, H. N.); 'The Cruise of the' (see Spry, W. J. J.).

'China,' 'Birds of' (see David, A., and Oustalet, E.); 'Third Journey in' (see David, A.).

Chlamydodera orientalis (see Gould, J.).

Chloropsis auriceps (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).

Chlorophonia cyanodorsalis (see Berlepsch, Hans Graf von).

Chrysotis (see Sclater, P. L.); apophana (see Sclater, P. L.); caeligena (see Lawrence, G. N.); lactifrons (see Lawrence, G. N.); nichollsi (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Cicconia nigra, breeding-places of, 1879, 118.

Cinnyridæ, monograph of the, pts. ii.–x. (see Shelley, G. E.).

Cistothorus brunniceps (see Salvin, O.).


Classification of birds (see Selys-Longchamps, d.e).

Clifton, Lord, letter on Saxicola cananthes, 1877, 256; letter on some British birds, 1879, 368; letter with notes on the birds of Iceland, 1879, 371.

Clytoceyx rex (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Cochin-China, birds of Lower (see Tiraunt, G.); list of a collection of raptorial birds from (see Gurney, J. H.).

Colchagua, zoology of the Province of (see Reed, E. C.).

Coliopterae (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Collett, R., 'Contributions to Norwegian Ornithology,' noticed, 1878, 190; on two collections of birds from Madagascar, 1878, 191; on new Norwegian birds, 1878, 191, 1879, 477; memoir on the Norwegian avifauna, noticed, 1879, 476; zoogeographical map of Norway, noticed, 1879, 476; on new Norwegian birds; on the ear-formation of the North-European Owls, noticed, 1882, 458.

Collocaea (see Salvadori, T.).

Colombia, birds from (see Salvin, O., and Godman, F. D.).

Colorado, birds of the Valley of the (see Coues, E.).

Columba enas (see Brooke, A. B., and Dalgleish, J. J.).

'Columbarium,' reprint of Moore's (see Tegetmeier, W. B.).

Comparative zoology, report on the museum of (see Agassiz, A.).

'Compendium' (see Reichenow, A., and Schalow, H.).

Complègne's 'Équatorial Africa,' noticed, 1877, 118.

Connecticut, birds of (see Merriam, C. H.).

Conistraupis (see Sclater, P. L.).

Constantine, birds of the Province of (see Dixon, C.).

Conurus egregius (see Sclater, P. L.).

Cooperastur (see Gurney, J. H., and Salvadori, T.).

Cope, Prof., account of the remains of Diatryma gigantea and Vultur umbrosus, noticed, 1878, 477.

Coracias, new African species of (see Bocage, J. V. Barboza du).
Coracopsis mascarina (see Forbes, W. A.).
Cordeaux, J., on the migration of birds, noticed, 1879, 212.
Cordova Museum, birds in the (see Weyenbergh, H.).
Cormorant-fishing in China, 1880, 375.
'Cornwall,' 'Birds of' (see Rodd, E. H.).
Corvidæ (see Elliot, D. G.).
Coryllis (see Franzell, A.).
Ooies's, E., field-notes on birds observed in Dakota and Montana, noticed, 1879, 354; first part of a new work on the birds of the Colorado Valley, noticed, 1879, 355; reprint of private letters of Wilson, Ord, and Bonaparte, noticed, 1879, 477; second instalment of American ornithological bibliography, noticed, 1880, 240; fourth instalment of American ornithological bibliography, noticed, 1881, 158.
Cowan, W. D., list of Madagascar birds, noticed, 1882, 459.
'Cranes,' 'Natural History of the' (see Tegetmeier, W. B., and Blyth, E.).
Crossoptilon harmani (see Elwes, H. J.).
Cruttwell's 'Table of the Animal Kingdom,' noticed, 1882, 459.
'Cuba,' 'Ornithology of' (see Gundlach, J.).
Cuculus audeberti (see Schlegel, H.).
Cyanalcyon quadricolor (see Oustalet, E.).
Cyanocorax, description of an apparently new species of (see Elliot, D. G.).
Cypselus horus (see Salvadori, T.).
Cyrtonyx sumichrasti (see Lawrence, G. N.).
Dakota and Montana, birds of (see Coues, E.).
D'Albertis, L. M., on birds from the Fly River, 1877, 363; 'New Guinea,' noticed, 1881, 282.
D'Albertis, L. M., and Salvadori, T., catalogue of birds of the Fly River collected by D'Albertis in 1876 and 1877, noticed, 1879, 482.
Dalgleish, J. J., letter on Columba oenas, 1878, 382; list of the birds of Ardnamurchan, noticed, 1879, 219; on American birds in Europe; on the occurrence of Saxicola deserti in Scotland, noticed, 1881, 476; on a collection of birds and eggs from Central Uruguay, noticed, 1882, 459.
Danford, C. G., letter on Tetraogallus, 1877, 253; contribution to the ornithology of Asia Minor, 1877, 261; 1878, 1; 1880, 81.
Danube and Pruth, birds of the Lower (see Radakoff, W. N.).
Darwin, birds from Port (see Masters, G.).
David's 'Third Journey in China,' noticed, 1877, 117.
Davies, W., extract from his article on fossil Asiatic Ostriches, 1880, 251.
Dendrocæa auduboni (see Brewer, T. M.).
Denmark, birds of (see Elwes, H. J.).
Desfontaines's Mémoire, reprint of (see Willughby Society).
Desiderata of the U.S. National Museum, list of the (see Ridgway, R.); list of special (see Ridgway, R.).
De longitudinalis's essay on the genus Florisuga, noticed, 1880, 240.
D'Hamonville, Catalogue of the birds of Europe, noticed, 1877, 238; on African birds captured in Southern Europe, noticed, 1882, 335.
Diatryma gigantea (see Cope, Prof.).
Dicruridæ (see Tweeddale, Marquis of).
Didus solitarius (see Owen, Prof.).
Diomedea cauta (see Buller, Dr.).
Dixon, C., notes on the birds of the Province of Constantine, 1882, 550.
Dole's, S. B., list of birds of the Hawaiian Islands, noticed, 1880, 240.
Dominica, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N.); new birds from the Island of (see Lawrence, G. N.).
Dresden Museum, guide to the (see Meyer, A. B.).
Dresser, H. E., list of European birds, noticed, 1881, 476; 'Birds of Europe,' pts. lxxxiii & lxxxiv, noticed, 1882, 459.
Dubois, A., 'Faune Illustre des Vertébrés de la Belgique,' noticed, 1877, 130; descriptions of Hypoxanthus aquaticus and Euplocamus sumatr anus, noticed, 1880, 133; remarks on additions to the avifauna of Belgium, noticed, 1881, 133; on certain Thrushes, noticed, 1882, 335.
Durnford, H., on birds of Patagonia, 1877, 27; on the birds of Buenos Ayres, 1877, 166; 1878, 58; notes on the birds of Central Patagonia, 1878, 389; death of, 1878, 493; 1879, 121;
last expedition to Tucuman and Salta, 1880, 411.
Dyke-Broad Museum, Brighton, noticed, 1878, 387.

Eclectus (see Forbes, W. A., and Meyer, A. B.); a few remarks on (see Salvadori, T.); polychlorus (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Ecuador, birds from (see Pelzeln, A. von); two new Wrrens from (see Salvin, O.).

Edoliosoma alterum (see Ramsay, R. G. Wardlaw); schisticeps (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Egypt, birds of (see Taylor, E. C.).

Elliot, D. G., on d'Orbigny's Trochilidae; in the Paris Museum, 1877, 133; remarks on Buceros bicornis, 1877, 416; letter on Rhinoplax vigil and Rhytidoeceros pictus, 1877, 458; notes on the Trochilidae, the genus Thaumatias, 1878, 35; remarks on certain species of Corvidae and Paradisaeidae, with a description of Anthracoceros fraterculus and Porphyrio edwardsi, noticed, 1878, 194; letter from, 1878, 124; description of Iolama luminosa, 1878, 188; on Phasianus ignitus, 1878, 411; on the genus Porphyrio and its species, noticed, 1879, 213; letter correcting a printer's error respecting Euplocamus ignitus, 1879, 221; 'Classification of the Trochilidae,' noticed, 1879, 479.


Elliot's 'Monograph of the Bucero- tide,' Part I., noticed, 1877, 376; Parts II., VI., noticed, 1878, 474; Part VI., noticed, 1880, 133; Parts VII. and VIII., noticed, 1881, 159; 'List of Humming-birds,' noticed, 1880, 242.

Elliott, H. W., on bird-life in the Pribylov Islands, 1882, 477, 602.

Elves, H. J., field-notes on the birds of Denmark, 1880, 385; on a new Cross- tontilon (C. harmani), 1881, 389.

Emberiza melanocephala (see Gätke, H.).

Empidonax, nests and eggs of (see Brewer, T. M.).

Emu, tracheal sac of the (see Malm, A. W.).

Emu, osteology of (see Shufeldt, R. W.).

Ernst's, A., list of the birds of Venezuela, noticed, 1878, 192.

Erythropsia githaginea (see Irby, L. H.).

Erythrura, two apparently new species of (see Sclater, P. L.).

Eusilis (see Sclater, P. L.).

Eudes-Dealong-cheau's lists of the specimens of Paradisaeidae and Trochilidae in the Caen Museum, noticed, 1881, 477.

