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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_n$</td>
<td>Froude number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Acceleration due to gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>Wave height measured from trough to crest, $2r_m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Ship length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LCG$</td>
<td>Longitudinal center of gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_m$</td>
<td>Wave amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>Ship speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>Dimensionless heave, $z_m/r_m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_m$</td>
<td>Heave amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>Wave length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi$</td>
<td>Dimensionless pitch, $\psi_m/\theta_m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_e$</td>
<td>Frequency of encounter in waves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABSTRACT

The results of model tests performed to determine the feasibility of reducing the pitching motion of the Mariner-type ship by means of fixed anti-pitching fins at the bow are presented. A 20-foot self-propelled model representing the final design of the Mariner-type ship was tested in waves with four anti-pitching fin configurations. Data are presented for both model and ship and are summarized in dimensionless form. The data are also used to compute the effect of the fins on the vertical motion and acceleration along the length of the ship.

INTRODUCTION

GENERAL

A self-propelled model of the Mariner-type ship with and without antipitching bow fins has been tested in waves at the David Taylor Model Basin. Four fins were fitted to a Mariner model to determine the pitch reduction as a function of plan characteristics.

The model, fins, and instrumentation used for the tests are described, and the experimental results are presented and discussed.

SHIP AND MODEL DESCRIPTION

TMB Model 4414 with a linear ratio of 24.175, representing the final design of the Mariner-type ship, was used for the tests. The principal characteristics of the ship and the model are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Ship</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length, O.A.</td>
<td>563 ft - 7¼ in.</td>
<td>23.30 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, B.P.</td>
<td>528 ft - 6 in.</td>
<td>21.85 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, 25 ft - 0 in. WL</td>
<td>520 ft - 0 in.</td>
<td>21.34 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam, Max. Molded</td>
<td>76 ft - 0 in.</td>
<td>3.14 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft, Load Line</td>
<td>29 ft - 10 1/16 in.</td>
<td>1.24 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement, Load Line</td>
<td>21,093 tons</td>
<td>3,252 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Coeff., $C_B$</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Waterplane Coeff., $C_W$</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Speed</td>
<td>20 knots</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The model had been used in previous resistance tests and was modified to conform with the sheer forward of amidships. The forecastle deck and bulwark, properly scaled, were also added to the model. A solid watertight deck cover was provided throughout the length of the model.

A photograph of the ship is presented in Figure 1; the body plan in Figure 2.

Figure 1 — A Ship of the Mariner Class
Fin Number 1

Root Chord 24'

Fillet Fairing to Hull

Fin Plane of Symmetry at 3 ft. above Base Line

Fin Area : 620 sq.ft.

Fin Area
Waterplane Area = 0.022

Fin Number 2

Root Chord 22.8'

Fillet Fairing to Hull

Fin Plane of Symmetry at 3 ft. above Base Line

Fin Area : 620 sq.ft.

Fin Area
Waterplane Area = 0.022

Fin Number 2s - Obtained from Fin Number 2, by cutting 5 ft. off each tip, P & S.

Tips off Centerline : 14 ft.
Fin Area : 400 sq.ft.

Fin Area
Waterplane Area = 0.014

Fin Number 2h - Obtained from Fin Number 2s, by drilling two 1 1/2 ft. diameter holes 2 ft. aft of leading edge of fwd fin and two 1 1/2 ft. diameter holes 2 ft. fwd of trailing edge of aft fin. Area and span of fins not changed.

Figure 3 - Plan Views of Antipitching Fins 1 and 2
FINS

The program consisted in testing several antipitching fins to compare their relative performance. The difference among the various configurations tested was one of plan geometry. In profile section all fins were essentially flat plates with faired leading and trailing edges. The intersections of the fins with the hull were fillet-faired. The principal characteristics of the fins are shown in Figures 3 and 4. Figures 5 and 6 show photographs of the various fins as installed on the model. Following is a description of the four types tested.