Eudynamis taitensis (see Buller, W. L.).

Euphides filholi (see Hutton, F. W.).

Euphonia alchepasta and Euphonia mesochrysa (see Salvadori, T.).

Euploecamus ignitus (see Elliot, D. G.); sumatranus (see Dubois, A.).

Europe, catalogue of the birds of (see D'Hammonville, J. C. L. T.); progress of Dresser's 'Birds of,' noticed, 1878, 387.

European birds, list of (see Dresser, H. E.).

Ewart, J. C., on the nostrils of the Cormorant, noticed, 1882, 355.

ExcaUfactoria lepida (see Hartlaub, G.).

Expeditions in progress and projected, report on, 1881, 180; accomplished and in progress, 1882, 479.

Eyeton, T. C., death of, 1881, 178.

Falco dickersoni, note on the name of, 1877, 260; peregrinus (see Gurney, J. H.); rufipes (see Gätke, H.).

Fanning Islands (see Streets, T. H.).

Feilden, H. W., list of birds observed in Smith Sound and Polar Basin, 1877, 401; letter on Rhodostethia rosea, 1878, 200; letter from, 1879, 104; letter on the breeding of Tringa subarquata in North Greenland, 1879, 486; note on the birds collected by A. H. Markham in Novaya Zemlya, noticed, 1881, 602.

Fern Islands, birds of the (see Gurney, J. H., Jun.).

Fiji Islands (see Salvadori, T.).

Finsch, O., letters from Western Siberia, 1877, 48; collections from Siberia, noticed, 1877, 456; on Ploceus russi and Pytelia melba, 1878, 376; and the Bremen Museum, 1878, 491; letter on Larus affinis, 1879, 111; expedition to the North Pacific, 1879, 114; ornithological letter from, 1879, 490; letters from the Pacific, no. 1, 1880, 75; no. 2, 1880, 218; no. 3, 1880, 329; no. 4, 1880, 429; paper on the birds of Western Siberia, noticed, 1880, 473; nos. 5 and 6, 1881, 102; no. 7, 1881, 245; no. 8, New Britain,
1881, 532; no. 9, 1882, 391; paper on Petroca keinschmidtii and Ptilotia xanthophrys, noticed, 1881, 159; news of, 1882, 480; letter confirming the death of collector Kleinschmidt, and giving information about his intended movements, 1881, 610; news of, 1882, 548, 612.

Finsch's 'Ornithology of the Pacific Islands,' Part II., noticed, 1877, 123; 'Journey to Western Siberia,' noticed, 1880, 242.

Fischer's, G., paper on Celebean birds, noticed, 1878, 377.

Fischer, G. A., and Reichenow's, A., list of birds obtained or observed in Zanzibar, noticed, 1879, 335; paper on a third collection of birds from East Africa, noticed, 1881, 283.


Florisuga fusca (see Deslongchamps).

Fly River, birds of the (see D'Albertis, L. M.; see D'Albertis, L. M., and Salvadori, T.).

Forbes, W. A., on the genus Eclectus, 1871, 274; on the nesting of Platalea leucorodia, 1877, 412; letter on some species of Garrulus, 1878, 491; on Coracopsis mascarina, 1879, 303; remarks on Dr. Gadow's papers on the digestive system of birds, 1880, 234; expedition to Brazil, 1880, 378; on Prof. Garrod's contribution to the anatomy and classification of birds, 1881, 1; letter with a list of the 'desiderata' for the 'Anatomy of Birds,' 1881, 174; on eleven weeks in North-eastern Brazil, 1881, 312; Garrod's collected papers, noticed, 1882, 166; on the variations from the normal structure of the foot in birds, 1882, 386; on a new species of Hemipode from New Britain, 1882, 428; report on the anatomy of the Tuihnares, noticed, 1882, 600.

Formicariidae (see Slater, P. L., and Salvadori, O.).

Formosa, new bird from (see Swinhoe, R.).

Frank, G. A., of Amsterdam, death of, 1880, 378.

Fratercula arctica (see Bureau).

Freke, P. E., on birds found in Europe and North America, noticed, 1881, 159.

Frenzel's, A., paper on the genus Corvyllis, noticed, 1880, 369.

Fuligula rufina (see Ridgway, R.).

Furnarius, on the genus (see Pelzeln, A.).

Gaboon, birds of (see Oustalet, E.).

Gadow, H., on the myology of the Ratitae, noticed, 1881, 478.

Galapagos, visit to the (see Wolf, T.).

Gallinula frankii (see Schlegel, H.), 'Game-birds of India,' vols. ii. and iii. (see Hume and Marshall).

Gannet (see Gurney, J. H., Jun.).

'Gardens of the Sun' (see Burbridge, F. W.).

Garrod, A. H., death of, 1880, 146; memorial of, announcement of a, 1880, 383.

'Garrod's collected Papers' (see Forbes, W. A.).

Garrulus (see Forbes, W. A.).

Gatke, H., letter on Larus affinis in Heligoland, 1878, 480; letter on a Phylloscopus obtained in Heligoland, 1879, 102; letter on Phylloscopus viridanus and Anas spectabilis, 1879, 220; letter on recent captures of birds in Heligoland, 1879, 378; record of Emberiza melanocephala and of Falco rufipes having been seen in Heligoland, 1879, 388.

'Gazelle' expedition, ornithological results of the, noticed, 1877, 239.

Geositta antarctica (see Landbeck, von).

'German Birds of Prey' (see Riesenthal, O. v.).

Germany, mammals and birds of (see Honneyer, E. F. von).

Geronticus harmandi (see Oustalet).

Gib阿尔特里亚, birds of (see Irby, L. H.).

Gibson, E., ornithological notes from Buenos Ayres, 1879, 405; 1880, 1, 153; letter correcting an error respecting Lichenops perspicillata and Agelus thilis, 1881, 607.

Gibson, J., on birds from the Straits of Magellan, noticed, 1879, 366.

Giglioli's, H. H., notes on the avifauna of Italy, 1881, 284; Catalogue of fish-eating birds in Italy, noticed, 1881, 283; Catalogue of Italian birds, noticed, 1882, 166.

Giglioli, H. H., and Manzella's, A., figures of Italian birds, noticed, 1880, 369.

Gilgit, birds of (see Biddulph, John); ornithology of (see Scully, J.).


Glaucopis cinerea, nesting of (see Campbell, W. D.).

Globicera (see Salvadori, T.).

Godeffroy Museum, 1830, 380.

Godman, F. D., and Salvin's, O., 'Biologia Centrali-Americana' (Zoology), noticed, Parts I. and II, 1880, 153;
Godwin-Austen, H. H., exploration of Tenasserim, announced, 1877, 385; on three new species of birds of the genera Pellorneum, Actinura, and Pomatorhinus from Assam, noticed, 1878, 105; on some species of Pellorneum, Pomatorhinus, and a variety of Chileanuisus ruficeps, noticed, 1878, 105; remarks on four skins of Indian birds, 1878, 115; on Turdinus nagensis and Staphidius plumbeiceps, noticed, 1878, 198; letter on Anorhinus, 1878, 206; letter on Ceriornis blutii, 1878, 206; list of birds from Northeast India, noticed, 1879, 94; letter on Hirundo tytleri, 1882, 345.


Gould's 'Birds of Asia', noticed. Part XXIX., 1877, 377; Part XXX., 1878, 109; Part XXXI., 1880, 134; Part XXXII., 1881, 160; Part XXXIII., 1882, 461; 'Birds of New Guinea,' noticed. Part IV., 1877, 377; Part V., 1878, 109; VI., VII., VIII., 1879, 94; Part IX., 1879, 356; Part X., 1880, 134; Part XI., 1880, 475; Part XII., 1882, 168; Part XIII., 1882, 601; 'Supplement to the Trochilidae,' noticed, Part I., 1880, 474; Part II., 1882, 167.

Grallaria, two new species of (see Selater, P. L.).

Grandidier, A., on Phodilus soumagnei, noticed, 1879, 95.

Gray, R., on eggs of the Great Auk (Alca impennis), noticed, 1882, 461; on rare Scottish birds, and on the occurrence of the Pin-tail Duck (Dafila acuta) in the outer Hebrides, noticed, 1882, 462.

Great Auk, eggs of the (see Gray, R.).

Greece, 'Fauna of' (see Heldreich, Th. De).

Greenland, birds of (see Reinhardt, J.).

Grenada, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Grissdale, T., on the birds of Montserrat, 1882, 483.

Guadalupe, birds of the island of (see Ridgway, R.).

Guatemala, list of birds collected in (see Boucard, A.).

Guercsey, birds of (see Smith, C.)

Guiana, birds from British (see Salvin, O., and Godman, F. D.).

Gundlach's, J., 'Ornithology of Cuba,' noticed, 1879, 483; memoir on the fauna of Puerto Rico, noticed, 1881, 478.

Günther's, A., paper on a Land-Rail (Rallus gularis, var. aldrabans) from Aldabra Island, noticed, 1879, 475.

Gurney, J. H., notes on Sharpe's 'Catalogue of Accipitres,' 1877, 209, 325, 418; 1878, 84, 145, 352, 451; 1879, 71, 330, 404; 1880, 190, 312, 462; 1881, 118, 271, 463, 547; 1882, 146, 290, 436, 519; letter on Vultur auricularis, 1877, 257; letter on a Falcon taken off Socotra, 1877, 397; letter correcting an error, 1877, 491; letter on Buteo desertorum and Accipiter nisus, 1878, 119; letter on Huhua nipalensis, 1878, 380; notes upon three American raptorial birds, apparently new, a Micrastur, Morphnus, and Buteo, 1879, 171; notes on an unusually rufescent example of Archibuteo hemipitopus, 1879, 178; letter on Urubitinga anthracina, 1879, 221; on the occurrence of Ninox borneensis in Java, and a large form of Scops lempiji in Sumatra, 1879, 470; letter on the plumage of two young Nyctea scandiaca, 1880, 144; note on Sumatran specimens of Accipiter stenvensoni and Scops lempiji, 1880, 217; letter on Urubitinga anthracina, 1880, 247; on the nesting of Nyctea scandiaca in confinement, 1880, 471; list of birds collected at or near Mombasa, East Africa, 1881, 124; on some Hawks of the subgenera Cooperastur and Uroszias, 1881, 258; note on Onychotes grueberi, 1881, 396; second list of birds from Mombasa, East Africa, 1882, 71; notes on the raptorial birds collected in New Britain by Lieut. G. E. Richards, 1882, 126; letter on Uroszias albigularis, 1882, 179; list of a collection of raptorial birds from the neighbourhood of Saigon in Cochinh China, 1882, 205; some raptorial birds recently acquired by the Norwich Museum, 1882, 452.
letter on an instance of partial melanism in Pyromelana franciscana, 1882, 471.

Gurney’s J. H., jun., ‘Rambles of a Naturalist,’ noticed, 1877, 127; letter on the Long-tailed Duck, 1877, 395; on the Glaucous Gull, 1877, 492; notes on the birds of the Fern Islands, noticed, 1878, 471; on the Gannet on the Bass Rock, noticed, 1879, 475; letter on Bernicla ruficollis, 1881, 495.

Gymnophaps pectorillaha (see Meyer, A. B.).

Gymnocops insularis (see Tristram, H. B.).

Haast, Dr. J. von, on the occurrence of Numenius cyanopus in New Zealand, noticed, 1878, 103.

Hakodadi, birds from (see Swinhoe, R.).

Halcyon (see Layard, E. L.).

Hargitt, E., notes on the family of Picide, 1881, 222; on a supposed new species of Woodpecker from Eastern Siberia (Iyngipicus doerriesi), 1881, 398; on three apparently new species of Iyngipicus, 1881, 398; notes on the genus Iyngipicus, 1882, 19.

Harpa nova-zealandiae (see Buller, W. L., and Hutton, F. W.).

Hartlaub, G., on the avifauna of Madagascar and the Mascarene Islands, 1877, 258, 334; on Agapornis swinderiana, 1879, 84; on rare Birds of the Bremen Museum, noticed, 1879, 475; on Excalfactoria lepida, noticed, 1880, 136; on new African birds, noticed, 1881, 283; on a new Weaver bird (Hyphantica cardinals), and on the birds of Eastern Equatorial Africa, noticed, 1881, 479; on new Central-African birds, noticed, 1882, 602.


Hawaiian Islands (see Dole, S. B.).

Hawking in Norfolk (see Newton, A.).

Hector, James, Notes on Picrocella antarctica, noticed, 1878, 103.


Helianthus (see Pelzeln, A. von).

‘Heligoland,’ ‘Journey to’ (see Homeyer, E. F.); ornithology of (see Seebourn, H.)

Heliodilus (see Milne-Edwards, A.).

Heniconetta stelleri (see Reinhardt, J.).

Henshaw’s, W. H., Ornithological Report, noticed, 1881, 161.

Hermotimia corinna (see Salvadori, T.).

Herons, American (see Ridgway, R.).

Heteralocha acutirostris (see Kirk, T. W.).

Heuglin, Theodor von, death of, 1877, 131.

Heuglin’s ‘Reise in Nordost-Africa,’ noticed, 1877, 375.

Hiaticula ruficapilla (see Kirk, T. W.).

Hildebrandt, Dr., death of, 1882, 184.

Hirundinea, second note on the species of the genus (see Selater, P. L.).

Hirundo nigricans (see Buller, W. L.).

‘Histoire Naturelle des Oiseaux-Mouche’s’ (see Mulsant, E.).

Hoffmann, W. J., list of the birds of Nevada, noticed, 1882, 336.

Holub, E., on the ornis of South Africa, noticed, 1882, 462.


Homeyer’s ‘German mammals and birds,’ noticed, 1877, 380; ‘Journey to Heligoland,’ noticed, 1881, 161.

Honey-eater, new species of (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Hooker, Sir J., and Ball’s, J., ‘Tour in Marocco,’ noticed, 1879, 356.

Huhua nipalensis (see Fitzgerald, W. R., and Gurney, J. H.).

Hume, A.O., letter on four skins of Indian birds, 1878, 114; letter on the genus Batrachostomus, and Otothrix hodgsoni, 1878, 120; letter with the copy of one addressed by him to Count Salvadori, 1879, 488; letter on a supposed new pheasant, 1881, 608.

Hume’s ‘Stray Feathers,’ noticed, vol. iv. nos. 4, 5, 6, 1877, 374; vol. vi. 1879, 101; vol. ix. parts 5 and 6, 1882, 344; vol. x. nos. 1, 2, 3, 1882, 602.

'Humming-birds,' supplement to Gould's Monograph of, announced, 1880, 379.

Hutton, F. W., remarks on Dr. von Haast's classification of the Mons, noticed, 1878, 103; on a new species of Rail (Rallus macquariensis), 1879, 454; on Harpa nova-zealandiae, 1879, 456; on Eudyptes filholi, noticed, 1880, 243; on Phalacrocorax carunculatus, noticed, 1880, 370; on Anas gracilis, noticed, 1881, 162; on a Cormorant from Campbell Island, noticed, 1882, 169.

Hydrornis soror (see Ramsay, R. G. Wardlaw).

Hylophilus (see Sclater, P. L.).

Hylypsornis salvadorii, 1879, 115.

Hypantica cardinalis (see Hartlaub, G.).

Hyporpes corallirostris (see Schlegel, H.).

Hypoxanthus jequatorialis (see Dubois, A.).

Ianthoenas pallidiceps (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Ibidineae (see Elliot, D. G., and Reichenow, A.).

Ibis, General Index of, 1879, 231.

Ibis ethiopica, breeding of (see Sclater, P. L.); gigantea and harmandi (see Oustalet, E.).

Iceland Falcon, occurrence in Westmoreland of the (see Goodchild, J. G.).

'Illinois,' 'A Catalogue of the Birds of' (see Ridgway, R.).

Index Zoologicus, proposed new, 1879, 381.

India, birds'nesting in (see Marshall, G. F. L.); new Finch from East, 1880, 146; 'The Game Birds of' (see Hume, A. O., and Marshall, G. F. L.); list of birds from North-east (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).

Indicator, habits of (see Sandeman, E. F.).

Interbreeding of birds (see Seebohm, H.).

Iolema luminosa (see Elliot, D. G.).

Irby, L. H., letter on Erythropsia githaginea, 1877, 492; notes on the birds of Gibraltar, 1879, 342.

Italian birds (see Giglioli, H. H., and Manzella, A.).

Italy, avifauna of (see Giglioli, H. H.); birds of (see Giglioli, H. H.).

Iynigicus (see Hargitt, E.); doerrensi (see Hargitt, E.); three apparently new species of (see Hargitt, E.).

'Jacamars and Puff-birds,' 'Monograph of the' (see Sclater, P. L.).

'Jamaica,' 'List of the Birds of' (see Newton, A. and E.).

Japan, birds of (see Blakiston, T., and Pryer, H.); birds of (see Seebohm, H.); ornithology of (see Seebohm, H.).

Java, birds from (see Nicholson, F.); from Western (see Nicholson, F.).

Johnston, H. H., letter on the breeding of Phoenicopterus antiquorum on the Lake of Tunis, 1881, 173; report on the natural history of Mossamedes, noticed, 1882, 463.


Jouan's, H., paper on the birds of Oceania, noticed, 1880, 370.

'Jungle-Life in India' (see Ball, V.).

Kei Islands, birds of (see Salvadori, T.).

Kelham, H. R., ornithological notes made in the Straits Settlements and in the Western States of the Malay Peninsula, 1881, 362, 501; 1882, 1, 185.

Kerguelen Island, birds of (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Kirk's, T. W., remarks on albinos of Carpophaga nova-zealandiae, and a deformity of the bill in Heteralocha acutirostris, noticed, 1881, 162.

Konkan, list of the birds of (see Lloyd, J. H.).

Krukenberg's, C. F. W., communication on the colouring-matter of feathers, noticed, 1881, 692; 1882, 336.

Lagopetrix dicksonii (see Malm, A. W.).

Lamprocolius glaucovirens (see Elliot, D. G.); iris (see Oustalet, E.).

Lamprolia victoriae (see Layard, E. L.).

Landbeck, von, on Geositta antarctica, noticed, 1881, 481.

Lanius antinorii (see Salvadori, T.); isabellinus (see Schalow, H.); major (see Reinhardt, J.).

Laridae, distribution of the (see Saunders, H.).