Fin No. 1 Swept Forward. This fin had a leading edge normal to the direction of advance, and a swept forward trailing edge. The purpose of the sweep was to reduce the load near the tips and, therefore, the bending moment at the root. The thickness of the fin was 5/8 inch, corresponding to the apparently unrealistic full-scale thickness of 15 inches. The leading edge of the fin was at a distance aft of the forward perpendicular corresponding to 2.38 feet full scale. The chord plane of symmetry was at the ship's 3-foot waterline. The span of the fin, tip to tip, corresponded to nearly 41 feet. The plan area outboard of the hull was 2.2 percent of the load waterplane area. Fences were installed at both tips of the fin. These extended 18 inches full scale above and below the upper and lower surface of the fin.

Fin No. 2 Twin Configuration. This configuration was rectangular, the span measurement corresponding to 38 feet full scale. The chord plane of symmetry was as in the previous case. The two fins making up the configuration were identical, had a chord corresponding to 11 feet full scale and were separated by a distance corresponding to 9.6 feet. The purpose of the separation was to facilitate the flow around the fin by offering a passage through the
Figure 5 — Antipitching Fins
Figure 6 - Three Model Installations of Antipitching Fins
middle of the overall chord. Such flow relief was considered desirable in view of previous experience with antipitching fins at the Model Basin. Tip fences, similar to those of Fin No. 1, were also fitted. The plan area of the configuration outboard of the hull was again 2.2 percent of the load-waterplane area.

Fins No. 2s and No. 2h were obtained by modifying the plan of Fin No. 2. Fin No. 2s was obtained first by reducing the full-scale span by 10 feet so as to make the tip to tip distance 28 feet, and the plan area was reduced to 1.4 percent of the load-waterplane area. Fin No. 2h was obtained next by drilling holes corresponding to a full-scale diameter of 18 inches, 2 feet from the leading and trailing edges of Fin No. 2s, port and starboard. The purpose of testing these modifications was to investigate the effects of a further reduction of plan area, and the possibility of decreasing the adverse effects of fin vorticity experienced when the fins approach the surface. Such vorticity effects are considered structurally undesirable. Tip fences were retained in both of the above modifications.

Fin No. 3 Dihedral Configuration. This configuration retained the plan geometry of Fin No. 1, and was obtained by rotation about the root chord. The dihedral angle was 30 degrees. The purpose of this configuration was to investigate the effect on slamming of the wedge entrance so formed. It was for this purpose that the dihedral angle used was as large as 30 degrees. This configuration was not fitted with tip fences.

Fin No. 4 Triple Configuration. This configuration was rectangular in plan, and consisted of three fins in series each corresponding to 28 feet by 12 feet. The plan area outboard of the 3-foot waterline was 2.3 percent of the load-waterplane area. In this case, however, the upper surface of the fins was attached to the underside of the keel forward, with more support provided by two thin struts, port and starboard. The middle fin had a clearance corresponding to 1 foot from either of the forward or after fins. The purpose of testing this configuration was to investigate the effects of deeper fin submergence on fin vorticity. Tip fences were installed in this configuration.

A modification, Fin No. 4h, was obtained by drilling holes of a full-scale diameter of 1 foot on both sides of each of the three fins.

INSTRUMENTATION

Direct data obtained from the model tests included wave elevation, strain, and pitch and heave amplitudes. All data taken were recorded on an 8-channel oscillograph recorder.

---

1 References are listed on page 29.
Figure 7 — Installation of Instruments

Not shown in this photograph is a heave measuring potentiometer fitted at the towing pantograph, and a surge potentiometer at the guide-line pulley.
Wave data were obtained with a capacitance-type, partially submerged, wire probe. Pitch data were obtained with a gyroscope located amidships. Heave data were obtained with a linear displacement potentiometer installed on the moving arms of a special pantograph at the LCG. Pitch and heave data were also computed from direct measurements of the sum and the difference of the instantaneous accelerations recorded by two vertical accelerometers located at equal distances fore and aft of the LCG. Motion data were also obtained with a 35mm movie camera.

The principal fin configuration, Fin No. 1, was equipped with two bending flexures on each side, to obtain data on strain due to bending moments experienced by the fin in waves. Each flexure had a complete four-active-arm bending bridge. The flexures were calibrated prior to testing.