Larus affinis (see Finsch, O., and Gätke, H.); audouini (see Lilford, Lord); bulleri (see Buller, W. L.).
Lawrence, G. N., on a new Pitangus, noticed, 1877, 481; characters of a supposed new species of South-American Thrush, Turdus brunneus, 1878, 57; on new species of birds from the Island of Dominica, noticed, 1878, 103; on the genus Gymnoglaux, 1878, 184; list of birds obtained by Mr. F. A. Ober in the Island of Dominica, noticed, 1878, 111; on Chrysotis lactifrons, noticed, 1878, 378; on new species of birds from West India, noticed, 1878, 468; on Sponidinus bracei, Orthorhynchus emigrans, and Cyrtonyx sumichrasti, noticed, 1878, 458; descriptions of Chrysotis coeli-genia, Brotogerys ferrugineil'rons, and Melopelia plumbeascens, 1880, 237; description of a new Chrysotis (C. nichollsi), noticed, 1881, 284; on a new subspecies of Lozigilla, noticed, 1882, 557; on the birds of Yucatan, noticed, 1882, 643; on two new birds from Yucatan, noticed, 1882, 602; on a new Margarops, 1882, 603; on a new subspecies of Lozigilla, noticed, 1882, 606; catalogue of the birds of St. Vincent, noticed, 1879, 209; catalogue of the birds of Dominica, noticed, 1879, 210; catalogue of the birds of Antigua and Barbuda, noticed, 1879, 211; catalogue of the birds collected by Mr. Ober in the islands of the Lesser Antilles, noticed, 1880, 135.

Lawson, C. E., death of, announced, 1881, 292.

Layard, E. L., letter on Rhipidura, and Lamprolia victoriae, 1878, 198; on new birds from Lifu, noticed, 1879, 95; letter on Ardea sacra, 1879, 221; letter on Anous and Sterna, 1879, 361; letter on Lorius chlorocercus, 1879, 365; letter from, 1879, 484; notes on the ornithology of Ceylon, 1880, 279; notes on the avifauna of New Caledonia and the Loyalty Islands, 1880, 336; short notes from New Caledonia and the Loyalty Islands, 1880, 381; letter on some Fijian birds, 1881, 170; news of, 1881, 496; description of a new species of Aplonis (Aplonis rufipennis) from Vate Island, 1881, 542.


Layard, E. L., and Layard, E. L. C., on the avifauna of New Caledonia, 1877, 355; notes on the avifauna of New Caledonia, 1878, 250; notes on some birds collected in the New Hebrides, 1878, 267; letters on additions to the avifauna of New Caledonia, 1879, 107, 109; notes on the avifauna of the Loyalty Islands, 1880, 220; note on Pachycephala assimilis, 1880, 460; on the avifauna of New Caledonia and the New Hebrides, 1881, 152; letter on some birds, nests, and eggs from New Caledonia and Norfolk Island, 1881, 171; note on Sternum placens, 1882, 476; notes on the avifauna of New Caledonia. A catalogue of the birds known to E. L. and E. L. C. Layard, 1882, 493.


Layard, E. L., and Tristram, H. B., on a new Thrush (Turdus mareensis) from the Loyalty Islands, 1879, 471.

Legge, W. V., letter on some birds from Ceylon, 1878, 201; description of Scops minutus, noticed, 1879, 96; letter on Pedionomus torquatus, 1882, 610; 'Birds of Ceylon,' noticed, 1880, 136; 1881, 163.

Lemeteil on the occurrence of Anser ruficollis in France, noticed, 1881, 481.

Lestris (see Butler, W. L.).

Leucosticte (see Gidway, R.).

Leyden Museum, notes from the (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Lichenops perspicillata (see Gibson, E.).

Lifiu, birds from (see Tristram, H. B.);

new species of birds from (see Layard, E. L.).

Lilford, Lord, letter on Larus audouini, 1880, 480.

Linnear Society of New South Wales, 'Proceedings' of the, noticed, 1877, 120; 1878, 472 (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Lister, C. E., notes on the birds of St. Vincent, 1880, 38.

Lloyd, J. H., letter on his list of Konkan species, 1882, 469.

Lob-nor, expedition to (see Prejlevsky, N.).

Loddigesia mirabilis (see Saunders, H.);

rediscovery of, 1880, 152.

Log-letters from the 'Challenger' (see Campbell, Lord George).

Lophornis repulicula, note on (see Sclater, P. L.).

Lorenz, on the skeletons of Stringops and Nestor, noticed, 1882, 463.

Lorius chlorocercus (see Layard, E. L.).
Loxia leucoptera (see Reinhardt, J.).

Loyalty Islands, avifauna of (see Layard, E. L., and E. L. C.); new Thrush from the (see Layard, E. L., and Tristram, H. B.).

Lund, P. V., death of, 1880, 481.

M'Cauley's 'Birds of the Red River of Texas,' noticed, 1877, 484.

M'Vean, C. A., paper on the ornithology of Yedo, noticed, 1882, 463.

Madagascar, avifauna of (see Hartlaub, G.); birds from (see Collett, R., Milne-Edwards, A., and Cowan, W. D.).

'Madagascar,' new edition of the 'Handbook of the Birds of,' announced, 1880, 255.

Malm, A. W., on a new hybrid Grouse (Lagopotetrix dicksonii); on the tracheal sac of the Emu, noticed, 1881, 481; list of the duplicates of the Gothenburg Museum, noticed, 1881, 482.

Malm's, A. W., 'Fauna of Gothenburg and Bohusland,' noticed, 1878, 192.

Marocco (see Hooker, Sir J., and Ball, J.).

Marquesas Islands, birds from the (see Tristram, H. B.).


Masters, G., notes on a collection of birds from Port Darwin, noticed, 1879, 96.

'Matabele Land' (see Oates, C. G.).

Megalopodidae (see Oustalet, E.).

Megalopodus sanghirensis (see Schlegel, H.).

Milvus goinida (see Brooks, W. E.).

Mituia salvini (see Reinhardt, J.).

Moa (see Hutton, F. W., and Booth, B. S.).

Mollendorff’s ‘Vertebrata of the Province of Chihli,’ noticed, 1878, 478.

Montebia, a list of birds collected at or near (see Gurney, J. H.); second list of birds from (see Gurney, J. H.).

‘Mongolia,’ date of Prjevalsky’s ‘Birds of,’ 1879, 387; and the Tangut country, zoological portion of Prjevalsky’s work on, noticed, 1879, 489.

‘Mongolia and Northern Thibet’ (see Prjevalsky, N.).

‘Monograph of the Cinnymiidae’ (see Shelley, G. E.).

Montana and Dakota, birds of (see Coues, E.).

Monteiro, J. J., death of, 1878, 208.

Moorhen, new species of, from the Hawaiian Islands (see Streets, T. H.).


Morphmus (see Gurney, J. H.).

Morris, D., extract from a letter to ‘Nature’ relating to fastrelata jamaicensis, 1882, 185.


Moseenthal and Harting’s ‘Ostrich-farming,’ noticed, 1877, 236.

Mossamedes, natural history of (see Johnston, H. J.).

Motacilla melanocephalica (see Collett, R.).

Müller, J., memoir on the voice-organ of the Passeres, announcement of translation of, 1877, 400; noticed, 1879, 398.

Mulsant, E., on Arinia boucardii, noticed, 1878, 460; death of, announced, 1881, 292.

Mulsant’s ‘Histoire Naturelle des Oiseaux-Mouches,’ vol. ii. Parts III. and IV., and vol. iii. Parts I. and II., noticed, 1877, 244.

‘Muséeum des Pays-Bas’ (see Schlegel, H.).


Myiostes nigrogularis, description of (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Myristicivora (see Salvadori, T.).

Nares and Feilden’s ‘Voyage to the Polar Sea,’ noticed, 1879, 97.

Nasiterna pusio (see Camerano, L.).

Nathusius’s, W. von, memoir on the structure of the egg-shell in the Oscines, noticed, 1878, 484.

Nectarinix (see Salvadori, T.); olivicina (see Peters, W.).

Nehring, A., on prehistoric bone-deposits, noticed, 1881, 284.

Nestor meridionalis (see Buller, W. L.).

New Britain, birds from (see Salvadori, T.); new species of Hemipode from (see Forbes, W. A.); notes on the raptorial birds collected by Lieut. G. E. Richards in (see Gurney, J. H.).

New Caledonia, avifauna of (see Layard, E. L., and E. L. C.); birds from (see Tristram, H. B.); notes from (see Layard, E. L., and E. L. C., 1878, 250.

New England, birds of (see Brewer, T. M.); ‘Bird-life’ (see Stearns, W. A., and Coues, Dr. E.).

New Guinea, birds from (see Ramsay, E. P., Salvadori, T., and Sharpe, R. B.); ‘Birds of’ (see Gould, John); chart of (see Meyer, A. B.); collections of birds from (see Salvadori, T.); ‘A Few Months in’ (see Stone, O. C.); new birds from (see Oustalet, E., and Sharpe, R. B.); ‘Ornithology of’ (see Salvadori, T., and Sharpe, R. B.); zoology of (see Ramsay, E. P., and D’Albertis, L. M.).

New Hebrides &c. (see Layard, E. L. C.); avifauna of (see Layard, E. L., and E. L. C.); birds from the (see Ramsay, E. P., and Tristram, H. B.); fauna of the (see Schmeltz, J. D. E.).


New Zealand, birds of (see Buller, W. L.); extinct birds of (see Owen, R.); ‘Manual of the Birds of’ (see Buller, W. L.); ornithology of (see Buller, W. L.); new edition of the ‘Birds of’ announced, 1881, 498.


Newton, A., notes on hawking in Norfolk, noticed, 1879, 359; on some moot points in ornithological nomenclature, noticed, 1879, 480; reprint of Tansull’s ‘Ornithologia Britannica,’ noticed, 1880, 244.

Nicholson, F., on a collection of birds from Western Java, 1879, 164; list of birds collected by Mr. H. O. Forbes in Java, 1881, 139; on collections of birds from South-eastern Sumatra, 1882, 51; supplementary notes on birds from Java, 1882, 66.

Ninox borneensis (see Gurney, J. H.); reyi (see Oustalet, E.).

Nisus poliocephalus (see Schlegel, H.); rufitorques (see Schlegel, H.).

Nomenclature, moot points in ornithological (see Newton, A.); of South-American birds, changes in the (see Berlepsch, Hans Graf von); of the British Owls, remarks on the (see Sclater, P. L.); ornithological (see Seebohm, H.).

Nonnula brunnea (see Sclater, P. L.).


Norway, avifauna of (see Oollett, R.); birds new to (see Collett, R.); map of (see Oollett, R.); new birds of (see Collett, R.); notes on the ornithology of (see Collett, R.).

Norwich Museum, birds recently acquired by the (see Gurney, J. H.).

Notornis mantelli (see Pelzeln, A.).

Novaya Zemlya, birds of (see Feilden, H. W.).


Ober, F., researches in the West Indies, 1880, 256; new expedition to the Antilles, 1880, 380.


Oecdromus earli (see Buller, Dr.).

Odontophorus spodiostethus (see Salvin, O.).

Odontornithes (see Marsh, O. C.).

Ogden, J. A., remarks on Ptilornis wilseni, noticed, 1877, 242.

Ohio, "Illustrations of the Nests and Eggs of the Birds of" (see Jones, Genevieve, E., and Schultze, Eliza J.).

Oidemia fusca, occurrence in Greenland (see Reinhardt, J.).

Oiseaux-Mouches (see Mulsant, E.).

Onychotes grueberi (see Gurney, J. H.).

Orde, J. W. P., letter on Syrnium aluco breeding in a rabbit's burrow, 1879, 378.

Oregon, birds of South-eastern (see Bendire, Ch.).

"Ornis Vindobonensis" (see Marshall, Count A. F., and Pelzeln, A.).

"Ornithological Miscellany" (see Rowley, G. D.).


Ornithology, Progress of (see Pelzeln, A.); in India, progress of, 1879, 386.

"Ornithology of the Pacific Islands," Part II. (see Finsch, O.).

Orthorhynchus emigrans (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Orthotomus (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Orton's "Andes and the Amazon," noticed, 1877, 373.

Osculatia purpurata (see Salvin, O.).

Ostriches, fossil Asiatic (see Davies, W.).

"Ostrich-farming" (see Mosenthal and Harting).

Otidiphaps regalis (see Salvadori, T., and Salvin, O., and Godman, F. D.).

Otothrix hodgsonii (see Hume, A. O.).

Oustalet, E., description of Sibia desgodinsi, 1878, 191; on new birds from Saigon and New Guinea, noticed, 1878, 376; on new birds in the Paris Museum, noticed, 1878, 376; description of the female of Pitta ellioti, noticed, 1879, 97; on the ornithology of the Seychelles, noticed, 1879, 97; on some South-American Pelicans, and description of Pelecanus barbieri, noticed, 1879, 98; on Ibises, noticed, 1879, 219; on a new Bronze Starling (Lamprocolius iris), noticed, 1879, 359; "Ornithological Notes," noticed, 1880, 244; memoir on the birds of Gaboon, noticed, 1880, 245; on birds from the Loss Islands, noticed, 1880, 245; on new birds from New Guinea, noticed, 1881, 164; on new birds (Cyanalcyon quadricolor, Ptilopus marchei, Bucerot montani, and Ninox reyi), noticed, 1881, 483; essay on the Megapodiidae, noticed, 1882, 170.
Owen, R., on Didus solitarius, noticed, 1868, 469; 'Memoirs on the Extinct Wingless Birds of New Zealand,' noticed, 1879, 98.

Owls, ear-formation of the North-European (see Collett, R.).

Pachycephala assimilis (see Layard, E. L., and E. L. C.); pallida (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Pacific, letters from the (see Finsch, O.); Islands (see Finsch, O.).

Paleornis bodini (see Russ, K.).

Palmén's 'Ueber die Zugstrassen der Vögel,' noticed, 1877, 241.

Papua, new birds from (see Salvadori, T.); Parrots of (see Salvadori, T.).

Papua and the Moluccas, ornithology of (see Salvadori, T.).

'Papuan Ornithology,' short explanatory notice of Salvadori's (see Meyer, A. B., and Salvadori, T.).

Paradisea (see Elliot, D. G.); in the Caen Museum, list of the specimens of (see Eudes-Deslongchamps).

Pascoe, F. P., 'Zoological Classification,' noticed, 1880, 477.

Passeres, vocal organs of the (see Müller, J.).

Passeri formes, Part II. of the catalogue of (see Seebolm, H.).

Patagonia, birds of (see Durnford, H.).

Paravesi, P., on the occurrence of Meliuzophilus undulatus in Lombardy, noticed, 1878, 486.

Pelzeln, A. von, descriptions of a new species of Calliste and one of Heliangelus, 1878, 337; on additions to the Imperial Museum at Vienna, noticed, 1877, 383; on birds from Ecuador, noticed, 1877, 383; 1878, 478; 1880, 371; report on the progress of ornithology in 1875, noticed, 1877, 384; in 1876, noticed, 1878, 378; in 1877, noticed, 1879, 399; in 1878, noticed, 1881, 165; in 1879, 1881, 484; in 1880, 1882, 404; letter on Notornis mantelli and Casuarius beccari, 1876, 376; on a collection of birds from Borneo, noticed, 1880, 371; 1882, 171; note on the egg of Casuarius beccari, 1881, 401; on the genus Furnarius, 1881, 402; on birds of prey from Syria, noticed, 1881, 484; account of a collection of birds from Central Africa, noticed, 1882, 171; on Central-African birds, noticed, 1882, 464; letter on Casuarius beccarii and Casuarius galeatus, 1882, 608.

Penrose, F. G., notes on a collection of birds' skins and eggs from Ascension Island, 1879, 272.

'Persia,' 'Zoology of Eastern' (see Blanford, W. T.).

Petchora (see Alston, E. R.).

Peters, W., paper on two new East-African birds (Turdus tropicalis and Nectarinia olivacea), noticed, 1881, 484.

Petræa kleinschmidtii (see Finsch, O.).

Phainopepla, note on the orthography of, 1879, 222.

Phalaenorcorax carunculatus (see Hutton, F. W.); finschi (see Buller, Dr.); sinensis, 1880, 375.

Phasanus ignitus (see Elliot, D. G.).

Philippis's, R. A., memoir on new Chilian animals, noticed, 1881, 484.

Phillippe-Wolley, C., on the migrations of the Little Bustard, 1882, 478.

Phodilus (see Milne-Edwards, A.); soumagnei (see Grandidier, A.).

Phoenicopterus antiquorum (see Johnston, H. H.).

Phylloscopi (see Seebohm, H.).

Phylloscopus, letter on (see Gätke, H.); plumbeirisaurus (see Brooks, W. E.); sibilatrix (see Collett, R.); viridanus (see Gätke, H.); letter on (see Brooks, W. Edwin).

Picoide, European (see Wharton, H. T.); notes on (see Hargitt, E.).

Pipastes batchianensis (see Tweeddale, Marquis of).

Pipidae, European (see Wharton, H. T.); notes on (see Hargitt, E.).

Pipetta, note on the correct name of the genus, 1877, 290; Gould's Monograph of, announced, 1881, 179.

Pitta ellioti (see Oustalet, E.); soror (see Ramsay, R. G. Wardlaw).

Pitangus gabbii (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Platæa leucorodia, nesting of (see Selater, P. L., and Forbes, W. A.); regia (see Buller, W. L.).

Plocus russii (see Finsch, O.).

Podiceps cristatus (see Brewer, T. M.).

Poephila (see Armit, W. E.).

Poland, birds of (see Taczanowsky, L.).

Polyenon schleiermachi, note on, 1877, 494; empanes, rediscovery of, 1878, 386.

Pomatorhinus (see Godwin-Austen, H. H., and Ramsay, Wardlaw); steno rhyneches (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).

Poospiza erythrorynca (see Selater, P. L.).
Porphyrio, the genus and its species (see Elliot, D. G.; and Salvadori, T.); caeruleus (see Sclater, P. L.); edwardsi (see Elliot, D. G.).

Porzana melaneeana (see Salvadori, T.).

Prejevalsky’s, N., Expedition to Lobnor, noticed, 1878, 375; expected return, 1881, 178; ‘Mongolia and Northern Thibet,’ noticed, 1877, 212; ‘Birds of Mongolia,’ date of, 1879, 387.

Pribylov Islands, bird-life in the (see Elliott, H. W.).