In addition to the instrumentation described above, the model was equipped with an electric motor driving the propeller, a propeller shaft tachometer, an independent vertical accelerometer located at the bow, and an angular displacement type potentiometer for surge data.

Figure 7 shows the layout of the instruments.

TEST PROGRAM

The tests were conducted at a model displacement of 2699 pounds, corresponding to 17,505 tons for the ship. The draft was 12.4 inches even keel, corresponding to 25 feet full scale. The tests originally called for a displacement corresponding to 15,870 tons at a mean draft of 23 feet, with a trim of 4 feet by the stern. Tests at this load condition, however were discontinued because the model without the fins exhibited frequent forebody emergence, occasionally extending to more than one-half the ship's length. The design displacement of the Mariner is 21,093 tons at a draft of 29 feet 10 1/16 inches even keel.

Tables 2 and 3 give the speed and wave size schedules, respectively, of the tests.

TABLE 2

Schedule of Tests - Speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed, knots</th>
<th>$F_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The radius of gyration was established experimentally at 25 percent LWL. The natural pitch period of the model for the load condition corresponding to 17,505 tons full scale was 1.44 seconds. The addition of Fin No. 1 increased the natural pitch period to 1.59 seconds. It was not possible to obtain the natural heave period because of the limitations imposed by the size of the model and the width of the test basin.

**TEST RESULTS**

The experimental results are presented in Figures 8 through 15. The results include the experimental heave and pitch amplitudes and phase angles, the computed location of the point of minimum vertical motion, the vertical motion and acceleration of any point along the length of the ship, and the computed values of the bending moments experienced by Fin No. 1 in waves. All dimensional results are presented in full scale and are summarized in the conventional dimensionless form. The pitch reduction effects of the various fin configurations tested are also presented.

Because of the frequent propeller emergence experienced during the tests with and without fins, propeller rpm and surge data were erratic. The presentation of these data has, therefore, been omitted.

**PITCH (Figure 8)**

Pitch amplitudes shown are experimental. Linearity of pitch amplitude with wave height at constant speed and wave length was found to hold within the wave-height range of the tests (the highest waves were 1/30 of their length). The data are presented in graphical form, showing the amplitude of pitch per unit of wave height measured from trough to crest. Pitch amplitudes for wave lengths not included in the direct presentation can be obtained by interpolation. It will, however, be necessary to perform the interpolation graphically, as linearity assumptions involving the wave length are not valid.
HEAVE (Figure 9)

Heave amplitudes shown are experimental. Linearity of heave with wave height at constant speed and wave length was found to hold within the wave-height range of the tests, as in the case of pitch. The data are presented in graphical form, showing the amplitude of heave per unit of wave height measured from trough to crest. Heave amplitudes for wave lengths not included in the direct presentation can be obtained by graphical interpolation.

PHASE LAG OF HEAVE REFERRED TO PITCH (Figure 10)

Values of phase lag of heave referred to pitch were determined directly from the experimental data. The variations in phase lag with wave height at constant speed and wave length remained within the estimated accuracy of record interpretation. It was then assumed that for this model the wave height had no effect on the phase relation between heave and pitch. Phase values for wave lengths not included in the direct presentation can be obtained by graphical interpolation.
Figure 9 - Experimental Heave Amplitude As a Function of Wave Height

**POINT OF MINIMUM VERTICAL MOTION (Figure 11)**

The location of the point of minimum vertical motion, (apparent pitching axis),\(^2\) was computed from experimental values of heave, pitch, and their phase relationship. In such computations sinusoidal ship motion was assumed. Sinusoidal motion was verified to the extent that the pitch and heave amplitudes obtained directly from the gyroscope and potentiometer were within 5 percent of the values computed from the sum and differences of the instantaneous accelerations of two equi-distant points fore and aft of the LCG. As the pitch and heave amplitudes are linear with wave height, the point of minimum motion is independent of wave steepness within the range of the tests.

**VERTICAL MOTION AND ACCELERATION (Figures 12a through 13d)**

The amplitude of the vertical motion and the acceleration of any point along the length of the ship were computed from experimental values of heave, pitch, and their phase relationship. Sinusoidal ship motion was assumed. The amplitude of the vertical motion or acceleration of any given point along the length of the ship is linear with wave height at constant speed and wave length.

(Text continued on page 23.)
Figure 10 — Experimental Phase Relationship Between Heave and Pitch

Figure 11 — Computed Location of Point of Minimum Vertical Motion
Figure 12 - Computed Amplitude of Vertical Motion Along Length of Ship

Figure 12a - "Zero" Speed
Figure 12b - 10 Knots
Figure 12c - 15 Knots
Figure 12d - 20 Knots
Figure 13 — Computed Amplitude of Vertical Acceleration Along Length of Ship

Figure 13a — "Zero" Speed
Figure 13b - 10 Knots
Figure 13c — 15 Knots
Figure 13d - 20 Knots
TABLE 4

Comparison of Pitch Amplitudes

Fin symbols correspond to those listed in the Introduction of this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave Length feet</th>
<th>Speed knots</th>
<th>Amplitude of Pitch degrees per foot of wave height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Fin 1 Fin 2 Fin 2s Fin 2h Fin 3 Fin 4 Fin 4h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.155   0.165    0.16   0.165  *  0.145  0.18  0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.32     0.22     0.205  0.25   *  0.205  0.22  *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>0.28     0.18     0.175  0.18   *  0.185  0.185 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>0.23     0.145    0.145  0.165  *  0.155  0.165 0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>0.19     0.12     0.12   0.125  *  0.115  0.12  *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20     0.195    0.195  0.195  0.205  0.205 0.20 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.22     0.20     0.195  0.22   0.21  0.215 0.215 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>0.255    0.205    0.20   0.225  0.215 0.235 0.225 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>0.29     0.195    0.19   0.235  0.24  0.23 0.22 0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>0.28     0.17     0.165  0.20   0.21  0.195 0.20 0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20     0.19     0.18   *     *    0.165  0.19  *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.165    0.18     0.165  *     *    0.155  0.165 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>0.23     0.21     0.205  *     *    0.19  0.215 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>0.225    0.21     0.205  *     *    0.195 0.225 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>0.30     0.20     0.19   *     *    0.195 0.21  *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test, not run.

AVERAGE BENDING MOMENT OF FIN NO. 1 (Figure 14)

The average bending moment experienced by the fin in waves was determined from experimental strain measurements. The moments shown in Figure 14 are averages of the "up" and "down" values. The moments have also been averaged along the chord. The experimental strain records indicate that the bending moment of the forward flexure was higher than that of the after flexure at ship speeds above approximately 10 knots. This is attributed to the arrangement of the bending flexures, the tapered plan of the fin, and the type of distributed loading.

COMPARATIVE PITCH REDUCTION OF FINS TESTED (Figure 15)

The amplitudes of the pitch with the various fin configurations tested are shown in Table 4 above. These are compared graphically in Figure 15. A more extensive discussion (Text continued on page 27.)
Figure 14 – Experimental Average Bending Moments Experienced in Waves by Fin No. 1
7 Feet off Centerline
Figure 15 – Comparative Performance of Various Fin Configurations Tested
Figure 16 – Dimensionless Pitch Amplitudes

Figure 17 – Dimensionless Heave Amplitudes
SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS (Figures 16 through 18)

The test data are summarized graphically in Figures 16, 17, and 18, presenting pitch, heave, and phase angles, respectively, for the Mariner-type ship with and without antipitching fins.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The results of the model tests indicate the feasibility of reducing the amplitude of pitch of the Mariner by means of antipitching fins installed at the bow of the ship. The numerical value of the full-scale pitch reduction, however, may be influenced by scale effects.

The tests at the lighter load conditions, corresponding to 15,870 tons and mean draft of 23 feet with 4-foot trim by the stern, showed small differences of pitch and heave amplitudes compared with the results of the tests at the heavier load condition. The general behavior of the model in the regular tank waves was, however, much worse in the lighter condition. Forefoot emergence and slamming occurred frequently. This can be attributed to the shallow draft of 21 feet forward, and possibly unfavorable phase relationship between the heaving and
pitching motions. The experimental data of the light load tests were not analyzed to the extent required for careful conclusions.