Prirockia antarctica (see Hector, James).

Prionochilus pipra (see Salvadori, T.).

‘Prodromus Ornithologiae Papuasie et Moluccarum’ (see Salvadori, T.);

Part XII., noticed, 1882, 606.

Propasser rhodophalus (see Biddulph, J.).

Pterocles ellioti (see Bogdanow, M.); severzowi (see Bogdanow, M.).

Ptilopus (see Schmitz, J. E.); fischeri (see Meyer, A. B.); marchei (see Oustalet, E.).

Ptilorhis wilsonii (see Ogden, J. A.).

Ptilotis germana, description of (see Ramsay, B. P.); xanthophrys (see Finsch, O.).

Puercasia biddulphi (see Marshall, G. F. L.).

‘Puff-birds and Jacamars,’ ‘Monograph of the’ (see Sclater, P. L.).

Puerto Rico, fauna of (see Gundlach, J.); new Owl from (see Ridgway, R.).

Pycnonotus sinensis (see Swinhoe, R.).

Pycnus fuscus (see Oustalet, E.).


Rallus gularis, var. aldabranus (see Günther, A.); macquariensis (see Hutton, F. W.); pallicola (see Sclater, P. L.).

‘Rambles of a Naturalist’ (see Gurney, J. H., jun.).

Ramsay, E. P., letter on Eclectus polychlorus, 1878, 379; on Edoliosoma schisticeps, noticed, 1879, 98; on Pachycephala palliata, noticed, 1879, 98; on Ianiithonas pallidiceps, noticed, 1879, 99; on three new birds from the New Hebrides, noticed, 1879, 99; with descriptions of Pitolis germaia, Myiostes nigrogularis, and five new species of birds from Torres Straits &c., noticed, 1879, 370; on birds from New Guinea, noticed, 1879, 360; on birds from New Guinea, noticed, 1880, 372; on the zoology of the Solomon Islands, noticed, 1880, 138; on the zoology of New Guinea, Parts IV. & V., noticed, 1880, 139; Notes on some recently described birds from the Solomon Islands, with remarks on some Australian birds, Note on an undetermined species of Lalage, and Contributions to the Zoology of New Guinea, Part IV., noticed, 1882, 172; notes on the zoology of the Solomon Islands, noticed, 1882, 173; letter on some birds from the New Hebrides, Cape York, and the Solomon Islands, 1882, 344; on new birds from the Solomon Islands and New Britain, noticed, 1882, 339; notes on birds from the Solomon Islands, description of a new species of Honey-eater from New Guinea, notes on Oriolus affinis, and on a new Eurystopodus, noticed, 1882, 465; notes on birds from the Solomon Islands, 1882, 472; description of two new birds from the Solomon Islands, and note on the range of Pycnonotus floccosus and Pachycephala olivacea, noticed, 1882, 603.

Ramsay, R. G. Wardlaw, notes on some Burmese Birds, 1877, 432; on the genus Pomatorhinus, 1878, 129; ornithological notes from Afghanistan, No. I., 1879, 444; 1880, 45; description of two new species of birds (Analcipus cousangumeus and Edoliosoma alterum), 1881, 32; letter on Pitta (Hydronis) soror, 1881, 496; reprint of the Marquis of Tweeddale’s Ornithological Works, noticed, 1882, 177.


Ratite, myology of the (see Gadow, H.).

Recites, new species of (see Salvadori, T.).

Red River of Texas, birds of the (see M-Cauley, C. A. H.).

Reed, E. C., on the zoology of the province of Colchagua, noticed, 1878, 485.

Reichenau, W., essay on the Darwinian theory of bird’s nests, noticed, 1881, 486.

Reichenbach’s, H. G. L., ‘Avium Sys-
tema Naturale,' proposed index to, 1879, 380; death of, 1879, 384; ornithological works, index to (see Meyer, A. B.).


Reid, Savile Gr., letter on the ornithology of Natal, 1882, 181; account of a bird's-nest in a Horse's tail, 1882, 474.

Reinhardt, J., on Mitua salvini and the occurrence of Oidemia fusa in Greenland, noticed, 1879, 362; on the ornamental wing-feathers of Somateria spectabilis and Henicotetta stellari, noticed, 1880, 139; on Loxia leucoptera and Lanius major, noticed, 1881, 284; on the remains of an extinct gigantic bird supposed to be allied to Cariana, from the ossiferous caves of Brazil, 1882, 321; on birds from Greenland, noticed, 1882, 466.

'Revisions of the nomenclature of certain North-American birds' (see Ridgway, R.).

Rhea darwini, breeding of, 1879, 385. 
Rhinolophus vigil (see Elliot, D. G.).
Rhipidura (see Layard, E. L.); diemenis (see Sharpe, R. B.); saturata (see Sharpe, R. B.).
Rhodostethia rosea (see Feilden, H. W.).
Rhynchoeceros plicatus (see Elliot, D. G.).
Ridgway, R., on the birds of the Island of Guadalupe, noticed, 1878, 104; on the genus Leucosticta, noticed, 1873, 104; on the American Herodiones, noticed, 1878, 470; report of the ornithology of the United-States Geological Exploration of the 40th parallel, noticed, 1878, 477; review of the American species of Scops, noticed, 1879, 209; on Atthis elioti, noticed, 1879, 209; 'Revisions of the Nomenclature of certain North-American Birds,' noticed, 1880, 478; list of North-American birds, noticed, 1881, 488; on Fuligula rufina, noticed, 1881, 603; on Amazilia yucatanensis, noticed, 1881, 603; revised catalogue of the birds of Illinois, list of the desiderata of the U.S. National Museum, and on the genus Centurus, noticed, 1882, 174; list of special desiderata of the U.S. National Collection, noticed, 1882, 340; on a new North-American Hawk, noticed, 1882, 340; on new birds from the Sandwich Islands, on a new Owl from Puerto Rico, and on two new Thrushes from the United States, noticed, 1882, 466.

Riesenthal's 'German Birds of Prey,' noticed, 1877, 119.
Robertson's, Sir B., visit to Tonquin, noticed, 1877, 130.
Rodd, E. H., death of, 1880, 253; 'Birds of Cornwall,' noticed, 1881, 166.
Rodriguez, birds of (see Sharpe, R. B.).
Roraima, mysteries of, 1877, 399.
Rowley, G. G., 'Ornithological Miscellany,' noticed, Nos. 3, 4, & 5, 1877, 122; Part VI., 1877, 243; Parts VII. & VIII., 1877, 378; Part IX., 1877, 481; Parts X. to XIII., 1878, 193; Part XIV., 1878, 471.; death of, 1879, 124.
Russ, C., on a new West-African Finch, 1882, 476.
Russ, K., on Palæornis bodini, noticed, 1879, 100; 'Die fremdländischen Stubenvögel,' &c., vol. I., noticed, 1879, 99.
Russo, V. von, death of, 1879, 384.

Sachs's, C., 'Llanos of Venezuela,' noticed, 1879, 218.
St. Ambrose Island, birds from (see Tristram, H. B.).
St. John, Major O. B. C., news of him from Afghanistan, 1880, 145.
St. John's, H. C., 'Wild Coasts of Nipon,' noticed, 1881, 603.
St. Vincent, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N., and Lister, C. E.).
Salingia (see Sebohm, H.).
Salmon, T. K., death of, 1879, 384.
Salta, birds from (see Salvin, O.).

Salvadori, T., two birds from the Fiji Islands, 1877, 142; recent ornithological papers noticed, 1877, 247; papers on Myristicivora and Teyaggallus, noticed, 1877, 379; letter on Sturnus unicolor, 1877, 399; a few remarks on the genus Ecleutes, 1877, 474; on Papuan and Moluccan Nectarinians, noticed, 1877, 477;
letter on Euphonia chalcopasta and Euphonia mesochrysa, 1878, 200; on Lanius antiquorum, noticed, 1878, 479; two papers on new species of Papuan birds, noticed, 1878, 470, 481; on some species of Casuarius, noticed, 1878, 482; on Chalcopsitta bruijni, noticed, 1878, 483; on some species of Casuarius, noticed, 1878, 481; on Chalcopsitta bruijni, noticed, 1878, 483; on new species of Euctes, noticed, 1879, 100; remarks on several notices of his papers published in 'The Ibis' for 1878, 1879, 104; on the subgenus Globicera, noticed, 1879, 213; on new and rare birds from the Sanghir Islands, noticed, 1879, 214; on Melaniparus semilavatus, 1879, 300; letter in reply to a notice on his paper on the subgenus Globicera, 1879, 304; on a new Hawk (Urospizias pallidiceps) from Bouru, 1879, 473; report on the progress of his 'Papuan Ornithology,' 1879, 490; short general account of his 'Ornithology of Papua and the Moluccas,' on Poizana raoluccana, and on certain species of Collocalia, noticed, 1880, 479; note on Otidiphaps regulis, 1881, 178; letter on Stachyris bocagei, and some Papuan birds, 1881, 129; on some new and little-known species of Tachyphaps, 1881, 595; notes on birds from British Guiana, 1882, 76.

'Salzburg,' 'Birds of' (see Tschudi-Schmidhofen).

Sandeman, E. F., on the habits of the Honey-bird (Indicator), 1880, 296.

Sand-Martin, new species of (see Sharpe, R. B.).