An important effect of fitting antipitching fins was the elimination of slamming in the conditions tested. Slamming of the ship without fins in the heavier load condition occurred in wave lengths near ship length, and at speeds between 10 and 20 knots. The effect of the fins was to maintain a minimum forefoot submergence of about 9 feet, in waves up to about 1.2 times the length of the ship. However, fin skimming and emergence did occur at the longer wave lengths. Skimming of the fins apparently caused no visible violence. Emergence, when referred to the uninterrupted wave surface, was limited to some length forward of the trailing edge of the fins. The whole underside of the fins, though, remained wet from the water drawn by the fins during the up-stroke of the motion. The strain records did not indicate any disproportionate increase in bending moment as might occur during slamming after emergence. This observation, of course, is not conclusive because many factors, such as relative frequencies, have not been considered. The dihedral fin was observed to re-enter the water in a smoother way, compared with the other fins.

The effect of forward speed and wave length on pitch reduction is noteworthy. It will be observed that the damping contributed by the fins attains its maximum effectiveness in reducing the amplitude of pitch when the ship operates in the near-synchronous range. At very low and very high frequencies of oscillation (that is, at frequencies of encounter with the waves far removed from the natural frequency of the ship in pitch) the effects of added damping are generally small.

The fins have little effect on the phase lag of heave after pitch. The small changes of the phase-lag values, however, assume importance in defining the point of minimum motion and also the vertical motion of any point along the length of the ship. Figures 12a through 12d of the results show this effect clearly. Although the point of minimum motion changes location with wave conditions and speed, such changes are rather small. For all practical purposes, the apparent pitching axis remains in the vicinity of amidships. The particular fin is seen to cause a comparatively greater shift of the apparent pitching axis mainly in the forward direction. The amplitude of the minimum vertical motion with and without fins is practically identical, except in the longer waves tested. The dominant effect of the fins is to reduce the amplitudes of the vertical motion and acceleration at points away from the apparent pitching axis. This effect cannot be overlooked when installing equipment that has operational acceleration limitations.

Figure 15 shows that the reduction of the pitching motion by the various configurations was practically the same. Some differences exist in the 600-foot wave length, but they are too small to assume significance. It can be concluded that the plan area of the fin is an important factor in pitch reduction. However, the effectiveness of antipitching fins can be also improved by designing for a higher vertical drag coefficient. The effect of area on the anti-pitching effectiveness of the fins may be brought out by comparing Fins No. 2, 2s, and 4.
Fin No. 2s, having the smallest plan area, shows reductions comparable to Fin No. 2. On the other hand, Fin No. 4, having the largest plan area, does not show any added improvement.

In establishing the geometry of the fins, one must take into account the vorticity generated by their motion. This arises from the pressure differences between the upper and the lower surfaces of the fin. Such vorticity can result in structural damage, such as recently experienced by a Dutch liner.

It appears from observations during the tests that the severity of the hydrodynamic loading imposed on the hull by the vortices shed by the fins can be lessened by:

1. Deeper submergence of the fins (Fin No. 4).
2. Greater fin span (Fin No. 1).
3. Tip fences.
4. Relief mechanisms such as slots and holes (Fins No. 2, 4, 2A, and 4A).

To provide a basis for structural design, hydrodynamic bending moments were measured at one point along the span (Fin No. 1) corresponding to 7 feet off the centerline, full scale. These are shown in Figure 15 as a function of ship speed, wave length, and wave height.

In regard to the strength of the fins, the bending moments presented in Figure 14 should be treated with caution. Since the load distribution is unknown, it is not possible to derive the values for the bending moment at the root. Theoretical slamming computations, however, indicate that the bending moment due to slamming is higher than that predicted for the quasi-steady state. Thus, the structural design of the fin should be based on loads experienced during slamming. The dihedral fin was observed to be the smoothest of the various configurations tested in respect to re-entering after emergence in the most severe wave conditions tested.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the model tests indicate that reduction of the pitching motion of the Mariner ship can be obtained by means of fixed antipitching fins installed at the bow.
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