Sandwich Islands, avifauna of the (see Sclater, P. L.); new birds from the (see Ridgway, R.).

Sanghir Islands, new and rare birds from the (see Salvadori, T.).

Saunders, H., essay on the distribution of the Laridae, noticed, 1879, 100; letter on Loddigesia mirabilis, 1880, 247.

Saunders's, H., 'Birds of Southern Spain,' noticed, 1878, 189.

Saxicola deserti, occurrence in Scotland of (see Dalgleish, J. J.); oenanthe (see Clifton, Lord); stapazina, a British bird, 1879, 118.

Schalow, H., letter on Lanius isabellinus from Heligoland, 1877, 308.

Schlegel's, H., papers on Nisus rufitorques, Nisus poliographus, Strix inexspectata, Cuculus auduberti, and Strix tenebricosa arfaki, noticed, 1880, 140; on Treron teysmanni, Artamia berniera, Ardea lansbergii, Hyphperes corallirostris, and Talegallus pyrrhopogus, noticed, 1880, 141; on Galinula francii, noticed, 1880, 142; two papers on two new birds (Malia...
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grata and Megapodius sanghrensis), noticed, 1881, 491.

Schlegel's, H., 'Museum des Pays-Bas,' Part VIII., noticed, 1881, 166.

Schuelz's, J. D. E., notices on the Fruit-Pigeons of the genus Ptilopus, and on the fauna of the New Hebrides, noticed, 1881, 167.

Sclater's, P. L., note on the South-American Song-Sparrows, 1877, 46; on the nesting of Platalea leucorodia, 1877, 412; description of two new Grallariae, and list of the known species of the genus, 1877, 457; note on Lophorina respublica, 1877, 493; on the genus Pipleola, 1878, 164; remarks on the nomenclature of the British Owls, and arrangement of the Order Sti-ugae, 1879, 346; changing the generic term "Euchsetes" into Calocheïtes, 1879, 388; on some West-Indian birds, 1880, 71; on Conothraupis, a new genus of Tanagers, 1880, 252; note on Rallus sulcirostris and its allies, 1880, 309; remarks on the present state of the Systema Avium, 1880, 340, 399; note on Chrysotis appomphaica, 1880, 378; on an apparently new species of Conurus (Conurus egregius), 1881, 120; on the range of Porphyrio caruleus, 1881, 178; on the genus Hylophilus, 1881, 293; remarks on the recently described Parrots of the genus Chrysotis, 1881, 411; 'Report on the Birds of the Challenger Expedition,' noticed, 1881, 492; on apparently new Finches of the genus Erythrura, 1881, 543; on two new species of birds from the Argentine Republic (Poospiza erythrocephala and Synal- laxis whitii), 1881, 600; second note on the species of the genus Hirundinea, 1880, 162; on the generic term Goniaphaca, 1882, 182; note on Trichoglossus rubrigularis, 1882, 347; notes on a collection of birds made on the river Yang-tsee-kiang, 1882, 431.

Scots, American species of (see Ridgway, R.); leuippi (see Gurney, J. H.); minutus (see Legge, W. V.).

Scrapes about Birds' (see Adamson, C. M.).

Scally, J., contribution to the ornithology of Gilgit, 1881, 415, 567.

Seebohm, H., on Phylloscopi, 1877, 66; letter on Anthus seebohmi, 1877, 128; on the Salicaridae of Dr. Severtzoff, 1877, 151; notes on the ornithology of Heligoland, 1877, 156; on the ornithology of Siberia, 1878, 173, 322; 1879, 1, 147; 1880, 179; 1882, 419; letter on Bradypterus platyurus, 1878, 380; letter on the Sylvidae in the British Museum, 1878, 489; remarks on Blakiston and Pryer's catalogue of the birds of Japan, 1879, 18; remarks on the genus Sylvia and on the synonymy of the species, 1879, 308; remarks on certain points in ornithological nomenclature, 1879, 428; corrections of synonymy in the family Sylvidae, 1880, 273; paper on the nesting of the Spoonbill, noticed, 1881, 286; notes on the birds of Astrakhan, 1882, 204; contributions to the ornithology of Japan, 1882, 368; notes on the birds of Archangel, 1882, 371; on the interbreeding of birds, 1882, 346; letter on Pallas's "Zoographia Rosso-Asiatica," 1882, 611.


Selys-Longchamps's, de essay on the classification of birds, noticed, 1880, 473.

Sennett's, G. B., 'Notes on the Ornithology of the Lower Rio Grande of Texas,' noticed, 1879, 207; 1880, 479.

Setophaga, synopsis of the genus (see Salvin, O.).

Seychelles, ornithology of the (see Outa-let, E.).
Sharpe, R. B., ornithology of Borneo, 1877, 1; 1878, 414; 1879, 233; on the genus Orthotonius, 1877, 108; 'Birds of Kerguelen Island,' noticed, 1877, 479; contributions to the ornithology of New Guinea, noticed, 1878, 377; 1879, 478; 1882, 467; on the birds of Rodriguez, noticed, 1879, 216; 'Notes from the Leyden Museum,' VI.-XII., noticed, 1879, 217; memoir on birds collected by Dr. A. B. Meyer in New Guinea, noticed, 1879, 363; letter on Mr. E. P. Ramsay's paper entitled 'Contributions to the Zoology of New Guinea,' 1879, 367; letter substituting the name Rhipidura diemenensis for that of Rhipidura saturata, 1879, 308; descriptions of two new Kingfishers (Tanysiptera danae and Clytoceyx rex), noticed, 1881, 280; letter correcting the names given by him to two birds, 1881, 350; 'Birds of South Africa,' Part V., noticed, 1882, 467; paper on a new Sand-Martin, noticed, 1882, 468; 'Catalogue of the Birds in the British Museum,' vol. iii., noticed, 1879, 477; 'Catalogue of the Birds in the British Museum,' vol. iv., noticed, 1879, 362; 'Catalogue of the Birds in the British Museum,' vol. vi., noticed, 1882, 341.


Shiant Islands, bird-life of the (see Harvie-Brown, J. A.).

Shufeldt, R. W., on the osteology of the North-American Tetraonidae, noticed, 1882, 340; on the osteology of Lanius, noticed, 1882, 341; on the osteology of Speotyto and Eremophila, noticed, 1881, 604.

Siberia, birds of Western (see Finsch, O.); collections from (see Finsch, O.); 'in Europe' (see Seeborn, H.); 'Journey to Western' (see Finsch, O.); letters from Bremen expedition to Western (see Finsch, O.); ornithology of (see Seeborn, H.); supposed new species of Woodpecker from Eastern (see Hargitt, E.).

Sibia desgodinsi (see Oustalet, E.).

Simson, F. B., notes on birds found in Eastern Bengal, 1882, 84.

Smith's, Sir Andrew, Miscellaneous Ornithological Papers, reprint of (see Willughby Society).

Smith's, C., 'Birds of Guernsey and the neighbouring Islands,' noticed, 1879, 478.

Smith Sound and Polar Basin, birds observed in (see Feilden, H. W.).

Snowy Owls (see Brewer, T. M.).

Socotra, projected expedition to, 1878, 492; expedition to, 1880, 250; birds obtained by Prof. J. B. Balfour during his expedition to, 1880, 349; announcement of a report on the birds collected by Prof. Balfour in, 1881, 179.

Solomon Islands, birds from the (see Ramsay, E. P., and Tristram, H. B.); new birds from the (see Ramsay, E. P.); notes on a collection of birds from the (see Tristram, H. B.); notes on birds from the (see Ramsay, E. P., and Salvadori, T.); zoology of the (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Solomon Islands and New Britain, new birds from the (see Ramsay, E. P.).

Solomon Islands and New Hebrides, collection of birds from the (see Tristram, H. B.).

Somateria spectabilis (see Reinhardt, J.).

Song-Sparrows (see Sclater, P. L.).

'Spain,' 'Birds of Southern' (see Saunders, H.).

Specific names, substantives as, 1879, 118.

Spence's, J. M., 'Land of Bolivar,' noticed, 1878, 192.

Speotyto, osteology of (see Shufeldt, R. W.).

Spermestes striata, 1880, 146.

Spheniscidae (see Milne-Edwards, A.).

Spoonbill, nesting of the (see Seebohn, H., and Forbes, W. A.).

Sporadinus bracei (see Lawrence, G. N.).

Spry's 'The Cruise of the Challenger,' noticed, 1877, 374.

Staphidia plumbeiceps (see Godwin-Austen, H. B.).


Stea (see Zostera capensis (see Stolzmann, J.).

Steere's, J. B., 'List of the Mammals and Birds of Ann Arbor,' noticed, 1881, 168.

Stejneger, L., paper on Myiasteres, noticed, 1882, 468.

Stercorarius pomatorhinus (see Stevenson, H.).

Stevenson, H., on the Pomatorhine Skua (Stercorarius pomatorhinus), noticed, 1881, 168.
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Stieda, L., on the Bursa Fabricii, noticed, 1881, 168.
Stöcker, Dr. C., death of, 1879, 123.
Stolzmann, J., observations on Steatornis caripensis, noticed, 1881, 64; news of, 1881, 497.
Stone's, O. C., 'A Few Months in New Guinea,' noticed, 1882, 343.
'Stray Feathers' (see Hume, Allan).
Streets, T. H., new Moorhen from the Hawaiian Islands, 1877, 25; on the natural history of the Fanning Islands, noticed, 1877, 241; on the natural history of the Hawaiian and Fanning Islands and Lower California, noticed, 1878, 375.
Streptocitta (see Meyer, A. B.).
Strickland Collection, catalogue of the (see Salvin, O.).
Strigidae, remarks on the nomenclature of the British (see Sclater, P. L.).
Strix inexpectata (see Schlegel, H.); tenebricosa arfaki (see Schlegel, H.).
Sturges, J. E., death of, 1880, 254.
Sturnus unicolor (see Salvadori, T.).
Suifun district, birds of the (see Bolau, Dr. H.).
Sumatra, birds of (see Salvadori, T., and Tweeddale, Marquis of); collection of birds from (see Nicholson, F.); pet birds in (see Boek, C.); ornithological letter from (see Beccari, O.).
Sutherland, birds of (see Harvie-Brown, J. A.).
Swinhoe, Lieut.-Col. C., on the birds of Southern Afghanistan, 1882, 95.
Swinhoe, R., letter on Pycnonotus sinedesis, 1877, 128; on birds from Hako-dadi, 1877, 144; on Urosphega squamiceps from Eastern Asia, 1877, 203; on a new bird from Formosa, 1877, 473; death of, 1878, 126; his collection of Chinese birds, 1878, 492.
Swinhoe Collection, 1879, 117.
Sylvia (see Seebolhm, H.).
Sylviae (see Seebolhm, H.); corrections of synonymy in (see Seebolhm, H.).
Synallaxis whitii (see Sclater, P. L.).
Syria, birds of prey from (see Pelzeln, A.); journey through (see Tristram, H. B.).
Syrnium aluco (see Ovde, J. W. P.).
Systema Avium (see Sclater, P. L.).
Taczanowski, L., paper on the birds of Poland, noticed, 1879, 102; paper on the Red-tailed Shrikes of Central Asia, noticed, 1880, 143; paper on the birds of Askold Island, noticed, 1880, 375.
Taczanowski and Stolzmann's memoir on Loddigesia mirabilis, noticed, 1882, 184.
Talegallus (see Salvadori, T.); pyrrohygius (see Schlegel, H.).
Tanytarsus danae (see Sharpe, R. B.).
Tarrawai, birds from (see Salvadori, T.).
Taylor, E. C., notes on birds from Egypt, 1878, 368; letter on Aquila cuilenii, 1880, 143.
Tegetmeier's, W. B., reprint of Moore's 'Columbarium,' noticed, 1879, 219.
Tegetmeier, W. B., and Blyth's, E., 'Natural History of the Cranes,' noticed, 1881, 494.
Tesserem (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).
Tetraogallus (see Danford, C. G.).
Texas, birds from South-western (see Brewer, T. M.); ornithology of Southern (see Merrill, J. C., and Sennett, G. B.).
Thaumasia (see Elliot, D. G.).
Tiraut, G., list of the birds of Lower Cochín-China, noticed, 1882, 176.
'Titicaca,' 'Birds of Lake' (see Allen, J. A.).
Tonquin (see Robertson, Sir B.).
Torres Straits &c., descriptions of five new birds from (see Ramsay, E. P.).
Transevaal, notes on the ornithology of (see Ayres, T.).
Tremolea leclancheri (see Salvadori, T.).
Treron teysmanni (see Schlegel, H.).
Tringa subarquata, breeding in North Greenland of (see Fielden, H. W.); eggs of (see Brewer, T. M.).
Tristram, H. B., notes on birds from New Caledonia, Lifu, and the New Hebrides, 1879, 180; on a collection of birds from the Solomon Islands and the New Hebrides, 1879, 437; letter on Asio butleri, 1880, 245; letter on the birds of the Solomon Islands, 1880, 246; description of a new genus and species of Owl (Gymnoscops insularis) from the Seychelles, 1880, 456; letter on birds from St. Ambrose Island, 1881, 177; notes on a collection of birds from the Marquesas Islands, 1881, 249; notes on a collection of birds from the Solomon Islands, 1882, 133; letter on Erythura cyanifrons, 1882, 150; ornithological notes of a journey through Syria, Mesopotamia, and Southern Armenia, 1882, 402; letter on a Halcyon from the Solomon Islands, 1882, 600.
Trochilidae (see Elliot, D. G.); classification of the (see Elliot, D. G.); in the Caen Museum, list of the speci-
mens of (see Eudes Deslongchamps); new and little-known species of (see Salvin, O., and Godman, F. D.); 'Supplement to the Monograph of the' (see Gould, J.), announced, 1850, 379.

Trogon surucura (see Bigg-Wither, T. P.).

Tschusi-Schmidhofen's 'Birds of Salzburg,' noticed, 1878, 478.

Tubinares, report on the anatomy of the (see Forbes, W. A.).

Tucuman, birds from (see Salvin, O.).

Tucuman and Salta (see Durnford, H.).

Turati, Count E., Ornithological Museum of, 1877, 129; death of, 1881, 608.

Turdinus nagaensis (see Godwin-Austen, H. H.).

Turdus brunneus (see Lawrence, G. N.); mareensis (see Layard, E. L., and Tristram, H. B.); tropicalis (see Peters, W.).

Tweeddale, Marquis of, on a collection of birds from S.E. Sumatra, 1877, 283; letter on Anthus gustavi and Pipastes butchianensis, 1877, 258; letter on Pelloineum tickelli, 1877, 385; letter on the genus Batrachostomus, 1877, 388; note on Pelloineum tickelli, 1877, 451; letter on Āegithina viridissima and Prima rafflesii, 1877, 487; letter on April number of 'Stray Feathers' for 1877, 1877, 487; notes on the Dicruridae in the collection of the British Museum, 1878, 69; letter on Arctamus leco- rhynchus, 1878, 383; memoir of the Marquis of, 1879, 225; reprint of his ornithological works, announced, 1880, 265; ornithological works, reprint of (see Ramsay, R. G. Wardlaw).

Tyrannidae (see Slater, P. L. and Salvin, O.).

Uganda, birds of (see Wilson).

United States, ornithology of the (see Allen, J. A.).

United-States National Museum, the new, 1879, 381.

Urospheina squamiceps (see Swinhoe, R.).

Urospizes (see Gurney, J. H., and Salvadori, T.); pallicipes (see Salvadori, T.).

Urubitinga anthracina (see Gurney, J. H.).

Uruguay, birds and eggs from (see Dalgleish, J. J.).

'Utah,' 'Ornithology of' (see Baird, F.).

'Vagrancy Acts,' noticed, 1877, 373.

Venezuela, birds of (see Ernst, A.).

Venezuela, Llanos of (see Sachs, C.).

Vemor's 'Canadian Birds of Prey,' noticed, 1877, 246.

Vienna, Imperial Museum at (see Pelzeln, A. von).

Vogt, C., on Archaeopteryx macura, an intermediate form between birds and reptiles, 1880, 434.

'Voyage of the 'Vega' (see Norden- sköld, A. E.).

Vultur auricularis (see Gurney, J. H.); umbrosus (see Cope, Prof.).


Wallace's, A. R., 'Tropical Nature,' noticed, 1878, 483.

Waterhen, name of the Purple (see Slater, P. L.).

West-India, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N.); new birds from (see Lawrence, G. N.); on some birds from (see Slater, P. L.).

West Indies, researches in the (see Ober, F.).

Weyenbergh, H., catalogue of the birds in the Cordova Museum, noticed, 1882, 468.

Wharton, H. T., on the orthography of some birds' names, 1879, 449; on the proper generic designation of the European Picidae, 1881, 253.

Wharton's 'List of British Birds,' noticed, 1877, 483.

Wheeler's Reports upon Surveys west of the 100th Meridian, noticed, 1877, 485; annual report upon the Geographical Surveys west of the 100th Meridian, noticed, 1878, 486.

White, E. W., news of, 1881, 497; 'Cameos from the Silver-Land,' vol. i., noticed, 1882, 178.

Whyte, A., ornithological notes taken during a voyage from Ceylon to England, 1877, 148.

'Wild Coasts of Nipon' (see St. John, H. C.).


Wilson, on the birds of Uganda, 1882, 477.

Wolf's, T., visit to the Galapagos, noticed, 1880, 373.

Xanthura, note on (see Slater, P. L.).
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Yang-tse-kiang, birds from the river (see Sclater, P. L.).

Yarkund, new Finch from (see Bid-dulph, J.).

Yedo, ornithology of (see M'Vean, C. A.).

Yorkshire, Vertebrate Fauna of (see Clarke, W. E., and Roebeck, W. D.).

Yucatan, birds of (see Lawrence, G. N.); two new birds from (see Law-renc, G. N.).

Yunnan,' Zoology of (see Anderson, J.).

Zambesia, birds of (see Bocage, J. V. Barboza du).

Zanzibar, birds from (see Fischer, G. A., and Reichenow, A.).

Zonotrichia (see Sclater, P. L); coro-nata (see Brewer, T. M.).

'Zoological Classification' (see Pascoe, F. P.).


Zoological Society of London, rare birds in the gardens of the, 1879, 383.

'Zoologist,' new series, noticed, 1877, 130.

'Zoology of Eastern Persia' (see Blanford, W. T.).
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